

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

<p>In re:</p> <p>City of Detroit, Michigan,</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Debtor.</p>	<p>Case No. 13-53846</p> <p>Judge Thomas J. Tucker</p> <p>Chapter 9</p>
--	---

**ORDER SUSTAINING DEBTOR'S FORTY-EIGHTH OMNIBUS
OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS**

(Pension Claims That Have Been Satisfied by the Plan)

Upon review of the forty-eighth objection to claims (Docket # 11400, the “Objection”),¹ of the Debtor, City of Detroit, Michigan (the “City”), seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging each of the claims listed on Exhibit 2 to the Objection because the proof of claim asserts a claim that is a GRS Pension Claim or a PFRS Pension Claim; and this Court has jurisdiction over the Objection under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and Article VII of the Plan; and the Court finding that this is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and the Court finding that venue of this proceeding and the Objection in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and the relief requested in the Objection is in the best interests of the City, and its creditors; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been given as provided in the Objection; and no other or further notice of the Objection need be given; and no timely responses having been filed to the

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meaning ascribed to them in the Objection.



Objection; and the Court finding that the legal and factual basis set forth in the Objection establishes just cause for the relief granted; and after due deliberation and good and sufficient cause appearing therefore;

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The Objection is sustained.
2. Each of the proofs of claim listed on Exhibit 2 annexed to the Objection is disallowed and expunged in its entirety under Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.
3. The City's claims agent is authorized to update the claims register to reflect the relief granted in this Order.
4. The City is authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted in this Order in accordance with the Objection.
5. Each claim and the objections by the City to each claim as addressed in the Objection and set forth on Exhibit 2 constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. This Order will be deemed a separate order with respect to each such claim and, to the extent necessary under Bankruptcy Rules 7054 and 9014, constitutes a final judgment with respect to such claim, and the Court expressly determines that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of the final judgment with respect to such claim. Any stay of this Order will apply only to the contested matter that involves such creditor and will not act

to stay the applicability or finality of this Order with respect to the other contested matters covered hereby, and further provided that the City will have the right to submit a separate order with respect to contested matters or claims.

6. The City retains all of its rights to object, on any other basis, to any of the Claims identified on Exhibit 2.

7. Notice of the Objection as provided therein is good and sufficient notice of such objection, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a) and the local rules of the Court are satisfied by such notice.

8. Nothing in this Order is intended to, will constitute or will be deemed to constitute the City's consent, under section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, to this Court's interference with (a) any of the political or governmental powers of the City, (b) any of the property or revenues of the City or (c) the City's use or enjoyment of any income-producing property.

Signed on August 26, 2016

/s/ Thomas J. Tucker
Thomas J. Tucker
United States Bankruptcy Judge