

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:

WASHINGTON MUTUAL, INC., et al.,
Debtors.

Chapter 11

Case No. 08-12229 (MFW)
(Jointly Administered)

NOTICE OF HIRING AND SCREENING OF JUDGE'S FORMER CLERK

This notice shall serve to inform all parties that, pursuant to Rule 1.12(d)(iv) of the New York Rules of Professional Conduct, Shan Haider, who joined the New York office of Perkins Coie LLP in April 2011, is being screened from participating in Perkins Coie's representation of the Debtor in connection with *In re: Washington Mutual, Inc., et al.* (08-12229). This screening procedure and notice is being implemented due to Mr. Haider's former clerkship with Judge Mary F. Walrath at the United States District Court for the District of Delaware from August 2009 until September 2010, before which *In re: Washington Mutual, Inc., et al.* is pending. The firm-wide notice describing the implemented screening procedures is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

Dated: May 6, 2011
Seattle, Washington

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ John S. Kaplan
John S. Kaplan, WSBA #23788
PERKINS COIE LLP
1201 Third Avenue, 48th Floor
Seattle, WA 98101-3099
Telephone: 206-359-8000
Facsimile: 206-359-9000

*Attorneys to Debtors and
Debtors in Possession*

NOTICE OF SCREENING

53000-0040/LEGAL20812732.1



08122291105090000000000005

EXHIBIT A
(See attached)

**Screen –
Shan Haider, Associate for the New York Office**

**From: Washington Mutual and Washington Mutual Inc. Non-Management
Directors / All Matters**

The purposes of this memorandum are (a) to establish a policy that Shan Haider, who will join Perkins Coie LLP (the "Firm") in April 2011 as an Associate in the New York office, will not participate in the representation of any Washington mutual entity, or in the representation of the Washington Mutual Non-Management Directors (collectively, "WaMu") in any matters; and (b) to describe the procedures to be followed by Firm lawyers and staff members to assure Mr. Haider's nonparticipation in such matters.

Background

Mr. Haider was a Law Clerk for the Honorable Mary Walrath at the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware ("the Court") in Wilmington, Delaware from August, 2009 until September, 2010. At the Court, Mr. Haider worked on a wide variety of matters and legal issues. The Firm currently represents WaMu in a variety of matters, including *In Re: Washington Mutual, Inc.* (the "Bankruptcy Matter") which is pending before the Court.

Under Rule 1.12(d) of the New York Rules of Professional Conduct, the Firm may continue to represent WaMu only if Mr. Haider is effectively screened from any form of participation in that matter and any substantially related matters, and written notice is provided to the Court and parties involved.

Screening Procedure

Mr. Haider has agreed that he will not participate in the Firm's representation of WaMu in any matters or any substantially related matters, will not discuss such matters with any lawyer or staff member of the Firm and will not disclose to any lawyer or staff member information relating to the Bankruptcy Matters or any work that he performed on that case. Firm lawyers and staff members participating in the representation of WaMu should not ask Mr. Haider to work on those matters or any substantially related matters and should not discuss such matters with him or solicit from him information relating to the Bankruptcy Matters or any work that he performed on that case.

Gail McMonagle will be in charge of implementing the screening procedure. Questions or comments regarding the screen may be directed to her. However, it is ultimately the responsibility of each lawyer and staff member of the Firm to become familiar with and follow the foregoing procedure. If you become aware of any actual or potential noncompliance with this procedure, please notify a member of the Professional Standards Committee immediately.

Need to Know Reminder

As you know, work for our clients is always confidential and in many cases extremely sensitive. While in the course of a representation there will be occasions when it is necessary to share client confidences with others in the Firm, internal dissemination of such information should always be limited to those having a *need to know*. When the Firm establishes a screening procedure, as in this case, guarding against unnecessary or inadvertent disclosure of client confidences has added importance. The best way to avoid any breach of an ethical screen is for all lawyers and staff members to exercise special care in the dissemination of information relating to screened matters.

FROM: Jacquie L. Sible, Staff Attorney/Director of Conflicts
(jeo)