

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:)	Chapter 9
)	
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,)	Case No. 13-53846
)	
)	Hon. Steven W. Rhodes
Debtor.)	
_____)	

**RESPONSE BY THE CITY OF DETROIT (REPRESENTED BY ITS
MAYOR AND CORPORATION COUNSEL) TO THE DETROIT
RETIREMENT SYSTEMS' AND GREENHILL'S LIMITED OBJECTIONS
TO ENTRY OF PROPOSED ORDER CONFIRMING EIGHTH AMENDED
PLAN OF ADJUSTMENT**

The City of Detroit, represented by its Mayor and Corporation Counsel, respectfully files this Response to the Limited Objections to Plan Confirmation filed by the Police and Fire Retirement System of the City of Detroit (the "PFRS") and the General Retirement System of the City of Detroit (the "GRS" and together with the PFRS, the "Retirement Systems") and Greenhill & Co., LLC. See D.E. 8253, 8263, filed November 11, 2014.

The Retirement Systems argue that because the City is not immediately obligated to pay for their professionals' fees, the Court does not have jurisdiction to review those fees for reasonableness or to order those Professionals to participate in the fee review process. *See* Dkt. # 8253 at 2, 10. They are wrong.



The Retirement Systems' papers quote the Michigan statute which authorizes their retention of professionals. However, that statute expressly limits such payments to only "reasonable compensation" for the professionals' services. M.C.L. 38.1133(6), (8), both quoted at page 5 of the objections.

Bankruptcy law, 11 U.S.C. § 943(b)(3) requires this Court to determine the reasonableness of the fees of the professionals engaged by the Systems. The Court's fee review authority is broad and is not limited to direct obligations of the debtor or to administration expenses. Rather, Section 943(b)(3) provides that "[t]he court shall confirm the plan if – (3) all amounts to be paid by the debtor **or by any person for services or expenses in the case or incident to the plan** have been fully disclosed and are reasonable." Emphasis added.

Here, there can be no dispute that the financial health of the Retirement Systems is integral to the successful implementation of the Plan, and to the financial well-being of the City, its employees and retirees. Both Michigan and federal bankruptcy law permit payment only of reasonable fees to the Retirement Systems' professionals, and Section 943(b) makes this Court responsible for determining reasonableness.

For these reasons, the Retirement Systems' limited objections should be denied.

Dated: November 21, 2014

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Charles N. Raimi

Charles N. Raimi (P29746)

Melvin Butch Hollowell, Jr. (P37834)

City of Detroit Law Department

2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500

CAYMC

Detroit, Michigan 48226

(313) 237-5037

raimic@detroitmi.gov

hollowellm@detroitmi.gov

/s/ Schuyler von Oeyen

David H. Fink (P28235)

Darryl Bressack (P67820)

Schuyler von Oeyen (P74048)

FINK + ASSOCIATES LAW

100 West Long Lake Road, Suite 111

Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304

(248) 971-2500

dfink@finkandassociateslaw.com

dbressack@finkandassociateslaw.com

svonoeyen@finkandassociateslaw.com

Counsel for the City of Detroit,
represented by its Mayor and Corporation
Counsel

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

In re:)	Chapter 9
)	
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,)	Case No. 13-53846
)	
)	Hon. Steven W. Rhodes
Debtor.)	
_____)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on November 21, 2014, I electronically filed *Response by the City of Detroit (Represented by its Mayor and Corporation Counsel) to the Detroit Retirement Systems' and Greenhill's Limited Objections to Entry of Proposed Order Confirming Eighth Amended Plan of Adjustment* with the Clerk of the court using the ECF system which will send notification of such filing to all counsel of record registered for electronic filing.

Dated: November 21, 2014

/s/ Schuyler von Oeyen
Schuyler von Oeyen (P74048)
FINK + ASSOCIATES LAW
100 West Long Lake Road, Suite 111
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304
(248) 971-2500
svonoeyen@finkandassociateslaw.com