

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:

CARESTREAM HEALTH, INC., *et al.*,<sup>1</sup>

Debtors.

)  
) Chapter 11  
)  
) Case No. 22-10778 (JKS)  
)  
) (Jointly Administered)  
)  
) **Re: Docket Nos. 3, 72**

Objection Deadline: September 21, 2022 at 4:00 p.m. (ET)  
Hearing Date: September 28, 2022 at 2:00 p.m. (ET)

**NOTICE OF ENTRY OF INTERIM ORDER AND FINAL HEARING REGARDING  
DEBTORS' MOTION FOR ENTRY OF INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS (I)  
AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS TO (A) FILE A CONSOLIDATED LIST OF  
CREDITORS IN LIEU OF SUBMITTING A SEPARATE MAILING MATRIX FOR  
EACH DEBTOR, (B) FILE A CONSOLIDATED LIST OF THE DEBTORS' THIRTY  
LARGEST UNSECURED CREDITORS, AND (C) REDACT CERTAIN PERSONALLY  
IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION, AND (II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on August 23, 2022, the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors") filed the *Debtors' Motion for Entry of Interim and Final Orders (I) Authorizing the Debtors to (A) File a Consolidated List of Creditors in Lieu of Submitting a Separate Mailing Matrix for Each Debtor, (B) File a Consolidated List of the Debtors' Thirty Largest Unsecured Creditors, and (C) Redact Certain Personally Identifiable Information, and (II) Granting Related Relief* (the "Motion") [Docket No. 3] with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Bankruptcy Court"). A copy of the Motion is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

<sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: Carestream Health, Inc. (0334); Carestream Health Acquisition, LLC (0333); Carestream Health Canada Holdings, Inc. (7700); Carestream Health Holdings, Inc. (7822); Carestream Health International Holdings, Inc. (5771); Carestream Health International Management Company, Inc. (0532); Carestream Health Puerto Rico, LLC (8359); Carestream Health World Holdings, LLC (1662); and Lumisys Holding Co. (3232). The location of the Debtors' service address is: 150 Verona Street, Rochester, New York 14608.



**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that the Debtors presented certain first-day motions at a hearing before the Honorable J. Kate Stickles at the Bankruptcy Court on August 24, 2022. The Bankruptcy Court granted the relief requested by the Motion on an interim basis and entered the *Interim Order (I) Authorizing the Debtors to (A) File a Consolidated List of Creditors in Lieu of Submitting a Separate Mailing Matrix for Each Debtor, (B) File a Consolidated List of the Debtors' Thirty Largest Unsecured Creditors, and (C) Redact Certain Personally Identifiable Information, and (II) Granting Related Relief* (the "Interim Order") [Docket No. 72], attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**.

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that any response or objection to the entry of a final order with respect to the relief sought in the Motion must be filed with the Bankruptcy Court on or before **September 21, 2022 at 4:00 p.m. prevailing Eastern Time**.

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that at the same time, you must also serve a copy of the response or objection upon: (i) the proposed counsel to the Debtors, (a) Kirkland & Ellis LLP, Kirkland & Ellis International LLP, 300 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60654, Attn: Patrick J. Nash, Jr., P.C. ([patrick.nash@kirkland.com](mailto:patrick.nash@kirkland.com)), Tricia Schwallier Collins, Esq. ([tricia.schwallier@kirkland.com](mailto:tricia.schwallier@kirkland.com)), and Yusuf U. Salloum, Esq. ([yusuf.salloum@kirkland.com](mailto:yusuf.salloum@kirkland.com)), (b) Kirkland & Ellis LLP, Kirkland & Ellis International LLP, 601 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10022, Attn: Nicole L. Greenblatt, P.C. ([nicole.greenblatt@kirkland.com](mailto:nicole.greenblatt@kirkland.com)) and Rachael M. Bentley, Esq. ([rachael.bentley@kirkland.com](mailto:rachael.bentley@kirkland.com)), and (c) Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP, 919 North Market Street, 17th Floor, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, Attn: Laura Davis Jones, Esq. ([ljones@pszjlaw.com](mailto:ljones@pszjlaw.com)), Timothy P. Cairns, Esq. ([tcairns@pszjlaw.com](mailto:tcairns@pszjlaw.com)), and Edward Corma, Esq. ([ecorma@pszjlaw.com](mailto:ecorma@pszjlaw.com)); (ii) counsel to JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., (a) Simpson Thacher

& Bartlett LLP, 425 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10017, Attn: Sandeep Qusba, Esq. ([squsba@stblaw.com](mailto:squsba@stblaw.com)) and Jonathan Mitnick, Esq. ([jonathan.mitnick@stblaw.com](mailto:jonathan.mitnick@stblaw.com)) and (b) Landis Rath & Cobb LLP, 919 Market Street, Suite 1800, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, Attn: Adam G. Landis, Esq. ([landis@lrclaw.com](mailto:landis@lrclaw.com)), Matthew B. McGuire, Esq. ([mcguire@lrclaw.com](mailto:mcguire@lrclaw.com)), and Matthew R. Pierce, Esq. ([pierce@lrclaw.com](mailto:pierce@lrclaw.com)); (iii) counsel to the Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Island Branch, (a) Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer US LLP, 601 Lexington Avenue, 31st Floor, New York, New York 10022, Attn: Mark F. Liscio, Esq. ([mark.liscio@freshfields.com](mailto:mark.liscio@freshfields.com)), Scott D. Talmadge, Esq. ([scott.talmadge@freshfields.com](mailto:scott.talmadge@freshfields.com)), Samantha S. Braunstein, Esq. ([samantha.braunstein@freshfields.com](mailto:samantha.braunstein@freshfields.com)), Lacey Nemergut, Esq. ([lacey.nemergut@freshfields.com](mailto:lacey.nemergut@freshfields.com)), and Skyler Splinter, Esq. ([skyler.splinter@freshfields.com](mailto:skyler.splinter@freshfields.com)) and (b) Potter Anderson & Corroon LLP, 1313 N. Market Street, 6th Floor, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, Attn: Jeremy W. Ryan, Esq. ([jryan@potteranderson.com](mailto:jryan@potteranderson.com)), L. Katherine Good, Esq. ([kgood@potteranderson.com](mailto:kgood@potteranderson.com)), Elizabeth R. Schlecker, Esq. ([eschlecker@potteranderson.com](mailto:eschlecker@potteranderson.com)); (iv) counsel to the Crossover Group, (a) Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP, One Bryant Park, Bank of America Tower, New York, New York 10036-6745, Attn: Philip C. Dublin ([pdublin@akingump.com](mailto:pdublin@akingump.com)), Naomi Moss ([nmoss@akingump.com](mailto:nmoss@akingump.com)), and Gary A. Ritacco ([gritacco@akingump.com](mailto:gritacco@akingump.com)) and (b) Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP, Hercules Plaza, Suite 5100, 1313 N. Market Street, Wilmington, Delaware 19899-1709, Attn: Evelyn J. Meltzer ([evelyn.meltzer@troutman.com](mailto:evelyn.meltzer@troutman.com)), Marcy J. McLaughlin Smith ([marcy.smith@troutman.com](mailto:marcy.smith@troutman.com)), and Kenneth A. Listwak ([ken.listwak@troutman.com](mailto:ken.listwak@troutman.com)); (v) the Office of The United States Trustee, 844 King Street, Suite 2207, Lockbox 35, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, Attn: Janes M. Leamy, Esq. ([jane.m.leafy@usdoj.gov](mailto:jane.m.leafy@usdoj.gov)); and (vi) counsel to any statutory committee appointed in these chapter 11 cases.

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** THAT IF YOU FAIL TO RESPOND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS NOTICE, THE COURT MAY GRANT THE FINAL RELIEF REQUESTED BY THE MOTION WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE OR HEARING.

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** THAT A HEARING TO CONSIDER THE FINAL RELIEF SOUGHT IN THE MOTION WILL BE HELD ON **SEPTEMBER 28, 2022 AT 2:00 P.M. PREVAILING EASTERN TIME** BEFORE THE HONORABLE J. KATE STICKLES, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE, AT THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE, 824 MARKET STREET, 5TH FLOOR, COURTROOM NO. 6, WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19801.

*[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]*

Dated: August 26, 2022  
Wilmington, Delaware

*/s/ Laura Davis Jones*

---

Laura Davis Jones (DE Bar No. 2436)  
Timothy P. Cairns (DE Bar No. 4228)  
Edward Corma (DE Bar No. 6718)  
**PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP**  
919 North Market Street, 17th Floor  
P.O. Box 8705  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
Telephone: (302) 652-4100  
Facsimile: (302) 652-4400  
Email: [ljones@pszjlaw.com](mailto:ljones@pszjlaw.com)  
[tcairns@pszjlaw.com](mailto:tcairns@pszjlaw.com)  
[ecorma@pszjlaw.com](mailto:ecorma@pszjlaw.com)

-and-

Patrick J. Nash, Jr., P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)  
Tricia Schwallier Collins (*pro hac vice* pending)  
Yusuf U. Salloum (*pro hac vice* pending)  
**KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**  
**KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP**  
300 North LaSalle Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60654  
Telephone: (312) 862-2000  
Facsimile: (312) 862-2200  
Email: [patrick.nash@kirkland.com](mailto:patrick.nash@kirkland.com)  
[tricia.schwallier@kirkland.com](mailto:tricia.schwallier@kirkland.com)  
[yusuf.salloum@kirkland.com](mailto:yusuf.salloum@kirkland.com)

-and-

Nicole L. Greenblatt, P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)  
Rachael M. Bentley (*pro hac vice* pending)  
**KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**  
**KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP**  
601 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
Telephone: (212) 446-4800  
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900  
Email: [nicole.greenblatt@kirkland.com](mailto:nicole.greenblatt@kirkland.com)  
Email: [rachael.bentley@kirkland.com](mailto:rachael.bentley@kirkland.com)

*Proposed Co-Counsel for the Debtors and Debtors in Possession*

**Exhibit 1**

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:

CARESTREAM HEALTH, INC., *et al.*,<sup>1</sup>

Debtors.

) Chapter 11

) Case No. 22-10778 ( )

) (Joint Administration Requested)

DEBTORS' MOTION  
FOR ENTRY OF INTERIM  
AND FINAL ORDERS (I) AUTHORIZING  
THE DEBTORS TO (A) FILE A CONSOLIDATED  
LIST OF CREDITORS IN LIEU OF SUBMITTING A  
SEPARATE MAILING MATRIX FOR EACH DEBTOR, (B) FILE  
A CONSOLIDATED LIST OF THE DEBTORS' THIRTY LARGEST  
UNSECURED CREDITORS, AND (C) REDACT CERTAIN PERSONALLY  
IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION, AND (II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF

The above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors") respectfully state as follows in support of this motion:<sup>2</sup>

**Relief Requested**

1. The Debtors seek entry of interim and final orders, substantially in the forms attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and **Exhibit B** (respectively, the "Interim Order" and "Final Order"), (a) authorizing the Debtors to (i) file a consolidated list of creditors in lieu of submitting a separate

<sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: Carestream Health, Inc. (0334); Carestream Health Acquisition, LLC (0333); Carestream Health Canada Holdings, Inc. (7700); Carestream Health Holdings, Inc. (7822); Carestream Health International Holdings, Inc. (5771); Carestream Health International Management Company, Inc. (0532); Carestream Health Puerto Rico, LLC (8359); Carestream Health World Holdings, LLC (1662); and Lumisys Holding Co. (3232). The location of the Debtors' service address is: 150 Verona Street, Rochester, New York 14608.

<sup>2</sup> A detailed description of the Debtors and their businesses, including the facts and circumstances giving rise to the Debtors' chapter 11 cases, is set forth in the *Declaration of Scott H. Rosa, Chief Financial Officer of Carestream Health, Inc., in Support of Chapter 11 Petitions and First Day Motions* (the "First Day Declaration"), filed contemporaneously herewith. Capitalized terms used but not defined in this motion have the meanings ascribed to them in the First Day Declaration or in the contemporaneously filed *Joint Prepackaged Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Carestream Health, Inc. and Its Debtor Affiliates* (as amended, supplemented, or otherwise modified from time to time, the "Plan"), as applicable.



mailing matrix for each Debtor, (ii) file a consolidated list of the Debtors' thirty largest unsecured creditors, and (iii) redact certain personally identifiable information; and (b) granting related relief. In addition, the Debtors request that the Court schedule a final hearing approximately thirty-five days after the commencement of these chapter 11 cases to consider entry of the Final Order.

### **Jurisdiction and Venue**

2. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Court") has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, dated February 29, 2012. The Debtors confirm their consent, pursuant to rule 9013-1(f) of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice and Procedure of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Local Rules"), to the entry of a final order by the Court in connection with this motion to the extent that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments in connection herewith consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

3. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

4. The bases for the relief requested herein are sections 105(a), 107(c), and 521 of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101–1532 (the "Bankruptcy Code"), rules 1007, 2002, 3017(d), and 9007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), and Local Rules 1001-1(c), 1007-1, 1007-2, 2002-1, 9013-1(m), and 9018-1(d).

### **Background**

5. The Debtors, together with their non-Debtor affiliates (collectively, "Carestream" or the "Company"), are a leading provider of medical imaging and non-destructive testing products with over 100 years of industry experience. The Company is a partner of choice to approximately



8,000 direct customers and approximately 900 dealers in more than 130 countries. Its products are used by prominent health systems, hospitals, imaging centers, specialty practices and industrial companies worldwide. Headquartered in Rochester, New York, Carestream employs a global workforce of approximately 3,410 employees with approximately 180 contractors.

6. Carestream, like many businesses, faced significant headwinds in 2020, principally as a result of changing product and customer trends and the global COVID-19 pandemic, which, in light of the Debtors' capital structure, placed substantial strain on the Debtors' businesses. To alleviate the strain, the Debtors executed a voluntary amend-and-extend transaction in early 2020 that extended the maturities of their first lien revolver and term loan and second lien term loan debt. The amend-and-extend transaction provided the Debtors with time to meaningfully examine various strategic alternatives, including sale transactions and debt-for-equity exchanges to deleverage the Company.

7. Ultimately, the Debtors determined that a substantial deleveraging combined with new capital investment was the best path forward for their business. To implement the foregoing, the Debtors negotiated, and ultimately agreed, with a majority of their prepetition secured lenders and their equity sponsor on the terms of a comprehensive financial restructuring. The terms of the proposed restructuring are memorialized in a restructuring support agreement (the "RSA") that serves as the foundation of the Debtors' prepackaged Plan. Under the RSA, the Debtors will eliminate approximately \$470 million of prepetition funded debt and raise up to \$75 million of new equity capital, while also leaving general unsecured claims unimpaired. As of August 23, 2022 (the "Petition Date"), the Debtors have fully solicited their Plan, which was accepted by all creditor classes entitled to vote, including lenders collectively holding

approximately 73% of the Debtors' prepetition first lien revolver and term loan debt and approximately 98% of the Debtors' prepetition second lien term loan debt.

8. On the Petition Date, each of the Debtors filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors have also filed a motion requesting joint administration of these chapter 11 cases pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b). The Debtors are operating their businesses and managing their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No request for the appointment of a trustee or examiner has been made in these chapter 11 cases and no official committees have been appointed or designated.

### **Basis for Relief**

#### **I. Cause Exists to Authorize the Debtors to File a Consolidated List of Creditors in Lieu of Filing a Separate Mailing Matrix for Each Debtor.**

9. Local Rule 2002-1(f)(v) requires each debtor or each debtor's duly retained agent to maintain a separate creditor mailing matrix in jointly administered cases. Local Rule 1001-1(c) permits modification of the Local Rules by the Court "in the interest of justice." The Debtors submit that permitting them to maintain a single consolidated list of creditors (the "Creditor Matrix"), in lieu of maintaining a separate creditor matrix for each Debtor, is warranted. Requiring the Debtors to segregate and convert their computerized records to a Debtor-specific creditor matrix format would be an unnecessarily burdensome task and result in duplicative mailings.<sup>3</sup>

10. Courts in this district have granted relief similar to the relief requested herein since the modifications to Local Rule 2002-1(f)(v) took effect. *See, e.g., In re Riverbed Tech. Inc.*,

---

<sup>3</sup> The Debtors submit that if any of these chapter 11 cases converts to a case under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, the applicable Debtor will maintain its own creditor mailing matrix.

No. 21-11503 (CTG) (Bankr. D. Del. Nov. 18, 2021) (authorizing the maintenance of a consolidated list of creditors in lieu of separate mailing matrices); *In re Alex & Ani, LLC*, No. 21-10918 (CTG) (Bankr. D. Del. June 11, 2021) (same); *In re HighPoint Res. Corp.*, No. 21-10565 (CSS) (Bankr. D. Del. Mar. 16, 2021) (same); *In re RGN-Group Holdings, LLC*, No. 20-11961 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Aug. 20, 2020) (same); *In re Extraction Oil & Gas, Inc.*, No. 20-11548 (CSS) (Bankr. D. Del. July 13, 2020) (same).<sup>4</sup>

## **II. It Is Appropriate and Necessary for the Debtors to File a Single Consolidated List of the Debtors' Thirty Largest Unsecured Creditors in These Chapter 11 Cases.**

11. Bankruptcy Rule 1007(d) provides that a debtor shall file “a list containing the name, address and claim of the creditors that hold the 20 largest unsecured claims, excluding insiders.” Fed. R. Bank. P. 1007(d); *see also* Local Rule 1007-2(a) (requiring a debtor to file “a list containing the name and complete address of each creditor”). This list is primarily used by the United States Trustee for the District of Delaware (the “U.S. Trustee”) to evaluate the types and amounts of unsecured claims against the debtor and, thus, identify potential candidates to serve on an official committee of unsecured creditors appointed in the debtor’s case pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 1102.

12. The Debtors request authority to file a single list of their thirty largest general unsecured creditors on a consolidated basis (the “Top 30 List”).<sup>5</sup> Because the top creditors of the Debtors overlap, the Debtors submit that filing separate lists for each Debtor would be of limited utility. In addition, the exercise of compiling separate lists for each individual Debtor

---

<sup>4</sup> Because of the voluminous nature of the orders cited herein, such orders have not been attached to this motion. Copies of these orders are available upon request to the Debtors’ proposed counsel.

<sup>5</sup> The Debtors submit that if any of these chapter 11 cases converts to a case under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, the applicable Debtor will file an unconsolidated Top 30 List within ten days of any such conversion.

could consume an excessive amount of the Debtors' limited time and resources. Further, the Debtors believe that a single, consolidated list of the Debtors' thirty largest unsecured, non-insider creditors will better aid the U.S. Trustee in its efforts to communicate with these creditors.

13. Courts in this district have granted relief similar to the relief requested herein. *See, e.g., In re Riverbed Tech., Inc.*, No. 21-11503 (CTG) (Bankr. D. Del. Nov. 18, 2021) (authorizing a consolidated top thirty general unsecured creditors list); *In re Alex and Ani, LLC*, No. 21-10918 (CTG) (Bankr. D. Del. June 11, 2021) (same); *In re Highpoint Res. Corp.*, No. 21-10565 (CSS) (Bankr. D. Del. Mar. 16, 2021) (same); *In re Extraction Oil and Gas, Inc.*, No. 20-11548 (CSS) (Bankr. D. Del. July 13, 2020) (same); *In re APC Auto. Techs. Intermediate Holdings, LLC*, No. 20-11466 (CSS) (Bankr. D. Del. June 4, 2020) (authorizing a consolidated top fifty general unsecured creditors list).

14. Accordingly, the Debtors submit that filing a Top 30 List is necessary for the efficient and orderly administration of these chapter 11 cases, appropriate under the facts and circumstances, and in the best interests of the Debtors' estates.

### **III. Redaction of Certain Confidential Information of Individuals Is Warranted.**

15. Section 107(c) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that the Court:

for cause, may protect an individual, with respect to the following types of information to the extent the court finds that disclosure of such information would create undue risk of identity theft or other unlawful injury to the individual or the individual's property:

(A) Any means of identification . . . contained in a paper filed, or to be filed, in a case under [the Bankruptcy Code].

(B) Other information contained in a paper described in subparagraph (A).

11 U.S.C. § 107(c)(1).

16. In addition, privacy protection regulations have been enacted in key jurisdictions in which the Debtors and their non-Debtor affiliates do business. The United Kingdom Data Protection Act of 2018 and the United Kingdom General Data Protection Regulation (together, the “UK GDPR”), the European General Data Protection Regulation (the “EU GDPR”), and similar laws in other jurisdictions, impose significant constraints on the processing (which includes the transferring or disclosing) of information relating to identified or identifiable individuals (which includes names and home addresses of individuals and individual business contacts) (“Personal Data”). The UK GDPR and EU GDPR apply to the processing of Personal Data in the context of an establishment of a controller or processor in the United Kingdom or the European Economic Area, regardless of whether the processing takes place in the United Kingdom, or the European Economic Area (and, in some circumstances, organizations established in other countries when processing Personal Data relating to individuals located in the United Kingdom or European Economic Area).

17. The UK GDPR and EU GDPR require a legal basis for any processing (including disclosure) of Personal Data. The only possible legal basis that may apply for disclosing the Personal Data in this instance would be the “legitimate interests” ground (Article 6(1)(f) UK GDPR and EU GDPR). This ground, however, will only apply where the processing is necessary for the relevant purpose. Such processing will not be necessary where there is a less intrusive way of achieving that purpose. This ground will also not apply if, when balanced against each other, the rights and freedoms of the individuals override the legitimate interest in question. The legal basis of “compliance with a legal obligation” (Article 6(1)(c) UK GDPR and EU GDPR)

would not be applicable in this situation because the legal obligation must exist under UK or EU law, which is not the case in the context of these chapter 11 cases.

18. In addition, processing (including disclosure) under the UK GDPR and EU GDPR must comply with certain key principles, including the principle of data minimization, which requires that any processing must be necessary in relation to its purpose. The disclosure of the unredacted names and home addresses (or other Personal Data) of individual creditors on the public docket is not necessary for the purpose of reviewing the claim amounts of individual creditors in connection with a plan of reorganization or administering the chapter 11 cases, and the proposed redaction would be a less intrusive way of achieving this purpose. The right of individual creditors not to have their unredacted names and home addresses disclosed on the public docket would also override the legitimate interest of disclosing such information to facilitate these chapter 11 cases. Disclosure in an unredacted form therefore risks breaching the UK GDPR and EU GDPR on account of (i) having no legal basis and (ii) breaching the minimization principle.

19. Violators of the UK GDPR and EU GDPR risk severe penalties. If an organization is found to have processed information in breach of the UK GDPR, the organization may be fined up to the higher of £17,500,000 or 4% of worldwide annual turnover—*i.e.*, total annual revenues—of the preceding financial year. *See* United Kingdom Data Protection Act 2018, section 157(5)(a) (as amended by Data Protection, Privacy and Electronic Communications (Amendments etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019). Similarly, for a breach of the EU GDPR, the organization may be fined up to the higher of €20,000,000 or 4% of worldwide annual turnover—*i.e.*, total annual revenues—of the preceding financial year. *See* General Data Protection Regulation (EU) 2016/679, art. 83(5). The processing of information includes transferring or disclosing it to others. The UK GDPR and EU GDPR may apply to the Debtors, specifically, as certain of the Debtors

may be processing data relating to their creditors and individual equity holders in the context of an establishment in the United Kingdom or in a member state of the European Economic Area.

20. It is appropriate to authorize the Debtors to redact from any paper filed or to be filed with the Court in these chapter 11 cases, including the Creditor Matrix, (a) the home addresses of individual creditors—including the Debtors’ employees and independent contractors—and equity holders, and (b) the names, addresses, and other Personal Data of any natural person to the extent they are processed subject to the UK GDPR or EU GDPR because, respectively, (x) such information can be used to perpetrate identity theft and phishing scams or to locate survivors of domestic violence, harassment, or stalking under 11 U.S.C. § 107(c)(1), and (y) disclosure risks violating the UK GDPR and EU GDPR, exposing the Debtors to potential civil liability and significant financial penalties. The risk in relation to 11 U.S.C. § 107(c)(1) is not merely speculative. In at least one recent chapter 11 case, the abusive former partner of a debtor’s employee used the publicly accessible creditor and employee information filed in the chapter 11 case to track the employee at her new address that had not been publicly available until then, forcing the employee to change addresses again.<sup>6</sup> This event suggests that disclosure of Personal Data would not satisfy a legitimate interests assessment and would not be compliant with the minimization principle under the UK GDPR and EU GDPR.

21. The Debtors propose to provide an unredacted version of the Creditor Matrix and any other filings redacted pursuant to the proposed order to (a) the Court, the U.S. Trustee, and counsel to any official committee appointed in these chapter 11 cases, and (b) any party in interest upon a request to the Debtors (email is sufficient) or to the Court that is reasonably related to these

---

<sup>6</sup> The incident, which took place during the first Charming Charlie chapter 11 proceedings in 2017, is described in the “creditor matrix motion” filed in *Charming Charlie Holdings Inc.*, Case No. 19-11534 (CSS) (Bankr. D. Del. Jul. 11, 2019), ECF No. 4.

chapter 11 cases. In each case, this would be subject to a review of whether such disclosure, on a case-by-case basis, would violate any obligation under the UK GDPR, EU GDPR or any other privacy or data protection law or regulation. Nothing herein precludes a party in interest's right to file a motion requesting that the Court unseal the information redacted by the Order. In addition, the Debtors will distribute as applicable any notices that are received at the Debtors' corporate headquarters and are intended for a current employee.

22. Courts in this jurisdiction have granted the relief requested herein in comparable chapter 11 cases. *See, e.g., In re Stimwave Techs. Inc.*, No. 22-10541 (KBO) (Bankr. D. Del. July 13 2022) (authorizing the debtors to anonymize and/or redact confidential information from the creditor matrix, equity holders list, schedules and statements, and any similar document); *In re Enjoy Tech., Inc.*, No. 22-10580 (JKS) (Bankr. D. Del. July 1, 2022) (authorizing the debtors to redact personally identifiable information, including home addresses, of the debtors' interest holders and individuals listed on the creditor matrix and any other paper filed with the court); *In re First Guar. Mortg. Corp.*, No. 22-10584 (CTG) (Bankr. D. Del. July 1, 2022) (authorizing the debtors to redact home address information of the debtors' individual creditors and employees listed on the creditor matrix, schedules and statements, and any other applicable filed document); *In re Gold Standard Baking, LLC*, No. 22-10559 (JKS) (Bankr. D. Del. June 23, 2022) (authorizing the debtors to redact home addresses of individuals listed on the creditor matrix, schedules and statements, and other documents filed with the court); *In re Armstrong Flooring, Inc.*, No 22-10426 (MFW) (Bankr. D. Del. May 11, 2022) (authorizing the debtors to file under seal those portions of future filings, including, but not limited to, schedules



of assets and liabilities, statements of financial affairs, and affidavits of service, that contain the home addresses of the debtors' employees).

23. Recently, in addition to granting the requested relief, courts in this district have also expounded on the importance of authorizing debtors to redact individual creditors' personally identifiable information, including home addresses in particular. In *Art Van Furniture*, in overruling the objection of the U.S. Trustee to the same redaction relief proposed here, Chief Judge Sontchi noted that the proposed redaction is not a "burden of proof" issue so "much as a common sense issue." Hr'g Tr. at 25:6–7, *In re Art Van Furniture, LLC*, No. 20-10533 (CSS) (Bankr. D. Del. Mar. 2020).<sup>7</sup> Judge Sontchi found that "at this point and given the risks associated with having any kind of private information out on the internet, [redaction] has really become routine [and] I think obvious relief." *Id.* at 25:13–16. Similarly, in *Clover Technologies*, Judge Owens overruled the U.S. Trustee's objection, noting that "[t]o me it is common sense. I don't need evidence that there is, at best, a risk of identity theft and worse a risk of personal injury from listing someone's name and address on the internet by way of the court's electronic case filing system and, of course, the claims agent's website. . . . The court can completely avoid contributing to the risk by redacting the addresses. And while there is, of course, an important right of access we routinely redact sensitive and confidential information for corporate entities and redact [individuals'] home addresses." Hr'g Tr. at 24:21-25, 25:9-10, *In re Clover Techs. Grp., LLC*,

---

<sup>7</sup> Similarly, Judge Sontchi previously overruled the Delaware U.S. Trustee's objection to the redaction of individuals' information and found that "it's just plain common sense in 2019—soon-to-be 2020—to put as little information out as possible about people's personal lives to present [sic] scams . . . [Identity theft] is a real-life issue, and, of course, the issue of domestic violence is extremely important." Hr'g Tr. at 48:20–22, 49:3–5, *In re Anna Holdings*, No. 19-12551 (CSS) (Bankr. D. Del. Dec. 3, 2019).

Notably, Judge Sontchi acknowledged that "the world is very different from [the 1980s] when you and I started practice with the problems of identity theft" and that his perspective had evolved in that he was not previously aware of "the dangers with this kind of information becoming public." *See* Hr'g Tr. at 45:25-46:2, 47:22–24. The Debtors reserve the right to supplement the record with respect to such risks insofar as they are not self-evident in this instance.

No. 19-12680 (KBO) (Bankr. D. Del. Jan. 22, 2020). And, in *Forever 21*, in overruling the U.S. Trustee's objection, Judge Gross found that "[w]e live in a new age in which the theft of personal identification is a real risk, as is injury to persons who, for personal reasons, seek to have their addresses withheld." Hr'g Tr. at 60:22–25, *In re Forever 21, Inc.*, No. 19-12122 (KG) (Bankr. D. Del. Dec. 19, 2019).

24. Further, Judge Gross found that it was not necessary to the effective administration of the debtors' bankruptcy estates to disclose the personally identifiable information of the debtors' stakeholders who are European Economic Area citizens, which created risk that debtors could be fined under the UK GDPR or EU GDPR for unnecessary disclosures of personal information.

But I'll say the GDPR contains a necessity test in its guidelines. Is disclosure necessary for the legal proceedings at hand? Clearly, disclosing home addresses is not necessary for the conduct of the bankruptcy case and the absence of the address does not prejudice anyone; indeed, there's been no objection from any creditor in this case.

Hr'g Tr. at 62:16–22, *In re Forever 21, Inc.*, No. 19-12122.

25. For these reasons, the Debtors respectfully submit that cause exists to authorize the Debtors to redact, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 107(c)(1) and in compliance with the UK GDPR and EU GDPR, the home addresses of individuals (and, where such information has been provided to, and is being processed by, an organization with an establishment located in the United Kingdom or a member state of the European Economic Area, the names, home addresses, and other Personal Data of any individual) listed on the Creditor Matrix or any other document filed with the Court. Absent such relief, the Debtors (a) may be in violation of applicable data privacy law, thereby exposing them to severe monetary penalties that could threaten the Debtors' operations during this sensitive stage of their restructuring, (b) would unnecessarily render individuals more susceptible to identity theft, and (c) could jeopardize the safety of employees, independent contractors, and

other individual creditors or individual equity holders who, unbeknownst to the Debtors, are survivors of domestic violence, harassment, or stalking by publishing their home addresses without any advance notice or opportunity to opt out or take protective measures.

**Compliance with Local Rule 9018-1(d)(iv)**

26. To the best of the knowledge, information, and belief of the undersigned proposed counsel to the Debtors, the documents that the Debtors are requesting to seal pursuant to the relief requested in this Motion do not contain information subject to the Confidential Rights of another Holder of Confidential Rights (each as defined in Local Rule 9018-1(d)(iii)).

**Notice**

27. The Debtors will provide notice of this motion to the following parties or their respective counsel: (a) the U.S. Trustee; (b) the holders of the thirty largest unsecured claims against the Debtors (on a consolidated basis); (c) counsel to the DIP Agent; (d) counsel to the First Lien Agent and the Second Lien Agent; (e) counsel to the Crossover Group; (f) the office of the attorney general for each of the states in which the Debtors operate; (g) the United States Attorney's Office for the District of Delaware; (h) the Internal Revenue Service; and (i) any party that has requested notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. As this motion is seeking "first day" relief, within two business days of the hearing on this motion, the Debtors will serve copies of this motion and any order entered in respect to this motion as required by Local Rule 9013-1(m). The Debtors submit that, in light of the nature of the relief requested, no other or further notice need be given.

**No Prior Request**

28. No prior request for the relief sought in this motion has been made to this or any other court.

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request entry of the Interim Order and Final Order, substantially in the forms attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and **Exhibit B**, respectively, (a) granting the relief requested herein and (b) granting such other relief as is just and proper.

Dated: August 23, 2022  
Wilmington, Delaware

/s/ Laura Davis Jones

---

Laura Davis Jones (DE Bar No. 2436)  
Timothy P. Cairns (DE Bar No. 4228)  
Edward Corma (DE Bar No. 6718)  
**PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP**  
919 North Market Street, 17th Floor  
P.O. Box 8705  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
Telephone: (302) 652-4100  
Facsimile: (302) 652-4400  
Email: ljones@pszjlaw.com  
tcairns@pszjlaw.com  
ecorma@pszjlaw.com

-and-

Patrick J. Nash, Jr., P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)  
Tricia Schwallier Collins (*pro hac vice* pending)  
Yusuf U. Salloum (*pro hac vice* pending)  
**KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**  
**KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP**  
300 North LaSalle Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60654  
Telephone: (312) 862-2000  
Facsimile: (312) 862-2200  
Email: patrick.nash@kirkland.com  
tricia.schwallier@kirkland.com  
yusuf.salloum@kirkland.com

-and-

Nicole L. Greenblatt, P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)  
Rachael M. Bentley (*pro hac vice* pending)  
**KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP**  
**KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP**  
601 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
Telephone: (212) 446-4800  
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900  
Email: nicole.greenblatt@kirkland.com  
Email: rachael.bentley@kirkland.com

*Proposed Co-Counsel for the Debtors and Debtors in Possession*

**Exhibit A**

**Proposed Interim Order**

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

---

In re:

CARESTREAM HEALTH, INC., *et al.*,<sup>1</sup>

Debtors.

)  
) Chapter 11  
)  
) Case No. 22-10778 (\_\_\_\_)  
)  
) (Joint Administration Requested)  
)  
) **Re: Docket No. \_**

---

**INTERIM ORDER  
(I) AUTHORIZING THE  
DEBTORS TO (A) FILE A CONSOLIDATED  
LIST OF CREDITORS IN LIEU OF SUBMITTING A  
SEPARATE MAILING MATRIX FOR EACH DEBTOR, (B) FILE  
A CONSOLIDATED LIST OF THE DEBTORS' THIRTY LARGEST  
UNSECURED CREDITORS, AND (C) REDACT CERTAIN PERSONALLY  
IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION, AND (II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF**

---

Upon the motion (the "Motion")<sup>2</sup> of the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors") for entry of an interim order (this "Interim Order"), (a) authorizing the Debtors to (i) file a consolidated list of creditors in lieu of submitting a separate mailing matrix for each Debtor, (ii) file a consolidated list of the Debtors' thirty largest unsecured creditors, and (iii) redact certain personally identifiable information, and (b) granting related relief, all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and upon the First Day Declaration; and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, dated

---

<sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: Carestream Health, Inc. (0334); Carestream Health Acquisition, LLC (0333); Carestream Health Canada Holdings, Inc. (7700); Carestream Health Holdings, Inc. (7822); Carestream Health International Holdings, Inc. (5771); Carestream Health International Management Company, Inc. (0532); Carestream Health Puerto Rico, LLC (8359); Carestream Health World Holdings, LLC (1662); and Lumisys Holding Co. (3232). The location of the Debtors' service address is: 150 Verona Street, Rochester, New York 14608.

<sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

February 29, 2012; and this Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors' estates, their creditors, and other parties in interest; and this Court having found that the Debtors' notice of the Motion and opportunity for a hearing on the Motion were appropriate under the circumstances and no other notice need be provided; and this Court having reviewed the Motion and having heard the statements in support of the relief requested therein at a hearing before this Court (the "Hearing"); and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and at the Hearing establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is granted on an interim basis as set forth in this Interim Order.
2. The final hearing (the "Final Hearing") on the Motion shall be held on \_\_\_\_\_, 2022, at \_\_: \_\_ .m., prevailing Eastern Time. Any objections or responses to entry of a final order on the Motion shall be filed on or before 4:00 p.m., prevailing Eastern Time, on \_\_\_\_\_, 2022. In the event no objections to entry of a final order on the Motion are timely received, this Court may enter such final order without need for the Final Hearing.
3. The requirements of Local Rule 1007-2(a) and Local Rule 2002-1(f)(v) that separate mailing matrices be submitted for each Debtor are permanently waived, and the Debtors are authorized, but not directed, to submit a consolidated Creditor Matrix; *provided* that if any of

these chapter 11 cases converts to a case under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, each applicable Debtor shall file its own creditor mailing matrix within fourteen (14) days of any such conversion.

4. The Debtors are authorized to submit a single consolidated list of their thirty largest unsecured creditors.

5. The Debtors are authorized to redact on the Creditor Matrix or other document filed with the Court (a) the home addresses of individuals and (b) the names, addresses, and other Personal Data of any natural person whose personally identifiable information has been provided to an organization with an establishment in the United Kingdom or a European Economic Area member state. The Debtors shall provide an unredacted version of the Creditor Matrix and any other filings redacted pursuant to this Interim Order to (x) the Court, the U.S. Trustee, counsel to any official committee appointed in these chapter 11 cases, and (y) to any party in interest upon a request to the Debtors (email is sufficient) or to the Court, that is reasonably related to these chapter 11 cases, subject to the restrictions of the UK GDPR and EU GDPR; *provided* that any receiving party shall not transfer or otherwise provide such unredacted document to any person or entity not party to the request. The Debtors shall inform the U.S. Trustee promptly after denying any request for an unredacted document pursuant to this Interim Order. Nothing herein precludes a party in interest's right to file a motion requesting that the Court unseal the information redacted by this Interim Order.

6. The Debtors shall cause the Creditor Matrix to be made available in readable electronic format (or in non-electronic format) upon reasonable request by parties-in-interest.



7. Nothing in this Interim Order shall waive or otherwise limit the service of any document upon or the provision of any notice to any individual whose personal identifiable information is sealed or redacted pursuant to this Interim Order. Service of all documents and notices upon individuals whose personal identifiable information is sealed or redacted pursuant to this Interim Order shall be confirmed in the corresponding certificate of service. The Debtors shall provide the personally identifiable information to any party in interest that files a motion that indicates the reason such information is needed and that, after notice and a hearing, is granted by the Court.

8. When serving any notice in these cases on the Debtors' employees, the Debtors' claims and noticing agent, and, where applicable, the Clerk of the Court, shall use the employee's home address.

9. Notice of the Motion as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of such Motion and the requirements of the Local Rules are satisfied by such notice.

10. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted in this Interim Order in accordance with the Motion.

11. This Court retains exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Interim Order.

**Exhibit B**

**Proposed Final Order**

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:	)	
	)	Chapter 11
	)	
CARESTREAM HEALTH, INC., <i>et al.</i> , <sup>3</sup>	)	Case No. 22-10778 ( )
	)	
Debtors.	)	(Joint Administration Requested)
	)	
	)	<b>Re: Docket No. _</b>

**FINAL ORDER  
(I) AUTHORIZING THE  
DEBTORS TO (A) FILE A CONSOLIDATED  
LIST OF CREDITORS IN LIEU OF SUBMITTING A  
SEPARATE MAILING MATRIX FOR EACH DEBTOR, (B) FILE  
A CONSOLIDATED LIST OF THE DEBTORS' THIRTY LARGEST  
UNSECURED CREDITORS, AND (C) REDACT CERTAIN PERSONALLY  
IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION, AND (II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF**

Upon the motion (the "Motion")<sup>4</sup> of the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors") for entry of an order (this "Final Order"), (a) authorizing the Debtors to (i) file a consolidated list of creditors in lieu of submitting a separate mailing matrix for each Debtor, (ii) file a consolidated list of the Debtors' thirty largest unsecured creditors, and (iii) redact certain personally identifiable information, and (b) granting related relief, all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and upon the First Day Declaration; and this Court having jurisdiction over this

<sup>3</sup> The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: Carestream Health, Inc. (0334); Carestream Health Acquisition, LLC (0333); Carestream Health Canada Holdings, Inc. (7700); Carestream Health Holdings, Inc. (7822); Carestream Health International Holdings, Inc. (5771); Carestream Health International Management Company, Inc. (0532); Carestream Health Puerto Rico, LLC (8359); Carestream Health World Holdings, LLC (1662); and Lumisys Holding Co. (3232). The location of the Debtors' service address is: 150 Verona Street, Rochester, New York 14608.

<sup>4</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, dated February 29, 2012; and this Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and this Court having found that this Court may enter a final order consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution; and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors' estates, their creditors, and other parties in interest; and this Court having found that the Debtors' notice of the Motion and opportunity for a hearing on the Motion were appropriate under the circumstances and no other notice need be provided; and this Court having reviewed the Motion and having heard the statements in support of the relief requested therein at a hearing before this Court (the "Hearing"); and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and at the Hearing establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is granted on a final basis as set forth in this Final Order.
2. The requirements of Local Rule 1007-2(a) and Local Rule 2002-1(f)(v) that separate mailing matrices be submitted for each Debtor are permanently waived, and the Debtors are authorized, but not directed, to submit a consolidated Creditor Matrix; *provided* that if any of these chapter 11 cases converts to a case under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, each applicable Debtor shall file its own creditor mailing matrix within fourteen (14) days of any such conversion.

3. The Debtors are authorized to submit a single consolidated list of their thirty largest unsecured creditors.

4. The Debtors are authorized to redact on the Creditor Matrix or other document filed with the Court (a) the home addresses of individuals and (b) the names, addresses, and other Personal Data of any natural person whose personally identifiable information has been provided to an organization with an establishment in the United Kingdom or a European Economic Area member state. The Debtors shall provide an unredacted version of the Creditor Matrix and any other filings redacted pursuant to this Final Order to (x) the Court, the U.S. Trustee, counsel to any official committee appointed in these chapter 11 cases, and (y) to any party in interest upon a request to the Debtors (email is sufficient) or to the Court, that is reasonably related to these chapter 11 cases, subject to the restrictions of the UK GDPR and EU GDPR; *provided* that any receiving party shall not transfer or otherwise provide such unredacted document to any person or entity not party to the request. The Debtors shall inform the U.S. Trustee promptly after denying any request for an unredacted document pursuant to this Final Order. Nothing herein precludes a party in interest's right to file a motion requesting that the Court unseal the information redacted by this Final Order.

5. The Debtors shall cause the Creditor Matrix to be made available in readable electronic format (or in non-electronic format) upon reasonable request by parties-in-interest.

6. Nothing in this Final Order shall waive or otherwise limit the service of any document upon or the provision of any notice to any individual whose personal identifiable information is sealed or redacted pursuant to this Final Order. Service of all documents and notices

upon individuals whose personal identifiable information is sealed or redacted pursuant to this Final Order shall be confirmed in the corresponding certificate of service. The Debtors shall provide the personally identifiable information to any party in interest that files a motion that indicates the reason such information is needed and that, after notice and a hearing, is granted by the Court.

7. When serving any notice in these cases on the Debtors' employees, the Debtors' claims and noticing agent, and, where applicable, the Clerk of the Court, shall use the employee's home address.

8. Notice of the Motion as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of such Motion and the requirements of the Local Rules are satisfied by such notice.

9. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted in this Final Order in accordance with the Motion.

10. This Court retains exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Final Order.

**Exhibit 2**

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

---

In re:	)	
	)	Chapter 11
	)	
CARESTREAM HEALTH, INC., <i>et al.</i> , <sup>1</sup>	)	Case No. 22-10778 (JKS)
	)	
Debtors.	)	(Jointly Administered)
	)	
	)	Re: Docket No. 3

---

INTERIM ORDER  
(I) AUTHORIZING THE  
DEBTORS TO (A) FILE A CONSOLIDATED  
LIST OF CREDITORS IN LIEU OF SUBMITTING A  
SEPARATE MAILING MATRIX FOR EACH DEBTOR, (B) FILE  
A CONSOLIDATED LIST OF THE DEBTORS' THIRTY LARGEST  
UNSECURED CREDITORS, AND (C) REDACT CERTAIN PERSONALLY  
IDENTIFIABLE INFORMATION, AND (II) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF

---

Upon the motion (the "Motion")<sup>2</sup> of the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors") for entry of an interim order (this "Interim Order"), (a) authorizing the Debtors to (i) file a consolidated list of creditors in lieu of submitting a separate mailing matrix for each Debtor, (ii) file a consolidated list of the Debtors' thirty largest unsecured creditors, and (iii) redact certain personally identifiable information, and (b) granting related relief, all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and upon the First Day Declaration; and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, dated

---

<sup>1</sup> The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: Carestream Health, Inc. (0334); Carestream Health Acquisition, LLC (0333); Carestream Health Canada Holdings, Inc. (7700); Carestream Health Holdings, Inc. (7822); Carestream Health International Holdings, Inc. (5771); Carestream Health International Management Company, Inc. (0532); Carestream Health Puerto Rico, LLC (8359); Carestream Health World Holdings, LLC (1662); and Lumisys Holding Co. (3232). The location of the Debtors' service address is: 150 Verona Street, Rochester, New York 14608.

<sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.





February 29, 2012; and this Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors' estates, their creditors, and other parties in interest; and this Court having found that the Debtors' notice of the Motion and opportunity for a hearing on the Motion were appropriate under the circumstances and no other notice need be provided; and this Court having reviewed the Motion and having heard the statements in support of the relief requested therein at a hearing before this Court (the "Hearing"); and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and at the Hearing establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, it is HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is granted on an interim basis as set forth in this Interim Order.
2. The final hearing (the "Final Hearing") on the Motion shall be held on September 28, 2022, at 2:00 p.m., prevailing Eastern Time. Any objections or responses to entry of a final order on the Motion shall be filed on or before 4:00 p.m., prevailing Eastern Time, on September 21, 2022. In the event no objections to entry of a final order on the Motion are timely received, this Court may enter such final order without need for the Final Hearing.
3. The requirements of Local Rule 1007-2(a) and Local Rule 2002-1(f)(v) that separate mailing matrices be submitted for each Debtor are permanently waived, and the Debtors are authorized, but not directed, to submit a consolidated Creditor Matrix; *provided* that if any of

these chapter 11 cases converts to a case under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, each applicable Debtor shall file its own creditor mailing matrix within fourteen (14) days of any such conversion.

4. The Debtors are authorized to submit a single consolidated list of their thirty largest unsecured creditors.

5. The Debtors are authorized to redact on the Creditor Matrix or other document filed with the Court (a) the home addresses of individuals and (b) the names, addresses, and other Personal Data of any natural person whose personally identifiable information has been provided to an organization with an establishment in the United Kingdom or a European Economic Area member state. The Debtors shall provide an unredacted version of the Creditor Matrix and any other filings redacted pursuant to this Interim Order to (x) the Court, the U.S. Trustee, counsel to any official committee appointed in these chapter 11 cases, and (y) to any party in interest upon a request to the Debtors (email is sufficient) or to the Court, that is reasonably related to these chapter 11 cases, subject to the restrictions of the UK GDPR and EU GDPR; *provided* that any receiving party shall not transfer or otherwise provide such unredacted document to any person or entity not party to the request. The Debtors shall inform the U.S. Trustee promptly after denying any request for an unredacted document pursuant to this Interim Order. Nothing herein precludes a party in interest's right to file a motion requesting that the Court unseal the information redacted by this Interim Order.

6. The Debtors shall cause the Creditor Matrix to be made available in readable electronic format (or in non-electronic format) upon reasonable request by parties-in-interest.

7. Nothing in this Interim Order shall waive or otherwise limit the service of any document upon or the provision of any notice to any individual whose personal identifiable information is sealed or redacted pursuant to this Interim Order. Service of all documents and notices upon individuals whose personal identifiable information is sealed or redacted pursuant to this Interim Order shall be confirmed in the corresponding certificate of service. The Debtors shall provide the personally identifiable information to any party in interest that files a motion that indicates the reason such information is needed and that, after notice and a hearing, is granted by the Court.

8. When serving any notice in these cases on the Debtors' employees, the Debtors' claims and noticing agent, and, where applicable, the Clerk of the Court, shall use the employee's home address.

9. Notice of the Motion as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of such Motion and the requirements of the Local Rules are satisfied by such notice.

10. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted in this Interim Order in accordance with the Motion.

11. This Court retains exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Interim Order.

Dated: August 24th, 2022  
Wilmington, Delaware

4

  
KATHERINE DUCKETT  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE