In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

DEBTOR'S FIFTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

(No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City)

THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO MODIFY, DISALLOW AND/OR EXPUNGE CERTAIN FILED PROOFS OF CLAIM. CLAIMANTS RECEIVING THIS OBJECTION SHOULD CAREFULLY REVIEW THIS OBJECTION AND LOCATE THEIR NAMES AND CLAIMS ON THE EXHIBIT ATTACHED TO THIS OBJECTION.

The Debtor, the City of Detroit ("<u>City</u>"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this objection ("<u>Objection</u>") requesting that the Court enter an order, substantially in the form attached as <u>Exhibit 1</u>, disallowing and expunging each of the claims identified on <u>Exhibit 2</u> because each such claim does not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City. In support of this Objection, the City respectfully states as follows:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
§§ 157 and 1334 and Article VII, Section A of the Plan (defined below). This is a

core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

BACKGROUND FACTS

2. On July 18, 2013 ("<u>Petition Date</u>"), the City filed a petition for relief in this Court, thereby commencing the largest Chapter 9 bankruptcy case in history.

3. On November 12, 2013, the City filed its *Motion of Debtor Pursuant* to Sections 105 and 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, for Entry of an Order Approving Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures to Promote the Liquidation of Certain Prepetition Claims [Doc. No. 1665] ("<u>ADR Procedures Motion</u>"). On December 24, 2013, this Court entered an order approving the ADR Procedures Motion [Doc. No. 2302] ("<u>ADR Order</u>").

4. The Alternative Dispute Resolution Procedures ("<u>ADR Procedures</u>")

attached as Annex 1 to the ADR Order permitted the City to serve on claimants a

notice that the Stay/Injunction is lifted to permit the underlying claim to be liquidated in a non-bankruptcy forum consistent with the terms, conditions and limitations of Section II.E. below (a "<u>Stay</u> <u>Modification Notice</u>"). In that event, immediately upon the filing of the Stay Modification Notice, the Stay/Injunction shall be deemed modified with respect to the applicable Initial Designated Claim solely to permit the liquidation of the claim in a non-bankruptcy forum...

ADR Procedures, Section I.B, p. 4.

29662033.2\022765-00213

5. On November 21, 2013, this Court issued its Order, Pursuant to Sections 105, 501, and 503 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 2002 and 3003(c), Establishing Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claim and Approving Form and Manner of Notice Thereof [Doc. No. 1782] ("Bar Date Order"), establishing deadlines to file certain proofs of claim in this case. The Bar Date Order set the deadline to file proofs of claim as February 21, 2014 at 4:00 p.m., Eastern Time.

6. On July 9, 2014, this Court entered its *Order Pursuant to 11 U.S.C.* § *105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007 Approving Claim Objection Procedures* [Doc. No. 5872] ("<u>Claims Procedures Order</u>"), allowing the City to file omnibus objections with respect to claims that do not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City. Claim Procedures Order at 2.

7. On October 22, 2014, the City filed the *Eighth Amended Plan of the Adjustment of Debts of the City of Detroit (October 22, 2014)* [Doc. No. 8045] ("<u>Plan</u>").

8. On November 12, 2014, this Court entered an Order confirming the Plan [Doc. No. 8272] ("<u>Confirmation Order</u>").

9. The Plan became effective on December 10, 2014 ("<u>Effective Date</u>").

10. Each of the claims listed on Exhibit 2 should be disallowed and expunged because it does not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City as

the underlying litigation pertaining to such claim has been resolved in favor of the City.

RELIEF REQUESTED

11. The City files this Objection pursuant to the Bar Date Order, Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code,¹ Rule 3007(c) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedures ("<u>Bankruptcy Rules</u>"), and the Claims Procedures Order, seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2 because each claim does not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City as the underlying litigation pertaining to such claim has been resolved in favor of the City.

12. To the extent the Court does not expunge one or more of the claims identified on Exhibit 2 on that basis, the City reserves all of its rights to object, on the merits and on any other basis, to any of the claims identified on Exhibit 2.

BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

13. The City has reviewed the claims identified on Exhibit 2 and submits that in each case the claim does not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City because the underlying litigation pertaining to such claim has been resolved in

29662033.2\022765-00213

¹ Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code applies to Chapter 9 proceedings pursuant to Section 901(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

favor of the City. In each instance, the applicable court has entered an order resolving such litigation in favor of the City.

14. The Declaration of Charles Raimi, Deputy Corporation Counsel, ("<u>Declaration</u>") explains the process undertaken by the City and confirms that the claims identified on Exhibit 2 do not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City. *See* Exhibit 3, *Declaration*.

15. The Claims Procedures Order and Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c) allow the City to file this Objection as an omnibus objection. Specifically, Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c) authorizes the Court to allow for omnibus objections beyond those circumstances itemized in Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d), and the Claims Procedures Order expressly permits the City to file omnibus objections with respect to claims that do not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City. Claims Procedures Order at 2.

16. This Court has the authority to enter an order approving this Objection. Moreover, the streamlined process afforded by an omnibus objection (in lieu of individual objections to each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2) will result in material costs savings that will inure to the benefit of the City. Accordingly, the City believes that the relief sought by this Objection is in the best interests of the City and its creditors.

29662033.2\022765-00213

17. Based upon the foregoing, the City seeks entry of an order, substantially in the form annexed as Exhibit 1, expunging and disallowing each of the claims identified on Exhibit 2. Accordingly, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3007(c), the Court should grant the relief requested.

SEPARATE CONTESTED MATTERS

18. To the extent that a response is filed regarding any claim listed in this Objection and the City is unable to resolve the response, each one of those claims, and the objection by the City to each one of those claims asserted, should constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. Any order entered by the Court regarding an objection asserted in this Objection should be deemed a separate order with respect to each such claim and, to the extent necessary under Bankruptcy Rules 7054 and 9014, should constitute a final judgment with respect to such claim, and the Court should expressly determine that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of the final judgment with respect to such claim.

19. The City files this Objection without prejudice to or waiver of its rights pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, and nothing herein is intended to, shall constitute or shall be deemed to constitute the City's consent, pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, to this Court's interference with

- 6 -

(a) any of the political or governmental powers of the City, (b) any of the property or revenues of the City or (c) the City's use or enjoyment of any income-producing property.

NOTICE

20. The City has provided notice of this Objection to each of the claimants identified on Exhibit 2 at the address set forth by each of the claimants on its respective proof of claim, and all other parties who have requested notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. Given the nature of the relief requested, the City respectfully submits that no other or further notice of this Objection need be given.

WHEREFORE, the City respectfully requests that this Court enter an order, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit 1, granting the relief requested herein and granting the City such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

By: <u>/s/ Marc N. Swanson</u> Jonathan S. Green (P33140) Marc N. Swanson (P71149) MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C. 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, Michigan 48226 Telephone: (313) 963-6420 Facsimile: (313) 496-8451 green@millercanfield.com swansonm@millercanfield.com

and

Charles N. Raimi (P29746) Deputy Corporation Counsel City of Detroit Law Department 2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500 Coleman A. Young Municipal Center Detroit, Michigan 48226 Telephone: (313)-237-0470 Facsimile: (313) 224-5505 raimic@detroitmi.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF DETROIT

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Judge Thomas J. Tucker

Chapter 9

NOTICE OF DEBTOR'S FIFTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

(No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City)

PLEASE CAREFULLY REVIEW THIS OBJECTION AND THE ATTACHMENTS HERETO TO DETERMINE WHETHER THIS OBJECTION AFFECTS YOUR CLAIMS(S).

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT the City of Detroit ("<u>City</u>") has filed an objection to your claim because it does not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City ("<u>Fifty-Fifth Omnibus Objection</u>") and, therefore, your claim should be disallowed and expunged.

YOUR CLAIM MAY BE REDUCED, MODIFIED OR ELIMINATED PURSUANT TO FED. R. BANKR. P. 3007(e)(1) AND PRIOR ORDERS OF THIS COURT. YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY REVIEW EXHIBIT 2 OF THE FIFTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO FIND YOUR NAME AND CLAIM. YOU SHOULD READ THESE PAPERS CAREFULLY AND DISCUSS THEM WITH YOUR ATTORNEY, IF YOU HAVE ONE.

If you do not want the Court to eliminate or change your claim, or grant the relief requested in the Fifty-Fifth Omnibus Objection, then on or before <u>May 16</u>, <u>2018</u>, you or your lawyer must:

1. File with the Court, at the address below, a written response to the objection. Unless a written response is filed and served by the date stated above, the Court may decide that you do not oppose the objection to your claim.

Clerk of the Court United States Bankruptcy Court 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2100 Detroit, MI 48226

If you mail your response to the Court for filing, you must mail it early enough so that the Court will <u>receive</u> it on or before the date stated above. All attorneys are required to file pleadings electronically.

2. A copy of your response must also be mailed to counsel for the City:

Marc N. Swanson Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, PLC 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, MI 48226

3. You must also attend the hearing on the objection scheduled to be held on May 23, 2018, at 1:30 p.m. in Courtroom 1925, 211 W. Fort Street, Detroit, MI 48226 unless your attendance is excused by mutual agreement between yourself and the objector's attorney.

If you or your attorney does not take these steps, the Court may decide that you do not oppose the objection to your claim, in which event the hearing will be cancelled and the objection sustained.

MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND STONE, P.L.C.

By: <u>/s/ Marc N. Swanson</u>

Marc N. Swanson (P71149) 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, Michigan 48226 Telephone: (313) 963-6420 Facsimile: (313) 496-8451 swansonm@millercanfield.com

Dated: March 28, 2018

EXHIBIT 1: PROPOSED ORDER

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846 Judge Thomas J. Tucker Chapter 9

ORDER SUSTAINING DEBTOR'S FIFTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

(No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City)

Upon review of the Fifty-Fifth objection to claims (the "<u>Objection</u>"),¹ of the Debtor, City of Detroit, Michigan (the "<u>City</u>"), seeking entry of an order disallowing and expunging each of the claims listed on <u>Exhibit 2</u> to the Objection; and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction over the Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and Article VII of the Plan; and the Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and the Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Objection in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and it appearing that the relief requested in the Objection is in the best interests of the City, and its creditors; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been given as provided in the Objection; and it appearing that no other or further notice of the Objection need be

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Objection.

given; and a hearing on the Objection having been held before the Court; and any objections or other responses to the Objection having been overruled or withdrawn; and the Court finding that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection and at the hearing establish just cause for the relief granted; and after due deliberation and good and sufficient cause appearing therefore;

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The Objection is sustained.

2. Each of the proofs of claim listed on <u>Exhibit 2</u> annexed to the Objection is hereby disallowed and expunged in its entirety, pursuant to Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

3. The City's claims agent is authorized to update the claims register to reflect the relief granted in this Order.

4. The City is authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Objection.

5. Each claim and the objections by the City to each claim as addressed in the Objection and set forth on <u>Exhibit 2</u> constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. This Order shall be deemed and constitute a separate order with respect to each such claim and, to the extent necessary under Bankruptcy Rules 7054 and 9014, constitutes a final judgment with respect to such claim, and the Court expressly determines that there is no just reason for delay of the entry of the final judgment with respect to such claim. Any stay of this Order shall apply only to the contested matter that involves such creditor and for which such stay has been granted or may be in effect, and shall not act to stay the applicability or finality of this Order with respect to the other contested matters covered hereby, and further provided that the City shall have the right, but not the need, to submit a separate order with respect to contested matters or claims.

6. The City retains all of its rights to object, on the merits or any other basis, to any of the Claims identified on <u>Exhibit 2</u>.

7. Notice of the Objection as provided therein is good and sufficient notice of such objection, and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 3007(a) and the local rules of the Court are satisfied by such notice.

8. Nothing in this Order is intended to, shall constitute or shall be deemed to constitute the City's consent, pursuant to section 904 of the Bankruptcy Code, to this Court's interference with (a) any of the political or governmental powers of the City, (b) any of the property or revenues of the City or (c) the City's use or enjoyment of any income-producing property.

EXHIBIT 2: CLAIMS

The grounds for objection to each claim listed below is that it contains no valid basis for any liability of the City as the underlying litigation has been resolved in favor of the City. Each of the pages in the omnibus objection is pertinent to the stated grounds for objection.

Claim No.	Name	Claim Amount	Nature	Stay Modification Notice Doc. No.	Resolution
1259	Bobbie Garrett	\$250,000.00	General Unsecured	9252	Order for Dismissal Without Prejudice (April 27, 2015, Wayne County Circuit Court, Case No. 15- 001056)
1280	Bobbie Garrett	\$25,000.00	General Unsecured	9252	Order for Dismissal Without Prejudice (April 27, 2015, Wayne County Circuit Court, Case No. 15- 001056)
1934	Charisse Ellis	\$250,000.00	Unsecured/Priority	7447	Order Granting Defendant City of Detroit's Motion for Partial Summary Disposition (May 22, 2015, Wayne County Circuit Court, Case No. 12- 012959)
3289	Cheryl Minniefield	\$2,000,000.00	General Unsecured	9184	Order on Status Report (September 26, 2017, U.S. District Court, Case No. 12- 13479)

3294	Cheryl Minniefield	\$500,000.00	General Unsecured	6192	Order on Status Report (September 26, 2017, U.S. District Court, Case No. 12- 13479)
950	Dantzel Watkins	Unliquidated	General Unsecured	7532	Order Granting Defendant city of Detroit's Motion for Dismissal Under MCR 2.313 and MCR 2.504 (November 17, 2015, Wayne County Circuit Court, Case No. 13- 005229)
2868	Dauwan Smith (a/k/a Dauwann Smith/DuaWann Smith)	\$250,000.00	General Unsecured	8722	Order Granting Defendant's Motion for Summary Disposition (January 8, 2016, Wayne County Circuit Court, Case No. 15-007237)
1300	Deborah Traitt (a/k/a Deborah Traitt)	\$7,770.13	General Unsecured	7541	Order Dismissing Case (September 17, 2014, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, Case No. 13- 2617)
1356	Deborah Ryan	Unliquidated	General Unsecured	12119	<i>Opinion</i> (June 30, 2017, U.S. Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit, Case No. 16-1557)
3509	Edward George	\$50,000,000.00	General Unsecured	9454	Opinion (January 30, 2017,

	Carter				United State Court of
					Appeals for the Sixth
					Circuit, Case No. 16-1219)
3828	Good Samaritan	\$4,500.00	General Unsecured	12286	Opinion (January 19, 2017,
	Comfort				State of Michigan Court of
	Transport				Appeals, Case No. 315754)
3829	Good Samaritan	\$4,500.00	General Unsecured	12288	Opinion (January 19, 2017,
	Comfort				State of Michigan Court of
	Transport				Appeals, Case No. 315754)
2265	Heidi Peterson	\$205,043.77	General Unsecured	7185	Order Granting Defendant
					City of Detroit's Motion for
					Summary Disposition
					(March 16, 2015, Wayne
					County Circuit Court, Case
					No. 13-001093)
530	Ira Lee Todd, Jr.	\$3,297,471.00	General Unsecured	11998	Order of Dismissal
					(September 20, 2017,
					Wayne County Circuit
					Court, Case No. 08-
					119322)
161	Jeffrey Sanders	\$7,960,000.00	Secured	8285	Order Affirming U.S.
					District Court's order of
					dismissal (November 22,
					2017, U.S. Court of
					Appeals for the Sixth
					Circuit, Case Nos. 17-1116
					and 17-1202)
694	Jonathan	\$500,000.000	General Unsecured	8557	Stipulated Order to

29662033.2\022765-00213

13-53846-tjt Doc 12760 Filed 03/28/18 Entered 03/28/18 09:46:44 Page 18 of 23

	Thomas- Gregory Rose				Reinstate for the Limited Purpose of Setting Aside Binding Arbitration and Entry of Order of Dismissal With Prejudice (September 18, 2015, Wayne County Circuit Court, Case No. 11- 000827)
2870	Patricia Germany (a/k/a Paricia K. Germany)	\$250,000.00	General Unsecured	8824	Order Granting Defendant's Motion for Summary Disposition (January 8, 2016, Wayne County Circuit Court, Case No. 15-007237)
3468	Roseman, Mark and Luzater	Unliquidated	General Unsecured	6856	Opinion and Order Granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Disposition and Denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Relief from Judgment (April 3, 2017, Wayne County Circuit Court, Case No. 11- 011214)

EXHIBIT 3: DECLARATION OF CHARLES RAIMI

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846 Judge Thomas J. Tucker Chapter 9

DECLARATION OF CHARLES RAIMI IN SUPPORT OF DEBTOR'S FIFTY-FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

(No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City)

I, Charles Raimi, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief:

I am Deputy Corporation Counsel for the City of Detroit ("<u>City</u>").
Unless otherwise stated in this Declaration, I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein.

2. The City's ongoing claims reconciliation process involves the collective effort of a team of employees assembled from personnel specifically familiar with the operations and liabilities of the City. This team works together and in conjunction with City's counsel, the City's financial advisor, and the City's claims agent, to review proofs of claim filed against the City.

3. In connection with the preparation of the Fifty-Fifth Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (No Valid Basis for any Liability of the City) (the "<u>Fifty-Fifth Omnibus Objection</u>"), the City reviewed the claims at issue, as described on <u>Exhibit 2</u> of the Fifty-Fifth Omnibus Objection.

4. The City believes that each claim should be disallowed and expunged because each such claim does not identify a valid basis for any liability of the City as the underlying litigation has been resolved in favor of the City.

5. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated: <u>March</u> 27, 2018

By: /s/ CNR

Charles Raimi

In re:

City of Detroit, Michigan,

Debtor.

Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846 Judge Thomas J. Tucker Chapter 9

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on March 28, 2018 he electronically filed the foregoing Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims (the "<u>Omnibus</u> <u>Objection</u>") with the Clerk of the Court which sends notice by operation of the Court's electronic filing service to all ECF participants registered to receive notice in this case. The City has engaged a Noticing Agent, which will serve the Omnibus Objection on the Claimants listed therein at the address set forth by each of the claimants on its respective proof of claim and on all parties requesting notices listed on the Master Service List, and file a subsequent Proof of Service after it has performed the service.

DATED: March 28, 2018

By: <u>/s/ Marc N. Swanson</u> Marc N. Swanson 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, Michigan 48226 Telephone: (313) 496-7591 Facsimile: (313) 496-8451 swansonm@millercanfield.com