Case	22-02384-LT11	Filed 03/29/24	Ente	red 03/29/24 17:29:4	9 Doc 1352 Pg. 1 of Docket #1352 Date Filed: 03/29/2024
1 2 3 4 5	Van.Durrer@S SKADDEN, A 300 S. Grand A Los Angeles, C Telephone: (21 Facsimile: (21)	RPS, SLATE, N Avenue, Suite 34 CA 90071 13) 687-5000 3) 687-5600	1EAC 00	226693) GHER & FLOM LL bard of Trustees of	Р
6	Borrego Comn	nunity Health Fo	ounda	tion	
7		UNITED ST	TATE	S BANKRUPTCY	COURT
8		SOUTHER	N DIS	STRICT OF CALI	FORNIA
9					
10	In re			Case No. 22-02384	4-11
11		COMMUNITY UNDATION,		Chapter 11 Case	S SLATE MEACHED
12		Debtor and De		& FLOM LLP'S FEE APPLICAT	S, SLATE, MEAGHER FIRST AND FINAL ION FOR
13		in Posses	s10n.	ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF COMPENSATION AND	ND PAYMENT OF
14 15				REIMBURSEME FOR THE PERIC	ENT OF EXPENSES DD FEBRUARY 13, 2023 GUST 31, 2023 AS
16				SPECIAL CORP THE BOARD OF	ORATE COUNSEL TO
17					IMUNITY HEALTH
18				Judge: Honorable	Laura S. Taylor
19				Date: June 12, 202	
20				Time: 10:00 a.m. I Location: Departm	
21				] Location: Departm	
22					
23 24					
24					
26					
27					
28					
				- 1 - <b>IIIIII</b>	
				· ·····	2202384240329000000000005

Case	22-02384-LT11	Filed 03/29/24 E	Entered 47	03/29/24 17:29	:49 Doc 135	2 Pg. 2 of
1	CSD 1143 (04,	/28/96)				
2		UNITED STA				
3		SOUTHERN	DISTR	RICT OF CAL	LIFORNIA	
4		orrego Community	7	PETITION I	DATE: <u>Septer</u>	mber 12, 2022
5	Health Found					
6	CASE NO.: <u>2</u>	2-02384				
7	<u>F</u>	RST AND FINA	L FEE	APPLICATI	ON SUMMA	<u>ARY</u>
8						
9	APPLICANT	: <u>Skadden, Arps,</u>	Slate,	REPRESENT	ГING: <u>Board</u>	of Trustees of
10	Meagher & F	lom LLP		Borrego Com	nmunity Heal	th Foundation
11	ORDER APP	ROVING EMPL	OYME	NT: Docket N	lo. 695	
12				February 13	5. 2023 – Aug	ust 31. 2023
13	FEE C	CATEGORIES		•	CATION PE	
14				HOURS	AMOUNT	REQUESTED
15	General Corpo	orate Advice		39.10		\$61,690.50
16	Retention / Fe	e Matters (SASM&	kF)	73.70		\$69,260.00
17		FEE TOT	AL:	112.80		\$130,950.50
18				February 13		
19	EXPENS	E CATEGORIES			CATION PE JNT REQUE	
20	Outside Resea	urch		71000		\$44.90
20		EXPENSE TOT	AL:			\$44.90
22		GRAND TOTA	AL:		9	\$130,995.40 <sup>1</sup>
23			-		<del>.</del>	
24						
25	1 This amo		fthef.	o Cleodadore 1-111-1	the Dahters 1	ing the Application
26	<sup>1</sup> This amount represents 100% of the fees Skadden billed the Debtors during the Application Period. Skadden voluntarily reduced its fees by \$27,259.00 and its expenses by \$10.50 during the					
27		-		-	-	
	Application Perio	od. In the event of a	any obje	ctions, Skadden	reserves the rig	ght to request these
28	amounts.					
				- 2 -		
	•					

Case	22-023	884-LT11	Filed 03/29/24	Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 47	Doc 1352	Pg. 3 of			
1		TABLE OF CONTENTS							
2	I.	INTRODU	INTRODUCTION1						
3	п		PION AND VEN	UE		1			
4	II.	JURISDIC	TION AND VEN	UE		1			
5	III.								
6				ROUND AND NARRATIVE S					
7 8				SUANT TO WHICH THIS APP					
9		C. PRE	EVIOUSLY FILE	ED FEE APPLICATIONS		3			
10		D. AC	FUAL AND NEC	CESSARY EXPENSES		4			
11	IV.	SUMMAR	Y OF COMPENS	SATION REQUESTED		4			
12		A. PRO	OFESSIONALS A	AND HOURLY RATES		4			
13		B. PRE	EVIOUSLY PAII	O COMPENSATION		5			
14		C. PRO	DJECT BILLING			5			
15	V.	REVIEW A	AND CERTIFICA	ATION					
16									
17		B. CEF	RTIFICATION O	F COUNSEL		6			
18	371					7			
19	VI.	LEGAL AF	GUMENT			/			
20	VII.	OTHER CO	OMPLIANCE W	ITH REVISED UST GUIDELIN	VES	9			
21	VIII.	CONCLUS	ION						
22									
23									
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
				- i -					

Case	22-02384-LT11 File	ed 03/29/24	Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 47	Doc 1352	Pg. 4 of			
1		TAI	BLE OF AUTHORITIES					
2					Page(s)			
3	Cases							
4	In Re Busy Beaver 19 F.3d 833 (3d	<i>Bldg. Ctrs.,</i>   Cir. 1994).	Inc.,		8			
5	In re Drexel Burnh	am Lamber	t Grp., Inc.,					
6	Ì		Y. 1991)		8			
7	<i>In re Nucorp Energ</i> 764 F.2d 655, 6	<i>gy, Inc.</i> , 58 (9th Cir.	1985)		8			
8 9	Statutes							
10	11 U.S.C. § 330				1, 3, 7, 8			
10	11 U.S.C. § 331				1			
12	28 U.S.C. § 157				1			
13	28 U.S.C. § 13341							
14		28 U.S.C. § 1408						
15					1			
16	Other Authorities		1 001 4		1			
17			ule 2016	•••••	l			
18	Local Bankruptcy I	Rules Rule 2	2016-2	•••••	I			
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27 28								
20								
			- ii -					

SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP 300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3400 Los ANGELES, California 90017-3144 213 687 5000 47

1

11

#### I. **INTRODUCTION**

2 Pursuant to §§ 330 and 331 of title 11 of the United States Code (the 3 "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 2016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedures (the "Bankruptcy Rules"),<sup>2</sup> and Rule 2016-2 of the Local Bankruptcy Rules for the 4 5 United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District California (the "LBR"), 6 Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP ("Skadden"), special corporate counsel 7 to the Board of Trustees of Borrego Community Health Foundation (the "Board"), the debtor and debtor in possession (the "Debtor") in the above-captioned case (the 8 9 "Case"), hereby submits its First and Final Fee Application of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, for Allowance of Compensation for Services Rendered and 10 Reimbursement of Expenses Incurred for the Period of February 13, 2023 through 12 August 31, 2023 as Special Corporate Counsel to the Board of Trustees of Borrego 13 *Community Health Foundation* (the "Application").

By this Application, Skadden seeks entry of an order allowing compensation 14 15 on an final basis in the amount of \$130,950.50 for reasonable and necessary legal 16 services Skadden rendered to the Board during the period of February 13, 2023, through August 31, 2023 (the "Application Period") and \$44.90 for reimbursement 17 18 of actual and necessary expenses incurred during the Application Period for a total 19 of \$130,995.40. In support of this Application, Skadden respectfully represents as 20 follows:

21

#### II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

22 The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 23 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2). The venue of this 24 Case is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

- 25 26
- 27 <sup>2</sup> Unless specified otherwise, all chapter and section references are to the Bankruptcy Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101-1532, and all "Bankruptcy Rule" references are to the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy 28 Procedure.

Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 6 of 47

1 2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

28

#### III. **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

#### **General Background and Narrative Summary of the Cases** A.

On September 12, 2022 ("Petition Date"), the Debtor filed a voluntary 1. petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Since the commencement of its Case, the Debtor has been operating its business as a debtor in 6 possession pursuant to §§ 1107 and 1108.

2. The Debtor is a nonprofit Federally Qualified Health Center ("FQHC") that provides health care services to low income and rural patients (collectively, "Patients") in San Diego and Riverside Counties through a system of eighteen clinics, two pharmacies, and six mobile units. In 2021, the Debtor provided approximately 386,000 patient care visits to over 94,000 patients. The Debtor's services include comprehensive primary care, urgent care, behavioral health, dental services, specialty care, transgender health, women's health, prenatal care, veteran's health, chiropractic services, telehealth, and pharmacy.

15 3. FQHCs are federally designated entities that receive higher state 16 payments to provide health care services to low-income and rural families and 17 families in underserved communities with incomes below 200% of the poverty level. 18 As an FQHC, the Debtor strives to deliver high-quality, comprehensive, 19 compassionate primary health care to people in the surrounding area, regardless of 20 ability to pay.

21 4. Additional background regarding the Debtor, including an overview of 22 the Debtor's business and additional events leading up to this Case, is set forth in the 23 Declaration of Isaac Lee, Chief Restructuring Officer, in Support of Debtor's 24 *Emergency First Day Motions* [Docket No. 7] (the "First-Day Declaration").

On September 26, 2022, the Office of the United States Trustee (the 25 5. 26 "UST") appointed an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee") 27 in this Case. [Docket No. 49].

- 2 -

Λ7

6. As set forth in the First-Day Declaration, the Debtor appointed Isaac Lee of Ankura Consulting Group, LLC as its Chief Restructuring Officer.

3 On April 17, 2023, the Debtor filed the Application for an Order 7. 4 Authorizing the Retention of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP as Special Corporate Counsel to the Board of Trustees of Borrego Community Health 6 Foundation [Docket No. 632] (the "Employment Application"). On May 9, 2023, the Court approved the Employment Application, effective as of February 13, 2023 [Docket No. 695] (the "Retention Order"). The Retention Order authorizes Skadden to be compensated on an hourly basis and to be reimbursed for actual and necessary 10 out-of-pocket expenses.

#### В. **Guidelines Pursuant to which this Application Has Been Prepared**

12 8. Attorneys retained pursuant to §§ 327 or 1103 must comply with certain 13 requirements of the United States Trustee's Guidelines for Reviewing Applications 14 for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses Filed Under 11 U.S.C. §330 by 15 Attorneys in Larger Chapter 11 Cases (the "Revised UST Guidelines"). Charts and 16 tables based on such forms are attached hereto as exhibits and filled out with data 17 relevant to these Cases: **Exhibit A**, Customary and Comparable Compensation 18 Disclosures with Fee Applications; **Exhibit B**, Summary of Timekeepers Included in 19 this Fee Application; Exhibit C-1, Budget; Exhibit C-2, Staffing Plan; Exhibit D-1, 20 Summary of Compensation Requested by Project Category; Exhibit D-2, Summary 21 of Expense Reimbursement Requested by Category; and Exhibit E, Summary Cover 22 Sheet of Fee Application.

#### С. **Previously Filed Fee Applications**

24 9. In order to reduce costs associated with preparation and filing of 25 monthly fee applications pursuant to that certain and the Order On Debtor's Motion 26 Establishing Procedures For Monthly Payment Of Fees And Expense entered on 27 December 5, 2022 (the "Fee Procedures Order") [Docket No. 263], which authorized certain professionals to submit monthly applications for interim compensation and 28

- 3 -

1

2

5

7

8

9

11

23

reimbursement for expenses and, subject to a lack of objections to such application,
 authorized the Debtor to pay each applying professional eighty percent of the
 requested fees and one hundred percent of the requested expenses pursuant to the
 procedures specified therein.

10. Skadden did not previously file any monthly fee applications or any interim fee applications for the Application Period and has not received any compensation for the fees or reimbursement for the expenses requested herein. Accordingly, attached hereto as **Exhibit F** are detailed daily time logs describing the actual and necessary services provided by Skadden and descriptions of the actual and necessary expenses incurred by Skadden on behalf of the Board during the period covered by this Application which would have otherwise been filed with such monthly fee applications.

#### D. Actual and Necessary Expenses

14 11. A summary of actual and necessary expenses incurred by Skadden for
15 the Application Period is attached hereto as part of Exhibit D-2.

16

17

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

#### IV. <u>SUMMARY OF COMPENSATION REQUESTED</u>

#### A. Professionals and Hourly Rates

In compliance with the Revised UST Guidelines, Exhibit B provides the
names of all the attorneys who have provided services to the Board, their positions,
hourly rates, total number of hours spent on the Case during the Application Period,
and the total fees charged for the services they each provided to the Board during the
Application Period.

Skadden generally allocated tasks among the professionals based on their
comparative expertise, taking into consideration the knowledge and experience of
Skadden's professionals. Skadden represented the Board as efficiently as possible
during the Application Period.

- 4 -

- 27
- 28

47

#### **B.** Previously Paid Compensation

To date, Skadden has not received any compensation on account for the services it has rendered to the Board or the actual and necessary expenses it incurred in rendering such services. Accordingly, Skadden seeks payment in the amount of \$130,950.50 for reasonable and necessary legal services Skadden rendered to the Board during the Application Period and \$44.90 for reimbursement of actual and necessary expenses, which accounts for 100% of the fees sought and expenses incurred by Skadden during such period. Skadden has received no money or property—and has not been promised any money or property—in connection with this case.

#### C. Project Billing

12 The services rendered by Skadden during the Application Period can be 13 grouped into the two categories set forth below. Skadden attempted to place the 14 services provided in the category that best relates to such services. These services, 15 performed by categories, are generally described below, with a more detailed 16 identification of the actual services provided set forth in the attached **Exhibit F**. 17 **Exhibit F** identifies the attorneys and paraprofessionals who rendered services 18 relating to each category, along with the number of hours for each individual and the 19 total compensation sought for each category.

20 General Corporate Advice (Matter #1): During the Application Period, 21 Skadden professionals assisted and advised the Board with respect to various 22 corporate governance matters. This included advising the Board on issues relating 23 to (a) the settlement with the California Department of Health Care Services 24 ("DHCS") entered into by and among the Debtor, the Committee, and DHCS 25 approved by this Court at Docket No. 544, (b) the Debtor's sale to Desert Aids Project 26 d/b/a/ DAP Health approved by this court at Docket No. 559 (the "Sale"), 27 (c) compliance with director duties, and (d) director's and officer's insurance. 28 Skadden professionals also prepared board resolutions and reviewed press releases

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 5 -

and attended a hearing in the Case regarding the DHCS settlement and Sale in
 furtherance of advising the Board.

- 3
- Fees: \$61,690.50 Hours: 39.10

4 Retention / Fee Matters (SASM&F) (Matter #33): At the inception of its involvement with the Board, Skadden professionals prepared and filed the 5 6 Employment Application, which included analyzing and researching related issues. 7 In preparing the pleadings and declarations, Skadden professionals conducted a 8 comprehensive conflicts analysis, which involved the review of many internal 9 connection report and the maintenance of a list of parties in interest in the Case 10 throughout the Application Period to comply with the ongoing disclosure 11 requirements of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules. Skadden professionals 12 also discussed the Employment Application with the UST prior to its filing and 13 resolved the subsequent objections raised by the UST following the filing of the Employment Application. See Docket Nos. 673 and 690. 14

#### 15

16

#### Fees: \$69,260.00 Hours: 73.70

#### V. <u>REVIEW AND CERTIFICATION</u>

17 A. Client Review

18 Skadden has provided the Board and the Debtor with this Application for19 review prior to filing.

20 **B.** Certification of Counsel

The undersigned counsel, on behalf of Skadden, certifies that in accordance
with the concurrently filed *Declaration of Van C. Durrer, II*:

- 23
- a. I have read the Application;

b. Skadden's rates for specific clients and matters often reflect a variety of
factors and are subject to periodic adjustment. The compensation and expense
reimbursement requested in the Application are billed at rates that, in accordance
with Skadden's practices, are reasonable under the circumstances, proportional to the
amounts and issues at stake, and generally accepted by Skadden's clients;

- 6 -

c. At all relevant times, Skadden has been a disinterested person as that
 term is defined in § 101(4) and has not represented or held an interest adverse to the
 interests of the Debtor;

d. To the best of the knowledge, information, and belief of the undersigned, formed after reasonable inquiry, no time has been billed to the Debtor or the Board outside the scope of services authorized by the Retention Order;

e. All compensation for services rendered and reimbursement for expenses incurred, for which an award is sought for representing the interests of the Board, was necessary and beneficial to the Debtor in connection with the Case; and

f. To the best of the knowledge, information, and belief of the undersigned, formed after reasonable inquiry, the compensation and expense reimbursement sought herein is in conformity with the Fee Procedures Order, the LBR, and the Revised UST Guidelines.

#### VI. <u>LEGAL ARGUMENT</u>

Skadden has endeavored to represent the Board in the most efficient manner
possible. Moreover, Skadden has endeavored to coordinate with other professionals
involved in this case to minimize any duplication of effort and to minimize attorneys'
fees and expenses to the Debtor.

No agreement or understanding exists between Skadden and any other person
for the sharing of compensation received or to be received for services rendered in or
in connection with this Chapter 11 Case.

With respect to the level of compensation, § 330 provides, in pertinent part, that the Court may award to a professional person, "reasonable compensation for actual, necessary services rendered." Section 330(a)(3), in turn, provides that:

In determining the amount of reasonable compensation to be awarded . . ., the court shall consider the nature, the extent, and the value of such services, taking into account all relevant factors, including –

- (A) the time spent on such services;
- (B) the rates charged for such services;

(C) whether the services were necessary to the administration of, or beneficial at the time which the service was rendered toward the

- 7 -

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

25

26

27

28

completion of, a case under this title;

(D) whether the services were performed within a reasonable amount of time commensurate with the complexity, importance, and nature of the problem, issue, or task addressed;

(E) with respect to a professional person, whether the person is board certified or otherwise has demonstrated skill and experience in the bankruptcy field; and

(F) whether the compensation is reasonable based on the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners in cases other than cases under this title.

11 U.S.C. § 330(a)(3).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

The congressional policy expressed above provides for adequate compensation to continue to attract qualified and competent professionals to bankruptcy cases. *See In Re Busy Beaver Bldg. Ctrs., Inc.,* 19 F.3d 833, 850 (3d Cir. 1994) ("Congress rather clearly intended to provide a sufficient economic incentive to lure competent bankruptcy specialists to practice in the bankruptcy courts.") (citation and internal quotation marks omitted); *In re Nucorp Energy, Inc.,* 764 F.2d 655, 658 (9th Cir. 1985) ("Section 330 of the Bankruptcy Reform Act was intended to . . . ensure adequate compensation for bankruptcy attorneys so that highly qualified specialists would not be forced to abandon the practice of bankruptcy law[.]."); *In re Drexel Burnham Lambert Grp., Inc.,* 133 B.R. 13, 20 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1991) ("Congress' objective on requiring that the market, not the Court, establish attorneys' rates was to ensure that bankruptcy cases were staffed by appropriate legal specialists.") (citations omitted).

Skadden asserts that in accordance with the factors enumerated in § 330, the amount requested is fair and reasonable given (a) the complexity of the Case, (b) the time expended, (c) the nature and extent of the services rendered, (d) the value of such services, and (e) the costs of comparable services other than in a case under this title. Skadden respectfully submits that, had counsel with less experience in these types of matters been retained, the cost to the estate would have been much greater.

The fees charged by Skadden in these cases have been billed in accordance with the existing billing rates and procedures in effect during the Application Period.

26

27

28

The services rendered by Skadden were necessary and beneficial to the Board, and ultimately the Debtor, consistently performed in a timely manner, and reasonable considering the value of such services to the Board, Skadden demonstrated skill and expertise in the bankruptcy field, and the customary compensation charged by comparably skilled practitioners. Accordingly, Skadden respectfully submits that approval of the compensation for professional services and reimbursement of expenses sought herein is warranted.

8

#### VII. OTHER COMPLIANCE WITH REVISED UST GUIDELINES

9 The following is provided in response to the request for additional information
10 set forth in Paragraph C.5 of the Revised UST Guidelines:

Question: Did you agree to any variations from, or alternatives to, your
standard or customary billing arrangements for this engagement?

Answer: Yes. Skadden, the Board, and the Debtor agreed to a rate schedule under the Engagement Letter. The rate structure Skadden provided is appropriate and is not significantly different from (a) the rates that Skadden charges for other non-bankruptcy representations or (b) the rates of other comparably skilled professionals. Specifically, Skadden agreed to maintain its rates through the end of 2023, which included the entirety of Skadden's representation, except for rate changes in conjunction with customary year-on-year progressions.

Question: If the fees sought in this fee application as compared to the fees
budgeted for the time period covered by this fee application are higher by 10% or
more, did you discuss the reasons for the variation with the client?

23

26

Answer: N/A.

Question: Have any of the professionals included in this fee application
varied their hourly rate based on the geographic location of the bankruptcy case?

Answer: No.

Question: Does the fee application include time or fees related to reviewing
or revising time records or preparing, reviewing, or revising invoices?

-9-

Case	22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 14 of 47					
1	Answer: No.					
2	<b>Question:</b> Does this fee application include time or fees for reviewing time					
3	records to redact any privileged or other confidential information?					
4	Answer: No.					
5	Question: If the fee application includes any rate increases since retention,					
6	did the client (i) review and approve those rate increases in advance, and (ii) agree					
7	when retaining the law firm to accept all future rate increases?					
8	Answer: N/A.					
9	VIII. <u>CONCLUSION</u>					
10	WHEREFORE, Skadden respectfully requests that, pursuant to the Fee					
11	Procedures Order, the Court: (i) allow on a final basis compensation in the amount					
12	of \$130,950.50 in fees for services rendered by Skadden during the Application					
13	Period; (ii) allow on a final basis reimbursement of expenses in the amount of \$44.90					
14	for expenses incurred during the Application Period; (iii) authorize payment of these					
15	allowed fees and expenses to Skadden; and (iv) grant such other and further relief as					
16	the Court may deem proper.					
17	Dated: March 29, 2024 SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE,					
18	MEAGHER & FLOM LLP					
19	VAN C. DURRER, II DESTINY N. ALMOGUE					
20	DESTINT N. ALWOGUE					
21	By /s/ Van C. Durrer, II					
22	By <u>/s/ Van C. Durrer, II</u> Van C. Durrer, II					
23	Attorneys for the Board of Trustees of					
24	Borrego Community Health					
25	Foundation					
26						
27						
28	- 10 -					

1

6

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

### **DECLARATION OF VAN C. DURRER, II**

I, Van C. Durrer, II, hereby state and declare that if called on as a witness,
I would and could testify of my own personal knowledge as follows:

I am a partner with the applicant law firm Skadden, Arps, Slate,
 Meagher & Flom LLP ("<u>Skadden</u>") and am admitted to appear before this Court.

2. I am familiar with the services performed on behalf of the Board of Trustees of Borrego Community Health Foundation, the debtor and debtor in possession in the above-captioned matter ,by the lawyers and paraprofessionals of Skadden.

I have reviewed the foregoing Application and the facts set forth therein
 are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. Moreover,
 I have reviewed the Fee Procedures Order and the Revised UST Guidelines (as those
 terms are defined in the foregoing Application) and submit that the Application
 substantially complies.

Executed this 29th day of March 2024, at Los Angeles, California.

/s/ Van C. Durrer, II Van C. Durrer, II

## **EXHIBIT A**

**Customary and Comparable Compensation Disclosures with Fee Applications** 

Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 17 of 47

#### EXHIBIT A

#### CUSTOMARY AND COMPARABLE COMPENSATION DISCLOSURES WITH FEE APPLICATIONS

(See Appendix B–Guidelines Customary and Comparable Compensation Disclosures with Fee Applications)

The blended hourly rate for all U.S.-based Skadden timekeepers (including both professionals and paraprofessionals), excluding all bankruptcy and pro bono engagements and all data from timekeepers practicing primarily in Skadden's Corporate Restructuring Group,<sup>1</sup> during the twelve-month period beginning on September 1, 2022 and ending on August 31, 2023 (the "<u>Comparable Period</u>") was, in the aggregate, approximately \$1,082.43 per hour (the "<u>Non-Bankruptcy Blended Hourly Rate</u>").<sup>2</sup>

The blended hourly rate for all Skadden timekeepers who billed to the Board representation during the Application Period was approximately \$1,160.91 per hour (the "<u>Board Blended Hourly Rate</u>").<sup>3</sup> A detailed comparison of these rates follows:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For purposes of calculating the Non-Bankruptcy Blended Hourly Rate, Skadden tracks, as bankruptcy engagements, debtor in possession ("<u>DIP</u>") clients as well as reorganized and post-DIP clients, and excludes those engagements from the Non-Bankruptcy Blended Hourly Rate along with all time of members of the Corporate Restructuring department.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Skadden calculated the Non-Bankruptcy Blended Hourly Rate by dividing the total dollar amount billed by U.S.-based Skadden timekeepers, excluding all bankruptcy engagements, DIP clients, as well as reorganized and post-DIP clients, and all data from timekeepers of the Corporate Restructuring group (both attorneys and legal assistants), during the Comparable Period by the total number of corresponding hours billed by U.S.-based Skadden timekeepers during the Comparable Period.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Skadden calculates the Board Blended Hourly Rate by dividing the total dollar amount billed by such timekeepers during the Application Period by the total number of hours billed by such timekeepers during the Application Period. During the Application Period, Skadden

	<b>BLENDED HOURLY RATE</b>		
CATEGORY OF TIMEKEEPER (Using categories already maintained by the firm)	Board Blended Hourly Rate	Non- Bankruptcy Blended Hourly Rate	
Partner/Of Counsel	\$1,785.00	\$1,713.15	
Associate	\$960.90	\$932.94	
Legal Assistants	\$495.00	\$389.11	
All Timekeepers	\$1,160.91	\$1,082.43	

voluntarily reduced its fees requested by \$27,259.00 (20.82%) and its expenses requested by \$10.50 (23.39%), for a total of \$27,269.50 (20.82%), and its hours billed from a base of 192.4 to 151.1 (21.47%). Skadden's Board Blended Hourly Rate does not account for Skadden's voluntary reduction of its fees. The total blended hourly rate for Skadden timekeepers who billed to the Board during the Application Period based on the amount billed divided by actual hours worked (including non-billed hours) was \$992.80.

The Board Blended Hourly Rate for Partners/Of Counsel (\$1,785.00), Associates (\$1,047.50), and Legal Assistants (\$495.00) is higher than the Non-Bankruptcy Blended Hourly Rate for Partners/Of Counsel (\$1,713.15), Associates (\$932.94), and Legal Assistants (\$389.11). The differences between the blended rates for these categories of professionals are not indicative of a premium being charged by Skadden for its representation of the Board, but rather the result of attending to the complexities involved in the Chapter 11 Cases that have required the use of more-senior professionals, with correspondingly higher billing rates, than in a typical representation due to the complexity of the services required of Skadden.

## **EXHIBIT B**

Summary of Timekeepers Included in this Fee Application

Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 20 of 47

### EXHIBIT B

# **Summary of Timekeepers Included in this Fee Application** (See Appendix B– Summary of Timekeepers Included in this Fee Application)

Name	Title or Position	Department Group or Section	Date of First	Hours Billed in this	Fees Billed in this	HOURLY RA	ATE BILLED	Number of Rate Increases
	1 0511011	comp of sources	Admission	Application	Application	In This Application	In First Interim Application	Since Inception
Van C. Durrer II	Partner	Corporate Restructuring	1993	35.8	\$63,903.00	\$1,785.00	N/A	0
Destiny N. Almogue	Associate	Corporate Restructuring	2017	9.1	\$10,647.00	\$1,170.00	N/A	0
Rebecca L. Ritchie	Associate	Corporate Restructuring	2021	53.0	\$49,025.00	\$925.00	N/A	0
Brigitte S. Travaglini	Legal Assistant	Litigation	n/a	5.9	\$2,920.50	\$495.00	N/A	0
Andrea T. Bates	Legal Assistant	Corporate Restructuring	n/a	9.0	\$4,455.00	\$495.00	N/A	0
TOTAL:				112.8	\$130,950.50			

Case Name:	BORREGO COMMUNITY HEALTH FOUNDATION
Case Number:	22-02384
Applicant's Name:	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
Date of Application:	3/30/2024
Interim or Final	Final

Budget

#### **BUDGET**

If the parties consent or the court so directs, a budget approved by the client in advance should generally be attached to each interim and final fee application filed by the applicant. If the fees sought in the fee application vary by more than 10% from the budget, the fee application should explain the variance. (See Appendix B–Guidelines Budget)

PROJECT CATEGORY	HOURS BUDGETED	FEES BUDGETED
General Corporate Advice	N/A	N/A
Retention / Fee Matters (SASM&F)	N/A	N/A
Total	N/A	N/A

Case Name:	BORREGO COMMUNITY HEALTH FOUNDATION
Case Number:	22-02384
Applicant's Name:	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
Date of Application:	3/30/2024
Interim or Final	Final

**Staffing Plan** 

#### **STAFFING PLAN**

If the parties consent or the court so directs, a staffing plan approved by the client in advance should generally be attached to each interim and final fee application filed by the applicant. If the fees are sought in the fee application for a greater number of professionals than identified in the staffing plan, the fee application should explain the variance.

(See Appendix B–Guidelines for Staffing Plan)

CATEGORY OF TIMEKEEPER	BLENDED HO	URLY RATE
(Using categories already maintained by the firm)	NUMBER OF TIMEKEEPERS EXPECTED WORK ON THE MATTER DURING BUDGET PERIOD	AVERAGE HOURLY RATE
Partner	1	\$1,785.00
Associates	2	\$1,047.50
Paralegals	2	\$495.00

Case Name:	BORREGO COMMUNITY HEALTH FOUNDATION
Case Number:	22-02384
Applicant's Name:	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
Date of Application:	<u>3/30/2024</u>
Interim or Final	Final

### **EXHIBIT D-1**

Summary of Compensation Requested by Project Category

Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 26 of 47

### EXHIBIT D-1

### SUMMARY OF COMPENSATION REQUESTED BY PROJECT CATEGORY

(See Appendix B–Guidelines for Summary of Compensation Requested by Project Category)

Task Code	Matter Description	Hours Budgeted	Fees Budgeted	Total Hours Billed	Total Fees Requested
1	General Corporate Advice	N/A	N/A	39.10	\$61,690.50
33	Retention / Fee Matters (SASM&F)	N/A	N/A	73.70	\$69,260.00
	TOTALS			112.80	\$130,950.50

Case Name:	BORREGO COMMUNITY HEALTH FOUNDATION
Case Number:	22-02384
Applicant's Name:	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
Date of Application:	3/30/2024
Interim or Final	Final

### EXHIBIT D-2

Summary of Expense Reimbursement Requested by Category

#### EXHIBIT D -2

#### SUMMARY OF EXPENSE REIMBURSEMENT REQUESTED BY CATEGORY

Expense Category	Total Expenses
Outside Research	\$44.90
Total:	\$44.90

Case Name:	BORREGO COMMUNITY HEALTH FOUNDATION
Case Number:	22-02384
Applicant's Name:	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
Date of Application:	3/30/2024
Interim or Final	Final

### **EXHIBIT E**

Summary Cover Sheet of Fee Application

Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 30 of 47

# <u>EXHIBIT E</u>

#### SUMMARY COVER SHEET OF FEE APPLICATION

(See Appendix B–Guidelines Summary Cover Sheet of Fee Application)

Name of Applicant:	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
Authorized to Provide Professional Services to	Board of Trustees of Borrego Community Health Foundation
Time period covered by this Application for the Monthly Period	February 13, 2023 – August 31, 2023
Total compensation sought in the Application Period	\$130,950.50
Total expenses sought in the Application Period	\$44.90
Petition Date	September 12, 2022
Retention Date	Nunc Pro Tunc to February 13, 2023
Date of order approving employment	May 9, 2023
Total compensation approved by interim Order to date	\$0.00
Total reimbursement approved by interim Order to date	\$0.00
Total allowed compensation paid to date	\$0.00
Total allowed expenses paid to date	\$0.00
Blended rate in this Application for all professionals and paraprofessionals during the Application Period	\$1,160.91
Compensation sought in this Application already paid pursuant to a monthly compensation order but not yet allowed	\$0.00
Expenses sought in this Application already paid pursuant to a monthly compensation order but not yet allowed	\$0.00
Number of professionals included in this Application for the Final Period	5
If applicable, number of professionals in this Application not included in staffing plan	N/A

approved by client	
If applicable, difference between fees budgeted and compensation sought for this period	N/A
Number of professionals billing fewer than 15 hours to the case during this period	3
Are any rates higher than those approved or disclosed at retention?	No

Case Name:	BORREGO COMMUNITY HEALTH FOUNDATION
Case Number:	22-02384
Applicant's Name:	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
Date of Application:	3/30/2024
Interim or Final	Final

### **EXHIBIT F**

Detailed Time and Expense Statements for Application Period (February 13, 2023 through August 31, 2023)

Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 33 of 47

### Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom IIp and affiliates

Board of Trustees of Borrego Community Health Foundation Borrego Community Health Foundation 578 Palm Canyon Drive Suite 208 Borrego Springs, California 92004

March 28, 2024 Bill No.: 1950197

TIN: 13-1777230

PLEASE REMIT TO:				
<u>By Check</u> :	SASM&F LLP P.O. Box 1764 White Plains, NY 10602	<u>By Wire</u> :	In US Dollars to: Citibank, N.A., New York A.B.A. Number: 021000089 Swift Code: CITIUS33 or CITIUS33XXX For Credit To Account: 30060143 Reference Bill No.: 1950197	
If you receive a request, via email or otherwise, to change our remittance account information, please verify that this is				
our intent by contacting your Skadden contact.				

Invoice #: 1950197 Re: Corporate Advice, Financing and Special Projects

TO PROFESSIONAL SERVICES RENDERED through August 31, 2023, as set forth in the attached Summary of Services as follows:

General Corporate Advice

Fees	\$61,690.50
Charges and Disbursements	40.80
Total General Corporate Advice	\$61,731.30

Retention / Fee Matters (SASM&F)

Fees	\$69,260.00
Charges and Disbursements	4.10
Total Retention / Fee Matters (SASM&F)	\$69,264.10

PAYMENT DUE UPON RECEIPT.

MAY INCLUDE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES, CHARGES AND DISBURSEMENTS FROM AFFILIATES.

# Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 34 of 47

Board of Trustees of Borrego Community Health Foundation Omnibus Statement (August, 2023) March 28, 2024 - Summary Page 2

Total	Fees	\$130,950.50
Total	Charges and Disbursements	44.90
Grand	Total\$	<u>130,995.40</u>

## Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 35 of 47

#### TIME SUMMARY - - ALL MATTERS BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF BORREGO COMMUNITY HEALTH FOUNDATION: through August 31, 2023

MATTER #1 General Corporate Advice		Bill	No: 1950197
NAME	RATE	HOURS	TOTAL
PARTNER			
VAN C. DURRER II	\$1,785	32.40	\$ <u>57,834.00</u>
TOTAL PARTNER		32.40	\$57,834.00
ASSOCIATE			
DESTINY N. ALMOGUE	\$1,170	0.80	\$936.00
TOTAL ASSOCIATE		0.80	\$ 936.00
LEGAL ASSISTANTS			
LEGAL ASSISTANT @	\$495	5.90	\$_2,920.50
TOTAL LEGAL ASSISTANTS		5.90	\$ 2,920.50
MATTER TOTAL		<u>39.10</u>	<u>\$61,690.50</u>

## Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 36 of 47

#### <u>TIME SUMMARY</u> - - ALL MATTERS BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF BORREGO COMMUNITY HEALTH FOUNDATION: through August 31, 2023

MATTER #33 Retention / Fee Matters (SASM	ER #33 Retention / Fee Matters (SASM&F)		
NAME	RATE	HOURS	TOTAL
PARTNER			
VAN C. DURRER II	\$1,785	3.40	\$_6,069.00
TOTAL PARTNER		3.40	\$ 6,069.00
ASSOCIATES			
DESTINY N. ALMOGUE	\$1,170	8.30	\$ 9,711.00
REBECCA L. RITCHIE	925	53.00	49,025.00
TOTAL ASSOCIATES		61.30	\$58,736.00
LEGAL ASSISTANTS			
LEGAL ASSISTANT @	\$495	9.00	\$_4,455.00
TOTAL LEGAL ASSISTANTS		9.00	\$ 4,455.00
MATTER TOTAL		<u>73.70</u>	<u>\$69,260.00</u>
CLIENT TOTAL		<u>112.80</u>	<u>\$130,950.50</u>

# Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 37 of 47

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom IIp and affiliates ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

Board of Trustees	of Borrego	Community	Health	Foundation	Bill Date: 03/28/24
General Corporate	Advice				Bill Number: 1950197

NAME	DATE	HOURS	DESCRIPTION
DURRER II VC	02/13/23	1.00	CALL WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING DHCS SETTLEMENT ISSUES (.8); FOLLOW UP WITH T. MOYRON REGARDING SAME (.2).
DURRER II VC	02/13/23	1.70	CALL WITH J. POMERANTZ REGARDING SALE ISSUES (.1); PARTICIPATE IN BOARD OF DIRECTORS CALL REGARDING SALE (1.6).
DURRER II VC	02/14/23	0.30	CALL WITH T. MOYRON REGARDING SALE UPDATE (.2); CORRESPOND WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING SAME (.1).
DURRER II VC	02/15/23	1.80	CALL WITH T. MOYRON REGARDING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS WITH DHCS (.1); CORRESPOND WITH CLIENT REGARDING PUBLIC RELATION ISSUES (.1); PLAN FOR EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE MEETING (.1); ATTEND BOARD MEETING WITH EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE (1.5).
DURRER II VC	02/16/23	1.40	CALL WITH I. LEE AND T. MOYRON REGARDING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS AND OPEN ISSUES (1.0); REVISE SUMMARY OF DHCS TERM SHEET (.3); CORRESPOND WITH BOARD CHAIR REGARDING SAME (.1).
DURRER II VC	02/17/23	0.30	CALL WITH T. MOYRON REGARDING CONTINUED DHCS NEGOTIATIONS (.2); ANALYSIS REGARDING SAME (.1).
DURRER II VC	02/18/23	1.70	CALL WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING OPEN DHCS ISSUES ON TERM SHEET (.5); REVISE TERMS REGARDING SAME (.2); CALL WITH T. MOYRON REGARDING SAME (.3); CALL WITH DHCS REGARDING TERM SHEET WITH HOOPER LUNDY AND T. MOYRON (.4); CORRESPOND WITH CLIENT REGARDING SAME (.2); ANALYSIS REGARDING SAME (.1).

Case 22-02384-LT11	Filed 03/29/24	Entered of 47	03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 38
	Skadden,	Arps, SI	ate, Meagher & Flom IIp and affiliates ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT <u>PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL</u>
DURRER II VC	02/19/23	3.70	REVISE DHCS TERM SHEET (.7); CORRESPOND WITH CLIENT REGARDING SAME (.1); CALL WITH T. MOYRON REGARDING SAME (.4); GROUP CALL WITH SENIOR MANAGEMENT, BOARD MEMBERS AND ADVISORS REGARDING OPEN DHCS ISSUES (2.0); FOLLOW UP WITH S. MAIZEL AND T. MOYRON REGARDING SAME (.5).
DURRER II VC	02/20/23	6.00	CALL WITH T. MOYRON AND S. GOLDEN REGARDING DHCS SETTLEMENT UPDATE (.2); CALL WITH BORREGO MANAGEMENT AND BOARD REGARDING OPEN DHCS TERMS AND POTENTIAL RESPONSES (1.3); FOLLOW UP WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING SAME (.6); ANALYSIS REGARDING SAME (.2); CALL WITH K. COBURN REGARDING SAME (.1); DRAFT PRESS RELEASE FOR DHCS SETTLEMENT (1.1); CIRCULATE DRAFT TO DENTONS (.1); ANALYSIS REGARDING MONITOR ISSUES (.3); COMMUNICATE WITH T. MOYRON REGARDING SAME (.3); CALL WITH BOARD REGARDING SETTLEMENT ISSUES (1.2); CALL WITH S. MAIZEL REGARDING SAME (.1); STATUS REPORT CALL REGARDING SAME WITH T. MOYRON AND J. POMERANTZ (.5).
DURRER II VC	02/21/23	1.60	REVISE DRAFT RESOLUTION APPROVING SALE (.5); CALL WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING SAME (.1); CALL WITH T. MOYRON REGARDING SAME (.1); CORRESPOND WITH DENTONS AND CLIENT REGARDING DHCS UPDATES (.5); ANALYSIS REGARDING OPEN DHCS TERMS AND POTENTIAL RESOLUTION (.4).
DURRER II VC	02/22/23	0.70	CORRESPOND WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING PUBLICITY ISSUES (.1); ANALYSIS REGARDING CMS SETTLEMENT PROPOSAL (.2); CALL WITH T. MOYRON AND S. MAIZEL REGARDING CMS ISSUES (.3); ANALYSIS REGARDING DHCS SETTLEMENT UPDATE (.1).
DURRER II VC	02/23/23	2.10	CALL WITH T. MOYRON IN ANTICIPATION OF BOARD MEETING (.1); PARTICIPATE IN BOARD MEETING REGARDING SALE AND DHCS SETTLEMENT APPROVAL (2.0).

Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 39

of 47

#### Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom IIp and affiliates ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

DURRER I	I VC	02/27/23	0.50	ANALYSIS REGARDING PRESS RELEASE REVISION (.2); REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT 9019 MOTION (.3).
DURRER I	I VC	02/28/23	0.60	CORRESPOND WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING UPCOMING HEARING (.1); PREPARE FOR SALE HEARING (.5).
DURRER I	I VC	03/01/23	1.20	CORRESPOND WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING HEARING PREP (.1); CALL WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING SAME (.1); PREPARE FOR HEARING (.5); PARTICIPATE IN HEARING (.5).
DURRER I	I VC	03/05/23	0.50	CORRESPOND WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING DHCS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (.2); CALL WITH T. MOYRON AND S. MAIZEL REGARDING SAME (.3).
DURRER I	I VC	03/06/23	0.50	CALL WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING DHCS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT COMMENTS (.2); ANALYSIS REGARDING DRAFT SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (.3).
DURRER I	I VC	03/07/23	1.00	CALL WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING REVISED SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT WITH DHCS (.3); FURTHER REVISE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT REGARDING SAME (.7).
DURRER I	I VC	03/08/23	0.40	FURTHER REVISE DHCS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT (.3); FOLLOW UP WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING SAME (.1).
DURRER I	I VC	03/14/23	0.10	FURTHER ANALYSIS REGARDING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS WITH DHCS.
DURRER I	I VC	03/15/23	0.20	REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE FROM DHCS (.1); ANALYSIS REGARDING SAME (.1).
DURRER I	I VC	03/18/23	0.10	CORRESPOND WITH BOARD CHAIR REGARDING DHCS SETTLEMENT.
DURRER I	I VC	03/21/23	0.20	CALL WITH BOARD CHAIR REGARDING SETTLEMENT ISSUES WITH DHCS (.1); ANALYSIS REGARDING SAME (.1).
DURRER I	I VC	03/22/23	0.20	CALL WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING MONITOR ISSUES ARISING IN DHCS SETTLEMENT.

Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 40 of 47

# Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom IIp and affiliates ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

DURRER II VC	03/27/23	0.30	CORRESPOND WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING DHCS SETTLEMENT ISSUES (.1); ANALYSIS REGARDING SAME (.2).
DURRER II VC	03/28/23	0.10	CALL WITH T. MOYRON REGARDING DHCS SETTLEMENT ISSUES.
DURRER II VC	03/29/23	0.10	UPDATE REGARDING DHCS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT DRAFT.
DURRER II VC	03/30/23	0.50	PREPARE FOR BOARD MEETING (.2); PARTICIPATE IN BOARD MEETING REGARDING SETTLEMENT WITH DHCS AND RELATED MATTERS (.3).
DURRER II VC	04/03/23	0.10	FOLLOW UP REGARDING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS.
DURRER II VC	04/04/23	1.00	UPDATE CLIENT REGARDING SETTLEMENT DISCUSSIONS (.2); CALL WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING SAME (.1); CALL WITH DHCS REGARDING SAME (.7).
DURRER II VC	04/27/23	0.20	ANALYSIS REGARDING RELEASE ISSUES (.1); CORRESPOND WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING SAME (.1).
DURRER II VC	07/10/23	0.30	CALL WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING OPEN GOVERNANCE AND SETTLEMENT ISSUES, INCLUDING D&O INSURANCE.
DURRER II VC	07/12/23	0.10	ANALYSIS REGARDING D&O TAIL PURCHASE AND LIMITS.
DURRER II VC	07/13/23	0.50	CALL WITH T. MOYRON REGARDING REMAINING SETTLEMENT AND GOVERNANCE ISSUES (.2); FOLLOW UP WITH S. HANSBERGER REGARDING SAME (.3).
DURRER II VC	07/27/23	0.10	REVIEW MEMO FROM S. HANSBERGER.
DURRER II VC	07/31/23	1.20	PREPARE FOR (.3) AND PARTICIPATE IN FINAL BOARD MEETING (.9).
DURRER II VC	08/03/23	0.10	ANALYSIS REGARDING RESOLUTION OF D&O POLICY.
		32.40	
Total Partner		32.40	

Case 22-02384-LT11	Filed 03/29/24	Entered of 47	03/29/24 17:29:49 D	)oc 1352 P	g. 41
	Skadden	, Arps, S		llp and affili RNEY WORK PRO D AND CONFIDE	DUCT
ALMOGUE DN	02/16/23	0.80	ANALYSIS REGARDING (0.8).	DHCS SETTLE	MENT
		0.80			
Total Associate		0.80			
TRAVAGLINI BS	04/12/23	3.00	ASSIST ATTORNEY WITH EFILE BORREGO COMMU FOUNDATION, CASE NO - SKADDEN RETENTION	NITY HEALTH . 22-02384-1	LT11
TRAVAGLINI BS	04/14/23	2.90	ASSIST WITH FILING SKADDEN RETENTION A COMMUNICATION WITH	PPLICATION 2	
		5.90			
Total Legal Assista	int	5.90			
MATTER TOTAL		<u>39.10</u>			

Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 42

of 47

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom IIp and affiliates ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

Board of Trustees of Borrego Community Health FoundationBill Date: 03/28/24Retention / Fee Matters (SASM&F)Bill Number: 1950197

NAME	DATE	HOURS	DESCRIPTION
DURRER II VC	02/15/23	0.30	ANALYSIS REGARDING RETENTION UNDER SECTION 327(E).
DURRER II VC	02/27/23	0.20	ANALYSIS REGARDING INTERESTED PARTIES LIST.
DURRER II VC	03/25/23	0.10	ANALYSIS REGARDING RETENTION APPLICATION.
DURRER II VC	03/27/23	0.40	REVISE RETENTION APPLICATION FOR SKADDEN.
DURRER II VC	03/29/23	0.30	CALL WITH D. ORTIZ REGARDING RETENTION APPLICATION (.1); CONTINUE TO REVISE SAME (.2).
DURRER II VC	03/30/23	0.10	REVIEW DURRER DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF RETENTION.
DURRER II VC	04/05/23	0.80	REVISE RETENTION APPLICATION (.5); CORRESPOND WITH K. COBURN REGARDING ENGAGEMENT LETTER (.1); CALL WITH D. ORTIZ REGARDING APPLICATION (.2).
DURRER II VC	04/10/23	0.10	FOLLOW UP REGARDING DRAFT OF RETENTION APPLICATION.
DURRER II VC	04/12/23	0.30	CONTINUE TO REVISE RETENTION APPLICATION AND RELATED PAPERS.
DURRER II VC	04/13/23	0.10	FINALIZE DURRER DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF RETENTION APPLICATION.
DURRER II VC	04/14/23	0.10	REVIEW REVISED DURRER DECLARATION.
DURRER II VC	04/19/23	0.10	ANALYSIS REGARDING SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS WITH KEY STAKEHOLDERS.
DURRER II VC	04/26/23	0.10	CORRESPOND WITH D. ORTIZ REGARDING PENDING RETENTION APPLICATION.
DURRER II VC	05/02/23	0.10	CORRESPOND WITH UST REGARDING RETENTION APPLICATION.
DURRER II VC	05/04/23	0.30	CALL WITH US TRUSTEE REGARDING RETENTION ORDER COMMENTS.

Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 43

of 47

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom Ilp and affiliates ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL

		3.40	
Total Partner		3.40	
ALMOGUE DN	02/15/23	1.20	BEGIN PREPARING RETENTION MATERIALS (0.9); REVISE ENGAGEMENT LETTER (0.3).
ALMOGUE DN	02/16/23	0.20	REVISE ENGAGEMENT LETTER (0.2).
ALMOGUE DN	03/15/23	2.10	REVIEW, ANALYZE AND COMMENT ON DRAFT RETENTION APPLICATION, SUPPORTING DECLARATION AND EXHIBITS TO SAME.
ALMOGUE DN	03/20/23	0.30	REVISE ENGAGEMENT LETTER (0.2); COMMUNICATION WITH CLIENT REGARDING SAME (0.1).
ALMOGUE DN	04/05/23	0.80	REVIEW, ANALYZE AND ADDRESS UST COMMENTS TO SASMF RETENTION APPLICATION.
ALMOGUE DN	04/12/23	0.40	FINAL REVIEW OF AND COMMENT ON RETENTION APPLICATION, DECLARATION AND PROPOSED ORDER.
ALMOGUE DN	04/13/23	0.20	FINALIZE FINAL CLIENT COMMENTS TO SKADDEN RETENTION APPLICATION AND RELATED MATERIALS.
ALMOGUE DN	04/14/23	0.20	COMMUNICATIONS WITH DEBTOR COUNSEL REGARDING SKADDEN RETENTION APPLICATION.
ALMOGUE DN	05/01/23	0.20	REVIEW AND ANALYZE UST STATEMENT OF POSITION REGARDING SASMF RETENTION.
ALMOGUE DN	05/02/23	0.90	REVIEW AND ANALYZE LOCAL RULES REGARDING OPPOSITION/MOTION PRACTICE IN RESPONSE TO UST STATEMENT OF POSITION ON SASMF RETENTION (0.3); FOLLOW-UP RESEARCH REGARDING SAME (0.4); COMMUNICATIONS WITH UST REGARDING STATEMENT OF POSITION ON SASMF RETENTION (0.2).
ALMOGUE DN	05/04/23	0.30	COMMUNICATIONS WITH UST REGARDING ADDITIONAL PROPOSED LANGUAGE IN SASMF RETENTION ORDER (0.1); RESEARCH REGARDING SAME (0.1); MAKE REVISIONS REGARDING SAME (0.1).

Case 22-02384-LT11	Filed 03/29/24	Entered of 47	03/29/24 17:29:49	Doc 1352	Pg. 44
	Skadden	, Arps, S		m IIp and af TORNEY WORK GED AND CONF	PRODUCT
ALMOGUE DN	05/08/23	0.60	REVISE ORDER APPR RETENTION (0.2); CONO REGARDING SA	PREPARE ANI	
ALMOGUE DN	05/09/23	0.10	REVIEW TENTATIVE ( ORDER APPROVING S RETENTION, CALL T CONFIRM CANCELLAT HEARING.	KADDEN'S O CHAMBERS	ТО
ALMOGUE DN	07/05/23	0.80	BEGIN PREPARING F	EE APPLICAT	TION.
		8.30			
RITCHIE RL	02/22/23	1.60	BEGIN DRAFT OF SK RETENTION APPLICA		7(E)

- RITCHIE RL 02/23/23 2.40 CONTINUE DRAFT OF SKADDEN'S 327(E) RETENTION APPLICATION.
  - 02/24/23 4.90 CONTINUE DRAFT OF SKADDEN'S 327(E) RETENTION APPLICATION.
    - 02/27/23 1.30 BEGIN CONFLICTS CHECK FOR SKADDEN'S RETENTION APPLICATION.
      - 1.40 REVIEW CONFLICTS CHECK FOR SKADDEN RETENTION APPLICATION.
    - 03/02/23 0.60 CONTINUE TO REVIEW CONFLICTS FOR SKADDEN RETENTION APPLICATION.
    - 03/07/23 0.40 CONTINUE CONFLICTS CHECK FOR SKADDEN RETENTION APPLICATION.
    - 03/08/23 2.00 CONTINUE REVIEWING CONFLICTS CHECK FOR SKADDEN RETENTION APPLICATION.
    - 03/09/23 1.20 CONTINUE REVIEWING CONFLICTS CHECK FOR SKADDEN RETENTION APPLICATION.
    - 03/12/23 5.20 CONTINUE REVIEWING CONFLICTS CHECK FOR SKADDEN'S RETENTION APPLICATION.
- RITCHIE RL 03/13/23 9.60 CONTINUE REVIEWING CONFLICTS IN CONJUNCTION WITH SKADDEN'S RETENTION APPLICATION.

RITCHIE RL

03/01/23

Case 22-02384-LT11	Filed 03/29/24	Entered of 47	03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 45
	Skadden,	, Arps, S	late, Meagher & Flom IIp and affiliates ATTORNEY WORK PRODUCT <u>PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL</u>
RITCHIE RL	03/14/23	8.80	CONTINUE REVIEWING CONFLICTS (7.0); REVIEW AND REVISE RETENTION APPLICATION AND RELATED MATERIALS (1.8).
RITCHIE RL	03/15/23	8.70	CONTINUE REVIEWING AND REVISING SKADDEN'S RETENTION APPLICATION MATERIALS.
RITCHIE RL	03/16/23	1.10	CONTINUE WORKING ON SKADDEN'S RETENTION APPLICATION.
RITCHIE RL	03/28/23	1.50	TIME SPENT REVISING SKADDEN'S RETENTION APPLICATION.
RITCHIE RL	03/30/23	2.30	CONTINUE TO REVIEW AND REVISE SKADDEN'S RETENTION APPLICATION MATERIALS.
		53.00	
Total Associate		61.30	
BATES AT	04/13/23	2.70	REVIEW AND COMMENT ON RETENTION APPLICATION (1.1); DRAFT NOTICE OF HEARING (1.6).
BATES AT	04/14/23	1.50	CORRESPOND WITH TEAM REGARDING RETENTION APPLICATION (0.7); REVISIONS TO NOTICE OF HEARING (0.8).
BATES AT	04/17/23	2.30	REVISIONS TO RETENTION APPLICATION AND NOTICE (1.1); FILE AND COORDINATE SERVICE OF SAME (1.2).
BATES AT	05/08/23	1.60	DRAFT CERTIFICATE OF NO OBJECTION (0.5); FILE AND COORDINATE SERVICE OF SAME (0.7); SUBMIT PROPOSED RETENTION ORDER TO COURT (0.4).
BATES AT	05/09/23	0.90	ANALYSIS REGARDING RETENTION HEARING AND SUBMISSION OF ORDER, CANCELLING HEARING ON SAME.
		9.00	
Total Legal Assista	nt	9.00	
MATTER TOTAL		<u>73.70</u>	
CLIENT TOTAL		<u>112.80</u>	

# Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 46 of 47

### Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom IIp and affiliates

Board of Trustees of Borrego Community Health FoundationBill Date: 03/28/24General Corporate AdviceBill Number: 1950197

Disbursement	Date	Vendor/Employee/Dept.	Amount
Outside Research	07/05/23	Pacer Service Center	39.10
Outside Research	07/05/23	Pacer Service Center	1.70
		TOTAL OUTSIDE RESEARCH	\$40.80
		TOTAL MATTER	\$40.80

# Case 22-02384-LT11 Filed 03/29/24 Entered 03/29/24 17:29:49 Doc 1352 Pg. 47 of 47

#### Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom IIp and affiliates

Board of Trustees of Borrego	Community Health	Foundation Bill	Date: 03/28/24
Retention / Fee Matters (SA	SM&F)	Bill	Number: 1950197

Disbursement	Date	Vendor/Employee/Dept.	Amount
Outside Research	07/05/23	Pacer Service Center	4.10
		TOTAL OUTSIDE RESEARCH	\$4.10
		TOTAL MATTER	\$4.10
		TOTAL CLIENT	\$44.90