Docket #12985 Date Filed: 01/15/2019

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN FILED '19 JAN 15 PM2:15 IS BANKRUPTCY MIE-DET SOUTHERN DIVISION

	400 May 100 May 100	
In re:	}	Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846
City of Detroit, Michigan,	}	Judge Thomas J. Tucker
Debtor.	}	Chapter 9

CLAIMANT'S NATHANIEL BRENT'S RESPONSE AND OPPOSITION TO: DEBTOR'S SIXTY-THIRD OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

Debtor's objection to Nathaniel Brent's claim (claim number 3774) is premature as there is still pending litigation in which the Debtor is a party. Although Debtor's objection was filed after the Sixth Circuit entered an order denying Mr. Brent's motion for rehearing (Exh 1), Debtor filed its objection before the time to petition the U.S. Supreme Court had expired. Mr. Brent has petitioned the Supreme Court and the petition and filing fee were mailed to the U.S. Supreme Court on January 7, 2019 (Exh 2). Thus, pursuant to Supreme Court Rule 29(2) and 13(1) the petition is timely. Therefore currently there still remains pending litigation between the parties that affects the claim that has not been resolved in favor of the city.

Specifically relevant to Mr. Brent's claims against Debtor the Petition asks the Court to determine if the "warrant" executed by the Detroit Police Department was facial deficient were it specifically stated it was issued upon "reasonable grounds" instead of the "probable cause" standard required in the text of the Fourth Amendment. If the Court answers this question in the affirmative, then not only will Mr. Brent's Fourth Amendment claims against the individual

13-53846-tjt Doc 12985 Filed 01/15/19 Entered 01/15/1

1353846190115000000000000

officers be reinstated, so too will his Monell claims against the Debtor for their failure to train

and supervise their officers, and allowing the practice of executing these facially unconstitutional

warrants.

Since the Sixth Circuit opinion (Brent v. Wayne County Dept. Of Human Services 901 F.

3d 656 (2018) is a published binding precedent there exists a greater than normal likelihood the

Supreme Court will grant the petition to correct the defect that is contradictory to the plain and

simple text of the Fourth Amendment.

Wherefore and for the above stated reasons Nathaniel Brent requests this Court to deny

the relief Debtor seeks in regards to claim number 3774 without prejudice until such time as all

litigation regarding this claim is final.

Respectfully submitted

Dated: January 15, 2019

Nathaniel H. Brent in - pro per 6110 Carleton Rockwood Rd

South Rockwood, MI 48179

(734)236-4527

EXHIBIT

13-53846-tjt Doc 12985 Filed 01/15/19 Entered 01/15/19 15:08:25 Page 3 of 8

Nos. 17-1428/1811

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

17-1428

NATHANIEL BRENT; ROBERT BRENT,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

٧.

WAYNE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES ET AL.,

Defendants,

MIA WENK; SHEVONNE TRICE; HEATHER DECORMIER-MCFARLAND; MONICIA SAMPSON; CHARLOTTE MCGEHEE; JOYCE LAMAR

Defendants-Appellants,

17-1811

NATHANIEL BRENT; ROBERT BRENT,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

WAYNE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES; MIA WENK; SHEVONNE TRICE; HEATHER DECORMIER-MCFARLAND; MONICIA SAMPSON; CHARLOTTE MCGEHEE; JOYCE LAMAR; EMINA BIOGRADLIJA; MICHAEL BRIDSON; DETROIT POLICE DEPARTMENT; TWO UNKNOWN DETROIT POLICE OFFICERS; METHODIST CHILDREN'S HOME SOCIETY; THE CHILDREN'S CENTER; LESLIE SMITH,

Defendants-Appellees.

BEFORE:

MOORE, THAPAR, and NALBANDIAN, Circuit Judges.

The court received a petition for rehearing en banc. The original panel has reviewed the petition for rehearing and concluthat the issues raised in the petition were fully considered upon the original submission and decision of the cases. The petition was circulated to the full court. No judge has requested a vote on the suggestion for rehearing en banc.

Therefore, the petition is denied.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

Deborah S. Hunt, Clerk

Oct 11, 20 DEBORAH S. HU

ORDER

EXHIBIT

2

USPS Tracking[®]

FAQs > (https://www.usps.com/faqs/uspstracking-faqs.htm)

Track Another Package +

Tracking Number: 9505510846509007357670

Remove X

Your item was delivered at 10:41 am on January 11, 2019 in WASHINGTON, DC 20543.



January 11, 2019 at 10:41 am Delivered WASHINGTON, DC 20543

Get Updates ✓

Text & Email Updates

V

Tracking History

1/15/2019, 8:51 AM

January 11, 2019, 10:41 am
Delivered
WASHINGTON, DC 20543
Your item was delivered at 10:41 am on January 11, 2019 in
WASHINGTON, DC 20543.

January 11, 2019, 10:28 am Available for Pickup WASHINGTON, DC 20543

January 11, 2019, 9:43 am Arrived at Post Office WASHINGTON, DC 20018

January 11, 2019, 5:34 am
Arrived at USPS Regional Destination Facility
WASHINGTON DC DISTRIBUTION CENTER

January 10, 2019 In Transit to Next Facility

January 9, 2019, 7:23 pm
Arrived at USPS Regional Origin Facility
NASHUA NH DISTRIBUTION CENTER

January 7, 2019, 6:17 pm USPS in possession of item BROOKLINE, MA 02446

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

FILED '19 JAN 15 PM2:15 US BANKRUPTCY MIE-DET

In re:	}	Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846		
City of Detroit, Michigan,	}	Judge Thomas J. Tucker		
Debtor.	}	Chapter 9		

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I Sherrie Brent certify that I served: CLAIMANT'S NATHANIEL BRENT'S RESPONSE AND OPPOSITION TO: DEBTOR'S SIXTY-THIRD OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS by mailing via U.S. Mail on January 15, 2019 upon the following:

Marc N. Swanson Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, PLC 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, MI 48226

Sherrie Brent

Dated January 15, 2019