IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re

Chapter 11

WASHINGTON MUTUAL, INC., et al.,

Debtors.

Case No. 08-12229 (MFW)

(Jointly Administered)

Hearing Date: July 27, 2009

x Objection Deadline: July16, 2009

RESPONSE TO DEBTORS' SIXTH OMNIBUS (SUBSTANTIVE) OBJECTION TO CLAIMS

To: Clerk of the Court for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware

824 North Market Street, 3rd Floor

Wilmington, DE 19801

Cc: Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

Attn: Marcia L. Goldstein Attn: Brian S. Rosen 767 Fifth Avenue

New York, NY 10153

Richards, Layton, & Finger, PA

Attn: Mark D Collins Attn: Chun I Jang 920 North King Street Wilmington, DE 19801

To whom it may concern: I hereby oppose the disallowance and expungement of my claim, with the details listed below:

Court:

United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware

Debtors:

Washington Mutual, Inc. et al.

Case No.:

08-12229 (MFW)

Objection Title:

Debtors' Sixth Omnibus (Substantive) Objection to Claims

Claimant:

Stephen E. Whittaker

Claim No.:

3458

Basis for the Claim:

Special Bonus Opportunity/Retention Bonus Agreement("Agreement")

0812229090716000000000006

Factual Basis and Legal Argument: The Debtors' objection to this claim relies on their one-sentence assertion that the Agreement was between the Claimant and Washington Mutual Bank. However, nowhere within the Agreement is there even any mention of "Washington Mutual Bank." For the reasons discussed below, Debtors clearly have not met any evidentiary burden of proof that this Agreement was solely entered into by Washington Mutual Bank.

In contrast to the Debtors' naked assertion, the Agreement only refers to "Washington Mutual," which it defines as the "Company" or "WaMu". Washington Mutual, Inc. is a "company." Washington Mutual Bank, on the other hand, is a "bank" and was commonly referred to and defined as the "Bank" in company documents and agreements.

Further supporting the argument of Claimant, the Agreement was signed by Anthony Vuoto, who was an Officer (Executive Vice President) of Washington Mutual, Inc. and member of the Executive Committee of Washington Mutual, Inc. Upon receiving this Agreement, Claimant was aware of this fact and relied on it in determining that Mr. Vuoto had the authority to bind Washington Mutual, Inc.

Further, this Agreement supersedes a previous agreement between the Claimant and Providian Financial Corporation (the "Providian Change in Control") whose successor to the obligations under the Providian Change of Control is Washington Mutual, Inc., as expressly evidenced on the attached amendment to the Providian Change of Control (see Exhibit A).

Finally, the Claimant was an in-house counsel for Washington Mutual, Inc. – the holding company. Washington Mutual, Inc. had a strong motive and interest in retaining Claimant to continue to represent the holding company (as well as its subsidiaries). It was Claimant's understanding and belief that Washington Mutual, Inc. was the entity that offered him this retention agreement. Claimant accepted this offer with the same understanding.

In conclusion, the "Washington Mutual" referenced in the Agreement refers to Washington Mutual, Inc. – not Washington Mutual Bank. At the least, Debtors have not met any burden they have to prove otherwise.

Supporting Documentation: Previously supplied Proof of Claim and Attachments and Exhibit A

Contact:

Stephen E. Whittaker

115 Crane Ter.
Orinda, CA 94563
Home: (925) 254-5511
Fax: (650) 554-3975
Email: swhittak@visa.com

Dated: July 15, 2009

Stephen E. Whittaker

Exhibit A

AMENDMENT TO CHANGE OF CONTROL EMPLOYMENT AGREEMENT

The Change of Control Employment Agreement by and between Stephen Whittaker and Providian Financial Corporation ("Providian"), dated January 27, 2004 is hereby amended by adding the following new sections 9(g) and 12(i):

- 9(g) Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 9 to the contrary, any Gross-Up Payment shall be paid by the Corporation at the time specified in this Section 9, and all events no later than the end of the calendar year next following the calendar year in which the related taxes are remitted to the applicable taxing authority.
- Notwithstanding any provision of this Agreement to the contrary, if, at the time of Executive's termination of employment with Washington Mutual, Inc. or any of its affiliates or subsidiaries, as successors to Providian ("Washington Mutual") he or she is a "specified employee" as defined in Section 409A of the Code, and one or more of the payments or benefits received or to be received by Executive pursuant to this Agreement would constitute deferred compensation subject to Section 409A, no such payment or benefit will be provided under this Agreement until the earlier of (a) the date that is six (6) months following Executive's termination of employment with Washington Mutual, or (b) the Executive's death. The provisions of this Section 12(i) shall only apply to the extent required to avoid Executive's incurrence of any penalty tax or interest under Section 409A of the Code or any regulations or Treasury guidance promulgated thereunder. In addition, if any provision of this Agreement would cause Executive to incur any penalty tax thereunder, Washington Mutual may reform such provision to maintain to the maximum extent practicable the original intent of the applicable provision without violating the provisions of Section 409A of the Code.

Washington Mutual, Inc., Successor to Providian

By:

Daryl D. David

Executive Vice President

Chief Human Resources Officer

September 12, 2007

Date

Executive:

Stephen Whittaker

Date