Case 3:16-cv-00438-JR Document 665 Filed 12/07/18 Page 1 of 28

Docket #0665 Date Filed: 12/7/2018

Troy Greenfield, OSB #892534 Email: tgreenfield@schwabe.com Alex I. Poust, OSB #925155 Email: apoust@schwabe.com

Lawrence R. Ream (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

Email: lream@schwabe.com

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.

1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900

Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Facsimile: 503.796.2900

Ivan B. Knauer (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

Email: <u>iknauer@swlaw.com</u>

Snell & Wilmer LLP

1101 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 300

Washington, DC 20004 Telephone: 202.802.9770 Facsimile: 202.688.2201

Attorneys for Receiver for Defendants AEQUITAS MANAGEMENT, LLC; AEQUITAS HOLDINGS, LLC; AEQUITAS COMMERCIAL FINANCE, LLC; AEQUITAS CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, INC.; AEQUITAS INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LLC

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

PORTLAND DIVISION

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,

No. 3:16-cy-00438-JR

Plaintiff,

RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

v.

AEQUITAS MANAGEMENT, LLC; AEQUITAS HOLDINGS, LLC; AEQUITAS COMMERCIAL FINANCE,

Page 1 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION



LLC; AEQUITAS CAPITAL

MANAGEMENT, INC.; AEQUITAS INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, LLC;

ROBERT J. JESENIK; BRIAN A. OLIVER;

and N. SCOTT GILLIS.

Defendants.

Local Rule 7-1

Owing to the complexity and volume of the Receiver's Request for Approval of Tenth

Interim Fee Application, the Receiver has not sought to confer with the other parties or counsel

as would normally be required under Local Rule 7-1. In accordance with the direction set forth in

the Order Appointing Receiver, the Receiver provided the Securities and Exchange Commission

(the "Commission") complete copies of the detailed invoices of all of the firms prior to the filing

of this Application and received no objection from the Commission.

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

The Court-Appointed Receiver in this action, Ronald F. Greenspan, respectfully requests

the Court's approval to pay the interim fees and expenses of the Receiver and the professional

service firms that have rendered services on behalf of the Receivership Entity for the period from

July 1, 2018 through September 30, 2018 (the "Tenth Application Period").

The efforts of the Receiver and the professionals on behalf of the Receivership Entity are

set forth in detail in the October 31, 2018 Report of the Receiver (the "October 2018 Report")¹ as

well as in the summary invoice of each professional, which are attached to the Declaration of

Ronald F. Greenspan (the "Greenspan Declaration") filed contemporaneously with this motion.²

¹ Dkt. 662.

Page 2 -

² Greenspan Declaration, Exhibits B through G.

INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204

Telephone: 503.222.9981 Fax: 503.796.2900

As the Court is aware, this is a very complex and otherwise difficult case. The information contained in the October 2018 Report and in the summary invoices demonstrates both the necessity of the services provided, as well as the reasonableness of the resulting fees and expenses.

The Court has previously approved the engagement of each of the professional service firms. In accordance with the request of the Commission, all of the firms have discounted their standard hourly rates. The fees and expenses submitted to the Court for approval are consistent with the Billing Instructions for Receivers in Civil Actions Commenced by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Billing Instructions"). In accordance with the direction set forth in the Order Appointing Receiver, the Receiver provided the Commission complete copies of the detailed invoices of all of the firms prior to the filing of this Application and received no objection from the Commission. Prior fees and expenses requested, allowed, and paid by the Receivership Entity are set forth in detail below.

II. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

On March 10, 2016, the Commission filed a Complaint (the "Complaint") against the Entity Defendants as well as Robert J. Jesenik, Brian A. Oliver and N. Scott Gillis (collectively the "Individual Defendants"), for alleged violation of Federal Securities Laws in what the Commission describes as a "Ponzi-like" scheme.³ The Commission alleges that the Individual Defendants, all principals of one or more of the Entity Defendants, defrauded investors and also misused investor funds to pay operating expenses and to repay earlier investors.⁴ The Commission further alleges that "[b]y the end of 2015 [Aequitas] owed investors \$312 million

³ Dkt. 1.

⁴ Dkt. 1, ¶¶ 1-7.

and had virtually no operating income to repay them."⁵ The investments identified by the Commission refer to the funds borrowed by ACF, one of the 48 entities composing the Receivership Entity. In total, there are over \$600 million of investor funds in the Receivership Entity.

On March 16, 2016, pursuant to the Stipulated Interim Order Appointing Receiver, Ronald F. Greenspan was appointed as Receiver for the Entity Defendants and 43 related entities on an interim basis (the "Interim Order"). On April 14, 2016, pursuant to the Order Appointing Receiver, Mr. Greenspan was appointed as Receiver for the Receivership Entity on a final basis (the "Final Receivership Order"). In very general terms, the Receiver is directed to marshal and preserve assets of the Receivership Entity.

The Receiver filed a voluntary report and recommendations to the Court (the "Initial Report") for the first "stub quarter" ending June 30, 2016 [Dkt. 246], the first mandated quarterly report covering the period through September 30, 2016 [Dkt. 298] and subsequent reports covering the period through December 31, 2016 [Dkt. 365], through March 31, 2017 [Dkt. 444], through June 30, 2017 [Dkt. 491], through September 30, 2017 [Dkt. 559], through December 31, 2017 [Dkt. 587], through March 31, 2018 [Dkt. 610], and through June 30, 2018 [Dkt. 644] which are collectively referred to herein as the "Receiver's Reports". The October 2018 Report is the Receiver's report and recommendations to the Court for the quarter ending September 30, 2018. The contents of the Tenth Interim Fee Application, like the focus of the October 2018

⁵ Dkt. 1, \P 5.

⁶ Dkt. 156.

Report, provides the basis for the fees and expenses incurred by the professionals on behalf of the Receivership for the quarter ending September 30, 2018.

A. The Receiver continues to successfully preserve and expeditiously monetize Receivership assets.

The Receiver has successfully stabilized the Receivership Entity, preserved value when possible and facilitated the monetization of a majority of the receivership assets. Through the third quarter ended September 30, 2018, the Receiver has sold assets and collected receivables totaling approximately \$314.5 million. The Receiver has also entered into and substantially completed the implementation of a settlement with the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau ("CFPB") and fourteen state Attorneys General in connection with the Corinthian Colleges student loan portfolio held by Campus Student Funding, LLC., including modification or cancellation of each of the approximately 47,000 loans, and appropriate notification to each of the borrowers. As a result of the debt discharge provided to the student borrowers, the Receiver was also required to mail approximately 42,000 debt cancellation tax forms (1099's) to the borrowers.

Having made substantial progress on the planned asset dispositions, the Receiver has proceeded with an investor claim validation process and has sent out 2,561 data verification packets, which substantially completed the Receiver's intended data verification production. As mandated by the Final Receivership Order, the Receiver has concluded the first phase of his forensic investigation, and the resulting forensic report has been circulated to the SEC for a

⁷ Subsequent to the extended deadline to file the 1099's, and after the close of the second quarter of 2018, the Receiver received notice that IRS has determined that the debt forgiveness may not be taxable income to the borrowers. Borrowers should see IRS Revenue Procedure 18-39 at https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-drop/rp-18-39.pdf and consult their tax advisor for applicability.

courtesy review. The Receiver provided the forensic report to certain other governmental agencies for review. The report was filed on November 21, 2018 [Dkt. #663]. The Receiver has also expended a significant effort in data analysis and financial modeling necessary for the development of a potential distribution plan.

B. The Receiver continues to manage the Receivership Entity.

The remaining loan portfolios owned by the Receivership Entity require management until monetized or wound down. The Receiver and his team fill the management gap left after the termination of the Individual Defendants and the departures of other management and staff (more than 90% of pre-Receivership employees are no longer with the Receivership Entities). Absent that day-to-day, hands-on management, the Receivership Entity's, and, ultimately, the investors' value would languish.

Feedback from Commission staff and the overwhelming majority of Aequitas investors regarding our progress thus far has been very positive. The Receiver is very mindful of their priorities to proceed both expeditiously and economically, to seek an interim distribution when possible, and conclude this Receivership in an equitable fashion as soon as practicable. The Receiver believes he has their support and encouragement to continue his efforts, and that they also support the continuation of the Receivership.

C. The Receiver recommends that the Receivership continue for the benefit of creditors and investors.

It remains the Receiver's recommendation that the Receivership continue. The conditions under which the Receivership was imposed still exist. While much has been accomplished, there is still much more to do. The Receiver must finish monetizing the remaining assets in a manner and timeline consistent with reasonably maximizing value to the

Page 6 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

investors. The Receiver also must (i) complete the claims process, (ii) complete asset recovery

and avoidance litigation, (iii) resolve investor and other creditor claim amounts, (iv) draft and

seek constituent support and court approval of an asset distribution plan, for which the modeling

of several alternatives has already begun, and (v) manage the distribution process to conclusion.

D. The following qualified professional service firms have been engaged by the Receiver to aid in the discharge of his duties and

responsibilities to the Receivership Entity.

On March 16, 2016, pursuant to the Interim Order, the Receiver engaged FTI Consulting,

Inc. ("FTI"), as well as the law firms of Pepper Hamilton LLP ("Pepper"), Schwabe, Williamson

& Wyatt PC ("Schwabe") and Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP ("Pachulski") on an interim

basis. On April 14, 2016, pursuant to the Final Receivership Order, the Receiver engaged FTI,

Pepper, Schwabe and Pachulski on behalf of the Receivership Entity.

On July 18, 2016, pursuant to the Order Granting Receiver's Application To Employ

Counsel, the Receiver employed the Law Offices of Stanley H. Shure ("Shure"), Akin Gump

Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP ("Akin"), Morrison & Foerster LLP ("MoFo") and Ater Wynne LLP

("Ater") nunc pro tunc to March 10, 2016.

On May 3, 2018, pursuant to the Order Granting Receiver's Application to Employ

Counsel, the Receiver employed Snell & Wilmer LLP ("Snell & Wilmer") in order to retain

attorney, Ivan B. Knauer, who relocated from Pepper Hamilton to Snell & Wilmer.

1. FTI Consulting - Receiver, Financial Advisor and On-Site

Management

The Receiver is employed as a Senior Managing Director of FTI, which bills and collects

for the Receiver's time and expenses. To support the Receivership, the Receiver has retained

FTI and has access to FTI professionals. FTI is serving as financial advisor to the Receiver and

Page 7 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.

Fax: 503.796.2900

providing on-site management supervision over the operations of the Receivership Entity.

Additionally, FTI has been instrumental in preparing assets for market, running certain sale processes, data and information consolidation, investor account data verification, coordinating and overseeing the forensic accounting, implementation of the national Corinthian Colleges student note receivable settlement with the CFPB and fourteen state Attorneys General, and planning the claims process and distribution plan development.

2. Pepper Hamilton - Securities and Exchange Commission Counsel

Pepper represents the Receiver in connection with SEC-related matters, including the SEC Complaint and discovery promulgated thereunder. Pepper also represents the Receiver regarding other ongoing regulatory inquiries and acted as a point of contact for the Receiver with the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB") and certain other governmental agencies. Pepper has also assisted the Receiver with certain transactional work.

3. Snell & Wilmer - Securities and Exchange Commission Counsel

Following the move of attorney Ivan Knauer from Pepper to Snell & Wilmer around May 1, 2018, Snell & Wilmer represents the Receiver in connection with SEC-related matters, including the SEC Complaint and discovery promulgated thereunder. Snell & Wilmer also represents the Receiver regarding other ongoing regulatory inquiries and acts as a point of contact for the Receiver with the state Attorneys General, Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (the "CFPB") and certain other governmental agencies. Counsel is diligently ensuring there is no duplication in work performed by Pepper and Snell & Wilmer.

///

Page 8 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

4. Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt – General Counsel

As general counsel to the Receiver, Schwabe provides general outside counsel advice, as well as the majority of transactional and litigation support for all matters other than the SEC action. As periodically requested by the Receiver and as required of local counsel, Schwabe assists in the SEC enforcement action. Schwabe also communicates with the Commission Staff regarding operations, asset sales and other issues related to the administration of the Receivership.

5. Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones – Bankruptcy Counsel

Pachulski has been retained to provide advice involving bankruptcy related matters, if needed. Pachulski also advised the Receiver at outset of the case on various receivership set up and administration matters and performed lien perfection analyses.

6. Stanley H. Shure – Insurance Counsel

The Law Offices of Stanley H. Shure was retained to provide counsel on all insurance coverage issues pertaining to the Receivership Entity, including but not limited to: (a) reviewing and analyzing the Receivership Entity's liability insurance policies with respect to the claims made against it, (b) providing counsel in the event of insurance coverage disputes with the Receivership Entity's insurers, (c) evaluating the "Bankers Bond" policy for scope of coverage and whether the Receivership Entity holds any claims under the policy, and (d) analyzing and providing counsel with respect to claims, if any, that the Receivership Entity may have against former directors and officers of the Receivership Entity.

7. Morrison & Foerster – Consumer Financial Protection Bureau

Before the Commission filed suit, MoFo was counsel for three entities within the Receivership Entity: Aequitas Capital Management, Inc., Aequitas Commercial Finance, LLC,

Page 9 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

and Campus Student Funding, LLC on two matters. Specifically, MoFo represented those three entities with respect to (a) the Corinthian Colleges' bankruptcy case, and (b) an investigation initiated by the CFPB and certain state attorneys general relating to Corinthian-related student loans owned by Campus Student Funding (the "CFPB Matter"). The Receiver has retained MoFo with respect to ongoing representation in the CFPB Matter only.

8. Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld – American Student Financial Group, Inc.

Prior to entry of the Interim Order, Akin was counsel to certain entities within the Receivership Entity in two matters. First, Akin represented Aequitas Capital Management, Inc. in the matter of American Student Financial Group, Inc., et al. v. Aequitas Capital Management, Inc., Case No. 12-cv-02445-CAB-JMA (S.D. Cal.). Akin also represented Robert Jesenik and Andrew MacRitchie in that action, but claims against those individuals were dismissed on summary judgment. Second, Akin has represented the following companies in the Receivership Entity, in the matter of American Student Financial Group, Inc. v. Campus Student Funding, LLC, et al., Case No. 37-2013-00028562-CU-IP-CTL (San Diego Superior Court): Aequitas Commercial Finance, LLC; Aequitas Investment Management, LLC; Aequitas Income Protection Fund, LLC; Aequitas Income Opportunity Fund, LLC; and Aequitas Capital Management, Inc. Akin has also represented Campus Student Funding, LLC, f/k/a ASFG, LLC, Thomas Szabo, and Thomas Reiter in the same matter.

9. Ater Wynne – Conflicts Counsel regarding Wells Fargo Bank, NA

Ater was retained to represent the Receiver with respect to matters adverse to Wells

Fargo Bank and other matters where the Receiver's retained counsel had a conflict of interest.

The Wells Fargo liability has been retired. Ater withdrew as counsel to the Receiver effective

April 4, 2017. 8

E. The Receiver and the professional firms have already returned millions of dollars to the Receivership Entity.

As of September 30, 2018, the Receivership Entity had cash balances of approximately

\$117.3 million. The Standardized Fund Accounting Report, which is included in the Receiver's

October 2018 Report, provides a detailed statement of cash receipts and disbursements. Over the

period from March 16, 2016 to September 30, 2018, the overall cash balance of the Receivership

Entity increased by approximately \$101.4 million.

The October 2018 Report also contains detailed accounts of the asset sales completed

during the Tenth Application Period, as well as the ongoing sales efforts. The following are brief

summaries of the transactions and efforts undertaken during the Tenth Application Period.

1. CarePayment Medical Receivables

On December 21, 2017, CPLLC and CPFIT sold the remaining healthcare receivables

to an affiliate of CPYT. This marked a major milestone in the Receivership's successful

liquidation of the CarePayment receivables portfolio.

During the third quarter of 2018, Receivership staff continued to work closely with

CPYT to complete post-transaction reconciliations and to finalize separation of the CarePayment

platform from the Receivership. The Receivership continued to negotiate and execute

documentation with healthcare providers that resulted in releases to the Receivership Entities in

connection with prior contractual obligations of CPLLC and assignment of the agreements to an

⁸ Dkt. 396.

Page 11 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981 Fax: 503.796.2900

 $PDX \ 129912 \ 215141 \ LRE \ 24326007.1$

affiliate of CPYT. Such releases and assignments reduce the risk to the Receivership Entity going forward and are intended to minimize any potential future claims from the providers. As of October 31, 2018, the Receiver obtained releases and provider agreement assignments in connection with 22 contracts, encompassing approximately 100 medical facilities. The Receiver, jointly with CPYT, is continuing to work on transitioning the remaining healthcare provider agreements and other contractual relationships, targeting to complete the vast majority of such transactions by the end of 2018.

2. Coeur d'Alene, Idaho real estate

The Receivership sold a vacant lake-front, recreational home in Coeur d'Alene, Idaho (the "Idaho Real Property") on April 30, 2018. As part of the transaction, the Receivership received a \$550,000 secured promissory note from the buyer bearing interest at the rate of 5.0% and repaid on a 30-year amortization schedule at the monthly rate of \$2,952.00. The unpaid balance of the loan is due nine (9) months after closing of the sale or by January 31, 2019. The loan is secured by a first priority deed of trust lien on the Idaho Real Property. The note balance as of September 30, 2018 was \$444,738 after having received monthly payments and a \$100,000 principal pay down on June 19, 2018. The Receiver received a subsequent principal pay down on October 12, 2018 in the amount of \$325,000. The loan was entirely repaid by the end of November 2018.

3. Claims against Gerald Frank

The Receiver continues to work with CPYT regarding the monetization of the 111,573 shares of Class A Common Stock in CPYT received as part of the settlement with Gerald W. Frank and the Gerald W. Frank Revocable Living Trust related to the Rock and Roll restaurant loan.

Page 12 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

4. Syncronex, LLC⁹

Syncronex, LLC provides technology solutions to the publishing industry via multiple products. It offers syncAccess, a cloud-based pay meter solution that helps newspaper publishers to develop, configure, own, and evolve mobile and digital products.

Receivership Property includes Aequitas Partner Fund, LLC's ("APF") ownership of 100% of the membership interests in Syncronex, LLC (the "Membership Interests"). Receivership Property also includes certain loans held by Aequitas Holdings, LLC ("AHL") and Aequitas Corporate Lending, LLC ("ACL").

The Receiver, on behalf of each of AHL, ACL, ACF, APF, and Aequitas Management, LLC ("AML"), (AHL, AML, ACL and APF each a "Seller Entity," and collectively the "Seller Entities"), entered into a Purchase Agreement dated as of April 9, 2018, subject to approval of this Court, with Silvermine Media Holdings, LLC ("Purchaser"), which provides the terms for sale of the Seller Entities' (a) membership interests in Company, and (b) their lenders' interests in certain loans to Company (together, the "Assigned Interests", as defined in the Purchase Agreement). The Court issued its Order Granting Receiver's Motion To Sell Personal Property To Silvermine Media Holdings, LLC, Free And Clear Of Liens, Interests, Claims And Encumbrances relative to the Assigned Interests on May 17, 2018 [Dkt. 614] and the sale closed on June 15, 2018 repaying the AHL Loan in full. Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, the Purchaser had until September 15, 2018 to calculate a purchase price adjustment for operating capital which could result in the receipt of additional consideration of up to \$75,000. On

⁹ http://www.syncronex.com/en/

September 14, 2018, the Purchaser advised the Receivership that the review of the Closing Balance Sheet had concluded and the Losses (as defined in the Purchase Agreement) exceed \$75,000 by a substantial margin and, accordingly, the Purchase Price will be reduced by \$75,000 pursuant to the terms of the Purchase Agreement. The Receivership has requested additional information regarding the adjustments and will evaluate any potential remedies.

5. Other Assets

The Receiver continues to manage and monetize the remaining consumer receivables portfolios held by Campus Student Funding, LLC, Motolease Financial, LLC, and ACC Funding Series Trust 2015-5 (DE). The Receiver is monitoring the situation and seeking opportunities to monetize the Receivership's interests associated with Portland Seed Fund and Pipeline Health Holdings, as well as various third-party notes receivable held by the Receivership Entity.

F. The Receiver and the professional service firms have consistently strived to minimize costs.

As addressed above, in accordance with the request of the Commission, the Receiver and all of the professional firms have discounted their standard hourly rates. The Receiver is consistently mindful of the professional expenses and manages the work in the most cost-effective manner possible. He strives to avoid duplication of effort by the engaged professionals.

1. Day-to-Day Management

With the termination of Aequitas management, the Receiver has needed to supervise the day-to-day operations of the various Receivership Entities. In addition to the daily management duties, the Receiver has focused on several key areas of his mandate, including marshaling, preserving and monetizing assets for the benefit of the investors forensic investigation, investor data verification, and preparation for the claims process and distribution plan development.

Page 14 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

2. Bank Accounts

As discussed in the Initial Report, the Receiver has instituted an integrated on-line platform that facilitates banking, future claims processing and cash reporting for receivership cases. As assets are monetized, the Receiver has been closing bank accounts that are no longer

The cash activity reports, including information for the current reporting period and case to date, are attached as Exhibit B to the October 2018 Report.

3. Staffing

necessary.

a. Employee Headcount

The Receiver continues to maintain the minimum staff necessary for the Receivership and enterprise to operate efficiently and effectively. As of September 30, 2018, the Receivership Entity had 10 full-time employees and 1 part-time employee. The Receiver's employee retention program provides for at least six-weeks' notice to employees whose services are anticipated to no longer be required by the Receivership.

b. Contractors

In response to staff attrition in addition to planned reductions, the Receiver necessarily backfilled key accounting and technology positions with local independent contractors (not affiliated with FTI). As of September 30, 2018, the Receivership employed two full-time equivalent accounting contractors (a decrease from three in the prior period) and two part-time IT contractors.

4. Tax Preparation

In the ordinary course of business, the Receivership has many reporting and tax preparation responsibilities to investors and taxing authorities. With the resignation of Deloitte

Page 15 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

LLP as Aequitas' auditor and tax preparer, the Receiver was required to engage new

professionals to fulfill those requirements.

Tax Preparer

The Receiver retained a tax specialist to assist legacy Aequitas staff in the preparation of

tax and information returns, and to provide tax-consulting services on an as-needed basis at the

request of the Receiver.

Other Tax matters

2017 Federal and state tax return filings for the Receivership LLs and corporate

returns were completed in September and October of 2018. In total, just under 100

returns were filed for tax year 2017 (not including the three funds that were finalized for

the Receivership in late 2017). All federal returns and most state returns were filed by the

due date. A smaller number of state returns were filed shortly after (for which there were

no late filing penalties).

Form K-1 information for investors and members was distributed as soon as returns

were available and is complete for 2017.

As is the Receiver's practice, 2017 filings were marked as final where circumstances

allow for closure of the tax reporting.

Planning for 2018 tax reporting is underway including information reporting (due in

January 2019) as applicable. The Receiver expects to provide estimates to fund members for the

remaining funds consistent with timing in prior years. K-1s will be provided as returns are

completed in summer 2019.

Page 16 -RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981

Fax: 503.796.2900

The remaining investor funds are:

Aequitas Income Protection Fund LLC

Aequitas Enhanced Income Fund LLC

Aequitas Hybrid Fund LLC

Tax reporting for the Aequitas multi-tier structure will continue to be required until there is a conversion of the structure. Depending on the timing, short prior returns could be required.

Information reporting for student loans held by Campus Student Funding LLC was required in connection with debt cancellation that was provided under the terms of the settlement with the CFPB and state Attorneys General. Receiver and his legal counsel had been working with the IRS and had requested a Private Letter Ruling that would eliminate the need for the information reporting. Such relief from the reporting requirements was denied by the IRS. Receiver had also filed a request for an extension of the deadline to mail informational forms (1099's) to the student borrowers (which request was granted in January 2018). On or about March 2, 2018, the Receivership mailed out ~42,000 informational tax forms to the student borrowers. Subsequent to the extended deadline to file the 1099's, and during the third quarter of 2018, the Receiver received notice that the IRS had determined that the debt forgiveness may not be taxable income to the borrowers. Borrowers should see IRS Revenue Procedure 18-39 at https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-drop/rp-18-39.pdf and consult their tax advisor for applicability.

During the third quarter of 2018, the Receivership staff and retained professionals continued to field direct inquiries from the borrowers about the 1099 tax forms, monitored the

Page 17 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

activities of the call center that also handled such inquiries, and communicated with the IRS,

CFPB, and state Attorneys General on matters related to the tax implications of the debt

forgiveness on the student borrowers.

5. Leveraging the professional firms' resources

FTI continues to leverage its access to various resources and services to provide

information at no additional charge to the Receivership. As a result, the Receivership Entity has

been able to eliminate contracts with a number of corresponding providers. Additionally, FTI

provides as-needed tele-conferencing and web-conferencing capabilities to the Receivership

Entity at no charge.

Schwabe provides meeting space and infrastructure at no charge to the Receivership

Entity, which allows the Receiver to minimize costs associated with the Investor Advisory

Committee, investor counsel meetings and other in-person meetings.

6. Discounted Travel Time

The Receiver, FTI and the majority of legal counsel only charge 50 percent of actual

travel time. Schwabe does not charge for travel time or travel expenses.

7. Out-of-Town Meals and Expenses

The Receiver carefully monitors the expenses incurred by his professionals. In

furtherance of this effort, the Receiver has imposed a per diem limit on meal expenses and all

costs incurred in excess thereof are not charged to the Receivership.

G. The Receiver and the professional firms have been working on the

preparation for the Claims Process

The Receiver has substantially completed the groundwork necessary for

quantifying and validating the over \$600 million of investor claims. This involved

Page 18 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C. Attorneys at Law 1211 SW 5th Ave., Suite 1900 Portland, OR 97204 Telephone: 503.222.9981

Fax: 503.796.2900

reconciling tens of thousands of investor investment/redemption activities documented by the books and records of the Receivership, which, because Aequitas did not utilize a consolidated accounting and investor reporting platform, required reconciling investor account statements produced outside the accounting system with separate accounting and tax records. Additional validations are necessary to the extent issues were discovered during the reconciliation process and to the extent the Receivership's records did not align with investor and creditor records.

A total of 2,561 investor data validation packets (including Integrity investors) have been sent to investors representing substantially all of the invested capital in ACF Private Note, Income Opportunity Fund, Income Opportunity Fund II, Income Protection Fund, Private Client Fund, Enhanced Income Fund, ACC C Plus Holdings, ACC F Plus Holdings, Aequitas Peer-to-Peer Funding, CarePayment Holdings, ML Financial Holdings, and MotoLease Financial.

During the third quarter of 2018, the Receiver undertook a significant effort involving integration of information on interest and return payments made to investors prior to the Receivership into the comprehensive investor activity database that the Receiver has developed. Given the uncertainty of the nature of a future distribution plan, the Receiver has determined that it was necessary to supplement the investor activity database with the detail of interest and return payments in order to be able to accommodate a wider range of possible distribution plans and be able to readily access this important information. The Receivership staff and retained professionals worked to review numerous Aequitas Excel-based schedules, capture the relevant information involving approximately 19,000 transactions, and then map and integrate such

Page 19 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

information into the existing database. This work is continuing, and the Receiver intends to complete it in the fourth quarter of 2018 in preparation for the claims process.

In the third quarter of 2018, the Receiver and the retained professionals worked on designing and drafting the necessary documentation for the upcoming claims process. The Receivership staff also interviewed several potential claim agent vendors and reviewed such vendors' capabilities, tools, and processes, as well as solicited proposals to provide claims agent services. The Receiver anticipates disseminating information on the proposed claims process shortly and, once initial feedback is received and reviewed, intends to file a claims motion with the Cour.

III. <u>AUTHORITY SUPPORTING REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF FEES AND EXPENSES INCURRED FROM JULY 1, 2018 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2018</u>

The Order Appointing Receiver provides that "[t]he Receiver and Retained Personnel are entitled to reasonable compensation and expense Reimbursement from the Receivership Estates..." Applications for compensation and expense reimbursement are on an interim basis, subject to final review at the close of the Receivership. Allowed fees are subject to a twenty percent (20%) holdback pending final review and closing of the Receivership. Allowed expenses are not subject to a holdback.

The Receiver requests that the Court approve the fees and expenses incurred by the Receiver and his retained professionals for the period from July 1, 2018 through September 30, 2018.

¹⁰ Dkt. 156, ¶ 45.

¹¹ Dkt. 156, ¶ 47.

A. This is the Tenth Interim Fee Application and the Court has previously approved the prior nine Interim Fee Applications

This is the Tenth Interim Fee Application of the Receiver and his retained professionals. The initial Interim Fee Application was filed on September 22, 2016. 12 The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Interim Fee Application on October 21, 2016. 13 The Second Interim Fee Application was filed on December 12, 2016. 14 The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Second Fee Application on February 22, 2017. 15 The Third Interim Fee Application was filed on April 4, 2017. 16 The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Third Fee Application on April 20, 2017. 17 The Fourth Interim Fee Application was filed on July 14, 2017. 18 The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Fourth Fee Application on July 17, 2017. 19 The Fifth Interim Fee Application was filed on October 11, 2017. 20 The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Fifth Fee Application on October 12, 2017. 21 The Sixth Interim Fee Application was filed on December 12, 2017. 22 The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Sixth Fee Application on December 12,

Page 21 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

¹² Dkt. 251.

¹³ Dkt. 273.

¹⁴ Dkt. 315.

¹⁵ Dkt. 370.

¹⁶ Dkt. 393.

¹⁷ Dkt. 416.

¹⁸ Dkt. 475.

¹⁹ Dkt. 478.

²⁰ Dkt. 544.

²¹ Dkt. 548.

²² Dkt. 567.

2017.²³ The Seventh Interim Fee Application was filed on March 16, 2018.²⁴ The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Seventh Fee Application on March 20, 2018.²⁵ The Eighth Interim Fee Application was filed on June 26, 2018.²⁶ The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Eighth Fee Application on June 26, 2018.²⁷ The Ninth Interim Fee Application was filed on September 17, 2018.²⁸ The Court approved the fees and expenses requested in the Ninth Fee Application on September 18, 2018.²⁹ The following is a summary of the fees and expenses requested and approved from the prior Interim Fee Applications, the amounts allowed, the amounts paid, and identification of the twenty percent (20%) holdback for fees (which remain unpaid as required by the Billing Instructions):

Aequitas Receivership
Professional Fees & Expenses by Entity (through June 30, 2018)

	Previous	Previous Previous		Holdback	Total Paid
Entity	Fees	Expenses	Fees & Expenses	Amounts	Amounts
Receiver	1,851,090	30,805	1,881,895	370,218	1,511,677
FTI Consulting	9,871,149	412,162	10,283,311	1,974,230	8,309,081
Pepper Hamilton	2,351,981	274,184	2,626,165	470,396	2,155,768
Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt	5,485,567	31,182	5,516,748	1,097,113	4,419,635
Morrison Foerster	971,760	5,843	977,604	194,352	783,252
Law Office of Stanley H. Shure	673,251	5,807	679,058	134,650	544,408
Snell & Wilmer	93,690	1,591	95,281	18,738	76,543
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones	100,243	604	100,847	20,049	80,798
Akin Gump	60,259	133	60,392	12,052	48,340
Ater Wynne	10,356	=	10,356	2,071	8,285
Total:	21,469,345	762,310	22,231,656	4,293,869	17,937,787

Page 22 - RECEIVER'S REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION

²³ Dkt. 570.

²⁴ Dkt. 596.

²⁵ Dkt. 599.

²⁶ Dkt. 630.

²⁷ Dkt. 633.

²⁸ Dkt. 654.

²⁹ Dkt. 657.

B. The Court should approve as reasonable and necessary all of the fees and expenses requested in this Tenth Interim Fee Application.

In the Ninth Circuit, in a common fund case such as this Receivership proceeding, the Court has the "discretion to apply either the lodestar method or the percentage-of-the-fund method when calculating fees." "Under either method, the Court must exercise its discretion to achieve a 'reasonable' result." ³¹

Because there is a strong presumption that the lodestar amount represents a reasonable fee, adjustments to the lodestar are the "exception rather than the rule." Under the lodestar method, the Court multiplies a reasonable number of hours by a reasonable hourly rate. In the current Receivership, where neither the amount of the common fund nor the aggregate amount of allowed claims has been determined, the lodestar method rather than the percentage-of-fund method should be applied to this interim fee application.

The amount of compensation to be awarded a court-appointed receiver is within the Court's reasonable discretion.³⁴ The Commission's approval of an application should be given great weight.³⁵

³⁰ Fischel v. Equitable Life Assurance Soc'y, 307 F.3d 997, 1006 (9th Cir 2002) (class action case creating a common fund).

³¹ *In re Galena Biopharma, Inc., Sec. Litig.*, No. 3:14-cv-00367-SI, 2016 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 82693, at *15-16 (D. Or. June 24, 2016) (citing *In re Bluetooth Headset Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 654 F.3d 935, 942 (9th Cir. 2011)).

³² Stanger v. China Elec. Motor, Inc. 812 F.3d 734, 738 (9th Cir 2016) (internal citations omitted) (class action with common fund settlement).

³³ *Id*.

³⁴ SEC v. Byers, 590 F. Supp. 2d 637, 644 (S.D.N.Y. 2008), aff'd, 609 F.3d 87 (2d Cir. 2010).

³⁵ *Id.* (quoting *SEC v. Fifth Ave. Coach Lines, Inc.*, 364 F. Supp. 1220, 1222 (S.D.N.Y. 1973) (securities receivership).

Factors for consideration include the "time, labor and skill required, but not necessarily that actually expended, in the proper performance of the duties imposed by the court upon the receiver, the fair value of such time, labor and skill measured by conservative business standards, the degree of activity, integrity and dispatch with which the work is conducted and the result obtained."³⁶ The complexity and difficulty associated with the receivership are highly relevant factors in determining the reasonableness of professional fees.³⁷ The benefits bestowed on the estate are a relevant consideration.³⁸

The degree of success achieved in solving legal and practical problems should be considered when calculating the fees awarded.³⁹ Courts examine the credentials, experience, reputation, and other professional qualities required to carry out the court's orders when assessing the reasonableness of the rates charged for services to a receivership.⁴⁰

³⁶ United States v. Code Prod. Corp., 362 F.2d 669, 673 (3d Cir. 1966) (internal citation omitted).

³⁷ See Fifth Ave. Coach Lines, 364 F. Supp. at 1220 (awarding interim fees and expenses to law firm for role in receivership and noting that it involved wide variety of complex legal matters requiring the time, competence, and diverse resources of a law firm of high caliber); SEC v. Mobley, No. 00 CV 1316 RCC, 2000 WL 1702024, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Nov. 13, 2000) (finding that fees requested in early stages of receivership were not excessive where receiver was faced with deconstructing an "enormous" fraud of seven years in which defendant utilized over forty entities to funnel investors' money throughout the world and there were few, if any, verifiable financial records).

³⁸ See Fifth Ave. Coach Lines., 364 F. Supp. at 1222; Mobley, 2000 WL 1702024, at *2 (receiver marshaled assets quickly and efficiently).

³⁹ See SEC v. W.L. Moody & Co., Bankers, 374 F. Supp. 465, 484-85 (S.D. Tex. 1974), aff'd, 519 F.2d 1087 (5th Cir 1975) (attorney's timely action prevented delay in payment to bank depositors); Fifth Ave. Coach Lines, 364 F. Supp. at 1222 (law firm's services resulted in successful reorganization of company); SEC v. Tanner, No. 05–4057–RDR, 2007 WL 2013606, at *2 (D. Kan. May 22, 2007) (actions of receiver resulted in return of more money to investors than if defendant had continued in business).

⁴⁰ See W.L. Moody & Co., 374 F. Supp. at 481 (holding that a court should give "considerable"

The Court should consider the usual and customary fees charged and the evidence presented to support the application for fees. 41 All of the factors considered in these cases weigh heavily in favor of approving the fees and expenses requested in the Tenth Interim Fee Application.

C. The fees and expenses during this Tenth Application Period are reasonable and necessary in light of the complexity and difficulties of this case.

The Receiver requests approval of fees and expenses for the firms identified herein, which have provided the services summarized throughout this Motion, in the October 2018 Report and in each firm's summary invoice. As noted, these firms agreed to substantial discounts of their customary fees, and the amounts requested reflect those discounts. The time spent, services performed, hourly rates charged, and expenses incurred were in the best interests of the Receivership Entity, and were indeed essential for the Receiver to perform his Court-ordered duties. Each of these professional firms was selected because it possesses special expertise required to fulfill the Court's orders. The following chart is a summary of the fees and expenses reasonably incurred in the service of the Receivership Entity from July 1, 2018 through September 30, 2018:

weight" to "a receiver's abilities, as required by the tasks of the receivership"); *SEC v. Aquacell Batteries Inc.*, No. 6:07–cv–608–Orl–22 DAB, 2008 WL 276026, at *4 (M.D. Fla. Jan. 31, 2008) ("The Receiver retained well qualified, experienced counsel and such representation does not come cheap.").

⁴¹ See Fifth Ave. Coach Lines, Inc., 364 F. Supp. at 1222 (fees awarded in full based on law firm's usual hourly rate and supported by meticulous records).

⁴² Greenspan Declaration, Exhibits A through G.

⁴³ Greenspan Declaration, p. 4.

Aequitas Receivership

Professional Fees & Expenses by Entity (from July 1 through September 30, 2018)

Entity	Fees (\$)	Percentage	Expenses (\$)	Percentage	Total (\$)	Percentage
Receiver	76,023.00	4.9%	1,521.62	4.7%	77,544.62	4.9%
FTI Consulting	829,372.00	53.7%	20,511.17	63.4%	849,883.17	53.9%
Pepper Hamilton	29,747.24	1.9%	2,975.26	9.2%	32,722.50	2.1%
Schwabe, Williamson & Wyatt	339,569.50	22.0%	3,307.28	10.2%	342,876.78	21.7%
Morrison Foerster	22,362.37	1.4%	=	0.0%	22,362.37	1.4%
Law Office of Stanley H. Shure	124,545.14	8.1%	835.01	2.6%	125,380.15	8.0%
Snell & Wilmer	123,052.50	8.0%	3,226.05	10.0%	126,278.55	8.0%
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones ^[1]	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Akin Gump ^[1]	-	0.0%	=	0.0%	=	0.0%
Ater Wynne [1]	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
Total:	1,544,671.75	100%	32,376.39	100%	1,577,048.14	100%

^[1] Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones, Akin Gump, and Ater Wynne did not incur fees or expenses during the billing period.

D. The fees and expenses requested are in line with those approved in other cases.

The fees associated with complex receivership cases are often substantial percentages of the total assets recovered.⁴⁴ The size and scope of this equitable receivership are much greater than the size and scope of many of the receiverships in the reported cases cited in this Application. Courts have noted that compensation to equitable receivers is analogous to compensation to trustees in bankruptcy.⁴⁵ The United States Department of Justice reported that from 1994 to 2000, in Chapter 7 asset cases, 30% to 40% of total estate receipts were disbursed as fees and expenses to trustees and other professionals.⁴⁶ This was true regardless of the size of

⁴⁴ SEC v. Megafund Corp., No. 3:05–CV–1328–L, 2008 WL 2839998, at *2 (N.D. Tex. June 24, 2008) (approving final request for fees and expenses comprising 22.4% of total recovery by Receiver in light of complexities of case, difficulties in tracing proceeds, and results obtained); SEC v. Funding Res. Group, No. Civ.A.3:98–CV–2689–M, 2003 WL 145411, at *1 (N.D. Tex. Jan. 15, 2003) (costs of administration totaled 21% of cash and assets finally recovered by receiver).

⁴⁵ W.L. Moody & Co., 374 F. Supp. at 481.

⁴⁶ U.S. DOJ, U.S. Trustee Program Prelim. Rep. on Ch. 7 Asset Cases 1994 to 2000, attached as Exhibit P, App'x 187, 192.

the case. ⁴⁷ The fees incurred in this case are a significantly smaller percentage of the total recoveries through the end of the Tenth Application Period than any of these cases and the Receivership Entity has benefitted by avoiding additional fees that would have been incurred if investment bankers were retained.

IV. CONCLUSION

The relief requested herein is appropriate and in furtherance of the provisions of the Final Receivership Order appointing the Receiver. Accordingly, the Receiver respectfully requests that the Court enter an order approving the fees and expenses requested in the Tenth Interim Fee Application, for the period from July 1, 2018 through September 30, 2018.

Dated this 7th day of December, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT, P.C.

By: /s/ Alex I. Poust

Troy Greenfield, OSB #892534 tgreenfield@schwabe.com Alex I. Poust, OSB #925155 apoust@schwabe.com Lawrence R. Ream (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) lream@schwabe.com

Telephone: 503.222.9981 Facsimile: 503.796.2900

Ivan B. Knauer (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*) iknauer@swlaw.com Snell & Wilmer LLP 1101 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W., Suite 300 Washington, D.C. 20004

Tel: 202.802.9770

⁴⁷ *Id*.

Attorneys for Receiver for Defendants_Aequitas Management, LLC, Aequitas Holdings, LLC, Aequitas Commercial Finance, LLC, Aequitas Capital Management, Inc., and Aequitas Investment Management, LLC