## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

IN RE:	) Misc. No. 1:22-00080-TJK-RMM
	)
ALDRICH PUMP, LLC, et al.	) Underlying Case: 20-BK-30608 (JCW)
	) (U.S. Bankr. W.D.N.C.)
Debtor.	

## CONSENT MOTION OF MOVANTS THE MANVILLE TRUST MATCHING CLAIMANTS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE REPLY BRIEF

Movants The Manville Trust Matching Claimants (collectively, "Movants"), by counsel, file this unopposed Motion requesting a one-week extension of time to file their Reply to the Respondents' Opposition to Movants' Motion To Quash or Modify Subpoena, or Alternatively for Protective Order (the "Motion to Quash") [Dkt. 2]. In support of this Motion, Movants state as follows:

- 1. Absent an extension, the Reply to the Opposition to the Motion to Quash would be due Tuesday, September 20, 2022, 7 days after service of the Opposition.
- 2. In conjunction with their Opposition to the Motion to Quash, Respondents have also filed a Motion to Transfer this Motion to the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Western District of North Carolina, and have combined their Memorandum in Support for both their Opposition to the Motion to Quash and the Motion to Transfer into a single 35-page Memorandum. [Dkt. 7-2].
- 3. Movants seek a one-week extension for their Reply to the Motion to Quash to September 27, 2022, which would be the same date that their Opposition to the Respondents' Motion to Transfer would be due.

Case 24-3963002-00000280Filet 02/07/24entEnterede02/097/24216:39a07 2 Diesc Main Document Page 2 of 4

4. Movants have not previously sought an extension of time in this matter.

5. Good cause supports granting this Motion.

a. Movants request only a modest one-week extension, which has been

consented to by opposing counsel.

b. Respondents' Memorandum in Opposition to the Motion to Quash and

the Motion to Transfer is 35 pages long with numerous lengthy exhibits. Movants anticipate that

their Reply will necessarily be lengthy, largely given a need to respond to the arguments made in

the Opposition.

6. Granting the extension will not affect any other deadlines.

7. Opposing counsel has consented to the Movants' request for an extension.

For the foregoing reasons, the Court should grant the Movants' motion for extension of

time to reply to the Respondents' Opposition to the Motion to Quash.

Dated: September 14, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ David I. Bledsoe-----

David I. Bledsoe DC Bar No. 422596 600 Cameron Street Suite 203 Alexandria, VA 22314 703-379-9424 703-684-1851(fax) bledsoelaw@earthlink.net

Counsel for Movants The Manville Trust Matching Claimants

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on September 14, 2022, I filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court via ECF, who will serve notice by email to all parties registered for service of pleadings in this matter.

/s/David I. Bledsoe David I. Bledsoe

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

IN RE:	)	Misc. No. 1:22-00080-TJK-RMM
ALDRICH PUMP, LLC, et al.	)	Underlying Case: 20-BK-30608 (JCW) (U.S. Bankr. W.D.N.C.)
Debtor.	)	)
<u>[P</u>	ROPO!	SED] ORDER
Upon consideration of the Man	ville Tr	ust Matching Claimants' Motion for Extension of
Time to File Reply Brief, and for good	cause s	shown, it is hereby ORDERED:
The Motion is GRANTED. Mo	ovants'	Reply to the Respondents' Opposition to the
Motion to Quash shall be due Septemb	er 27, 2	022.
SO ORDERED this	day o	of, 2022.
	_	1: 1/ 1/
		Lobin M. Meriweather United States Magistrate Judge