## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re: Chapter 9

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, Case No. 13-53846

> Debtor. Hon. Steven W. Rhodes

## MOTION TO COMPEL FULL CLAWBACK OF DEBTOR'S DOCUMENT PRODUCTION AND RELATED RELIEF

Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp., formerly known as Financial Security Assurance Inc. ("Assured"), National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation ("National"), and Ambac Assurance Corporation ("Ambac" and collectively with Assured and National, "Movants"), move this Court for entry of an Order to compel Debtor City of Detroit, Michigan ("Debtor" or "City") to immediately take all measures necessary to recall the documents the City produced on May 6, 2014 because its production contained numerous privileged and confidential mediationrelated documents (not all of which have yet been identified), the disclosure of which was barred by the Court's August 13, 2013 Mediation Order [Docket No. 322], and for related relief.

### **JURISDICTION**

1. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A) and (L). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

## **GENERAL BACKGROUND**

- 2. On August 13, 2013, this Court issued a *Mediation Order* [Docket No. 322] concerning the conduct of mediations that the Court might order the parties to engage in to resolve or narrow their disputes in this case. Paragraph 4 of the Mediation Order stated: "All proceedings, discussions, negotiation, and <u>writings</u> incident to mediation shall be privileged and confidential, and <u>shall not be</u> disclosed, filed or placed in evidence." (Emphasis added).
- 3. Various parties (including Movants) thereafter engaged in various mediations with the City that were ordered by the Court, all of which were subject to the Mediation Order.
- 4. On May 6, 2014, the City produced documents in response to requests that it received from all parties. However, rather than producing documents to each requesting party that were tailored to that party's specific requests, the City chose to produce to all parties an identical mass set (amounting to roughly 250,000 pages) of all the documents that it was producing in response to all the parties'

requests (<u>i.e.</u>, not tailored to the specific documents requested by each individual requesting party).

- 5. Upon initial inspection of the City's production set, it became apparent that the City had produced to all requesting parties numerous confidential documents related to various mediation efforts between the City and various parties. In other words, because all parties received the same production set, the City had produced mediation-related documents to parties that had not been involved in those mediations to which those documents referred.
- 6. The City's document production thus directly violated this Court's Mediation Order, which bars the disclosure of such documents to parties other than those involved in the mediation.
- 7. By e-mail sent on the morning of May 8, 2014, counsel for Ambac informed the City's counsel that the City's production contained protected mediation-related communications. The e-mail requested that the City "ask all parties to destroy these productions" and "identify all the mistakenly produced documents and let us know the bates numbers so we can verify." The e-mail stated that "[w]e would appreciate prompt action to rectify this mistake."

- 8. The City's counsel responded that afternoon by e-mail, acknowledging that the City had erred, advising that it would send a clawback request to the parties, and that it was engaged in investigating the situation further.
- 9. Movants responded later that afternoon with a further e-mail to the City's counsel, stating in pertinent part:

Our review of the produced documents is continuing, but we can confirm that we already have found additional mediation-related communications beyond the one identified in Mr. Dubrow's e-mail to you. As many of the recipients of the City's document production were not participants in the mediation communications to which the disclosed documents relate, such disclosures constitutes a violation of Paragraph 4 of the August 13, 2013 Mediation Order [Docket No. 322], which states: "All proceedings, discussions, negotiation, and writings incident to mediation shall be privileged and confidential, and shall not be disclosed, filed or placed in evidence." disclosures additionally are highly prejudicial to the [Movants], to all other participants in the mediation(s) about which documents were disclosed, and indeed to the Court insofar as the prospect of such disclosure by the City hampers the ability of the Court's mediators to obtain the kind of candid discussion by mediation participants that true confidentiality enables.

Accordingly, and without prejudice to any further rights and remedies to which the [Movants] and the other mediation parties may be entitled, the [Movants] hereby demand that the City immediately confirm that it will make, and immediately thereafter set in motion, notification to all parties and persons to whom the City delivered its document production that certain documents were improperly produced by the City; that the media that the City distributed should be returned, any electronic copies purged and any physical copies made from it destroyed; and that corrected media will be distributed as soon as possible. It is the City's responsibility in preparing the corrected media to identify and remove all protected mediation-related communications. The City may not simply wait for affected parties to put it on notice of improperly disclosed documents and then

address only what they discover. Additionally, the City should require all recipient parties to certify that they have returned and/or destroyed all materials, whether electronic or printed. In order not to focus attention on precisely the documents that should not be seen, and to avoid the disruption of multiple clawbacks in a case involving so many parties, the full production needs to be recalled without identification of the offending portions.

### See Ex. A.

10. The City did not comply with the Movants' request. Rather than recalling its entire production in order not to prejudice the parties whose mediation-related communications had been improperly disclosed, the City's counsel subsequently sent a clawback request to all parties informing them of the improper production and identifying by Bates number the specific documents that it determined should be clawed back, thus highlighting them to all parties. Ex. B. The City's letter did not require the recipients to certify that they had complied with the City's request that the clawed back documents not be used or disclosed and that they be destroyed. *Id.* Instead, the letter assumed that all parties will comply with the City's request unless the City is informed otherwise. Id. Such statements provide no assurances that the wrongfully disclosed material will in fact be destroyed. Moreover, the City's letter attempted to claw back only some, but not all, of the mediation-related documents that the City inappropriately produced. Movants have been able to bring some additional documents to the City's attention, but Movants' review of the more than 30,000 documents produced

by the City was not exhaustive and there may be additional documents that need to be clawed back.

### RELIEF REQUESTED

11. Movants respectfully request that the Court issue an order (i) compelling the City to immediately take affirmative actions to recall its entire production of documents from all recipients, (ii) ordering all parties that received the City's May 6, 2014 document production to return the media that the City distributed, purge any electronic copies made thereof or of any portion thereof, and destroy any physical copies made therefrom; and (iii) compelling the City to correct its document production to eliminate all mediation-related documents, the production of which is barred by the Mediation Order, and distribute corrected document production media to the parties as soon as possible.

### **BASIS FOR RELIEF**

12. The City's May 6, 2014 document production disclosed documents whose disclosure was expressly barred by this Court's Mediation Order, which forbade production of all "writings incident to mediation." When Movant requested that the City claw back its document production in a manner that would avoid calling attention to the documents that were improperly produced, so as to minimize the potential damage from their improper production, the City did exactly the opposite. It specifically identified sensitive documents to all parties by

Bates number and asked that only they be clawed back, although it appears that the

City still has failed to identify all the relevant documents. To minimize the

potential for further harm in this situation, the immediate clawback of the entire

production and replacement production as requested by Movants should be

ordered, and the City ordered to correct its document production to eliminate all

mediation-related documents the production of which is barred by the Mediation

Order.

WHEREFORE, Movants respectfully request that the Court issue an order

(i) compelling the City to immediately claw back its entire production of

documents from all recipients, (ii) ordering all parties who received the City's May

6, 2014 document production to return the media that the City distributed, purge

any electronic copies made thereof or of any portion thereof, and destroy any

physical copies made therefrom; and (iii) compelling the City to correct its

document production to eliminate all mediation-related documents, the production

of which is barred by the Mediation Order, and distribute corrected document

production media to the parties as soon as possible, and to grant such other and

further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: May 9, 2014

By: /s/ Lawrence A. Larose
Lawrence A. Larose
Samuel S. Kohn
Eric Daucher
CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10012
Telephone: (212) 408-5100
llarose@chadbourne.com
skohn@chadbourne.com
edaucher@chadbourne.com

Counsel for Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

By: /s/ Carol Connor Cohen
Carol Connor Cohen
Caroline Turner English
ARENT FOX LLP
1717 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036-5342
(202) 857-6054
Carol.Cohen@arentfox.com

David L. Dubrow Mark A. Angelov ARENT FOX LLP 1675 Broadway New York, NY 10019 (212) 484-3900

Daniel J. Weiner (P32010)
Brendan G. Best (P66370)
SCHAFER AND WEINER, PLLC
40950 Woodward Ave., Ste. 100
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304
(248) 540-3340
bbest@schaferandweiner.com
Counsel for Ambac Assurance
Corporation

By: /s/ Louis P. Rochkind
Louis P. Rochkind (P24121)
Paul R. Hage (P70460)
JAFFE RAITT HEUER & WEISS, P.C.
27777 Franklin Road, Suite 2500
Southfield, MI 48034-8214
Telephone: (248) 351-3000
lrochkind@jaffelaw.com
phage@jaffelaw.com

James F. Bendernagel, Jr. Guy S. Neal SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 736-8041 jbendernagel@sidley.com gneal@sidley.com

Jeffrey E. Bjork Gabriel MacConaill SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, California 90013 Telephone: (213) 896-6000 jbjork@sidley.com gmacconaill@sidley.com

Counsel for National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.

### **SUMMARY OF ATTACHMENTS**

The following documents are attached to this Motion, labeled in accordance with Local Bankruptcy Rule 9014-1(b):

**Exhibit 1** – Proposed Form of Order

Exhibit 2 – Notice of Motion and Opportunity to Object

**Exhibit 3** – None [Brief Not Required]

Exhibit 4 – None [Separate Certificate of Service to Be Filed]

**Exhibit 5** – None [No Affidavits Filed Specific to This Motion]

Exhibit 6 -

Exhibit A – May 8, 2014 Email from Robert A. Schwinger

Exhibit B – May 8, 2014 Letter from Geoffrey S. Irwin

# **Proposed Order**

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

\_\_\_\_\_.

In re: : Chapter 9

:

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, : Case No. 13-53846

:

Debtor. : Hon. Steven W. Rhodes

:

# ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO COMPEL FULL CLAWBACK OF DEBTOR'S DOCUMENT PRODUCTION AND RELATED RELIEF

This matter having come before the Court on the motion of creditors Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp., formerly known as Financial Security Assurance Inc. ("Assured"), National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation ("National"), and Ambac Assurance Corporation ("Ambac") (collectively the "Movants") to compel the Debtor, the City of Detroit (the "City" or "Debtor") to claw back improperly produced documents (the "Motion to Compel"), the Court, having reviewed the Movant's Motion to Compel, and having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion to Compel establish just cause for the relief granted herein:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Movants' Motion to Compel is GRANTED;

2. The City shall immediately take affirmative action to recall its entire

production of documents from all recipients;

3. All parties who received the City's May 6, 2014 document production

shall return the media that the City distributed, purge any electronic copies made

thereof or of any portion thereof, and destroy any physical copies made therefrom;

and

4. The City shall correct its document production to eliminate all

mediation-related documents the production of which is barred by the Mediation

Order and distribute corrected document production media to the parties as soon as

possible.

IT IS SO ORDERED

STEVEN W. RHODES

United States Bankruptcy Judge

# Notice of Motion and Opportunity to Object

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

.

In re: : Chapter 9

:

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN, : Case No. 13-53846

:

Debtor. : Hon. Steven W. Rhodes

:

# NOTICE OF MOTION TO COMPEL FULL CLAWBACK OF DEBTOR'S DOCUMENT PRODUCTION AND RELATED RELIEF

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on May 9, 2014, the Movants filed the *Motion to Compel Full Clawback of Debtor's Document Production and Related Relief* (the "Motion to Compel" in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Michigan (the "Bankruptcy Court") seeking to compel the City to claw back improperly produced documents.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that your rights may be affected by the relief sought in the Motion. You should read these papers carefully and discuss them with your attorney, if you have one. If you do not have an attorney, you may wish to consult one.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if you do not want the Bankruptcy Court to grant the Movants' Motion to Compel or you want the

Bankruptcy Court to consider your views on the Motion to Compel, by May 23, 2014<sup>1</sup>, you or your attorney must:

File with the Bankruptcy Court a written response to the Motion to Compel, explaining your position, electronically through the Bankruptcy Court's electronic case filing system in accordance with the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court or by mailing any objection or response to<sup>2</sup>:

United States Bankruptcy Court Theodore Levin Courthouse 231 West Lafayette Street Detroit, MI 48226

You must also serve a copy of any objection or response upon:

Lawrence A. Larose
Samuel S. Kohn
Eric Daucher
CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10012
Telephone: (212) 408-5100
llarose@chadbourne.com

skohn@chadbourrne.com edaucher@chadbourne.com

13-53846-swr Doc 4560-1 Filed 05/09/14 Entered 05/09/14 15:12:56 Page 7 of 14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Concurrently herewith, the Movants are seeking expedited consideration and shortened notice of the Motion. If the Court grants such expedited consideration and shortened notice, the Movants will file and serve notice of the new response deadline.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A response must comply with F. R. Civ. P. 8(b), (c) and (e).

### Counsel for Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

Louis P. Rochkind
Paul R. Hage

JAFFE RAITT HEUER & WEISS, P.C.
27777 Franklin Road, Suite 2500
Southfield, MI 48034-8214
Telephone: (248) 351-3000
lrochkind@jaffelaw.com
phage@jaffelaw.com

James F. Bendernagel, Jr.
Guy S. Neal
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
Telephone: (202) 736-8041
jbendernagel@sidley.com
gneal@sidley.com

- and -

Jeffrey E. Bjork
Gabriel MacConaill
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
Los Angeles, California 90013
Telephone: (213) 896-6000
jbjork@sidley.com
gmacconaill@sidley.com

Counsel for National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.

Carol Connor Cohen Caroline Turner English ARENT FOX LLP 1717 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20036-5342 Telephone: (202) 857-6054 Carol.Cohen@arentfox.com

DAVID L. DUBROW MARK A. ANGELOV 1675 Broadway New York, NY 10019 (212) 484-3900

and –

Daniel J. Weiner
Brendan G. Best
SCHAFER AND WEINER, PLLC
40950 Woodward Ave., Ste. 100
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304
(248) 540-3340
bbest@schaferandweiner.com

Counsel for Ambac Assurance Corporation

If an objection or response is timely filed and served, the clerk will schedule a hearing on the Motion to Compel and you will be served with a notice of the date, time and location of the hearing.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if you or your attorney do not take these steps, the court may decide that you do not oppose the relief sought in the Motion to Compel and may enter an order granting such relief.

Dated: May 8, 2014

By: /s/ Lawrence A. Larose
By: /s/ Louis P. Rochkind

Lawrence A. Larose

Louis P. Rochkind (P24121)

Paul R. Hage (P70460)

Samuel S. Kohn JAFFE RAITT HEUER & WEISS, P.C.

Eric Daucher
CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10012
Telephone: (212) 408-5100
llarose@chadbourne.com
skohn@chadbourne.com
edaucher@chadbourne.com

Counsel for Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.

### By: /s/ Carol Connor Cohen

Carol Connor Cohen Caroline Turner English ARENT FOX LLP 1717 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20036-5342 (202) 857-6054 Carol Cohen@arentfox.com

David L. Dubrow Mark A. Angelov ARENT FOX LLP 1675 Broadway New York, NY 10019 (212) 484-3900

Daniel J. Weiner (P32010) Brendan G. Best (P66370) SCHAFER AND WEINER, PLLC 40950 Woodward Ave., Ste. 100 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304 (248) 540-3340 bbest@schaferandweiner.com

Counsel for Ambac Assurance Corporation 27777 Franklin Road, Suite 2500 Southfield, MI 48034-8214 Telephone: (248) 351-3000 lrochkind@jaffelaw.com phage@jaffelaw.com

James F. Bendernagel, Jr. Guy S. Neal SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 736-8041 jbendernagel@sidley.com gneal@sidley.com

Jeffrey E. Bjork
Gabriel MacConaill
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
555 West Fifth Street, Suite 4000
Los Angeles, California 90013
Telephone: (213) 896-6000
jbjork@sidley.com
gmacconaill@sidley.com

Counsel for National Public Finance Guarantee Corp.

None [Brief Not Required]

Certificate of Service [To be filed separately]

Affidavits [Not Applicable]

# **Documentary Exhibits**

# **EXHIBIT A**

**From:** Schwinger, Robert A.

Sent: Thursday, May 08, 2014 3:22 PM

To: <a href="mailto:dgheiman@JonesDay.com">dgheiman@JonesDay.com</a>

Cc: 'Neal, Guy S.'; Bjork, Jeffrey E.; <a href="mailto:carol.cohen@arentfox.com">carol.cohen@arentfox.com</a>; <a href="mailto:david.dubrow@arentfox.com">david.dubrow@arentfox.com</a>; English,

Caroline; mark.angelov@arentfox.com; Larose, Lawrence; Kohn, Samuel; Ball, Robin D.

**Subject:** Detroit -- City production - Mediation communications

Dear David,

I am writing on behalf of Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp., formerly known as Financial Security Assurance Inc. ("Assured"), National Public Finance Guarantee Corporation ("National"), and Ambac Assurance Corporation ("Ambac" and together with Assured and National, the "UTGO Mediation Parties"), and further to the e-mail sent to you earlier today by David Dubrow, Esq. of Arent Fox LLP about mediation-related communications that were produced by the City in its May 6, 2014 document production, which production set we understand was sent that day to all creditors who had requested production of documents. We have also received your response this afternoon to Mr. Dubrow's e-mail.

Our review of the produced documents is continuing, but we can confirm that we already have found additional mediation-related communications beyond the one identified in Mr. Dubrow's e-mail to you. As many of the recipients of the City's document production were not participants in the mediation communications to which the disclosed documents relate, such disclosures constitutes a violation of Paragraph 4 of the August 13, 2013 *Mediation Order* [Docket No. 322], which states: "All proceedings, discussions, negotiation, and <u>writings incident to mediation</u> shall be privileged and confidential, and <u>shall not be disclosed</u>, filed or placed in evidence." Such disclosures additionally are highly prejudicial to the UTGO Mediation Parties, to all other participants in the mediation(s) about which documents were disclosed, and indeed to the Court insofar as the prospect of such disclosure by the City hampers the ability of the Court's mediators to obtain the kind of candid discussion by mediation participants that true confidentiality enables.

Accordingly, and without prejudice to any further rights and remedies to which the UTGO Mediation Parties and the other mediation parties may be entitled, the UTGO Mediation Parties hereby demand that the City immediately confirm that it will make, and immediately thereafter set in motion, notification to all parties and persons to whom the City delivered its document production that certain documents were improperly produced by the City; that the media that the City distributed should be returned, any electronic copies purged and any physical copies made from it destroyed; and that corrected media will be distributed as soon as possible. It is the City's responsibility in preparing the corrected media to identify and remove all protected mediation-related communications. The City may not simply wait for affected parties to put it on notice of improperly disclosed documents and then address only what they discover. Additionally, the City should require all recipient parties to certify that they have returned and/or destroyed all materials, whether electronic or printed. In order not to focus attention on precisely the documents that should not be seen, and to avoid the disruption of multiple clawbacks in a case involving so many parties, the full production needs to be recalled without identification of the offending portions.

Absent immediate confirmation of the foregoing, the UTGO Mediation Parties intend to seek relief from the Court later today. Please confirm how you intend to proceed. Thank you.

Best regards,

R. A. S.

Robert A. Schwinger
Chadbourne & Parke LLP
30 Rockefeller Plaza, New York, NY 10112
tel 212-408-5364 | fax 646-710-5364
rschwinger@chadbourne.com | http://www.chadbourne.com
vCard: http://www.chadbourne.com/vcard/rschwinger.vcf

Please consider the environment before printing this email.

# **EXHIBIT B**

#### JONES DAY

51 LOUISIANA AVENUE, N.W. • WASHINGTON, D.C. 20001.2113 TELEPHONE: +1.202.879.3939 • FACSIMILE: +1.202.626.1700

> DIRECT NUMBER: (202) 879-3768 GSIRWIN@JONESDAY.COM

#### May 8, 2014

#### VIA E-MAIL

Sharon L. Levine Wojciech F. Jung Philip J. Gross LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP 65 Livingston Avenue Roseland. New Jersey 07068

Tel.: (973) 597-2500 Fax: (973) 597-2400

E-mail: <u>slevine@lowenstein.com</u> E-mail: <u>wjung@lowenstein.com</u> E-mail: <u>pgross@lowenstein.com</u>

Lynn M. Brimer Meredith E. Taunt Mallory A. Field STROBL & SHARP, P.C.

300 East Long Lake Road, Suite 200 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-2376

Tel.: (248) 540-2300 Fax: (248) 645-2690

E-mail: <a href="mailto:lbrimer@stroblpc.com">lbrimer@stroblpc.com</a>
E-mail: <a href="mailto:mfield@stroblpc.com">mfield@stroblpc.com</a>
E-mail: <a href="mailto:mfield@stroblpc.com">mfield@stroblpc.com</a>

Robert D. Gordon Shannon L. Deeby Jennifer K. Green Evan J. Feldman CLARK HILL PLC

151 South Old Woodward Avenue

Suite 200

Birmingham, Michigan 48009

Tel.: (248) 988-5882 Fax: (248) 988-2502

E-mail: <a href="mailto:rgordon@clarkhill.com">rgordon@clarkhill.com</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:sdeeby@clarkhill.com">sdeeby@clarkhill.com</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:green@clarkhill.com">green@clarkhill.com</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:efeldman@clarkhill.com">efeldman@clarkhill.com</a>

Earle I. Erman Craig E. Zucker Barbara A. Patek

ERMAN, TEICHER, MILLER, ZUCKER &

FREEDMAN, P.C. 400 Galleria Officentre

Suite 444

Southfield, MI 48034 Tel.: (248) 827-4100 Fax: (248) 827-4106

Email: <u>eerman@ermanteicher.com</u> Email: <u>czucker@ermanteicher.com</u> E-mail: <u>bpatek@ermanteicher.com</u>

Babette A. Ceccotti
Peter D. DeChiara

COHEN, WEISS AND SIMON LLP

330 West 42nd Street

25<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, New York 10036-6976

Tel.: (212) 563-4100 Fax: 212-695-5436

E-mail: <u>bceccotti@cwsny.com</u> E-mail: <u>pdechiara@cwsny.com</u>

Claude Montgomery Carole Neville DENTONS 221 Avenue of the Am

221 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020-1089

United States

Tel.: (212) 768 6700 Fax: (212) 768 6800

E-mail: <a href="mailto:claude.montgomery@dentons.com">claude.montgomery@dentons.com</a>
E-mail: <a href="mailto:carole.neville@dentons.com">carole.neville@dentons.com</a>

Carol Connor Cohen Caroline Turner English ARENT FOX LLP 1717 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20036-5342

(202) 857-6054

Email: <a href="mailto:carol.cohen@arentfox.com">carol.cohen@arentfox.com</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:caroline.english@arentfox.com">caroline.english@arentfox.com</a>

David E. Lemke (TN13586) Michael R. Paslay (TN011092) Ryan K. Cochran (TN025851) Courtney M. Rogers (TN25664) WALLER LANSDEN DORTCH & DAVIS

LLP 511 Union Street, Suite 2700 Nashville, Tennessee 37219 Phone: (615) 244-6380 Fax: (615) 244-6804

Email: <a href="mailto:dave.lemke@wallerlaw.com">dave.lemke@wallerlaw.com</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:mike.paslay@wallerlaw.com">mike.paslay@wallerlaw.com</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:ryan.cochran@wallerlaw.com">ryan.cochran@wallerlaw.com</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:courtney.rogers@wallerlaw.com">courtney.rogers@wallerlaw.com</a>

William W. Kannel Adrienne K. Walker MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY and POPEO, P.C. One Financial Center Boston, MA 02111

Tel: 617-542-6000 Fax: 617-542-2241

Email: <u>BKannel@mintz.com</u> Email: <u>AWalker@mintz.com</u>

Allan S. Brilliant
G. Eric Brunstad
Stephen M. Wolpert
DECHERT LLP

1095 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 Telephone: (212) 698-3500 Facsimile: (212) 698-3599

Email: <a href="mailto:allan.brilliant@dechert.com">allan.brilliant@dechert.com</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:stephen.wolpert@dechert.com">stephen.wolpert@dechert.com</a>

James H.M. Sprayregen, P.C. Ryan Blaine Bennett Stephen C. Hackney KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 300 North LaSalle Chicago, Illinois 60654 Telephone: (312) 862-2000 Facsimile: (312) 862-2200

Email: james.sprayregen@kirkland.com Email: ryan.bennett@kirkland.com Email: stephen.hackney@kirkland.com

Amy Caton Greg Horowitz KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS &

EDANIZEL LID

FRANKEL, LLP

1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036

Tel: (212) 715-9100 Fax: (212) 715-8000

Email: <a href="mailto:acaton@kramerlevin.com">acaton@kramerlevin.com</a>
Email: <a href="mailto:ghorowitz@kramerlevin.com">ghorowitz@kramerlevin.com</a>

Kenneth E. Noble John J. Ramirez KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP

KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLF 575 Madison Avenue

Tel: 212-940-8800

New York, NY 10022-2585

E-mail: <u>Kenneth.noble@kattenlaw.com</u> Email: <u>john.ramirez@kattenlaw.com</u>

Vincent J. Marriott, III, Esquire

Ballard Spahr LLP

1735 Market Street, 51st Floor Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

Tel: (215) 864-8236 Fax: (215) 864-9762

E-mail: marriott@ballardspahr.com

Rick L. Frimmer
J. Mark Fisher
Michael W. Ott
SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP
233 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 6600

Chicago, Illinois 60606 Telephone: (312) 258-5600 Facsimile: (312) 258-5600

E-mail: rfrimmer@schiffhardin.com E-mail: mfisher@schiffhardin.com E-mail: mott@schiffhardin.com

Alfredo R. Pérez WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP

700 Louisiana Street, Suite 1600 Houston, Texas 77002

Tel: (713) 546-5000 Fax: (713) 224-9511

Email: alfredo.perez@weil.com

Brian D. O'Keefe (P39603) Ryan C. Plecha (P71957)

LIPPITT O'KEEFE GORNBEIN, PLLC 370 East Maple Road, 3rd Floor Birmingham, Michigan 48009

Tel: (248) 646-8292; Fax: (248) 646-8375 Email: <u>bokeefe@lippittokeefe.com</u> Email: <u>rplecha@lippittokeefe.com</u>

James F. Bendernagel, Jr.

Guy S. Neal

SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1501 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 736-8041 Email: ibendernagel@sidley.co

Email: jbendernagel@sidley.com
Email: gneal@sidley.com

Kristin K. Going Heath D. Rosenblat

Drinker Biddle & Reath LLP

1177 Avenue of the Americas, 41st Floor New York, New York 10036-2714

Telephone: (212) 248-3140 Facsimile: (212) 248-3141 E-mail: Kristin.Going@dbr.com E-mail: Heath.Rosenblat@dbr.com

Joseph M. Fischer (P13452) Robert A. Weisberg (P26698) Christopher Grosman (P58693) CARSON FISCHER, P.L.C.

4111 Andover Road, West- Second Floor Bloomfield, Michigan 48302-1924

Telephone: (248) 644-4840 Facsimile: (248) 644-1832

Email: <u>JFischer@CarsonFischer.com</u>
Email: <u>RWeisberg@CarsonFischer.com</u>
Email: <u>CGrosman@CarsonFischer.com</u>

Thomas Moers Mayer Jonathan M. Wagner

KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS

& FRANKEL LLP

1177 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10036 Telephone: (212) 715-9100 Facsimile: (212) 715-8000 Email: <a href="majorized:tmayer@kramerlevin.com">tmayer@kramerlevin.com</a> Email: <a href="majorized:jwagner@kramerlevin.com">jwagner@kramerlevin.com</a>

Lawrence A. Larose Samuel S. Kohn Eric Daucher

CHADBOURNE & PARKE LLP

30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10012
Telephone: (212) 408-5100
Email: <u>llarose@chadbourne.com</u>
Email: <u>skohn@chadbourne.com</u>
Email: <u>edaucher@chadbourne.com</u>

My Chi To, Esq. DEBEVOISE & PLIMPTON, LLP 919 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 Telephone: (212) 909-7435

Fax: (212) 521-7425

Email: mcto@debevoise.com

Jerome D. Goldberg (P61678) 2921 East Jefferson, Suite 205

Detroit, MI 48207 Phone: 313-393-6001 Fax: 313-393-6007

Email: apclawyer@sbcglobal.net

H. Nathan Resnick (P42424) Resnick & Moss, P.C. 40900 Woodward Avenue, Suite 111 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304 Phone: 248-642-5400

Email: hnresnick@resnicklaw.net

**Re:** In re: City of Detroit, Michigan, Case No. 13-53846 (E.D. Mich. Bankr.)

### Counsel:

The City of Detroit, Michigan (the "City") has determined that it inadvertently produced as part of its May 6, 2014 production the following documents which contain privileged materials:

POA00164970-4971	POA00121891-1897
POA00146692-6694	POA00121900-1906
POA00146695-6697	POA00121908-1912
POA00162439-2442	POA00239719-9719
POA00162350-350	POA00046641-6643
POA00106724-6724	POA00040719-0720
POA00106742-6743	POA0004072800728
POA00128031-8031	POA00000125-0125
POA00121800-1813	POA00040755-0758
POA00121827-1840	POA00040849-0852
POA00121851-859	POA00040856-0858
POA00121867-1875	POA00040922-0922
POA00121878-1886	POA00072371-2376

POA00124098-4101	POA00065877=5878
POA00124107-4112	POA00065880-5960
POA00124113-4117	POA00065961-5976
POA00124118-4123	POA00065977-5992
POA00124132-4137	POA00243592-3592
POA00124138-4141	POA00243595-3839
POA00124143-4146	POA00243840-3840
POA00124148-4150	POA00243842-4086
POA00124151-4152	

Pursuant to the reservation of its rights regarding the inadvertent production of any documents protected by the work product doctrine, common interest doctrine, the attorney-client privilege or any other applicable privilege, including the mediation privilege (see Dkt. 0322), the City hereby instructs counsel that the documents identified above should not be used or disclosed as originally produced and asks all parties to destroy all electronic and/or paper copies of these documents. Unless I hear from you to the contrary, I will assume that you have complied with this request.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.