Case 3:16-cv-00438-JR Document 660 Filed 11/06/18 Page 1 of 8

Docket #0660 Date Filed: 11/6/2018

Troy D. Greenfield, OSB #892534 Email: tgreenfield@schwabe.com Alex I. Poust, OSB #925155) Email: apoust@schwabe.com

Lawrence R. Ream (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

Email: lream@schwabe.com

Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt, P.C.

Pacwest Center

1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900

Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Facsimile: 503.796.2900

Ivan B. Kuauer (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

Email: iknauer@swlaw.com

Snell & Wilmer LLP

1101 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 300

Washington, D.C. 20004 Telephone: 202.802.9770 Facsimile: 202.688.2201

Stanley H. Shure (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

Email: sshure@shurelaw.com

Salvatore Picariello (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

Email: spicariello@gmail.com Law Offices of Stanley H. Shure 2355 Westwood Blvd. #374 Los Angeles, CA 90064

Telephone: 310.984.6945

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

## PORTLAND DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

Plaintiff,

٧.

AEQUITAS MANAGEMENT, LLC; AEQUITAS HOLDINGS, LLC; AEQUITAS COMMERCIAL FINANCE, No. 3:16-cv-00438-PK JK

STIPULATION AND ORDER GRANTING FURTHER RELIEF FROM RECEIVERSHIP ORDER TO PERMIT LIMITED PAYMENT OF DEFENSE COSTS BY STARR INDEMNITY & LIABILITY COMPANY



LLC; AEQUITAS CAPITAL
MANAGEMENT, INC.; AEQUITAS
INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LLC;
ROBERT J. JESENIK, BRIAN A. OLIVER;
and N. SCOTT GILLIS,

#### Defendants.

Robert J. Jesenik, Brian A. Oliver, and N. Scott Gillis (collectively, "Individual Defendants") and Aequitas Management, LLC, Aequitas Holdings, LLC, Aequitas Commercial Finance, Inc., Aequitas Capital Management, Inc., and Aequitas Investment Management, LLC (collectively, "Receivership Defendants" or "Receivership Entity" and, together with the Individual Defendants, "the Parties"), by and through their respective undersigned counsel, hereby respectfully submit the following Stipulation and [Proposed] Order Granting Further Relief from the Receivership Order to Permit Limited Payment of Loss in the form of Defense Costs under that certain policy issued by Starr Indemnity & Liability Company ("Starr"), policy number SISIXFL21175714 ("Starr Policy"). Plaintiff Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") takes no position regarding this stipulation.

# **STIPULATION**

WHEREAS, the SEC instituted an investigation of the Receivership Defendants as well as their subsidiaries and/or majority-owned affiliates (collectively, "Receivership Entity") (the "Investigation"), which subsequently resulted in the SEC filing a civil action entitled SEC v. Aequitas Management, LLC, et al., Case No. 3:16-cv-00438-PK (D. Or.) ("SEC Enforcement Action" or "Litigation") (Dkt. No. 1);

WHEREAS, on April 14, 2016, the Court entered the Order Appointing Receiver (Dkt. No. 156), by which it appointed Ronald F. Greenspan (the "Receiver") as the Receiver for the Receivership Defendants and froze the assets of the Receivership Entity (the "Receivership Order");

WHEREAS, on May 12, 2016, the Individual Defendants filed a Motion for Relief from Order Appointing Receiver to allow Catlin Specialty Insurance Company ("Catlin") under its policy number, MFP-686757-0714, to advance certain past and future defense costs to or on behalf of the Individual Defendants and or other insured persons in connection with the Investigation, the Litigation, and other Claims (Dkt. No. 176);

WHEREAS, the Parties subsequently met and conferred in an attempt to informally resolve the issues raised in the Individual Defendants' Motion;

WHEREAS, as a result of the Parties' meet and confer efforts, they entered into a stipulation, which ultimately resulted in the Court entering its May 23, 2016 Order (Dkt. No. 185), lifting the Receivership Order to the extent applicable so that Catlin could make payments under the Catlin Policy to or for the benefit of the Individual Defendants for covered Defense Costs incurred in connection with the Investigation and Litigation;

WHEREAS, Catlin later asserted that it had exhausted its \$5 million limits of liability under the Catlin Policy by the payment of Defense Costs to the Individual Defendants;

WHEREAS, on August 22 and August 23, 2017, the Individual Defendants filed motions for relief from the Receivership Order, to the extent necessary, to permit Forge Underwriting Ltd. ("Forge") (policy number B0146ERUSA1400543, which sits immediately excess of and follows form to the Catlin Policy (the "Forge Policy")) to make payments under the Forge Policy to or for the benefit of the Individual Defendants for covered Defense Costs incurred in connection with the Investigation, the Litigation, and other Claims (Dkt. Nos. 496, 499);

WHEREAS, the Receivership Entity opposed the Individual Defendants' motions;

WHEREAS, the Court granted the Individual Defendants' motions, pursuant to and subject to its Opinion and Order dated October 23, 2017 (Dkt. No. 551);

WHEREAS, Forge asserts that it has exhausted its \$5 million limits of liability under the Forge Policy by the payment of Defense Costs incurred by the Individual Defendants;

WHEREAS, the Starr Policy sits immediately in excess of the Forge Policy and, in material part, follows form to the Catlin Policy;

WHEREAS, as a result of the Parties' meet and confer efforts, they entered into a stipulation, which ultimately resulted in the Court entering its August 16, 2018 Order (Dkt. No.

645), lifting the Receivership Order, to the extent necessary, to permit Starr to pay (1) \$87,522.33 in Defense Costs previously incurred by the Individual Defendants but not paid by Forge under the Forge Policy; and (2) up to \$50,000 in Defense Costs incurred by each of the Individual Defendants (for a combined total of \$150,000) for the specific purpose of participating in the August 22, 2018 private mediation involving the Individual Defendants, the Receivership Entity, the Aequitas investors and the Receivership Entity's insurance carriers.

WHEREAS, the Individual Defendants assert that they are entitled to payment by Starr of ongoing Defense Costs pursuant to the Starr Policy;

WHEREAS, the Individual Defendants have reached tentative settlements with the SEC of the SEC Enforcement Action, which are, *inter alia*, contingent upon the Individual Defendants' reaching a settlement with both the Receivership Entity and the Aequitas investors;

WHEREAS, discovery in the SEC Enforcement Action is currently stayed (Dkt. No. 616); WHEREAS, a private mediation involving the Individual Defendants, the Receivership Entity, the Aequitas investors, and the Receivership Entity's insurance carriers was held on August 22, 2018 in Portland, Oregon, and the participants agreed to resume the mediation on November 12, 2018;

WHEREAS, the Individual Defendants assert that the legal fees and expenses they incur in connection with the November 12, 2018 mediation qualify as Defense Costs and Loss under the Starr Policy;

WHEREAS, the Individual Defendants assert that, without payment to their respective counsel of the Defense Costs they will incur in connection with the mediation, they cannot meaningfully participate in the mediation;

WHEREAS, the Receivership Entity asserts that it has claims against Catlin, Forge, and Starr involving various issues;

WHEREAS, the Receivership Entity and the Individual Defendants have, or may have, disputes by and among themselves regarding insurance coverage-related issues;

WHEREAS, the Parties hereby stipulate as follows and request that the Court approve this stipulation:

- 1. Starr issued the Starr Policy for the Policy Period of July 1, 2014 to July 1, 2015, subsequently extended to November 1, 2015, with limits of liability in the amount of \$5 million, as set forth in the Starr Policy;
- 2. The Starr Policy provides coverage for "Claim(s)," including Claims made by governmental entities (such as the Investigation and Litigation) against Insured Persons (such as the Individual Defendants) under the Policy's Insuring Agreement A, as set forth in the Catlin Policy, which is incorporated by reference into the Starr Policy;
- 3. The Individual Defendants represent that they have incurred and continue to incur "Loss," as that term is defined in the Starr Policy, in the form of "Defense Costs" in connection with the Litigation;
- 4. The Receivership Entity represents that, to date, Forge and Starr have effectively prevented the Receivership Entity from settling the tort claims of the Aequitas investors against the Receivership Entity within remaining policy limits and, thereby, have breached the terms of the policies purchased by the Aequitas predecessors to the Receivership Entity.
- 5. The Receivership Entity is willing at this time to lift the stay resulting from the Receivership Order for the Individual Defendants as it pertains to the Starr Policy but only as to: (i) the matters described in Paragraph 7 below, and (ii) subject to the Receivership Entity reserving and maintaining any and all its rights it has or may have as they pertain to the Individual Defendants and all its insurers, including Catlin, Forge, and Starr referenced in this stipulation;
- 6. The Individual Defendants, in entering into this stipulation to lift the stay resulting from the Receivership Order as it pertains to the Starr Policy also reserve and maintain any and all of the rights they, or any of them, have or may have as they pertain to the insurers Catlin, Forge, and Starr and the Receivership Entity;
- 7. The Parties agree that the Receivership Order shall be lifted, to the extent necessary, to permit Starr to pay up to, but no more than, \$30,000 in additional Defense Costs incurred by

each of the Individual Defendants (for a combined total of up to \$90,000), in addition to the Defense Costs previously authorized; and

8. The Receivership Entity is entering into this stipulation only as an accommodation to the Individual Defendants, primarily for the purpose of the Individual Defendants' attending the resumed November 12, 2018 mediation;

IT IS SO STIPULATED this 5<sup>th</sup> day of November, 2018.

#### SCHWABE WILLIAMSON & WYATT PC

#### SCHULTE ROTH & ZABEL LLP

By: s/ Troy D. Greenfield

Troy D. Greenfield, OSB #892534 tgreenfield@schwabe.com
Alex I. Poust, OSB #925155 apoust@schwabe.com
Lawrence R. Ream (*Pro Hac Vice*) lream@schwabe.com
Telephone: 503.222.9981

#### SNELL & WILMER LLP

Ivan B. Knauer (*Pro Hac Vice*) iknauer@swlaw.com
Telephone: 202.688,2201

Attorneys for the Receiver for Defendants Aequitas Management, LLC, Aequitas Holdings, LLC, Aequitas Commercial Finance, LLC, Aequitas Capital Management, Inc., and Aequitas Investment Management, LLC By: s/Peter H. White

Peter H. White (Pro Hac Vice)
peter.white@srz.com
Jeffrey F. Robertson (Pro Hac Vice)
jeffrey.robertson@srz.com
Telephone: 202.729.7470

# MAHLER LAW GROUP PLLC

Christopher W. Peterman (OSB#034212) chris@chrispetermanlaw.com Telephone: 541.229.0202

Attorneys for Defendant Robert J. Jesenik

#### SHARTSIS FRIESE LLP

## **COVINGTON & BURLING LLP**

By: s/ Larisa A. Meisenheimer

Larisa A. Meisenheimer (*Pro Hac Vice*) lmeisenheimer@sflaw.com
Jahan P. Raissi (*Pro Hac Vice*) jraissi@sflaw.com

Telephone: (415) 773-7219

By: s/ William Douglas Sprague

William Douglas Sprague dsprague@cov.com Ashley M. Simonsen asimonsen@cov.com

Telephone: (415) 591-7057

## BLACK HELTERLINE LLP

Michael B. Merchant mbm@bhlaw.com Telephone: (503) 224-5560

Attorneys for Defendant Brian A. Oliver

WHIPPLE LAW OFFICE, LLC

B. Scott Whipple scott@whipplelawoffice.com Telephone: (503) 222-6004

Attorneys for Defendant N. Scott Gillis

## **ORDER**

Good cause appearing, it is hereby ORDERED that:

- 1. The stay imposed by the Receivership Order is lifted, but only to the extent necessary so that Starr, subject to the terms of the Starr Policy, may pay up to \$30,000 in Defense Costs incurred by each of the Individual Defendants (for a combined total of \$90,000), in connection with the resumed November 12, 2018 mediation in Portland, Oregon, in addition to the Defense Costs previously authorized;
- 2. Because the primary purpose for the Parties to enter into this Stipulation is related to the upcoming resumed mediation on November 12, 2018, and the privileged nature of such a proceeding, any and all statements made in the Parties' Stipulation, including the Recitals and Stipulation, may not be used for any evidentiary purpose whatsoever by any third parties, including but not limited to Catlin, Forge, or Starr against the Receivership Entity, the Individual Defendants, or either of them; however, this paragraph does not apply to the Order itself; and
- 3. The Individual Defendants shall provide a copy of this Stipulation and Order, once signed by the Court, to counsel for Starr;

///

4. Starr, at the Receivership Entity's request, will notify counsel for the Receivership Entity about any amounts it pays to or on behalf of the Individual Defendants pursuant to Paragraph 1 of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this **6** day of November, 2018.

United States Magistrate Judge Jolie A. Russo