## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:		) Chapter 11
EXTRACTION OIL & GAS, INC., et al.,		) Case No. 20-11548 (CSS)
	Debtors.	) ) )
GRAND MESA PIPELINE, LLC,	; ;	) )
	Appellant,	) Civil Action No.: 20-1411 (CFC)
v.		) ) DARN- 20 42
EXTRACTION OIL & GAS, INC.		) BAP No. 20-43
	Appellee.	) ) )

# APPELLEE EXTRACTION OIL & GAS, INC.'S MOTION TO ACCEPT DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL INTO APPELLATE RECORD

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 8009(f), Appellee Extraction Oil & Gas, Inc. (Extraction) moves this Court to accept into the record of this appeal the document designated as "[Sealed]" in Extraction's *Designation of Additional Items To Be Included In The Record On Appeal* ("Sealed Portion") filed in the Bankruptcy Court at Docket No. 1122 and in this case at Docket No. 15. In support of this motion, Extraction states as follows:

1. This appeal arises from Extraction's efforts to reject in bankruptcy an executory contract between it and Appellant Grand Mesa Pipeline, LLC (Grand Mesa). After Extraction submitted its rejection motion to the Bankruptcy Court the day after filing for bankruptcy, Grand Mesa filed an objection. Immediately after filing that objection, Grand Mesa filed a separate motion arguing that the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) had to approve Extraction's proposed rejection as consistent with the public interest. Grand Mesa's motion

contended that Chapter 11's automatic-stay provision did not prohibit such proceedings before FERC and that, in all events, good cause existed to lift the automatic stay so as to allow for these proceedings before FERC. The Bankruptcy Court denied Grand Mesa's automatic-stay-related motion, leading to this appeal.

- 2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 8009(a)(1), Grand Mesa filed its designation of items to be included in the record on appeal, which included (among other things) Extraction's rejection motion and Grand Mesa's objection. *See* D.Ct.Dkt.7; Bankr.Ct.Dkt.984. Grand Mesa's designations did not, however, include Extraction's reply brief in support of rejection.
- 3. Accordingly, pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 8009(a)(2), Extraction filed a designation of additional items to be included in the record on appeal, which included the reply brief in support of rejection. *See* D.Ct.Dkt.15; Bankr.Ct.Dkt.1122. In the Bankruptcy Court, Extraction had filed a sealed version of that reply brief, along with a redacted version. Extraction designated both versions to be included in the record on appeal, specifically designating the former as "[Sealed]."
- 4. Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 8009(f) expressly permits a party to a bankruptcy appeal to designate a sealed item to be included in the record on appeal, but it further provides that the "party must file a motion with the court where the appeal is pending to accept the document under seal." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8009(f). Then, "[i]f the motion is granted, the movant must notify the bankruptcy court of the ruling, and the bankruptcy clerk must promptly transmit the sealed document to the clerk of the court where the appeal is pending." Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8009(f).

- 5. Consistent with this rule, Extraction respectfully requests that this Court accept into the record of this appeal the sealed version of Extraction's reply brief in support of rejection, which will provide this Court with a more complete record of the proceedings in the Bankruptcy Court.
- 6. Because the relief that Extraction seeks is procedural, this Court may rule on it at any time without awaiting a response. *See* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 8013(b); 10 *Collier on Bankruptcy* ¶8009.11 (16th ed. 2020).

WHEREFORE, Extraction respectfully requests that this Court enter an order, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit A (a copy of which Extraction will transmit to the Bankruptcy Court), accepting the Sealed Portion into the appellate record and granting such other relief as it deems just and proper.

Dated: November 18, 2020 Wilmington, Delaware

### /s/ Richard W. Riley

### WHITEFORD, TAYLOR & PRESTON LLC1

Marc R. Abrams (DE No. 955) Richard W. Riley (DE No. 4052) Stephen B. Gerald (DE No. 5857)

The Renaissance Centre

405 North King Street, Suite 500 Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Telephone: (302) 353-4144

Facsimile: (302) 661-7950

Email: mabrams@wtplaw.com

rriley@wtplaw.com sgerald@wtplaw.com

- and -

### KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP

George W. Hicks, Jr. (admitted pro hac vice) C. Harker Rhodes IV (admitted pro hac vice) Andrew C. Lawrence (admitted pro hac vice) 1301 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20004

Telephone: (202) 879-5000

Email: george.hicks@kirkland.com

harker.rhodes@kirkland.com andrew.lawrence@kirkland.com

- and-

Anna Rotman, P.C. (admitted pro hac vice) Jamie Alan Aycock (admitted pro hac vice) Kenneth Young (admitted pro hac vice) 609 Main Street

Houston, TX 77002

Telephone: (713) 836-3600 Facsimile: (713) 836-3601

Email: anna.rotman@kirkland.com

jamie.aycock@kirkland.com kenneth.young@kirkland.com

Co-Counsel to Appellee Extraction Oil & Gas, Inc.

<sup>1</sup> Whiteford, Taylor & Preston LLC operates as Whiteford Taylor & Preston L.L.P. in jurisdictions outside of Delaware.

### **Certificate of Service**

I, Richard W. Riley, certify that on November 18, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing document using CM/ECF. I also certify that service of the foregoing document was caused to be made on November 18, 2020, on the following counsel by electronic mail:

Dennis A. Meloro Greenberg Traurig, LLP The Nemours Building 1007 North Orange Street Suite 1200 Wilmington, DE 19801 melorod@gtlaw.com

Kenneth M. Minesinger Howard L. Nelson Jack T. LeBris Erffmeyer Greenberg Traurig, LLP 2101 L Street, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20037 minesingerk@gtlaw.com nelsonh@gtlaw.com lebriserffmeyerj@gtlaw.com Iskender H. Catto
Hal S. Shaftel
Ryan A. Wagner
Greenberg Traurig, LLP
MetLife Building
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166
cattoi@gtlaw.com
shaftelh@gtlaw.com
wagnerr@gtlaw.com

/s/ Richard W. Riley

Richard W. Riley (DE Bar No. 4053)

### **EXHIBIT A**

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:		) Chapter 11
EXTRACTION OIL & GAS, INC., et al.,		) Case No. 20-11548 (CSS)
	Debtors.	) )
GRAND MESA PIPELINE, LLC,		) )
	Appellant,	) Civil Action No.: 20-1411 (CFC)
v. EXTRACTION OIL & GAS, INC.		) ) BAP No. 20-43 )
	Appellee.	) ) )
		,

# ORDER GRANTING APPELLEE'S MOTION TO ACCEPT DOCUMENTS UNDER SEAL INTO APPELLATE RECORD

Upon the Motion of Appellee to Accept Documents Under Seal into Appellate Record (the "Motion"), for good cause shown; it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, and DECREED that:

- 1. The Motion is granted; and
- 2. The Clerk for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Bankruptcy Court") is permitted and authorized to transmit to the United States District Court for the District of Delaware (the "District Court") those portions of the appellate record, as designated by the Appellee, that were received by the Bankruptcy Court under seal (the "Sealed Portion").
- 3. This Court will accept, under seal, the Sealed Portion, and the Sealed Portion shall be dealt with by the parties pursuant to this Court's usual practices and procedures concerning sealed materials.

Dated:,	2020	
Wilmington, Delaware		
		THE HONORABLE COLM F. CONNOLLY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE