Docket #0535 Date Filed: 9/14/2017

Troy D. Greenfield, OSB #892534 Email: tgreenfield@schwabe.com Alex I. Poust, OSB #925155 Email: apoust@schwabe.com

Lawrence R. Ream (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

Email: lream@schwabe.com

Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt, P.C.

Pacwest Center

1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900

Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Facsimile: 503.796.2900

Ivan B. Knauer (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

Email: knaueri@pepperlaw.com

Brian M. Nichilo (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

Email: nichilob@pepperlaw.com

Pepper Hamilton, LLP

600 14th Street, NW, Suite 500

Washington, DC 20005 Telephone: 202.220.1219 Facsimile: 202.220.1665

Stanley H. Shure (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

Email: sshure@shurelaw.com Law Offices Of Stanley H. Shure 2355 Westwood Blvd. #374 Los Angeles, CA 90064 Telephone: (310) 984-6945

Facsimile: (310) 984-6945

Attorneys for the Receiver for Defendants

AEQUITAS MANAGEMENT, LLC; AEQUITAS HOLDINGS, LLC; AEQUITAS COMMERCIAL FINANCE, LLC; AEQUITAS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.; AEQUITAS INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LLC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

No. 3:16-cv-00438-PK

Plaintiff,

RECEIVERSHIP ENTITY'S EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF JASON P. CRONIC IN

v.

Page 1 – RECEIVERSHIP ENTITY'S EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF JASON P. CRONIC IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF PRIAN A CLIVER AND SCOTT GILLIS FOR RELIEF FROM RECEIVERS

AEQUITAS MANAGEMENT, LLC; AEQUITAS HOLDINGS, LLC; AEQUITAS COMMERCIAL FINANCE, LLC; AEQUITAS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.; AEQUITAS INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LLC; ROBERT J. JESENIK, BRIAN A. OLIVER; and N. SCOTT GILLIS, SUPPORT OF MOTION OF BRIAN A. OLIVER AND N. SCOTT GILLIS FOR RELIEF FROM RECEIVERSHIP ORDER

Defendants.

The Receivership Entity respectfully submits that following Objections to the Declaration of Jason P. Cronic ("Cronic") filed in Support of the Motion of Brian A. Oliver and N. Scott Gillis for Relief from Receivership Order (Dkt. 497), and request that the cited excerpts and evidence be stricken from Defendants' evidentiary support. *See FDIC v. New Hampshire Insurance Co.*, 953 F.2d 478, 484, (9th Cir. 1991) (statements in declaration or other evidence which are not admissible may be stricken by the Court).

I. The Receivership Entity's General Evidentiary Objections

The Receivership Entity objects to the Cronic Declaration, in part, on the grounds of lack of foundation. Declarations used to support or oppose a motion must be made on personal knowledge, set out facts that would be admissible in evidence, and show that the affiant or declarant is competent to testify on the matters stated. *Casey v. Lewis*, 4 F.3d 1516, 1527 (9th Cir. 1993). The declaration must affirmatively demonstrate personal knowledge, not merely assert that this requirement is met. *Behurst v. Crown Cork & Seal USA, Inc..*, 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 24922, at *4-5 (D. Ore. Mar. 30, 2007). Moreover, '[a] witness may not testify to a matter unless evidence is introduced sufficient to support a finding that the witness has personal knowledge of the matter.'" Fed. R. Evid. 602. In addition, the Receivership Entity also objects to the Cronic Declaration, in part, on the grounds that it consists of out of court statements, for the truth of the matters asserted therein. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 801(c) (hearsay is "a statement, other than one made by the declarant while testifying at the trial or hearing, offered in evidence to prove the truth of the matter asserted").

Page 2 – RECEIVERSHIP ENTITY'S EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF JASON P. CRONIC IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF BRIAN A. OLIVER AND N. SCOTT GILLIS FOR RELIEF FROM RECEIVERSHIP ORDER

II. The Receivership Entities' Specific Objections to the Cronic Declaration

MATERIAL OBJECTED TO	GROUNDS FOR OBJECTION	RULING ON THE OBJECTION
Cronic Decl, ¶3: " Catlin has paid	(1) Lack of personal	Sustained:
\$5 million in Loss on behalf of Insured	knowledge; lack of	
Persons under the Catlin Policy through	foundation. Fed. R. Evid. 602.	Overruled:
the advancement of Defense Costs and	Cronic states that he is counsel	
the Catlin Policy has thus been fully	for Catlin, but he does not set	
exhausted."	forth facts explaining how he is	
	in a position to personally know	
	that Catlin has paid \$5 million	
	in Loss in the SEC Action.	
	Cronic has not established the	
	foundational requirements for	
	this statement. He provides no	
	facts whatsoever involving his:	
	(i) reviewing and analyzing the	
	contents of any invoice	
	submitted in connection with	
	the SEC Action or the SEC's	
	prior investigation, let alone	
	invoices seeking payment of \$5	
	million in attorneys' fees and	
	expenses; (ii) concluding that	
	these invoices contained \$5	
	million in covered Defense	

Page 3 – RECEIVERSHIP ENTITY'S EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF JASON P. CRONIC IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF BRIAN A. OLIVER AND N. SCOTT GILLIS FOR RELIEF FROM RECEIVERSHIP ORDER

MATERIAL OBJECTED TO	GROUNDS FOR OBJECTION	RULING ON THE OBJECTION
	Costs; or (iii) paying out \$5	
	million in Defense Costs on	
	Catlin's behalf to the Insured	
	Persons or telling Catlin to do	
	so, is <i>not</i> admissible evidence.	
	(2) Hearsay. Fed. R. Evid.	
	801, 802. By stating that Catlin	
	has paid out \$5 million in Loss,	
	he is in effect making an out of	
	court statement, for the truth of	
	the matters asserted therein,	
	which constitutes inadmissible	
	hearsay. See Fed. R. Evid.	
	801(c).	

THE FOLLOWING OBJECTIONS ARE RULED UPON AND EITHER SUSTAINED OR OVERRULED AS SET FORTH ABOVE.

Dated:	, 2017	
		The Honorable Paul Papak
		United States Magistrate Judge

Page 4 – RECEIVERSHIP ENTITY'S EVIDENTIARY OBJECTIONS TO DECLARATION OF JASON P. CRONIC IN SUPPORT OF MOTION OF BRIAN A. OLIVER AND N. SCOTT GILLIS FOR RELIEF FROM RECEIVERSHIP ORDER