FILED

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISON

2014 APR 15 A In: 19

E.U. M.CHIC. IN	TUT LONG PUETKUIT
-----------------	----------------------

James	Patrick	Cases
your name	Creditors	/Appellants,
X7		

In re: CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN AND EMERGENCY MANAGER KEVYN D. ORR

Chapter 9 Case No. 13-53846 Judge Steven W Rhodes

Debtor/Appellee

I/We are residents of the City of_

Case No. 14-cv-10434 Hon. Bernard A. Friedman Magistrate Paul J. Komives

OBJECTIONS TO CITY STATEMENT OF DISCLOSURE

	name of city
	_and a taxpayer of the State of
name of city	
Michigan and a citizen of the United	States.
I/We are creditor and/or creditors and	the interested party and/or parties and
object to the bankruptcy and the City	Plan of Adjustment and the Statement
of Disclosure for the following reason	ns:

- 1) The Emergency Manager Kevyn Orr is not an elected official and only an municipality and elected official can legally file for bankruptcy.
 - 2) I/We object to disclosure statement section or number 4 that the

Bankruptcy Court may continue the hearing after this time and date without prior notice to parties, and can modify the disclosure statement prior to a hearing, during the hearing or as a result of hearing without prior notice, thus a violation of the Bankruptcy Code 11 U.S.C. 923. It states: "There Shall be given notice of the commencement of a case under this chapter, notice of an order for relief under this chapter, and notice of the dismissal of a case under this chapter. Such notice shall also be published at least once a week for three successive weeks in at least one newspaper of general circulation published within the district in which the case is commence, and in such other newspaper having a general circulation among bond dealers and bondholders as the court designates. This also applies to "Plan of Adjustment" as well. These are grounds for a dismissal. In re Colorado Centre Metro, Dist. (1990, BC Dc colo) 113 BR 25, 7 Colo Bankr Ct Rep 77, 20 BCD 660, 23 CBC2d 397.

- 3) The creditor/appellants never received a copy of the "Plan of Adjustment" or the "Statement of disclosure" to review to object.
- 4) The court in Waldman concluded that parties may not consent to certain adjudication by Article I bankruptcy judge could also apply to other non-Article III judges, including federal magistrate judges. Waldman v.

Stone, 698 F.3d 910 (6th Circuit 2012).

- 5) Magistrate Judge Steven W Rhodes hand picked committee was improperly formed prior to entry of order for relief under 11 USCS sect 921 such committee cannot be formed until after order for relief has been entered. Colorado Centre Metro. Dist. (1990, BC DC Colo) supra.
- 6) The first Amended Order Establishing Procedures, Deadlines and hearing dates relating to the Debtor's Plan of Adjustment, was issued February 25, 2014 and the deadline of February 28, 2014 barely three days to respond is a joke. Depending on when you received it three days is not enough time to respond before the deadlines. The time to respond in bank-ruptcy proceedings is normally 14 days and in the rules of civil procedures you normally receive 20 days. The appellants/creditors are not attorney or skill in the legal professional and do not have enough time to consult legal assistant and advice. The deadline time lines is unreasonable for the average person and are unfair, to stringiest and irrational.
- 7) We object to the Plan of Adjustment because the City of Detroit did not negotiate in good faith prior to the filing of bankruptcy in violation of 11 USC 109 (c) In re Valley Health Sys, 383 B.R. 156, 163 (Bankr C.D. Cal 2008). In this case the city was more egregious by not negotiating at all.

In re Cotton Water and Sanitation District, Douglas County, Colo., 138 B.R. 973, 979 (Bankr. D. Colo 1992) "plan referred to in 109(c)(4) is adjustment plan negotiated pre-petition in good faith.

I/We hereby certify that the statements made herein are true and correct under penalty of perjury and contempt of Court under the laws of the United States of America.

Wherefore I/we request the Court will deny the relief sought in Kevyn Orr Statement of Disclosure filing.

AD Anda

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISON

2014 APR 15 A 10: 19

CARL WILLIAMS AND HASSAN ALEEM

Creditors/Appellants,

 \mathbf{v}

In re:
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN
AND EMERGENCY MANAGER
KEVYN D. ORR

Chapter 9
Case No. 13-53846
Judge Steven W Rhodes

Debtor/Appellee	Case No. 14-cv-10434
	Hon. Bernard A. Friedman
	Magistrate Paul J. Komives
STATE OF MICHIGAN)	
) SS	
COUNTY OF WAYNE)	

PROOF OF SERVICE

Carl Bullion	, being first duly sworn, deposes and
Say: that on March 31 2014. I so	ent a copy of the Statement of Disclosure
from the following parties	
Carl Williams & Hassan Aleem	Errol Griffin
Vera C. Magee	James Patrick Casey
Barbara A Magee	Hilanius H. Phillips
James F. Bish	Howard Perkins
Marvin K. Griffin	James Lovely
Valerie A. Glenn Simons	Kand R. Heard
Patricia A. Boyd	Leslie C Little

and mailed upon the concern parties by certified m ail, at the following

address:

City of Detroit Corporation Council First National Building 600 Woodward Ave Detroit, Michigan 4822 6

Emergency Manager: Kevyn Orr Coleman A. Young Municipal Center 2 Woodward 11th Floor Detroit, Michigan 48226

I/We hereby certify that the statements made herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, under penalty of perjury and contempt of Court under the laws of the United States of America.

Sign Corl 31 Milliams

Dated March 31 2014