1	Joseph A. Hamell WSBA# 29423				
2	MONTGOMERY PURDUE BLANKINSHIP & AUSTIN PLLC 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5500 Seattle, WA 98104-7096 Phone: (206) 682-7090 Email: jhamell@mpba.com				
3					
4					
5	Marianne S. Mortimer Martin, Esq. (<i>Pro Hac</i> Application to be Filed)				
6	JEFFER MANGELS BUTLER & MITCHELL, LLP 1900 Avenue of the Stars, 7 th Floor				
7	Los Angeles, CA 90067 Phone: (310) 201-3531 Email: mmartin@jmbm.com				
8					
9	Counsel for Premier, Inc. and Its Subsidiaries				
10					
11	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON				
12					
13	In re:	Chapter 11			
14	ASTRIA HEALTH, <i>et al.</i> , ¹	Lead Case No. 19-01189-11 Jointly Administered			
15		LIMITED OBJECTION OF			
16 17	Debtors and Debtors In Possession.	PREMIER, INC. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES TO			
17		CONFIRMATION OF DEBTORS' SECOND AMENDED JOINT CHAPTER			
19		11 PLAN OF REORGANIZATION			
20		[ECF Nos. 1986, 2003]			
21					
22					
23	¹ The Debtors, along with their case numbers, are as follows: Astria Health (19-01189-11), Glacier Canyon, LLC (19-01193-11), Kitchen and Bath Furnishings, LLC (19-01194-11), Oxbow Summit, LLC (19-01195-11), SHS Holdco, LLC (19-01196-11), SHC Medical Center - Toppenish (19-01190-11), SHC Medical Center - Yakima (19-01192-11), Sunnyside				
24					
25	Community Hospital Association (19-01191-11), Sunnyside Community Hospital Home				
26	Medical Supply, LLC (91-01197-11), Sunnyside Home Health d/b/a Astria Home Health (19-01198-11), Sunnyside Professional Services, LLC (19-01199-11), Yakima Home Care Holdings, LLC (19-01201-11) and Yakima HMA Home Health, LLC (19-01200-11).				
	{21289/0001/02368815-2} LIMITED OBJECTION OF PREMIER, INC. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES TO				
	CONFIRMATION OF DEBTORS' PLAN - 1	 			
	19-01189-WLH11 Doc 2066 Filed 1	2/04/20 Entered 12/04/20 13:45:48 Pg 1 of 9			

Premier, Inc. (with its consolidated subsidiaries, including Premier Healthcare Solutions, Inc. ("PHSI") and Healthcare Insights, LLC ("Healthcare Insights"), collectively, "Premier") hereby asserts this limited objection (the "Objection") to confirmation of the *Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Astria Health and its Debtor Affiliates* [ECF No. 1986, 2003 (with proposed amendments)] (the "Plan").

BACKGROUND

As Premier has set forth previously before this Court, Premier (primarily through PHSI and Healthcare Insights) provides critical information technology and related healthcare improvement products and services. Premier provides and has provided these products and services, and access thereto, to certain of the debtors and debtors in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases (collectively, the "Debtors") pursuant to a number of prepetition executory contracts (the "Premier Agreements"), primarily enterprise agreements, as more particularly listed out on Exhibit A hereto. Under the Premier Agreements, Premier also makes available licenses to use Premier intellectual property, as well as other products, services and benefits, to the Debtors on an enterprise basis.

As of the Debtors' May 6, 2019 filing and commencement of the abovereferenced bankruptcy cases (the "Petition Date"), Premier (with its consolidated subsidiaries, including PHSI and Healthcare Insights, LLC) held pre-petition claims against the Debtors' estates in relation to the Premier Agreements of no less than \$236,408.70. Premier has timely filed proofs of claim in relation to such pre-petition claims, and has submitted a ballot to reject the Debtors' Plan in connection with such claims.²

² If the Debtors do reject any or all of the Premier Agreements in connection with the Plan or otherwise, Premier's rejection and other claims are likely to be greatly in excess of the amount originally proposed in Premier's initial proofs of claim. Accordingly, Premier reserves any and

^{21289/0001/02368815-2} LIMITED OBJECTION OF PREMIER, INC. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES TO CONFIRMATION OF DEBTORS' PLAN - 2

As this Court is aware, these many months following the Petition Date, the Debtors have filed their Plan, which the Debtors now seek to confirm. The Plan provides for the rejection of all Executory Contracts (as such term is defined in the Plan) that are not otherwise (i) assumed by order of the Court, (ii) subject to a motion to assume pending on the Effective Date or (iii) identified on a list of tobe-assumed contracts that was filed with this Court [ECF No. 2043]. *See* Plan at Section IV.B.1. Rejection of such Executory Contracts, under the Plan, is to occur "[i]mmediately prior to the Effective Date". *Id.* (also noting that the "Confirmation Order will constitute a Court order approving such rejections of Executory Contracts as of the Effective Date"). The Effective Date is also defined in the Plan as the date upon which the conditions set forth in the Plan have been satisfied or waived. *See* Plan at Section I.A.1.64.

On November 24, 2020, Premier received a notice from the Debtors (the "November 24th Letter") stating that the Debtors intend to seek to reject the Premier Agreements pursuant to the rejection provisions of the Plan. A copy of the November 24th Letter is attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Joseph Hamell. However, the November 24th Letter also states that the Debtors propose to reject the Premier Agreements as of August 27, 2020, a date that is several months prior to the date of the letter. Because the Plan clearly states that rejection of Executory Contracts, such as the Premier Agreements, can only occur as of the Effective Date, which has not yet occurred, and because the Debtors appear to want to bypass or alter the very rejection provisions they have proposed and this Court's oversight of same – Premier is hereby filing this limited Objection to confirmation of the Plan.

all rights to amend or modify such proofs of claim and to assert additional or other claims, including any and all administrative claims, as they become known to Premier.

LIMITED OBJECTION

A. <u>Undisclosed "Changes" to the Plan Terms are Impermissible</u>

The Debtors have proposed the terms of the Plan, and as they relate to the rejection of Executory Contracts (including any of the Premier Agreements, to the extent they are deemed Executory Contracts), the terms of the Plan compel rejection effective as of the Effective Date. If the Debtors wish to set an alternate date for the effectiveness of the rejection of Executory Contracts under the Plan, they must re-solicit and seek confirmation of such a material modification to counterparties' claims. See U.S. v. Frontier Airlines, Inc., 93 B.R. 1014, 1023-24 (Bankr. D. Co. 1988) (holding that materially adverse modifications to a plan that are contrary to the Bankruptcy Code's³ disclosure requirements require resolicitation); see, also, 11 U.S.C. § 1125 (setting forth the disclosure requirements for solicitation of a plan generally); 11 U.S.C. § 1127(c) (requiring re-solicitation for certain modifications). Anything less deprives counterparties of Executory Contracts of their right to notice and certainty with respect to their claims and contracts under the Plan. Alternatively, to the extent that the Debtors intend for the terms of the Plan to be nothing more than a strawman for the imposition of their own secret or arbitrary terms, such actions are contrary to the very core of the Plan confirmation process – and cannot be deemed to meet the "good faith" proponent requirements of plan confirmation. See 11 U.S.C. § 1129(a)(3) (requiring that the proponent of a plan propose the plan in good faith); Platinum Capital, Inc. v. Sylmar Plaza, L.P. (In re Sylmar Plaza, L.P.), 314 F.3d 1070, 1074 (9th Cir. 2002) (holding that a "plan is proposed in good faith where it achieves a result consistent with the objectives and purposes of the Code") (citation omitted).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

²⁶

³ References herein to the Bankruptcy Coe are to Title 11 of the United States Code.

In short, the Debtors cannot propose Plan terms to which they do not intend to abide. As such, absent re-solicitation, to the extent the Debtors do not intend to abide by the rejection terms and procedures of the Plan that they proposed – confirmation of such Plan must be denied.

B.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

Different Rejection Dates Amounts to Disparate Treatment of Premier

Further, to the extent that the Debtors intend to treat Premier, and Premier alone, differently from every other rejected Executory Contract counterparty under the Plan – and every other similar class claimant under the Plan, the Debtors' Plan must likewise fail. Sections 1123 and 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code bind the Debtors to treating every claim or interest of a particular class "the same". See, e.g., 11 U.S.C. 1123(a)(4) (providing that a plan "shall... (4) provide the same treatment for each claim or interest of a particular class, unless the holder of a particular claim or interest agrees to a less favorable treatment of such particular claim or interest"); 11 U.S.C. 1129 (a)(1), (2) (requiring that a plan and plan proponent comply with all the "applicable provisions of this title"). By applying an indiscriminate rejection standard to Premier, while allowing another standard for all other rejected Executory Contract counterparties, the Debtors run afoul of these treatment requirements by drastically and adversely altering Premier's claims. See, e.g., In re Reid Park Props., L.L.C., 2012 Bankr. LEXIS 3316, at *5 (Bankr. D. Ariz July 18, 2012) (noting that such "disparate treatment" violates § 1123(a)4)). Premier has not agreed to any different treatment under the Plan, and certainly the Debtors have not set forth any basis for such. To the extent the Debtors seek to deviate from their obligations of equal treatment of rejection counterparties and their related claims, the Debtors' efforts diminish the very fabric of fairness the Bankruptcy Code seeks to instill. For that reason, any such

deviation in treatment, particularly as it singles out counterparties such as Premier, must be denied.

C.

1

The Debtors' Attempts Amount to a Violation of Premier's Rights

Last but not least, if the Debtors wish to seek a retroactive rejection date for the Premier Agreements that differs from the Effective Date set forth in the Plan, they must file a separate motion and prove up the relief they seek. By instead trying to boot-strap a contract rejection motion onto the proposed rejection provisions of the Plan, without proving up the exceptional and differing relief sought, the Debtors not only try to skirt the very procedures they proposed, but they also seek to abuse the powers to be conferred by this Court to their gain. The Debtors cannot otherwise use or alter the terms of their proposed Plan to disenfranchise counterparties and claimants' rights which are expressly protected by the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. See U.S. v. Arnold & Baker Farms (In re Arnold & Baker Farms), 177 B.R. 648, 658 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1994) (holding a plan must comply with the "objectives and purposes of the Bankruptcy Code"). Anything less deprives Premier of its right to a hearing and its other due process rights. See, e.g., 11 U.S.C. § 365(a) (requiring that rejection be "subject to the court's approval"); see also 11 U.S.C. 1123(b)(2) (providing for the ability to reject executory contracts under a plan, "subject to section 365"). For this reason, as well, the Debtors' efforts to usurp Premier of its rights pursuant to their Plan must be denied.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

Premier hereby expressly reserves the right to supplement this Objection. Premier further reserves all of its rights, claims, defenses and remedies with respect to the Debtors and the Premier Agreements, including but not limited to any right to assert any claims, rights of setoff or rights of recoupment against the

Debtors, any right to seek appropriate relief to protect any Premier entity's assets and contractual rights, any right to seek an order from this Court relating to any rejection of the Premier Agreements and any other rights Premier is entitled to assert under the Premier Agreements, the Bankruptcy Code, applicable law or otherwise.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE Premier hereby requests that the Court (i) deny Debtors' request to confirm the Plan, to the extent the Debtors seek Executory Contract rejection dates other than as of the Effective Date of the Plan, as presently set forth in the Plan, and (ii) grant such further relief as the Court deems appropriate.

DATED this 4th day of December, 2020.

		Joseph A Attorney Subsidia MONTG BLANK 701 Fifth Seattle, V Phone: (2 Fax: (200 Email: jh	ph A. Hamell Hamell WSBA# 29423 for Premier, Inc. and Its ries OMERY PURDUE INSHIP & AUSTIN PLLC Avenue, Suite 5500 VA 98104-7096 206) 682-7090 5) 625 -9534 hamell@mpba.com	
		and		
		Applicat Attorney Subsidia JEFFER MITCHI 1900 Av 7 th Floor Los Ang Phone: (2 Fax: (310	e S. Mortimer Martin, Esq. (ion to be Filed) s for Premier, Inc. and Its ries MANGELS BUTLER & ELL, LLP enue of the Stars eles, CA 90067 310) 201-3531 0) 203-0567 imartin@jmbm.com	(Pro Hac
{21289/0001/02368815-2} LIMITED OBJECTIO AND ITS SUBSIDIAJ CONFIRMATION OF	RIES TO	-		
9-01189-WLH11	Doc 2066	Filed 12/04/20	Entered 12/04/20 13:45:48	Pg 7 of 9

1	<u>Exhibit A</u>				
2	The Premier Agreements include, but are not limited to (and include any				
3	and all amendments, ancillary documents, exhibits and agreements related				
4	thereto):				
5	• The Performance Suite Solutions Subscription Agreement (the "Astria PSSA"), dated August 1, 2018, by and				
6	between PHSI and Debtor Astria Health;				
7 8	• The Business Associate Addendum (the "Astria BAA", with and incorporated into the Astria PSSA, the "Astria				
9	Health Agreement"), dated August 1, 2018, by and				
10	between PHSI, Premier Healthcare Alliance, L.P. ("Premier LP"), any other Premier, Inc. subsidiaries that				
11	may become a party thereto and Debtor Astria Health;				
12	The Performance Suite Solutions Subscription Agreement				
13	(the "Sunnyside PSSA"), dated August 1, 2016, by and				
14	between PHSI and Sunnyside Community Hospital ("Sunnyside");				
15	• The Business Associate Addendum (the "Sunnyside				
16	BAA", with and incorporated into the Sunnyside PSSA,				
17	the "Sunnyside Community Hospital Agreement"), dated August 1, 2016, by and between PHSI, Premier LP, any				
18	other Premier, Inc. subsidiaries that may become a party				
19	thereto and Sunnyside; and				
20	• The Project Services and License Agreement (the				
21	"Healthcare Insights Agreement"), dated as of June 1, 2008, by and between Healthcare Insights, a wholly-				
22	owned subsidiary of PHSI, and Sunnyside.				
23					
24	As Premier has set forth previously, the Premier Agreements are enterprise				
25	agreements between Premier entities and, respectively, Astria Health and				
26	Sunnyside (or the Debtor party currently d/b/a Sunnyside). The Debtors, other				
	{21289/0001/02368815-2} LIMITED OBJECTION OF PREMIER, INC. AND ITS SUBSIDIARIES TO CONFIRMATION OF DEBTORS' PLAN - 8				
	19-01189-WLH11 Doc 2066 Filed 12/04/20 Entered 12/04/20 13:45:48 Pg 8 of 9				

than Astria Health (which is the primary Debtor counterparty to the Astria Health Agreement, and the documents and exhibits related thereto) and the Debtor entity currently or previously d/b/a Sunnyside (which is the primary Debtor counterparty to the Sunnyside Community Hospital Agreement and the Healthcare Insights Agreement, and the documents and exhibits related thereto), have the benefit of the licenses, services and products under the Premier Agreements by virtue of their status as subsidiaries or affiliates of the Debtor counterparties.