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THE COURT: Morning, everybody.

Mr. Diedrich, do you have a report?

MR. DIETDERICH: Good morning, Your Honor. Yes, I do.
For the record, Andy Dietderich, Sullivan and Cromwell.
Well, Your Honor, we're a bit disappointed this morning. We don't have the deal that we had hoped for involving everyone this morning. We do have continued active discussions and we have all the different parties engaged with each other. We made a compromise proposal on Thursday of last week as the debtors, Your Honor, something that didn't have buy-in from anybody but was kind of proposed to be in the middle between the different groups we have here.

We had very constructive discussions Friday and over the weekend on the basis of that proposal and different variants of it. And there's a limited number of moving pieces. But the upshot this morning is that we do have two requests for you as anticipated.

The first request is the debtors do think it would be helpful to at least identify a mediator and to be able to start the works to have mediation commence immediately on the limited scope -- or as soon as possible on the limited scope of resolving the equity committee objection to the COH plan . The debtors -- if it's okay with Your Honor, we can circulate a simple form of mediation order. We'd like to keep that as
simple as possible. And again, we'd like to start that ASAP.
We continue to have our concerns about timetable. This is not a process that can bog down without some risk to the business and to the value and in particular to the very favorable situation of the financing markets right now.

So the other request that we'd have, if it pleases the Court, would be to go ahead and try to identify a date for the following week where we would resume pursuit of the plan in the event that we don't have a consensus with a mediator and regardless whether it's contested or not contested. And we hope then to be able to move forward with the COH deal at that time with whatever improvements before then COH has seen fit to make in light of feedback from the Court, from the debtors, and of course, from the mediator.

So that's the quick status update.
THE COURT: You would want to resume -- you would want a date sometime during the week of March 1st, is what you're saying?

MR. DIETDERICH: Correct.

MR. GLENN: Your Honor, Andrew Glenn. May I be heard, please?

THE COURT: Yes, of course.

MR. GLENN: Good morning. Again, Andrew Glenn, Glenn Agre Bergman \& Fuentes on behalf of the equity committee.

Your Honor, we have been -- the equity committee has
been actively engaged in direct settlement negotiations with the COH group and the debtor since the hearing last week. We worked hard over the weekend and we're trying very hard to bridge the gaps that divide us.

What I would say is that one of the criticisms that's been leveled against us is that the equity committee only owns a very small amount of the stock, even though we speak to other shareholders outside of the deal all the time to gauge their views, that criticism persists. We think that's unfair because we represent all shareholders. That's our duty.

But in this particular circumstance, what I'd say is that we've been trying to negotiate directly with the Jones Day group to ensure that our respective shareholder interests are aligned. They own a very significant amount of the stock. And unfortunately, there's a view that they are constrained from speaking with us because they are party to the coordination agreement. And I think that's one of the reasons the process is stalled. We don't think it's appropriate to negotiate a settlement around the majority of the shareholders. And so we think it's important that shareholders be allowed to communicate. And we think that the mediation process will afford us that opportunity.

What I would say is that we're dedicated to try to resolve this case in the best manner possible, we're dedicated to continue talks between now and the time a mediation begins.

And we think the mediation should begin sooner rather than later.

In terms of Mr . Dietderich's request to put the matter back on the calendar, if that's the way this is going to go, then I think as Your Honor recognized at the last hearing, that's a very different matter than what was contemplated. So I think we need to have a follow-up status conference to talk about the shape and scope of that hearing and what work might need to be done between now and then, including additional discovery. But that's clearly not our focus. We've been advocating mediation from the first time Your Honor suggested it. And we think that unless the parties can come to an agreement very quickly, which has been something we've been unable to do so far, that we have to have that mediation scheduled, we need to have that target, and then if we're going to have a litigation process, it should be a fair and appropriate one, not one that just starts next week because the company wants to do that. So that's where we are, Your Honor, and we're ready to move forward on all these fronts.

MR. DUNNE: Your Honor, it's Dennis Dunne. May I be heard for a moment?

THE COURT: Yes, go ahead.

MR. DUNNE: Good morning, Your Honor. And for the record, it's Dennis Dunne of Milbank on behalf of Centerbridge Partners and Oaktree Capital Management.

I guess I'd like to say two things. One is that there has been a lot of discussion since we were last in front of Your Honor on Friday. I think that people are beginning to identify those elements of the deal that we can build consensus around and that address the Court's concerns and those conversations have been directly with the equity committee's advisors. I'd say that I was -- I'm hopeful that we actually don't need a mediator.

I think one should be appointed today and we should have a date set for the commencement of mediation and we should get on with it if we don't have a deal before then. But $I$ do think that there's a chance that we can actually get to a deal prior to the start of mediation. And I urge all the parties on the phone to continue negotiating with the same philosophy that we did over the weekend between now and the commencement of mediation, because that would be a good day if we could get there. And if not, the mediator will pick up the baton wherever we happen to be in the negotiations at that point in time.

The last thing I wanted to raise, Your Honor, was a comment to Mr. Glenn who said with respect to Jones Day, my understanding is there have been direct conversations with the Jones Day group and the equity committee. And we certainly haven't said anything to the sort that they can't talk directly with the Jones Day group about whatever they want to discuss.

And my understanding is those conversations have been had.

But pulling back, I actually think when you kind of zoom out and look at the proposals that have gone back and forth and the elements that people are talking about, I think we should keep talking because I think there's potentially a path here and let's keep at it. And with that, Your Honor, unless the Court has any questions, I'll cede.

THE COURT: Okay. It would be inappropriate for me to ask you the details of your settlement discussions, not that I'm not curious, but $I$ won't ask you.

Let me ask the Jones Day group, you think you're under any restrictions as to your ability to negotiate with the equity committee at this point?

MR. GLENN: Your Honor, we actually do feel like we had some restrictions. Mr. Dunne has generously resolved doubts that might have existed in that area. But nevertheless, we've been able to have what $I$ regard as constructive conversations with all parties and we will continue to do so.

For the record, I agree with virtually everything that Mr. Dunne said, that we should continue to try to work until a mediator gets up and running. But we do believe that getting the mediator appointed and start the process of getting it up and running is probably the right thing to do as a next step.

THE COURT: So what's your guesstimate as to how close you are and how much time you think a mediation might take and
how much energy? There's quite a few of you.

MR. DIETDERICH: Your Honor, I think it -- this is Andy Dietderich for the record -- for the debtors. From the debtors perspective, I think the parties are close.

One of the things that makes the situation more difficult despite the parties being close, is simply the number of parties involved. So you have Centerbridge and Oak Tree, you have the additional investors, Honeywell, the equity committee, the debtors. But we do -- or we are relatively close and as I said, the number of moving pieces is also relatively modest. So there's a few key commercial terms that have been ninety-five percent of the focus over the last four or five days. So $I$ think the debtors think that there is a good possibility -- again, just reading tea leaves, that people will reach an agreement in the next couple of days, hopefully before mediation starts in earnest. And then if a mediator has to pick up the baton, to use Mr . Dunne's phrase, hopefully it's only for the very last leg of the race. So we're optimistic, which is why the debtors do believe that we should -- we can go ahead and still circle a date for resuming litigation in the near future.

THE COURT: All right. And I know that we were specifically focused last week on who gets to participate in the rights offering. I think I asked you a fairly inept set of questions that didn't really get to what $I$ was trying to
understand, which was if I'm a relatively small shareholder, are there any practical limits on my ability to participate in the rights offering, any minimum investment?

MR. DIETDERICH: So far, Your Honor, we've kept those -- we have kept those to a minimum. There is an 1145 question which is relevant. And as numbers change, the view on that question could change. So we don't yet have a definitive view, depending on where things fall out commercially on whether 1145 will or won't be available. We believe it was available in the plan as currently structured, but as the amount of rights versus stockholding increases, there's a point at which 1145 could fall away and include certain procedures. But we generally are trying to make the rights offering, and I think I know everyone has been on the same page, as broadly distributable as possible without any unusual minimum conditions. There will be a -- there obviously will be a minimum amount that we intend to -- I forget where it is in the plan, but I don't think that's necessarily going to be increasing.

THE COURT: And am I right that under the proposed plan, the rights offering would be available for shareholders without regard to whether they vote (audio interference)?

MR. DIETDERICH: As currently structured, a stockholder would vote in favor of the plan in order to exercise the rights. So a stockholder who voted no would ride
through. A stockholder who voted -- could elect cash, in which case the stockholder would have to vote in favor of the plan. And I believe, although Ms. Kranzley, correct me if I have this wrong, because we moved around a little bit on it back and forth, the stockholder also has to vote affirmatively on the plan in order to exercise the rights.

Ms. Kranzley, is that the way we have it set up?
MS. KRANZLEY: No. Actually, I think only a yes vote is required to exercise the cash-out election. So I do --

MR. DIETDERICH: I take -- I --

MS. KRANZLEY: -- not believe as it -- so as it's currently set up, you do not need to vote yes to exercise your rights.

MR. DIETDERICH: Okay. Forgive me, Your Honor. We have been back and forth on that a couple of times, as I said. So where we landed, to be clear, is that a stockholder has to vote yes on the plan to take the cash election. That's effectively a sale, has to vote yes on the sale. But a stockholder can vote no on the plan and still retain their stock and can make an independent decision whether they'd like to exercise their rights or not.

THE COURT: All right. That's potentially another issue, just like the other issues we raised last week, but I assume you're discussing all of this in the context of your settlement discussions and in your mediation.

Mr. Dietderich, there seems to be a little disagreement about how quickly this mediation should start. What's your view on that?

MR. DIETDERICH: It should start as quickly as possible. I think as quickly as possible still gives a little bit of time for people to try to reach consensus. As I said, we were -- the variables are very close. So if there's going to be a pre-mediation deal, I think parties should be able to strike that deal in the next twenty-four, forty-eight hours.

So what we propose to do, as a practical matter, is to go ahead and identify a mediator, circulate a form of mediation order, agree, maybe do a preliminary briefing of the mediator in the next -- maybe tomorrow. And then we'll either have a deal or don't have a deal, I think by Wednesday of this week. Others can give a different view, if they think a delay until Thursday would be helpful. But from our perspective, we think it's going to happen relatively quickly or we'll need the assistance of a mediator.

THE COURT: Okay. Our calendar next week is relatively clear so we can -- if necessary, either have a hearing on your settlement or a resumed hearing on the objection starting whichever day next week you want. There are a few matters to work around, but they're not very big. So I think actually Monday, Wednesday, and Friday are all clear at this point.

MR. DIETDERICH: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. And we will reach out and obviously meet and confer with the equity committee on discovery requirements for those hearings -- for that hearing.

THE COURT: And you mentioned the other day, I had checked around and Judge (indiscernible) as a mediator. Judge Chapman is available as a backup to that. I will confer with each of them about their schedules, assuming that you may want to start as early as tomorrow afternoon or Monday.

And depending on how big a nut $I$ think this is to crack, maybe I'll ask them both to tag-team you. I don't know.

MR. DIETDERICH: Thank you, Your Honor.

We're very -- obviously very, very, very grateful for their availability and as I said, we'll circulate a proposed order to the parties and maybe have a preliminary conversation tomorrow

THE COURT: Okay. All right, very good. Anything else for today, then?

MR. GLENN: Your Honor, I didn't catch the -- --
MR. DUNNE: Who was the first choice for the mediator?

THE COURT: Judge Drain.

MR. DUNNE: Oh, Judge Drain. Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. DIETDERICH: No, Your Honor, from the debtors perspective, nothing more. Thank you. Thank you very much. And again, disappointed we didn't have a total solution for you
today, but I think all of us here feel that we're -- we at least have the raw material here to give it a good shot. So appreciate your help.

THE COURT: Very good. Thank you very much.
MR. DIETDERICH: Thank you. Bye-bye.
MR. GLENN: Thank you.
THE COURT: Bye.
MR. GLENN: Bye.
(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 11:24 AM)
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|  |  | 22:9 |  | future (1) |
| CRUTCHER (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:4,5;13:19;18:2, } \\ & 3 ; 19: 4,23 ; 20: 10,14 \end{aligned}$ | earnest (1) | $13: 13$ |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:2;9:2 } \\ & \text { curious (1) } \\ & \text { 17:10 } \\ & \text { currently (3) } \\ & \text { 19:10,23;20:12 } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 16 \\ & \text { effectively (1) } \end{aligned}$ | feel (2) |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 3 ; 19: 4,23 ; 20: 10,14 ; \\ & 21: 1,4 ; 22: 1,12,23 \\ & 23: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $20: 18$ |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dietderich's (1) } \\ & 15: 3 \\ & \text { different (5) } \\ & \text { 12:9,13,15;15:6; } \\ & 21: 15 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Gabelli (1) |
|  |  | $21: 13,20$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 5: 12 \\ \text { gaps (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | elect (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fiftieth (1) } \\ & 5: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| D |  | 20:1 |  | 14:4 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { election (2) } \\ 20: 9,17 \end{gathered}$ | 13:5 | Garrett (2) |
| date (4) | difficult (1) |  | First (5) |  |
| 13:7,17; |  | elements (2) | 6:3;9:3;12:1 | gauge (1) |
|  | direct (2) | $16: 4 ; 17: 4$ | fit (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14:8 } \\ \text { generally (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| DAUCHER (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 14:1;16:22 } \\ & \text { directly }(\mathbf{3}) \end{aligned}$ | ELLIS (3) |  |  |
| 9:16 |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:20;7:20;8:11 } \\ & \text { else (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 13:12 | 19:13 |
| DAVID (1) | 14:12;16:6,24 disagreement (1) |  | five (1) | generously (1) |
| 11:3 |  | 22:18energy (1) | 18:13Floor (3) | 17:15gets (2) |
| DAY (10) | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 2 \\ & \text { disappointed (2) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 5:2;8:19;14:12; |  | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 1 \\ & \text { engaged (2) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5:5;6:5,17 } \\ & \text { Flower (1) } \\ & 5: 4 \end{aligned}$ | gets (2) 17:21;18 |
| 16:16,21,23,25; | $\begin{array}{r} 12: 6 ; 22: 25 \\ \text { discovery }(2) \end{array}$ |  |  | $\underset{6: 2 ; 9: 2}{\text { GIBSON (2) }}$ |
| 17:11;21:22;22:5 |  | 12:9;14:1 |  |  |
| days (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 10 ; 22: 3 \\ & \text { discuss (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { ensure (1) } \\ 14: 13 \end{gathered}$ | 5:4 <br> focus (2) | gives (1) |
| 18:13,15 |  |  | $15: 10 ; 18: 12$ | 21:5Glenn (10) |
| DC (1) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14:13 } \\ \text { ENTWISTLE (2) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| 4:15 | discussing (1) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { focused (1) } \\ 18: 23 \end{gathered}$ | $13: 20,20,23,23,23$ |
| DE (1) |  | 5:11,17 <br> Equity (11) | following (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 21 ; 17: 14 ; 22: 19 ; \\ & 23: 6,8 \end{aligned}$ |
| 6:18 | discussion (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6:14;11:6;12:23; } \\ & 13: 24,25 ; 14: 6 ; 16: 6, \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| deal (10) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { 16:2 } \\ \text { discussions (4) } \end{array}$ |  |  | $\boldsymbol{G o o d}(8)$ |
| 12:7;13:11;14:8; |  | 23;17:13;18:8;22:2 | follow-up (1) 15:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 4 ; 13: 23 ; 15: 23 ; \\ & 16: 16 ; 18: 14 ; 22: 17 ; \\ & 23: 2,4 \end{aligned}$ |
| 16:4,11,12;21:8,9, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:9,14;17:9; } \\ & 20: 25 \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{9: 16}{\text { ERIC (1) }}$ | Forbes (1) |  |
| 14,14 DE SON (1) |  |  |  |  |
| DEASON (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { distributable (1) } \\ & \text { 19:15 } \end{aligned}$ | ESQ (24) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Ford }(\mathbf{1}) \\ 8: 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23: 2,4 \\ \text { Grand (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 11:8 debtor (1) | divide (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:8,17,25;5:8,17; } \\ & \text { 6:8,9,10,20;7:7,8,16, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8: 3 \\ \text { forget (1) } \end{gathered}$ | 9:4 |
| 14:2 | 14:4 | 17;8:8,24;9:7,8,16, | 19:17 | 22:13 |
| debtors (10) | DOMANOWSKI (1) | 17,25;10:7,8,16,25 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Forgive (1) } \\ \text { 20:14 } \end{gathered}$ | GRAY (1) |
| 12:11,19,24; |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { even (1) } \\ & 14: 7 \\ & \text { event }(1) \end{aligned}$ |  | 7:2 |
| 13:13;18:3,4,9,13, | $\begin{gathered} \text { done (1) } \\ 15: 9 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 14 \\ & \text { form }(\mathbf{2}) \\ & 12: 25 ; 21: 11 \end{aligned}$ | GREENBERG (1) |
| 19;22:23 |  |  |  |  |
| decision (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DORSEY (1) } \\ & 9: 20 \end{aligned}$ | 13:9 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { forth (3) } \\ & 17: 4 ; 20: 5,15 \end{aligned}$ | GREENBLATT (1) |
| 20:20 |  | everybody (1) |  |  |
| dedicated (2) | $\begin{gathered} \text { doubts (1) } \\ 17: 16 \end{gathered}$ | 12:2everyone (2) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { forty-eight (1) } \\ 21: 9 \end{array}$ | Group (7)6:3;9:3;14:2,13; |
| 14:23,24 |  |  |  |  |
| definitive (1) | down (1)$13: 3$ | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 8 ; 19: 14 \\ & \text { exercise }(5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { forward (2) } \\ & 13: 11 ; 15: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:23,25;17:11 } \\ & \text { groups (1) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 19:7 |  |  |  |  |
| DEHNEY (1) | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Drain (2) } \\ \text { 22:21,22 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 25 ; 20: 6,9,12,21 \\ & \text { existed (1) } \end{aligned}$ | four (1)$18: 12$Francisco (2)$8: 14 ; 9: 17$FREEDLANDER (1)$8: 8$Friday (3) | 12:13guess (1)16:1guesstimate (1)$17: 24$ |
| 6:20 delay (1) |  |  |  |  |
| delay (1) 21:15 | $\begin{gathered} \text { DUNN (2) } \\ 6: 2 ; 9: 2 \end{gathered}$ | 17:16 |  |  |
| $21: 15$ DENNIS (3) |  | F |  |  |
| 4:8;15:20,24 | DUNNE (9)4:8;15:20,20,23,24;17:15,20;22:20,22Dunne's (1)18:17during (1)$13: 17$ |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { DEPARTMENT (1) } \\ & \text { 10:19 } \\ & \text { depending (2) } \\ & 19: 8 ; 22: 10 \\ & \text { despite }(\mathbf{1}) \\ & 18: 6 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { fair (1) } \\ 15: 16 \\ \text { fairly (1) } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Friday (3) } \\ & \text { 12:14;16:3;21:24 } \end{aligned}$front (1) | H |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { HANSEN (1) } \\ 7: 17 \\ \text { happen (2) } \\ \text { 16:18;21:17 } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | $18: 24$fall (2)$19: 8,12$ | $\begin{gathered} 16: 2 \\ \text { fronts (1) } \\ 15: 19 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline hard (2) \& include (1) \& KIRKLAND (3) \& 17:3 \& 22:5 <br>
\hline 14:3,3 \& 19:12 \& 4:20;7:20;8:11 \& $\mathbf{L o s}(2)$ \& MICHAEL (1) <br>
\hline HARRY (1) \& including (1) \& KLYMAN (1) \& 5:6;9:5 \& 10:16 <br>
\hline 11:6 \& 15:9 \& 9:8 \& $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { l o t }}$ (1) \& middle (1) <br>
\hline heard (2) \& increases (1) \& KOHN (1) \& 16:2 \& 12:13 <br>
\hline 13:20;15:21 \& 19:11 \& 9:25 \& Ltd (1) \& might (3) <br>
\hline hearing (6) \& increasing (1) \& Kranzley (4) \& 9:12 \& 15:8;17:16,25 <br>
\hline 14:2;15:5,8;21:21, \& 19:19 \& 20:3,7,8,11 \& \& MILBANK (3) <br>
\hline 21;22:4 \& independent (1) \& KRISTOPHER (1) \& M \& 4:2,11;15:24 <br>
\hline hearings (1) \& 20:20 \& 7:17 \& \& minimum (4) <br>
\hline 22:3 \& indiscernible (1) \& \& Maiden (1) \& 19:3,5,15,17 <br>
\hline help (1) \& 22:6 \& L \& 7:13 \& modest (1) <br>
\hline 23:3 \& inept (1) \& \& majority (1) \& 18:11 <br>
\hline helpful (2) \& 18:24 \& landed (1) \& $$
14: 19
$$ \& moment (1) <br>
\hline 12:20;21:16 \& intend (1) \& 20:16 \& makes (1) \& 15:21 <br>
\hline HILTY (1) \& 19:17 \& Lane (1) \& 18:5 \& Monday (2) <br>
\hline 11:3 \& interests (1) \& 7:13 \& MALONEY (1) \& 21:24;22:9 <br>
\hline Hoc (3) \& 14:13 \& LaSalle (1) \& $$
6: 10
$$ \& more (2) <br>
\hline 6:3;7:3;9:3 \& interference (1) \& 4:22 \& Management (3) \& $$
18: 5 ; 22: 24
$$ <br>
\hline Holders (1) \& 19:22 \& last (9) \& 4:3,12;15:25 \& Morgan (1) <br>
\hline 6:15 \& International (3) \& 12:10;14:2;15:5; \& manner (1) \& 11:7 <br>
\hline Honeywell (4) \& . 4:21;7:21;8:12 \& 16:2,20;18:12,18,23; \& 14:24 \& Morning (7) <br>
\hline $$
4: 21 ; 7: 21 ; 8: 12
$$ \& investment (1) \& 20:23 \& March (1) \& 12:2,4,7,8,17; <br>
\hline $$
18: 8
$$ \& 19:3 \& later (1) \& 13:17 \& 13:23;15:23 <br>
\hline Honor (23) \& investors (1) \& 15:2 \& MARK (3) \& MORRIS (1) <br>
\hline $$
12: 4,6,11,24
$$ \& 18:8 \& LAVAN (1) \& 7:7;8:8,16 \& 6:13 <br>
\hline 13:20,25;15:5,11,18, \& involved (1) \& 7:11 \& Market (1) \& Motion (2) <br>
\hline 20,23;16:3,20;17:6, \& 18:7 \& least (2) \& 6:16 \& 5:3;8:20 <br>
\hline 14;18:2;19:4;20:14; \& involving (1) \& 12:20;23:2 \& markets (1) \& Motor (1) <br>
\hline 22:1,12,19,22,23 \& 12:8 \& leaves (1) \& 13:5 \& 8:3 <br>
\hline hope (1) \& issue (1) \& 18:14 \& MARY (1) \& move (2) <br>
\hline 13:11 \& 20:23 \& LEBLANC (1) \& 6:10 \& 13:11;15:19 <br>
\hline hoped (1) \& issues (1) \& 4:17 \& material (1) \& moved (1) <br>
\hline 12:7 \& 20:23 \& $\operatorname{leg}(1)$ \& 23:2 \& 20:4 <br>
\hline hopeful (1) \& \& 18:18 \& matter (3) \& moving (2) <br>
\hline 16:7 \& J \& Lenders (2) \& 15:3,6;21:10 \& 12:16;18:10 <br>
\hline $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { hopefully (2) } \\
18: 15,17
\end{gathered}
$$ \& JAMES (1) \& 6:3;9:3
leveled (1) \& matters (1)
$21: 23$ \& $$
\begin{array}{|l|}
\text { much }(\mathbf{4}) \\
17: 25 ; 18: 1 ; 22: 24 ;
\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline Houlihan (1) \& 5:8 \& 14:6 \& MATTHEW (2) \& 23:4 <br>
\hline 11:3 \& JOHNSTON (1) \& Lexington (1) \& 7:8;9:7 \& <br>
\hline hours (1) \& 5:8 \& 7:22 \& May (3) \& N <br>
\hline $21: 9$
Hudson (1) \& JONATHAN (1) \& Lien (2) \& 13:20;15:20;22:8 \& <br>
\hline Hudson (1) \& 7:16 \& 6:3;9:3 \& maybe (4) \& NA (1) <br>
\hline 4:5 \& JONES (7) \& light (1) \& 21:12,13;22:11,15 \& 7:12 <br>
\hline \& 5:2;8:19;14:12; \& 13:13

limited (3) \& MCGUIREWOODS (1) \& near (1) <br>
\hline I \& 16:21,23,25;17:11 \& limited (3) \& 8:2 \& 18:21 <br>
\hline \& JPMorgan (1) \& 12:16,21,22 \& MCKANE (1) \& necessarily (1) <br>
\hline \& 7:12 \& limits (1) \& 8:16 \& 19:18 <br>
\hline 12:20;13:7;16:4; \& Judge (4) \& 19:2 \& mediation (15) \& necessary (1) <br>
\hline 21:11 \& 22:6,6,21,22 \& litigation (2) \& 12:21,25;14:21, \& 21:20 <br>
\hline IL (1) \& JUSTICE (1) \& 15:16;18:20 \& 25;15:1,11,14;16:10, \& need (6) <br>

\hline 4:23 \& $$
10: 19
$$ \& little (3) \& 13,16;17:25;18:16; \& 15:7,9,15;16:8; <br>

\hline $$
\begin{gathered}
\text { immediately (1) } \\
12: 21
\end{gathered}
$$ \& K \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 20:4;21:1,5 } \\
& \text { LLC (1) }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 20: 25 ; 21: 2,11 \\
& \text { mediator (13) }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { 20:12;21:17 } \\
\text { negotiate (3) }
\end{gathered}
$$
\] <br>

\hline important (1) \& \& 5:12 \& 12:20;13:9,14; \& 14:12,18;17:12 <br>
\hline 14:20 \& keep (3) \& LLP (15) \& 16:8,17;17:21,22; \& negotiating (1) <br>
\hline improvements (1) \& 12:25;17:5,6 \& 4:2,11,20;5:11; \& 18:16;21:11,12,18; \& 16:14 <br>

\hline $$
13: 12
$$ \& kept (2) \& 6:2,13;7:2,11,20; \& 22:6,20 \& negotiations (2) <br>

\hline inappropriate (1) \& 19:4,5 \& 8:11;9:2,11,20;10:2, \& meet (1) \& 14:1;16:18 <br>
\hline 17:8 \& key (1) \& 11 \& 22:2 \& nevertheless (1) <br>
\hline Inc (6) \& 18:11 \& Lokey (1) \& MENDELSOHN (1) \& 17:16 <br>

\hline 4:21;5:3;7:21; \& kind (2) \& 11:3 \& $$
11: 4
$$ \& New (11) <br>

\hline 8:12,20;9:21 \& 12:12;17:2 \& look (1) \& mentioned (1) \& 4:6;6:6;7:5,14,23; <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| 8:22;9:14,23;10:5, | 14:8 | 12:23;13:8;19:10, | 18:18 | suming (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14,23 | over (4) | 18,21,24;20:2,6,17, | raise (1) | 18:20 |
| next (7) | 12:14;14:3;16:15; | 19 | 16:20 | retain (1) |
| 15:17;17:23; | 18:12 | please (1) | raised (1) | 20:19 |
| 18:15;21:9,13,19,22 | own (1) | 13:21 | 20:23 | ride (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { NICHOLS (1) } \\ & 6: 13 \end{aligned}$ | 14:14 | pleases (1) | rather (1) | 19:25 |
|  | owns (1) | 13:6 | 15:1 | right (6) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { NICOLE (1) } \\ 7: 25 \end{gathered}$ | 14:6 | $\begin{gathered} \text { point (4) } \\ \text { 16:18;17:13; } \\ \text { 19:11;21:25 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { raw (1) } \\ 23: 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 5 ; 17: 23 ; 18: 22 ; \\ & 19: 20 ; 20: 22 ; 22: 17 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ninety-five (1) } \\ 18: 12 \end{gathered}$ | P |  | reach (3) | rights (9) |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { possibility (1) } \\ 18: 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 15 ; 21: 6 ; 22: 2 \\ & \text { reading }(\mathbf{1}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 24 ; 19: 3,11,13, \\ & 21,25 ; 20: 6,13,21 \end{aligned}$ |
| North (2) | PA (1) |  |  |  |
| $4: 22 ; 6: 16$ | 8:6 | possible (6) | $\begin{gathered} 18: 14 \\ \text { ready (1) } \end{gathered}$ | risk (1) |
| NORTON (1) | page (1) | 12:22;13:1;14:24; |  | 13:3 |
| $9: 11$ | 19:14 | 19:15;21:5,5 | 15:19 | ROBERT (2) |
| Noteholders (1) | Park (2) | potentially (2) | really (1) | 6:20;9:8 |
| 7:3 | 6:4;10:12 | 17:5;20:22 | 18:25 | ROOSE (1) |
| number (3) | participate (2) | $19: 2 ; 21: 10$ | reasons (1)$14: 17$ | 7:8 |
| 12:16;18:6,10 | 18:23;19:2particular (2) |  |  | ROPES (1) |
| numbers (1) |  | preliminary (2) | $\underset{15 \cdot 5}{\text { recognized (1) }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7: 2 \\ \text { ROSE (1) } \end{gathered}$ |
| 19:6 | particular (2) | 21:12;22:15 |  |  |
| nut (1) | parties (9) | pre-mediation (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { record (4) } \\ & \text { 12:5;15:24;17:19; } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 22:10 | 12:9;15:12;16:13; | 21:8 |  | running (2) |
| NW (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 18 ; 18: 4,6,7 ; 21: 8 \\ & 22: 15 \end{aligned}$ | PRESENT (1) |  | 17:21,23 |
| $4: 14$ NY (11) |  | $\begin{gathered} 11: 2 \\ \text { prior (1) } \end{gathered}$ | regard (2) 17:17:19:22 | S |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4: 6 ; 6: 6 ; 7: 5,14,23 \\ & 8: 22 ; 9: 14,23 ; 10: 5, \\ & 14,23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4:4,13;15:25 } \\ & \text { party (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 16:13probably (1) | 17:17;19:22 |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { regardless (1) } \\ 13: 10 \end{gathered}$ | sale (2) |
|  | 14:16 | 17:23 | REGINA (1) | 20:18,18 |
|  | path (1) | procedures (1) | 11:7 | same (2) |
| 0 | 17:6 | 19:12 | relatively (5) | 16:14;19:14 |
|  | PAUL (1) | proceedings (1) | 18:9,11;19:1; | SAMUEL (1) |
| Oak (1) | 10:25 | 23:9 | $\begin{gathered} 21: 17,20 \\ \text { relevant (1) } \end{gathered}$ | 9:25 |
| 18:7 | PC (2) | process (5) |  | San (1) 8:14 |
| Oaktree (3) | 7:25;8:16 | 13:3;14:17,21; | 19:6 |  |
| 4:3,12;15:25 | people (4) | 15:16;17:22 | report (1) | SAVAGE (1) |
| objection (2) | $\begin{aligned} & 16: 3 ; 17: 4 ; 18: 14 ; \\ & 21: 6 \end{aligned}$ | proposal (2) | $12: 3$ | 11:7 |
| 12:23;21:22 |  | 12:10,15 |  | saying (1) |
| obviously (3) | $\begin{gathered} \text { percent (1) } \\ 18: 12 \end{gathered}$ | proposals (1) | $14: 10$ | $13: 18$ |
| 19:16;22:2,13 offering (4) |  | propose (1) | request (3) 12:19;13:6;15:3 | scheduled (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { offering (4) } \\ & 18: 24 ; 19: 3,13,21 \end{aligned}$ | Perella (2) |  | $12: 19 ; 13: 6 ; 15: 3$ requests (1) | $15: 15$ schedules (1) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Office (1) } \\ 10: 20 \end{gathered}$ | persists (1) | proposed (3) | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 17 \\ & \text { required (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 22:8 |
|  |  | 12:12;19:20;22:14 |  | SCHWARTZBERG (1) |
| Official (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { perspective (3) } \\ & 18: 4 ; 21: 16 ; 22: 24 \end{aligned}$ | pulling (1) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 20:9 } \\ & \text { requirements (1) } \end{aligned}$ | 10:25 |
| 6:14;10:3 |  | 17:2 |  | scope (3) |
| one (7) | PFEIFFER (1) | pursuit (1) |  | 12:22,22;15:8SCOTT (1) |
| 14:5,17;15:17,17; |  | $13: 8$ |  |  |
| 16:1,9;18:5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 10:8 } \\ & \text { PHILIP (1) } \end{aligned}$ | put (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { resolve (1) } \\ 14: 24 \end{gathered}$ | 6:8 |
| only (3)14:6;18:18;20: | $10: 7$ <br> philosophy (1) | 15:3 | $\begin{gathered} \text { resolved (1) } \\ 17: 15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { SEAN (1) } \\ 11: 8 \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | Q |  |  |
| opportunity (1) $14: 22$ | $\begin{gathered} 16: 14 \\ \text { phone (1) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { resolving (1) } \\ 12: 23 \end{gathered}$ | Secured (1) |
| optimistic (1)$18: 18$ | $\begin{gathered} 16: 14 \\ \text { phrase (1) } \end{gathered}$ | quick (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { respect (1) } \\ 16: 21 \end{gathered}$ | Security (1)$6: 15$ |
|  |  | 13:15 |  |  |
| order (5)12:25;19:24;20:6$21: 12 ; 22: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 17 \\ & \text { pick }(2) \\ & 16: 17 ; 18: 17 \end{aligned}$ | $15: 13 ; 21: 2,4,5,17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { respective (1) } \\ & 14: 13 \\ & \text { restrictions (2) } \end{aligned}$ | seems (1) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | set (4) |
| ```Others (1) 21:15 out (3) 17:3;19:8;22:2 outside (1)``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pieces (2) } \\ & 12: 16 ; 18: 10 \end{aligned}$ | 18:1 | $\begin{gathered} 17: 12,15 \\ \text { resume (2) } \\ 13: 8,16 \\ \text { resumed }(\mathbf{1}) \\ 21: 21 \end{gathered}$ | 16:10;18:24;20:7, |
|  |  | $\mathbf{R}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ \text { settlement (5) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Pittsburgh (1) } \\ & 8: 6 \\ & \text { plan (10) } \end{aligned}$ | race (1) |  | settiement (5) 14:1, $19 ; 17: 9 ;$ |
|  |  |  |  | 20:25;21:21 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Seventh (1) } \\ & 10: 13 \\ & \text { shape (1) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 14:7,14;20:20 } \\ \text { stockholder (7) } \\ \text { 19:24,25;20:1,2,5, } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:13 } \\ & \text { TUNNELL (1) } \\ & \text { 6:13 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12,17,18,19 \\ \text { voted (2) } \mathbf{2}) \\ 19: 25 ; 20: 1 \end{gathered}$ | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:8 } \\ & \text { shareholder (2) } \\ & 14: 13 ; 19: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 16,19 \\ \text { stockholding (1) } \\ \text { 19:11 } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { twenty-four (1) } \\ & 21: 9 \\ & \text { two }(2) \end{aligned}$ | W | $\underset{17: 3}{\operatorname{zoom}(\mathbf{1})}$ |
| Shareholders (7) 5:3;8:20;14:8,10, | Street (7) | $\begin{aligned} & 12: 17 ; 16: 1 \\ & \mathbf{T X}(\mathbf{1}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { wants (1) } \\ \text { 15:18 } \end{array}$ | 1 |
| 19,20;19:21 | 8:13,21;9:22;10:21 | 5:15 | Washington (1) | 10001 (1) |
| 23:2 | 21:9 | U | way (2) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline 4: 6 \\ \mathbf{1 0 0 1 4} \end{array}$ |
| SIDLEY (1) | STROOCK (2) |  | 15:4;20:7 | 10:23 |
| 10:11 | 7:11,11 | unable (1) | Wednesday (2) | 10019 (3) |
| significant (1) | structured (2) | 15:14 | 21:14,24 | 9:14,23;10:14 |
| simple (2) | suggested (1) | under 17:11;19:20 | $12: 11 ; 13: 8,17 ;$ | $\underset{10 \cdot 5}{10020(1)}$ |
| 12:25;13:1 | 15:11 | unfair (1) | 14:2;15:17;18:23; | 10022 (1) |
| simply (1) | Suite (3) | 14:9 | 20:23;21:14,19,22 | 7:23 |
| 18:6 | 5:14;8:5;10:22 | unfortunately (1) | weekend (3) | 10036 (1) |
| situation (2) | Sullivan (1) | 14:15 | 12:15;14:3;16:15 | 7:5 |
| 13:5;18:5 | 12:5 | UNITED (2) | Weinberg (2) | 10038 (1) |
| small (2) | SVOYSKIY (1) | 10:19,20 | 11:4,5 | 7:14 |
| 14:7;19:1 | 11:5 | unless (2) | West (1) | 1006 (1) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { solution (1) } \\ & 22: 25 \end{aligned}$ | T | $\begin{gathered} \text { 15:12;17:7 } \\ \text { unusual (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9: 22 \\ \text { what's (2) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10: 22 \\ 10166(1) \end{gathered}$ |
| sometime (1) |  | 19:15 | 17:24;21:3 | 6:6 |
| 13:17 | tag-team (1) | up (6) | Whereupon (1) | 10281 (1) |
| soon (1) | 22:11 | 16:17;17:21,22; | 23:9 | 8:22 |
| 12:22 | talk (2) | 18:17;20:7,12 | wherever (1) | 11:24 (1) |
| sooner (1) | 15:7;16:24 | update (1) | 16:18 | 23:9 |
| 15:1 sort (1) | talking (2) | 13:15 | whichever (1) | 1145 (3) |
| sort (1) 16:24 | 17:4,5 | upshot (1) | 21:22 | $19: 5,9,12$ |
| 16:24 | talks (1) | 12:17 | WHITE (1) | $1170(1)$ |
| South (2) | 14:25 | urge (1) | 10:2 | $5: 14$ |
| 5:4;9:4 | target (1) | 16:13 | WHITNEY (1) | 1201 (1) |
| speak (1) | 15:15 | use (1) 18:17 | 9:20 WILLIAM | 6:16 |
| speaking (1) | 18:14 |  | $4: 25$ | $\underset{7: 4}{1211(1)}$ |
| 14:16 | terms (2) | V | Wilmington (1) | $1221 \text { (1) }$ |
| specifically (1) $18: 23$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 15:3;18:11 } \\ & \text { though (1) } \end{aligned}$ | value (1) | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6:18 } \\ \text { WILSON (1) } \end{gathered}$ | $10: 4$ $1301(1)$ |
| stalled (1) | 14:7 | 13:4 | 11:6 | 1301 9 (1) |
| 14:18 | Thursday (2) | variables (1) | without (3) | 15222 (1) |
| Stanley (1) | 12:10;21:16 | 21:7 | 13:3;19:15,22 | 8:6 |
| 11:7 | times (1) | variants (1) | work (3) | 16th (1) |
| start (7) 12:20:13:1:16:13. | 20:15 timetable (1) | $12: 16$ Varick (1) | 15:8;17:20;21:23 worked (1) | 6:17 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12: 20 ; 13: 1 ; 16: 13 ; \\ & 17: 22 ; 21: 2,4 ; 22: 9 \end{aligned}$ | timetable (1) 13:2 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Varick (1) } \\ 10: 21 \end{array}$ | worked (1) 14:3 | $180 \text { (1) }$ |
| starting (1) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | today (3) | VAZQUEZ (1) | works (1) | $\begin{gathered} 7: 13 \\ \mathbf{1 8 0 0}(\mathbf{1}) \end{gathered}$ |
| 21:22 | 16:9;22:18;23:1 | 9:17 | $12: 21$ | $8: 5$ |
| $\underset{\text { starts (2) }}{15 \cdot 17 \cdot 18: 16}$ | tomorrow (3) | versus (1) | wrong (1) | 1850 (1) |
| 15:17:18:16 STATES (2) | 21:13;22:9,16 | 19:11 |  | 4:14 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { STATES (2) } \\ 10: 19,20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { total (1) } \\ 22: 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Vesey }(\mathbf{1}) \\ 8: 21 \end{array}$ | Y | $\begin{gathered} 19899(1) \\ 6: 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| status (2) 13:15;15:7 | Tree (1) | view (5) 14:15;19:6,8;21•3 |  | 1st (1) |
| step (1) | Trustee (2) | $15$ | ards | 13:17 |
| 17:23 | 9:12;10:20 | views (1) | York (11) | 2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { STEVEN (1) } \\ & 6: 9 \end{aligned}$ | try (4) 13:7:14:23:17:20; | $14: 9$ | 4:6;66:67:5,14,23; |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 6: 9 \\ \text { still (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13: 7 ; 14: 23 ; 17: 20 \\ & 21: 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { virtually (1) } \\ 17: 19 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 22 ; 9: 14,23 ; 10: 5, \\ & 14,23 \end{aligned}$ | 200 (1) |
| 18:20;20:19;21:5 | $\stackrel{\text { trying (4) }}{ }$ | vote (9) |  | $\begin{gathered} 6: 4 \\ \mathbf{2 0 0 0 6}(\mathbf{1}) \end{gathered}$ |
| stock (3) | 14:3,12;18:25; | 19:22,24;20:2,5,8, |  | $4: 15$ |



