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1 The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases and the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification numbers are: (i) Washington Mutual, Inc. (3725) and (ii) WMI Investment Corp. (5395). The Debtors continue to share the principal offices with the employees of JPMorgan Chase located at 1301 Second Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

I, UWE GOEKER, hereby declare under penalty of perjury as follows:

1. I joined Washington Mutual Bank, Henderson Nevada ("WMB"), in June 2004, as a Program Coordinator. I was promoted to my current position of Program Manager/Manger of Business Strategy Solutions and Vice President-Legal in June 2008 and became employed by JPMorgan Chase Bank, NA ("JPMC"), after JPMC acquired certain assets of WMB in September 2008. I submit this declaration in support of the JPMC's Supplemental Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment based upon my personal knowledge of the matters described below.
2. Since joining JPMC, I have been responsible for, among other things, the oversight and management of all WMB-related litigation e-discovery projects at JPMC.
3. Employees of WMB and JPMC created and create many different types of electronic documents, including, but not limited to e-mail communications, in the ordinary course of business and of performing their job functions. To the best of my knowledge, these documents are prepared by employees with knowledge of the matters in those documents, at or near the time of the dates that are shown on the documents. In the course of the regularly conducted business activity, and as a regular practice, this electronic data was and is automatically captured by one of several technology platforms used by WMB and JPMC. WMB's existing electronic data was preserved and transferred to JPMC following JPMC's purchase of certain WMB assets on September 25, 2008.
4. Consistent with my responsibilities at JPMC, I have overseen the collection of various electronic documents that were captured and preserved by the various technology platforms used by WMB and JPMC. At my direction these documents were collected by members of the Technology and Messaging Departments and transferred to a centralized enterprise data management system. Attached hereto are true and correct copies of documents maintained by JPMC on this centralized system in the ordinary course of business.

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 10th day of September 2009 at Seattle, Washington.


| From: | Logan, Doreen |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, April 17, 2006 2:25:21 PM |
| To: | Phillips, Laura |
| CC: | Falls, Keith; Smallow, Timothy B |
| Subject: | RE: IC Master Note |

Collateral is all mortgages, not mortgage securities. You can send the examiner to Keith Falls in Treasury. He pledges loans from Co 2 to Co 40 weekly.

Doreen Logan, CPA
-----Original Message-----
From: Phillips, Laura
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2006 11:24 AM
To: Logan, Doreen
Subject: RE: IC Master Note
I haven't heard back from the Examiner. She isn't here today. What about on Co. 2's side? What is collateralizing the balance? Is it mostly mortgage securities? If she wanted to see the detail, where would she be able to get it?

Thanks!

## Laura L. Pfillips

Regulatory Reporting, Controller's Group
206-377-4833
email: Laura.L.Phillips@wamu.net

From: Logan, Doreen
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2006 11:15 AM
To: Phillips, Laura
Subject: RE: IC Master Note
Did you need more info on this or is it all okay?
Doreen Logan. CPA
-----Original Message-----
From: Phillips, Laura
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2006 1:51 PM
To: Logan, Doreen
Subject: RE: IC Master Note

Doreen -
Thank you! Do we know the specifics of what made up the balance at $12 / 31 / 2005$ ?
Laura
Laura L. Phillips
Regulatory Reporting, Controller's Group 206-377-4833
email: Laura.L.Phillips@wamu.net

From: Logan, Doreen
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2006 1:48 PM
To: Phillips, Laura
Cc: Smallow, Timothy B; Sherrington, Gregg R.; Berger, Monica J.; Schulte, Patricia
Subject: RE: IC Master Note

Laura:
Thank you for your question on the Master Note between Co 2 and Co 40 .
The Master Note was set up in September 2004 (and updated in September 2005) to create an earning asset for Co 40. Before the creation of the master note, excess cash was on deposit at Co 2 in a demand deposit account. The size of that deposit account rose to almost $\$ 14$ billion. it is unreasonable to assume that any business would leave $\$ 14$ billion in a demand deposit account, uninvested. Therefore, we created the master note between Co 2 and Co 40 to create an earning asset for Co 40.

Excess funds at Co 40 arise when there are asset sales or P\&I collections on MBS, etc. Co 40 does not have substantial cash needs. Before the master hote, when the incoming cash exceeded the need, Co 40 was left with only two options; leave it on deposit at the Federal Reserve Bank for no benefit or leave it on deposit with Co 2. Both of those options did provide an income stream to Co 40. Co 40 could have opened an MMDA account at Co 2, but there would be more than 6 debits a month so the account would no longer qualify for MMDA status.

The Master Note is basically a commercial loan from Co 40 to Co 2 . Co 2 pays Co 40 interest on this note at the per annum rate of one-month LIBOR. Interest is calculated and posted monthly. The Master Note was executed by Robert Williams, under the authority in the intercompany Transaction Standard of the ALMLP, Transaction Type 3.

The note is secured by a weekly pledge of loans from Co 2 to Co 40. Keith Falls is in charge of the weekly loan pledge.

There is no ALLL required for this master note because it is a loan from a sub to its parent, it is secured by real estate and it is eliminated in consolidation.

Let me know if you have any questions. I'll send a copy of the Master Note to your attention. (It says "VOID VOID VOID" all over the note because it is on safety paper; the original does not say "VOID VOID VOID".)

I've copied a few other folks that needed to know the information, too, as well as the potential recipients of other OTS questions about the Master Note.

Doreen Logan, CPA
------Original Message-----
From: Phillips, Laura
Sent: Wednesday, April 12, 2006 9:46 AM
To: Logan, Doreen
Subject: IC Master Note

## Hi Doreen -

An OTS Examiner, Denise Mueller, has been tasked with finding out what the detail of the $\$ 9$ billion balance of the IC Master Note on fsb's books consists of. Do you have anything in writing that describes it?

Thanks!

## Laura

Laura L. Phillips
Regulatory Reporting, Controller's Group 206-377-4833
email: Laura.L.Phillips@wamu.net

| From: | Bjorklund, Bob < bob.bjorklund @wamu.net> |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, November 30, 2007 3:03 PM |
| To: | Logan, Doreen < doreen.logan@wamu.net>; Freilinger, Peter [peter.freilinger@wamu.net](mailto:peter.freilinger@wamu.net); Falls, |
|  | Keith [keith.falls@wamu.net](mailto:keith.falls@wamu.net) |
| Cc: | Sherrington, Gregg R. < gregg.sherrington@wamu.net>; Smallow, Timothy B. <br>  <br> [timothy.smallow@wamu.net](mailto:timothy.smallow@wamu.net) |
| Subject: | RE: Transfer from Master Note to DDA |

Jamn good thing we figured out a way to get this done with out purchasing securities. $\$ 3$ billion would have been a BIG hole to fill. Thank you again Doreen and Keith!

Bob

From: Falls, Keith
Sent: Friday, November 30, 2007 11:52 AM
To: Koh, Rolando V.; Sherrington, Gregg R.; Noblezada, Yolanda B.; Winder, Brandon J.
Cc: Smallow, Timothy B.; Bjorklund, Bob; Logan, Doreen
Subject: Transfer from Master Note to DDA
Hello,
Please have company 2 repay $\$ 3.2$ billion on its master note with company 40 ; then have company 40 put those funds on deposit with company 2 in its DDA account.

Rolando \& Gregg:
On MTS, please send $\$ 3.2$ billion from GL account 45798-9909 on company 2 to DDA account 095-014777-0.

## Yolanda:

On the GL, please post a $\$ 3.2$ billion debit to the cash account 40-10450-9909 and a $\$ 3.2$ billion credit to the $40-$ 20601-9909.

Please let me know if you have any questions. Thank you! :-)
-Keith

Keith Falls
Washington Mutual
Treasury Department
phone 206.554.8626 fax 206.554.7207
This communication may contain confidential and/or privileged information of Washington Mutual, Inc. and/or its subsidiaries. If you have received this zommunication in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete this message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Ryason, Tawnya [tawnya.ryason@wamu.net](mailto:tawnya.ryason@wamu.net)
Sent: Friday, December 14, 2007 1:37 PM
To: Falls, Keith < keith.falls@wamu.net>
Cc: Smallow, Timothy B. [timothy.smallow@wamu.net](mailto:timothy.smallow@wamu.net); Logan, Doreen [doreen.logan@wamu.net](mailto:doreen.logan@wamu.net)
Subject: RE: Master note between companies 2 and 40

Keith,
Entity Accounting (or Intercompany Accounting) would not take care of the negative balance, that would be the account owner's responsibility (Lulu St. John) to monitor their balance and adjust the negative balance appropriately.
Intercompany Accounting monitors all $I / C$ balances prior to quarter end (and year end) to ensure that any negative balances are appropriately settled or reclassed. If this balance is still negative as we near the end of the month, our process is to contact Lulu to either transfer cash to clear the negative balance or reclass it to a note where Co 40 is the borrower.

If you know that the balances will still be negative later in the month, the best course of action would be to reclass balance now instead of waiting until year end.

If you have any questions, please let me know.
Thanks!
Tawnya

From: Falls, Keith
Sent: Friday, December 14, 2007 10:01 AM
To: Ryason, Tawnya
Cc: Smallow, Timothy B.; Logan, Doreen
Subject: Master note between companies 2 and 40
Hi Tawnya,
We were working to post collateral for the master note where company 2 borrows from company 40, but noticed that the receivable balance at company 40 had gone negative. Do we need to worry about that at all, or does entity accounting take care of it during the month-end close process? I know a new master note was recently opened that allows company 40 to borrow from company 2 .

Thanks!
-Keith

Smmaton Mutual<br>01 Serond Avenue, WMC1409<br>dthe wishington 88101<br>b. 354.8626 direct, 206.302 .4489 fax<br>uth fallsowamu net

7 m Gommmmoation may contam privileged or other confidential intormation. If you have recelved it in error 3se advse the somter by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachmonts anthout ying of disclosmg the contents. Thank you

| From: | Hunt, James G. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, February 13, 2008 4:31:50 PM |
| To: | Logan, Doreen |
| CC: | Norman, Michael W. |
| Subject: | RE: Deposit of IRS Refund |

## Doreen:

Ask away... We have requested a wire transfer. I have a call into the IRS regarding when the transfer will take place. Any other details you want to know? I can ask the Service!!!!

Jim

| From: | Logan, Doreen |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, February 13, 2008 1:20 PM |
| To: | Hunt, James G. |
| Cc: | Norman, Michael W. |
| Subject: | RE: Deposit of IRS Refund |

I know I'm asking a bunch of detailed questions... but now we wonder how we'll be receiving the refund? Check? Wire? ACH? Whatever method, our crack Cash Mgmt team can track it... as long as they know it's coming.

Since WMI is the payer for the consolidated group, we assume that WMI will receive the refund and then WMB, as the largest sub of WMI, will receive most of the refund. We'll wait for Ace Award Winner Mike Norman to let us know if l've got that right.

Thanks Tax Gents!

Doreen Logan
Treasury - Structured Finance
Washington Mutual
206-302-4168

From: Hunt, James G.
Sent: Wednesday, February 13, 2008 1:14 PM
To: Logan, Doreen
Cc: Norman, Michael W.
Subject: RE: Deposit of IRS Refund
Doreen:
Typically the refund is not broken down by legal entity because the tax return is filed on a consolidated basis. I think that this can be processed like any other tax refund we receive in the normal course of filing. Mike- am I correct about this?

| From: | Logan, Doreen |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, February 13, 2008 1:10 PM |
| To: | Hunt, James G. |
| Subject: | RE: Deposit of IRS Refund |

We'll need to know breakdown by legal entity when received. I don't think the refund stays at WMI; most likely passes down to WMB etc. The Cash Mgmt guys will ask so l'm heading them off at the pass.

Thanks Jim. Good news is nice to get.
Doreen Logan
Treasury - Structured Finance
Washington Mutual
206-302-4168

From: Hunt, James G.
Sent: Wednesday, February 13, 2008 1:07 PM
To: Logan, Doreen; Hunt, James W.
Cc: Norman, Michael W.; Brouwer, Curt
Subject: Deposit of IRS Refund

## Doreen/Jim:

I am working with the IRS to process the deposit of a federal tax refund for the 2001-2003 tax years. The net refund (tax only) is in the amount of $\$ 1,642,334,120$. I am trying to get a precise deposit date (or series of dates) as well as, the amount of interest to be deposited. I will keep you posted. Please cascade as appropriate.

Jim

James G. Hunt
Washington Mutual
First Vice President
Director of Tax Controversy
1301 2nd Avenue, 22nd Floor MS: WMC 2202
Seattle, WA 98101
206-500-5761 Direct/ 206-377-3295 Fax
E-mail: james.g.hunt@wamu.net

This e-mail, including any attachment(s), contains information that may be privileged and confidential. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete the e-mail and notify us

| From: | Logan, Doreen |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, February 22, 2008 1:27:10 PM |
| To: | Winder, Brandon J.; Suzuki, Dennis M.; Koh, Rolando V. |
| CC: | Sherrington, Gregg R. |
| Subject: | RE: Tax Refund |

Oh yeah... for WMI cash planning, it keeps none of the refund
Doreen Logan
Treasury - Structured Finance
Washington Mutual
206-302-4168

From: Winder, Brandon J.
Sent: Friday, February 22, 2008 10:25 AM
To: Suzuki, Dennis M.; Koh, Rolando V.
Cc: Sherrington, Gregg R.; Logan, Doreen
Subject: Tax Refund

Ro and Dennis,
As you know, on Tuesday $2 / 26$ we will be receiving a large tax refund. When we receive the wire can you let me know? I need to move the money down to company 2 that day.

## Brandon Winder

Treasury Cash Management
206-302-4135

The information in this email is confidential and may be legally privileged. It is intended solely for the addressee. Access to this email by anyone else is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, you may not, directly or indirectly, use, disclose, copy, print or distribute any part of this message.

From: Logan, Doreen [doreen.logan@wamu.net](mailto:doreen.logan@wamu.net)
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2008 6:36 PM
To:
Subject: FW: Call at 2.00 p.m. Seattle time?

Rob explains below why failing the QTL is somewhat of a nuclear event for WaMu.

Doreen Logan
Treasury - Structured Finance
Washington Mutual
206-302-4168

From: Monheit, Robert S.
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2008 3:26 PM
To: Smith, Chad
Cc: Loqan, Doreen

## MATERIAL <br> REDACTED

## MATERIAL REDACTED

```
Robert S. Monheit
First VP & Assistant GC
Washington Mutual Bank
5 8 9 \text { Fifth Avenue, 4th Floor}
New York, NY }1001
Phone: (212) 326-6104
Fax: (212) 326-6171
Seattle: (206) 500-4359
The contents of this e-mail are confidential and are intended to be protected by the attorney-client
privilege or other privileges. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying,
distribution or use of the information in this e-mail is prohibited. If you have received this e-mail in
error, please contact me at robert.monheit@wamu.net or by telephone at (212) 326-6104.
```

From: Smith, Chad
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2008 12:24 PM
To: Monheit, Robert S.
Cc: Logan, Doreen
Subject: Call at 2.00 p.m. Seattle time?
Can you do a call at 2.00 p.m. Seattle time?
Kindest regards,
Chad Smith, First Vice President
Assistant General Counsel
Team Lead--Capital Markets \& Structured Finance
Legal
Washington Mutual
Legal Department
1301 Second Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle, Washington 98101
Telephone: 206.500.4347
Facsimile: 206.377 .2236
Email: charles.e.smith@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, I inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

# From: Bjorklund, Bob [bob.bjorklund@wamu.net](mailto:bob.bjorklund@wamu.net) <br> Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2008 8:50 PM <br> To: Logan, Doreen [doreen.logan@wamu.net](mailto:doreen.logan@wamu.net); Falls, Keith [keith.falls@wamu.net](mailto:keith.falls@wamu.net); Stearns, Steve [steve.stearns@wamu.net](mailto:steve.stearns@wamu.net) <br> Cc: DL Treasury Collateral [DLTreasuryCollateral@wamu.net](mailto:DLTreasuryCollateral@wamu.net) <br> Subject: Re: QTL Update 

Sounds good. I know there are several moving parts to the QTL going on right now, but for planning purposes I don't think we'll be making any additional DN purchases until late August at the earliest.

- Bob
(sent from my BlackBerry)
----- Original Message -----
From: Logan, Doreen
To: Falls, Keith; Bjorklund, Bob; Stearns, Steve
Cc: DL Treasury Collateral
Sent: Tue Jul 29 15:47:45 2008
Subject: RE: QTL Update
We'll move the cash into the dda on the 31st. The one day of a high balance won't sway the FDIC premium too much. We'll just make sure Scott Maw has to pay for it and not Treasury.

Thanks.

Doreen Logan
Treasury - Structured Finance
Washington Mutual
206-302-4168
------Original Message-----
From: Falls, Keith
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2008 3:42 PM
To: Bjorklund, Bob; Stearns, Steve; Logan, Doreen
Cc: DL Treasury Collateral
Subject: RE: QTL Update
Placing cash in the DDA account will probably make more sense (no negative carry). We'll just take those discount noes going away into account for our projection.
-Keith

Keith Falls

Treasury Analyst
Cash Management
Treasury
Washington Mutual
1301 Second Avenue, WMC1409
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.554.8626 direct, 206.302.4489 fax
keith.falls@wamu.net
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.
------Original Message-----
From: Bjorklund, Bob
Sent: Tuesday, July 29, 2008 3:40 PM
To: Falls, Keith; Stearns, Steve; Logan, Doreen
Cc: DL Treasury Collateral
Subject: Re: QTL Update
I was not planning on it. But could do if needed.
How comfortable are we with a $1.2 \%$ cushion?

- Bob
(sent from my BlackBerry)
----- Original Message -----
From: Falls, Keith
To: Bjorklund, Bob; Stearns, Steve; Logan, Doreen
Cc: DL Treasury Collateral
Sent: Tue Jul 29 15:34:43 2008
Subject: QTL Update
Hello,
I took a look at the QTL again for today. The estimate is down to $67.0 \%$ because $\$ 400 \mathrm{~mm}$ of discount notes matured yesterday. There are $\$ 479 \mathrm{~mm}$ scheduled to mature tomorrow. If those go unreplaced, we'll be at $66.2 \%$. I didn't see any other large changes come through.

Are we planning to replace the maturing discount notes?
Thanks!
-Keith

Keith Falls<br>Treasury Analyst<br>Cash Management<br>Treasury

Washington Mutual

1301 Second Avenue, WMC1409
Seattle, Washington 98101
206.554.8626 direct, 206.302.4489 fax
keith.falls@wamu.net
This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

| From: | Falls, Keith <keith.falls@ wamu.net> |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, August 4, 2008 2:34 PM |
| To: | Im, Noreen <noreen.im@ wamu.net> |
| Cc: | St John, Lourdes A. [lourdes.st.john@wamu.net](mailto:lourdes.st.john@wamu.net) |
| Subject: | RE: Transfer from Master Note to DDA |

Hi Noreen,
On Friday the cash that was put into the DDA account was put back on the master note. We had to hold the $\$ 2$ billion as cash over month-end to ensure we passed the QTL test.

The QTL (Qualified Thrift Lender) test is a regulatory test that's performed each month-end. To maintain our OTS bank charter, we're required to hold at least $65 \%$ of our "portfolio assets" in "qualified thrift investments". For the test, "portfolio assets" means total assets, minus up to $20 \%$ of total assets that are cash and unencumbered securities. "Qualified thrift investments" are mortgage loans, MBS securities and certain other assets. If it doesn't look like we're going to pass the test, we must increase qualified thrift investments, reduce total assets, or increase cash and unencumbered securities (up to the $20 \%$ limit).

Co 40's master note receivable with WMB is not a qualified asset for the test. Because it had grown so large, we estimated that we may come within 2 percentage points of not passing the test for Co. 40. Because not passing the test could have severe consequences, we had WMB repay $\$ 2$ billion on the master note and WMBfsb put that cash into its DDA account. This helps because it increases cash and unencumbered securities, which reduces the denominator on the test. The test is based on month-end, so we just needed to hold things that way over month-end. We put the cash back into the master note on Friday to minimize FDIC assessments on the large DDA account balance.

Let me know if you have any questions. I know there's several projects in the works to fix the situation at co. 40 so we wont be so close on the test each month.
-Keith

[^1]Washington Mutuai
1301 Secont Averne, wmo1009
Semte, Washmgton 38101
206.554. 4626 dect, 206.302. 1489 tax kenth fallsumarmu.net


This communication may contam privileged or other confidential information. If you have recetved it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copynig or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: St John, Lourdes A.
Sent: Monday, August 04, 2008 11:08 AM
To: Falls, Keith
Cc: Im, Noreen
Subject: FW: Transfer from Master Note to DDA
Hi Keith,
Can you please respond to Noreen. Can you also give us a quick lesson on QTL.
Thanks,
Lulu

## From: Im, Noreen

Sent: Monday, August 04, 2008 10:57 AM
To: St John, Lourdes A.
Subject: FW: Transfer from Master Note to DDA
Hi Lulu-

Can you tell me if the $\$ 2 B$ that was moved into Co 40's cash account from the master note will be reversed today? According to Keith Falls, this was supposed to be a temporary transfer to "help the QTL".

Also, can you explain what the QTL is?
Thank you so much-

Noreen Im
Entity Accounting-Corporate Controllers Group ph (206) $5003016 \mathrm{fx}(206) 3772077$
Mailstop: WMC2401

From: Vasallo, Elenita
Sent: Friday, August 01, 2008 11:46 AM
To: Im, Noreen
Cc: Ryason, Tawnya
Subject: FW: Transfer from Master Note to DDA

```
From: St John, Lourdes A.
Sent: Friday, August 01, 2008 11:43 AM
To: Vasallo, Elenita
Subject: FW: Transfer from Master Note to DDA
```

Here you go. Let me know if you have further question on the money movement. Thanks Ms. Ellen.

From: Noblezada, Yolanda B.
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 1:29 PM
To: Jaeger, Jessica; St John, Lourdes A.
Subject: FW: Transfer from Master Note to DDA
FYI

From: Falls, Keith
Sent: Thursday, July 31, 2008 10:51 AM
To: DL Treasury Cash Management; Noblezada, Yolanda B.; Winder, Brandon J.
Cc: DL Treasury Collateral; Logan, Doreen; Bjorklund, Bob; Stearns, Steve
Subject: Transfer from Master Note to DDA
Hello,
To help with the QTL, we need to move cash from the master note to WMBfsb's DDA account today, and then put it back tomorrow.

On MTS (Rolando/Dennis/Gregg), please send $\$ 2$ billion from GL account 457989909 on company 2 to DDA account 095-014777-0.

On the GL (Yolanda/Brandon), please post a $\$ 2$ billion debit to the cash account 40-10450-9909 and a $\$ 2$ billion credit to 40-20601-9909.

Please let me know if you have any questions. We'll do the opposite thing tomorrow. Thank you!
-Keith

Keth Falis
Treasury Analyst
Cash Management
Trensury

Whshington Mutual
1301 serond Avenue, WMCl409
Sedtle, Washmgton 98601
205.554 .3626 drect, 206.302 .4439 fax
keth.fallsôwamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copping or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

| From: | Akre, Steven |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, August 05, 2008 12:38:28 PM |
| To: | Logan, Doreen |
| Subject: | RE: FDIC Assessments - capital infusion |

Doreen,
I realize we're rehashing what we talked about, but I just didn't fully understand the issues enough to be able to explain it to someone else. (repitition helps!)

The non-interest checking acct comment was just relaying information from the DDA spreadsheet I got from I/C Acctg, however and I thought it was interesting that we weren't putting that level cash to work, but your response clears that up.

Regarding our discussion last week, I really didn't fully grasp the concept of providing WMB funding (liquidity), this is because I didn't really understand the legal entity pieces, what difference does it make if the cash is sitting at WMI, or WMB? Its still liquidity, at least that was my thinking, especially because were paying minimal dividends/taxes.

If you go back to the Jan 08 WMI cash forecast, the avg balance is $-\$ 2.58$, including paying $\sim \$ 800 \mathrm{M}$ in dividends, and $\$ 2.3 \mathrm{~B}$ in debt service. Now, WMI"s cash requirements have dropped (lower dividends and taxes) and were keeping more than dble the avg cash balance? Is this increase just for liquidity perceptions to pacify the rating agency's? I agree that its cheap funding, I just don't see that they need that much.
if WMB needed cash, would WMI "loan" them the cash, how does that work?
Whats driving this is that the FDIC fee forecast didn't include this level of I/C deposits, so while I deliver the bad news that we're short $\$ 3-\$ 4 \mathrm{M}$ in FDIC fees in the 08 forecast, I need to be able to explain what it is, and why its higher.

Thank you for your patience and helping walk me thru this.

## Steve

| From: | Logan, Doreen |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, August 05, 2008 9:00 AM |
| To: | Akre, Steven |
| Subject: | RE: FDIC Assessments - capital infusion |

As part of the planning for WMB fsb we'll likely shift the purchase of discount notes to WMI from WMB fsb. WMI recently contributed $\$ 2$ billion additional capital to WMB so the amount on deposit at WMB has decreased by that amount down to $\$ 3.5$ billion. Why do the funds being in a non-interest deposit account cause concern? Why would WMB pay interest to WMI when it would be eliminated in consolidation anyway? And since WMI owns WMB as its major investment it would be counter-intuitive to pay interest that would affect its own profitability.

When you say "what's driving this?" - WMI has always had their funds on deposit at WMB. It's "free funding" (except for the FDIC premium) to the bank. Rating Agencies gain comfort that the capital infusion from TPG to WMI actually provides funding to the bank. Don't forget that if WMI had to raise funds in the market, with our credit rating, we'd be paying LIBOR +1200 bps to 1400 bps so paying 10 bps to the FDIC does not get our shorts in a bunch. It's cheap! It's practically free!

Yes, there are ways to maximize the benefit by deploying the cash but it affects the liquidity of the

bank. Any funds on deposit at the bank that are taken off deposit at the bank (i.e. decreasing deposits) requires raising funds someplace else.

Tell me 1) why you're asking the same question we talked about last week 2) what is driving the concern and 3) what else I can help you understand.

This is nothing different than we talked about last week. Clear up my confusion? Thanks.
Doreen Logan
Treasury - Structured Finance
Washington Mutual
206-302-4168

From: Akre, Steven
Sent: Tuesday, August 05, 2008 8:38 AM
To: Logan, Doreen
Subject: FDIC Assessements - capital infusion
Doreen,
The attached file shows $\$ 5.98$ of remaining cash proceeds from the capital infusion in a noninterest checking acct, in Co 70 GL 35025, can you provide guidance on what we're planning to do with this cash? You mentioned that we can't move it into a short-term investment due to liquidity requirements. Help me understand whats driving this?

Steve
<< File: Copy of Q2 DDA Avg Bal.xls >>

From: Freilinger, Peter [peter.freilinger@wamu.net](mailto:peter.freilinger@wamu.net)<br>Sent: $\quad$ Tuesday, August 19, 2008 8:02 PM<br>To: Wu, Vicky [vicky.wu@wamu.net](mailto:vicky.wu@wamu.net)<br>Subject: Re: State Tax Settlement

The deal is, what was reflected as an intercompany payable is now paid, and reported as cash. It increases cash on hand at WMI and could, if we want, be used to inject capital to WMB. You're viewing it correctly: right now there's no impact, we'd have to decide to downstream it, and then it would only be a WMB, not WMI, effect.
----- Original Message -----
From: Wu, Vicky
To: Freilinger, Peter
Sent: Tue Aug 19 16:54:59 2008
Subject: FW: State Tax Settlement
Hey something's wrong here. It should not impact WMB or WMI capital. It's only intercompany transaction not refund by the state. Our previous characterization of this transaction's favorable impact on capital was incorrect. Pls advise on communication.

## ------Original Message-----

From: Brouwer, Curt
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 4:46 PM
To: Casey, Tom; Freilinger, Peter; Williams, Robert J.
Cc: Wu, Vicky
Subject: RE: State Tax Settlement
Tom, pursuant to our discussion, we have already made the payment of $\$ 600 \mathrm{MM}$ to WMI. We will finalize final amount in early September and make a subsequent payment at that time. As we discussed, it could be around $\$ 800 \mathrm{MM}$ in total.
-----Original Message-----
From: Casey, Tom
Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 4:44 PM
To: Freilinger, Peter; Williams, Robert J.
Cc: Wu, Vicky; Brouwer, Curt
Subject: Re: State Tax Settlement
This is approx 600 M to 800 M . My recommendation would be payment to WMI then downstream to WMB.
I'm open to any other thoughts.
Thanks
TC
----- Original Message -----
From: Freilinger, Peter
To: Casey, Tom; Williams, Robert J.

Cc: Wu, Vicky; Brouwer, Curt
Sent: Tue Aug 19 16:18:56 2008
Subject: RE: State Tax Settlement
A bit of good news

Tom / Robert, we've had various discussions about whether or not we should downstream this capital to WMB. If we do intend to downstream all of it, I'd recommend doing so sooner rather than later, as we pay deposit insurance premiums on the intercompany deposit WMI has with WMB. I think the general opinion right now, though, is that we should keep it until further notice as additional liquidity at WMI.

Your call, but it's nice to have confirmation that the settlement has come through.
--P.

## From: Brouwer, Curt

Sent: Tuesday, August 19, 2008 4:16 PM
To: Freilinger, Peter
Subject: State Tax Settlement

Today, we had WMB pay WMI $\$ 600 \mathrm{MM}$ in partial settlement of state taxes due under the intercompany tax sharing agreement. I don't have the account numbers handy but will provide tomorrow morning if needed or desired.

Let me know if you need further information.

## Curt Brouwer

Washington Mutual
Senior Vice President, Corporate Tax
1301 Second Ave., WMC3201
Seattle, WA 98101
206.500.4155 direct / 206.377.3018 fax

E-mail: curt.brouwer@wamu.net

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To Ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message (including any attachments) is confidential and may contain sensitive information. Do not disseminate this information to parties who do not have the authorization to view this material. If you are not the intended recipient of this information or an employee or agent responsible for delivering this message to the intended recipient(s), please do not read, disseminate, distribute or copy this information. If you have received this message in error, please contact the sender immediately. Washington Mutual reserves the right to monitor all e-mail. Electronic mail sent through the Internet is not secure.

Monday, August 25, 2008
10:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. (PST)

## Liquidity Management Working Group Committee

WMC 14 Greenlake Conference room
(877) 501-5642 pass code 7983910
http://wm03.wamu.net/sites/239138648/liquidityrisk/default.aspx

## Atrendees

## Voting Members

Bob Bjorklund
Peter Freilinger
Monica Berger
Jim Callahan
Glen Simecek
Other Attendees
Thomas Roe, secretary Brandon Winder
Gregg Sherrington Colin Trovato
Norman McIntyre
Rolando Koh

Timothy Smallow
Doreen Logan
Steve Stearns

David Gilhooley
Joe Schenone

Aoenda

|  | Topic | Presenter | Sponsor | Start Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preliminary Business: |  |  |  |  |
| A1. | None | Bjorklund | Bjorklund | 10:00 |
| Decision Items: |  |  |  |  |
| B1. | Meeting Minutes - July 28, 2008 | Bjorklund | Bjorklund |  |
| Discussion Items: |  |  |  |  |
| C1. | Update on Capital Activity | Stearns | Stearns |  |
| C2. | Update on Funding Activity | Bjorklund | Bjorklund |  |
| C3. | Operational Liquidity Review | Bjorklund | Bjorklund |  |
| C4. | Strategic Liquidity Review | Stearns | Stearns |  |
| C5. | Collateral Review | Bjorklund | Bjorklund |  |
| C6. |  |  |  |  |
| Other Business: |  |  |  |  |
| D1. | Review BOD remediation plan | Stearns | Stearns |  |
| D2. | Discuss review of existing ALM excess liquidity limits | Stearns/Bjorklund | Stearns |  |

Monday, August 25, 2008
10:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. (PST)

## Liquidity Management Working Group Committee

Upcoming Meetings

| Date | Time | Location |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $8 / 25 / 2008$ | $10: 00$ a.m. PST | WMC 14 Greenlake |
| $9 / 22 / 2008$ | $10: 00$ a.m. PST | WMC 14 Greenlake |
| $10 / 27 / 2008$ | $10: 00$ a.m. PST | WMC 14 Greenlake |
| $11 / 24 / 2008$ | $10: 00$ a.m. PST | WMC 14 Greenlake |
| $12 / 22 / 2008$ | $10: 00$ a.m. PST | WMC 14 Greenlake |

Monday, August 25, 2008
10:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. (PST)

## Liquidity Management Working Group Committee

A meeting of the Liquidity Management Working Group ("LMWG") of Washington Mutual, Inc. ("WMI" or the "Company"), Washington Mutual Bank ("WMB") and Washington Mutual Bank fsb ("WMBfsb") was held on Monday, August 25, 2008 in the Greenlake conference room of WMC 14.


# Liquidity Management Working Group Committee 

| Topic | Presenter | Sponsor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Follow Up Items: None |  | Assigned: $N / A$ |

1. 
2. 

## Topic

## C2. Update on Funding Activity

| Presenter | Sponsor |
| :--- | :--- |
| Bjorklund | Bjorklund |

Mr. Bjorklund informed the Committee that the main source of funding for the bank continues to be FHLB advances, retail brokered CDs and repos.

Retail brokered CDs have not experienced a meaningful drop in volumes since the tightening of credit/liquidity began in 2007, even after the IndyMac bank failure. It continues to generate approximately $\$ 500$ million per week, (subject to variables such as market competition and customer preference, and offering window). The next couple weeks are expected to be lighter in volume given the Labor Day holiday season.

Mr. Stearns noted that the Retail Bank is launching a 5\% CD special in an attempt to roll the $\$ 3$ billion in CDs maturing this week. The goal of this special is to mitigate maturities and not necessarily acquire additional growth. In early September there will be another CD special where the emphasis will be on balance acquisition. Overall for the year the Retail Bank is looking to be flat in CDs and grow in money market balances.

Ms. Logan gave an update on the Winslow 2 project. Winslow 2 is a transaction to move $\$ 7$ billion in UPB of SRF loans from FHLB SF to FHLB Seattle. Benefits include better advance rates at FHLB Seattle and relief on the WMBfsb master note collateral requirements. Ms. Logan expects the collateral to be online at FHLB Seattle by Tuesday, August $26^{\text {th }}$.
Follow Up Items: None
Assigned: N/A
Due: $N / A$
1.
2.

|  | Topic | Presenter | Sponsor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C3. | Operational Liquidity Review | Bjorklund | Bjorklund |

Mr. Bjorklund informed the Committee that the bank continues to exceed 1-day and 7-day minimum excess liquidity requirements for operational liquidity.

WaMu's CDS was 1307.9 at the close of Friday, July $22^{\text {nd }}$. It remains at an elevated level amid the market's negative outlook on WaMu credit. Mr. Simecek noted that NCC is another bank with CDS quoted at these high levels despite being A-rated since they are viewed as not having a good business model.

Mr. Bjorklund reviewed the WMI Cash Forecast. The WMI ending cash and net short-term position exceed minimum requirements for 2009. Ms. Logan noted that in August 2008 the $\$ 500$ million in capital contributed from WMI to WMB is offset by $\$ 600$ million moved from WMB to WMI for taxes payable.

Monday, August 25, 2008
10:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. (PST)

## Liquidity Management Working Group Committee

Topic
Presenter
Sponsor
Mr. Bjorklund noted that the Fed has requested WaMu not participate in any 84-day TAF. The bank currently has $\$ 10$ billion in capacity pledged to the DW, and could bid up to $\$ 2$ billion in 28 -day TAF and still keep $\$ 8$ billion in excess capacity available for operational risk.
Follow Up Items: None
Assigned: N/A Due: N/A
1.
2.

## Topic

## C4. Strategic Liquidity Review

| Presenter | Sponsor |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stearns | Stearns |

Mr. Stearns reported to the Committee that the liquidity breach remediation plan was presented to a subsection of the Board last Tuesday and shared with the OTS and FDIC. The liquidity benefits from implementing the remediation plan are now included in the strategic liquidity model. The full remediation plan covers a 90-day time horizon and once fully implemented will cure the bank's breach in the stress case for 3 and 6 month excess liquidity limits.

The remediation plan identifies the following sources as boosting stress case liquidity $\$ 16.5$ billion over the next 60 to 90 days:

1. $\$ 5$ billion from retail deposit growth
2. $\$ 4$ billion from non-FHLB loan securitization
3. $\$ 2$ billion from repo and swap haircut marks
4. $\$ 1.8$ billion in SFR run-off
5. $\$ 1.7$ billion in subprime run-off
6. \$1billion in card securitizations (retained)
7. $\$ 1$ billion FHLB Seattle pledge (Project Filmore)

The decision to sell corporate bonds has since been put on hold and subject to additional debate.

Mr. Stearns noted that excess liquidity was originally estimated to be $\$ 31.3$ billion for the 3 month stress case after incorporating remediation plan benefits. Excess liquidity is currently estimated to be $\$ 25$ billion given a $\$ 5$ billion reduction to FHLB capacity due to changes in FHLB pricing in August and an issue with negative taxes payable not being reclassified as deferred tax assets for July (a non quarter-end month).

Mr. Bjorklund commented that by the end of the quarter the FHLB is expected to reduce our borrowing capacity by another $1-3 \%$ either through pricing, additional haircuts or both. WaMu and the FHLBs continue to have an active dialog around these issues.
Follow Up Items: None
Assigned: N/A
Due: $N / A$
1.
2.

## Liquidity Management Working Group Committee

## Topic Presenter Sponsor <br> C5. Collateral Review <br> Bjorklund Bjorklund

Mr. Bjorklund reported to the Committee that there are not expected to be any significant changes to the amount or location of loan collateral as of the end of August. Winslow 2 will shift $\$ 7$ billion in loans from FHLB SF to FHLB Seattle in August.

Follow Up Items: None
Assigned: $N / A \quad$ Due: $N / A$
1.
2.

## Other Business

|  | Topic | Presenter | Sponsor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D1. | Review BOD remediation plan | Stearns | Stearns |

Please reference C4 (Strategic Liquidity Review) for Mr. Stearns comments on the BOD remediation plan.

Follow Up Items: None
Assigned: Due:
1.
2.

|  | Topic | Presenter | Sponsor |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| D2. | Discuss review of existing ALM excess | Stearns/ | Stearns |
|  | liquidity limits | Bjorklund |  |

Mr. Bjorklund shared with the group that Mr. Stearns and he will do a comprehensive review of the ALM excess liquidity limits by the end of $3^{\text {rd }}$ quarter. Due to changing market conditions, changes in the balance sheet, and the impact of liquidity events experienced to date, the current ALM limits may need to be set higher or lower. The current requirements are for $\$ 30$ billion in excess liquidity for the 3,6 and 12 month time periods in the base case and $\$ 25$ billion and $\$ 20$ billion in excess liquidity for the 3 month and $6 \& 12$ month time periods, respectively, for the stress case.

Mr. Callahan noted that repurchases due to loans sold to Fannie and Freddie Mae may be a potential liquidity issue. Repurchases in total are currently running at $\$ 100$ to 200 million per a month and by some estimates could increase to four times current levels.
Follow Up Items: None
Assigned: Due:
1.
2.

## Adourument

Meeting was adjourned the meeting at 11:00 a.m.

Monday, August 25, 2008
10:00 a.m. to 11:00 a.m. (PST)

## Liquidity Management Working Group Committee

Upcoming Meetings
Date

| $8 / 25 / 2008$ | Time | Location |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $9 / 22 / 2008$ | $10: 00$ a.m. PST | WMC 14 Greenlake |
| $10 / 27 / 2008$ | $10: 00$ a.m. PST | a.m. PST |
| $11 / 24 / 2008$ | $10: 00$ a.m. PST | WMC 14 Greenlake |
| $12 / 22 / 2008$ | $10: 00$ a.m. PST | WMC 14 Greenlake |

Friday May 30, 2008

## Liquidity Management Working Group Committee

From: Williams, Robert J. <robert.j.williams @ wamu.net><br>Sent: $\quad$ Friday, September 12, 2008 6:49 PM<br>To:<br>Freilinger, Peter [peter.freilinger@wamu.net](mailto:peter.freilinger@wamu.net); Wu, Vicky<br>[vicky.wu@wamu.net](mailto:vicky.wu@wamu.net)<br>Subject: $\quad$ Re: Debt for Equity

We have to have OTS and chain of command approval. Not a hugh problem, just alignment and timing.

Good idea, very supportive.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
----- Original Message -----
From: Freilinger, Peter
To: Wu, Vicky; Williams, Robert J.
Sent: Fri Sep 12 13:52:01 2008
Subject: Re: Debt for Equity

I'd be for it - but we do need OTS approval. Generating 1.50 of TCE for ever 1.00 spent, though - not including the liquidity benefit forward - is compelling.

Joe's working on the form for bank debt, have him do the same for no more than $\$ 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ of hold coi sub and have Tax prepare a note describing the state tax settlement process to accompany it. Let's run it up the flagpole...
----- Original Message -----
From: Wu, Vicky
To: Williams, Robert J.; Freilinger, Peter
Sent: Fri Sep 12 13:43:53 2008
Subject: RE: Debt for Equity

WMI subdebt traded at $30 \%$ today. Any chance we can simply repurchase moderate amount $(\$ 200 \mathrm{~mm})$ without replacing with common? WMI received $\$ 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ tax settlement from WMB, downstreamed $\$ 500 \mathrm{~mm}$. If we can get tax accelerate another $\$ 200 \mathrm{~mm}$ settlement as they suggested they can do. We can use $\$ 300 \mathrm{~mm}$ extra liquidity at WMI on repurchase.
------Original Message-----
From: Williams, Robert J.
Sent: Friday, September 12, 2008 11:29 AM
To: Casey, Tom; Freilinger, Peter; Wu, Vicky
Subject: Re: Debt for Equity

Will do and team pulling info/ analysis on debt buy. Will need OTS approval but can move on that also.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
----- Original Message -----
From: Casey, Tom

To: Freilinger, Peter; Wu, Vicky
Cc: Williams, Robert J.
Sent: Fri Sep 12 09:57:25 2008
Subject: Debt for Equity

I spoke to Lee and Michael and they believe we can move forward with the debt for equity transaction we discusses. Lets refresh our analysis and start getting necessary analytics in place for a final approval. Peter, I know you are out so I have already spoken to Vicky.

Thanks
TC

Tom Casey
EVP/Chief Financial Officer

WaMu
1301 Second Avenue/WMC 3301/Seattle,WA 98101
206.500.4202 (phone) 206.377.3020 (fax)
tom.casey@wamu.net

Confidential Notice: This communication may contain confidential and/or privileged information of Washington Mutual, Inc. and/or its subsidiaries. If you have received this communication in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete this message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Rotella, Steve
Sent: $\quad$ Friday, September 19, 2008 11:55:00 AM
To: Casey, Tom; clillis@lonetreecapital.com; dbonderman@tpg.com; Fishman, Alan; James (Jim) Stever (jamesstever@aol.com); larry.kellner@coair.com; momcquade@aol.com; mkmurphy1937@comcast.net; osmith30@comcast.net; pmatthews@haydencap.com; rtmontoya@aol.com; Rotella, Steve; steve_chazen@oxy.com; steve@avantwireless.com; tleppert@tleppert.com; wreed@simpson.com; Williams, Robert J.

## Subject:

 FW: Daily Deposit Update: as of Thursday, September 18thAttachments: RBFS Deposits Daily 091808 vf.ppt
Our biggest day of withdrawals to date at $\$ 2.8 \mathrm{~B}$. Yesterday was a very tough day in the stores for our folks, but thanks to lots of operating preparations, cash was handled and lines and traffic were managed well. Deposit outflows were, once again, centered in small business and large balance accounts. The news cycle overnight Wednesday and through the first half of Thursday drove concern through our customer base. That began to turn later in the day and accelerated as the Treasury plan began to unfold and the short selling rules were announced.

Very early reports today from the east coast indicate yesterday was the peak day. Traffic is back to normal levels and attitudes are up a tad with the markets, our stock price and more positive news. Not to be accused of being positive - - it is early in the day.

Focus today is the same in the stores, but much more continuing work to settle down employees. That is our biggest opportunity today. Yesterday we sent out three communications, two from me, one from Alan, I did an NPR radio spot and Ken Kido was on local TV. We are also going to alter comp plans for our branch sales staff, who will be punished in their ability to earn a decent amount of pay due to this spike and outflow of deposits. That will help.

Thanks, talk to you later.

| From: | Bakhshi, Nandita |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sent: | Friday, September 19, 2008 8:34 AM |
| To: | Baier, Frank; Baker, Todd; Casey, Tom; Fishman, Alan; Freilinger, Peter; Robinson, John; Rodriguez, Adrian; Rotella, Steve; Williams, Robert J. |
| Cc: | Bakhshi, Nandita; Bartels, Melba; Blunck, Richard; Brennan, Carey; Cavanaugh, Sandy; Doperalski, Cathy L.; Gilhooley, David; Goulart III, Tony; Hale, Brian; Hattemer, Francis S.; Kido, Ken; Maw, Scott; McFarland, Rick; Simecek, Glen D.; Smith, Chad; Solender, Michael S.; Stearns, Steve; Vella, Frank; Wisdorf, Doug |
| Subject: | Daily Deposit Update: as of Thursday, September 18th |

## Confidential. Please do not distribute.

Good Morning,
Consumer IBD \& small business core deposits declined $\$ 2.8 \mathrm{~B}$ on Thursday, September 18 th.

Checking outflows added to declines on Thursday causing RBFS (excl Misc) to decrease $\$ 2.9 \mathrm{~B}$ ending at \$129.4B

* Official Checks: Total volume for Thursday was $\$ 2.2 \mathrm{~B}$
* Domestic Wires: Thursday's domestic wire volume of $\$ 224 \mathrm{MM}$ which was 4 times benchmark levels
* ACH: outflows of \$390MM were back to benchmark levels
* 100K+ Balances: Thursday's volume was very high at \$1B

IBD balances continue to decline with the uncertainty in the market around WaMu

* MMS decreased \$1040MM on Thursday
* Store CD's went down \$319MM
* Online (Savings + CD) decreased \$142MM
* Small Business declined \$318MM

No significant competitive rate changes today
Thanks
Nandita

| From: | Rotella, Steve < steve.rotella@wamu.net> |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Saturday, September 20, 2008 6:20 PM |
| To: | Williams, Robert J. [robert.j.williams@wamu.net](mailto:robert.j.williams@wamu.net) |
| Subject: | Fw: Near term liquidity sources |

Uh, did we need to use the tip language with the ots.

Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld

## ------ Original Message -----

From: Freilinger, Peter
To: 'daryl.duchow@ots.treas.gov' [daryl.duchow@ots.treas.gov](mailto:daryl.duchow@ots.treas.gov); 'benjamin.franklin@ots.treas.gov'
[benjamin.franklin@ots.treas.gov](mailto:benjamin.franklin@ots.treas.gov); 'john.bisset@ots.treas.gov' [john.bisset@ots.treas.gov](mailto:john.bisset@ots.treas.gov);
'christopher.rook@ots.treas.gov' [christopher.rook@ots.treas.gov](mailto:christopher.rook@ots.treas.gov)

Cc: Williams, Robert J.; Robinson, John; Rotella, Steve; Bjorklund, Bob
Sent: Sat Sep 20 15:16:14 2008
Subject: Near term liquidity sources

We have $\$ 6.2 \mathrm{bln}$ in cash as of end of day Friday; $\$ 2.6 \mathrm{bln}$ in government money market funds, $\$ 1.7 \mathrm{bln}$ in fed funds sold, and the rest in agency discount notes. All of these are readily convertible to dollars.

We have approximately $\$ 4$ billion in FHLB Seattle capacity. We have had active discussions and believe all is readily available, with $\$ 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ per day being easy and $\$ 1 \mathrm{bln}$ per day with modest effort.

We have approximately $\$ 12 b \ln$ in FHLB San Francisco capacity, and we have lined up \$1bln for Monday start. Beyond that, we are concerned that FHLB SF may not extend further advances.

We have $\$ 9.2 \mathrm{bln}$ in Fed discount window access. I believe we will be able to roll ouit existing $\$ 2 \mathrm{bln}$ discounting on Monday for a week, but do not believe further window advances will be forthcoming until a deal is signed.

We have about $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{bln}$ in unemcumbered agency passthroughs. Last week that market remained effectively closed, but it could get better this week. I wouldn't necessarily count on it.

Our forecast for monday has us $\$ 1.4 \mathrm{bln}$ short, which we'd meet by the prearranged SF advance and another $\$ 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ from Seattle. The rest of the week should see similar reductions each day if we see Friday's activity repeated, and if Seattle advances $\$ 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ per day, we'd get to next weekend with $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{bln}$ in cash left. Initial indications are that weekend activity is lighter than Friday, which is great - in that case we'd get to Friday with more cash or without needing to necessarily hit the Seattle FHLB every day.

If outflows reduce, we'll be good through QE. If they don't or they return to last Weds/Thurs levels, we'd probably tip on Monday Sept 29.

Hope this is clear - let me know what else I can provide.
--Peter

From: Koh, Rolando V. [rolando.koh@wamu.net](mailto:rolando.koh@wamu.net)
Sent: $\quad$ Monday, September 22, 2008 10:40 AM
To: Bjorklund, Bob <bob.bjorklund@ wamu.net>
Jubject:
RE: Forecast

Yes, I confirm.
FYI, no wires are going out. The Fed stops all outgoing wires from going out once we get $\$ 1.5$ billion overdrawn. I'm $\$ 3.0$ billion in the hole right now. The Fed already took back their \$2.0bn Discount Window borrowing.

## Rolando V. Koh

Senior Treasury Analyst, Cash Manager
Treasury, Washington Mutual Bank
(206) 302-4266 office
(206) 302-4489 fax

CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This communication may contain confidential and/or privileged information of Washington Mutual,
Inc. and/or its subsidiaries. If you have received this communication in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete this message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

From: Bjorklund, Bob
Sent: Monday, September 22, 2008 6:07 AM
To: Koh, Rolando V.
Subject: Forecast
I.ooks like you loaded up an extra $\$ 3$ billion in official checks and another $\$ 2$ outgoing between ACH and wires on top of hat was in there from last week?
Can you confirm?
Thanks.

From: Bjorklund, Bob
Sent:
To: Tuesday, September 23, 2008 11:30 AM
john.bisset@ots.treas.gov; 'Christopher.Rook@ots.treas.gov'; sfunaro@fdic.gov; benjamin.franklin@OTS.treas.gov; Patrick.J.Loncar@sf.frb.org
Cc: $\quad$ Freilinger, Peter [peter.freilinger@wamu.net](mailto:peter.freilinger@wamu.net); Stearns, Steve
[steve.stearns@wamu.net](mailto:steve.stearns@wamu.net); Smallow, Timothy B. [timothy.smallow@wamu.net](mailto:timothy.smallow@wamu.net);
Schulte, Patricia [patricia.schulte@wamu.net](mailto:patricia.schulte@wamu.net)
Subject: Morning update

You have all seen the deposit numbers from yesterday, so will not address that.
This morning's forecasted short position is $\$ 4.1$ billion.
Funding activity this morning will be as follows:
$\$ 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ from each FHLB bank for a total of $\$ 1 \mathrm{BN}$
$\$ 2 \mathrm{BN}$ roll of the DW
\$1BN in sales of agency discount notes.

FHLB continues to see pressure to place paper due to continuing investor nervousness, and large supply of FHLB paper in the secondary market. Money markets are light but trading a little above the fed target, and expected to drop to zero later this afternoon as it has every day for the last week.
Due to the lower Official checks than forecast we expect to see a "pick up" of about $\$ 500 \mathrm{~mm}$ from this mornings forecast. There are still some holdups on the ACH ouflows and Tim and team are having discussions with the Fed to determine what the issues are.

Next update will be around 11am
Thanks.

| From: | Logan, Doreen [doreen.logan@wamu.net](mailto:doreen.logan@wamu.net) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, September 23, 2008 4:23 PM |
| To: | Smith, Chad [charles.e.smith@wamu.net](mailto:charles.e.smith@wamu.net); Smallow, Timothy B. |
|  | [timothy.smallow@wamu.net](mailto:timothy.smallow@wamu.net); Falls, Keith [keith.falls@wamu.net](mailto:keith.falls@wamu.net) |
| Subject: | FW: Plan to replace WMSM |

FYI... does this plan work? I think it does (well of course I would think it works).
Chad - if this works we'd like to pledge the consolidated FAOOSH group to WMB fsb to cover the MN and SLA.
Doreen Logan
Treasury - Structured Finance
Washington Mutual
206-302-4168

From: Logan, Doreen
Sent: Tuesday, September 23, 2008 1:20 PM
To: Stearns, Steve
Subject: Plan to replace WMSM
Steve:
Instead of having two pledges from WMB to WMB fsb to support the MN and the SLA - I suggest we combine the two into one pledge -both managed by Keith's team. Tung should give the info on securities lent to Keith's team to ensure the pledge covers both the MN and the SLA.

Falls has identified $\$ 32$ billion of SFR, MF and HELOC/HEL collateral for the MN. The MN capacity is only $\$ 20$ billion. If we use the excess above \$20B for the SLA there is only one pledge of assets and all the WMB companies are in play for anything needed.

To "top off" the pledge I suggest we have WMB pledge the FA Out-of-State Holdings (the consolidated group); the total assets there are just over $\$ 2$ billion.

That would be a pledge of $\$ 34$ billion... to cover not more than $\$ 28-\$ 30$ billion of potential...
How's that?
Doreen Logan
Treasury - Structured Finance
Washington Mutual
206-302-4168

[^2]JOURNAL ENTRY REQUEST FORM

$\underline{ }$
aquested by: pproved by: Posted by:


From: Logan, Doreen [doreen.logan@wamu.net](mailto:doreen.logan@wamu.net)
Sent: Wednesday, September 24, 2008 3:46 PM
To: Falls, Keith [keith.falls@wamu.net](mailto:keith.falls@wamu.net); Smallow, Timothy B. [timothy.smallow@wamu.net](mailto:timothy.smallow@wamu.net); Bjorklund, Bob <bob.bjorklund@ wamu.net>
Subject: $\quad$ FW: ACTION REQ: WMB pledge of assets to WMB fsb

FYI - WMB will cease pledging assets to WMB fsb to cover the MN and SLA until further notice. This is temporary per Chad.

Chad will engage Heller to make the changes to the agreements.

Doreen Logan
Treasury - Structured Finance
Washington Mutual
206-302-4168
------Original Message-----
From: Freilinger, Peter
Sent: Wednesday, September 24, 2008 12:44 PM
To: Smith, Chad; Logan, Doreen
Cc: Stearns, Steve
Subject: Re: ACTION REQ: WMB pledge of assets to WMB fsb

Approved.
----- Original Message -----
From: Smith, Chad
To: Logan, Doreen; Freilinger, Peter
Cc: Stearns, Steve
Sent: Wed Sep 24 12:25:10 2008
Subject: RE: ACTION REQ: WMB pledge of assets to WMB fsb
Note that this will be a temporary suspension of the requirement. I will advise Tax that this will take place. Thanks.

Kindest regards,

Chad Smith, First Vice President

Assistant General Counsel

Team Lead--Capital Markets \& Structured Finance

Legal

Washington Mutual

Legal Department

1301 Second Avenue, WMC 3501

Seattle, Washington 98101

Telephone: 206.500.4347

Facsimile: 206.377.2236

Email: charles.e.smith@wamu.net

This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, I inform you that any U.S. federal tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

From: Logan, Doreen
Sent: Wednesday, September 24, 2008 12:23 PM
To: Freilinger, Peter
Cc: Smith, Chad; Stearns, Steve
Subject: ACTION REQ: WMB pledge of assets to WMB fsb

Peter:

Please approve the modification of both the Securities Lending Agreement and the Master Note Pledging agreement to cease the pledge of assets from WMB to WMB fsb in support of these two arrangements.

The pledge of assets and/or the treatment of these arrangements at arms-length are not required from a regulatory perspective per Bill Lynch. The arms-length requirement is specific for affiliates - other banks in the group are carved out of the term 'affiliate' so 3rd party treatment is not required.

Upon your approval Chad will ask that Heller modify the agreements. Let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

Doreen Logan
Treasury - Structured Finance
Washington Mutual
206-302-4168

CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This communication may contain confidential and/or privileged information of Washington Mutual, Inc. and/or its subsidiaries. If you have received this communication in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete this message an any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

| From: | Noblezada, Yolanda B. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, September 24, 2008 2:15:12 PM |
| To: | Plummer, Nancy |
| Subject: | RE: DDA 441-0000006423-4 |

Not FULLY yet....still groping for GL postings.
Thank you so much for a speedy response to our needs.
Yolanda

From: Plummer, Nancy
Sent: Wednesday, September 24, 2008 11:13 AM
To: Noblezada, Yolanda B.
Subject: RE: DDA 441-0000006423-4
Congratulations! Glad you got it done!
Nancy D. Plummer
Technology Manager
Retail QA - Back Office
Retail Bank Technology
Washington Mutual
1111 3rd Avenue, EET1030
Seattle WA 98101
206.500.8142 direct, 206.490.8155 fax
nancy.plummer@wamu.net
Notice: This communication may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email, and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

| From: | Noblezada, Yolanda B. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Tuesday, September 23, 2008 3:22 PM |
| To: | Logan, Doreen |
| Cc: | Smallow, Timothy B.; Schulte, Patricia; Winder, Brandon J.; St John, Lourdes A.; Pummer, Nancy; |
|  | Roybal, Heidi H.; Ryason, Tawnya; Ghasemi, Kim A.; McBurney, Norren L.; Priest, Adonis K. |
| Subject: | DDA 441-0000006423-4 |

FINALLY after 3 grueling days, the new DDA on fsb is now LEGALLY on Co 40 - fsb, under our own cost center - 9911 .
All GL entries will be posted as soon as GL accounts are open on the GL posting system.
Thank you everyone who helped me set this up RIGHTLY.
Yolanda

Yolanda B. Noblezada
Treasury - Cash Management 1301 2nd Avenue, WMC 1409
Seattle, WA 98101

> p-206-302-4325
f- 206-554-7207
206-302-4489
yolanda.noblezada@wamu.net

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message, including all attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient (s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, you may NOT use, disclose, copy or disseminate this information. Please contact the sender by reply email immediately and destroy all copies of the original message including all attachments. Your cooperation is greatly appreciated.

| From: | Bjorklund, Bob <bob.bjorklund@ wamu.net> |
| :---: | :---: |
| Sent: | Wednesday, September 24, 2008 9:40 PM |
| To: | Bisset, John K [john.bisset@ots.treas.gov](mailto:john.bisset@ots.treas.gov); 'Christopher.Rook @ots.treas.gov'; Franklin, Benjamin D [benjamin.franklin@ots.treas.gov](mailto:benjamin.franklin@ots.treas.gov); Funaro, Stephen P. [SFunaro@FDIC.gov](mailto:SFunaro@FDIC.gov); Patrick.J.Loncar@sf.frb.org |
| Cc: | Freilinger, Peter <peter.freilinger@ wamu.net>; Stearns, Steve [steve.stearns@wamu.net](mailto:steve.stearns@wamu.net); Schulte, Patricia [patricia.schulte@wamu.net](mailto:patricia.schulte@wamu.net) |
| Subject: | Liquidity Sources and End of day update 9/24 |
| Attach: | WaMu_Liquidity_Sources.xls |

I am going to stop apologizing for getting this out late. Also because I have not attached the file in a few days I have attached that as well.

| Sources | Tuesday |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $9 / 23$ | $09 / 24$ |
|  |  |  |
| FHLB | $\$ 12.4$ | $\$ 9.2$ |
| DW | $\$ 7.7$ | $\$ 6.4$ |
| Repo | $\$ 0.4$ | $\$ 0.3$ |
| Ffds | $\$ 0.25$ | $\$ 0.25$ |
| Cash | $\$ 3.9$ | $\$ 4.4$ |
| Ffds | $\$ 0.65$ | $\$ 1.8$ |
| DNs $\$ 0.6$ | $\$ 0.0$ |  |
| IMM $\$ 2.6$ | $\$ 2.6$ |  |
| Rev $\$ 0.0$ | $\$ 0.0$ |  |

Total \$24.6 \$20.6
Commentary:
We had $\$ 2.5 \mathrm{BN}$ in advances settle, sold $\$ 600 \mathrm{~mm}$ in agency discount notes, and rolled the $\$ 2 \mathrm{BN}$ at the discount window. We saw reduced cash outflows from the forecast primarily due to continued lower deposit runoff, higher ACH credits, and smaller outgoing wires. We were not able to invest any of the roughly $\$ 1 \mathrm{BN}$ in excess cash back into the Inst MM funds due to the funds refusing to accept any cash after 10am. We were forced to sell $\$ 1 \mathrm{BN}$ in ffds at an average yield of $.49 \%$. We left $\$ 800 \mathrm{~mm}$ on deposit in the fed account to ensure the ACH wires get sent out in the morning.
Please e-mail me with any questions.

| WuI \#guldit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount(s bilions) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Available Sources | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wed } \\ & \text { 10-Sep } \\ & \text { actuat } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mon } \\ & \text { M5-sep } \\ & \text { actuef } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tue } \\ 16 \text { Sep } \end{gathered}$ actuat | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wed } \\ 17 \text { Sep } \\ \text { actuat } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thu } \\ 18-5 e p \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fr} \\ 19 . \mathrm{Sep} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mon } \\ 22 \text {-Sep } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Tue} \\ 23 \cdot \mathrm{Sep} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wed } \\ & 24 \text { Sep } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Thu } \\ 25-\mathrm{Sep} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{FtI} \\ & 26-\mathrm{Seq} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mon } \\ 29-\text { Sep } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Twe } \\ \text { 3e-sep } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wed } \\ & \text { Toct } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thu } \\ & \text { 2-Oct } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fit } \\ & 3.0 \mathrm{Oc} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mon } \\ & \text { G-oct } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Twe } \\ 7.0 \mathrm{Oct} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wed } \\ & \text { soct } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thu } \\ & 9.0 \mathrm{ct} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Fft} \\ & 10.0 \mathrm{ct} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mon } \\ & \text { 11-Oct } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tue } \\ & 12.0 a 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wed } \\ & 13-0 a \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Thu } \\ & 14.0 \mathrm{ct} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Fr} \\ 15-\mathrm{oct} \end{gathered}$ |
| Remaining Capacity w/ FHLBs | 23.3 | 19.1 | ${ }^{19.1}$ | 17.5 | 14.2 | 16.0 | 13.5 | 12.4 | 9.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{2}$ FRB Discount Window \& TAF | 12.2 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 11.2 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 7.7 | 6.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Repos | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ Federal funds Lines | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cash \& Equivalerts | 11.3 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 7.9 | 9.9 | 6.2 | 4.6 | 3.9 | 4.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | n/a | na | n/a | 0.2 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Discount Notes | na | na | n/a | 3.1 | 2.1 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 Institutional Money Funds | $n \mathrm{a}$ | na | n/a | 2.6 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 2.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 Reverse Repos | n/a 49.0 | n/a |  | 2.0 39.2 | 1.0 34.7 | 0.0\| | 28.0 | 24.6 | 0.0 20.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 49.0 | 42.5 | 42.5 | 39.2 | 34.7 | 32.01 | 28.0 | 24.6 | 20.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 FHLB - Roughly $70 / 30$ Spil between SF and Seattle. Ability to draw is limited by FHLB, currently - $\$ 3 \mathrm{~B}-\$ 5 \mathrm{~B}$ per week
2 DW - Does not include $\$ 1 B$ in TAF outstanding (28-day)
${ }^{3}$ Repo - Ability to repo is unikely due to the company's high counterparty credit ris
Fed Funds - Providers have been increasingly backing away or reducing capacity due to their own need for liquidity as well as the increased concern over WMIs crediis standing
5 Cash \& Equivalents - Until September 17th, all line items below cash were aggregated under cash but after September 177t. they are available on an itemized basis
${ }_{6}$ Cash - vautt cash
Discount Notes - cash settlement; can be sold \& settled same day; all mature by November 7 th
${ }^{8}$ insefutuional Money Funds - Same day redemption feature up to $1: 00$ p.m. otherwise, next day settlement
Pevrse Repo - Can call counterparty to unwind transaction; wait until they mature (all will mature by $9 / 19$ ), or rehypothecate with a third party
$\circ$
0
0
0

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Document ID: $0.7 .313 .23078^{* / 4}$From:Noblezada, Yolanda B.To:Cc:Bcc: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bcc: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subject: RE: Balance Sheet Triple OPEN Request(s) <br> Date: Thu Sep 25 14:16:57 EDT 2008 <br> Attachments:  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Ok dokey Yeah. Even the $\$ 3$ million went to the suspense account last night. The drama SHOULD end tomorrow.
Thanks again.
....-Original Message.....
From: Ghasemi, Kim A.
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2008 11:13 AM
To: Noblezada, Yolanda B
Subject: RE: Balance Sheet Triple OPEN Request(s)
The GL entry that tried to post yesterday from suspense went to suspense again.. So Robert Sledge will post the item to the GL again today and you should see the funds in it tomorrow morning.

Sorry for all the drama with this.
.----Original Message-....
From: Noblezada, Yolanda B.
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2008 11:08 AM
To: Ghasemi, Kim A.
Subject: RE: Balance Sheet Triple OPEN Request(s)
Hey Kim,
I just got your phone message - I had a Dr's appt eartier.
So we'll we see the deposit on the account by tomorrow? Or do I have to manually move it from where it is sitting right now?

Thanks.
.-..-Original Message.....
From: Ghasemi, Kim A.
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2008 9:11 AM
To: Noblezada, Yolanda B.
Subject: FW: Balance Sheet Triple OPEN Request(s)
Here's the latest on the GL request. They are saying the triple is now open ready for postings.
-Kim
--.--Original Message.-...
From: Sidhu, Harprit K.
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2008 9:03 AM
To: Gnasemi, Kim A.

Cc: Nguyen, Lynn; Jewett, Rittu K.
Subject: RE: Balance Sheet Triple OPEN Request(s)
The triple is open now. You are able to use it as needed. Thanks!
....-Original Message-....
From: Ghasemi, Kim A.
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2008 8:56 AM
To: Sidhu, Harprit K.
Cc: Nguyen, Lynn; Jewett, Rittu K.
Subject: RE: Balance Sheet Triple OPEN Request(s)
I appreciate your efforts to track down the issue. Does the special maintenance run mean
it is opened now or will it show opened tomorrow.
Please advice.
Thank you.
.-..-Original Message.....
From: Sidhu, Harprit K.
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2008 8:54 AM
To: Ghasemi, Kim A.
Cc: Nguyen, Lynn; Jewett, Rittu K.
Subject: RE: Balance Sheet Triple OPEN Request(s) Importance: High

Hi Kim,
There was an issue with the triple database. I am further researching the cause of this issue. Your triple was opened via a special maintenance run.

Please let me know if you have any questions.
Thanks!
....-Original Message-..--
From: Jewett, Rittu K.
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2008 8:53 AM
To: Sidhu, Harprit K.
Cc: Ghasemi, Kim A.
Subject: FW: Balance Sheet Triple OPEN Request(s)
Importance: High
Haprit,
Please follow up on the below request and let us know the resolution.
Thanks!
$\overline{\text { Rittu Jewett, AVP - Manager }}$

Proprietary and Confidential - Do Not Copy

Finance Systems \& Operations
Maintenance \& Reporting
206.500.1564 direct | 206.377.2076 fax
rittu jewett © wamu net
....-Original Message--...
From: Ghasemi, Kim A.
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2008 8:43 AM
To: Jewett, Rittu K.
Subject: FW: Balance Sheet Triple OPEN Request(s)
Importance: High

Here is the triple request with all the necessary approvals. We need to understand why this GL was not set up yesterday since we met the GL set up timetrame.

This GL needs to be set up as soon as possible since it has been requested by Executive Management to open.

Thank you for your support!
-Kim
...--Original Message--....
From: Nguyen. Lynn
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2008 8:24 AM
To: GM GLRC Maintenance
Cc: Ghasemi, Kim A.
Subject: FW: Balance Sheet Triple OPEN Request(s)
Importance: High
Can you tell us why this triple was not opened yesterday? Thanks.
-----Original Message----
From: Ghasemi, Kim A.
Sent: Wednesday, September 24, 2008 12:10 PM
To: GM System Suspense; Nguyen, Lynn; Noblezada, Yolanda B.
Subject: FW: Balance Sheet Triple OPEN Request(s)
FYI

All approvals received. GL will be set up today.
.-..-Original Message--...
From: Stewart, Jeff W.
Sent: Wednesday, September 24, 2008 11:49 AM
To: glrcmaint (©) wamu.net.
Cc: Ghasemi, Kim A.
Subject: FW: Balance Sheet Triple OPEN Request(s)
Approved

Jeff Stewart | Senior Vice President \& Controller, Retail Banking | Retail Banking Controller's Group | 206.500.3458 | 206.377 .2082 fax
.-.--Original Message-....
From: Ghasemi, Kim A.
Sent: Wednesday, September 24, 2008 11:38 AM
To: Stewart, Jeff W.
Cc: Noblezada, Yolanda B.; Nguyen, Lynn; GM System Suspense
Subject: FW: Balance Sheet Triple OPEN Request(s)
Approved.

Hi Jeff.
Please provide your approval and forward to GLRCMaint@ wamu.net, for processing. This Hogan portfolio triple is for the 3.4 billion dollar Internal WaMu account that was opened to support an Executive initiative in Co 40.

Thank you for your immediate response.
-Kim
....-Original Message-....
From: Nguyen, Lynn
Sent: Wednesday, September 24, 2008 11:32 AM
To: Ghasemi, Kim A.
Cc: GM System Suspense; Noblezada, Yolanda B.
Subject: Balance Sheet Triple OPEN Request(s)
The following $1 \mathrm{~B} / \mathrm{S}$ triple(s) have been requested to be opened:
10 \# 370787 - Co: 040 GL: 35045 (NON-INT CKG-B3-WAMU INTERNAL) RC: 9911
ACCOUNT OWNER AND SUB SEGMENT CONTROLLER REPRESENTATIVE, PLEASE NOTE: By
approving the
opening of the above triple(s), you are also certifying that you have read and agree to the Accounting Plan Policy and the Policy to Request New Company, GL Account, and Responsibility Center Combinations (triples). You understand that you have up to 60 days to create the necessary documentation outlining the usage of your triples requested herein, as defined in these policies. You will maintain the necessary documentation, keep it up to date, and make available upon request.

GL Owner: Please approve and forward the above request(s) to Sub Segment Controller Representative, Stewart, Jeff, U225943@wamu.net, for final approval.

Sub Segment Controller Rep: Please review and forward your concurrence to GL Systems, glromaint © wamu. net, for processing.

From: Schulte, Patricia [patricia.schulte@wamu.net](mailto:patricia.schulte@wamu.net)
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2008 10:10 PM
To:
Brennan, Carey [carey.brennan@wamu.net](mailto:carey.brennan@wamu.net); Smith, Chad [charles.e.smith@wamu.net](mailto:charles.e.smith@wamu.net)
Subject: Fw: Limits to Fedwire Transactions Through the FRB (SF)

So you know the wire goes via FSB. If fed enforces this dlod we won't be able to send until we get collateral.
Pat Schulte
----------------------------
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
----- Original Message -----
From: Schulte, Patricia
To: Williams, Robert J.
Sent: Thu Sep 25 18:23:11 2008
Subject: Fw: Limits to Fedwire Transactions Through the FRB (SF)

This could stop any wire.
Pat Schulte-
-----------------------------
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
----- Original Message -----
From: Smallow, Timothy B.
To: Schulte, Patricia
Sent: Thu Sep 25 18:22:08 2008
Subject: Limits to Fedwire Transactions Through the FRB (SF)
WMB currently has a DLOD limit of $\$ 1.5$ billion. No Fedwire transactions will be sent if a wire overdraws our WMB master account ( 321180748 ) at the FRB by $>\$ 1.5$ billion

WMB fsb currently has a DLOD limit of $\$ 181$ million. No Fedwire transactions will be sent if a wire overdraws our WMB fsb master account ( 123271978 ) at the FRB by $>\$ 181$ million.

Timothy Smallow
First Vice President
Treasury
Cash Management

Washington Mutual
1301 Second Avenue WMC1409
Seattle, WA 98101
206.302.4300 direct
206.554.7207 fax
timothy.smallow@wamu.net

| Find | Smith, Chad |
| :--- | :--- |
| From: | Smallow, Timothy B. |
| To: |  |
| Cc: | Re: Limits to Fedwire Transactions Through the FRB (SF) |
| Bcc: | Fri Sep 26 10:36:06 EDT 2008 |
| Subject: |  |
| Date: |  |
| Attachments: |  |

And can you provide color re what's limiting fsb from doing this itself?
Kindest regards,
Chad Smith
Assistant General Counsel \& Team Lead
Washington Mutual
1301 Second Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle, Washington 98101
Tel.: 206.500 .4347
Fax: 206.377.2236
email: charles.e.smith wamu.net

NOTICE: This email may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call my assistant at 206.500.4349. Thank you.
----- Original Message .--
From: Smallow, Timothy B
To: Smith, Chad
Sent: Fri Sep 26 07:34:32 2008
Subject: Re: Limits to Fedwire Transactions Through the FRB (SF)
We have until 3 pm PT today to make the transfer.
Tim
--.-- Original Message --
From: Smith, Chad
To: Smallow, Timothy B.
Sent: Fri Sep 26 07:32:48 2008
Subject: Re: Lirnits to Fedwire Transactions Through the FRB (SF)
Need to touch base with carey. What's the cutoff? Is Pat signed off (assuming you have

Kindest regards,

[^3]Chad Smith
Assistant General Counsel \& Team Lead
Washington Mutual
1301 Second Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle, Washington 98101
Tel.: 206.500.4347
Fax: 206.377.2236
email: charles.e.smith © wamu.net
NOTICE: This email may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately dele the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call my assistant at 206.500.4349. Thank you.
---- Original Message -...
From: Smallow, Timothy 8.
To: Smith, Chad
Sent: Fri Sep 26 07:31:39 2008
Subject: Re: Limits to Fedwire Transactions Through the FRB (SF)
Exactly.

We need $\$$ to come into WMB first... at least another $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{~B}$ to bring us under the FRB OD, hen we can send out the $\$ 4 \mathrm{~B}$.

Let me know if we should proceed with moving $\$$ back to WMB from WMB fsb then out the
door.
$T i m$
---- Original Message ...-
From: Smith. Chad
To: Smallow, Timothy B.; Schulte, Patricia
Sent: Fri Sep 26 07:27:55 2008
Subject: Re: Limits to Fedwire Transactions Through the FRB (SF)
So, how would that work? Obviously sensitive to the need to touch base with new constituents. Would you transter from fsb to WMB and then to WMI's bny acct?

Kindest regards,
Chad Smith
Assistant General Counsel \& Team Lead
Washington Mutual
1301 Second Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattle, Washington 98101

[^4]Tel.: 206.500.4347
Fax: 206.377.2236
email: charles.e.smith wamu.net
NOTICE: This email may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call my assistant at 206.500.4349. Thank you.
----- Original Message ----
From: Smallow, Timothy B.
To: Schulte, Patricia; Smith, Chad
Sent: Fri Sep 26 07:26:01 2008
Subject: Re: Limits to Fedwire Transactions Through the FRB (SF)
We can not send the WMI wires. PSR is on.
We will never be in a + position at WMB fsb today.
If we want this to happen, it will have to go out of WMB.
Tim
-...- Original Message -...
From: Schulte, Patricia
To: Smallow, Timothy B.
Sent: Fri Sep 26 05:46:23 2008
Subject: Fw: Limits to Fedwire Transactions Through the FRB (SF)
Let it go.
Pat Schulte
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
.-..- Original Message .-.
From: Smith, Chad
To: Schulte, Patricia; Brennan, Carey
Cc: Smallow, Timothy $B$.
Sent: Thu Sep 25 22:38:50 2008
Subject: Re: Limits to Fectwire Transactions Through the FRB (SF)
The FDIC confirmed that WMI is entitled to withdraw the cash it has on deposit at the FSB. The withdrawal may be processed during regular morning hours and no special measures are required. Let me know whether you have any questions. Thanks.

Kindest regards,
Chad Smith

[^5]
## Assistant General Counsel \& Team Lead

Washington Mutual
1301 Second Avenue, WMC 3501
Seattie, Washington 98101
Tel.: 206.500.4347
Fax: 206.377.2236
email: charles.e.smith © wamu.net
NOTICE: This email may contain privileged or other confidential information. If you have received it in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete the message and any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call my assistant at 206.500.4349. Thank you.
..-- Original Message .-.
From: Schulte, Patricia
To: Brennan, Carey; Smith. Chad
Sent: Thu Sep 25 19:10:23 2008
Subject: Fw: Limits to Fedwire Transactions Through the FRB (SF)
So you know the wire goes via FSB. If fed enforces this diod we won't be able to send until we get collateral.
Pat Schulte--.-...-.-.-.-...-.
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
--- Original Message --
From: Schulte, Patricia
To: Williams, Robert J.
Sent: Thu Sep 25 18:23:11 2008
Subject: Fw: Limits to Fedwire Transactions Through the FRB (SF)
This could stop any wire.
Pat Schulte
Sent from my BlackBerry Wireless Handheld
--- Original Message -
From: Smallow, Timothy B.
To: Schulte, Patricia
Sent: Thu Sep 25 18:22:08 2008
Subject: Limits to Fedwire Transactions Through the FRB (SF)
WMB currently has a DLOD limit of $\$ 1.5$ billion. No Fedwire transactions will be sent if a wire overdraws our WMB master account $(321180748)$ at the FRB by $>\$ 1.5$ billion

WMB isb currently has a DLOD limit of $\$ 181$ million. No Fedwire transactions will be sent if a wire overdraws our WMB isb master account (123271978) at the FRB by >\$181 million.

Timothy Smallow
First Vice President
Treasury
Cash Management
Washington Mutual
1301 Second Avenue WMC1409
Seattle, WA 98101
206.302.4300 direct
206.554.7207 fax
timothy smallow © warnu.net

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE



I, Corrine M. Burger, declare under penalty of perjury as follows:

1. I am the Controller of Retail Financial Services for JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("JPMC") and have been continuously employed in that capacity from and after September 26, 2008. My responsibilities include the supervision of certain deposit accounts and regulatory reporting of certain deposit account liabilities maintained at or by JPMC.
2. I am aware that there is a dispute in this action involving six accounts, having ending numbers $4234,1206,0667,9626,9663$, and 4704 (the "Accounts"). The Accounts are captioned as follows: 4234 - "WMI"; 1206 - "Washington Mutual Inc. FBO Washington

Mutual Bank FA"; 0667 - "Washington Mutual, Inc."; 9626 - "Washington Mutual, Inc."; 9663 - "Washington Mutual Inc. to Indemnity for 3/1/2006 LBMC Transfer"; and 4704 - "WAMU Investment Corp."
3. Since acquiring various assets and liabilities of Washington Mutual Bank from the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") on September 25, 2008, JPMC (i) has not made any changes to the titles on the Accounts, (ii) has not adjusted the balances purported to exist in the Accounts as of September 25, 2008, and (iii) has generated and sent statements for these Accounts, which reflect certain entries and/or transactions since September 25, 2008 that I understand to have been agreed upon with Debtors.
4. JPMC has continued to send these statements and maintain the Accounts pending the resolution of the current dispute involving these Accounts. By sending these statements and maintaining the Accounts, I understand JPMC has not determined or intended to acknowledge that the balances in these Accounts represent valid deposits of or are owed to Debtors or anyone else. Rather, I understand JPMC has maintained these Accounts and generated statements so as to avoid claimed violations of federal bankruptcy laws or federal banking laws and regulations pending the ultimate outcome of this dispute.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed in Columbus, Ohio on August 26, 2009.


## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE



[^6]I, BRIAN D. GLUECKSTEIN, declare under penalty of perjury as follows:

1. I am a member of the bar of the State of New York and an associate at the law firm of Sullivan \& Cromwell LLP. I am counsel for Defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association ("JPMC") in this action. I submit this declaration in support of JPMC's Supplemental Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment.
2. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of the transcript of the deposition of Doreen A. Logan, which took place on August 26, 2009.
3. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of the Memorandum of Law of Cross-Claim Defendant Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as Receiver, in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Summary Judgment in Washington Mutual, Inc. et al. v. JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., et al., Adv. No. 0950934 (MFW) (Bankr. D. Del.), filed on July 24, 2009.
4. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct copy of Federal Election Commission Individual Contribution search results, http://www.fec.gov/finance/disclosure/noridsea.shtml (last visited September 11, 2009).
5. Attached hereto as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of The Center for Responsive Politics search results, http://www.opensecrets.org (last visited September 11, 2009).

I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 11th day of September 2009 at New York, New York.


Brian D. Glueckstein

## EXHIBIT A

## In The Matter Of:

In re: WASHINGTON MUTUAL, INC., et al.

DOREEN A. LOGAN
August 26, 2009

# CONTAINS ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY MERRILL CORPORATION 

25 West 45th Street - Suite 900
New York, NY 10036
PH: 212-557-7400 / FAX: 212-692-9171

LOGAN, DOREEN A. - Vol. 1

```
        CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
    UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
    DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
    --------------------------------------
    In re
        Chapter 11
        Case No. 08-12229
    WASHINGTON MUTUAL, INC.,
        (MFW)
    et al.,
                        Jointly Administered
        Debtors.
    ----------------------------------------
    WASHINGTON MUTUAL, INC. AND
    WMI INVESTMENT CORP., Adversary Proceeding
                            No. 09-50934(MFW)
    Plaintiffs and Counterclaim
    Defendants,
        v
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION,
    Defendant and Counterclaimant.
----------------------------------------
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL
ASSOCIATION,
    Cross-Claimant,
        v
FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE
CORPORATION, as Receiver of
Washington Mutual Bank, Henderson,
Nevada,
    Cross-Claim Defendant.
WITNESS: DOREEN A. LOGAN
DATE: AUGUST 26, 2009
```



|  | 6 |  | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good | 2 |  |
| 3 | morning, everybody. This is the | 3 | following the completion of the |
| 4 | video operator speaking, Robert | 4 | transcript and then subject to |
| 5 | Gibbs, of Merrill Legal Solutions, | 5 | possible further confidentiality |
| 6 | 25 West 45th Street, New York, New | 6 | going forward based on the |
| 7 | York 10036. Today is August 26th | 7 | provisions of that agreement which |
| 8 | of 2009 and the time is 9:40 a.m. | 8 | is yet to be worked out. |
| 9 | We're at the offices of Sullivan \& | 9 | MR. SACKS: That's correct. |
| 10 | Cromwell LLP, 125 Broad Street, New | 10 | And I just think there are a number |
| 11 | York City, New York, to take the | 11 | of other people here today who are |
| 12 | videotaped deposition of Ms. Doreen | 12 | not technically parties to this |
| 13 | A. Logan, in the matter of | 13 | adversary proceeding and I think |
| 14 | Washington Mutual, Incorporated, et | 14 | everybody ought to know that this |
| 15 | al., in the United States | 15 | transcript will be designated as |
| 16 | Bankruptcy Court, District of | 16 | confidential pursuant to that, and |
| 17 | Delaware, Case Number 08-12229 | 17 | since it is not public, we, |
| 18 | (MFW), and Adversary Proceedings | 18 | everybody should know, particularly |
| 19 | Number 09-50934 (MFW). | 19 | people who represent people who are |
| 20 | Will examining and defense | 20 | actively trading in public debt and |
| 21 | counsel please introduce yourselves | 21 | the like that we regard this as |
| 22 | for the record. | 22 | material nonpublic information and |
| 23 | MR. SACKS: Robert Sacks from | 23 | they should act accordingly with |
| 24 | Sullivan \& Cromwell LLP, on behalf | 24 | respect to that. |
| 25 | of JPMorgan Chase. | 25 | MR. ABENSOHN: Let me just add |
|  | 7 |  | 9 |
| 1 | CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | MR. ABENSOHN: Adam Abensohn | 2 | one other point on the record |
| 3 | from Quinn Emanuel, debtors' | 3 | before we begin. We've made Ms. |
| 4 | counsel, here for Ms. Logan. | 4 | Logan available today in connection |
| 5 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the | 5 | with the pending summary judgment |
| 6 | court reporter, Gail Schorr of | 6 | motion, in connection with the |
| 7 | Merrill Legal Solutions, please | 7 | motion to strike pending by |
| 8 | swear the witness. | 8 | JPMorgan. We've made clear to |
| 9 | D OREEN A. L OGAN, | 9 | JPMorgan last week I think that we |
| 10 | called as a witness, having been | 10 | are making Ms. Logan available |
| 11 | first duly sworn by the Notary | 11 | specifically to be examined with |
| 12 | Public (Gail F. Schorr), was | 12 | respect to the subject matter of |
| 13 | examined and testified as | 13 | that affidavit, and we do reserve |
| 14 | follows: | 14 | the option to instruct Ms. Logan |
| 15 | MR. SACKS: Before we start I | 15 | not to respond to questions that go |
| 16 | think we want to put something on | 16 | beyond that scope. |
| 17 | the record if we could. | 17 | MR. SACKS: In summary, we |
| 18 | MR. ABENSOHN: It is our | 18 | understand that this is a limited |
| 19 | understanding today that the | 19 | deposition, we may have some |
| 20 | deposition will be subject to the | 20 | agreement or disagreement around |
| 21 | terms of the confidentiality | 21 | the edges as to the scope, but we |
| 22 | agreement currently being worked | 22 | understand that it is limited and |
| 23 | out as between debtors and | 23 | therefore, that there might come a |
| 24 | JPMorgan. And it will be subject | 24 | time in the course of this or the |
| 25 | to its terms and it will remain | 25 | related litigations that Ms. Logan |

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
hopefully clear, clean and understandable to all of us who have to use it. Okay?
A. Yes.
Q. By the way, have you ever had your deposition taken before?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever testified in a court proceeding?
A. I would call what I -- a deposition light in a review of a transaction between the bank and someone else, but it wasn't -- it wasn't this formal.
Q. Was your testimony transcribed?
A. I don't think it was because I never saw a transcript.
Q. We are on the record today, and as you can tell, you're being both videotaped and your testimony is being transcribed in written form by the court reporter sitting next to you. The court reporter's transcript is the official record of the proceeding. She can really

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
that we end up with a record that is

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
will be returning for further
deposition, and this is not precluding her further deposition. Is that your understanding as well?

MR. ABENSOHN: We recognize that if the matter proceeds into discovery there may be a basis for further examination.

MR. SACKS: Fine.
MS. MANZER: On that note, can
I also just add a note for the record. Nancy Manzer, Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr. On behalf of certain holders of the senior note of WMB, I just wanted to state for the record, because we just learned about the deposition a short time ago, because we just became parties to the case, we have not seen any documents and we do reserve our right to either renotice the deposition or continue it, although with the statements you just made it sounds like that
would not be precluded in any event.

EXAMINATION BY MR. SACKS:
Q. Good morning, Ms. Logan.
A. Good morning.
Q. How are you?
A. Good.
Q. Are you feeling well? Are you
under any medication, is there any reason
you can't give your best and accurate testimony today?
A. No.
Q. Before we begin, I just want
to -- I know you've have an opportunity,
you did have an opportunity to meet with
your counsel in preparation for today's deposition, didn't you?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'm sure that he told you
all sorts of things about what's going to
happen today and some of the rules we
follow, but I'd just like to go over a

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
only take down one of us speaking at the
same time, so I would ask that you please
try to wait until I finish my questions
before you begin to answer, and I'll try to wait until you finish your answer before I start to ask my next question. Sometimes that's difficult. It's not like a cocktail conversation. But it will help us as well.

> Do you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. Also, you need to verbalize all your responses. If you nod your head up and down or left to right, I know what you mean and you know what you mean, but the court reporter is not allowed to interpret what you mean and so the answer, if it's not audible, is going to be nothing in the transcript.

Similarly, if you say things like yeah, uh-huh and words like that, that's what the transcript is going to look like. So yeses should be yeses and nos should be nos and the like. Okay?

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY assistant treasurer.
Q. Prior to becoming employed by Washington Mutual, Inc. on October 20th of last year, by whom were you employed?
A. Washington Mutual Bank.
Q. And in what capacity?
A. My last position at the bank was first vice president, structured finance in treasury.
Q. And so there was a -- I take it there was a very short several week period of time after -- you're aware -strike that, let me start again.

You're aware, are you not, the bank went into receivership on September 25th of last year?
A. Yes.
Q. Am I correct that there was a short period of time where the assets of the bank had been transferred to JPMorgan Chase that you remained an employee of Washington Mutual Bank?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
Q. Did you remain an employee of

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
don't understand and I'll attempt to rephrase the question in a way that will be understandable to you. Okay?
A. Yes.
Q. All right. And if you ever
need to take a break during the course of
the day today, please just say so.
You're not chained to the chair. We'll
be happy to accommodate you as soon as we finish a question and answer. Okay?
A. Okay, thank you.
Q. Tell me by whom you're currently employed.
A. Washington Mutual, Inc.
Q. And how long have you been
employed by Washington Mutual, Inc.?
A. My start date was October 20th, 2008.
Q. That was post the bankruptcy filing?
A. Yes.
Q. What is your current position with Washington Mutual, Inc.?
A. I'm the controller and

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
Washington -- by whom were you employed between September 25th, 2008 and October 20th, 2008?
A. JPMorgan.
Q. So from a substantive
perspective, am I correct that you remained doing the same thing you were doing for Washington Mutual Bank prior to the receivership during that several week period of time?
A. Yes.

MR. SACKS: I don't want to
spend a lot of time on your
background, but let me mark as --
we haven't discussed a protocol for marking exhibits so I figure I'll
just mark them Logan 1, 2, etc.
MR. ABENSOHN: That's fine.
MR. SACKS: I'll mark this as
Logan Exhibit 1.
(Logan Exhibit 1 for
identification, email from Doreen
Logan to Steve Stearns dated July
3, 2008, with attached resume of

|  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | Doreen Logan.) |
| 3 | Q. Ms. Logan, could you identify |
| 4 | the document I've marked as Logan Exhibit |
| 5 | 1? $\quad$ |
| 6 | A. Yes. This is my resume. |
| 7 | Q. And is this your resume that |
| 8 | was current as of July 3rd of last year? |
| 9 | A. Yes. |
| 10 | Q. Is it accurate? |
| 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | Q. Or at least accurate as of |
| 13 | that date? |
| 14 | A. As of that date. |
| 15 | Q. Is it complete, at least with |
| 16 | respect to your educational and work |
| 17 | experience following college? |
| 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. Tell me what your -- in a |
| 20 | general sense, what your duties and |
| 21 | responsibilities were as first vice |
| 22 | president at Washington Mutual Bank? |
| 23 | A. I worked on structured |
| 24 | transactions. |
| 25 | Q. What does that mean? |

A. There were transactions with counterparties that were funding transactions. We were in the process of expanding our funding. We did funding transactions. We did internal restructurings such as the elimination of one of the intermediate holding companies, the consolidation of the REITs that we -- that the bank had owned.
Q. Let me go back. You were in the treasury department?
A. Yes.
Q. Was it called the treasury department, treasury division? You say treasury division on the resume. Is that what it was called?
A. Yes, I think so.
Q. And maybe you could explain to whom did you report in your position as first vice president of the treasury division?
A. I think for a period of time Steve Stearns and for a period of time Peter Freilinger.

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
Q. What period of time did you report to Mr. Freilinger?
A. January 2006 to December of, I think December of 2007.
Q. Then Mr. Stearns thereafter?
A. Yes.
Q. And maybe you could explain -what was the function of the treasury division of Washington Mutual Bank?
A. I can tell you what I did in treasury. I'm not sure that I could adequately explain what all the positions in treasury do.
Q. How large was the treasury division of the bank?
A. I could not estimate.
Q. Prior to September 25th of

2008, were you employed by Washington Mutual, Inc.?
A. No.
Q. Were you an officer during
that -- prior to September 25th of 2008, were you an officer of Washington Mutual, Inc.?

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
A. For a period of time during
the years 2000 to 2006 I believe I was an officer of Washington Mutual, Inc. I don't know after 2006 if I was.
Q. Prior to September 25th, 2008, were you employed by Washington Mutual Bank fsb?
A. No.
Q. Prior to September 25th, 2008,
were you an officer of Washington Mutual fsb?
A. Yes.
Q. What were you? What was your position?
A. I think I was a first vice president.
Q. Of Washington Mutual Bank fsb?
A. Yes.
Q. And during what period of time?
A. I don't know.
Q. Were you -- do you believe you were first vice president of Washington Mutual Bank fsb during the period July

|  | 22 |  | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | through September 25th, 2008? | 2 | Q. Let me go back again. I guess |
| 3 | A. I believe that I was. | 3 | your counsel doesn't want me and is |
| 4 | Q. What is the basis of your | 4 | prohibiting me from inquiring into the |
| 5 | belief that you were an officer of -- | 5 | inquiries that you have made, may have |
| 6 | that you were first vice president of | 6 | made in recent vintage with paralegals at |
| 7 | Washington Mutual Bank fsb during that | 7 | the direction of counsel as to your |
| 8 | period of time? | 8 | status as an officer or not. |
| 9 | A. We asked our paralegals in | 9 | I'm trying to find out |
| 10 | legal if I was an officer. | 10 | independent of whatever conversations you |
| 11 | MR. ABENSOHN: I'll stop you. | 11 | had in that context, do you have any |
| 12 | I'll interject for privilege. | 12 | knowledge, basis of knowledge as to |
| 13 | Q. Prior to -- during the period | 13 | whether you were or were not an officer |
| 14 | of -- during the periods July 2008 | 14 | of Washington Mutual Bank fsb during the |
| 15 | through September 25th, 2008, did you | 15 | period July through September 25th of |
| 16 | have any understanding as to whether you | 16 | 2008? |
| 17 | were an officer of Washington Mutual Bank | 17 | A. Do I have any personal |
| 18 | fsb? | 18 | knowledge? Yes, I believe that I-- I |
| 19 | A. I'm not sure. I don't know if | 19 | have personal knowledge that I was an |
| 20 | I could say for sure. | 20 | officer of the fsb. |
| 21 | Q. So am I correct that to the | 21 | Q. And what is the basis of that |
| 22 | extent you're testifying today that you | 22 | knowledge? |
| 23 | were an officer of Washington Mutual -- | 23 | A. Our online systems at the |
| 24 | strike that. | 24 | bank, you can $\log$ on and find out your |
| 25 | Do you have any personal | 25 | officerships. And I, at that time I |
|  | 23 |  | 25 |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | knowledge that you were an officer of | 2 | believe I was maintaining three |
| 3 | Washington Mutual Bank fsb? | 3 | officerships. |
| 4 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; | 4 | Q. And what were those? |
| 5 | form. | 5 | A. WMI, WMB and fsb. |
| 6 | A. At what time period, I'm | 6 | Q. I'm sorry, I thought you told |
| 7 | sorry? | 7 | me that you were an officer of WMI only |
| 8 | Q. As you sit here today. I | 8 | up to 2006? |
| 9 | understand, without getting into the | 9 | A. No, I said I was not sure if $I$ |
| 10 | substance, I understand that in the | 10 | was after 2006. |
| 11 | context of preparing for your deposition | 11 | Q. Let me go back again. I'm |
| 12 | you've been provided some information | 12 | sorry, I just want to get this clear if |
| 13 | about the status of whether you were or | 13 | we could. Is it your testimony you were |
| 14 | were not an officer of Washington Mutual | 14 | an officer of WMI, you believe you were |
| 15 | Bank fsb and your counsel has interposed | 15 | an officer of WMI during the period July |
| 16 | an objection to me asking about that. | 16 | through September 25th of 2008? |
| 17 | Other than what you may have | 17 | A. I believe that I was. |
| 18 | been told in that context, do you have | 18 | Q. And what is the basis for that |
| 19 | any other basis of knowledge as to | 19 | belief? |
| 20 | whether you were an officer of Washington | 20 | A. The online access at the bank. |
| 21 | Mutual Bank fsb during the period July | 21 | You could log on and check your |
| 22 | through September 25th of 2008? | 22 | officerships. |
| 23 | A. I believe that I was. I | 23 | Q. When did you log on to check |
| 24 | confirmed with counsel -- I confirmed | 24 | your officerships? |
| 25 | with paralegals. Sorry. | 25 | A. It was done -- I can't name |


|  | 26 |  | 28 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | the date, but it was done on a regular | 2 | logging on and seeing on the computer |
| 3 | basis. | 3 | system that you were in fact an officer |
| 4 | Q. You checked your officerships | 4 | of Washington Mutual Bank fsb? |
| 5 | on a regular basis? | 5 | A. At what time period? |
| 6 | A. Sure. | 6 | Q. At any time period? |
| 7 | Q. Just to check them, or was | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | there some purpose for which you checked | 8 | Q. What's the name of this system |
| 9 | them? | 9 | that you look on? |
| 10 | A. It was just, you log on and | 10 | A. WaMu.net. |
| 11 | check all this -- to make sure all the | 11 | Q. WaMu.net? |
| 12 | information is correct. | 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Q. And do you believe you did | 13 | Q. And what database do you look |
| 14 | that during the period July through | 14 | at? |
| 15 | September of 2008? | 15 | A. I don't know. It was in your |
| 16 | A. I can't say for sure. | 16 | profile. |
| 17 | Q. Do you have any recollection | 17 | Q. Okay. Are you familiar with |
| 18 | of any specific time you did that? | 18 | something called TeamConnect? |
| 19 | A. Any specific time, no. | 19 | A. No. |
| 20 | Q. Do you have any recollection | 20 | Q. That's not a database that |
| 21 | of any general time you did that, early | 21 | you've ever utilized before? |
| 22 | 2008, mid-2008, anything in a general | 22 | A. No. |
| 23 | time frame? | 23 | Q. Just so I'm clear, you were |
| 24 | A. No. | 24 | only an employee of Washington Mutual |
| 25 | Q. Okay. And what was your | 25 | Bank, but you believe you were an officer |
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| 2 | position, officer position at WMI? | 2 | of each of Washington Mutual, Inc., |
| 3 | A. I believe I was a first vice | 3 | Washington Mutual Bank and Washington |
| 4 | president. There's potential I was just | 4 | Mutual fsb during at least the period |
| 5 | a vice president. | 5 | July through September 25th of 2008? |
| 6 | Q. And why do you have that | 6 | A. I believe so, yes. |
| 7 | uncertainty? | 7 | Q. And are there any more facts |
| 8 | A. Because from 2000 and -- 2000 | 8 | that relate to the basis of your belief |
| 9 | to 2006 I was a vice president and the | 9 | with respect to your being an officer of |
| 10 | officer titles usually flowed to the next | 10 | Washington Mutual, Inc. or Washington |
| 11 | company. | 11 | Mutual Bank fsb that you haven't |
| 12 | Q. And why are you uncertain as | 12 | explained to me already? |
| 13 | to whether you were -- why did you tell | 13 | A. No. |
| 14 | me before you were uncertain as to | 14 | Q. In your capacity as the -- and |
| 15 | whether you were an officer of WMI after | 15 | I want to focus if I could really on the |
| 16 | 2006? | 16 | period during the summer through |
| 17 | A. Because I was confused. | 17 | September 25th of 2008, if we could. In |
| 18 | Q. With respect to Washington | 18 | your capacity as first vice president in |
| 19 | Mutual Bank fsb, during what period of | 19 | the treasury division of Washington |
| 20 | time were you an officer of Washington | 20 | Mutual Bank who did you report to? I'm |
| 21 | Mutual fsb? | 21 | sorry, you told me at that point you |
| 22 | A. I'm unsure. | 22 | reported to Mr. Stearns, correct? |
| 23 | Q. Do you have a belief? | 23 | A. Yes, that's correct. |
| 24 | A. No. | 24 | Q. And what was his position? |
| 25 | Q. Do you have a recollection of | 25 | A. First vice president, capital |


|  | 30 |
| :---: | :---: |
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| 2 | strategies, structured finance. I'm not |
| 3 | sure, I think that's one of the two. |
| 4 | First vice president was his title. |
| 5 | Q. And he was in the treasury |
| 6 | division as well? |
| 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | Q. Do you know who he reported to |
| 9 | during that period of time? |
| 10 | A. Peter Freilinger. |
| 11 | Q. And what was Mr. Freilinger's |
| 12 | position during that period of time? |
| 13 | A. Senior vice president, funding |
| 14 | and capital. |
| 15 | Q. And was he in the treasury |
| 16 | division as well? |
| 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | Q. Do you know who he reported |
| 19 | to? |
| 20 | A. Robert Williams. |
| 21 | Q. Mr. Williams was the |
| 22 | treasurer? |
| 23 | A. That's correct. |
| 24 | Q. How many people reported to |
| 25 | you? |
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| 2 | A. None. |
| 3 | Q. Do you know how many -- in |
| 4 | addition to you, do you know who else |
| 5 | reported to Mr. Stearns during this |
| 6 | period of time? |
| 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | Q. Who else? |
| 9 | A. Name them all? |
| 10 | Q. How many people reported |
| 11 | directly to him? |
| 12 | A. I would -- I would have to |
| 13 | guess. |
| 14 | Q. Do you have an approximation? |
| 15 | A. Maybe seven. |
| 16 | Q. Who were they? |
| 17 | A. Sorry? |
| 18 | Q. Who were they, if you know? |
| 19 | A. By name? |
| 20 | Q. Yes. |
| 21 | A. Susan Chan, Karen Schenone, |
| 22 | Jessica Jaeger, Tom Roe. There may have |
| 23 | been more, I'm not certain. |
| 24 | Q. What was your -- was there a |
| 25 | group within the treasury division that |
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A. Treasury accounting, yes.
Q. Treasury accounting. And who ran treasury accounting?
A. Jim Douthitt was the controller.
Q. I'm sorry, his name again?
A. Jim Douthitt.
Q. Could you spell that?
A. D-o-u-t-h-i-t-t.
Q. And who did treasury -- who did he report to?

## A. Robert Williams.

Q. Am I correct that you had no responsibility for supervising anyone in treasury accounting?
A. No, I did not have any responsibility.
Q. And so the people in treasury accounting were the ones who would, am I correct, who would initiate general ledger entries, process general ledger entries?
A. There were other folks in
A. None.
Q. Do you know how many -- in
addition to you, do you know who else
reported to Mr. Stearns during this
period of time?
A. Yes.
Q. Who else?
A. Name them all?
Q. How many people reported
directly to him?
A. I would -- I would have to
Q. Do you have an approximation?
A. Maybe seven.
Q. Who were they?
A. Sorry?
Q. Who were they, if you know?
A. By name?
Q. Yes.
A. Susan Chan, Karen Schenone,

Jessica Jaeger, Tom Roe. There may have
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treasury who did general ledger
transactions, but the majority of general
ledger transactions were done by treasury accounting, yes.
Q. You're going to testify, I'm
going to ask you some more questions
later, it won't come as a surprise to you
about a $\$ 3.67$ billion transaction. Were
the individuals who processed that
transaction in treasury accounting?
A. No.
Q. Where were those individuals?
A. They worked for cash management.
Q. And who ran cash management?
A. Pat Schulte.
Q. And to whom did Ms. Schulte report?
A. Robert Williams.
Q. And did you have any
responsibility for supervising the individuals in cash management?
A. Not during that time, no.
Q. And how many people were there
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| 2 | in cash management who reported to Ms. | 2 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection again |
| 3 | Schulte? | 3 | to form. |
| 4 | A. I couldn't estimate. | 4 | Q. Do you understand the |
| 5 | Q. Do you have an understanding, | 5 | question? |
| 6 | approximately? | 6 | A. I'm sorry, I don't. |
| 7 | A. Potentially between 10 and 12. | 7 | Q. Do you understand that the |
| 8 | Q. Okay. Were there any people | 8 | $\$ 3.67$ billion transaction that is the |
| 9 | who were in -- to the best of your | 9 | subject of your portion of your affidavit |
| 10 | understanding, were all the people who | 10 | involved the alleged transfer of funds in |
| 11 | processed the $\$ 3.67$ billion transaction | 11 | a DDA account at Washington Mutual Bank |
| 12 | in the cash management group? | 12 | to Washington Mutual Bank fsb and a loan |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | back of those same funds from Washington |
| 14 | Q. Is there a reason why that | 14 | Mutual Bank fsb to Washington Mutual |
| 15 | transaction was processed by the cash | 15 | Bank? |
| 16 | management group? | 16 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 17 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. | 17 | A. I believe it was two |
| 18 | Q. Do you know why that | 18 | transactions. WMI moved its deposit |
| 19 | transaction was processed by the cash | 19 | account from WMI to the fsb, which was |
| 20 | management group rather than by treasury | 20 | one transaction. And then the fsb loaned |
| 21 | accounting? | 21 | those funds back to the bank in another |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | transaction. |
| 23 | Q. Why? | 23 | Q. We'll get to that in a minute |
| 24 | A. Because in the normal course | 24 | as to whether they're one or two. |
| 25 | when cash management was moving funds on | 25 | As to the second, what you |
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| 2 | behalf of WMI or any of the other nonbank | 2 | call the second transaction, was that |
| 3 | entities, Yolanda and others in cash | 3 | transaction -- that transaction was |
| 4 | management made the journal entries to | 4 | processed by cash management, wasn't it? |
| 5 | reflect that cash movement. | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | Q. And so this in your mind was | 6 | Q. And that by your statement was |
| 7 | such a transaction? | 7 | a transaction between a bank and a bank? |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | Q. And that included the portion | 9 | Q. Not a transaction between a |
| 10 | of the transaction that purported to be a | 10 | nonbank subsidiary or a nonbank affiliate |
| 11 | loan from Washington Mutual Bank fsb to | 11 | and a bank, correct? |
| 12 | Washington Mutual Bank, too? | 12 | A. That's correct. |
| 13 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection to | 13 | Q. So why was that transaction |
| 14 | form. | 14 | processed then by cash management rather |
| 15 | MR. SACKS: Strike that. | 15 | than treasury accounting, if you know? |
| 16 | Q. You understand that the \$3.67 | 16 | A. It was a movement of funds |
| 17 | billion transaction is alleged to have | 17 | between -- well they do cash management. |
| 18 | involved a transfer of a DDA account from | 18 | Q. You told me before in |
| 19 | Washington Mutual Bank to Washington | 19 | answering my question that cash |
| 20 | Mutual Bank -- or funds in a DDA account | 20 | management processed transactions that |
| 21 | from Washington Mutual Bank to Washington | 21 | involve the movement of cash from nonbank |
| 22 | Mutual Bank fsb with a corresponding loan | 22 | entities with bank entities and the |
| 23 | of those funds back from Washington | 23 | second transaction that you've just said |
| 24 | Mutual Bank to -- Washington Mutual Bank | 24 | are separate transactions involved the |
| 25 | fsb to Washington Mutual Bank? | 25 | movement, alleged movement of funds from |
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| 2 | bank to bank. |
| 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | Q. And so my question to you is |
| 5 | why was that, if you know, you may not |
| 6 | know, processed by cash management rather |
| 7 | than treasury accounting? |
| 8 | A. That was always what cash |
| 9 | management, to my knowledge, took care |
| 10 | of, moving funds between the fsb and the |
| 11 | bank as well as other entities within the |
| 12 | common group. |
| 13 | Q. Okay. Then maybe you misspoke |
| 14 | before or I misunderstood your answer |
| 15 | before. Did you not tell me before that |
| 16 | cash management was involved in |
| 17 | processing transactions between nonbank |
| 18 | affiliate -- nonbank entities that were |
| 19 | part of the Washington Mutual family and |
| 20 | bank entities? |
| 21 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to |
| 22 | form. |
| 23 | A. I may have misspoke before and |
| 24 | limited it only to nonbank affiliate |
| 25 | transactions, but they also processed |
|  | 39 |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | transactions between the banks. |
| 3 | Q. So what you're telling me is |
| 4 | that any time any movement of funds |
| 5 | between any Washington Mutual entities |
| 6 | was the responsibility of -- the |
| 7 | responsibility for processing that fell |
| 8 | within cash management? |
| 9 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 10 | form. |
| 11 | A. Can you say that question |
| 12 | again, please. |
| 13 | Q. Yes. I'm just trying to make |
| 14 | sure I now understand your corrected |
| 15 | testimony, which is, is it your testimony |
| 16 | that any movement of funds between any |
| 17 | Washington Mutual entities was, the |
| 18 | responsibility for processing that fell |
| 19 | to cash management as distinct from |
| 20 | treasury accounting? |
| 21 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to |
| 22 | the characterization. |
| 23 | A. I'm still a bit confused. I |
| 24 | don't think that -- I never said that it |
| 25 | was always one or the other. There were |
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other departments within the bank that processed transactions.
Q. I want to focus on movement of funds between entities. Was that something that was the responsibility of cash management?
A. Usually, yes.
Q. And that was true whether that was the movement of funds from bank to bank, or nonbank to bank, or bank to nonbank?
A. Usually, yes.
Q. When you say usually, what do you mean by usual? Was there -- what do you mean by usual?
A. There were other departments within the bank, such as intercompany accounting, who directed the settlement of intercompany payments.
Q. And who ran intercompany accounting?
A. During September it would have been Paul Stephen.
Q. Maybe I should ask you a more

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY general question. Describe for me how the treasury division at Washington Mutual Bank was set up during the period of time we're talking about. At the top we have Mr. Williams, the treasurer. How many different people reported directly to him?
A. I really couldn't -- I'm --
Q. Let me ask you the question differently. At the top you have Mr. Williams. Below that could you give me your description of the way the treasury division at Washington Mutual Bank was organized?
A. I can give you a general description by the senior vice presidents that reported to Robert, but I'm not certain I'm going to get all of them.
Q. That's okay. I'm only asking you for the best of your recollection or knowledge.
A. Peter Freilinger was senior vice president, funding and capital. Pat Schulte was senior vice president, cash
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| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY or right this second, but what I'm asking |
| 2 |  |
| 3 | you right now is simply whether the |
| 4 | manner in which you transmitted your |
| 5 | comments, did you transmit it orally or |
| 6 | in writing? |
| 7 | (Instruction not to answer.) |
| 8 | MR. ABENSOHN: Same objection. |
| 9 | Doreen, I'll instruct you not to |
| 10 | answer. |
| 11 | Q. To the extent you transmitted |
| 12 | your comments in writing, did you |
| 13 | transmit them by fax, email or handing |
| 14 | them to somebody? |
| 15 | (Instruction not to answer.) |
| 16 | MR. ABENSOHN: Same |
| 17 | instruction. |
| 18 | Q. Do you recall any of the |
| 19 | changes you made to your affidavit as it |
| 20 | was originally drafted for you? |
| 21 | (Instruction not to answer.) |
| 22 | MR. ABENSOHN: Same objection. |
| 23 | MR. SACKS: You're instructing |
| 24 | her not to answer all these |
| 25 | questions? |
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| 2 | MR. ABENSOHN: I am. |
| 3 | MR. SACKS: So I take it you |
| 4 | will not permit me to examine her |
| 5 | on the process by which a draft of |
| 6 | an affidavit was presented to her |
| 7 | and she worked on it and modified |
| 8 | it before signing it? |
| 9 | MR. ABENSOHN: I can't respond |
| 10 | to that generally. I've |
| 11 | interjected an objection to |
| 12 | particular questions that were just |
| 13 | posed. |
| 14 | MR. SACKS: Just so I'm clear, |
| 15 | did you instruct her not to answer |
| 16 | the question as to whether she |
| 17 | recalls any of the changes she |
| 18 | made; is that right? |
| 19 | MR. ABENSOHN: Yes. |
| 20 | Q. What were the changes that you |
| 21 | made? |
| 22 | (Instruction not to answer.) |
| 23 | MR. ABENSOHN: Same objection. |
| 24 | MR. SACKS: And is that an |
| 25 | instruction? |

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY MR. ABENSOHN: It is.
Q. Who were the attorneys who
were involved with you in preparing this affidavit?
(Instruction not to answer.)
MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. I'm
going to instruct you not to
answer.
Q. Were they from the Quinn

Emanuel firm?
(Instruction not to answer.)
MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
Again, don't answer.
Q. Did you share a draft of this declaration with anybody before you ultimately finalized it and signed it?
(Instruction not to answer.)
MR. ABENSOHN: Same objection;
same instruction.
Q. And by that I meant to include anybody not limited to counsel? (Instruction not to answer.) MR. ABENSOHN: Same
instruction.
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Q. Did you do anything to prepare for this deposition?
A. Yes.
Q. What did you do?
A. Met with counsel.
Q. And when did you do that?
A. Wednesday and Thursday of last
week.
Q. And who did you meet with? (Instruction not to answer.) MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
I'll instruct not to answer.
Q. For how long did you meet?
A. Wednesday and Thursday, two days.
Q. How long in total during those
days? I understand you met on those
days, but how long in total did you spend meeting to prepare?
A. Six hours on Wednesday and perhaps the same on Thursday.
Q. Who was present during those meetings?
A. Counsel.
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| 2 | Q. And who was that counsel? | 2 | Q. Did you do anything since |
| 3 | (Instruction not to answer.) | 3 | signing this affidavit to investigate -- |
| 4 | MR. ABENSOHN: Same objection. | 4 | to examine the transactions in that |
| 5 | I'll instruct not to answer. | 5 | account? |
| 6 | Q. Anybody other than -- when you | 6 | MR. ABENSOHN: I'm just going |
| 7 | say counsel, do you mean outside counsel, | 7 | to interject with the concern for |
| 8 | inside counsel, or both? | 8 | privilege. I just want to make |
| 9 | A. External and internal counsel. | 9 | sure the witness is clear not to |
| 10 | Q. Anybody other than external | 10 | respond with respect to anything |
| 11 | and internal counsel present at those | 11 | that counsel discussed with you or |
| 12 | meetings, all or any portion of them? | 12 | anything that you might have done |
| 13 | A. No. | 13 | at the direction of counsel or in |
| 14 | Q. Were you shown any documents | 14 | interaction with counsel. |
| 15 | during those meetings? | 15 | MR. SACKS: Well, there's some |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | portions of that that may be |
| 17 | Q. How many? | 17 | correct, but clearly, to the extent |
| 18 | A. I couldn't name all the | 18 | she's testifying about a subject |
| 19 | documents that I saw. | 19 | and she did an investigation, |
| 20 | Q. Did any of them help refresh | 20 | regardless of whether counsel |
| 21 | your recollection on any subjects? | 21 | suggested to her that she do it, |
| 22 | A. Not in particular, no. | 22 | that's not a proper instruction. |
| 23 | Q. Other than meeting with | 23 | MR. ABENSOHN: The instruction |
| 24 | counsel, did you do anything else to | 24 | stands. |
| 25 | prepare for this deposition? | 25 | MR. SACKS: Fine. |
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| 2 | A. No. | 2 | Q. Did you do anything to |
| 3 | Q. Since signing this declaration | 3 | investigate any -- to examine any of the |
| 4 | -- I'm sorry, affidavit -- I'm going to | 4 | transactions in the 0667 account between |
| 5 | call it a declaration time and time again | 5 | the time you signed this affidavit and |
| 6 | and if so, I apologize, I really mean | 6 | today? |
| 7 | your affidavit. It's rare to see an | 7 | A. I may have. |
| 8 | affidavit these days, everyone signs | 8 | Q. What do you mean you may have? |
| 9 | declarations. | 9 | A. Well, as the controller and |
| 10 | After you signed this | 10 | assistant treasurer of WMI, we are often |
| 11 | affidavit till today, and excluding the | 11 | going back to prior to 9/26 to look at |
| 12 | meetings you had with counsel, did you do | 12 | transactions. |
| 13 | anything to further investigate the | 13 | Q. Do you have a -- |
| 14 | matters that are the subject of your | 14 | A. So not specific to my |
| 15 | affidavit? | 15 | affidavit, but in the normal course of my |
| 16 | A. No. | 16 | job I may have done that, yes. |
| 17 | Q. Did you do anything to further | 17 | Q. Do you have a recollection of |
| 18 | inform yourself as to the matters that | 18 | having looked at any transactions in the |
| 19 | are the subject of your affidavit? | 19 | 0667 account between the time you signed |
| 20 | A. No. | 20 | this affidavit and today? |
| 21 | Q. For example, in your affidavit | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | you discuss various accounts, including | 22 | Q. What transactions do you |
| 23 | the 0667 account. Are you familiar with | 23 | recall looking at? |
| 24 | that account? | 24 | A. Two in particular, the tax |
| 25 | A. Very. I opened it, yes. | 25 | payments made in September, I think it's |
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MR. ABENSOHN: I'm going to
take a moment with the witness with
respect to this question to explore the possibility of a privilege issue.

MR. SACKS: We should go off the record.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment, please, and watch your microphones when you get up. The time is now 10:29 a.m., we're now off the record.
(Witness and counsel confer.)
(A recess was taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
10:31 a.m., we're back on the record.

MR. ABENSOHN: If we could get
that question read again, I think
the witness is able to respond.
(Record read as requested.)
Q. I think I can ask it again. I
think the question was simply why did you

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY go look at those transactions?
A. The tax department was researching all the tax payments made by WMB to WMI and they asked me to pull what transactions I had available to me. The two I could find were the two that totaled \$322 million.
Q. So you didn't have available to you the six -- I'm sorry, they totaled how much?
A. 322 million.
Q. So you didn't have available to you the transaction from the month before for 600 million?
A. I didn't have access to that. I didn't -- I didn't have that in my own personal records, no.
Q. What information were you providing to the tax department?
A. The email exchange where the tax payment from WMB to WMI was approved by the senior management in tax.
Q. And so that you had in your personal records?
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A. Yes, I had it, yes.
Q. When you say your personal records, what do you mean?
A. I had -- I'm not sure if $I$ was copied on the email or if I'd gotten a package of what that email exchange looked like.
Q. Okay. So meaning that other than providing email documents, was there any other documents you provided about that transaction?
A. The print screen from MTS, which is the wire system, that shows that the tax payment, two tax payments were book transfers from the bank to WMI.
Q. So you had that in your own personal possession?
A. Yes.
Q. I mean did you have to log on and get that?
A. No.
Q. So you already had an old print screen?
A. Mm-hmm.
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| 2 | Q. Mm-hmm meaning yes? |
| 3 | A. Yes. Sorry. Sorry about |
| 4 | that. Yes. |
| 5 | Q. Those were the only tax |
| 6 | transactions that you had information |
| 7 | about? |
| 8 | A. I believe I also looked for, |
| 9 | I'm not certain that I found, the |
| 10 | information for the \$1.9 billion tax |
| 11 | refund. |
| 12 | Q. I'm sorry, the which tax |
| 13 | refund? |
| 14 | A. The \$1.9 billion tax refund. |
| 15 | Q. When was that refund? |
| 16 | A. I believe that was in February |
| 17 | of 2008. |
| 18 | Q. And you did not look for the |
| 19 | \$600 million transaction? |
| 20 | A. No. |
| 21 | Q. Are there any other |
| 22 | transactions in the 0667 account that you |
| 23 | recall looking into between the time you |
| 24 | signed this affidavit and today? |
| 25 | A. Not -- nothing in particular |
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| 2 | that comes to mind, no. |
| 3 | Q. In your position as first vice |
| 4 | president of Washington Mutual Bank, did |
| 5 | you have any -- strike that. |
| 6 | In your positions as an |
| 7 | employee of Washington Mutual Bank and |
| 8 | in, as you say, an officer of Washington |
| 9 | Mutual, Inc. and Washington Mutual Bank |
| 10 | fsb in September of 2008, on whose behalf |
| 11 | did you understand you were acting in |
| 12 | connection with transactions among those |
| 13 | entities? |
| 14 | A. Is there a specific |
| 15 | transaction? |
| 16 | Q. In connection with the \$3.67 |
| 17 | billion transaction or transactions as |
| 18 | you described them, on whose behalf were |
| 19 | you acting? |
| 20 | A. WMI requested that their |
| 21 | deposit be moved, so I was acting on |
| 22 | behalf of WMI. |
| 23 | Q. And so you understood you were |
| 24 | looking out for the interests of WMI in |
| 25 | connection with those transactions? |

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; form.
A. I was proceeding with the instruction received which was to transfer their deposit to the fsb.
Q. And also to loan the money back, correct?
A. In a separate transaction, yes.
Q. And WMI instructed that transaction too, didn't it?
A. No.
Q. So that wasn't instructed by WMI?
A. No. WMI is not a party to the master note between the fsb and the bank.
Q. That's not what I asked you.

I asked you was that transaction not instructed by the very same person?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection;
form.
A. Was the instruction?
Q. Correct.
A. Ask the question again,

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY please.
Q. The transaction to loan the money back, who instructed you to loan the money back?
A. There was no specific instruction. That is the normal practice with the fsb when it has excess funds, it loans it back to the bank.
Q. So you understood at the time you were going to move the funds that they were going to be loaned back; is that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And the instruction for that transaction came to you from WMI, correct?
A. No.

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to
form.
Q. Let's start again. Why don't
we start in the broadest of sense for
this transaction.
Did there come a time in
September of 2008 that you became
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| 2 | involved in either a transfer of funds | 2 | Q. Is that a word you added or |
| 3 | to, in the approximate amount of \$3.67 | 3 | was that a word that was in the affidavit |
| 4 | billion from Washington Mutual -- from an | 4 | that was provided to you? |
| 5 | account that Washington Mutual, Inc. had | 5 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 6 | at Washington Mutual Bank to Washington | 6 | A. I was interviewed by counsel |
| 7 | Mutual Bank fsb? | 7 | and they took the facts and put them in |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | the form required by the court. |
| 9 | Q. And did there come a time in | 9 | Q. My question for you is the |
| 10 | September of 2008 that you became | 10 | following: You say you understand that |
| 11 | involved in the loan back of that same | 11 | JPMorgan Chase engaged in this P\&A |
| 12 | \$3.67 billion from Washington Mutual Bank | 12 | transaction. What's the basis for your |
| 13 | fsb to Washington Mutual Bank? | 13 | understanding? |
| 14 | A. Yes. | 14 | MR. ABENSOHN: Let me take one |
| 15 | Q. And when did you become | 15 | moment. Let me just instruct you. |
| 16 | involved in either or both of those | 16 | To the extent you're going to get |
| 17 | transactions? | 17 | into discussions with counsel, I |
| 18 | A. I received the instruction on | 18 | ask you not to answer. |
| 19 | September 18th while on a phone call to | 19 | A. Can you ask the question |
| 20 | transfer WMI's deposit from the bank to | 20 | again, please. |
| 21 | the fsb. | 21 | Q. I think I asked you what the |
| 22 | Q. Okay. And did you receive any | 22 | basis for your understanding was? |
| 23 | other instructions? | 23 | A. I'm sorry, the basis of my |
| 24 | A. Not specific to the deposit, | 24 | understanding for what? |
| 25 | other than move the maximum amount of | 25 | Q. I'm confused at this point |
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| 2 | funds that WMI has available to the fsb. | 2 | myself. What's your basis for your |
| 3 | Q. Did you receive any other | 3 | understanding that on September 25th, |
| 4 | instructions relating to the loan? | 4 | JPMorgan Chase purportedly engaged in |
| 5 | A. On that phone call, I did not | 5 | this transaction? |
| 6 | receive any instruction regarding the | 6 | A. I worked at the bank and I |
| 7 | loan. | 7 | read the P\&A and all of a sudden I was an |
| 8 | Q. Did you at any time receive | 8 | employee of JPMorgan. |
| 9 | instructions relating to the loan? | 9 | Q. Why did you describe, why did |
| 10 | A. No. | 10 | you say that JPMorgan Chase purportedly |
| 11 | Q. If you would look at your | 11 | purchased these assets? |
| 12 | affidavit for me, please. In paragraph 3 | 12 | MR. ABENSOHN: I'm going to |
| 13 | of that affidavit you refer to a P\&A | 13 | take one moment with the witness. |
| 14 | agreement. Do you see that? | 14 | MR. SACKS: Let's go off the |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 | record. |
| 16 | Q. Have you read the P\&A | 16 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment, |
| 17 | agreement? | 17 | please, watch your microphones. |
| 18 | A. Most of it, yes. | 18 | The time is 10:42 a.m., we're now |
| 19 | Q. You indicate in the second | 19 | off the record. |
| 20 | line of that that on September 25, 2008 | 20 | (Witness and counsel confer.) |
| 21 | JPMorgan Chase N A purportedly purchased | 21 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is |
| 22 | substantially all and then it goes on | 22 | 10:43 a.m., we're back on the |
| 23 | from there. Is the word purportedly your | 23 | record. |
| 24 | word? | 24 | Q. After speaking with counsel, |
| 25 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. | 25 | can you answer my question now? |

1
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A. Can I ask you to repeat it.
Q. Sure. I'm asking you why you
said that on that date JPMorgan Chase
purportedly purchased these assets pursuant to the P\&A agreement?
A. I don't remember specifically why the word purportedly was used.
Q. Let me -- in paragraph 4 of your declaration you say, "As of
September 25, 2008, WMI and WMI Investment Corp. had cash on deposit with WMB and with WMI's indirect wholly owned subsidiary, Washington Mutual Bank fsb, Park City, Utah, in excess of \$3.8 billion." And then you go on from there.

Am I correct that that number is simply the -- a reflection of you adding the balances credited to the six accounts that you identify on the next page?
A. Yes, that's the balance outstanding at $9 / 25$.
Q. So when you say that they had
cash on deposit, what you mean by cash on you mean by cash on deposit?
A. In cash management, as the
cash management management from 2000 to
DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
deposit is the credit balances in those six accounts?
A. I mean the cash on deposit.

These were deposit accounts, cash on deposit.
Q. Do you of your personal
knowledge know that WMI deposited actual cash into those accounts?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection;
form.
A. I'm sorry, please --
Q. Do you of your personal
knowledge know that WMI deposited actual
cash into those accounts?
MR. ABENSOHN: Same objection.
A. I'm having trouble with the term actual cash.
Q. Well, then I'm having trouble with your telling me that there was cash. You say there is cash. Let's go back.

25
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2005, we knew that the balances in these
accounts represented cash that belonged
to these companies. On the balance sheet
of these companies there was a
corresponding general ledger account that was labeled due from WMB which represented the cash that it had on deposit at the bank.
Q. But my question -- so again, am I correct that your statement that this was cash is a reflection of the balance credited to the account?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
A. A balance credited to the account?
Q. Correct.
A. That is how demand deposits work.
Q. But you have no personal
knowledge as to the source of what was credited, in all cases what the source of what was credited to those accounts, do you?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection;

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY foundation.
Q. You can answer. We may be talking semantics. And I'm not trying to confuse you here. I want to use terms that are clear.

Am I correct that what you
refer to as cash on deposit is an aggregation of the balances credited to those six accounts?
A. Yes.
Q. And as to the statement that they are cash, you're making that statement because you understand these six accounts to be DDA accounts?
A. That's correct.
Q. But you don't have personal knowledge as to the source of all of the deposits to those accounts, do you?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
A. Personal knowledge as to the source? No.
Q. And so you've not done any analysis or investigation to determine if in fact deposits, for example, any
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| 2 | deposits to that account were not in fact |
| 3 | funds that belonged to WMI? |
| 4 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to |
| 5 | form. |
| 6 | A. Have I done any investigation? |
| 7 | No. |
| 8 | Q. Okay. Now let's talk about |
| 9 | these accounts if we could for a moment. |
| 10 | I want to defer -- well let's look at |
| 11 | your list there in paragraph 5. The |
| 12 | first account, which I'll just call the |
| 13 | fsb account, 4234 account, that account, |
| 14 | when was that account purportedly opened? |
| 15 | A. The 4234 account was opened on |
| 16 | September 19th. We attempted to open it |
| 17 | on September 19th. |
| 18 | Q. When you say you attempted to |
| 19 | open it on September 19th, first of all, |
| 20 | you say we, who do you mean we? |
| 21 | A. I requested that Yolanda |
| 22 | Noblezada in cash management complete the |
| 23 | form to create the deposit account at the |
| 24 | fsb. |
| 25 | Q. And you were requested to do |
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the retail banking division. In order to
open what we refer to as an "On-us" DDA
account, that was the form.
Q. Is there any account agreement for that account?
A. Not for an internal DDA account, no.
Q. And what document sets forth -- you're familiar with an account agreement of the type that would be signed by a third party depositor, are you not?
A. I am.
Q. And I take that it there is no similar document that is executed in connection with an internal account?
A. That is not -- that is
correct. Those forms are not required.
Q. And what terms do you
understand govern an internal account?
MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to
form.
Q. The type of a document that I
described to you is a form of document
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A. Pat Schulte.
Q. Okay. And you said it wasn't opened on September 19th?
A. It was -- no, the account was not opened correctly on September 19th.
Q. And when do you believe the account was in fact opened?
A. Perhaps the 22nd or 23rd. I can't say for certain when the actual 4234 account was opened.
Q. And what -- are there any account opening documents for that account that you're aware of?
A. Yes, the policy states that
there is a new account request form that
needs to be completed and that's the form that we used to open the bank account.
Q. And that's an accounting form, that's a treasury department form?
A. No, that's not a treasury
form.
Q. Whose form is that?
A. It's the back office branch in

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY that sets forth the terms and conditions of an account between the depositor and the bank, correct?
A. For a third party?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. And I'm asking you what do you understand sets forth the terms between the depositor, in this case allegedly Washington Mutual, Inc., and the bank, Washington Mutual Bank fsb, with respect to an internal account?
A. I'm not aware --

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to form.
A. I'm not aware of any specific documents that govern internal deposit accounts.
Q. I take it you're then not
aware of what those precise terms and conditions are?

> MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
A. I'm not.
Q. Let's go down if we could then
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| 2 | A. I couldn't say for sure. |
| 3 | Q. Well, did it have any deposit |
| 4 | accounts at fsb? |
| 5 | A. I couldn't say for sure. |
| 6 | Q. To your knowledge, did any |
| 7 | other Washington Mutual subsidiaries have |
| 8 | any DDA accounts at the fsb prior to the |
| 9 | opening of this account? |
| 10 | A. During this time period, not |
| 11 | that I'm aware of, no. |
| 12 | Q. Had you previously ever been |
| 13 | involved with opening a DDA account at |
| 14 | fsb on behalf of any Washington Mutual -- |
| 15 | on behalf of any Washington Mutual |
| 16 | subsidiary prior to this? |
| 17 | A. No. |
| 18 | Q. Let's go back again, sorry for |
| 19 | that detour. |
| 20 | The 1206 account, you said you |
| 21 | were involved in opening that account? |
| 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | Q. What kind of account is that? |
| 24 | A. I believe that is an interest |
| 25 | bearing account. |
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| 2 | Q. Okay. And what was the |
| 3 | purpose for which that account was |
| 4 | opened? |
| 5 | A. We opened that account at the |
| 6 | request of internal counsel as collateral |
| 7 | for -- |
| 8 | MR. ABENSOHN: Let me stop you |
| 9 | regarding any discussions with |
| 10 | counsel. I'm sorry, this was WMB |
| 11 | counsel? |
| 12 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 13 | MR. ABENSOHN: I apologize. |
| 14 | Go ahead. |
| 15 | Q. This was open -- this was |
| 16 | opened years ago, wasn't it? |
| 17 | A. 2000 and -- like five or six |
| 18 | years ago. |
| 19 | MR. SACKS: I guess we ought |
| 20 | to get that on the record too for a |
| 21 | minute. For the benefit of other |
| 22 | people here otherwise we're going |
| 23 | to have to have people leave the |
| 24 | room. Obviously there are issues |
| 25 | of privilege that may -- or issues |
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of what counsel said that may come
up. In the ultimate transcript
those will be redacted from what is going to be made available to people other than the debtor and us because we share that common privilege. We can either have an agreement today since this is going to be confidential until that is ultimately provided, that people understand that by allowing you to sit here you can't disclose it to anybody and it's not a waiver of privilege, otherwise we're going to have to ask people to leave the room. And so I guess I would ask by the assent of everyone who's sitting here that they understand that this is not a waiver of privilege and that the portions that arguably relate to communications with counsel prior to the split of the two entities is going to be redacted and the
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privilege is going to be preserved as to that. Can I get the consent of the people here to that? Otherwise I'm going to have to ask people to leave the room?

MR. JOHNSON: This is Robert Johnson from Akin Gump on behalf of the Official Committee of Unsecured
Creditors, and Bob, that is
certainly my understanding. I'm happy to undertake to abide by that agreement.

MS. MANZER: Nancy Manzer from Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale \&
Dorr. I think we also are, with the understanding of course that any claim of privilege -- will be subject to a challenge if it's challengeable.

MR. SACKS: Always the case, obviously, but absolutely.

MR. de LEEUW: Michael de Leeuw from Fried, Frank, we agree to your groundrule.
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| 2 | MR. SHIEKMAN: Larry Shiekman | 2 | Q. Okay. So you understood this |
| 3 | from Pepper Hampton, we're | 3 | to be a collateral account? |
| 4 | co-counsel with Mr. Johnson and I | 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | join his remarks. | 5 | Q. If you look under Exhibit A to |
| 6 | MR. STROCHAK: I'm Adam | 6 | your declaration, you attach a number of |
| 7 | Strochak from Weil Gotshal. We're | 7 | deposit account statements? |
| 8 | Debtors' counsel, so we agree. | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | MR. SACKS: Not a problem for | 9 | Q. The first is Washington |
| 10 | you. | 10 | Mutual, Inc., FBO Washington Mutual Bank |
| 11 | MR. WELCH: Trevor Welch, | 11 | FA, that is the 1206 account, correct? |
| 12 | Kasowitz, Benson, WMI Noteholders | 12 | A. That's correct. |
| 13 | Group, we agree. | 13 | Q. And that was the title on the |
| 14 | MR. COLES: Tony Coles from | 14 | account? |
| 15 | DLA Piper for the FDIC, that's fine | 15 | A. Yes. |
| 16 | with us as well. | 16 | Q. You understood that account |
| 17 | MR. SACKS: We have to stop | 17 | was also subject to a security agreement? |
| 18 | and go off the record for a change | 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | of the tape. | 19 | Q. And are you familiar with the |
| 20 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment, | 20 | terms of that security agreement? |
| 21 | please, and watch your microphones | 21 | A. In general. |
| 22 | when you get up. Here now marks | 22 | Q. Are you aware that that |
| 23 | the end of tape 1 of the deposition | 23 | security agreement gave Washington Mutual |
| 24 | of Ms. Doreen A. Logan. The time | 24 | Bank a security interest by its terms in |
| 25 | is now 11:01 a.m. | 25 | other accounts that Washington Mutual, |
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| 2 | (A recess was taken.) | 2 | Inc. had at Washington Mutual Bank? |
| 3 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here now | 3 | A. Not to my knowledge. |
| 4 | marks the beginning of tape 2 of | 4 | Q. But you do understand that |
| 5 | the deposition of Ms. Doreen A. | 5 | account to be governed by that security |
| 6 | Logan. The time is 11:13 a.m., | 6 | agreement? |
| 7 | we're back on the record. | 7 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to |
| 8 | Q. All right, Ms. Logan, we were | 8 | form. |
| 9 | talking about the 1206 account I believe | 9 | Q. At least the 1206 account is |
| 10 | when we broke. | 10 | governed -- the security agreement is |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | applicable to the 1206 account? |
| 12 | Q. And I think you said you were | 12 | A. The $\mathbf{1 2 0 6}$ account is listed in |
| 13 | involved in the opening of that | 13 | the agreement as the account, yes. |
| 14 | account -- | 14 | Q. And did you -- is this a DDA |
| 15 | A. Yes. | 15 | account? |
| 16 | Q. -- sometime ago. If you'd | 16 | A. This is a money market deposit |
| 17 | look, I guess, you in your chart say the | 17 | account. |
| 18 | depositor is WMI. I think -- what did | 18 | Q. And do you understand that |
| 19 | you say the purpose for that account was? | 19 | Washington Mutual, Inc.'s right to |
| 20 | A. We were asked to, cash | 20 | withdraw funds from that account may be |
| 21 | management was asked to open a deposit | 21 | affected by the security agreement? |
| 22 | account where WMI would deposit money | 22 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection to |
| 23 | into the account to act as collateral for | 23 | form. |
| 24 | services provided by the bank to WMI and | 24 | A. Say the question again so I |
| 25 | its subsidiaries. | 25 | make sure I understand. |
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| 2 | Q. Sure. Do you understand that |
| 3 | Washington Mutual, Inc.'s right to |
| 4 | withdraw funds from that account may be |
| 5 | affected by the provisions of the |
| 6 | security agreement? |
| 7 | MR. ABENSOHN: Same objection. |
| 8 | A. We in cash management |
| 9 | understood that this account was |
| 10 | collateral and was not a transaction |
| 11 | account. |
| 12 | Q. So let me -- did you have any |
| 13 | -- do you have any understanding as to |
| 14 | whether Washington Mutual, Inc.'s right |
| 15 | to withdraw funds from that account may |
| 16 | be affected by the terms of the security |
| 17 | interest agreement? |
| 18 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 19 | form. |
| 20 | A. Do I -- sorry, I've forgotten |
| 21 | the question already. I'm sorry. |
| 22 | Q. Sure. Do you understand that |
| 23 | Washington Mutual, Inc.'s right to |
| 24 | withdraw funds from this account may be |
| 25 | affected by the terms of the security |
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| 2 | agreement? |
| 3 | MR. ABENSOHN: Same objection. |
| 4 | A. I understand this account was |
| 5 | governed by the security agreement. |
| 6 | Q. Are there any other accounts |
| 7 | on your list here that you understand are |
| 8 | governed by security agreements? |
| 9 | A. Not to my knowledge. |
| 10 | Q. Are you aware of the existence |
| 11 | of a security agreement for the 9663 |
| 12 | account? |
| 13 | A. I wasn't party to opening that |
| 14 | account. There may exist for that |
| 15 | account because it was a Long Beach -- it |
| 16 | was a Long Beach collateral account. |
| 17 | Q. You just don't know? |
| 18 | A. I don't know. |
| 19 | Q. Let's go on. The 0667 |
| 20 | account, that's an account you describe |
| 21 | in your declaration -- in your affidavit |
| 22 | and you discuss. Were you involved in |
| 23 | the opening of that account? |
| 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. When was that account opened? |
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A. Approximately 2002.
Q. And for what purpose was that account opened?
A. The WMI previously had its funds on deposit at the state chartered bank that was in existence at the time, and WMB, as we called it, I'm sorry it's confusing, WMB as we called the state chartered bank, had the largest depositor it had was WMI, and because WMB FA was a much larger bank, we transferred its deposit to the FA.
Q. Let me ask this question. In the accounting system there's an entity 01 and an entity 02 . What's the difference -- and both of those refer to Washington Mutual Bank?
A. Yes.
Q. And what's the difference between entity 01 and entity 02 ?
A. Depending on the time frame, prior to 200501 was a separate legal entity, a state chartered bank.
Q. After 2005?
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A. It was merged with Company 2,
which is WMB FA, and it changed its name
to the bank, but the accounting system was never fully integrated to eliminate company 1 and Company 2.
Q. But for substantive purposes they're the same entity?
A. They're the same entity, yes.
Q. For accounting purposes
sometimes they're distinct by where the general ledger entry historically happened to be?
A. As well as where Hogan posted, yes.
Q. Okay. And Company 40 was fsb?
A. Yes.
Q. And Company 70 was WMI?
A. Yes.
Q. The name on the 0667 account changed over time; is that right?
A. The mailing address changed over time.
Q. An anything else about the name change over time?
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A. I'm not certain.
Q. Well let me draw you to

Exhibit D where you set -- where you
include a number of historical statements
for the 0667 account, and if you'd look
back, it's Page A-62. First of all, who's Melanie Stockwell?
A. She was the person who
previously reconciled this bank account
on Company 2 to the general ledger
account at Company 70.
Q. Well, if you look prior, do
you see the title of that account?
A. Washington Mutual, Inc., yes.
Q. Seattle Bank Recon?
A. That's the address.
Q. That's the address of that account?
A. That's the department that Melanie Stockwell worked in, yes.
Q. She was in the reconciliation
department?
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Q. Let me go back. From time to time were entries on the general ledger in a specific form reclassified?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
A. I couldn't say.
Q. Let me ask it again. Are you
familiar with the term reclassification?
A. Yes.
Q. What do you understand it to mean?
A. I'm not certain -- I'm not certain I could define it.
Q. Well is it a term you use in the course of your work?
A. Not usually.
Q. Occasionally?
A. Occasionally.
Q. Ever had exchanges with people where there's discussion about making certain entries and the possibility they may be reclassified at month or quarter end?
A. Not to my -- not that I
recall.
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A. She was in the Seattle Bank

Recon department, yes.
Q. Recon means reconciliation?
A. Yes.
Q. And what is the reconciliation
department?
A. Specific to where Melanie worked?
Q. Sure.
A. She reconciled the bank
statements, in this case specific to
Company 70, she reconciled the bank
statements received from the bank to the
general ledger account on Company 70.
Q. And what do you mean by reconciled?
A. Making sure that every debit and credit at the bank, on the bank statement, is reflected on the books and records of WMI.
Q. From time to time were entries reclassified?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; form.
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Q. It doesn't ring a bell to you?
A. No.
Q. So you're not familiar with,
you don't recall being party to
communication where there was discussion
as to whether certain entries in the GL
would be reclassified in a different
manner at the end of a month or quarter?
MR. ABENSOHN: Objection;
vague.
Q. No?
A. No.
Q. Let's go down these accounts.

The 9626 account, were you involved in the opening of that account?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was that account for, the purpose of that account?
A. Specifically it was a very
special account. It was the only account we cut checks on and it was for political contributions made by WMI.
Q. When was that account opened?
A. I would estimate 2005.
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| 2 | Q. What about the 9663 account? |
| 3 | I believe you told me you were not |
| 4 | involved in opening that account? |
| 5 | A. No, because that was opened in |
| 6 | 2006 when I was already part of |
| 7 | structured finance. |
| 8 | Q. And that account is entitled |
| 9 | "Washington Mutual, Inc. to indemnity for |
| 10 | 3/1/2006 LBMC transfer." |
| 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | Q. Who was involved in opening |
| 13 | that account? |
| 14 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 15 | foundation. |
| 16 | Q. Somebody, I assume somebody |
| 17 | was involved in opening that account? |
| 18 | A. Gregg Sherrington in cash |
| 19 | management. |
| 20 | Q. Thank you. |
| 21 | A. Who's no longer at the bank. |
| 22 | Q. Thank you. And do you have an |
| 23 | understanding of the purpose for that |
| 24 | account? |
| 25 | A. Yes. |
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| 2 | Q. And what's your understanding? |
| 3 | A. Long Beach Mortgage had |
| 4 | previously been a subsidiary of WMI, and |
| 5 | when it was -- for a short period of time |
| 6 | became a sub of the bank and then merged |
| 7 | into the bank. Because Long Beach had |
| 8 | been an affiliate, WMI as its owner had |
| 9 | to pledge a certain amount, \$10 million |
| 10 | was the proposed amount, to indemnify the |
| 11 | bank for any expenses it would incur in |
| 12 | any litigation, in anything to do with |
| 13 | Long Beach Mortgage prior to its merger |
| 14 | into the bank. |
| 15 | Q. You're not aware as to whether |
| 16 | there is an actual written security |
| 17 | agreement governing that account? |
| 18 | A. I have not seen it. I would |
| 19 | -- I would have to guess. I don't know |
| 20 | that I've seen it. |
| 21 | Q. I don't want you to guess. |
| 22 | And the 4704 account, the last account |
| 23 | here? |
| 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | Q. Were you involved in opening |
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A. Yes.
Q. And what's that account?
A. It's the main demand deposit account for WMI Investment.
Q. And what kind of account is that? Is it a DDA?
A. Demand deposit to B3 checking.
Q. I want to move on if we could to the transaction or transactions that occurred in September involving \$3.67 billion. You indicated that you first learned about this on September 18th in a conversation with Pat Schulte?
A. Yes.
Q. And your instructions were, as I understand it, to open a demand deposit account at Washington Mutual Bank fsb and transfer the maximum amount of money in the 0667 account to that account?
A. That's correct.
Q. And who gave you those instructions?
A. We were on a phone call with
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Carey Brennan, and Carey Brennan expressed that he wanted us to transfer the maximum amount to the fsb, and because Pat was an authorized individual, she gave me the instruction to proceed with those instructions.
Q. And what specifically -- was
there a specific reference to the 0667 account in what Mr. Brennan supposedly said to Ms. Schulte and you?
A. I don't think he called it the 667 account, no.
Q. Was anyone else on that phone? That was a phone call?
A. Yes.
Q. You and Ms. Schulte were physically together?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you aware of anyone else being present?
A. Physically present or on the phone?
Q. Either.
A. Yes.
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| 2 | Q. Tell me what you are aware of? |
| 3 | A. Carey Brennan and Chad Smith |
| 4 | were on the phone. |
| 5 | Q. Who was Mr. Smith other than |
| 6 | the -- |
| 7 | A. Other than the individual |
| 8 | sitting right there? |
| 9 | Q. -- individual sitting across |
| 10 | the table from me? |
| 11 | A. I'm not certain I could give |
| 12 | you his title, but he was treasury's main |
| 13 | contact in legal for structured |
| 14 | transactions, pretty much everything that |
| 15 | treasury did, capital markets. |
| 16 | Q. You understood he was a |
| 17 | lawyer? |
| 18 | A. I understood he was an |
| 19 | attorney, yes. |
| 20 | Q. And that he was in the legal |
| 21 | department? |
| 22 | A. Yes. |
| 23 | Q. Did you understand him to be |
| 24 | an employee of Washington Mutual Bank? |
| 25 | A. Yes. |
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| 2 | Q. Did you understand him to be |
| 3 | an employee of Washington Mutual, Inc.? |
| 4 | A. No. |
| 5 | Q. Did you have any understanding |
| 6 | as to whether he had any officer or |
| 7 | director, other title with Washington |
| 8 | Mutual, Inc.? |
| 9 | A. No. |
| 10 | Q. Do you understand whether he |
| 11 | had at that time, whether he had any |
| 12 | position with Washington Mutual Bank fsb? |
| 13 | A. We're still talking about |
| 14 | Chad? |
| 15 | Q. Chad. |
| 16 | A. No. |
| 17 | Q. Now, anybody else that you |
| 18 | recall being present? |
| 19 | A. No. |
| 20 | Q. How were you aware that Mr. |
| 21 | Smith was present? |
| 22 | A. I heard his voice and Pat |
| 23 | Schulte told me that Chad and Carey were |
| 24 | on the phone. |
| 25 | Q. I take it you were not in the |
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A. That's correct.
Q. Did anyone tell you who had made the decision to engage in this transaction?
A. Which transaction?
Q. Any transaction involving what ultimately turned out to be $\$ 3.67$ billion?
A. I'm sorry, your original question then.
Q. Were you given any information as to who had made the decision to engage in this transfer?
A. No.
Q. So at the time you were involved in that conversation and instructed by Ms. Schulte, you were not aware as to who had made the decision to do this?
A. That's correct.
Q. Have you subsequently come to learn who made the decision to do this?
A. No.
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Q. So as you sit here today, you
don't know who made the decision to engage in this transfer?
A. No. I just know who I received my instruction from.
Q. Okay. I'm only trying to ask what you do or don't know.

Were there any, at the time you received your -- strike that.

At the time you went out to execute your instruction, had you seen any documents authorizing this transaction?
A. Pat Schulte in her position as senior vice president of cash management was authorized us -- was authorized to direct me to do this transaction.
Q. I understand. I'm not asking you to tell me what she was or was not authorized to do. I'm asking whether you saw any documents that purported to authorize this transaction?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to form.
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| 2 | Q. I asked a question about | 2 | A. No. |
| 3 | documents and you told me what you | 3 | Q. Did you ever ask what the |
| 4 | believe Ms. Schulte was or was not | 4 | purpose for this transaction was? |
| 5 | authorized to do. And my question is | 5 | A. After a few days I did. |
| 6 | simply at the time you began doing this | 6 | Q. Who did you ask? |
| 7 | had you seen any documents authorizing | 7 | A. I don't recall, but I remember |
| 8 | this transaction? | 8 | in a conversation with Pat Schulte we |
| 9 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection again | 9 | discussed the purpose of the transaction. |
| 10 | as to form. | 10 | Q. Did you discuss the purpose of |
| 11 | A. No documents were required. | 11 | the transaction with anybody else? |
| 12 | So no, I didn't see any documents. | 12 | A. Not that I recall. |
| 13 | Q. So the answer is you didn't | 13 | Q. Okay. When do you believe you |
| 14 | see any documents? | 14 | had that conversation with Ms. Schulte? |
| 15 | A. That's correct. | 15 | A. During the week of $9 / 22$. I |
| 16 | Q. So what did you do next with | 16 | couldn't name a specific day. |
| 17 | respect to this transaction after you got | 17 | Q. I understand that Ms. Schulte |
| 18 | instructions from Ms. Schulte? | 18 | gave you the instruction that you |
| 19 | A. I asked Yolanda Noblezada to | 19 | understood to be proper authorization to |
| 20 | complete the new account request form. | 20 | open this account, but was it your |
| 21 | Q. Let me ask you a fact | 21 | understanding she made the decision to |
| 22 | question. Did the subject of the loan | 22 | engage in this transaction? |
| 23 | come up in that conversation? | 23 | A. No, not based on the phone |
| 24 | A. In which conversation? | 24 | call we had with Chad and Mr. Brennan. |
| 25 | Q. The conversation that you had | 25 | Q. So you understood that she was |
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| 2 | in Ms. Schulte's office where you got | 2 | being directed to do this and then she |
| 3 | your instructions? | 3 | authorized you to do it? |
| 4 | A. No. | 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | Q. After those original | 5 | Q. And what did Ms. Schulte tell |
| 6 | instructions were you given any further | 6 | you about I guess what she understood the |
| 7 | instructions by Ms. Schulte? | 7 | purpose for this transaction to be? |
| 8 | A. No. | 8 | A. That we were moving the |
| 9 | Q. Were you given any further | 9 | deposit to the more well capitalized bank |
| 10 | instructions by anybody else with respect | 10 | within the consolidated group. |
| 11 | to the execution of this transaction? | 11 | Q. Did she tell you more than |
| 12 | A. No. | 12 | that? |
| 13 | Q. Were you -- when I say this | 13 | A. No, this was part of a |
| 14 | transaction, let me break it down because | 14 | discussion. I don't recall exactly who |
| 15 | you distinguish between the two. | 15 | made the comment, but that's what we came |
| 16 | Were you given any further | 16 | to understand the purpose of the |
| 17 | instructions regarding the loan that you | 17 | transaction was. |
| 18 | describe in your affidavit? | 18 | Q. Well, did somebody -- let me |
| 19 | A. No. | 19 | go back. So you and Ms. Schulte were |
| 20 | Q. So you went to Ms. Noblezada | 20 | having a conversation, face to face? |
| 21 | and asked her to open this account? | 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | A. Yes. | 22 | Q. And you don't recall the |
| 23 | Q. Did you ask what the purpose | 23 | specific date of that conversation? |
| 24 | for this transaction was, before speaking | 24 | A. No. |
| 25 | to Ms. Noblezada? | 25 | Q. And in that conversation the |
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subject of why this transfer of funds was being done came up?
A. Yes.
Q. And how did it come up?
A. I don't recall. It was a very tumultuous time. I don't recall.
Q. Tumultuous because the bank was suffering, was having liquidity problems?
A. Looking back that potentially was the tumult, but it was meetings, it was just a very difficult time.
Q. The bank was hemorrhaging deposits at that point in time, wasn't it?
A. Oh, it had stopped at that point, but that was --
Q. It had stopped?
A. My understanding was it had stopped, but I wasn't party to the specific information about when deposits were running off.
Q. You were not copied on the daily email exchanges that reported that
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A. No.
Q. As you sit here today are you aware of it?
A. No.
Q. So this was a tumultuous time and you and Ms. Schulte were talking. The purpose for your conversation I take it was not specifically this transfer of funds?
A. No.
Q. But this subject came up as to why this was being done?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you remark that it was inconsistent, that this transfer was inconsistent with other activities that you were carrying out with respect to Washington Mutual Bank fsb?
A. No.
Q. That other programs that were in place were inconsistent with this transaction?
A. No.
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billions in deposits were being withdrawn
from the bank on a daily basis?
A. No. I had a small subset of that information.
Q. And you weren't copied on the ones that indicated how much money the bank was being required to borrow to be able to meet those withdrawal demands?
A. No.
Q. Or the limitations being
imposed on the bank's ability to borrow?
A. No.
Q. Or the limitations being
imposed on the bank's ability to wire funds?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to
form.
Q. Were you aware there were any
limitations on the bank's ability to wire funds out of the bank?
A. No.
Q. In that time period?
A. No.
Q. So you weren't -- you were not
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Q. So you were aware, were you
not, at that point in time, that there
was an effort to reduce the master note
between Washington Mutual Bank fsb and Washington Mutual Bank?
A. I was aware of Project

Fillmore which was my transaction to
reduce the capital base of the fsb, yes.
Q. One of those was to eliminate the master note, wasn't it?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you not regard this event as inconsistent with that --
A. No.
Q. -- goal?
A. No.
Q. You didn't. Weren't you aware
that more broadly there was an effort within the Washington Mutual related entities to reduce or eliminate the use of master notes?
A. No.
Q. You weren't aware of a master note elimination project?
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| 2 | A. There was a -- I don't -- yes, | 2 | when we had the capital release approved |
| 3 | I was aware of a WMI cash optimization | 3 | by the OTS. |
| 4 | project and other things, but master | 4 | Q. How did you know this was a |
| 5 | notes were commonly used within the | 5 | short term -- oh, I'm sorry the capital |
| 6 | group. | 6 | released? |
| 7 | Q. So what was the WMI cash | 7 | A. Yes. |
| 8 | optimization project? | 8 | Q. Meaning you would have just |
| 9 | A. Where WMI subs, nonbank subs | 9 | dividended it back up? |
| 10 | had not dividended cash to WMI, to | 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | dividend that cash or put a master note | 11 | Q. Is there anything else that |
| 12 | in place so it could loan its cash to | 12 | you recall speaking about with Ms. Logan |
| 13 | WMI. | 13 | -- Ms. Schulte, that you, Ms. Logan, |
| 14 | Q. So you understood at that | 14 | spoke about with Mr. Schulte -- |
| 15 | point in time that program at least was | 15 | A. Nothing. |
| 16 | an effort to get cash to WMI? | 16 | Q. -- on the subject of what |
| 17 | A. Sure. | 17 | either of you understood to be the |
| 18 | Q. Independent of that, or in | 18 | purpose for this transaction? |
| 19 | addition to that, were you not aware of | 19 | A. No. |
| 20 | an ongoing effort to reduce the use of | 20 | Q. Did you and Ms. Schulte |
| 21 | master notes? | 21 | discuss whether -- at the time you |
| 22 | A. No, I'm not aware of that at | 22 | engaged in -- you got your instructions |
| 23 | all. | 23 | and began to execute on them, did you |
| 24 | Q. But you are aware of the -- | 24 | understand that the interests of WMI, |
| 25 | what you refer to as Project Fillmore, | 25 | Washington Mutual Bank and Washington |
|  | 111 |  | 113 |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | which would have involved the elimination | 2 | Mutual Bank fsb might diverge? |
| 3 | of the fsb master note, the note by which | 3 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection to |
| 4 | WMB borrowed from fsb? | 4 | form. |
| 5 | A. I wouldn't characterize | 5 | A. That's -- that would require |
| 6 | Project Fillmore as that purpose. The | 6 | conjecture. I'm not certain I can answer |
| 7 | Project Fillmore's purpose was to reduce | 7 | that question. |
| 8 | the capital base of the fsb and part of | 8 | Q. I'm asking you what you were |
| 9 | that capital release would be to dividend | 9 | thinking of at that point in time. Were |
| 10 | the master note. | 10 | you thinking that the interests of these |
| 11 | Q. To Pike Street? | 11 | three, any of these three entities might |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | diverge from one another? |
| 13 | Q. That application had already | 13 | A. No. |
| 14 | gone into the regulators, correct? | 14 | Q. At the time you did this were |
| 15 | A. The OTS, yes. | 15 | you aware that there was the possibility |
| 16 | Q. So while that was pending, | 16 | that Washington Mutual Bank would be |
| 17 | this was a transaction that was to have | 17 | taken over by the regulators? |
| 18 | the effect, if it was effective, of | 18 | A. I can honestly say no, I had |
| 19 | increasing the outstanding amount on the | 19 | no -- I had no concept that the |
| 20 | master note by $\$ 3.67$ billion? | 20 | regulators would be taking over WMB. |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | Q. Then did it perplex you then |
| 22 | Q. And you didn't regard that as | 22 | that the bank, that Washington Mutual, |
| 23 | inconsistent? | 23 | Inc. was attempting to move its funds to |
| 24 | A. It was a -- it was a | 24 | a better capitalized bank within the |
| 25 | short-term problem that would be fixed | 25 | Washington Mutual family given that you |
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| 2 | had no thought that the regulators might |
| 3 | take over Washington Mutual Bank? |
| 4 | A. I'm sorry, I missed the |
| 5 | question. |
| 6 | Q. Given that you were being |
| 7 | asked to move funds from Washington |
| 8 | Mutual Bank to Washington Mutual Bank fsb |
| 9 | because it was better capitalized, did |
| 10 | that strike you as peculiar given that |
| 11 | you said it hadn't crossed your mind that |
| 12 | the regulators might put Washington |
| 13 | Mutual Bank into receivership? |
| 14 | A. No. |
| 15 | Q. Nothing like this had ever |
| 16 | happened before in your experience, had |
| 17 | it? |
| 18 | A. I'm sorry, what, the |
| 19 | transaction? |
| 20 | Q. A transaction like this, yes? |
| 21 | A. No. |
| 22 | Q. And so I mean did you think in |
| 23 | your mind that the people who had made |
| 24 | the decision to do this were doing this |
| 25 | because of a concern that Washington |
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| 2 | Mutual Bank was going to fail? |
| 3 | A. That would require conjecture, |
| 4 | I'm not certain what they were thinking |
| 5 | of. I don't know. |
| 6 | Q. I know, but what did you think |
| 7 | at that point in time? Did those |
| 8 | thoughts cross your mind? |
| 9 | A. No. |
| 10 | Q. So when you heard from Ms. |
| 11 | Schulte that the purpose was to put it in |
| 12 | a better capitalized bank within the |
| 13 | Washington Mutual family, did you think |
| 14 | about why -- did you and she -- well, did |
| 15 | you in your mind think about why that |
| 16 | would be something that they would want |
| 17 | to do? |
| 18 | A. No. |
| 19 | Q. Or think it necessary to do? |
| 20 | A. I was acting on direction to |
| 21 | move the deposit. So I didn't pause to |
| 22 | ask the questions of why. |
| 23 | Q. Now, you moved these deposits |
| 24 | -- you not only moved the -- tried to |
| 25 | move the deposits, but you tried to do |
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A. I was asked to do it as quickly as possible.
Q. And so in doing that you overrode some of the normal time frames that would have been applicable, did you not?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
Q. To engaging in the transactions?
A. Can you please state the question.
Q. Sure. In doing that, did you not have to override some of the -- some of the time frames that would have been applicable had this account been opened in the normal course of regular procedure?
A. I would -MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
A. Sorry.
Q. You can answer.
A. I wouldn't term it as a -whatever term you used, I wouldn't use
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Q. An override?
A. An override. We used, I used
the normal workaround required when a GL
account can't be opened because it's too close to month end.
Q. So you did have to work around procedures that were in place that related to the time period --

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
Q. -- that would be applicable to open GL accounts?
A. I worked within the policy, yes.
Q. Well, you say you worked within the policy, we'll talk about that in a minute, but there was a GL policy that related to the time for opening new DDA accounts?
A. No.
Q. What was the GL policy that related to time?
A. Opening a new GL account. There was no limitation on the opening of

|  | 118 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | demand deposit accounts. |
| 3 | Q. And the opening of a new GL |
| 4 | account couldn't be opened within the |
| 5 | last 14 days of a month? |
| 6 | A. That's correct. |
| 7 | Q. And so you went to work around |
| 8 | that policy? |
| 9 | A. I requested corporate |
| 10 | accounting's assistance on finding an |
| 11 | account that we could use that |
| 12 | approximated a due from fsb GL account, |
| 13 | yes. |
| 14 | Q. But it was not an fsb -- it |
| 15 | was in fact not going to be a due from |
| 16 | fsb GL account, right? |
| 17 | A. It was due from fsb. |
| 18 | Q. I'm sorry, you said |
| 19 | approximated a due from fsb GL account? |
| 20 | A. It should have been a due from |
| 21 | fsb noninterest checking GL account, |
| 22 | instead we had to do from fsb MMDA |
| 23 | account, both cash accounts, both cash |
| 24 | GLs. |
| 25 | Q. MMDA is what? |
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A. Money market deposit account.
Q. And the reason you had to do that is because there was no GL account that existed for a DDA account?
A. There was no GL account that existed that was noninterest checking due from fsb.
Q. Going back again to what was in your mind, did you and Ms. Schulte discuss why it was that the people who had made the decision to engage in this transaction needed it done as soon as possible?
A. No.
Q. Were you given any information as to why they needed it done as soon as possible?
A. No.
Q. Did it cross your mind that the reason that they wanted it done as soon as possible was because of a concern the bank was going to fail?
A. Not to my knowledge, no.
Q. Did you speak -- other than
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Mr. Smith, and according to you, Mr.
Brennan, did you speak to anybody, any
other attorneys relating to the, again,
prior to September 25th, relating to this transfer?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did you speak to any outside counsel relating to this transaction, either the transfer or the loan?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did you speak to anybody -- do you recall speaking to anybody from Heller Ehrman?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Do you recall communicating with anybody from Heller Ehrman?
A. I don't.
Q. Do you recall speaking to anybody from Weil, Gotshal?
A. Specific to this transaction?
Q. Well, first do you recall speaking to them prior to September 25th at all?
A. Yes.

## DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY

Q. And did you speak to them regarding the subject of the movement of cash?
(Instruction not to answer.)
MR. ABENSOHN: Let me stop you
for a moment. I'm going to
interject a privilege objection and instruct not to answer.

MR. SACKS: Well, she was an employee of the bank, so what would be the basis for instructing her not to answer?

MR. ABENSOHN: My
understanding is Weil was counsel
to WMI in that period. If I could
have a moment I can --
MR. SACKS: Well, they may
have been, but they were speaking
to an employee of the bank about
that subject.
MR. ABENSOHN: I think you've
established through earlier
questioning that Ms. Logan had
obligations to WMI as well possibly
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| 2 | in an officer capacity. | 2 | to the Fed and then come back to |
| 3 | MR. SACKS: Maybe or maybe | 3 | Washington Mutual. |
| 4 | not, but she was moving funds as an | 4 | Q. And had you determined whether |
| 5 | -- well, I don't know, are you | 5 | in fact Washington Mutual Bank had the |
| 6 | telling me she was moving funds as | 6 | ability to send a $\$ 3.67$ billion wire |
| 7 | an employee of Washington, or as an | 7 | transfer as of that time? |
| 8 | officer of Washington Mutual, Inc.? | 8 | A. No, I didn't request it. I |
| 9 | MR. ABENSOHN: I'm not telling | 9 | mean I didn't -- I didn't seek out the |
| 10 | you anything in that regard. I'm | 10 | information, no. |
| 11 | telling you she was speaking to | 11 | Q. So you made no effort to make |
| 12 | counsel for WMI in connection with | 12 | a determination as to whether they could? |
| 13 | that counsel's role as counsel to | 13 | A. No. |
| 14 | WMI. I can explore this further | 14 | Q. And as you sit here today, do |
| 15 | both with attorneys for Weil who | 15 | you know whether Washington Mutual Bank |
| 16 | are in the room and with Ms. Logan | 16 | had the capacity to make a $\$ 3.67$ billion |
| 17 | to get more color, but at least now | 17 | wire transfer as of that time? |
| 18 | tentatively I need to interject | 18 | A. No, I don't. |
| 19 | this objection. | 19 | Q. You do understand that there |
| 20 | MR. SACKS: Okay, we'll have | 20 | were limitations on Washington Mutual |
| 21 | to take that up at some other time. | 21 | Bank's ability to make wire transfers, |
| 22 | That's fine. | 22 | don't you? |
| 23 | Q. Now, I think I asked you this | 23 | A. No, I do not know that. |
| 24 | before. At the time you were -- did | 24 | Q. Well, you didn't understand |
| 25 | anyone instruct you as to how the money | 25 | even as a general matter that there might |
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| 2 | -- strike that. | 2 | be limitations? |
| 3 | Did anyone instruct you as to | 3 | A. No. |
| 4 | how the balance in the 0667 account | 4 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to |
| 5 | should be moved to fsb? | 5 | form. |
| 6 | A. No. | 6 | Q. So did you understand they had |
| 7 | Q. Are you the one that | 7 | the ability to make a $\$ 500$ billion wire |
| 8 | determined how that should be done? | 8 | transfer? |
| 9 | A. Yes. | 9 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to |
| 10 | Q. What were the potential | 10 | form. |
| 11 | alternatives for how to move those funds, | 11 | MR. SACKS: Well, strike that. |
| 12 | if there were funds, how to move that | 12 | Q. Did you have the understanding |
| 13 | balance? | 13 | that Washington Mutual Bank had the |
| 14 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to | 14 | ability to make a wire transfer that |
| 15 | form. | 15 | exceeded the funds it had available to |
| 16 | MR. SACKS: Strike that. | 16 | transfer? |
| 17 | Q. What were the potential | 17 | A. No. |
| 18 | methods available as you understood it, | 18 | Q. No, they didn't have that |
| 19 | for moving those balances? | 19 | ability? |
| 20 | A. We could do a book transfer. | 20 | A. No, I don't know if they had |
| 21 | Q. Yes. | 21 | that ability or not. |
| 22 | A. Or we could do a wire. | 22 | Q. So you don't know one way or |
| 23 | Q. And what do you mean by a | 23 | another whether there -- what |
| 24 | wire? | 24 | limitations, if any, there were on the |
| 25 | A. A wire transfer that would go | 25 | ability to transfer funds by wire? |
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A. I'm not aware of any
limitations.
Q. And so you decided to do it by
book entry?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you discuss that with anyone? Did you have a discussion as to whether that's how you should do it as opposed to just directing Ms. Noblezada to do it that way?
A. Did I have discussions, I'm
sorry -- did I have --
Q. Did you discuss with anybody whether you should make this movement by book entry or some other method?
A. No, I did not discuss with anyone, no.
Q. And at the time you -- at the
time you directed the book entry -- at
the time you directed the entry, you
understood that there had to be
corresponding entries on the master note as well?
A. Yes.
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Am I correct that you
understood the purpose of these entries
-- let me start again.
Am I correct that you
understood that the effect of these entries would be to take what you understood to be funds in a DDA account at Washington Mutual Bank, transfer them to a DDA account at Washington Mutual Bank fsb, simultaneously loan those same funds back to Washington Mutual Bank so that before the transaction there would be a deposit liability owed from Washington Mutual Bank to Washington Mutual, Inc., and after the transaction there would be a deposit liability owed from Washington Mutual Bank fsb to Washington Mutual, Inc., and a corresponding loan from Washington Mutual Bank fsb to Washington Mutual Bank?
A. Yes.

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to form.
Q. You understood that that was

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
Q. So at all times you understood
that there was -- that the actual money
was going to stay, to the extent there
was money, was going to stay at
Washington Mutual Bank?
MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; form.
A. Can you please restate the question.
Q. Sure. At the time you went to execute this transaction, you understood that the funds were going to actually stay at Washington Mutual Bank?

MR. ABENSOHN: Same objection.
A. I understood that in the
normal course and practice the fsb loaned
its excess funds to the bank, so yes, I
understood that the funds would be loaned back to the bank.
Q. So you understood that no
funds would actually move, right? You understood that you were making accounting entries that would -- let me back up.
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MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to form.
A. I don't -- I don't agree that it's an integrated transaction.
Q. Did you have any understanding as to whether Washington -- WMI had the ability to move the deposit -- to
actually move funds from Washington
Mutual Bank to Washington Mutual Bank fsb
without a -- to actually move the funds without a loan back?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection;
form.
A. I don't actually understand the question.
Q. Did you have any understanding as to whether Washington Mutual, Inc. had the ability to move its deposit account to Washington Mutual Bank fsb without the corresponding loan of the funds back to Washington Mutual Bank?
A. From Washington Mutual, Inc.'s perspective it was a demand deposit, the
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| 2 | 667 was a demand deposit and because it's |
| 3 | a demand deposit they can ask to move |
| 4 | their deposit wherever they wanted. |
| 5 | Q. Did you have any understanding |
| 6 | as to whether Washington Mutual Bank had |
| 7 | the capacity to satisfy a request to move |
| 8 | funds, $\$ 3.67$ billion in funds out of the |
| 9 | bank to Washington Mutual Bank fsb at the |
| 10 | time you did this without a corresponding |
| 11 | loan back of those funds to Washington |
| 12 | Mutual Bank? |
| 13 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 14 | form. |
| 15 | A. You're asking whether I had an |
| 16 | understanding whether the bank could make |
| 17 | -- |
| 18 | Q. Whether it had the capacity to |
| 19 | do that at that time? |
| 20 | MR. ABENSOHN: Same objection. |
| 21 | A. I had no knowledge of |
| 22 | whether... |
| 23 | Q. Do you have any knowledge as |
| 24 | to whether the regulators would have |
| 25 | permitted Washington Mutual, Inc. to move |
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| 2 | \$3.67 billion out of Washington Mutual |
| 3 | Bank at that time -- |
| 4 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 5 | Q. -- without a corresponding |
| 6 | loan back of those questions? |
| 7 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 8 | form. |
| 9 | A. I have no knowledge of whether |
| 10 | the regulators -- what the regulators |
| 11 | would have done. |
| 12 | Q. Do you have any knowledge as |
| 13 | to whether Washington Mutual, Inc. had |
| 14 | the ability to withdraw the funds from |
| 15 | Washington Mutual Bank and put them with |
| 16 | a third-party bank at that point in time? |
| 17 | A. I'm not sure I can opine as to |
| 18 | what WMI could or could not do. |
| 19 | Q. Do you have any knowledge as |
| 20 | to whether Washington Mutual Bank had the |
| 21 | capacity to move at WMI's request \$3.67 |
| 22 | billion in this 0667 account to a |
| 23 | third-party financial institution outside |
| 24 | the Washington Mutual family at that |
| 25 | point in time? |
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A. I don't know.
Q. Did you discuss with Ms.

Schulte or anybody else whether, in the course of discussing this transaction whether there had been any consideration given to moving the funds outside of the WaMu family?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. The amount that you left in the 0667 account at that point in time, who determined how much to leave?
A. Technically I did.
Q. When you say technically, what do you mean?
A. I asked Yolanda what payments were scheduled to go out and we needed to leave a cushion there in case some other payments were going to be -- were planned for, so we left approximately $\$ 50$ million in the account.
Q. At the time you were asked to engage in this transaction, did it strike you as peculiar?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
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\$3.67 billion out of Washington Mutual
Bank at that time --
MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
Q. -- without a corresponding
loan back of those questions?
MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; form.
A. I have no knowledge of whether
the regulators -- what the regulators would have done.
Q. Do you have any knowledge as
to whether Washington Mutual, Inc. had
the ability to withdraw the funds from
Washington Mutual Bank and put them with
a third-party bank at that point in time?
A. I'm not sure I can opine as to what WMI could or could not do.
Q. Do you have any knowledge as
to whether Washington Mutual Bank had the
capacity to move at WMI's request $\$ 3.67$
billion in this 0667 account to a
third-party financial institution outside
point in time?
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A. No.
Q. Did it strike you as out of the ordinary?
A. I would call it interesting.

I wouldn't call it out of the ordinary.
Q. What do you mean by interesting?
A. That we were asked to move the deposit to fsb.
Q. It didn't strike you as out of the ordinary given that there had never been a deposit account at fsb before?
A. No.
Q. It was certainly novel, wasn't
it, from your perspective?
A. Well, there were a lot of things in treasury that were a lot of one-time things because that's the nature of treasury. So it didn't strike me as being too out of the ordinary.
Q. Your best recollection -- I understand that the entries were, I don't know what the correct word is, backdated or retro'd to the 19th, correct?
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A. Mm-hmm, mm-hmm.
Q. Mm-hmm, yes?
A. Yes. Thank you.
Q. But your best understanding as
to when the transfer actually got
finalized on the GL is the 24th?
A. I'm not certain I could give you the date when it was actually finalized.
Q. But it was several days after the instructions were given?
A. Yes.
Q. In your experience, had you ever been involved in a transfer that presented as many logistical problems as this transfer did --

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
Q. -- to the people attempting to do the GL entries?

## A. I'm not certain I could

 classify them as logistical problems.Q. You wouldn't classify the fact that you were aware that they had -well, you were aware that the first

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY account because you couldn't actually open the final account?
A. Yes.
Q. In terms of the 4234 account, the fsb account as a demand deposit, noninterest checking account, when was that -- when, if ever, was that account actually opened?
A. Sometime after the 22nd,

## September 22nd.

Q. Sometime after September 22nd?
A. Yes.
Q. There was a -- there was a logistical problem with the -- you're familiar with something called an account going into suspense?
A. Yes.
Q. What is that?
A. I'm not certain I could describe it. Something rejected.
Q. It means it's not working, correct?
A. Mm-hmm.
Q. You have to say yes.
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attempt to move these funds resulted in a movement from Washington Mutual Bank to Washington Mutual Bank, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So that is a logistical problem?
A. No, that's an error.
Q. That was not -- okay.

Error, it was a problem that
affected the transfer, did it not?
A. Yes.
Q. And that was not your intent
to move, at least in your instructions, to move the funds from one Washington
Mutual Bank account to another Washington Mutual Bank account?
A. That's correct.
Q. And then there were problems
after that in terms of using, as you said, a surrogate account, was there not?
A. That's the general ledger piece.
Q. Correct. Some account that was going to be used, not being the final
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A. Yes.
Q. And you're aware that there was a problem at least once in that regard sometime during the course of this attempted transfer?
A. Only because I was informed of it by Yolanda and I had to look at the actual GL to figure out how to fix it, but yes.
Q. Well, most of what you know about what occurred during this transfer is information that was told to you by others, correct?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to
form.
Q. You didn't actually make the

GL entries, right?
A. No.
Q. You instructed other people to process this?
A. Yes.
Q. So the difficulties and the problems and the like were information you were told about by others?
1
as well. Here now marks the end of tape 2 of the deposition of Doreen
A. Logan. The time is $12: 13$ p.m., we're off the record.
(A recess was taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here now
marks the beginning of tape 3 of
the deposition of Doreen A. Logan.
The time is 12:25 p.m., we're now
back on the record.
Q. Ms. Logan, if you would look
at Page 8 of your affidavit for me, please.
A. Yes.
Q. At the bottom there's a
footnote where you explain the issue that we talked about previously involving the unavailability of the GL entry and the timing of being able to open a GL entry, etc.

Am I correct that on the GL this transfer was never reflected as going into a DDA account, a non-money market DDA account?
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A. Which GL?
Q. Well the GL you're talking about in footnote 2.
A. Okay, please ask your question again.
Q. Sure. What GL is that you're talking about in footnote 2?
A. It was a GL that was never opened because the bank was seized on $9 / 25$. Instead of a new GL we used an existing GL.
Q. I understand that. And the existing GL was a money market account?

## A. A money market deposit

account, yes.
Q. Correct, a money market deposit account?
A. Yes.
Q. So am I correct though that on
the GL the transfer never -- and that was not -- strike that.

That was not the type of account that was intended to be created at Washington Mutual Bank fsb, a money

|  | 146 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | bearing demand deposit account, you used |
| 3 | as a surrogate an account that existed on |
| 4 | the GL which was a different type of |
| 5 | account, and you reflected the transfer |
| 6 | of funds into that GL account? |
| 7 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection to |
| 8 | form. |
| 9 | Q. Right? |
| 10 | A. We reflected on the general |
| 11 | ledger of Company 70 that there was a due |
| 12 | from fsb cash account classified as an |
| 13 | MMDA, not a noninterest checking. |
| 14 | Q. That entry never got changed? |
| 15 | A. No. We were separate |
| 16 | companies at 9/26. It didn't matter. |
| 17 | Q. Well, under -- it didn't |
| 18 | matter to who? |
| 19 | A. It didn't matter to JPMorgan. |
| 20 | I mean it was Company 70's ledger, so. |
| 21 | Q. Now going back again, do you |
| 22 | recall referring to the process of |
| 23 | attempting to make this transfer as being |
| 24 | tense? |
| 25 | A. Yes. I think tumultuous or |
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| 3 | Q. Tumultuous, too? |
| 4 | A. It was a tumultuous time $I$ |
| 5 | believe I referred to it as. |
| 6 | Q. Do you recall people referring |
| 7 | to this attempted transfer as being a |
| 8 | drama? |
| 9 | A. I don't recall. |
| 10 | Q. Would that be an accurate |
| 11 | characterization from your perspective of |
| 12 | the people who were involved in |
| 13 | attempting to make the transfer? |
| 14 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 15 | A. I wouldn't speculate as to how |
| 16 | I would characterize it. |
| 17 | Q. You wouldn't have disagreed |
| 18 | with somebody who was involved in trying |
| 19 | to make these transfers if they described |
| 20 | it as a drama, would you? |
| 21 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 22 | A. I just wouldn't speculate. I |
| 23 | don't know. |
| 24 | Q. Given your participation in |
| 25 | the process, would that be a -- it might |
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not be the word you would use, but that
would be a fair characterization of it?
MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
A. I just decline to comment. I
mean I can't speculate on how it would be described.
Q. Okay. You understood that, in your job you were familiar with FDIC, the concept of FDIC insurance --
A. Yes.
Q. -- were you not?
A. Yes.
Q. You were aware that deposits, are only insured at some point up to a hundred thousand dollars, at some point $\$ 250,000$ ?
A. Yes.
Q. And so you were aware that this, to the extent intercompany deposits were insured at all, this intercompany -the balance in the 667 account, 0667 account was largely uninsured?
A. I don't -- I didn't have any thoughts about FDIC insurance on the

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY intercompany deposits, no.
Q. Did you discuss that with anybody?
A. Not to my knowledge.

MR. SACKS: Let me mark as
Exhibit Logan 5 another email.
(Logan Exhibit 5 for
identification, two emails dated
September 25, 2008.)
MR. ABENSOHN: Sorry, what was
the number on this one?
MR. SACKS: Five.
Q. Do you recall getting this
email from Mr. Freilinger on September 25th?
A. Yes.
Q. And September 25th turned out to be the day that the bank was taken into receivership?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you see where he writes to
you "Good. No more uninsured deposits to freak out on"?
A. Yes.
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| 2 | Q. Did you discuss that with him? |
| 3 | A. Yes, but the characterization, |
| 4 | we haven't discussed what this email was |
| 5 | in regards to. |
| 6 | Q. Well what is this email in |
| 7 | regards to? |
| 8 | A. My email subject line is |
| 9 | "cashier's checks, Wednesday, September |
| 10 | 24th, \$357.3 million - freakishly low." |
| 11 | That is in regards to the dollar amount |
| 12 | of cashier's checks cut in the branch in |
| 13 | prior days, in this case the prior day. |
| 14 | And I had -- in our previous discussion |
| 15 | when we talked about the daily emails of |
| 16 | cash outflow, this is the piece that I |
| 17 | researched on a daily basis and in some |
| 18 | cases it was a billion or \$2 billion, so |
| 19 | on this date it was only $\$ 357.3$ million, |
| 20 | so that was -- that's the reference to |
| 21 | freakishly low. |
| 22 | Q. So you were aware on a daily |
| 23 | -- you were aware on a daily basis how |
| 24 | much was going out of the bank in terms |
| 25 | of checks? |
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| 2 | A. Cashier's checks, yes. |
| 3 | Q. And that was at a billion or |
| 4 | so a day you said? |
| 5 | A. It was a subset of the actual |
| 6 | outflow, yes. |
| 7 | Q. And so in that context -- and |
| 8 | why were you monitoring cashier's check |
| 9 | outflow? |
| 10 | A. Because I had previously been |
| 11 | in the retail bank and I knew what report |
| 12 | to find to look for that information. |
| 13 | Q. Why were you monitoring it for |
| 14 | any purpose? What did it have to do with |
| 15 | your job at that point in time? |
| 16 | A. I was in liquidity, I was in |
| 17 | the same treasury group as those who |
| 18 | monitor liquidity and I had knowledge of |
| 19 | how to find the information quickly. |
| 20 | Q. And so in terms of -- but did |
| 21 | somebody ask you to find that information |
| 22 | for them? |
| 23 | A. Peter Freilinger said is there |
| 24 | any information we can find that's just |
| 25 | not readily available and I said sure, I |
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can look up the cashier's checks cut on the previous day.
Q. And so you gave him that on a daily basis during this period of time?
A. I would say I looked it up on a daily basis. I'm not certain I gave it to them on a daily basis.
Q. While you were looking that up, did you look up other outflows on a daily basis?
A. I didn't have access to the other information.

## MR. SACKS: Let me mark as

Exhibit 6 a document which I
believe is included as well within
an exhibit to your affidavit.
(Logan Exhibit 6 for
identification, document entitled
"Journal entry request form.")
A. Yes.
Q. You're familiar with this document?
A. I am familiar with this document.
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A. Cashier's checks, yes.
Q. And that was at a billion or so a day you said?
A. It was a subset of the actual outflow, yes.
Q. And so in that context -- and why were you monitoring cashier's check outflow?
A. Because I had previously been in the retail bank and I knew what report to find to look for that information.
Q. Why were you monitoring it for any purpose? What did it have to do with your job at that point in time?
A. I was in liquidity, I was in the same treasury group as those who monitor liquidity and $I$ had knowledge of how to find the information quickly.
Q. And so in terms of -- but did
somebody ask you to find that information for them?
A. Peter Freilinger said is there not readily available and I said sure, I
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Q. And it's entitled a "Journal entry request form." Tell me what that is?
A. These are the entries that were posted to the general ledger to reflect the transfer of the deposit as well as the corrections and the master note entries.
Q. I see it indicates it was --
that Ms. Noblezada's name is there and I
assume that those are her, at least the
first squiggle is her initials; is that correct?
A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Then there's another signature or signatures. Is that familiar to you?
A. That's me.
Q. And you signed this on the

24th of September 2008?
A. Yes.
Q. And these, am I correct, reflect the entries that were in fact made to reflect this transaction? MR. ABENSOHN: Objection;
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start at the top, Company 70 is WMI?
A. That's correct.
Q. And there's a general ledger
number and what's that?
A. 10441 is the due from fsb MMDA
intercompany account.
Q. And what is a CC?
A. Call center, 9909 is an
intercompany call center.
Q. And it shows there a debit to
that account of 3.67 billion?
A. Yes.
Q. And these were actually done
on the general ledger, it was done in
four parts, wasn't it, because you can't
do a $\$ 3.67$ billion entry, so 99999 ?
A. That's the Hogan posting.
Q. That's the Hogan posting?
A. That's the deposit system
can't accept an entry larger than
999,999,999.99.
Q. So there was a credit -- I'm sorry, a debit to that account and the corresponding entry and credit is what?

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY form.
Q. The final entries that were made?
A. I believe this to be the final entries posted to reflect the transaction.
Q. Okay. And the entries reflect on the posting done at the same time both the transfer of money and the loan back; is that right?
A. The transfer of money, the loan back, the correction to suspense, yes.
Q. So all of that is done at one time on these entries, correct?
A. It's four separate journal entries, yes.
Q. And these were entered you believe on the 24th?
A. Yes.
Q. I'd like you to just explain,
if you could just walk through the entries for me and explain what they are.

So the first entry is, do we
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A. Across the page is Company 70.
Q. Okay.
A. We considered GL 1050 .
Q. Which is?
A. Cost center 9909 which is due from WMB FA.
Q. And then the second entry, what does that reflect?
A. 52915 is --
Q. Well that's an entry relating to Company 2 which is Washington Mutual Bank, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And what does that entry reflect?
A. It's the clearing of -- there was a back office entry separate from this journal entry to debit the $\mathbf{6 6 7}$ bank account and credit the 52915 which is a back office transfer, standard offset to a back office transfer is always 52915 and it's our responsibility then to clear the posting to 52915. So this is the $\$ 3.674$ billion debit to 52915 to clear
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| 2 | receivable from WMB on Company 40. And | 2 | could not contribute to the fsb. |
| 3 | 28201 I don't recall what that GL is. | 3 | Q. And you address this in your |
| 4 | Q. And I see that the cost center | 4 | declaration, don't you? |
| 5 | on the debit side is 9909, which is an | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | intercompany cost center? | 6 | Q. And you ascribe this error to |
| 7 | A. That's correct. | 7 | the use of a template? |
| 8 | Q. And the cost center on the | 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | other side is 9331. What's that cost | 9 | Q. You don't know if that's the |
| 10 | center? | 10 | case, do you? |
| 11 | A. That is a left over -- 9331 | 11 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 12 | was the old cost center we had previously | 12 | Q. I mean you say that in your |
| 13 | used for intercompany. And in years | 13 | footnote on Page 17, footnote 5 it is, |
| 14 | lately they've moved everything to the | 14 | but you don't know that it was an error |
| 15 | 9909 series. | 15 | caused by the use of a template, do you? |
| 16 | Q. Why would we be using a | 16 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 17 | different cost center here for one side | 17 | A. I do. |
| 18 | of the entry and not the other? | 18 | Q. What's your basis, personal |
| 19 | A. Oh, cost centers it doesn't | 19 | knowledge for that? |
| 20 | matter. It's the company that has to | 20 | A. Because I have all of the |
| 21 | balance. You can do that. Cost centers | 21 | previous transactions using these forms |
| 22 | are for segment reporting, they're not -- | 22 | that were posted specifically for Company |
| 23 | cost centers don't have to have a | 23 | 70. |
| 24 | corresponding debit and credit per cost | 24 | MR. SACKS: Let me mark as |
| 25 | center, it's only per company. | 25 | Exhibit 7 a one-page document. |
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| 2 | Q. And then the last entry? | 2 | (Logan Exhibit 7 for |
| 3 | A. Company 1, 49-- if I recall | 3 | identification, document entitled |
| 4 | correctly, 49241 is the suspense account | 4 | "Journal entry request form.") |
| 5 | that we needed to clear because of the | 5 | Q. Do you recognize that as the |
| 6 | initial error of posting -- of creating | 6 | comparable form for a $\$ 2$ million capital |
| 7 | the deposit account on company 1, and the | 7 | contribution that was made to WMB? |
| 8 | 52915 is, again, the offset to back | 8 | A. 2 billion, yes. |
| 9 | office transfers. | 9 | Q. And do you -- that's not the |
| 10 | Q. This form in the first | 10 | same description, is it? |
| 11 | instance was prepared by, your | 11 | A. No. |
| 12 | understanding was, by Ms. Noblezada? | 12 | Q. So that was not the form that |
| 13 | A. That's correct. | 13 | was used? |
| 14 | Q. And when you signed it, did | 14 | A. I can't say whether it was the |
| 15 | you identify any errors to her on it? | 15 | same form or. |
| 16 | A. Not when I signed it, but | 16 | Q. Okay. |
| 17 | subsequently $I$ did identify an error. | 17 | A. Because this was originated by |
| 18 | Q. When you say subsequently, | 18 | Ida, not Yolanda. |
| 19 | what do you mean by subsequently? | 19 | Q. So you think it was the form |
| 20 | A. Approximately a week later I | 20 | originated by Yolanda that had the same |
| 21 | was handed a piece of paper saying what | 21 | entry that she just duplicated without |
| 22 | does this mean. | 22 | thinking? |
| 23 | Q. And you said? | 23 | A. Potentially. I can't say for |
| 24 | A. I believe I said this means | 24 | sure. |
| 25 | there's an error on the form because WMI | 25 | Q. You told me you had it in your |
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| 2 | personal knowledge and you looked at it? | 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. | 3 | Q. This form was prepared by Ms. |
| 4 | A. Not folder. | 4 | Noblezada? |
| 5 | Q. I'm sorry? | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | A. I have a folder of this | 6 | Q. And it's signed by, is that |
| 7 | information, yes. And I did have a | 7 | Mr. Winder's signature? |
| 8 | personal conversation with Yolanda about | 8 | A. That's correct. |
| 9 | this. | 9 | Q. And do you see the description |
| 10 | Q. Well that's my point, is what | 10 | utilized there? |
| 11 | you know about what Yolanda did or didn't | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | do is what Yolanda did or didn't tell | 12 | Q. That's not the same |
| 13 | you? | 13 | description that appears on the journal |
| 14 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. | 14 | entry request form for the fsb |
| 15 | Q. After you pointed out what you | 15 | transaction -- |
| 16 | thought was an error to her? | 16 | A. No. |
| 17 | A. I'm not certain I can answer | 17 | Q. -- is it? |
| 18 | the question. | 18 | A. No. |
| 19 | Q. Well, I mean what Yolanda may | 19 | Q. We've just got a few minutes |
| 20 | or may not have told you is your source | 20 | before we need to break for lunch, but |
| 21 | of knowledge about what Yolanda did or | 21 | let me ask you a few questions as I |
| 22 | didn't do? | 22 | could. |
| 23 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. | 23 | Were you involved in -- I want |
| 24 | Q. Isn't that right? You weren't | 24 | to turn now to the note aspect of this |
| 25 | there watching Yolanda as she typed this | 25 | transaction. |
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| 2 | form, were you? | 2 | A. Okay. |
| 3 | A. I was not there watching | 3 | Q. And the note. What is the |
| 4 | Yolanda type the form. | 4 | master note? What is the master note? |
| 5 | Q. So what you know about what | 5 | A. The master note is a |
| 6 | Yolanda did or didn't do when she typed | 6 | documented lending relationship between |
| 7 | this form, what she did or didn't put | 7 | WMB -- pardon me -- WMB fsb and the bank |
| 8 | into it is based on what she told you | 8 | where fsb loans its excess funds to the |
| 9 | about what she did; isn't that right? | 9 | bank in return for interest. |
| 10 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. | 10 | Q. And security? Is there any |
| 11 | A. Well I have copies of other | 11 | security associated with the master note? |
| 12 | things that she's done. | 12 | A. Please define security. |
| 13 | Q. Okay, fine. | 13 | Q. Pledges of assets to secure |
| 14 | MR. SACKS: Let me mark as | 14 | the master note? |
| 15 | Exhibit 8 another one-page form. | 15 | A. There was for a period of time |
| 16 | (Logan Exhibit 8 for | 16 | until it was suspended. |
| 17 | identification, document entitled | 17 | Q. I'll get to that too. There |
| 18 | "Journal entry request form.") | 18 | was a pledge of assets by Washington |
| 19 | Q. You recognize Exhibit 8 as a | 19 | Mutual Bank to secure the master note |
| 20 | form reflecting a \$500 million capital | 20 | from Washington Mutual Bank fsb to |
| 21 | contribution from Washington Mutual, Inc. | 21 | Washington Mutual Bank? |
| 22 | to Washington Mutual Bank? | 22 | A. That's correct. |
| 23 | A. Yes. | 23 | Q. And were you involved in |
| 24 | Q. And this one occurred on the | 24 | establishing, were you personally |
| 25 | 10th of September 2008? | 25 | involved in establishing the master note, |
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| 2 | the fsb to Washington Mutual Bank master | 2 | entities. |
| 3 | note? | 3 | Q. My question is do you know who |
| 4 | A. Absolutely. | 4 | actually decided yes, we're going to put |
| 5 | Q. And what was your role in | 5 | a master note in place? |
| 6 | establishing that master note? | 6 | A. I know who signed the master |
| 7 | A. I requested the master note be | 7 | note document. |
| 8 | put in place in approximately, I don't | 8 | Q. That was Mr. Williams? |
| 9 | know, 2000 -- fourth quarter of 2004 | 9 | A. No, that was Steve Lobo, the |
| 10 | because the fsb had approximately \$14 | 10 | treasurer at the time. |
| 11 | billion, pardon me again, in a deposit | 11 | Q. Were you involved in any way |
| 12 | account at the bank, in a noninterest | 12 | in determining the economic terms of the |
| 13 | earning deposit account, and in order for | 13 | master note? |
| 14 | the fsb to get -- to create an interest | 14 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 15 | earning asset from their \$14 billion | 15 | form. |
| 16 | deposit in the normal course we created | 16 | Q. So, for example, were you |
| 17 | the master note, as we had done with | 17 | involved in, you personally involved in |
| 18 | other companies. | 18 | establishing the interest rate, what |
| 19 | Q. And who actually -- you made | 19 | security, collateral would be required to |
| 20 | that request to somebody? | 20 | support the obligations, etc.? |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 22 | Q. Who did you make the request | 22 | A. That's actually two questions. |
| 23 | to? | 23 | Q. I'm asking -- okay. Were you |
| 24 | A. What part of the request, I'm | 24 | responsible for setting the terms of the |
| 25 | sorry? I mean I -- | 25 | master? |
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| 2 | Q. To establish a master note? | 2 | A. The master -- |
| 3 | A. As I recall, it was five years | 3 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 4 | ago, but as I recall, I requested the | 4 | THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. |
| 5 | master note be put in place, I addressed | 5 | MR. ABENSOHN: Go ahead. |
| 6 | it to Chad Smith, it was put in place and | 6 | A. The master notes within the |
| 7 | then subsequent to it being established I | 7 | consolidated group, unless specifically |
| 8 | notified the pertinent parties that the | 8 | carved out for a specific reason, carried |
| 9 | master note had been put in place. | 9 | an interest rate of LIBOR flat on a |
| 10 | Q. And in terms of who actually | 10 | monthly basis. |
| 11 | made the decision to put the note in | 11 | Q. And were you involved in |
| 12 | place, do you know who that was? | 12 | determining whether that was an |
| 13 | A. I requested it. It was me. I | 13 | appropriate interest rate? |
| 14 | mean I requested it. | 14 | A. No. |
| 15 | Q. You didn't have the authority | 15 | Q. And who was involved in making |
| 16 | though to put a master note in place, did | 16 | that determination? |
| 17 | you? | 17 | A. I'm not aware who made any |
| 18 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. | 18 | determination about the interest rate. |
| 19 | Q. I mean you couldn't have | 19 | Q. Why did you understand that |
| 20 | established a master note between | 20 | there was a pledge of assets to support |
| 21 | Washington Mutual Bank and Washington | 21 | this note? Or did you have any |
| 22 | Mutual Bank fsb, could you have? | 22 | understanding as to why there was a |
| 23 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. | 23 | pledge of collateral to support this |
| 24 | A. I made the request of counsel | 24 | note? |
| 25 | to create a master note between the two | 25 | A. The pledge of collateral was |


|  | 170 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | requested by the tax department. It was |
| 3 | not required from a regulatory |
| 4 | perspective. |
| 5 | Q. I'm not asking you to give me |
| 6 | regulatory advice. So your understanding |
| 7 | was that it was -- you may or may not be |
| 8 | correct on that. I'm just asking you to |
| 9 | answer my questions, please, not -- |
| 10 | MR. ABENSOHN: I'll ask you |
| 11 | not to be argumentative in your |
| 12 | dialogue with the witness. |
| 13 | MR. SACKS: Fine. I'd ask the |
| 14 | witness, I understand she has |
| 15 | certain views as to what the law |
| 16 | does or doesn't require, which may |
| 17 | be right, may be wrong, I may |
| 18 | disagree with them, and I'm not |
| 19 | asking her to testify about what |
| 20 | she thinks regulatory does or |
| 21 | doesn't require, so. |
| 22 | MR. ABENSOHN: She'll respond |
| 23 | to your questions as she |
| 24 | understands them to be phrased and |
| 25 | we can move on from there. |
|  | 171 |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | Q. Let me ask you a question |
| 3 | then. Is it your understanding that if |
| 4 | this is viewed as an integrated |
| 5 | transaction where both the loan and the |
| 6 | transfer are part of the same |
| 7 | transaction, that this transaction was |
| 8 | not proper under regulatory guidelines? |
| 9 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 10 | A. I can't answer that. |
| 11 | Q. You understood that this |
| 12 | transaction, looking at both the transfer |
| 13 | and the loan, was not an arm's length |
| 14 | transaction, correct? |
| 15 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 16 | A. I can't speculate. |
| 17 | Q. You don't have, given your |
| 18 | experience, looking at this, looking at |
| 19 | the loan and the loan back to Washington |
| 20 | Mutual Bank by the fsb under the |
| 21 | circumstances that you've described this |
| 22 | transaction occurring, you have no sense |
| 23 | of whether that was an arm's length |
| 24 | transaction? |
| 25 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
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A. I'm actually confused as to which transaction we're talking about.
Q. I'm asking about both. And so my question is is it your understanding that if this transaction is an integrated transaction, i.e., that both are part of the same transaction, that it fails from a regulatory perspective because it's not an arm's length transaction?

## MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.

A. I can't opine as to whether it's --
Q. Did you have an understanding that a transaction between WMI and its banking affiliates was required to be on an arm's length basis?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
A. Which transaction are we talking about?
Q. Any transaction between WMI and a banking affiliate, banking subsidiaries, did you have an understanding that those were required to be on an arm's length basis?
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A. From WMI to the bank, no.
Q. What transactions did you
understand were required to be on an arm's length basis with a bank, either at WMB or WMB fsb?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
A. My understanding is extensions of credit by the bank to an affiliate need to be in an arm's length -- on an arm's length basis.
Q. But you understand that -does that include extensions of credit from, in your understanding, from Washington Mutual Bank fsb to Washington Mutual Bank? MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
A. Sorry, say it again.
Q. Do you understand that an extension of credit from Washington Mutual Bank fsb to Washington Mutual Bank is required to be on an arm's length basis?
A. That was not my -- I'm sorry. MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
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| 2 | A. That is not my understanding.. |
| 3 | Q. With respect to the -- did you |
| 4 | ever prior to the end of -- well, did you |
| 5 | ever ask anyone within the bank why there |
| 6 | was a pledge of collateral to support the |
| 7 | master note between Washington Mutual |
| 8 | Bank fsb and Washington Mutual Bank? |
| 9 | A. I know why the pledge was |
| 10 | established. |
| 11 | Q. Let me ask you did you ever |
| 12 | ask anyone why? |
| 13 | A. The tax department requested |
| 14 | of me to make sure that the note was |
| 15 | collateralized. So I didn't have to ask. |
| 16 | People asked me to make sure it happened. |
| 17 | Q. Okay. And when you -- |
| 18 | MR. SACKS: Let me mark as |
| 19 | Exhibit 9 another series of emails, |
| 20 | then we'll break for lunch. |
| 21 | (Logan Exhibit 9 for |
| 22 | identification, three emails dated |
| 23 | April 12, 2006, Monday, April 17, |
| 24 | 2006, and Monday, April 17, 2006, |
| 25 | respectively.) |
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| 2 | Q. I want to draw you to the |
| 3 | second page, the email that you -- do you |
| 4 | recognize that as an email you wrote? |
| 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | Q. Does that accurately set forth |
| 7 | your understanding of what the master |
| 8 | note was? |
| 9 | MR. ABENSOHN: May I have a |
| 10 | moment with the document if I |
| 11 | could. |
| 12 | Q. Let me ask a more specific |
| 13 | question. Was it your understanding that |
| 14 | the master note was basically a |
| 15 | commercial loan from fsb to WMB? |
| 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | Q. And was it your understanding |
| 18 | that under the terms of the note WMB paid |
| 19 | fsb interest at one month LIBOR? |
| 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | Q. And was it your understanding |
| 22 | that interest was calculated and posted |
| 23 | to the internal account monthly? |
| 24 | A. I'm sorry, internal account? |
| 25 | Q. Fine. That interest was |
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A. Yes.
Q. And where was interest posted?
A. It was -- if fsb needed the
cash it was given the cash, otherwise it was capitalized to the master note as I understand it.
Q. Capitalized meaning the balance on the master note increased?
A. Yes.
Q. So interest was posted to increase the liability, if the cash was not actually given to fsb, it was added to the master note increasing the liability of WMB to fsb?
A. Yes.
Q. Am I correct that the note was at least as updated in September of 2005, executed by Robert Williams?
A. Yes.
Q. And then am I correct that the note was secured by a weekly pledge of loans from WMB to fsb?
A. Yes.
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Q. And Keith Falls was in charge of that?
A. Yes.
Q. How long did he remain in charge of that?
A. Up till 9/25 as far as I know. Or until the pledge was suspended.

MR. SACKS: Why don't we break for lunch.

THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment,
please. Watch your microphones
when you're getting up. The time
is 1:02 p.m., we're now off the
record.
(Lunch recess: 1:02 p.m.)
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AFTERNOON SESSION 2:03 p.m.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 2:03 p.m., we're back on the record DOREEN A. LOGAN, resumed, having been previously duly sworn, was examined and testified further as follows:

CONTINUED EXAMINATION BY MR. SACKS:
Q. Hi, Ms. Logan.
A. Hi.
Q. Let's go back if we could to I
think where we were when we broke off for lunch, we were talking about the master note.

At the time you began to execute on the instructions you were given, I think you said it was September 19th, did you have an understanding that -- did you have any understanding whether there was enough capacity on the master note to accommodate transferring a loan of this size, $\$ 3.67$ billion?
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pledge agreement as it stood at that
time, yes, we would have had to have collateral.
Q. And who did you understand would be responsible for the posting of the incremental collateral?
A. It was Keith Falls' job to pledge the collateral on a weekly basis.
Q. When you learned from Mr.

Falls that -- the capacity of the note in existence at that point was $\$ 15$ billion; is that right?
A. I think it was 15 billion.
Q. When you learned that the capacity -- that capacity would not be sufficient to handle this transaction, what did you do?
A. I don't recall off the top of my head what I actually -- what steps I took.
Q. Did you communicate to anybody the necessity to increase the capacity of the note if this transaction was going to occur?
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A. I don't think at that moment that I knew whether we had capacity or not.
Q. At some point you learned that there was not sufficient capacity?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you know when you learned of that?
A. I don't. Potentially that

Friday afternoon, but I would be guessing, which was the 19th.
Q. And how did you learn that?
A. Keith Falls told me, I believe
he told me either walking over or calling me to tell me that there might not be enough capacity on the master note.
Q. And at the time you began to
execute on your instructions for this transaction, did you understand that, consistent with the terms of the documents, that any -- the incremental posting of 3.67 billion to this master note would have to be collateralized?
A. Based on the -- based on the
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A. I don't recall. However, I
know that the master note was increased to 20 billion. So I don't recall whether I sent an email or made a phone call, but $I$ do recall that it was increased.
Q. Well, but do you recall -- let
me ask you this. Have you ever seen an amendment to the master note increasing it to $\$ 20$ billion?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware whether there was ever an amendment to the master note increasing it to $\$ 20$ billion?
A. I've not seen it, so I'm not sure.
Q. Do you think you were involved in bringing to people's attention the fact that there was a need to increase the capacity of the master note?
A. It's likely that I was making people aware of the need to increase the master note, yes.
Q. And did you speak to anybody about what that increase should be, the
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| 2 | amount? |
| 3 | A. I don't recall. |
| 4 | Q. Did you participate in any |
| 5 | discussion with anybody else as to |
| 6 | whether the master note should be |
| 7 | increased in size? |
| 8 | A. I don't recall. |
| 9 | Q. How were you told -- how were |
| 10 | you informed -- you say you were told |
| 11 | that the master note was increased? |
| 12 | A. I don't -- I don't recall |
| 13 | being told that, that the master note had |
| 14 | been increased. |
| 15 | Q. What do you recall being told? |
| 16 | A. I just don't recall the |
| 17 | conversation, but I remember |
| 18 | understanding that it had been increased, |
| 19 | but I can't recall the specific |
| 20 | conversation. |
| 21 | Q. But you didn't have the |
| 22 | authority to increase the master note, |
| 23 | correct? |
| 24 | A. No. |
| 25 | Q. And you don't recall the |
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| 2 | circumstances under which you learned |
| 3 | that the master note supposedly was |
| 4 | increased in size, correct? |
| 5 | A. Correct. |
| 6 | Q. And you've never seen an |
| 7 | amendment in fact increasing the capacity |
| 8 | of the master note from 15 billion to a |
| 9 | different amount? |
| 10 | A. No. |
| 11 | Q. Did you come to have an |
| 12 | understanding as to who was involved in |
| 13 | any decision to increase the size of the |
| 14 | master note? |
| 15 | A. I would be speculating if I -- |
| 16 | if I came up with something. |
| 17 | Q. So you don't know? |
| 18 | A. No. I know who's authorized |
| 19 | to increase the master note, but I don't |
| 20 | know what that process looked like. |
| 21 | Q. By the way, to the best of |
| 22 | your understanding, did the board of |
| 23 | Washington Mutual fsb ever meet to -- |
| 24 | well, strike that. |
| 25 | Was the board of Washington |
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Mutual fsb ever asked to consider any aspect of this transaction?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to form.
Q. Was the board of Washington

Mutual fsb ever asked to consider any aspect of either of the transfer of $\$ 3.67$ billion and/or the simultaneous loan of that same amount?
A. The board was not consulted because the policies in place at the time authorized Pat to -- authorized the transaction.
Q. So the answer is that to the best of your knowledge the board was not consulted?
A. That's correct.
Q. Do you know whether there was even a functioning board of Washington Mutual Bank fsb at that time?
A. At what time?
Q. The time that this transaction was being considered and effected?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection;
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circumstances under which you learned
that the master note supposedly was
increased in size, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you've never seen an amendment in fact increasing the capacity of the master note from 15 billion to a different amount?
A. No.
Q. Did you come to have an understanding as to who was involved in any decision to increase the size of the master note?
A. I would be speculating if I --
if I came up with something.
Q. So you don't know?
A. No. I know who's authorized
to increase the master note, but I don't
know what that process looked like.
Q. By the way, to the best of
your understanding, did the board of Washington Mutual fsb ever meet to --

Was the board of Washington

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY form.
Q. September 19th to 24 th?
A. I don't have any personal
knowledge as to whether there was a separate board.
Q. Well, hadn't you become aware of other circumstances that due to the departure of certain executives from WaMu that there were not enough members on the board of Washington Mutual Bank fsb to function?
A. No, I had no personal

## knowledge of that.

Q. So at some point you came to understand, but you don't recall from where, that the capacity of the note was increased over 15 billion?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Did you come to have some understanding as to what that capacity was supposedly increased to?
A. 20 billion. My understanding was that it was increased to 20 billion.
Q. Okay. And did you have any
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| 2 | involvement in any consideration of what |
| 3 | assets should be pledged as additional |
| 4 | collateral to support this transfer? |
| 5 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 6 | foundation. |
| 7 | MR. SACKS: I'm sorry, strike |
| 8 | that. |
| 9 | Q. To support the loan aspect of |
| 10 | the transaction? |
| 11 | MR. ABENSOHN: The objection |
| 12 | stands. |
| 13 | A. Can you repeat the question so |
| 14 | $I$ can make sure I understand it. |
| 15 | Q. Did you have any consideration |
| 16 | -- did you have any participation in the |
| 17 | consideration of what assets should be |
| 18 | posted, or should be pledged to secure |
| 19 | the -- to provide the additional |
| 20 | collateral for this \$3.67 billion |
| 21 | additional loan? |
| 22 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 23 | assumes facts. |
| 24 | A. I requested that we eliminate |
| 25 | or suspend the collateral pledge for a |
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| 2 | period of time. |
| 3 | Q. When did you request that? |
| 4 | A. I don't know the date. |
| 5 | Approximately the 21st or -- I mean the |
| 6 | 22nd or 23rd, I'm not exactly sure of the |
| 7 | date. |
| 8 | Q. And why did you request that? |
| 9 | A. Because it was my |
| 10 | understanding we would not have |
| 11 | sufficient collateral, the bank would not |
| 12 | have sufficient collateral to pledge to |
| 13 | the fsb. |
| 14 | Q. So meaning unless the |
| 15 | collateral requirement were reduced or |
| 16 | eliminated, under the terms of the then |
| 17 | existing loan documents this loan could |
| 18 | not have been made? |
| 19 | A. The loan could have been made. |
| 20 | We would have been out of compliance with |
| 21 | our own policy. |
| 22 | Q. Did you consider -- and how |
| 23 | did you learn that there was not |
| 24 | sufficient collateral to secure this -- |
| 25 | the bank, WMB, did not have sufficient |

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY collateral to secure this additional amount of money?
A. I don't recall. MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
A. I'm sorry.
Q. Sorry?
A. I don't recall.
Q. Did you consider -- did you
discuss with anyone whether the transaction without the posting of collateral was arm's length? Strike that, let me be more specific.

Did you discuss with anyone whether the lending of money without the posting of additional collateral was arm's length?
A. Not at that time, no.
Q. Did you at any time discuss that with anybody?
A. Yes.
Q. When?
A. When we put the master note in
place.
Q. Okay. I'm talking about --
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I'm sorry, let me be more -- I was
unclear in my question, apologies.
Did you discuss with anyone
whether the loan of $\$ 3.67$ billion in that week in September of 2008 from Washington
Mutual Bank fsb to WMB, given WMB's then
existing financial condition, was an
arm's length transaction in the absence
of secured collateral, of pledged
collateral?
MR. ABENSOHN: Objection;
form.
A. I did not have a discussion about that.
Q. With anyone?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Did you consider in your own
mind whether a $\$ 3.67$ billion loan from
Washington Mutual Bank fsb to Washington
Mutual Bank at that time given Washington
Mutual Bank's then existing financial condition was an arm's length transaction in the absence of collateral?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection;

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY form.
A. I did not consider that, no.
Q. Did you consider whether this
transaction was prudent from the
perspective -- strike that.
Did you consider whether the loan was prudent from the perspective of Washington Mutual Bank fsb in the course of any of the work that you did on this loan?
A. The master note was an authorized lending arrangement and we just implemented, as we had in the past. So it was, in my opinion, we just did the normal practice which was to lend excess funds to the bank.
Q. You hadn't lent without collateral in the past, right?
A. There was a collateral arrangement requested by tax, yes.
Q. The answer is you hadn't lent without collateral in the past, correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And you hadn't lent any amount
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Q. Didn't you discuss with your co-workers the commentary that was published on the bank about its liquidity problems?
A. I'm sure that I must have, but I don't recall any specific conversations.
Q. But so you were generally aware at that point in time that the bank was in some level of having a liquidity problem at that point in time, weren't you?
A. I was aware that there were discussions that there was liquidity issues.
Q. And you understood, didn't you, by what your superiors, you observed your superiors doing at that point in time that they were engaged in somewhat unusual efforts to try to meet those liquidity issues?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
A. I wouldn't characterize it as unusual.
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of money anywhere near this order of magnitude when WMB was in the financial condition it was in during that period of September 2008, had you?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection;
form.
Q. Well you understood, didn't
you, that there was some liquidity issue
facing WMB during that period of time in
2008, September 2008?
A. I understood there was some discussion that there were liquidity issues at the bank, yes.
Q. Didn't you follow the dropping
of the stock price on a regular basis?
A. I don't know if that has
anything to do with the deposit runoff,
but I did --
Q. I'm asking you --
A. -- see the stock price
decrease, yes.
Q. And didn't you discuss that with your co-workers?
A. Sure.

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
Q. Well didn't you understand they were making efforts to try to sell the bank?
A. I did understand that, yes.
Q. And that they were making efforts to try to get equity into the -to raise additional equity?
A. Not at that time, no.
Q. You were aware they had retained investment bankers?
A. Yes.
Q. And at some point you became aware that bankruptcy counsel had been retained, weren't you?
A. Yes.
Q. And this was all during this same period of time?
A. Yes.
Q. So my question to you again is in your mind, knowing what you knew about the condition of Washington Mutual Bank at that point, did you consider whether it was prudent for Washington Mutual Bank fsb to loan money to Washington Mutual
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| 2 | Bank without any pledge of collateral? | 2 | policies it could not make that loan at |
| 3 | A. No. | 3 | that point in time, correct? |
| 4 | Q. I'm correct, am I not, that | 4 | A. I think I'm saying it |
| 5 | the relief, what you -- when do you | 5 | differently. The loan would have been |
| 6 | understand that the pledge obligation | 6 | made. We would have been out of |
| 7 | under the master note, associated with | 7 | compliance with our policy. |
| 8 | the master note, was -- | 8 | Q. So you just would have |
| 9 | A. Suspended. | 9 | violated your policies and made the loan? |
| 10 | Q. Suspended, is that the right | 10 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 11 | word? When do you understand that that | 11 | form. |
| 12 | happened? | 12 | Q. Why? |
| 13 | A. I would estimate the 23rd or | 13 | A. Because fsb in its normal |
| 14 | 24th. | 14 | course loaned its excess funds to the |
| 15 | Q. So prior to that time, | 15 | bank. |
| 16 | Washington Mutual Bank fsb could not loan | 16 | Q. I'm sorry. Pursuant to |
| 17 | money to Washington Mutual Bank in | 17 | agreements, so you just would have |
| 18 | conformity with the bank's policies and | 18 | violated the -- the terms of the |
| 19 | documents; is that correct? | 19 | agreements didn't matter? |
| 20 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; | 20 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 21 | form. | 21 | form. |
| 22 | A. I'm -- | 22 | A. In order to conform to |
| 23 | Q. Prior to that time that | 23 | policies, I requested that the collateral |
| 24 | decision was made, Washington Mutual Bank | 24 | requirement be suspended so we would not |
| 25 | was not -- Washington Mutual Bank fsb was | 25 | be out of compliance with policies. |
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| 2 | not in a position to loan $\$ 3.67$ billion | 2 | Q. But there was no way to comply |
| 3 | in additional funds to Washington Mutual | 3 | with policies and have fsb make this |
| 4 | Bank in conformity with the then existing | 4 | \$3.67 billion loan until somebody |
| 5 | policies and procedures of Washington | 5 | approved the suspension of the collateral |
| 6 | Mutual? | 6 | requirement, correct? |
| 7 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; | 7 | A. As I understood it, yes. |
| 8 | form. | 8 | Q. And that didn't happen until |
| 9 | A. I'm still not sure I'm getting | 9 | you think the 22nd or 23rd? |
| 10 | it, I'm sorry. | 10 | A. 23rd or 24th, I don't know. |
| 11 | Q. Okay. I'm trying to use your | 11 | Q. 23rd or 24th, I apologize. |
| 12 | date and go back. So on September 19th | 12 | Am I correct that you learned |
| 13 | when you were asked to execute the | 13 | that there wasn't sufficient collateral |
| 14 | transfer, Washington Mutual Bank was not | 14 | to be pledged when you sought to get |
| 15 | in a position to provide the collateral | 15 | sufficient collateral to pledge? |
| 16 | -- strike that -- Washington Mutual Bank | 16 | A. I don't actually recall. |
| 17 | fsb was not in a position to provide a | 17 | Q. Now the pledge agreement was a |
| 18 | loan of an additional \$3.67 billion to | 18 | written agreement, was it not? |
| 19 | Washington Mutual Bank at that time | 19 | A. Yes. |
| 20 | because it didn't have the collateral to | 20 | Q. To the best of your knowledge, |
| 21 | post for it; is that right? | 21 | did anyone execute a document suspending |
| 22 | A. The loan could have been made, | 22 | that pledge agreement? |
| 23 | we would have been out of compliance with | 23 | A. I'm not certain. |
| 24 | our policies. | 24 | Q. Have you ever seen it? |
| 25 | Q. So in conformity with your | 25 | A. No. |
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| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | were loaned back to the bank. | 2 | I was informed by Bill over the period of |
| 3 | Q. And in this particular case | 3 | time since we put the master note in |
| 4 | though, Washington Mutual Bank, the only | 4 | place, and that it was only a requirement |
| 5 | way Washington -- this transaction could | 5 | of the tax department, I believe. |
| 6 | occur was by both the loan and the | 6 | Q. Did you consult Mr. Lynch |
| 7 | transfer because Washington Mutual Bank | 7 | specifically as it related to this |
| 8 | didn't have $\$ 3.67$ billion that it could | 8 | requirement, the requirement of |
| 9 | actually transfer to fsb; isn't that | 9 | collateral for this transaction? |
| 10 | right? | 10 | A. For the loan from the fsb to |
| 11 | A. Oh, I don't know that to be | 11 | the bank? |
| 12 | true. | 12 | Q. Yes, for this loan? |
| 13 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection, | 13 | A. No. |
| 14 | form. | 14 | Q. So this was information you |
| 15 | A. I don't know that to be true | 15 | had been told by Mr. Lynch sometime in |
| 16 | at all. | 16 | the past? |
| 17 | Q. Do you know one way or another | 17 | A. He had provided information |
| 18 | whether that was right? | 18 | that the master note between the fsb and |
| 19 | A. I can't opine either way, $I$ | 19 | the bank did not need to be |
| 20 | mean... | 20 | collateralized because it was between two |
| 21 | Q. Fine. When you requested that | 21 | banks, a sub and a parent. |
| 22 | Mr. Freilinger approve this, did you tell | 22 | Q. When was the last time that |
| 23 | him why you were asking him to approve | 23 | you had spoken to him on that subject? |
| 24 | it? I'm talking now about the suspension | 24 | A. I could not give you a date. |
| 25 | of the requirement that collateral be | 25 | Q. It wasn't in the -- was it |
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| 2 | pledged to secure this loan? | 2 | anywhere within several months of the |
| 3 | A. Did I tell him? | 3 | time that this was occurring? |
| 4 | Q. Tell him why you were asking | 4 | A. I couldn't -- I couldn't say. |
| 5 | him to suspend the requirement? | 5 | Q. Mr. Lynch, is he an internal |
| 6 | A. I don't recall. I know I sent | 6 | or an external lawyer? |
| 7 | him an email, but I'm not certain if I | 7 | A. Internal. |
| 8 | stated specifically that it wouldn't have | 8 | Q. And with respect to whatever |
| 9 | sufficient collateral. | 9 | it was that he said to you whenever it |
| 10 | Q. Do you recall what information | 10 | was, I take it he did not have before him |
| 11 | you provided to Mr. Freilinger so that he | 11 | the facts of the transaction that you |
| 12 | could make an informed decision as to | 12 | were undertaking in September of 2008? |
| 13 | whether it was a prudent business | 13 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 14 | decision to suspend this requirement? | 14 | Q. Just so it's clear, his |
| 15 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; | 15 | opining to you on what was or was not |
| 16 | form. | 16 | legally required was not an opinion with |
| 17 | Q. Let me ask the question again. | 17 | respect to the specific facts of the |
| 18 | Do you recall what information you | 18 | transaction that was being undertaken in |
| 19 | provided to Mr. Freilinger so he could | 19 | September of 2008, correct? |
| 20 | make the decision on your request? | 20 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 21 | A. I believe I told him that the | 21 | form. |
| 22 | collateral requirement is not required | 22 | A. His discussion of the |
| 23 | based on information from Bill Lynch, our | 23 | collateral was specific to the master |
| 24 | regulatory attorney, because this was | 24 | note where fsb extends credit to the bank |
| 25 | between two banks, a sub and a parent, as | 25 | and the bank did not need to post |
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| 2 | collateral. |
| 3 | Q. But that was not an opinion he |
| 4 | rendered to you under circumstances that |
| 5 | were specific to this set of facts |
| 6 | though, right? |
| 7 | A. No. |
| 8 | Q. And did you understand then |
| 9 | that the -- am I correct that the -- did |
| 10 | Mr. Freilinger speak to you about this |
| 11 | request before he supposedly approved it? |
| 12 | A. Did he speak to me? I don't |
| 13 | recall. |
| 14 | Q. So you don't recall any |
| 15 | discussion you had with him? |
| 16 | A. No, because Peter was out of |
| 17 | town at some point so I don't remember if |
| 18 | I had a phone conversation or a physical |
| 19 | conversation or email conversation. |
| 20 | Q. Did you understand that by not |
| 21 | posting collateral that this transaction |
| 22 | was a non-arm's length transaction? |
| 23 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 24 | form. |
| 25 | A. I'm not certain I can opine as |

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY to whether it was an arm's length transaction or not.
Q. Do you recall informing Mr. Freilinger that this transaction was not required to be arm's length?
A. Yes.
Q. And so in informing him of that fact, did you not understand that it was reasonable to conclude that this was indeed not an arm's length transaction?
A. Which transaction are we talking about?
Q. Well I'll talk specifically of the loan right now. In informing him that it was not required to be arm's length in connection with asking him to suspend the collateral requirement, is it not reasonable to understand that you understood this under those circumstances was not an arm's length transaction?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; form.
A. I understood that the
borrowing and lending relationship did

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
not need to be arm's length based on the assessment by Bill Lynch when we put the master note in place.
Q. And did you understand that indeed the transaction you were working to accomplish that involved this loan was not arm's length?
A. Yes.
Q. This was, am I correct that this was a temporary suspension?
A. I'm not certain that my request was temporary, but subsequently counsel requested that it be a temporary suspension of the requirement.
Q. And why did you understand that the suspension was temporary?
A. I didn't request -- I didn't
ask information about why it would be temporary.
Q. Did you understand that the suspension of the collateral requirement would have any adverse financial impact on any Washington Mutual entities?
Putting aside -- let me ask the question

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY again.

Putting aside the security for the loan that Washington Mutual Bank fsb was getting, did you understand that the suspension of the collateral requirement would have an adverse financial impact on any Washington Mutual entity?
A. No.
Q. That was a tax issue as you understood it? Was that a tax issue as you understood it?
A. I'm sorry, what issue are we talking about?
Q. Whether there would be an adverse impact from failing to post collateral, or making a loan on non-arm's length terms? MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; form.
A. I'm not exactly sure of the question, I'm sorry. There's a couple of questions in there.
Q. Don't worry about it.

MR. SACKS: Let me mark this
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| 2 | as Exhibit 10. | 2 | Q. Suspended. So that basically |
| 3 | (Logan Exhibit 10 for | 3 | what was being done was to strip away the |
| 4 | identification, two pages of emails | 4 | collateral that was then in place for the |
| 5 | dated September 24, 2008, with | 5 | greater than 10 but less than $\$ 15$ billion |
| 6 | attached asset pledge agreement.) | 6 | that was outstanding on that master note |
| 7 | Q. First of all, do you recognize | 7 | at that time in addition to for the |
| 8 | Exhibit 10, Ms. Logan? | 8 | incremental advance? |
| 9 | A. It looks like an email that I | 9 | A. My understanding -- |
| 10 | sent. | 10 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 11 | Q. And you sent it to somebody at | 11 | form. |
| 12 | Heller Ehrman and Mr. Smith? | 12 | Q. You want me to rephrase it? |
| 13 | A. Initially Chad sent it to | 13 | A. Yes, please. |
| 14 | Heller and then I responded to the | 14 | Q. Was it your understanding that |
| 15 | question from Mr. Scholl. | 15 | the purpose for this -- strike that. |
| 16 | Q. I'm actually focusing on the | 16 | Was it your understanding that |
| 17 | top, sorry, my fault, I'm focusing on the | 17 | this suspension was going to strip away |
| 18 | top email. The top email is from you on | 18 | the collateral that had already been |
| 19 | September 24th to a Jacob Scholl and Chad | 19 | pledged to secure the outstanding balance |
| 20 | Smith. Is this an email you sent to the | 20 | on the master note? |
| 21 | two of them on September 24th? | 21 | A. It was my understanding it |
| 22 | A. It looks like it. | 22 | would eliminate the collateral |
| 23 | Q. Does that refresh your | 23 | requirement for a period of time. |
| 24 | recollection as to when the issue of | 24 | Q. Okay. So that what collateral |
| 25 | suspending the lateral requirement was | 25 | was pledged at that time would be |
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| 2 | addressed? | 2 | released? |
| 3 | A. I see that I sent it, but I | 3 | A. Yes. |
| 4 | don't recall the email. | 4 | Q. So that following this |
| 5 | Q. And am I correct that the | 5 | purported suspension there would be |
| 6 | attachments to this are the, I note it | 6 | something north of \$15 billion loaned |
| 7 | indicates it's the unsigned version, but | 7 | from Washington Mutual Bank fsb to |
| 8 | you understood it to actually be the | 8 | Washington Mutual Bank with no collateral |
| 9 | actual pledge agreement, the asset pledge | 9 | pledged to support it? |
| 10 | agreement and an amendment to that | 10 | A. That's correct. |
| 11 | agreement? | 11 | MR. SACKS: Let me mark as |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | Exhibit 11 this document. |
| 13 | Q. And do you recall that Heller | 13 | (Logan Exhibit 11 for |
| 14 | Ehrman prepared for you, or prepared | 14 | identification, email dated |
| 15 | documents to terminate the asset pledge | 15 | September 24, 2008, with attached |
| 16 | agreement? | 16 | letter dated September 24, 2008 and |
| 17 | A. I'm not aware. | 17 | document entitled "Release of asset |
| 18 | Q. Let me ask you this. Did you | 18 | pledge agreement.") |
| 19 | understand that the collateral | 19 | Q. Is this an email you received |
| 20 | requirement was being suspended for the | 20 | from Mr. Scholl, S-c-h-o-l-l, at Heller |
| 21 | incremental borrowing, or was all | 21 | Ehrman on September 24th? |
| 22 | collateral requirements being eliminated? | 22 | A. It looks to be. |
| 23 | A. I understood it to be all the | 23 | Q. Are the attachments documents |
| 24 | collateral requirements were going to be | 24 | that they drafted, one of the which is |
| 25 | eliminated, or suspended. | 25 | the document to release the asset pledge |
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| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | agreement associated with the master note | 2 | the transfer of the 3.67 billion from |
| 3 | in favor -- between Washington Mutual | 3 | Washington Mutual Bank to Washington |
| 4 | Bank and Washington Mutual Bank fsb? | 4 | Mutual Bank fsb. I guess my question is |
| 5 | A. I'm sorry, can you ask that | 5 | what was the purpose for the loan from |
| 6 | again. | 6 | Washington Mutual Bank fsb to Washington |
| 7 | Q. Yes. In the attached | 7 | Mutual Bank? |
| 8 | documents, do you see the second attached | 8 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 9 | document? | 9 | form. |
| 10 | A. Yes. | 10 | A. I would have a hard time |
| 11 | Q. And is that a document that | 11 | defining purpose. The fsb in the normal |
| 12 | was prepared by Heller Ehrman to effect | 12 | course of business always loaned excess |
| 13 | the release of the asset pledge | 13 | funds to the bank. |
| 14 | agreement? | 14 | Q. Was there a business purpose |
| 15 | A. It appears to be, but I don't | 15 | for that aspect of the transaction? |
| 16 | recall ever receiving it or reading it, | 16 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 17 | so. | 17 | form. |
| 18 | Q. You have no reason to doubt | 18 | A. I'm not certain how to answer |
| 19 | that you did receive it though, do you? | 19 | your question. |
| 20 | A. No, I have no reason to doubt | 20 | Q. Well in analyzing transactions |
| 21 | it. I just don't recall ever looking at | 21 | in the course of your work, oftentimes |
| 22 | it. | 22 | you're asked to articulate whether |
| 23 | Q. To the best of your knowledge, | 23 | there's a business purpose for the |
| 24 | was this document ever signed? | 24 | transaction; isn't that right? |
| 25 | A. I don't recall. | 25 | A. Yes. |
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| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | Q. You've never seen it if it | 2 | Q. Okay. And so I'm asking you |
| 3 | was? | 3 | that question with respect to this loan. |
| 4 | A. Yes, I don't recall. I mean I | 4 | What was the business purpose for this |
| 5 | just don't recall seeing -- I don't | 5 | loan? |
| 6 | recall seeing this email or the executed | 6 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 7 | document. | 7 | A. The only real trading partner |
| 8 | MR. SACKS: We have to change | 8 | the fsb had was the bank, and in order to |
| 9 | the tape, so let's take a couple of | 9 | take this -- the funds that would have |
| 10 | seconds. | 10 | been sent to the fsb and deploy them and |
| 11 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment, | 11 | create an earning asset from them was to |
| 12 | please, and watch your microphones. | 12 | loan them to the bank. |
| 13 | Here now marks the end of tape 3 of | 13 | Q. Independent of the movement of |
| 14 | the deposition of Doreen A. Logan. | 14 | the 3.67 billion from Washington Mutual |
| 15 | The time is 2:43 p.m., we're now | 15 | -- that WMI purported to move from WMB to |
| 16 | off the record. | 16 | WMB fsb, was there any business purpose |
| 17 | (A recess was taken.) | 17 | for the loan to WMB? |
| 18 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here now | 18 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 19 | marks the beginning of tape 4 of | 19 | form. |
| 20 | the deposition of Doreen A. Logan. | 20 | A. I'm not sure I understand. |
| 21 | The time is 2:53 p.m., we're back | 21 | The question is rather broad. I'm not |
| 22 | on the record. | 22 | sure I understand it. |
| 23 | Q. Ms. Logan, I believe you | 23 | Q. Are the circumstances that |
| 24 | explained to me what the purpose was for | 24 | caused there to be a need for the \$3.67 |
| 25 | the transfer, from WMI's perspective for | 25 | billion loan the movement of the money -- |

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
the movement of 3.67 billion on the books
from Washington Mutual Bank to Washington
Mutual Bank fsb?
A. I'm sorry, I just don't
understand what you're asking.
Q. From the perspective of

Washington Mutual Bank fsb, was there any
economic substance to the combined transactions?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; form.
A. The fsb had got through this
transaction where WMI transferred its deposit, it received additional funding.
That was the first part of the
transaction. The second part of the transaction is it loans its excess funds to the bank.
Q. So from Washington Mutual Bank
fsb's perspective, would you say they were better off or worse off after this transaction?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection;
form.

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY --

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
Q. -- that included both aspects of this transaction?
A. I can't guess as to what an institution would do. I just know in practice what the fsb and the bank, WMB's relationship was.
Q. Are you familiar with something called the QTL test?
A. I'm familiar with it, yes.
Q. And what is it?
A. In summary, it's the qualified thrift lender test where 65 percent of the assets of a thrift have to be in real estate-related assets.
Q. Before I forget to ask, I
apologize, I have to go back to one question I forgot to ask, Ms. Logan, on the loan and the pledge.

Prior to the events at the end of September of 2008, had there ever been a prior period where the requirement that collateral be posted in connection with

## 23 Washington Mutual Bank fsb's position

24 would ever have entered into a
25
DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
A. I couldn't opine either way.
Q. You never considered that?
A. No, I -MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
Q. Sitting here today, having had an opportunity to reflect upon this, would you say that Washington Mutual Bank fsb, which had no, nothing to do with this beforehand, is better off or worse no collateral to support the loan?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
Q. Would you say they're better
or worse off after that combined series of events?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
A. I have a hard time answering that question.
Q. Have you considered whether any rational financial institution in transaction like this with a third party
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any borrowings under the master note between fsb and WMB, has that ever been suspended prior to that time?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Back to the QTL for a minute.

And is that something -- what were the consequences, or what was the significance of meeting that QTL test?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection;
form.
Q. What were the consequences of having a ratio that surpassed the minimum requirement under the QTL test?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
A. I can't really state what -- I
just know the requirements were to be above 65 percent.
Q. And is that something that

Washington Mutual Bank fsb had previously had been in danger of failing?
A. Yes.
Q. That was an issue of concern?
A. Yes.
Q. In fact, you suggested the

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
consequences of failure be a nuclear event for WaMu?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
A. I may have been pedantic at some point and said that.
Q. Did Washington Mutual engage in accounting maneuvers in order to put fsb in a position to meet that test --

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
Q. -- from time to time?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; form.
A. I would not characterize it as accounting maneuvers.
Q. What would you characterize it as?
A. Prudent management of the balance sheet of the fsb.
Q. And when you say that, am I correct that you engaged in activities on a one-day basis in order to meet the test?
A. Yes.
Q. Because the test was done once

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
go into a DDA account at WMB, so the cash
would stay at WMB, correct?
MR. ABENSOHN: Objection;
form?
Q. Is that right?
A. That the fsb had a deposit account at the bank, yes.
Q. So what would happen is the size -- the size of the master note would go down, there would purportedly be a book entry transfer to a DDA still at Washington Mutual Bank; is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And that would help the QTL test because the master note was not a qualified lending asset, right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And on day 3 you just reversed that, right?
A. Yes.
Q. But in substance, if not form, the relationship between Washington Mutual Bank and Washington Mutual Bank fsb was the same on day 1 , day 2 and day
DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY a month?
A. It was --
Q. Or it was a one-day test, it wasn't an average or anything like that?
A. It was an end-of-the-month test, yes.
Q. And so am I correct that from time to time what happened was that -well, tell me what was done in order to help Washington Mutual Bank fsb meet this test that you've described as prudent --
A. Balance sheet management. On
I would say several occasions we decreased the size of the master note where the fsb had lent to the bank and put funds on deposit in an already established bank account that the fsb had at WMB.
Q. So what happened was that on day 1 there was a certain balance on the master note. On day 2 what was done was that WMB purportedly repaid the master note, meaning you would reduce the size of the master note, the cash would them

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY 3 ; isn't that right? MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to form.
Q. Although the form had changed?
A. I would not characterize the relationship as being the same on all three days.
Q. What would you characterize it as?
A. We decreased the size of the master note, which was an interest earning asset and increased the size of the deposit account which was not an interest earning asset in order to meet the QTL test and then the deposit account was decreased and the master note was then increased.
Q. And from the perspective of

Washington Mutual Bank, no funds ever moved though, right?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection;
form.
Q. Book entries were made, but
the actual funds such as they were, were
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| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | still at Washington Mutual Bank on day 1, | 2 | She's familiar with -- she's |
| 3 | day 2 and day 3 ? | 3 | already described being familiar |
| 4 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. | 4 | with the types of transactions that |
| 5 | A. I'm having a problem with | 5 | occurred in connection with this to |
| 6 | funds. | 6 | meet the QTL test. |
| 7 | Q. Let me just -- let's use this | 7 | Q. Do I have in substance right |
| 8 | as an example. Do you recall this was | 8 | the nature of what occurred with respect |
| 9 | done at the end of July of 2008? | 9 | to the relationship? |
| 10 | A. It may have been. I don't | 10 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 11 | recall. | 11 | A. Yes. |
| 12 | Q. Okay. | 12 | Q. Were you aware -- |
| 13 | MR. SACKS: Let me mark as | 13 | MR. ABENSOHN: What exhibit |
| 14 | Exhibit 12 this document. | 14 | number was that, I'm sorry? |
| 15 | (Logan Exhibit 12 for | 15 | THE REPORTER: That was 12. |
| 16 | identification, emails dated July | 16 | Q. Were you aware of efforts on |
| 17 | 31, 2008, August 1, 2008, and | 17 | or about September 25th or 26th to try |
| 18 | August 4, 2008.) | 18 | to, by WMI to try to move the \$3.67 |
| 19 | Q. I'm not sure if you've had an | 19 | billion outside of WaMu? |
| 20 | opportunity to see this. I don't know if | 20 | A. I'm not aware of that, no. |
| 21 | you've seen this email before. Have you, | 21 | Q. You didn't participate in |
| 22 | Ms. Logan? | 22 | those efforts? |
| 23 | MR. ABENSOHN: Which are you | 23 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 24 | referring to? | 24 | A. I have no knowledge of those |
| 25 | MR. SACKS: The top email on | 25 | efforts, if they even existed. |
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| 2 | the first page. | 2 | Q. Were you aware of efforts to |
| 3 | A. I'm reading it now. | 3 | open accounts -- to move cash in accounts |
| 4 | MR. ABENSOHN: I'm going to | 4 | in the name of WMI subsidiaries outside |
| 5 | also interject an objection as to | 5 | of WaMu in the September 25th or 26th |
| 6 | scope on this line. | 6 | time frame? |
| 7 | Q. You see in the third paragraph | 7 | A. I was not aware of that, no. |
| 8 | it describes what purportedly occurred at | 8 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection to |
| 9 | the end of July of 2008? | 9 | the form of the question. |
| 10 | A. Yes. | 10 | MR. SACKS: Let me mark as |
| 11 | Q. That's the type of transaction | 11 | Exhibit 13 a one-page email. |
| 12 | you were talking about a moment ago? | 12 | (Logan Exhibit 13 for |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | identification, two emails dated |
| 14 | Q. So am I correct that looking | 14 | September 26, 2008.) |
| 15 | at this day 1,2 and 3 here, with respect | 15 | Q. Are those emails that were |
| 16 | to this \$2 billion that was used on day | 16 | sent to and from you, do you see that? |
| 17 | 1, that was a $\$ 2$ billion loan liability | 17 | A. I do. |
| 18 | from WMB to WMB fsb, on day 2 it was a | 18 | Q. Does this refresh your |
| 19 | deposit liability of WMB to WMB fsb, and | 19 | recollection as to being involved in |
| 20 | on day 3 it was back to a loan liability | 20 | efforts to move cash in accounts in the |
| 21 | from WMB to WMB fsb; is that right? | 21 | name of WMI subsidiaries out of WaMu? |
| 22 | MR. ABENSOHN: I'm going to | 22 | A. I see that I wrote it, but $I$ |
| 23 | object as to foundation. She's not | 23 | don't recall it. |
| 24 | a named person on this email. | 24 | Q. I believe earlier today -- let |
| 25 | MR. SACKS: That's okay. | 25 | me make sense of where I am. Just give |
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| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | me a minute. I asked you a question | 2 | A. Sorry. I was not familiar |
| 3 | about whether you had done any review or | 3 | with the tax accounting at all. |
| 4 | analysis of any of the transactions in | 4 | Q. Do you have any understanding |
| 5 | the 0667 account. You mentioned that you | 5 | as to whether WMI had ever previously |
| 6 | had, in response to a request, looked at | 6 | caused WMB to make payments based upon an |
| 7 | the two September transactions that | 7 | accrued balance in a tax account? |
| 8 | involved I guess 300 -- | 8 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; form |
| 9 | A. 322 million. | 9 | and scope. |
| 10 | Q. 322 million that were -- well, | 10 | A. I was not familiar with any of |
| 11 | describe what you understood those | 11 | the tax accounting for the bank or WMI. |
| 12 | transactions to be for me. I don't want | 12 | Q. Were you familiar with how tax |
| 13 | to use the wrong words. | 13 | refunds were handled? |
| 14 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. | 14 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 15 | A. I understood them to be two | 15 | scope. |
| 16 | tax payments that WMB owed to WMI for | 16 | A. I'm not familiar with how tax |
| 17 | different years and WMB paid WMI. | 17 | refunds were handled. |
| 18 | Q. And is it your understanding | 18 | Q. Are you aware that in the 0667 |
| 19 | that -- do you have any understanding as | 19 | account there is, on or about September |
| 20 | to what -- as to the substance of those | 20 | 30th of 2008 -- sorry, I've got to get |
| 21 | amounts? | 21 | the right account, apologies. It's in |
| 22 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. | 22 | here somewhere. Sorry, I need one |
| 23 | A. Can you say it again, please. | 23 | second. |
| 24 | Q. Of what those amounts | 24 | If you would look at Exhibit A |
| 25 | specifically related to? | 25 | to your declaration. |
|  | 231 |  | 233 |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | A. No, I'm not aware of what they | 2 | MR. ABENSOHN: What page? |
| 3 | related to. | 3 | MR. SACKS: Page A-25. |
| 4 | Q. Do you have any understanding | 4 | Q. You see that? |
| 5 | of the circumstances that caused those | 5 | A. Yes. |
| 6 | amounts to be paid by WMB to WMI? | 6 | Q. You've stated that that's the |
| 7 | A. I am not. | 7 | September of 2008 statement for the 0667 |
| 8 | Q. Do you have any understanding | 8 | account? |
| 9 | of the manner in which those payments | 9 | A. That's correct. |
| 10 | were made by WMB to WMI? | 10 | Q. If you look at the second page |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | at the top, the last three items are |
| 12 | Q. How were they made? | 12 | deposit. Do you see that, 99,999,999? |
| 13 | A. They were book transfers. | 13 | A. This is an ACH transaction, |
| 14 | Q. Do you have any understanding | 14 | there's a limitation on the size of ACH |
| 15 | as to who was responsible for deciding | 15 | transactions. |
| 16 | that those payments would be made from | 16 | Q. Essentially a total of \$233 |
| 17 | WMB to WMI? | 17 | million. You understand those to be tax |
| 18 | A. No. | 18 | refunds? |
| 19 | Q. Do you have any -- did you | 19 | A. I understand that to be an IRS |
| 20 | have an understanding that those amounts | 20 | tax refund. |
| 21 | related to, in any way to accruals in any | 21 | Q. Do you have any understanding |
| 22 | account that was on the books? | 22 | of what those tax refunds are for? |
| 23 | A. I was not familiar -- | 23 | A. I do not. |
| 24 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; | 24 | Q. Do you have an understanding |
| 25 | form. | 25 | as to how tax refunds received by -- you |


|  | 234 |  | 236 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | understood, didn't you, that Washington | 2 | a regular matter of course pay out to |
| 3 | Mutual, Inc. in many instances filed | 3 | each of its subs the refund attributable |
| 4 | consolidated tax returns on behalf of its | 4 | to them? |
| 5 | subsidiaries? | 5 | A. I can't say -- sorry. |
| 6 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; form | 6 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 7 | and scope. | 7 | A. I can't say one way or the |
| 8 | Q. On behalf of itself and its | 8 | other. |
| 9 | subsidiaries? Let me ask a foundation | 9 | Q. Was it not your understanding |
| 10 | question so we'll get rid of the scope | 10 | that with respect to tax payments, WMI is |
| 11 | objection. | 11 | the taxpayer in the consolidated taxpayer |
| 12 | These deposits are among the | 12 | group, all the subs in the consolidated |
| 13 | funds that in your declaration you have | 13 | WMI group pay WMI and then WMI pays the |
| 14 | said belonged to Washington Mutual, Inc.; | 14 | IRS, if there is a tax refund, cash flows |
| 15 | isn't that right? | 15 | to WMI and then WMI pays the subsidiary, |
| 16 | A. I've said that they're in the | 16 | cash management has oversight, but the |
| 17 | deposit account as part of the balance, | 17 | tax department directs traffic on this |
| 18 | yes. | 18 | line item? |
| 19 | Q. And you've said that that | 19 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. Is |
| 20 | belongs to Washington Mutual, Inc.? | 20 | there a document you want to place |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | in front of us? |
| 22 | Q. So that these deposits are | 22 | MR. SACKS: I'm just asking |
| 23 | funds that you say belong to Washington | 23 | the question of the witness right |
| 24 | Mutual, Inc.? | 24 | now. |
| 25 | A. Yes. | 25 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
|  | 235 |  | 237 |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | Q. So with respect to these, do | 2 | A. I would say that that in |
| 3 | you have an understanding as to how tax | 3 | generally -- in general that is how it |
| 4 | refunds, federal tax refunds were handled | 4 | happened. But we took -- when I was in |
| 5 | by Washington Mutual in the ordinary | 5 | cash management we took direction from |
| 6 | course? | 6 | the tax department. We didn't just pay |
| 7 | A. I do not. | 7 | out the refund. |
| 8 | Q. Did you not have an | 8 | Q. But it was your understanding |
| 9 | understanding that tax refunds were | 9 | that the refund attributable to a |
| 10 | received by Washington Mutual, Inc. and | 10 | particular entity was paid to that |
| 11 | then paid out to the particular | 11 | entity; isn't that right? |
| 12 | Washington Mutual subsidiary for whom the | 12 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. |
| 13 | tax refund relates, or to whom the tax | 13 | A. At some point at the direction |
| 14 | refund relates? | 14 | of tax. |
| 15 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection. | 15 | MR. SACKS: Let's mark as |
| 16 | A. I did not have an | 16 | Exhibit 14 this document. |
| 17 | understanding of how the tax accounting | 17 | (Logan Exhibit 14 for |
| 18 | worked. | 18 | identification, three emails dated |
| 19 | Q. I'm not asking you how the tax | 19 | September 20, 2008, with |
| 20 | accounting worked. I'm asking whether | 20 | attachment.) |
| 21 | you had an understanding as to whether in | 21 | Q. Let me draw your attention to |
| 22 | the ordinary course when Washington | 22 | the email beginning two-thirds of the way |
| 23 | Mutual, Inc. received a tax refund from | 23 | down on Page 2, Ms. Logan. Is that an |
| 24 | the federal government in connection with | 24 | email you wrote on or about September |
| 25 | a consolidated tax return, did it not as | 25 | 20th of last year? |


|  | 238 |  | 240 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | A. Yes. | 2 | foundational questions. |
| 3 | MR. ABENSOHN: Let me have a | 3 | Q. Do you see the email that you |
| 4 | moment to read this if I could. | 4 | wrote to Ms. Schulte on September 20th, |
| 5 | What's the exhibit number on | 5 | 2008, beginning on the second page? |
| 6 | this? I'm sorry. | 6 | A. Yes. |
| 7 | THE REPORTER: That's 14. | 7 | Q. And were you responding to a |
| 8 | MR. ABENSOHN: One moment, | 8 | request from anyone when you wrote that |
| 9 | please. | 9 | email? |
| 10 | MR. SACKS: Sure. I'm not | 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | going anywhere. | 11 | Q. From whom did you receive that |
| 12 | MR. ABENSOHN: We're going to | 12 | request? |
| 13 | take one moment. | 13 | A. Pat Schulte asked me to go |
| 14 | MR. SACKS: That's fine. | 14 | through the WMI cash flow and explain it |
| 15 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment, | 15 | so she could provide it to counsel. |
| 16 | please. The time is $3: 24$ p.m., | 16 | MR. ABENSOHN: I'll stop Ms. |
| 17 | we're now off the record. | 17 | Logan there. |
| 18 | (A recess was taken.) | 18 | Q. Did she tell you what counsel? |
| 19 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is | 19 | A. I don't know if I'm supposed |
| 20 | 3:30 p.m., we're back on the | 20 | to answer. |
| 21 | record. | 21 | MR. ABENSOHN: You can answer. |
| 22 | MR. SACKS: Are you okay? | 22 | A. I believe she did. |
| 23 | MR. ABENSOHN: Well if you | 23 | Q. What did she say? |
| 24 | have a question. I can't recall if | 24 | A. Shortly -- well, that she was |
| 25 | there's one pending or one yet to | 25 | having a discussion with Weil. |
|  | 239 |  | 241 |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | be asked. | 2 | Q. Did she reference Weil by |
| 3 | MR. SACKS: But I'm okay to | 3 | name? |
| 4 | ask a question? | 4 | A. I'm not certain that she |
| 5 | MR. ABENSOHN: Well I am going | 5 | referenced it by name. |
| 6 | to interject a privilege objection | 6 | Q. We'll take this up later. |
| 7 | with respect to this document. | 7 | Let me go and ask you -- |
| 8 | MR. SACKS: The document? On | 8 | perhaps I'll show you some documents they |
| 9 | what basis? | 9 | can't claim privilege on. |
| 10 | MR. ABENSOHN: It's my | 10 | MR. SACKS: Let me mark this |
| 11 | understanding that these | 11 | as Exhibit 15. |
| 12 | communications were at the | 12 | (Logan Exhibit 15 for |
| 13 | direction and request of Weil, as | 13 | identification, five emails dated |
| 14 | counsel to WMI, in preparation for | 14 | February 13, 2008.) |
| 15 | a possible bankruptcy filing, and | 15 | Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 15, |
| 16 | I'm going to assert the privilege | 16 | Ms. Logan? |
| 17 | and instruct the witness not to | 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | respond to questions concerning the | 18 | Q. Is this a series of emails in |
| 19 | subject matter of this email | 19 | which you were a participant? |
| 20 | exchange. | 20 | A. Yes. |
| 21 | MR. SACKS: Okay, well let me | 21 | Q. Do you see they relate to the |
| 22 | just ask her a few foundational | 22 | subject of what would happen when an IRS |
| 23 | questions. I mean I'll respect | 23 | refund was received? |
| 24 | your privilege assertion now, but | 24 | A. Yes. |
| 25 | let me just ask her a few | 25 | Q. Is it fair to say this |


|  | 242 |  | 244 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY that $\$ 233$ million, approximately, is |
| 2 | describes a process by which the refund | 2 |  |
| 3 | is received by Washington Mutual, Inc. | 3 | attributable to the tax obligations or |
| 4 | from the IRS and then paid out to each of | 4 | refund of the tax obligations of WMB? |
| 5 | the other entities in the consolidated | 5 | A. I have no -- |
| 6 | group? | 6 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; form |
| 7 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to | 7 | and scope. |
| 8 | form and scope. | 8 | A. I have no knowledge of how the |
| 9 | A. I'm sorry. | 9 | tax is calculated. |
| 10 | Q. Based on their operations and | 10 | Q. Or with respect to what |
| 11 | tax obligations? | 11 | portion of those refunds from the IRS are |
| 12 | A. I'm sorry, I missed the | 12 | attributable to the tax attributes of |
| 13 | question. | 13 | Washington Mutual Bank fsb? |
| 14 | Q. Do you see it describes a | 14 | MR. ABENSOHN: Same objection. |
| 15 | process by which refunds are received by | 15 | A. I have no knowledge of how the |
| 16 | WMI from the IRS and then paid out by WMI | 16 | tax accounts work. |
| 17 | to the various payers within the | 17 | Q. Do you have knowledge as to |
| 18 | consolidated group, including WMB? | 18 | whether any other tax refunds have been |
| 19 | A. I was making -- | 19 | received into the 0667 account since |
| 20 | MR. ABENSOHN: Same objection. | 20 | September 30th, 2008? |
| 21 | A. I was making a generalized | 21 | MR. ABENSOHN: Same objection; |
| 22 | statement, yes. | 22 | scope. |
| 23 | Q. It was your understanding that | 23 | A. I'm not aware of any other -- |
| 24 | was the general way in which tax refunds | 24 | any additional tax refunds posted to the |
| 25 | from the IRS were handled -- | 25 | 667 account. |
|  | 243 |  | 245 |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | MR. ABENSOHN: Same objection. | 2 | MR. SACKS: Let me mark as |
| 3 | Q. -- in the ordinary course by | 3 | Exhibit -- no, I'm not sure I'm |
| 4 | Washington Mutual? | 4 | going to mark it. |
| 5 | A. At the direction of tax, yes. | 5 | Let me mark as Exhibit 16 |
| 6 | I mean... | 6 | another email. |
| 7 | Q. I understand at the direction | 7 | (Logan Exhibit 16 for |
| 8 | of tax, but that was your understanding | 8 | identification, three emails dated |
| 9 | as to how it worked? | 9 | August 5, 2008.) |
| 10 | MR. ABENSOHN: Same objection. | 10 | Q. Do you recognize this as a |
| 11 | Q. Is that a refund from the IRS | 11 | series of emails that you were a |
| 12 | would be received by Washington Mutual, | 12 | participant in on or about August 5th of |
| 13 | Inc., which would then parcel it out to | 13 | 2008? |
| 14 | different Washington Mutual entities that | 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | were participants in the consolidated | 15 | Q. With Steve Akre? |
| 16 | return based upon their tax obligations? | 16 | A. Akre. |
| 17 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; form | 17 | Q. Akre. And what was his |
| 18 | and scope. | 18 | position? |
| 19 | A. That is my -- that is | 19 | A. He was in treasury -- I don't |
| 20 | generally how I understood it to happen, | 20 | know the name of his department. Oh , |
| 21 | yes. | 21 | FP\&A. |
| 22 | Q. With respect to these three | 22 | Q. What is FP\&A? |
| 23 | payments that are identified on September | 23 | A. Financial planning and |
| 24 | 30, 2008 in the 0667 account, do you have | 24 | analysis. |
| 25 | any understanding as to what portions of | 25 | Q. I want to draw your attention |
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|  | 246 |  | 248 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | to the email at the bottom of the first | 2 | Q. In your capacity for -- in |
| 3 | page that you wrote. In the first | 3 | your job, again, in the July through |
| 4 | paragraph, the second sentence you | 4 | September of 2008 period, did you -- did |
| 5 | indicate that the amount that WMI had on | 5 | you have any responsibility for dealing |
| 6 | deposit at WMB as of August 5th was about | 6 | directly with the regulators? |
| 7 | \$3.5 billion. Do you see that? | 7 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; |
| 8 | A. Yes. | 8 | form. |
| 9 | Q. And after, you're aware that | 9 | A. I would not -- I would not |
| 10 | after that date, sometime in September | 10 | classify myself as having direct |
| 11 | WMI contributed \$500 million as a capital | 11 | responsibility to regulators. I did meet |
| 12 | contribution to WMB, correct? | 12 | with regulators on several occasions. |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | Q. On what type of subjects did |
| 14 | Q. So that would take us down to | 14 | you meet with the regulators? I don't |
| 15 | \$3 billion, right? | 15 | want to get into what you talked about, |
| 16 | A. Yes. | 16 | but what type of subjects did you meet |
| 17 | Q. Do you know where -- your | 17 | with the regulators from time to time on |
| 18 | affidavit and the motion to which it | 18 | those several occasions? |
| 19 | relates seeks payment of approximately \$ 4 | 19 | A. On -- I'm not sure I can limit |
| 20 | billion. | 20 | it to just the July through September |
| 21 | A. Yes. | 21 | time frame because we met with them about |
| 22 | Q. Do you know where the other | 22 | several things, and we met with them |
| 23 | billion dollars comes from? | 23 | about a project to put assets into the |
| 24 | A. Not off the top of my head, | 24 | fsb in order to gain funding from the |
| 25 | no. | 25 | Home Loan Bank of Seattle. We talked to |
|  | 247 |  | 249 |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | Q. In the second paragraph of | 2 | them about the release of capital which |
| 3 | this email you indicate in the second | 3 | is called Project Fillmore. I was in a |
| 4 | sentence, "Rating agencies gain comfort | 4 | meeting where they discussed compliance |
| 5 | that the capital infusion from TPG to WMI | 5 | with our internal liquidity policies. |
| 6 | actually provides funding to the bank." | 6 | Those three meetings are the |
| 7 | What was your source of that | 7 | ones I recall. |
| 8 | understanding? | 8 | Q. When was the last meeting? |
| 9 | A. My general knowledge and | 9 | The last meeting, I'm sorry, on the last |
| 10 | understanding of how funding at the bank | 10 | subject, relating to internal liquidity |
| 11 | works. | 11 | policies? |
| 12 | Q. Did you deal directly with the | 12 | A. I would have a hard time |
| 13 | rating agencies? | 13 | putting a date on it. |
| 14 | A. I did not. | 14 | Q. Other than those three |
| 15 | Q. Did you deal with -- you're | 15 | meetings, do you have recollections of |
| 16 | aware that there was -- that WaMu raised | 16 | dealing directly with the regulators? |
| 17 | a significant sum of money from TPG in | 17 | Let me ask a different question. |
| 18 | April of 2008, correct? | 18 | Were you present at any |
| 19 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection as to | 19 | meetings with the regulators where |
| 20 | scope. | 20 | statements were made regarding the TPG |
| 21 | A. I'm aware that there was a | 21 | funds? |
| 22 | capital raise, yes. | 22 | A. No. |
| 23 | Q. Were you directly involved in | 23 | MR. SACKS: Can we take a |
| 24 | that? | 24 | short break and let me go look and |
| 25 | A. I was not. | 25 | see what more I have? |

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
MR. ABENSOHN: Of course.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: One moment
and watch your microphones. The
time is now 3:45 p.m., we're now off the record.
(A recess was taken.)
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
3:57 p.m., we're back on the record.
Q. I just want to ask you one or two questions about the end of your affidavit, Ms. Logan. And you make reference in paragraphs, I'm at Page 18, to conversations you had with Beverly Bruce, someone named Beverly Bruce and Rosa Cox. Beverly Bruce, am I correct that at the time you submitted this, it was your understanding at the time you submitted this affidavit she was no longer an employee of JPMorgan Chase?
A. I understood that to be true, yes.
Q. She had been a Washington Mutual employee, had been transitioned

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY the receivership; is that right?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection to
the characterization of the
affidavit.
A. My affidavit indicates that in this case Ms. Bruce called me and explained to me that the deposit account that WMI opened at fsb was, in her words, throwing off the segment profitability.
Q. And you understood Ms. Bruce to be saying that the deposit -- you -let's go back. Prior to JPMorgan Chase's involvement after the receivership on September the 25th, this account had been characterized by Washington Mutual as a DDA account, correct?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection to form.
A. We opened a bank account at the fsb, yes.
Q. And given the entries that you made, you purported to open it as a DDA account, right?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection;

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY
over and was gone by the time of your affidavit?
A. Yes.
Q. Now, you identify certain statements you attribute to Ms. Bruce and to Ms. Cox in these two paragraphs. I just want to make sure I understand. Is it fair to say that these two people in essence told you that JPMorgan Chase has maintained the accounting classification for this account that Washington Mutual gave it before the takeover?

MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; form.
A. I'm sorry, can you state the question again.
Q. Sure. I mean if I'm reading what you're saying here, it's that in essence these people have told you that during the pendency of this dispute, JPMorgan Chase has left these books sitting -- left this account sitting on its books in the way it was characterized by Washington Mutual immediately prior to

DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY form.
A. We completed the form required
to open up a bank account, yes, and we opened up a bank account on the fsb, yes.
Q. I'm not trying to argue with
you as to whether what you did was
effective or not. I'm just trying to say
the forms that you, you, Washington
Mutual completed and filled out
characterized this account as a DDA
account, correct?
MR. ABENSOHN: Objection.
A. The term characterized is throwing me off.
Q. Okay. I don't know why. I guess because I'm trying to -- you say it's a DDA account and you say what you opened was a DDA account, correct?
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. And you say that the forms and the coding and the entries that were made created a DDA account?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And am I correct that

|  | 254 |  | 256 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY the time being, and we've asked |
| 2 | what Ms. Bruce told you in substance as | 2 |  |
| 3 | it related to the form of the account was | 3 | that they hold on to the |
| 4 | that during the period while this dispute | 4 | transcripts on an attorney's eyes |
| 5 | has been pending JPMorgan Chase has not | 5 | only basis until we are able to |
| 6 | changed the classification of that | 6 | resolve both privilege and |
| 7 | account from what you originally | 7 | confidentiality issues which are |
| 8 | classified it as? | 8 | being negotiated presently. I |
| 9 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection to | 9 | don't know if you have anything to |
| 10 | characterizing the affidavit. | 10 | add. |
| 11 | A. I would have to assume what | 11 | MR. SACKS: No. My |
| 12 | Ms. Bruce was talking about. | 12 | understanding is that that's |
| 13 | Q. Ms. Bruce never told you that | 13 | agreeable to everyone who has been |
| 14 | JPMorgan Chase had changed the account on | 14 | present today. So if anyone |
| 15 | its system, have they -- did she? | 15 | objects, please speak up your |
| 16 | A. No. | 16 | objection. |
| 17 | Q. And she didn't tell you that | 17 | MR. COLES: Just a question, |
| 18 | anyone had made any substantive judgments | 18 | not really an objection. I'm |
| 19 | with respect to that account, did she? | 19 | perfectly happy to defer to that, |
| 20 | MR. ABENSOHN: Objection; | 20 | but at some point I'd like to see |
| 21 | form. | 21 | some of these exhibits. They're |
| 22 | A. I'm not sure I understand what | 22 | clearly not all privileged and some |
| 23 | that means so I have to say no. | 23 | of them are relevant to the |
| 24 | MR. SACKS: I don't have | 24 | deposition. I'm not sure that kind |
| 25 | anymore questions for Ms. Logan on | 25 | of a blanket statement that they're |
|  | 255 |  | 257 |
| 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY | 1 | DOREEN A. LOGAN - ATTORNEY'S EYES ONLY |
| 2 | the subjects that she's here to | 2 | subject to a privilege is really an |
| 3 | testify about today. | 3 | effective way of doing it. For |
| 4 | MR. ABENSOHN: Let's go off | 4 | instance, Exhibit 14 I think I |
| 5 | the record. | 5 | understand was a privileged |
| 6 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is | 6 | document and that you might want to |
| 7 | 4:03 p.m., we're now off the | 7 | pull that out, but as to the other |
| 8 | record. | 8 | ones -- |
| 9 | (Discussion off the record.) | 9 | MR. ABENSOHN: I think you're |
| 10 | (A recess was taken.) | 10 | right, there's undoubtedly going to |
| 11 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is | 11 | be exhibits that will be available |
| 12 | 4:12 p.m., we're back on the | 12 | to everyone. I think we just want |
| 13 | record. | 13 | to take a breath and make sure we |
| 14 | MR. ABENSOHN: As I understand | 14 | don't go astray of any |
| 15 | it, we've concluded the deposition. | 15 | confidentiality and privilege |
| 16 | There's been discussion among | 16 | issues. |
| 17 | counsel during the break regarding | 17 | MR. SACKS: On that score, I |
| 18 | availability of transcripts and | 18 | think obviously there's an issue |
| 19 | exhibits to the transcripts, at | 19 | that they have with the Weil |
| 20 | least in the short term. There are | 20 | privilege that's been raised by |
| 21 | a number of potential privilege | 21 | that one document, which we |
| 22 | issues. For that reason, we're | 22 | understand, but beyond that there's |
| 23 | asking that counsel, aside from | 23 | the joint privilege, and whether |
| 24 | debtors' counsel and JPMorgan's | 24 | the FDIC is permitted to see that |
| 25 | counsel, not receive exhibits for | 25 | given its regulatory position, |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 264 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | (Logan Exhibit 6 for | 152 | 18 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 2 | identification, document |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |
| 3 | entitled "Journal entry |  |  | 3 | (Instruction not to answer.) 46 | 7 |  |
| 4 | request form.") |  |  | 4 | (Instruction not to answer.) 46 | 15 |  |
| 5 | (Logan Exhibit 7 for | 161 | 2 | 5 | (Instruction not to answer.) 46 | 21 |  |
| 6 | identification, document |  |  | 6 | (Instruction not to answer.) 47 | 22 |  |
| 7 | entitled "Journal entry |  |  | 7 | (Instruction not to answer.) 48 | 6 |  |
| 8 | request form.") |  |  | 8 | (Instruction not to answer.) 48 | 12 |  |
| 9 | (Logan Exhibit 8 for | 163 | 16 | 9 | (Instruction not to answer.) 48 | 18 |  |
| 10 | identification, document |  |  | 10 | (Instruction not to answer.) 48 | 23 |  |
| 11 | entitled "Journal entry |  |  | 11 | (Instruction not to answer.) 49 | 11 |  |
| 12 | request form.") |  |  | 12 | (Instruction not to answer.) 50 | 3 |  |
| 13 | (Logan Exhibit 9 for | 174 | 21 | 13 | (Instruction not to answer.) 121 | 5 |  |
| 14 | identification, three emails |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |
| 15 | dated April 12, 2006, |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |
| 16 | Monday, April 17, 2006, and |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |
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## EXHIBIT B

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

| In re | Chapter 11 |
| :---: | :---: |
| WASHINGTON MUTUAL, INC., et al.. ${ }^{1}$ | Case No. 08-12229 (MFW) |
| Debtors. | Jointly Administered |
| WASHINGTON MUTUAL, INC. AND WMI INVESTMENT CORP., |  |
| Plaintiffs and Counterclaim Defendants, |  |
| $v$. | Adv. Proc. No. 09-50934 (MFW) |
| JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION |  |
| Defendant, Counterclaim and Cross-claim Plaintiff | Ref. Docket Nos. 14, 15 |
| v. |  |
| FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURANCE |  |
| CORPORATION, as Receiver, |  |
| Cross-claim Defendant. |  |
|  |  |
| MEMORANDUM OF LAW FEDERAL DEPOSIT INSURA IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS | F CROSS-CLAIM DEFENDANT E CORPORATION, AS RECEIVER, MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT |

M. Blake Cleary (No. 3614)

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT
\& TAYLOR, LLP
1000 West Street, 17th Floor
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
Telephone: (302) 571-6600
Facsimile: (302) 571-1253
(Additional counsel listed on signature page)
Dated: July 24, 2009
Attorneys for the FDIC-Receiver
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Cross-claim defendant the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, as receiver for Washington Mutual Bank (the "FDIC-Receiver"), respectfully submits this memorandum of law in opposition to the motion of debtors and debtors-in-possession Washington Mutual, Inc. ("WMI") and WMI Investment Corp. (together, the "Debtors") for summary judgment in this adversary proceeding.

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

The Debtors' motion for summary judgment, seeking immediate possession of roughly $\$ 4$ billion held in six disputed accounts, should be denied. The FDIC-Receiver, which is a crossclaim defendant in this adversary proceeding on a claim relating to the disputed accounts, joins in the opposition to the Debtors' motion submitted by defendant JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. ("JPMC") and submits this memorandum of law to supplement that joinder. Summary judgment is unwarranted for a number of different reasons.

First, on a motion for summary judgment it is the movant's burden to establish the absence of a genuine issue of material fact as to every element of its claim. Celotex Corp.v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 323 (1986). Failure to meet this burden, standing alone, requires that the motion be denied. See, e.g., Conoshenti v. Pub. Serv. Elec. \& Gas Co., 364 F.3d 135, 145-46 (3d Cir. 2004).

Turnover actions under section 542 of the Bankruptcy Code, such as the Debtors' action here, "cannot be used to demand assets whose title is in dispute." Stanziale v. Pepper Hamilton LLP (In re Student Fin. Corp.), 335 B.R. 539, 554 (Bankr. D. Del. 2005). In their moving papers, the Debtors have failed to demonstrate the absence of any dispute as to the ownership of the funds in the six disputed accounts. Indeed, the Debtors' own submissions establish that there are significant questions of material fact with respect to that issue. Among other things, it is clear that the 0667 account at the center of the summary judgment motion was used, among other
things, as the clearinghouse for payment of federal, state and local taxes on behalf of the entire Washington Mutual consolidated tax group, which included WMI's principal thrift subsidiary Washington Mutual Bank ("WMB"), and for receiving refunds from taxing authorities for WMB and the other members of that group. See Affidavit of Doreen Logan, dated May 19, 2009 ("Logan Aff."), © 10. According to the Debtors' own submissions, during 2008 alone the 0667 account was credited with nearly $\$ 3.1$ billion in "Net Tax Payments." See Logan Aff., Exh. L at A-109. That staggering figure may not even include the post-petition $\$ 234$ million income tax refund that WMI admits was paid into the 0667 account in late September 2008.

The Debtors have made no effort - none - to establish their entitlement to such funds or to show that tax monies that are rightfully the property of WMB are not included among the roughly $\$ 4$ billion that the Debtors would like to distribute swiftly and irrevocably to their creditors. In fact, there is no question that tax related assets that are the property of WMB are included among that $\$ 4$ billion. Section 542 was not intended to accommodate, and does not permit, such obvious disregard for the property rights of others.

Second, the Supreme Court has recognized that section 542(b) "specifically excuses" payment "of a claim to which a defense of setoff applies." Citizens Bank of Md. v. Stumpf, 516 U.S. 16, 20 (1995); see 11 U.S.C. § 542(b) (providing for turnover "except to the extent that such debt may be offset under section 553 of this title against a claim against the debtor"). In this case, WMB clearly has such setoff rights against WMI in an amount that is greater than the balances in the disputed deposit accounts. See FDIC-Receiver First Amended Answer and Counterclaims, Washington Mutual, Inc. v. F.D.I.C., No. 1:09-cv-0533 (RMC) (D.D.C.) (attached hereto as Exhibit A); JPMC Answer and Counterclaims [D.I. 66]. In their effort to avoid the application of this unambiguous statutory text, the Debtors go to great, and
unsuccessful, lengths to argue that JPMC does not hold claims against WMI. Separately, in its district court action against the FDIC, WMI has asserted that the FDIC does not have setoff rights against the funds in the accounts either.

Thus, the Debtors' position appears to be that because WMI's principal thrift subsidiary was closed by federal regulators, WMI somehow achieved a special status in which it seemingly alone among bank depositors in the United States - is exempt from setoff rights under section 553 of the Bankruptcy Code or otherwise. This position is simply wrong. There is no question that WMB holds substantial and valid claims against WMI that can be setoff against the funds in the disputed accounts. Whether or not JPMC is permitted to exercise those rights, the FDIC-Receiver has its own setoff rights, and section 9.5 of the Purchase and Assumption Agreement, Whole Bank, dated as of September 25, 2008 between JPMC and the FDIC-Receiver (the "P\&A Agreement"), provides the FDIC-Receiver the right to direct JPMC to withhold the funds and deliver them to the FDIC-Receiver to prevent this sort of setoff shell game. ${ }^{2}$

The Debtors' own submissions undermine their argument (in other proceedings) that section 9.5 does not apply because the funds were transferred from WMB to its subsidiary thrift, Washington Mutual Bank fsb ("WMBfsb"). Their affiant Doreen Logan admits that the initial attempt to move the funds - with a WMB receivership looming - did not result in the transfer of funds out of WMB. She asserts that this mistake was corrected, see Logan Aff., $\mathbb{T} \mid$ 20, 22, but the account statements for the purported WMBfsb account that are attached to her affidavit reflect that those statements were issued by "Washington Mutual Bank, FA," and not WMBfsb,

[^8]see id., Exh. A at A-27 (Sept. 2008), Exh. B at A-38 (Mar. 2009). Once again, at a minimum, a genuine issue of material fact is apparent from the Debtors' own motion papers.

Even if WMI had moved nearly $\$ 3.7$ billion to WMBfsb in the final days before WMB was closed by federal banking regulators, the Debtors' own submissions again raise genuine issues of material fact about that maneuver. Ms. Logan's rendition of the circumstances surrounding that attempted transfer - which occurred in the midst of a ten-day run on the bank in which WMB experienced $\$ 16.7$ billion in deposit outflows, ultimately resulting in its closure suggests strongly that any such transaction would need to be unwound as a fraudulent transfer under 12 U.S.C. § $1821(\mathrm{~d})(17)$ or applicable state law. See id., \& 13. This conclusion is only underscored by the additional fact that no funds were transferred at all in the "transaction," but instead a loan back from WMBfsb to WMB was recorded to offset the supposed "deposit" liability, suggesting strongly that the entire reason for the putative transaction was to favor WMI in the event of a WMB receivership to the detriment of the FDIC and WMB's creditors. See id., - 41.

Nor is this the only potentially fraudulent transfer suggested by the Debtors' motion papers. Exhibit L to Ms. Logan's affidavit reflects the 0667 account being credited with over $\$ 900$ million in "Net Tax Payments" during WMB's final days, in August and September 2008. See id., Exh. L at A-109. We now know that these amounts "were transformed from an unsecured general ledger debt that . . . had accumulated over several years until WMI began to deliberately and improperly siphon off cash from its subsidiaries - into purported deposit funds." JPMC Answer \& Counterclaims, ๆ 57. As a debt of any future WMB receivership, such amounts would only be paid a pro rata dividend in line with the recoveries of other general unsecured creditors. In now demanding immediate turnover of these funds, however, the Debtors
mistakenly claim that they are entitled to immediate recovery of 100 cents on the dollar for these amounts.

Finally, the Debtors' attempt to obtain summary judgment before the FDIC-Receiver or any other party has had an opportunity to engage in discovery relating to these and other genuine issues of material fact reflects a transparent attempt to obtain the funds in the disputed accounts before the Debtors' entitlement to those funds can be properly scrutinized, itself justifying denial of the motion. See, e.g., Wanland \& Assocs. v. Nortel Networks Ltd. (In re Norvergence, Inc.), 384 B.R. 315, 370-71 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008). The Debtors' have made no secret of their intention, if successful on this motion, to distribute the funds so obtained immediately to their creditors, after which they undoubtedly will assert that any further review of their conduct would be moot.

Contrary to the simplistic picture painted by the Debtors' complaint and motion for summary judgment, this highly complex and multifaceted litigation is only at its outset, not at its conclusion. The Debtors' motion for summary judgment should be denied.

## NATURE AND STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS

Until September 25, 2008, the debtor WMI was a thrift holding company, the principal thrift subsidiary of which was WMB. In an order issued on September 25, 2008, the Director of the Office of Thrift Supervision (the "OTS") closed WMB and appointed the FDIC-Receiver as its receiver. The FDIC-Receiver and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, in its corporate capacity ("FDIC-Corporate"), thereafter entered into the P\&A Agreement with JPMC. On September 26, 2008, the Debtors commenced their chapter 11 cases in this Court.

The FDIC-Receiver established December 30, 2008 as the bar date for filing claims against the WMB receivership. On that date, WMI filed a proof of claim with the FDICReceiver asserting claims relating to a variety of WMB assets that WMI asserted it owned.

Among others, WMI asserted claims against the WMB receivership for the balances held in certain alleged demand deposit accounts that WMI claimed it had maintained with WMB or WMBfsb, which according to WMI amounted to approximately $\$ 4$ billion. By letter dated January 23, 2009, the FDIC-Receiver disallowed all of the Debtors' claims because they had not been proved to the satisfaction of the receiver. See 12 U.S.C. $\S 1821(\mathrm{~d})(5)(\mathrm{D})(\mathrm{i})$.

On March 20, 2009, WMI and WMI Investment Corp. filed a complaint against the FDIC-Receiver and FDIC-Corporate in the United States District Court for the District of Columbia, seeking a judicial determination of WMI's disallowed receivership claims pursuant to 12 U.S.C. § $1821(\mathrm{~d})(6)(\mathrm{A})$ and asserting a variety of other claims. See Washington Mutual, Inc. v. F.D.I.C., No. 1:09-cv-0533 (RMC) (D.D.C.) (the "D.C. Action"). On June 11, 2009, the FDIC-Receiver filed its answer with respect to Count I of the complaint and filed a motion to dismiss other claims that had been included in the complaint but had not been part of WMI's receivership claims. The FDIC-Receiver amended its answer and counterclaims on July 13, 2009 and named JPMC as an additional counterclaim defendant at that time. On July 17, 2009, the Debtors responded to the FDIC-Receiver's partial motion to dismiss and FDIC-Corporate's motion to dismiss the complaint in its entirety. That action is pending.

On March 24, 2009, JPMC commenced an adversary proceeding in this Court against the Debtors and naming the FDIC-Receiver and FDIC-Corporate as additional defendants. See JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. v. Washington Mutual, Inc., Adv. Proc. No. 09-50551 (MFW (Bankr. D. Del.) (the "JPMC Adversary Proceeding"). The Debtors filed their answer and counterclaims against JPMC in that proceeding on May 29, 2009 [D.I. 23].

On April 27, 2009, the Debtors commenced this turnover proceeding against JPMC. On May 13, 2009, JPMC filed a motion to dismiss the complaint [D.I. 8]. That motion was denied
by this Court in an order entered on July 6, 2009 [D.I. 64]. On July 6, 2009, JPMC filed its answer and counterclaims and named the FDIC-Receiver as an additional cross-claim defendant on two counts, Count Five, an interpleader claim relating to the disputed deposit accounts, and Count Seven, a declaratory judgment claim relating to JPMC's ownership of certain other assets [D.I. 66]. See JPMC Answer and Counterclaims, $\mathbb{T} \uparrow 100-02,111-15$. On May 19, 2009, the Debtors filed the current motion for summary judgment [D.I. 14]. By stipulation filed on July 9, 2009 , responses to that motion are due on July 24, 2009 [D.I. 17].

On June 1, 2009, the FDIC-Receiver moved to stay the JPMC Adversary Proceeding until judgment is entered in the D.C. Action and moved to intervene in this adversary proceeding for the purpose of making a similar motion to stay or dismiss [D.I. 15 and 27]. The same day, JPMC moved to stay this adversary proceeding. In orders entered on July 6, 2009, the Court granted the FDIC-Receiver's motion to intervene for the purpose of making its motion to stay or dismiss this adversary proceeding and the Court denied the motions to stay the two adversary proceedings [D.I. 63, 68 and 64]. The FDIC-Receiver and JPMC have appealed the orders denying the motions to stay [D.I. 72, 71, 76, and 75].

The FDIC-Receiver reserves all of its rights and arguments with respect to the Court's jurisdiction to adjudicate the issues raised in this adversary proceeding and by the Debtors' motion for summary judgment. This memorandum of law is submitted to protect the FDICReceiver's interests to the extent they could be prejudiced by the Debtors' motion for summary judgment, and no waiver of any jurisdictional defense is intended or should be inferred.

## ARGUMENT

## THE MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT SHOULD BE DENIED

" $[\mathrm{A}]$ party seeking summary judgment always bears the initial responsibility of informing the [court] of the basis for its motion, and identifying those portions of the 'pleadings, depositions, answers to interrogatories, and admissions on file, together with the affidavits, if any,' which it believes demonstrate the absence of a genuine issue of material fact." Celotex Corp. v. Catrett, 477 U.S. 317, 323 (1986); see Bankruptcy Rule 7056. Failure to meet this burden as to every element of the movant's claim is alone grounds for denial of the motion. See Conoshenti v. Pub. Serv. Elec. \& Gas Co., 364 F.3d 135, 145-46 (3d Cir. 2004). "[T]he existence of disputed issues of material fact should be ascertained by resolving 'all inferences, doubts and issues of credibility against the moving party.'" Ely v. Hall's Motor Transit Co., 590 F.2d 62, 66 (3d Cir. 1978).

## I. There Are Genuine Issues of Material Fact as to Ownership of the Funds in the Disputed Accounts

The burden of proof in a turnover action is at all times on the debtor. Makoroff $v$. Allegheny Graphics, Inc. (In re Allegheny Label, Inc.), 128 B.R. 947, 954 (Bankr. W.D. Pa. 1991) (quoting Gorenz v. Ill. Dep't of Agric., 653 F.2d 1179, 1184 (7th Cir. 1981)). "As part of the prima facie case, the [debtor] must establish, by clear and convincing evidence, that the assets at issue are part of the bankruptcy estate." Allegheny Label, 128 B.R. at 955 (emphasis in original) (citing, inter alia, Maggio v. Zeitz (In re Luma Camera Serv., Inc.), 333 U.S. 56, 63 (1948)). "Turnover actions cannot be used to demand assets whose title is in dispute." Stanziale v. Hamilton (In re Student Fin. Corp.), 335 B.R. 539, 554 (Bankr. D. Del. 2005); Hechinger Inv. Co. v. Allfirst Bank (In re Hechinger Inv. Co.), 282 B.R. 149, 161-62 (Bankr. D. Del. 2002) (" $[t]$ urnover under 11 U.S.C. § 542 is a remedy available to debtors to obtain what is
acknowledged to be property of the bankruptcy estate."); see also Guiliano v. Fairfield Group Health Care Centers Ltd. (In re Lexington Healthcare Group), 363 B.R. 713, 716 (Bankr. D. Del. 2007).

The Debtors' motion for summary judgment clearly fails these stringent requirements. In their moving papers, the Debtors do not address a fundamental issue raised with respect to the disputed accounts, namely, what were the sources of the funds in the accounts and do the funds include the commingled property of WMB? Far from demonstrating, by "clear and convincing evidence," that there are no such commingled funds, the Debtors' own submissions demonstrate the contrary.

## A. The Disputed Accounts Include Income Tax Refunds and Other Tax-Related Assets That Are Owned by WMB

Documents submitted by the Debtors show that the disputed accounts include a tax refund of $\$ 234,526,524$ that was paid into the 0667 account on September 30, 2008. See Logan Aff., Exh. A. at A-25. Another exhibit submitted by the Debtors establishes that in 2008 alone, the 0667 account was credited for "Net Tax Payments" of $\$ 3.1$ billion. See Logan Aff., Exh. L, at A-109. It is unclear whether the $\$ 234$ million tax refund is included in this amount.

Under applicable law, WMB is the likely owner of the substantial majority of any taxrelated assets that may be commingled among the funds in the disputed accounts. When corporations file joint income tax returns as a consolidated group, tax attributes such as net operating loss carrybacks, and tax refunds arising from the application of such attributes, inure to the benefit of the entity that actually incurred the loss unless there is an express agreement to the contrary. See, e.g., Capital Bancshares, Inc. v. F.D.I.C., 957 F.2d 203, 210 (5th Cir. 1992) (" $[t]$ he refund is the property of the [subsidiary], which could have generated the refund on its own had it filed with the IRS as a separate entity" and therefore the FDIC as receiver of the
subsidiary was entitled to the tax refunds attributable to the losses of the subsidiary); Western Dealer Mgmt., Inc. v. England (In re Bob Richards Chrysler-Plymouth Corp.), 473 F.2d 262, 265 (9th Cir. 1973); Jump v. Manchester Life \& Cas. Mgmt. Corp., 438 F. Supp. 185, 188-89 (E.D. Mo. 1977), aff'd 579 F.2d 449 (8th Cir. 1978); see also Cal. Housing Secs., Inc. v. F.D.I.C., No. 99-71084, 12 F. App'x. 519, 520 (9th Cir. June 13, 2001); F.D.I.C. v. Mercer Bancorp, Inc., No. 89-0849, 1990 WL 515173, at *2 (W.D. Mo. Dec. 5, 1990); F.D.I.C. v. Brandt (In re Florida Park Banks, Inc.), 110 B.R. 986, 989 (Bankr. M.D. Fla. 1990).

In the Ninth Circuit's seminal decision in Bob Richards, the trustee of a bankrupt subsidiary that had filed a consolidated federal income tax return with its parent corporation brought an action against the parent to obtain a tax refund that had been received by the parent. 473 F.2d at 263. The Ninth Circuit concluded that the refund belonged to the subsidiary, not the parent, because it was the result of a net operating loss incurred by the subsidiary and the "entire refund[] was due to the earnings history of the bankrupt." Id. In such circumstances, "[a]llowing the parent to keep any refunds arising solely from a subsidiary's losses simply because the parent and subsidiary chose a procedural device to facilitate their income tax reporting unjustly enriches the parent." Id. at 265.

The Bob Richards court also refused to permit the parent corporation to retain the tax refund as a set-off against a claim the parent had against the bankruptcy estate of the subsidiary, holding that the parent corporation had "received the tax refund from the government only in its capacity as agent for the consolidated group" and that "where the liability of one claiming a setoff arises from a fiduciary duty or is in the nature of a trust, the requisite mutuality of debts and credits does not exist, and such persons may not set-off a debt owing from the bankrupt against such liability." Id. (citation omitted); see also Jump, 438 F. Supp. at 189 (holding refund "is not
a debt owed by [parent] to [subsidiary], but rather, a fund which [parent] holds in a specific trust for [subsidiary]"). The impact of the Bob Richards rule is clear: a holding company that files a consolidated tax return on behalf of its subsidiaries only receives tax refunds attributable to the earnings history of those other group members as an agent and does not have a property interest in such refunds, unless the consolidated group has expressly agreed to the contrary.

In the case of WMI and other thrift holding companies for any tax years after 1998, it is not possible for a tax sharing agreement to provide for different treatment of tax related assets other than as provided under Bob Richards. On November 23, 1998, in an Interagency Policy Statement on Income Tax Allocation in a Holding Company Structure (the "Policy Statement"), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, the OTS, the Federal Reserve System and the FDIC issued a uniform policy statement regarding intercompany tax allocation agreements for banking organizations and savings associations that file an income tax return as members of a consolidated group. See 63 Fed. Reg. 64757 (Nov. 23, 1998).

In the Policy Statement, the four agencies stated that tax sharing arrangements among the members of a bank's or thrift's consolidated tax group "should result in no less favorable treatment to the [insured depository] institution than if it had filed its income tax return as a separate entity." Id. at 64757. Consistent with pre-existing law discussed above, the Policy Statement provided that "a parent company that receives a tax refund from a taxing authority obtains these funds as agent for the consolidated group on behalf of the group members." Id. at 64759 (emphasis added). As a result, a tax sharing agreement for a bank or thrift holding company group "should not purport to characterize refunds attributable to a subsidiary depository institution that the parent receives from a taxing authority as the property of the parent." Id. (emphasis added).

Nine months after the Policy Statement was issued by their primary federal regulators, on or around August 31, 1999, WMI, WMB and the other signatories entered into the Tax Sharing Agreement. The Tax Sharing Agreement superseded an earlier tax sharing agreement that had predated the Policy Statement. See Tax Sharing Agreement, at $1 .{ }^{3}$ In accordance with the Policy Statement, the Tax Sharing Agreement provided: that the "federal income tax liability of [the WMI] consolidated group shall be allocated and shared among WMBfsb, WMB, NACI, Aristar, Inc. and each Subsidiary as if such entities filed a separate or consolidated return, as the case may be," id., $\S 1$; that as members of a consolidated tax filing group the signatory WMI subsidiaries, including WMB, would make payments in respect of their tax liabilities "in the same manner and at the same time as if such entities were filing separate returns," id., § 2(a); that WMI would promptly forward to each such subsidiary any federal tax overpayment on their account or "any credit that may result from the utilization of their net operating loss for a taxable year," id., § 2(b); and that deferred tax assets and liabilities would be handled "consistent with bank and thrift regulatory guidelines," id., § 5. ${ }^{4}$

Because the operations and losses of WMB were the principal basis for the tax liability and tax refunds of the WMI group, WMB and not WMI is the owner of the substantial majority of any tax-related assets that are or may come into the possession of WMI, which holds such funds in trust for WMB. The Debtors' motion for summary judgment improperly seeks to deny any scrutiny of this important question of fact and to distribute the funds to WMI's creditors before the relevant issues can be properly investigated.

[^9]
## B. The Balances in the Disputed Accounts Are Subject to Setoff

Section 542(b) of the Bankruptcy Code expressly provides that turnover of a qualifying debt under that section is not required "to the extent that such debt may be offset under section 553 of this title against a claim against the debtor." 11 U.S.C. § 542(b); see Citizens Bank of Md. v. Stumpf, 516 U.S. 16, 20 (1995); In re Bevill, Bresler \& Schulman Asset Mgmt. Corp., 896 F.2d 54, 57-59 (3d Cir. 1990) ("An exception is made to the extent that the entity has a valid right of setoff, as recognized by section 553.") (applying exception).

There can be no real dispute that WMB has substantial claims against WMI that form the basis for a setoff right against the funds in the disputed accounts under 11 U.S.C. § 553. Both JPMC and the FDIC-Receiver have detailed billions of dollars in claims against the Debtors, including, without limitation, claims of over $\$ 4$ billion relating to tax refunds and other tax assets, claims of $\$ 4$ billion relating to certain trust preferred securities and, in the case of the FDIC-Receiver, claims of at least $\$ 10.5$ billion for potentially unlawful dividends. See Exhibit A (FDIC-Receiver First Amended Answer and Counterclaims in D.C. Action); JPMC Answer and Counterclaims [D.I. 66]. ${ }^{5}$

In their turnover complaint and in their motion for summary judgment, the Debtors argue that JPMC does not have a right of setoff with respect to the accounts, while in the D.C. Action they argue that the FDIC-Receiver does not have a right of setoff. The Debtors have not explained the basis of their assertion with respect to the FDIC-Receiver's setoff rights, but their position that they are somehow immune from setoff against their accounts is both unfounded and inequitable. However, to prevent such an argument, and for other reasons, the FDIC-Receiver

[^10]expressly retained the right in the P\&A Agreement to direct JPMC to withhold all or any portion of any deposit balance and to return all or any portion of such deposit balance to the FDIC-

Receiver. See P\&A Agreement, § 9.5. ${ }^{6}$
Even in the unlikely event that the funds in the disputed accounts were somehow not
subject to setoff against claims held by JPMC, those funds still would be subject to setoff against claims held by the FDIC-Receiver. In either event, the Debtors' summary judgment motion must be denied under the plain language of 11 U.S.C. § 542(b).

## C. Issues of Fact Exist as to Debtors' Potentially Fraudulent Transfers Related to the Disputed Accounts

The Debtors' own submissions and JPMC's recent counterclaims raise significant issues
${ }^{6}$ Section 9.5 of the $\mathrm{P} \& A$ Agreement provides:
9.5 Withheld Payments. At any time, the Receiver or the Corporation may, in its discretion, determine that all or any portion of any deposit balance assumed by the Assuming Bank pursuant to this Agreement does not constitute a "Deposit" (or otherwise, in its discretion, determine that it is in the best interest of the Receiver or the Corporation to withhold all or any portion of any deposit), and may direct the Assuming Bank to withhold payment of all or any portion of any such deposit balance. Upon such direction, the Assuming Bank agrees to hold such deposit and not make any payment of such deposit balance to or on behalf of the depositor, or to itself, whether by way of transfer, setoff, or otherwise. The Assuming Bank agrees to maintain the "withheld payment" status of any such deposit balance until directed in writing by the Receiver or the Corporation as to its disposition. At the direction of the Receiver or the Corporation, the Assuming Bank shall return all or any portion of such deposit balance to the Receiver or the Corporation, as appropriate, and thereupon the Assuming Bank shall be discharged from any further liability to such depositor with respect to such returned deposit balance. If such deposit balance has been paid to the depositor prior to a demand for return by the Corporation or the Receiver, and payment of such deposit balance had not been previously withheld pursuant to this Section, the Assuming Bank shall not be obligated to return such deposit balance to the Receiver or the Corporation. The Assuming Bank shall be obligated to reimburse the Corporation or the Receiver, as the case may be, for the amount of any deposit balance or portion thereof paid by the Assuming Bank in contravention of any previous direction to withhold payment of such deposit balance or return such deposit balance the payment of which was withheld pursuant to this Section.
P\&A Agreement, § 9.5.
of fact as to whether the funds in the disputed accounts include the fruits of fraudulent transfers. In addition, the Debtors' submissions raise similar questions about the purported transfer of $\$ 3.67$ billion from WMB to WMBfsb on the eve of the WMB receivership.

Among other powers, " $[\mathrm{t}]$ he FDIC is empowered to avoid fraudulent asset transfers . . . ." F.D.I.C. v. Wentz, 55 F.3d 905, 908 (3d Cir. 1995). Under 12 U.S.C. § 1821(d)(17), the FDICReceiver may avoid a transfer if the defendant "voluntarily or involuntarily made such transfer or incurred such liability with the intent to hinder, delay, or defraud the insured depository institution, the Corporation or other conservator, or any other appropriate Federal banking agency." 12 U.S.C. § 1821(d)(17); see also R.T.C. v. Spagnoli, 811 F. Supp. 1005, 1015 (3d Cir. 1993). State law fraudulent transfer statutes are also available to the FDIC-Receiver, like other creditors. The FDIC-Receiver's rights under section 1821(d)(17) are superior to any rights of a debtor-in-possession or any other party (other than any party which is a federal agency) under title 11. See 12 U.S.C. § 1821(d)(17).

## 1. The Improper Recharacterization of Unsecured General Ledger Debt As a Purported "Deposit" Balance

The Debtors' own submissions on their motion for summary judgment raise a genuine issue of material fact regarding the source of over $\$ 900$ million that the Debtors now claim to have been "deposit" balances in the 0667 account (which were later included in the funds allegedly moved to the 4234 account). See Logan Aff., Exh. L (line for "Net Tax Payments" for August and September 2008).

According to JPMC's recently filed counterclaims in this action, in August and September 2008 WMI caused "nearly a billion dollars" in unsecured general ledger debt putatively owed from WMB to WMI to be transformed instead into purported deposit funds. See JPMC Answer and Counterclaims, 9 TI 57, 94. JPMC's investigation of these transfers indicates
that these balances had accumulated over the course of "several years until WMI began to deliberately and improperly siphon off cash from its subsidiaries" in the weeks leading up to WMB's closure. Id., 『 57. These hundreds of millions of dollars of transmogrified unsecured general ledger balances represent a substantial portion of the $\$ 3.67$ billion that the Debtors now claim to hold as "deposit" funds in the 4234 account.

The Debtors' own submissions raise material question of fact as to whether these prereceivership accounting maneuvers were made with the intent to advance WMI's position against the FDIC-Receiver and other creditors in any future receivership of WMB. Under the FDIC's governing statute, deposit liabilities of a failed depository institution receive priority in the receivership claims process ahead of general creditors and behind only administrative expenses of the receiver. 12 U.S.C. $\S 1821(\mathrm{~d})(11)$. The record establishes genuine issues of material fact that will require discovery to determine whether WMI's pre-receivership conversion of these general ledger entries into purported "deposit" liabilities was intended to improve WMI's position in the event of a WMB receivership and whether that accounting maneuver deprived the WMB receivership of the underlying funds that WMI now asserts in this action it should recover immediately. The substantial questions of fact surrounding these accounting entries requires that the Debtors' motion be denied.

## 2. The $\mathbf{\$ 3 . 6 7}$ Billion Attempted Round-Trip Transaction

In their summary judgment motion, the Debtors contend that just days before WMB was closed, WMI instructed the Debtors' affiant Doreen Logan "immediately" to transfer "the maximum amount of funds possible" from the 0667 account at WMB to an account at WMB's subsidiary bank, WMBfsb. See Logan Aff., § 13. At the time, WMB was experiencing significant deposit outflows in the wake of the failure of Lehman Brothers on September 15,

2008; in the end, WMB had deposit outflows of $\$ 16.7$ billion over a ten-day period leading to the OTS order closing it and appointing the FDIC-Receiver. ${ }^{7}$

The Debtors claim that WMI transferred $\$ 3.67$ billion from WMB to WMBfsb in a transaction that was not completed until September 22, 2008. Id., \$T 21-23. According to JPMC's answer and counterclaims, no funds were actually moved as the result of the transaction. Instead, a "round-trip" transaction was entered in the accounting records under which putative deposit liabilities purportedly were moved to WMBfsb, which simultaneously loaned all of those funds back to its parent WMB. See JPMC Answer and Counterclaims, $9 \uparrow$ 85-99; see also Logan Aff., 141 .

As with the nearly $\$ 1$ billion in recharacterized general ledger entries that are discussed in the preceding section, this attempted transaction has many hallmarks of a fraudulent transfer that would, if it had been successful, require the transaction to be unwound. ${ }^{8}$ Significant questions of fact exist relating to when WMI determined to make that transfer, who made the decision, why the decision was made, how it was carried out, and the scope of WMI's knowledge of WMB's financial condition when the decision was made. These facts will speak directly to whether the transfer was a fraudulent attempt to position WMI to the disadvantage of the FDIC and other creditors in anticipation of a possible WMB receivership. ${ }^{9}$

[^11]
## II. Summary Judgment Cannot Be Granted in this Highly Complex Litigation Before any Discovery Has Been Permitted

The Debtors' motion for summary judgment motion is premature because no discovery at all has been taken in this action notwithstanding its obvious complexity and the many disputed questions of fact that plainly exist. See Celotex, 477 U.S. at 322 (nonmovant must have been given an "adequate time for discovery" before summary judgment can be granted); Wanland \& Assocs. v. Nortel Networks Ltd. (In re Norvergence, Inc.), 384 B.R. 315, 370-71 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2008).

The FDIC-Receiver only recently became a party to the action, well after the Debtors filed their motion for summary judgment. Although the Debtors assert the same claims against the FDIC-Receiver in the D.C. Action, the Debtors have opposed the FDIC-Receiver's efforts to initiate discovery in that action and have stated their intention to seek a stay of those proceedings. To date, the parties have not agreed on the method to accomplish discovery in any of these proceedings, and no discovery has been taken in any of the three actions. The FDICReceiver therefore respectfully joins in, and incorporates by reference, JPMC's cross motion to allow discovery and its opposition to the Debtors' summary judgment motion on this basis.

## CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, and for the reasons set forth in JPMC's submissions, the FDIC-Receiver respectfully submits that the Debtors' motion for summary judgment should be denied.

Dated: Wilmington, Delaware July 24, 2009

YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT \& TAYLOR, LLP
/s/M. Blake Cleary
M. Blake Cleary (No. 3614)
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Wilmington, Delaware 19801
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New York, New York 10020
Telephone: (212) 335-4500
Facsimile: (212) 335-4501
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john.clarke@dlapiper.com
Attorneys for the FDIC-Receiver

## EXHIBIT C

## Presented by the Federal Election Commission

# Individual Contributions Arranged By Type, Giver, Then Recipient 



12/05/2001
5000.00

NRSC - NONFEDERAL 11/13/1997
7500.00
15000.00

WASHINGTON VICTORY COMMITTEE 12/16/1999 20000.00

WASHINGTON MUTUAL BANK, SEATTLE, WA 98101
N/A
DCCCC NON-FEDERAL ACCOUNT \#1 $11 / 16 / 2001 \quad 5000.00$
05/16/2002 5000.00
Total Soft Money: 192250.00

TRY A: NEW QUERY
RETURN TO: FEC HOME PAGE

## EXHIBIT D

## Universal Search: Top Contributor to Member <br> Your Top Contributor to Member search for 'Washington Mutual' found 30 matches. Retum to search results

## 2010 Cycle

- Washington Mutual (Recipient: John F Tierney (D))


## 2008 Cycle

- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Chuck Hagel (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Mike Crapo (R))


## 2006 Cycle

- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Robert F. Bennett (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: David Dreier (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Bill Thomas (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Darlene Hooley (D))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Paul S. Sarbanes (D))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Jim Bunning (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Michael G. Oxley (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Barney Frank (D))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Gregory W. Meeks (D))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Paul E. Kanjorski (D))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Ed Royce (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Tim Johnson (D))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Tom Carper (D))


## 2004 Cycle

- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Ander Crenshaw (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Walter B. Jones Jr. (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Adam Smith (D))


## 2002 Cycle

- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Jennifer Dunn (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Doug Ose (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Dave Weldon (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Luis V. Gutierrez (D))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Doug Bereuter (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Ken Bentsen (D))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Ruben Hinojosa (D))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Jay Inslee (D))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Pete King (R))

B1148
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- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Marge Roukema (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Nydia M. Velazquez (D))
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Center for Responsive Politics

## Universal Search: Organization

Your Organization search for 'Washington Mutual' found 42 match(es).

Top Contributor to Member - 30 match(es) found (Show all matches):

## 2010 Cycle

- Washington Mutual (Recipient: John F Tierney (D))

2008 Cycle

- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Chuck Hagel (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Mike Crapo (R))

2006 Cycle

- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Robert F. Bennett (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: David $\operatorname{Dreier}(\mathrm{R}))$

Top Contributor to Candidate - 8 match(es) found (Show all matches):

2006 Cycle

- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Tan D. Nguyen (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Jeeni Criscenzo (D))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Michael McGavick (R))
- Washington Mutual (Recipient: Lavar Christensen (R))


## 2004 Cycle

- Washington Mutual (Recipient: David R. Hernandez (R))

Political Action Committee - 3 match(es) found:

## 2008 Cycle

- Washington Mutual


## 2006 Cycle

- Washington Mutual


## 2002 Cycle

- Washington Mutual


## Lobbying Client - 0 match(es) found:

2008

- Washington Mutual

Feel ree to cistribute or cite this matenal, but please credit the Center for Responsive Politics. For permission to reprint for commercial uses,
such as textboks, contact the Center.
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[^0]:    $1 \quad$ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases and the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification numbers are: (i) Washington Mutual, Inc. (3725) and (ii) WMI Investment Corp. (5395). The Debtors continue to share the principal offices with the employees of JPMorgan Chase located at 1301 Second Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

[^1]:    Keith Falls
    Treasury Analyst
    Cash Management
    Treasury

[^2]:    CONFIDENTIAL NOTICE: This communication may contain confidential and/or privileged information of Washington Mutual, Inc. and/or its subsidiaries. If you have received this communication in error, please advise the sender by reply email and immediately delete this message an any attachments without copying or disclosing the contents. Thank you.

[^3]:    Proprietary and Confidential - Do Not Copy

[^4]:    Proprietary and Confidential - Do Not Copy

[^5]:    Proprietary and Confidential - Do Not Copy

[^6]:    1 The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases and the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification numbers are: (i) Washington Mutual, Inc. (3725) and (ii) WMI Investment Corp. (5395). The Debtors continue to share the principal offices with the employees of JPMorgan Chase located at 1301 Second Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification numbers are: (a) Washington Mutual, Inc. (3725); and (b) WMI Investment Corp. (5395). The Debtors' principal offices are located at 1301 Second Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101.

[^8]:    ${ }^{2}$ The P\&A Agreement is incorporated by reference in the Debtors' complaint in this adversary proceeding, see Compl., IT 3-5, 33-35, and its publicly available at www.fdic.gov. A copy of the P\&A Agreement, which is the proper subject of judicial notice on this motion, see Fed. R. Evid. 201, is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

[^9]:    ${ }^{3}$ A copy of the Tax Sharing Agreement is attached as an exhibit to the submissions of JPMC in opposition to the Debtors' motion for summary judgment.
    ${ }^{4}$ Franklin Sav. Corp. v. Franklin Sav. Ass'n (In re Franklin Sav. Corp.), 159 B.R. 9 (Bankr. D. Kan. 1993), aff'd, 182 B.R. 859 (D. Kan. 1995), and United States v. MCorp Fin. (In re MCorp Fin.), 170 B.R. 899 (S.D. Tex. 1994), were both decided before the Policy Statement was issued and are inapposite for this and other reasons.

[^10]:    ${ }^{5}$ In addition to its counterclaims in the D.C. Action, the FDIC-Receiver filed a timely proof of claim in WMI's bankruptcy case asserting the same claims and reserving all of its jurisdictional arguments.

[^11]:    ${ }^{7}$ See OTS Fact Sheet on Washington Mutual Bank, Sept. 25, 2008 (publicly available at http://files.ots.treas.gov/730021.pdf).
    ${ }^{8}$ As previously discussed, a separate genuine issue of material fact exists as to whether the transfer to WMBfsb was, in fact, completed at all. The account statements attached as exhibits to the Logan Affidavit suggest that the funds never left WMB.
    ${ }^{9}$ The transaction also raises issues under federal banking law, which requires transactions between affiliates in a bank holding company structure to be on terms as favorable to a bank as those prevailing for comparable transactions among unaffiliated entities. See 12 U.S.C. §§ 371c, $371 \mathrm{c}-1$.

[^12]:    Fee free to distribute or cite this matevial Dut please credit the Center for Responsive Politics. For permission to repmit for commercial uses, such as textbooks, contact the Center.

