3:21-су-00132-Е

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

#### JAMES DONDERO <u>Appellant</u>,

v.

#### HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., <u>Appellee</u>.

On Appeal from the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division The Honorable Stacey G. C. Jernigan, United States Bankruptcy Judge

> In re: Highland Capital Management, L.P. Case No. 19-34054

Highland Capital Management, L.P. v. James Dondero Adversary Proceeding No. 20-03190

#### **DEBTOR'S APPENDIX**

#### PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP HAYWARD PLLC

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 266326) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760 Email: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com ikharasch@pszjlaw.com gdemo@pszjlaw.com Melissa S. Hayward Texas Bar No. 24044908 MHayward@HaywardFirm.com Zachery Z. Annable Texas Bar No. 24053075 ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Tel: (972) 755-7110 Fax: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.



Appendix Exhibit	Title of Document	Appendix Page Numbers
A	Plaintiff Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Emergency Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction Against Mr. James Dondero	Appx. 1
В	Order Granting Debtor's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order Against James Dondero	Appx. 10
C	James Dondero's Emergency Motion to Modify Temporary Restraining Order	Appx. 13
D	Notice of Withdrawal	Appx. 20
E	Plaintiff's Motion for an Order Requiring Appellant to Show cause Why He Should Not Be Held in Civil Contempt for Violating the TRO	Appx. 22
F	Preliminary Injunction Hearing Transcript, dated January 8, 2021	Appx. 31
G	Order Granting Debtor's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction against James Dondero	Appx. 236

# Appendix Table of Contents

Dated: January 27, 2021

#### PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 266326) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760 Email: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com ikharasch@pszjlaw.com jmorris@pszjlaw.com

-and-

#### HAYWARD PLLC

/s/ Zachery Z. Annable

Melissa S. Hayward Texas Bar No. 24044908 MHayward@HaywardFirm.com Zachery Z. Annable Texas Bar No. 24053075 ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Tel: (972) 755-7110 Fax: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 6 Filed 12/07/20 Entered 12/08/20 08:39:16 Page 1 of 9 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 4 of 243 PageID 348

#### PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) (admitted pro hac vice) Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084) (admitted pro hac vice) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 266326) (admitted pro hac vice) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (admitted pro hac vice) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (admitted pro hac vice) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760

HAYWARD & ASSOCIATES PLLC Melissa S. Hayward (TX Bar No. 24044908) MHayward@HaywardFirm.com Zachery Z. Annable (TX Bar No. 24053075) ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, TX 75231 Telephone: (972) 755-7100 Facsimile: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

#### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	\$ \$	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGE	EMENT, L.P., <sup>1</sup>	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debt	8	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGE	EMENT, L.P., §	
Plain	8	Adversary Proceeding No.
VS.	8	20-03190-sgj
JAMES D. DONDERO,	\$ \$ \$	
Defe	ndant. §	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.

#### PLAINTIFF HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.'S EMERGENCY MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AGAINST MR. JAMES DONDERO

Highland Capital Management, L.P., plaintiff in the above-captioned adversary proceeding (the "Adversary Proceeding") and the debtor and debtor-in-possession (the "Debtor" or "Highland") in the above-captioned chapter 11 case ("Bankruptcy Case"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this emergency motion (the "Motion") seeking entry of a temporary restraining order and a preliminary injunction enjoining Mr. James Dondero ("Mr. Dondero" or "Defendant") from: (a) communicating (whether orally, in writing, or otherwise), directly or indirectly, with any Board member unless Mr. Dondero's counsel and counsel for the Debtor are included in any such communication; (b) making any express or implied threats of any nature against the Debtor or any of its directors, officers, employees, professionals, or agents; (c) communicating with any of the Debtor's employees, except as it specifically relates to shared services currently provided to affiliates owned or controlled by Mr. Dondero; (d) interfering with or otherwise impeding, directly or indirectly, the Debtor's business, including but not limited to the Debtor's decisions concerning its operations, management, treatment of claims, disposition of assets owned or controlled by the Debtor, and pursuit of the Plan or any alternative to the Plan; and (e) otherwise violating section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code (collectively, the "<u>Prohibited Conduct</u>"). In support of the Motion, the Debtor respectfully states the following:

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334(b). The Motion is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A) and (O).

2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409.

### Appx. 2

3. The predicates for the relief requested in the Motion are sections 105(a) and 362(a) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "<u>Bankruptcy Code</u>") and Rule 7065 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "<u>Bankruptcy Rules</u>").

#### **RELIEF REQUESTED**

4. The Debtor requests that this Court issue the proposed form of restraining order attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the "<u>Proposed Order</u>") pursuant to sections 105(a) and 362(a) of Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 7065.

5. For the reasons set forth more fully in the Debtor's *Memorandum of Law in Support of its Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction against Mr. James Dondero* (the "<u>Memorandum of Law</u>") filed contemporaneously with this Motion, the Debtor seeks injunctive relief enjoining Mr. Dondero from (i) directly (or indirectly through his corporate entities or anyone else acting on his behalf) controlling, interfering with, or even influencing the Debtor's business and operations, or (ii) threatening or intimidating the Debtor or any of its directors, officers, employees, professionals, or agents. Absent injunctive relief, the Debtor's ability to operate and execute its Plan for the benefit of its stakeholders will be jeopardized, and the integrity of Debtor's chapter 11 case and estate assets will be severely threatened. Emergency relief is needed to avoid this immediate and irreparable harm that will be caused to the Debtor.

6. In accordance with Rule 7007-1 of the Local Bankruptcy Rules of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas (the "Local Rules"), contemporaneously herewith and in support of this Motion, the Debrtor is filing: (a) its Memorandum of Law, (b) the Declaration of Mr. James P. Seery in Support of the Debtor's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order against Mr. James Dondero (the "Seery

### Аррх. 3

# Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 6 Filed 12/07/20 Entered 12/08/20 08:39:16 Page 4 of 9 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 7 of 243 PageID 351

<u>Declaration</u>"), and (c) the Debtor's *Motion for Expedited Hearing on Emergency Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction against Mr. James Dondero* (the "<u>Motion to Expedite</u>").

7. As is demonstrated by the Memorandum of Law and the evidentiary materials referenced in the Seery Declaration, the Debtor is entitled to the relief requested herein as set forth in the Proposed Order.

8. Notice of this Motion has been provided to Mr. Dondero. The Debtor submits that no other or further notice need be provided.

WHEREFORE, the Debtor respectfully requests that the Court (i) enter the Proposed Order substantially in the formed annexed hereto as Exhibit A granting the relief requested herein, and (ii) grant the Debtor such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper. Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 6 Filed 12/07/20 Entered 12/08/20 08:39:16 Page 5 of 9 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 8 of 243 PageID 352

Dated: December 7, 2020.

#### PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 266326) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760 Email: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com ikharasch@pszjlaw.com gdemo@pszjlaw.com

-and-

#### HAYWARD & ASSOCIATES PLLC

/s/ Zachery Z. Annable Melissa S. Hayward Texas Bar No. 24044908 MHayward@HaywardFirm.com Zachery Z. Annable Texas Bar No. 24053075 ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Tel: (972) 755-7100 Fax: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 6 Filed 12/07/20 Entered 12/08/20 08:39:16 Page 6 of 9 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 9 of 243 PageID 353

### EXHIBIT A

#### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

ş

§ §

§

Ş

In re:

Chapter 11

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,<sup>1</sup>

Debtor.

Case No. 19-34054-sgj11

Related to Docket No.

#### ORDER GRANTING DEBTOR'S MOTION FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AGAINST JAMES DONDERO

Having considered the *Debtor's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction against James Dondero* [Docket No. \_\_\_\_] (the "<u>Motion</u>"), the *Memorandum of Law* (the "<u>Memorandum of Law</u>")<sup>2</sup> in support of the Motion, and the *Declaration of James P. Seery, Jr. in Support of the Debtor's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order against James Dondero* [Docket No. \_\_\_\_] (the "<u>Seery Declaration</u>"), including the exhibits

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Memorandum of Law.

#### Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 6 Filed 12/07/20 Entered 12/08/20 08:39:16 Page 8 of 9 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 11 of 243 PageID 355

annexed thereto; and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and this Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that injunctive relief is warranted under sections 105(a) and 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtor's estate, its creditors, and other parties-in-interest; and this Court having found that the Debtor's notice of the Motion and opportunity for a hearing on the Motion were appropriate under the circumstances and that no other notice need be provided; and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and the Memorandum of Law establish good cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor and for the reasons set forth in the record on this Motion, it is **HEREBY ORDERED THAT**:

1. The Motion is **GRANTED** as set forth herein.

2. James Dondero is temporarily enjoined and restrained from (a) communicating (whether orally, in writing, or otherwise), directly or indirectly, with any Board member unless Mr. Dondero's counsel and counsel for the Debtor are included in any such communication; (b) making any express or implied threats of any nature against the Debtor or any of its directors, officers, employees, professionals, or agents; (c) communicating with any of the Debtor's employees, except as it specifically relates to shared services currently provided to affiliates owned or controlled by Mr. Dondero; (d) interfering with or otherwise impeding, directly or indirectly, the Debtor's business, including but not limited to the Debtor's decisions concerning its operations, management, treatment of claims, disposition of assets owned or controlled by the Debtor, and

# Appx. 8

#### Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 6 Filed 12/07/20 Entered 12/08/20 08:39:16 Page 9 of 9 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 12 of 243 PageID 356

pursuit of the Plan or any alternative to the Plan; and (e) otherwise violating section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code (collectively, the "<u>Prohibited Conduct</u>").<sup>3</sup>

3. James Dondero is further temporarily enjoined and restrained from causing, encouraging, or conspiring with (a) any entity owned or controlled by him, and/or (b) any person or entity acting on his behalf, from, directly or indirectly, engaging in any Prohibited Conduct.

4. All objections to the Motion are overruled in their entirety.

5. The Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or relating to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.

#### ### END OF ORDER ###

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For the avoidance of doubt, this Order does not enjoin or restrain Mr. Dondero from seeking judicial relief upon proper notice or from objecting to any motion filed in the above-referenced bankruptcy case.

Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 10 Filed 12/10/20 Entered 12/10/20 13:31:53 Page 1 of 3 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 13 of 243 PageID 357



CLERK, U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

ENTERED THE DATE OF ENTRY IS ON THE COURT'S DOCKET

The following constitutes the ruling of the court and has the force and effect therein described.

United States Bankruptcy J

Signed December 10, 2020

#### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS **DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:	§ Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT,	L.P., <sup>1</sup> § Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	\$ \$
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT,	L.P., §
Plaintiff,	§ Adversary Proceeding §
VS.	\$ 8
JAMES D. DONDERO,	8 No. 20-03190-sgj 8
Defendant.	§

#### **ORDER GRANTING DEBTOR'S MOTION** FOR A TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AGAINST JAMES DONDERO

Having considered the Debtor's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.

# Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 10 Filed 12/10/20 Entered 12/10/20 13:31:53 Page 2 of 3 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 14 of 243 PageID 358

Preliminary Injunction against James Dondero [Docket No. 6] (the "Motion"), the Memorandum of Law (the "Memorandum of Law")<sup>2</sup> in support of the Motion, and the Declaration of James P. Seery, Jr. in Support of the Debtor's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order against James Dondero [Docket No. 4] (the "Seery Declaration"), including the exhibits annexed thereto; and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and this Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that injunctive relief is warranted under sections 105(a) and 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtor's estate, its creditors, and other parties-in-interest; and this Court having found that the Debtor's notice of the Motion and opportunity for a hearing on the Motion were appropriate under the circumstances and that no other notice need be provided; and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and the Memorandum of Law establish good cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor and for the reasons set forth in the record on this Motion, it is **HEREBY ORDERED THAT**:

1. The Motion is **GRANTED** as set forth herein.

2. James Dondero is temporarily enjoined and restrained from (a) communicating (whether orally, in writing, or otherwise), directly or indirectly, with any Board member unless Mr. Dondero's counsel and counsel for the Debtor are included in any such communication; (b) making any express or implied threats of any nature against the Debtor or any of its directors, officers, employees, professionals, or agents; (c) communicating with any of the Debtor's

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Memorandum of Law.

# Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 10 Filed 12/10/20 Entered 12/10/20 13:31:53 Page 3 of 3 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 15 of 243 PageID 359

employees, except as it specifically relates to shared services currently provided to affiliates owned or controlled by Mr. Dondero; (d) interfering with or otherwise impeding, directly or indirectly, the Debtor's business, including but not limited to the Debtor's decisions concerning its operations, management, treatment of claims, disposition of assets owned or controlled by the Debtor, and pursuit of the Plan or any alternative to the Plan; and (e) otherwise violating section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code (collectively, the "<u>Prohibited Conduct</u>").<sup>3</sup>

3. James Dondero is further temporarily enjoined and restrained from causing, encouraging, or conspiring with (a) any entity owned or controlled by him, and/or (b) any person or entity acting on his behalf, from, directly or indirectly, engaging in any Prohibited Conduct.

4. All objections to the Motion are overruled in their entirety.

5. The Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or relating to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.

#### **### END OF ORDER ###**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For the avoidance of doubt, this Order does not enjoin or restrain Mr. Dondero from seeking judicial relief upon proper notice or from objecting to any motion filed in the above-referenced bankruptcy case.

Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 24 Filed 12/16/20 Entered 12/16/20 13:21:33 Page 1 of 5 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 16 of 243 PageID 360

D. Michael Lynn State Bar I.D. No. 12736500 John Y. Bonds, III State Bar I.D. No. 02589100 John T. Wilson, IV State Bar I.D. No. 24033344 Bryan C. Assink State Bar I.D. No. 24089009 BONDS ELLIS EPPICH SCHAFER JONES LLP 420 Throckmorton Street, Suite 1000 Fort Worth, Texas 76102 (817) 405-6900 telephone (817) 405-6902 facsimile

#### **ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT JAMES DONDERO**

#### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§	Case No. 19-34054
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.	. §	Chapter 11
Debtor.	8 §	
	8	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.	., Š	
	§	
Plaintiff.	§	
	§	
V.	§	
	§	Adversary No. 20-03190
JAMES D. DONDERO,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

#### JAMES DONDERO'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO MODIFY TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

James D. Dondero ("<u>Defendant</u>"), the defendant in the above-captioned adversary proceeding, hereby files this *Emergency Motion to Modify Temporary Restraining Order* (the "<u>Motion</u>"). In support thereof, Defendant respectfully represents as follows:

#### I. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

1. On October 16, 2019 (the "<u>Petition Date</u>"), Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the "<u>Debtor</u>") filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "<u>Bankruptcy Code</u>") in the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, Case No. 19-12239 (CSS) (the "<u>Delaware Court</u>").

2. On October 29, 2019, the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "<u>Committee</u>") was appointed by the U.S. trustee in Delaware.

3. On December 4, 2019, the Delaware Court entered an order transferring venue of the Debtor's Bankruptcy Case to this Court [Docket No. 186].

4. On December 27, 2019, the Debtor filed that certain *Motion of the Debtor for Approval of Settlement with the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors Regarding Governance of the Debtor and Procedures for Operations in the Ordinary Course* [Docket No. 281] (the "<u>Settlement Motion</u>"). This Court approved the Settlement Motion on January 9, 2020 [Docket No. 339] (the "<u>Settlement Order</u>").

5. In connection with the Settlement Order, an independent board of directors was appointed on January 9, 2020, for the Debtor's general partner, Strand Advisors, Inc. (the "<u>Board</u>"). The members of the Board are James P. Seery, Jr., John S. Dubel, and Russell F. Nelms. Mr. Seery was later retained as the Debtor's Chief Executive Officer.

6. On December 7, 2020, the Debtor commenced this adversary proceeding by filing *Plaintiff Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Verified Original Complaint for Injunctive Relief* [Adv. Dkt. 1] (the "<u>Complaint</u>").

7. Also on December 7, 2020, the Debtor filed *Plaintiff Highland Capital* Management, L.P.'s Emergency Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary

#### Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 24 Filed 12/16/20 Entered 12/16/20 13:21:33 Page 3 of 5 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 18 of 243 PageID 362

Injunction Against Mr. James Dondero [Adv. Dkt. 2] (the "TRO Motion").

8. On December 10, 2020, this Court conducted a hearing and granted the TRO Motion. Later that day, the Court entered the *Order Granting Debtor's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order Against James Dondero* [Adv. Dkt. 10] (the "<u>TRO</u>").

9. Among other things, the TRO temporarily restricts Defendant from "communicating (whether orally, in writing, or otherwise), directly or indirectly, with any Board member unless Mr. Dondero's counsel and counsel for the Debtor are included in any such communication."

10. In addition, the Debtor, through counsel, has indicated that Defendant cannot converse with the Board absent prior approval of the subjects he wishes to address.

#### II. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED AND BASIS FOR RELIEF</u>

11. While Defendant does not at this juncture contest this restriction, he respectfully requests that the Court modify this provision of the TRO so that he may gain access to the Board and can communicate with the Board regarding the terms of his proposed "Pot Plan" that would see the continuation of the Debtor's business as a going concern and save many of the Debtor's employees from their impending termination. The plan would involve a substantial infusion of cash from the Defendant for the benefit of Debtor's creditors. Defendant has been diligently negotiating with creditors and the Debtor to come to terms of such a plan, but as the Court is aware, no agreement has yet been reached. Defendant believes the Pot Plan has the potential to be the best outcome for this case and desires to continue his advocacy for this plan. With the TRO's restriction on his access to, and communication with, the Board, however, Defendant will be severely constrained in his efforts to achieve this grand bargain.

12. Accordingly, by this Motion, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court modify

#### Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 24 Filed 12/16/20 Entered 12/16/20 13:21:33 Page 4 of 5 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 19 of 243 PageID 363

the TRO to grant Defendant access to the Board and allow Defendant to communicate with the Board regarding his Pot Plan and the Debtor's reorganization, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

13. Rule 65(b)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, made applicable to this proceeding through Rule 7065 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, provides that, "on 2 days' notice to the party who obtained the order without notice,—or on shorter notice set by the court—the adverse party may appear and move to dissolve or modify the order." Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b)(4).

14. Here, good cause exists for the Court to modify the TRO to grant Defendant access to the Board and to allow him to communicate with the Board regarding his Pot Plan. With the other TRO restrictions in place, the carving out of a limited exception for ready access to, and communication with, the Board on the terms established in the TRO protects the Debtor and the Board while allowing Defendant to continue his advocacy for a plan that may ultimately save the Debtor's business and provide a greater return to creditors and equity holders. Defendant regrets the prior communications made to the Board and, if the Court grants this Motion, agrees to limit his communication with the Board to matters relating to the affairs of Strand Advisors, Inc. ("<u>Strand</u>"), his Pot Plan, and the Debtor's reorganization.

15. Defendant is the sole owner of Strand, the entity for which the Board serves. As the owner of Strand (which, in turn, has an equity interest in the Debtor and controls its conduct), Defendant believes he has an interest in communicating with the Board and it would be equitable to grant him access to the Board to discuss matters relating to Debtor's reorganization, the terms of the Pot Plan, and the affairs of Strand.

16. Defendant will agree to any additional conditions or restrictions the Court may

impose in the event the Court decides to grant the limited relief requested by this Motion.

#### **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, Defendant respectfully requests that the Court enter an order (i) granting this Motion, (ii) modifying the TRO to provide Defendant access to the Board to communicate with them solely as to the affairs of Strand, the terms of the Pot Plan, and the Debtor's reorganization, unless otherwise ordered by the Court, and (iii) granting Defendant such other and further relief to which he may be justly entitled.

Dated: December 16, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ D. Michael Lynn D. Michael Lynn State Bar I.D. No. 12736500 John Y. Bonds. III State Bar I.D. No. 02589100 John T. Wilson, IV State Bar I.D. No. 24033344 Bryan C. Assink State Bar I.D. No. 24089009 BONDS ELLIS EPPICH SCHAFER JONES LLP 420 Throckmorton Street, Suite 1000 Fort Worth, Texas 76102 (817) 405-6900 telephone (817) 405-6902 facsimile Email: michael.lynn@bondsellis.com Email: john@bondsellis.com Email: john.wilson@bondsellis.com Email: bryan.assink@bondsellis.com

#### ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT JAMES DONDERO

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that, on December 16, 2020, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served via the Court's CM/ECF system on counsel for the Plaintiff and on all other parties requesting or consenting to such service in this case.

/s/ Bryan C. Assink Bryan C. Assink

#### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§	Case No. 19-34054
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.	§	Chapter 11
	§	
Debtor.	§	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff.	§	
	§	
v.	§	
	§	Adversary No. 20-03190
JAMES D. DONDERO,	§	-
·	§	
Defendant.	\$	

#### ORDER GRANTING JAMES DONDERO'S EMERGENCY MOTION TO MODIFY TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

Having considered James Dondero's Emergency Motion to Modify Temporary Restraining

Order (the "Motion")<sup>1</sup> filed by Defendant James Dondero ("Defendant"); and this Court having

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Motion.

#### Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 24-1 Filed 12/16/20 Entered 12/16/20 13:21:33 Page 2 of 2 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 22 of 243 PageID 366

jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and this Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that good and sufficient cause exists to grant the relief requested in the Motion; and this Court having found that the notice of the Motion and opportunity for a hearing on the Motion were appropriate under the circumstances and that no other notice need be provided; and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion establish good cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor and for the reasons set forth in the record on this Motion, it is **HEREBY ORDERED THAT**:

1. The Motion is **GRANTED** as set forth herein.

2. Subject to the terms of the TRO, Defendant may communicate directly with the Board solely as to the affairs of Strand, the terms of the Pot Plan, and the Debtor's reorganization.

3. The Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or relating to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.

#### ### END OF ORDER ###

Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 29 Filed 12/23/20 Entered 12/23/20 15:56:30 Page 1 of 2 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 23 of 243 PageID 367

D. Michael Lynn State Bar I.D. No. 12736500 John Y. Bonds, III State Bar I.D. No. 02589100 John T. Wilson, IV State Bar I.D. No. 24033344 Bryan C. Assink State Bar I.D. No. 24089009 BONDS ELLIS EPPICH SCHAFER JONES LLP 420 Throckmorton Street, Suite 1000 Fort Worth, Texas 76102 (817) 405-6900 telephone (817) 405-6902 facsimile

#### ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT JAMES DONDERO

#### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§ Case No.	. 19-34054
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.	§ § Chapter	11
HIGHLAND CAI HAL MANAGEMENI, L.I.	s Chapter §	11
Debtor.	§	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff.	§	
	§	
V.	§	
	§ Adversa	ary No. 20-03190
JAMES D. DONDERO,	§	
·	§	
Defendant.	\$	

#### **NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT James Dondero hereby WITHDRAWS the following

document:

1. Emergency Motion to Modify Temporary Restraining Order [Docket No. 24].

Dated: December 23, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Bryan C. Assink D. Michael Lynn State Bar I.D. No. 12736500 John Y. Bonds. III State Bar I.D. No. 02589100 John T. Wilson, IV State Bar I.D. No. 24033344 Bryan C. Assink State Bar I.D. No. 24089009 BONDS ELLIS EPPICH SCHAFER JONES LLP 420 Throckmorton Street, Suite 1000 Fort Worth, Texas 76102 (817) 405-6900 telephone (817) 405-6902 facsimile Email: michael.lynn@bondsellis.com Email: john@bondsellis.com Email: john.wilson@bondsellis.com Email: bryan.assink@bondsellis.com

#### ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT JAMES DONDERO

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that, on December 23, 2020, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served via the Court's CM/ECF system on counsel for the Plaintiff and on all other parties requesting or consenting to such service in this case.

/s/ Bryan C. Assink Bryan C. Assink Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 48 Filed 01/07/21 Entered 01/07/21 16:14:58 Page 1 of 5 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 25 of 243 PageID 369

#### PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) (admitted pro hac vice) Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084) (admitted pro hac vice) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 266326) (admitted pro hac vice) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (admitted pro hac vice) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (admitted pro hac vice) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760

HAYWARD PLLC

Melissa S. Hayward (TX Bar No. 24044908) MHayward@HaywardFirm.com Zachery Z. Annable (TX Bar No. 24053075) ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, TX 75231 Telephone: (972) 755-7100 Facsimile: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

#### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

\_\_\_\_\_

In re:	§ §	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., <sup>1</sup>	§ 8	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	s S	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§ §	
Plaintiff,	s S	Adversary Proceeding No.
vs.	8 8	No. 20-3190-sgj11
JAMES D. DONDERO,	s S S	
Defendant.	§	

# Appx. 22

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.

#### PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER REQUIRING MR. JAMES DONDERO TO SHOW CAUSE WHY HE SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CIVIL CONTEMPT FOR VIOLATING THE TRO

Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in the above-captioned adversary proceeding (the "<u>Adversary Proceeding</u>") and the debtor and debtor-in-possession (the "<u>Debtor</u>" or "<u>Highland</u>") in the above-captioned chapter 11 case ("<u>Bankruptcy Case</u>"), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this motion (the "<u>Motion</u>") seeking entry of an order requiring Mr. James Dondero (hereinafter, "<u>Mr. Dondero</u>") to show cause why he should not be held in civil contempt for violating the Court's *Order Granting Debtor's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order against James Dondero* (Adv. Pro. Docket No. 10) (the "<u>TRO</u>"). In support of the Motion, the Debtor respectfully states the following:

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

This Court has jurisdiction over the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and
 1334(b). The Motion is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(A) and (O).

2. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409.

3. The predicates for the relief requested in the Motion are sections 105(a) and 362(a) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "<u>Bankruptcy Code</u>") and Rules 7065 and 7001 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "<u>Bankruptcy Rules</u>").

#### **RELIEF REQUESTED**

4. The Debtor requests that this Court issue the proposed form of order to show cause, attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the "<u>Proposed Order</u>"), pursuant to sections 105(a) and 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Rules 7001 and 7065 of the Bankruptcy Rules.

5. The evidence and arguments supporting the Motion are set forth in the Debtor's *Memorandum of Law in Support of Its Motion for an Order Requiring Mr. James Dondero to* 

2

### **Appx. 23**

#### Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 48 Filed 01/07/21 Entered 01/07/21 16:14:58 Page 3 of 5 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 27 of 243 PageID 371

Show Cause Why He Should Not Be Held in Civil Contempt for Violating the TRO (the "<u>Memorandum of Law</u>"), and the Declaration of John A. Morris in Support of the Debtor's Motion for an Order Requiring Mr. James Dondero to Show Cause Why He Should Not Be Held in Civil Contempt for Violating the TRO (the "<u>Morris Declaration</u>"), and the exhibits annexed thereto, filed contemporaneously with this Motion. For the reasons set forth the Memorandum of Law, the Debtor requests that the Court (i) find and hold Mr. Dondero in contempt for violating the TRO; (ii) direct Mr. Dondero to produce to the Debtor and the UCC, within three days all financial statements and records of Dugaboy and Get Good for the last five years; (iii) direct Mr. Dondero to pay the Debtor's estate an amount of money equal to two times the Debtor's actual expenses incurred in bringing this Motion, payable within three calendar days of presentment of an itemized list of expenses; (iv) impose a penalty of three times the Debtor's actual expenses incurred in connection with any future violation of any order of this Court, and (v) grant the Debtor such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.

6. In accordance with Rule 7007-1 of the Local Bankruptcy Rules of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas (the "Local Rules"), contemporaneously herewith and in support of this Motion, the Debtor is filing: (a) its Memorandum of Law, (b) the Morris Declaration, and (c) the Debtor's Motion for Expedited Hearing on Motion for an Order Requiring Mr. James Dondero to Show Cause Why He Should Not Be Held in Civil Contempt for Violating the TRO (the "Motion to Expedite").

7. Based on the exhibits annexed to the Morris Declaration, and the arguments contained in the Memorandum of Law, the Debtor is entitled to the relief requested herein as set forth in the Proposed Order.

#### Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 48 Filed 01/07/21 Entered 01/07/21 16:14:58 Page 4 of 5 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 28 of 243 PageID 372

8. Notice of this Motion has been provided to Mr. Dondero. The Debtor submits that no other or further notice need be provided.

WHEREFORE, the Debtor respectfully requests that the Court (i) enter the Proposed Order substantially in the formed annexed hereto as **Exhibit A** granting the relief requested herein, and (ii) grant the Debtor such other and further relief as the Court may deem proper. Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 48 Filed 01/07/21 Entered 01/07/21 16:14:58 Page 5 of 5 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 29 of 243 PageID 373

Dated: January 7, 2021.

#### PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 266326) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760 Email: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com ikharasch@pszjlaw.com gdemo@pszjlaw.com

-and-

#### HAYWARD PLLC

/s/ Zachery Z. Annable Melissa S. Hayward Texas Bar No. 24044908 MHayward@HaywardFirm.com Zachery Z. Annable Texas Bar No. 24053075 ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Tel: (972) 755-7110 Fax: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 48-1 Filed 01/07/21 Entered 01/07/21 16:14:58 Page 1 of 4 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 30 of 243 PageID 374

# **EXHIBIT A**

#### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§ §	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., <sup>1</sup>	§ §	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	§ §	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§ 8	
Plaintiff,	ş Ş	Adversary Proceeding No.
VS.	8 8	No. 20-3190-sgj11
JAMES D. DONDERO,	5 8 8	
Defendant.	§	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.

#### ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR AN ORDER REQUIRING MR. JAMES DONDERO TO SHOW CAUSE WHY HE SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CIVIL <u>CONTEMPT FOR VIOLATING THE TRO</u>

Having considered (a) the Debtor's Motion for an Order Requiring Mr. James Dondero to Show Cause Why He Should Not Be Held in Civil Contempt for Violating the TRO [Docket No. \_\_] (the "Motion"); <sup>2</sup> (b) the *Debtor's Memorandum of Law in Support of Its Motion for an* Order Requiring Mr. James Dondero to Show Cause Why He Should Not Be Held in Civil Contempt for Violating the TRO [Docket No. \_] (the "Memorandum of Law"); (c) the exhibits annexed to the Declaration of John A. Morris in Support of the Debtor's Motion for an Order Requiring Mr. James Dondero to Show Cause Why He Should Not Be Held in Civil Contempt for *Violating the TRO* [Docket No. \_\_] (the "Morris Declaration"); and (d) all prior proceedings relating to this matter, including the December 10, 2020 hearing on the Debtor's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction against James Dondero [Docket No. 6] (the "TRO Hearing") and the hearing (the "Restriction Motion Hearing") on the Motion for Order Imposing Temporary Restrictions on Debtor's Ability, as Portfolio Manager, to Initiate Sales by Non-Debtor CLO Vehicles [Bankr. Case Docket No. 1528] that was brought by certain financial advisory firms and investment funds that are represented by the law firm K&L Gates (collectively, the "K&L Gates Clients"); and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and this Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that sanctions are warranted under sections 105(a) and 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

#### Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 48-1 Filed 01/07/21 Entered 01/07/21 16:14:58 Page 4 of 4 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 33 of 243 PageID 377

Debtor's estate, its creditors, and other parties-in-interest; and this Court having found that the Debtor's notice of the Motion and opportunity for a hearing on the Motion were appropriate under the circumstances and that no other notice need be provided; and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion establish good cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor and for the reasons set forth in the record on this Motion, it is **HEREBY ORDERED THAT**:

1. The Motion is **GRANTED** as set forth herein.

2. Mr. Dondero shall show cause before this Court on Friday, January 8, 2021 at 9:30 a.m. (Central Time) why an order should not be granted: (i) finding and holding Mr. Dondero in contempt for violating the TRO; (ii) directing Mr. Dondero to produce to the Debtor and the UCC within three days all financial statements and records of Dugaboy and Get Good for the last five years; (iii) directing Mr. Dondero to pay the Debtor's estate an amount of money equal to two times the Debtor's actual expenses incurred in bringing this Motion and addressing Mr. Dondero's conduct that lead to the imposition of the TRO and this Motion (*e.g.*, responding to the K&L Gates Clients' frivolous motion and related demands and threats and taking Mr. Dondero's deposition), payable within three (3) calendar days of presentment of an itemized list of expenses, (iv) imposing a penalty of three (3) times the Debtor's actual expenses incurred in connection with any future violation of any order of this Court, and (iv) granting the Debtor such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.

3. The Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or relating to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.

#### ### END OF ORDER ###

### **Appx. 30**

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 File	d 01/27/21 Page 34 of 243 PageID 378
1	FOR THE NORTH	STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT ERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS LAS DIVISION
2	ודעם	
3	In Re:	) Case No. 19-34054-sgj-11 ) Chapter 11
4	HIGHLAND CAPITAL	) ) Dallas, Texas
5	MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	) Friday, January 8, 2021 ) 9:30 a.m. Docket
6	Debtor.	) _)
7	HIGHLAND CAPITAL	) Adversary Proceeding 20-3190-sgj
8	MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	)
9	Plaintiff,	<pre></pre>
10	v.	)
11	JAMES D. DONDERO,	
12	Defendant.	) )
13		PT OF PROCEEDINGS
14		BLE STACEY G.C. JERNIGAN, ES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE.
15	WEBEX/TELEPHONIC APPEARANCE:	S:
16	For the Debtor/Plaintiff:	Jeffrey N. Pomerantz
17		PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES, LLP 10100 Santa Monica Blvd.,
18		13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067-4003
19		(310) 277-6910
20	For the Debtor/Plaintiff:	John A. Morris PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES, LLP
21		780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor New York, NY 10017-2024
22		(212) 561-7700
23		
24		
25		
2.5		
	App	x. 31

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 File	ed 01/27/21 Page 35 of 243 PageID 379 2
1	APPEARANCES, cont'd.:	
2	For James Dondero, Defendant:	D. Michael Lynn John Y. Bonds, III
3	berendanc.	Bryan C. Assink BONDS ELLIS EPPICH SCHAFER
4		JONES, LLP 420 Throckmorton Street,
5		Suite 1000 Fort Worth, TX 76102
6		(817) 405-6900
7 8	For the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors:	SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP One South Dearborn Street
9		Chicago, IL 60603 (312) 853-7539
10	For the Funds and Advisors:	Davor Rukavina MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C.
11	AUV15015.	500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, TX 75201-6659
12		(214) 855-7554
13	For Certain Employees:	Frances A. Smith ROSS & SMITH, P.C.
14 15		Plaza of the Americas 700 N. Pearl Street, Suite 1610 Dallas, TX 75201
16		(214) 593-4976
17	Recorded by:	Michael F. Edmond, Sr. UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 1100 Commerce Street, 12th Floor
18		Dallas, TX 75242 (214) 753-2062
19 20	Transcribed by:	Kathy Rehling
21		311 Paradise Cove Shady Shores, TX 76208 (972) 786-3063
22		(372) 700 3003
23		
24		
25		by electronic sound recording; d by transcription service.
	Арр	x. 32

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 36 of 243 PageID 380

3

1	DALLAS, TEXAS - JANUARY 8, 2021 - 9:41 A.M.
2	THE COURT: All right. We are here for Highland
3	Capital Management, L.P. versus James Dondero, a preliminary
4	injunction hearing. This is Adversary 20-3190.
5	All right. Let's start out by getting appearances from
6	counsel. First, for the Plaintiff/Debtor, who do we have
7	appearing?
8	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, John Morris; Pachulski Stang
9	Ziehl & Jones. I'm here with my partner, Jeff Pomerantz, and
10	others.
11	THE COURT: All right. Good morning. All right.
12	For Mr. Dondero, who do we have appearing?
13	MR. LYNN: Michael Lynn, together with John Bonds,
14	for Mr. Dondero.
15	THE COURT: Good morning.
16	All right. I know we have a lot of parties in interest
17	represented on the video or phone today. I'm not going to go
18	through a roll call, other than I'll see if we have the
19	Committee, the Unsecured Creditors' Committee counsel on the
20	line. Do we have anyone appearing for them?
21	MR. CLEMENTE: Yes, good morning, Your Honor.
22	Matthew Clemente from Sidley Austin on behalf of the
23	Committee.
24	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. All right.
25	MR. CLEMENTE: Thank you, Your Honor.

Аррх. 33

Ш

4

1	THE COURT: Well, as I said, I'm not going to do a
2	roll call. I don't think we had any specific parties in
3	interest, you know, file a pleading, or any other parties
4	other than the Debtor and Mr. Dondero in this adversary. So
5	I'll just let the others kind of listen in without appearing.
6	All right. Mr. Morris, are you going to start us off this
7	morning with, I don't know, an opening statement or any
8	housekeeping matters?
9	MR. MORRIS: I have both an opening statement and
10	housekeeping matters. I just wanted to see if Mr. Pomerantz
11	has anything he wants to convey to the Court before I begin.
12	MR. POMERANTZ: (garbled)
13	THE COURT: Mr. Pomerantz, if you could take your
14	device off mute, please.
15	THE CLERK: He's off mute. I don't know what
16	THE COURT: Okay. Well, we're showing you're not on
17	mute, but we can't hear you. What now?
18	THE CLERK: He's not on mute now. He's
19	THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Pomerantz.
20	(Pause.)
21	THE CLERK: He's not coming through.
22	THE COURT: We're you're not coming through, and
23	we're not sure what the problem is. We're not showing you on
24	mute.
25	(Pause.)

## Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 38 of 243 PageID 382

5

1	THE COURT: All right. Should we have him call back
2	in on his phone? All right. If you could, if you have a
3	phone, maybe you can try calling in on your phone and speak
4	through your phone, not your computer.
5	MR. MORRIS: You know what, Your Honor? I'm going to
6	proceed, and Mr. Pomerantz will address the Court at the
7	conclusion of the hearing on the motion.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Very good. We usually hear him
9	loud and clear, so I don't know what's going on this morning.
10	Go ahead, Mr. Morris.
11	OPENING STATEMENT ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF
12	MR. MORRIS: Yes. Thank you very much, Your Honor.
13	John Morris; Pachulski Stang; for the Debtor.
14	We are here this morning, Your Honor, on the Debtor's
15	motion for preliminary injunction against Mr. Dondero. We
16	filed last night also an emergency motion for an order to show
17	cause as to why this Court should not hold Mr. Dondero in
18	contempt of court
19	THE COURT: All right.
20	MR. MORRIS: for violating a previously-issued
21	TRO.
22	THE COURT: Yes. Let me just interject, in case
23	there's any confusion by anyone. I am not going to hear the
24	motion for show cause order this morning. While I understand
25	you think there might be some efficiency and overlap in

## Аррх. 35

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 39 of 243 PageID 383

Ш

6

1	evidence, it's not enough notice. So we'll talk about
2	scheduling that at the end of the presentations this morning.
3	All right?
4	MR. MORRIS: Thank you for addressing that, Your
5	Honor.
6	THE COURT: Okay.
7	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, then let's just proceed
8	right to the preliminary injunction motion. There is ample
9	evidence to support the Debtor's motion for a preliminary
10	injunction. There would have been substantial evidence to
11	support it based on the conduct that occurred prior to the
12	issuance of the TRO, but the conduct that did occur following
13	the TRO only emphasizes the urgent need for an injunction in
14	this case.
15	I want to begin by just telling Your Honor what evidence
16	we intend to introduce here today. We filed at Docket 46 in
17	the adversary proceeding our witness and exhibit list. The
18	exhibit list contains Exhibits A through Y. And at the
19	appropriate time, I will move for the admission into evidence
20	of those exhibits.
21	The exhibit list and the witness list also identifies
22	three witnesses for today. Mr. Dondero. Mr. Dondero is here
23	today. Notwithstanding Your Honor's comments on December 10th
24	and on December 16th, when I deposed him on Tuesday he was
25	unsure whether he was going to come here today to testify.

## Аррх. 36

7

And he will inform Your Honor of that on cross-examination.
 And so the Debtor was forced to prepare and serve a subpoena
 to make sure that he was here today. But Mr. Dondero is here
 today.

5 Following the conclusion of Mr. Dondero's deposition on 6 Tuesday, and based in part on the evidence adduced during that 7 deposition, the Debtor terminated for cause Scott Ellington and Isaac Leventon. We had asked counsel for those former 8 9 employees to accept service of a trial subpoena so that they 10 would appear today. We were told that they would do so if we gave them a copy of the transcript of Mr. Dondero's 11 12 deposition.

13 We thought that was inappropriate and we declined to do 14 so, and they declined to accept service of the subpoenas. We 15 have spent two days with a professional process server 16 attempting to effectuate service of the trial subpoenas for 17 Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon, but we were unsuccessful in 18 doing that. So we'll only have one witness today, unless we 19 have cause to call anybody on rebuttal, and that witness will 20 be Mr. Dondero.

I want to talk for a few moments as to what Mr. Dondero will testify to and what the evidence will show. Mr. Dondero will testify that he never read the TRO, Your Honor. He will testify that he didn't participate in the motion on the hearing for the TRO, that he never read Mr. Seery's

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 41 of 243 PageID 385

8

1 declaration in support of the Debtor's motion for the TRO, 2 that he never bothered to read the transcript of the 3 proceedings on December 10th so that he could understand the 4 evidence that was being used against him. He had no knowledge 5 of the terms of the TRO when he was deposed on Tuesday. And that's the backdrop of what we're doing here today, 6 7 because he didn't know what he was enjoined from doing, other 8 than speaking to employees. He actually did testify and he 9 will testify that he knew he wasn't supposed to speak with the 10 Debtor's employees, but he spoke with the Debtor's employees 11 in all kinds of ways, as the evidence will show. 12 The evidence will also show that Mr. Dondero violated the 13 TRO by throwing away the cell phone that the company bought and paid for after the TRO was entered into. He's going to be 14 15 unable to tell you who threw it away. He's going to be unable 16 to tell you who gave the order to throw it away. He's going 17 to be unable to tell you when after the TRO was entered the 18 phone was thrown away. 19 But we do have as one fact and as I believe one violation 20 of the TRO --21 MR. POMERANTZ: So, I'm on a WebEx. 22 MR. MORRIS: Jeff, --23 THE COURT: Mr. Pomerantz, we heard you. We heard 24 you say something. So, apparently, you got your audio 25 working.

9

1	All right. Mr. Morris, continue.
2	MR. MORRIS: Yeah. And what Mr. Dondero may tell
3	you, Your Honor, is that it's really Mr. Seery's fault that
4	the phone got thrown away, because Mr. Seery announced that
5	all of the employees were going to be terminated at the end of
6	January, and because Mr. Seery did that, he and I believe Mr.
7	Ellington thought it was appropriate to just throw their
8	phones away, without getting the Debtor's consent, without
9	informing the Debtor, and switching the phone numbers that
10	were in the Debtor's account to their own personal names. So
11	that's Item No. 1.
12	Item No. 2 and this is in no particular order, Your
13	Honor. I don't want you to think that I'm bringing these
14	things up in terms of priority. But they're just the order in
15	which they came up in the deposition, and so I'm just
16	following it as well.
17	Item No. 2 is trespass. On December 22nd, you will hear
18	evidence that Mr. Dondero personally intervened to yet again
19	stop trades that Mr. Seery was trying to effectuate in his
20	capacity as portfolio managers of the CLOs. He did that just
21	six days after Your Honor dismissed as frivolous a motion
22	brought by the very Advisors and Funds that he owns and
23	controls.
24	Therefore, the very next day, the Debtor sent him a
25	letter, sent through counsel a letter, evicting him from the

## Аррх. 39

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 43 of 243 PageID 387

10

premises, demanding the return of the phone, and telling him
 that he had to be out by December 30th.

I was stunned, Your Honor, stunned, when I took his deposition on Tuesday and he was sitting in Highland's offices. He hadn't asked for permission to be there. He hadn't obtained consent to be there. But he just doesn't care what the Debtor has to say here. He just doesn't.

8 I don't know when he got there or when he left. I don't 9 know if he spoke to anybody while he was there. But he just 10 took it upon himself to show up in the Debtor's office, 11 notwithstanding the very explicit eviction notice that he got 12 on December 23rd.

13 Mr. Dondero, as I mentioned, clearly violated the TRO by 14 knowingly and intentionally and purposely interfering with the 15 Debtor's trading as the portfolio manager of the CLOs. This has just gone on too long. There have been multiple hearings 16 17 on this matter, but he doesn't care. So he gave the order to 18 stop trades that Mr. Seery had effectuated. That's a clear 19 violation of the TRO, and it certainly supports the imposition 20 of a preliminary injunction.

Mr. Seery -- Mr. Dondero is going to testify that multiple letters -- that I'm going to refer to them, Your Honor, as the K&L Gates Parties, and those are the two Advisors and the three investment funds and CLO Holdco that are all owned and/ or controlled by Mr. Dondero -- after that hearing on the

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 44 of 243 PageID 388

11

1 16th, K&L Gates, the K&L Gates Parties sent not one, not two, 2 but three separate letters. They said they may take steps to 3 terminate the CLO management agreements. After we evicted Mr. 4 Dondero, sent a letter suggesting that we would be held liable 5 for damages because we were interfering with their business. And Mr. Dondero is going to tell you, Your Honor, that he 6 7 encouraged the sending of those letters, that he approved of 8 those letters, that he thought those letters were the right 9 things to send to the Debtor, even after -- even with the knowledge of what happened on December 16th. 10

11 He's going to tell you he knew about that hearing and he 12 still, he still approves of those letters, and never bothered 13 to exercise his control to have those letters withdrawn upon the Debtor's request. We asked them to withdraw it, and when 14 15 they wouldn't do it, Your Honor, that's what prompted the 16 filing of yet another adversary proceeding. And we're going to have another TRO hearing next Wednesday because they won't 17 18 stop.

Next, a preliminary injunction should issue because Mr.
Dondero violated the TRO by communicating with the Debtor's
employees to coordinate their legal strategy against the
Debtor. The evidence will show, in documents and in
testimony, that on December 12th, while he was prohibited from
speaking to any employee except in the context of shared
services, you're going to see the documents and you're going

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 45 of 243 PageID 389

12

to hear the evidence that on December 12th Scott Ellington was
 actively involved in identifying a witness to support Mr.
 Dondero's interests at the December 16th hearing.

You will receive evidence that on December 15th Mr.
Ellington and Mr. Leventon collaborated with Mr. Dondero's
lawyers to prepare a common interest agreement.

You will hear evidence that on the next day, December 16th, the day of that hearing, that Mr. Dondero solicited Mr. Ellington's help to coordinate all of the lawyers representing Mr. Dondero's interests, telling Mr. Ellington that he needed to show leadership, and Mr. Ellington readily agreed to do just that.

You will hear evidence that on December 23rd Mr. Ellington and Grant Scott communicated in connection with calls that were being scheduled with Mr. Dondero and with K&L Gates, the very K&L Gates Clients who filed the frivolous motion that was heard on December 16th and that persisted in sending multiple letters threatening the Debtor thereafter.

You will hear evidence that late in December Mr. Dondero sought contact information for Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon's lawyer, and he will tell you that he did it for the explicit purpose of advancing their mutual shared interest agreement, while they were employed by the Debtor. While they were employed by the Debtor.

25

Finally, you will hear evidence, and it will not be

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 46 of 243 PageID 390

13

1 disputed, you will see the evidence, it's on the documents, 2 that Mr. Dondero personally intervened to stop the Debtor from 3 producing the financial statements of Get Good and Dugaboy, 4 two entities that he controls, that the U.C.C. had been asking 5 for for some time, that the Debtor had been asking of its employees for some time to produce. And it was only when we 6 7 got, frankly, the discovery from Mr. Dondero when there's a 8 text message that says, Not without a subpoena.

9 The documents are on the Debtor's system. We just don't 10 know where they are because they're hidden someplace. But Mr. 11 Dondero knows where they are. He can certainly force -- he 12 can certainly get them produced. And one of the things we'll 13 be asking for when we seek the contempt motion is the 14 production of those very documents.

So, Your Honor, that's what the evidence is going to show. I don't think there's going to be any question that a preliminary injunction ought to issue. But I do want to spend just a few minutes rebutting some of the assertions made in the filing by Mr. Dondero last night.

Of course, they offer no evidence. There is no declaration. There is no document. There is merely argument. It's been that way throughout this case. For a year, Mr. Dondero has never stood before Your Honor to tell you why something was wrong being done to him, why -- he hasn't offered to be here at all, and he's here today, again, only

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 47 of 243 PageID 391

1 because he got a subpoena. That's the only reason we know
2 he's here today.

3 So let's just spend a few minutes talking about the 4 assertions made in the document last night. Mr. Dondero 5 complains about the scope of the injunction, and I say to myself, in all seriousness, Are you kidding me? You didn't 6 7 even read the TRO and you're going to be concerned about what 8 the scope of the injunction is? You didn't even have enough 9 respect for the Court to read the TRO and we're going to worry 10 about the scope of some future injunction? Doesn't make any 11 sense to me.

But let's talk about the specific arguments that they make.

14 Third parties. They're concerned that somehow third 15 parties don't have notice of the injunction. Your Honor, 16 third parties are not impacted by the injunction. The only 17 third parties that are impacted by the injunction are those 18 that are owned and/or controlled by Mr. Dondero. If he 19 doesn't tell them, that's his breach of duty. He created the 20 Byzantine empire of over 2,000 entities, and he wants the 21 Debtor to have the burden of notifying all of them so that 22 they can all come in here and make 2,000 arguments as to why 23 they shouldn't be enjoined?

He owns and controls them. They are the only third parties who are impacted by this proposed preliminary 14

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 48 of 243 PageID 392

15

injunction, and he has the responsibility, he has the duty to
 inform them, because he owns and controls them.

We know of the K&L Gates Parties. We know Get Good and Dugaboy are in this courtroom. We know CLO Holdco. So many of these parties have been so -- they're on the phone now. They don't have notice? It is insulting, frankly, to suggest that the Debtor somehow has some obligation to figure out who Mr. Dondero owns and controls. He should know that. That's number one.

10 Number two, there is a statement in there about employees 11 and how he should be able to speak with them about personal 12 and routine matters. As to that, Your Honor, he has forfeited 13 that opportunity. He cannot be trusted. There cannot be any communication because nobody can police it. And so we think a 14 15 complete bar to any discussion with any employee, except as it 16 relates to shared services -- because we do have a contractual 17 obligation; that's what was in it -- ought to be barred. 18 That's number one.

Number two, there's a reference in the objection to Mr.
Dondero's personal assistant. I'd like to know who that is,
Your Honor. I wasn't aware that he still was using a personal
assistant at the Debtor. I want to know specifically who that
is. I don't know that they -- you know, I just -- we need to
cut that off. And he should not be communicating with any
employee. The Debtor should not be paying for his personal

16

1 assistant.

It's offensive to think that he's still doing that,
particularly after he was terminated or his resignation was
requested back in October precisely because his interests were
adverse to the Debtor.

Number three, he's concerned that the Debtor is somehow 6 7 preventing him from speaking to former employees. We now 8 know, Your Honor, that that's a, I'm sure, a very specific 9 reference to Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon. Right? He wants 10 a green light to be able to do that. And you know, I'll leave 11 it to Your Honor as to whether that's appropriate. I'll leave 12 it to their counsel as to whether, going forward, colluding 13 together against the Debtor at this point in time is in 14 anybody's best interest. But I will -- what I will demand in 15 the preliminary injunction is a very explicit statement that 16 Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon are not to share any 17 confidential or privileged information that they received in 18 their capacity as general counsel and assistant general 19 counsel of the Debtor.

The pot plan. He's afraid somehow the order is going to prevent him from pursuing the pot plan. He's had over a year to pursue this pot plan, Your Honor. Frankly, I don't, you know, I don't know what to say. He has never made a proposal that has gotten any traction with the only people who matter. And it's not the Debtor. It's the creditors. It's the

17

1	Creditors' Committee.
2	If you want to put in an exception that he can call Matt
3	Clemente, I don't mean to put this on Mr. Clemente, he can
4	decide whether or not that's appropriate, but the creditors
5	are the only ones who matter here. Your Honor, it's not the
6	Debtor.
7	And I'll let Mr. Dondero's counsel explain to Your Honor
8	why he thinks he still needs to pursue a pot plan, and Your
9	Honor can decide. I trust Your Honor to decide what
10	boundaries and what guardrails might be appropriate for him to
11	continue to pursue his pot plan.
12	That's all I have, Your Honor. Not much.
13	THE COURT: All right.
14	MR. MORRIS: But I think there's going to be
15	there's going to be an awful lot of evidence. This is going
16	to be a lengthy examination. I ask the Court for your
17	patience.
18	THE COURT: I've got
19	MR. MORRIS: But that's all I have.
20	THE COURT: I've got all day, if we need it.
21	MR. MORRIS: Okay.
22	THE COURT: I hope we don't, but I've got all day if
23	we need it. All right.
24	MR. MORRIS: That's what I have, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dondero's counsel, your

## Аррх. 47

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 51 of 243 PageID 395 18 1 opening statement? 2 MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I would reserve my opening 3 statement to the end of the hearing. 4 I would also point out that anything that Mr. Morris just 5 said was not evidence, and we think that the evidence will show completely differently than argued or articulated by Mr. 6 7 Morris. THE COURT: All right. 8 9 MR. BONDS: That's all. 10 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bonds. 11 Mr. Morris, you may call your witness. 12 MR. MORRIS: The Debtor calls James Dondero. 13 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dondero, this is Judge Jernigan. I would ask you to say, "Testing, one, two," so we 14 15 pick up your video so I can swear you in. All right. Mr. Dondero, if you're speaking up, we're not 16 17 hearing you, so please make sure you're unmuted and have your 18 video --19 (Echoing.) 20 MR. DONDERO: Hello. One, two. 21 THE COURT: Okay. We got you. 22 MR. DONDERO: One, two three. 23 THE COURT: We got you now. 24 JAMES D. DONDERO, PLAINTIFF'S WITNESS, SWORN 25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 52 of 243 PageID 396 Dondero - Direct 19 1 Mr. Morris, go ahead. 2 MR. MORRIS: Thank you, Your Honor. 3 (Echoing.) 4 THE COURT: I'm going to ask everyone except Mr. 5 Dondero and Mr. Morris to put your device on mute. We're 6 getting a little distortion. 7 All right. Go ahead. DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 9 BY MR. MORRIS: 10 Good morning, Mr. Dondero. Can you hear me? Q 11 А Yes. 12 (Echoing.) 13 THE COURT: Ooh. Okay. We're having a little echo 14 when you speak, Mr. Dondero. Do you have -- well, first, you 15 have headphones. That always helps. 16 (Echoing.) THE COURT: Okay. That may help as well. 17 18 (Pause.) 19 THE COURT: Okay. Let's try again. If you could 20 say, "Testing, one, two." 21 THE WITNESS: Is that better? 22 THE COURT: That is better, yes. 23 All right. Go ahead. 24 THE WITNESS: Okay. Great. 25 MR. MORRIS: Thank you. **Appx. 49** 

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 53 of 243 PageID 397 Dondero - Direct 20
1	BY MR. MORRIS:
2	Q Can you hear me, Mr. Dondero?
3	A You're a bit faint. Give me one second. Okay. Got you.
4	Q Okay. Thank you. Who is in the room with you right now?
5	A Bonds, Lynn, and a tech.
6	A VOICE: Bryan Assink.
7	THE WITNESS: Oh, is Assink here? Oh, okay, I'm
8	sorry. All right. I'm sorry. Bonds, Lynn, and Bryan Assink.
9	BY MR. MORRIS:
10	Q Okay. You're testifying today pursuant to a subpoena,
11	correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q Okay.
14	MR. MORRIS: And Your Honor, that subpoena can be
15	found at Docket No. 44 in the adversary proceeding.
16	THE COURT: All right.
17	BY MR. MORRIS:
18	Q In the absence of a subpoena, in the absence of a
19	subpoena, you didn't know if you would show up to testify at
20	this hearing; is that right?
21	A I I do what my counsel directs me to do, and I didn't
22	know at that time whether they would direct me to come or not.
23	Q Okay. And when I when I deposed you earlier this week,
24	you agreed that you may or may not testify; is that right?
25	A It depends on what counsel instructs me to do, correct. I
	A

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 54 of 243 PageID 398 Dondero - Direct 21
1	didn't know at the time.
2	Q Okay. And you didn't mention anything about counsel when
3	I asked you the questions earlier this week, correct?
4	A That was the undertone in almost all my answers, that I
5	relied on counsel.
6	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, I move to strike. I'm
7	asking very specific questions. And if I need to go to the
8	deposition transcript, I'm happy to do that.
9	THE COURT: All
10	MR. MORRIS: Just going forward, Your Honor, this is
11	cross-examination. It's really yes or no at this point.
12	That's what I would request, anyway.
13	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Dondero, do you
14	understand
15	(Echoing.)
16	THE COURT: Do you understand what Mr. Morris was
17	raising there? We really need you to give specific answers
18	and usually they're going to be yes or no answers to Mr.
19	Morris's questioning. Okay? So let's try again. Mr. Morris,
20	go ahead.
21	THE WITNESS: Yeah.
22	BY MR. MORRIS:
23	Q Mr. Dondero, you're aware that Judge Jernigan granted the
24	Debtor's request for a TRO against you on December 10th,
25	correct?
	Appx. 51

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 55 of 243 PageID 399 Dondero - Direct 22
1	A Yes.
2	Q But you never reviewed the declaration that Mr. Seery
3	filed in support of the Debtor's motion for a TRO, correct?
4	A I relied on counsel.
5	Q Sir, you never reviewed the declaration that Mr. Seery
6	filed in support of the Debtor's motion for a TRO, correct?
7	A Correct.
8	Q You didn't even know the substance of what Mr. Seery
9	alleged in his declaration at the time that I deposed you on
10	Tuesday, correct?
11	A Correct.
12	Q And that's because you didn't even think about the fact
13	that the Debtor was seeking a TRO against you; isn't that
14	right?
15	A No.
16	Q That's not right?
17	A No.
18	Q All right.
19	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, could I ask my assistant,
20	Ms. Canty, to put up on the screen what had been designated as
21	the Debtor's Exhibit Z in connection with the motion for
22	contempt? Exhibit Z is the transcript from Tuesday's hearing.
23	THE COURT: All right.
24	MR. MORRIS: And I would like to I'd like to
25	cross-examine Mr. Dondero on his testimony on Tuesday.

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 56 of 243 PageID 400
	Dondero - Direct 23
1	THE COURT: All right. You may.
2	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Page 15, please? And go
3	to Lines 15 through 17.
4	BY MR. MORRIS:
5	Q Sir, you recall being deposed on Tuesday by my by me,
6	correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. Did you hear this question and did you hear this
9	answer?
10	"Q Did you care that the Debtor was seeking a TRO
11	against you?
12	"A I didn't think about it."
13	Q Is that is that your testimony from the other day?
14	A Yes.
15	Q You didn't dial in to the hearing when the Court
16	considered the Debtor's motion for a TRO against you, did you?
17	A I I don't recall. I don't think so.
18	Q You never read the transcript in order to understand what
19	took place in this courtroom when Judge Jernigan decided to
20	enter a TRO against you; isn't that right?
21	A I relied on counsel, which has been my testimony all
22	along.
23	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Page 13 of the transcript,
24	please? Beginning at Line 24.
25	BY MR. MORRIS:
	Appx. 53

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 57 of 243 PageID 401 Dondero - Direct 24
1	Q (reading)
2	"Q Did you read a transcript of the hearing?
3	"A No."
4	Q Did you testify on Tuesday that you did not read a
5	transcript of the hearing?
6	A Yes.
7	Q In fact, as of at least last Tuesday, you hadn't even
8	bothered to read the TRO that this Court entered against you.
9	Isn't that right?
10	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I'm going to object.
11	(Echoing.)
12	THE COURT: Okay. We're getting that echo from you
13	now, Mr. Bonds. So maybe you need to turn your volume down a
14	little. But what is the basis for your objection?
15	(Echoing.)
16	MR. BONDS: Leading and rhetorical.
17	MR. MORRIS: I think it's because they're in the same
18	room.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Do you have I don't know what
20	you're doing. I guess you're moving to a different room?
21	MR. BONDS: I am, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	(Echoing.)
24	THE COURT: Okay. I'm waiting for the objection
25	basis.
	Appx. 54

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 58 of 243 PageID 402 Dondero - Direct 25 1 MR. BONDS: The basis of the objection, Your Honor, is that --2 3 (Echoing.) 4 THE COURT: Okay. We're going to have to do 5 something different here. We can't have this issue for the 6 entire hearing. Do you need to get a tech person in there, or 7 maybe call in on your phone? I don't know. 8 MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I'm going into the conference 9 room. 10 (Pause.) 11 THE COURT: Okay. Are we going to try again here? 12 MR. BONDS: Yes. Is this working? 13 THE COURT: Yes. MR. BONDS: Perfect. Your Honor, my objection is 14 15 that Mr. Dondero has already testified that he relied on his 16 lawyers. I don't know where Mr. Morris is going with this, 17 but it's pretty clear that Mr. Dondero simply relies on his 18 lawyers to tell him what happened. I don't know that that's 19 that different than any other layperson. 20 MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, if this is --21 THE COURT: Well, --22 MR. MORRIS: If I may? 23 THE COURT: Yes. 24 MR. MORRIS: I believe it's terribly relevant to know 25 how seriously Mr. Dondero takes this Court and this Court's **Appx. 55** 

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 59 of 243 PageID 403 Dondero - Direct 26
1	proceedings and this Court's orders. If the Court decides
2	that it doesn't matter whether or not he read the transcript,
3	you're the fact-finder and you'll make that decision. But I
4	believe it's at least relevant.
5	THE COURT: Okay. I agree and I overrule the
6	objection.
7	Go ahead.
8	BY MR. MORRIS:
9	Q Mr. Dondero, as of at least Tuesday, you never bothered to
10	read the TRO that was entered against you, correct?
11	A I'm sorry. We're dealing with some tech stuff here for a
12	second. Can you repeat the question?
13	Q Yes.
14	(Echoing.)
15	Q As of Tuesday, you had not bothered to read the TRO that
16	was entered against you?
17	(Echoing.)
18	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, can we take a break? I
19	can't do this. I just
20	THE COURT: Okay. I agree. Okay. Mr. Bonds, what
21	do we need to do to fix these technical problems? Do I need
22	to get my IT guy in here and help you? This is terrible.
23	This connection is terrible. And I understand people have
24	technical problems sometimes, but we've been doing these video
25	hearings since March, so

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 60 of 243 PageID 404 Dondero - Direct 27 1 MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I have simply gone to another 2 conference room. The Debtor (garbled) I think that Mr. 3 Dondero should be fine. 4 THE COURT: Okay. I don't know what you said except 5 that you think Mr. Dondero should be fine. I --6 MR. MORRIS: Is there anybody in that room with a 7 cell phone on, Mr. Dondero? 8 THE WITNESS: No. 9 MR. BONDS: And I'm completely over in --10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. MORRIS: Can I try and proceed? 12 THE COURT: Try to proceed. 13 MR. MORRIS: Okay. 14 (Echoing.) 15 BY MR. MORRIS: Mr. Dondero, as of Tuesday you only had a general view of 16 Q 17 what this Court restrained you from doing; is that correct? 18 (Echoing.) 19 MR. MORRIS: I'd still -- I -- there's too much 20 noise, Your Honor. I can't do it. 21 THE COURT: Okay. We're going to take a five-minute 22 Mr. Bonds, can you get a technical person there to break. 23 work through these problems? And Mike, let's get Bruce up here to --24 25 THE CLERK: It's because they're in the same room. **Appx. 57** 

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 61 of 243 PageID 405 Dondero - Direct 28 1 That's the problem. 2 THE COURT: They're -- they're --3 THE CLERK: Judge Jernigan, this is Traci. Bruce is 4 on his way up there. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. Mike, explain it to me, because I don't understand. 6 7 You're saying if they have two devices on in the same room? 8 THE CLERK: The same -- that's the problem. They're 9 so close. And they're trying to use the same device, give it 10 back to you. 11 A VOICE: He has a phone on in the room. 12 MR. MORRIS: I asked that question. 13 THE COURT: Okay. 14 MR. MORRIS: Please instruct the witness to exclude 15 everybody from the room, to turn off all electronic devices except the device that's being used for this (garbled). At 16 17 least have --18 THE COURT: All right. So, the consensus of more 19 technical people than me is you've got two devices on in the 20 same room and that's what's causing the distortion and echo. 21 So I don't know if it's somebody's phone that needs to be 22 turned off or if you have two iPads or laptops. 23 (Court confers with Clerk.) 24 (Pause.) 25 MR. BONDS: I think I'm unmuted. Can people hear me?

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 62 of 243 PageID 406 29 Dondero - Direct 1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 (Pause.) 3 Okay. Bruce, can you walk their office THE COURT: 4 through? They have, I think, two devices in the same room. 5 It's a horrible echo. So, Mr. Bonds or some --MR. BONDS: Yes, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: We have a lawyer and the lawyer's client who is testifying right now in the same room. 8 9 I.T. STAFF: Uh-huh. 10 THE COURT: And --11 I.T. STAFF: Yeah. Yeah. Because -- is one a call-12 in user on a telephone? 13 THE COURT: I don't know. I don't --14 I.T. STAFF: Yeah. Whatever's coming -- the audio is 15 feeding back in. They need to separate if they're both on. 16 Or just use one and the attorney can slide over and the client 17 can --18 THE COURT: Okay. 19 I.T. STAFF: -- go in his place. Just use one --20 THE COURT: Our IT person is confirming what everyone 21 else has been saying, that you really can only have one device 22 in the same room. It's just unavoidable, the echoing. 23 I.T. STAFF: Unless everybody has --24 THE COURT: Unless everyone has headphones on. 25 I.T. STAFF: Right.

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 63 of 243 PageID 407

Dondero - Direct

30

1 THE COURT: So we either need everyone to have 2 headphones on, or one device in the room. And you all, 3 awkward as it is, just have to share. Or I guess you could 4 have two laptops, but one person has to --5 I.T. STAFF: Has to have a headset. THE COURT: Has to --6 7 I.T. STAFF: Because the other one, the audio is going to be feeing into the microphone of the other one. 8 9 THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Bonds, I don't know if you've heard any of that, but --10 11 THE CLERK: He needs to unmute himself. 12 THE COURT: You're on mute, Mr. Bonds. 13 MR. BONDS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I'm going to sit 14 next to Mr. Dondero and answer any questions that may come up. 15 THE COURT: Okay. 16 MR. BONDS: If any objections --17 THE COURT: Okay. So we're going to have one device? 18 MR. BONDS: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Let's try again. 20 Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Morris. BY MR. MORRIS: 21 22 Mr. Dondero, is Mr. Ellington listening to this hearing? Q 23 THE COURT: I didn't hear you, Mr. Morris. What? 24 BY MR. MORRIS: 25 Mr. Dondero, is Mr. Ellington listening to this hearing? 0

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 64 of 243 PageID 408 Dondero - Direct 31
1	A I have no idea.
2	Q Is Mr. Leventon listening to this hearing?
3	A I have no idea. I haven't spoken with him.
4	Q Okay. So let's try again. At least as of today, you
5	never bothered to read the TRO that was entered against you,
6	correct?
7	A Correct.
8	Q As of Tuesday, you only had a general understanding of
9	what the Court restrained you from doing, correct?
10	(Echoing.)
11	A I had an adequate understanding.
12	Q You had a what?
13	A Adequate understanding.
14	Q Your understanding
15	A VOICE: Your Honor?
16	BY MR. MORRIS:
17	Q was that you were prohibited from speaking to the
18	Debtor's board without counsel and from speaking to the
19	Debtor's employees; is that right?
20	A No.
21	Q Okay.
22	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Page 13, Line 8, please?
23	BY MR. MORRIS:
24	Q Were you asked this question and did you give this answer?
25	"Q Tell me your understanding of what the temporary
	Appx. 61

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 65 of 243 PageID 409 Dondero - Direct 32
1	restraining order restrains you from doing.
2	"A To talk to Independent Board directly or talking
3	directly with employees.
4	"Q Is there any other aspect of the temporary
5	restraining order that you're aware of that would
6	otherwise constrain or restrain your conduct?
7	"A Those are the points I (garbled)."
8	Q Did you give those answers to the questions that I asked?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And even with that general understanding, you went ahead
11	and communicated directly (garbled) employees many, many, many
12	times after the TRO was entered?
13	A Only with regard to shared services, pot plan, and
14	Ellington, the settlement counsel.
15	Q Does the restraining order permit you to speak with
16	Debtor's employees about the pot plan?
17	(Echoing.)
18	THE COURT: Mr. Morris, let me stop.
19	MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I appreciate that, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Even
21	MR. MORRIS: It's not working.
22	THE COURT: Even your sound is not coming through
23	clearly. And I think it's the echo coming out of their
24	speakers, Mr. Dondero and Mr. Bonds' speakers. But before we
25	conclude that, would you turn off your video and ask your

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 66 of 243 PageID 410 Dondero - Direct 33 1 question again and see if it's any better, just to confirm 2 it's not a bandwidth issue on your end? I doubt it is, but --3 okay. So, try asking your question again, and I'm going to 4 see if it's still distorted. BY MR. MORRIS: 5 6 There's nothing in the TRO that permitted you to speak 7 with Debtor employees about the pot plan, correct? 8 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Morris, it's not at your end. 9 It's -- it's their end. Okay. So you can turn your video back on. 10 11 Mr. Bonds? 12 MR. BONDS: Yes, ma'am. 13 THE COURT: You all are going to have to use earbuds, 14 apparently. We're getting -- we're getting a feedback loop, 15 okay? Whenever Mr. Morris talks or I talk, we're hearing ourselves echo through your speakers. 16 17 MR. BONDS: Can you check right now to see if it's 18 true, if we're experiencing the same problem? 19 THE WITNESS: In other words, is this better? We 20 unplugged the cord here. 21 THE COURT: Well, when you all speak, it's -- it's 22 better now. But when --23 MR. MORRIS: It is better. 24 THE COURT: But when Mr. Morris asks a question, it's 25 echoing through your speakers. But I don't hear myself **Appx. 63** 

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 67 of 243 PageID 411
	Dondero - Direct 34
1	echoing through your speakers.
2	I.T. STAFF: Can Mr. Morris say something, please?
3	THE COURT: Mr. Morris, say something.
4	MR. MORRIS: They may have solved the problem. They
5	may have solved the problem. How's that?
6	THE COURT: Okay. I think the problem is solved,
7	whatever you did, so let's try once again.
8	Go ahead, Mr. Morris. Repeat your last question. I
9	didn't hear it.
10	BY MR. MORRIS:
11	Q Mr. Dondero, the temporary restraining order doesn't
12	permit you to speak with the Debtor's employees about a pot
13	plan; isn't that right?
14	A There was a presentation on the pot plan given to the
15	Independent Board after the restraining order was put in
16	place. What are you implying, that that wasn't proper?
17	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, I move to strike. It's a
18	very simple question.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Sustained. If you could just
20	answer the specific question, Mr. Dondero.
21	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
22	BY MR. MORRIS:
23	Q Fair enough. Sir, let's talk about some of the events
24	that led up to the imposition of the TRO. I appreciate the
25	fact that you hadn't read Mr. Seery's declaration or any of
	Аррх. 64

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 68 of 243 PageID 412 Dondero - Direct 35
1	the evidence that was submitted in connection with the TRO, so
2	let's spend some time talking about that now. CLO stands for
3	Collateralized Loan Obligation, correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And the Debtor is party to certain contracts that give it
6	the exclusive right and responsibility to manage certain CLOs,
7	correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q NexPoint Advisors, LP is an advisory firm. Do I have that
10	right?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And we can refer to that, that firm, as NexPoint; is that
13	fair?
14	A Yes.
15	Q You have a direct or indirect ownership interest in
16	NexPoint, correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q You're the president of NexPoint; isn't that right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And as the president of NexPoint, it's fair to say that
21	you control that entity, correct?
22	A To a certain extent.
23	Q Sir, as the president of NexPoint, it's fair to say that
24	you control that entity, correct?
25	A To a certain extent.
	Аррх. 65

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 69 of 243 PageID 413 Dondero - Direct 36
1	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Page 18 of the transcript,
2	please? Lines 19 and 21.
3	BY MR. MORRIS:
4	Q Were you asked this question and did you give this answer?
5	"Q As the president of NexPoint, it's fair to say
6	that you control that entity?
7	"A Generally."
8	Q Is that the right answer that you gave the other day?
9	A I think it's similar to what I just said, yeah, yeah.
10	Q Sir, you're familiar with Highland Capital Management Fund
11	Advisors, LP; is that right?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And we'll call that Fund Advisors; is that fair?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And we'll refer to Fund Advisors and NexPoint together as
16	the Advisors; is that okay?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Fund Advisors is also an advisory firm, correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q You have a direct or indirect ownership interest in Fund
21	Advisors, correct?
22	A Yes.
23	Q You're the president of Fund Advisors, correct?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And you also have an ownership interest in the general
	Аррх. 66

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 70 of 243 PageID 414 Dondero - Direct 37
1	partner of Fund Advisors; isn't that right?
2	A I believe so.
3	Q It's fair to say that you control Fund Advisors, correct?
4	A Generally.
5	Q NexPoint and Fund Advisors manage certain investments
6	funds; is that right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Among the funds that they manage are High Point Income
9	Fund; is that right?
10	A I don't think that's a name that we manage.
11	Q Let's put it this way. There are three funds that are
12	represented by K&L Gates that are managed by the Advisors,
13	correct?
14	A I don't know.
15	Q Okay. You're the portfolio manager of the investment
16	funds advised by NexPoint and Fund Advisors, correct?
17	A Largely.
18	Q And NexPoint and Fund Advisors caused the investment funds
19	that they manage to invest in CLOs that are managed by the
20	Debtors, correct?
21	A Years ago, they bought the equity interests, if that if
22	that's what you're asking me, in various CLOs.
23	Q The two Advisors that you own and control caused the
24	investment funds to purchase interests in CLOs that are
25	managed by the Debtor, correct?

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 71 of 243 PageID 415 Dondero - Direct 38
1	A Not recently. Not recently. Years ago. Yes.
2	Q And they still hold those interests today, correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And K&L Gates represents all of those entities, correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And we'll call those the K&L Gates Clients; is that fair?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Before the TRO was entered, the K&L Gates Clients sent two
9	letters to the Debtor concerning the Debtor's management of
10	certain CLOs, right?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Okay.
13	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, I just want to take a moment
14	now, because we're going to start to look at some documents.
15	The Debtor would respectfully move into evidence Exhibits A
16	through Y that are on their exhibit list.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, we have no objection.
19	THE COURT: A through Y are admitted. And for the
20	record, these appear at Docket No. 46 in this adversary.
21	(Plaintiff's Exhibits A through Y are received into
22	evidence.)
23	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please put up Exhibit B as
24	in boy? (Pause.) Ms. Canty? If you need a moment, just let
25	us know.
	Аррх. 68

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 72 of 243 PageID 416 Dondero - Direct 39
1	MS. CANTY: Yeah. I'm pulling it up right now.
2	MR. MORRIS: Thank you. (Pause.) Can you scroll
3	down just a bit?
4	BY MR. MORRIS:
5	Q All right. Can you see this letter was sent on October
6	16th?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And we see the entities that are reflected on this letter.
9	We've got Highland Capital Management, LP. That's the
10	question that they're asking. And the questions and the
11	statements are being asserted on behalf of NexPoint Advisors,
12	LP. Do you see that?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, LP. Those
15	are the two Advisors that you own and control, correct?
16	A Control to a large extent.
17	Q Okay.
18	MR. MORRIS: And can we put up Exhibit C, please?
19	BY MR. MORRIS:
20	Q This is a second letter sent by NexPoint on November 24th.
21	Do you see that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay. And you're familiar with the substance of these
24	letters, correct?
25	A Yes.
	Аррх. 69

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 73 of 243 PageID 417 Dondero - Direct 40
1	Q And you were familiar you were aware of these letters
2	before they were sent. Is that correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And you generally discussed the substance of these letters
5	with NexPoint; is that right?
6	A Generally, yes.
7	Q And you discussed the substance of the letters with the
8	Advisors' internal counsel; is that right?
9	A Yes.
10	Q That's D.C. Sauter?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And you have been on some calls with K&L Gates about these
13	letters, right?
14	A I believe so.
15	Q And you knew these letters were being sent, correct?
16	A Yeah, they're they're reported.
17	Q You knew these letters for being sent; isn't that right,
18	sir?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And you didn't object to the sending of these letters,
21	correct?
22	A No.
23	Q In fact, you supported the sending of these letters. Is
24	that right?
25	A Yes.
	Аррх. 70

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 74 of 243 PageID 418 Dondero - Direct 41
1	Q And you have never directed NexPoint to withdraw these
2	letters, correct?
3	A No.
4	Q Around Thanksgiving, you learned that Mr. Seery had given
5	a direction to sell certain securities owned by the CLOs
6	managed by the Debtors, correct?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And when you learned that, you personally intervened to
9	stop the trades, correct?
10	A Yes. I believe they were inappropriate.
11	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike the latter part of the
12	answer, Your Honor.
13	THE COURT: It's stricken.
14	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Exhibit D, please?
15	BY MR. MORRIS:
16	Q We looked at this email string the other day. Do you
17	recall that?
18	A Yes.
19	MR. MORRIS: Can we start at the bottom, please?
20	BY MR. MORRIS:
21	Q There's an email from Hunter Covitz. Do you see that?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Now, this is November 24th. It's before the TRO. Is that
24	fair?
25	A Yes.
	Аррх. 71

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 75 of 243 PageID 419
	Dondero - Direct 42
1	Q Mr. Covitz is an employee of the Debtor, right?
2	A I believe so.
3	Q And Mr. Covitz helps manage the CLOs on behalf of the
4	Debtor. Is that your understanding?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And Mr. Covitz in this email is giving directions to Matt
7	Pearson and Joe Sowin to sell certain securities held by the
8	CLOs. Is that correct?
9	A No. He's giving Jim Seery's direction.
10	MR. BONDS: And Your Honor, I'm going to object.
11	This is all before the TRO was ever entered. It doesn't have
12	anything to do with today's hearing.
13	THE COURT: Overruled.
14	MR. MORRIS: May I respond, Your Honor?
15	THE COURT: I
16	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Thank you.
17	THE COURT: I think it's relevant. Go ahead.
18	MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Okay.
19	BY MR. MORRIS:
20	Q Mr. Seery is the CEO of the Debtor; is that right?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And the Debtor is the contractual party with the CLOs
23	charged with the exclusive responsibility of managing the
24	CLOs, correct?
25	A I don't believe so. The Debtor is in default of the
	Аррх. 72

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 76 of 243 PageID 420 Dondero - Direct 43
1	agreements.
2	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Sustained.
4	BY MR. MORRIS:
5	Q Sir, the Debtor has the exclusive contractual right and
6	obligation to manage the CLOs, correct?
7	A I don't agree with that.
8	Q Okay.
9	MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll up to the just
10	BY MR. MORRIS:
11	Q Do you see that Mr. Pearson acknowledges receipt of Mr.
12	Covitz's email?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And you received a copy of Mr. Covitz's email, did you
15	did you not?
16	A Yes.
17	MR. MORRIS: Can you scroll up a little bit, please?
18	BY MR. MORRIS:
19	Q And can you just read for Judge Jernigan your response
20	that you provided to Mr. Pearson, Mr. Covitz, and Mr. Sowin on
21	November 24th?
22	A (reading) No, do not.
23	Q You instructed the recipients of Mr. Covitz's email not to
24	sell the SKY securities as had been specifically instructed by
25	Mr. Seery, correct?
	Аррх. 73

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 77 of 243 PageID 421 Dondero - Direct 44
1	A Yes.
2	Q And you understood when you gave that instruction that the
3	people on the email were trying to execute trades that Mr.
4	Seery had authorized, correct?
5	A No. I no, that isn't how I would describe it.
6	MR. MORRIS: A second, Your Honor?
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	(Pause.)
9	BY MR. MORRIS:
10	Q Sir, when you gave the instruction reflected in this
11	email, you knew that you were stopping trades that were
12	authorized and directed by Mr. Seery, correct?
13	A I don't think I I wasn't I wasn't sure at the
14	moment I did that. I didn't find out until later that it was
15	Seery who directed it.
16	MR. MORRIS: Can we please go back to the deposition
17	transcript, Debtor's Exhibit Z, at Page 42? Line 12.
18	BY MR. MORRIS:
19	Q Were you asked this question and did you give this answer?
20	"Q At the time that you gave the instruction, "No, do
21	not," you knew that you were stopping trades that had
22	been authorized and directed by Mr. Seery, correct?
23	"A Yes."
24	Q Did you give that answer to my question on Tuesday?
25	A I'd like to clarify it, but yes, I did give that answer.
	Appy 74

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 78 of 243 PageID 422 Dondero - Direct 45
1	Q Okay. You didn't speak with Mr. Seery before sending your
2	instructions interfering with his trade, the trades that he
3	had authorized, correct?
4	A No, I did not.
5	Q And you took no steps to seek the Debtor's consent before
6	instructing the recipients of your email to stop executing the
7	SKY transactions that had been authorized by Mr. Seery,
8	correct?
9	A I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question?
10	Q You took no steps to seek the Debtor's consent before
11	stepping in to stop the trades that Mr. Seery had authorized,
12	correct?
13	A I took other actions instead.
14	Q Okay. But you didn't seek the Debtor's consent? That's
15	not one of the actions you took, right?
16	A No, I educated the traders as to why it was inappropriate.
17	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Sustained.
19	BY MR. MORRIS:
20	Q Sir, did you seek the Debtor's consent before stepping in
21	to stop the trades that Mr. Seery had authorized?
22	A No, I did not seek consent.
23	Q In response to your instruction, Mr. Pearson canceled all
24	of the trades that Mr. Seery had authorized, correct?
25	A Yes.
	Аррх. 75

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 79 of 243 PageID 423
	Dondero - Direct 46
1	MR. MORRIS: Can we go back to the exhibit, please?
2	And if we could just scroll stop right there.
3	BY MR. MORRIS:
4	Q That's that's Mr. Pearson's response to your email,
5	confirming that he had canceled both the SKY and the AVAYA
6	trades that had not yet been executed, correct?
7	A Yes.
8	MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll to the response to that?
9	BY MR. MORRIS:
10	Q Is this your response?
11	A Yes.
12	Q Can you read that aloud, please?
13	A (reading) HFAM and DAF have instructed Highland in
14	writing not to sell any CLO underlying assets. There is
15	potential liability. Don't do it again, please.
16	Q The writings that you're referring to are the two letters
17	from NexPoint, Exhibits B and C that we just looked at,
18	correct?
19	A Yeah. There might have been a third letter. I don't
20	know. But, yes, generally, those letters.
21	Q Okay. And at this juncture, the reference to potential
22	liability was a statement intended for Mr. Pearson. Is that
23	correct?
24	A Um, I no. Pearson wouldn't have had any personal
25	liability. It was it was meant for the there was
	Аррх. 76

Cas	<b>e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 80 of 243 PageID 424</b> Dondero - Direct 47
1	potential liability to the Debtor or to the compliance
2	officers at the Debtor.
3	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Page 45 of the deposition
4	transcript, please? Line beginning at Line 11, through 18.
5	BY MR. MORRIS:
6	Q Did I ask these questions and did you give these answers?
7	"Q Do you see the reference there in the latter
8	portion of your email, 'There is potential liability.
9	Don't do it again'?
10	"A Yes.
11	"Q Who was the intended recipient of that message?
12	"A At this juncture, it's Matt Pearson, I believe."
13	Q Did you give those answers to my questions on Tuesday?
14	A Yeah. That's not inconsistent.
15	MR. MORRIS: Let's go back to the email, please.
16	BY MR. MORRIS:
17	Q Mr. Sowin responded to your email; is that right?
18	MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll up?
19	BY MR. MORRIS:
20	Q Okay. Who's Mr. Sowin?
21	A He's the head trader.
22	Q Who's he employed by?
23	A I believe he's employed by HFAM but not the Debtor.
24	Q Okay. So he's he's somebody who's employed by one of
25	the Advisors; is that right?

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 81 of 243 PageID 425
	Dondero - Direct 48
1	A I believe so.
2	Q And Mr. Sowin responded to your email and he indicated
3	that he would follow your instructions. Is that right?
4	A Yeah. He understands that it's inappropriate. That's
5	what he's reflecting. Yes.
6	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Sustained.
8	BY MR. MORRIS:
9	Q Sir, Mr. Sowin responded and indicated that he would
10	follow your instructions, correct?
11	A (no audible response)
12	Q Did you answer? I'm sorry.
13	A No, I didn't answer. It's I don't know if you could
14	expressly say that from that email. Maybe we should read the
15	email.
16	MR. MORRIS: Let's just move on, Your Honor.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	BY MR. MORRIS:
19	Q A few days later, you learned you learned that Mr.
20	Seery was trying a workaround to effectuate the trades anyway,
21	correct?
22	A I believe so.
23	Q Uh-huh. And when you learned that, you wrote to Thomas
24	Surgent; is that right?
25	A I I believe so.
	Аррх. 78

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 82 of 243 PageID 426 Dondero - Direct 49
1	Q I don't I don't mean to this is not a test here.
2	MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll up to the next email,
3	please? Okay. Stop right there.
4	BY MR. MORRIS:
5	Q When you when you learned that Mr. Seery was trying a
6	workaround, you wrote to Mr. Surgent when you learned that,
7	right?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And Mr. Surgent is an employee of the Debtor; is that
10	correct?
11	A I believe he's still the chief compliance officer of the
12	Debtor.
13	Q Okay. Now, as a factual matter, you never asked Mr. Seery
14	why he wanted to make these trades; isn't that right?
15	A II did not.
16	Q Okay. And before the TRO was entered, there was nothing
17	that prevented you from picking up the phone and asking Mr.
18	Seery why he wanted to make these trades, correct?
19	A That's not true.
20	MR. MORRIS: One second, please, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: Okay.
22	(Pause.)
23	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Page 60 of the transcript?
24	Mr. Bonds says beginning at Line 14. There is an objection
25	there, Your Honor, and I would ask that the Court rule on the
	Аррх. 79

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 83 of 243 PageID 427 Dondero - Direct 50
1	objection before I read from the transcript.
2	THE COURT: Okay.
3	MR. MORRIS: There you go.
4	THE COURT: (sotto voce) (reading) Is there
5	anything that you're aware of that prevented you from picking
6	up the phone and asking Mr. Seery for his business
7	justification for these trades prior to December 10.
8	Objection, form.
9	I overrule the objection to the form of that question.
10	MR. MORRIS: Okay.
11	BY MR. MORRIS:
12	Q Mr. Dondero, were you asked this question and did you give
13	this answer?
14	"Q Is there anything that you're aware of that
15	prevented you from picking up the phone and asking Mr.
16	Seery for his business justification for these trades
17	prior to December 10, 2010?
18	"A No. I expressed my disapproval via email."
19	Q Is that right?
20	A I'd like to adjust that answer to the answer I just gave.
21	Q Okay.
22	MR. MORRIS: And I move to strike.
23	BY MR. MORRIS:
24	Q I'm just asking you if that's the answer you gave on
25	Tuesday.
	Аррх. 80

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 84 of 243 PageID 428 Dondero - Direct 51
1	THE COURT: Sustained.
2	THE WITNESS: Yes.
3	BY MR. MORRIS:
4	Q Thank you. Now, you wrote to Mr. Surgent because you
5	wanted to remind him of his personal liability for regulatory
6	breaches and for doing things that aren't in the best interest
7	of investors, correct?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And you actually thought about this and you because you
10	didn't believe that Mr. Surgent had extra insurance and
11	indemnities like Mr. Seery, right?
12	A No.
13	Q Didn't you testify to that the other day?
14	A I don't remember, but that isn't the only reason.
15	Q I didn't ask you if it was the only reason. Listen
16	carefully to my question. Did you send this email because you
17	because you wanted to remind him of his personal liability
18	for regulatory breaches and for doing things that aren't in
19	the I apologize. Withdrawn.
20	You did not believe at the time that you sent this email
21	that he, Mr. Surgent, had insurance and indemnities like Mr.
22	Seery, correct?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Okay.
25	MR. MORRIS: Can we go back to the email, please?
	Appx. 81

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 85 of 243 PageID 429 Dondero - Direct 52
1	BY MR. MORRIS:
2	Q Can you just read the entirety of your email to Mr.
3	Surgent out loud?
4	A (reading) I understand Seery is working on a workaround
5	to trade these securities anyway, trades that contradict
6	investor desires and have no business purpose or investment
7	rationale. You might want to remind him and yourself that the
8	chief compliance officer has personal liability.
9	Q Okay. That's that's the message you wanted to convey
10	to Mr. Surgent, right?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And, again, you never bothered to ask Mr. Seery what his
13	businessperson purpose or investment rationale was,
14	correct?
15	A I I didn't believe I could talk to him directly.
16	Q This is before the
17	A That's why I never picked up the phone.
18	Q Okay. You intended to convey the message to Mr. Surgent
19	that, by following Mr. Seery's orders to execute the trades,
20	that Mr. Surgent faced personal liability, correct?
21	A Yes, he does.
22	Q And that's the message you wanted to send to him, right?
23	A It's a true and accurate message, yes.
24	Q Okay. Just a few days earlier, you also threatened Mr.
25	Seery, right?
	Appx. 82

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 86 of 243 PageID 430 Dondero - Direct 53
1	A I wouldn't use the word "threatened."
2	Q Okay. Let's let let's let it speak for itself.
3	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit E, please? Keep
4	scrolling down just a bit.
5	BY MR. MORRIS:
6	Q This is an email that you sent to Mr. Seery on November
7	24th. And as always, Mr. Dondero this is the third time
8	we're meeting if there's something in the document that you
9	need to see, please just let me know, because I don't I
10	don't mean to test your memory if the document can help
11	refresh your recollection.
12	MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll up a little bit
13	further to the top to see the date?
14	BY MR. MORRIS:
15	Q Okay. So, Jim, there, JD, who is that?
16	A That's me.
17	Q Okay. And can you tell by the substance of the email, of
18	the text messages, this is communications between you and Mr.
19	Seery, right?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay. And you see that it's dated November 24th there?
22	A Yes. Right after we were discussing the pipeline. Or
23	right when we were working on the pipeline.
24	Q Okay.
25	MR. MORRIS: Can you scroll down a little bit,
	Appx. 83

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 87 of 243 PageID 431 Dondero - Direct 54
1	please?
2	BY MR. MORRIS:
3	Q At 5:26 p.m., you sent Mr. Seery a text, correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q Can you read that, please?
6	A (reading) Be careful what you do. Last warning.
7	Q Okay. This was a warning telling Mr. Seery to stop
8	selling assets out of the CLOs or the beneficial owners would
9	take more significant action against him, correct?
10	A It was a general statement that what he was doing was
11	regulatorily inappropriate and ethically inappropriate and he
12	was in breach of the contracts he was operating.
13	Q Neither you nor any entity owned or controlled by you are
14	parties to the contracts you just referred to; isn't that
15	correct?
16	A I believe they're indirectly parties to those contracts,
17	especially when they're in default.
18	Q Neither you nor any entity owned or controlled by you is a
19	signatory to any CLO management contract pursuant to which the
20	Debtor is a party, correct?
21	A I I don't know and I don't want to make legal
22	conclusions on that.
23	Q Okay. At the deposition the other day, some of the things
24	that you suggested the beneficial owners of the CLO interests
25	might do against Mr. Seery and the Debtor are class action
	Appy 8/

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 88 of 243 PageID 432 Dondero - Direct 55
1	
1	lawsuits. Is that right?
2	A I I did not suggest the entities I control would do
3	that. If anybody on this call were to call a class action
4	lawsuit a class action law firm and tell them what's been
5	going on with the CLOs, I think a class action law firm would
6	file it on their own regard, not on the behalf of my entities.
7	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: Sustained.
9	BY MR. MORRIS:
10	Q Let's talk about that cell phone. Okay? Until at least
11	December 10th, the day the TRO was entered, you had a cell
12	phone that was bought and paid by the Debtor, right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q But sometime after December 10th, your phone was disposed
15	of or thrown in the garbage; is that right?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And you don't know when after December 10th the cell phone
18	that was the Debtor's property was disposed of, right?
19	A I don't believe at that point it was the Debtor's
20	property. I think I paid it off in full and the Debtor had
21	announced that they were canceling everybody's cell phones so
22	it was appropriate for me to get another one.
23	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: Sustained.
25	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, at some point, I mean, Mr.

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 89 of 243 PageID 433 Dondero - Direct 56
1	Morris just ought to go on and testify.
2	MR. MORRIS: No, this is Mr. Dondero's testimony,
3	Your Honor. He gave it the other day. I'm just asking him to
4	confirm it, basically.
5	THE COURT: Okay. I overrule the objection, if any
6	there was, on the part of Mr. Bonds.
7	BY MR. MORRIS:
8	Q Sometime after December 10th, the cell phone that prior to
9	that time had been owned and paid for by the Debtor was thrown
10	in the garbage or otherwise disposed of, correct?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And you don't know when after December 10th that was
13	the phone was disposed of, correct?
14	A It was on or about that date, I'm sure.
15	Q Well, we know it was after December 10th, right?
16	A Okay. Or about that date.
17	Q You testified the other day that you just don't know who
18	made the decision to throw your phone away, right?
19	A I could find out, but I don't know. I would have to talk
20	to employees.
21	Q Did you make any request of the Debtor since your
22	deposition to try to find out the answer as to who made the
23	decision to throw your phone away?
24	A No.
25	Q How did you learn that your phone was thrown away?
	Appy 86

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 90 of 243 PageID 434 Dondero - Direct 57
1	A As I testified, it's standard operating procedures every
2	time a senior executive gets a new phone.
3	Q Hmm. You don't know exactly who threw the phone away; is
4	that right?
5	A No, but I can find out.
6	Q Okay. I'm just asking I'm not asking you to find out.
7	I'm just asking you if you know. Do you know who threw your
8	phone away?
9	A No.
10	Q Do you know who made the decision to throw your phone
11	away?
12	A It there wasn't a decision. It was standard operating
13	procedure.
14	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.
15	THE COURT: Sustained.
16	BY MR. MORRIS:
17	Q You and Mr. Ellington disposed of your phones at the same
18	time, correct?
19	A I don't have specific awareness regarding what Mr.
20	Ellington did with his phone.
21	Q It never occurred to you to get the Debtor's consent
22	before throwing the phone that they had purchased away, right?
23	A I'm not permitted to talk to the Debtor.
24	Q Sir, it never occurred to you to get the Debtor's consent
25	before throwing the phone away, correct?

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 91 of 243 PageID 435 Dondero - Direct 58
1	A I'm going to stick with the answer I just gave.
2	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Page 75 of the transcript?
3	Lines 12 through 15. There is an objection there, Your Honor.
4	I would respectfully request that the Court rule on the
5	objection before I read the testimony.
6	THE COURT: Okay. Starting at Line 12?
7	MR. MORRIS: 12.
8	THE COURT: (sotto voce) (reading) Did it ever
9	occur to you to get the Debtor's consent before doing this?
10	Objection, form.
11	That objection is overruled.
12	BY MR. MORRIS:
13	Q All right. Mr. Dondero, did you give this answer to my
14	question on Tuesday?
15	"Q Did it ever occur to you to get the Debtor's
16	consent before doing this?
17	"A No."
18	A Yes, I gave that testimony.
19	Q Okay. And you also had the phone number changed from the
20	Debtor's account to your own personal account; is that right?
21	A The phone number changed? The phone number stayed the
22	same.
23	Q But you had the number changed from the Debtor's account
24	to your own personal account, correct?
25	A The Debtor said they wouldn't pay for it anymore. Who
	Аррх. 88

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 92 of 243 PageID 436 Dondero - Direct 59
1	else could I change it to?
2	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, I move to strike. It's a
3	very simple question.
4	THE COURT: Sustained.
5	BY MR. MORRIS:
6	Q I'll ask it one more time, Mr. Dondero. You had the phone
7	number changed from the Debtor's account to your personal
8	account, correct?
9	A I didn't change the number. I had the billing changed to
10	my personal account versus the company account.
11	Q And you never asked the Debtor for permission to do that,
12	correct?
13	A No.
14	Q And you never told Debtor you were doing that, correct?
15	A No.
16	Q And nobody ever told Mr. Seery or anybody at my firm that
17	the phone was being thrown in the garbage, correct?
18	A Well,
19	MR. BONDS: To the extent he knows.
20	THE WITNESS: Yeah. I have no idea. But I didn't.
21	BY MR. MORRIS:
22	Q You didn't believe it was necessary to give the Debtor
23	notice that you were taking the phone number for your own
24	personal account and throwing the phone in the garbage,
25	correct?
	Appy 80

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 93 of 243 PageID 437 Dondero - Direct 60
1	A Correct.
2	Q The phone
3	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I'm going to object. He
4	Mr. Dondero did not testify he personally threw the phone in
5	the garbage.
6	MR. MORRIS: Withdrawn.
7	THE COURT: Okay.
8	BY MR. MORRIS:
9	Q Mr. Dondero, the phone was in Highland's offices on
10	December 10th, the date the TRO was in effect, correct?
11	A IIdon'tIIdon't know. You know, Idon't
12	know. It's I remember going over to well, anyway, I
13	I don't know. We'll leave it at that.
14	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit G, please?
15	BY MR. MORRIS:
16	Q Who's Jason Rothstein, while we wait?
17	A Jason, Jason is our is the Highland head of technology.
18	Q Okay. And did you text with him from time to time? On or
19	about December 10th?
20	A Yes.
21	Q Okay.
22	MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll up a little bit?
23	BY MR. MORRIS:
24	Q Is that Mr. Rothstein there?
25	A Yes. Yeah.
	Аррх. 90

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 94 of 243 PageID 438
	Dondero - Direct 61
1	Q Okay. And do you see that there's a text message that you
2	sent to him on December 10th, right at the top? Can you read
3	can you read the text message Mr. Rothstein
4	A He sent that to me. At the top.
5	Q I apologize. Thank you for the correction. Can you read
6	what Mr. Rothstein told you on December 10th?
7	A That my old phone is in the top drawer of Tara's desk.
8	Q And who's Tara?
9	A My assistant.
10	Q Is she still your assistant today?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And has she been serving as your assistant since the TRO
13	was entered into on December 10th?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay. Is it fair to say that you were informed on
16	December 10th that the phone was not thrown in the garbage,
17	had not been disposed of, but was instead sitting in Tara's
18	desk?
19	A As of that moment, yes.
20	Q Okay. And it's also fair to say that, as of December
21	10th, Mr. Rothstein didn't take it upon himself to throw your
22	old phone in the garbage, right?
23	A Not as of that moment. But like I said, I can find out
24	how it was disposed of.
25	Q If you were curious to do that, would you have done that

Cor	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 95 of 243 PageID 439
Cas	Dondero - Direct 62
1	before today?
2	A I haven't been curious.
3	Q Thank you very much. Someone you can't identify made the
4	decision after December 10th to throw the phone in the garbage
5	without asking the Debtor for permission or seeking the
6	Debtor's consent, correct?
7	MR. BONDS: I'm going to object, Your Honor. To the
8	extent that the witness knows, he can answer.
9	THE COURT: I I didn't hear
10	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
11	THE COURT: I didn't hear what your objection was,
12	Mr. Bonds. Repeat.
13	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, my objection was along the
14	lines of to the extent that the witness knows, he could
15	testify, but if he doesn't know, he doesn't need to speculate.
16	THE COURT: All right. Well, I don't hear an
17	objection there, but go ahead, Mr. Dondero, if you have
18	knowledge and can answer the question.
19	THE WITNESS: I don't know.
20	BY MR. MORRIS:
21	Q Do you recall that the Debtor subsequently gave notice to
22	you to vacate its offices and to return its cell phone?
23	A I don't know.
24	Q Did you ever
25	A I know I I know I was told to vacate the offices. I

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 96 of 243 PageID 440
	Dondero - Direct 63
1	didn't see the specific
2	Q Uh-huh. Your lawyer your lawyers never told that
3	Debtor that the cell phone had been disposed of or thrown in
4	the garbage, consistent with company practice, right?
5	A I don't know.
6	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Exhibit K, please?
7	BY MR. MORRIS:
8	Q This is the letter that my firm sent to your lawyer on
9	December 23rd. Do you see that?
10	A Yeah, I see it.
11	Q Okay.
12	MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down a little bit? Keep
13	going. Okay. Stop right there.
14	BY MR. MORRIS:
15	Q Do you see that it says that, as a result of the conduct
16	described above, that the Debtor "has concluded that Mr.
17	Dondero's presence at the HCMLP office suite and his access to
18	all telephonic and information services provided by HCMLP are
19	too disruptive"?
20	A Yeah, I see it.
21	Q And this is the letter that gave you notice that you had
22	to vacate the premises by December 30th, correct?
23	A I believe so.
24	MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down a little bit?
25	BY MR. MORRIS:

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 97 of 243 PageID 441
	Dondero - Direct 64
1	Q You see at the bottom there's a reference to a defined
2	term of "cell phones"?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And it says that the Debtor "will also terminate Mr.
5	Dondero's cell phone plan and those cell phone plans
6	associated with parties providing personal services to Mr.
7	Dondero." Do you see that?
8	A Yes. Yeah.
9	Q Have I read that accurately?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And then my colleagues went on to write, "HCMLP demands
12	that Mr. Dondero immediately turn over the cell phones to
13	HCMLP by delivering them to you, Mr. Lynn." Do you see that?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Have I read that accurately?
16	A Yes.
17	Q The last sentence on the page begins, "The cell phones
18	and."
19	MR. MORRIS: And let's scroll down further.
20	BY MR. MORRIS:
21	Q "The cell phones and the accounts are property of HCMLP.
22	HCMLP further demands that Mr. Dondero refrain from deleting
23	or wiping any information or messages on the cell phone.
24	HCMLP, as the owner of the account and cell phones, intends to
25	recover all information related to the cell phones and

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 98 of 243 PageID 442
	Dondero - Direct 65
1	
1	accounts, and reserves the right to use the business-related
2	information." Have I read that accurately?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay. We were a couple of weeks too late, huh?
5	A It sounds like it.
6	Q Yeah. Because the phones were already in the garbage,
7	right?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Uh-huh. But that's not what Mr. Lynn told the Debtor on
10	your behalf, right?
11	A I don't know.
12	Q Mr. Lynn all right. Let's let's see what Mr. Lynn
13	said.
14	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit U, please?
15	BY MR. MORRIS:
16	Q It took Mr. Lynn six days to write a one-paragraph letter
17	in response, right? December 29th, he responded?
18	MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down a bit?
19	BY MR. MORRIS:
20	Q Let me read beginning with the second sentence of the
21	first substantive paragraph. "We are at present not sure of
22	the location of the cell phone issued to Mr. Dondero by the
23	Debtor, but we are not prepared to turn it over without
24	ensuring the privacy of the attorney-client communications."
25	And then he goes on.

Cas	e 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 99 of 243 PageID 443 Dondero - Direct 66
1	Have I read that correctly?
2	A Yes.
3	Q Okay. So Mr. Lynn didn't say anything about the phone
4	being thrown in the garbage, right?
5	A No.
6	Q He didn't say that it was disposed of, did he?
7	A No.
8	Q He didn't refer to any company practice or policy, right?
9	A No.
10	Q Mr. Lynn's not a liar, is he?
11	A No, he's not.
12	Q He's a decent and honest professional. Wouldn't you agree
13	with that?
14	A Yes.
15	Q And is it fair to say that he conveyed only the
16	information that he had at the time?
17	A I don't know.
18	Q Do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Lynn would
19	withhold from the Debtor the information that the cell phone
20	had been thrown in the garbage, consistent with company
21	practice?
22	A No, I don't believe he would withhold whatever he knew.
23	Q All right. Let's talk about let's talk about other
24	matters. You do know, sir, do you not, that the Debtor is
25	subject to the Bankruptcy Court's jurisdiction?
	Аррх. 96

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 100 of 243 PageID 444</b> Dondero - Direct 67
1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. And we just saw in the December 23rd letter that
3	the Debtor demanded that you vacate their offices a week
4	later, right?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And you knew that at or around the time the letter was
7	sent on December 23rd, correct?
8	A I I don't remember when I knew.
9	Q Well, in fact, in fact, you or through counsel asked for
10	an accommodation and asked for an extension of time to
11	December 31st; isn't that right?
12	A I had to pack up 30 years of stuff in three days. I I
13	know we asked for some forbearance. I don't think we got any.
14	I don't remember the details. I don't understand why it's
15	important.
16	Q Okay. It was actually withdrawn. The Debtor actually
17	gave you seven days' notice, right? They sent the letter on
18	December 23rd and asked you to vacate on December 30th,
19	correct?
20	A I don't I don't remember. But, again, I think the
21	initial response was it was inconsistent with shared services
22	agreement. No Highland employees are coming into the office
23	anyway. So kicking me out of my office was seemed
24	vindictive and overreaching. And we tried to get some, you
25	know, forbearance.

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 101 of 243 PageID 445</b> Dondero - Direct 68
1	Q Okay.
2	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike, Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Sustained.
4	BY MR. MORRIS:
5	Q Mr. Dondero, you were given seven days' notice before
6	before you were going to be barred from the Debtor's office,
7	correct?
8	A I don't know.
9	Q Okay.
10	MR. MORRIS: Can we go back to Exhibit K, please?
11	Oh, actually, it's okay.
12	BY MR. MORRIS:
13	Q We just read, actually, the piece from the Debtor's letter
14	of December 23rd barring you from the Debtor's office. Do you
15	remember that? And we can go back and look at it if you want.
16	A Yes.
17	Q Was there anything ambiguous that you recall about the
18	Debtor's demand that you not enter their offices after
19	December 30th?
20	A Ambiguous? I can tell you what my understanding was or I
21	can tell you what the letter says. What would you like to
22	know?
23	Q I'd just like to know if, as you sit here right now, you
24	believe there was anything ambiguous about the Debtor's demand
25	that you vacate the offices as of December 30th?
	Аррх. 98

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 102 of 243 PageID 446</b> Dondero - Direct 69
1	A I mean, I did vacate the offices as of December 30th.
2	Q Correct. And you knew that and you were complying with
3	the Debtor's demand you do that, right?
4	A Well, with the Court's demand, I guess.
5	Q Okay. And it's your understanding that you would not be
6	permitted in the Debtor's offices after that time, correct?
7	A Um, (pause), uh, I don't know how to answer that question.
8	I knew I wouldn't be residing in the offices anymore. But for
9	legitimate business purposes, to visit the people at NexPoint
10	who were in the office, since there are no Highland people in
11	the office, or to handle a deposition, you know, there was
12	nothing I thought inappropriate about that.
13	Q Did the Debtor tell you that they would allow you to enter
14	the offices any time you just believed that it would be
15	appropriate to do that?
16	A I used my business judgment.
17	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.
18	BY MR. MORRIS:
19	Q I'm asking you a very
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	BY MR. MORRIS:
22	Q specific question, sir. Did the Debtor ever tell you
23	that they that you would be permitted to enter their
24	offices after December 30th if you, in your own personal
25	discretion, believed it to be appropriate?
	Аррх. 99

Case	3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 103 of 243 PageID 447
Casi	Dondero - Direct 70
1	A No.
2	Q Did the Debtor provide you any exception to their demand
3	that you vacate the offices, without access, by and after
4	December 30th?
5	A I always do what I think is appropriate and in the best
6	interests. I don't know. I didn't know the specifics of the
7	Debtor's okay, yeah, what the specifics of the Debtor was.
8	Q Despite the unambiguous nature of the Debtor's demands
9	letter, on Tuesday you just walked right into the Debtor's
10	office and sat for the deposition, correct?
11	A I believe that was reasonable, yes.
12	Q Okay. But you didn't you didn't have the Debtor's
13	approval to do that, correct?
14	A We didn't have technology to do it anywhere else, so if
15	the deposition was going to occur, it had to occur there.
16	Q Sir,
17	MR. MORRIS: Move to strike.
18	THE COURT: Sustained.
19	BY MR. MORRIS:
20	Q And I ask you to just listen very carefully. And if it's
21	not clear to you, please let me know. You did not have the
22	Debtor's approval to enter their offices on Tuesday to give
23	your deposition, correct?
24	A No.
25	Q And you did not even bother to ask the Debtor for

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 104 of 243 PageID 448</b> Dondero - Direct 71
1	permission, correct?
2	A I'm prohibited from contacting them, so no, I did not.
3	Q Okay. Let's talk about other events that occurred after
4	the entry of the TRO. We talked earlier about how you
5	interfered with Mr. Seery's trading activities on behalf of
6	the CLOs around Thanksgiving. Do you remember that?
7	A Yes.
8	Q But after the TRO was entered, the K&L Gates Clients also
9	interfered with the Debtor's trading activities, correct?
10	A No.
11	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit K, please? Can we
12	start at the first page? And scroll down just a bit.
13	BY MR. MORRIS:
14	Q Do you see there's an explanation there about the Debtor's
15	management of CLOs?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And there's a recitation of the history that we talked
18	about earlier, where around Thanksgiving you intervened to
19	block those trades?
20	A Yes.
21	Q And then the next paragraph refers to the prior motion
22	that was brought by the CLO entities? I mean, the K&L Gates
23	entities, right?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And you were aware of that motion at the time it was made,
	Аррх. 101

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 105 of 243 PageID 449</b> Dondero - Direct 72
1	right?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And you were supportive of the making of that motion,
4	right?
5	A Supportive? Yes.
6	MR. MORRIS: And scroll down to the next paragraph,
7	please.
8	BY MR. MORRIS:
9	Q Okay. So, my colleague wrote that, "On December 22nd,
10	2020, employees of NPA and HCMFA notified the Debtor that they
11	would not settle the CLO sale of the AVAYA and SKY
12	securities." Have I read that right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And that took place six days after the motion that the
15	Court characterized as frivolous was denied on December 16th?
16	A Yes. I wasn't aware of that, for what that's worth.
17	Q Okay. You personally instructed the employees
18	withdrawn. NPA that refers to NexPoint, correct?
19	A Yes.
20	Q That's an entity you own and control, right?
21	A I largely.
22	Q And that's one of the Advisors we defined earlier, right?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And HCMFA, that's Fund Advisors, another advisory firm
25	that you own and control, correct?
	Аррх. 102

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 106 of 243 PageID 450</b> Dondero - Direct 73
1	A Yes.
2	Q And you personally instructed, on or about December 22nd,
3	2020, employees of those Advisors to stop doing the trades
4	that Mr. Seery had authorized with respect SKY and AVAYA,
5	right?
6	A Yeah. Maybe we're splitting hairs here, but I instructed
7	them not to trade them. I never gave instructions not to
8	settle trades that occurred. But that's a different ball of
9	wax.
10	Q Okay. But you did instruct them not to execute trades
11	that had not been made yet, right?
12	A Yeah. Trades that I thought were inappropriate, for no
13	business purpose, I I told them not to execute.
14	Q Okay. You actually learned that Mr. Seery wanted to
15	effectuate these trades the Friday before, right?
16	A I don't know, but what did I do? When did I know it?
17	What did I do? When I knew things are inappropriate, I
18	reacted immediately. I don't I don't whenever
19	whenever I found out about inappropriate things, I reacted to
20	the best of my ability.
21	Q Okay.
22	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Sustained.
24	Mr. Dondero, I'm going to I'm going to interject some
25	instructions once again here. Remember we talked about early
	Аррх. 103

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 107 of 243 PageID 451

Dondero - Direct

1	on, and I know you've testified before, but I'll repeat it:
2	You need to just give direct yes or no answers.
3	And let me just say that we see witnesses all the time do
4	what you're doing here, and that is they feel they need to say
5	more than yes or no. They feel the need to clarify or
6	supplement the yes or no answer they give. And just to remind
7	you how this works, your lawyer, Mr. Bonds, is going to be
8	given the opportunity when Mr. Morris is through to ask you
9	all the questions he wants, and that will be your chance to
10	clarify yes and no answers to the extent he asks you to
11	revisit certain of these questions and answers. Okay?
12	So I'm going to remind you once again: yes or no or
13	direct you know, other appropriate direct answers. Mr.
14	Bonds can let you clarify later. All right?
15	Mr. Morris, continue.
16	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
17	Can we please put up on the screen Exhibit L? And at the,
18	I guess, the bottom of Page 1.
19	BY MR. MORRIS:
20	Q This is an email string. And
21	MR. MORRIS: Go to the email below that, please.
22	Yeah. Okay. Right there.
23	BY MR. MORRIS:
24	Q This is an email from Mr. Seery dated December 18th at
25	(garbled) :30 p.m. Do you see that?
	Аррх. 104

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 108 of 243 PageID 452</b> Dondero - Direct 75
	Dondero - Direct 75
1	A Yes.
2	Q And in the substantive portion of his email, continuing on
3	to the next page, he's giving instructions to sell certain SKY
4	and AVAYA securities that are held by CLOs, correct?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And Mr. Sowin forwarded this email to you, right?
7	A Yes.
8	MR. MORRIS: If we can scroll up.
9	BY MR. MORRIS:
10	Q And you forwarded it to Mr. Ellington, right? I'm sorry.
11	Let's just give Ms. Canty a chance.
12	MR. MORRIS: Keep scrolling up.
13	BY MR. MORRIS:
14	Q So, Mr. Sowin forwarded it to you at 3:34 p.m. Do you see
15	that?
16	A Yes.
17	Q And if we scroll up, you turn around and give it to Mr.
18	Ellington a few minutes later, right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q So that you and Mr. Ellington and Mr. Sowin are all aware
21	that Mr. Seery wants to sell AVAYA and SKY securities on
22	behalf of the CLOs, right?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Why did you decide to forward this email to Mr. Ellington?
25	A Ellington's role has been of settlement counsel that
	Аррх. 105

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 109 of 243 PageID 453

Dondero - Direct

76

supposedly everybody is able to talk to to try and bridge some
kind of settlement. Ellington, I thought, should be aware of
things that would make settlement more difficult or create
liabilities for the Debtor. And so I thought it was
appropriate for him to know.
Q Okay. This is the email that caused you to put a stop to
the trades that Mr. Seery wanted to effectuate, correct?
A This is the I'm sorry. Ask the question again. This
is the email that what?
Q This is this is how you learned that Mr. Seery wanted
to effectuate rates in AVAYA and SKY securities, right?
A I I learned about it pretty early on of him trading it.
I don't know if it was this email or or one of the others.
But yes, it was from it was from Joe Sowin.
Q And you would agree with me, would you not, that you
personally instructed the employees of the Advisors not to
execute the very trades that Mr. Seery identifies in this
email, correct?
A Yes.
Q At no time after December 10th, when the TRO was entered
into, did you instruct the employees of the Funds that you own
and control not to interfere or impede the Debtor's management
of the CLOs, correct?
MR. BONDS: Can you repeat the question? I'm sorry.
MR. BONDS. Can you repeat the question: I m sorry.
BY MR. MORRIS:

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 110 of 243 PageID 454</b> Dondero - Direct 77
1	Q At no time after December 10th, when the TRO was entered,
2	did Mr. Dondero instruct any employee of either of the
3	Advisors that he owns and controls not to interfere or impede
4	with the Debtor's business and management of the CLOs,
5	correct?
6	A I did not.
7	Q Okay. Neither you nor anybody that you know of ever
8	provided a copy of the TRO to the employees of the Advisors
9	that you own and control, correct?
10	A I don't know.
11	Q Okay. After the TRO was entered, the K after the TRO
12	was entered, and after the hearing on December 16th, the K&L
13	Gates Clients sent three more letters to the Debtor, right?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay.
16	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, those are Exhibits M as in
17	Mary, N as in Nancy, and X as in x-ray.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. MORRIS: Unless the witness thinks there is a
20	need to look at them specifically oh, let me just ask a
21	couple of questions.
22	BY MR. MORRIS:
23	Q Mr. Dondero, in those letters, it's your understanding
24	that the K&L Gates Clients again requested that the Debtor not
25	trade any securities on behalf of the CLOs, right?
	Аррх. 107

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 111 of 243 PageID 455</b> Dondero - Direct 78
1	A Yes.
2	Q And it's your understanding that in those letters the K&L
3	Gates Clients suggested that they might seek to terminate the
4	CLO management agreements to which the Debtor was a party,
5	correct?
6	A I don't know specifically, but that wouldn't surprise me.
7	Q Okay.
8	A So,
9	Q Is it your understanding that the K&L Gates Clients also
10	sent the letter a Debtor the Debtor a letter in which they
11	asserted that your eviction from the offices might cause them
12	damages and harm?
13	A I know there was objections to me I assume so. I don't
14	know specifically.
15	Q And you were aware of these letters at the time that they
16	were being sent, right?
17	A I'm sorry, what?
18	Q You were aware of these letters at the time they were
19	being sent by the K&L Gates Clients, right?
20	A Generally, yes.
21	Q And you were generally supportive of the sending of those
22	letters, right?
23	A I'm always supportive of doing what we believe is the
24	right thing, yes.
25	Q And in this case, you were supportive of the sending of

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 112 of 243 PageID 456</b> Dondero - Direct 79
1	these three letters, correct?
2	A I yes.
3	Q In fact, you pushed and encouraged the chief compliance
4	officer and the general counsel to send these letters, right?
5	A I push them to do the right thing. I didn't push them
6	specifically.
7	Q Okay. At the time the letters were sent, you were aware
8	that the K&L Gates Clients had filed that motion that was
9	heard on the 16th of December, correct?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And you were aware that they advanced the very same
12	withdrawn. You're aware that in the letters they advance some
13	of the very same arguments that Judge Jernigan had dismissed
14	as frivolous just six days earlier, right?
15	A I wasn't at the hearing. I don't know if it was the same
16	arguments or similar arguments. I I can't I can't
17	corroborate the similarity or contrast the differences between
18	the two.
19	Q All right. So it's fair to say, then, that you were
20	supportive of the sending of these letters, you were aware of
21	the December 16 argument, but you didn't take the time to see
22	whether or not any of the arguments being advanced in the
23	letters were consistent or any different from the arguments
24	that were made at the December 16th hearing, correct?
25	A Correct. I wasn't directly involved, but still believed

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 113 of 243 PageID 457</b> Dondero - Direct 80
1	that fundamentally Seery's behavior was wrong.
2	Q You never instructed the K&L Gates Clients to withdraw the
3	three letters that were sent after December 10th, correct?
4	A No.
5	Q And you're aware that the Debtor had demanded that those
6	letters be withdrawn or it would seek a temporary restraining
7	order against the K&L Gates Clients, correct?
8	A I'm not aware of the back and forth.
9	Q Okay. Let's talk about your communications with Mr.
10	Ellington and Mr. Leventon. You communicated with them on
11	numerous occasions after December 16th, correct?
12	A No.
13	Q No, you didn't communicate with them many times after
14	December 10th?
15	A You're lumping in Ellington and Isaac, and numerous times
16	is a bad clarifier, so the answer is no.
17	Q I appreciate that. You communicated many times with Mr.
18	Ellington after December 10th, right?
19	A Not not outside shared services, pot plan, and him
20	being the go-between between me and Seery. I would say
21	virtually none.
22	Q Okay. On Saturday, December 12th, two days after the
23	temporary restraining order was entered against you, Mr.
24	Ellington was involved in discussions with your personal
25	counsel about who would serve as a witness at the upcoming

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 114 of 243 PageID 458</b> Dondero - Direct 81
1	December 16th hearing, correct?
2	A I don't I don't remember.
3	Q Let's see if we can refresh your recollection.
4	MR. MORRIS: Can we please put up Exhibit P? Can we
5	scroll down? Okay.
6	BY MR. MORRIS:
7	Q Do you see where Mr. Lynn writes you an email on Saturday,
8	December 12th, and he says, among other things, it looks like
9	trial?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And then if we scroll up a little bit, he wrote further,
12	"That said, we must have a witness now." Have I read that
13	accurately?
14	A Yes.
15	Q Okay.
16	MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll back up?
17	BY MR. MORRIS:
18	Q And this is Mr. Ellington's response, right?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Can you read Mr. Ellington's response for Judge Jernigan?
21	A (reading) It will be J.P. Sevilla. I'll tell him that he
22	needs to contact you first thing in the morning.
23	Q Is it your testimony that this email relates to
24	withdrawn. Mr. Ellington is not your personal lawyer, right?
25	A No. Mr. Ellington has been functioning as settlement

# Аррх. 111

Cast	2:21 av 00122 E Decument 7 Eiled 01/27/21 Dece 11E of 242 DecelD 450
Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 115 of 243 PageID 459</b> Dondero - Direct 82
	Dondero - Direct 82
1	counsel, trying to bridge settlement,
2	Q Okay.
3	A which is what this email looks like to me.
4	Q Okay. I'll let I'll let the judge
5	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike, Your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Sustained.
7	BY MR. MORRIS:
8	Q So, after the TRO was entered, you and Mr. Ellington not
9	only communicated but Mr. Ellington was actively involved in
10	identifying witnesses to testify on behalf of your interests
11	at the December 16th hearing, correct?
12	A I I don't know what the witness was for, but I believe
13	Ellington was doing his job as settlement counsel, trying to
14	facilitate settlement. I don't I have no reason to think
15	this was anything more nefarious.
16	Q Okay. You looked to Mr. Ellington for leadership in
17	coordinating with all of the lawyers who were working for you
18	and your personal interests, right?
19	A I'm not agreeing with that.
20	Q No? All right.
21	MR. MORRIS: Let's look at the next exhibit. I think
22	it's Exhibit Q. And if we could stop right there.
23	BY MR. MORRIS:
24	Q There's an email from Douglas Draper, do you see that, on
25	December 16th?

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 116 of 243 PageID 460</b> Dondero - Direct 83
1	A Yes.
2	Q So this is after the TRO was entered into, right?
3	A I believe so.
4	Q And Mr. Draper represents Get Good and Dugaboy; is that
5	right?
6	A I believe so.
7	Q And he was new to the case at that moment in time, right?
8	A On or about, I believe so.
9	Q And he was looking to he was looking for a joint
10	meeting among all of the lawyers representing your personal
11	interests, right?
12	A No. I think he was trying to coordinate coordinate or
13	understand whatever. But not everybody he doesn't just
14	talk to lawyers around my interests. I mean, and he hasn't
15	sought agreements with just lawyers reflecting my interests.
16	Q You forwarded Mr. Draper's email to Mr. Ellington, right?
17	A Yes.
18	Q But you can't remember why you did that, right, or at
19	least withdrawn. You couldn't remember as of Tuesday's
20	deposition why you forwarded this email to Mr. Ellington,
21	right?
22	A Not specifically. But, again, Ellington is settlement
23	counsel.
24	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike, Your Honor, after the
25	initial phrase "Not specifically."

Case	3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 117 of 243 PageID 461
	Dondero - Direct 84
1	THE COURT: Sustained.
2	MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll up a little bit, please?
3	BY MR. MORRIS:
4	Q Mr. Lynn responded initially with a reference to the
5	assumption that a particular lawyer was with K&L Gates, right?
6	A Yes.
7	MR. MORRIS: And if we could scroll up a little bit.
8	BY MR. MORRIS:
9	Q That's where you forward this email to Mr. Ellington,
10	right?
11	A Yes.
12	Q And can you read to Judge Jernigan what you wrote at 1:33
13	p.m.?
14	A (reading) I'm going to need you to provide leadership
15	here.
16	Q But at least as of Tuesday's deposition, you couldn't
17	remember why you needed Mr. Ellington to provide leadership,
18	right?
19	A Correct. Nor if he did.
20	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike the latter portion of
21	the answer, Your Honor.
22	THE COURT: Sustained.
23	BY MR. MORRIS:
24	Q So you have no
25	(Echoing.)
	Appx. 114

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 118 of 243 PageID 462 Dondero - Direct 85 1 MR. MORRIS: We're getting --2 THE WITNESS: Can I -- can I hold -- can I hold on 3 for one second here? Can I just put you guys on mute, please? 4 MR. MORRIS: Sure. 5 (Pause.) THE COURT: All right. 6 7 THE CLERK: John, there's some feedback again. I'm 8 sorry. 9 MR. MORRIS: That's okay. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Bonds, --MR. MORRIS: We lost Mr. --11 12 THE COURT: Mr. Bonds, what's going on? 13 MR. MORRIS: We've lost -- the screen --14 THE COURT: You know you can't counsel your client in 15 the middle of court testimony. I thought maybe Mr. Dondero 16 had some non-legal thing going on in the background. Mr. 17 Bonds? 18 MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I -- I did not in any way 19 counsel Mr. Dondero. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I'll take your 21 representation on that. Are we ready to go forward? 22 MR. MORRIS: I'll readily accept Mr. Bonds' 23 representation as well, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Okay. 25 MR. MORRIS: But I'd ask that it not happen again.

Case	3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 119 of 243 PageID 463 Dondero - Direct 86
	Dondero - Direct 86
1	THE COURT: Well, fair enough. I think Mr. Bonds
2	understands.
3	BY MR. MORRIS:
4	Q Mr. Dondero, you have no recollection of why you forwarded
5	this email to Mr. Ellington and why you told him you needed
6	him to provide leadership, correct?
7	A Correct.
8	MR. MORRIS: And if we can scroll up, can we just see
9	how Mr. Ellington responded?
10	BY MR. MORRIS:
11	Q All right. And can you just read for Judge Jernigan what
12	Mr. Ellington said on December 16th in response to your
13	statement that you're going to need him to provide leadership
14	here?
15	A (reading) On it.
16	Q Thank you. In your deposition, you testified without
17	qualification that Scott Ellington and Isaac Leventon did not
18	participate in the drafting of a joint interest or mutual
19	defense agreement. Do you recall that testimony?
20	A Yes, as far as I knew.
21	Q And you also testified that you never discussed with
22	either of them the topic of a joint defense or mutual defense
23	agreement; is that right?
24	A Correct. That was Draper.
25	Q Okay.
	Аррх. 116

Case	3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 120 of 243 PageID 464
	Dondero - Direct 87
1	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Exhibit 11, please? I
2	apologize. It's Exhibit W. Okay. Can we stop right there?
3	BY MR. MORRIS:
4	Q This is an email between some of your counsel and Mr.
5	Ellington. Do you see that?
6	A Yes.
7	Q And a common interest agreement is attached to the
8	communication. Is that a fair reading of the portion of the
9	exhibit that's on the screen?
10	A Yes.
11	MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll to the top of the
12	exhibit, please?
13	BY MR. MORRIS:
14	Q And do you see that there is an email exchange between Mr.
15	Ellington and Mr. Leventon concerning the common interest
16	agreement?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. So it's your testimony that this email may exist
19	but you had no idea that Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon were
20	working with your lawyers to draft a common interest
21	agreement? Is that your testimony?
22	A I wasn't part of this. It looks to me like they were just
23	included in a a final draft. And, again, Ellington is
24	settlement counsel. I but I don't want to speculate why or
25	what they were doing.

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 121 of 243 PageID 465

Dondero - Direct

88

1	Q Do you remember that I asked you a few questions the other
2	day about Multi-Strat financial statements and whether or not
3	you'd ever given you'd ever received any of those documents
4	from Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Okay. And you testified under oath that you never got any
7	financial information, including balance sheets, concerning
8	Multi-Strat from either of those lawyers, correct?
9	A I hmm. I I don't remember. Yeah, I don't remember.
10	I may have to clarify that, but I don't remember.
11	Q You testified under oath the other day that you wouldn't
12	even think to ask them for financial information relating to
13	Multi-Strat because it's not natural for them to have it,
14	right?
15	A I I'm sorry.
16	THE WITNESS: Your Honor, do I just have to answer
17	these questions yes or no, or is that the can I clarify at
18	all, or can I
19	THE COURT: Well, I mean, if the question simply
20	directs a yes or no answer, that's correct, you just answer
21	yes or no. And I think this one did.
22	Again, your lawyer is going to have the chance to do
23	follow-up examination later.
24	BY MR. MORRIS:
25	Q So let me try again. During your deposition, you

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 122 of 243 PageID 466</b> Dondero - Direct 89
1	testified under oath without qualification that you never got
2	any financial information, including balance sheets,
3	concerning Multi-Strat from Scott Ellington or Isaac Leventon,
4	correct?
5	A I believe I might have misspoken there.
6	Q Okay. But that was your testimony the other day, right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And today, you believe you might have gotten that
9	information from them, right?
10	A Only because Ellington was supposed to be the go-between
11	and I couldn't go directly to somebody. But he wouldn't
12	normally have that information, which is what I was saying.
13	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, I have an exhibit that's not
14	on the Debtor's exhibit list, and I was going to use it for
15	impeachment purposes to establish the fact that Mr. Ellington
16	and Mr. Leventon in fact gave to Mr. Dondero, after December
17	10th, financial information concerning Multi-Strat, which Mr.
18	Dondero had previously denied receiving. May I may I use
19	that document to impeach Mr. Dondero?
20	THE COURT: You may.
21	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I'm going to object. This is
22	pretty clearly something that should have been disclosed and
23	it wasn't.
24	THE COURT: Well, he says it's purely to impeach the
25	testimony that Mr. Dondero just now gave. So we'll we'll

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 123 of 243 PageID 467</b> Dondero - Direct 90
1	see the document and, you know, I'll either agree with that
2	being impeachment or not. So, he may proceed.
3	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I think that the testimony
4	Your Honor, I'm sorry. I think that the testimony that was
5	(inaudible) given was that he thought that he may have talked
6	to Scott or Isaac, not that he did not.
7	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, if I may, the testimony the
8	other day was unequivocal and unambiguous that not only didn't
9	he get this information from the two lawyers, but that he had
10	no reason to believe he would ever get the information from
11	those two lawyers.
12	I appreciate the fact that Mr. Dondero today is suggesting
13	that he may have, but I I would still like to use this
14	document to refresh his recollection and to impeach even the
15	possibility that he's giving this qualified testimony that he
16	may have.
17	THE COURT: All right.
18	MR. MORRIS: There's no doubt that he did.
19	THE COURT: I overrule the objection. You can go
20	forward.
21	MR. MORRIS: Can we please put up on the screen I
22	believe it's Debtor's Exhibit AA. And if we can scroll down,
23	please. And just stop, yeah, towards the top. All right.
24	Stop right there.
25	BY MR. MORRIS:

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 124 of 243 PageID 468</b> Dondero - Direct 91
1	Q Do you see in the first email Mr. Klos he's an employee
2	of the Debtor, right?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And he provides Multi-Strat balance sheet and financial
5	information to Mr. Leventon, Mr. Ellington, and Mr.
6	Waterhouse. Do you see that?
7	A Yes. He's the person I would normally go to.
8	Q Okay. And they're all Debtor employees, right?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Okay. And then Mr. Leventon sends it to you and Mr.
11	Ellington on February 4th, 2020; is that correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q And this is confidential information; is that fair?
14	A No.
15	Q Okay. Let's let's talk about the next
16	A No, it's not wait, wait, hold on a second. Judge, I
17	need to clarify this. I it's not confidential information.
18	It's available to every investor, of which I was one of them.
19	Okay? So, let's let's not mischaracterize this as some
20	corporate secret.
21	Q Okay. You interfered with the Debtor's production of
22	documents; isn't that right?
23	A No.
24	Q Several times in the last year, various entities have
25	requested that Dugaboy produce its financial statements,
	Аррх. 121

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 125 of 243 PageID 469</b> Dondero - Direct 92
1	correct?
2	A Dugaboy is my personal trust. It's not an entity of the
3	Debtor in any form or fashion.
4	Q Sir, you're aware that several times in the last year
5	various entities requested that the Debtor produce Dugaboy
6	financial information, correct?
7	A The Debtor is not in a position to do it. I I don't
8	know if it's been several times or whatever, but it's not
9	appropriate.
10	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Sustained.
12	BY MR. MORRIS:
13	Q I'll try one more time. If we need to go to the
14	transcript, we can. It's a very simple question. You knew
15	and you know that several times in the last year various
16	entities have requested that the Debtor produce Dugaboy
17	financial statements, correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Do you recall at the deposition the other day I asked you
20	whether you had ever discussed with Mr. Ellington and Mr.
21	Leventon whether or not the Dugaboy financial statements
22	needed to be produced, and you were directed not to answer the
23	question by counsel and you followed those directions?
24	A Yes.
25	Q But you communicated with at least one employee concerning
	Annx 122

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 126 of 243 PageID 470</b> Dondero - Direct 93
1	the production of the Dugaboy financial statements, correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And that's Melissa Schroth; is that right?
4	A Yes.
5	Q She's an executive accountant employed by the Debtor,
6	right?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And on December 16th, after the TRO was entered into, you
9	instructed Ms. Schroth not to produce the Dugaboy financials
10	without a subpoena, correct?
11	A That was the advice I had gotten from counsel, yes.
12	Q Okay. The Dugaboy and Get Good financial statements are
13	on the Debtor's platform, correct?
14	A I do not know.
15	Q There is no shared services agreement between Dugaboy or
16	Get Good and the Debtor, correct?
17	A I don't know.
18	Q You're not aware of any; is that fair?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay.
21	MR. MORRIS: Can we put on the screen Exhibit R? And
22	can you scroll down a bit?
23	BY MR. MORRIS:
24	Q Okay. That's Melissa Schroth at the top there; is that
25	right?
	Аррх. 123

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 127 of 243 PageID 471</b> Dondero - Direct 94
1	A Yes.
2	Q And these are texts that you exchanged with her after the
3	TRO was entered into, correct?
4	A Yes.
5	MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down a little bit?
6	BY MR. MORRIS:
7	Q And do you see on December 16th you sent Ms. Schroth an
8	email I apologize a text that says, "No Dugaboy details
9	without subpoena"?
10	A Yeah.
11	Q But you can't remember why you sent this text, correct?
12	At least you couldn't as of Tuesday?
13	A I believe it was on advice of counsel.
14	Q But that's not what you said on Tuesday, correct?
15	A I don't remember.
16	Q You sent this text even though you knew that various
17	entities had requested the Dugaboy financials, but you have no
18	recollection of ever talking to anyone at any time about the
19	production of those documents, right?
20	A Can you repeat the question?
21	Q I'll move on. Let me just last topic, and then I'm
22	going to respectfully request that we just take a short break.
23	You're familiar with the law firm of Baker & McKenzie; is that
24	right?
25	(Echoing.)
	Appx, 124

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 128 of 243 PageID 472</b> Dondero - Direct 95
1	A The contract Very broke up on up there
2	A I'm sorry. You broke up on us there. Q No problem. You're familiar with the law firm Baker &
3	Q No problem. You're familiar with the law firm Baker & McKenzie, correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q That firm has never never represented you or any entity
6	
7	in which you have an ownership interest, correct?
8	A Correct.
9	Q But in December, the Employee Group, of which Mr. Leventon
	and Mr. Ellington was a part, was considering changing counsel
10	from Winston & Strawn to Baker & McKenzie, right?
11	A I believe so.
12	Q And you asked and because of that, you specifically
13	asked Mr. Leventon for the contact information for the lawyers
14	at Baker & McKenzie, right?
15	A I believe so.
16	Q Okay.
17	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Exhibit S, please?
18	BY MR. MORRIS:
19	Q And who is that email sent from? I apologize. Withdrawn.
20	Who is that text message exchange with?
21	A Isaac Leventon.
22	Q Okay. And Mr. Leventon was an employee of the Debtor
23	after December 10th, correct?
24	A Yes.
25	MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down a little bit?
	Аррх. 125

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 129 of 243 PageID 473</b> Dondero - Direct 96
1	
1	BY MR. MORRIS:
2	Q And on December 22nd, you asked Mr. Leventon for the
3	contact information at Baker & McKenzie, correct?
4	A Yes.
5	Q And the reason you asked Mr. Leventon for the contact
6	information, that was in connection with the shared defense or
7	mutual defense agreement, right?
8	A I I don't remember why. It might have just been for my
9	records. I don't know.
10	Q The only reason that you could think of for asking for
11	this information was for the shared defense or mutual defense
12	agreement, correct?
13	A I no, it I don't know and I don't want to speculate.
14	I don't want to I don't want to speculate. I did I
15	don't think I ever got I don't know what your point is.
16	MR. MORRIS: May we please go back to the transcript
17	at Page 136? At the bottom, Line 23.
18	BY MR. MORRIS:
19	Q Were you asked this question and did you give this answer?
20	"Q Do you recall asking Isaac Leventon for the
21	contact information for the for the lawyers at
22	Bakers & McKenzie?
23	"A I I don't I don't it might have been for
24	part of the shared defense, mutual defense whatever
25	agreement, but that's that's the only reason I would

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 130 of 243 PageID 474</b> Dondero - Direct 97
1	have asked for it."
2	Q Did you give that answer to my question?
3	A Yeah. I shouldn't have speculated.
4	Q Okay. But that's the answer you gave the other day; is
5	that right?
6	A I shouldn't have speculated. That's my answer today.
7	Q And today withdrawn. In fact, you wanted the Baker
8	contact information in order to help Mr. Draper coordinate the
9	mutual defense agreement, correct?
10	A I don't want to speculate.
11	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Page 139, please? Lines 2
12	to 5.
13	BY MR. MORRIS:
14	Q Did you did you hear this question and did you give
15	this answer on Tuesday?
16	"Q Why did you want the Baker & McKenzie contact
17	information?
18	"A I was trying to help Draper coordinate the mutual
19	shared defense agreement, period."
20	Q Did you give that answer to my question on Tuesday?
21	A Yes.
22	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, I'd respectfully request a
23	short break to see if I've got anything more.
24	THE COURT: All right. Well, I was going to ask you
25	how much more do you think you have. We've been going almost
	Аррх. 127

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 131 of 243 PageID 475 Dondero - Direct 98 1 two hours. 2 So we'll take a break. Let's make it a ten-minute break. 3 And then, depending on how much more you have and how much Mr. 4 Bonds is going to have, we'll figure out are we going to need 5 a lunch break in just a bit. All right. So it's 12:00 noon Central. We'll come back 6 at 12:10. Ten minutes. 7 MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, may I have an instruction of 8 9 the witness not to check his phone for any purposes, not to 10 make -- not to communicate with anybody until -- until his 11 testimony is completed? 12 THE COURT: All right. Any -- any --13 MR. BONDS: Your Honor, he's going to speak with me. 14 THE COURT: Pardon? 15 MR. BONDS: I assumed he will speak to me about just general events. I mean, I don't want to be in breach of some 16 17 order. 18 MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I would -- I would ask 19 for -- you know, it's not -- he's on the stand. He's still on 20 the stand. 21 THE COURT: Yeah. He --22 MR. MORRIS: He shouldn't be conferring with counsel, 23 either. No disrespect to Mr. Bonds at all. 24 THE COURT: Exactly. I mean, you all can talk about, 25 you know, the national champion football game or whatever, but **Appx. 128** 

Case	3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 132 of 243 PageID 476
	Dondero - Direct 99
1	it would be counseling your client in the middle of testimony
2	if you if you talk about this case at the moment. So, you
3	know,
4	MR. BONDS: I understand, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: All right.
6	MR. BONDS: I just didn't want to be
7	THE COURT: All right. So now we'll come back at
8	12:11.
9	THE CLERK: All rise.
10	MR. MORRIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
11	(A recess ensued from 12:01 p.m. until 12:12 p.m.
12	THE CLERK: All rise.
13	THE COURT: Please be seated. This is Judge
14	Jernigan. We're going back on the record in Highland Capital
15	versus Dondero. We have taken an 11-minute break. It looks
16	like we have Mr. Dondero and counsel back. And Mr. Morris,
17	are you out there, ready to proceed?
18	MR. MORRIS: I am, Your Honor. And I do have just a
19	few more questions.
20	THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. Mr. Lynn, I see you're
21	there in the room with Mr. Dondero. Now, did you want to
22	MR. LYNN: Here's Mr. Bonds. I apologize. He was in
23	the restroom.
24	THE COURT: Okay. All right. Everyone ready to
25	proceed?

Dondero - Direct1001MR. MORRIS: Yes, Your Honor.2THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Morris, go ahead.3MR. MORRIS: Thank you, Your Honor.4DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED5BY MR. MORRIS:6Q Can you hear me, Mr. Dondero?7A Yes.8Q Did you ever discuss the request of any party to produce9the financial statements of Get Good and Dugaboy with Scott10Ellington?11A Not that I recall.12Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Leventon on the subject13matter of whether or not the financial statements for Get Good14and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor?15A No.16Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to17answer the other day, right?18A I don't remember.19Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some20capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right?21A Yes.22Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that23permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his24so-called capacity as settlement counsel?	Case	3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 133 of 243 PageID 477
2THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Morris, go ahead.3MR. MORRIS: Thank you, Your Honor.4DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED5BY MR. MORRIS:6Q Can you hear me, Mr. Dondero?7A Yes.8Q Did you ever discuss the request of any party to produce9the financial statements of Get Good and Dugaboy with Scott10Ellington?11A Not that I recall.12Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Leventon on the subject13matter of whether or not the financial statements for Get Good14and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor?15A No.16Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to17answer the other day, right?18A I don't remember.19Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some20capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right?21A Yes.22Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that23permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his24so-called capacity as settlement counsel?	Cuo	
2THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Morris, go ahead.3MR. MORRIS: Thank you, Your Honor.4DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED5BY MR. MORRIS:6Q Can you hear me, Mr. Dondero?7A Yes.8Q Did you ever discuss the request of any party to produce9the financial statements of Get Good and Dugaboy with Scott10Ellington?11A Not that I recall.12Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Leventon on the subject13matter of whether or not the financial statements for Get Good14and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor?15A No.16Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to17answer the other day, right?18A I don't remember.19Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some20capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right?21A Yes.22Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that23permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his24so-called capacity as settlement counsel?		
<ul> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you, Your Honor.</li> <li>DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED</li> <li>BY MR. MORRIS:</li> <li>Q Can you hear me, Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did you ever discuss the request of any party to produce</li> <li>the financial statements of Get Good and Dugaboy with Scott</li> <li>Ellington?</li> <li>A Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Leventon on the subject</li> <li>matter of whether or not the financial statements for Get Good</li> <li>and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to</li> <li>answer the other day, right?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some</li> <li>capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that</li> <li>permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his</li> <li>so-called capacity as settlement counsel?</li> </ul>		
4       DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED         5       BY MR. MORRIS:         6       Q Can you hear me, Mr. Dondero?         7       A Yes.         8       Q Did you ever discuss the request of any party to produce         9       the financial statements of Get Good and Dugaboy with Scott         10       Ellington?         11       A Not that I recall.         2       Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Leventon on the subject         13       matter of whether or not the financial statements for Get Good         14       and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor?         15       A No.         16       Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to         17       answer the other day, right?         18       A I don't remember.         19       Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some         20       capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right?         21       A Yes.         22       Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that         23       permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his         24       so-called capacity as settlement counsel?		
<ul> <li>BY MR. MORRIS:</li> <li>Q Can you hear me, Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did you ever discuss the request of any party to produce</li> <li>the financial statements of Get Good and Dugaboy with Scott</li> <li>Ellington?</li> <li>A Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Leventon on the subject</li> <li>matter of whether or not the financial statements for Get Good</li> <li>and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to</li> <li>answer the other day, right?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some</li> <li>capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that</li> <li>permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his</li> <li>so-called capacity as settlement counsel?</li> </ul>	3	MR. MORRIS: Thank you, Your Honor.
<ul> <li>6 Q Can you hear me, Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>7 A Yes.</li> <li>8 Q Did you ever discuss the request of any party to produce</li> <li>9 the financial statements of Get Good and Dugaboy with Scott</li> <li>10 Ellington?</li> <li>11 A Not that I recall.</li> <li>12 Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Leventon on the subject</li> <li>13 matter of whether or not the financial statements for Get Good</li> <li>14 and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor?</li> <li>15 A No.</li> <li>16 Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to</li> <li>17 answer the other day, right?</li> <li>18 A I don't remember.</li> <li>19 Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some</li> <li>20 capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right?</li> <li>21 A Yes.</li> <li>22 Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that</li> <li>23 permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his</li> <li>24 so-called capacity as settlement counsel?</li> </ul>	4	DIRECT EXAMINATION, RESUMED
<ul> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Did you ever discuss the request of any party to produce</li> <li>the financial statements of Get Good and Dugaboy with Scott</li> <li>Ellington?</li> <li>A Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Leventon on the subject</li> <li>matter of whether or not the financial statements for Get Good</li> <li>and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to</li> <li>answer the other day, right?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some</li> <li>capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that</li> <li>permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his</li> <li>so-called capacity as settlement counsel?</li> </ul>	5	BY MR. MORRIS:
<ul> <li>B Q Did you ever discuss the request of any party to produce the financial statements of Get Good and Dugaboy with Scott Ellington?</li> <li>A Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Leventon on the subject matter of whether or not the financial statements for Get Good and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to answer the other day, right?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his so-called capacity as settlement counsel?</li> </ul>	6	Q Can you hear me, Mr. Dondero?
9 the financial statements of Get Good and Dugaboy with Scott Ellington? 11 A Not that I recall. 2 Did you ever communicate with Mr. Leventon on the subject matter of whether or not the financial statements for Get Good and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor? 15 A No. 16 Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to answer the other day, right? 18 A I don't remember. 19 Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right? 2 Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his so-called capacity as settlement counsel?	7	A Yes.
Ellington? A Not that I recall. Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Leventon on the subject matter of whether or not the financial statements for Get Good and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor? A No. Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to answer the other day, right? A I don't remember. Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right? A Yes. Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his so-called capacity as settlement counsel?	8	Q Did you ever discuss the request of any party to produce
<ul> <li>A Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Leventon on the subject</li> <li>matter of whether or not the financial statements for Get Good</li> <li>and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to</li> <li>answer the other day, right?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some</li> <li>capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that</li> <li>permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his</li> <li>so-called capacity as settlement counsel?</li> </ul>	9	the financial statements of Get Good and Dugaboy with Scott
Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Leventon on the subject matter of whether or not the financial statements for Get Good and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor? A No. Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to answer the other day, right? A I don't remember. Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right? A Yes. Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his so-called capacity as settlement counsel?	10	Ellington?
<ul> <li>matter of whether or not the financial statements for Get Good</li> <li>and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to</li> <li>answer the other day, right?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some</li> <li>capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that</li> <li>permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his</li> <li>so-called capacity as settlement counsel?</li> </ul>	11	A Not that I recall.
<ul> <li>14 and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor?</li> <li>15 A No.</li> <li>16 Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to</li> <li>17 answer the other day, right?</li> <li>18 A I don't remember.</li> <li>19 Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some</li> <li>20 capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right?</li> <li>21 A Yes.</li> <li>22 Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that</li> <li>23 permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his</li> <li>24 so-called capacity as settlement counsel?</li> </ul>	12	Q Did you ever communicate with Mr. Leventon on the subject
15 A No. 16 Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to 17 answer the other day, right? 18 A I don't remember. 19 Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some 20 capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that 23 permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his 24 so-called capacity as settlement counsel?	13	matter of whether or not the financial statements for Get Good
<ul> <li>Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to</li> <li>answer the other day, right?</li> <li>A I don't remember.</li> <li>Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some</li> <li>capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that</li> <li>permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his</li> <li>so-called capacity as settlement counsel?</li> </ul>	14	and Dugaboy needed to be produced by the Debtor?
<pre>17 answer the other day, right? 18 A I don't remember. 19 Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some 20 capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that 23 permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his 24 so-called capacity as settlement counsel?</pre>	15	A No.
18 A I don't remember. 19 Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some 20 capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that 23 permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his 24 so-called capacity as settlement counsel?	16	Q Those are the two questions that you were directed not to
19 Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some 20 capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that 23 permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his 24 so-called capacity as settlement counsel?	17	answer the other day, right?
<pre>20 capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that 23 permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his 24 so-called capacity as settlement counsel?</pre>	18	A I don't remember.
21 A Yes. 22 Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that 23 permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his 24 so-called capacity as settlement counsel?	19	Q Okay. You mentioned that Mr. Ellington serves in some
Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his so-called capacity as settlement counsel?	20	capacity as settlement counsel. Do I have that right?
<pre>23 permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his 24 so-called capacity as settlement counsel?</pre>	21	A Yes.
24 so-called capacity as settlement counsel?	22	Q Do you know if there's any exception in the TRO that
	23	permits you to communicate directly with Mr. Ellington in his
25 A There was no change in his status in the TRO. It's and	24	so-called capacity as settlement counsel?
	25	A There was no change in his status in the TRO. It's and

Case	3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 134 of 243 PageID 478
Cus	Dondero - Direct 101
1	
1	I think he was still used by both the Debtor and by me in that
2	function.
3	Q You said that you testified earlier that you understood
4	that you were prohibited from speaking with the Debtor's
5	employees, correct?
6	A Except for except for with regard to the pot plan,
7	shared services, and Ellington as settlement counsel. But I
8	continued to talk to employees about the pot plan as recently
9	as the end of the year, and I continued to talk to employees
10	about shared services based on the shared services proposal
11	that was sent to Ellington and forwarded to me as recently as
12	two days ago.
13	Q You never you never read the TRO, right?
14	A No.
15	MR. MORRIS: Can we have it put up on the screen? I
16	don't know the exhibit number, Ms. Canty, but hopefully it's
17	clear on the exhibit list.
18	MS. CANTY: I'm sorry, John. Can you repeat what
19	you're looking for?
20	MR. MORRIS: The TRO. (Pause.) Can we scroll down
21	to Paragraph 2, please? Okay.
22	BY MR. MORRIS:
23	Q I appreciate the fact that you've never seen this before,
24	Mr. Dondero, but let me know if I'm reading Section 2(c)
25	correctly. "James Dondero is temporarily enjoined and
	Appx, 131

### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 135 of 243 PageID 479

Dondero - Direct

102

1	refrained from" subparagraph (c) "communicating with any
2	of the Debtor's employees, except for specifically except
3	as it specifically relates to shared services currently
4	provided to affiliates owned or controlled by Mr. Dondero."
5	Have I read that correctly?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Does that provide for any exceptions concerning the pot
8	plan?
9	A The Independent Board requested a meeting on the pot plan.
10	Q Okay. But does it I appreciate that, and we'll talk
11	about that in a moment, but my question is very specifically
12	looking at the order. And I, again, appreciate that you've
13	never seen it before. But looking at the order now, is there
14	any exception for you to communicate with the Debtor's
15	employees concerning the pot plan?
16	A I would think the pot plan would fall under that, since
17	some of the pot plan value is coming from affiliated entities
18	that are subject to the shared services agreement. I would
19	think that would be reasonable, again, plus the well, it
20	was the subject of a meeting with the Independent Board at the
21	end of the month.
22	Q Okay.
23	A I still think it's the best alternative for this estate.
24	Q Okay. Did you did you ever did you ever ask
25	anybody, on your behalf, have asked the Debtors whether they
	Аррх. 132

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 136 of 243 PageID 480</b> Dondero - Direct 103
1	agreed with what you believed was a reasonable interpretation
2	of the restraining order?
3	A I did not.
4	Q Okay. And let's just deal with the notion of settlement
5	counsel. Do you see anywhere in this TRO and if you want
6	to read anything more, please let me know do you see
7	anything in this TRO that would permit you to speak with Mr.
8	Ellington in his so-called role as settlement counsel?
9	A Well, I would say, more importantly, I don't see anything
10	that takes away his role as settlement counsel, which was
11	formally done six months ago.
12	Q Okay. I did read Section 2(c) correctly, right?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And the only exception that's in Judge Jernigan's
15	restraining order that she entered against you relates to
16	shared services. Have I read that correctly?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Okay. Let's talk about the pot plan for a moment. After
19	the TRO was entered, you were interested in continuing to
20	pursue the pot plan; is that right?
21	A I still believe it's the best possible result for this
22	estate.
23	Q And you sought a forum with the Debtor's board, correct?
24	A Yes.
25	Q And you knew that you couldn't speak directly with any
	Аррх. 133

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 137 of 243 PageID 481</b> Dondero - Direct 104
1	member of the Debtor's board unless your counsel and the
2	Debtor's counsel was was present at the same time.
3	Correct?
4	A Yeah. As a matter of fact, I didn't go. I just had
5	counsel go.
6	Q And the Debtor's board gave Mr. Lynn a forum for him to
7	present your pot plan after the TRO was entered. Isn't that
8	right?
9	A I believe so.
10	Q And are you aware that the Debtor's board spent more than
11	an hour and a half with Mr. Lynn talking about your pot plan
12	after the TRO was entered?
13	A Yes.
14	Q And is it fair to say that, notwithstanding Mr. Lynn's
15	goodwill and Mr. Lynn's efforts to try to get to a successful
16	resolution here, the terms on which the pot plan were offered
17	were unacceptable to the Debtor?
18	A I wasn't there. I I don't know.
19	Q The Debtor never made a counteroffer, did it?
20	A Not that I heard.
21	Q You'll admit, will you not, that over the last year you or
22	others acting on behalf on your behalf have made various
23	pot plan proposals to the Official Committee of Unsecured
24	Creditors?
25	A Quite generous pot plans that I think will exceed any

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 138 of 243 PageID 482</b> Dondero - Direct 105
1	other recoveries.
2	Q Okay. So you're aware that your pot plan was delivered
3	either by you or on your behalf to the U.C.C., correct?
4	A I some were. Some, I don't know.
5	Q Okay. Has the U.C.C. ever made a counterproposal to you?
6	A Nope.
7	MR. MORRIS: I have no further questions, Your Honor.
8	THE COURT: All right. Pass the witness.
9	Mr. Bonds, do you have any time estimate for me,
10	guesstimate?
11	MR. BONDS: My guess is, Your Honor, it'll be about
12	an hour. I would hope that we could take some type of a
13	break, just because I'm a diabetic and need to have some
14	THE COURT: All right. Well,
15	MR. MORRIS: I have no objection, Your Honor.
16	Whatever suits the Court. I'm willing to accommodate Mr.
17	Bonds always.
18	THE COURT: Okay. Let's take a 45-minute break.
19	Forty-five minutes. So, it's 12:22. We'll come back at seven
20	minutes after 1:00 Central time.
21	All right. We're in recess.
22	THE CLERK: All rise.
23	(A luncheon recess ensued from 12:23 p.m. to 1:15 p.m.)
24	THE CLERK: All rise.
25	THE COURT: Please be seated. This is Judge

Case	3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 139 of 243 PageID 483
	Dondero - Cross 106
1	Jernigan. We are going back on the record in Highland Capital
2	Management versus Dondero. We took a lunch break. And when
3	we broke, Mr. Bonds was going to have the chance to examine
4	Mr. Dondero.
5	Let me just make sure we have, first, Mr. Dondero and Mr.
6	Bonds. Are you there?
7	MR. BONDS: Yes, we are.
8	THE COURT: All right. Very good. I don't see your
9	video yet, but there you are. All right. Mr. Morris, are
10	you there?
11	MR. MORRIS: I am here. Can you hear me, Your Honor?
12	THE COURT: I can. All right.
13	MR. MORRIS: Thank you.
14	THE COURT: Well, we've got lots of other people, but
15	that's all I'll make sure we have at this moment. All right.
16	Mr. Bonds, you may proceed.
17	And, Mr. Dondero, I know you know this, but I'm required
18	to remind you you're still under oath.
19	Okay, go ahead.
20	CROSS-EXAMINATION
21	BY MR. BONDS:
22	Q Before you resigned as portfolio manager, how long had you
23	had with Highland Capital Management?
24	A Since inception in 1994.
25	Q Okay. And how long have your offices been at the
	Аррх. 136

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 140 of 243 PageID 484</b> Dondero - Cross 107
1	Crescent?
2	A Eight years.
3	
	Q Okay. Before you resigned as portfolio manager, did you
4	spend a lot of time in the office?
5	A Yes. I spent every business day this or 2020,
6	including COVID, in the office.
7	Q Okay. And this is the first time that you are not in the
8	office, is that right, in decades?
9	A Yes.
10	Q Can you tell us about the shared services agreement that
11	exists between the Debtor and the other entities in which you
12	have an interest?
13	A NexPoint, NexBank, the DAF, HFAM, primarily. I don't know
14	what other entities paid. Shared services, which is typical
15	in finance, for centralized tax, accounting, RICO function, so
16	that we don't have to have redundant, multiple high-paid
17	people in different entities. We'd have them centralized and
18	with collective experience and collective functionality. And
19	so, historically and recently, they pay Highland for those
20	fees for those services. And I, as a non-paid employee, or a
21	non-employee of Highland but a paid employee of NexBank of
22	NexPoint, was and my occupancy and support were part of
23	those shared services agreement.
24	Q What do those agreements allow those entities to do?
25	A Would it allow those entities to do? Well, to access the

### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 141 of 243 PageID 485

Ш

Dondero - Cross

1	Highland functionality as appropriate, because most of those
2	entities, as is typical in finance, did not have their own
3	functionality, legal, tax, and legal, tax, and accounting,
4	but although they've been they've been building it lately
5	in anticipation of the pot plan not going through at Highland.
6	Q Okay. Do those agreements allow you to share office space
7	with
8	MR. MORRIS: Objection
9	THE WITNESS: Yes.
10	MR. MORRIS: to the form of the question, Your
11	Honor. I think the exhibits and the agreements themselves
12	would be the best evidence. They're not in evidence. They
13	haven't been offered in evidence. I have no way to challenge
14	the witness on anything he's saying. And on that basis, I'd
15	it's not fair to the Plaintiff.
16	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bonds, can I ask you to
17	repeat your question? It was muffled and I was about to ask
18	you to repeat it before I got the objection. So, repeat the
19	question so I can
20	MR. BONDS: Okay. I'm going to repeat it and amend
21	it.
22	THE COURT: Okay.
23	BY MR. BONDS:
24	Q Is it your understanding that those agreements allow you
25	to share office space with the Debtor?
	Аррх. 138

108

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 142 of 243 PageID 486

Dondero - Cross

109

1	A Yes. Virtually all of NexPoint's employees share the
2	Highland office space as part of a shared services agreement.
3	Q Do those agreements allow you to share I'm sorry,
4	excuse me. Strike that. What else do they allow?
5	A Typically is used in coordination of systems, servers,
6	software, cloud software, Internet software, office software,
7	tax, accounting, and legal functionality are all part of the
8	shared services agreement, although, you know, much of much
9	of that was stripped, you know, four or five months ago,
10	especially legal functionality and the accounting
11	functionality, without the concurrent adjustment in the
12	building.
13	Q Okay. And you previously testified that you generally
14	control NexPoint; is that correct?
15	A Generally. And the distinction I was trying to make is,
16	you know, following the financial crisis in '08, compliance
17	and the chief compliance officer has personal liability. along
18	with the rest of the C Suite, and operates independently, with
19	primary loyalty to the regulatory bodies. And they're
20	they're not controlled, bamboozled, or segued away from their
21	responsibility. And at all times, they're supposed to be
22	doing what they believe is right, regulatorily-compliant, and
23	in the best interest of investors.
24	So that was the distinction I was drawing between, A, what

24 So that was the distinction I was drawing between, A, what 25 I was trying to remind Thomas of, that he should be

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 143 of 243 PageID 487

Dondero - Cross

1	independent of Seery, in terms of following what he believes
2	is correct and regulatory-compliant. And I don't have to push
3	the NexPoint compliance people and general counsel to do
4	anything specific, nor could I. They are supposed to do what
5	is right from a regulatory investor standpoint, and I believe
6	that's what they've done.

7 Q All right. And what do you mean by the term or the usage 8 of the word "generally"?

9 A Well, that's the distinction I was just drawing. I mean,
10 generally, on regular business strategy, you know, major
11 investments, you know, other business items, I'm in control of
12 those entities. But in terms of the content and allegations,
13 regulatory opinions that come from compliance and the general
14 counsel, that is their best views on their own, knowing they
15 have compliance obligations and personal liability.

16 Q Do you believe that NexPoint and its other owners and 17 interest holders have rights independent from your own in this 18 case?

A Right, yes, and obligations, and responsibilities to investors. I believe the attempt by the Debtor or Seery to hide behind contracts that the Debtor has with the CLOs are -are a spurious, incomplete argument. You know, they're not in compliance with those contracts. Bankruptcy alone is an event of default. Not having the key man -- the key men, the required requisite professionals that they're obligated to

#### 110

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 144 of 243 PageID 488

Dondero - Cross

1 contractually have working at the Debtor is a clear breach, in 2 violation of those CLO contracts. Not having adequate staff 3 or investment professionals to analyze, evaluate, or follow 4 the investments in the portfolio is a clear violation. And 5 specifically telling investors in the marketplace that you plan to terminate all employees, a date certain January 24th, 6 7 is a proclamation that you're not going to be in any form able 8 to be a qualified registered investment advisor or qualified 9 in any which way to manage the portfolio or be in compliance 10 with the CLO contracts.

11 I would -- I would further add that the selling of the 12 securities, and the SKY securities, represent incomplete 13 intentional incurring of loss against the investors. You have 14 securities that are less liquid with, you know, restructured 15 securities that have been owned for ten years, and they were 16 sold during the most illiquid weeks of the year, the couple 17 days before and after Thanksgiving, couple days before and 18 after Christmas, where the investors could have gotten 10 or 19 15 percent more on their monies if they were just sold in a 20 normal week. It's -- it's preposterous to me. It's 21 consistent with Seery not being an investment (garbled). 22 But it's preposterous to me that -- that this treatment of 23 investors is allowed or being camouflaged as some kind of

24 contractual obligation, when the investors have said these 25 funds are clearly in transition and the manager clearly is

#### Appx. 141

111

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 145 of 243 PageID 489

Dondero - Cross

112

1	incapable of managing them. You know, please don't transact
2	until the transition is complete. But Jim Seery has traded
3	every day, including I don't know about today, but every
4	day this week, selling securities for no investment rationale
5	and no business purpose.
6	Q Are you also portfolio manager for NexPoint?
7	A Yeah, I'm a portfolio manager for the closed-end retail
8	funds, which do have a higher fiduciary obligation than
9	anything on the institutional side. I'm a portfolio manager
10	for those '40 Act funds that are the primary owners of the
11	CLOs that Seery is selling securities in for some unknown
12	reason.
13	Q And what shared service agreements exist between NexPoint
14	and the Debtor?
15	A Those are the shared service agreements I spoke of. I
16	don't want to repeat myself.
17	Q And I'm going to call Highland Capital Management Fund
18	Advisors, LP just Fund Advisors. Is that okay with you?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. And you testified generally that you generally
21	control Fund Advisors; is that correct?
22	A Yes.
23	Q Do you believe that Fund Advisors and its owners and
24	interest holders have rights independent from your own in this
25	case?
	Appx. 142

Case	3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 146 of 243 PageID 490
	Dondero - Cross 113
1	A Yes.
2	Q Are you the portfolio manager for Fund Advisors?
3	A Yes.
4	Q What shared services agreements exist between Fund
5	Advisors and the Debtor?
6	MR. MORRIS: Objection, Your Honor. The agreements
7	themselves are the best evidence of the existence in terms of
8	any agreement between the Debtor and these entities.
9	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I can fix that.
10	THE COURT: Okay.
11	BY MR. BONDS:
12	Q I'm just asking: What is your understanding, Mr. Dondero,
13	of the shared service agreements between the Debtor and Fund
14	Advisors?
15	A It's similar to the agreement I mentioned earlier. It
16	covers a broad range of centralized services historically
17	provided by Highland, but now those, while still paying
18	smaller than historic fees, those entities now have been
19	required to incur the expenses of duplicating those functions.
20	Q Okay. Do you recall the email string dated November 24th
21	regarding SKY equity that the Debtor talked about?
22	A Yes.
23	Q What did you mean when you sent that email about the
24	trade? What did you mean, I'm sorry?
25	A I was trying to inform the traders, and once they knew

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 147 of 243 PageID 491

Dondero - Cross

they weren't willing to do the trades anymore once they knew that the underlying investors had requested that their accounts not being traded until the transition be -- until the transition of the CLOs was effectuated.

5 It's -- it's standard by, you know, statute or 6 understanding, in the money and management business, when 7 you're moving accounts from one asset manager to another, and 8 someone requests that you don't do anything to their account, 9 you don't trade it whimsically. And so I was -- I was making 10 sure the traders knew that the underlying investors had 11 requested that no trades occur in their accounts.

And then I believed it was a clear violation of the Registered Investment Adviser's Act. I believe that people involved at a senior level or at a compliance level could have material liability, and could create material liability for the Debtor. And I think if, as I said before, I think if anybody on this call were to call the SEC, they would start on audit on this.

MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, I move to strike the first portion of the answer prior to when he started to describe what he believes and what he thinks. The first portion of the answer was devoted to testifying about what is in the knowledge of the people who he was communicating with. There's no evidence. Mr. Dondero, of course, was free to call any witness he wanted. He could have called the chief

#### 114

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 148 of 243 PageID 492</b> Dondero - Cross 115
1	compliance officer. He could have called the general counsel.
2	He could have called all the people he's now testifying on
3	behalf of, and he did not.
4	So I move to strike anything in the record that purports
5	to reflect or suggest the knowledge on behalf of any party
6	other than Mr. Dondero.
7	THE COURT: Okay. I'm
8	MR. BONDS: Let me rephrase Your Honor, I'm going
9	to rephrase the question.
10	THE COURT: Okay. Very well.
11	MR. BONDS: I'm sorry.
12	THE COURT: So the motion to strike is granted. If
13	you're going to rephrase, go ahead.
14	MR. BONDS: Okay.
15	BY MR. BONDS:
16	Q Mr. Dondero, what did you mean when you said that the
17	emails about the trade?
18	A Okay. I'll give my intention by sending emails to stop
19	the trade and my basis for those emails. My intentions were
20	to inform the traders and to inform the compliance people that
21	I believe there was a trade that wasn't in the best interest
22	of the employees that had no business purpose for its
23	occurring. And the people involved weren't aware that the
24	investors had sent over requests not to trade their accounts
25	while they were in transition.

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 149 of 243 PageID 493

Dondero - Cross

1	So I made the traders aware of that. I made compliance
2	aware of that also. And it's my belief, based on 30 years'
3	experience in the industry, that it is entirely inappropriate
4	to trade the accounts of investors that are in transition, and
5	especially when you're not you're not contractually you
6	are contractually in default with that client, to trade their
7	account whimsically, for no business purpose. And I thought
8	it was a clear breach of both regulatory, ethical, and
9	fairness with regard to the investors.
10	So I what did you know, when did you know it, what did
11	you do? I did what I felt was the right thing, which I try
12	and do every day, and made all the relevant parties aware of
13	what was going on.
14	Q Mr. Dondero, do you recall the text message you sent to
15	Mr. Seery in which you said, "Be careful what you do"?
16	A Yes.
17	Q What did you mean by that message?
18	A It's I even said, Last warning. I mean, I he's
19	doing things against the interests of investors. He's
20	purposely incurring losses by trading in days and weeks and
21	time of the year, the day before and after Thanksgiving, where
22	any novice knows the markets are illiquid and anybody who can
23	read a computer screen can see you get ten percent less
24	five or ten percent less than you would the week before or the
25	week after. And with as much professional umbrage as

116

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 150 of 243 PageID 494</b> Dondero - Cross 117
1	possible, I was recommending that he stop.
2	Q Did you intend to personally threaten Mr. Seery in any
3	way?
4	A No. It was bad bad intentional professional acts
5	against the interests of investors that flow through to '40
6	Act retail mom-and-pop investors. I was trying to prevent
7	those losses and those bad acts from occurring. And I believe
8	everybody who's everybody around that issue should be
9	ashamed of themselves, in my opinion.
10	Q Do you now regret sending the text?
11	A No. No, I mean, I could have worded it differently. I
12	was angry on behalf of the investors.
13	Q And Mr. Dondero, you have management ownership interest in
14	that entity; is that right?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Do you believe the interests or other entities in which
17	you are involved are independent from your personal rights in
18	this case?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And do you believe you caused anyone to violate the TRO?
21	A No. I've been I've been very conscious to just try and
22	champion the thing that things that I think are important
23	and the things that I've been tasked to do, like an attractive
24	pot plan to help resolve this case. I spend time on that.
25	But every once in a while, do I have to access, let's say,

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 151 of 243 PageID 495

Dondero - Cross

118

David Klos, who is the person who put the model together, who has been working on it for six or nine months, and no one else S has a copy of? Yes. Yeah, I have to -- I have to access him. I don't believe that's the -- inappropriate or in any way violating the spirit of the TRO.

I believe settlement in this case is only going to happen 6 7 with somebody fostering communication. And Ellington's role, 8 which I thought was a good one and I thought he was performing 9 well as settlement counsel, was an important role. And I used 10 him for things like -- and Seery also used him for things. As 11 recently as two days before Ellington was fired, Seery gave 12 him a shared services proposal to negotiate with me. 13 Ellington has always been the go-between from a settlement and a legal standpoint. I think his role there was -- it was 14 15 valued. To try to honor the TRO was things like Multi-Strat, 16 that I didn't remember correctly. Ninety percent of the time 17 or for the last 20 years I would have gone directly to 18 Accounting and Dave Klos for it, but I purposely went to 19 settlement counsel in terms of Ellington in order to get the 20 Multi-Strat information which we needed in order to put the 21 pot plan together that we went to the Independent Board with 22 at the end of December.

Q (faintly) And do you recall the questions that Debtor's counsel had regarding the letters sent by K&L Gates to clients of the Debtor?

Case	3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 152 of 243 PageID 496
	Dondero - Cross 119
1	MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I had trouble
2	hearing that question.
3	THE COURT: Please repeat.
4	MR. BONDS: Sure.
5	BY MR. BONDS:
6	Q Do you recall the questions Debtor's counsel had regarding
7	the letters sent by K&L Gates to the clients of the Debtor
8	to the Debtor?
9	A Yes.
10	Q You testified on direct that the letters were sent to do
11	the right thing; is that correct?
12	A Yes.
13	Q What did you mean by that?
14	A I don't want to repeat too much of what I just said, but
15	the Debtor has a contract to manage the CLOs, which in no way
16	is it not in default of. It doesn't have the staff. It
17	doesn't have the expertise. Seery has no historic knowledge
18	on the investments. The investment staff of Highland has been
19	gutted, with me being gone, with Mark Okada being gone, with
20	Trey Parker being gone, with John Poglitsch being gone.
21	And there's there's a couple analysts that are a year
22	or two out of school. The overall portfolio is in no way
23	being understood, managed, or monitored. And for it to be
24	amateur hour, incurring losses for no business purpose, when
25	the investors have requested numerous times for their account

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 153 of 243 PageID 497

Dondero - Cross

1	not to be traded, is crazy to me. Where the investors say, We
2	just want our account left alone. We just want to keep the
3	exposure. And Jim Seery decides no, there's I'm going to
4	turn it into cash for no reason. I'm just going to sell your
5	assets and turn them to cash and incur losses by doing it the
6	week of Thanksgiving and the week of Christmas. I think it's
7	it's shameful. I'm glad the compliance people and the
8	general counsel at HFAM and NexPoint saw it the same way. I
9	didn't edit their letters, proof their letters, tell them how
10	to craft their letters. They did that themselves, with
11	regulatory counsel and personal liability. They put forward
12	those letters.

13 MR. MORRIS: Your Honor (garbled) the testimony that 14 Mr. Dondero just gave about these people saw it. They're not 15 here to testify how they saw it. We know that Mr. Dondero 16 personally saw and approved the letters before they went out. 17 He can testify what he thinks, what he believes. I have no 18 problem with that. But there should be no evidence in the 19 record of what the compliance people thought, believed, 20 understood, anything like that. It's not right. 21 THE COURT: All right. That's essentially a --22 MR. BONDS: Your Honor? 23 THE COURT: -- a hearsay objection, I would say, or 24 lack of personal knowledge, perhaps. Mr. Bonds, what is your 25 response?

#### 120

Case	3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 154 of 243 PageID 498
	Dondero - Cross 121
1	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, my response would be that
2	there are several exhibits the Debtor introduced today that
3	stand for the proposition that the compliance officers were
4	concerned. So I think there is ample evidence of that in the
5	record.
6	THE COURT: I didn't
7	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, the letter
8	THE COURT: I did not understand what you said is in
9	the record. Say again.
10	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I'm sorry. The there are
11	there are references that are replete in the record that
12	have to do with the compliance officers' understanding of the
13	transactions.
14	THE COURT: I don't know what you're referring to.
15	THE WITNESS: Your Honor?
16	THE COURT: I've got a lot of exhibits. You're going
17	to have to point out what you think
18	THE WITNESS: Can I can I can I can I answer
19	for that for a second? The letters that were signed by the
20	compliance people or by the businesspeople at NexPoint and
21	HFAM objecting to the transactions, those letters were their
22	beliefs, their researched beliefs. They weren't
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	THE WITNESS: micromanaged by me. You know, they
25	weren't I agree with them, but those weren't my beliefs

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 155 of 243 PageID 499 122 Dondero - Cross 1 that they've stated. Those were their own beliefs and their 2 own research, --3 THE COURT: All right. 4 THE WITNESS: -- and the record should reflect --5 THE COURT: This is clearly hearsay. I mean, it's one thing to have a letter, but to go behind the letter and 6 7 say, you know, what the beliefs inherent in the words were is 8 inadmissible. All right? So I strike that. 9 THE WITNESS: Maybe ask your question again. BY MR. BONDS: 10 11 Yeah. What is your understanding of the rights that these 0 12 parties had and what do you believe that was intended to be 13 conveyed by the compliance officers? MR. MORRIS: Objection. Calls -- calls for Mr. 14 Dondero to divine the intent of third parties. Hearsay. 15 THE COURT: I sustain. 16 17 MR. BONDS: Your Honor, --18 MR. MORRIS: No foundation. 19 MR. BONDS: -- I don't agree. I think that this is 20 asking Mr. Dondero what he thinks. 21 MR. MORRIS: The letters speak for themselves, Your 22 Honor. 23 THE COURT: Okay. I sustain --24 MR. MORRIS: And Mr. --25 THE COURT: I sustain the objection. Appx. 152

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 156 of 243 PageID 500</b> Dondero - Cross 123
1	MR. MORRIS: All right. Thank you.
2	THE WITNESS: Ask me what I know. Or ask me what my
3	concerns
4	BY MR. BONDS:
5	Q Let me ask you this. What were your concerns relating to
6	the compliance officers' exhibit?
7	A My concerns regarding the transaction, the transactions,
8	which may repeat what I've said before, but I do want to make
9	sure it gets in the record. So if we have to make a these
10	were my concerns, whether or not they were the compliance
11	people's concerns. I believe they were, and I believe they
12	were similar, but I'm just going to say these are these
13	were my concerns.
14	The Debtor, with its contractual with its contract with
15	the CLOs, were in no way was in no way compliant with that
16	contract or not in default of that contract. Bankruptcy is a
17	reason for default. Not having the key men specified in the
18	contract currently employed by the Advisor is a violation.
19	Not having adequate investment staff to manage the portfolio
20	is a violation of that contract. Announcing that you're
21	laying off everybody and will no longer be a registered
22	investment advisor is proclaiming that you, if you even have
23	any any pretend that you're qualified or in compliance
24	with the contract now, you're broadcasting that you won't be

25 || in three weeks, are -- are all mean that you're not in good

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 157 of 243 PageID 501

Dondero - Cross

1 standing. Okay? Number one.

2	Number two, when the investors know that it's in
3	transition, you're not in compliance as a manager, you're not
4	going to be an RIA in three weeks, the accounts are going to
5	have to transition to somebody else in three weeks, and the
6	investors ask you, Please don't trade my accounts between now
7	and then, that is that is a if it's not a per se, it's
8	an ethical and a spirit violation of any relationship between
9	an investor and an asset manager.
10	To then sell assets not replace assets, just sell
11	assets for cash and purposely do it on the least liquid
12	days of the year the day before Thanksgiving, the day after
13	Thanksgiving, the week of Christmas, this past week, whatever
14	to purposely incur losses so that the investors suffer ten
15	or fifteen percent losses that other on each of those sales
16	that they wouldn't otherwise have to incur, and for no stated
17	business purpose, for no investment rationale, with no staff
18	to even say whether the investment is potentially going up or
19	down, is is I've never seen anything else like it.
20	And I will stand up and say it every day: I'm glad the
21	letters went out from HFAM and from NexPoint. I would never
22	recommend they get retracted. And I believe everybody who
23	signed those letters meant everything in those letters. And I
24	believe the letters are correct. And I believe the whole
25	selling of CLO assets is a travesty.

124

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 158 of 243 PageID 502

Dondero - Cross

125

1	My personal opinion, we need an examiner or somebody here
2	to look at this junk and look at some of the junk that
3	occurred earlier this year. This this stuff is
4	unbelievable to me.
5	Q Generally, who holds interests in the CLOs?
6	A A vast majority of the CLOs that we're speaking of that
7	Seery has been selling the assets of are owned by the two
8	mutual funds, the two '40 Act the two '40 Act mutual funds
9	and the DAF. Between them, I think out of eleven out of
10	the sixteen CLOs, they own a vast majority, and then I think,
11	whatever, two or three they own a hundred percent, and I think
12	two or three they own a significant minority.
13	And just because they don't own a hundred percent doesn't
14	somehow allow a registered investment advisor to take
15	advantage of an investor. And I I've never understood that
16	defense. I wouldn't be able in my role of 30 years, I
17	wouldn't be able to tell that to an investor, that, hey, you
18	had a contract with us, we did something that wasn't in your
19	best interest, but we got away with it because you didn't own
20	a hundred percent, you only owned eighty percent.
21	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, I move to strike. There's
22	no contract between the Debtor and Mr. Dondero's and the
23	entities that he owns and controls for purposes of the CLO.
24	The only contract is between the Debtor and the CLOs
25	themselves.

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 159 of 243 PageID 503</b> Dondero - Cross 126
1	THE COURT: All right. Well, I overrule whatever
2	objection that is. Again, if you want to bring something out
3	on cross-examination or through Mr. Seery, you know, you're
4	entitled to do that.
5	All right. Please continue.
6	BY MR. BONDS:
7	Q Do you believe these letters were sent by the Funds to the
8	Advisors because they are trying to protect the independent
9	entities?
10	A They're trying to protect their investors. They were
11	trying to protect their regulatory liability for activities
12	they see that are not in the best interests of investors.
13	MR. MORRIS: Objection, Your Honor. I move to
14	strike. He's again testifying as to the intent of the people
15	who sent the letters who are not here to testify today.
16	THE COURT: Sustained.
17	BY MR. BONDS:
18	Q Mr. Dondero, what is your belief as to the letters that
19	were sent by the Funds and Advisor? Is are they trying to
20	protect their independent interests?
21	MR. MORRIS: Objection, Your Honor. Asked and
22	answered.
23	THE COURT: Sustained.
24	THE WITNESS: Ask me
25	BY MR. BONDS:
	Аррх. 156

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 160 of 243 PageID 504</b> Dondero - Cross 127
1	Q What is your understanding of why the letters were sent?
2	MR. MORRIS: Objection, Your Honor. Asked and
3	answered.
4	THE COURT: Sustained.
5	BY MR. BONDS:
6	Q Mr. Dondero, would you have sent the letters?
7	A I would have sent the letters exactly or very similar or
8	probably even more strongly than the letters were stated, for
9	the purposes of protecting investors, to protecting mom-and-
10	pop mutual fund investors from incurring unnecessary losses by
11	an entity that was no longer in compliance with their with
12	their asset management contract and because the investors had
13	requested that their account just be frozen until it was
14	transitioned.
15	That's why I would have sent the letter. That's why I
16	believe the letter should be sent. That's why I'm happy they
17	were sent. That's why we've never retracted.
18	Q Mr. Dondero, who is Jason Rothstein?
19	THE COURT: I did not hear the question.
20	THE WITNESS: Jason Jason
21	MR. BONDS: Who
22	THE COURT: Please repeat.
23	MR. BONDS: Yes. I asked Mr. Dondero who Jason
24	Rothstein was.
25	THE WITNESS: Jason Rothstein heads up our systems
	Appx, 157

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 161 of 243 PageID 505</b> Dondero - Cross 128
1	department at Highland Capital.
2	BY MR. BONDS:
3	Q Can you explain what your text message to Mr. Rothstein
4	was about?
5	A Which text message? The one where it was in the drawer?
6	Q Yeah.
7	A Uh,
8	Q And that was actually from him, not you.
9	A Yeah. That was from him. I think he transferred icons or
10	set up personal stuff to the new phone, and he was just saying
11	that the old phone was in Tara's drawer.
12	Q And you don't know whether what's happened to the
13	phones, do you?
14	A No. Like I said, I believe they've been destroyed, but I
15	I can find out. I mean, I can query and find out who
16	destroyed it, if that's important.
17	Q And you understood that you were not supposed to talk to
18	the Debtor's employees; is that correct?
19	A Like I said, except for my roles regarding shared
20	services, the pot plan, and trying to reach some type of
21	settlement, I've had painfully few conversations with the
22	Debtor's employees.
23	Q When you talked to certain employees, did you think it was
24	an under an exception to the TRO, like shared services,
25	related to the pot plan, or settlement communications?

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 162 of 243 PageID 506</b> Dondero - Cross 129			
1				
1 2	A Yes.			
3	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, I move to strike. Mr. Dondero never read the TRO. He's got no basis to say what the			
4	TRO required and didn't require.			
5	MR. BONDS: That wasn't the that wasn't the			
6	question.			
7	THE COURT: Okay.			
8	MR. BONDS: I'm sorry.			
9	THE COURT: Okay. Rephrase the question, please.			
10	MR. BONDS: Okay. I'm sorry.			
11	BY MR. BONDS:			
12	Q When you talked to these to certain employees, did you			
13	think it was under an exception to the TRO, like shared			
14	services, relating to the pot plan, or settlement			
15	communications?			
16	A Yes. Absolutely.			
17	MR. MORRIS: I object. No foundation.			
18	THE COURT: Sustained.			
19	BY MR. BONDS:			
20	Q Mr. Dondero, do you understand did your lawyers explain			
21	to you the TRO?			
22	A Yes.			
23	Q And who was the lawyer that explained the TRO to you?			
24	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, I don't know if we're			
25	getting into a waiver of privilege, but I just want to tell			
	Аррх. 159			

Case	3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 163 of 243 PageID 507
Cuo	Dondero - Cross 130
1	you that my antenna are up very high.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Mine are as well, Mr. Bonds. Are
3	you about to waive the privilege?
4	MR. BONDS: No, Your Honor, I am not.
5	THE COURT: Okay. Well, it sounded like perhaps we
6	were about to have the witness testify about conversations he
7	had with lawyers.
8	MR. BONDS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. That was not my
9	intention. Again, I'm asking Mr. Dondero to explain for us
10	his contact with or, his impression of the TRO.
11	BY MR. BONDS:
12	Q What did the TRO mean to you?
13	A The TRO meant to me that I was precluded from talking to
14	Highland employees which, again, very few, if any, were
15	coming into the office. I was not talking to Highland
16	employees with any regularity anyway. But there was an
17	exception with regard to Scott Ellington regard Scott
18	Ellington in terms of him functioning as settlement attorney
19	to try and bridge the U.C.C., the Independent Board, Jim
20	Seery, other people, and things that impacted me or other
21	entities.
22	I also viewed that there was an exception for the pot
23	plan, which had been presented and gone over as recently as
24	December 18th and 20th. And or December 18th, I think, was
25	the date.

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 164 of 243 PageID 508

Dondero - Cross

131

	Appy 161	
25	Q Okay.	
24	Ellington and settlement counsel. I covered the pot plan.	
23	A Okay. Thank you. Yeah. Like I said, I covered Scott	
22	communications with employees?	
21	Q What exceptions did you believe there were for	
20	BY MR. BONDS:	
19	THE COURT: Okay. I sustain.	
18	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I don't have one.	
17	objection, Mr. Bonds?	
16	THE COURT: What is your response to the hearsay	
15	MR. MORRIS: No foundation. Hearsay.	
14	THE COURT: Well,	
13	Independent Board thought.	
12	portion of the answer that purports to describe what the	
11	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, I move to strike the last	
10	parties.	
9	thought we should go forward with it to the U.C.C. and other	
8	Board, the Independent Board thought it was attractive and	
7	And the last time we presented it to the Independent	
6	fashion.	
5	anyone will receive in a liquidation and in a more timely	
4	we've proposed numerous times is more generous than what	
3	alternative for this estate in the long run. I think what	
2	the pot plan. I still believe it's the best and most likely	
1	And you know what, I want to clarify a characterization of	

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 165 of 243 PageID 509

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α

Q

А

0

А

А

Dondero - Cross

132

My -- my view of the pot plan as -- my view of the pot plan was that it was very attractive, and I had received encouragement to go forward with it as something that should be workable. That's my testimony on that. And then -- and we talk about negotiating shared services. So, there's shared services in terms of overlap in functionality, but there's also, in terms of negotiating the shared services agreement, which, as I said, was something that Ellington was put in charge of three or four days ago by Jim Seery to negotiate with us. And he reached out to me to negotiate it. And I think the Pachulski deadline on it was three days later. That whole process was something that I viewed as separate from the TRO, especially since it was initiated by Jim Seery, DSI, et cetera, and consistent with what Scott Ellington's role had been for the last six, nine months. As to the Debtor's request that you vacate the office space, did you comply with this request? Yes. What did you think that vacating meant? I moved out all my -- my personal items to a new office at NexBank. (faintly) And, in fact, did you work on the last day over to 3:00 a.m.? Yes. 4:00.

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 166 of 243 PageID 510</b> Dondero - Cross 133
1	THE COURT: Mr. Bonds, I didn't hear your question.
2	I didn't hear your question.
3	MR. BONDS: Okay. I'm sorry.
4	BY MR. BONDS:
5	Q Did isn't it true that you worked through the night, to
6	3:00 or 4:00 a.m., to vacate the premises?
7	A Yes. Until 4:00 a.m. on the last day, to organize and
8	pack up all my stuff, yes.
9	Q Did you think your presence in the office, with no other
10	employees there, violated the spirit of the TRO?
11	A No. I thought it was over the top and meant to tweak me,
12	but, yeah, there's no there's not Debtor employees coming
13	in since COVID.
14	Q (faintly) Okay. And you thought you could talk to Mr.
15	Ellington and as settlement counsel; is that correct?
16	MR. MORRIS: I'm having trouble hearing it, Your
17	Honor.
18	THE WITNESS: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Yeah. We're Mr. Bonds, please make
20	sure you speak into the device.
21	MR. BONDS: I'm sorry. I'll try to get closer.
22	Okay. I asked the Debtor or I, excuse me, I asked Mr.
23	Dondero if he thought he could talk to Ellington as a go-
24	between or settlement counsel. And I asked him if that was
25	correct.

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 167 of 243 PageID 511</b> Dondero - Cross 134			
1	THE WITNESS: Yes. For settlement, shared services,			
2	the pot plan. Nothing that interrupts or affects the Debtor,			
3	but for those purposes, as has consistently occurred for the			
4	last six months.			
5	BY MR. BONDS:			
6	Q Okay. And you saw the texts and emails presented by the			
7	Debtor between you and Mr. Leventon; is that correct?			
8	A The one regarding Multi-Strat?			
9	Q Yes.			
10	A Yes.			
11	Q In your understanding, did you believe those			
12	communications were allowed under the TRO?			
13	A Well, yes. And, again, to clarify my my contrasting			
14	testimony, I would never typically have gone to them for that			
15	kind of information, but to be compliant with the TRO, for			
16	Multi-Strat information, which I needed in order to put			
17	together the pot plan that the Independent Board audienced on			
18	December 18, I needed the information on Multi-Strat, and I			
19	requested it as appropriate through settlement counsel			
20	Ellington. And I think Ellington requested it from Isaac, who			
21	requested it from David Klos.			
22	The whole purpose, I believe my belief is the whole			
23	purpose of this TRO is to make it impossible for us to get			
24	information to come up with alternatives other than a the			
25	plan proposed by Jim Seery. It's our if if without			

Case 3:	21-cv-00132-E	Document 7	Filed 01/27/21	Page 168 of 243	PageID 512

Ш

Dondero - Cross

135

1	Ellington in the go-between, which he's now no longer an			
2	employee, I assume the only way we get any information,			
3	balance sheet or anything from Highland Capital, is with a			
4	subpoena.			
5	And as much as I've tried to engage or make an attractive			
6	pot plan for everybody, each one of them has been a complete			
7	shot in the dark, without even knowing the assets and			
8	liabilities of Highland, but just estimating where they were			
9	or were likely to be.			
10	Q Do you believe your text message with Leventon caused any			
11	harm to the Debtor's business?			
12	A No. It potentially fostered a pot plan, because, you have			
13	to know, the pot plan needed one of the aspects of the pot			
14	plan was the			
15	Q Do you still want to advocate for your pot plan?			
16	A I think that's eventually where we ultimately end up. Or			
17	or should end up. Otherwise, I fear it's going to be an			
18	extended, drawn-out process.			
19	Q And how much did you initially propose to pay creditors in			
20	this case?			
21	A The most recent the most recent pot plan?			
22	Q No. The initially.			
23	A The initial pot plan, I believe, was \$160 million.			
24	Q And what about the notes?			
25	A There was \$90 [million] of cash and I believe \$70			

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 169 of 243 PageID 513</b> Dondero - Cross 136
1	[million] of notes.
2	Q And what is Multi-Strat?
3	A Multi-Strat is a fund that's managed by Highland. They
4	used to have \$40 or \$50 million in value. It used to contain
5	a lot of life settlement policies. And I believe now has \$5
6	or \$6 million of value, after assets have been sold.
7	Q Do you recall the email Debtor's counsel presented
8	regarding the balance sheet today?
9	A The balance sheet of Multi-Strat?
10	Q Correct.
11	A Yes.
12	Q Do you believe you were entitled to see that document?
13	A Yes. It's just again, for the pot plan, I needed it.
14	But also I'm an investor in that fund and I'm entitled to it.
15	It's there was nothing in there that was improper or
16	untoward or in any way damaged the Debtor.
17	Q And you recall the request for documents sent by the
18	Debtor; is that correct?
19	A On my my personal estate plan?
20	Q No, on Multi-Strat.
21	A The Debtor's request on I'm sorry. What was that?
22	Q The Debtor sent you a request for Multi-Strat. For Duga
23	I'm sorry.
24	A For Dugaboy? Okay.
25	Q Dugaboy.
	Аррх. 166

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 170 of 243 PageID 514

Dondero - Cross

1	2	7
1	$\mathcal{I}$	1

1	A Yeah. There's there's personal estate planning trusts.				
2	Some are active. Some are inactive. Some have been around				
3	for 15 years. But they're they're not assets or anything				
4	that's related to the estate. And that was that was my				
5	text to Melissa that said, you know, Not without a subpoena.				
6	Q Mr. Dondero, if you remember back on Exhibit K, there was				
7	some request that you terminate your offices at the Crescent,				
8	and I think you were given seven days' notice to do that. Do				
9	you know if Christmas occurred during that time?				
10	A I believe it did.				
11	Q So, if Christmas and Christmas Eve are both holidays, how				
12	many days, business days, did they give you to terminate or to				
13	get out of the space?				
14	A There would have been three business days. It was Monday				
15	through Wednesday that I moved out.				
16	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I'll pass the witness.				
17	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Morris?				
18	THE WITNESS: Take a break. I hope.				
19	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I'm sorry, can I take a ten-				
20	minute break? I think that I'm going to be through, but I				
21	don't know.				
22	THE COURT: All right. I'll give you a ten-minute				
23	break.				
24	MR. BONDS: All right. Thank you, Your Honor.				
25	THE COURT: We're coming back at 2:15.				
	Аррх. 167				

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 171 of 243 PageID 515 138 Dondero - Cross THE CLERK: All rise. 1 2 (A recess ensued from 2:06 p.m. until 2:16 p.m.) 3 THE CLERK: All rise. 4 THE COURT: All right. Please be seated. We're back 5 on the record in Highland versus Dondero. Mr. Bonds, do you 6 have more examination? 7 MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I have one question. 8 THE COURT: Okay. 9 MR. BONDS: And that's --10 MR. LYNN: And one more witness. 11 MR. BONDS: And one more witness. 12 CROSS-EXAMINATION, RESUMED 13 BY MR. BONDS: 14 Do you think that Scott Ellington and Isaac Leventon were 15 treated appropriately by the Debtor? 16 No, I do not. I don't think they've been treated fairly, А 17 nor do I think other senior employees have been treated 18 fairly. I've never seen a bankruptcy like this where, during 19 complex unwinding of 20 years of various different entities 20 and structures, relying on the staff, working them hard, 21 working overtime, a lot of investment professionals like 22 lawyers and DSI just putting their name on the work of stuff 23 that was done by internal employees, getting to the end of the year, trying to pay people zero bonuses and retract prior 24 25 years' bonuses, and try and come up with legal charges against

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 172 of 243 PageID 516

Dondero - Cross

139

1	those people is unusual to this case and my experience, in the
2	bankruptcies we've been involved in, where typically
3	management teams get paid multiples of current salary to stay
4	on and be the experts.
5	I also think they were put in difficult spots from the
6	very beginning. It was Jim Seery that made Scott Ellington
7	the settlement counsel six, seven months ago. It was a
8	broadly-defined role that was never retracted, never adjusted,
9	never modified, yet somehow he and Isaac violated it. I don't
10	know. I haven't spoken to them since they've been terminated.
11	They aren't allowed to speak to me, from what I hear. But I
12	wish them luck in their claims.
13	THE COURT: Okay. You pass the witness?
14	MR. BONDS: Yes, Your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Morris, do you have
16	further examination?
17	MR. MORRIS: Just a few questions.
18	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. BONDS:
20	Q Mr. Dondero, you knew about this hearing for some time,
21	right?
22	A No.
23	Q When did you first learn this hearing was going to take
24	place?
25	A Two days ago.
	A 100 / 160
	Аррх. 169

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 173 of 243 PageID 517</b> Dondero - Redirect 140
1	Q Two days ago?
2	A When was the depo, three days ago? Whatever.
3	Q And you didn't know prior to the deposition that we would
4	be having a hearing today on the Debtor's motion for a
5	preliminary injunction?
6	A No. I thought it was going to be postponed or canceled.
7	I was waiting for the text last night.
8	Q You had an opportunity to call any witness in the world
9	you wanted to today, right?
10	A I guess.
11	Q You could have called you could have called the chief
12	compliance officer at the Advisors if you thought the Court
13	should hear from him as to the compliance issues that you've
14	testified to, right?
15	A I think their letters stand on their own.
16	Q Okay. So you didn't think that it was important for the
17	Court to hear from Mr. Sowin directly, correct?
18	A Sowin is a trader.
19	Q I'm sorry. Who's the chief compliance officer of the
20	Advisors?
21	A Jason Post, as far as NexPoint is concerned. He's the one
22	that would have been behind the K&L K&L letters.
23	Q And he is not here today to testify, right?
24	A I think his letters stand on their own and I think
25	everybody should read them, make sure they read them.

Case	3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 174 of 243 PageID 518
	Dondero - Redirect 141
1	Q Okay. But Mr. Post is not here to answer any questions;
2	is that right?
3	A I don't know if there are any questions beyond what's
4	obviously stated in the letters. You should read the letters
5	carefully. They're they're they talk about clear
6	violations.
7	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, I move to strike. It's a
8	very simple question.
9	THE COURT: Sustained. That was another yes or no
10	answer, Mr. Dondero. Go ahead.
11	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
12	BY MR. MORRIS:
13	Q Mr. Dondero, Mr. Post is not here to testify in order to
14	explain to the Court what he thinks the regulatory issues are,
15	correct?
16	A He's not here today.
17	Q And you could have called him as a witness, correct?
18	A Yes.
19	Q And you thought Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon were
20	treated unfairly, right?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And there's no reason why they couldn't have come today to
23	testify, correct?
24	A I guess they could have.
25	Q And there's no reason why anybody on behalf of the K&L $$
	Appx. 171

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 175 of 243 PageID 519</b> Dondero - Redirect 142
1	Gates clients couldn't have been here to testify, correct?
2	A I didn't deem it necessary, I guess.
3	Q Okay. You could have offered into evidence, at least
4	offered into evidence, any document you wanted, right?
5	A Yes.
6	Q And you could have offered the judge, for example, the
7	shared services agreement, the shared services agreements for
8	which you gave the Court your understanding, right?
9	A Which shared services, the one that Seery gave Ellington
10	three days ago or the original one from years ago?
11	Q Any of the ones any of the ones that you have referred
12	to today. You could have given any of them to the judge,
13	right?
14	A Correct.
15	Q And you didn't, right?
16	A I did not.
17	Q In fact, there's not a single piece of evidence in the
18	record that corroborates anything you say; isn't that right?
19	A I I believe all those documents are in the record.
20	They're just not in the record of this TRO. But they're all
21	
22	Q Oh.
23	A They're all in the record.
24	Q Do you remember that there was a hearing on December 16th?
25	I think you you testified that you're fully aware of that
	Аррх. 172

Case	3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 176 of 243 PageID 520
	Dondero - Redirect 143
1	hearing that was brought by the K&L Gates Clients. Do you
2	remember that?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Who testified at that hearing on behalf of the K&L Gates
5	Clients? Dustin Norris?
6	A I believe I believe Dustin Norris testified.
7	Q Uh-huh. And what's Mr. Norris's role at the Advisors?
8	A He's one of the senior managers.
9	Q Is he a compliance officer?
10	A No.
11	Q Is he a trader?
12	A No. But he's one of the senior managers.
13	Q Okay. They could have called anybody they wanted, to the
14	best of your understanding, right?
15	A I don't think they got a chance to. Wasn't it an
16	abbreviated hearing?
17	Q They offered Mr. Norris as a witness. Do you understand
18	that?
19	A I all I I wasn't there. I didn't attend virtually.
20	I but I did know that Norris testified. But I don't know
21	who else was called, wasn't called, was going to be called,
22	was on the witness list. I have no awareness.
23	Q Okay. You were pretty critical of the trades that Mr.
24	Seery wanted to make that you interfered to stop, right?
25	A I think he's subsequently done most of those trades.

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 177 of 243 PageID 521</b> Dondero - Redirect 144
1	Q And you called them preposterous because he wanted to do
2	it around Thanksgiving or around Christmas, at least based on
3	your testimony, correct?
4	A That's when it did occur.
5	Q And is it your testimony is it your testimony that
6	every single person in the world who trades securities near a
7	holiday is making a preposterous trade?
8	A I think it's amateur and not what an investment
9	professional would do.
10	Q So you never trade on holidays; is that your testimony?
11	You've never done it once in your life?
12	A Very rarely, unless there's another overriding reason.
13	And there was no overriding reasons, period.
14	Q How would you know that when you didn't even ask Mr. Seery
15	why he wanted to make the trades?
16	A I asked Joe Sowin, who asked Jim Seery. And Joe Sowin
17	said that Jim Seery just said for risk reduction.
18	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike on the grounds that
19	it's hearsay, Your Honor.
20	THE COURT: Sustained.
21	BY MR. MORRIS:
22	Q You never asked Mr. Seery why he wanted to make the
23	trades, correct?
24	A I'm not allowed to talk to Mr. Seery.
25	Q You certainly were around Thanksgiving; isn't that right?

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 178 of 243 PageID 522</b> Dondero - Redirect 145
1	A I don't know.
2	Q There was no TRO in place at that time, correct?
3	A That's true.
4	Q You're pretty critical of Mr. Seery and his capabilities;
5	is that right?
6	A He's a lawyer. He's not an investment professional.
7	Q Did you object to his appointment as the CEO of the
8	Debtor?
9	A No.
10	Q Have you made any motion to the Court to have him removed
11	as unqualified?
12	A Not yet.
13	Q Okay. But with all the knowledge of all the preposterous
14	things that he's been doing for months now, you haven't done
15	it, right?
16	A No.
17	Q When you when before you threw the phone in the
18	garbage, did you back it up?
19	A No.
20	Q Did it occur to you that maybe you should save the data?
21	A No.
22	Q You said that the only way you think you might be able to
23	get information going forward is through a subpoena. Do I
24	have that right?
25	A I mean, that's how it seems. I mean, it seems at every
	Appx. 175

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 179 of 243 PageID 523</b> Dondero - Redirect 146
1	turn and now with Scott Ellington being gone and Isaac
2	being gone I have no idea how the Debtor is ever going to
3	defend against UBS.
4	THE COURT: I did not
5	THE WITNESS: I have no idea how
6	THE COURT: I didn't hear the answer after with
7	Ellington and Leventon being gone. I didn't hear the rest of
8	the answer. Could you repeat?
9	THE WITNESS: I said I have no idea how the Debtor is
10	ever going to defend itself against UBS. But I also have no
11	idea how we're ever going to get any information or ever push
12	forward any kind of settlement without having any access to
13	information or anybody to talk to.
14	BY MR. MORRIS:
15	Q Do you trust Judge Lynn?
16	(Echoing.)
17	A Yes.
18	Q Is he a good advocate?
19	A Yes. If anybody returns his phone calls.
20	Q Do you recall that on October 24th Judge Lynn specifically
21	asked my law firm to provide information on your behalf in
22	connection with the Debtor's financial information, their
23	assets and their liabilities?
24	A Yes.
25	Q Do you recall that the Debtor simply asked that you
	Аррх. 176

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 180 of 243 PageID 524</b> Dondero - Redirect 147
1	acknowledge in an email between and among counsel that you
2	would abide by the confidentiality agreement that was entered
3	by the Court?
4	A I wasn't involved in those details.
5	Q Didn't you send an email in which you agreed to receive
6	the financial information subject to the protective order that
7	this Court entered?
8	A I'm sure I would. I just don't remember.
9	Q That was a condition that the Debtors made. That doesn't
10	refresh your recollection?
11	A I'm not denying it. I just don't remember, and
12	Q Okay. And
13	A (overspoken)
14	Q I'm sorry, I don't mean to cut you off. And in fact, on
15	December 30th, the day you were supposed to vacate the office,
16	the Debtor voluntarily provided to Judge Lynn all of the
17	information that had been requested on your behalf without the
18	need for a subpoena, right?
19	A Yeah. It took a week. It's 40,000 pages of mixed
20	gobbledygook that we're we're going through. But it should
21	provide enough information for us to negotiate a pot plan if
22	anybody so chose.
23	Q So you didn't need to (echoing) the 40,000 pages of
24	financial information from the Debtor; all you needed was an
25	agreement that you would abide by the protective order.

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 181 of 243 PageID 525</b> Dondero - Redirect 148
1	Correct?
2	A I think that was the first thing that was ever produced on
3	request that I can remember. But yes.
4	Q And it was just a week ago, right?
5	A Yes.
6	MR. MORRIS: I have no further questions, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bonds, do you have
8	anything else?
9	MR. BONDS: I do not, Your Honor, as to this witness.
10	I have one other witness.
11	THE COURT: All right.
12	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, I don't know who they plan
13	on calling, but he's not on the witness list.
14	THE COURT: All right. Well,
15	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, this other witness
16	THE COURT: Just a moment. This concludes, for the
17	record, Mr. Dondero's testimony. But, obviously, stick
18	around, because we're going to have a lot to talk about when
19	this is finished as far as the evidence.
20	All right. Now, who are you wanting to call that you did
21	not identify?
22	MR. BONDS: I'd like to call Mike Lynn for the
23	purpose or, to as a rebuttal witness.
24	THE COURT: Lawyer as witness?
25	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor?

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 182 of 243 PageID 526 149 THE COURT: Well, you know, first off, rebuttal of 1 2 what? Rebuttal --3 MR. MORRIS: Exactly. He's going to rebut his own 4 client, Your Honor? He's going to rebut his own client? 5 There's only been one witness to testify here. He was on 6 their exhibit list. How do they call a witness to rebut their 7 own client? 8 THE COURT: Yes. What -- I don't --9 MR. BONDS: Your Honor? THE COURT: Go ahead. 10 11 MR. BONDS: Mr. Morris testified or attempted to 12 testify that the pot plan didn't gain any traction. We will 13 submit Mike Lynn on that issue. THE COURT: No. 14 15 MR. MORRIS: Your Honor? THE COURT: I'm not going to allow a lawyer to 16 17 testify to rebut lawyer argument. That's very inappropriate, 18 in my view. So, not going to happen. 19 MR. LYNN: (garbled) 20 MR. BONDS: Your Honor, he would be a fact witness to 21 discussions with the other side. 22 MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, I strenuously object. 23 They're -- he's only rebutting -- my questions are not 24 evidence. The only evidence in the record is Mr. Dondero's 25 testimony. Mr. Dondero is their client. Mr. Dondero was on Appx. 179

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 183 of 243 PageID 527

Ш

150

1	their witness list. They should not be permitted to call any
2	witness, with all due respect to Mr. Lynn, to rebut their own
3	witness.
4	THE COURT: All right.
5	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, we're not rebutting our
6	witness. We are rebutting the testimony that Mr. Morris gave.
7	THE COURT: Mr. Morris is a lawyer. He makes
8	argument. He asks questions. He was not a witness today.
9	Okay?
10	So if you want to say whatever you want to say as lawyers
11	in closing arguments, then obviously you can do that. But I'm
12	not going to allow a lawyer to be a witness to rebut something
13	another lawyer said in argument or in a question. I it's
14	so, I disallow that.
15	Anything else, then?
16	MR. BONDS: No.
17	THE COURT: Okay. And while we're talking about
18	procedure, actually, Mr. Morris, it's the Debtor's motion, and
19	I'm not even sure that's all of your evidence. So, do you
20	have any more evidence as Movant?
21	MR. MORRIS: No, Your Honor. The Plaintiff and the
22	Debtor rest.
23	THE COURT: All right. So, at the risk of repeating,
24	now that the Movant has rested, it would be Mr. Dondero's
25	chance to put on supplemental evidence. But what I'm hearing

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 184 of 243 PageID 528 151 1 from Mr. Morris is there were no witnesses identified on your 2 witness list? 3 MR. BONDS: Other than Mr. Dondero, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, was there any 5 stipulated documentary evidence that -- that you had --MR. BONDS: No, Your Honor. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. Well, I guess we're done with evidence. 8 9 Mr. Morris, your closing argument? 10 MR. MORRIS: All right. Before I get to that, Your 11 Honor, I just want to make a very brief statement. When the 12 Debtor objected to Mr. Dondero's emergency motion for a 13 protective order, the Debtor stated that it sought discovery 14 from Mr. Dondero to determine whether Mr. Dondero may have 15 violated the TRO by interfering and impeding the Debtor's 16 business, including by potentially colluding with UBS. After 17 that motion was decided, both Mr. Dondero and UBS produced 18 documents to the Debtor. 19 Based on the review of that information, the Debtor found 20 no evidence that Mr. Dondero and UBS colluded to purchase 21 redeemed limited partnership interests of Multi-Strat, nor any 22 inappropriate conduct by UBS or its counsel. 23 The Debtor appreciates the opportunity to clear that part 24 of the record. 25 THE COURT: All right.

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 185 of 243 PageID 529

152

1	CLOSING ARGUMENT ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF
2	MR. MORRIS: Now, with respect to the motion at hand
3	today, Your Honor, I want to take you back just about a month
4	ago to December 10th, 2020. At that time, we had a hearing on
5	the Debtor's motion for a TRO. The motion had been filed in
6	advance. Mr. Dondero had filed an objection. He had concerns
7	about the scope and the language of the terms of the proposed
8	TRO.
9	And at that hearing, Your Honor, if you'll recall, you

10 listened carefully to the arguments that were made on behalf 11 of Mr. Dondero. You heard carefully -- you listened carefully 12 to the proposed changes that he sought to make. And you went 13 through that proposed TRO word by word, Paragraph 2 and 3, and 14 you read them out loud, and you made decisions at that time as 15 to whether the Court believed any portion of that was 16 ambiguous or whether it was clear. You made determinations at 17 that time whether or not the provisions were reasonable.

Mr. Dondero wasn't there. He didn't read the transcript. He has no idea what you said. But his lawyers were there, and they had an opportunity to object and they had an opportunity to make comments, and the order is what the order is. And for whatever reason, Mr. Dondero chose not to read it, or, frankly, even understand it, based on his testimony.

The fact is, Your Honor, the one thing that the evidence shows very clearly here is that Mr. Dondero thinks that he is

# Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 186 of 243 PageID 530

153

1	the judge. He believes that he is the decider. He believes
2	that he decides what the TRO means, even though he never read
3	it. He believes that he decides what exceptions exist in the
4	TRO, even though he never read it.
5	He believes that he decides that it's okay to ditch the
6	Debtor's cell phone without even seeking, let alone obtaining,
7	the Debtor's consent. I guess he decides that he can ditch
8	the phone and trash it without seeking to back it up or
9	informing the Debtor.
10	Mr. Dondero believes that he gets to decide that it's okay
11	to take a deposition from the Debtor's office, even when the
12	Debtor specifically says you're evicted and you're not allowed
13	to have access.
14	Mr. Dondero believes that he gets to decide that Mr. Seery
15	has no justification for making trades, even though he
16	couldn't take the time to pick up the phone or otherwise
17	inquire as to why Mr. Seery wanted to do that.
18	Mr. Seery Mr. Dondero believes that he is the arbiter
19	and the decision-maker and gets to decide to stop trades,
20	notwithstanding the TRO, notwithstanding the CLO agreements
21	that he is not a party to, that his entities are not a party
22	to.
23	Mr. Dondero thinks that he gets to decide that the Debtor
24	has breached the agreements with the CLOs. He gets to decide
25	that the Debtor is in default under those agreements. He gets

1 to decide that it's perfectly fine for Ellington and Leventon 2 to support his interests while they have obvious duties of 3 loyalty to the Debtor.

It is not right, Your Honor. It is not right. I stood here, I sat here, about four hours ago, five hours ago, and told the Court what the evidence was going to show, and it showed every single thing that I expected it to show and everything I just described for the Court about Mr. Dondero's belief that he's the decider.

He's not the decider, Your Honor. You are. And you made a decision on June -- on December 10th that he ignored.

12 There is ample evidence in the record to support the 13 imposition of a preliminary injunction. And Your Honor, I'm 14 putting everybody on notice now that we're amending our 15 complaint momentarily to add all of the post-petition parties, 16 because this has to stop. The threats have to stop. The 17 interference has to stop. Mr. Dondero can always make a 18 proposal if he thinks that there's something that will capture 19 the imagination and the approval -- more importantly, the 20 approval -- of the Debtor's creditors. We have no interest in 21 stopping him from doing that. He's got very able and 22 honorable counsel, and he can go to them and through them any 23 time he wants.

But the record is crystal clear here that, notwithstanding Your Honor's order, one entered after serious deliberation, is

154

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 188 of 243 PageID 532

155

1 of no meaning to him. And we'll be back at the Court's 2 convenience on the Debtor's motion to hold him in contempt. 3 It'll just be a repeat of what we've heard today, because, 4 frankly, the evidence is exactly the same. 5 With that, Your Honor, unless you have any questions, the Debtor rests. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. I do not. 8 Mr. Bonds? 9 MR. BONDS: Your Honor, we would like to divide our 10 time between Mike Lynn and myself. Is that a problem? 11 THE COURT: That's fine. Go ahead. 12 MR. LYNN: Are we on mute? 13 MR. BONDS: No. CLOSING ARGUMENT ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT 14 15 MR. LYNN: Your Honor, I'm taking a leaf out of Mr. 16 Phelan's book. I happened to read the confirmation hearing in 17 the Acis case regarding what was referred to as Clients A, B, 18 and C. And Mr. Phelan, who testified, really gave an oral 19 argument to the Court which was very persuasive and very 20 thorough. So I'm going to sort of do the reverse, because I 21 hope that the Court would find useful some information 22 regarding the pot plan about which you've heard many words 23 spoken but very little to do with what that plan was or how it 24 came about. 25 The pot plan was proposed by Mr. Dondero for the first

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 189 of 243 PageID 533

156

1	time in September of 2020, shortly after the conclusion of the
2	first round of mediations. Though there had been versions of
3	it before, and lesser versions, the pot plan was finally in
4	the form that would more or less survive it in September.
5	Under the pot plan, Mr. Dondero proposed to come up with \$90
6	million of cash and \$70 million in promissory notes, and that
7	was to form a pot which creditors would share in.
8	The proposal was provided to the Debtor and then shared
9	with the Committee. Mr. Seery responded with a degree, a
10	degree only, of enthusiasm to the pot plan, and indeed
11	provided a counter-term sheet to the pot plan. He also, so he
12	said, and I believe him, approached the Committee and said
13	this is a proposal to be taken seriously.
14	He proposed some improvements in his view to the pot plan.

14 He proposed some improvements in his view to the pot plan. 15 No response was received from the Creditors' Committee at that 16 time.

17 After going back and forth with the Debtor -- and Mr. 18 Seery, not unreasonably, was unwilling to propose the pot plan 19 without some support on the Creditors' Committee -- I 20 contacted Matt Clemente. We had a nice conversation. And at 21 that time, Mr. Clemente raised two particular concerns. The 22 \$160 million, which creditors did not think was enough, was 23 not enough, in part, because that included no consideration 24 for the acquisition of promissory notes executed some by Mr. 25 Dondero and some by entities controlled by Mr. Dondero, which

157

1	notes	total	approximately	\$90	million.
---	-------	-------	---------------	------	----------

The second concern was that Mr. Dondero would get a release under the plan. During that call, I said the issue of the notes is subject to negotiation and might well result in a transfer of those notes, possibly with some amendments, to the pot, and that Mr. Dondero was prepared, in all likelihood, to forego a release.

8 Mr. Clemente agreed to get back to me. He did. And he 9 said to me, I have talked to the Committee about this and they 10 would like you to go to or they want you to go first to Mr. 11 Seery, work off of his revised timesheet -- or term sheet, 12 sorry -- and after you have reached an agreement with him, 13 come to us, come to the Committee, and we'll negotiate with 14 you.

Now, I might have agreed that that was a reasonable approach if there were a possibility that Mr. Seery would propose a plan without the agreement of creditors. But the way I took it was that the Committee was saying go make a deal with Seery and then we'll start negotiating, and we know, correctly, that Mr. Seery will not propose a plan that does not have our support.

So, effectively, we get to go through two rounds of negotiations, even though effectively everything that is in the estate, everything -- causes of action against Mr. Dondero, promissory notes from Mr. Dondero -- everything that

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 191 of 243 PageID 535

1

2

they would get under a plan or under a liquidation, they would get under the pot plan.

Now, I wanted you to know that, Your Honor, not because
I'm now trying to get you or anyone else to sell the pot plan.
But I think it's important that Your Honor know that Mr.
Dondero's approach in this case has not been a hostile
approach.

8 I know the Court had what it found to be an unsatisfactory 9 experience with Mr. Dondero in the Acis case. But from the 10 time I became involved in this case and Mr. Bonds became 11 involved, we have been quiet, we have said nothing, and we've 12 done virtually nothing in the case, up until the time after 13 the mediation, when negotiations regarding a pot plan broke 14 down.

Since that time, regrettably, there has been a good deal of hostility, and it's spreading. I would like to see it stop spreading. I will do what I can to make it stop spreading. But I need others to help me on that. And it's my hope that I can count on the Pachulski law firm, the Sidley law firm, and the firms representing the major creditors to help make that happen.

I do not think, and I would submit that it is not to the benefit of the estate, it is not to the likely workout of this case, that it would be best served by entering a preliminary injunction, which it appears to me prevents Mr. Dondero from

#### 158

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 192 of 243 PageID 536

1 saying good morning to one of the employees of the Debtor that
2 he knows.

3 It seems to me, Your Honor, that the injunction, by its 4 terms, as Mr. Morris would have it, is an injunction that 5 would prevent Mr. Dondero from discussing politics with Mr. 6 Ellington. And it seems to me that an injunction that broad, 7 that extensive, and one which lasts, as far as I can tell, 8 until infinity, that such an injunction is not the right thing 9 to do, given, if nothing else, the First Amendment to the 10 United States Constitution.

11 That will conclude my presentation, and I will turn it 12 over to the wiser and better-spoken colleague, John Bonds. 13 Thank you, Your Honor.

14THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Bonds, what else do you15have to say?

16

CLOSING ARGUMENT ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT

17 MR. BONDS: Your Honor, has the Debtor met the 18 requirements for the issuance of a preliminary injunction? We 19 submit that they have not. And the Fifth Circuit's rules are 20 fairly clear as to the awarding of a preliminary injunction. 21 First, let's look at the type of preliminary injunction 22 that the Debtor would like you to enter today. It provides 23 that Mr. Dondero cannot talk to any employee, regardless of 24 what is being communicated. Mr. Dondero can pass an employee 25 on the street, but he can't acknowledge the employee, with

159

whom he may have worked for years. Nor can he talk to his personal assistants, again, which he has worked with for years. Does that violate the First Amendment of the Constitution?

5 What about the shared services agreement? What about the 6 pot plan which he is advocating as a means of reorganizing the 7 Debtor? Not the liquidation proposed by the Debtor. Can Mr. 8 Dondero communicate with creditors about the pot plan and the 9 other proposals without violating the TRO or the preliminary 10 injunction which deals with interfering with the Debtor's 11 business?

12 Your Honor, I think it's important to note that a 13 preliminary injunction is an extraordinary remedy that may 14 only be awarded upon a clear showing that the Plaintiff is 15 entitled to such relief. Plaintiffs are entitled to a 16 preliminary injunction if they show, one, a substantial 17 likelihood that they will prevail on the merits of their 18 claims; two, a substantial threat that they will suffer an 19 irreparable injury if the injunction is not granted; three, 20 their threatened injury outweighs the harm to the estate or 21 the other party; and four, the public interest will not be 22 disserved, misserved, if the preliminary injunction is 23 granted.

The party seeking the preliminary injunction bears the burden of persuasion on all four requirements. We believe

160

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 194 of 243 PageID 538

161

that the Debtor today has failed to carry its burden of 1 2 persuasion of proof with regard to the second element, which 3 I'm going to refer to as the irreparable injury requirement. 4 In order to show irreparable harm to the Court, the Plaintiff 5 must prove that if the District Court denied the grant of a 6 preliminary injunction, irreparable harm would be the result. 7 Injuries are irreparable only when they cannot be undone 8 through monetary remedies. There is no evidence before the 9 Court today that Mr. Dondero cannot respond to any judgment that is rendered against him by this Court. 10

Your Honor, this preliminary injunction does not involve real property. Unlike the *Saldana* case, this request for the issuance of a preliminary injunction involves personal property only. The request that Mr. Dondero cease and desist all contact with employees is just wrong and may violate the First Amendment of the Constitution, as I previously stated.

17 We have other concerns regarding the issuance of a 18 preliminary injunction. We feel that the preliminary 19 injunction is too broad. It lacks a beginning and an end. 20 When does the preliminary injunction terminate? What about 21 the former employees? Once they are terminated, can Mr. 22 Dondero speak to them? What about the pot plan? Is it gone 23 forever? Can Mr. Dondero talk with the mediators about the 24 pot plan? Can Mr. Dondero speak with the members of the 25 U.C.C.?

162

1 It is easy to criticize Mr. Dondero. Did he violate the 2 TRO? We submit that he didn't and the Debtor says that he 3 What matters going forward is the lack of evidence of did. 4 irreparable harm.

5 Mr. Seery sure wants to keep Mr. Dondero from talking to anyone in this case. Why is that? Does Mr. Seery believe 6 7 that the only way to get his liquidation plan confirmed is to 8 keep Mr. Dondero from talking to anyone? How will the 9 preliminary injunction help the Debtor's creditors? Does 10 keeping Mr. Dondero from talking with anyone mean that there 11 will be a greater return to the creditor body? Does 12 precluding Mr. Dondero from talking about his pot plan mean 13 that the creditors will take home more money on their claims, 14 or does it eliminate the possibility that they may take home 15 more money on their claims?

Your Honor, what we are seeing here today is an attempt by 16 17 a group to destroy what Mr. Dondero has built over the last 18 few years. That isn't the way Chapter 11 should work. 19 Just one last thing to keep in mind, Your Honor. Mr. 20 Seery's plan is a liquidation of the Debtor. Mr. Dondero's 21 pot plan is a reorganization of the Debtor. 22

Thank you, Your Honor.

25

23 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Morris, you get the last 24 word. Anything in rebuttal?

MR. MORRIS: I would just point out, Your Honor, that

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 196 of 243 PageID 540

1 nobody here has objected to the Debtor's motion for the entry 2 of a preliminary injunction except Mr. Dondero. While I 3 appreciate that this is an adversary proceeding, anybody who 4 felt strongly about the matter certainly could have moved to The Creditors' Committee could have moved to 5 intervene. 6 intervene. Mr. Clemente could have stood at the podium and 7 begged Your Honor not to impose the injunction because he thought it was in the best interest of creditors to allow Mr. 8 9 Dondero to interfere with the Debtor's business and to speak 10 with their employees. Nobody has done that, Your Honor. 11 Nobody's here speaking on behalf of Mr. Dondero. Nobody's 12 here to testify on his behalf. Nobody's -- there's no 13 evidence in the record that supports or corroborates anything that he said at all, Your Honor. 14 15 Unless Your Honor has any specific questions, the Debtor

16 is prepared to rest.

17 THE COURT: All right. I do not have any follow-up 18 questions.

All right. I have a lot to say. I'm sorry, I apologize in advance, but I've got a heck of a lot to say right now. I'm going to give you a ruling on the motion before me, but I've got a lot to add onto that, so I hope all the key parties in interest are listening carefully. Mr. Bonds, in the video, I can only see you. I hope Mr. Dondero is just right there out of the video camera view. Okay, there you are. I wanted

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 197 of 243 PageID 541

164

to make sure you didn't wander off to take a bathroom break or 1 2 anything. So, again, I have a whole lot to say here today. 3 First, I'm going to rule on the motion. The Court does 4 find there is sufficient compelling evidence to grant a 5 preliminary injunction that is completely consistent with the prior TRO. Okay? So, specifically, the Court today is going 6 7 to continue to prevent Mr. Dondero from (a) communicating in any way, directly or indirectly, with any of the Debtor's 8 board members -- I think that's really Strand board members --9 10 unless Mr. Dondero's counsel and counsel for the Debtor are included. Okay. I'm saying those words slowly and carefully. 11 12 There is no bar on Mr. Dondero talking to the board about a 13 pot plan or anything else in the universe Mr. Dondero wants to 14 talk to them about. There's just a preclusion from him doing 15 it without his counsel and the Debtor's counsel present. 16 Okay?

I did that before and I'm doing it now because I've seen concerning evidence that some communications to Mr. Seery and others had an intimidating tone, a threatening tone one or two times, an interfering tone. So, guess what, we're just going to have lawyers involved if any more conversations happen. Qkay.

So (b) the preliminary injunction, just as the TRO did, is going to prevent Mr. Dondero from making any threats of any nature against the Debtor or any of its directors, officers,

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 198 of 243 PageID 542

165

1 employees, professionals, or agents. Okay. It's almost 2 embarrassing having to say that or order that with regard to 3 such an accomplished and sophisticated person, but, you know, 4 I saw the evidence. I've got to do what I've got to do. You 5 know, words in a text like, Don't do it, this is your last 6 warning, and some of the other things, that has a threatening 7 tone, so I'm going to order this.

8 Third, the preliminary injunction will prevent Mr. Dondero 9 from communicating with any of the Debtor's employees except 10 as it specifically relates to shared services provided to 11 affiliates owned or controlled by Mr. Dondero.

12 Now, I'm going to elaborate in a couple of ways here. I 13 think in closing argument there was a suggestion that he can't even talk to his friend, Mr. Ellington, about anything. Well, 14 15 I heard today that Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon are no 16 longer employees of the Debtor, so actually that's not an 17 issue. But while this is very restrictive, while this 18 prevents Mr. Dondero from engaging in small talk with Debtor 19 employees about the weather or the football game or whatever, 20 it's regrettable, but I feel like I'm forced to order this 21 now, because, again, the communications that were put in the 22 record. Okay? We just can't take any chances, as far as I'm 23 concerned, with regard to there being potential interference 24 with the Debtor's operations that might be harmful or contrary 25 to creditors' interests.

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 199 of 243 PageID 543

166

Fourth, the preliminary injunction, just like the TRO, will prevent Mr. Dondero from interfering with or otherwise impeding the Debtor's business, including but not limited to the Debtor's decisions concerning its operations, management, treatment of claims, disposition of assets owned or controlled by the Debtor, and pursuit of any plan or alternative to the plan.

8 Now, I understand the argument that this is pretty broad and might be, I don't know, subject to some disputes regarding 9 10 was it interference, did it impede the Debtor's business or 11 not? You know what, if you follow the other prongs of the 12 preliminary injunction, that you don't talk to the board 13 without your counsel, Mr. Dondero, and the Debtor's counsel, and you don't talk to Debtor's employees except with regard to 14 15 matters pertaining to the shared services agreement, and, 16 bottom line, if you just run everything by your attorneys, 17 you'll be okay. We won't have this ambiguous, vague, 18 problematic territory.

Fifth, I will go ahead and, for good measure, belts and suspenders, whatever you want to call it, prevent Mr. Dondero from otherwise violating Section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code.

Now, I read the response filed at 9:30 last night by Mr. Dondero's counsel. It's a good response. It makes legal arguments about that being, you know, it just being too vague.

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 200 of 243 PageID 544

167

1 Well, to the contrary, it just restates what's already in the 2 Bankruptcy Code, right? Persons are prohibited from violating 3 Section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. If anything, it's the 4 sky is blue, right, just stating what is true. But I 5 understand Debtor wanting some clarity in an order, because we want you to take this seriously, Mr. Dondero, and not just do 6 7 something and then say, well, you didn't know what was in the 8 Code. You know, you need to consult with your lawyer. That's 9 going to be in there.

Bottom line, I want that language in there because, Mr. Dondero, I want you to see an order that this Court expects you to comply with the Bankruptcy Code. And again, if you don't understand, if you're unsure whether you can take action x or y, consult with your very capable lawyers.

I note that if you listened carefully to these words, there was nothing in here that stopped Mr. Dondero from talking to the Creditors' Committee about a pot plan. Nothing in this injunction, nothing in the previous TRO, ever prohibited that.

Last, with regard to the ruling -- and again, I've got a lot more to say when I'm done -- I am going to further enjoin Mr. Dondero from what we said in the TRO: causing, encouraging, or conspiring with any entity controlled by him and/or any person or entity acting on his behalf from directly or indirectly engaging in any of the aforementioned items.

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 201 of 243 PageID 545

168

This is not an injunction as to nonparties to the adversary proceeding. It is an injunction as to Mr. Dondero from doing the various enjoined acts that I previously listed under the guise of another entity or a person that he controls.

Again, if you're dealing with and through your attorneys,
Mr. Dondero, I don't think this will be hard to maneuver.

7 I guess I'm actually not through with my ruling yet. I do 8 want to add that the Court rules that the injunction shall 9 last through the time of confirmation of a plan in this case 10 unless otherwise ordered by this Court.

11 And as to the legal standards, I want to be clear for the 12 record that the Court believes this injunction is necessary to 13 avoid immediate and irreparable harm to the Debtor's estate 14 and to its reorganization prospects. I believe that there's a 15 strong likelihood the Debtor will succeed in a trial on the merits of this adversary proceeding. I believe the public 16 17 interest strongly favors this injunction. And I believe the 18 balance of harms weighs in favor of the Debtor on all of these 19 various issues.

Again, I want to reiterate, the intimidation and interference that came through in some of these email and text communications was concerning to the Court and is a motivation for this preliminary injunction.

Now, I'm going to add on a couple of things today. The first thing I'm going to add on -- and I want this, Mr.

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 202 of 243 PageID 546

169

Morris, in the order you submit. You didn't ask me for this, 1 2 but I'm going to do it. I'm going to order you, Mr. Dondero, 3 to attend all future hearings in this bankruptcy case unless 4 and until this Court orders otherwise. And I'm doing this --5 it's not really that unusual a thing for me to do. Ι sometimes order this in cases when I'm concerned about, you 6 7 know, is the businessperson paying attention to what's going 8 on in the case and is he engaged, is he invested, is he 9 available when we need him?

10 In this case in particular, the evidence was that you 11 didn't read the TRO. You were not aware of its basic terms 12 and you didn't read it. Okay? So that was what sent me over 13 the edge as far as requiring this new element that you're going to attend every hearing. Obviously, we're doing video 14 15 court, so that's not that much of a burden or imposition. You 16 can pretty much be anywhere in the world and patch in by 17 video, since we're in the pandemic and not doing live court. 18 But I think it's necessary so I know you hear what I rule and 19 what goes on in this case.

I will tell you that I was having a real hard time during your testimony deciding if I believe you didn't read the TRO or know about the different things that were prohibited. You know, I was thinking maybe you're not being candid to help yourself in a future contempt hearing, or actually maybe you're being a hundred percent honest and candid but you're

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 203 of 243 PageID 547

170

1 kind of hiding behind your lawyers so that you can argue the
2 old plausible deniability when it suits you.

But no more. No more. I'm not going to risk this situation again of you not knowing what's in an order that affects you. So you must be in court by video until I order otherwise.

7 Second, and I regret having to do this, but I want it explicit in the preliminary injunction that Mr. Dondero shall 8 9 not enter Highland Capital Management's offices, regardless of 10 whether there are subleases or agreements of Highland 11 affiliates or Dondero-controlled entities to occupy the 12 office, unless Mr. Dondero has explicit written permission 13 that comes from Highland's bankruptcy counsel to Dondero's 14 bankruptcy counsel. Okay? If he does, it will be regarded as 15 trespassing.

16 And, I don't know, are there security guards on the 17 premises? I mean, gosh, I hate to be getting into this 18 minutia, but -- well, I just want it explicit in the order 19 that Mr. Dondero, I'm sorry, but you can't go to these offices 20 without written permission. And again, that can only be given 21 from Debtor's counsel to Mr. Dondero's counsel. Okay? So 22 it's going to be trespassing. You know, someone can call the 23 Dallas Police Department and have you escorted out. Again, I 24 hate having to do that. It's just, it's embarrassing for me. 25 I think it's embarrassing for everyone. But I'm backed up in

171

1 | that corner.

2 Next, I am going to ask that it be clear that Mr. Dondero 3 can deal with the Unsecured Creditors' Committee and its 4 professionals with regard to talking about a pot plan. 5 And next, I'm going to add -- and I think, Mr. Morris, you 6 requested this at some point today in oral argument -- Mr. 7 Ellington and Mr. Leventon shall not share any confidential 8 information that they received as general counsel, assistant 9 general counsel for the Debtor, without Debtor's counsel's 10 explicit written permission. Okay? So we've got that in 11 writing.

And, you know, that's a little awkward because they're not here, they weren't parties to the injunction, but they were Debtor employees until recently. If they want to risk violating that and come back to the Court and argue about whether they got notice and whatnot of that, they can argue that, but I want it in the order regardless.

18 So that is the ruling. And now I want to kind of talk 19 about a few other things. And before we're done here, Mr. 20 Morris, I'll ask do you have questions, does Mr. Bonds have 21 questions, does anyone have questions about the ruling. But I 22 want to talk about a couple of things. And again, I hope that 23 I'm coming through loud and clear, Mr. Bonds, in your office 24 for Mr. Dondero to hear this. It's really, really important 25 that he heard what I'm about to say. I'm going to say some

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 205 of 243 PageID 549

1 kind of unpleasant things and then I'm going to say some 2 hopeful things, okay? 3 Mr. Dondero? Okay. Mr. Dondero, I'm going to -- Mr. 4 Morris, you've got your hands on your head. Did I miss 5 something? MR. MORRIS: No. I was just surprised to see Mr. 6 7 Dondero on his phone. I apologize, Your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Oh, my goodness. Were you on your phone, 9 Mr. Dondero? 10 MR. DONDERO: No, I was not. 11 THE COURT: Okay. I want you to listen to this 12 really closely, and then I promise I'm going to have something 13 hopeful to say after this very unpleasant stuff. You know, I keep a whiteboard up at my bench. I don't know if you can 14 15 read it. But sometimes I hear something in a hearing and I 16 think, okay, this is one of my major takeaways from what I 17 heard today. And I've got two, I've got two big takeaways 18 here. Number one on my whiteboard is Dondero's spoliated 19 evidence. Game-changer for all future litigation. Okay. 20 MR. DONDERO: I'm sorry. I didn't hear that. I 21 didn't hear that. Could you repeat that, please? 22 THE COURT: Mr. Dondero, spoliated evidence, game-23 changer in future litigation. 24 Okay. Let me tell you, the throwing away of the phone, 25 that was the worst thing I heard all day. That was far and

Appx. 202

#### 172

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 206 of 243 PageID 550

away the worst thing I heard all today. I don't know what I'm 1 2 going to hear down the road to fix this, but if it's really 3 gone, let me tell you how bad this is. We have all sorts of 4 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure that talk about this being a 5 bad thing, but I wrote an opinion a couple years ago dealing 6 with spoliation of electronic evidence, and I think it might 7 be helpful for everyone to read. It was called In re Correra, 8 C-O-R-R-E-R-A. I have no idea what the cite on it is. But in 9 this case, Correra, we had a debtor who had a laptop, and he 10 gave the laptop to his personal assistant, who took it away to 11 another state. And at some point during the case, parties 12 discovered, oh, there's a laptop that may have a treasure 13 trove of information. Who knows? Maybe it does; maybe it 14 doesn't. But there's a laptop that we just now learned about 15 that the personal assistant has.

16 And so I issued an order that she turn it over, and there 17 were subpoenas and depositions, blah, blah, blah. Long story 18 short, the evidence ended up being that she deleted everything 19 on the laptop, and then -- this would almost be funny if it 20 wasn't so serious -- she downloaded thousands of pictures of 21 cats onto the laptop. I kid you not, cats. Meow, meow, cats. 22 And she downloaded a hundred-something full-length movies. 23 And we had two days of forensic experts come in and take the 24 witness stand and tell me about how, okay, this is like an 25 amateurish -- you've talked about amateur hour today -- this

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 207 of 243 PageID 551

is kind of an amateurish way of deleting data, right. You
 first delete all the files on the laptop and then you cover
 over all the space to make sure the information is not
 retrievable. You know, this genius ended up retrieving some
 of the information.

But the long story short is I sanctioned the debtor and his assistant jointly and severally. You'll have to go back and look at the opinion. I'm pretty sure it was over a million dollars. And I can't remember if that was attorneys' fee-shifting only, or monetary, like penalty on top of the attorneys' fees-shifting. I just can't remember. But maybe poor Tara needs to be advised of that opinion, too. I mean, --

14 But the other reason I put game-changer in future 15 litigation is, in my Correra case, it wasn't just the monetary 16 million-dollar sanction or whatever it was; it was a game-17 changer in future litigation because the adverse party to the 18 debtor ended up arguing -- and it was the state of New Mexico, 19 by the way -- they ended up saying, in all future litigation, 20 we want you -- some adversaries, we want you to make an 21 adverse inference. In other words, for all of these elements 22 that we're trying to prove in our fraudulent transfer 23 litigation and whatever else was going on, we want you to make 24 an adverse inference that there would have been evidence there 25 on that laptop that would have supported some of our causes of

174

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 208 of 243 PageID 552

175

1 action and it was destroyed to keep us from having that
2 evidence.

And they brought forth all kinds of case law. It's a hard area. It's a really, really hard area. But I ended up -again, it's not in the main opinion. It was in subsequent orders. I ended up saying, yeah, I think you've met the standard here to draw adverse inferences.

8 So, again, this is a very unpleasant message for me to 9 deliver today. But the destruction of the phone is my biggest 10 takeaway of concern today, how that might have ramifications. 11 You know, there are other bad things, too, about that. I'm 12 not even going to go there right now. But the, you know, 13 Title 18, you can ask your lawyer what that means, but okay. 14 My second big takeaway before we get to the hopeful stuff 15 is -- and this is kind of harsh, what I'm about to say -- but 16 Ellington and Leventon maybe care more about you, Mr. Dondero, 17 than their law license. You know, I guess it's great to have 18 people in your life who are very, very loyal to you. I mean, 19 loyalty is a wonderful thing. But I am just so worried about 20 things I've heard. Again, the phone and in-house lawyers. 21 The biggest concerns in my brains right now. I have worried 22 about them for a while.

You all will -- well, Mr. Dondero, you might not know
this. But we had a hearing a few months ago, maybe September,
October, where the Creditors' Committee was trying to get

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 209 of 243 PageID 553

176

1 discovery of documents. And we had some sort of hearing, 2 maybe a motion to compel production. And we had many, many 3 entities that you control file objections: NexPoint, NexBank. 4 I can't even remember. We just had a whole slew. CLO Holdco. 5 Many, many of these entities objected. And I was trying to 6 figure out that day who was instructing them. And oh my 7 goodness, I hope the in-house layers are not involved in this 8 document discovery dispute, because, you know, they have 9 fiduciary duties. And are -- you know, is it -- it feels like 10 it's breaching a duty to the bankruptcy estate when it's in 11 the bankruptcy estate's best interest to get these documents 12 if you're meanwhile hiring lawyers for these other entities, 13 Holdco, et cetera, and saying, Fight this.

14 I never really pressed it very hard back then, but I 15 raised the issue and I said, I'm really, really concerned 16 about this. And I continue to be concerned about it. I had 17 experiences with Mr. Ellington in the Acis case where he 18 testified on the witness stand, and later it looked a heck of 19 a lot like he might have committed perjury. I hate to use 20 such blunt terms. But I let it go. I'm just like, you know, 21 I'm not going to -- you know, I'm going to just hope for the 22 best that he misspoke.

But I'm getting a really bad taste in my mouth about Ellington and Leventon, and I hope that they will be careful and you will be careful, Mr. Dondero, in future actions.

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 210 of 243 PageID 554

177

Is Mr. -- I can't see Mr. Dondero. I want to make sure 1 2 he's not on the phone. Okay. Okay. Thank you. 3 So where was I going to head next? I guess I want to say 4 a couple of things now that I would describe as a little bit 5 more hopeful, and that is pertaining to this whole pot plan 6 thing. 7 You know, I tend to think, without knowing what's being said outside the courtroom, that a pot plan would be the best 8 9 of all worlds, okay, because the plan that we have set for 10 confirmation next week, I understand we have a lot of 11 objections, and if I approve it, if I confirm the plan, we're 12 going to have a lot of appeals and motions for stay pending 13 appeal, and no matter how that turns out, we're going to have a lot of litigation. Okay? You know, we're going to have 14 15 adversaries. And we have a not-very-workable situation here 16 where we have these Dondero-controlled affiliates questioning 17 Mr. Seery's every move. 18 I would love to have a pot plan that would involve, Mr.

19 Dondero, you getting to keep your baby, okay? I acknowledge, 20 everyone here acknowledges, you are the founder of this 21 company. This is your baby. You created a multi-billion-22 dollar empire, okay? I would be shocked if you didn't want to 23 keep your baby. Okay? If there was a reasonable pot plan, I 24 would love it.

25

But I'm telling you, the numbers I heard didn't impress me

## Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 211 of 243 PageID 555

178

1	a heck of a lot. I'm not an economic stakeholder. It's not		
2	my claim that would be getting paid. But I can see where		
3	these Creditor Committee members, they're not going to think		
4	\$160 million \$90 million in cash, \$70 million in notes, or		
5	vive-versa is nearly enough. Okay?		
6	So I am going what just happened? What just happened?		
7	I lost Mr. Dondero. Okay. This is getting kind of humorous,		
8	almost.		
9	Okay. I am going to order that between now and the end of		
10	the day Tuesday there be good-faith, and I'll say face-to-face		
11	Zoom, WebEx, whatever negotiations between Mr. Dondero		
12	and his counsel and at least the Committee and its		
13	professionals regarding this pot plan.		
14	Now, the train is leaving the station next Wednesday,		
15	okay? If we don't have Creditors' Committee and Debtor and		
16	Dondero rushing in here saying, Please continue the		
17	confirmation hearing next Wednesday, if we don't have like		
18	unanimous sentiment to do that, you know, this is a 15-month-		
19	old case, I'm going to go forward with the plan that's on		
20	file.		
21	And it's been a long, expensive case. I had great		
22	mediators try to give it their best shot to get a grand		
23	compromise. I just, I'm not going to drag this out unless you		
24	all tell me Wednesday morning, We want you to continue this a		
25	week or two.		
I			

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 212 of 243 PageID 556

And let me tell you -- this may be the stars lining up, or it may not be -- I was supposed to have a seven-day trial starting the week after next, and then I was supposed to have a four- or five-day day trial starting immediately after that. And all of those lawyers came in and asked for a continuance because of COVID. They wanted a face-to-face trial, and so I've put them off until April.

8 So if you wanted to postpone the confirmation hearing to 9 the following week or even the following week, I have the gift 10 of time to give you. But I'm not going to do it lightly. 11 I'm, again, I'm just going to order face-to-face meetings. 12 And I said Dondero and his counsel and the Committee and its 13 professionals. You know, if -- I'm not slighting the Debtor 14 here or Mr. Seery, but I'm kind of taking a cue from what Mr. 15 Morris, I think I heard you say, that at this point it's the 16 Committee, it's the Committee's money, and I think that's the 17 starting place. And if they want to join the Debtor in at the 18 beginning or midway through, you know, wonderful, but I think 19 it needs --

20 MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, this is Jeff -- this is 21 Jeff Pomerantz. I hate to interrupt, and I never do that to a 22 judge, but I did have something to say in my comments about a 23 continuance that we've talked about with the Committee and 24 some other developments in the case.

THE COURT: Oh.

25

## Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 213 of 243 PageID 557

180

1	MR. POMERANTZ: I'm happy to wait. But it has it
2	has nothing to do with the comments you said, although, as I
3	think you've heard from me before, the Debtor has been a
4	supporter, a supporter of a pot plan. Mr. Seery has done a
5	tremendous amount of work working with Mr. Dondero, working
6	with Mr. Lynn, to try to make that happen. And if the
7	Committee is willing to engage in a pot plan, we would
8	definitely support that. Because we do agree with Your Honor
9	that, absent a pot plan, we are looking at a lot of
10	litigation.
11	Some of the issues you're going to have to deal with at
12	the confirmation hearing if we do not have a peace-in-the-
13	valley settlement is exculpations, releases, moratoriums on
14	litigation, extensions of your January 9th order
15	THE COURT: Uh-huh.
16	MR. POMERANTZ: with respect to pursuing certain
17	people.
18	So, we get it, and we've gotten it from the beginning.
19	And Mr. Seery, sometimes even at a fault, has been
20	singlehandedly focused on trying to get that done. It's just
21	unfortunate where we are here.
22	But having said that, I wanted to first apprise the Court
23	of a recent major development in the case. I'm pleased to
24	report that the Debtor and UBS have reached a settlement in
25	principle which will resolve all of UBS's claims against the

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 214 of 243 PageID 558

181

1 estate, all of UBS's claims against Multi-Strat. The parties 2 are working on documentation. The settlement is subject to 3 internal approvals from UBS, but we've been led to believe 4 those approvals will occur, and we would hope to file a Rule 5 9019 motion in the near future.

I'm sure Your Honor is quite pleased to hear that. The
UBS matters have taken a substantial amount of time. And with
the settlement of UBS's claims, the only material unresolved
claim, unrelated to Mr. Dondero or the employees, are Mr.
Daugherty. And Mr. Seery will continue to work with Mr.
Daugherty to try to settle that.

THE COURT: Okay.

12

MR. POMERANTZ: With respect to the scheduling, with respect to the scheduling, Your Honor, there are three significant matters on for hearing on the 13th. The first is the Debtor's motion to approve a settlement with HarbourVest, which Mr. Dondero is contesting. Depositions are being conducted on Monday, and we anticipate an evidentiary hearing in connection therewith.

The Debtors, as Mr. Morris indicated earlier on in the hearing, have also filed a complaint and a motion for a temporary restraining order against certain of the Advisors and Funds owned and controlled by Mr. Dondero which relate to the CLO management agreements for which Your Honor has heard a lot of testimony today. We also expect that TRO to be

182

contested and for the Court to have an evidentiary hearing.
 And as Your Honor mentioned, the confirmation of the plan
 was scheduled for Wednesday, and there were 15 objections. I
 would point out, Your Honor, all but four of which were Mr.
 Dondero, his related entities that he owns or controls, and
 employees or former employees.

7 The Court previously gave us time on the 13th and the 8 14th, I think anticipating that we would have a lot and it may 9 be necessary to go into two days. However, Your Honor, those 10 two days are not going to be enough to deal with all the 11 issues that we have before Your Honor.

12 So what we suggest, and we've spoken to the Committee and 13 the Committee is supportive, that we continue confirmation to a day around January 27th. This will enable the Debtor to not 14 15 only -- and the Committee -- not only to take Your Honor up on 16 what you'd like to see accomplished in the next few days. I'm 17 sure the Debtor is supportive and will be supportive, and we 18 hope the Committee will engage in good-faith negotiations, and 19 if there's a way to do a pot plan, we are all for it. It'll 20 give time for that to happen.

But at the same time, and I think what you'll hear from Mr. Clemente, that we're willing to give a continuance, we all know that if there is not a settlement to be had, if there is not a pot plan to be had, this case has to confirm, it has to exit bankruptcy, and at least from the Debtor's perspective, a

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 216 of 243 PageID 560

183

1 lot of protections will have to be in place that basically 2 this has not just been a pit stop in Bankruptcy Court and we 3 return to the litigation ways that Highland is involved in. 4 So, Your Honor, we believe that the two evidentiary 5 hearings on for next week probably will fill up both days. We 6 would suggest that the first day be the complaint and the TRO 7 against the Advisors and the Funds for the 13th, and the 14th 8 be the HarbourVest.

9 We also recognized as we were preparing for today, Your 10 Honor, looking ahead, that we thought it was not fair for us, 11 although we know Your Honor works tirelessly and as hard as 12 anyone on this hearing and that Your Honor would be prepared 13 for confirmation and would be prepared for each of those trials, given the gravity of these issues, the extensive 14 15 pleadings, pleadings that you would get in confirmation on 16 Monday from the Debtor, that it made sense to continue the 17 hearing.

So, again, fully supportive of Your Honor's mandate to try to see if we could work things out, fully supportive of a continuance until the 27th, if that date works for Your Honor, but we believe we do need to go ahead with the two matters that are on for calendar next week.

23 MR. RUKAVINA: Your Honor, this is Davor Rukavina. 24 May I be heard briefly?

THE COURT: Oh my goodness. Who do you represent,

25

184

1 Mr. Rukavina?

22

2 MR. RUKAVINA: And I apologize -- Your Honor, I am 3 the new counsel who will be representing the Funds and 4 Advisors. I will probably be taking the laboring oar at 5 confirmation.

I apologize I'm not wearing a suit and tie. I did notanticipate speaking right now.

8 I support -- to the extent that that's an oral motion for 9 continuance by Mr. Pomerantz, I certainly support that. I 10 would suggest that the Court give us an understanding of that 11 today, because we do have depositions and discovery lined up 12 which we can then push if the hearing on confirmation is 13 pushed to the 27th. And we have no problem going forward on 14 the other matters on the 13th.

So, I am co-counsel to K&L Gates, Your Honor, so whoever the K&L Clients are, they're now my clients as well. I just wanted to be heard briefly that we support the recommendation by Mr. Pomerantz and just urge that the Court give us finality on that issue today so that we're not burning the midnight oil, many sets of lawyers preparing for confirmation on the 13th.

Thank you for hearing me, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So, just to be clear, the proposal is that we go forward next Wednesday on the newest request for a TRO with regard to -- is -- the CLO Funds and Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 218 of 243 PageID 562

185

1	the Advisors. I'm forgetting the exact names. And then that
2	would take likely the whole day, but whether it does or does
3	not, we would roll over to Wednesday of next week that'd be
4	the 14th to do the HarbourVest. It's a compromise motion,
5	right? Is there anything else?
6	MR. POMERANTZ: No, correct, it's the compromise
7	motion, Your Honor. There are two pending objections on this
8	and discovery scheduled for Monday.
9	THE COURT: All right. Well, as far as
10	MR. CLEMENTE: Your Honor?
11	THE COURT: Yes, who is that?
12	MR. CLEMENTE: Oh, Your Honor, it's Matt Clemente at
13	Sidley on behalf of the Committee. I'm here, and I thought
14	maybe I'd offer just a couple of comments at this point, but
15	I'm happy to hold them.
16	THE COURT: Well,
17	MS. SMITH: And Your Honor, this is Frances Smith. I
18	would also like to be heard before you wrap up.
19	THE COURT: Okay. Well, I guess generally I want to
20	know, does anyone have any objection I can't imagine they
21	would but any objection to pushing confirmation out to
22	around the 27th? I'm going to say that because I have an
23	issue middle of the day the 28th. If we do it the 27th, I
24	could only go a day and a half, okay? I have to go out of
25	town the evening of the 28th, and I would be out the 29th as

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 219 of 243 PageID 563

186

well. That's Thursday and Friday. So we'll talk about that.
 But anyone, Mr. Clemente or anyone else, want to say anything
 about continuing the confirmation?

4 MR. CLEMENTE: Your Honor, it's Matt Clemente at 5 Sidley. No, Your Honor, we're supportive of that schedule. 6 And Your Honor, just briefly, I heard my name discussed 7 quite a bit at this hearing as well as the Committee. I'm not 8 going to get into it unless Your Honor would like me to, but 9 let me be very clear: The committee has taken very seriously 10 the pot plan proposals that Mr. Dondero has presented, and there's much more to the discussion other than what Mr. Lynn 11 12 suggested in his remarks.

13 So I'm not going to get into all that unless Your Honor 14 thinks it's necessary. I think it's of no moment here. But I 15 did want Your Honor to know that we have carefully considered 16 the pot plan proposals and have communicated a variety of 17 issues about that to Mr. Lynn and will continue to take the 18 direction of Your Honor and engage on a pot plan, Your Honor. 19 But I did not want there to be any suggestion that we did not 20 take it seriously and that there was much, much more consideration and discussion about it than what was suggested. 21 22 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 23 MR. CLEMENTE: Thank you, Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 MS. SMITH: Your Honor, this is Frances Smith.

### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 220 of 243 PageID 564

187

1	THE COURT: Who do you represent, Ms. Smith?
2	MS. SMITH: Your Honor, we were recently retained by
3	the four senior employees: Tom Surgent, Frank Waterhouse,
4	Scott Ellington, Isaac Leventon, along with Baker & McKenzie,
5	and I believe we have the Baker & McKenzie lawyers Deb
6	Dandeneau and Michelle Hartmann on the line.
7	Your Honor, we have listened to the whole hearing. And I
8	was not going to make an appearance. I was following your
9	instructions and listening carefully. But Your Honor, I
10	first of all, we hate to be before you for the first time in a
11	discovery dispute. We did file a very limited objection to
12	the plan because of the disparate treatment of our clients,
13	which we are not arguing today, of course. We received it
14	is our usual practice, Your Honor you've known me for a
15	long time to cooperate on having witnesses appear. We got
16	we were notified very late Tuesday that the Debtor's
17	counsel would like two of our clients to appear. We made what
18	we thought was a reasonable request for a copy of the
19	transcript from the deposition. We were invited to the
20	deposition and then told we could not attend, or our clients
20	deposition and then told we could not attend, or our clients

24 Our clients have not been evading service. As far as we 25 know, they were each attempted service one time, late

## Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 221 of 243 PageID 565

188

1	Wednesday, when they were around dinnertime. Mr. Leventon
2	was home all day today. Didn't go any or yesterday.
3	Didn't go anywhere. Was not served. Wasn't served this
4	morning. The same, as far as we know, with Mr. Ellenton.
5	Your Honor, on the order that you just entered, I am a
6	little unclear of where your findings of fact stopped. First
7	of all, I do not think that you can enjoin Mr. Ellenton and
8	Mr. Leventon. They are not parties to the adversary
9	proceeding.
10	You know, we did some very quick research. There's a
11	Seventh Circuit case, a district court may not enjoin
12	nonparties who are not either acting in concert with an
13	enjoined party nor in the capacity of agents, employees,
14	officers of the enjoined party. Mr. Ellington and Mr.
15	Leventon are not agents, employees, officers of Mr. Dondero.
16	So I think that, Your Honor, you cannot make that ruling.
17	Of course, you can rule that Mr. Dondero cannot talk to
18	Mr. Leventon and Mr. Ellington. That might be a way to fix
19	that one part. But as nonparties, I don't believe that you
20	can enjoin them.
21	Also, Your Honor, there was just no evidence against them
22	to support that. Out of more than two dozen exhibits, there
23	was one mention of Mr. Leventon, where all he did was give Mr.
24	Dondero Matt Clemente's phone number. And you yourself ruled,
25	Your Honor, that Mr. Dondero could speak with the Committee,

so that wouldn't even have been a violation of your orders.
 There's three related to Mr. Ellington, but no evidence of
 confidential information.

4 And, Your Honor, I'm very concerned about the comments 5 that you made about Mr. Ellington and perjury. I just want to make sure that it's clear on the record that those were not 6 7 findings of fact. That did not -- there was no evidence about 8 that today. And I understand Your Honor's frustration. I was 9 -- but I just want to be very clear on the record that those 10 were not findings of fact that you were making during that 11 part of your comments. I was a little unclear about where the 12 ruling exactly stopped when you said you wanted to add onto 13 the order and then you were going to make a few more comments. So that's all I have, Your Honor. 14

15

16

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. SMITH: Thank you for listening and --

17 THE COURT: Thank you. Fair comments, one and all. 18 I'm first going to tweak. I was concerned. You heard me 19 express concern about, you know, Ellington and Leventon aren't 20 parties to this adversary. Not here. So here's -- Mr. 21 Morris, I assume you're the scrivener. Let's change what I 22 said earlier and have the injunction read that Mr. Dondero 23 shall not request that Mr. Ellington or Mr. Leventon share any 24 confidential information they received as general counsel or 25 assistant general counsel for the Debtor without Debtor's

## Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 223 of 243 PageID 567

190

1	counsel's explicit written permission, nor accept any
2	confidential information that the two of them may have
3	received as general counsel or assistant general counsel for
4	the Debtor. Okay? So the injunction is
5	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, if I may,
6	THE COURT: Who?
7	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, if I may, that is not
8	sufficient for us, because that means that they can actually
9	share it with him as long as he doesn't request it. I'm a
10	little surprised
11	THE COURT: No. You didn't hear the accept the
12	last part.
13	MR. MORRIS: Okay.
14	THE COURT: I added on at the end, nor shall Mr.
15	Dondero accept any confidential information. They he shall
16	not request that they share it, nor shall he accept it. Okay?
17	I
18	MR. MORRIS: So, but that my concern is that that
19	makes Mr. Dondero the arbiter of what's confidential and
20	what's privileged. And I think that's improper. I think it's
21	really reasonable, and I'm surprised you know, we're all
22	advocates here, so I take no issue with counsel, but the order
23	was going to be pretty simple: Don't disclose privileged or
24	confidential information. If they don't like that, that's
25	fine. Just bar Mr. Dondero from speaking to either one of

1 them, period, full stop. Because we should not be in a
2 position where he doesn't request it but somehow they send it
3 to him. It is confidential.

191

I mean, who's deciding what's confidential here? Mr.
Ellington? Mr. Leventon? Mr. Dondero? Just stop their
communication. Mr. Dondero is subject to the Court's order.
He's the one who's subject to this motion. Bar him from
speaking to either one of them. It's a very -- very simple
solution.

MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I agree that it's a simple solution. It's, I mean, not correct to assume that Mr. Dondero is in any way going to breach his obligations to the Court or to Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon. I don't see where -- what we're talking about.

MS. SMITH: Also, Your Honor, I have to object to him disparaging my clients that way. There's been no evidence that they improperly shared any information. They are licensed lawyers and they know the Rules of Professional -they know the rules of professionalism, so --

THE COURT: Okay. I, you know, I didn't make a finding earlier when I held out my two giant takeaways, to get to your later question, no findings. But I really hope you share with them everything I said, the concerns I expressed. Maybe get the transcript.

25

MS. SMITH: Absolutely, Your Honor.

## Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 225 of 243 PageID 569

192

1	THE COURT: Because I have huge concerns about
2	conflicts of interest here. Okay? Huge, huge concerns. I
3	had them back when we had the discovery fight, Committee
4	wanting documents, and, you know, and I still have them. You
5	know, did Ellington know about the TRO?
6	MS. SMITH: Understood, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Okay. So let me backtrack. We already
8	had a TRO that prevented Mr. Dondero from talking to any
9	employees of the Debtor unless it was about shared services
10	agreement.
11	So, Mr. Bonds, I'm going to flip it back to you on this
12	one. Why shouldn't I at this point just say, okay, guess
13	what, no talking to Mr. Leventon or Ellington for the time
14	being? Why
15	MR. BONDS: First of all,
16	MS. SMITH: Your Honor, that's acceptable to us.
17	THE COURT: Okay. What's wrong with that, Mr. Bonds?
18	MR. BONDS: Your Honor, we don't believe that Mr.
19	Dondero has violated the TRO.
20	And secondly and more importantly, we don't believe that
21	there's any way that you can enter an order that singles out
22	two former employees. I mean, that's bizarre.
23	THE COURT: If I'm concerned that it's thwarting the
24	reorganization efforts and there are conflicts of interest
25	here, why can't I?

## Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 226 of 243 PageID 570

193

1	You know, this is I hate to say it, but I feel like
2	I've been in the role of a divorce judge today. We have very
3	much a corporate divorce that has been in the works, unless we
4	get this pot plan on track, okay, and I'm a judge having to
5	enter interim orders keeping one spouse away from the other,
6	keeping one spouse out of the house, keeping one spouse away
7	from the kids. It's not pleasant at all. But I don't the
8	more I think about it, the more I have authority to do it just
9	to protect, to protect the nest egg here.
10	MS. SMITH: Your Honor, we are perfectly fine with
11	you enjoining Mr. Dondero from speaking to our clients, and we
12	will convey that to our clients.
13	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Bonds, I can't hear you.
14	MR. BONDS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. What evidence is
15	there of irreparable harm as to Mr. Dondero talking with
16	either Mr. Leventon or Mr. Ellington?
17	THE COURT: Okay. Do I need to parse through the
18	communications I saw? Do I need to parse-
19	MR. BONDS: Yeah, I think so. I mean, I don't
20	understand.
21	THE COURT: Okay. I never authorized Mr. Ellington
22	to be the settlement lawyer or whatever, okay? I never would
23	have, okay? And maybe Mr. Seery, you know, said something to
24	early on in the case to make him think he had that
25	authority, but no, we're done. Okay? And I feel like it's
I	

## Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 227 of 243 PageID 571

194

I	
1	causing more harm than good right now. Okay?
2	I don't know who instructed all of these Dondero-
3	controlled entities to hire lawyers. I don't know if
4	Ellington and Leventon have been giving instructions to these
5	entities. But we've got conflicts everywhere now. Okay?
6	We've got and by the way, I'm just going to list them now.
7	We have, of course, Bonds Ellis representing Dondero. We have
8	Doug Draper, Heller Draper, now representing these trusts, Get
9	Good Trust, Dugaboy Investment Trust. We have K&L Gates and
10	now Munsch Hardt also representing the Advisors, NexPoint and
11	the various CLO or other Funds. We have CLO Holdco
12	represented by Kane Russell Coleman Logan. We have NexPoint
13	Real Estate represented by Wick Phillips. Who have I left
14	and, of course, the employees, Baker & McKenzie and Ms. Smith.
15	We have Spencer Fane in there for other current or former
16	employees. We have Loewinsohn Flegle in there for certain
17	former or current employees.
18	I mean, the proliferation of lawyers. And again, I don't
19	know if Mr. Ellington and Mr. Leventon have had a role in
20	hiring counsel, wearing their hat for these other entities or
21	not. Can anyone tell me? Maybe I'm worried about something I
22	shouldn't be worried about.
23	MR. DONDERO: You're worried about something you
24	shouldn't worry about, Your Honor.
25	THE COURT: Okay. So Ellington

195

1	MR. MORRIS: Your Honor, I would just point to the
2	evidence that's in the record, Your Honor. You have Mr.
3	Dondero asking Mr. Ellington to show leadership in
4	coordinating all of the lawyers you just mentioned. It's in
5	the record.
6	THE COURT: Yes. I'm just going to, until otherwise
7	ordered, no conversations between Dondero and Ellington and
8	Leventon, and that's just going to be my ruling until further
9	order. That's what I feel best about.
10	Now, let me ask you, knowing that I could only give you a
11	half a day on the 28th of January, if we start the
12	confirmation hearing on whatever the plan looks like on
13	January 27th, I mean, do people want to go with that,
14	MR. POMERANTZ: Your
15	THE COURT: even knowing we might not finish that
16	day, or no?
17	MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, this is Jeff Pomerantz.
18	Maybe if we could start on the 26th, have the 26th, 27th, and
19	then maybe half of the 28th. I would think two and a half
20	days should be enough, notwithstanding the volume of
21	objections, because I think you'll find that, while there may
22	be some evidence, I think the majority of the objections are
23	really legal in nature.
24	THE COURT: All right. Traci, are you out there in
25	video-land?

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 229 of 243 PageID 573 196 THE CLERK: Yes, I'm here. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Have I overcommitted the 26th? If 3 we start the 26th at 9:30 in the morning, can we do that? Or 4 \_\_\_ 5 MR. BONDS: Your Honor? THE CLERK: That'd be fine. 6 7 THE COURT: Okay. 8 THE CLERK: Just remember that you have an 9 appointment at lunchtime that day at noon on the 26th. 10 THE COURT: Okay. I --11 THE CLERK: You don't have any court hearings. 12 THE COURT: Okay. 13 MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I'm sorry. 14 THE COURT: Go ahead. 15 MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I'm sorry. This is John 16 Bonds. I have a hearing on the 26th that I can't miss. 17 THE COURT: Well, can someone else --18 MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, we would request, right, 19 that Mr. Lynn lead the confirmation hearing. There's a lot of 20 lawyers. If we try to look at everyone's calendar, we're 21 never going to be able --22 THE COURT: Yes. 23 MR. POMERANTZ: -- to get something that's good for 24 everyone. 25 THE COURT: Okay. Yes. Well, Mr. Lynn or Mr. Assink **Appx. 226** 

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 230 of 243 PageID 574

197

1 can handle it, Mr. Bonds.

2	So we're going to start the 26th at 9:30. We'll go all
3	day, except I have something at lunchtime, apparently. And
4	then we'll go all day on the 27th, and then I can give you
5	half a day on the 28th.
6	So you'll upload immediately a notice to that effect, Mr.
7	Pomerantz.
8	MR. POMERANTZ: Yes, we would.
9	Your Honor, in terms of our documents in support of
10	confirmation, we want to make it convenient with the Court.
11	We know your Court would at least need one business day, so we
12	would prefer to file, say, by 2:00 Central on the 24th, on a
13	Sunday. Everyone will have it, and have one business day. I
14	mean, the old order only had one business day in advance as
15	well. So that's what we would propose for our confirmation
16	documents to be filed.
17	MR. RUKAVINA: Your Honor, this is Davor Rukavina.
18	An important issue here is how the creditors have voted, and I
19	have no idea how they have voted. The voting deadline has
20	expired. So I have no problem with what Mr. Pomerantz
21	suggests, but I do think that the Debtor should file its
22	tabulation of votes sooner rather than later so we all know
23	one of the central elements for the hearing that we'll have.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MR. POMERANTZ: That's fair, Your Honor. We're

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 231 of 243 PageID 575 198 1 prepared to file the summary of voting and tabulation by the 2 15th of January. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. 4 So, backing up, Mr. Pomerantz, you asked that I approve 5 you filing any plan modifications by noon on Sunday, the 24th? 6 Is that what you said? 7 MR. POMERANTZ: Yeah. So, there's a couple of There's our confirmation brief. 8 things. 9 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 10 MR. POMERANTZ: There is our -- any evidence we would 11 submit, although I suspect we are likely to provide live 12 testimony, as opposed to a declaration. There was our summary 13 of ballots, which we will now do on the 15th. And to the 14 extent we have any modifications, we would provide them on 15 Sunday by 12:00 noon Central time as well. Yes. 16 THE COURT: All right. 17 MR. RUKAVINA: Well, Your Honor, this is Davor 18 Rukavina. Does that mean the witness and exhibit lists also 19 will not be due until Sunday at noon? Because I would request 20 that we have the normal period of time to exchange exhibits and witnesses. 21 22 MR. BONDS: Your Honor, I think that the normal time 23 period is also important in this case. 24 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to --25 MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, we could -- if everyone

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 232 of 243 PageID 576 199 1 agrees on witness lists, we could do those by 5:00 p.m. 2 Central on the 22nd. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Let's do that. Okay. 4 MR. POMERANTZ: But that -- but that needs to be for 5 everybody. THE COURT: Oh, it will be for everyone. 6 7 MR. RUKAVINA: Your Honor, no problem. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Let's --9 MR. POMERANTZ: 5:00 p.m. Central Standard Time. THE COURT: No more discussions. 10 That'll be the 11 ruling, okay? Everything is going to be due by 5:00 p.m. 12 Central time on Friday, the 22nd. All right. 13 MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, is that our brief as 14 well, or --15 THE COURT: Yes. 16 MR. POMERANTZ: -- was that just the witness list? THE COURT: Everything. Brief, witness list, and --17 18 MR. POMERANTZ: Okay. 19 THE COURT: -- plan mods. 20 Let me look through my notes and see if there's anything 21 else I want to say. You know, let me do some quick math here. 22 I know there was one other thing I wanted to say that involves 23 math. Okay. I think my math is right here. Okay. You know, I mentioned the proliferation of lawyers. And let me just say 24 25 this. We had -- we've had about 90 people on the -- showing

#### Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 233 of 243 PageID 577

up on the video screen today -- 89, 90, 91, 92. A few, a 1 2 little over 90. Okay? So let's say 90. It's been up to 95 3 earlier. But let's pretend that 60 of those are lawyers 4 billing by the hour. That's very conservative. Probably many 5 more than 60. And let's assume conservatively that the average billing rate is \$700 an hour. That's probably very 6 7 low, right? We probably don't have many baby lawyers on the 8 So that's a very low average. So, 60 lawyers times phone. 9 \$700 an hour, \$42,000 an hour this hearing has cost. And then 10 we've been going over seven hours. So let's say seven, 11 conservatively, times \$42,000. This hearing has cost \$294,000 12 today. A preliminary injunction hearing. I mean, no one 13 thinks that's chump change. I don't know, maybe some people do. This just seems like a ridiculous way to spend resources. 14 15 No offense to all the wonderful lawyers, but this is just --16 it's crazy-town, right? It is crazy-town. So I implore you, 17 okay, how about I use that word, I implore you to have these 18 good-faith discussions on a pot plan.

Please, Mr. Dondero, I mean, don't waste people's time.
\$160 million, I know that's not going to cut it. Okay? So
it's going to have to be more meaningful. I just know that in
my gut.

But having said that, I mean, I honestly mean I think a pot plan -- I think you getting your baby back is the best thing for everyone. Okay? I think it's the best thing for

Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 234 of 243 PageID 578

201

1 everyone. So I want you all to --2 MR. DONDERO: Judge, I -- Judge, I just need to 3 interject for a second, because no one follows the big 4 picture. We filed for bankruptcy with \$450 million of assets. 5 \$360 million of third-party net assets, \$90 million of affiliated notes. The third-party assets are down to \$130 6 7 million and falling fast. 8 MR. POMERANTZ: Your Honor, I hate to interrupt Mr. 9 Dondero, but that is not the purpose of this hearing. 10 THE COURT: Well, --11 MR. POMERANTZ: Mr. Dondero's statement of the assets 12 and value is just not something that the Debtors would agree 13 and support. I'm sure it's not something the creditors -- I 14 think we understand what Your Honor is saying. I think the Committee understands. And Your Honor knows that the Debtor 15 16 and the Committee are close to the asset values. And Mr. 17 Dondero should be making his argument to the Debtor and the 18 Committee, not Your Honor, in this open forum. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 20 MR. POMERANTZ: It's just not appropriate. 21 THE COURT: And I understand where you're both coming 22 from. And he's saying that because I made the comment I made 23 about \$160 million not being enough. 24 I've seen the evidence. I've heard the evidence at prior 25 hearings, Mr. Dondero. We've had a lot of hearings. And I

## Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 235 of 243 PageID 579

202

1	remember writing that down. Wow, why did that happen? Seeing
2	the dissipation of value. I couldn't remember the exact
3	numbers, but I thought it was like \$500 million something and
4	then \$300 million or whatever. And I remember Multi-Strat,
5	that being sold, and blah, blah, blah, blah.
6	But having said that, there are a lot of causes of action
7	that have been hinted at by the Creditors' Committee and
8	others. So, causes of action is one of the things they are
9	looking at when they start thinking about what's appropriate
10	value.
11	So I just, I get where everyone is coming from. I get
12	where everyone is coming from. But, again, let's take one
13	more stab at this, please. Okay?
14	MR. POMERANTZ: Yeah. And Your Honor, my last
15	comment. We're commercial people. The creditors are
16	commercial people. I think we've done a tremendous job in
17	being able to resolve most every one of the significant
18	claims. I think the Court should trust the process. Mr.
19	Dondero should trust the process.
20	And again, if there's a commercial deal to be worked out,
21	I don't think there's anyone more than of course the Debtor
22	and the people on the Committee, who have been litigating in
23	many cases with Mr. Dondero and Highland for ten years, I
24	don't think it's anyone's desire. So if there's a reasonable,
25	rational proposal that the creditors can get behind and want

## Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 236 of 243 PageID 580

203

1	to engage, then there'll be a discussion. If they don't
2	believe it's a reasonable, rational proposal, they won't.
3	THE COURT: Yes. All right. Well, I do feel very
4	good about what I've heard about the UBS issues being worked
5	out. I mean, we have come a long way in 15 months, even
6	though it's frustrating to me and others. But, again, I know
7	you all are going to do what you need to do. And I'll look
8	for the form of order. I'm going to see you all, Mr. Dondero,
9	including you, next Wednesday. And if there's nothing else,
10	we stand adjourned.
11	MS. SMITH: Your Honor, I'd like to review the form
12	of order as it regards my clients before it's submitted.
13	THE COURT: Okay.
14	MS. SMITH: If I could have a courtesy copy, please.
15	THE COURT: Yes. Well, yes. I'm not going to
16	require 90 lawyers to get the order, but I will ask Mr.
17	Pomerantz, Mr. Morris, make sure Ms. Smith gets it and
18	obviously Mr. Dondero's counsel gets it. And I probably won't
19	get it until Monday, it sounds like, but
20	MR. POMERANTZ: That's likely.
21	THE COURT: But I'll be on the lookout for it. Okay.
22	Thank you. We stand adjourned.
23	MS. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor.
24	THE CLERK: All rise.
25	MR. MORRIS: Thank you, Your Honor.

Case	<b>3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 237 of 243 PageID 581</b> 204
1	MR. BONDS: Thank you, Your Honor.
2	(Proceedings concluded at 4:09 p.m.)
3	000
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	CERTIFICATE
19	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the
20	above-entitled matter.
21	/s/ Kathy Rehling 01/11/2021
22	Kathy Rehling, CETD-444 Date
23	Certified Electronic Court Transcriber
24	
25	
	Аррх. 234

Case	3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 238 of 243	
	INDEX	205
1	PROCEEDINGS	3
2	OPENING STATEMENTS	5
3		5
4	- By Mr. Morris	C
5	WITNESSES	
6	Plaintiff's Witnesses	
7	James D. Dondero - Direct Examination by Mr. Morris	19
8	- Cross-Examination by Mr. Bonds - Redirect Examination by Mr. Morris	106 139
9	EXHIBITS	
10	Plaintiff's Exhibits A through Y	Received 38
11	CLOSING ARGUMENTS	
12		1 5 0
13	- By Mr. Morris - By Mr. Lynn	152 155
14	- By Mr. Bonds	159
15	RULINGS	163/189
16	END OF PROCEEDINGS	204
17	INDEX	205
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	Аррх. 235	

Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 59 Filed 01/12/21 Entered 01/12/21 07:46:55 Page 1 of 5 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 239 of 243 PageID 583



CLERK, U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

ENTERED THE DATE OF ENTRY IS ON THE COURT'S DOCKET

The following constitutes the ruling of the court and has the force and effect therein described.

United States Bankruptcy Ju

Signed January 11, 2021

#### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS **DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:		§ 8	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., <sup>1</sup>		s 8 8	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
	Debtor.	ş Ş	u.
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MA	NAGEMENT, L.P.,	§ §	
	Plaintiff,	s S S	Adversary Proceeding No.
VS.		8 8 8	No. 20-03190-sgj
JAMES D. DONDERO,		8 8 0	
	Defendant.	8 §	

#### **ORDER GRANTING DEBTOR'S MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION** AGAINST JAMES DONDERO

This matter having come before the Court on Plaintiff Highland Capital Management,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.

#### Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 59 Filed 01/12/21 Entered 01/12/21 07:46:55 Page 2 of 5 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 240 of 243 PageID 584

L.P.'s Emergency Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction against Mr. James Dondero [Adv. Pro. Docket No. 2] (the "Motion"), filed by Highland Capital Management, L.P., the debtor and debtor-in-possession (the "Debtor") in the above-captioned chapter 11 case (the "Bankruptcy Case"), and the plaintiff in the above-captioned adversary proceeding (the "Adversary Proceeding"); and this Court having considered (a) the Motion, (b) Plaintiff Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Verified Original Complaint for Injunctive Relief [Adv. Pro. Docket No. 1] (the "Complaint"), (c) the arguments and law cited in the Debtor's Amended Memorandum of Law in Support of its Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction against Mr. James Dondero [Adv. Pro. Docket No. 3] (the "Memorandum of Law," and together with the Motion and Complaint, the "Debtor's Papers"), (d) James Dondero's Response in Opposition to Debtor's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [Adv. Pro. Docket No. 52] (the "Opposition") filed by James Dondero, (e) the testimonial and documentary evidence admitted into evidence during the hearing held on January 8, 2021 (the "Hearing"), including assessing the credibility of Mr. James Dondero, (f) the arguments made during the Hearing, and (g) all prior proceedings relating to the Motion, including the December 10, 2020 hearing on the Debtor's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction against James Dondero [Adv. Pro. Docket No. 6] (the "TRO Hearing"); and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and this Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that injunctive relief is warranted under sections 105(a) and 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtor's estate, its creditors, and other parties-in-interest;

#### Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 59 Filed 01/12/21 Entered 01/12/21 07:46:55 Page 3 of 5 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 241 of 243 PageID 585

and this Court having found that the Debtor's notice of the Motion and opportunity for a hearing on the Motion were appropriate and that no other notice need be provided; and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Debtor's Papers, and the evidence submitted in support thereof, establish good cause for the relief granted herein, and that (1) such relief is necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm to the Debtor's estate and reorganization process; (2) the Debtor is likely to succeed on the merits of its underlying claim for injunctive relief; (3) the balance of the equities tip in the Debtor's favor; and (4) such relief serves the public interest; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor and for the reasons set forth in the record on this Motion, it is **HEREBY ORDERED THAT**:

- ,
  - 1. The Motion is **GRANTED** as set forth herein.

2. James Dondero is preliminarily enjoined and restrained from (a) communicating (whether orally, in writing, or otherwise), directly or indirectly, with any Board member unless Mr. Dondero's counsel and counsel for the Debtor are included in any such communication; (b) making any express or implied threats of any nature against the Debtor or any of its directors, officers, employees, professionals, or agents, in whatever capacity they are acting; (c) communicating with any of the Debtor's employees, except as it specifically relates to shared services currently provided to affiliates owned or controlled by Mr. Dondero; (d) interfering with or otherwise impeding, directly or indirectly, the Debtor's business, including but not limited to the Debtor's decisions concerning its operations, management, treatment of claims, disposition of assets owned, controlled or managed by the Debtor, and the pursuit of the Plan or any

#### Case 20-03190-sgj Doc 59 Filed 01/12/21 Entered 01/12/21 07:46:55 Page 4 of 5 Case 3:21-cv-00132-E Document 7 Filed 01/27/21 Page 242 of 243 PageID 586

alternative to the Plan; and (e) otherwise violating section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code (collectively, the "<u>Prohibited Conduct</u>").<sup>2</sup>

3. James Dondero is further preliminarily enjoined and restrained from causing, encouraging, or conspiring with (a) any entity owned or controlled by him, and/or (b) any person or entity acting with him or on his behalf, to, directly or indirectly, engage in any Prohibited Conduct.

4. James Dondero is further preliminarily enjoined and restrained from communicating (in person, telephonically, by e-mail, text message or otherwise) with Scott Ellington and/or Isaac Leventon, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

5. James Dondero is further preliminarily enjoined and restrained from physically entering, or virtually entering through the Debtor's computer, email, or information systems, the Debtor's offices located at Crescent Court in Dallas, Texas, or any other offices or facilities owned or leased by the Debtor, regardless of any agreements, subleases, or otherwise, held by the Debtor's affiliates or entities owned or controlled by Mr. Dondero, without the prior written permission of Debtor's counsel made to Mr. Dondero's counsel. If Mr. Dondero enters the Debtor's office or other facilities or systems without such permission, such entrance will constitute trespass.

 James Dondero is ordered to attend all future hearings in this Bankruptcy Case by Webex (or whatever other video platform is utilized by the Court), unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

7. This Order shall remain in effect until the date that any plan of reorganization or liquidation resolving the Debtor's case becomes effective, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  For the avoidance of doubt, this Order does not enjoin or restrain Mr. Dondero from (1) seeking judicial relief upon proper notice or from objecting to any motion filed in this Bankruptcy Case, or (2) communicating with the committee of unsecured creditors (the "<u>UCC</u>") and its professionals regarding a pot plan.

- 8. All objections to the Motion are overruled in their entirety.
- 9. The Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising

from or relating to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.

### ### END OF ORDER ###