SEALINK FUNDING LIMITED,
Plaintiff,
v.
DEUTSCHE BANK AG; DEUTSCHE BANK SECURITIES INC.; DB STRUCTURED PRODUCTS, INC.; ACE SECURITIES CORP.; and DEUTSCHE ALT-A SECURITIES INC.,

Defendants.

## REPLY DECLARATION OF DAVID L. WALES IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO REMAND TO NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT

David L. Wales, an attorney admitted to practice before this Court and a partner at the law firm of Bernstein Litowitz Berger \& Grossmann LLP, counsel for plaintiff Sealink Funding Limited, submits this declaration in further support of plaintiff's motion to remand this action to New York state supreme court, and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 declares as follows:

1. Attached as Exhibit A is a chart listing the 19 offerings at issue in the abovecaptioned action, and the amount of loans originated by a ResCap Debtor as a percentage of all of the loans contained within those offerings.
2. Attached as Exhibit B are true and correct copies of the relevant pages from the Prospectus Supplements for each of the three offerings at issue in the above-captioned action for which a ResCap Debtor served as an originator, demonstrating the identity of that originator, and the percentage of each originator's loans contained in each of these three offerings.
3. Attached as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of the July 10, 2012 hearing transcript in In re Residential Capital, LLC, Adv. Proc. No. 12-01671-mg (Bankr. S.D.N.Y.).
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Executed on February 13, 2013
New York, New York
/s/ David L. Wales
David L. Wales
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Ex. A

Sealink Funding Ltd. v. Deutsche Bank AG, Adv. Proc. No. 12-ap-2051 (MG)

## Value of ResCap Loans in Offerings at Issue

| \# | Offering | ResCap Originator | Pct. From ResCap | Aggregate Offering Amount | Value of Loans from ResCap |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | ACE 2007-ASAP1 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$796,461,000 | \$0 |
| 2 | ACE 2007-ASAP2 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$555,678,000 | \$0 |
| 3 | ACE 2007-WM1 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$834,163,000 | \$0 |
| 4 | DBALT 2006-AR4 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$1,112,812,000 | \$0 |
| 5 | DBALT 2006-AR6 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$1,757,928,000 | \$0 |
| 6 | DBALT 2007-1 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$2,007,048,000 | \$0 |
| 7 | DBALT 2007-AR1 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$773,573,000 | \$0 |
| 8 | DBALT 2007-AR2 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$897,805,000 | \$0 |
| 9 | DBALT 2007-BAR1 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$765,300,000 | \$0 |
| 10 | DBALT 2007-OA2 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$442,811,000 | \$0 |
| 11 | DBALT 2007-OA4 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$2,124,065,000 | \$0 |
| 12 | DMSI 2006-PR1 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$1,837,833,000 | \$0 |
| 13 | INDX 2006-AR27 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$957,606,100 | \$0 |
| 14 | MHL 2007-1 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$1,232,421,000 | \$0 |
| 15 | NHEL 2007-1 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$1,883,161,000 | \$0 |
| 16 | PHHAM 2007-2 | n/a | 0.00\% | \$595,017,304 | \$0 |
| 17 | DBALT 2007-OA1 | Residential Funding Corp | 60.86\% | \$434,575,000 | \$264,482,345 |
| 18 | RALI 2007-QO2 | HomeComings | 30.70\% | \$527,132,000 | \$161,829,524 |
| 19 | RAMP 2007-RS1 | GMAC HomeComings | $\begin{gathered} \hline 11.10 \% \\ 0.10 \% \end{gathered}$ | \$478,271,000 | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 53,088,081 \\ \$ 478,271 \end{array}$ |
| ResCap loans as aPercentage of all 19 Offerings |  |  | 2.4\% | \$20,013,660,404 | \$479,878,221 |

Ex. B
(to prospectus dated December 6, 2006)
\$527,132,000
RALI Series 2007-QO2 Trust Issuing Entity

Residential Accredit Loans, Inc. Depositor

Residential Funding Company, LLC
Master Servicer and Sponsor
Mortgage Asset-Backed Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-QO2
The trust will hold a pool of one- to four-family residential, payment-option, adjustable-rate first lien mortgage loans with a negative amortization feature.

Offered Certificates
The trust will issue these classes of certificates that are offered under this prospectus supplement:

- 3 classes of senior certificates designated Class A-1, Class A-2 and Class A-3 Certificates; and
- $\quad 9$ classes of subordinated certificates designated Class M-1, Class M-2, Class M-3, Class M-4, Class M-5, Class M-6, Class M-7, Class M-8 and Class M-9 Certificates.
all as more fully described in the table on page $S-6$ of this prospectus supplement.

Credit Enhancement
Credit enhancement for the offered certificates consists of:

- excess cash flow;
- overcollateralization;
- a swap agreement;
- a yield maintenance agreement, and
- subordination provided to the Class A Certificates by the Class M Certificates and the Class B Certificates, and subordination provided to the Class M Certificates by the Class B Certificates and each class of Class M Certificates with a lower payment priority.

Distributions on the certificates will be on the 25 th of each month or, if the 25th is not a business day, on the next business day, beginning March 26, 2007.

You should consider carefully the risk factors beginning on page $S-18$ in this prospectus supplement.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of the offered certificates or determined that this prospectus supplement or the prospectus is accurate or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The Attorney General of the State of New York has not passed on or endorsed the merits of this offering. Any representation to the contrary is unlawful.

## SUMMARY

The following summary provides a brief description of material aspects of this offering, and does not contain all of the information that you should consider in making your investment decision. To understand all of the terms of the offered certificates, you should read carefully this entire document and the prospectus.


# Residential Asset Mortgage Products, Inc. 

## Depositor

RAMP Series 2007-RS1 Trust Issuing Entity

Residential Funding Company, LLC
Master Servicer and Sponsor
Mortgage Asset-Backed Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-RS1

## Offered Certificates

The trust will consist primarily of a pool of one- to four-family fixed-rate and adjustable-rate first lien mortgage loans. The trust will issue these classes of certificates that are offered under this prospectus supplement:

- 5 classes of senior certificates, designated as Class A-1, Class A-2, Class A-3, Class A-4 and Class A-5 Certificates, and
- 10 classes of subordinated certificates, designated as Class M-1, Class M-2, Class M-3, Class M-4, Class M-5, Class M-6, Class M-7, Class M-8, Class M-9 and Class M-10 Certificates,
all as more fully described in the table on page S-5 of this prospectus supplement.


## Credit Enhancement

Credit enhancement for the offered certificates consists of:

- excess cash flow from the mortgage loans and overcollateralization; and
- subordination provided to the Class A Certificates by the Class M Certificates, and subordination provided to the Class M Certificates by each class of Class M Certificates with a lower payment priority.

The trust will also enter into two swap agreements and a yield maintenance agreement for the Class A Certificates and Class M Certificates.

Distributions on the certificates will be on the 25 th day of each month or, if the 25 th is not a business day, on the next business day, beginning March 26, 2007.

You should consider carefully the risk factors beginning on page $\mathbf{S}-\mathbf{1 4}$ in this prospectus supplement.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of the offered certificates or determined that this prospectus supplement or the accompanying prospectus is accurate or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The Attorney General of the State of New York has not passed on or endorsed the merits of this offering. Any representation to the contrary is unlawful.

The certificates represent interests only in the trust, as the issuing entity, and do not represent interests in or obligations of Residential Asset Mortgage Products, Inc., as the depositor, Residential Funding Company, LLC, as the sponsor, or any of their affiliates.
Deutsche Bank Securities Inc., as underwriter, will purchase all of the offered certificates from the depositor in the amounts described in "Method of Distribution" on page S-121 of this prospectus supplement. The certificates are offered by the issuing entity through the underwriter to prospective purchasers from time to time in negotiated transactions at varying prices to be determined at the time of sale. The net proceeds to the depositor from the sale of these underwritten certificates will be approximately $99.91 \%$ of the aggregate certificate principal balance of these underwritten certificates before deducting expenses.

## Summary

The following summary provides a brief description of material aspects of this offering and does not contain all of the information that you should consider in making your investment decision. To understand the terms of the offered certificates, you should read carefully this entire document and the accompanying prospectus.

| Issuing entity .......................................... | RAMP Series 2007-RS1 Trust. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Title of the offered certificates.................... | Mortgage Asset-Backed Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2007-RS1. |
| Depositor............................................... | Residential Asset Mortgage Products, Inc., an affiliate of Residential Funding Company, LLC, or Residential Funding. |
| Master servicer and sponsor...................... | Residential Funding. |
| Subservicers ........................................... | Homecomings Financial, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Residential Funding, will subservice approximately $27.9 \%$ of the mortgage loans and GMAC Mortgage, LLC, an affiliate of Residential Funding, will subservice approximately $17.4 \%$ of the mortgage loans. SunTrust Mortgage, Inc., which is not an affiliate of Residential Funding, will subservice approximately $42.2 \%$ of the mortgage loans. |
| Trustee and supplemental interest trust trustee $\qquad$ | LaSalle Bank National Association. |
| Originators ............................................ | Approximately $42.2 \%$ of the mortgage loans were originated by SunTrust Mortgage, Inc., which is not an affiliate of Residential Funding. In addition, approximately $11.1 \%$ and $0.1 \%$ of the mortgage loans were originated by GMAC Mortgage, LLC and Homecomings Financial, LLC, respectively, which are affiliates of Residential Funding. |
| Yield Maintenance Agreement Provider....... | The Bank of New York. |
| Swap Counterparty.................................. | Deutsche Bank AG, New York Branch. |
| Credit Risk Manager ................................ | Clayton Fixed Income Services Inc., a Colorado corporation. |
| Mortgage pool........................................ | Information with respect to 1,756 fixed-rate and adjustable-rate mortgage loans with an aggregate principal balance of approximately $\$ 482,858,898$ as of the cut-off date, secured by first liens on fee simple interests in one- to four-family residential properties or interests in shares issued by cooperative apartment |

Prospectus Supplement dated February 28, 2007 (to Prospectus dated May 19, 2006)

## \$434,575,000 (Approximate) Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates

## Deutsche Alt-A Securities Mortgage Loan Trust, Series 2007-OA1 <br> Issuing Entity

DB Structured Products, Inc.
Sponsor
Deutsche Alt-A Securities, Inc.
Depositor
Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
Securities Administrator and Master Servicer
The issuing entity will hold one pool of conventional, adjustable-rate, negative amortization first lien residential mortgage loans and will issue the following classes of certificates that are offered under this prospectus supplement:

| Class | Original <br> Principal <br> Balance(1) | Pass-Through Rate | Class | Original <br> Principal <br> Balance(1) | Pass-Through Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A-1 | \$322,704,000 | One-Month LIBOR plus 0.15\%(2) | M-4 | \$2,416,000 | One-Month LIBOR plus 0.53\%(2) |
| A-2 | \$40,338,000 | One-Month LIBOR plus 0.18\%(2) | M-5 | \$2,197,000 | One-Month LIBOR plus 0.59\%(2) |
| A-3 | \$40,338,000 | One-Month LIBOR plus 0.22\%(2) | M-6 | \$2,197,000 | One-Month LIBOR plus 0.65\%(2) |
| M-1 | \$7,470,000 | One-Month LIBOR plus 0.29\%(2) | M-7 | \$2,636,000 | One-Month LIBOR plus 1.15\%(2) |
| M-2 | \$7,030,000 | One-Month LIBOR plus 0.34\%(2) | M-8 | \$2,636,000 | One-Month LIBOR plus 2.00\%(2) |
| M-3 | \$2,416,000 | One-Month LIBOR plus 0.38\%(2) | M-9 | \$2,197,000 | One-Month LIBOR plus 2.00\%(2) |

See next page for footnotes.
Credit enhancement for all of these certificates will be provided by excess interest, overcollateralization and subordination. The certificates will also have the benefit of an interest rate swap agreement and an interest rate cap agreement.

You should consider carefully the risk factors beginning on page S-14 in this prospectus supplement.
The certificates will represent an interest in the issuing entity only and will not represent obligations of the depositor, the sponsor or any of their affiliates. No governmental agency or instrumentality or any other person will insure the certificates or the collateral securing the certificates.

You should consult with your own advisors to determine if the offered certificates are appropriate investments for you and to determine the applicable legal, tax, regulatory and accounting treatment of the offered certificates.

The certificates offered by this prospectus supplement will be purchased by Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. from the depositor, and are being offered by Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. from time to time for sale to the public in negotiated transactions or otherwise at varying prices to be determined at the time of sale. From the sale of the offered certificates, the depositor will receive approximately $100 \%$ of their initial certificate principal balance, less expenses.

Neither the SEC nor any state securities commission has approved the offered certificates or determined that this prospectus supplement or the prospectus is accurate or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The Attorney General of the State of New York has not passed on or endorsed the merits of this offering. Any representation to the contrary is unlawful.

## Deutsche Bank Securities

Underwriter

## SUMMARY

The following summary is a very broad overview of the certificates offered by this prospectus supplement and the accompanying prospectus and does not contain all of the information that you should consider in making your investment decision. To understand the terms of the offered certificates, carefully read this entire prospectus supplement and the entire accompanying prospectus. Capitalized terms used in this summary may be defined elsewhere in this prospectus supplement.

## Transaction Parties

## Issuing Entity

## Sponsor

Depositor

## Originators

## Master Servicer

## Servicers

## Trustee

## Securities Administrator

## Custodian

## Credit Risk Manager

## Cap Provider

## Swap Provider

Deutsche Alt-A Securities Mortgage Loan Trust, Series 2007-OA1, a New York common law trust. The issuing entity will be established pursuant to a pooling and servicing agreement dated as of the cut-off date among Deutsche Alt-A Securities, Inc., as depositor, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as master servicer and as securities administrator, and HSBC Bank USA, National Association, as trustee. The issuing entity is also sometimes referred to herein as the "trust" or the "trust fund."

DB Structured Products, Inc., a Delaware corporation.
Deutsche Alt-A Securities, Inc., a Delaware corporation. See "The Depositor" in the prospectus.

Residential Funding Company, LLC and Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. with respect to approximately $60.86 \%$ and approximately $39.14 \%$, respectively, of the mortgage loans by aggregate principal balance as of the cut-off date. See "Description of the Mortgage Pool-The Originators" in this prospectus supplement.

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., a national banking association. See "The Securities Administrator, the Master Servicer and the Custodian" in this prospectus supplement.

Residential Funding Company, LLC and Countrywide Home Loans Servicing LP with respect to approximately $60.86 \%$ and approximately $39.14 \%$, respectively, of the mortgage loans by aggregate principal balance as of the cutoff date. See "Description of the Mortgage Pool-The Servicers" in this prospectus supplement.

HSBC Bank USA, National Association, a national banking association. See "The Trustee" in this prospectus supplement.

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. See "The Securities Administrator, the Master Servicer and the Custodian" in this prospectus supplement.

Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. See "The Securities Administrator, the Master Servicer and the Custodian" in this prospectus supplement.

Clayton Fixed Income Services Inc. See "The Credit Risk Manager" in this prospectus supplement.

Swiss Re Financial Products Corporation. See "Description of the Certificates-The Cap Provider" in this prospectus supplement.

Deutsche Bank AG New York Branch, a banking institution and a stock corporation incorporated under the laws of Germany. See "Description of the Certificates-The Swap Provider" in this prospectus supplement.

## Cut-Off Date

## Ex. C

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
Lead Case No. 12-12020-mg Adv. Proc. No. 12-01671-mg

In the Matters of:
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,
Debtors.

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,
Plaintiffs,

- against -

ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY, et al.,
Defendants.

United States Bankruptcy Court
One Bowling Green
New York, New York

July 10, 2012
10:07 AM

BEFORE:
HON. MARTIN GLENN
U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

12-12020-mg Residential Capital, LLC Ch. 11
Adversary proceeding: 12-01671-mg Residential Capital, LLC et al v. Allstate Insurance Company et al.

Doc\# 4,13 Evidentiary Hearing RE: Motion for Preliminary Injunction Notice of Motion and Debtors Motion to Extend Automatic Stay or, in the Alternative, for Injunctive Relief Enjoining Prosecution of Certain Pending Litigation Against Debtors Directors and Officers and Non-Debtor Corporate Affiliates.

Adversary proceeding: 12-01671-mg Residential Capital, LLC et al v. Allstate Insurance Company et al

Pre-trial Conference.

12-12020-mg Residential Capital, LLC Ch. 11
(CC: Doc no. 245) Motion for Relief from Stay Authorizing Debtor (s) To Honor Certain Prepetition Obligations To Movants filed by Mark and Lori Burris.
(Doc no. 456) Motion for Relief from Stay filed by Yvonne D. Lewis, Sidney T. Lewis.
eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
(Doc no. 263) Motion for Relief from Stay filed by Taggart Kenneth.
(CC: Doc\# 320) Status Conference RE: Debtors Motion Pursuant to Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9019 for Approval of the RMBS Settlement Agreements .
(CC: Doc\# 319) Status Conference RE: Debtors Motion for Entry of an Order under Bankruptcy Code Section 365 and Bankruptcy Rule 6006 Authorizing the Debtors to Assume Plan Support Agreements with Talcott Franklin Consenting Claimants.
(CC: Doc\# 318) Status Conference RE: Debtors Motion for Entry of an Order under Bankruptcy Code Section 365 and Bankruptcy Rule 6006 Authorizing the Debtors to Assume Plan Support Agreements with Steering Committee Consenting Claimants.

Doc\# 224 Maine Action Plaintiffs Motion for Limited Relief From the Automatic Stay To Permit the Maine Supreme Court to Issue a Decision in the Case Currently Pending Between the Maine Action Plaintiffs and the Debtor.

RESOLVED.
eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

Doc\# 222 Motion for Limited Relief From The Automatic Stay to Permit Borrowers to Assert Claims, Counterclaims and Defenses in Individual Bankruptcies.

RESOLVED.
(Doc\# 90, 47) Final Hearing RE: Motion Authorizing The Debtors To Continue To Perform Under The Ally Bank Servicing Agreements In The Ordinary Course Of Business.
(CC: Doc\# 181, 183) Hearing to consider the Debtors Motion for Supplemental Order (1) Authorizing the Debtors to Continue implementing Loss Mitigation Programs; (II) Approving Procedures for Compromise and Settlement of Certain Claims, Litigations and Causes of Action; (III) Granting Limited Stay Relief to Permit Foreclosure and Eviction Proceedings, Borrower Bankruptcy Cases, and Title Disputes to Proceed; and (IV) Authorizing and Directing the Debtors to Pay Securitization Trustee Fees and Expenses.

Transcribed by: Penina Wolicki, Sharona Shapiro eScribers, LLC

700 West 192nd Street, Suite \#607
New York, NY 10040
(973) 406-2250
operations@escribers.net
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APPEARANCES: MORRISON \& FOERSTER LLP

Attorneys for Debtors
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10104

BY: ANTHONY PRINCI, ESQ.
JOEL C. HAIMS, ESQ.
GARY S. LEE, ESQ.
NORMAN S. ROSENBAUM, ESQ.
JAMIE A. LEVITT
JONATHAN C. ROTHBERG, ESQ.

KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS \& FRANKEL LLP
Attorneys for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors
1177 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

BY: KENNETH H. ECKSTEIN, ESQ.
DOUGLAS MANNAL, ESQ.
PHILIP BENTLEY, ESQ.
RACHAEL L. RINGER, ESQ.
P. BRADLEY O'NEILL, ESQ.

ELISE SCHERR FREJKA, ESQ.

WHITE \& CASE LLP
Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Junior Secured Noteholders
1155 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

BY: HARRISON DENMAN, ESQ.
J. CHRISTOPHER SHORE, ESQ.

KIRKLAND \& ELLIS LLP
Attorneys for Ally Financial \& Ally Bank
655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005

BY: JUDSON D. BROWN, ESQ.

KIRKLAND \& ELLIS LLP
Attorneys for Ally Financial \& Ally Bank
601 Lexington Avenue
New York, NY 10022

BY: RAY C. SCHROCK, ESQ.
STEPHEN E. HESSLER, ESQ.
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1

ALSTON \& BIRD LLP

Attorneys for Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.
One Atlantic Center
1201 West Peachtree Street

Atlanta, GA 30309

BY: JOHN C. WEITNAUER, ESQ.

LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC
Attorneys for Cambridge Place et al.
1251 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10020

BY: MICHAEL S. ETKIN, ESQ.

DECHERT LLP

Attorneys for Bank of New York Mellon
1095 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10036

BY: GLENN E. SIEGEL, ESQ.
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```
SEWARD \& KISSEL LLP
```

Attorneys for U.S. Bank National Association as Securitization Trustee

One Battery Park Plaza
New York, NY 10004

BY: ARLENE R. ALVES, ESQ.
MARK D. KOTWICK, ESQ.

MORGAN, LEWIS \& BOCKIUS LLP
Attorneys for Deutsche Bank, et al.
101 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10178

BY: JAMES L. GARRITY, JR., ESQ.

MORGAN, LEWIS \& BOCKIUS LLP

Attorneys for Deutsche Bank, et al.
One Market, Spear Street Tower
San Francisco, CA 94105

BY: JOHN M. ROSENTHAL, ESQ.
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MUNGER, TOLLES \& OLSON LLP
Attorneys for Berkshire Hathaway Inc.
355 South Grand Avenue

35th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90071

BY: THOMAS B. WALPER, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)

GRAIS \& ELLSWORTH, LLP
Attorneys for FDIC

1211 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10036

BY: KATHRYN E. MATTHEWS, ESQ.

WOLLMUTH MAHER \& DEUTSCH LLP

Attorneys for Western \& Southern
500 Fifth Avenue
New York, NY 10110

BY: PAUL R. DEFILIPPO, ESQ.
STEVEN S. FITZGERALD, ESQ.
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CADWALADER, WICKERSHAM \& TAFT LLP
Attorneys for MBIA Insurance Co.
700 Sixth Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001

BY: MARK C. ELLENBERG, ESQ.

STORCH AMINI \& MUNVES P.C.

Attorneys for NACBA
140 East 45th Street
New York, NY 10017

BY: THOMAS M. MONAHAN, ESQ.

KILPATRICK TOWNSEND \& STOCKTON LIP

Attorneys for Nordbank
607 14th Street, N.W.
Suite 900

Washington, DC 20005

BY: MARK D. TAYLOR, ESQ. (TELEPHONICALLY)
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RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: Please be seated. Good morning.
MR. LEE: Good morning, Your Honor. Gary Lee from Morrison \& Foerster, proposed counsel to the debtors. Your Honor, we filed an amended agenda late last night. I'm not sure if --

THE COURT: I have it.
MR. LEE: -- the Court has a copy. Excellent.
Your Honor, before I turn the podium over to Mr .
Princi for the first matter, which is the status conference in the 9019 motion with the RMBS holders, I just wanted to mention, at Mr. Eckstein's request, one adjourned matter, which is the first item on the agenda, the subservicing motion.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LEE: Your Honor, this is obviously a very important motion, critical, and underpins a lot of what the debtors are trying to do in this case. There are discussions ongoing between ResCap, the committee, and Ally regarding this motion. There's some informal discovery going on. And we hope, Your Honor, to report on Friday -- at Friday's hearing on what progress has been made in that regard.

But I think that Mr. Eckstein just wanted to reflect briefly to Your Honor on that matter before we moved ahead, if that's okay.

THE COURT: Mr. Eckstein?
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RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, good morning. Kenneth
Eckstein, proposed counsel for the official creditors'
committee. I appreciate Mr . Lee giving me a brief opportunity with respect to this motion.

Your Honor, the motion that is being referred to was a motion that was filed on the first day of the case, and there was an interim order that was entered. That actually permitted significant payments to be made during the course of the case with respect to that motion. The motion has been carried now for several weeks, as Your Honor probably has observed, and with the agreement of the debtor and Ally, has been continued to July 24th.

We have, to date, avoided filing a pleading with respect to the motion, and we -- the committee felt that it was appropriate to continue to do due diligence with respect to the facts which had been ongoing quite extensively over the last several weeks. And our response date currently is July 17th.

I did feel it was important, Your Honor, to bring this motion to the Court's attention. It does have significant implications, as Mr. Lee indicated, to the case. There is a condition in the Ally DIP that requires the entry of an order by July 31, today, approving the Ally subservicing agreement. When the DIP order was submitted, we had noted in, I believe, a cover letter, that there was a condition in the DIP that was tied to this motion. And this motion was adjourned; it was not eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
heard on the 18th.
And the motion itself involves significant transactions between the debtor and its parent that, as Mr. Lee indicated, we think do go very much to the heart of both the debtors' business operations and to the pre-petition transactions that have existed between the debtor and its parent.

So at this point in time, we are going to continue discussions. We believe that if this matter cannot be consensually resolved, we do expect that the committee will file a pleading on the 17 th . And we would expect the need for an evidentiary hearing to deal with this motion. I don't know, Your Honor, whether or not you're going to have time on the 24th to do that, or if a separate hearing will need to be scheduled. And I'm sure Your Honor will have to consult your calendar. But I did want to make sure that Your Honor was aware that this would be a matter that would involve witnesses and documents, if we are not able to reach a consensual resolution to this significant business motion.

At this point, it may be that Your Honor wants to reflect. I think that it would make sense to maybe calendar this for the 13th. I know we do have a hearing on Friday morning. And it may be that we can have a further status conference on this motion on the 13th.

THE COURT: Let's do that. What I'd like to do is --

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
because I need to get a better understanding about what the issues are that may result in an evidentiary hearing, and if necessary or appropriate $I$ would enter a procedures order for the hearing; whether the evidentiary hearing's on the 24th, which is a ResCap omnibus day, but -- I haven't gone through each of the matters, but there's a fairly long list already. So I don't know how many witnesses are anticipated. But let's take it up --

MR. ECKSTEIN: I think that on the 13 th , if need to, we can outline some of the issues and we can get a better sense of what will be necessary.

THE COURT: That's fine. Thank you, Mr. Eckstein.
MR. ECKSTEIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. PRINCI: Good morning, Your Honor. Anthony Princi of Morrison \& Foerster on behalf of the debtors, and with respect to the first item on the agenda, Your Honor, which is an update on the 9019 motion.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. PRINCI: So I'm happy to report, Your Honor, that progress is being made. Let me break down progress into two parts. First, and perhaps most importantly, as Your Honor may recall from the last time we were addressing this motion, I was informing the Court that we had had an increase in the number of institutional investors who are signing up to the settlement agreement and correspondingly providing the trustees with a eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net direction to adopt the terms of the settlement, and in particular, the 8.7 billion dollar allowed claim.

And as Your Honor may recall, that number was originally 290 of the trusts which were affected, in the sense that you have twenty-five percent or more of at least one tranche of those trusts providing the direction to the trustees. Well, that number has continued to increase since we were last here. We are now up to 337 of the 392 trusts. And at the end of the day, Judge, while it always will remain the trustees' claim, it will therefore remain their determination as to whether they will or will not accept this. As everybody in this courtroom knows, it is those people who have the skin in the game. So that is meaningful progress.

The other progress, Judge, is with respect to the calendaring of the 9019 --

THE COURT: Just let me --
MR. PRINCI: Please.
THE COURT: So when you say 337 of the 392 trusts, one or more classes of -- twenty-five percent or more of holders of one or more classes of RMBS securities within the trusts have agreed to provide a direction to the trustees?

MR. PRINCI: Have signed the settlement agreement and have provided that direction.

THE COURT: And within any given trust, how many classes of securities -- or it probably varies.

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
MR. PRINCI: It does vary, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PRINCI: I will say this. And while this doesn't end the inquiry that Your Honor or all of us might have, it is important. We are not aware of anyone, Judge, and I don't believe anybody in this courtroom is aware of anyone, who holds twenty-five percent in the other tranches, and otherwise has directed the trustees. In fact, I'm not aware of anybody -THE COURT: Say that again. I didn't understand -MR. PRINCI: -- I'm not -- okay.

THE COURT: -- where you were.
MR. PRINCI: The debtors are not aware, Your Honor -and I don't believe anybody else is in the courtroom, including the trustees -- of any single holder of twenty-five percent or more of any other tranche. And I believe I can inform Your Honor that no other party other than the parties that have signed the settlement agreement has provided any direction to the trustees. Does that preclude that from happening tomorrow? THE COURT: So, in other words -MR. PRINCI: No.

THE COURT: -- in any given trust, twenty-five percent or more of the holders have not instructed the trustee not to approve the settlement.

MR. PRINCI: Correct. Correct. All right. So that's one piece of the progress.

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
The other, Your Honor, is that we, the debtors, have been working with both the creditors' committee and the trustees on the both need for some sort of a process with respect to information exchange, formal and informal, and also the calendaring of the various matters for the 9019 motion. We've made progress on both fronts. For example, with the committee, we are talking about those very points: what would a discovery schedule deadline look like, both for fact and for experts; the objection deadline; the hearing date; the opt-in date for the trustees.

Having similar discussions with the trustees. Also, with the trustees, we're talking to them about matters that are particular to them. So there's issues relating to information exchanges with respect to whether there are any cure notices that are going to need to be filed, and the like.

So we are making progress. We don't have a definitive agreement with either the creditors' committee, on that front, or the trustees.

THE COURT: How many trustees are there?
MR. PRINCI: Four, Your Honor. But what we have decided to do, Judge, because we are making progress -- and I should mention two other things, I mean, in terms of progress. One of the things that we've expressed to people, Judge, and it's not a matter for debate today, just I'd point out to the Court though, that the one thing the debtors are very mindful eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. of is the November 5 th hearing for the sale. That to us, is sacrosanct. We do not want to allow -- we do not want to take the risk that we could potentially lose the two billion dollar proceeds from the Nationstar sale or from anybody else who outbids Nationstar. So we, the debtor, at least, are trying to work backwards from that. We recognize that people -- the committee and the trustees have their individual issues. And we're trying to work as against that timeline to see if we can make this happen and get back to you with a schedule.

On that front, Judge, what we're going to do is see if we can back to you, with the Court's permission, on the hearing on the 24th. What we, the debtors, will do, Your Honor, is hopefully with the consent of the committee and perhaps also the consent of the trustees, we would like to bring to you a complete schedule for the 9019 motion that will include very specific dates for discovery, very specific dates for the objection deadlines and the like, and the hearing date as well, subject to the Court's calendar, of course.

But, Your Honor, in the absence of an agreement with these parties, on the 24 th the debtor is going to present to the Court a schedule. And if we, so-called, go it alone, at that point, Judge, it will simply be, Judge, driven by our desire to balance both their specific needs, the time they would like to do everything they would like to do, and what we believe to be the needs of this case, to make sure that we eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
don't risk either a meltdown, or in the shorter term, which would really be a meltdown, not getting that sale done on the 5th.

So one way or the other, Judge, on the $24 t h$, we're going to present to you --

THE COURT: Let me ask you. Are the trustees' counsel present in the courtroom?

MR. PRINCI: I would ima -- I know I've seen one.
THE COURT: Are all four --
MR. PRINCI: I don't know if they are all present.
THE COURT: Oh, I know, Mr. Siegel, you -- how many
trustees do you represent, Mr. Siegel?
MR. SIEGEL: I represent one of the trustees --
THE COURT: One. Well, you spoke for most of them last time.

MR. SIEGEL: We have been working together, the four

## trustees --

THE COURT: You have to identify yourself.
MR. SIEGEL: I'm sorry. Glenn Siegel on behalf of Bank of New York Mellon, one of the RMBS trustees. There are four RMBS trustees. They are Bank of New York Mellon, Wells Fargo, U.S. Bank, and Deutsche Bank. We've been working together. You should assume I'm speaking for all of the trustees, unless they contradict me. But we've been working together. Well, I can't read their minds, right? But we have eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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been working together.
Your Honor, do you have a specific question?
THE COURT: Well, here's what I think. Mr. Princi
said that hopefully he will present an agreed schedule with the trustees on July 24th. And so that we don't have any surprises on the 24th, I'm going to set a deadline of 5 o'clock Friday, July 20th for either to file a proposed agreed schedule, and if there is no agreement, for the respective parties to file their proposed schedules. So that when we have the hearing on the 24th, I will impose a schedule. And if there's an agreed one, I'll certainly be heavily influenced by it, subject to the Court's calendar.

But so that we don't spin our wheels on the 24 th and I start hearing, no, we haven't agreed to this; we haven't agreed to that, let's get all of the proposed schedules filed by Friday the 20th at 5 o'clock. And hopefully it will be a single proposed schedule. And when I take the bench on the 24th, I'll either agree to it as proposed or make adjustments as necessary to the Court's calendar and deal with it that way.

MR. SIEGEL: Your Honor, just one clarification.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. SIEGEL: There are two different issues here, at
least in my view. There is the schedule related to the sale and there is the schedule related to the 9019. I heard Mr . Princi, that he believes they ought to be linked. I'm not sure eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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that the trustees are there on that point. When you say --
THE COURT: Just put that issue -- just give me your proposed schedule. Whether it's expressly linked or otherwise, I'm going to set a schedule. And --

MR. SIEGEL: Understood. Just --
THE COURT: -- the debtor obviously wants to schedule it with the November deadline hearing in mind. And you may or may not agree. But let's see where we are when I see you on the 20th, whether there is an agreed proposed schedule or not. Okay?

MR. SIEGEL: Okay. Your Honor, as long as I'm up here, I have one point of clarification that I need to make. The debtor and others like to use the word "direct", when they refer to the support that's been expressed by certificate holders. We do not dispute that there is a large group of cert -- large group -- there is a significant group of certificate holders who have expressed their support for the agreement.

To date, we have not received a direction or an instruction within the meaning of the applicable documents. And as Mr. Princi did say, that means we have to act on our own, and all of the issues that we discussed at the last hearing remain present. If that changes, we will advise you. But of course, it's encouraging that there is a larger group of certificate holders who've expressed their support. But the eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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THE COURT: That's fine.
MR. SIEGEL: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Siegel. Mr. Princi?
MR. PRINCI: Your Honor, the other -- only other
couple of points by way of progress. We also have -- we can inform everybody today on the record that we now have a secured electronic data room. All those for whom we have been interfacing have signed confidentiality agreements --

THE COURT: Hang on a second.
Whoever is on the phone, either put your phones on mute, because papers are rattling or something is rattling against the phone. So if you don't want to get cut off from the call, please put your phone on mute.

Go ahead, Mr. Princi.
MR. PRINCI: Thank you, Judge. So we have signed -excuse me -- a number of parties have signed confidentiality agreements. We've reviewed their requests. Pursuant to those requests, we've made a voluminous amount of information available now on a secured electronic data room. And we'll work to make sure everybody has the access to that. But that will be up and running -- that is, apparently, up and running today. The other point --

THE COURT: How many NDAs have been signed?
MR. PRINCI: Oh, goodness. Excuse me, Judge.
eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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There's three that have been signed. I think there's two others that have been requested, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PRINCI: And then the other thing we've done with both the committee, Your Honor, and the trustees, is to begin to explain to them the manner in which we intend to go forward, ultimately, on the sale hearing date, with the, if you will, leaving behind of the legacy put-back claims on the one hand, but going forward and assuming and assigning the servicing obligations, which is what Nationstar is buying.

And what we've explained to the trustees, Your Honor, is the way we intend to do that -- and this will be subject to further consultation with the Court with respect to scheduling and the like -- is what we intend to do, Judge, is we're going to have our debtor depositor entities -- these are the companies, Judge, that literally sold the loans to the trusts; and they are Chapter 11 debtors in this administratively consolidated case. Those parties are parties to a pooling and service agreement, as are RFC and GMAC, which are the servicing entities.

And the way the provisions in most, the vast, vast, vast majority of those pooling and servicing agreements work, is that the put-back obligations, in the event of a breach of representation or warranty, are the obligation of the depositor, but not the servicer entities.
eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250
operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

So what we're going to do, Judge, is there will be a motion at some point forthcoming, pursuant to which those depositor entities will seek to reject those contracts. And then, at the time of the sale hearing, the servicing debtor entities will be seeking to assume and assign those very same contracts.

So we've explained to both the committee and to the trustees that from a procedural vantage point, that's how we're going to actually execute, if you will. And that's also part of our ongoing discussions with them, Judge.

THE COURT: It's the same contract?
MR. PRINCI: It is the same contract. And --
THE COURT: And you're telling me that it's legally
possible for one party --
MR. PRINCI: It is, Judge.
THE COURT: -- to reject and another to assume and assign?

MR. PRINCI: It is. And that's why we're going to do that, Judge. Because the controlling law in this area allows for two separate debtor entities --

THE COURT: Am I going to be surprised when there are objections to that?

MR. PRINCI: Judge, I would be disappointed in my colleagues if somebody can't find a way to object to everything in this case. Okay? But that notwithstanding doesn't mean eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
that the law that I've referred to is not the controlling law. we believe it is.

So I believe that's it, Your Honor. And I'll just pass the podium to Mr. Eckstein.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ECKSTEIN: Your Honor, Kenneth Eckstein on behalf of the unsecured creditors' committee. I'd like to ask my partner, Philip Bentley, to make a few remarks on this. Before doing so, I may have misstated a particular date with respect to the Ally subservicing motion. I just want to correct that for the record. I believe the agreement has been to extend the deadline for approval of the Ally subservicing motion to July 27th. That was moved from July 13th. I think I had said -THE COURT: You said the 31st.

MR. ECKSTEIN: -- July 31st. So --
THE COURT: The 27th?
MR. ECKSTEIN: -- I want to correct, and say the 27th.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ECKSTEIN: And with that, Your Honor, I'm going to let my partner, Philip Bentley --

THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. BENTLEY: Good morning, Your Honor. For the record, Philip Bentley of Kramer Levin.

Your Honor, just to respond briefly to Mr. Princi's comments. We agree and we're appreciative of the efforts the eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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debtors have been making. We've had a series of productive discussions with them. We haven't yet received, but we understand we're going to receive later today, a lot of data backing up the estimation of the aggregate put-back claims, which are addressed in the declarations in support of their 9019 motion. We're looking forward to getting that data. We think that will be very helpful in enabling us to move the ball forward with respect to understanding the issues that underlie this discussion, these discussions that we're having with them about the schedule that Mr . Princi described.

As he said, we have had been having a series of discussions with them about what's the right schedule. And frankly in our mind, the threshold issue, the biggest issue, is not so much the details of the -- they're proposing a schedule that would get the 9019 motion to Your Honor to be heard at some point prior to the November 5 sale hearing. There are issues about the details of how you get there, but the threshold issue, and frankly the bigger issue in our mind is, is it appropriate to work through the process on quite a quick basis, to have the 9019 motion heard at that relatively early date? Will it benefit the sale process?

And it may benefit the sale process. It might help, for example, with respect to the issue that Mr . Princi just described, which we call the severability issue. Can the debtors sever the rights from the obligations? It might help eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net to get that resolved in advance of the November 5 hearing, if you could get the trustees --

THE COURT: Go ahead. Mr. Garrity is playing with the lights.

MR. GARRITY: Sorry, Your Honor.
MR. BENTLEY: The hope is that if you can get the trustees to sign on to this settlement -- and as Mr. Princi said, they're certainly the real parties-in-interest here, or the real parties who have the rights here -- if you can get them to sign on before November 5, and perhaps if you can also get the Court to approve of that settlement before November 5, would that help moot the severability issues. That's one big issue. We're hopeful that a few weeks from now, we will be back -- or a week and a half from now, we will be back before Your Honor with an agreement.

If Your Honor likes, I can walk you through briefly one or two of the other principal issues that we're looking at with respect to this issue, or we can defer that to the 24 th.

THE COURT: Let's defer it. We have a pretty full agenda for today.

MR. BENTLEY: Okay.
THE COURT: Let me just caution everybody. In setting the schedule, if there's going to be a contested evidentiary hearing, and the debtors are desirous of a decision before November 5th, don't think you're going to have an evidentiary eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
hearing the day before and expect an immediate decision. I mean, I just -- so when I see the schedule, proposed schedule, let's assume for the moment that it's an agreed proposed schedule, $I$ want to be sure that it provides me with sufficient time to cogitate on what the decision ought to be.

MR. PRINCI: Understood fully, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. In light of a very, very full calendar of other matters. I'll just say that. So we'll take up in more detail what's -- let's see this work through a little further --

MR. BENTLEY: That makes sense, Your Honor. And on the immediate process front, we think the process Your Honor has set forth, that we come back to you on the 20 th, makes a lot of sense.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
MR. BENTLEY: So we will do that.
THE COURT: Thank you very much, Mr. Bentley.
MR. PRINCI: Your Honor, I apologize. One thing I should have mentioned earlier, just to be clear. So the other holding matter we had for today, the 10 th, was with respect to the trustees' reservation of rights pertaining to their previously filed objections to the sale and bidding motion. And we had allowed them to reserve a certain portion of those objections, those specifically that go to, if you will, the sales piece of it, until today. Concomitant with our desire to eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
continue to work with them on this, we're going to put that off to the 24 th as well, with Your Honor's permission.

I also should note for the Court, Judge, I think just sensibly and in fairness, to the extent that the 9019 motion is going to be heard down the road a bit, we may even, if we need to, come back to Your Honor and move that back even further. But for now, with the trustees' consent, we'd like to move back their reservation of rights on that to at least July 24th.

THE COURT: All right. I think we need -- on July
24th, $I$ think we need sort of a fuller discussion about all of these pieces that are coming up and what's going to be --

MR. PRINCI: We'll put that in the --
THE COURT: -- required.
MR. PRINCI: -- we're going to put that -- Your Honor, certainly the debtor will include that piece of it in our proposed calendar. And it will be part of any agreed upon calendar as well, Judge. Okay?

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
MR. PRINCI: Thank you, Judge.
MR. ELLENBERG: If the Court please, Mark Ellenberg on behalf of MBIA. Your Honor, since we were here last, in fact, the day after we were here last, we did have a productive meeting with the debtors concerning the 9019 motion. We have another meeting scheduled for next week. In the meantime, we've been finalizing a confi and we hope to start receiving eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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discovery this week.
So I would say things today are significantly better than they were the last time we were here. We haven't accomplished anything yet, really, but at least things are moving in a better direction. And we'll be back on the 24th.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Ellenberg.
All right. Who's going forward for the debtor here? Let's try and move forward.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Good morning, Your Honor. Norm
Rosenbaum, Morrison \& Foerster, proposed counsel for the debtors. Your Honor, we're moving on to the contested matters. And the first item on the agenda is the motion of NACBA for relief from the automatic stay. I really think this was really couched as an objection to the -- what we call our supplemental servicing motion. And we have resolved that on consent with NACBA and the other parties to that motion. And we will explain that when we get to the portion of the hearing on the supplemental servicing motion.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROSENBAUM: The next matter on the agenda was the motion of the Maine action plaintiffs.

THE COURT: Yes, I remember that.
MR. ROSENBAUM: That was to allow the Maine --
THE COURT: Allow the Maine Supreme Court to go ahead and decide what's before them.
eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250
operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
MR. ROSENBAUM: We've consented to that relief, and we'll submit an agreed order to Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. ROSENBAUM: The next three items on the agenda, Your Honor, are motions for relief from the automatic stay. I'm not clear if the movants are in court today or if they're on the phone. The first one was number 3 --

THE COURT: The Burris matter, Mark and Lori Burris?
MS. BURRIS: Yes, Your Honor, we are on the phone.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you wish to be heard with
respect to your motion to lift the automatic stay?
MS. BURRIS: Yes, we do.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
MS. BURRIS: We wish to have relief from the stay, because we feel that we're engaged in a settlement of this case, and we are working with the assistance of the Honorable Michael Hegarty, the federal magistrate here in Denver, Colorado. And we feel that the parties have partially executed terms of an agreement. And it does not -- it has no effect on the estate or the reorganization that is currently going on. THE COURT: Mr. Rosenbaum, do you want to respond to that?

MR. ROSENBAUM: Your Honor, we have no objection to relief from the automatic stay to allow the parties to consummate the settlement that's before the Court. I believe eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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that's a fairly accurate statement of where the matter stands. We believe that we have -- prior to the filing, had advanced a settlement agreement. And our concern is that the Burrises have not, at this point, elected to execute it. But subject to moving forward and having that settlement agreement signed and --

THE COURT: Here's what I would like to do. Because it sounds like the parties are in agreement with respect to this lift stay motion. Mr. Rosenbaum, why don't you -- since the Burrises are pro se -- why don't you prepare a proposed order that would effect the lifting of the stay for the purposes that you've described; share it with the Burrises. If it's an agreed -- in the form of an agreed order, submit it to the Court and it will be entered. If the parties disagree, I'll have a separate short telephone hearing. Hopefully that won't be necessary, though.

MR. ROSENBAUM: I'm happy to do that, Your Honor. Just one point. I think the committee would probably want to see the terms of the settlement. I agree with --

THE COURT: Well, go ahead and share it with the committee.

MR. ROSENBAUM: -- I agree with Ms. Burris --
THE COURT: That's fine.
MR. ROSENBAUM: -- that there's no effect on the estate, though.

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
THE COURT: Mr. and Mrs. Burris, so you understand how we're going to proceed? I'm going to have Mr . Rosenbaum or one of his colleagues -- because they're in agreement to lift the stay for the purposes of allowing this mediation, hopefully the conclusion of a settlement, to proceed. And the debtors' counsel, Mr. Rosenbaum or one of his colleagues will get you a proposed order. If it's an agreeable form, let him know. And he'll share it also with the committee. And hopefully no further hearing will be required. The order will be entered, and you'll get notice of that. Okay?

MS. BURRIS: Thank you very much, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
MS. BURRIS: We appreciate it.
THE COURT: Thank you Mr. and Mrs. Burris.
Okay, next matter?
MR. ROSENBAUM: Your Honor, the next motion for stay relief was filed by Kenneth Taggart, who's also appearing pro se. I don't know if Mr. Taggart made an appearance or not.

THE COURT: Mr. Taggart, are you on the phone? MR. TAGGART: Yes, I am. Can you hear me? THE COURT: Yes, I can. Go ahead and tell me what you want to say.

MR. TAGGART: Yeah, hi. This is Ken Taggart calling in reference to the motion that was filed in court. I do represent myself pro se. And I'm requesting actually some eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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clarification on the stay or limited stay in the case filed by GMAC v. Taggart, which was a foreclosure complaint filed in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania against myself, representing myself pro se.

And according to counsel and the filing of the limited stay, they're claiming that only -- they're able to proceed with the foreclosure complaint and -- I'm sorry, there's counterclaims as well filed in the -- against GMAC. And GMAC is actually -- contends that only the claims that would be defending the foreclosure complaint would proceed, and any claims seeking monetary damages would be stayed until the stay is lifted. It's my contention that all the counterclaims actually seek relief in the form of rescission of the loan, which should proceed.

And in addition to that, in my complaint, it basically states that $I$ would be entitled to offsets for any claims that were entered in my favor as far as a judgment -- monetary claims would offset any judgment in GMAC's claims. So it is my opinion that all the claims should proceed and the stay should be lifted in the entire case.

In addition to that, I've requested for quiet title on the property, retaining possession. And there's also claims for breach of contract in the counterclaims as well. So I'm basically seeking relief from stay from all the counterclaims that have been filed against GMAC in that case. And GMAC, I eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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believe, it looks like in their brief -- I only just received it today -- does not clarify what their position is. But I'm seeking a relief of stay from all counterclaims against GMAC.

THE COURT: Mr. Taggart, are you represented by
counsel in that action?
MR. TAGGART: I'm not, no. It's pro se.
THE COURT: Okay. So the record --
MR. TAGGART: I'm sorry.
THE COURT: -- is clear, Mr. Taggart is a defendant in a state court foreclosure action pending in Montgomery County, Pennsylvania, captioned GMAC Mortgage LLC v. Kenneth Taggart. And in connection with the pending foreclosure, on April 28th, 2010, Mr. Taggart filed a third amended counterclaim against GMAC Mortgage LLC, LBA Financial Group LLC, Eagle Nationwide Mortgage Company, et al. And the Taggart counterclaim alleges thirty-two counterclaims against the debtors and other defendants, including violations of numerous state and federal statutes including RESPA and TILA.

Mr. Rosenbaum, do you want to respond to Mr. Taggart's argument?

MR. ROSENBAUM: Thank you, Your Honor. We -- at
Morrison \& Foerster, one of my colleagues have had extensive discussions with Mr. Taggart to explain to him the scope of the supplemental order. We've explained to Mr. Taggart, after consultation with our counsel in the underlying action, that eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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there is one of the counts that he can proceed on. That's Count number 32.

Based on our discussions and the evaluation of our counsel, none of the others would be permitted to go forward under the supplemental order that we'll be revising today. We'll explain that to Your Honor when we get to that motion.

I don't believe that Mr. Taggart had actually sought that relief. If he's amending his motion orally at this hearing, we object to the breadth of the relief that he's requesting. We have struck a very careful balance in our supplemental order on foreclosure proceedings. And this would basically obliterate everything we've worked hard to try to achieve. And this would be the type of floodgate-type relief that we would be very concerned about, given the volume of pending foreclosure actions we have.

THE COURT: Are --
MR. ROSENBAUM: We are more than willing to continue to work with Mr. Taggart. If there are, perhaps, one of the other counterclaims -- as I said, there's thirty-two.

THE COURT: What's the thirty-second that you're willing to allow to proceed?

MR. ROSENBAUM: Sorry, Your Honor. I'm going to get to it in a second.

MR. TAGGART: Your Honor?
THE COURT: No, just a second, Mr. Taggart, I'll let eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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MR. TAGGART: Okay, sure.
THE COURT: -- I'll give you another chance. Just --
MR. TAGGART: No problem.
THE COURT: -- Mr. Rosenbaum is trying to find something.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Just bear with me one second, Your Honor. Sorry.

It's a claim under the Mortgage Property Insurance Coverage Act, attempting to require more money in escrow than allowed by law and causing and/or illegally filing foreclosure as a result of such breach. I believe this is --

THE COURT: Why are you --
MR. ROSENBAUM: -- a forced placed insurance --
THE COURT: -- prepared to allow that to go forward, but not the other thirty-one?

MR. ROSENBAUM: Based on the opinion of our counsel -our local counsel handling the matter, this would be a defense -- a valid defense of the foreclosure action.

THE COURT: And your view as to the other thirty-one is that they're not valid defenses to foreclosure?

MR. ROSENBAUM: Based on what our counsel has advised us, yes.

THE COURT: I mean, does this -- if your counsel doesn't like what somebody alleges, they just say we don't like eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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it, and it shouldn't be allowed; and this one, we're allow -we're okay with? Is that what's happening here?

MR. ROSENBAUM: No, Your Honor. It was a very careful analysis that was undertaken at our request. I don't have a declaration or affidavit from counsel. If that's something Your Honor would think would be necessary, we could adjourn the matter. And again, we're happy to continue and explain to Mr . Taggart our position on each of the other thirty-one. But they are, for the most part, monetary claims or claims that

Pennsylvania law does not recognize as a defense to foreclosure.

MR. TAGGART: Hello?
THE COURT: Just a second, Mr. Taggart.
In your opposition to the lift stay, I mean, have you set forth why the -- why one is a defense and thirty-one of them are not, and therefore the stay should not be lifted as to thirty-one of them but you have no problem as to one?

MR. ROSENBAUM: Well, we did not do that, Your Honor.

## Because --

THE COURT: I know.
MR. ROSENBAUM: -- we -- Mr. Taggart -- and I
understand he's pro se, and we're trying to be sensitive to that -- did not seek that specific relief. He sought clarification as to the order.
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claims that are not a defense to the foreclosure, are stayed by the supplemental order. I understand his position now. If Your Honor would prefer to adjourn this, we can address the thirty-one other counts.

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Taggart let me give you a chance to reply, briefly.

You understand the point --
MR. TAGGART: Okay.
THE COURT: Wait, let me just before you do that. Do you understand the point? Mr. Rosenbaum's arguing that you can't proceed with your monetary claims. To the extent that any of your counterclaims under applicable Pennsylvania law would be a defense to foreclosure, you can proceed with those. He's saying, as I understand it, that the mortgagee's counsel in Pennsylvania has stated that only the thirty-second counterclaim would be a defense -- if established, would be a defense to foreclosure. The other thirty-one would not.

What is your reply, Mr. Taggart?
MR. TAGGART: Yeah, I respectfully disagree. It's clearly an attempt for counsel to take advantage of a pro se litigant. But in response to that, Truth in Lending, TILA and RESPA, Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, are all defenses recognized in foreclosure claims.

Breach of contract of the mortgage is a defense in foreclosure claims, which has been alleged. Unfair Trade eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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Practices Act, Consumer Protection Law, in Pennsylvania, are all defenses and claims that can be made regarding foreclosures, all of which have been alleged. It's -- clearly what counsel is saying for ResCap or GMAC is clearly erroneous. They're all clearly defenses that are alleged here that are valid as defenses in foreclosure claims.

THE COURT: Are you seeking a monetary judgment against the defendants, Mr. Taggart?

MR. TAGGART: Yeah -- yes, I am.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. TAGGART: I'm seeking monetary judgment in claims, but I'm also seeking --

THE COURT: Okay, but Mr. Taggart, the one thing I want to be clear about is, I am not lifting -- I have not lifted the stay, and I'm not going to lift the stay to permit monetary claims to proceed against any of the debtors. So --

MR. TAGGART: Your Honor, I unders -- I fully
understand what you're saying. I guess then -- I guess my point would be that I should be able to proceed with the claims regarding defenses. I mean, obviously, if they're not able to award monetary judgment at this point, and that would be stayed. Obviously, it's my right to allege breach of contract, Truth in Lending and RESPA claims are all defenses, you know, in the mortgage foreclosure defense.

THE COURT: All right. Let me stop you for a second, eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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Mr. Rosenbaum, you disagree as to whether RESPA and TILA are defenses to foreclosure?

MR. ROSENBAUM: In Pennsylvania, I'm advised that they're not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Here's where we're going to proceed. Stop, Mr. Taggart.

MR. TAGGART: I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Mr. Rosenbaum, I'm not satisfied that you've sufficiently addressed, other than telling me that your counsel in Pennsylvania says they're not a defense to foreclosure under Pennsylvania law. So I'm going to adjourn this matter. I'm going to give you a further chance to see if you can reach an agreement with Mr. Taggart as to which claims could proceed or which could proceed.

It may be that the appropriate way to deal with it -but I'm not compelling you to do this -- is to put it in an agreed order that the claims can proceed only to the extent that they would be a defense to foreclosure under Pennsylvania law, and that no monetary judgment or award may be rendered, and then leave it to a Pennsylvania judge to figure out what Pennsylvania law is as to the thirty-two counterclaims. I don't particularly want to have to go through thirty-two counterclaims and decide under Pennsylvania law which ones are proper defenses to foreclosure and which are not.
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All I can say is, I don't believe your opposition to this motion adequately addresses those issues. So I don't feel I can, at this stage, rule. So I'm going to adjourn the matter to July 24th. In the meantime, you or your colleagues should try to reach an agreement or understanding with Mr . Taggart. If you do that and submit a consent order, I'll go ahead and act on that without the necessity of the further hearing on July 24th. Otherwise, you're going to have to file an additional brief --

MR. TAGGART: Hello?
THE COURT: Just a second, Mr. Taggart.
MR. TAGGART: Hello?
THE COURT: Just a second, Mr. Taggart.
MR. TAGGART: Oh.
THE COURT: The debtor will have to file an
additional -- if you can't resolve this, Mr. Rosenbaum, the debtor's going to have to file an additional brief on or before 5 p.m. on July 17th, one week from today, addressing the issues of whether these thirty-two counterclaims are a proper defense to foreclosure under Pennsylvania law.

And Mr. Taggart, I will give you until 5 p.m. Friday, July 20th, to file any reply. So Mr. Rosenbaum, make sure that Mr . Taggart -- because he may not have access to ECF -- that you either arrange to fax him or e-mail him a copy of whatever further brief you file, so that he has it promptly and has a eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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chance by Friday the 20 th at $5 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m} .$, to file any further reply.
And the matter will be continued to July 24th. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Taggart.

MR. TAGGART: Your Honor, can I say one thing -- a couple things here?

THE COURT: Very brief.
MR. TAGGART: Yes. I will be out of town on July 24th visiting family for ten days. I just wonder if that could be continued until --

THE COURT: It -- look, you're participating by phone. You can participate by phone from wherever you are. Hopefully you can work this out before then. Are you going to be in transit on the 24 th?

MR. TAGGART: On the 24th, let me check. I don't believe so. No, I will not. No.

THE COURT: No. You can participate by phone from wherever you are.

MR. TAGGART: Okay. So the hearing's on the 24 th at what --

THE COURT: Yes, it is.
MR. TAGGART: -- do you have a time?
THE COURT: At 10 a.m.
MR. TAGGART: 10 a.m. Okay. 10 o'clock a.m.
THE COURT: Mr. Taggart, just so we're clear. I am not going to lift the stay -- I want to make it crystal clear eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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to you -- I'm not going to lift the stay to permit you to proceed with counterclaims seeking monetary relief. Only -- I will consider lifting it; I'm not saying I will -- I will consider lifting it only to the extent that the counterclaims you have asserted are defenses to foreclosure under Pennsylvania law.

So hopefully, when you talk with Mr. Rosenbaum or one of his colleagues, don't think that there's going to be an end run about this rule. Any order that gets entered, if I were to lift the stay, the order will make clear that it does not permit any counterclaims to proceed to the extent that they seek any monetary relief. Okay.

MR. TAGGART: Okay, yeah. I understand that. Yes.
THE COURT: All right. We've got to move on to the next matter.

MR. TAGGART: Okay. All right, thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Taggart. And you're
excused.
MR. TAGGART: Thank you.
THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Rosenbaum.
MR. ROSENBAUM: The next motion is the motion of
Yvonne and Sidney Lewis for relief from the automatic stay. I don't know if they've made an appearance or not, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Are Mr. or Mrs. Lewis on the phone?
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MR. ROSENBAUM: Your Honor, we did oppose this motion. I would note, based on our review of the motion, we do believe there's one pending action as part of their foreclosure proceeding that they would be allowed to proceed. It's a reconsider -- it's a motion that they filed to reconsider relief granted on a sale. That would be covered by the supplemental order.

As far as we can tell from our review of what they filed and our discussions with our counsel handling the matter, that is the only open matter between GMAC and the Lewises. To that extent, we don't oppose the relief, other than -- we don't oppose the relief to the extent --

THE COURT: Here's my understanding.
MR. ROSENBAUM: -- it's covered by --
THE COURT: Correct me if I'm wrong for what gives rise to my concern. The debtors' initiated a judicial foreclosure proceeding against the Lewises on April 22, 2005 in Franklin County Court of Common Pleas in the State of Ohio. The Lewises filed individual bankruptcy cases, so entry of a judgment on the foreclosure was delayed until September 12th, 2011. The foreclosure sale was confirmed on June 6, 2012. The Lewises appealed both the foreclosure judgment decree and the sale confirmation, but both appeals were dismissed.
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In the dismissal order, the appellate court noted that the Lewises had been "adjudicated vexatious litigators" by the Franklin County Court. And it references journal entry of dismissal dated June 19, 2012, case number 12-ap-506, Ohio Court of Appeals, June 19, 2012. And vexatious litigators are defined by the Ohio Revised Code Annotated Section 2323.52.

And on June 28th, 2012, the Lewises filed an
application to reconsider the dismissal of the appeal. Is that what you're referring to?

MR. ROSENBAUM: It's the dismissal of the sheriff's sale, Your Honor; but, yes. The order confirming the sheriff's sale.

THE COURT: I thought they had appealed that, and it was dismissed? The papers we reviewed showed that the Lewises appealed both the foreclosure judgment decree and the sale confirmation, but both appeals were dismissed.

MR. ROSENBAUM: My understanding is they moved for reconsideration on the -- of the sheriff's sale.

THE COURT: Okay. You're going to have to clarify, because in reviewing the file, it appeared to us that it was they sought reconsideration of the dismissal of their appeal from confirmation of the sale. It was the appeals that were dismissed.

MR. ROSENBAUM: If that's correct, Your Honor, and I'm not suggesting it's not, that would still be covered by the eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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supplemental order.
THE COURT: Okay. Submit an order. Is that in your proposed order now? Do you have a proposed order on this?

MR. ROSENBAUM: On this? No, Your Honor, we don't.
THE COURT: All right. Submit a proposed order.
MR. ROSENBAUM: Thank you, Your Honor.
Bear with me one second, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. ROSENBAUM: Shift gears here.
THE COURT: Yes. The supplemental servicing motion is
next?
MR. ROSENBAUM: Yes, Your Honor. It's helpful to have my notes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ROSENBAUM: Your Honor, this is the final hearing on the supplemental servicing motion.

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. ROSENBAUM: On June 15th Your Honor granted the motion on an interim basis. That's docket number 391. If Your Honor recalls, at the prior hearing, there was discussion on the scope of the stay relief on foreclosure and bankruptcy proceedings. Your Honor directed that the parties-in-interest confer to see what additions, modifications, could be made to the terms of the interim order.

Your Honor, I'm pleased to report that we did conduct eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. that meeting with representatives of NACBA, representatives of the National Association of Chapter 13 Trustees, the creditors' committee, the Office of the United States Trustee, and Heather McKeever. We did reach resolution and consent on modifications to the supplemental order. We filed those on June 29th. And they continue to be reflected in further revisions of the order. I'm happy to outline them briefly for Your Honor.

THE COURT: Let me ask you this. Do any objections
remain?
MR. ROSENBAUM: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: There was an objection filed by Ms. Nora.
MR. ROSENBAUM: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I believe Ms.
Nora renewed her objection to this motion.
THE COURT: All right. Ms. Nora, are you on the
phone?
All right, no appearance by Ms. Nora.
Are all objections other than the Nora objection resolved?

MR. ROSENBAUM: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. With respect to the Nora objection, Wendy Alison Nora filed an amended objection. It's ECF docket number 546, to among other things, a proposed final supplemental servicing order. Ms. Nora reasserts the same arguments she made in her second amended objection to certain of the first-day orders entered by the Court on June 5th, 2012, eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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see ECF docket number 227. Throughout her objection, Ms. Nora takes issue with the fact that the debtors have not filed their schedules and statement of financial affairs. However, on June 30th, 2012, following Ms. Nora's filing of her objection, the debtors filed their schedules and statement of financial affairs.

Ms. Nora also argues that the debtors filed these cases in bad faith and are engaged in racketeering. Ms. Nora has asserted these arguments without any evidence. And for this reason, her objection is overruled.

All right. Do you just want to outline what changes have been made in response to any meetings that you had? Just briefly.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Yes, Your Honor. The significant modifications were that parties to foreclosure actions may assert claims for monetary relief as defense to the foreclosure -- and that's something we can address with Mr . Taggart -- only if it would be recognized as a defense of the foreclosure.

We also agree that any party that wants to appear by telephonic hearing on any further stay motion could do so. We'll waive -- agree to waive, if it's acceptable to the Court, any pro hac fee.

THE COURT: I can't do that.
MR. ROSENBAUM: We'd consent to it. But we understood
that it was subject to your --
THE COURT: I don't -- this has been an issue that the Court has addressed. And I do not have the authority and will now waive pro hac fees. The order for pro hac fees is one entered by the district court, not by the bankruptcy court. I do not have the authority to waive pro hac fees.

MR. ROSENBAUM: Thank you, Your Honor. With respect to borrower bankruptcies, in connection with objections to proofs of claim or actions to determine lien validity and priority, debtors in bankruptcy or trustees can assert claims for monetary relief as an objection and can assert claims for violation of any local, state, or federal law, in connection with loan origination. That would be as an objection to a proof of claim or to determine the validity of a lien.

In borrower bankruptcies we'll also allow setoff and recoupment in connection with objections to proofs of claims and motions or adversary proceedings to determine the validity, priority, extent of a lien against the borrower's property.

Your Honor, in the interim we also had discussions with the committee -- and I'll be brief -- in terms of the balance of the relief requested in the supplemental order. That related to loss mitigation, settlements, and relief that was granted in connection with the RMBS trustees. And I'm pleased to report, there's full agreement with the committee. We've agreed to caps on -- monetary caps on loss mitigation of eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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550,000 per month, with an aggregate cap of 4.2 million.
THE COURT: Say that again.
MR. ROSENBAUM: A 550,000 per month on loss mitigation activities, and 4.2 million aggregate case cap. We can exceed those caps, either with the approval of the Court or the consent of the committee. And that's really referring to just the debtors' actual out-of-pocket. These are net of expenses they may otherwise recover from the securitizations.

We've agreed to lower the cap on settlements in the current draft. In the current supplemental order, the debtors have authority to settle between up to 40,000 -- it was previously 50,000 in the supplemental order. Between 40,000 and 100,000, the committee has consent rights. Above 100,000 it would require the debtors to file a separate motion.

In addition, we've included changes, modifications to the final supplemental order on the provision of the order that deals with the payment of RMBS trustee fees. We've built in an objection process for the committee and the U.S. Trustee. And if there's a dispute as to the reasonableness of any fee they submitted to payment to the debtors, that would be subject to court review and a proceeding before Court.

If I've missed anything, I'm sure the committee will point it out.

THE COURT: Does anybody else wish to be heard with respect to the supplemental servicing order?
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MS. FREJKA: Good morning, Your Honor. Elise Frejka,
Kramer Levin, appearing on behalf of the committee.
THE COURT: Good morning.
MS. FREJKA: Good morning. That appropriately
addresses the committee's concerns. The committee has been very involved in this process, very concerned about balancing the rights of homeowners to defend as well as preserving the assets of this estate. The changes that have been made do resolve the limited objection filed by the committee, and it accurately reflects where we are.

THE COURT: All right. Anybody else wish to be heard?
MR. MONAHAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Thomas
Monahan; Storch, Amini \& Munves. We're counsel for the
National Association for Consumer Bankruptcy Attorneys. Just two brief points. With respect to the waiver of pro hac fees, we had -- I understand Your Honor's inability to do anything there.

THE COURT: Meaning, it's got to come out of the order.

MR. MONAHAN: Understood, Your Honor. We do have
language related to the debtors agreeing not to object to any parties' telephonic participation at the hearing on the motion or other requirements to appear before the Court.

THE COURT: That I have no problem with.
MR. MONAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor. The only concern eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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is whether individual bankruptcy debtors' attorneys would then be able to appear telephonically without seeking pro hac for the limited purpose of these hearings. The concern, Your Honor, being that --

THE COURT: I understand the concern.
MR. MONAHAN: And then the last point would be, in our
conversations with the debtor, NACBA has agreed that we will work with the debtors, in the event that any other -- in any instances where motions for relief are sought by other bankruptcy attorneys beyond the scope of this order.

THE COURT: Could I --
MR. MONAHAN: Essentially assisting in the
understanding of the order.
THE COURT: I'll just make a general observation.
Sometimes some questions are better not asked.
MR. MONAHAN: Understood, Your Honor. And then just the last point is that the debtors have acknowledged that postpetition they'll be bound by all the rules of the bankruptcy court. And I just wanted to make that clear for the record. THE COURT: All right.

MR. MONAHAN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Rosenbaum?
MR. ROSENBAUM: I have no further comments.
THE COURT: Does anybody else wish to be heard?
So you need to take out that one provision. I need to eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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MR. ROSENBAUM: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you. I guess that takes us to the evidentiary hearing, doesn't it? All right. Let's take a recess until 11:30; let people reorganize themselves.

Before we take the recess, let me -- how many
witnesses am I going to hear? Am I going to hear any live witnesses?

MR. HAIMS: Your Honor, we have four declarations and three declarants.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you know whether -- is there
going to be cross-examination of the declarants?
MR. ETKIN: Very brief, Your Honor, but yes. THE COURT: Of all four?

MR. ETKIN: No. Just, I believe, Mr. -- I'm sorry -THE COURT: No, I just -- what I'd like you to do is just confer and -- is there anybody else who anticipates crossexamining witnesses?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We may, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.
UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We may, Your Honor.
THE COURT: During the recess, just confer with
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counsel, so they can figure out who's going to be crossexamined. And what $I$ would suggest is then when we come -- are there going to be objections to the declarations that have been offered? In other words -- because the first thing I'm going to ask the debtors to do is offer the declarations in evidence. Are there going to be objections to the declarations?

Any declaration that is offered in evidence, the declarant has to be available for cross-examination. So these are all coming in, if they come in, subject to cross. But I just want to know, are there objections to the admission in evidence of the declarations?

MR. ETKIN: Your Honor, there'll be no objections from us with respect to the factual averments in the declarations.

But there may be some issues that I can discuss with counsel.
THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else?
All right. So we're going to take a recess until
11:30. Just confer so you know who's going to be cross-
examined. Are the objectors calling any witnesses?
MR. ETKIN: We are not, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Anybody calling any witnesses on that
side?
Okay. We're in recess.
(Recess from 11:11 a.m. until 11:30 a.m.)
THE COURT: Please be seated.
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Haims of Morrison \& Foerster, proposed counsel to the debtors.
Your Honor, this is a hearing on the debtors' motion to extend the automatic stay or for an injunction enjoining certain cases filed against nondebtor affiliates. With Your Honor's permission, I'd first like to give an overview of the motion. Then I'd like to briefly walk through the legal and factual bases for the motion. Then I'll proffer our evidence, and then I'll make our declarants available for crossexamination.

THE COURT: When you say proffer the evidence, you'll offer the declarations into evidence?

MR. HAIMS: Correct. Correct, Your Honor.
I'll begin with an overview of the motion. This motion is made pursuant to both Section 362 and Section 105 of the Code. The relief we are seeking is an extension of the automatic stay to affiliates of the debtor in six cases. The debtors resolved this motion with parties representing eighteen of the initial twenty-seven cases, all of whom have agreed to a stay through October 31. Two additional cases were dismissed entirely. And Judge Cote withdrew the reference in the FHFA case yesterday.

THE COURT: And I saw the FDIC filed a motion to withdraw the reference last night.

MR. HAIMS: Correct. Only six cases remain in issue for the hearing today. It's the FDIC, New Jersey Carpenters eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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Fund, Union Central, Western \& Southern, and two Cambridge Place Investment Management cases.

The procedural posture for each case is detailed in the declarations of Mr . Lipps submitted with the motion papers at ECF docket numbers 6 and 59. All of these cases are based on the debtors' issuance of mortgage-backed securities, and are brought by investors in those securities.

The affiliates at issue in these cases are the debtors' former directors and officers and four of the debtors' corporate affiliates: Ally Financial, the debtors' ultimate parent; GMAC Mortgage Group, the debtors' immediate parent; Ally Securities; and Ally Bank. For the Court's convenience, an organizational chart is attached as Appendix B to our initial moving papers at ECF docket 4-2.

THE COURT: I have that before me.
MR. HAIMS: To put these cases in context, the original twenty-seven cases we sought to stay involved more than 660,000 loans with 83 billion dollars in original principal balance. Even just the remaining six cases involve thirty-four separate securitizations with more than 150,000 loans and an original principal balance of more than 26 billion dollars.

I'll now turn to the legal and factual bases for the motion. To frame the issue, $I$ just want to point out that the relief we are seeking here is well within the Court's eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. jurisdiction, is temporally limited through plan confirmation only, and doesn't seek to stop the underlying cases as to any other parties. As I mentioned, the debtors seek an extension of the stay under Section 362.


The basis for the requests are twofold. First, pursuant to Section $362(a)(1)$, there $i s$ such an identity of interests that the actions against the nondebtors would have an adverse impact on the debtors' estates and their efforts to restructure. Second, pursuant to $362(a)(3)$, the claims against the nondebtor affiliates seek to obtain possession or exercise control over the estates' property.

As set forth in detail in our moving papers, there are four primary reasons why an extension of the stay is warranted under Section 362. First -- and I'll walk through them briefly. First, if these cases continue against the nondebtors, the debtors risk being bound by factual and legal findings that can significantly prejudice their rights in these and other cases. The debtors' alleged conduct, the issuance of mortgage-backed securities, is the foundation for all of the claims against the nondebtor affiliates.

All of the claims, no matter how they are titled, arise out of the offering materials the debtors prepared and in connection with the securitizations --

THE COURT: Let me stop you. Because in the proposed stipulation you presented that settles eighteen of the cases, eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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you've agreed that the motions to dismiss that are pending in some of those cases, the courts in those cases are going to decide the motions, motions for reconsideration, or whatever can be considered. Why shouldn't any motions to dismiss in the six cases continue and allow the judges to decide those as you've agreed to do in the other -- it doesn't cover all eighteen, but the ones you specifically go through the recitals about where there are motions to dismiss pending?

MR. HAIMS: And, Your Honor, we've offered that. So we are okay with anything that -- with allowing anything to go forward that doesn't involve work or the depletion of resources by the debtors. So to the extent that any motion is pending before the Court we've said the Court can go ahead and decide that motion. We've offered that, to the extent --

THE COURT: Well, you did more than that. Because it specifically -- the stipulation specifically contemplated motions for reconsideration and things of that nature, which, if they're filed, will require additional work by the nondebtor affiliates, $I$ assume, in making such motions, or opposing motions.

MR. HAIMS: To the extent that we offered it to any of those cases, Your Honor, we're okay with it for these cases as well. We want the same terms to everybody.

THE COURT: In one of the cases there were -- motions to dismiss were due on July --
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MR. HAIMS: 13th.
THE COURT: There was one that was due July 6th. Did
that get filed? Were the pleadings --
MR. HAIMS: July 6th?
MR. ETKIN: Your Honor --
MR. HAIMS: That's the --
MR. ETKIN: -- if I can just try to help. Michael
Etkin, Lowenstein Sandler, on behalf of --
THE COURT: A lot of clients, yeah.
MR. ETKIN: -- the lead plaintiffs, the Union Central plaintiffs, and Cambridge Place Investment.

The July 6th date may refer to the discovery cutoff in connection with the class certification issues in the -- that's the only July 6th date that I can re --

MR. HAIMS: Yeah --
MR. ETKIN: Oh, there was a July 6th date for --
MR. HAIMS: -- that was -- I'm sorry.
MR. ETKIN: -- for all motion papers to be in on the Cambridge Place cases --

THE COURT: That's the date.
MR. ETKIN: -- and there's an oral argument scheduled for July 13th.

THE COURT: So all oral argument -- all papers were submitted by July 6th?

MR. ETKIN: As far as $I$ understand it, all papers have eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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THE COURT: Okay, Thank you.
MR. HAIMS: On July 6th? The West --
THE COURT: I know I read something --
MR. HAIMS: In Western \& Southern, there was an answer
that was filed on July 6th.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. HAIMS: Yes, and that was filed.
THE COURT: All right. Go ahead, Mr. Haims.
MR. HAIMS: So in these circumstances where there is an identity of interest between the debtors and the nondebtors, the courts in this district, including Your Honor in McHale, have stayed cases against nondebtors.

Second, if these cases against the nondebtors are allowed to continue, the debtors will face burdensome and overwhelming discovery. Because the debtors issued the mortgage-backed securities, they have virtually all of the relevant documents. The debtors have the mortgage files, the loan level performance data, the underwriting guidelines, the due diligence materials, the deal documents, and all of the e-mails relating to the deals. To produce these materials in these cases would be extremely expensive, would be a substantial drain on both the debtors' monetary and human resources and tax already overtaxed employees.

The witnesses will also be the employees of the eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
debtors since the debtors have the knowledge of the issues raised in the complaints and the nondebtor affiliates, on the other hand, have few employees, if any, who could speak to any of the issues.

The MBIA cases against the debtors, which we discuss at length in our moving papers and in the Lipps declaration, are illustrative of the burdens that the debtors face in these cases. That discovery from the MBIA cases, Your Honor, incidentally, can't be reused in other cases, because each case involves different securitizations, different mortgage loans, different underwriting guidelines, different documents, different witnesses, and different facts.

And despite the fact that the cases against the debtors are stayed, plaintiffs in the underlying cases still seek document discovery and documents in the debtors' possession. In fact, as mentioned in our motion papers, the FHFA has asked for permission to move --

THE COURT: I guess I don't want to hear about FHFA. They're not before me.

MR. HAIMS: Well, I think that's just -- it's an example of what the parties here will face or could face -what the debtors could face if --

THE COURT: Judge Cote withdrew the reference with respect to FHFA. That's not before me.

MR. HAIMS: The debtors -- the FHFA, like Western $\&$ eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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Southern, which is before you here, have sought loan files which are in debtors' possession --

THE COURT: In looking at my notes I see that it was in the Western \& Southern action that Ally Securities was to make filings in Ohio on July 6th. Did they do that?

MR. HAIMS: They did, Your Honor.
And the only reason I raised the FHFA case, and which is similar to the Western \& Southern case, because in the absence of the stay here we expect the parties to continue to seek discovery of documents in the debtors' possession from the nondebtor affiliates in those cases, as the FHFA in fact --

THE COURT: Let me just --
MR. HAIMS: -- has done.
THE COURT: We'll get to the discovery issues, but let me -- I see that in the Union Central action, motions to dismiss the amended complaint are due on July 13th. Which of the nondebtor affiliates is the defendant in that action? That's before Judge Daniels, as I understand it?

MR. HAIMS: Union Central has Ally Securities, Ally Financial, and one of the individuals.

THE COURT: And since I'm not likely to rule from the bench today and so there will be no stay in effect, are those nondebtor affiliates ready to file their motions to dismiss, if they're going to, on July 13th?

MR. HAIMS: Your Honor, I'm not counsel in those eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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cases. My understanding is that there's no stay, and without a stay in those cases the debtors would have to answer the cases --

THE COURT: The reason I'm --
MR. HAIMS: -- or the nondebtor affiliates have to.
THE COURT: The reason I'm pausing on the motions to dismiss, I'm sort of -- I don't know, I haven't heard the evidence and I'm not saying what I'm going to do yet on these motions, but one of the things that strikes me, Mr. Haims, is that looking at the end game in these cases, the debtors at least have stated on the record that they intend to propose a plan that would provide releases to all of the nondebtor affiliates. And that obviously presents legal and factual issues not for today.

But at a minimum, if that's going to happen, it's going to require an evaluation of the claims that are proposed to be released. And it does seem to me that central to that effort is whether the claims that have been asserted, whether the complaints that have been filed state claims for relief. And where motions to dismiss have been made or will be made, decisions by the state courts or district courts where those actions are pending would certainly provide pertinent information, relevant information in any evaluation of the claims.

So even if I were persuaded to grant an injunction, eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
which remains to be seen, I would be very reluctant to do that with respect to motions addressed to the pleadings, or even in the cases before Judge Baer where discovery and briefing is concluding on class certification -- it's not merits discovery that most of your arguments are addressed to -- I would be inclined to allow that to go forward. I think the discovery issues are a separate set of issues.

Your stipulation in the eighteen matters where you have resolved obviously reflect that you're prepared to have motions addressed and the pleadings go forward. And whether it requires nondebtor affiliates to file new pleadings over the next couple of months or not, I'm not particularly moved by your argument.

MR. HAIMS: Thank you, Your Honor. I mean, it is clear, which is clear from the stipulation, that the crux of the request goes to the discovery burden and it goes to the costs that will be incurred to defend those, primarily as it results from the cost of the discovery burden. The discovery burden is massive, and the costs of that will be massive. You know, $I$ can't stay up here and say that briefing a motion to dismiss is going to --

THE COURT: Well, putting a label on it that the costs would be massive is a conclusory statement. I hear your argument.

MR. HAIMS: And we'll have -- Mr. Lipps will talk in eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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more detail about that later.
Your Honor, the debtors also have contractual indemnity obligations to both the directors and officers and their corporate affiliates for costs in these judgments, and therefore --

THE COURT: You focus on an E\&O policy, and that, I take it, provides entity coverage?

MR. HAIMS: Yes.
THE COURT: And that's a shared policy?
MR. HAIMS: Yes.
THE COURT: Who is the principal? Who is the named
insured?
MR. HAIMS: Ally Financial, Inc., the ultimate parent, and it covers Ally and all its capital S subsidiaries.

THE COURT: And how much is the policy for?
MR. HAIMS: It --
THE COURT: And is it stacked coverage?
MR. HAIMS: Yeah, stacked coverage.
THE COURT: What's the total?
MR. HAIMS: Per -- for that year we -- I think it's per year, the total coverage is -- and there's discussion between the insurance -- it's a hundred million dollars per year.

THE COURT: Total is a hundred million?
MR. HAIMS: It's a hundred million. There's a eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
retention of twenty-five million under the policy. So the policy that we attached is -- we attached the primary policy and they all follow suit. So there's significant coverage, but these are significant claims.

THE COURT: And does Ally have D\&O coverage that provides coverage -- I mean, you focus on E\&O policy which provides entity coverage, and I guess it would cover officers and employees as well as officers and directors, I suppose, right?

MR. HAIMS: Correct.
THE COURT: What I don't have a complete picture of is what the total insurance picture looks like. And so I know you argue it's a wasting policy; every dollar spent is a dollar not available at the end of the day. What I don't have a good understanding of, and I don't know whether the evidence will address that, is: is there -- you know, I'm picking numbers out of the air -- is there another 500 million dollars of D\&O coverage that potentially covers officers and directors who were named as defendants in all of these cases?

MR. HAIMS: Well, there is separate D\&O coverage --
THE COURT: How much?
MR. HAIMS: I believe the D\&O tower currently is 275 million dollars, and I don't know how many years that goes back in that increment. At some point it was raised; I just don't know what year.

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
We use the E\&O policy because the insurer -- which is in a letter attached in the exhibits -- the insurer specifically acknowledged coverage for these cases under the E\&O policy. So that's not disputed by the insurer.

THE COURT: Have the D\&O policies advanced or reimbursed defense costs for any of the cover insureds in any of the actions pending around the country?

MR. HAIMS: I preface this by saying these are Ally Financial policies and ResCap doesn't have the -- my understanding is the answer is no to that.

THE COURT: Well, let me just -- are individuals who are named defendants who are officers and directors of ResCap or its debtor affiliates, are they insureds under the AFI D\&O policies?

MR. HAIMS: They are. They're also indemnified by ResCap and AFI, and currently these or these cases are -- sort of, pre-bankruptcy there was a centralized fee system. It was billed to an Ally accounts payable but the costs were incurred by ResCap for of these cases. So none of them have hit the policy yet. ResCap has been paying the defense costs, to date, in all of these cases.

THE COURT: For all defendants?
MR. HAIMS: Yes. For all the Ally affiliated defendants, that's correct.

THE COURT: Why is that?
eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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MR. HAIMS: Because these are ResCap -- these arose out of the ResCap business.

THE COURT: That doesn't answer my question. Is there a --

MR. HAIMS: Because there's a contractual indemnity between AFI and its -- AFI affiliates and ResCap that's been contained in the --

THE COURT: Has ResCap been paying Ally
Financial's --
MR. HAIMS: Yeah.
THE COURT: -- defense?
MR. HAIMS: Yeah. There's a provision in the amended and restated operating agreement between ResCap and Ally that provides that Al --

THE COURT: None of that's happened post-petition, I take it?

MR. HAIMS: None of that has happened post-petition. But there is a provision that provides, and I'll quote: "To the fullest extent permitted by law ResCap is to indemnify defendant and hold harmless nondebtor affiliates from any and all losses that relate to, arise out of, or result principally from the business operations of ResCap."

THE COURT: You just read something to me that says the nondebtor affiliates. When was that agreed to?

MR. HAIMS: No, that was bracketed.

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
THE COURT: You had bracketed that language.
MR. HAIMS: Yeah, bracketed. So they've been
paying -- they have a duty to indemnify and have in fact been covering the costs of these cases under the amended and restated operating agreement.

And finally, the debtors satis -- we think the debtors satisfied, in the alternative, all four factors to obtain 105 relief. Three of them, irreparable harm and the ability to restructure, balance of harm, and public policy, I think that --

THE COURT: Where's the irreparable harm?
MR. HAIMS: Well, I think irreparable harm is the depletion of --

THE COURT: I mean, Ally Financial is certainly in a position to pay the defense costs if the debtors can't. Let's put the discovery issue aside for a second, just for a moment.

MR. HAIMS: Um-hum.
THE COURT: I see it as a big issue, but it's not as if the nondebtor defendants, individual or entities, are being left without someone to pick up the defense costs if the actions proceed against them.

MR. HAIMS: Purely from a monetary point of view you're right. However, as I said, all of the discovery, all of the documents, all of the witnesses are ResCap employees, they're ResCap documents, they're housed at ResCap. And the eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
burden to produce those and to make these witnesses available and to make these documents available is considerable. And in this short time frame between now and confirmation of the plan all of these folks are completely overtaxed and, as we have and you'll hear --

THE COURT: Who is the underwriter? Ally Securities? MR. HAIMS: Yes.

THE COURT: You're telling me they don't have documents?

MR. HAIMS: They don't have --
THE COURT: They're an underwriter and they don't have documents?

MR. HAIMS: They don't have the loan files and they don't have the -- no, that's correct, Your Honor. They have some documents but they don't have the key doc --

THE COURT: Why shouldn't they be -- to the extent that the discovery proceeds in any of the actions, why shouldn't Ally Securities have to produce whatever documents are in its possession -- custody; I'll leave control out of it. From what you're saying, if they've got documents that relate to their underwriting activities, which they better have, why shouldn't they have to produce those? Let's put aside -- I'm putting aside the issue --

MR. HAIMS: From the debtor --
THE COURT: -- of the documents that are in the eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net possession of the debtors.

MR. HAIMS: From the debtors' point of view, if Ally Securities is going to produce documents solely that Ally Securities has, that's not of concern to the debtor.

THE COURT: I'm sorry?
MR. HAIMS: That's okay with the debtor; the debtors don't have an issue with that.

THE COURT: So you're prepared to carve that out of your request for injunctive relief, that the nondebtors -there's no injunction against discovery requests to the nondebtors to produce any documents that are in their possession?

MR. HAIMS: I don't know that I'm prepared to carve it out without consulting, but I think that that --

THE COURT: I'm just trying to understand what --
MR. HAIMS: Yeah. I --
THE COURT: You're asking for very broad relief.
MR. HAIMS: Um-hum.
THE COURT: And you may be entitled to it all and you may not; you may not be entitled to any of it. But what I'm trying to understand is you say all of the documents are in the possession of the debtors, and that's not a hundred percent accurate, right?

MR. HAIMS: I said virtually all of the documents are in there, and I think that that is accurate. I don't know what eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. Ally Securities has. I don't represent Ally Securities. I'm talking from the debtors' point of view; and from the debtors' point of view, if the debtor has to go --

THE COURT: Have you ever represented underwriters before?

MR. HAIMS: I have represented underwriters.
THE COURT: And do you know what documents underwriters typically have in their files in connection with offerings they've done?

MR. HAIMS: Yeah, and I think an underwriter -- they may have an underwriting file. I just don't know. Again, I don't represent Ally Securities here. But from the debtors' point of --

THE COURT: No, but you're seeking relief on their behalf.

MR. HAIMS: Correct. Again, principally addressed to documents and information that the debtors have.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. HAIMS: So in sum, Your Honor, we believe in
joining these claims against the nondebtor affiliates to avoid harm to the debtors and allow them the time -- and again, we're only asking for a few months -- to restructure, is, we submit, far greater than allowing the plaintiffs to continue to prosecute these private securities litigation cases during this limited period.
eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
THE COURT: The stipulation that you -- to resolve the
issue as to eighteen of the cases --
MR. HAIMS: Um-hum.
THE COURT: -- has an October 31 --
MR. HAIMS: Correct.
THE COURT: -- date for expiration of the stay. But
yet in the blackline order that you filed last night you're
still asking for an injunction or a stay through confirmation.
MR. HAIMS: That's correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Why --
MR. HAIMS: Well, that --
THE COURT: Why should you get any more than October
31 when you agreed in eighteen of the cases to the October
31 --
MR. HAIMS: Because we consensually agreed to that. This was pre-hearing, before all the work that had to go into this, and this was what we requested in our initial motion. The other was subject to a settlement, and without a settlement I'm not sure why we should go forward --

THE COURT: Well, you need to persuade me why it would be appropriate, in the first instance, to extend the stay through confirmation. You know in McHale v. Alvarez -MR. HAIMS: I do.

THE COURT: -- I didn't grant a stay through
confirmation of a plan, but a hard date subject to possible eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net extension, and in fact the parties agreed to an extension of it before the deadline.

MR. HAIMS: Right.
THE COURT: I was reluctant there and reluctant here to include -- to not have a specific date and leave it subject to other events. People can always come back and ask to extend it or shorten it, for that matter. If events overtake it and there's some change in circumstances that would justify vacating an injunction, that's always possible too.

MR. HAIMS: Your Honor, I think breathing room until October 31 , as we agreed to with the other parties, is appropriate. We've made this motion seeking for confirmation through the plan. It's not -- you know, October 31 -- we're likely to be back here October 31 , but if that's what Your Honor is most comfortable with --

THE COURT: I don't know.
MR. HAIMS: -- we'd be -- that's okay with us.
THE COURT: All right.
MR. HAIMS: I now turn to the evidence.
THE COURT: Why don't you offer whatever evidence --
MR. HAIMS: Yes. So the first declaration we offer into evidence is the declaration of Jeffrey Lipps. That's dated May 24th, 2012. The declaration is ECF docket number 6. Mr . Lipps is outside counsel to the debtor in many of these cases.
eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250
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THE COURT: Any objection to the Lipps declaration being admitted into evidence?

MR. ETKIN: Michael Etkin, Your Honor, Lowenstein Sandler. We have no objection. We would just like to note for the record that the Lipps declaration does contain statements of law and legal argument. We'll leave it to the Court to separate the wheat from the chaff with respect to that. I just would like to note that for the record.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Etkin.
All right. The Lipps declaration, which is ECF number 6, is admitted into evidence for the purposes of this hearing. (Jeffrey Lipps declaration, dated May 24, 2010, ECF docket number 6, was hereby received into evidence, as of this date.)

MR. HAIMS: The second declaration that we offer into evidence is the declaration of James Whitlinger, dated May 25th, 2012. The declaration is ECF docket number 7. Mr. Whitlinger is the chief financial officer of debtor, Residential Capital, LLC.

THE COURT: Any objections to the Whitlinger declaration?

All right. The Whitlinger declaration is admitted into evidence.
(James Whitlinger declaration, dated May 25, 2012, ECF docket number 7, was hereby received into evidence, as of this date.)

MR. HAIMS: The third declaration that we offer into eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
evidence is the declaration of Anne Janiczek, dated May 25th, 2012. The declaration is ECF docket number 8. Ms. Janiczek is the chief human resources officer for the mortgage division at ResCap.

THE COURT: Any objections to the Janiczek
declaration?
All right. The Janiczek declaration is admitted into evidence.
(Anne Janiczek declaration, dated May 25th, 2010, ECF docket number 8, was hereby received into evidence, as of this date.)

MR. HAIMS: The fourth and final declaration that we offer into evidence is the supplemental declaration of Jeffrey Lipps dated July 6, 2012. This declaration is ECF docket number 59.

THE COURT: Any objections to the supplemental Lipps declaration?

MR. ETKIN: No objection, Your Honor, just subject to the same statement I made earlier.

THE COURT: All right. The Lipps supplemental declaration is admitted into evidence.
(Supplemental declaration of Jeffrey Lipps, dated July 6, 2012, ECF docket number 59. was hereby received into evidence, as of this date.)

MR. HAIMS: I next turn to the exhibits, Your Honor. Your Honor should have in front of you a binder of exhibits eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
marked 1 to 31.
THE COURT: I've got --
MR. HAIMS: Yeah, it's a black one.
It is our intention to offer all of these into evidence, so with the Court's permission, I would offer into evidence Exhibits 1 to 31.

THE COURT: Have the object -- you provided copies of these to the objectors?

MR. HAIMS: Yeah, we have. Yes.
THE COURT: Mr. Etkin, do you have objections to any of the exhibits?

MR. ETKIN: No, Your Honor. In fact, to short circuit the process a little bit, the parties have all agreed that all exhibits set forth in the binders and the exhibit list filed with the Court will be admitted into evidence in this proceeding.

THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else want to be heard on that point?

All right.
MR. HAIMS: Thank you, Your Honor. I have nothing further at this time.

THE COURT: In light of that statement, the debtors'
Exhibits 1 through 31 are admitted into evidence.
(Debtors' Exhibits 1 - 31 were hereby received into evidence, as of this date.)
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MR. HAIMS: Thank you, Your Honor. I have nothing further at this time.

THE COURT: Who would you wish to cross-examine, Mr.
Etkin?
MR. ETKIN: Your Honor, with your permission, I heard a couple of things in Mr . Haims' opening remarks that caused me to just --

THE COURT: Before you do that, let me just -- Mr.
Haims, do you rest?
MR. HAIMS: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. ETKIN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Michael
Etkin, Lowenstein Sandler, on behalf of the plaintiffs in four of the remaining cases before you today in connection with the motion to extend the stay or enforce stay under 105.

There may have been some miscommunication, Your Honor. It's happened to me before, so I'm not going to lay this at anyone's doorstep. But I heard some things from Mr. Haims just a moment ago that tie in with some of the discussions that we had in connection with a resolution of this motion, short of a full-blown hearing, that $I$ was under the impression were not acceptable to the debtor, but now it appears that they are.

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this question. I don't want to get -- you know, the parties often do better when they settle matters themselves because sometimes when the Court eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. decides, somebody's going to be disappointed and it may be that both sides are disappointed. So based on what you heard, do you believe that there is a realistic possibility that you can resolve your differences with the debtors?

MR. ETKIN: Yes.
THE COURT: How much time do you think you would need to discuss that? I'm only talking about a short recess, but if you think -- you're an experienced lawyer, if you think there's a realistic chance that you can resolve the issues -- obviously eighteen of the case it was resolved. And so how much time do you think you need to do that?

MR. ETKIN: From my perspective, based upon what I heard, not much time, Your Honor. Maybe ten or fifteen minutes, unless I'm misreading things. You know, sometimes some of the questions posed by the Court, initially, can also cause parties to maybe rethink certain aspects of a case, and --

THE COURT: Sometimes courts do that for a reason.
MR. ETKIN: That's --
THE COURT: Let me --
MR. ETKIN: So I don't think that long.
THE COURT: Let me suggest this. It's five after 12 on the clock in the court, which is a few minutes fast, but -if we proceed, you're going to cross-examine one witness, is that --
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MR. ETKIN: Two, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Two?
MR. ETKIN: Yes.
THE COURT: Do you have an estimate of approximately
how much time you think your cross would take?
MR. ETKIN: I advised debtors' counsel that I thought that it would be in the range of a half an hour for each -THE COURT: Okay.

MR. ETKIN: -- give or take.
THE COURT: All right. Let's do this. Let's take our
lunch recess now until 1:30. All right? And I don't want to deprive you of lunch, but why don't you see -- now, there are other objectors as well. I don't know whether you can speak for anybody else.

Any of the other objectors also think there's cause to believe you can resolve issues?

Why don't you identify yourself?
MR. DEFILIPPO: Your Honor, Paul DeFilippo for Western \& Southern.

THE COURT: Right.
MR. DEFILIPPO: I'm always willing to talk, Your
Honor. We didn't hear anything about one of the key issues to us, which is the need for tolling of any time periods that run against us. I presume the debtors are willing to do that since they didn't oppose that request in our papers, but they haven't eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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conceded they would.
THE COURT: Yeah. I mean, I -- I'm not deciding anything right now, but $I$ have a hard time thinking that $I$ would enter an order enjoining progress of the case without including a provision that tolled limitations.

But Mr. Haims?
MR. HAIMS: Maybe if I could just refer to that. The issue with respect to the tolling here is tolling of individual former officers and directors who are not named in that law suit. So we've agreed to toll the corporate defendants who were in the lawsuit and even the corporate defendants who are not in the lawsuit. What we didn't agree to do is to chase former directors and officers who are no longer named in those lawsuits to try to get them to toll.

MR. DEFILIPPO: The problem with that, of course, is, Your Honor, that those people were dismissed out of our action on jurisdictional grounds. And if they are the beneficiaries of a stay now, without a tolling provision, we are going to run into statute of limitation problems in August. So that issue is a live one for us.

And in addition, Your Honor, I think we're the only party that has a discovery cutoff date, at least of the parties that have objected, and that's April 4th, 2013.

THE COURT: Where is your action pending?
MR. DEFILIPPO: Ohio.
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THE COURT: In state court?
MR. DEFILIPPO: State court in Ohio. We have a
discovery cutoff date. We have nonaffiliated entities that are defendants as well, underwriters like Citibank. And we don't have the means to address the problem of the discovery cutoff date and the absence of a willingness on the part of the debtors to provide their documents which are essential to us to prosecute our claims against the parties that will not be the beneficiaries of a stay under any circumstances.

THE COURT: Okay. You want -- yes?
MS. MATTHEWS: Kathryn Matthews --
THE COURT: Could you come up to the microphone so we
get a clear record?
MR. HAIMS: Your Honor, if I could just address the discovery stay for one second?

THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. HAIMS: The discovery stay -- and we've talked about it -- discovery cutoff is April of 2013, and we don't think that should affect a limited stay --

THE COURT: You'll talk about it --
MR. HAIMS: -- through October 31.
THE COURT: -- during the break.
Come on up.
MS. MATTHEWS: Kathryn Matthews, Your Honor, from
Grais \& Ellsworth on behalf of the FDIC as receiver for two eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
failed banks.
I'm not promising anything but we also think that we could benefit, perhaps, from a little bit of time to talk to the --

THE COURT: Well, let's --
MS. MATTHEWS: -- debtors' counsel.
THE COURT: So I don't use up more of the time than necessary, let's break until 1:30, come back, see whether you're able to resolve issues. Okay? Let's see where we are at 1:30, okay?

MS. MATTHEWS: Thank you.
MR. HAIMS: Thanks very much, Your Honor.
MR. DEFILIPPO: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Recess at 12:05 p.m. until 1:35 p.m.)
THE COURT: Please be seated. All right. We're back on the record in Residential Capital, the Allstate Insurance Co. adversary proceeding 12-01671.

MR. HAIMS: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Haims.
MR. HAIMS: I could report that we've had discussions with all of the counsel, taken individually with Mr. Etkin and his four clients -- his four cases. We have agreed to a resolution of this motion.

In the Cambridge Place case, two cases, we'll agree to the motion to dismiss going forward to decision.
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In the Union Central case, we'll agree to brief the motion to dismiss towards decision.

In the New Jersey Carpenter's case, we'll agree to have class certification go forward to decision. In the New Jersey Carpenter's case, if there is any cleanup discovery against nondebtors under any existing discovery requests relating to class certs -- and Mr. Etkin wasn't aware of any -that would go forward.

And in the Cambridge case, we would agree to discovery against Ally Securities of Ally Securities documents so long as it doesn't cause the debtors expense or burden to produce those documents.

So we have reached resolution with Mr. Etkin in these four cases.

In the FDIC, we have -- that case was just filed after the bankruptcy in May. We have agreed that Ally Securities would file a motion to dismiss. We've agreed to the same discovery with respect to Ally Securities, should that happen. However, we've requested that the motion to --

THE COURT: Just --
MR. HAIMS: Sure.
THE COURT: -- explain the discovery, how you resolved the discovery issue there.

MR. HAIMS: Well, we've agreed that Ally Securities* would produce documents that Ally Securities* -eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. THE COURT: That it has in its possession. MR. HAIMS: -- has, and not documents that the debtor --

THE COURT: I assume you're going to document what you're --

MR. HAIMS: Yeah, we will.
THE COURT: That's fine.
MR. HAIMS: We'll work on language.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. HAIMS: We just didn't have time.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. HAIMS: For the FDIC, we've asked in return for the motion to dismiss, and for the Ally Securities' production, we've asked that the motion to withdraw and the motion to dismiss before Your Honor be withdrawn or stayed till after the discovery period -- the stay period, and we don't have confirmation on that as of yet.

And lastly, with respect to Western \& Southern, those discussions were not fruitful. Western \& Southern is still insisting on tolling agreements from the dismissed individuals, which we cannot deliver. And they've now asked for discovery from the debtors, and we just are not going to agree to that. So with respect to Western \& Southern and perhaps the FDIC, we're going to have to go forward with the hearing.

That's all I have, Your Honor.
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THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Etkin, anything you want to add?

MR. ETKIN: Those are the general contours, Your Honor, of the agreement that we've worked out. Of course we have to reduce it to a stipulation, and other aspects of the existing stipulation that's filed will be baked into ours as well. And we appreciate Your Honor giving us the time to attempt to work it out.

THE COURT: I'm glad you were able to work it out. MR. ETKIN: Thank -THE COURT: Thank you very much. MR. ETKIN: Thank you very much, Your Honor. And may I be excused?

THE COURT: You're excused.
And who's representing FDIC?
MS. MATTHEWS: I am, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Why don't you come on up to the microphone? Tell me your name again, I'm sorry.

MS. MATTHEWS: Kathryn Matthews from Grais \&
Ellsworth.
THE COURT: Okay, Ms. Matthews. So where do things stand from your standpoint?

MS. MATTHEWS: Well, as Mr. Haims said, we have reached an agreement that Ally Securities* would go ahead and make a motion to dismiss in conformance with Judge Swain's eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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schedule and that should there be the opportunity --
THE COURT: I thought there was no schedule yet.
MS. MATTHEWS: Oh, there's a schedule in the motion to
dismiss. The parties are to submit their briefing by July 30th.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. MATTHEWS: And the motion is to be fully submitted by the end of October.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. MATTHEWS: There's no discovery that's pending right now, but should there be the opportunity to take some discovery, that we would be permitted to go ahead and serve discovery on Ally Securities* itself for its own documents. I am just waiting to hear back from the client as to whether we will be willing to agree to put our motion to withdraw the reference and our motion to dismiss in abeyance until October 31st, and I just don't have an answer on that right now.

THE COURT: Okay. When do you -- so Mr. Haims, it was a little unclear to me, you have the agreement on the other issues, is everything dependent on -- is an agreement -- is it all or nothing? That's what I'm trying to understand.

MR. HAIMS: Well, I think, Your Honor, otherwi -- I mean, from our point of view, if we have to now then brief a motion to dismiss, if the debtors now have to brief a motion to dismiss, you know, we're here and this might as well go eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
forward, but you know, it's --
MS. MATTHEWS: From our point of view, if the Court lacks jurisdiction to go over this dispute, then that should be decided, regardless of what we agree to as far as --

MR. HAIMS: And we're not saying jurisdiction shouldn't be decided. All we're saying is put everything in abeyance until October 31 and all of those issues can be resolved then.

THE COURT: Ms. Matthews, how is it that you propose to proceed at this point?

MS. MATTHEWS: If I cannot get my client on the phone to hash this out then I will participate and cross-examine as we had planned.

THE COURT: And you've endeavored to get your client and you can't?

MS. MATTHEWS: I have.
THE COURT: Are they going to be available later this afternoon?

MS. MATTHEWS: I would certainly think so. It does seem silly to go through with the cross-exam -- the witnesses as to discovery burden when we've -- I think the parties have agreed that the FDIC's action really does not --

THE COURT: Right.
MS. MATTHEWS: -- impose any discovery burden, and nothing is really set to happen between now and October 31st eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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other than briefing on the motion to dismiss and perhaps initial disclosures by the parties.

THE COURT: And you think Judge Swain is going to have a burning desire to deal with your motion to withdraw the reference?

MS. MATTHEWS: I can't speak to Judge Swain's desires.
THE COURT: So you filed your motion to dismiss here.
MS. MATTHEWS: We did.
THE COURT: And that part of it let me make easy, I'm just going to adjourn the motion -- as long as it remains here in this court, I'll just simply adjourn briefing and argument on the motion to dismiss until after October 31.

Now, you do have a motion to withdraw the reference. I don't get to speak to that.

MS. MATTHEWS: Shall we go ahead and hopefully in the next fifteen, twenty minutes I can hear back from my client and I --

THE COURT: Sure. Let me see where --
MS. MATTHEWS: -- I'll, you know, perhaps I go last in the line and hopefully by the time it would be my turn to examine the witnesses I can report back.

THE COURT: Yes, let's try and proceed that way. It does seem silly to me to go forward with your crossexamination, but let's let me see where things stand. All right.
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THE COURT: Who's representing W\&S?
MR. DEFILIPPO: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Paul
DeFilippo for Western \& Southern.
Your Honor, I don't think we're going to need to cross-examine any of the declarants, so if it pleases the Court, I could make a closing argument. I'd need to move in -THE COURT: Yeah, you need to move your exhibits.

MR. DEFILIPPO: I need to move my exhibits in, which are Western \& Southern A, B, and C attached to the Fitzgerald declaration. And I'm prepared to proceed with argument at Your Honor's convenience.

THE COURT: Well, let me just ask, are there any objections as to Exhibits A, B, and C -- Western \& Southern A, $B$, and C?

MR. HAIMS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. They're admitted into evidence.
(Western \& Southern Life Insurance Co.'s Exhibits A, B, and C, attached to the Fitzgerald declaration, were hereby received into evidence, as of this date.)

THE COURT: Let me just -- if I have to go ahead and decide I'll go ahead and decide, but let me just -- I want to make sure I understand what issues are separating you in terms of resolving the matter. You raised the issue before lunch eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
that, as I understand it, there were some -- I don't know whether they're present or former officers and directors who were dismissed from the pending action.

MR. DEFILIPPO: Former, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Former? Okay, who have been dismissed from the -- was that pursuant to a decision of the Court?

MR. DEFILIPPO: Yes, that decision is Exhibit W\&S B, and they were dismissed for lack of personal jurisdiction of the Ohio court over them. The debtors have informed us they are unable to consent to the tolling provisions on behalf of those parties. Since they're former officers and directors, the debtors don't represent them, and to my knowledge, they're not otherwise represented in this proceeding.

THE COURT: Well, let me stop you there.
Mr. Haims, you're asking -- are you asking for relief as to those former officers and directors as well?

MR. HAIMS: No, Your Honor. Oh, yes -- yes, Your
Honor.
THE COURT: Yes, you are.
MR. HAIMS: I'm sorry, I --
THE COURT: Well --
MR. HAIMS: They were in the mo -- the motion to
dismiss came down after the --
THE COURT: The decision on the motion to dismiss --
MR. HAIMS: The decision came down after the initial eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
moving of the papers.
THE COURT: I mean, I hear you about this, but if I grant a preliminary injunction, in one fashion or another, I would undoubtedly condition it on the agreement or consent of any party for whose benefit the injunction is issued consents to toll the statute of limitations. I am not going to give -I mean, it certainly -- the whole purpose of an injunction is to maintain -- it's essentially to maintain the status quo.

And if the statute of limitations -- you know, the continuing running of the statute of limitations as to any individual or entity that would benefit from the injunction, that seems inconsistent to me.

So I mean, I could understand you saying you don't have the authority to agree on those individuals' behalf, since you don't represent them, to a tolling of the statute of limitations. But any order that was crafted, either based on a decision by me or through settlement with Mr. DeFilippo, could provide that the stay applies to individuals or entities, to the extent that they agree or consent to a tolling of any applicable statute of limitations. I mean, it seems -- I mean, do you have any authority that says I ought to enjoin Mr.

DeFilippo's clients from attempting to proceed against anyone but allow the statute of limitations to run in the meantime?

MR. HAIMS: Your Honor, just to be -- I just want to be clear.
eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
THE COURT: Come up to the microphone so we have a clear record.

MR. HAIMS: I'm sorry, I just want to be clear. And you're right, I don't have -- I don't represent them, I don't know them, and I don't have authority to speak on their behalf, but the motion, when it was filed and the relief we were seeking was only seeking to stay the Ohio action and the claims in the Ohio action. The tolling agreement that's being requested is far broader than that, because they're not parties in the Ohio action. And for that, that's a compl -- I think a completely different --

THE COURT: That's why I asked you --
MR. HAIMS: -- topic.
THE COURT: -- the question: Are you seeking to have the injunction apply to them? First you said no --

MR. HAIMS: Well --
THE COURT: -- then you talked to somebody in the back and then you said yes.

MR. HAIMS: Well, in the --
THE COURT: So you can't have it both ways. If you want the injunction to apply as to them, then you may not be able to agree on their behalf to toll the statute of limitations, but if I issue -- if I issue the injunction, I could very well condition it on their consent to toll. So are you seeking an injunction on their behalf or not?
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MR. HAIMS: We certainly were when we made the motion because the case was still --

THE COURT: Well --
MR. HAIMS: -- pending against them.
THE COURT: -- but now you're here today and that's --
MR. HAIMS: Now we're here today, and I -- I'd have to
consult. I'd have to think about that one. I just haven't that one through.

THE COURT: Well, Mr. DeFilippo, does that solve your problem if --

MR. DEFILIPPO: It goes a long way, Your Honor. The other issue is the Ally Securities*, which is the only nondebtor affiliate that is still a defendant, and whether it's appropriate to stay the action against them. We don't think it is.

THE COURT: Well, look, that's -- then you may as well just go ahead and fight this battle.

MR. DEFILIPPO: Your Honor --
THE COURT: I mean, everybody else who has agreed, and now --

MR. DEFILIPPO: Yes. I hate to be -- we have the deadline of April 4th, 2013 for completion of fact disc -- of all discovery in that case. I don't know if Ally Securities* is going to be ultimately the beneficiary of a permanent stay in a plan or otherwise, but if they're not, I can envision eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. difficultly complying with the Ohio court's deadlines if they have to play catch-up in terms of their ability to defend that action, which will proceed in their absence if you do stay it. So I'm not in a position where I can consent to a stay against them. I understand that Your Honor has the power to do so and the discretion to do so. We don't think that requiring them to defend themselves in any way threatens the debtors'
reorganization. We don't believe there has been any showing -THE COURT: Well, that's the issue on the motion. MR. DEFILIPPO: Right.

THE COURT: And you know, if it goes forward on a contested basis, I'll decide that.

MR. DEFILIPPO: We also ask Your Honor, if you do
impose a stay, not to permit it to be open ended till
confirmation.
THE COURT: I've already -- I think I've sort of made
that point clear --
MR. DEFILIPPO: I --
THE COURT: -- before. I didn't rule, but I pretty
well indicated. That won't foreclose the debtor from coming forward seeking to extend it or --

MR. DEFILIPPO: Us seeking --
THE COURT: -- the plaintiffs in the action coming
forward seeking to vacate it. That --
MR. DEFILIPPO: And I'd like to just correct one thing eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

Mr. Haims said earlier. We understand we have to get Your Honor's permission to take discovery of the debtors. We're going to file a motion to do that, so we will be back here making the arguments that go to whether or not it's truly an imposition on the debtors for them to produce --

THE COURT: Well, we better hash that out then today.
You're too far apart. I mean, if -- I mean, the issue of -there is an automatic stay in place with respect to discovery from the debtors.

MR. DEFILIPPO: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: This motion has nothing to do with that.
MR. DEFILIPPO: We agree with that.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. DEFILIPPO: But we need the debtors --
THE COURT: And if you make the motion to vacate the stay, you're going to carry the burden.

MR. DEFILIPPO: I understand that as well, Your Honor.
But we can't avoid the fact that (a), the debtor has all the documents that we need and (b), we have to --

THE COURT: Are you going to pay for them to produce it all?

MR. DEFILIPPO: I can't commit to that without talking to our client, but --

THE COURT: Well, I'm not going to decide -- that issue is not before me today, but you or anyone else who is eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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seeking to lift the stay to launch discovery against the debtors is going to carry a very heavy burden.

MR. DEFILIPPO: Understood, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Matthews, do you want to try and see if you can track your client down again?

MS. MATTHEWS: Sure.
THE COURT: I just -- because it just doesn't -before we -- if we're going to go forward, that's fine, we'll go forward. We're going to go forward anyway, but I want to know -- I think you ought to know whether you need to actively participate.

MS. MATTHEWS: Yes. Could I just have a five-minute break?

THE COURT: Let's -- we'll break till a quarter after 2, because it doesn't sound like -- we're not going to have any cross-examination of any witnesses, so we'll just have argument. If you want to cross-examine, obviously you can, if we're going to go forward with it. So let's break until a quarter after 2. Okay? Thank you.
(Recess from 13:54 p.m. until 14:16 p.m.)
THE COURT: Please be seated.
MR. HAIMS: Your Honor, I can report some additional
progress. We've agreed with the FDIC that the motion to
dismiss will go forward, the motion to dismiss in the underlying case, the Ally Securities*, if any discovery, will eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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go forward -- could go forward. The motion to dismiss in this adversary proceeding will be put off till October 31. And --

THE COURT: By that --
MR. HAIMS: Well --
THE COURT: -- a date after October 31 --
MR. HAIMS: Yeah.
THE COURT: -- that it gets set.
MR. HAIMS: And while the FD -- counsel doesn't have authority to withdraw the motion to withdraw, we have agreed that if Judge Swain were to grant the motion to withdraw, they would agree to the same terms of the stay --

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. HAIMS: -- till October 31. So with that, I think we have agreement with the FDIC.

THE COURT: Ms. Matthews?
MS. MATTHEWS: Yes, that's right.
THE COURT: Okay, thank you very much.
MR. HAIMS: And there's one further clarification with respect to Western \& Southern and the discussion on the tolling agreement. Again, I don't represent these --

THE COURT: I know.
MR. HAIMS: -- these individuals, but from the
debtors' point of view, we won't object to the tolling agreement on the same terms of a tolling agreement that we have in the stipulation with all the other eighteen defendants, eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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which tolls any claims that could be asserted by them in the MBS action during the stay period. That's all we ask for the relief, and that's -- I think for that we wouldn't object.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. O'NEILL: Your Honor, Brad O'Neill, Kramer Levin on behalf of the committee. Just a few brief comments.

The committee is generally supportive of the debtors' application, subject to certain limitations. We're mindful of the fact that now all but one of the defendants in the adversary have consented to the relief, including two members of the committee or --

THE COURT: I didn't understand that, but you'll explain it.

MR. O'NEILL: It is a fact, but our supportiveness, however, is conditioned on two things which I think are embodied in the stipulations that you've seen and I think reflected in your comments this morning. And that is that the injunction should be finite and specifically limited through October 31 and subject to a complete reservation of rights so that all parties, when October 31 arrives, if there is a dispute about the propriety of continuing the injunction, all parties rights are reserved and all parties, including the committee, can make whatever arguments are appropriate.

THE COURT: Thank you.
MR. O'NEILL: But on that basis -- and also, by the eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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way, the additional limitations Your Honor discussed this morning seem appropriate.

THE COURT: The additional limitations being -MR. O'NEILL: On the scope of the injunction to allow motions to dismiss to go forward. But subject to those limitations, we're supportive of the debtors' application.

THE COURT: Thank you very much.
Anybody else want to be heard before Mr. DeFilippo?
Okay, we don't have any movement with respect to you and your clients?

MR. DEFILIPPO: I have no authority to consent to the injunction, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Haims, you want to argue?
MR. HAIMS: Well, I --
THE COURT: Well, let me say, do you rest, Mr.
DeFilippo?
MR. DEFILIPPO: I rest, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. So both sides have rested.
Go ahead, Mr. Haims, you want to argue?
MR. HAIMS: Your Honor, I'm not going to repeat what I said this morning. I'll keep my remarks very brief.

We're talking about we have one case. That case involves, as I understand it, 23,000 loans. They're second lien loans, so in addition to --

THE COURT: All second liens?
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MR. HAIMS: They're all second liens, 23,000, which entail not only 23,000 second loan files, but in many cases will involve first lien files as well. The scope is large. Just because it's one deal and because it's one case doesn't lessen the burden at all. We've said and we've agreed with twenty-six now or twenty-five -- four of the twenty-five that certain things can go forward. The one thing that we have not agreed and will not agree to go forward is discovery against the debtors, and I think that's where we draw the line.

THE COURT: Just so that I am clear --
MR. HAIMS: Um-hum.
THE COURT: -- give me the name of the case. I just
want to make sure I --
MR. HAIMS: Western \& Southern. It's -- I have the
full name here. It's Western \& Southern Life Insurance Co. v. Residential Funding, Co. It's in the Ohio -- it's in Ohio state court.

THE COURT: Hamilton County?
MR. HAIMS: Hamilton County.
THE COURT: Court of common pleas in Hamilton.
MR. HAIMS: Right, and the individual defendants were
dismissed, and the only nondebtor affiliate in that case is
Ally Securities. So we've gotten very broad discovery
requests. The discovery requests -- or Ally Securities* has gotten very broad discovery requests. They request loan files.
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As I just said, the loan files are large, notwithstanding it's one deal and one case. We think those are -- we don't think those are in Ally -- we think those are not subject to production by Ally Securities* but we think an injunction here is necessary so that we're not litigating this issue again as to the scope of Ally Securities' production and whether those documents have to be produced that are in the debtors' possession, custody and control by Ally Securities*. Now, other than that, there's nothing --

THE COURT: Tell me, this was listed as number 27 on Exhibit B to the complaint. It's the list that I'm -MR. HAIMS: It was alphabetically --

THE COURT: -- that I'm looking at in front of me and it was for me to keep track. Who are the debtor defendants? I see the lead defendant was Residential Funding, which is a debtor.

MR. HAIMS: There was --
THE COURT: Who are the -- what are you look -- do I have something that -- a chart that shows --

MR. HAIMS: You just have the complaint. And we have in the Lipps declaration, which is docket number 6, on page 13, paragraph 34. And the debtor entities that are named are --

THE COURT: Hang on a second.
MR. HAIMS: Your Honor, would it help if I just give you a copy of it?
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THE COURT: It would be.
MR. HAIMS: Yes.
THE COURT: It would.
MR. HAIMS: Okay.
THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you.
MR. HAIMS: And because there are abbreviations, the
debtors' names are: Residential Funding, Co., LLC; GMAC
Mortgage, LLC; Residential Accredit Loans, Inc.; Residential Asset Mortgage Products, Inc.; and Residential Funding Mortgage Securities I, Inc.

THE COURT: Okay. And Ally Securities* is the only remaining nondebtor affiliate?

MR. HAIMS: Correct.
THE COURT: And are there nonaffiliate defendants in the case? Do you know, Mr. DeFilippo, can you help me on that?

MR. DEFILIPPO: Pardon me, Your Honor, I didn't hear your question.

THE COURT: Are there --
MR. HAIMS: There are.
THE COURT: -- defendants who are --
MR. DEFILIPPO: Nonaffiliates?
THE COURT: -- nondebtors or affiliates?
MR. DEFILIPPO: There are six or seven underwriters that are not debtors or affiliates of the debtors.
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MR. HAIMS: Yes, of course, Your Honor.
(Pause)
THE COURT: Are any of the individual former directors and officers still defendants in the case?

MR. HAIMS: No, Your Honor --
THE COURT: Everybody -- they were all dismissed on --
MR. HAIMS: They were all dismissed on the motion
to --
THE COURT: -- jurisdiction --
MR. HAIMS: Correct.
THE COURT: -- personal jurisdiction grounds?
MR. HAIMS: Correct.
THE COURT: Are there any unaffiliated individuals who
remain in the case? Do you understand what I mean, Mr.
DeFilippo?
MR. DEFILIPPO: Yeah, there are defendants.
MR. HAIMS: Individuals, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yeah, individuals.
MR. DEFILIPPO: Oh, individuals?
THE COURT: They're all entities that remain as
defendants in that case.
MR. DEFILIPPO: Correct.
(Pause)
THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. --
MR. HAIMS: So the only thing I would add is that we eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. think the injunction should be granted. If it's not granted we're going to be back here, we're going to be fighting over the production of documents over the -- in the debtors' possession, custody and control, and we just think -THE COURT: Well, you may be back over that anyway. MR. HAIMS: We may be anyway, but -THE COURT: Because the only -- as I understand it now, the only issue is whether I grant the preliminary injunction, probably the October 31 date as to Ally Financial. MR. HAIMS: Ally Securities*.

THE COURT: Ally Securities*, I'm sorry, Ally
Securities. Ally Financial is out of the case too?
MR. HAIMS: They're not in that case. They were never in that case.

THE COURT: They're not --
MR. HAIMS: That's just an Ally Securities* case.
THE COURT: Okay, Ally Securities. Whether I grant
the injunction as to Ally Securities, other than for the motions to dismiss, which are pending.

MR. DEFILIPPO: Already been heard and decided.
MR. HAIMS: Already been decided.
THE COURT: Already been decided.
MR. HAIMS: That's in discovery. That case is in
discovery.
THE COURT: That case is in discovery.
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MR. HAIMS: And that's the one with the discovery
cutoff in April. So all we're saying is --
THE COURT: Let me ask this.
MR. HAIMS: -- a temporary hold till April.
THE COURT: Let me ask this. Has Ally Securities*
produced documents that are in its possession?
MR. HAIMS: No, not yet.
THE COURT: When -- you've receive document requests
for Ally Securities.
MR. HAIMS: Requests, correct.
THE COURT: Have you responded to the written
requests?
MR. HAIMS: I believe we have, Your Honor, yes, we did, just recently.

THE COURT: And when were the documents -- absent an injunction, when are Ally Securities* documents to be produced?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's a rolling basis.
MR. HAIMS: Yeah, it's a rolling basis. There's no
schedule other than the discovery cutoff at the end --
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. HAIMS: -- answers and written responses were put
in, I believe it was last week.
THE COURT: Have any -- you said it was a rolling production. Have any of Ally Securities* documents been produced so far?
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MR. HAIMS: In this case?
THE COURT: In this -- in the Western \& Southern case.
MR. HAIMS: No, the written response was just put in
last week.
THE COURT: Okay.
MR. HAIMS: One of the issues -- as I understand it, the parties are still negotiating a confidentiality agreement which has been holding up the production.

THE COURT: Are you able to tell me, by category or type, what documents Ally Securities* has in its possession that are responsive to the outstanding request?

MR. HAIMS: I can't, Your Honor, because I'm not
counsel --
THE COURT: Can any of your colleagues who are here? MR. HAIMS: Yes, yes, yes.

THE COURT: Is counsel for Ally Securities* here?
MR. HAIMS: Hang on. Yes.
THE COURT: Come on up to the microphone.
MR. HAIMS: This is Mr . Lipps.
THE COURT: The man with the declaration.
MR. LIPPS: The man with two declarations.
THE COURT: Two declarations, okay.
MR. LIPPS: Jeff Lipps with Carpenter Lipps \& Leland.
We are of-counsel right now for Ally Securities* but in the process of transitioning out of that role.
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At this point in time you wanted to know what type of documents --

THE COURT: Yes.
MR. LIPPS: -- Ally Securities* would have. What we understand they have is they typically would have a deal file that would --

THE COURT: They're going to have a due diligence file.

MR. LIPPS: Well, the deal file would have the due diligence and the documentation in electronic form. There is a server that we call the Bethesda server, and there's a file tree and we have to work through and identify which of those files would actually relate to the particular deal at issue. There's only one for Ally Securities*; it's in the Western \& Southern case.

There are also some electronic communications, either instant messages or other communications like that that are captured at the broker-dealer level that would probably have communications that would relate to this securitization or any work that they had done in it.

And then there is Outlook type e-mails that may or may not require restoration, which are on the debtors' server, so to speak. They're on the debtors' backup tape, so there would be --

THE COURT: But not on your -- you don't have backup eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
tapes?
MR. LIPPS: Not for that -- not for the Outlook e-mail system. It was managed through the ResCap organization, so for those Ally Securities* employees that use the Outlook, those backup tapes would be in the possession of the debtors.

THE COURT: Okay. Have you endeavored to determine whether any of the individuals who worked on this matter from Ally Securities* have files? I mean, has a search been made of their files as well?

MR. LIPPS: We have collected files from the individuals that were involved in these securitizations while we were representing them as Ally Securities* and then prepetition when we were involved in that. The people that were in the securitization effort at Ally Securities* have now gone, so there are no current employees there that had anything to do with any of the securitizations. So it would be a historic capture that we have done.

THE COURT: I mean, what you say is not evidence, but can you at least -- I mean, what you're saying now as opposed to what was in your declarations --

MR. LIPPS: I hope I'm not inconsistent.
THE COURT: No, well -- I mean, I would like you to address, if you could, the effort or burden that would be required to produce the documents, electronic or paper, that are in the possession of Ally Securities*, not what's on the eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
debtors' servers but what's in the possession or custody of Ally Securities*.

MR. LIPPS: Well, if we're going to exclude the Outlook, because I can speak to that. That is a burden because that's the burden that was similar to what we were addressing with respect to e-mail searches in my declaration. But as to the Bethesda server, unfortunately there are no current employees, so what we have, essentially, is a file tree, and it's, like, thousands of entries on there.

Am I right on that?
Yeah, there's thousands of entries, and part of it is we don't have any legacy knowledge, so there is a substantial effort that has to go, and a lot of it's, like, hit and miss. And so you'll be having IT people sort of hitting and missing. And again, the IT people are people at ResCap. They're not -the broker-dealer at Ally Securities* does not have an independent team of employees that are doing this. So it would be through the debtors, again, and you have to hit and miss and try and find the right file trees with the right information. So it is -- it has been slow undertaking and we've been in process with it in a couple of these cases because until this motion was heard we had an obligation to try and participate, and it's been a slow haul.

THE COURT: Well, who controls the Bethesda server?
Is that what you're referring to?
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MR. LIPPS: It's sitting there on -- well, who has possession of it?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It belongs to Ally Securities.
MR. LIPPS: That's what I thought. The Bethesda server, when the company was closed down, at least for the securitization side of it, that is owned by Ally Securities, but the actual Bethesda server documentation is being handled by the eDiscovery or IT people within ResCap.

THE COURT: So Mr. Haims, the agreements that were further reached in court would provide for Ally Securities* to produce documents that are in its possession. How are those going to be gathered? Have those been gathered? How are they going to be gathered? Is it any different than --

MR. HAIMS: It's no different than he's just
described.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. DeFilippo?
MR. DEFILIPPO: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I don't know whether you were in court at the earlier status conference or on the phone for -- I just don't recall; $I$ apologize for that.

MR. DEFILIPPO: Earlier today, Your Honor?
THE COURT: No, no, earlier in the -- because there was -- very early in the case with the adversary we had a -MR. DEFILIPPO: I was not.

THE COURT: -- status conference, and I had indicated eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. at that point, asking counsel for permission to speak with the judges in the actions. I have not spoken with the judge in Ohio.

I mean, I'll report briefly. I had previously spoken to Judge Cote, Judge Swain, Judge Daniels. Judge Baer's been on vacation, so I haven't spoken to him. I haven't spoken to any of the state court judges. Most of those matters were resolved by agreement, in any event, so I have not -- did not speak to the judge who has the matter.

In the conversations I had with the judges with whom I spoke was basically just to tell them that this adversary had been filed, there was a motion for a preliminary injunction. The day I spoke to Judge Cote was the morning after the motion to withdraw the reference was filed. I think that was the first news she had about it when I told her about it. And Judge Swain, it was a similar kind of conversation; the motion to withdraw the reference hadn't been filed yet when I talked to him. But I mean, your state court action -- your client's state court action focuses solely on -- for shorthand I'll call it ResCap RBS offerings.

MR. DEFILIPPO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: It doesn't?
MR. DEFILIPPO: Less than half of our offerings are

THE COURT: Okay.
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MR. DEFILIPPO: -- RMBS. There are other originators that are part of the lawsuit -- loans originated by others than ResCap and underwritten by the nonaffiliated underwriters.

THE COURT: Okay. How much of the case is made up of the ResCap.

MR. DEFILIPPO: May I consult with my --
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. DEFILIPPO: -- colleague?
THE COURT: Please go ahead.
MR. DEFILIPPO: Seventy million face of ResCap loans, and ninety-five million of non-ResCap.

THE COURT: Okay. What's the schedule in your case? MR. DEFILIPPO: The schedule is, all discovery must be completed by April 13th -- I'm sorry, April 4th, 2013. And there's a trial date set for January of 2014 . So we have a little less than nine months to complete discovery.

THE COURT: Fact and expert discovery? Everything?
MR. DEFILIPPO: All discovery. And that's set forth in Exhibit 3.

THE COURT: And if you were enjoined from proceeding with your -- enjoined until October 31 and subject to further order from proceeding with your case against Ally Securities, how to you envision proceeding with the balance of your case?

MR. DEFILIPPO: Well, we would proceed against the unaffiliated underwriters with respect to the nondebtor eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
documents.
RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.

THE COURT: I mean, there's nothing that inextricably links the ResCap piece of the case with the non-ResCap piece of the case.

MR. DEFILIPPO: Well, Your Honor, we'd hate to have to try two cases, of course.

THE COURT: No doubt.
MR. DEFILIPPO: And I'm sure all the defendants would hate to have to try two cases. That would be extremely wasteful.

THE COURT: When you say "all the defendants" --
MR. DEFILIPPO: The nondebtor defendants.
THE COURT: They're not going to -- the affiliated
nondebtor defendants are only -- you know, if I enjoin -- if 1
stay it, they're only going to try it once. It may not be at the same trial that you try --

MR. DEFILIPPO: Right.
THE COURT: -- against the -- on the non-ResCap --
MR. DEFILIPPO: Yes.
THE COURT: -- offerings.
MR. DEFILIPPO: Correct, Your Honor. What I was
trying to say was that it's our assumption that the parties and the Court would probably prefer -- the Court in Ohio, that is --

THE COURT: To do it once?
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MR. DEFILIPPO: -- would probably prefer to do it once.

THE COURT: As I would only prefer to do it once. No doubt.

MR. DEFILIPPO: Yes.
THE COURT: What, if any good does it do you if -because there are no pending motions. They've been decided already.

MR. DEFILIPPO: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What good would it do you if I permitted you to obtain document production from Ally Securities? I mean, without all of the loan files from the debtors, you're not going to be in a position, really, to move forward against them, are you?

MR. DEFILIPPO: That's most likely the case, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I mean, what's -- do you want to address the issue of the burden or expense to the debtors if the action proceeds against Ally Securities?

MR. DEFILIPPO: Yes, Your Honor. The debtors have submitted a substantial amount of evidence to the effect that Ally Securities has very limited documents. So there should be no burden to the debtors if Ally Securities is required to defend itself, because: a) it or its parent will pay its own defense costs; and it should not be burdensome or expensive for eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250
operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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it to produce its very limited documents. Nor should the debtors' employees -- although we've learned today for the first time, apparently that Ally Securities has no employees of its own -- so I suppose either an employee of Ally Financial, or another nondebtor, or perhaps an employee of the debtor, may have to coordinate the production of Ally Securities documents. But since the debtors are -- and Ally Securities is doing that for other plaintiffs, it shouldn't be an additional burden of consequence in our case.

The costs that Ally Securities may incur to defend itself do not come out of the estate; do not threaten the reorganization; nor do we think they in any way impair the amounts available under the insurance coverage. Because it's our understanding that the debtors have not yet -- nor has Ally Financial -- consumed the entire twenty-five million dollar self-insured retention under those policies. So until Ally Financial pays twenty-five million out of its pocket, the insurance carriers don't have to pay anything.

THE COURT: Mr. Haims argued that Ally Financial and Ally Securities have a contractual indemnification right from the debtors -- from ResCap. So why -- and take the twenty-five million dollar self-insured retention, which has not -- no one has argued that that's been hit yet. So why isn't the cost of defending Ally Securities in your action a cost to the debtors, in light of contractual indemnification?
eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250
operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net indemnity is disfavored in securities fraud cases, Your Honor.

THE COURT: If Ally Securities prevailed in your action, wouldn't it be entitled to contractual indemnification? The point about indemnification being disfavored, that's certainly true. It may be impermissible depending on what the theory of liability for Ally Securities is.

There's been some briefing -- I don't remember whether it was in your brief or not -- but there's been some briefing about the issue if it's a negligence-based claim, it's not clear that indemnification would be prohibited. If it's a scienter-based claim and liability is found, indemnification may be prohibited. But if Ally Securities prevailed, wouldn't it be entitled to contractual indemnification, if the contract provided for it?

MR. DEFILIPPO: I haven't studied the contract that closely, Your Honor. But let's assume it does for purposes of this discussion. I think there are something like fifteen billion dollars' worth of claims in these cases, plus potentially another eight billion for the put-back claims, if the settlement's approved. So the effect on this estate of another few million dollars in indemnification claims by Ally Securities is not going to be a game changer here.

THE COURT: What is your theory of liability against
Ally Securities?
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MR. DEFILIPPO: There are a number of provisions of
the Ohio Securities Act that --
THE COURT: Control person liability --
MR. DEFILIPPO: No, no.
THE COURT: -- under the Ohio Securities --
MR. DEFILIPPO: Direct liability of an underwriter,
Your Honor. I can cite you to those provisions, if you can give me a second. But they're all in our complaint. But these are --

THE COURT: Do you include 33 Act claims -MR. DEFILIPPO: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Just the Ohio State --
MR. DEFILIPPO: Just Ohio Securities Act. And not
control person. Although we concede that the debtors' actions, to the extent they were the creators of the prospectuses, have an impact in our case. It is in no way a derivative claim.

THE COURT: Well, when you say "have an impact" in your case, if you were to prevail, first of all, you would have to show misrepresentations or omissions in the offering documents, correct?

MR. DEFILIPPO: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And whether res judicata or collateral estoppel applied against the debtors, I never understood that strict res judicata or collateral estoppel was the test for enjoining. I mean, wouldn't it have a significant impact on eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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MR. DEFILIPPO: Your Honor, no such issue is going to be presented to either the Court or the jury in that action before the end of the stay period that we're talking about here. The trial date is not until January of 2014. And the debtors have represented that they're on a fast track to confirmation. So in our view, the issue of the debtors' liability to us and those like us will have to be decided by Your Honor as part of either an estimation process or a claims objection process, long before we go to trial in the Ohio case.

THE COURT: I think your colleague's trying to hand you something.

MR. DEFILIPPO: Oh. Thank you. I --
THE COURT: Go ahead, if you --
MR. DEFILIPPO: No, I'm prepared to answer your next question, Your Honor.

THE COURT: No. Is there any other point you want to raise, at this point?

MR. DEFILIPPO: No, thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.
MR. DEFILIPPO: Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Haims, do you want to respond?
MR. HAIMS: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
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THE COURT: I'm going to take a ten-minute recess and
come back and rule. I'm going to take a ten-minute recess and then come back and rule, okay?
(Recess from 2:48 p.m. until 3:46 p.m.)
THE COURT: All right. Please be seated.
All right. The Court is going to rule. Pending
before the Court is the debtors' motion to extend the automatic stay, or in the alternative, for injunctive relief enjoining prosecution of certain pending litigation against debtors, directors, and officers, and nondebtor corporate affiliates. I'll refer to it as "the motion". It's at ECF docket number 4. It's filed in the adversary proceeding styled Residential Capital, LLC v. Allstate Insurance Co., et al. It's adversary proceeding number 12-01671.

Through the motion, the debtor seeks and extension of the automatic stay, to stay or enjoin the continued prosecution of what was originally twenty-seven lawsuits against the debtors, nondebtor affiliates, or directors and officers, all of which are based on the debtors' issuance or sale of mortgage-backed securities. Two of the twenty-seven cases were dismissed before this injunction hearing was held. Of the remaining twenty-five cases, the injunction motion was resolved in all but one of the cases, with terms set forth or to be set forth in stipulations presented to the Court.

In those twenty-five cases, the cases insofar as the eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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debtor affiliates are concerned, are stayed until October 31, 2012. The stipulations provide or will provide that certain motion practice in the underlying cases will be permitted to proceed, as well as certain discovery, directed solely to the nondebtor affiliates. To be clear on this point, the nondebtor affiliates will only be required to produce documents that are in their custody or possession. Documents in the possession of the debtors will not have to be produced.

The only underlying case in which a resolution among the parties has not been reached is the Western \& Southern case. And that is Western \& Southern Life Insurance Co. v. Residential Funding Co., LLC, et al., number A-110-5042 in the Ohio Court of Common Pleas, in Hamilton County. Ally Securities is the only remaining nondebtor affiliate that remains a defendant in the underlying case. There are other defendants remaining in the action, concerning other RMBS transactions not involving ResCap.

For the reasons I will explain, the debtors' motion will be granted on terms substantially similar to the terms of the extensions of the stays to the other RMBS actions. And to be clear, the stay does not apply with respect to other RMBS transactions unrelated to the ResCap transactions.

During the hearing today, the Court admitted in evidence testimony in the form of declarations offered by the debtors. The declarants were present in court, but no parties eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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requested cross-examination. Western \& Southern offered three documents in evidence that were admitted without objection.

Having heard and considered the evidence and arguments of counsel, both in court and in their briefs, the Court now rules as follows:

The debtors argue -- and the ruling is to grant the stay on substantially the terms applied in the other matters. The debtors argue that the Court should extend the automatic stay to enjoin the continuation of the Western \& Southern action against Ally Securities with the exceptions noted for the following reasons.

One, the debtors will be exposed to a significant risk of collateral estoppel, stare decisis, and evidentiary prejudice if the Western \& Southern action is allowed to continue. Two, the debtors will face very substantial and burdensome discovery if the action continues. Three, the debtors will face indemnification claims if the Western \& Southern action against Ally Securities is allowed to continue. And four, property of the debtors' estates, namely shared insurance policies, will be depleted if the Western \& Southern action against Ally Securities is allowed to continue. See In re Quigley Co. Inc., 676 F.3d 45, 58 (2nd Cir. 2012).

Let me discuss the standard for issuance of a preliminary injunction. Section $362(a)$ of the Bankruptcy Code "provides for a broad stay of legal proceedings against the eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
debtor that were or could have been commenced prior to the commencement of the bankruptcy case ...", 3 Collier on Bankruptcy, Paragraph 362.03 [3].

The automatic stay typically does not protect nondebtors. See 3 Collier on Bankruptcy, Section 362.03 (3)(d), ("The stay of litigation does not protect nondebtor parties who may be subjected to litigation for transactions or events involving the debtor.")

But the Second Circuit and courts and in the Southern District have found certain limited circumstances where a bankruptcy court may extend a Section 362 stay to nondebtors. See, e.g., Queenie Ltd. v. Nygard International, 321 F.3d 282, 287 (2nd Cir. 2003), ("The automatic stay can apply to nondebtors, but normally does so only when a claim against the nondebtor will have an immediate adverse economic consequence for the debtor's estate.")

See also 3 Collier on Bankruptcy Section 362.03 (3)(d), ("Although an action against third parties such as guarantors or codefendants is not stayed under Section $362(a)$, the court retains the power to enjoin the action if continuation of the action would interfere substantially with the debtor's reorganization.")

While the Second Circuit has not specified the precise situations when a bankruptcy court may extend the automatic stay to a third-party non debtor, courts in this district have eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net determined that extending the automatic stay is appropriate in instances where: 1) the third party has a right of absolute indemnity from the debtor; 2) where a creditor's action against the third party would halt the nondebtor from contributing funds to the reorganization; and 3) where the action sought to be enjoined would "consume time and energy of the nondebtor that would otherwise be devoted to the reorganization effort." That's likewise a cite to Collier.

Courts find the power to extend the automatic stay to nondebtors in Section 105 of the Bankruptcy Code. See In re United Healthcare Org., 210 B.R. 228, 232 (S.D.N.Y. 1997) ("The source of authority to issue such an injunction is 11 U.S.C. Section 105, which provides bankruptcy courts with general equity powers to 'issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title'.")

Extending the automatic stay pursuant to Section 105 is essentially identical to granting an injunction, halting the actions of third-party nondebtors. Some courts, including this Court, in 2008, have found that Section $105(a)$ allows them to issue injunctions without complying "with the traditional requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65", In re the 1031 Tax Group, LLC, 397 B.R. 670, 684 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2008). See also In re Adelphia Communications Corp., 298 B.R. 49, 54, (S.D.N.Y. 2003) ("The proof required to extend the stay is not eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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Indeed, this Court has previously reasoned that all "a bankruptcy court must find" to enjoin a claim against a nondebtor under Section $105(a)$ was that the claim would "threaten to thwart or frustrate the debtor's reorganization efforts...and that the injunction is important for reorganization.", In re the 1031 Tax Group LLC, 397 B.R. 684 (quoting Granite Partners, 194 B.R. 337).

In addition, "The courts have recognized that a stay should be provided to codefendants when the claims against them and the claims against the debtor are 'inextricably interwoven, presenting common issues of law and fact which can be resolved in one proceeding'," In re Ionosphere Clubs, Inc., 111 B.R. 423, 434 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 1990) (citing Fed Life Insurance Co. v. First Financial Group, Inc. 3 B.R. 375, 376 (S.D.Tx. 1980).

At least two district judges in the Southern District disagree with this approach. Judge Scheindlin examined and rejected granting an injunction without a showing of the traditional requirements needed for an injunction in In re United Healthcare Organization. Judge Scheindlin observed that Section 105 injunctions may only be issued "as necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title", and observed that Collier suggested employing an essentially traditional standard when granting injunctive relief to thirdparty nondebtors under Section 105. See 210 B.R. 234.
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RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL. Subsequent to In re United Health, Judge Castel noted that injunctions under Section 105 may be used to extend the automatic stay to nondebtors "where the action to be enjoined is one that threatens the reorganization process." Hawaii Structural Ironworkers Pension Trust Fund v. Calpine Corp. 06-cv-5358 (PKC), 2006 WL 3755175*4 (S.D.N.Y. Dec. 20, 2006). Judge Castel, however, agreed with Judge Scheindlin that a more traditional standard of review should be employed when granting injunctive relief to third parties. Judge Castel stated that if an action would threaten reorganization process, "the threat to the reorganization process must be imminent, substantial, and irreparable" for a preliminary injunction to be issued on behalf of a nondebtor; Id.

Judge Castel then noted that there must also be a showing of: 1) a "reasonable likelihood of a successful reorganization"; 2) a balance of the harms; and 3) a balancing of the public interest at stake, before granting injunctive relief under Section $105(a)$ to third parties.

Building upon these two decisions, Judge Scheindlin, in a later opinion announced four factors a court must examine when determining whether to extend the automatic stay to third parties. 1) whether there's a likelihood of successful reorganization; 2) whether there is an imminent, irreparable harm to the estate in the absence of an injunction; 3) whether the balance of harms tips in favor of the moving party; and eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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4) whether the public interest weighs in favor of an injunction. See Nevada Power Co. v. Calpine Corp., In re Calpine Corp. 365 B.R. 401, 409 (S.D.N.Y. 2007).

Judge Gerber has recently employed the standard articulated by Judge Scheindlin in the Lyondell bankruptcy. See Lyondell Chemical Co. v. Centerpoint Energy Gas Services, In re Lyondell Chemical Co. 402 B.R. 571, 587-89, Note 37 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009) (Observing that courts in this district have held that "the usual grounds for injunctive relief ... need not be shown for an injunction under Section $105(\mathrm{a})$ ", but employing the more traditional standard announced by Judge Scheindlin.)

Collier concurs with this approach, noting that, "A request for relief under Section 105 must meet traditional requirements for an injunction," in noting the tests such as the one articulated by Judge Scheindlin are "nothing more than an evolution of the general requirements for a preliminary injunction adapted for the bankruptcy context." 2 Collier on Bankruptcy, paragraph 105.03(1) and (2).

Collier further observes that when extending the automatic stay to third parties, "most courts have applied the traditional test for the issuance of an injunction ...". 3 Collier on Bankruptcy, paragraph 362.04.

Let me deal with the Western \& Southern objection. Western \& Southern, et al., are plaintiffs in an action pending eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, ET AL.
in Ohio. On June 29th, 2011, Western \& Southern filed the Ohio action alleging that certain debtors, certain nondebtor affiliates, and the unaffiliated underwriters, committed fraud, violated the Ohio Securities Act, and committed other tortious conduct in connection with the sale of 120 million dollars of residential mortgage-backed securities to Western \& Southern.

Western \& Southern joined in the other objections, all of which have been resolved in the motion. In December 2011, defendants in the Ohio action filed motions to dismiss, arguing that the claims were time barred and that Western \& Southern failed to plead actionable misrepresentations or the requisite fraudulent intent. The officers -- the former officers who were defendants also moved for dismissal based on lack of personal jurisdiction.

On the defendants' motion, discovery was stayed in the Ohio action pending a decision on the motions to dismiss. The stay was granted in part because counsel for the debtors and the nondebtor affiliates in the Ohio action assured the Court that they would provide discovery immediately following a ruling in the motions to dismiss. This is in the Fitzgerald declaration, Exhibit 1 at page 15, lines 10 through 19.

On June 6, 2012, the motions to dismiss the Ohio action as against the unaffiliated underwriters and nondebtor affiliate, Ally Securities, were denied, except with respect to claims barred by the statute of repose, provided for under the eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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Ohio Securities Act. The officer defendants were also dismissed without prejudice from the case, for lack of personal jurisdiction. The Court did not rule on the motion as it pertained to the debtors, because the claims against the debtors were stayed.

The fact discovery deadline in the Ohio action is April 4, 2013. Trial in the Ohio action is set to begin on January 6, 2014. Western \& Southern argues that any stay should be conditioned on the nondebtor affiliates agreeing to toll any applicable statute of limitations through the period in which the stay is in place. Debtors' counsel has stated that he does not have authority to agree to toll the statute of limitations on behalf of these former officers or directors.

In granting the stay, the Court determines, and any order prepared embodying the ruling shall provide, that the stay is effective as to the former officers and directors only if they agree to toll all applicable statutes of limitations from the period from today until the stay expires.

All right. Let me provide my analysis of the claims asserted in the Ohio action and the reasons for the stay. Courts may extend the automatic stay to nondebtor litigants where "there is such identity between the debtor and thirdparty defendant that the debtor may be said to be the real party defendant, in that a judgment against third-party defendant will, in effect, be a judgment or a finding against eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
the debtor." A.H. Robbins Co. v. -- I can't pronounce it -Piccinin, 788 F.2d 994, 999 (4th Cir. 1986).

However, when a party holds an independent direct claim against a nondebtor for violating the federal securities laws, "there is no compelling basis by which a court must extend the automatic stay provisions of Section 362 to the nondebtor codefendants." See In re First Century Financial Corp. 238 B.R. 18 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 1999).

That principle is limited, however, where establishing liability against the nondebtors hinges on establishing facts that would likewise establish liability against the debtors. And that is, in fact, the case here. In the Western \& Southern action, all the claims essentially require the plaintiffs to establish that the RMBS offering documents contained material misstatements or omissions of fact. Such a showing, of course, would be required to establish liability on the part of the debtors if the action was to proceed against them.

Here, the claims against Ally Securities are based on the same nucleus of facts as the claims against the debtors. Thus, the only way for the plaintiffs to prove liability against Ally Securities is to make a prima facie case that the debtors violated securities laws too. Any decisions and evidence generated would thus be later used against the debtors, whether in the underlying lawsuits or in claims the Western \& Southern plaintiffs make against the debtors' estate eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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in these Chapter 11 cases. See McHale v. Alvarez, In re the 1031 Tax Group LLC, 397 B.R. 670, 685 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2008) (Staying lawsuits against debtors' former employees that could lead to respondiat superior liability for debtors during the reorganization.)

In re Johns Manville, 26 B.R. 420, 426 (Bankr.
S.D.N.Y. 1983) (Staying securities claims against the debtor's directors and officers where claims were "in reality, derivative of identical claims" that were or could be brought against the debtors.)

The Western \& Southern plaintiffs in the underlying case have argued that the similarity in claims against the debtors and the nondebtors will not result in stare decisis or evidentiary prejudice. In actuality, the debtors will have to live with any decision in the case that was resolved as to the nondebtor defendants. See, e.g., Johns Manville, 26 B.R. 429 ("Even if the debtor is not so bound by collateral estoppel, it may be disadvantaged in subsequent suits.")

Although the debtors will have the opportunity to raise independent defenses to their own liability, the debtors will have to live -- will have little or no chance to unring the bell following a decision that could be used against them. If the Court does not extend the stay in these cases, the debtors will be faced with a choice of sitting on the sidelines and being stuck with rulings on issues they did not litigate, eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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or be forced to litigate, the very thing the automatic stay is supposed to prevent. See Hawaii Structural, 2006 WL 3755175 *5 ("A prudent debtor would devote managerial and financial resources to assisting in the defense of its affiliates, because of the potential impact upon a claim or suit against the debtor."). In re Calpine Corp. 365 B.R. 401, 412 (S.D.N.Y. 2007) (This is especially so in situations where the debtor's liability has not yet been established and where the nondebtor affiliate has the ability to assert all of the debtor's factual and legal defenses to liability.")

Indemnification rights. Additionally, the debtors have established that Ally Securities may be entitled to a contractual indemnification from the debtors. Where a nondebtor party is entitled to absolute indemnity by the debtor on account of any judgment that might result against them in the case, extensions of the automatic stay may be appropriate. A.H. Robbins 788 F.2d 989.

Here, the operating agreements apply to the underlying actions, including the plaintiff -- the Western \& Southern lawsuit. The operating agreements require the debtors to indemnify the nondebtor corporate affiliates for "losses related to" the debtors' "business and operations". See the Whitlinger declaration, Exhibit B at sections 1 and $3(c)$.

Because a fundamental part of the debtors' business strategy consists of securitizing and selling mortgage loans eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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that they purchase or originate, the debtors thus are obligated to indemnify the nondebtor corporate affiliates for losses stemming from the debtors' issuance or sale of mortgage-backed securities. While indemnifications for specific judgments at this time is still contingent, the debtors are still obligated to pay defense costs for the indemnitees. Whitlinger declaration, Exhibit A, section $18(\mathrm{~d})(i)$.

While such obligations will become unsecured claims in bankruptcy pursuant to Section 502, they nonetheless increase the debtors' total liabilities and detract from the overall creditor recovery. While complete indemnification of liability for violations of federal or state securities laws may be contrary to public policy, it is unclear whether a defendant held liable in a negligence-based claim may be entitled to indemnification. And of course, if the defendant prevails in the underlying action, it would appear that it would be entitled to whatever contractual indemnification is available.

It appears at this stage of the proceedings, that the debtors have established by a preponderance of the evidence, that Ally Securities is entitled to contractual indemnification unless it is ultimately determined to be liable in the underlying action. Indemnification will further reduce assets available for distribution from the debtors' estates.

We discussed the discovery burdens. The most significant factor favoring extending the stay in this case is eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
the substantial discovery burden and expense the debtors would face if the Western \& Southern action goes forward against Ally Securities. The debtors put forward evidence in Mr. Lipps' declaration setting forth in specific detail the cost and burden of discovery in these lawsuits. See the Lipps declaration, paragraphs 70 through 78.

Western \& Southern has never challenged the statements in Mr. Lipps' declaration, either in deposition or in their opposition. Western \& Southern offers nothing more than pure conjecture that discovery in their case will not be burdensome.

The debtors have provided specific examples of cases that involved a small number of securitizations, but still produced millions of pages in discovery and upwards of eighty days' worth of depositions from the debtors' current and former employees. See the Lipps declaration, paragraphs 28 and 29.

Any prospective discovery will also burden current and former employees of the debtors. "It matters little that some of the individual defendants are no longer affiliated with the debtor." Because the ultimate discovery burden falls on current employees who are critical to restructuring. See Hawaii Structural, 2006 WL 3755175 *5,6.

The debtors have adequately shown the negative impact of continued litigation on the debtors' key employees. See the supplemental Lipps declaration, at paragraphs 31 through 33.

We'll briefly discuss proceeds of insurance policies eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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covering both the debtors and the nondebtor defendants. The debtors further assert that the Western \& Southern action impacts property of the estate in the E\&O policy, a single line of coverage applicable to just one of the several years relevant to the Western \& Southern action, with a twenty-five million dollar retention or deductible. The E\&O policy has been introduced into evidence. It provides entity coverage and is a wasting policy, meaning that every dollar spent of policy proceeds reduces the amount available for claims by the debtors.

According to the debtors, the primary E\&O insurer, Chubb, issued a letter setting forth its preliminary coverage position that dispenses with both of these arguments. As to the policy period, Chubb's coverage letter makes clear that "pursuant to Section $7(a)$ of the relevant policies, all loss associated with the MBS actions shall be deemed one loss and such loss shall be deemed to have originated during the '07-'08 BPL policy." That's the policy attached to the Whitlinger declaration.

Chubb's coverage letter also makes clear that the Western \& Southern action claims fall within the scope of the insurance policy. The coverage letter states that "the MBS actions trigger cover under the broker-dealer services liability clause of the '07-'08 BPL policy, because they are civil proceedings brought by or on behalf of a broker-dealer eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250 operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
customer against an insured for a broker-dealer wrongful act."
The coverage letter also notes that the lawsuit filed by Western \& Southern has been tendered and were included in the MBS actions covered by the E\&O policy.

The Court's conclusion. The Court grants the
motion -- the debtors' motion to extend the stay on essentially the terms agreed upon in the stipulated extensions of the stay in the other cases. The stay shall remain in place until October 31, 2012, with all parties retaining all rights to seek to extend or terminate the stay at that time. Discovery may proceed in the Western \& Southern action only as to documents in the possession of Ally Securities.

The parties shall draft and submit a proposed order consistent with this oral bench opinion. In the event the parties cannot agree on the terms of the order, they may arrange a telephone conference with the Court to resolve any remaining issues.

That is the decision of the Court.
IN UNISON: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Haims, is there anything else for me to take up today?

MR. HAIMS: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So you have some work to do with respect to the additional matters that -- as to which you were able to resolve today by stipulation. And you'll have to work with eScribers, LLC | (973) 406-2250
operations@escribers.net | www.escribers.net
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