

April 5, 2010

Docket #1988 Date Filed: 4/14/2010

Attn: Honorable Christopher S. Sontchi
United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware
824 North Market Street, 5th Floor
Wilmington, DE 19801

FILED

2010 APR 12 PM 3:43

In re Premier International Holdings Inc., et al. (aka Six Flags, Inc.),
Case No. 09-12019

Your Honor,

It has been indicated many times by various parties throughout this case that the current Plan of Reorganization, as well as all previous plans have one thing in common – Mr. Shapiro and his management team would receive millions of the reorganized company's stock. The management applied a fierce resistance to any alternative plan, which would strip it of the "success" bonus. But as soon as the alternative plan included the management into new equity distributions, they started favoring the plan.

I don't have the financial education to be able to fairly evaluate the company assets. But the management's willingness to go along with *any* plan, no matter the evaluation, as long as *that* plan fills their pockets, makes one believe that neither of the current and/or previous plans provides a fair evaluation.

I possess very little knowledge of the bankruptcy laws, but I feel pretty confident that there are not any United States laws that put the interests of the management before the interests of the shareholders.

The current Plan of Reorganization aims to "implement a management incentive plan ... in the form of stock options and/or restricted stock in Reorganized SFI equal to 15%", while previous equity holders "shell not receive or retain, any property or interest".

But if the current evaluation of the company's assets allow for 15% of the new stock to be distributed to the management, than in all fairness the plan should award this 15% to the current shareholders.

The management might argue that the company is obligated to award them with the restructuring bonus, as per agreement(s) generated prior to the bankruptcy. But these agreements were setup among the management and the board members themselves, and therefore should be considered fraudulent and void (I am convinced there must be some laws in that regard, if not bankruptcy, then criminal).


As it stands now – one day before the "Effective Day" current shareholders hold stakes in the company (whatever the value is); the next day after the "Effective Day" those stakes (or part of) are held by the management!

In effect, this plan, as described, transfers a portion of equity from the current shareholders into the pockets of Mr. Shapiro and his management team. Any dictionary would define this act as theft. The fact that it is being done in the day-light is appalling, and the fact that it is being done in the court room is absurd in my opinion.

I sincerely hope that you have enough patience to go through these proceedings day after day, and that you have sense of humor to pardon me my ignorance of laws and finances.

Sincerely,

Chris Suski



881201910041400000000010