Docket #11623 Date Filed: 10/13/2016

### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Honorable Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

### **CITY OF DETROIT'S OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER 1075**

The City of Detroit, Michigan ("City") files its City of Detroit's Objection to Claim Number 1075 (the "Objection"). Proof of claim number 1075 ("Claim") 1075," attached as Exhibit 6-1) is inappropriate because it does not claim that the City owes any money to General Shale Brick, Co. ("GSB"). Instead, GSB asserts an interest in money owed to Michael Beydoun ("Beydoun"), as asserted in Beydoun's Claim Number 201 ("Claim 201," attached as Exhibit 6-2). The City will address GSB's garnishment claim by asking the Court to determine the competing interests to Claim 201 via separate motion filed contemporaneously with this Objection. In the meantime, as the City owes no money to GSB directly, Claim 1075 should be disallowed.

## **BACKGROUND**

Beydoun was injured in an automobile accident which occurred on 1. May 19, 2008. On April 26, 2011, a judgment was entered against the City in Wayne County Circuit Court case number 09-026647-NI in the amount of

27000628.2\022765-00213

\$2,056,998.50. Exhibit 6-2 (attached to Claim 201). This was a "third party" case brought under MCL 500.3135.

- 2. On June 3, 2011, the Wayne County Circuit Court further awarded \$4,231.08 in costs, \$25,560.00 in attorneys' fees, and \$108,200.50 in interest up through June 30, 2011. Exhibit 6-2 (attached to Claim 201). This order closed the case, and the City appealed. The appeal was decided against the City well after the City had filed its bankruptcy petition.
- 3. On December 11, 2013, Beydoun and his attorney, Raymond Guzall III, filed Claim 201 in the amount of \$2,334,113.50 based on the court judgment and subsequent award of fees and costs. Claim 201. Beydoun calculates his claim as including post-petition interest, even though his claim is unsecured.
- 4. As explained in the *City of Detroit's Objection to Claim Number 201* ("Claim 201 Objection," Doc. No. 11622), unsecured claims are not entitled to post-petition interest. The City thus filed the Claim 201 Objection, seeking allowance of Claim 201 in the amount of \$2,293,293.06, \$20,000 to be paid in cash and \$2,273,293.06 to be allowed as a general unsecured Class 14 claim.
- 5. On February 18, 2014, GSB filed Claim 1075, asserting a \$27,271.94 claim against the City. Claim 1075 is based on a writ of garnishment issued on April 28, 2011, which is based on a judgment issued on June 28, 2007, in case 06-44397-GCT in the Twentieth Judicial District Court for the State of Michigan.

- 6. In addition to GSB, Kajy Development, L.L.C. ("Kajy") also served a writ of garnishment for \$168,017.21 on the City. This writ was issued on May 20, 2011, and was based on a judgment issued on October 15, 2007, in Case Number 07-713584-C (*Kajy Development, LLC v. Michael Beydoun*) in the Third Judicial Circuit Court for the State of Michigan (attached as Exhibit 6-3). To resolve these claims, the City will file a motion to determine the respective rights of Beydoun, GSB, Kajy, and others to Claim 201, once the objection to Claim 201 has been resolved so that the total amount to be apportioned is settled.
- 7. GSB and Kajy each have an interest in Claim 201, not a direct claim against the City. *See Brickell v. Dunn (In re Brickell)*, 142 Fed. Appx. 385 (11th Cir. 2005) (allowing garnishment of a creditor's claim in a bankruptcy case); *cf. Nvland, Inc. v. Vogel (In re Ocean Downs Racing Ass'n, Inc.)*, 164 B.R. 249 (Bankr. D. Md. 1993) (suggesting that the proper procedure to garnish a creditor's claim is to seek a transfer under Fed. R. Bank. P. 3001(e)(2)). In other words, they each have an interest in collecting whatever Claim 201 may yield, rather than a direct claim based on a prepetition obligation incurred by the City.
- 8. GSB's proof of claim, asserting a direct claim against the City, is thus improper.
- 9. Accordingly, the City asks that the Court enter the proposed order appended as Exhibit 1.

### October 13, 2016

### Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson

Jonathan S. Green (P33140)

Marc N. Swanson (P71149)

MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND

STONE, P.L.C.

150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Telephone: (313) 496-7591

Facsimile: (313) 496-8451

green@millercanfield.com

swansonm@millercanfield.com

#### and

Charles N. Raimi (P29746)

**Deputy Corporation Counsel** 

City of Detroit Law Department

2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500

Coleman A. Young Municipal Center

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Telephone: (313) 237-0470

Facsimile: (313) 224-5505

raimic@detroitmi.gov

### ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF DETROIT

## **EXHIBIT LIST**

Exhibit 1 Proposed Order

Exhibit 2 Notice of Objection

Exhibit 3 None

Exhibit 4 Certificate of Service

Exhibit 5 None

Exhibit 6-1 Claim 1075

Exhibit 6-2 Claim 201

Exhibit 6-3 Kajy Development LLC Garnishment

### EXHIBIT 1

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Honorable Thomas J. Tucker

Debtor.

Chapter 9

# [PROPOSED] ORDER SUSTAINING CITY OF DETROIT'S OBJECTION TO CLAIM NUMBER 1075

This matter having come before the Court on the *City of Detroit's Objection* to *Claim Number 1075* ("Objection"), upon proper notice and a hearing, the Court being fully advised in the premises, and there being good cause to grant the relief requested,

#### THE COURT ORDERS THAT:

- 1. The Objection is sustained.
- 2. Proof of claim number 1075 is disallowed and expunged.
- 3. The City's claims agent is authorized to update the claims register in accordance with the terms of this Order.
- 4. The Court retains jurisdiction over any and all matters arising from the interpretation or implementation of this Order.

### **EXHBIT 2 – NOTICE**

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Honorable Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

### NOTICE OF OBJECTION TO CLAIM

The City of Detroit has filed an objection to a claim in this bankruptcy case which may affect you.

The claim may be reduced, modified, or denied. You should read these papers carefully and discuss them with your attorney, if you have one.

If you do not want the Court to deny or change your claim, then on or before November 9, 2016, you or your attorney must:

1. File with the court a written response to the objection, explaining your position at:

United States Bankruptcy Court 211 W. Fort St., Suite 1900 Detroit, Michigan 48226

If you mail your response to the court for filing, you must mail it early enough so that the court will **receive** it on or before the date stated above. All attorneys are required to file pleadings electronically.

You must also mail a copy to:

Miller, Canfield, Paddock & Stone, PLC Attn: Marc N. Swanson 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, Michigan 48226 2. Attend the hearing on the objection, scheduled to be held on **November 16, 2016**, at **1:30 p.m.** in Courtroom 1925, United States Bankruptcy Court, 211 W. Fort Street, Detroit, Michigan, unless your attendance is excused by mutual agreement between yourself and the City's attorney. (Unless the matter is disposed of summarily as a matter of law, the hearing shall be a pre-trial conference only; neither testimony nor other evidence will be received. A pre-trial scheduling order may be issued as a result of the pre-trial conference.)

If you or your attorney do not take these steps, the Court may deem that you do not oppose the objection to your claim, in which event the hearing will be canceled, and the objection sustained.

MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C.

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson

Marc N. Swanson (P71149) 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, Michigan 48226 Telephone: (313) 496-7591

Facsimile: (313) 496-8451

swansonm@millercanfield.com

Dated: October 13, 2016

### **EXHIBIT 4 – CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

The undersigned hereby certifies that on October 13, 2016, he caused a copy of the *City of Detroit's Objection to Claim Number 1075* to be served upon all parties registered for ECF service and by first class mail to the following parties:

Raymond Guzall III, P.C. 31555 West Fourteen Mile Road Suite 320 Farmington Hills, MI 48334

Barry A. Seifman Barry A. Seifman, P.C. 30445 Northwestern Hwy, #310 Farmington Hills, MI 48334

David W. Warren Joelson Rosenberg, PLC 30665 Northwestern Hwy, #200 Farmington Hills, MI 48334

Michael Beydoun 6307 Heyen Dearborn Heights, MI 48127

Dated: October 13, 2016

David B. Landry Nancy Vayda Dembinski Landry, Mazzeo & Dembinski PC 37000 Grand River, Ste 200 Farmington Hills, MI 48335

General Shale Brick, Inc. c/o John Colucci 33659 Angeline Livonia, MI 48150

Kajy Development, L.L.C. c/o David W. Yaldo 4036 Telegraph Road, Suite 204 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson

Marc N. Swanson (P71149) 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, Michigan 48226 Telephone: (313) 496-7591 Facsimile: (313) 496-8451

swansonm@millercanfield.com

# **EXHIBIT 6-1**

# **Claim 1075**

B10 (Official Form 10) (04/13) (Modified)	*	ALAM MATA	
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERI	PROOF OF CLAM		
Name of Debtor: City of Detroit, Michigan	FEB 1 8 2014		
NOTE: Do not use this form to make a claim for an administrative expense	that arises after the bankruptcy filing.	1 LD 1 0 2014 1	
Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money o	KURTZMAN CARSON CONSULTANTS		
General Shale Brick Company	COURT USE ONLY		
Name and address where notices should be sent: General Shale Brick Company		Check this box if this claim amends a	
C/O John Colucci, Esq.	previously filed claim.		
33659 Angeline, Livonia, MI 48150		Court Claim Number:	
734.956.0660 coluccilawfirm@gmail.com		(If known)	
Telephone number: email:		Filed on:	
Name and address where payment should be sent (if different from above):		Check this box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to this claim. Attach copy of statement giving particulars.	
Telephone number: email:			
1. Amount of Claim as of Date Case Filed: \$ \$27,271.5	94 <b>YKDa</b>	te Stamped Copy Returned	
	□ No	self addressed stamped envelope	
If all or part of the claim is secured, complete item 4.  If all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5.		copy to return	
Check this box if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the	ne principal amount of the claim. Attach a	statement that itemizes interest or charges.	
2. Basis for Claim: See Attached. (See instruction #2)	<del></del>		
	2- D-14		
3. Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifies debtor:  n/a	(See instruction #3a)	unt as:	
4. Secured Claim (See instruction #4)	1 '	other charges, as of the time case was filed,	
Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a right setoff, attach required redacted documents, and provide the requested informati	nt of included in secured claim		
Nature of property or right of setoff: Real Estate Motor Vehicle Ot Describe:	ther Basis for perfection:		
Value of Property: \$	Amount of Secured Claim	s	
Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed)% □Fixed or □Variab	ole Amount Unsecured:	\$	
5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority as an Administrative Expense und	der 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(9) and 507(a)(2)	. \$	
5b. Amount of Claim Otherwise Entitled to Priority. Specify Applicable S	Section of 11 U.S.C. §	\$	
6. Credits. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited for the	purpose of making this proof of claim. (S	ee instruction #6)	
7. Documents: Attached are redacted copies of any documents that support the running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, in statement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the claevidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction #7, and ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING. If the documents are not available, please explain:	the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of a claim based on an open-end of the case of the ca	or revolving consumer credit agreement, a and redacted copies of documents providing	
8. Signature: (See instruction # 8) Check the appropriate box.			
or their		arantor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor. uptcy Rule 3005.)	
I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is	- / / /	lge, information, and reasonable belief.	
Print Name: John Colucci Title: Attorney and Agent	44/		
Company: Law Offices of John Colucci			
Address and telephone number (if different from notice address above): 33659 Angeline, Livonia, MT 48150	(Signature)	(Date)	
734.956.0660 coluccilawfirm@gma	il.com		
Telephone number: email:		<u>                                  </u>	
13-5 <b>88466tjk</b> rese <b>Dagal462</b> Baim: Filedul0/19/1	4) () # B(	<b>HILL THE PAPER AND THE</b> 61402180000000000019	

Law Offices of
John Colucci, PLC
33659 Angeline
Livonia, MI 48150
Telephone (734) 956-0660
Fax (734) 956-0661
coluccilawfirm@gmail.com

February 14, 2014

Via Priority Mail City of Detroit Claims Processing Center C/O KCC 2335 Alaska Ave. El Segundo, CA 90245

Re: In Re City of Detroit, Ch 9, Case No. 13-53846

To Whom it May Concern:

Please find enclosed and original and copy of the Proof of Claim of General Shale Brick Company. Please file in your usual manner and return a time stamped copy in the enclosed return mailer.

Please call with any questions.

Sincerety,

John Colucci

Enc.

### **Supplement to General Shale Brick Proof of Claim**

- A. General Shale served a pre-petition Writ of Garnishment (the "Garnishment") on the City of Detroit in connection with certain litigation styled **Beydoun v. City of Detroit** (a copy of which is attached as **Exhibit A**);
- B. Prior to service of the Garnishment, a jury verdict was returned in favor of Beydoun and against the City of Detroit in the proceedings styled <u>Beydoun v. City of Detroit, et. al.</u>, Wayne County Circuit Court, Case No. 09-026647-NI in an amount exceeding \$2,000,000.00 (the "Verdict") (a copy of which is attached as **Exhibit B**);
- C. Judgment has been entered on the Verdict and affirmed by the Michigan Court of Appeals, in the proceedings styled <u>Michael Beydoun v. Charles Benjamin Wills, Jr., et.</u> <u>al.</u>, Court of Appeals No. 304729 (the "Appeal");
- D. The City of Detroit sought leave to appeal to the Michigan Supreme Court, but on information and belief, further review has been stayed as a result of these Chapter 9 proceedings.
- E. Mr. Beydoun and/or his authorized agent has filed a claim in these Chapter 9 proceedings, with said claim being identified as Claim No. 201 (the "Beydoun Claim").
- F. On or about August 30, 2011, an Order was entered directing the City of Detroit to pay General Shale the sum of (\$27,271.94) (a true copy of the Order is attached as Exhibit C).

G. General Shale has a right to payment from the City of Detroit on account of the Beydoun

Chaim and therefore has filed this Claim.

John G. Colucci (P40716)

33659 Angeline

Livopia, MI 48150 (734) 956.0660

Attorney for General Shale Brick, Inc.

734.956.0660

# **EXHIBIT A**

Original - Court

1st copy - Garnishee

2nd copy - Defendant

3rd copy - Return (proof of service) 4th copy - Plaintiff/Attorney (proof)

Approved, SCAO

	Approved, SCAO	2nd cor	py - Defendant				
STATE OF MICHIGAN     20    JUDICIAL DISTRICT     JUDICIAL CIRCUIT		REQUEST AND WRIT FOR GARNISHMENT (NON-PERIODIC)			• CASE NO. 06-44397-GCT		
	Court address	• Zip code	,			Court telephone	e no
	25637 Michigan Ave., Dearborn Heights,	MI 48125			(3	313) 277-7840	
•	Plaintiff name and address  General Shale Brick, Inc. c/o John Colucci 33659 Angeline, Livonia, MI 48150		v .	Defendant name and a Michael Beydoun 6307 Heyden Dearborn Heights		27	
•	Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., and address John Colucci P40716 33659 Angeline Livonia, MI 48150		•	Social security no.  Garnishee name and a City of Detroit		Account no.	
•	Telephone no. 734.956.0660	······································		C/O Law Dept. 66 First National Buil		ward Ave., Ste 1650 roit, MI 48226	
	REQUEST  1. Plaintiff received judgment against		. 440.07	on June 28, 2007	7		
	<ol> <li>The amount of the unsatisfied judgr</li> <li>Plaintiff knows or with good reason to the defendant.</li> <li>Plaintiff requests a writ of non-per I declare that the statements above an</li> </ol>	ment now due (incl believes that the g iodic garnishment.	uding interestarnishee is i	st and costs) is •\$ indebted to or posse	27,271.9 esses or o	94 controls property belonging	ng
	7/28/2011			::::(A			
	WRIT OF GARNISHMENT To be			iff/Agent/Attorney signat		ation and instructions.	
	TO THE PLAINTIFF: You must provide and any applicable disclosure fee. You disclosure states that the garnishee ho within 56 days after the disclosure is fill.  TO THE DEFENDANT:  1. Do not dispose of any negotiable representing property in which yo 2. You have 14 days after this writ is within this time, without further no after this writ was mailed or delive TO THE GARNISHEE:	are responsible for lds property other ed for an order to a instrument represe u claim an interest smalled or delivere	thaving these than money apply the pro enting a debt held in the p	e documents served by belonging to the do perty toward the jud of the garnishee or possession of spiles	on the gareful ant, igment.  any nego	arnishee within 91 days. you must motion the condition the condition the condition the condition that we will be a seen to	If the urt
	TO THE GARNISHEE:  1. Within 7 days after you are serve copy to his or her last known addidated.  2. Deliver no tangible or intangible page 3. Within 14 days after you are serve to the court, plaintiff/attorney, and the request. Payment of withheld objection has been filed.  You are ordered to make the page of the plaintiff and mail it to:   If you hold property other than more compared to the plaintiff.	d with this writ, you ress by first class no roperty and pay no ed with this writ, you defendant. A defamust withhold an ail funds must be manyment withheld until the plair	u must delivenail. o obligation to bu must delivenalt may be emount not to de 28 days under this wattiff's attornatiff's attornationalise attornationali	or a copy of this write the defendant unled the defendant unled the rer or mail copies of the entered against you exceed the amount after you are served the payable to be the recognity.	to the deess allowed your verifor failure to fithe judy with this the coult	fendant in person or mained by statute or court rule iffed disclosure (form MC to comply with this order dgment stated in item 2. If writ unless notified that the stated in item 2.	il a e. (2 14) er. of
	40011	78(((	Popul	y court clerk	=		
	Date of issue Expiration da	iie	Deput	y COUIT CIEIR			

# **EXHIBIT B**

## STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE

MICHAEL BEYDOUN,

Plaintiff,

Case No. 09-026647- NI

CHARLES BENJAMIN WILLS JR. and CITY OF DETROIT, jointly and severally,

Defendants.

Hon. Robert J. Colombo, Jr. Bar No. P25806



VERDICT FORM

We, the jury, make the following answers to the questions submitted by the Court:

QUESTION NO. 1: Was Police Officer Wills negligent?

Answer: Yes (yes or no)

If your answer is "no," do not answer any further questions.

QUESTION NO. 2: Was the Police Officer Wills' negligence a proximate cause of the injury or damage to the plaintiff?

Answer: (yes or no)

If your answer is "no," do not answer any further questions.

QUESTION NO. 3: What is the total amount of plaintiff's <u>non-economic</u> damages for physical pain and suffering, mental anguish, denial of social pleasure and enjoyments, and/or disability including loss of impairment of neck and left arm?

Answer: To today's date \$ 125,000 —

Future \$ 125,000 —

QUESTION NO. 4: What is the total amount of plaintiff's <u>economic</u> damages for income loss?

Answer: To today's date \$ 54z 4o5. —

Future \$ 1,493,250.—

QUESTION NO. 5: Was the plaintiff negligent?

Answer: Yes (yes or no)

If your answer is "no," do not answer any further questions.

QUESTION NO. 6: Was the plaintiff's negligence a proximate cause of the injury or damage to the plaintiff?

Answer: YES (yes or no)

If your answer is "no," do not answer any further questions.

QUESTION NO. 7: Using 100 percent as the total combined negligence which proximately caused the injury or damage to the plaintiff, what percentage of such negligence is attributable to the plaintiff?

Answer: 10. percent

Please note that the Court will reduce the total amount of plaintiff's damages entered in Questions No. 3 and 4 by the percentage of negligence attributable to plaintiff, if any, entered in Question No. 7. However, Plaintiff is not entitled to non-economic damages (Question 3) if more than 50% at fault for his injuries.

Signed,

Clavette M C

Foreperson

Date 0p 8. Zo11

# **EXHIBIT C**

#### STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE 20<sup>th</sup> DISTRICT COURT

GENERAL SHALE BRICK, INC.

PLAINTIFF,

CASE NO. 06-44397-GCT

V.

NATIONAL SPECIALITIES INSTALLATION, INC. and MICHAEL BEYDOUN, Jointly and Severally,

DEFENDANTS.

٧.

CITY OF DETROIT,

GARNISHEE DEFENDANT.

A TRUE COPY
Court Clark
Pate
30 1

John G. Colucci (P40716) 33659 Angeline Livonia, MI 48150 (734) 956.0660 Attorney for General Shale Brick, Inc. 734.956.0660

Mary Beth Cobb (P40080) City of Detroit Law Dept. 660 Woodward Ave., Ste 1650 First National Building Detroit, MI 48226 313-237-3075

# ORDER REGARDING PAYMENT BY GARNISHEE DEFENDANT CITY OF DETROIT

Plaintiff General Shale Brick, Inc. ("General Shale"), by its undersigned attorneys, pursuant to the Michigan Rules of Court ("MRCP") and Garnishee Defendant City of Detroit ("Detroit") stipulate and agree as follows:

- A. General Shale served a Writ of Garnishment (the "Garnishment") on the City of Detroit in connection with the above captioned matter (a copy of which is attached as **Exhibit A**);
- B. The City of Detroit acknowledged service of process;

- C. The City of Detroit filed its Garnishee Disclosure denying liability to Defendant Beydoun.
- D. Prior to service of the Garnishment, a jury verdict was returned in favor of Beydoun and against the City of Detroit in the proceedings styled <u>Beydoun v. City of Detroit, et. al.</u>, Wayne County Circuit Court, Case No. 09-026647-NI in an amount exceeding \$2,000,000.00 (the "Verdict") (a copy of which is attached as **Exhibit B**);
- E. Judgment has been entered on the Verdict and the City of Detroit has appealed the Judgment, in the proceedings styled Michael Beydoun v. Charles Benjamin Wills, Jr., et. al., Court of Appeals No. 304729 (the "Appeal");
- F. General Shale served interrogatories and discovery requests within the time permitted under MCR 3.101(L);
- G. A copy of the Garnishment has been served on Mr. Beydoun and no objections have been filed or served;
- H. The Court being otherwise advised in the premises.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT, upon the conclusion of the Appeal filed set Hewer)
by the City of Detroit, whether by dismissal, stipulation or other order of the Court of Appeals,
the City of Detroit shall pay to General Shale Brick, from amounts otherwise due Beydoun under
the Judgment as entered or modified, the amount due under the Garnishment (\$27,271.94) by
check payable to the Colucci Client Trust Account and delivered to attorney John Colucci,
33659 Angeline, Livonia MI 48150, 734.956.0660.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT pursuant to Michigan Rule of Court 3.101, the garnishment served on the City of Detroit shall remain in full force and effect until further order of the Court and the City of Detroit shall pay no obligation to the Defendant Beydoun until further order of the Court, or payment to General Shale Berck in Accordance with the paragraph Trumedintely Above.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT the Court shall retain jurisdiction to enforce this Order. District Court Judge By: \_ By: \_ Mary Beth Cobb (P40080) John G. Colucci (P40716) City of Detroit Law Dept. 33659 Angeline 660 Woodward Ave., Ste 1650 Livonia, Ml 48150 First National Building (734) 956.0660 Detroit, MI 48226 Attorney for General Shale Brick, Inc. 313-237-3075

734.956.0660

# **EXHIBIT 6-2**

# **Claim 201**

B10 (Official Form 10) (04/13) (Modified)		EllEn		
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTER	CHAPTER 9 PROOF OF CLAIM			
Name of Debtor: City of Detroit, Michigan  NOTE: Do not use this form to make a claim for an administrative expense	Case Number: 13-53846	22013 DEC' 11 A H-0:		
Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money of Michael Bey Down & his ATTORNEY  Name and address where notices should be sent:	or property): V-Ryword Guznll III	U.S. SANKRUPTCY COUR E.Bouralt Gant DETROIT  Check this box if this claim amends a previously filed claim.		
Paymond GUZAII III, P.C. Suite 3 31555 West Fourteen Mile 212: Suite 3 FAIRMINTON HILLS, MI 48334	20	Court Claim Number:		
Telephone number: 248 762-6122 email: RAY GUZAII (Name and address where payment should be sent (if different from above):  Telephone number: email:	es 11) Total y go Extricor	☐ Check this box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to this claim. Attach copy of statement giving particulars.		
	113.50 Thomas	1 Dec. 31, 2013 AND		
If all or part of the claim is secured, complete item 4.  If all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5.  Check this box if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the	1/3.50 Thirter; st inter: st reprincipal amount of the claim. Attach a s	2		
2. Basis for Claim: Judyment AND Court (See instruction #2)	ORDER			
3. Last four digits of any number by which creditor identifies debtor:  Cart C158 # 69-026647 NI	3a. Debtor may have scheduled account (See instruction #3a)	nt as:		
4. Secured Claim (See instruction #4)  Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a right of setoff, attach required redacted documents, and provide the requested information.  Amount of arrearage and other charges, as of the time case was filed, included in secured claim, if any:  \$				
Nature of property or right of setoff: □Real Estate □ Motor Vehicle □ Otl Describe:	her Basis for perfection:			
Value of Property: \$	Amount of Secured Claim:	\$		
Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed)% □Fixed or □Variable	le Amount Unsecured:	\$		
5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority as an Administrative Expense und	er 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(9) and 507(a)(2).	\$		
5b. Amount of Claim Otherwise Entitled to Priority. Specify Applicable So	ection of 11 U.S.C. §	\$		
6. Credits. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited for the purpose of making this proof of claim. (See instruction #6)				
7. Documents: Attached are redacted copies of any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, in the case of a claim based on an open-end or revolving consumer credit agreement, a statement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the claim is secured, box 4 has been completed, and redacted copies of documents providing evidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction #7, and the definition of "redacted".) DO NOT SEND ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS. ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING.  If the documents are not available, please explain:				
8. Signature: (See instruction # 8) Check the appropriate box.	V			
AND COSTS AS TO Judy Man T or their a	authorized agent. (See Bankrupt unkruptcy Rule 3004.)	antor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor.		
I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is tr Print Name: ICAYMUND GVZII/III Title: 4TTORNEY	rue and correct to the best of my knowledge			
Company: [Raymon] GUZA! III Po C. Address and telephone number (if different from notice address above):	(Signature)	12-9-13 Dat <b>RECEIVED</b>		
Telephone number: email:		DEC 1 6 2013		

Penalty for presenting fraudulent claim: Fine of up to \$500,000 or imprisonment for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152 and 3571.

### STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE

MICHAEL BEYDOUN.

Plaintiff,

Beydoun, Michael v Wills, Charles Hon. Robert J Colombo, Jr.

10/29/2009

09-026647-NI

CHARLES BENJAMIN WILLS JR. and CITY OF DETROIT. jointly and severally.

Defendants.

Barry A. Seifman (P20197) Raymond Guzall III (P60980) SEIFMAN & GUZALL, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiff 30665 Northwestern Hwy. #255 Farmington Hills, MI 48334 (248)538-0711

Marion R. Jenkins (P26257) Assistant Corporation Counsel Attorney for Defendant City of Detroit 660 Woodward Avenue, Suite 1650 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 237-3032

### ORDER OF JUDGMENT AGAINST CITY OF DETROIT IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF MICHAEL BEYDOUN

At a session of said Court, held in the City of Detroit, County of Wayne, State of Michigan, on PRESENT: HON. ROBERT J. COLOMBO, JR HON, ROBERT J. COLOMBO, JR.

This matter having come before the Court, and tried before a jury, and the jury rendering a verdict on April 8, 2011, and the Court being fully advised in the premises:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff in the amount of \$125,000.00 for non-economic damages from the date of Plaintiff's injury to the date of the jury verdict and \$125,000.00 for future non-economic damages, against the Defendant, City of Detroit.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff in the amount of \$542,405.00 from the date of Plaintiff's injury to the date of the jury verdict as economic damages against the City of Detroit, and \$1,493,250.00 in future economic damages against the Defendant, the City of Detroit.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the above judgment amounts against the Defendant, City of Detroit, are reduced by 10% for comparative negligence pursuant to the jury's verdict. Therefore, it is ordered that the total jury verdict amount of \$2,285,655.00 is reduced by 10%, which equates to \$228,565.50.

Therefore, IT IS ORDERED that the total judgment in favor of Plaintiff, Michael Beydoun, and against Defendant, the City of Detroit, is \$2,056,998.50.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Defendant, Charles Benjamin Wills Jr., is dismissed from the case as of April 7, 2011, as ordered by the Court, after hearing oral arguments from both attorneys in open Court.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff, Michael Beydoun, shall be awarded costs and interest as provided by law, and that case evaluation sanctions shall be awarded upon presentment of such proofs to the Court after entry of this Order of Judgment, in accord with the law. This does not close the case because costs, interest and case evaluation sanctions will remain outstanding after entry of this Order.

ROBERT J. COLONBO, JR.

HONORABLE ROBERT J. COLOMBO, JR.

# STATE OF MICHIGAN IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE COUNTY OF WAYNE

MICHAEL BEYDOUN.

Plaintiff,

Case No. 09-026647- NI

V

CHARLES BENJAMIN WILLS JR. and CITY OF DETROIT, jointly and severally.

Hon. Robert J. Colombo, Jr. Bar No. P25806

Defendants.

Barry A. Seifman (P20197)
Raymond Guzall III (P60980)
SEIFMAN & GUZALL, P.C.
Attorney for Plaintiff
30665 Northwestern Hwy. #255
Farmington Hills, MI 48334
(248)538-0711

Marion R. Jenkins (P26257) Assistant Corporation Counsel Attorney for Defendant City of Detroit 660 Woodward Avenue, Suite 1650 Detroit, Michigan 48226 (313) 237-3032

# ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR COSTS, ATTORNEY FEES AND STATUTORY INTEREST

	said Court, held in the of Wayne, State of M		
on	JUN - 3		
PRESENT: HON		<u>Rober</u> t J. Co	LOMBO, JR
HOI	V. ROBERT J. COLO	MBO, JR.	A STATE OF THE STA

This parties having appeared before the Court through their counsel upon Plaintiff's Motion for Costs and Attorney Fees Pursuant to Case Evaluation Sanctions under MCR 2.403 and other applicable law and for statutory interest, arguments having been heard and the Court being otherwise advised in the premises:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that costs are awarded to Plaintiff in the amount.

of \$_	4,231,08, up to the date of this Order.
	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that attorney fees are awarded to Plaintiff's
attorr	neys in the amount of \$ \$\frac{\pi}{25,656,00}\$ for the reasons stated on
the re	ecord.
	IT IS ALSO FURTHER ORDERED that statutory interest is awarded in the
amou	unt of \$ 108,200,25 up to the date of June 30, 2011, and
thats	statutory interest will continue to accrue according to law until the judgment and
	Itstanding monies owed are paid in full by Defendant City of Detroit.  IT IS FUNTAIN ORDERED TRAT DEFENDANTS MOTION FOR DENIROL. Phis ORDER closes The CASE.
New ITUNC 15	DENIEW.
	ROBERT J. COLOMBO, JR.
	HONORABLE ROBERT J. COLOMBO, JR.
	Control Contro
	Harron & Serking  HARION R. JENKINS

# INTEREST UPON JUDGEMENT, FEES AND COSTS BEYDOUN V CITY OF DETROIT 09-026647-NI

\$2,056,998.50 Judgment on April 26, 2011

Interest upon the Judgment through June 30, 2011 = \$108,200.25

Attorney fee ordered on June 3, 2011 = \$25,650.00

Costs ordered on June 3, 2011 = \$4,231.08

October 29, 2009 date of complaint filed

#### JUDGMENT INTEREST

July 1, 2011 - 3.007% (\$2,056,998.50 + interest through June 30, 2011 \$108,200.25 = \$2,184,600.00 x .03007 = \$65,690.92 / 365 days = \$179.97 per day)

184 days x \$179.97 per day = \$33,115.42

2,184,600.00 + 33,115.42 = 2,217,715.40

\$2,217,715.40 = amount owed on judgment up to December 31, 2011.

January 1, 2012 - 2.083% (\$2,217,715.40 x .02083 = \$46,195.01 / 366 days = \$126.21 per day)

181 days x \$126.21 per day = \$22.844.01 (interest from 1-1-12 to 6-30-12)

July 1, 2012 - 1.871% (\$2,217,715.40 x .01871 = \$41,493.45 / 366 days = \$113.37 per day)

185 days x \$113.37 per day = \$20,973.45 (interest from 7-1-12 to 12-31-12)

compounding \$22,844.01 + \$20,973.45 = \$43,817.46

\$2,217,715.40 + \$43,817.46 = \$2,261,532.80 amount owed on judgment up to December 31, 2012.

January 1, 2013 - 1.687% (\$2,261,532.80 x .01687 = \$38,152.05 / 365 = \$104.52 per day).

181 days x \$104.52 per day = \$18,918.12 (interest from 1-1-13 to 6-30-13)

```
July 1, 2013 - 1.944%
($2,261,532.80 x .01944 = $43,964.19 / 365 = $120.44 per day).
```

184 days x \$120.44 per day = \$22,160.96 (interest from 7-1-13 to 12-31-13)

compounding \$18,918.12 + \$22,160.96 = \$41,079.08

\$2,261,532.80 + \$41,079.08 = (Total Judgment and interest upon judgment through December 31, 2013).

### **INTEREST ON ATTORNEY FEES**

\$25,650.00

July 1, 2011 - 3.007% (\$25,650.00 x .03007 = \$771.29 / 365 days = \$2.113 per day)

184 days x \$2.113 per day = \$388.81

January 1, 2012 - 2.083% (\$25,650.00 x .02083 = \$551.99 / 366 days = \$1.508 per day)

181 days x \$1.508 per day =  $\frac{$272.97}{}$  (interest from 1-1-12 to 6-30-12)

compound \$388.81 + \$272.97 = \$661.78

\$25,650.00 + \$661.78 = **\$26,311.78** 

July 1, 2012 - 1.871%

 $($26,311.78 \times .01871 = $492.29 / 366 days = $1.345 per day)$ 

185 days x \$1.345 per day = \$248.83 (interest from 7-1-12 to 12-31-12)

January 1, 2013 - 1.687%

 $($26,311.78 \times .01687 = $443.87 / 365 = $1.216 \text{ per day}).$ 

181 days x \$1.216 per day = \$220.11 (interest from 1-1-13 to 6-30-13)

compound \$248.83 + \$220.11 = \$468.94

July 1, 2013 - 1.944%

 $($26,780.07 \times .01944 = $520.61 / 365 = $1.426 \text{ per day}).$ 

184 days x \$1.426 per day = \$262.44 (interest from 7-1-13 to 12-31-13)

13-53846-tjt Doc 11623 Filed 10/13/16 Entered 10/13/16 13:37:57 Page 31 of 34

\$26,780.07 + \$262.44 = \$27,042.51 (Total attorney fees and interest upon attorney fees through December 31, 2013).

### **INTEREST ON COSTS**

\$4.231.08

July 1, 2011 - 3.007% (\$4,231.08 x .03007 = \$127.22 / 365 days = \$.348 per day)

184 days x \$.348 per day = \$64.13

January 1, 2012 - 2.083% (\$4,231.08 x .02083 = \$88.13 / 366 days = \$.24 per day)

181 days x \$.24 per day = \$43.44 (interest from 1-1-12 to 6-30-12)

compound \$64.13 + \$43.44 = \$107.57

4,231.08 + 107.57 = 4,338.65

July 1, 2012 - 1.871% (**\$4,338.65** x .01871 = \$81.17 / 366 days = \$.22 per day)

185 days x \$.22 per day = \$41.03 (interest from 7-1-12 to 12-31-12)

January 1, 2013 - 1.687% (**\$4,338.65** x .01687 = \$73.19 / 365 = \$.20 per day).

181 days x \$.20 per day = \$36.29 (interest from 1-1-13 to 6-30-13)

compound \$41.03 + \$36.29 = \$77.32

July 1, 2013 - 1.944% (\$4415.97 x .01944 = \$85.84 / 365 = \$.235 per day).

184 days x \$.235 per day = \$43.27 (interest from 7-1-13 to 12-31-13)

\$4,415.97 + \$43.27 = \$4,459.24 (Total costs and interest upon costs through December 31, 2013).

\$2,302,611.80 + \$27,042.51 + \$4,459.24 = \$2,334,113.50 total owed through 12-31-13

# **EXHIBIT 6-3**

# Kajy Development, L.L.C. Garnishment

Original - Court (Part 1)

3rd copy - Return (proof of service) (Part 1)

Approved, SCAO	1st copy - Ga 2nd copy - D			4th copy - Plaintiff/Attorney (proof) (Part 2)
	211d copy - D	elelidalit	1 011 27	CASE NO.
STATE OF MICHIGAN     STATE OF MICHIGAN	REQUESTANDWRI	TEORG	ARNISHMENT	
JUDICIAL DISTRICT		ERIODIC		07-713584-C
3rd JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	(IAOIAF	LINIODIC	<i>5</i> )	Hon. Prentis Edwards
	7:			Court telephone no.
Court address	• Zip code			(313) 224-5510
201 CAYMC, Two Woodward Avenue, I	Detroit, MI 48220		=	
Plaintiff name and address (judgment creditor)			Defendant name and	address (judgment debtor)
Kajy Development, L.L.C.		-	Michael Beydoun	*
805 Baldwin Avenue	,	V	6307 Heyden Dearborn Heights,	MI 48127
Pontiac, MI 48340			Dearborn Heights,	WII 40127
				Account no
Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., and address		6	Social security no.	Account no.
David W. Yaldo (P36817)				
4036 Telegraph Road, Suite 204	>		Garnishee name and	address
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			City of Detroit, Att	n: Law Department
Telephone no.			660 Woodward Av	enue, Suite 1650
(248) 645-1500			Detroit, MI 48226	
REQUEST See instructions for	itam 2 on other side		200000	
			0 . 1 . 15	2007
1. Plaintiff received judgment against	defendant for \$ 148,000.0	00	on October 15	, 2007
			1 . The total an	nount of postjudgment costs accrued to
The total o	mount of noctuldament	navmen	is and ciedito mad	C to date is 4
3 Plaintiff knows or with good reason b	pelieves the garnishee is	indebted	to or possesses or	controls property belonging to defendant.
A DI-I-LIFE TO SUICE TO Writ of nonner	iodic garnishment.			
I declare that the statements above a	are true to the best of my	y informa	ation, knowledge, a	and belief.
			1 1	
05/18/2011		Plain	tiff/Agent/Attorney sign	pature
Date			3/	
WRIT OF GARNISHMENT To	he completed by the cou	urt. See	other side for addit	tional information and instructions.
VIÇTI OT CAUTION IN THE	20 00 mp. 10 mp.		(NAC 44) two coni	as of this writ for serving on the garnishee
TO THE PLAINTIFF: You must provi	de all copies of the disclo	sure forn	n (IVIC 14), two copi	es of this writ for serving on the garnishee
and \$1.00 disclosure fee. You are res	ponsible for having these	docume	ents served on the g	garnishee within 91 days. If the disclosure
states that the garnishee holds prope	erty other than money b	elonging	to the defendant,	you must motion the court within 56 days The social security number field is blacked
after the disclosure is filed for an order out on the court copies for security re	easons, but will appear o	n the ga	misnee, delendant	., and plaintin copies.
TO THE DEFENDANT:		-1 - 1-	t of the garnishee	or any negotiable instrument of title
<ol> <li>Do not dispose of any negotiab</li> </ol>	le instrument representi	ng a dec	t of the garrishee t	or any negotiable instrument of title
within this time, without further	notice, the property or a	ebt held	under this will may	be applied to the judgment 28 days after
this writ was mailed or delivere	d to the garnishee.			
			- CAl-:	with the defendant in person or mail a
1 Within 7 days after you are se	rved with this writ, you m	nust deliv	er a copy of this w	rit to the defendant in person or mail a
copy to his or her last-known a	address by first-class ma	ail.		alone allowed by statute or court rule
			to the defendant u	nless allowed by statute or court rule.
3. Within 14 days after you are s	erved with this writ, you r	must deli	ver or mail copies	of your verified disclosure (form MC 14)
to the court, plaintiff/attorney,	and defendant. A defaul	It may be	entered against y	ou for failure to comply with this order.
the request Payment of withh	neld funds must be made	28 day	s after you are ser	ved with this writ unless notified that an
- Lington has been filed				
You are ordered to make th	e payment withheld u	nder thi	s writ payable to	
I ou ale blasied to make the	THE TOTAL SECTION OF THE PARTY AND THE PARTY.			☐ the court

5. If you hold property other than money belonging to the defendant, do not transfer it until further order of the court MAY 20 2011

Date of issue Deputy dourt clerk Expiration date for service

the plaintiff's attorney
the plaintiff's attorney.

MC 13-53846-tjt Doc 11623 Filed 10/13/16 Entered 10/13/16 13:37:57

✓ the plaintiff

and mail it to:  $\Box$  the plaintiff.

MCL 600.4011 et seq., MCR 3.101

☐ the court.