PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) (admitted pro hac vice) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397) (admitted pro hac vice) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (admitted pro hac vice) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (admitted pro hac vice) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760

HAYWARD PLLC Melissa S. Hayward (Texas Bar No. 24044908) Zachery Z. Annable (Texas Bar No. 24053075) 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Telephone: (972) 755-7100 Facsimile: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§ §	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., <sup>1</sup>	§ §	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Reorganized Debtor.	§ §	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ §	Adversary Proceeding No.
VS.	8 § 8	21-03005-sgj
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	ş	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Adversary Proceeding No.
vs.	§ § §	21-03006-sgj

<sup>1</sup> The Reorganized Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Reorganized Debtor is 100 Crescent Court, Suite 1850, Dallas, TX 75201.



HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT	8	
SERVICES, INC., JAMES DONDERO, NANCY	§	
DONDERO, AND THE DUGABOY	§	
INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Adversary Proceeding No.
	§	
VS.	§	21-03007-sgj
	§	
HCRE PARTNERS, LLC (N/K/A NEXPOINT	§	
REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, LLC), JAMES	§	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

### DECLARATION OF JOHN A. MORRIS IN SUPPORT OF HIGHLAND'S OBJECTION TO MOTION OF DEFENDANT NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. TO EXTEND EXPERT DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY DEADLINES

I, John A. Morris, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(a) and under penalty of perjury, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney in the law firm of Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl & Jones LLP, counsel to the above-referenced Reorganized Debtor, and I submit this Declaration in support of *Highland's Objection to Motion of Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines* (the "Objection") being filed concurrently with this Declaration. I submit this Declaration based on my personal knowledge and review of the documents listed below.

2. Attached as <u>Exhibit 1</u> is a true and correct copy of a 30-year term note on behalf of NexPoint Advisors, L.P. and in favor of Highland Capital Management, L.P. for an original principal amount of \$30,746,812.33, dated May 31, 2017.

Attached as <u>Exhibit 2</u> is a true and correct copy of a Demand Letter dated January
 7, 2021.

4. Attached as <u>Exhibit 3</u> is a true and correct copy of the October 19, 2021 deposition transcript of Frank Waterhouse.

5. Attached as <u>Exhibit 4</u> is a true and correct copy of the October 29, 2021 deposition transcript of James Dondero.

Dated: December 1, 2021.

/s/ John A. Morris John A. Morris

# **EXHIBIT 1**

#### **PROMISSORY NOTE**

#### \$30,746,812.33

#### May 31, 2017

THIS PROMISSORY NOTE (this "<u>Note</u>") is in substitution for and supersedes in their entirety each of those certain promissory notes described in <u>Exhibit A</u> hereto, from NexPoint Advisors, L.P., as Maker, and Highland Capital Management, L.P. as Payee (collectively, the "<u>Prior Notes</u>"), together with the aggregate outstanding principal and accrued and unpaid interested represented thereby.

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. ("<u>Maker</u>") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P. ("<u>Payee</u>"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of THIRTY MILLION, SEVEN HUNDRED FORTY SIX THOUSAND, EIGHT HUNDRED TWELVE AND 33/100 DOLLARS (\$30,746,812.33), together with interest, on the terms set forth below. All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. <u>Interest Rate</u>. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at the rate of six percent (6.00%) per annum from the date hereof until Maturity Date (hereinafter defined), compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to 1/365th (1/366 in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable annually.

2. <u>Payment of Principal and Interest</u>. Principal and interest under this Note shall be payable as follows:

2.1 <u>Annual Payment Dates</u>. During the term of this Note, Borrower shall pay the outstanding principal amount of the Note (and all unpaid accrued interest through the date of each such payment) in thirty (30) equal annual payments (the "<u>Annual Installment</u>") until the Note is paid in full. Borrower shall pay the Annual Installment on the 31<sup>st</sup> day of December of each calendar year during the term of this Note, commencing on the first such date to occur after the date of execution of this Note.

2.2 <u>Final Payment Date</u>. The final payment in the aggregate amount of the then outstanding and unpaid Note, together with all accrued and unpaid interest thereon, shall become immediately due and payable in full on December 31, 2047 (the "<u>Maturity Date</u>").

3. <u>Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary</u>. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.

4. <u>Acceleration Upon Default</u>. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.

5. <u>Waiver</u>. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.

6. <u>Attorneys' Fees</u>. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.

7. <u>Limitation on Agreements</u>. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.

8. <u>Governing Law</u>. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.

9. <u>Prior Notes</u>. The original of each of the Prior Notes superseded hereby shall be marked "VOID" by Payee.

**MAKER:** 

NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. By: NexPoint Advisors GP, LLC, its general partner

By:

Name: Title:

## EXHIBIT A

## PRIOR NOTES

Loan Date	Initial Note Amount	Interest Rate	Principal and Interest Outstanding as of May 31, 2017
8/21/14	\$4,000,000	6.00%	\$4,616,739.73
10/1/14	\$6,000,000	6.00%	\$6,959,671.23
11/14/14	\$2,500,000	6.00%	\$2,881,780.82
1/29/15	\$3,100,000	6.00%	\$3,534,679.45
7/22/15	\$12,075,000	6.00%	\$12,753,941.10
	\$27,675,000		\$30,746,812.33

# EXHIBIT 2

### HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

January 7, 2021

NexPoint Advisors, L.P. 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700 Dallas, Texas 75201 Attention: James Dondero

Re: Demand on Promissory Note

Dear Mr. Dondero,

On May 31, 2017, NexPoint Advisors, L.P, entered into that certain promissory note in the original principal amount of \$30,746,812.33 (the "<u>Note</u>") in favor of Highland Capital Management, L.P. ("<u>Payee</u>").

As set forth in Section 2 of the Note, accrued interest and principal on the Note is due and payable in thirty equal annual payments with each payment due on December 31 of each calendar year. Maker failed to make the payment due on December 31, 2020.

Because of Maker's failure to pay, the Note is in default. Pursuant to Section 4 of the Note, all principal, interest, and any other amounts due on the Note are immediately due and payable. The amount due and payable on the Note as of January 8, 2021 is \$24,471,804.98; however, interest continues to accrue under the Note.

The Note is in default, and payment is due <u>immediately</u>. Payments on the Note must be made in immediately available funds. Payee's wire information is attached hereto as Appendix A.

Nothing contained herein constitutes a waiver of any rights or remedies of Payee under the Note or otherwise and all such rights and remedies, whether at law, equity, contract, or otherwise, are expressly reserved. Interest, including default interest if applicable, on the Note will continue to accrue until the Note is paid in full. Any such interest will remain the obligation of Maker.

Sincerely,

/s/ James P. Seery, Jr.

James P. Seery, Jr. Highland Capital Management, L.P. Chief Executive Officer/Chief Restructuring Officer cc: Fred Caruso James Romey Jeffrey Pomerantz Ira Kharasch Gregory Demo DC Sauter

## Appendix A

ABA #:322070381Bank Name:East West BankAccount Name:Highland Capital Management, LPAccount #:5500014686

# EXHIBIT 3

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 1
2	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
3	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION	
4	IN RE:	
5	Chapter 11	
6	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., CASE NO.	
7	19-34054-SGI11	
8	Debtor.	
9	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	
10	Plaintiff, vs. Adversary	
11	Proceeding No. HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT 21-03000-SGI	
	FUND ADVISORS, L.P.; NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.; HIGHLAND	
	INCOME FUND; NEXPOINT STRATEGIC OPPORTUNITIES FUND;	
14	NEXPOINT CAPITAL, INC.; and	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	
18	FRANK WATERHOUSE	
19	October 19, 2021	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Reported by: Susan S. Klinger, RMR-CRR, CSR	
25	Job No: 201195	

	Page 2			Page 3
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	·9- •
2		2	APPEARANCES:	
3		3	(All appearances via Zoom.)	
4	October 19, 2021	4	Attorneys for the Reorganized Highland Capital	
5	9:30 a.m.	5	Management:	
6		6	John Morris, Esq.	
7		7	Hayley Winograd, Esq.	
8		8	PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES	
9	Remote Deposition of FRANK WATERHOUSE,	9	780 Third Avenue	
10	held before Susan S. Klinger, a Registered	10	New York, New York 10017	
11	Merit Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter	11	Attorneys for the Witness:	
12	of the State of Texas.	12	Debra Dandeneau, Esq.	
13		13	Michelle Hartmann, Esq.	
14		14	BAKER McKENZIE	
15		15	1900 North Pearl Street	
16		16	Dallas, Texas 75201	
17		17	Attorneys for NexPoint Advisors, LP and	
18		18	Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,	
19		19	L.P.:	
20		20	Davor Rukavina, Esq.	
21		21	An Nguyen, Esq.	
22		22	MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARDD	
23		23	500 North Akard Street	
24		24	Dallas, Texas 75201-6659	
25		25		
	Page 4			Page 5
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Attorneys for Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA,	2	INDEX	
3	and HCMS:	3		
4	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq.	4	WITNESS PAGE	
5	Michael Aigen, Esq.	5	FRANK WATERHOUSE	
6	STINSON	6	EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 10	
7	3102 Oak Lawn Avenue	1	EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA 256	
8	Dallas, Texas 75219	8	EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ 352	
9	Attornovo for Dugobov Invotment Truct	9	EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 377	
10	Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust:	10		
11	Warren Horn, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN	11	EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ 393	
12	650 Poydras Street	12		
1	-	13		
11/	New Orleans Louisiana 70130		EQUE	
14	New Orleans, Louisiana 70130		0	
15		15	Exhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint 142	
15 16	Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for	15 16	Exhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint 142 Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management 91	
15 16 17	Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust:	15	Exhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint 142 Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management 91 Representation	
15 16 17 18	Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq.	15 16 17 18	Exhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint 142 Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management 91 Representation Exhibit 34 HCMLP Consolidated Financial 94	
15 16 17 18 19	Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust:	15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint 142 Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management 91 Representation Exhibit 34 HCMLP Consolidated Financial 94 Statements	
15 16 17 18 19 20	Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN 51 Madison Avenue	15 16 17 18 19 20	Exhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint142Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management91Representation91Exhibit 34 HCMLP Consolidated Financial94Statements94Exhibit 35 HCMFA Incumbency Certificate151	
15 16 17 18 19	Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN	15 16 17 18 19	Exhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint142Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management91Representation91Exhibit 34 HCMLP Consolidated Financial94Statements54Exhibit 35 HCMFA Incumbency Certificate151Exhibit 36 Email string re 15(c)170	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN 51 Madison Avenue	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Exhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint142Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management91Representation91Exhibit 34 HCMLP Consolidated Financial94Statements54Exhibit 35 HCMFA Incumbency Certificate151Exhibit 36 Email string re 15(c)170Exhibit 39 HCMLP Operating Results 2/18226	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN 51 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Exhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint142Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management91Representation94Exhibit 34 HCMLP Consolidated Financial94Statements151Exhibit 35 HCMFA Incumbency Certificate151Exhibit 39 HCMLP Operating Results 2/18226Exhibit 40 Summary of Assets and236	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN 51 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010 Also Present:	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Exhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint142Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management91Representation94Exhibit 34 HCMLP Consolidated Financial94Statements151Exhibit 35 HCMFA Incumbency Certificate151Exhibit 36 Email string re 15(c)170Exhibit 39 HCMLP Operating Results 2/18226Exhibit 40 Summary of Assets and236Liabilities151	

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         Page 7         1         WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         Page 7           2         Exhibit 45 InCMFA Consolicited Financial 135         2         P RO C E DI N G S           3         Statements         3         VIDEOGRAPHER: Good moning,           4         Exhibit 45 InCMFA Consolicited Financial 135         2         P RO C E DI N G S           5         Financials         4         Courselos. My marrie is Sociation           6         6         6         6           7         Exhibit A2 Emails 1125         328         7         Due to the severity of COVID-19 and           8         Exhibit A2 Emails 112         341         9         distanting, livelinobia in the same norm           10         Exhibit A2 Emails 102         317         11         this violacited disposition nemotely. The           15         Exhibit A2 Emails 102         307         11         this violacited disposition into the           16         Exhibit A2 Emails 102         307         11         this violacited significaties significaties into the           16         Exhibit A2 Emails 102         10         11         this violacited significaties into the           17         Sxewardia, distatter HCMLP         303         13         in the same inorm and will	1				_
2       Exhibit 45 HOMEA Consolidated Financial 135       2       P R O C E E D IN G S         3       Statements       3       VIECOGRAPHER Cood morning,         4       Exhibit 46 NexPoint 2019 Audited       218       4         5       Financials       5       conselors. My name is Social Halth. fm a         6       6       5       conselors. My name is Social Halth. fm a         7       Exhibit A2 Emails 11/12       328       7       Due to the severity of COV/D-19 and         8       Exhibit A2 Emails 11/12       341       9       distancing, I will not be in the same norm         10       Exhibit A2 Emails 31       307       11       this videologic disposition renote). The         12       Exhibit A3 Emails 31       307       11       this videologic disposition renote). The         13       Exhibit A3 Emails 31       307       11       this videologic disposition renote). The         14       Exhibit A3 Emails 31       307       11       this videologic disposition renote). The         15       Exhibit A3 Emails 31       307       11       this videologic disposition renote). The         15       Exhibit A3 Emails 31       307       11       this videologic disposition renote). The         16       16       th	1 1			WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 7
3     Statements     3     VDEOGRAPHER: Good moming,       4     Exhibit 46 NexPoint 2019 Audited     218     4     Counselors. My name is South Hatth. Tm a       5     Finnaids     5     certified legit diveographen in association       6     6     with TSG Reporting. Inc.       7     Due to the servity of COVID-19 and       8     Exhibit A1 Emais 11/25     328       9     Exhibit A1 Emais 11/2     341       9     Exhibit A1 Promissory Notes     297       10     Exhibit A1 Promissory Notes     297       10     Exhibit A1 Advonvedgrent from HCMLP     302       11     Exhibit A1 Advonvedgrent from HCMLP     302       12     Exhibit A1 Advonvedgrent from HCMLP     302       13     Enhibit A1 Advonvedgrent from HCMLP     302       14     remotity.     15       15     De all parties stipulate to the       16     value of the same noom and will swear the witness       17     7     wearing and that will be admissible in       18     the courthoom as if I had been taken     19       19     following Rule 30 of the Foderal Rules of       20     20     21       21     Where this case is pending?       22     With HW MIT Wales just stated, can       3	2	Exhibit 45 HCMFA Consolidated Financial 135	2		
4       Entities 48 NearPoint 2019 Audited       218       4       Courselors. My name is Socit Hatol. Tim a         5       Financials       5       carfield legal videographer in association         6       6       With TSG Reporting. Inc.         7       Exhibit A2 Emails 1125       328       7       Due to the severity of COVID-19 and         8       Exhibit A2 Emails 112       341       9       distancing. I will not be in the same room         10       Exhibit A2 Emails 31       307       11       this videotiged deposition remotely. The         12       Exhibit A2 Emails 31       307       11       this videotiged deposition remotely. The         13       Exhibit A2 Emails 31       307       11       this videotiged deposition remotely. The         14       Exhibit A2 Emails 31       300       13       in the same room and will swear the witness         14       14       remotely.       16       16       16         15       Do all parties signalistic to the       16       16       17         16       16       17       waiting of this video reacriding and remotel       17         17       2       Acada Mittel X4       14       the countorms and the state's rules       18         11	3				
5     Financials     5     certified legal videographer in association       6     6     With TSG Reporting Inc.       7     Due to the sevenity of COVID-19 and       8     Exhibit A2 Emails 11/25     328       9     Exhibit A2 Emails 12/21     338       9     Exhibit A2 Emails 12/23     341       10     Exhibit A3 Emails 12/23     307       11     Exhibit A3 Advanowledgment from HOMLP     302       12     Exhibit A1 Advanowledgment from HOMLP     302       13     Exhibit A1 Advanowledgment from HOMLP     302       14     Exhibit A1 Advanowledgment from HOMLP     302       15     Do al parties stipulate to the       16     10     validity of this video reacrding and remote       17     validity of this video reacrding and remote       18     the countroom as if that been taken       19     100 wing Real S0 of the Federal Rules of       20     Cvil Procedures and the state's rules       21     WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21     2       22     Z     MR MORRIS - Yes.       23     a problem with what was just stated, can     3       3     a problem with what was just stated, can     3       3     a problem with what was just stated, can     3       4     VATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0,</td> <td></td>				0,	
6     6     With TSG Reporting, Inc.       7     Exhibit A2 Emails 11/25     328     7     Due to the saverity of COVID-19 and       8     Exhibit A2 Emails 11/25     338     9       9     Exhibit A2 Emails 11/25     341     9     distancing, I will not be in the same room       10     Exhibit A7 Emails 11/25     341     9     distancing, I will not be in the same room       11     Exhibit A7 Emails 313     307     11     this videolaped deposition remotely. The       12     Exhibit A10 Acknowledgment from HCMLP     302     12     reporter, Susan Kinger, also will not be       13     Exhibit A11 HCMLP Schedule 71A     309     13     In the same room and will swear the witness       14     emotely.     16     16     values the witness     16       15     Do al parties sigulate to the     16     18     the outroom as if that been taken       19     Subwit A2 Emails 11/25     20     20     Where this case is pending?       22     23     MS DANDENEAU: Yes.     24     24     MR MORRIS: Yes. John Morris, I       23     24     24     24     MR MORRIS: Yes. John Morris, I     25       24     24     WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21     Page 0     1       1     WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21     Page 0	5				
7     Exhibit A2 Emails 1125     328     7     Due to the sevenity of COVID-19 and       8     Exhibit A2 Emails 1125     338     8     following the practice of social       9     Exhibit A2 Emails 1125     341     9     distancing, I will not be in the same noom       10     Exhibit A2 Emails 112     341     9     distancing, I will not be in the same noom       11     Exhibit A1 Fornissony Notes     207     10     with the witness. Instead, I will not be in the same noom       12     Exhibit A1 A0 Acknowledgment from HCMLP     302     12     reporter, Susan Kinger, also will not be       13     Exhibit A1 A0 Acknowledgment from HCMLP     309     13     In the same noom and will swear the witness       14     Fanded Appendix A2 Emails 123     309     13     In the same noom and will swear the witness       14     Fanded Appendix A2 Emails 124     4     Fanded Appendix A2 Emails 124     Fanded Appendix A2 Emails 124       15     Do all parties stipulate to the     16     16     Fanded Appendix A2 Emails 124       16     16     16     16     Fanded Appendix A2 Emails 124       17     Sweard Appendix Appe	1				
8       Exhibit A2 Emails 1/12       338       8       8 Inflowing the practice of social         9       Exhibit A6 Emails 1/12       341       9       distancing, I will not be in the same room         10       Exhibit A7 Demissory Notes       297       10       with the witness. Instead, I will record         11       Exhibit A0 Acowoledgment from HCMLP       302       11       this videotaped deposition remotely. The         12       Exhibit A0 Acowoledgment from HCMLP       309       13       in the same room and will swear the witness         14       Exhibit A0 Acowoledgment from HCMLP       309       13       in the same room and will swear the witness         14       Exhibit A0 Acowoledgment from HCMLP       309       13       in the same room and will swear the witness         14       Exhibit A0 Acowoledgment from HCMLP       309       13       in the same room and will swear the witness         15       Do all parties signalate to the       16       16       waldity of this video recording and remote         17       sweardity and that it will be achinishie in       18       the courtoom as if it had been taken         19       Ockol Procedures and the statif video an engalities rules aco       2       waldity of the Fraderal Rules of       2         20       Cour Procedures and the statif video aco		Exhibit A1 Emails 11/25 328			
9       Exhibit A6 Emails 1/12       341       9       distancing, Iwill not be in the same room         10       Exhibit A7 Promissory Notes       297       10       With the witness: Instead, I will rood         11       Exhibit A0 Exhibit A1 Exhibit A9 Emails 30       307       11       this videotaped deposition remotely. The         12       Exhibit A1 Exhibit A9 Emails 30       309       13       in the same room and will swear the witness         14       in the same room and will swear the witness       14       in the same room and will swear the witness         14       in the same room and will swear the witness       14       in the same room and will swear the witness         14       in the same room and will swear the witness       14       in rookely.         15       Do all parties stipulate to the       16       validity of this video recording and remote         17       Intermodely.       19       10       flowing Rule 30 of the Foderal Rules of         20       CMI Procedures and the state's rules       21       22       24       24         21       And Rules X       XDA NDENEAU. Yes.       23       XDA NDENEAU. Yes.       24         23       ap oblem with what was just stated, can       3 yourselves.       4       MR RURRIS. Yes. John Moris, Pachulski <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>					
10       Exhibit AF Promissory Notes       297       10       with the witness. Instead, I will record         11       Exhibit AO Email, 831       307       11       this videotaped deposition remotely.         13       Exhibit AO Achowledgment from HCMLP       309       13       in the same room and will swear the witness         14       14       14       remotely.         15       15       15       16         16       16       video recording and remote       17         17       swearing, and that t will be admissible in       18         18       19       following Rule 30 of the Federal Rules of         20       Civil Procedures and the state's rules       21         21       22       MR. HORK: Yes.       23         23       24       24       MR. MORRIS: Yes. John Morris. I       25         24       24       MR. MORRIS: Yes. John Morris. I       25       26       26         24       24       MR. MORRIS: Yes. John Morris. I       29       29       2       20       10       Prage 9       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Prage 9       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       Courset, please introduce       3       3       3       3       3	G			<b>o</b>	
11       Exhibit A9 Email, 8/31       307       11       this videotaped deposition remotely. The         12       Exhibit A10 Adornowledgment from HCMLP       302       12       reporter, Susan Klinger, also will not be         13       Exhibit A11 HCMLP Schedule 71A       309       13       in the same room and will swear the wilness         14       remotely.       15       Do all parties sipulate to the         16       16       validity of this video recording and remote         17       wareing, and that it will be admissible in         18       the courtoom as fill had been taken         19       CMP Procedures and the states rules         21       warein this case is panding?         22       20       CMI Procedures and the states rules         21       warein this case is panding?         22       24       MR. MORRIS: Ves.         23       25       25         24       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 8         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 9         2       here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has       2       Counsel, please introduce         3       aproblem with what was just stated, can       3 yourselves.       4       MR. MORRIS: Vales.         3       acotepis the s				•	
12       Exhibit A10 Acknowledgment from HCMLP       302       12       reporter, Susan Klinger, also will not be         13       Exhibit A11 HCMLP Schedule 71A       309       13       in the same room and will swear the witness         14       Terrotely.       15       Do all parties stipulate to the         16       16       validity of this video recording and ther till be admissible in         18       18       the courtroom as if the adbent laken         19       010wing Rule 30 of the Federal Rules of         20       22       22         21       Water this case is pending?         22       23       24         24       24       WR. HORN: Yes.         25       23       Water Houses introduce         26       24       24         27       28       24         28       24       24         29       20       20         20       20       21         21       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 8         2       21       water is stated, can       3         3       proklem with what was just stated, can       3       you state your objection now?       4         3       a coroptis the isstruction	1	5		,	
13       Exhibit A11 HCMLP Schedule 71A       309       13       in the same room and will swear the witness         14       14       remotely.         15       Do all parties sipulate to the         16       15       Do all parties sipulate to the         17       The courtors as if it had been taken         18       the courtors as if had been taken         19       10       following Rule 30 of the Federal Rules of         20       20       20         21       where this case is parking?         22       21       where this case is parking?         23       23       MR_ HORN: Yes.         24       24       MR_ MCRRIS: Yes. John Moris. I         25       25       25         24       24       MR_ MORRIS: John Moris. Pachulski         3       a problem with what was just stated, can       3         4       yourselves.       2       Coursel, please introduce         3       a problem with what was just stated, can       3       yourselves.         4       WR CORRIS: John Moris, Pachulski       5       Starg Zehl & Jones for the reorganized         6       accepts the sipulation and the instruction       6       Highiland Capital Management, LP, the      <					
14       14       remotely.         15       Do all parties sigulate to the         16       15       Do all parties sigulate to the         16       16       Validov processor (Validov processor)         17       17       Swearing, and that it will be admissible in         18       the courtroom as if it had been taken         19       Johning Rule 30 of the Federal Rules of         20       20       Civil Procedures and the state's rules of         21       Where this case is pending?         22       22       WR HORN: Yes.         23       23       MS. DANDENEAU: Yes.         24       24       MR MORRIS: Yes. John Moris. I         25       void just try to do a negative notice         26       20       Coursel, please introduce         3       a problem with what was just stated, can       3         4       you state your objection now?       4       MR: MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski         5       Okay. No response, so eveybody       5       Stang Zieh & Jones for the erogranized         6       wijter was just given.       7       plaintiff in these actions.       8         7       VarteRHOUSE - 10-19-21       Coursel, please for moropaction onv?       4       MR: MORRIS	I	•			
15       15       Do all parties stipulate to the         16       validity of this video recording and remote         17       validity of this video recording and remote         18       18       the courtroom as if it had been taken         19       20       20         20       20       Civil Procedures and the state's rules         21       21       21         22       22       MR. HORN Yes.         23       23       MS. DANDENEAU: Yes.         24       24       MR. MORRIS: Yes. John Morris, I         25       25       would just try to do a negative notice         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 8         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 9         2       Lease introduce       3 problem with what was just stated, can       3 yourselves.         3       a problem with what was just stated, can       3 yourselves.       4         4       you state you roljection now?       4       MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski       5         5       Okay. No response, so everybody       5       Stang Zehl & Jones for the reorganized       6         6       accepts the stpulation and the instruction       6       Highland Caphtal       11       representing Th		EXHIBITATT TICIVIEF SCHEdule / TA 509			
16       16       validity of this video recording and remote         17       swearing, and that t will be admissible in         18       18       the courtroom as if thad been taken         19       19       following Rule 30 of the Federal Rules of         20       20       20         21       21       21         22       22       21         23       MS. DANDENEAU: Yes.         24       24       MR. HORN: Yes.         25       25       25         26       27       MR. HORN: Yes.         24       24       MR. MORRIS: Yes. John Morris. I         25       25       25         26       27       Kould just try to do a negative notice         7       Page 8       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         26       27       Counsel, please introduce       3         3       a problem with what was just stated, can       3       yourselves.       4         4       you state your objection now?       4       MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski       5         5       Okay. No response, so everybody       5       Stang Zehl & Jones for the reorganized       6         6       accepts the sibjudition and the instruc	1			-	
17       swearing, and that it will be admissible in         18       19         19       19         20       20         21       21         22       21         23       23         24       24         25       25         26       26         27       MR HORN: Yes.         28       23         29       MR MORRS: Yes. John Moris. I         20       24         26       25         27       MR MORRS: Yes. John Moris. I         28       24         29       MR MORRS: Yes. John Moris. I         29       20         20       here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has         3       a problem with what was just stated, can         3       you state your objection now?         4       MR MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski         5       Colay, No response, so everybody         6       accepts the stipulation and the instruction         7       that was just stipulation and the instruction         7       the start of media labeled Number 1 of the         9       the start of media labeled Number 1 of the         9       the sta	1				
18       18       the courtoom as if it had been taken         19       19       following Rule 30 of the Foderal Rules of         20       20       Civil Procedures and the state's rules         21       21       21         22       22       MR. HORN: Yes.         23       23       MS. DANDENEAU: Yes.         24       24       MR. MORNE: Yes.         25       25       25         26       24       MR. MORNE: Yes.         27       24       24         28       25       MR. MORNE: Yes.         29       here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has       2       Counsel, please introduce         3       a problem with what was just stated, can       3       yourselves.         4       you state your objection now?       4       MR. MORRIS: John Moris, Pachulski         5       Okay. No response, so everybody       5       Stang Ziehl & Jones for the eroganized         6       accepts the sigulation and the instruction       6       Highland Capital Management, L.P., the         7       that was just given.       7       paintffin these actions.       8         8       VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is       8       MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneeau	1				
19       19       following Rule 30 of the Federal Rules of         20       Civil Procedures and the state's rules         21       21       21         22       22       22         23       23       MR. HORN: Yes.         24       MR. MORNIS: Yes. John Morris. I         25       25       25         26       25       would just ty to do a negative notice         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 8         2       reverse as we did yesterday. If anybody has       2       Coursel, please introduce         3       a problem with what was just stated, can       3       yourselves.       Page 8         4       vous state your objection now?       4       MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski       5         5       Okay. No response, so everybody       5       Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized       6         6       accepts the stipulation and the instruction       6       Highland Capital Management, L.P., the       7         7       that was just given.       8       MS DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau       9         9       the stat of media labeled Number 1 of the       9       from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle       10         10       video recorded deposition of Fran					
20       20       Civil Procedures and the state's rules         21       Where this case is pending?         22       22       MR. HORN: Yes.         23       23       MS. DANDENEAU: Yes.         24       24       MR. MORRIS: Yes. John Morris. I         25       25       would just try to do a negative notice         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       21         2       here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has       2         3       a problem with what was just stated, can       3         4       you state your objection now?       4         5       Okay. No response, so everybody       5         6       accepts the stipulation and the instruction       7         7       that was just given.       7         8       VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is       8         9       the start of media labeled Number 1 of the       9         10       video recorded deposition of Frank       10         11       Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital       11         12       Management, L.P., in the United States       12         13       Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District       13         14       representing Jim Dondeno, Nancy Dondero, Nancy Dondero, Nancy Dondero	1				
21       21       where this case is pending?         22       MR. HORN: Yes.         23       23       MS. DANDENEAU: Yes.         24       24       MR. MORRIS: Yes. John Morris. I         25       25       would just try to do a negative notice         7       Page 8       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has       2       Counsel, please introduce         3       a problem with what was just stated, can       3       yourselves.         4       you state your objection now?       4       MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski         5       Okay. No response, so everybody       5       Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized         6       accepts the stipulation and the instruction       6       Highland Capital Management, L.P., the         7       that was just given.       7       plaintiff in these actions.         8       VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is       8       MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau         9       the start of media labeled Number 1 of the       9       from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle         10       video recorded deposition of Frank       10       Hattmann, is also in the room with me,         11       Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital       11 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <td></td>				0	
22       MR. HORN: Yes.         23       MS. DANDENEAU: Yes.         24       MR. MORRIS: Yes. John Morris. I         25       Wattershouse         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       would just try to do a negative notice         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       brere, as we did yesterday. If anybody has         3       a problem with what was just stated, can         4       you state your objection now?         5       Okay. No response, so everybody         6       accepts the stipulation and the instruction         7       that was just given.         8       VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is         9       tow state formedia labeled Number 1 of the         10       video recorded deposition of Frank         11       Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital         12       MS. DETISCHPEREZ: Deborah         13       Bankruptcy Court for the Northem District         14       of texas, Dallas Division, Case Number         15       21-03000-SGI.         16       This deposition is being held via         17       video conference with participants         18       approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is         21-03000-SGI.       19 </td <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	1				
23       23       MS. DANDENEAU: Yes.         24       MR. MORRIS: Yes. John Morris. I         25       24       MR. MORRIS: Yes. John Morris. I         25       25       would just try to do a negative notice         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2         2       here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has       2       Counsel, please introduce         3       a problem with what was just stated, can       3       yourselves.         4       you state your objection now?       4       MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski         5       Okay. No response, so everybody       5       Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized         6       accepts the stipulation and the instruction       7       plaintiff in these actions.         8       VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is       8       MS. DANDENAU: Deborah Dandeneau         9       the start of media labeled Number 1 of the       9       from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle         10       video conference with participants       1       Deltsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,         11       Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital       11       representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,         15       21-03000-SGI.       16       MR. HORN: Waren Horn with Heller,         17       video conferenc	1				
24       24       MR. MORRIS: Yes. John Morris. I         25       vould just try to do a negative notice         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 8         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 9         2       here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has       2       Counsel, please introduce         3       a problem with what was just stated, can       3       yourselves.       4       MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski         5       Okay. No response, so everybody       5       Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized       6         6       accepts the stipulation and the instruction       6       Highland Capital Management, L.P., the       7         7       that was just given.       7       plaintiff in these actions.       8       MDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is       8       MS. DANDEINEAU: Deborah Dandeneau         9       the start of media labeled Number 1 of the       9       from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle         10       video recorded deposition of Frank       10       Hartmann, is also in the room with me,         11       Waterhouse In Re; Highland Capital       11       representing Time Waterhouse individually.         12       Management, L.P., in the United States       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah         13       De	1				
25     25     would just try to do a negative notice       1     WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21     Page 8       2     here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has     2       3     a problem with what was just stated, can     3       4     you state your objection now?     4       5     Okay. No response, so everybody     5       6     accepts the stipulation and the instruction     6       7     that was just given.     7       8     VIDCGGRAPHER: Thank you. This is     8       9     the start of media labeled Number 1 of the     9       10     video recorded deposition of Frank     10       11     Waterhouse individually.     12       12     Management, L.P., in the United States     13       13     Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District     13       14     of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number     14       15     21-03000-SGI.     15       16     This deposition is being held via     16       17     video conference with participants     17       18     atpproximately 9:32 a.m. My name is     20       19     restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021     19       19     restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021     19       19     restresenting New Orita, New Yo	1				
Page 8       Page 8       Page 9         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 9         2       here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has       2       Counsel, please introduce         3       a problem with what was just stated, can       3       yourselves.         4       you state your objection now?       4       MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski         5       Okay. No response, so everybody       5       Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized         6       accepts the stipulation and the instruction       7       plaintiff in these actions.         8       VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is       8       MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau         9       the start of media labeled Number 1 of the       9       from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle         10       video recorded deposition of Frank       10       Hartmann, is also in the room with me,         11       Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital       11       representing Frank Waterhouse individually.         12       Management, L.P., in the United States       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah         13       Bankruptcy Court for the Northem District       13       Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,         14       representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,       15       HCRA, and HCMS.         <					
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has       2       Counsel, please introduce         3       a problem with what was just stated, can       3       yourselves.         4       you state your objection now?       4       MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski         5       Okay. No response, so everybody       5       Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized         6       accepts the stipulation and the instruction       6       Highland Capital Management, L.P., the         7       that was just given.       7       plaintiff in these actions.         8       VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is       8       MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau         9       the start of media labeled Number 1 of the       9       from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle         10       video recorded deposition of Frank       10       Hartmann, is also in the room with me,         11       Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital       11       representing Frank Waterhouse individually.         12       Management, L.P., in the United States       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah         13       Bankruptoy Court for the Northem District       13       Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,         14       representing Jim Dondero, Nancy	25		25	would just try to do a negative notice	
2       here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has       2       Counsel, please introduce         3       a problem with what was just stated, can       3       yourselves.         4       you state your objection now?       4       MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski         5       Okay. No response, so everybody       5       Stang Zleh & Jones for the reorganized         6       accepts the stipulation and the instruction       6       Highland Capital Management, L.P., the         7       that was just given.       7       plaintiff in these actions.         8       VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is       8       MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau         9       the start of media labeled Number 1 of the       9       from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle         10       video recorded deposition of Frank       10       Hartmann, is also in the room with me,         11       Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital       11       representing Frank Waterhouse individually.         12       Management, L.P., in the United States       12       MS. DETSCH-PEREZ: Deborah         13       Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District       13       Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,         14       representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,       15       HCRA, and HCMS.         16       This deposition i					Page 9
3       a problem with what was just stated, can       3       yourselves.         4       you state your objection now?       4       MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski         5       Okay. No response, so everybody       5       Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized         6       accepts the stipulation and the instruction       6       Highland Capital Management, L.P., the         7       that was just given.       7       plaintiff in these actions.         8       VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is       8       MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau         9       the start of media labeled Number 1 of the       9       from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle         10       video recorded deposition of Frank       10       Hartmann, is also in the room with me,         11       Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital       11       representing Frank Waterhouse individually.         12       Management, L.P., in the United States       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah         13       Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District       13       Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,         14       of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number       14       representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,         15       21-03000-SGI.       16       MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller,         17       video cor	1 '	VAIERHOUSE - 10-19-21		WATERIOUSE - 10-19-21	
4you state your objection now?4MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski5Okay. No response, so everybody5Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized6accepts the stipulation and the instruction6Highland Capital Management, L.P., the7that was just given.7plaintff in these actions.8VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is8MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau9the start of media labeled Number 1 of the9from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle10video recorded deposition of Frank10Hartmann, is also in the room with me,11Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital11representing Frank Waterhouse individually.12Management, L.P., in the United States12MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah13Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District13Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,14of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number14representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,1521-03000-SGI.15HCRA, and HCMS.16This deposition is being held via16MR. HORN: Waren Horn with Heller,17video conference with participants17Drape & Horn in New Orleans representing18appearing remotely due to COVID-1918Dugaboy Investment Trust.19restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Soot Hatch, legal video specialist with21 </td <td>1 0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	1 0				
5Okay. No response, so everybody5Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized6accepts the stipulation and the instruction6Highland Capital Management, L.P., the7that was just given.7plaintiff in these actions.8VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is8MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau9the start of media labeled Number 1 of the9from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle10video recorded deposition of Frank10Hartmann, is also in the room with me,11Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital11representing Frank Waterhouse individually.12Management, L.P., in the United States12MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah13Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District13Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,14of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number14representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,1521-03000-SGI.15HCRA, and HCMS.16This deposition is being held via17Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing18appearing remotely due to COVID-1918Dugaboy Investment Trust.19mstrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, N	2	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has	2	Counsel, please introduce	
6accepts the stipulation and the instruction6Highland Capital Management, L.P., the7that was just given.7plaintiff in these actions.8VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is9from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle10video recorded deposition of Frank10Hartmann, is also in the room with me,11Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital11representing Frank Waterhouse individually.12Management, L.P., in the United States12MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah13Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District13Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,14of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number14representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,1521-03000-SGI.15HCRA, and HCMS.16This deposition is being held via16MR. HORN: Waren Hom with Heller,17video conference with participants17Draper & Hom in New Orleans representing18appearing remotely due to COVID-1918Dugaboy Investment Trust.19restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24 <td></td> <td>here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can</td> <td>2 3</td> <td>Counsel, please introduce yourselves.</td> <td></td>		here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can	2 3	Counsel, please introduce yourselves.	
7that was just given.7plaintiff in these actions.8VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is9from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle10video recorded deposition of Frank10Hartmann, is also in the room with me,11Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital11representing Frank Waterhouse individually.12Management, L.P., in the United States12MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah13Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District13Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,14of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number14representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,1521-03000-SGI.15HCRA, and HCMS.16This deposition is being held via16MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller,17video conference with participants17Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing18appearing remotely due to COVID-1918Dugaboy Investment Trust.19restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hartt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now?	2 3	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski	
8VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is8MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau9the start of media labeled Number 1 of the9from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle10video recorded deposition of Frank10Hartmann, is also in the room with me,11Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital11representing Frank Waterhouse individually.12Management, L.P., in the United States12MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah13Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District13Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,14of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number14representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,1521-03000-SGI.15HCRA, and HCMS.16This deposition is being held via16MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller,17video conference with participants17Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing18appearing remotely due to COVID-1918Dugaboy Investment Trust.19restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	45	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody	2 3 4 5	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized	
9the start of media labeled Number 1 of the9from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle10video recorded deposition of Frank10Hartmann, is also in the room with me,11Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital11representing Frank Waterhouse individually.12Management, L.P., in the United States12MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah13Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District13Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,14of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number14representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,1521-03000-SGI.15HCRA, and HCMS.16This deposition is being held via16MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller,17video conference with participants17Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing18appearing remotely due to COVID-1918Dugaboy Investment Trust.19restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	45	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction	2 3 4 5	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the	
10video recorded deposition of Frank10Hartmann, is also in the room with me,11Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital11representing Frank Waterhouse individually.12Management, L.P., in the United States12MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah13Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District13Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,14of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number14representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,1521-03000-SGI.15HCRA, and HCMS.16This deposition is being held via16MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller,17video conference with participants17Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing18appearing remotely due to COVID-1918Dugaboy Investment Trust.19restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20stapproximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4 5 6 7	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions.	
11Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital11representing Frank Waterhouse individually.12Management, L.P., in the United States12MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah13Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District13Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,14of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number14representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,1521-03000-SGI.15HCRA, and HCMS.16This deposition is being held via16MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller,17video conference with participants17Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing18appearing remotely due to COVID-1918Dugaboy Investment Trust.19restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4 5 6 7 8	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau	
12Management, L.P., in the United States12MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah13Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District13Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,14of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number14representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,1521-03000-SGI.15HCRA, and HCMS.16This deposition is being held via16MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller,17video conference with participants17Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing18appearing remotely due to COVID-1918Dugaboy Investment Trust.19restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4 5 6 7 8 9	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle	
13Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District13Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,14of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number14representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,1521-03000-SGI.15HCRA, and HCMS.16This deposition is being held via16MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller,17video conference with participants17Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing18appearing remotely due to COVID-1918Dugaboy Investment Trust.19restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me,	
14of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number14representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,1521-03000-SGI.15HCRA, and HCMS.16This deposition is being held via16MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller,17video conference with participants17Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing18appearing remotely due to COVID-1918Dugaboy Investment Trust.19restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually.	
1521-03000-SGI.15HCRA, and HCMS.16This deposition is being held via16MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller,17video conference with participants17Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing18appearing remotely due to COVID-1918Dugaboy Investment Trust.19restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah	
16This deposition is being held via16MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller,17video conference with participants17Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing18appearing remotely due to COVID-1918Dugaboy Investment Trust.19restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,	
17video conference with participants17Draper & Hom in New Orleans representing18appearing remotely due to COVID-1918Dugaboy Investment Trust.19restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,	
18appearing remotely due to COVID-1918Dugaboy Investment Trust.19restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS.	
19restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 202119MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with20at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 6	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller,	
20 at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is20 Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas21 Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21 representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22 TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822 Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23 East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23 L.P.24 court reporter is Susan Klinger in24 MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing	
21Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with21representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants appearing remotely due to COVID-19	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing Dugaboy Investment Trust.	
22TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 22822Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants appearing remotely due to COVID-19 restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing Dugaboy Investment Trust. MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with	
23East 45th Street, New York, New York. The23L.P.24court reporter is Susan Klinger in24MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants appearing remotely due to COVID-19 restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021 at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing Dugaboy Investment Trust. MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas	
24 court reporter is Susan Klinger in 24 MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants appearing remotely due to COVID-19 restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021 at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing Dugaboy Investment Trust. MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and	
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants appearing remotely due to COVID-19 restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021 at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 228	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing Dugaboy Investment Trust. MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,	
25 association with TSG Reporting. 25 Stinson, and I represent the same parties	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants appearing remotely due to COVID-19 restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021 at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 228 East 45th Street, New York, New York. The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing Dugaboy Investment Trust. MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.	
	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants appearing remotely due to COVID-19 restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021 at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 228 East 45th Street, New York, New York. The court reporter is Susan Klinger in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing Dugaboy Investment Trust. MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P. MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	

1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       as Deborah Deltsch-Perez.       2         3       MS. NEVMAN: This is Deborah Newman       3         4       from Quinn Ernanuel. We represent the       5         5       litigation SurTust.       6         7       MR. MORRIS: I think that is       6         8       everybody.       8         9       VIDECGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the       9         10       court reporter please swear in the witness.       10         11       FRANK WATERHOUSE, I think that is       7         12       having been first duly sworn, testified as       12         13       follows:       11       Q. How many times have you been         14       EXAMINATION       14       Q. Kay. And I defnoded you in one         15       BY MR. MORRIS:       16       A. That is correct.         16       Q. Please state your name for the       16       A. That is correct.         17       record.       17       Q. Soot hegeneral ground rules for this         18       A. My name is Frank Waterhouse. I'm       19       deposition: invour alway given before. And that is         10       John Moris, as you know, from Pachukiski Starg       20       I will seven thave wou be	
3       MS. NEVMAN: This is Deborah Newman       3       individual capacity?         4       from Quinn Emanuel. We represent the       4       A. Yes.         5       fligdation SunTrust.       6       receive and review a subpoena that Highland         7       MR. MORRIS: I think that is       7       Capital Management, L.P., served upon you?         8       everybody.       8       A. Yes.         9       VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the       9       Q. You have been deposed before; right?         10       court reporter please swear in the witness.       11       A. Yes.         11       FRANK WATERHOUSE,       11       Q. How many times have you been         12       having been first duily swom, testified as       12       deposed?         13       follows:       13       A. About three or four times.         14       EXAMINATION       14       Q. Okay. And I deposition; yound rules for this         18       A. My name is Frank Waterhouse. Im       19       deposition; yound have given before. And that is         20       John Moris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang       20       I will ask you a series of questions, and it is         21       Irepresent Highland Capital Management, L.P.;       22       question, but if fail to do that, will you <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
4       from Quinn Emanuel. We represent the       4       A. Yes.         5       litigation – Marc Kirschner as the trustee       5       O. Did you review and – did you         6       for the litigation SunTrust.       6       receive and review a subpoena that Highland         7       MR.MORRIS: I think that is       7       Capital Management, L.P., served upon you?         8       everybody.       8       A. Yes.         9       VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the       9       Q. You have been deposed before; right?         10       court reporter please swear in the witness.       10       A. Yes.         11       FRANK WATERHOUSE,       11       Q. How many times have you been         12 having been first duly swom, testified as       12       deposed?         13       follows:       13       A. About three or four times.         14       EXAMINATION       14       Q. Okay. And I defended you in one         15       BY MR.MORRIS:       15       deposition are largely the same as the         19       Q. Good moming, Mr. Waterhouse.       18       deposition are largely the same as the         19       Q. Good moming, Mr. Waterhouse.       19       deposition are largely the same as the         19       Q. Good moming, Mr. Waterhouse.       <	
5       litigation – Marc Kirschner as the trustee       5       Q. Did you review and – did you         6       for the litigation Sun Trust.       6       receive and review a subpoena that Highland         7       MR. MORRIS: I think that is       7       Capital Management, L.P., served upon you?         8       everybody.       9       Q. You have been deposed before; right?         10       court eporter please swear in the witness.       10       A. Yes.         11       FRANK WATERHOUSE;       11       Q. How many times have you been         12       having been first duly swom, testified as       12       deposed?         13       follows:       13       A. About three or four times.         14       EXAMINATION       14       Q. Okay. And I defended you in one         15       BY MR. MORRIS:       16       A. That is correct.         17       record.       17       Q. So the general ground rules for this         18       A. My name is Frank Waterhouse. Im       19       deposition son ulses of questions, and it is         20       John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang       20       I will ask you a series of questions, and it is         21       Zierle & Jones. You understand that       22       question before you begin your answere; is that	
6       for the litigation SunTrust.       6       receive and review a subpoena that Highland         7       MR.MORRIS: Ithink that is       7       Capital Management, L.P., served upon you?         8       everybody.       8       A. Yes.       9         9       VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the       9       Q. You have been deposed before; right?         10       court reporter please swear in the witness.       10       A. Yes.         11       FRANK WATERHOUSE,       11       Q. You have been deposed before; right?         12       having been first duly swom, testified as       12       deposed?         13       fallows:       13       A. About three or four times.         14       EXAMINATION       14       Q. Okay. And I defended you in one         15       BY MR. MORRIS:       16       A. That is correct.         17       record.       17       Q. So the general ground rules for this         18       A. My name is Frank Waterhouse.       18       deposition are largely the same as the         19       Q. Good moming, Mr. Waterhouse.       19       deposition are largely the same as the         19       John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang       20       I will ask you a series of questions, and it is         21	
7       MR. MORRIS: 1 think that is       7       Capital Management, L.P., served upon you?         8       everybody.       8       A. Yes.         9       VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the       9       Q. You have been deposed before; right?         10       court reporter please swear in the witness.       11       Q. How many times have you been         11       FRANK WATERHOUSE,       11       Q. How many times have you been         12       having been first duly swom, testified as       12       deposed?         13       follows:       13       A. About three or four times.         14       EXAMINATION       14       Q. Okay. And I defended you in one         15       BY MR. MORRIS:       15       deposition, isn't that right?         16       Q. Please state your name for the       16       A. That is correct.         17       record.       17       Q. So the general ground rules for this         18       A. My name is Frank Waterhouse. Im       19       deposition you have given before. And that is         20       John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang       20       I will ask you a series of questions, and it is         21       Irepresent Highland Capital Management, L.P.;       22       question before you begin your answer; is that <tr< td=""><td></td></tr<>	
8       everybody.       8       A. Yes.         9       VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the       9       Q. You have been deposed before; right?         10       court reporter please swear in the witness.       10       A. Yes.         11       FRANK WATERHOUSE,       11       Q. How many times have you been         12       having been first duly swom, testified as       12       deposed?         13       follows:       13       A. A A out three or four times.         14       EXAMINATION       14       Q. Okay. And I defended you in one         15       BY MR.MORRIS:       16       A. That is correct.         17       record.       17       Q. So the general ground rules for this         18       deposition is not largely the same as the       19       depositions you have given before. And that is         20       John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang       21       important that you allow me to finish my         21       Ziehl & Jones. You understand that       22       23       fair?         24       A. Yes.       25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12	
9       VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the court reporter please swear in the witness.       9       Q. You have been deposed before; right?         10       A. Yes.       10       A. Yes.         11       FRANK WATERHOUSE,       11       Q. How many times have you been         12       having been first duly swom, testified as       12       deposed?         13       follows:       13       A. About three or four times.         14       EXAMINATION       14       Q. Okay. And I defended you in one         15       BY MR. MORRIS:       15       deposition; isn't that right?         16       Q. Please state your name for the       17       Q. So the general ground rules for this         18       A. My name is Frank Waterhouse. Im       19       deposition are largely the same as the         19       Q. Good morning, Mr. Waterhouse. Im       19       deposition before you begin your answer; is that is         21       Ziehl & Jones. You understand that my firm and       21       important that you allow me to finish my         22       Liebl & Jones. You understand that       25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       25         23       is that right?       2       a. Yes.       2       4       Yes.         24       A. Yes.       2 <td< td=""><td></td></td<>	
10       court reporter please swear in the witness.       10       A       Yes.         11       FRANK WATERHOUSE,       11       Q. How many times have you been         12       having been first duly swom, testified as       12       deposed?         13       follows:       13       A. About three or four times.         14       EXAMINATION       14       Q. Okay. And I defended you in one         15       BY MR. MORRIS:       16       A. That is correct.         16       Q. Please state your name for the       16       A. That is correct.         17       record.       17       Q. Good moming, Mr. Waterhouse.       19       deposition syou have given before. And that is         10       John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang       20       I will ask you a series of questions, and it is         11       Q. Okay. And do you understand that my firm and       21       important that you allow me to finish my         12       targetsynthour answers before Ibegin a       25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       25         11       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12       opportunity t	
11       FRANK WATERHOUSE,       11       Q. How many times have you been         12       having been first duly swom, testified as       12       deposed?         13       follows:       13       A. About three or four times.         14       EXAMINATION       14       Q. Okay. And I defended you in one         15       BY MR. MORRIS:       15       deposition; isn't that right?         16       Q. Please state your name for the       16       A. That is correct.         17       record.       17       Q. So the general ground rules for this         18       A. My name is Frank Waterhouse.       18       depositions you have given before. And that is         19       Q. Good moming, Mr. Waterhouse. I'm       19       depositions you have given before. And that is         20       John Morris, as you understand that my firm and       21       important that you allow me to finish my         22       Izeries Highland Capital Management, L.P.;       22       question before you begin your answer; is that         23       is that right?       23       fair?       24       A. Yes.         25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you       4         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12       1 </td <td></td>	
12       having been first duly swom, testified as       12       deposed?         13       follows:       13       A. About three or four times.         14       EXAMINATION       14       Q. Okay. And I defended you in one         15       BY MR. MORRIS:       15       deposition; isn't that right?         16       Q. Please state your name for the       17       coord.         17       record.       18       deposition; isn't that right?         16       A. My name is Frank Waterhouse.       19       deposition are largely the same as the         19       Q. Good morning, Mr. Waterhouse. I'm       19       deposition syou have given before. And that is         20       John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang       20       I will as you a series of questions, and it is         21       Izerla & Jones. You understand that my firm and       21       important that you allow me to finish my         22       I waterhouse. I'm       29       question before you begin your answer; is that         23       is that right?       23       fair?         24       A. Yes.       25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       25         25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       3       document that you think you need in order to <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
13       follows:       13       A. About three or four times.         14       EXAMINATION       14       Q. Okay. And I defended you in one         15       BY MR. MORRIS:       15       deposition; isn't that right?         16       Q. Please state your name for the       16       A. That is correct.         17       record.       17       A. My name is Frank Waterhouse.       18       deposition are largely the same as the         19       Q. Good morning, Mr. Waterhouse. I'm       19       depositions you have given before. And that is         20       John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang       20       I will ask you a series of questions, and it is         21       Izepresent Highland Capital Management, L.P.;       22       question before you begin your answer, is that         23       is that right?       24       A. Yes.       25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that         24       A Yes.       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you       14         24       A Yes.       25       Q. And it is you need in order to       4         3       question, but if I fail to do that, will you       4       4       4       4         4       ldty and completely answer the question.       5       So I would ask you to let me know if	
14       EXAMINATION       14       Q. Okay. And I defended you in one         15       BY MR. MORRIS:       15       deposition; isn't that right?         16       Q. Please state your name for the       16       A. That is correct.         17       record.       17       Q. So the general ground rules for this         18       A. My name is Frank Waterhouse.       19       deposition are largely the same as the         19       Q. Good moming, Mr. Waterhouse. I'm       19       deposition are largely the same as the         20       John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang       20       I will ask you a series of questions, and it is         21       Izerla & Jones. You understand that my firm and       21       important that you allow me to finish my         22       Izerla & Jones. You understand that       23       fair?         24       A. Yes.       23       fair?         24       A. Yes.       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12         2       to finish your answers before I begin a       3       document that you think you need in order to       4         3       question, but if I fail to do that, will you       3 <tdd< td=""><td></td></tdd<>	
15       BY MR. MORRIS:       15       deposition; isn't that right?         16       Q. Please state your name for the       16       A. That is correct.         17       record.       17       Q. So the general ground rules for this         18       A. My name is Frank Waterhouse.       17       Q. So the general ground rules for this         19       Q. Good moming, Mr. Waterhouse.       18       deposition are largely the same as the         19       Q. Good moming, Mr. Waterhouse.       19       deposition so u have given before. And that is         20       John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang       20       I will ask you a series of questions, and it is         21       Izlehl & Jones. You understand that my firm and       21       important that you allow me to finish my         22       question before you begin your answer; is that       23       fair?         24       A. Yes.       24       A. Yes.         25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       opportunity to review any portion of the         3       question, but if I fail to do that, will you       3       document that you that you need         4       let me know?       5       So I would ask	
16       Q. Please state your name for the       16       A. That is correct.         17       record.       17       Q. So the general ground rules for this         18       A. My name is Frank Waterhouse.       18       deposition are largely the same as the         19       Q. Good moming, Mr. Waterhouse.       19       depositions you have given before. And that is         20       John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang       20       I will ask you a series of questions, and it is         21       Ziehl & Jones. You understand that my firm and       21       important that you allow me to finish my         22       question before you begin your answer; is that       23       fair?         24       A. Yes.       24       A. Yes.         25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       opportunity to review any portion of the         3       question, but if I fail to do that, will you       3       document that you allow system the question.         5       A. I can certainly do that.       5       So I would ask you to let me know if         6       Q. You understand that this       6       there is a portion of a document that you need         7       deposition is being videotap	
17       record.       17       Q. So the general ground rules for this         18       A. My name is Frank Waterhouse.       18       deposition are largely the same as the         19       Q. Good moming, Mr. Waterhouse. I'm       19       depositions you have given before. And that is         20       John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang       20       I will ask you a series of questions, and it is         21       Ziehl & Jones. You understand that my firm and       21       important that you allow me to finish my         22       question before you begin your answer; is that       23       fair?         24       A. Yes.       24       A. Yes.         25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12         2       to finish your answers before I begin a       3       document that you think you need in order to       4         3       question, but if I fail to do that, will you       3       document that you to let me know if       5       So I would ask you to let me know if       6       there is a portion of a document that you need       7       to see in order to fully and completely answer         8       A. Yes.       9 <td< td=""><td></td></td<>	
18       A. My name is Frank Waterhouse.       18       deposition are largely the same as the         19       Q. Good morning, Mr. Waterhouse. I'm       19       depositions you have given before. And that is         20       John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang       20       I will ask you a series of questions, and it is         21       Ziehl & Jones. You understand that my firm and       21       important that you allow me to finish my         22       I represent Highland Capital Management, L.P.;       22       question before you begin your answer; is that         23       is that right?       23       fair?         24       A. Yes.       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       to finish your answers before I begin a       2       opportunity to review any portion of the         3       question, but if I fail to do that, will you       3       document that you lead in order to         4       let me know?       4       fully and completely answer the question.       5         5       A. I can certainly do that.       5       So I would ask you to let me know if       6         6       Q. Kay. Do you understand that this       7       to see in order to fully and complete	
19       Q. Good morning, Mr. Waterhouse. I'm       19       depositions you have given before. And that is         20       John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang       20       I will ask you a series of questions, and it is         21       Ziehl & Jones. You understand that my firm and       21       important that you allow me to finish my         22       I represent Highland Capital Management, L.P.;       22       question before you begin your answer; is that         23       is that right?       24       A. Yes.       25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       opportunity to review any portion of the       3       document that you think you need in order to         4       let me know?       5       A. I can certainly do that.       5       So I would ask you to let me know if       6       there is a portion of a document that you need       7       to see in order to fully and completely answer         8       A. Yes.       9       A. Yes.       8       the question. Can you do that for me?       9       A. Yes.         9       Q. You understand that I may seek to       10       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would       11       just note that we do have hard copies of	
20       John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang       20       I will ask you a series of questions, and it is         21       Ziehl & Jones. You understand that my firm and       21       important that you allow me to finish my         22       I represent Highland Capital Management, L.P.;       22       question before you begin your answer, is that         23       is that right?       24       A. Yes.       25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       24       A. Yes.       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you         2       to finish your answers before I begin a       2       opportunity to review any portion of the       3         3       question, but if I fail to do that, will you       3       document that you think you need in order to       4         4       let me know?       5       So I would ask you to let me know if       6       there is a portion of a document that you need         7       deposition is being videotaped?       8       A. Yes.       8       the question. Can you do that for me?       9       A. Yes.         9       Q. You understand that I may seek to       10       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would       11       just note that we do have hard copies of	
21       Ziehl & Jones. You understand that my firm and       21       important that you allow me to finish my         22       Irepresent Highland Capital Management, L.P.;       22       question before you begin your answer; is that         23       is that right?       24       A. Yes.       25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12         2       to finish your answers before I begin a       2       opportunity to review any portion of the       3         3       question, but if I fail to do that, will you       3       document that you think you need in order to       4         4       let me know?       5       A. I can certainly do that.       5       So I would ask you to let me know if       6       there is a portion of a document that you need       7       to see in order to fully and completely answer         8       A. Yes.       9       Q. You understand that I may seek to       9       A. Yes.         10       use portions of the videotape in a court of       10       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would         11       law?       11       just note that we do have hard copies of	
22       I represent Highland Capital Management, L.P.;       22       question before you begin your answer; is that         23       is that right?       24       A. Yes.       25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12         2       opportunity to review any portion of the       3       document that you think you need in order to         3       question, but if I fail to do that, will you       3       document that you think you need in order to         4       let me know?       4       fully and completely answer the question.       5         5       A. I can certainly do that.       5       So I would ask you to let me know if         6       Q. Okay. Do you understand that this       6       there is a portion of a document that you need         7       deposition is being videotaped?       7       to see in order to fully and completely answer         8       A. Yes.       9       A. Yes.       9       A. Yes.         10       use portions of the videotape in a court of       10       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would         11       jaw?       just note that we do have hard copies of	
23       is that right?       23       fair?         24       A. Yes.       24       A. Yes.         25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       24       A. Yes.         2       to finish your answers before I begin a       2       opportunity to review any portion of the         3       question, but if I fail to do that, will you       3       document that you think you need in order to         4       let me know?       5       A. I can certainly do that.       5       So I would ask you to let me know if         6       Q. Okay. Do you understand that this       6       there is a portion of a document that you need         7       deposition is being videotaped?       8       A. Yes.       8         9       Q. You understand that I may seek to       9       A. Yes.       9         10       use portions of the videotape in a court of       10       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would         11       law?       11       just note that we do have hard copies of	
24       A. Yes.       24       A. Yes.         25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       Q. And it is important that I allow you         2       to finish your answers before I begin a       2       opportunity to review any portion of the         3       question, but if I fail to do that, will you       3       document that you think you need in order to         4       let me know?       4       fully and completely answer the question.       5         5       A. I can certainly do that.       5       So I would ask you to let me know if         6       Q. Okay. Do you understand that this       5       so I would ask you to let me know if         7       deposition is being videotaped?       7       to see in order to fully and completely answer         8       A. Yes.       9       A. Yes.       9       A. Yes.         10       use portions of the videotape in a court of       10       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would         11       just note that we do have hard copies of       11       just note that we do have hard copies of	
25       Q. Okay. And do you understand that       25       Q. And it is important that I allow you         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12         2       to finish your answers before I begin a       2       opportunity to review any portion of the       3         3       question, but if I fail to do that, will you       3       document that you think you need in order to       4         4       let me know?       4       fully and completely answer the question.       5       So I would ask you to let me know if         5       A. I can certainly do that.       5       So I would ask you to let me know if       6       there is a portion of a document that you need         7       deposition is being videotaped?       7       to see in order to fully and completely answer         8       A. Yes.       8       the question. Can you do that for me?         9       Q. You understand that I may seek to       9       A. Yes.         10       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would         11       Jaw?       11       just note that we do have hard copies of	
Page 12       Page 12       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 12         2       to finish your answers before I begin a       2       opportunity to review any portion of the         3       question, but if I fail to do that, will you       3       document that you think you need in order to         4       let me know?       3       document that you think you need in order to         5       A.       I can certainly do that.       5       So I would ask you to let me know if         6       Q.       Okay. Do you understand that this       5       So I would ask you to let me know if         7       deposition is being videotaped?       7       to see in order to fully and completely answer         8       A.       Yes.       9       A.       Yes.         9       Q.       You understand that I may seek to       9       A.       Yes.         10       use portions of the videotape in a court of       10       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would         11       just note that we do have hard copies of       11	
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-211WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212to finish your answers before I begin a2opportunity to review any portion of the3question, but if I fail to do that, will you3document that you think you need in order to4let me know?4fully and completely answer the question.5A.I can certainly do that.5So I would ask you to let me know if6Q.Okay. Do you understand that this6there is a portion of a document that you need7deposition is being videotaped?7to see in order to fully and completely answer8A.Yes.8the question. Can you do that for me?9Q.You understand that I may seek to9A.Yes.10use portions of the videotape in a court of10MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would11law?11just note that we do have hard copies of	
2to finish your answers before I begin a2opportunity to review any portion of the3question, but if I fail to do that, will you3document that you think you need in order to4let me know?4fully and completely answer the question.5A.I can certainly do that.5So I would ask you to let me know if6Q.Okay. Do you understand that this6there is a portion of a document that you need7deposition is being videotaped?7to see in order to fully and completely answer8A.Yes.8the question. Can you do that for me?9Q.You understand that I may seek to9A.10use portions of the videotape in a court of10MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would11law?11just note that we do have hard copies of	ge 13
3question, but if I fail to do that, will you3document that you think you need in order to4let me know?4fully and completely answer the question.5A.I can certainly do that.5So I would ask you to let me know if6Q.Okay. Do you understand that this6there is a portion of a document that you need7deposition is being videotaped?7to see in order to fully and completely answer8A.Yes.8the question. Can you do that for me?9Q.You understand that I may seek to9A.Yes.10use portions of the videotape in a court of10MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would11law?11just note that we do have hard copies of	
4let me know?4fully and completely answer the question.5A.I can certainly do that.5So I would ask you to let me know if6Q.Okay. Do you understand that this6there is a portion of a document that you need7deposition is being videotaped?7to see in order to fully and completely answer8A.Yes.8the question. Can you do that for me?9Q.You understand that I may seek to9A.Yes.10use portions of the videotape in a court of10MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would11law?11just note that we do have hard copies of	
5A. I can certainly do that.5So I would ask you to let me know if6Q. Okay. Do you understand that this6there is a portion of a document that you need7deposition is being videotaped?7to see in order to fully and completely answer8A. Yes.8the question. Can you do that for me?9Q. You understand that I may seek to9A. Yes.10use portions of the videotape in a court of10MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would11law?11just note that we do have hard copies of	
6Q. Okay. Do you understand that this6there is a portion of a document that you need7deposition is being videotaped?7to see in order to fully and completely answer8A. Yes.8the question. Can you do that for me?9Q. You understand that I may seek to9A. Yes.10use portions of the videotape in a court of10MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would11law?11just note that we do have hard copies of	
7deposition is being videotaped?7to see in order to fully and completely answer8A. Yes.8the question. Can you do that for me?9Q. You understand that I may seek to9A. Yes.10use portions of the videotape in a court of10MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would11law?11just note that we do have hard copies of	
8A. Yes.8the question. Can you do that for me?9Q. You understand that I may seek to9A. Yes.10use portions of the videotape in a court of10MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would11law?11just note that we do have hard copies of	
9Q. You understand that I may seek to9A. Yes.10use portions of the videotape in a court of10MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would11law?11just note that we do have hard copies of	
10use portions of the videotape in a court of10MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would11law?11just note that we do have hard copies of	
11   law?     11   just note that we do have hard copies of	
12 A. I did not know that, until you just 12 the documents that you sent, so if you can	
13 said that. 13 just refer to the exhibit number as	
14     Q. Okay. And you are aware of that now     14     reflected in the documents that you sent,       15     before the documents that you sent,     15	
15 before the deposition begins substantively; is 15 Mr. Waterhouse will be able to look at the	
16 that right? 16 hard copies of those documents.	
17 A. Yes. 17 MR. MORRIS: Lappreciate that,	
18     Q. So unlike I think the other       18     and – and I will encourage him to do so.	
19 depositions that you have given, this one is 19 There will be other documents that we did	
20   being given remotely. So that presents some   20   not send to you that we'll be using today	
21   unique challenges, at least as compared to a   21   though.	
22deposition that is taken in-person.22Q.Okay. With that as background, if	
23From time to time we're going to put23there is anything that I ask you, sir, that you	
24       documents up on the screen, Mr. Waterhouse.       24       don't understand, will you let me know?	
25 And it is important that I give you the     25 A. Yes.	

	Page 14			Page 15
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Q. Okay. Are you currently employed?	2	A. I – I – I might. I just – I	
3	A. Yes.	3	don't recall.	
4	Q. By whom?	4	Q. Okay. Does Skyview Group provide	
5	A. The Skyview Group.	5	any services to any entity directly or	
6	Q. When did you become employed by the	6	indirectly owned or controlled by Jim Dondero?	
7	Skyview Group?	7	A. Yes.	
8	A. I believe March 1st of 2021.	8	Q. Can you name is that pursuant to	
9	Q. Do you have a title at Skyview?	9	written contracts?	
10	A. Yes.	10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. What is your title?	11	Q. And do you know how many contracts	
12	A. My title is chief financial officer.	12	exist?	
13	Q. Do you report to anybody in your	13	A. Approximately six or so.	
14	role as CFO?	14	Q. And is the Skyview Group made up of	
15	A. I don't, no.	15	individuals who were formerly employees of	
16	Q. No. Is there a president or a CEO	16	Highland Capital Management, L.P.?	
17	of Skyview?	17	A. No.	
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. Do you know how many how many	
19	Q. Who is that?	19	how many employees does Skyview have?	
20	A. That is Scott Ellington.	20	A. Approximately 35.	
21	Q. But you don't report to	21	Q. And can you tell me how many of	
22	Mr. Ellington; is that right?	22	those 35 are former officers, directors, or	
23	A. I don't think so.	23	employees of Highland Capital Management, L.P.?	
24	Q. Does Skyview Group –	24	A. I don't know the exact number.	
25	MS. DANDENEAU: Excuse me, we	25	Q. Is it more than 20?	
	Page 16			Page 17
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	A. Yes.	2	these questions for any purpose other than	
3	Q. Is it more than 30?	3	this litigation. I think you understand	
4	A. I don't know.	4	fully why I'm asking the questions, and I	
5	Q. Can you tell me what portion of	5	just have a couple more, if you will bear	
6	Skyview – Skyview's revenue is derived from	6	with me.	
	entities that are directly or indirectly owned	7	MS. DANDENEAU: Okay.	
	or controlled by Jim Dondero?	8	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can we have an	
9	MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I mean,	9	agreement that an objection by one is an	
10	you called Mr. Waterhouse here individually	10	objection for any other party here?	
11	for purposes of his testimony in connection	11	MR. MORRIS: Sure. I would I	
12	with the noticed litigation. I have given	12	would encourage that, sure.	
13	you some leeway to ask him some background	13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.	
14	information about Skyview Group, but this	14	MR. MORRIS: It can't be sustained	
15	is not a substitute for a deposition in	15	or overruled more than one time, so	
16	connection with any other pending disputes	16	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, can you answer my	
17	that exist. And – and we agreed to accept	17	question, please.	
18	the subpoena on the basis of he – this is	18	MS. DANDENEAU: Do you want to	
19	testimony that he is giving in connection	19	repeat it, Mr. Morris, for his benefit? MR. MORRIS: Sure.	
20	with the noticed litigation.	20		
21	I really think that you are now	21	Q. Can you – can you tell me the	
22	going a little bit far afield from the	22	approximate portion of Skyview's revenue that	
23	purpose of this deposition.	23	is derived from entities that are directly or	
24 25	MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is – I'm not	24 25	indirectly owned or controlled by Mr. Dondero? A. I don't know the exact number.	
1 20	intending to use these the answers to	20		

	Page 18			Page 19
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	0
2	Q. Is it more than 75 percent?	2	A. 2011 or 2012.	
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. Did you serve as Highland's CFO on a	
4	Q. Is it more than 90 percent?	4	continuous basis from in or around 2011 or 2012	
5	A. I don't know.	5	until early 2021?	
6	Q. Okay. Can I refer to Highland	6	A. Yes.	
7	Capital Management, L.P., as Highland?	7	Q. During that entire time you reported	
8	A. Yes.	8	directly to Jim Dondero; correct?	
9	Q. All right. And you previously	9	A. I – I don't know.	
10	served as Highland's CFO; correct?	10	Q. Is there anybody else you reported	
11	A. Yes.	11	to withdrawn.	
12	Q. When did you join Highland?	12	Did you report to Mr. Dondero for	
13	A. I don't recall the exact date.	13	some portion of the time that you served as	
14	Q. Can you tell me what year?	14	CFO?	
15	A. 2006.	15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. When did you – in what year did you	16	Q. Is there a portion of time that you	
17	become Highland's CFO?	17	don't recall who you reported to?	
18	A. I don't recall the exact date.	18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. I'm not asking you for the exact	19	Q. What portion of time do you have in	
20	date. I'm asking you if you recall the year in	20	your mind when you can't recall who you	
21	which you were appointed CFO.	21	reported to?	
22	A. I don't recall the exact year.	22	A. From the 2011 to for	
23	Q. Can you tell me which years it is	23	approximately a year or two.	
24	possible that you were appointed to CFO of	24	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you	
25	Highland?	25	reported to Mr. Dondero in your capacity as CFO	
	Page 20	4		Page 21
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
1	from at least 2014 until the time you left	2	Q. Who might you have reported to in	
3	Highland?	3	your capacity as CFO before you started	
4	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	4	reporting to Mr. Dondero?	
5	A. I don't want to speculate the exact	5	A. That would have been Patrick Boyce.	
6	or what year that changed or – so I would like	6	Q. Are you aware that Highland filed	
	to stick with my testimony.		for bankruptcy on October 19th, 2019?	
8	Q. Can you recall when you began	8	A. Yes.	
9	reporting to Mr. Dondero?	9	Q. And we refer to that as the petition	
10	A. I don't recall.	10	date?	
11	Q. Can you – can you give me an	11	A. Yes.	
12	estimate of what year you think you might have	12	Q. Okay. Do you hold any professional	
13	began reporting to Mr. Dondero?	13	licenses, sir?	
14	A. I will go back to my prior	14	A. Yes.	
15	testimony.	15	Q. Can you tell me what professional	
16	Q. Okay. There is no – you have no	16	licenses you hold?	
17	ability to tell me when you began reporting to	17	A. I'm a certified public accountant.	
18	Mr. Dondero.	18	Q. Okay. Anything else?	
19	Do I have that right?	19	A. No.	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	20	Q. Do you have any other professional	
21	A. I don't recall.	21	licenses or certificates?	
22	Q. Okay. Do you recall who you might	22	A. When you say "professional license,"	
23	have reported to before you began reporting to	23	that is not education?	
24	Mr. Dondero?	24	Q. Tell me – sure. Anything other	
25	A. Yes.	25	than a driver's license.	

	Page	22			Page 23
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1		WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 23
2	Do you have any other license or	2	А.	Yes.	
3 certifica	te or certification?	3	Q.	Were you ever employed by HCMFA?	
4 A.	Are you asking, like, where I went	4	Α.	Not that I recall.	
5 to scho	ol and the	5	Q.	Were you ever did you ever hold	
6 Q.	l am not. I am not. I didn't say	6	the title	of an officer or director of HCMFA?	
7 educati	on. I didn't ask about degrees.	7	А.	Yes.	
8	Do you know what a license is?	8	Q.	What title did you hold?	
9 A.	Well, yeah, I mean, a license is	9	А.	Treasurer.	
1	ning you get after you receive a certain	10	) Q.	When did you become the treasurer of	
1	proficiency.	11	HCM	-	
1	Do you have any licenses or	12	2 A.	l don't recall.	
1	ations other than your CPA?	13		Can you tell me the year?	
1	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form.	14		l don't – I don't know the year.	
1	I assume you mean professional	15		Can you approximate the year in	
1	nses, Mr. Morris; correct?	16		you became the treasurer of HCMFA?	
17 Q.		17		I don't know.	
1	Mr. Morris, I'm thinking. I	18			
1	I don't think I have any others.	19		-	
1	Are you familiar with an entity	20		I don't recall.	
1	Highland Capital Management Fund	21		Are you still the – do you know if	
22 Adviso		22		still the treasurer of HCMFA today?	
	Yes.	23	•	Today, I am the acting treasurer for	
1	Were you ever – can we refer to	24			
1	tity as HCMFA?	25		Is there a distinction between	
	uly as howing?	20	) Q.		
1	Page WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21			WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 25
1 2 treasure	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	the tre	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 25
2 treasure	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer?	1		WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first	Page 25
2 treasure 3 A.	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an	1 2 3	time?	asurer of CF HCMFA for the first	Page 25
2 treasure 3 A. 4 employ	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously	1 2 3 4	time? A.	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be	Page 25
2 treasure 3 A. 4 employ 5 stated -	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked.	1 2 3 4 5	time? A. some	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't	Page 25
<ol> <li>treasure</li> <li>A.</li> <li>employ</li> <li>stated -</li> <li>Q.</li> </ol>	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA	1 2 3 4 5 6	time? A. some know	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't vhere those are.	Page 25
<ol> <li>treasure</li> <li>A.</li> <li>employ</li> <li>stated -</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>today; c</li> </ol>	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	time? A. some know Q.	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't vhere those are. How many times have you been	Page 25
2 treasure 3 A. 4 employ 5 stated - 6 Q. 7 today; c 8 A.	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	time? A. some know Q. appoir	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't vhere those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA?	Page 25
2 treasure 3 A. 4 employ 5 stated - 6 Q. 7 today; c 8 A. 9 for HCM	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer /IFA.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	time? A. some know Q. appoir A.	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know.	Page 25
2         treasure           3         A.           4         employ           5         stated -           6         Q.           7         today; c           8         A.           9         for HCN           10         Q.	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer <i>I</i> FA. How did you become the treasurer of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	time? A. some know Q. appoir A. Q.	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once?	Page 25
<ol> <li>treasure</li> <li>A.</li> <li>employ</li> <li>stated -</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>today; c</li> <li>A.</li> <li>for HCM</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>HCMF.</li> </ol>	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer <i>N</i> FA. How did you become the treasurer of A?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	time? A. some know Q. appoir A. Q. A.	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once? I don't know.	Page 25
2 treasure 3 A. 4 employ 5 stated - 6 Q. 7 today; c 8 A. 9 for HCM 10 Q. 11 HCMF, 12 A.	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer /IFA. How did you become the treasurer of A? Are you asking how I became the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	time? A. some know Q. appoir A. Q. Q. A. 2 Q.	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once? I don't know. Can you tell me any period of time	Page 25
<ul> <li>2 treasure</li> <li>3 A.</li> <li>4 employ</li> <li>5 stated -</li> <li>6 Q.</li> <li>7 today; c</li> <li>8 A.</li> <li>9 for HCN</li> <li>10 Q.</li> <li>11 HCMF.</li> <li>12 A.</li> <li>13 treasure</li> </ul>	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am I am the acting treasurer <i>I</i> FA. How did you become the treasurer of A? Are you asking how I became the er of HCMFA today?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	time? A. some know v Q. appoir A. 0 Q. 4 A. 0 Q. 4 Since	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once? I don't know. Can you tell me any period of time 2016 that you did not hold the title of	Page 25
<ul> <li>2 treasure</li> <li>3 A.</li> <li>4 employ</li> <li>5 stated -</li> <li>6 Q.</li> <li>7 today; c</li> <li>8 A.</li> <li>9 for HCN</li> <li>10 Q.</li> <li>11 HCMF.</li> <li>12 A.</li> <li>13 treasur</li> <li>14 Q.</li> </ul>	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer <i>I</i> FA. How did you become the treasurer of A? Are you asking how I became the er of HCMFA today? How did you become appointed to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	time? A. some know v Q. appoir A. Q. Q. A. Q. Since treasu	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once? I don't know. Can you tell me any period of time 2016 that you did not hold the title of rer of HCMFA?	Page 25
2         treasure           3         A.           4         employ           5         stated -           6         Q.           7         today; c           8         A.           9         for HCM           10         Q.           11         HCMF.           12         A.           13         treasure           14         Q.           15         serve a	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer /IFA. How did you become the treasurer of A? Are you asking how I became the er of HCMFA today? How did you become appointed to as the treasurer of HCMFA?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	time? A. some know Q. appoir A. Q. Q. A. Q. A. Since treasu	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once? I don't know. Can you tell me any period of time 2016 that you did not hold the title of rer of HCMFA? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	Page 25
<ul> <li>2 treasure</li> <li>3 A.</li> <li>4 employ</li> <li>5 stated -</li> <li>6 Q.</li> <li>7 today; c</li> <li>8 A.</li> <li>9 for HCN</li> <li>10 Q.</li> <li>11 HCMF.</li> <li>12 A.</li> <li>13 treasure</li> <li>14 Q.</li> <li>15 serve at</li> <li>16 A.</li> </ul>	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer <i>N</i> FA. How did you become the treasurer of A? Are you asking how I became the er of HCMFA today? How did you become appointed to as the treasurer of HCMFA? Well, in – in – in what time	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	time? A. some know v Q. appoir A. Q. Q. A. Q. Since treasu 5 A.	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once? I don't know. Can you tell me any period of time 2016 that you did not hold the title of rer of HCMFA? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't recall.	Page 25
2         treasure           3         A.           4         employ           5         stated -           6         Q.           7         today; c           8         A.           9         for HCM           10         Q.           11         HCMF.           12         A.           13         treasure           14         Q.           15         serve a           16         A.           17         capacit	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer <i>I</i> FA. How did you become the treasurer of A? Are you asking how I became the er of HCMFA today? How did you become appointed to as the treasurer of HCMFA? Well, in – in – in what time ty?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	time? A. some know v Q. appoir A. Q. A. Q. Since treasL 5 A. Q.	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once? I don't know. Can you tell me any period of time 2016 that you did not hold the title of rer of HCMFA? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't recall. What are your duties and	Page 25
2         treasure           3         A.           4         employ           5         stated -           6         Q.           7         today; c           8         A.           9         for HCM           10         Q.           11         HCMF.           12         A.           13         treasure           14         Q.           15         serve a           16         A.           17         capacit           18         Q.	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer /IFA. How did you become the treasurer of A? Are you asking how I became the er of HCMFA today? How did you become appointed to as the treasurer of HCMFA? Well, in – in – in what time ty? The first time that you were	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 8	time? A. some know v Q. appoir A. Q. A. Q. A. Q. A. Since treasu G A. Since treasu G A. Since treasu G A. Since treasu G Since Sin Since Sin Sinc Sin Since Since Sinc S	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once? I don't know. Can you tell me any period of time 2016 that you did not hold the title of rer of HCMFA? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't recall. What are your duties and hsibilities as the treasurer of HCMFA?	Page 25
<ol> <li>treasure</li> <li>A.</li> <li>employ</li> <li>stated -</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>today; c</li> <li>A.</li> <li>for HCN</li> <li>Q.</li> <li>HCMF.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>for HCMF.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>treasur</li> <li>HCMF.</li> <li>A.</li> <li>treasur</li> <li>Kerve at</li> <li>Serve at</li> <li>A.</li> <li>serve at</li> <li>A.</li> <li>appoint</li> </ol>	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer /IFA. How did you become the treasurer of A? Are you asking how I became the er of HCMFA today? How did you become appointed to as the treasurer of HCMFA? Well, in – in – in what time y? The first time that you were ted.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	time? A. some know v Q. appoir A. Q. A. Q. Since treasu 5 A. V Q. A. V Q. A. V Q. A. V A. V A. V	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once? I don't know. Can you tell me any period of time 2016 that you did not hold the title of rer of HCMFA? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't recall. What are your duties and hsibilities as the treasurer of HCMFA? My duties are to do the best job	Page 25
2         treasure           3         A.           4         employ           5         stated -           6         Q.           7         today; c           8         A.           9         for HCN           10         Q.           11         HCMF.           12         A.           13         treasure           16         A.           17         capacit           18         Q.           19         appoint           20         A.	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer <i>N</i> FA. How did you become the treasurer of A? Are you asking how I became the er of HCMFA today? How did you become appointed to as the treasurer of HCMFA? Well, in – in – in what time ty? The first time that you were ted. First time. I believe I was asked	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	time? A. some know v Q. appoir A. 0 Q. 4 c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once? I don't know. Can you tell me any period of time 2016 that you did not hold the title of rer of HCMFA? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't recall. What are your duties and hsibilities as the treasurer of HCMFA? My duties are to do the best job can as the – as an accountant and	Page 25
2         treasure           3         A.           4         employ           5         stated -           6         Q.           7         today; c           8         A.           9         for HCM           10         Q.           11         HCMF.           12         A.           13         treasure           14         Q.           15         serve at           16         A.           17         capacit           18         Q.           19         appoint           20         A.           21         to serve	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer <i>I</i> FA. How did you become the treasurer of A? Are you asking how I became the er of HCMFA today? How did you become appointed to as the treasurer of HCMFA? Well, in – in – in what time y? The first time that you were ted. First time. I believe I was asked e as treasurer for HCMFA the first time.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	time? A. some know ( Q. appoir A. Q. A. Q. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. C. Since treasu 5 A. C. Since treasu 5 A. C. C. Since treasu 5 A. C. C. Since treasu 5 A. C. C. Since treasu 5 A. C. C. Since treasu 5 A. C. C. Since treasu 5 A. C. C. Since treasu 5 A. C. C. Since treasu 5 A. C. C. Since treasu 5 A. C. C. Since treasu 5 A. C. Since treasu 5 A. C. Since treasu 5 A. C. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. C. Since treasu 5 A. C. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. C. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since treasu 5 A. Since Since treasu 5 A. Since Sin Since Sin Since Since Sin Since Sinco	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once? I don't know. Can you tell me any period of time 2016 that you did not hold the title of rer of HCMFA? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't recall. What are your duties and hsibilities as the treasurer of HCMFA? My duties are to do the best job can as the – as an accountant and e guy.	Page 25
2         treasure           3         A.           4         employ           5         stated -           6         Q.           7         today; c           8         A.           9         for HCM           10         Q.           11         HCMF.           12         A.           13         treasure           14         Q.           15         serve a           16         A.           17         capacit           18         Q.           19         appoint           20         A.           21         to serve           22         Q.	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer /IFA. How did you become the treasurer of A? Are you asking how I became the er of HCMFA today? How did you become appointed to as the treasurer of HCMFA? Well, in – in – in what time ty? The first time that you were ted. First time. I believe I was asked e as treasurer for HCMFA the first time. By who? Who asked you to do that?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	time? A. some know v Q. appoir A. Q. A. Q. Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since C. Since treasu Since C. Since Since Since Since C. Since Si	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once? I don't know. Can you tell me any period of time 2016 that you did not hold the title of rer of HCMFA? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't recall. What are your duties and hsibilities as the treasurer of HCMFA? My duties are to do the best job can as the – as an accountant and e guy. What specific duties and	Page 25
2         treasure           3         A.           4         employ           5         stated -           6         Q.           7         today; c           8         A.           9         for HCN           10         Q.           11         HCMF.           12         A.           13         treasure           16         A.           17         capacit           18         Q.           19         appoin           20         A.           21         to serve           23         A.	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer <i>N</i> FA. How did you become the treasurer of A? Are you asking how I became the er of HCMFA today? How did you become appointed to as the treasurer of HCMFA? Well, in – in – in what time ty? The first time that you were ted. First time. I believe I was asked e as treasurer for HCMFA the first time. By who? Who asked you to do that? I don't recall.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	time? A. some know v Q. appoir A. Q. A. Q. Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since treasu Since Sin	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once? I don't know. Can you tell me any period of time 2016 that you did not hold the title of rer of HCMFA? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't recall. What are your duties and hsibilities as the treasurer of HCMFA? My duties are to do the best job can as the – as an accountant and e guy. What specific duties and hsibilities do you have as the treasurer	Page 25
2         treasure           3         A.           4         employ           5         stated -           6         Q.           7         today; c           8         A.           9         for HCN           10         Q.           11         HCMF.           12         A.           13         treasure           16         A.           17         capacit           18         Q.           19         appoin           20         A.           21         to serve           23         A.           24         Q.	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer <i>N</i> FA. How did you become the treasurer of A? Are you asking how I became the er of HCMFA today? How did you become appointed to as the treasurer of HCMFA? Well, in – in – in what time ty? The first time that you were ted. First time. I believe I was asked e as treasurer for HCMFA the first time. By who? Who asked you to do that? I don't recall. Is there anything that would refresh	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	time? A. some know ( Q. appoir A. Q. A. Q. Since treasu f a respo A. C. Trespo A. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C.	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once? I don't know. Can you tell me any period of time 2016 that you did not hold the title of rer of HCMFA? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't recall. What are your duties and hsibilities as the treasurer of HCMFA? My duties are to do the best job can as the – as an accountant and e guy. What specific duties and hsibilities do you have as the treasurer MFA?	Page 25
2         treasure           3         A.           4         employ           5         stated -           6         Q.           7         today; c           8         A.           9         for HCN           10         Q.           11         HCMF.           12         A.           13         treasure           16         A.           17         capacit           18         Q.           19         appoint           20         A.           21         to serve           23         A.           24         Q.	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 er and acting treasurer? I said "acting treasurer" as I am an ee of Skyview, as you previously - or asked. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA correct? I am – I am the acting treasurer <i>N</i> FA. How did you become the treasurer of A? Are you asking how I became the er of HCMFA today? How did you become appointed to as the treasurer of HCMFA? Well, in – in – in what time ty? The first time that you were ted. First time. I believe I was asked e as treasurer for HCMFA the first time. By who? Who asked you to do that? I don't recall.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	time? A. some know ( Q. appoir A. Q. A. Q. Since treasu f a respo A. C. Trespo A. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C. C.	asurer of CF- – HCMFA for the first I don't – I mean, there would be documents, some legal documents. I don't where those are. How many times have you been ted the treasurer of HCMFA? I don't know. Was it more than once? I don't know. Can you tell me any period of time 2016 that you did not hold the title of rer of HCMFA? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't recall. What are your duties and hsibilities as the treasurer of HCMFA? My duties are to do the best job can as the – as an accountant and e guy. What specific duties and hsibilities do you have as the treasurer	Page 25

Page 26	Page 27
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 that I can as the accounting and finance person	2 A. I don't – I don't know.
3 for HCMFA.	3 Q. You don't know?
4 Q. As the accounting and finance person	4 You're the treasurer of HCMFA but
5 for HCMFA, do you have any particular areas of	5 you don't know if HCMFA has a chief financial
6 responsibility?	6 officer.
7 A. Yeah, it is to manage the accounting	7 Do I have that right?
8 and finance function for HCMFA.	8 A. That's right.
9 Q. Would that include – do you have	9 Q. Okay. Have you heard of a company
10 responsibility for overseeing HCMFA's annual	10 called NexPoint Advisors?
11 audit?	11 A. Yes.
12 A. Can I please elaborate on my prior	12 Q. We will refer to that as NexPoint.
13 question?	13 Okay?
14 Q. Of course. You – you are giving	14 A. Okay.
15 answers. I'm asking questions.	15 Q. Were you ever employed by NexPoint?
16 A. Okay. Yes, so the – it – like l	16 A. I don't recall.
17 said, it is to manage the accounting finance	17 Q. Did you ever hold any title with
18 aspect, but I am, as we discussed, the	18 respect to the entity known as NexPoint?
19 treasurer. That is – being treasurer is what	19 A. Yes.
20 gives me that – that management function.	20 Q. What titles have you held in
21 Q. Does anybody report to you in your	21 relation to NexPoint?
22 capacity as treasurer of HCMFA?	22 A. Treasurer. I think it was only
23 A. I don't believe so.	23 treasurer.
24 Q. Does HCMFA have a chief financial	24 Q. Can you tell me the approximate year
25 officer?	25 you became the treasurer of NexPoint?
Page 28	Page 29
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1         WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21           2         A.         I don't know.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A.       I don't know.         3       Q.       Are you still the treasurer of	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>4 NexPoint today?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>4 NexPoint today?</li> <li>5 A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>4 NexPoint today?</li> <li>5 A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>6 NexPoint.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> <li>8 treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think – I am the acting treasurer</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A.       I don't know.         3       Q.       Are you still the treasurer of         4       NexPoint today?         5       A.       I am the acting treasurer for         6       NexPoint.         7       Q.       When did your title change from         8       treasurer to acting treasurer?         9       A.       I don't know.         10       Q.       Did your duties and responsibilities	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think – I am the acting treasurer</li> <li>for NexPoint Advisors.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did your duties and responsibilities</li> <li>change at all when your title was changed from</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think – I am the acting treasurer</li> <li>for NexPoint Advisors.</li> <li>Q. And – and who appointed you acting</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did your duties and responsibilities</li> <li>change at all when your title was changed from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think – I am the acting treasurer</li> <li>for NexPoint Advisors.</li> <li>Q. And – and who appointed you acting</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint Advisors?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did your duties and responsibilities</li> <li>change at all when your title was changed from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't believe so.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think – I am the acting treasurer</li> <li>for NexPoint Advisors.</li> <li>Q. And – and who appointed you acting</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint Advisors?</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did your duties and responsibilities</li> <li>change at all when your title was changed from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Why did –</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think – I am the acting treasurer</li> <li>for NexPoint Advisors.</li> <li>Q. And – and who appointed you acting</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint Advisors?</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection of who</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did your duties and responsibilities</li> <li>change at all when your title was changed from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Why did –</li> <li>A. I still manage the finance and</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think – I am the acting treasurer</li> <li>for NexPoint Advisors.</li> <li>Q. And – and who appointed you acting</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint Advisors?</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection of who</li> <li>might have appointed you the treasurer of</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did your duties and responsibilities</li> <li>change at all when your title was changed from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Why did –</li> <li>A. I still manage the finance and</li> <li>accounting function for NexPoint.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think – I am the acting treasurer</li> <li>for NexPoint Advisors.</li> <li>Q. And – and who appointed you acting</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint Advisors?</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection of who</li> <li>might have appointed you the treasurer of</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did your duties and responsibilities</li> <li>change at all when your title was changed from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Why did –</li> <li>A. I still manage the finance and</li> <li>accounting function for NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Why did your title change from</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think – I am the acting treasurer</li> <li>for NexPoint Advisors.</li> <li>Q. And – and who appointed you acting</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint Advisors?</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection of who</li> <li>might have appointed you the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint?</li> <li>A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did your duties and responsibilities</li> <li>change at all when your title was changed from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Why did –</li> <li>A. I still manage the finance and</li> <li>accounting function for NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Why did your title change from</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think – I am the acting treasurer</li> <li>for NexPoint Advisors.</li> <li>Q. And – and who appointed you acting</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint Advisors?</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection of who</li> <li>might have appointed you the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint?</li> <li>A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall</li> <li>exactly who it was.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did your duties and responsibilities</li> <li>change at all when your title was changed from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't - I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Why did –</li> <li>A. I still manage the finance and</li> <li>accounting function for NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Why did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I still manage the finance and</li> <li>accounting function for NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Why did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't - I'm using the term</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think – I am the acting treasurer</li> <li>for NexPoint Advisors.</li> <li>Q. And – and who appointed you acting</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint Advisors?</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection of who</li> <li>might have appointed you the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint?</li> <li>A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall</li> <li>exactly who it was.</li> <li>Q. Who were the possibilities?</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did your duties and responsibilities</li> <li>change at all when your title was changed from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Why did –</li> <li>A. I still manage the finance and</li> <li>accounting function for NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Why did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't – I'm using the term</li> <li>"acting treasurer" as I'm a Skyview employee.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think – I am the acting treasurer</li> <li>for NexPoint Advisors.</li> <li>Q. And – and who appointed you acting</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint Advisors?</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection of who</li> <li>might have appointed you the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint?</li> <li>A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall</li> <li>exactly who it was.</li> <li>Q. Who were the possibilities?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did your duties and responsibilities</li> <li>change at all when your title was changed from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Why did –</li> <li>A. I still manage the finance and</li> <li>accounting function for NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Why did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't – I wusing the term</li> <li>"acting treasurer" as I'm a Skyview employee.</li> <li>I don't – I don't know – again, I am a – as</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think – I am the acting treasurer</li> <li>for NexPoint Advisors.</li> <li>Q. And – and who appointed you acting</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint Advisors?</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection of who</li> <li>might have appointed you the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint?</li> <li>A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall</li> <li>exactly who it was.</li> <li>Q. Who were the possibilities?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did your duties and responsibilities</li> <li>theasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did your duties and responsibilities</li> <li>theasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't - I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Why did -</li> <li>A. I still manage the finance and</li> <li>accounting function for NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Why did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't - I'm using the term</li> <li>"acting treasurer" as I'm a Skyview employee.</li> <li>I don't - I don't know - again, I am a - as</li> <li>I am the Skyview employee.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think – I am the acting treasurer</li> <li>for NexPoint Advisors.</li> <li>Q. And – and who appointed you acting</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint Advisors?</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection of who</li> <li>might have appointed you the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint?</li> <li>A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall</li> <li>exactly who it was.</li> <li>Q. Who were the possibilities?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>Q. You can answer.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Are you still the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint today?</li> <li>A. I am the acting treasurer for</li> <li>NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. When did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did your duties and responsibilities</li> <li>theasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Why did –</li> <li>A. I still manage the finance and</li> <li>accounting function for NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Why did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Why did –</li> <li>A. I still manage the finance and</li> <li>accounting function for NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Why did your title change from</li> <li>treasurer to acting treasurer?</li> <li>A. I don't – I'm using the term</li> <li>"acting treasurer" as I'm a Skyview employee.</li> <li>I don't – I don't know – again, I am a – as</li> <li>I am the Skyview employee.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And you serve as an officer of</li> <li>HCMFA; correct?</li> <li>A. I think we went over that with my</li> <li>testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for</li> <li>HCMFA.</li> <li>Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think – I am the acting treasurer</li> <li>for NexPoint Advisors.</li> <li>Q. And – and who appointed you acting</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint Advisors?</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection of who</li> <li>might have appointed you the treasurer of</li> <li>NexPoint?</li> <li>A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall</li> <li>exactly who it was.</li> <li>Q. Who were the possibilities?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>Q. You can answer.</li> <li>A. Someone in the legal group for</li> </ul>

Page 30	Page 31
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Highland Capital Management Services, Inc.?	2 responsibilities as the acting treasurer for
3 A. Yes.	3 HCMS and the acting treasurer for NexPoint the
4 Q. We will refer to that as HCMS.	4 same as your duties and responsibilities in
5 Okay?	5 your role as the acting treasurer of HCMFA?
6 A. HCMS. Okay.	6 A. More or less.
7 Q. Were you ever employed by HCMS?	7 Q. Have you ever heard of a company
8 A. No.	8 called HCRE Partners, LLC?
9 Q. Have you ever held any titles in	9 A. Yes.
10 relation to HCMF – I apologize – HCMS?	10 Q. And do you understand that that
11 A. Yes.	11 entity is now known today as NexPoint Real
12 Q. What titles have you held in	12 Estate Partners?
13 relation to HCMS?	13 A. I did not know that.
14 A. Treasurer and acting treasurer.	14 Q. All right. Can we refer to HCRE
15 Q. When did you first become treasurer	15 Partners as HCRE?
16 or acting treasurer of HCMS?	16 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
17 A. I don't recall the exact dates.	17 Did you mean NexPoint Real Estate
18 Q. Can you recall – can you	18 Partners, Mr. Morris?
19 approximate the year that you became the	19 MR. MORRIS: No.
20 treasurer of HCMS?	20 MS. DANDENEAU: Oh.
21 A. I don't – I don't know.	21 MR. MORRIS: He said he wasn't
22 Q. Are you still the treasurer of HCMS	22 familiar that it was succeeded by that
23 today?	23 entity. So –
24 A. I am the acting treasurer for HCMS.	24 MS. DANDENEAU: Okay.
25 Q. And are your duties and	25 MR. MORRIS: – let's go with what
Page 32	Page 33
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 the witness knows.	2 collectively as the retail funds; is that okay?
3 Q. You're familiar with an entity	3 A. Okay.
4 called HCRE Partners, LLC; correct?	4 Q. Each of the retail funds is governed
5 A. Yes.	5 by a board; correct?
6 Q. Okay. So that is the entity that we	6 A. Yes.
7 will refer to as HCRE. If you're aware of any	7 Q. And do you know the people who serve
8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just	8 on the boards of the retail funds?
9 define it as such.	9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE	10 A. I don't know all of them.
11 or any entity that you know to have succeeded	11 Q. Do you know whether the same people
12 HCRE?	12 serve on the board of each of the retail funds
13 A. No.	13 as we've defined that term?
14 Q. Did you ever serve as an officer or	14 A. Which – so when you say "retail
15 director of HCRE or any successor?	15 funds" – again, I want to be – what retail
16 A. Not that I recall.	16 funds are you referring to, because there are
17 Q. Okay. Can we refer to NexPoint and	17 – there are several distinctions?
18 HCMFA as the advisors?	18 What retail funds are you using when
19 A. Yes.	19 you refer to them?
20 Q. In general, the advisors provided	20 Q. That is why that is why I tried
<ul><li>20 Q. In general, the advisors provided</li><li>21 investment advisory services to certain retail</li></ul>	20 Q. That is why – that is why I tried 21 to define the terms. So let me do it again.
21 investment advisory services to certain retail	21 to define the terms. So let me do it again.
<ul><li>21 investment advisory services to certain retail</li><li>22 funds; correct?</li></ul>	<ul> <li>to define the terms. So let me do it again.</li> <li>Retail funds for the purposes of</li> <li>this deposition means any retail fund to which</li> <li>either of the advisors provides advisory</li> </ul>
<ul><li>21 investment advisory services to certain retail</li><li>22 funds; correct?</li><li>23 A. Yes.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>21 to define the terms. So let me do it again.</li> <li>22 Retail funds for the purposes of</li> <li>23 this deposition means any retail fund to which</li> </ul>

	Page 34			Page 35
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	U
2	A. Okay.	2	respect to each retail fund.	
3	Q. Okay. So do you know whether the	3	Actually, let's do it a different	
1	same people serve on the board of each of the	4	way. I withdraw the question.	
5	retail funds?	5	Can you give me one title you have	
6	A. I don't know.	6	in relation to any retail fund?	
7	Q. Were you ever employed by any of the	7	A. Yes.	
8	retail funds?	8	Q. What title – what title can you	
9	A. No.	9	give me?	
10	Q. No?	10	A. Principal executive officer.	
11	A. No.	11	Q. Do you serve as principal executive	
12	Q. Okay. Do you have any title with	12	officer for each of the retail funds?	
13	respect to any of the retail funds?	13	A. No.	
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. Can you identify for me the retail	
15	Q. What titles do you hold –	15	funds in which you serve as the principal	
16	withdrawn.	16	executive officer?	
17	Do you have the same titles with	17	A. Yes. Highland Funds 1, Highland	
18	respect to all of the retail funds or do	18	Funds 2, Highland Income Fund, Highland Global	
19	they – or just something else?	19	Allocation Fund.	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	20	Q. I'm sorry, you said "Global	
21	Q. Withdrawn.	21	Allocation Fund"?	
22	Do you have the same title with	22	A. Yes.	
23	respect to each of the retail funds?	23	VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me,	
24	A. No.	24	Mr. Morris. This is the videographer. I'm	
25	Q. Tell me which title you have with	25	concerned about the lighting in the	
	Page 36			Page 37
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	witness' camera.	2	A. 2021.	
3	Do you want to go off the record and	3	Q. Did you ever hold any title with	
4	make some adjustments?	4	respect to any of the four funds you have just	
5	MR. MORRIS: Sure, but just for this	5	identified other than principal executive	
6	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We	6	officer?	
7	just started.	7	A. I don't recall.	
8	MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine.	8	Q. Is it possible that you held a	
9	That is fine. We're going to put you on	9	position or a title with the four funds you	
10	mute.	10	just identified prior to 2021?	
11	MR. MORRIS: All right.	11	A. Yes.	
12	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to	12	Q. But you don't recall if you did or	
13	open up some of the shades.	13	not; do I have that right?	
14	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	14	A. No. You – I thought you asked, did	
15	record at 10:08 a.m.	15	I hold other titles.	
16	(Recess taken 10:08 a.m. to 10:11 a.m.)	16	Q. Did you hold any title at the four	
17	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	17	retail funds for which you now serve as	
18	record at 10:11 a.m.	18	principal executive officer at any time prior	
19	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, when did you become	19	to 2021?	
20	the principal executive officer of the four	20	A. Yes.	
21	retail funds that you just identified?	21	Q. What titles did you hold?	
22	A. I don't recall.	22	A. I don't recall all the titles.	
23	Q. Do you recall the approximate year	23	Q. Do you recall any of the titles?	
	that you became the principal executive officer	24	A. Yes.	
25	of the four funds?	25	Q. What titles do you recall holding at	
		1		

	Page 38			Page 39
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	those four retail funds before 2021?	2	treasurer of the advisors, you also serve as	
3	A. Principal executive officer.	3	the principal executive officer of these four	
4	Q. Were you the principal executive	4	retail funds; correct?	
5	officer of the four retail funds that you have	5	A. Yes.	
6	identified?	6	Q. Did you ever hold any title with	
7	A. Sorry, could you repeat the	7	respect to any other retail fund?	
8	question?	8	A. Not that I recall.	
9	Q. Were you the principal executive	9	Q. During the period that you served as	
10	officer for each of the four retail funds that	10	Highland's CFO, from time to time Highland	
11	you have identified?	11	loaned money to certain of its officers and	
12	A. Yes.	12	employees; correct?	
13	Q. When did you become the principal	13	A. Yes.	
14	executive – withdrawn.	14	Q. During the period that you served as	
15	Can you give me the approximate year	15	Highland's CFO, from time to time Highland	
16	that you became the principal executive officer	16	loaned money to certain -	
17	for each of the four retail funds you've	17	A. Let me – let me retract that,	
18	identified?	18	sorry, that – you asked during the time I was	
19	A. I don't recall.	19	CFO, Highland loaned moneys to employees. I	
20	Q. What are your duties and	20	don't – I don't recall that during my tenure	
21	responsibilities as the principal executive	21	of CFO.	
22	officer of these four retail funds?	22	Q. You have no recollection during the	
23	A. It is to manage the finance and	23	time that you were the CFO of Highland of	
24	accounting positions.	24	Highland ever loaning any money to any officer	
25	Q. So at the same time you serve as the	25	or director of Highland?	
	Page 40	4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 41
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't recall during my tenure of	1		
2		2	loaned money to certain corporate affiliates; correct?	
3	Highland or my – as CFO of Highland – yeah, if there are any loans as CFO of Highland.	3		
4		4	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. What are corporate affiliates?	
5	Q. I'm just talking about officers and employees right now. You have no recollection	5	<ul> <li>A. What are corporate attiliates?</li> <li>Q. How about the ones that are in</li> </ul>	
	of Highland ever making a loan to any of its	7	Highland's audited financial statements under	
	officers or employees during the time that you		the section entitled Loans to Affiliates. Why	
	served as CFO. Do I have that right?	8 0	don't we start with those. Do you have any	
9 10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	9 10	understanding of what the phrase "affiliates"	
11	A. So I thought you were saying	10	means?	
12	officers and employees as CFO, right, so there	12	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
12	were – I mean, okay, yes.	12	A. I understand what affiliates are,	
13	Q. I would ask you to listen carefully		yet affiliates can have different meanings in	
14	to my question. If I – if I'm not clear, let	14 15	different contexts, so	
1	me know, but I'm really trying to be as clear	15	Q. Why don't you – why don't you tell	
16   17	as I can.	17	me what your understanding of the term	
18	A. I'm listening as carefully as I can,	18	"affiliate" is in relation to Highland Capital	
10	and you are asking very specific questions in a	10	Management, L.P.	
20	timeline. And I'm trying to answer your	20	A. Is that a – it depends on the	
20	questions as specifically as I can, and I	20	context.	
1	apologize if – if I'm going back. I am – you	21	Q. How about the context of making	
22	are asking very specific questions. Thank you.	22	loans?	
23	Q. During the period that you served as	23 24		
24	Highland's CFO, from time to time Highland	24	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't make the determination of	
1 <sup>23</sup>		25		

Page 42		Page 43
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	i age 40
2 who an affiliate was or is at the time those –	2 definition –	
3 I didn't that wasn't my job to make a	3 Q. I said owned and/or controlled.	
4 determination of who an affiliate is.	4 A. I don't – again, I'm not – I'm not	
5 Q. All right. So as the CFO of	5 the legal expert. I don't think it controls -	
6 Highland, do you have any ability right now to	6 he controls Dugaboy, so again, I'm not the	
7 tell me which companies that were directly or	7 legal person.	
8 indirectly owned and/or controlled by	8 Q. I'm not asking you for a legal	
9 Mr. Dondero in whole or in part received loans	9 conclusion, sir. I'm asking you for your	
10 from Highland Capital Management, L.P.?	10 knowledge, okay, as the CFO – the former CFO	
11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	11 of Highland Capital Management, other than	
12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	12 NexPoint, HCMFA, and HCMF – HCMS, can you	
13 A. Yes.	13 think of any other entities that were owned	
14 Q. Okay. Identify every entity that	14 and/or controlled directly or indirectly in	
15 you can think of that was directly or	15 whole or in part by Jim Dondero who received a	
16 indirectly owned and/or controlled by	16 Ioan from Highland Capital Management, L.P.?	
17 Mr. Dondero in whole or in part that received a	17 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
18 Ioan from Highland Capital Management, L.P.	18 A. HCRE.	
19 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal	19 Q. Any others?	
20 conclusion.	20 A. That is – that is all I can think	
21 A. NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital	21 of.	
22 Management Fund Advisors, HCM Services,	22 Q. And you're aware that from time to	
23 Dugaboy. Sorry, I don't think – Dugaboy	23 time while you were the CFO, Highland loaned	
24 doesn't fit that definition. You said owned	24 money to Jim Dondero; correct?	
25 and controlled. I don't think that that	25 A. Yes.	
Page 44		Page 45
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four	2 identified tendered notes to Highland; correct?	
3 entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as	3 MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you	
4 the affiliates?	4 just give me a running objection to legal	
5 A. So that would be Jim Dondero,	5 conclusion to HCM	
6 NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management	6 MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to	
7 Fund Advisors, and HCRE.	7 object	
8 Q. And HCMS?	8 MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every	
9 A. And HCMS, okay.	9 time. Object to legal conclusion.	
10 Q. And can we refer to the loans that	10 MR. MORRIS: That is fine.	
11 were given to each of those affiliates as the	11 A. Sorry, can you repeat the question?	
12 affiliate loans?	12 Q. Are you aware that each of the –	
13 A. Yes.	13 that each of the affiliates, as we have defined	
14 Q. And is it fair to say that each of	14 the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in	
15 the affiliates were the borrowers under the	15 exchange for the loans?	
16 affiliate loans as we're defining the term?	16 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the	
17 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal	17 extent that calls for a legal conclusion.	
18 conclusion.	18 A. I don't.	
19 A. The borrowers are whoever were on	19 Q. No, you don't know that?	
20 the notes. I don't I don't know. I'm not	20 A. No, they didn't – you said they	
21 the legal person.	21 exchanged a promissory note for a loan. I	
22 Q. But you –	22 don't – I don't understand that question, so I	
23 A. I don't know.	23 said no.	
24 Q. You do know, as Highland's former	24 Q. At the time of the bankruptcy	
25 CFO, that each of the affiliates that you have	25 filing, did Highland have in its possession	

Page 46	P	age 47
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	uge +i
2 promissory notes that were signed by each of	2 state that now.	
3 the affiliates?	3 MS. DANDENEAU: You know, and,	
4 A. Yes.	4 Mr. Morris, I really object to that. I	
5 Q. To the best of your knowledge,	5 mean –	
6 during the time that you served as Highland's	6 MR. MORRIS: Okay.	
7 CFO, did Highland disclose to its outside	7 MS. DANDENEAU: – Mr. Waterhouse	
8 auditors all of the loans that were made to	8 just told you he's trying to listen to your	
9 affiliates?	9 questions and answer them carefully, and	
10 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, that calls	10 you have no basis for saying that.	
11 for a legal conclusion.	11 MR. MORRIS: Okay.	
12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I also couldn't	12 MS. DANDENEAU: This does not	
13 hear you, John, because there was some	13 this is not an experienced witness, so he's	
14 garbling on on the on the call.	14 trying to do the best he can.	
15 MR. MORRIS: Folks, I've got to tell	15 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, during the time that	
16 you this is not going well, and I'm	16 you served as Highland's CFO, did Highland	
17 reserving my right	17 disclose to its outside auditors all of the	
18 MS. DANDENEAU: John, it was just	18 loans that it made to each of the affiliates	
19 the end of that question. It was just the	19 that you have identified?	
20 end of that question. I couldn't hear it	20 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal	
21 either. Sorry, if you could repeat it,	21 conclusion.	
22 please.	22 A. Yes.	
23 MR. MORRIS: That is less than an	23 Q. To the best of your knowledge, while	
24 hour into this, but folks are trying to run	24 you were Highland's CFO, were all of the	
25 out the clock, and so I'm just going to	25 affiliate loans described in Highland's audited	
Page 48 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	age 49
2 financial statements?	2 conclusion.	
3 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal	3 A. Yes.	
4 conclusion.	4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that	
5 A. When an audit was performed, any	5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where	
6 loans that were made by Highland to the	6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in	
7 affiliates were disclosed to auditors.	7 return; is that fair?	
8 Q. Are you aware of any loan that was	8 A. Yes, I'm not aware.	
9 made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to	9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge,	
10 the auditors?	10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount	
11 A. I'm not aware.	11 of money equal to the principal amount of each	
12 Q. To the best of your knowledge, did	12 promissory note?	
13 each of the affiliates who were –	13 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal	
14 (inaudible) – loaned from Highland execute a	14 conclusion.	
15 promissory note in connection with that loan?	15 A. Yes.	
16 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal	16 Q. During the time that you served as	
17 conclusion.	17 CFO, did Highland ever loan money to	
18 A. Sorry, you – halfway through the	18 Mark Okada?	
19 question it got muffled.	19 A. I – I don't recall.	
20 Can you repeat that again?	20 Q. Did you ever see any promissory	
21 Q. To the best of your knowledge, did	21 notes executed by Mark Okada?	
22 every affiliate execute a promissory note in	22 A. I don't recall.	
23 connection with each loan that it obtained from	23 Q. Do you know if Highland ever forgave	
24 Highland?	24 any loan that it ever made to Mr. Okada?	
25 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal	25 A. I don't recall.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

Page 50		Page 51
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 51
2 Q. Do you recall if Mr. Okada paid back	2 loan?	
3 all principal and interest due and owing under	3 Q. Without giving a – let me ask a	
4 any loan he obtained from Highland?	4 better question. I'm sorry, Mr. Waterhouse.	
5 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	5 Are you aware of any loan that	
6 form.	6 Mr. Dondero obtained from Highland where he	
7 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	7 didn't give a promissory note in return?	
8 A. I don't recall.	8 A. I'm not aware.	
9 Q. Do you recall whether – during your	9 Q. During the time that you served as	
10 time as CFO, whether Highland ever loaned money	10 Highland's CFO, did Highland ever forgive any	
11 to Jim Dondero?	11 loans, in whole or in part, that it made to	
12 A. Yes.	12 Mr. Dondero?	
13 Q. To the best of your knowledge, did	13 A. Not that I'm aware.	
14 Mr. Dondero sign and deliver to Highland a	14 Q. At the time that you served as	
15 promissory note in connection with each loan	15 Highland's CFO, did Highland ever forgive any	
16 that he obtained from Highland?	16 loan, in whole or in part, that it made to any	
17 A. If you are referring to the	17 affiliate as we've defined the term today?	
18 promissory notes that, you know, part of	18 A. Not that I'm aware.	
19 Highland's records, yes.	19 Q. During the time that you served as	
20 Q. Okay. You're not aware of any loan	20 Highland's CFO, did Highland ever forgive, in	
21 that Mr. Dondero took from Highland that wasn't	21 whole or in part, any loan that it ever made to	
22 backed up by – by a promissory note with a	22 any officer or employee?	
23 face – with a principal amount equal to the	23 A. Highland forgave loans to officers	
24 amount of the loan; correct?	24 and employees. It may not have been at the	
25 A. Am I aware that Jim Dondero took a	25 time when my title was CFO.	
D 50		D 50
Page 52	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-10-21	Page 53
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 that were of - you know that were deemed	Page 53
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Okay. And so I appreciate the	2 that were of - you know, that were deemed	Page 53
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q.       Okay. And so I appreciate the         3       distinction.	<ul><li>2 that were of you know, that were deemed</li><li>3 immaterial, those items may not have been</li></ul>	Page 53
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 that were of - you know, that were deemed</li> <li>3 immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>4 disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> </ul>	Page 53
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>3 immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>4 disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>5 Q. I appreciate that.</li> </ul>	Page 53
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a</li> <li>loan that it made to an officer or employee</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>3 immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>4 disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>5 Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>6 Do you have an understanding as to</li> </ul>	Page 53
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a</li> <li>loan that it made to an officer or employee</li> <li>after 2013?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 that were of you know, that were deemed</li> <li>3 immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>4 disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>5 Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>6 Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>7 what the level of materiality was?</li> </ul>	Page 53
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a</li> <li>loan that it made to an officer or employee</li> <li>after 2013?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>3 immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>4 disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>5 Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>6 Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>7 what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>8 A. I don't recall.</li> </ul>	Page 53
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q.       Okay. And so I appreciate the         3       distinction.         4       Is it fair to say that, to the best         5       of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a         6       loan that it made to an officer or employee         7       after 2013?         8       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.         9       A.	<ul> <li>2 that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>3 immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>4 disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>5 Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>6 Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>7 what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>8 A. I don't recall.</li> <li>9 Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> </ul>	Page 53
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a</li> <li>loan that it made to an officer or employee</li> <li>after 2013?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its</li> </ul>	Page 53
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a</li> <li>loan that it made to an officer or employee</li> <li>after 2013?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its</li> <li>outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,</li> </ul>	Page 53
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a</li> <li>loan that it made to an officer or employee</li> <li>after 2013?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did</li> <li>Highland disclose to its auditors every</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its</li> <li>outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,</li> <li>in whole or in part, that was material as that</li> </ul>	Page 53
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the         3       distinction.         4       Is it fair to say that, to the best         5       of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a         6       loan that it made to an officer or employee         7       after 2013?         8       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.         9       A. I don't recall.         10       Q. To the best of your knowledge, did         11       Highland disclose to its auditors every         12       instance where it forgave, in whole or in part,         13       a loan that it had made to one of its officers	<ul> <li>that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its</li> <li>outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,</li> </ul>	Page 53
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a</li> <li>loan that it made to an officer or employee</li> <li>after 2013?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did</li> <li>Highland disclose to its auditors every</li> <li>instance where it forgave, in whole or in part,</li> <li>a loan that it had made to one of its officers</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its</li> <li>outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,</li> <li>in whole or in part, that was material as that</li> <li>term was defined by the outside auditors?</li> </ul>	Page 53
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a</li> <li>loan that it made to an officer or employee</li> <li>after 2013?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did</li> <li>Highland disclose to its auditors every</li> <li>instance where it forgave, in whole or in part,</li> <li>a loan that it had made to one of its officers</li> <li>or employees?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its</li> <li>outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,</li> <li>in whole or in part, that was material as that</li> <li>term was defined by the outside auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	Page 53
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the         3       distinction.         4       Is it fair to say that, to the best         5       of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a         6       loan that it made to an officer or employee         7       after 2013?         8       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.         9       A. I don't recall.         10       Q. To the best of your knowledge, did         11       Highland disclose to its auditors every         12       instance where it forgave, in whole or in part,         13       a loan that it had made to one of its officers         14       or employees?         15       A. No.	<ul> <li>that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its</li> <li>outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,</li> <li>in whole or in part, that was material as that</li> <li>term was defined by the outside auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall where – do you</li> </ul>	Page 53
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the         3       distinction.         4       Is it fair to say that, to the best         5       of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a         6       loan that it made to an officer or employee         7       after 2013?         8       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.         9       A. I don't recall.         10       Q. To the best of your knowledge, did         11       Highland disclose to its auditors every         12       instance where it forgave, in whole or in part,         13       a loan that it had made to one of its officers         14       or employees?         15       A. No.         16       Q. Can you think of – can you – can	<ul> <li>that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its</li> <li>outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,</li> <li>in whole or in part, that was material as that</li> <li>term was defined by the outside auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall where – do you</li> <li>recall where the definition of materiality can</li> </ul>	Page 53
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the         3       distinction.         4       Is it fair to say that, to the best         5       of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a         6       loan that it made to an officer or employee         7       after 2013?         8       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.         9       A. I don't recall.         10       Q. To the best of your knowledge, did         11       Highland disclose to its auditors every         12       instance where it forgave, in whole or in part,         13       a loan that it had made to one of its officers         14       or employees?         15       A. No.         16       Q. Can you think of can you can         17       you identify any loan to an officer or employee	<ul> <li>that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its</li> <li>outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,</li> <li>in whole or in part, that was material as that</li> <li>term was defined by the outside auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall where – do you</li> <li>recall where the definition of materiality can</li> <li>be found for – for this particular purpose?</li> </ul>	Page 53
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a</li> <li>loan that it made to an officer or employee</li> <li>after 2013?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did</li> <li>Highland disclose to its auditors every</li> <li>instance where it forgave, in whole or in part,</li> <li>a loan that it had made to one of its officers</li> <li>or employees?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Can you think of – can you – can</li> <li>you identify any loan to an officer or employee</li> <li>that was forgiven by Highland, in whole or in</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its</li> <li>outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,</li> <li>in whole or in part, that was material as that</li> <li>term was defined by the outside auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall where – do you</li> <li>recall where the definition of materiality can</li> <li>be found for – for this particular purpose?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ul>	Page 53
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a</li> <li>loan that it made to an officer or employee</li> <li>after 2013?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did</li> <li>Highland disclose to its auditors every</li> <li>instance where it forgave, in whole or in part,</li> <li>a loan that it had made to one of its officers</li> <li>or employees?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Can you think of can you can</li> <li>you identify any loan to an officer or employee</li> <li>that was forgiven by Highland, in whole or in</li> <li>part, that was not disclosed to Highland's</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its</li> <li>outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,</li> <li>in whole or in part, that was material as that</li> <li>term was defined by the outside auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall where – do you</li> <li>recall where the definition of materiality can</li> <li>be found for – for this particular purpose?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. No. You – I don't determine</li> </ul>	Page 53
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a</li> <li>loan that it made to an officer or employee</li> <li>after 2013?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did</li> <li>Highland disclose to its auditors every</li> <li>instance where it forgave, in whole or in part,</li> <li>a loan that it had made to one of its officers</li> <li>or employees?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Can you think of - can you - can</li> <li>you identify any loan to an officer or employee</li> <li>that was forgiven by Highland, in whole or in</li> <li>part, that was not disclosed to Highland's</li> <li>outside auditors?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its</li> <li>outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,</li> <li>in whole or in part, that was material as that</li> <li>term was defined by the outside auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall where – do you</li> <li>recall where the definition of materiality can</li> <li>be found for – for this particular purpose?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. No. You – I don't determine</li> <li>materiality.</li> </ul>	Page 53
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a</li> <li>loan that it made to an officer or employee</li> <li>after 2013?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did</li> <li>Highland disclose to its auditors every</li> <li>instance where it forgave, in whole or in part,</li> <li>a loan that it had made to one of its officers</li> <li>or employees?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Can you think of - can you - can</li> <li>you identify any loan to an officer or employee</li> <li>that was forgiven by Highland, in whole or in</li> <li>part, that was not disclosed to Highland's</li> <li>outside auditors?</li> <li>A. Look, I don't recall all of the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its</li> <li>outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,</li> <li>in whole or in part, that was material as that</li> <li>term was defined by the outside auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall where – do you</li> <li>recall where the definition of materiality can</li> <li>be found for – for this particular purpose?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. No. You – I don't determine</li> <li>materiality.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking you if you</li> </ul>	Page 53
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a</li> <li>loan that it made to an officer or employee</li> <li>after 2013?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did</li> <li>Highland disclose to its auditors every</li> <li>instance where it forgave, in whole or in part,</li> <li>a loan that it had made to one of its officers</li> <li>or employees?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Can you think of – can you – can</li> <li>you identify any loan to an officer or employee</li> <li>that was forgiven by Highland, in whole or in</li> <li>part, that was not disclosed to Highland's</li> <li>outside auditors?</li> <li>A. Look, I don't recall all of the</li> <li>loans and the loan forgiveness. I just know as</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its</li> <li>outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,</li> <li>in whole or in part, that was material as that</li> <li>term was defined by the outside auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall where – do you</li> <li>recall where the definition of materiality can</li> <li>be found for – for this particular purpose?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. No. You – I don't determine</li> <li>materiality.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking you if you</li> <li>can help me understand where it is, but I think</li> </ul>	Page 53
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the</li> <li>distinction.</li> <li>Is it fair to say that, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a</li> <li>loan that it made to an officer or employee</li> <li>after 2013?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did</li> <li>Highland disclose to its auditors every</li> <li>instance where it forgave, in whole or in part,</li> <li>a loan that it had made to one of its officers</li> <li>or employees?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Can you think of – can you – can</li> <li>you identify any loan to an officer or employee</li> <li>that was forgiven by Highland, in whole or in</li> <li>part, that was not disclosed to Highland's</li> <li>outside auditors?</li> <li>A. Look, I don't recall all of the</li> <li>loans and the loan forgiveness. I just know as</li> <li>part of the audit process there is a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>that were of – you know, that were deemed</li> <li>immaterial, those items may not have been</li> <li>disclosed by the team to the auditors.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that.</li> <li>Do you have an understanding as to</li> <li>what the level of materiality was?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best</li> <li>of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its</li> <li>outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,</li> <li>in whole or in part, that was material as that</li> <li>term was defined by the outside auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall where – do you</li> <li>recall where the definition of materiality can</li> <li>be found for – for this particular purpose?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. No. You – I don't determine</li> <li>materiality.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking you if you</li> <li>can help me understand where it is, but I think</li> <li>we will find it in a few minutes.</li> </ul>	Page 53

Page 54	Pa	age 55
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	-
2 affiliates, as we've defined the term, to	2 Mr. Dondero we will call Mr. Dondero. Okay?	
3 collect under certain promissory notes; is that	3 A. Okay. Thank you. As you can see,	
4 right?	4 Mr. Morris, there is a lot of entities – a lot	
5 A. Yes.	5 here. I just want to be clear.	
6 Q. And are you familiar with the notes	6 Q. Okay. Now, the affiliates of	
7 that are issue – at issue in the lawsuits?	7 Mr. Dondero signed promissory notes that are	
8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	8 not subject to the lawsuit.	
9 A. Generally familiar.	9 Do you understand that?	
10 Q. Can we refer to the lawsuits that	10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
11 Highland has commenced against the affiliates	11 A. The affiliates and Mr. Dondero	
12 collectively as the lawsuits?	12 signed –	
13 A. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are	13 Q. You know what? I will skip it.	
14 NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE.	14 That is okay. Okay.	
15 Q. And Mr. Dondero?	15 From time to time while you were	
16 A. Okay. See, that is a new – and now	16 Highland's CFO, payments were applied against	
17 Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate	17 principal and interests that were due under the	
18 definition.	18 notes that were tendered by the affiliates and	
19 Q. Ijust-	19 Mr. Dondero; correct?	
20 A. I thought affiliates – I thought	20 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the	
21 affiliates were just the four prior entities,	21 extent that calls for a legal conclusion.	
22 so I just want to be clear.	22 A. Yes.	
23 Q. I appreciate that. So let's	23 Q. Did Highland have a process where –	
24 let's keep them separate and let's refer to the	24 whereby payments would be applied against	
25 four corporate entities as the affiliates, and	25 principal and interest against the notes that	
Page 56 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		age 57
<ul><li>2 were given by the affiliates and Mr. Dondero?</li><li>3 A. Yes.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>A. Did I approve the payments? I</li> <li>3 approve – I approve – if there was cash – if</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A. The process, payment should be</li> <li>6 applied as laid out in the – in the promissory</li> </ul>	<ul><li>5 yes, I approved in the general sense of being</li><li>6 made aware of the payment and the amount.</li></ul>	
7 note.	7 Q. And are you the person who	
8 Q. From time to time were payments made	8 authorized Highland's employees to effectuate	
9 that were not required under the promissory 10 notes?	9 those payments? 10 A. Yes.	
11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 12 A. Yes.	<ol> <li>Q. When you gave the instruction to</li> <li>effectuate the payment, did you obtain</li> </ol>	
14 when and how much the payments would be made	14 A. I mean, it – I mean, it – it	
15 with respect to each of the notes that were 16 issued by the affiliates and Mr. Dondero?	15 depends.	
16 issued by the affiliates and Mr. Dondero?	16 Q. Can you think of any instance where	
17 A. Who was responsible for deciding how	17 you directed Highland's employees to make a	
18 much was paid prior to the due date?	18 payment of principal or interest against any	
19 Q. Yes.	19 note that was tendered by an affiliate or 20. Mr. Denders that Mr. Denders did not approve of	
20 A. I don't know.	20 Mr. Dondero that Mr. Dondero did not approve of	
21 Q. Did you approve of each payment that	21 in advance?	
22 was made against principal and interest on the	22 A. I can't recall specifically.	
23 notes that were given by the affiliates and	23 Q. Can you identify – withdrawn.	
<ul><li>24 Mr. Dondero?</li><li>25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li></ul>	24 Did Mr. Dondero ever tell you that a	
25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	25 payment that was made against principal and	

Page 5	8	Page 59
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 490 00
2 interest due under one of the notes that was	2 A. I don't recall specifically.	
3 tendered by an affiliate or himself should not	3 Q. Do you recall what year it was?	
4 have been made?	4 A. Yes.	
5 A. Yes.	5 Q. What year did the conversation with	
6 Q. Can you identify the payment for me?	6 Mr. Dondero take place that you just described?	
7 A. It would be for – for NexPoint	7 A. 2020.	
8 Advisors.	8 Q. Okay. Do you remember if it was	
9 Q. Okay. And when did Mr. Dondero tell	9 December 2020?	
10 you that a payment that you had initiated on	10 A. It – it – I don't – I don't	
11 behalf of NexPoint should not have been made?	11 recall what month specifically, but it would	
12 A. I wasn't initiating payment. It was	12 have been November or December.	
13 in the context of the – I think you used this	13 Q. And we're talking here about a	
14 term, "the advisors," so NexPoint Advisors and	14 payment of principal and/or interest that was	
15 Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors had	15 due – withdrawn.	
16 overpaid on certain agreements with Highland	16 We're talking here about a payment	
17 Capital Management, L.P. And as a part of that	17 of principal and interest that was applied	
18 process, the advisors – what I was told at the	18 against NexPoint's note; correct?	
19 time were in talks and negotiations and	19 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
20 discussions with Highland Capital Management,	20 A. I don't recall what that payment	
21 L.P., on offsets in relation to those	21 consisted of.	
22 overpayments.	22 Q. Is it possible that the payment you	
23 Q. When did this conversation take	23 have in mind related to the shared services	
24 place?	24 agreement?	
25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
Page 6		Page 61
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 A. No.	2 A. Yes, generally.	
3 Q. Are you certain that the payment –	3 Q. Can you identify any loan that was	
4 that the payment that you have in mind related	4 ever made to an affiliate or to Mr. Dondero	
5 to the promissory note that NexPoint issued in	5 that Mr. Dondero did not approve of in advance?	
6 favor of Highland?	6 A. Other than the ones that are in	
7 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	7 dispute, I'm not aware.	
8 A. Yes.	8 Q. Do you believe that Mr. Dondero did	
9 Q. Okay. Other than that one payment,	9 not approve of each of the loans that are in	
10 can you identify any other instance where	10 dispute in advance of the time that the loan	
11 Mr. Dondero told you that a payment should not	11 was made?	
12 have been applied against principal and	12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
13 interest under any promissory note tendered by	13 A. Given what is in the dispute, you	
14 any affiliate or Mr. Dondero?	14 know, and – and – and the way things might –	
15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	15 yeah, I mean	
16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	16 Q. I am not asking about the dispute,	
17 form.	17 and it was probably my mistake to follow you	
18 A. Not that I recall.	18 there.	
19 Q. Thank you very much.	19 Were you aware of every loan made by	
20 Do you know if Mr. Dondero approved	20 Highland to each of its affiliates and	
21 in advance of each loan made to each affiliate	21 Mr. Dondero while you were the CFO at the time	
22 and himself during the time that you were the	22 each loan was made?	
23 CFO?	A. Was I aware of every loan, yes.	
24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	24 Q. Okay. And if you put yourself back	
25 form.	25 in time, do you recall that any of the loans	

Pa	e 62		Page 63
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	r age oo
2 that were made to one of the affiliates or	2 th	at was made against principal and interest on	
3 Mr. Dondero during the time that you were the	3 ar	y note tendered by any affiliate or	
4 CFO was made without Mr. Dondero's prior	4 M	. Dondero that you didn't know about in	
5 knowledge and approval?	5 ac	vance?	
6 A. Not that I recall.	6	A. I don't recall.	
7 Q. Thank you. In fact, do you – as	7	Q. Other than Mr. Dondero – withdrawn.	
8 the CFO, would you have allowed Highland to	8	Did anybody at Highland have the	
9 loan money to an affiliate or to Mr. Dondero	9 au	thority to make a payment against principal	
10 without obtaining Mr. Dondero's prior approval?	10 ai	nd interest due under a loan given to the	
11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	11 at	filiates and Mr. Dondero without your	
12 A. I can't there was so many times	12 ki	nowledge and approval?	
13 over the years, I can't speak for every single	13	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
14 one, but generally, yes, I I spoke to him.	14	A. Sorry, there was to make a	
15 Q. You you never you never	15 pa	ayment on an affiliate loan, what you are	
16 withdrawn. I will just take that.	16 sa	aying would it require my knowledge and	
17 Can you recall any payment that was	17 a	pproval, yes.	
18 ever made against principal and interest on a	18	Q. Okay. I appreciate that. Thank	
19 note that was issued in favor of Highland by an	19 yo	bu.	
20 affiliate or Mr. Dondero that you personally	20	Did anybody at Highland have the	
21 did not know about in advance?	21 a	uthority, to the best of your knowledge, to	
A. There are so many through the years,	22 ef	fectuate a loan to an affiliate without	
23 I don't – I don't – I don't recall every	23 N	r. Dondero's prior knowledge and approval?	
24 single one.	24	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
25 Q. Okay. Can you identify any payment	25	A. I can't speak for all, but	
Pa	e 64		Page 65
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 generally, yes.	2	A. Not that I recall.	
3 Q. Did you personally communicate with	3	Q. Are you aware that Mr. Dondero and	
4 Mr. Dondero to let him know each time a payment		e affiliates – withdrawn.	
5 of principal or interest was being made against	5	Are you aware that Mr. Dondero	
6 any note that was tendered by an affiliate or		exPoint, HCRE, and HCMS all contend that they	
7 Mr. Dondero to Highland?		not have to pay on any of the notes they	
8 A. I don't – are you saying, did I let		sued because they are subject to an oral	
9 Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any		reement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy	
10 affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean,		ondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the	
11 not – not every – no.		ugaboy Investment Trust?	
12 Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you	12	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
13 have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when	13	A. I didn't – I didn't – I didn't	
14 payments were made against principal and 15 interest on any note that was tendered by an	14 ki   15	now that it was all notes.	
<ul><li>15 interest on any note that was tendered by an</li><li>16 affiliate or Mr. Dondero?</li></ul>		Q. Okay. Are you – did you ever learn at there was an oral agreement between Jim	
		ondero and Nancy Dondero pertaining to any	
17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to 18 form.		ondero and Nancy Dondero penaining to any other sissued by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero?	
19 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	10 10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
20 A. No, I did not.	20	form.	
21 Q. Did Mr. Dondero ever tell you that a	20	A. Yes.	
22 payment of principal or interest had been made	21	Q. Do you have any understanding as to	
23 against a note that was tendered by an		e terms of that agreement?	
24 affiliate or himself that he had been unaware	23 u 24	A. Yes.	
25 of?	24	Q. What is your understanding of the	

Page 6		Page 67
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	i ago oi
2 terms of the agreement?	2 Q. Do you know anything about – do you	
3 A. That there were certain milestones	3 know what promissory notes the agreement	
4 that had to be reached.	4 covered?	
5 Q. Do you have any understanding of the	5 A. I don't.	
6 terms of the agreement between Mr. Dondero and	6 Q. Do you know if – if Jim and Nancy	
7 Nancy Dondero concerning any of the notes	7 Dondero entered into one agreement or more than	
8 issued by the affiliates or Mr. Dondero other	8 one agreement?	
9 than that there have to be milestones reached?	9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	10 form.	
11 form.	11 A. I don't know.	
12 A. There are milestones, I found out	12 Q. Do you know if the agreement is in	
13 yesterday, or there was some –	13 writing?	
14 MS. DANDENEAU: Okay. I'm just	14 A. I don't know.	
15 going to object to the extent that you	15 Q. How did you learn of the existence	
16 learned anything in conversations with	16 of the agreement?	
17 counsel, please don't reveal – that is	17 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
18 privileged, and don't reveal any privileged	18 Again –	
19 communications.	19 A. I don't – I don't recall who told	
20 THE WITNESS: Okay.	20 me.	
A. So I'm not aware of anything else.	21 Q. You have no recollection of who told	
22 Q. Do you know what the milestones	22 you about this agreement between Jim and Nancy	
23 were?	23 Dondero?	
24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
25 A. I don't.	25 form.	
Page 6		D 00
		Page 69
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 69
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A.I don't recall.	1         WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21           2         where you understood that certain milestones	Page 69
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A.I don't recall.3Q.Do you recall how you learned of the	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> </ol>	Page 69
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>4 agreement?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ol>	Page 69
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>4 agreement?</li> <li>5 Was it in a meeting? Was it in a</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> </ol>	Page 69
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>Was it in a meeting? Was it in a</li> <li>phone call? Was it in an email?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> </ol>	Page 69
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>Was it in a meeting? Was it in a</li> <li>phone call? Was it in an email?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> </ol>	Page og
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>Was it in a meeting? Was it in a</li> <li>phone call? Was it in an email?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall when you learned of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> </ol>	Page 69
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>Was it in a meeting? Was it in a</li> <li>phone call? Was it in an email?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall when you learned of</li> <li>the agreement?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> </ol>	Page 69
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>Was it in a meeting? Was it in a</li> <li>phone call? Was it in an email?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall when you learned of</li> <li>the agreement?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> <li>what is the objection.</li> </ol>	Page 69
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>Was it in a meeting? Was it in a</li> <li>phone call? Was it in an email?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall when you learned of</li> <li>the agreement?</li> <li>A. Not specifically.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> <li>what is the objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection –</li> </ol>	Page 69
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A.       I don't recall.         3       Q.       Do you recall how you learned of the         4       agreement?         5       Was it in a meeting? Was it in a         6       phone call? Was it in an email?         7       A.       I don't recall.         8       Q.       Do you recall when you learned of         9       the agreement?         10       A.       Not specifically.         11       Q.       Do you recall what year you learned	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> <li>what is the objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will</li> </ol>	Page 69
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A.       I don't recall.         3       Q.       Do you recall how you learned of the         4       agreement?         5       Was it in a meeting? Was it in a         6       phone call? Was it in an email?         7       A.       I don't recall.         8       Q.       Do you recall when you learned of         9       the agreement?         10       A.       Not specifically.         11       Q.       Do you recall what year you learned         12       of the agreement?         13       A.       In look, I mean, there are so	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> <li>what is the objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will</li> <li>move on.</li> </ol>	Page os
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>Was it in a meeting? Was it in a</li> <li>phone call? Was it in an email?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall when you learned of</li> <li>the agreement?</li> <li>A. Not specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall what year you learned</li> <li>of the agreement?</li> <li>A. In – look, I mean, there are so</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> <li>what is the objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will</li> <li>move on.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John –</li> </ol>	Page os
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>Was it in a meeting? Was it in a</li> <li>phone call? Was it in an email?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall when you learned of</li> <li>the agreement?</li> <li>A. Not specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall what year you learned</li> <li>of the agreement?</li> <li>A. In – look, I mean, there are so</li> <li>many notes. I may be getting – I believe it</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> <li>what is the objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will</li> <li>move on.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John –</li> <li>Q. Sir, are you okay with that</li> </ol>	Page os
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. I don't recall.         3       Q. Do you recall how you learned of the         4       agreement?         5       Was it in a meeting? Was it in a         6       phone call? Was it in an email?         7       A. I don't recall.         8       Q. Do you recall when you learned of         9       the agreement?         10       A. Not specifically.         11       Q. Do you recall what year you learned         12       of the agreement?         13       A. In look, I mean, there are so         14       many notes. I may be getting – I believe it         15       was 2020.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> <li>what is the objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will</li> <li>move on.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John –</li> <li>Q. Sir, are you okay with that</li> <li>definition of agreement?</li> </ol>	Page os
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>Was it in a meeting? Was it in a</li> <li>phone call? Was it in an email?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall when you learned of</li> <li>the agreement?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall when you learned of</li> <li>the agreement?</li> <li>A. Not specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall what year you learned</li> <li>of the agreement?</li> <li>A. In – look, I mean, there are so</li> <li>many notes. I may be getting – I believe it</li> <li>was 2020.</li> <li>Q. All right. I'm not asking about</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> <li>what is the objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will</li> <li>move on.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John –</li> <li>Q. Sir, are you okay with that</li> <li>definition of agreement?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ol>	Page os
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. I don't recall.         3       Q. Do you recall how you learned of the         4       agreement?         5       Was it in a meeting? Was it in a         6       phone call? Was it in an email?         7       A. I don't recall.         8       Q. Do you recall when you learned of         9       the agreement?         10       A. Not specifically.         11       Q. Do you recall what year you learned         12       of the agreement?         13       A. In look, I mean, there are so         14       many notes. I may be getting I believe it         15       was 2020.         16       Q. All right. I'm not asking about         17       notes, sir. I'm asking about the agreement	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> <li>what is the objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will</li> <li>move on.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John –</li> <li>Q. Sir, are you okay with that</li> <li>definition of agreement?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't recall who –</li> </ol>	Page os
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. I don't recall.         3       Q. Do you recall how you learned of the         4       agreement?         5       Was it in a meeting? Was it in a         6       phone call? Was it in an email?         7       A. I don't recall.         8       Q. Do you recall when you learned of         9       the agreement?         10       A. Not specifically.         11       Q. Do you recall what year you learned         12       of the agreement?         13       A. In look, I mean, there are so         14       many notes. I may be getting – I believe it         15       was 2020.         16       Q. All right. I'm not asking about         17       notes, sir. I'm asking about the agreement         18       that you testified you knew about between Jim	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> <li>what is the objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will</li> <li>move on.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John –</li> <li>Q. Sir, are you okay with that</li> <li>definition of agreement?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't recall who –</li> <li>who informed you of the existence of the</li> </ol>	Page os
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>Was it in a meeting? Was it in a</li> <li>phone call? Was it in an email?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall when you learned of</li> <li>the agreement?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall when you learned of</li> <li>the agreement?</li> <li>A. Not specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall what year you learned</li> <li>of the agreement?</li> <li>A. In – look, I mean, there are so</li> <li>many notes. I may be getting – I believe it</li> <li>was 2020.</li> <li>Q. All right. I'm not asking about</li> <li>notes, sir. I'm asking about the agreement</li> <li>that you testified you knew about between Jim</li> <li>and Don- – Nancy Dondero. Okay.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> <li>what is the objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will</li> <li>move on.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John –</li> <li>Q. Sir, are you okay with that</li> <li>definition of agreement?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't recall who –</li> <li>who informed you of the existence of the</li> <li>agreement; is that right?</li> </ol>	Page os
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>Was it in a meeting? Was it in a</li> <li>phone call? Was it in an email?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall when you learned of</li> <li>the agreement?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall when you learned of</li> <li>the agreement?</li> <li>A. Not specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall what year you learned</li> <li>of the agreement?</li> <li>A. In – look, I mean, there are so</li> <li>many notes. I may be getting – I believe it</li> <li>was 2020.</li> <li>Q. All right. I'm not asking about</li> <li>notes, sir. I'm asking about the agreement</li> <li>that you testified you knew about between Jim</li> <li>and Don – Nancy Dondero. Okay.</li> <li>Do you understand my question now?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> <li>what is the objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will</li> <li>move on.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John –</li> <li>Q. Sir, are you okay with that</li> <li>definition of agreement?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't recall who –</li> <li>who informed you of the existence of the</li> <li>agreement; is that right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> </ol>	Page os
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>Was it in a meeting? Was it in a</li> <li>phone call? Was it in an email?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall when you learned of</li> <li>the agreement?</li> <li>A. Not specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall what year you learned</li> <li>of the agreement?</li> <li>A. In – look, I mean, there are so</li> <li>many notes. I may be getting – I believe it</li> <li>was 2020.</li> <li>Q. All right. I'm not asking about</li> <li>notes, sir. I'm asking about the agreement</li> <li>that you testified you knew about between Jim</li> <li>and Don- – Nancy Dondero. Okay.</li> <li>Do you understand my question now?</li> <li>Should I ask my question again?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> <li>what is the objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will</li> <li>move on.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John –</li> <li>Q. Sir, are you okay with that</li> <li>definition of agreement?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't recall who –</li> <li>who informed you of the existence of the</li> <li>agreement; is that right?</li> <li>Q. You don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't recall who told you the</li> </ol>	Page os
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>Was it in a meeting? Was it in a</li> <li>phone call? Was it in an email?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall when you learned of</li> <li>the agreement?</li> <li>A. Not specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall what year you learned</li> <li>of the agreement?</li> <li>A. In – look, I mean, there are so</li> <li>many notes. I may be getting – I believe it</li> <li>was 2020.</li> <li>Q. All right. I'm not asking about</li> <li>notes, sir. I'm asking about the agreement</li> <li>that you testified you knew about between Jim</li> <li>and Don – Nancy Dondero. Okay.</li> <li>Do you understand my question now?</li> <li>Should I ask my question again?</li> <li>A. Yeah, sure. Go ahead.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> <li>what is the objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will</li> <li>move on.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John –</li> <li>Q. Sir, are you okay with that</li> <li>definition of agreement?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't recall who –</li> <li>who informed you of the existence of the</li> <li>agreement; is that right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't recall who told you the</li> <li>terms of the agreement.</li> </ol>	Page os
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall how you learned of the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>Was it in a meeting? Was it in a</li> <li>phone call? Was it in an email?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall when you learned of</li> <li>the agreement?</li> <li>Do you recall when you learned of</li> <li>the agreement?</li> <li>A. Not specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall what year you learned</li> <li>of the agreement?</li> <li>A. In – look, I mean, there are so</li> <li>many notes. I may be getting – I believe it</li> <li>was 2020.</li> <li>Q. All right. I'm not asking about</li> <li>notes, sir. I'm asking about the agreement</li> <li>that you testified you knew about between Jim</li> <li>and Don- – Nancy Dondero. Okay.</li> <li>Do you understand my question now?</li> <li>Should I ask my question again?</li> <li>A. Yeah, sure. Go ahead.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to use the word</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>where you understood that certain milestones</li> <li>had to be reached. Okay?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term,</li> <li>what is the objection.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will</li> <li>move on.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John –</li> <li>Q. Sir, are you okay with that</li> <li>definition of agreement?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So you don't recall who –</li> <li>who informed you of the existence of the</li> <li>agreement; is that right?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. You don't recall who told you the</li> <li>terms of the agreement.</li> <li>23 Do I have that right?</li> </ol>	Page os

1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       about the agreement in a meeting, through an       3       A       I don't remember the – I mean, it         3       email, or through a phone call.       3       was sometime in 2021. I don't remember when.         4       Do I have that right?       4       0. All right. So to the best of your         5       A. I don't recall.       5       recollection, it was in 2021 but you don't         6       Q. Can you tell me when you learned of       6       recall if it was before or after you ceased to         7       the agreement?       7       be a Highland employee.         8       A. I don't – I don't       9       A. Yeah, I mean, it was         9       remember specifically.       9       A. Yeah, I mean, it was – it was         10       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       10       likely after I was – you know, the         13       A. It would have been – it would have       13       communications with Mr. Dondero were – were –         14       been after.       14       were – there weren't as many communications         15       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       15       because of the circumstances.         17       2020?       17       that it is most likely that		Page 70			Page 71
3         assometime in 2021. Idon't remember when.           4         Do Ihave that right?         4         Q. All right. So b the best of your           6         Q. Canyou tell me when you learned of         5         recollection, It was in 2021 but you don't           6         Q. Canyou tell me when you learned of         6         recollection, It was in 2021 but you don't           7         the agreement?         7         be a Height and employee.           8         A. I don't - I don't         8         Do I have that right?           9         remember specifically.         9         A. Yeah, I mean, It was – it was           10         RC anyou tell me if you learned of         10         likely definit was – after if Heightand           11         the agreement before or after the petton         11         because, if I put mysel black into the last           12         date?         12         date?         12         date?           12         date?         16         C. Anyou tell me if you learned of         15         because of the circumstances.           14         been after.         18         agreement someter after you eff Hightand         19         ent agreement before or after you eff Hightand           19         Q. Canyou tell me if you learned of         15         bec	1		1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	i ago i i
4       Do Inave final right?       4       Q. Alfright. So to the best of your         5       A. Idon't necall.       5       recollection, it was in 2021 but you don't         6       Q. Cany ou lell me when you learned of       7       recollection, it was in 2021 but you don't         7       the agreement?       7       be a Highland employee.         8       A. Idon't - Idon't - Idon't       8       Do I have that right?         9       remember specifically.       9       A. Yeah, I mean, it was = it was         10       Q. Canyou tell me thy ou learned of       10       likely after i was - after Hel Highland         11       the agreement before or after the petition       11       because of the circumstances.         13       A. It would have been - It would have       13       communications with Mr. Dondero were - were -         14       been after.       14       were - here werent as many communications         15       Q. Canyou tell me tif you learned of       15       because of the circumstances.         16       the agreement before or after you left Highland       20       A. It would have been after.         19       Q. Canyou tell me tiyou learned of       21       were -       21         10       the agreement before or after you left Highland and	2	about the agreement in a meeting, through an	2	A. I don't remember the I mean, it	
5       A. I don't recail       5       recail if it was before or after you ceased to         6       Q. Can you tell me when you learned of       7       be a Highliand employee.         8       A. I don't – I don't       8       Do I have that right?         9       remember specifically.       9       A. Yeah, I mean, it was – it was         10       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       10       likely after I was – after I left Highland         11       the agreement before or after the petiton       11       because, if I put myself back into the last         12       date?       12       date?       12       date?         12       date?       12       date?       12       date?         12       date?       12       date?       12       date?         13       A. It would have been – It would have       13       communications with Mr. Dondero were – were –         14       been after.       18       agreement before or after January 9th,       16       0. And so based on that you believe         17       2020?       17       that its most likely that you learned of       19       employment?         10       De lawe that right?       21       keely?       10       Not       10	3	email, or through a phone call.	3	was sometime in 2021. I don't remember when.	
6       C. Can you tell me when you learned of       7 the agreement?       7 the agreement?         8       A. I dort - I dort - I dort       8       Do I have that right?         9       remember specifically.       9       A. Yeah, I mean, It was - it was         10       Q. Canyou tell me if you learned of       10       Ikely after I was - it was         11       the agreement before or after the petition       11       because. If I put mysel back hot the last         12       date?       12       days of - d' 2021, it was - you know, the         13       A. It would have been -it would have       13       communications with Mr. Dondero were - were -         14       been after.       14       were - there werent as many communications         15       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       15       because of the circumstances.         16       the agreement before or after you learned of       19       end would have been after.         19       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       19       end would have been after.         10       dargement sometime after you learned of       20       A. I would have been after.         10       Q. Drid you tell and you you learned of       21       likely. "I doort treadil specifically." I doort         21       A. I doort teadil specifical	4	Do I have that right?	4	Q. All right. So to the best of your	
7       the a Highland employee.         8       A         8       A         9       Canyou kell me if you kenned of         10       Q. Canyou kell me if you kenned of         11       the agreement before or after the petition         12       date?         13       A. It would have been – it would have         14       been after.         15       Q. Can you kell me if you learned of         16       the agreement before or after damuary 8th,         16       the agreement before or after damuary 8th,         17       20207         17       that its most likely hat you learned of         18       A. It would have been after.         19       Q. Can you kell me if you learned of         17       20207         10       the agreement before or after damuary 9th,         16       G. anyou kell me if you learned of         19       Q. Can you kell me if you learned of         10       D. anyou kell me if you kerned of         12       Captal Maragement in February of 2021?         13       A. I dont1 – I don't how.         14       Ukney you were a Highland employee.         15       Do I have that right?         16	5	A. I don't recall.	5	recollection, it was in 2021 but you don't	
8       Â I don't - I don't - I don't       8       Do I have that high?         9       remember specifically.       9       A. Yeah, Innean, It was - It was         10       Q. Can you tell me flyou learned of       10       bickey after 1 was - after 1 left Highland         11       the agreement before or after the petition       11       because, II put myself back into the last         12       date?       12       date?       12       date?         13       A. Itwould have been - it would have       13       communications with Mr. Dondero were - were -         14       been after.       16       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       15       because of the oircumstances.         16       the agreement before or after you left Highland       19       employment?         20       A. Itwould have bee after.       18       agreement sometime after you left Highland         10       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       19       employment?         21       capital Management in February of 2021?       21       likely.*I don't recall specifically. I don't         22       A. I don't - I don't how.       22       recall       maser?         23       Q. Di have that right?       25       A. No, I don't - I didn't tell         1       WATERHO	6	Q. Can you tell me when you learned of	6	recall if it was before or after you ceased to	
9       remember specifically.       9       A       Yeah, I mean, I was - It was         10       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       10       likely after I was - after I telt Highland         12       date?       12       days of - of 2021, It was - you know, the         13       A. It would have been - it would have       13       can you tell me if you learned of       15         14       been after.       14       were - there weren'ta s many communications         15       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       15       because of the circumstances.         16       the agreement before or after January 9th,       16       C. And so based on that you believe         17       20207       7       17 that it is most likely that you learned of       19       employment?         10       C. Can you tell me if you learned of       19       employment?       20       A       I would'n tuse the term "most         11       Capital Management in February of 2021?       21       likely." I don't recall specifically. I don't         20       A. I thorit - I don't know.       22       recall.       23       O. Do you recall ever telling Jim Seery         24       it while you were a Highland employee.       24       at 11:02 you time.       4       IDEOGRAPHER: Were going of the </td <td>7</td> <td>the agreement?</td> <td>7</td> <td>be a Highland employee.</td> <td></td>	7	the agreement?	7	be a Highland employee.	
10       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       10       likely after 1 was - after 1 left Highland         11       the agreement before or after the petition       11       been after.       12       days - of 2021, 1 was - you know, the         13       A       It would have been - it would have       13       communications with Mr. Dondero were - were -         14       been after.       14       were - there werent as many communications         15       Ca. Can you tell me if you learned of       15       because of the ionizunstances.         16       the agreement before or after January 9th,       16       Q. And so based on that you believe         17       2020?       17       that its most likely first you learned of       19       employment?         10       the agreement before or after you left Highland       19       employment?       21       likely.'I don't recall specifically. I don't         21       capial Management in Fehruary of 2021?       21       likely.'I don't recall specifically. I don't         23       Q. It is possible that you learned of       23       Q. Do you recal ever telling Jim Seery       24         24       twolidy owere a Highland employee.       24       about this agreement?       Page 72         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 72       1	8	A. I don't – I don't – I don't	8	Do I have that right?	
11       the agreement before or after the petition       11       because, if I put myself back into the last         12       date?       12       days of - of 2021, it was - you know, the         13       A. It would have been - it would have       13       communications with Mr. Dondero were - were -         14       been after.       14       were - there weren't as many communications         15       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       15       because of the circumstances.         16       the agreement before or after you left Highland       20       A. It would have been after.         19       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       19       employment?         20       the agreement before or after you left Highland       20       A. It would'h use the term 'most         21       capital Management in February of 2021?       21       likely." I don't recall specifically. I don't         22       A. I don't - I don't know.       22       recall.       23       O. Do you recall ever telling Jim Seary         24       tiwlike you were a Highland employee.       24       about this agreement?       2       at 11.02 your time. Lefs come back, I       3         3       Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about       3       guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time.       4       WDEOGRAPHER: Were going off the	9	remember specifically.	9	A. Yeah, I mean, it was – it was	
12       date?       12       days of - of 2021, it was - you know, the         13       A       It would have been - it would have       13       communications with ML Dondero were - were -         14       been after.       13       communications with ML Dondero were - were -         15       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       15       because of the circumstances.         16       the agreement before or after January 9th,       16       Q. And so based on that you believe         17       2020?       17       that it is most likely that you learned of this       18         19       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       19       employment?       20       A. I wouldn't use the term 'most         21       chapternet before or after you left Highland       20       A. I wouldn't use the term 'most       21         22       A. Idont - I don't - I don't know.       23       Q. Do you recail ever telling Jim Seery       24       about this agreement?         25       Do I have that right?       27       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 72         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 72       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 72         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       29       at 11:02 your time.       4       VIDEOGRAPHER. Were goin	10	Q. Can you tell me if you learned of	10	likely after I was – after I left Highland	
13       A. It would have been -it would have       13       communications with Mr. Dondero were - were -         14       been after.       14       were - three weren't as many communications         15       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       15       because of the circumstances.         16       the agreement before or after January 9th,       16       Q. And so based on that you believe         17       2020?       17       that it is mostlikely that you learned of this         19       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       19       employment?         20       the agreement before or after you left Highland       20       A. I would'have been after.         11       capital Management in February of 2021?       21       likely." I don't recail specifically. I don't         22       A. I don't - I don't know.       22       recail.       23       Q. Do you recail ever telling Jim Seeny         24       it while you were a Highland employee.       24       about this agreement?       25       A. No. I don't - I don't teal         25       Do I have that right?       25       A. No. I don't - I don't teal       Page 72         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 72         2       Jim Seery.       2       at 11:02 your	11	the agreement before or after the petition	11	because, if I put myself back into the last	
14       been after.       14       were – there weren't as many communications         15       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       15       because of the circumstances.         16       the agreement before or after January 9th,       16       Q. And so based on that you believe         17       2020?       17       that it is most likely that you learned of this         18       A. Itwould have been after.       18       agreement sometime after you left Highland         19       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       20       A. I wouldn't use the term "most         21       Capital Management in February of 2021?       21       likely." I don't recail specifically. I don't         22       A. I toolthort - I don't Hoyou learned of       23       Q. Do you recail ever telling Jim Seery         24       it while you were a Highland employee.       24       about this agreement?       2         25       Do I have that right?       23       A. It'sough at DSI about       3       guess, at 15 - at 11:15 your time.         4       this agreement?       4       VIDEOGRAPHER: Were back on the       7       VIDEOGRAPHER: Were back on the         6       Q. Did you tell any 6Highland's       6       (Recess taken 11:02 a.m)       10       VIDEOGRAPHER: Were back on the         7	12	date?	12	days of – of 2021, it was – you know, the	
15       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       15       because of the circumstances.         16       the agreement before or after January 9th,       17       2020?         17       2020?       17       that it is most likely that you learned of         19       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       19       employment?         20       the agreement before or after you left Highland       20       A. I wouldn't use the term "most         21       Capital Management in February of 2021?       21       likely." I don't recail specifically. I don't         23       Q. It is possible that you learned of       23       Q. Do you recal ever telling Jim Seery         24       it while you were a Highland employee.       24       about this agreement?       25         25       Do I have that right?       25       A. No. I don't = I don't tell       Page 72         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       autout this agreement?       2       at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I         3       Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about       3       guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time.       4       VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the         5       A. No.       6       Record at 11:02 a.m.       6       Record at 11:20 a.m.         6       Q. Did you tell any body at Pachulski	13	A. It would have been – it would have	13	communications with Mr. Dondero were - were -	
16       the agreement before or after January 9th,       16       Q. And so based on that you believe         17       2020?       17       that it is most likely that you learned of this         18       A. It would have been after.       18       agreement sometime after you left Highland         19       Q. Can you tell me if you left Highland       20       A. I wouldn't use the term 'most         21       Capital Management in February of 2021?       21       likely." I don't recall specifically. I don't         23       Q. It is possible that you learned of       23       Q. Do you recall ever telling Jim Seery         24       it while you were a Highland employee.       24       about this agreement?         25       Do I have that right?       25       A. No, I don't – I didn't tell         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 72       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Jim Seery.       2       at 11:02 your time. Lefts come back, I       3         3       Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about       3       guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time.       4         4       VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the       5       record at 11:02 a.m.       6         6       Q. Did you tell any body at Pachulski       9       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, dd you speak with       10     <	14	been after.	14	were – there weren't as many communications	
17       2020?       17       that it is most likely that you learned of this         18       A. It would have been after.       18       agreement sometime after you left Highland         19       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       19       employment?         20       the agreement before or after you left Highland       20       A. It wouldn't use the term "most         21       Capital Management in February of 2021?       21       likely." I don't recall specifically. I don't         22       A. It don't - I don't hnow.       23       Q. Do you recal ever telling Jim Seery         24       it while you were a Highland employee.       24       about this agreement?         25       Do I have that right?       25       A. No, I don't - I didn't tell         7       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 72       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         8       A. No.       3       guess, at 15 - at 11:15 your time.       4         4       this agreement?       4       VIDEOGRAPHER: Were going off the       5         5       A. No.       6       Record at 11:02 a.m.       11:02 a.m.       11:02 a.m.         9       Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski       9       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with       10       anybody during the break about this deposition? <td>15</td> <td>Q. Can you tell me if you learned of</td> <td>15</td> <td>because of the circumstances.</td> <td></td>	15	Q. Can you tell me if you learned of	15	because of the circumstances.	
18       A. It would have been after.       18       agreement sometime after you left Highland         19       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       19       employment?         20       the agreement before or after you left Highland       20       A. I wouldn't use the term 'most         21       Capital Management in February of 2021?       21       likely.' I don't recall specifically. I don't         22       A. I don't – I don't – I don't know.       22       recall.       23       Q. Do you recall ever telling Jim Seery         24       about this agreement?       25       Do I have that right?       26       A. No. I don't – I din't tell         7       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 72       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 73         2       Jim Seery.       2       at 11:02 your time. Lefts come back, I       3       guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time.         4       this agreement?       4       VDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the       5         5       A. No.       5       record at 11:02 a.m.       6       (Recess taken 11:02 a.m.)       7         7       vIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the       7       vIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the       7       7         8       A. No.       8       record at 11:02 a.m.       11:02 a	16	the agreement before or after January 9th,	16	Q. And so based on that you believe	
19       Q. Can you tell me if you learned of       19       employment?         20       the agreement before or after you left Highland       20       A. I wouldn't use the term ''most         21       Capital Management in February of 2021?       21       likely." I don't recall specifically. I don't         22       A. I don't - I don't how.       22       recall.       23       Q. Do you recall ever telling Jim Seery         24       it while you were a Highland employee.       23       Q. Do you recall ever telling Jim Seery         24       it while you were a Highland employee.       25       A. No, I don't - I didn't tell         7       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I         3       Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about       3       guess, at 15 - at 11:15 your time.         4       this agreement?       4       VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the         5       A. No.       6       (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)         7       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the       8         8       A. No.       9       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, idd you speak with         10       anybody during the break about this agreement?       11       A. No.         11       A. No.       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has	17	2020?	17	that it is most likely that you learned of this	
20       the agreement before or after you left Highland       20       A. I wouldn't use the term 'most         21       Capital Management in February of 2021?       21       likely.'' I don't recall specifically. I don't         22       A. I don't - I don't - I don't How.       22       recall.       23       Q. It is possible that you learned of       23       Q. Do you recall ever telling Jim Seery         24       it while you were a Highland employee.       25       A. No, I don't - I didn't tell       Page 72         2       Jim Seery.       2       at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I       3       guess, at 15 - at 11:15 your time.       Page 72         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 72       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 72         2       Jim Seery.       2       at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I       3       guess, at 15 - at 11:15 your time.       4         4       this agreement?       6       (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)       7       VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the         5       A. No.       6       (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)       7       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the         8       A. No.       1       A. No.       1       A. No.       1       A. No.         10       Stang ZeHi & Jones a	18	A. It would have been after.	18	agreement sometime after you left Highland	
21       Capital Management in February of 2021?       21       likely." I don't recall specifically. I don't         22       A. I don't – I don't – I don't how.       22       recall.         23       Q. It is possible that you learned of       23       Q. Do you recall ever telling Jim Seery         24       it while you were a Highland employee.       24       about this agreement?       25       A. No, I don't = I didn't tell         25       Do I have that right?       25       A. No, I don't = I didn't tell       Page 72         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I       3         3       Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about       3       guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time.       4       VIDEOGRAPHER: Were going off the         5       A. No.       5       record at 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)       7       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the         6       Q. Did you tell any body at Pachulski       9       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with       10         10       Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement?       11       A. No.       11       A. No.         12       Q. Did you tell any employee of       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has       15       than his coursel.         14       A. No.       14 <t< td=""><td>19</td><td>Q. Can you tell me if you learned of</td><td>19</td><td>employment?</td><td></td></t<>	19	Q. Can you tell me if you learned of	19	employment?	
22       A. I don't – I don't know.       22       recail.         23       Q. It is possible that you learned of       23       Q. Do you recail ever telling Jim Seery         24       it while you were a Highland employee.       24       about this agreement?         25       Do I have that right?       25       A. No, I don't – I didn't tell         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I         3       Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about       3       guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time.         4       this agreement?       4       VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the         5       A. No.       6       Recess taken 11:02 a.m.         6       Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski       9       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with         10       stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement?       11       A. No.         8       record at 11:02 a.m.       9       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with         10       stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement?       11       A. No.         11       A. No.       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has         15       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has       15       the substance of your deposition today?         16       been an hour and a haff. Is thi	20	the agreement before or after you left Highland	20	A. I wouldn't use the term "most	
23       Q. It is possible that you learned of       23       Q. Do you recall ever telling Jim Seery         24       it while you were a Highland employee.       24       about this agreement?         25       Do I have that right?       25       A. No, I don't – I didn't tell         7       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 72         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       at 11:02 your time.       Let's come back, I         3       Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about       3       guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time.       4         4       this agreement?       4       VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the       5         5       A. No.       6       (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)       7         7       vIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the       8       record at 11:20 a.m.       9         9       Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski       9       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with       10         10       anybody during the break about this agreement?       1       A. No.       11       A. No.         12       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has       15       the substance of your counsel about       15         13       Highland about this agreement?       11       A. No.       12 <td>21</td> <td>Capital Management in February of 2021?</td> <td>21</td> <td>likely." I don't recall specifically. I don't</td> <td></td>	21	Capital Management in February of 2021?	21	likely." I don't recall specifically. I don't	
24       it while you were a Highland employee.       24       about this agreement?         25       Do I have that right?       25       A. No, I don't – I didn't tell         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2         2       Jim Seery.       2       at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I       3         3       Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about       3       guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time.       4         4       VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the       5       record at 11:02 a.m.       6         5       A. No.       6       (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)       7         7       independent directors about this agreement?       4       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the         8       A. No.       9       Q. Did you tell any of Highland's       6       (Recess taken 11:02 a.m.)       7         7       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the       8       record at 11:20 a.m.       9       Q. Did you tell any body at Pachulski       9       Q. Nr. Waterhouse, did you speak with         10       Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement?       10       anybody during the break about this deposition?         11       A. No.       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other       13       than his coursel.	22	A. I don't – I don't – I don't know.	22	recall.	
25       Do I have that right?       25       A. No, I don't – I didn't tell         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 72         2       Jim Seery.       2       at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I       3       guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time.       4         4       this agreement?       4       VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the       5         5       A. No.       5       record at 11:02 a.m.       6       (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)         7       independent directors about this agreement?       7       VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the       5         8       A. No.       9       Q. Did you tell any body at Pachulski       9       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with       10         10       Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement?       10       antytody during the break about this deposition?       11         11       A. No.       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other       11         13       Highland about this agreement?       13       than his counsel.       14         14       A. No.       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other       13         14       A. No.       14       Q. Did you speak to your counsel about       15	23	Q. It is possible that you learned of	23	Q. Do you recall ever telling Jim Seery	
Page 72       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 72         2       Jim Seery.       2       at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I       3         3       Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about       3       guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time.       4         4       this agreement?       4       VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the       5         5       A. No.       6       Q. Did you tell any of Highland's       6       (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)         7       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the       8       record at 11:02 a.m.       6         8       A. No.       7       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the       8         8       A. No.       8       record at 11:02 a.m.       7         9       Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski       9       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with       10         10       Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement?       11       A. No.       11       A. No.         12       Q. Did you tell any employee of       11       A. No.       11       A. No.         13       Highland about this agreement?       13       than his counsel.       14       Q. Did you tell any employee of       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other         13       Highland	24	it while you were a Highland employee.	24	about this agreement?	
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Jim Seery.       2       at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I         3       Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about       3       guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time.         4       this agreement?       4       VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the         5       A. No.       5       record at 11:02 a.m.         6       Q. Did you tell any of Highland's       6       (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)         7       independent directors about this agreement?       7       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the         8       A. No.       8       record at 11:20 a.m.       9         9       Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski       9       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with         10       Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement?       10       anybody during the break about this deposition?         11       A. No.       11       A. No.       11       A. No.         12       Q. Did you tell any employee of       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other         13       Highland about this agreement?       13       than his counsel.         14       A. No.       14       Q. Did you speak to your counsel about         15       MS. D	25	Do I have that right?	25	A. No, I don't – I didn't tell	
2Jim Seery.2at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I3Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about3guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time.4this agreement?4VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the5A. No.5record at 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)7independent directors about this agreement?7VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the8A. No.8record at 11:20 a.m.9Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski9Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with10Stang Ztehl & Jones about this agreement?10anybody during the break about this deposition?11A. No.11A. No.12Q. Did you tell any employee of12MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other13Highland about this agreement?13than his counsel.14A. No.14Q. Did you speak to your counsel about15MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has15the substance of your deposition today?16been an hour and a half. Is this a good16A. No. I didn't bring it up.17time for a break?17Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it18MR. MORRIS: Sure.18up. Lasked you if you had any conversation19Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind19with your lawyer about the substance of your20you that during the break please don't speak20deposition.21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22substance of		Page 72			Page 73
3       Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about       3       guess, at 15 - at 11:15 your time.         4       this agreement?       4       VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the         5       A. No.       5       record at 11:02 a.m.         6       Q. Did you tell any of Highland's       6       (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)         7       independent directors about this agreement?       7       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the         8       A. No.       8       record at 11:02 a.m.         9       Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski       9       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with         10       Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement?       10       anybody during the break about this deposition?         11       A. No.       11       A. No.       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Other than - other         13       Highland about this agreement?       13       than his counsel.       14         14       A. No.       14       Q. Did you speak to your counsel about       15         15       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has       15       the substance of your deposition today?         16       been an hour and a half. Is this a good       16       A. No, I didn't bring it up.         17       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind       19 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
4       this agreement?       4       VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the         5       A. No.       5       record at 11:02 a.m.         6       Q. Did you tell any of Highland's       6       (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)         7       independent directors about this agreement?       7       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the         8       A. No.       8       record at 11:20 a.m.         9       Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski       9       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with         10       Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement?       10       anybody during the break about this deposition?         11       A. No.       11       A. No.       11       A. No.         12       Q. Did you tell any employee of       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other         13       Highland about this agreement?       13       than his counsel.         14       A. No.       14       Q. Did you speak to your counsel about         15       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has       15       the substance of your deposition today?         16       been an hour and a half. Is this a good       16       A. No, Ididn't bring it up.         17       time for a break?       17       Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it         18	1			-	
5       A. No.       5       record at 11:02 a.m.         6       Q. Did you tell any of Highland's       6       (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)         7       independent directors about this agreement?       7       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the         8       A. No.       8       record at 11:20 a.m.         9       Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski       9       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with         10       Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement?       10       anybody during the break about this deposition?         11       A. No.       11       A. No.         12       Q. Did you tell any employee of       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other         13       Highland about this agreement?       13       than his counsel.         14       A. No.       14       Q. Did you speak to your counsel about         15       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has       15       the substance of your deposition today?         16       been an hour and a half. Is this a good       16       A. No, I didn't bring it up.         17       time for a break?       17       Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it         18       MR. MORRIS: Sure.       18       up. I asked you if you had any conversation         19       Q. Mr. Wa	Ι.				
6Q. Did you tell any of Highland's6(Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)7independent directors about this agreement?7VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the8A. No.8record at 11:20 a.m.9Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski9Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with10stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement?10anybody during the break about this deposition?11A. No.11A. No.12Q. Did you tell any employee of12MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other13Highland about this agreement?13than his counsel.14A. No.14Q. Did you speak to your counsel about15MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has15the substance of your deposition today?16been an hour and a half. Is this a good16A. No, I didn't bring it up.17time for a break?17Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it18MR. MORRIS: Sure.18up. I asked you if you had any conversation19Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind19with your lawyer about the substance of your20you that during the break please don't speak20deposition.21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22substance of your testimony or anything else22Q. Can you tell me what the – you	1			•••	
7independent directors about this agreement?7VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the8A. No.8record at 11:20 a.m.9Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski9Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with10Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement?10anybody during the break about this deposition?11A. No.11A. No.12Q. Did you tell any employee of12MS. DANDENEAU: Other than other13Highland about this agreement?13than his counsel.14A. No.14Q. Did you speak to your counsel about15MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has15the substance of your deposition today?16been an hour and a half. Is this a good16A. No, I didn't bring it up.17time for a break?17Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it18MR. MORRIS: Sure.18up. I asked you if you had any conversation19Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind19with your lawyer about the substance of your20you that during the break please don't speak20deposition.21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22substance of your testimony or anything else22Q. Can you tell me what the - you	1				
8       A. No.       8       record at 11:20 a.m.         9       Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski       9       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with         10       Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement?       10       anybody during the break about this deposition?         11       A. No.       11       A. No.         12       Q. Did you tell any employee of       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other         13       Highland about this agreement?       13       than his counsel.         14       A. No.       14       Q. Did you speak to your counsel about         15       MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has       15       the substance of your deposition today?         16       been an hour and a half. Is this a good       16       A. No, I didn't ask you if you brought it         18       MR. MORRIS: Sure.       18       up. I asked you if you had any conversation         19       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind       19       with anybody about the deposition, the       21       MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.         22       substance of your testimony or anything else       22       Q. Can you tell me what the – you	0		-		
9Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski9Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with10Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement?10anybody during the break about this deposition?11A. No.11A. No.12Q. Did you tell any employee of12MS. DANDENEAU: Other than - other13Highland about this agreement?13than his counsel.14A. No.14Q. Did you speak to your counsel about15MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has15the substance of your deposition today?16been an hour and a half. Is this a good16A. No, I didn't bring it up.17time for a break?17Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it18MR. MORRIS: Sure.18up. I asked you if you had any conversation19Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind19with your lawyer about the substance of your20you that during the break please don't speak20deposition.21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22substance of your testimony or anything else22Q. Can you tell me what the - you					
10Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement?10anybody during the break about this deposition?11A. No.11A. No.12Q. Did you tell any employee of12MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other13Highland about this agreement?13than his counsel.14A. No.14Q. Did you speak to your counsel about15MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has15the substance of your deposition today?16been an hour and a half. Is this a good16A. No, I didn't bring it up.17time for a break?17Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it18MR. MORRIS: Sure.18up. I asked you if you had any conversation19Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind19with your lawyer about the substance of your20you that during the break please don't speak20deposition.21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22substance of your testimony or anything else22Q. Can you tell me what the – you	1				
11A. No.11A. No.12Q. Did you tell any employee of12MS. DANDENEAU: Other than other13Highland about this agreement?13than his counsel.14A. No.14Q. Did you speak to your counsel about15MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has15the substance of your deposition today?16been an hour and a half. Is this a good16A. No, I didn't bring it up.17time for a break?17Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it18MR. MORRIS: Sure.18up. I asked you if you had any conversation19Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind19with your lawyer about the substance of your20you that during the break please don't speak20deposition.21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22Q. Can you tell me what the you22Q. Can you tell me what the you			-		
12Q. Did you tell any employee of12MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other13Highland about this agreement?13than his counsel.14A. No.14Q. Did you speak to your counsel about15MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has15the substance of your deposition today?16been an hour and a half. Is this a good16A. No, I didn't bring it up.17time for a break?17Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it18MR. MORRIS: Sure.18up. I asked you if you had any conversation19Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind19with your lawyer about the substance of your20you that during the break please don't speak20deposition.21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22Substance of your testimony or anything else22Q. Can you tell me what the – you	1	•			
13Highland about this agreement?13than his counsel.14A. No.14Q. Did you speak to your counsel about15MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has15the substance of your deposition today?16been an hour and a half. Is this a good16A. No, I didn't bring it up.17time for a break?17Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it18MR. MORRIS: Sure.18up. I asked you if you had any conversation19Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind19with your lawyer about the substance of your20you that during the break please don't speak20deposition.21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22Q. Can you tell me what the – you	1				
14A. No.14Q. Did you speak to your counsel about15MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has15the substance of your deposition today?16been an hour and a half. Is this a good16A. No, I didn't bring it up.17time for a break?17Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it18MR. MORRIS: Sure.18up. I asked you if you had any conversation19Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind19with your lawyer about the substance of your20you that during the break please don't speak20deposition.21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22Q. Can you tell me what the – you	1				
15MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has15the substance of your deposition today?16been an hour and a half. Is this a good16A. No, I didn't bring it up.17time for a break?17Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it18MR. MORRIS: Sure.18up. I asked you if you had any conversation19Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind19with your lawyer about the substance of your20you that during the break please don't speak20deposition.21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22substance of your testimony or anything else22Q. Can you tell me what the - you	1				
16been an hour and a half. Is this a good16A. No, I didn't bring it up.17time for a break?17Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it18MR. MORRIS: Sure.18up. I asked you if you had any conversation19Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind19with your lawyer about the substance of your20you that during the break please don't speak20deposition.21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22substance of your testimony or anything else22Q. Can you tell me what the - you	1				
17time for a break?17Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it18MR. MORRIS: Sure.18up. I asked you if you had any conversation19Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind19with your lawyer about the substance of your20you that during the break please don't speak20deposition.21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22substance of your testimony or anything else22Q. Can you tell me what the – you	1				
18MR. MORRIS: Sure.18up. I asked you if you had any conversation19Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind19with your lawyer about the substance of your20you that during the break please don't speak20deposition.21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22substance of your testimony or anything else22Q. Can you tell me what the – you	1	-		<b>U</b>	
19Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind19with your lawyer about the substance of your20you that during the break please don't speak20deposition.21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22substance of your testimony or anything else22Q. Can you tell me what the – you	1				
20you that during the break please don't speak20deposition.21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22substance of your testimony or anything else22Q. Can you tell me what the – you	1				
21with anybody about the deposition, the21MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.22substance of your testimony or anything else22Q.Can you tell me what the – you	1	-	1		
22 substance of your testimony or anything else 22 Q. Can you tell me what the – you	1		1	-	
			1		
23 concerning the deposition. Ukay? 23 discussed?	1				
24 A. Yes. 24 MS. DANDENEAU: No, I object to	1			-	
25   MR. MORRIS: So it is 11:02. We're   25   that. He's not going to answer. That is a	25		25	inal. The shol going to answer. That is a	

	Page 74			Page 75
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	privileged conversation.	2	have to.	
3	MR. MORRIS: So I just want to make	3	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, after learning about	
4	sure that I understand. During the break	4	the agreement, did you ask anybody if the	
5	you spoke with your client about the	5	agreement was reflected in a writing?	
6	substance of this deposition; is that	6	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
7	right?	7	A. No.	
8	MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, John.	8	Q. Did you ask anybody if the terms of	
9	MR. MORRIS: And you refuse you	9	the agreement were memorialized anywhere?	
10	refuse to let your client tell me what was	10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
11	discussed; is that right?	11	MR. MORRIS: What is the	
12	MS. DANDENEAU: That's correct.	12	A. No.	
13	MR. MORRIS: You know, I had given	13	MS. DANDENEAU: Well, because you	
14	the instruction prior to the break not to	14	keep talking about this agreement and I –	
15	speak with counsel. I would have	15	I – I think, Mr. Morris, that is really	
16	appreciated –	16	not clear what you mean by "the agreement."	
17	MS. DANDENEAU: No, you didn't –	17	And maybe you can just go back and restate	
18	actually, that is not true, Mr. Morris.	18	what that is.	
19	You said not to speak with anyone. We	19	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Your client has	
20	never have interpreted that to mean	20	agreed with me twice on the definition, but	
21	conversations with counsel. That's never	21	I will try one more time.	
22	been – I have never, ever heard that	22	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you understand	
23	instruction.	23	that when I use the term "agreement," I'm	
24	MR. MORRIS: Okay. We will – we	24	referring to the agreement between Jim and	
25	will – we will deal with it when and if we	25	Nancy Dondero concerning certain promissory	
1	Page 76 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 77
2	notes where you learned that one of the terms	2	MR. MORRIS: You know what, you	
3	of the agreement was milestones reached?	3	guys, this is really wrong. It is really,	
4	A. Okay.	4	really wrong. Okay?	
5	Q. And did you understand that that was	5	I had the witness agree not once,	
6	the – the agreement that we were referring to	6	but twice to the definition of agreement.	
7	every time we used the word "agreement" in this	7	Okay? I'm going to try and do it a third	
8	deposition?	8	time.	
9	A. I don't know anything about this	9	MS. DANDENEAU: No, but, please,	
10	agreement. So, look, I do – it – I don't	10	John, really –	
11	know whether -	11	MR. MORRIS: No, please stop	
12	Q. Let's – let's try this again.	12		
13	A. Yeah. Look, I don't know what this	13	Object to questions.	
14	agreement relates.	14	MS. DANDENEAU: No, but also you	
15	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, John –	15	instructed him that – that if you were	
16	Q. Let me try $-$	16	going – if you were interrupting him, that	
10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, please let	17	he should remind you that you're	
18	the witness finish.	18	interrupting him and – and –	
10	MR. MORRIS: Please stop. Please	19	MR. MORRIS: Let him do that. Let	
20	stop. Please stop talking.	20	him do that.	
20	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, you stop.	20	MS. DANDENEAU: Okay. Well, you –	
21	Let the witness	21	MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.	
22		22	A. Okay. I don't know any of the	
23	MR. MORRIS: Stop talking.	23	details of these agreements. I don't know	
24	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: finish you interrupted him.	24	anything about them. I heard – someone – I	
Ľ				

Page 78	F	Page 79
1 WATER 1003E - 10-13-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	uge i e
2 don't know who, I don't know when, as you	2 milestones that had to be reached; is that	
3 asked, sometime in '21, someone told me about	3 right?	
4 this – or I don't honestly know – I don't	4 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
5 even recall exactly how I was made aware of	5 A. That was one of the words that was	
6 this, but I was. I don't know – I don't know	6 used when I heard about it, yes.	
7 any of these details, and I'm getting – again,	7 Q. And when you heard about this	
8 there is, you know, I – I – I had a passing	8 agreement that had a term in it concerning	
9 conversation with – with Jim at some point	9 milestones reached, did you ask the person who	
10 on – on some – on the executive comp, and I'm	10 was telling you about the agreement whether or	
11 getting confused of what is what, because	11 not it was in writing?	
12 again, I don't know any of these details.	12 A. I did not.	
13 Q. Okay. Let me try again,	13 Q. Did you ask any questions at all?	
14 Mr. Waterhouse, and I apologize.	14 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
15 Are you aware of any agreement	15 A. Not that I recall.	
16 between Jim Dondero and Nancy Dondero	16 Q. But do you understand that going	
17 concerning any promissory note that was given	17 forward, we're going to refer to the agreement	
18 to Highland by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero?	18 as the agreement that you just described that	
19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	19 you were -	
20 form.	20 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	
21 A. I've heard of an agreement. That	21 A. Yes.	
22 is – that is – I mean, if you are using aware	22 Q. Okay. You don't have any personal	
23 as heard, sure.	23 knowledge concerning the terms of the	
24 Q. And you understand that one of the	24 agreement; correct?	
25 terms of the agreement is that it was based on	25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
Page 80		Page 81
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 form.	2 want to be clear that I never would have asked	
3 Q. You can answer.	3 you to appear at this deposition if your name	
4 A. I don't – I heard about the	4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery	
5 agreement. I don't know anything – I heard	5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who	
6 there was an agreement. That is – again, as I	6 was told about the existence of the agreement.	
7 testified before – I said before, heard about	7 That is what prompted me do this,	
8 it, don't know the details. I believe it was	8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that	
9 sometime this year.	9 I otherwise would never have called you as a	
10 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge	10 witness. So I regret that you're being put	
<ul><li>10 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge</li><li>11 about the terms of the agreement, sir?</li></ul>	<ul><li>10 witness. So I regret that you're being put</li><li>11 through this today. I had no intention of</li></ul>	
<ol> <li>Q. Do you have any personal knowledge</li> <li>about the terms of the agreement, sir?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>10 witness. So I regret that you're being put</li> <li>11 through this today. I had no intention of</li> <li>12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>Q. Do you have any personal knowledge</li> <li>about the terms of the agreement, sir?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Other than what I have previously</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>10 witness. So I regret that you're being put</li> <li>11 through this today. I had no intention of</li> <li>12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is</li> <li>13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>Q. Do you have any personal knowledge</li> <li>about the terms of the agreement, sir?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Other than what I have previously</li> <li>discussed, I don't I don't know.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>10 witness. So I regret that you're being put</li> <li>11 through this today. I had no intention of</li> <li>12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is</li> <li>13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is</li> <li>14 because certain of the defendants identified</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>Q. Do you have any personal knowledge</li> <li>about the terms of the agreement, sir?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Other than what I have previously</li> <li>discussed, I don't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>10 witness. So I regret that you're being put</li> <li>11 through this today. I had no intention of</li> <li>12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is</li> <li>13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is</li> <li>14 because certain of the defendants identified</li> <li>15 you as somebody –</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Do you have any personal knowledge</li> <li>about the terms of the agreement, sir?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Other than what I have previously</li> <li>discussed, I don't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you</li> <li>about the existence of the agreement?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>10 witness. So I regret that you're being put</li> <li>11 through this today. I had no intention of</li> <li>12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is</li> <li>13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is</li> <li>14 because certain of the defendants identified</li> <li>15 you as somebody –</li> <li>16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>Q. Do you have any personal knowledge</li> <li>about the terms of the agreement, sir?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Other than what I have previously</li> <li>discussed, I don't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you</li> <li>about the existence of the agreement?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>10 witness. So I regret that you're being put</li> <li>11 through this today. I had no intention of</li> <li>12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is</li> <li>13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is</li> <li>14 because certain of the defendants identified</li> <li>15 you as somebody –</li> <li>16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you</li> <li>17 are here to ask questions, not to have –</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>Q. Do you have any personal knowledge</li> <li>about the terms of the agreement, sir?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Other than what I have previously</li> <li>discussed, I don't I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did did Mr. Dondero tell you</li> <li>about the existence of the agreement?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall the source of your</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>10 witness. So I regret that you're being put</li> <li>11 through this today. I had no intention of</li> <li>12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is</li> <li>13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is</li> <li>14 because certain of the defendants identified</li> <li>15 you as somebody –</li> <li>16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you</li> <li>17 are here to ask questions, not to have –</li> <li>18 MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>Q. Do you have any personal knowledge</li> <li>about the terms of the agreement, sir?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Other than what I have previously</li> <li>discussed, I don't I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did did Mr. Dondero tell you</li> <li>about the existence of the agreement?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall the source of your</li> <li>information when you learned about the</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>10 witness. So I regret that you're being put</li> <li>11 through this today. I had no intention of</li> <li>12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is</li> <li>13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is</li> <li>14 because certain of the defendants identified</li> <li>15 you as somebody –</li> <li>16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you</li> <li>17 are here to ask questions, not to have –</li> <li>18 MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the</li> <li>19 guy. I really do.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Do you have any personal knowledge</li> <li>about the terms of the agreement, sir?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Other than what I have previously</li> <li>discussed, I don't I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did did Mr. Dondero tell you</li> <li>about the existence of the agreement?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall the source of your</li> <li>information when you learned about the</li> <li>agreement?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>10 witness. So I regret that you're being put</li> <li>11 through this today. I had no intention of</li> <li>12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is</li> <li>13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is</li> <li>14 because certain of the defendants identified</li> <li>15 you as somebody –</li> <li>16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you</li> <li>17 are here to ask questions, not to have –</li> <li>18 MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the</li> <li>19 guy. I really do.</li> <li>20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sure you do.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Do you have any personal knowledge</li> <li>about the terms of the agreement, sir?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Other than what I have previously</li> <li>discussed, I don't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you</li> <li>about the existence of the agreement?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall the source of your</li> <li>information when you learned about the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>A. No, I don't – I don't recall. I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>10 witness. So I regret that you're being put</li> <li>11 through this today. I had no intention of</li> <li>12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is</li> <li>13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is</li> <li>14 because certain of the defendants identified</li> <li>15 you as somebody –</li> <li>16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you</li> <li>17 are here to ask questions, not to have –</li> <li>18 MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the</li> <li>19 guy. I really do.</li> <li>20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sure you do.</li> <li>21 MR. MORRIS: I do. Stop.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>10 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge</li> <li>11 about the terms of the agreement, sir?</li> <li>12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>13 A. Other than what I have previously</li> <li>14 discussed, I don't – I don't know.</li> <li>15 Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you</li> <li>16 about the existence of the agreement?</li> <li>17 A. I don't recall.</li> <li>18 Q. Do you recall the source of your</li> <li>19 information when you learned about the</li> <li>20 agreement?</li> <li>21 A. No, I don't – I don't recall. I</li> <li>22 don't remember. I just – I heard about it</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>10 witness. So I regret that you're being put</li> <li>11 through this today. I had no intention of</li> <li>12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is</li> <li>13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is</li> <li>14 because certain of the defendants identified</li> <li>15 you as somebody –</li> <li>16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you</li> <li>17 are here to ask questions, not to have –</li> <li>18 MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the</li> <li>19 guy. I really do.</li> <li>20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sure you do.</li> <li>21 MR. MORRIS: I do. Stop.</li> <li>22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You stop.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Do you have any personal knowledge</li> <li>about the terms of the agreement, sir?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Other than what I have previously</li> <li>discussed, I don't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you</li> <li>about the existence of the agreement?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall the source of your</li> <li>information when you learned about the</li> <li>agreement?</li> <li>A. No, I don't – I don't recall. I</li> <li>don't remember. I just – I heard about it</li> <li>generally. I don't remember – I don't</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>10 witness. So I regret that you're being put</li> <li>11 through this today. I had no intention of</li> <li>12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is</li> <li>13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is</li> <li>14 because certain of the defendants identified</li> <li>15 you as somebody –</li> <li>16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you</li> <li>17 are here to ask questions, not to have –</li> <li>18 MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the</li> <li>19 guy. I really do.</li> <li>20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sure you do.</li> <li>21 MR. MORRIS: I do. Stop.</li> <li>22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You stop.</li> <li>23 MR. MORRIS: I'm allowed.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>10 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge</li> <li>11 about the terms of the agreement, sir?</li> <li>12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>13 A. Other than what I have previously</li> <li>14 discussed, I don't – I don't know.</li> <li>15 Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you</li> <li>16 about the existence of the agreement?</li> <li>17 A. I don't recall.</li> <li>18 Q. Do you recall the source of your</li> <li>19 information when you learned about the</li> <li>20 agreement?</li> <li>21 A. No, I don't – I don't recall. I</li> <li>22 don't remember. I just – I heard about it</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>10 witness. So I regret that you're being put</li> <li>11 through this today. I had no intention of</li> <li>12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is</li> <li>13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is</li> <li>14 because certain of the defendants identified</li> <li>15 you as somebody –</li> <li>16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you</li> <li>17 are here to ask questions, not to have –</li> <li>18 MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the</li> <li>19 guy. I really do.</li> <li>20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sure you do.</li> <li>21 MR. MORRIS: I do. Stop.</li> <li>22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You stop.</li> </ul>	

Page 82		Page 83
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	i age oo
2 Q. Okay. Well, I hope that you	2 Q. Did you ever make –	
3 appreciate what I'm saying here,	3 A. I don't know anything about these	
4 Mr. Waterhouse.	4 agreements.	
5 MS. DANDENEAU: All right. Let's go	5 Q. Did you ever make any effort to	
6 ahead and ask questions, and again, you're	6 determine which promissory notes are subject to	
7 entitled to probe his – his knowledge	7 this agreement?	
8 of – whatever knowledge he has about	8 A. No.	
9 this – this agreement and –	9 Q. Did you ever ask anybody which	
10 MR. MORRIS: That is what I'm doing.	10 promissory notes are subject to this agreement?	
11 MS. DANDENEAU: - he will answer	11 A. No.	
12 the questions to the best that he can.	12 Q. Do you know if there is a list	
13 MR. MORRIS: That is what I'm doing.	13 anywhere of the promissory notes that are	
14 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I take it you do not	14 subject to this agreement?	
15 know which promissory notes issued by which	15 A. I'm not aware.	
16 affiliates or Mr. Dondero are the subject of	16 Q. Have you ever seen the terms of the	
17 this agreement; do I have that right?	17 agreement written down anywhere?	
18 A. Yes, I don't – I don't know.	18 A. No.	
19 Q. Do you know of any way to determine	19 Q. Have you ever asked anybody whether	
20 which promissory notes issued by the affiliates	20 the terms of the agreement were written down	
21 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this	21 anywhere?	
22 agreement other than asking Jim or Nancy	22 A. I have not.	
23 Dondero?	23 Q. Did learning about the agreement	
24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	24 cause you to do anything in response?	
25 A. I don't know.	25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
Page 84		Page 85
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 85
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. No.	2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual	Page 85
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A.         3       Q.         Did anybody ever describe to you the	<ul><li>2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li><li>3 financial statements audited each year.</li></ul>	Page 85
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. No.	<ul> <li>2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>3 financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>4 A. From – from time to time, they were</li> </ul>	Page 85
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A.         3       Q.         Did anybody ever describe to you the	<ul><li>2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li><li>3 financial statements audited each year.</li></ul>	Page 85
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the</li> <li>nature of the milestones that you referred to</li> <li>earlier?</li> <li>A. No, I don't – I don't have any</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>3 financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>4 A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>6 transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> </ul>	Page 85
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the</li> <li>nature of the milestones that you referred to</li> <li>earlier?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>3 financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>4 A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> </ul>	Page 85
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the</li> <li>nature of the milestones that you referred to</li> <li>earlier?</li> <li>A. No, I don't – I don't have any</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>3 financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>4 A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>6 transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> </ul>	Page 85
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the</li> <li>nature of the milestones that you referred to</li> <li>earlier?</li> <li>A. No, I don't – I don't have any</li> <li>details of this.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>3 financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>4 A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>6 transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>7 Q. And were they given to third parties</li> </ul>	Page 85
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. No.         3       Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the         4       nature of the milestones that you referred to         5       earlier?         6       A. No, I don't – I don't have any         7       details of this.         8       Q. That is fine.         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers served as         10       Highland's outside auditors prior to the	<ul> <li>2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>3 financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>4 A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>6 transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>7 Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>8 for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>9 time to time?</li> <li>10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> </ul>	Page 85
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. No.         3       Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the         4       nature of the milestones that you referred to         5       earlier?         6       A. No, I don't – I don't have any         7       details of this.         8       Q. That is fine.         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers served as	<ul> <li>2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>3 financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>4 A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>6 transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>7 Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>8 for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>9 time to time?</li> <li>10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> <li>11 Q. And was it your understanding as the</li> </ul>	Page 85
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. No.         3       Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the         4       nature of the milestones that you referred to         5       earlier?         6       A. No, I don't – I don't have any         7       details of this.         8       Q. That is fine.         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers served as         10       Highland's outside auditors prior to the	<ul> <li>2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>3 financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>4 A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>6 transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>7 Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>8 for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>9 time to time?</li> <li>10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> </ul>	Page 85
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. No.         3       Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the         4       nature of the milestones that you referred to         5       earlier?         6       A. No, I don't – I don't have any         7       details of this.         8       Q. That is fine.         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers served as         10       Highland's outside auditors prior to the         11       petition date; correct?         12       A. Yes.         13       Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers	<ul> <li>2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>3 financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>4 A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>6 transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>7 Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>8 for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>9 time to time?</li> <li>10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> <li>11 Q. And was it your understanding as the</li> <li>12 CFO that the third parties who received the</li> <li>13 financial statements in diligence or</li> </ul>	Page 85
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. No.         3       Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the         4       nature of the milestones that you referred to         5       earlier?         6       A. No, I don't – I don't have any         7       details of this.         8       Q. That is fine.         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers served as         10       Highland's outside auditors prior to the         11       petition date; correct?         12       A. Yes.	<ul> <li>as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>time to time?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it your understanding as the</li> <li>CFO that the third parties who received the</li> <li>financial statements in diligence or</li> <li>transactions was going to rely on those?</li> </ul>	Page 85
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. No.         3       Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the         4       nature of the milestones that you referred to         5       earlier?         6       A. No, I don't – I don't have any         7       details of this.         8       Q. That is fine.         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers served as         10       Highland's outside auditors prior to the         11       petition date; correct?         12       A. Yes.         13       Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers	<ul> <li>2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>3 financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>4 A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>6 transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>7 Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>8 for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>9 time to time?</li> <li>10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> <li>11 Q. And was it your understanding as the</li> <li>12 CFO that the third parties who received the</li> <li>13 financial statements in diligence or</li> </ul>	Page 85
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. No.         3       Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the         4       nature of the milestones that you referred to         5       earlier?         6       A. No, I don't – I don't have any         7       details of this.         8       Q. That is fine.         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers served as         10       Highland's outside auditors prior to the         11       petition date; correct?         12       A. Yes.         13       Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers         14       as PwC?	<ul> <li>as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>time to time?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it your understanding as the</li> <li>CFO that the third parties who received the</li> <li>financial statements in diligence or</li> <li>transactions was going to rely on those?</li> </ul>	Page 85
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the</li> <li>nature of the milestones that you referred to</li> <li>earlier?</li> <li>A. No, I don't – I don't have any</li> <li>details of this.</li> <li>Q. That is fine.</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers served as</li> <li>Highland's outside auditors prior to the</li> <li>petition date; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers</li> <li>as PwC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>time to time?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it your understanding as the</li> <li>CFO that the third parties who received the</li> <li>financial statements in diligence or</li> <li>transactions was going to rely on those?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ul>	Page 85
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. No.         3       Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the         4       nature of the milestones that you referred to         5       earlier?         6       A. No, I don't – I don't have any         7       details of this.         8       Q. That is fine.         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers served as         10       Highland's outside auditors prior to the         11       petition date; correct?         12       A. Yes.         13       Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers         14       as PwC?         15       A. Yes.         16       Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited	<ul> <li>as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>time to time?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it your understanding as the</li> <li>CFO that the third parties who received the</li> <li>financial statements in diligence or</li> <li>transactions was going to rely on those?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't know – I don't know gen –</li> </ul>	Page 85
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. No.         3       Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the         4       nature of the milestones that you referred to         5       earlier?         6       A. No, I don't – I don't have any         7       details of this.         8       Q. That is fine.         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers served as         10       Highland's outside auditors prior to the         11       petition date; correct?         12       A. Yes.         13       Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers         14       as PwC?         15       A. Yes.         16       Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited         17       Highland's financial statements on an annual	<ul> <li>as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>time to time?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it your understanding as the</li> <li>CFO that the third parties who received the</li> <li>financial statements in diligence or</li> <li>transactions was going to rely on those?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't know – I don't know gen –</li> <li>I don't know specifically what they were going</li> </ul>	Page 85
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. No.         3       Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the         4       nature of the milestones that you referred to         5       earlier?         6       A. No, I don't – I don't have any         7       details of this.         8       Q. That is fine.         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers served as         10       Highland's outside auditors prior to the         11       petition date; correct?         12       A. Yes.         13       Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers         14       as PwC?         15       A. Yes.         16       Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited         17       Highland's financial statements on an annual         18       basis; correct?	<ul> <li>as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>time to time?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it your understanding as the</li> <li>CFO that the third parties who received the</li> <li>financial statements in diligence or</li> <li>transactions was going to rely on those?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't know – I don't know gen –</li> <li>to rely on. You know, we would get requests</li> </ul>	Page 85
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the</li> <li>nature of the milestones that you referred to</li> <li>earlier?</li> <li>A. No, I don't – I don't have any</li> <li>details of this.</li> <li>Q. That is fine.</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers served as</li> <li>Highland's outside auditors prior to the</li> <li>petition date; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers</li> <li>as PwC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited</li> <li>Highland's financial statements on an annual</li> <li>basis; correct?</li> <li>A. During my – during my time as – as</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>time to time?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it your understanding as the</li> <li>CFO that the third parties who received the</li> <li>financial statements in diligence or</li> <li>transactions was going to rely on those?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't know – I don't know gen –</li> <li>I don't know, we would get requests</li> <li>for audited financial statements. I don't know</li> </ul>	Page 85
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the</li> <li>nature of the milestones that you referred to</li> <li>earlier?</li> <li>A. No, I don't – I don't have any</li> <li>details of this.</li> <li>Q. That is fine.</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers served as</li> <li>Highland's outside auditors prior to the</li> <li>petition date; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers</li> <li>as PwC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited</li> <li>Highland's financial statements on an annual</li> <li>basis; correct?</li> <li>A. During my – during my time as – as</li> <li>CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>time to time?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it your understanding as the</li> <li>CFO that the third parties who received the</li> <li>financial statements in diligence or</li> <li>transactions was going to rely on those?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't know – I don't know gen –</li> <li>I don't know specifically what they were going</li> <li>to rely on. You know, we would get requests</li> <li>for audited financial statements. I don't know</li> <li>what they were relying on.</li> </ul>	Page 85
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the</li> <li>nature of the milestones that you referred to</li> <li>earlier?</li> <li>A. No, I don't – I don't have any</li> <li>details of this.</li> <li>Q. That is fine.</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers served as</li> <li>Highland's outside auditors prior to the</li> <li>petition date; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers</li> <li>as PwC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited</li> <li>Highland's financial statements on an annual</li> <li>basis; correct?</li> <li>A. During my – during my time as – as</li> <li>CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the</li> <li>auditor.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>time to time?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it your understanding as the</li> <li>CFO that the third parties who received the</li> <li>financial statements in diligence or</li> <li>transactions was going to rely on those?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't know – I don't know gen –</li> <li>I don't know specifically what they were going</li> <li>to rely on. You know, we would get requests</li> <li>for audited financial statements. I don't know</li> <li>what they were relying on.</li> <li>Q. And –</li> </ul>	Page 85
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the</li> <li>nature of the milestones that you referred to</li> <li>earlier?</li> <li>A. No, I don't – I don't have any</li> <li>details of this.</li> <li>Q. That is fine.</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers served as</li> <li>Highland's outside auditors prior to the</li> <li>petition date; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers</li> <li>as PwC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited</li> <li>Highland's financial statements on an annual</li> <li>basis; correct?</li> <li>A. During my – during my time as – as</li> <li>CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the</li> <li>auditor.</li> <li>Q. Do you know why Highland had its</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>time to time?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it your understanding as the</li> <li>CFO that the third parties who received the</li> <li>financial statements in diligence or</li> <li>transactions was going to rely on those?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't know – I don't know gen –</li> <li>I don't know specifically what they were going</li> <li>to rely on. You know, we would get requests</li> <li>for audited financial statements. I don't know</li> <li>what they were relying on.</li> <li>Q. And –</li> <li>A. You would have to ask them.</li> </ul>	Page 85
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the</li> <li>nature of the milestones that you referred to</li> <li>earlier?</li> <li>A. No, I don't – I don't have any</li> <li>details of this.</li> <li>Q. That is fine.</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers served as</li> <li>Highland's outside auditors prior to the</li> <li>petition date; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers</li> <li>as PwC?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited</li> <li>Highland's financial statements on an annual</li> <li>basis; correct?</li> <li>A. During my – during my time as – as</li> <li>CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the</li> <li>auditor.</li> <li>Q. Do you know why Highland had its</li> <li>annual financial statements audited each year?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>as to the reason why Highland had its annual</li> <li>financial statements audited each year.</li> <li>A. From – from time to time, they were</li> <li>used – or asked for, as part of diligence or</li> <li>transactions or – or things of that nature.</li> <li>Q. And were they given to third parties</li> <li>for purposes of diligence or transactions from</li> <li>time to time?</li> <li>A. As far as I'm aware, yes.</li> <li>Q. And was it your understanding as the</li> <li>CFO that the third parties who received the</li> <li>financial statements in diligence or</li> <li>transactions was going to rely on those?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't know – I don't know gen –</li> <li>I don't know specifically what they were going</li> <li>to rely on. You know, we would get requests</li> <li>for audited financial statements. I don't know</li> <li>what they were relying on.</li> <li>Q. And –</li> <li>A. You would have to ask them.</li> <li>Did you personally play a role in</li> </ul>	Page 85

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 86	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 87
2 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		2	was a there was a point it varies. It	
3 A. During my tenure as CFO, I played a	:		varies by year, in function, in time and, you	
4 very minimal role.			know, depending on the request, but yes, I	
5 Q. What was the minimal role that you	4		mean, there is there is there is	
6 played?		6	generally a point person of communication.	
7 A. You know, again, it was it was to		7	Q. And who was the point person from	
8 check in with the team, to make sure that, you		8	2016 until the time you left Highland?	
9 know, audit the deadlines were being hit,		9	A. I don't – I don't know	
10 information was being presented to the auditors	1	10	specifically, but it would have been, you	
11 in a – in a timely fashion, but, you know,	1	11	know – you know, someone on the corporate	
12 other than that, it was a very capable team	1	12	accounting team.	
13 that are still current employees of Highland	1	13	Q. And was there a head of the	
14 and, you know, they – they conducted 99	1	14	corporate accounting team?	
15 percent of – look, I don't want to give	1	15	A. Yes, so – yes.	
16 percentages. I mean, this is – but I – I –		16	Q. Who was the head of corporate	
17 I played a minimal role towards the end.		17	accounting for the five years prior to the time	
18 Before during my earlier years as		18	you left Highland?	
19 CFO, I did more, and then as time went on, I		19	A. I don't – if you're asking from	
20 did less in it.		20	2016 on, I don't – it was Dave Klos, but,	
21 Q. Okay. Was there a person at		21	again, there was there was changes to the	
22 Highland who was responsible for overseeing		22	team and the reporting structure. I don't	
23 Highland's participation in PwC's audit during		23	remember exactly when that happened during –	
24 the time that you were the CFO?		24	you know, over the last since 2016.	
25 A. Yeah. I mean, there was – there		25	Q. Did the folks who participated and	
,				<b>D</b> 00
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 88	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 89
2 ran the audit all report to you, directly or		2	lf you – if you talk to my team members, I'm	
3 indirectly?	:		not micromanaging people. I want people to	
4 A. Yes.			learn and grow in their function so they can go	
5 Q. And did you have any responsibility			on and do bigger and better things with their	
6 for making sure that the audit report was		-	careers.	
7 accurate before it was finalized?		7	And so, yes, generally I was	
8 A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that –			responsible for it, but I wanted the team to	
9 that is – my responsibility to the auditors			learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk	
10 was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are		10	of the audit.	
11 providing accurate financial statements; right?	1	11	Q. Did you personally review each audit	
12 And – and – and as part of any		12	report before it was finalized to satisfy	
13 audit, we disclose all relevant information as		13	yourself that it was accurate?	
14 part of any audit.		14	A. I don't – I don't recall, you know,	
15 Q. Okay. And as the CFO, did you take		15	for every single – we're talking 2016, there	
16 steps to make sure that the audit report was		16	would have been three years, 2016 to '17, '18.	
17 accurate?		17	I don't – we're – we're going back	
18 A. I mean, I would say in a general		18	five years-plus. I don't – you know, I don't	
19 sense, yes. But, again, I mean, I had a		19	recall.	
20 very – I had a very capable and competent		20	Q. Did you have a practice that you	
21 team. I wasn't managing them.		21	employed to make sure that you were satisfied	
22 You know, part of what I do is I let		22	that Highland's audit reports were true and	
23 the team – I want managers to grow. I want		23	accurate to the best of your knowledge?	
24 managers to have rope. And that is – you		24	A. I mean, our – the practice was set	
25 know, I'm not a stand-behind-you type of guy.		25	up with our – the – the practice to put	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		-	· · · · · ·	

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-3 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 25 of 131

Page 90		Page 91
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	i ago o i
2 together accurate audited or accurate financial	2 reporting and disclosures of loans to	
3 statements is to your control environment.	3 affiliates and Mr. Dondero?	
4 So, you know, the – so the practice	4 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
5 was to maintain a stable control environment	5 A. I don't I don't recall	
6 which then the output is – is accurate	6 Q. So you don't recall –	
7 financial statements.	7 A the	
8 So – so, you know, if I was	8 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris –	
9 comfortable that the control environment was	9 A. I don't recall being uncomfortable.	
10 operating, then, you know, that would dictate	10 But, again, we're going back several years. I	
11 how I would you know, what I might or might	11 don't – you know, the practice in an audit is	
12 not do in a given year.	12 to disclose all information to the auditors.	
13 Q. Okay. Do you recall ever being	13 And I don't – I don't recall.	
14 uncomfortable with the control environment	14 Q. As part of the process of the audit,	
15 during the period that you served as CFO?	15 did you sign what is sometimes referred to as a	
16 A. Yeah. I mean, look, yes, there are	16 management representation letter?	
17 times – you know, nothing is perfect. So	17 A. Yes.	
18 there were there were times when, yes, you	18 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the	
19 know – there are times I learned I was	19 screen a document that we have premarked as	
20 uncomfortable with the control environment, and	20 Exhibit 33.	
21 that is part of the management of the process	21 (Exhibit 33 marked.)	
22 and having, you know – and – and working	22 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, that is	
23 through whatever obstacles present themselves.	23 not in the binder; correct?	
24 Q. Okay. Were you ever uncomfortable	24 MR. MORRIS: Correct.	
25 with the control process as it related to	25 Q. So you will see, Mr. Waterhouse,	
Page 92		Page 93
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
	2 materiality?	
3 could go to the signature page.	3 A. Yes.	
4 And do you see that you and 5 Mr. Danders signed this document?	<ul><li>4 Q. And for purposes of the audit, did</li><li>5 PwC set the level of materiality each year?</li></ul>	
<ul><li>5 Mr. Dondero signed this document?</li><li>6 A. Yes.</li></ul>	<ul><li>5 PwC set the level of materiality each year?</li><li>6 A. Yes.</li></ul>	
8 A. Yes. 9 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back	8 A. I'm not aware of what materiality is 9 every single year, so – but, you know, this	
10 to the top.	10 number would likely fluctuate.	
11 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you	11 Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a	
12 have somebody post this in the chat so that	12 question I asked you earlier today. And that	
13 we have can have a copy of this, please.	<ul><li>13 is in connection – this letter is issued in</li></ul>	
14 MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can	14 connection with the audit for the period ending	
15 you do that, please.	15 12/31/2018; correct?	
16 Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of	16 A. Yes.	
17 the second paragraph there is a reference to	17 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that if	
18 materiality?	18 any – actually, withdrawn. I'm going to take	
19 A. Yes.	19 it outside of this.	
20 Q. Okay. It says, Materiality used for	20 If Highland ever forgave the loan to	
21 purposes of these representations is	21 any affiliate or any of its officers or	
22 \$1.7 million.	22 employees, in whole or in part, to the best of	
23 Do you see that?	<ul><li>23 your knowledge, would that forgiveness have</li></ul>	
24 A. Ido.	24 been disclosed in the audited financial	
25 Q. And did PwC set that level of	25 statements if it exceeded the level of	

I         WATERHOUSE-101921         WATERHOUSE-101921           2         Indentify the VC estabilities?         3         Q. But to the best of your knowledge,           3         MS DANDENEAL: Objection to form.         3         Q. But to the best of your knowledge,           4         A. So, agin, during my tenure as CPO.         4         you, presonally, signed a management.           6         dotose any atilise lears that are in excess.         6         Highland 5 audit each year that you served as .           7         or marginally.         8         Now, the forgiveness of those loors.         8         A. I would say generally, but would want to .           11         was forgiven. It may or may not, you know –         11         refere to all the repletters and see who signed           12         and, bok, loudd want to consult the guidance.         12         therm.           13         acound this.         13         Q. Do you recall Highland having its .           14         its not something web or -you         14         then non-its dott meets.         14           16         docksume scall the diverse.         14         going back five, six, server, eight, inne, .           13         would to sould want to consult the guidance.         14         Horn.         14           16         docksume scall the mana		Page 94			Page 95
3       MS_DAVERNEAU: Objection to form.       3       C. But to the best dy cour knowledge,         4       A. So, again, during my terures a CFO,       4 you, personally, signed a management         5       and - Highland - Evas – Its required to       5       representation fetter in connection with         6       disclose any affitted isons that are in excess       7       the CFO: correct?         7       or materiality.       9       Mr. Monts. I don't recall for very single         10       huxbude severy year, a – you know, if a loan       10       year, you know, generally, but i would want to         12       and, boki, huxoid want to consult the guidance       12       them.         13       and, boki, huxoid want to consult the guidance       13       Q. Doyou recall Highland having its         14       It is not something we do – you       14       financial statements audied in any year during       15         15       know, it non – you know, GAAP can be and       15       the priori dintry our were a CFO where you dinth         16       disclosures can be very specialized so, again,       16       isignific thread. But, John, we're         18       seef and what would need to be disclosed if       18       going back the, six server, eight, nine,         19       itwere deemed immaterial.       20       Q. Lot ner	1		1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
4       A. So, again, during my ferure as CFO,       4       you, personally, signed a management         5       and – Highland – It was – It is required to       5       representation interim in connection with         6       disclose any moltifier laws that are in excess       6       Highland sudit each year thoty out served as         7       of materiality       9       M: Mortis. I don't recall for every single         10       huides every year, a – you know, f a kian       10       year, you know, generality, but livouid want to         11       was forgiven, trang or may not, you know –       11       refer to all the rep letters and see who signed         12       and, look, lvouid want to consult the guidance       13       0. Do you recall Highland having its         13       around thus.       13       0. Do you recall Highland having its         14       tis not something we do – you       14       financial statements audited in any year during         15       know, lis not something we would       17       A       Looh recall. But, John, were         18       see if and what would need to be disclosed if       18       going back five, six seven, eight, nine,         19       word want the presention highland's       20       Q       Looh recall. But, John, were         22       neand what would need to be disc	2	materiality that PwC established?	2	signed as well. I don't – I don't recall.	
6       and - Highard - Hives - Its required to       5       representation letter in connection with a connection	3	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	3	Q. But to the best of your knowledge,	
6       disclose any affiliate loans that are in excess       6       Hiphand's audit each year that you served as         7       of materiality.       7       the CFC: correct?         8       Now, the forgiveness of those loans       9       M. Morris. I don't recall for every single         10       functions: every year, ayou know, fa Loan       10       year, you know, generally speaking.         11       was forgiven, it may or may not, you know -       11       refer to all the rep letters and see who signed         12       and, took, lwould want to consult the guidance       12       the not.       13       Q. Doy urecall Highland having its         13       around his.       13       Q. Doy urecall Highland having its       14       firancial statements audited in any year during         15       know, it is not -you know, GAAP can be and       15       the period that you were a CFO where you durint         16       disdoauses can be very specialized so, again,       16       isg in the management representation letter?         17       we want to consult the guidance. But we would       17       A. I chort nearticle yeak that many         18       see if and what would need to be discosed if       18       going back five, six, seement, eight, nine, eight,	4	A. So, again, during my tenure as CFO,	4	you, personally, signed a management	
7       draatestativ,       7       the CFC, correct?         8       Now, the forgiveness of those loans       8       A. I would say generally speaking,         9       may or may not – Innan, since materiality       9       M. Mori, I. Idon't ceall differences         10       thread statestation       10       year, you know, generally speaking,       9         11       was forgiven, it may or may not, you know –       11       refer to all the rep letters and see who signed         12       and, look, louid want to coass, differences, and see who signed       12       them         13       around his.       13       O. Do you reveal Hightand having its         14       its not something we do -you       14       first asitatements audiced in any year during         15       know, EAAP can be and       15       the period that you were a CFO where you didn't         16       disclosures can be very specialized so, again,       16       sign the management representation letter?         17       Ne work to consult the guidance sign       20       0. Loont want to go back that many         18       seef and what would need to be disclosed if       18       go ang back twe, six, seven, eight, nine,         19       it were deemed immaterial.       19       decade. I don't - Loh'n twant to go back that many	5	and Highland it was it is required to	5	representation letter in connection with	
8         Now, the forgiveness of those loans         8         A. I would say generally speaking,           9         may or may not – I mean, since materiality         9         Mr. Morris. I don't necall for every single           10         fucutaise servinger, and unow, if a loan         10         year, you know, generally speaking,           11         was forgiven, it may or may not, you know –         11         refer to all the repleters and see who signed           12         and, look, I would want to consult the guidance         12         them, I would want to consult the guidance           13         and, Do, You recall Highland having its         13         O. Do you recall Highland having its           14         this not something we do – you         14         financial statements audited in any year during           16         disclosures can be very spocialized so, again,         16         sign the management representation letter?           17         we want to consult the guidance.         10         14         financial statements audited in any year during           18         see fland what would need to be disclosed if         19         decades, but fm just asking you if you recall           19         weard want would need to be disclosed if         19         decades, but fm just asking you if you recall           21         meangement representation letters of this t	6	disclose any affiliate loans that are in excess	6	Highland's audit each year that you served as	
9         Mex	7	of materiality.	7	the CFO; correct?	
9         Mex	8	Now, the forgiveness of those loans	8	A. I would say generally speaking,	
11       was forghen, it may or may not, you know –       11       refer to all the rep letters and see who signed         12       and, look, it would want to consult the guidance       12       them.         13       anound his.       13       0.       D you recall Highland having its         14       it is not something we do – you       14       financial statements audited in any year during         15       know, its not – you know, GAAP can be and       15       the period that you were a CFO where you didn't         16       disclosures can be very specialized so, again,       16       sign the management prepresentation letter?         17       we want to consult the guidance. But we would       17       A       I don't recall. But, John, we're         18       seef and what would need to be disclosed if       18       going back five, six, seven, eight, nine,         19       tweer deem immaterial.       19       decades, but Tim just asking you Tyou recall         20       Q. Did you and Mr. Dondero sign       20       Q. I don't want to go back that many         21       in anagement representation letters on the statement.       24       Sign addition the way would in this goin?         23       CFO?       23       A       I - I - I will speak for myseff.       24       is - again, I - I - anot teliyou what I	9	may or may not I mean, since materiality	9	Mr. Morris. I don't recall for every single	
11       was forgiven, it may or may not, you know –       11       refer to all the rep letters and see who signed         12       and, look, i would want to consult the guidance       12       them.         13       anound his.       13       0. Do you recall Highland having its         14       It is not something we do – you       14       financial statements audited in any you are a CFO where you didn't         16       disclosures can be very specialized so, again,       16       sign the management representation letter?         17       we want to consult the guidance.       But we would       17       A       Idon't recall.       Bud back five, six, seven, eight, nine,         18       see if and what would need to be disclosed if       18       going back five, six, seven, eight, nine,       19         19       it was deemed immaterial.       19       decade.       Idon't know kreat       20       0.       Idon't know kreat       20       1       Idon't know firs ure call         21       in acach keer in which you served as Highland's       22       that there was you didn't sign if?       23       A I – I – I don't know firs ure call       2       that there was you didn't sign if?         23       CPO?       23       A I – I – I don't know for sure, and I       2       management tegresentation       3	10	fluctuates every year, a – you know, if a loan	10	year, you know, generally, but I would want to	
13       around this.       13       Q. Do you recall Highland having its         14       It is not something we do -you       14       financial statements audited in any year during         15       know, its not -you know, GAAP can be and       15       the period that you were a CFO where you didn't         16       disclosures can be very specialized so, again,       16       the period that you were a CFO where you didn't         16       see fand what would need to be disclosed if       18       ging back five, sis, seven, eight, nine,         19       it were deemed immaterial.       19       decade. I don't = I don't know mercory         20       Q. Dd you and Mr. Dondero sign       20       Q. I don't want to go back five, sis, seven, eight, nine,         21       management representation letters of this type       21       decades, but I'm sus asking you if you recall         22       in each year in which you served as Highland's       22       that there was you dich't sign it?         23       CFO?       23       A. I = I - I don't but mermory       24         24       A. I = I - I will speak for myself.       24       is again, I = - I don't but mermory       24         3       would want to rely on the document.       3       letters?       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page s7         1 </td <td>11</td> <td>was forgiven, it may or may not, you know –</td> <td>11</td> <td>refer to all the rep letters and see who signed</td> <td></td>	11	was forgiven, it may or may not, you know –	11	refer to all the rep letters and see who signed	
13       around this.       13       Q. Do you recall Highland having its         14       It is not something we do -you       14       financial statements audited in any year during         15       know, its not -you know, GAAP can be and       15       the period that you were a CFO where you didn't         16       disclosures can be very specialized so, again,       16       the period that you were a CFO where you didn't         16       see fand what would need to be disclosed if       18       ging back five, sis, seven, eight, nine,         19       it were deemed immaterial.       19       decade. I don't = I don't know mercory         20       Q. Dd you and Mr. Dondero sign       20       Q. I don't want to go back five, sis, seven, eight, nine,         21       management representation letters of this type       21       decades, but I'm sus asking you if you recall         22       in each year in which you served as Highland's       22       that there was you dich't sign it?         23       CFO?       23       A. I = I - I don't but mermory       24         24       A. I = I - I will speak for myself.       24       is again, I = - I don't but mermory       24         3       would want to rely on the document.       3       letters?       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page s7         1 </td <td>12</td> <td>and, look, I would want to consult the guidance</td> <td>12</td> <td>them.</td> <td></td>	12	and, look, I would want to consult the guidance	12	them.	
14       It is not something we do - you       14       financial statements audited in any year during         15       krow, it is not - you know, GAAP can be and       15       the period that you were a CP where you didn't         16       disclosures can be very specialized so, again,       16       sign back five, six, seven, eight, nine,         17       we want to consult the guidance. But we would       17       A. I don't recall. But, John, we're         18       seef and what would need to be disclosed if       19       ging back five, six, seven, eight, nine,         19       twere deemed immaterial.       19       decades. I don't - I don't member.       20         20       O. Did you and Mr. Dondero sign       20       C. I don't want to go back that many       21         21       mach year in which you served as Highland's       22       that there was you dich't sign it?       23         23       CFO?       23       A. I – I – I don't but my memory       24       is a - again, I – I – I can't tell you what I         25       isigned them. There may have been others that       25       did in 2012. Immean, I think generally, yes,         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 96       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2         2       but I don't - I don't hrow for sure, and I       3       letters? </td <td>13</td> <td>-</td> <td>13</td> <td>Q. Do you recall Highland having its</td> <td></td>	13	-	13	Q. Do you recall Highland having its	
15       know, it is not – you know, GAAP can be and       15       the period that you were a CFO where you didn't         16       disclosures can be very specialized so, again,       16       sign the management representation letter?         17       we want to consult the guidance. But we would       17       A. I don't recail. But, John, we're         18       seel f and what would need to be disclosed if       18       going back five, six, seven, eight, nine,         19       tweer deemed immaterial.       19       decades. L don't – I don't theremember.         20       Q. Did you and Mr. Dondero sign       20       Q. I don't went to go back that many         21       management representation letters of this type       21       decades. but I'' hust asking you if you recall         21       mark agement trapresentation letters of this type       23       A. I – I – I don't hut my memory         23       CFO?       23       A. I – I – I don't hut my memory         24       A. I – I – I will speak for myself.       24       is – again, I – I – I don't know that I         25       didi n 2012. I mean, I think generally, yes,       Page 97         2       but I don't – I don't know for sure, and I       2       management to sign management representation         3       would want to reiy on the document.       4       MS. DEITSCH-	14	It is not something we do – you	14		
16       disclosures can be very specialized so, again,       16       sign the management representation letter?         17       we want to consult the guidance. But we would       17       A. I don't recall. But, John, we're         18       see if and what would need to be disclosed if       18       ging back five, six, seven, eight, inite,         19       it were deemed immaterial.       19       decade. I don't - I don't tremember.       20         20       Q. Did you and Mr. Dondero sign       20       Q. I don't want tog back that many         21       ineach year in which you seved as Highand's       21       decades. but I'm just asking you if you recall         22       in each year in which you seved as Highand's       23       A. I – I – I will speak for myself.       23       A. I – I – I don't hyou that I       25         25       isigned them. There may have been others that       25       did in 2012. I mean, I think generally, yes,       Page 97         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 97       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 97         2       but I don't how for sure, and I       3       ietters?       4       MS. DETISCH-PEREZ: Object to the       5       form.         6       Doy up have any reason to believe       6       A. I don't throw why PwC's – what PwC's       7	15		15		
17       we want to consult the guidance. But we would       17       A. I don't recall. But, John, we're         18       see if and what would need to be disclosed if       18       going Dack five, six, sever, elight, nine,         19       tweer deemed immaterial.       19       decade. I clon't memenber.         20       Q. Did you and Mr. Dondero sign       20       Q. I don't want to go back that many         21       in each year in which you served as Highland's       21       decade. I clon't but my memory         24       A. I – I – I will speak for myself.       24       is – again, I – I – acht tal you what1         25       signed them. There may have been others that       25       did in 2012. I mean, I think generally, yes,         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 97       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 97         2       but I don't - I don't know for sure, and I       3       ietters?       4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       5       form.         6       Do you have any reason to believe       7       specific practice is. I know generally what       8       management representation letters are.       9       Q. Okay. Do you personally – firm not         10       audit?       10       asking about you, do you have an understanding       12       as lon't know why PwC's – what PwC	1				
18       see if and what would need to be disclosed if       18       going back five, six, seven, eight, nine,         19       it were deemed immaterial.       19       decade.       I don't – I don't remember.         20       Q. Did you and Mr. Dondero sign       20       Q. I don't want to go back that many         21       in each year in which you served as Highland's       22       in each year in which you served as Highland's         22       in each year in which you served as Highland's       23       A. I – I – I don't, but my memory         24       A. I – I – I will speak for myself.       24       is again, I – I – I an't tell you what I         25       lsigned them. There may have been others that       25       did in 2012. I mean, I think generally, yes,         7       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 97       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 97         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 98       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 97         2       but I don't how for sure, and I       3       ietters?       4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       5       form.         5       differently then.       6       A. I don't know why PwC's – what PwC's       7       stack the question a little bit       4       management representation letter set.       9       <	I				
19       it were deemed immaterial.       19       decade.       I don't end too't endember.         20       Q.       Ido't want too go back that many       21         21       in each year in which you served as Highland's       22       Q.       I don't want too go back that many         23       CFO?       23       A.       I - I - I don't, but my memory         24       A.       I - I - I will speak for myself.       23       A.       I - I - I can't tell you what I         25       digned them.       There may have been others that       25       did in 2012. I mean, I think generally, yes,         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 96       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 97         2       but I don't - I don't know for sure, and I       3       letters?       4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       5         3       would want to rely on the document.       4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       5       form.         4       Q.       Let me ask the question a little bit       5       form.       1       asking about PwC's - what PwC's - what PwC's       7         7       that Highland had its annual financial audit       7       specific practice is. I know generality mutat       8       management tepresentation letters are. <td>I</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	I	-			
20       Q.       Idex and Mr. Dondero sign       20       Q.       Idexades, but Thijust asking you if you recall         21       management representation letters of this type       21       decades, but Thijust asking you if you recall         22       in each year in which you served as Highland's       22       that there was you didn't sign if?         23       CFO?       23       A.       I - I - I will speak for myself.       24       is - again, I - I - I can't tell you what I         25       Isigned them. There may have been others that       25       did in 2012. I mean, I think generally, yes,         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       24       is - again, I - I - I can't tell you what I         26       but I don't - I don't know for sure, and I       2       management to sign management representation         3       would want to rely on the document.       3       I detters?       4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       5         4       Q. Let me ask the question a little bit       5       form.       6       A.       I don't know why PwC's - what PwC's         7       that Highland had its annual financial audit       7       specific practice is. I how generally what       8       management representation letters are.       9       Q. Okay. Do you personally - fm not       10       audit?       1<					
21       management representation letters of this type       21       decades, but I'm just asking you if you recall         22       in each year in which you served as Highland's       22       that there was you didn't sign it?         23       CFO?       23       A. I – I – I owill speak for myself.       23       A. I – I – I can't but my memory         24       A. I – I – I will speak for myself.       24       is – again, I – I – can't tell you what I         25       light of the document.       25       did in 2012. I mean, I think generally, yes,         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 96       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 97         2       but I don't – I don't know for sure, and I       3       isetters?       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 97         4       Q. Let me ask the question a little bit       4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       5       form.         6       Do you have any reason to believe       6       A. I don't know why PwC's – what PwC's       7       specific practice is. I know generally what         8       and you did not sign a management       8       management representation letters are.       9       Q. Okay. Do you personally – Tm not         10       audit?       10       asking about you, do you unave an understanding					
22       in each year in which you served as Highland's       22       that there was you didn't sign it?         23       CFO?       23       A. I – I – I don't, but my memory         24       A. I – I – I will speak for myself.       23       A. I – I – I can't tell you what I         25       I signed them. There may have been others that       25       did in 2012. I mean, I think generally, yes,         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 96       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 97         2       but I don't – I don't know for sure, and I       3       would want to rely on the document.       3       letters?       4       M.S. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       5       form.         6       Do you have any reason to believe       7       specific practice is. I know generally what       8       management tepresentation letters are.       9       9       Q. Ckay. Do you personally – I'm not         10       audit?       11       asking about you, do you have an understanding       13       as to why the auditor ask for management       9       Q. Ckay. Do you personally – I'm not         10       audit?       11       asking about you, do you have an understanding       14       A. I don't believe it would, but,       13       as to why the auditor ask for management       16       as towhy the auditor ask for man	1				
23       CFO?       23       A       I - I - I don't, but my memory         24       A       I - I - I will speak for myself.       24       is - again, I - I - I can't tell you what I         25       I signed them. There may have been others that       Page 96       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 97         2       but I don't - I don't know for sure, and I       3       would want to rely on the document.       3       letters?         4       Q.       Let me ask the question a little bit       5       form.       6       A. I don't know why PwC's - what PwC's       *         6       Do you have any reason to believe       6       A. I don't know why PwC's - what PwC's       *       *       *       Specific practice is. I know generally what       *	1				
24       A. I – I – I will speak for myself.       24       is – again, I – I – I can't tell you what I         25       I signed them. There may have been others that       25       did in 2012. I mean, I think generally, yes,         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 96       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 97         2       but I don't – I don't know for sure, and I       3       would want to rely on the document.       4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       5         5       differently then.       6       A. I don't know why PwC's – what PwC's       7       what I kinknow why PwC's – what PwC's       7         7       that Highland had its annual financial audit       8       management representation letters are.       9       Q. Okay. Do you personally – I'm not         10       audit?       1       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       11       asking about PwC. I'm asking for you – I'm         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       11       asking about PwC. I'm asking for you – I'm         12       A. I don't heileweit would, but,       12       as to why the auditor asks for management         13       again, I would want to – I don't recall and I       13       representation letters?         14       would want to – I don't recall and I       13       A. I don't blewe would, but	1				
25       I signed them. There may have been others that       25       did in 2012. I mean, I think generally, yes,         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 97         2       but I don't – I don't know for sure, and I       2       management to sign management representation       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 97         3       would want to rely on the document.       3       letters?       4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       5         4       Q. Let me ask the question a little bit       5       form.       6       A. I don't know why PwC's – what PwC's       7         7       that Highland had its annual financial audit       7       specific practice is. I know generally what       8       management representation letters are.       9       Q. Okay. Do you personally – I'm not         10       audit?       10       asking about PwC. I'm asking for you – I'm       11       asking about you, do you have an understanding         12       A. I don't believe it would, but,       12       as to why the auditor asks for management       19       as to why the auditor asks for management         13       again, I would want to – I don't recall and I       13       representation letters?       14       A. Okay. So you're asking me in my         15       know, an					
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 96         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       management to sign management representation         3       would want to rely on the document.       anagement to sign management representation         4       Q. Let me ask the question a little bit       f         5       differently then.       6       A. I don't know why PwC's – what PwC's         7       that Highland had its annual financial audit       7       specific practice is. I know generally what         8       and you did not sign a management       9       Q. Okay. Do you presonally – I'm not         10       audit?       10       asking about PwC. I'm asking for you – I'm         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       11       asking about PwC. I'm asking for you – I'm         13       again, I would want to confirm it to – to make, you       14       A. Okay. So you're asking me in my         15       know, an affirmative – to give an affirmative       16       understanding of why.         17       Q. Do you know whether PwC required       17       Q. Can you give me the general         18       management representation letters are sequired?       20       A. They are – they are required?         19       letters?       19       management representatin letters are required?	25			•	
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       but I don't – I don't know for sure, and I       2       management to sign management representation         3       would want to rely on the document.       3       letters?         4       Q. Let me ask the question a little bit       4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         5       differently then.       6       A. I don't know why PwC's – what PwC's         7       that Highland had its annual financial audit       7       specific practice is. I know generally what         8       and you did not sign a management       9       Q. Okay. Do you personally – I'm not         10       audit?       10       asking about PwC. I'm asking for you – I'm         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       11       ask to why the auditor asks for management         12       A. I don't believe it would, but,       12       as to why the auditor asks for management         13       aggin, I would want to – I don't recall and I       13       asking about PwC. I'm asking for you – I'm         13       aggin, I would want to confirm it to – to make, you       14       A. Okay. So you're asking me in my         15       know, an affirmative – to give an affirmative       15       personal capacity, yes, I have a general			20	ald in 2012. Thican, Full in generally, yes,	
3       would want to rely on the document.       3       letters?         4       Q. Let me ask the question a little bit       4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         5       differently then.       6       A. I don't know why PwC's – what PwC's         7       that Highland had its annual financial audit       7       specific practice is. I know generally what         8       and you did not sign a management       8       management representation letters are.         9       representation letter in connection with that       9       Q. Okay. Do you provenally – I'm not         10       audit?       10       asking about PwC. I'm asking for you – I'm         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       11       asking about you, do you have an understanding         12       A. I don't believe it would, but,       12       as to why the auditor asks for management         13       again, I would want to – I don't recall and I       13       representation letters?         14       would want to confirm it to – to make, you       14       A. Okay. So you're asking me in my         15       know, an affirmative – to give an affirmative       15       personal capacity, yes, I have a general         18       management to sign management representation       18       understanding of why.	1		1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 97
3       would want to rely on the document.       3       letters?         4       Q. Let me ask the question a little bit       4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         5       differently then.       6       A. I don't know why PwC's – what PwC's         7       that Highland had its annual financial audit       7       specific practice is. I know generally what         8       and you did not sign a management       9       Q. Okay. Do you personally – I'm not         10       audit?       10       asking about PwC. I'm asking for you – I'm         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       11       asking about you, do you have an understanding         12       A. I don't believe it would, but,       12       as to why the auditor asks for management         13       again, I would want to – I don't recall and I       13       representation letters?         14       would want to confirm it to – to make, you       14       A. Okay. So you're asking me in my         15       know, an affirmative – to give an affirmative       15       personal capacity, yes, I have a general         18       management representation       18       understanding of why.         17       Q. Do you know whether PwC required       17       Q. Can you give me the general         18       understand	2	but I don't – I don't know for sure, and I	2	management to sign management representation	
4       Q. Let me ask the question a little bit       4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         5       differently then.       5       form.         6       Do you have any reason to believe       6       A. I don't know why PwC's – what PwC's         7       that Highland had its annual financial audit       7       specific practice is. I know generally what         8       and you did not sign a management       8       management representation letters are.         9       representation letter in connection with that       9       Q. Okay. Do you personally – I'm not         10       audit?       10       asking about you, do you have an understanding         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       11       asking about you, do you have an understanding         12       A. I don't believe it would, but,       12       as to why the auditor asks for management         13       again, I would want to confirm it to – to make, you       14       A. Okay. So you're asking me in my         15       know, an affirmative – to give an affirmative       15       personal capacity, yes, I have a general         16       answer.       10       understanding of why.       17         17       Q. Do you know whether PwC required       17       Q. Can you give me the general       understanding that you hav	3				
5differently then.5form.6Do you have any reason to believe6A. I don't know why PwC's – what PwC's7that Highland had its annual financial audit7specific practice is. I know generally what8and you did not sign a management9Q. Okay. Do you personally – I'm not10audit?10asking about PwC. I'm asking for you – I'm11MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.11asking about you, do you have an understanding12A. I don't believe it would, but,12as to why the auditor asks for management13again, I would want to – I don't recall and I13representation letters?14would want to – I don't recall and I13representation letters?15know, an affirmative – to give an affirmative15personal capacity, yes, I have a general16answer.17Q. Can you give me the general18understanding of why.17Q. Can you give me the general18understanding that you have as to why19management representation letters are required?20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. They are – they are enquired to –21A. Yes. I mean, it – management21they are – they are one of the items required22representation letters are signed by22in an audit to help verify completeness.23Q. Okay. And do you know – do you24understanding as to why management	4		4		
6Do you have any reason to believe6A. I don't know why PwC's – what PwC's7that Highland had its annual financial audit7specific practice is. I know generally what8and you did not sign a management9Q. Okay. Do you personally – I'm not10audit?10asking about PwC. I'm asking for you – I'm11MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.11asking about you, do you have an understanding12A. I don't believe it would, but,12as to why the auditor asks for management13again, I would want to – I don't recall and I13representation letters?14would want to confirm it to – to make, you14A. Okay. So you're asking me in my15know, an affirmative – to give an affirmative15personal capacity, yes, I have a general18management to sign management representation18understanding fut you have as to why19letters?19management representation letters are required?20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. They are – they are required to –21A. Yes. I mean, it – management21they are – they are required to –22representation letters are signed by22in an audit to help verify completeness.23Q. Okay. And do you know – do you24understanding as to why management	5				
7that Highland had its annual financial audit7specific practice is. I know generally what8and you did not sign a management8management representation letters are.9representation letter in connection with that9Q. Okay. Do you personally – I'm not10audit?10asking about PwC. I'm asking for you – I'm11MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.11asking about you, do you have an understanding12A. I don't believe it would, but,12as to why the auditor asks for management13again, I would want to – I don't recall and I13representation letters?14would want to confirm it to – to make, you14A. Okay. So you're asking me in my15know, an affirmative – to give an affirmative15personal capacity, yes, I have a general16answer.16understanding of why.17Q. Do you know whether PwC required17Q. Can you give me the general18management to sign management representation18understanding that you have as to why19letters?19management representation letters are required?20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. They are – they are one of the items required21A. Yes. I mean, it – management21they are – they are one of the items required22representation letters are signed by22in an audit to help verify completeness.23Q. Okay. And do you know – do you24understanding as to why management <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	1				
8       and you did not sign a management       8       management representation letters are.         9       representation letter in connection with that       9       Q. Okay. Do you personally – I'm not         10       audit?       10       asking about PwC. I'm asking for you – I'm         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       11       asking about you, do you have an understanding         12       A. I don't believe it would, but,       12       as to why the auditor asks for management         13       again, I would want to – I don't recall and I       13       representation letters?         14       would want to confirm it to – to make, you       14       A. Okay. So you're asking me in my         15       know, an affirmative – to give an affirmative       15       personal capacity, yes, I have a general         16       answer.       16       understanding of why.         17       Q. Do you know whether PwC required       17       Q. Can you give me the general         18       management representation letters are required?       20       A. They are – they are required to –         21       A. Yes. I mean, it – management       20       A. They are – they are one of the items required         22       representation letters are signed by       22       in an audit to help verify completeness.	7				
9       representation letter in connection with that       9       Q. Okay. Do you personally – I'm not         10       audit?       10       asking about PwC. I'm asking for you – I'm         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       11       asking about you, do you have an understanding         12       A. I don't believe it would, but,       12       as to why the auditor asks for management         13       again, I would want to – I don't recall and I       13       representation letters?         14       would want to confirm it to – to make, you       14       A. Okay. So you're asking me in my         15       know, an affirmative – to give an affirmative       15       personal capacity, yes, I have a general         16       answer.       16       understanding of why.         17       Q. Do you know whether PwC required       17       Q. Can you give me the general         18       management to sign management representation       18       understanding that you have as to why         19       letters?       19       management representation letters are required?         20       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       20       A. They are – they are one of the items required         21       A. Yes. I mean, it – management       21       they are – they are one of the items required	8	-			
10audit?10asking about PwC. I'm asking for you - I'm11MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.11asking about you, do you have an understanding12A. I don't believe it would, but,12as to why the auditor asks for management13again, I would want to - I don't recall and I13representation letters?14would want to confirm it to - to make, you14A. Okay. So you're asking me in my15know, an affirmative - to give an affirmative15personal capacity, yes, I have a general16answer.16understanding of why.17Q. Do you know whether PwC required17Q. Can you give me the general18management to sign management representation18understanding that you have as to why19letters?19management representation letters are required?20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. They are - they are required to -21A. Yes. I mean, it - management21they are - they are one of the items required22representation letters are signed by22in an audit to help verify completeness.23Q. Okay. And do you know - do you24understanding as to why management	9				
11MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.11asking about you, do you have an understanding12A. I don't believe it would, but,12as to why the auditor asks for management13again, I would want to - I don't recall and I13representation letters?14would want to confirm it to - to make, you14A. Okay. So you're asking me in my15know, an affirmative - to give an affirmative15personal capacity, yes, I have a general16answer.16understanding of why.17Q. Do you know whether PwC required17Q. Can you give me the general18management to sign management representation18understanding that you have as to why19letters?19management representation letters are required?20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. They are - they are required to -21A. Yes. I mean, it - management21they are - they are one of the items required22representation letters are signed by22in an audit to help verify completeness.23Q. Okay. And do you know - do you24understanding as to why management	1				
12A. I don't believe it would, but,12as to why the auditor asks for management13again, I would want to - I don't recall and I13representation letters?14would want to confirm it to - to make, you14A. Okay. So you're asking me in my15know, an affirmative - to give an affirmative15personal capacity, yes, I have a general16answer.16understanding of why.17Q. Do you know whether PwC required17Q. Can you give me the general18management to sign management representation18understanding that you have as to why19letters?19management representation letters are required?20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. They are - they are one of the items required to -21A. Yes. I mean, it - management21they are - they are one of the items required22representation letters are signed by22in an audit to help verify completeness.23Q. Okay. And do you know - do you24understanding as to why management	1				
13again, I would want to - I don't recall and I13representation letters?14would want to confirm it to - to make, you14A. Okay. So you're asking me in my15know, an affirmative - to give an affirmative15personal capacity, yes, I have a general16answer.16understanding of why.17Q. Do you know whether PwC required17Q. Can you give me the general18management to sign management representation18understanding that you have as to why19letters?19management representation letters are required?20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. They are - they are required to -21A. Yes. I mean, it - management21they are - they are one of the items required22representation letters are signed by22in an audit to help verify completeness.23Management.23Q. Do you have any - any other24Q. Okay. And do you know - do you24understanding as to why management	1	-			
14would want to confirm it to - to make, you14A. Okay. So you're asking me in my15know, an affirmative - to give an affirmative15personal capacity, yes, I have a general16answer.16understanding of why.17Q. Do you know whether PwC required17Q. Can you give me the general18management to sign management representation18understanding that you have as to why19letters?19management representation letters are required?20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. They are - they are required to -21A. Yes. I mean, it - management21they are - they are one of the items required22representation letters are signed by22in an audit to help verify completeness.23Q. Okay. And do you know - do you24understanding as to why management	1				
15know, an affirmative – to give an affirmative15personal capacity, yes, I have a general16answer.16understanding of why.17Q. Do you know whether PwC required17Q. Can you give me the general18management to sign management representation18understanding that you have as to why19letters?19management representation letters are required?20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. They are – they are required to –21A. Yes. I mean, it – management21they are – they are one of the items required22representation letters are signed by22in an audit to help verify completeness.23management.23Q. Do you have any – any other24Q. Okay. And do you know – do you24understanding as to why management	1	•			
16answer.16understanding of why.17Q. Do you know whether PwC required17Q. Can you give me the general18management to sign management representation18understanding that you have as to why19letters?19management representation letters are required?20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. They are – they are required to –21A. Yes. I mean, it – management21they are – they are one of the items required22representation letters are signed by22in an audit to help verify completeness.23management.23Q. Do you have any – any other24Q. Okay. And do you know – do you24understanding as to why management	I	-			
17Q. Do you know whether PwC required17Q. Can you give me the general18management to sign management representation18understanding that you have as to why19letters?19management representation letters are required?20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. They are – they are required to –21A. Yes. I mean, it – management21they are – they are one of the items required22representation letters are signed by22in an audit to help verify completeness.23management.23Q. Do you have any – any other24Q. Okay. And do you know – do you24understanding as to why management	1				
18management to sign management representation18understanding that you have as to why19letters?19management representation letters are required?20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. They are – they are required to –21A. Yes. I mean, it – management21they are – they are one of the items required22representation letters are signed by22in an audit to help verify completeness.23management.23Q. Do you have any – any other24Q. Okay. And do you know – do you24understanding as to why management	1			- · ·	
19letters?19management representation letters are required?20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. They are – they are required to –21A. Yes. I mean, it – management21they are – they are one of the items required22representation letters are signed by22in an audit to help verify completeness.23management.23Q. Do you have any – any other24Q. Okay. And do you know – do you24understanding as to why management	1				
20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. They are – they are required to –21A. Yes. I mean, it – management21they are – they are one of the items required22representation letters are signed by22in an audit to help verify completeness.23management.23Q. Do you have any – any other24Q. Okay. And do you know – do you24understanding as to why management	1				
21A. Yes. I mean, it - management21they are - they are one of the items required22representation letters are signed by22in an audit to help verify completeness.23management.23Q. Do you have any - any other24Q. Okay. And do you know - do you24understanding as to why management	1				
22 representation letters are signed by22 in an audit to help verify completeness.23 management.23 Q. Do you have any – any other24 Q. Okay. And do you know – do you24 understanding as to why management	1	-			
23 management.23 Q. Do you have any – any other24 Q. Okay. And do you know – do you24 understanding as to why management	1	-			
24     Q.     Okay. And do you know – do you     24 understanding as to why management	1				
	1	-			
	120	have any anacistanting as to why I we requires	20		

Page 9	3		Page 99
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 A. That is – that is – other than	2	letter – representation letter, yes.	
3 what I said, it is – it is – it is required	3	Q. Okay. Did you discuss this letter	
4 so – to ensure that the – you know, there	4	with Mr. Dondero before you signed it?	
5 is – there is completeness in what is being	5	A. I don't recall.	
6 audited.	6	Q. Do you recall if Mr. Dondero asked	
7 Q. Did you – did you have a practice	7	you any questions before he signed the letter?	
8 whereby you and Mr. Dondero conferred about the	8	A. I don't recall.	
9 management representation letters before you	9	Q. Do you recall if you asked	
10 signed them?	10	Mr. Dondero any questions before you signed	
11 A. No.	11	this letter?	
12 Q. Did you have a practice –	12	A. I don't recall.	
13 withdrawn.	13	Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Dondero	
14 Do you see just the next sentence	14	did not disclose to you the existence of the	
15 after the materiality, there is a sentence that	15	agreement that we have - as we've defined that	
16 states: We confirm, to the best of our	16	term prior to the time you signed this letter?	
17 knowledge and belief, as of June 3rd, 2019, the	17	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
18 date of your report, the following	18	A. I don't think I understand the	
19 representations made to you during your audit.	19	question. So, again, you are saying, did	
20 Do you see that sentence?	20	Mr. Dondero not disclose to me the existence of	
21 A. Yes.	21	this letter?	
22 Q. Okay. Did you understand when you	22	Q. No, I apologize.	
23 signed this letter that you were confirming the	23	Did Mr. Dondero disclose to you the	
24 representations that followed?	24	existence of the agreement prior to the time	
25 A. When I signed this management	25		
Page 10	)		Page 101
Page 10 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 101
		WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in	Page 101
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1		Page 101
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A. The agreement the agreement that	1 2	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in	Page 101
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A. The agreement – the agreement that3we talked about earlier?	1 2 3	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there	Page 101
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The agreement the agreement that</li> <li>we talked about earlier?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would	Page 101
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The agreement – the agreement that</li> <li>we talked about earlier?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Look, as I said earlier, the first</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would	Page 101
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The agreement – the agreement that</li> <li>we talked about earlier?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Look, as I said earlier, the first</li> <li>time I heard of this agreement was sometime</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties.	Page 101
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The agreement – the agreement that</li> <li>we talked about earlier?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Look, as I said earlier, the first</li> <li>time I heard of this agreement was sometime</li> <li>this year.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one	Page 101
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The agreement – the agreement that</li> <li>we talked about earlier?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Look, as I said earlier, the first</li> <li>time I heard of this agreement was sometime</li> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if	Page 101
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The agreement – the agreement that</li> <li>we talked about earlier?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Look, as I said earlier, the first</li> <li>time I heard of this agreement was sometime</li> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just</li> <li>look at a couple of items on the list. If we</li> <li>can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35</li> <li>it talks about the proper recording or</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850,	Page 101
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The agreement – the agreement that</li> <li>we talked about earlier?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Look, as I said earlier, the first</li> <li>time I heard of this agreement was sometime</li> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just</li> <li>look at a couple of items on the list. If we</li> <li>can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D.	Page 101
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The agreement – the agreement that</li> <li>we talked about earlier?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Look, as I said earlier, the first</li> <li>time I heard of this agreement was sometime</li> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just</li> <li>look at a couple of items on the list. If we</li> <li>can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35</li> <li>it talks about the proper recording or</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to	Page 101
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The agreement – the agreement that</li> <li>we talked about earlier?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Look, as I said earlier, the first</li> <li>time I heard of this agreement was sometime</li> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just</li> <li>look at a couple of items on the list. If we</li> <li>can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35</li> <li>it talks about the proper recording or</li> <li>disclosure in the financial statements of ND</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that	Page 101
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The agreement – the agreement that</li> <li>we talked about earlier?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Look, as I said earlier, the first</li> <li>time I heard of this agreement was sometime</li> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just</li> <li>look at a couple of items on the list. If we</li> <li>can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35</li> <li>it talks about the proper recording or</li> <li>disclosure in the financial statements of ND</li> <li>relationships and transactions with related</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and	Page 101
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The agreement – the agreement that</li> <li>we talked about earlier?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Look, as I said earlier, the first</li> <li>time I heard of this agreement was sometime</li> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just</li> <li>look at a couple of items on the list. If we</li> <li>can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35</li> <li>it talks about the proper recording or</li> <li>disclosure in the financial statements of ND</li> <li>relationships and transactions with related</li> <li>parties.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience?	Page 101
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The agreement – the agreement that</li> <li>we talked about earlier?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Look, as I said earlier, the first</li> <li>time I heard of this agreement was sometime</li> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just</li> <li>look at a couple of items on the list. If we</li> <li>can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35</li> <li>it talks about the proper recording or</li> <li>disclosure in the financial statements of ND</li> <li>relationships and transactions with related</li> <li>parties.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities	Page 101
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The agreement – the agreement that</li> <li>we talked about earlier?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Look, as I said earlier, the first</li> <li>time I heard of this agreement was sometime</li> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just</li> <li>look at a couple of items on the list. If we</li> <li>can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35</li> <li>it talks about the proper recording or</li> <li>disclosure in the financial statements of ND</li> <li>relationships and transactions with related</li> <li>parties.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates.	Page 101
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. The agreement – the agreement that         3       we talked about earlier?         4       Q. Correct.         5       A. Look, as I said earlier, the first         6       time I heard of this agreement was sometime         7       this year.         8       Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just         9       look at a couple of items on the list. If we         10       can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35         11       it talks about the proper recording or         12       disclosure in the financial statements of ND         13       relationships and transactions with related         14       parties.         15       Do you see that?         16       A. I do.         17       Q. As the CFO, do you have any	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also	Page 101
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The agreement – the agreement that</li> <li>we talked about earlier?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Look, as I said earlier, the first</li> <li>time I heard of this agreement was sometime</li> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just</li> <li>look at a couple of items on the list. If we</li> <li>can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35</li> <li>it talks about the proper recording or</li> <li>disclosure in the financial statements of ND</li> <li>relationships and transactions with related</li> <li>parties.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO, do you have any</li> <li>understanding as to whether Dugaboy is a</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also qualify as a related party for purposes of	Page 101
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. The agreement – the agreement that         3       we talked about earlier?         4       Q. Correct.         5       A. Look, as I said earlier, the first         6       time I heard of this agreement was sometime         7       this year.         8       Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just         9       look at a couple of items on the list. If we         10       can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35         11       it talks about the proper recording or         12       disclosure in the financial statements of ND         13       relationships and transactions with related         14       parties.         15       Do you see that?         16       A. I do.         17       Q. As the CFO, do you have any         18       understanding as to whether Dugaboy is a         19       related party?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also qualify as a related party for purposes of Section 35D, to the best of your knowledge?	Page 101
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. The agreement – the agreement that         3       we talked about earlier?         4       Q. Correct.         5       A. Look, as I said earlier, the first         6       time I heard of this agreement was sometime         7       this year.         8       Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just         9       look at a couple of items on the list. If we         10       can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35         11       it talks about the proper recording or         12       disclosure in the financial statements of ND         13       relationships and transactions with related         14       parties.         15       Do you see that?         16       A. I do.         17       Q. As the CFO, do you have any         18       understanding as to whether Dugaboy is a         19       related party?         20       A. I don't recall.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also qualify as a related party for purposes of Section 35D, to the best of your knowledge? A. Yeah, I don't – I don't know. I	Page 101
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. The agreement – the agreement that         3       we talked about earlier?         4       Q. Correct.         5       A. Look, as I said earlier, the first         6       time I heard of this agreement was sometime         7       this year.         8       Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just         9       look at a couple of items on the list. If we         10       can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35         11       it talks about the proper recording or         12       disclosure in the financial statements of ND         13       relationships and transactions with related         14       parties.         15       Do you see that?         16       A. I do.         17       Q. As the CFO, do you have any         18       understanding as to whether Dugaboy is a         19       related party?         20       A. I don't recall.         21       Q. Do you know whether any of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also qualify as a related party for purposes of Section 35D, to the best of your knowledge? A. Yeah, I don't – I don't know. I would think – I would have to read the code	Page 101
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. The agreement – the agreement that         3       we talked about earlier?         4       Q. Correct.         5       A. Look, as I said earlier, the first         6       time I heard of this agreement was sometime         7       this year.         8       Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just         9       look at a couple of items on the list. If we         10       can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35         11       it talks about the proper recording or         12       disclosure in the financial statements of ND         13       relationships and transactions with related         14       parties.         15       Do you see that?         16       A. I do.         17       Q. As the CFO, do you have any         18       understanding as to whether Dugaboy is a         19       related party?         20       A. I don't recall.         21       Q. Do you know whether any of the         22       affiliates are related parties?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also qualify as a related party for purposes of Section 35D, to the best of your knowledge? A. Yeah, I don't – I don't know. I would think – I would have to read the code section to see if someone personally – is it	Page 101
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The agreement the agreement that</li> <li>we talked about earlier?</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. Look, as I said earlier, the first</li> <li>time I heard of this agreement was sometime</li> <li>this year.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can we turn let's just</li> <li>look at a couple of items on the list. If we</li> <li>can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35</li> <li>it talks about the proper recording or</li> <li>disclosure in the financial statements of ND</li> <li>relationships and transactions with related</li> <li>parties.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. As the CFO, do you have any</li> <li>understanding as to whether Dugaboy is a</li> <li>related party?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether any of the</li> <li>affiliates are related parties?</li> <li>A. If if it was NexPoint, HCMFA,</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also qualify as a related party for purposes of Section 35D, to the best of your knowledge? A. Yeah, I don't – I don't know. I would think – I would have to read the code section to see if someone personally – is it talking about related parties. So, look, if	Page 101

1	Page 102 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 103
2	Q. To the best of your knowledge, was	2	June 3rd, 2019, did Highland disclose to PwC	
3	the existence of the agreement ever disclosed	3	the identity of the partnership's related	
4	to PwC?	4	parties and all the related party relationships	
5	A. I'm not – I'm not aware.	5	and transactions of which it was aware?	
6	Q. Do you recall if the agreement was	6	A. I mean, I can speak for myself as	
7	ever disclosed in Highland's audited financial	7	signer of this representation letter. I	
8	statements?	8	disclosed what – what, you know, what –	
9	A. I don't – I don't remember if it	9	what – what I knew. Sorry, look, yes, so I –	
10	was in every Highland's audited financial	10	I disclosed what I knew.	
11	statements during my tenure. We would have to	11	Q. Okay. Can we go to page 419. Do	
12	read the financial statements to see what was	12	you see at the end there is a reference to	
13	disclosed, but I'm not – I mean, as I sit here	13	events that occurred since the end of the	
14	today, I'm not aware.	14	fiscal year and the date of the letter?	
15	Q. That is all I'm asking for.	15	A. Yes.	
16	A. I'm not aware.	16		
I .			Q. And were you aware of that – of	
17	Q. Can we go to the next page, please, and look at 36. 36 says, we have disclosed to	17	that provision of the management representation	
18	•	18	letter before you signed the document? A. Yes.	
19	you the identity of the partnership's related	19		
20	party relationships and all the related party	20	Q. Do you have an understanding as to	
21	relationships and transactions of which we are	21	why PwC asked for that confirmation of that	
22	aware.	22	particular part of the management	
23	Do you see that?	23	representation letter?	
24	A. Yes.	24	A. It is – it is – it is just – it	
25	Q. To the best of your knowledge, as of	25	is a typical audit request.	
	Page 104			Page 105
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Q. And do you understand – do you have	2	Canty could please put that in the chat	
3	an understanding that PwC wanted to know that	3	room, that would be great.	
4	as of the date of the audit whether any	4	MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we	
5	material changes had occurred since the end of	5	will put every document in the chat room.	
6	the fiscal year, using the definition of	6	Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you	
	materiality that is in this particular	7	questions that are related to the provisions of	
8	management representation letter?	8	this report that concern the affiliate loans,	
9	A. It – it is – it is – it is a –	9	but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part	
10	it is as described. It is just a poorly worded	10	of the document that you need to see or that	
11	question, so it is hard for me to say yes.	11	you think you might need to see in order to	
12	Q. If I asked you this, I apologize,	12	refresh your recollection to answer any of my	
13	but did you ever learn when the agreement was	13	questions, will you let me know that?	
1.2				
14	entered into?	14	A. Yes.	
Ι		14 15	Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy	
14	entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the		Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page	
14 15	entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the agreement.	15	Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page here is the Highland consolidated financial	
14 15 16	entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the	15 16	Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page	
14 15 16 17	entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the agreement. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody when the agreement was entered into?	15 16 17 18 19	Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page here is the Highland consolidated financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2018?	
14 15 16 17 18	entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the agreement. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody when	15 16 17 18	Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page here is the Highland consolidated financial statements for the period ending December 31st,	
14 15 16 17 18 19	entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the agreement. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody when the agreement was entered into?	15 16 17 18 19	Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page here is the Highland consolidated financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2018?	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the agreement. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody when the agreement was entered into? A. I did not.	15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page here is the Highland consolidated financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the agreement. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody when the agreement was entered into? A. I did not. Q. Let's look at the audited financial	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page here is the Highland consolidated financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If we can go to – I think it is the</li> </ul>	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the agreement. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody when the agreement was entered into? A. I did not. Q. Let's look at the audited financial statements. We will put up on the screen a	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy</li> <li>document, but do you see that the cover page</li> <li>here is the Highland consolidated financial</li> <li>statements for the period ending December 31st,</li> <li>2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If we can go to – I think it is the</li> <li>next one, looking for PwC's signature line.</li> </ul>	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the agreement. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody when the agreement was entered into? A. I did not. Q. Let's look at the audited financial statements. We will put up on the screen a document that has been premarked as Exhibit 34.	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy</li> <li>document, but do you see that the cover page</li> <li>here is the Highland consolidated financial</li> <li>statements for the period ending December 31st,</li> <li>2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. If we can go to – I think it is the</li> <li>next one, looking for PwC's signature line.</li> <li>MS. CANTY: I'm sorry, John, did you</li> </ul>	

Page 106		Page 107
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Tage Tor
2 page. I think it is 215. Yes, stop right	2 under the affiliate under the notes signed by	
3 there, just above – I'm sorry, I want to	3 the affiliates and Mr. Dondero?	
4 see just the date of the report.	4 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the	
5 Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of	5 extent that calls for a legal conclusion.	
6 that page there, Mr. Waterhouse,	6 A. I mean, I would want to see the	
7 PricewaterhouseCoopers has signed this audit	7 detail and the build to this \$173,398,000, but,	
8 report?	8 yes, I mean, if – if – given what we	
9 A. Yes, I see their signature.	9 discussed before, you know, it it should	
10 Q. Okay. And it is the dated same day	10 capture that.	
11 as your management representation letter; is	11 Q. And – and while you were the CFO of	
12 that right?	12 Highland, were all notes held by Highland that	
13 A. It is – yes, it is the same day.	13 were issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero	
14 Q. Was that the practice to sign the	14 carried as assets on Highland's balance sheets?	
15 management representation letter on the same	15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
16 day that the audit report was signed?	16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.	
17 A. Yes, that is typical in every audit.	17 A. I don't – I don't know how else	
18 Q. Can we just scroll down to the	18 they would be carried.	
19 balance sheet on the next page.	19 Q. Okay. Can you think of any – are	
20 Do you see that there is a line	20 you aware of any promissory note issued by an	
21 there that says, Notes and Other Amounts Due	21 affiliate or Mr. Dondero that was not carried	
22 from Affiliates?	22 on Highland's audited financial balance sheets?	
23 A. Yes.	23 A. I'm – I'm – I'm not aware.	
24 Q. Does that line, to the best of your	24 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any category	
25 knowledge, include the amounts that were due	25 of asset on Highland's balance sheet in which	
Page 108		Page 109
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Now does that mean absolute?	Page 109
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212any of the promissory notes issued by an	2 Now, does that mean absolute? I	Page 109
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212any of the promissory notes issued by an3affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been	<ul><li>2 Now, does that mean absolute? I</li><li>3 don't know.</li></ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> </ol>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included</li> <li>Q. That let me let me try again.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included</li> <li>Q. That let me let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included –</li> <li>Q. That – let me – let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> <li>different assets that are described on this</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> <li>were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP,</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included</li> <li>Q. That let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> <li>different assets that are described on this</li> <li>balance sheet?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> <li>were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP,</li> <li>they should be carried in that line.</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included</li> <li>Q. That let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> <li>different assets that are described on this</li> <li>balance sheet?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> <li>were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP,</li> <li>they should be carried in that line.</li> <li>Otherwise, it would go into another line.</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included</li> <li>Q. That let me let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> <li>different assets that are described on this</li> <li>balance sheet?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. One of the assets that is described</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> <li>were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP,</li> <li>they should be carried in that line.</li> <li>Otherwise, it would go into another line.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see the total</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included –</li> <li>Q. That – let me – let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> <li>different assets that are described on this</li> <li>balance sheet?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. One of the assets that is described</li> <li>is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates;</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> <li>were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP,</li> <li>they should be carried in that line.</li> <li>Otherwise, it would go into another line.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see the total</li> <li>asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included</li> <li>Q. That let me let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> <li>different assets that are described on this</li> <li>balance sheet?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. One of the assets that is described</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> <li>were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP,</li> <li>they should be carried in that line.</li> <li>Otherwise, it would go into another line.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see the total</li> <li>asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included</li> <li>Q. That let me let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> <li>different assets that are described on this</li> <li>balance sheet?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. One of the assets that is described</li> <li>is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates;</li> <li>right?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> <li>were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP,</li> <li>they should be carried in that line.</li> <li>Otherwise, it would go into another line.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see the total</li> <li>asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was</li> <li>approximately \$1.04 billion?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included</li> <li>Q. That let me let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> <li>different assets that are described on this</li> <li>balance sheet?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. One of the assets that is described</li> <li>is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> <li>were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP,</li> <li>they should be carried in that line.</li> <li>Otherwise, it would go into another line.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see the total</li> <li>asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was</li> <li>approximately \$1.04 billion?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included</li> <li>Q. That let me let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> <li>different assets that are described on this</li> <li>balance sheet?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. One of the assets that is described</li> <li>is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it is reasonable to conclude</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> <li>were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP,</li> <li>they should be carried in that line.</li> <li>Otherwise, it would go into another line.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see the total</li> <li>asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was</li> <li>approximately \$1.04 billion?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is my math correct that the Notes</li> <li>and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included –</li> <li>Q. That – let me – let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> <li>different assets that are described on this</li> <li>balance sheet?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. One of the assets that is described</li> <li>is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it is reasonable to conclude</li> <li>that the notes from the affiliates and</li> <li>Mr. Dondero are included in that line item;</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> <li>were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP,</li> <li>they should be carried in that line.</li> <li>Otherwise, it would go into another line.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see the total</li> <li>asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was</li> <li>approximately \$1.04 billion?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is my math correct that the Notes</li> <li>and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included</li> <li>Q. That let me let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> <li>different assets that are described on this</li> <li>balance sheet?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. One of the assets that is described</li> <li>is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it is reasonable to conclude</li> <li>that the notes from the affiliates and</li> <li>Mr. Dondero are included in that line item;</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> <li>were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP,</li> <li>they should be carried in that line.</li> <li>Otherwise, it would go into another line.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see the total</li> <li>asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was</li> <li>approximately \$1.04 billion?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is my math correct that the Notes</li> <li>and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates</li> <li>constituted approximately 17 percent of</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included</li> <li>Q. That let me let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> <li>different assets that are described on this</li> <li>balance sheet?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. One of the assets that is described</li> <li>is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it is reasonable to conclude</li> <li>that the notes from the affiliates and</li> <li>Mr. Dondero are included in that line item;</li> <li>right?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> <li>were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP,</li> <li>they should be carried in that line.</li> <li>Otherwise, it would go into another line.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see the total</li> <li>asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was</li> <li>approximately \$1.04 billion?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is my math correct that the Notes</li> <li>and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates</li> <li>constituted approximately 17 percent of</li> <li>Highland's assets as of the end of 2018?</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included</li> <li>Q. That let me let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> <li>different assets that are described on this</li> <li>balance sheet?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. One of the assets that is described</li> <li>is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it is reasonable to conclude</li> <li>that the notes from the affiliates and</li> <li>Mr. Dondero are included in that line item;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes, based on this description.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> <li>were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP,</li> <li>they should be carried in that line.</li> <li>Otherwise, it would go into another line.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see the total</li> <li>asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was</li> <li>approximately \$1.04 billion?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is my math correct that the Notes</li> <li>and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates</li> <li>constituted approximately 17 percent of</li> <li>Highland's assets as of the end of 2018?</li> <li>A. Well, so how are you defining</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included –</li> <li>Q. That – let me – let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> <li>different assets that are described on this</li> <li>balance sheet?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. One of the assets that is described</li> <li>is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it is reasonable to conclude</li> <li>that the notes from the affiliates and</li> <li>Mr. Dondero are included in that line item;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes, based on this description.</li> <li>Again, I would want to see a build of this to</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> <li>were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP,</li> <li>they should be carried in that line.</li> <li>Otherwise, it would go into another line.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see the total</li> <li>asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was</li> <li>approximately \$1.04 billion?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is my math correct that the Notes</li> <li>and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates</li> <li>constituted approximately 17 percent of</li> <li>Highland's assets as of the end of 2018?</li> <li>Highland?</li> </ul>	Page 109
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>any of the promissory notes issued by an</li> <li>affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been</li> <li>included?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an</li> <li>affiliate being included –</li> <li>Q. That – let me – let me try again.</li> <li>Do you see there is a number of</li> <li>different assets that are described on this</li> <li>balance sheet?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. One of the assets that is described</li> <li>is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And it is reasonable to conclude</li> <li>that the notes from the affiliates and</li> <li>Mr. Dondero are included in that line item;</li> <li>right?</li> <li>A. Yes, based on this description.</li> <li>Again, I would want to see a build of this to</li> <li>100 percent confirm, but based on the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Now, does that mean absolute? I</li> <li>don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any reason to believe</li> <li>that the promissory notes would have been</li> <li>carried on the balance sheet in a category</li> <li>other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from</li> <li>Affiliates?</li> <li>A. If they were deemed – no. If they</li> <li>were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP,</li> <li>they should be carried in that line.</li> <li>Otherwise, it would go into another line.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see the total</li> <li>asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was</li> <li>approximately \$1.04 billion?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is my math correct that the Notes</li> <li>and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates</li> <li>constituted approximately 17 percent of</li> <li>Highland's assets as of the end of 2018?</li> <li>A. Well, so how are you defining</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>Q. Highland Capital Management, L.P.,</li> </ul>	Page 109

Page 110			Page 111
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage III
2 A. On a consolidated or unconsolidated	2	Other Amounts Due from Affiliates?	
3 basis?	3	A. Sorry, I can't see the the	
4 Q. I'm looking at the balance sheet.	4	Q. It is at the top.	
5 It is a consolidated balance sheet. Okay?	5	A. Notes and Other Amounts Due from	
6 Does the Notes and Other Amounts Due	6	Affiliates, yes, I see that. I don't – I	
7 from Affiliates constitute approximately	7	don't have a page number, but I'm on a page	
8 17 percent of the total assets of Highland	8	that says at the top: Notes and Other Amounts	
9 Capital Management, L.P., on a consolidated	9	Due from Affiliates.	
10 basis?	10	Q. Okay. And that is the same title of	
11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	11	the line item on the balance sheet that we just	
12 A. I don't have a calculator in front	12	looked at; right? Notes and Other Amounts Due	
13 of me but I will take your math, if you are	13	from Affiliates?	
14 taking the 173 divided by the billion.	14	A. Yes.	
15 Q. Okay.	15	Q. And is it your understanding, based	
16 A. If that is accurate, yes. But,	16	on your experience and knowledge as the CFO,	
17 again, on a consolidated basis.	17	that this is the section of the narrative that	
18 Q. And on an unconsolidated basis the	18	ties into the line item that we just looked at?	
19 percentage would be higher; correct?	19	A. Yes.	
20 A. I – no. I don't know.	20	Q. And is this section of the audit	
21 Q. Well, okay. That is fair.	21	report intended to describe and disclose all of	
22 MR. MORRIS: Can we turn to	22	the material facts concerning the Notes and	
23 page 241, please.	23	Other Amounts Due from Affiliates?	
24 Q. Do you see that this is a section of	24	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form.	
25 the audit report that is entitled Notes and	25	A. This – these notes – these notes	
Page 112 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 113
2 of the financial statements are – the purpose	2	reliable?	
3 is to disclose any material items in relation	3	A. I didn't – I had concerns about,	
4 to that balance sheet line item.	4	you know, like I talked about before, of there	
5 Q. Okay. And all of the information,	5	were – there were potentially issues in the	
6 to the best of your knowledge, that is set	6	control environment. But as far as it relates	
7 forth in this section of the audit report was		to the audited financial statements, any – the	
8 provided by Highland; correct?	8	team would work with the auditors to disclose	
9 A. Yes, it would have been provided by	9	all – all notes in Highland's possession.	
10 the corporate accounting team.	10	And any – any notes that were	
11 Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting	11	deemed material by the auditor, right, these	
12 team, did that team report to you in the	12	were disclosed in these – in this section, you	
13 organizational structure?	13	know, in – in the notes to the consolidated	
14 A. Yes.	14	financial statements as you presented.	
15 Q. And did you have any concerns about	14	Q. Do you recall ever having a	
16 the controls that were in place to make sure	16	conversation with anybody at any time	
17 that the information provided with respect to	17	concerning the accuracy of the section of audit	
18 Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates was	18	reports that relates to Notes and Other Amounts	
19 accurate and complete?	19	Due from Affiliates?	
20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
21 A. Not that I recall.	20	A. You know, as $-$ as $-$ I didn't have	
	22	direct conversations with	
<ul><li>22 Q. Okay. Do you recall ever being</li><li>23 concerned that any portion of the Notes and</li></ul>	22	PricewaterhouseCoopers as I had, you know –	
		· ·	
<ul><li>24 Other Amounts Due from Affiliates in any audit</li><li>25 report was inaccurate, incomplete, or not</li></ul>	24	I – I had the team that managed this. Again, I wasn't anywhere chose to	
	25		

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-3 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 31 of 131

Page 114		Page 115
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 115
2 being the point person of this audit. And I	2 accuracy of the section of the audit reports	
3 can't recall, you know, when - you know, I	3 called Notes and Other Amounts Due from	
4 don't even know if I was ever the point person	4 Affiliates; correct?	
5 during my tenure as CFO.	5 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	
6 I don't know if PwC had any concerns	6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	
7 when they were performing those audit	7 form.	
8 procedures. They may have and they may have	8 A. I don't recall having any	
9 and it may not have been communicated to me. I	9 conversations. But, again, I mean, this is -	
10 don't know.	10 this is two years ago.	
11 MR. MORRIS: All right. I move to	11 Q. I'm just asking for your	
12 strike.	12 recollection, sir.	
13 Q. And I'm going to ask you to listen	13 A. Yes.	
14 carefully to my question.	14 Q. If you don't recall, this will –	
15 Did you – do you recall ever having	15 A. Yeah.	
16 a conversation with anybody at any time	16 Q. (Overspeak) – if you don't	
17 concerning the accuracy of the reporting	17 recall –	
18 provided in the audited financial statement on	18 A. Yeah, I don't – I don't recall.	
19 the topic of Notes and Other Amounts Due?	19 Q. Do you know who was responsible for	
20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	20 drafting the audit report?	
21 A. I don't recall for this, but that	21 A. Are you asking the actual Highland	
22 doesn't mean that it didn't exist.	22 employee responsible? I mean, it was	
23 Q. Okay. But you have no reason to	23 Highland's responsibility, so, I mean, that	
24 believe, as you sit here right now, that you	24 is –	
25 ever discussed with anybody concerns over the	25 Q. Right.	
Page 116		Page 117
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		
	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 A. – Highland's responsibility.	2 audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any	
<ol> <li>A. – Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>3 Highland's responsibility.</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li><li>3 way?</li></ul>	
<ol> <li>A. – Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> </ol>	
<ul> <li>A. – Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to - to look at it, if you would like.</li> </ol>	
<ul> <li>A. – Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I – I don't know the answer to</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to - to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ol>	
<ul> <li>A. – Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I – I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to - to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> </ol>	
<ul> <li>A. – Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I – I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to - to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at - I</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A. – Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I – I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to - to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at - I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to - to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at - I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A. – Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I – I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> <li>manage. And, you know, there may be a</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to - to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at - I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> <li>with materiality, but again, there might be a</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A. – Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I – I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> <li>manage. And, you know, there may be a</li> <li>corporate accountant who worked on this. I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to - to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at - I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> <li>with materiality, but again, there might be a</li> <li>note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was - was</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I - I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> <li>manage. And, you know, there may be a</li> <li>corporate accountant who worked on this. I</li> <li>just - you know, I wasn't part of that process</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to - to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at - I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> <li>with materiality, but again, there might be a</li> <li>note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was - was</li> <li>under materiality not disclosed. I don't - I</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I - I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> <li>manage. And, you know, there may be a</li> <li>corporate accountant who worked on this. I</li> <li>just - you know, I wasn't part of that process</li> <li>to give that person experience. I don't know.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to - to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at - I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> <li>with materiality, but again, there might be a</li> <li>note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was – was</li> <li>under materiality not disclosed. I don't – I</li> <li>don't know. I would need more information.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I - I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> <li>manage. And, you know, there may be a</li> <li>corporate accountant who worked on this. I</li> <li>just - you know, I wasn't part of that process</li> <li>to give that person experience. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall having any</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to - to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at - I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> <li>with materiality, but again, there might be a</li> <li>note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was - was</li> <li>under materiality not disclosed. I don't - I</li> <li>don't know. I would need more information.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But without more information,</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I - I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> <li>manage. And, you know, there may be a</li> <li>corporate accountant who worked on this. I</li> <li>just - you know, I wasn't part of that process</li> <li>to give that person experience. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall having any</li> <li>communications with anybody at any time</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to - to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at - I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> <li>with materiality, but again, there might be a</li> <li>note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was - was</li> <li>under materiality not disclosed. I don't - I</li> <li>don't know. I would need more information.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But without more information,</li> <li>you have no reason to believe anything this</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> <li>manage. And, you know, there may be a</li> <li>corporate accountant who worked on this. I</li> <li>just you know, I wasn't part of that process</li> <li>to give that person experience. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall having any</li> <li>communications with anybody at any time</li> <li>concerning this section of the report?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to - to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at - I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> <li>with materiality, but again, there might be a</li> <li>note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was - was</li> <li>under materiality not disclosed. I don't - I</li> <li>don't know. I would need more information.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But without more information,</li> <li>you have no reason to believe anything this</li> <li>section is inaccurate; correct?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I - I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> <li>manage. And, you know, there may be a</li> <li>corporate accountant who worked on this. I</li> <li>just - you know, I wasn't part of that process</li> <li>to give that person experience. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall having any</li> <li>concerning this section of the report?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I don't recall.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to – to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at – I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> <li>with materiality, but again, there might be a</li> <li>note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was – was</li> <li>under materiality not disclosed. I don't – I</li> <li>don't know. I would need more information.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But without more information,</li> <li>you have no reason to believe anything this</li> <li>section is inaccurate; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I - I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> <li>manage. And, you know, there may be a</li> <li>corporate accountant who worked on this. I</li> <li>just - you know, I wasn't part of that process</li> <li>to give that person experience. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall having any</li> <li>concerning this section of the report?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall whether you ever told</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to - to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at - I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> <li>with materiality, but again, there might be a</li> <li>note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was - was</li> <li>under materiality not disclosed. I don't - I</li> <li>don't know. I would need more information.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But without more information,</li> <li>you have no reason to believe anything this</li> <li>section is inaccurate; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't. I mean, you know, this was</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I - I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> <li>manage. And, you know, there may be a</li> <li>corporate accountant who worked on this. I</li> <li>just - you know, I wasn't part of that process</li> <li>to give that person experience. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall having any</li> <li>concerning this section of the report?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall whether you ever told</li> <li>anybody at any time that any aspect of this</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to – to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at – I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> <li>with materiality, but again, there might be a</li> <li>note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was – was</li> <li>under materiality not disclosed. I don't – I</li> <li>don't know. I would need more information.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But without more information,</li> <li>you have no reason to believe anything this</li> <li>section is inaccurate; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't. I mean, you know, this was</li> <li>part of the audit.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> <li>manage. And, you know, there may be a</li> <li>corporate accountant who worked on this. I</li> <li>just - you know, I wasn't part of that process</li> <li>to give that person experience. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall having any</li> <li>concerning this section of the report?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall whether you ever told</li> <li>anybody at any time that any aspect of this</li> <li>section of the report was inaccurate or</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to - to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at - I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> <li>with materiality, but again, there might be a</li> <li>note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was - was</li> <li>under materiality not disclosed. I don't - I</li> <li>don't know. I would need more information.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But without more information,</li> <li>you have no reason to believe anything this</li> <li>section is inaccurate; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't. I mean, you know, this was</li> <li>part of the audit.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Now, you will see if we</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I - I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> <li>manage. And, you know, there may be a</li> <li>corporate accountant who worked on this. I</li> <li>just - you know, I wasn't part of that process</li> <li>to give that person experience. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall having any</li> <li>concerning this section of the report?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall whether you ever told</li> <li>anybody at any time that any aspect of this</li> <li>section of the report was inaccurate or</li> <li>incomplete?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to – to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at – I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> <li>with materiality, but again, there might be a</li> <li>note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was – was</li> <li>under materiality not disclosed. I don't – I</li> <li>don't know. I would need more information.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But without more information,</li> <li>you have no reason to believe anything this</li> <li>section is inaccurate; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't. I mean, you know, this was</li> <li>part of the audit.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Now, you will see if we</li> <li>could scroll just a little bit more that each</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I - I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> <li>manage. And, you know, there may be a</li> <li>corporate accountant who worked on this. I</li> <li>just - you know, I wasn't part of that process</li> <li>to give that person experience. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall having any</li> <li>concerning this section of the report?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall whether you ever told</li> <li>anybody at any time that any aspect of this</li> <li>section of the report was inaccurate or</li> <li>incomplete?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to – to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at – I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> <li>with materiality, but again, there might be a</li> <li>note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was – was</li> <li>under materiality not disclosed. I don't – I</li> <li>don't know. I would need more information.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But without more information,</li> <li>you have no reason to believe anything this</li> <li>section is inaccurate; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't. I mean, you know, this was</li> <li>part of the audit.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Now, you will see if we</li> <li>could scroll just a little bit more that each</li> <li>of the first five paragraphs concerns</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I - I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> <li>manage. And, you know, there may be a</li> <li>corporate accountant who worked on this. I</li> <li>just - you know, I wasn't part of that process</li> <li>to give that person experience. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall having any</li> <li>concerning this section of the report?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall whether you ever told</li> <li>anybody at any time that any aspect of this</li> <li>section of the report was inaccurate or</li> <li>incomplete?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. As you sit here today, do you have</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to – to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at – I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> <li>with materiality, but again, there might be a</li> <li>note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was – was</li> <li>under materiality not disclosed. I don't – I</li> <li>don't know. I would need more information.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But without more information,</li> <li>you have no reason to believe anything this</li> <li>section is inaccurate; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't. I mean, you know, this was</li> <li>part of the audit.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Now, you will see if we</li> <li>could scroll just a little bit more that each</li> <li>of the first five paragraphs concerns</li> <li>specifically the four affiliates that we've</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>A Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Highland's responsibility.</li> <li>Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible</li> <li>for drafting this section of the audit report?</li> <li>A. I - I don't know the answer to</li> <li>that. Again, there was a team who worked on</li> <li>this. And I don't know, you know, whether it</li> <li>was the staff or the manager.</li> <li>Again, this is where I let the teams</li> <li>manage. And, you know, there may be a</li> <li>corporate accountant who worked on this. I</li> <li>just - you know, I wasn't part of that process</li> <li>to give that person experience. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall having any</li> <li>concerning this section of the report?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall whether you ever told</li> <li>anybody at any time that any aspect of this</li> <li>section of the report was inaccurate or</li> <li>incomplete?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any</li> <li>way?</li> <li>And I'm happy to give you a moment</li> <li>to – to look at it, if you would like.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.</li> <li>A. I mean, I would have to look at – I</li> <li>would have to look at the bill to the note</li> <li>schedule to make sure I know you presented me</li> <li>with materiality, but again, there might be a</li> <li>note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was – was</li> <li>under materiality not disclosed. I don't – I</li> <li>don't know. I would need more information.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But without more information,</li> <li>you have no reason to believe anything this</li> <li>section is inaccurate; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't. I mean, you know, this was</li> <li>part of the audit.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Now, you will see if we</li> <li>could scroll just a little bit more that each</li> <li>of the first five paragraphs concerns</li> </ul>	

<b></b>				
1	Page 118 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 119
2	MR. MORRIS: If we could go the	2	value is the line item that you showed me	
3	other way, La Asia. We don't need Okada.	3	earlier that is in Notes and Other Amounts Due	
4	We're going to have to thread the needle.	4	from Affiliates.	
5	Okay. Good, perfect.	5	Q. Okay. Is another way to say this is	
6	Q. Do you see those five paragraphs	6	that the fair market value of the notes equals	
	certain the four affiliates and Mr. Dondero as	7	the principal amount and – withdrawn.	
8	we've been referring to today?	8	Is the fair way to interpret this	
9	A. Yes.	9	that the fair market value of the notes equals	
1				
10	Q. Okay. And do you see at the end of	10	all remaining unpaid principal and interest due under the notes?	
11	every paragraph it states, quote: A fair value	11		
12	of a partnership's outstanding notes receivable	12	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	
13	approximates the carrying value of the notes	13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	
14	receivable?	14	A. I don't know the answer to that,	
15	A. Yes, I see that.	15	because I don't recall where where any	
16	Q. Do you have an understanding of what	16	where - in what line item was the interest	
17	that means?	17	component reported.	
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. All right. Well, if we look in this	
19	Q. What is your understanding of that	19	audit report, you will see in the middle of the	
20	sentence?	20	first paragraph, for example, it states that as	
21	A. It is the – again, the – the fair	21	of December 31st, 2018, total interest and	
22	value, right, which is which is what the	22	principal due on outstanding promissory notes	
23	what Highland could sell that asset for. This	23	was approximately \$5.3 million.	
24	statement is comparing the fair value of the	24	Do you see that?	
25	notes to the carrying value, so the carrying	25	A. I do.	
1				
	Page 120			Page 121
1	Page 120 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 121
1		1 2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are	Page 121
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21			Page 121
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value?	2	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are	Page 121
23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value?	23	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the	Page 121
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value? A. That would be the carrying value – Q. And is the last –	2 3 4	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value?</li> <li>A. That would be the carrying value –</li> <li>Q. And is the last –</li> <li>A. – in my opinion.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value?</li> <li>A. That would be the carrying value –</li> <li>Q. And is the last –</li> <li>A. – in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying.	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value? A. That would be the carrying value – Q. And is the last – A. – in my opinion. Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value? A. That would be the carrying value – Q. And is the last – A. – in my opinion. Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value? A. That would be the carrying value – Q. And is the last – A. – in my opinion. Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right? It is an educated opinion?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value? A. That would be the carrying value – Q. And is the last – A. – in my opinion. Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right? It is an educated opinion? A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the partnership will not demand payment on amounts	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value? A. That would be the carrying value – Q. And is the last – A. – in my opinion. Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right? It is an educated opinion? A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm taking that as that is carrying value.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the partnership will not demand payment on amounts that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value? A. That would be the carrying value – Q. And is the last – A. – in my opinion. Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right? It is an educated opinion? A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm taking that as that is carrying value. Q. Okay. And does the last sentence	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the partnership will not demand payment on amounts that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability prior to May 31st, 2021.	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value? A. That would be the carrying value – Q. And is the last – A. – in my opinion. Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right? It is an educated opinion? A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm taking that as that is carrying value. Q. Okay. And does the last sentence say that the carrying value is roughly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the partnership will not demand payment on amounts that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability prior to May 31st, 2021. Do you see that?	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value? A. That would be the carrying value – Q. And is the last – A. – in my opinion. Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right? It is an educated opinion? A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm taking that as that is carrying value. Q. Okay. And does the last sentence say that the carrying value is roughly approximate to the fair market value?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the partnership will not demand payment on amounts that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability prior to May 31st, 2021. Do you see that? A. I do.	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value? A. That would be the carrying value – Q. And is the last – A. – in my opinion. Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right? It is an educated opinion? A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm taking that as that is carrying value. Q. Okay. And does the last sentence say that the carrying value is roughly approximate to the fair market value? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the partnership will not demand payment on amounts that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability prior to May 31st, 2021. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. Do you know when Highland agreed not	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value?</li> <li>A. That would be the carrying value –</li> <li>Q. And is the last –</li> <li>A. – in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right?</li> <li>It is an educated opinion?</li> <li>A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm taking that as that is carrying value.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And does the last sentence say that the carrying value is roughly approximate to the fair market value?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the partnership will not demand payment on amounts that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability prior to May 31st, 2021. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. Do you know when Highland agreed not to demand payment as described in that	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value?</li> <li>A. That would be the carrying value –</li> <li>Q. And is the last –</li> <li>A. – in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right?</li> <li>It is an educated opinion?</li> <li>A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm taking that as that is carrying value.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And does the last sentence say that the carrying value is roughly approximate to the fair market value?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Again, this note to the financial</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the partnership will not demand payment on amounts that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability prior to May 31st, 2021. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. Do you know when Highland agreed not to demand payment as described in that sentence?	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value?</li> <li>A. That would be the carrying value –</li> <li>Q. And is the last –</li> <li>A. – in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right?</li> <li>It is an educated opinion?</li> <li>A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm taking that as that is carrying value.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And does the last sentence say that the carrying value is roughly approximate to the fair market value?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Again, this note to the financial statement is specific to notes and other</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the partnership will not demand payment on amounts that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability prior to May 31st, 2021. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. Do you know when Highland agreed not to demand payment as described in that sentence? A. I don't know specifically.	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value?</li> <li>A. That would be the carrying value –</li> <li>Q. And is the last –</li> <li>A. – in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right?</li> <li>It is an educated opinion?</li> <li>A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm taking that as that is carrying value.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And does the last sentence say that the carrying value is roughly approximate to the fair market value?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Again, this note to the financial statement is specific to notes and other amounts due from affiliates.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the partnership will not demand payment on amounts that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability prior to May 31st, 2021. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. Do you know when Highland agreed not to demand payment as described in that sentence? A. I don't know specifically. Q. Do you know why Highland agreed not	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value?</li> <li>A. That would be the carrying value –</li> <li>Q. And is the last –</li> <li>A. – in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right?</li> <li>It is an educated opinion?</li> <li>A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm taking that as that is carrying value.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And does the last sentence say that the carrying value is roughly approximate to the fair market value?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Again, this note to the financial statement is specific to notes and other amounts due from affiliates.</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the partnership will not demand payment on amounts that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability prior to May 31st, 2021. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. Do you know when Highland agreed not to demand payment as described in that sentence? A. I don't know specifically. Q. Do you know why Highland agreed not to demand payment on HCMFA's notes until May	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value?</li> <li>A. That would be the carrying value –</li> <li>Q. And is the last –</li> <li>A. – in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right?</li> <li>It is an educated opinion?</li> <li>A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm taking that as that is carrying value.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And does the last sentence say that the carrying value is roughly approximate to the fair market value?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Again, this note to the financial statement is specific to notes and other amounts due from affiliates.</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. If the interest component is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the partnership will not demand payment on amounts that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability prior to May 31st, 2021. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. Do you know when Highland agreed not to demand payment as described in that sentence? A. I don't know specifically. Q. Do you know why Highland agreed not to demand payment on HCMFA's notes until May 2021?	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value?</li> <li>A. That would be the carrying value –</li> <li>Q. And is the last –</li> <li>A. – in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right?</li> <li>It is an educated opinion?</li> <li>A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm taking that as that is carrying value.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And does the last sentence say that the carrying value is roughly approximate to the fair market value?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Again, this note to the financial statement is specific to notes and other amounts due from affiliates.</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. If the interest component is reported elsewhere on the balance sheet, you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the partnership will not demand payment on amounts that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability prior to May 31st, 2021. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. Do you know when Highland agreed not to demand payment as described in that sentence? A. I don't know specifically. Q. Do you know why Highland agreed not to demand payment on HCMFA's notes until May 2021? A. Yes.	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value? A. That would be the carrying value – Q. And is the last – A. – in my opinion. Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right? It is an educated opinion? A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm taking that as that is carrying value. Q. Okay. And does the last sentence say that the carrying value is roughly approximate to the fair market value? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. A. Again, this note to the financial statement is specific to notes and other amounts due from affiliates. Q. Correct. A. If the interest component is reported elsewhere on the balance sheet, you know, it – it – it could be off. Again, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying.</li> <li>Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the partnership will not demand payment on amounts that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability prior to May 31st, 2021. Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Do you know when Highland agreed not to demand payment as described in that sentence?</li> <li>A. I don't know specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you know why Highland agreed not to demand payment on HCMFA's notes until May 2021?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Why was that decision made?</li> </ul>	Page 121
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Is that the carrying value or the fair value?</li> <li>A. That would be the carrying value –</li> <li>Q. And is the last –</li> <li>A. – in my opinion.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as the chief financial officer of Highland during the period of time that you described; right?</li> <li>It is an educated opinion?</li> <li>A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm taking that as that is carrying value.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And does the last sentence say that the carrying value is roughly approximate to the fair market value?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. Again, this note to the financial statement is specific to notes and other amounts due from affiliates.</li> <li>Q. Correct.</li> <li>A. If the interest component is reported elsewhere on the balance sheet, you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and other amounts due from affiliates, then the last statement is saying the fair value approximates 5.3 million. That is what that last sentence is saying. Q. Do you see in the middle of the first paragraph – not in the middle, the next to last sentence there is a statement that the partnership will not demand payment on amounts that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability prior to May 31st, 2021. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. Do you know when Highland agreed not to demand payment as described in that sentence? A. I don't know specifically. Q. Do you know why Highland agreed not to demand payment on HCMFA's notes until May 2021? A. Yes.	Page 121

Dere 1		Daga 102
Page 1: 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 123
2 decision was made as to not put HCMFA into a	2 remember exactly I don't remember if it was	
3 position where it didn't have sufficient assets	3 myself or or Jim Dondero who who there	
4 to pay for the demand note.	4 was - there was something signed, from what I	
5 Q. And at the time the agreement was	5 recall, that that that backed up this	
6 entered into, pursuant to which the partnership	6 line item in the in the notes I'm look,	
7 wouldn't demand payment, did HCMFA have	7 l'm, l'm –	
8 insufficient assets to satisfy the notes if a	8 Q. We will get to that.	
9 demand had been made?	9 A. You –	
10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	10 Q. I'm just	
11 A. I don't have HCMFA's financial	11 A. You have I mean	
12 statements in front of me as of 12/31/18.	12 Q. We're going to give that to you.	
13 Q. Was there a concern that HCMFA would	13 I'm going to give that to you.	
14 be unable to satisfy its demands under the	14 A. You – you – you have all the	
15 notes if demand was made?	15 documents. I don't have the documents, and	
16 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	16 that is what makes it so hard. I don't have	
17 A. Well, there is I don't recall	17 any documents to prepare for this deposition;	
18 I mean, there is something, right, in place to	18 right? You have all I don't I don't I	
19 basically not demand payment until May 31, 2021	19 don't remember, but, you know, again, it would	
20 as detailed here.	20 probably be myself or Jim.	
21 Q. And who made the decision to enter	21 Q. Do you know if Highland received	
22 into – who made the decision on behalf of	22 anything in return for its agreement not to	
23 Highland not to demand payment until May 31st,	23 make a demand for two years?	
24 2021?	A. I don't I don't think it referred	
25 A. I'm trying to remember. I don't	25 anything.	
Page 12	24	Page 125
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 125
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss		Page 125
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss3HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> </ol>	Page 125
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> </ol>	Page 125
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss3HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't - I don't - I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or -</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There were also, for the same fund,</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> <li>any conversations that you had.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There was ongoing an</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> <li>any conversations that you had.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There were also, for the same fund,</li> <li>that same fund was ongoing an</li> <li>open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> <li>any conversations that you had.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There were also, for the same fund,</li> <li>that same fund was ongoing an</li> <li>open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part</li> <li>of that proposal, shareholders who voted for</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> <li>any conversations that you had.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland</li> <li>loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There were also, for the same fund,</li> <li>that same fund was ongoing an</li> <li>open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part</li> <li>of that proposal, shareholders who voted for</li> <li>the conversion received compensation from the</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> <li>any conversations that you had.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland</li> <li>loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject</li> <li>of the notes described in this paragraph?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There were also, for the same fund,</li> <li>that same fund was ongoing an</li> <li>open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part</li> <li>of that proposal, shareholders who voted for</li> <li>the conversion received compensation from the</li> <li>advisor.</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> <li>any conversations that you had.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland</li> <li>loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject</li> <li>of the notes described in this paragraph?</li> <li>A. I don't remember specifically why</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There were also, for the same fund,</li> <li>that same fund was ongoing an</li> <li>open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part</li> <li>of that proposal, shareholders who voted for</li> <li>the conversion received compensation from the</li> <li>advisor.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, the events that</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> <li>any conversations that you had.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland</li> <li>loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject</li> <li>of the notes described in this paragraph?</li> <li>A. I don't remember specifically why</li> <li>5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There were also, for the same fund,</li> <li>that same fund was ongoing an</li> <li>open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part</li> <li>of that proposal, shareholders who voted for</li> <li>the conversion received compensation from the</li> <li>advisor.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, the events that</li> <li>you're describing occurred in the spring of</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> <li>any conversations that you had.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland</li> <li>loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject</li> <li>of the notes described in this paragraph?</li> <li>A. I don't remember specifically why</li> <li>5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would</li> <li>have to be put in the context.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There were also, for the same fund,</li> <li>that same fund was ongoing an</li> <li>open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part</li> <li>of that proposal, shareholders who voted for</li> <li>the conversion received compensation from the</li> <li>advisor.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, the events that</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> <li>any conversations that you had.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland</li> <li>loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject</li> <li>of the notes described in this paragraph?</li> <li>A. I don't remember specifically why</li> <li>5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would</li> <li>have to be put in the context.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection at all</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There were also, for the same fund,</li> <li>that same fund was ongoing an</li> <li>open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part</li> <li>of that proposal, shareholders who voted for</li> <li>the conversion received compensation from the</li> <li>advisor.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, the events that</li> <li>you're describing occurred in the spring of</li> <li>2019; right?</li> <li>A. These started back – I think, I</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> <li>any conversations that you had.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland</li> <li>loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject</li> <li>of the notes described in this paragraph?</li> <li>A. I don't remember specifically why</li> <li>5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would</li> <li>have to be put in the context.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection at all</li> <li>as to why Highland ever loaned any money to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There were also, for the same fund,</li> <li>that same fund was ongoing an</li> <li>open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part</li> <li>of that proposal, shareholders who voted for</li> <li>the conversion received compensation from the</li> <li>advisor.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, the events that</li> <li>you're describing occurred in the spring of</li> <li>2019; right?</li> <li>A. These started back – I think, I</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> <li>any conversations that you had.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland</li> <li>loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject</li> <li>of the notes described in this paragraph?</li> <li>A. I don't remember specifically why</li> <li>5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would</li> <li>have to be put in the context.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection at all</li> <li>as to why Highland ever loaned any money to</li> <li>HCMFA?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There were also, for the same fund,</li> <li>that same fund was ongoing an</li> <li>open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part</li> <li>of that proposal, shareholders who voted for</li> <li>the conversion received compensation from the</li> <li>advisor.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, the events that</li> <li>you're describing occurred in the spring of</li> <li>2019; right?</li> <li>A. These started back – I think, I</li> <li>mean –</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> <li>any conversations that you had.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland</li> <li>loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject</li> <li>of the notes described in this paragraph?</li> <li>A. I don't remember specifically why</li> <li>5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would</li> <li>have to be put in the context.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection at all</li> <li>as to why Highland ever loaned any money to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There were also, for the same fund,</li> <li>that same fund was ongoing an</li> <li>open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part</li> <li>of that proposal, shareholders who voted for</li> <li>the conversion received compensation from the</li> <li>advisor.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, the events that</li> <li>you're describing occurred in the spring of</li> <li>2019; right?</li> <li>A. These started back – I think, I</li> <li>mean –</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> <li>any conversations that you had.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland</li> <li>loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject</li> <li>of the notes described in this paragraph?</li> <li>A. I don't remember specifically why</li> <li>5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would</li> <li>have to be put in the context.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection at all</li> <li>as to why Highland ever loaned any money to</li> <li>HCMFA?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There were also, for the same fund,</li> <li>that same fund was ongoing an</li> <li>open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part</li> <li>of that proposal, shareholders who voted for</li> <li>the conversion received compensation from the</li> <li>advisor.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, the events that</li> <li>you're describing occurred in the spring of</li> <li>2019; right?</li> <li>A. These started back – I think, I</li> <li>mean –</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> <li>any conversations that you had.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland</li> <li>loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject</li> <li>of the notes described in this paragraph?</li> <li>A. I don't remember specifically why</li> <li>5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would</li> <li>have to be put in the context.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection at all</li> <li>as to why Highland ever loaned any money to</li> <li>HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>Q. What do you remember about that?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There were also, for the same fund,</li> <li>that same fund was ongoing an</li> <li>open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part</li> <li>of that proposal, shareholders who voted for</li> <li>the conversion received compensation from the</li> <li>advisor.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, the events that</li> <li>you're describing occurred in the spring of</li> <li>2019; right?</li> <li>A. These started back – I think, I</li> <li>mean –</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. – that – I mean, the answer to</li> <li>that is no.</li> <li>Q. I apologize, the loans that were</li> </ol>	Page 125
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss</li> <li>HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a</li> <li>demand was made at the time this agreement was</li> <li>entered into?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>having a specific conversation, if I did, or –</li> <li>or David Klos.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall</li> <li>any conversations that you had.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland</li> <li>loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject</li> <li>of the notes described in this paragraph?</li> <li>A. I don't remember specifically why</li> <li>5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would</li> <li>have to be put in the context.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection at all</li> <li>as to why Highland ever loaned any money to</li> <li>HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed</li> <li>by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.</li> <li>There was a – we – I'm just telling you,</li> <li>there was – there was – there was a – a</li> <li>ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while</li> <li>it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there</li> <li>were amounts owed by the advisor in – in</li> <li>relation to that NAV error.</li> <li>There were also, for the same fund,</li> <li>that same fund was ongoing an</li> <li>open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part</li> <li>of that proposal, shareholders who voted for</li> <li>the conversion received compensation from the</li> <li>advisor.</li> <li>Q. All right. Now, the events that</li> <li>you're describing occurred in the spring of</li> <li>2019; right?</li> <li>A. These started back – I think, I</li> <li>mean –</li> <li>Q. I apologize.</li> <li>A. – that – I mean, the answer to</li> <li>that is no.</li> </ul>	Page 125

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-3 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 34 of 131

	Page 126			Page 127
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 age 127
2	describing occurred in May 2019; right?	2	what is the reason you recall Highland lending	
3	MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the	3	money to NexPoint?	
4	extent that calls for a legal conclusion.	4	A. I mean, I was just – I just – I	
5	A. I don't recall specifically what	5	just recall. I mean, I just – I don't	
6	amounts of money were moved when, for what	6	remember why.	
7	purpose.	7	Q. I understand. And I'm asking you if	
8	Q. Okay. Fair enough. Going to the	8	you recall –	
9	next paragraph, do you recall that NexPoint	9	A. Oh, why – I thought you say –	
10	Advisors had obtained a number of loans from	10	NexPoint Advisors was launching a fund which	
11	Highland, and they rolled up those loans into	11	is – I believe that the legal name is NexPoint	
12	one note in approximately 2017?	12	Capital, Inc. And it – it provided a	
13	A. This is for NexPoint Advisors?	13	co-invest into that fund.	
14	Q. Yes.	14	And, from what I remember, the	
15	A. I – I mean, I don't – I don't	15	the that NexPoint borrowed money from	
16	recall the NexPoint Advisors loan being a	16	Highland at the time to make that co-invest.	
17	roll-up loan, but –	17	Q. So this was an investment that	
18	Q. Do you know why?	18	NexPoint was required to make; is that right?	
19	A. But, look, if you have documents	19	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
20	that show – I mean, look, I just don't recall.	20	A. I don't know if it was required to	
21	Q. Okay. That is fair. Do you know	21	make, I don't recall that, or if it just made	
22	why – do you have any recollection as to why	22	it.	
23	Highland loaned money to NexPoint?	23	Q. Okay. But your recollection is that	
24	A. Yes.	24	NexPoint made an investment and they borrowed	
25	Q. Why did High why do you recall	25	money from Highland to finance the investment.	
	Page 128	4		Page 129
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Do I have that right?	2	to why HCMS borrowed money from Highland?	
3	A. Yes.	3	A. For – for investment purposes.	
4	Q. How about HCRE? Do you know why	4	Q. So it is the same thing, HCMS wanted to make investments and it borrowed money from	
5	HCRE borrowed money from Highland?	6	-	
7	<ul> <li>A. I don't remember specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember generally?</li> </ul>	6	Highland in order to finance those investments;	
8		8	is that right?	
9	<ul> <li>A. Generally, yeah – I mean, yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me your general</li> </ul>	9	A. I mean, yes, generally. I mean, I can't – I don't – on the services, there –	
10	recollection as to why Highland loaned money to	10	there are several loans in these schedules.	
11	HCRE?	11	You know, I can't remember why every single one	
12	A. For – for – for investment	12	of these were made, but I would say, yeah, I	
13	purposes.	12	mean, generally.	
14	Q. So HCRE made the investment and it	14	Q. Okay. I appreciate that.	
15	obtained a loan, or loans, from Highland in	15	MR. MORRIS: Let's go to the page	
16	order to finance that investment or those	16	with Bates No. 251. La Asia, are you	
17	investments.	17	there?	
18	Do I have that right?	18	MS. CANTY: Sorry, John. It went	
19	A. I mean, I – you know, generally.	19	out for a minute. Can you say that again.	
20	Q. Okay. How about Highland Management	20	I don't know what is going on.	
21	Services, Inc.?	21	MR. MORRIS: The page with Bates	
22	Do you have any recollection as to	22	No. 251, can we go to that.	
23	why HCMS borrowed money from Highland?	23	MS. CANTY: Yes, sorry.	
24	A. Generally.	24	MR. MORRIS: Keep going to the	
25	Q. What is your general recollection as	25	bottom. Yeah, there you go.	

Page	130		Page 131
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 151
2 Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that	2	subsequent event relating to affiliate loans be	
3 there is a section there called Subsequent	3	captured in this section if they were if	
4 Events?	4	they were made after the end of the fiscal year	
5 A. I do.	5	and prior to the issuance of the audit report?	
6 Q. And does this relate to the last	6	A. Yes, if they were deemed material or	
7 sentence above the signature line on the	7	disclosable.	
8 management representation letter that we talked	8	Q. Okay. I appreciate that.	
9 about earlier where you made the representation	9	Do you see the next to the last	
10 that you disclosed subsequent events?	10	entry there? It says, Over the course of 2019	
11 A. I mean, it relates to it, but not in	11	through the report date, HCMFA issued	
12 its entirety.	12	promissory notes to the partnership in the	
13 Q. Okay.	13	aggregate amount of \$7.4 million?	
14 MR. MORRIS: If we can scroll up to	14	A. Yes.	
15 capture the entirety of this section right	15	Q. And does that refresh your	
16 here.	16	recollection that those are the notes that	
17 Q. And what do you mean by that, sir?	17	related to the NAV error that you mentioned	
18 MR. MORRIS: Yeah, right there.	18	earlier?	
19 Perfect.	19	A. I don't – I don't remember the	
20 A. There are – there are different	20	exact. Again, there are – I mentioned two	
		-	
21 subsequent events in – under GAAP. So there	21	line items; right?	
22 are – and – and – so what we see in the	22	Q. Yes.	
23 notes to the financial statements are one type	23	A. I mean, it was the GAAP conversion	
24 of subevent.	24	process plus the – the NAV error. I don't	
25 Q. Okay. And – and would the type of	25	have the details. I don't recall specifically	
Page		WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 133
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 133
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212if - you know, what if that 7.4 million was	1   2	date, right, if – if my memory serves me	Page 133
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212if - you know, what - if that 7.4 million was3solely attributable to the NAV error.	1 2 3	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right.	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if - you know, what if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right. Q. Yes, I will represent to you that	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if – you know, what – if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right. Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard.	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if – you know, what – if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right. Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if – you know, what – if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right. Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if – you know, what – if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right. Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events?	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if - you know, what - if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right. Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events? A. So let me understand. You're saying	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if – you know, what – if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right. Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events? A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if – you know, what – if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> <li>possession, including the ones that are</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right. Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events? A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the – over the course	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if – you know, what – if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> <li>possession, including the ones that are</li> <li>detailed here.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right. Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events? A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the – over the course of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if - you know, what - if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> <li>possession, including the ones that are</li> <li>detailed here.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that you signed the</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right. Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events? A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the – over the course of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued promissory notes, this statement?	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if – you know, what – if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> <li>possession, including the ones that are</li> <li>detailed here.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that you signed the</li> <li>two promissory notes that are referenced in</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>date, right, if – if my memory serves me right.</li> <li>Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the</li> <li>CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events?</li> <li>A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the – over the course of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued promissory notes, this statement?</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> </ul>	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if - you know, what - if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> <li>possession, including the ones that are</li> <li>detailed here.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that you signed the</li> <li>two promissory notes that are referenced in</li> <li>that provision?</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right. Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events? A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the – over the course of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued promissory notes, this statement? Q. Right. A. Not that I'm aware.	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if - you know, what - if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> <li>possession, including the ones that are</li> <li>detailed here.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that you signed the</li> <li>two promissory notes that are referenced in</li> <li>that provision?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>date, right, if – if my memory serves me right.</li> <li>Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events?</li> <li>A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the – over the course of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued promissory notes, this statement?</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. While you were the CFO of Highland,</li> </ul>	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if – you know, what – if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> <li>possession, including the ones that are</li> <li>detailed here.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that you signed the</li> <li>two promissory notes that are referenced in</li> <li>that provision?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I didn't recall initially but I've</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>date, right, if – if my memory serves me right.</li> <li>Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the</li> <li>CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events?</li> <li>A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the – over the course of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued promissory notes, this statement?</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. While you were the CFO of Highland, did anybody ever tell you that that sentence</li> </ul>	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if - you know, what - if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> <li>possession, including the ones that are</li> <li>detailed here.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that you signed the</li> <li>two promissory notes that are referenced in</li> <li>that provision?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I didn't recall initially but I've</li> <li>been reminded.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right. Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events? A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the – over the course of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued promissory notes, this statement? Q. Right. A. Not that I'm aware. Q. While you were the CFO of Highland, did anybody ever tell you that that sentence was wrong?	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if – you know, what – if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> <li>possession, including the ones that are</li> <li>detailed here.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that you signed the</li> <li>two promissory notes that are referenced in</li> <li>that provision?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I didn't recall initially but I've</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right. Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events? A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the – over the course of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued promissory notes, this statement? Q. Right. A. Not that I'm aware. Q. While you were the CFO of Highland, did anybody ever tell you that that sentence was wrong? A. Not that I'm aware.	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if - you know, what - if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> <li>possession, including the ones that are</li> <li>detailed here.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that you signed the</li> <li>two promissory notes that are referenced in</li> <li>that provision?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I didn't recall initially but I've</li> <li>been reminded.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right. Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events? A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the – over the course of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued promissory notes, this statement? Q. Right. A. Not that I'm aware. Q. While you were the CFO of Highland, did anybody ever tell you that that sentence was wrong?	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if - you know, what - if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> <li>possession, including the ones that are</li> <li>detailed here.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that you signed the</li> <li>two promissory notes that are referenced in</li> <li>that provision?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I didn't recall initially but I've</li> <li>been reminded.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And - and do you recall that</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	date, right, if – if my memory serves me right. Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events? A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the – over the course of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued promissory notes, this statement? Q. Right. A. Not that I'm aware. Q. While you were the CFO of Highland, did anybody ever tell you that that sentence was wrong? A. Not that I'm aware.	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if – you know, what – if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> <li>possession, including the ones that are</li> <li>detailed here.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that you signed the</li> <li>two promissory notes that are referenced in</li> <li>that provision?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I didn't recall initially but I've</li> <li>been reminded.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and do you recall that</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>date, right, if – if my memory serves me right.</li> <li>Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the</li> <li>CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events?</li> <li>A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the – over the course of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued promissory notes, this statement?</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. While you were the CFO of Highland, did anybody ever tell you that that sentence was wrong?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. Highland – withdrawn.</li> </ul>	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if – you know, what – if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> <li>possession, including the ones that are</li> <li>detailed here.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that you signed the</li> <li>two promissory notes that are referenced in</li> <li>that provision?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I didn't recall initially but I've</li> <li>been reminded.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and do you recall that</li> <li>those notes are dated May 2nd and May 3rd,</li> <li>2019?</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>date, right, if – if my memory serves me right.</li> <li>Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events?</li> <li>A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the – over the course of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued promissory notes, this statement?</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. While you were the CFO of Highland, did anybody ever tell you that that sentence was wrong?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. Highland – withdrawn. HCMFA disclosed these notes in its</li> </ul>	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if – you know, what – if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> <li>possession, including the ones that are</li> <li>detailed here.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that you signed the</li> <li>two promissory notes that are referenced in</li> <li>that provision?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I didn't recall initially but I've</li> <li>been reminded.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and do you recall that</li> <li>those notes are dated May 2nd and May 3rd,</li> <li>2019?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>date, right, if – if my memory serves me right.</li> <li>Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events?</li> <li>A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the – over the course of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued promissory notes, this statement?</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. While you were the CFO of Highland, did anybody ever tell you that that sentence was wrong?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. Highland – withdrawn. HCMFA disclosed these notes in its own audited financial statements; right?</li> </ul>	Page 133
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>if - you know, what if that 7.4 million was</li> <li>solely attributable to the NAV error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there is no question that</li> <li>Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over</li> <li>the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory</li> <li>notes to the partnership in the aggregate</li> <li>amount of \$7.4 million; correct?</li> <li>A. In the course of the audit, we would</li> <li>have produced all promissory notes in our</li> <li>possession, including the ones that are</li> <li>detailed here.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall that you signed the</li> <li>two promissory notes that are referenced in</li> <li>that provision?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I didn't recall initially but I've</li> <li>been reminded.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And - and do you recall that</li> <li>those notes are dated May 2nd and May 3rd,</li> <li>2019?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So that was just a month before the</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>date, right, if – if my memory serves me right.</li> <li>Q. Yes, I will represent to you that your memory is accurate in that regard. Did anybody ever instruct you as the CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events?</li> <li>A. So let me understand. You're saying when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the – over the course of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued promissory notes, this statement?</li> <li>Q. Right.</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. While you were the CFO of Highland, did anybody ever tell you that that sentence was wrong?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. Highland – withdrawn. HCMFA disclosed these notes in its own audited financial statements; right? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, form.</li> </ul>	Page 133

	Page 134			Page 135
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Tage 100
2	statements, yes, they they they should be	2	June 3rd. It could have been done on June 4th.	
3	and they were likely disclosed.	3	l don't – l don't – l don't recall.	
4	Q. Now, there is no statement	4	Q. Okay.	
5	concerning the 2019 notes about the forbearance	5	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the	
6	that we looked at in the affiliated note	6	screen the HCMFA audit report. And while	
7	section of the report; right?	7	we're –	
8	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	8	MS. DANDENEAU: What exhibit is	
9	Q. I'll withdraw. That was bad.	9	this?	
10	Do you recall when we were looking	10	MR. MORRIS: La Asia, what number is	
11	at the paragraph concerning HCMFA earlier it	11	that?	
12	had that disclosure about the agreement whereby	12	MS. CANTY: 45.	
13	Highland wouldn't ask for demand on the – on	13	MR. MORRIS: So this will be marked	
14	the HCMFA notes?	14	as Exhibit 45.	
15	A. Yes.	15	(Exhibit 45 marked.)	
16	Q. That forbearance disclosure is not	16	MS. CANTY: Yeah, and I will put it	
17	made with respect to the 2019 notes; right?	17	in the chat.	
18	A. Not – look, not that I can recall,	18	MS. DANDENEAU: Thank you.	
19	unless – unless it was done at a subsequent	19	Q. Okay. All right. Do you see that	
20	day.	20	this is the consolidated financial statements	
21	Q. Right. And it is not in the	21	for HCMFA for the period ending 12/31/18?	
22	subsequent event section that we're looking at	22	A. Yes.	
23	right now where the 2019 notes are described;	23	Q. As the treasurer of HCMFA at the	
24	right?	24	time, did you have to sign a management	
25	A. Right. But this is through	25	representation letter similar to the one that	
	Page 136			Page 137
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	we looked at earlier for Highland?	2	Q. Okay. PricewaterhouseCoopers served	
2	A. I would imagine I would have been		as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?	
1	A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.	2	as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct? A. Yes.	
3	<ul><li>A. I would imagine I would have been</li><li>asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li><li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is</li></ul>	
3 4	<ul><li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li><li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation</li></ul>	2 3 4	<ul><li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the</li></ul>	
3 4 5	<ul><li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li><li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li></ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the Highland audit report?</li></ul>	
3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No. MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the</li> <li>Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No. MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the document.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the</li> <li>Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of</li> <li>preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the document.</li> <li>A. I mean, let me – you know, there</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the</li> <li>Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of</li> <li>preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did its audit report at this time?</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No. MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the document.</li> <li>A. I mean, let me – you know, there are different versions to management</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did its audit report at this time?</li> <li>A. I mean, it is a different entity.</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the document.</li> <li>A. I mean, let me – you know, there are different versions to management representation letters I will qualify.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did its audit report at this time?</li> <li>A. I mean, it is a different entity.</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the document.</li> <li>A. I mean, let me – you know, there are different versions to management representation letters I will qualify.</li> <li>Yes, there are certain – from time</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the</li> <li>Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of</li> <li>preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did its audit report at this time?</li> <li>A. I mean, it is a different entity.</li> <li>There are different assets. You know, it – it – it is – as you saw, Highland's</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the document.</li> <li>A. I mean, let me – you know, there are different versions to management representation letters I will qualify. Yes, there are certain – from time to time auditors can make representations</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did its audit report at this time?</li> <li>A. I mean, it is a different entity.</li> <li>There are different assets. You know, it – it is – as you saw, Highland's financials are on a consolidated basis. This</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the document.</li> <li>A. I mean, let me – you know, there are different versions to management representation letters I will qualify. Yes, there are certain – from time to time auditors can make representations that – in the rep letter that is being</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did its audit report at this time?</li> <li>A. I mean, it is a different entity.</li> <li>There are different assets. You know, it – it – it is – as you saw, Highland's financials are on a consolidated basis. This is different, so it is under the same control</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the document.</li> <li>A. I mean, let me – you know, there are different versions to management representation letters I will qualify.</li> <li>Yes, there are certain – from time to time auditors can make representations that – in the rep letter that is being proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did its audit report at this time?</li> <li>A. I mean, it is a different entity.</li> <li>There are different assets. You know, it – it – it is – as you saw, Highland's financials are on a consolidated basis. This is different, so it is under the same control environment and team.</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the document.</li> <li>A. I mean, let me – you know, there are different versions to management representation letters I will qualify. Yes, there are certain – from time to time auditors can make representations that – in the rep letter that is being proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or things like that and they've asked for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did its audit report at this time?</li> <li>A. I mean, it is a different entity.</li> <li>There are different assets. You know, it – it is – as you saw, Highland's financials are on a consolidated basis. This is different, so it is under the same control environment and team.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I appreciate that. So the</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the document.</li> <li>A. I mean, let me – you know, there are different versions to management representation letters I will qualify. Yes, there are certain – from time to time auditors can make representations that – in the rep letter that is being proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or things like that and they've asked for signature.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did its audit report at this time?</li> <li>A. I mean, it is a different entity.</li> <li>There are different assets. You know, it – it – it is – as you saw, Highland's financials are on a consolidated basis. This is different, so it is under the same control environment and team.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I appreciate that. So the same control environment and team participated</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the document.</li> <li>A. I mean, let me – you know, there are different versions to management representation letters I will qualify.</li> <li>Yes, there are certain – from time to time auditors can make representations that – in the rep letter that is being proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or things like that and they've asked for signature.</li> <li>In that context, yes. I mean, you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did its audit report at this time?</li> <li>A. I mean, it is a different entity.</li> <li>There are different assets. You know, it – it – it is – as you saw, Highland's financials are on a consolidated basis. This is different, so it is under the same control environment and team.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I appreciate that. So the same control environment and team participated in the preparation of the audit for Highland</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the document.</li> <li>A. I mean, let me – you know, there are different versions to management representation letters I will qualify.</li> <li>Yes, there are certain – from time to time auditors can make representations that – in the rep letter that is being proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or things like that and they've asked for signature.</li> <li>In that context, yes. I mean, you know – I mean, if I have been asked to sign</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did its audit report at this time?</li> <li>A. I mean, it is a different entity.</li> <li>There are different assets. You know, it – it – it is – as you saw, Highland's financials are on a consolidated basis. This is different, so it is under the same control environment and team.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I appreciate that. So the same control environment and team participated in the preparation of the audit for Highland and for HCMFA at around the same time; correct?</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the document.</li> <li>A. I mean, let me – you know, there are different versions to management representation letters I will qualify. Yes, there are certain – from time to time auditors can make representations that – in the rep letter that is being proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or things like that and they've asked for signature. In that context, yes. I mean, you know – I mean, if I have been asked to sign and make those representations and those</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did its audit report at this time?</li> <li>A. I mean, it is a different entity.</li> <li>There are different assets. You know, it – it – it is – as you saw, Highland's financials are on a consolidated basis. This is different, so it is under the same control environment and team.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I appreciate that. So the same control environment and team participated in the preparation of the audit for Highland and for HCMFA at around the same time; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the document.</li> <li>A. I mean, let me – you know, there are different versions to management representation letters I will qualify. Yes, there are certain – from time to time auditors can make representations that – in the rep letter that is being proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or things like that and they've asked for signature. In that context, yes. I mean, you know – I mean, if I have been asked to sign and make those representations are invalid, yes, I would not,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did its audit report at this time?</li> <li>A. I mean, it is a different entity.</li> <li>There are different assets. You know, it – it – it is – as you saw, Highland's financials are on a consolidated basis. This is different, so it is under the same control environment and team.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I appreciate that. So the same control environment and team participated in the preparation of the audit for Highland and for HCMFA at around the same time; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. MR. MORRIS: Can we go to page 17 of</li> </ul>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3	<ul> <li>A. I would imagine I would have been asked to. I don't recall if I did.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an auditor to sign a management representation letter and then not doing it?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down again. I just want to see the date of the document.</li> <li>A. I mean, let me – you know, there are different versions to management representations to time auditors can make representations that – in the rep letter that is being proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or things like that and they've asked for signature.</li> <li>In that context, yes. I mean, you know – I mean, if I have been asked to sign and make those representations and those</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that this audit report is signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the Highland audit report?</li> <li>A. That is correct.</li> <li>Q. And did the process of – of preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the same process that Highland followed when it did its audit report at this time?</li> <li>A. I mean, it is a different entity.</li> <li>There are different assets. You know, it – it – it is – as you saw, Highland's financials are on a consolidated basis. This is different, so it is under the same control environment and team.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I appreciate that. So the same control environment and team participated in the preparation of the audit for Highland and for HCMFA at around the same time; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-3 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 37 of 131

<b>D</b> (22)		
Page 138 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 1 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	39
2 Q. Okay. Do you see that just like	2 Q. I apologize if I asked you this	
3 Highland's audited financial report, HCMFA's	3 already, but has anybody ever told you at any	
4 audited financial report also has a section	4 time that you are not authorized to sign the	
5 related to subsequent events?	5 promissory notes that are the subject of the	
6 A. Yes.	6 sentence we're looking at?	
7 Q. And am I reading this correctly that	7 A. Not that I recall.	
8 just as Highland had done, HCMFA disclosed in	8 Q. Did anybody ever tell you at any	
9 its audited financial report a subsequent event	9 time that you had made a mistake when you	
10 that related to the issuance of promissory	10 signed the promissory notes that are the	
11 notes to Highland in the aggregate amount of	11 subject of this sentence?	
12 \$7.4 million in 2019?	12 A. Say that again. Did anyone ever say	
13 A. That is what I see in the report.	13 that I made a mistake?	
14 Q. And you were the treasurer of HCMFA	14 Q. Let me ask the question again.	
15 at the time; right?	15 Did anybody ever tell you at any	
16 A. Yes, to the best of my knowledge.	16 time that you made a mistake when you signed	
17 Q. And did anybody ever tell you prior	17 the two promissory notes in Highland's favor on	
18 to the time of the issuance of this audit	18 behalf of HCMFA in 2019?	
19 report that that sentence relating to HCMFA's	19 A. Not that I recall.	
20 2019 notes was inaccurate or wrong in any way?	20 MR. MORRIS: Let's just look at the	
21 A. Not that I recall.	21 promissory notes quickly. Can we please	
22 Q. As you sit here right now, has	22 put up Document Number 1, and so this is in	
23 anybody ever told you that that sentence is	23 the pile that y'all have. We'll just go	
24 inaccurate or wrong in any way?	24 for a few more minutes and we can take our	
25 A. Not that I recall.	25 lunch break.	
Deer 440	Dec. 4	44
Page 140 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 1 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	41
2 Q. All right. So I don't know if you	2 Q. Is that your signature, sir?	
3 have seen this before, sir. Do you see that	3 A. Yes, it is.	
4 this is a complaint against HCMFA?	4 Q. And did you sign this document on or	
5 A. Yes, I am looking at it on the	5 around May 2nd, 2019?	
6 screen.	6 A. I don't recall specifically signing	
7 Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this	7 this, but this is my signature.	
8 document before?	8 Q. Okay. And do you recall that	
9 A. I went through some of these	9 Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at	
10 documents with my counsel here yesterday.	10 or around the time you signed this document?	
11 MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go	11 A. I don't recall specifically. I	
12 to Exhibit 1 of this document.	12 would want to, as I sit here today, go back and	
13 Q. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a	13 confirm that, but again, presumably that –	
14 \$2.4 million promissory note back in 2019?	14 that – that did happen.	
15 A. Yeah, I found it in the book. Yes,	15 Q. You wouldn't have signed this	
16 I have it here in front of me.	16 document if you didn't believe that HCMFA	
17 Q. And this is a demand note, right, if	17 either received or was going to receive	
18 you look at Paragraph 2?	18 \$2.4 million from Highland; is that fair?	
19 A. Yes.	19 A. I mean, it – if – if – if there	
20 Q. And this is a note where the maker	20 wasn't a transfer of value, yeah, I mean, you	
21 is HCMFA, and Highland is the payee; right?	21 know, I would have no reason to – to sign a	
22 A. Yes.	22 note.	
23 MR. MORRIS: And if we can scroll	23 Q. And – and Highland wouldn't have	
24 down, can we just see Mr. Waterhouse's	24 given this note to PricewaterhouseCoopers if –	
25 signature.	25 withdrawn.	

I         WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         Page No           2         HOMFA wouldn'there given this note         2         A         Yes.         2         A         Yes.           1         britowethouseCoopers fit hach treeviewd         3         O. And five go to the bottom, can we         4           4         the principal value of - of the note in the         5         A         Yes.         5           6         MR. RUKAVINA. Objection, legal         6         O. And together these notes are the         7           7         conclusion, corned?         5         A         Yes.         5           10         notes executed and outstanding? You know, as a         10         MS. DMCENEXU. Objection to form.           11         part of the audit, they, you know, they - they         11         A         They - theythey builded         14           12         hace copies of the back statements,         13         G. O. Claw, And you were authorized to the in Highend and         14         14         MS. DMCENEXU.         15         Finithita and the audit and the audit and author audit	_	Page 142			Dogo 1/2
1         Pricewaterhouse/Copers if InachTreceived         3         Q. And five go to the lootern, can we           4         the principal value of - of the note in the         4         just confirm that that is your signature?           6         MR. RUKAWINK: Objection, legal         6         Q. And five go to the lootern, can we           7         conclusion, speculation and form.         7         notes that are effered to both in Highland and           8         A. Again, wewhat we provided to PwC         8         HOMFA's audited financial reports in the           9         were, as part of the audit, any promissory         9         subsequent event sections; correct?           10         Insigns - things of that sort.         13         Q. Okay, And you were authorized to           14         Insigns - things of that sort.         13         Q. Okay, And you were authorized to           14         MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to         14         sign these two notes; correct?           15         Exhibit: a promissory         17         A. Yeak.         20           16         (Exhibit 2 marked.)         16         conclusion.         17           17         O. Doy uo use that this is a promissory         17         A. Yeak.         20           18         note dated May 3dd, 2019 in the amount of <t< td=""><td>1</td><td>Page 142 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</td><td>1</td><td>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</td><td>Page 143</td></t<>	1	Page 142 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 143
1         Pricewaterhouse/Copers if InachTreceived         3         Q. And five go to the lootern, can we           4         the principal value of - of the note in the         4         just confirm that that is your signature?           6         MR. RUKAWINK: Objection, legal         6         Q. And five go to the lootern, can we           7         conclusion, speculation and form.         7         notes that are effered to both in Highland and           8         A. Again, wewhat we provided to PwC         8         HOMFA's audited financial reports in the           9         were, as part of the audit, any promissory         9         subsequent event sections; correct?           10         Insigns - things of that sort.         13         Q. Okay, And you were authorized to           14         Insigns - things of that sort.         13         Q. Okay, And you were authorized to           14         MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to         14         sign these two notes; correct?           15         Exhibit: a promissory         17         A. Yeak.         20           16         (Exhibit 2 marked.)         16         conclusion.         17           17         O. Doy uo use that this is a promissory         17         A. Yeak.         20           18         note dated May 3dd, 2019 in the amount of <t< td=""><td>2</td><td>HCMFA wouldn't have given this note</td><td>2</td><td>A. Yes.</td><td></td></t<>	2	HCMFA wouldn't have given this note	2	A. Yes.	
4 the principal value of - of the note in the         4 just confirm that that is your signature?           5 form of a loars correct?         5         A Yes;         6         0. And together these notes are the           7 conclusion, speculation and form.         7         rotes that are referred to both in Highland and           8         A Again, wewhat we provided to PAC         8         HCMFAs audied francial teposits in the           9 were, as part of the audit, any promissory         9         subsequent evert sections, correct?           10         notes executed and usistanding You know, as a         10         MS. DANDENEXL: Objection to form.           11         part of the audit, they, you know, they - they         11         A. They - they - they totaled           12         have copies of all the bank statements.         12         \$7.4 million, so presumably, yes.           13         things - things of that sot.         13         Q. Okey, And you were authorized to           14         MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to         14         sign these two notes; correct?           15         Exhibit 2.         16         Gonclusion.         17           17         Q. Doy ub selve thit is is a promissory         17         A Yes.         20           21         A Yes.         21         Int corres to more winkit diodocuments in	3	to PricewaterhouseCoopers if it hadn't received	3	Q. And if we go to the bottom, can we	
6         MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, logal         5         A. Yes.           6         MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, logal         6         Q. And logether these notes are the           7         ococutasin, speculation and form.         8         A. Again, we—what we provided to PwC.         8           9         were, as part of the audit, any promissory         9         subsequent event sections; corret?           10         part of the audit, any you know, itely — they         11         A. They — they - they totaled           12         have copies of all the bank stamements,         11         S. 74. Million, so presumably, yes.           13         things — things of that sort.         13         G. Okey, And you were authorized to           14         MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal         16         conclusion.           15         Exhibit 2.         15         MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal         16           16         (Exhibit 2. marked)         16         conclusion.         17         A. Yeas.           18         note attage any phi 2?         20         A. Yeas.         20         14         astign these what it doesn't. I mean, 22         14         14 is its mis signal to of doouments in my           25         maker, and Highland is the payee?         20         0. And do you see that How FAs	4		4	-	
6       MR.RUKAVINA: Objection, legal       6       Q. And together these notes are the         7       conclusion, speculation and form.       7       notes that are referred to both in Highland and         8       A. Again, we—-Watk up workled to PVC       9       Were, as part of the audit, any promissory         9       use, as part of the audit, they, you know, they – they       11       A. They – they - they totaled         11       part of the audit, they, you know, they – they       11       A. They – they – they totaled         12       have copies of all the bark statements,       12       S7.4 million, so presumably, yes.         13       D. Ckey. And you were authorized to       14       sign these two notes; correct?         14       MR.MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to       14       sign these two notes; correct?         15       Exhibit2.       15       molt adde My sign 2019 in the amount of       16       conclusion.         17       O. Do you see that this is a promissory       17       A. Yes.       20       har Yes.       20       har Yes.       20       that is my signature on the notes.         21       O. Do you believe this is also a demand       21       that is my signature on the notes.       21       that confers to more vish at doesn't.       man, 72         22       O. And do you	5		5		
7       conclusion, speculation and form.       7       roles that are refered to both in Highland and         8       A. Again, we – what we provided to PvC       8       HCMFAS autided financial reports in the         9       were, as part of the audit, any promissory       9       subsequent event sections; corret?         10       notes executed and outstanding. You know, as a       10       MS, DANDENEAU: Objection to form.         11       part of the audit, any provided to PvC       8       HCMFAS audited financial reports in the         11       part of the audit, any provided to PvC       8       HCMFAS audited financial reports in the         12       and the subsequent event sections; corret?       11       A. They – they – they tolaid         13       things – things of that sort.       13       G. Okay. And you were authorized to         14       MR. MCRNS: Okay. Can we go to       14       sign these two notes; corret?         15       Exhibit 2       16       conclusion.       17       A Yeas.         16       (Exhibit 2 marked)       16       conclusion.       17       A Yeas.         14       O. Doyou believe this is also a demand       21       that: my signature on the notes.       22       0. And you believe droue were authorized         15       materise ware and Highland is	6	MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal	6	Q. And together these notes are the	
8       A agai, we - what we provided to PvC       8       HCMFA's audited francial reports in the         9       were, as part of the audit, any promissory       9       subsequent event sections; correct?         11       part of the audit, they, you know, they - they       11       A. They - they - they totaled         12       have copies of all the bark statements,       12       25       Antion, so presumably, yes.         13       things - things of that sort.       13       Q. Okay. And you were authorized to         14       MR.MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to       14       sign these two notes; correct?         15       Exhibit 2       16       corclusion.       17       A. Yes.         16       not dated May 3rd, 2019 in the anomator of       16       officer of - of HCMFA. You know, I - I'm not         19       Strailinor?       19       the legal expert on - on what that - what       20         20       A. Yes.       22       O. And you believe this is also a demand       21       that is my signature on the notes.         21       Q. Doyou believe this is also a demand       21       that is my signature on the notes.       22       ob that down they.         22       note data way again they payee?       22       corabitive theores: is that alr?       24       A. I signed fase to foo	7		7	-	
9     were, as part of the audit, any promissory     9     subsequent event sectors, corred?       10     notes executed and outstanding. You know, as a     10     MS, DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       11     part of the audit, they, out know, they – they     11     A. They – they – they totaled       12     have copies of all the bank statements,     12     \$7 A million, so presumably, yes.       13     things – things of that sort.     13     Q. Okay, And you were authorized to       14     MR MORRIS: Okay, Can we go to     14     sign these two notes: correct?       15     Exhibit 2     15     MR, RUKAVINA: Objection, legal       16     (Exhibit 2 marked.)     16     condusion.       17     Q. Do you see that this is a promissory     17     A. Yes.     20       20     A. Yes.     20     that confers to me or what that – what       21     Q. Do you believe this is also a demand     21     that four sign develow on what that – what       23     A. Yes.     20     that signed a lot of documents in my       25     maker, and Highland is the payse?     25     capacity, just because it is operational in       24     Q. Ard you beleved you were authorized     3     A. You know, from – from what I recall       2     nature. So, you know, to me this was just     1     WATEHHOUSE - 10-19-21<	8	•	8	0	
10       notes executed and outstanding. You know, as a       10       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.         11       part of the audit, they, you know, they - they       11       A. They - they - they totaled         13       things - things of that sort.       13       Q. Okay. And you were authorized to         14       MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to       14       sign these two notes; correct?         16       (Exhibit 2 marked.)       16       conclusion.         17       Q. Do you see that this is a promissory       17       A. Yes.       20         20       A. Yes.       20       that confers to me or what that - what         21       Q. Do you believe this is also a demand       21       that sm y signature on the notes.         21       Q. Do you believe this is also a demand       21       that sm y signature on the notes.         22       note dided May 3rd, 2019 in the amount of       18       differe of - of HCMFA. You know, I - I'm not         23       hay frees.       20       that confers to me or what that - what         24       A. Yes.       22       Q. And you heleved you were authorized         25       reader.       24       A. I signed a lot of documents in my         25       capacity, just because ti is operational in       Marcenses.	9	- · ·	9	•	
11       part of the audit, they, you know, they – they       11       A. They – they – they totaled         12       heve copies of all the bank statements,       12       57.4 million, so presumably, yes.         13       things – things of that soci.       13       Q. Okay, And you were authorized to         14       MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to       14       sign these two notes: correct?         15       Exhibit 2.       15       MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal         16       (Exhibit 2 marked.)       16       conclusion.         17       Q. Doy ou see that this is a promissory       17       A. Yesh. Inmean, fm – I was the         18       note dated May 3rd, 2019 in the amount of       18       fiftice of – of HCMFA. You know, I – Imm ot         19       by spiniterion       14       things – thing wignature on the notes.       22         20       And you believe this is also a demand       21       that is my signature on the notes.       22         21       A. Yes.       23       A. Yes.       23       biggin the notes; is that fair?         22       Q. And you believe this is also a demand       21       fmit: my signature on documents in my         22       Q. And you believe this say also a demand       21       fmit: massis aliso fain tor documents in my	10		10	-	
12       have copies of all the bark statements,       12       \$7.4 million, so presumably, yes.         13       things – things of that sort.       13       Q. Okay, And you were authorized to         14       MR MORRIS: Okay, Can we go to       14       sign these two notes; corred?         15       Exhibit 2.       15       MR, RUKAVINA: Objection, legal         16       (Exhibit 2 marked.)       16       conclusion.         17       Q. Doy us set that this is a promissory       17       A. Yesh.       18         18       note dated May 3rd, 2019 in the amount of       19       the legal expert on - on what that - what         20       A Yes.       20       that confers to me or what it doesn't. I mean,       21         21       onter if you look at Paragraph 2?       22       Q. And you beleved you were authorized       23       to sign the notes. is that fair?         24       Q. And do you see that HCMFA is the       24       A. Isigned a lot of documents in my       25       capacity, just because it is operational in         25       nature. So, you know, tome this was just       3       A. You know, from - from what I recall       4       acound the ocuments, to be perfectly honest.       3       A. You know, from - from what I recall       5         26       authort document, to be perfectly	11	-		-	
13       things – things of that sort.       13       Q. Okay. And you were authorized to         14       MR. MORRS: Okay. Can we go to       14       sign these two notes; correct?         16       Exhibit 2.       15       MR. RUKAINA: Objection, legal         16       (Exhibit 2 marked.)       16       conclusion.         17       Q. Do you beet that this is a promissory       17       A. Yes.       18       office of – of HOHAN. You know, I–Im not         19       spanillon?       19       the legal expert on – on what that – what       20       h. Yes.       20       h. due doub were authorized         20       A. Yes.       20       b. due doub were authorized       23       to sign the notes, is that fair?         24       Q. And do you see that HOMFA is the       24       A. Isigned notes, is that fair?       24       A. Isigned notes, is that fair?         25       rature. So, you know, to me this was just       2       form.       3       A. You know, from – form what I recall         4       Q. Sr, would you have signed       4       acund these notes, you know, the recall       5       specifically M. – MD. Dondero       5         57.4 million if you didn't believe you were       6       make this a loan.       7       S mory conversation with Mr. Dondero       8	12		12		
14       MR MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to       14       sign these two notes; correct?         15       Exhibit 2.       15       MR RUKAVINA: Objection, legal         16       (Exhibit 2 marked.)       16       conclusion.         17       Q. Do you see that this is a promissory       17       A. Yeah. I mean, fm – I was the         18       note dated May 3dt, 2019 in the amount of       19       the legal expect no – on what that – what         20       A. Yes.       20       that confers to me or what it doesn't. I mean,       21         21       Q. Do you believe this is also a demand       21       that confers to me or what it doesn't. I mean,       22         23       A. Yes.       20       chaf you bok at Paragraph 2?       22       Q. And do you see that HCMFA is the       24       A. I signed a lot of documents in my         25       raker, and Highland is the payee?       25       cagadity, just because it is operational in         26       nature. So, you know, to me this was just       2       form.       3       A. You know, from – from what I recall         3       another document, to be perfectly honest.       3       A. You know, from – from what I recall       5         4       Q. Sr, would you have signed       4       around these unination of the NAV eroras       9	13				
15       Exhibit 2.       15       MR.RUKAVINA: Objection, legal         16       (Exhibit 2 marked.)       16       conclusion.         17       Q. Doyou see that this is a promissory       17       A Yea.         18       role dated May 3rd, 2019 in the amount of       18       officer of - of HCMFA. You know, I - I'm not         19       Sigmilion?       19       the legal expert on - on what it doesn't. I mean,         20       A. Yes.       20       that confers to me or what it doesn't. I mean,         21       OL Doyou believe this is also a demand       21       that is my signature on the notes.         22       OL And do you see that HCMFA is the       24       A. I signed a bot of documents in my         25       ranker, and Highland is the payee?       25       capacity, just because it is operational in         7       wather document, to be perfectly honest.       3       A. You know, from - from what I recall         9       promissory notes with the principal amount of       5       specifically Mr Mr. Dondero asying to - to         6       S7.4 million if you didn't believe you were       6       marke this a loan.       7         7       authorized to do so?       8       ranuel the culimation of the NAV error as       9       related to TereStar which was a - a - 1	1				
16       (Exhibit 2 marked.)       16       condusion.         17       Q. Do you see that this is a promissory       17       A. Yeah. I mean, Im – I was the         18       rote dated May 3rd, 2019 in the amount of       18       officer of - of HCMFA. You know, I – I'm not         19       S5 million?       19       the legal expert on – on what that – what         20       A. Yes.       20       that confers to me or what that – what         21       Q. Do you believe this is also a demand       21       that is my signature on the notes.         22       A. Yes.       20       And you believed you were authorized         23       A. Yes.       21       C. And do you see that HCMFA is the       24       A. I signed a bt of documents in my         25       maker, and Highland is the payee?       25       capacity, just because it is operational in         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 144       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 145         2       nature. So, you know, to me this was just       3       A. You know, form – from what I recall       4       around these notes, you know, I don't recall       5       specifically Mr. – M. Dondero saying to – to       6       make this a loan.       7       So million fyou didn't believe you were       6       make this a loan.       7<	1		15		
17       Q. Do you see that this is a promissory       17       A. Yeah. Imean, Im – I was the         18       note dated May 3d, 2019 in the amount of       18       officer of – of HOMRA. You know, I – I'm not         19       \$Smillion?       19       the logal expert on – on what that - what         20       A. Yes.       20       that confers to me or what it doesn't. I mean,         21       Q. Do you believe this is also a demand       21       that it my signature on the notes.         22       note if you look at Paragraph 2?       20       And you believed you were authorized         23       A. Yes.       23       to sign the notes, is that fair?         24       Q. And do you see that HCMFA is the       24       A. I signed a lot of documents in my         25       maker, and Highland is the payee?       25       capacity, just because it is operational in         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 144       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 145         2       nature. So, you know, to me this was just       3       A. You know, form – from what I recall       5         3       promissory notes with the principal amount of       6       specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero as and a half process. I       11         4       Q. Sity would you have signed       4       around the	1				
18       note dated May 3rd, 2019 in the amount of       18       officer of - of HCMFA. You know, I - I'm not         19       S5 million?       19       that confers to me or what it doesn't. I mean,         20       A       Yes.       20       A res.       20         21       note if you look at Paragraph 2?       22       Q. And you beleved you were authorized       23         23       A       Yes.       21       that is my signature on the notes.       22         23       A       Yes.       23       to sign the notes: is that fair?       24         24       Q. And do you see that HCMFA is the       24       A l signed a lot of documents in my         25       maker, and Highland is the payee?       25       capacity, just because it is operational in         7       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 144       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 145         1       wATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 144       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 145         2       notin from what was just       3       A       You know, from - from what I recall       4       around these notes, you know, lon't necall       5         3       antorics do so?       7       So my conversation with Mr. Dondero       8       around these alon.	1		-		
19       \$\$ million?       19       the legal expert on - on what that - what         20       A       Yes.       20       that confers to me or what it doesn't. I mean,         21       Q. Do you believe this is also a demand       21       that is my signature on the notes.         21       Q. Do do took at Paragraph 2?       22       Q. And you believed you were authorized         23       A       Yes.       23       to sign the notes; is that fair?         24       Q. And do you see that HCMFA is the       24       A       I signed a lot of documents in my         25       raker, and Highland is the payee?       25       capacity, just because it is operational in         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 145       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       form.       3       another document, to be perfectly honest.       3       A       You know, from - from what I recall         3       another document, to be perfectly honest.       3       A       You know, from - from what I recall       5         4       Q. St, would you have signed       4       around these notes, you know, it don't recall       5         5       promissory notes with the principal amount of       5       specifically Mr Mr. Dondero asaling to thowas a - a - 1         10	1				
20       A. Yes.       20       that confers to me or what it doesn't. I mean,         21       0. Do you believe this is also a demand       21       that is my signature on the notes.         22       note if you look at Paragraph 2?       22       0. And you believed you were authorized         23       A. Yes.       23       to sign the notes, is that fair?         24       Q. And do you see that HCMFA is the       24       A. I signed a lot of documents in my         25       capacity, just because it is operational in       Page 144         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       25       capacity, just because it is operational in         2       nature. So, you know, to me this was just       3       A. You know, from — from what I recall         3       another document, to be perfectly honest.       3       A. You know, from — from what I recall         4       Q. Sir, would you have signed       4       around these notes, you know, thom the call         5       promissory notes with the principal amount of       5       specifically Mr. — Mr. Dondero saying to — to         6       %7.4 million if you didn't believe you were       7       So my conversation with Mr. Dondero         8       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       9       related to TerreStar which was a = a - 1         10       A. N	19		19		
21       Q. Do you believe this is also a demand       21       that is my signature on the notes.         22       note if you look at Paragraph 2?       22       Q. And you believe this is also a demand         23       A Yes.       23       to sign the notes; is that fair?         24       Q. And you believe this is also a demand       23       to sign the notes; is that fair?         24       Q. And you believe this is also a demand       25       capacity, just because it is operational in         25       maker, and Highland is the payee?       25       capacity, just because it is operational in         26       not may be perfectly honest.       3       A       Yes.         3       another document, to be perfectly honest.       3       A       Yes.       7         3       another document, to be perfectly honest.       3       A       Yes.       7       So my conversation with Mr. Dondero         4       Q. Sir, would you have signed       5       specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to       6       make this a loan.       7       So my conversation with Mr. Dondero         8       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       9       related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1       10       ohn know, it was a multi-month process.       1         11       you know, again, tlypi	1			- ·	
22       note if you look at Paragraph 2?       22       Q. And you believed you were authorized         23       A. Yes.       23       to sign the notes; is that fair?         24       Q. And do you see that HCMFA is the       24       A. I signed a bot of documents in my         25       capacity, just because it is operational in       25       capacity, just because it is operational in         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 144       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       nature. So, you know, to me this was just       3       A. You know, form – from what 1 recall         3       another document, to be perfectly honest.       3       A. You know, from – from what 1 recall         4       Q. Sir, would you have signed       5       specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to         6       \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were       7       So my convestation with Mr. Dondero         8       around the culmination of the NAV error as       9       P related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1         10       A. No. I'm just – you know, bit is –       10       think it was a year and a half process. I         11       you know, again, 1 typically don't sign       11       don't know, it was a matti-month process. I         11       you know, buit I did.       13       When we got to the end,	1	Q. Do vou believe this is also a demand			
23       A. Yes.       23       to sign the notes; is that fai?         24       Q. And do you see that HCMFA is the       24       A. I signed a lot of documents in my         25       maker, and Highland is the payee?       25       capacity, just because it is operational in         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       form.         2       nature. So, you know, to me this was just       3       A. You know, form – form what I recall         3       another document, to be perfectly honest.       3       A. You know, form – form what I recall         4       Q. Sir, would you have signed       5       specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to         6       \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were       6       make this a loan.         7       sony conversation with Mr. Dondero       8       around these notes, you know, it on to form.         9       Q. Are you frozen?       9       related to Terrestar which was a – a - 1       10       think it was a year and a half process. I         11       you know, again, I typically don't sign       11       don't know, it was a multi-month process, very       1         12       promissory notes, with a face amount of       15       know, buil idi.       13       When we got to the end, I had a         14       Q. Alright. So listen carefully	1	•			
24       Q. And do you see that HCMFA is the       24       A. I signed a lot of documents in my         25       maker, and Highland is the payee?       25       capacity, just because it is operational in         25       nature. So, you know, to me this was just       3       A. T signed a lot of documents in my         26       nature. So, you know, to me this was just       3       A. You know, from – from what I recall       4         4       Q. Sir, would you have signed       4       around these notes, you know, I don't recall       5         5       promissory notes with the principal amount of       5       specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to         6       \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were       6       maker this a loan.       7         7       authorized to do so?       7       So my conversation with Mr. Dondero       8         8       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       9       related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1       10       think it was a year and a half process. I         11       you know, again, I typically don't sign       11       don't know, it was a multi-month process, very         12       promissory notes, and I don't recall why I       12       laborious, very difficult.         13       signed these, but – you know, but I did.       13       When we got to the end, I h	1				
25       maker, and Highland is the payee?       25       capacity, just because it is operational in         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 144       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 145         2       nature. So, you know, tome this was just       3       A. You know, from – from what I recall       4         3       another document, to be perfectly honest.       3       A. You know, from – from what I recall       4         4       Q. Sir, would you have signed       5       specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to       6         5       rauthorized to do so?       7       So my conversation with Mr. Dondero       8         7       authorized to do so?       7       so my conversation with Mr. Dondero       8         8       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       9       related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1       10       think it was a year and a half process. I         11       you know, again, I typically don't sign       11       don't know, it was a multi-month process, very       12       laborious, very difficult.         13       signed these, but – you know, but Idd.       13       When we got to the end, I had a       14       conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you         15       my question.       Would you have ever signed       15       know, basically ge	1	Q. And do you see that HCMFA is the		-	
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       nature. So, you know, to me this was just       3       A. You know, form – from what I recall         3       another document, to be perfectly honest.       3       A. You know, from – from what I recall         4       Q. Sir, would you have signed       4       around these notes, you know, I don't recall         5       promissory notes with the principal amount of       5       specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to         6       \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were       6       make this a loan.         7       authorized to do so?       7       So my conversation with Mr. Dondero         8       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       9       related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1         10       A. No. I'm just – you know, bit is –       10       think it was a year and a half process. I         11       you know, again, Itypically don't sign       11       don't know, if was a multi-month process, very         12       promissory notes with a face amount of       13       When we got to the end, I had a         14       Q. All right. So listen carefully to       14       conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you         15       mry question. Would you have ever signed       15       know, basically get the funds to	25	-	25		
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       nature. So, you know, to me this was just       3       A. You know, form – from what I recall         3       another document, to be perfectly honest.       3       A. You know, from – from what I recall         4       Q. Sir, would you have signed       4       around these notes, you know, I don't recall         5       promissory notes with the principal amount of       5       specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to         6       \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were       6       make this a loan.         7       authorized to do so?       7       So my conversation with Mr. Dondero         8       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       9       related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1         10       A. No. I'm just – you know, bit is –       10       think it was a year and a half process. I         11       you know, again, Itypically don't sign       11       don't know, if was a multi-month process, very         12       promissory notes with a face amount of       13       When we got to the end, I had a         14       Q. All right. So listen carefully to       14       conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you         15       mry question. Would you have ever signed       15       know, basically get the funds to		Page 144	<u> </u>		Page 145
3       another document, to be perfectly honest.       3       A. You know, from – from what I recall         4       Q. Sir, would you have signed       4       around these notes, you know, I don't recall         5       promissory notes with the principal amount of       5       specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to         6       \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were       6       make this a loan.         7       authorized to do so?       8       around the culmination of the NAV error as         9       Q. Are you frozen?       9       related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1         10       A. No. I'm just – you know, it is –       10       think it was a year and a half process. I         11       you know, again, I typically don't sign       11       don't know, it was a multi-month process, very         12       promissory notes, and I don't recall why I       12       laborious, very difficult.         13       signed these, but – you know, but I did.       13       When we got to the end, I had a         14       Q. All right. So listen carefully to       14       conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you         15       my question. Would you have ever signed       15       know, basically get the funds to reimburse the         16       promissory notes with a face amount of       16       fund	1		1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	0
4       Q. Sir, would you have signed       4       around these notes, you know, I don't recall         5       promissory notes with the principal amount of       5       specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to         6       \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were       6       make this a loan.         7       authorized to do so?       7       So my conversation with Mr. Dondero         8       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       8       around the culmination of the NAV error as         9       Q. Are you frozen?       9       related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1         10       A. No. I'm just – you know, it is –       10       think it was a year and a half process. I         11       you know, again, I typically don't sign       11       don't know, it was a multi-month process, very         12       promissory notes, and I don't recall why I       12       laborious, very difficult         13       signed these, but – you know, but I did.       13       When we got to the end, I had a         14       Q. All right. So listen carefully to       14       conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you         15       my question.       Would you have ever signed       15       know, basically get the funds to reimburse the         16       promissory notes with a face amount of       16       f	2	nature. So, you know, to me this was just	2	form.	
5       promissory notes with the principal amount of       5       specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to         6       \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were       6       make this a loan.         7       authorized to do so?       7       So my conversation with Mr. Dondero         8       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       9       around the culmination of the NAV error as         9       Q. Are you frozen?       9       related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1         10       A. No. I'm just – you know, it is –       10       think it was a year and a half process. I         11       you know, again, I typically don't sign       11       don't know, it was a multi-month process, very         12       promissory notes, and I don't recall why I       12       laborious, very difficult.         13       signed these, but – you know, but I did.       13       When we got to the end, I had a         14       Q. All right. So listen carefully to       14       conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you         15       my question. Would you have ever signed       15       know, basically get the funds to reimburse the         16       promissory notes with a face amount of       16       fund, and I recall him saying, get the money         17       \$7.4 million without believing that you were       18 <t< td=""><td>3</td><td>another document, to be perfectly honest.</td><td>3</td><td>A. You know, from – from what I recall</td><td></td></t<>	3	another document, to be perfectly honest.	3	A. You know, from – from what I recall	
6       \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were       6       make this a loan.         7       authorized to do so?       7       So my conversation with Mr. Dondero         8       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       9       around the culmination of the NAV error as         9       Q. Are you frozen?       9       related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1         10       A. No. I'm just – you know, it is –       10       think it was a year and a half process. I         11       you know, again, I typically don't sign       11       don't know, it was a multi-month process, very         12       promissory notes, and I don't recall why I       12       laborious, very difficult.         13       signed these, but – you know, but I did.       13       When we got to the end, I had a         14       Q. All right. So listen carefully to       14       conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you         15       my question. Would you have ever signed       15       know, basically get the funds to reimburse the         16       promissory notes with a face amount of       16       fund, and I recall him saying, get the money         17       \$7.4 million without believing that you were       18       Q. And so he told you to get the money         18       authorized to do so?       18       Q. And so he told you to get	4	Q. Sir, would you have signed	4	around these notes, you know, I don't recall	
7authorized to do so?7So my conversation with Mr. Dondero8MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.8around the culmination of the NAV error as9Q. Are you frozen?9related to TerreStar which was a – a – 110A. No. I'm just – you know, it is –10think it was a year and a half process. I11you know, again, I typically don't sign11don't know, it was a multi-month process, very12promissory notes, and I don't recall why I12laborious, very difficult.13signed these, but – you know, but I did.13When we got to the end, I had a14Q. All right. So listen carefully to14conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you15my question. Would you have ever signed15know, basically get the funds to reimburse the16promissory notes with a face amount of16fund, and I recall him saying, get the money17\$7.4 million without believing that you were17from Highland.18authorized to do so?18Q. And so he told you to get the money19A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my19from Highland; is that right?20signature on there, so no.20A. That is what I recall – in my21Q. Okay. And would you have signed two21conversation with him, that is – that is what22promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay22I can recall.23Highland \$7.4 million without Mr. Dondero's23Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?24 <td< td=""><td>5</td><td>promissory notes with the principal amount of</td><td>5</td><td>specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to</td><td></td></td<>	5	promissory notes with the principal amount of	5	specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to	
8       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       8       around the culmination of the NAV error as         9       Q. Are you frozen?       9       related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1         10       A. No. I'm just – you know, it is –       10       think it was a year and a half process. I         11       you know, again, I typically don't sign       11       don't know, it was a multi-month process, very         12       promissory notes, and I don't recall why I       12       laborious, very difficult.         13       signed these, but – you know, but I did.       13       When we got to the end, I had a         14       Q. All right. So listen carefully to       14       conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you         15       my question. Would you have ever signed       15       know, basically get the funds to reimburse the         16       promissory notes with a face amount of       16       fund, and I recall him saying, get the money         17       \$7.4 million without believing that you were       17       from Highland.         18       Q. And so he told you to get the money       19         19       A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my       19       from Highland; is that right?         20       signature on there, so no.       20       A. That is what I recall – in my         21 </td <td>6</td> <td>\$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were</td> <td>6</td> <td>make this a loan.</td> <td></td>	6	\$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were	6	make this a loan.	
9Q. Are you frozen?9related to TerreStar which was a - a - 110A. No. I'm just - you know, it is -10think it was a year and a half process. I11you know, again, I typically don't sign11don't know, it was a multi-month process, very12promissory notes, and I don't recall why I12laborious, very difficult.13signed these, but - you know, but I did.13When we got to the end, I had a14Q. All right. So listen carefully to14conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you15my question. Would you have ever signed15know, basically get the funds to reimburse the16promissory notes with a face amount of16fund, and I recall him saying, get the money17\$7.4 million without believing that you were17from Highland.18authorized to do so?18Q. And so he told you to get the money19A. No. I mean, I'm - I'm putting my19from Highland; is that right?20signature on there, so no.20A. That is what I recall - in my21Q. Okay. And would you have signed two21conversation with him, that is - that is what22promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay23Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?24prior knowledge and approval?24A. I don't.	7	authorized to do so?	7		
10A. No. I'm just – you know, it is –10think it was a year and a half process. I11you know, again, I typically don't sign11don't know, it was a multi-month process, very12promissory notes, and I don't recall why I12laborious, very difficult.13signed these, but – you know, but I did.13When we got to the end, I had a14Q. All right. So listen carefully to14conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you15my question. Would you have ever signed15know, basically get the funds to reimburse the16promissory notes with a face amount of16fund, and I recall him saying, get the money17\$7.4 million without believing that you were17from Highland.18authorized to do so?18Q. And so he told you to get the money19A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my19from Highland; is that right?20signature on there, so no.20A. That is what I recall – in my21Q. Okay. And would you have signed two21conversation with him, that is – that is what22promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay22I can recall.23Highland \$7.4 million without Mr. Dondero's23Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?24prior knowledge and approval?24A. I don't.	8	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	8	around the culmination of the NAV error as	
11you know, again, I typically don't sign11don't know, it was a multi-month process, very12promissory notes, and I don't recall why I12laborious, very difficult.13signed these, but – you know, but I did.13When we got to the end, I had a14Q. All right. So listen carefully to14conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you15my question. Would you have ever signed15know, basically get the funds to reimburse the16promissory notes with a face amount of16fund, and I recall him saying, get the money17\$7.4 million without believing that you were17from Highland.18authorized to do so?18Q. And so he told you to get the money19A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my19from Highland; is that right?20signature on there, so no.20A. That is what I recall – in my21Q. Okay. And would you have signed two21conversation with him, that is – that is what22promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay23Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?24prior knowledge and approval?24A. I don't.	9	•	9	related to TerreStar which was a – a – I	
12promissory notes, and I don't recall why I12laborious, very difficult.13signed these, but – you know, but I did.13When we got to the end, I had a14Q. All right. So listen carefully to14conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you15my question. Would you have ever signed15know, basically get the funds to reimburse the16promissory notes with a face amount of16fund, and I recall him saying, get the money17\$7.4 million without believing that you were17from Highland.18authorized to do so?18Q. And so he told you to get the money19A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my19from Highland; is that right?20signature on there, so no.20A. That is what I recall – in my21Q. Okay. And would you have signed two21conversation with him, that is – that is what22promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay23Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?24prior knowledge and approval?24A. I don't.	10	A. No. I'm just – you know, it is –	10	think it was a year and a half process. I	
13signed these, but - you know, but I did.13When we got to the end, I had a14Q. All right. So listen carefully to14conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you15my question. Would you have ever signed15know, basically get the funds to reimburse the16promissory notes with a face amount of16fund, and I recall him saying, get the money17\$7.4 million without believing that you were17from Highland.18authorized to do so?18Q. And so he told you to get the money19A. No. I mean, I'm - I'm putting my19from Highland; is that right?20signature on there, so no.20A. That is what I recall - in my21Q. Okay. And would you have signed two21conversation with him, that is - that is what22promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay23Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?24prior knowledge and approval?24A. I don't.	11		11		
14Q. All right. So listen carefully to14conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you15my question. Would you have ever signed15know, basically get the funds to reimburse the16promissory notes with a face amount of16fund, and I recall him saying, get the money17\$7.4 million without believing that you were17from Highland.18authorized to do so?18Q. And so he told you to get the money19A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my19from Highland; is that right?20signature on there, so no.20A. That is what I recall – in my21Q. Okay. And would you have signed two21conversation with him, that is – that is what22promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay23Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?24prior knowledge and approval?24A. I don't.	12			laborious, very difficult.	
15my question. Would you have ever signed15know, basically get the funds to reimburse the16promissory notes with a face amount of16fund, and I recall him saying, get the money17\$7.4 million without believing that you were17from Highland.18authorized to do so?18Q. And so he told you to get the money19A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my19from Highland; is that right?20signature on there, so no.20A. That is what I recall – in my21Q. Okay. And would you have signed two21conversation with him, that is – that is what22promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay23Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?23Highland \$7.4 million without Mr. Dondero's23Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?24prior knowledge and approval?24A. I don't.	13		13	•	
16promissory notes with a face amount of16fund, and I recall him saying, get the money17\$7.4 million without believing that you were17from Highland.18authorized to do so?18Q. And so he told you to get the money19A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my19from Highland; is that right?20signature on there, so no.20A. That is what I recall – in my21Q. Okay. And would you have signed two21conversation with him, that is – that is what22promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay22I can recall.23Highland \$7.4 million without Mr. Dondero's23Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?24prior knowledge and approval?24A. I don't.	14		14		
17\$7.4 million without believing that you were17from Highland.18authorized to do so?18Q. And so he told you to get the money19A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my19from Highland; is that right?20signature on there, so no.20A. That is what I recall – in my21Q. Okay. And would you have signed two21conversation with him, that is – that is what22promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay21I can recall.23Highland \$7.4 million without Mr. Dondero's23Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?24prior knowledge and approval?24A. I don't.	15		15		
18authorized to do so?18Q. And so he told you to get the money19A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my19from Highland; is that right?20signature on there, so no.20A. That is what I recall – in my21Q. Okay. And would you have signed two21conversation with him, that is – that is what22promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay22I can recall.23Highland \$7.4 million without Mr. Dondero's23Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?24prior knowledge and approval?24A. I don't.	16		16		
19A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my19from Highland; is that right?20signature on there, so no.20A. That is what I recall – in my21Q. Okay. And would you have signed two21conversation with him, that is – that is what22promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay22I can recall.23Highland \$7.4 million without Mr. Dondero's23Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?24prior knowledge and approval?24A. I don't.				-	
20signature on there, so no.20A.That is what I recall – in my21Q.Okay. And would you have signed two21conversation with him, that is – that is what22promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay22I can recall.23Highland \$7.4 million without Mr. Dondero's23Q.Do you know who drafted these notes?24prior knowledge and approval?24A.I don't.					
21Q. Okay. And would you have signed two21conversation with him, that is – that is what22promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay22I can recall.23Highland \$7.4 million without Mr. Dondero's23Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?24prior knowledge and approval?24A. I don't.	1				
22promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay22I can recall.23Highland \$7.4 million without Mr. Dondero's23Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?24prior knowledge and approval?24A. I don't.	1	-		•	
23Highland \$7.4 million without Mr. Dondero's23Q.Do you know who drafted these notes?24prior knowledge and approval?24A.I don't.	1				
24 prior knowledge and approval? 24 A. I don't.	1				
	1.03	-		-	
20 IVID. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 25 Q. Did you ask somebody to draft the	1				
	24				

	Page 146		Page 147
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21			0
2 notes?		2 would have been drafted by somebody in the	
3 A. I didn't ask – I don't specifically		5 T	
4 ask people to draft notes really. I mean,	4	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
5 again, you know, the legal group at Highland is		5 form.	
6 responsible and has always been responsible for	6	6 A. Yes.	
7 drafting promissory notes.		Q. Okay. And do you know who would	
8 Q. So based on your – based on the	8	have instructed – do you have any knowledge as	
9 practice, you believe that somebody from the	9	to who would have instructed the legal	
10 Highland's legal department would have drafted	1	O department to draft these notes?	
11 these notes. Do I have that right?	1	1 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	1	2 form.	
13 form. John, I also asked you for the Word	1	A. It was whoever was working – I	
14 versions of these notes so we could look at	1	4 mean, it was likely someone on the team. I	
15 the properties, and you have not provided	1	5 mean, I don't remember exactly on every note or	
16 them. Are you intending to?	1	6 every document, but, again, a lot of these	
17 MR. MORRIS: No.	1	7 things of this nature they're operational in	
18 Q. Can you answer my question, sir?	1	8 nature – were handled by the team.	
19 A. Again, I –	1	9 The team knows to I mean, we	
20 MS. DANDENEAU: Do you want him to	2	0 don't draft documents. We're not lawyers.	
21 repeat it?	2	-	
22 A. Yeah, why don't you repeat it?	2	-	
23 Q. Sure. Mr. Waterhouse, based on the	2	3 So they are always instructed to go	
24 practice that you have described in your	2	, , , ,	
25 understanding, do you believe that these notes	2		
	Page 148		Page 149
	Faue 140		Fage 149
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> </ol>			
		2 corporate accounting group gave the two notes	
2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always		<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> </ul>	
<ul><li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li><li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li></ul>		<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> <li>retain copies of items like this. I mean, I</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> <li>retain copies of items like this. I mean, I</li> <li>don't know everything that they would retain as</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> <li>9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero –</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> <li>retain copies of items like this. I mean, I</li> <li>don't know everything that they would retain as</li> <li>well.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> <li>9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero –</li> <li>10 (inaudible) – did you see those?</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> <li>retain copies of items like this. I mean, I</li> <li>don't know everything that they would retain as</li> <li>well.</li> <li>The legal team would also, if they</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> <li>9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero –</li> <li>10 (inaudible) – did you see those?</li> <li>11 A. Sorry.</li> </ul>	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> <li>retain copies of items like this. I mean, I</li> <li>don't know everything that they would retain as</li> <li>well.</li> <li>The legal team would also, if they</li> <li>had documents as part of audits, turn that over</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> <li>9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero –</li> <li>10 (inaudible) – did you see those?</li> <li>11 A. Sorry.</li> <li>12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear</li> </ul>	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> <li>retain copies of items like this. I mean, I</li> <li>don't know everything that they would retain as</li> <li>well.</li> <li>The legal team would also, if they</li> <li>had documents as part of audits, turn that over</li> <li>to the auditors as well. So it could have been</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> <li>9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero –</li> <li>10 (inaudible) – did you see those?</li> <li>11 A. Sorry.</li> <li>12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear</li> <li>13 the end of that question.</li> </ul>	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> <li>retain copies of items like this. I mean, I</li> <li>don't know everything that they would retain as</li> <li>well.</li> <li>The legal team would also, if they</li> <li>had documents as part of audits, turn that over</li> <li>to the auditors as well. So it could have been</li> <li>the corporate accounting team. It could be</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> <li>9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero –</li> <li>10 (inaudible) – did you see those?</li> <li>11 A. Sorry.</li> <li>12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear</li> <li>13 the end of that question.</li> <li>14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that</li> </ul>	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> <li>retain copies of items like this. I mean, I</li> <li>don't know everything that they would retain as</li> <li>well.</li> <li>The legal team would also, if they</li> <li>had documents as part of audits, turn that over</li> <li>to the auditors as well. So it could have been</li> <li>the corporate accounting team. It could be</li> <li>someone on the legal team.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> <li>9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero –</li> <li>10 (inaudible) – did you see those?</li> <li>11 A. Sorry.</li> <li>12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear</li> <li>13 the end of that question.</li> <li>14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that</li> <li>15 you signed these two notes?</li> </ul>	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> <li>retain copies of items like this. I mean, I</li> <li>don't know everything that they would retain as</li> <li>well.</li> <li>The legal team would also, if they</li> <li>had documents as part of audits, turn that over</li> <li>to the auditors as well. So it could have been</li> <li>the corporate accounting team. It could be</li> <li>someone on the legal team.</li> <li>Q. All right. So you didn't – you</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> <li>9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero –</li> <li>10 (inaudible) – did you see those?</li> <li>11 A. Sorry.</li> <li>12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear</li> <li>13 the end of that question.</li> <li>14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that</li> <li>15 you signed these two notes?</li> <li>16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't</li> </ul>	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> <li>retain copies of items like this. I mean, I</li> <li>don't know everything that they would retain as</li> <li>well.</li> <li>The legal team would also, if they</li> <li>had documents as part of audits, turn that over</li> <li>to the auditors as well. So it could have been</li> <li>the corporate accounting team. It could be</li> <li>someone on the legal team.</li> <li>Q. All right. So you didn't – you</li> <li>didn't draft this note; right?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> <li>9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero –</li> <li>10 (inaudible) – did you see those?</li> <li>11 A. Sorry.</li> <li>12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear</li> <li>13 the end of that question.</li> <li>14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that</li> <li>15 you signed these two notes?</li> <li>16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't</li> <li>17 recall having a conversation with him.</li> </ul>	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2corporate accounting group gave the two notes3to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with4the audit; correct?5MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.6A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I7mean, they – unless the legal team can also8retain copies of items like this. I mean, I9don't know everything that they would retain as9well.1The legal team would also, if they1had documents as part of audits, turn that over3to the auditors as well. So it could have been4the corporate accounting team. It could be5someone on the legal team.6Q. All right. So you didn't – you7didn't draft this note; right?8A. $1-1-1$ did not.	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> <li>9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero –</li> <li>10 (inaudible) – did you see those?</li> <li>11 A. Sorry.</li> <li>12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear</li> <li>13 the end of that question.</li> <li>14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that</li> <li>15 you signed these two notes?</li> <li>16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't</li> <li>17 recall having a conversation with him.</li> <li>18 Q. Did you ever discuss these two notes</li> </ul>	2 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 5 7 7 8 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 8 9 7 7 10 7 10 7 10 7 10 7 10 7 10 7 10	<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> <li>retain copies of items like this. I mean, I</li> <li>don't know everything that they would retain as</li> <li>well.</li> <li>The legal team would also, if they</li> <li>had documents as part of audits, turn that over</li> <li>to the auditors as well. So it could have been</li> <li>the corporate accounting team. It could be</li> <li>someone on the legal team.</li> <li>Q. All right. So you didn't – you</li> <li>didn't draft this note; right?</li> <li>A. I – I – I did not.</li> <li>Q. But somebody at Highland did; is</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> <li>9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero –</li> <li>10 (inaudible) – did you see those?</li> <li>11 A. Sorry.</li> <li>12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear</li> <li>13 the end of that question.</li> <li>14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that</li> <li>15 you signed these two notes?</li> <li>16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't</li> <li>17 recall having a conversation with him.</li> <li>18 Q. Did you ever discuss these two notes</li> <li>19 with him at any time?</li> <li>20 A. The conversation, I recall, was what</li> </ul>	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> <li>retain copies of items like this. I mean, I</li> <li>don't know everything that they would retain as</li> <li>well.</li> <li>The legal team would also, if they</li> <li>had documents as part of audits, turn that over</li> <li>to the auditors as well. So it could have been</li> <li>the corporate accounting team. It could be</li> <li>someone on the legal team.</li> <li>Q. All right. So you didn't – you</li> <li>didn't draft this note; right?</li> <li>A. I – I – I did not.</li> <li>Q. But somebody at Highland did; is</li> <li>that fair?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> <li>9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero –</li> <li>10 (inaudible) – did you see those?</li> <li>11 A. Sorry.</li> <li>12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear</li> <li>13 the end of that question.</li> <li>14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that</li> <li>15 you signed these two notes?</li> <li>16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't</li> <li>17 recall having a conversation with him.</li> <li>18 Q. Did you ever discuss these two notes</li> <li>19 with him at any time?</li> <li>20 A. The conversation, I recall, was what</li> <li>21 I described earlier. And that is the only time</li> </ul>	2 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	2corporate accounting group gave the two notes3to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with4the audit; correct?5MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.6A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I7mean, they – unless the legal team can also8retain copies of items like this. I mean, I9don't know everything that they would retain as9well.1The legal team would also, if they1had documents as part of audits, turn that over3to the auditors as well. So it could have been4the corporate accounting team. It could be5someone on the legal team.6Q. All right. So you didn't – you7didn't draft this note; right?8A. $1 - 1 - 1$ did not.9Q. But somebody at Highland did; is1MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> <li>9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero –</li> <li>10 (inaudible) – did you see those?</li> <li>11 A. Sorry.</li> <li>12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear</li> <li>13 the end of that question.</li> <li>14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that</li> <li>15 you signed these two notes?</li> <li>16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't</li> <li>17 recall having a conversation with him.</li> <li>18 Q. Did you ever discuss these two notes</li> <li>19 with him at any time?</li> <li>20 A. The conversation, I recall, was what</li> <li>21 I described earlier. And that is the only time</li> <li>22 I recall ever discussing this.</li> </ul>	2 2 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> <li>retain copies of items like this. I mean, I</li> <li>don't know everything that they would retain as</li> <li>well.</li> <li>The legal team would also, if they</li> <li>had documents as part of audits, turn that over</li> <li>to the auditors as well. So it could have been</li> <li>the corporate accounting team. It could be</li> <li>someone on the legal team.</li> <li>Q. All right. So you didn't – you</li> <li>didn't draft this note; right?</li> <li>A. I–I–I did not.</li> <li>Q. But somebody at Highland did; is</li> <li>that fair?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> <li>9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero –</li> <li>10 (inaudible) – did you see those?</li> <li>11 A. Sorry.</li> <li>12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear</li> <li>13 the end of that question.</li> <li>14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that</li> <li>15 you signed these two notes?</li> <li>16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't</li> <li>17 recall having a conversation with him.</li> <li>18 Q. Did you ever discuss these two notes</li> <li>19 with him at any time?</li> <li>20 A. The conversation, I recall, was what</li> <li>21 I described earlier. And that is the only time</li> <li>22 I recall ever discussing this.</li> <li>23 Q. Okay. But the corporate accounting</li> </ul>	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> <li>retain copies of items like this. I mean, I</li> <li>don't know everything that they would retain as</li> <li>well.</li> <li>The legal team would also, if they</li> <li>had documents as part of audits, turn that over</li> <li>to the auditors as well. So it could have been</li> <li>the corporate accounting team. It could be</li> <li>someone on the legal team.</li> <li>Q. All right. So you didn't – you</li> <li>didn't draft this note; right?</li> <li>A. 1–1–1 did not.</li> <li>Q. But somebody at Highland did; is</li> <li>that fair?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. 1 don't know. I mean, we can go to</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always</li> <li>3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you</li> <li>4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of</li> <li>5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of</li> <li>6 these types of transactions.</li> <li>7 Q. And do you believe that you had</li> <li>8 the – withdrawn.</li> <li>9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero –</li> <li>10 (inaudible) – did you see those?</li> <li>11 A. Sorry.</li> <li>12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear</li> <li>13 the end of that question.</li> <li>14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that</li> <li>15 you signed these two notes?</li> <li>16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't</li> <li>17 recall having a conversation with him.</li> <li>18 Q. Did you ever discuss these two notes</li> <li>19 with him at any time?</li> <li>20 A. The conversation, I recall, was what</li> <li>21 I described earlier. And that is the only time</li> <li>22 I recall ever discussing this.</li> <li>23 Q. Okay. But the corporate accounting</li> </ul>	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	<ul> <li>corporate accounting group gave the two notes</li> <li>to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with</li> <li>the audit; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I</li> <li>mean, they – unless the legal team can also</li> <li>retain copies of items like this. I mean, I</li> <li>don't know everything that they would retain as</li> <li>well.</li> <li>The legal team would also, if they</li> <li>had documents as part of audits, turn that over</li> <li>to the auditors as well. So it could have been</li> <li>the corporate accounting team. It could be</li> <li>someone on the legal team.</li> <li>Q. All right. So you didn't – you</li> <li>didn't draft this note; right?</li> <li>A. I – I – I did not.</li> <li>Q. But somebody at Highland did; is</li> <li>that fair?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. I don't know. I mean, we can go to</li> <li>the legal team. I don't – I'm not sitting</li> </ul>	

	Page 150			Page 151
1 WAT	ERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 outside couns	sel. I have no idea.	2	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	
	ou have any reason to believe	3	record at 1:49 p.m.	
	uthorized to sign this note,	4	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with	
5 either of these	e two notes?	5	anybody during the break about the substance of	
6 A. I think	I have already answered that	6	this deposition?	
7 question.		7	A. I spoke to – to Deb and Michelle.	
· ·	<ul> <li>You didn't give these notes</li> </ul>	8	Q. About the substance of the	
	nouseCoopers; correct?	9	deposition?	
	DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	10	A. Yes.	
	't recall giving these to	11	Q. Can you tell me what you talked	
12 Pricewaterho	•	12	about?	
	in the practice that you have	13	MS. DANDENEAU: No. We object on	
	pmebody in the corporate accounting	14	the basis of privilege.	
	have given these two notes to	15	Q. Okay. You are going to follow your	
	useCoopers; correct?	16	counsel's objection here?	
	DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	17	A. Yes.	
	k l've answered that. I said	18	Q. Okay.	
	porate accounting team or maybe	19	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the	
20 the legal tean		20	screen Exhibit 35.	
	/IORRIS: Okay. Why don't we	21	(Exhibit 35 marked.)	
	unch break here.	22	Q. Are you able to see that document,	
	OGRAPHER: We're going off the	23	sir?	
24 record at	•	24	A. Yes.	
25 (Recess ta	aken 1:04 p.m. to 1:49 p.m.)	25	Q. Have you ever seen an incumbency	
1 WAT	Page 152 ERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 153
2 certificate befo		2	A. Again, I'm not the legal – I don't	
3 A. I have		3	know if this makes me the treasurer or the	
	z. pu have a general understanding	4	appointment. I don't know – I don't know	
· · ·	cumbency certificate is?	5	that, so I don't – I don't know if that	
	e a general understanding.	6	document – again, I think – again, I'm not	
	t is your general understanding?	7	the legal expert. I think isn't there –	
	now, those – my general	8	aren't there other legal documents that detail	
	g is that the incumbency	9	who the officers are that could be incorporated	
· ·	sically lists folks that can –	10	or things like that? Again, I don't want to	
	prized signers.	11	play armchair attorney here.	
	y. And do you see that this is	12	Q. I'm not asking you for a legal	
-	cy certificate for Highland Capital	13	conclusion. I'm asking you for your knowledge	
	t Fund Advisors, L.P.?	14	and understanding. When you signed this	
15 A. Yes.		15	document, did you understand that you were	
	y. And if we could scroll down	16	accepting an appointment as the treasurer of	
-	do you see that it's dated	17	HCMFA?	
	f April 11th, 2019?	18	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
19 A. Yes,		19	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	
· · · · ·	y. And is that your signature in	20	A. Again, I don't think this – that	
	the signature block?	21	wasn't my understanding. I don't think this	
22 A. Yes,	•	22	makes – this document makes me the treasurer.	
	by signing it, did you accept	22	Q. What do you think this document –	
	as the treasurer of HCMFA effective	23	why did you sign this document?	
25 as of April 11		25	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	

1	Page 1	54		Page 155
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	form.	2	Q. Did anybody tell you why they needed	
3	MR. MORRIS: You're objecting to the	3	you to sign the document?	
4	form of the question when I asked him why	4	A. Not that I can recall.	
5	did you sign the document? What is the	5	Q. You testified earlier that you	
6	basis for the objection?	6	understood that you served as the acting	
7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Because, John, I	7	treasurer for HCMFA; correct?	
8	think that it does call for a legal	8	A. Yes.	
9	conclusion other than – with him saying	9	Q. How did you become the acting	
10	because somebody told me to sign this	10	treasurer of HCMFA?	
11	document. But if you want to go there,	11	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
12	that is fine.	12	A. I don't – I don't know the legal –	
13	MR. MORRIS: Okay.	13	I don't know the legal mechanic of how I became	
14	MS. DANDENEAU: I don't think	14	the acting treasurer.	
15	he's already said he's not a lawyer.	15	Q. I'm not asking for the legal	
16	MR. MORRIS: I'll allow the witness	16	mechanic. I'm asking you as the person who	
17	to answer this question.	17	is –	
18	Q. Why did you sign this document, sir?	18	MS. DANDENEAU: John, you said	
19	A. I mean, our our legal group would	19	MR. MORRIS: Stop.	
20	bring by these incumbency certificates from	20	MS. DANDENEAU: - how did you	
21	time to time. I have no idea why they're being	21	become the treasurer. That is	
22	updated, and I was asked to sign.	22	MR. MORRIS: Please stop.	
23	Q. Did you ask anybody, what is this	23	MS. DANDENEAU: That is a legal	
24	document?	24	question.	
25	A. No.	25	MR. MORRIS: I am not asking any	
	Page 1	56		Page 157
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 157
1 2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking		MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.	Page 157
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how	1	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys	Page 157
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA.	1	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't	Page 157
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't	1 2 3 4 5	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever.	Page 157
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and	1 2 3 4	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's	Page 157
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it.	1 2 3 4 5	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple	1 2 3 4 5 6	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist.	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay.	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM –	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions –	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking.	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk,	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form. MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these accusations as if we're trying to stonewall	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form. MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. Good God.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these accusations as if we're trying to stonewall you, this is not the witness to ask that	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form. MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. Good God. Q. Go ahead, sir.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these accusations as if we're trying to stonewall you, this is not the witness to ask that question.	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form. MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. Good God. Q. Go ahead, sir. A. I don't know the exact process of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these accusations as if we're trying to stonewall you, this is not the witness to ask that question. MR. MORRIS: I can't – I can't	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form. MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. Good God. Q. Go ahead, sir. A. I don't know the exact process of how that happened.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these accusations as if we're trying to stonewall you, this is not the witness to ask that question. MR. MORRIS: I can't – I can't	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form. MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. Good God. Q. Go ahead, sir. A. I don't know the exact process of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these accusations as if we're trying to stonewall you, this is not the witness to ask that question. MR. MORRIS: I can't – I can't wait – I can't wait to do this in a	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form. MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. Good God. Q. Go ahead, sir. A. I don't know the exact process of how that happened. Q. Do you have any idea whether signing this document was part of the process?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these accusations as if we're trying to stonewall you, this is not the witness to ask that question. MR. MORRIS: I can't – I can't wait – I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. I will just leave it at that. MS. DANDENEAU: That's right, I'm	Page 157
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking for this witness' understanding as to how he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA. If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form. MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. Good God. Q. Go ahead, sir. A. I don't know the exact process of how that happened. Q. Do you have any idea whether signing	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. MR. MORRIS: – withdrawn. You guys want to do this, I can't wait. I can't wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these accusations as if we're trying to stonewall you, this is not the witness to ask that question. MR. MORRIS: I can't – I can't wait – I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. I will just leave it at that. MS. DANDENEAU: That's right, I'm	Page 157

1	Page 158 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 159
	Did anyone ever tell you, sir, that	2	A. Not that I recall.	
1	though you were the acting treasurer of	3	Q. Did you ever tell anybody at any	
1	FA, that you were not authorized to sign the	4	time that you weren't authorized to sign the	
1	romissory notes that we looked at before	5	two promissory notes that we looked at before	
6 lunch	•	6	lunch?	
	I'm not sure I understand the	7	A. Not that I recall.	
1 · · · ·				
	tion. I wasn't – I mean, I'm – I'm the	8	Q. Did you ever tell anybody at any	
	nt acting treasurer.	9	time that you made a mistake when you signed	
	Did anybody ever tell you at any	10	the two promissory notes that we looked at	
1	that even though you were the acting	11	before lunch?	
1	surer of HCMFA, that you were not	12	A. Not that I recall.	
1	orized to sign the two promissory notes	13	Q. As you sit here right now, do you	
	we looked at before lunch?	14	have any reason to believe that you were not	
15	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	15	authorized to sign the two documents that we	
	Not that I recall.	16	looked at before lunch?	
17 Q		17	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
1	that you were not authorized to sign the	18	A. If – if this is the – the valid	
· ·	promissory notes that we looked at before	19	incumbency certificate, I mean, this does	
20 lunch	1?	20	this does detail who the signers are.	
21 A	. Not that I recall.	21	Q. Okay. And looking at that document,	
22 Q	). Did anybody ever tell you at any	22	does that give you comfort that you were	
23 time	that you should not have signed the two	23	authorized to sign the two promissory notes	
24 prom	nissory notes that we looked at before	24	that we looked at before lunch?	
25 lunch	1?	25	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
	Page 160			Page 161
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Tage for
2 for	rm			
	1111.	2	A. Not that I'm aware, no.	
3	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form.	2		
I	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form.	3	Q. Did you have any reason to believe a	
4 A.	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. . As of October 20th – withdrawn.	3 4	Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you	
4 A. 5 Q. 6	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to	3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li><li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li></ul>	
4 A. 5 Q. 6 7 a yea	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. . As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at	3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li><li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li><li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA</li></ul>	
4 A. 5 Q. 6 7 a yea 8 that ti	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds	3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that</li> </ul>	
4 A. 5 Q 6 7 a yea 8 that ti 9 were	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to r ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> </ul>	
4 A. 5 Q. 6 7 a yea 8 that ti 9 were 10 were	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. . As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>4 A.</li> <li>5 Q.</li> <li>6</li> <li>7 a yea</li> <li>8 that ti</li> <li>9 were</li> <li>10 were</li> <li>11 conn</li> </ul>	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in tection with their 15(c) review?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were –</li> </ul>	
4 A. 5 Q. 6 7 a yea 8 that ti 9 were 10 were 11 conn 12	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in tection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>4 A.</li> <li>5 Q.</li> <li>6</li> <li>7 a yea</li> <li>8 that ti</li> <li>9 were</li> <li>10 were</li> <li>11 conn</li> <li>12</li> <li>13 A</li> </ul>	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in section with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't</li> </ul>	
4 A. 5 Q 6 7 a yea 8 that ti 9 were 10 were 11 conn 12 13 A 14 Q	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in tection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't – I don't recall.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know.</li> </ul>	
4         A.           5         Q.           6         7           7         a year           8         that til           9         were           10         were           11         conn           12         13           13         A           14         Q.           15         reason	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in tection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't – I don't recall.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I'm not asking you whether they're</li> </ul>	
4         A.           5         Q.           6         7           7         a year           8         that ti           9         were           10         were           11         conn           12         13           13         A           14         C           15         reaso           16         sign	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in section with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't – I don't recall. As of October 2020, you had no on to believe you weren't authorized to the two promissory notes that we just	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or ont. I'm asking you for your state of</li> </ul>	
4         A.           5         Q.           6         7           7         a yea           8         that ti           9         were           10         were           11         conn           12         13           13         A           14         C           15         reaso           16         sign 1           17         looke	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in tection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't – I don't recall. As of October 2020, you had no on to believe you weren't authorized to the two promissory notes that we just ed at; correct?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA</li> </ul>	
4         A.           5         Q.           6         7           7         a yea           8         that ti           9         were           10         were           11         conn           12         13           13         A           14         Q.           15         rease           16         sign           17         looke           18	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in section with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't – I don't recall. As of October 2020, you had no on to believe you weren't authorized to the two promissory notes that we just ed at; correct? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA was – was obligated to pay the unpaid</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>4 A.</li> <li>5 Q.</li> <li>6</li> <li>7 a yea</li> <li>8 that ti</li> <li>9 were</li> <li>10 were</li> <li>11 conn</li> <li>12</li> <li>13 A</li> <li>14 Q.</li> <li>15 reaso</li> <li>16 sign</li> <li>17 looke</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ul>	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in section with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't – I don't recall. As of October 2020, you had no on to believe you weren't authorized to the two promissory notes that we just ed at; correct? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA was – was obligated to pay the unpaid principal amount under the two notes that you</li> </ul>	
4         A.           5         Q.           6         7           7         a yea           8         that ti           9         were           10         were           11         conn           12         13           13         A           14         C.           15         reaso           16         sign 1           17         looke           18         19           20         fc	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in section with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't – I don't recall. As of October 2020, you had no on to believe you weren't authorized to the two promissory notes that we just ed at; correct? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to orm.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA was – was obligated to pay the unpaid principal amount under the two notes that you signed; correct?</li> </ul>	
4       A.         5       Q.         6       7         7       a yea         8       that ti         9       were         10       were         11       conn         12       13         13       A         14       G.         15       rease         16       sign         17       looke         18       19         20       fc         21       A	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in section with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't – I don't recall. As of October 2020, you had no on to believe you weren't authorized to the two promissory notes that we just ed at; correct? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to orm.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA was – was obligated to pay the unpaid principal amount under the two notes that you signed; correct?</li> </ul>	
4       A.         5       Q.         6       7         7       a year         8       that til         9       were         10       were         11       conn         12       13         13       A         14       C         15       rease         16       sign:         17       looke         18       19         20       fc         21       A         22       of 20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in section with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't – I don't recall. As of October 2020, you had no on to believe you weren't authorized to the two promissory notes that we just ed at; correct? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to orm. I didn't think about it in October 20, but I mean –	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA was – was obligated to pay the unpaid principal amount under the two notes that you signed; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I'm – I'm – yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Are you aware – you're</li> </ul>	
4       A.         5       Q.         6       7         7       a year         8       that ti         9       were         10       were         11       conn         12       13         13       A         14       C         15       rease         16       sign         17       looke         18       19         20       fc         21       A         22       of 20         23       C	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in section with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't – I don't recall. As of October 2020, you had no on to believe you weren't authorized to the two promissory notes that we just ed at; correct? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to orm. I didn't think about it in October 20, but I mean – Did you have any reason to believe	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA was – was obligated to pay the unpaid principal amount under the two notes that you signed; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I'm – I'm – yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Are you aware – you're aware that – that in 2017, NexPoint issued a</li> </ul>	
4       A.         5       Q.         6       7         7       a yea         8       that ti         9       were         10       were         11       conn         12       13         13       A         14       C         15       rease         16       sign         17       looke         18       19         20       fc         21       A         22       of 20         23       C         24       at the	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in section with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't – I don't recall. As of October 2020, you had no on to believe you weren't authorized to the two promissory notes that we just ed at; correct? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to orm. I didn't think about it in October 120, but I mean – Did you have any reason to believe at time that you weren't authorized to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA was – was obligated to pay the unpaid principal amount under the two notes that you signed; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I'm – I'm – yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Are you aware – you're aware that – that in 2017, NexPoint issued a note in favor of Highland in the approximate</li> </ul>	
4         A.           5         Q.           6	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. Yes. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to ar ago, October 2020. Do you recall at me that the boards of the retail funds making inquiries about obligations that e owed by the advisors to Highland in section with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I don't – I don't recall. As of October 2020, you had no on to believe you weren't authorized to the two promissory notes that we just ed at; correct? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to orm. I didn't think about it in October 20, but I mean – Did you have any reason to believe	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?</li> <li>A. Not that I'm aware.</li> <li>Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct?</li> <li>A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA was – was obligated to pay the unpaid principal amount under the two notes that you signed; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I'm – I'm – yes.</li> <li>Q. Thank you. Are you aware – you're aware that – that in 2017, NexPoint issued a</li> </ul>	

Page 162	Page 163
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 A. I'm – I'm – I'm generally aware.	2 Q. Sometime in 2021?
3 Q. Okay. And are you generally aware	3 A. Yes.
4 that from time to time, after the note was	4 Q. Do you remember what payment he was
5 issued by NexPoint, that moneys were applied to	5 referring to?
6 principal and interest that were due under the	6 A. It was the the payment made in
7 NexPoint note?	7 January of 2021 or yeah, January of of
8 A. Yes, I'm generally aware.	8 this – January of 2021.
9 Q. Okay. And did anybody ever tell you	9 Q. Okay. So did anybody ever tell you
10 that the payments that were made against the	10 at any time that any payment that was made
11 NexPoint notes were made by mistake?	11 against principal
12 A. Yes.	12 A. And and hold on, and it
13 Q. And is it the one payment that we	13 may have been other - again, it may have been
14 talked about earlier today?	14 that payment or - or there may have been what
15 A. We talked about a lot of things	15 he was explaining, a misapplication of prior
16 today. What payment are we talking about?	16 payments as well.
17 Q. Okay. Who told you that any payment	17 Q. Can you – can you give me any
18 made against the NexPoint note was made by	18 specificity – withdrawn.
19 mistake?	19 Withdrawn. Can you tell me
20 A. D.C. Sauter.	20 everything that Mr. Sauter told you about -
21 Q. When did Mr. Sauter tell you that?	21 about errors in relation to payments made
22 A. I don't – I don't remember	22 against principal and interest due under the
23 specifically.	23 NexPoint note?
24 Q. Do you remember what payments –	24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just –
25 A. Sometime – sometime this year.	25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. Hold on.
Page 164	Page 165
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 I'm going to object here, and I'm going to	2 Highland's employment, or did it happen after
3 instruct the witness not to answer	3 you left Highland's employment?
4 depending on the discussion that you had	4 A. I don't – I don't recall if – I
5 Mr. Waterhouse, I'm the lawyer for	5 don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021.
6 NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C.	6 I don't remember if it was before or after I
7 Sauter is in-house counsel.	7 was let go from Highland.
8 So if you and Mr. Sauter were having	8 Q. Okay. So – so nobody told you
9 a factual discussion and him preparing his	9 prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was
10 affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and	10 made in the application of payments against
11 answer that. But if you were having a	11 principal and interest due on the NexPoint
12 discussion as to our legal strategy in this	12 note. Do I have that right?
13 lawsuit, or anything having to do with	13 A. Yeah, I don't – I don't recall this
14 that, then do not answer that.	14 being in 2020.
15 And if you need to talk to either	15 Q. Okay. And it didn't happen in 2019;
16 your counsel or me about that, then we need	16 correct?
17 to have that discussion now.	17 A. I don't recall that happened.
<ul><li>17 to have that discussion now.</li><li>18 A. Okay. Yeah, I don't – I don't</li></ul>	18 Q. And it didn't happen in 2018;
<ul> <li>17 to have that discussion now.</li> <li>18 A. Okay. Yeah, I don't – I don't</li> <li>19 really know how to make that distinction, so</li> </ul>	18 Q. And it didn't happen in 2018; 19 correct?
<ul> <li>to have that discussion now.</li> <li>A. Okay. Yeah, I don't – I don't</li> <li>really know how to make that distinction, so</li> <li>maybe I need to talk to counsel before I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>18 Q. And it didn't happen in 2018;</li> <li>19 correct?</li> <li>20 A. I don't – I don't recall that</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>to have that discussion now.</li> <li>A. Okay. Yeah, I don't – I don't</li> <li>really know how to make that distinction, so</li> <li>maybe I need to talk to counsel before I</li> <li>answer, or if I can answer.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>18 Q. And it didn't happen in 2018;</li> <li>19 correct?</li> <li>20 A. I don't – I don't recall that</li> <li>21 happening.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>to have that discussion now.</li> <li>A. Okay. Yeah, I don't – I don't</li> <li>really know how to make that distinction, so</li> <li>maybe I need to talk to counsel before I</li> <li>answer, or if I can answer.</li> <li>Q. Let me just ask you this question:</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>18 Q. And it didn't happen in 2018;</li> <li>19 correct?</li> <li>20 A. I don't – I don't recall that</li> <li>21 happening.</li> <li>22 Q. And it didn't happen in 2017;</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>to have that discussion now.</li> <li>A. Okay. Yeah, I don't – I don't</li> <li>really know how to make that distinction, so</li> <li>maybe I need to talk to counsel before I</li> <li>answer, or if I can answer.</li> <li>Q. Let me just ask you this question:</li> <li>Did – did you have any conversation with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>18 Q. And it didn't happen in 2018;</li> <li>19 correct?</li> <li>20 A. I don't – I don't recall that</li> <li>21 happening.</li> <li>22 Q. And it didn't happen in 2017;</li> <li>23 correct?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>to have that discussion now.</li> <li>A. Okay. Yeah, I don't – I don't</li> <li>really know how to make that distinction, so</li> <li>maybe I need to talk to counsel before I</li> <li>answer, or if I can answer.</li> <li>Q. Let me just ask you this question:</li> <li>Did – did you have any conversation with</li> <li>Mr. Sauter about any payment of principal and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>18 Q. And it didn't happen in 2018;</li> <li>19 correct?</li> <li>20 A. I don't – I don't recall that</li> <li>21 happening.</li> <li>22 Q. And it didn't happen in 2017;</li> <li>23 correct?</li> <li>24 A. I don't recall.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>to have that discussion now.</li> <li>A. Okay. Yeah, I don't – I don't</li> <li>really know how to make that distinction, so</li> <li>maybe I need to talk to counsel before I</li> <li>answer, or if I can answer.</li> <li>Q. Let me just ask you this question:</li> <li>Did – did you have any conversation with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>18 Q. And it didn't happen in 2018;</li> <li>19 correct?</li> <li>20 A. I don't – I don't recall that</li> <li>21 happening.</li> <li>22 Q. And it didn't happen in 2017;</li> <li>23 correct?</li> </ul>

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-3 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 44 of 131

Page 166	Page 167
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 conversation took place in 2021. You just	2 Q. Did you tell any of the employees at
3 don't remember if it was before or after you	3 Highland before you left Highland's employment
4 left Highland's employment. Do I have that	4 about this call that you had with Mr. Sauter?
5 right?	5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
6 A. It was sometime this year. I	6 A. No, I don't – no, I don't recall.
7 don't – I don't remember.	7 Q. NexPoint – to the best of your
8 Q. Okay. Did you report this	8 knowledge, did NexPoint ever file a proof of
9 conversation to Mr. Seery at any point?	9 claim against Highland to try to recover moneys
10 A. I don't believe so.	10 that were mistakenly paid against the principal
11 Q. Did you report this conversation to	11 and interest due under the note?
12 anybody at DSI at any time?	12 A. Okay. Hold on. You are saying did
13 A. I don't recall.	13 NexPoint Advisors file a proof of claim to
14 Q. Do you have – you don't have a	14 Highland for errors related to payments under
15 recollection of ever doing that; correct?	15 the NexPoint note to Highland?
16 A. Yeah, that's right. I don't recall	16 Q. Correct.
17 doing that.	17 A. I'm – I'm – I'm not – I'm not
18 Q. Do you recall telling anybody at	18 aware.
19 Pachulski Stang about the conversation you	19 Q. Are you aware –
20 recall with Mr. Sauter?	20 A. I'm not the legal person here, I
21 A. No, I don't – I don't recall.	21 don't know.
22 Q. Did you tell any of the independent	22 Q. I'm just asking for your knowledge,
23 board members about your conversation with	23 sir.
24 Mr. Sauter?	A. Yeah, I don't know. I'm not aware.
25 A. I don't recall.	25 Q. Are you aware of any claim of any
Page 168	Page 169
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to	2 the same time each year?
3 recover the amounts that it contends were – or	3 A. Yes, it is – it is around the same
4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied	4 time every year.
5 against principal and interest due under the	5 Q. And what – what time period of the
6 NexPoint note?	6 year does the renewal process occur?
7 A. I'm not aware.	7 A. Approximately the September
8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	8 timeframe.
9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with	9 Q. During that process, in your
10 the retail funds are subject to annual renewal;	10 experience, does the board typically conduct
11 correct?	11 its own diligence and ask for information?
12 A. Yes.	12 A. Does the board ask for lots of – I
13 Q. And do you participate in the	13 mean, just – I mean, lots of information as a
14 renewal process each year?	14 part of that – that – as part of that board
15 A. Yes.	15 meeting and that process.
16 Q. What role do you play in the renewal	16 Q. Okay. And do you recall that the
17 process?	17 process in 2020 spilled into October?
18 A. I'm – I'm asked by the retail board	18 A. Yes. Yes.
19 to walk-through the advisors financials.	19 Q. Okay. And as part of the process in
20 Q. And do you do that in the context of	20 2020, the retail board asked – asked what are
	21 referred to as 15(c) questions; right?
21 a board meeting?	
22 A. Yes, it is – yes, it is typically	22 A. I guess I don't want to be – they
<ul><li>A. Yes, it is – yes, it is typically</li><li>done in a board meeting.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>A. I guess I don't want to be – they</li> <li>asked 15(c) – are you saying they asked 15(c)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes, it is – yes, it is typically</li> <li>done in a board meeting.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall the time –</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. I guess I don't want to be – they</li> <li>asked 15(c) – are you saying they asked 15(c)</li> <li>questions and this is why it went into October</li> </ul>
<ul><li>A. Yes, it is yes, it is typically</li><li>done in a board meeting.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>A. I guess I don't want to be – they</li> <li>asked 15(c) – are you saying they asked 15(c)</li> </ul>

Dana 470	Dec. 474
Page 170 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 171 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Q. No, I apologize.	2 up – keep going just a little bit.
3 Do you have an understanding of	3 Q. You will see that there is an email
4 what of what 15(c) refers to in the context	4 from Lauren Thedford to Thomas Surgent and
5 of the annual renewal process?	5 others where she reports that she was attaching
6 A. Yes, generally.	6 and reproducing below additional 15(c)
7 Q. All right. What is your general	7 follow-up questions from the board.
8 understanding of the term "15(c)" in the	8 Do you see that?
9 context of the annual renewal process?	9 A. Yes.
10 A. I – I think 15(c) is the section	10 Q. And do you see Question No. 2 asks
11 that – that – you know, that – that the	11 whether there are any material outstanding
12 board has to evaluate every year, the retail	12 amounts currently payable or due in the future
13 board. They have to, you know, go through,	13 (e.g., notes) to HCMLP by HCMFA or NexPoint
14 evaluate, and go through that approval process	14 Advisors or any other affiliate that provides
15 on a yearly basis.	15 services to the funds?
16 Q. Okay.	16 Do you see that?
17 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the	17 A. Yes.
18 screen Exhibit 36, please.	18 Q. And – and did you – do you recall
19 (Exhibit 36 marked.)	19 that in – in October of 2020 the retail boards
20 MR. MORRIS: I guess let's just	20 were asking for that information?
21 start at the bottom so Mr. Waterhouse can	21 A. I don't recall it, but there –
22 see what is here.	22 they're obviously asking in this email.
23 Q. You see this begins with an email	23 Q. Okay.
24 from Blank Rome to a number of people.	24 MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll up a
25 MR. MORRIS: And if we can scroll	25 little bit, please.
Page 172 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 173 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Q. And then do you see that	2 funds as we defined that term?
3 Ms. Thedford includes you on the email string	3 A. Yes.
4 on Tuesday, October 6th, at 5:52?	4 Q. What is your understanding of the
5 A. Yes.	5 positions that Ms. Thedford held at the retail
6 Q. And she asks you and Dave Klos and	6 funds?
7 Kristin Hendrix for advice on that particular	7 A. I – I recall her being an officer.
8 Request No. 2 that I have just read; right?	8 I don't recall her title.
9 A. Yes.	9 Q. Okay. Is she still an officer at
10 Q. Okay. Can you tell me who	10 any of the retail funds today?
11 Ms. Thedford is?	11 A. No.
12 A. She was an attorney that was in the	12 Q. Do you know when she ceased to be an
13 legal group.	13 officer of the retail funds?
14 Q. At Highland Capital Management,	14 A. Approximately.
15 L.P.?	15 Q. And when did she approximately cease
16  A.  I'm - I'm - I'm - I don't	16 to be an officer of the retail funds?
17 remember if she was an employee of Highland or	17 A. It was in – it was in early of
18 any of the advisors.	18 2021.
19 Q. Okay. Do you know if she served as	19 Q. Okay. Do you know when she became
20 the corporate secretary for both HCMFA and	20 an officer of the retail funds?
21 NexPoint?	21 A. I don't recall.
22 A. Yes.	22 Q. To the best of your recollection,
23 Q. And – okay.	23 was she an officer of the retail funds in
24 Do you know whether Ms. Thedford	24 October of 2020?
25 held any positions in relation to the retail	25 A. I believe so.

Page 174	Page 175
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Q. Okay. Do you know what title she	2 refer her to the balance sheet that was
3 held in her capacity as an officer, if any?	3 provided to the board as part of the 15(c)
4 A. I told you I don't remember.	4 materials.
5 Q. Okay. So she sends this email to	5 Do you see that?
6 you at 5:52 p.m. on October 6th.	6 A. Yes.
7 And if we can scroll up to the	7 Q. And – and did the advisors provide
8 response, you responded a minute later with a	8 to the board certain balance sheets in 2020 in
9 one-word answer: Yes.	9 connection with the 15(c) review?
10 Do you see that?	10 A. Yes, they did.
11 A. Yes.	11 Q. Okay. And were the amounts that
12 Q. And – and yes is – yes was in	12 were outstanding or that were to be due in the
13 response to the retail board's Question No. 2,	13 future by the advisors to Highland included in
14 right, whether there are any material	14 the liability section of the balance sheet that
15 outstanding amounts currently payable or due in	15 was given to the retail board?
16 the future?	16 A. Yes. Notes would be reflected as
17 A. Yes.	17 liabilities.
18 MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll up to	18 Q. Okay. And
19 see what happened next.	19 A. If I'm understanding your question
20 Q. So Ms. Thedford writes back to you a	20 correctly.
21 few minutes later and she asks whether you	21 Q. You are. And – and – and those
22 could provide the amounts.	22 liabilities you – you were – you believed
23 Do you see that?	23 were responsive to the retail board's question;
24 A. Yes.	24 correct?
25 Q. And then you respond further and you	25 A. Yes.
Page 176	Page 177
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Q. Okay. And then if we can scroll up,	2 questions?
3 you see Ms. Thedford responds to you	3 Q. Yes.
4 nine minutes later with a draft response.	4 A. Yes.
5 Do you see that?	5 Q. Thank you.
6 A. Yes.	6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, it is not
7 Q. And she says that she is taking from	7 in the chat yet. Can you just make sure it
8 the 6/30 financials certain information about	8 gets put in there.
9 amounts that were due to HCMLP and affiliates	9 MR. MORRIS: Sure.
10 as of June 30th, 2020.	10 MS. CANTY: I put it in there. I
11 Do you see that?	11 think maybe I just sent it directly, so let
11Do you see that?12A.I do.	<ul><li>think maybe I just sent it directly, so let</li><li>me make sure it says to everyone. But I</li></ul>
12 A. I do.	12 me make sure it says to everyone. But I
<ul><li>12 A. I do.</li><li>13 Q. Okay. And did you believe, as the</li></ul>	<ul><li>me make sure it says to everyone. But I</li><li>did put it in there. I will try again.</li></ul>
<ol> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe, as the</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint and HCMFA and as the CFO</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>me make sure it says to everyone. But I</li> <li>did put it in there. I will try again.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you, La Asia.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe, as the</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint and HCMFA and as the CFO</li> <li>of Highland, that the information that</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>me make sure it says to everyone. But I</li> <li>did put it in there. I will try again.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you, La Asia.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: What number is it.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe, as the</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint and HCMFA and as the CFO</li> <li>of Highland, that the information that</li> <li>Ms. Thedford obtained from the 6/30 financials</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>me make sure it says to everyone. But I</li> <li>did put it in there. I will try again.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you, La Asia.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: What number is it.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: What, the Bates number?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe, as the</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint and HCMFA and as the CFO</li> <li>of Highland, that the information that</li> <li>Ms. Thedford obtained from the 6/30 financials</li> <li>was accurate and responsive in relation to the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>me make sure it says to everyone. But I</li> <li>did put it in there. I will try again.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you, La Asia.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: What number is it.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: What, the Bates number?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, the –</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe, as the</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint and HCMFA and as the CFO</li> <li>of Highland, that the information that</li> <li>Ms. Thedford obtained from the 6/30 financials</li> <li>was accurate and responsive in relation to the</li> <li>retail fund board's question?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>me make sure it says to everyone. But I</li> <li>did put it in there. I will try again.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you, La Asia.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: What number is it.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: What, the Bates number?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, the –</li> <li>this – yeah, 36 is not in the chat.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe, as the</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint and HCMFA and as the CFO</li> <li>of Highland, that the information that</li> <li>Ms. Thedford obtained from the 6/30 financials</li> <li>was accurate and responsive in relation to the</li> <li>retail fund board's question?</li> <li>A. I just want to make sure I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>me make sure it says to everyone. But I</li> <li>did put it in there. I will try again.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you, La Asia.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: What number is it.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: What, the Bates number?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, the –</li> <li>this – yeah, 36 is not in the chat.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. We'll get it.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe, as the</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint and HCMFA and as the CFO</li> <li>of Highland, that the information that</li> <li>Ms. Thedford obtained from the 6/30 financials</li> <li>was accurate and responsive in relation to the</li> <li>retail fund board's question?</li> <li>A. I just want to make sure I</li> <li>understand the question.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>me make sure it says to everyone. But I</li> <li>did put it in there. I will try again.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you, La Asia.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: What number is it.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: What, the Bates number?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, the –</li> <li>this – yeah, 36 is not in the chat.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. We'll get it.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: I think that</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe, as the</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint and HCMFA and as the CFO</li> <li>of Highland, that the information that</li> <li>Ms. Thedford obtained from the 6/30 financials</li> <li>was accurate and responsive in relation to the</li> <li>retail fund board's question?</li> <li>A. I just want to make sure I</li> <li>understand the question.</li> <li>Are you saying that the financial</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>me make sure it says to everyone. But I</li> <li>did put it in there. I will try again.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you, La Asia.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: What number is it.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: What, the Bates number?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, the –</li> <li>this – yeah, 36 is not in the chat.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. We'll get it.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: I think that</li> <li>MS. Canty just sent it to me originally.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe, as the</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint and HCMFA and as the CFO</li> <li>of Highland, that the information that</li> <li>Ms. Thedford obtained from the 6/30 financials</li> <li>was accurate and responsive in relation to the</li> <li>retail fund board's question?</li> <li>A. I just want to make sure I</li> <li>understand the question.</li> <li>Are you saying that the financial</li> <li>information provided to the retail board as</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>me make sure it says to everyone. But I</li> <li>did put it in there. I will try again.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you, La Asia.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: What number is it.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: What, the Bates number?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, the –</li> <li>this – yeah, 36 is not in the chat.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. We'll get it.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: I think that</li> <li>MS. Canty just sent it to me originally.</li> <li>Sorry.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe, as the</li> <li>treasurer of NexPoint and HCMFA and as the CFO</li> <li>of Highland, that the information that</li> <li>Ms. Thedford obtained from the 6/30 financials</li> <li>was accurate and responsive in relation to the</li> <li>retail fund board's question?</li> <li>A. I just want to make sure I</li> <li>understand the question.</li> <li>Are you saying that the financial</li> <li>information provided to the retail board as</li> <li>part of the 15(c) process, which included</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>me make sure it says to everyone. But I</li> <li>did put it in there. I will try again.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you, La Asia.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: What number is it.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: What, the Bates number?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, the –</li> <li>this – yeah, 36 is not in the chat.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. We'll get it.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: I think that</li> <li>MS. Canty just sent it to me originally.</li> <li>Sorry.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. We will get it</li> </ul>

	Page 178			Page 179
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 179
2	for everyone.	2	Did – do you know if anybody ever	
3	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Got it. Thank	3	answered the retail board's question that was	
4	you.	4	Number 2?	
5	Q. Do you recall if the proposed	5	A. I don't I can't say for sure.	
6	response that Ms. Thedford crafted was	6	Q. Okay. Do you recall – I think you	
7	delivered to the retail board with the with	7	testified earlier that you walked through the	
8	the yellow dates having been completed?	8	advisors' financials with the retail board;	
9	A. I don't know.	9	correct?	
10	MR. MORRIS: Davor, I'm going to ask	10	A. Yes.	
11	that the advisors and – the advisors of	11	Q. And as part of that process, did you	
12	both HCMFA and NexPoint produce to me any	12	disclose to the retail board the obligations	
13	report that was given to the retail board	13	that NexPoint and HCMFA had to Highland under	
14	concerning the promissory notes at issue,	14	promissory notes?	
15	including the obligations under the notes.	15	A. The retail board, as I stated	
16	Q. Do you know do you know if	16	earlier, receives financial information,	
17	ultimately NexPoint informed the retail board	17	balance sheet, income statement information	
18	in response to its question that NexPoint owed	18	from the advisors. That information is	
19	Highland approximately 23 or \$24 million?	19	provided to the retail board in connection with	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	20	the 15(c) process.	
21	form.	21	So any notes between the advisors	
22	A. Sorry, are you asking, did NexPoint	22	and the Highland would be anything would be	
23	tell the retail board that it owed Highland?	23	detailed in those financial statements.	
24	Q. Let me ask a better question,	24	Q. Do you recall in 2020 ever speaking	
25	Mr. Waterhouse.	25	with the retail board about the advisors'	
1				
	Page 180			Page 181
1	Page 180 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 181
1		1 2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards	Page 181
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21			Page 181
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland?	2	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards	Page 181
23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	23	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of	Page 181
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	2 3 4	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes?	Page 181
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically.	2 3 4 5 6	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware.	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection	2 3 4 5 6 7	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards</li> <li>that you weren't authorized to sign either of</li> <li>the two 2019 notes?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>your execution of the two 2019 notes was a</li> <li>mistake?</li> </ul>	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware.	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I just recall generally just – it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I just recall generally just – it is just – I present the financial statements,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I just recall generally just – it is just – I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected in the two notes that you signed in 2019?	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>obligations under the notes to Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any general recollection</li> <li>of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards</li> <li>that you weren't authorized to sign either of</li> <li>the two 2019 notes?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>your execution of the two 2019 notes was a</li> <li>mistake?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>your execution of the two 2019 notes was a</li> <li>mistake?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected</li> <li>in the two notes that you signed in 2019?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> </ul>	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I just recall generally just – it is just – I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their questions and walk them through. I don't recall what they asked. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards</li> <li>that you weren't authorized to sign either of</li> <li>the two 2019 notes?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>your execution of the two 2019 notes was a</li> <li>mistake?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>your execution of the two 2019 notes was a</li> <li>mistake?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected</li> <li>in the two notes that you signed in 2019?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody ever</li> </ul>	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I just recall generally just – it is just – I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their questions and walk them through. I don't recall what they asked. I don't recall where the discussion went. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards</li> <li>that you weren't authorized to sign either of</li> <li>the two 2019 notes?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>your execution of the two 2019 notes was a</li> <li>mistake?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>your execution of the two 2019 notes was a</li> <li>mistake?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected</li> <li>in the two notes that you signed in 2019?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody ever</li> <li>told the retail boards – withdrawn.</li> </ul>	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>obligations under the notes to Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any general recollection</li> <li>of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>I just recall generally just – it</li> <li>is just – I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their</li> <li>questions and walk them through.</li> <li>I don't recall what they asked. I</li> <li>don't recall where the discussion went. I</li> <li>don't recall anything of that nature.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards</li> <li>that you weren't authorized to sign either of</li> <li>the two 2019 notes?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>your execution of the two 2019 notes was a</li> <li>mistake?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>your execution of the two 2019 notes was a</li> <li>mistake?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected</li> <li>in the two notes that you signed in 2019?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody ever</li> <li>told the retail boards – withdrawn.</li> <li>Do you know whether anybody ever</li> </ul>	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>obligations under the notes to Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any general recollection</li> <li>of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>MS. DI present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their questions and walk them through.</li> <li>I don't recall what they asked. I</li> <li>don't recall anything of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anybody on</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards</li> <li>that you weren't authorized to sign either of</li> <li>the two 2019 notes?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>your execution of the two 2019 notes was a</li> <li>mistake?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>your execution of the two 2019 notes was a</li> <li>mistake?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected</li> <li>in the two notes that you signed in 2019?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody ever</li> <li>told the retail boards that Highland has</li> </ul>	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>obligations under the notes to Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any general recollection</li> <li>of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>I just recall generally just – it</li> <li>is just – I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their</li> <li>questions and walk them through.</li> <li>I don't recall what they asked. I</li> <li>don't recall anything of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anybody on</li> <li>behalf of HCMF – HCMFA ever told the retail</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards</li> <li>that you weren't authorized to sign either of</li> <li>the two 2019 notes?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>your execution of the two 2019 notes was a</li> <li>mistake?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>your execution of the two 2019 notes was a</li> <li>mistake?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf</li> <li>of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that</li> <li>HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected</li> <li>in the two notes that you signed in 2019?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody ever</li> <li>told the retail boards that Highland has</li> <li>commenced a lawsuit to recover on the two notes</li> </ul>	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>obligations under the notes to Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall specifically.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any general recollection</li> <li>of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>I just recall generally just – it</li> <li>is just – I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their</li> <li>questions and walk them through.</li> <li>I don't recall what they asked. I</li> <li>don't recall anything of that nature.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if anybody on</li> <li>behalf of HCMF – HCMFA ever told the retail</li> <li>board that HCMFA had no obligations under the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected in the two notes that you signed in 2019?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody ever told the retail boards - withdrawn.</li> <li>Do you know whether anybody ever told the retail boards that Highland has commenced a lawsuit to recover on the two notes that you signed in 2019?</li> </ul>	Page 181

Page 182	Page 183
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 the retail boards that Highland has sued to	2 Q. Okay. And do you see that Dustin
3 recover on the NexPoint note?	3 Norris is copied on this email?
4 A. I'm not aware.	4 A. Yes, he is.
5 Q. Do you know whether anybody ever	5 Q. Great. Do you know whether
6 told the retail board that Highland had	6 Mr. Norris held any positions at either of the
7 declared a default with respect to the two	7 advisors as of October 6, 2020?
8 HCMFA notes that you signed in 2019?	8 A. I will go back to – I'm not the
9 A. I'm not aware.	9 legal expert of what appoints you or how or
10 Q. Are you aware of anybody ever	10 why, but you did see Dustin's name on the
11 informing the retail boards that Highland had	11 incumbency certificate that you produced
12 declared a default under the NexPoint note?	12 earlier.
13 A. I'm not aware.	13 Q. Do you know what his title was in
14 Q. Are you aware of anybody telling the	14 October of 2020?
15 retail board that Highland made a demand for	15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
16 payment under the 2019 notes that you signed on	16 A. I don't – I don't recall.
17 behalf of HCMFA?	17 Q. Was he – did he have a title with
18 A. I'm not aware.	18 each of the advisors, to the best of your
19 Q. Let's – let's see if there is a	19 recollection?
20 response to Ms. Thedford's email, if we can	20 A. I don't recall.
21 scroll up.	21 Q. Do you know why he is included on
22 Do you see you responded to	22 this email string?
23 Ms. Thedford five minutes after she provided	23 A. I didn't add Dustin. It looks like
24 the draft response to you?	24 Lauren did. I don't know why she added him or
25 A. Yes.	25 not. You would have to ask her.
Page 184	Page 185
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Q. Does Mr. Norris play a role in	2 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
3 formulating the advisors' responses to the	3 A. That would be Jason as well.
4 questions asked by the retail board in	4 Q. Okay. Now, looking at your
5 connection with the 15(c) annual review?	5 response, you noted initially that nothing was
6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	6 owed under shared services. Do I have that
7 A. He – Dustin Norris is there in the	7 right in substance?
8 board meetings. But – so he has a role, yes.	8 A. Yeah. I think I'm being responsive
9 Q. Okay. And does Mr. Norris hold any	9 to Lauren's question here, whether any of the
10 positions, to the best of your knowledge, in	10 shared service invoices are outstanding.
11 relation to any of the retail funds?	11 Q. Right.
12 A. I don't – I don't believe he does.	12 A. Yes.
13 Q. How about Mr. Post, do you know	13 Q. And that is because – and that is
14 whether Mr. Post holds any position in either	14 because the retail the retail board has asked
15 of the advisors?	15 for the disclosure of all material obligations
16 A. I mean, he $-$ he $-$ yes.	16 that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the
17 Q. What is your understanding of the	17 future; isn't that right?
18 positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to	18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
	,
19 the advisors?	19 Q. We can go back down and look.
19the advisors?20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	<ol> <li>Q. We can go back down and look.</li> <li>A. Look, I don't know if that's a</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the advisors?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. He is an employee of NexPoint</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. We can go back down and look.</li> <li>A. Look, I don't know if that's a</li> <li>material item, I mean, again, but sure.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the advisors?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. He is an employee of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. He is also the chief compliance</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. We can go back down and look.</li> <li>A. Look, I don't know if that's a</li> <li>material item, I mean, again, but sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there were no shared</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the advisors?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. He is an employee of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. He is also the chief compliance</li> <li>officer for – for NexPoint.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>19 Q. We can go back down and look.</li> <li>20 A. Look, I don't know if that's a</li> <li>21 material item, I mean, again, but sure.</li> <li>22 Q. Okay. But there were no shared</li> <li>23 services outstanding; correct?</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>the advisors?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. He is an employee of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. He is also the chief compliance</li> <li>officer for for NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Who is the chief compliance officer</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Q. We can go back down and look.</li> <li>A. Look, I don't know if that's a</li> <li>material item, I mean, again, but sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But there were no shared</li> <li>services outstanding; correct?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>the advisors?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. He is an employee of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. He is also the chief compliance</li> <li>officer for – for NexPoint.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>19 Q. We can go back down and look.</li> <li>20 A. Look, I don't know if that's a</li> <li>21 material item, I mean, again, but sure.</li> <li>22 Q. Okay. But there were no shared</li> <li>23 services outstanding; correct?</li> </ul>

	Page 186			Page 187
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	i ago i oi
2	indicate.	2	financials about Highland's agreement not to	
3	Q. And you wouldn't have written it if	3	make demand upon HCMFA until May 2021; correct?	
4	you didn't believe it to be true at the time;	4	A. Correct.	
5	correct?	5	Q. And then – and then the next thing	
6	A. Correct.	6	you write is that the attorneys think that BK	
7	Q. And when you referred to shared	7	doesn't change that, but don't know for sure at	
8	services outstanding, what you meant there was	8	the end of the day.	
9	that neither NexPoint nor HCMFA owed Highland	9	Do you see that sentence?	
10	any money under the shared services agreements	10	A. Yes.	
11	that they had with Highland as of October 6th,	11	Q. Which attorneys were you referring	
12	2020; right?	12	to?	
13	A. I don't know if it is as of October	13	A. I don't remember.	
14	6, 2020 or if it was from – like through the	14	Q. Did you have a conversation with	
15	financials – through the date of the	15	attorneys concerning whether the bankruptcy	
16	financials as of June 30.	16	would change or alter in any way the agreement	
17	Q. Okay. And then you noted that	17	not to make a demand under the HCMFA note?	
18	HCMA – the HCMFA note is a demand note; right?	18	A. Look, yeah, I mean, I don't	
19	A. Yes.	19	specifically remember, but generally, I mean,	
20	Q. And then you referred Ms. Thedford	20	it is in this email. I don't – I don't – I	
21	to Kristin Hendrix for the term of the NexPoint	21	don't – I don't remember who I talked to or,	
22	note. Do I have that right?	22	you know, was it inside counsel, outside	
23	A. Yes.	23	counsel, but obviously I talked to somebody.	
24	Q. And then you refer to that agreement	24	Q. Do you have any recollection –	
25	that is referenced in the 2018 audited	25	A. Well, I don't even know if it's –	
	Page 188			Page 189
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	actually, it may not even have been me. I say	2	process didn't change the agreement?	
	the attorneys in, you know, a lot of – like l	3	A. I don't recall.	
4	talked about the team.	4	Q. Okay. Perfect.	
5	It could have been someone on the	5	And then let's look at the last	
6	team, like, hey, we need to run this down, and	6	sentence. It says, quote: The response should	
7	maybe they talked to attorneys again and	7	include, as I covered in the board meeting,	
8	relayed that information to me.	8	that both entities have the full faith and	
9	So I really don't know if I spoke or	9	backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge	
10	someone else did or – or, I mean, and maybe it	10	that hasn't changed.	
11	wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe	11	Do you see that?	
12	it was, you know, other – I'm kind of	12	A. Yes.	
12 13	summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really	12 13	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020,	
13 14	summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't	12 13 14	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020,</li><li>had you told the retail board that HCMFA and</li></ul>	
13 14 15	summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge.	12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020,</li> <li>had you told the retail board that HCMFA and</li> <li>NexPoint have the full faith and backing from</li> </ul>	
13 14 15 16	summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts,	12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020,</li> <li>had you told the retail board that HCMFA and</li> <li>NexPoint have the full faith and backing from</li> <li>Jim Dondero?</li> </ul>	
13 14 15	summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that	12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020,</li> <li>had you told the retail board that HCMFA and</li> <li>NexPoint have the full faith and backing from</li> <li>Jim Dondero?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	
13 14 15 16	summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that if I ask a question and you don't know the	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020,</li> <li>had you told the retail board that HCMFA and</li> <li>NexPoint have the full faith and backing from</li> <li>Jim Dondero?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember in the context in</li> </ul>	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that if I ask a question and you don't know the answer or you don't recall, I'm happy to accept	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020,</li> <li>had you told the retail board that HCMFA and</li> <li>NexPoint have the full faith and backing from</li> <li>Jim Dondero?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember in the context in</li> <li>which you told the retail board that?</li> </ul>	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that if I ask a question and you don't know the answer or you don't recall, I'm happy to accept that. I don't – I don't want you to	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020,</li> <li>had you told the retail board that HCMFA and</li> <li>NexPoint have the full faith and backing from</li> <li>Jim Dondero?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember in the context in</li> <li>which you told the retail board that?</li> <li>A. I mean, generally, yes.</li> </ul>	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that if I ask a question and you don't know the answer or you don't recall, I'm happy to accept that. I don't – I don't want you to speculate, so I want to be clear about that.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020,</li> <li>had you told the retail board that HCMFA and</li> <li>NexPoint have the full faith and backing from</li> <li>Jim Dondero?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember in the context in</li> <li>which you told the retail board that?</li> <li>A. I mean, generally, yes.</li> <li>Q. Tell me what you recall.</li> </ul>	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that if I ask a question and you don't know the answer or you don't recall, I'm happy to accept that. I don't – I don't want you to speculate, so I want to be clear about that. So I appreciate it.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020,</li> <li>had you told the retail board that HCMFA and</li> <li>NexPoint have the full faith and backing from</li> <li>Jim Dondero?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember in the context in</li> <li>which you told the retail board that?</li> <li>A. I mean, generally, yes.</li> <li>Q. Tell me what you recall.</li> <li>A. So we were walking through the</li> </ul>	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that if I ask a question and you don't know the answer or you don't recall, I'm happy to accept that. I don't – I don't want you to speculate, so I want to be clear about that. So I appreciate it. Let me just ask you simply: Do you	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020,</li> <li>had you told the retail board that HCMFA and</li> <li>NexPoint have the full faith and backing from</li> <li>Jim Dondero?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember in the context in</li> <li>which you told the retail board that?</li> <li>A. I mean, generally, yes.</li> <li>Q. Tell me what you recall.</li> <li>A. So we were walking through the</li> <li>financials from the advisors; right? So as I</li> </ul>	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that if I ask a question and you don't know the answer or you don't recall, I'm happy to accept that. I don't – I don't want you to speculate, so I want to be clear about that. So I appreciate it. Let me just ask you simply: Do you know what attorneys – can you identify any of	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020,</li> <li>had you told the retail board that HCMFA and</li> <li>NexPoint have the full faith and backing from</li> <li>Jim Dondero?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember in the context in</li> <li>which you told the retail board that?</li> <li>A. I mean, generally, yes.</li> <li>Q. Tell me what you recall.</li> <li>A. So we were walking through the</li> <li>financials from the advisors; right? So as I</li> <li>described to you, you have got HCMFA and NPA.</li> </ul>	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that if I ask a question and you don't know the answer or you don't recall, I'm happy to accept that. I don't – I don't want you to speculate, so I want to be clear about that. So I appreciate it. Let me just ask you simply: Do you	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020,</li> <li>had you told the retail board that HCMFA and</li> <li>NexPoint have the full faith and backing from</li> <li>Jim Dondero?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you remember in the context in</li> <li>which you told the retail board that?</li> <li>A. I mean, generally, yes.</li> <li>Q. Tell me what you recall.</li> <li>A. So we were walking through the</li> <li>financials from the advisors; right? So as I</li> </ul>	

	Page 190			Page 191
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Tage 151
2	they have liabilities on them that exceed	2	retail board that the advisors had the full	
3	assets.	3	faith and backing of Mr. – Mr. Dondero?	
4	So the retail board has asked, okay,	4	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
5	you know, how you know, if if these	5	form.	
6	liabilities come due or they're payable, you	6	A. I don't recall discussing that with	
7	know, how does that come about?	7	him at the time.	
8	And, you know, the response is,	8	Q. When you told this to the board, was	
9	well, the advisors have the – the full faith	9	Mr. Dondero participating in the discussion?	
10	and backing from – from Jim Dondero.	10	A. Not that I recall.	
11	Q. And how did you know that the	11	Q. Withdrawn. Was it not – withdrawn.	
12	advisors had the full faith and backing from	12	Do you recall whether – when you	
13	Jim Dondero? What was the basis for that	13	covered this issue with the board, was that in	
14	statement that you made to the retail board?	14	a – a Zoom call or a Webex call? Was it a	
15	A. I talked to Jim about it at some	15	telephone call? Was it in-person? Like where	
16	point in the past.	16	were you physically in relation to the board?	
17	Q. And did you tell Mr. Dondero that	17	A. I believe I was at home.	
18	you were going to inform the retail board that	18	Q. Okay. Can you identify every person	
19	the advisors had his full faith and backing	19	that you recall who was present for this	
20	before you actually told that to the retail	20	disclosure other than other than the board	
21	board?	21	members themselves?	
22	A. I don't recall having that	22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
23	conversation.	23	form.	
24	Q. Do you recall if you ever informed	24	A. I don't recall everyone on the call.	
25	Mr. Dondero that you had disclosed or told the	25	Q. Can you identify anybody who was on	
	Page 192			Page 193
1 4				Fage 195
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 195
2	the call?	1 2	Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them	Fage 195
			Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with	Fage 195
2	the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes.	2	Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?	Fage 195
23	<ul><li>the call?</li><li>A. Other than the board members?</li><li>Q. Yes.</li><li>A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there</li></ul>	2 3	<ul><li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li><li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a</li></ul>	Fage 195
2 3 4	<ul> <li>the call?</li> <li>A. Other than the board members?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there</li> <li>are - there are many - my section is just one</li> </ul>	2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> </ul>	Fage 195
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>the call?</li> <li>A. Other than the board members?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there</li> <li>are – there are many – my section is just one</li> <li>of many sections that are just – you know, as</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> </ul>	Fage 195
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>the call?</li> <li>A. Other than the board members?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there</li> <li>are - there are many - my section is just one</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> </ul>	raye 195
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>the call?</li> <li>A. Other than the board members?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there</li> <li>are - there are many - my section is just one</li> <li>of many sections that are just - you know, as</li> <li>you can appreciate, this is a long board</li> <li>meeting.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position –</li> </ul>	Fage 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so.</li> </ul>	Fage 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. – with the retail funds?</li> </ul>	Fage 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position –</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> </ul>	raye 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position –</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	raye 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>the call?</li> <li>A. Other than the board members?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there</li> <li>are – there are many – my section is just one</li> <li>of many sections that are just – you know, as</li> <li>you can appreciate, this is a long board</li> <li>meeting. <ul> <li>I can't recall specifically, really</li> <li>even generally, or who was on when this was</li> <li>discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the</li> <li>entire time.</li> <li>Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position –</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I don't know if being the CCO for</li> </ul>	raye 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position –</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail</li> </ul>	raye 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any positions relative to the retail funds?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position –</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I don't helieve so.</li> <li>Q. All right. An or the time the tetail funds?</li> </ul>	raye 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any positions relative to the retail funds? A. I think you asked me this already,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position –</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I don't hour the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't hour the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't hour the point the cCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail funds. Again, I am not – that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know.</li> </ul>	raye 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any positions relative to the retail funds? A. I think you asked me this already, John.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position –</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail funds.</li> <li>Again, I am not – that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Why did you tell the retail board</li> </ul>	raye 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any positions relative to the retail funds? A. I think you asked me this already, John. Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position –</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail funds.</li> <li>Again, I am not – that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Why did you tell the retail board that the advisors have the full faith and</li> </ul>	raye 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any positions relative to the retail funds? A. I think you asked me this already, John. Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you just refresh my recollection if I did, in fact,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position –</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Why did you tell the retail board that the advisors have the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero?</li> </ul>	raye 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the call?</li> <li>A. Other than the board members?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there</li> <li>are – there are many – my section is just one</li> <li>of many sections that are just – you know, as</li> <li>you can appreciate, this is a long board</li> <li>meeting.</li> <li>I can't recall specifically, really</li> <li>even generally, or who was on when this was</li> <li>discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the</li> <li>entire time.</li> <li>Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but</li> <li>do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any</li> <li>positions relative to the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I think you asked me this already,</li> <li>John.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you</li> <li>just refresh my recollection if I did, in fact,</li> <li>ask you the question?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position –</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail funds. Again, I am not – that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Why did you tell the retail board that the advisor have the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ul>	raye 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>the call?</li> <li>A. Other than the board members?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there</li> <li>are – there are many – my section is just one</li> <li>of many sections that are just – you know, as</li> <li>you can appreciate, this is a long board</li> <li>meeting.</li> <li>I can't recall specifically, really</li> <li>even generally, or who was on when this was</li> <li>discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the</li> <li>entire time.</li> <li>Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but</li> <li>do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any</li> <li>positions relative to the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I think you asked me this already,</li> <li>John.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you</li> <li>just refresh my recollection if I did, in fact,</li> <li>ask you the question?</li> <li>A. I don't believe – if we can go</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position –</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail funds. Again, I am not – that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Why did you tell the retail board that the advisors have the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. It is – it is – it is what has</li> </ul>	raye 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>the call?</li> <li>A. Other than the board members?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there</li> <li>are – there are many – my section is just one</li> <li>of many sections that are just – you know, as</li> <li>you can appreciate, this is a long board</li> <li>meeting.</li> <li>I can't recall specifically, really</li> <li>even generally, or who was on when this was</li> <li>discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the</li> <li>entire time.</li> <li>Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but</li> <li>do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any</li> <li>positions relative to the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I think you asked me this already,</li> <li>John.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you</li> <li>just refresh my recollection if I did, in fact,</li> <li>ask you the question?</li> <li>A. I don't believe – if we can go</li> <li>back. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a title</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position –</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I don't here tail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't here tail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail funds. Again, I am not – that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Why did you tell the retail board that the advisors have the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. It is – it is – it is what has been discussed with them prior.</li> </ul>	raye 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>the call?</li> <li>A. Other than the board members?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there</li> <li>are – there are many – my section is just one</li> <li>of many sections that are just – you know, as</li> <li>you can appreciate, this is a long board</li> <li>meeting.</li> <li>I can't recall specifically, really</li> <li>even generally, or who was on when this was</li> <li>discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the</li> <li>entire time.</li> <li>Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but</li> <li>do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any</li> <li>positions relative to the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I think you asked me this already,</li> <li>John.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you</li> <li>just refresh my recollection if I did, in fact,</li> <li>ask you the question?</li> <li>A. I don't believe – if we can go</li> <li>back. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a title</li> <li>at the retail funds. Mr. – and Mr. Post is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position –</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I don't here tail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't here tail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't here tail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail funds. Again, I am not – that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Why did you tell the retail board that the advisors have the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. It is – it is – it is what has been discussed with them prior.</li> <li>Q. And were you – were you trying to</li> </ul>	raye 195
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>the call?</li> <li>A. Other than the board members?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there</li> <li>are – there are many – my section is just one</li> <li>of many sections that are just – you know, as</li> <li>you can appreciate, this is a long board</li> <li>meeting.</li> <li>I can't recall specifically, really</li> <li>even generally, or who was on when this was</li> <li>discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the</li> <li>entire time.</li> <li>Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but</li> <li>do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any</li> <li>positions relative to the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I think you asked me this already,</li> <li>John.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you</li> <li>just refresh my recollection if I did, in fact,</li> <li>ask you the question?</li> <li>A. I don't believe – if we can go</li> <li>back. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a title</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds.</li> <li>Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?</li> <li>A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Does he hold any position –</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. – with the retail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I don't here tail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't here tail funds?</li> <li>A. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail funds. Again, I am not – that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Why did you tell the retail board that the advisors have the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. It is – it is – it is what has been discussed with them prior.</li> </ul>	raye 193

Page 194		Page 195
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Tuge 100
2 liabilities exceeded the assets that the	2 Q. Okay.	
3 advisors would still be able to meet their	3 A. And I don't really I don't really	
4 obligations as they become due?	4 remember generally either.	
5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	5 Q. Okay.	
6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object form.	6 MR. MORRIS: So – so, again, I'm	
7 A. I-I can't - I don't remember	7 just going to ask Mr. Rukavina if your	
8 specifically the conversation, but generally	8 clients can produce as soon as possible the	
9 you know, generally, yes. And that is why	9 15(c) response, the written response that	
10 but, you know, again, in this email saying, you	10 the advisors made, if any, to the board's	
11 know, I am sure I qualified it with the retail	11 Question No. 2.	
12 board, you know, as I said I like – you know,	12 I'm not looking for the whole	
13 to my knowledge, that hasn't changed. But,	13 response, but I certainly want the response	
14 again, generally – generally that is what I	14 to Question No. 2.	
15 remember.	15 Q. Do you have a general understanding	
16 Q. Okay. Do you recall if in the	16 as to the amount by which – withdrawn.	
17 advisors' response to the retail board's	17 Did – did the assets of –	
18 question if the response included any statement	18 withdrawn.	
19 concerning Mr. Dondero and – and the full	19 Did the liabilities of HCMFA exceed	
20 faith and backing that he was giving to the	20 its assets in 2020?	
21 advisors?	21 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	
23 form.	23 A. I believe I have already answered	
24 A. I don't – I don't remember	24 that question earlier, I think. I believe I	
25 specifically what was provided.	25 said yes.	
		Dago 107
Page 196 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 197
2 Q. Okay. And did the liabilities of	2 for those documents too.	
3 NexPoint exceed its assets in 2020?	3 Q. Let's go to the next exhibit, which	
4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	4 is Number 10. So I think it is in your stack,	
5 form.	5 Mr. Waterhouse.	
6 A. I don't believe so.	6 MR. MORRIS: And we can take the one	
7 Q. Okay. So – so it was only one of	7 down from the screen and put up Number 10	
8 the two advisors who had liabilities that	8 for everybody.	
9 exceeded the value of the assets.	9 (Exhibit 10 marked.)	
10 Do I have that right?	10 Q. And I don't know if you have ever	
11 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	11 seen this before, but I'm really putting it up	
12 form.	12 on the screen for purposes of turning to the	
13 MS. DANDENEAU: Form.	13 very last page of the document.	
14 A. Yes.	14 So this is a document that we have	
15 Q. And do you know, ballpark, the	15 been – that we premarked as Exhibit 10. And	
16 amount by which the value of HCMFA's	16 we're turning to the last page of the document,	
17 liabilities exceeded their assets in 2020?	17 which is a document that was filed in the	
18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	18 adversary proceeding 21-3004. And – no, I	
19 A. I don't – I don't recall.	19 apologize, I think we – right there. Perfect.	
20 MR. MORRIS: I had specifically	20 And it is page 31 of 31.	
21 requested in discovery the audited	21 MR. MORRIS: I think there may have	
22 financial reports for both advisors and	22 been some something erroneously stapled to	
23 NexPoint. I think I may have gotten one	<ul><li>the hard copy that I gave you folks, but</li></ul>	
24 for NexPoint but I'm still waiting for the	24 I'm looking for page 31 of 31 in the	
25 balance. And I'm going to renew my request	25 document that begins with the first page of	

Page 198	Page 199
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Exhibit 10.	2 A. It is something like this, but I
3 Q. Do you have that, Mr. Waterhouse?	3 don't think it was this specific document.
4 A. I don't have it yet. I'm looking.	4 Q. Well, but this document does say in
5 Q. All right. If you look at the top	5 the last sentence that Highland agreed not to
6 right-hand corner, you will see it says page	6 seek - not to demand payment from HCMFA prior
7 hopefully something of 31?	7 to May 31, 2021; right?
8 A. Yes, I've got it now.	8 A. Yes.
9 Q. Okay. You have got 31 of 31. You	9 Q. And are you aware of any other
10 can take a moment to read that, if you would	10 document that was ever created pursuant to
11 like.	11 which Highland agreed not to demand payment on
12 A. (Reviewing document.) Okay.	12 amounts owed by HCMFA before May 31, 2021?
13 Q. Have you ever seen this before?	13 A. Hold on. Are you asking, am I aware
14 A. I don't know if I have seen this	14 of a document that by HCMFA that basically says
15 specific document, but, you know, I've	15 otherwise?
16 l'm – l'm aware of it.	16 Q. No. Let me try again.
17 Q. And is this the document that you	17 Are you aware of any other document
18 had in mind when you sent that email to	18 pursuant to which pursuant to which Highland
19 Ms. Thedford that we just looked at where you	19 agreed not to make a demand on HCMFA until May
20 said that Highland had agreed not to make a	20 31st, 2021?
21 demand upon HCMFA until May 2021?	21 A. I'm – I think there was something
22 A. Honestly, I don't – it wasn't this	22 in connection with with the with the
23 document. I mean, it's something like this,	23 audit that basically says the same thing.
24 yes. I mean, yes.	24 Q. Okay. And do you think that the
25 Q. Well-	25 audit is referring to this particular document?
Page 200	Page 201
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 A. Idon't know.	2 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me.
3 Q. All right. This document is dated	3 Q. And this document states the
4 April 15, 2019. Do you see that?	4 deferral that you just described; correct?
5 A. Ido.	5 A. It does.
6 Q. And do you remember that the audit	6 Q. And this document states the
7 was completed on June 3rd, 2019?	7 deferral that was described in the audited
8 A. Yes.	8 financial statements that we looked at before;
9 Q. And do you recall that the audited	9 correct?
10 financials – and I'm happy to pull them up if	<ol> <li>A. It does.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll</li> </ol>
<ul><li>11 you would like, but do you recall that the</li><li>12 audited financials included a reference to the</li></ul>	5
	<ul><li>down just a little bit to see who signed on</li><li>behalf of the acknowledgment there.</li></ul>
	14 Q. Okay. So Mr. Dondero signed this
<ul><li>14 to make a demand until May 31st, 2021?</li><li>15 A. Yes, I remember.</li></ul>	14 Q. Okay. So Mi. Dondero signed tills 15 document on behalf of both HCMFA and Highland;
16 Q. And as part of the process, would	16 do you see that?
17 you have expected the corporate accounting team	17 A. Ido.
18 to have provided a copy of this document to	17 A. Tub. 18 Q. Okay. Did you discuss this document
19 PwC?	19 or the – withdrawn.
20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	20 Did you discuss the concept of the
21 A. Yes, I would have expected something	21 deferral with Mr. Dondero in the spring of
22 like this, or again, you know, some document	22 2019?
<ul><li>22 inte tils, or again, you know, some document</li><li>23 that basically states – states the deferral</li></ul>	23 A. I think I testified I don't recall.
24 till May 31 of 2020.	24 Q. Okay. Do you know whose idea it was
25 Q. Okay.	25 to issue the acknowledgment in this form?

	Page 202	_		Daga 202
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 203
2	A. I don't recall.	2	A. Well, this this document dated	
3	MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll back up	3	April 15, 2019 says they have been deferred to	
4	to the document, please.	4	May 31, 2021.	
5	Q. Do you see in the beginning it says,	5	Q. Right. But I'm just sticking to the	
6	reference is made to certain outstanding	6	first paragraph where they refer to the	
7	amounts loaned from Highland to HCMFA for	7	outstanding amounts. And in the end it says	
8	funding ongoing operations.	8	the – it remained outstanding on December	
9	Do you see that?	9	31st, 2018, and I think you told me that you	
10	A. Yes.	10	understood that, and then I'm just trying to	
11	Q. And were you aware as the CFO of	11	capture the last piece of it.	
12	Highland and as the treasurer of HCMFA that as	12		
13	of April 15, 2019, Highland had made certain	13	•	
14	loans to HCMFA to fund HCMFA's ongoing	14	made to HCMFA to fund ongoing operations as of	
15	operations?	15	April 15th, 2019?	
16	A. Yes.	16		
17	Q. And were you aware that those loans	17	Q. Thank you. Let's look at the next	
18	were payable on demand and remained outstanding	18		
19	as of December 31st, 2018?	19	to repay such amounts should they become due	
20	A. Yes.	20	for the period commencing today and continuing	
20		20	through May 31st, 2021.	
1	Q. And were you aware that those	22		
22	amounts were payable on demand, and they		Do you see that?	
23	remained outstanding as of April 15, 2019?	23		
24	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	24		
25	form.	25	Q. As the CFO – withdrawn.	
1	Page 204	1		Page 205
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 205
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you	2	should they become due?	Page 205
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement	2	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	Page 205
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was	2	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that,	Page 205
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered?	2 3 4 5	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again,	Page 205
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to	2 3 4 5 6	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was,	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not.	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did you at any time form any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero?	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did you at any time form any opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did you at any time form any opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all amounts due to Highland should they become due?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form.	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did you at any time form any opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all amounts due to Highland should they become due? A. Not really. I guess I don't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you – if you	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did you at any time form any opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all amounts due to Highland should they become due? A. Not really. I guess I don't Q. Well, you told the retail board that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you – if you take that last statement out, I mean, it would	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did you at any time form any opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all amounts due to Highland should they become due? A. Not really. I guess I don't Q. Well, you told the retail board that HCMFA's liabilities exceeded their assets in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you – if you take that last statement out, I mean, it would be difficult for HCMFA to pay back demand notes	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did you at any time form any opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all amounts due to Highland should they become due? A. Not really. I guess I don't Q. Well, you told the retail board that HCMFA's liabilities exceeded their assets in 2020; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you – if you take that last statement out, I mean, it would be difficult for HCMFA to pay back demand notes at that time.	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did you at any time form any opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all amounts due to Highland should they become due? A. Not really. I guess I don't Q. Well, you told the retail board that HCMFA's liabilities exceeded their assets in 2020; correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you – if you take that last statement out, I mean, it would be difficult for HCMFA to pay back demand notes at that time. Q. And it was precisely for that reason	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did you at any time form any opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all amounts due to Highland should they become due? A. Not really. I guess I don't Q. Well, you told the retail board that HCMFA's liabilities exceeded their assets in 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. Based on the work that you did to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you – if you take that last statement out, I mean, it would be difficult for HCMFA to pay back demand notes at that time. Q. And it was precisely for that reason that you told the retail board that – that the	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did you at any time form any opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all amounts due to Highland should they become due? A. Not really. I guess I don't Q. Well, you told the retail board that HCMFA's liabilities exceeded their assets in 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. Based on the work that you did to prepare for the retail board, did you form any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you – if you take that last statement out, I mean, it would be difficult for HCMFA to pay back demand notes at that time. Q. And it was precisely for that reason that you told the retail board that – that the retail – that the advisors had the full faith	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did you at any time form any opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all amounts due to Highland should they become due? A. Not really. I guess I don't Q. Well, you told the retail board that HCMFA's liabilities exceeded their assets in 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. Based on the work that you did to prepare for the retail board, did you form any view as to whether HCMFA would be unable to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you – if you take that last statement out, I mean, it would be difficult for HCMFA to pay back demand notes at that time. Q. And it was precisely for that reason that you told the retail board that – that the retail – that the advisors had the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero; correct?	Page 205
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did you at any time form any opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all amounts due to Highland should they become due? A. Not really. I guess I don't Q. Well, you told the retail board that HCMFA's liabilities exceeded their assets in 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. Based on the work that you did to prepare for the retail board, did you form any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you – if you take that last statement out, I mean, it would be difficult for HCMFA to pay back demand notes at that time. Q. And it was precisely for that reason that you told the retail board that – that the retail – that the advisors had the full faith	Page 205

		Page 206	4		Page 207
	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	A. I mean, yes, as the mouthpiece, I			so let's put the email back up.	
3	was relaying information.		3	A. It is – it is – it is in the	
4	Q. Okay. And you relayed that		4	email.	
5	information with the knowledge and approval of		5	Q. Let's put the email back up. You	
6	Mr. Dondero; correct?		6	didn't say unless it has changed. You said you	
7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the		7	believe it hasn't changed; right?	
8	torm.		8	A. Okay. And to my knowledge that	
9	A. As I stated in the email, I don't		9	hasn't changed, that is what it says.	
	believe, and I think I testified I don't		10	Q. That's right.	
11	believe I had conversations with Mr. Dondero at		11	A. But, again, I mean, that is – I	
12	the time of that board meeting.		12	don't know everything. And I'm not in every	
13	Q. Did you tell the retail board that		13	conversation. I'm not – to presume that I am,	
14	the advisors had the full faith and backing of		14	is – and you have to put myself – as you	
15	Mr. Dondero without Mr. Dondero's prior		15	started this out, Mr. Morris, I was at home in	
16	approval?		16	October of 2020 with COVID – or, you know,	
17	A. Yeah, I – I – yes, I'm – like I		17	under these COVID times that we described is	
18	said, I think I testified earlier, I'm sure I		18	very difficult.	
19	qualified it as well.		19	We have all been working at home for	
20	Q. What do you mean by that?		20	really the first time ever, undergoing	
21	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		21	processes, procedures, control environments	
22	A. Again – again, like I said in the		22	that have been untested, and there is poor	
23	email, it has the full faith and backing of Jim		23	communication.	
24	Dondero unless that has changed.		24	So I am relaying, as I'm telling you	
25	Q. Actually that is not what you said,		25	now, what is in the email. And unless	
		Page 208	4		Page 209
	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	something has changed to my knowledge, it		2	promissory notes that your clients refuse	
3	hasn't changed, but it could have changed.		3	to pay.	
4	Q. When you say that the advisors have		4	So I'm going to continue to ask my	
5	the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero,		5	questions, and I would ask the court	
6	did you intend to convey that, to the extent		6	reporter to read back my last question.	
	the advisors were unable to satisfy their		7	(Record read.)	
8	obligations as they become due, Mr. Dondero		8	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And then I	
9	would do it for them?		9	believe there were objections to form.	
10	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.		10	Q. You can answer the question.	
11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the		11	A. Yes.	
12	form.		12	Q. Thank you very much, sir.	
13	And, John, we have given you a lot		13	MR. MORRIS: Can we go back to the	
14	of leeway here but this does not seem		14 15	other document, please?	
15	relevant to this case. You seem sort of		15	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you know if this	
16	taking a complete sort of diversion into		16	document was ever shared with the retail board?	
17	the allegations and the complaint just		17	A. I don't recall.	
140	filed on Friday, and so I would ask you to		18	Q. Did you ever share it with the	
18			19 20	retail board?	
19	move on because		·//)	A. I don't recall.	
19 20	MR. MORRIS: And I will tell you				
19 20 21	MR. MORRIS: And I will tell you I will tell you that I have never read that		21	Q. Did you ever tell the retail board	
19 20 21 22	MR. MORRIS: And I will tell you – I will tell you that I have never read that complaint cover-to-cover. I have nothing		21 22	about the substance of this document?	
19 20 21 22 23	MR. MORRIS: And I will tell you – I will tell you that I have never read that complaint cover-to-cover. I have nothing to do with the prosecution of those claims.		21 22 23	about the substance of this document? A. I don't recall.	
19 20 21 22	MR. MORRIS: And I will tell you – I will tell you that I have never read that complaint cover-to-cover. I have nothing		21 22	about the substance of this document?	

Pag	pe 210 Page 211
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 against HCMFA until May 2021?	2 Q. Did you ever inform PwC that HCMFA's
3 A. I don't recall.	3 liabilities exceeded its assets?
4 Q. Do you know whether anybody on	4 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.
5 behalf of the advisors ever informed the retail	5 A. I don't – I don't think I told
6 board that Highland had agreed on April 15,	6 them. I mean, they – they audited the
7 2019, not to make a demand against HCMFA under	7 financial statements.
8 the promissory notes?	8 Q. Did – do you know if anybody on
9 A. I don't recall.	9 behalf of Highland ever informed
10 Q. Did you instruct Ms. Thedford or	10 PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA may be unable
11 anybody else responding to the retail board's	11 to repay amounts owing to Highland, should they
12 15(c) inquiry to disclose this document?	12 become due?
13 A. Did I instruct Ms. Thedford or	13 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
14 anyone else to to to produce this, to	14 A. Yes. Again, I think I testified
15 disclose this document? Is that what you - I	15 earlier that
16 just want to make sure.	16 the auditors.
17 Q. Uh-huh.	17 Q. Ideally –
18 A. Yeah, I don't – I don't recall.	18 A. I don't know who exactly did that.
19 Q. Did you instruct anybody to inform	19 I don't recall doing it, but, yeah, it was
20 the retail board, in response to their question	20 it was communicated. And that is why - I
21 as part of the 15(c) process, to to tell the	21 mean, there is a disclosure in the financial
22 retail board about Highland's agreement not to	22 statements; right?
23 make a demand until 2021?	23 Q. There is, and that disclosure
24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	24 relates to the last sentence of this document;
25 A. I don't recall.	25 correct?
Bag	
	1e 212 Page 213
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 213 Page 213 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. Yes.	1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A.A.I have answered this question
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A. Yes.3Q. Do you recall looking in the	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall looking in the</li> <li>4 document and seeing anything that was disclosed</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall looking in the</li> <li>document and seeing anything that was disclosed</li> <li>with respect to the sentence above that?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall looking in the</li> <li>document and seeing anything that was disclosed</li> <li>with respect to the sentence above that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody on</li> <li>behalf of Highland ever informed</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall looking in the</li> <li>document and seeing anything that was disclosed</li> <li>with respect to the sentence above that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody on</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall looking in the</li> <li>document and seeing anything that was disclosed</li> <li>with respect to the sentence above that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody on</li> <li>behalf of Highland ever informed</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that</li> <li>it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall looking in the</li> <li>document and seeing anything that was disclosed</li> <li>with respect to the sentence above that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody on</li> <li>behalf of Highland ever informed</li> <li>PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that</li> <li>it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing</li> <li>to Highland should they become due?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> <li>signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that</li> <li>right?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.         3       Q. Do you recall looking in the         4       document and seeing anything that was disclosed         5       with respect to the sentence above that?         6       A. No.         7       Q. Do you know whether anybody on         8       behalf of Highland ever informed         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that         10       it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing         11       to Highland should they become due?         12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> <li>signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that</li> <li>right?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Unless there is a drafting error.</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A. Yes.3Q. Do you recall looking in the4document and seeing anything that was disclosed5with respect to the sentence above that?6A. No.7Q. Do you know whether anybody on8behalf of Highland ever informed9PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that10it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing11to Highland should they become due?12MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the13form. I think that is the third time.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> <li>signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that</li> <li>right?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Unless there is a drafting error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.         3       Q. Do you recall looking in the         4       document and seeing anything that was disclosed         5       with respect to the sentence above that?         6       A. No.         7       Q. Do you know whether anybody on         8       behalf of Highland ever informed         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that         10       it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing         11       to Highland should they become due?         12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       form. I think that is the third time.         14       A. I don't recall. Again, as I said,	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> <li>signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that</li> <li>right?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Unless there is a drafting error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting</li> <li>error?</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A. Yes.3Q. Do you recall looking in the4document and seeing anything that was disclosed5with respect to the sentence above that?6A. No.7Q. Do you know whether anybody on8behalf of Highland ever informed9PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that10it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing11to Highland should they become due?12MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the13form. I think that is the third time.14A. I don't recall. Again, as I said,15we - all of this was given to the auditors.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> <li>signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that</li> <li>right?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Unless there is a drafting error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting</li> <li>error?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.         3       Q. Do you recall looking in the         4       document and seeing anything that was disclosed         5       with respect to the sentence above that?         6       A. No.         7       Q. Do you know whether anybody on         8       behalf of Highland ever informed         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that         10       it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing         11       to Highland should they become due?         12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       form. I think that is the third time.         14       A. I don't recall. Again, as I said,         15       we – all of this was given to the auditors.         16       Q. Do you know if Highland received	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> <li>signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that</li> <li>right?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Unless there is a drafting error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting</li> <li>error?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't</li> <li>part of – I didn't sign this note or this</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.         3       Q. Do you recall looking in the         4       document and seeing anything that was disclosed         5       with respect to the sentence above that?         6       A. No.         7       Q. Do you know whether anybody on         8       behalf of Highland ever informed         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that         10       it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing         11       to Highland should they become due?         12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       form. I think that is the third time.         14       A. I don't recall. Again, as I said,         15       we – all of this was given to the auditors.         16       Q. Do you know if Highland received         17       anything of value in exchange for its agreement	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> <li>signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that</li> <li>right?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Unless there is a drafting error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting</li> <li>error?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't</li> <li>part of – I didn't sign this note or this</li> <li>acknowledgment. I didn't draft it.</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.         3       Q. Do you recall looking in the         4       document and seeing anything that was disclosed         5       with respect to the sentence above that?         6       A. No.         7       Q. Do you know whether anybody on         8       behalf of Highland ever informed         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that         10       it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing         11       to Highland should they become due?         12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       form. I think that is the third time.         14       A. I don't recall. Again, as I said,         15       we – all of this was given to the auditors.         16       Q. Do you know if Highland received         17       anything of value in exchange for its agreement         18       not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> <li>signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that</li> <li>right?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Unless there is a drafting error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting</li> <li>error?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't</li> <li>part of – I didn't sign this note or this</li> <li>acknowledgment. I didn't draft it.</li> <li>Q. But you do see it is dated April 15,</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.         3       Q. Do you recall looking in the         4       document and seeing anything that was disclosed         5       with respect to the sentence above that?         6       A. No.         7       Q. Do you know whether anybody on         8       behalf of Highland ever informed         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that         10       it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing         11       to Highland should they become due?         12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       form. I think that is the third time.         14       A. I don't recall. Again, as I said,         15       we – all of this was given to the auditors.         16       Q. Do you know if Highland received         17       anything of value in exchange for its agreement         18       not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA         19       prior to May 31st, 2021?	<ul> <li>1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>2 A. I have answered this question</li> <li>3 before.</li> <li>4 Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>5 A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>6 Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>7 possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>8 on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> <li>9 signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that</li> <li>10 right?</li> <li>11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>12 A. Unless there is a drafting error.</li> <li>13 Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting</li> <li>14 error?</li> <li>15 A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't</li> <li>16 part of – I didn't sign this note or this</li> <li>17 acknowledgment. I didn't draft it.</li> <li>18 Q. But you do see it is dated April 15,</li> <li>19 2019; right?</li> </ul>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.         3       Q. Do you recall looking in the         4       document and seeing anything that was disclosed         5       with respect to the sentence above that?         6       A. No.         7       Q. Do you know whether anybody on         8       behalf of Highland ever informed         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that         10       it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing         11       to Highland should they become due?         12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       form. I think that is the third time.         14       A. I don't recall. Again, as I said,         15       we – all of this was given to the auditors.         16       Q. Do you know if Highland received         17       anything of value in exchange for its agreement         18       not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA         19       prior to May 31st, 2021?         20       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> <li>signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that</li> <li>right?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Unless there is a drafting error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting</li> <li>error?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't</li> <li>part of – I didn't sign this note or this</li> <li>acknowledgment. I didn't draft it.</li> <li>Q. But you do see it is dated April 15,</li> <li>2019; right?</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.         3       Q. Do you recall looking in the         4       document and seeing anything that was disclosed         5       with respect to the sentence above that?         6       A. No.         7       Q. Do you know whether anybody on         8       behalf of Highland ever informed         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that         10       it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing         11       to Highland should they become due?         12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       form. I think that is the third time.         14       A. I don't recall. Again, as I said,         15       we – all of this was given to the auditors.         16       Q. Do you know if Highland received         17       anything of value in exchange for its agreement         18       not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA         19       prior to May 31st, 2021?         20       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         21       form. That is the second time.	<ul> <li>1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>2 A. I have answered this question</li> <li>3 before.</li> <li>4 Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>5 A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>6 Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>7 possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>8 on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> <li>9 signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that</li> <li>10 right?</li> <li>11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>12 A. Unless there is a drafting error.</li> <li>13 Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting</li> <li>14 error?</li> <li>15 A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't</li> <li>16 part of – I didn't sign this note or this</li> <li>17 acknowledgment. I didn't draft it.</li> <li>18 Q. But you do see it is dated April 15,</li> <li>19 2019; right?</li> <li>20 A. Yes.</li> <li>21 Q. And this was a document that was</li> </ul>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.         3       Q. Do you recall looking in the         4       document and seeing anything that was disclosed         5       with respect to the sentence above that?         6       A. No.         7       Q. Do you know whether anybody on         8       behalf of Highland ever informed         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that         10       it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing         11       to Highland should they become due?         12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       form. I think that is the third time.         14       A. I don't recall. Again, as I said,         15       we – all of this was given to the auditors.         16       Q. Do you know if Highland received         17       anything of value in exchange for its agreement         18       not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA         19       prior to May 31st, 2021?         20       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         21       form. That is the second time.         22       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> <li>signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that</li> <li>right?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Unless there is a drafting error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting</li> <li>error?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't</li> <li>part of – I didn't sign this note or this</li> <li>acknowledgment. I didn't draft it.</li> <li>Q. But you do see it is dated April 15,</li> <li>2019; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And this was a document that was</li> <li>actually included by the advisors in a pleading</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.         3       Q. Do you recall looking in the         4       document and seeing anything that was disclosed         5       with respect to the sentence above that?         6       A. No.         7       Q. Do you know whether anybody on         8       behalf of Highland ever informed         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that         10       it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing         11       to Highland should they become due?         12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       form. I think that is the third time.         14       A. I don't recall. Again, as I said,         15       we – all of this was given to the auditors.         16       Q. Do you know if Highland received         17       anything of value in exchange for its agreement         18       not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA         19       prior to May 31st, 2021?         20       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         21       form. That is the second time.         22       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.         23       A. I have answered this question.	<ul> <li>1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>2 A. I have answered this question</li> <li>3 before.</li> <li>4 Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>5 A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>6 Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>7 possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>8 on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> <li>9 signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that</li> <li>10 right?</li> <li>11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>12 A. Unless there is a drafting error.</li> <li>13 Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting</li> <li>14 error?</li> <li>15 A. I'm not aware. I didn't - I wasn't</li> <li>16 part of - I didn't sign this note or this</li> <li>17 acknowledgment. I didn't draft it.</li> <li>18 Q. But you do see it is dated April 15,</li> <li>19 2019; right?</li> <li>20 A. Yes.</li> <li>21 Q. And this was a document that was</li> <li>22 actually included by the advisors in a pleading</li> <li>23 they filed with the Court; right?</li> </ul>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.         3       Q. Do you recall looking in the         4       document and seeing anything that was disclosed         5       with respect to the sentence above that?         6       A. No.         7       Q. Do you know whether anybody on         8       behalf of Highland ever informed         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that         10       it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing         11       to Highland should they become due?         12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       form. I think that is the third time.         14       A. I don't recall. Again, as I said,         15       we – all of this was given to the auditors.         16       Q. Do you know if Highland received         17       anything of value in exchange for its agreement         18       not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA         19       prior to May 31st, 2021?         20       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         21       form. That is the second time.         22       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.         23       A. I have answered this question.         24       MR. RUKAVINA:	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I have answered this question</li> <li>before.</li> <li>Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> <li>signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that</li> <li>right?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Unless there is a drafting error.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting</li> <li>error?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't</li> <li>part of – I didn't sign this note or this</li> <li>acknowledgment. I didn't draft it.</li> <li>Q. But you do see it is dated April 15,</li> <li>2019; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And this was a document that was</li> <li>actually included by the advisors in a pleading</li> <li>they filed with the Court; right?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Well, I don't know</li> </ul>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.         3       Q. Do you recall looking in the         4       document and seeing anything that was disclosed         5       with respect to the sentence above that?         6       A. No.         7       Q. Do you know whether anybody on         8       behalf of Highland ever informed         9       PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that         10       it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing         11       to Highland should they become due?         12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       form. I think that is the third time.         14       A. I don't recall. Again, as I said,         15       we – all of this was given to the auditors.         16       Q. Do you know if Highland received         17       anything of value in exchange for its agreement         18       not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA         19       prior to May 31st, 2021?         20       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         21       form. That is the second time.         22       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.         23       A. I have answered this question.	<ul> <li>1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>2 A. I have answered this question</li> <li>3 before.</li> <li>4 Q. And the answer was no?</li> <li>5 A. I'm not aware.</li> <li>6 Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't</li> <li>7 possibly apply to the two notes that you signed</li> <li>8 on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were</li> <li>9 signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that</li> <li>10 right?</li> <li>11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>12 A. Unless there is a drafting error.</li> <li>13 Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting</li> <li>14 error?</li> <li>15 A. I'm not aware. I didn't - I wasn't</li> <li>16 part of - I didn't sign this note or this</li> <li>17 acknowledgment. I didn't draft it.</li> <li>18 Q. But you do see it is dated April 15,</li> <li>19 2019; right?</li> <li>20 A. Yes.</li> <li>21 Q. And this was a document that was</li> <li>22 actually included by the advisors in a pleading</li> <li>23 they filed with the Court; right?</li> </ul>

		Page 214			Page 215
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Q. Okay. Let's go to the first page of		2	of verifying that, we're just	
1	he document and just confirm that.		3	MR. MORRIS: You do, actually. You	
4	MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, I just note		4	could just go to Docket No. 21-3004.	
5	that you already said there was some error		5	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: If you want to	
6	with the document that is listed as		6	stop this deposition so we can go and pull	
7	exhibit –		7	that document up, we're happy to do it. So	
8	MR. MORRIS: No. No, no, no.		8	l am just asking you for your	
9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, okay.		9	representation.	
10	MR. MORRIS: What I said is that		10	MR. MORRIS: Sure. I gave that.	
11	there is a few pages that were mistakenly		11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay.	
12	stapled to the end of the document.		12	Q. So do you see that this is a	
13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay.		13	document that was actually filed with the Court	
14	MR. MORRIS: There is no problem		14	by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?	
15	with this document.		15	A. No. I get with the first page in	
16	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And just so		16	the section. Maybe I'm looking at the wrong	
17	we're clear that the document – the pages		17	thing. It says, Highland Capital Management.	
18	that start with defendant's amended answer		18	Q. Don't worry about it. Don't worry	
19	are not intended to be part of this		19	about it.	
20	document?		20	A. Maybe I went back – okay.	
21	MR. MORRIS: That's correct.		21	MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we put	
22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And that the		22	up on the screen Exhibit 2.	
23	but it is your representation that the rest		23	(Exhibit 2 marked.)	
24	of the document is is is correct		24	MR. MORRIS: 1 think it is	
25	because we don't – we don't have any way		25	Exhibit 1.	
20	because we dont - we dont have any way		20		
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 216	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 217
I	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DANDENEAU; I'm sorry, John, did	Page 216		WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is an annual installment note?	Page 217
2	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did	Page 216	2	this is an annual installment note?	Page 217
2 3	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1?	Page 216	2 3	this is an annual installment note? A. Can you scroll down.	Page 217
2 3 4	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the	Page 216	2 3 4	this is an annual installment note? A. Can you scroll down. Q. Sure.	Page 217
2 3 4 5	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And	Page 216	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>this is an annual installment note?</li><li>A. Can you scroll down.</li><li>Q. Sure.</li><li>MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down –</li></ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure. MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes.</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2.	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure. MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes. MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure. MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes. MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down to the signature line.</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no –	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down –</li> <li>yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down</li> <li>to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> <li>Mr. Dondero's signature?</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1.	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure. MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes. MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> <li>Mr. Dondero's signature?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure. <ul> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down –</li> <li>yeah, there you go.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes. <ul> <li>MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down</li> <li>to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> </ul> </li> <li>Mr. Dondero's signature? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the promissory note that</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen.	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down –</li> <li>yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down</li> <li>to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> <li>Mr. Dondero's signature?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the promissory note that</li> <li>we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down –</li> <li>yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down</li> <li>to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> <li>Mr. Dondero's signature?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the promissory note that</li> <li>we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made</li> <li>certain payments in the aggregate amount of</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017,	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure. MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes. MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> <li>Mr. Dondero's signature?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the promissory note that</li> <li>we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million?	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure. MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes. MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> <li>Mr. Dondero's signature?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the promissory note that</li> <li>we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and interest?</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? A. Yes.	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> <li>Mr. Dondero's signature?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the promissory note that</li> <li>we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made</li> <li>certain payments in the aggregate amount of</li> <li>about 6 to \$7 million against principal and</li> <li>interest?</li> <li>A. I don't recall discussing the</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? A. Yes. Q. And do you see that the maker of the	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> <li>Mr. Dondero's signature?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the promissory note that</li> <li>we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made</li> <li>certain payments in the aggregate amount of</li> <li>about 6 to \$7 million against principal and</li> <li>interest?</li> <li>A. I don't recall discussing the</li> <li>aggregate principal amounts of 6 to \$7 million,</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? A. Yes. Q. And do you see that the maker of the note is NexPoint?	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure. MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes. MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> <li>Mr. Dondero's signature?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the promissory note that</li> <li>we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and interest?</li> <li>A. I don't recall discussing the aggregate principal amounts of 6 to \$7 million, but – so I don't – I don't recall that prior</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? A. Yes. Q. And do you see that the maker of the note is NexPoint? A. Yes.	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> <li>Mr. Dondero's signature?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the promissory note that</li> <li>we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and interest?</li> <li>A. I don't recall discussing the aggregate principal amounts of 6 to \$7 million, but – so I don't – I don't recall that prior discussion with those amounts.</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? A. Yes. Q. And do you see that the maker of the note is NexPoint? A. Yes. Q. And that Highland is the payee; is	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> <li>Mr. Dondero's signature?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the promissory note that</li> <li>we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made</li> <li>certain payments in the aggregate amount of</li> <li>about 6 to \$7 million against principal and</li> <li>interest?</li> <li>A. I don't recall discussing the</li> <li>aggregate principal amounts of 6 to \$7 million,</li> <li>but – so I don't – I don't recall that prior</li> <li>discussion with those amounts.</li> <li>Q. All right. Let's take a look.</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? A. Yes. Q. And do you see that the maker of the note is NexPoint? A. Yes. Q. And that Highland is the payee; is that right?	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure. MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes. MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> <li>Mr. Dondero's signature?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the promissory note that</li> <li>we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and interest?</li> <li>A. I don't recall discussing the aggregate principal amounts of 6 to \$7 million, but – so I don't – I don't recall that prior discussion with those amounts.</li> <li>Q. All right. Let's take a look.</li> <li>NexPoint always included this promissory note</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? A. Yes. Q. And do you see that the maker of the note is NexPoint? A. Yes. Q. And that Highland is the payee; is that right? A. Yes.	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure. MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes. MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> <li>Mr. Dondero's signature?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the promissory note that</li> <li>we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and interest?</li> <li>A. I don't recall discussing the aggregate principal amounts of 6 to \$7 million, but – so I don't – I don't recall that prior discussion with those amounts.</li> <li>Q. All right. Let's take a look.</li> <li>NexPoint always included this promissory note as a liability on its audited financial</li> </ul>	Page 217
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking – right there – going to Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? A. Yes. Q. And do you see that the maker of the note is NexPoint? A. Yes. Q. And that Highland is the payee; is that right?	Page 216	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>this is an annual installment note?</li> <li>A. Can you scroll down.</li> <li>Q. Sure. MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down – yeah, there you go.</li> <li>A. Right there, yeah. Yes. MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down to the signature line.</li> <li>Q. And do you recognize that as</li> <li>Mr. Dondero's signature?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is this the promissory note that</li> <li>we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and interest?</li> <li>A. I don't recall discussing the aggregate principal amounts of 6 to \$7 million, but – so I don't – I don't recall that prior discussion with those amounts.</li> <li>Q. All right. Let's take a look.</li> <li>NexPoint always included this promissory note</li> </ul>	Page 217

Dore 049	Dage 340
Page 218 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 219 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 A. Yes.	2 A. I didn't participate directly, as
3 Q. And NexPoint had its financial	3 I've described before, about the the team
4 statements audited; isn't that correct?	4 performing the audit.
5 A. Yes.	5 Q. Do you recall when the audit of
6 Q. And was the process of NexPoint's	6 NexPoint's financial statements for the period
7 audit similar to the process you described	7 ending December 31st, 2019 was completed?
8 earlier for Highland and HCMFA?	8 A. Yes.
9 A. Yes, it is similar.	9 Q. And when do you recall it being
10 Q. Okay.	10 completed?
11 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up	11 A. In January of 2021.
12 NexPoint's audited financials and let	12 Q. Do you know why the 2019 audit
13 everybody know what exhibit number it is,	13 report wasn't completed until January of 2021?
14 La Asia?	14 A. Yes.
15 MS. CANTY: It is going to be	15 Q. Why was the NexPoint audit report
16 Exhibit 46.	16 for the period ending 12/31/19 not completed
17 (Exhibit 46 marked.)	17 until January 2021?
18 Q. And do you see, sir, that we've put	18 A. Because we had to deal with working
19 up NexPoint Advisors' consolidated financial	19 from home from – with COVID, and on top of all
20 statements and supplemental information for the	20 of our daily responsibilities and job duties
21 period ending December 31st, 2019?	21 at – at providing – at Highland providing
22 A. Yes.	22 services to NexPoint, we had to do all of this
23 Q. Did you participate in the process	<ul><li>22 extra work for a bankruptcy that was filed in</li></ul>
24 whereby these audited financial statements were	24 October of 2019.
25 issued?	25 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the
Page 220 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 221 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right	2 form.
3 there.	3 A. Approximately.
4 Q. Do you see under the liabilities	4 Q. And does that refresh your
5 section, the last item is note payable to	5 recollection that between the time the note was
6 affiliate?	6 executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had
7 A. Yes.	7 paid down approximately \$7 million?
8 Q. And is that the note that we just	8 A. Yes. If we are just doing the math,
9 looked at?	9 yes.
10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	10 Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its
11 Q. Withdrawn.	11 audit from 2020?
12 Is that the approximately	12 A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do
13 \$30 million note that we just looked at that	13 NexPoint complete?
14 was dated from 2017?	14 Q. The audit of its financial
15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	15 statements for the period ending December 31st,
16 A. I believe no.	16 2020?
17 Q. Okay. You're not aware of any other	17 A. No.
18 note that was outstanding from NexPoint to	18 Q. No, it's not complete?
19 Highland as of the end of the year 2019, other	19 A. No, it is not complete.
20 than that one \$30 million note; right?	20 Q. Did HCMFA complete its audit for the
21 A. I don't recall.	21 year ending December 31st, 2020?
22 Q. And as of the end of 2019, the	22 A. No.
23 principal amount that was due on the note was	23 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to page 15,
24 approximately \$23 million; right?	24 please, the paragraph at the bottom.
25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	25 Q. Do you see that NexPoint has

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-3 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 58 of 131

	Dec. 000			Dama 000
1	Page 222 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 223
2	included under notes payable to Highland a	2	perfectly together.	
3	reference to the amounts that were outstanding	3	Q. Okay. But you're not aware of any	
4	as of the year-end 2019 under the note that we	4	note that was outstanding at the end of 2019	
5	looked at just a moment ago?	5	from NexPoint to Highland other than whatever	
6	A. Yes. Are you talking about the	6	principal was still due and owing under the	
7	second paragraph?	7	\$30 million note issued in 2017; correct?	
8	Q. I'm actually talking about first	8	A. Well, it I don't there is	
9	paragraph. Do you understand that the first	9	reference in the second paragraph. I don't	
10	paragraph is a reference to the 2017 note, and	10	l don't – I don't recall what that is	
11	the amounts that were the principal amount	11	referring to, so I don't – I don't know.	
12	that was outstanding as of the end of 2019?	12	Q. Well, if you listen carefully to my	
13	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	13	question, right, I'm asking about notes that	
14	John, do you mean the first paragraph of	14	were outstanding at the end of 2019, and if we	
15	that page?	15	look at the paragraph you just referred to, it	
16	MR. MORRIS: No, the first paragraph	16	says that during the year there were new notes	
17	under notes payable to Highland.	17	issued totaling \$1.5 million, but by the end of	
18	A. Yeah, I see the paragraph, and	18	the year, no principal or interest was	
19	again, this is what I answered earlier. I	19	outstanding on the notes.	
20	believe so, just because I don't again, this	20	Do you see that?	
21	is a number in a balance sheet, and without	21	A. Oh, I do, yes.	
22	matching it up and seeing the detail with the	22	Q. So does that refresh your	
23	schedule like I kind of talked about for	23	recollection that there were no notes	
24	Highland's financial statements, it is a little	24	outstanding from NexPoint to Highland other	
25	bit more difficult to tie everything in	25	than the principal remaining under the original	
	Page 224			Page 225
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	\$30 million 2017 note that we looked at a	2	the record at 3:39 p.m.	
3	moment ago?	3	Q. All right. Mr. Waterhouse, we I	
4	A. Well, we're at the bottom of the	4	don't think we have a lot more here.	
5	page. Is there anything on page 16?	5	To the best of your knowledge and	
6	Q. That is a fair question, sure. That	6	recollection, were all affiliate loans and all	
7	is it.	7	loans made to Mr. Dondero recorded on	
8	A. Okay. So it appears that that is	8	Highland's books and records as assets of	
9	the only note that is detailed in the notes in	9	Highland?	
10	the financial statement.	10	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form,	
11	Q. And you don't have any memory of any	11	asked and answered.	
12	other note other than the 2017 note, right,	12	A. To my knowledge, yes.	
13	being outstanding as of the end of the year?	13	Q. Okay. Can you recall any loan to	
14	A. I deal with thousands of	14	any affiliate or Mr. Dondero that was not	
15	transactions every year. I don't really have a	15	recorded on Highland's books and records as an	
16	very specific memory for what exactly was	16	asset?	
17	outstanding.	17	A. Like during my time as CFO? I don't	
18	MR. MORRIS: Why don't we take a	18	recall.	
19	break now. We've been going for a little	19	Q. How about after the time that you	
	while. It's 3:26. Let's come back at	20	were CFO? Did you recall that there was a loan	
20		21	by Highland to an affiliate or to Mr. Dondero	
21	3:40.			
21 22	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	22	that hadn't been previously recorded on	
21 22 23	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 3:26 p.m.	22 23	Highland's books as an asset?	
21 22 23 24	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 3:26 p.m. (Recess taken 3:26 p.m. to 3:39 p.m.)	22 23 24	Highland's books as an asset? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
21 22 23	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 3:26 p.m.	22 23	Highland's books as an asset?	

Page 226		Page 227
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	WATERHO	USE - 10-19-21
2 question. I left Highland as of – I'm not	is called operating res	sults?
3 aware of I left Highland in February	A. Yeah, that's t	he title of it.
4 probably the last day of February of 2021.	Q. Okay. And v	vas a report of operating
5 Q. Okay.	results prepared by H	lighland on a monthly basis
6 A. I'm not – I'm not aware of any –	during the time that y	ou served as CFO?
7 I'm not aware of anything past that date.	A. No.	
8 Q. Okay. While you were the CFO at	Q. Are you fami	liar with a document of
9 Highland, did Highland prepare in the ordinary	this type? And we ca	an certainly look at the
10 course of business a document that reported	next page or two to r	efresh your recollection.
11 operating results on a monthly basis?	A. I'm just looki	ng at the title. I
12 A. Yes.	don't really again, a	as I discussed before, I
13 Q. And are you generally familiar with	don't have any recor	ds or documents or emails
14 the monthly operating reports?	or appointments or a	nything that I was able to
15 A. Yeah. You are referring to the	use prior to prior to	this deposition, so
16 reports that we filed to the Court every month?	I'm doing the best I c	an.
17 Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking	Q. Okay. You	don't need to apologize.
18 you back to the pre-petition period. There was	I'm just asking you if	you are familiar with
19 a report that I have seen that I'm going to	the document called	Operating Results that was
20 show you, but I'm just asking for your	prepared on a month	nly basis at Highland?
21 knowledge.	MS. DEITSC	H-PEREZ: Object to the
22 MR. MORRIS: Let's put it up on the	form.	
23 screen, Exhibit 39.	Q. If you're not,	you're not.
24 (Exhibit 39 marked.)	A. I don't believ	e this was prepared on
25 Q. Do you see this is a document that	a monthly basis.	
Page 228		Page 229
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		USE - 10-19-21
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Okay. Do you see that this one	report that Highland p	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Okay. Do you see that this one3is – is dated February 2018?	report that Highland p significant items impa	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet?
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Okay. Do you see that this one3is - is dated February 2018?4A.Yes.	report that Highland p significant items impa A. A report that	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared.
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Okay. Do you see that this one3is - is dated February 2018?4A.Yes.5Q.Do you have do you believe	report that Highland p significant items impa A. A report that Q. Let me ask a	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. I better question: Did
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have do you believe</li> <li>6 have you ever seen a document that was</li> </ol>	report that Highland p significant items impa A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. better question: Did ports to the best of your
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have do you believe</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> </ol>	report that Highland p significant items impa A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. I better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have do you believe</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> </ol>	report that Highland p significant items impa A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. a better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet?
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have do you believe</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ol>	report that Highland p significant items impa A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? aland prepared a a
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is - is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have - do you believe</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>	report that Highland p significant items impa A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. I better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? Iland prepared a – a ge. And maybe I'm
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is – is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have – do you believe –</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you say that you</li> </ol>	report that Highland p significant items impa A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa getting – and – and p	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. a better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? aland prepared a – a ge. And maybe I'm maybe change names at one
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have do you believe</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you say that you</li> <li>don't believe it was produced on a monthly</li> </ol>	report that Highland p significant items impa A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa getting – and – and t time or maybe I'm just	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. better question: Did oorts to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? land prepared a a ge. And maybe I'm maybe change names at one st again, just
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is - is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have - do you believe</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you say that you</li> <li>don't believe it was produced on a monthly</li> <li>basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to</li> </ol>	report that Highland p significant items impar- A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa getting – and – and p time or maybe I'm jus misremembering – b	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? Iland prepared a – a ge. And maybe I'm maybe change names at one st – again, just put in that, yes, there is a
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have do you believe</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you say that you</li> <li>don't believe it was produced on a monthly</li> <li>basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to</li> <li>the best of your recollection?</li> </ol>	report that Highland p significant items impa A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa getting – and – and p time or maybe I'm juz misremembering – b page that would deta	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. a better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? aland prepared a a ge. And maybe I'm maybe change names at one st again, just put in that, yes, there is a all just changes in you
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is - is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have do you believe</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you say that you</li> <li>don't believe it was produced on a monthly</li> <li>basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to</li> <li>the best of your recollection?</li> <li>A. I believe it was prepared</li> </ol>	report that Highland p significant items impar- A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa getting – and – and p time or maybe I'm just misremembering – b page that would deta know, just changes r	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? Iland prepared a – a ge. And maybe I'm maybe change names at one st – again, just put in that, yes, there is a
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is - is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have - do you believe</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you say that you</li> <li>don't believe it was produced on a monthly</li> <li>basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to</li> <li>the best of your recollection?</li> <li>A. I believe it was prepared</li> <li>on an annual basis.</li> </ol>	report that Highland p significant items impar- A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa getting – and – and p time or maybe I'm ju: misremembering – to page that would deta know, just changes r balance sheet.	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. better question: Did oorts to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? illand prepared a a ge. And maybe I'm maybe change names at one st again, just out in that, yes, there is a all just changes in you month over month on the
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is – is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have – do you believe –</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you say that you</li> <li>don't believe it was produced on a monthly</li> <li>basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to</li> <li>the best of your recollection?</li> <li>A. I believe it was – it was prepared</li> <li>on an annual basis.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ol>	report that Highland p significant items impar- A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep- recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa getting – and – and p time or maybe I'm jus misremembering – b page that would deta know, just changes r balance sheet. Q. Okay. And	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. a better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? aland prepared a a ge. And maybe I'm maybe change names at one st again, just put in that, yes, there is a all just changes in you month over month on the maybe it is my fault.
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have do you believe</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you say that you</li> <li>don't believe it was produced on a monthly</li> <li>basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to</li> <li>the best of your recollection?</li> <li>A. I believe it was prepared</li> <li>on an annual basis.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we look at the next</li> </ol>	report that Highland p significant items impar- A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa getting – and – and n time or maybe I'm jus misremembering – k page that would deta know, just changes r balance sheet. Q. Okay. And Maybe I didn't know	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. a better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? aland prepared a a ge. And maybe I'm maybe change names at one st - again, just put in that, yes, there is a all just changes in you month over month on the maybe it is my fault. the proper name for it.
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Okay. Do you see that this one         3       is - is dated February 2018?         4       A. Yes.         5       Q. Do you have - do you believe         6       have you ever seen a document that was         7       purporting to report operating results for         8       Highland?         9       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.         10       A. Yes.         11       Q. Okay. And when you say that you         12       don't believe it was produced on a monthly         13       basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to         14       the best of your recollection?         15       A. I believe it was – it was prepared         16       on an annual basis.         17       Q. Okay.         18       MR. MORRIS: Can we look at the next         19       page.	report that Highland p significant items impar- A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa getting – and – and p time or maybe I'm just misremembering – b page that would deta know, just changes r balance sheet. Q. Okay. And Maybe I didn't know	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. a better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? aland prepared a a ge. And maybe I'm maybe change names at one st - again, just put in that, yes, there is a all just changes in you month over month on the maybe it is my fault. the proper name for it.
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Okay. Do you see that this one         3       is - is dated February 2018?         4       A. Yes.         5       Q. Do you have - do you believe         6       have you ever seen a document that was         7       purporting to report operating results for         8       Highland?         9       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.         10       A. Yes.         11       Q. Okay. And when you say that you         12       don't believe it was produced on a monthly         13       basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to         14       the best of your recollection?         15       A. I believe it was it was prepared         16       on an annual basis.         17       Q. Okay.         18       MR. MORRIS: Can we look at the next         19       page.         20       Q. Do you see that there is a statement	report that Highland p significant items impa- A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa getting – and – and p time or maybe I'm jus misremembering – k page that would deta know, just changes r balance sheet. Q. Okay. And Maybe I didn't know But let's use the phra package."	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. a better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? aland prepared a – a ge. And maybe I'm maybe change names at one st – again, just put in that, yes, there is a ali just changes in – you month over month on the maybe it is my fault. the proper name for it. ase "monthly close
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is – is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have – do you believe –</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you say that you</li> <li>don't believe it was produced on a monthly</li> <li>basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to</li> <li>the best of your recollection?</li> <li>A. I believe it was – it was prepared</li> <li>on an annual basis.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we look at the next</li> <li>page.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that there is a statement</li> <li>here called: Significant items impacting</li> </ul>	report that Highland p significant items impa- A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa getting – and – and p time or maybe I'm jus misremembering – b page that would deta know, just changes r balance sheet. Q. Okay. And Maybe I didn't know But let's use the phra package."	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. a better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? aland prepared a a ge. And maybe I'm maybe change names at one st again, just put in that, yes, there is a all just changes in you nonth over month on the maybe it is my fault. the proper name for it. ase "monthly close prepare a monthly close
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have do you believe</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you say that you</li> <li>don't believe it was produced on a monthly</li> <li>basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to</li> <li>the best of your recollection?</li> <li>A. I believe it was prepared</li> <li>on an annual basis.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we look at the next</li> <li>page.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that there is a statement</li> <li>here called: Significant items impacting</li> <li>HCMLP's balance sheet?</li> </ul>	report that Highland p significant items impa- A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa getting – and – and h time or maybe I'm jus misremembering – b page that would deta know, just changes r balance sheet. Q. Okay. And Maybe I didn't know But let's use the phra package." Did Highland package in the ordina	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. a better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? Iland prepared a a ge. And maybe I'm maybe change names at one st - again, just put in that, yes, there is a all just changes in you nonth over month on the maybe it is my fault. the proper name for it. ase "monthly close prepare a monthly close any course of business
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is – is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have – do you believe –</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you say that you</li> <li>don't believe it was produced on a monthly</li> <li>basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to</li> <li>the best of your recollection?</li> <li>A. I believe it was – it was prepared</li> <li>on an annual basis.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we look at the next</li> <li>page.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that there is a statement</li> <li>here called: Significant items impacting</li> <li>HCMLP's balance sheet?</li> <li>And it is dated February 2018.</li> </ul>	report that Highland p significant items impa- A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa getting – and – and p time or maybe I'm jus misremembering – k page that would deta know, just changes r balance sheet. Q. Okay. And Maybe I didn't know But let's use the phra package." Did Highland package in the ordina during the time that y	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? land prepared a – a ge. And maybe I'm maybe change names at one st – again, just put in that, yes, there is a ail just changes in – you nonth over month on the maybe it is my fault. the proper name for it. ase "monthly close ary course of business you served as CFO?
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is – is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have – do you believe –</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you say that you</li> <li>don't believe it was produced on a monthly</li> <li>basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to</li> <li>the best of your recollection?</li> <li>A. I believe it was – it was prepared</li> <li>on an annual basis.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we look at the next</li> <li>page.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that there is a statement</li> <li>here called: Significant items impacting</li> <li>HCMLP's balance sheet?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	report that Highland p significant items impa- A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa getting – and – and p time or maybe I'm jus misremembering – b page that would deta know, just changes r balance sheet. Q. Okay. And Maybe I didn't know But let's use the phra package." Did Highland package in the ordina during the time that y MS. DANDER	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. a better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? Iland prepared a a ge. And maybe I'm maybe change names at one st - again, just put in that, yes, there is a all just changes in you nonth over month on the maybe it is my fault. the proper name for it. ase "monthly close prepare a monthly close any course of business
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you see that this one</li> <li>is – is dated February 2018?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you have – do you believe –</li> <li>have you ever seen a document that was</li> <li>purporting to report operating results for</li> <li>Highland?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And when you say that you</li> <li>don't believe it was produced on a monthly</li> <li>basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to</li> <li>the best of your recollection?</li> <li>A. I believe it was prepared</li> <li>on an annual basis.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we look at the next</li> <li>page.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that there is a statement</li> <li>here called: Significant items impacting</li> <li>HCMLP's balance sheet?</li> <li>And it is dated February 2018.</li> </ul>	report that Highland p significant items impa- A. A report that Q. Let me ask a Highland prepare rep recollection that ident that impacted its bala A. Well, so High monthly close packa getting – and – and p time or maybe I'm jus misremembering – k page that would deta know, just changes r balance sheet. Q. Okay. And Maybe I didn't know But let's use the phra package." Did Highland package in the ordina during the time that y	USE - 10-19-21 prepared that identified acting the balance sheet? was prepared. better question: Did ports to the best of your ified significant items nce sheet? land prepared a – a ge. And maybe I'm maybe change names at one st – again, just put in that, yes, there is a ail just changes in – you nonth over month on the maybe it is my fault. the proper name for it. ase "monthly close ary course of business you served as CFO?

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-3 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 60 of 131

Dev. 000		Dama 004
Page 230	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 231
2 Q. And did the monthly close package	2 were exceptions to the practice?	
3 that Highland prepared include information	3 A. The practice meaning that they	
4 concerning significant items that impacted	4 sometimes lured them to me?	
5 Highland's balance sheet?	5 Q. That that was the expectation even	
6 A. Yes, it had a page like that is –	6 if circumstances prevented that from happening	
7 that is on the screen that detailed items	7 from time to time.	
8 like – of that nature.	8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
9 Q. And do you know who was there	9 form.	
10 anybody at Highland who was responsible for	10 A. I – I would say it started out that	
11 overseeing the preparation of the monthly	11 way but over the years it – it was not	
12 reporting package?	12 enforced.	
13 A. That would have been – again, it	13 Q. Okay. So you were – you reviewed	
14 varies over time during my tenure as CFO.	14 and approved monthly – monthly reporting	
15 It – it varied over – over time, but – but	15 packages for a certain period of time and then	
16 typically a – a corporate accounting manager.	16 over time you stopped doing that.	
17 Q. And who were the corporate	17 Do I have that right?	
18 accounting managers during your tenure as CFO?	18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
19 A. It would have been Dave Klos and	19 A. Yes, I mean, if you're talking about	
20 Kristin Hendrix.	20 a formal meeting where we sit down and go	
21 Q. And did the corporate accounting	21 through and approve it. I would say that was	
22 manager deliver to you drafts of the monthly	<ul><li>22 standard practice a decade – you know, early</li></ul>	
23 close package before it was finalized?	23 on. And as time went on that that that	
24 A. Sometimes.	24 practice wasn't followed.	
25 Q. Was that the practice even if there	25 Q. Okay.	
·		
Page 232 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 233
2 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even	2 don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing	
3 know if these were – these were sent to me	3 them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for –	
4 even in any capacity.	4 for a long time. But I believe the standard	
5 Q. What was the purpose of preparing	5 practice that was still being carried out.	
6 the monthly reporting package – withdrawn.	6 Q. Did you ever have any discussions	
7 What was the purpose of preparing	7 with the debtor's independent board concerning	
8 the monthly close package?	8 any promissory notes that were issued by any of	
9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	9 the affiliates or Mr. Dondero?	
10 form.	10 A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall	
11 A. The – the original purpose was so	11 specifically.	
12 that it would just – it would be a report that	12 Q. Did you speak with the independent	
13 was reviewed monthly with senior management.	13 board from time to time?	
14 Q. Who was included in the idea of	14 A. Yes, from – from – from time to	
15 senior management?	15 time I had discussions with the independent	
16 A. You know, I think originally when	16 board members, you know, either – either, you	
17 this was conceived that would have been like	17 know, by themselves or wholly, you know, as –	
18 Jim Dondero and Mark Okada.	18 as a – as a combined work.	
19 Q. Were monthly reporting – withdrawn.	19 Q. Okay. Before we talk about	
20 Were monthly close packages prepared	20 Mr. Seery, do you recall ever having a	
21 to the best of your knowledge until the time	21 conversation with Mr. Nelms or Mr. Dubel	
22 you left Highland?	22 concerning any promissory note that was	
23 A. To my knowledge – I don't know,	<ul><li>22 concerning any promissory note that was</li><li>23 rendered by one of the affiliates or</li></ul>	
24 actually. I mean, to my knowledge, I believe	24 Mr. Dondero to Highland?	
25 it was being – that was still being done. I	25 A. I don't recall any conversations	
	,	

Page 234	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 235
2 specifically.	2	Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Seery that you	
3 Q. Do you know if the topic was ever		ad any reason to believe that the amounts	
4 discussed, even if you don't remember it		eflected in the notes issued by the affiliates	
5 specifically?		nd Mr. Dondero were invalid for any reason?	
	6	A. I don't – I don't recall.	
	-		
7 A. It – it – it may have. I don't	7	Q. Did you tell Mr. Dondero – did you	
8 know. I don't recall.		ell Mr. Seery that you thought the promissory	
9 Q. Do you recall ever discussing any		otes issued by the advisors and Mr. Dondero	
10 promissory note issued by any of the affiliates		hat were outstanding as of the petition date	
11 or Mr. Dondero with James Seery?		vere assets of the estate?	
12 A. I don't – I don't recall	12	A. I don't recall having a specific	
13 specifically.		conversation about those – you know, those	
14 Q. Do you recall generally ever		notes outstanding as as of the petition date	
15 discussing the topic of promissory notes issued		peing assets on the estate. I mean, we put	
16 by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero to		ogether – you know, they're in the books and	
17 Highland with Mr. Seery?		ecords of the financial statements. I don't	
18 A. Nothing – nothing is really jumping		ecall having a specific conversation.	
19 out at me.	19	Q. Did you ever prepare any documents	
20 Q. Do you recall if you ever told		hat were delivered to Mr. Seery that concerned	
21 Mr. Seery that any of the affiliates or		he promissory notes issued by any of the	
22 Mr. Dondero didn't have an obligation to pay		affiliates or Mr. Dondero?	
23 all amounts due and owing under their notes?	23	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
24 A. I don't recall having that	24	A. Did I produce any that concerned	
25 conversation.	25 y	/ou mean did I just – did I give Mr. Seery	
Page 236			Page 237
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 237
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212anything that that said I have concerns over	1	vere filed with the bankruptcy court?	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that – that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3	vere filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them	Page 237
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       anything that that said I have concerns over	1 2 w 3	vere filed with the bankruptcy court?	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that – that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5	vere filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally. Q. Did people prepare them under your	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5	vere filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally.	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that – that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7	vere filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally. Q. Did people prepare them under your irection? A. Yes. There were members of the team	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7	vere filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally. Q. Did people prepare them under your irection?	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that – that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 k	vere filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally. Q. Did people prepare them under your irrection? A. Yes. There were members of the team nat prepared them, and they worked in – you now, there were members of DSI that were	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that – that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 ki 10 ir	vere filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally. Q. Did people prepare them under your irrection? A. Yes. There were members of the team nat prepared them, and they worked in – you now, there were members of DSI that were nvolved in the process as well.	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that – that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall if I did or not. I</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 ki 10 ir 11	vere filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't I didn't prepare them ersonally. Q. Did people prepare them under your irection? A. Yes. There were members of the team nat prepared them, and they worked in you now, there were members of DSI that were nvolved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall if I did or not. I</li> <li>don't - I don't remember. I mean, you have my</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 kr 10 ir 11 12 E	vere filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally. Q. Did people prepare them under your irrection? A. Yes. There were members of the team hat prepared them, and they worked in – you now, there were members of DSI that were nvolved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall if I did or not. I</li> <li>don't - I don't remember. I mean, you have my</li> <li>emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't -</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 ki 10 ir 11 12 E 13 ir	<ul> <li>vere filed with the bankruptcy court?</li> <li>A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally.</li> <li>Q. Did people prepare them under your irrection?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were members of the team nat prepared them, and they worked in – you now, there were members of DSI that were nvolved in the process as well.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the nformation that they used to prepare the</li> </ul>	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that – that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall if I did or not. I</li> <li>don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my</li> <li>emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't –</li> <li>I don't know.</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 ki 10 ir 11 12 C 13 ir 14 b	vere filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't I didn't prepare them ersonally. Q. Did people prepare them under your irection? A. Yes. There were members of the team nat prepared them, and they worked in you now, there were members of DSI that were nvolved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the nformation that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings?	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall if I did or not. I</li> <li>don't - I don't remember. I mean, you have my</li> <li>emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't -</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 ki 10 ir 11 12 E 13 ir	<ul> <li>vere filed with the bankruptcy court?</li> <li>A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally.</li> <li>Q. Did people prepare them under your irrection?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were members of the team nat prepared them, and they worked in – you now, there were members of DSI that were nvolved in the process as well.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the nformation that they used to prepare the</li> </ul>	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that – that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall if I did or not. I</li> <li>don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my</li> <li>emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't –</li> <li>I don't know.</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 kr 10 ir 11 12 C 13 ir 14 b 15	vere filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't I didn't prepare them ersonally. Q. Did people prepare them under your irection? A. Yes. There were members of the team nat prepared them, and they worked in you now, there were members of DSI that were nvolved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the nformation that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings?	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall if I did or not. I</li> <li>don't I don't remember. I mean, you have my</li> <li>emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't</li> <li>I don't know.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 kr 10 ir 11 12 C 13 ir 14 b 15	<ul> <li>vere filed with the bankruptcy court?</li> <li>A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally.</li> <li>Q. Did people prepare them under your irrection?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were members of the team hat prepared them, and they worked in – you now, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings?</li> <li>A. Yes. The books and records were</li> </ul>	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall if I did or not. I</li> <li>don't I don't remember. I mean, you have my</li> <li>emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't</li> <li>I don't know.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the</li> <li>document that has been premarked as Exhibit</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 ki 10 ir 11 ir 12 E 13 ir 14 b 15 16 w 17	<ul> <li>vere filed with the bankruptcy court?</li> <li>A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally.</li> <li>Q. Did people prepare them under your irrection?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were members of the team nat prepared them, and they worked in – you now, there were members of DSI that were nvolved in the process as well.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the nformation that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings?</li> <li>A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel.</li> </ul>	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that – that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall if I did or not. I</li> <li>don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my</li> <li>emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't –</li> <li>I don't know.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the</li> <li>document that has been premarked as Exhibit</li> <li>39?</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 k 10 ir 11 12 E 13 ir 14 b 15 16 w 17 18 h	<ul> <li>vere filed with the bankruptcy court?</li> <li>A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally.</li> <li>Q. Did people prepare them under your irrection?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were members of the team nat prepared them, and they worked in – you now, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings?</li> <li>A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen</li> </ul>	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall if I did or not. I</li> <li>don't - I don't remember. I mean, you have my</li> <li>emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't -</li> <li>I don't know.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the</li> <li>document that has been premarked as Exhibit</li> <li>39?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: John, that is this</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 kr 10 ir 11 l 12 C 13 ir 14 b 15 16 w 17 18 h 19 a	<ul> <li>vere filed with the bankruptcy court?</li> <li>A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally.</li> <li>Q. Did people prepare them under your irrection?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were members of the team hat prepared them, and they worked in – you now, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings?</li> <li>A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen inere, there is a document that we have marked</li> </ul>	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall if I did or not. I</li> <li>don't I don't remember. I mean, you have my</li> <li>emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't</li> <li>I don't know.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the</li> <li>document that has been premarked as Exhibit</li> <li>39?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: John, that is this</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 kr 10 ir 11 l 12 C 13 ir 14 b 15 16 w 17 18 h 19 a	<ul> <li>vere filed with the bankruptcy court?</li> <li>A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally.</li> <li>Q. Did people prepare them under your irrection?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were members of the team hat prepared them, and they worked in – you now, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings?</li> <li>A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen here, there is a document that we have marked as Exhibit 40 that is – that is titled Summary</li> </ul>	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that – that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>I don't recall if I did or not. I</li> <li>don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my</li> <li>emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't –</li> <li>I don't know.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the</li> <li>document that has been premarked as Exhibit</li> <li>39?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: John, that is this</li> <li>document, isn't it?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Oh, yeah, it might be,</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 k 10 ir 11 ir 12 E 13 ir 14 b 15 v 15 v 17 h 18 h 19 a 20 c	<ul> <li>vere filed with the bankruptcy court?</li> <li>A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally.</li> <li>Q. Did people prepare them under your irrection?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were members of the team nat prepared them, and they worked in – you now, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings?</li> <li>A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen inere, there is a document that we have marked as Exhibit 40 that is – that is titled Summary of Assets and Liabilities?</li> </ul>	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that – that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall if I did or not. I</li> <li>don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my</li> <li>emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't –</li> <li>I don't know.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the</li> <li>document that has been premarked as Exhibit</li> <li>39?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: John, that is this</li> <li>document, isn't it?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Oh, yeah, it might be,</li> <li>as a matter of fact. Let's go to Number</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 kr 10 ir 11 12 E 13 ir 14 b 15 w 17 18 h 19 a 20 c 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them</li> <li>A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them</li> <li>ersonally.</li> <li>Q. Did people prepare them under your</li> <li>irrection?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were members of the team</li> <li>nat prepared them, and they worked in – you</li> <li>now, there were members of DSI that were</li> <li>nvolved in the process as well.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did</li> <li>DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the</li> <li>nformation that they used to prepare the</li> <li>bankruptcy filings?</li> <li>A. Yes. The books and records were</li> <li>with the Highland personnel.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen</li> <li>here, there is a document that we have marked</li> <li>as Exhibit 40 that is – that is titled Summary</li> <li>of Assets and Liabilities?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> </ul>	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that – that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall if I did or not. I</li> <li>don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my</li> <li>emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't –</li> <li>I don't know.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the</li> <li>document that has been premarked as Exhibit</li> <li>39?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: John, that is this</li> <li>document, isn't it?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Oh, yeah, it might be,</li> <li>as a matter of fact. Let's go to Number</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 ki 10 ir 11 12 E 13 ir 14 b 15 v 15 v 16 v 17 18 h 19 a 20 c 21 22 a 23 a	<ul> <li>A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them</li> <li>A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them</li> <li>ersonally.</li> <li>Q. Did people prepare them under your</li> <li>irrection?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were members of the team</li> <li>hat prepared them, and they worked in – you</li> <li>now, there were members of DSI that were</li> <li>nvolved in the process as well.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did</li> <li>DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the</li> <li>nformation that they used to prepare the</li> <li>vankruptcy filings?</li> <li>A. Yes. The books and records were</li> <li>with the Highland personnel.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen</li> <li>here, there is a document that we have marked</li> <li>as Exhibit 40 that is – that is titled Summary</li> <li>of Assets and Liabilities?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you recall reviewing</li> </ul>	Page 237
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>anything that – that said I have concerns over</li> <li>these notes?</li> <li>Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was</li> <li>my question.</li> <li>Did you ever give Mr. Seery any</li> <li>information concerning any of the notes that</li> <li>were issued by any of the affiliates or</li> <li>Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. I don't recall if I did or not. I</li> <li>don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my</li> <li>emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't –</li> <li>I don't know.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the</li> <li>document that has been premarked as Exhibit</li> <li>39?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: John, that is this</li> <li>document, isn't it?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Oh, yeah, it might be,</li> <li>as a matter of fact. Let's go to Number</li> <li>(Exhibit 40 marked.)</li> </ol>	1 2 w 3 4 p 5 6 di 7 8 th 9 ki 10 ir 11 12 E 13 ir 14 b 15 v 15 v 16 v 17 18 h 19 a 20 c 21 22 a 23 a	<ul> <li>A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them ersonally.</li> <li>Q. Did people prepare them under your irection?</li> <li>A. Yes. There were members of the team nat prepared them, and they worked in – you now, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well.</li> <li>Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings?</li> <li>A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen here, there is a document that we have marked as Exhibit 40 that is – that is titled Summary of Assets and Liabilities?</li> <li>A. Uh-huh.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you recall reviewing any summary of assets and liabilities before it</li> </ul>	Page 237

Page 238	Page	230
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	239
2 high level.	2 Mr. Dondero, among others; is that right?	
3 Q. And did you believe that it was	3 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
4 accurate at the time it was filed?	4 A. Yes. The affiliate notes and the	
5 A. I didn't have any other reason to	5 Dondero notes were in this amount, but they	
6 believe otherwise.	6 weren't – again, like you said, and among	
7 Q. Okay. Do you see that the total	7 others.	
8 value of all properties listed in Part 1 is	8 Q. Okay. We will look at the	
9 approximately \$410 million?	9 specificity because I'm not playing gaming	
10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	10 here, but do you know if the \$150 million of	
11 form.	11 notes receivable was included within the	
12 A. Yes, it is in 1c.	12 \$410 million of total value of the debtor's	
13 Q. Yes.	13 assets?	
14 A. Yes, I see that.	14 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
15 Q. Okay. If we go to the second page,	15 A. I – I – I believe so.	
16 now I think I may just have excerpts here, just	16 Q. Right. And so is it fair to say	
17 so everybody is clear, but if we scroll down to	17 that as of the date this document was prepared,	
18 the second page, you will see that there is	18 the notes receivable were more than one-third	
19 a – a little further. There you go. You will	19 of the value of the debtor's assets?	
20 see there is a reference to Item 71, notes	20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
21 receivable.	21 form.	
22 Do you see that?	22 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	
23 A. Ido.	A. Again, if you are just taking the	
24 Q. And that was a reference to the	24 math, 150 divided by whatever the \$400 million	
25 notes receivable from the affiliates and	25 number is above, then yes, you get there.	
Page 240	Page	241
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 Q. Okay.	2 Highland?	
<ol> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 Highland?</li><li>3 A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li></ul>	
<ol> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 Highland?</li> <li>3 A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>4 on – on assets.</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers –</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 Highland?</li> <li>3 A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>4 on – on assets.</li> <li>5 Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 Highland?</li> <li>3 A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>4 on - on assets.</li> <li>5 Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>6 analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 Q. Okay.</li> <li>3 A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>4 filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>5 right, but, you know, I mean, numbers</li> <li>6 numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>7 Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 Highland?</li> <li>3 A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>4 on – on assets.</li> <li>5 Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>6 analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>7 were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on – on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers –</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on - on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers –</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on – on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> <li>A. That is what we had in our books and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on - on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> <li>prepare impairment analyses?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers –</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> <li>A. That is what we had in our books and</li> <li>records.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on - on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> <li>prepare impairment analyses?</li> <li>A. As - as - if you're preparing</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers –</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> <li>A. That is what we had in our books and</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe as the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on – on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> <li>prepare impairment analyses?</li> <li>A. As – as – if you're preparing</li> <li>financials in accordance with GAAP, generally</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> <li>A. That is what we had in our books and</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe as the</li> <li>CFO that the books and records accurately</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on - on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> <li>prepare impairment analyses?</li> <li>A. As - as - if you're preparing</li> <li>financials in accordance with GAAP, generally</li> <li>accepted accounting principles, if you're</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers –</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> <li>A. That is what we had in our books and</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe as the</li> <li>CFO that the books and records accurately</li> <li>reported the then value of the debtor's assets?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on - on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> <li>prepare impairment analyses?</li> <li>A. As - as - if you're preparing</li> <li>financials in accordance with GAAP, generally</li> <li>accepted accounting principles, if you're</li> <li>preparing full GAAP financials, you should be</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> <li>A. That is what we had in our books and</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe as the</li> <li>CFO that the books and records accurately</li> <li>reported the then value of the debtor's assets?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on – on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> <li>prepare impairment analyses?</li> <li>A. As – as – if you're preparing</li> <li>financials in accordance with GAAP, generally</li> <li>accepted accounting principles, if you're</li> <li>preparing full GAAP financials, you should be</li> <li>preparing – you should be undergoing on a</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers –</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> <li>A. That is what we had in our books and</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe as the</li> <li>CFO that the books and records accurately</li> <li>reported the then value of the debtor's assets?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. We didn't – as part of this filing,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on – on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> <li>prepare impairment analyses?</li> <li>A. As – as – if you're preparing</li> <li>financials in accordance with GAAP, generally</li> <li>accepted accounting principles, if you're</li> <li>preparing full GAAP financials, you should be</li> <li>preparing – you should be undergoing on a</li> <li>periodic basis any fair market value</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers –</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> <li>A. That is what we had in our books and</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe as the</li> <li>CFO that the books and records accurately</li> <li>reported the then value of the debtor's assets?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. We didn't – as part of this filing,</li> <li>there was no fair value measurement or</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on - on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> <li>prepare impairment analyses?</li> <li>A. As - as - if you're preparing</li> <li>financials in accordance with GAAP, generally</li> <li>accepted accounting principles, if you're</li> <li>preparing full GAAP financials, you should be</li> <li>preparing - you should be undergoing on a</li> <li>periodic basis any fair market value</li> <li>adjustments to assets.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> <li>A. That is what we had in our books and</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe as the</li> <li>CFO that the books and records accurately</li> <li>reported the then value of the debtor's assets?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. We didn't - as part of this filing,</li> <li>there was no fair value measurement or</li> <li>anything. These were just accounting entries</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on – on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> <li>prepare impairment analyses?</li> <li>A. As – as – if you're preparing</li> <li>financials in accordance with GAAP, generally</li> <li>accepted accounting principles, if you're</li> <li>preparing full GAAP financials, you should be</li> <li>preparing – you should be undergoing on a</li> <li>periodic basis any fair market value</li> <li>adjustments to assets.</li> <li>As I was instructed at the time of</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> <li>A. That is what we had in our books and</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe as the</li> <li>CFO that the books and records accurately</li> <li>reported the then value of the debtor's assets?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. We didn't - as part of this filing,</li> <li>there was no fair value measurement or</li> <li>anything. These were just accounting entries</li> <li>for the promissory notes. There is no analysis</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on – on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> <li>prepare impairment analyses?</li> <li>A. As – as – if you're preparing</li> <li>financials in accordance with GAAP, generally</li> <li>accepted accounting principles, if you're</li> <li>preparing full GAAP financials, you should be</li> <li>preparing – you should be undergoing on a</li> <li>periodic basis any fair market value</li> <li>adjustments to assets.</li> <li>As I was instructed at the time of</li> <li>the petition date, we weren't producing GAAP</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers –</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> <li>A. That is what we had in our books and</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe as the</li> <li>CFO that the books and records accurately</li> <li>reported the then value of the debtor's assets?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. We didn't – as part of this filing,</li> <li>there was no fair value measurement or</li> <li>anything. These were just accounting entries</li> <li>for the promissory notes. There is no analysis</li> <li>for impairment or fair market value adjustments</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on – on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> <li>prepare impairment analyses?</li> <li>A. As – as – if you're preparing</li> <li>financials in accordance with GAAP, generally</li> <li>accepted accounting principles, if you're</li> <li>preparing full GAAP financials, you should be</li> <li>preparing – you should be undergoing on a</li> <li>periodic basis any fair market value</li> <li>adjustments to assets.</li> <li>As I was instructed at the time of</li> <li>the petition date, we weren't producing GAAP</li> <li>financials. So this wasn't something I was</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> <li>A. That is what we had in our books and</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe as the</li> <li>CFO that the books and records accurately</li> <li>reported the then value of the debtor's assets?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. We didn't - as part of this filing,</li> <li>there was no fair value measurement or</li> <li>anything. These were just accounting entries</li> <li>for impairment or fair market value adjustments</li> <li>or anything of that nature. This is purely</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on – on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> <li>prepare impairment analyses?</li> <li>A. As – as – if you're preparing</li> <li>financials in accordance with GAAP, generally</li> <li>accepted accounting principles, if you're</li> <li>preparing full GAAP financials, you should be</li> <li>preparing – you should be undergoing on a</li> <li>periodic basis any fair market value</li> <li>adjustments to assets.</li> <li>As I was instructed at the time of</li> <li>the petition date, we weren't producing GAAP</li> <li>financials. So this wasn't something I was</li> <li>worried about nor concerned about.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers –</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> <li>A. That is what we had in our books and</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe as the</li> <li>CFO that the books and records accurately</li> <li>reported the then value of the debtor's assets?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. We didn't – as part of this filing,</li> <li>there was no fair value measurement or</li> <li>anything. These were just accounting entries</li> <li>for impairment or fair market value adjustments</li> <li>or anything of that nature. This is purely</li> <li>taking numbers and putting them in our form.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on – on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> <li>prepare impairment analyses?</li> <li>A. As – as – if you're preparing</li> <li>financials in accordance with GAAP, generally</li> <li>accepted accounting principles, if you're</li> <li>preparing full GAAP financials, you should be</li> <li>preparing – you should be undergoing on a</li> <li>periodic basis any fair market value</li> <li>adjustments to assets.</li> <li>As I was instructed at the time of</li> <li>the petition date, we weren't producing GAAP</li> <li>financials. So this wasn't something I was</li> <li>worried about nor concerned about.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were NexPoint and HCMFA and</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers –</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> <li>A. That is what we had in our books and</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe as the</li> <li>CFO that the books and records accurately</li> <li>reported the then value of the debtor's assets?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. We didn't – as part of this filing,</li> <li>there was no fair value measurement or</li> <li>anything. These were just accounting entries</li> <li>for impairment or fair market value adjustments</li> <li>or anything of that nature. This is purely</li> <li>taking numbers and putting them in our form.</li> <li>Q. Did you do any impairment analysis</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on – on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> <li>prepare impairment analyses?</li> <li>A. As – as – if you're preparing</li> <li>financials in accordance with GAAP, generally</li> <li>accepted accounting principles, if you're</li> <li>preparing full GAAP financials, you should be</li> <li>preparing – you should be undergoing on a</li> <li>periodic basis any fair market value</li> <li>adjustments to assets.</li> <li>As I was instructed at the time of</li> <li>the petition date, we weren't producing GAAP</li> <li>financials. So this wasn't something I was</li> <li>worried about nor concerned about.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were NexPoint and HCMFA and</li> <li>Highland's audited financial statements</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. You know, but as of the time of this</li> <li>filing, that is what was put in this filing,</li> <li>right, but, you know, I mean, numbers –</li> <li>numbers change, facts and circumstances change.</li> <li>Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the</li> <li>debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this</li> <li>number accurately reflected the total amount</li> <li>due under the notes receivable?</li> <li>A. That is what we had in our books and</li> <li>records.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you believe as the</li> <li>CFO that the books and records accurately</li> <li>reported the then value of the debtor's assets?</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</li> <li>A. We didn't – as part of this filing,</li> <li>there was no fair value measurement or</li> <li>anything. These were just accounting entries</li> <li>for impairment or fair market value adjustments</li> <li>or anything of that nature. This is purely</li> <li>taking numbers and putting them in our form.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Highland?</li> <li>A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis</li> <li>on – on assets.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment</li> <li>analysis on any of the promissory notes that</li> <li>were given to Highland by any of the affiliates</li> <li>or Mr. Dondero?</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Under what circumstances do you</li> <li>prepare impairment analyses?</li> <li>A. As – as – if you're preparing</li> <li>financials in accordance with GAAP, generally</li> <li>accepted accounting principles, if you're</li> <li>preparing full GAAP financials, you should be</li> <li>preparing – you should be undergoing on a</li> <li>periodic basis any fair market value</li> <li>adjustments to assets.</li> <li>As I was instructed at the time of</li> <li>the petition date, we weren't producing GAAP</li> <li>financials. So this wasn't something I was</li> <li>worried about nor concerned about.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Were NexPoint and HCMFA and</li> </ul>	

Page 242 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 243 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 A. The audited financials – yes,	2 A. Correct.
3 audited financial statements are prepared in	3 Q. Okay. To the best of your
4 accordance with GAAP.	4 knowledge, as Highland's CFO, did Highland ever
5 Q. Do you recall whether any of	5 make any fair market value adjustments to any
6 Highland or HCMFA or NexPoint ever made a fair	6 of the promissory notes that were carried on
7 market value adjustment to any of the notes	7 its balance sheet and that were issued by any
8 issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero	8 of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero?
9 to Highland?	9 A. I think I answered that question
10 A. I do not recall that happening, but	10 earlier. I don't recall doing that for any of
11 the – it is because under – under GAAP,	11 the – those – those notes. So it would have
12 the – the treatment of liabilities is	12 included the audit for the – for the 2018
13 different than assets.	13 period.
14 Q. Okay. So then let's just focus on	14 Q. Okay.
15 Highland's audited financial statements.	15 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next
16 The last audited financial	16 page.
17 statements were for the period ending December	17 Q. Do you see this is a note a list of
18 31st, 2018; correct?	18 notes receivable? Do you see that?
19 A. That is my understanding.	19 A. Yes, I do.
20 Q. And you had – you had an obligation	20 Q. And do you see that this ties into
21 to disclose anything to PricewaterhouseCoopers	21 the page that we were just looking?
22 concerning any subsequent events between the	22 A. I'm sorry, can we go back to the
23 end of 2018 and June 3rd, 2019; correct?	23 prior page? I mean, it was at 150,331,222. It
24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	24 was on the prior page. Next page. Yes, it
25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form.	25 agrees.
Page 244 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 245 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that	2 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter
3 schedule. So this was the face amount of all	3 Mountain Investment Trust note?
4 of the promissory notes that Highland held at	4 A. I was not.
5 the time this document was filed with the	5 Q. Do you know why Highland decided to
6 bankruptcy court; right?	6 reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment
7 A. Yes.	7 Trust note?
8 Q. There is a footnote there that says,	8 A. I don't know yet decision was made.
9 doubtful or uncollectible accounts are	9 I believe it was made by someone at DSI.
10 evaluated at year-end.	10 Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know
11 Do you see that?	11 why.
12 A. Ido.	12 Did you ever ask anyone why they
13 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that as	13 reserved for that particular note?
14 of the year-end 2018, the year before this,	14 A. I don't recall.
15 that to the extent any of these notes were	15 Q. Do you know whether the debtor
16 outstanding at that time, they weren't deemed	16 reserved for any other note on this list during
17 to be doubtful or uncollectible?	17 the bankruptcy?
18 A. Yeah. For the 2018 audit, there	18 A. Again, I don't recall. I wasn't
19 weren't any – there weren't any adjustments to	19 part of any process of – again, like any fair
20 fair value.	20 value adjustments or anything to that degree.
21 Q. Okay. And during the bankruptcy, do	21 Like I said, a lot of that was done by DSI and
22 you recall that Highland subsequently reserved	22 it was kind of out of our court.
23 for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note?	23 Q. Okay. Do you know if any note
24 A. Yes.	24 receivable on this list was ever deemed by the
12. //. 100.	
25 Q. Why did Highland – were you	25 debtor to be doubtful or uncollectible?

1	Page 246 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 247
	I don't – I don't have a	2	A. I don't recall. I mean, it may have	
	ection of every filing, so I don't know.	3	happened, you know, again, when we initially	
1	. Did you ever have a discussion with	4	getting DSI up to speed and going through	
1	ody at any time about whether any of the	5	financials, it may have happened, but I don't	
	s receivable on this list should be deemed	6	recall specifically.	
	doubtful or uncollectible?	7	Q. While you were the CFO of Highland	
	No. As I previously stated, we were	8	during the time that the company was in	
1	ve didn't have to keep GAAP financials.	9	bankruptcy, did you have any reason to believe	
1	veren't having – you know, there is no	10	that any of the notes receivable on this list	
1	erlying audits being performed, so I mean,	11	other than Hunter Mountain Investment Trust	
1	sn't something I worried about.	12	should have been characterized as doubtful or	
13	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	13	uncollectible?	
	). Did you ever have a conversation	14	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
1	anybody about any of the notes receivable	15	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form.	
1	whether they should be deemed to be	16	A. I didn't know. I didn't form an	
1	otful or uncollectible? Did you have the	17	opinion. Bankruptcy was new to me. It still	
1	versation, yes or no?	18	is new to me, even after going through this.	
19	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	19	So I really didn't know what to expect nor	
1	. I don't recall.	20	really – you know, I didn't know.	
	0. Do you recall ever telling anybody	21	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	
1	you believed any of the notes receivable	22	Q. During the period of Highland's	
· ·	is list should be doubtful – should be	23	bankruptcy when you were serving as CFO, did	
	ned to be doubtful or uncollectible?	24	you have any reason to believe any of the notes	
25	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	25	on this list were doubtful or uncollectible?	
	,			
1	D 040			D 040
1	Page 248 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 249
1 2		1 2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 receivable on this list were doubtful or	Page 249
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the			Page 249
2 3 fift	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	2	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible?	Page 249
2 3 fift	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the	2 3	receivable on this list were doubtful or	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm.	2 3 4	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if <u>y</u>	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike,	2 3 4 5	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if <u>y</u>	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not	2 3 4 5 6	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially.	Page 249
2 3 fiff 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not nswering the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that?	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not nswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times,	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not hswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering e question, you just didn't like it, like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th 10 tr 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not hswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering e question, you just didn't like it, like he answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th 10 th 11 12 C	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not nswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering e question, you just didn't like it, like he answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot	Page 249
2 3 fiff 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th 10 th 11 12 C 13 A	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not hswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering e question, you just didn't like it, like he answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. 2. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th 10 th 11 12 C 13 A 14 myria	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not hswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering e question, you just didn't like it, like he answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. 0. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. . Again, I don't – we brought up a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th 10 th 11 12 C 13 A 14 myria 15 case	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not nswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering e question, you just didn't like it, like he answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. Q. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. Again, I don't – we brought up a ad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked –	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th 10 th 11 12 C 13 A 14 myria 15 case 16 but, a	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not aswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering e question, you just didn't like it, like he answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. a. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A. Again, I don't – we brought up a ad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy a. I don't recall if this was one of them,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th 10 th 11 12 C 13 A 14 myria 15 case 16 but, a 17 could 18 statu	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not aswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering e question, you just didn't like it, like the answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. a. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A. Again, I don't – we brought up a ad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy ad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy ad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy be I don't recall if this was one of them, again, there are a lot of things we dn't change. Even, you know, I was told as quo, blah, blah, right, there is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was –	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th 10 th 11 12 CC 13 A 14 myria 15 case 16 but, a 17 could 18 statu 19 stay,	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not hswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering e question, you just didn't like it, like he answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. 0. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. Again, I don't – we brought up a ad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy e. I don't recall if this was one of them, again, there are a lot of things we dh't change. Even, you know, I was told is quo, blah, blah, right, there is a you can't – you know, I don't recall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait. Excuse me. Mr. Morris, you did not let him finish his answer.	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th 10 th 11 12 CC 13 A 14 myria 15 case 16 but, a 17 could 18 statu 19 stay,	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not aswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering e question, you just didn't like it, like the answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. a. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A. Again, I don't – we brought up a ad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy ad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy ad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy be I don't recall if this was one of them, again, there are a lot of things we dn't change. Even, you know, I was told as quo, blah, blah, right, there is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait. Excuse me. Mr. Morris, you did not let him finish his answer. A. I spoke – we had – we were	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th 10 tr 11 12 C 13 A 14 myria 15 case 16 but, a 17 could 18 statu 19 stay, 20 spec 21 happ	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not hswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering e question, you just didn't like it, like he answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. 0. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. 1. Again, I don't – we brought up a ad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy 2. I don't recall if this was one of them, again, there are a lot of things we dn't change. Even, you know, I was told is quo, blah, blah, blah, right, there is a you can't – you know, I don't recall ifically, but that doesn't mean it didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait. Excuse me. Mr. Morris, you did not let him finish his answer. A. I spoke – we had – we were bringing Fred Karesa and Brad Sharp (phonetic)	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th 10 th 11 12 CC 13 A 14 myria 15 case 16 but, a 17 could 18 statu 19 stay, 20 spec 21 happ 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not hswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering e question, you just didn't like it, like he answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. 0. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. 1. Again, I don't – we brought up a ad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy 2. I don't recall if this was one of them, again, there are a lot of things we dn't change. Even, you know, I was told is quo, blah, blah, right, there is a you can't – you know, I don't recall ifically, but that doesn't mean it didn't ben. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait. Excuse me. Mr. Morris, you did not let him finish his answer. A. I spoke – we had – we were bringing Fred Karesa and Brad Sharp (phonetic) up to speed on all of these items, contracts,	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th 10 th 11 12 CC 13 A 14 myria 15 case 16 but, a 17 could 18 statu 19 stay, 20 spec 21 happ 22 23 C	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not hswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering e question, you just didn't like it, like he answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. 0. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A gain, I don't – we brought up a ad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy a I don't recall if this was one of them, again, there are a lot of things we dn't change. Even, you know, I was told is quo, blah, blah, right, there is a you can't – you know, I don't recall ifically, but that doesn't mean it didn't pen. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. 0. During the time that Highland was in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait. Excuse me. Mr. Morris, you did not let him finish his answer. A. I spoke – we had – we were bringing Fred Karesa and Brad Sharp (phonetic) up to speed on all of these items, contracts, and investments and going through – we had	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th 10 tr 11 12 C 13 A 14 myria 15 case 16 but, a 17 could 18 statu 19 stay, 20 spec 21 happ 22 23 C 24 bank	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not nswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering e question, you just didn't like it, like ne answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. 0. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. 1. Again, I don't – we brought up a ad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy 2. I don't recall if this was one of them, again, there are a lot of things we dn't change. Even, you know, I was told is quo, blah, blah, blah, right, there is a you can't – you know, I don't recall ifically, but that doesn't mean it didn't ben. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. 0. During the time that Highland was in gruptcy and you served as CFO, did you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait. Excuse me. Mr. Morris, you did not let him finish his answer. A. I spoke – we had – we were bringing Fred Karesa and Brad Sharp (phonetic) up to speed on all of these items, contracts, and investments and going through – we had hours and hours of discussion. And	Page 249
2 3 fift 4 fo 5 6 if y 7 ar 8 9 th 10 tr 11 12 CC 13 A 14 myria 15 case 16 but, a 17 could 18 statu 19 stay, 20 spec 21 happ 22 23 C 24 bank	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the th time you've asked it. Object to the rm. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, you haven't noticed, because he's not hswering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering e question, you just didn't like it, like he answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. 0. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A gain, I don't – we brought up a ad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy a I don't recall if this was one of them, again, there are a lot of things we dn't change. Even, you know, I was told is quo, blah, blah, right, there is a you can't – you know, I don't recall ifically, but that doesn't mean it didn't pen. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. 0. During the time that Highland was in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait. Excuse me. Mr. Morris, you did not let him finish his answer. A. I spoke – we had – we were bringing Fred Karesa and Brad Sharp (phonetic) up to speed on all of these items, contracts, and investments and going through – we had	Page 249

1         WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         Page 231           2         Orec Mole, there, four times with -you         2         to tell with you to do. Do you have -           2         Orec Mole, there, four times with -you         2         to tell with you to do. Do you have -           3         No. DMADERAU. Coold.         MS. DMADERAU. Coold.         4           4         to any any coold coold with a subscription.         5         them that they and cold coold are values, do           6         MR. MORRIS. Cooldy at DSI         8         notes recovable on this gas were doubtid or           7         and with you belowed any of the notes necelvable         9         uncollectible?           10         on this take were doubtid or         11         6         MS. DANDEREAU. Coglect of them           11         A         Potentially to bit them or         13         A         Incellable?           10         oncidentially to bit them or         13         A         Incellable?         10           11         box oncidentially to bit them or         13         A         Incellable?         10           12         potentially to bit them and it is         20         Q. Do you mole any costasions at all         21           13         A         Potentialy you bid were <td< th=""><th>_</th><th>B. 050</th><th></th><th></th><th>D 051</th></td<>	_	B. 050			D 051
is how, Imean, we -I don't -I don't remember         3         MS: DANDERAU: Good.           4         the sum culmitation of all these discussions.         4         0. Other than - other than hilling           5         They all kind of blend together.         5         them that they should look at the values, do           6         MR: MORRIS: Okay, I move to sitile         6         you have any recollection whatsoever of ever           7         and load ty one more time.         7         having toid any of the notes receivable         9           9         that you believed any of the notes receivable         9         uncollectible?         10         MS: DANDENEAU: Object to the           11         form.         11         form.         11         form.         11           12         A. Potentially, told them or         13         A. Incential reading general discussions           14         potentially they were doubtiful or unolectible?         15         would have included these — hese notes           15         A. Potentially, told them not that we         16         receit having discussion at all           10         needo told bot the value of these — of         17         Q. Okay.           16         needo told bot the value of these — of         18         N. I contrecal specidically where <t< td=""><td>1</td><td>Page 250 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</td><td>1</td><td>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</td><td>Page 251</td></t<>	1	Page 250 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 251
is how, Imean, we -I don't -I don't remember         3         MS: DANDERAU: Good.           4         the sum culmitation of all these discussions.         4         0. Other than - other than hilling           5         They all kind of blend together.         5         them that they should look at the values, do           6         MR: MORRIS: Okay, I move to sitile         6         you have any recollection whatsoever of ever           7         and load ty one more time.         7         having toid any of the notes receivable         9           9         that you believed any of the notes receivable         9         uncollectible?         10         MS: DANDENEAU: Object to the           11         form.         11         form.         11         form.         11           12         A. Potentially, told them or         13         A. Incential reading general discussions           14         potentially they were doubtiful or unolectible?         15         would have included these — hese notes           15         A. Potentially, told them not that we         16         receit having discussion at all           10         needo told bot the value of these — of         17         Q. Okay.           16         needo told bot the value of these — of         18         N. I contrecal specidically where <t< td=""><td>2</td><td>once, twice, three, four times with – you</td><td>2</td><td>to tell what you to do. Do you have –</td><td></td></t<>	2	once, twice, three, four times with – you	2	to tell what you to do. Do you have –	
6       They all kind of bierd logether.       6       herm that they should look at the values, do         6       MR. MORRIS, Okay, I move to shike       6       you have any recollection whatsoever of ever         7       and I will ty one more time.       7       having total anytody at DSI         8       0. Did you ever tell anytody at DSI       8       notes motivable on this page were doubtful or         9       That you below ere rook-value       9       uncollectible?       10         10       on this is twere doubtful or uncollectible?       10       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection the         13       0. Potentially you bold them or       13       A. Intercall having general discussions         14       potential they were doubtful or       14       about everying or our banes sheet which         15       uncollectible?       17       Q. Okay.         16       A. Potentially hold them that we       17       Q. Okay.         17       necek able.       17       Q. Okay.         18       thesa assets.       18       A. Indon't mail specifically where         20       obset.       The exel to block at the value of these - of       17       Q. Okay.         21       betering that you doid them and it is       20       Q. Do you recall any discussions at all <td>3</td> <td>•</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	3	•			
6     MR.MORRIS: Ökay. I move to shike     6     9. Un have any resolution whatsoever of ever       7     and I will ty one more time.     7     having told anybody at DSI that any of the       9     that you believed any of the notes receivable     9     uncalcitible?       10     on this is twee doubtiful or molecitible?     10     MS. DETISCH-PEREZ: Object to frem.       11     MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.     11     form.       12     A. Potentially.     12     M. S.DETISCH-PEREZ: Object to the       13     D. Potentially to dot them or     13     A. Irecall having general discussions       14     potentially they were doubtiful or molecitible?     11     form.       15     uncollecitible?     15     would have included these - these notes       16     A. Potentially to doth they out doth tight?     15     would have included these - these notes       16     A. Potentially to doth tight?     16     16     A. Potentially to doth tight?       17     needed to look at the value of these -of     17     Q. Okay.     18     A. Irecall having genicitial where       19     Q. Okay. Did you -okay. It is     19     those discussions delved into.     20       20     potential that you doth tight pitt?     21     10     the topic of whether any ot these notes on       21 <td< td=""><td>4</td><td>the sum culmination of all these discussions.</td><td>4</td><td>Q. Other than other than telling</td><td></td></td<>	4	the sum culmination of all these discussions.	4	Q. Other than other than telling	
6     MR. MCRRS: Clay, Invove to shike     6     you have any resolution whatsoever of ever       7     and I will try one more time.     7     having told anybody at DSI that any of the       9     D. Ddy ou ever tell anybody at DSI     9     notes receivable on this gave were doubthil or       9     that you believed any of the notes receivable     9     uncollectible?       10     mKs. DANDENEAU: Objectio form.     11     form.       12     A. Potentially.     12     M. DONDENEAU: Objection.       13     A. Inceal having general discussions     14       14     potentially they were doubthil or on this take work problem.     15       15     uncollectible?     16     recal having general discussions       14     potentially thay uncollectible?     17     node the class set set.       15     uncollectible?     18     A. Inceal having general discussions at all       16     potentially thay out doth right?     21     on the topic of whether any of these notes on       18     A. Low pore through that. I don't     23     M. Roome hough that. I don't       24     recal specifically.     24     is that question different from the       25     O. Soyou should just – I don't want     25     gages stat.       14     WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21     Page 253     1    <	5	They all kind of blend together.	5	them that they should look at the values, do	
7       and I will ty one more time.       7       Taking total anybody at DSI that any of the         8       Q. Did you ever tell anybody at DSI       8       notes receivable on this page were doubtful or         9       that you believed any of the notes receivable       9       uncallectible?         10       on this list were doubtful or uncollectible?       10       MS. DETSCH-PEREZ. Object to the         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       11       form.         13       Q. Potentially to ubid them or       13       A. Irecall having general discussions         14       potentially they were doubtful or       14       about everything on our balance sheet which         15       uncallectible?       17       Q. Okay.         16       A. Potentially to the that we       16       receivable.         17       needed to look at the value of these – of       17       Q. Okay.         18       these assets.       18       A. Incorticetal peedically where         19       Q. Okay. Ddi you – okay. It is       20       Q. D. you recall any discussion at all         21       potential that you didth; right?       21       on the topic of whether any of these notes on         22       MS. DANDENEAU. Objection to form.       22       this tak were doubtif or uncalloctibue?<	6	MR. MORRIS: Okay. I move to strike	6	•	
8     0. Didyou ever tell anybody at DSI     8     notes "receivable on this page were doubtitul or       9     that you believed any of the notes receivable     9     uncollectble?       10     on this ist were doubtitul or uncolectble?     10     MS. DETSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11     A. Potentially, you told them or     13     A. Incent having general discussions       14     potentially they were doubtitul or     14     about everything on our balance sheet which       15     uncollectble?     15     would have induced these - these notes       16     A. Potentially told them that we     16     receivable.       17     needed to look at the value of these - of     17     Q. Okay.       18     hese assets.     19     Do your real any discussion at all       20     potential that you loid them mat it is     20     Q. Oyour valit any discussion at all       21     potential that you loid them and it is     20     Q. Oyour valit any discussion at all       21     potential that you loid them and it lais     20     Q. Oyour valit any discussion at all       22     opter initial that you loid them and it lais     20     Q. Doyour enail any discussion at all       22     opter initial that you loid them and it lais     21     in the topic of whether any of these notes on       23     A. Regore through that. Lo	7	-	7		
9     that you believed any of the notes receivable     9     uncollectible?       10     on this list were doubtiful or uncollectible?     10     MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       11     MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.     11     form.       12     A. Potentially.     12     MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       13     Q. Potentially tool them tor     11     about everything on our balance sheet which       15     uncollectible?     15     would have included these – these notes       16     A. Potentially tool them that we     16     receavable.       17     needed to look at the value of these – of     17     Q. Okay.       18     these assets.     18     A I don't recail specifically where       19     Q. Okay. Dd you – okay. It is     20     Q. Do you recail any discussion at all       21     potentially that you doit't right?     21     on the top of whether any of these notes on       22     MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.     22     this twee doubif to uncollectible?       23     A. Ve gone through that. I don't     23     MR. ACGEN: Mr. Moris, how on earth       24     recall specifically.     3     thutfully.       27     water= (overspeak.)     3     thutfully.       3     water= (overspeak.)     3     thutfully.	8		8		
10       on this list were doubtil or uncollectible?       10       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       11       form.         13       A. Potentially, vou told them or       13       A. Irecall having general discussions         14       potentially they were doubtil or       14       about everything on our balance sheet which         15       uncollectible?       15       would have included these – these notes         16       A. Potentially thout them that we       16       receivable.         19       Q. Okay, Did you – okay, It is       19       these assets.       18       A. I don't recail specifically where         19       potential that you told them and it is       20       O. Do you recail any discussion at all       21         21       potential that you doid just – I don't       23       MR. AGEN: Mr. Morks, how one earth         24       recail specifically.       21       in the tapic of whether any of these notes on         23       A. Iregail specifically.       24       is that question different from the         25       Q. So you should just – I don't want       25       question that you just asked for the last         15       answered it.       5       answered it.       5       again. And if at any time you wa	9				
11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       11       form.         12       A. Potentially.       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.         13       Q. Potentially they were doubtful or       13       A. I recall having general discussions         14       potentially they were doubtful or       14       about everything on our belance sheet which         15       uncollectible?       15       would have included these – these notes         16       A. Potentially tool them that we       16       receivable.         17       needed to look at the value of these – of       17       Q. Okay.         18       these assets.       18       A. I don't recall specifically where         19       Q. Okay. Dd you – okay. It is       19       these discussions delived into.         20       potential thay out ddn't right?       21       on the topic of whether any of these notes on         21       potential thay out ddn't right?       23       MR. AGEN: Mr. Mons, how on earth         24       that question different from the       25       Q. So you should just – I don't want       25         25       Q. So you should just – I don't want       25       again. And if at any time you want to       6         6       answered it.       MR. MORRIS: I will askr my question	10		10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
12       A. Potentially.       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.         13       Q. Potentially you told them or       13       A. I recall having general docusions         14       potentially they were doubtil or       14       about evenything on our balance sheet which         15       uncollectible?       15       would have included these – these notes         16       A. Potentially thold them that we       16       receivable.         17       needed to look at the value of these – of       17       Q. Okay.         18       these assets.       18       A. I don't recal specifically where         19       Q. Okay. Did you – okay. It is       19       these discussions dalved into.         20       potential that you told them and it is       20       Do you recall any discussion at all         21       potentially that you did them and it is       20       Do you recall any discussion at all         21       potentially that you did them and it is       20       Q. Do you recall any discussion at all         22       not be topic of whether any of these notes on each       22       the topic of whether any of these notes on each         23       A. Irve gone through that I don't       23       mR AIGEN. Mr. Morris, how on earth         24       recal specifically.       3	11	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.	11	-	
13       Q. Potentialy you bid them or       13       A. Irecall having general discussions         14       potentially they were doubtid or       14       about everything on our balance sheet which         15       uncollectable?       15       would have included these – these notes         16       A. Potentially I toid them that we       16       receivable.         17       needed to look at the value of these – of       17       Q. Okay.         18       these assets.       18       A. I don't recall specifically where         19       Q. Okay. Did you – okay. It is       19       these discussions delved into.         20       potentially that you dich't right?       21       on the topic of whether any of these notes on         21       potentially that you dich't right?       23       MR. AIGEN. Mr. Morris, how on earth         24       recall specifically.       24       is that question different from the         24       recall specifically.       23       MR. AIGEN. Mr. Morris, how on earth         24       recall specifically.       24       is that question different from the         25       Q. So you should just – I don't want       25       again. And if at any the you just asked for the last         7       recall specifically.       3       truthfully.	12	-	12	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.	
14       potentially they were doubtitil or       14       about everything on our balance sheet which         15       uncollectible?       15       would have included these – these notes         16       A. Potentially told them that we       16       receivable.         17       needed to look at the value of these – of       17       Q. Okay.         18       these assets.       18       A. I don't recall specifically where         19       Q. Okay. Did you – okay. It is       19       these discussions of elded into.         20       potential that you told them and it is       20       Q. Do you recall any discussion at all         21       potential that you told them and it is       20       Q. Do you recall any discussion at all         21       potential that you told them and it is       20       Q. Do you recall any discussion at all         22       MS. DANDENKEAU. Objection to form.       22       is that weestion that you just asked for the last         25       Q. So you schould just –1 don't want       25       question that you just asked for the last         3       were – (overspeak).       3       trutifully.         4       MR. MORRIS: Is wall asking the post of the last       5         5       answerd it.       5       again. And if at any time you want to	13	-	13	-	
15       uncollectible?       15       would have included these – these notes         16       A. Potentially I told them that we       16       receivable.         17       needed to look at the value of these – of       17       Q. Okay.       Okay.         18       these assets.       18       A. I don't recall specifically where         19       Q. Okay. Did you – okay. It is       19       those discussions delved into.         20       potential that you didn't right?       21       on the topic of whether any of these notes on         21       potential that you didn't right?       21       on the topic of whether any of these notes on         23       A. I've gone through that. I don't       23       MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, how on earth         24       treat specifically.       24       is that question different from the         25       Q. So you should just – I don't want       25       question that you just asked for the last         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 252       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       suggests that he has not testified       3       truthfully.         3       were (overspeak.)       3       truthfully.         4       MR. MORRIS: Secause he never       4       MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question	14		14		
17       needed to look at the value of these – of       17       Q. Okay.         18       these assets.       18       A. I don't recall specifically where         19       Q. Okay. Dit you – okay. It is       19       those discussions delved into.         20       potential that you told them and it is       20       Q. Do you recall any discussion at all         21       potential that you told them and it is       20       Q. Do you recall any discussion at all         21       on the topic of whether any of these notes on       22       this list were doubtiful or uncolledible?         23       A. I ve gone through that. I don't       23       MR. AGEN: Mr. Morris, how on earth         24       recall specifically.       24       is that question different from the         25       Q. Os you should just – I don't want       25       guestion that you just asked for the last         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253         2       tweetimes? I mean, really I thought you       3       truthfully.       3       stuthfully.         3       were – (overspeak.)       3       truthfully.       4       MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question         5       answered it.       6       direct him not to answer, that is your	15		15		
17       needed to look at the value of these – of       17       Q. Okay.         18       these assets.       18       A. I don't recall specifically where         19       Q. Okay. Dit you – okay. It is       19       those discussions delved into.         20       potential that you told them and it is       20       Q. Do you recall any discussion at all         21       potential that you told them and it is       20       Q. Do you recall any discussion at all         21       on the topic of whether any of these notes on       22       this list were doubtiful or uncolledible?         23       A. I ve gone through that. I don't       23       MR. AGEN: Mr. Morris, how on earth         24       recall specifically.       24       is that question different from the         25       Q. Os you should just – I don't want       25       guestion that you just asked for the last         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253         2       tweetimes? I mean, really I thought you       3       truthfully.       3       stuthfully.         3       were – (overspeak.)       3       truthfully.       4       MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question         5       answered it.       6       direct him not to answer, that is your	16	A. Potentially I told them that we	16	receivable.	
18       these assets.       18       A       I don't recail specifically where         19       Q. Okay. Did you – okay. It is       19       those discussions delved into.         20       potential that you told them and it is       20       Q. Do you recail any discussion at all         21       potential that you didn't right?       21       on the topic of whether any of these notes on         22       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       22       this list were doubtid ur nucleotible?         23       A. Ive gone through that. I don't       23       MR. AIGEN: Mr. Moris, how on earth         24       recal specifically.       24       is that question different from the         25       Q. So you should just – I don't want       25       question that you just asked for the last         7       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       suggests that he has not testified       3       truthfuly.         4       MR. MORRIS: Because he never       4       MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question       5       again. And if at any time you want to         5       answered it.       5       again. And if at any time you want to       6       direct him not to answeret hat is your	1	-			
19       Q. Okay. Did you – okay. It is       19       those discussions delived into.         20       potential that you told them and it is       20       Q. Do you recail any discussion at all         21       potentially that you didn't; right?       21       on the topic of whether any of these notes on         22       MS: DANDENEAL: Objection to form.       22       this is twee doubtful or uncollectible?         23       A. Ive gone through that. I don't       23       MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, how on earth         24       recall specifically.       24       that question different from the         25       Q. So you should just – I don't want       25       question that you just asked for the last         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       five times? I mean, neally I thought you       2       suggests that the has not testified         3       were – (overspeak.)       3       truthfully.         4       MR. MORRIS: Because he never       4       MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question         5       answered it.       5       again. And if at any time you want to         6       direct him not to answer, that is your       7       prerogative.         8       MR. MORRIS: You know –       8       Q. Mr.	18	these assets.	18	•	
20       potential that you told them and it is       20       Q. Do you recall any discussion at all         21       potentially that you didn't right?       21       on the topic of whether any of these notes on         22       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       22       this list were doubtful or uncollectible?         23       A. I ve gone through that. I don't       23       MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, how on earth         24       recall specifically.       24       is that question different from the         26       Q. So you should just – I don't want       25       question that you just asked for the last         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       suggests that he has not testified       1         3       were – (overspeak)       3       truthfully.       4       MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question       5       again. And if at any time you want to         6       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you       6       direct him not to answer, that is your       7       prerogative.         8       MR. MORRIS: You know –       8       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any       9         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically       9       recollection at all of ever telling anybody       10	19	Q. Okay. Did you – okay. It is	19		
21       potentially that you didn't, right?       21       on the topic of whether any of these notes on         22       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       22       this list were doubtful or uncollectible?         23       A. Ive gone through that. I don't       23       MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, how on earth         24       recall specifically.       24       is that question different from the         25       Q. So you should just – I don't want       25       question that you just asked for the last         7       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253         2       five times? I mean, really I thought you       2       suggests that he has no testified       3         3       were – (overspeak.)       3       truthfully.       4       MR. MORRIS: I want to       6       direct him not to answer, that is your       7       prerogative.         8       MR. MORRIS: You know –       8       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any       9       recollection at all of ever telling anybody         10       said that he had a conversation with DSI       10       from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful         11       trut wen	20		20	Q. Do you recall any discussion at all	
22       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.       22       this list were doubtful or uncollectible?         23       A. Ive gone through that. I don't       23       MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, how on earth         24       recall specifically.       24       is that question different from the         25       Q. So you should just – I don't want       25       question that you just asked for the last         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2 five times? I mean, really I thought you       2       suggests that he has not testified         3       were – (overspeak).       3       truthfully.         4       MR. MORRIS: Because he never       4       MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question         5       again. And if at any time you want to       6       direct him not to answer, that is your         7       Istening to him?       7       prerogative.       8         8       MR. MORRIS: You know –       8       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any       9         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically       10       from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful       11         11       that went over all of this stuff and that       11       or uncollectible?       13       A. I don't remember specifically.	1		21		
23       A. Ive gone through that. I don't       23       MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, how on earth         24       recall specifically.       24       is that question different from the         25       Q. So you should just – I don't want       25       question that you just asked for the last         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       suggests that he has not testified       truthfully.         3       were – (overspeak.)       3       truthfully.       4       MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question         5       arswere dit.       5       again. And if at any time you want to       6       direct him not to answer, that is your         7       Istening to him?       7       precogative.       8       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically       9       recollection at all of ever telling anybody       10       form DSI that any of these notes were doubtful         11       that went over all of this stuff and that       11       or uncellectible?       2       MS. DADNENEAU: Object to form.         13       but he doesn't recall specifically.       13       A. I don't remember specifically.       14       Q. Do you remember generally that	1				
24       recall specifically.       24       is that question different from the         25       Q. So you should just – I don't want       25       question that you just asked for the last         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       suggests that he has not testified       3         3       truttifully.       3       truttifully.       4         4       MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question       5       again. And if at any time you want to         6       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you       6       direct him not to answer, that is your         7       Istening to him?       7       precogative.       8       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically       9       recollection at all of ever telling anybody       10       from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful         11       that went over all of this stuff and that       11       oruccellectible?       1       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         15       of him?       13       A. I don't remember specifically.       14       Q. Do you remember generally that         15       of him?       15       specific topic?       16       A. We generally talked about assets, <tr< td=""><td>23</td><td>-</td><td>23</td><td>MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, how on earth</td><td></td></tr<>	23	-	23	MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, how on earth	
25       Q. So you should just – I don't want       25       question that you just asked for the last         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 253         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       suggests that he has not testified       3         3       were – (overspeak.)       3       turthfully.       4       MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question       5         5       answered it.       5       again. And if at any time you want to       6       direct him not to answer, that is your       7         7       listening to him?       7       preogative.       8       O. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any       9         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically       9       recollection at all of ever telling anybody       10       from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful         11       that were over all of this stuff and that       11       or uncollectible?       12       MS.DADDENEAU: Object to form.         13       but he doesn't recall specifically.       13       A       I don't member specifically.         14       What more do you want him – to ask       14       Q. Do you remember generally talked about assets,         17       love him to say – I would like him to       17       values. If – we had discussions of that and	24		24	is that question different from the	
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       five times? I mean, really I thought you       2       suggests that he has not testified         3       were – (overspeak.)       3       truthfully.         4       MR. MORRIS: Because he never       4       MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question         5       answered it.       5       again. And if at any time you want to         6       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you       6       direct him not to answer, that is your         7       listening to him?       7       prerogative.         8       MR. MORRIS: You know –       8       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically       9       recollection at all of ever telling anybody         10       said that he had a conversation with DSI       10       from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful         11       that went over all of this stuff and that       11       or uncollectible?         12       conversation could have included the notes       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         13       but he doesn't recall specifically.       13       A. I don't remember specifically.         14       What more do you want him – to ask       14       Q. Do you remember generally talked about assets,         15       ofhi	25		25	-	
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       five times? I mean, really I thought you       2       suggests that he has not testified         3       were – (overspeak.)       3       truthfully.         4       MR. MORRIS: Because he never       4       MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question         5       answered it.       5       again. And if at any time you want to         6       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you       6       direct him not to answer, that is your         7       listening to him?       7       prerogative.         8       MR. MORRIS: You know –       8       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically       9       recollection at all of ever telling anybody         10       said that he had a conversation with DSI       10       from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful         11       that went over all of this stuff and that       11       or uncollectible?         12       conversation could have included the notes       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         13       but he doesn't recall specifically.       13       A. I don't remember specifically.         14       What more do you want him – to ask       14       Q. Do you remember generally talked about assets,         15       ofhi		Page 252			Page 253
3       were - (overspeak.)       3       truthfully.         4       MR.MORRIS: Because he never       4       MR.MORRIS: I will ask my question         5       answered it.       5       again. And if at any time you want to         6       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you       6       direct him not to answer, that is your         7       listening to him?       7       prerogative.         8       MR.MORRIS: You know -       8       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically       9       recollection at all of ever telling anybody         10       said that he had a conversation with DSI       10       from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful         11       that went over all of this stuff and that       11       or uncollectible?         12       conversation could have included the notes       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         13       but he doesn't recall specifically.       13       A. I don't remember specifically.         14       What more do you want him – to ask       14       Q. Do you remember generally that         15       of him?       16       A. We generally talked about assets,         17       love him to say – I would like him to       17       values. If – we had discussions of that and     <	1		1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Ū
4       MR. MORRIS: Because he never       4       MR. MORRIS: 1 will ask my question         5       answered it.       5       again. And if at any time you want to         6       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you       6       direct him not to answer, that is your         7       listening to him?       7       prerogative.         8       MR. MORRIS: You know –       8       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically       9       recollection at all of ever telling anybody         10       said that he had a conversation with DSI       10       from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful         11       that went over all of this stuff and that       11       or uncollectible?         12       onversation could have included the notes       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         13       but he doesn't recall specifically.       13       A. I don't remember specifically.         14       What more do you want him – t would       16       A. We generally talked about assets,         15       of him?       15       specific topic?         16       MR. MORRIS: I want him to       17       values. If – we had discussions of that and         18       testify to the truth, and that is he has no       18       collectability in natur	2	five times? I mean, really I thought you	2	suggests that he has not testified	
5       answered it.       5       again. And if at any time you want to         6       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you       6       direct him not to answer, that is your         7       listening to him?       7       prerogative.         8       MR. MORRIS: You know –       8       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically       9       recollection at all of ever telling anybody         10       said that he had a conversation with DSI       10       from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful         11       that went over all of this stuff and that       11       or uncollectible?         12       conversation could have included the notes       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         13       but he doesn't recall specifically.       13       A. I don't member specifically.         14       What more do you want him – to ask       14       Q. Do you remember generally that         15       of him?       15       specific topic?         16       MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would       16       A. We generally talked about assets,         17       love him to say – I would like him to       17       values. If – we had discussions of that and         18       testify to the truth, and that is he has no       18       collecta	3		3	truthfully.	
6       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you       6       direct him not to answer, that is your         7       listening to him?       7       prerogative.         8       MR. MORRIS: You know –       8       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically       9       recollection at all of ever telling anybody         10       said that he had a conversation with DSI       10       from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful         11       that went over all of this stuff and that       11       or uncollectible?         12       conversation could have included the notes       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         13       but he doesn't recall specifically.       13       A. I don't remember specifically.         14       What more do you want him – to ask       14       Q. Do you remember generally that         15       of him?       15       specific topic?         16       MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would       16       A. We generally talked about assets,         17       love him to say – I would like him to       17       values. If – we had discussions of that and         18       testify to the truth, and that is he has no       18       collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland,         19       the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex.	4	MR. MORRIS: Because he never	4	MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question	
7       listening to him?       7       prerogative.         8       MR. MORRIS: You know –       8       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically       9       recollection at all of ever telling anybody         10       said that he had a conversation with DSI       10       from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful         11       that went over all of this stuff and that       11       or uncollectible?         12       conversation could have included the notes       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         13       but he doesn't recall specifically.       13       A. I don't remember specifically.         14       What more do you want him – to ask       14       Q. Do you remember generally that         15       of him?       15       specific topic?         16       MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would       16       A. We generally talked about assets,         17       love him to say – I would like him to       17       values. If – we had discussions of that and         18       testify to the truth, and that is he has no       18       collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland,         19       recollection.       19       the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We         20       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth       <	5	answered it.	5	again. And if at any time you want to	
8       MR. MORRIS: You know –       8       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically       9       recollection at all of ever telling anybody         10       said that he had a conversation with DSI       10       from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful         11       that went over all of this stuff and that       11       or uncollectible?         12       conversation could have included the notes       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         13       but he doesn't recall specifically.       13       A. I don't remember specifically.         14       What more do you want him – to ask       14       Q. Do you remember generally that         15       of him?       15       specific topic?         16       MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would       16       A. We generally talked about assets,         17       love him to say – I would like him to       17       values. If – we had discussions of that and         18       collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland,       19       recollection.       19       the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We         20       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth       20       had discussions like that, which is, you know,       12       as you look at a billion dollar consolidated         22       is testifyin	6	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you	6	direct him not to answer, that is your	
9MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically9recollection at all of ever telling anybody10said that he had a conversation with DSI10from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful11that went over all of this stuff and that11or uncollectible?12conversation could have included the notes12MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.13but he doesn't recall specifically.13A. I don't remember specifically.14What more do you want him – to ask14Q. Do you remember generally that15of him?15specific topic?16MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would16A. We generally talked about assets,17love him to say – I would like him to17values. If – we had discussions of that and18testify to the truth, and that is he has no18collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland,19recollection.19the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth20had discussions like that, which is, you know,21as you would like to see it, but – but he21as you look at a billion dollar consolidated22is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by22balance sheet.23the way, I move to strike that comment –23So I generally remember – this is24MR. MORRIS: Okay.24billions of dollars, including these assets –	7	•	7		
10said that he had a conversation with DSI10from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful11that went over all of this stuff and that11or uncollectible?12conversation could have included the notes12MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.13but he doesn't recall specifically.13A. I don't remember specifically.14What more do you want him – to ask14Q. Do you remember generally that15of him?15specific topic?16MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would16A. We generally talked about assets,17love him to say – I would like him to17values. If – we had discussions of that and18testify to the truth, and that is he has no18collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland,19recollection.19the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth20had discussions like that, which is, you know,21as you would like to see it, but – but he21as you look at a billion dollar consolidated22is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by22balance sheet.23MR. MORRIS: Okay.24billions of dollars, including these assets –	8		8		
11       that went over all of this stuff and that       11       or uncollectible?         12       conversation could have included the notes       12       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         13       but he doesn't recall specifically.       13       A. I don't remember specifically.         14       What more do you want him – to ask       14       Q. Do you remember generally that         15       of him?       15       specific topic?         16       MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would       16       A. We generally talked about assets,         17       love him to say – I would like him to       17       values. If – we had discussions of that and         18       testify to the truth, and that is he has no       18       collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland,         19       recollection.       19       the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We         20       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth       20       had discussions like that, which is, you know,         21       as you would like to see it, but – but he       21       as you look at a billion dollar consolidated         22       is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by       22       balance sheet.       23         23       the way, I move to strike that comment –       23       So I generally remember – this is	9	•	9		
12conversation could have included the notes12MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.13but he doesn't recall specifically.13A. I don't remember specifically.14What more do you want him – to ask14Q. Do you remember generally that15of him?15specific topic?16MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would16A. We generally talked about assets,17love him to say – I would like him to17values. If – we had discussions of that and18testify to the truth, and that is he has no18collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland,19recollection.19the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth20had discussions like that, which is, you know,21as you would like to see it, but – but he21as you look at a billion dollar consolidated22is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by22balance sheet.23So I generally remember – this is24MR. MORRIS: Okay.24billions of dollars, including these assets –	10			-	
13but he doesn't recall specifically.13A. I don't remember specifically.14What more do you want him – to ask14Q. Do you remember generally that15of him?15specific topic?16MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would16A. We generally talked about assets,17love him to say – I would like him to17values. If – we had discussions of that and18testify to the truth, and that is he has no18collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland,19recollection.19the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth20had discussions like that, which is, you know,21as you would like to see it, but – but he21as you look at a billion dollar consolidated22is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by22balance sheet.23the way, I move to strike that comment –23So I generally remember – this is24MR. MORRIS: Okay.24billions of dollars, including these assets –	I				
14What more do you want him – to ask14Q.Do you remember generally that15of him?15specific topic?16MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would16A.We generally talked about assets,17love him to say – I would like him to17values. If – we had discussions of that and18testify to the truth, and that is he has no18collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland,19recollection.19the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth20had discussions like that, which is, you know,21as you would like to see it, but – but he21as you look at a billion dollar consolidated22is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by22balance sheet.23So I generally remember – this is24MR. MORRIS: Okay.24billions of dollars, including these assets –	1			-	
15of him?15specific topic?16MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would16A. We generally talked about assets,17love him to say – I would like him to16A. We generally talked about assets,18testify to the truth, and that is he has no17values. If – we had discussions of that and18collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland,18collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland,19recollection.19the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth20had discussions like that, which is, you know,21as you would like to see it, but – but he21as you look at a billion dollar consolidated22is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by22balance sheet.23the way, I move to strike that comment –23So I generally remember – this is24MR. MORRIS: Okay.24billions of dollars, including these assets –	1				
16MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would16A. We generally talked about assets,17love him to say – I would like him to17values. If – we had discussions of that and18testify to the truth, and that is he has no18collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland,19recollection.19the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth20had discussions like that, which is, you know,21as you would like to see it, but – but he21as you look at a billion dollar consolidated22is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by22balance sheet.23So I generally remember – this is24MR. MORRIS: Okay.24billions of dollars, including these assets –	1	-			
17love him to say – I would like him to17values. If – we had discussions of that and18testify to the truth, and that is he has no18collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland,19recollection.19the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth20had discussions like that, which is, you know,21as you would like to see it, but – but he21as you look at a billion dollar consolidated22is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by22balance sheet.23the way, I move to strike that comment –23So I generally remember – this is24MR. MORRIS: Okay.24billions of dollars, including these assets –					
18testify to the truth, and that is he has no18collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland,19recollection.19the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth20had discussions like that, which is, you know,21as you would like to see it, but – but he21as you look at a billion dollar consolidated22is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by22balance sheet.23the way, I move to strike that comment –23So I generally remember – this is24MR. MORRIS: Okay.24billions of dollars, including these assets –					
19recollection.19the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth20had discussions like that, which is, you know,21as you would like to see it, but – but he21as you look at a billion dollar consolidated22is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by22balance sheet.23the way, I move to strike that comment –23So I generally remember – this is24MR. MORRIS: Okay.24billions of dollars, including these assets –	I	-			
20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth20had discussions like that, which is, you know,21as you would like to see it, but – but he21as you look at a billion dollar consolidated22is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by22balance sheet.23the way, I move to strike that comment –23So I generally remember – this is24MR. MORRIS: Okay.24billions of dollars, including these assets –	1				
21as you would like to see it, but – but he21as you look at a billion dollar consolidated22is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by22balance sheet.23the way, I move to strike that comment –23So I generally remember – this is24MR. MORRIS: Okay.24billions of dollars, including these assets –				•	
22 is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by22 balance sheet.23 the way, I move to strike that comment –23 So I generally remember – this is24 MR. MORRIS: Okay.24 billions of dollars, including these assets –				-	
23the way, I move to strike that comment23So I generally remember this is24MR. MORRIS: Okay.24billions of dollars, including these assets	1	-		•	
24 MR. MORRIS: Okay. 24 billions of dollars, including these assets –	1				
	1	•			
	1			•	
	1 75		20	naving discussions of this – of this type.	

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 254 Page 255 Page 255
2 Q. Do you believe that an affiliate	2 goes.
3 loan on this list was doubtful or	3 Q. Did you point out that any of
4 uncollectible? Would you have told that to	4 these –
5 DSI?	5 A. I don't recall specifically.
6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	6 Q. Okay. At any time that you served
7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.	7 as Highland's CFO, did you ever point out to
8 A. If we had, like – again, if we –	8 DSI that any of these loans were doubtful or
9 if – if we weren't preparing financial	9 uncollectible?
10 statements in accordance with GAAP, and - you	10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
11 know, if DSI at that point they were	11 form.
12 again, I was new to bankruptcy.	12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.
13 The CRO is – we are delegating	13 A. If you're asking me if I had a
14 everything to the CRO. All the decisionmaking.	14 conversation with DSI, if any of these loans
15 Remember remember when you and I went into	15 were doubtful or uncollectible, I don't recall
16 Delaware Court and we were saying DSI basically	16 specifically.
17 does everything, remember this, Mr. Morris?	17 Q. Do you recall that the debtor filed
18 You were my counsel at the time, and	18 on the docket monthly operating reports?
19 basically we're running everything through DSI.	19 A. Yes.
20 That was what this was like in the early part.	20 Q. You prepared those personally,
21 Everything was communicated through	21 didn't you?
22 DSI. So DSI says this. DSI says that. That	22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to
23 is what we're doing, and we're pointing out	23 form.
24 things to them.	A. I didn't personally prepare them,
25 Now, they decide what direction this	25 the team did with DSI.
P	Page 256 Page 257
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Q. But you signed them; correct?	2 show. I followed their advice.
3 A. My signature is on the MORs.	3 Q. But you assured yourself that
4 Q. And you signed them as the preparer	4 everything in the report was accurate before
5 of the document; correct?	5 you signed them; correct?
6 A. Yes, I did this pursuant to DSI's	6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
7 instructions.	7 A. I trusted the guidance from the CRO
8 Q. Okay. You wouldn't have signed the	8 and their team and their experience and their
9 document if you didn't believe it to be	9 guidance for doing this for many, many, many
10 accurate; correct?	10 years to – to – to categorize and put things
11 A. If I had reason to believe it	11 in ways on the form.
12 wasn't, presumably I wouldn't have signed it.	12 You know, my team had – had not
13 Q. Okay. And do you have any reason to	13 filled out these forms before and needed all of
14 believe right now that any monthly operating	14 this guidance. I'm not an expert in this. I
15 report that has your signature on it was	15 have oversight of it. I signed the form. DSI
16 inaccurate in any way?	16 told me to.
17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	17 Q. And you and your team are the source
18 form.	18 of the information that DSI used to create the
19 A. My understanding of the monthly	19 reports; correct?
	20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
20 operating reports is we were filing them in	
<ul><li>20 operating reports is we were filing them in</li><li>21 accordance with the standards set by the Court.</li></ul>	A. The books and records reside with
<ul> <li>20 operating reports is we were filing them in</li> <li>21 accordance with the standards set by the Court.</li> <li>22 It wasn't – you know, again, I don't – you</li> </ul>	<ul><li>A. The books and records reside with</li><li>the – with – with the corporate accounting</li></ul>
<ul> <li>20 operating reports is we were filing them in</li> <li>21 accordance with the standards set by the Court.</li> <li>22 It wasn't – you know, again, I don't – you</li> <li>23 know, it wasn't GAAP. It wasn't these other</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. The books and records reside with</li> <li>the – with – with the corporate accounting</li> <li>team.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>20 operating reports is we were filing them in</li> <li>21 accordance with the standards set by the Court.</li> <li>22 It wasn't – you know, again, I don't – you</li> <li>23 know, it wasn't GAAP. It wasn't these other</li> <li>24 standards, so I testified I didn't have</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. The books and records reside with</li> <li>the – with – with the corporate accounting</li> <li>team.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>20 operating reports is we were filing them in</li> <li>21 accordance with the standards set by the Court.</li> <li>22 It wasn't – you know, again, I don't – you</li> <li>23 know, it wasn't GAAP. It wasn't these other</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. The books and records reside with</li> <li>the – with – with the corporate accounting</li> <li>team.</li> </ul>

Page 258			Page 259
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	9
2 under your direction; correct?	2	Highland; correct?	
3 A. Yes.	3	A. Yes.	
4 Q. So – so your team was responsible	4	Q. And you're the preparer – you're	
5 for maintaining Highland's books and records;	5	identified as the preparer of the report;	
6 correct?	6	correct?	
7 A. I'm sorry, my team was responsible?	7	A. That is correct.	
8 Q. Correct.	8	Q. Do you recall participating in the	
9 A. Yes. They – they – they were	9	preparation of monthly operating reports?	
10 the – the – the general ledger of Highland,	10	A. As I testified earlier, it was put	
11 that responsibility was with the corporate	11	together, you know, with the team. The team	
12 accounting team.	12	worked with DSI to put these monthly operating	
13 Q. The corporate accounting group	13	reports together. We had no experience at this	
14 reported to you; correct?	14	time of the monthly operating reports or things	
15 A. Yes.	15	of this nature.	
16 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up 41,	16	MR. MORRIS: Can you turn to the	
17 please.	17	next page, please.	
18 (Exhibit 41 marked.)	18	Q. Do you see a line item under assets	
19 Q. All right. You will see that this	19	due from affiliates?	
20 is a report that is dated January 31st, 2020,	20	A. Yes, I do.	
21 but it is for the month ending December 2019.	21	Q. Okay. And to the best of your	
22 Do you see that?	22	knowledge and understanding, as the person who	
23 A. I do.	23	is identified as the preparer of this report,	
24 Q. And you signed this report in your	24	does that line item include the affiliate loans	
25 capacity as the chief financial officer of	25	that we've been talking about?	
Page 260	4		Page 261
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. Yes, that is what this indicates.	
<ul><li>2 A. Again, I would have to see, just</li><li>3 like we did with the financial statements of</li></ul>	2		
		Q. Okay. And were you aware that the reserve was being taken on that it was?	
<ul> <li>4 Highland and NexPoint, I would have to see a</li> <li>5 detailed build, but, you know, if you look at</li> </ul>	5		
6 the other line items, you know, the only other	1	A. I was – I was aware, yeah, at some point, yes.	
7 place it could be would be in – in other	7	Q. Okay. And are you aware of any	
8 assets.		reserve being taken with respect to any other	
9 Q. Okay. And as a matter of	1	note that was issued in favor of Highland?	
10 arithmetic, is it fair to say that is the value	10	A. Again, as I testified, we didn't go	
11 of the assets due from affiliates was more than		through an analysis on – on – on the other	
12 25 percent of the value of Highland's total	1	notes.	
13 assets as of 12/31/2019?	12	Q. Can we turn	
14 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	14	A. I believe – I believe it says that	
15 A. I'm really not doing the mental math	1	in Footnote 1, fair value has not been	
16 right now, so I've been going at this depo for	1	determined with respect to any of the notes.	
17 hours, so I'm really not – you know –	17	So this footnote – footnotes, look,	
18 Q. All right. No problem.		there has been no determination.	
19 A. – these are millions of dollars.	19	Q. Okay. The determination was made in	
20 Q. Let's look at the Footnote 1,		the audited financial statements just six	
21 please. Do you see there is a reference to the	1	months earlier; right? We saw that earlier?	
22 Hunter Mountain note?	22	A. That was as of 12/31/18. I mean,	
23 A. Yes, I see that in Footnote 1.		things – circumstances – there's a bank –	
24 Q. Okay. And that's the reserve that		circumstances change, things change – things	
25 was taken against that note?	1	change over time, you know, facts and	
		<u></u> , <u></u> , <u></u>	

_	Dogo 262			Page 263
1	Page 262 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 263
2	circumstances change. Again, you have to do an	2	Q. Okay. And there was nothing that	
3	analysis.	3	caused PricewaterhouseCoopers to include in	
4	Q. Okay. And you do recall that in	4	subsequent events any adjustment to the	
5	Highland's 2018 financial statement, all of the	5	conclusion that the fair value of the affiliate	
6	notes issued by affiliates and Mr. Dondero that	6	notes and the notes issued by Mr. Dondero	
7	were due at year-end had a fair value equal to	7	equaled the carrying value; correct?	
8	the carrying value; correct? We looked at	8	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	
9	that?	9	form.	
10	A. Yes. That was in the in the	10	A. That is correct. That is what was	
11	disclosure for the for the affiliate notes,	11	in the in the in the footnotes.	
12	yes.	12	Q. Okay. So are you aware of anything	
13	Q. And and you were obligated to	13	that occurred between June 3rd, 2019 and	
14	share with PwC any subsequent events between	14	December 31st, 2019 that would have caused the	
15	the end of 2018 and the date that you signed	15	fair value of the notes to differ from the	
16	your management representation letter on June	16	carrying value?	
17	3rd, 2019; correct?	17	A. Yeah. Highland filed for	
18	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	18	bankruptcy, things changed – I mean, there was	
19	form.	19	a bankruptcy filed in October of – of – of	
20	A. Yes. I – I – I signed the	20	2019, right, the petition date that we've	
21	management, you know, my signature is in the	21	described earlier.	
22	management representation letter – I hope I'm	22	l mean, l had a l guess looking	
23	answering your question that is dated in	23	back naively, I thought we were going to get an	
24	June with the representations made in that	24	audit from PwC for year-ended 2019, and when we	
25	management representation letter.	25	had discussions with PwC, they were like, are	
	Page 264			Page 265
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Tuge 200
2	you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values	2	carrying value during the seven-month period	
3	change, all these things change, bankruptcy	3	between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019?	
4	changes the entire scenario. I mean and	4	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
5	they're like, we're not we're not touching	5	A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back	
6	this.	6	at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we	
7	And so, you know, I was like, okay,	7	didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a	
8	sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit.	8	fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts	
9	l mean, it is – you know, and –	9	and circumstances at the time, but asset values	
10	you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP	10	change. You know, there could have been a	
11	financial statements.	11	market crash in hindsight in 2020, which –	
12	Again, I didn't know what we were	12	which affected entities' abilities.	
13	doing in relation to our financial statements,	13	There could have been all of these	
14	but these were the discussions I was having at	14	things, right, that that happen. It is	
15	the time. And yeah, I mean, filing bankruptcy	15	it is easy to look back in hindsight, but when	
16	from what I got from outside auditors and	16	you are looking at this in – in realtime, the	
17	others involved changed things dramatically.	17	analysis is different, and again, we didn't do	
18	Q. Okay. Highland wasn't the obligor	18	an analysis.	
19	under any of the notes that we're talking	19	Q. Okay. You didn't do an analysis.	
20	about; correct?	20	Do I have that right?	
21	A. No.	21	A. I don't – I don't recall doing one	
22	Q. So-	22	or maybe – you know, I don't recall doing one.	
23	A. That's right.	23	MR. MORRIS: Okay. I'm going to	
24	Q. So can you identify any fact that	24	take a break. I may be done, so the time	
1	would cause the fair value to deviate from the	25	now is – is 4:30 your time. Let's just	
25		20		

	Dage 266			Page 267
1	Page 266 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 267
2	take a short break until 4:40 your time.	2	had – I just think it's appropriate to –	
3	MS. DANDENEAU: Okay.	3	to dump documents on somebody 10 minutes	
4	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	4	before the deposition. I just think	
5	record, 4:31 p.m.	5	that's –	
6	(Recess taken 4:31 p.m. to 4:43 p.m.)	6	MR. RUKAVINA: Well, these are	
7	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	7	documents Highland produced. I'm not aware	
8	record at 4:43 p.m.	8	of any rule I have to give you advance	
9	MR. MORRIS: I have no further	9	documents when I know for the record that	
10	questions.	10	other than the exhibits that you sent to us	
11	MR. RUKAVINA: Okay.	11	last week, most of the exhibits you used	
12	Mr. Waterhouse, I will go next.	12	today you did not provide to me prior to	
13	EXAMINATION	12	this deposition.	
14	BY MR. RUKAVINA:	13	•	
1			MR. MORRIS: No, but the documents	
15	Q. Sir, my name is Davor Rukavina. I'm	15	were produced by me in – in litigation,	
16	the lawyer for -	16	right?	
17	MR. MORRIS: Hey, Davor, just before	17	MR. RUKAVINA: I'm going to use	
18	you begin, I just want to put on the record	18	primarily, John, the documents that you	
19	Highland's objection to documents that were	19	produced to me today, but you may.	
20	produced to me 10 minutes before the	20	MR. MORRIS: Primarily. I've got -	
21	deposition began.	21	I've got my objection. You have got your	
22	MR. RUKAVINA: What the basis of	22	response. Proceed.	
23	your objection?	23	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, again, I represent	
24	MR. MORRIS: That they were due	24	the advisors, HCMFA and NexPoint Advisors.	
25	quite some time ago, and the fact that you	25	Do you understand that?	
	Page 268			Page 269
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	A. Yes.	2	Q. Were you ever the CFO of HCMFA?	
3	Q. You and I have never met or talked	3	A. I don't recall.	
4	before today, have we?	4	Q. So to the best of your recollection,	
5	A. No, I have – I have heard your	5	you were still an officer of HCMFA in 2019,	
6	voice on calls before.	6	just that your title was treasurer?	
7	Q. Okay.	7	MR. MORRIS: Object to the form of	
8	MR. RUKAVINA: Madam Court Reporter,	8	the question. There is no leading here.	
9	l will use a few exhibits today. My	9	He works for your client.	
10	associate, Mr. Nguyen, will find some way	10	MS. DANDENEAU: That is not that	
11	to get them to you. I don't know how to do	11	is not true.	
12	that, but it looks like you guys do.	12	MR. MORRIS: He's the treasurer	
13	I am going to use numbers as well.	13	he is the treasurer of your client. I	
14	But to differentiate them from Mr. Morris	14	don't – I'm going to object every time you	
15	we're going to mark mine with the prefix A	15	try to lead, so	
16	for advisors.	16	MR. RUKAVINA: Totally fine to	
17	Do you understand?	17	object.	
18	COURT REPORTER: Yes.	18	MR. MORRIS: Okay.	
19	MR. RUKAVINA: Okay. Perfect.	19	Q. Please answer my question,	
20	Q. Okay. So, Mr. Waterhouse, let's	20	Mr. Waterhouse.	
21	start with those two HCMFA notes that you were	21	A. I'm sorry, could you repeat? There	
22	asked about, one for 5 million and one for	22	Was	
23	2.4 million.	23	Q. Yes. You were – you testified	
24	Do you recall those notes?	24	earlier that in 2019 you were an officer of	
25	A. Yes.	25	HCMFA; correct?	

Page 270	Page 271
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 A. Yes, I testified that I was the	2 chief financial officer of the debtor, Highland
3 treasurer and I didn't know if that incumbency	3 Capital Management, L.P., in May of 2019, did
4 certificate, you know, was one that appointed	4 you believe that you unilaterally, just Frank
5 me as a treasurer, but yes.	5 Waterhouse, had the authority to loan on behalf
6 Q. I'm just trying to confirm that	6 of the debtor to anyone \$5 million and
7 sitting here today, to the best of your	7 \$2.4 million?
8 recollection, at that time you were your	8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
9 title was treasurer. It was not chief	9 of the question.
10 financial officer.	10 A. No.
11 A. I don't recall that being my title.	11 Q. Is it because loans of that amount
12 Q. Okay. And in May of 2019, however,	12 would have had to be approved by someone else?
13 I think you testified you were the chief	13 A. Yes.
14 financial officer of the debtor; correct?	14 Q. Who in '20 – in May of 2019, if
15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	15 Highland wanted to loan 5 million or
16 of the question.	16 \$2.4 million to someone, what would have been
17 A. Yes, I was – yes.	17 the internal approval procedure?
18 Q. Okay. As such, in May of 2019, did	18 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
19 you have the authority, to your understanding,	19 of the question.
20 to unilaterally loan \$5 million or \$2.4 million	20 A. If – if we had loans of that nature
21 to anyone on behalf of the debtor?	21 that needed to be made due to their size, we
22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	22 would have gotten approval from the – the
23 of the question.	23 president of Highland.
24 A. Sorry, can you repeat that?	24 Q. And who that was individual?
25 Q. Yes. So in your capacity as the	25 A. It was James Dondero.
Page 272	
Faye 272	Page 2/3
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 273 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a	
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a</li> <li>similar question but for a different entity.</li> <li>In May of 2019, as the treasurer of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a</li> <li>similar question but for a different entity.</li> <li>In May of 2019, as the treasurer of</li> <li>HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a</li> <li>similar question but for a different entity.</li> <li>In May of 2019, as the treasurer of</li> <li>HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally</li> <li>had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a</li> <li>similar question but for a different entity.</li> <li>In May of 2019, as the treasurer of</li> <li>HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally</li> <li>had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the</li> <li>borrower of a \$5 million loan and a</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James - Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a</li> <li>similar question but for a different entity.</li> <li>In May of 2019, as the treasurer of</li> <li>HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally</li> <li>had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the</li> <li>borrower of a \$5 million loan and a</li> <li>\$2.4 million loan?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.4In May of 2019, as the treasurer of5HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally6had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the7borrower of a \$5 million loan and a8\$2.4 million loan?9MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.4In May of 2019, as the treasurer of5HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally6had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the7borrower of a \$5 million loan and a8\$2.4 million loan?9MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form10of the question.11A. No.12Q. What would what would the	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was</li> <li>asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with</li> <li>respect to TerreStar, without writing us a</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.4In May of 2019, as the treasurer of5HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally6had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the7borrower of a \$5 million loan and a8\$2.4 million loan?9MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form10of the question.11A. No.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was</li> <li>asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.4In May of 2019, as the treasurer of5HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally6had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the7borrower of a \$5 million loan and a8\$2.4 million loan?9MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form10of the question.11A. No.12Q. What would what would the13approval have taken place strike that.14What would the approval process have	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was</li> <li>asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with</li> <li>respect to TerreStar, without writing us a</li> <li>novel, unless you feel like you have to, can</li> <li>you summarize what that NAV error was? What</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.4In May of 2019, as the treasurer of5HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally6had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the7borrower of a \$5 million loan and a8\$2.4 million loan?9MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form10of the question.11A. No.12Q. What would – what would the13approval have taken place – strike that.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was</li> <li>asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with</li> <li>respect to TerreStar, without writing us a</li> <li>novel, unless you feel like you have to, can</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.4In May of 2019, as the treasurer of5HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally6had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the7borrower of a \$5 million loan and a8\$2.4 million loan?9MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form10of the question.11A. No.12Q. What would what would the13approval have taken place strike that.14What would the approval process have	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was</li> <li>asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with</li> <li>respect to TerreStar, without writing us a</li> <li>novel, unless you feel like you have to, can</li> <li>you summarize what that NAV error was? What</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a</li> <li>similar question but for a different entity.</li> <li>In May of 2019, as the treasurer of</li> <li>HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally</li> <li>had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the</li> <li>borrower of a \$5 million loan and a</li> <li>\$2.4 million loan?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. What would – what would the</li> <li>approval have taken place – strike that.</li> <li>What would the approval process have</li> <li>been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was</li> <li>asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with</li> <li>respect to TerreStar, without writing us a</li> <li>novel, unless you feel like you have to, can</li> <li>you summarize what that NAV error was? What</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.4In May of 2019, as the treasurer of5HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally6had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the7borrower of a \$5 million loan and a8\$2.4 million loan?9MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form10of the question.11A. No.12Q. What would what would the13approval have taken place strike that.14What would the approval process have15been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to16take out a \$7.4 million loan?	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was</li> <li>asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with</li> <li>respect to TerreStar, without writing us a</li> <li>novel, unless you feel like you have to, can</li> <li>you summarize what that NAV error was? What</li> <li>happened?</li> <li>A. There was a – in the Highland</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a         3       similar question but for a different entity.         4       In May of 2019, as the treasurer of         5       HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally         6       had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the         7       borrower of a \$5 million loan and a         8       \$2.4 million loan?         9       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         10       of the question.         11       A. No.         12       Q. What would – what would the         13       approval have taken place – strike that.         14       What would the approval process have         15       been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to         16       take out a \$7.4 million loan?         17       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was</li> <li>asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with</li> <li>respect to TerreStar, without writing us a</li> <li>novel, unless you feel like you have to, can</li> <li>you summarize what that NAV error was? What</li> <li>happened?</li> <li>A. There was a – in the Highland</li> <li>Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an</li> </ul>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a         3       similar question but for a different entity.         4       In May of 2019, as the treasurer of         5       HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally         6       had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the         7       borrower of a \$5 million loan and a         8       \$2.4 million loan?         9       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         10       of the question.         11       A. No.         12       Q. What would what would the         13       approval have taken place strike that.         14       What would the approval process have         15       been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to         16       take out a \$7.4 million loan?         17       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         18       of the question.	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was</li> <li>asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with</li> <li>respect to TerreStar, without writing us a</li> <li>novel, unless you feel like you have to, can</li> <li>you summarize what that NAV error was? What</li> <li>happened?</li> <li>A. There was a – in the Highland</li> <li>Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an</li> <li>equity interest in a company called TerreStar.</li> </ul>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a         3       similar question but for a different entity.         4       In May of 2019, as the treasurer of         5       HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally         6       had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the         7       borrower of a \$5 million loan and a         8       \$2.4 million loan?         9       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         10       of the question.         11       A. No.         12       Q. What would – what would the         13       approval have taken place – strike that.         14       What would the approval process have         15       been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to         16       take out a \$7.4 million loan?         17       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         18       of the question.         19       A. The process would have been similar	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was</li> <li>asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with</li> <li>respect to TerreStar, without writing us a</li> <li>novel, unless you feel like you have to, can</li> <li>you summarize what that NAV error was? What</li> <li>happened?</li> <li>A. There was a – in the Highland</li> <li>Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an</li> <li>equity interest in a company called TerreStar.</li> <li>And TerreStar is – at the time was a private</li> </ul>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a         3       similar question but for a different entity.         4       In May of 2019, as the treasurer of         5       HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally         6       had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the         7       borrower of a \$5 million loan and a         8       \$2.4 million loan?         9       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         10       of the question.         11       A. No.         12       Q. What would – what would the         13       approval have taken place – strike that.         14       What would the approval process have         15       been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to         16       take out a \$7.4 million loan?         17       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         18       of the question.         19       A. The process would have been similar         20       to what we just discussed on – for Highland to	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was</li> <li>asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with</li> <li>respect to TerreStar, without writing us a</li> <li>novel, unless you feel like you have to, can</li> <li>you summarize what that NAV error was? What</li> <li>happened?</li> <li>A. There was a – in the Highland</li> <li>Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an</li> <li>equity interest in a company called TerreStar.</li> <li>And TerreStar is – at the time was a private</li> <li>company, and it may still be today. Again, I'm</li> </ul>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a         3       similar question but for a different entity.         4       In May of 2019, as the treasurer of         5       HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally         6       had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the         7       borrower of a \$5 million loan and a         8       \$2.4 million loan?         9       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         10       of the question.         11       A. No.         12       Q. What would – what would the         13       approval have taken place – strike that.         14       What would the approval process have         15       been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to         16       take out a \$7.4 million loan?         17       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         18       of the question.         19       A. The process would have been similar         20       to what we just discussed on – for Highland to         21       make a loan to others. So, again, you know,	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was</li> <li>asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with</li> <li>respect to TerreStar, without writing us a</li> <li>novel, unless you feel like you have to, can</li> <li>you summarize what that NAV error was? What</li> <li>happened?</li> <li>A. There was a – in the Highland</li> <li>Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an</li> <li>equity interest in a company called TerreStar.</li> <li>And TerreStar is – at the time was a private</li> <li>company, and it may still be today. Again, I'm</li> <li>putting myself back then as a private company.</li> </ul>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a         3       similar question but for a different entity.         4       In May of 2019, as the treasurer of         5       HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally         6       had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the         7       borrower of a \$5 million loan and a         8       \$2.4 million loan?         9       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         10       of the question.         11       A. No.         12       Q. What would – what would the         13       approval have taken place – strike that.         14       What would the approval process have         15       been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to         16       take out a \$7.4 million loan?         17       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         18       of the question.         19       A. The process would have been similar         20       to what we just discussed on – for Highland to         21       make a loan to others. So, again, you know,         22       we – we would have – either myself or someone	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was</li> <li>asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with</li> <li>respect to TerreStar, without writing us a</li> <li>novel, unless you feel like you have to, can</li> <li>you summarize what that NAV error was? What</li> <li>happened?</li> <li>A. There was a – in the Highland</li> <li>Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an</li> <li>equity interest in a company called TerreStar.</li> <li>And TerreStar is – at the time was a private</li> <li>company, and it may still be today. Again, I'm</li> <li>putting myself back then as a private company.</li> <li>We had – sorry, I don't mean we –</li> </ul>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a         3       similar question but for a different entity.         4       In May of 2019, as the treasurer of         5       HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally         6       had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the         7       borrower of a \$5 million loan and a         8       \$2.4 million loan?         9       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         10       of the question.         11       A. No.         12       Q. What would – what would the         13       approval have taken place – strike that.         14       What would the approval process have         15       been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to         16       take out a \$7.4 million loan?         17       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         18       of the question.         19       A. The process would have been similar         20       to what we just discussed on – for Highland to         21       make a loan to others. So, again, you know,         22       we – we would have – either myself or someone         23       on the team would have discussed this with	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. That was James – Jim Dondero.</li> <li>Q. So do I understand that in May of</li> <li>2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,</li> <li>and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have</li> <li>had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was</li> <li>asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with</li> <li>respect to TerreStar, without writing us a</li> <li>novel, unless you feel like you have to, can</li> <li>you summarize what that NAV error was? What</li> <li>happened?</li> <li>A. There was a – in the Highland</li> <li>Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an</li> <li>equity interest in a company called TerreStar.</li> <li>And TerreStar is – at the time was a private</li> <li>company, and it may still be today. Again, I'm</li> <li>putting myself back then as a private company.</li> <li>We had – sorry, I don't mean we –</li> <li>the fund and the advisor used Houlihan Lokey</li> </ul>

	D 074			D 075
1	Page 274 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 275
2	executed at market levels that were much lower	2	And given that there was this fund	
3	than the Houlihan Lokey model.	3	was, as we discussed – I don't know if we	
4	And based on information and	4	discussed it, but it was an open-ended fund	
5	discussions with the portfolio managers and,	5	that was going that was converting to a	
6	you know, principals that were very familiar	6	close-end fund.	
7	with TerreStar, it was determined that those	7	Due to the fact that it was an	
8	trades were non-orderly and they were not	8	open-ended fund, you had to recalculate NAV and	
9	considered in the valuation as consulted with	9	see what the impact was on people - on	
10	Houlihan Lokey and PricewaterhouseCoopers at	10	investors coming in and out of the fund and if	
11	the time.	11	there is a detrimental impact and to calculate	
12	Subsequent to a – I can't remember	12	what that – what that impact was and if there	
13	the exact circumstances of why the SEC got	13	was any amounts owed to the fund pursuant to	
14	involved. I think it was due to this – this	14	the error.	
15	investment became a material position in the	15	Q. Were you personally involved	
16	fund. It triggered an SEC, kind of, inquiry.	16	internally at either Highland or HCMFA with	
17	And as part of that inquiry, they questioned	17	these investigations and discussions with the	
18	the valuation methodology. "They" meaning the	18	SEC?	
19		19	A. Iwas.	
20	And at the culmination of that	20	Q. Which other key people or senior	
21	process – this is all summarized – the value	21	people at Highland were involved, to your	
22	that was – that ultimately had to be used in	22	recollection?	
23	the fund's NAV was different than – materially	23	A. Myself, Thomas Surgent, David Klos,	
24	different than what the original valuation at	24	Lauren Thedford, Jason Post.	
25	-	25	Q. Mr. Dondero, was he –	
	••			
1	Page 276 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 277
2	A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying	2	A. I don't recall specifically.	
3	to think. And maybe that is – that is – that	3	Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused	
4	is – that is all kind I can recall at the	4	any funds to be paid to the investors and the	
5	moment.	5	fund the subject of the NAV error?	
6	Q. Do you recall whether it was	6	A. Yes.	
7	determined that the fund suffered losses as a	7	Q. Do you recall the approximate amount	
8	result of this error?	8	of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the	
9	A. The – the fund – the – the –	9	fund?	
10	because the open-ended nature of the fund,	10	A. It was – it was approximately	
11	there were losses that were attributable to	11	\$7 million.	
12	investors. Meaning they – they would have	12	Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million,	
13	redeemed and got a less money or – or they	13	would that ring more true or are you sticking	
14	subscribed in and maybe because they didn't get	14	with your original answer?	
14	enough shares and then they later sold and then	15	A. It was – it was approximately 7 –	
16	they were harmed in that fashion.	16	7 to \$8 million. Again, I don't remember the	
17	And there is – there is – there	17	exact number, but it was in that ballpark.	
18	were very – there were very detailed	18	Q. So regardless of whether HCMFA	
19	calculations and, you know, all these different	19	accepted fault or liability, it caused some	
20	scenarios that we had to – I'm sorry, I keep	20	\$7 million or more to be paid out to affected	
20	saying "we" – that the individuals involved	20	investors in the fund?	
22	had to calculate and quantify.	22	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
22	Q. Well, do you recall whether HCMFA	22	of the question.	
23	admitted certain fault and liability for this	23	A. And I want to make sure I'm	
24	-	24	understanding your question because there is a	
Ľ				

_	Page 278			Page 279
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Faye 219
2	lot of different entities that are going on to	2	it depends on who you talk to, but yes,	
3	my head.	3	generally, there were there are multiple	
4	I think what you are saying is based	4	agreements.	
5	on this error, shareholders were harmed by this	5	Q. Pursuant to one or more of those	
6	approximately \$7.8 million – by approximately	6	agreements, was the debtor providing certain	
7	\$7.8 million. Is that what you are asking?	7	services to HCMFA?	
8	Q. Yes, sir.	8	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
9	A. Yes, that was again, I don't have	9	of the question.	
10	the exact numbers. If I take – it was – it	10	A. Yes.	
11	was in that ballpark, and there is a detail	11	Q. And can you at a very high level	
12	calculation and write-up that could, that	12	summarize in 2018 and 2019 what those services	
13	that exists someplace.	13	were?	
14	Q. Now, at that time, at the time that	14	A. Yes, there was a – yes.	
15	the NAV error occurred, was there a contract in	15	Q. Okay. Please – please go – go	
16	place between HCMFA and the debtor pursuant to	16	through a short summary.	
17	which the debtor was providing services to	17	A. There was a – a cost reimbursement	
18	HCMFA?	18	agreement between Highland Capital Management	
19	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	19	Fund Advisors and Highland Capital Management,	
20	of the question.	20	L.P. That agreement was for what we referred	
21	A. Yes.	21	to as front office services, so investment	
22	Q. Was that contract generally called a	22	management, things of that nature.	
23	shared services agreement?	23	There was I think what most people	
24	A. It was generally called that, but	24	refer to as the shared services agreement that	
25	there were – there were – I mean, it – it –	25	was – that agreement was between Highland	
	Page 280			Page 281
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Capital Management Fund Advisors and Highland	2	Q. And then you mentioned that the fund	
3	Capital Management for back office services.	3	was being closed and some compensation related	
4	Q. And can you summarize what you mean	4	to that. Can you – can you elaborate? What	
5	by back office services?	5	were you referring to?	
6	A. Those services were for accounting,	6	A. Right. So the advisor, pursuant to	
	finance, tax, valuation, HR, IT, you know,	7	board approval, put a proposal in front of the	
8	legal compliance, things of things of those	8	shareholders of the Highland Global Allocation	
9	nature – or things of that nature, excuse me.	9	Fund to convert it from an open-ended fund to a	
10	Q. So in the spring of 2019, do you	10	closed-end fund.	
11	recall whether HCMFA took the position that it	11	So an open-ended fund, when	
12	was actually Highland that caused the NAV error	12	shareholders subscribe to the fund or redeem	
13	to occur pursuant to the valuation services	13	into the fund, they do it at NAV.	
14	that Highland was providing?	14	When it is – when you have a	
15	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	15	closed-end fund, closed-end funds are – are	
1	of the question. A. I do not recall.	16	publicly-traded, like on the New York Stock	
17		17	Exchange, exchanges like that, and – and	
1	Q. Did you ever have any discussions	18	shareholders or investors, they're not	
19   20	with anyone, Jim Dondero or anyone in the first half of 2019 as to whether Highland, the	19 20	they're – they're not subscribing and redeeming with the fund. They are like shares	
20	debtor, that is, had any liability to HCMFA	20	of Apple.	
21	related to the NAV error?	21	Those shares of the Highland Global	
22	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	22	Allocation Fund trade on an exchange, and that	
23	of the question.	23	is how you, you know, that is how, you know,	
24	A. I do not recall.	24	you become an equity owner in the fund or you	
Ľ				

	Dogo 202			Daga 202
1	Page 282 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 283
2	sell your shares and you are no longer an	2	for – for these amounts attributable to – it	
3	equity owner.	3	was either the error – you know, the error,	
4	As part of that proposal, the	4	and in that conversation he said, go get the	
5	advisor told shareholders if you if you vote	5	money from Highland. I believe that is what I	
6	for this proposal to – to convert it from an	6	testified earlier, and that that is my	
7	open-ended fund to a closed-end fund, we will	7	recollection.	
8	pay you some amounts of money. I forgot – a	8	Q. Do you recall if that was an	
9	certain number of points. I think it was	9	in-person meeting or some other mode for the	
10	like – it was like two to three points or	10	meeting?	
11	something – something like that.	11	A. $I - I - I$ recall that being	
12	Q. Okay. You mentioned when Mr. Morris	12	in-person.	
13	was asking you, going back to those two	13	Q. Do you recall if anyone else was	
14	promissory notes, you will recall the 5 million	14	present, or was it just you and Mr. Dondero?	
15	and 2.4 million, you mentioned something to the	15	A. I recall just he and I.	
16	effect that Mr. Dondero told – told you to pay	16	Q. And the moneys that he told you to	
17	some moneys out of Highland. Do you remember	17	find from – or get from Highland, was that in	
18	that discussion with Mr. Morris?	18	the amount of \$5 million and \$2.4 million?	
19	A. Ido.	19	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
20	Q. So, to the best of your	20	of the question.	
21	recollection, did you have a discussion with	21	A. I believe so, but I would have to go	
22	Mr. Dondero about making some payments in May	22	back and look and see when those moneys were	
23	of 2019 out of Highland?	23	actually paid into the – into the fund and,	
24	A. I recall, as I testified earlier,	24	you know, when those transfers were done. If	
25	that I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero	25	they were all done around that same time, then	
	David 004		•	D 005
1	Page 284 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 285
2	yes, I would say it was – it was all related	2	Q. Is it possible that you, having	
3	to that.	3	heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds	
4	Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those	4	being transferred, assumed that that would be a	
5	funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA?	5	loan without him actually telling you that	
6	A. I don't recall.	6	would be a loan?	
7	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	7	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
8	of the question.	8	of the question.	
9	Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably	9	A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I	
10	the only non-American born here, but I speak	10	ask the amounts that were transferred that I –	
11	reasonably well in English. I don't recall,	11	that – that I assumed that that was a loan?	
12	does that mean you don't remember or does that	12	Q. Well, let me – let me take – let	
13	mean it didn't happen?	13	me try again.	
14	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	14	So you have established already that	
15	of the question.	15	there were quite a number of promissory notes	
16	A. It – it means I don't – I don't	16	back and forth – I'm sorry, quite a number of	
17	remember.	17	promissory notes with affiliated companies and	
18	Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you to have	18	individuals owing Highland money; right?	
19	those two promissory notes prepared?	19	A. Yes.	
20	A. I don't recall.	20	Q. And you have established that there	
21	Q. When you – again, when you say, I	21	were many transactions and transfers going back	
22	don't recall today, that means that sitting	22	and forth over the years; right?	
23	here today, you just don't remember one way or	23	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
24	the other. Is that accurate?	24	A. In – yes, in my capacity as CFO and	
25	A. Yes.	25	my employment, yes, that is – yes.	

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-3 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 74 of 131

	D 000			Dama 007
11	Page 286 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 287
2	Q. And that's part of the reason why	2	Q. And that is fine. That – that –	
3	you just can't remember some of the details	3	that is why I asked the question.	
4	today because this – this happened years ago,	4	ls it possible in May of 2019 when	
5	and there were a number of transactions. Is	5	Mr. Dondero told you to transfer the funds from	
6	that accurate?	6	Highland, you just assumed on your own that	
7	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	7	those would be loans without him actually	
8	form.	8	telling you that those would be loans?	
9	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	9	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
10	of the question.	10	of the question.	
11	A. I mean, I deal with thousands of –	11	A. I don't know.	
12	of – of transactions, you know, whether	12	Q. I'm sorry, you –	
13	it has the processing of transactions, you	13	A. I said I don't know.	
14	know, if it has got, you know, more – more	14	Q. Okay. Well, as the – as the CFO	
I	zeros, you know, behind it than others.	15	for Highland, if you saw \$7.4 million going	
15			out, you would feel some responsibility to	
	When you look at thousands of	16		
11/	transactions over the years for funds and	17   18	account for that, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
18	advisors and – and, you know, financial		-	
19	statements, I mean, it is – it is very hard	19	of the question.	
20	going back in – in – in my – you know,	20	A. Yes.	
21	14-ish year career at – at Highland to	21	Q. Is it fair to say that those would	
22	remember a lot of those details, especially	22	be in the range large enough to rise up to your	
23	when I don't have any records or books or	23	level?	
24	anything like that, and and going back many	24	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
25	years.	25	of the question.	
	Page 288			Page 289
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	A IT I don't know It I Understand			
		2	of the question.	
3	your question. Those amounts would arise to my	2	A. I don't know. As I testified	
4	your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or	3 4	A. I don't know. As I testified earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero	
45	your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a	3 4 5	A. I don't know. As I testified earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero about – about the – the – the moneys that	
4	your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all	3 4	A. I don't know. As I testified earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero about – about the – the – the moneys that were needed for the NAV error. And I recall	
4 5 6 7	your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you?	3 4 5 6 7	A. I don't know. As I testified earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero about – about the – the – the moneys that were needed for the NAV error. And I recall him saying go get it from Highland – or get it	
4 5 6	your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	3 4 5 6	A. I don't know. As I testified earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero about – about the – the – the moneys that were needed for the NAV error. And I recall him saying go get it from Highland – or get it from Highland.	
4 5 6 7	your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I don't know. As I testified</li> <li>earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero</li> <li>about – about the – the – the moneys that</li> <li>were needed for the NAV error. And I recall</li> <li>him saying go get it from Highland – or get it</li> <li>from Highland.</li> <li>Q. Well, why did you sign those</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>your question. Those amounts would arise to my</li> <li>level where I would be involved or</li> <li>Q. You would want to know what a</li> <li>transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all</li> <li>about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I don't know. As I testified</li> <li>earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero</li> <li>about – about the – the – the moneys that</li> <li>were needed for the NAV error. And I recall</li> <li>him saying go get it from Highland – or get it</li> <li>from Highland.</li> <li>Q. Well, why did you sign those</li> <li>promissory notes and why didn't you have him</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9	your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I don't know. As I testified</li> <li>earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero</li> <li>about – about the – the – the moneys that</li> <li>were needed for the NAV error. And I recall</li> <li>him saying go get it from Highland – or get it</li> <li>from Highland.</li> <li>Q. Well, why did you sign those</li> <li>promissory notes and why didn't you have him</li> <li>sign them?</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>your question. Those amounts would arise to my</li> <li>level where I would be involved or</li> <li>Q. You would want to know what a</li> <li>transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all</li> <li>about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I</li> <li>review all sorts of payments, I mean, even</li> <li>smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis,</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A. I don't know. As I testified earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero about – about the – the – the moneys that were needed for the NAV error. And I recall him saying go get it from Highland – or get it from Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those promissory notes and why didn't you have him sign them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>your question. Those amounts would arise to my</li> <li>level where I would be involved or</li> <li>Q. You would want to know what a</li> <li>transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all</li> <li>about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I</li> <li>review all sorts of payments, I mean, even</li> <li>smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis,</li> <li>you know, to – to – to understand and to make</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	A. I don't know. As I testified earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero about – about the – the – the moneys that were needed for the NAV error. And I recall him saying go get it from Highland – or get it from Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those promissory notes and why didn't you have him sign them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.	
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>your question. Those amounts would arise to my</li> <li>level where I would be involved or</li> <li>Q. You would want to know what a</li> <li>transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all</li> <li>about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I</li> <li>review all sorts of payments, I mean, even</li> <li>smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis,</li> <li>you know, to – to – to understand and to make</li> <li>sure that we are paying things in a – you</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I don't know. As I testified</li> <li>earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero</li> <li>about – about the – the – the moneys that</li> <li>were needed for the NAV error. And I recall</li> <li>him saying go get it from Highland – or get it</li> <li>from Highland.</li> <li>Q. Well, why did you sign those</li> <li>promissory notes and why didn't you have him</li> <li>sign them?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't know. I don't know.</li> </ul>	
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or</li> <li>Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I don't know. As I testified</li> <li>earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero</li> <li>about – about the – the – the moneys that</li> <li>were needed for the NAV error. And I recall</li> <li>him saying go get it from Highland – or get it</li> <li>from Highland.</li> <li>Q. Well, why did you sign those</li> <li>promissory notes and why didn't you have him</li> <li>sign them?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't know. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned earlier that you</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I don't know. As I testified</li> <li>earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero</li> <li>about – about the – the – the moneys that</li> <li>were needed for the NAV error. And I recall</li> <li>him saying go get it from Highland – or get it</li> <li>from Highland.</li> <li>Q. Well, why did you sign those</li> <li>promissory notes and why didn't you have him</li> <li>sign them?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't know. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned earlier that you</li> <li>typically don't sign promissory notes. Am I</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or</li> <li>Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I don't know. As I testified</li> <li>earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero</li> <li>about – about the – the – the moneys that</li> <li>were needed for the NAV error. And I recall</li> <li>him saying go get it from Highland – or get it</li> <li>from Highland.</li> <li>Q. Well, why did you sign those</li> <li>promissory notes and why didn't you have him</li> <li>sign them?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't know. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned earlier that you</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I don't know. As I testified</li> <li>earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero</li> <li>about – about the – the – the moneys that</li> <li>were needed for the NAV error. And I recall</li> <li>him saying go get it from Highland – or get it</li> <li>from Highland.</li> <li>Q. Well, why did you sign those</li> <li>promissory notes and why didn't you have him</li> <li>sign them?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't know. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned earlier that you</li> <li>typically don't sign promissory notes. Am I</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>your question. Those amounts would arise to my</li> <li>level where I would be involved or</li> <li>Q. You would want to know what a</li> <li>transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all</li> <li>about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I</li> <li>review all sorts of payments, I mean, even</li> <li>smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis,</li> <li>you know, to – to – to understand and to make</li> <li>sure that we are paying things in a – you</li> <li>know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you</li> <li>know – and we're – and we're paying things</li> <li>pursuant to vendor contracts and things like</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I don't know. As I testified</li> <li>earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero</li> <li>about – about the – the – the moneys that</li> <li>were needed for the NAV error. And I recall</li> <li>him saying go get it from Highland – or get it</li> <li>from Highland.</li> <li>Q. Well, why did you sign those</li> <li>promissory notes and why didn't you have him</li> <li>sign them?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't know. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned earlier that you</li> <li>typically don't sign promissory notes. Am I</li> <li>remembering your testimony correctly?</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or</li> <li>Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things like that.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I don't know. As I testified</li> <li>earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero</li> <li>about – about the – the – the moneys that</li> <li>were needed for the NAV error. And I recall</li> <li>him saying go get it from Highland – or get it</li> <li>from Highland.</li> <li>Q. Well, why did you sign those</li> <li>promissory notes and why didn't you have him</li> <li>sign them?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't know. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned earlier that you</li> <li>typically don't sign promissory notes. Am I</li> <li>remembering your testimony correctly?</li> <li>I mean, promissory notes on behalf</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or</li> <li>Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things pursuant to vendor contracts and things like that.</li> <li>Q. So as part of that, is it possible</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. I don't know. As I testified</li> <li>earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero</li> <li>about – about the – the – the moneys that</li> <li>were needed for the NAV error. And I recall</li> <li>him saying go get it from Highland – or get it</li> <li>from Highland.</li> <li>Q. Well, why did you sign those</li> <li>promissory notes and why didn't you have him</li> <li>sign them?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't know. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned earlier that you</li> <li>typically don't sign promissory notes. Am I</li> <li>remembering your testimony correctly?</li> <li>I mean, promissory notes on behalf</li> <li>of the entities. Not yourself, obviously.</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or</li> <li>Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things like that.</li> <li>Q. So as part of that, is it possible that seeing \$7.4 million go out you would have</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I don't know. As I testified</li> <li>earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero</li> <li>about – about the – the – the moneys that</li> <li>were needed for the NAV error. And I recall</li> <li>him saying go get it from Highland – or get it</li> <li>from Highland.</li> <li>Q. Well, why did you sign those</li> <li>promissory notes and why didn't you have him</li> <li>sign them?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't know. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned earlier that you</li> <li>typically don't sign promissory notes. Am I</li> <li>remembering your testimony correctly?</li> <li>I mean, promissory notes on behalf</li> <li>of the entities. Not yourself, obviously.</li> <li>A. Yes, that is what I said earlier.</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or</li> <li>Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things like that.</li> <li>Q. So as part of that, is it possible that seeing \$7.4 million go out you would have promissory notes made in order to keep a paper</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I don't know. As I testified</li> <li>earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero</li> <li>about – about the – the – the moneys that</li> <li>were needed for the NAV error. And I recall</li> <li>him saying go get it from Highland – or get it</li> <li>from Highland.</li> <li>Q. Well, why did you sign those</li> <li>promissory notes and why didn't you have him</li> <li>sign them?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't know. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned earlier that you</li> <li>typically don't sign promissory notes. Am I</li> <li>remembering your testimony correctly?</li> <li>I mean, promissory notes on behalf</li> <li>of the entities. Not yourself, obviously.</li> <li>A. Yes, that is what I said earlier.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall any other promissory</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or</li> <li>Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things pursuant to vendor contracts and things like that.</li> <li>Q. So as part of that, is it possible that seeing \$7.4 million go out you would have promissory notes made in order to keep a paper trail, assuming that those were loans, when</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I don't know. As I testified</li> <li>earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero</li> <li>about – about the – the – the moneys that</li> <li>were needed for the NAV error. And I recall</li> <li>him saying go get it from Highland – or get it</li> <li>from Highland.</li> <li>Q. Well, why did you sign those</li> <li>promissory notes and why didn't you have him</li> <li>sign them?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't know. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned earlier that you</li> <li>typically don't sign promissory notes. Am I</li> <li>remembering your testimony correctly?</li> <li>I mean, promissory notes on behalf</li> <li>of the entities. Not yourself, obviously.</li> <li>A. Yes, that is what I said earlier.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall any other promissory</li> </ul>	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or</li> <li>Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things like that.</li> <li>Q. So as part of that, is it possible that seeing \$7.4 million go out you would have promissory notes made in order to keep a paper trail, assuming that those were loans, when perhaps they were never intended to be loans by</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I don't know. As I testified</li> <li>earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero</li> <li>about – about the – the – the moneys that</li> <li>were needed for the NAV error. And I recall</li> <li>him saying go get it from Highland – or get it</li> <li>from Highland.</li> <li>Q. Well, why did you sign those</li> <li>promissory notes and why didn't you have him</li> <li>sign them?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't know. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned earlier that you</li> <li>typically don't sign promissory notes. Am I</li> <li>remembering your testimony correctly?</li> <li>I mean, promissory notes on behalf</li> <li>of the entities. Not yourself, obviously.</li> <li>A. Yes, that is what I said earlier.</li> <li>Q. Do you recall any other promissory</li> <li>notes in the million-plus range that you had</li> <li>ever signed before on behalf of any entity?</li> </ul>	

1	Pag	e 290		Page 291
1 '	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Tage 201
2	don't I don't recall generally. I don't	2	2 Q. Strike that.	
3	l don't recall.	3	B Do you recall whether you sent an	
4	Q. So – but to the best of your	4	email to anyone asking them to draft those two	
5	recollection, it was on your initiative,	5	promissory notes?	
6	following your discussion with Mr. Dondero,	6	6 A. I don't recall because, again,	
7	that you had someone draft those two promissory	7	once – I would have instructed – likely	
8	notes; is that correct?	8	instructed the team to – to work with the	
9	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	9	egal group to draft these documents.	
10	of the question.	1	0 I – I – I – yeah, I didn't – I	
11	A. Yes, we would have – the team, as I	1	1 mean, that is more an operational-type	
12	stated earlier, we don't draft promissory	12	2 procedure. So, you know, a manager or a	
13	notes. "The team" meaning the accounting and	1:	3 controller or working with legal. You know,	
14	finance team.	14	4 they they can certainly handle that task to	
15	So the team would have worked with	1	5 get that you know, to request that from	
16	the legal group at Highland to draft any notes.	10	6 legal.	
17	Q. Do you believe or do you have any	1	7 Q. And who on your team do you think	
18	recollection as to whether you would have done	18	8 you would have asked to do that?	
19	that pursuant to an email or telephone call or	19	9 MR. MORRIS: Objection	
20	in-person meeting?	20	0 Q. Who would have been the logical	
21	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	2	1 person or people, if you don't remember their	
22	of the question.	2	2 name today?	
23	A. Are you asking if I would have if	2	3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
24	those notes would have been drafted pursuant to	24	4 of the question.	
25	an email or phone call?	2	5 A. It it there is only two	
		292		Page 293
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1		
2	managers of the group. That would have been		<b>o</b>	
3	Dave Klos or Kristin Hendrix.	3		
4	Dave was the one of his duties	4	5	
5	was managing the valuation team, and so he was	5		
6	intimately involved with this process. So, you	6		
7	know	7		
8	Q. Okay.	8	5 5	
9	A. I don't recall specifically but, I	S S	, <b>0</b> 0	
140	mean, my general you know, I I I	10	0 to their desk that – that is something that I	
10	likely would have talked to Dave first also it t	الجر ا	1 want dana way know	
11	likely would have talked to Dave first about it	1		
11 12	versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been	1:	2 Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,	
11 12 13	versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been intimately involved.	1: 1:	2 Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse, 3 getting those two promissory notes in paper	
11 12 13 14	versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been intimately involved. Q. And – and do you have a view as to	12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,</li> <li>3 getting those two promissory notes in paper</li> <li>4 format or by email before they were executed?</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15	versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been intimately involved. Q. And – and do you have a view as to whether it is most likely that you would have	1: 1: 14 14	<ul> <li>Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,</li> <li>getting those two promissory notes in paper</li> <li>format or by email before they were executed?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16	versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been intimately involved. Q. And – and do you have a view as to whether it is most likely that you would have done that by email or in-person or how would	1: 1; 14 1; 1; 1(	<ul> <li>Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,</li> <li>getting those two promissory notes in paper</li> <li>format or by email before they were executed?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been intimately involved. Q. And – and do you have a view as to whether it is most likely that you would have done that by email or in-person or how would you believe you would have communicated that to	12 13 14 14 19 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,</li> <li>getting those two promissory notes in paper</li> <li>format or by email before they were executed?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been intimately involved. Q. And – and do you have a view as to whether it is most likely that you would have done that by email or in-person or how would you believe you would have communicated that to Mr. Klos?	1: 1: 14 1: 10 1: 11	<ul> <li>Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,</li> <li>getting those two promissory notes in paper</li> <li>format or by email before they were executed?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. For whatever was the ordinary course</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been intimately involved. Q. And – and do you have a view as to whether it is most likely that you would have done that by email or in-person or how would you believe you would have communicated that to Mr. Klos? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	1: 1: 14 14 19 10 11 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,</li> <li>getting those two promissory notes in paper</li> <li>format or by email before they were executed?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. For whatever was the ordinary course</li> <li>back then in May 2019, would you expect to have</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been intimately involved. Q. And – and do you have a view as to whether it is most likely that you would have done that by email or in-person or how would you believe you would have communicated that to Mr. Klos? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.	1: 1: 14 19 10 11 11 11 11 20	<ul> <li>Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,</li> <li>getting those two promissory notes in paper</li> <li>format or by email before they were executed?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. For whatever was the ordinary course</li> <li>back then in May 2019, would you expect to have</li> <li>received them only on paper or would you have</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been intimately involved. Q. And – and do you have a view as to whether it is most likely that you would have done that by email or in-person or how would you believe you would have communicated that to Mr. Klos? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I likely would have done that in	1: 1: 14 1: 11 11 11 12 20 2	<ul> <li>Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,</li> <li>getting those two promissory notes in paper</li> <li>format or by email before they were executed?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. For whatever was the ordinary course</li> <li>back then in May 2019, would you expect to have</li> <li>received them only on paper or would you have</li> <li>expected to have received them in Word document</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been intimately involved. Q. And – and do you have a view as to whether it is most likely that you would have done that by email or in-person or how would you believe you would have communicated that to Mr. Klos? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I likely would have done that in person. Again, if things of this nature	1: 1: 14 1: 1: 1: 1: 1: 2: 2: 2:	<ul> <li>Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,</li> <li>getting those two promissory notes in paper</li> <li>format or by email before they were executed?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. For whatever was the ordinary course</li> <li>back then in May 2019, would you expect to have</li> <li>received them only on paper or would you have</li> <li>expected to have received them in Word document</li> <li>or PDF document by email?</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been intimately involved. Q. And – and do you have a view as to whether it is most likely that you would have done that by email or in-person or how would you believe you would have communicated that to Mr. Klos? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I likely would have done that in person. Again, if things of this nature that – again, you have to put ourselves back	1: 1: 14 19 10 11 11 20 22 22 22 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,</li> <li>getting those two promissory notes in paper</li> <li>format or by email before they were executed?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. For whatever was the ordinary course</li> <li>back then in May 2019, would you expect to have</li> <li>received them only on paper or would you have</li> <li>expected to have received them in Word document</li> <li>or PDF document by email?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been intimately involved. Q. And – and do you have a view as to whether it is most likely that you would have done that by email or in-person or how would you believe you would have communicated that to Mr. Klos? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I likely would have done that in person. Again, if things of this nature that – again, you have to put ourselves back to, we have been working on this very stressful	1: 1: 14 19 10 11 11 20 22 22 22 22 22 24	<ul> <li>Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,</li> <li>getting those two promissory notes in paper</li> <li>format or by email before they were executed?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. For whatever was the ordinary course</li> <li>back then in May 2019, would you expect to have</li> <li>received them only on paper or would you have</li> <li>expected to have received them in Word document</li> <li>or PDF document by email?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> </ul>	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been intimately involved. Q. And – and do you have a view as to whether it is most likely that you would have done that by email or in-person or how would you believe you would have communicated that to Mr. Klos? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I likely would have done that in person. Again, if things of this nature that – again, you have to put ourselves back	1: 1: 14 19 10 11 11 20 22 22 22 22 22	<ul> <li>Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,</li> <li>getting those two promissory notes in paper</li> <li>format or by email before they were executed?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. For whatever was the ordinary course</li> <li>back then in May 2019, would you expect to have</li> <li>received them only on paper or would you have</li> <li>expected to have received them in Word document</li> <li>or PDF document by email?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> </ul>	

	5 001			5 005
1	Page 294 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 295
2	few documents via email. I can't say that it	2	And my assistant, you know, if she	
3	never happened, but people either stopped by my	3	was there, she would review that - you know,	
4	office and physically walked in documents for	4	whatever was being dropped off. And if that	
5	signature that we discussed face-to-face.	5	has legal, you know, reviewed or – reviewed or	
6	Or documents were – if – if –	6	approved it, if that wasn't – if that stuff	
7	if – if – let's say I wasn't there or I	7	hadn't been done, it was like she would just	
8	wasn't available, documents were dropped off.	8	tell them like, go – go – go to the legal	
9	I had – I had some in- and outboxes in front	9	group, because –	
10	of my – my office there at the Crescent.	10	Q. Let me – let me pause –	
11	Documents would be dropped off for	11	MS. DANDENEAU: Let him finish.	
12	signature. There would be a cover sheet that	12	MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Go ahead.	
13	would be have been applied to those	13	A. I take – go to the legal group	
14	documents detailing, you know, who dropped it	14	because that – that was my – you know, l	
15	off, the purpose, why, what time.	15	didn't I didn't review anything that that	
16	And then, you know, as I stated, I	16	they weren't – you know, or there wasn't some	
17	don't draft documents and I always go to the	17	representation made to me that they had	
18	legal group and the compliance group to make	18	reviewed, approved in some capacity.	
19	sure that they're in the loop. And there is	19	Again, my – my – my goal, as CFO,	
20	a – a box or section that says, Has legal	20	is to provide transparency and make sure that	
21	reviewed or approved, or something to that	21	groups like compliance and other things – and	
22	nature.	22	the other group in legal are – are in – you	
23	Again, I don't – I don't have	23	know, their – they're made aware of	
24	access to that cover sheet anymore, but it	24	transactions of – you know, that are crossing	
25	was – it was something to that effect.	25	my desk.	
	-		,	
1	Page 296 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 297
2	Because I'm not in every	2	Q. Do you know – was there a file at	
3	conversation. They're not in every	3	Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed	
4	conversation – meaning legal compliance – and	4	originals of a promissory notes in general or	
5	I just want to make sure that – that everyone	5	these two promissory notes specifically?	
6	is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent	6	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
7	possible.	7	of the question.	
8	Q. So if we summarize, you don't	8	A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I	
9	specifically remember signing these two notes,	9	understand your question. Are you saying is	
10	but most likely it would have been that they	10	there a file somewhere that has ink-signed	
11	would have presented – been presented to you	11	originals of these two promissory notes?	
12	physically on paper?	12	Q. Yes.	
13	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	13	A. I would – I would assume they're	
14	of the question.	14	some place. I mean –	
15	A. They would – they would have been	15	Q. Well, was there a – was there a	
16	presented physically on paper most likely or	16	place where Highland generally kept originals	
17	someone would have left it. But, I mean,	17	of promissory notes owed to it?	
18	again, I don't – I don't recall.	18	A. I wouldn't no.	
10	Q. Lunderstand. Understand.	19	MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, would you	
20	When you signed – when you signed	20	please pull up my A7, alpha 7.	
21	documents, when you personally signed	21	Q. These are the two promissory notes,	
22	documents, which you personally signed	22	Mr. Waterhouse.	
23	did you use a stamp?	23	(Exhibit A7 marked.)	
23	A. No, $I - I - I$ use a – an – an	23	Q. And please – Mr. Waterhouse, please	
25	ink pen.	25	command my associate to scroll down as you need	
Ĺ			,, <u></u> ,	

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-3 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 77 of 131

	Page 298			Page 299
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 299
2	to, but I want you to take a very close look at	2	did have a an electronic signature, and that	
3	your two signatures here and tell me whether	3	was used from time to time. It wasn't as	
4	you believe, in fact, that you ink signed them	4	common practice back in 2019. It definitely	
5	or whether you –	5	was more common practice when we had to work	
6	MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Rukavina,	6	from home and remotely for COVID because it	
7	Mr. Waterhouse has the copies.	7	that made it almost impossible to, right,	
8	MR. RUKAVINA: Perfect. Then you	8	provide wet signatures since we're all working	
9	can take this down, Mr. Nguyen.	9	from home remotely.	
10	A. These – these – these signatures	10	Q. Well, going just for these two	
11	are identical, now that I stare at them, and I	11	promissory notes, Mr. Waterhouse, in light of	
12	mean, they are so close – I mean, they're	12	your inability to remember any details, are you	
13	identical that, I mean, even with my chicken	13	sure you actually signed either or both of	
14	scratch signature, I don't know if I can – you	14	those notes?	
15	know, I do this 100 times, could I do that	15	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
16	as – as precisely as I see between the two	16	A. I don't recall specifically	
17	notes.	17	signing – actually physically signing these	
18	Q. Well, that is why I ask.	18	notes. As I said before, I don't recall doing	
19	Mr. Waterhouse, now that you have examined	19	that. This – this looks like my signature,	
20	them, does it seem like it is more likely that	20	but yet these two signatures are identical.	
21	you actually electronically signed these?	21	Q. So you don't recall physically	
22	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	22	signing them, and I take it you don't recall	
23	of the question.	23	electronically signing them either?	
24	A. Is – I don't – I don't recall	24	A. I don't recall. You know, Highland	
25	specifically. As I said before, my assistant	25	has all my emails. If that occurred, you know,	
1	Page 300 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 301
	you know, I don't have any of these records is	2	May of 2019 –	
2	what I'm saying. I don't have any of those	3	A. And – and from what I recall, and	
4	records.	4	the reason why I was by myself is – is, you	
5	Q. That is why I'm asking you these	5	know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm	
6	questions in great detail because I don't have	6	sorry.	
	those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that	7	Q. Okay. We have established that by	
8	you will give me some names or some details so	8	May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of	
9	I can go look for more emails, but again, you	9	HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct?	
10	don't remember any – any individual, other	10	A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have	
11	than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you	11	financial statements in front of me, but I	
12	don't remember any individual with whom you	12	think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the	
13	discussed these promissory notes prior to their	13	testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was	
14	execution?	14	the case.	
			Q. In fact, you will recall that in	
I .	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	10		
15	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.	15 16	April of 2019, Mr. Dondero signed a document	
1	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with	15 16 17	April of 2019, Mr. Dondero signed a document that extended the demand feature of two prior	
15 16	of the question.	16		
15 16 17	of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with	16 17	that extended the demand feature of two prior	
15 16 17 18	of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with anybody else.	16 17 18	that extended the demand feature of two prior notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that?	
15 16 17 18 19	of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with anybody else. Q. Okay.	16 17 18 19	that extended the demand feature of two prior notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think you	
15 16 17 18 19 20	of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with anybody else. Q. Okay. A. I mean, prior –	16 17 18 19 20	that extended the demand feature of two prior notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think you might – maybe have the court reporter read	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with anybody else. Q. Okay. A. I mean, prior – Q. I understand.	16 17 18 19 20 21	that extended the demand feature of two prior notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think you might – maybe have the court reporter read that back. You might have misspoke.	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with anybody else. Q. Okay. A. I mean, prior – Q. I understand. A. You know, there was no one else –	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that extended the demand feature of two prior notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think you might – maybe have the court reporter read that back. You might have misspoke. (Record read.)	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with anybody else. Q. Okay. A. I mean, prior – Q. I understand. A. You know, there was no one else – there was no one else in that meeting that I	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that extended the demand feature of two prior notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think you might – maybe have the court reporter read that back. You might have misspoke. (Record read.) MR. RUKAVINA: And I did misspeak.	

			D 000
Page 302	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 303
2 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	2	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
3 of the question.	3 0	of the question.	
4 A. Yes.		A. Sorry, I just want to make sure –	
5 MR. RUKAVINA: And, Mr. Nguyen, just		you asking me, did you say, was it prudent	
6 so that the record is clear, will you please		Highland to loan \$7.4 million to HCMFA a	
7 pull up my Exhibit Alpha 10, A10.		weeks after this document was executed?	
8 (Exhibit A10 marked.)		Q. Yes, and at a time when HCMFA's	
9 Q. You don't have this one in front of		lities exceeded its assets.	
10 you, Mr. Waterhouse? This is the one that	10	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
11 Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you see that		of the question.	
12 document, sir?		A. I don't – it is odd. I don't know.	
13 A. Yes, I do.	13	MR. RUKAVINA: You can take this	
14 Q. And this is what you were testifying		ibit down, Mr. Nguyen.	
15 about before when Mr. Morris was asking you.		Q. Do you recall asking anyone,	
16 Do you remember that?		Dondero or – or anyone outside as to	
17 A. Yes.		ether Highland ought to be lending	
18 Q. So here is my question for you,		4 million to HCMF regarding HCMF's	
19 Mr. Waterhouse: As the chief financial officer		ditworthiness?	
20 of Highland, was it prudent for Highland less	20	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
21 than three weeks later to be lending		of the question.	
22 \$7.2 million to an insolvent entity that		A. I don't recall.	
23 couldn't even then pay its debts back to		Q. Did you receive personally any of	
24 Highland?		\$7.4 million?	
25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		A. No.	
,			
Page 304	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 305
2 Q. Did you even -	2	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes	
3 MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that		in, Mr. Nguyen.	
4 question, sir.		Q. You can have them in front of you,	
5 MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he		ibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier	
6 answered, John, or my new one?		ou. If you go to your signature page, my	
7 MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question,	-	stion to you is, why did you not include	
8 Davor.		r title as treasurer by your name, Frank	
9 MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him	-	erhouse?	
10 whether he received any of the	10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
11 \$7.4 million. He said no.	11	A. I didn't I didn't draft this	
12 MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there		pument.	
13 was a question after that. Maybe I was	13	Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it	
5		Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it lraft it correctly?	
13 was a question after that. Maybe I was	14 to c	•	
<ul><li>13 was a question after that. Maybe I was</li><li>14 mistaken. I apologize.</li></ul>	14 to c 15	lraft it correctly?	
<ol> <li>13 was a question after that. Maybe I was</li> <li>14 mistaken. I apologize.</li> <li>15 MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new</li> </ol>	14 to c 15 16	Iraft it correctly? A. Yes.	
<ol> <li>13 was a question after that. Maybe I was</li> <li>14 mistaken. I apologize.</li> <li>15 MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new</li> <li>16 question, so here, let me start the new</li> </ol>	14 to c 15 16 17 this	Iraft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed	
<ul> <li>13 was a question after that. Maybe I was</li> <li>14 mistaken. I apologize.</li> <li>15 MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new</li> <li>16 question, so here, let me start the new</li> <li>17 question again.</li> </ul>	14 to c 15 16 17 this 18 the	Iraft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed , did it ever cross your mind that you were	
<ul> <li>13 was a question after that. Maybe I was</li> <li>14 mistaken. I apologize.</li> <li>15 MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new</li> <li>16 question, so here, let me start the new</li> <li>17 question again.</li> <li>18 Q. Did you personally receive any</li> </ul>	14 to c 15 16 17 this 18 the 19	Iraft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed , did it ever cross your mind that you were maker on these notes?	
<ol> <li>13 was a question after that. Maybe I was</li> <li>14 mistaken. I apologize.</li> <li>15 MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new</li> <li>16 question, so here, let me start the new</li> <li>17 question again.</li> <li>18 Q. Did you personally receive any</li> <li>19 direct benefit from those two notes for</li> </ol>	14 to 0 15 16 17 this 18 the 19 20	Iraft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed , did it ever cross your mind that you were maker on these notes? A. No.	
<ul> <li>13 was a question after that. Maybe I was</li> <li>14 mistaken. I apologize.</li> <li>15 MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new</li> <li>16 question, so here, let me start the new</li> <li>17 question again.</li> <li>18 Q. Did you personally receive any</li> <li>19 direct benefit from those two notes for</li> <li>20 \$7.4 million?</li> </ul>	14 to 0 15 16 17 this 18 the 19 20 21 doo	Iraft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed , did it ever cross your mind that you were maker on these notes? A. No. Q. Back then when you signed this	
<ul> <li>13 was a question after that. Maybe I was</li> <li>14 mistaken. I apologize.</li> <li>15 MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new</li> <li>16 question, so here, let me start the new</li> <li>17 question again.</li> <li>18 Q. Did you personally receive any</li> <li>19 direct benefit from those two notes for</li> <li>20 \$7.4 million?</li> <li>21 A. No.</li> </ul>	14 to 0 15 16 17 this 18 the 19 20 21 doo 22 cou	<ul> <li>Iraft it correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But back then when you signed</li> <li>, did it ever cross your mind that you were</li> <li>maker on these notes?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Back then when you signed this</li> <li>sument, did it ever cross your mind that you</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>13 was a question after that. Maybe I was</li> <li>14 mistaken. I apologize.</li> <li>15 MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new</li> <li>16 question, so here, let me start the new</li> <li>17 question again.</li> <li>18 Q. Did you personally receive any</li> <li>19 direct benefit from those two notes for</li> <li>20 \$7.4 million?</li> <li>21 A. No.</li> <li>22 Q. Did you ever personally consider</li> <li>23 yourself obligated to repay either or both of</li> <li>24 those notes?</li> </ul>	14       to o         15       15         16       17         17       this         18       the         19       20         21       doo         22       cou         23       24         24       I m	<ul> <li>Iraft it correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. But back then when you signed</li> <li>, did it ever cross your mind that you were</li> <li>maker on these notes?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Back then when you signed this</li> <li>cument, did it ever cross your mind that you</li> <li>Id be a co-obligor on these notes?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>13 was a question after that. Maybe I was</li> <li>14 mistaken. I apologize.</li> <li>15 MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new</li> <li>16 question, so here, let me start the new</li> <li>17 question again.</li> <li>18 Q. Did you personally receive any</li> <li>19 direct benefit from those two notes for</li> <li>20 \$7.4 million?</li> <li>21 A. No.</li> <li>22 Q. Did you ever personally consider</li> <li>23 yourself obligated to repay either or both of</li> </ul>	14       to of         15       15         16       17         17       this         18       the         19       20         21       doo         22       cou         23       24         24       Im	Iraft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed , did it ever cross your mind that you were maker on these notes? A. No. Q. Back then when you signed this sument, did it ever cross your mind that you Id be a co-obligor on these notes? A. No. I didn't receive \$7.4 million,	

	000
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 307 Page 307
2 \$7.4 million?	2 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the
3 A. I would have to go back and look and	3 form.
4 check in, you know, the the financial	4 A. Yes.
5 records and the bank statements.	5 Q. So do you agree with me that it's
6 MR. RUKAVINA: You can take this	6 odd – I think that is the word you used –
7 exhibit down, Mr. Nguyen.	7 that Highland would be loaning \$7.4 million a
8 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I'm not trying to be	8 few weeks after that extension to an entity
9 a smart-ass, but if the law says that because	9 whose liabilities exceeded its assets, and you
10 of the way that you signed this promissory	10 would agree with me that it was never your
11 note, if that is what the law says, that that	11 intention to be in any way liable for these two
12 made you personally – personally liable, then	12 promissory notes; correct?
13 you would agree with me that that was never	13 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
14 your intent?	14 of the question.
15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	15 A. Sorry, you – you asked a lot there.
16 of the question.	16 MR. RUKAVINA: 1 will strike it and
17 A. That was never – I wouldn't sign a	17 I will move on.
18 note and not get consideration in return.	18 Let's go to – pull up Exhibit 9,
19 Q. So putting all other issues aside,	19 please Mr. Nguyen – Alpha 9, I'm sorry, Alpha
	20 9, A9.
-	<ul><li>21 (Exhibit A9 marked.)</li><li>22 Q. Sir, take a moment to look at this,</li></ul>
<ul><li>signed them, then would you agree with me that</li><li>these notes are a mistake?</li></ul>	
24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	24 July 31, 2020 affiliate notes.
25 of the question.	25 Do you see that attachment?
	pe 308 Page 309
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 A. Yes.	2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this
<ol> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li><li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li></ul>
<ul> <li>2 A. Yes.</li> <li>3 Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>4 Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>Mr. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> <li>11 earlier. Do you remember looking at this with</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page</li> <li>there.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> <li>11 earlier. Do you remember looking at this with</li> <li>12 Mr. Morris?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page</li> <li>there.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> <li>11 earlier. Do you remember looking at this with</li> <li>12 Mr. Morris?</li> <li>13 A. Yes.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page</li> <li>there.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you.</li> <li>Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> <li>11 earlier. Do you remember looking at this with</li> <li>12 Mr. Morris?</li> <li>13 A. Yes.</li> <li>14 MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page</li> <li>there.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you.</li> <li>Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> <li>11 earlier. Do you remember looking at this with</li> <li>12 Mr. Morris?</li> <li>13 A. Yes.</li> <li>14 MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to</li> <li>15 zoom in a little. Okay.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page</li> <li>there.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you.</li> <li>Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. About \$10.5 million.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> <li>11 earlier. Do you remember looking at this with</li> <li>12 Mr. Morris?</li> <li>13 A. Yes.</li> <li>14 MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to</li> <li>15 zoom in a little. Okay.</li> <li>16 Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page</li> <li>there.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you.</li> <li>Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. About \$10.5 million.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> <li>11 earlier. Do you remember looking at this with</li> <li>12 Mr. Morris?</li> <li>13 A. Yes.</li> <li>14 MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to</li> <li>15 zoom in a little. Okay.</li> <li>16 Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and</li> <li>17 C.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page</li> <li>there.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you.</li> <li>Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. About \$10.5 million.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> <li>11 earlier. Do you remember looking at this with</li> <li>12 Mr. Morris?</li> <li>13 A. Yes.</li> <li>14 MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to</li> <li>15 zoom in a little. Okay.</li> <li>16 Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and</li> <li>17 C.</li> <li>18 Do you have any recollection as to</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. NORRIS: Is it the last page</li> <li>there.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you.</li> <li>Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. About \$10.5 million.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And, now, do you have any</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> <li>11 earlier. Do you remember looking at this with</li> <li>12 Mr. Morris?</li> <li>13 A. Yes.</li> <li>14 MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to</li> <li>15 zoom in a little. Okay.</li> <li>16 Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and</li> <li>17 C.</li> <li>18 Do you have any recollection as to</li> <li>19 why the names of the affiliates are omitted?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page</li> <li>there.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you.</li> <li>Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. About \$10.5 million.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And, now, do you have any</li> <li>explanation for why if HCMFA owed \$7.4 million,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> <li>11 earlier. Do you remember looking at this with</li> <li>12 Mr. Morris?</li> <li>13 A. Yes.</li> <li>14 MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to</li> <li>15 zoom in a little. Okay.</li> <li>16 Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and</li> <li>17 C.</li> <li>18 Do you have any recollection as to</li> <li>19 why the names of the affiliates are omitted?</li> <li>20 A. I don't. I testified earlier that,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page</li> <li>there.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you.</li> <li>Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. About \$10.5 million.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And, now, do you have any</li> <li>explanation for why if HCMFA owed \$7.4 million,</li> <li>plus the 5.3 million that had been extended,</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> <li>11 earlier. Do you remember looking at this with</li> <li>12 Mr. Morris?</li> <li>13 A. Yes.</li> <li>14 MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to</li> <li>15 zoom in a little. Okay.</li> <li>16 Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and</li> <li>17 C.</li> <li>18 Do you have any recollection as to</li> <li>19 why the names of the affiliates are omitted?</li> <li>20 A. I don't. I testified earlier that,</li> <li>21 you know, the team worked with DSI in providing</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. NORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you.</li> <li>Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. About \$10.5 million.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And, now, do you have any</li> <li>explanation for why if HCMFA owed \$7.4 million,</li> <li>plus the 5.3 million that had been extended,</li> <li>why that amount was only 10.5 million?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> <li>11 earlier. Do you remember looking at this with</li> <li>12 Mr. Morris?</li> <li>13 A. Yes.</li> <li>14 MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to</li> <li>15 zoom in a little. Okay.</li> <li>16 Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and</li> <li>17 C.</li> <li>18 Do you have any recollection as to</li> <li>19 why the names of the affiliates are omitted?</li> <li>20 A. I don't. I testified earlier that,</li> <li>21 you know, the team worked with DSI in providing</li> <li>22 these. I – I don't – I don't know.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page</li> <li>there.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you.</li> <li>Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. About \$10.5 million.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And, now, do you have any</li> <li>explanation for why if HCMFA owed \$7.4 million,</li> <li>plus the 5.3 million that had been extended,</li> <li>why that amount was only 10.5 million?</li> <li>A. I don't know. Okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> <li>11 eartier. Do you remember looking at this with</li> <li>12 Mr. Morris?</li> <li>13 A. Yes.</li> <li>14 MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to</li> <li>15 zoom in a little. Okay.</li> <li>16 Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and</li> <li>17 C.</li> <li>18 Do you have any recollection as to</li> <li>19 why the names of the affiliates are omitted?</li> <li>20 A. I don't. I testified earlier that,</li> <li>21 you know, the team worked with DSI in providing</li> <li>22 these. I – I don't – I don't know.</li> <li>23 Q. Can we deduce – is it logical to</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. NORRIS: Thank you.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you.</li> <li>Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. About \$10.5 million.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And, now, do you have any</li> <li>explanation for why if HCMFA owed \$7.4 million,</li> <li>plus the 5.3 million that had been extended,</li> <li>why that amount was only 10.5 million?</li> <li>A. I don't know. Okay.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Close this one and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> <li>11 earlier. Do you remember looking at this with</li> <li>12 Mr. Morris?</li> <li>13 A. Yes.</li> <li>14 MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to</li> <li>15 zoom in a little. Okay.</li> <li>16 Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and</li> <li>17 C.</li> <li>18 Do you have any recollection as to</li> <li>19 why the names of the affiliates are omitted?</li> <li>20 A. I don't. I testified earlier that,</li> <li>21 you know, the team worked with DSI in providing</li> <li>22 these. I – I don't – I don't know.</li> <li>23 Q. Can we deduce – is it logical to</li> <li>24 deduce that Affiliate Note A would be NexPoint</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.</li> <li>Where are you looking?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the</li> <li>screen?</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.</li> <li>MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page</li> <li>there.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Thank you.</li> <li>Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. About \$10.5 million.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And, now, do you have any</li> <li>explanation for why if HCMFA owed \$7.4 million,</li> <li>plus the 5.3 million that had been extended,</li> <li>why that amount was only 10.5 million?</li> <li>A. I don't know. Okay.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this</li> <li>3 of ours, Mr. Nguyen?</li> <li>4 MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.</li> <li>5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit A11 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. You don't have this in front of you,</li> <li>8 Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>9 A. Okay.</li> <li>10 Q. This is what Mr. Morris used</li> <li>11 eartier. Do you remember looking at this with</li> <li>12 Mr. Morris?</li> <li>13 A. Yes.</li> <li>14 MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to</li> <li>15 zoom in a little. Okay.</li> <li>16 Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and</li> <li>17 C.</li> <li>18 Do you have any recollection as to</li> <li>19 why the names of the affiliates are omitted?</li> <li>20 A. I don't. I testified earlier that,</li> <li>21 you know, the team worked with DSI in providing</li> <li>22 these. I – I don't – I don't know.</li> <li>23 Q. Can we deduce – is it logical to</li> </ul>

Page 310	Page	311
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	2 Q. It would be \$12.7 million. Is that	
3 of the question.	3 generally correct?	
4 A. Imean, it – it is a – it is – it	4 A. Sorry, the amounts were 7.4, 5.3.	
5 is approximate.	5 Q. Yes.	
6 Q. Well, can we – can we deduce – or,	6 A. Okay. Yeah, that that I can	
7 I'm sorry, strike that.	7 do that math, yes.	
8 Can you, sitting here today,	8 Q. Do you have any explanation or any	
9 logically conclude that Affiliate Note B or C	9 understanding of why there is no similar entry	
10 represents HCMFA?	10 listed here on the schedule of assets filed	
11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	11 with the bankruptcy court?	
12 of the question.	12 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
13 A. I don't know. I don't know. I	13 of the question.	
14 can't.	14 A. I don't know. We have to look at	
15 Q. Okay. As of the petition date, we	15 the supporting schedules, like I talked about	
16 have established that HCMFA, under promissory	16 other presumably there is there is a	
17 notes, owed \$7.4 million and \$5.3 million to	17 build to the schedule that would provide the	
18 the debtor; correct?	18 detail.	
19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	19 Q. Well, that was going to be my next	
20 of the question.	20 question. You anticipated it.	
21 A. Yes.	21 MR. RUKAVINA: You can – you can	
22 Q. Okay. And by my reckoning, that	22 take this down, Mr. Nguyen.	
23 would be somewhere approaching \$13 million.	23 Q. Do you believe that whenever you and	
24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	24 your team provided the underlying data to the	
25 of the question.	25 financial advisor that the actual names of the	
Page 312	Page	313
Faue 312		515
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would	1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212I mean, it was – our job and our	
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would3have been listed there?	1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212I mean, it was - our job and our3focus - and I testified in court back in 2019;	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>I mean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>I mean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>I mean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>I mean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> <li>that point.</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>I mean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> <li>that point.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you</li> <li>please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>I mean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> <li>that point.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you</li> <li>please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Whenever you provided information</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>I mean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> <li>that point.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you</li> <li>please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.</li> <li>Just the very first page, the very top</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Whenever you provided information</li> <li>about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>I mean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> <li>that point.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you</li> <li>please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.</li> <li>Just the very first page, the very top</li> <li>email. You might zoom in a little bit.</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Whenever you provided information</li> <li>about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you</li> <li>believe that you would have included the actual</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>I mean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> <li>that point.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you</li> <li>please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.</li> <li>Just the very first page, the very top</li> <li>email. You might zoom in a little bit.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall being asked about</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Whenever you provided information</li> <li>about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you</li> <li>believe that you would have included the actual</li> <li>names of the affiliates, you or your team, or</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>I mean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> <li>that point.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you</li> <li>please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.</li> <li>Just the very first page, the very top</li> <li>email. You might zoom in a little bit.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall being asked about</li> <li>this by Mr. Morris?</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Whenever you provided information</li> <li>about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you</li> <li>believe that you would have included the actual</li> <li>names of the affiliates, you or your team, or</li> <li>that you would have done the Affiliate Note A,</li> <li>Note B, Note C?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>I mean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> <li>that point.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you</li> <li>please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.</li> <li>Just the very first page, the very top</li> <li>email. You might zoom in a little bit.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall being asked about</li> <li>this by Mr. Morris?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Whenever you provided information</li> <li>about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you</li> <li>believe that you would have included the actual</li> <li>names of the affiliates, you or your team, or</li> <li>that you would have done the Affiliate Note A,</li> <li>Note B, Note C?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>I mean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> <li>that point.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you</li> <li>please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.</li> <li>Just the very first page, the very top</li> <li>email. You might zoom in a little bit.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall being asked about</li> <li>this by Mr. Morris?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't - I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Whenever you provided information</li> <li>about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you</li> <li>believe that you would have included the actual</li> <li>names of the affiliates, you or your team, or</li> <li>that you would have done the Affiliate Note A,</li> <li>Note B, Note C?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Imean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> <li>that point.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you</li> <li>please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.</li> <li>Just the very first page, the very top</li> <li>email. You might zoom in a little bit.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall being asked about</li> <li>this by Mr. Morris?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a</li> <li>demand note.</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't - I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Whenever you provided information</li> <li>about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you</li> <li>believe that you would have included the actual</li> <li>names of the affiliates, you or your team, or</li> <li>that you would have done the Affiliate Note A,</li> <li>Note B, Note C?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>I mean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> <li>that point.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you</li> <li>please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.</li> <li>Just the very first page, the very top</li> <li>email. You might zoom in a little bit.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall being asked about</li> <li>this by Mr. Morris?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a</li> <li>demand note.</li> <li>You wrote that; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Whenever you provided information</li> <li>about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you</li> <li>believe that you would have included the actual</li> <li>names of the affiliates, you or your team, or</li> <li>that you would have done the Affiliate Note A,</li> <li>Note B, Note C?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the</li> </ol>	1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212I mean, it was – our job and our3focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;4right – was – was to be transparent and, you5know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters6at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at7that point.8MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you9please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.10Just the very first page, the very top11email. You might zoom in a little bit.12Q. Now, you recall being asked about13this by Mr. Morris?14A. Yes, I do.15Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a16demand note.17You wrote that; right?18A. Yes.19Q. And, in fact, weren't there by that	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Whenever you provided information</li> <li>about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you</li> <li>believe that you would have included the actual</li> <li>names of the affiliates, you or your team, or</li> <li>that you would have done the Affiliate Note A,</li> <li>Note B, Note C?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We – like I testified earlier, when</li> </ol>	1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212I mean, it was – our job and our3focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;4right – was – was to be transparent and, you5know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters6at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at7that point.8MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you9please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.10Just the very first page, the very top11email. You might zoom in a little bit.12Q. Now, you recall being asked about13this by Mr. Morris?14A. Yes, I do.15Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a16demand note.17You wrote that; right?18A. Yes.19Q. And, in fact, weren't there by that20point in time several notes?	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Whenever you provided information</li> <li>about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you</li> <li>believe that you would have included the actual</li> <li>names of the affiliates, you or your team, or</li> <li>that you would have done the Affiliate Note A,</li> <li>Note B, Note C?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We – like I testified earlier, when</li> <li>we were – we gave everything to – to DSI. We</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Imean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> <li>that point.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you</li> <li>please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.</li> <li>Just the very first page, the very top</li> <li>email. You might zoom in a little bit.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall being asked about</li> <li>this by Mr. Morris?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a</li> <li>demand note.</li> <li>You wrote that; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, in fact, weren't there by that</li> <li>point in time several notes?</li> <li>A. Yes, there were. Again, I don't –</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Whenever you provided information</li> <li>about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you</li> <li>believe that you would have included the actual</li> <li>names of the affiliates, you or your team, or</li> <li>that you would have done the Affiliate Note A,</li> <li>Note B, Note C?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We – like I testified earlier, when</li> <li>we were – we gave everything to – to DSI. We</li> <li>were giving all of our records, all of our</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Imean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> <li>that point.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you</li> <li>please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.</li> <li>Just the very first page, the very top</li> <li>email. You might zoom in a little bit.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall being asked about</li> <li>this by Mr. Morris?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a</li> <li>demand note.</li> <li>You wrote that; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, in fact, weren't there by that</li> <li>point in time several notes?</li> <li>A. Yes, there were. Again, I don't –</li> <li>I don't remember everything specifically. I</li> </ol>	
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Whenever you provided information</li> <li>about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you</li> <li>believe that you would have included the actual</li> <li>names of the affiliates, you or your team, or</li> <li>that you would have done the Affiliate Note A,</li> <li>Note B, Note C?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We – like I testified earlier, when</li> <li>we were – we gave everything to – to DSI. We</li> <li>were giving all of our records, all of our</li> <li>files, everything to DSI. We weren't redacting</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Imean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> <li>that point.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you</li> <li>please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.</li> <li>Just the very first page, the very top</li> <li>email. You might zoom in a little bit.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall being asked about</li> <li>this by Mr. Morris?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a</li> <li>demand note.</li> <li>You wrote that; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, in fact, weren't there by that</li> <li>point in time several notes?</li> <li>A. Yes, there were. Again, I don't –</li> <li>I don't remember everything specifically. I</li> </ol>	
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would</li> <li>have been listed there?</li> <li>A. Are you asking we provided the names</li> <li>to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't</li> <li>understand who the financial advisor is.</li> <li>Q. I'm sorry, DSI.</li> <li>Let me ask the question this way,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Whenever you provided information</li> <li>about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you</li> <li>believe that you would have included the actual</li> <li>names of the affiliates, you or your team, or</li> <li>that you would have done the Affiliate Note A,</li> <li>Note B, Note C?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We – like I testified earlier, when</li> <li>we were – we gave everything to – to DSI. We</li> <li>were giving all of our records, all of our</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Imean, it was – our job and our</li> <li>focus – and I testified in court back in 2019;</li> <li>right – was – was to be transparent and, you</li> <li>know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters</li> <li>at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at</li> <li>that point.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you</li> <li>please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.</li> <li>Just the very first page, the very top</li> <li>email. You might zoom in a little bit.</li> <li>Q. Now, you recall being asked about</li> <li>this by Mr. Morris?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a</li> <li>demand note.</li> <li>You wrote that; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, in fact, weren't there by that</li> <li>point in time several notes?</li> <li>A. Yes, there were. Again, I don't –</li> <li>I don't remember everything specifically. I</li> </ol>	

	Page 3	14		Page 315
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 315
2	you might have made a mistake by referring to a	2	you signed were demand notes; right?	
3	singular instead of a plural; right?	3	A. Yes.	
4	A. Yes.	4	Q. Do you find it logical, based on	
5	Q. Okay. And you – you wrote – a	5	your experience, that had they intended to have	
6	couple of sentences later, you wrote: There	6	a different or a set maturity date, you would	
7	was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the	7	have instructed that that set maturity date be	
8	earliest they could demand is May 2021.	8	included instead of a demand feature?	
9	You wrote that; right?	9	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
10	A. Yes.	10	of the question.	
11	Q. But I think you – you agreed with	11	A. Sorry, just want to make sure I	
12	Mr. Morris that that can't possibly apply to	12	understand. You are saying that that the	
13	the May 2019 notes, can it?	13	\$5 million note, the \$2.4 million note, if	
14	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	14	those were supposed to be a term note, that I	
15	of the question. That is not what he	15	would have made sure that those were a term	
16	testified to.	16	note?	
17	Q. Let me ask – let me ask a different	17	Q. I'm saying I'm saying,	
18	question.	18	Mr. Waterhouse, that on May the 2nd and May the	
19	Sitting here today or if you can	19	3rd, 2019, if you intended that those two	
20	answer me from your memory on October 6,	20	promissory notes could not be called until May	
21	2020 – did the April acknowledgment that	21	2021, would you have included such language in	
22	extended the maturity date apply to the	22	those two promissory notes?	
23	May 2019 notes also?	23	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
24	A. I don't recall specifically.	24	of the question.	
25	Q. Well, you recall that the notes that	25	A. I guess – I'm sorry, I don't recall	
$\vdash$	Page 3	16		D 047
		10		Page 317
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 317
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't	1 2	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this	Page 317
	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to.	1	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen.	Page 317
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again.	1 2	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't	Page 317
23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP	1 2 3 4 5	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May	1 2 3 4	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021.	1 2 3 4 5	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities.	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes,	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct? Q. Okay. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules,	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct? Q. Okay. Yes. Do you – do you understand now that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct? Q. Okay. Yes. Do you – do you understand now that that agreement that we looked at earlier also	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are confused.	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct? Q. Okay. Yes. Do you – do you understand now that that agreement that we looked at earlier also applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are confused. Are you prepared to tell me, sir,	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct? Q. Okay. Yes. Do you – do you understand now that that agreement that we looked at earlier also applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed? A. I don't – I don't know.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are confused. Are you prepared to tell me, sir, today that you might have made a mistake in	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct? Q. Okay. Yes. Do you – do you understand now that that agreement that we looked at earlier also applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. But as of October 6, 2020, you're	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are confused. Are you prepared to tell me, sir, today that you might have made a mistake in executing those two promissory notes?	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct? Q. Okay. Yes. Do you – do you understand now that that agreement that we looked at earlier also applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. But as of October 6, 2020, you're writing that there is one demand note and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are confused. Are you prepared to tell me, sir, today that you might have made a mistake in executing those two promissory notes? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct? Q. Okay. Yes. Do you – do you understand now that that agreement that we looked at earlier also applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. But as of October 6, 2020, you're writing that there is one demand note and you're categorizing that demand note as not	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are confused. Are you prepared to tell me, sir, today that you might have made a mistake in executing those two promissory notes? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct? Q. Okay. Yes. Do you – do you understand now that that agreement that we looked at earlier also applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. But as of October 6, 2020, you're writing that there is one demand note and you're categorizing that demand note as not being demandable on May 2021; correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are confused. Are you prepared to tell me, sir, today that you might have made a mistake in executing those two promissory notes? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I don't know.	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct? Q. Okay. Yes. Do you – do you understand now that that agreement that we looked at earlier also applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. But as of October 6, 2020, you're writing that there is one demand note and you're categorizing that demand note as not being demandable on May 2021; correct? A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are confused. Are you prepared to tell me, sir, today that you might have made a mistake in executing those two promissory notes? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I don't know. Q. And if it turns out that you're	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct? Q. Okay. Yes. Do you – do you understand now that that agreement that we looked at earlier also applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. But as of October 6, 2020, you're writing that there is one demand note and you're categorizing that demand note as not being demandable on May 2021; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you know now that you made at	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are confused. Are you prepared to tell me, sir, today that you might have made a mistake in executing those two promissory notes? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I don't know. Q. And if it turns out that you're personally liable for those promissory notes,	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct? Q. Okay. Yes. Do you – do you understand now that that agreement that we looked at earlier also applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. But as of October 6, 2020, you're writing that there is one demand note and you're categorizing that demand note as not being demandable on May 2021; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you know now that you made at least one mistake in this email; correct?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are confused. Are you prepared to tell me, sir, today that you might have made a mistake in executing those two promissory notes? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I don't know. Q. And if it turns out that you're personally liable for those promissory notes, it would certainly be a mistake, wouldn't it?	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct? Q. Okay. Yes. Do you – do you understand now that that agreement that we looked at earlier also applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. But as of October 6, 2020, you're writing that there is one demand note and you're categorizing that demand note as not being demandable on May 2021; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you know now that you made at least one mistake in this email; correct? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are confused. Are you prepared to tell me, sir, today that you might have made a mistake in executing those two promissory notes? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I don't know. Q. And if it turns out that you're personally liable for those promissory notes, it would certainly be a mistake, wouldn't it? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct? Q. Okay. Yes. Do you – do you understand now that that agreement that we looked at earlier also applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. But as of October 6, 2020, you're writing that there is one demand note and you're categorizing that demand note as not being demandable on May 2021; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you know now that you made at least one mistake in this email; correct? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are confused. Are you prepared to tell me, sir, today that you might have made a mistake in executing those two promissory notes? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I don't know. Q. And if it turns out that you're personally liable for those promissory notes, it would certainly be a mistake, wouldn't it? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the form.	Page 317
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 putting language in those May notes. I don't remember what language you are referring to. Q. Well, let's read this again. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. Do you recall that agreement? A. Yes, that was the agreement we looked at earlier; correct? Q. Okay. Yes. Do you – do you understand now that that agreement that we looked at earlier also applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. But as of October 6, 2020, you're writing that there is one demand note and you're categorizing that demand note as not being demandable on May 2021; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you know now that you made at least one mistake in this email; correct? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this down, Mr. Nguyen. Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. We don't see on the July list of notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are confused. Are you prepared to tell me, sir, today that you might have made a mistake in executing those two promissory notes? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I don't know. Q. And if it turns out that you're personally liable for those promissory notes, it would certainly be a mistake, wouldn't it? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	Page 317

D 040		<b>D</b> 040
Page 318 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 319
2 A. Yes.	2 know. I don't know how I disagree with that.	
3 Q. If Mr. Dondero testifies that he	3 Q. And just to confirm, you don't	
4 never told you to make these loans, would you	4 remember ever asking Mr. Dondero whether you	
5 disagree with his testimony?	5 should have two promissory notes prepared?	
6 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	6 A. No.	
7 of the question.	7 Q. And you don't remember discussing	
8 A. Like I testified earlier with my	8 with Mr. Dondero what the terms of those two	
9 conversation with Mr. Dondero, all I recall is	9 promissory notes should be?	
10 he said, get the money from Highland.	10 A. I don't recall – I testified all I	
11 Q. And if Mr. Dondero testifies that	11 recall is he said, get the money from Highland.	
12 he, in consultation with other senior personnel	12 I don't the the terms of the note, I	
13 at Highland, decided that Highland needed to	13 don't recall ever having a discussion around	
14 pay HCMFA \$7.4 million as compensation for the	14 the terms of the note, but since I don't draft	
15 NAV error and not a loan, would you have any	15 the notes, that – there could have been a	
16 reason to disagree with Mr. Dondero?	16 conversation with other people later.	
17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	17 Q. Do you have any memory of whether	
18 of the question.	18 after the notes were drafted, but before you	
19 A. If that was – if that was his	19 signed them, that you communicated with	
20 intent, yes, it would – I would –	20 Mr. Dondero in any way to just confirm or or	
21 Q. Do you have any reason to disagree	21 get his blessing or ratification to signing	
22 with him?	22 those notes?	
23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
24 of the question.	24 of the question.	
25 A. If that was his intent, I don't	25 A. I don't recall.	
Page 320		Page 321
Page 320 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 321
	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my	Page 321
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		Page 321
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Again, the only thing you remember,	2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my	Page 321
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Again, the only thing you remember,3sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get	<ol> <li>multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>whatever party.</li> </ol>	Page 321
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li><li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li><li>4 whatever party.</li></ul>	Page 321
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> </ol>	Page 321
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>whatever party.</li> <li>Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>May 2019?</li> </ol>	Page 321
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>6 May 2019?</li> <li>7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> </ul>	Page 321
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I testified to that several times.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>whatever party.</li> <li>Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>May 2019?</li> <li>A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> </ol>	Page 321
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I testified to that several times.</li> <li>This was over two years ago. A lot has</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>whatever party.</li> <li>Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>May 2019?</li> <li>A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> <li>she your only assistant that would have maybe</li> </ol>	Page 321
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I testified to that several times.</li> <li>This was over two years ago. A lot has</li> <li>happened. That is all I recall.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>6 May 2019?</li> <li>7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>8 Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> <li>9 she your only assistant that would have maybe</li> <li>10 facilitated logistically something like you</li> </ul>	Page 321
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I testified to that several times.</li> <li>This was over two years ago. A lot has</li> <li>happened. That is all I recall.</li> <li>Q. And help me here. I'm not very</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>6 May 2019?</li> <li>7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>8 Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> <li>9 she your only assistant that would have maybe</li> <li>10 facilitated logistically something like you</li> <li>11 just described?</li> </ul>	Page 321
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I testified to that several times.</li> <li>This was over two years ago. A lot has</li> <li>happened. That is all I recall.</li> <li>Q. And help me here. I'm not very</li> <li>technologically astute. When you – and I – I</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>6 May 2019?</li> <li>7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>8 Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> <li>9 she your only assistant that would have maybe</li> <li>10 facilitated logistically something like you</li> <li>11 just described?</li> <li>12 A. You know, she was out on maternity</li> </ul>	Page 321
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I testified to that several times.</li> <li>This was over two years ago. A lot has</li> <li>happened. That is all I recall.</li> <li>Q. And help me here. I'm not very</li> <li>technologically astute. When you – and I – I</li> <li>recognize that you do it rarely, but when you</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>6 May 2019?</li> <li>7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>8 Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> <li>9 she your only assistant that would have maybe</li> <li>10 facilitated logistically something like you</li> <li>11 just described?</li> <li>12 A. You know, she was out on maternity</li> <li>13 leave at some point. I don't – I don't recall</li> </ul>	Page 321
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I testified to that several times.</li> <li>This was over two years ago. A lot has</li> <li>happened. That is all I recall.</li> <li>Q. And help me here. I'm not very</li> <li>technologically astute. When you – and I – I</li> <li>recognize that you do it rarely, but when you</li> <li>sign a document electronically, do you believe</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>6 May 2019?</li> <li>7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>8 Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> <li>9 she your only assistant that would have maybe</li> <li>10 facilitated logistically something like you</li> <li>11 just described?</li> <li>12 A. You know, she was out on maternity</li> <li>13 leave at some point. I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>14 those dates where she was out for maternity</li> </ul>	Page 321
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I testified to that several times.</li> <li>This was over two years ago. A lot has</li> <li>happened. That is all I recall.</li> <li>Q. And help me here. I'm not very</li> <li>technologically astute. When you – and I – I</li> <li>recognize that you do it rarely, but when you</li> <li>sign a document electronically, do you believe</li> <li>that there is an electronic record of you</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>6 May 2019?</li> <li>7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>8 Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> <li>9 she your only assistant that would have maybe</li> <li>10 facilitated logistically something like you</li> <li>11 just described?</li> <li>12 A. You know, she was out on maternity</li> <li>13 leave at some point. I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>14 those dates where she was out for maternity</li> <li>15 leave. There was – there were folks backing</li> </ul>	Page 321
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I testified to that several times.</li> <li>This was over two years ago. A lot has</li> <li>happened. That is all I recall.</li> <li>Q. And help me here. I'm not very</li> <li>technologically astute. When you – and I – I</li> <li>recognize that you do it rarely, but when you</li> <li>sign a document electronically, do you believe</li> <li>that there is an electronic record of you</li> <li>having authorized or signed a document</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>6 May 2019?</li> <li>7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>8 Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> <li>9 she your only assistant that would have maybe</li> <li>10 facilitated logistically something like you</li> <li>11 just described?</li> <li>12 A. You know, she was out on maternity</li> <li>13 leave at some point. I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>14 those dates where she was out for maternity</li> <li>15 leave. There was – there were folks backing</li> <li>16 her up. I don't recall specifically who</li> </ul>	Page 321
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I testified to that several times.</li> <li>This was over two years ago. A lot has</li> <li>happened. That is all I recall.</li> <li>Q. And help me here. I'm not very</li> <li>technologically astute. When you – and I – I</li> <li>recognize that you do it rarely, but when you</li> <li>sign a document electronic record of you</li> <li>having authorized or signed a document</li> <li>electronically?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>6 May 2019?</li> <li>7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>8 Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> <li>9 she your only assistant that would have maybe</li> <li>10 facilitated logistically something like you</li> <li>11 just described?</li> <li>12 A. You know, she was out on maternity</li> <li>13 leave at some point. I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>14 those dates where she was out for maternity</li> <li>15 leave. There was – there were folks backing</li> <li>16 her up. I don't recall specifically who</li> <li>17 those – who those, you know, administrative</li> </ul>	Page 321
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I testified to that several times.</li> <li>This was over two years ago. A lot has</li> <li>happened. That is all I recall.</li> <li>Q. And help me here. I'm not very</li> <li>technologically astute. When you – and I – I</li> <li>recognize that you do it rarely, but when you</li> <li>sign a document electronically, do you believe</li> <li>that there is an electronic record of you</li> <li>having authorized or signed a document</li> <li>electronically?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>6 May 2019?</li> <li>7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>8 Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> <li>9 she your only assistant that would have maybe</li> <li>10 facilitated logistically something like you</li> <li>11 just described?</li> <li>12 A. You know, she was out on maternity</li> <li>13 leave at some point. I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>14 those dates where she was out for maternity</li> <li>15 leave. There was – there were folks backing</li> <li>16 her up. I don't recall specifically who</li> <li>17 those – who those, you know, administrative</li> <li>18 assistants were, and I don't recall</li> </ul>	Page 321
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Again, the only thing you remember,         3       sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get         4       the money from Highland, and that is it, that         5       is all you remember?         6       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         7       of the question.         8       A. I testified to that several times.         9       This was over two years ago. A lot has         10       happened. That is all I recall.         11       Q. And help me here. I'm not very         12       technologically astute. When you – and I – I         13       recognize that you do it rarely, but when you         14       sign a document electronic record of you         15       that there is an electronic record of you         16       having authorized or signed a document         17       electronically?         18       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         19       of the question.	<ul> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>6 May 2019?</li> <li>7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>8 Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> <li>9 she your only assistant that would have maybe</li> <li>10 facilitated logistically something like you</li> <li>11 just described?</li> <li>12 A. You know, she was out on maternity</li> <li>13 leave at some point. I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>14 those dates where she was out for maternity</li> <li>15 leave. There was – there were folks backing</li> <li>16 her up. I don't recall specifically who</li> <li>17 those – who those, you know, administrative</li> <li>18 assistants were, and I don't recall</li> <li>19 specifically if she was out during this time on</li> </ul>	Page 321
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I testified to that several times.</li> <li>This was over two years ago. A lot has</li> <li>happened. That is all I recall.</li> <li>Q. And help me here. I'm not very</li> <li>technologically astute. When you – and I – I</li> <li>recognize that you do it rarely, but when you</li> <li>sign a document electronic record of you</li> <li>having authorized or signed a document</li> <li>electronically?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>6 May 2019?</li> <li>7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>8 Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> <li>9 she your only assistant that would have maybe</li> <li>10 facilitated logistically something like you</li> <li>11 just described?</li> <li>12 A. You know, she was out on maternity</li> <li>13 leave at some point. I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>14 those dates where she was out for maternity</li> <li>15 leave. There was – there were folks backing</li> <li>16 her up. I don't recall specifically who</li> <li>17 those – who those, you know, administrative</li> <li>18 assistants were, and I don't recall</li> <li>19 specifically if she was out during this time on</li> <li>20 maternity leave.</li> </ul>	Page 321
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I testified to that several times.</li> <li>This was over two years ago. A lot has</li> <li>happened. That is all I recall.</li> <li>Q. And help me here. I'm not very</li> <li>technologically astute. When you – and I – I</li> <li>recognize that you do it rarely, but when you</li> <li>sign a document electronic record of you</li> <li>having authorized or signed a document</li> <li>electronically?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I don't know the tech answer to</li> <li>that, but, you know, since I don't have – I</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>6 May 2019?</li> <li>7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>8 Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> <li>9 she your only assistant that would have maybe</li> <li>10 facilitated logistically something like you</li> <li>11 just described?</li> <li>12 A. You know, she was out on maternity</li> <li>13 leave at some point. I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>14 those dates where she was out for maternity</li> <li>15 leave. There was – there were folks backing</li> <li>16 her up. I don't recall specifically who</li> <li>17 those – who those, you know, administrative</li> <li>18 assistants were, and I don't recall</li> <li>19 specifically if she was out during this time on</li> <li>20 maternity leave.</li> <li>21 I do know that that she was out for</li> </ul>	Page 321
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I testified to that several times.</li> <li>This was over two years ago. A lot has</li> <li>happened. That is all I recall.</li> <li>Q. And help me here. I'm not very</li> <li>technologically astute. When you – and I – I</li> <li>recognize that you do it rarely, but when you</li> <li>sign a document electronic record of you</li> <li>having authorized or signed a document</li> <li>electronically?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I don't know the tech answer to</li> <li>that, but, you know, since I don't have – I</li> <li>don't ever attach my signature block</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>6 May 2019?</li> <li>7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>8 Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> <li>9 she your only assistant that would have maybe</li> <li>10 facilitated logistically something like you</li> <li>11 just described?</li> <li>12 A. You know, she was out on maternity</li> <li>13 leave at some point. I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>14 those dates where she was out for maternity</li> <li>15 leave. There was – there were folks backing</li> <li>16 her up. I don't recall specifically who</li> <li>17 those – who those, you know, administrative</li> <li>18 assistants were, and I don't recall</li> <li>19 specifically if she was out during this time on</li> <li>20 maternity leave.</li> <li>21 I do know that that she was out for</li> <li>22 a period of time, or who knows, or she could</li> </ul>	Page 321
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Again, the only thing you remember,</li> <li>sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get</li> <li>the money from Highland, and that is it, that</li> <li>is all you remember?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I testified to that several times.</li> <li>This was over two years ago. A lot has</li> <li>happened. That is all I recall.</li> <li>Q. And help me here. I'm not very</li> <li>technologically astute. When you – and I – I</li> <li>recognize that you do it rarely, but when you</li> <li>sign a document electronic record of you</li> <li>having authorized or signed a document</li> <li>electronically?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I don't know the tech answer to</li> <li>that, but, you know, since I don't have – I</li> <li>don't ever attach my signature block</li> <li>electronically, my assistant would have done</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>2 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my</li> <li>3 signature block and then email it out to</li> <li>4 whatever party.</li> <li>5 Q. What was your assistant's name in</li> <li>6 May 2019?</li> <li>7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.</li> <li>8 Q. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was</li> <li>9 she your only assistant that would have maybe</li> <li>10 facilitated logistically something like you</li> <li>11 just described?</li> <li>12 A. You know, she was out on maternity</li> <li>13 leave at some point. I don't – I don't recall</li> <li>14 those dates where she was out for maternity</li> <li>15 leave. There was – there were folks backing</li> <li>16 her up. I don't recall specifically who</li> <li>17 those – who those, you know, administrative</li> <li>18 assistants were, and I don't recall</li> <li>19 specifically if she was out during this time on</li> <li>20 maternity leave.</li> <li>21 I do know that that she was out for</li> <li>22 a period of time, or who knows, or she could</li> <li>23 have been on vacation that day or, you know, I</li> </ul>	Page 321

Page 322	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 323
2 complaints that have been filed that is against	2	Q. Obviously with COVID, it changed,	
3 HCMFA and NexPoint, did you see any drafts of	3	but but before COVID, did you used to meet	
4 those complaints before they were filed?	4	with Mr. Seery from time to time in-person?	
5 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	5	A. Yeah, I mean, so before COVID so	
6 of the question, and to the extent that you	6	we're talking kind of late March, early April,	
7 had any communications with counsel or you	7	right, there was about I don't remember the	
8 were shown drafts of the complaints by	8	specific date when the board for Highland was	
9 counsel while you were employed by	9	appointed. I believe it was around February of	
10 Highland, I direct you not to answer.	10	2020, so maybe there was a month-and-a-half,	
11 A. I – I reviewed documents yesterday	11	two-month window where we were meeting	
12 with counsel here. I believe that is the first	12	in-person or, you know, like we were actually	
13 time I have ever seen those.	13	in the office, excuse me, we were in the	
14 Q. Okay. Did you ever discuss with	14	office.	
15 Mr. Seery these two lawsuits before or after	15	And, you know, when they were first	
16 they were filed?	16	appointed, the board members and Mr. Seery	
17 A. I don't recall.	17	were – were definitely down here more	
18 Q. Were you ever interviewed by legal	18	in-person.	
19 counsel, to your knowledge, about these	19	Q. Did you ever see Mr. Seery taking	
20 promissory notes before the complaints were	20	written notes of – of his meetings with you or	
21 filed? Without going into what was said, were	21	others?	
22 you ever interviewed by legal counsel?	22	A. I don't recall.	
23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	23	Q. Do you recall on any Zoom or video	
24 of the question.	24	conference with Mr. Seery, seeing him take	
25 A. I don't recall.	25	notes, written notes?	
Bage 224			Dago 225
Page 324 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 325
	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five	Page 325
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A.The Zoom calls we had, I don't			Page 325
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A.       The Zoom calls we had, I don't         3       recall having seen video or, you know, or if it	2	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> </ol>	2 3 4	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> </ol>	2 3	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great.	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m.	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were there were some</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. (Recess taken 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.)	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> <li>on – on some of the Zoom calls.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. (Recess taken 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> <li>on – on some of the Zoom calls.</li> <li>Q. Well, let me –</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:59 p.m.	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> <li>on – on some of the Zoom calls.</li> <li>Q. Well, let me –</li> <li>A. I don't – sorry, I'm thinking. I'm</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. (Recess taken 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:59 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> <li>on – on some of the Zoom calls.</li> <li>Q. Well, let me –</li> <li>A. I don't – sorry, I'm thinking. I'm</li> <li>thinking – I'm going back. I'm trying to</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. (Recess taken 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:59 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you earlier about contracts between HCMFA and the	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> <li>on – on some of the Zoom calls.</li> <li>Q. Well, let me –</li> <li>A. I don't – sorry, I'm thinking. I'm</li> <li>thinking – I'm going back. I'm trying to</li> <li>process this.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. (Recess taken 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:59 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you earlier about contracts between HCMFA and the debtor, and now I'm going to talk about	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> <li>on – on some of the Zoom calls.</li> <li>Q. Well, let me –</li> <li>A. I don't – sorry, I'm thinking. I'm</li> <li>thinking – I'm going back. I'm trying to</li> <li>process this.</li> <li>Q. I can make it much quicker,</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. (Recess taken 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:59 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you earlier about contracts between HCMFA and the debtor, and now I'm going to talk about contracts between the debtor and NexPoint	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> <li>on – on some of the Zoom calls.</li> <li>Q. Well, let me –</li> <li>A. I don't – sorry, I'm thinking. I'm</li> <li>thinking – I'm going back. I'm trying to</li> <li>process this.</li> <li>Q. I can make it much quicker,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse. I have heard – I have heard</li> <li>that Mr. Seery is a copious note taker.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. (Recess taken 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:59 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you earlier about contracts between HCMFA and the debtor, and now I'm going to talk about contracts between the debtor and NexPoint Advisors. Okay?	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> <li>on – on some of the Zoom calls.</li> <li>Q. Well, let me –</li> <li>A. I don't – sorry, I'm thinking. I'm</li> <li>thinking – I'm going back. I'm trying to</li> <li>process this.</li> <li>Q. I can make it much quicker,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse. I have heard – I have heard</li> <li>that Mr. Seery is a copious note taker.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:59 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you earlier about contracts between HCMFA and the debtor, and now I'm going to talk about contracts between the debtor and NexPoint Advisors. Okay? A. Okay.	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> <li>on – on some of the Zoom calls.</li> <li>Q. Well, let me –</li> <li>A. I don't – sorry, I'm thinking. I'm</li> <li>thinking – I'm going back. I'm trying to</li> <li>process this.</li> <li>Q. I can make it much quicker,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse. I have heard – I have heard</li> <li>that Mr. Seery is a copious note taker.</li> <li>Do you have any knowledge about</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. (Recess taken 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:59 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you earlier about contracts between HCMFA and the debtor, and now I'm going to talk about contracts between the debtor and NexPoint Advisors. Okay? A. Okay. Q. Now, were there contracts similar to the ones with HCMFA that NexPoint had in the	Page 325
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> <li>on – on some of the Zoom calls.</li> <li>Q. Well, let me –</li> <li>A. I don't – sorry, I'm thinking. I'm</li> <li>thinking – I'm going back. I'm trying to</li> <li>process this.</li> <li>Q. I can make it much quicker,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse. I have heard – I have heard</li> <li>that Mr. Seery is a copious note taker.</li> <li>Do you have any knowledge about</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:59 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you earlier about contracts between HCMFA and the debtor, and now I'm going to talk about contracts between the debtor and NexPoint Advisors. Okay? A. Okay. Q. Now, were there contracts similar to	Page 325
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> <li>on – on some of the Zoom calls.</li> <li>Q. Well, let me –</li> <li>A. I don't – sorry, I'm thinking. I'm</li> <li>thinking – I'm going back. I'm trying to</li> <li>process this.</li> <li>Q. I can make it much quicker,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse. I have heard – I have heard</li> <li>that Mr. Seery is a copious note taker.</li> <li>Do you have any knowledge about</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Switching gears yet again,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:59 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you earlier about contracts between HCMFA and the debtor, and now I'm going to talk about contracts between the debtor and NexPoint Advisors. Okay? A. Okay. Q. Now, were there contracts similar to the ones with HCMFA that NexPoint had in the nature of employee reimbursement and shared services?	Page 325
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> <li>on – on some of the Zoom calls.</li> <li>Q. Well, let me –</li> <li>A. I don't – sorry, I'm thinking. I'm</li> <li>thinking – I'm going back. I'm trying to</li> <li>process this.</li> <li>Q. I can make it much quicker,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse. I have heard – I have heard</li> <li>that Mr. Seery is a copious note taker.</li> <li>Do you have any knowledge about</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Switching gears yet again,</li> <li>and this will be last theme. Do you need a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:59 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you earlier about contracts between HCMFA and the debtor, and now I'm going to talk about contracts between the debtor and NexPoint Advisors. Okay? A. Okay. Q. Now, were there contracts similar to the ones with HCMFA that NexPoint had in the nature of employee reimbursement and shared services? A. Yes, they – NexPoint Advisors and	Page 325
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> <li>on – on some of the Zoom calls.</li> <li>Q. Well, let me –</li> <li>A. I don't – sorry, I'm thinking. I'm</li> <li>thinking – I'm going back. I'm trying to</li> <li>process this.</li> <li>Q. I can make it much quicker,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse. I have heard – I have heard</li> <li>that Mr. Seery is a copious note taker.</li> <li>Do you have any knowledge about</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Switching gears yet again,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:59 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you earlier about contracts between HCMFA and the debtor, and now I'm going to talk about contracts between the debtor and NexPoint Advisors. Okay? A. Okay. Q. Now, were there contracts similar to the ones with HCMFA that NexPoint had in the nature of employee reimbursement and shared services?	Page 325
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> <li>on – on some of the Zoom calls.</li> <li>Q. Well, let me –</li> <li>A. I don't – sorry, I'm thinking. I'm</li> <li>thinking – I'm going back. I'm trying to</li> <li>process this.</li> <li>Q. I can make it much quicker,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse. I have heard – I have heard</li> <li>that Mr. Seery is a copious note taker.</li> <li>Do you have any knowledge about</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Switching gears yet again,</li> <li>and this will be last theme. Do you need a</li> <li>restroom break, or are you good to go for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:59 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you earlier about contracts between HCMFA and the debtor, and now I'm going to talk about contracts between the debtor and NexPoint Advisors. Okay? A. Okay. Q. Now, were there contracts similar to the ones with HCMFA that NexPoint had in the nature of employee reimbursement and shared services? A. Yes, they – NexPoint Advisors and Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors had cost reimbursement and shared services	Page 325
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't</li> <li>recall having seen video or, you know, or if it</li> <li>was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,</li> <li>no, you know what, there were some – you know,</li> <li>I take that back.</li> <li>So there were – there were some</li> <li>times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery</li> <li>on – on some of the Zoom calls.</li> <li>Q. Well, let me –</li> <li>A. I don't – sorry, I'm thinking. I'm</li> <li>thinking – I'm going back. I'm trying to</li> <li>process this.</li> <li>Q. I can make it much quicker,</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse. I have heard – I have heard</li> <li>that Mr. Seery is a copious note taker.</li> <li>Do you have any knowledge about</li> <li>that?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Switching gears yet again,</li> <li>and this will be last theme. Do you need a</li> <li>restroom break, or are you good to go for</li> <li>another half an hour?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be great. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 5:53 p.m. (Recess taken 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 5:59 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you earlier about contracts between HCMFA and the debtor, and now I'm going to talk about contracts between the debtor and NexPoint Advisors. Okay? A. Okay. Q. Now, were there contracts similar to the ones with HCMFA that NexPoint had in the nature of employee reimbursement and shared services? A. Yes, they – NexPoint Advisors and Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors had	Page 325

Page 326	Page 327
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Q. And was that shared services	2 A. Yes.
3 agreement, to the best of your understanding,	3 Q. So let's break that up. You were a
4 in place as of December 31, 2020?	4 treasurer of NexPoint as well in December of
5 A. It was – it was terminated at some	5 2020?
6 point, and I remember the contracts had	6 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
7 different termination dates, but I think the -	7 of the question.
8 the date of termination was January 31st of	8 A. Yes.
9 2021, after the termination was put in.	9 Q. Okay. And in December of 2020, did
10 So yeah, it would be in place at the	10 NexPoint have its own bank accounts?
11 end of the year of December it would be in	11 A. Yes.
12 place at December 31st, 2020.	12 Q. And did it use those bank accounts
13 Q. And pursuant to that agreement as of	13 to pay various of its obligations?
14 December 31st, 2020, was the debtor providing	14 A. Yes.
15 what you would describe as back office services	15 Q. Did employees of the debtor have the
16 to NexPoint?	16 ability to cause transfers to be made from
17 A. Yes.	17 those bank accounts on behalf of NexPoint?
18 Q. Would those have included accounting	18 A. Yes.
19 services?	19 Q. And is that one of services that the
20 A. Yes.	20 debtor provided NexPoint, basically ensuring
21 Q. And as part of those accounting	21 that accounts payable and other obligations
22 services, would the debtor have assisted	22 would be paid?
23 NexPoint with paying its bills?	23 A. Yes.
24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
25 of the question.	25 of the question.
Page 328	Page 329
Page 328 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 329 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
Page 328 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. You answered yes?	
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.You answered yes?	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. You answered yes?3A. Yes.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. You answered yes?3A. Yes.4Q. And the payments, though, whose5funds would they be made from?	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> <li>funds would they be made from?</li> <li>A. From the bank account of NexPoint</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> <li>funds would they be made from?</li> <li>A. From the bank account of NexPoint</li> <li>7 Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>To you see that?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> <li>funds would they be made from?</li> <li>A. From the bank account of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor</li> <li>obligations, it would be made from NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors' bank account.</li> <li>Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>To you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> <li>funds would they be made from?</li> <li>A. From the bank account of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor</li> <li>obligations, it would be made from NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors' bank account.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> <li>Okay. Go ahead.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> <li>funds would they be made from?</li> <li>A. From the bank account of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor</li> <li>obligations, it would be made from NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors' bank account.</li> <li>Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.</li> <li>You should have that it is my Tab 1 or my</li> <li>Exhibit 1.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>To you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> <li>Okay. Go ahead.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> <li>funds would they be made from?</li> <li>A. From the bank account of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor</li> <li>obligations, it would be made from NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors' bank account.</li> <li>Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.</li> <li>You should have that it is my Tab 1 or my</li> <li>Exhibit 1.</li> <li>(Exhibit A1 marked.)</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> <li>Okay. Go ahead.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> <li>funds would they be made from?</li> <li>A. From the bank account of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor</li> <li>obligations, it would be made from NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors' bank account.</li> <li>Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.</li> <li>You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my</li> <li>Exhibit 1.</li> <li>(Exhibit A1 marked.)</li> <li>Q. So this is a – this is a series of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> <li>Okay. Go ahead.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix</li> <li>here on November 25 asking of you?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> <li>funds would they be made from?</li> <li>A. From the bank account of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor</li> <li>obligations, it would be made from NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors' bank account.</li> <li>Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.</li> <li>You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my</li> <li>Exhibit 1.</li> <li>(Exhibit A1 marked.)</li> <li>Q. So this is a – this is a series of</li> <li>emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> <li>Okay. Go ahead.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix</li> <li>here on November 25 asking of you?</li> <li>A. She is asking me – so she – these</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> <li>funds would they be made from?</li> <li>A. From the bank account of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor</li> <li>obligations, it would be made from NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors' bank account.</li> <li>Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.</li> <li>You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my</li> <li>Exhibit 1.</li> <li>(Exhibit A1 marked.)</li> <li>Q. So this is a – this is a series of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> <li>Okay. Go ahead.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix</li> <li>here on November 25 asking of you?</li> <li>A. She is asking me – so she – these</li> <li>are – these are payments – typically we would</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> <li>funds would they be made from?</li> <li>A. From the bank account of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor</li> <li>obligations, it would be made from NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors' bank account.</li> <li>Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.</li> <li>You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my</li> <li>Exhibit 1.</li> <li>(Exhibit A1 marked.)</li> <li>Q. So this is a – this is a series of</li> <li>emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the</li> <li>first page here, November 25, 2020, between</li> <li>Kristin Hendrix and yourself.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> <li>Okay. Go ahead.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix</li> <li>here on November 25 asking of you?</li> <li>A. She is asking me – so she – these</li> <li>are – these are payments – typically we would</li> <li>do an accounts payable run every week at the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> <li>funds would they be made from?</li> <li>A. From the bank account of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor</li> <li>obligations, it would be made from NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors' bank account.</li> <li>Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.</li> <li>You should have that it is my Tab 1 or my</li> <li>Exhibit 1.</li> <li>(Exhibit A1 marked.)</li> <li>Q. So this is a this is a series of</li> <li>emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the</li> <li>first page here, November 25, 2020, between</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> <li>Okay. Go ahead.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix</li> <li>here on November 25 asking of you?</li> <li>A. She is asking me – so she – these</li> <li>are – these are payments – typically we would</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> <li>funds would they be made from?</li> <li>A. From the bank account of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor</li> <li>obligations, it would be made from NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors' bank account.</li> <li>Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.</li> <li>You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my</li> <li>Exhibit 1.</li> <li>(Exhibit A1 marked.)</li> <li>Q. So this is a – this is a series of</li> <li>emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the</li> <li>first page here, November 25, 2020, between</li> <li>Kristin Hendrix and yourself.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> <li>Okay. Go ahead.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix</li> <li>here on November 25 asking of you?</li> <li>A. She is asking me – so she – these</li> <li>are – these are payments – typically we would</li> <li>do an accounts payable run every week at the</li> <li>end of every Friday. But looking at this date,</li> <li>it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. You answered yes?         3       A. Yes.         4       Q. And the payments, though, whose         5       funds would they be made from?         6       A. From the bank account of NexPoint         7       Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor         8       obligations, it would be made from NexPoint         9       Advisors' bank account.         10       Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.         11       You should have that it is my Tab 1 or my         12       Exhibit 1.         13       (Exhibit A1 marked.)         14       Q. So this is a this is a series of         15       emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the         16       first page here, November 25, 2020, between         17       Kristin Hendrix and yourself.         18       Do you see that, sir?         19       A. I do.         20       Q. And do you see where Ms. Hendrix	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. Imean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> <li>Okay. Go ahead.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix</li> <li>here on November 25 asking of you?</li> <li>A. She is asking me – so she – these</li> <li>are – these are payments – typically we would</li> <li>do an accounts payable run every week at the</li> <li>end of every Friday. But looking at this date,</li> <li>it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> <li>funds would they be made from?</li> <li>A. From the bank account of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor</li> <li>obligations, it would be made from NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors' bank account.</li> <li>Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.</li> <li>You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my</li> <li>Exhibit 1.</li> <li>(Exhibit A1 marked.)</li> <li>Q. So this is a – this is a series of</li> <li>emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the</li> <li>first page here, November 25, 2020, between</li> <li>Kristin Hendrix and yourself.</li> <li>Do you see that, sir?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> <li>Okay. Go ahead.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix</li> <li>here on November 25 asking of you?</li> <li>A. She is asking me – so she – these</li> <li>are – these are payments – typically we would</li> <li>do an accounts payable run every week at the</li> <li>end of every Friday. But looking at this date,</li> <li>it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. You answered yes?         3       A. Yes.         4       Q. And the payments, though, whose         5       funds would they be made from?         6       A. From the bank account of NexPoint         7       Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor         8       obligations, it would be made from NexPoint         9       Advisors' bank account.         10       Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.         11       You should have that it is my Tab 1 or my         12       Exhibit 1.         13       (Exhibit A1 marked.)         14       Q. So this is a this is a series of         15       emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the         16       first page here, November 25, 2020, between         17       Kristin Hendrix and yourself.         18       Do you see that, sir?         19       A. I do.         20       Q. And do you see where Ms. Hendrix	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. Imean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> <li>Okay. Go ahead.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix</li> <li>here on November 25 asking of you?</li> <li>A. She is asking me – so she – these</li> <li>are – these are payments – typically we would</li> <li>do an accounts payable run every week at the</li> <li>end of every Friday. But looking at this date,</li> <li>it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to</li> <li>me, it is likely Thanksgiving weekend.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> <li>funds would they be made from?</li> <li>A. From the bank account of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor</li> <li>obligations, it would be made from NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors' bank account.</li> <li>Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.</li> <li>You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my</li> <li>Exhibit 1.</li> <li>(Exhibit A1 marked.)</li> <li>Q. So this is a – this is a series of</li> <li>emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the</li> <li>first page here, November 25, 2020, between</li> <li>Kristin Hendrix and yourself.</li> <li>Do you see that, sir?</li> <li>A. I do.</li> <li>Q. And do you see where Ms. Hendrix</li> <li>writes: NPA.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. Imean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> <li>Okay. Go ahead.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix</li> <li>here on November 25 asking of you?</li> <li>A. She is asking me – so she – these</li> <li>are – these are payments – typically we would</li> <li>do an accounts payable run every week at the</li> <li>end of every Friday. But looking at this date,</li> <li>it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to</li> <li>me, it is likely Thanksgiving weekend.</li> <li>So this is the day before</li> </ul>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. You answered yes?         3       A. Yes.         4       Q. And the payments, though, whose         5       funds would they be made from?         6       A. From the bank account of NexPoint         7       Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor         8       obligations, it would be made from NexPoint         9       Advisors' bank account.         10       Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.         11       You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my         12       Exhibit 1.         13       (Exhibit A1 marked.)         14       Q. So this is a – this is a series of         15       emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the         16       first page here, November 25, 2020, between         17       Kristin Hendrix and yourself.         18       Do you see that, sir?         19       A. I do.         20       Q. And do you see where Ms. Hendrix         21       writes: NPA.         22       Do you know what NPA stood for?         23       A. Yes.         24       Q. And what does it stand for?	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> <li>Okay. Go ahead.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix</li> <li>here on November 25 asking of you?</li> <li>A. She is asking me – so she – these</li> <li>are – these are payments – typically we would</li> <li>do an accounts payable run every week at the</li> <li>end of every Friday. But looking at this date,</li> <li>it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to</li> <li>me, it is likely Thanksgiving weekend.</li> <li>So this is the day before</li> <li>Thanksgiving, so this is the last kind of –</li> <li>kind of day before the holidays and vacation</li> <li>and things of that nature. So it is</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. You answered yes?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the payments, though, whose</li> <li>funds would they be made from?</li> <li>A. From the bank account of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor</li> <li>obligations, it would be made from NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors' bank account.</li> <li>Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.</li> <li>You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my</li> <li>Exhibit 1.</li> <li>(Exhibit A1 marked.)</li> <li>Q. So this is a – this is a series of</li> <li>emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the</li> <li>first page here, November 25, 2020, between</li> <li>Kristin Hendrix and yourself.</li> <li>Do you see that, sir?</li> <li>A. Ido.</li> <li>Q. And do you see where Ms. Hendrix</li> <li>writes: NPA.</li> <li>Do you know what NPA stood for?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. And was that how you-all internally</li> <li>at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?</li> <li>A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.</li> <li>Q. And she writes at the bottom of her</li> <li>email: Okay to release?</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes, I do.</li> <li>Q. So what –</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.</li> <li>Okay. Go ahead.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.</li> <li>Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix</li> <li>here on November 25 asking of you?</li> <li>A. She is asking me – so she – these</li> <li>are – these are payments – typically we would</li> <li>do an accounts payable run every week at the</li> <li>end of every Friday. But looking at this date,</li> <li>it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to</li> <li>me, it is likely Thanksgiving weekend.</li> <li>So this is the last kind of –</li> <li>kind of day before the holidays and vacation</li> </ul>

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-3 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 85 of 131

Page 330	Page 331
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 So she is – she is putting in all	2 Q. And did NexPoint rely on employees
3 the payments for the week because we batch	3 of the debtor to ensure that NexPoint's
4 payments weekly. And these are the payments	4 accounts payable were timely paid?
5 that go out that week, and she is informing me	5 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
6 of the payments and – you know, again, at the	6 of the question.
7 bottom of the email, she is asking for my okay	7 A. Yes.
8 to to release these payments in the wire	8 MR. RUKAVINA: Let's flip to the
9 system.	9 next page, Mr. Nguyen, if you will please
10 Q. So these would be accounts payable	10 scroll to the next page.
11 of NexPoint?	11 Q. So this is an email similar to the
12 A. I mean, it would be accounts payable	12 prior one, November 30th.
13 for all of these entities listed on this email.	13 Do you see where it says, NPA HCMFA,
14 Q. And who was Ms. Hendrix employed by	14 USD \$325,000 one-day loan?
15 in November and December of 2020?	15 Do you see that, sir?
16 A. Highland Capital Management.	16 A. I do.
17 Q. Okay. So – so part of the services	17 Q. Do you have any memory of what that
18 that NexPoint had contracted with was for	18 was?
19 Highland to ensure that NexPoint timely paid	19 A. I don't recall what that – what
20 its accounts payable; is that accurate?	20 that payment was for.
21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	21 Q. Did it sometimes occur that one
22 of the question. You have got to be	22 advisor would, on very short-terms, make loans
23 kidding me.	23 to another advisor?
24 Q. Is that accurate?	A. Yes. This – this – this occurred
25 A. Yes.	25 from – from – from time to time. It actually
Page 332	Page 333
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 looking at I'm I'm looking at the date of	2 A. Yes.
3 this email. It is November 30th. It is the	3 Q. And that is probably the loan that
4 last day of the month.	4 was approved on the prior page?
5 HCMFA has obligations it needs to	5 A. Yes, most likely.
6 pay to its broker-dealer, which is HCFD. And	6 Q. So is it also true, sir, that in
7 it likely was short funds to make those	7 addition to accounts payable debtor employees
8 obligations under that under its agreement,	8 would be assisting NexPoint with respect to
9 and so it provided a one-day loan because on	9 paying back its debt?
10 the next business day on 12/1 – or the next	10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
11 business day in December, it would receive	11 of the question.
12 management fees from the underlying funds that	12 A. I mean, yes, for loans of this
13 it managed and it would be able to pay back	13 nature, yes.
14 that loan to NexPoint Advisors.	14 Q. Well, what about long term loans?
15 Q. So so here Ms. Hendrix was	15 Was it reasonable for NexPoint to expect debtor
16 seeking your approval to transfer \$325,000 from	16 employees to ensure that NexPoint timely paid
17 NexPoint to HCMFA for a one-day loan; is that	17 its obligations under long-term notes?
18 correct?	18 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
19 A. That is correct.	19 of the question.
20 Q. Let's flip to the next page, sir.	20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
21 MR. RUKAVINA: And, Mr. Nguyen, if	21 A. I mean, that is one of the things
22 you will please scroll down.	22 that the Highland personnel did provide to the
23 Q. Now we have as an entry for	23 advisors. Yes, we would we would over
24 \$325,000, 11/30 loan payment.	24 the years, yes, we - we - we - we did do
25 Do you see that, sir?	25 that generally. Again, I don't remember

	Page 334			Page 335
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Tage 000
2	specifically but, yes, generally we – you	2	the debtor would have played any role in	
3	know, we did do that.	3	NexPoint having made those prior payments?	
4	Q. So do you recall – and we can pull	4	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
5	it up, if need be that under the NexPoint	5	of the question.	
6	note that Mr. Morris asked you about earlier,	6	A. Yes.	
7	the one for more than \$30 million, that	7	Q. And what role in years prior to 2020	
8	NexPoint was obligated to make an annual	8	would employees of the debtor have had with	
9	payment of principal and interest?	9	respect to NexPoint making that annual payment?	
10	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	10	A. We we we would have I keep	
11	of the question.	11	saying "we." The team would have calculated	
12	A. Yes, it was – yes, it – it was an	12	any amounts due under that loan and other	
13	amortizing note. It was you know, from what	13	loans, as – as standard course.	
14	we reviewed earlier, it was payable by	14	We would since we provided	
15	December 31st of each year. So but are	15	treasury services to the advisors, we would	
16	are you asking me –	16	inform the – the – the – we informed	
17	Q. I'm just asking you, sir, if you	17	Mr. Dondero of any cash obligations that are	
18	recall the note.	18	forthcoming, whether we do cash projections.	
19	A. Yes, the \$30 million note, yes, we	19	lf, you know, any of these payments	
20	reviewed it earlier, yes.	20	would have or, you know, the sum total of	
21	Q. And do you recall Mr. Morris had you	21	all of these payments, including any note	
22	go through the fact that NexPoint had made	22	payments, if there were any cash shortfalls, we	
23	payments in years prior to 2020 on that note?	23	would have informed Mr. Dondero of any cash	
24	A. Ido.	24	shortfalls. We could adequately plan, you	
25	Q. And do you believe that employees of	25	know, in instances like that.	
	Page 336	4		Page 337
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Or, sorry, we – I say "we" – I	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2		2	or accounting would have sent some schedule or	
3	keep saying "we" – I keep wearing my – again,	3	a reminder that a payment would be coming due in the future. Is that generally the practice?	
4	my – my treasurer hat. But, yes, it is to – it is to	4	A. Yes, we would – you know, again, I	
5	inform Mr. Dondero of the obligations of the	5 6	didn't – I didn't micromanage the teams, but	
	5		we had a – a corporate accounting calendar	
	advisors in terms of cash and obligations that are – are upcoming and that – and that are –		that we use as kind of a tickler file to keep	
8	are scheduled to be paid.	8	•	
9 10	Q. And would those obligations that are	9 10	track of payments. I actually, you know, don't know how	
11	upcoming and scheduled to be paid prior to 2020	11	actively they're using that in – in prior to	
12	have incurred the annual payment on that	12	2020, but it was actively used at some point.	
12	NexPoint \$30 million note?	12	We did look at NexPoint cash	
13	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	13	periodically and cash for the other advisors as	
14	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Davor, I think	14	well and payments. You know, we – payments	
15	you misspoke. You might want to just	16	like this would have appeared in our cash	
10	repeat the question.	17	projections, in the advisor's cash projections.	
11/		17	And, again, as like I said earlier,	
10	Q. Okay. Let me repeat the question, sir.		they would have appeared there, so there would	
20	Sir. Prior to 2020, those services that	19 20	be time to plan for making any of these	
20	you just described, would that – on behalf of	20	payments.	
21	the debtor, would that have included NexPoint's	21		
1	payments on the \$30 million note?	22	Q. And based on your experience, would it have been reasonable for NexPoint to rely on	
23	A. Yes.	23 24	the debtors' employees to inform NexPoint of an	
24	Q. So someone at the debtor in treasury	24 25	upcoming payment due on the \$30 million	
		20		

	Page 338	Page 339
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 330	
2 promissory note?		? it.
3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to form of	3	Q. Were you aware that Highland
4 the question.	4	accelerated that \$30 million promissory note?
5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	Ę	A. I am aware.
6 A. Yes. Yes, they did. I mean, but I	6	Q. Were you aware of that acceleration
7 mean, but I don't think these – these notes	7	at the time that it occurred?
8 were any secret to anybody.	8	A. I don't remember specifically.
9 Q. I understand, and I'm not suggesting	ę	Q. Do you recall whether anyone asked
10 otherwise.	1	) you – prior to the acceleration, anyone asked
11 MR. RUKAVINA: Please pull up Alpha	1	1 you at Highland, what Highland should do with
12 2, Mr. Nguyen.	1	2 respect to the missed payment?
13 (Exhibit A2 marked.)	1	A. Did anyone ask me what Highland
14 Q. Now, this document is similar to the	1	4 should do about the missed payment?
15 ones we've seen before as of December 31, 2020,	1	5 Q. Yes, before acceleration.
16 and I don't see under NTA anything there for	1	6 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
17 paying the promissory note to Highland.	1	7 of the question.
18 Do you see anything like that?	1	A. I mean, what – what I recall is
19 A. I do not.	1	o there was the – sorry, are you asking me –
20 MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull that	2	) MS. DANDENEAU: Why don't you just
21 that exhibit down, Mr. Nguyen.	2	1 repeat the question, Mr. Rukavina.
22 Q. You are aware, of course, by now	2	2 Q. Let me try again, Mr. Waterhouse,
23 that, in fact, NexPoint failed to make the	2	3 let me try again.
24 payment due December 31, 2020, are you not?	2	I am saying you're the CFO of
A. I am aware, and yes, I do understand	2	5 someone, in this case, Highland, and the
	Page 340	Page 341
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	
2 borrower failed to make the required payment.	2	
3 Are you with me so far?	3	•
4 A. Iam.	4	
5 Q. Did anyone then ask you, what should	Ę	
6 we do with respect to our rights against the	6	
7 borrower that missed the payment?	7	the default with Mr. Dondero?
8 A. Not that I recall.	3	5
9 Q. Did you play a role in the decision	Į į	
10 to accelerate that \$30 million promissory note?	1	
11 A. I did not.	1	
12 Q. Do you recall whether Mr. Seery ever	1	
13 asked you before the acceleration as to whether	1	
14 he should accelerate the note?	1	
15 A. I don't recall.	1	
16 Q. And you don't recall when you	1	
17 learned of the acceleration itself?	1	
18 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	1	
19 of that question.	1	
20 A. It was – it was sometime in	2	•
1.21 oorly in oorly 2021 I don't remember	2	1 A. Ido.
21 early – in early 2021. I don't remember		
22 specifically.	2	, ,
<ul><li>22 specifically.</li><li>23 Q. But do you recall whether it was</li></ul>	2	3 \$30 million note we're talking about right now
<ul><li>22 specifically.</li><li>23 Q. But do you recall whether it was</li><li>24 after the acceleration had already been</li></ul>	2 2 2	<ul><li>\$30 million note we're talking about right now</li><li>with Ms. Hendrix?</li></ul>
<ul><li>22 specifically.</li><li>23 Q. But do you recall whether it was</li></ul>	2	<ul><li>\$30 million note we're talking about right now</li><li>with Ms. Hendrix?</li></ul>

	5
Page 342 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 343 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Q. Okay. Do you recall what prompted	2 December of 2020. He called me from home. He
3 you to send that email to her?	3 said he was in court. He wanted to – he asked
4 A. Yes, I had – I had a conversation	4 about, you know, making payment on the note and
5 with Jim.	5 the amount, and so I didn't have those numbers
6 Q. Okay. And what – what did you	6 in front of me, so I said I would get back to
7 discuss with Jim that led to this email chain?	7 him. I wanted all the details, so here is
8 A. He – he called me and he said he	8 this – so I reached out to Kristin.
9 wanted to make payment on the NexPoint note,	9 Q. And then she gave you that
10 and I didn't I didn't know the the amount	10 \$1,406,000 figure?
11 offhand, so I reached out to Kristin and got	11 MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, if you
12 the details and relayed that to him.	12 will scroll up, please.
13 Q. And you see you sent that email to	13 A. Yes. Yeah, she – the \$1,406,112.
14 her at 11:15 a.m. Does that help you remember	14 Q. And do you recall whether you
15 when you had this discussion with Mr. Dondero?	15 conveyed that amount to Mr. Dondero?
16 In other words, was it that morning or the day	16 A. Yes. I – I called him back and
17 before, or can you – can you –	17 gave him – gave him this amount.
18 A. No, it was – it was that morning.	18 Q. Are you aware of whether NexPoint,
19 Q. And do you recall how you had that	19 in fact, then made that 1 million 406 and
20 conversation with him?	20 change payment?
21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	21 A. Yes, they did.
22 of the question.	22 Q. Did you discuss with Mr. Dondero at
23 Q. By telephone, by email, in-person?	23 that time, either the first conference or the
24 A. Yeah, he – he called me. I was at	24 second conference that day – strike that.
25 home. We were working from home here in	25 When you conveyed the number to
Page 344 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 345
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. No.
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Mr. Dondero, was was it also on January312th?	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>Yes, yes, it was that – it was –</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you discuss that in any way with</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was –</li> <li>Q. So you had –</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you discuss that in any way with</li> <li>him?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was –</li> <li>Q. So you had –</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> </ol>	1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A.       No.         3       Q.       Did you tell him anything to the         4       effect that making that payment would not cure         5       the default?         6       A.       No.         7       Q.       Did you discuss that in any way with         8       him?         9       A.       No, I did not.
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that it was</li> <li>Q. So you had</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> <li>Q. Well, to the best of your</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you discuss that in any way with</li> <li>him?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. Did he say why he wanted to have</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was –</li> <li>Q. So you had –</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> <li>Q. Well, to the best of your</li> <li>recollection, you had a conference with</li> </ol>	1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. No.         3       Q. Did you tell him anything to the         4       effect that making that payment would not cure         5       the default?         6       A. No.         7       Q. Did you discuss that in any way with         8       him?         9       A. No, I did not.         10       Q. Did he say why he wanted to have         11       that \$1.4 million payment made?
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was –</li> <li>Q. So you had –</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> <li>Q. Well, to the best of your</li> <li>recollection, you had a conference with</li> <li>Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning,</li> </ol>	1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A. No.3Q. Did you tell him anything to the4effect that making that payment would not cure5the default?6A. No.7Q. Did you discuss that in any way with8him?9A. No, I did not.10Q. Did he say why he wanted to have11that \$1.4 million payment made?12MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that it was</li> <li>Q. So you had</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> <li>Q. Well, to the best of your</li> <li>recollection, you had a conference with</li> <li>Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning,</li> <li>and then another conference with him by</li> </ol>	1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A. No.3Q. Did you tell him anything to the4effect that making that payment would not cure5the default?6A. No.7Q. Did you discuss that in any way with8him?9A. No, I did not.10Q. Did he say why he wanted to have11that \$1.4 million payment made?12MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form13of the question.
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that it was</li> <li>Q. So you had</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> <li>Q. Well, to the best of your</li> <li>recollection, you had a conference with</li> <li>Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning,</li> <li>and then another conference with him by</li> <li>telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you discuss that in any way with</li> <li>him?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. Did he say why he wanted to have</li> <li>that \$1.4 million payment made?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. He - he - he didn't go into</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was –</li> <li>Q. So you had –</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> <li>Q. Well, to the best of your</li> <li>recollection, you had a conference with</li> <li>Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning,</li> <li>and then another conference with him by</li> <li>telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you discuss that in any way with</li> <li>him?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. Did he say why he wanted to have</li> <li>that \$1.4 million payment made?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. He - he - he didn't go into</li> <li>specifics.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was –</li> <li>Q. So you had –</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> <li>Q. Well, to the best of your</li> <li>recollection, you had a conference with</li> <li>Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning,</li> <li>and then another conference with him by</li> <li>telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it</li> <li>was either that morning or it could have been,</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you discuss that in any way with</li> <li>him?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. Did he say why he wanted to have</li> <li>that \$1.4 million payment made?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. He - he - he didn't go into</li> <li>specifics.</li> <li>Q. Did he say anything to you to the</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was –</li> <li>Q. So you had –</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> <li>Q. Well, to the best of your</li> <li>recollection, you had a conference with</li> <li>Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning,</li> <li>and then another conference with him by</li> <li>telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it</li> <li>was either that morning or it could have been,</li> <li>you know, early afternoon, but again, I</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you discuss that in any way with</li> <li>him?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. Did he say why he wanted to have</li> <li>that \$1.4 million payment made?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. He – he – he didn't go into</li> <li>specifics.</li> <li>Q. Did he say anything to you to the</li> <li>effect that if NexPoint makes that payment,</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was –</li> <li>Q. So you had –</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> <li>Q. Well, to the best of your</li> <li>recollection, you had a conference with</li> <li>Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning,</li> <li>and then another conference with him by</li> <li>telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it</li> <li>was either that morning or it could have been,</li> <li>you know, early afternoon, but again, I</li> <li>remember calling him back, relaying this</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you discuss that in any way with</li> <li>him?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. Did he say why he wanted to have</li> <li>that \$1.4 million payment made?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. He - he - he didn't go into</li> <li>specifics.</li> <li>Q. Did he say anything to you to the</li> <li>effect that if NexPoint makes that payment,</li> <li>then the note will be de-accelerated?</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was –</li> <li>Q. So you had –</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> <li>Q. Well, to the best of your</li> <li>recollection, you had a conference with</li> <li>Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning,</li> <li>and then another conference with him by</li> <li>telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it</li> <li>was either that morning or it could have been,</li> <li>you know, early afternoon, but again, I</li> <li>remember calling him back, relaying this</li> <li>information to him, and he said, okay, pay –</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you discuss that in any way with</li> <li>him?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. Did he say why he wanted to have</li> <li>that \$1.4 million payment made?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. He – he – he didn't go into</li> <li>specifics.</li> <li>Q. Did he say anything to you to the</li> <li>effect that if NexPoint makes that payment,</li> <li>then the note will be de-accelerated?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that it was</li> <li>Q. So you had</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> <li>Q. Well, to the best of your</li> <li>recollection, you had a conference with</li> <li>Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning,</li> <li>and then another conference with him by</li> <li>telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I can't remember yeah, it</li> <li>was either that morning or it could have been,</li> <li>you know, early afternoon, but again, I</li> <li>remember calling him back, relaying this</li> <li>information to him, and he said, okay, pay</li> <li>you know, make make this payment.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you discuss that in any way with</li> <li>him?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. Did he say why he wanted to have</li> <li>that \$1.4 million payment made?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. He – he – he didn't go into</li> <li>specifics.</li> <li>Q. Did he say anything to you to the</li> <li>effect that if NexPoint makes that payment,</li> <li>then the note will be de-accelerated?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that it was</li> <li>Q. So you had</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> <li>Q. Well, to the best of your</li> <li>recollection, you had a conference with</li> <li>Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning,</li> <li>and then another conference with him by</li> <li>telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I can't remember yeah, it</li> <li>was either that morning or it could have been,</li> <li>you know, early afternoon, but again, I</li> <li>remember calling him back, relaying this</li> <li>information to him, and he said, okay, pay</li> <li>you know, make make this payment.</li> <li>Q. And during either of those two</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you discuss that in any way with</li> <li>him?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. Did he say why he wanted to have</li> <li>that \$1.4 million payment made?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. He – he – he didn't go into</li> <li>specifics.</li> <li>Q. Did he say anything to you to the</li> <li>effect that if NexPoint makes that payment,</li> <li>then the note will be de-accelerated?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. He – he – he didn't go into</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was –</li> <li>Q. So you had –</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> <li>Q. Well, to the best of your</li> <li>recollection, you had a conference with</li> <li>Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning,</li> <li>and then another conference with him by</li> <li>telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it</li> <li>was either that morning or it could have been,</li> <li>you know, early afternoon, but again, I</li> <li>remember calling him back, relaying this</li> <li>information to him, and he said, okay, pay –</li> <li>you know, make – make this payment.</li> <li>Q. And during either of those two</li> <li>calls, did you tell Mr. Dondero anything to the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you discuss that in any way with</li> <li>him?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. Did he say why he wanted to have</li> <li>that \$1.4 million payment made?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. He - he - he didn't go into</li> <li>specifics.</li> <li>Q. Did he say anything to you to the</li> <li>effect that if NexPoint makes that payment,</li> <li>then the note will be de-accelerated?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. He - he - he didn't go into</li> <li>specifics.</li> <li>Q. Did he say anything to you to the</li> <li>effect that if NexPoint makes that payment,</li> <li>then the note will be de-accelerated?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: You can put this one</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was –</li> <li>Q. So you had –</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> <li>Q. Well, to the best of your</li> <li>recollection, you had a conference with</li> <li>Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning,</li> <li>and then another conference with him by</li> <li>telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it</li> <li>was either that morning or it could have been,</li> <li>you know, early afternoon, but again, I</li> <li>remember calling him back, relaying this</li> <li>information to him, and he said, okay, pay –</li> <li>you know, make – make this payment.</li> <li>Q. And during either of those two</li> <li>calls, did you tell Mr. Dondero anything to the</li> <li>effect that making those – I'm sorry, making</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you discuss that in any way with</li> <li>him?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. Did he say why he wanted to have</li> <li>that \$1.4 million payment made?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. He – he – he didn't go into</li> <li>specifics.</li> <li>Q. Did he say anything to you to the</li> <li>effect that if NexPoint makes that payment,</li> <li>then the note will be de-accelerated?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. He – he – in the didn't go into</li> <li>specifics.</li> <li>Q. Did he say anything to you to the</li> <li>effect that if NexPoint makes that payment,</li> <li>then the note will be de-accelerated?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: You can put this one</li> <li>down, Mr. Nguyen.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January</li> <li>12th?</li> <li>A. Sorry, when I conveyed the</li> <li>\$1.4 million number?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was –</li> <li>Q. So you had –</li> <li>A. It was that point.</li> <li>Q. Well, to the best of your</li> <li>recollection, you had a conference with</li> <li>Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning,</li> <li>and then another conference with him by</li> <li>telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it</li> <li>was either that morning or it could have been,</li> <li>you know, early afternoon, but again, I</li> <li>remember calling him back, relaying this</li> <li>information to him, and he said, okay, pay –</li> <li>you know, make – make this payment.</li> <li>Q. And during either of those two</li> <li>calls, did you tell Mr. Dondero anything to the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell him anything to the</li> <li>effect that making that payment would not cure</li> <li>the default?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Did you discuss that in any way with</li> <li>him?</li> <li>A. No, I did not.</li> <li>Q. Did he say why he wanted to have</li> <li>that \$1.4 million payment made?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. He - he - he didn't go into</li> <li>specifics.</li> <li>Q. Did he say anything to you to the</li> <li>effect that if NexPoint makes that payment,</li> <li>then the note will be de-accelerated?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. He - he - he didn't go into</li> <li>specifics.</li> <li>Q. Did he say anything to you to the</li> <li>effect that if NexPoint makes that payment,</li> <li>then the note will be de-accelerated?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: You can put this one</li> </ul>

1       WATERHOUSE-10-19-21         2       efter way, correct?       2         3       A       Yeah, I don't remember. I don't       3         4       remember us discussing that.       4       me take a step back. Let         5       D. Now - and were almost done, I       5       So you are aware now that as a         6       promise, trin Jst gong to - Idon't know how       6       resuld of that default, what was all some         7       to ask this questin, so fm just gong to ity       7       25-year note was contearted and became         8       to don't must sub soft for a boomber 31,       9       ngtr?         10       2200, dd Mr. Seary ever tel you any words to       10       A       Yes.         11       the effect that you or someone at Highiand       11       - A rea any oue se how someone at         12       should ensure that NexPoint doesn't make tes       12       Highiand might have been pleased with         13       payment?       14       MRERNES: Objection to be form.       15         14       A Ne.       14       MRERNES: Objection to be form.       15         15       OL Duy uhave any reason to believe       16       dewolpment?       16         16       that groue waleve been pleased with hat       16		Page 346			Page 347
3       A       Yesh, I don't remember. I don't       3       Q.       Yes, Let me take a step back. Let         4       remember us discussing that.       5       So you are aware now that as a         6       pornise. I'm jist going to 1. don't know how       6       result of that default, what was still some         7       back this question, so ''m just going to ty       7       25/year note was accelerated and bacame         8       bd ony best.       9       Prior to the default on December 31,       9         9       Prior to the default on December 31,       9       right?         10       0.200, ddth. Seey event by ouray words to       10       A. Yes.         11       the effect that you or someone at Highland       11       O. And can you see how someone at         12       should ensure that NoPoint doesn't make its       12       Highland might accluby here been pleased with that         13       that development?       13       that development?       16         14       A. No.       14       MR: MORRIS: Objection to the form.       20         15       O. Did you have any hint or any belief       15       0. Not that they were - not that they         16       that anyone at NexPort - I'm sony, stike       16       Weexeptionenth.       16		WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1		Ū
4         member us discussing that.         4         metake a step back.           5         0. Now - and we're almost done, I         5         So you are aware now that as a           6         promise. Im jist going to I don't know how         6         result of that default, what was all some           7         back this question, so Im jist going to ty         7         25-year note was accelerated and became           9         Prior to the default on December 31.         9         right?         10         A. Yes.           10         2020, did M: Seery ever tell you any words to         10         A. Yes.         11         Q. And can you see how someone at           12         should ensure that NexPoint desmit make its         12         Highland might back bally have been pleased with           13         paymen?         13         that developmen?         14           14         A. No.         15         G. Not that they were - not that they           15         Did you have any intor any belief         15         G. Not weta may reason to believe         18         development?         18         development?           17         that any exe on bank actively trying         19         MR: MORRIS: Objectio form.         21         APM on Docember 31         Gevelopment?         10         MR: MORRIS: Ob			-		
5       So you are aware now that as a         6       promise. Im just going to -1 don't know how       6       result of that default, what was as all some         7       back this question, so fm just going to try       7       25-year note was accelerated and became         8       to do my best.       8       immediately due. You are aware of that now,         9       Prior to be default on Docember 31,       9       right?         10       2202, dd Mr. Seery evertell you any words to       10       A. Yes.         11       the effect that you consome one at Highland       11       Q. And can you see how someone at         11       that development?       13       that development?         14       A. No.       14       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form.         15       Q. Did you have any reason to believe       18       development?         16       that anyone at NexPoint I. I'm sony, shife       18       development?         19       that MCORRIS: Objection to the form.       20       of the question.         21       paring on December 31?       9       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form.         22       A. Arey questing, diary Highland       24       Q. Okay. But you'ne not - you'ne not         23       of the question.       23			3		
6       promise. I'm just going to - I don't know how       6       result of that default, what was still some         7       to ake this question. So In just going to ty       7       25-year not was accidentiated and became         9       Prior to the default on December 31,       9       night?         10       2200; didt. Seesy were taily our any words to       10       A. Yes.         11       the deray beck. You are aware of that now,       9         12       should ensure that NexPoint doesn't make its       11       Q. And can you see how someone at         13       that development?       13       that development?         14       A. No.       14       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form.         15       Q. Did you have any reason to believe       16       were pleased, but you can see how someone at         17       that anyone with Highland was actively trying       19       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         20       to get NavPoint to make that default y not       20       of the question.         21       paying no boards bait graphing       21       MR. MORRIS: Objection form.         24       A. A rey ou asking, did any Highland       22       acaded to that.         25       employees atkeely work to make - to       23       readed to that.      <	1	-	4		
7       io ask this question, so Tm just going to thy       7       25-year note was accelerated and became         8       to do my best.       8       immediately due. You are aware of that now;         9       Prior to the default on December 31,       9       nght?         10       2020, did Mr. Seery evert tell you any words to       10       A. Yes.         11       the effect that you or someone at Highland       11       Q. And can you see how someone at         12       should ensure that Nex-Divid Kost that they       10       A. Yes.         13       bady out have any hint or any belief       15       Q. Not that they were — not that they         16       that anyone at NexPoint — Im sorty, strike       16       Mere pleased, but you can see how someone at         17       That.       17       Highland might have been pleased with that         18       Did you have any reason to believe       18       development?         19       that anyone with Highland was actively trying       19       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form.         21       priving on December 31?       21       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         23       of the question.       22       A. I don't how how they would have         24       A. Are you asking. did any Highland       24       Q. Okay.			5	-	
8       b to domy best.       8       immediately due. You are aware of that now;         9       Phone to default on December 31,       9       right?         10       D202, ddm. Seep ever tell you any words to       10       A. Yes.         11       the effect that you or someone at Highland       11       Q. And can you see how someone at         12       should ensure that NexPoint doesn't make its       12       Highland mightackuality have been pleased with         13       payment?       13       that development?       13         14       A. No.       14       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form.         15       Q. Did you have any reason to believe       18       development?         19       that anyone at NexPoint I omske that default by not       20       of the question.         21       paying on December 31?       21       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         22       of the question.       23       readed to that         23       readed to that.       24       A. Are you asking, did any Highland         24       A. Are you asking, did any Highland       24       VatterNHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 348°         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 348°       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 348° <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>6</td><td></td><td></td></t<>			6		
9       Prior to the default on December 31, 10       2020, ddt M: Sequent Billy our any words to 11       10       A. Yes.         11       the effect that you or someone at Highland 13       11       Q. And can you see how someone at 12       11         12       biold ensure that NexPoint doesn't make its 13       12       Highland might actually have been pleased with 13       13         13       payment?       14       M.R. MORRIS: Objection to the form.       14         14       A. No.       14       M.R. MORRIS: Objection to the form.         15       Q. Did you have any that or any balief       15       Q. Not that they were — not that they 14       16         16       that anyone at NexPoint – I'm sony, strike       17       Highland might have been pleased with that 18       18         19       that work with Highland was actively trying 19       19       M.R. MORRIS: Objection to the form 20       21       MS. MORRIS: Objection to the form 21       21       MS. MORRIS: Objection to the form 22       21       MS. MORRIS: Objection to the form 23       21       MS. MORRIS: Objection to the form 23       21       MS. AMDENEAU: Object to form. 24       2       Q. Okay, But you're not – you're not 25       28       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 349         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 349       1       WATER	1		7	-	
10       2020, did Mr. Seery evertell you any words to       10       A. Yes.         11       the effect that you or someone at Highland       11       Q. And can you see how someone at         13       payment?       13       that development?         14       A. No.       14       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form.         15       Q. Did you have any hint or any belef       15       Q. Not that they were — not that they         16       that anyone at NexPoint — I'm sony, strike       16       were pleased, but you can see how someone at         17       that.       17       Highland might chave been pleased with that         18       bd you have any reason to believe       18       development?         19       that anyone with Highland was actively typing       19       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         20       to get NexPoint to make that default by not       20       of the question.       21         21       paying on December 31?       21       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       22         22       A Are you asking, did any Highland       24       Q. Okay. But you're not — you're not         23       of the question.       23       ware of any instructions or any actions being         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 349       1 <td>8 to do</td> <td></td> <td>8</td> <td>•</td> <td></td>	8 to do		8	•	
11       the effect that you or someone at Highland       11       Q. And can you see how someone at         12       should ensure that NexPoint doesn't make its       12       Highland might actually have been pleased with         13       payment?       13       that development?         14       A. No.       14       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form.         15       Q. Did you have any hint or any belief       15       Q. Nick weer — on that they weer — on that they         16       that any one with Highland was actively trying       19       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         19       that any one with Highland was actively trying       19       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         20       toget NexPoint to make that default by not       20       of the question.       23         21       paying on bocenther 317       21       MR. SOANDENRAU: Object form.       22         22       A. Arey ou asking, did any Highland       24       Q. Okay, But you're not       23         23       of the question.       23       reacted to that.       24       Q. Okay, But you're not         23       given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seeny, the       1       WATERHOUSE-10-19-21       Page 349         2       yuber or taken at Highland by Mr. Seeny, the       2       VIDEOGRA			9	-	
12       should ensure that NexPoint doesn't make its       12       Highland might actually have been pleased with         13       payment?       13       that development?         14       A. No.       14       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form.         15       Q. Did you have any hint or any belief       15       Q. Not that they were — not that they         16       that anyone at NexPoint — I'm sorry, strike       16       were pleased, but you can see how someone at         17       that anyone any reason to believe       18       development?         19       that anyone with Highland was actively trying       19       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         20       to get NexPoint to make that default by not       20       of the question.       21         21       paying on December 31?       21       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       23         23       of the question.       23       reacted to that       24       Q. O (kay, But you're not -you're not         24       A. Are you asking, did any Highland       24       Q. O (kay, But you're not -you're not       24         2       given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the       3       the record?       14       MR. MORRIS: Object to form.         3       indespendemotsoard, Si, Hyment?       5       <	1		10	A. Yes.	
13       payment?       13       that development?         14       A. No.       14       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form.         15       Q. Did you have any hint or any belief       15       Q. Not that they were — not that they         16       that anyone at NexPoint – I'm sony, strike       16       were pleased, but you can see how someone at         17       that.       17       Highland might have been pleased with that         18       Did you have any reason to believe       18       development?         19       that anyone with Highland was actively trying       19       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         20       toget NexPoint to make that default by not       20       of the question.       23         21       paying on December 31?       21       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       22         21       paying on base that payaing, did any Highland       24       Q. Okay. But you're not -you're not         23       given or taken at Highland by M. Seery, the       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 349         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 348       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 349         2       given or taken at Highland by M. Seery, the       2       VIDEOGRAPHER: Nore at 0.27 p.m. to 630 p.m.)       7       GRe	11 the	effect that you or someone at Highland	11	•	
14       A. No.       14       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form.         15       Q. Did you have any hint or any belief       15       Q. Not that they were — not that they         16       that.       16       were pleased. buty ou can see how someone at         17       that.       17       Highland might have been pleased with that         18       Did you have any reason to believe       18       development?         19       that any one with Highland was actively trying       19       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         20       to get NexPoint to make that default by not       20       of the question.       21         21       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       22       A. I don't know how they would have         23       of the question.       23       reacted to that.         24       A. Are you asking, did any Highland       24       Q. Okay. But you're not         25       employees actively work to make – to       25       aware of any instructions or any actions being         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 348       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 349         2       given or taken at highland to ensure that how/onit       4       MR. KUKAVINA: Yes.       YUEOGRAPHER: Alinght. We're       6         6	12 sho	uld ensure that NexPoint doesn't make its	12		
15       Q. Did you have any hint or any belief       15       Q. Not that they were — not that they         16       that anyone at NexPoint — Tm sory, strike       16       were pleased, but you can see how someone at         17       that.       17       that.       17       that.       17         18       Did you have any reason to believe       18       development?       19         19       that anyone with Highland was actively trying       19       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         20       to get NexPoint to make that default by not       20       of the question.       21         21       paying on December 31?       21       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       22         23       of the question.       23       readed to that.       24       A. Are you asking, did any Highland       24       Q. O Kay, But you're not – you're not         25       employees actively work to make – to       25       aware of any instructions or any actions being         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 348       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 348         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off       3       therecord at 6.27 p.m.       7         2       gionen taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the       3	13 pay	ment?	13	that development?	
16       that anyone at NexPoint – I'm sony, strike       16       were pleased, but you can see how someone at         17       that.       17       Highland might have been pleased with that         18       Did you have any reason to believe       18       development?         19       that anyone with Highland was actively trying       19       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         20       to get NexPoint to make that default by not       20       of the question.         21       paying on December 31?       21       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         23       of the question.       23       readed to that.         24       A. Are you sking, did any Highland       24       Q. Okay. But you're not – you're not         25       employees actively work to make – to       25       aware of any instructions or any actions being         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 348       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 349         2       given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the       2       VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off       3       the record?         4       basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint       4       MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.       5       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the       9         5       would fail to make that gayment?       5 </td <td>14</td> <td>A. No.</td> <td>  14</td> <td>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form.</td> <td></td>	14	A. No.	14	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form.	
17       that       17       Highland might have been pleased with that         18       Did you have any reason to belive       18       development?         19       that anyow with Highland was actively trying       19       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         20       to get NexPoint to make that default by not       20       of the question.       21       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         22       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       23       reacted to that.       23       reacted to that.         24       A. Are you asking, did any Highland       24       Q. Okay. But you're not – you're not       25       aware of any instructions or any actions being         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 348       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 349         2       given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the       2       VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off       3       the record?         3       the record?       4       MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.       5       VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're       6       going off the record at 6.27 p.m.       7       (Recess taken 6.27 p.m.       7	15 (	Q. Did you have any hint or any belief	15	Q. Not that they were — not that they	
18       Did you have any reason to believe       18       development?         19       that anyone with Highland was actively trying       19       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         20       to get NexPoint to make that default by not       20       of the question.         21       paying on December 31?       21       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         22       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       22       A       I don't know how they would have         23       of the question.       23       reacted to that.       24         4       A ery ou asking, did any Highland       24       Q. O. Kay, But you're not – you're not         25       employees actively work to make – to       25       aware of any instructions or any actions being         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 348       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 349         2       given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seeny, the       3       the record?       4       basically lied Highland to ensure that NexPoint       4       MR. RUKAVINX: Yes.       5       VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're       6       going off the record at 6.20 p.m.       7       (Recess taken 6.27 p.m.       7       0. In other words, there wasn't a trick       7       (Recess taken 6.20 p.m.)       10       mc?       10       MR.	16 that	anyone at NexPoint – I'm sorry, strike	16	were pleased, but you can see how someone at	
19       that anyone with Highland was actively trying       19       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         20       to get NexPoint to make that default by not       20       of the question.         21       paying on December 31?       21       MS. DANDENEAL: Object to form.         22       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       22       A. I don't know how they would have         23       of the question.       23       reacted to that.         24       A. Are you asking, did any Highland       24       Q. Okay. But you're not – you're not         25       employees actively work to make – to       25       aware of any instructions or any actions being         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 348       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 349         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 349       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 349         2       given or taken at Highland toy Mr. Seeny, the       3       the recoord?       4       MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.         5       would fail to make that payment?       5       VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're       6       going off the record at 6:27 p.m.       7       Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick       7       Recess taken 6:27 p.m.       16       3       DecoGRAPHER: We are back on the       9       r			17		
20       to get NexPoint to make that default by not       20       of the question.         21       paying on December 31?       21       MS. DANDENAU: Object to form.         22       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       22       A. I don't know how they would have         23       of the question.       23       readed to that.         24       A. Are you asking, did any Highland       24       Q. Okay. But you're not – you're not         25       employees actively work to make – to       25       aware of any instructions or any actions being         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       WIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off         3       independent board, DSI, that – that would have       3       the record?         4       basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint       4       MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.         5       would fail to make that payment?       5       VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're         6       A. I'm not aware.       6       going off the record?         7       Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick       7       (Recess taken 6c?) p.m. to 63:00 p.m.)         8       value of any instructions on any action the form.       11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         10       form.       10       MR. HORN: So hokave, I don't see <t< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td>18</td><td>development?</td><td></td></t<>	1		18	development?	
21       paying on December 31?       21       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         22       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       22       A. I don't know how they would have         23       of the question.       23       reacted to that.         24       A. Are you asking, did any Highland       24       Q. Okay. But you're not – you're not         25       employees actively work to make – to       25       aware of any instructions or any actions being         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 348       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the       3       the record?         3       independent board, DSI, that – that would have       4       MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.         4       basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint       4       MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.         5       would fail to make that payment?       6       going off the record?         6       A. I'm not aware.       6       going off the record at 6.27 p.m.         7       Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick       7       (Recess taken 6.27 p.m. to 6.30 p.m.)         8       or a settlement, right?       8       VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're         9       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       11       MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking abo	1		19	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
22       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       22       A. I don't know how they would have         23       of the question.       23       reacted to that.         24       A. Are you asking, did any Highland       24       Q. Okay. Buty ou're not – you're not         25       aware of any instructions or any actions being         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 348         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2         2       yib on taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the       3         3       independent board, DSI, that – that would have       3         4       basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint       4         5       would fail to make that payment?       6         6       A. I'm not aware.       6         7       Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick       7         8       or a settlement, right?       8         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to       9         10       form.       11         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       12         12       MR. MORRIS: Object to form.       11         13       A. I'm not aware.       13         14       Look, I'm not aware.       13         15       <	20 to g	et NexPoint to make that default by not	20	of the question.	
23       of the question.       23       reacted to that.         24       A. Are you asking, did any Highland       24       Q. Okay. But you're not – you're not         25       employees actively work to make – to       25       aware of any instructions or any actions being         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       water of any instructions or any actions being         2       given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the       2       VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off         3       independent board, DSI, that – that would have       3       the record?         4       basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint       4       MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.         5       would fail to make that payment?       6       going off the record at 6.27 p.m.         7       Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick       7       (Recess taken 6.27 p.m. to 6.30 p.m.)         8       or a settlement, right?       8       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to       9       record at 6.30 p.m.         10       fmr.       10       MR. HORN: Is Deb back?         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       11       MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about         12       MR. MORNIS: Object to form.       12       me? Irm here.	21 pay	ing on December 31?	21	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.	
24       A. Åre you asking, did any Highland       24       Q. Okay. But you're not – you're not         25       employees actively work to make – to       25       aware of any instructions or any actions being         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       aware of any instructions or any actions being         2       given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the       3       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 349         3       independent board, DSI, that – that would have       4       WR. RUKAVINA: Yes.       5       VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off         4       basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint       4       MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.       5       VIDEOGRAPHER: Allright. We're         6       A. I'm not aware.       6       going off the record at 6.27 p.m.       7       (Recess taken 6.27 p.m. to 6.30 p.m.)       8       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to       9       record at 6.30 p.m.       10       MR. HORN: Is Deb back?         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       12       me? I'm here.       13       MR in ot aware.         14       Look, I'm not aware.       11       MS. DANDENEAU: No kay. I don't see       14       you, sorry.         15       every conversation. I mean, and I'm just –       15 <t< td=""><td>22</td><td>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</td><td>22</td><td>A. I don't know how they would have</td><td></td></t<>	22	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	22	A. I don't know how they would have	
25       employees actively work to make – to       25       aware of any instructions or any actions being         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 349         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off         3       independent board, DSI, that – that would have       3       the record?         4       basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint       4       MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.         5       would fail to make that payment?       6       going off the record at 6.27 p.m.         6       A. I'm not aware.       6       going off the record at 6.27 p.m.       7         7       Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick       7       (Recess taken 6.27 p.m. to 6.30 p.m.)       8         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to       9       record at 6.30 p.m.       10         10       form.       11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       12       me? I'm here.         13       A. I'm not aware.       13       M. HORN: So lobject to form.       12       me? I'm here.         14       Look, I'm not aware.       13       M. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see       14         14       Look, I'm not aware.       17       MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about       18 <td>23 0</td> <td>of the question.</td> <td>23</td> <td>reacted to that.</td> <td></td>	23 0	of the question.	23	reacted to that.	
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 348       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 349         2       given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the       2       VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off         3       independent board, DSI, that - that would have       3       the record?         4       basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint       4       MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.         5       would fail to make that payment?       6       going off the record at 6:27 p.m.         7       Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick       7       (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.)         8       or a settlement, right?       8       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the         9       MS. DETSCH-PEREZ: Objection to       9       record at 6:30 p.m.)         10       form.       10       MR. HORN: Is Deb back?         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       12       me? I'm here.         13       A. I'm not aware.       13       MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see         14       Look, I'm not aware.       13       MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see         14       Look, I'm not aware.       15       Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so         16       again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of       16       when you had -	24	A. Are you asking, did any Highland	24	Q. Okay. But you're not – you're not	
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the       2       VDECGRAPHER: Do we want to go off         3       independent board, DSI, that - that would have       3       the record?         4       basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint       4       MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.         5       voluti fail to make that payment?       6       going off the record at 6:27 p.m.         6       A. I'm not aware.       6       going off the record at 6:27 p.m.       to 6:30 p.m.)         8       or a settlement, right?       8       VDECGRAPHER: We are back on the         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to       9       record at 6:30 p.m.         10       form.       10       MR. HORN: Is Deb back?         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       11       MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about         12       MR. MORRIS: Object to form.       13       MR. HORN: On, okay. I don't see         14       Look, I'm not aware.       13       MR. HORN: On, okay. I don't see         14       Look, I'm not aware.       17       MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about         15       every conversation. I mean, and I'm just –       15       Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so	25 emp	ployees actively work to make – to	25	aware of any instructions or any actions being	
2       given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the       2       VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off         3       independent board, DSI, that – that would have       3       the record?         4       basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint       4       MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.         5       would fail to make that payment?       6       going off the record at 6:27 p.m.         6       A. I'm not aware.       7       (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.)         8       or a settlement, right?       8       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to       9       record at 6:30 p.m.         10       form.       10       MR. HORN: Is Deb back?         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         12       MR. MORRIS: Object to form.       12       me? I'm here.         13       A. I'm not aware. I'm not in       14       you, sorry.         15       every conversation. I mean, and I'm just –       15       Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so         16       again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of       16       when you had –         17       the year. Again, I'm not aware.       17       MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about         18       Q					Page 349
3       independent board, DSI, that – that would have       3       the record?         4       basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint       4       MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.         5       would fail to make that payment?       5       VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're         6       A. I'm not aware.       6       going off the record at 6:27 p.m.         7       Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick       7       (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.)         8       or a settlement, right?       8       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to       9       record at 6:30 p.m.         10       form.       10       MR. HORN: Is Deb back?         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         12       MR. MORRIS: Object to form.       12       me? I'm here.         13       A. I'm not aware.       13       MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see         14       Look, I'm not aware.       17       MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about         18       Q. That is a perfectly legitimate       18       Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there         19       answer. I don't know why – why you think       19       are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of         20       <					
4       basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint       4       MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.         5       would fail to make that payment?       5       VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're         6       A. I'm not aware.       6       going off the record at 6:27 p.m.         7       Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick       7       (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.)         8       or a settlement; right?       8       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to       9       record at 6:30 p.m.         10       form.       10       MR. HORN: Is Deb back?         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.         12       MR. MORRIS: Object to form.       12       me? I'm here.         13       A. I'm not aware.       13       MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see         14       Look, I'm not aware.       15       Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so         16       again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of       16       when you had –         17       the year. Again, I'm not aware.       17       MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about         18       Q. That is a perfectly legitimate       18       Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there         19       answer	-			C C	
5would fail to make that payment?5VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're6A. I'm not aware.6going off the record at 6:27 p.m.7Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick7(Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.)8or a settlement; right?8VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the9MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to9record at 6:30 p.m.10form.10MR. HORN: Is Deb back?11MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.11MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about12MR. MORRIS: Object to form.12me? I'm here.13A. I'm not aware.13MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see14Look, I'm not aware.14you, sorry.15every conversation. I mean, and I'm just –15Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so16again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of16when you had –17the year. Again, I'm not aware.17MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about18Q. That is a perfectly legitimate19are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of20otherwise.20Debs. I'm here.21Okay. Just give me one second to21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.22compose my thoughts.22MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about23MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.		•			
6       A. I'm not aware.       6       going off the record at 6:27 p.m.         7       Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick       7       (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.)         8       or a settlement; right?       8       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to       9       record at 6:30 p.m.         10       form.       10       MR. HORN: Is Deb back?         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       11       MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about         12       MR. MORRIS: Object to form.       12       me? I'm here.         13       A. I'm not aware.       13       MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see         14       Look, I'm not aware.       14       you, sorry.         15       every conversation. I mean, and I'm just –       15       Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so         16       again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of       16       when you had –         17       the year. Again, I'm not aware.       17       MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about         18       Q. That is a perfectly legitimate       18       Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there         19       ars a lot – as we talked about, a lot of       20       Debs. I'm here.         21       Okay. Just give	1				
7Q.In other words, there wasn't a trick7(Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.)8or a settlement; right?8VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the9MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to9record at 6:30 p.m.10form.10MR. HORN: Is Deb back?11MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.11MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about12MR. MORRIS: Object to form.12me? I'm here.13A.I'm not aware.13MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see14Look, I'm not aware.14you, sorry.15every conversation. I mean, and I'm just –15Q.16again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of16when you had –17the year. Again, I'm not aware.17MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about18Q.That is a perfectly legitimate18Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there19answer. I don't know why – why you think19are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of20otherwise.21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're21Okay. Just give me one second to21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.				-	
8       or a settlement; right?       8       VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to       9       record at 6:30 p.m.         10       form.       10       MR. HORN: Is Deb back?         11       MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.       11       MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about         12       MR. MORRIS: Object to form.       12       me? I'm here.         13       A. I'm not aware.       13       MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see         14       Look, I'm not aware.       14       you, sorry.         15       every conversation. I mean, and I'm just –       15       Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so         16       again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of       16       when you had –         17       the year. Again, I'm not aware.       17       MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about         18       Q. That is a perfectly legitimate       18       Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there         19       answer. I don't know why – why you think       19       are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of         20       otherwise.       20       Debs. I'm here.       21         21       Okay. Just give me one second to       21       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're       23       DDP.      <					
9MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to9record at 6:30 p.m.10form.10MR. HORN: Is Deb back?11MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.11MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about12MR. MORRIS: Object to form.12me? I'm here.13A. I'm not aware.13MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see14Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in14you, sorry.15every conversation. I mean, and I'm just –15Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so16again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of16when you had –17the year. Again, I'm not aware.17MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about18Q. That is a perfectly legitimate18Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there19answer. I don't know why – why you think19are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of20otherwise.20Debs. I'm here.21Okay. Just give me one second to21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.					
10form.10MR. HORN: Is Deb back?11MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.11MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about12MR. MORRIS: Object to form.12me? I'm here.13A. I'm not aware.13MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see14Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in14you, sory.15every conversation. I mean, and I'm just –15Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so16again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of16when you had –17the year. Again, I'm not aware.17MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about18Q. That is a perfectly legitimate18Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there19answer. I don't know why – why you think19are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of20otherwise.20Debs. I'm here.21Okay. Just give me one second to21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.22compose my thoughts.22MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about23MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.					
11MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.11MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about12MR. MORRIS: Object to form.12me? I'm here.13A. I'm not aware.13MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see14Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in14you, sorry.15every conversation. I mean, and I'm just –15Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so16again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of16when you had –17the year. Again, I'm not aware.17MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about18Q. That is a perfectly legitimate18Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there19answer. I don't know why – why you think19are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of20otherwise.20Debs. I'm here.21Okay. Just give me one second to21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.22compose my thoughts.22MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about23MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.		· ·	-		
12MR. MORRIS: Object to form.12me? I'm here.13A. I'm not aware.13MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see14Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in14you, sorry.15every conversation. I mean, and I'm just –15Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so16again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of16when you had –17the year. Again, I'm not aware.17MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about18Q. That is a perfectly legitimate18Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there19answer. I don't know why – why you think19are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of20otherwise.20Debs. I'm here.21Okay. Just give me one second to21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.23MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.			-		
13A. I'm not aware.13MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see14Look, I'm not aware.14you, sorry.15every conversation. I mean, and I'm just –15Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so16again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of16when you had –17the year. Again, I'm not aware.17MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about18Q. That is a perfectly legitimate18Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there19answer. I don't know why – why you think19are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of20otherwise.20Debs. I'm here.21Okay. Just give me one second to21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.23MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.					
14Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in14you, sorry.15every conversation. I mean, and I'm just –15Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so16again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of16when you had –17the year. Again, I'm not aware.17MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about18Q. That is a perfectly legitimate18Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there19answer. I don't know why – why you think19are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of20otherwise.20Debs. I'm here.21Okay. Just give me one second to21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.23MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.					
15every conversation. I mean, and I'm just –15Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so16again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of16when you had –17the year. Again, I'm not aware.17MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about18Q. That is a perfectly legitimate18Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there19answer. I don't know why – why you think19are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of20otherwise.20Debs. I'm here.21Okay. Just give me one second to21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.23MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.				•	
16again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of16when you had17the year. Again, I'm not aware.17MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about18Q. That is a perfectly legitimate18Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there19answer. I don't know why - why you think19are a lot - as we talked about, a lot of20otherwise.20Debs. I'm here.21Okay. Just give me one second to21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.23MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.					
17the year. Again, I'm not aware.17MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about18Q. That is a perfectly legitimate18Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there19answer. I don't know why – why you think19are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of20otherwise.20Debs. I'm here.21Okay. Just give me one second to21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.22compose my thoughts.22MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about23MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.	1	ny conversation. I mean and I'm just	15	Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so	
18Q. That is a perfectly legitimate18Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there19answer. I don't know why – why you think19are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of20otherwise.20Debs. I'm here.21Okay. Just give me one second to21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.22compose my thoughts.22MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about23MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.	116 202	-			
19answer. I don't know why – why you think19are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of20otherwise.20Debs. I'm here.21Okay. Just give me one second to21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.22compose my thoughts.22MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about23MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.	-	in, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of	16	-	
20 otherwise.20Debs. I'm here.21Okay. Just give me one second to21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.22compose my thoughts.22MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about23MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.	17 the	in, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of year. Again, I'm not aware.	16 17	MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about	
21Okay. Just give me one second to21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.22compose my thoughts.22MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about23MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.	17 the 18 (	in, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of year. Again, I'm not aware. Q. That is a perfectly legitimate	16 17 18	MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there	
22compose my thoughts.22MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about23MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.	17 the 18 ( 19 ans	in, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of year. Again, I'm not aware. Q. That is a perfectly legitimate wer. I don't know why – why you think	16 17 18 19	MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of	
23MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're23DDP.24taking your one second, why don't we take24MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.	17 the 18 ( 19 ans 20 othe	in, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of year. Again, I'm not aware. Q. That is a perfectly legitimate wer. I don't know why – why you think erwise.	16 17 18 19 20	MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of Debs. I'm here.	
24    taking your one second, why don't we take    24    MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.	17 the 18 ( 19 ans 20 othe 21	in, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of year. Again, I'm not aware. Q. That is a perfectly legitimate wer. I don't know why – why you think erwise. Okay. Just give me one second to	16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of Debs. I'm here. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here.	
	17 the 18 ( 19 ans 20 othe 21 22 com	in, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of year. Again, I'm not aware. Q. That is a perfectly legitimate wer. I don't know why – why you think erwise. Okay. Just give me one second to npose my thoughts.	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of Debs. I'm here. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here. MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about	
25 three minutes. I will be right back. 25 MR. HORN: Okay. Here we go. I'm	17 the 18 (1 19 ans 20 othe 21 22 com 23	in, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of year. Again, I'm not aware. Q. That is a perfectly legitimate wer. I don't know why – why you think erwise. Okay. Just give me one second to npose my thoughts. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of Debs. I'm here. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here. MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about DDP.	
	17       the         18       0         19       ans         20       othe         21       22         22       com         23       24	in, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of year. Again, I'm not aware. Q. That is a perfectly legitimate wer. I don't know why – why you think erwise. Okay. Just give me one second to npose my thoughts. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're aking your one second, why don't we take	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of Debs. I'm here. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here. MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about DDP. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.	

Pag	ge 350 Page 351
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 going back on mute.	2 testified earlier, we provided that accounting
3 MS. DANDENEAU: Get the right	3 finance treasury function as – under the
4 nomenclature.	4 shared services agreement. And so once I
5 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, on January 12th,	5 got the – I talked to Jim, got the approval to
6 2021, when you had those talks with Mr. Dondero	6 make this payment, we have to then make the
7 about the \$1.4 million payment, did you have a	7 payment, or the team does, and so the payment
8 communication or a conversation with Mr. Seery	8 was made.
9 about that payment after January 12th, 2021?	9 Q. Okay. But – okay. And – and
10 A. I don't recall.	10 sitting here right now, after Jim called you,
11 Q. Well, in response to Mr. Dondero	11 you don't remember talking to anyone other than
12 reaching out to you, do you recall on that day,	12 the the couple of people you mentioned,
13 January 12th, talking to Mr. Seery or anyone at	13 talking to anyone about something to the effect
14 Highland other than the email chain we just saw	14 that, hey, Jim wants to make this payment now?
15 about Mr. Dondero's call with you?	15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
16 A. Did I talk to – I spoke with	16 of the question.
17 Kristin I don't know if I spoke to her. I	17 A. I don't I don't recall.
18 likely spoke to Kristin Hendrix because we had	18 Q. And does that include legal counsel?
19 to get the wire on NexPoint's behalf to make	19 Without going into any detail, on
20 the payment to Highland.	20 January 12th or before that payment was made,
21 Q. So it is true, then, that – that	21 did you consult with legal counsel about
22 employees of the debtor did actually cause that	22 anything having to do with the \$1.4 million
23 payment to be made when it was made after	23 payment?
24 January 12th?	A. I don't recall.
25 A. Yes, I mean, we – we – as I	25 Q. Okay. Thank you, sir, for your
Pag	ge 352 Page 353
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 time.	2 BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ:
3 MR. RUKAVINA: Pass the witness.	3 Q. Good evening. I'm very sorry to be
4 MR. MORRIS: I just have a few	4 going last and I know you have had a long and
5 questions, if I may.	5 taxing day, so I thank you for indulging me.
6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Don't you go at	6 The kinds of services that you
7 the end?	7 describe that the – that Highland provided for
8 MR. MORRIS: Oh, I apologize. He is	8 NexPoint, did Highland also provide similar
9 your witness. I'm surprised you want to	9 services to that to HCRE and HCMS?
10 ask him questions, but go right ahead.	10 A. Yes.
11 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Just have a	11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
12 couple of things.	12 of the question.
13 MR. RUKAVINA: And I will just	13 Q. What kind of services did Highland
14 object to that, that he's our witness.	14 provide to HCRE and HCMS?
15 That's not	15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
16 MR. MORRIS: I'm not talking to you.	16 of the question.
17 I'm not talking to you.	17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What is your
18 MS. DANDENEAU: Also, Mr. Morris, it	18 objection, John?
19 is – it is –	19 MR. MORRIS: It is vague and
20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He is not my	20 ambiguous. Unlike the advisors and
21 witness. He's been subpoenaed by you.	21 NexPoint, they actually had shared services
22 Okay?	22 agreements.
23 That is no offense, Mr. Waterhouse,	23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I got – I
24 I'm – I'm not – okay. Anyway.	24 understand your objection. That is fine.
25 EXAMINATION	25 Q. Let's take them one at a time.
	20 Q. Let's take them one at a time.

1         WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21           2         What Inde of services did Highand         2           3         provide to HORE?         3         Q. Okay. And did that include bill           4         MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         4         polyrig?           6         A. HONS, Highand employees provided         6         of the question.         6           8         accounting services, treasury management         7         Q. Did the services that HOM provided           9         dirth-bull would hitse been directly         9         MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form           10         invoked in that. But as for as the teams that         10         of the question.           11         Inmage, it was accounting, treasury, things         11         A         Yes.           12         of that nature.         12         Q. And did the services that HOMLP           13         Q. Okay. And that was for HOM. LLP -         13         provide to HOMS include scheduling upcoming           14         A. And - and sony, twould did sche be         14         Hild the question.           14         A. Yes.         18         Q. And did HCMLP regularly pay - cause           14         bath Hijd had algoptize, so syst to darily.         17         A         Yes.	Pag	ge 354 Page 355
3       provide to HCRE?       3       0. Oksy. And did that include bill         4       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       4       paying?         6       A. HCMS, Highland emptysees provided       6       of the question.       5         7       A. Counting services, treasury management       7       0. Did the services that HCM provided         8       exocounting services, treasury management       7       0. Did the services that HCM provided         9       dcn1-but i would that but as far as the teams that       10       of the question.       11         11       Image, it was accounting, treasury, things       11       A. Yes.       12       0. And did the services that HCMLP         13       Q. Okay, And that was for HCM, LLP-       13       provide to HCMS include scheduling upcoming         14       A. And-and script it would also be       14       bills?       IMR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       cart sets valuation in needod as well.       15       FMR MORRIS: Objection to the form       16         17       A. Yes.       18       Q. And did HSMLP regularly pay - cause       18       Q. And did HSMLP regularly pay - cause         19       that Highland Capital Management provided to       19       to be paid the payments on loans HCMS had from       20		
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form     4     paying?       5     of the question.     5     MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       6     A. HOMS, Highland employees provided     6     of the question.       7     O. Did the services that HCM provided       8     services, previses, treasury management     7     O. Did the services that HCM provided       9     don't - but I wouldn't have been directly     9     MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       10     involved in that. But as far as the teams that     10     of the question.       11     Invances     12     Q. And did the services that HCMLP       13     Q. Okay. And that was for HCM, LLP     13     provided to HCMS include scheduling upcoming       14     A. And - and, sony, it would also be     14     bills?       15     any asset valuation fineeded as well.     15     MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       16     o. O kay. We went back and forth on     16     of the question.       17     A. Hock, S. So, again, yes. And     21     MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       21     A. HOK, S. So, again, yes. And     21     MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       22     actifying and any about the expanding     25     typically, how far in advance of due dates did       22     A. Tax services. Look, fm expanding     25	2 What kinds of services did Highland	2 this, their HR services as well.
5         of the question.         5         MRL MORRIS: Objection to the form           6         A. HCMS, Highland employees provided         6         of the question.         0. Did the services that HCM provided           8         services, potentially legal services. I         8         the HCMS Include bill paying?           9         orth - out wouldn'th have been releady.         9         MRL MORRIS: Objection to the form           10         individe in that, But as far as the teams that         10         of the question.           11         Imanage, it was accounting, treasury, things         11         A. Yes.           12         O. And, And that was for HCM, LIP –         13         provided th HCMS include softedling upcoming           14         A. And - and, sany, twould also be         14         bills         of the question.           15         any asset valuation fineeded as well.         15         MRL MORRIS: Objection to the form           16         accounting, treasury, and also tax         20         Affect question.         21           21         A. HCMS, So, again, yes. And         21         MRL MORRIS: Objection to the form           22         accounting, treasury, valuation, and also tax         22         of the question.           23         A. UKS.         So, again, yes. And <td>3 provide to HCRE?</td> <td>3 Q. Okay. And did that include bill</td>	3 provide to HCRE?	3 Q. Okay. And did that include bill
6       A. HCMS, Highland employees provided       6       of the question.         7       accounting services, treasury management       7       Q. Did the services that HCM provided         8       services, preasury management       7       Q. Did the services that HCM provided         9       don't - but i would if there been directly       9       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         11       Imanage, it was accounting, treasury, things       11       A. Yes.         12       of that nature.       12       Q. And did the services that HCMLP         13       any asset valuation if meeded as well.       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       Q. Okay. We went tack and forth on       16       of the question.       17         14       A. And - and, sony, th would also be       14       bills?       18       O. And did HCMLP regularly pay - cause         15       any asset valuation if meeded as well.       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       16       of the question.         16       O. Okay. We went tack and forth on       18       O. And did HCMLP regularly pay - cause       19         19       that Highland Capital Management provided to       19       to be paid the payments on loans HCMS had form         21       A. HCMLP       23	4 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
7         accounting services, potentially legal services. 1         8         b         8         to HCMS include bill paying?           9         dont - but localdrift have been directly         9         MR. MORRIS. Coljection to the form           10         incolved in that. But as far as the beams that         10         of the question.           11         Imanage. it was accounting, treasury, things         11         A. Yes.           12         O. Okay. And that was for HCM, LLP -         13         provided to HCMS include scheduling upcoming           14         A. Ard - and, sony, two work back and forth on         16         of the question.           16         ac. Okay. We went back and forth on         16         of the question.           17         accounting treasury, valuation, and also tax         22         of the question.           18         You were taiking about the services         18         Q. And did HCMLP regulary pay – cause           19         that Highland Capital Management provided to         19         to be paid the payments on icars HCMS had from           22         accounting treasury, valuation, and also tax         22         of the question.         23           23         accounting treasury, valuation, and also tax         25         by Cally _ Hit there is a         25	5 of the question.	5 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
8         services, potentially legal services.         8         to HCMS include bill paying?           9         dont - but i wouldn't have been directly         9         MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form           11         Imanage, it was accounting, treasury, things         11         A         Yes.           12         of that attra is the teams that         10         of the question.         11           13         Q. Okay. And that was for HCM, LLP -         13         provided to HCMS include Scheduling upcoming           14         A. And - and, sony, it would also be         14         bills?           15         and cub the scheduling upcoming         14         bills?           16         Q. Okay. We went back and forth on         16         of the question.         17         A. Yes.           19         tob te paid the payments on loans HCMS had from         19         tob paid the payments on loans HCMS had from           21         A. HCMS. So, again, yes. And         21         MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         23         A. Yes.           22         Q. Okay.         24         Q. Okay.         24         Q. Okay.         24         Q. Okay.           23         A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding         2         Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds         3 <td>6 A. HCMS, Highland employees provided</td> <td>6 of the question.</td>	6 A. HCMS, Highland employees provided	6 of the question.
9         dom't-bull wouldn't have been directly         9         MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form           10         involved in that. But as far as the teams that         10         of the question.           11         Inanage, it was accounting, treasury, things         11         A. Yes.           12         of that nature.         12         Q. And did the services that HCMLP           13         Q. Okay. And that was for HCM, LLP-         13         provided to the GMS include scheduling upcoming           14         A. And - and, song, thwould also be         14         bills?           15         any asset valuation if needed as well.         15         MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form           16         Q. Okay. We went back and forth on         16         of the question.         11           18         You were taking about the services         13         Q. And did HCMLP regularly pay – cause         19           19         the thight and Capital Management provided to         19         to be paritimes to inare HCMS had from           21         A. HCMS. So, again, yes. And         21         MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form           23         accounting, treasury, valuation, and also tax         22         of the question.           24         Q. Okay.         A         DA Section to the form <td>7 accounting services, treasury management</td> <td>7 Q. Did the services that HCM provided</td>	7 accounting services, treasury management	7 Q. Did the services that HCM provided
10       involved in that. But as far as the teams that       10       of the question.         11       Imanage, it was accounting, treasury, things       11       A Yes.         13       Q. Okay, And that was for HOM, LLP-       13       provided to HCMS include scheduling upcoming         14       A. And - and, sony, it would also be       14       bills?         15       any asset valuation if needed as well.       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       Q. Okay. We went back and forth on       16       of the question.       17         17       each other and lapologize, so just to clarify.       17       A. Yes.       18       Q. And did HCMLP regularly pay - cause         19       that lightand Captal Management provided to       19       to be paid the payments on loans HCMS had from       20         21       A. HCMS. So, again, yes. And       21       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       23       services too.       23       A. Yes.         23       services. Look, I'm expanding       25       typically – if there is a       25       ypically, Jow far in advance of due dates did         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 387       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 387         2       A. Taxe services. Look, I'm expanding       25	8 services, potentially legal services. I	8 to HCMS include bill paying?
11       Image, it was accounting, treasury, things       11       A. Yes.         12       off that nature.       12       Q. And did the services that HCMLP         13       Q. Okay. And that was for HCM, LLP –       13       provided to HCMS include scheduling upcoming         14       A. And – and, sony, it would also be       14       bills?         15       any asset valuation in needed as well.       15       MR, MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       Q. Okay. We went back and forth on       16       of the question.         17       each other and lapologize, so just to darily.       17       A. Yes.         18       You were taking about the services       18       Io be paid the payments on kars HCMS had from         20       Hot Highiand Capital Management provided to       19       to be paid the payments on kars HCMS had from         21       A. HCMS. So, again, yes. And       21       MR.MORRIS: Objection to the form         23       accounting, treasury, valuation, and also tax       22       of the question.         23       accounting, treasury, valuation, and also tax       22       of the question.         24       Q. Okay.       24       Q. Okay.       24       Q. Okay.         25       A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding       25	9 don't – but I wouldn't have been directly	9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
12       of that nature.       12       Q. And did the services that HCMLP         13       Q. Okay. And that was for HCM, LLP –       13       provided to HCMS include scheduling upcoming         14       A. And - and, sony, two went back and forth on       15       max seet valuation if needed as well.       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       Q. Okay. We went back and forth on       16       off the question.       17       A. Yes.         18       You were talking about the services       18       Q. And did HCMLP regularly pay – cause       19         19       thet high infand Capital Management provided to       19       to be paid the payments on loans HCMS had from       20         21       A. HCMS. So, again, yes. And       21       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       23       A. Yes.         22       a. Tax services. Look, 'Im expanding       23       A. Yes.       24       Q. Typically – if there is a         23       A. Tax services. Look, 'Im expanding       25       typically, how far in advance of due dates did         24       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 357       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 357         2       A. Cakay.       A. Tax services. Look, 'Im expanding       25       typically, how far in advance of due dates did         4	10 involved in that. But as far as the teams that	10 of the question.
13       Q. Okay. And that was for HCM, LLP –       13       provided to HCMS include scheduling upcoming         14       A. And – and, sony, it would also be       14       bills?         15       any asset valuation if needed as well.       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       Q. Okay. We went back and forth on       16       of the question.         17       each other and I apologize, so just to darify.       17       A. Yes.         18       YOU were taiking about the services       18       Q. And did HCMLP regularly pay – cause         19       that Highland Capital Management provided to       19       to be paid the payments on loars HCMS had from         20       HCMLP?       21       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       22         21       A. HOMS, is that right?       20       HCMLP?       21         22       accounting, treasury, valuation, and also tax       22       of the question.       23         23       ax services. Look, I'm expanding       25       typically, how fain it advance of due dates did       24         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 387       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 387         1       HCMLP cause HCMS to pay its bills?       2       Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds       3	11 I manage, it was accounting, treasury, things	11 A. Yes.
14       A. And – and, sorry, it would also be       14       bills?         15       any asset valuation if needed as well.       15       MR. MORRIS. Objection to the form         16       Q. Okay, We went back and forth on       16       of the question.         17       each other and I apologize, so just to darify.       17       A. Yes.         18       Vou were taiking about the services       18       Q. And did HCMLP regularly pay – cause         19       be paid the payments on loars HCMS had from       19       to be paid the payments on loars HCMS had from         20       hCMS; is that right?       20       HCMLP?       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         21       accounting treasury, valuation, and also tax       22       of the question.       23       A. Yes.         22       accounting treasury, valuation, and also tax       22       typically – if there is a       25       A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding       25       typically – if there is a         23       accounting treasury, valuation, and sto tax       23       of potential consequences of not paying on       file question.       24       Q. Okay.       24       Q. Typically – if there is a         24       A. Drate well to the form       3       of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because       do potential consequences of not pay	12 of that nature.	12 Q. And did the services that HCMLP
15       any asset valuation if needed as well.       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       Q. Okay. We went back and forth on       16       of the question.         17       each other and lapologice, so just to claffy.       17       A Yes.         18       You were talking about the services       18       Q. And did HCMLP regularly pay – cause         19       that Highland Capital Management provided to       19       to be paid the payments on loans HCMS had from         20       HCMS; is that right?       20       HCMLP?       21         21       A. HCMS. So, again, yes. And       21       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         22       accounting, treasury, valuation, and also tax       22       of the question.         23       services too.       23       A Yes.       24       Q. Okay.       24       Q. Typically – if there is a         25       A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding       25       typically. how far in advance of due dates did         7       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 356       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 357         2       Q. Okay. And went the other other       3       of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because       4       of the question.       4       for potentrial consequences of not paying on	13 Q. Okay. And that was for HCM, LLP –	13 provided to HCMS include scheduling upcoming
16       Q. Okay. We went back and forth on       16       of the question.         17       each other and I apologize, so just to darfy.       17       A. Yes.         18       You were taking about the services       18       O. And did HCMLP regularly pay – cause         19       that Highland Capital Management provided to       19       to be paid the payments on loans HCMS had from         20       HCMLP?       21       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         21       accounting treasury, valuation, and also tax       22       of the question.         23       services too.       23       A. Yes.         24       Q. Okay.       24       Q. Typically – if there is a         25       A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding       25       typically, how far in advance of due dates did         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 356       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 357         2       Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds       3       of the question.       3       of the question.       4       of potential consequences of not paying on       5       immer       6         4       of the question.       4       of potential consequences of not paying on       5       immer       6       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       7	14 A. And – and, sorry, it would also be	14 bills?
17       each other and I apologize, so just to clarify.       17       A       Yes.         18       You were taking about the services       18       Q. And did HCMLP regularly pay – cause         19       the High inder Capital Management provided to       19       to be paid the payments on loans HCMS had from         20       HCMS; is that right?       20       HCMLP?       20       HCMLP?         21       A. Hod.S., so, again, yes. And       21       M.R. MORRIS: Objection to the form         22       services too.       23       A       Yes.         24       Q. Okay.       24       Q. Typically – if there is a       25         25       A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding       25       typically – if there is a       26         25       VATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 357       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 357         2       HCMLP cause HCMS to pay its bills?       2       Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds       3       3       of the queston.       4       of obterhial consequences of not paying on       5       there were larger scheduled payments, you know, if there were - if       7       of the queston.       8       A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we         9       Iwould like to give at least 30 days notice.       9       would want t	15 any asset valuation if needed as well.	15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
18       You were talking about the services       18       Q. And did HCMLP regularly pay – cause         19       that Highland Capital Management provided to       19       to be paid the payments on loans HCMS had from         20       HCMS; is that right?       20       HCMLP?         21       A. HOMS. So, again, yes. And       21       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         22       of the question.       23       A. Yes.         23       services too.       23       A. Yes.         25       A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding       25       typically, how far in advance of due dates did         7       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 357         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds         3       MR.MORRIS: Objection to the form       3       of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because         4       of the question.       4       of oblential consequences of not paying on         5       A. I mean, it – it depend – it       5       time?         6       depended on the nature of the payment and the       6       MR.MORRIS: Objection to the form         7       of the question.       8       A. Yes. As I testifited artiler, we         9 <t< td=""><td>16 Q. Okay. We went back and forth on</td><td>16 of the question.</td></t<>	16 Q. Okay. We went back and forth on	16 of the question.
19       that Highland Capital Management provided to       19       to be paid the payments on loans HCMS had from         20       HCMS; is that right?       20       HCMLP?         21       A       HCMS; so, again, yes, And       21       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         22       accounting, treasury, valuation, and also tax       22       of the question.       23       A       Yes.         23       accounting, treasury, valuation, and also tax       22       of the question.       23       A       Yes.         24       Q.       Ckay.       24       Q.       Typically – if there is a       25         25       A       Tax services. Look, I'm expanding       25       typically, how far in advance of due dates did         21       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 357       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 357         2       Q.       Okay.       Are tan payments the kinds       3       of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because         3       MR.MORRIS: Objection to the form       3       of the question.       4       of polemital consequences of not paying on         5       A.       Imean. It – it – it depend – it       5       time: were engers checkuled payments, you know,       8       A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we	17 each other and I apologize, so just to clarify.	
20       HCMS; is that right?       20       HCMLP?         21       A. HCMS. So, again, yes. And       21       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         22       octuality, treasury, valuation, and also tax       22       of the question.         23       services too.       23       A. Yes.         24       Q. Okay.       24       Q. Typically – if there is a         25       A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding       25       typically, how far in advance of due dates did         7       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 357       2       Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds         3       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       3       of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because       4         4       of the question.       4       of opential consequences of not paying on       5       time?         6       depended on the nature of the payment and the       6       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       7       of the question.       6         1       nule of thumb so no one is surprised.       11       nature so we diothm inside dates.       1       1         10       And that is haid of my       10       on larger payments and – and things of that       1         11       nule of thumb so no one is surprised.       11       natur	18 You were talking about the services	18 Q. And did HCMLP regularly pay – cause
21       A. HCMS. So, again, yes. And       21       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         22       accounting, treasury, valuation, and also tax       22       of the question.         23       services too.       23       A. Yes.         24       Q. Okay.       24       Q. Typically – if there is a         25       A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding       25       typically, how far in advance of due dates did         7       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 356       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         7       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 357       2       Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds         3       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       3       of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because         4       of the question.       4       of potential consequences of not paying on         5       A. Imean, it – it depend – it       5       time?         6       depended on the nature of the payment and the       6       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         7       of the question.       8       A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we         9       Would like to give at least 30 days notice.       9       would want to give, you know, notice on – on         10       And that is – that is ind of rmy       10       – on larger payments and	19 that Highland Capital Management provided to	19 to be paid the payments on loans HCMS had from
22       accounting, treasury, valuation, and also tax       22       of the question.         23       services too.       23       A. Yes.         24       Q. Okay,       Q. Typically – if there is a         25       A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding       25         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       25         2       Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds         3       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       3         4       of the question.       4         6       depended on the nature of the payment and the       6         7       vendor, but, you know, if there were – if       7         6       depended on the nature of the payment, you know,       8         7       reft equestion.       8         8       there were larger scheduled payments, you know,       8         9       Incud like to give at least 30 days notice.       9         9       Incud like to give at least 30 days notice.       9         10       And that is – that is kind of my       10       - on larger payments and – and things of that         11       rule of thumb so no one is surprised.       11       nature so we didn't miss due dates.         12       Q. Okay. And wast it generally HCMLP's       12       Q. O	20 HCMS; is that right?	20 HCMLP?
23       services too.       23       A. Yes.         24       Q. Okay,       24       Q. Typically – if there is a         25       A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding       25       Ypically – if there is a         25       A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding       25       Ypically, how far in advance of due dates did         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 357         2       Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds       3       of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because         4       of the question.       4       of potential consequences of not paying on       5         5       A. Imean, it – it – it depend – it       6       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       7         7       vendor, but, you know, if there were – if       7       of the question.       8       A       Yes. S         1       rule of thumb so no one is surprised.       11       nature so we didn't miss due dates.       10       - on laarger payments and – and things of that         11       rule of thumb so no one is surprised.       11       nature so we didn't miss due dates.       12       Q. Okay. And vowr the course of time,         13       practice to timely pay HCMS' bills?       12       Q. Okay. And vowr the course of time,       13	21 A. HCMS. So, again, yes. And	21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
24       Q. Okay.       24       Q. Typically – if there is a         25       A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding       25       typically, how far in advance of due dates did         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 357         2       HCMLP cause HCMS to pay its bills?       2       Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds       3         3       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       3       3       of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because         4       of the question.       4       of potential consequences of not paying on       5         5       A. Imean, it – it – it depend – it       5       time?       6         6       depended on the nature of the payment and the       6       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       7         1       rule of thurbu sou now, if there were – if       7       of the question.       8       A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we         9       Iwould like to give at least 30 days notice.       9       would want to give, you know, notice on – on       10       – on larger payments and – and things of that         11       rule of thurbus son on one is suprised.       11       nature sowe didt't miss due dates.       12       Q. Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's       12       Q. Okay. And over the course of time,	22 accounting, treasury, valuation, and also tax	22 of the question.
25       A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding       25       typically, how far in advance of due dates did         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 357         2       HCMLP cause HCMS to pay its bills?       2       Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds         3       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       3       of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because         4       of potential consequences of not paying on       5         5       A. I mean, it – it – it depend – it       6       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         7       vendor, but, you know, if there were – if       7       of the question.         8       here were larger schedulide payments, you know,       8       A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we         9       Iwould like to give at least 30 days notice.       9       would want to give, you know, notice on – on         10       And that is – that is kind of my       10       – on larger payments and – and things of that         11       nule of thumb so no one is suprised.       11       nature so we didn't miss due dates.         12       Q. Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's       12       Q. Okay. And over the course of time,         13       rate of the question.       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         14       atimely fashion?       15	23 services too.	23 A. Yes.
Page 356       Page 356         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 357         2       HCMLP cause HCMS to pay its bills?       2       Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds       3       of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because         4       of the question.       4       of potential consequences of not paying on       5         5       A. Imean, it – it / it depend – it       6       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       7         7       vendor, but, you know, if there were – if       7       of the question.       8       A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we         9       Ivould like to give at least 30 days notice.       9       would want to give, you know, notice on – on         10       And that is - that is kind of my       10       – on larger payments and – and things of that         11       rule of thurb so no on on is surprised.       11       nature so we didn't miss due dates.         12       Q. Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's       12       Q. Okay. And over the course of time,         13       practice to timely pay HCMS' bills?       13       did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in         14       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       14       a timely fashion?         15       of the question.       15	24 Q. Okay.	24 Q. Typically – if there is a
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       HCMLP cause HCMS to pay its bills?       2       Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds         3       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       3       of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because         4       of the question.       4       of potential consequences of not paying on         5       A. I mean, it – it – it depend – it       5       time?         6       depended on the nature of the payment and the       6       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         7       vendor, but, you know, if there were – if       7       of the question.         8       there were larger scheduled payments, you know,       8       A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we         9       Iwould like to give at least 30 days notice.       9       would want to give, you know, notice on – on         10       And that is – that is kind of my       10       – on larger payments and – and things of that         11       rule of thumb so no one is surprised.       11       nature of the question.       12         12       Q. Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's       13       did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in         14       a timely fashion?       14       a timely fashion?         15       of the question. <td>25 A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding</td> <td>25 typically, how far in advance of due dates did</td>	25 A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding	25 typically, how far in advance of due dates did
2       HCMLP cause HCMS to pay its bills?       2       Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds         3       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       3       of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because         4       of the question.       4       of potential consequences of not paying on         5       A. Imean, it-it-it depend – it       5       time?         6       depended on the nature of the payment and the       6       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         7       vendor, but, you know, if there were – if       7       of the question.         8       there were larger scheduled payments, you know,       8       A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we         9       Ivould like to give at least 30 days notice.       9       would want to give, you know, notice on – on         10       And that is – that is kind of my       10       – on larger payments and – and things of that         11       rule of thumb so no one is surprised.       11       nature so we didn't miss due dates.         12       Q. Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's       12       Q. Okay. And over the course of time,         13       practice to timely pay HCMS 'bills?       13       did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in         14       a timely fashion?       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         1		
3MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form3of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because4of the question.4of potential consequences of not paying on5A. Imean, it-it-it depend-it5time?6depended on the nature of the payment and the6MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form7vendor, but, you know, if there were - if7of the question.8there were larger scheduled payments, you know,8A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we9Iwould like to give at least 30 days notice.9would want to give, you know, notice on - on10And that is - that is kind of my10- on larger payments and - and things of that11rule of thumb so no one is surprised.11nature so we didn't miss due dates.12Q. Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's12Q. Okay. And over the course of time,13practice to timely pay HCMS' bills?13did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in14MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form14a timely fashion?15of the question.15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16A. It-i-i-i-that depended on16of the question.17the nature of the payment.17A. I can't remember specifically, but18Q. Okay. And can you explain what you18generally, yes.19mean jif here was some professional fees21described it provided to HCMS?22the weren't-you know, they were due but22MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form <td></td> <td></td>		
4       of the question.       4       of potential consequences of not paying on         5       A. I mean, it – it – it depend – it       5       time?         6       depended on the nature of the payment and the       6       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         7       vendor, but, you know, if there were – if       7       of the question.         8       there were larger scheduled payments, you know,       8       A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we         9       Iwould like to give at least 30 days notice.       9       would want to give, you know, notice on – on         10       And that is – that is kind of my       10       – on larger payments and – and things of that         11       rule of thumb son one is surprised.       11       nature so we didn't miss due dates.         12       Q. Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's       12       Q. Okay. And over the course of time,         13       practice to timely pay HCMS' bills?       13       did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in         14       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       14       a timely fashion?         15       of the question.       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         14       a timely fashion?       14       a timely fashion?         15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form		
5       A. Imean, it – it – it depend – it       5       time?         6       depended on the nature of the payment and the       6       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         7       vendor, but, you know, if there were – if       7       of the question.         8       there were larger scheduled payments, you know,       8       A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we         9       Iwould like to give at least 30 days notice.       9       would want to give, you know, notice on – on         10       And that is – that is kind of my       10       – on larger payments and – and things of that         11       rule of thumb so no one is surprised.       11       nature so we didn't miss due dates.         12       Q. Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's       12       Q. Okay. And over the course of time,         13       practice to timely pay HCMS' bills?       13       did HCMLP generally pay HCMS loan payments in         14       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       14       a timely fashion?         15       of the question.       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       A. It – it – it – that depended on       16       of the question.         17       the nature of the payment.       17       A. I can't remember specifically, but         18       Q. Okay. And can y	-	
6       depended on the nature of the payment and the       6       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         7       vendor, but, you know, if there were – if       7       of the question.         8       there were larger scheduled payments, you know,       8       A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we         9       I would like to give at least 30 days notice.       9       would want to give, you know, notice on – on         10       And that is – that is kind of my       10       – on larger payments and – and things of that         11       rule of thumb so no one is surprised.       11       nature so we didn't miss due dates.         12       Q. Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's       12       Q. Okay. And over the course of time,         13       practice to timely pay HCMS' bills?       13       did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in         14       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       14       a timely fashion?         15       of the question.       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       A. It – it – it – that depended on       16       of the question.         17       the nature of the payment.       17       A. I can't remember specifically, but         18       Q. Okay. And can you explain what you       19       Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide         20<	· ·	
7       vendor, but, you know, if there were – if       7       of the question.         8       there were larger scheduled payments, you know,       8       A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we         9       I would like to give at least 30 days notice.       9       would want to give, you know, notice on – on         10       And that is – that is kind of my       10       – on larger payments and – and things of that         11       rule of thumb so no one is surprised.       11       nature so we didn't miss due dates.         12       Q. Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's       12       Q. Okay. And over the course of time,         13       practice to timely pay HCMS' bills?       13       did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in         14       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       14       a timely fashion?         15       of the question.       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       A. It – it – it – that depended on       16       of the question.         17       the nature of the payment.       17       A. I can't remember specifically, but         18       Q. Okay. And can you explain what you       18       generally, yes.         19       Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide       20       similar services to HCRE that you have         21       mean – i	· ·	
8       there were larger scheduled payments, you know,       8       A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we         9       I would like to give at least 30 days notice.       9       would want to give, you know, notice on - on         10       And that is - that is kind of my       10       - on larger payments and - and things of that         11       rule of thumb so no one is surprised.       11       nature so we didn't miss due dates.         12       Q. Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's       12       Q. Okay. And over the course of time,         13       practice to timely pay HCMS' bills?       13       did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in         14       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       14       a timely fashion?         15       of the question.       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       A. It - it - it - that depended on       16       of the question.         17       the nature of the payment.       17       A. I can't remember specifically, but         18       Q. Okay. And can you explain what you       18       generally, yes.         19       M. Yeah, I mean if - if it was - I       20       similar services to HCRE that you have         21       mean - if there was some professional fees       21       described it provided to HCMS?         22 <td></td> <td></td>		
9I would like to give at least 30 days notice.9would want to give, you know, notice on - on10And that is - that is kind of my10- on larger payments and - and things of that11rule of thumb so no one is surprised.11nature so we didn't miss due dates.12Q. Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's12Q. Okay. And over the course of time,13practice to timely pay HCMS' bills?13did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in14MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form14a timely fashion?15of the question.15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16A. It-it-it-that depended on16of the question.17the nature of the payment.17A. I can't remember specifically, but18Q. Okay. And can you explain what you18generally, yes.19mean by that?19Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide20A. Yeah, I mean if - if it was - I20similar services to HCRE that you have21mean - if there was some professional fees21described it provided to HCMS?22that weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid23of the question.24as timely as others that have a due date or -24A. Yes, but I don't think it - it		· · ·
10And that is - that is kind of my10- on larger payments and - and things of that11rule of thumb so no one is surprised.11nature so we didn't miss due dates.12Q. Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's12Q. Okay. And over the course of time,13practice to timely pay HCMS' bills?13did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in14MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form14a timely fashion?15of the question.15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16A. It - it - it - that depended on16of the question.17the nature of the payment.17A. I can't remember specifically, but18Q. Okay. And can you explain what you18generally, yes.19mean by that?19Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide20A. Yeah, I mean if - if it was - I20similar services to HCRE that you have21mean - if there was some professional fees21described it provided to HCMS?22that weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid23of the question.23they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid23of the question.24as timely as others that have a due date or -24A. Yes, but I don't think it - it		
11rule of thumb so no one is surprised.11nature so we didn't miss due dates.12Q.Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's12Q.Okay. And over the course of time,13practice to timely pay HCMS' bills?13did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in14MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form14a timely fashion?15of the question.15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16A. It - it - it - that depended on16of the question.17the nature of the payment.17A.I can't remember specifically, but18Q.Okay. And can you explain what you18generally, yes.19mean by that?19Q.Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide20A.Yeah, I mean if - if it was - I20similar services to HCRE that you have21mean - if there was some professional fees21described it provided to HCMS?22MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form23of the question.23they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid23of the question.24as timely as others that have a due date or -24A.Yes, but I don't think it - it		
12Q. Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's12Q. Okay. And over the course of time,13practice to timely pay HCMS' bills?13did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in14MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form14a timely fashion?15of the question.15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16A. It – it – it – that depended on16of the question.17the nature of the payment.17A. I can't remember specifically, but18Q. Okay. And can you explain what you18generally, yes.19mean by that?19Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide20A. Yeah, I mean if – if it was – I20similar services to HCRE that you have21mean – if there was some professional fees21described it provided to HCMS?22that weren't – you know, they were due but22MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form23they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid23of the question.24as timely as others that have a due date or –24A. Yes, but I don't think it – it		
13practice to timely pay HCMS' bills?13did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in14MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form14a timely fashion?15of the question.15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16A. It it it - that depended on16of the question.17the nature of the payment.17A. I can't remember specifically, but18Q. Okay. And can you explain what you18generally, yes.19mean by that?19Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide20A. Yeah, I mean if if it was - I20similar services to HCRE that you have21mean if there was some professional fees21described it provided to HCMS?22that weren't you know, they were due but22MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form23of the question.23of the question.24as timely as others that have a due date or -24A. Yes, but I don't think it it		
14MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form14a timely fashion?15of the question.15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16A. It – it – it – that depended on16of the question.17the nature of the payment.17A. I can't remember specifically, but18Q. Okay. And can you explain what you18generally, yes.19mean by that?19Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide20A. Yeah, I mean if – if it was – I20similar services to HCRE that you have21mean – if there was some professional fees21described it provided to HCMS?22that weren't – you know, they were due but23of the question.23they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid23of the question.24as timely as others that have a due date or –24A. Yes, but I don't think it – it		
15of the question.15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16A. It it that depended on16of the question.17the nature of the payment.17A. I can't remember specifically, but18Q. Okay. And can you explain what you18generally, yes.19mean by that?19Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide20A. Yeah, I mean if if it wasI20similar services to HCRE that you have21mean if there was some professional fees21described it provided to HCMS?22that weren't you know, they were due but22MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form23they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid23of the question.24as timely as others that have a due date or24A. Yes, but I don't think it it		
16A. It - it - that depended on16of the question.17the nature of the payment.17A. I can't remember specifically, but18Q. Okay. And can you explain what you18generally, yes.19mean by that?19Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide20A. Yeah, I mean if - if it was - I20similar services to HCRE that you have21mean - if there was some professional fees21described it provided to HCMS?22that weren't - you know, they were due but22MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form23they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid23of the question.24as timely as others that have a due date or -24A. Yes, but I don't think it - it		
17the nature of the payment.17A. I can't remember specifically, but18Q. Okay. And can you explain what you18generally, yes.19mean by that?19Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide20A. Yeah, I mean if - if it was - I20similar services to HCRE that you have21mean - if there was some professional fees21described it provided to HCMS?22that weren't - you know, they were due but22MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form23they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid23of the question.24as timely as others that have a due date or -24A. Yes, but I don't think it - it	· ·	
18Q. Okay. And can you explain what you18generally, yes.19mean by that?19Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide20A. Yeah, I mean if - if it was - I20similar services to HCRE that you have21mean - if there was some professional fees21described it provided to HCMS?22that weren't - you know, they were due but22MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form23they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid23of the question.24as timely as others that have a due date or -24A. Yes, but I don't think it - it		
19mean by that?19Q.Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide20A.Yeah, I mean if - if it was - I20similar services to HCRE that you have21mean - if there was some professional fees21described it provided to HCMS?22that weren't you know, they were due but22MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form23they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid23of the question.24as timely as others that have a due date or24A.Yes, but I don't think it - it		
20A. Yeah, I mean if if it wasI20 similar services to HCRE that you have21mean if there was some professional fees21 described it provided to HCMS?22that weren't you know, they were due but22MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form23they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid23of the question.24as timely as others that have a due date or24A. Yes, but I don't think it it		
21mean – if there was some professional fees21described it provided to HCMS?22that weren't – you know, they were due but22MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form23they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid23of the question.24as timely as others that have a due date or –24A. Yes, but I don't think it – it	18 Q. Okay. And can you explain what you	
22that weren't - you know, they were due but22MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form23they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid23of the question.24as timely as others that have a due date or24A. Yes, but I don't think it - it	<ul><li>18 Q. Okay. And can you explain what you</li><li>19 mean by that?</li></ul>	19 Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide
23 they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid23 of the question.24 as timely as others that have a due date or2424 A. Yes, but I don't think it it	<ol> <li>Q. Okay. And can you explain what you</li> <li>mean by that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I mean if if it was I</li> </ol>	<ul><li>19 Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide</li><li>20 similar services to HCRE that you have</li></ul>
24 as timely as others that have a due date or 24 A. Yes, but I don't think it it	<ul> <li>18 Q. Okay. And can you explain what you</li> <li>19 mean by that?</li> <li>20 A. Yeah, I mean if – if it was – I</li> <li>21 mean – if there was some professional fees</li> </ul>	<ul><li>19 Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide</li><li>20 similar services to HCRE that you have</li><li>21 described it provided to HCMS?</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>18 Q. Okay. And can you explain what you</li> <li>19 mean by that?</li> <li>20 A. Yeah, I mean if if it was I</li> <li>21 mean if there was some professional fees</li> <li>22 that weren't you know, they were due but</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide</li> <li>similar services to HCRE that you have</li> <li>described it provided to HCMS?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> </ol>
25 or things like that.   25 provided I don't think it provided HR	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And can you explain what you</li> <li>mean by that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I mean if if it was I</li> <li>mean if there was some professional fees</li> <li>that weren't you know, they were due but</li> <li>they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide</li> <li>similar services to HCRE that you have</li> <li>described it provided to HCMS?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> </ol>
	<ul> <li>Q. Okay. And can you explain what you</li> <li>mean by that?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I mean if if it was I</li> <li>mean if there was some professional fees</li> <li>that weren't you know, they were due but</li> <li>they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid</li> <li>as timely as others that have a due date or</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide</li> <li>similar services to HCRE that you have</li> <li>described it provided to HCMS?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Yes, but I don't think it – it</li> </ol>

1	Page 3	58		Page 359
1'	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	i ugo ooo
2	services.	2	loan payment that was due from HCMS to HCMLP in	
3	Q. Can you describe the accounting and	3	December of 2020?	
4	treasury services that HCMLP provided for HCRE?	4	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
5	A. Yeah, it – it would provide	5	of the question.	
6	bookkeeping services on a – on a periodic	6	A. I don't believe that payment -	
7	basis. It would make payments, you know, as	7	payment was made.	
8	needed.	8	Q. Okay. And when HCMLP caused HCMS in	
9	Q. Okay. So did it provide –	9	the past to make loan payments, whose money did	
10	A. And – and I believe it – it – it	10	it use to make those payments?	
11	provided tax services as well.	11	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
12	Q. Okay. And so did it provide the	12	of the question.	
13	same kind of bill did HCMLP provide the same	13	A. It was the the money in HCMS's	
14	kind of bill-paying services for HCRE that it	14	operating account would be made to that –	
15	provided for HCMS and NexPoint?	15	those moneys would be used to make payment to	
16	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	16	Highland Capital Management.	
17	of the question.	17	Q. Okay. And Highland is it correct	
18	A. Yes.	18	that Highland Capital Management personnel had	
19	Q. And over the course of time, did	19	the access to HCMS's accounts to be able to	
20	HCMLP generally cause to be made the loan	20	cause such payments to be made?	
21	payments that HCRE owed to HCMLP?	21	A. Yes, Highland personnel had access	
22	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	22	to those accounts.	
23	of the question.	23	Q. Okay. And so now for HCRE, whose	
24	A. Yes.	24	money was used when HCMLP caused HCRE	
25	Q. Did HCMLP make loan payment the	25	payments loan payments to Highland to be	
	Page 3	60		Page 361
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
1	made?	2	of the question.	
3	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	3	A. I I don't recall.	
4	of the question.	4	Q. Do you have any reason to believe	
5	A. It was – it was cash in HCRE's bank	5	that aithor UCDE or UCMS aimply didn't have the	
6			that either HCRE or HCMS simply didn't have the	
1	account that would be used to make payments to	6	funds on hand to make the December 2020	
7	Highland Capital Management.	6 7	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments?	
7 8	Highland Capital Management. Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital	6 7 8	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know.	
9	Highland Capital Management. Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital Management have access to HCRE's funds in order	6 7 8 9	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any	
9 10	Highland Capital Management. Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital Management have access to HCRE's funds in order to be able to make such payments?	6 7 8 9 10	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the	
9 10 11	Highland Capital Management. Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital Management have access to HCRE's funds in order to be able to make such payments? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	6 7 8 9 10 11	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the funds?	
9 10 11 12	Highland Capital Management. Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital Management have access to HCRE's funds in order to be able to make such payments? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the funds? A. We managed cash for so many	
9 10 11	<ul> <li>Highland Capital Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital</li> <li>Management have access to HCRE's funds in order to be able to make such payments?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.</li> <li>A. Personnel at Highland Capital</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the funds? A. We managed cash for so many different entities and funds, and I don't	
9 10 11 12 13 14	Highland Capital Management. Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital Management have access to HCRE's funds in order to be able to make such payments? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Personnel at Highland Capital Management had access to HCRE's bank account to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the funds? A. We managed cash for so many different entities and funds, and I don't recall, you know, where the cash position was	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Highland Capital Management. Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital Management have access to HCRE's funds in order to be able to make such payments? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Personnel at Highland Capital Management had access to HCRE's bank account to effectuate the payments.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the funds? A. We managed cash for so many different entities and funds, and I don't recall, you know, where the cash position was for HCRE and HCMS at 12/31/2020.	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Highland Capital Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital</li> <li>Management have access to HCRE's funds in order</li> <li>to be able to make such payments?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Personnel at Highland Capital</li> <li>Management had access to HCRE's bank account to</li> <li>effectuate the payments.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was the payment due from</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the funds? A. We managed cash for so many different entities and funds, and I don't recall, you know, where the cash position was for HCRE and HCMS at 12/31/2020. Q. Okay.	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Highland Capital Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital</li> <li>Management have access to HCRE's funds in order</li> <li>to be able to make such payments?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Personnel at Highland Capital</li> <li>Management had access to HCRE's bank account to</li> <li>effectuate the payments.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was the payment due from</li> <li>HCRE to HCMLP due in December of 2020 made?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the funds? A. We managed cash for so many different entities and funds, and I don't recall, you know, where the cash position was for HCRE and HCMS at 12/31/2020. Q. Okay. A. I just don't recall, and I don't –	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Highland Capital Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital</li> <li>Management have access to HCRE's funds in order</li> <li>to be able to make such payments?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Personnel at Highland Capital</li> <li>Management had access to HCRE's bank account to</li> <li>effectuate the payments.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was the payment due from</li> <li>HCRE to HCMLP due in December of 2020 made?</li> <li>A. It –</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the funds? A. We managed cash for so many different entities and funds, and I don't recall, you know, where the cash position was for HCRE and HCMS at 12/31/2020. Q. Okay. A. I just don't recall, and I don't – and I don't remember what the loan payment	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Highland Capital Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital</li> <li>Management have access to HCRE's funds in order</li> <li>to be able to make such payments?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Personnel at Highland Capital</li> <li>Management had access to HCRE's bank account to</li> <li>effectuate the payments.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was the payment due from</li> <li>HCRE to HCMLP due in December of 2020 made?</li> <li>A. It –</li> <li>Q. In December of 2020.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the funds? A. We managed cash for so many different entities and funds, and I don't recall, you know, where the cash position was for HCRE and HCMS at 12/31/2020. Q. Okay. A. I just don't recall, and I don't – and I don't remember what the loan payment obligations were from HCRE to Highland, and	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Highland Capital Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital</li> <li>Management have access to HCRE's funds in order</li> <li>to be able to make such payments?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Personnel at Highland Capital</li> <li>Management had access to HCRE's bank account to</li> <li>effectuate the payments.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was the payment due from</li> <li>HCRE to HCMLP due in December of 2020 made?</li> <li>A. It –</li> <li>Q. In December of 2020.</li> <li>A. It was not.</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the funds? A. We managed cash for so many different entities and funds, and I don't recall, you know, where the cash position was for HCRE and HCMS at 12/31/2020. Q. Okay. A. I just don't recall, and I don't – and I don't remember what the loan payment obligations were from HCRE to Highland, and from HCMS to Highland. I don't recall. I	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Highland Capital Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital</li> <li>Management have access to HCRE's funds in order</li> <li>to be able to make such payments?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Personnel at Highland Capital</li> <li>Management had access to HCRE's bank account to</li> <li>effectuate the payments.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was the payment due from</li> <li>HCRE to HCMLP due in December of 2020 made?</li> <li>A. It –</li> <li>Q. In December of 2020.</li> <li>A. It was not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was there money in HCRE's</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the funds? A. We managed cash for so many different entities and funds, and I don't recall, you know, where the cash position was for HCRE and HCMS at 12/31/2020. Q. Okay. A. I just don't recall, and I don't – and I don't remember what the loan payment obligations were from HCRE to Highland, and from HCMS to Highland. I don't recall. I don't recall, I mean	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Highland Capital Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital</li> <li>Management have access to HCRE's funds in order</li> <li>to be able to make such payments?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Personnel at Highland Capital</li> <li>Management had access to HCRE's bank account to</li> <li>effectuate the payments.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was the payment due from</li> <li>HCRE to HCMLP due in December of 2020 made?</li> <li>A. It –</li> <li>Q. In December of 2020.</li> <li>A. It was not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was there money in HCRE's</li> <li>account that would have enabled the payment to</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the funds? A. We managed cash for so many different entities and funds, and I don't recall, you know, where the cash position was for HCRE and HCMS at 12/31/2020. Q. Okay. A. I just don't recall, and I don't – and I don't remember what the loan payment obligations were from HCRE to Highland, and from HCMS to Highland. I don't recall. I don't recall, I mean Q. Let me come at it a different way.	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Highland Capital Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital</li> <li>Management have access to HCRE's funds in order</li> <li>to be able to make such payments?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Personnel at Highland Capital</li> <li>Management had access to HCRE's bank account to</li> <li>effectuate the payments.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was the payment due from</li> <li>HCRE to HCMLP due in December of 2020 made?</li> <li>A. It –</li> <li>Q. In December of 2020.</li> <li>A. It was not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was there money in HCRE's</li> <li>account that would have enabled the payment to</li> <li>be made had HCM personnel attempted to make the</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the funds? A. We managed cash for so many different entities and funds, and I don't recall, you know, where the cash position was for HCRE and HCMS at 12/31/2020. Q. Okay. A. I just don't recall, and I don't – and I don't remember what the loan payment obligations were from HCRE to Highland, and from HCMS to Highland. I don't recall. I don't recall, I mean Q. Let me come at it a different way. Were the – were the payments that would	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Highland Capital Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital</li> <li>Management have access to HCRE's funds in order</li> <li>to be able to make such payments?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Personnel at Highland Capital</li> <li>Management had access to HCRE's bank account to</li> <li>effectuate the payments.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was the payment due from</li> <li>HCRE to HCMLP due in December of 2020 made?</li> <li>A. It</li> <li>Q. In December of 2020.</li> <li>A. It was not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was there money in HCRE's</li> <li>account that would have enabled the payment to</li> <li>be made had HCM personnel attempted to make the</li> <li>payment?</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the funds? A. We managed cash for so many different entities and funds, and I don't recall, you know, where the cash position was for HCRE and HCMS at 12/31/2020. Q. Okay. A. I just don't recall, and I don't – and I don't remember what the loan payment obligations were from HCRE to Highland, and from HCMS to Highland. I don't recall. I don't recall, I mean Q. Let me come at it a different way. Were the – were the payments that would otherwise have been due in December of 2020	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Highland Capital Management.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital</li> <li>Management have access to HCRE's funds in order</li> <li>to be able to make such payments?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Personnel at Highland Capital</li> <li>Management had access to HCRE's bank account to</li> <li>effectuate the payments.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was the payment due from</li> <li>HCRE to HCMLP due in December of 2020 made?</li> <li>A. It –</li> <li>Q. In December of 2020.</li> <li>A. It was not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And was there money in HCRE's</li> <li>account that would have enabled the payment to</li> <li>be made had HCM personnel attempted to make the</li> </ul>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	funds on hand to make the December 2020 payments? A. I don't know. Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any reason to believe that they didn't have the funds? A. We managed cash for so many different entities and funds, and I don't recall, you know, where the cash position was for HCRE and HCMS at 12/31/2020. Q. Okay. A. I just don't recall, and I don't – and I don't remember what the loan payment obligations were from HCRE to Highland, and from HCMS to Highland. I don't recall. I don't recall, I mean Q. Let me come at it a different way. Were the – were the payments that would	

Page 362	Pag 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	le 363
2 A. I believe the HCRE payment was made	2 believe I'm an authorized signer. So I	
3 in January of 2021. I don't recall any	3 can't – other personnel have to make payment	
4 payments being made from HCMS to Highland.	4 from HCRE to to to Highland.	
5 Q. If it – how is it the HCRE payment	5 Q. Okay. And in the conversation	
6 came to be made? Why did you make it – why	6 that – that you had with Mr. Dondero when he	
7 did HCM make the payment in January of 2021?	7 requested the payment to be made, did you say	
8 A. Jim – Jim called me and instructed	8 to him words to the effect, Jim, this loan is	
9 me to – to make the payment on behalf of HCRE,	9 going to stay in default, what are you making	
10 Jim Dondero – Jim Dondero.	10 the payment for, anything like that?	
11 Q. Did he seem upset that that the	11 A. No.	
12 payment had not been made?	12 Q. In fact, did you have the impression	
13 A. Yeah. On the note that was, you	13 from him that he thought that the loan would	
14 know, that was the term note, yes, he he was	14 be the default would be cured by making the	
15 displeased that the – that the payment had not	15 payment?	
16 been made by year-end.	16 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
17 Q. Okay. And did you make the cause	17 of the question.	
18 the payment to be made as – as requested?	18 A. Did I get the impression from Jim	
19 A. Yes.	19 Dondero that the loan would be cured if the	
20 Q. And did anyone else from HCM	20 payment from HCRE –	
21 participate with you in causing the payment to	21 Q. Yeah, if that is what he thought.	
22 be made to - on the HCRE loan?	22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
23 A. Yes. It would have been Kristin	23 of the question.	
24 Hendrix. I again, I don't as I testified	A. I didn't get any impression from him	
25 earlier, I'm not an officer of HCRE. I don't	25 on that at the time.	
Page 364		e 365
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	je 365
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Do you know whether there was an	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> </ol>	je 365
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Do you know whether there was an3HCMS term loan that had a payment due in	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> </ol>	je 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> </ol>	je 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> </ol>	e 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> </ol>	le 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't</li> <li>7 recall whether or not there was a payment in</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> </ol>	e 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't</li> <li>recall whether or not there was a payment in</li> <li>January of 2021 is because you just don't</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> </ol>	e 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't</li> <li>recall whether or not there was a payment in</li> <li>January of 2021 is because you just don't</li> <li>remember whether there was such a loan at all?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> </ol>	e 365
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Do you know whether there was an3HCMS term loan that had a payment due in4December of 2020?5A. I don't recall.6Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't7recall whether or not there was a payment in8January of 2021 is because you just don't9remember whether there was such a loan at all?10MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> <li>made, but, you know, again, I don't remember</li> </ol>	e 365
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Do you know whether there was an         3       HCMS term loan that had a payment due in         4       December of 2020?         5       A. I don't recall.         6       Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't         7       recall whether or not there was a payment in         8       January of 2021 is because you just don't         9       remember whether there was such a loan at all?         10       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         11       of the question.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> <li>made, but, you know, again, I don't remember</li> <li>everything.</li> </ol>	e 365
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Do you know whether there was an         3       HCMS term loan that had a payment due in         4       December of 2020?         5       A. I don't recall.         6       Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't         7       recall whether or not there was a payment in         8       January of 2021 is because you just don't         9       remember whether there was such a loan at all?         10       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         11       of the question.         12       A. I don't remember. There is there	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> <li>made, but, you know, again, I don't remember</li> <li>everything.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were</li> </ol>	e 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't</li> <li>recall whether or not there was a payment in</li> <li>January of 2021 is because you just don't</li> <li>remember whether there was such a loan at all?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't remember. There is – there</li> <li>is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> <li>made, but, you know, again, I don't remember</li> <li>everything.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were</li> <li>communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the</li> </ol>	e 365
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Do you know whether there was an         3       HCMS term loan that had a payment due in         4       December of 2020?         5       A. I don't recall.         6       Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't         7       recall whether or not there was a payment in         8       January of 2021 is because you just don't         9       remember whether there was such a loan at all?         10       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         11       of the question.         12       A. I don't remember. There is – there         13       is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I         14       don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> <li>made, but, you know, again, I don't remember</li> <li>everything.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were</li> <li>communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the</li> <li>payment being made, whichever payments were</li> </ol>	e 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't</li> <li>recall whether or not there was a payment in</li> <li>January of 2021 is because you just don't</li> <li>remember whether there was such a loan at all?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't remember. There is there</li> <li>is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I</li> <li>don't I don't remember. It's a lot to keep</li> <li>track in your head.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> <li>made, but, you know, again, I don't remember</li> <li>everything.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were</li> <li>communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the</li> <li>payment being made, whichever payments were</li> <li>made in January, did she say anything to you</li> </ol>	e 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't</li> <li>recall whether or not there was a payment in</li> <li>January of 2021 is because you just don't</li> <li>remember whether there was such a loan at all?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't remember. There is – there</li> <li>is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I</li> <li>don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep</li> <li>track in your head.</li> <li>Q. I understand, and – and I hear your</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> <li>made, but, you know, again, I don't remember</li> <li>everything.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were</li> <li>communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the</li> <li>payment being made, whichever payments were</li> <li>made in January, did she say anything to you</li> <li>about the payments not curing the loan</li> </ol>	e 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't</li> <li>recall whether or not there was a payment in</li> <li>January of 2021 is because you just don't</li> <li>remember whether there was such a loan at all?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't remember. There is – there</li> <li>is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I</li> <li>don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep</li> <li>track in your head.</li> <li>Q. I understand, and – and I hear your</li> <li>frustration when you have explained that the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> <li>made, but, you know, again, I don't remember</li> <li>everything.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were</li> <li>communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the</li> <li>payment being made, whichever payments were</li> <li>made in January, did she say anything to you</li> <li>about the payments not curing the loan</li> <li>defaults?</li> </ol>	e 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't</li> <li>recall whether or not there was a payment in</li> <li>January of 2021 is because you just don't</li> <li>remember whether there was such a loan at all?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't remember. There is there</li> <li>is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I</li> <li>don't - I don't remember. It's a lot to keep</li> <li>track in your head.</li> <li>Q. I understand, and - and I hear your</li> <li>frustration when you have explained that the</li> <li>debtor has your documents and you don't, and so</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> <li>made, but, you know, again, I don't remember</li> <li>everything.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were</li> <li>communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the</li> <li>payment being made, whichever payments were</li> <li>made in January, did she say anything to you</li> <li>about the payments not curing the loan</li> <li>defaults?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ol>	e 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't</li> <li>recall whether or not there was a payment in</li> <li>January of 2021 is because you just don't</li> <li>remember whether there was such a loan at all?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't remember. There is there</li> <li>is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I</li> <li>don't - I don't remember. It's a lot to keep</li> <li>track in your head.</li> <li>Q. I understand, and and I hear your</li> <li>frustration when you have explained that the</li> <li>debtor has your documents and you don't, and so</li> <li>I fully appreciate it, and this is no knock on</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> <li>made, but, you know, again, I don't remember</li> <li>everything.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were</li> <li>communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the</li> <li>payment being made, whichever payments were</li> <li>made in January, did she say anything to you</li> <li>about the payments not curing the loan</li> <li>defaults?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. All right. So I'm going to</li> </ol>	e 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't</li> <li>recall whether or not there was a payment in</li> <li>January of 2021 is because you just don't</li> <li>remember whether there was such a loan at all?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't remember. There is – there</li> <li>is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I</li> <li>don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep</li> <li>track in your head.</li> <li>Q. I understand, and – and I hear your</li> <li>frustration when you have explained that the</li> <li>debtor has your documents and you don't, and so</li> <li>I fully appreciate it, and this is no knock on</li> <li>you. It's a knock on somebody else on this</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> <li>made, but, you know, again, I don't remember</li> <li>everything.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were</li> <li>communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the</li> <li>payment being made, whichever payments were</li> <li>made in January, did she say anything to you</li> <li>about the payments not curing the loan</li> <li>defaults?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. All right. So I'm going to</li> <li>take you back to very early in the deposition</li> </ol>	e 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't</li> <li>recall whether or not there was a payment in</li> <li>January of 2021 is because you just don't</li> <li>remember whether there was such a loan at all?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't remember. There is there</li> <li>is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I</li> <li>don't I don't remember. It's a lot to keep</li> <li>track in your head.</li> <li>Q. I understand, and and I hear your</li> <li>frustration when you have explained that the</li> <li>debtor has your documents and you don't, and so</li> <li>I fully appreciate it, and this is no knock on</li> <li>you. It's a knock on somebody else on this</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> <li>made, but, you know, again, I don't remember</li> <li>everything.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were</li> <li>communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the</li> <li>payment being made, whichever payments were</li> <li>made in January, did she say anything to you</li> <li>about the payments not curing the loan</li> <li>defaults?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. All right. So I'm going to</li> <li>take you back to very early in the deposition</li> <li>when Mr. Morris was asking you about the –</li> </ol>	e 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't</li> <li>recall whether or not there was a payment in</li> <li>January of 2021 is because you just don't</li> <li>remember whether there was such a loan at all?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't remember. There is – there</li> <li>is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I</li> <li>don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep</li> <li>track in your head.</li> <li>Q. I understand, and – and I hear your</li> <li>frustration when you have explained that the</li> <li>debtor has your documents and you don't, and so</li> <li>I fully appreciate it, and this is no knock on</li> <li>you. It's a knock on somebody else on this</li> <li>call.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> <li>made, but, you know, again, I don't remember</li> <li>everything.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were</li> <li>communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the</li> <li>payment being made, whichever payments were</li> <li>made in January, did she say anything to you</li> <li>about the payments not curing the loan</li> <li>defaults?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. All right. So I'm going to</li> <li>take you back to very early in the deposition</li> <li>when Mr. Morris was asking you about the –</li> </ol>	e 365
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Q. Do you know whether there was an         3       HCMS term loan that had a payment due in         4       December of 2020?         5       A. I don't recall.         6       Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't         7       recall whether or not there was a payment in         8       January of 2021 is because you just don't         9       remember whether there was such a loan at all?         10       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         11       of the question.         12       A. I don't remember. There is – there         13       is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I         14       don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep         15       track in your head.         16       Q. I understand, and – and I hear your         17       frustration when you have explained that the         18       debtor has your documents and you don't, and so         19       I fully appreciate it, and this is no knock on         20       you. It's a knock on somebody else on this         21       Call.         22       MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. That         23       was pretty obnoxious, but go ahead.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> <li>made, but, you know, again, I don't remember</li> <li>everything.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were</li> <li>communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the</li> <li>payment being made, whichever payments were</li> <li>made in January, did she say anything to you</li> <li>about the payments not curing the loan</li> <li>defaults?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. All right. So I'm going to</li> <li>take you back to very early in the deposition</li> <li>when Mr. Morris was asking you about the –</li> <li>the – the agreement with respect to</li> <li>the – the forgiveness element of the loans, so</li> </ol>	e 365
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether there was an</li> <li>HCMS term loan that had a payment due in</li> <li>December of 2020?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't</li> <li>recall whether or not there was a payment in</li> <li>January of 2021 is because you just don't</li> <li>remember whether there was such a loan at all?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I don't remember. There is – there</li> <li>is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I</li> <li>don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep</li> <li>track in your head.</li> <li>Q. I understand, and – and I hear your</li> <li>frustration when you have explained that the</li> <li>debtor has your documents and you don't, and so</li> <li>I fully appreciate it, and this is no knock on</li> <li>you. It's a knock on somebody else on this</li> <li>call.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>January of 2021, do you think it was part of</li> <li>the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,</li> <li>hey, why didn't that get paid, please make</li> <li>that – get that payment done?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of</li> <li>the question.</li> <li>A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I</li> <li>mean, again, I don't recall a payment being</li> <li>made, but, you know, again, I don't remember</li> <li>everything.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were</li> <li>communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the</li> <li>payment being made, whichever payments were</li> <li>made in January, did she say anything to you</li> <li>about the payments not curing the loan</li> <li>defaults?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. All right. So I'm going to</li> <li>take you back to very early in the deposition</li> <li>when Mr. Morris was asking you about the –</li> </ol>	e 365

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-3 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 94 of 131

_	Dage 266	-		Dega 267
1	Page 366 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 367
2	time that you and Mr. Dondero were	2	of the question.	
3	communicating about potential means of	3	A. Yes, we did have that conversation.	
4	resolving the Highland bankruptcy by what was	4	Q. Okay. Was that conversation in	
5	colloquially referred to as a pot plan?	5	connection with trying to figure out the right	
6	A. Yes.	6	numbers for a pot plan?	
7	Q. Okay. And can you tell me generally	7	A. Yeah. I mean, it was – it was – I	
8	when that was?	8	mean, Jim – Jim would ask for, you know,	
9	A. Like mid – mid 2020, sometime in	9	most most recent asset values, you know, for	
10	2020, mid 2020.	10	Highland, and and myself and the team	
11	Q. Okay. And did the process of trying	11	provided those to him, so it was in that	
12		12	context.	
13	involve looking at what one should pay for the	13	Q. Okay. And does that refresh your	
14		14	recollection that these communications were in	
15	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	15	2020 rather than 2021?	
16	of the question.	16	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
17	A. Yes.	17	of the question.	
18	Q. Okay. And did there come a time	18	A. The the the executive	
19	when you were proposing some potential numbers	19	compensation discussions were definitely in	
20	and Mr. Dondero said something to you like,	20	2020.	
21	well, why are you including payment for the	21	Q. Okay. Now, did you ever make	
22	related party notes, those, you know, were	22	proposals that took into account Jim's comment	
23	likely to be forgiven as part of my deferred	23	that the notes were likely to end up forgiven	
24		24	as part of his compensation?	
25	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	25	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
$\vdash$	Page 368			Page 369
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	i ugo ooo
2	of the question.	2	you knew of the practice of giving forgivable	
3	A. Yes, we - the team and myself put	3	loans to executives.	
4	together, you know, asset summaries of Highland	4	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
5	at various times for all the assets of	5	of the question.	
6	Highland, and not including the notes.	6	Q. Can you can you tell me what you	
7	Q. Okay. And were those presentations	7	recall about that practice?	
8	communicated to to Mr. Seery?	8	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
9	A. No. Well, look, I didn't tell I	9	of the question.	
10	didn't tell Mr. Seery. I don't know what	10	A. Yes, so there were there were	
11	Mr. Dondero did with the information.	11	during my tenure at Highland, there were loans	
12	Q. Okay.	12	or – given to employees that were later	
13	A. I did not have conversations with	13	forgiven at a future date and time.	
14	Mr. Seery.	14	Q. Okay. And when the loans were	
15	Q. Okay. Do you know who saw the	15	given, did the notes, to your recollection, say	
16	presentations that you put together that didn't	16	anything about the potential forgiveness term?	
17	include the value of the related party notes?	17	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
18	A. We're talking presentations - these	18	of the question.	
19	are – these are Excel spreadsheets?	19	A. When you say "did the notes," did	
20	Q. Uh-huh.	20	the promissory notes detail the forgiveness?	
21	A. I don't know who these were given	21	Q. Yes.	
22	to to Jim Dondero. I don't know what was	22	A. Not that I recall.	
23	done with them after that.	23	Q. And until such time as whatever was	
24	Q. Okay. You also mentioned earlier	24	to trigger the forgiveness occurred, were the	
25	that sometime during your tenure at Highland	25	notes bona fide notes as far as you were	
L				

	D 070			Da
1	Page 370 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 371
2	concerned?	2	of the question.	
3	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	3	A. Yes. I mean, yes, that – there	
4	of the question.	4	are. And that is – yes.	
5	A. Yes, similar to – yes.	5	Q. Okay. And is it typical accounting	
6	Q. Okay. You were going to say similar	6	practice that until there is some certainty	
	to what?	7	about those potential future events, that asset	
8	A. Mr. Morris earlier today showed	8	value listed on on the books doesn't take	
9	notes of the financial statements about various	9	into account those potential future events?	
10	affiliate loans. $1 - 1 - 1$ do recall these	10	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
1	notes because I – at that time personally	11	of the question.	
11	worked on the – the financial statements of	12	•	
12			A. Yeah, if those – yes. If – if	
	Highland. That was, you know, in my role as a	13	those future events, you know, at the time of	
14	corporate accountant.	14	issuance are not known or knowable, like I	
15	And there were those loans	15	discussed earlier with, like, market practice,	
16	were – to the partners were detailed in the	16	asset dislocation, or, you know, I mean, things	
17	notes to the financial statements, similar to	17	like that, you – I mean, it – it could affect	
18	what we went through earlier today in the prior	18	its fair value	
19	testimony about what we saw with Highland	19	Q. Okay.	
20	and and and the and HCMFA.	20	A. – in the future.	
21	Q. Is it fair to say that on Highland's	21	Q. And am I correct you wouldn't feel	
22	balance sheet there were any number of assets	22	compelled to footnote in every possible change	
23	that the value of which could be affected by	23	in in an asset when those possibilities are	
24	subsequent events?	24	still remote?	
25	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	25	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
	Page 372			D 070
				Page 373
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 373
2	of the question.	2	have been better prepared for this deposition	Page 373
23	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have	23	have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests?	Page 373
2	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to	2 3 4	have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	Page 373
23	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an	2 3 4 5	have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.	Page 373
2 3 4	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under –	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>have been better prepared for this deposition</li> <li>if the debtor had complied with those requests?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I – I most certainly – yes.</li> </ul>	Page 373
2 3 4 5	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>have been better prepared for this deposition</li> <li>if the debtor had complied with those requests?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I – I most certainly – yes.</li> <li>I mean, again, these are multiple years,</li> </ul>	Page 373
2 3 4 5	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>have been better prepared for this deposition</li> <li>if the debtor had complied with those requests?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I – I most certainly – yes.</li> </ul>	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>have been better prepared for this deposition</li> <li>if the debtor had complied with those requests?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I – I most certainly – yes.</li> <li>I mean, again, these are multiple years,</li> </ul>	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>have been better prepared for this deposition</li> <li>if the debtor had complied with those requests?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I – I most certainly – yes.</li> <li>I mean, again, these are multiple years,</li> <li>multiple years ago, lots and lots of</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>You know, we asked about NAV errors</li> </ul>	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>have been better prepared for this deposition</li> <li>if the debtor had complied with those requests?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I – I most certainly – yes.</li> <li>I mean, again, these are multiple years,</li> <li>multiple years ago, lots and lots of</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>You know, we asked about NAV errors</li> <li>and, you know, things like that and these</li> </ul>	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>have been better prepared for this deposition</li> <li>if the debtor had complied with those requests?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I – I most certainly – yes.</li> <li>I mean, again, these are multiple years,</li> <li>multiple years ago, lots and lots of</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>You know, we asked about NAV errors</li> <li>and, you know, things like that and these</li> <li>are – it would make this process a lot more –</li> </ul>	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>have been better prepared for this deposition</li> <li>if the debtor had complied with those requests?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I – I most certainly – yes.</li> <li>I mean, again, these are multiple years,</li> <li>multiple years ago, lots and lots of</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>You know, we asked about NAV errors</li> <li>and, you know, things like that and these</li> </ul>	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>have been better prepared for this deposition</li> <li>if the debtor had complied with those requests?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I – I most certainly – yes.</li> <li>I mean, again, these are multiple years,</li> <li>multiple years ago, lots and lots of</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>You know, we asked about NAV errors</li> <li>and, you know, things like that and these</li> <li>are – it would make this process a lot more –</li> </ul>	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that. Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that.	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that. Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that. Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the debtor suing you right now?	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>have been better prepared for this deposition</li> <li>if the debtor had complied with those requests?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I – I most certainly – yes.</li> <li>I mean, again, these are multiple years,</li> <li>multiple years ago, lots and lots of</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>You know, we asked about NAV errors</li> <li>and, you know, things like that and these</li> <li>are – it would make this process a lot more –</li> <li>a lot easier and if we had – if we had access</li> <li>to that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the</li> <li>debtor suing you right now?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that. Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the debtor suing you right now? A. Yes. Q. And is the debtor trying to renege	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your understanding that people you work with now	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that. Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the debtor suing you right now? A. Yes. Q. And is the debtor trying to renege on deals that it had previously made with you?	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your understanding that people you work with now have been asking the debtor for full and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that. Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the debtor suing you right now? A. Yes. Q. And is the debtor trying to renege on deals that it had previously made with you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your understanding that people you work with now have been asking the debtor for full and unfetterred access to their own former files?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I – I most certainly – yes.</li> <li>I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions.</li> <li>You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the debtor suing you right now?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is the debtor trying to renege on deals that it had previously made with you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.</li> </ul>	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your understanding that people you work with now have been asking the debtor for full and unfetterred access to their own former files? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I – I most certainly – yes.</li> <li>I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions.</li> <li>You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the debtor suing you right now?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is the debtor trying to renege on deals that it had previously made with you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.</li> <li>A. Sorry, I need to – it is my</li> </ul>	Page 373
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your understanding that people you work with now have been asking the debtor for full and unfetterred access to their own former files? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>have been better prepared for this deposition</li> <li>if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. I – I – I most certainly – yes.</li> <li>I mean, again, these are multiple years,</li> <li>multiple years ago, lots and lots of</li> <li>transactions.</li> <li>You know, we asked about NAV errors</li> <li>and, you know, things like that and these</li> <li>are – it would make this process a lot more –</li> <li>a lot easier and if we had – if we had access</li> <li>to that.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the</li> <li>debtor suing you right now?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And is the debtor trying to renege</li> <li>on deals that it had previously made with you?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> <li>of the question.</li> <li>A. Sorry, I need to – it is my</li> <li>understanding that the litigation trust is</li> </ul>	Page 3/3

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-3 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 96 of 131

1     WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21     Name       2     Is that I don't mow the     2     is that constraints       2     Is that I don't mow the     3     MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       4     Ne said many times. But my understanding is     4     of the question.       5     the light ont this suit my on Louid be     5     A     I want to be - yes, 1 there       6     wong these. I don't mow.     6     is - I'm being sued, again, on - on something       7     0. Ocky, understand.     7     that was agreed to whith /- Seay and myself.       8     Someone with some connection to the form     11     dam. To be tansparent, I don't own that       11     Var. Is that aft?     10     A. To be tansparent, I don't own that       12     of the question.     12     Okay.       13     A. Yes.     13     A. And - and being the nonlewyer.       14     O. Okay. And is there also some motion     14     don't mow to the analysis.       15     trait conting to use and ing trait was     17     that sfill moleckies.       16     and the other they set meetaining the facts.     10     and the other was that deats.       17     atterning to undo something that was     17     that sfill moleckies.     14       18     precicult was the deats.     10		Page 374			Page 375
3       relationship. So, again, fm not the lawyess.       3       MRE. MORRIS: Objection to the form         4       resaid many times. But my understanding is       4       of the question.         6       worg there. Idon't know.       6       is - Tim being suid, again, on - or something         7       Q. Oky. Lunderstand.       7       that was agreed to with MS. Seep and myself.         9       G. Oky. Lunderstand.       9       Q. Okay.         11       MRE. MORRIS: Objection to the form       11       don't - I don't own that claim.         12       off the question.       12       Q. Okay.         13       A. Yes.       13       A. And - and being the nonkawyer, I         14       Q. Okay. And is there also some motion       14       don't know how Long ets used for something         15       spraction in the abinuptry where the debtor or       15       that I don't dow or, ike, I don't own         16       someone associated with the debtor is       16       and in the oher they are threaden or       16         17       atterpting to undo something that vas.       17       that is and they are support.       12         18       provicely resolved with you?       18       comedly.       18       comedly.         19       Q. Okay. And the inewsuit that	1		1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Tage 575
4         Ve sead many times. But my understanding is         4         of the question.           5         the litigation hust is using me. I could be         5         A. I want to be _ yes, I _ there           6         words there. I don't know.         6         is _ Imbig suid, again, on - on something           7         Q. Okay, I understand.         7         that was agreed to with Mr. Seevy and myself.           8         Someone with some connection to the         8         I don't - I don't - I don't own that dam.           9         Q. Okay.         10         A. To be transparent, I don't own that dam.           11         MR. MORRIS. Objection to the form         11         Class.         12           12         of the question.         12         O clay.         14           13         A. Yes.         13         A. Ard.         Final I don't own own (I final I don't own)         16         final don't own own (I final I don't own)           14         g. O. Okay. And is there also some motion         14         for the news own (I hard in the own (I final I don't own (I final I don't own (I final I don't own)         16         someon associated with the dotors inty inty on (I hard in town (I final I don't own)           15         secolated with the okothors inty inty on (I hard in town (I final I don't ow	2	Is that I don't know the	2	is that correct?	
6       the light of the light	3	relationship. So, again, I'm not the lawyers.	3	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
6         worg here, Idon't Now.         6         is -Im being sued again, on - on something           7         Q.         Okay. Lunderstand.         7         that was agreed to with Mr. Seery and myself.           9         Highland debtor has brought a claim against         9         Q. Okay.         Okay.           11         MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         11         dam. So it is not my personal property.           12         Of the question.         12         Q. Ckay.           13         A. Yes.         13         A. And - and being the nonhawyer, I           14         Q. Okay. And is there also some motion         14         don't how how loan get sued for something           15         practice in the barkruptcy where the debtor or         15         that I don't own or Wile ang ets and or own           15         practice in the obtario system ontoin         14         don't how how loan get sued for something           16         something the debtor is         16         anything. I'm not'the lawyer. But, I'man, if           17         attempting to undo something that was         17         that is -if''m understanding the facts           18         provisity resolved with you?         20         file de that namesony. That was suit field           14         asociated with the debtors itying to -	4	I've said many times. But my understanding is	4	of the question.	
7       Q.       Okay, Lunderstand,       7       that was agreed to with Mr. Seery and myself.         8       Someone with some connection to the       8       I dont I don't Joint own that claim.         9       Quick is that fair?       10       A.       To be transparent. I don't own that claim.         10       you; is that fair?       10       A.       To be transparent. I don't own that claim.         11       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       11       claim. So it is not my personal property.         12       Q.       Okay.       13       A.       Yes.         13       A.       Yes.       13       A.       And and being the nontexyyer, I         14       Q.       Okay.       14       don't now how lcan get sub of nonearby, I         15       practice in the bahruptcy where the debtor or       15       that I don't own how lcan get sub of now mainting         15       practice in the bahruptcy where the debtor is       16       anything. I'm nother standing the facts         18       proviously resolved with the debtor is       16       anything. I'm nother standing the facts         18       proviously resolved with the debtors try to no       21       the ansacy tup tark was just field         13       and so in one action somebody is       20<	5	the litigation trust is suing me. I could be	5	A. I want to be yes, I there	
8     Someone with some connection to the     8     I don't - I don't - I don't own that claim.       9     Highland debtor has brought a claim against     9     0. Okay.       11     MR. MORRIS. Objection to the form     11     daim. So it is not my personal property.       12     Of the question.     12     0. Okay.       13     A. Yes.     13     A. And - and being the nonlawyer, I       14     O. Okay. And is there also some motion     14     don't how how loan get sued for something       15     practice in the bankrupty where the debtor or     15     that id nort wow rilks, I don't town       16     someone associated with the debtor is     16     anything. I'm not the lawyer. But, I mean, if       17     attempting to undo something that was     17     that is - if I'm understanding the fads       18     proviously resolved with you?     19     O. Okay. And to how ackins, I don't how how lean by lat fled       21     associated with the debtors trying to -     20     don't how the interviously been agreed;       23     you, and the north werk to go - go     2     if as at Skytew.       2     I theal wort want to go - go     2     if as at Skytew.       3     adied -     3     MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. Yeah.       4     MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. Yeah.     5     FUTH-RE KZMINATION	6	wrong there. I don't know.	6	is – I'm being sued, again, on – on something	
9       Highland debtor has brought a claim against       9       Q. Okay.         10       you; is that fai?       10       A. To be transparent, I don't own that         11       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       11       claim. So its not my personal property.         12       of the question.       12       Q. Okay.         13       A. Yes.       13       A. And – and being the nonlawyer, I         14       Q. Okay.       13       A. And – and being the nonlawyer, I         15       practice in the bankruptsy where the debtor is       16       anything. I'm nother lawyer. But, I mean, if         15       practice in the bankruptsy where the debtor is       16       anything. I'm nother lawyer. But, I mean, if         16       someone accion somebody is       19       Q. Okay. And the lawsuit that was       10         12       threatening is that had previously been agreed;       20       Genant the was just filed       11         12       threatening is that had previously been agreed;       21       bis of the mode indiguitation.       11         24       and in the other + and trying to –       23       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Nath. You.       14         3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITMESS:       14         4       THE WIT	7	Q. Okay. I understand.	7	that was agreed to with Mr. Seery and myself.	
10       you; is that fai?       10       A. To be transparent, I don't own that         11       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       11       claim. So it is not my personal property.         12       of the question.       12       Q. Okay.         13       A. Yes.       13       A. And – and being the nonlawyer, I.         14       Q. Okay. And is there also some motion       14       4 don't know how I can get sued for something         15       that I don't know how I can get sued for something       15         16       someone associated with the debtor is       16       anything. I'm not the lawyer. But, I mean, if         17       attempting to undo something that was       17       that don't know how I can get sued for something         19       A. Yes.       19       Q. Okay. And the lawsuit that was         20       Q. And so in one action somebody is       20       16       that here was just filed         21       the abstription poon       21       this - his past week is that right?       22         21       souid the inter was just filed       23       dow and to interrupt it his point because         24       and in the other - and thing 0 –       21       this - his past week is that right?       2         22       meathering not to       24	8	Someone with some connection to the	8	l don't – l don't – l don't own that claim.	
11       MR.MORRIS: Objection to the form       11       dain. So it is not my personal property.         12       of the question.       12       Q. Okay.         14       Q. Okay. And is there also some motion       14       don't how to ang ets used for something         15       practice in the bankruptcy where the debtor or       15       that don't ower or, like, I don't own         16       someone associated with the debtor is       16       anything. I'm not the lawyer. But, I mean, if         17       attempting to undo something that was       17       that and erans you, that was just Bid d         18       previously resolved with you?       18       correctly.         19       A. Yes.       19       Q. Okay. And the lawsuit that was         20       Q. And so in one action somebody is       20       Go and thein in the other – and trying to –         21       thestatening you with trying to take money from       22       MS. DANDENEAU. Ms. Delisch-Perez, I         23       you, and then in the other – and trying to –       24       just as loid Mr. Monis, that this is a         25       pay you things that had previously been agreed;       25       deposition about the noticed iligration.         24       not in the other – and trying to –       24       just as loid Mr. Monis, that this is a	9	Highland debtor has brought a claim against	9	Q. Okay.	
12       of the question.       12       Q. Okay.         13       A Yes.       13       A Ada - and being the nonlawyer, I         14       Q. Okay. And is there also some motion       14       don't flow the uican get sued for something         15       practice in the bankruptcy where the debtor or       15       that I don't know how i can get sued for something         15       practice in the bankruptcy where the debtor is       16       anything. I'm not the lawyer. I Mul, mean, if         17       attempting to undo something that was       17       that is - if in undersanding the facts         18       previously resolved with you?       18       correctly.       18         20       And so in one action somebody is       20       18       correctly.         21       associated with the debtors trying to -       21       this - this past week; is that right?         21       threatening you with trying to take money from       22       MS. DANDENEAU: Ms. Debitsch-Perez, I         23       you, and the ap reviously been agreed;       25       deposition about the noticeal litigation.         24       arial of -       21       if ed at Names you.       THE WITNESS: Thank you.         3       afield -       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       5       FUTHER EXAMINATION	10	you; is that fair?	10	A. To be transparent, I don't own that	
13       A. Yes.       13       A. And – and being the nonlawyer, I         14       Q. Okay. And is there also some motion       14       don't know how I can get sued for something         15       practice in the bankrupty where the debtor or       15       that I don't wore, I like, I don't xown, I like, I like, I don't xown, I like, I don't xown, I like, I don't xown, I like, I	11	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	11	claim. So it is not my personal property.	
14       Q. Okay. And is there also some motion       14       don't know how I can get sued for something         15       practice in the bankuptcy where the debtor is       16       anything. I'm not the lawyer. But, I mean, if         17       attempting to undo something that was       17       that is – if I'm understanding the facts         18       previously resolved with you?       13       correctly.         19       A. Yes.       19       Q. Okay. And the lawsuit that was         20       Q. And so in one action somebody is       20       filed that names you, that was just filed         21       associated with the debtors trying to –       21       this – this past week; is that fight?         22       threatening you with trying to bake money from       22       MS DANDENEAU. Ms. Delisch-Perez, I         23       you, and then in the other – and trying to –       23       do want to interrupt at this point because         24       and in the other thay are threatening not to       24       just as I toid Mr. Morris, that this is a         25       ayou, und then in the ofter_2121       Page 376       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       I'really don't want to go – go       2       If eat 3kyview.       3         3       affield –       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.       6	12	of the question.	12	Q. Okay.	
15       practice in the bankruptcy where the debtor or       15       that I don't owe or, like, I don't own         16       someone associated with the debtor is       16       anything. Trm not the lawyer. But, I mean, if         17       attempting to undo something that was       17       that is if Trm understanding the facts         18       previously resolved with you?       18       correctly.         19       A. Yes.       19       Q. Okay. And the lawsuit that was         20       A and so in one action somebody is       20       filed that names you, that was just filed         21       associated with the debtors trying to -       21       this if Trm understanding the facts         23       you, and then in the other and trying to -       23       do want to interrupt at this point because         24       and in the other they are threatening not to       24       just as it told Mr. Morris, that this is a         25       pay you things that had previously been agreed;       25       deposition about the noliced litigation.         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 377       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 377         2       I really don't want to go - go       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       5       FURTHER EXAMINATION         6       BY MR. MORRIS:       <	13	A. Yes.	13	A. And – and being the nonlawyer, I	
16       someone associated with the deblor is       16       anything. I'm not the lawyer. But, I mean, if         17       attempting to undo something that was       17       that is - if I'm understanding the facts         18       previously resolved with you?       18       correctly.         19       A. Yes.       19       Q. Okay. And the lawsuit that was         20       Q. And so in one action somebody is       20       field that names you, that was just filed         21       associated with the debtors trying to -       21       this - this past week; is that right?         23       you, and then in the other - and trying to -       23       do want to interrupt at this point because         24       and in the other they are threatening not to       24       just as tod Mr. Moris, that this is a         25       pay you things that had previously been agreed;       25       deposition about the noticed ligation.         2       I really don't want to go - go       2       if eat Skyriew.       3         3       afiel -       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.         5       rift may i just have a few       6       BY MR. WORRIS:       7       Q. If I may, i just have a few         8       court. We will be here all night.       8	14	Q. Okay. And is there also some motion	14	don't know how I can get sued for something	
17       attempting to undo something that was       17       that is - if fm understanding the facts         18       previously resolved with you?       18       correctly.         19       A. Yes.       19       Q. Okay. And the lawsuit that was         20       Q. And so in one action somebody is       20       filed that names you, that was just filed         21       associated with the debtors trying to -       21       this - this past week; is that right?         22       threatening you with trying to take money from       22       MS. DANDENEAU. Ms. Deitsch-Perez, I         23       you, and then in the other - and trying to -       23       do want to interrupt at this point because         24       and in the other they are threatening not to       24       just as I told Mr. Morris, that this is a         25       pay you things that had previously been agreed;       25       deposition about the noticed illigation.         2       Ireally don't want to go - go       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.         4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.       5       FURTHER EXAMINATION         6       whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit       6       BY MR. MORRIS:       7       Q. If Imay, J just have a few         8 <td>15</td> <td>practice in the bankruptcy where the debtor or</td> <td>15</td> <td>that I don't owe or, like, I don't own</td> <td></td>	15	practice in the bankruptcy where the debtor or	15	that I don't owe or, like, I don't own	
18       previously resolved with you?       18       correctly.         19       A. Yes.       19       Q. Okay. And the lawsuit that wass         20       Q. And so in one action somebody is       20       filed that names you, that was just filed         21       associated with the debtors tying to –       21       this – this past week, is that right?         22       threatening you with tying to take money from       22       MS. DANDENAL: Ms. Delisch-Perez, I         23       you, and then in the other they are threatening not to       24       just as Itold Mr. Morris, that this is is a         25       pay you things that had previously been agreed;       25       deposition about the noticed litigation.         7       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 376       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         8       court want to go – go       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.         5       FURTHER EXAMINATION       6       BY MR. MORRIS:       7       Q. If Imay, I just have a few         8       court. We will be here all night.       9       Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of       10       documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the         11       Q. My – my point is: Do you feel       11       schedule of payments that Weredue on behalf of       13       cert	16	someone associated with the debtor is	16	anything. I'm not the lawyer. But, I mean, if	
19       A. Yes.       19       Q. Okay. And the lawsuit that was         20       Q. And so in one action somebody is       20       field that names you, that was just filed         21       associated with the debtors trying to –       21       filed that names you, that was just filed         21       threatening you with trying to take money from       22       MS. DANDENEAL: MS. Delisch-Perez, I         23       you, and then in the other – and trying to –       23       do want to interrupt at this point because         24       and in the other they are threatening not to       25       deposition about the noticed litigation.         25       pay you things that had previously been agreed;       25       deposition about the noticed litigation.         2       Ireally don't want to go – go       2       life at Skyview.       3         3       afield –       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.         5       MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a       6       BY MR. MORRIS:       7       Q. If It may, ligut have a few         8       court. We will be here all right.       9       Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of       10       documents that Mr. Rukarian put up on the         11       Q. My – my point is: Do you feel       11       screen where Ms. Hendrixwould seque you a	17	attempting to undo something that was	17	that is – if I'm understanding the facts	
20       Q. And so in one action somebody is       20       filed that names you, that was just filed         21       associated with the debtors trying to –       21       this – this past week is, that right?         22       threatening you with trying to take money from       22       MS. DANDENEAU: MS. Debtsch-Perez, I         23       you, and then in the other - and trying to –       23       do want to interrupt at this point because         24       and in the other they are threatening not to       24       just as I told Mr. Morris, that this is a         25       pay you things that had previously been agreed;       25       deposition about the noticed litigation.         21       Ireally don't want to go – go       2       life at Skyview.       3       affied –         3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.       5       FURTHER EXAMINATION         6       Whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit       6       BY MR. MORRIS:       7       O. If may, I just have a few         8       court. We will be here al night.       8       questrons.       9       Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of         10       understand.       10       documents that Mr. Rokavina put up on the       11       scheckle of payments that were due on behalf of         13       parties rel	18	previously resolved with you?	18	correctly.	
21       associated with the debtors trying to –       21       this – this past week; is that right?         22       threatening you with trying to ake money from       22       MS. DANDERAU: Ms. Detsch-Perez, I         23       you, and then in the other – and trying to –       23       do want to interrupt at this point because         24       and in the other they are threatening not to       24       just as I bold Mr. Morris, that this is a         25       pay you things that had previously been agreed;       25       deposition about the noticed litigation.         7       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Ife at Skyview.       3       affeid –         3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.       5         4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       5       FURTHER EXAMINATION       6         6       whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit       6       BY MR. MORRIS:       7       Q. If I may, I just have a few         8       court. We will be here al night.       9       Mr. Vaterhouse, we saw a number of       10       documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the         11       Q. My - my point is: Do you feel       11       screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a       12       schedule of payments that were due on behalf of         13       parties related to the debtor to intimi	19	A. Yes.	19	Q. Okay. And the lawsuit that was	
22       threatening you with trying to take money from       22       MS. DANDENEAU: Ms. Deitsch-Perez, I         23       you, and then in the other - and trying to -       23       do want to interrupt at this point because         24       and in the other they are threatening not to       24       just as I told Mr. Morris, that this point because         24       and in the other - and trying to -       23       dewant to interrupt at this point because         25       pay you things that had previously been agreed;       25       deposition about the noticed litigation.         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       life at Skyview.       3         3       afield -       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.         5       MS. DANDENEAU: - and open up a       5       FURTHER EXAMINATION       6       BY MR. MORRIS:         6       whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit       6       BY MR. MORRIS:       7       Q. If I may, I just have a few         8       court. We will be here all night.       9       Mr. Waterhouse, we saw an umber of       10       documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the         11       Q. My - my point is: Do you feel       11       screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a       12       ikee-like there is some effort by these       12	20	Q. And so in one action somebody is	20	filed that names you, that was just filed	
23       you, and then in the other – and trying to –       23       do want to interrupt at this point because         24       and in the other they are threatening not to       24       just as I told Mr. Morris, that this is a         25       pay you things that had previously been agreed;       25       deposition about the noticed litigation.         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 377         2       I really don't want to go – go       2       life at Skyview.       3         3       afield –       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.         5       MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a       5       FURTHER EXAMINATION       6       BY MR. MORRIS:         7       or the – the motion and the bankruptcy       7       Q. If I may, I just have a few       4       questions.         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I       9       Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of       10       0       0       0         11       Q. My – my point is: Do you feel       11       screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a       2       1       screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a       1       2       1       weastions.       1       1       0       0       a       1       1       1	21	associated with the debtors trying to	21	this – this past week; is that right?	
24       and in the other they are threatening not to       24       just as I told Mr. Morris, that this is a         25       pay you things that had previously been agreed;       25       deposition about the noticed litigation.         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 377         2       Ireally don't want to go - go       2       Iffe at Skyview.       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.         4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.       5       FURTHER EXAMINATION         6       whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit       6       BY MR. MORRIS:       7       Q. If I may, I just have a few         8       court. We will be here all night.       9       Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of       10       documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the         11       Q. My - my point is: Do you feel       11       scheet here is some effort by these       12       schedule of payments that were due on behalf of         13       parties related to the debtor to intimidate       13       certain Highland affiliates.       14       Do you remember that?         14       you - not that you - I'm not saying you are       14       Do you remember that?       15       A. Yes.         16       B	22	threatening you with trying to take money from	22	MS. DANDENEAU: Ms. Deitsch-Perez, I	
25       page area       25       deposition about the noticed litigation.         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 377         2       I really don't want to go – go       2       life at Skyview.       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.       4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.       5       MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a       5       FURTHER EXAMINATION       6       BY MR. MORRIS:       7       0.       If may, I just have a few       8       questions.       9       Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of       10       documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the       11       scient. We will be here all night.       9       Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of       10       documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the       11       scient. We will be here all night.       9       Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of       10       documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the       11       scient we find the volu a list on the documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the       11       scient we find the volu a list on the documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the       12       scient we find the volu a list on the documents that Wr. Rukavina put up on the       13       scient we find the volu a list on the volu a list on the documents that Wr. Rukavina put up on the       13       scient we find the volu a list on the volu a list on the documents that Wr. Rukavina put up on the	23	you, and then in the other – and trying to –	23	do want to interrupt at this point because	
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 376       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 377         2       I really don't want to go – go       3       afield –       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.         4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.         5       MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a       5       FURTHER EXAMINATION       6         6       whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit       6       BY MR. MORRIS:       7       Q. If I may, I just have a few         8       court. We will be here all night.       9       Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of       10       documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the         11       Q. My – my point is: Do you feel       11       screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a       12       schedule of payments that were due on behalf of         13       parties related to the debtor to intimidate       13       certain Highland affiliates.       14       Do you remember that?         15       A. Yes.       16       But do you feel like there is some       16       Q. And in each instance she asked for         16       But do you feel like there is some       16       Q. And was that the – was that the         17       your approxal to make the payments; is that	24	and in the other they are threatening not to	24	just as I told Mr. Morris, that this is a	
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       Ireally don't want to go - go       2       Iffe at Skyview.         3       afield -       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.         4       MS. DANDENEAU: - and open up a       5       FURTHER EXAMINATION         6       whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit       6       BY MR. MORRIS:         7       or the - the motion and the bankruptcy       7       Q. If I may, I just have a few         8       court. We will be here all night.       8       questions.         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I       9       Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of         10       understand.       10       documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the         11       Q. My - my point is: Do you feel       11       screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a         12       like - like there is some effort by these       12       schedule of payments that were due on behalf of         13       parties related to the debtor to intimidate       13       certain Highland affiliates.         14       you - not that you - I'm not saying you are       14       Do you remember that?         15       or you arent.       16       Q. And in each instance she asked for         17       you	25	pay you things that had previously been agreed;	25	deposition about the noticed litigation.	
2       Ireally don't want to go - go       2       Ife at Skyview.         3       afield -       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.         4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.         5       MS. DANDENEAU: - and open up a       5       FURTHER EXAMINATION         6       whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit       6       BY MR. MORRIS:         7       or the - the motion and the bankruptcy       7       Q. If I may, I just have a few         8       court. We will be here all night.       9       Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of         10       understand.       10       documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the         11       Q. My - my point is: Do you feel       11       screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a         12       like – like there is some effort by these       12       schedule of payments that were due on behalf of         13       parties related to the debor to intimidate       13       certain Highland affiliates.         14       you – not that you – I'm not saying you are       14       Do you remember that?         15       or you arent.       15       A. Yes.       18         16       But do you feel like there is some       16       Q. And in each instance she asked for      <					Page 377
3       afield –       3       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.         4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.         5       MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a       5       FURTHER EXAMINATION         6       whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit       6       BY MR. MORRIS:         7       or the – the motion and the bankruptcy       7       Q. If I may, I just have a few         8       court. We will be here all night.       9       Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of         10       understand.       10       documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the         11       Q. My – my point is: Do you feel       11       screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a         12       like – like there is some effort by these       12       schedule of payments that were due on behalf of         13       parties related to the debtor to intimidate       13       certain Highland affiliates.         14       you – not that you – I'm not saying you are       15       A. Yes.         16       But do you feel like there is some       16       Q. And in each instance she asked for         17       effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to       18       right?         19       be in this deposition?       19       A. Yes, she didi. <t< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	1				
4       MS, DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.       4       THE WITNESS: Thank you.         5       MS. DANDENEAU: - and open up a       5       FURTHER EXAMINATION         6       whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit       6       BY MR. MORRIS:         7       or the - the motion and the bankruptcy       7       Q. If I may, I just have a few         8       court. We will be here all night.       8       questions.         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I       9       Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of         10       understand.       10       documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the         11       Q. My - my point is: Do you feel       11       screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a         12       like - like there is some effort by these       12       schedule of payments that were due on behalf of         13       parties related to the debtor to intimidate       13       certain Highland affiliates.         14       you - not that you - I'm not saying you are       14       Do you remember that?         15       or you aren't.       15       A. Yes.         16       But do you feel like there is some       16       Q. And in each instance she asked for         17       effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to       17       your approval to make the payments;	1			-	
5MS. DANDENEAU: - and open up a5FURTHER EXAMINATION6whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit6BY MR. MORRIS:7or the - the motion and the bankruptcy7Q. If I may, I just have a few8court. We will be here all night.9Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of9MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I9Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of10understand.10documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the11Q. My - my point is: Do you feel11screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a12like - like there is some effort by these12schedule of payments that were due on behalf of13parties related to the debtor to intimidate13certain Highland affiliates.14you - not that you - I'm not saying you are14Do you remember that?15or you aren't.15A. Yes.16But do you feel like there is some16Q. And via each instance she asked for17effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to17your approval to make the payments; is that18deter you from being as prepared as you might18right?19A. Yes, she did.20Q. And was that the – was that the21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was – I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates	Ι.			-	
6whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit6BY MR. MORRIS:7or the – the motion and the bankruptcy7Q. If I may, I just have a few8court. We will be here all night.9Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of9MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I9Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of10understand.10documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the11Q. My – my point is: Do you feel11screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a12like – like there is some effort by these12schedule of payments that were due on behalf of13parties related to the debtor to intimidate13certain Highland affiliates.14you – not that you – I'm not saying you are14Do you remember that?15or you aren't.15A. Yes.16But do you feel like there is some16Q. And in each instance she asked for17effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to17your approval to make the payments; is that18deter you from being as prepared as you might19A. Yes, she did.20MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form20Q. And was that the – was that the21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was – I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24 <t< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	1				
7or the - the motion and the bankruptcy7Q. If I may, I just have a few8court. We will be here all night.9Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of9MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I9Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of10understand.10documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the11Q. My - my point is: Do you feel11screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a12like - like there is some effort by these12schedule of payments that were due on behalf of13parties related to the debtor to intimidate13certain Highland affiliates.14you - not that you - I'm not saying you are14Do you remember that?15or you aren't.15A. Yes.16But do you feel like there is some16Q. And in each instance she asked for17effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to17your approval to make the payments; is that18deter you from being as prepared as you might18right?19be in this deposition?19A. Yes, she did.20MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form20Q. And was that the - was that the21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was - I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24and ask for approval? <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td>	1		-		
8       court. We will be here all night.       8       questions.         9       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I       9       Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of         10       understand.       10       documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the         11       Q. My – my point is: Do you feel       11       screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a         12       like – like there is some effort by these       12       schedule of payments that were due on behalf of         13       parties related to the debtor to intimidate       13       certain Highland affiliates.         14       you – not that you – I'm not saying you are       14       Do you remember that?         15       or you aren't.       15       A. Yes.         16       But do you feel like there is some       16       Q. And in each instance she asked for         17       effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to       17       your approval to make the payments; is that         18       deter you from being as prepared as you might       18       right?         19       A. Yes, she did.       20       Q. And was that the – was that the         21       of the question.       21       practice in the second half of 2020 whereby         22       A. I was – I was surprised by the       22       Ms. Hendrix			-		
9MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I9Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of10understand.10documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the11Q. My – my point is: Do you feel11screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a12like – like there is some effort by these12schedule of payments that were due on behalf of13parties related to the debtor to intimidate13certain Highland affiliates.14you – not that you – I'm not saying you are14Do you remember that?15or you aren't.15A. Yes.16But do you feel like there is some16Q. And in each instance she asked for17effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to17your approval to make the payments; is that18deter you from being as prepared as you might18right?19be in this deposition?19A. Yes, she did.20MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form20Q. And was that the – was that the21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was – I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24and ask for approval?	1				
10understand.10documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the11Q. My – my point is: Do you feel11screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a12like – like there is some effort by these12schedule of payments that were due on behalf of13parties related to the debtor to intimidate13certain Highland affiliates.14you – not that you – I'm not saying you are14Do you remember that?15or you aren't.15A. Yes.16But do you feel like there is some16Q. And in each instance she asked for17effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to17your approval to make the payments; is that18deter you from being as prepared as you might18right?19be in this deposition?19A. Yes, she did.20MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form20Q. And was that the – was that the21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was – I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24and ask for approval?	1	-		•	
11Q. My - my point is: Do you feel11screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a12like - like there is some effort by these12schedule of payments that were due on behalf of13parties related to the debtor to intimidate13certain Highland affiliates.14you - not that you - I'm not saying you are14Do you remember that?15or you aren't.15A. Yes.16But do you feel like there is some16Q. And in each instance she asked for17effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to17your approval to make the payments; is that18deter you from being as prepared as you might18right?19be in this deposition?19A. Yes, she did.20MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form20Q. And was that the - was that the21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was - I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24and ask for approval?	1		-		
12like – like there is some effort by these12schedule of payments that were due on behalf of13parties related to the debtor to intimidate13certain Highland affiliates.14you – not that you – I'm not saying you are14Do you remember that?15or you aren't.15A. Yes.16But do you feel like there is some16Q. And in each instance she asked for17effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to17your approval to make the payments; is that18deter you from being as prepared as you might18right?19be in this deposition?19A. Yes, she did.20MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form20Q. And was that the – was that the21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was – I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24and ask for approval?	1				
13parties related to the debtor to intimidate13certain Highland affiliates.14you – not that you – I'm not saying you are14Do you remember that?15or you aren't.15A. Yes.16But do you feel like there is some16Q. And in each instance she asked for17effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to17your approval to make the payments; is that18deter you from being as prepared as you might18right?19be in this deposition?19A. Yes, she did.20MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form20Q. And was that the – was that the21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was – I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24and ask for approval?	1			-	
14you – not that you – I'm not saying you are14Do you remember that?15or you aren't.15A. Yes.16But do you feel like there is some16Q. And in each instance she asked for17effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to17your approval to make the payments; is that18deter you from being as prepared as you might18right?19be in this deposition?19A. Yes, she did.20MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form20Q. And was that the – was that the21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was – I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24and ask for approval?	1	-			
15or you aren't.15A. Yes.16But do you feel like there is some16Q. And in each instance she asked for17effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to17your approval to make the payments; is that18deter you from being as prepared as you might18right?19be in this deposition?19A. Yes, she did.20MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form20Q. And was that the – was that the21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was – I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24and ask for approval?	1			-	
16But do you feel like there is some16Q. And in each instance she asked for17effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to17your approval to make the payments; is that18deter you from being as prepared as you might18right?19be in this deposition?19A. Yes, she did.20MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form20Q. And was that the – was that the21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was – I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24and ask for approval?	1				
17effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to17your approval to make the payments; is that18deter you from being as prepared as you might18right?19be in this deposition?19A. Yes, she did.20MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form20Q. And was that the was that the21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was - I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24and ask for approval?		•			
18deter you from being as prepared as you might18right?19be in this deposition?19A. Yes, she did.20MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form20Q. And was that the – was that the21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was – I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24and ask for approval?	1	-			
19be in this deposition?19A. Yes, she did.20MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form20Q. And was that the – was that the21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was – I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24and ask for approval?	1				
20MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form20Q. And was that the – was that the21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was – I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24and ask for approval?	1			-	
21of the question.21practice in the second half of 2020 whereby22A. I was – I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24and ask for approval?		•	1		
22A. I was – I was surprised by the22Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments23lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23that were due on behalf of Highland associates24don't own the asset and things like that.24and ask for approval?	1	-			
23 lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I23 that were due on behalf of Highland associates24 don't own the asset and things like that.24 and ask for approval?	1				
24   don't own the asset and things like that.   24   and ask for approval?	1				
	1			•	
25 rean, rjust – rwant to move forward with my 25 A. Yes.		-	1		
	125	rean, rjuši – rwani to move lorward with my	25	A. TES.	

1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2         2       Q. And I think you said that there was       3       1 don't know how, as I leastlied         4       A. It was - I think i leatilied to       4       eadines.         5       a. Jobicy, you know, again, I want to be       6       a. C. Kay, But - but you doil get notice         7       informed - of - o	Page 3	378		Page 379
3			WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	. age ere
4       A. Itwas – Itink leatified to       4       eater, how much they were using that         5       this eatifier when we taked about procedures       5       calendar.         6       and polity, you know, again, luvanto be made       5       calendar.         7       and a request to approve the payments that were         8       payments that are going out. I work to be made       8       coming due on behalf of Highland's affiliales.         9       aware of these payments hard that was just a       9       Do I have that right?       10         10       aware, So St wert beyond 2020?       11       A. Itemas, generally, yees, Imean, you         12       A. Yees.       12       know, as we saw with these enails, generally, yee they apyment of you know why the         15       Q. Okay, And the corporate accounting       15       made on the three weeks abaed, is that       18       laked about these agreement. Settives and the attern that word set       16       made over the years on they apyment at the odd 2020?         17       forth all of the payments that were anticipated       17       A. Yes. There was — there was — there was — the they apyment at the odd 2020?       17       forth all of the payments that word is set up, you       20       the cost minturusment agreement.       20       he cost minturusment agreement.       20       he cost minturusment agreement.	2 Q. And I think you said that there was	2	deadlines.	
6         the scalar when we taked about procedures         6         and policy, you know, again, I want to be         7         and a policy, you know, again, I want to be         7         and a policy, you know, again, I want to be         7         and a policy, and a request to approve the payments that were         8           8         payments that are going out. I want to be made         9         and a request to approve the payments that were         8           9         payments that are going out. I want to be made         9         Convig the con behalf of Highland's affiliates.         9           10         Q. Okay. So th went beyond 2020?         11         A. I mean, generally, yes. I mean, you         12         Noves         Noves         Nove the asse wowth these emails, generally. I           13         Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting         15         made by NexPoint at the end of 2020?         TA         New Sockey. Doyau know why the           16         group would prepare a calendar that woold set         16         made by NexPoint at the end of 2020?         A         A - I were and by NexPoint at the end of 2020?         A         A - I were and by NexPoint at the end of 2020?         A         A - I were and by NexPoint at the end of 2020?         A         A - I were and by NexPoint at the end of 2020?         A         A - I were and and the and the and and the and a corporate aciendar that was set up, you         21	3 a-a-	3	I don't know how, as I testified	
6       and policy, you know, again, I want to be       6       Q. Okay, But - but you doig enholics         7       informed of - of - of - of any       7       and a request to approve the payments that were         9       aware of these payments, and that was just a       9       Do I have that right?         10       general policy, not just for 2020.       10       M.S. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.         11       Q. Okay. So it went beyond 2020?       11       A. Imean, generally, less. Imman, you         12       A. Yes.       12       Imman, you         13       Q. Is that right?       13       mean, did that encorpase servey thing, no.         14       A. Yes.       14       Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting       15       payment a did that encorpase servey thing, no.         15       Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting       15       payment and on the rew weaks anead; is that       16       mode by NexPoint at the end of 2020?         17       that lot the tree weaks anead; is that       18	4 A. It was – I think I testified to	4	earlier, how much they were using that	
7       informacion - of - of - of - of any       7       and a request to approve the payments that there e         8       payments that are going out. I want to be made       8       coming due on behalf of Highland s affiliates.         9       payments that are going out. I want to be made       8       coming due on behalf of Highland s affiliates.         10       general policy, not just for 2020.       10       MS. DANDENERAU. Objection to form.         11       Q. Okay. So it went beyond 2020?       11       A I mean, generally, is. I mean, you         12       A. Yes.       12       know, as we saw with these emails, generally, i.         13       Q. Is that right?       13       mean, did that ecorporate accounting       15         15       Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting       15       payments that we endicipated       17       A. Yes. There was – there vas – we         18       in the – in the three weeks ahead; is that       18       takked about these argreements between the         19       right?       19       advisors and Highland, the strand services and       20         21       hod a corporate calendar that was set up, you       21       And in tale 2020, there were       22       orepayments function any experiments hat had been         23       of anything of any nature, whetherit its       23 <t< td=""><td>5 this earlier when we talked about procedures</td><td>5</td><td>calendar.</td><td></td></t<>	5 this earlier when we talked about procedures	5	calendar.	
8         payments that are going out. I went to be made         8         coming due on behalf of Highland's affiliates.           9         aware of these payments, and that was just a         9         Do I have that right?           10         general policy, not just for 2020?         11         A. Ireas, generally, ves. Ireas, you           12         A. Yes.         12         kov, we saw with here ease emails, generally, les.           13         Q. Is that right?         13         mean, did that encompass everything, no.           14         A Yes.         14         Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting         15           16         group would prepare aclender that would set         16         made by NexPoint at the end of 2020?           17         forth all of the payments that were anticipated         17         A. Yes.         18           19         right?         19         advisors and Highland, the sared services and           20         A. I – like Itestified caller, we         21         And in late 2020, there were           21         had a corporate calendar that was set up, you         21         And in late 2020, there were           21         nad corporate calendar that was set up, you         21         And in late 2020, there were           25         nordwere of any nature, whether it is <td< td=""><td>6 and policy, you know, again, I want to be</td><td>6</td><td>Q. Okay. But – but you did get notice</td><td></td></td<>	6 and policy, you know, again, I want to be	6	Q. Okay. But – but you did get notice	
9         avera of these payments, and that was just a         9         Do I have that right?           10         general policy, not just for 2020.         10         MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.           11         Q. Okay. So it went beyond 2020?         11         A. Imean, generally, yes. Imean, you           12         A. Yes.         12         Kinx, as we saw with these enails, generally, I           13         Q. Is that right?         13         mean, did that encompass everything, no.           14         A. Yes.         14         Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting           15         gorup would prepare a calendar that would set         16         made by NeXPrint at the end of 2202?           17         forth all of the payments that were anticipated         17         A. Yes. There was - there was - there was - eve           18         in the - in the three weeks ahead; is that         18         table accomprate calendar that was set up, you         21         And in late 2020, there were           21         had a corporate calendar that was as et up, you         21         and in late 2020, there were         22         oreprayments, alreg overpayments that been         23         anything of any nature, whether it is         23         made over the years on these agreements, and it         24         was my understanding that the advisors         3	7 informed of of of of any	7	and a request to approve the payments that were	
10       general policy, not just for 2020.       10       MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.         11       Q. Okay. So tiven beyond 2020?       11       A. Yes.       12       know, so we saw with these emails, generally, I.         13       Q. Is that right?       13       mean, did that encompase everything, no.       14       A. Yes.       15       gyment – do you know with the see mails, generally, I.         16       group would prepare a calendar that would set       16       made by NexPoint at the end of 2020?         17       forth all of the payments that were antiopated       17       A. Yes.       18       take doubt these agreements between the         19       ight?       19       advisors and Highland, the shared services and       20         20       A. I – like Itestified earlier, we       21       And in late 2020, three were       22         21       had corporate calendar that was set up, you       21       And in late 2020, there were       23         24       payments or – or financial statements or, you       24       was my understanding that advisors were –       25         25       know, whattever it is, you know, to meet       25       were talking with – like Jun Seery and others         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 3801       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 3	8 payments that are going out. I want to be made	8	coming due on behalf of Highland's affiliates.	
11       Q. Okay. So it went beyond 2020?       11       A. Imaan, generally, yes. Imean, you         12       A. Yes.       12       know, as we saw with these emails, generally, I         13       Q. Is that right?       13       mean, did the incompass werything, no.         14       A. Yes.       14       Q. Okay. Do you know why the         15       Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting       15       payment - do you know why the         16       group would prepare a calendar that would set       16       made by NexPoint at the end of 2020?         17       forh all of the payments that were antiopated       17       A. Yes.       New saw - we         18       in the - in the three weeks ahead; is that       18       taked about these agreements eaw - we         19       not not set 2002. There were -       20       know, to - to provide reminders or, you know,       21       A not intal 2002. There were -         24       know, to - to provide reminders or, you know,       22       overpayments, large overpayments that had been       23       and in the 2002. There were -         25       know, whatever it is, you know, to meet       25       were taking with - like Jim Stery and others         2       not set agreements.       4       notice.       4       nothere agreements.         3	9 aware of these payments, and that was just a	9	Do I have that right?	
12       A. Yes       12       know, as we saw with these emails, generally, I         13       Q. Is that right?       13       mean, did that encompase veryfling, no.         14       A. Yes.       14       Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting       15       payment – do you know why there was no payment         16       group would prepare a calendar that would set       16       made by NaxPoint at the end of 2020?         17       forth all of the payments that wee antiopated       17       A. Yes. There was - we         18       in the – in the three weeks ahead; is that       18       talked about these agreements between the         19       right?       19       advisors and Highland, the shared services and       20         20       ne cost reimbursement agreement.       21       had a corporate calendar that was set up, you       21       And in late 2020, there were         21       know, to - to provide reiminders or, you know,       22       over the years on these agreements, and it         24       payments or – or financial statements or, you       24       was my understanding that the advisors were –         25       know, whatever it is, you know, to meet       25       a A stop. The advisors – the advisors       3       had stoped making payments prior to that         4       on these agreements.       4 <td>10 general policy, not just for 2020.</td> <td>10</td> <td>MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</td> <td></td>	10 general policy, not just for 2020.	10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
13       Q. Ishat right?       13 mean, did that encompass everything, no.         14       A Yes.       14       Q. Okay. Do you know thy the         15       Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting       15 payment – do you know why there was no payment         16       group would prepare a calendar that would set       16 made by NexPoint at the end of 2020?         17       forth all of the payments that were anticipated       17 A Yes. There was – there was – we         18       inthe – in the three weeks ahead; is that       18 taked about these agreements between the         19       right?       19 advisors and Highland, the shared services and         20       A. I – like I testified earlier, we       20 the cost reimbursement agreement.         21       had a corporate calendar that was set up, you       21       And in late 2020, there were         22       know, to – to provide reminders or, you       24 was my understanding that the advisors were –       25 wore by a gueens on these agreements, and it         24       payments or – or financial statements or, you       24 was my understanding that the advisors were –       25 wore by a gueens on these agreements.         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 380       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 381         2       to offset any obligations that the advisors       2       A. No. The advisors – the	11 Q. Okay. So it went beyond 2020?	11	A. I mean, generally, yes. I mean, you	
14       A. Yes.       14       Q. Okay. And he corporate accounting         15       Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting       15       payment – do you know why the         16       group would prepare a calendar that would set       16       made by NexPoint at the erd of 2020?         17       forth all of the payments that were anticipated       17       A. Yes. There was – there was – we         18       in the – in the three weeks ahead; is that       18       takked about these agreements between the         19       right?       19       advisors and Highland, the shared services and         20       A. I – like I testified earlier, we       20       the cost treinbursement agreement.         21       had a corporate calendar that would set       17       A. Mate account and the advisors and Highland, the shared services and         21       had a corporate calendar that was set up, you       21       And in late 2020, three were         22       know, to – to provide reminders or, you know, to meet       22       overpayments, large overpayments that had been         23       and corporate calendar that was on you       24       was my understanding that the advisors were –         25       know, thatever it is, you know, to meet       25       were taking with – tike advisors stopped making payments prior to that         4       on the	12 A. Yes.	12	know, as we saw with these emails, generally, l	
15       Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting       15       payment - do you know why there was no payment         16       group would prepare a calendar that would set       16       made by NexPoint at the end of 2020?         17       forth all of the payments that were anticipated       17       A. Yes. There was – were was – we         18       in the – in the three weeks ahead; is that       18       talked about these agreements between the         19       right?       19       advisors and Highland, the shared services and         20       A. I – like I testified earlier, we       20       And in late 2020, there were         21       had a corporate calendar that was set up, you       21       And in late 2020, there were         24       payments or – of financial statements or, you       24       was my understanding that the advisors were –         25       know, whatever it is, you know, to meet       25       wear my understanding that the advisors       3         3       owed to Highland, as offset to the overpayments       3       had stopped making payments prior to that         4       on these agreements.       4       notice.       6       advisors stopped making –-making payments         5       Q. Okay. Do you know – do you recall       8       A. I had not.       7       prior to the notice?       1 <td>13 Q. Is that right?</td> <td>13</td> <td>mean, did that encompass everything, no.</td> <td></td>	13 Q. Is that right?	13	mean, did that encompass everything, no.	
16       group would prepare a calendar that would set       16       made by NexPoint at the end of 2020?         17       forth all of the payments that were enticipated       17       A. Yes. There was – there was – we         18       in the – in the three weeks ahead; is that       18       takked about these agreements between the         20       A. I – like I testified earlier, we       20       the da corporate calendar that was set up, you       21       And in late 2020; there were         20       know, to – to provide reminders or, you know,       22       overpayments, large overpayments that had been         23       of anything of any nature, whether it is       23       made over the years on these agreements, and it         24       was my understanding that the advisors were –       25       were talking with – like Jim Steary and others         2       to offset any obligations that the advisors       3       had stopped making payments prior to that         4       on these agreements.       5       Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of       6       advisors shoped making payments         3       had stopped making payments       7       ho lind not.       7       prior to the notice?         8       Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of       6       advisors shoped making payments       7         4       notice.<	14 A. Yes.	14	Q. Okay. Do you know why the	
17       forth all of the payments that were anticipated       17       A. Yes. There was – there was – we         18       in the – in the three weeks ahead; is that       18       talked about these agreements between the         19       right?       19       advisors and Highland, the shared services and         20       A. I – like I testified earlier, we       20       the cost reimbursement agreement.         21       had a coporate calendar that was set up, you       21       And in tate 202, there were         22       know, to – to provide reminders or, you know,       22       overpayments large overpayments that had been         23       of anything of any nature, whether it is       23       made over the years on these agreements, and it         24       payments or – or financial statements or, you       24       was my understanding that the advisors were –         25       know, whatever it is, you know, to meet       25       were talking with – like Jim Seery and others         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 380       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 381         2       to offset any obligations that the advisors       3       had stopped making making payments prior to that       notice.         5       Q. Okay, Did you participate in any of       6       advisors stopped making payments       7	15 Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting	15	payment do you know why there was no payment	
17       forth all of the payments that were anticipated       17       A. Yes. There was – there was – we         18       in the – in the three weeks ahead; is that       18       talked about these agreements between the         19       right?       19       advisors and Highland, the shared services and         20       A. I – like I testified earlier, we       20       the cost reimbursement agreement.         21       had a coporate calendar that was set up, you       21       And in tate 202, there were         22       know, to – to provide reminders or, you know,       22       overpayments, large overpayments that had been         23       of anything of any nature, whether it is       23       made over the years on these agreements, and it         24       payments or – or financial statements or, you       24       was my understanding that the advisors were –         25       know, whatever it is, you know, to meet       25       were talking with – like. Jim Seery and others         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 380       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 381         2       to offset any obligations that the advisors       3       had stopped making payments prior to that       notice.         3       ordifield and a       0       notice.       5       Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of <t< td=""><td>16 group would prepare a calendar that would set</td><td>16</td><td>made by NexPoint at the end of 2020?</td><td></td></t<>	16 group would prepare a calendar that would set	16	made by NexPoint at the end of 2020?	
19       right?       19       advisors and Highland, the shared services and         20       A       I - like I testified earlier, we       20       the cost reimbursement agreement.         21       had a corporate calendar that was set up, you       21       And in late 2020, there were         22       know, toto provide reminders or, you know,       22       overpayments, large overpayments that had been         23       of anything of any nature, whether it is       23       made over the years on these agreements, and it         24       was my understanding that the advisors were -       25       were talking with like Jim Seery and others         25       know, whatever it is, you know, to meet       26       A. No. The advisors - the advisors         3       owed to Highland as offset to the overpayments       3       had stopped making payments prior to that         4       on these agreements.       4       notice.       5       Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of       5       Q. Okay. And how do you know that the         6       those conversations?       7       prior to the notice?       8       A. I had - I had a conversation         9       that the - at the end of November, the debtor       10       Q. And did M: Dondero tell you that       11         11       terminate the shared services agreements?		17	A. Yes. There was there was we	
20       A. I – like I testified earlier, we       20       the cost reimbursement agreement.         21       had a corporate calendar that was set up, you       21       And in late 2020, there were         22       know, to – to provide reminders or, you know,       22       overpayments, large overpayments that had been         23       of anything of any nature, whether it is       23       made over the years on these agreements, and it         24       payments or – or financial statements or, you       24       was my understanding that the advisors were –         25       know, whatever it is, you know, to meet       25       were talking with – like Jim Seery and others         7       know, whatever it is, you know, to meet       24       was my understanding that the advisors were –         25       know, whatever it is, you know, to meet       25       were talking with – like Jim Seery and others         7       know that the advisors       3       had stopped making payments prior to that       4         4       on these agreements.       4       notice.       5       Q. Okay. Du you know – do you recall       8       A. India I had a conversation         9       was - the advisors of their intent to       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero.       10       U highland ?         11       the advisors sore one payments and that t	18 in the – in the three weeks ahead; is that	18	talked about these agreements between the	
21       had a corporate calendar that was set up, you       21       And in late 2020, there were         22       know, to - to provide reminders or, you know,       22       overpayments, large overpayments, that had been         23       of anything of any nature, whether it is       23       made over the years on these agreements, and it         24       payments for - or financial statements or, you       24       was my understanding that the advisors were -         25       know, whatever it is, you know, to meet       Page 380       Page 380         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 380         2       A. No. The advisors - the advisors       3         3       owed to Highland as offset to the overpayments       3         4       on these agreements.       4       notice.         5       Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of       5       Q. Okay. And how do you know that the         6       advisors stopped making payments prior to that       1       notice.         8       Q. Okay. Do you know - do you recall       8       A. I had - I had a conversation         9       that the - at the end of November, the debtor       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that         11       terminate the shared services agreements?       11       the advisors would no longer make payments to </td <td>19 right?</td> <td>19</td> <td>advisors and Highland, the shared services and</td> <td></td>	19 right?	19	advisors and Highland, the shared services and	
22       know, to - to provide reminders or, you know,       22       overpayments, large overpayments that had been         23       of anything of any nature, whether it is       23       made over the years on these agreements, and it         24       payments or - or financial statements or, you       24       was my understanding that the advisors were -         25       know, whatever it is, you know, to meet       25       were talking with like Jim Seery and others         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 380       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       to offset any obligations that the advisors       3       had stopped making payments prior to that         4       on these agreements.       2       A. No. The advisors - the advisors       5         3       owed to Highland as offset to the overpayments       3       had stopped making payments prior to that         4       on these agreements.       5       Q. Okay. Dol you know - do you recall       8       A. I had - I had a conversation         9       that the - at the end of November, the debtor       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero.       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero.         10       did notice to the advisors of their intent to       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that       11         11       terminate the shared services agreements? <td>20 A. I – like I testified earlier, we</td> <td>20</td> <td>the cost reimbursement agreement.</td> <td></td>	20 A. I – like I testified earlier, we	20	the cost reimbursement agreement.	
23       of anything of any nature, whether it is       23       made over the years on these agreements, and it         24       payments or – of financial statements or, you       24       was my understanding that the advisors were –         25       know, whatever it is, you know, to meet       25       were talking with – like Jim Seery and others         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       A. No. The advisors – the advisors       3         3       owed to Highland as offset to the overpayments       3       had stopped making payments prior to that       4         4       on these agreements.       5       Q. Okay, Did you participate in any of       5       Q. Okay. And how do you know that the         6       those conversations?       6       advisors stopped making payments       7         7       A. Idid not.       7       prior to the notice?       8       A. Ihad – Ihad a conversation         9       with the – at the end of November, the debtor       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero.       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that         11       terminate the shared services agreements?       11       the advisors have overpaid on         12       A. Like Itestified earlier, there       12       Highland?         13       was – the agreement identical, from       13       MS.	21 had a corporate calendar that was set up, you	21	And in late 2020, there were	
23       of anything of any nature, whether it is       23       made over the years on these agreements, and it         24       payments or – of financial statements or, you       24       was my understanding that the advisors were –         25       know, whatever it is, you know, to meet       25       were talking with – like Jim Seery and others         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       A. No. The advisors – the advisors       3         3       owed to Highland as offset to the overpayments       3       had stopped making payments prior to that       4         4       on these agreements.       5       Q. Okay, Did you participate in any of       5       Q. Okay. And how do you know that the         6       those conversations?       6       advisors stopped making payments       7         7       A. Idid not.       7       prior to the notice?       8       A. Ihad – Ihad a conversation         9       with the – at the end of November, the debtor       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero.       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that         11       terminate the shared services agreements?       11       the advisors have overpaid on         12       A. Like Itestified earlier, there       12       Highland?         13       was – the agreement identical, from       13       MS.		22	overpayments, large overpayments that had been	
24 payments or - or financial statements or, you       24 was my understanding that the advisors were -         25 know, whatever it is, you know, to meet       25 were talking with - like Jim Seery and others         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       24 was my understanding that the advisors were -         3       owed to Highland as offset to the overpayments       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 380         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       A. No. The advisors - the advisors       3         3       owed to Highland as offset to the overpayments       3       had stopped making payments prior to that         4       on these agreements.       4       notice.       5       Q. Okay. Do you participate in any of       5       Q. Okay. And how do you know that the         6       those conversations?       7       prior to the notice?       8       A. I had - I had a conversation         9       that the - at the end of November, the debtor       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that       1         11       terminate the shared services agreements?       11       the advisors would no longer make payments to       1         12       A. Like I testified earlier, there       12       Highland?       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         14       what I recall, and there is one that had a       15 <t< td=""><td>· · ·</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	· · ·			
25       know, whatever it is, you know, to meet       25       were talking with – like Jim Seery and others         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 381         2       to offset any obligations that the advisors       3       had stopped making payments prior to that         4       on these agreements.       4       notice.       5       Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of       5       Q. Okay. And how do you know that the         6       those conversations?       6       advisors stopped making – making payments       7       A. I did not.       7       7       A. I had – I had a conversation       9       with – with Jim Dondero.       9       with – with Jim Dondero.       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that       1       the advisors of their intent to       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that       1       the advisors and the advisors of their intent to       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero.       12       Highland?       13       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       14       form.       13       Ms. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       14       form.       15       A. Yes, he – he – again, he said       16       60-day notice period, which I think had a       15       A. Yes, he – he – again, he said       16       60-day notice period, which one       17       these agreements,		24		
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       to offset any obligations that the advisors       3       A. No. The advisors - the advisors         3       owed to Highland as offset to the overpayments       3       had stopped making payments prior to that         4       on these agreements.       5       Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of       5       Q. Okay. And how do you know that the         6       those conversations?       6       advisors stopped making – making payments       7         7       A. I did not.       7       prior to the notice?       8       A. I had – I had a conversation         9       that the – at the end of November, the debtor       9       with – with Jim Dondero.       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that         11       terminate the shared services agreements?       11       the advisors would no longer make payments to         12       A. Like I testified earlier, there       12       Highland?       13       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         14       form.       13       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       14       form.         15       longer notice period, which I think had a       15       A. Yes, he – he – again, he said       16         16       60-day notice period. I don't recall which o	25 know, whatever it is, you know, to meet	25		
2       to offset any obligations that the advisors       2       A. No. The advisors – the advisors         3       owed to Highland as offset to the overpayments       3       had stopped making payments prior to that         4       on these agreements.       5       Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of       5       Q. Okay. And how do you know that the         6       those conversations?       5       Q. Okay. And how do you know that the         7       A. I did not.       7       prior to the notice?         8       Q. Okay. Do you know – do you recall       8       A. I had – I had a conversation         9       that the – at the end of November, the debtor       9       with – with Jim Dondero.         10       did notice to the advisors of their intent to       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that         11       terminate the shared services agreements?       11       the advisors would no longer make payments to         12       A. Like I testified earlier, there       12       Highland?         13       was – the agreements weren't identical, from       13       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         14       what I recall, and there is one that had a       15       A. Yes, he – he – again, he said         16       60-day notice period. Idon't recall which one       16       they – the				Page 381
3       owed to Highland as offset to the overpayments       3       had stopped making payments prior to that         4       on these agreements.       5       Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of       5       Q. Okay. And how do you know that the         6       those conversations?       6       advisors stopped making – making payments         7       A. I did not.       7       prior to the notice?         8       Q. Okay. Do you know – do you recall       8       A. I had – I had a conversation         9       that the – at the end of November, the debtor       9       with – with Jim Dondero.         10       did notice to the advisors of their intent to       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that         11       terminate the shared services agreements?       11       the advisors would no longer make payments to         12       A. Like I testified earlier, there       12       Highland?         13       was – the agreements weren't identical, from       13       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         14       what I recall, and there is one that had a       15       A. Yes, he – he – again, he said         16       60-day notice period. I don't recall which one       16       they – the advisors have overpaid on         17       that was, so not all of them were – notice       17       th				
4       on these agreements.       4       notice.         5       Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of       5       Q. Okay. And how do you know that the         6       those conversations?       6       advisors stopped making – making payments         7       A. I lidi not.       7       prior to the notice?         8       Q. Okay. Do you know – do you recall       8       A. I had – I had a conversation         9       that the – at the end of November, the debtor       9       with – with Jim Dondero.         10       did notice to the advisors of their intent to       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that         11       terminate the shared services agreements?       11       the advisors would no longer make payments to         12       A. Like I testified earlier, there       13       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         14       what I recall, and there is one that had a       15       A. Yes, he – he – again, he said         16       60-day notice period. I don't recall which one       16       they – they – the advisors have overpaid on         17       that was, so not all of them were – notice       17       these agreements, to not make any future         18       hadm't been given as of November 30th, for all       18       payments, and that there needs to be offsets,				
5Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of 6 those conversations?5Q. Okay. And how do you know that the 6 advisors stopped making – making payments7A. I did not.7prior to the notice?8Q. Okay. Do you know – do you recall8A. I had – I had a conversation9that the – at the end of November, the debtor9with – with Jim Dondero.10did notice to the advisors of their intent to10Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that11terminate the shared services agreements?11the advisors would no longer make payments to12A. Like I testified earlier, there12Highland?13was – the agreements weren't identical, from13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the14what I recall, and there is one that had a14form.15longer notice period, which I think had a15A. Yes, he – he – again, he said1660-day notice period. I don't recall which one16they – they – the advisors have overpaid on17that was, so not all of them were – notice18payments, and that there needs to be offsets,19of the agreements.19and they'te working on getting offsets to these20Q. Upon the receipt of the – the20overpayment.21termination notices that you recall, do you21Q. Do you know if anybody ever22know if the advisors decided at that point not22instructed Highland's employees to make the23to make any further payments of any kind to23payment				
6       those conversations?       6       advisors stopped making – making payments         7       A. I did not.       7       prior to the notice?         8       Q. Okay. Do you know – do you recall       8       A. I had – I had a conversation         9       that the – at the end of November, the debtor       9       with – with Jim Dondero.         10       did notice to the advisors of their intent to       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that         11       terminate the shared services agreements?       11       the advisors would no longer make payments to         12       A. Like I testified earlier, there       12       Highland?         13       was – the agreements weren't identical, from       13       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         14       what I recall, and there is one that had a       14       form.         15       longer notice period, which I think had a       15       A. Yes, he – he – again, he said         16       60-day notice period. I don't recall which one       16       they – the advisors have overpaid on         17       that was, so not all of them were – notice       17       these agreements, und there is eeds to be offsets,         19       of the agreements.       19       and they're working on getting offsets to these         20 <td< td=""><td>-</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	-			
7A. I did not.7prior to the notice?8Q. Okay. Do you know – do you recall8A. I had – I had a conversation9that the – at the end of November, the debtor9with – with Jim Dondero.10did notice to the advisors of their intent to10Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that11terminate the shared services agreements?11the advisors would no longer make payments to12A. Like I testified earlier, there12Highland?13was – the agreements weren't identical, from13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the14what I recall, and there is one that had a14form.15longer notice period, which I think had a15A. Yes, he – he – again, he said1660-day notice period. I don't recall which one16they – they – the advisors have overpaid on17that was, so not all of them were – notice17these agreements, to not make any future18hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all18payments, and that there needs to be offsets,19of the agreements.19and they're working on getting offsets to these20Q. Upon the receipt of the – the20overpayment.21termination notices that you recall, do you21Q. Do you know if anybody ever22know if the advisors decided at that point not22instructed Highland's employees to make the23to make any further payments of any kind to23payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of24 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
8       Q. Okay. Do you know – do you recall       8       A. I had – I had a conversation         9       that the – at the end of November, the debtor       9       with – with Jim Dondero.         10       did notice to the advisors of their intent to       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that         11       terminate the shared services agreements?       11       the advisors would no longer make payments to         12       A. Like I testified earlier, there       12       Highland?         13       was – the agreements weren't identical, from       13       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         14       what I recall, and there is one that had a       14       form.         15       longer notice period. I don't recall which one       16       they – the – again, he said         16       60-day notice period. I don't recall which one       16       they – they – the advisors have overpaid on         17       that was, so not all of them were – notice       17       these agreements, to not make any future         18       hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all       18       payments, and that there needs to be offsets,         19       of the agreements.       19       and they're working on getting offsets to these         20       Q. Upon the receipt of the – the       20       overpayment.		-		
9       that the – at the end of November, the debtor       9       with – with Jim Dondero.         10       did notice to the advisors of their intent to       10       Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that         11       terminate the shared services agreements?       11       the advisors would no longer make payments to         12       A. Like I testified earlier, there       12       Highland?         13       was – the agreements weren't identical, from       13       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         14       what I recall, and there is one that had a       14       form.         15       longer notice period, which I think had a       15       A. Yes, he – he – again, he said         16       60-day notice period. I don't recall which one       16       they – they – the advisors have overpaid on         17       that was, so not all of them were – notice       17       these agreements, to not make any future         18       hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all       18       payments, and that there needs to be offsets,         19       of the agreements.       19       and they're working on getting offsets to these         20       Q. Upon the receipt of the – the       20       overpayment.         21       termination notices that you recall, do you       21       Q. Do you know if anybody ever </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>•</td> <td></td>			•	
10did notice to the advisors of their intent to10Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that11terminate the shared services agreements?11the advisors would no longer make payments to12A. Like I testified earlier, there12Highland?13was – the agreements weren't identical, from13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the14what I recall, and there is one that had a14form.15longer notice period, which I think had a15A. Yes, he – he – again, he said1660-day notice period. I don't recall which one16they – the advisors have overpaid on17that was, so not all of them were – notice17these agreements, to not make any future18hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all18payments, and that there needs to be offsets,19of the agreements.19and they're working on getting offsets to these20Q. Upon the receipt of the – the20overpayment.21termination notices that you recall, do you21Q. Do you know if anybody ever22know if the advisors decided at that point not22instructed Highland's employees to make the23to make any further payments of any kind to23payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of24Highland?24the year?				
11terminate the shared services agreements?11the advisors would no longer make payments to12A. Like I testified earlier, there12Highland?13was – the agreements weren't identical, from13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the14what I recall, and there is one that had a14form.15longer notice period, which I think had a15A. Yes, he – he – again, he said1660-day notice period. I don't recall which one16they – they – the advisors have overpaid on17that was, so not all of them were – notice17these agreements, to not make any future18hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all18payments, and that there needs to be offsets,19of the agreements.19and they're working on getting offsets to these20Q. Upon the receipt of the – the20overpayment.21termination notices that you recall, do you21Q. Do you know if anybody ever22know if the advisors decided at that point not22instructed Highland's employees to make the23to make any further payments of any kind to23payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of24Highland?24the year?		-		
12A. Like I testified earlier, there12Highland?13was – the agreements weren't identical, from13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the14what I recall, and there is one that had a14form.15longer notice period, which I think had a15A. Yes, he – he – again, he said1660-day notice period. I don't recall which one16they – they – the advisors have overpaid on17that was, so not all of them were – notice17these agreements, to not make any future18hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all18payments, and that there needs to be offsets,19of the agreements.19and they're working on getting offsets to these20Q. Upon the receipt of the – the20overpayment.21termination notices that you recall, do you21Q. Do you know if anybody ever22know if the advisors decided at that point not22instructed Highland's employees to make the23to make any further payments of any kind to23payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of24Highland?24the year?			•	
13was – the agreements weren't identical, from13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the14what I recall, and there is one that had a14form.15longer notice period, which I think had a15A. Yes, he – he – again, he said1660-day notice period. I don't recall which one16they – they – the advisors have overpaid on17that was, so not all of them were – notice17these agreements, to not make any future18hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all18payments, and that there needs to be offsets,19of the agreements.19and they're working on getting offsets to these20Q. Upon the receipt of the – the20overpayment.21termination notices that you recall, do you21Q. Do you know if anybody ever22know if the advisors decided at that point not23payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of24Highland?24the year?	-			
14what I recall, and there is one that had a14form.15longer notice period, which I think had a15A. Yes, he – he – again, he said1660-day notice period. I don't recall which one16they – they – the advisors have overpaid on17that was, so not all of them were – notice17these agreements, to not make any future18hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all18payments, and that there needs to be offsets,19of the agreements.19and they're working on getting offsets to these20Q. Upon the receipt of the – the20overpayment.21termination notices that you recall, do you21Q. Do you know if anybody ever22know if the advisors decided at that point not22instructed Highland's employees to make the23to make any further payments of any kind to23payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of24Highland?24the year?	· ·		-	
15longer notice period, which I think had a15A. Yes, he – he – again, he said1660-day notice period. I don't recall which one16they – they – the advisors have overpaid on17that was, so not all of them were – notice17these agreements, to not make any future18hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all18payments, and that there needs to be offsets,19of the agreements.19and they're working on getting offsets to these20Q. Upon the receipt of the – the20overpayment.21termination notices that you recall, do you21Q. Do you know if anybody ever22know if the advisors decided at that point not22instructed Highland's employees to make the23to make any further payments of any kind to23payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of24Highland?24the year?	-		5	
1660-day notice period. I don't recall which one16they – they – the advisors have overpaid on17that was, so not all of them were – notice17these agreements, to not make any future18hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all18payments, and that there needs to be offsets,19of the agreements.19and they're working on getting offsets to these20Q.Upon the receipt of the – the20overpayment.21termination notices that you recall, do you21Q.Do you know if anybody ever22know if the advisors decided at that point not22instructed Highland's employees to make the23to make any further payments of any kind to23payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of24Highland?24the year?				
17that was, so not all of them were – notice17these agreements, to not make any future18hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all18payments, and that there needs to be offsets,19of the agreements.19and they're working on getting offsets to these20Q.Upon the receipt of the – the20overpayment.21termination notices that you recall, do you21Q.Do you know if anybody ever22know if the advisors decided at that point not22instructed Highland's employees to make the23to make any further payments of any kind to23payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of24Highland?24the year?			-	
18hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all18payments, and that there needs to be offsets,19of the agreements.19and they're working on getting offsets to these20Q.Upon the receipt of the – the20overpayment.21termination notices that you recall, do you21Q.Do you know if anybody ever22know if the advisors decided at that point not22instructed Highland's employees to make the23to make any further payments of any kind to23payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of24Highland?24the year?				
19 of the agreements.19 and they're working on getting offsets to these20Q. Upon the receipt of the the20 overpayment.21 termination notices that you recall, do you21 Q. Do you know if anybody ever22 know if the advisors decided at that point not22 instructed Highland's employees to make the23 to make any further payments of any kind to23 payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of24 Highland?24 the year?				
20Q.Upon the receipt of the – the20overpayment.21termination notices that you recall, do you21Q.Do you know if anybody ever22know if the advisors decided at that point not22instructed Highland's employees to make the23to make any further payments of any kind to23payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of24Highland?24the year?				
21termination notices that you recall, do you21Q.Do you know if anybody ever22know if the advisors decided at that point not22instructed Highland's employees to make the23to make any further payments of any kind to23payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of24Highland?24the year?				
22know if the advisors decided at that point not22instructed Highland's employees to make the23to make any further payments of any kind to23payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of24Highland?24the year?				
23 to make any further payments of any kind to23 payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of24 Highland?24 the year?				
24 Highland? 24 the year?	· ·			
25 IVIR. RUKAVINA: Objection, form.   25 A. Did anyone instruct Highland's			•	
	25 IVIR. KUKAVINA: Ubjection, form.	25	A. Did anyone instruct Highland's	

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-3 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 98 of 131

Dogo 292			Dogo 202
Page 382	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 383
2 employees to make that payment?	2	so I mean, until those negotiations were	
3 Q. Correct.		resolved, you know, there wasn't any	
4 A. Anyone – not that I'm aware.		payments there weren't any payments.	
5 Q. Were any of Highland's employees	5	Q. And – and there were no payments	
6 authorized to make the payments on behalf of	6	until the negotiations were resolved because	
7 its affiliates – withdrawn.	7	that was the directive that you received from	
8 Was any of Highland's employees	8	Mr. Dondero; correct?	
9 authorized to effectuate the payment on behalf	9	A. I don't think he said – I mean, I	
10 of NexPoint that was due at the end of the year	10	think yeah, I mean I'm trying to recall	
11 without getting approval from either you or	11	the conversation. It was – you know, there	
12 Mr. Dondero?	12	is there is these negotiations. There's	
13 A. They had the – they had the ability	13	there needs to be these offsets. They're	
14 to make the payment, but they didn't – you	14	talking with the debtor. So, you know, until	
15 know, that – that payment needed to be	15	this is resolved, right, I mean, depending on	
16 approved.	16	how, whatever that resolution was, were we to	
17 Q. Okay. And it needed to be approved	17	take any action.	
18 by you or Mr. Dondero; is that right?	18	Q. Okay. How about with respect to	
19 A. I mean, I'm not going to make the	19	HCMS, did HCMS have a term payment due at the	
20 unilateral decision.	20	end of the year?	
21 Q. Is that a decision that you	21	A. Again, I don't I don't recall.	
22 understood had to be made by Mr. Dondero?	22	Q. Okay. You discussed briefly two	
23 A. Yes. Sitting back in December of	23	payments that were made in January of 2021, one	
24 2020, the that there was this off		on behalf of NexPoint, and one on behalf of	
25 offset negotiation that – that was happening,	25	HCMS. Do I have that right?	
Page 384			Page 385
Page 384 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 385
		WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 let me try again.	Page 385
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21			Page 385
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A.No.The two payments I recall were	2 3	let me try again.	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> </ol>	2 3	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John.	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be –	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they hadn't been made before</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error?	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they hadn't been made before</li> <li>that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware.	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they hadn't been made before</li> <li>that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized</li> <li>them to be made?</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they hadn't been made before</li> <li>that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized</li> <li>them to be made?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they hadn't been made before</li> <li>that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized</li> <li>them to be made?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they hadn't been made before</li> <li>that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized</li> <li>them to be made?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. Yes, because of these negotiations.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error?	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they hadn't been made before</li> <li>that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized</li> <li>them to be made?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. Yes, because of these negotiations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just a couple of more</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware.	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they hadn't been made before</li> <li>that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized</li> <li>them to be made?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. Yes, because of these negotiations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just a couple of more</li> <li>questions.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they hadn't been made before</li> <li>that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized</li> <li>them to be made?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. Yes, because of these negotiations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just a couple of more</li> <li>questions.</li> <li>Did anybody, to the best of your</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar valuation error?	Page 385
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they hadn't been made before</li> <li>that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized</li> <li>them to be made?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. Yes, because of these negotiations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just a couple of more</li> <li>questions.</li> <li>Did anybody, to the best of your</li> <li>knowledge, on behalf of HCMFA, ever tell the</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar	Page 385
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they hadn't been made before</li> <li>that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized</li> <li>them to be made?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. Yes, because of these negotiations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just a couple of more</li> <li>questions.</li> <li>Did anybody, to the best of your</li> <li>knowledge, on behalf of HCMFA, ever tell the</li> <li>SEC that HCMLP was responsible for the mistakes</li> <li>that were made on the TerreStar valuation?</li> <li>A. Did anyone from Highland on HCMFA's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar valuation error?	Page 385
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they hadn't been made before</li> <li>that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized</li> <li>them to be made?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. Yes, because of these negotiations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just a couple of more</li> <li>questions.</li> <li>Did anybody, to the best of your</li> <li>knowledge, on behalf of HCMFA, ever tell the</li> <li>SEC that HCMLP was responsible for the mistakes</li> <li>that were made on the TerreStar valuation?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar valuation error? A. Yes.	Page 385
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they hadn't been made before</li> <li>that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized</li> <li>them to be made?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. Yes, because of these negotiations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just a couple of more</li> <li>questions.</li> <li>Did anybody, to the best of your</li> <li>knowledge, on behalf of HCMFA, ever tell the</li> <li>SEC that HCMLP was responsible for the mistakes</li> <li>that were made on the TerreStar valuation?</li> <li>A. Did anyone from Highland on HCMFA's</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar valuation error? A. Yes. Q. And do you know why they made that	Page 385
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. No. The two payments I recall were         3       NexPoint and HCRE.         4       Q. Okay. And those two payments         5       thank you for the correction. And those two         6       payments were made because Mr. Dondero         7       authorized those payments to be made; correct?         8       A. Yes.         9       Q. And they hadn't been made before         10       that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized         11       them to be made?         12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       form.         14       A. Yes, because of these negotiations.         15       Q. Okay. Just a couple of more         16       questions.         17       Did anybody, to the best of your         18       knowledge, on behalf of HCMFA, ever tell the         19       SEC that HCMLP was responsible for the mistakes         20       that were made on the TerreStar valuation?         21       A. Did anyone from Highland on HCMFA's         22       behalf tell the SEC that Highland – that         23       Highland was responsible for there – I just         24       want to make sure – </td <td>2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24</td> <td>let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar valuation error? A. Yes. Q. And do you know why they made that insurance claim?</td> <td>Page 385</td>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar valuation error? A. Yes. Q. And do you know why they made that insurance claim?	Page 385
<ul> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. No. The two payments I recall were</li> <li>NexPoint and HCRE.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And those two payments –</li> <li>thank you for the correction. And those two</li> <li>payments were made because Mr. Dondero</li> <li>authorized those payments to be made; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And they hadn't been made before</li> <li>that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized</li> <li>them to be made?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. Yes, because of these negotiations.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Just a couple of more</li> <li>questions.</li> <li>Did anybody, to the best of your</li> <li>knowledge, on behalf of HCMFA, ever tell the</li> <li>SEC that HCMLP was responsible for the mistakes</li> <li>that were made on the TerreStar valuation?</li> <li>A. Did anyone from Highland on HCMFA's</li> <li>behalf tell the SEC that Highland – that</li> <li>Highland was responsible for there – I just</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar valuation error? A. Yes. Q. And do you know why they made that insurance claim? A. Because there was an error. I	Page 385

I         WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21           2         hersauros dam made under HCMFA's policy?         2         A         Immergenely, yes, You know,           3         A         Yes.         3         we were asked to provide asset values, right,           4         Q.         DBHCMEA         Again, Idon'thouw who those were the           6         You were asked a couple of questions         6         Uttrataky, Idon't recall.           7         WhereInterest were part of accoupte         10         MR. MORRSI: Ihave no threft           8         questions.         Tanky you wery much foryour           9         notes as part of a polpin because he believed         9         patience.         10           10         both the notes were part of accounce         11         on about your email when -           11         Corpersation.         11         on adoutyour email when -           12         Do I have the thir dight?         12         MR. MUKVINK: Hold on, fm not           13         MS. DELTSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13         dore.           14         fm.         MS. DELTSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13         dore.           16         A. Yes.         15         all has questions.         5		Page 386			Page 387
3       A. Yes.       3       we were asked to provide asset values right,         4       Q. Did HO/FA at any time prior to the       4       and he were asked to provide asset values. right,         6       Pation atta – withdrawn.       5       Again, I Lorth Force were to the         6       Varue – esked a couple of questors       6       Ultrahew sea sort of a pot plan because to believed         9       notes as part of a pot plan because to believed       9       patience. I applogie for the table hour.         10       On that the notes were part of executive       10       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. Join, you stay         11       consponsation.       11       on about you renal when –         12       Do I have that right?       12       MR. RUAVINA: Hold on, Tim not         13       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. On oday. Davor       15       still has questions. Series: Unagoing         16       Q. Okay, Have you ever heard that       16       to assign at aguastion. Time to advor         16       D. Okay, Have dual the prior to my       19       FURTHER EXAMINATION         20       conversation with Mr. Dondero?       20       BY MR. RUKAVINA:         21       Q. No, I had not heard that prior.       21       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, you were just now         23       Q. Okay, And that was in the context <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</td> <td></td>	1		1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
4       Q. Did HCMFA at any time prior to the       4       and he was having settement discussions.         5       petition date – withdrawn.       5       Again, ident throw who these went to         7       where – whene you said that Mr. Dondeno bid       7       MR. MORRIS: I have no further         9       ontee as part of a pot plan because he believed       9       patience. I apologize for the late hour.         10       Institute notes were part of executive       10       MS. DETISCH-PEREZ: John, you slay         11       componsation.       11       on about your email when –         12       Do have that ight?       12       MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on, fm not         13       MS. DETISCH-PEREZ: Object to the       13       done.         14       fm.       14       MS. DETISCH-PEREZ: Object to the       13         15       A. Yes.       15       still has questions. Sont. I was going       16         16       Q. Okay. Have you were heard that       16       to say both chain and bourd the pot plan?       18       requests.         17       bother time that M. Dondero Of       20       BV MR. RUKAVINA:       21       Q. Yes.         20       A. No, I had not heard that prior.       22       Isst biak about the pot plan?       18       requests.	2	the insurance claim made under HCMFA's policy?	2	A. I mean, generally, yes. You know,	
5       petition date – withritanni.       5       Again.1 dom't know who those went to         6       You were asked a couple of questions       6       utimately.1 dom't recail.         7       where - where you said that Mr. Dondero told       7       MR. MCRRIS. I have no further         8       you that he was asching zero value to the       9       patience.1 apploge for the late hour.         10       that the notes were part of executive       10       MS. DETSCH-PEREZ. John, you stay         11       compensation.       11       on about your email when –         12       Do I have that right?       12       MR. RUKAVINA: Kiddoin, fin not         13       MS. DETSCH-PEREZ. Oh, okay. Davor       15       A. Yes.       16       to say both John and Davor, could you stay         14       MS. DETSCH-PEREZ. Oh, okay. Davor       18       requests.       16       to say both John and Davor, could you stay         15       A. Yes.       19       FLEREZ KAMINATION       20       Conversation with Mr. Dondero?       20       BY MR. RUKAVINA:         21       Q. Ves.       Q. Was and the as the torino my       19       FURTHER EXAMINATION         22       A. No, Ihad not heard that prior.       22       testifying about a discussion you had with         23       Q. Oka	3	A. Yes.	3	we were asked to provide asset values, right,	
6       You were asked accupie of questions       6       utimately. I don't recall.         7       where – where you said that ML Donderotold       7       MR.MORRIS: I have no further         9       poils as part of a pot plan because he believed       9       patience. I applozize for the late hour.         10       that the notes were part of executive       10       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. John, you say         11       compensation.       11       on about your email when –         12       Do I have that right?       12       MR.RUKAVINA: Hold on, fm not         13       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. Oh, you say.       14       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. Oh, you, Davor         15       A. Yes.       15       Is a type.       16       to say both John and Davor, could you say         16       Q. Okay. Have you ever heard that       16       to say both John and Davor, could you say       17         17       before the time that M. Dondero to My ou that       17       on aflerwards just to taik about the       18       18       to say both John and Davor, could you say         10       A. Had I heard that prior to my       19       FURTHER EXAMINATION       20       DVaves       21       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, you were just now         22       Q. Okay. And that was in the contexi.       23       Mr. Dondero where	4	Q. Did HCMFA at any time prior to the	4	and he was having settlement discussions.	
7       Where – where you said that Mr. Dondero told       7       MR. MORRIS: I have no further guestions. Thank you very much for your         8       you that he was as othing zero value to the       8       guestions. Thank you very much for your         0       Index as part of executive       10       MS. DETSCH-PEREZ: Oh, you stay         10       that the notes were part of executive       10       MS. DETSCH-PEREZ: Oh, okay. Davor         13       MS. DETSCH-PEREZ: Oh, okay. Davor       15       A Yes.       15         14       form.       14       MS. DETSCH-PEREZ: Oh, okay. Davor         15       A Yes.       15       still has questions. Sony. I was going         16       Q. Okay. Have you ever heard that       16       to say both John and Davo; could you uslay         17       on alwareds just to laik about the       18       requests.         19       A. Had I heard that pior to my       19       FURTHER EXAMINATION         20       conversation with Mr. Dondero?       20       BY MR. RUKAVINA         21       Q. Yes.       21       Q. May. And that was in the context       23       Mr. Dondero where he said something like no         24       of its formulation of the settlement proposal;       24       Mr. Mondero?       25       Do you remember that settlemony?	5	petition date – withdrawn.	5	Again, I don't know who those went to	
8         you that he was asching zero value to the         8         questions. Thank you very much for your           9         notes as part of a pot plan bacause he believed         9         patience. Lapologize for the late hour.           10         Dist the notes were part of executive         10         MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. John, you stay           11         compensation.         11         on about your email when –           12         Do I have that right?         12         MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on, I'm not           13         MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. Oh, okay. Davor         13           14         form.         14         MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. Oh, okay. Davor           15         A. Yes.         13         notes asynth other and that plant the pot plan?         16           16         0. Okay. Heve you ever heard that         16         to say both.John and Davor, ooud you stay           17         before the time that Mr. Dondero Od you that         17         on afterwards just to tak about the           18         in the conversation about the pot plan?         18         requests.         19           20         A. Hoal I heard that prior.         22         A. No, I had not heard that prior.         22         BURKAWINA:           21         Q. Nexy. And that was in the conlex?         23         Mr. Dond	6	You were asked a couple of questions	6	ultimately. I don't recall.	
9         noise as part of a pot pan because he believed         9         patience. 1 apologize for the late hour.           10         that the noise were part of executive         10         MS. DETSCH-PEREZ. Joint west west west west west west west wes	7	where – where you said that Mr. Dondero told	7	MR. MORRIS: I have no further	
10       that the notes were part of executive       10       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, you stay         11       compensation.       11       on about your email when –         13       Do I have that right?       12       MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on, Tm not         14       form.       14       MR. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       13         15       AI Yes.       15       sill has questions. Sorn; I was going       16         16       Q. Okay, Have you ever heard that       16       to say both John and Davor, could you stay         17       before the time that Mr. Dondero told you that       16       to say both John and Davor, could you stay         18       in the conversation about the pot plan?       18       requests.       19         20       conversation about the pot plan?       18       requests.       10       Mr. WARMINATION         20       conversation about the pot plan?       19       FURTHER EXAMINATION       20       20       BY MR. RUKAVINA:         21       Q. Yes.       Conversation about the pot plan?       21       Q. Mr. WatterNouse, you were just now         22       A. No. I had not heard that prior.       22       East Min Yang       20       Wr. Wardenouse, you were just now         23       Q. Okay. And that was in the cont	8	you that he was ascribing zero value to the	8	questions. Thank you very much for your	
11       compensation.       11       on about your email when -         12       Do Thave that right?       12       MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on, I'm not         13       MS. DETSCH-PEREZ. Object to the       13       done.         14       form.       14       MS. DETSCH-PEREZ. Object to the         15       A. Yes.       15       still has questions. Sony. I was going       16         16       Q. Okay. Have you ever heard that       16       to say both John and Davor, could you stay         17       before the time that Mr. Dondero tod you that       17       on afterwards just to talk about the         18       in the conversation with M. Dondero?       20       BY MR. RUKAVINA:       22         20       Q. Ves.       21       Q. MR. RUKAVINA:       22       23       Mr. Dandero where he said something like no       24       more payments.         21       Q. Vres.       21       Q. Wres.       25       Do you remember that testimony?         23       Q. Okay. And that was in the context.       23       Mr. Dondero, had your office or you       3       done any estimate of what the alleged       4       oregregaments.       25       Do you remember for 2027         2       A. It was, I would say, first or second       5       MR. MCRRIS: Objection to	9	notes as part of a pot plan because he believed	9	patience. I apologize for the late hour.	
12       Do I have that right?       12       MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on, fm not         13       MS. DETISCH-PEREZ: Object to the       13       done.         14       form.       14       MS. DETISCH-PEREZ: Ob, okay. Davor         15       A. Yes.       15       still has questions. Sony. I was going         16       Q. Okay. Have you ever heard that       16       to say both John and Davor, ould you stay         17       on afterwards just to talk about the       no afterwards just to talk about the         18       inflex construction with Mr. Dondero lock you that       17       on afterwards just to talk about the         18       inflex construction with Mr. Dondero?       20       BY MR. RUKAVINA:       21       Q. May. And that was in the context         21       Q. Yes.       21       Q. May. And that was in the context       23       Mr. Valetrihouse, you were just now         22       A. No, I had not heard that prior.       22       testifying about a discussion you had with       23         23       Q. Okay. And that was in the context       23       Mr. Dondero where he said something like no       24         24       of his formulation of the settlement proposal;       25       Do you remember that testemony?       2         2       A. Yes.       1       WATERHOUSE	10	that the notes were part of executive	10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, you stay	
13       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       13       done.         14       form.       14       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       15         15       A. Yes.       15       still has questions. Sony. I was going         16       Q. Okay. Have you ever heard that       16       to say both John and Davor, could you stay         16       D. Okay. Have you ever heard that       16       to say both John and Davor, could you stay         17       before the time that M. Dondero killy ou that       17       on afterwards just to talk about the         18       in the conversation with Mr. Dondero?       20       BY MR. RUKANINA:         21       Q. Ves.       21       Q. Mr. Valathouse, you were just now         22       A. No, I had not heard that prior.       22       testifying about a discussion you had with         23       Q. Okay. And that was in the context       23       Mr. Dondero where he sait something like no         24       of his formulation of the settlement proposal;       24       more payments.       25       Do you remember that testimony?         2       A. Yes.       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you       3       done any estimate of what the alleged         4       early December of 2020?       4       Touepayments were?	11	compensation.	11	on about your email when –	
14       form.       14       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: On, okay. Davor         15       A. Yes.       15       still has questions. Sony. I was going         16       Q. Okay. Have you ever heard that       16       to say both John and Davor, could you stay         17       before the time that Mr. Dondero loid you that       17       on afterwards just to talk about the         18       in the conversation about the pot plan?       18       requests.         19       A. Had I heard that prior to my       19       FURTHER EXAMINATION         20       conversation with Mr. Dondero?       20       BY MR. RUKAVINA:         21       Q. Yes.       21       Q. Mr. Waterthouse, you were just now         22       A. No, I had not heard that prior.       22       testifying about a discussion you had with         23       Q. Okay. And that was in the context       23       Mr. Dondero where he said something like no         24       of his formulation of the settlement proposal;       25       Do you remember that testimony?         24       A. Yes.       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you         3       Q. Okay. And was that late November or       3       done any estimate of what the alleged         4       acting Doue recall whether -       7       M. Norkers: Objection	12	Do I have that right?	12	MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on, I'm not	
15       A. Yes.       15       still has questions. Sory. I was going         16       Q. Okay. Have you ever heard that       16       to say both John and Davor, could you stay         17       before the time that IN: Dondero told you that       17       on afterwards just to talk about the         18       in the conversation about the pot plan?       18       requests.         19       A. Had I heard that prior to my       19       FURTHER EXAMINATION         20       conversation with Mr. Dondero?       20       BY MR. RUKAVINA:         21       Q. Yes.       21       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, you were just now         22       A. No, I had notheard that prior.       22       testifying about a discussion you had with         23       Q. Okay. And that was in the context       23       Mr. Dondero where he said something like no         24       of his formulation of the settlement proposal;       24       more payments.         25       is hat right?       25       Do you remember that testimony?         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 388       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you       3       done any estimate of what the alleged         2       A. Yes.       early Decoemb	13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	13	done.	
15       A. Yes.       15       still has questions. Sory. I was going         16       Q. Okay. Have you ever heard that       16       to say both John and Davor, could you stay         17       before the time that IN: Dondero told you that       17       on afterwards just to talk about the         18       in the conversation about the pot plan?       18       requests.         19       A. Had I heard that prior to my       19       FURTHER EXAMINATION         20       conversation with Mr. Dondero?       20       BY MR. RUKAVINA:         21       Q. Yes.       21       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, you were just now         22       A. No, I had notheard that prior.       22       testifying about a discussion you had with         23       Q. Okay. And that was in the context       23       Mr. Dondero where he said something like no         24       of his formulation of the settlement proposal;       24       more payments.         25       is hat right?       25       Do you remember that testimony?         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 388       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you       3       done any estimate of what the alleged         2       A. Yes.       early Decoemb	14	form.	14	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, okay. Davor	
16       Q. Okay. Have you ever heard that       16       to say both John and Davor, could you stay         17       before the time that Mr. Dondero totl you that       17       on afterwards just to talk about the         18       in the conversation about the pot plan?       18       requests.         20       conversation with Mr. Dondero tor my       19       FURTHER EXAMINATION         20       conversation with Mr. Dondero?       20       BY MR. RUKAVINA:         21       Q. Yes.       21       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, you were just now         22       A. No, I had not heard that prior.       22       testifying about a discussion you had with         23       Q. Okay. And that was in the context       23       Mr. Dondero where he said something like no         24       of his formulation of the settlement proposal;       25       Do you remember that testimony?         2       A. Yes.       2       laked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you         3       Q. Okay. And was that late November or       3       do ene ary estimate of what the alleged         4       early December of 2020?       5       MR. MORRNS: Objection the form         6       week of November.       6       of the question.       7         7       Q. Okay. Do you recall whether –       8 <t< td=""><td>15</td><td>A. Yes.</td><td>15</td><td>-</td><td></td></t<>	15	A. Yes.	15	-	
17       before the time that Mr. Dondero told you that       17       on afterwards just to talk about the         18       in the conversation about the pot plan?       18       requests.         19       A. Had I heard that prior to my       19       FURTHER EXAMINATION         20       conversation with Mr. Dondero?       20       BY MR. RUKAVINA:       21       Q. Wr. Vaterhouse, you were just now         21       Q. Yes.       21       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, you were just now       22       testifying about a discussion you had with         23       Q. Okay. And that was in the context       23       Mr. Onderon where he said something like no         24       of his formulation of the settlement proposal;       25       Do you remember that testimony?         2       Laked to Mr. Dondero, had you office or you       3       done any estimate of what the alleged         4       early Decomber of 2020?       4       overpayments were?       5         5       A. It was, I would say, first or second       6       Mr. MORRIS: Objection to the form         6       detex of November.       7       A. Yes, we had - there was a - there         8       whenever you had that discussion, whether       9       by David Klos at the time.         10       detabtor anymore at that time.       12       <	1	Q. Okay. Have you ever heard that			
18       in the conversation about the pot plan?       18       requests.         19       A. Had I heard that prior to my       19       FURTHER EXAMINATION         20       conversation with Mr. Dondero?       20       BY MR. RUKAVINA:         21       Q. Yes.       21       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, you were just now         22       A. No, I had not heard that prior.       22       testifying about a discussion you had with         23       Q. Okay. And that was in the context       23       Mr. Dondero where he said something like no         24       of his formulation of the settlement proposal;       24       more payments.       25         25       is that right?       24       more payments.       25         24       A. Yes.       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you       3         3       Q. Okay. And was that late November or       3       done any estimate of what the alleged       4       outry payments were?         5       A. It was, I would say, first or second       5       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       6       ofter question.       7       A. Yes, we had -there was a - there       8       was a detailed analysis that was put together       9       by David Klos at the time.       10       Q. And do you recall what finc.       10       Q. And do y	1				
19       A. Had I heard that prior to my       19       FURTHER EXAMINATION         20       conversation with Mr. Dondero?       20       BY MR. RUKAVINk:         21       Q. Yes.       21       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, you were just now         22       A. No, I had not heard that prior.       22       testifying about a discussion you had with         23       Q. Okay. And that was in the context       23       Mr. Dondero where he said something like no         24       of his formulation of the settlement proposal;       25       Do you remember that testimony?         25       is that right?       25       Do you remember that testimony?         2       A. Yes.       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you         3       Q. Okay. And was that late November or       3       done any estimate of what the alleged         4       early Decomber of 2020?       4       overpayments were?         5       A. It was, I would say, first or second       5       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         6       wetk of November.       7       A. Yes, we had - three was a - there         8       whenevery out had that discussion, whether       9       by David Klos at the time.         10       dobtor?       10       Q. And doy ourecall just generally	1	•		-	
20       conversation with Mr. Dondero?       20       BY MR. RUKAVINA:         21       Q. Yes.       21       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, you were just now         22       A. No, I had not heard that prior.       22       testifying about a discussion you had with         23       Q. Okay. And that was in the context       23       Mr. Dondero where he said something like no         24       of his formulation of the settlement proposal;       24       more payments.         25       is that right?       25       Do you remember that testimony?         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 388       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you         3       Q. Okay. And was that late November or       3       done any estimate of what the alleged         4       early December of 2020?       4       overpayments were?       5         5       A. It was, I would say, first or second       6       of the question.       7         7       Q. Okay. Do you recall whether -       8       was a detialed analysis that was put together         9       Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the       9       by David Klos at the time.       10       Q. And your crealily st generally         11       <	19			-	
21       Q. Yes.       21       Q. Mr. Waterhouse, you were just now         22       A. No, I had not heard that prior.       22       testfying about a discussion you had with         23       Q. Okay. And that was in the context       23       Mr. Dondero where he said something like no         24       of his formulation of the settlement proposal;       24       more payments.       25       Do you remember that testimony?         25       is that right?       Page 388       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 389         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you       3       done any estimate of what the alleged         2       A. Yes.       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you       3       done any estimate of what the alleged         4       early December of 2020?       4       overpayments were?       5       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         6       of the question.       7       A. Yes, we had — there was a – there       8       was a detailed analysis that was put together         9       Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the       10       Q. And do you recall just generally         11       A. Yes, I – I believe he was not an       11       what the total amount for both advisors of the         12	1				
22       A. No, I had not heard that prior.       22       testifying about a discussion you had with         23       Q. Okay. And that was in the context       23       Mr. Dondero where he said something like no         24       of his formulation of the settlement proposal;       24       more payments.         25       is that right?       25       Do you remember that testimony?         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 388       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you       3         3       Q. Okay. And was that late November or       3       done any estimated of what the alleged       4         4       early December of 2020?       5       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       6       of the question.         7       Q. Okay. Do you recall whether –       7       A. Yes, we had – there was a – there         8       whenever you had that discussion, whether       9       by David Klos at the time.       10       Q. And do you recall just generally         11       A. Yes, I – I believe he was not an       11       what the total amount for both advisors of the         12       employee of the debtor anymore at that time.       12       overpayments was?         13       Q. And when you were discu	1				
23       Q. Okay. And that was in the context       23       Mr. Dondero where he said something like no         24       of his formulation of the settlement proposal;       25       Do you remember that testimony?         25       is that right?       25       Do you remember that testimony?         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 388       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 389         2       A. Yes.       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you       3       done any estimate of what the alleged         3       Q. Okay. And was that late November or       3       done any estimate of what the alleged       4         4       early December of 2020?       4       overpayments were?       5       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         6       week of November.       7       A. Yes, we had – there was a – there       8         8       whenever you had that discussion, whether       8       was a detailed analysis that was put together         9       Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,       10       Q. And doy ou recail just generally         11       A. Yes, I – I believe he was not an       11       what the total amount for both advisors of the         12       employee of the debtor anymore at that time.       12       overpayments was? <t< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	1				
24       of his formulation of the settlement proposal;       24       more payments.         25       is that right?       25       Do you remember that testimony?         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 389         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you         3       Q. Okay. And was that tate November or       3       done any estimate of what the alleged         4       early December of 2020?       4       overpayments were?         5       A. It was, I would say, first or second       5       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         6       week of November.       7       A. Yes, we had - there was a - there         8       was a detailed analysis that was put together       9         9       Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the       9       by David Klos at the time.         10       Q. And when you were discussing this       13       A. It was in excess of \$10 million.         14       with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,       14       Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?         15       you were discussing the two shared services       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       agreements we testified - you testified about       17       A	1				
25       is that right?       25       Do you remember that testimony?         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 388       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 389         2       A. Yes.       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you       3       done any estimate of what the alleged       4         4       early December of 2020?       4       overpayments were?       5       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       6       off the question.       7       A. Yes, we had - there was a - there         8       whenever you had that discussion, whether       9       by David Klos at the time.       1       what the total amount for both advisors of the         9       Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the       10       Q. And do you recall just generally       11       what the total amount for both advisors of the         12       employee of the debtor anymore at that time.       13       Q. Was it in excess of \$10 million.       14         14       with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,       14       Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?         15       you were discussing this       14       Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?         15       you were discussing the two shared services       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       agreements we testifi	1	-		_	
Page 388       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       Page 388         1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you         3       Q. Okay. And was that late November or       3       done any estimate of what the alleged         4       early December of 2020?       4       overpayments were?       5         5       A. It was, I would say, first or second       6       of the question.       7         7       Q. Okay. Do you recall whether –       8       was a detailed analysis that was put together       9         9       Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the       9       by David Klos at the time.       10       Q. And do you recall just generally         11       A. Yes, I – I believe he was not an       11       what the total amount for both advisors of the         12       employee of the debtor anymore at that time.       12       overpayments was?         13       Q. And when you were discussing this       13       A. It was in excess of \$10 million.         14       with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,       14       Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?         15       you were discussing the two shared services       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       afgreements and employee reimbursement       16 <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	1				
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21       1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. Yes.       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you         3       Q. Okay. And was that late November or       3       done any estimate of what the alleged         4       early December of 2020?       4       overpayments were?         5       A. It was, I would say, first or second       6       oft the question.         6       week of November.       7       A. Yes, we had - there was a - there         7       Q. Okay. Do you recall whether -       8       was a detailed analysis that was put together         9       Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the       9       by David Klos at the time.         10       exployee of the debtor anymore at that time.       11       what the total amount for both advisors of the         12       employee of the debtor anymore at that time.       13       A. It was in excess of \$10 million.         14       with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,       14       Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?         15       you were discussing this       13       A. It was in excess of \$14 million?         15       you were discussing the two shared services       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       agreements and employee reimbursem		-			
2       A. Yes.       2       talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you         3       Q. Okay. And was that late November or       3       done any estimate of what the alleged         4       early December of 2020?       4       overpayments were?         5       A. It was, I would say, first or second       5       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         6       week of November.       7       A. Yes, we had – there was a – there         8       whenever you had that discussion, whether       8       was a detailed analysis that was put together         9       Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the       9       by David Klos at the time.       10         10       debtor?       10       Q. And do you recall just generally         11       A. Yes, I – I believe he was not an       11       what the total amount for both advisors of the         12       employee of the debtor anymore at that time.       12       overpayments was?         13       Q. And when you were discussing this       13       A. It was in excess of \$10 million.         14       with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,       14       Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?         15       you were discussing the two shared services       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       agre	1		1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 389
3       Q. Okay. And was that late November or       3       done any estimate of what the alleged         4       early December of 2020?       4       overpayments were?         5       A. It was, I would say, first or second       5       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         6       week of November.       7       A. Yes, we had - there was a - there         8       whenever you had that discussion, whether       8       was a detailed analysis that was put together         9       Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the       9       by David Klos at the time.       10         10       debtor?       10       Q. And do you recall just generally       11         11       A. Yes, I – I believe he was not an       11       what the total amount for both advisors of the         12       employee of the debtor anymore at that time.       12       overpayments was?         13       Q. And when you were discussing this       13       A. It was in excess of \$10 million.         14       with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,       14       Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?         15       you were discussing the two shared services       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       agreements and employee reimbursement       16       of the question.       17	1		2		
4       early December of 2020?       4       overpayments were?         5       A. It was, I would say, first or second       5       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         6       week of November.       7       A. Okay. Do you recall whether –       8         8       whenever you had that discussion, whether       8       was a detailed analysis that was put together         9       Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the       9       by David Klos at the time.         10       debtor?       10       Q. And do you recall just generally         11       A. Yes, I – I believe he was not an       11       what the total amount for both advisors of the         12       employee of the debtor anymore at that time.       12       overpayments was?         13       Q. And when you were discussing this       13       A. It was in excess of \$10 million.         14       with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,       14       Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?         15       you were discussing the two shared services       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       agreements and employee reimbursement       16       of the question.       17         18       before; is that correct?       18       eight-figure number. I don't remember         19	1				
5Å. It was, I would say, first or second5MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form6week of November.6of the question.7Q. Okay. Do you recall whether –8was a detailed analysis that was put together9Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the9by David Klos at the time.10debtor?10Q. And do you recall just generally11A. Yes, I – I believe he was not an11what the total amount for both advisors of the12employee of the debtor anymore at that time.12overpayments was?13Q. And when you were discussing this13A. It was it in excess of \$10 million.14with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,14Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?15you were discussing the two shared services15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16agreements and employee reimbursement16of the question.17agreements we testified – you testified about17A. I – I remember it was an18before; is that correct?19specifically.20of the question.20Q. Okay. And did you convey that21A. That is correct.21number to Mr. Dondero when you had that22Q. And had your office or you – and we22conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?	Ι.	•			
6       week of November.       6       of the question.         7       Q. Okay. Do you recall whether –       8       was a detailed analysis that was put together         9       Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the       9       by David Klos at the time.         10       debtor?       10       Q. And do you recall just generally         11       A. Yes, I – I believe he was not an       11       what the total amount for both advisors of the         12       employee of the debtor anymore at that time.       12       overpayments was?         13       Q. And when you were discussing this       13       A. It was in excess of \$10 million.         14       with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,       14       Q. Was it in excess of \$10 million?         15       you were discussing the two shared services       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       of the question.       17       A. I – I remember it was an         18       before; is that correct?       18       eight-figure number. I don't remember         19       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       20       Q. Okay. And did you convey that         21       A. That is correct.       21       number to Mr. Dondero when you had that         22       Q. And had your office or you – and we       22	1				
7Q. Okay. Do you recall whether –7A. Yes, we had – there was a – there8whenever you had that discussion, whether8was a detailed analysis that was put together9Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the9by David Klos at the time.10debtor?10Q. And do you recall just generally11A. Yes, I – I believe he was not an11what the total amount for both advisors of the12employee of the debtor anymore at that time.12overpayments was?13Q. And when you were discussing this13A. It was in excess of \$10 million.14with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,14Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?15you were discussing the two shared services15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16agreements and employee reimbursement16of the question.17agreements we testified – you testified about17A. I – I remember it was an18before; is that correct?18eight-figure number. I don't remember19MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form19specifically.20of the question.20Q. Okay. And did you convey that21A. That is correct.21number to Mr. Dondero when you had that22Q. And had your office or you – and we22conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?	1			-	
8       whenever you had that discussion, whether       8       was a detailed analysis that was put together         9       Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the       9       by David Klos at the time.         10       debtor?       10       Q. And do you recall just generally         11       A. Yes, I – I believe he was not an       11       what the total amount for both advisors of the         12       employee of the debtor anymore at that time.       12       overpayments was?         13       Q. And when you were discussing this       13       A. It was in excess of \$10 million.         14       with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,       14       Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?         15       you were discussing the two shared services       15       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form         16       agreements and employee reimbursement       16       of the question.         17       A. I – I remember it was an         18       before; is that correct?       18       eight-figure number. I don't remember         19       MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form       19       specifically.         20       of the question.       20       Q. Okay. And did you convey that         21       A. That is correct.       21       number to Mr. Dondero when you had that </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>•</td> <td></td>			-	•	
9Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the9by David Klos at the time.10debtor?10Q. And do you recall just generally11A. Yes, I – I believe he was not an11what the total amount for both advisors of the12employee of the debtor anymore at that time.12overpayments was?13Q. And when you were discussing this13A. It was in excess of \$10 million.14with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,14Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?15you were discussing the two shared services15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16agreements and employee reimbursement16of the question.17agreements we testified – you testified about17A. I – I remember it was an18before; is that correct?19specifically.20of the question.20Q. Okay. And did you convey that21A. That is correct.21number to Mr. Dondero when you had that22Q. And had your office or you – and we22conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?					
10debtor?10Q. And do you recall just generally11A. Yes, I – I believe he was not an11what the total amount for both advisors of the12employee of the debtor anymore at that time.12overpayments was?13Q. And when you were discussing this13A. It was in excess of \$10 million.14with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,14Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?15you were discussing the two shared services15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16agreements and employee reimbursement16of the question.17agreements we testified – you testified about17A. I – I remember it was an18before; is that correct?18eight-figure number. I don't remember19MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form19specifically.20of the question.20Q. Okay. And did you convey that21A. That is correct.21number to Mr. Dondero when you had that22Q. And had your office or you – and we22conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?		-			
11A. Yes, I – I believe he was not an11what the total amount for both advisors of the12employee of the debtor anymore at that time.12overpayments was?13Q. And when you were discussing this13A. It was in excess of \$10 million.14with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,14Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?15you were discussing the two shared services15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16agreements and employee reimbursement16of the question.17agreements we testified – you testified about17A. I – I remember it was an18before; is that correct?18eight-figure number. I don't remember19MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form19specifically.20of the question.20Q. Okay. And did you convey that21A. That is correct.21number to Mr. Dondero when you had that22Q. And had your office or you – and we22conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?	1				
12employee of the debtor anymore at that time.12overpayments was?13Q. And when you were discussing this13A. It was in excess of \$10 million.14with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,14Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?15you were discussing the two shared services15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16agreements and employee reimbursement16of the question.17agreements we testified – you testified about17A. I – I remember it was an18before; is that correct?18eight-figure number. I don't remember19MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form19specifically.20of the question.20Q. Okay. And did you convey that21A. That is correct.21number to Mr. Dondero when you had that22Q. And had your office or you – and we22conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?					
13Q. And when you were discussing this13A. It was in excess of \$10 million.14with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,14Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?15you were discussing the two shared services15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16agreements and employee reimbursement16of the question.17agreements we testified – you testified about17A. I – I remember it was an18before; is that correct?18eight-figure number. I don't remember19MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form19specifically.20of the question.20Q. Okay. And did you convey that21A. That is correct.21number to Mr. Dondero when you had that22Q. And had your office or you – and we22conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?	1				
14with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments, you were discussing the two shared services14Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?15you were discussing the two shared services15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16agreements and employee reimbursement16of the question.17agreements we testified – you testified about17A. I – I remember it was an18before; is that correct?18eight-figure number. I don't remember19MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form19specifically.20of the question.20Q. Okay. And did you convey that21A. That is correct.21number to Mr. Dondero when you had that22Q. And had your office or you – and we22conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?	1				
15you were discussing the two shared services15MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form16agreements and employee reimbursement16of the question.17agreements we testified – you testified about17A. I – I remember it was an18before; is that correct?18eight-figure number. I don't remember19MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form19specifically.20of the question.20Q. Okay. And did you convey that21A. That is correct.21number to Mr. Dondero when you had that22Q. And had your office or you – and we22conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?	1			-	
16agreements and employee reimbursement16of the question.17agreements we testified – you testified about17A. I – I remember it was an18before; is that correct?18eight-figure number. I don't remember19MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form19specifically.20of the question.20Q. Okay. And did you convey that21A. That is correct.21number to Mr. Dondero when you had that22Q. And had your office or you – and we22conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?	I				
17agreements we testified – you testified about17A. I – I remember it was an18before; is that correct?18eight-figure number. I don't remember19MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form19specifically.20of the question.20Q. Okay. And did you convey that21A. That is correct.21number to Mr. Dondero when you had that22Q. And had your office or you – and we22conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?	1			-	
18before; is that correct?18eight-figure number. I don't remember19MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form19specifically.20of the question.20Q. Okay. And did you convey that21A. That is correct.21number to Mr. Dondero when you had that22Q. And had your office or you – and we22conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?	1			•	
19MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form19specifically.20of the question.20Q. Okay. And did you convey that21A. That is correct.21number to Mr. Dondero when you had that22Q. And had your office or you – and we22conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?	1	•			
20of the question.20Q.Okay. And did you convey that21A.That is correct.21number to Mr. Dondero when you had that22Q.And had your office or you – and we22conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A.Yes.24administrative claim.24Q.What was his reaction?	1				
21A. That is correct.21number to Mr. Dondero when you had that22Q. And had your office or you and we22conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?	1	-			
22Q. And had your office or you – and we22 conversation?23will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?	1				
23 will talk at a future deposition about the23A. Yes.24 administrative claim.24Q. What was his reaction?	1			-	
24 administrative claim.   24   Q.   What was his reaction?	1				
	1				
	1				
	Ľ				

Page 390	Page 391
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Q. Is it fair to say he was upset?	2 word "NexPoint" when he was saying don't make
3 A. Yes.	3 these payments?
4 Q. Did Mr. Dondero ever expressly tell	4 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
5 you to not have NexPoint make the required	5 of the question, asked and answered.
6 December 31, 2020, payment?	6 A. Yeah, we were we were discussing
7 A. Yes, I recall him saying don't make	7 advisor obligations. So it was - you know, it
8 the payment because it was being negotiated, as	8 was just obligations from the advisors.
9 I discussed with Mr. Morris, this offset	9 And – and he specifically talked
10 concept. So there were obligations due by the	10 about the NexPoint payment as well.
11 advisors to Highland, they should be offset	11 Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that
12 that – you know, those obligations should be	12 he expressly told you not to make that NexPoint
13 offset by this by this overpayment.	13 December 31 payment?
14 Q. And when did he tell you that?	14 MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and
15 A. I would say I would say around	15 answered twice.
16 probably December – December-ish.	16 A. Yes, he – he did, during that
17 Q. Early December, late December?	17 conversation.
18 A. I don't recall with as much	18 Q. And did you ever follow up with him
19 specificity as – as – as – as stopping the	19 after that about whether NexPoint should or
20 shared services payments, because we had	20 shouldn't make that payment?
21 actually made one shared services payment in	21 A. I did not.
22 November. So that is why I need to remember	22 Q. Did you ever, on or about
23 that one more clearly. I don't remember where	23 December 31, 2020, remind him and say, hey,
24 exactly in December that conversation occurred.	24 this payment is due, what shall I what
25 Q. Did Mr. Dondero expressly use the	25 should I do?
Page 392	Page 393
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1         WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21           2         A.         I did not.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A.I did not.3Q.So sitting here today, you you	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. So sitting here today, you – you</li> <li>remember distinctly that Dondero in December of</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A.I did not.3Q.So sitting here today, you you4remember distinctly that Dondero in December of52020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. So sitting here today, you you</li> <li>remember distinctly that Dondero in December of</li> <li>2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint</li> <li>make that payment?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. So sitting here today, you – you</li> <li>remember distinctly that Dondero in December of</li> <li>2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint</li> <li>make that payment?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. So sitting here today, you you</li> <li>remember distinctly that Dondero in December of</li> <li>2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint</li> <li>make that payment?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A.       I did not.         3       Q.       So sitting here today, you you         4       remember distinctly that Dondero in December of         5       2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint         6       make that payment?         7       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         8       answered three times.         9       A.         Yes.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A.       I did not.         3       Q.       So sitting here today, you – you         4       remember distinctly that Dondero in December of         5       2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint         6       make that payment?         7       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         8       answered three times.         9       A.         10       Q.         Can you say categorically it wasn't	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe - yes, because we - I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> <li>still has records of conversations from</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. So sitting here today, you – you</li> <li>remember distinctly that Dondero in December of</li> <li>2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint</li> <li>make that payment?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answered three times.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you say categorically it wasn't</li> <li>just some general discussion where he told you</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. So sitting here today, you – you</li> <li>remember distinctly that Dondero in December of</li> <li>2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint</li> <li>make that payment?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answered three times.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you say categorically it wasn't</li> <li>just some general discussion where he told you</li> <li>not to make payments?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe - yes, because we - I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> <li>still has records of conversations from</li> <li>December 2020 on it?</li> <li>A. My call log doesn't go back that</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A.       I did not.         3       Q.       So sitting here today, you – you         4       remember distinctly that Dondero in December of         5       2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint         6       make that payment?         7       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         8       answered three times.         9       A.         10       Q.         Q.       Can you say categorically it wasn't         11       just some general discussion where he told you	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe - yes, because we - I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> <li>still has records of conversations from</li> <li>December 2020 on it?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. So sitting here today, you – you</li> <li>remember distinctly that Dondero in December of</li> <li>2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint</li> <li>make that payment?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answered three times.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you say categorically it wasn't</li> <li>just some general discussion where he told you</li> <li>not to make payments?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> <li>still has records of conversations from</li> <li>December 2020 on it?</li> <li>A. My call log doesn't go back that</li> <li>far.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A.I did not.3Q.So sitting here today, you you4remember distinctly that Dondero in December of52020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint6make that payment?7MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and8answered three times.9A.9A.10Q.Q.Can you say categorically it wasn't11just some general discussion where he told you12not to make payments?13MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> <li>still has records of conversations from</li> <li>December 2020 on it?</li> <li>A. My call log doesn't go back that</li> <li>far.</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. I did not.         3       Q. So sitting here today, you – you         4       remember distinctly that Dondero in December of         5       2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint         6       make that payment?         7       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         8       answered three times.         9       A. Yes.         10       Q. Can you say categorically it wasn't         11       just some general discussion where he told you         12       not to make payments?         13       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         14       answer four times.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> <li>still has records of conversations from</li> <li>December 2020 on it?</li> <li>A. My call log doesn't go back that</li> <li>far.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> </ol>
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A. I did not.3Q. So sitting here today, you you4remember distinctly that Dondero in December of52020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint6make that payment?7MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and8answered three times.9A. Yes.10Q. Can you say categorically it wasn't11just some general discussion where he told you12not to make payments?13MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and14answer four times.15MR. HORN: Four times now. Go for	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> <li>still has records of conversations from</li> <li>December 2020 on it?</li> <li>A. My call log doesn't go back that</li> <li>far.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: I will pass the</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. I did not.         3       Q. So sitting here today, you – you         4       remember distinctly that Dondero in December of         5       2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint         6       make that payment?         7       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         8       answered three times.         9       A. Yes.         10       Q. Can you say categorically it wasn't         11       just some general discussion where he told you         12       not to make payments?         13       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         14       answer four times.         15       MR. HORN: Four times now. Go for         16       five.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> <li>still has records of conversations from</li> <li>December 2020 on it?</li> <li>A. My call log doesn't go back that</li> <li>far.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: I will pass the</li> <li>witness.</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. I did not.         3       Q. So sitting here today, you – you         4       remember distinctly that Dondero in December of         5       2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint         6       make that payment?         7       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         8       answered three times.         9       A. Yes.         10       Q. Can you say categorically it wasn't         11       just some general discussion where he told you         12       not to make payments?         13       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         14       answer four times.         15       MR. HORN: Four times now. Go for         16       five.         17       A. Yes.         18       Q. Did you tell Mr. Seery that?         19       A. I don't believe I did. I don't	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> <li>still has records of conversations from</li> <li>December 2020 on it?</li> <li>A. My call log doesn't go back that</li> <li>far.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: I will pass the</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Just a couple</li> <li>quick questions.</li> <li>FURTHER EXAMINATION</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. I did not.         3       Q. So sitting here today, you – you         4       remember distinctly that Dondero in December of         5       2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint         6       make that payment?         7       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         8       answered three times.         9       A. Yes.         10       Q. Can you say categorically it wasn't         11       just some general discussion where he told you         12       not to make payments?         13       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         14       answer four times.         15       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         14       answer four times.         15       MR. HORN: Four times now. Go for         16       five.         17       A. Yes.         18       Q. Did you tell Mr. Seery that?         19       A. I don't believe I did. I don't         20       recall.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> <li>still has records of conversations from</li> <li>December 2020 on it?</li> <li>A. My call log doesn't go back that</li> <li>far.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: I will pass the</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Just a couple</li> <li>quick questions.</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. I did not.         3       Q. So sitting here today, you – you         4       remember distinctly that Dondero in December of         5       2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint         6       make that payment?         7       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         8       answered three times.         9       A. Yes.         10       Q. Can you say categorically it wasn't         11       just some general discussion where he told you         12       not to make payments?         13       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         14       answer four times.         15       MR. HORN: Four times now. Go for         16       five.         17       A. Yes.         18       Q. Did you tell Mr. Seery that?         19       A. I don't believe I did. I don't	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> <li>still has records of conversations from</li> <li>December 2020 on it?</li> <li>A. My call log doesn't go back that</li> <li>far.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: I will pass the</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Just a couple</li> <li>quick questions.</li> <li>FURTHER EXAMINATION</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. So sitting here today, you – you</li> <li>remember distinctly that Dondero in December of</li> <li>2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint</li> <li>make that payment?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answered three times.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you say categorically it wasn't</li> <li>just some general discussion where he told you</li> <li>not to make payments?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answer four times.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answer four times.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answer four times.</li> <li>MR. HORN: Four times now. Go for</li> <li>five.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell Mr. Seery that?</li> <li>A. I don't believe I did. I don't</li> <li>recall.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> <li>still has records of conversations from</li> <li>December 2020 on it?</li> <li>A. My call log doesn't go back that</li> <li>far.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: I will pass the</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Just a couple</li> <li>quick questions.</li> <li>FURTHER EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ:</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. So sitting here today, you – you</li> <li>remember distinctly that Dondero in December of</li> <li>2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint</li> <li>make that payment?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answered three times.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you say categorically it wasn't</li> <li>just some general discussion where he told you</li> <li>not to make payments?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answer four times.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answer four times.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answer four times.</li> <li>MR. HORN: Four times now. Go for</li> <li>five.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell Mr. Seery that?</li> <li>A. I don't believe I did. I don't</li> <li>Q. And was this an in-person discussion</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> <li>still has records of conversations from</li> <li>December 2020 on it?</li> <li>A. My call log doesn't go back that</li> <li>far.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: I will pass the</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Just a couple</li> <li>quick questions.</li> <li>FURTHER EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ:</li> <li>Q. With respect to HCRE and HCMS, am I</li> </ol>
1       WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21         2       A. I did not.         3       Q. So sitting here today, you – you         4       remember distinctly that Dondero in December of         5       2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint         6       make that payment?         7       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         8       answered three times.         9       A. Yes.         10       Q. Can you say categorically it wasn't         11       just some general discussion where he told you         12       not to make payments?         13       MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and         14       answer four times.         15       MR. HORN: Four times now. Go for         16       five.         17       A. Yes.         18       Q. Did you tell Mr. Seery that?         19       A. I don't believe I did. I don't         20       recall.         21       Q. And was this an in-person discussion         22       or telephone or email? Do you remember?         23       A. This was a phone – a phone         24       conversation.	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe – yes, because we – I</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> <li>still has records of conversations from</li> <li>December 2020 on it?</li> <li>A. My call log doesn't go back that</li> <li>far.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: I will pass the</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Just a couple</li> <li>quick questions.</li> <li>FURTHER EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ:</li> <li>Q. With respect to HCRE and HCMS, am I</li> <li>correct there was – there was no direction not</li> <li>to pay those loan payments?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>A. I did not.</li> <li>Q. So sitting here today, you – you</li> <li>remember distinctly that Dondero in December of</li> <li>2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint</li> <li>make that payment?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answered three times.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you say categorically it wasn't</li> <li>just some general discussion where he told you</li> <li>not to make payments?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answer four times.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and</li> <li>answer four times.</li> <li>MR. HORN: Four times now. Go for</li> <li>five.</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did you tell Mr. Seery that?</li> <li>A. I don't believe I did. I don't</li> <li>recall.</li> <li>Q. And was this an in-person discussion</li> <li>or telephone or email? Do you remember?</li> <li>A. This was a phone – a phone</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21</li> <li>on your cell phone of when that conversation</li> <li>might have taken place?</li> <li>I'm sorry, strike that.</li> <li>Was that by cell phone?</li> <li>A. I believe yes, because weI</li> <li>was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.</li> <li>All I have is my cell phone.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether your cell phone</li> <li>still has records of conversations from</li> <li>December 2020 on it?</li> <li>A. My call log doesn't go back that</li> <li>far.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you.</li> <li>MR. RUKAVINA: I will pass the</li> <li>witness.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Just a couple</li> <li>quick questions.</li> <li>FURTHER EXAMINATION</li> <li>BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ:</li> <li>Q. With respect to HCRE and HCMS, am I</li> <li>correct there was - there was no direction not</li> <li>to pay those loan payments?</li> </ol>

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-3 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 101 of 131

	Page 394			Page 395
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	0
2	A. Yes, I don't recall having	2	Thank you, Mr. Waterhouse. We appreciate	
3	conversations about, you know, those – those	3	your time. I am logging off the discussion	
4	entities.	4	and I will talk to y'all tomorrow.	
5	Q. And, in fact, what was the tone that	5	MR. MORRIS: Super.	
6	Mr. Dondero had when he talked to you about the	6	VIDEOGRAPHER: If there are no	
7	fact that HCRE and HCMS payments hadn't been	7	further questions, this ends the	
8	made when he found out that they hadn't been	8	deposition – excuse me. This ends the	
9	paid?	9	deposition, and we are going off the record	
10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	10	at 7:30 p.m.	
11	MR. MORRIS: Objection to form.	11	(Deposition concluded at 7:30 p.m.)	
12	Q. What was the tone he took with you?	12	(Deposition concluded at 7.50 p.m.)	
	A. Oh, it was – it was – it was – it	12		
13				
14	was very negative. I mean, I think he cursed	14	FRANK WATERHOUSE	
15	at me and he doesn't usually curse.	15		
16	Q. Okay. And in your mind, is that	16	Subscribed and sworn to before me	
17	consistent with the fact that he was surprised	17	this day of 2021.	
18	that those payments hadn't been made?	18		
19	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	19		
20	of the question.	20		
21	A. Yes.	21		
22	Q. Okay. Thank you.	22		
23	MR. MORRIS: I have nothing further.	23		
24	Thank you so much, Mr. Waterhouse.	24		
25	MR. HORN: I have no questions.	25		
	Page 396			Page 397
1	Page 396 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 397
1 2			WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital	Page 397
	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21			Page 397
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	2	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital	Page 397
2 3	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE	2 3 4	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021	Page 397
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand	2 3 4	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse	Page 397
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do	2 3 4 5	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes:	Page 397
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify:	2 3 4 5 6	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record.	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital</li> <li>DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021</li> <li>NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse</li> <li>Reason Codes: <ol> <li>To clarify the record.</li> <li>To conform to the facts.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital</li> <li>DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021</li> <li>NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse</li> <li>Reason Codes: <ol> <li>To clarify the record.</li> <li>To conform to the facts.</li> <li>To correct transcription errors.</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital</li> <li>DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021</li> <li>NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse</li> <li>Reason Codes: <ol> <li>To clarify the record.</li> <li>To conform to the facts.</li> <li>To correct transcription errors.</li> </ol> </li> <li>Page Line Reason</li> </ul>	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto Fromto	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th of October, 2021.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	NAME OF CASE:       In re:       Highland Capital         DATE OF DEPOSITION:       October 19, 2021         NAME OF WITNESS:       Frank Waterhouse         Reason Codes:       1.         1.       To clarify the record.         2.       To conform to the facts.         3.       To correct transcription errors.         PageLineReason         Fromto	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th of October, 2021.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	NAME OF CASE:       In re:       Highland Capital         DATE OF DEPOSITION:       October 19, 2021         NAME OF WITNESS:       Frank Waterhouse         Reason Codes:       1         1.       To clarify the record.         2.       To conform to the facts.         3.       To correct transcription errors.         PageLineReason         Fromto	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th of October, 2021.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	NAME OF CASE:       In re:       Highland Capital         DATE OF DEPOSITION:       October 19, 2021         NAME OF WITNESS:       Frank Waterhouse         Reason Codes:       1.       To clarify the record.         2.       To conform to the facts.       3.         3.       To correct transcription errors.         PageLineReason         Fromto	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th of October, 2021.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NAME OF CASE:       In re:       Highland Capital         DATE OF DEPOSITION:       October 19, 2021         NAME OF WITNESS:       Frank Waterhouse         Reason Codes:       1.         1.       To clarify the record.         2.       To conform to the facts.         3.       To correct transcription errors.         PageLineReason	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th of October, 2021.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NAME OF CASE:       In re:       Highland Capital         DATE OF DEPOSITION:       October 19, 2021         NAME OF WITNESS:       Frank Waterhouse         Reason Codes:       1         1.       To clarify the record.         2.       To conform to the facts.         3.       To correct transcription errors.         PageLineReason	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th of October, 2021.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	NAME OF CASE:       In re:       Highland Capital         DATE OF DEPOSITION:       October 19, 2021         NAME OF WITNESS:       Frank Waterhouse         Reason Codes:       1.         1.       To clarify the record.         2.       To conform to the facts.         3.       To correct transcription errors.         PageLineReason	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th of October, 2021.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NAME OF CASE:       In re:       Highland Capital         DATE OF DEPOSITION:       October 19, 2021         NAME OF WITNESS:       Frank Waterhouse         Reason Codes:       1         1.       To clarify the record.         2.       To conform to the facts.         3.       To correct transcription errors.         PageLineReason	Page 397

Index: \$1,406,000..2

	287:15 288:6,20	129:1 130:1 131:1	297:1 298:1 299:1	<b>12/31</b> 6:8
\$	303:6,18,24 304:11,	132:1 133:1 134:1	300:1 301:1 302:1	<b>12/31/18</b> 117:12
	20 305:23 306:2	135:1 136:1 137:1	303:1 304:1 305:1	122:12 135:21
<b>\$1,406,000</b> 343:10	307:7 308:20 310:17	138:1 139:1 140:1	306:1 307:1 308:1	261:22
<b>\$1,406,112</b> 343:13	317:6 318:14	141:1 142:1 143:1	309:1 310:1 311:1	
	<b>\$7.8</b> 278:6,7	144:1 145:1 146:1 147:1 148:1 149:1	312:1 313:1 314:1 315:1 316:1 317:1	<b>12/31/19</b> 219:16
<b>\$1.04</b> 109:15	<b>\$8</b> 277:16	150:1 151:1 152:1	318:1 319:1 320:1	12/31/2018 93:15
<b>\$1.4</b> 344:5 345:11	ΨΟ 211.10	153:1 154:1 155:1	321:1 322:1 323:1	<b>12/31/2019</b> 260:13
350:7 351:22		156:1 157:1 158:1	324:1 325:1 326:1	
<b>\$1.5</b> 223:17	1	159:1 160:1 161:1	327:1 328:1 329:1	<b>12/31/2020</b> 361:15
<b>p1.3</b> 223.17		162:1 163:1 164:1	330:1 331:1 332:1	<b>12th</b> 341:18 344:3
<b>51.7</b> 92:22	<b>1</b> 8:9 35:17 139:22	165:1 166:1 167:1	333:1 334:1 335:1	350:5,9,13,24 351:2
<b>\$10</b> 389:13	140:12,13 215:25	168:1 169:1 170:1	336:1 337:1 338:1	
-	216:3,7,12 238:8	171:1 172:1 173:1	339:1 340:1 341:1	<b>135</b> 6:2
<b>\$10.5</b> 308:16	260:20,23 261:15	174:1 175:1 176:1	342:1 343:1 344:1	14-ish 286:21
<b>512.7</b> 311:2 317:10	328:10,11,12 343:19	177:1 178:1 179:1	345:1 346:1 347:1	440 5.45
	<b>1/12</b> 6:9	180:1 181:1 182:1	348:1 349:1 350:1	<b>142</b> 5:15
<b>310</b> :23		183:1 184:1 185:1	351:1 352:1 353:1	<b>15</b> 73:3 200:4 202:13
<b>514</b> 389:14	<b>10</b> 5:6 197:4,7,9,15	186:1 187:1 188:1	354:1 355:1 356:1	23 203:3 210:6
	198:2 266:20 267:3	189:1 190:1 191:1	357:1 358:1 359:1	213:18 221:23
<b>5150</b> 239:10	302:7	192:1 193:1 194:1	360:1 361:1 362:1	<b>15(c)</b> 5:21 160:11
5173,398,000 107:7	10-19-21 3:1 4:1 5:1	195:1 196:1 197:1	363:1 364:1 365:1	169:21,23 170:4,8,1
	6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1	198:1 199:1 200:1	366:1 367:1 368:1	171:6 175:3,9 176:2
<b>2.4</b> 140:14 141:9,18	11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1	201:1 202:1 203:1 204:1 205:1 206:1	369:1 370:1 371:1 372:1 373:1 374:1	179:20 184:5 195:9
270:20 271:7,16 272:8 283:18 315:13	15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1	207:1 208:1 209:1	375:1 376:1 377:1	210:12,21
212.0 203.10 313.13	19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1	210:1 211:1 212:1	378:1 379:1 380:1	
<b>23</b> 220:24	23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1	213:1 214:1 215:1	381:1 382:1 383:1	<b>150</b> 239:24
<b>24</b> 178:19	27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1	216:1 217:1 218:1	384:1 385:1 386:1	150,331,222 243:23
	31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1	219:1 220:1 221:1	387:1 388:1 389:1	
<b>324.5</b> 309:25	35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1	222:1 223:1 224:1	390:1 391:1 392:1	<b>151</b> 5:20
<b>30</b> 161:25 220:13,20	39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1	225:1 226:1 227:1	393:1 394:1 395:1	15th 203:15
223:7 224:2 334:7,19	43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1 47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1	228:1 229:1 230:1		<b>16</b> 224:5
336:13,23 337:25	51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1	231:1 232:1 233:1	<b>10.5</b> 308:22	10 224.5
339:4 340:10 341:23	55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1	234:1 235:1 236:1	<b>100</b> 108:23 298:15	<b>17</b> 89:16 109:19
	59:1 60:1 61:1 62:1	237:1 238:1 239:1	<b>10010</b> 4:21	110:8 137:24
<b>30.7</b> 216:17	63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1	240:1 241:1 242:1	10010 4:21	<b>170</b> 5:21
<b>325,000</b> 331:14	67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1	243:1 244:1 245:1	<b>10017</b> 3:10	110 0.21
332:16,24	71:1 72:1 73:1 74:1	246:1 247:1 248:1	<b>10:08</b> 36:15,16	<b>173</b> 110:14
<b>400</b> 239:24	75:1 76:1 77:1 78:1	249:1 250:1 251:1	10.00 30.15,10	<b>18</b> 89:16
<b>9400</b> 239.24	79:1 80:1 81:1 82:1	252:1 253:1 254:1	<b>10:11</b> 36:16,18	
<b>410</b> 238:9 239:12	83:1 84:1 85:1 86:1	255:1 256:1 257:1	<b>11/25</b> 6:7	<b>1900</b> 3:15
<b>5</b> 142:19 270:20	87:1 88:1 89:1 90:1	258:1 259:1 260:1		19th 8:19 21:7
271:6 272:7 283:18	91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1	261:1 262:1 263:1	<b>11/30</b> 332:24	
315:13	95:1 96:1 97:1 98:1	264:1 265:1 266:1	<b>11:02</b> 72:25 73:2,5,6	<b>1:04</b> 150:24,25
	99:1 100:1 101:1	267:1 268:1 269:1		<b>1:49</b> 150:25 151:3
<b>5.3</b> 119:23 310:17	102:1 103:1 104:1	270:1 271:1 272:1 273:1 274:1 275:1	<b>11:15</b> 73:3 342:14	10 000.10
<b>7</b> 217:16,19 221:7	105:1 106:1 107:1	276:1 277:1 278:1	<b>11:20</b> 73:6,8	<b>1c</b> 238:12
277:11,20	108:1 109:1 110:1	279:1 280:1 281:1		<b>1st</b> 14:8
	111:1 112:1 113:1	282:1 283:1 284:1	<b>11:40</b> 344:14	
<b>57.2</b> 302:22	114:1 115:1 116:1	285:1 286:1 287:1	11th 152:18,25	2
<b>57.4</b> 131:13 132:8	117:1 118:1 119:1	288:1 289:1 290:1		L
138:12 143:12 144:6,	120:1 121:1 122:1	291:1 292:1 293:1	<b>12/1</b> 332:10	<b>9</b> E.1E 0E.40 440.40
17,23 272:16 273:6	123:1 124:1 125:1	294:1 295:1 296:1	<b>12/19</b> 5:25	<b>2</b> 5:15 35:18 140:18
	126:1 127:1 128:1			142:15,16,22 171:10

Index: 2.4..780

				Index: 2.4780
172:8 174:13 179:4	<b>2020</b> 59:7,9 68:15	<b>236</b> 5:23	<b>34</b> 5:18 104:23,24	<u> </u>
195:11,14 215:22,23	70:17 160:7,14,22	<b>241</b> 110:23	<b>341</b> 6:9	5
216:3,4,5,8,25 338:12	165:14 169:17,20 171:19 173:24 175:8	<b>25</b> 260:12 328:16	<b>35</b> 5:20 15:20,22	
<b>2.4</b> 268:23 282:15	176:10 179:24 183:7,	329:14	100:10 151:20,21	<b>5</b> 268:22 271:15 282:14
<b>2/18</b> 5:22	14 186:12,14 189:13 195:20 196:3,17	25-year 347:7	<b>352</b> 5:8	<b>5.3</b> 121:3,6 124:17
<b>20</b> 15:25 271:14	200:24 204:20	<b>251</b> 129:16,22	<b>35D</b> 101:10,19	308:21 311:4
	207:16 221:11,16,21	<b>256</b> 5:7	<b>36</b> 5:21 102:18	<b>500</b> 3:23
<b>2006</b> 18:15	258:20 265:6,11 307:24 314:21	<b>258</b> 5:25	170:18,19 177:18	<b>51</b> 4:20
<b>2011</b> 19:2,4,22	316:16 323:10 326:4,	<b>25th</b> 329:19	313:9 317:12	<b>5:52</b> 172:4 174:6
<b>2012</b> 19:2,4 95:25	12,14 327:5,9 328:16 330:15 334:23 335:7	<b>297</b> 6:10	<b>377</b> 5:9	<b>5:53</b> 325:7,8
<b>2013</b> 52:7	336:11,20 337:12		<b>387</b> 5:10	<b>5:59</b> 325:8,10
<b>2014</b> 20:2	338:15,24 341:9 343:2 346:10 359:3	<b>2nd</b> 132:20 141:5 213:9 315:18	<b>39</b> 5:22 226:23,24	
<b>2016</b> 23:19 25:13	360:17,19 361:6,24		236:17	6
87:8,20,24 89:15,16	364:4 366:9,10	3	<b>393</b> 5:11	
<b>2017</b> 126:12 161:23 165:22 216:16	367:15,20 377:21 378:10,11 379:16,21		<b>3:26</b> 224:20,23,24	<b>6</b> 183:7 186:14 217:16,19 314:20
220:14 222:10 223:7	382:24 388:4 390:6	<b>3</b> 220:2	<b>3:39</b> 224:24 225:2	316:16 341:13
224:2,12	391:23 392:5 393:11	<b>30</b> 7:19 16:3 186:16 356:9	<b>3:40</b> 224:21	<b>6/3/19</b> 5:16
<b>2018</b> 105:19 109:14,	<b>2021</b> 8:19 14:8 19:5 37:2,10,19 38:2	<b>302</b> 6:12	3rd 92:2 98:17 99:25	<b>6/30</b> 176:8,16
20 119:21 165:18 186:25 202:19 203:9	70:21 71:3,5,12		103:2 132:20,25 135:2 137:6 142:18	60-day 380:16
228:3,23 242:18,23	121:13,22 122:19,24	<b>307</b> 6:11	200:7 213:9 242:23	<b>650</b> 4:13
243:12 244:14,18	163:2,7,8 165:5,9 166:2 173:18 176:24	<b>309</b> 6:13	262:17 263:13 265:3	
262:5,15 279:12	187:3 198:21 199:7,	<b>30th</b> 176:10,24	315:19	<b>6:27</b> 349:6,7
<b>2019</b> 6:4 21:7 98:17 99:25 103:2 125:18	12,20 200:14 201:2	331:12 332:3 380:18	4	<b>6:30</b> 349:7,9
126:2 131:10 132:6,	203:4,21 210:2,23 212:19 219:11,13,17	<b>31</b> 122:19 197:20,24 198:7,9 199:7,12		6th 172:4 174:6
21 133:12 134:5,17,	226:4 301:24 314:8	200:24 201:2 203:4	<b>40</b> 5:23 236:22,23	186:11 189:13
23 137:6 138:12,20 139:18 140:14 141:5	315:21 316:7,19 326:9 340:21 341:6	301:18,24 307:24	237:19	7
142:18 152:18,25	350:6,9 361:25	326:4 338:15,24 341:9 346:9,21 390:6	<b>406</b> 343:19	
165:15 180:24 181:4, 9,16,23 182:8,16	362:3,7 364:8 365:2	391:13,23	<b>41</b> 5:25 258:16,18	<b>7</b> 277:15,16 297:20
200:4,7 201:22	367:15 383:23 395:17	<b>3102</b> 4:7	<b>419</b> 103:11	305:5
202:13,23 203:3,15 204:10 210:7 213:9,	<b>20th</b> 160:5	<b>31st</b> 105:18 109:14	<b>45</b> 6:2 135:12,14,15	<b>7.4</b> 132:2 311:4
19 218:21 219:7,12,	<b>21</b> 78:3	119:21 121:13 122:23 199:20	45th 8:23	<b>7.8</b> 277:12
24 220:19,22 221:6 222:4,12 223:4,14	21-03000-SGI 8:15	200:14 202:19 203:9,	<b>46</b> 6:4 218:16,17	<b>70130</b> 4:14
242:23 258:21	<b>21-3004</b> 197:18	21 212:19 216:16 218:21 219:7 221:15,	<b>4:30</b> 265:25	<b>71</b> 238:20
262:17 263:13,14,20,	215:4	21 242:18 258:20	<b>4:31</b> 266:5,6	<b>71A</b> 6:13
24 265:3 269:5,24 270:12,18 271:3,14	<b>215</b> 106:2	263:14 326:8,12,14	<b>4:40</b> 266:2	<b>75</b> 18:2
272:4,15 273:4	<b>218</b> 6:4	334:15	<b>4:43</b> 266:6,8	<b>75201</b> 3:16
279:12 280:10,20 282:23 287:4 293:19	<b>226</b> 5:22	<b>328</b> 6:7	<b>4th</b> 135:2	75201-6659 3:24
299:4 301:2,8,16,18	<b>228</b> 8:22	<b>33</b> 5:16 91:20,21		<b>75219</b> 4:8
313:3 314:13,23 315:19 316:14 321:6	<b>23</b> 178:19	<b>33416</b> 100:10		<b>780</b> 3:9
		<b>338</b> 6:8		

<b>7:02</b> 372:14,15	accept 16:17 152:23	6:12 201:13,25	125:3 126:10,13,16	45:13 46:3,9 47:18
	188:19	213:6,17 314:21	127:10 152:14	48:7,13 54:2,11,13,
<b>7:03</b> 372:15,17			160:10 167:13	20,21,25 55:6,11,18
<b>7:30</b> 395:10,11	accepted 241:14	acting 23:23 24:2,3,8	168:19 171:14	56:2,16,23 61:20
	277:19	28:5,8,12,18,20 29:5,	172:18 175:7,13	62:2 63:11 65:4 66:8
8	accepting 153:16	9,11 30:14,16,24 31:2,3,5 155:6,9,14	178:11 179:18,21	82:16,20 91:3 100:22
0	accepts 8:6	156:4,12 158:3,9,11	183:7,18 184:15,19,	101:16 106:22 107:3
0/04 0.44	accepts 0.0		22 189:23 190:9,12,	108:14,18 109:8,18
<b>8/31</b> 6:11	access 294:24	action 374:20 383:17	19 191:2 192:25	110:7 111:2,6,9,13,
<b>850</b> 100:25 101:2,4,9	359:19,21 360:9,14	actions 9:7 347:25	193:8,19 194:3,21	23 112:18,24 113:19
	372:21 373:13	<b>activaly</b> 227,44,40	195:10 196:8,22 205:7,12,23 206:14	115:4 117:24 118:7 119:4 120:20 121:4
9	accordance 241:13,	actively 337:11,12 346:19,25	208:4,7 210:5 213:22	176:9 233:9,23
	25 242:4 254:10		215:14 235:9 267:24	234:10,16,21 235:4,
<b>9</b> 307:18,19,20	256:21	actual 115:21 311:25	268:16 279:19 280:2	22 236:8 238:25
	account 287:17	312:12	286:18 308:4 325:15,	241:7 242:8 243:8
<b>90</b> 18:4	328:6,9 359:14	add 183:23	21,22 328:7,25 329:3	259:19 260:11 262:6
<b>91</b> 5:16	360:6,14,22 367:22		332:14 333:23	309:19 312:2,13
<b>94</b> 5:18	371:9	added 183:24	335:15 336:7 337:14	377:13 379:8 382:7
94 5:18	accountant 21:17	addition 333:7	353:20 379:19,24	affirmative 96:15
<b>99</b> 86:14	25:20 116:12 370:14	additional 171:6	380:2,10,22 381:2,6,	
<b>9:32</b> 8:20			11,16 389:11 390:11	afield 16:22 376:3
	accountants 147:22	adequately 335:24	391:8	afternoon 344:17
<b>9th</b> 70:16	accounting 26:2,4,	adjustment 242:7	advisors' 168:9	aggragate 121.12
	7,17 28:16 38:24	263:4	179:8,25 180:9 184:3	aggregate 131:13 132:7 138:11 217:15
Α	87:12,14,17 112:10,	adiustraanta 00.4	194:17 218:19 328:9	19
	11 148:23 149:2,14	adjustments 36:4 240:21 241:18 243:5	advisory 32:21	
<b>a.m.</b> 8:20 36:15,16,18	150:14,19 188:11	240.21 241.18 243.5	33:24	agree 77:5 306:13,2
73:5,6,8 342:14	200:17 230:16,18,21			307:5,10
344:14	240:19 241:14	administrative	affect 371:17	agreed 16:17 75:20
<b>A1</b> 6:7 328:13	257:22,24,25 258:12,	321:17 388:24	affected 265:12	121:16,20 198:20
	13 280:6 290:13	admissible 7:17	277:20 370:23	199:5,11,19 200:13
<b>A10</b> 6:12 302:7,8	326:18,21 337:2,7 351:2 354:7,11,22		affidavit 164:10	209:25 210:6 314:11
<b>A11</b> 6:13 309:4,5,6	358:3 371:5 372:3	admitted 276:24		374:25 375:7
	378:15	advance 57:21 60:21	affiliate 41:18 42:2,4	agreement 17:9
<b>A2</b> 6:8 338:13		61:5,10 62:21 63:5	44:12,16 47:25 48:9,	59:24 65:9,16,23
<b>A6</b> 6:9 341:14	accounts 244:9	267:8 355:25	22 49:5,6,10 51:17	66:2,6 67:3,7,8,12,
<b>A7</b> 6:10 297:20,23	327:10,12,17,21 329:17 330:10,12,20	adversary 197:18	54:17 57:19 58:3 60:14,21 61:4 62:9,	16,22 68:4,9,12,17,
-	331:4 333:7 359:19,		20 63:3,15,22 64:6,	24 69:15,19,22 70:2
<b>A9</b> 6:11 307:20,21	22	advice 172:7 205:7	10,16,24 65:18 78:18	7,11,16,20 71:18,24
abilities 265:12		257:2	93:21 94:6 100:25	72:4,7,10,13 75:4,5,
	accuracy 113:17	advisor 125:8,15	105:8 107:2,13,21	9,14,16,23,24 76:3,6
ability 20:17 42:6	114:17 115:2	192:25 193:15	108:3,7 109:10 131:2	7,10,14 77:6 78:15,
124:3 204:15 272:6 327:16 372:5 382:13	accurate 88:7,11,17	205:10 273:23 281:6	171:14 220:6 225:6,	21,25 79:8,10,17,18 24 80:5,6,11,16,20
	89:13,23 90:2,6	282:5 311:25 312:5,6	14,21 239:4 254:2	81:6 82:9,17,22 83:7
absolute 109:2	110:16 112:19 133:5	328:7 331:22,23 391:7	259:24 262:11 263:5	10,14,17,20,23
accelerate 340:10,	176:17 204:4 238:4	391.7	307:24 309:16,24	99:15,24 100:2,6
14	256:10 257:4 284:24	advisor's 337:17	310:9 312:2,11,14,25	102:3,6 104:13,17,1
	286:6 330:20,24	advisors 3:17,18	370:10	122:5 123:22 124:4
accelerated 339:4	accurately 240:9,14	9:21,22 22:22 27:10	affiliated 134:6	134:12 186:24 187:2
347:7		29:10,12 32:18,20,25	285:17	16 189:2 200:13
acceleration 339:6,	accusations 157:18	33:24 39:2 42:21,22	affiliates 41:2,5,8,10,	210:22 212:17
10,15 340:13,17,24	acknowledgment	44:6,7 58:8,14,15,18	13,14 44:4,11,15,25	278:23 279:18,20,24

			Index: a	greementsaudited
25 314:7 316:5,8,9,	amounts 106:21,25	<b>Apple</b> 281:21	<b>April</b> 152:18,25 200:4	associates 377:23
13 326:3,13 332:8 351:4 365:22 379:20	108:14 109:7,18 110:6 111:2,5,8,12,	application 165:10	202:13,23 203:3,15 204:10 210:6 213:18	association 7:5 8:25
agreements 58:16 77:24 83:4 168:9	23 112:18,24 113:18 114:19 115:3 119:3	<b>applied</b> 55:16,24 56:6 59:17 60:12	301:16 314:21 323:6 areas 26:5	<b>assume</b> 22:15 133:24 297:13
186:10 279:4,6 325:24 353:22	120:20 121:4,11 125:8 126:6 161:8 168:3 171:12 174:15,	162:5 168:4 294:13 316:14	Argumentative	<b>assumed</b> 285:4,11 287:6
379:18,23 380:4,11, 13,19 381:17 388:16,	22 175:11 176:9 181:15 199:12 202:7,	apply 213:7 314:12, 22	arise 288:3	assuming 288:22
17	22 203:7,13,19	appointed 18:21,24	arithmetic 260:10	assure 105:4
agrees 243:25	204:11,16,25 205:12 211:11 212:10,18	24:14,19,25 25:8 29:11,15 270:4	armchair 153:11	assured 257:3
ahead 68:22 82:6 156:20 164:10	217:19,21 222:3,11	323:9,16	<b>ASC</b> 100:25 101:2,4,	astute 320:12
212:25 248:12	234:23 235:3 275:13 282:8 283:2 285:10	appointment 152:24	9	attach 320:22 321:2
295:12 329:11 352:10 364:23	288:3 311:4 335:12	153:4,16	ascribing 386:8	attached 307:23
378:18	analyses 241:11	appointments 227:14	<b>Asia</b> 4:24 92:14 118:3 129:16 135:10	attaching 171:5
Aigen 4:5 9:24 214:4	analysis 240:20,24	appoints 183:9	177:14 218:14	attachment 307:25
251:23	241:3,6 261:11 262:3 265:7,8,17,18,19	appreciated 74:16	asks 97:12 171:10	attempted 360:23
Akard 3:23	389:8	approaching 310:23	172:6 174:21	attempting 374:17
allegations 208:17	and/or 42:8,16 43:3, 14 59:14	approval 57:13 62:5,	aspect 26:18 116:20	attorney 153:11 172:12
alleged 389:3		10 63:12,17,23	<b>asset</b> 107:25 108:6, 24 109:14 118:23	attorneys 3:4,11,17
Allocation 35:19,21 125:2 273:17 281:8,	annual 26:10 84:17, 23 85:2,24 96:7	144:24 170:14 206:5, 16 271:17,22 272:13,	225:16,23 265:9	4:2,10,16 147:21
23	168:10 170:5,9 184:5	14 281:7 332:16	354:15 367:9 368:4 371:7,16,23 372:6	187:6,11,15 188:3,7, 24,25
allowed 62:8 81:23,	217:2 228:16 334:8 335:9 336:12	351:5 377:17,24 382:11	376:24 387:3	attributable 132:3
25	answering 248:7,8	<b>approve</b> 56:21 57:2,	assets 5:23 107:14	276:11 283:2
alpha 297:20 302:7 307:19 328:10	262:23	3,20 61:5,9 231:21	108:10,13 109:20 110:8 122:3,8 137:14	audit 26:11 48:5
338:11 341:13	answers 12:2 16:25	273:6 379:7	190:3 194:2 195:17,	52:23 85:24,25 86:9,
alter 187:16	26:15	approved 57:5 60:20	20 196:3,9,17 204:19	23 88:2,6,13,14,16 89:10,11,22 91:11,14
ambiguous 353:20	anticipated 311:20 378:17	231:14 271:12 294:21 295:6,18	211:3 225:8 235:11, 15 237:20,23 239:13,	93:4,14 95:6 96:7,10
amended 5:15	anymore 294:24	333:4 382:16,17	19 240:15 241:4,18	97:22 98:19 103:25 104:4 106:7,16,17
214:18	388:12	approximate 17:22	242:13 250:18 253:16,24 259:18	109:24 110:25
amortizing 334:13	apologize 30:10	23:15 27:24 30:19 36:23 38:15 120:15	260:8,11,13 301:9	111:20 112:7,24 113:17 114:2,7
amount 49:10,11	40:22 78:14 99:22	161:24 216:17 277:7	303:9 307:9 309:2 311:10 317:7 366:14	115:2,20 116:5
50:23,24 57:6 119:7	104:12 125:21,24 139:2 170:2 192:14	310:5	368:5 370:22	117:2,20 119:19
131:13 132:8 138:11 142:18 144:5,16	197:19 226:17	approximately 8:20	assistant 295:2	131:5 132:9,24 135:6 137:5,7,10,12,21
161:19,25 195:16	227:17 304:14 352:8	15:13,20 19:23 109:15,19 110:7	298:25 320:23 321:9	138:18 142:9,11
196:16 216:17	354:17 387:9	119:23 126:12 169:7	assistant's 321:5	148:25 149:4 199:23,
217:15 220:23 222:11 239:5 240:9	appearances 3:3	173:14,15 178:19	assistants 321:18	25 200:6 218:7 219:4,5,12,15
244:3 271:11 277:7	appeared 337:16,19	220:12,24 221:3,7 238:9 277:10,15	assisted 326:22	221:11,14,20 243:12
283:18 288:6 308:22 342:10 343:5,15,17	appearing 8:18	278:6	assisting 333:8	244:18 263:24 264:8
389:11	appears 224:8	approximates	-	audited 6:4 41:7
		118:13 121:6	associate 268:10 297:25	47:25 84:16,23 85:3, 19 90:2 93:24 95:14

bring 73:16 154:20	capable 86:12 88:20	cause
bringing 249:21	capacity 11:3 19:25	277:3 359:8
broke 221:12	21:3 24:17 26:22 65:10 97:15 143:25	causi
broker-dealer 332:6	174:3 232:4 258:25	ссо
brought 73:17 248:13 374:9	270:25 285:24 295:18	cease
<b>build</b> 107:7 108:22 260:5 311:17	Capital 3:4,18 8:11 9:6,22 10:22 11:7	cease
<b>bulk</b> 89:9	15:16,23 18:7 22:21 30:2 41:18 42:10,18,	CEO
burdening 81:12	21 43:11,16 44:6 58:15,17,20 70:21	certai
<b>business</b> 226:10 229:22 332:10,11	109:23 110:9 125:3 127:12 133:10 152:13 172:14	<b>certif</b> 152:2 183:1
С	215:14,17 271:3 279:18,19 280:2,3 308:4 325:22,24	<b>certif</b> 154:2
calculate 275:11 276:22	330:16 354:19 359:16,18 360:7,8,13	certif
calculated 335:11	<b>capture</b> 107:10	certif
calculation 278:12	130:15 203:11	certif
calculations 276:19	captured 131:3	cetera
calculator 110:12	career 286:21	CF- 2
<b>calendar</b> 337:7 378:16,21 379:5 <b>call</b> 46:14 55:2 68:6 70:3 154:8 167:4 191:14,15,24 192:2 290:19,25 350:15 364:21 393:12 <b>called</b> 16:10 22:21 27:10 29:25 31:8 32:4 81:9 115:3 130:3 227:2,19 228:21 273:18 278:22,24 315:20 342:8,24 343:2,16 351:10 362:8 <b>calling</b> 344:18 <b>calls</b> 45:17 46:10	careers 89:6 carefully 40:14,18 47:9 114:14 144:14 223:12 carried 107:14,18,21 109:6,11 233:5 243:6 carrying 118:13,25 120:2,4,12,14 262:8 263:7,16 265:2 case 7:21 8:14 208:15 248:15 301:14 339:25 cash 57:3,4 121:12 335:17,18,22,23 336:7 337:13,14,16, 17 360:5 361:12,14 categorically	21,24 21:3 23 40 42:5 46:7 50:10 53:9 61:2 85:12 85:12 85:12 85:12 85:12 85:12 85:12 85:12 85:12 85:12 85:12 83:10 107: 133:7 202: 225: 227:6 18 24 247:7 255:7
55:21 107:5 126:4 268:6 324:2,9 344:22	categorically 392:10	287: 287: 339:2
camera 36:2	categorize 257:10	chain
<b>Canty</b> 4:24 105:2,23	categorizing 316:18	350:
129:18,23 135:12,16 177:10,21,25 218:15	category 107:24 109:6	challe chan
		93:7

ed 263:3.14 3.19 280:12 8,24 ing 362:21 192:25 193:8,14 **e** 173:15 ed 71:6 173:12 393:2,5,8,9 14:16 inty 371:6 ficate 5:20 22:3 2,5,10,13 159:19 11 270:4 ficates 21:21 :20 fication 22:3 fications 22:13 fied 7:5 21:17 **a** 164:10 25:2 14:14 18:10,17, 4 19:3,14,25 39:10,15,19,21, 0:3,4,9,12,25 43:10,23 44:25 47:16,24 49:17 0 51:10,15,20,25 55:16 60:23 1 62:4,8 84:20 2 86:3,19,24 0,15 90:15 94:4, 5:7,15 100:17 11 111:16 114:5 :7,10,16 176:14 11 203:25 17,20 226:8 :6 229:23 230:14, 40:7,14 243:4 7,23 248:24 7 269:2 285:24 14 288:7 295:19 :24 n 341:17 342:7 14 lenges 12:21 ge 28:7,11,17 187:7,16 189:2

229:11 240:6 248:17 261:24.25 262:2 264:3 265:10 343:20 371:22

changed 20:6 28:11 189:10 194:13 206:24 207:6,7,9 208:2,3 263:18 264:17 323:2

characterized 247:12

chat 81:25 92:12 105:2,5 135:17 177:7,18

check 86:8 306:4

**chicken** 298:13

chief 14:12 26:24 27:5 120:8 184:22,24 258:25 270:9,13 271:2 302:19

**Chisum** 321:7

chose 113:25

circumstances 71:15 231:6 240:6 241:10 261:23.24 262:2 265:9 274:13

**Civil** 7:20

**claim** 167:9,13,25 374:9 375:8,11 385:17,22,25 386:2 388:24

claims 208:23

**clarify** 354:17

**clear** 40:15,16 54:22 55:5 75:16 81:2 156:2 188:21 214:17 238:17 302:6

client 74:5,10 75:19 269:9,13

clients 195:8 209:2

Cliff 276:2

**clock** 46:25

**CLOS** 253:19

close 229:10,19,21 230:2,23 232:8,20 233:3 298:2,12

308:24 372:10 close-end 275:6 closed 281:3 closed-end 281:10, 15 282:7 co-invest 127:13,16 co-obligor 305:22 code 101:21 collect 54:3 collectability 253:18 collectively 33:2 54:12 colloquially 366:5 **combined** 233:18 comfort 159:22 193:25 comfortable 90:9 command 297:25 commenced 53:25 54:11 181:22

Index: bring..company

commencing 203:20

**comment** 252:23 367:22

**common** 299:4,5

communicate 64:3

communicated 114:9 211:15.20 254:21 292:17 319:19 368:8

communicating 365:13 366:3

communication 87:6 207:23 350:8

communications 66:19 71:13,14 116:16 322:7 367:14

comp 78:10

companies 42:7 285:17

company 27:9 29:25 31:7 247:8 273:18,

			Inde	ex: comparedCOVID
20,21	conclude 108:17	consult 94:12,17	conversations	187:3,4 201:4,9
compared 12:21	310:9	351:21	66:16 74:21 113:22	204:20 205:24 206:6
comparing 118:24	concluded 395:11	consultation 318:12	115:9 124:11 206:11 233:25 289:4 368:13	211:25 214:21,24 218:4 223:7 242:18,
compelled 81:8	conclusion 42:20	consulted 274:9	380:6 393:10 394:3	23 243:2 256:2,5,10
371:22	43:9 44:18 45:5,9,17	contend 65:6 168:4	conversion 125:12,	257:5,19 258:2,6,8,
compensation	46:11 47:21 48:4,17 49:2,14 55:21 107:5	contends 168:3	14 131:23	14 259:2,6,7 262:8, 17 263:7,10 264:20
125:14 281:3 318:14	126:4 142:7 143:16	context 41:21,22	convert 281:9 282:6	269:25 270:14 290:8
366:24 367:19,24 386:11	153:13 154:9 157:8 212:25 263:5	58:13 124:18 136:21	converting 275:5	301:9 307:12 310:18 311:3 316:10,19,22
		168:20 170:4,9	<b>convey</b> 208:6 389:20	332:18,19 346:2
competent 88:20	<b>conduct</b> 85:24 169:10	189:18 367:12 386:23	<b>conveyed</b> 343:15,25	359:17 371:21 375:2
complaint 5:15 140:4 208:17,22	conducted 86:14	contexts 41:15	344:4	382:3 383:8 384:7 388:18,21 393:22
,			conveys 193:15	correction 384:5
complaints 322:2,4, 8,20	<b>conference</b> 8:17 323:24 343:23,24	continue 209:4	copied 183:3	
complete 112:19	344:11,13	continuing 203:20	<b>copies</b> 13:11,16	correctly 138:7 175:20 289:17
208:16 221:10,13,18,	conferred 98:8	continuous 19:4	142:12 149:8 298:7	305:14 375:18
19,20	confers 143:20	contract 278:15,22	copious 324:16	cost 279:17 325:23
completed 132:24	<b>confirm</b> 96:14 98:16	contracted 330:18	<b>copy</b> 92:13 148:24	379:20
178:8 200:7 219:7, 10,13,16	108:23 141:13 143:4	contracts 15:9,11	197:23 200:18	counsel 9:2 66:17
completely 13:4,7	214:3 270:6 319:3,20	249:22 288:17 325:12,14,17 326:6	<b>corner</b> 198:6	73:13,14 74:15,21 140:10 150:2 164:7,
205:5	confirmation 103:21		corporate 41:2,5	16,20 187:22,23
completeness	confirming 98:23	<b>control</b> 90:3,5,9,14, 20,25 101:4,9,24	54:25 87:11,14,16	254:18 322:7,9,12,
97:22 98:5	confused 78:11	113:6 137:17,20	112:10,11 116:12 148:23 149:2,14	19,22 351:18,21
complex 253:19	317:13	207:21	150:14,19 172:20	counsel's 151:16
compliance 148:3,4	connection 16:11,	controlled 15:6 16:8	188:11 200:17	Counselors 7:4
184:22,24 193:17	16,19 48:15,23 50:15 93:13,14 95:5 96:9	17:24 42:8,16,25 43:3,14 101:16	230:16,17,21 257:22, 24,25 258:11,13	<b>couple</b> 17:5 100:9
280:8 294:18 295:21 296:4	125:25 149:3 160:11	<b>controller</b> 291:13	337:7 370:14 378:15,	314:6 351:12 352:12 384:15 386:6 393:17
	175:9 179:19 184:5		21	<b>court</b> 8:13,24 10:10
complied 373:3	199:22 367:5 374:8	<b>controls</b> 43:5,6 112:16	correct 11:16 18:10	12:10 209:5 213:23
component 119:17 120:22	consequences	conversation 58:23	19:8 22:16 24:7 29:3, 8 32:4,22 33:5 39:4,	215:13 226:16 237:2,
	consideration	59:5 73:18 74:2 78:9	12 41:3 43:24 45:2	24 244:6 245:22 254:16 256:21 268:8,
compose 348:22	306:18	113:16 114:16 124:8	50:24 55:19 59:18	18 301:20 311:11
conceived 232:17	considered 274:9	145:7,14,21 148:17, 20 164:23 166:2,9,	69:24 74:12 79:24 84:11,18 91:23,24	313:3 343:3 376:8
concept 52:24 201:20 390:10	consisted 59:21	11,19,23 187:14	93:15 95:7 100:4	courtroom 7:18
		190:23 194:8 207:13	109:17 110:19 112:8 115:4 117:17 120:21	156:19 157:23
concern 105:8 122:13	consistent 394:17	233:21 234:25 235:13,18 246:14,18	132:8,24 133:7,11	<b>cover</b> 105:16 294:12,
concerned 35:25	<b>consolidated</b> 5:18 6:2 105:17 110:2,5,9,	252:10,12 255:14	137:3,8,22 142:5	24
112:23 235:20,24	17 113:13 135:20	282:25 283:4 296:3,4 318:9 319:16 342:4,	143:9,14 149:4 150:9,16 155:7	208:22
241:22 370:2	137:16 218:19	20 348:15 350:8	156:13 160:17 161:9,	
concerns 112:15	253:21	363:5 365:3 367:3,4	20,25 165:16,19,23	<b>covered</b> 67:4 189:7 191:13
113:3 114:6,25	constitute 110:7	381:8 383:11 386:18, 20 389:22 390:24	166:15 167:16 168:11 175:24 179:9	<b>COVID</b> 207:16,17
117:23 236:2	constituted 109:19	391:17 392:24 393:2	185:23 186:5,6	219:19 299:6 321:2

Index:	COVID-19.	.Deitsch-perez
 _		

			11100111 00111	J-19Deitsch-perez
323:2,3,5	61:12 62:11 63:13,24	data 311:24	<b>Deborah</b> 4:4,18 9:8,	decisionmaking
COVID-19 7:7 8:18	64:19 65:12 66:14,24 67:17 69:5 72:15	date 18:13,18,20	12 10:2,3 349:18	254:14
<b>CPA</b> 22:13	73:12,21,24 74:8,12,	21:10 56:18 70:12	<b>Debra</b> 3:12	declared 182:7,12
crafted 178:6	17 75:6,10,13 77:9,	84:11 98:18 103:14 104:4 106:4 131:11	<b>Debs</b> 349:20	deduce 309:23,24
	14,21 79:4,14,20	133:2,12 136:10	debt 333:9	310:6
crash 265:11	80:12 82:5,11,24	186:15 226:7 235:10,		deemed 53:2 94:19
craziest 157:5	83:25 85:15 86:2 91:4,8,22 92:11 94:3	14 239:17 241:20	<b>debtor</b> 240:8 245:15, 25 255:17 270:14,21	109:9,10 113:11
crazy 264:2	96:11,20 99:17	262:15 263:20	271:2,6 278:16,17	131:6 244:16 245:24
	104:25 107:15 108:5	310:15 314:22 315:6,	279:6 280:21 310:18	246:6,16,24
create 257:18	110:11 111:24	7 323:8 326:8 329:18 332:2 356:24 369:13	325:13,14 326:14,22	default 182:7,12
created 199:10	112:20 113:20	372:6 386:5	327:15,20 331:3	341:6,7,10 345:5
creditworthiness	114:20 115:5 117:6, 18 119:12 120:16	dated 92:2 106:10	333:7,15 335:2,8 336:22,25 350:22	346:9,20 347:6 363:9,14
303:19	122:10,16 124:6,23	132:20 142:18	364:18 372:20 373:3,	
Crescent 294:10	127:19 132:16 134:8	152:17 200:3 203:2	15,16,18,25 374:9,	defaults 365:17
	135:8,18 143:10	213:18 216:16	15,16 376:13 380:9	defendant's 214:18
<b>CRO</b> 254:13,14 256:25 257:7	144:8 146:20 149:5	220:14 228:3,23	383:14 388:10,12	defendants 81:14
	150:10,17 151:13 153:18 154:14	258:20 262:23	debtor's 233:7	
cross 305:17,21	155:11,18,20,23	dates 30:17 178:8	239:12,19 240:15	defended 11:14
crossing 295:24	156:10,16 157:2,6,	321:14 326:7 355:25	debtors 374:21	deferral 200:23
culmination 145:8	11,15,24 158:15	357:11		201:4,7,21
250:4 274:20	159:17 160:3,12,18	Dave 87:20 172:6	debtors' 337:24	deferred 203:3
<b>cure</b> 345:4	163:24 167:5 168:8 177:15,20 178:20	230:19 292:3,4,11	debts 302:23	366:23
	180:3,11 181:5,11	David 124:9 275:23	decade 95:19 231:22	define 32:9 33:21
<b>cured</b> 363:14,19	183:15 184:6,20	389:9	decades 95:21	101:6
curing 365:16	185:2,18,24 193:21	Davor 3:20 9:19		defined 33:13 45:13
current 86:13 158:9	194:5 195:21 196:13,	178:10 266:15,17	<b>December</b> 59:9,12 105:18 109:14	51:17 53:13 54:2
curse 394:15	18 200:20 203:23 205:3,15,25 206:21	304:8 336:15 387:14,	119:21 202:19 203:8	99:15 173:2
	208:10 210:24 211:4,	16	218:21 219:7 221:15,	defining 44:16 69:8
cursed 394:14	13 212:22 213:11	day 106:10,13,16	21 242:17 258:21	109:21
	216:2,9 220:10,15	134:20 187:8 226:4	263:14 326:4,11,12,	definition 42:24 43:2
D	222:13 225:10,24	321:23 329:21,23 332:4,10,11 342:16	14 327:4,9 330:15 332:11 334:15	53:16 54:18 69:15
	228:9 229:24 231:18 234:6 235:23 236:10,	343:24 350:12 353:5	338:15,24 341:9	75:20 77:6 100:25
<b>D.C.</b> 162:20 164:6	18 239:3,14,22	395:17	343:2 346:9,21 359:3	101:9,13 104:6
daily 219:20	240:16 242:24	days 71:12 356:9	360:17,19 361:6,24	degree 245:20
Dallas 3:16,24 4:8	246:19,25 247:14	<b>DDP</b> 349:23,24	364:4 382:23 388:4 390:6,16,17,24	degrees 22:7
8:14 9:20	250:11,22 251:3,12 253:12 254:6 255:12	de-accelerate	391:13,23 392:4	Deitsch-perez 4:4
damages 385:17	257:6,20 260:14	344:24	393:11	5:8,11 9:12,13 10:2
Dandeneau 3:12	263:8 265:4 266:3		December-ish	17:8,13 29:20 42:12
7:23 9:8 13:10 14:25	269:10 285:23 286:7	de-accelerated 345:18	390:16	46:12 50:5 60:16,24
16:9 17:7,18 20:4,20	295:11 298:6 299:15 302:25 305:10 307:2		decide 254:25	64:17 65:19 66:10 67:9,24 69:6,10,13
22:14 25:15 31:16,	312:18 317:23	deadlines 86:9 379:2	decided 245:5	76:15,17,21,24 78:19
20,24 33:9 34:20 36:8,12 40:10 41:4,	333:20 336:14 338:5		318:13 380:22	79:25 81:16,20,22,24
12,24 42:11 43:17	339:20 341:2 347:21	deal 74:25 219:18		97:4 107:16 115:6
46:18 47:3,7,12 50:7	348:11 349:11,17,18	224:14 286:11	deciding 56:13,17	117:7 119:13 120:17
52:8 53:18 54:8	350:3 352:18 375:22 376:5 379:10 394:10	deals 373:19	decision 121:24	144:25 146:12 147:4, 11 148:12 149:21
55:10 56:11,25 58:25	010.0010.10004.10	<b>Deb</b> 151:7 349:10,18	122:2,21,22 245:2,8	153:19,25 154:7
59:19,25 60:7,15			340:9 382:20,21	
	1	1		1

159:25 160:19 177:6. 17 178:3 180:4.12 191:4,22 194:6,22 195:22 196:4,11 202:24 205:16 206:7 208:11 209:8 212:12, 20 214:9,13,16,22 215:5,11 216:11 220:25 227:21 231:8 232:9 238:10 239:20 242:25 247:15 248:2, 8 249:4,17 251:10 252:6,9,20,25 254:7 255:10.22 256:17 262:18 301:19 324:24 336:15 348:9. 23 349:21,24 352:6, 11,20 353:2,17,23 375:22 376:4,9 377:3 381:13 384:12 386:13 387:10,14 393:17,20 **Delaware** 254:16 delegating 254:13 **deliver** 50:14 230:22

delivered 178:7 235:20

delved 251:19

demand 121:11,17, 21 122:4,7,9,15,19, 23 123:23 124:4 134:13 140:17 142:21 182:15 186:18 187:3,17 198:21 199:6,11,19 200:14 202:18,22 205:19 209:25 210:7, 23 212:18 301:17 313:16 314:8 315:2.8 316:6,17,18

demandable 316:19

demands 122:14 364:13

department 146:10 147:3,10

**depend** 356:5

depended 356:6,16

depending 87:4 164:4 383:15

<b>depends</b> 41:20 57:15 279:2	274:7 276:7
<b>depo</b> 260:16	detrimental
deposed 11:9,12	developme 347:13,18
deposition 7:11 8:10,16 11:2,15,18	deviate 264
12:7,15,22 16:15,23	dictate 90:1
33:23 72:21,23 73:10,15,20 74:6	differ 263:1
76:8 77:12 81:3	differentiate
123:17 151:6,9 215:6 227:15 266:21 267:4,	differently
13 365:20 373:2 375:25 376:19 388:23 395:8,9,11	difficult 145 205:19 207: 222:25
<b>depositions</b> 11:19 12:19	diligence 8 169:11
<b>derived</b> 16:6 17:23	direct 113:2 304:19 322:
<b>describe</b> 56:4 84:3 111:21 326:15 353:7	directed 57
358:3 describing 125:17	direction 23 254:25 258:
126:2	directive 38
description 108:21, 24 desk 293:9,10 295:25	directly 15: 17:23 19:8 4 43:14 88:2 1 219:2 354:9
<b>detail</b> 107:7 120:25 153:8 159:20 222:22	director 23: 39:25
229:14 278:11 300:6 311:18 351:19	directors 1
369:20 detailed 122:20	disagree 37 21 319:2
132:12 179:23 224:9 230:7 260:5 276:18	disclosable
370:16 389:8	disclose 46
detailing 294:14	52:11 53:10 91:12 94:6 §
details 77:24 78:7,12	23 103:2 11
80:8 84:7 104:16 131:25 286:3,22	112:3 113:8
299:12 300:8 342:12	210:12,15 2
343:7	disclosed 4 52:19 53:4 9
deter 376:18	94:18 102:3 103:8,10 11
determination 41:25 42:4 261:18,19	117:13 130:
determine 53:19 82:19 83:6	133:21 134: 190:25 212:
determined 261:16	disclosure 134:12,16 1

191:20 211:21.23 262:11 275:11 disclosures 91:2 nt 94:16 discovery 81:4 4:25 196:21 10 discuss 99:3 124:2 5 148:18 201:18,20 **e** 268:14 345:7 96:5 discussed 26:18 5:12 73:23 74:11 80:14 18 272:20,23 275:3,4 35:5,8,13 294:5 300:11,13 22 253:6 discussing 117:25 :10 148:22 180:8 191:6 217:18 234:9.15 7:17 300:17 319:7 341:6, 37:6 2 393:22 391:6 83:7 discussion 164:4.9. 12,17 180:19 191:9 5 16:7 42:7,15 251:20 282:18.21 177:11 :6 32:15 21 395:3 discussions 58:20 5:22 72:7 233:6.15 249:11 250:4 251:13,19 18:5,16, **e** 131:7 6:7 47:17 dislocation 371:16 0 88:13 displeased 362:15 99:14,20, 1:21 **dispute** 61:7,10,13, 3 179:12 16 42:21 disputes 16:16 48:7.9 distancing 7:9 93:24 3,7,13,18 distinction 23:25 3:12 52:3 164:19 :10 distinctions 33:17 3 138:8 4 distinctly 392:4

100:12 85:15

District 8:13

Index: Delaware..Dondero

diversion 208:16 divided 110:14 239:24 Division 8:14 docket 215:4 255:18 document 13:3,6 91:19 92:5 96:3 103:18 104:23 105:5. 322:14 342:7 343:22 10,16 136:11 139:22 140:8,12 141:4,10,16 144:3 147:16 151:22 153:6,15,22,23,24 107:9 114:25 192:12 154:5,11,18,24 155:3 193:23 227:12 234:4 156:24 159:21 197:13,14,16,17,25 198:12,15,17,23 371:15 383:22 390:9 199:3,4,10,14,17,25 200:3,18,22 201:3,6, 15,18 202:4 203:2 209:14,16,22 210:12, 15 211:24 212:4 213:21 214:3,6,12, 8,22 346:4 388:13,15 15,17,20,24 215:7,13 216:7 226:10,25 227:8,19 228:6 236:16,19 237:18 217:21 246:4 249:24 239:17 244:5 256:5,9 293:21,22 301:16 302:12 303:7 305:12, 290:6 319:13 342:15 387:22 388:8 392:11, 21 320:14,16 338:14 documents 12:24 13:12,14,16,19 25:5 123:15,17 126:19 140:10 143:24 253:17,20,25 263:25 147:20,25 149:12 264:14 274:5 275:17 153:8 159:15 197:2 280:18 367:19 387:4 227:13 235:19 236:25 266:19 267:3, 7,9,14,18 291:9 294:2,4,6,8,11,14,17 296:21,22 322:11 364:18 377:10 dollar 253:21 288:12 dollars 253:24 260:19 Don- 68:19 Dondero 4:2 9:14

15:6 16:8 17:24 19:8, 12,25 20:9,13,18,24 21:4 42:9,17 43:15, 24 44:3,5 50:11,14,

21.25 51:6.12 54:15. 17 55:2,7,11,19 56:2, 16,24 57:20,24 58:9 59:6 60:11,14,20 61:4,5,8,21 62:3,9,20 63:4,7,11 64:4,7,9, 10,13,16,21 65:3,5,9, 10,17,18 66:6,7,8 67:7,23 68:19,25 71:13 75:25 78:16,18 80:15 82:16,21,23 91:3 92:5 94:20 98:8 99:4,6,10,13,20,23 101:17 107:3,13,21 108:3,19 117:25 118:7 123:3 124:2 145:5,7,14 148:9,14 189:9,16 190:10,13, 17,25 191:3,9 193:20 194:19 201:14,21 205:9,14,24 206:6, 11,15,24 208:5,8 225:7,14,21 232:18 233:9,24 234:11,16, 22 235:5,7,9,22 236:9 239:2,5 241:8 242:8 243:8 262:6 263:6 271:25 273:2,5 275:25 280:19 282:16,22,25 283:14 284:4,18 285:3 287:5 288:24 289:4 290:6 300:11,24 301:16 303:16 317:5 318:3, 9,11,16 319:4,8,20 320:3 335:17,23 336:6 341:7,9 342:15 343:15,22 344:2,12, 22 350:6,11 362:10 363:6,19 365:3 366:2,20 368:11,22 381:9,10 382:12,18, 22 383:8 384:6,10 386:7,17,20 387:23 388:9,14 389:2,21 390:4,25 392:4 394:6 Dondero's 57:13

62:4,10 63:23 144:23 206:15 217:11 350:15

doubtful 244:9.17 245:25 246:7,17,23, 24 247:12,25 249:2 250:10,14 251:8,22 253:10 254:3 255:8,

15 draft 145:25 146:4 147:10,20 149:17 176:4 182:24 213:17 290:7,12,16 291:4,9 294:17 305:11,14 319:14 drafted 145:23 146:10 147:2,25 290:24 305:13 319:18 drafting 115:20 116:5 146:7 213:12, 13 drafts 230:22 322:3,8 dramatically 264:17 Draper 4:12 9:17 driver's 21:25 dropped 294:8,11,14 295:4 **DSI** 72:3 166:12 237:9,12 245:9,21 247:4 249:10 250:8 251:7 252:10 253:10 254:5,11,16,19,22 255:8,14,25 257:15, 18 259:12 309:21 312:7,11,21,23 313:5 348:3 **DSI's** 256:6 **Dubel** 233:21 due 7:7 8:18 50:3 55:17 56:18 58:2 59:15 63:10 106:21, 25 108:14 109:7,18 110:6 111:2,5,9,12, 23 112:18,24 113:19 114:19 115:3 119:3, 10,22 120:20 121:4 161:9 162:6 163:22 165:11 167:11 168:5 171:12 174:15 175:12 176:9 190:6 194:4 203:19 204:12, 16 205:2,13 208:8 211:12 212:10,11 220:23 223:6 234:23 240:10 259:19 260:11 262:7 266:24

271:21 274:14 275:7

335:12 337:3.25 338:24 347:8 355:25 356:22,24 357:11 359:2 360:16,17 361:24 364:3 377:12, 23 379:8 381:23 382:10 383:19 390:10 391:24 Dugaboy 4:10 9:18

42:23 43:6 65:11 100:18

**duly** 10:12

dump 267:3

Dustin 183:2.23 184:7

Dustin's 183:10

duties 25:17,19,22, 25 28:10 30:25 31:4 38:20 219:20 292:4

## E

e.g 171:13

earlier 84:5 86:18 93:12 100:3.5 119:3 130:9 131:18 134:11 136:2 148:21 155:5 162:14 179:7,16 183:12 195:24 206:18 211:15 217:14 218:8 222:19 243:10 259:10 261:21 263:21 269:24 282:24 283:6 289:4,15,20 290:12 302:11 309:11,20 312:20 316:10,13 318:8 325:12 334:6, 14,20 337:18 351:2 357:8 362:25 368:24 370:8,18 371:15 378:5,20 379:4 380:12

earliest 314:8 316:6

early 19:5 173:17 231:22 254:20 323:6 340:21 341:5 344:17 365:20 388:4 390:17

earth 251:23

easier 305:5 373:13 Emanuel 4:19 10:4 East 8:23 employed 14:2,6 23:3 27:15 30:7 easy 265:15 32:10 34:7 89:21 educate 204:9 240:25 322:9 330:14 educated 120:10 employee 24:4 28:20,22 51:22 52:6, education 21:23 17 70:24 71:7 72:12 22:7 115:22 172:17 effect 282:16 294:25 388:12,16 344:23 345:4.17 346:11 351:13 363:8 effective 152:18,24 effectively 329:25 effectuate 57:8,12 63:22 360:15 382:9 effort 83:5 376:12,17 efforts 188:16 5,8 eight-figure 389:18 elaborate 26:12 281:4 285:25 electronic 299:2 320:15 electronically 298:21 299:23 17:12 320:14,17,23 element 365:23 Ellington 14:20,22 email 5:21 6:11 68:6 70:3 170:23 171:3,22 172:3 174:5 182:20 183:3,22 185:25 187:20 194:10 198:18 206:9.23 348:16 352:7 367:23 207:2,4,5,25 290:19, 25 291:4 292:16 293:14,22 294:2 307:23 313:11 316:22 320:24 321:3 329:6 330:7,13 331:11 332:3 341:17 258:21 342:3,7,13,23 350:14 387:11 392:22 emails 6:7,8,9 227:13 236:13

299:25 300:7,9

328:15 379:12

184:21 325:19 employees 15:15, 19,23 39:12,19 40:6, 8,12 51:24 52:14,25 57:8,17 86:13 93:22 167:2 237:12 327:15 331:2 333:7,16 334:25 335:8 337:24 346:25 350:22 354:6 369:12 381:22 382:2, employment 71:19 165:2,3 166:4 167:3 enabled 360:22 encompass 379:13 encourage 13:18 end 46:19,20 86:17 103:12,13 104:5 109:20 118:10 131:4 145:13 148:13 187:8 203:7 214:12 220:19, 22 221:6 222:12 223:4,14,17 224:13 242:23 262:15 265:3 293:3 326:11 329:18

379:16 380:9 381:23 382:10 383:20 ending 93:14 105:18 135:21 218:21 219:7, 16 221:15,21 242:17

ends 395:7,8

enforced 231:12

English 284:11

ensure 98:4 330:19 331:3 333:16 346:12

Index: Dondero's..ensure

exchanged 45:21 errors 163:21 167:14 373:10 ensuring 327:20 exchanges 281:17 **Esq** 3:6,7,12,13,20, enter 122:21 21 4:4,5,11,18 entered 67:7 68:25 established 94:2 104:14,19 122:6 285:14,20 300:25 301:7 310:16 entire 19:7 192:13 estate 31:12,17 253:19 264:4 235:11,15 **entirety** 130:12,15 estimate 20:12 372:4 389:3 entities 16:7 17:23 43:13 44:3 54:21,25 et al 5:15 55:4 101:8.15 189:8 300:14 evaluate 170:12.14 278:2 289:19 330:13 361:13 394:4 evaluated 244:10 entities' 265:12 evening 353:3 entitled 41:8 82:7 event 131:2 134:22 110:25 157:16 138:9 143:9 entity 15:5 22:20,25 events 103:13 27:18 31:11,23 32:3, 125:16,25 130:4,10, 6,11 42:14 109:24 21 133:8 138:5 137:13 272:3 289:23 242:22 262:14 263:4 302:22 307:8 370:24 371:7,9,13 entries 240:19 exact 15:24 17:25 entry 131:10 308:3, 18:13,18,19,22 20:5 14 311:9 332:23 30:17 131:20 156:21 274:13 277:17 environment 90:3,5, 278:10 9,14,20 113:6 137:18.20 **EXAMINATION** 5:6. 7,8,9,10,11 10:14 environments 266:13 352:25 377:5 387:19 393:19 equal 49:11 50:23 examined 298:19 exceed 121:12 190:2 equaled 263:7 195:19 196:3 equals 119:6,9 exceeded 93:25 268:9 equity 273:18 281:25 194:2 196:9,17 204:19 211:3 301:9 114:22 303:9 307:9 erroneously 197:22 Excel 368:19 error 125:6,9 131:17, exceptions 231:2 24 132:3 145:8 165:9 213:12,14 214:5 excerpts 238:16 273:11,14 275:14 excess 94:6 121:12 276:8,25 277:5 278:5.15 280:12.22 389:13.14 283:3 289:6 318:15 exchange 45:15 385:9,14,19,23 212:17 281:17,23

348:4

124:5

207:21

262:7

282:3

excuse 14:25 35:23 201:2 249:18 280:9 expe 323:13 395:8 expe execute 48:14,22 executed 49:21 142:10 161:12 221:6 274:2 293:14 303:7 expe executing 317:16 expe execution 181:9 expla **executive** 35:10,11, 16 36:20,24 37:5,18 expla 38:3,4,9,14,16,21 expla 39:3 78:10 366:24 367:18 386:10 expla executives 369:3 **exhibit** 5:15,16,18, 20,21,22,23,25 6:2,4, 7,8,9,10,11,12,13 13:13 91:20,21 104:23,24 135:8,14, 15 140:12,13 142:15, 16 151:20,21 170:18, 19 197:3,9,15 198:2 214:7 215:22,23,25 216:3,4,5,7,8,12 218:13,16,17 226:23, 24 236:16,23 237:19 258:18 297:23 302:7, 8 303:14 305:5 306:7 307:18,21 309:2,6 313:9 317:12 328:10, 12,13 338:13,21 341:13,14 exhibits 267:10,11 exist 15:12 16:17 existence 67:15 69:18 80:16 81:6 99:14,20,24 102:3 exists 278:13

expanding 354:25

expect 247:19 293:19 333:15

Inde	x: ensuringfiled		
expectation 231:5	fail 12:3 348:5		
<b>expected</b> 200:17,21 293:21	failed 338:23 340:2		
<b>expects</b> 203:18 212:9	<b>fair</b> 11:23 19:24 44:14 49:7 52:4 93:17 99:13 110:21 118:11,		
<b>experience</b> 101:14 111:16 116:14 169:10 256:25 257:8 259:13 315:5 337:22 <b>experienced</b> 47:13	21,24 119:6,8,9 120:3,15 121:5 126:8,21 141:18 143:23 149:20 224:6 239:16 240:18,21 241:17 242:6 243:5 244:13,20 245:19		
<b>expert</b> 43:5 143:19 153:7 183:9 257:14	260:10 261:15 262:7 263:5,15 264:25 287:21 370:21		
<b>explain</b> 356:18	371:18 372:5 374:10		
explained 364:17	390:2		
explaining 163:15 explanation 308:20 311:8	<b>faith</b> 189:8,15 190:9, 12,19 191:3 193:19 194:20 205:8,14,23 206:14,23 208:5		
expressly 390:4,25 391:12 392:5 extended 301:17	<b>familiar</b> 22:20 31:22 32:3 54:6,9 226:13		
308:21 314:22	227:8,18 274:6		
extension 307:8	fashion 86:11 276:16 357:14		
extent 45:17 55:21 66:15 107:5 126:4 208:6 244:15 296:6 322:6	fault 229:17 276:24 277:19 favor 60:6 62:19		
extra 219:23	139:17 161:24 261:9 feature 301:17 315:8		
F	February 70:21		
face 50:23 120:11	226:3,4 228:3,23 323:9		
144:16 244:3	Federal 7:19		
face-to-face 293:8 294:5	<b>feel</b> 81:8,18 176:25 273:13 287:16 371:21 376:11,16		
facilitated 321:10	fees 332:12 356:21,		
<b>fact</b> 62:7 192:20 236:21 264:24 266:25 275:7 298:4	23 fide 369:25		
301:15 313:19 334:22 338:23 341:10 343:19	<b>figure</b> 343:10 366:12 367:5		
363:12 394:5,7,17 facts 111:22 240:6	file 167:8,13 297:2,10 337:8		
261:25 265:8 375:17	filed 21:6 197:17		
<b>factual</b> 164:9	208:18 213:23 215:13 219:23		

			I	ndex: filesfunds
226:16 237:2,24 238:4 244:5 255:17 263:17,19 311:10	<b>fine</b> 36:8,9 45:10 84:8 154:12 269:16 287:2 353:24	43:17 50:6,7 52:8 53:18 54:8 55:10 56:11,25 58:25	273:7 277:22 278:19 279:8 280:15,23 283:19 284:7,14	<b>Frank</b> 5:5 8:10 9:11 10:11,18 271:4 305:8 395:14
322:2,4,16,21 375:20 files 312:23 372:21	finish 11:21 12:2	59:19,25 60:7,15,17, 25 61:12 62:11	285:7,23 286:8,9 287:9,18,24 288:8,25	frankly 232:2
filing 45:25 240:4,17	76:18,24 249:19 295:11	63:13,24 64:18,19 65:12,20 66:11,24	289:12 290:9,21 291:23 292:19	Fred 249:21
246:3 249:9 256:20 264:15	fired 388:9 firm 10:21	67:10,17,25 69:7 75:6,10 78:20 79:4,	293:15,23 296:13 297:6 298:22 299:15	Friday 208:18 329:18,25
filings 237:14	fiscal 103:14 104:6	14,20 80:2,12 82:24 83:25 85:15 86:2	300:15 302:2,25 303:2,10,20 305:10	<b>front</b> 110:12 122:12 140:16 279:21 281:7
filled 257:13	131:4	91:4 94:3 96:11,20 97:5 99:17 107:15,16	306:15,24 307:3,13 310:2,11,19,24	294:9 301:11 302:9 305:4 309:7 343:6
finalized 88:7 89:12 230:23	fit 42:24 flip 331:8 332:20	108:5 110:11 111:24 112:20 113:20	311:12 312:16,19 314:14 315:9,23	frozen 144:9
finance 25:21 26:2,4, 8,17 28:15 38:23	fluctuate 93:10	114:20 115:5,7 117:6,18 119:12,13	316:23 317:17,24 318:6,17,23 319:23	frustration 364:17
127:25 128:16 129:6 280:7 290:14 351:3	fluctuates 94:10 focus 242:14 313:3	120:16,17 122:10,16 124:6,23 127:19	320:6,18 322:5,23 326:24 327:6,24	<b>full</b> 189:8,15 190:9, 12,19 191:2 193:19 194:19 205:8,14,23
financial 5:18 6:2 14:12 26:24 27:5	folks 46:15,24 87:25 152:10 197:23 293:5	132:16 133:23 134:8 142:5,7 143:10 144:8 145:2 146:13 147:5,	330:21 331:5 333:10, 18,20 334:10 335:4 336:14 338:3,5	206:14,23 208:5 241:15 372:20
41:7 48:2 84:17,23 85:3,13,19 88:11 90:2,7 93:24 95:14	321:15 follow 61:17 151:15	12 149:5,22 150:10, 17 153:18,19 154:2,4	339:16 340:18 341:3 342:21 345:12,19	<b>fully</b> 13:4,7 17:4 364:19
96:7 100:12 102:7, 10,12 104:21 105:17	391:18	155:11 156:17 158:15 159:17 160:2, 3,12,18,20 167:5	346:22 347:14,19,21 348:10,11,12 351:15 353:11,15 354:4	fulsome 265:8
107:22 112:2 113:7, 14 114:18 120:8,18	follow-up 171:7 footnote 244:8	168:8 178:21 180:3, 5,11,13 181:5,11	355:5,9,15,21 356:3, 14 357:6,15,22	function 26:8,20 28:16 87:3 89:4 351:3
122:11 130:23 133:22,25 135:20 138:3,4,9 143:8	260:20,23 261:15,17 371:22	183:15 184:6,20 185:2,18,24 191:5,23	358:16,22 359:4,11 360:3,11,25 363:16,	fund 3:18 9:22 22:21
176:21,24 179:16,23 180:15 196:22 201:8	footnotes 261:17 263:11	193:21 194:5,6,23 195:21,22 196:5,12, 13,18 200:20 201:25	22 364:10 365:6 366:15,25 367:16,25 369:4,8,17 370:3,25	33:23 35:2,6,18,19, 21 39:7 42:22 44:7 58:15 125:2,3,6,7,10,
211:7,21 217:24 218:3,19,24 219:6	forbearance 134:5, 16	202:25 203:23 204:14,23 205:3,9,	371:10,25 372:22 373:4,20 374:11	11 127:10,13 145:16 152:14 176:18
221:14 222:24 224:10 235:17 241:24 242:3,15,16	<b>forgave</b> 49:23 51:23 52:12 93:20	11,15,16,25 206:8,21 208:10,12 209:9	375:3 376:20 379:10 380:25 381:14	202:14 203:14 215:14 273:17,23 274:16 275:2,4,6,8,
254:9 258:25 260:3 261:20 262:5 264:11,	forgivable 369:2	210:24 211:4,13 212:13,21,22 213:11, 25 220:10,15 221:2	384:13 386:14 388:19 389:5,15 391:4 393:24 394:10,	10,13 276:7,9,10 277:5,9,21 279:19
13 270:10,14 271:2 286:18 301:11 302:19 306:4 311:25	forgive 51:10,15,20 52:5 284:9	222:13 225:10,24 227:22 228:9 229:24	11,19 <b>formal</b> 231:20	280:2 281:2,9,10,11, 12,13,15,20,23,25
302.19 306.4 311.25 312:5,6 370:9,12,17 378:24	forgiven 52:18 53:11 94:11 366:23 367:23	231:9,18 232:10 234:6 235:23 236:10	format 293:14	282:7 283:23 308:4 325:22
financials 6:5	369:13 forgiveness 52:22	238:11 239:3,14,21, 22 240:16,23 242:24,	forms 257:13	fund's 274:23
137:16 168:19 176:8, 16 179:8 186:15,16	93:23 94:8 365:23 369:16,20,24	25 246:19,25 247:14, 15,16 248:4 249:5	formulating 184:3 formulation 386:24	funding 202:8 funds 32:22,25 33:2,
187:2 189:23,25 200:10,12 218:12	forgot 282:8	250:11,22 251:11 253:12 254:6,7 255:11 22 256:18	forthcoming 335:18	4,8,12,15,16,18,22 34:5,8,13,18,23
241:13,15,21 242:2 246:9 247:5	<b>form</b> 20:4,20 22:14 25:15 29:21 31:16	255:11,23 256:18 257:6,11,15,20 260:14 262:19 263:9	forward 79:17 376:25	35:12,15,17,18 36:21,25 37:4,9,17
<b>find</b> 53:23 268:10 283:17 315:4	33:9 34:20 40:10 41:4,12,24 42:11,12	265:4 269:7 270:15, 22 271:8,18 272:9,17	<b>found</b> 53:17 66:12 125:6 140:15 394:8	38:2,5,10,17,22 39:4 145:15 160:8 168:10 171:15 173:2,6,10,

13,16,20,23 184:11 192:16,24 193:4,6, 11,16 253:19 277:4,8 281:15 284:5 285:3 286:17 287:5 328:5 332:7,12 360:9 361:6,11,13 <b>future</b> 171:12 174:16 175:13 185:17 337:4 369:13 371:7,9,13,20 381:17 388:23	379:11,12 387:2 389:10 <b>give</b> 12:25 20:11 35:5,9 38:15 45:4 49:6 51:7 86:15 96:15 97:17 116:14 117:4 123:12,13 150:8 159:22 163:17 193:25 235:25 236:6 267:8 300:8 348:21 356:9 357:9 372:9
G	<b>giving</b> 16:19 26:14 51:3 150:11 194:20 312:22 369:2
<b>GAAP</b> 94:15 101:5, 16 109:10 130:21 131:23 241:13,15,20, 25 242:4,11 246:9 254:10 256:23	Global 35:18,20 124:25 273:17 281:8, 22 go-ahead 293:2
264:10 372:7	goal 295:19
gaming 239:9	<b>God</b> 156:19
garbling 46:14 gave 45:14 57:11 149:2 197:23 205:6 215:10 312:21 343:9,	<b>good</b> 7:3 10:19 72:16 118:5 156:19 248:11 251:3 324:22 353:3 385:5
17	governed 33:4
gears 321:25 324:20 gen 85:16	<b>great</b> 32:8 105:3 183:5 300:6 325:5
general11:1732:2057:584:2588:1897:15,17128:9,25152:4,6,7,8170:7180:7195:15258:10292:10297:10297:4378:10392:11generally54:961:262:1462:1464:280:2384:2484:2487:689:795:8,10,2597:7128:7,8,19,24129:8,13162:2,3,8170:6180:14187:19189:20192:11195:4226:13234:14241:13253:14,16,23278:22,24279:3290:2297:16311:3333:25334:2337:4356:12357:13,18358:20366:7366:7372:18,24	ground 11:17 group 14:5,7,24 15:4,14 16:14 29:23 146:5 148:24 149:2 150:15 154:19 172:13 258:13 290:16 291:9 292:2 294:18 295:9,13,22 378:16 groups 295:21 grow 88:23 89:4,9 guess 73:3 169:22 170:20 204:17 225:25 263:22 315:25 361:9 guidance 94:12,17 257:7,9,14 guy 25:21 81:19 88:25 guys 77:3 157:3

268:12
н
<b>half</b> 72:16 145:10 280:20 324:23 377:21
halfway 48:18
hand 361:6
handle 291:14
handled 147:18
<b>happen</b> 141:14 156:15 165:2,15,18, 22 168:25 248:21 265:14 284:13
happened 87:23 156:22 165:17 174:19 247:3,5 273:15 286:4 294:3 320:10
happening 165:21 231:6 242:10 382:25
<b>happy</b> 117:4 188:19 200:10 215:7 389:25
hard 13:11,16 104:11 123:16 197:23 286:19
<b>HARDD</b> 3:22
Hardt 3:22 9:20
harmed 276:16 278:5
<b>Harr</b> 9:20
Hartmann 3:13 9:10
hat 336:4
Hatch 7:4 8:21
Hayley 3:7
HCFD 332:6
HCM 42:22 45:5 156:12 354:13 355:7 360:23 362:7,20
HCMA 186:18
HCMF 30:10 43:12 180:22 303:18

**HCMFA** 5:20 6:2 22:25 23:3,6,11,16, 22,24 24:6,9,11,13, 15,21 25:2,8,14,18, 24 26:3,5,8,22,24 27:4,5 29:3,6 31:5 32:18 43:12 54:14 100:23 122:2,7,13 124:14,21 131:11 132:6 133:12,21 134:11,14 135:6,21, 23 137:22 138:8,14 139:18 140:4,21 141:9,16 142:2,24 143:18 144:22 152:24 153:17 155:7, 10 156:4,13 158:4,12 161:7,17 171:13 172:20 176:14 178:12 179:13 180:22,23 181:2,8, 14,15 182:8,17 184:25 186:9,18 187:3,17 189:14,24 195:19 198:21 199:6, 12,14,19 201:15 202:7,12,14 203:14, 18 204:2,11,24 205:7,19 210:2,7 211:10 212:9.18 213:8 218:8 221:20 241:23 242:6 267:24 268:21 269:2,5,25 272:5,6,15,24 273:5 275:16 276:23 277:3, 18 278:16,18 279:7 280:11,21 284:5 301:9 303:6 305:25 308:14,20 310:10,16 313:15 314:7 316:6 318:14 322:3 325:12, 18 331:13 332:5,17 370:20 384:18 385:7, 12,16 386:4 HCMFA's 26:10

HCMF's 303:18

121:12,21 122:11 124:3 137:3,10 138:3,19 143:8 196:16 202:14 204:15,19 211:2 303:8 384:21 386:2

**HCMLP** 5:18,22 6:12, 13 171:13 176:9

Index: future..held

_	
	185:16 314:7 316:5 317:6 341:19 355:12, 18,20 356:2 357:3, 13,19 358:4,13,20, 21,25 359:2,8,24 360:17 384:19
	HCMLP's 228:22 356:12
	HCMS 4:3 9:15 30:4, 6,7,10,13,16,20,22, 24 31:3 43:12 44:8,9 54:14 65:6 100:24 128:23 129:2,4 353:9,14 354:6,20,21 355:8,13,19 356:2 357:21 358:15 359:2, 8 361:5,15,20,25 362:4 364:3,25 383:19,25 385:7 393:21 394:7
	HCMS' 356:13 357:13
	HCMS's 359:13,19
	HCRA 4:2 9:15
	<b>HCRE</b> 31:8,14,15 32:4,7,10,12,15 43:18 44:7 54:14 65:6 100:24 128:4,5, 11,14 353:9,14 354:3 357:20 358:4,14,21 359:23,24 360:17 361:5,15,19,25 362:2,5,9,22,25 363:4,20 384:3 393:21 394:7
	HCRE's 360:5,9,14, 21
	<b>head</b> 87:13,16 278:3 364:15
	headquartered 8:22
	<b>hear</b> 46:13,20 148:12 304:3 364:16
	<b>heard</b> 27:9 29:25 31:7 74:22 77:25 78:21,23 79:6,7 80:4, 5,7,22 100:6 268:5 285:3 324:15 386:16, 19,22
	held 8:16 27:20 30:9,

held 8:16 27:20 30:9, 12 37:8 107:12

			IIIdex: H	lellerindividuals
172:25 173:5 174:3	160:10 161:8,24	41:7 44:24 46:6	hours 249:24 260:17	12,18 342:23 392:21
183:6 244:4	165:7 167:3,9,14,15	47:16,24,25 50:19	HR 280:7 355:2	inability 299:12
Heller 4:12 9:16	172:14,17 175:13 176:15 178:19,23	51:10,15,20 52:19 55:16 57:8,17 72:6	357:25	inaccurate 112:25
Hendrix 172:7	179:13,22 180:2,9	84:10,17 86:23 89:22	Hunter 244:23 245:2,	116:21 117:2,17
186:21 230:20 292:3	181:21 182:2,6,11,15	94:22 95:6 102:7,10	6 247:11 260:22	136:18 138:20,24
328:17,20 329:13	186:9,11 198:20	107:14,22,25 109:20		256:16
330:14 332:15	199:5,11,18 200:13	113:9 115:23 116:2,3		
341:18,24 350:18	201:15 202:7,12,13	137:15 138:3 139:17	I	inaudible 48:14
362:24 365:13	203:13 204:12,16,25	146:10 165:2,3 166:4		148:10
377:11,22	205:13 209:25 210:6	167:3 187:2 210:22	idea 150:2 154:21	include 26:9 106:25
how 45-2 499-0	211:9,11 212:8,11,16	222:24 225:8,15,23	156:23 201:24	189:7 230:3 259:24
hey 45:3 188:6 266:17 312:24	215:14,17 216:22	230:5 241:24 242:15	232:14	263:3 305:7 351:18
351:14 365:4 391:23	218:8 219:21 220:19	243:4 247:22 255:7	Ideally 211:17	355:3,8,13 368:17
551.14 505.4 591.25	222:2,17 223:5,24	258:5 260:12 262:5		included 54:17 81:4
high 126:25 238:2	225:9,21 226:2,3,9	266:19 370:21 379:8	identical 298:11,13	108:4,7,19 175:13
279:11	227:5,20 228:8	381:22,25 382:5,8	299:20 380:13	176:23 183:21
higher 110:19	229:2,6,9,21 230:3,	hindsight 265:6,11,	identified 36:21	194:18 200:12
-	10 232:22 233:24	15	37:5,10 38:6,11,18	213:22 217:23 222:2
Highland 3:4,18 8:11	234:17 237:12,16		45:2 47:19 81:14	232:14 239:11
9:6,22 10:22 11:6	240:7 241:2,7 242:6,	hint 346:15	229:2,7 259:5,23	243:12 251:15
15:16,23 18:6,7,12,	9 243:4 244:4,22,25 245:5 247:7 248:23	hit 86:9	identify 35:14 42:14	252:12 312:12 315:8,
25 20:3 21:6 22:21	253:18 258:10 259:2	hold 21:12,16 23:5,8	52:17 57:23 58:6	21 326:18 336:22
30:2 35:17,18 39:10, 15,19,23,24,25 40:3,	260:4 261:9 263:17	25:13 27:17 34:15	60:10 61:3 62:25	includes 172:3
4,7,25 41:18 42:6,10,	264:18 267:7 271:2,	37:3,15,16,21 39:6	188:24 191:18,25	
18,21 43:11,16,23	15,23 272:20 273:4,	163:12,25 167:12	264:24	including 132:11
44:6 45:2,14,25 46:7	16 275:16,21 279:18,	184:9 192:15 193:9	identity 102.10 102.2	178:15 253:24
47:16 48:6,14,24	19,25 280:2,12,14,20	199:13 212:24 308:5	identity 102:19 103:3	335:21 366:21 368:6
49:5,10,17,23 50:4,	281:8,22 282:17,23	329:10 387:12	imagine 136:3	income 35:18 179:17
10,14,16,21 51:6,10,	283:5,17 284:5	holding 27:25	immaterial 53:3	
15,20,23 52:5,11,18	285:18 286:21 287:6,	holding 37:25	94:19	incomplete 112:25 116:22 117:2
53:9,10,24 54:11	15 288:7 289:7,8	holds 184:14,18		110.22 117.2
55:23 58:15,16,20	290:16 297:3,16	holidays 329:23	immediately 347:8	incorporated 153:9
60:6 61:20 62:8,19	299:24 302:20,24	-	impact 275:9,11,12	incumbency 5:20
63:8,20 64:7 70:20,	303:6,17 307:7 308:4	home 191:17 207:15,	-	151:25 152:5,9,13
24 71:7,10,18 72:13	313:6 318:10,13 319:11 320:4 322:10	19 219:19 299:6,9	impacted 229:8	154:20 159:19
78:18 84:22 85:2	323:8 325:22,24	342:25 343:2 348:16	230:4	183:11 270:3
86:13,22 87:8,18 93:20 94:5 95:13	329:3 330:16,19	393:7	impacting 228:21	in our de 200,40
96:7 103:2 105:17	333:22 338:17 339:3,	honest 144:3	229:3	incurred 336:12 385:18
107:12 109:22,23	11,13,25 346:11,19,	honestly 78:4	impairment 240:21,	
110:8 112:8 115:21	24 347:12,17 348:2,4	198:22	24 241:3,5,11	independent 72:7
116:4 118:23 120:8	350:14,20 353:7,8,13			166:22 233:7,12,15
121:16,20 122:23	354:2,6,19 359:16,	hope 82:2 262:22	important 11:21,25 12:25	348:3
123:21 124:13,20,25	17,18,21,25 360:7,8,	hoping 300:7	12.25	indirectly 15:6 16:7
125:3 126:11,23	13 361:19,20 362:4		impossible 299:7	17:24 42:8,16 43:14
127:2,16,25 128:5,	363:4 366:4,14	Horn 4:11,12 7:22	impression 363:12,	88:3
10,15,20,23 129:2,6	367:10 368:4,6,25	9:16,17 349:10,13, 22,25 392:15 394:25	18,24	individual 11:3
132:5 133:10,16,20	369:11 370:13,19			271:24 272:25
134:13 136:2 137:7,	374:9 377:13,23 379:19 380:3,24	Houlihan 273:23	<b>in-</b> 294:9	300:10,12
11,21 138:8,11	381:12 384:21,22,23	274:3,10,25	in-house 164:7	
140:21 141:9,18,23 142:25 143:7 144:23	385:8,13 390:11	hour 46:24 72:16		individually 9:11
145:17,19 146:5		324:23 387:9	in-person 12:22 191:15 283:9,12	16:10
149:19 152:13	Highland's 18:10,17		290:20 292:16 323:4,	individuals 15:15
	19:3 39:10,15 40:25		200.20 202.10 020.4,	
	1	1	1	1

			Inde	ex: indulgingL.P.
276:21 285:18	instructions 256:7	investment 4:10	January 70:16	<b>key</b> 275:20
indulging 353:5	347:25	9:18 32:21 65:11	163:7,8 219:11,13,17	kidding 330:23
inform 190:18	insufficient 122:8	127:17,24,25 128:12, 14,16 129:3 244:23	258:20 326:8 341:6, 18 344:2 350:5,9,13,	kind 168:2 188:12
210:19 211:2 335:16	insurance 385:17,22	245:3,6 247:11	24 351:20 361:25	221:12 222:23
336:6 337:24	386:2	273:24 274:15	362:3,7 364:8 365:2,	245:22 250:5 274:16
information 16:14	insured's 385:25	279:21	15 383:23	276:4 323:6 329:22, 23 337:8 353:13
80:19 86:10 88:13 91:12 112:5,17	intend 208:6	investments 128:17 129:5,6 249:23	Jason 185:3 275:24 Jim 4:2 9:14 15:6	356:10 358:13,14
117:14,15 169:11,13	intended 111:21	investors 275:10	16:8 19:8 43:15,24	380:23
171:20 176:8,15,22 179:16,17,18 188:8	214:19 288:23 315:5, 19	276:12 277:4,8,21	44:5 50:11,25 65:16	kinds 353:6 354:2 357:2
206:3,5 218:20 230:3		281:18	67:6,22 68:18 71:23	
236:7 237:13 257:18	intending 16:25 146:16	invoices 185:10	72:2 75:24 78:9,16 82:22 123:3,20	Kirschner 4:16 10:5
274:4 312:10,24 344:19 368:11	intent 306:14 318:20,	involve 366:13	189:9,16 190:10,13,	Klinger 7:12 8:24
	25 380:10	involved 237:10	15 205:9 206:23	Klos 87:20 124:9
informed 69:18 178:17 190:24 210:5	intention 81:11	245:2 264:17 274:14	232:18 273:2 280:19 342:5,7 351:5,10,14	172:6 230:19 275:23 292:3,18 389:9
211:9 212:8 288:15	307:11	275:15,21 276:21 288:4 292:6,13 293:6	362:8,10 363:8,18	knew 68:18 103:9,10
335:16,23 378:7	interest 50:3 55:25	354:10	365:3 367:8 368:22	369:2
informing 64:13	56:22 57:18 58:2	issuance 131:5	379:25 381:9	knock 364:19,20
181:25 182:11 330:5	59:14,17 60:13 62:18	138:10,18 371:14	Jim's 367:22	knowable 371:14
initially 132:17 185:5	63:2,10 64:5,15,22 119:10,16,21 120:22	<b>issue</b> 54:7 178:14	<b>job</b> 25:19,25 42:3	
247:3	162:6 163:22 164:25	191:13 201:25	219:20 313:2	knowledge 43:10 46:5 47:23 48:12,21
initiated 58:10	165:11 167:11 168:5	204:10 208:24	<b>John</b> 3:6 7:24 9:4 10:20 45:3 46:13,18	49:9 50:13 52:5,10
initiating 58:12	217:17 223:18 273:18 334:9	issued 56:16 60:5	69:13 74:8 76:15,17	53:10 62:5 63:12,16,
initiative 290:5	interests 55:17	62:19 65:8,18 66:8 81:13 82:15,20 93:13	77:10 95:17 105:23	21,23 79:23 80:10 81:5 82:7,8 89:23
ink 296:22,25 298:4		107:13,20 108:2	129:18 146:13 154:7 155:18 157:6 177:6	93:23 95:3 98:17
ink-signed 297:3,10	internal 271:17	131:11 132:6 133:12	192:18 208:13 216:2	101:13,19 102:2,25
inquiries 160:9	internally 275:16 329:2	161:23 162:5 180:10 218:25 223:7,17	222:14 236:18	106:25 111:16 112:6 138:16 144:24 147:8
-		233:8 234:10,15	267:18 304:6 308:7 353:18 385:3 387:10,	153:13 167:8,22
inquiry 210:12 274:16,17 376:6	interpret 119:8	235:4,9,21 236:8	16	184:10 188:15 189:9
<b>inside</b> 187:22	interpreted 74:20	242:8 243:7 261:9 262:6 263:6	join 18:12 317:25	194:13 206:5 207:8 208:2 225:5,12
	interrupt 375:23	<b>issues</b> 113:5 248:14	<b>Jones</b> 3:8 9:5 10:21	226:21 232:21,23,24
insolvent 302:22	interrupted 76:25	306:19	72:10	237:11 243:4 259:22
installment 217:2	interrupting 77:16,	item 108:19 111:11,	July 307:24 317:9	322:19 324:17 384:18
instance 52:12 57:16 60:10 377:16	18	18 112:4 119:2,16 123:6 185:21 220:5	jumping 234:18	Kopf 3:22 9:20
instances 335:25	interviewed 322:18, 22	238:20 259:18,24	June 92:2 98:17	Kristin 172:7 186:21
instruct 133:6 164:3	intimately 292:6,13	items 53:3 97:21	99:25 103:2 132:25	230:20 292:3,12
210:10,13,19 381:25	-	100:9 112:3 131:21	135:2 137:6 176:10, 24 186:16 200:7	328:17 342:11 343:8 350:17,18 362:23
instructed 77:15	intimidate 376:13,17	149:8 228:21 229:3,7	242:23 262:16,24	365:13
147:8,9,23 241:19	introduce 9:2	230:4,7 249:22 260:6	263:13 265:3	
291:7,8 315:7 362:8	invalid 136:24 235:5	J		L
381:22	investigations		K	
instruction 8:6 57:11 74:14,23	275:17	James 234:11	Karesa 249:21	<b>L.P.</b> 3:19 8:12 9:6,23
57.1174.14,20		271:25 273:2	1101030 243.21	10:22 11:7 15:16,23
			1	

				Index: Lamade
18:7 41:19 42:10,18 43:16 58:17,21 109:23 110:9 152:14 172:15 271:3 279:20 325:25 329:3 La 4:24 104:25 118:3 129:16 135:10 177:14 218:14 labeled 8:9 laborious 145:12 laid 56:6 landline 393:7 language 101:4 315:21 316:2,3	learning 75:3 83:23 leave 157:23 321:13, 15,20 led 342:7 348:4 ledger 258:10 leeway 16:13 208:14 left 20:2 70:20 71:10, 18 87:8,18 164:25 165:3 166:4 167:3 226:2,3 232:22 296:17 legal 7:5 8:21 25:5 29:23 42:19 43:5,7,8 44:17,21 45:4,9,17	levels       274:2         liabilities       5:24         175:17,22       190:2,6         194:2       195:19       196:2,         8,17       204:19       211:3         220:4       237:20,23       242:12       301:8         307:9       317:8       1       1         liability       175:14       217:24       276:24         277:19       280:21       1       1         liable       306:12,21       307:11       317:21         license       21:22,25       22:2,8,9	94:10 126:16,17 128:15 142:5 145:6 203:13 225:13,20 254:3 270:20 271:5, 15 272:7,8,16,21 284:5 285:5,6,11 303:6 318:15 331:14 332:9,14,17,24 333:3 335:12 357:2,13 358:20,25 359:2,9,25 361:18 362:22 363:8, 13,19 364:3,9,25 365:16 393:23 <b>Ioaned</b> 39:11,16,19 41:2 43:23 48:14 50:10 124:14,17,20 126:23 128:10 202:7	Index: Lamade 18 134:6 136:2 158:5,14,19,24 159:5,10,16,24 160:17,25 198:19 201:8 220:9,13 222:5 224:2 262:8 265:8 316:10,13 loop 148:3 294:19 Lord 248:11 losses 276:7,11 lot 55:4 143:24 147:16 162:15 188:3 208:13 225:4 245:21 248:16 249:11 278:2 286:22 289:24
large 287:22 379:22 largely 11:18 larger 356:8 357:10 late 323:6 379:21 387:9 388:3 390:17 launching 127:10 Lauren 171:4 183:24 192:5,12 275:24 Lauren's 185:9 law 12:11 306:9,11, 20 Lawn 4:7 lawsuit 55:8 164:13 181:22 375:19 376:6,	46:11 47:20 48:3,16, 25 49:13 55:21 107:5 126:4 127:11 142:6 143:15,19 146:5,10 147:3,9,24 148:2,4,5 149:7,11,15,24,25 150:20 153:2,7,8,12 154:8,19 155:12,13, 15,23 156:2,6 157:8 164:12 167:20 172:13 183:9 193:16 212:25 280:8 290:16 291:9,13,16 294:18, 20 295:5,8,13,22 296:4 322:18,22 351:18,21 354:8 <b>legitimate</b> 348:18 <b>lender</b> 273:4	22:2,8,9         licenses       21:13,16,21         22:12,16         life       377:2         light       293:2 299:11         lighting       35:25         list       83:12 100:9         243:17 245:16,24         246:6,23 247:10,25         249:2 250:10 251:22         254:3 317:9 377:22         listed       214:6 238:8         311:10 312:3 330:13         371:8         listen       40:14 47:8         114:13 144:14         223:12	126:23 128:10 202:7 <b>Ioaning</b> 39:24 307:7 317:6 <b>Ioans</b> 40:4 41:8,23 42:9 44:10,12,16 45:15 46:8 47:18,25 48:6 51:11,23 52:22, 25 61:9,25 91:2 94:6, 8 105:8 125:24 126:10,11 128:15 129:10 131:2 202:14, 17 225:6,7 255:8,14 259:24 271:11,20 273:6 287:7,8 288:22,23 317:6 318:4 331:22 333:12, 14 335:13 355:19 365:23 369:3,11,14 370:10,15	280:22 289:24 307:15 320:9 349:19 364:14 373:12,13 lots 169:12,13 373:8 Louisiana 4:14 love 252:17 lower 274:2 LP 3:17 9:21 lunch 139:25 150:22 158:6,14,20,25 159:6,11,16,24 lured 231:4 M Madam 268:8
23 <b>lawsuits</b> 53:25 54:7, 10,12 322:15 <b>lawyer</b> 73:19 154:15 157:7 164:5 266:16 373:24 375:16 <b>lawyers</b> 147:20 374:3 <b>lead</b> 269:15 <b>leading</b> 269:8 <b>learn</b> 65:15 67:15 89:4,9 104:13 <b>learned</b> 66:16 68:3,8, 11 69:25 70:6,10,15, 19,23 71:17 76:2 80:19 90:19 340:17	lending 127:2 302:21 303:17 lengthy 105:15 letter 91:16 92:2 93:13 95:5,16 96:9 98:23 99:2,3,7,11,16, 21,25 103:7,14,18,23 104:8 106:11,15 130:8 135:25 136:7, 17 262:16,22,25 letters 94:21 95:11 96:19,22 97:3,8,13, 19,25 98:9 136:14 level 22:11 53:7 92:25 93:5,25 238:2 279:11 287:23 288:4	listening 40:18 252:7 lists 152:10 litigation 4:17 10:5,6 16:12,20 17:3 267:15 373:23 374:5 375:25 LLC 31:8 32:4 LLP 9:13 354:13 loan 40:7 42:18 43:16 45:21 48:8,15,23 49:4,10,17,24 50:4, 15,20,24 51:2,5,16, 21 52:6,13,17,22 53:11 60:21 61:3,10, 19,22,23 62:9 63:10, 15,22 64:10 93:20	log 393:12 logging 395:3 logical 291:20 309:23 315:4 logically 310:9 logistically 321:10 Lokey 273:23 274:3, 10,25 long 192:8 233:4 333:14 353:4 385:3 long-term 333:17 longer 282:2 380:15 381:11 looked 101:2 111:12,	<b>made</b> 15:14 46:8 47:18 48:6,9 49:24 51:11,16,21 52:6,13 56:8,14,22 57:6,25 58:4,11 60:21 61:4, 11,19,22 62:2,4,18 63:2 64:5,9,14,22 78:5 98:19 121:24 122:2,9,15,21,22 124:4 125:25 127:21, 24 128:14 129:12 130:9 131:4 134:17 139:9,13,16 148:5 159:9 161:4 162:10, 11,18 163:6,10,21 165:10 168:2 182:15 190:14 195:10 202:6, 13 203:14 217:14 225:7 242:6 245:8,9

			Index: M	adisonmistakenly
261:19 262:24	216:19 305:18	237:18 258:18	meeting 68:5 70:2	270:20 271:6,7,15,16
271:21 288:21	makes 123:16 153:3,	297:23 302:8 307:21	168:21,23 169:15	272:7,8,16 273:6
295:17,23 299:7	22 345:17	309:6 328:13 338:13	189:7 192:9 206:12	277:11,12,16,20
306:12 314:2 315:15		341:14	231:20 283:9,10	278:6,7 282:14,15
316:21 317:15	making 40:7 41:22	market 119:6,9	290:20 300:23	283:18 287:15 288:6,
327:16 328:5,8	88:6 160:9 282:22	120:15 240:21	323:11	20 302:22 303:6,18,
334:22 335:3 343:19	293:4 335:9 337:20	241:17 242:7 243:5	meetings 184:8	24 304:11,20 305:23
345:11 350:23 351:8,	343:4 344:23 345:4	265:11 274:2 371:15	323:20	306:2 307:7 308:16,
20 358:20 359:7,14,	363:9,14 381:3,6			20,21,22 309:25
20 360:2,17,23	manage 26:7,17	matching 222:22	members 89:2	310:17,23 311:2
361:25 362:2,4,6,12,	28:15 38:23 116:11	material 53:12 104:5	166:23 191:21 192:3	315:13 317:6,10
16,18,22 363:7	354:11	111:22 112:3 113:11	233:16 237:7,9	318:14 334:7,19
364:25 365:10,14,15		131:6 133:25 171:11	323:16	336:13,23 337:25
373:19 378:8 379:16,	managed 113:24	174:14 185:15,21	memorialized 75:9	339:4 340:10 341:23
23 382:22 383:23	125:2 332:13 361:12	274:15		343:19 344:5 345:11
384:6,7,9,11,20	management 3:5,18		memory 95:23	350:7 351:22 389:13,
385:16,21,25 386:2	5:16 8:12 9:6,22	materiality 52:24	133:2,5 224:11,16	14
390:21 394:8,18	10:22 11:7 15:16,23	53:7,16,20 92:18,20	314:20 319:17	million-plus 289:22
Madison 4:20	18:7 22:21 26:20	93:2,5,8 94:2,7,9	331:17	-
	30:2 41:19 42:10,18,	98:15 104:7 117:11,	mental 260:15	millions 260:19
maintain 90:5	22 43:11,16 44:6	13		mind 19:20 59:23
maintaining 258:5	58:15,17,20 70:21	materially 274:23	mentioned 131:17,	60:4 160:6 161:17
0	90:21 91:16 94:21	-	20 273:10 281:2	198:18 305:17,21
make 36:4 41:25 42:3	95:4,16 96:8,18,21,	materials 175:4	282:12,15 289:15	394:16
57:17 63:9,14 74:3	23 97:2,8,12,19,24	maternity 321:12,14,	351:12 368:24	
83:2,5 86:8 88:16	98:9,25 103:17,22	20	met 268:3	mine 268:15
89:21 96:14 112:16	104:8 106:11,15	-		minimal 86:4,5,17
117:10 123:23	109:23 110:9 125:3	math 109:17 110:13	methodology	
127:16,18,21 129:5	128:20 130:8 135:24	221:8 239:24 260:15	274:18	minute 129:19 174:8
136:16,23 145:6	136:6,13 152:14	311:7	Michael 4:5 9:24	372:9
157:17 164:19	172:14 215:14,17	matter 236:21 260:9		minutes 53:23
176:19 177:7,12	232:13,15 262:16,21,		Michelle 3:13 9:9	139:24 174:21 176:4
187:3,17 198:20	22,25 271:3 279:18,	matters 313:5	151:7	182:23 266:20 267:3
199:19 200:14	19,22 280:2,3 308:4	maturity 314:22	micromanage 337:6	325:3,4 348:25
209:25 210:7,16,23	325:22,24 330:16	315:6,7	-	372:12
243:5 272:21 277:24	332:12 354:7,19	010.0,7	micromanaging	
285:9 288:10,13	359:16,18 360:7,9,14	Mckenzie 3:14 9:9	89:3	misapplication
294:18 295:20 296:5		meaning 231:3	mid 341:5 366:9,10	163:15
297:8 303:4 315:11	manager 116:9	274:18 276:12	1110 341.3 300.3,10	misremembering
317:5 318:4 324:14	230:16,22 291:12	290:13 296:4	middle 119:19 121:8,	229:13
325:2 331:22 332:7	managers 88:23,24	230.13 230.4	9 152:21	
334:8 338:23 340:2	230:18 274:5 292:2	meanings 41:14	milestones 66:3,9,	missed 339:12,14
342:9 344:20 346:12,		means 33:23 41:11	12,22 69:2 76:3 79:2,	340:7
20,25 348:5 350:19	managing 88:21	118:17 284:16,22	9 84:4	misspeak 301:23
351:6,14 358:7,25	292:5	329:19 366:3		-
359:9,10,15 360:6,	Marc 4:16 10:5	020.10 000.0	million 92:22 119:23	misspoke 301:21
10,23 361:6 362:6,7,		meant 186:8 301:24	121:3,6 124:17	336:16
9,17 363:3 365:4	March 14:8 323:6	measurement	131:13 132:2,8	mistake 61:17 139:9,
367:21 373:12	mark 49:18,21	240:18	138:12 140:14 141:9,	13,16 159:9 161:4
377:17 380:23	232:18 268:15		18 142:19 143:12	162:11,19 165:9
381:11,17,22 382:2,		mechanic 155:13,16	144:6,17,23 161:25	181:10 306:23 314:2
6,14,19 384:24	marked 91:21 104:24	media 8:9	178:19 216:17	316:22 317:15,22
390:5,7 391:2,12,20	135:13,15 142:16		217:16,19 220:13,20,	
392:6,12	151:21 170:19 197:9	meet 194:3 323:3	24 221:7 223:7,17	mistaken 304:14
maker 140:20 142:25	215:23 216:7 218:17	378:25	224:2 238:9 239:10,	mistakenly 167:10
	226:24 236:23		12,24 268:22,23	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

			Inde	x: mistakesNorth
168:4 214:11	82:10,13 91:8,18,22,	18 334:6,10,21 335:4	naively 263:23	161:23 162:5,7,11,18
mistakes 384:19	24 92:9,11,14 95:9	338:3 339:16 340:18	named 44:3 376:23	163:23 164:6 165:11
	105:4,25 110:22	342:21 345:12,19 346:22 347:14,19		167:7,8,13,15 168:2,
<b>mode</b> 283:9	114:11 118:2 129:15, 21,24 130:14,18	346:22 347:14,19 348:12 351:15 352:4,	<b>names</b> 229:11 300:8 309:19 311:25 312:4,	6 171:13 172:21 176:14 178:12,17,18,
model 274:3	135:5,10,13 136:9	8,16,18 353:11,15,19	13 375:20	22 179:13 182:3,12
moment 117:4	137:24 139:20	354:4 355:5,9,15,21		184:21,23 186:9,21
198:10 222:5 224:3	140:11,23 142:14	356:3,14 357:6,15,22	<b>Nancy</b> 4:2 9:14 65:9,	189:15 196:3,23,24
276:5 307:22	146:17 150:21	358:16,22 359:4,11	17 66:7 67:6,22	216:20 217:14,23
money 39:11,16,24	151:19 154:3,13,16	360:3,11,25 363:16,	68:19,25 75:25 78:16 82:22	218:3,19 219:15,22
41:2 43:24 49:11,17	155:19,22,25 156:18,	22 364:10,22 365:6,		220:18 221:6,10,13,
50:10 62:9 124:14,20	25 157:3,10,13,21	21 366:15,25 367:16,	Naomi 321:7	25 223:5,24 241:23
126:6,23 127:3,15,25	170:17,20,25 171:24	25 369:4,8,17 370:3,	narrative 111:17	242:6 260:4 267:24
128:5,10,23 129:2,5	174:18 177:9,14,16, 19,23 178:10 195:6	8,25 371:10,25 372:22 373:4,20	<b>noture</b> 04:4.05:0	309:24 322:3 325:14, 18,21 326:16,23
145:16,18 186:10	196:20 197:6,21	374:11 375:3,24	nature 84:4 85:6 144:2 147:17,18	327:4,10,17,20
276:13 282:8 283:5	201:11 202:3 207:15	376:20 377:6 387:7	180:20 230:8 240:22	328:6,7,8,25 329:3
285:18 318:10	208:20 209:13 214:4,	388:19 389:5,15	253:18 259:15	330:11,18,19 331:2
319:11 320:4 359:9,	8,10,14,21 215:3,10,	390:9 391:4,14	271:20 276:10	332:14,17 333:8,15,
13,24 360:21 374:22	21,24 216:4,13	392:7,13 393:24	279:22 280:9 292:22	16 334:5,8,22 335:3,
moneys 39:19 162:5	217:5,8 218:11	394:11,19,23 395:5	294:22 325:19	9 336:13 337:13,23,
167:9 277:8 282:17	219:25 221:23	Morris' 313:9	329:24 333:13	24 338:23 341:19
283:16,22 289:5	222:16 224:18		354:12 356:6,17	342:9 343:18 345:17
359:15	226:22 228:18	MORS 256:3	357:11 378:23	346:12,16,20 348:4
month 59:11 132:23	236:15,20 243:15 246:13 247:21 248:5,	motion 374:14 376:7	NAV 125:6,9 131:17,	353:8,21 358:15 379:16 381:23
226:16 229:15	11,22 249:18 250:6	Mountain 244:23	24 132:3 145:8	382:10 383:24 384:3
258:21 332:4	251:23 252:4,8,16,24	245:3,6 247:11	273:11,14 274:23	390:5 391:2,10,12,19
month-and-a-half	253:4 254:17 258:16	260:22	275:8 277:5 278:15	392:5
323:10	259:16 265:23 266:9,		280:12,22 281:13	Nexpoint's 50.19
	17,24 267:14,20	mouthpiece 206:2	289:6 318:15 373:10	Nexpoint's 59:18 218:6,12 219:6 331:3
monthly 5:25 226:11,14 227:5,20,	268:14 269:7,12,18	<b>move</b> 69:11,12	needed 155:2 250:17	336:22 350:19
25 228:12 229:10,19,	270:15,22 271:8,18	114:11 208:19	257:13 271:21 289:6	
21 230:2,11,22	272:9,17 273:7,10	246:13 247:21	318:13 354:15 358:8	Nguyen 3:21 268:10
231:14 232:6,8,13,	277:22 278:19 279:8 280:15,23 282:12,18	248:22 250:6 252:23	382:15,17	297:19 298:9 302:5 303:14 305:3 306:7
19,20 255:18 256:14,	283:19 284:7,14	307:17 364:22 376:25	needle 118:4	307:19 308:11,25
19 259:9,12,14	285:7 286:9 287:9,		negative 7:25 394:14	309:3,4 311:22 313:8
months 261:21	18,24 288:8,25	moved 126:6		317:3 331:9 332:21
292:25	289:12 290:9,21	moving 248:5	negotiated 390:8	338:12,21 341:15
morning 7:3 10:19	291:19,23 292:19 293:15,23 295:12	muffled 48:19	negotiation 382:25	343:11 345:23
342:16,18 344:12,14,	296:13 297:6 298:22	multi-month 145:11	negotiations 58:19	night 376:8
16	300:15 301:13 302:2,	multiple 279:3	383:2,6,12 384:14	nomenclature 350:4
Morris 3:6 5:6,9 7:24	11,15 303:2,10,20 304:3,7,12 306:15,24	320:25 321:2 373:7,8	Nelms 233:21	non-american
9:4 10:7,15,20 13:10,	304:3,7,12 306:15,24 307:13 308:5,8,13		Newman 4:18 10:3	284:10
17 16:9,24 17:11,14, 19,20 22:16,18	309:10,12 310:2,11,	Munsch 3:22 9:20		non-orderly 274:8
31:18,19,21,25 35:24	19,24 311:12 312:16	mute 36:10 350:2	<b>Nexpoint</b> 3:17 6:4 9:21 27:10,12,15,18,	
36:5,11 45:6,10	313:13 314:12,14	myriad 248:14	21,25 28:4,6,16 29:7,	nonlawyer 375:13
46:15,23 47:4,6,11	315:9,23 316:23	249:11	10,12,16,24 31:3,11,	nonsense 156:6
55:4 69:8,11 72:15,	317:17,25 318:6,17,		17 32:17 42:21 43:12	Norris 183:3,6 184:2,
18,25 74:3,9,13,18,	23 319:23 320:6,18	N	44:6 54:14 58:7,11,	7,9 192:15,23 193:5
24 75:11,15,19	322:5,23 326:24		14 60:5 65:6 100:23	
76:19,23 77:2,11,19,	327:6,24 329:10 330:21 331:5 333:10,	<b>N-A-V</b> 273:11	126:9,13,16,23	North 3:15,23
22 81:16,18,21,23	000.21 001.0 000.10,	11-7-1 2/3.11	127:3,10,11,15,18,24	
	1	1	1	1 I

Index: Northern..obligations

<b></b>				
Northern 8:13	132:7,10,14,20	noticed 16:12,20	352:14 365:6 381:13	280:15,23 283:19
<b>noto</b> 10:11 15:14 01	133:13,21 134:5,14,	248:6 375:25	384:12 386:13	284:7,14 285:7,23
<b>note</b> 13:11 45:14,21	17,23 138:11,20		abianting 454.0	286:7,9 287:9,18,24
48:15,22 49:6,12	139:5,10,17,21	notices 380:21	objecting 154:3	288:8,25 289:12
50:15,22 51:7 56:7	142:10 143:6,7,14,	November 59:12	objection 8:4 17:9,	290:9,21 291:19,23
57:4,19 59:18 60:5,	21,23 144:5,12,16,22	328:16 329:14,19	10 20:4,20 22:14	292:19 293:15,23
13 62:19 63:3 64:6,	145:4,23 146:2,4,7,	330:15 331:12 332:3	25:15 31:16 33:9	296:13 297:6 298:22
15,23 78:17 107:20	11,14,25 147:10	380:9,18 388:3,6	34:20 40:10 41:4,12,	299:15 300:15 302:2,
117:9,12 120:18	148:15,18,24 149:2	390:22	24 42:11,12,19 43:17	25 303:2,10,20
122:4 126:12 134:6	150:5,8,15 158:5,13,		44:17 45:4,16 46:10	305:10 306:15,24
140:14,17,20 141:22,	19,24 159:5,10,23	<b>NPA</b> 5:15 189:24	47:20 48:3,16,25	307:2,13 310:2,11,
24 142:2,4,18,22	160:16,25 161:5,9,	328:21,22 331:13	49:13 50:5,7 52:8	19,24 311:12 312:16,
147:15 149:17 150:4	10,19 162:11 171:13	NTA 338:16	53:18 54:8 55:10,20	18 314:14 315:9,23
161:24 162:4,7,18	175:16 178:14,15	<b>NIA</b> 330.10	56:11,25 58:25	316:23 317:17,23
163:23 165:12	179:14,21 180:2,10,	number 8:9,14 13:13	59:19,25 60:7,15,16	318:6,17,23 319:23
167:11,15 168:6	24 181:4,9,16,22	15:24 17:25 93:7,10	61:12 62:11 63:13,24	320:6,18 322:5,23
182:3,12 186:18,22	182:8,16 205:19	100:10 108:9 111:7	64:17,19 65:12 66:24	326:24 327:6,24
187:17 213:16 214:4	209:2 210:8 213:7,8	126:10 135:10	67:17 69:5,9,10 75:6,	330:21 331:5 333:10,
216:16,20 217:2,13,	222:2,17 223:13,16,	137:25 139:22	10 79:4,14 80:12	18,20 334:10 335:4
23 220:5,8,13,18,20,	19,23 224:9 233:8	170:24 177:15,16	82:24 83:25 85:15	336:14 338:3,5
23 221:5 222:4,10	234:15,23 235:4,9,	179:4 197:4,7 218:13	86:2 91:4 94:3 96:11,	339:16 340:18 341:2
223:4,7 224:2,9,12	14,21 236:3,7	222:21 236:21	20 99:17 107:4,15	342:21 345:12,19
233:22 234:10	238:20,25 239:4,5,	239:25 240:9 277:17		346:22 347:14,19
243:17 244:23 245:3,	11,18 240:10,20	282:9 285:15,16	108:5 110:11 111:24 112:20 113:20	348:9 351:15 353:11,
7,13,16,23 260:22,25	241:6 242:7 243:6,	286:5 343:25 344:5		15,18,24 354:4
261:9 306:11,18	11,18 244:4,15	370:22 377:9 389:18,	114:20 115:6 117:6,	355:5,9,15,21 356:3,
309:16,24 310:9	246:6,15,22 247:10,	21	18 119:13 120:16,17	14 357:6,15,22
312:2,14,15,24,25	24 248:25 250:9		122:10,16 124:6,23	358:16,22 359:4,11
313:15,16 315:13,14,	251:8,15,21 252:12	numbers 240:5,6,23	126:3 127:19 132:16 133:23 134:8 142:6	360:3,11,25 363:16,
16 316:17,18 319:12,	253:10 261:12,16	268:13 278:10 343:5	143:10,15 144:8	22 364:10 366:15,25
14 324:16 334:6,13,	262:6,11 263:6,15	366:12,19 367:6	149:5 150:10,17	367:16,25 369:4,8,17
18,19,23 335:21	264:19 268:21,24		151:16 153:18,19,25	370:3,25 371:10,25
336:13,23 338:2,17	282:14 284:19	0	154:6 155:11 157:2	372:22 373:4,20
339:4 340:10,14	285:15,17 288:21		158:15 159:17 160:3,	374:11 375:3 376:20
341:19,23 342:9	289:10,16,18,22	<b>Oak</b> 4:7	12,18,19 167:5 168:8	379:10 380:25
343:4 344:25 345:18	290:8,13,16,24 291:5		178:20 180:3 181:5,	388:19 389:5,15
347:7 362:13,14	293:13 296:9 297:4,	object 29:20 45:7,8,9	11 183:15 184:6,20	391:4,14 392:7,13
noted 185:5 186:17	5,11,17,21 298:17	47:4 60:24 65:19	185:2,18,24 193:21	393:24 394:10,11,19
101ed 183.3 180.17	299:11,14,18 300:13	66:10,15 67:9,24	194:5 195:21,22	333.24 334.10,11,13
notes 6:10 44:20	301:18 304:19,24	69:6 73:24 77:13	196:4,11,18 200:20	objections 209:9
45:2 46:2 49:21	305:2,18,22 306:21,	78:19 79:20,25 97:4	203:23 205:3,15,25	obligated 161:18
50:18 54:3,6 55:7,18,	23 307:12,24 310:17	107:16 115:5 119:12	206:21 210:24	262:13 304:23 334:8
25 56:10,15,23 58:2	312:11 313:20	144:25 146:12 147:4,	211:13 213:11	
65:7,14,18 66:7 67:3	314:13,23,25 315:2,	11 149:21 151:13	220:10,15 222:13	obligating 144:22
68:14,17 76:2 82:15,	20,22 316:2,14	156:7,16 159:25	225:24 228:9 229:24	obligation 234:22
20 83:6,10,13 106:21	317:10,16,21 319:5,	164:2 180:4,11,12	231:18 234:6 235:23	242:20
107:2,12 108:2,14,18	9,15,18,22 322:20	191:4,22 194:6,22	236:10 238:10 239:3,	_
109:5,7,17 110:6,25	323:20,25 333:17	202:24 206:7 208:10,	14 240:16 242:24	obligations 160:9
111:5,8,12,22,25	338:7 364:13 366:22	11 211:4 212:12,20,	246:19,25 247:14	178:15 179:12 180:2,
112:18,23 113:9,10,	367:23 368:6,17	22,24 213:25 220:25	250:22 251:12 254:6	9,23 185:15 194:4
13,18 114:19 115:3	369:15,19,20,25	225:10 227:21 231:8	255:12,22 257:6,20	208:8 327:13,21
118:12,13,25 119:3,	370:9,11,17 372:11	232:9 239:20,22	260:14 263:8 265:4	328:8 332:5,8 333:17
6,9,11,22 120:19	386:9,10	248:3 249:4 250:11	266:19,23 267:21	335:17 336:6,7,10
121:3,21 122:8,15		251:10 253:12 254:7	270:15,22 271:8,18	361:19 380:2 390:10,
123:6 124:3,15	notice 7:25 356:9	255:10 256:17	272:9,17 273:7	12 391:7,8
130:23 131:12,16	357:9 379:6 380:10,	262:18 269:7,14,17	277:22 278:19 279:8	
	15,16,17 381:4,7	347:21 348:11,12		

obligor 264:18 offsets 58:21 381:18. 19 383:13 obnoxious 364:23 Okada 49:18,21,24 obstacles 90:23 50:2 118:3 232:18 obtain 57:12 **omitted** 309:19 obtained 48:23 49:5 one-day 331:14 50:4,16 51:6 126:10 332:9,17 128:15 176:16 one-third 239:18 obtaining 62:10 **one-word** 174:9 occur 169:6 280:13 ongoing 125:11 331:21 202:8,14 203:14 **occurred** 103:13 104:5 125:17 126:2 open 36:13 376:5 263:13 278:15 open-end-to-close-299:25 331:24 339:7 end 125:12 369:24 390:24 open-ended 125:7 October 8:19 21:7 275:4,8 276:10 160:5.7.14.21 281:9,11 282:7 169:17,24 171:19 172:4 173:24 174:6 operating 5:22,25 183:7,14 186:11,13 90:10 226:11,14 189:13 207:16 227:2,4,19 228:7 219:24 263:19 255:18 256:14,20 314:20 316:16 259:9,12,14 359:14 odd 303:12 307:6 operational 143:25 147:17 offense 352:23 operational-type offhand 342:11 291:11 office 279:21 280:3.5 operations 202:8,15 294:4.10 323:13.14 203:14 326:15 388:22 389:2 **opinion** 120:6,7,10 officer 14:12 23:6 204:8 205:9 247:17 26:25 27:6 28:24 29:2,7 32:14 35:10, **opinions** 204:15 12,16 36:20,24 37:6, opportunity 13:2 18 38:3,5,10,16,22 39:3,24 51:22 52:6, oral 65:8,16 17 120:8 143:18 order 13:3.7 105:11 173:7,9,13,16,20,23 128:16 129:6 288:21 174:3 184:23,24 360:9 258:25 269:5.24 270:10.14 271:2 ordinary 226:9 302:19 362:25 229:22 293:18 officers 15:22 29:24 organizational 39:11 40:5,8,12 112:13 51:23 52:13 93:21 orient 365:24 153:9 original 223:25 offset 380:2.3 382:25 232:11 274:24 390:9,11,13 277:14

originally 177:21 232:16 originals 297:4,11, 16 **Orleans** 4:14 9:17 outboxes 294:9 **output** 90:6 outstanding 118:12 119:22 142:10 171:11 174:15 175:12 185:10,23 186:8 202:6,18,23 203:7,8,13 220:18 222:3,12 223:4,14, 19,24 224:13,17 235:10.14 244:16 overpaid 58:16 381:16 overpayment 381:20 390:13 overpayments 58:22 379:22 380:3 389:4.12 overruled 17:15 overseeing 26:10 86:22 230:11 oversight 257:15 overspeak 115:16 252:3 owe 375:15 owed 125:8 160:10 161:8 178:18,23 185:6,16 186:9 199:12 204:12,25 205:13 212:18 275:13 297:17 308:20 310:17 358:21 380:3 owing 50:3 211:11 212:10 223:6 234:23 285:18 owned 15:6 16:7 17:24 42:8,16,24 43:3,13 273:17

owner 272:24 281:25 282:3 Index: obligor..payable

367:24 386:9,10

Ρ

p.m. 150:24,25 151:3

325:7,8,10 349:6,7,9

372:14,15,17 395:10,

174:6 224:23,24

225:2 266:5,6,8

**Pachulski** 3:8 9:4

10:20 72:9 166:19

package 229:10,20,

22 230:2,12,23

packages 231:15

pages 214:11,17

**paid** 50:2 56:18

167:10 221:7 277:4,

8,20 283:23 327:22

356:23 365:4 394:9

paper 288:21 293:13,

118:11 119:20 121:9

124:15 126:9 134:11

140:18 142:22 203:6

216:25 221:24 222:7,

9,10,14,16,18 223:9,

paragraphs 117:23

part 42:9,17 43:15

50:18 51:11,16,21

52:12,19,23 53:12

58:17 85:5 88:12,14,

22 90:21 91:14 93:22

103:22 105:9 116:13

117:20 125:12 142:9,

175:3 176:23 179:11

214:19 238:8 240:17

274:17 282:4 286:2

330:17 365:2 366:23

11 148:4 149:12

193:17 200:16

210:21 213:16

245:19 254:20

288:19 326:21

156:24 169:14,19

15

118:6

336:9,11 355:19

20 296:12.16

paragraph 92:17

330:19 331:4 333:16

232:6,8 233:3

232:20

11

participants 8:17

participate 168:13 218:23 219:2 362:21 380:5

participated 87:25 137:20

participating 191:9 259:8

participation 86:23

**parties** 7:15 9:25 85:7,12 100:14,22 101:6,23 103:4 376:13

partner 9:9

**partners** 31:8,12,15, 18 32:4 370:16

partnership 121:11 122:6 131:12 132:7

partnership's 102:19 103:3 118:12

party 17:10 100:19 101:18 102:20 103:4 321:4 366:22 368:17 385:8

pass 352:3 393:15

passing 78:8

past 190:16 226:7 359:9 375:21

patience 387:9

Patrick 21:5

pause 295:10

pay 65:7 122:4 144:22 161:18 181:15 205:10,19 209:3 234:22 282:8, 16 302:23 318:14 327:13 332:6,13 344:19 355:18 356:2, 13 357:3,13 366:13 374:25 393:23

**payable** 171:12 174:15 190:6 202:18, 22 220:5 222:2,17 327:21 329:17 330:10,12,20 331:4

			Index	: payeepresident
333:7 334:14	393:23 394:7,18	personally 62:20	plural 314:3	91:11 97:7 98:7,12
payee 140:21 142:25	PDF 293:22	64:3 85:23 89:11 95:4 97:9 101:22	point 78:9 87:2,6,7	106:14 146:9,24 150:13 230:25 231:2,
216:22	<b>Pearl</b> 3:15	237:4 255:20,24	114:2,4 157:16 166:9	3,22,24 233:5 299:4,
paying 288:14,16		275:15 296:21	190:16 254:11 255:3,	5 337:4 356:13
326:23 333:9 338:17	pen 296:22,25	303:23 304:18,22	7 261:6 313:7,20	369:2,7 371:6,15
346:21 355:4,8 357:4	pending 7:21 16:16	306:12 317:21 370:11	321:13 326:6 337:12 344:9 375:23 376:11	374:15 377:21
payment 56:5,21	people 33:7,11 34:4		380:22	pre-petition 226:18
57:4,6,12,18,25 58:6,	89:3 146:4 170:24	personnel 237:16	pointing 254:23	precisely 205:21
10,12 59:14,16,20,22	237:5 275:9,20,21	318:12 333:22		298:16
60:3,4,9,11 62:17,25 63:9,15 64:4,9,22	279:23 291:21 293:7	359:18,21 360:13,23 363:3	points 282:9,10	prefix 268:15
121:11,17,21 122:7,	294:3 319:16 351:12 372:19		policy 378:6,10	<b>prenx</b> 200.15
19,23 162:13,16,17		pertaining 65:17	386:2	premarked 91:19
163:4,6,10,14 164:24	percent 18:2,4 86:15 108:23 109:19 110:8	petition 21:9 70:11	poor 207:22	104:23 197:15 216:5 236:16
182:16 199:6,11 212:18 331:20	260:12	84:11 235:10,14 241:20 263:20	poorly 104:10	preparation 137:21
332:24 334:9 335:9 336:12 337:3,25	percentage 110:19	310:15 386:5	portfolio 274:5	230:11 259:9
336:12 337:3,25 338:24 339:12,14	percentages 86:16	phone 68:6 70:3	portion 13:2,6 16:5	prepare 123:17
340:2,7 342:9 343:4,	perfect 90:17 118:5	290:25 392:23 393:2,	17:22 19:13,16,19	204:23 226:9 229:6,
20 344:20,24 345:4,	130:19 189:4 197:19	5,8,9	112:23	21 235:19 236:25
11,17 346:13 348:5	268:19 298:8	phonetic 249:21	portions 12:10	237:3,5,13 241:11
350:7,9,20,23 351:6,	norfootly 111.0	phrase 41:10 229:19		255:24 377:22 378:16
7,14,20,23 356:6,17	perfectly 144:3 223:2 348:18		<b>position</b> 37:9 122:3 184:14 193:3,6,9	
358:25 359:2,6,7,15		physically 191:16	274:15 280:11	prepared 227:5,20,
360:16,22,24 361:18	performed 48:5	294:4 296:12,16	361:14	24 228:15 229:2,4,9
362:2,5,7,9,12,15,18, 21 363:3,7,10,15,20	246:11	299:17,21		230:3 232:20 237:8
364:3,7,25 365:5,9,	performing 114:7	<b>pick</b> 101:6	positions 38:24 172:25 173:5 183:6	239:17 241:25 242:3 255:20 284:19
14 366:21 379:15	219:4	picked 101:10,12	184:10,18 192:16	317:14 319:5 373:2
381:23 382:2,9,14,15	period 25:12 39:9,14	•		376:18
383:19 390:6,8,21	40:24 90:15 93:14	piece 203:11	possession 45:25	
391:10,13,20,24	95:15 105:18 120:9	pile 139:23	113:9 132:11	<b>preparer</b> 256:4 259:4,5,23
392:6	135:21 169:5 203:20	place 58:24 59:6	possibilities 29:19	
payments 55:16,24	218:21 219:6,16	112:16 122:18 166:2	371:23	preparing 137:10
56:8,14 57:2,9 64:14	221:15 226:18	260:7 272:13 278:16	possibly 213:7	164:9 232:5,7
162:10,24 163:16,21	231:15 242:17	297:14,16 326:4,10,	314:12	241:12,15,16 254:9
165:10 167:14	243:13 247:22 265:2 321:22 380:15,16	12 393:3		264:10
217:15 282:22		plaintiff 9:7	<b>post</b> 92:12 184:13, 14,18 192:15,24	prerogative 253:7
288:11,12 328:4 329:16 330:3,4,6,8	periodic 228:13	-	193:7,8 275:24	present 4:23 90:23
334:23 335:3,19,21,	241:17 288:12 358:6	plan 335:24 337:20		180:15 191:19
22 336:23 337:9,15,	periodically 337:14	366:5 367:6 386:9,18	<b>pot</b> 366:5 367:6	283:14
21 355:19 356:8	persist 157:9	play 85:23 153:11	386:9,18	presentations
357:2,10,13 358:7,21	-	168:16 184:2 340:9	potential 250:20	368:7,16,18
359:9,10,20,25	person 26:2,4 43:7	played 86:3,6,17	357:4 366:3,19	
360:6,10,15 361:7,23	44:21 57:7 79:9	335:2	369:16 371:7,9	presented 86:10
362:4 365:14,16	86:21 87:6,7 114:2,4	playing 220-0	potentially 113:5	113:14 117:10 161:11 296:11,16
377:12,17,22 378:8,	116:14 155:16 167:20 191:18	playing 239:9	249:6 250:12,13,14,	
9,17,24 379:7 380:23	259:22 291:21	pleading 213:22	16,21 354:8	presents 12:20
381:3,6,11,18 382:6 383:4 5 23 384:2 4 6	292:22	pleased 347:12,16,	Poydras 4:13	president 14:16
383:4,5,23 384:2,4,6, 7 387:24 388:14		17		271:23 272:24
390:20 391:3 392:12	personal 79:22		practice 7:8 64:13	
	80:10 97:15 375:11		89:20,24,25 90:4	
	I	I	1	1

			Index:	presumequestion
presume 207:13	privileged 66:18	83:6,10,13 107:20	175:3 176:22 179:19	226:22 235:15
pretty 105:15 364:23	74:2	108:2 109:5 119:22	182:23 194:25	236:15 240:4 257:10
prevented 231:6	probe 82:7	131:12 132:6,10,14 133:13 138:10 139:5,	200:18 274:25 311:24 312:4,10	258:16 259:10,12 266:18 281:7 292:23
previously 18:9 24:4	problem 8:3 214:14	10,17,21 140:14	327:20 332:9 335:14	326:9 345:22 368:3,
80:13 225:22 246:8	260:18	142:9,17 144:5,12,	351:2 353:7 354:6,19	16 377:10 389:8
373:19 374:18,25	procedure 271:17	16,22 146:7 158:5, 13,19,24 159:5,10,23	355:7,13 357:21,25 358:4,11,15 367:11	putting 144:19
Pricewaterhouseco	291:12	160:16 161:10		197:11 240:23 265:5
opers 84:9,13,16,20	procedures 7:20	178:14 179:14 209:2	providing 88:11	273:21 306:19 316:2
106:7 113:23 132:5	114:8 207:21 378:5	210:8 216:16 217:13,	219:21 278:17 279:6 280:14 309:21	330:2
137:2 141:24 142:3	Proceed 267:22	23 233:8,22 234:10,	326:14	<b>Pwc</b> 84:14 92:25 93:5
149:3 150:9,12,16		15 235:8,21 240:20 241:6 243:6 244:4	provision 103:17	94:2 96:17,25 97:10 102:4 103:2,21 104:3
211:10 212:9 242:21 263:3 274:10	proceeding 197:18	282:14 284:19	132:15	114:6 142:8 200:19
	process 52:23 55:23	285:15,17 288:21		211:2 262:14 263:24,
primarily 267:18,20	56:4,5 58:18 90:21,	289:10,16,18,21	provisions 105:7	25
principal 35:10,11,	25 91:14 116:13 131:24 137:9,11	290:7,12 291:5	prudent 302:20	Pwc's 85:24 86:23
15 36:20,24 37:5,18	145:10,11 148:25	293:13 297:4,5,11, 17,21 299:11 300:13	303:5	97:6 105:22
38:3,4,9,13,16,21 39:3 49:11 50:3,23	156:21,24 168:14,17,	306:10 307:12	<b>public</b> 21:17	
55:17,25 56:22	25 169:6,9,15,17,19	310:16 315:20,22	publicly-traded	Q
57:18,25 59:14,17	170:5,9,14 176:23	317:16,21 319:5,9	281:16	
60:12 62:18 63:2,9	179:11,20 189:2 200:16 210:21 218:6,	322:20 338:2,17	pull 200:10 215:6	qualified 194:11
64:5,14,22 119:7,10,	7,23 237:10 245:19	339:4 340:10 344:25 369:20	297:20 302:7 305:2	206:19
22 142:4 144:5 161:8,19 162:6	272:14,19 274:21		307:18 308:25 313:9	qualify 101:18
163:11,22 164:24	292:6 324:13 366:11	prompted 81:7	317:2 328:10 334:4	136:14
165:11 167:10 168:5	373:12	342:2	338:11,20 341:12	quantify 276:22
217:16,19 220:23	processes 207:21	proof 167:8,13	purely 240:22	
222:11 223:6,18,25 334:9	processing 286:13	proper 100:11 229:18	purporting 228:7	<b>question</b> 11:22 12:3 13:4,8 17:17 22:17
principals 274:6	produce 178:12		purpose 16:23 17:2	26:13 35:4 38:8
	195:8 210:14 235:24	properties 146:15	36:6 53:17 112:2	40:15 45:11,22 46:19,20 48:19 51:4
principles 241:14	produced 132:10	238:8	126:7 232:5,7,11	68:20,21 93:12 96:4
prior 20:14 26:12	183:11 228:12,13	property 375:11	294:15	99:19 104:11 114:14
37:10,18 54:21 56:18	266:20 267:7,15,19	proposal 125:13	purposes 16:11	132:4 139:14 144:15
57:13 62:4,10 63:23 74:14 84:10 87:17	producing 241:20	281:7 282:4,6 386:24	33:22 85:8 92:21 93:4 101:18 128:13	146:18 148:13 150:7 154:4,17 155:24
99:16,24 121:13	professional 21:12,	proposals 367:22	129:3 197:12	156:9 157:20 158:8
131:5 138:17 144:24	15,20,22 22:15	proposed 136:18	pursuant 15:8 122:6	164:22 171:10
163:15 164:25 165:9	356:21	178:5	148:25 199:10,18	174:13 175:19,23
189:13 193:23 199:6 206:15 212:19	proficiency 22:11	proposing 366:19	200:13 256:6 275:13	176:18,20 178:18,24 179:3 185:9 188:18
217:20 227:15	project 292:25	prosecution 208:23	278:16 279:5 280:13	192:21 194:18
243:23,24 267:12	projections 335:18	•	281:6 288:17 290:19, 24 326:13	195:11,14,24 209:6,
300:13,20 301:17	337:17	provide 15:4 28:24		10 210:20 212:23
331:12 333:4 334:23		174:22 175:7 267:12	<b>put</b> 12:23 36:9 61:24	213:2 223:13 224:6
335:3,7 336:11,20 337:11 339:10 346:9	promise 346:6	295:20 299:8 311:17 333:22 353:8,14	71:11 81:10 89:25 91:18 104:22 105:2,5	226:2 229:5 236:5 243:9 248:7,9
370:18 381:3,7	promissory 6:10	354:3 357:19 358:5,	122:2 124:18 135:5,	251:24,25 253:4
386:4,19,22	45:14,21 46:2 48:15,	9,12,13 378:22 387:3	16 139:22 151:19	262:23 269:8,19
private 273:19,21	22 49:6,12,20 50:15, 18,22 51:7 54:3 55:7	provided 32:20	170:17 177:8,10,13	270:16,23 271:9,19
privilege 151:14	56:6,9 60:5,13 67:3	112:8,9,17 114:18	197:7 207:2,5,14 215:21 218:11,18	272:3,10,18 273:8
privilege 151:14	75:25 78:17 82:15,20	127:12 142:8 146:15	213.21210.11,10	277:23,25 278:20

279:9 280:16,24 283:20 284:8,15 285:8 286:10 287:3, 10,19,25 288:3,9 289:2,13 290:10,22 291:24 292:20 293:16,24 296:14 297:7,9 298:23 300:16 302:3,18 303:3,11,21 304:4,7, 13,16,17 305:7 306:16,25 307:14 310:3,12,20,25 311:13,20 312:8,17 314:15,18 315:10,24 316:24 317:18 318:7, 18,24 319:24 320:7, 19 322:6,24 326:25 327:7,25 330:22 331:6 333:11,19 334:11 335:5 336:17, 18 338:4 339:17,21 340:19 341:3 342:22 345:13,20 346:7,23 347:20 351:16 353:12,16 354:5 355:6,10,16,22 356:4,15 357:7,16,23 358:17,23 359:5,12 360:4,12 361:2 363:17,23 364:11 365:7 366:16 367:2, 17 368:2 369:5,9,18 370:4 371:2,11 372:2,23 373:5,21 374:12 375:4 376:21 388:20 389:6,16 391:5 393:25 394:20

questioned 274:17

questions 11:20 17:2,4 26:15 40:19, 21,23 47:9 77:13 79:13 81:17 82:6,12 99:7,10 105:7,13 156:2 157:12 169:21, 24 171:7 177:2 180:16,17 184:4 209:5 266:10 300:6 352:5,10 377:8 384:16 385:3 386:6 387:8,15 393:18 394:25 395:7

quick 393:18

		Index:	questionedrefer
quicker 324:14	29:13,17 30:17,18	249:13 250:24	recess 36:16 73:6
quickly 139:21	32:16 36:22,23 37:7,	251:13,18,20 252:13	150:25 224:24 266:6
	12,22,23,25 38:19	255:5,15,17 259:8	325:8 349:7 372:15
Quinn 4:19 10:4	39:8,20 40:2 49:19,	262:4 265:21,22	reckoning 310:22
<b>quo</b> 248:18	22,25 50:2,8,9 52:9, 21 53:8,15,16 57:22	268:24 269:3 270:11 276:4,6,23 277:2,3,7	recognize 217:10
quote 118:11 189:6	59:2,3,11,20 60:18	280:11,17,25 282:14,	320:13
	61:25 62:6,17,23	24 283:8,11,13,15	
R	63:6 65:2 67:19 68:2,	284:6,11,20,22	recollection 24:25
K	3,7,8,11 69:17,20,21,	289:6,21 290:2,3	29:14 39:22 40:6 67:21 71:5 105:12
ran 88:2	25 70:5 71:6,21,22,	291:3,6 292:9 293:17	115:12 124:19
ran 88:2	23 78:5 79:15 80:17,	296:18 298:24	126:22 127:23
range 287:22 289:22	18,21 89:14,19 90:13 91:5,6,9,13 95:2,9,	299:16,18,21,22,24 300:17,24 301:3,12,	128:10,22,25 131:16
rarely 320:13	13,17,21 96:13 99:5,	15,18,25 303:15,22	166:15 173:22 180:7
-	6,8,9,12 100:20	313:12 314:24,25	183:19 187:24
ratification 319:21	102:6 112:21,22	315:25 316:8 318:9	192:20 221:5 223:23
reached 66:4,9 69:3	113:15 114:3,15,21	319:10,11,13,25	225:6 227:10 228:14 229:7 246:3 251:6
76:3 79:2,9 342:11	115:8,14,17,18	320:10 321:13,16,18	252:19 253:9 269:4
343:8	116:15,18,19,23	322:17,25 323:22,23	270:8 275:22 282:21
reaching 350:12	119:15 122:17 123:5 124:7,10,12 126:5,9,	324:3 331:19 334:4, 18,21 339:9,18	283:7 290:5,18
reacted 347:23	16,20,25 127:2,5,8,	340:8,12,15,16,23	309:18 344:11
	21 131:25 132:13,17,	341:4,5,8,11 342:2,	367:14 369:15
reaction 389:24	19 134:10,18 135:3	19 343:14 345:21,25	record 7:10 10:17
read 101:21,25	136:4,5 138:21,25	350:10,12 351:17,24	36:3,15,18 73:5,8
102:12 172:8 198:10	139:7,19 141:6,8,11	361:3,14,17,20,21	150:24 151:3 209:7
208:21 209:6,7	144:12 145:3,4,16,	362:3 364:5,7 365:9 369:7,22 370:10	224:23 225:2 266:5,
301:20,22 316:4	20,22 148:16,17,20, 22 150:11 155:4	380:8,14,16,21	8,18 267:9 301:22 302:6 320:15 325:7,
reading 120:11	158:16,21 159:2,7,12	383:10,21 384:2	10 349:3,6,9 372:14,
138:7	160:7,13 165:4,5,13,	387:6 388:7 389:10	17 392:25 395:9
Real 31:11,17	17,20,24 166:13,16,	390:7,18 392:20	recorded 8:10 225:7,
realtime 265:16	18,20,21,25 167:6	394:2	15,22
	168:24 169:16 171:18,21 173:7,8,21	receipt 380:20	
reason 81:13 85:2 96:6 101:11 109:4	178:5 179:6,24	receivable 118:12,	recording 7:16 100:11
114:23 116:25	180:6,14,18,19,20	14 238:21,25 239:11,	
117:16 127:2 141:21	183:16,20 188:19	18 240:10 243:18	records 50:19 225:8,
150:3 159:14 160:15,	189:3,21 190:22,24	245:24 246:6,15,22	15 227:13 235:17 237:15 240:12,14
23 161:3 205:21	191:6,10,12,19,24	247:10 249:2 250:9	257:21 258:5 286:23
235:3,5 238:5 247:9,	192:10,19 194:16 196:19 200:9,11	251:8,16	300:2,4 306:5 312:22
24 248:25 256:11,13	201:23 202:2 209:17,	receive 11:6 22:10	393:10
286:2 301:4 318:16, 21 346:18 361:4,10	20,23 210:3,9,18,25	141:17 303:23	recover 167:9 168:3
364:6	211:19 212:3,14	304:18 305:23	181:22 182:3
recenchia 400.47	217:18,20 219:5,9	332:11	
reasonable 108:17 333:15 337:23	220:21 223:10	received 42:9,17	redacting 312:23 313:6
	225:13,18,20 228:25	43:15 85:12 123:21	
reasons 161:13	233:10,20,25 234:8, 9,12,14,20,24 235:6,	125:14 141:17 142:3 212:16 293:20,21	redeem 281:12
recalculate 275:8	12,18 236:11 237:22,	304:10 305:25 383:7	redeemed 276:13
<b>recall</b> 15:3 18:13,18,	25 241:9 242:5,10 243:10 244:22	receives 179:16	redeeming 281:20
20,22 19:17,20 20:8, 10,21,22 23:4,12,20	245:10 244.22 245:14,18 246:20,21	recent 367:9	refer 13:13 18:6 21:9
24:23 25:16 27:16	247:2,6 248:15,19		22:24 27:12 30:4
0.10 _1.10			

31:14 32:7,17,24 33:19 44:2,10 54:10, 24 68:24 79:17 84:13 95:11 175:2 186:24 203:6 279:24 329:3

reference 92:17 103:12 200:12 202:6 222:3,10 223:9 238:20,24 260:21

referenced 132:14 186:25

referred 84:4 91:15 123:24 143:7 169:21 186:7,20 223:15 279:20 366:5

referring 33:16 50:17 75:24 76:6 118:8 163:5 187:11 199:25 223:11 226:15 281:5 314:2 316:3

refers 170:4

**reflected** 13:14 75:5 175:16 181:15 235:4 240:9

refresh 24:24 105:12 131:15 192:20 221:4 223:22 227:10 367:13

refuse 74:9,10 209:2

regard 133:5

regret 81:10

regularly 355:18

reimburse 145:15

reimbursement 279:17 325:19,23 379:20 388:16

relate 130:6

related 59:23 60:4 90:25 100:13,19,22 101:6,18,23 102:19, 20 103:3,4 105:7 131:17 138:5,10 145:9 167:14 208:25 280:22 281:3 284:2 366:22 368:17 376:13 relates 76:14 113:6, 18 130:11 211:24 relating 131:2 138:19 relation 27:21 30:10,

13 35:6 41:18 58:21 112:3 125:9 163:21 172:25 176:17 184:11,18 191:16 264:13 385:18

relationship 374:3

relationships 100:13 102:20,21 103:4

relative 192:16

relayed 188:8 206:4 342:12

relaying 206:3 207:24 344:18

release 329:6 330:8

relevant 88:13

reliable 113:2

relied 305:13

208:15

rely 85:14,18 96:3 237:12 331:2 337:23

relying 85:20

remained 202:18,23 203:8

remaining 119:10 223:25

remember 59:8 70:9 71:2,3 80:22,23,24 87:23 95:19 102:9 122:25 123:2,19 124:16,24 127:6,14 128:6,7 129:11 131:19 147:15 162:22,24 163:4 165:6 166:3,7 172:17 174:4 187:13,19,21 188:15 189:18 194:7, 15,24 195:4 200:6,15 234:4 236:12 250:3 253:13,14,23 254:15, 17 274:12 277:16 282:17 284:12,17,23 286:3,22 291:21

293:12 296:9 299:12 300:10,12 302:16 309:11 313:22 316:3 317:5 319:4,7 320:2, 5 323:7 324:4,8 326:6 333:25 339:8 340:21 342:14 344:15,18 345:25 346:3,4 351:11 357:17 361:18 364:9, 12,14 365:10,25 377:14 387:25 389:17,18 390:22,23 392:4,22

remembering 289:17

remind 72:19 77:17 391:23

reminded 132:18

reminder 337:3

reminders 378:22

remote 7:16 371:24

remotely 7:11,14 8:18 12:20 299:6,9

rendered 204:5 233:23

renege 373:18

renew 196:25

**renewal** 168:10,14, 16,25 169:6 170:5,9

reorganized 3:4 9:5

rep 95:11 136:17

repaid 57:4

**repay** 203:19 204:11, 15,25 205:12 211:11 212:10 304:23

**repeat** 17:19 38:7 45:11 46:21 48:20 146:21,22 249:25 269:21 270:24 336:17,18 339:21

report 5:25 14:13,21 19:12 26:21 88:2,6, 16 89:12 98:18 105:8 106:4,8,16 110:25 111:21 112:7,12,25 115:20 116:5,17,21 117:2 119:19 131:5, 11 133:12 134:7 135:6 137:5,7,10,12, 25 138:3,4,9,13,19 166:8,11 178:13 219:13,15 226:19 227:4 228:7 229:2,4 232:12 256:15 257:4 258:20,24 259:5,23

**reported** 19:7,10,17, 21,25 20:23 21:2 119:17 120:23 226:10 240:15 258:14

reporter 7:12 8:24 10:10 209:6 268:8,18 301:20

reporting 7:6 8:22, 25 20:9,13,17,23 21:4 87:22 91:2 114:17 230:12 231:14 232:6,19

**reports** 89:22 113:18 115:2 143:8 171:5 196:22 226:14,16 229:6 255:18 256:20 257:19 259:9,13,14

represent 9:25 10:4, 22 133:4 267:23

representation 5:17 91:16 94:21 95:5,16 96:9,18,22 97:2,8,13, 19,25 98:9 99:2 103:7,17,23 104:8 106:11,15 130:8,9 135:25 136:6,14 214:23 215:9 262:16, 22,25 295:17

representations 92:21 98:19,24 136:16,23,24 262:24

**representing** 9:11, 14,17,21

represents 310:10

reproducing 171:6

request 87:4 103:25 172:8 196:25 291:15 379:7

requested 196:21 362:18 363:7 requests 85:18 373:3 387:18

Index: reference..responsibility

**require** 63:16

required 56:9 94:5 96:17 97:19,20,21,25 98:3 127:18,20 340:2 390:5

requires 96:25

reserve 245:2,6 260:24 261:4,8

reserved 244:22 245:13,16

reserving 46:17

reside 257:21

resolution 383:16

resolved 374:18 383:3,6,15

resolving 366:4

respect 27:18 34:13, 18,23 35:2 37:4 39:7 56:15 112:17 134:17 182:7 212:5 261:8,16 273:12 333:8 335:9 339:12 340:6 365:22 383:18 385:17 393:21

respond 174:25

responded 174:8 182:22

responding 210:11

responds 176:3

response 8:5 83:24 174:8,13 176:4 178:6,18 182:20,24 185:5 189:6 190:8 194:17,18 195:9,13 205:6 210:20 267:22 350:11

responses 81:4 184:3

responsibilities 25:18,23 28:10 31:2, 4 38:21 219:20

**responsibility** 26:6, 10 88:5,9 115:23 116:2,3 258:11

287:16	15	rules 7:19,20 11:17	<b>SEC</b> 274:13,16,19	served 11:7 18:10
reenancible 50:40	roviousd 004-40		275:18 384:19,22	19:13 32:25 39:9,14
responsible 56:13, 17 86:22 89:8,9	reviewed 231:13 232:13 233:3 294:21	run 46:24 188:6 329:17	385:7	40:9,24 46:6 47:16
17 86:22 89:8,9	295:5,18 322:11	329:17	secret 338:8	49:16 51:9,14,19
146:6 230:10 258:4,7	334:14,20	running 45:4 254:19	Secret 330.0	84:9 90:15 94:22
384:19,23 385:8,13		256:25	secretary 172:20	95:6 137:2 155:6
	reviewing 198:12		section 41:8 101:5,	172:19 227:6 229:23
responsive 175:23	233:2 237:22,25	S	19,22,25 110:24	248:24 255:6
176:17,25 185:8	right-hand 198:6		111:17,20 112:7	serves 133:2
rest 214:23		satisfied 89:21	113:12,17 115:2	
	rights 340:6	Salisileu 09.21	116:5,17,21,25	service 185:10
restate 75:17	ring 277:13	satisfy 89:12 122:8,	117:17 130:3,15	services 15:5 28:28
restrictions 8:19		14 124:3 208:7	131:3 134:7,22 138:4	30:2 32:21 33:25
	rise 287:22	Sauter 162:20,21	170:10 175:14 192:6	42:22 59:23 128:21
restroom 324:22,25	<b>role</b> 14:14 31:5 85:23	163:20 164:7,8,24	215:16 220:5 294:20	129:9 171:15 185:6
result 276:8 347:6	86:4,5,17 168:16	166:20,24 167:4	sections 143:9 192:7	23 186:8,10 219:22
reculte 5:00 000:44	184:2,8 335:2,7	168:4	300113 143.9 192.7	278:17,23 279:7,12
results 5:22 226:11	340:9 370:13		seek 12:9 199:6	21,24 280:3,5,6,13
227:2,5,19 228:7	roll-up 126:17	scenario 264:4	seeking 332:16	325:20,23 326:2,15
retail 32:21,24 33:2,	-	scenarios 276:20		19,22 327:19 330:1
4,8,12,14,15,18,22,	rolled 126:11	a a hadrela - 0:40	Seery 71:23 72:2	335:15 336:20 351:
23 34:5,8,13,18,23	<b>Rome</b> 170:24	schedule 6:13	166:9 233:20 234:11,	353:6,9,13,21 354:2
35:2,6,12,14 36:21		117:10 222:23 244:3	17,21 235:2,8,20,25	7,8,18,23,25 355:2,
37:17 38:2,5,10,17,	room 7:9,13 9:10	309:2 311:10,17	236:6 322:15 323:4,	12 357:20 358:2,4,6
22 39:4,7 160:8	105:3,5	337:2 377:12	16,19,24 324:8,16	11,14 379:19 380:1
168:10,18 169:20	rope 88:24	scheduled 336:9,11	340:12 346:10 348:2	388:15 390:20,21
170:12 171:19	-	356:8	350:8,13 368:8,10,14	serving 247:23
172:25 173:5,10,13,	roughly 120:14	schedules 129:10	375:7 379:25 392:18	
16,20,23 174:13	Rukavina 3:20 5:7,	308:25 311:15	sell 118:23 282:2	set 89:24 92:25 93:5
175:15,23 176:18,22	10 9:19 42:19 44:17	317:11		112:6 256:21 315:6
178:7,13,17,23	45:3,8,16 46:10		send 13:20 342:3	378:16,21
179:3,8,12,15,19,25	47:20 48:3,16,25	scheduling 355:13	377:11	settlement 348:8
180:8,22 181:2,8,14,	49:13 55:20 107:4	school 22:5	sends 174:5	386:24 387:4
19,21 182:2,6,11,15 184:4,11 185:14	126:3 133:23 142:6		<b>conior</b> 222:12 15	seven-month 265:
189:14,19 190:4,14,	143:15 163:25 195:7	<b>scope</b> 136:18	senior 232:13,15	Seven-month 200.
18,20 191:2 192:16,	212:24 213:24	Scott 7:4 8:21 14:20	275:20 318:12	severity 7:7
24 193:3,4,6,11,15,	266:11,14,15,22		sense 57:5 88:19	shades 36:13
18 194:11,17 204:18,	267:6,17 268:8,19	scratch 298:14	sentence 98:14,15,	
23 205:6,22,23	269:16 297:19 298:6,	screen 12:24 91:19	20 118:20 120:13	share 209:18 262:1
206:13 209:16,19,21,	8 301:23 302:5	104:22 135:6 140:6	121:7,10,18 130:7	shared 59:23 185:6
24 210:5,11,20,22	303:13 304:5,9,15	151:20 170:18 197:7,	133:17 138:19,23	10,22 186:7,10
385:12	305:2 306:6 307:16	12 215:22 216:14	139:6,11 187:9 189:6	209:16 278:23
	308:7,10,24 309:5,14	226:23 230:7 237:17	199:5 203:18 211:24	279:24 325:19,23
retain 149:8,9	311:21 313:8 317:2	308:9 377:11	212:5	326:2 351:4 353:21
retract 39:17	325:2 329:12 331:8	scroll 106:18 117:22		379:19 380:11
	332:21 338:11,20	130:14 136:9 140:23	sentences 314:6	388:15 390:20,21
return 49:7 51:7	339:21 341:12,15	152:16 170:25	separate 54:24	shareholders
123:22 306:18	343:11 345:22 349:4	171:24 174:7,18	-	
<b>reveal</b> 66:17,18	352:3,13 377:10	176:2 182:21 201:11	September 169:7	125:13 278:5 281:8 12,18 282:5 293:4
revenue 16:6 17:22	380:25 387:12,20 393:15	202:3 217:3,5,8	series 11:20 328:14	12,10 202.0 293.4
16VEILUE 10.0 1/:22	383.13	238:17 297:25		shares 276:15
review 11:5,6 13:2	rule 7:19 267:8	331:10 332:22	<b>serve</b> 19:3 24:15,21	281:20,22 282:2
89:11 160:11 175:9	356:11	341:16 343:12	29:2 32:14 33:7,12	Sharp 249:21
184:5 288:11 295:3,			34:4 35:11,15 37:17	Sharp 249.21
		1	38:25 39:2	1

			Index	: sheetstipulate
<ul> <li>sheet 106:19 107:25 108:11 109:6 110:4,5 111:11 112:4 120:23 175:2,14 179:17 220:2 222:21 228:22 229:3,8,16 230:5 243:7 251:14 253:22 294:12,24 370:22 372:6</li> <li>sheets 107:14,22 175:8</li> <li>short 266:2 279:16 332:7</li> <li>short-terms 331:22</li> <li>shortfalls 335:22,24</li> <li>show 126:20 189:25 226:20 257:2</li> </ul>	137:6 139:10,16 141:10,15 143:24 144:4,13,15,21 148:15 153:14 158:23 159:9 161:5, 20 180:24 181:16,23 182:8,16 201:12,14 213:7,9 256:2,4,8,12 257:5,15 258:24 262:15,20 289:23 293:25 296:20,21 298:4,21 299:13 301:16 305:16,20 306:10,22 315:2 316:14 319:19 320:16 <b>signer</b> 103:7 363:2 <b>signers</b> 152:11	116:24 138:22 141:12 159:13 231:20 sitting 149:24 270:7 284:22 310:8 314:19 320:3 348:16 351:10 382:23 392:3 size 271:21 309:25 skip 55:13 Skyview 14:5,7,9,17, 24 15:4,14,19 16:6, 14 24:4 28:20,22 377:2 Skyview's 16:6 17:22 smaller 288:12	192:10       194:8,25         196:20       233:11       234:2,         5,13       247:6       248:20         249:13       250:24       251:18       252:13         253:13       255:5,16       277:2       292:9       296:9         297:5       298:25       299:16       313:22       314:24         321:16,19       334:2       39:8       340:22       357:17         389:19       391:9 <b>Specificity</b> 163:18       239:9       390:19 <b>specifics</b> 345:15 <b>speculate</b> 20:5       188:21       301:5	268:21 304:16 <b>started</b> 21:3 36:7 125:19 207:15 231:10 304:15 <b>state</b> 8:4 10:16 47:2 161:16 <b>state's</b> 7:20 <b>stated</b> 8:3 24:5 179:15 206:9 246:8 249:8 290:12 294:16 <b>statement</b> 114:18 118:24 120:19 121:5, 10 133:7,13 134:4 179:17 190:14 194:18 204:3 205:18 224:10 228:20 262:5
showed 119:2 370:8 shown 322:8 shows 293:9 sign 50:14 91:15 94:20 95:16,22 96:8, 18 97:2 106:14 135:24 136:6,22,25 139:4 141:4,21 143:14,23 144:11 150:4 153:24 154:5, 10,18,22 155:3 158:4,13,18 159:4, 15,23 160:16,25 181:3 213:16 289:9, 11,16 293:25 306:17 320:14	159:20 <b>significant</b> 228:21 229:3,7 230:4 <b>signing</b> 141:6 152:23 156:23 296:9 299:17, 22,23 319:21 <b>similar</b> 135:25 218:7, 9 272:3,19 311:9 325:17 331:11 338:14 353:8 357:20 370:5,6,17 <b>simple</b> 156:8 <b>simply</b> 188:23 361:5 <b>single</b> 62:13,24 89:15 93:9 95:9 129:11	smart-ass 306:9 social 7:8 sold 276:15 solely 132:3 208:25 someplace 278:13 sort 142:13 208:15, 16 sorts 288:11 source 80:18 257:17 speak 62:13 63:25 72:20 73:9,14 74:15, 19 94:24 103:6 151:4 233:12 284:10	speculation       142:7         speed       247:4 249:10,         22       313:5         spilled       169:17         spoke       62:14 74:5         151:7       188:9 249:20         350:16,17,18       spreadsheets         368:19       spring         stable       90:5         stack       197:4         staff       116:9	statements 5:19 6:3 41:7 48:2 84:17,23 85:3,13,19 88:11 90:3,7 93:25 95:14 100:12 102:8,11,12 104:22 105:18 112:2 113:7,14 122:12 130:23 133:22 134:2 135:20 142:12 176:24 179:23 180:15 201:8 211:7, 22 217:25 218:4,20, 24 219:6 221:15 222:24 235:17 241:24 242:3,15,17 254:10 260:3 261:20 264:11,13 286:19 301:11 306:5 370:9, 12,17 378:24
signature 92:3,7 105:22 106:9 130:7 136:20 140:25 141:2, 7 143:4,21 144:20 152:20,21 217:9,11 256:3,15 262:21 294:5,12 298:14 299:2,19 305:6 320:22 321:3 signatures 298:3,10 299:8,20 signed 46:2 55:7,12 92:5 94:25 95:2,4,11 96:22 98:10,23,25 99:4,7,10,16,25 103:18 106:7,16 107:2 123:4 132:13	singular 314:3 sir 13:23 21:13 22:17 43:9 68:17 69:14 80:11 115:12 130:17 140:3 141:2 144:4 146:18 151:23 154:18 156:8,20 158:2 167:23 209:12 218:18 266:15 278:8 301:25 302:12 304:4 307:22 317:14 328:18 331:15 332:20,25 333:6 334:17 336:19 341:20 351:25 sit 102:13 114:24	<ul> <li>speaking 95:8 179:24</li> <li>specialist 8:21</li> <li>specialized 94:16</li> <li>specific 25:22 40:19, 23 97:7 120:19 124:8 198:15 199:3 224:16 235:12,18 253:15 323:8</li> <li>specifically 29:13 40:21 57:22 59:2,11 68:10 70:9 71:21 85:17 87:10 117:24 121:19 124:16 126:5 128:6 131:25 141:6, 11 145:5 146:3 162:23 180:6 187:19</li> </ul>	stamp 296:23 stand 328:24 stand-behind-you 88:25 standard 231:22 233:4 335:13 372:3 standards 256:21,24 Stang 3:8 9:5 10:20 72:10 166:19 stapled 197:22 214:12 stare 298:11 start 8:9 41:9 170:21 214:18 248:14	states 8:12 98:16 118:11 119:20 200:23 201:3,6 status 248:18 stay 248:19 363:9 387:10,16 step 347:3,4 steps 88:16 stick 20:7 sticking 203:5 277:13 Stinson 4:6 9:13,25 stipulate 7:15

			Index:	stipulationthing
stipulation 8:6	143:9 242:22 262:14	Susan 7:12 8:24	tax 280:7 354:22,25	terminated 326:5
Stock 281:16	263:4 274:12 370:24	sustained 17:14	358:11	termination 326:7,8,
stonewall 157:18	subsequently 244:22	swear 7:13 10:10	taxing 353:5	9 380:21
stood 328:22	substance 72:22	swearing 7:17	team 53:4 86:8,12 87:12,14,22 88:21,23	terms 33:21 65:23 66:2,6 69:22 75:8
Stoops 276:2	73:15,19 74:6 151:5,	Switching 321:25	89:2,8 112:10,12	76:2 78:25 79:23
<b>stop</b> 76:19,20,21,23	8 185:7 209:22	324:20	113:8,24 116:7	80:11 83:16,20
77:11,22 81:21,22	substantively 12:15	sworn 10:12 395:16	137:18,20 147:14,18, 19,24 148:2,4,5	319:8,12,14 336:7
106:2 155:19,22	substitute 16:15	<b>sync</b> 296:6	149:7,11,14,15,24	<b>Terrestar</b> 145:9
157:14 215:6 220:2	succeeded 31:22	system 330:9	150:19,20 188:4,6	273:12,18,19 274:7 384:20 385:9,14,18
<b>stopped</b> 231:16 294:3 381:3,6	32:11		200:17 219:3 237:7 255:25 257:8,12,17,	testified 10:12 68:18
	successor 32:8,15	Т	23,25 258:4,7,12	80:7 155:5 156:11
stopping 390:19	sued 182:2 375:6,14		259:11 272:23	179:7 201:23 206:10,
strategy 164:12	suffered 276:7	Tab 328:11	290:11,13,14,15 291:8,17 292:5	18 211:14 253:2 256:24 259:10
Street 3:15,23 4:13		taker 324:16	309:21 311:24	261:10 269:23 270:2,
8:23	sufficient 122:3	taking 81:12 110:14	312:13 335:11 351:7	13 282:24 283:6
stressful 292:24	suggest 277:12	120:12 176:7 208:16	367:10 368:3	289:3 309:20 312:20 313:3 314:16 318:8
strike 114:12 246:13	suggesting 338:9	226:17 239:23 240:23 323:19	teams 116:10 337:6	319:10 320:8 351:2
247:21 248:5,22 250:6 252:23 272:13	suggests 253:2	348:24	354:10	357:8 362:24 378:4,
291:2 307:16 310:7	suing 373:16,24	talk 89:2 157:16	tech 320:20	20 379:3 380:12 388:17
343:24 346:16	374:5	164:15,20 233:19	technologically	
364:22 372:8 393:4	SULLIVAN 4:19	279:2 293:7 325:13	320:12	testifies 318:3,11
string 5:21 172:3 183:22	<b>sum</b> 250:4 335:20	350:16 387:17 388:23 395:4	telephone 191:15 290:19 342:23	testify 252:18
	summaries 368:4	talked 100:3 113:4	344:12,14 392:22	testifying 252:22 302:14 387:22
structure 87:22 112:13	summarize 273:14	130:8 151:11 162:14,	telling 71:23 79:10	
stuff 156:6 157:5	279:12 280:4 296:8	15 187:21,23 188:4,7	125:4 166:18 181:8,	testimony 16:11,19 20:7,15 29:5 72:22
252:11 295:6	summarized 274:21	190:15 217:14 222:23 249:14	14 182:14 207:24 246:21 251:4 253:9	289:17 301:13 318:5
subevent 130:24	summarizing	253:16 268:3 292:11	285:5 287:8 317:5	370:19 387:25
subject 55:8 65:8	188:13	311:15 349:19 351:5	tendered 45:2 55:18	391:11
82:16,21 83:6,10,14	summary 5:23	378:5 379:18 389:2 391:9 394:6	57:19 58:3 60:13	<b>Texas</b> 3:16,24 4:8 8:14
109:24,25 124:14	237:19,23 279:16	talking 40:5 59:13,16	63:3 64:6,15,23	
139:5,11 168:10 277:5	Suntrust 4:17 10:6	75:14 76:20,23	tenure 39:20 40:2	Thanksgiving 329:20,22
	Super 395:5	77:12,22 89:15	86:3 94:4 102:11 114:5 230:14,18	Thedford 171:4
subpoena 11:6 16:18 81:13	supplemental	101:23 157:14 162:16 208:24 222:6,	368:25 369:11	172:3,11,24 173:5
subpoenaed 352:21	218:20	8 231:19 259:25	term 28:19 33:13	174:20 176:3,16
-	supporting 311:15	264:19 323:6 341:23	41:17 44:16 45:14	178:6 182:23 186:20 192:5 198:19 210:10,
subscribe 281:12	supposed 315:14	350:13 351:11,13 352:16,17 354:18	51:17 53:13 54:2	13 275:24
subscribed 276:14 395:16		368:18 379:25	58:14 69:8 71:20 75:23 79:8 99:16	Thedford's 182:20
	Surgent 171:4 275:23	383:14	170:8 173:2 186:21	theme 324:21
subscribing 281:19	surprised 352:9	talks 58:19 100:11	315:14,15 333:14	
subsequent 130:3, 10,21 131:2 133:8	356:11 376:22	350:6	362:14 364:3 369:16 383:19	thing 129:4 187:5 199:23 215:17 320:2
134:19,22 138:5,9	394:17	task 291:14	terminate 380:11	357:3
,,-				

things 61:14 85:6	24 87:3,8,17 93:7	25:13 27:17 28:7,11,	totaled 143:11	trigger 369:24
89:5 136:19 142:13 147:17 153:10	99:16,24 100:6 101:3 113:16 114:16	17 34:12,22,25 35:5, 8 37:3,9,16 39:6	totaling 223:17	triggered 274:16
162:15 248:16	116:16,20 120:9	51:25 111:10 173:8	Totally 269:16	true 74:18 89:22
249:12 254:24	122:5 124:4 127:16	174:2 183:13,17	touching 264:5	186:4 204:4 269:11
257:10 259:14	135:24 136:15,16	192:23 227:3,11	touching 204.5	277:13 333:6 350:21
261:23,24 263:18	137:12,22 138:15,18	269:6 270:9,11 305:8	track 337:9 364:15	trust 4:10 9:18 65:11
264:3,17 265:14	139:4,9,16 141:10	titled 237:19	trade 281:23	244:23 245:3,7
279:22 280:8,9	148:19,21 154:21			247:11 373:23 374:5
288:14,16,17 292:22	158:11,18,23 159:4,9	titles 27:20 30:9,12	trades 273:25 274:8	
295:21 329:4,24 333:21 352:12	160:8,24 162:4 163:10 164:25	34:15,17 37:15,21, 22,23,25	trail 288:22	trusted 257:7
354:11 356:25	166:12 168:24 169:2,	22,23,25	transactions 05.00	trustee 4:16 10:5
357:10 371:16	4,5 186:4 191:7	today 11:2 13:20	transactions 85:6,8, 14 100:13 102:21	65:10
373:11 374:25	192:13 204:4,14	23:22,23 24:7,13	103:5 148:6 224:15	truth 252:18,20
376:24	205:20 206:12	28:4 30:23 31:11	285:21 286:5,12,13,	
	207:20 212:13,21	51:17 73:15 81:11	17 289:25 295:24	truthfully 252:22
thinking 22:18	221:5 225:17,19	93:12 102:14 116:24	373:9	253:3
324:11,12	227:6 229:12,23	118:8 141:12 162:14,		<b>TSG</b> 7:6 8:22,25
Thomas 171:4	230:14,15 231:7,15,	16 173:10 203:20	transfer 141:20	,
275:23	16,23 232:21 233:4,	267:12,19 268:4,9 270:7 273:20 284:22,	287:5 288:6 332:16	<b>Tuesday</b> 8:19 172:4
thought 37:14 40:11	13,14,15 238:4	23 286:4 291:22	transferred 141:9	tunnel 293:3
54:20 127:9 188:25	240:3,25 241:19	310:8 314:19 317:15	285:4,10	turn 100.0 105.05
235:8 252:2 263:23	244:5,16 246:5 247:8	320:3 370:8,18 392:3	transfers 283:24	turn 100:8 105:25 110:22 149:12
304:12 363:13,21	248:3,23 250:7 253:5		285:21 327:16	259:16 261:13
	254:18 255:6 259:14	told 47:8 58:18 60:11		
thoughts 348:22	261:25 264:15 265:6,	67:19,21 69:21 78:3	transmitted 340:25	turning 197:12,16
thousands 224:14	9,24,25 266:2,25 269:14 270:8 273:17,	81:6 116:19 132:5	transparency	turns 317:20
286:11,16	19,25 274:11 278:14	138:23 139:3 145:18 154:10 162:17	295:20	
thread 118:4	283:25 294:15 299:3	163:20 165:8 174:4	tropoporent 010.4	two-month 323:11
	303:8 313:20 321:19,	180:22 181:2,19,21	transparent 313:4 375:10	type 88:25 94:21
threatening 374:22,	22 322:13 323:4	182:6 189:14,19	375.10	130:23,25 227:9
24	331:25 337:20 339:7	190:20,25 191:8	treasurer 23:9,10,	253:25
thumb 356:11	343:23 352:2 353:25	203:9 204:18 205:22	16,22,23 24:2,3,6,8,	types 148:6
	357:3,5,12 358:19	211:5 234:20 246:9	10,13,15,21 25:2,8,	
tickler 337:8	363:25 365:12 366:2,	248:17 250:13,16,20	14,18,23 26:19,22	typical 103:25
tie 222:25	18 369:13,23 370:11	251:7 254:4 257:16	27:4,22,23,25 28:3,5,	106:17 371:5
ties 111:18 243:20	371:13 386:4,17	282:5,16 283:16	8,12,18,20 29:5,9,12,	typically 144:11
163 111.10 243.20	388:12,25 389:9	287:5 318:4 375:24	15 30:14,15,16,20, 22,24 31:2,3,5 39:2	168:22 169:10
till 200:24	395:3	385:7 386:7,17	135:23 138:14	192:12 230:16
time 12:23 17:15	timeframe 169:8	391:12 392:5,11	152:24 153:3,16,22	289:16 296:22
19:7,13,16,19 20:2	timeline 40:20	tomorrow 395:4	155:7,10,14,21	329:16 355:24,25
24:16,18,20,21 25:3,		tone 394:5,12	156:4,12 158:3,9,12	
12 37:18 38:25	timely 86:11 330:19	10116 394.3,12	176:14 202:12 204:2	U
39:10,15,18,23 40:8,	331:4 333:16 356:13,	top 92:10 111:4,8	269:6,12,13 270:3,5,	
25 42:2 43:22,23	24 357:14	198:5 219:19 313:10	9 272:4 305:8 327:4	<b>Uh-huh</b> 69:4 210:17
45:9,24 46:6 47:15	times 11:11,13 25:7	topic 114:19 234:3,	336:4	237:21 368:20
49:16 50:10 51:9,14,	62:12 90:17,18,19	15 251:21 253:15	treasury 335:15	
19,25 55:15 56:8	207:17 249:8 250:2		336:25 351:3 354:7,	ultimately 125:6
58:19 60:22 61:10,	252:2 298:15 320:8,	total 109:13 110:8	11,22 358:4	178:17 274:22 387:6
21,25 62:3 64:4	25 321:2 324:8 368:5	119:21 238:7 239:12		unable 122:14
72:17 73:2,3 75:21	374:4 392:8,14,15	240:9 260:12 335:20	treatment 242:12	203:18 204:24 208:7
76:7 77:8 81:12	<b>title</b> 14:9,11,12 23:6,8	389:11	trick 348:7	211:10 212:10
84:19 85:4,9 86:19,				

			Index:	unawareWednesday
unaware 64:24	unilateral 382:20	videotape 12:10	100:1 101:1 102:1	267:1,23 268:1,20
uncollectible 244:9,	unilaterally 270:20	view 204:24 205:11	103:1 104:1 105:1,9	269:1,20 270:1
17 245:25 246:7,17,	271:4 272:5	292:14 301:8	106:1,6 107:1 108:1	271:1,5 272:1 273:1
24 247:13,25 249:3			109:1 110:1 111:1	274:1 275:1 276:1
250:10,15 251:9,22	unique 12:21	voice 268:6	112:1 113:1 114:1	277:1 278:1 279:1
253:11 254:4 255:9,	United 8:12	vote 282:5	115:1 116:1 117:1	280:1 281:1 282:1 283:1 284:1 285:1
15	unlike 12:18 353:20	voted 125:13	118:1 119:1 120:1 121:1 122:1 123:1	286:1 287:1 288:1
uncomfortable		<b>VOLEU</b> 120.10	124:1 125:1 126:1	289:1 290:1 291:1
90:14,20,24 91:9	unpaid 119:10 161:8,		127:1 128:1 129:1	292:1 293:1,12 294:1
	18	W	130:1,2 131:1 132:1	295:1 296:1 297:1,
unconsolidated 110:2,18	untested 207:22		133:1 134:1 135:1	22,24 298:1,7,19
	upcoming 336:8,11	wait 156:18 157:4,5,	136:1 137:1 138:1	299:1,11 300:1 301:1
undergoing 207:20	337:25 355:13	22 249:17	139:1 140:1 141:1	302:1,10,19 303:1
241:16		waiting 196:24	142:1 143:1 144:1	304:1 305:1,5,9
underlying 246:11	updated 154:22	walk 180:17	145:1 146:1,23 147:1 148:1 149:1 150:1	306:1,8 307:1 308:1 309:1,8 310:1 311:1
311:24 332:12	upset 362:11 390:2		151:1,4 152:1 153:1	312:1,9 313:1 314:1
understand 10:21,	urgent 356:23	walk-through	154:1 155:1 156:1	315:1,18 316:1
25 12:6,9 13:24 17:3	-	168:19	157:1 158:1 159:1	317:1,4 318:1 319:1
31:10 41:13 45:22	URQUHART 4:19	walked 179:7 294:4	160:1 161:1 162:1	320:1 321:1 322:1
53:22 55:9 68:20	<b>USD</b> 331:14	walking 189:22	163:1 164:1,5 165:1	323:1 324:1,15
74:4 75:22 76:5			166:1 167:1 168:1	325:1,11 326:1 327:1
78:24 79:16 98:22	V	wanted 89:8 104:3	169:1 170:1,21 171:1	328:1,15 329:1 330:1
99:18 104:2 127:7		129:4 271:15 342:9	172:1 173:1 174:1	331:1 332:1 333:1
133:9 153:15 158:7	voction 201.02	343:3,7 345:10	175:1 176:1 177:1	334:1 335:1 336:1
176:20 203:12 222:9	vacation 321:23 329:23	Warren 4:11 9:16	178:1,25 179:1 180:1 181:1 182:1 183:1	337:1 338:1 339:1,22 340:1 341:1 342:1
225:25 267:25 268:17 273:3 288:2,		Waterhouse 3:1 4:1	184:1 185:1 186:1	343:1 344:1 345:1
13 296:19 297:9	vague 353:19	5:1,5 6:1 7:1 8:1,11	187:1 188:1,17 189:1	346:1 347:1 348:1
300:21 312:6 313:24	valid 159:18 161:12,	9:1,11 10:1,11,18,19	190:1 191:1 192:1	349:1,15 350:1,5
315:12 316:12 338:9,	16	11:1 12:1,24 13:1,15	193:1 194:1 195:1	351:1 352:1,23 353:1
25 353:24 364:16	validity 7:16	14:1 15:1 16:1,10	196:1 197:1,5 198:1,	354:1 355:1 356:1
374:7 376:10	-	17:1,16 18:1 19:1	3 199:1 200:1 201:1	357:1 358:1 359:1
understanding	valuation 274:9,18,	20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1	202:1 203:1 204:1	360:1 361:1 362:1
41:10,17 53:6 65:22,	24 280:7,13 292:5	24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1	205:1 206:1 207:1	363:1 364:1,24 365:1
25 66:5 84:25 85:11	354:15,22 384:20	28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1	208:1 209:1,15 210:1 211:1 212:1 213:1	366:1 367:1 368:1 369:1 370:1 371:1
96:25 97:11,16,18,24	385:9,14,19	36:1,19 37:1 38:1	214:1 215:1 216:1,15	372:1,18 373:1 374:1
100:18 103:20 104:3	values 251:5 253:17	39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1	217:1 218:1 219:1	375:1 376:1 377:1,9
111:15 118:16,19	264:2 265:9 367:9	43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1	220:1 221:1 222:1	378:1 379:1 380:1
146:25 152:4,6,7,9	387:3	47:1,7,15 48:1 49:1	223:1 224:1 225:1,3	381:1 382:1 383:1
153:14,21 156:3 170:3,8 173:4 175:19	varied 230:15	50:1 51:1,4 52:1 53:1	226:1 227:1 228:1	384:1 385:1 386:1
184:17 195:15	varies 87:2,3 230:14	54:1 55:1 56:1 57:1	229:1 230:1 231:1	387:1,21 388:1 389:1
242:19 256:19		58:1 59:1 60:1 61:1	232:1 233:1 234:1	390:1 391:1 392:1
259:22 270:19	vendor 288:17 356:7	62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1	235:1 236:1,25 237:1 238:1 239:1 240:1	393:1 394:1,24 395:1,2,14
277:25 311:9 326:3	verify 97:22	70:1 71:1 72:1,19	241:1 242:1 243:1	
372:19 373:23 374:4	verifying 215:2	73:1,9 74:1 75:1,3,22	244:1 245:1 246:1	Waterhouse's
375:17 379:24		76:1 77:1 78:1,14	247:1 248:1,12 249:1	140:24
understood 69:2	versions 136:13	79:1 80:1,25 81:1	250:1 251:1 252:1	ways 257:11
155:6 203:10 382:22	146:14	82:1,4,14 83:1 84:1	253:1,8 254:1 255:1	wearing 336:3
undo 374:17	versus 292:12	85:1 86:1 87:1 88:1	256:1 257:1 258:1	
	video 7:16 8:10,17,	89:1 90:1 91:1,25	259:1 260:1 261:1	Webex 191:14
unfetterred 372:21	21 323:23 324:3	92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1	262:1 263:1 264:1 265:1 266:1,12	Wednesday 329:19
		96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1	200.1200.1,12	

Index: week..zoom week 267:11 329:17, worried 241:22 91:10 115:10 123:23 25 330:3.5 375:21 246:12 231:11 257:10 388:6 285:22 286:4,17,25 worry 215:18 289:25 320:9 333:24 weekend 329:20 wrapping 293:3 334:23 335:7 373:7,8 weekly 330:4 379:23 write 187:6 years-plus 89:18 weeks 302:21 303:7 write-up 278:12 307:8 378:18 yellow 178:8 writes 174:20 328:21 wet 299:8 329:5 yesterday 8:2 66:13 whatsoever 251:6 140:10 322:11 writing 67:13 75:5 **York** 3:10 4:21 8:23 whichever 365:14 79:11 273:12 316:17 281:16 341:18 wholly 233:17 written 15:9 83:17,20 you-all 329:2 window 323:11 186:3 195:9 323:20, Winograd 3:7 25 Ζ wire 330:8 350:19 wrong 77:3,4 133:18 138:20,24 215:16 zeros 286:15 withdraw 35:4 134:9 374:6 Ziehl 3:8 9:5 10:21 withdrawn 19:11 wrote 313:15,17 72:10 34:16,21 38:14 57:23 314:5,6,9 59:15 62:16 63:7 **zoom** 3:3 191:14 65:4 93:18 98:13 309:15 313:11 Υ 119:7 133:20 141:25 323:23 324:2,4,9 148:8 157:3 160:5 163:18,19 180:24 y'all 139:23 395:4 181:19 191:11 year 18:14,16,20,22 195:16,18 201:19 19:23 20:6,12 23:13, 203:25 220:11 232:6. 14,15 27:24 30:19 19 382:7 386:5 36:23 38:15 59:3,5 witness' 36:2 156:3 68:11 80:9 84:23 85:3 87:3 90:12 93:5, word 68:23 76:7 9 94:10,22 95:6,10, 146:13 293:21 307:6 14 100:7 103:14 391:2 104:6 131:4 145:10 worded 104:10 160:7 161:4,7,17 162:25 166:6 168:14 words 79:5 342:16 169:2,4,6 170:12 346:10 348:7 363:8 220:19 221:21 work 113:8 204:22 223:16,18 224:13,15 219:23 233:18 291:8 244:14 265:3 286:21 299:5 346:25 372:19 326:11 334:15 348:17 381:24 worked 116:7,12 382:10 383:20 237:8 259:12 290:15 309:21 370:12 year-end 222:4 244:10,14 262:7 working 90:22 362:16 147:13 207:19 219:18 291:13 year-ended 263:24 292:24 299:8 342:25 yearly 170:15 381:19 years 18:23 62:13,22 works 269:9 86:18 87:17 89:16

## **EXHIBIT 4**

1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 283
1	DOINDEI(0 - 10/23/21	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	
3	DALLAS DIVISION	
4	IN RE:	
5	Chapter 11 HIGHLAND CAPITAL	
6	MANAGEMENT, L.P., CASE NO. 19-34054-SGI11	
7	Debtor.	
8		
9	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	
10	Plaintiff, vs. Adversary	
11	Proceeding No. JAMES D. DONDERO, 21-03003-sgi	
12	Defendant.	
13		
14	REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	
15	JAMES DONDERO - VOLUME 2	
16	October 29, 2021	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Reported by: Susan S. Klinger, RMR-CRR, CSR	
25	Job No. 201874	

1	Page 284		P	age 285
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	.go 200
2		2	A P P E A R A N C E S:	
3		3	(All appearances via Zoom.)	
4	October 29, 2021	4	Attorneys for the Reorganized Highland Capital	
5	10:21 a.m.	5	Management:	
6		6	John Morris, Esq.	
7		7	Hayley Winograd, Esq.	
8		8	Gregory Demo, Esq.	
9	Remote Deposition of JAMES DONDERO, held	9	PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES	
10	before Susan S. Klinger, a Registered Merit	10	780 Third Avenue	
11	Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter of the	11	New York, New York 10017	
12	State of Texas.	12		
13		13	Attorneys for NexPoint Advisors, LP and	
14		14	Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,	
15		15	L.P.:	
16		16	Davor Rukavina, Esq.	
17		17	Thomas Berghman, Esq.	
18		18	MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR	
19		19	500 North Akard Street	
20		20	Dallas, Texas 75201	
21		21		
22		22		
23		23		
24		24		
25		25		
	Dece 200			200 207
1	Page 286 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	age 287
2	Attorneys for Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA,	2	INDEX	
3	and HCMS:			
4		3	WITNESS PAGE	
	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq.	3	WITNESS PAGE JAMES DONDERO	
5	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq.			
5 6		4	JAMES DONDERO	
1	Michael Aigen, Esq.	4 5 6	JAMES DONDERO EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 289	
6	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON	4 5 6	JAMES DONDERO EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 289 E X H I B I T S	
6 7	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue	4 5 6 7	JAMES DONDERO EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 289 E X H I B I T S No. Page	
6 7 8 9	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue	4 5 6 7 8	JAMES DONDERO EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 289 E X H I B I T S No. Page Exhibit 1 Original Complaint 466	
6 7 8 9	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219	4 5 6 7 8 9	JAMES DONDERO EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 289 E X H I B I T S No. Page Exhibit 1 Original Complaint 466 Exhibit 2 NexPoint Complaint 408	
6 7 8 9 10	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	JAMES DONDERO EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 289 E X H I B I T S No. Page Exhibit 1 Original Complaint 466 Exhibit 2 NexPoint Complaint 408 Exhibit 3 HCMS Complaint 433	
6 7 8 9 10 11	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Douglas Draper, Esq.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	JAMES DONDERO EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 289 E X H I B I T S No. Page Exhibit 1 Original Complaint 466 Exhibit 2 NexPoint Complaint 408 Exhibit 3 HCMS Complaint 433 Exhibit 4 Letter, 12/3/20 464	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Douglas Draper, Esq. Michael Landis, Esq.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	JAMES DONDERO EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 289 E X H I B I T S No. Page Exhibit 1 Original Complaint 466 Exhibit 2 NexPoint Complaint 408 Exhibit 3 HCMS Complaint 433 Exhibit 4 Letter, 12/3/20 464 Exhibit 6 Term note 446	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Douglas Draper, Esq. Michael Landis, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JAMES DONDERO EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 289 EX H I B I T S No. Page Exhibit 1 Original Complaint 466 Exhibit 2 NexPoint Complaint 408 Exhibit 3 HCMS Complaint 433 Exhibit 4 Letter, 12/3/20 464 Exhibit 6 Term note 446 Exhibit 6 Term note 380	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Douglas Draper, Esq. Michael Landis, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JAMES DONDERO EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 289 E X H I B I T S No. Page Exhibit 1 Original Complaint 466 Exhibit 2 NexPoint Complaint 408 Exhibit 3 HCMS Complaint 433 Exhibit 4 Letter, 12/3/20 464 Exhibit 4 Letter, 12/3/20 464 Exhibit 5 NexPoint Advisors Answer 380 Exhibit 15 NexPoint Advisors Answer 362	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Douglas Draper, Esq. Michael Landis, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JAMES DONDERO EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 289 EX H I B I T S No. Page Exhibit 1 Original Complaint 466 Exhibit 2 NexPoint Complaint 408 Exhibit 3 HCMS Complaint 433 Exhibit 4 Letter, 12/3/20 464 Exhibit 6 Term note 446 Exhibit 6 Term note 380 Exhibit 16 HCMS's Answer 362 Exhibit 16 HCMS's Answer 377	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Douglas Draper, Esq. Michael Landis, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JAMES DONDERO EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 289 EX HIBITS No. Page Exhibit 1 Original Complaint 466 Exhibit 2 NexPoint Complaint 408 Exhibit 3 HCMS Complaint 403 Exhibit 3 HCMS Complaint 433 Exhibit 4 Letter, 12/3/20 464 Exhibit 4 Letter, 12/3/20 464 Exhibit 5 NexPoint Advisors Answer 380 Exhibit 15 NexPoint Advisors Answer 362 Exhibit 16 HCMS's Answer 377 Exhibit 17 HCRE's Answer 354	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Douglas Draper, Esq. Michael Landis, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust:	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JAMES DONDEROEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS289EXHIBITSNo.PageExhibit 1Original Complaint406Exhibit 2NexPoint Complaint408Exhibit 3HCMS Complaint433Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20464Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20464Exhibit 15NexPoint Advisors Answer380Exhibit 15NexPoint Advisors Answer362Exhibit 17HCRE's Answer377Exhibit 31 Answer to Complaint354Exhibit 35Incumbency Certificate309	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Douglas Draper, Esq. Michael Landis, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JAMES DONDEROEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS289EXHIBITSNo.PageExhibit 1Original Complaint408Exhibit 2NexPoint Complaint408Exhibit 3HCMS Complaint408Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20464Exhibit 5NexPoint Advisors Answer380Exhibit 15NexPoint Advisors Answer380Exhibit 16HCMS's Answer362Exhibit 16HCMS's Answer362Exhibit 31Answer to Complaint354Exhibit 35Jncumbency Certificate309Exhibit 37Jncumbency Certificate323	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Douglas Draper, Esq. Michael Landis, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JAMES DONDEROEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS289EXHIBITSNo.PageExhibit 1Original Complaint406Exhibit 2NexPoint Complaint408Exhibit 3HCMS Complaint433Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20464Exhibit 5Term note446Exhibit 15NexPoint Advisors Answer380Exhibit 16HCMS's Answer362Exhibit 17HCRE's Answer377Exhibit 31Answer to Complaint354Exhibit 35Incumbency Certificate309Exhibit 37Incumbency Certificate323Exhibit 47NexPoint 30(b)(6) notice345	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Douglas Draper, Esq. Michael Landis, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN 51 Madison Avenue	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JAMES DONDEROEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS289EXHIBITSNo.PageExhibit 1Cinginal Complaint406Exhibit 2NexPoint Complaint408Exhibit 3HCMS Complaint403Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20464Exhibit 4Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20Ad64Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20Ad64Exhibit 15NexPoint Advisors Answer380Sathibit 15NexPoint Advisors Answer362Exhibit 17HCRE's Answer377Exhibit 31Answer to Complaint354Exhibit 35Incumbency Certificate309Exhibit 37Incumbency Certificate323Exhibit 47NexPoint 30(b)(6) notice353	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Douglas Draper, Esq. Michael Landis, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN 51 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JAMES DONDEROEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS289EXHIBITSNo.PageExhibit 1Cinginal Complaint406Exhibit 2NexPoint Complaint408Exhibit 3HCMS Complaint403Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20464Exhibit 4Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20Ad64Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20Ad64Exhibit 15NexPoint Advisors Answer380Sathibit 15NexPoint Advisors Answer362Exhibit 17HCRE's Answer377Exhibit 31Answer to Complaint354Exhibit 35Incumbency Certificate309Exhibit 37Incumbency Certificate323Exhibit 47NexPoint 30(b)(6) notice353	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Douglas Draper, Esq. Michael Landis, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN 51 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010 Also Present:	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JAMES DONDEROEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS289EXHIBITSNo.PageExhibit 1Cinginal Complaint406Exhibit 2NexPoint Complaint408Exhibit 3HCMS Complaint403Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20464Exhibit 4Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20Ad64Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20Ad64Exhibit 15NexPoint Advisors Answer380Sathibit 15NexPoint Advisors Answer362Exhibit 17HCRE's Answer377Exhibit 31Answer to Complaint354Exhibit 35Incumbency Certificate309Exhibit 37Incumbency Certificate323Exhibit 47NexPoint 30(b)(6) notice353	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Douglas Draper, Esq. Michael Landis, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN 51 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010 Also Present: Dan Elms	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JAMES DONDEROEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS289EXHIBITSNo.PageExhibit 1Cinginal Complaint406Exhibit 2NexPoint Complaint408Exhibit 3HCMS Complaint403Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20464Exhibit 4Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20Ad64Exhibit 4Letter, 12/3/20Ad64Exhibit 15NexPoint Advisors Answer380Sathibit 15NexPoint Advisors Answer362Exhibit 17HCRE's Answer377Exhibit 31Answer to Complaint354Exhibit 35Incumbency Certificate309Exhibit 37Incumbency Certificate323Exhibit 47NexPoint 30(b)(6) notice353	

	age 288		Page 289
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	age 200	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Fage 209
2 PROCEEDINGS	2	looks green to me, I will ask that we stop	
3 VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the	3	and reconvene when he is not feeling	
4 beginning of Video 1 in Volume 2 of the	4	nauseous.	
5 deposition of James Dondero in the matter	5	MR. MORRIS: All right. I would	
6 In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P.	6	like to just begin here. We have counsel	
7 Today's date is October 29, 2021. The time	7	on the line for all of the defendants, we	
8 on the video monitor is 10:21 a.m.	8	have counsel for the plaintiff, and we have	
9 Will the court reporter please swear	9	counsel for the Highland Litigation Trust,	
10 in the witness.	10	and I think that that is everybody who	
11 JAMES DONDERO,	11	is – is supposed to be here, so I would	
12 having been first duly sworn, testified as	12		
13 follows:	13	EXAMINATION	
14 MR. MORRIS: Deborah, would you like	14	BY MR. MORRIS:	
15 to make a statement?	15	Q. Mr. Dondero, can you hear me okay?	
16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I didn't know if	16	A. Yes.	
17 you wanted appearances first. Sure. This	17	Q. Okay. And are you feeling well	
18 is Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson. I'm	18		
19 counsel for Mr. Dondero, Nancy Dondero,	19	A. Yes.	
20 HCRE and HCMS in this deposition.	20	Q. Okay. I understand that you are not	
21 I want to apologize for everybody	21	feeling well. And I want you to know that I do	
22 that we're starting late. Mr. Dondero was	22	not want to proceed with this deposition unless	
23 under the weather. It is – he has taken	23	you believe that you are physically and	
24 something, so he should not have to leave	24	mentally able to participate to the best of	
25 the deposition, but if at any point he	25		
			D 004
	Page 290	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 291
		DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. And we are going to refer to that	Page 291
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 A. Yes.	1	Q. And we are going to refer to that	Page 291
1DONDERO - 10/29/212A. Yes.3Q. So if at any time you don't feel	1	Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland;	Page 291
1DONDERO - 10/29/212A. Yes.3Q. So if at any time you don't feel4like you can continue, I would rather adjourn	1 2 3	Q. And we are going to refer to that	Page 291
1DONDERO - 10/29/212A. Yes.3Q. So if at any time you don't feel4like you can continue, I would rather adjourn5to one day next week to complete the deposition	1 2 3 4	<ul><li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	Page 291
1DONDERO - 10/29/212A. Yes.3Q. So if at any time you don't feel4like you can continue, I would rather adjourn5to one day next week to complete the deposition6rather than forcing you to do something that	1 2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you</li> </ul>	Page 291
1DONDERO - 10/29/212A. Yes.3Q. So if at any time you don't feel4like you can continue, I would rather adjourn5to one day next week to complete the deposition6rather than forcing you to do something that7you don't believe you're capable of doing.	1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li><li>A. Yes.</li></ul>	Page 291
1DONDERO - 10/29/212A. Yes.3Q. So if at any time you don't feel4like you can continue, I would rather adjourn5to one day next week to complete the deposition6rather than forcing you to do something that7you don't believe you're capable of doing.8Okay?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> </ul>	Page 291
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So if at any time you don't feel</li> <li>like you can continue, I would rather adjourn</li> <li>to one day next week to complete the deposition</li> <li>rather than forcing you to do something that</li> <li>you don't believe you're capable of doing.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's</li> </ul>	Page 291
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So if at any time you don't feel</li> <li>like you can continue, I would rather adjourn</li> <li>to one day next week to complete the deposition</li> <li>rather than forcing you to do something that</li> <li>you don't believe you're capable of doing.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice</li> <li>last night.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that</li> <li>entity and that entity only today as Highland;</li> <li>is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you</li> <li>create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's</li> <li>president from 1994 until on or around January</li> </ul>	Page 291
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So if at any time you don't feel</li> <li>like you can continue, I would rather adjourn</li> <li>to one day next week to complete the deposition</li> <li>rather than forcing you to do something that</li> <li>you don't believe you're capable of doing.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice</li> <li>last night.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's</li> </ul>	Page 291
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So if at any time you don't feel</li> <li>like you can continue, I would rather adjourn</li> <li>to one day next week to complete the deposition</li> <li>rather than forcing you to do something that</li> <li>you don't believe you're capable of doing.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice</li> <li>last night.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I imagine we could go for – let's</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's president from 1994 until on or around January 9th, 2020?</li> </ul>	Page 291
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So if at any time you don't feel</li> <li>like you can continue, I would rather adjourn</li> <li>to one day next week to complete the deposition</li> <li>rather than forcing you to do something that</li> <li>you don't believe you're capable of doing.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice</li> <li>last night.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I imagine we could go for – let's</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's president from 1994 until on or around January 9th, 2020?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did – can you describe in your own</li> </ul>	Page 291
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So if at any time you don't feel</li> <li>like you can continue, I would rather adjourn</li> <li>to one day next week to complete the deposition</li> <li>rather than forcing you to do something that</li> <li>you don't believe you're capable of doing.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice</li> <li>last night.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I imagine we could go for – let's</li> <li>shoot for four hours today, you know, maybe –</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's president from 1994 until on or around January</li> <li>9th, 2020?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did – can you describe in your own words what the business of Highland was while</li> </ul>	Page 291
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So if at any time you don't feel</li> <li>like you can continue, I would rather adjourn</li> <li>to one day next week to complete the deposition</li> <li>rather than forcing you to do something that</li> <li>you don't believe you're capable of doing.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice</li> <li>last night.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I imagine we could go for let's</li> <li>shoot for four hours today, you know, maybe</li> <li>maybe five, I don't know, but if we don't</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's president from 1994 until on or around January 9th, 2020?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did – can you describe in your own words what the business of Highland was while</li> </ul>	Page 291
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So if at any time you don't feel</li> <li>like you can continue, I would rather adjourn</li> <li>to one day next week to complete the deposition</li> <li>rather than forcing you to do something that</li> <li>you don't believe you're capable of doing.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice</li> <li>last night.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I imagine we could go for – let's</li> <li>shoot for four hours today, you know, maybe –</li> <li>maybe five, I don't know, but if we don't</li> <li>finish –</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's president from 1994 until on or around January 9th, 2020?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did – can you describe in your own words what the business of Highland was while you were president?</li> </ul>	Page 291
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So if at any time you don't feel</li> <li>like you can continue, I would rather adjourn</li> <li>to one day next week to complete the deposition</li> <li>rather than forcing you to do something that</li> <li>you don't believe you're capable of doing.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice</li> <li>last night.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I imagine we could go for – let's</li> <li>shoot for four hours today, you know, maybe –</li> <li>maybe five, I don't know, but if we don't</li> <li>finish –</li> <li>Q. I don't want to –</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's president from 1994 until on or around January 9th, 2020?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did – can you describe in your own words what the business of Highland was while you were president?</li> <li>A. We were largely below investment</li> </ul>	Page 291
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. Yes.         3       Q. So if at any time you don't feel         4       like you can continue, I would rather adjourn         5       to one day next week to complete the deposition         6       rather than forcing you to do something that         7       you don't believe you're capable of doing.         8       Okay?         9       A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice         10       last night.         11       Q. Okay.         12       A. I imagine we could go for let's         13       shoot for four hours today, you know, maybe         14       maybe five, I don't know, but if we don't         15       finish         16       Q. I don't want to         17       A we will do the rest next week.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's president from 1994 until on or around January 9th, 2020?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did – can you describe in your own words what the business of Highland was while you were president?</li> <li>A. We were largely below investment grade, credit strap, and we diversified over</li> </ul>	Page 291
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So if at any time you don't feel</li> <li>like you can continue, I would rather adjourn</li> <li>to one day next week to complete the deposition</li> <li>rather than forcing you to do something that</li> <li>you don't believe you're capable of doing.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice</li> <li>last night.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I imagine we could go for let's</li> <li>shoot for four hours today, you know, maybe</li> <li>maybe five, I don't know, but if we don't</li> <li>finish</li> <li>Q. I don't want to</li> <li>A we will do the rest next week.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I don't want to put an</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's president from 1994 until on or around January 9th, 2020?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did – can you describe in your own words what the business of Highland was while you were president?</li> <li>A. We were largely below investment grade, credit strap, and we diversified over the years to become more of an alternative</li> </ul>	Page 291
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So if at any time you don't feel</li> <li>like you can continue, I would rather adjourn</li> <li>to one day next week to complete the deposition</li> <li>rather than forcing you to do something that</li> <li>you don't believe you're capable of doing.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice</li> <li>last night.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I imagine we could go for – let's</li> <li>shoot for four hours today, you know, maybe –</li> <li>maybe five, I don't know, but if we don't</li> <li>finish –</li> <li>Q. I don't want to –</li> <li>A we will do the rest next week.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I don't want to put an</li> <li>arbitrary time on it. You tell me if you are</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's president from 1994 until on or around January 9th, 2020?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did – can you describe in your own words what the business of Highland was while you were president?</li> <li>A. We were largely below investment grade, credit strap, and we diversified over the years to become more of an alternative asset manager in a variety of formats.</li> </ul>	Page 291
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. Yes.         3       Q. So if at any time you don't feel         4       like you can continue, I would rather adjourn         5       to one day next week to complete the deposition         6       rather than forcing you to do something that         7       you don't believe you're capable of doing.         8       Okay?         9       A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice         10       last night.         11       Q. Okay.         12       A. I imagine we could go for – let's         13       shoot for four hours today, you know, maybe –         14       maybe five, I don't know, but if we don't         15       finish –         16       Q. I don't want to –         17       A. – we will do the rest next week.         18       Q. Okay. I don't want to put an         19       arbitrary time on it. You tell me if you are         20       unable to continue. Okay? Is that fair?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's president from 1994 until on or around January 9th, 2020?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did – can you describe in your own words what the business of Highland was while you were president?</li> <li>A. We were largely below investment grade, credit strap, and we diversified over the years to become more of an alternative asset manager in a variety of formats.</li> <li>Q. And –</li> </ul>	Page 291
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So if at any time you don't feel</li> <li>like you can continue, I would rather adjourn</li> <li>to one day next week to complete the deposition</li> <li>rather than forcing you to do something that</li> <li>you don't believe you're capable of doing.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice</li> <li>last night.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I imagine we could go for - let's</li> <li>shoot for four hours today, you know, maybe -</li> <li>maybe five, I don't know, but if we don't</li> <li>finish -</li> <li>Q. I don't want to -</li> <li>A we will do the rest next week.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I don't want to put an</li> <li>arbitrary time on it. You tell me if you are</li> <li>unable to continue. Okay? Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is my estimate at this</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's president from 1994 until on or around January 9th, 2020?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did – can you describe in your own words what the business of Highland was while you were president?</li> <li>A. We were largely below investment grade, credit strap, and we diversified over the years to become more of an alternative asset manager in a variety of formats.</li> <li>Q. And – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sorry, John,</li> </ul>	Page 291
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So if at any time you don't feel</li> <li>like you can continue, I would rather adjourn</li> <li>to one day next week to complete the deposition</li> <li>rather than forcing you to do something that</li> <li>you don't believe you're capable of doing.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice</li> <li>last night.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I imagine we could go for – let's</li> <li>shoot for four hours today, you know, maybe –</li> <li>maybe five, I don't know, but if we don't</li> <li>finish –</li> <li>Q. I don't want to –</li> <li>A we will do the rest next week.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I don't want to put an</li> <li>arbitrary time on it. You tell me if you are</li> <li>unable to continue. Okay? Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is my estimate at this</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's president from 1994 until on or around January 9th, 2020?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did – can you describe in your own words what the business of Highland was while you were president?</li> <li>A. We were largely below investment grade, credit strap, and we diversified over the years to become more of an alternative asset manager in a variety of formats.</li> <li>Q. And – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sorry, John, one sec. This was set up by someone a lot</li> </ul>	Page 291
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So if at any time you don't feel</li> <li>like you can continue, I would rather adjourn</li> <li>to one day next week to complete the deposition</li> <li>rather than forcing you to do something that</li> <li>you don't believe you're capable of doing.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice</li> <li>last night.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I imagine we could go for – let's</li> <li>shoot for four hours today, you know, maybe –</li> <li>maybe five, I don't know, but if we don't</li> <li>finish –</li> <li>Q. I don't want to –</li> <li>A we will do the rest next week.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I don't want to put an</li> <li>arbitrary time on it. You tell me if you are</li> <li>unable to continue. Okay? Is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes. That is my estimate at this</li> <li>Q. Okay. You founded Highland Capital</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And we are going to refer to that entity and that entity only today as Highland; is that okay?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. When did you found – when did you create Highland?</li> <li>A. '94.</li> <li>Q. And did you serve as Highland's president from 1994 until on or around January 9th, 2020?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Did – can you describe in your own words what the business of Highland was while you were president?</li> <li>A. We were largely below investment grade, credit strap, and we diversified over the years to become more of an alternative asset manager in a variety of formats.</li> <li>Q. And – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sorry, John, one sec. This was set up by someone a lot shorter than Mr. Dondero. Let me just take</li> </ul>	Page 291

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-4 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 5 of 71

	Page 292			Page 293
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	g
2	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: (Nods head.)	2	manager; is that fair?	
3	Q. Okay. Mr. Dondero, at its peak,	3	A. Yes.	
4	what is the the largest value of assets that	4	Q. And you manage money on behalf of	
5	Highland had under management while you were	5	thousands of people; isn't that right?	
6	president?	6	A. Yes.	
7	A. 35 billion.	7	Q. And as a general matter, you know	
8	Q. And do you recall what year that	8	how to read and understand balance sheets,	
9	was?	9	don't you?	
10	A. Not exactly.	10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. Was it before the 2008 financial	11	Q. You have signed promissory –	
12	crisis?	12	promissory notes before, haven't you?	
13	A. Yes.	13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. Okay. So you were the president of	14	Q. Is it fair to say you have signed	
15	Highland for about 25 years; is that right?	15	hundreds of promissory notes during the 25-year	
16	A. Yes, 25, 26, whatever.	16	period that you were the president of Highland?	
17	Q. And do you consider yourself to be	17	A. No.	
18	expert in the area of money management?	18	Q. Is it fair to say that you signed	
19	A. Yeah, on the things that we focus	19	dozens of promissory notes during the time that	
20	on.	20	you were president of Highland?	
21	Q. You are a sophisticated investor;	21	A. Yeah, dozens is probably fair.	
22	right?	22	Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that	
23	A. Yes. I would believe I'm	23	the aggregate principal amount of the	
24	categorized as such.	24	promissory notes that you signed while you were	
25	Q. And you are a sophisticated money	25	president of Highland likely exceeded	
1	Page 294 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 295
2	\$200 million?	2	MR. MORRIS: Sure.	
3	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to the	3	Q. Mr. Dondero, you borrowed money from	
4	form.	4	Highland Capital Management Services; correct?	
5	A. I don't have a basis for knowing	5	A. I'm sorry, it sounds like at first	
6	that.	6	you were asking me, did Highland Capital	
7	Q. You do know that it is more than	7	Services borrow money from Highland. Now	
8	\$100 million, don't you?	8	you're asking me if I borrowed money from	
9	A. No.	9	Services?	
10	Q. Do you owe today Highland Capital	10	Q. Yeah, let me – let me rephrase the	
11	Management Services more than \$75 million?	11	question, sir, because if it is not clear, that	
12	A. I don't know what the amount is. I	12	is my fault, and I apologize.	
13	don't believe it is that much.	13	Did you – have you borrowed money	
1		1.4.4	from Highland Capital Management Services?	
14	Q. Are the obligations to Highland	14	norri nigrilari u Capital Management Dervices:	
14 15	Q. Are the obligations to Highland Capital	14	A. I believe so.	
1				
15	Capital –	15	A. I believe so.	
15 16	Capital – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Hold on. Hold	15 16	<ul><li>A. I believe so.</li><li>Q. Okay. Do you know the aggregate</li></ul>	
15 16 17	Capital – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Hold on. Hold on. My connection just disappeared.	15 16 17	<ul><li>A. I believe so.</li><li>Q. Okay. Do you know the aggregate principal amount that is outstanding today,</li></ul>	
15 16 17 18	Capital – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Hold on. Hold on. My connection just disappeared. MR. MORRIS: Okay.	15 16 17 18	<ul><li>A. I believe so.</li><li>Q. Okay. Do you know the aggregate principal amount that is outstanding today, ballpark?</li></ul>	
15 16 17 18 19	Capital – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Hold on. Hold on. My connection just disappeared. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay, I'm back.	15 16 17 18 19	<ul><li>A. I believe so.</li><li>Q. Okay. Do you know the aggregate</li><li>principal amount that is outstanding today,</li><li>ballpark?</li><li>A. No.</li></ul>	
15 16 17 18 19 20	Capital – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Hold on. Hold on. My connection just disappeared. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay, I'm back. Q. Okay. Did the – did the	15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know the aggregate</li> <li>principal amount that is outstanding today,</li> <li>ballpark?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are the obligations that you have to</li> </ul>	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Capital – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Hold on. Hold on. My connection just disappeared. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay, I'm back. Q. Okay. Did the – did the obligations that you have to Highland Capital	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know the aggregate</li> <li>principal amount that is outstanding today,</li> <li>ballpark?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are the obligations that you have to</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Services reflected</li> </ul>	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Capital – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Hold on. Hold on. My connection just disappeared. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay, I'm back. Q. Okay. Did the – did the obligations that you have to Highland Capital Management Services, are they reflected in	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know the aggregate</li> <li>principal amount that is outstanding today,</li> <li>ballpark?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are the obligations that you have to</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Services reflected</li> <li>in promissory notes where you're the maker and</li> </ul>	
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Capital – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Hold on. Hold on. My connection just disappeared. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay, I'm back. Q. Okay. Did the – did the obligations that you have to Highland Capital Management Services, are they reflected in promissory notes?	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I believe so.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know the aggregate</li> <li>principal amount that is outstanding today,</li> <li>ballpark?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are the obligations that you have to</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Services reflected</li> <li>in promissory notes where you're the maker and</li> <li>Highland Capital Management Services is the</li> </ul>	

Page 296	Page 297
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 Q. Are you the maker on promissory	2 Q. Okay. When you were in control of
3 notes in favor of Highland Capital Management	3 Highland, you personally decided who was hired
4 Services, Inc.?	4 at that company; is that fair?
5 A. I don't know. I believe – I	5 A. Sometimes, in senior positions.
6 believe so, or I believe I have in the past,	6 Q. Okay. Did your duties as president
7 but I don't know.	7 of Highland include being familiar with the
8 Q. Do you have any – any estimate as	8 debts and obligations that were owed to
9 to how much money you owe Highland Capital	9 Highland?
10 Management Services, Inc. today?	10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
11 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	11 form.
12 answered.	12 A. I mean, generally.
13 A. No.	13 Q. Okay. Did you ever do anything to
14 Q. Can you say if it is more or less	14 familiarize yourself with the debts and
15 than \$50 million?	15 obligations that were owed to Highland?
16 A. I don't know.	16 A. Are you referring to the affiliated
17 Q. Can you say if it is more or less	17 notes or
18 than \$25 million?	18 Q. Sure.
19 A. I don't know.	19 A. – or what – what are –
20 Q. As a general matter, is it fair to	20 Q. I was – I was asking – I
21 say that you know how to read and understand	21 apologize. I don't mean to step on your words.
22 promissory notes?	22 A. No, you just – because I don't
23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	23 think Highland had a lot of other obligations
24 form.	24 due from other parties, and the affiliated
25 A. In general, yes.	25 notes in aggregate were always de minimis to
Page 298 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 299 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
	2 was charged with the responsibility of knowing
<ul><li>2 Highland than now, at any time.</li><li>3 Q. It is your – it is your position</li></ul>	3 the number and amount of affiliate loans that
<ul><li>4 that the affiliate notes to Highland were de</li><li>5 minimis in amount?</li></ul>	<ul><li>4 Highland carried on its balance sheet?</li><li>5 A. Sure.</li></ul>
6 A. Yes.	<ul><li>5 A. Sure.</li><li>6 Q. Can you identify the people who were</li></ul>
7 Q. And how do you define de minimus for	7 responsible for that?
8 that purpose?	8 A. The people in accounting responsible
9 A. I believe the balance sheet of	9 for tracking assets and liabilities in
10 Highland today for the last three years, four	10 preparing all the audited financial statements
11 years, five years has been between 5 and	11 every year and the quarterly unaudited
12 \$600 million. I believe the notes have never	12 financial statements that were prepared and the
13 been more than 8 or 10 or 12 percent of that	<ul><li>13 monthly operating reports.</li></ul>
14 number.	14 Q. Can you – can you name any names of
15 Q. And you believe that 8 or 10 or	15 the people who had the responsibilities that
16 12 percent of Highland's asset base you	16 you just described?
17 would – you would define as de minimis?	17 A. I think it changed regularly, but it
18 A. Yes.	18 would have been people in Frank's group in
19 Q. Okay. As – as president of	19 accounting.
20 Highland, did you ever do anything to	20 Q. Did Frank have any responsibility
21 familiarize yourself with the number and amount	21 for knowing and understanding the affiliate
22 of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its	22 loans that Highland carried on its balance
23 books and records?	23 sheet?
24 A. Not that I can recall.	24 A. Sure. I – as CFO he had to sign
25 Q. Was there anybody at Highland who	25 off on the audited financials and rep letters

	Page 300			Page 301
	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 and		2	responsibility for knowing and understanding	
1	And can you – can you identify the	3	the affiliate loans that Highland carried on	
1	of any person in the accounting group in,	4	its balance sheets?	
1	y, the three years prior to the	5	A. No.	
1	ptcy who had responsibility for knowing	6	Q. And to the best of your knowledge as	
1	derstanding the scope of affiliate loans	7	you sit here today, you never personally did	
1	ghland carried on its balance sheet?	8	anything to know and understand the extent and	
1	No, I would just be speculating but	9	scope of the affiliate loans that Highland	
1	d be – the senior people in Frank's	10	carried on its balance sheet; is that right?	
l • ·	would be responsible for the financial	11	A. Correct.	
12 statem		12	Q. Okay. You appointed Mr. Waterhouse	
1	Are you able to name the people, the	13	as Highland's CFO; is that right?	
1	people in Frank's group in the couple of	14	A. I think it was appointed and	
	prior to the bankruptcy?	15	recommended by Patrick Boyce, but I agreed with	
	Yes, but I don't know – like	16	the selection.	
1	Klos was a senior person, Cliff Stoops	17	Q. And you –	
1	senior person. There were a couple	18	A. That – (speaking simultaneously.)	
· ·	d-comers below them, but who did the	19	Q. I apologize, are you done?	
1	als – how Frank assigned the work in	20	A. I'm just saying that was a long time	
-	oup, l have no idea.	21	ago, but I don't remember the details exactly.	
22 Q.	Did you ever ask?	22	Q. But you had the authority and you	
23 A.	No.	23	used that authority to appoint Frank as CFO;	
24 Q.	Do you have any knowledge as you sit	24	correct?	
25 here to	oday who within Frank's group had	25	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There's a lag in	
	Page 302			Page 303
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
	video. I don't know if it matters, but	2	duties as chief financial officer?	
	a while Jim was frozen. And I know	3	A. Yes.	
	ause – since there was voice and no –	4	Q. Can you recall anything that	
1	mouth wasn't moving. So let's just	5	Mr. Waterhouse did in his capacity as	
1	e videographer sees there is a	6	Highland's CFO that did not comport with your	
· ·	blem, please let us know.	7	expectations?	
8 Q.		8	A. I think we will talk about some of	
1	Yes. I'm sorry, could you just	9	those today.	
	the question regarding Frank, please?	10	Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to	
	Sure.	11	believe that Mr. Waterhouse ever breached his	
12	As the president of Highland, did	12	duties to Highland during the time that you	
1 .	ave the authority and did you exercise	13	served as president?	
1	thority to appoint him as Highland's	14	COURT REPORTER: We can't hear you	
15 CFO?		15	speaking.	
	Yes.	16	Q. We haven't heard any portion of your	
17 Q.	5 5 5	17	answer, Mr. Dondero.	
	nted Mr. Waterhouse CFO of Highland?	18	MR. MORRIS: I don't know if people	
	No.	19	can – can hear, but I cannot hear	
	Was it more than five years prior to	20	Mr. Dondero.	
	nkruptcy?	21	COURT REPORTER: I can't either.	
	Yes.	22	MR. MORRIS: Yeah, Deborah, can you	
	As the president – during the time	23	speak, please.	
· ·	ou served as president of Highland, did elieve that Mr. Waterhouse fulfilled his	24	COURT REPORTER: They're on the same	
25 you be	aleve manyir vvalenouse luilled his	25	speaker.	

Page 304	Pac	ge 305
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	ye 303
2 VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off	2 that you were president, do you have any reason	
3 the record?	3 to believe that Mr. Waterhouse breached his	
4 MR. MORRIS: Yes, please.	4 duties to Highland?	
5 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record,	5 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	
6 10:41.	6 answered. This is the third time.	
7 (Recess taken 10:41 a.m. to 10:47 a.m.)	7 A. No.	
8 VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,	8 MR. MORRIS: It is actually not.	
9 10:47.	9 Q. But thank you, Mr. Dondero. I	
10 Q. Okay. Let me just ask the question	10 appreciate that.	
11 again so the record is clean, Mr. Dondero.	11 After you ceased to be president of	
12 Do you have any reason to believe as	12 Highland, do you have any reason to believe	
13 you sit here right now that Mr. Waterhouse ever	13 that Mr. Waterhouse breached his duties to	
14 breached his duties to Highland during the time	14 Highland?	
15 that you served as president?	15 A. Breached his duties to – I don't –	
16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	16 I don't know if it is – I don't want to – I	
17 answered.	17 don't want to make a judgment overall. When we	
18 A. Yeah, I think I did ask and answer	18 talk about the notes we can make conclusions	
19 that. Again, not intentionally, not	19 then.	
20 maliciously. I am – I guess things we're	20 Q. All right. But you're not able to	
21 going to talk about today are for periods of	21 tell me in response to my question whether you	
22 time after I was president, so	22 believe today that Mr. Waterhouse breached his	
23 Q. Right. That is going to be the next	23 duties to Highland after the time that you	
24 question that I ask. But to be clear – I just	24 served as president?	
25 want to have a clear record – during the time	25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
Page 306	Par	ge 307
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	ge 007
2 form of the question.	2 major withdrawn.	
3 A. I don't want to comment off the top	3 Do you directly or indirectly own a	
4 of my head, but I've highlighted that we will	4 majority of the ownership interests in HCMFA?	
5 discuss it around the note issue.	5 A. I believe so.	
	J A. I Delleve 30.	
6 Q. Okay. You are familiar with an		
<ul><li>6 Q. Okay. You are familiar with an</li><li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li></ul>		
-	6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?	
7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund	<ul><li>6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li><li>7 A. Yes.</li></ul>	
<ul><li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li><li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li></ul>	<ol> <li>Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> </ol>	
<ul><li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li><li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li><li>9 A. Yes.</li></ul>	<ul> <li>6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>7 A. Yes.</li> <li>8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> <li>9 created?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. And we're going to refer to that</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>7 A. Yes.</li> <li>8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> <li>9 created?</li> <li>10 A. No, I do not.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. And we're going to refer to that</li> <li>11 entity as HCMFA. Is that okay?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>7 A. Yes.</li> <li>8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> <li>9 created?</li> <li>10 A. No, I do not.</li> <li>11 Q. Do you know if it was before or</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. And we're going to refer to that</li> <li>11 entity as HCMFA. Is that okay?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>7 A. Yes.</li> <li>8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> <li>9 created?</li> <li>10 A. No, I do not.</li> <li>11 Q. Do you know if it was before or</li> <li>12 after 2010?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. And we're going to refer to that</li> <li>11 entity as HCMFA. Is that okay?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>7 A. Yes.</li> <li>8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> <li>9 created?</li> <li>10 A. No, I do not.</li> <li>11 Q. Do you know if it was before or</li> <li>12 after 2010?</li> <li>13 A. I don't know.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. And we're going to refer to that</li> <li>11 entity as HCMFA. Is that okay?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA?</li> <li>14 A. I believe it is myself and</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>7 A. Yes.</li> <li>8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> <li>9 created?</li> <li>10 A. No, I do not.</li> <li>11 Q. Do you know if it was before or</li> <li>12 after 2010?</li> <li>13 A. I don't know.</li> <li>14 Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. And we're going to refer to that</li> <li>11 entity as HCMFA. Is that okay?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA?</li> <li>14 A. I believe it is myself and</li> <li>15 Mark Okada.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>G. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> <li>9 created?</li> <li>A. No, I do not.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if it was before or</li> <li>12 after 2010?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the</li> <li>15 time it was created?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. And we're going to refer to that</li> <li>11 entity as HCMFA. Is that okay?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA?</li> <li>14 A. I believe it is myself and</li> <li>15 Mark Okada.</li> <li>16 Q. Okay. And do you have an</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>G. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> <li>9 created?</li> <li>10 A. No, I do not.</li> <li>11 Q. Do you know if it was before or</li> <li>12 after 2010?</li> <li>13 A. I don't know.</li> <li>14 Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the</li> <li>15 time it was created?</li> <li>16 A. I believe so, but I don't know for</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. And we're going to refer to that</li> <li>11 entity as HCMFA. Is that okay?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA?</li> <li>14 A. I believe it is myself and</li> <li>15 Mark Okada.</li> <li>16 Q. Okay. And do you have an</li> <li>17 understanding as to – as to the percentage of</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>G. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> <li>9 created?</li> <li>10 A. No, I do not.</li> <li>11 Q. Do you know if it was before or</li> <li>12 after 2010?</li> <li>13 A. I don't know.</li> <li>14 Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the</li> <li>15 time it was created?</li> <li>16 A. I believe so, but I don't know for</li> <li>17 sure.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. And we're going to refer to that</li> <li>11 entity as HCMFA. Is that okay?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA?</li> <li>14 A. I believe it is myself and</li> <li>15 Mark Okada.</li> <li>16 Q. Okay. And do you have an</li> <li>17 understanding as to – as to the percentage of</li> <li>18 each of your interests, ownership interests in</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>G. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> <li>9 created?</li> <li>10 A. No, I do not.</li> <li>11 Q. Do you know if it was before or</li> <li>12 after 2010?</li> <li>13 A. I don't know.</li> <li>14 Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the</li> <li>15 time it was created?</li> <li>16 A. I believe so, but I don't know for</li> <li>17 sure.</li> <li>18 Q. Can you think of any period of time</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. And we're going to refer to that</li> <li>11 entity as HCMFA. Is that okay?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA?</li> <li>14 A. I believe it is myself and</li> <li>15 Mark Okada.</li> <li>16 Q. Okay. And do you have an</li> <li>17 understanding as to – as to the percentage of</li> <li>18 each of your interests, ownership interests in</li> <li>19 HCMFA?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>G. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> <li>9 created?</li> <li>10 A. No, I do not.</li> <li>11 Q. Do you know if it was before or</li> <li>12 after 2010?</li> <li>13 A. I don't know.</li> <li>14 Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the</li> <li>15 time it was created?</li> <li>16 A. I believe so, but I don't know for</li> <li>17 sure.</li> <li>18 Q. Can you think of any period of time</li> <li>19 when you didn't control HCMFA?</li> <li>20 A. I don't know. I don't remember the</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. And we're going to refer to that</li> <li>11 entity as HCMFA. Is that okay?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA?</li> <li>14 A. I believe it is myself and</li> <li>15 Mark Okada.</li> <li>16 Q. Okay. And do you have an</li> <li>17 understanding as to – as to the percentage of</li> <li>18 each of your interests, ownership interests in</li> <li>19 HCMFA?</li> <li>20 A. No, and I don't know the entities.</li> <li>21 I don't know if I own it directly or through</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>G. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> <li>9 created?</li> <li>10 A. No, I do not.</li> <li>11 Q. Do you know if it was before or</li> <li>12 after 2010?</li> <li>13 A. I don't know.</li> <li>14 Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the</li> <li>15 time it was created?</li> <li>16 A. I believe so, but I don't know for</li> <li>17 sure.</li> <li>18 Q. Can you think of any period of time</li> <li>19 when you didn't control HCMFA?</li> <li>20 A. I don't know. I don't remember the</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. And we're going to refer to that</li> <li>11 entity as HCMFA. Is that okay?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA?</li> <li>14 A. I believe it is myself and</li> <li>15 Mark Okada.</li> <li>16 Q. Okay. And do you have an</li> <li>17 understanding as to – as to the percentage of</li> <li>18 each of your interests, ownership interests in</li> <li>19 HCMFA?</li> <li>20 A. No, and I don't know the entities.</li> <li>21 I don't know if I own it directly or through</li> <li>22 Dugaboy. And I do believe Okada tends to use</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>G. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> <li>9 created?</li> <li>10 A. No, I do not.</li> <li>11 Q. Do you know if it was before or</li> <li>12 after 2010?</li> <li>13 A. I don't know.</li> <li>14 Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the</li> <li>15 time it was created?</li> <li>16 A. I believe so, but I don't know for</li> <li>17 sure.</li> <li>18 Q. Can you think of any period of time</li> <li>19 when you didn't control HCMFA?</li> <li>20 A. I don't know. I don't remember the</li> <li>21 ownership structure prior and I don't remember</li> <li>22 when it started, so I don't know.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. And we're going to refer to that</li> <li>11 entity as HCMFA. Is that okay?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA?</li> <li>14 A. I believe it is myself and</li> <li>15 Mark Okada.</li> <li>16 Q. Okay. And do you have an</li> <li>17 understanding as to – as to the percentage of</li> <li>18 each of your interests, ownership interests in</li> <li>19 HCMFA?</li> <li>20 A. No, and I don't know the entities.</li> <li>21 I don't know if I own it directly or through</li> <li>22 Dugaboy. And I do believe Okada tends to use</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>G. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> <li>9 created?</li> <li>A. No, I do not.</li> <li>Q. Do you know if it was before or</li> <li>12 after 2010?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the</li> <li>15 time it was created?</li> <li>A. I believe so, but I don't know for</li> <li>17 sure.</li> <li>Q. Can you think of any period of time</li> <li>19 when you didn't control HCMFA?</li> <li>A. I don't know. I don't remember the</li> <li>21 ownership structure prior and I don't remember</li> <li>22 when it started, so I don't know.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct?</li> <li>9 A. Yes.</li> <li>10 Q. And we're going to refer to that</li> <li>11 entity as HCMFA. Is that okay?</li> <li>12 A. Yes.</li> <li>13 Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA?</li> <li>14 A. I believe it is myself and</li> <li>15 Mark Okada.</li> <li>16 Q. Okay. And do you have an</li> <li>17 understanding as to – as to the percentage of</li> <li>18 each of your interests, ownership interests in</li> <li>19 HCMFA?</li> <li>20 A. No, and I don't know the entities.</li> <li>21 I don't know if I own it directly or through</li> <li>22 Dugaboy. And I do believe Okada tends to use</li> <li>23 his trusts, but I don't know the percentages</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>G. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you know when HCMFA was</li> <li>9 created?</li> <li>10 A. No, I do not.</li> <li>11 Q. Do you know if it was before or</li> <li>12 after 2010?</li> <li>13 A. I don't know.</li> <li>14 Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the</li> <li>15 time it was created?</li> <li>16 A. I believe so, but I don't know for</li> <li>17 sure.</li> <li>18 Q. Can you think of any period of time</li> <li>19 when you didn't control HCMFA?</li> <li>20 A. I don't know. I don't remember the</li> <li>21 ownership structure prior and I don't remember</li> <li>22 when it started, so I don't know.</li> </ul>	

Dama 200	D 200
Page 308 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 309 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 when you did not control HCMFA?	2 have at HCMFA today?
3 A. I don't know.	3 A. I know I'm the portfolio manager on
4 Q. Okay. Can you tell me what the	4 a bunch of the funds, one of usually two or
5 nature of HCMFA's business is?	5 three portfolio managers, and I believe I'm the
6 A. It largely housed our mutual funds.	6 president, but I don't know beyond that.
7 Q. What does it mean to house mutual	7 Q. Okay. Did Frank Waterhouse serve as
8 funds?	8 treasurer of HCMFA at any point in time?
9 A. It managed it managed the mutual	9 A. Idon't know. Idon't know. I
10 funds from a portfolio asset side and captured	10 just – I don't know. I don't remember.
11 the management fees as the advisor or sub	11 MR. MORRIS: Can I ask my – my
12 advisor – I can't remember the structure. I	12 colleague to please put up a document that
13 can't remember if it was the advisor and	13 was premarked as Exhibit 35 to see if I can
14 Highland was the sub advisor or vice versa, but	14 refresh your recollection.
15 in general, a good portion, or most of the	15 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the
16 portfolio team that managed the mutual funds	16 book that you sent over?
17 was employed at HCMFA.	17 MR. MORRIS: No. She will post it
18 Q. Do you have a title with HCMFA	18 and she will put it in the chat room.
19 today?	19 Q. Are you able to see that,
20 A. I don't know.	20 Mr. Dondero?
21 Q. Do you know who the president of	21 A. Yes.
22 HCMFA is?	22 Q. Can you see that this is an
23 A. I would believe – I would – I	23 incumbency certificate?
24 would think I am, but I don't know.	24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Do you know of any title that you	25 Q. Do you know what an incumbency
Page 310	Page 311
Page 310 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 311 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 certificate is?	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q.Did you, in your capacity as the
1     DONDERO - 10/29/21       2     certificate is?       3     A.     I'm reading it here for a second. I	1     DONDERO - 10/29/21       2     Q.       3     person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       certificate is?         3       A.       I'm reading it here for a second. I         4       guess it is an officer statement or signature	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q.Did you, in your capacity as the3person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint4Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> </ol>	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Did you, in your capacity as the3person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint4Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?5MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature at the bottom</li> </ol>	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Q.       Did you, in your capacity as the         3       person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint         4       Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?         5       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         6       form.
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature at the bottom</li> <li>of this document?</li> </ol>	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Did you, in your capacity as the3person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint4Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?5MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the6form.7A. It appears to me that that's what
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature at the bottom</li> <li>of this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Did you, in your capacity as the3person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint4Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?5MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the6form.7A. It appears to me that that's what8this incumbency certificate does, but
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature at the bottom</li> <li>of this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you see that this is an</li> </ol>	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Did you, in your capacity as the3person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint4Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?5MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the6form.7A. It appears to me that that's what8this incumbency certificate does, but9Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature at the bottom</li> <li>of this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you see that this is an</li> <li>incumbency certificate for HCMFA that you</li> </ol>	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Did you, in your capacity as the3person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint4Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?5MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the6form.7A. It appears to me that that's what8this incumbency certificate does, but9Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for10at least a few years prior to the petition date
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature at the bottom</li> <li>of this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you see that this is an</li> <li>incumbency certificate for HCMFA that you</li> <li>signed effective as of April 11th, 2019?</li> </ol>	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Did you, in your capacity as the3person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint4Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?5MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the6form.7A. It appears to me that that's what8this incumbency certificate does, but9Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for10at least a few years prior to the petition date11that Mr. Waterhouse was simultaneously serving
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature at the bottom</li> <li>of this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you see that this is an</li> <li>incumbency certificate for HCMFA that you</li> <li>signed effective as of April 11th, 2019?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Did you, in your capacity as the3person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint4Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?5MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the6form.7A. It appears to me that that's what8this incumbency certificate does, but9Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for10at least a few years prior to the petition date11that Mr. Waterhouse was simultaneously serving12as Highland's CFO and HCMFA's treasurer?
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature at the bottom</li> <li>of this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you see that this is an</li> <li>incumbency certificate for HCMFA that you</li> <li>signed effective as of April 11th, 2019?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that Frank Waterhouse is</li> </ol>	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Did you, in your capacity as the3person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint4Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?5MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the6form.7A. It appears to me that that's what8this incumbency certificate does, but9Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for10at least a few years prior to the petition date11that Mr. Waterhouse was simultaneously serving12as Highland's CFO and HCMFA's treasurer?13A. No. I mean, like I said, I don't
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature at the bottom</li> <li>of this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you see that this is an</li> <li>incumbency certificate for HCMFA that you</li> <li>signed effective as of April 11th, 2019?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that Frank Waterhouse is</li> <li>identified as the treasurer of HCMFA as of that</li> </ol>	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Did you, in your capacity as the3person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint4Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?5MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the6form.7A. It appears to me that that's what8this incumbency certificate does, but9Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for10at least a few years prior to the petition date11that Mr. Waterhouse was simultaneously serving12as Highland's CFO and HCMFA's treasurer?13A. No. I mean, like I said, I don't14remember, and a lot of the officers had
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature at the bottom</li> <li>of this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you see that this is an</li> <li>incumbency certificate for HCMFA that you</li> <li>signed effective as of April 11th, 2019?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that Frank Waterhouse is</li> <li>identified as the treasurer of HCMFA as of that</li> </ol>	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Did you, in your capacity as the3person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint4Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?5MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the6form.7A. It appears to me that that's what8this incumbency certificate does, but9Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for10at least a few years prior to the petition date11that Mr. Waterhouse was simultaneously serving12as Highland's CFO and HCMFA's treasurer?13A. No. I mean, like I said, I don't14remember, and a lot of the officers had15multiple roles and multiple entities. I mean,
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature at the bottom</li> <li>of this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you see that this is an</li> <li>incumbency certificate for HCMFA that you</li> <li>signed effective as of April 11th, 2019?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that Frank Waterhouse is</li> <li>identified as the treasurer of HCMFA as of that</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Did you, in your capacity as the3person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint4Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?5MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the6form.7A. It appears to me that that's what8this incumbency certificate does, but9Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for10at least a few years prior to the petition date11that Mr. Waterhouse was simultaneously serving12as Highland's CFO and HCMFA's treasurer?13A. No. I mean, like I said, I don't14remember, and a lot of the officers had15multiple roles and multiple entities. I mean,16it is not surprising, but I didn't have any
1DONDERO - 10/29/212certificate is?3A. I'm reading it here for a second. I4guess it is an officer statement or signature5authority, or some combination thereof.6Q. Is that your signature at the bottom7of this document?8A. Yes.9Q. And do you see that this is an10incumbency certificate for HCMFA that you11signed effective as of April 11th, 2019?12A. Yes.13Q. Do you see that Frank Waterhouse is14identified as the treasurer of HCMFA as of that15date?16A. Yes.17Q. Does that refresh your recollection	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Did you, in your capacity as the3person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint4Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?5MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the6form.7A. It appears to me that that's what8this incumbency certificate does, but9Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for10at least a few years prior to the petition date11that Mr. Waterhouse was simultaneously serving12as Highland's CFO and HCMFA's treasurer?13A. No. I mean, like I said, I don't14remember, and a lot of the officers had15multiple roles and multiple entities. I mean,16it is not surprising, but I didn't have any17recollection.
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature at the bottom</li> <li>of this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you see that this is an</li> <li>incumbency certificate for HCMFA that you</li> <li>signed effective as of April 11th, 2019?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that Frank Waterhouse is</li> <li>identified as the treasurer of HCMFA as of that</li> <li>date?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Does that refresh your recollection</li> <li>that Mr. Waterhouse served as the treasurer of</li> </ol>	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Did you, in your capacity as the3person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint4Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?5MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the6form.7A. It appears to me that that's what8this incumbency certificate does, but9Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for10at least a few years prior to the petition date11that Mr. Waterhouse was simultaneously serving12as Highland's CFO and HCMFA's treasurer?13A. No. I mean, like I said, I don't14remember, and a lot of the officers had15multiple roles and multiple entities. I mean,16it is not surprising, but I didn't have any17recollection.18Q. Are you aware that Mr. Waterhouse
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature at the bottom</li> <li>of this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you see that this is an</li> <li>incumbency certificate for HCMFA that you</li> <li>signed effective as of April 11th, 2019?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that Frank Waterhouse is</li> <li>identified as the treasurer of HCMFA as of that</li> <li>date?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Does that refresh your recollection</li> <li>that Mr. Waterhouse served as the treasurer of</li> <li>HCMFA?</li> </ol>	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Q. Did you, in your capacity as the         3       person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint         4       Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?         5       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         6       form.         7       A. It appears to me that that's what         8       this incumbency certificate does, but         9       Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for         10       at least a few years prior to the petition date         11       that Mr. Waterhouse was simultaneously serving         12       as Highland's CFO and HCMFA's treasurer?         13       A. No. I mean, like I said, I don't         14       remember, and a lot of the officers had         15       multiple roles and multiple entities. I mean,         16       it is not surprising, but I didn't have any         17       recollection.         18       Q. Are you aware that Mr. Waterhouse         19       served in any capacity in the Highland universe
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature at the bottom</li> <li>of this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you see that this is an</li> <li>incumbency certificate for HCMFA that you</li> <li>signed effective as of April 11th, 2019?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that Frank Waterhouse is</li> <li>identified as the treasurer of HCMFA as of that</li> <li>date?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Does that refresh your recollection</li> <li>that Mr. Waterhouse served as the treasurer of</li> <li>HCMFA?</li> <li>A. It seems to be an authoritative</li> </ol>	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Q. Did you, in your capacity as the         3       person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint         4       Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?         5       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         6       form.         7       A. It appears to me that that's what         8       this incumbency certificate does, but         9       Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for         10       at least a few years prior to the petition date         11       that Mr. Waterhouse was simultaneously serving         12       as Highland's CFO and HCMFA's treasurer?         13       A. No. I mean, like I said, I don't         14       remember, and a lot of the officers had         15       multiple roles and multiple entities. I mean,         16       it is not surprising, but I didn't have any         17       recollection.         18       Q. Are you aware that Mr. Waterhouse         19       served in any capacity in the Highland universe         20       of companies other than as CFO of Highland
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>certificate is?</li> <li>A. I'm reading it here for a second. I</li> <li>guess it is an officer statement or signature</li> <li>authority, or some combination thereof.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature at the bottom</li> <li>of this document?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And do you see that this is an</li> <li>incumbency certificate for HCMFA that you</li> <li>signed effective as of April 11th, 2019?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Do you see that Frank Waterhouse is</li> <li>identified as the treasurer of HCMFA as of that</li> <li>date?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Does that refresh your recollection</li> <li>that Mr. Waterhouse served as the treasurer of</li> <li>HCMFA?</li> <li>A. It seems to be an authoritative</li> <li>document, but I didn't have a recollection.</li> </ol>	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Q. Did you, in your capacity as the         3       person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint         4       Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?         5       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         6       form.         7       A. It appears to me that that's what         8       this incumbency certificate does, but         9       Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for         10       at least a few years prior to the petition date         11       that Mr. Waterhouse was simultaneously serving         12       as Highland's CFO and HCMFA's treasurer?         13       A. No. I mean, like I said, I don't         14       remember, and a lot of the officers had         15       multiple roles and multiple entities. I mean,         16       it is not surprising, but I didn't have any         17       recollection.         18       Q. Are you aware that Mr. Waterhouse         19       served in any capacity in the Highland universe         20       of companies other than as CFO of Highland         21       Capital Management, L.P.?
1DONDERO - 10/29/212certificate is?3A. I'm reading it here for a second. I4guess it is an officer statement or signature5authority, or some combination thereof.6Q. Is that your signature at the bottom7of this document?8A. Yes.9Q. And do you see that this is an10incumbency certificate for HCMFA that you11signed effective as of April 11th, 2019?12A. Yes.13Q. Do you see that Frank Waterhouse is14identified as the treasurer of HCMFA as of that15date?16A. Yes.17Q. Does that refresh your recollection18that Mr. Waterhouse served as the treasurer of19HCMFA?20A. It seems to be an authoritative21document, but I didn't have a recollection.22Q. Do you know of anybody else who has	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Q. Did you, in your capacity as the         3       person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint         4       Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?         5       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         6       form.         7       A. It appears to me that that's what         8       this incumbency certificate does, but         9       Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for         10       at least a few years prior to the petition date         11       that Mr. Waterhouse was simultaneously serving         12       as Highland's CFO and HCMFA's treasurer?         13       A. No. I mean, like I said, I don't         14       remember, and a lot of the officers had         15       multiple roles and multiple entities. I mean,         16       it is not surprising, but I didn't have any         17       recollection.         18       Q. Are you aware that Mr. Waterhouse         19       served in any capacity in the Highland universe         20       of companies other than as CFO of Highland         21       Capital Management, L.P.?         22       A. I would – I would assume he would
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       certificate is?         3       A. I'm reading it here for a second. I         4       guess it is an officer statement or signature         5       authority, or some combination thereof.         6       Q. Is that your signature at the bottom         7       of this document?         8       A. Yes.         9       Q. And do you see that this is an         10       incumbency certificate for HCMFA that you         11       signed effective as of April 11th, 2019?         12       A. Yes.         13       Q. Do you see that Frank Waterhouse is         14       identified as the treasurer of HCMFA as of that         15       date?         16       A. Yes.         17       Q. Does that refresh your recollection         18       that Mr. Waterhouse served as the treasurer of         19       HCMFA?         20       A. It seems to be an authoritative         21       document, but I didn't have a recollection.         22       Q. Do you know of anybody else who has         23       ever served as the treasurer of HCMFA other	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Q. Did you, in your capacity as the         3       person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint         4       Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?         5       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         6       form.         7       A. It appears to me that that's what         8       this incumbency certificate does, but         9       Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for         10       at least a few years prior to the petition date         11       that Mr. Waterhouse was simultaneously serving         12       as Highland's CFO and HCMFA's treasurer?         13       A. No. I mean, like I said, I don't         14       remember, and a lot of the officers had         15       multiple roles and multiple entities. I mean,         16       it is not surprising, but I didn't have any         17       recollection.         18       Q. Are you aware that Mr. Waterhouse         19       served in any capacity in the Highland universe         20       of companies other than as CFO of Highland         21       Capital Management, L.P.?         22       A. I would – I would assume he would         23       have a position like this in multiple other

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-4 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 10 of 71

Page 312		Page 313
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Fage 515
2 Q. Is it fair to say, though, that he	2 is that right?	
3 wouldn't have obtained any of those titles	3 A. That is correct.	
4 without your knowledge and approval?	4 Q. And you can't identify any title	
5 A. It is it is fair to say he was	5 that Mr. Waterhouse held during the time that	
6 he had the lawyers or whoever worked on	6 you served as Highland's president other than	
7 general corporate structuring, Frank was a	7 CFO of Highland. Do I have that right?	
8 senior officer in good standing, so they would	8 A. No, I don't think that is fair.	
9 have used him as appropriate in different	9 Q. Okay.	
10 things.	10 A. I mean – I mean, he was CFO, but he	
11 So to that extent, I guess I approve	11 was other things before he was CFO. And as we	
12 it, but I sign hundreds of things like this.	12 were just saying, he's – he's treasurer on	
13 Would you know, would I have been	13 this incumbency certificate, but I think he	
14 specifically aware or remember remember it	14 might have been on other incumbency	
15 is a very low likelihood.	15 certificates, so I think your your summary	
16 Q. Is there any position that	16 was too narrow.	
17 Mr. Waterhouse has ever held that you learned	17 Q. Okay. Can you identify any position	
18 about and you objected to on the grounds that	18 that Mr. Waterhouse held at the same time that	
19 you hadn't approved it?	19 he is CFO of Highland other than treasurer of	
20 A. No, not that I recall.	20 HCMFA as reflected on this document?	
21 Q. Okay. Do you know if Mr. Waterhouse	21 A. I can't recall, but I imagine there	
22 held any positions with any of the retail	22 to be others.	
23 funds?	23 Q. And to the extent there are others,	
24 A. I don't know.	24 is it fair to say that you knew at the time	
25 Q. He may have, you just don't recall;	25 that Mr. Waterhouse was serving in more than	
Page 314		Page 315
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 one role?	2 changes. With the formation of Skyview, I	
3 A. Yes.	3 don't know if there was changes. I'm not	
4 Q. Okay. And in his capacity as CFO of	4 aware.	
5 Highland, did he report directly to you?	5 Q. Have you considered firing	
6 A. Yes.	6 Mr. Waterhouse from any of the positions that	
7 Q. In his capacity as treasurer of	7 he holds with any of the companies that were	
8 HCMFA, did he report directly to you?	8 formerly affiliated with Highland?	
9 A. Yeah, it appears that, yes, that is 10 how it was structured.	9 A. No.	
	10 Q. As the president of HCMFA –	
<ol> <li>Q. Can you think of any position that</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse ever held in the Highland family</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>withdrawn.</li> <li>As the person who was in control of</li> </ol>	
· · ·		
13 of companies where he didn't report directly to	13 HCMFA, did you have any responsibility for	
14 you? 15 A. I can't – I can't think of any.	14 being familiar with HCMFA's debts and 15 obligations?	
<ul> <li>A. I can't – I can't think of any.</li> <li>Q. Is Mr. Waterhouse the treasurer of</li> </ul>	16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
17 HCMFA today?	17 form.	
18 A. I don't know. I'm not aware of any	17 Iom. 18 A. Idon't know.	
19 changes, nor did I orchestrate any changes, but	19 Q. Did you ever do anything in your	
20 I don't know for sure.	20 capacity as the person in control of HCMFA to	
21 Q. Can you identify any position that	21 familiarize yourself with HCMFA's debts and	
22 Mr. Waterhouse holds with any former affiliated	22 obligations?	
23 company of Highland today?	23 A. Not during – I mean, not prior to	
24 A. Again, I'm not aware of any changes,	24 bankruptcy.	
25 nor did I orchestrate or precipitate any	25 Q. So before the bankruptcy, you didn't	
F		

	Dece 246	1		Dogo 217
1	Page 316 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 317
2	take any steps to familiarize yourself with	2	Q. How do you define "significance"?	
3	HCMFA's debts and obligations. Do I have that	3	A. Like waiving fees on a mutual fund,	
4	right?	4	purchasing another mutual fund, yeah, things	
5	A. Correct, not specifically.	5	like that.	
6	Q. Okay. Who was responsible for	6	Q. Was there any document or policy	
7	knowing and understanding the scope and extent	7	that you are aware of that specifically	
8	of HCMFA's debts and obligations?	8	identifies the scope of Mr. Waterhouse's	
9	A. That would have fallen on Frank and	9	authority as the treasurer of HCMFA?	
10	his group.	10	A. No.	
11	Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding	11	Q. Is there anything that you are aware	
12	as to who was authorized to incur obligations	12	of that specifically limits Mr. Waterhouse's	
13	on behalf of HCMFA?	13	authority other than what might be in your	
14	A. I mean, beyond – beyond due course,	14	head?	
15	I struggle to see why it would be anybody other	15	A. No, I would – I would say what is	
16	than me, but I don't know.	16	in my head is – would be typical industry	
17	Q. Do you know if Mr. Waterhouse was	17	practice. You wouldn't – you wouldn't have	
18	authorized as the treasurer of HCMFA to incur	18	executive vice presidents or ownership defined	
19	obligations on its behalf?	19	if you were going to delegate everything to an	
20	A. He wasn't the senior operating or	20	employee three levels down, you know.	
21	executive positions there. So the answer is	21	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. John,	
22	no, beyond, you know – beyond the normal	22	I've had a request from Davor to take a	
23	course of operating expenses or whatever, but	23	quick restroom break, so –	
24	it would – he would never be the person on	24	MR. MORRIS: You know, I really	
25	anything of significance.	25	Davor, I'm happy to accommodate, but at	
	Dage 219			Baga 210
1	Page 318 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 319
1		1	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. I don't know.	Page 319
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get		A. I don't know.	Page 319
2	DONDERO - 10/29/21	2	A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know,	Page 319
2 3 4	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break.	23	<ul><li>A. I don't know.</li><li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li><li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li></ul>	Page 319
23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.	2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break.	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. I don't know.</li><li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li><li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li></ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08.	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.)	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> <li>signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> <li>signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> <li>signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know who the authorized</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> <li>signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know who the authorized</li> <li>signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> <li>signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know who the authorized</li> <li>signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> <li>signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know who the authorized</li> <li>signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody now</li> <li>employed or previously employed by Highland was</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> <li>signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know who the authorized</li> <li>signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody now</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> <li>signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know who the authorized</li> <li>signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody now</li> <li>employed or previously employed by Highland was an authorized signatory with respect to any of</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> <li>signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know who the authorized</li> <li>signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody now</li> <li>employed or previously employed by Highland was</li> <li>an authorized signatory with respect to any of</li> <li>HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any reason to believe as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> <li>signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know who the authorized</li> <li>signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody now</li> <li>employed or previously employed by Highland was</li> <li>an authorized signatory with respect to any of</li> <li>HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Mr. Waterhouse</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> <li>signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know who the authorized</li> <li>signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody now</li> <li>employed or previously employed by Highland was</li> <li>an authorized signatory with respect to any of</li> <li>HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any reason to believe as you sit here today that Mr. Waterhouse failed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> <li>signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know who the authorized</li> <li>signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody now</li> <li>employed or previously employed by Highland was</li> <li>an authorized signatory with respect to any of</li> <li>HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Mr. Waterhouse</li> <li>was an authorized signatory on any of HCMFA's</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any reason to believe as you sit here today that Mr. Waterhouse failed to fulfill his responsibilities as treasurer of HCMFA and familiarize himself with their debts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> <li>signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know who the authorized</li> <li>signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody now</li> <li>employed or previously employed by Highland was</li> <li>an authorized signatory with respect to any of</li> <li>HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Mr. Waterhouse</li> <li>was an authorized signatory on any of HCMFA's</li> <li>bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know how he had – had it</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any reason to believe as you sit here today that Mr. Waterhouse failed to fulfill his responsibilities as treasurer of HCMFA and familiarize himself with their debts and responsibilities?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> <li>signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know who the authorized</li> <li>signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody now</li> <li>employed or previously employed by Highland was</li> <li>an authorized signatory with respect to any of</li> <li>HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Mr. Waterhouse</li> <li>was an authorized signatory on any of HCMFA's</li> <li>bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Do you know whether Mr. Waterhouse</li> <li>was an authorized signatory on any of HCMFA's</li> <li>bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know how he had – had it</li> <li>set up. There would have been, I imagine,</li> </ul>	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any reason to believe as you sit here today that Mr. Waterhouse failed to fulfill his responsibilities as treasurer of HCMFA and familiarize himself with their debts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. I appreciate that you don't know,</li> <li>but do you have any reason as you sit here</li> <li>today to believe that he failed to fulfill that</li> <li>particular responsibility?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Are you an authorized</li> <li>signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know who the authorized</li> <li>signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody now</li> <li>employed or previously employed by Highland was</li> <li>an authorized signatory with respect to any of</li> <li>HCMFA's bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether Mr. Waterhouse</li> <li>was an authorized signatory on any of HCMFA's</li> <li>bank accounts?</li> <li>A. I don't know how he had – had it</li> </ul>	Page 319

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-4 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 12 of 71

Page 320	Page 32
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 the right audit controls, et cetera. So I	2 you want to look at that.
3 would imagine there would have been somebody	3 A. No, but I think that is – that is
4 preparing it and multiple signatures or	4 the answer, but that is my only awareness.
5 multiple sign-offs on wires, but I have no	5 Q. Okay. Do you have – do you have –
6 awareness of this. I mean, I would believe	6 do you know whether she was ever appointed to
7 that it was done compliantly and correctly, but	7 any position within the Highland corporate
8 I don't have any specific awareness.	8 family other than as an attorney with Highland
9 Q. Okay. Do you know Lauren Thedford?	9 and as the secretary of HCMFA?
10 A. Yes.	10 A. I don't know.
11 Q. And was Ms. Thedford an employee of	11 Q. Other than Ms. Waterhouse –
12 Highland at one time?	12 withdrawn.
13 A. Yes.	13 Other than Mr. Waterhouse and
14 Q. Do you recall what position she held	14 Ms. Thedford, can you identify any current or
15 at any particular point in time?	15 former employee of Highland that ever served as
16 A. I believe she held several different	16 an officer of HCMFA?
17 positions over the years, but I remember most	17 A. I don't know.
18 as a corporate attorney working on document –	18 Q. Okay. Can you identify any current
19 documents when we – we do new funds or amend	19 or former employee of Highland who was
20 old funds.	20 simultaneously also an employee of HCMFA?
21 Q. Okay. Do you recall whether she	21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
22 served as an officer of HCMFA?	22 form.
23 A. Wasn't her name on the incumbency	23 A. You mean somebody who was a dual
24 certificate we had up earlier?	24 employee?
25 Q. It was. We can put it back up if	25 Q. Yeah, who was actually – yeah, to
Page 322	
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 be clear, who was actually employed by both,	2 don't remember when.
3 who received, you know, income from both.	3 Q. Can you tell me generally the nature
4 A. I don't know regarding income, but	4 of NexPoint's business?
5 some of that historic portfolio managers like	5 A. It is generally real estate related.
6 Michael Gregory or Jonathan Lamensdorf, they	6 Q. Have you controlled NexPoint
7 did work for HCMFA primarily, but they also did	7 throughout its corporate existence, to the best
8 other things for Highland. I don't know how	8 of your knowledge?
9 their compensation or their bonuses were split.	9 A. Yes. 10 Q. Do vou have a title with NexPoint
10 I just – I wouldn't have awareness of that.	
11 Q. Let's move on to NexPoint. You're	<ul><li>11 today?</li><li>12 A. I believe I'm president, but I don't</li></ul>
<ul><li>12 familiar with an entity called NexPoint</li><li>13 Advisors, L.P.; correct?</li></ul>	13 know for sure.
14 A. Yes.	14 Q. Did you appoint Mr. Waterhouse to
14 A. Yes. 15 Q. We will refer to that as NexPoint,	14 Q. Did you appoint wir. waterhouse to 15 serve as treasurer of NexPoint?
16 okay?	16 A. I don't know.
17 A. Sure.	17 MR. MORRIS: Please put up Exhibit
18 Q. Do you know who owns NexPoint?	17 WIR. MORRIS. Please put up Exhibit 18 37.
	10 S7. 19 Q. This is another incumbency
19 A. Directly or indirectly, I believe I 20 do.	20 certificate, sir?
20 d0. 21 Q. Okay. And do you control NexPoint?	20 ceruicate, si ? 21 A. Yes.
22 A. Yes.	21 A. res. 22 Q. And do you see, is that your
23 Q. And do you know when NexPoint was	23 signature at the bottom?
24 created?	23 signature at the bottom? 24 A. Looks like it, yes.
25 A. More than five years ago, but I	24 A. LOOKS like it, yes. 25 Q. And does that refresh your

	D 204	1		Dama 205
1	Page 324 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 325
2	recollection that you personally identified	2	president of Highland, that Mr. Waterhouse	
3	Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of NexPoint	3	served in capacities with respect to affiliated	
4	Advisors, L.P. effective as of April 11th,	4	companies?	
5	2019?	5	A. I was aware that multiple senior	
6	A. No, I mean, not – no.	6	executives had multiple titles at multiple	
7	Q. Do you have any reason to doubt that	7	different entities, but I didn't have specific	
8	Mr. Waterhouse served as the treasurer of	8	awareness whatsoever on entities that Frank was	
9	NexPoint Advisors prior to the petition date?	9	or was not involved in.	
10	A. No, I don't have a reason to	10	Q. Okay. But to the extent that he	
11	disagree with it. I just didn't have an	11	held a title with one of the affiliated	
12	awareness. And when you asked me earlier, the	12	companies, those affiliated companies would	
13	thing that was running through my mind is that	13	have been managed or controlled by you;	
14	it could have been, you know, Brian Mitts who	14	correct?	
15	has a strong accounting background at NexPoint.	15	A. Generally.	
16	l just wasn't I didn't know, based on	16	Q. You can't think of any title that he	
17	recollection, who was treasurer.	17	held with an affiliated company that wasn't	
18	Q. Okay. Were you aware that but	18	managed by you, can you?	
19	you were aware, were you not, that	19	A. No, not off the top of my head.	
20	Mr. Waterhouse wore multiple hats?	20	Q. And you knew and intended prior to	
21	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	21	the petition date to have Mr. Waterhouse serve	
22	form.	22	in multiple roles; is that fair?	
23	Q. Withdrawn.	23	A. Yes.	
24	You were aware, were you not, sir,	24	Q. Have you ever considered firing	
25	that during the time that you served as	25	Mr. Waterhouse from his position as treasurer	
	Page 326			Page 327
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 327
1 2		1 2	Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's	Page 327
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No.		Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it	Page 327
2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint	2	Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing	Page 327
23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a	23	Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?	Page 327
2 3 4	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes,</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Just generally.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Just generally. Q. Okay. Did you do anything to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Just generally. Q. Okay. Did you do anything to generally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Just generally. Q. Okay. Did you do anything to generally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts and obligations?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Just generally. Q. Okay. Did you do anything to generally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Not – not specifically that I can	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Just generally. Q. Okay. Did you do anything to generally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Not – not specifically that I can recall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Just generally. Q. Okay. Did you do anything to generally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Not – not specifically that I can recall. Q. Can you recall doing anything to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint.</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Just generally. Q. Okay. Did you do anything to generally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Not – not specifically that I can recall. Q. Can you recall doing anything to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Well, you personally were</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Just generally. Q. Okay. Did you do anything to generally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Not – not specifically that I can recall. Q. Can you recall doing anything to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations at any time?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Just generally. Q. Okay. Did you do anything to generally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Not – not specifically that I can recall. Q. Can you recall doing anything to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations at any time? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial statements, weren't you?</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Just generally. Q. Okay. Did you do anything to generally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Not – not specifically that I can recall. Q. Can you recall doing anything to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations at any time? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial statements, weren't you?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Just generally. Q. Okay. Did you do anything to generally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Not – not specifically that I can recall. Q. Can you recall doing anything to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations at any time? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Not that I recall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial statements, weren't you?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. No. I mean, "responsible" is not</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DONDERO - 10/29/21 of NexPoint Advisors? A. No. Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Just generally. Q. Okay. Did you do anything to generally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Not – not specifically that I can recall. Q. Can you recall doing anything to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations at any time? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Not that I recall. Q. Did you ever look at NexPoint's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial statements, weren't you?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. No. I mean, "responsible" is not the right word. I mean, we – I have to – as</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of NexPoint Advisors?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with</li> <li>NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Just generally.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you do anything to generally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Not – not specifically that I can recall.</li> <li>Q. Can you recall doing anything to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations at any time?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever look at NexPoint's balance sheet?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial statements, weren't you?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. No. I mean, we – I have to – as the senior most executive, I have to – to</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of NexPoint Advisors?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with</li> <li>NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Just generally.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you do anything to generally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Not – not specifically that I can recall.</li> <li>Q. Can you recall doing anything to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations at any time?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever look at NexPoint's balance sheet?</li> <li>A. Not – not that I – not that I</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial statements, weren't you?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. No. I mean, we – I have to – as the senior most executive, I have to – to sign – sign statements regarding completeness</li> </ul>	Page 327
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of NexPoint Advisors?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. As the president of NexPoint</li> <li>Advisors, do you believe that you had a responsibility to familiarize yourself with</li> <li>NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Just generally.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you do anything to generally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Not – not specifically that I can recall.</li> <li>Q. Can you recall doing anything to familiarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and obligations at any time?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Not that I recall.</li> <li>Q. Did you ever look at NexPoint's balance sheet?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?</li> <li>A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet.</li> <li>Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations?</li> <li>A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint.</li> <li>Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial statements, weren't you?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.</li> <li>A. No. I mean, we – I have to – as the senior most executive, I have to – to</li> </ul>	Page 327

Page 328	Page 329
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 but I am in no way involved in the preparation. 2 accounts?	
3   Q. We will talk about that in a bit.   3   A. I don't know.	
4 Do you have any reason to believe 4 Q. Do you know whether there is any	
5 today that Mr. Waterhouse failed to fulfill his 5 current or former employee of Highland who did	
6 responsibilities as treasurer of NexPoint to 6 not hold an officer position at NexPoint who	
7 familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and7 would have been an authorized signatory on	
8 obligations? 8 NexPoint's bank accounts?	
9 A. I don't know. 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
10Q. You can't identify any particular10form.	
11   reason that you might have for concluding that   11   A.   I don't know.	
12Mr. Waterhouse failed to fulfill his duties as12Q.Can you identify any current or	
13 treasurer of NexPoint to familiarize himself13 former employee of Highland who served as an	
14    with NexPoint's duties and respons duties    14    officer of NexPoint at any time other than	
15 and obligations; correct?15 Ms. Thedford and Mr. Waterhouse?	
16A. Yes, I don't know.16A. I don't know.	
17Q.Okay.Do you know who the17Q.Okay.Let's go to HCMS.Are you	
18authorized signatories are on NexPoint's bank18familiar with an entity called Highland Capital	
19 accounts?   19 Management Services, Inc.?	
20 A. No. 20 A. Generally, yes.	
21Q.Do you know if you're an authorized21Q.And can we refer to that as HCMS?	
22 signatory on NexPoint's bank accounts? 22 A. Yes.	
23   A.   I don't know.   23   Q.   Do you have a direct or indirect	
24Q.Do you know if Mr. Waterhouse is an24ownership interest in HCMS?	
25 authorized signatory on NexPoint's bank25A.I believe so.	
Page 330	Page 331
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 Q. And do you own a majority of the 2 something the investors are willing to take a	
3 interest directly or indirectly in HCMS? 3 chance on and then give you separate account	
4 A. I believe so. 5 O Devenue setted UOMO2	
5 Q. Do you control HCMS? 5 Q. Do you have a title with HCMS today?	
6 A. I believe so. 6 A. I don't know.	
7 Q. Have you – has there ever been a 7 Q. But you do control the entity; is	
8 period of time in HCMS's corporate existence 8 that fair?	
9 where you did not control that entity? 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
10     A. Not that I'm aware of.       10     form, asked and answered.	
11       Q. Do you recall when HCMS was created?       11       A. I believe so.         12       A. Marst them for your and both       10       Q. Olympic Dependence of the second seco	
12   A. More than five years ago, but I     12   Q. Okay. Do you know whether	
13     don't remember when.       13     Mr. Waterhouse has ever served as an officer of	
14   Q. Do you have an understanding of the   14   HCMS?	
15 nature of HCMS's business? 15 A. I have no idea.	
16     A. It manages some assets, and it was       16     Q. Can you identify any person in the	
17 trying to create track records that then could 17 world who has ever served as an officer of	
18 be marketed. 18 HCMS?	
19     Q. What does it mean to create a track     19     A. I don't know what the incumbency	
20 record that could be marketed? 20 certificate would look like for services, but	
21     A. You execute investments and       21     I'm willing to be refreshed.	
22 investment strategy that you can refine and   22   Q. Do you know if anybody ever served	
23   articulate and show good results to potential   23   as the chief – withdrawn.	
24third-party investors as as evidence that24Did HCMF ever have anybody serve in25you can do it. And then that track record is25the capacity of chief financial officer?	
25 you can do it. And then that track record is 25 the capacity of chief financial officer?	

1	Page 332 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 333
2	A. The subject of that question was	2	HCMS's debts and obligations?	
1	ICMF. Is that what you meant to say, or did	3	A. I guess my answer, which would apply	
1	ou mean Services?	4	to all of these entities, is awareness to know	
5	Q. No, I apologize. Thank you for the	5	that the amounts were de minimis relative to	
1	larification. I did mean HCMS, so let me try	6	the value of the entity, and the debt service	
1	gain.	7	costs or issues were very de minimis relative	
8	Has anybody ever served in the	8	to the entities, but beyond that, I didn't	
1	apacity of chief financial officer of HCMS?	9	study them.	
10	A. HCMF.	10	Q. Well, did – did HCMFA have	
11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: S.	11	obligations to HCMLP that you would	
12	A. Not	12	characterize as di minimis from HCMFA's	
13	Q. S.	13	perspective?	
14	A. Not of Services – not that –	14	A. Yeah, or just – it never had	
1	again, I don't know. I'm willing to be	15	obligations that were more than de minimis.	
1	efreshed, but I – I have no awareness.	16	Q. As – as the person in control of	
17	Q. Okay. As president – as the person	17	HCMFA, did you ever have any concern that HCMFA	
1	n control of HCMS, do you believe you had any	18	would not be able to satisfy its obligations to	
	esponsibility to familiarize yourself with	19	HCMLP if – if a demand was made?	
1	hat entity's debts and obligations?	20	A. No.	
21	A. Again, just generally, to the extent	21	Q. Okay. Was anybody charged with the	
1	hat they were material or an issue or	22	responsibility of familiarizing themselves with	
1	whatever, but no more than generally.	23	HCMS's debts and obligations?	
24	Q. Can you describe anything you ever	24	A. Again, to differentiate or separate	
1	did to generally familiarize yourself with	25	myself from the treasury function or from what	
120 \		20	Thysell north the treasary failed off of front what	
1	Page 334	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 335
1 2 F	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 335
2 F	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Trank and his group were doing.	2	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways	Page 335
2 F 3	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be	23	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or	2 3 4	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions.	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to	2 3 4 5	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 th	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to he extent that I was aware and knew that it	2 3 4	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 th 7 v	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to he extent that I was aware and knew that it was de minimis, I didn't spend any time	2 3 4 5 6 7	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue.	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 v 8 ft	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to he extent that I was aware and knew that it was de minimis, I didn't spend any time bocusing on it, studying it, calculating it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 v 8 ft 9 e	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to ne extent that I was aware and knew that it vas de minimis, I didn't spend any time bocusing on it, studying it, calculating it exactly, or anything like that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive.	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 v 8 ft 9 e 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to ne extent that I was aware and knew that it was de minimis, I didn't spend any time occusing on it, studying it, calculating it exactly, or anything like that. Having said that, we are highly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 v 8 fc 9 e 10 11 c	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to he extent that I was aware and knew that it was de minimis, I didn't spend any time bocusing on it, studying it, calculating it exactly, or anything like that. Having said that, we are highly compliant. We do - we did audits every year	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 v 8 ft 9 e 10 11 0 12 v	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to ne extent that I was aware and knew that it was de minimis, I didn't spend any time occusing on it, studying it, calculating it exactly, or anything like that. Having said that, we are highly compliant. We do – we did audits every year with reputable accounting firms that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly?	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 v 8 fc 9 e 10 11 c 12 v 13 c	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to ne extent that I was aware and knew that it vas de minimis, I didn't spend any time bocusing on it, studying it, calculating it exactly, or anything like that. Having said that, we are highly compliant. We do – we did audits every year with reputable accounting firms that were complete and in depth. And any obligations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes.	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 v 8 fc 9 e 10 11 0 12 v 13 0 14 a	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to ne extent that I was aware and knew that it was de minimis, I didn't spend any time occusing on it, studying it, calculating it exactly, or anything like that. Having said that, we are highly compliant. We do – we did audits every year with reputable accounting firms that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 v 8 ft 9 e 10 11 0 12 v 13 0 14 a 15 v	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or totes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to the extent that I was aware and knew that it was de minimis, I didn't spend any time bocusing on it, studying it, calculating it exactly, or anything like that. Having said that, we are highly compliant. We do – we did audits every year with reputable accounting firms that were complete and in depth. And any obligations and/or assets, de minimis or not, in my view, would nonetheless have to be reflected or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct?	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 v 8 ft 9 e 10 0 11 0 12 v 13 0 14 a 15 v 16 0	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to ne extent that I was aware and knew that it vas de minimis, I didn't spend any time bcusing on it, studying it, calculating it exactly, or anything like that. Having said that, we are highly compliant. We do – we did audits every year with reputable accounting firms that were complete and in depth. And any obligations and/or assets, de minimis or not, in my view, would nonetheless have to be reflected or captured accurately and prepared for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 v 8 fc 9 e 10 11 0 12 v 13 0 14 a 15 v 16 0 17 a	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to he extent that I was aware and knew that it vas de minimis, I didn't spend any time bocusing on it, studying it, calculating it exactly, or anything like that. Having said that, we are highly compliant. We do – we did audits every year with reputable accounting firms that were complete and in depth. And any obligations and/or assets, de minimis or not, in my view, would nonetheless have to be reflected or captured accurately and prepared for the auditors in supplying, you know, detail or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John?	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 v 8 ft 9 e 10 0 11 0 12 v 13 0 14 a 15 v 16 0 17 a 18 s	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to ne extent that I was aware and knew that it vas de minimis, I didn't spend any time ocusing on it, studying it, calculating it exactly, or anything like that. Having said that, we are highly compliant. We do – we did audits every year with reputable accounting firms that were complete and in depth. And any obligations and/or assets, de minimis or not, in my view, would nonetheless have to be reflected or captured accurately and prepared for the auditors in supplying, you know, detail or source documents or whatever, whatever they do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John? Q. Do you understand the question, sir?	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 v 8 ft 9 e 10 0 11 0 12 v 13 0 14 a 15 v 16 0 17 a 18 s	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to he extent that I was aware and knew that it vas de minimis, I didn't spend any time bocusing on it, studying it, calculating it exactly, or anything like that. Having said that, we are highly compliant. We do – we did audits every year with reputable accounting firms that were complete and in depth. And any obligations and/or assets, de minimis or not, in my view, would nonetheless have to be reflected or captured accurately and prepared for the auditors in supplying, you know, detail or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John?	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 v 8 fc 9 e 10 0 11 0 12 v 13 0 14 a 15 v 16 0 17 a 18 s 19 i 20	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to ne extent that I was aware and knew that it was de minimis, I didn't spend any time ocusing on it, studying it, calculating it wactly, or anything like that. Having said that, we are highly compliant. We do – we did audits every year with reputable accounting firms that were complete and in depth. And any obligations and/or assets, de minimis or not, in my view, would nonetheless have to be reflected or captured accurately and prepared for the auditors in supplying, you know, detail or source documents or whatever, whatever they do n accounting as part of the audit function. And all that would have done – been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John? Q. Do you understand the question, sir? A. Yeah. My answer would be the advisors like NexPoint and HFAM that had to	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 w 8 ft 9 e 10 11 0 12 w 13 0 14 a 15 w 16 0 17 a 18 s 19 i 20 21 0	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or totes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to he extent that I was aware and knew that it vas de minimis, I didn't spend any time bocusing on it, studying it, calculating it exactly, or anything like that. Having said that, we are highly compliant. We do – we did audits every year with reputable accounting firms that were complete and in depth. And any obligations and/or assets, de minimis or not, in my view, would nonetheless have to be reflected or captured accurately and prepared for the auditors in supplying, you know, detail or source documents or whatever, whatever they do n accounting as part of the audit function. And all that would have done – been done exactly and expertly, as far as I know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions.</li> <li>Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue.</li> <li>I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive.</li> <li>You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John?</li> <li>Q. Do you understand the question, sir?</li> <li>A. Yeah. My answer would be the advisors like NexPoint and HFAM that had to have by law and regulatory statute have to have</li> </ul>	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 v 8 ft 9 e 10 11 0 11 0 12 v 13 0 14 a 15 v 16 0 17 a 18 s 19 i 20 0 21 0 22 a	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to ne extent that I was aware and knew that it vas de minimis, I didn't spend any time bocusing on it, studying it, calculating it exactly, or anything like that. Having said that, we are highly compliant. We do – we did audits every year with reputable accounting firms that were complete and in depth. And any obligations and/or assets, de minimis or not, in my view, would nonetheless have to be reflected or captured accurately and prepared for the auditors in supplying, you know, detail or source documents or whatever, whatever they do in accounting as part of the audit function. And all that would have done – been done exactly and expertly, as far as I know, and it would have been done by Frank and his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions.</li> <li>Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue.</li> <li>I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive.</li> <li>You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John?</li> <li>Q. Do you understand the question, sir?</li> <li>A. Yeah. My answer would be the advisors like NexPoint and HFAM that had to have by law and regulatory statute have to have formal sub advisors and shared services</li> </ul>	Page 335
2 F 3 4 a 5 n 6 tt 7 v 8 ft 9 e 10 11 0 11 0 12 v 13 0 14 a 15 v 16 0 17 a 18 s 19 i 20 21 0 22 a	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or notes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to ne extent that I was aware and knew that it was de minimis, I didn't spend any time bocusing on it, studying it, calculating it exactly, or anything like that. Having said that, we are highly compliant. We do – we did audits every year with reputable accounting firms that were complete and in depth. And any obligations and/or assets, de minimis or not, in my view, would nonetheless have to be reflected or captured accurately and prepared for the auditors in supplying, you know, detail or source documents or whatever, whatever they do n accounting as part of the audit function. And all that would have done – been done exactly and expertly, as far as I know, and it would have been done by Frank and his group.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions.</li> <li>Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue.</li> <li>I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive.</li> <li>You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John?</li> <li>Q. Do you understand the question, sir?</li> <li>A. Yeah. My answer would be the advisors like NexPoint and HFAM that had to have by law and regulatory statute have to have formal sub advisors and shared services agreements had formal shared services agreements had formal shared services</li> </ul>	Page 335
2       F         3       4         5       n         6       tt         7       v         8       fd         9       e         10       11         12       v         13       0         14       a         15       v         16       0         17       a         18       s         19       i         20       21         21       0         22       a         23       0	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Frank and his group were doing. From my perspective, I had to be ware about it – aware of any obligations or lotes or debt service costs, et cetera, but to ne extent that I was aware and knew that it vas de minimis, I didn't spend any time bocusing on it, studying it, calculating it exactly, or anything like that. Having said that, we are highly compliant. We do – we did audits every year with reputable accounting firms that were complete and in depth. And any obligations and/or assets, de minimis or not, in my view, would nonetheless have to be reflected or captured accurately and prepared for the auditors in supplying, you know, detail or source documents or whatever, whatever they do in accounting as part of the audit function. And all that would have done – been done exactly and expertly, as far as I know, and it would have been done by Frank and his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions.</li> <li>Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue.</li> <li>I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive.</li> <li>You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John?</li> <li>Q. Do you understand the question, sir?</li> <li>A. Yeah. My answer would be the advisors like NexPoint and HFAM that had to have by law and regulatory statute have to have formal sub advisors and shared services</li> </ul>	Page 335

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-4 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 16 of 71

_	Page 336			Page 337
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	i age oor
2	formal written shared services agreements were	2	A. Yeah, yes, and early into '21, I	
3	often serviced similarly or – or exactly the	3	believe also.	
4	same as those entities, but without a written	4	Q. Okay. As – as part of the oral	
5	agreement, but with a verbal shared services	5	agreement that you referenced, was there was	
6	agreement providing, again, all the same	6	there ever an agreement that HCMS would pay any	
7	similar services.	7	money to Highland in exchange for the services	
8	And the entities that didn't have a	8	that Highland provided to it?	
9	written shared services agreement weren't	9	A. I do not believe there was a	
10	getting shared services or support from any	10	financial remuneration aspect of it.	
11	other entities other than Highland doing the	11	Q. Okay. And do you recall during your	
12	same thing for them that it did for the mutual	12	time as president of Highland whether Highland	
13	funds.	13	ever received payment from HCMS for services	
14	Q. Okay. Can you tell me who entered	14	rendered?	
15	into an oral shared services agreement between	15	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And are we just	
16	Highland and HCMS?	16	talking about money?	
17	A. Boy, I can imagine way back in the	17	MR. MORRIS: Correct.	
18	day it would have been myself and Frank, but he	18	A. Yeah, I don't I don't recall	
19	and his group understood and knew that they	19	moneys being – well, you know what, let me	
20	were doing it for all the new entities that	20	let me clarify that a little bit.	
21	came along, and I can't imagine it was even	21	If there were any direct costs that	
22	talked about much over the years.	22	Highland would have incurred like getting the	
23	Q. Did – did HCMFA and NexPoint pay	23	audits done, you know, like if Price Waterhouse	
24	money to Highland under the shared services	24	said, okay, give us the details on, you know,	
25	agreement until let's just say late 2020?	25	all the different entities that roll up into	
	Page 338			Page 339
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	the Highland entity.	2	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to	
3	And then – and they prepared	3	Highland for shared services, Highland got the	
4	statements or did work for services, Frank and	4	benefit of the track record that was being	
5	his group would have passed through those costs	5	built at the DAF to then market to third	
6	and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of	6	parties, which then created a revenue stream	
7	the other entities to pay for direct		for Highland down the road.	
8	out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid	8	And I would say that was the same	
9	a supplemental fee or profit or anything to	9	intent on Services.	
10	Highland.	10	Q. Is there anything – anything else	
11	Q. Okay. To the best of your	11	of value that you believe HCMS provided to	
12	recollection, during the time that you were	12	Highland in exchange for the services that	
13	president of Highland, did Highland ever	13	Highland rendered?	
14	receive anything of value from HCMS on account	14	A. That would be primarily it. I would	
15	of services other than the reimbursement of	15	say there is probably times where Services	
16	out-of-pocket expenses?	16	provided liquidity for Highland or helped on	
17	A. Yeah, I'm going to go back to my	17	investments that Highland was involved in, but	
1 · ·	a supersonal in termore of by vilating two of a sevel. And	18	I would have to refresh myself on exactly what.	
18	comment in terms of building track record. And	· ·	() le it fair to cay that UCME UCMS	
19	l would use – yeah, we had done it several	19	Q. Is it fair to say that HCMF – HCMS	
19 20	I would use – yeah, we had done it several times in the past and it had worked	20	never provided a revenue stream to Highland	
19 20 21	I would use – yeah, we had done it several times in the past and it had worked effectively. And that is – you know, yeah, I	20 21	never provided a revenue stream to Highland similar to the revenue stream that was provided	
19 20 21 22	I would use – yeah, we had done it several times in the past and it had worked effectively. And that is – you know, yeah, I mean, the – the track record in CLO paper was	20 21 22	never provided a revenue stream to Highland similar to the revenue stream that was provided by HCMFA and NexPoint under the shared services	
19 20 21 22 23	I would use – yeah, we had done it several times in the past and it had worked effectively. And that is – you know, yeah, I mean, the – the track record in CLO paper was what was used to track – (inaudible) – as an	20 21 22 23	never provided a revenue stream to Highland similar to the revenue stream that was provided by HCMFA and NexPoint under the shared services agreements?	
19 20 21 22 23 24	I would use – yeah, we had done it several times in the past and it had worked effectively. And that is – you know, yeah, I mean, the – the track record in CLO paper was what was used to track – (inaudible) – as an investor.	20 21 22 23 24	never provided a revenue stream to Highland similar to the revenue stream that was provided by HCMFA and NexPoint under the shared services agreements? A. That is correct.	
19 20 21 22 23	I would use – yeah, we had done it several times in the past and it had worked effectively. And that is – you know, yeah, I mean, the – the track record in CLO paper was what was used to track – (inaudible) – as an	20 21 22 23	never provided a revenue stream to Highland similar to the revenue stream that was provided by HCMFA and NexPoint under the shared services agreements?	

Page 340			Page 341
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 withdrawn.	2	former Highland employee who served as an	
3 Did anybody at HCMS ever have the	3	officer of HCMS at any time?	
4 responsibility for familiarizing themselves	4	A. No, I would need to be refreshed.	
5 with HCMS' debts and obligations?	5	Q. Okay. Can you identify –	
6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	6	withdrawn. Let's go to the last one, HCRE.	
7 form.	7	Are you familiar with an entity	
8 A. Frank and his team, as part of	8	called HCRE Partners, LLC?	
9 preparing the audited financials for all the	9	A. Yes.	
10 entities, would have definitively been aware of	10	Q. And is that entity now known as	
11 all of them. Who else on the services	11	NexPoint Real Estate Partners, LLC?	
12 incumbency certificate or – would be aware or	12	A. You know what, I do believe it had a	
13 have knowledge, I don't know.	13	name change. I don't know if that is the name	
14 Q. Okay. And when you refer to "Frank	14	change, but that would make sense.	
15 and his team," are any of them acting as an	15	Q. Okay. Can we just refer to that	
16 officer or employee of HCMS in what you are	16	entity as HCRE?	
17 thinking about?	17	A. That is fine.	
18 A. I – I don't know. I don't know.	18	Q. Okay. Do you have any direct or	
19 Did – we haven't – have we looked at the	19	indirect ownership interest in HCRE?	
20 incumbency certificate for services?	20	A. Yes.	
21 Q. No.	21	Q. And is it a majority interest to the	
22 A. I don't know. I don't know off the	22	best of your knowledge?	
23 top of my head.	23	A. Yes.	
24 Q. Okay. Let's just finish this up.	24	Q. Do you control HCRE?	
25 Can you identify any current or	25	A. Yes.	
Page 342 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 343
1     DONDERO - 10/29/21       2     Q.       Have you controlled HCRE throughout	1	has ever been given the responsibility –	
3 its corporate existence?	2	withdrawn.	
4 A. Yes.	4	Do you know whether anybody has ever	
5 Q. Can you tell me what the nature of	5	had the responsibility for familiarizing	
6 HCRE's business is?	6	themselves with the debts and obligations of	
7 A. It makes real estate investments.	-	HCRE?	
	8	A. It would be the same answer as given	
8 Q. Do you have a title with that 9 entity?	9	on the other entities. It would be the	
10 A. I don't know, but I'm willing to be	10	treasurer, which is probably Frank. And if not	
11 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency	11	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and	
12 certificate looks similar to the ones that you	12	his team of putting together the complete and	
13 have put up.	13	accurate financials of HCRE.	
14 Q. Can you identify for me today	14	Q. Other than putting together the	
15 anybody who has ever served as an officer of	15	complete and accurate financials of HCRE, did	
16 HCRE at any time?	16	Frank and his team have any other	
17 A. I would rather be refreshed. I	17	responsibility with respect to understanding	
18 would imagine myself and Matt McGraner are two	18	the debts and obligations of HCRE?	
19 of those people, but I don't know for sure.	19	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	
20 Q. Okay. Without the incumbency	20	A. Again, just the general overlay	
21 certificates or other documentation, you are	20	being that they were de minimis and – de	
22 not able to give me any names other than Mr. –	21	minimus, and the service obligations were de	
23 other than you and Mr. McGraner; is that fair?	22	minimus, and the service obligations were de	
24 A. That's correct.	23	income of the enterprise.	
25 Q. Okay. Do you know whether anybody	24	In other words, had they been more	

<b>—</b>	Page 344			Page 345
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Fage 545
2	material or material, they would have had more	2	30(b)(6) notices if we can.	
3	focus. But they didn't deserve more focus.	3	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up a	
4	Q. And so is it fair to say that you	4	document that has been marked as	
5	didn't do anything to familiarize yourself with	5	Exhibit 47.	
6	HCRE's debts and obligations?	6	(Exhibit 47 marked.)	
7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	7	Q. Do you understand, Mr. Dondero, that	
8	form.	8	you are here today in your individual capacity	
9	A. Not on a regular detailed basis, you	9	and in your capacity as what is called a	
10	know, just a general awareness.	10	30(b)(6) witness for certain entities?	
11	Q. Did you ever take any steps to	11	A. Yes, a little bit to my chagrin.	
12	review the affiliate loans and obligations that	12	And I don't think you will see me again as a	
13	were due between and among Highland and its	13	30(b)(6) witness, but yes.	
14	affiliated companies?	14	Q. All right. Well, it wasn't my	
15	A. Again, just generally.	15	choice, so let's just go through it quickly.	
16	Q. What did you do?	16	Have you seen this document before,	
17	A. Like I said, I had a general	17	sir?	
18	awareness of them.	18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. And did you receive from time to	19	Q. And do you understand that you are	
20	time lists or information that specifically	20	here today in your capacity as NexPoint's	
21	described the amounts that were due and owing	21	corporate representative?	
22	from the affiliates to Highland?	22	A. Yes.	
23	A. Yeah, from time to time the amounts,	23	Q. And do you understand that your	
24		24	answers today in your capacity as NexPoint's	
25	Q. Let's just quickly go to the	25	corporate representative will be binding on	
1	Page 346 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 347
2	NexPoint?	2	NexPoint's answer?	
3	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: As qualified by	3	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Again, subject	
4	the objections that we made.	4	to our objection, but	
5	MR. MORRIS: Sure.	5	A. Yeah, to the best I can.	
6	A. I will do the best I can.	6	Q. Okay. The next topic concerns	
7	Q. Thank you so much.	7	affirmative defenses.	
8	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next	8	Do you see that?	
9	page, please. The last page. The topics.	9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. Okay. Have you seen these topics	10	Q. Do you have an understanding of what	
11	before, sir?	11	an affirmative defense is?	
12	A. Yes.	12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. Okay. Do you see that we asked for	13	Q. What is your understanding of an	
14	somebody to testify as to NexPoint's answer?	14	affirmative defense?	
15	A. Yes.	15	A. I think it is those – phrase that	
16	Q. Okay. Are you aware that	16	you see in most of our answers, the	
17	NexPoint – are you aware that NexPoint filed	17	justification, estoppel, waiver, and then –	
18	an answer to Highland's amended complaint?	18	and then there is some specific answers beyond	
19	A. Yes.	19	that, I guess.	
20	Q. And did you review NexPoint's answer	20	Q. Okay. Are you prepared –	
21	at any time before today's deposition?	21	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I take it	
22	A. It was in the binder, I believe,	22	you will show him. He doesn't have to have	
23	that you guys sent over.	23	them memorized.	
24	Q. I think that's right. Are you	23	MR. MORRIS: No, of course not.	
25	prepared to answer questions today about	25	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: So if you are	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<b> </b>	

Page 348	Page 349
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 going to ask him, you will put it in front	2 are you – do you have knowledge of the – of
3 of him?	3 NexPoint's use of the proceeds of the note?
4 MR. MORRIS: Of course.	4 A. Not specifically.
5 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.	5 Q. All right. Maybe I will refresh
6 Q. Are you prepared to testify today to	6 your recollection later.
7 the circumstances, communications, documents,	7 And then the last topic is discovery
8 and facts concerning NexPoint's affirmative	8 requests.
9 defenses?	9 Do you see that?
10 A. Yeah, to the best that I can.	10 A. Yes.
11 Q. Okay. Do you see Topic 3 concerns	11 Q. Are you prepared to testify today on
12 the demand notes?	12 NexPoint's behalf concerning Highland's
13 A. Yes.	13 discovery requests?
14 Q. Okay. Are you prepared to testify	14 A. To the best of my knowledge.
15 about the demand notes, including with respect	15 Q. Okay. Did you do anything to
16 to the specific issues identified in that	16 prepare for today's deposition?
17 topic?	17 A. I met with Deborah.
18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Again, subject	18 Q. When did you do that?
19 to the objections, particularly I think	19 A. A couple of days ago for a couple of
20 with respect to use of the proceeds.	20 hours, and a few days before that for a couple
21 Q. We will get to that.	21 of hours.
22 Are you prepared to testify?	22 Q. How many times –
23 A. I hope so.	23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you also
24 Q. And – and I know that there is an	24 asking about calls?
25 objection there, but just a simple yes or no,	25 MR. MORRIS: I appreciate that.
Page 350	Page 351
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
1DONDERO - 10/29/212A.Yeah.There were a couple of phone	1DONDERO - 10/29/212of these meetings or phone calls who didn't
1DONDERO - 10/29/212A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone3calls too.	1DONDERO - 10/29/212of these meetings or phone calls who didn't3represent you in your individual capacity?
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone</li> <li>calls too.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you communicate</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone</li> <li>calls too.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you communicate</li> <li>with Deborah in preparation for today's</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone</li> <li>calls too.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you communicate</li> <li>with Deborah in preparation for today's</li> <li>deposition?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone</li> <li>calls too.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you communicate</li> <li>with Deborah in preparation for today's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone</li> <li>calls too.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you communicate</li> <li>with Deborah in preparation for today's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.</li> <li>Q. How many times –</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone</li> <li>calls too.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you communicate</li> <li>with Deborah in preparation for today's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No. I haven't seen it yet.</li> </ol>
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone         3       calls too.         4       Q. How many times did you communicate         5       with Deborah in preparation for today's         6       deposition?         7       A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.         8       Q. How many times –         9       A. You know, in-person and phone calls,         10       but	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No. I haven't seen it yet.</li> <li>Q. You haven't seen any portion of that</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone</li> <li>calls too.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you communicate</li> <li>with Deborah in preparation for today's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.</li> <li>Q. How many times –</li> <li>A. You know, in-person and phone calls,</li> <li>but</li> <li>Q. How many times did you meet with her</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No. I haven't seen it yet.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone</li> <li>calls too.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you communicate</li> <li>with Deborah in preparation for today's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.</li> <li>Q. How many times –</li> <li>A. You know, in-person and phone calls,</li> <li>but</li> <li>Q. How many times did you meet with her</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No. I haven't seen it yet.</li> <li>Q. You haven't seen any portion of that</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ol>
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone         3       calls too.         4       Q. How many times did you communicate         5       with Deborah in preparation for today's         6       deposition?         7       A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.         8       Q. How many times –         9       A. You know, in-person and phone calls,         10       but         11       Q. How many times did you meet with her         12       in-person?         13       A. Two, maybe three.	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No. I haven't seen it yet.</li> <li>Q. You haven't seen any portion of that</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ol>
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone         3       calls too.         4       Q. How many times did you communicate         5       with Deborah in preparation for today's         6       deposition?         7       A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.         8       Q. How many times –         9       A. You know, in-person and phone calls,         10       but         11       Q. How many times did you meet with her         12       in-person?         13       A. Two, maybe three.         14       Q. And can you just tell me an estimate	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No. I haven't seen it yet.</li> <li>Q. You haven't seen any portion of that</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone</li> <li>calls too.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you communicate</li> <li>with Deborah in preparation for today's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.</li> <li>Q. How many times –</li> <li>A. You know, in-person and phone calls,</li> <li>but</li> <li>Q. How many times did you meet with her</li> <li>in-person?</li> <li>A. Two, maybe three.</li> <li>Q. And can you just tell me an estimate</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No. I haven't seen it yet.</li> <li>Q. You haven't seen any portion of that</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition?</li> </ol>
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone         3       calls too.         4       Q. How many times did you communicate         5       with Deborah in preparation for today's         6       deposition?         7       A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.         8       Q. How many times         9       A. You know, in-person and phone calls,         10       but         11       Q. How many times did you meet with her         12       in-person?         13       A. Two, maybe three.         14       Q. And can you just tell me an estimate         15       of the total time spent preparing for this	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No. I haven't seen it yet.</li> <li>Q. You haven't seen any portion of that</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anything that</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ol>
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone         3       calls too.         4       Q. How many times did you communicate         5       with Deborah in preparation for today's         6       deposition?         7       A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.         8       Q. How many times –         9       A. You know, in-person and phone calls,         10       but         11       Q. How many times did you meet with her         12       in-person?         13       A. Two, maybe three.         14       Q. And can you just tell me an estimate         15       of the total time spent preparing for this         16       deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No. I haven't seen it yet.</li> <li>Q. You haven't seen any portion of that</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anything that</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You have no knowledge of anything</li> <li>that Mr. Waterhouse said last week in his</li> </ol>
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone         3       calls too.         4       Q. How many times did you communicate         5       with Deborah in preparation for today's         6       deposition?         7       A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.         8       Q. How many times –         9       A. You know, in-person and phone calls,         10       but         11       Q. How many times did you meet with her         12       in-person?         13       A. Two, maybe three.         14       Q. And can you just tell me an estimate         15       of the total time spent preparing for this         16       deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and         17       the phone calls?	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No. I haven't seen it yet.</li> <li>Q. You haven't seen any portion of that</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anything that</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You have no knowledge of anything</li> <li>that Mr. Waterhouse said last week in his</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone</li> <li>calls too.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you communicate</li> <li>with Deborah in preparation for today's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.</li> <li>Q. How many times</li> <li>A. You know, in-person and phone calls,</li> <li>but</li> <li>Q. How many times did you meet with her</li> <li>in-person?</li> <li>A. Two, maybe three.</li> <li>Q. And can you just tell me an estimate</li> <li>of the total time spent preparing for this</li> <li>deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and</li> <li>the phone calls?</li> <li>A. I don't know. Does it matter? I</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No. I haven't seen it yet.</li> <li>Q. You haven't seen any portion of that</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anything that</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You have no knowledge of anything</li> <li>that Mr. Waterhouse said last week in his</li> <li>deposition; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ol>
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone         3       calls too.         4       Q. How many times did you communicate         5       with Deborah in preparation for today's         6       deposition?         7       A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.         8       Q. How many times –         9       A. You know, in-person and phone calls,         10       but         11       Q. How many times did you meet with her         12       in-person?         13       A. Two, maybe three.         14       Q. And can you just tell me an estimate         15       of the total time spent preparing for this         16       deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and         17       the phone calls?         18       A. I don't know. Does it matter? I         19       mean, I don't know. I don't know, four hours,	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No. I haven't seen it yet.</li> <li>Q. You haven't seen any portion of that</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anything that</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You have no knowledge of anything</li> <li>that Mr. Waterhouse said last week in his</li> <li>deposition; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> </ol>
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone         3       calls too.         4       Q. How many times did you communicate         5       with Deborah in preparation for today's         6       deposition?         7       A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.         8       Q. How many times –         9       A. You know, in-person and phone calls,         10       but         11       Q. How many times did you meet with her         12       in-person?         13       A. Two, maybe three.         14       Q. And can you just tell me an estimate         15       of the total time spent preparing for this         16       deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and         17       the phone calls?         18       A. I don't know. Does it matter? I         19       mean, I don't know. I don't know, four hours,         20       four hours.	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No. I haven't seen it yet.</li> <li>Q. You haven't seen any portion of that</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anything that</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You have no knowledge of anything</li> <li>that Mr. Waterhouse said last week in his</li> <li>deposition; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone</li> <li>calls too.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you communicate</li> <li>with Deborah in preparation for today's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.</li> <li>Q. How many times –</li> <li>A. You know, in-person and phone calls,</li> <li>but</li> <li>Q. How many times did you meet with her</li> <li>in-person?</li> <li>A. Two, maybe three.</li> <li>Q. And can you just tell me an estimate</li> <li>of the total time spent preparing for this</li> <li>deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and</li> <li>the phone calls?</li> <li>A. I don't know. Does it matter? I</li> <li>mean, I don't know. I don't know, four hours,</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did anybody participate in</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No. I haven't seen it yet.</li> <li>Q. You haven't seen any portion of that</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anything that</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You have no knowledge of anything</li> <li>that Mr. Waterhouse said last week in his</li> <li>deposition; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as</li> <li>to anything your sister said in her deposition?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone</li> <li>calls too.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you communicate</li> <li>with Deborah in preparation for today's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.</li> <li>Q. How many times –</li> <li>A. You know, in-person and phone calls,</li> <li>but</li> <li>Q. How many times did you meet with her</li> <li>in-person?</li> <li>A. Two, maybe three.</li> <li>Q. And can you just tell me an estimate</li> <li>of the total time spent preparing for this</li> <li>deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and</li> <li>the phone calls?</li> <li>A. I don't know. Does it matter? I</li> <li>mean, I don't know. I don't know, four hours,</li> <li>Gur hours.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did anybody participate in</li> <li>these meetings or phone calls other than your</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>of these meetings or phone calls who didn't</li> <li>represent you in your individual capacity?</li> <li>A. No. It was just – it was just</li> <li>Deborah and I.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to</li> <li>review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No. I haven't seen it yet.</li> <li>Q. You haven't seen any portion of that</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of anything that</li> <li>Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You have no knowledge of anything</li> <li>that Mr. Waterhouse said last week in his</li> <li>deposition; do I have that right?</li> <li>A. That's correct.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as</li> <li>to anything your sister said in her deposition?</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone</li> <li>calls too.</li> <li>Q. How many times did you communicate</li> <li>with Deborah in preparation for today's</li> <li>deposition?</li> <li>A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.</li> <li>Q. How many times –</li> <li>A. A half dozen, maybe, you know.</li> <li>Q. How many times –</li> <li>A. You know, in-person and phone calls,</li> <li>but</li> <li>Q. How many times did you meet with her</li> <li>in-person?</li> <li>A. Two, maybe three.</li> <li>Q. And can you just tell me an estimate</li> <li>of the total time spent preparing for this</li> <li>deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and</li> <li>the phone calls?</li> <li>A. I don't know. Does it matter? I</li> <li>mean, I don't know. I don't know, four hours,</li> <li>four hours.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did anybody participate in</li> <li>these meetings or phone calls other than your</li> <li>lawyers?</li> </ol>	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       of these meetings or phone calls who didn't         3       represent you in your individual capacity?         4       A. No. It was just – it was just         5       Deborah and I.         6       Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to         7       review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's         8       deposition?         9       A. No. I haven't seen it yet.         10       Q. You haven't seen any portion of that         11       deposition?         12       A. No.         13       Q. Are you aware of anything that         14       Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition?         15       A. No.         16       Q. You have no knowledge of anything         17       that Mr. Waterhouse said last week in his         18       deposition; do I have that right?         19       A. That's correct.         10       Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as         21       to anything your sister said in her deposition?         22       A. No, other than she is glad it is         23       over.

Page 352	2		Page 353
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 Did – did you ever see her	2	deposition other than the stack that I provided	
3 transcript – the transcript from her	3	and the deposition notices?	
4 deposition?	4	A. I mean just – no, just a listing of	
5 A. No.	5	the notes, but that is it.	
6 Q. How about Mr. Seery, did you see the	6	Q. Did you see any emails at all in	
7 transcript from Mr. Seery's deposition?	7	connection with your preparation for today's	
8 A. I didn't even know that Seery was	8	deposition?	
9 deposed, so the answer is no.	9	A. No, not a single email.	
10 Q. Okay. Are you aware that Dave Klos	10	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's put up	
11 was deposed?	11	Exhibit 48, please.	
12 A. You know what, I think I had	12	(Exhibit 48 marked.)	
13 awareness of that, but I haven't seen that	13	Q. And I think you will see that this	
14 deposition.	14	is the 30(b)(6) notice for HCMS. If we can go	
15 Q. Do you know anything about anything	15	to the next page. And it is really the same –	
16 that he testified to the other day?	16	I will represent to you that the topics for	
17 A. Nope.	17	HCMS are the same as the topics for NexPoint.	
18 Q. How about Kristin – Kristin	18	Have you seen HCMS's 30(b)(6) notice	
19 Hendrix, are you aware that she was deposed?	19	that is up on the screen right now?	
20 A. I think I heard that she was also.	20	A. Yes.	
21 Q. Do you know anything about anything	21	Q. And if we took the time – if I took	
22 that she testified to?	22	the time to ask you the same questions about	
23 A. No.	23	your ability to answer on behalf of HCMS	
24 Q. Did you look at any documents to	24	HCMS with respect to the topics identified	
25 refresh your recollection in advance of this	25	there and subject to your counsel's objections,	
Page 354	1		Page 355
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	์   1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	i age ooo
1DONDERO - 10/29/212would you be able to do so?		DONDERO - 10/29/21 amended complaint.	l age ooo
<ul><li>2 would you be able to do so?</li><li>3 A. Yes.</li></ul>	1		i dge ooo
2 would you be able to do so?	1	amended complaint.	i age ooo
<ul><li>2 would you be able to do so?</li><li>3 A. Yes.</li></ul>	1 2 3	amended complaint. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the	1 890 000
<ol> <li>would you be able to do so?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4	amended complaint. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook?	1 890 000
<ol> <li>would you be able to do so?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>49, please.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5	amended complaint. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook? MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one	1 490 000
<ol> <li>would you be able to do so?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>49, please.</li> <li>(Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6	amended complaint. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook? MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had –	1 890 000
<ol> <li>would you be able to do so?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>49, please.</li> <li>(Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	amended complaint. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook? MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang	1 890 000
<ol> <li>would you be able to do so?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>49, please.</li> <li>(Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	amended complaint. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook? MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on.	
<ol> <li>would you be able to do so?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>49, please.</li> <li>(Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> <li>of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	amended complaint. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook? MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is	
<ul> <li>2 would you be able to do so?</li> <li>3 A. Yes.</li> <li>4 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>5 49, please.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> <li>9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do</li> <li>10 you understand that?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	amended complaint. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook? MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and	
<ul> <li>2 would you be able to do so?</li> <li>3 A. Yes.</li> <li>4 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>5 49, please.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> <li>9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do</li> <li>10 you understand that?</li> <li>11 A. Yes.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	amended complaint. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook? MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is	
<ul> <li>2 would you be able to do so?</li> <li>3 A. Yes.</li> <li>4 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>5 49, please.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> <li>9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do</li> <li>10 you understand that?</li> <li>11 A. Yes.</li> <li>12 Q. And did you review the list of</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	amended complaint. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook? MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually.	
<ul> <li>2 would you be able to do so?</li> <li>3 A. Yes.</li> <li>4 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>5 49, please.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> <li>9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do</li> <li>10 you understand that?</li> <li>11 A. Yes.</li> <li>12 Q. And did you review the list of</li> <li>13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	amended complaint. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook? MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we	
<ul> <li>2 would you be able to do so?</li> <li>3 A. Yes.</li> <li>4 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>5 49, please.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> <li>9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do</li> <li>10 you understand that?</li> <li>11 A. Yes.</li> <li>12 Q. And did you review the list of</li> <li>13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice</li> <li>14 for HCRE?</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	amended complaint. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook? MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you.	
<ul> <li>2 would you be able to do so?</li> <li>3 A. Yes.</li> <li>4 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>5 49, please.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> <li>9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do</li> <li>10 you understand that?</li> <li>11 A. Yes.</li> <li>12 Q. And did you review the list of</li> <li>13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice</li> <li>14 for HCRE?</li> <li>15 A. Yes.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	amended complaint. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook? MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so	
<ul> <li>2 would you be able to do so?</li> <li>3 A. Yes.</li> <li>4 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>5 49, please.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> <li>9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do</li> <li>10 you understand that?</li> <li>11 A. Yes.</li> <li>12 Q. And did you review the list of</li> <li>13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice</li> <li>14 for HCRE?</li> <li>15 A. Yes.</li> <li>16 Q. And subject to your counsel's</li> <li>17 objections, are you prepared to testify to the</li> <li>18 topics that are listed on the page that is up</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	amended complaint. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook? MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you.	
<ul> <li>2 would you be able to do so?</li> <li>3 A. Yes.</li> <li>4 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>5 49, please.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> <li>9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do</li> <li>10 you understand that?</li> <li>11 A. Yes.</li> <li>12 Q. And did you review the list of</li> <li>13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice</li> <li>14 for HCRE?</li> <li>15 A. Yes.</li> <li>16 Q. And subject to your counsel's</li> <li>17 objections, are you prepared to testify to the</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	amended complaint. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook? MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you. Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's	
<ul> <li>2 would you be able to do so?</li> <li>3 A. Yes.</li> <li>4 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>5 49, please.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> <li>9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do</li> <li>10 you understand that?</li> <li>11 A. Yes.</li> <li>12 Q. And did you review the list of</li> <li>13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice</li> <li>14 for HCRE?</li> <li>15 A. Yes.</li> <li>16 Q. And subject to your counsel's</li> <li>17 objections, are you prepared to testify to the</li> <li>18 topics that are listed on the page that is up</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>amended complaint.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had –</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's amended complaint before it was filed?</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 would you be able to do so?</li> <li>3 A. Yes.</li> <li>4 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>5 49, please.</li> <li>6 (Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> <li>9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do</li> <li>10 you understand that?</li> <li>11 A. Yes.</li> <li>12 Q. And did you review the list of</li> <li>13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice</li> <li>14 for HCRE?</li> <li>15 A. Yes.</li> <li>16 Q. And subject to your counsel's</li> <li>17 objections, are you prepared to testify to the</li> <li>18 topics that are listed on the page that is up</li> <li>19 on the screen?</li> <li>20 A. Yes.</li> <li>21 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>amended complaint.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had –</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's amended complaint before it was filed?</li> <li>A. I don't know if I was involved at</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 would you be able to do so?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>49, please.</li> <li>(Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> <li>of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do</li> <li>you understand that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And did you review the list of</li> <li>topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice</li> <li>for HCRE?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And subject to your counsel's</li> <li>objections, are you prepared to testify to the</li> <li>topics that are listed on the page that is up</li> <li>on the screen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please</li> <li>put up Exhibit 31.</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>amended complaint.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's amended complaint before it was filed?</li> <li>A. I don't know if I was involved at that juncture.</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>would you be able to do so?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>49, please.</li> <li>(Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> <li>of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do</li> <li>you understand that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And did you review the list of</li> <li>topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice</li> <li>for HCRE?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And subject to your counsel's</li> <li>objections, are you prepared to testify to the</li> <li>topics that are listed on the page that is up</li> <li>on the screen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please</li> <li>put up Exhibit 31.</li> <li>(Exhibit 31 marked.)</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>amended complaint.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had –</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's amended complaint before it was filed?</li> <li>A. I don't know if I was involved at that juncture.</li> <li>Q. All right. So just to refresh your</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>2 would you be able to do so?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>49, please.</li> <li>(Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> <li>of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do</li> <li>you understand that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And did you review the list of</li> <li>topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice</li> <li>for HCRE?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And subject to your counsel's</li> <li>objections, are you prepared to testify to the</li> <li>topics that are listed on the page that is up</li> <li>on the screen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please</li> <li>put up Exhibit 31.</li> <li>(Exhibit 31 marked.)</li> <li>Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>amended complaint.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had –</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's amended complaint before it was filed?</li> <li>A. I don't know if I was involved at that juncture.</li> <li>Q. All right. So just to refresh your recollection, this is a document that was filed</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>would you be able to do so?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit</li> <li>49, please.</li> <li>(Exhibit 49 marked.)</li> <li>Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for</li> <li>HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf</li> <li>of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do</li> <li>you understand that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And did you review the list of</li> <li>topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice</li> <li>for HCRE?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And subject to your counsel's</li> <li>objections, are you prepared to testify to the</li> <li>topics that are listed on the page that is up</li> <li>on the screen?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please</li> <li>put up Exhibit 31.</li> <li>(Exhibit 31 marked.)</li> </ul>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>amended complaint.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook?</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one that we had – we had –</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang on.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you.</li> <li>Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's amended complaint before it was filed?</li> <li>A. I don't know if I was involved at that juncture.</li> <li>Q. All right. So just to refresh your</li> </ul>	

Page 356	Pac	ge 357
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	ge ee.
2 If you recall, Highland filed an original	2 Q. So – so let me ask the question	
3 complaint, and after you amended your answer	3 again then: Do you recall, with that	
4 late in August pursuant to an agreement,	4 background, having reviewed and approved the	
5 Highland filed amended complaints against	5 filing of this document at the beginning of	
6 certain of the obligors in the notes	6 September 2021?	
7 litigation.	7 A. Generally.	
8 Does that refresh your recollection	8 Q. Okay. As you sit here today, are	
9 that this document was prepared in early	9 you aware of anything in this document that is	
10 September?	10 inaccurate?	
11 A. Okay.	11 A. Not that I'm aware of.	
12 Q. Okay.	12 Q. Okay. Are you aware of anything in	
13 A. I don't have specific memory.	13 the document that you believe should be	
14 Q. Okay. So as always, Mr. Dondero, we	14 modified or amended to make it more complete or	
15 have done this many times before, if there is	15 more accurate?	
16 anything in the document that you think that	16 A. Not as of this moment.	
17 you need to see because it is a little bit of a	17 Q. Okay. Can we please go to Paragraph	
18 lengthy document, will you let me know that?	18 83. Okay. Right there.	
19 A. Sure.	19 So do you see that on – on page 13	
20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. And we	20 of the exhibit, we have in Paragraphs 82	
21 have a copy if you need to stop and take a	21 through 91 what are called your affirmative	
22 look. We did get a hard copy. We have a	22 defenses?	
23 hard copy here.	23 A. Yes.	
24 Q. Okay.	24 Q. All right. I'm going to skip the	
25 A. All right.	25 one in 82 for the moment, but focusing on 83.	
Page 358		ge 359
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	ge 359
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Can you just read that to yourself and tell me	1DONDERO - 10/29/212share with me that concern that particular	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> </ol>	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> </ol>	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any facts that</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> </ol>	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any facts that</li> <li>concern this particular affirmative defense?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> </ol>	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any facts that</li> <li>concern this particular affirmative defense?</li> <li>A. Which notes are these again?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> <li>about.</li> </ol>	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any facts that</li> <li>concern this particular affirmative defense?</li> <li>A. Which notes are these again?</li> <li>Q. These would be your personal notes.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> <li>about.</li> <li>Q. We're going to go through the answer</li> </ol>	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any facts that</li> <li>concern this particular affirmative defense?</li> <li>A. Which notes are these again?</li> <li>Q. These would be your personal notes.</li> <li>A. The – personal notes. I'm trying</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> <li>about.</li> <li>Q. We're going to go through the answer</li> <li>for each one of them. So just one at a time.</li> </ol>	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any facts that</li> <li>concern this particular affirmative defense?</li> <li>A. Which notes are these again?</li> <li>Q. These would be your personal notes.</li> <li>A. The – personal notes. I'm trying</li> <li>to remember. No, I – well, if you read the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> <li>about.</li> <li>Q. We're going to go through the answer</li> <li>for each one of them. So just one at a time.</li> <li>We're only talking about your – your notes.</li> </ol>	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any facts that</li> <li>concern this particular affirmative defense?</li> <li>A. Which notes are these again?</li> <li>Q. These would be your personal notes.</li> <li>A. The – personal notes. I'm trying</li> <li>to remember. No, I – well, if you read the</li> <li>question one more time.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> <li>about.</li> <li>Q. We're going to go through the answer</li> <li>for each one of them. So just one at a time.</li> <li>We're only talking about your – your notes.</li> <li>A. No, not the moment.</li> </ol>	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any facts that</li> <li>concern this particular affirmative defense?</li> <li>A. Which notes are these again?</li> <li>Q. These would be your personal notes.</li> <li>A. The – personal notes. I'm trying</li> <li>to remember. No, I – well, if you read the</li> <li>question one more time.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Just so – so to make sure</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> <li>about.</li> <li>Q. We're going to go through the answer</li> <li>for each one of them. So just one at a time.</li> <li>We're only talking about your – your notes.</li> <li>A. No, not the moment.</li> <li>Q. Let's go to Paragraph 84.</li> </ol>	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any facts that</li> <li>concern this particular affirmative defense?</li> <li>A. Which notes are these again?</li> <li>Q. These would be your personal notes.</li> <li>A. The – personal notes. I'm trying</li> <li>to remember. No, I – well, if you read the</li> <li>question one more time.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Just so – so to make sure</li> <li>that you understand, because I'm not here to</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> <li>about.</li> <li>Q. We're going to go through the answer</li> <li>for each one of them. So just one at a time.</li> <li>We're only talking about your – your notes.</li> <li>A. No, not the moment.</li> <li>Q. Let's go to Paragraph 84.</li> <li>Do you see Paragraph 84 states,</li> </ol>	ge 359
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Can you just read that to yourself and tell me         3       when you have done that?         4       A. Yes.         5       Q. Are you aware of any facts that         6       concern this particular affirmative defense?         7       A. Which notes are these again?         8       Q. These would be your personal notes.         9       A. The – personal notes. I'm trying         10       to remember. No, I – well, if you read the         11       question one more time.         12       Q. Sure. Just so – so to make sure         13       that you understand, because I'm not here to         14       trick you, this is your answer to Highland's	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> <li>about.</li> <li>Q. We're going to go through the answer</li> <li>for each one of them. So just one at a time.</li> <li>We're only talking about your – your notes.</li> <li>A. No, not the moment.</li> <li>Q. Let's go to Paragraph 84.</li> <li>Do you see Paragraph 84 states,</li> <li>among other things, that plaintiff's claims are</li> </ol>	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any facts that</li> <li>concern this particular affirmative defense?</li> <li>A. Which notes are these again?</li> <li>Q. These would be your personal notes.</li> <li>A. The – personal notes. I'm trying</li> <li>to remember. No, I – well, if you read the</li> <li>question one more time.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Just so – so to make sure</li> <li>that you understand, because I'm not here to</li> <li>trick you, this is your answer to Highland's</li> <li>complaint against you where Highland is trying</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> <li>about.</li> <li>Q. We're going to go through the answer</li> <li>for each one of them. So just one at a time.</li> <li>We're only talking about your – your notes.</li> <li>A. No, not the moment.</li> <li>Q. Let's go to Paragraph 84.</li> <li>Do you see Paragraph 84 states,</li> <li>among other things, that plaintiff's claims are</li> <li>barred, in whole or in part, due to estoppel?</li> </ol>	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any facts that</li> <li>concern this particular affirmative defense?</li> <li>A. Which notes are these again?</li> <li>Q. These would be your personal notes.</li> <li>A. The – personal notes. I'm trying</li> <li>to remember. No, I – well, if you read the</li> <li>question one more time.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Just so – so to make sure</li> <li>that you understand, because I'm not here to</li> <li>trick you, this is your answer to Highland's</li> <li>complaint against you where Highland is trying</li> <li>to recover on the notes that you signed.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> <li>about.</li> <li>Q. We're going to go through the answer</li> <li>for each one of them. So just one at a time.</li> <li>We're only talking about your – your notes.</li> <li>A. No, not the moment.</li> <li>Q. Let's go to Paragraph 84.</li> <li>Do you see Paragraph 84 states,</li> <li>among other things, that plaintiff's claims are</li> <li>barred, in whole or in part, due to estoppel?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ol>	ge 359
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Can you just read that to yourself and tell me         3       when you have done that?         4       A. Yes.         5       Q. Are you aware of any facts that         6       concern this particular affirmative defense?         7       A. Which notes are these again?         8       Q. These would be your personal notes.         9       A. The – personal notes. I'm trying         10       to remember. No, I – well, if you read the         11       question one more time.         12       Q. Sure. Just so – so to make sure         13       that you understand, because I'm not here to         14       trick you, this is your answer to Highland's         15       complaint against you where Highland is trying         16       to recover on the notes that you signed.         17       Do you understand that?	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> <li>about.</li> <li>Q. We're going to go through the answer</li> <li>for each one of them. So just one at a time.</li> <li>We're only talking about your – your notes.</li> <li>A. No, not the moment.</li> <li>Q. Let's go to Paragraph 84.</li> <li>Do you see Paragraph 84 states,</li> <li>among other things, that plaintiff's claims are</li> <li>barred, in whole or in part, due to estoppel?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you share with me any facts that</li> </ol>	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any facts that</li> <li>concern this particular affirmative defense?</li> <li>A. Which notes are these again?</li> <li>Q. These would be your personal notes.</li> <li>A. The – personal notes. I'm trying</li> <li>to remember. No, I – well, if you read the</li> <li>question one more time.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Just so – so to make sure</li> <li>that you understand, because I'm not here to</li> <li>trick you, this is your answer to Highland's</li> <li>complaint against you where Highland is trying</li> <li>to recover on the notes that you signed.</li> <li>Do you understand that?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> <li>about.</li> <li>Q. We're going to go through the answer</li> <li>for each one of them. So just one at a time.</li> <li>We're only talking about your – your notes.</li> <li>A. No, not the moment.</li> <li>Q. Let's go to Paragraph 84.</li> <li>Do you see Paragraph 84 states,</li> <li>among other things, that plaintiff's claims are</li> <li>barred, in whole or in part, due to estoppel?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you share with me any facts that</li> <li>you are aware of that concern that particular</li> </ol>	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any facts that</li> <li>concern this particular affirmative defense?</li> <li>A. Which notes are these again?</li> <li>Q. These would be your personal notes.</li> <li>A. The – personal notes. I'm trying</li> <li>to remember. No, I – well, if you read the</li> <li>question one more time.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Just so – so to make sure</li> <li>that you understand, because I'm not here to</li> <li>trick you, this is your answer to Highland's</li> <li>complaint against you where Highland is trying</li> <li>to recover on the notes that you signed.</li> <li>Do you understand that?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So in Paragraph 83 you have</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> <li>about.</li> <li>Q. We're going to go through the answer</li> <li>for each one of them. So just one at a time.</li> <li>We're only talking about your – your notes.</li> <li>A. No, not the moment.</li> <li>Q. Let's go to Paragraph 84.</li> <li>Do you see Paragraph 84 states,</li> <li>among other things, that plaintiff's claims are</li> <li>barred, in whole or in part, due to estoppel?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you share with me any facts that</li> <li>you are aware of that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> </ol>	ge 359
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Can you just read that to yourself and tell me         3       when you have done that?         4       A. Yes.         5       Q. Are you aware of any facts that         6       concern this particular affirmative defense?         7       A. Which notes are these again?         8       Q. These would be your personal notes.         9       A. The – personal notes. I'm trying         10       to remember. No, I – well, if you read the         11       question one more time.         12       Q. Sure. Just so – so to make sure         13       that you understand, because I'm not here to         14       trick you, this is your answer to Highland's         15       complaint against you where Highland is trying         16       to recover on the notes that you signed.         17       Do you understand that?         18       A. Right.         19       Q. Okay. So in Paragraph 83 you have         20       asserted an affirmative defense that the	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> <li>about.</li> <li>Q. We're going to go through the answer</li> <li>for each one of them. So just one at a time.</li> <li>We're only talking about your – your notes.</li> <li>A. No, not the moment.</li> <li>Q. Let's go to Paragraph 84.</li> <li>Do you see Paragraph 84 states,</li> <li>among other things, that plaintiff's claims are</li> <li>barred, in whole or in part, due to estoppel?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you share with me any facts that</li> <li>you are aware of that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ol>	ge 359
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Can you just read that to yourself and tell me         3       when you have done that?         4       A. Yes.         5       Q. Are you aware of any facts that         6       concern this particular affirmative defense?         7       A. Which notes are these again?         8       Q. These would be your personal notes.         9       A. The – personal notes. I'm trying         10       to remember. No, I – well, if you read the         11       question one more time.         12       Q. Sure. Just so – so to make sure         13       that you understand, because I'm not here to         14       trick you, this is your answer to Highland's         15       complaint against you where Highland is trying         16       to recover on the notes that you signed.         17       Do you understand that?         18       A. Right.         19       Q. Okay. So in Paragraph 83 you have         20       asserted an affirmative defense that the         21       plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       share with me that concern that particular         3       affirmative defense?         4       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just         5       in this particular answer.         6       MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking         7       about.         8       Q. We're going to go through the answer         9       for each one of them. So just one at a time.         10       We're only talking about your – your notes.         11       A. No, not the moment.         12       Q. Let's go to Paragraph 84.         13       Do you see Paragraph 84.         13       Do you see Paragraph 84 states,         14       among other things, that plaintiff's claims are         15       barred, in whole or in part, due to estoppel?         16       A. Yes.         17       Q. Can you share with me any facts that         18       you are aware of that concern that particular         19       affirmative defense?         20       A. No.         21       Q. Okay. I'm going to skip over 85	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any facts that</li> <li>concern this particular affirmative defense?</li> <li>A. Which notes are these again?</li> <li>Q. These would be your personal notes.</li> <li>A. The – personal notes. I'm trying</li> <li>to remember. No, I – well, if you read the</li> <li>question one more time.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Just so – so to make sure</li> <li>that you understand, because I'm not here to</li> <li>trick you, this is your answer to Highland's</li> <li>complaint against you where Highland is trying</li> <li>to recover on the notes that you signed.</li> <li>Do you understand that?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So in Paragraph 83 you have</li> <li>asserted an affirmative defense that the</li> <li>plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in</li> <li>part due to waiver.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>share with me that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just</li> <li>in this particular answer.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking</li> <li>about.</li> <li>Q. We're going to go through the answer</li> <li>for each one of them. So just one at a time.</li> <li>We're only talking about your – your notes.</li> <li>A. No, not the moment.</li> <li>Q. Let's go to Paragraph 84.</li> <li>Do you see Paragraph 84 states,</li> <li>among other things, that plaintiff's claims are</li> <li>barred, in whole or in part, due to estoppel?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you share with me any facts that</li> <li>you are aware of that concern that particular</li> <li>affirmative defense?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I'm going to skip over 85</li> <li>because I've gotten that answer elsewhere. If</li> </ol>	ge 359
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Can you just read that to yourself and tell me</li> <li>when you have done that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you aware of any facts that</li> <li>concern this particular affirmative defense?</li> <li>A. Which notes are these again?</li> <li>Q. These would be your personal notes.</li> <li>A. The – personal notes. I'm trying</li> <li>to remember. No, I – well, if you read the</li> <li>question one more time.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Just so – so to make sure</li> <li>that you understand, because I'm not here to</li> <li>trick you, this is your answer to Highland's</li> <li>complaint against you where Highland is trying</li> <li>to recover on the notes that you signed.</li> <li>Do you understand that?</li> <li>A. Right.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So in Paragraph 83 you have</li> <li>asserted an affirmative defense that the</li> <li>plaintiffs claims are barred in whole or in</li> <li>part due to waiver.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> </ol>	1DONDERO - 10/29/212share with me that concern that particular3affirmative defense?4MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just5in this particular answer.6MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking7about.8Q. We're going to go through the answer9for each one of them. So just one at a time.10We're only talking about your – your notes.11A. No, not the moment.12Q. Let's go to Paragraph 84.13Do you see Paragraph 84.13Do you see Paragraph 84 states,14among other things, that plaintiff's claims are15barred, in whole or in part, due to estoppel?16A. Yes.17Q. Can you share with me any facts that18you are aware of that concern that particular19affirmative defense?20A. No.21Q. Okay. I'm going to skip over 8522because I've gotten that answer elsewhere. If23we can go to 86, do you see that Paragraph 86	ge 359
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Can you just read that to yourself and tell me         3       when you have done that?         4       A. Yes.         5       Q. Are you aware of any facts that         6       concern this particular affirmative defense?         7       A. Which notes are these again?         8       Q. These would be your personal notes.         9       A. The – personal notes. I'm trying         10       to remember. No, I – well, if you read the         11       question one more time.         12       Q. Sure. Just so – so to make sure         13       that you understand, because I'm not here to         14       trick you, this is your answer to Highland's         15       complaint against you where Highland is trying         16       to recover on the notes that you signed.         17       Do you understand that?         18       A. Right.         19       Q. Okay. So in Paragraph 83 you have         20       asserted an affirmative defense that the         21       plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in         22       Do you see that?         23       Do you see that?         24       A. Yes.	1DONDERO - 10/29/212share with me that concern that particular3affirmative defense?4MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just5in this particular answer.6MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking7about.8Q. We're going to go through the answer9for each one of them. So just one at a time.10We're only talking about your – your notes.11A. No, not the moment.12Q. Let's go to Paragraph 84.13Do you see Paragraph 84 states,14among other things, that plaintiff's claims are15barred, in whole or in part, due to estoppel?16A. Yes.17Q. Can you share with me any facts that18you are aware of that concern that particular19affirmative defense?20A. No.21Q. Okay. I'm going to skip over 8522because I've gotten that answer elsewhere. If23we can go to 86, do you see that Paragraph 8624asserts as an affirmative defense, among other	ge 359
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Can you just read that to yourself and tell me         3       when you have done that?         4       A. Yes.         5       Q. Are you aware of any facts that         6       concern this particular affirmative defense?         7       A. Which notes are these again?         8       Q. These would be your personal notes.         9       A. The – personal notes. I'm trying         10       to remember. No, I – well, if you read the         11       question one more time.         12       Q. Sure. Just so – so to make sure         13       that you understand, because I'm not here to         14       trick you, this is your answer to Highland's         15       complaint against you where Highland is trying         16       to recover on the notes that you signed.         17       Do you understand that?         18       A. Right.         19       Q. Okay. So in Paragraph 83 you have         20       asserted an affirmative defense that the         21       plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in         23       Do you see that?	1DONDERO - 10/29/212share with me that concern that particular3affirmative defense?4MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just5in this particular answer.6MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking7about.8Q. We're going to go through the answer9for each one of them. So just one at a time.10We're only talking about your – your notes.11A. No, not the moment.12Q. Let's go to Paragraph 84.13Do you see Paragraph 84.13Do you see Paragraph 84 states,14among other things, that plaintiff's claims are15barred, in whole or in part, due to estoppel?16A. Yes.17Q. Can you share with me any facts that18you are aware of that concern that particular19affirmative defense?20A. No.21Q. Okay. I'm going to skip over 8522because I've gotten that answer elsewhere. If23we can go to 86, do you see that Paragraph 86	ge 359

5	-	
Page 360	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	age 361
2 barred, in whole or in part, due to failure of	2 A. Let me read that one more time.	
3 consideration, closed quote?	3 Q. Take your time.	
4 A. Right, I see that.	4 A. I think that one is – I'm trying –	
5 Q. Do you – do you – do you	5 I'm trying to remember if that one – if the	
6 acknowledge that Highland transferred to you an	6 partner defense is on alternative comp that	
7 amount of money equal to the principal amount	7 could have been taken or forgiveness that was	
8 on each of the notes that are at issue?	8 in lieu of other comp – I'm trying to remember	
9 A. I believe – yes.	9 if that falls under this category. I think it	
10 Q. Okay. I appreciate that.	10 does.	
11 Do you have any facts that would	11 Q. Okay. Is there anything else that	
12 support the affirmative defense that is set	12 you can – any other facts that you can think	
13 forth in Paragraph 86?	13 of that concern the affirmative defense in	
14 A. No.	14 Paragraph 88?	
15 Q. Okay. And then, finally,	15 A. I mean, the – yes. Okay. To the	
16 Paragraph 88 asserts, among other things, that	16 extent that the - in lieu of additional comp	
17 the fraudulent transfer claim should be barred,	17 falls under there, so does the incentives to –	
18 in whole or in part, because the alleged	18 the incentive to me to help monetize illiquid	
19 fraudulent transfer and I'm summarizing	19 investments better faster.	
20 here was taken in good faith and for	20 Q. And does that relate to the three	
21 reasonably equivalent value.	21 portfolio companies that are the subject of the	
22 Do you see that?	22 oral agreement between you and your sister or	
23 A. Yes.	23 to something else?	
24 Q. Okay. Do you have any facts that	24 A. It is	
25 concern that particular affirmative defense?	25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	
Page 362	F	Page 363
Page 362	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	age 363
		Page 363
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 363
1DONDERO - 10/29/212A. – regarding that, yeah.	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q.Okay. So these questions I'm asking	Page 363
1DONDERO - 10/29/212A regarding that, yeah.3Q. It is the same thing. Do I have	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking</li> <li>in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ol>	Page 363
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. – regarding that, yeah.</li> <li>Q. It is the same thing. Do I have</li> <li>4 that right?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking</li> <li>in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.</li> <li>Okay?</li> </ol>	Page 363
1DONDERO - 10/29/212A regarding that, yeah.3Q. It is the same thing. Do I have4that right?5A. Yes.6Q. Okay. Thank you very much.7Is there anything else you can share	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking</li> <li>in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> </ol>	Page 363
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A regarding that, yeah.</li> <li>Q. It is the same thing. Do I have</li> <li>that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you very much.</li> <li>Is there anything else you can share</li> <li>with me about the facts that concern the</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking</li> <li>in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And you recall that one of the</li> </ol>	Page 363
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A regarding that, yeah.         3       Q. It is the same thing. Do I have         4       that right?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. Okay. Thank you very much.         7       Is there anything else you can share         8       with me about the facts that concern the         9       affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking</li> <li>in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And you recall that one of the</li> <li>topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'</li> <li>answer; right?</li> <li>Are you prepared to answer questions</li> </ol>	Page 363
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A regarding that, yeah.         3       Q. It is the same thing. Do I have         4       that right?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. Okay. Thank you very much.         7       Is there anything else you can share         8       with me about the facts that concern the         9       affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?         10       A. I think that is – that is – that	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking</li> <li>in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And you recall that one of the</li> <li>topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'</li> <li>answer; right?</li> <li>Are you prepared to answer questions</li> <li>about this document?</li> </ol>	Page 363
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. – regarding that, yeah.         3       Q. It is the same thing. Do I have         4       that right?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. Okay. Thank you very much.         7       Is there anything else you can share         8       with me about the facts that concern the         9       affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?         10       A. I think that is – that is – that         11       is it.	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking</li> <li>in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And you recall that one of the</li> <li>topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'</li> <li>answer; right?</li> <li>Are you prepared to answer questions</li> <li>about this document?</li> <li>A. Yep, to the best I can.</li> </ol>	Page 363
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. – regarding that, yeah.         3       Q. It is the same thing. Do I have         4       that right?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. Okay. Thank you very much.         7       Is there anything else you can share         8       with me about the facts that concern the         9       affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?         10       A. I think that is – that is – that         11       is it.         12       Q. Okay. Can we change now to	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking</li> <li>in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.</li> <li>Okay?</li> <li>A. Okay.</li> <li>Q. And you recall that one of the</li> <li>topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'</li> <li>answer; right?</li> <li>Are you prepared to answer questions</li> <li>about this document?</li> <li>A. Yep, to the best I can.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?</li> </ol>	Page 363
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. – regarding that, yeah.         3       Q. It is the same thing. Do I have         4       that right?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. Okay. Thank you very much.         7       Is there anything else you can share         8       with me about the facts that concern the         9       affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?         10       A. I think that is – that is – that         11       is it.         12       Q. Okay. Can we change now to         13       Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile,	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking3in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.4Okay?5A. Okay.6Q. And you recall that one of the7topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'8answer; right?9Are you prepared to answer questions10about this document?11A. Yep, to the best I can.12Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?13A. Yes.	Page 363
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A regarding that, yeah.</li> <li>Q. It is the same thing. Do I have</li> <li>that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you very much.</li> <li>Is there anything else you can share</li> <li>with me about the facts that concern the</li> <li>affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?</li> <li>A. I think that is – that is – that</li> <li>is it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can we change now to</li> <li>Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile,</li> <li>which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS</li> </ol>	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking3in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.4Okay?5A. Okay.6Q. And you recall that one of the7topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'8answer; right?9Are you prepared to answer questions10about this document?11A. Yep, to the best I can.12Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?13A. Yes.14Q. And do you know whether HCMS	Page 363
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>A. – regarding that, yeah.</li> <li>Q. It is the same thing. Do I have</li> <li>that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Thank you very much.</li> <li>Is there anything else you can share</li> <li>with me about the facts that concern the</li> <li>affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?</li> <li>A. I think that is – that is – that</li> <li>is it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Can we change now to</li> <li>Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile,</li> <li>which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS</li> <li>to Highland's amended complaint.</li> </ol>	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking3in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.4Okay?5A. Okay.6Q. And you recall that one of the7topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'8answer; right?9Are you prepared to answer questions10about this document?11A. Yep, to the best I can.12Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?13A. Yes.14Q. And do you know whether HCMS15authorized this Stinson firm to file this	Page 363
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. – regarding that, yeah.         3       Q. It is the same thing. Do I have         4       that right?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. Okay. Thank you very much.         7       Is there anything else you can share         8       with me about the facts that concern the         9       affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?         10       A. I think that is – that is – that         11       is it.         12       Q. Okay. Can we change now to         13       Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile,         14       which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS         15       to Highland's amended complaint.         16       (Exhibit 16 marked.)	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking3in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.4Okay?5A. Okay.6Q. And you recall that one of the7topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'8answer; right?9Are you prepared to answer questions10about this document?11A. Yep, to the best I can.12Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?13A. Yes.14Q. And do you know whether HCMS15authorized this Stinson firm to file this16document on its behalf at the beginning of	Page 363
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A regarding that, yeah.         3       Q. It is the same thing. Do I have         4       that right?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. Okay. Thank you very much.         7       Is there anything else you can share         8       with me about the facts that concern the         9       affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?         10       A. I think that is – that is – that         11       is it.         12       Q. Okay. Can we change now to         13       Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile,         14       which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS         15       to Highland's amended complaint.         16       (Exhibit 16 marked.)         17       A. Which number is this?	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking3in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.4Okay?5A. Okay.6Q. And you recall that one of the7topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'8answer; right?9Are you prepared to answer questions10about this document?11A. Yep, to the best I can.12Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?13A. Yes.14Q. And do you know whether HCMS15authorized this Stinson firm to file this16document on its behalf at the beginning of172021?	Page 363
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. – regarding that, yeah.         3       Q. It is the same thing. Do I have         4       that right?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. Okay. Thank you very much.         7       Is there anything else you can share         8       with me about the facts that concern the         9       affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?         10       A. I think that is – that is – that         11       is it.         12       Q. Okay. Can we change now to         13       Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile,         14       which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS         15       to Highland's amended complaint.         16       (Exhibit 16 marked.)         17       A. Which number is this?         18       Q. It is number 16.	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking3in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.4Okay?5A. Okay.6Q. And you recall that one of the7topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'8answer; right?9Are you prepared to answer questions10about this document?11A. Yep, to the best I can.12Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?13A. Yes.14Q. And do you know whether HCMS15authorized this Stinson firm to file this16document on its behalf at the beginning of172021?18A. Yes.	Page 363
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A. – regarding that, yeah.         3       Q. It is the same thing. Do I have         4       that right?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. Okay. Thank you very much.         7       Is there anything else you can share         8       with me about the facts that concern the         9       affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?         10       A. I think that is – that is – that         11       is it.         12       Q. Okay. Can we change now to         13       Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile,         14       which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS         15       to Highland's amended complaint.         16       (Exhibit 16 marked.)         17       A. Which number is this?         18       Q. It is number 16.         19       A. 16 in the binder?	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking         3       in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.         4       Okay?         5       A. Okay.         6       Q. And you recall that one of the         7       topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'         8       answer; right?         9       Are you prepared to answer questions         10       about this document?         11       A. Yep, to the best I can.         12       Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?         13       A. Yes.         14       Q. And do you know whether HCMS         15       authorized this Stinson firm to file this         16       document on its behalf at the beginning of         17       2021?         18       A. Yes.         19       Q. Did you personally have any role in	Page 363
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A regarding that, yeah.         3       Q. It is the same thing. Do I have         4       that right?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. Okay. Thank you very much.         7       Is there anything else you can share         8       with me about the facts that concern the         9       affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?         10       A. I think that is – that is – that         11       is it.         12       Q. Okay. Can we change now to         13       Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile,         14       which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS         15       to Highland's amended complaint.         16       (Exhibit 16 marked.)         17       A. Which number is this?         18       Q. It is number 16.         19       A. 16 in the binder?         20       Q. It should be, yeah.	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking         3       in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.         4       Okay?         5       A. Okay.         6       Q. And you recall that one of the         7       topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'         8       answer; right?         9       Are you prepared to answer questions         10       about this document?         11       A. Yep, to the best I can.         12       Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?         13       A. Yes.         14       Q. And do you know whether HCMS         15       authorized this Stinson firm to file this         16       document on its behalf at the beginning of         17       2021?         18       A. Yes.         19       Q. Did you personally have any role in         20       reviewing and preparing this document?	Page 363
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A regarding that, yeah.         3       Q. It is the same thing. Do I have         4       that right?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. Okay. Thank you very much.         7       Is there anything else you can share         8       with me about the facts that concern the         9       affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?         10       A. I think that is – that is – that         11       is it.         12       Q. Okay. Can we change now to         13       Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile,         14       which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS         15       to Highland's amended complaint.         16       (Exhibit 16 marked.)         17       A. Which number is this?         18       Q. It is number 16.         19       A. 16 in the binder?         20       Q. It should be, yeah.         21       A. Yes. Okay. I got it.	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking         3       in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.         4       Okay?         5       A. Okay.         6       Q. And you recall that one of the         7       topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'         8       answer; right?         9       Are you prepared to answer questions         10       about this document?         11       A. Yep, to the best I can.         12       Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?         13       A. Yes.         14       Q. And do you know whether HCMS         15       authorized this Stinson firm to file this         16       document on its behalf at the beginning of         17       2021?         18       A. Yes.         19       Q. Did you personally have any role in         20       reviewing and preparing this document?         21       A. I mean, just generally that the	Page 363
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A regarding that, yeah.         3       Q. It is the same thing. Do I have         4       that right?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. Okay. Thank you very much.         7       Is there anything else you can share         8       with me about the facts that concern the         9       affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?         10       A. I think that is - that is - that         11       is it.         12       Q. Okay. Can we change now to         13       Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile,         14       which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS         15       to Highland's amended complaint.         16       (Exhibit 16 marked.)         17       A. Which number is this?         18       Q. It is number 16.         19       A. 16 in the binder?         20       Q. It should be, yeah.         21       A. Yes. Okay. I got it.         22       Q. Okay. And is the first page titled	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking         3       in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.         4       Okay?         5       A. Okay.         6       Q. And you recall that one of the         7       topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'         8       answer; right?         9       Are you prepared to answer questions         10       about this document?         11       A. Yep, to the best I can.         12       Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?         13       A. Yes.         14       Q. And do you know whether HCMS         15       authorized this Stinson firm to file this         16       document on its behalf at the beginning of         17       2021?         18       A. Yes.         19       Q. Did you personally have any role in         20       reviewing and preparing this document?         21       A. I mean, just generally that the         22       transition of former Judge Lynn passing and	Page 363
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A regarding that, yeah.         3       Q. It is the same thing. Do I have         4       that right?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. Okay. Thank you very much.         7       Is there anything else you can share         8       with me about the facts that concern the         9       affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?         10       A. I think that is – that is – that         11       is it.         12       Q. Okay. Can we change now to         13       Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile,         14       which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS         15       to Highland's amended complaint.         16       (Exhibit 16 marked.)         17       A. Which number is this?         18       Q. It is number 16.         19       A. 16 in the binder?         20       Q. It should be, yeah.         21       A. Yes. Okay. I got it.         22       Q. Okay. And is the first page titled         23       Defendant, Highland Capital Management	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking         3       in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.         4       Okay?         5       A. Okay.         6       Q. And you recall that one of the         7       topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'         8       answer; right?         9       Are you prepared to answer questions         10       about this document?         11       A. Yep, to the best I can.         12       Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?         13       A. Yes.         14       Q. And do you know whether HCMS         15       authorized this Stinson firm to file this         16       document on its behalf at the beginning of         17       2021?         18       A. Yes.         19       Q. Did you personally have any role in         20       reviewing and preparing this document?         21       A. I mean, just generally that the         22       transition of former Judge Lynn passing and         23       Bonds Ellis not being able to handle	Page 363
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       A regarding that, yeah.         3       Q. It is the same thing. Do I have         4       that right?         5       A. Yes.         6       Q. Okay. Thank you very much.         7       Is there anything else you can share         8       with me about the facts that concern the         9       affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?         10       A. I think that is - that is - that         11       is it.         12       Q. Okay. Can we change now to         13       Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile,         14       which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS         15       to Highland's amended complaint.         16       (Exhibit 16 marked.)         17       A. Which number is this?         18       Q. It is number 16.         19       A. 16 in the binder?         20       Q. It should be, yeah.         21       A. Yes. Okay. I got it.         22       Q. Okay. And is the first page titled	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking         3       in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.         4       Okay?         5       A. Okay.         6       Q. And you recall that one of the         7       topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'         8       answer; right?         9       Are you prepared to answer questions         10       about this document?         11       A. Yep, to the best I can.         12       Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?         13       A. Yes.         14       Q. And do you know whether HCMS         15       authorized this Stinson firm to file this         16       document on its behalf at the beginning of         17       2021?         18       A. Yes.         19       Q. Did you personally have any role in         20       reviewing and preparing this document?         21       A. I mean, just generally that the         22       transition of former Judge Lynn passing and	Page 363

Page 364	Page	365
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	305
2 and/or – I think it was – yeah, just	2 Q. Let's go to Paragraph 40 – 94,	
3 whatever. He moved to Stinson from – I think	3 please.	
4 maybe it started at Bonds Ellis and then maybe	4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: We may be	
5 it went to Wick Phillips and then it went to	5 imperfect creatures as lawyers.	
6 Stinson, but, you know, there was a migration	6 A. Yes.	
7 of these notes in general.	7 Q. Okay.	
8 Q. Was there a particular person who	8 A. Yes.	
9 was charged with the responsibility of	9 Q. Okay. I was just going to say, do	
10 approving and authorizing the filing of this	10 you see from Paragraphs 94 through 102 HCMS has	
11 document on behalf of HCMS?	11 set forth its affirmative defenses?	
12 A. Like I said, I think generally that	12 A. Yes.	
13 was myself.	13 Q. Okay. Let's – let's start with the	
14 Q. Okay. Are you aware of anything in	14 first one.	
15 this document today that is inaccurate in any	15 Do you see in Paragraph 94 HCMS	
16 way?	16 asserts that, quote: Plaintiff's claims are	
17 A. Not specifically.	17 barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of	
18 Q. Are you aware of anything generally	18 justification and/or repudiation?	
19 in this document that is inaccurate in any way?	19 A. Yes.	
20 A. Not at the moment.	20 Q. Are you aware of any facts that	
21 Q. Are you aware of anything in this	21 concern that particular defense?	
22 document that you believe should be modified or	22 A. I believe this they were material	
23 amended to make it more complete or more	23 prepayments of the loan. I believe that is	
24 accurate?	24 those are the – they were material and	
25 A. Not yet.	25 numerous prepayments of the loan, which I think	
Page 366	Page	367
Page 366 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page : 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	367
	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	367
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	367
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       was – that is incorporated into that defense.         3       Q.       Okay. We will talk about the – the	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> </ol>	367
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>was – that is incorporated into that defense.</li> <li>Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the</li> <li>details of that in a moment, but are there any</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> </ol>	367
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>was – that is incorporated into that defense.</li> <li>Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the</li> <li>details of that in a moment, but are there any</li> <li>other kind of broad statements that you can</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> </ol>	367
1DONDERO - 10/29/212was - that is incorporated into that defense.3Q. Okay. We will talk about the - the4details of that in a moment, but are there any5other kind of broad statements that you can6give me that identify facts related to this	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> </ol>	367
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>was – that is incorporated into that defense.</li> <li>Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the</li> <li>details of that in a moment, but are there any</li> <li>other kind of broad statements that you can</li> <li>give me that identify facts related to this</li> <li>particular affirmative defense?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> </ol>	367
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>was – that is incorporated into that defense.</li> <li>Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the</li> <li>details of that in a moment, but are there any</li> <li>other kind of broad statements that you can</li> <li>give me that identify facts related to this</li> <li>particular affirmative defense?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> </ol>	367
1DONDERO - 10/29/212was - that is incorporated into that defense.3Q. Okay. We will talk about the the4details of that in a moment, but are there any5other kind of broad statements that you can6give me that identify facts related to this7particular affirmative defense?8MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We have no records. I'm not sure we</li> </ol>	367
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       was – that is incorporated into that defense.         3       Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the         4       details of that in a moment, but are there any         5       other kind of broad statements that you can         6       give me that identify facts related to this         7       particular affirmative defense?         8       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         9       form.	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We have no records. I'm not sure we</li> <li>would have ever been in a position to – to do</li> <li>that. The – you know, we were relying on</li> </ol>	367
1DONDERO - 10/29/212was that is incorporated into that defense.3Q. Okay. We will talk about the the4details of that in a moment, but are there any5other kind of broad statements that you can6give me that identify facts related to this7particular affirmative defense?8MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the9form.10A. That is all I have at the moment.	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We have no records. I'm not sure we</li> <li>would have ever been in a position to – to do</li> <li>that. The – you know, we were relying on</li> <li>shared services from Highland, and Highland had</li> </ol>	367
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>was – that is incorporated into that defense.</li> <li>Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the</li> <li>details of that in a moment, but are there any</li> <li>other kind of broad statements that you can</li> <li>give me that identify facts related to this</li> <li>particular affirmative defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. That is all I have at the moment.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know whether any</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We have no records. I'm not sure we</li> <li>would have ever been in a position to - to do</li> <li>that. The - you know, we were relying on</li> <li>shared services from Highland, and Highland had</li> </ol>	367
1DONDERO - 10/29/212was - that is incorporated into that defense.3Q. Okay. We will talk about the - the4details of that in a moment, but are there any5other kind of broad statements that you can6give me that identify facts related to this7particular affirmative defense?8MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the9form.10A. That is all I have at the moment.11Q. Okay. Do you know whether any12document that HCMS ever filed with the	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We have no records. I'm not sure we</li> <li>would have ever been in a position to - to do</li> <li>that. The - you know, we were relying on</li> <li>shared services from Highland, and Highland had</li> <li>all the records regarding the amounts and</li> </ol>	367
1DONDERO - 10/29/212was - that is incorporated into that defense.3Q. Okay. We will talk about the - the4details of that in a moment, but are there any5other kind of broad statements that you can6give me that identify facts related to this7particular affirmative defense?8MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the9form.10A. That is all I have at the moment.11Q. Okay. Do you know whether any12document that HCMS ever filed with the13bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We have no records. I'm not sure we</li> <li>would have ever been in a position to – to do</li> <li>that. The – you know, we were relying on</li> <li>shared services from Highland, and Highland had</li> <li>all the records regarding the amounts and</li> <li>prepayments, et cetera.</li> </ol>	367
1DONDERO - 10/29/212was that is incorporated into that defense.3Q. Okay. We will talk about the the4details of that in a moment, but are there any5other kind of broad statements that you can6give me that identify facts related to this7particular affirmative defense?8MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the9form.10A. That is all I have at the moment.11Q. Okay. Do you know whether any12document that HCMS ever filed with the13bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a14defense, that they didn't have to pay because	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We have no records. I'm not sure we</li> <li>would have ever been in a position to - to do</li> <li>that. The - you know, we were relying on</li> <li>shared services from Highland, and Highland had</li> <li>all the records regarding the amounts and</li> <li>prepayments, et cetera.</li> <li>Q. When did you learn that HCMS had</li> </ol>	367
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       was – that is incorporated into that defense.         3       Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the         4       details of that in a moment, but are there any         5       other kind of broad statements that you can         6       give me that identify facts related to this         7       particular affirmative defense?         8       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         9       form.         10       A. That is all I have at the moment.         11       Q. Okay. Do you know whether any         12       document that HCMS ever filed with the         13       bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a         14       defense, that they didn't have to pay because         15       they had prepaid any obligations that were due	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We have no records. I'm not sure we</li> <li>would have ever been in a position to to do</li> <li>that. The you know, we were relying on</li> <li>shared services from Highland, and Highland had</li> <li>all the records regarding the amounts and</li> <li>prepayments, et cetera.</li> <li>Q. When did you learn that HCMS had</li> <li>made a prepayment to Highland?</li> </ol>	367
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       was – that is incorporated into that defense.         3       Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the         4       details of that in a moment, but are there any         5       other kind of broad statements that you can         6       give me that identify facts related to this         7       particular affirmative defense?         8       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         9       form.         10       A. That is all I have at the moment.         11       Q. Okay. Do you know whether any         12       document that HCMS ever filed with the         13       bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a         14       defense, that they didn't have to pay because         15       they had prepaid any obligations that were due         16       and owing?	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We have no records. I'm not sure we</li> <li>would have ever been in a position to - to do</li> <li>that. The - you know, we were relying on</li> <li>shared services from Highland, and Highland had</li> <li>all the records regarding the amounts and</li> <li>prepayments, et cetera.</li> <li>Q. When did you learn that HCMS had</li> <li>made a prepayment to Highland?</li> <li>A. I don't know, but I – I imagine –</li> </ol>	367
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       was – that is incorporated into that defense.         3       Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the         4       details of that in a moment, but are there any         5       other kind of broad statements that you can         6       give me that identify facts related to this         7       particular affirmative defense?         8       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         9       form.         10       A. That is all I have at the moment.         11       Q. Okay. Do you know whether any         12       document that HCMS ever filed with the         13       bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a         14       defense, that they didn't have to pay because         15       they had prepaid any obligations that were due         16       and owing?         17       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We have no records. I'm not sure we</li> <li>would have ever been in a position to to do</li> <li>that. The you know, we were relying on</li> <li>shared services from Highland, and Highland had</li> <li>all the records regarding the amounts and</li> <li>prepayments, et cetera.</li> <li>Q. When did you learn that HCMS had</li> <li>made a prepayment to Highland?</li> <li>A. I don't know, but I - I imagine</li> <li>I imagine it was if you are asking why it</li> <li>wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned</li> </ol>	367
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       was – that is incorporated into that defense.         3       Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the         4       details of that in a moment, but are there any         5       other kind of broad statements that you can         6       give me that identify facts related to this         7       particular affirmative defense?         8       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         9       form.         10       A. That is all I have at the moment.         11       Q. Okay. Do you know whether any         12       document that HCMS ever filed with the         13       bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a         14       defense, that they didn't have to pay because         15       they had prepaid any obligations that were due         16       and owing?         17       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         18       form.	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We have no records. I'm not sure we</li> <li>would have ever been in a position to - to do</li> <li>that. The - you know, we were relying on</li> <li>shared services from Highland, and Highland had</li> <li>all the records regarding the amounts and</li> <li>prepayments, et cetera.</li> <li>Q. When did you learn that HCMS had</li> <li>made a prepayment to Highland?</li> <li>A. I don't know, but I – I imagine –</li> <li>I imagine it was – if you are asking why it</li> <li>wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned</li> </ol>	367
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       was – that is incorporated into that defense.         3       Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the         4       details of that in a moment, but are there any         5       other kind of broad statements that you can         6       give me that identify facts related to this         7       particular affirmative defense?         8       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         9       form.         10       A. That is all I have at the moment.         11       Q. Okay. Do you know whether any         12       document that HCMS ever filed with the         13       bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a         14       defense, that they didn't have to pay because         15       they had prepaid any obligations that were due         16       and owing?         17       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         18       form.         19       A. I don't have awareness.         20       Q. And this document doesn't – doesn't	<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We have no records. I'm not sure we</li> <li>would have ever been in a position to – to do</li> <li>that. The – you know, we were relying on</li> <li>shared services from Highland, and Highland had</li> <li>all the records regarding the amounts and</li> <li>prepayments, et cetera.</li> <li>Q. When did you learn that HCMS had</li> <li>made a prepayment to Highland?</li> <li>A. I don't know, but I – I imagine –</li> <li>I imagine it was – if you are asking why it</li> <li>wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned</li> <li>later, it is because somewhere in that time</li> <li>period we became aware.</li> </ol>	367
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       was – that is incorporated into that defense.         3       Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the         4       details of that in a moment, but are there any         5       other kind of broad statements that you can         6       give me that identify facts related to this         7       particular affirmative defense?         8       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         9       form.         10       A. That is all I have at the moment.         11       Q. Okay. Do you know whether any         12       document that HCMS ever filed with the         13       bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a         14       defense, that they didn't have to pay because         15       they had prepaid any obligations that were due         16       and owing?         17       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         18       form.         19       A. I don't have awareness.         20       Q. And this document doesn't – doesn't         21       use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?	<ul> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We have no records. I'm not sure we</li> <li>would have ever been in a position to – to do</li> <li>that. The – you know, we were relying on</li> <li>shared services from Highland, and Highland had</li> <li>all the records regarding the amounts and</li> <li>prepayments, et cetera.</li> <li>Q. When did you learn that HCMS had</li> <li>made a prepayment to Highland?</li> <li>A. I don't know, but I – I imagine –</li> <li>I imagine it was – if you are asking why it</li> <li>wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned</li> <li>later, it is because somewhere in that time</li> <li>period we became aware.</li> <li>Q. So you didn't – you didn't have</li> </ul>	367
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       was – that is incorporated into that defense.         3       Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the         4       details of that in a moment, but are there any         5       other kind of broad statements that you can         6       give me that identify facts related to this         7       particular affirmative defense?         8       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         9       form.         10       A. That is all I have at the moment.         11       Q. Okay. Do you know whether any         12       document that HCMS ever filed with the         13       bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a         14       defense, that they didn't have to pay because         15       they had prepaid any obligations that were due         16       and owing?         17       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         18       form.         19       A. I don't have awareness.         20       Q. And this document doesn't – doesn't         21       use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       ever did before this week to put Highland on         3       notice that it contended that it didn't have to         4       pay its obligations under the notes because of         5       a prepayment defense?         6       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         7       form.         8       A. We have no records. I'm not sure we         9       would have ever been in a position to - to do         10       that. The - you know, we were relying on         11       shared services from Highland, and Highland had         12       all the records regarding the amounts and         13       prepayments, et cetera.         14       Q. When did you learn that HCMS had         15       made a prepayment to Highland?         16       A. I don't know, but I - I imagine -         17       limagine it was - if you are asking why it         18       wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned         19       later, it is because somewhere in that time         20       period we became aware.         21       Q. So you didn't - you didn't have         22       knowledge of the prepayment until the debtor	367
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       was – that is incorporated into that defense.         3       Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the         4       details of that in a moment, but are there any         5       other kind of broad statements that you can         6       give me that identify facts related to this         7       particular affirmative defense?         8       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         9       form.         10       A. That is all I have at the moment.         11       Q. Okay. Do you know whether any         12       document that HCMS ever filed with the         13       bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a         14       defense, that they didn't have to pay because         15       they had prepaid any obligations that were due         16       and owing?         17       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         18       form.         19       A. I don't have awareness.         20       Q. And this document doesn't – doesn't         21       use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?         22       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       ever did before this week to put Highland on         3       notice that it contended that it didn't have to         4       pay its obligations under the notes because of         5       a prepayment defense?         6       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         7       form.         8       A. We have no records. I'm not sure we         9       would have ever been in a position to - to do         10       that. The - you know, we were relying on         11       shared services from Highland, and Highland had         12       all the records regarding the amounts and         13       prepayments, et cetera.         14       Q. When did you learn that HCMS had         15       made a prepayment to Highland?         16       A. I don't know, but I - I imagine -         17       limagine it was - if you are asking why it         18       wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned         19       later, it is because somewhere in that time         20       period we became aware.         21       Q. So you didn't - you didn't have         22       knowledge of the prepayment until the debtor	367
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       was – that is incorporated into that defense.         3       Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the         4       details of that in a moment, but are there any         5       other kind of broad statements that you can         6       give me that identify facts related to this         7       particular affirmative defense?         8       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         9       form.         10       A. That is all I have at the moment.         11       Q. Okay. Do you know whether any         12       document that HCMS ever filed with the         13       bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a         14       defense, that they didn't have to pay because         15       they had prepaid any obligations that were due         16       and owing?         17       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         18       form.         19       A. I don't have awareness.         20       Q. And this document doesn't – doesn't         21       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         23       form.	<ul> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>ever did before this week to put Highland on</li> <li>notice that it contended that it didn't have to</li> <li>pay its obligations under the notes because of</li> <li>a prepayment defense?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. We have no records. I'm not sure we</li> <li>would have ever been in a position to – to do</li> <li>that. The – you know, we were relying on</li> <li>shared services from Highland, and Highland had</li> <li>all the records regarding the amounts and</li> <li>prepayments, et cetera.</li> <li>Q. When did you learn that HCMS had</li> <li>made a prepayment to Highland?</li> <li>A. I don't know, but I – I imagine –</li> <li>I imagine it was – if you are asking why it</li> <li>wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned</li> <li>later, it is because somewhere in that time</li> <li>period we became aware.</li> <li>Q. So you didn't – you didn't have</li> <li>knowledge of the prepayment until the debtor</li> <li>produced documents. Do I have that right?</li> </ul>	367

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-4 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 24 of 71

_	Page 368			Page 369
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 309
2	prepayment?	2	material prepayments, but I don't know exactly	
3	A. I don't know. I just know that we	3	when they were made.	
4	became aware of that being a material fact	4	Q. Do you know what year they were	
5	somewhere along the line.	5	made?	
6	Q. Do you remember when you learned	6	A. No, but no, but no, I don't.	
7	that material fact?	7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: If you want,	
8	A. No.	8	John, if you would like for him to give you	
9	Q. Do you have any facts that you can	9	dates, he could probably dig up the	
10	share with me concerning the prepayment?	10	spreadsheet and give you dates, but you	
11	A. Eventually there was a spreadsheet	11	have it also.	
12	that summarized it, but I don't – I don't	12	MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Okay. I	
13	know – I don't know when that occurred.	13	think we're doing just fine here.	
14	Q. Does – does this defense of	14	Q. Do you know if there were any	
15	prepayment apply to demand notes or a term	15	prepayments made by HCMS in 2018?	
16		16	A. I don't know the specifics off the	
17	A. I would – I would – I would say,	17	top of my head.	
18	you know, primarily a term note, but yeah, I	18	Q. Do you know if HCMS made any	
19	think primarily the term note because I think	19	prepayments in 2019?	
20	that was the one that was declared to be in	20	A. I don't know the specifics off the	
21	default of share, you know, whatever, so l	21	top of my head.	
22	think it was regarding the term note.	22	Q. Are you aware that under the term	
23	Q. Do you recall – do you have any	23	note, HCMS was required to pay annual	
24	knowledge as to when the prepayment was made?	24	installment payments at the end of each year?	
25	A. I believe there were numerous and	25	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
	Dece 970		·	Dago 271
1	Page 370 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 371
2	form.	2	to the extent that there was a screw-up, on the	
3	A. I wouldn't say it like that.	3	term loans.	
4	Q. We will look – we will look at the	4	Q. What screw-up are you referring to?	
5	documents in a few minutes.	5	A. Well, we didn't have accountants or	
6	Are you aware of any facts that	6	employees at Services, you know, and Services	
7	support the justification or repudiation	7	was relying on Highland and shared services to	
8	defense in Paragraph 94 other than what you	8	stay in compliance or to – on the various	
9	have testified to so far?	9	loans.	
10	A. I think it is largely the prepayment	10	Q. Did you ever personally instruct	
11	aspect of it that is captured there.	11	anybody in December of 2020 to make a payment	
12	Q. Okay. And – and – all right. I	12	on behalf of HCMS under the term note?	
13	will leave it at that.	13	A. To make – I'm sorry, is this –	
14	Let's go to Paragraph 95. Do you	14	what was the timeframe again?	
15	see the affirmative defense in 95 is that,	15	Q. December 2020 – let's just say	
16	quote, plaintiff's claims are barred in whole	16	anytime in 2020. Did you, in your capacity as	
17	or in part by the doctrine of estoppel.	17	the person in control of HCMS, ever direct or	
18	Do you see that?	18	authorize any person in the world to make a	
19	A. Yes.	19	payment from HCMS to Highland in satisfaction	
20	Q. Do you have any facts as the	20	of the obligation that was due under the term	
21	30(b)(6) witness of HCMS that concern that	21	note at the end of the year?	
22	particular affirmative defense?	22	A. Not that – not that I recall.	
23	A. You know, I think for both 95 and	23	Q. Okay. Do you know whether anybody	
24	96, the way I understand it is that was	24	acting on behalf of HCMS ever instructed or	
25		25	authorized Highland to make a payment on	
1				

	Page 372			Page 373
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 age 070
2	account of HCMS's term note to Highland?	2	Q. Okay. And you were aware that the	
3	A. Well, again, and maybe I didn't say	3	payment was due at the end of the year; isn't	
4	it clearly enough. I think there was a	4	that right?	
5	reliance in the due course aspect, especially	5	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
6	on small amounts, and it would have been done	6	form.	
7	by Highland personnel on behalf of Services.	7	A. Not not specifically. There	
8	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Move to strike.	8	is to be bona fide notes, there is I know	
9	Q. And I'm going to ask you,	9	there is – there is tax structuring and things	
10	Mr. Dondero, to be patient with me and to	10	that the auditors want to see in terms of - of	
11	listen carefully to my question.	11	regular payment that everything just doesn't	
12	Are you aware of anybody acting on	12	accrue indefinitely, but what those roles are	
13	behalf of HCMS, whoever instructed Highland to	13	and when and if it needs to be paid and whether	
14	make a payment in satisfaction of any payment	14	it was by the end of the year or not.	
15	that was due at the year-end of 2020 under the	15	I'm generally not specifically	
16	term note?	16	knowledgeable of or involved in, and nor do I	
17	A. Not specifically, but I'm saying I	17	have an awareness that was it or could it have	
18	don't think it needed to be made specifically.	18	been satisfied by other payments throughout the	
19	Q. Okay. So you are not aware of any	19	year. I'm not I'm not the person for that	
20	instruction that was ever given to Highland by	20	knowledge.	
21	HCMS to make the payment; is that fair? You	21	Q. Now, do you recall in December of	
22	relied on the course of dealing?	22	2020 there was some tension between you and	
23	A. Right. I relied on ordinary course.	23	Mr. Seery?	
24	l don't believe there was a specific – I'm not	24	A. Tension between me and Mr. Seery. I	
25	aware of a specific request.	25	would say there was tension between Mr. Seery	
<u> </u>	D 074			
	Page 374			Page 375
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 375
1 2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the	2	A. Yes, roughly.	Page 375
	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes.		<ul><li>A. Yes, roughly.</li><li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of</li></ul>	Page 375
2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	2	<ul><li>A. Yes, roughly.</li><li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of</li></ul>	Page 375
23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>A. Yes, roughly.</li><li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of</li><li>November, Highland had given notice of</li><li>termination of the shared services agreements</li></ul>	Page 375
2 3 4	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of</li> <li>November, Highland had given notice of</li> <li>termination of the shared services agreements</li> <li>with the advisors?</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of</li> <li>November, Highland had given notice of</li> <li>termination of the shared services agreements</li> <li>with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of</li> <li>November, Highland had given notice of</li> <li>termination of the shared services agreements</li> <li>with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple</li> <li>times or extended it multiple times. I can't</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of</li> <li>November, Highland had given notice of</li> <li>termination of the shared services agreements</li> <li>with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple</li> <li>times or extended it multiple times. I can't</li> <li>remember if that was – if it was done then or</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of</li> <li>November, Highland had given notice of</li> <li>termination of the shared services agreements</li> <li>with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple</li> <li>times or extended it multiple times. I can't</li> <li>remember if that was – if it was done then or</li> <li>not.</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of</li> <li>November, Highland had given notice of</li> <li>termination of the shared services agreements</li> <li>with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple</li> <li>times or extended it multiple times. I can't</li> <li>remember if that was – if it was done then or</li> <li>not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of</li> <li>November, Highland had given notice of</li> <li>termination of the shared services agreements</li> <li>with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple</li> <li>times or extended it multiple times. I can't</li> <li>remember if that was – if it was done then or</li> <li>not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that</li> <li>notwithstanding those facts and circumstances,</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of</li> <li>November, Highland had given notice of</li> <li>termination of the shared services agreements</li> <li>with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple</li> <li>times or extended it multiple times. I can't</li> <li>remember if that was – if it was done then or</li> <li>not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that</li> <li>notwithstanding those facts and circumstances,</li> <li>you relied on Highland to make the payment that</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of</li> <li>November, Highland had given notice of</li> <li>termination of the shared services agreements</li> <li>with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple</li> <li>times or extended it multiple times. I can't</li> <li>remember if that was – if it was done then or</li> <li>not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that</li> <li>notwithstanding those facts and circumstances,</li> <li>you relied on Highland to make the payment that</li> <li>HCMS owed at the end of the year?</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of</li> <li>November, Highland had given notice of</li> <li>termination of the shared services agreements</li> <li>with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple</li> <li>times or extended it multiple times. I can't</li> <li>remember if that was – if it was done then or</li> <li>not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that</li> <li>notwithstanding those facts and circumstances,</li> <li>you relied on Highland to make the payment that</li> <li>HCMS owed at the end of the year?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. We were still</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of</li> <li>November, Highland had given notice of</li> <li>termination of the shared services agreements</li> <li>with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple</li> <li>times or extended it multiple times. I can't</li> <li>remember if that was – if it was done then or</li> <li>not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that</li> <li>notwithstanding those facts and circumstances,</li> <li>you relied on Highland to make the payment that</li> <li>HCMS owed at the end of the year?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. We were still</li> <li>deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of</li> <li>November, Highland had given notice of</li> <li>termination of the shared services agreements</li> <li>with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple</li> <li>times or extended it multiple times. I can't</li> <li>remember if that was – if it was done then or</li> <li>not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that</li> <li>notwithstanding those facts and circumstances,</li> <li>you relied on Highland to make the payment that</li> <li>HCMS owed at the end of the year?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. We were still</li> <li>deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was</li> <li>working to resolve the estate, not to steal the</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate.</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you recall that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you recall that the advisors that you controlled filed a motion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts and</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you recall that the advisors that you controlled filed a motion against the debtor in mid December 2020?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts and circumstances that relate to the affirmative</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you recall that the advisors that you controlled filed a motion against the debtor in mid December 2020? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts and circumstances that relate to the affirmative defenses in Paragraphs 95 and 96?</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you recall that the advisors that you controlled filed a motion against the debtor in mid December 2020? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you recall that that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts and circumstances that relate to the affirmative defenses in Paragraphs 95 and 96?</li> <li>A. I mean, not at the moment, not that</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you recall that the advisors that you controlled filed a motion against the debtor in mid December 2020? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you recall that that motion was curved by the Court in the middle of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts and circumstances that relate to the affirmative defenses in Paragraphs 95 and 96?</li> <li>A. I mean, not at the moment, not that I want to volunteer. When you ask more</li> </ul>	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 3	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you recall that the advisors that you controlled filed a motion against the debtor in mid December 2020? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you recall that that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. Yes, roughly.</li> <li>Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors?</li> <li>A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year?</li> <li>A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts and circumstances that relate to the affirmative defenses in Paragraphs 95 and 96?</li> <li>A. I mean, not at the moment, not that</li> </ul>	Page 375

Page 376	Page 3	77
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 get to some of it.	2 the counterparties. So the annual obligations	
3 Q. Well, I'm asking you questions now.	3 are even more de minimis or a million bucks or	
4 You are the 30(b)(6) witness. This is one of	4 less than a million bucks.	
5 the topics that you were supposed to be	5 There was never an intent, nor would	
6 prepared to answer questions about, and I would	6 there be a logical intent to from my	
7 just like to know everything that you have in	7 perspective or any of the entities that had	
8 your head as to facts that relate to these two	8 notice to Highland to be in default. And it is	
9 affirmative defenses.	9 not logical that they would do that for any	
10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	10 purpose.	
11 form.	11 And the facts around the curing	
12 Q. Because if I don't ask the right	12 quickly of the notes and getting the curing	
13 question later, you know, we can't do that;	13 amounts from Highland and making the payments	
14 right?	14 and Highland accepting them as they're defining	
15 So do you have any other facts that	15 what it took to cure it, I think, are all, you	
16 you are aware of that relate to these two	16 know, the key facts that make any, you know,	
17 particular affirmative defenses?	17 acceleration argument, you know, ridiculous.	
18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, the fact	18 Q. Okay. Anything else?	
19 that it's a 30(b)(6) deposition doesn't	19 A. That's it at this point.	
20 absolve you of the necessity to ask	20 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's go to	
21 questions.	21 Exhibit 17, please.	
22 MR. MORRIS: I asked the question.	22 (Exhibit 17 marked.)	[
23 Q. Can I please have an answer?	23 Q. This is HCRE's answer. Do you see	
A. Again, the notes in general are de	24 that, sir?	
25 minimis relative to asset values of Highland or	25 A. Yes.	
Page 378	Page 3	379
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 Q. And I'm going to ask these questions	2 the time –	
3 in your capacity as the 30(b)(6) representative	3 Q. Okay.	
4 of HCRE. Do you understand that?	4 A. – we put this together.	
5 A. Yes.	5 Q. Is there any other aspect of this	
6 Q. Have you seen this document before?	6 document that you believe is inaccurate today?	
7 A. Yes.	7 A. Not as far as I know.	
8 Q. Are you aware of anything in this	8 Q. Is there anything in this document	
9 document that is inaccurate today?	9 that you believe should be modified or amended	
10 A. I mean, I think 96 we put in there	10 to make it more accurate or more complete?	
11 similar to the other affirmative defenses in	11 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
12 case there was a prepayment. But, again, we	12 form.	
13 have been so blocked from getting information	13 A. Not yet.	
14 and detail we didn't know it at the time	14 Q. Okay. Looking at Paragraph 96, I	
15 regarding, you know, prepayments.	15 believe you just testified that,	
16 So I don't think the prepayment	16 notwithstanding the assertion of the defense	ĺ
17 defense works for 96. So that would be my	17 therein, you are not aware of any facts	
18 clarification of an inaccuracy.	18 concerning the prepayment defense that you	
19 Q. Why do you believe that the	19 described earlier for HCMS.	
20 prepayment defense doesn't work in Paragraph 96	20 Do I have that right?	
21 for HCRE?	21 A. Yes.	
22 A. Because I don't think there were any	22 Q. Okay. Do you have any facts at all	
23 prepayments.	23 that relate to the affirmative defense in	
24 Q. All right. I appreciate that.	24 Paragraph 96?	
25 A. We didn't – we didn't know it at	25 A. I don't believe so at this moment.	ľ

Paga	ge 380 Page 381
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 Q. Okay. How about Paragraphs 97 and	2 the screen on if you want so that we can
3 98? Do you have any facts that relate to those	3 get back fast.
4 affirmative defenses?	4 MR. MORRIS: My pleasure, Deborah.
5 A. It would be the same answer as on	5 No problem.
6 the last one.	6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.
7 Q. Okay. I appreciate that. And so	7 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record,
8 but we don't have to go over it again. I will	8 12:40.
9 just leave it at that.	9 (Recess taken 12:40 p.m. to 12:51 p.m.)
10 Let's go to Exhibit 15, please.	10 Q. Before we go on to this document,
11 (Exhibit 15 marked.)	11 sir, did HCRE have a shared services agreement
12 MR. MORRIS: This is the next	12 with Highland?
13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Hey, John.	13 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the
14 John, can we take a – like a very quick	14 record.
15 restroom break?	15 MR. MORRIS: Oh, do I need to read
16 MR. MORRIS: You know, if we could	16 the question again?
17 just get through this document, which	17 COURT REPORTER: No, I've got it.
18 shouldn't take long, then perhaps we can	18 A. I – I don't believe it is a formal
19 take a short half-hour lunch break.	19 written one. I think it is just a verbal one.
20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, we can	20 Q. And who is the verbal agreement
21 take a short half-hour lunch break after we	21 between?
22 get through this, but I just need to run to	22 A. It was between Highland and HCRE.
23 the restroom.	23 Now it is between NexPoint and HCRE.
24 MR. MORRIS: Okay.	24 Q. And who entered into the agreement
25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: So you can leave	25 between Highland and HCRE?
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	pe 382 Page 383
2 A. I would give the same answer I gave	2 Q. Did the legal department also
3 before where it was just – it was just	3 provide services to HCRE?
4 understood that we supported all the related	4 A. It would depend on the specific
5 entities or entrepreneurial efforts and it was,	5 entity. In the case of HCRE I think they used
6 you know, modest amounts of work.	6 the – the two lawyers that worked at NexPoint.
7 There wasn't specific financial	7 I don't think they used the legal
8 remuneration, but – and NexPoint is a good	8 staff per se. I think they – the shared
9 example, too. There was a significant track	9 services that they relied on were accounting
10 record gulf that was able to be used to raise	10 and tax primarily.
11 other money.	11 Q. Did Mark Patrick do work for HCRE
12 Q. I'm just asking you who entered into	12 while he was employed by Highland?
13 the agreement between Highland and – and HCRE	13 A. Boy, I don't know. I imagine
14 for the provision of services by Highland?	14 probably tax-related stuff.
15 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	15 Q. Did HCRE ever pay Highland anything
16 answered.	16 for the services that it received?
17 A. Yeah, again, same answer as before.	17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you talking
18 I don't think anybody specifically, formally	18 about cash or –
19 did it.	19 MR. MORRIS: Please, please, please.
20 Q. Okay. Is it – are the terms of the	20 – I'm trying to be really patient,
21 agreement written down anywhere?	21 Deborah, but please no speaking objections.
22 A. No, like I said, it is just	22 Mr. Dondero is a very sophisticated man.
23 understood the accounting department and tax	23 We have done this many times
24 department would handle the accounting and tax	24 together. He will ask me if he doesn't
25 for all entities.	25 understand the question. And if you would
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1	Page 384			Page 385
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	0
2	like to object, by all means. I don't have	2	definitely you know, when I use the DAF	
3	a problem with that. I don't.	3	example, you know, we would have never got the	
4	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: But I asked –	4	Harvard vest as an investor if it wasn't for	
5	(speaking simultaneously.)	5	the track record that the DAF had in CLO	
6	Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero –	6	equity.	
7	Mr. Dondero, did HCRE ever pay anything to	7	I think there is business that	
8	Highland for services rendered?	8	NexPoint got in the real estate space	
9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	9	benefiting from the HCRE performance. So I do	
10	answered.	10	believe there was specific definable benefit	
11	A. Yeah, that is what I was going to	11	gained for the modest amount of cost of	
12	say. Same answer. You know, not – not a	12	services provided.	
13	formal cash remuneration, but, you know, a –	13	Q. And you	
14	which wouldn't have been much anyway. But –	14	A. There wasn't specific remuneration.	
15	but more in terms of track record and presence	15	Q. And you controlled all of these	
16	in the market that then Highland or NexPoint	16	entities; right?	
17	could use to further its business.	17	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
18	Q. Are you saying that that all of	18	form.	
19	the entities were working kind of as a unified	19	A. Well, the DAF is independent and	
20	unit and got synergistic benefits from the work	20	separate, but the the HCRE-type entity, yes.	
21	that it did?	21	Q. And did you decide that HCRE and	
22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	22	HCMS and the DAF wouldn't be required to pay	
23	form.	23	for services rendered to Highland?	
24	A. I don't want to over generalize and	24	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
25	say yes to that, but – but there were	25	form.	
1	Page 386			Page 387
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 387
1		1 2	get an answer to this question.	Page 387
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the		get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question?	Page 387
2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and	2	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure.	Page 387
23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the	2 3	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question?	Page 387
2 3 4	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal.	2 3 4	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure.	Page 387
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more	2 3 4 5	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf	Page 387
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is	2 3 4 5	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there	2 3 4 5 6 7	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return?	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there is also – I wasn't the one that decided that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection.	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no.	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>get an answer to this question.</li> <li>A. Can you repeat the question?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>Did you make the decision on behalf</li> <li>of Highland to provide services to the DAF</li> <li>without receiving a stream of income in return?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection.</li> <li>A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my</li> <li>rambling a few minutes ago, but the short</li> <li>answer is no.</li> <li>Q. Who made that decision? Who made</li> </ul>	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours?	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on behalf of Highland that Highland would provide	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize.	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on behalf of Highland that Highland would provide services to DAF without receiving a stream of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay.	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on behalf of Highland that Highland would provide services to DAF without receiving a stream of income in return?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. Q. Who made that decision, sir?	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on behalf of Highland that Highland would provide services to DAF without receiving a stream of income in retum? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. Q. Who made that decision, sir? A. I wasn't sure –	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on behalf of Highland that Highland would provide services to DAF without receiving a stream of income in retum? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I think we're really far outside of either any of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. Q. Who made that decision, sir? A. I wasn't sure – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Again – again, John, this is well beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6)s or even anything permissible for	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24	DONDERO - 10/29/21         A. My recollection on the services and         the HCRE is that the dollar value of the         services provided was – was small and nominal.         With regard to the DAF, it was more         complicated. There is rules – there is         charging rules in terms of fees and then there         is also – I wasn't the one that decided that.         And there are other issues there other than         just the value for services argument.         And so I don't – the short answer         is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that,         and I don't understand why sometimes there is         one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to         this day I don't know the answer to that.         Q. Did – did – did you decide on         behalf of Highland that Highland would provide         services to DAF without receiving a stream of         income in return?         MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I think         we're really far outside of either any of         the 30(b)(6)s or the permissible topics for         Mr. Dondero's personal deposition.         So could you move on?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. Q. Who made that decision, sir? A. I wasn't sure – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Again – again, John, this is well beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6)s or even anything permissible for Mr. Dondero's personal. And, in fact, you	Page 387
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. My recollection on the services and the HCRE is that the dollar value of the services provided was – was small and nominal. With regard to the DAF, it was more complicated. There is rules – there is charging rules in terms of fees and then there is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on behalf of Highland that Highland would provide services to DAF without receiving a stream of income in retum? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I think we're really far outside of either any of the 30(b)(6)s or the permissible topics for Mr. Dondero's personal deposition.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	get an answer to this question. A. Can you repeat the question? Q. Sure. Did you make the decision on behalf of Highland to provide services to the DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. Q. Who made that decision, sir? A. I wasn't sure – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Again – again, John, this is well beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6)s or even anything permissible for	Page 387

	Page 388			Page 389
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	. ago oco
2	question. So	2	document on the screen is NexPoint's answer to	
3	MR. MORRIS: That is - that is	3	Highland's amended complaint?	
4	because I thought that he would say as the	4	A. Yes.	
5	control person at the enterprise that he	5	Q. Did you review this document before?	
6	made the decision, but he said that he	6	A. Just generally.	
7	didn't.	7	Q. And did you authorize the filing of	
8	So I'm just asking one follow-up	8	this document on behalf of NexPoint?	
9	question. I just want to know – Deborah,	9	A. Yes, yes.	
10	please.	10	Q. Are you aware of anything in this	
11	Q. I just want to know who made the	11	document today that you believe to be	
12	decision on behalf of Highland to render	12	inaccurate?	
13	services to the DAF without receiving a stream	13	A. I think the on the affirmative	
14	of income in return.	14	defenses on the do you remember on the prior	
15	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	15	one we had the - I think it was called	
16	form of the question for all of the reasons	16	justification as the first one, but there	
17	I stated before.	17	wasn't a prepay in that one?	
18	A. And I don't know the answer.	18	Q. Correct.	
19	Q. Okay. So looking back at the	19	A. I think this one there were prepays,	
20	document on the screen, we're going to ask –	20	but the justification defense is missing from	
21	I'm going to ask these questions in your	21	the front here. And I think that is – I think	
22	capacity as NexPoint's 30(b)(6) representative,	22	if that were to continue I think that is	
23	okay?	23	partly due to different law firms and what was	
24	A. Sure.	24	known at the time, et cetera, but I would say	
25	Q. And do you understand that the	25	that is – that is the – that is the one thing	
	Page 390			Page 391
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	that jumps out at me between the two.	2	something.	
3	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to	3	MR. MORRIS: It is completely	
4	Paragraph 80, and let's see if we can see	4	improper. He doesn't need to be a lawyer.	
5	what Mr. Dondero is talking about.	5	He's a 30(b)(6) witness, and I'm asking	
6	Q. Okay. So I'm just going to focus on	6	such a simple question, what facts do you	
7	the first three paragraphs, 80, 81, and 82, and	7	have that support the affirmative defense.	
8	ask you whether – whether you are aware of any	8	A. Okay. Is it okay if I repeat some	
9	facts that concern the affirmative defenses set	9	of them from the prior one?	
10	forth in those paragraphs. And I think they're	10	Q. Sure. Whatever you are comfortable	
11	related, and that is why I'm asking you to do	11	with.	
12	it all together, but we can do it one at a	12	A. The to the extent that to the	
13	time, whatever you are comfortable with.	13	extent that the notes were prepaid prepaid	
1			significantly, it is a real question on whether	
14	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	14		
14 15	form. I mean, other than the facts in	15	or not there could have been a breach at the	
14 15 16	form. I mean, other than the facts in those paragraphs?	15 16	or not there could have been a breach at the end of the year, even if there wasn't a payment	
14 15 16 17	form. I mean, other than the facts in those paragraphs? MR. MORRIS: You are doing it again,	15 16 17	or not there could have been a breach at the end of the year, even if there wasn't a payment at the end of the year.	
14 15 16 17 18	form. I mean, other than the facts in those paragraphs? MR. MORRIS: You are doing it again, Deborah.	15 16 17 18	or not there could have been a breach at the end of the year, even if there wasn't a payment at the end of the year. There is no logical reason, nor	
14 15 16 17 18 19	form. I mean, other than the facts in those paragraphs? MR. MORRIS: You are doing it again, Deborah. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: It –	15 16 17 18 19	or not there could have been a breach at the end of the year, even if there wasn't a payment at the end of the year. There is no logical reason, nor would I have ever authorized or suggested no	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	form. I mean, other than the facts in those paragraphs? MR. MORRIS: You are doing it again, Deborah. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: It – MR. MORRIS: Please, please.	15 16 17 18 19 20	or not there could have been a breach at the end of the year, even if there wasn't a payment at the end of the year. There is no logical reason, nor would I have ever authorized or suggested no payment to put us on – in default due to a de	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	form. I mean, other than the facts in those paragraphs? MR. MORRIS: You are doing it again, Deborah. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: It – MR. MORRIS: Please, please. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, when you	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	or not there could have been a breach at the end of the year, even if there wasn't a payment at the end of the year. There is no logical reason, nor would I have ever authorized or suggested no payment to put us on – in default due to a de minimis amount of money, like a few hundred	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	form. I mean, other than the facts in those paragraphs? MR. MORRIS: You are doing it again, Deborah. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: It – MR. MORRIS: Please, please. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, when you ask questions – I understand Mr. Dondero	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	or not there could have been a breach at the end of the year, even if there wasn't a payment at the end of the year. There is no logical reason, nor would I have ever authorized or suggested no payment to put us on – in default due to a de minimis amount of money, like a few hundred thousand dollars, even if I was highly annoyed	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	form. I mean, other than the facts in those paragraphs? MR. MORRIS: You are doing it again, Deborah. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: It – MR. MORRIS: Please, please. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, when you ask questions – I understand Mr. Dondero is sophisticated, but he's also not a	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or not there could have been a breach at the end of the year, even if there wasn't a payment at the end of the year. There is no logical reason, nor would I have ever authorized or suggested no payment to put us on – in default due to a de minimis amount of money, like a few hundred thousand dollars, even if I was highly annoyed with Seery, even if we knew that Seery and	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	form. I mean, other than the facts in those paragraphs? MR. MORRIS: You are doing it again, Deborah. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: It – MR. MORRIS: Please, please. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, when you ask questions – I understand Mr. Dondero is sophisticated, but he's also not a lawyer, and when you ask questions that are	<ol> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ol>	or not there could have been a breach at the end of the year, even if there wasn't a payment at the end of the year. There is no logical reason, nor would I have ever authorized or suggested no payment to put us on – in default due to a de minimis amount of money, like a few hundred thousand dollars, even if I was highly annoyed with Seery, even if we knew that Seery and Highland had overcharged NexPoint by whatever	
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	form. I mean, other than the facts in those paragraphs? MR. MORRIS: You are doing it again, Deborah. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: It – MR. MORRIS: Please, please. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, when you ask questions – I understand Mr. Dondero is sophisticated, but he's also not a	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	or not there could have been a breach at the end of the year, even if there wasn't a payment at the end of the year. There is no logical reason, nor would I have ever authorized or suggested no payment to put us on – in default due to a de minimis amount of money, like a few hundred thousand dollars, even if I was highly annoyed with Seery, even if we knew that Seery and	

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-4 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 30 of 71

	Dect 20			D 000
1	Page 39 DONDERO - 10/29/21	2   1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 393
2	let a small amount cause a – cause a breach.	2	cetera, but the calculation that they came up	
3	You know, the – how would I – how	3	to get it in compliance in good standing was a	
4	would I add to that now. The overpayment on	4	million 4. And just like we relied on them to	
5	the \$14 million, holding back additional shared	5	pay it and keep us out of default, we relied on	
6	services amount, made an inordinate amount of	6	them to set the amount to cure.	
7	sense.	7	But I guess I would make the	
8	There was supposed to be at that	8	argument that it shouldn't have been, but	
9	time – there was another netting from Seery in	9	again, I didn't want to mince – I didn't want	
10	terms of wanting to be fair and reasonable, you	10	to on small dollars make an argument that could	
11	know, with employees and with the transition of	11	get us in bigger trouble – bigger trouble. So	
12	the estate, et cetera, and everything was going	12	it was easier to – to pay the million bucks	
13	to get trued up.	13	than it was to argue that it wasn't due.	
14	So I do believe there was an	14	Q. Did you at any time in your capacity	
15	expectation of a netting, et cetera, but	15	as the person in control of NexPoint instruct	
16	overall, Highland should have paid it. It	16	anybody at Highland to make the payment that	
17	shouldn't have let it breach the cause, but at	17	was due at the end of 2020?	
18	least when I found out about it and they knew I	18	A. Not specifically to pay it or not	
19	was annoyed. And I told them I didn't want it	19	specifically not to pay it. It was something,	
20	to be in default, they gave me the numbers and	20	again, small and de minimis that I expected to	
21	the amounts to cure it in their mind, and they	21	be done in due course.	
22	accepted it.	22	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	
23	Now, I think they should have gone	23	Q. It's a very simple question.	
24	back and incorporated prepays and said that no	24	Did you personally take any steps to	
25	amounts were due because of the prepays, et	25	ensure that NexPoint made the payment that was	
				D 205
1	Page 39 DONDERO - 10/29/21	'   1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 395
2	due at the end of 2020?	2	gave.	
3	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	3	Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word	
4	answered.	4	"Yankees," and every time I say the word	
5	A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same	5	"Yankees" today, everybody should know that	
6	answer.	6	that is the question that I'm going to bring to	
7	Q. Did you tell anybody to make the	7	the Court on a motion to compel, okay?	
8	payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of	8	It's a very simple question. It's a	
9	2020?	9	very simple question. I will ask one more	
10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	10	time, and if you don't want to answer, that is	
11	answered.	11	fine.	
12	A. I would like to give the same answer	12	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What –	
13	that you – you – you struck.	13	Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero, in	
14	Q. Can you just say yes or no, sir, did	14	December of 2020, did you give anybody any	
15	you tell anybody to make the payment at the end	15	instructions at Highland to make sure that	
16	of 2020 on behalf of NexPoint?	16	NexPoint made the payment that was due at the	
17	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	17	end of the year?	
18	answered.	18	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	
19	A. I don't want to give anything beyond	19	answered.	
20	the answer that I gave.	20	A. I think that means I'm supposed to	
21	Q. Okay.	21	stick with the answer that I gave.	
22	A. I get myself in trouble because I	22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You're on mute,	
1		23	John. John, you're on mute. John, you're	
23	paraphrase. I don i wani lo answer ves – i			
23 24	paraphrase. I don't want to answer yes – I don't think yes or no would be an appropriate			
	don't think yes or no would be an appropriate	24 25	on mute. John, we can't hear you. THE WITNESS: I do like it better	

Pa	ige 396		Page 397
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 when he yells at me on mute.	2	instructed Highland to make the payment that	
3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, we can't	3	was due on the NexPoint term note in December	
4 hear you.	4	of 2020?	
5 COURT REPORTER: We can't hear you,	5	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, that is	
6 John.	6	the fifth or sixth time.	
7 MR. MORRIS: You can't hear me?	7	MR. MORRIS: It is a completely	
8 COURT REPORTER: Now we can.	8	different question. Please.	
9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Now we can hear	9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Could you read	
10 you, but we couldn't hear you. It looks	10	) it back, if I was mistaken. So read it	
11 like you were yelling, but we couldn't hear	1	l back.	
12 you.	12	2 (Record read.)	
13 A. I do like it better when you yell at	1:	A. NexPoint did not have the accounting	
14 me on mute.	14	5	
15 Q. I try not to yell at you, and I hope	1	5 knowledge to have any person in the world at	
16 that you haven't perceived this – we do have a	10	8 NexPoint to give that instruction.	
17 videotape this time. So to the extent that	1	7 So the long answer – the short	
18 anybody perceives your comment as suggesting	18	3 answer is no, but the long answer is we had	
19 that I have yelled at you, I would invite them	19	been kept away from our books and records. I	
20 to look at the video.	20	) think we largely still don't have them, and	
21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, we said we	2	I there would I am not aware of anybody who	
22 couldn't hear you, but your animation	2	2 anybody in the world at NexPoint who made that	
23 looked like that.	23	3 request.	
24 Q. Sir, can you identify any person in	24	Q. Frank Waterhouse was the treasurer	
25 the world acting on behalf of NexPoint who	2	5 of NexPoint in December of 2020; is that	
Pa	ige 398		Page 399
Pa 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	ige 398	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 399
		A. Yes.	Page 399
1DONDERO - 10/29/212correct?3A.A.I think he was very much viewing his	1	A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> </ol>	1	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this</li></ul>	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> <li>employee of Highland. But yes, based on that</li> </ol>	1	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li></ul>	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> <li>employee of Highland. But yes, based on that</li> <li>incumbency certificate, but that is your –</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li><li>A. No.</li></ul>	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> <li>employee of Highland. But yes, based on that</li> <li>incumbency certificate, but that is your –</li> <li>your question to ask Frank if he was taking</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral</li> </ul>	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> <li>employee of Highland. But yes, based on that</li> <li>incumbency certificate, but that is your –</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of</li> </ul>	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> <li>employee of Highland. But yes, based on that</li> <li>incumbency certificate, but that is your –</li> <li>your question to ask Frank if he was taking</li> <li>that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on</li> <li>Highland.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> </ul>	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> <li>employee of Highland. But yes, based on that</li> <li>incumbency certificate, but that is your –</li> <li>your question to ask Frank if he was taking</li> <li>that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on</li> <li>Highland.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts that you</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> </ul>	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> <li>employee of Highland. But yes, based on that</li> <li>incumbency certificate, but that is your –</li> <li>your question to ask Frank if he was taking</li> <li>that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on</li> <li>Highland.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts that you</li> <li>are aware of that relate to the affirmative</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> </ul>	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> <li>employee of Highland. But yes, based on that</li> <li>incumbency certificate, but that is your –</li> <li>your question to ask Frank if he was taking</li> <li>that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on</li> <li>Highland.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts that you</li> <li>are aware of that relate to the affirmative</li> <li>defenses set forth in Paragraphs 81 through 82?</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 9 10 11 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> </ul>	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> <li>employee of Highland. But yes, based on that</li> <li>incumbency certificate, but that is your –</li> <li>your question to ask Frank if he was taking</li> <li>that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on</li> <li>Highland.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts that you</li> <li>are aware of that relate to the affirmative</li> <li>defenses set forth in Paragraphs 81 through 82?</li> <li>A. I think I – I think I've said them</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> </ul>	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> <li>employee of Highland. But yes, based on that</li> <li>incumbency certificate, but that is your –</li> <li>your question to ask Frank if he was taking</li> <li>that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on</li> <li>Highland.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts that you</li> <li>are aware of that relate to the affirmative</li> <li>defenses set forth in Paragraphs 81 through 82?</li> <li>A. I think I – I think I've said them</li> <li>all.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> </ul>	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> <li>employee of Highland. But yes, based on that</li> <li>incumbency certificate, but that is your –</li> <li>your question to ask Frank if he was taking</li> <li>that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on</li> <li>Highland.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts that you</li> <li>are aware of that relate to the affirmative</li> <li>defenses set forth in Paragraphs 81 through 82?</li> <li>A. I think I – I think I've said them</li> <li>all.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is 2:13</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>You entered into certain oral</li> </ul>	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> <li>employee of Highland. But yes, based on that</li> <li>incumbency certificate, but that is your –</li> <li>your question to ask Frank if he was taking</li> <li>that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on</li> <li>Highland.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts that you</li> <li>are aware of that relate to the affirmative</li> <li>defenses set forth in Paragraphs 81 through 82?</li> <li>A. I think I – I think I've said them</li> <li>all.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is 2:13</li> <li>Eastern time. Let's just take a short</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>You entered into certain oral</li> <li>agreements with your sister concerning certain</li> </ul>	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> <li>employee of Highland. But yes, based on that</li> <li>incumbency certificate, but that is your –</li> <li>your question to ask Frank if he was taking</li> <li>that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on</li> <li>Highland.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts that you</li> <li>are aware of that relate to the affirmative</li> <li>defenses set forth in Paragraphs 81 through 82?</li> <li>A. I think I – I think I've said them</li> <li>all.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is 2:13</li> <li>Eastern time. Let's just take a short</li> <li>half-hour lunch break, and let's return at</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>You entered into certain oral</li> <li>agreements with your sister concerning certain</li> <li>of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> </ul>	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> <li>employee of Highland. But yes, based on that</li> <li>incumbency certificate, but that is your –</li> <li>your question to ask Frank if he was taking</li> <li>that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on</li> <li>Highland.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts that you</li> <li>are aware of that relate to the affirmative</li> <li>defenses set forth in Paragraphs 81 through 82?</li> <li>A. I think I – I think I've said them</li> <li>all.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is 2:13</li> <li>Eastern time. Let's just take a short</li> <li>half-hour lunch break, and let's return at</li> <li>2:45, or 1:45 Central.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>You entered into certain oral</li> <li>agreements with your sister concerning certain</li> <li>of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> </ul>	Page 399
1DONDERO - 10/29/212correct?3A. I think he was very much viewing his4responsibilities as Highland related and as an5employee of Highland. But yes, based on that6incumbency certificate, but that is your –7your question to ask Frank if he was taking8that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on9Highland.10Q. Do you have any other facts that you11are aware of that relate to the affirmative12defenses set forth in Paragraphs 81 through 82?13A. I think I – I think I've said them14all.15MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is 2:1316Eastern time. Let's just take a short17half-hour lunch break, and let's return at182:45, or 1:45 Central.19VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 1:13.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning certain of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object –</li> </ul>	Page 399
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       correct?         3       A. I think he was very much viewing his         4       responsibilities as Highland related and as an         5       employee of Highland. But yes, based on that         6       incumbency certificate, but that is your –         7       your question to ask Frank if he was taking         8       that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on         9       Highland.         10       Q. Do you have any other facts that you         11       are aware of that relate to the affirmative         12       defenses set forth in Paragraphs 81 through 82?         13       A. I think I – I think I've said them         14       all.         15       MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is 2:13         16       Eastern time. Let's just take a short         17       half-hour lunch break, and let's return at         18       2:45, or 1:45 Central.         19       VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 1:13.         20       (Recess taken 1:13 p.m. to 1:48 p.m.)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 11 12 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>You entered into certain oral</li> <li>agreements with your sister concerning certain of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>You entered into certain oral</li> <li>agreements with your sister concerning certain</li> <li>of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object –</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	Page 399
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       correct?         3       A. I think he was very much viewing his         4       responsibilities as Highland related and as an         5       employee of Highland. But yes, based on that         6       incumbency certificate, but that is your –         7       your question to ask Frank if he was taking         8       that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on         9       Highland.         10       Q. Do you have any other facts that you         11       are aware of that relate to the affirmative         12       defenses set forth in Paragraphs 81 through 82?         13       A. I think I – I think I've said them         14       all.         15       MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is 2:13         16       Eastern time. Let's just take a short         17       half-hour lunch break, and let's return at         18       2:45, or 1:45 Central.         19       VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 1:13.         20       (Recess taken 1:13 p.m. to 1:48 p.m.)         21       VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning certain of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the Poul entered into certain oral</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object –</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> </ul>	Page 399
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. I think he was very much viewing his</li> <li>responsibilities as Highland related and as an</li> <li>employee of Highland. But yes, based on that</li> <li>incumbency certificate, but that is your –</li> <li>your question to ask Frank if he was taking</li> <li>that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on</li> <li>Highland.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any other facts that you</li> <li>are aware of that relate to the affirmative</li> <li>defenses set forth in Paragraphs 81 through 82?</li> <li>A. I think I – I think I've said them</li> <li>all.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is 2:13</li> <li>Eastern time. Let's just take a short</li> <li>half-hour lunch break, and let's return at</li> <li>2:45, or 1:45 Central.</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 1:13.</li> <li>(Recess taken 1:13 p.m. to 1:48 p.m.)</li> <li>VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,</li> <li>1:48.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 7 8 9 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning certain of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object – A. Yes.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> </ul>	Page 399
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       correct?         3       A. I think he was very much viewing his         4       responsibilities as Highland related and as an         5       employee of Highland. But yes, based on that         6       incumbency certificate, but that is your         7       your question to ask Frank if he was taking         8       that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on         9       Highland.         10       Q. Do you have any other facts that you         11       are aware of that relate to the affirmative         12       defenses set forth in Paragraphs 81 through 82?         13       A. I think I – I think I've said them         14       all.         15       MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is 2:13         16       Eastern time. Let's just take a short         17       half-hour lunch break, and let's return at         18       2:45, or 1:45 Central.         19       VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 1:13.         20       (Recess taken 1:13 p.m. to 1:48 p.m.)         21       VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,         22       1:48.         23       Q. Mr. Dondero, are you comfortable?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>You entered into certain oral</li> <li>agreements with your sister concerning certain of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object –</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form. And I'm going to object – object</li> <li>every time because it just – just so it is</li> </ul>	Page 399
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       correct?         3       A. I think he was very much viewing his         4       responsibilities as Highland related and as an         5       employee of Highland. But yes, based on that         6       incumbency certificate, but that is your –         7       your question to ask Frank if he was taking         8       that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on         9       Highland.         10       Q. Do you have any other facts that you         11       are aware of that relate to the affirmative         12       defenses set forth in Paragraphs 81 through 82?         13       A. I think I – I think I've said them         14       all.         15       MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is 2:13         16       Eastern time. Let's just take a short         17       half-hour lunch break, and let's return at         18       2:45, or 1:45 Central.         19       VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 1:13.         20       (Recess taken 1:13 p.m. to 1:48 p.m.)         21       VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,         22       1:48.         23       Q. Mr. Dondero, are you comfortable?         24       A. Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>You entered into certain oral</li> <li>agreements with your sister concerning certain of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>You entered into certain oral</li> <li>agreements with your sister concerning certain</li> <li>of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object –</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form. And I'm going to object – object</li> <li>every time because it just – just so it is</li> <li>on the record because you are saying "your</li> </ul>	Page 399
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       correct?         3       A. I think he was very much viewing his         4       responsibilities as Highland related and as an         5       employee of Highland. But yes, based on that         6       incumbency certificate, but that is your         7       your question to ask Frank if he was taking         8       that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on         9       Highland.         10       Q. Do you have any other facts that you         11       are aware of that relate to the affirmative         12       defenses set forth in Paragraphs 81 through 82?         13       A. I think I – I think I've said them         14       all.         15       MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is 2:13         16       Eastern time. Let's just take a short         17       half-hour lunch break, and let's return at         18       2:45, or 1:45 Central.         19       VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 1:13.         20       (Recess taken 1:13 p.m. to 1:48 p.m.)         21       VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,         22       1:48.         23       Q. Mr. Dondero, are you comfortable?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. You entered into certain oral agreements with your sister concerning some of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>You entered into certain oral</li> <li>agreements with your sister concerning certain of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. Can you rephrase or repeat, please?</li> <li>Q. Sure.</li> <li>You entered into certain oral</li> <li>agreements with your sister concerning certain</li> <li>of the notes at issue in these lawsuits.</li> <li>Do I have that right?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object –</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form. And I'm going to object – object</li> <li>every time because it just – just so it is</li> <li>on the record because you are saying "your</li> </ul>	Page 399

Page 400	)		Page 401
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 A. Okay.	2	A. Yes.	
3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: But I don't want	3	Q. Okay. Let's discuss the purpose of	
4 to disrupt the deposition, so I'm just	4 the	ose oral agreements.	
5 telling you why I'm doing it and he can	5	MR. MORRIS: Can we put back up on	
6 continue to answer thereafter. That is why	6	the screen Mr. Dondero's answer.	
7 I'm doing it.	7	Q. And while we're doing that,	
8 Q. Okay. Can we – can we agree,	8 Mr	. Dondero, can you confirm that your sister	
9 Mr. Dondero, when I refer to your sister in the		the only trustee of the Dugaboy Investment	
10 context of oral agreements that she was	10 Tr	ust?	
11 entering into those agreements with you as a	11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
12 representative of Dugaboy – as Dugaboy	12	form.	
13 trustee, as representative for a majority of	13	A. For what period of time are we	
14 the class A interest holders of Highland?	14 ta	lking about?	
15 A. Yeah. How about just to make it	15	Q. During the period of time at which	
16 simple let's just call it the Dugaboy trustee,	16 yo	ou entered into the oral agreements with the	
17 and everybody will know that it is my sister		ugaboy trustee.	
18 and everybody will know that it is the majority	18	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
19 of the class A unit holders.	19	form.	
20 Q. Okay. Okay. I appreciate that and	20	A. Yeah, I believe she has been the	
21 I will do just that.	21 tru	ustee since 2015 and remains so today. I	
22 You entered into certain oral	22 do	on't have an awareness of I don't have an	
23 agreements with the Dugaboy trustee concerning	23 av	vareness of another functional trustee.	
24 certain of the notes at issue in these	24	So some of these – sometimes	
25 lawsuits; is that right?	25 cc	omplex trusts have other layers that are	
Page 40			Page 403
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 called trustees but they're not trustees per	2	Q. Okay.	
3 se. But I think I'm over thinking it. But I'm	3	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to	
4 not aware of anybody I've interacted with,	4	Paragraph 82, please.	
5 other than her, as trustee with regard to the	5	Q. Is it your understanding that	
6 notes.		aragraph 82 describes, among other things, in	
7 Q. Okay. So up on the screen we	-	neral terms your oral agreements with –	
8 have – no, that is the wrong document.		tween you and the Dugaboy trustee?	
9 MR. MORRIS: We need Exhibit 31,	9	A. Yes.	
10 please.	10	Q. Is it your position that the oral	
11 Yeah, there you go. That one.		greements that you entered into with your	
12 Perfect. Okay.		ster – withdrawn.	
13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: 31 is not – oh,	13	Is it your contention that the oral	
14 is that the '03 answer?		greements you entered into with the Dugaboy	
15 MR. MORRIS: Correct, that is		ustee applied to each of the notes that were	
16 Mr. Dondero's answer.		kecuted by NexPoint and that are the subject	
17 Q. Do you see that, sir, on the screen?		Highland's lawsuit against NexPoint?	
18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Hang on. I'm	18	A. Yes.	
19 going to get it again.	19	Q. Is it your contention that the oral	
20 Okay. If you want a hard copy, I	-	greements that were entered into with the	
21 have one here but he's got it up.		ugaboy trustee apply to the notes executed by	
22 Q. Do you see on the screen,		CMS that are the subject of Highland's lawsuit	
23 Mr. Dondero, marked as Exhibit 31 is your	-	gainst HCMS?	
<ul><li>24 answer to Highland's amended complaint?</li><li>25 A. Yes.</li></ul>	24 25	<ul><li>A. Yes.</li><li>Q. Is it your contention that the oral</li></ul>	
	25	Q. Is it your contention that the oral	

Page	404		Page 405
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 agreements between you and the Dugaboy trustee	2	withdrawn.	
3 apply to the notes that were executed by HCRE	3	Is that the purpose of the agreement	
4 that are the subject of the lawsuit that	4	that you entered into with the Dugaboy trustee	
5 Highland has commenced against HCRE?	5	concerning the notes at issue in the lawsuits	
6 A. Yes.	6	that were commenced against you personally?	
7 Q. Okay. Do I understand correctly	7	Withdrawn. That was a bad question.	
8 that your oral agreements with your sister do	8	Does that purpose apply only to the	
9 not apply to the notes that were executed on	9	notes that you executed or does it apply to the	
10 behalf of HCMFA that are the subject of the	10	corporate notes as well?	
11 lawsuit that Highland commenced against HCMFA?	11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
12 A. Correct.	12	form.	
13 Q. Okay. I appreciate that.	13	Other than HCMFA?	
14 Do you see in this paragraph towards	14	MR. MORRIS: Correct. I think we've	
15 the middle it says, quote: The purpose of this	15	established the scope of the agreements.	
16 agreement was to provide compensation to	16	A. To give a complete answer, from my	
17 defendant, James Dondero, who was otherwise	17	perspective it is about 50 million of notes	
18 underpaid, compared to reasonable compensation	18	between – current balance between NexPoint,	
19 levels in the industry through the use of	19	Services, myself, and HCRE.	
20 forgivable loans, a practice that was standard	20	Q. And HCMS; right?	
21 at HCMLP in the industry.	21	A. Yes, Services, Highland Capital	
22 Have I read that correctly?	22	Management, yes.	
23 A. Yes.	23	Q. Okay. So I just want to know, that	
24 Q. Is that the purpose of the agreement	24	sentence there concerning the purpose was	
25 that you entered into with your sister	25	omitted from the answers of NexPoint, HCMS,	
Page			Page 407
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	406 1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 407
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 HCRE.	1 2	say that you don't know why that statement of	Page 407
1     DONDERO - 10/29/21       2     HCRE.       3     And I'm happy to walk you through to	1 2 3	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate	Page 407
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>HCRE.</li> <li>And I'm happy to walk you through to</li> <li>show you. And I just want to know in your</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers?	Page 407
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>HCRE.</li> <li>And I'm happy to walk you through to</li> <li>show you. And I just want to know in your</li> <li>capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted	Page 407
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>HCRE.</li> <li>And I'm happy to walk you through to</li> <li>show you. And I just want to know in your</li> <li>capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those</li> <li>entities, if you know why that statement of</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with	Page 407
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>HCRE.</li> <li>And I'm happy to walk you through to</li> <li>show you. And I just want to know in your</li> <li>capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those</li> <li>entities, if you know why that statement of</li> <li>purpose was omitted.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard.	Page 407
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>HCRE.</li> <li>And I'm happy to walk you through to</li> <li>show you. And I just want to know in your</li> <li>capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those</li> <li>entities, if you know why that statement of</li> <li>purpose was omitted.</li> <li>A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your it is your	Page 407
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>HCRE.</li> <li>And I'm happy to walk you through to</li> <li>show you. And I just want to know in your</li> <li>capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those</li> <li>entities, if you know why that statement of</li> <li>purpose was omitted.</li> <li>A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I</li> <li>think there is some cleanup. There has been</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people	Page 407
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>HCRE.</li> <li>And I'm happy to walk you through to</li> <li>show you. And I just want to know in your</li> <li>capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those</li> <li>entities, if you know why that statement of</li> <li>purpose was omitted.</li> <li>A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I</li> <li>think there is some cleanup. There has been</li> <li>multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the	Page 407
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>HCRE.</li> <li>And I'm happy to walk you through to</li> <li>show you. And I just want to know in your</li> <li>capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those</li> <li>entities, if you know why that statement of</li> <li>purpose was omitted.</li> <li>A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I</li> <li>think there is some cleanup. There has been</li> <li>multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know</li> <li>which loans were prepaid, which loans weren't.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent	Page 407
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>HCRE.</li> <li>And I'm happy to walk you through to</li> <li>show you. And I just want to know in your</li> <li>capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those</li> <li>entities, if you know why that statement of</li> <li>purpose was omitted.</li> <li>A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I</li> <li>think there is some cleanup. There has been</li> <li>multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know</li> <li>which loans were prepaid, which loans weren't.</li> <li>But, you know, I don't know why it was omitted</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate	Page 407
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>HCRE.</li> <li>And I'm happy to walk you through to</li> <li>show you. And I just want to know in your</li> <li>capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those</li> <li>entities, if you know why that statement of</li> <li>purpose was omitted.</li> <li>A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I</li> <li>think there is some cleanup. There has been</li> <li>multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know</li> <li>which loans were prepaid, which loans weren't.</li> <li>But, you know, I don't know why it was omitted</li> <li>but it applies to all of them.</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate entities as it is for you, as stated in this	Page 407
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>HCRE.</li> <li>And I'm happy to walk you through to</li> <li>show you. And I just want to know in your</li> <li>capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those</li> <li>entities, if you know why that statement of</li> <li>purpose was omitted.</li> <li>A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I</li> <li>think there is some cleanup. There has been</li> <li>multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know</li> <li>which loans were prepaid, which loans weren't.</li> <li>But, you know, I don't know why it was omitted</li> <li>but it applies to all of them.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think that is</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate entities as it is for you, as stated in this paragraph; is that right?	Page 407
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>HCRE.</li> <li>And I'm happy to walk you through to</li> <li>show you. And I just want to know in your</li> <li>capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those</li> <li>entities, if you know why that statement of</li> <li>purpose was omitted.</li> <li>A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I</li> <li>think there is some cleanup. There has been</li> <li>multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know</li> <li>which loans were prepaid, which loans weren't.</li> <li>But, you know, I don't know why it was omitted</li> <li>but it applies to all of them.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think that is</li> <li>the first time that I've noticed that. So,</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate entities as it is for you, as stated in this paragraph; is that right? A. Yes.	Page 407
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>HCRE.</li> <li>And I'm happy to walk you through to</li> <li>show you. And I just want to know in your</li> <li>capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those</li> <li>entities, if you know why that statement of</li> <li>purpose was omitted.</li> <li>A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I</li> <li>think there is some cleanup. There has been</li> <li>multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know</li> <li>which loans were prepaid, which loans weren't.</li> <li>But, you know, I don't know why it was omitted</li> <li>but it applies to all of them.</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think that is</li> <li>the first time that I've noticed that. So,</li> <li>John, I'm going to take a mea culpa. I</li> </ol>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate entities as it is for you, as stated in this paragraph; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. We talked a little bit about	Page 407
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       HCRE.         3       And I'm happy to walk you through to         4       show you. And I just want to know in your         5       capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those         6       entities, if you know why that statement of         7       purpose was omitted.         8       A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I         9       think there is some cleanup. There has been         10       multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know         11       which loans were prepaid, which loans weren't.         12       But, you know, I don't know why it was omitted         13       but it applies to all of them.         14       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think that is         15       the first time that I've noticed that. So,         16       John, I'm going to take a mea culpa. I         17       think that is a cut-and-paste error.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate entities as it is for you, as stated in this paragraph; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. We talked a little bit about the NexPoint term note.	Page 407
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       HCRE.         3       And I'm happy to walk you through to         4       show you. And I just want to know in your         5       capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those         6       entities, if you know why that statement of         7       purpose was omitted.         8       A.         8       A.         9       think there is some cleanup. There has been         10       multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know         11       which loans were prepaid, which loans weren't.         12       But, you know, I don't know why it was omitted         13       but it applies to all of them.         14       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think that is         15       the first time that I've noticed that. So,         16       John, I'm going to take a mea culpa. I         17       think that is a cut-and-paste error.         18       MR. MORRIS: All right. Well, I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate entities as it is for you, as stated in this paragraph; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. We talked a little bit about the NexPoint term note. Do you remember that?	Page 407
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       HCRE.         3       And I'm happy to walk you through to         4       show you. And I just want to know in your         5       capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those         6       entities, if you know why that statement of         7       purpose was omitted.         8       A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I         9       think there is some cleanup. There has been         10       multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know         11       which loans were prepaid, which loans weren't.         12       But, you know, I don't know why it was omitted         13       but it applies to all of them.         14       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think that is         15       the first time that I've noticed that. So,         16       John, I'm going to take a mea culpa. I         17       think that is a cut-and-paste error.         18       MR. MORRIS: All right. Well, I         19       will – I will just point out that the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate entities as it is for you, as stated in this paragraph; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. We talked a little bit about the NexPoint term note. Do you remember that? A. Yes.	Page 407
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       HCRE.         3       And I'm happy to walk you through to         4       show you. And I just want to know in your         5       capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those         6       entities, if you know why that statement of         7       purpose was omitted.         8       A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I         9       think there is some cleanup. There has been         10       multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know         11       which loans were prepaid, which loans weren't.         12       But, you know, I don't know why it was omitted         13       but it applies to all of them.         14       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think that is         15       the first time that I've noticed that. So,         16       John, I'm going to take a mea culpa. I         17       think that is a cut-and-paste error.         18       MR. MORRIS: All right. Well, I         19       will – I will just point out that the         20       affirmative defense concerning the oral	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate entities as it is for you, as stated in this paragraph; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. We talked a little bit about the NexPoint term note. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in its	Page 407
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       HCRE.         3       And I'm happy to walk you through to         4       show you. And I just want to know in your         5       capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those         6       entities, if you know why that statement of         7       purpose was omitted.         8       A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I         9       think there is some cleanup. There has been         10       multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know         11       which loans were prepaid, which loans weren't.         12       But, you know, I don't know why it was omitted         13       but it applies to all of them.         14       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think that is         15       the first time that I've noticed that. So,         16       John, I'm going to take a mea culpa. I         17       think that is a cut-and-paste error.         18       MR. MORRIS: All right. Well, I         19       will – I will just point out that the         20       affirmative defense concerning the oral         21       agreements is the exact same in all four	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate entities as it is for you, as stated in this paragraph; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. We talked a little bit about the NexPoint term note. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in its original form the NexPoint term note was for a	Page 407
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       HCRE.         3       And I'm happy to walk you through to         4       show you. And I just want to know in your         5       capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those         6       entities, if you know why that statement of         7       purpose was omitted.         8       A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I         9       think there is some cleanup. There has been         10       multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know         11       which loans were prepaid, which loans weren't.         12       But, you know, I don't know why it was omitted         13       but it applies to all of them.         14       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think that is         15       the first time that I've noticed that. So,         16       John, I'm going to take a mea culpa. I         17       think that is a cut-and-paste error.         18       MR. MORRIS: All right. Well, I         19       will – I will just point out that the         20       affirmative defense concerning the oral         21       agreements is the exact same in all four         22       answers, except for the omission of the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate entities as it is for you, as stated in this paragraph; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. We talked a little bit about the NexPoint term note. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in its original form the NexPoint term note was for a principal amount of approximately \$30 million?	Page 407
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       HCRE.         3       And I'm happy to walk you through to         4       show you. And I just want to know in your         5       capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those         6       entities, if you know why that statement of         7       purpose was omitted.         8       A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I         9       think there is some cleanup. There has been         10       multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know         11       which loans were prepaid, which loans weren't.         12       But, you know, I don't know why it was omitted         13       but it applies to all of them.         14       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think that is         15       the first time that I've noticed that. So,         16       John, I'm going to take a mea culpa. I         17       think that is a cut-and-paste error.         18       MR. MORRIS: All right. Well, I         19       will – I will just point out that the         20       affirmative defense concerning the oral         21       agreements is the exact same in all four         22       answers, except for the omission of the         23       statement of purpose for the three <td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23</td> <td>say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate entities as it is for you, as stated in this paragraph; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. We talked a little bit about the NexPoint term note. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in its original form the NexPoint term note was for a principal amount of approximately \$30 million? A. Yes.</td> <td>Page 407</td>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate entities as it is for you, as stated in this paragraph; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. We talked a little bit about the NexPoint term note. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in its original form the NexPoint term note was for a principal amount of approximately \$30 million? A. Yes.	Page 407
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       HCRE.         3       And I'm happy to walk you through to         4       show you. And I just want to know in your         5       capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those         6       entities, if you know why that statement of         7       purpose was omitted.         8       A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I         9       think there is some cleanup. There has been         10       multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know         11       which loans were prepaid, which loans weren't.         12       But, you know, I don't know why it was omitted         13       but it applies to all of them.         14       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think that is         15       the first time that I've noticed that. So,         16       John, I'm going to take a mea culpa. I         17       think that is a cut-and-paste error.         18       MR. MORRIS: All right. Well, I         19       will – I will just point out that the         20       affirmative defense concerning the oral         21       agreements is the exact same in all four         23       statement of purpose for the three         24       corporate entities.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate entities as it is for you, as stated in this paragraph; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. We talked a little bit about the NexPoint term note. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in its original form the NexPoint term note was for a principal amount of approximately \$30 million? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that the NexPoint	Page 407
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       HCRE.         3       And I'm happy to walk you through to         4       show you. And I just want to know in your         5       capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness for those         6       entities, if you know why that statement of         7       purpose was omitted.         8       A. Well, we talked about it earlier. I         9       think there is some cleanup. There has been         10       multiple lawyers involved. We didn't know         11       which loans were prepaid, which loans weren't.         12       But, you know, I don't know why it was omitted         13       but it applies to all of them.         14       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think that is         15       the first time that I've noticed that. So,         16       John, I'm going to take a mea culpa. I         17       think that is a cut-and-paste error.         18       MR. MORRIS: All right. Well, I         19       will – I will just point out that the         20       affirmative defense concerning the oral         21       agreements is the exact same in all four         22       answers, except for the omission of the         23       statement of purpose for the three <td>1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23</td> <td>say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate entities as it is for you, as stated in this paragraph; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. We talked a little bit about the NexPoint term note. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in its original form the NexPoint term note was for a principal amount of approximately \$30 million? A. Yes.</td> <td>Page 407</td>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	say that you don't know why that statement of purpose was omitted from the corporate entities' answers? A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted or why the complaints aren't consistent with that regard. Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your position as the purpose – as one of the people who entered into this oral agreement that the purpose for the – for the condition subsequent agreement is the same as for the corporate entities as it is for you, as stated in this paragraph; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. We talked a little bit about the NexPoint term note. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in its original form the NexPoint term note was for a principal amount of approximately \$30 million? A. Yes.	Page 407

	Dece 400			Dama 400
1	Page 408 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 409
2 prin	cipal and interest then due on certain	2	Q. Do you know if you read the note	
· ·	nissory notes that had previously been given	3	before you signed it?	
4 by N	NexPoint to Highland?	4	A. Not likely.	
	A. Yes.	5	Q. Do you recall whether there was	
6 (	Q. Okay.	6	anything about the note that you didn't	
7	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up, please,	7	understand before you signed it on behalf of	
8 6	Exhibit Number 2, which I believe is the	8	NexPoint?	
9 0	complaint against NexPoint.	9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
10	(Exhibit 2 marked.)	10	form.	
11	MR. MORRIS: And if we can go to	11	A. Yeah, I'm not – I doubt I read it,	
	Exhibit Number 1 of Deposition Exhibit	12	so I don't remember objecting to anything.	
1	Number 2.	13	Q. Okay. Looking at Paragraph 2.1, am	
14	Q. Okay. And do you see – I'm sorry,	14	I characterizing that section fairly when I say	
1	do you see that Exhibit Number 1 to the	15	that the borrower was required to make an	
	nplaint is a promissory note dated May 31st,	16	annual installment payment at the end of each	
1	17 in the approximate amount of	17	calendar year?	
1	).75 million?	18	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
· ·	A. Yes.	19	form.	
	Q. Okay. And is that your signature on	20	A. I see that paragraph, yes.	
	je 2?	21	Q. Okay. And did you understand when	
1	A. Looks like it.	22	you signed it that an annual installment	
	Q. Okay. And did you sign this note on	23	payment would be due at the end of each year by	
	alf of NexPoint on or around May 31st, 2017?	24	NexPoint?	
	A. Lassume so.	25	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
L	Page /10			Page /11
1	Page 410 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 411
		1	DONDERO - 10/29/21 correctly. I think it had something to do with	Page 411
2 f	DONDERO - 10/29/21			Page 411
2 f 3 /	DONDERO - 10/29/21 orm.	2	correctly. I think it had something to do with	Page 411
2 f 3 / 4 (	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of	23	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is	Page 411
2 f 3 / 4 ( 5 Nex	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't	2 3 4	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly.	Page 411
2 f 3 / 4 ( 5 Nex 6 reca	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of	2 3 4 5 6	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection	Page 411
2 f 3 / 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed	2 3 4 5 6	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the	Page 411
2 f 3 / 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year?	2 3 4 5 6 7	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017?	Page 411
2 f 3 // 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this 8 mał 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017? A. Again, I believe they're the	Page 411
2 f 3 / 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this 8 mai 9 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017?	Page 411
2 f 3 / 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this 8 mai 9 10 11	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017? A. Again, I believe they're – the – aggregating or solidifying them into one	Page 411
2 f 3 // 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this 8 mal 9 10 11 12 spe	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I didn't have knowledge of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017? A. Again, I believe they're – the – aggregating or solidifying them into one defined note I think was required by the	Page 411
2 f 3 // 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this 8 mal 9 10 11 12 spe 13 spe	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I didn't have knowledge of the ecifics, and again, I would describe those ecifics as de minimis.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017? A. Again, I believe they're the aggregating or solidifying them into one defined note I think was required by the auditors or the the accounting department as best practices. I don't think it wasn't a	Page 411
2 f 3 / 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this 8 mal 9 10 11 12 spe 13 spe 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I didn't have knowledge of the ecifics, and again, I would describe those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017? A. Again, I believe they're the aggregating or solidifying them into one defined note I think was required by the auditors or the the accounting department as	Page 411
2 f 3 // 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this 8 mal 9 10 11 . 12 spe 13 spe 14 15 idea	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I didn't have knowledge of the ecifics, and again, I would describe those ecifics as de minimis. Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017? A. Again, I believe they're – the – aggregating or solidifying them into one defined note I think was required by the auditors or the – the accounting department as best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance	Page 411
2 f 3 // 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this 8 mal 9 10 11 12 spe 13 spe 14 15 idea 16	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I didn't have knowledge of the ecifics, and again, I would describe those ecifics as de minimis. Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any a who drafted this note? A. It would have come from accounting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017? A. Again, I believe they're – the – aggregating or solidifying them into one defined note I think was required by the auditors or the – the accounting department as best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance reason. I believe it was just an accounting or	Page 411
2 f 3 / 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this 8 mal 9 10 11 12 spect 13 spect 14 15 idea 17 I this	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I didn't have knowledge of the secifics, and again, I would describe those secifics as de minimis. Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any a who drafted this note? A. It would have come from accounting. Ink they have boilerplate – I don't know	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017? A. Again, I believe they're – the – aggregating or solidifying them into one defined note I think was required by the auditors or the – the accounting department as best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance reason. I believe it was just an accounting or an audit reason.	Page 411
2 f 3 // 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this 8 mal 9 10 11 12 spe 13 spe 14 15 idea 16 1 17 I thi 18 if th	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I didn't have knowledge of the secifics as de minimis. Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any a who drafted this note? A. It would have come from accounting. It would have boilerplate – I don't know ey work with legal at all. I have no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017? A. Again, I believe they're – the – aggregating or solidifying them into one defined note I think was required by the auditors or the – the accounting department as best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance reason. I believe it was just an accounting or an audit reason. Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of	Page 411
2 f 3 // 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this 8 mal 9 10 11 12 spe 13 spe 14 15 idea 16 17 I thi 18 if th 19 idea	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I didn't have knowledge of the exifics, and again, I would describe those exifics as de minimis. Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any a who drafted this note? A. It would have come from accounting. nk they have boilerplate – I don't know ey work with legal at all. I have no a, but it would have come through	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017? A. Again, I believe they're – the – aggregating or solidifying them into one defined note I think was required by the auditors or the – the accounting department as best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance reason. I believe it was just an accounting or an audit reason. Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note were fair and reasonable?	Page 411
2 f 3 // 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this 8 mal 9 10 11 12 spec 13 spec 14 15 idea 17 I thi 18 if th 19 idea 20 acc	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I didn't have knowledge of the ecifics, and again, I would describe those ecifics as de minimis. Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any a who drafted this note? A. It would have come from accounting. nk they have boilerplate – I don't know ey work with legal at all. I have no a, but it would have come through counting.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017? A. Again, I believe they're – the – aggregating or solidifying them into one defined note I think was required by the auditors or the – the accounting department as best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance reason. I believe it was just an accounting or an audit reason. Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note	Page 411
2 f 3 // 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this 8 mal 9 10 11 12 spe 13 spe 14 15 idea 15 idea 16 17 I thi 18 if th 19 idea 20 acc 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I didn't have knowledge of the ecifics, and again, I would describe those ecifics as de minimis. Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any a who drafted this note? A. It would have come from accounting. nk they have boilerplate – I don't know ey work with legal at all. I have no a, but it would have come through younting. Q. Do you recall that all three of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017? A. Again, I believe they're – the – aggregating or solidifying them into one defined note I think was required by the auditors or the – the accounting department as best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance reason. I believe it was just an accounting or an audit reason. Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note were fair and reasonable? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	Page 411
2       f         3       J         4       0         5       Nex         6       reca         7       this         8       mal         9       10         11       12         12       spec         13       spec         14       15         15       idea         16	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I didn't have knowledge of the exifics, and again, I would describe those exifics as de minimis. Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any a who drafted this note? A. It would have come from accounting. nk they have boilerplate – I don't know ey work with legal at all. I have no a, but it would have come through counting. Q. Do you recall that all three of the n notes at issue were signed on the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017? A. Again, I believe they're – the – aggregating or solidifying them into one defined note I think was required by the auditors or the – the accounting department as best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance reason. I believe it was just an accounting or an audit reason. Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note were fair and reasonable? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yeah, I don't remember ever	Page 411
2 f 3 // 4 (0 5 Nex 6 reca 7 this 8 mal 9 10 11 12 spe 13 spe 14 15 idea 16 17 I thi 18 if th 19 idea 20 acc 21 22 terr 23 day	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I didn't have knowledge of the ecifics, and again, I would describe those ecifics as de minimis. Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any a who drafted this note? A. It would have come from accounting. nk they have boilerplate – I don't know ey work with legal at all. I have no a, but it would have come through counting. Q. Do you recall that all three of the n notes at issue were signed on the same <i>r</i> , May 31st, 2017?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017? A. Again, I believe they're – the – aggregating or solidifying them into one defined note I think was required by the auditors or the – the accounting department as best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance reason. I believe it was just an accounting or an audit reason. Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note were fair and reasonable? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yeah, I don't remember ever negotiating or reading it that closely. And	Page 411
2       f         3       J         4       0         5       Nex         6       reca         7       this         8       mal         9       10         11       12         12       spec         13       spec         14       15         15       idea         16       17         17       I thi         18       if th         19       idea         20       acco         21       22         22       terr         23       day         24       24	DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. I never read it that closely. Q. So as the control person of Point, is it fair to say then that you don't all having an understanding when you signed note that NexPoint would be required to ke annual payments at the end of each year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I didn't have knowledge of the exifics, and again, I would describe those exifics as de minimis. Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any a who drafted this note? A. It would have come from accounting. nk they have boilerplate – I don't know ey work with legal at all. I have no a, but it would have come through counting. Q. Do you recall that all three of the n notes at issue were signed on the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	correctly. I think it had something to do with either the audit or the financials or if we had a credit facility at the time. I think that is probably why, but I don't remember exactly. Q. Do you have any other recollection as to why all three notes were executed at the end of May 2017? A. Again, I believe they're – the – aggregating or solidifying them into one defined note I think was required by the auditors or the – the accounting department as best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance reason. I believe it was just an accounting or an audit reason. Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note were fair and reasonable? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yeah, I don't remember ever	Page 411

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-4 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 35 of 71

	Page	412		Page 413
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	balance sheet at Highland then or now and/or de	2	before?	
3	minimis relevant to NexPoint's value.	3	A. No.	
4	Q. It is a \$30 million note. Do I have	4	Q. Do you think a prudent executive	
5	that right?	5	signing a \$30 million note should take the time	
6	A. Yes.	6	to read the terms and conditions of the note?	
7	Q. Okay. And it was material enough to	7	A. Not necessarily.	
8	be included in Highland's financial statements;	8	Q. Under what circumstances do you	
9	is that correct?	9	think that an executive shouldn't take the time	
10	A. Anything material or not as part of	10	to read the terms and conditions of a	
11	doing proper audited financials needs to be	11	\$30 million promissory note?	
12	properly included.	12		
13	Q. Okay. And you know, because you	13		
14	signed the management representation letter,	14		
15	that this note was specifically disclosed to	15		
16	PwC and included in both Highland's and	16		
17	NexPoint's audited financial statements;	17		
18	correct?	18		
19	A. I would – I would have been shocked	19		
20	if it wasn't, if it is an asset and a liability	20		
I	-		5	
21	respectively of the companies.	21		
22	Q. Okay. Do you see the section on	22		
23	acceleration upon default, Paragraph 4?	23	<b>o i o</b>	
24	A. Yes.	24		
25	Q. Have you ever seen that section	25	the reasons you just gave.	
1	Page DONDERO - 10/29/21	414 1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 415
2	Do I have that right?	2	anything regarding the company from any of the	
3	A. Right.	3	other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering	
4	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the	4	the notes on behalf of both the related parties	
5	next page, please.	5	and Highland.	
6	Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a	6	So at the time – at the time I	
7	paragraph entitled Waiver.	7	would have - I would have never known that at	
8	A. Yes.	8	the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I	
9	Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker	9	would have remembered a clause in a soft note	
10	hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for	10	from three years earlier.	
11	payment, notice of non-payment, protest, notice	11	5	
12	of protest, notice of intent to accelerate,	12	you understand today that that provision is	
13	notice of acceleration, and all other notices	13	included in every note that you signed?	
14	of any kind hereunder.	14	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
15	Have I read that correctly?	15	form.	
16	A. Yes.	16	A. You're saying it, so I believe you.	
17	Q. Do you know that that paragraph is	17	I'm not asking you to go show me all the other	
18	included in every single note that you signed	18		
19	that is part of the litigation that we're here	19		
20	to talk about today?	20		
21	A. You have to – you have to define	21	other notes. I will take your word for it.	
22	when. You know, like today I know that it	22		
23	is – it is in those notes.	23		
24	At the end of '20, Seery and DSI	24		
25	were withholding all notes, all information,	25		
1	J,,	-		

Page 416	Pac	ge 417
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	,
2 form.	2 the negotiation to negotiations?	
3 A. That is correct. A lot of it is	3 A. No, one that is over time subject to	
4 boilerplate. And, again, treasury or	4 negotiation or modification.	
5 accounting would have put in what was necessary	5 Q. Okay.	
6 for regulatory, tax, audit purposes. Maybe the	6 A. Because there is – there is	
7 auditors put that in. I have no idea.	7 limited – there is limited, team collateral,	
8 But the content and the bullet	8 guarantee, bad boy features in – in a soft	
9 points here, the nine paragraphs on a soft note	9 note.	
10 would have been put in by other people and	10 Q. Okay. Perhaps my question wasn't	
11 administered by other people other than me.	11 clear.	
12 Q. What is a soft note?	12 Did the notes that you signed did	
13 A. You know, like a secured – I mean,	13 you negotiate them with anybody, the terms of	
14 a note that isn't a hard note, like a note that	14 each note?	
15 isn't secured, deed in lieu, UCC filed,	15 A. No.	
16 guaranteed, you know, performance and bad boy	16 Q. Okay. Did you personally decide on	
17 clauses and all of that other stuff.	17 the terms of each note?	
18 A soft note is an unsecured loan	18 A. No. Again, they were two highly	
19 that has basic terms to it, but it is likely	19 solvent, highly well-capitalized subsidiaries,	
20 subject to renegotiation over time.	20 and the amount of the notes was de minimis and	
21 Q. Were any of the notes that you	21 friendly, and they were soft notes administered	
22 signed subject to negotiation?	22 by a centralized treasury shared services	
23 A. Well, I'm saying by definition that	23 department.	
24 is what a soft note is.	24	
25 Q. One that – that is not subject to	25 They were the ones deciding what it	
Page 418 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Pag 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	ge 419
2 took to be compliant from an accounting	2 A. Yes.	
3 regulatory-wise standpoint, but wasn't they	3 Q. And is it your understanding that	
4 were trying to come up with a balance note,	4 this note substituted and superseded the	
5 which I think this is, such that it wouldn't	5 promissory notes that are listed on Exhibit A	
6 have to be negotiated or haggled by any of the	6 on the page there?	
7 parties.	7 A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those	
8 And there is no evidence of any of	8 off and reestablish an aggregate note.	
9 the notes ever being haggled or ever being	9 Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set	
10 negotiated.	10 forth the outstanding principal and interest	
11 Q. Okay. I appreciate that.	11 that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior	
12 At the time you signed each of the	12 notes as defined there as of May 31st, 2017;	
13 notes on behalf of the obligors, did the	13 right?	
14 obligors have an intention at the time you put	14 A. Yeah, that is what it looks like.	
15 your signature on the page of repaying the	15 Q. Okay. And – and so the initial	
16 notes in accordance with their terms?	16 principal amount of the prior notes was what is	
17 A. Yes. They're all – soft note	17 stated there, approximately \$27.675 million?	
18 doesn't mean it's not a bona fide note. They	18 A. Right.	
19 were all intended to be bona fide notes, and	19 Q. Okay. You wouldn't have signed this	
20 they all are bona fide notes that were intended	20 note on behalf of NexPoint if you didn't	
21 to be paid and for the – virtually most part,	21 believe at the time you signed it that NexPoint	
22 were always paid or prepaid and, you know, paid	22 owed Highland that amount of money; correct?	
23 in accordance.	23 A. Yeah, it is a bona fide note,	
24 Q. Do you see to the right there is a	24 consistent with my testimony.	
25 list of prior notes?	25 Q. Okay. Do you know why NexPoint	

1	Page 420			Page 421
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	5
2	borrowed the money from Highland at the times	2	Dugaboy trustee?	
3	and in the amounts listed on Exhibit A?	3	A. Me, myself.	
4	A. No.	4	Q. Okay. What was the purpose of	
5	Q. Did you authorize NexPoint to borrow	5	including this note in your agreement with the	
6	the money that is reflected in the prior note	6	Dugaboy trustee?	
7	set forth on Exhibit A?	7	Was it to provide you with a	
8	A. I don't know. Probably some of	8	compensation?	
9	them, yes.	9	A. Yeah. I mean, in fact, I think it	
10	Q. Okay. And you have no recollection	10	was articulated in that big paragraph	
11	at all as to why NexPoint borrowed over	11	reasonably well that my cash compensation, I	
12	\$27 million from Highland in the 12-month	12	believe through any lens, people would look at	
13	period from August 2014 to July 2015?	13	it as de minimis from the standpoint of	
14	A. Not without being refreshed.	14	Highland as asset manager.	
15	Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as	15	I don't think it was more than a	
16	to what NexPoint did with the proceeds from	16	couple million bucks in a year and it went	
17	these loans?	17	down, I think, in the '15 through '20 period.	
18	A. Not without being refreshed.	18	So I think it is common in private	
19	Q. Okay. And you contend that this	19	companies to loan money that is bona fide debt	
20	note is subject to – subject to one of your	20	and then forgive it at different times to	
21	oral agreements with the Dugaboy trustee;	21	manage compensation and incentives to managers	
22	correct?	22	of private companies.	
23	A. Yes.	23	This is a we're in we each	
24	Q. Who decided to include this	24	have experts talking about it, but I think this	
25	particular note in your agreement with the	25	is, you know, typical.	
<u> </u>				
I .	Page 422			Page 423
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 423
1 2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Can you identify any moment in the	1 2	the only one at the compensation level with the	Page 423
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president	1 2 3	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get	Page 423
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an	2	the only one at the compensation level with the	Page 423
23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing	23	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it	Page 423
2 3 4	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee	2 3 4	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the	Page 423
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in	2 3 4 5	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate	Page 423
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer?	2 3 4 5 6	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification.	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I	2 3 4 5 6 7	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner –- let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in – in fairly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation?	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in – in fairly significant amount – Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know.	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21         Q. Can you identify any moment in the         25 or 26 year history that you were president         of Highland where Highland forgave an         intercompany loan for the purpose of providing         compensation to you or any other employee         except for the agreements that are described in         Paragraph 82 of your answer?         A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I         don't know if we – it sounds like we may not         have sent it to you, but we have done it for a         dozen employees over the years in – in fairly         significant amount –         Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir,         because it's not responsive to my question. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right?	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21         Q. Can you identify any moment in the         25 or 26 year history that you were president         of Highland where Highland forgave an         intercompany loan for the purpose of providing         compensation to you or any other employee         except for the agreements that are described in         Paragraph 82 of your answer?         A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I         don't know if we – it sounds like we may not         have sent it to you, but we have done it for a         dozen employees over the years in – in fairly         significant amount –         Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir,         because it's not responsive to my question. I         apologize for that. I'm just focusing on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DONDERO - 10/29/21         Q. Can you identify any moment in the         25 or 26 year history that you were president         of Highland where Highland forgave an         intercompany loan for the purpose of providing         compensation to you or any other employee         except for the agreements that are described in         Paragraph 82 of your answer?         A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I         don't know if we – it sounds like we may not         have sent it to you, but we have done it for a         dozen employees over the years in – in fairly         significant amount –         Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir,         because it's not responsive to my question. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DONDERO - 10/29/21         Q. Can you identify any moment in the         25 or 26 year history that you were president         of Highland where Highland forgave an         intercompany loan for the purpose of providing         compensation to you or any other employee         except for the agreements that are described in         Paragraph 82 of your answer?         A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I         don't know if we – it sounds like we may not         have sent it to you, but we have done it for a         dozen employees over the years in – in fairly         significant amount –         Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir,         because it's not responsive to my question. I         apologize for that. I'm just focusing on         intercompany loans.         Can you identify any loan in the 25	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland – hold on one second. I applogize.	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DONDERO - 10/29/21         Q. Can you identify any moment in the         25 or 26 year history that you were president         of Highland where Highland forgave an         intercompany loan for the purpose of providing         compensation to you or any other employee         except for the agreements that are described in         Paragraph 82 of your answer?         A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I         don't know if we – it sounds like we may not         have sent it to you, but we have done it for a         dozen employees over the years in – in fairly         significant amount –         Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir,         because it's not responsive to my question. I         apologize for that. I'm just focusing on         intercompany loans.         Can you identify any loan in the 25         or 26 years that you were president, an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland – hold on one second. I apologize. Sorry about that. Somebody almost	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DONDERO - 10/29/21         Q. Can you identify any moment in the         25 or 26 year history that you were president         of Highland where Highland forgave an         intercompany loan for the purpose of providing         compensation to you or any other employee         except for the agreements that are described in         Paragraph 82 of your answer?         A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I         don't know if we – it sounds like we may not         have sent it to you, but we have done it for a         dozen employees over the years in – in fairly         significant amount –         Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir,         because it's not responsive to my question. I         apologize for that. I'm just focusing on         intercompany loans.         Can you identify any loan in the 25         or 26 years that you were president, an         intercompany loan where – where Highland was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland hold on one second. I apologize. Sorry about that. Somebody almost dropped an air conditioner out the window.	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Can you identify any moment in the</li> <li>25 or 26 year history that you were president</li> <li>of Highland where Highland forgave an</li> <li>intercompany loan for the purpose of providing</li> <li>compensation to you or any other employee</li> <li>except for the agreements that are described in</li> <li>Paragraph 82 of your answer?</li> <li>A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I</li> <li>don't know if we – it sounds like we may not</li> <li>have sent it to you, but we have done it for a</li> <li>dozen employees over the years in – in fairly</li> <li>significant amount –</li> <li>Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir,</li> <li>because it's not responsive to my question. I</li> <li>apologize for that. I'm just focusing on</li> <li>intercompany loans.</li> <li>Can you identify any loan in the 25</li> <li>or 26 years that you were president, an</li> <li>intercompany loan where – where Highland was</li> <li>the payee that was forgiven for purposes of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland – hold on one second. I apologize. Sorry about that. Somebody almost	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in – in fairly significant amount – Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir, because it's not responsive to my question. I apologize for that. I'm just focusing on intercompany loans. Can you identify any loan in the 25 or 26 years that you were president, an intercompany loan where – where Highland was the payee that was forgiven for purposes of giving you or any employee compensation, other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland – hold on one second. I apologize. Sorry about that. Somebody almost dropped an air conditioner out the window. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That would not be good.	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21         Q. Can you identify any moment in the         25 or 26 year history that you were president         of Highland where Highland forgave an         intercompany loan for the purpose of providing         compensation to you or any other employee         except for the agreements that are described in         Paragraph 82 of your answer?         A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I         don't know if we – it sounds like we may not         have sent it to you, but we have done it for a         dozen employees over the years in – in fairly         significant amount –         Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir,         because it's not responsive to my question. I         apologize for that. I'm just focusing on         intercompany loans.         Can you identify any loan in the 25         or 26 years that you were president, an         intercompany loan where – where Highland was         the payee that was forgiven for purposes of         giving you or any employee compensation, other         than – other than the agreements that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland – hold on one second. I apologize. Sorry about that. Somebody almost dropped an air conditioner out the window. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That would not be good. MR. MORRIS: No.	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DONDERO - 10/29/21         Q. Can you identify any moment in the         25 or 26 year history that you were president         of Highland where Highland forgave an         intercompany loan for the purpose of providing         compensation to you or any other employee         except for the agreements that are described in         Paragraph 82 of your answer?         A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I         don't know if we – it sounds like we may not         have sent it to you, but we have done it for a         dozen employees over the years in – in fairly         significant amount –         Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir,         because it's not responsive to my question. I         apologize for that. I'm just focusing on         intercompany loans.         Can you identify any loan in the 25         or 26 years that you were president, an         intercompany loan where – where Highland was         the payee that was forgiven for purposes of         giving you or any employee compensation, other         than – other than the agreements that you         struck with the Dugaboy trustee?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland – hold on one second. I apologize. Sorry about that. Somebody almost dropped an air conditioner out the window. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That would not be good. MR. MORRIS: No. Q. All right. Apologies.	Page 423
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3	DONDERO - 10/29/21         Q. Can you identify any moment in the         25 or 26 year history that you were president         of Highland where Highland forgave an         intercompany loan for the purpose of providing         compensation to you or any other employee         except for the agreements that are described in         Paragraph 82 of your answer?         A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I         don't know if we – it sounds like we may not         have sent it to you, but we have done it for a         dozen employees over the years in – in fairly         significant amount –         Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir,         because it's not responsive to my question. I         apologize for that. I'm just focusing on         intercompany loans.         Can you identify any loan in the 25         or 26 years that you were president, an         intercompany loan where – where Highland was         the payee that was forgiven for purposes of         giving you or any employee compensation, other         than – other than the agreements that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland – hold on one second. I apologize. Sorry about that. Somebody almost dropped an air conditioner out the window. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That would not be good. MR. MORRIS: No.	Page 423

	Pogo 424			Dago 425
1	Page 424 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 425
2	question read back?	2	executives at the bank and board members at the	
3	(Record read.)	3	bank in the seven-figure kind of numbers that	
4	Q. I'm going to start all over here.	4	were then subsequently forgiven.	
5	Mr. Dondero, do you contend that	5	It is - I know we amassed more than	
6 the	ere was a practice at Highland of forgiving	6	a dozen examples that were significant and	
7 loa	ins; is that correct?	7	material.	
8	A. Yes.	8	MR. MORRIS: Deborah, I apologize.	
9	Q. And do you recall that we talked	9	It is certainly possible I missed it, but I	
10 at	oout that issue back in May?	10	don't recall seeing any list or any	
11	A. Yes.	11	documents of any kind that Mr. Dondero has	
12	Q. Okay. And since since that time	12	described.	
13 ha	ave you made any effort to gather any	13	Have they been produced?	
14 in	ormation that would demonstrate that there	14	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think so. I	
15 w	as a prior practice at Highland of forgiving	15	will double-check, but I believe that	
16 lo	ans?	16	they're listed	
17	A. Yes.	17	MR. MORRIS: I know there is a list	
18	Q. And what efforts have you made?	18	of – I apologize. I know there is a list	
19	A. Like I said, we amassed a list, and	19	of names in one of the discovery responses.	
20 no	t insignificant list and not insignificant	20	But other than the list of names in the	
21 ar	nounts, proportionate to the people's	21	discovery response, I don't recall	
22 cc	mpensation where it was a practice.	22	receiving any documents at all.	
23	You know, for some people for	23	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No. And I think	
24 re	location, for some people for bonuses, for	24	we asked you for the documents because we	
25 ho	buse purposes, for senior executives, senior	25	don't have access to the documents on	
	Page 426			Page 427
1	Page 426 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 427
1 2		1	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. And would that include any	Page 427
	DONDERO - 10/29/21			Page 427
2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in	2	Q. And would that include any	Page 427
2 3	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether	23	<ul><li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li><li>A. No, because it is contingent in long</li></ul>	Page 427
2 3 4	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done.	2 3 4	Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?	Page 427
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li><li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li><li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven</li></ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li><li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li></ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 re	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management presentation letters in connection with	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li><li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li><li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven</li></ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 re	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management presentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be – would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> </ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 rej 10 Hi 11	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management presentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all</li> </ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 rej 10 Hi 11 12	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management presentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand that you have an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be – would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all loans that were deemed to be material to the</li> </ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 rej 10 H 11 12 13 ot	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management presentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand that you have an bigation when you sign the management	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be – would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all loans that were deemed to be material to the extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the</li> </ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 rej 10 Hi 11 12 13 ot 14 re	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management oresentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand that you have an bigation when you sign the management presentation to disclose to the auditor all	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be – would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all loans that were deemed to be material to the extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the auditors?</li> </ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 rej 10 Hi 11 12 13 ot 14 re 15 aq	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management presentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand that you have an bigation when you sign the management presentation to disclose to the auditor all greements with affiliated entities and people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be – would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all loans that were deemed to be material to the extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 rej 10 Hi 11 12 13 ot 14 re 15 aq 16 th	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management presentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand that you have an oligation when you sign the management presentation to disclose to the auditor all greements with affiliated entities and people at are deemed to be material?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be – would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all loans that were deemed to be material to the extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 10 Hi 11 12 13 0t 14 7 6 16 th 17	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management presentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand that you have an bigation when you sign the management presentation to disclose to the auditor all greements with affiliated entities and people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be – would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all loans that were deemed to be material to the extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would</li> </ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 rey 10 Hi 11 12 13 ot 14 re 15 aq 16 th 17 18	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management presentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand that you have an bligation when you sign the management presentation to disclose to the auditor all greements with affiliated entities and people at are deemed to be material? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be – would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all loans that were deemed to be material to the extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would put on it is we have such limited information</li> </ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 rey 10 Hi 11 12 13 ot 14 re 15 aq 16 th 17 18 19	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management presentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand that you have an bligation when you sign the management presentation to disclose to the auditor all greements with affiliated entities and people at are deemed to be material? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Generally, yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be – would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all loans that were deemed to be material to the extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would put on it is we have such limited information regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is</li> </ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 rej 10 Hi 11 12 13 ok 14 re 15 ag 16 th 17 18 19 20	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management oresentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand that you have an oligation when you sign the management presentation to disclose to the auditor all greements with affiliated entities and people at are deemed to be material? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Generally, yes. Q. Okay. And is it your understanding	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all loans that were deemed to be material to the extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would put on it is we have such limited information regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee</li> </ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 8 9 7 10 Hi 11 12 13 0 t 14 7 15 a 0 16 th 17 18 19 20 21 th	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management oresentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand that you have an oligation when you sign the management presentation to disclose to the auditor all greements with affiliated entities and people at are deemed to be material? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Generally, yes. Q. Okay. And is it your understanding at at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all loans that were deemed to be material to the extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would put on it is we have such limited information regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee or with the majority of class A holders.</li> </ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 rep 10 Hi 11 12 13 ot 14 re 15 aq 16 th 17 18 19 20 21 th 22 to	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management presentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand that you have an bligation when you sign the management presentation to disclose to the auditor all greements with affiliated entities and people at are deemed to be material? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Generally, yes. Q. Okay. And is it your understanding at at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed its auditors all agreements with affiliates	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be – would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all loans that were deemed to be material to the extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would put on it is we have such limited information regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee or with the majority of class A holders. They could have been sold in</li> </ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 rep 10 Hi 11 12 13 ot 14 re 15 aç 16 th 17 18 19 20 21 th 22 to 23 th	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management presentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand that you have an bligation when you sign the management presentation to disclose to the auditor all greements with affiliated entities and people at are deemed to be material? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Generally, yes. Q. Okay. And is it your understanding at at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed its auditors all agreements with affiliates at are material, as defined in the management	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be – would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all loans that were deemed to be material to the extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would put on it is we have such limited information regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee or with the majority of class A holders. They could have been sold in secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that</li> </ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 rep 10 H 11 12 13 ot 14 re 15 a( 16 th 17 18 19 20 21 th 22 to 23 th 24 24 24 25 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management presentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand that you have an bigation when you sign the management presentation to disclose to the auditor all greements with affiliated entities and people at are deemed to be material? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Generally, yes. Q. Okay. And is it your understanding at at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed its auditors all agreements with affiliates at are material, as defined in the management presentation letter?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be – would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all loans that were deemed to be material to the extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would put on it is we have such limited information regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee or with the majority of class A holders.</li> <li>They could have been sold in secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that the notes are all forgiven at this point, but</li> </ul>	Page 427
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 rep 10 Hi 11 12 13 ot 14 re 15 aç 16 th 17 18 19 20 21 th 22 to 23 th	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland's server. The only thing I can think of that we might owe you is there might be a few additional names to list in the interrogatory, and I will check whether that has been done. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management presentation letters in connection with ghland's audit each year; is that right? A. Yes. Q. Do you understand that you have an bligation when you sign the management presentation to disclose to the auditor all greements with affiliated entities and people at are deemed to be material? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Generally, yes. Q. Okay. And is it your understanding at at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed its auditors all agreements with affiliates at are material, as defined in the management	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. And would that include any agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to be material amounts?</li> <li>A. No, because it is contingent in long term and speculative.</li> <li>Q. But at some point if it is forgiven would that be – would that be an event that would be disclosed to the auditor?</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all loans that were deemed to be material to the extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the auditors?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would put on it is we have such limited information regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee or with the majority of class A holders. They could have been sold in secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that</li> </ul>	Page 427

Page 428			Page 429
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Tage 423
2 Q. So you can't rely on anything that	2 Q.	So then so then it so is it	
3 you don't know; is that fair?	3 fair to	say, sir, that when you are describing	
4 A. Yeah.	4 this pra	actice of forgiveness of loans, you are	
5 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	5 doing	so without having reviewed any of the	
6 form.	6 audite	d financial statements that Highland	
7 A. Yeah, we can't rely on things we	7 provid	ed to your attorneys going back to 2008?	
8 don't know and we can't rely on the debtor to	8	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
9 be honorable.	9 for	n.	
10 Q. Well, the debtor has produced to	10 A.	What I'm saying, I guess, is that we	
11 you, sir, every single audited financial	11 haver	't treated the loans as forgiven yet	
12 statement without redaction since 2008. Are	12 becau	se if the condition precedent has been	
13 you aware of that?	13 satisfi	ed, we're not aware of it yet.	
14 A. That is actually news to me because	14	Now, if there is something in those	
15 we were asking for them a couple of months ago.	15 financ	ial statements that will show that the	
16 That must be – that must be a new production.	16 condit	ion precedent is satisfied, then we have	
17 Q. No. Actually, it was produced to	17 a dec	sion to make about the – or figure out	
18 you way back in July. You are not aware of	18 what t	he mechanism is for forgiving the loans.	
19 that?	19 Q.	Are you saying that there are loans	
20 A. No, I'm looking –	20 out the	ere subject to forgiveness where the	
21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Hang on.	21 make	r is somebody other than you or an entity	
22 A. I'm looking at Deborah. She'll	22 that ye	pu control?	
23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I will get the	23 A.	No, I'm just – I'm talking about	
24 date.	24 the 50	million of loans that we've been talking	
25 A. Yeah. I would love to see them.	25 about		
Page 430			Page 431
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 Q. Okay. So – so I just want to go	2 for		
3 back and focus on your assertion that there was		Well, what I'm saying, just to be	
4 this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you		s I haven't looked at the presentation	
5 have agreed with me that any loan that was	-	ven loans in the historic financials	
6 forgiven in a material amount would be		se I was unaware that we had gotten	
7 contained within the Highland audited financial		financials, but I am testifying that	
8 statements; right?		d amassed at least a dozen, 15 material	
9 A. I believe they – material or not,		les of material loan forgiveness amounts	
10 they were all included in the Highland		erent executives.	
11 financials. Now, they might not have been		All right. Do you have any	
12 specifically footnoted, you know.		nentation to support your assertion of the	
13 Like in other words, if we gave	•	ce of forgiving loans at Highland?	
14 somebody half a million bucks to relocate and		Again, we have very, very little	
15 then forgave the loan, it might just be mixed		s to anything, and we didn't take anything	
16 with all other compensation in the line item.		s that we weren't supposed to take, so we	
17 It might not have been listed separately	17 don't l 18	nave any of that documentation. At NexBank, one of the sister	
	18	ALMAYKANK ONA OT THA SISTAN	
18 because it would have been small relative to			
19 the overall financial statement.	19 comp	anies that we still have full control over	
<ul><li>19 the overall financial statement.</li><li>20 Q. But you're just speculating right</li></ul>	19 comp 20 our re	anies that we still have full control over cords, we could show seven-figure-plus	
<ol> <li>the overall financial statement.</li> <li>Q. But you're just speculating right</li> <li>now because, in fact, you haven't read the</li> </ol>	19 comp 20 our re 21 loans	anies that we still have full control over cords, we could show seven-figure-plus to senior management and the entire board	
<ul> <li>the overall financial statement.</li> <li>Q. But you're just speculating right</li> <li>now because, in fact, you haven't read the</li> <li>audited financial statements for the purpose of</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>comp</li> <li>our re</li> <li>loans</li> <li>of dire</li> </ol>	anies that we still have full control over cords, we could show seven-figure-plus to senior management and the entire board ctors and forgiveness thereof as an	
<ul> <li>the overall financial statement.</li> <li>Q. But you're just speculating right</li> <li>now because, in fact, you haven't read the</li> <li>audited financial statements for the purpose of</li> <li>seeing whether or not there were loan – loans</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>comp</li> <li>our re</li> <li>loans</li> <li>of dire</li> <li>exam</li> </ol>	anies that we still have full control over cords, we could show seven-figure-plus to senior management and the entire board ctors and forgiveness thereof as an ole, but that – that is the only	
<ul> <li>the overall financial statement.</li> <li>Q. But you're just speculating right</li> <li>now because, in fact, you haven't read the</li> <li>audited financial statements for the purpose of</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>comp</li> <li>our re</li> <li>loans</li> <li>of dire</li> <li>exam</li> <li>docur</li> </ol>	anies that we still have full control over cords, we could show seven-figure-plus to senior management and the entire board ctors and forgiveness thereof as an	

	Page 432			Page 433
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	guys are keeping from us.	2	under, et cetera, et cetera.	
3	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike the	3	Q. It's certainly disclosed in the	
4	last comment, and I take offense to it,	4	financials when it was forgiven. Will you –	
5	sir. We're not withholding anything, okay.	5	will you concede that point?	
6	Q. Would the NexBank audited financial	6	A. Yes, sure.	
7	statements include a disclosure of the loans	7	Q. Okay. Let's move on.	
8	that you are describing?	8	Let's go to HCMS. Are you familiar	
9	A. Yes.	9	with the notes at issue in the lawsuit that was	
10	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that if	10	commenced by Highland against HCMS?	
11	Highland forgave loans, it would be disclosed	11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: S or	
12	in its audited financial statements?	12	A. S as in Services. Yes.	
13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object, asked	13	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please	
14	and answered.	14	put up Exhibit 3.	
15	A. Well, just to be clear, these loans	15	(Exhibit 3 marked.)	
16	like the one up on the sheet, those were	16	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the	
17	included in Highland's financials, those loans,	17	binder that you sent?	
18	just like the NexBank loans, when they were	18	MR. MORRIS: Yes, as Exhibit 3.	
19	made to senior executives were included. But	19	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay.	
20	there wasn't a – at NexBank there wasn't any	20	MR. MORRIS: And if we could go to	
21	kind of disclosure that said, these might be	21	the Exhibits 1 through 4, okay.	
22	forgiven, or these are the terms that they	22	Q. Sir, we've put up on the screen	
23	would be forgiven under, just like there was no	23	Exhibit 1 to Exhibit 3, which is the complaint	
24	disclosure in the Highland financials that	24	against HCMS. Do you see Exhibit 1 up on your	
25	these are the terms that it might be forgiven		screen?	
1	Page 434 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 435
2	A. Yeah. This is the \$150,000	2	Q. Did HCMS receive a loan from	
3	promissory note; is that what that is?	3	Highland in the amount of \$150,000 on March	
4	Q. Yes, sir.	4	28th, 2018?	
5	A. Okay. As long as I can see it on	5	A. Lassume so.	
6	the screen, I don't need to find it in hard	6	Q. Okay. You wouldn't have either	
7	copy, do l?	7	signed or allowed your signature to be affixed	
8	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.	8	to this document if you didn't understand that	
9	MR. MORRIS: Can you scroll to the	9	HCMS had received from Highland \$150,000;	
10	second page, PJ.	10	correct?	
11	Q. Is that your signature, sir?	11	A. This is one of the many things I	
12	A. Close.	12	would have signed on a given day.	
13	Q. Are you aware that your signature is	13	Q. Okay. And – and are you aware that	
14	affixed to a \$150,000 promissory note that was	14	this note was given to Highland's auditors?	
14	made by HCMS to Highland Capital Management?	14	A. It could. I'm not aware	
16	A. Like I said –	16	specifically, but it should be.	
17	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	17	Q. Okay. Do you have any recollection	
18	A. Like I said, it's close. I don't	18	as to why HCMS obtained this loan from	
1			-	
19	know if that is mine, but it's close.	19 20	Highland?	
20	Q. Do you have any reason to believe		A. Unless it says it on these two	
21	that either you or somebody you authorized	21	pages, I have no idea.	
22	didn't sign this particular promissory note?	22	Q. Okay. Do you have any recollection	
1 00	A. Not specifically.	23	as to what HCMS did with the proceeds of this	
23	MP MODPIC: Okay Consume and to the	04	loon?	
24	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the	24	loan?	
1	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the first page, please.	24 25	loan? A. No.	

Page 436		Page 437
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	r uge 407
2 Q. Okay. Let's just flip through the	2 A. Yes.	
3 Exhibits 2, 3, and 4, if we could.	3 Q. Do you recall that HCMS borrowed	
4 Looking at Exhibit 2, is that your	4 \$400,000 from Highland in or around May 2019?	
5 signature on Exhibit 2, sir?	5 A. Not specifically.	
6 A. Again, it is close.	6 Q. Do you have any reason to believe	
7 Q. Okay. And do you have any reason to	7 that it didn't?	
8 believe that that is either not your signature	8 A. I have no knowledge – I have no	
9 or that you did not authorize somebody to sign	9 knowledge of what it was used for and whether	
10 this on behalf of HCMS in June of 2018?	10 it did or didn't.	
11 A. No.	11 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's go to the	
12 Q. Okay.	12 next exhibit, please.	
13 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit 3,	13 Q. Do you see Frank Waterhouse signed	
14 please, and if we can go to the signature	14 here on behalf of the maker, HCMS Services?	
15 line.	15 A. Yes.	
16 Q. Do you see that that is Frank	16 Q. Okay. Are you aware that HCMS	
17 Waterhouse?	17 borrowed \$150,000 from Highland in June 2019?	
18 A. Yes.	18 A. No.	
19 MR. MORRIS: Okay. And can we go to	19 Q. Okay. Do you have –	
20 the page before that, the first page.	20 A. I'm not aware and –	
21 Q. Frank Waterhouse was the treasurer	21 Q. Do you have –	
22 of HCMS in May 2019; correct?	22 A. I didn't – I'm sorry, go ahead. I	
23 A. That is what it said right on that	23 was just saying, looking at Frank's signature,	
24 thing we saw earlier; right?	24 you know, we're switching from me signing to	
25 Q. Incumbency certificate.	25 Frank signing. And I guess we're saying Frank	
Page 438		Page 439
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 it He may have done it electronically or	Page 439
1DONDERO - 10/29/212is an authorized signatory, although if you	2 it. He may have done it electronically or	Page 439
1DONDERO - 10/29/212is an authorized signatory, although if you3look at Frank's, it looks like an automated	<ul><li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li><li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li></ul>	Page 439
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>is an authorized signatory, although if you</li> <li>look at Frank's, it looks like an automated</li> <li>signature versus, you know, an actual</li> </ol>	<ul><li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li><li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li><li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li></ul>	Page 439
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>is an authorized signatory, although if you</li> <li>look at Frank's, it looks like an automated</li> <li>signature versus, you know, an actual</li> <li>signature, but I assume you went over this with</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> </ul>	Page 439
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>is an authorized signatory, although if you</li> <li>look at Frank's, it looks like an automated</li> <li>signature versus, you know, an actual</li> <li>signature, but I assume you went over this with</li> <li>him, but I don't have specific knowledge of</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> </ul>	Page 439
1DONDERO - 10/29/212is an authorized signatory, although if you3look at Frank's, it looks like an automated4signature versus, you know, an actual5signature, but I assume you went over this with6him, but I don't have specific knowledge of7these at all.	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> </ul>	Page 439
1DONDERO - 10/29/212is an authorized signatory, although if you3look at Frank's, it looks like an automated4signature versus, you know, an actual5signature, but I assume you went over this with6him, but I don't have specific knowledge of7these at all.8Q.Q.And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> </ul>	Page 439
1DONDERO - 10/29/212is an authorized signatory, although if you3look at Frank's, it looks like an automated4signature versus, you know, an actual5signature, but I assume you went over this with6him, but I don't have specific knowledge of7these at all.8Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse9from time to time used an electronic signature?	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> </ul>	Page 439
1DONDERO - 10/29/212is an authorized signatory, although if you3look at Frank's, it looks like an automated4signature versus, you know, an actual5signature, but I assume you went over this with6him, but I don't have specific knowledge of7these at all.8Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse9from time to time used an electronic signature?10MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> </ul>	Page 439
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       is an authorized signatory, although if you         3       look at Frank's, it looks like an automated         4       signature versus, you know, an actual         5       signature, but I assume you went over this with         6       him, but I don't have specific knowledge of         7       these at all.         8       Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse         9       from time to time used an electronic signature?         10       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         11       form.	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> </ul>	Page 439
1DONDERO - 10/29/212is an authorized signatory, although if you3look at Frank's, it looks like an automated4signature versus, you know, an actual5signature, but I assume you went over this with6him, but I don't have specific knowledge of7these at all.8Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse9from time to time used an electronic signature?10MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the11form.12A. I believe he did.	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> <li>12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?</li> </ul>	Page 439
1DONDERO - 10/29/212is an authorized signatory, although if you3look at Frank's, it looks like an automated4signature versus, you know, an actual5signature, but I assume you went over this with6him, but I don't have specific knowledge of7these at all.8Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse9from time to time used an electronic signature?10MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the11form.12A. I believe he did.13Q. And you saw - you have seen his	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> <li>12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?</li> <li>13 Q. Sure.</li> </ul>	Page 439
1DONDERO - 10/29/212is an authorized signatory, although if you3look at Frank's, it looks like an automated4signature versus, you know, an actual5signature, but I assume you went over this with6him, but I don't have specific knowledge of7these at all.8Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse9from time to time used an electronic signature?10MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the11form.12A. I believe he did.13Q. And you saw – you have seen his14electronic signature on other documents; is	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> <li>12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?</li> <li>13 Q. Sure.</li> </ul>	Page 439
1DONDERO - 10/29/212is an authorized signatory, although if you3look at Frank's, it looks like an automated4signature versus, you know, an actual5signature, but I assume you went over this with6him, but I don't have specific knowledge of7these at all.8Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse9from time to time used an electronic signature?10MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the11form.12A. I believe he did.13Q. And you saw - you have seen his	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> <li>12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?</li> <li>13 Q. Sure.</li> <li>14 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next</li> </ul>	Page 439
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       is an authorized signatory, although if you         3       look at Frank's, it looks like an automated         4       signature versus, you know, an actual         5       signature, but I assume you went over this with         6       him, but I don't have specific knowledge of         7       these at all.         8       Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse         9       from time to time used an electronic signature?         10       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         11       form.         12       A. I believe he did.         13       Q. And you saw – you have seen his         14       electronic signature on other documents; is         15       that right?         16       A. Yes.	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> <li>12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?</li> <li>13 Q. Sure.</li> <li>14 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next</li> <li>15 exhibit in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 5.</li> <li>16 Q. You will see that there is a letter</li> </ul>	Page 439
1DONDERO - 10/29/212is an authorized signatory, although if you3look at Frank's, it looks like an automated4signature versus, you know, an actual5signature, but I assume you went over this with6him, but I don't have specific knowledge of7these at all.8Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse9from time to time used an electronic signature?10MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the11form.12A. I believe he did.13Q. And you saw – you have seen his14electronic signature on other documents; is15that right?16A. Yes.	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> <li>12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?</li> <li>13 Q. Sure.</li> <li>14 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next</li> <li>15 exhibit in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 5.</li> <li>16 Q. You will see that there is a letter</li> </ul>	Page 439
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       is an authorized signatory, although if you         3       look at Frank's, it looks like an automated         4       signature versus, you know, an actual         5       signature, but I assume you went over this with         6       him, but I don't have specific knowledge of         7       these at all.         8       Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse         9       from time to time used an electronic signature?         10       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         11       form.         12       A. I believe he did.         13       Q. And you saw – you have seen his         14       electronic signature on other documents; is         15       that right?         16       A. Yes.         17       Q. So it doesn't surprise you to see         18       his electronic signature on a note; correct? <td><ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> <li>12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?</li> <li>13 Q. Sure.</li> <li>14 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next</li> <li>15 exhibit in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 5.</li> <li>16 Q. You will see that there is a letter</li> <li>17 dated December 3rd, 2020, from Mr. Seery to</li> <li>18 HCMS?</li> </ul></td> <td>Page 439</td>	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> <li>12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?</li> <li>13 Q. Sure.</li> <li>14 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next</li> <li>15 exhibit in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 5.</li> <li>16 Q. You will see that there is a letter</li> <li>17 dated December 3rd, 2020, from Mr. Seery to</li> <li>18 HCMS?</li> </ul>	Page 439
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       is an authorized signatory, although if you         3       look at Frank's, it looks like an automated         4       signature versus, you know, an actual         5       signature, but I assume you went over this with         6       him, but I don't have specific knowledge of         7       these at all.         8       Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse         9       from time to time used an electronic signature?         10       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         11       form.         12       A. I believe he did.         13       Q. And you saw – you have seen his         14       electronic signature on other documents; is         15       that right?         16       A. Yes.         17       Q. So it doesn't surprise you to see         18       his electronic signature on a note; correct? <td><ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> <li>12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?</li> <li>13 Q. Sure.</li> <li>14 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next</li> <li>15 exhibit in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 5.</li> <li>16 Q. You will see that there is a letter</li> <li>17 dated December 3rd, 2020, from Mr. Seery to</li> <li>18 HCMS?</li> </ul></td> <td>Page 439</td>	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> <li>12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?</li> <li>13 Q. Sure.</li> <li>14 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next</li> <li>15 exhibit in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 5.</li> <li>16 Q. You will see that there is a letter</li> <li>17 dated December 3rd, 2020, from Mr. Seery to</li> <li>18 HCMS?</li> </ul>	Page 439
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>is an authorized signatory, although if you</li> <li>look at Frank's, it looks like an automated</li> <li>signature versus, you know, an actual</li> <li>signature, but I assume you went over this with</li> <li>him, but I don't have specific knowledge of</li> <li>these at all.</li> <li>Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse</li> <li>from time to time used an electronic signature?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. I believe he did.</li> <li>Q. And you saw – you have seen his</li> <li>electronic signature on other documents; is</li> <li>that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it doesn't surprise you to see</li> <li>his electronic signature on a note; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, okay. Yeah, I don't</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> <li>12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?</li> <li>13 Q. Sure.</li> <li>14 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next</li> <li>15 exhibit in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 5.</li> <li>16 Q. You will see that there is a letter</li> <li>17 dated December 3rd, 2020, from Mr. Seery to</li> <li>18 HCMS?</li> <li>19 A. Yep.</li> </ul>	Page 439
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>is an authorized signatory, although if you</li> <li>look at Frank's, it looks like an automated</li> <li>signature versus, you know, an actual</li> <li>signature, but I assume you went over this with</li> <li>him, but I don't have specific knowledge of</li> <li>these at all.</li> <li>Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse</li> <li>from time to time used an electronic signature?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. I believe he did.</li> <li>Q. And you saw – you have seen his</li> <li>electronic signature on other documents; is</li> <li>that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it doesn't surprise you to see</li> <li>his electronic signature on a note; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah. Yeah, okay. Yeah, I don't</li> <li>know. But whether or not he did it or somebody</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> <li>12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?</li> <li>13 Q. Sure.</li> <li>14 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next</li> <li>15 exhibit in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 5.</li> <li>16 Q. You will see that there is a letter</li> <li>17 dated December 3rd, 2020, from Mr. Seery to</li> <li>18 HCMS?</li> <li>19 A. Yep.</li> <li>20 Q. And do you see that it was sent to</li> </ul>	Page 439
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>is an authorized signatory, although if you</li> <li>look at Frank's, it looks like an automated</li> <li>signature versus, you know, an actual</li> <li>signature, but I assume you went over this with</li> <li>him, but I don't have specific knowledge of</li> <li>these at all.</li> <li>Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse</li> <li>from time to time used an electronic signature?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. I believe he did.</li> <li>Q. And you saw – you have seen his</li> <li>electronic signature on other documents; is</li> <li>that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it doesn't surprise you to see</li> <li>his electronic signature on a note; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah. Yeah, okay. Yeah, I don't</li> <li>know. But whether or not he did it or somebody</li> <li>else did it or – we're just getting a little</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> <li>12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?</li> <li>13 Q. Sure.</li> <li>14 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next</li> <li>15 exhibit in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 5.</li> <li>16 Q. You will see that there is a letter</li> <li>17 dated December 3rd, 2020, from Mr. Seery to</li> <li>18 HCMS?</li> <li>19 A. Yep.</li> <li>20 Q. And do you see that it was sent to</li> <li>21 the attention of Mr. Waterhouse?</li> </ul>	Page 439
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>is an authorized signatory, although if you</li> <li>look at Frank's, it looks like an automated</li> <li>signature versus, you know, an actual</li> <li>signature, but I assume you went over this with</li> <li>him, but I don't have specific knowledge of</li> <li>these at all.</li> <li>Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse</li> <li>from time to time used an electronic signature?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. I believe he did.</li> <li>Q. And you saw – you have seen his</li> <li>electronic signature on other documents; is</li> <li>that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it doesn't surprise you to see</li> <li>his electronic signature on a note; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, okay. Yeah, I don't</li> <li>know. But whether or not he did it or somebody</li> <li>else did it or – we're just getting a little</li> <li>far afoot from me signing it, right? That is</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> <li>12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?</li> <li>13 Q. Sure.</li> <li>14 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next</li> <li>15 exhibit in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 5.</li> <li>16 Q. You will see that there is a letter</li> <li>17 dated December 3rd, 2020, from Mr. Seery to</li> <li>18 HCMS?</li> <li>19 A. Yep.</li> <li>20 Q. And do you see that it was sent to</li> <li>21 the attention of Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>22 Do you see that, sir?</li> </ul>	Page 439
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>is an authorized signatory, although if you</li> <li>look at Frank's, it looks like an automated</li> <li>signature versus, you know, an actual</li> <li>signature, but I assume you went over this with</li> <li>him, but I don't have specific knowledge of</li> <li>these at all.</li> <li>Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse</li> <li>from time to time used an electronic signature?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. I believe he did.</li> <li>Q. And you saw – you have seen his</li> <li>electronic signature on other documents; is</li> <li>that right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So it doesn't surprise you to see</li> <li>his electronic signature on a note; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah. Yeah, okay. Yeah, I don't</li> <li>know. But whether or not he did it or somebody</li> <li>else did it or – we're just getting a little</li> <li>far afoot from me signing it; right? That is</li> <li>all.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>2 it. He may have done it electronically or</li> <li>3 somebody may have done it electronically for</li> <li>4 him. Those are just different answers than me</li> <li>5 signing it; right?</li> <li>6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.</li> <li>7 Are you aware that on December 3rd,</li> <li>8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for</li> <li>9 payment under these four notes that we have</li> <li>10 just looked at?</li> <li>11 A. I knew there was a demand on the</li> <li>12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?</li> <li>13 Q. Sure.</li> <li>14 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next</li> <li>15 exhibit in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 5.</li> <li>16 Q. You will see that there is a letter</li> <li>17 dated December 3rd, 2020, from Mr. Seery to</li> <li>18 HCMS?</li> <li>19 A. Yep.</li> <li>20 Q. And do you see that it was sent to</li> <li>21 the attention of Mr. Waterhouse?</li> <li>22 Do you see that, sir?</li> <li>23 A. Yes, yep.</li> </ul>	Page 439

Page 44	10		Page 441
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	i ugo ++i
2 your recollection; correct?	2	A. I don't know.	
3 A. He primarily was the CFO of	3	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
4 Highland. But, yes, I mean, I do see that.	4	form.	
5 Q. Okay. And did you learn on or	5	Q. Do you know whether HCMS ever	
6 around December 3rd that Highland had made	6	responded to this demand letter prior to the	
7 demand upon HCMS for payment of all outstanding	7	commencement of litigation?	
8 principal and interest due under the four	8	A. I don't know.	
9 demand notes that are listed on the page there?	9	Q. Prior to the commencement of	
10 A. Yes, yep.	10	litigation, did you discuss with anyone whether	
11 Q. So you knew that at the time; right?	11	HCMS should respond to Highland's demand	
12 A. Well, more importantly I knew they	12	letter?	
13 were all subject to the same forgiveness	13	A. Did I discuss with anyone? No, I	
14 provisions as the other note.	14	don't remember – I don't remember talking	
15 Q. Okay. So I move to strike.	15	about this with Frank at all where –	
16 You knew in December 3rd, 2020, that	16	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I'm just	
17 Highland made demand; correct?	17	going to stop you to make sure you don't	
18 A. Yes.	18	blurt out any privileged communications, if	
19 Q. Okay. And do you see that Highland	19	there are any.	
20 gave HCMS an eight-day grace period or until	20	We object to the disclosure. But	
21 December 11th, 2020, to make payment?	21	with that caveat, go ahead.	
22 A. Yes.	22	A. I'm sorry, repeat the question	
23 Q. Under the demand note do you have	23	again. Let me try and keep it simple here.	
24 any understanding that Highland was required to	24	Q. Sure. It may be my fault.	
25 give any grace period at all?	25	Mr. Dondero, you testified that you	
Page 44 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	12	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 443
2 were aware that Highland made a demand for	2	behalf of HCMS ever informed Highland of HCMS'	
3 payment on these four notes; correct?	3	defenses to the – to the demand letter prior	
4 A. Yes.	4	to the commencement of litigation?	
5 Q. Okay. Did you have any	5	A. Yeah, Frank would be the person to	
6 non-privileged communications at any time after	6	ask there. I don't know.	
7 Highland sent this letter about whether and how	7	Q. I'm just asking you. Prior to the	
8 HCMS should respond?	8	commencement of litigation, did you ever	
9 A. You know, let me just – let me	9	instruct anyone to inform Highland that the	
10 adjust the prior answer for a second.	10	HCMS notes were subject to oral agreements with	
11 I'm aware that this letter was sent.	11	the Dugaboy trustee?	
12 I'm not sure I knew contemporaneously or when I	12	A. I believe former Judge Lynn sent a	
13 knew the letter was sent. I can't I have no	13	letter in that regard. But other than that, I	
14 recollection of receiving it at the time.	14	don't remember talking to anybody – I don't	
15 And to answer your question, I can't	15	remember talking to the debtor about it per se.	
16 recollect talking to Frank or anybody else	16	Q. It is your recollection that	
17 about it at the time. I'm not sure I knew	17	Judge Lynn sent a letter to Highland before the	
18 about it at the time. But I have – I don't	18	commencement of litigation, putting Highland on	
19 have any recollection of discussing it with	19	notice that the HCMS notes were the subject of	
20 anybody at or around the time.	20	oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy	
21 Q. Did you ever instruct anybody at any	21	trust.	
22 time to respond to this letter, whenever it is	22	Do I have that right?	
23 you learned about it?	23	A. Yeah, that they were part of	
24 A. No.	24	forgiveness or compensation or something. He	
25 Q. Do you know if anyone acting on	25	sent a letter in that regard.	
		~	

Dere 444	Dana 445
Page 444 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 445 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 Q. And was this part of a settlement	2 MR. RUKAVINA: This is Davor.
3 discussion or was this in response to this	3 Couple things, John – and I apologize for
4 demand letter?	4 interjecting. I have not made an
5 A. I don't know.	5 appearance yet today. Deborah has been
6 Q. Have you produced that letter in	6 objecting for everyone.
7 discovery?	7 Thomas Berghman will take over
8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm aware that	8 around 3:00 o'clock. Is that okay with
9 you have the letter. I don't know if it	9 you, John?
10 was attached to something, but I know you	10 He is probably just going to sit
11 have it.	11 here and not object.
12 MR. MORRIS: Because you produced it	12 MR. MORRIS: I will miss you and I
13 in discovery or because Mr. Dondero is	13 hope you have safe travels.
14 testifying that his recollection was that	14 MR. RUKAVINA: Okay. Thank you very
15 Mr. Dondero sent this letter to the debtor?	15 much.
16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The – the	16 And, second, I think that the letter
17 letter has either been produced or was	17 that is being referred to is the email
18 attached to something or was used in a	18 letter, so I have produced it to you.
19 deposition, but I am aware that you have	19 With that, thank you everyone.
20 it. If you need it to be Bates stamped, we	20 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Take care.
21 could do that.	21 Q. Did anyone – did you ever instruct
22 MR. MORRIS: I definitely need it to	22 anyone in December 2020 to make the payments
23 be Bates stamped, I do, because I'm not	23 that Highland demanded under the HCMS notes?
aware of this particular letter. But I	24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The demand notes
25 appreciate that.	25 that are listed here on the Exhibit 5?
Page 446	Page 447 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 MR. MORRIS: Yes.	2 favor of Highland on May 31st, 2017?
3 A. Yes, not that I recall.	3 A. Yes.
4 Q. Did you ever instruct anyone in	4 Q. And is it fair to say you didn't
5 December 2020 not to make the payments that	5 read this note before you signed it?
6 Highland demanded that are listed in this	6 A. Correct. No reason to, really.
7 exhibit?	7 Q. Okay. So it is fair to say that
8 A. No.	8 there is not a provision of this note that you
9 Q. Do you know why HCMS did not make	9 didn't understand before you signed it;
10 the payments that Highland demanded under the	10 correct?
11 notes?	11 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
12 A. Again, beyond compensation	12 form.
13 forgiveness argument, no.	13 A. That I didn't review it, so
14 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's go to the	14 therefore I didn't have a opinion one way or
15 next exhibit, 6.	15 the other.
16 (Exhibit 6 marked.)	
17 Q. And this is another one of the term	17 superseded for the promissory notes that are
<ul><li>17 Q. And this is another one of the term</li><li>18 notes; right?</li></ul>	<ul><li>17 superseded for the promissory notes that are</li><li>18 set forth on Exhibit A to this document;</li></ul>
<ul><li>17 Q. And this is another one of the term</li><li>18 notes; right?</li><li>19 A. Yes.</li></ul>	<ul><li>17 superseded for the promissory notes that are</li><li>18 set forth on Exhibit A to this document;</li><li>19 correct?</li></ul>
<ol> <li>Q. And this is another one of the term</li> <li>notes; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: And can we just go to</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>17 superseded for the promissory notes that are</li> <li>18 set forth on Exhibit A to this document;</li> <li>19 correct?</li> <li>20 A. Yes.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Q. And this is another one of the term</li> <li>notes; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: And can we just go to</li> <li>the signature line, please.</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>superseded for the promissory notes that are</li> <li>set forth on Exhibit A to this document;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just like NexPoint and HCMS, HCRE</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>17 Q. And this is another one of the term</li> <li>18 notes; right?</li> <li>19 A. Yes.</li> <li>20 MR. MORRIS: And can we just go to</li> <li>21 the signature line, please.</li> <li>22 Q. Is that your signature, sir?</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>superseded for the promissory notes that are</li> <li>set forth on Exhibit A to this document;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just like NexPoint and HCMS, HCRE</li> <li>also consolidated their outstanding demand</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Q. And this is another one of the term</li> <li>notes; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: And can we just go to</li> <li>the signature line, please.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature, sir?</li> <li>A. That looks more like it.</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>17 superseded for the promissory notes that are</li> <li>18 set forth on Exhibit A to this document;</li> <li>19 correct?</li> <li>20 A. Yes.</li> <li>21 Q. So just like NexPoint and HCMS, HCRE</li> <li>22 also consolidated their outstanding demand</li> <li>23 notes into one term notes at the end of</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Q. And this is another one of the term</li> <li>notes; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: And can we just go to</li> <li>the signature line, please.</li> <li>Q. Is that your signature, sir?</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>superseded for the promissory notes that are</li> <li>set forth on Exhibit A to this document;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. So just like NexPoint and HCMS, HCRE</li> <li>also consolidated their outstanding demand</li> </ol>

1     DONDERO - 10/28/21     1     DONDERO - 10/28/21       2     0. Occur, Lars go In FARE, Fave can     2     Otherwise, shis is pulling trup.       3     take this down and put up Exhibit 4.     3     0. So this is the last of the three       4     Actually, before way to thit, do     4     terminoles. Do you see that?       5     you have any recollection as to with HCRE     6     A. Nos signed on May 31st, 2017;       6     borrowed money from Highand in the amounts     6     0. Also signed on May 31st, 2017;       7     exablit to the term role?     8     A. Yes.       8     A. Nope.     9     0. And the could look at the       10     0. Do you have any recollection at all     10     signature ine, is that your signate ine, is that your signature ine, is that your signate ine, is t	Page 448		Page 449
3         Best bis down and put up Exhibit 4.         3         Q. So this is the last of the three           4         Ackally, before weg to that, do         4         term notes. Do you see that?           5         betweet my recollection as to why HCRE         5         A. Yes.           6         borweet money from highland in the amounts         6         Q. Also signed on May 31st, 2017;           7         exploit to the term note?         8         A. Yes.           9         A. Nope.         9         Q. And if we could look at the           10         Q. Doyou have any recollection at all         10         Signature the, is that your signature, si?           11         as to what HCRE did with the proceeds of the         11         A. Yes.           12         constrict to tobaled from Highland?         13         oft HCRE on or about May 31st, 2017?           13         as A. No.         13         oft HCRE on or about May 31st, 2017?           14         Q. This is Schibit 4, so this is the         15         Q. Dudy ou segn this note on behalf           13         A. No.         16         signature the, is that you signature, sin?           14         A. Yes.         15         Q. Dudy ureed this is the           15         acto sany that there wasn'tha sista the sin onte babout As that		1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	. ugoo
4     Actually, before we go to fail, do     4     term roles. Do you see that?       5     you have any recollection as to why HCRE     5     A. Yes.       6     D. Also signed on May 31st, 2017;     -       7     equal to the prior ndes as set forth to the     7     correct?       8     A. Nope.     9     Q. And if we could look at the       10     O. Do you have any recollection at all     10     signature line, is that your signature, sir?       11     as to what Hote Edit dwith the proceeds of the     11     A. Yes.       12     harse that I obtained from Highland?     12     Q. And if we could look at the       13     A. No.     13     dHCRE     16       14     G. This is Exhibit 4, so this is the     14     A. Yes.       15     oraplaint - this is actually the complaint     15     G. Did you read this note before you       16     against HORE     18     Signad 12       17     MR. MORRIS: No. I apologize. That     21     the first day wo signed 17       12     was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit 7     20     fair to say that have may signed 17       12     WR. MORRIS: No. I apologize. That     21     the first day voi signed 17       14     MR. MORRIS: No. I apologize. That     21     the first day voi signed 17	2 Q. Okay. Let's go to HCRE, if we can	2 Otherwise, she is pulling it up.	
5     you have any recollection as to why HCRE     5     A     Yes.       6     borrowed money from Highland in the amounts     6     Q. Also signed on May 31st, 2017;       7     expluit bit prior notes as set for this the     7     correct?       8     expluit bit prior notes as set for this the     10     signature line, is that your signature, sir?       11     as to what HCRE dot with the proceeds of the     11     A     Yes.       12     cars that toblained from Highland?     12     Q. And did you sign this note on behalf       13     A     No.     13     oHCRE on or about May 31st, 2017?       14     A     Yes.     14     A       15     complaint - this is actually the complaint     15     Q. And did you sign this note on behalf       16     against HCRE.     16     signed f7     A       17     MR. MORRIS. Can we go to Exhibit 6.     18     Q. And since you didn't read it is it       18     genese.     18     GL And since you didn't read it, is it       19     MS. DETISCH-PEREZ: biblit 6 of     19     Into singend f7       21     ware ministake. Yes, Eihbit 6 to Exhibit     22     MS. DETISCH-PEREZ: Oligit to the       23     4.     23     form.     24     A. There is - there weart a       25 <td< td=""><td>3 take this down and put up Exhibit 4.</td><td>3 Q. So this is the last of the three</td><td></td></td<>	3 take this down and put up Exhibit 4.	3 Q. So this is the last of the three	
6       Dorwed money from Highend in the amounts       6       Q. Also signed on May 31st, 2017;         7       equal to the piror notes as set fort to the       7       correct?         8       A. Nope.       9       Q. And five could look at the         9       A. Nope.       9       Q. And five could look at the         11       as to what HCRE.       11       A. Yes.         12       loars that it obtained from Highland?       12       Q. And did you sign this note on behalf         13       A. No.       13       of HCRE nor about May 31st, 2017?         14       Q. This is Schible 4, so this is the       14       A. Yes.         15       complaint – this is actually the complaint       15       Q. Did you read this note before you         16       against HCRE.       16       signed rl?       1         17       MR.MORRIS: Can we go to Schibt 6, of       19       fair to say that there wasn't a provision of         20       Exhibit for Babit 4?       20       this agreement that you didn't understand at         21       MR.MORRIS: No, I apologize. That       21       the time that you sign this note outers?         22       wasn'th hard cony, it is in a booklet.       23       form.         22       doh'Treview H.       2	4 Actually, before we go to that, do	4 term notes. Do you see that?	
7       equal to the prior notes as set forth to the       7       correct?         8       exhibit to the term note?       8       A       Yes.         0       0.       Doyou have any recollection at all       10       signature line, is that your signature, sir?         11       as to what HCRE dot with the proceeds of the       11       A       Yes.         12       can shall to blain edition Highland?       12       Q.       And dive could look at the         13       A       No.       13       of HCRE on or about May 31st. 2017?         14       A.       Yes.       14       A. Yes.         15       complaint - this is actually the complaint       15       Q. Did you read this note before you         16       aggrent HT       A       No.       18       Q. And since you didn't read it, is it         16       aggrent HT       A       No.       19       fait to say that there wasn't a provision of         16       aggrent HT       15       Q. And since you didn't read it, is it       1         17       MR. MORRIS. No, I apologize. That       21       the time that you signath?       2         24       MR. SDETSCH-PEREZ. Okay. If you       24       A       There is - thene wasn't a	5 you have any recollection as to why HCRE	5 A. Yes.	
8       exhibit to the term note?       8       A       Yes.         9       A       Nope.       9       Q.       And if we could look at the         11       as to what HCRE dol with the proceeds of the       11       A       Yes.         12       cars that it cobined from Highland?       12       Q.       And dd you signature, sir?         13       A       No.       13       ofHCRE on or about May 31st, 2017?         14       Q.       This is Exhibit 4, so this is the       14       A       Yes.         15       complaint - this sactually the complaint       15       Q.       Doily our ead this note before you         16       against HCRE.       18       genese.       18       Q.       And since you didn't read it, is it         19       mR. MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit 6,       17       A       No.       18       Q.       And since you didn't read it, is it         19       was my missike. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit       22       MR. MORRIS: No, I apologize. That       21       the time that you signed it?       Yes.         24       MR. MORRIS: No, I apologize. That       22       mark the hard copy, it is in a booklet.       25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I         2       uhart weight	6 borrowed money from Highland in the amounts	6 Q. Also signed on May 31st, 2017;	
9     A. Nope.     9     Q. And if we could look at the       10     Q. Do you have any recollection at all     10     signature line, is that your signature, sir?       11     as to what ACRE did with the proceeds of the     11     A. Yes.       12     loars that it obtained from Highland?     12     Q. And did you sign this note on behalf       13     A. No.     13     of HCRE on or about May 31st, 2017?       14     Q. This is Exhibit 4, so this is the     14     A. Yes.       15     complaint – this is actually the complaint     15     Q. Did you read this note before you       16     against HCRE     16     signed it?       17     MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit 6, 0     17     A. No.       18     please.     18     Q. And since you didn't read it, is it       19     MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Exhibit 6 of     19     fits you signed it?       21     was my miskle. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit     22     form.       22     was my miskle. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit     23     form.       23     a.     A.     25     provisions lid of of didn't understand because I       24     bonnet he hard copy, its in a booklet.     25     provisions lid of of didn't understand because I       25     was the hard copy, its in a booklet.     25     Q. Okay. You	7 equal to the prior notes as set forth to the	7 correct?	
10       Q. Doyau have any recollection at all       10       signature line, is that your signature, sir?         11       as to what HCRE did with the proceeds of the       11       A       Yes.         12       lears that to baland from Highand?       12       Q. And dig you sign this note on behalf         13       A       No.       13       of HCRE on a about May 31st, 2017?         14       Q. This is Exhibit 4, so this is the       14       A       Yes.         16       against HCRE.       16       signature line, is that your signature, sir?         17       MR.MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit 6       17       A       No.         18       Q. And since you didn't read it, is it       11       14       A         19       MR.MORRIS: No. I apologize. That       21       the line that you signed it?       17         21       MR.MORRIS: No. I apologize. That       21       the line that you signed it?       17         22       was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit       22       from.       23       from.         22       was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit       23       from.       24       A. There is – there wasn't a       25         23       want the hard copy, it is in a booklet.       25       protestion fidit		8 A. Yes.	
11       as low hat HCRE did with the proceeds of the       11       A. Yes.         12       loars that it obtained from Highland?       12       Q. And did you sign this note on behalf         13       A. No.       13       dHCRE on or about May 31st, 2017?         14       Q. This is Shibit 4, so this is the       14       A. Yes.         15       complaint – this is actually the complaint       15       Q. Did you read this note before you         16       against HCRE.       16       Signed it?         17       MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Eshibit 6,       17       A. No.         18       please.       18       Q. And shoe you didn't read it, is it         19       MR. MORRIS: No. I apologize. That       21       The that you signed it?         20       Exhibit 4?       20       This agreement that you didn't understand at         21       MR. MORRIS: No. I apologize. That       21       form.         23       4.       23       form.       24         24       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. If you       24       A. There isthere wasn't a         25       want the hard copy, it is in a booktet.       25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I         25       superstedd for the promisony ontes that are       4	9 A. Nope.	9 Q. And if we could look at the	
12       loans that it obtained from Highland?       12       Q. And did you sign this note on behalf         13       A No.       13       of HCRE on rabout May 3ts, 2017?         14       Q. This is Exhibit 4, so this is the       14       A. Yes.         15       complaint — this is actually the complaint       15       Q. Did you read this note before you         16       against HCRE.       16       signed it?         17       MR MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit 6,       17       A. No.         18       please.       18       Q. And since you didn't read it, is it         19       mK MORRIS: No, 1apologize. That       21       the time that you signed it?         20       was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit       22       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ Okay. If you       24       A. There is — there wasn't a         21       MR.MORRIS: No, 1apologize. That       21       the time that you signed it?       2         23       4.       23       form.       22       was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit       22       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ Okay. If you       24       A. There is — there wasn't a         24       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ Okay. If you       24       A. There is — there wasn't a       2       that ware signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on         3 <t< td=""><td>10 Q. Do you have any recollection at all</td><td>10 signature line, is that your signature, sir?</td><td></td></t<>	10 Q. Do you have any recollection at all	10 signature line, is that your signature, sir?	
13       A. No.       13       ofHCRE on or about May 31st, 2017?         14       Q. This is Exhibit 4, so this is the       14       A. Yes.         15       complaint - this is actually the complaint       15       Q. Did you read this note before you         16       against HCRE.       16       signed it?         17       MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit 6,       17       A. No.         18       plases.       18       Q. And since you didn't read it, is it         19       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Exhibit 6 of       19       fair to say that there wasn't a provision of         20       Exhibit 4?       20       this agreement that you didn't understand at         21       MR. MORRIS: No. 1 apologize. That       21       the time that you signed it?         22       was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit       22       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. If you       24         24       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. If you       24       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 451         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 451       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 451         2       didn't review it.       2       that were signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on       3         3       ay. Okay. This node subsituted and       3 <td< td=""><td>11 as to what HCRE did with the proceeds of the</td><td>11 A. Yes.</td><td></td></td<>	11 as to what HCRE did with the proceeds of the	11 A. Yes.	
14       Q. This is Exhibit 4, so this is the       14       A. Yes.         15       complaint – this is actually the complaint       15       Q. Did you read this note before you         16       against HCRE.       16       signed i?         17       MR.MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit 6,       17       A. No.         18       pease.       19       and since you didn't read it, is it         19       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Exhibit 6 of       19       failt to say that three wasn't a provision of         20       Exhibit 4?       20       this agreement that you didn't understand at         21       MR.MORRIS: No, I apologize. That       21       the time that you signed it?         22       was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit       22       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. If you       24       A. There is – there wasn't a         23       durit review it.       23       form.       24       A. There is – there wasn't a         24       DONDERO-10/29/21       Page 450       1       DONDERO-10/29/21       Page 451         2       didn't review it.       3       Nay 31st, 2017 collectively as the term notes?       Page 451         2       didn't review it.       6       ach of the term notes on behalf of each of the       Page 451         3 <td>12 loans that it obtained from Highland?</td> <td>12 Q. And did you sign this note on behalf</td> <td></td>	12 loans that it obtained from Highland?	12 Q. And did you sign this note on behalf	
15       complaint – this is actually the complaint       15       Q. Did you read this note before you         16       against HCRE.       16       signed if?         17       MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit 6,       17       A. No.         18       please.       18       Q. And since you didn't read it, is it         19       MS. DETTSCH-PEREZ: Exhibit 6 of       19       fair to say that there wan't a provision of         20       Exhibit 4?       20       this agreement that you digned it?         21       MR. MORRIS: No, I apologize. That       21       the time that you signed it?         22       was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit       22       MS. DETTSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         23       4.       S. DETTSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       23       form.         24       MS. DETTSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       23       form.       24         25       want the hard copy, it is in a booklet.       25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I       Page 451         2       didn't review it.       2       that were signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on       3         3       Q. Okay. This note substituted and       3       May 31st, 2017 collect/wey as the term notes?       4         4       superstedef for the promisory notes	13 A. No.	13 of HCRE on or about May 31st, 2017?	
16       against HCRE.       16       signed it?         17       MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit 6,       17       A. No.         18       please.       18       Q. And since you didn't read it, is it         19       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Exhibit 6 of       19       fair to say that there wasn't a provision of         20       Exhibit 47       20       this agreement that you didn't understand at         21       MR. MORRIS: No, I apologize. That       21       the time that you signed it?         24       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. If you       24       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. If you       25         25       want the hard copy, it is in a booklet.       25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I         25       want the hard copy, it is in a booklet.       25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I         26       didn't review it.       2       har were signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on         3       Q. Okay. This note substituted and       3       My 9/3 ts, 2017 collectively as the term notes?         4       superseded for the promissory notes that are       5       Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign         6       page carcerd?       6       each of the term notes was for a         7       A. Yes.       7       A. Yes.	14 Q. This is Exhibit 4, so this is the	14 A. Yes.	
17       MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit 6,       17       A. No.         18       pease.       18       Q. And since you didn't read it, is it         19       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Exhibit 6 of       19       fair to say that there wasn't a provision of         20       Exhibit 4?       20       this agreement that you didn't understand at         21       MR. MORRIS: No. I apologize. That       21       the time that you signed 1/?         22       was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit       22       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay, If you       24       A. There is – there wasn't a         23       was the hard copy, it is in a booklet.       25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I         24       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay, If you       24       A. There is – there wasn't a         24       didn't review it.       25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I         25       wast the hard copy, it is in a booklet.       26       that were signed by Nex/Point, HCRE, and HCMS on         3       Q. Okay. This nole substituted and       3       May 31st, 2017 collectively as the term notes?         4       supersection fifth appendix that are       4       A Yes.         5       Isted on Exhibit A set forth the       5       Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign	15 complaint – this is actually the complaint	15 Q. Did you read this note before you	
18       please.       18       Q. And since you didn't read it, is it         19       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Exhibit 6 of       19       fair to say that there warms that provision of         20       Exhibit 4?       20       this agreement that you signed it?         21       MR. MORRIS: No, I apologize. That       21       the time that you signed it?         22       was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit       22       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         23       4.       23       form.       24         24       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. If you       24       A. There is there wasn't a         25       want the hard copy, it is in a booklet.       25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 450       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 451         2       didn't review it.       2       that were signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on       3         3       Q. Okay. This note substituted and       3       May 31st, 2017 collectively as the term notes?         4       uperseded for the promissory notes that are       5       Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign         6       page correct?       6       each of the term notes on behalf of each of the         7       A. Yes.       <	16 against HCRE.	16 signed it?	
19       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Exhibit 6 of       19       fair to say that there wasn't a provision of         20       Exhibit 4?       20       this agreement that you dight understand at         21       MR. MORRIS: No, I apologize. That       21       the time that you signed it?         22       wasn my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit       22       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         23       4.       23       form.       24         24       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. If you       24       A       There is - there wasn't a         25       want the hard copy, it is in a booklet.       24       A       There is - there wasn't a         25       wast the hard copy, it is in a booklet.       24       A       There is - there wasn't a         2       didn't review it.       2       that were signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on         3       Q. Okay. This note substituted and       3       May 313t, 2017 Collectively as the term notes?         4       superseded for the promissory notes that are       5       Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign         6       page, correct?       6       each of the term notes on behalf of each of the         7       A Yes.       9       Q. Each of the term notes ons for a       10         10       woet to	17 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit 6,	17 A. No.	
20       Exhibit 4?       20       this agreement that you didn't understand at         21       MR. MORRIS: No, I apologize. That       21       the time that you gidn't understand at         22       was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit       22       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         23       4.       23       form.         24       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. If you       24       A. There is – there wasn't a         25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I       Page 451         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       2       that were signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on         3       Q. Okay. This note substituted and       3       May 31st, 2017 collectively as the term notes?         4       superseded for the promissory notes that are       5       Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign         6       each of the term notes on behalf of each of the       5       Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign         6       page; correct?       6       each of the term notes was for a       0         1       May 31st, 2017; correct?       11       A. Ibelieve so.       12       Q. Okay. Who why HCRE         10       wast by ough how why HCRE borrowed       15       me.       14       A. The auditors, the accountants, not         15 <td< td=""><td>18 please.</td><td>18 Q. And since you didn't read it, is it</td><td></td></td<>	18 please.	18 Q. And since you didn't read it, is it	
21       MR. MORRIS: No, I apologize. That       21       the time that you signed it?         22       was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit       22       MS. DEITSCH-PERE2: Object to the         23       4.       23       form.         24       MS. DEITSCH-PERE2: Okay. If you       24       A. There isthere wasn't a         25       want the hard copy, it is in a booklet.       25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 451         2       didn't review it.       2       that were signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on       3         3       Q. Okay. This note substituted and       3       May 31st, 2017 collectively as the term notes?       4         4       superseded for the promissory notes that are       5       Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign       6         6       page; correct?       6       each of the term notes on behalf of each of the       7         7       A. Yes.       7       respective makers; correct?       8       A. Yes.         9       outstanding principal and interest that HCRE       9       Q. Each of the term notes was for a       0         10       owed to Highland under the prior notes as of       10       30-year term; corr	19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Exhibit 6 of	19 fair to say that there wasn't a provision of	
22       was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit       22       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         23       4.       23       form.         24       MS, DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. If you       24       A. There is – there wasn't a         25       want the hard copy, it is in a booklet.       25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       2       that were signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on         3       Q. Okay. This note substituted and       3       May 31st, 2017 collectively as the term notes?         4       superseded for the promissory notes that are       5       Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign         6       page; correct?       6       each of the term notes on behalf of each of the         7       A. Yes.       7       respective makers; correct?         8       Q. And Exhibit A set forth the       8       A. Yes.         9       Outstanding principal and interest that HCRE       9       Q. Each of the term notes was for a         10       owed to Highland under the prior notes as of       10       30-year term; flyou know?         14       A. Yes.       1       A. Ibelieve so.         12       A. Uh-huh.       12       Q. Okay. Who decided to give each note         13	20 Exhibit 4?	20 this agreement that you didn't understand at	
23       4.       23       form.         24       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. If you       24       A. There is – there wasn't a         25       want the hard copy, it is in a booklet.       25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I         25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I       25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I         24       A. There is – there wasn't a       25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I         25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I       24       A. There is – there wasn't a         25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I       Page 451         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       2       that were signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on         3       Q. Okay. This note substituted and       3       May 31st, 2017 collectively as the term notes?         4       A. Yes.       6       each of the term notes on behalf of each of the         7       A. Yes.       9       Q. Each of the term notes was for a         10       owed to Highland under the prior notes as of       10       30-year term, for unders was for a         10       owed to Highland under the prior notes as of       10       30-year term, for unders, the accountants, not         13       Q. That is a yes, sir, corred? </td <td>21 MR. MORRIS: No, I apologize. That</td> <td>21 the time that you signed it?</td> <td></td>	21 MR. MORRIS: No, I apologize. That	21 the time that you signed it?	
24       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. If you       24       A. There is – there wasn't a         25       want the hard copy, it is in a booklet.       25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 451         2       didn't review it.       2       that were signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on       3         3       Q. Okay. This note substituted and       3       May 31st, 2017 collectively as the term notes?       4         4       superseded for the promissory notes that are       4       A. Yes.       5       6         5       listed on Exhibit A on the right side of the       5       Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign       6         6       page; correct?       6       each of the term notes on behalf of each of the       7         7       A. Yes.       8       A. Yes.       8       A. Yes.         9       outstanding principal and interest that HCRE       9       Q. Each of the term notes was for a       10         10       owed to Highland under the prior notes as of       10       30-year term; by ou know?       14       A. Ibelieve so.         12       A. Uh-huh.       12       Q. Okay. Who decided to give each note       13       30. You know? <td>22 was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit</td> <td>22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</td> <td></td>	22 was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit	22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
25       want the hard copy, it is in a booklet.       25       provisions I did or didn't understand because I         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 451         2       didn't review it.       2       that were signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on       3         3       Q. Okay. This note substituted and       3       May 31st, 2017 collectively as the term notes?       4       A       Yes.         5       listed on Exhibit A on the right side of the       5       Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign       6       each of the term notes on behalf of each of the       7       respective makers; correct?       8       A. Yes.       8       A. Yes.       8       A. Yes.       9       Q. Each of the term notes on behalf of each of the       7       respective makers; correct?       8       A. Yes.       9       Q. Each of the term notes was for a       10       30-year term; correct?       11       A. Ibelive so.       12       Q. Okay. Who decided to give each note       13       30-year term; fi you know?         14       A. Yes.       14       A. The auditors, the accountants, not       15       me.       16       Q. But you knew that each of the notes       17       messay year term; fi you know?         14       A. Yes.       14       A. The auditors, the accountants, n	23 4.	23 form.	
Page 450       Page 450       Page 451         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 451         2       didn't review it.       2       that were signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on       3       May 31st, 2017 collectively as the term notes?       4       A yes.       4       A yes.       5       G. O. Okay. You had the authority to sign       6       page; correct?       6       each of the term notes on behalf of each of the       7       A. Yes.       7       respective makers; correct?       8       A. Yes.       7       respective makers; correct?       8       A. Yes.       7       respective makers; correct?       1       1       A. Yes.       0       O. Okay. The the term notes as for a       10       30-year term; correct?       11       A. Yes.       0       O. C. Caky. You had the authority to sign       10       30-year term; correct?       11       A. Yes.       10       30-year term; correct?       11       A. Uh-huh.       12       Q. Okay. Who decided to give each note       13       a 30-year term; if you know?       14       A. The auditors, the accountants, not       15       me.       16       the money from Highland at the times and – and       16       Q. But you knew that each of the notes       17       was for a 30-year term; is that fair?       18       A. Yes, I gues	24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. If you	24 A. There is – there wasn't a	
1DONDERO - 10/29/211DONDERO - 10/29/212didn't review it.2that were signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on3Q. Okay. This note substituted and3May 31st, 2017 collectively as the term notes?4superseded for the promissory notes that are4A. Yes.5listed on Exhibit A on the right side of the5Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign6page; correct?6each of the term notes on behalf of each of the7A. Yes.7respective makers; correct?8Q. And Exhibit A set forth the9Q. Each of the term notes was for a0owed to Highland under the prior notes as of1030-year term; correct?11May 31st, 2017; correct?11A. I believe so.12A. Uh-huh.12Q. Okay. Who decided to give each note13Q. That is a yes, sir; correct?13a 30-year term; if you know?14A. Yes.14A. The auditors, the accountants, not15Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed15me.16the money from Highland at the times and – and16Q. But you knew that each of the notes17in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18promissory note?18A. Yes, I guess, yes.19A. No.20A. Yes.21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans2122of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 201	25 want the hard copy, it is in a booklet.	25 provisions I did or didn't understand because I	
2       didn't review it.       2       that were signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on         3       Q. Okay. This note substituted and       3       May 31st, 2017 collectively as the term notes?         4       superseded for the promissory notes that are       4       A. Yes.         5       listed on Exhibit A on the right side of the       5       Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign         6       page; correct?       6       each of the term notes on behalf of each of the         7       A. Yes.       7       respective makers; correct?         9       outstanding principal and interest that HCRE       9       Q. Each of the term notes was for a         10       owed to Highland under the prior notes as of       10       30-year term; correct?         11       A. Uh-huh.       12       Q. Okay. Who decided to give each note         13       Q. That is a yes, sir, correct?       11       A. The auditors, the accountants, not         15       Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed       15       me.         16       the money from Highland at the times and – and       16       Q. But you knew that each of the notes         17       in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the       17       was for a 30-year term; is that fair?         18       promissory note?       18			Page 451
3Q. Okay. This note substituted and3May 31st, 2017 collectively as the term notes?4superseded for the promissory notes that are4A. Yes.5listed on Exhibit A on the right side of the5Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign6page; correct?6each of the term notes on behalf of each of the7A. Yes.7respective makers; correct?8Q. And Exhibit A set forth the8A. Yes.9outstanding principal and interest that HCRE9Q. Each of the term notes was for a10owed to Highland under the prior notes as of1030-year term; correct?11May 31st, 2017; correct?11A. Ibelieve so.12A. Uh-huh.12Q. Okay. Who decided to give each note13Q. That is a yes, sir, correct?13a 30-year term; if you know?14A. Yes.14A. The auditors, the accountants, not15Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed15me.16the money from Highland at the times and – and16Q. But you knew that each of the notes17in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18promissory note?18A. Yes.19Q. Notes were unsecured; right?20Q. Do you have any recollection as to20A. Yes.21Q. And the notes were not the product21that HCRE fid with the proceeds of the loans21Q. And the notes were not the product221 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			
4superseded for the promissory notes that are4A. Yes.5listed on Exhibit A on the right side of the5Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign6page; correct?6each of the term notes on behalf of each of the7A. Yes.7respective makers; correct?8Q. And Exhibit A set forth the8A. Yes.9outstanding principal and interest that HCRE9Q. Each of the term notes was for a10owed to Highland under the prior notes as of1030-year term; correct?11May 31st, 2017; correct?11A. Ibelieve so.12A. Uh-huh.12Q. Okay. Who decided to give each note13Q. That is a yes, sir; correct?13a 30-year term; fi you know?14A. Yes.14A. The auditors, the accountants, not15Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed15me.16the money from Highland at the times and – and16Q. But you knew that each of the notes17in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18promissory note?18A. Yes.19A. No.20A. Yes.20Q. Do you have any recollection as to2021what HCRE fid with the proceeds of the loans2122that they had obtained from Highland between2223January 2014 and April 2015?2324A. No.24Q. Is it fair to say that none of the			
5listed on Exhibit A on the right side of the5Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign6page; correct?6each of the term notes on behalf of each of the7A. Yes.7respective makers; correct?8Q. And Exhibit A set forth the8A. Yes.9outstanding principal and interest that HCRE9Q. Each of the term notes was for a10owed to Highland under the prior notes as of1030-year term; correct?11May 31st, 2017; correct?11A. I believe so.12A. Uh-huh.12Q. Okay. Who decided to give each note13Q. That is a yes, sir, correct?13a 30-year term; fyou know?14A. Yes.14A. The auditors, the accountants, not15Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed15me.16the money from Highland at the times and – and16Q. But you knew that each of the notes17in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18promissory note?18A. Yes.1920Q. Do you have any recollection as to20A. Yes.21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q. And the notes were not the product22that they had obtained from Highland between22of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A. Correct.24A. No.24Q. Is it fair to say that none of the	· ·		
6page; correct?6each of the term notes on behalf of each of the7A. Yes.7respective makers; correct?8Q. And Exhibit A set forth the8A. Yes.9outstanding principal and interest that HCRE9Q. Each of the term notes was for a10owed to Highland under the prior notes as of1030-year term; correct?11May 31st, 2017; correct?11A. Ibelieve so.12A. Uh-huh.12Q. Okay. Who decided to give each note13Q. That is a yes, sir, correct?13a 30-year term; if you know?14A. Yes.14A. The auditors, the accountants, not15Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed15me.16the money from Highland at the times and – and16Q. But you knew that each of the notes17in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18promissory note?18A. Yes.19Q. Notes were unsecured; right?20Q. Do you have any recollection as to20A. Yes.21Q. And the notes were not the product21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q. And the notes were not the product2221what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q. Is it fair to say that none of the			
7A. Yes.7respective makers; correct?8Q. And Exhibit A set forth the8A. Yes.9outstanding principal and interest that HCRE9Q. Each of the term notes was for a10owed to Highland under the prior notes as of1030-year term; correct?11May 31st, 2017; correct?11A. I believe so.12A. Uh-huh.12Q. Okay. Who decided to give each note13Q. That is a yes, sir; correct?13a 30-year term; if you know?14A. Yes.14A. The auditors, the accountants, not15Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed15me.16the money from Highland at the times and – and16Q. But you knew that each of the notes17in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18promissory note?18A. Yes.1920Q. Do you have any recollection as to20A. Yes.21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q. And the notes were not the product22that they had obtained from Highland between22of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A. Correct.24A. No.24Q. Is it fair to say that none of the			
8Q. And Exhibit A set forth the8A. Yes.9outstanding principal and interest that HCRE9Q. Each of the term notes was for a10owed to Highland under the prior notes as of1030-year term; correct?11May 31st, 2017; correct?11A. I believe so.12A. Uh-huh.12Q. Okay. Who decided to give each note13Q. That is a yes, sir; correct?13a 30-year term; if you know?14A. Yes.14A. The auditors, the accountants, not15Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed15me.16the money from Highland at the times and – and16Q. But you knew that each of the notes17in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18promissory note?18A. Yes.1920Q. Do you have any recollection as to20A. Yes.21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q. And the notes were not the product22that they had obtained from Highland between22of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A. Correct.24A. No.24Q. Is it fair to say that none of the			
9outstanding principal and interest that HCRE9Q. Each of the term notes was for a10owed to Highland under the prior notes as of1030-year term; correct?11May 31st, 2017; correct?11A. I believe so.12A. Uh-huh.12Q. Okay. Who decided to give each note13Q. That is a yes, sir; correct?13a 30-year term; if you know?14A. Yes.14A. The auditors, the accountants, not15Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed15me.16the money from Highland at the times and – and16Q. But you knew that each of the notes17in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18promissory note?18A. Yes.20Q. Do you have any recollection as to20A. Yes.21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q. And the notes were not the product22that they had obtained from Highland between22of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A. Correct.24A. No.24Q. Is it fair to say that none of the			
10owed to Highland under the prior notes as of1030-year term; correct?11May 31st, 2017; correct?11A.I believe so.12A.Uh-huh.12Q.Okay. Who decided to give each note13Q.That is a yes, sir, correct?13a 30-year term, if you know?14A.Yes.14A.The auditors, the accountants, not15Q.Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed15me.16the money from Highland at the times and – and16Q.But you knew that each of the notes17in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18promissory note?18A.Yes, I guess, yes.19A.No.19Q.Notes were unsecured; right?20Q.Do you have any recollection as to20A.Yes.21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q.And the notes were not the product22that they had obtained from Highland between22of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A.Correct.24A.No.24Q.Is it fair to say that none of the		-	
11May 31st, 2017; correct?11A. I believe so.12A. Uh-huh.12Q. Okay. Who decided to give each note13Q. That is a yes, sir; correct?13a 30-year term, if you know?14A. Yes.14A. The auditors, the accountants, not15Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed15me.16the money from Highland at the times and – and16Q. But you knew that each of the notes17in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18promissory note?18A. Yes, I guess, yes.19A. No.19Q. Notes were unsecured; right?20Q. Do you have any recollection as to20A. Yes.21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q. And the notes were not the product22that they had obtained from Highland between22of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A. Correct.24A. No.24Q. Is it fair to say that none of the			
12A.Uh-huh.12Q.Okay. Who decided to give each note13Q.That is a yes, sir, correct?13a 30-year term, if you know?14A.Yes.14A.The auditors, the accountants, not15Q.Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed15me.16the money from Highland at the times and – and16Q.But you knew that each of the notes17in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18promissory note?18A.Yes, I guess, yes.19A.No.19Q.Notes were unsecured; right?20Q.Do you have any recollection as to20A.Yes.21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q.And the notes were not the product22that they had obtained from Highland between22of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A.Correct.24A.No.24Q.Is it fair to say that none of the			
13Q. That is a yes, sir; correct?13a 30-year term, if you know?14A. Yes.14A. The auditors, the accountants, not15Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed15me.16the money from Highland at the times and – and16Q. But you knew that each of the notes17in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18promissory note?18A. Yes, I guess, yes.19A. No.19Q. Notes were unsecured; right?20Q. Do you have any recollection as to20A. Yes.21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q. And the notes were not the product22that they had obtained from Highland between22of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A. Correct.24A. No.24Q. Is it fair to say that none of the			
14A. Yes.14A. The auditors, the accountants, not15Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed15me.16the money from Highland at the times and – and16Q. But you knew that each of the notes17in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18promissory note?18A. Yes, I guess, yes.19A. No.19Q. Notes were unsecured; right?20Q. Do you have any recollection as to20A. Yes.21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q. And the notes were not the product22that they had obtained from Highland between22of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A. Correct.24A. No.24Q. Is it fair to say that none of the	12 A. Uh-huh.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
15Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed15me.16the money from Highland at the times and – and16Q. But you knew that each of the notes17in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18promissory note?18A. Yes, I guess, yes.19A. No.19Q. Notes were unsecured; right?20Q. Do you have any recollection as to20A. Yes.21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q. And the notes were not the product22that they had obtained from Highland between22of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A. Correct.24A. No.24Q. Is it fair to say that none of the		13 a 30-vear term, it you know?	
16the money from Highland at the times and – and16Q.But you knew that each of the notes17in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18promissory note?18A.Yes, I guess, yes.19A.No.19Q.Notes were unsecured; right?20Q.Do you have any recollection as to20A.Yes.21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q.And the notes were not the product22that they had obtained from Highland between22of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A.Correct.24A.No.24Q.Is it fair to say that none of the			
17 in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the17 was for a 30-year term; is that fair?18 promissory note?18 A. Yes, I guess, yes.19 A. No.19 Q. Notes were unsecured; right?20 Q. Do you have any recollection as to20 A. Yes.21 what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21 Q. And the notes were not the product22 that they had obtained from Highland between22 of any negotiations; correct?23 January 2014 and April 2015?23 A. Correct.24 A. No.24 Q. Is it fair to say that none of the	14 A. Yes.	14 A. The auditors, the accountants, not	
18promissory note?18A. Yes, I guess, yes.19A. No.19Q. Notes were unsecured; right?20Q. Do you have any recollection as to20A. Yes.21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q. And the notes were not the product22that they had obtained from Highland between22of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A. Correct.24A. No.24Q. Is it fair to say that none of the	<ul><li>14 A. Yes.</li><li>15 Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed</li></ul>	<ul><li>A. The auditors, the accountants, not</li><li>me.</li></ul>	
19A. No.19Q. Notes were unsecured; right?20Q. Do you have any recollection as to20A. Yes.21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q. And the notes were not the product22that they had obtained from Highland between22of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A. Correct.24A. No.24Q. Is it fair to say that none of the	<ul> <li>14 A. Yes.</li> <li>15 Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed</li> <li>16 the money from Highland at the times and – and</li> </ul>	<ul><li>14 A. The auditors, the accountants, not</li><li>15 me.</li><li>16 Q. But you knew that each of the notes</li></ul>	
20Q. Do you have any recollection as to20A. Yes.21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q. And the notes were not the product22that they had obtained from Highland between22of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A. Correct.24A. No.24Q. Is it fair to say that none of the	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed</li> <li>the money from Highland at the times and – and</li> <li>in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. The auditors, the accountants, not</li> <li>me.</li> <li>Q. But you knew that each of the notes</li> <li>was for a 30-year term; is that fair?</li> </ul>	
21what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans21Q. And the notes were not the product22that they had obtained from Highland between22 of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A. Correct.24A. No.24Q. Is it fair to say that none of the	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed</li> <li>the money from Highland at the times and – and</li> <li>in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the</li> <li>promissory note?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. The auditors, the accountants, not</li> <li>me.</li> <li>Q. But you knew that each of the notes</li> <li>was for a 30-year term; is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes, I guess, yes.</li> </ul>	
22that they had obtained from Highland between22of any negotiations; correct?23January 2014 and April 2015?23A.Correct.24A.No.24Q.Is it fair to say that none of the	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed</li> <li>the money from Highland at the times and – and</li> <li>in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the</li> <li>promissory note?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. The auditors, the accountants, not</li> <li>me.</li> <li>Q. But you knew that each of the notes</li> <li>was for a 30-year term; is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes, I guess, yes.</li> <li>Q. Notes were unsecured; right?</li> </ul>	
23January 2014 and April 2015?23A.Correct.24A.No.24Q.Is it fair to say that none of the	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed</li> <li>the money from Highland at the times and – and</li> <li>in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the</li> <li>promissory note?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection as to</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. The auditors, the accountants, not</li> <li>me.</li> <li>Q. But you knew that each of the notes</li> <li>was for a 30-year term; is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes, I guess, yes.</li> <li>Q. Notes were unsecured; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	
24   A. No.     24   Q. Is it fair to say that none of the	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed</li> <li>the money from Highland at the times and – and</li> <li>in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the</li> <li>promissory note?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection as to</li> <li>what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. The auditors, the accountants, not</li> <li>me.</li> <li>Q. But you knew that each of the notes</li> <li>was for a 30-year term; is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes, I guess, yes.</li> <li>Q. Notes were unsecured; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the notes were not the product</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed</li> <li>the money from Highland at the times and – and</li> <li>in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the</li> <li>promissory note?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection as to</li> <li>what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans</li> <li>that they had obtained from Highland between</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. The auditors, the accountants, not</li> <li>me.</li> <li>Q. But you knew that each of the notes</li> <li>was for a 30-year term; is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes, I guess, yes.</li> <li>Q. Notes were unsecured; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the notes were not the product</li> <li>of any negotiations; correct?</li> </ul>	
25 Q. Can we call the three term notes 25 makers of the term notes ever sought financing	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed</li> <li>the money from Highland at the times and – and</li> <li>in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the</li> <li>promissory note?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection as to</li> <li>what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans</li> <li>that they had obtained from Highland between</li> <li>January 2014 and April 2015?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. The auditors, the accountants, not</li> <li>me.</li> <li>Q. But you knew that each of the notes</li> <li>was for a 30-year term; is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes, I guess, yes.</li> <li>Q. Notes were unsecured; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the notes were not the product</li> <li>of any negotiations; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed</li> <li>the money from Highland at the times and – and</li> <li>in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the</li> <li>promissory note?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Do you have any recollection as to</li> <li>what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans</li> <li>that they had obtained from Highland between</li> <li>January 2014 and April 2015?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>A. The auditors, the accountants, not</li> <li>me.</li> <li>Q. But you knew that each of the notes</li> <li>was for a 30-year term; is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes, I guess, yes.</li> <li>Q. Notes were unsecured; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And the notes were not the product</li> <li>of any negotiations; correct?</li> <li>A. Correct.</li> <li>Q. Is it fair to say that none of the</li> </ul>	

## Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-4 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 45 of 71

1		Daga 450			Dogo 452
	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 452	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 453
2	from a third party as an alternative to the		2	other financings that NexPoint and HCRE did.	
3	Highland notes?		3	So I would say that is that is the reason.	
4	A. That's correct.		4	Q. Are you saying that Highland today	
5	Q. Okay. You don't have any reason to		5	really has equity interests in NexPoint, HCRE,	
6	believe that an unrelated third party would		6	and HCMS?	
7	have loaned money to NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS		7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
8	on the terms set forth in each of the term		8	form.	
9	notes, do you?		9	A. Yeah, no, I didn't say that. I'm	
10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the		10	saying it has subordinated debt interest, but	
11	form.		11	they are soft notes, so they're viewed as	
12	A. I – it is not fair to draw that		12	deeply subordinated equity-ish, so to speak, as	
13	conclusion. You know, particularly NexPoint		13	far as the senior secured debtholders are	
14	has borrowed a lot of money at much lower rates		14	concerned.	
15	at or around 2017 and later, and to this day.		15	Q. Well, that would be true of any	
16	Q. So then why –		16	senior secured debt relative to unsecured debt;	
17	A. The same thing with HCRE.		17	isn't that right?	
18	Q. So then why would HCRE and NexPoint		18	A. Yes, but again, these are	
19	enter into these loans rather than obtaining		19	particularly soft notes, you know.	
20	loans at lower interest rates if they were		20	Q. Okay. At the time you signed these	
21	available?		21	notes, were you aware that each of the term	
22	A. These are soft loans, again, so		22	notes required payment of an annual installment	
23	they're – especially affiliate soft loans to		23	on December 31st of each year?	
24	other creditors are viewed almost as equity or		24	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
25	subordinated to senior secured mortgages or		25	form.	
		Page 454			Page 455
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21		1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	A. I knew there was more required		2	Q. So we were just looking at the third	
	periodic payments than historically, and that		3	in the series of term notes, and if we can go	
4	was part of partly driven by the the		4	to the I apologize, the first page of this	
5			_		
	auditors, I believe.		5	one, just to refresh your recollection after	
6	THE WITNESS: You know what, can		_	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was	
7	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we can we take a break for like five or		5 6 7	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on	
7 8	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we – can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most –		5 6 7 8	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017.	
7 8 9	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we – can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most – at most I've got another hour in me today,		5 6 7 8 9	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that?	
7 8 9 10	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we – can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most – at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it		5 6 7 8 9 10	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes.	
7 8 9 10 11	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we – can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most – at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it fits on everybody else's calendar if we		5 6 7 8 9 10 11	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5	
7 8 9 10 11 12	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we – can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most – at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it fits on everybody else's calendar if we can't wrap up in an hour; okay?		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5 before, but I just want to make sure, you're	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we – can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most – at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it fits on everybody else's calendar if we can't wrap up in an hour; okay? MR. MORRIS: No problem,		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5 before, but I just want to make sure, you're telling me that you didn't read this before you	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it fits on everybody else's calendar if we can't wrap up in an hour; okay? MR. MORRIS: No problem, Mr. Dondero. So the time now is what		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5 before, but I just want to make sure, you're telling me that you didn't read this before you signed it, do I have that right, Paragraph 5?	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we – can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most – at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it fits on everybody else's calendar if we can't wrap up in an hour; okay? MR. MORRIS: No problem, Mr. Dondero. So the time now is what – what time do we have?		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5 before, but I just want to make sure, you're telling me that you didn't read this before you signed it, do I have that right, Paragraph 5? A. Yes.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it fits on everybody else's calendar if we can't wrap up in an hour; okay? MR. MORRIS: No problem, Mr. Dondero. So the time now is what what time do we have? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 2:56.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5 before, but I just want to make sure, you're telling me that you didn't read this before you signed it, do I have that right, Paragraph 5? A. Yes. Q. And so you are unaware – when did	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it fits on everybody else's calendar if we can't wrap up in an hour; okay? MR. MORRIS: No problem, Mr. Dondero. So the time now is what what time do we have? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 2:56. (Recess taken 2:56 p.m. to 3:19 p.m.)		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5 before, but I just want to make sure, you're telling me that you didn't read this before you signed it, do I have that right, Paragraph 5? A. Yes. Q. And so you are unaware – when did you first – when did you first become aware of	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we – can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most – at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it fits on everybody else's calendar if we can't wrap up in an hour; okay? MR. MORRIS: No problem, Mr. Dondero. So the time now is what – what time do we have? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 2:56. (Recess taken 2:56 p.m. to 3:19 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5 before, but I just want to make sure, you're telling me that you didn't read this before you signed it, do I have that right, Paragraph 5? A. Yes. Q. And so you are unaware – when did you first – when did you first become aware of the provision that is set forth in Paragraph 5?	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we – can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most – at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it fits on everybody else's calendar if we can't wrap up in an hour; okay? MR. MORRIS: No problem, Mr. Dondero. So the time now is what – what time do we have? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 2:56. (Recess taken 2:56 p.m. to 3:19 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 3:19.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5 before, but I just want to make sure, you're telling me that you didn't read this before you signed it, do I have that right, Paragraph 5? A. Yes. Q. And so you are unaware – when did you first – when did you first become aware of the provision that is set forth in Paragraph 5? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it fits on everybody else's calendar if we can't wrap up in an hour; okay? MR. MORRIS: No problem, Mr. Dondero. So the time now is what what time do we have? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 2:56. (Recess taken 2:56 p.m. to 3:19 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 3:19. Q. Are you ready to proceed, sir?		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5 before, but I just want to make sure, you're telling me that you didn't read this before you signed it, do I have that right, Paragraph 5? A. Yes. Q. And so you are unaware – when did you first – when did you first become aware of the provision that is set forth in Paragraph 5? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we – can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most – at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it fits on everybody else's calendar if we can't wrap up in an hour; okay? MR. MORRIS: No problem, Mr. Dondero. So the time now is what – what time do we have? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 2:56. (Recess taken 2:56 p.m. to 3:19 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 3:19. Q. Are you ready to proceed, sir? A. Yes.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5 before, but I just want to make sure, you're telling me that you didn't read this before you signed it, do I have that right, Paragraph 5? A. Yes. Q. And so you are unaware – when did you first – when did you first become aware of the provision that is set forth in Paragraph 5? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't know.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we – can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most – at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it fits on everybody else's calendar if we can't wrap up in an hour; okay? MR. MORRIS: No problem, Mr. Dondero. So the time now is what – what time do we have? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 2:56. (Recess taken 2:56 p.m. to 3:19 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 3:19. Q. Are you ready to proceed, sir? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5 before, but I just want to make sure, you're telling me that you didn't read this before you signed it, do I have that right, Paragraph 5? A. Yes. Q. And so you are unaware – when did you first – when did you first become aware of the provision that is set forth in Paragraph 5? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Was it before or after the	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we – can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most – at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it fits on everybody else's calendar if we can't wrap up in an hour; okay? MR. MORRIS: No problem, Mr. Dondero. So the time now is what – what time do we have? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 2:56. (Recess taken 2:56 p.m. to 3:19 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 3:19. Q. Are you ready to proceed, sir? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5 before, but I just want to make sure, you're telling me that you didn't read this before you signed it, do I have that right, Paragraph 5? A. Yes. Q. And so you are unaware – when did you first – when did you first become aware of the provision that is set forth in Paragraph 5? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Was it before or after the commencement of the litigation?	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we – can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most – at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it fits on everybody else's calendar if we can't wrap up in an hour; okay? MR. MORRIS: No problem, Mr. Dondero. So the time now is what – what time do we have? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 2:56. (Recess taken 2:56 p.m. to 3:19 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 3:19. Q. Are you ready to proceed, sir? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition?		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5 before, but I just want to make sure, you're telling me that you didn't read this before you signed it, do I have that right, Paragraph 5? A. Yes. Q. And so you are unaware – when did you first – when did you first become aware of the provision that is set forth in Paragraph 5? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Was it before or after the commencement of the litigation? A. I don't know.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	THE WITNESS: You know what, can we – can we take a break for like five or 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most – at most I've got another hour in me today, and then so we could just work on when it fits on everybody else's calendar if we can't wrap up in an hour; okay? MR. MORRIS: No problem, Mr. Dondero. So the time now is what – what time do we have? VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 2:56. (Recess taken 2:56 p.m. to 3:19 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 3:19. Q. Are you ready to proceed, sir? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody during the break about the substance of this		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	one, just to refresh your recollection after the break that this is the term note that was executed by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on May 31st, 2017. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5 before, but I just want to make sure, you're telling me that you didn't read this before you signed it, do I have that right, Paragraph 5? A. Yes. Q. And so you are unaware – when did you first – when did you first become aware of the provision that is set forth in Paragraph 5? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Was it before or after the commencement of the litigation?	

	Page 456			Page 457
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Tage 401
2	installment payment that was due at the end of	2	suing under it, I guess they did.	
3	2020; correct?	3	Q. Right. And HCMS didn't make the	
4	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to are	4	payment that was due at the end of the year, to	
5	you still talking – have you left HCRE?	5	the best of your knowledge; correct?	
6	MR. MORRIS: No. I said what I	6	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
7	meant to. So we can take down the exhibit	7	form.	
8	if that's the part that is confusing you.	8	A. Yeah. I mean, what I'd just	
9	I appreciate that.	9	separate in my notes here is the HCMFA was just	
10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay.	10	not – it wasn't a bona fide note, I guess,	
11	Q. Okay. NexPoint didn't make the	11	is – that is – which I guess is a	
12	installment payment that was due at the end of	12	different – a different conversation.	
13	2020; correct?	13	Q. Yeah. Do you understand that the	
14	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	14	question was about HCMS? Let me restate the	
15	form.	15	question.	
16	A. Yeah. I mean, I think maybe the	16	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yes.	
17	right way to describe it is Highland or	17	Q. HCMS –	
18	yeah, Highland or Frank Waterhouse on behalf of	18	A. Oh, I'm sorry.	
19	NexPoint didn't make the payment.	19	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I'm sorry,	
20	Q. Okay. And HCRE didn't make the	20	it is really hard on the video to	
21	installment payment that was due at the end of	21	distinguish between HCMF and HCMS, so if	
22	2020; correct?	22	you could just	
23	A. I don't – I guess – okay, if they	23	A. How about just say Services for	
24	missed it too, I – I did not have specific	24	Highland Capital Management Services, just	
25	awareness to that, I guess, but if you are	25	say – instead of S, just say Services.	
	Page 458			Page 459
1	Page 458 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 459
1		1 2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 in December 2020. Is that your testimony?	Page 459
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21			Page 459
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I	2	in December 2020. Is that your testimony?	Page 459
23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you	23	in December 2020. Is that your testimony? A. I was thinking about HCRE and	Page 459
2 3 4	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management	2 3 4	in December 2020. Is that your testimony? A. I was thinking about HCRE and Services had zero employees. NexPoint had	Page 459
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair?	2 3 4 5	in December 2020. Is that your testimony? A. I was thinking about HCRE and Services had zero employees. NexPoint had employees but none that were involved in basic	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay.	2 3 4 5 6	in December 2020. Is that your testimony? A. I was thinking about HCRE and Services had zero employees. NexPoint had employees but none that were involved in basic accounting functions.	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end;	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> <li>employees of NexPoint in December 2020;</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> <li>employees of NexPoint in December 2020;</li> <li>correct?</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> <li>employees of NexPoint in December 2020;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure that I have this right. Is it – is it the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> <li>employees of NexPoint in December 2020;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And HCRE had officers in December</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure that I have this right. Is it – is it the corporate obligors' – those three corporate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> <li>employees of NexPoint in December 2020;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And HCRE had officers in December</li> <li>2020, including you; correct?</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure that I have this right. Is it – is it the corporate obligors' – those three corporate obligors' contention that one of the reasons	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> <li>employees of NexPoint in December 2020;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And HCRE had officers in December</li> <li>2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Officers, yes.</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure that I have this right. Is it – is it the corporate obligors' – those three corporate obligors' contention that one of the reasons they didn't make the payments at the end of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> <li>employees of NexPoint in December 2020;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And HCRE had officers in December</li> <li>2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Officers, yes.</li> <li>Q. And Services had officers in</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure that I have this right. Is it – is it the corporate obligors' – those three corporate obligors' contention that one of the reasons they didn't make the payments at the end of the year is that they were relying on Highland to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> <li>employees of NexPoint in December 2020;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And HCRE had officers in December</li> <li>2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Officers, yes.</li> <li>Q. And Services had officers in</li> <li>December 2020, including you; correct?</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure that I have this right. Is it – is it the corporate obligors' – those three corporate obligors' contention that one of the reasons they didn't make the payments at the end of the year is that they were relying on Highland to make the payment for them?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> <li>employees of NexPoint in December 2020;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And HCRE had officers in December</li> <li>2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Officers, yes.</li> <li>Q. And Services had officers in</li> <li>December 2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure that I have this right. Is it – is it the corporate obligors' – those three corporate obligors' contention that one of the reasons they didn't make the payments at the end of the year is that they were relying on Highland to make the payment for them? A. Absolutely.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> <li>employees of NexPoint in December 2020;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And HCRE had officers in December</li> <li>2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Officers, yes.</li> <li>Q. And Services had officers in</li> <li>December 2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I think in summary form, to</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure that I have this right. Is it – is it the corporate obligors' – those three corporate obligors' contention that one of the reasons they didn't make the payments at the end of the year is that they were relying on Highland to make the payment for them? A. Absolutely. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> <li>employees of NexPoint in December 2020;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And HCRE had officers in December</li> <li>2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Officers, yes.</li> <li>Q. And Services had officers in</li> <li>December 2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I think in summary form, to</li> <li>be fair, I think we have identified one of the</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure that I have this right. Is it – is it the corporate obligors' – those three corporate obligors' contention that one of the reasons they didn't make the payments at the end of the year is that they were relying on Highland to make the payment for them? A. Absolutely. Q. Okay. A. It was due course de minimis, and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> <li>employees of NexPoint in December 2020;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And HCRE had officers in December</li> <li>2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Officers, yes.</li> <li>Q. And Services had officers in</li> <li>December 2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I think in summary form, to</li> <li>be fair, I think we have identified one of the</li> <li>defenses for these three corporate obligors.</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure that I have this right. Is it – is it the corporate obligors' – those three corporate obligors' contention that one of the reasons they didn't make the payments at the end of the year is that they were relying on Highland to make the payment for them? A. Absolutely. Q. Okay. A. It was due course de minimis, and those entities didn't have a single employee or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> <li>employees of NexPoint in December 2020;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And HCRE had officers in December</li> <li>2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Officers, yes.</li> <li>Q. And Services had officers in</li> <li>December 2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I think in summary form, to</li> <li>be fair, I think we have identified one of the</li> <li>defenses for these three corporate obligors.</li> <li>Two of them have the defense of</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure that I have this right. Is it – is it the corporate obligors' – those three corporate obligors' contention that one of the reasons they didn't make the payments at the end of the year is that they were relying on Highland to make the payment for them? A. Absolutely. Q. Okay. A. It was due course de minimis, and those entities didn't have a single employee or capable financial person other than the people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> <li>employees of NexPoint in December 2020;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And HCRE had officers in December</li> <li>2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Officers, yes.</li> <li>Q. And Services had officers in</li> <li>December 2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I think in summary form, to</li> <li>be fair, I think we have identified one of the</li> <li>defenses for these three corporate obligors. Two of them have the defense of</li> <li>prepayment; right?</li> </ul>	Page 459
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I</li> <li>will try and use the word "Services" and you</li> <li>will know that that means Highland Management</li> <li>Services, Inc.; is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes, okay.</li> <li>Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the</li> <li>installment payment that was due at year-end;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure</li> <li>that I have this right. Is it – is it the</li> <li>corporate obligors' – those three corporate</li> <li>obligors' contention that one of the reasons</li> <li>they didn't make the payments at the end of the</li> <li>year is that they were relying on Highland to</li> <li>make the payment for them?</li> <li>A. Absolutely.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. It was due course de minimis, and</li> <li>those entities didn't have a single employee or</li> <li>capable financial person other than the people</li> <li>at Highland that were doing the shared services</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>in December 2020. Is that your testimony?</li> <li>A. I was thinking about HCRE and</li> <li>Services had zero employees. NexPoint had</li> <li>employees but none that were involved in basic</li> <li>accounting functions.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And – and there are people,</li> <li>including yourself, who were officers or</li> <li>employees of NexPoint in December 2020;</li> <li>correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And HCRE had officers in December</li> <li>2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes. Officers, yes.</li> <li>Q. And Services had officers in</li> <li>December 2020, including you; correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I think in summary form, to</li> <li>be fair, I think we have identified one of the</li> <li>defenses for these three corporate obligors. Two of them have the defense of</li> <li>prepayment; right?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>	Page 459

1	Page 460			Page 461
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	Do you have that do I have that	2	contentious with you. We have identified one	
3	right?	3	defense that these corporate obligors contends	
4	A. Yes.	4	exists; and that is, Highland was supposed to	
5	Q. Which of the other two, remind me?	5	make the payment. Fair?	
6	A. Services.	6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. Okay. So NexPoint and Services have	7	Q. And then we have identified a second	
8	the defense of prepayment. Are there any other	8	defense for NexPoint and HCMS, and that is	
9	reasons that you know of that these three	9	their defense that they prepaid.	
10	corporate obligors didn't make the annual	10	Do I have that generally right?	
11	installment payment that was due at the end of	11	A. Yes.	
12	the year?	12	Q. Can you describe for me any other	
13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	13	defenses that these three corporate obligors	
14	form.	14	have for not making the payment that was due at	
15	A. Again, they – they should have been	15	the end of the year?	
16	in regular course. Those payments – using the	16	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
17	word "payment" is almost like an overstatement	17	form.	
18	of the significance or the amount. If the	18	A. I'm thinking. Not at the moment.	
19	amounts were small in all cases, they should	19	Q. Okay. Did you instruct anyone in	
20	have been made or they should have been paid,	20	December of 2020 to make the installment	
21	even in the context of contention and even in	21	payments that were due on December 31st under	
22	the context of the larger amounts of money that	22	these three term notes?	
23	Highland owed us.	23	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
24	Q. I'm just – I'm just asking a pretty	24	form, asked and answered.	
25	simple question, sir. I don't mean to be	25	A. No.	
$\vdash$				
	Page 462			Page 463
1	Page 462 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 463
1 2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to	1 2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 corporate obligors under the term notes ever	Page 463
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21			Page 463
2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to	2	corporate obligors under the term notes ever	Page 463
2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments	23	corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure	Page 463
2 3 4	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at	2 3 4	corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments	Page 463
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year?	2 3 4 5	corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end?	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	2 3 4 5 6	corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7	corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No. I testified already the first I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No, there was a reliance on	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No. I testified already the first I heard about it was a week or two later. And I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No, there was a reliance on Highland.	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No. I testified already the first I heard about it was a week or two later. And I called up Frank and confirmed with him to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No, there was a reliance on Highland. Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No. I testified already the first I heard about it was a week or two later. And I called up Frank and confirmed with him to make sure they got paid and make sure they were back	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No, there was a reliance on Highland. Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that Highland was authorized to make the payments	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No. I testified already the first I heard about it was a week or two later. And I called up Frank and confirmed with him to make sure they got paid and make sure they were back in compliance.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No, there was a reliance on Highland. Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that Highland was authorized to make the payments under the notes at year-end without being	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No. I testified already the first I heard about it was a week or two later. And I called up Frank and confirmed with him to make sure they got paid and make sure they were back in compliance. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No, there was a reliance on Highland. Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that Highland was authorized to make the payments under the notes at year-end without being directed by a representative of the three	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No. I testified already the first I heard about it was a week or two later. And I called up Frank and confirmed with him to make sure they got paid and make sure they were back in compliance. Q. Okay. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No, there was a reliance on Highland. Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that Highland was authorized to make the payments under the notes at year-end without being directed by a representative of the three corporate obligors?	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to</li> <li>confirm that Highland would make the payments</li> <li>that were due under these three term notes at</li> <li>the end of the year?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. No. I testified already the first I</li> <li>heard about it was a week or two later. And I</li> <li>called up Frank and confirmed with him to make</li> <li>sure they got paid and make sure they were back</li> <li>in compliance.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I move to strike</li> <li>everything after the word "no."</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No, there was a reliance on Highland. Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that Highland was authorized to make the payments under the notes at year-end without being directed by a representative of the three corporate obligors? A. Yes. It is my contention that that	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No. I testified already the first I heard about it was a week or two later. And I called up Frank and confirmed with him to make sure they got paid and make sure they were back in compliance. Q. Okay. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike everything after the word "no." Q. Do you know whether anybody on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? <ul> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. No, there was a reliance on</li> </ul> </li> <li>Highland. <ul> <li>Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that</li> <li>Highland was authorized to make the payments under the notes at year-end without being directed by a representative of the three corporate obligors? <ul> <li>A. Yes. It is my contention that that is how it worked in prior years also.</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. No. I testified already the first I</li> <li>heard about it was a week or two later. And I</li> <li>called up Frank and confirmed with him to make sure they got paid and make sure they were back in compliance.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I move to strike everything after the word "no."</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody on behalf of any of the three corporate obligors</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? <ul> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. No, there was a reliance on</li> <li>Highland.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that</li> <li>Highland was authorized to make the payments under the notes at year-end without being directed by a representative of the three corporate obligors?</li> <li>A. Yes. It is my contention that that is how it worked in prior years also.</li> <li>Q. And so you believe that nobody on</li> </ul>	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. No. I testified already the first I heard about it was a week or two later. And I called up Frank and confirmed with him to make sure they got paid and make sure they were back in compliance.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I move to strike everything after the word "no."</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody on behalf of any of the three corporate obligors under the term notes ever directed Highland to</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? <ul> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. No, there was a reliance on</li> <li>Highland.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that</li> <li>Highland was authorized to make the payments under the notes at year-end without being directed by a representative of the three corporate obligors?</li> <li>A. Yes. It is my contention that that is how it worked in prior years also.</li> <li>Q. And so you believe that nobody on behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever</li> </ul>	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. No. I testified already the first I</li> <li>heard about it was a week or two later. And I</li> <li>called up Frank and confirmed with him to make sure they got paid and make sure they were back in compliance.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I move to strike everything after the word "no."</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody on behalf of any of the three corporate obligors under the term notes ever directed Highland to make the payments under them at the end of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? <ul> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. No, there was a reliance on Highland.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that Highland was authorized to make the payments under the notes at year-end without being directed by a representative of the three corporate obligors?</li> <li>A. Yes. It is my contention that that is how it worked in prior years also.</li> <li>Q. And so you believe that nobody on behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever authorized or directed Highland to make the</li> </ul>	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. No. I testified already the first I heard about it was a week or two later. And I called up Frank and confirmed with him to make sure they got paid and make sure they were back in compliance.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I move to strike everything after the word "no."</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody on behalf of any of the three corporate obligors under the term notes ever directed Highland to make the payments under them at the end of the year?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? <ul> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. No, there was a reliance on</li> <li>Highland.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that</li> <li>Highland was authorized to make the payments under the notes at year-end without being directed by a representative of the three corporate obligors?</li> <li>A. Yes. It is my contention that that is how it worked in prior years also.</li> <li>Q. And so you believe that nobody on behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever authorized or directed Highland to make the payments but that Highland did it without –</li> </ul>	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. No. I testified already the first I heard about it was a week or two later. And I called up Frank and confirmed with him to make sure they got paid and make sure they were back in compliance. Q. Okay. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike everything after the word "no." Q. Do you know whether anybody on behalf of any of the three corporate obligors under the term notes ever directed Highland to make the payments under them at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? <ul> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. No, there was a reliance on Highland.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that Highland was authorized to make the payments under the notes at year-end without being directed by a representative of the three corporate obligors?</li> <li>A. Yes. It is my contention that that is how it worked in prior years also.</li> <li>Q. And so you believe that nobody on behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever authorized or directed Highland to make the payments but that Highland did it without – without direction?</li> </ul>	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. No. I testified already the first I heard about it was a week or two later. And I called up Frank and confirmed with him to make sure they got paid and make sure they were back in compliance.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: I move to strike everything after the word "no."</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody on behalf of any of the three corporate obligors under the term notes ever directed Highland to make the payments under them at the end of the year?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end? <ul> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> </ul> </li> <li>A. No, there was a reliance on Highland.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that Highland was authorized to make the payments under the notes at year-end without being directed by a representative of the three corporate obligors?</li> <li>A. Yes. It is my contention that that is how it worked in prior years also.</li> <li>Q. And so you believe that nobody on behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever authorized or directed Highland to make the payments but that Highland did it without – without direction?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</li> </ul>	Page 463
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to confirm that Highland would make the payments that were due under these three term notes at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. No. I testified already the first I heard about it was a week or two later. And I called up Frank and confirmed with him to make sure they got paid and make sure they were back in compliance.</li> <li>Q. Okay. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike everything after the word "no."</li> <li>Q. Do you know whether anybody on behalf of any of the three corporate obligors under the term notes ever directed Highland to make the payments under them at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. Not before the end of the year, no.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> <li>A. No, there was a reliance on</li> <li>Highland.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that</li> <li>Highland was authorized to make the payments under the notes at year-end without being directed by a representative of the three corporate obligors?</li> <li>A. Yes. It is my contention that that is how it worked in prior years also.</li> <li>Q. And so you believe that nobody on behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever authorized or directed Highland to make the payments but that Highland did it without – without direction?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.</li> </ul>	Page 463

Page 464		Page 465
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	. ugo 100
2 Q. Okay. We have looked at one – at	2 to notes that were held by Highland?	
3 one December 3rd letter. I mean, do you	3 A. No.	
4 remember that you also received a number of	4 Q. Do you recall this letter at all?	
5 letters on December 3rd demanding payment on	5 A. No, if I – if I had, I would have	
6 certain promissory notes?	6 made the forgiveness argument or I would have	
7 A. No.	7 told someone to make the forgiveness argument,	
8 Q. All right.	8 but I don't remember this at all.	
9 MR. MORRIS: Can we call up	9 Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that	
10 Exhibit 2, please. No, I apologize. Not	10 neither you nor anyone acting on behalf of	
11 Exhibit 2, Exhibit 4.	11 yourself, HCMS, or HCRE ever responded to any	
12 (Exhibit 4 marked.)	12 of the demand letters at the beginning of	
13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Exhibit 4 in the	13 December 2020?	
14 notebook?	14 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
15 MR. MORRIS: Yes, ma'am.	15 form.	
16 Okay. And now let's – let's go to	16 A. Yes, I don't – I don't know.	
17 the exhibits. Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3,	17 Q. You don't have any knowledge of	
18 Exhibit 4, Exhibit 5.	18 that; is that fair?	
19 Q. Do you see, sir, that this is a	19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
20 letter addressed to you on behalf of HCRE	20 form.	
21 Partners that is also dated December 3rd, 2020?	21 A. I don't know.	
22 A. Yes.	22 Q. And you don't have any knowledge of	
23 Q. Does that refresh your recollection	23 anybody responding to any demand letter that	
24 that you also received notices, demand notices	24 was sent to HCMFA; correct?	
25 on or around December 3rd, 2020, with respect	25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
Page 466		
		Page 467
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 467
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 form.	2 on a note might send a demand letter to the	Page 467
1         DONDERO - 10/29/21           2         form.           3         A. HCMFA or Services?	<ul><li>2 on a note might send a demand letter to the</li><li>3 treasurer of the maker of the note?</li></ul>	Page 467
1         DONDERO - 10/29/21           2         form.           3         A.         HCMFA or Services?           4         Q.         HCMFA?	<ul> <li>2 on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>3 treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> </ul>	Page 467
1DONDERO - 10/29/212form.3A. HCMFA or Services?4Q. HCMFA?5A. I I don't know. I don't have any	<ul> <li>2 on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>3 treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> </ul>	Page 467
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       form.         3       A. HCMFA or Services?         4       Q. HCMFA?         5       A. I I don't know. I don't have any         6       knowledge.	<ul> <li>2 on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>3 treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> </ul>	Page 467
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       form.         3       A. HCMFA or Services?         4       Q. HCMFA?         5       A. I I don't know. I don't have any         6       knowledge.         7       MR. MORRIS: Can we put up	<ul> <li>2 on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>3 treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>7 him also; right?</li> </ul>	Page 467
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       form.         3       A. HCMFA or Services?         4       Q. HCMFA?         5       A. I – I don't know. I don't have any         6       knowledge.         7       MR. MORRIS: Can we put up         8       Exhibit 1, please.	<ul> <li>2 on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>3 treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>7 him also; right?</li> <li>8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> </ul>	Page 467
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       form.         3       A. HCMFA or Services?         4       Q. HCMFA?         5       A. I – I don't know. I don't have any         6       knowledge.         7       MR. MORRIS: Can we put up         8       Exhibit 1, please.         9       (Exhibit 1 marked.)	<ul> <li>2 on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>3 treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>7 him also; right?</li> <li>8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> </ul>	Page 467
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       form.         3       A. HCMFA or Services?         4       Q. HCMFA?         5       A. I – I don't know. I don't have any         6       knowledge.         7       MR. MORRIS: Can we put up         8       Exhibit 1, please.         9       (Exhibit 1 marked.)         10       MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go	<ul> <li>2 on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>3 treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>7 him also; right?</li> <li>8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>10 letter.</li> </ul>	Page 467
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       form.         3       A. HCMFA or Services?         4       Q. HCMFA?         5       A. I – I don't know. I don't have any         6       knowledge.         7       MR. MORRIS: Can we put up         8       Exhibit 1, please.         9       (Exhibit 1 marked.)         10       MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go         11       to Exhibit 3 of that document.	<ul> <li>2 on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>3 treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>7 him also; right?</li> <li>8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>10 letter.</li> <li>11 A. No, I know that. But whatever –</li> </ul>	Page 467
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       form.         3       A. HCMFA or Services?         4       Q. HCMFA?         5       A. I – I don't know. I don't have any         6       knowledge.         7       MR. MORRIS: Can we put up         8       Exhibit 1, please.         9       (Exhibit 1 marked.)         10       MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go         11       to Exhibit 3 of that document.         12       Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse.	<ul> <li>2 on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>3 treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>7 him also; right?</li> <li>8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>10 letter.</li> <li>11 A. No, I know that. But whatever –</li> <li>12 whatever the other one we were just looking at,</li> </ul>	Page 467
1DONDERO - 10/29/212form.3A. HCMFA or Services?4Q. HCMFA?5A. I – I don't know. I don't have any6knowledge.7MR. MORRIS: Can we put up8Exhibit 1, please.9(Exhibit 1 marked.)10MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go11to Exhibit 3 of that document.12Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse.13Do you see that?	<ul> <li>2 on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>3 treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>7 him also; right?</li> <li>8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>10 letter.</li> <li>11 A. No, I know that. But whatever –</li> <li>12 whatever the other one we were just looking at,</li> <li>13 the Services one could have gone to him, too.</li> </ul>	Page 467
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       form.         3       A. HCMFA or Services?         4       Q. HCMFA?         5       A. I – I don't know. I don't have any         6       knowledge.         7       MR. MORRIS: Can we put up         8       Exhibit 1, please.         9       (Exhibit 1 marked.)         10       MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go         11       to Exhibit 3 of that document.         12       Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse.         13       Do you see that?         14       A. Yes.	<ul> <li>2 on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>3 treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>7 him also; right?</li> <li>8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>10 letter.</li> <li>11 A. No, I know that. But whatever –</li> <li>12 whatever the other one we were just looking at,</li> <li>13 the Services one could have gone to him, too.</li> <li>14 Anyway, whatever. It doesn't</li> </ul>	Page 467
1DONDERO - 10/29/212form.3A. HCMFA or Services?4Q. HCMFA?5A. I – I don't know. I don't have any6knowledge.7MR. MORRIS: Can we put up8Exhibit 1, please.9(Exhibit 1 marked.)10MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go11to Exhibit 3 of that document.12Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse.13Do you see that?14A. Yes.15Q. Okay. And did you become aware on	<ul> <li>2 on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>3 treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>7 him also; right?</li> <li>8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>10 letter.</li> <li>11 A. No, I know that. But whatever –</li> <li>12 whatever the other one we were just looking at,</li> <li>13 the Services one could have gone to him, too.</li> <li>14 Anyway, whatever. It doesn't</li> <li>15 matter. But, no, I don't have a specific</li> </ul>	Page 467
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       form.         3       A. HCMFA or Services?         4       Q. HCMFA?         5       A. I – I don't know. I don't have any         6       knowledge.         7       MR. MORRIS: Can we put up         8       Exhibit 1, please.         9       (Exhibit 1 marked.)         10       MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go         11       to Exhibit 3 of that document.         12       Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse.         13       Do you see that?         14       A. Yes.         15       Q. Okay. And did you become aware on         16       or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland	<ul> <li>2 on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>3 treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>7 him also; right?</li> <li>8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>10 letter.</li> <li>11 A. No, I know that. But whatever –</li> <li>12 whatever the other one we were just looking at,</li> <li>13 the Services one could have gone to him, too.</li> <li>14 Anyway, whatever. It doesn't</li> <li>15 matter. But, no, I don't have a specific</li> <li>16 recollection of this, if that was your</li> </ul>	Page 467
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       form.         3       A. HCMFA or Services?         4       Q. HCMFA?         5       A. I – I don't know. I don't have any         6       knowledge.         7       MR. MORRIS: Can we put up         8       Exhibit 1, please.         9       (Exhibit 1 marked.)         10       MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go         11       to Exhibit 3 of that document.         12       Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse.         13       Do you see that?         14       A. Yes.         15       Q. Okay. And did you become aware on         16       or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland         17       made demand under the two notes listed in this	<ul> <li>2 on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>3 treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>7 him also; right?</li> <li>8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>10 letter.</li> <li>11 A. No, I know that. But whatever –</li> <li>12 whatever the other one we were just looking at,</li> <li>13 the Services one could have gone to him, too.</li> <li>14 Anyway, whatever. It doesn't</li> <li>15 matter. But, no, I don't have a specific</li> <li>16 recollection of this, if that was your</li> <li>17 question.</li> </ul>	Page 467
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. HCMFA or Services?</li> <li>Q. HCMFA?</li> <li>A. I – I don't know. I don't have any</li> <li>knowledge.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we put up</li> <li>Exhibit 1, please.</li> <li>(Exhibit 1 marked.)</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go</li> <li>to Exhibit 3 of that document.</li> <li>Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you become aware on</li> <li>or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland</li> <li>made demand under the two notes listed in this</li> <li>letter?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>him also; right?</li> <li>Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>letter.</li> <li>A. No, I know that. But whatever –</li> <li>whatever the other one we were just looking at,</li> <li>the Services one could have gone to him, too.</li> <li>Anyway, whatever. It doesn't</li> <li>matter. But, no, I don't have a specific</li> <li>recollection of this, if that was your</li> <li>Q. You don't have – you don't have any</li> </ul>	Page 467
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. HCMFA or Services?</li> <li>Q. HCMFA?</li> <li>A. I – I don't know. I don't have any</li> <li>knowledge.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we put up</li> <li>Exhibit 1, please.</li> <li>(Exhibit 1 marked.)</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go</li> <li>to Exhibit 3 of that document.</li> <li>Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you become aware on</li> <li>or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland</li> <li>made demand under the two notes listed in this</li> <li>letter?</li> <li>A. Yes. Why would this one go to</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>him also; right?</li> <li>Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>letter.</li> <li>A. No, I know that. But whatever –</li> <li>whatever the other one we were just looking at,</li> <li>the Services one could have a specific</li> <li>recollection of this, if that was your</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. You don't have – you don't have any</li> <li>recollection of Highland making demand under</li> </ul>	Page 467
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       form.         3       A. HCMFA or Services?         4       Q. HCMFA?         5       A. I – I don't know. I don't have any         6       knowledge.         7       MR. MORRIS: Can we put up         8       Exhibit 1, please.         9       (Exhibit 1 marked.)         10       MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go         11       to Exhibit 3 of that document.         12       Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse.         13       Do you see that?         14       A. Yes.         15       Q. Okay. And did you become aware on         16       or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland         17       made demand under the two notes listed in this         18       letter?         19       A. Yes. Why would this one go to         20       Frank Waterhouse?	<ul> <li>on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>him also; right?</li> <li>Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>letter.</li> <li>A. No, I know that. But whatever –</li> <li>whatever the other one we were just looking at,</li> <li>the Services one could have gone to him, too.</li> <li>Anyway, whatever. It doesn't</li> <li>matter. But, no, I don't have a specific</li> <li>recollection of this, if that was your</li> <li>Q. You don't have – you don't have any</li> <li>recollection of Highland making demand under</li> <li>promissory notes that were issued by you and</li> </ul>	Page 467
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       form.         3       A. HCMFA or Services?         4       Q. HCMFA?         5       A. I – I don't know. I don't have any         6       knowledge.         7       MR. MORRIS: Can we put up         8       Exhibit 1, please.         9       (Exhibit 1 marked.)         10       MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go         11       to Exhibit 3 of that document.         12       Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse.         13       Do you see that?         14       A. Yes.         15       Q. Okay. And did you become aware on         16       or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland         17       made demand under the two notes listed in this         18       letter?         19       A. Yes. Why would this one go to         20       Frank Waterhouse?         21       Q. Was he the treasurer – was he the	<ul> <li>on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>him also; right?</li> <li>Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>letter.</li> <li>A. No, I know that. But whatever –</li> <li>whatever the other one we were just looking at,</li> <li>the Services one could have gone to him, too.</li> <li>Anyway, whatever. It doesn't</li> <li>matter. But, no, I don't have a specific</li> <li>recollection of this, if that was your</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. You don't have – you don't have any</li> <li>promissory notes that were issued by you and</li> <li>certain of your affiliates in early December</li> </ul>	Page 467
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. HCMFA or Services?</li> <li>Q. HCMFA?</li> <li>A. I – I don't know. I don't have any</li> <li>knowledge.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we put up</li> <li>Exhibit 1, please.</li> <li>(Exhibit 1 marked.)</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go</li> <li>to Exhibit 3 of that document.</li> <li>Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you become aware on</li> <li>or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland</li> <li>made demand under the two notes listed in this</li> <li>letter?</li> <li>A. Yes. Why would this one go to</li> <li>Frank Waterhouse?</li> <li>Q. Was he the treasurer – was he the</li> <li>treasurer of Highland Capital Management Fund</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>him also; right?</li> <li>Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>letter.</li> <li>A. No, I know that. But whatever –</li> <li>whatever the other one we were just looking at,</li> <li>the Services one could have a specific</li> <li>recollection of this, if that was your</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. You don't have – you don't have any</li> <li>recollection of Highland making demand under</li> <li>promissory notes that were issued by you and</li> <li>certain of your affiliates in early December</li> <li>2020. You don't remember that at all?</li> </ul>	Page 467
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. HCMFA or Services?</li> <li>Q. HCMFA?</li> <li>A. I – I don't know. I don't have any</li> <li>knowledge.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we put up</li> <li>Exhibit 1, please.</li> <li>(Exhibit 1 marked.)</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go</li> <li>to Exhibit 3 of that document.</li> <li>Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you become aware on</li> <li>or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland</li> <li>made demand under the two notes listed in this</li> <li>letter?</li> <li>A. Yes. Why would this one go to</li> <li>Frank Waterhouse?</li> <li>Q. Was he the treasurer – was he the</li> <li>treasurer of Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>Advisors at the time?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>him also; right?</li> <li>Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>letter.</li> <li>A. No, I know that. But whatever –</li> <li>whatever the other one we were just looking at,</li> <li>the Services one could have gone to him, too.</li> <li>Anyway, whatever. It doesn't</li> <li>matter. But, no, I don't have a specific</li> <li>recollection of this, if that was your</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. You don't have – you don't have any</li> <li>recollection of Highland making demand under</li> <li>promissory notes that were issued by you and</li> <li>certain of your affiliates in early December</li> <li>2020. You don't remember that at all?</li> <li>A. There was a lot going on then. And,</li> </ul>	Page 467
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       form.         3       A. HCMFA or Services?         4       Q. HCMFA?         5       A. I – I don't know. I don't have any         6       knowledge.         7       MR. MORRIS: Can we put up         8       Exhibit 1, please.         9       (Exhibit 1 marked.)         10       MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go         11       to Exhibit 3 of that document.         12       Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse.         13       Do you see that?         14       A. Yes.         15       Q. Okay. And did you become aware on         16       or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland         17       made demand under the two notes listed in this         18       letter?         19       A. Yes. Why would this one go to         20       Frank Waterhouse?         21       Q. Was he the treasurer – was he the         22       treasurer of Highland Capital Management Fund         23       Advisors at the time?         24       A. Right.	<ul> <li>on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>him also; right?</li> <li>Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>letter.</li> <li>A. No, I know that. But whatever –</li> <li>whatever the other one we were just looking at,</li> <li>the Services one could have gone to him, too.</li> <li>Anyway, whatever. It doesn't</li> <li>matter. But, no, I don't have a specific</li> <li>recollection of this, if that was your</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. You don't have – you don't have any</li> <li>recollection of Highland making demand under</li> <li>promissory notes that were issued by you and</li> <li>certain of your affiliates in early December</li> <li>2020. You don't remember that at all?</li> <li>A. There was a lot going on then. And,</li> <li>again, it wasn't something that we either</li> </ul>	Page 467
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>form.</li> <li>A. HCMFA or Services?</li> <li>Q. HCMFA?</li> <li>A. I – I don't know. I don't have any</li> <li>knowledge.</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: Can we put up</li> <li>Exhibit 1, please.</li> <li>(Exhibit 1 marked.)</li> <li>MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go</li> <li>to Exhibit 3 of that document.</li> <li>Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse.</li> <li>Do you see that?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. And did you become aware on</li> <li>or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland</li> <li>made demand under the two notes listed in this</li> <li>letter?</li> <li>A. Yes. Why would this one go to</li> <li>Frank Waterhouse?</li> <li>Q. Was he the treasurer – was he the</li> <li>treasurer of Highland Capital Management Fund</li> <li>Advisors at the time?</li> </ol>	<ul> <li>on a note might send a demand letter to the</li> <li>treasurer of the maker of the note?</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.</li> <li>A. I'm just saying they could have sent</li> <li>the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to</li> <li>him also; right?</li> <li>Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is</li> <li>only a term note; right? So there is no demand</li> <li>letter.</li> <li>A. No, I know that. But whatever –</li> <li>whatever the other one we were just looking at,</li> <li>the Services one could have gone to him, too.</li> <li>Anyway, whatever. It doesn't</li> <li>matter. But, no, I don't have a specific</li> <li>recollection of this, if that was your</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. You don't have – you don't have any</li> <li>recollection of Highland making demand under</li> <li>promissory notes that were issued by you and</li> <li>certain of your affiliates in early December</li> <li>2020. You don't remember that at all?</li> <li>A. There was a lot going on then. And,</li> </ul>	Page 467

1	Page 468 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 469
2	other issues or it wasn't things that we	2	A. Right.	
3	thought were legitimate as part of the overall	3	Q. Okay. And so it is fair to say that	
4	settlement.	4	you never directed anybody to respond to these	
5	You've got to remember we didn't	5	demands because you didn't have knowledge of	
6	realize Seery betrayed the estate at this	6	them; correct?	
7	point. We thought we were moving towards, you	7	A. Right.	
8	know, resolution or a pot plan.	8	Q. Okay. Do you know whether anybody	
9	Q. Okay.	9	responded on behalf – on your behalf or any of	
10	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	10	the corporate obligors' behalf to any of the	
11	Q. And please listen carefully to my	11	demand letters that were – that you now know	
12	question.	12	were sent in early December 2020?	
13	Did you have any knowledge in early	13	A. Well, yes. I mean, I know	
14	December 2020 that Highland made demand for	14	eventually. I don't know when, but I don't	
15	payment under demand notes that were issued by	15	think anybody believes these – these HVIN	
16	you and certain of your affiliates?	16	notes are legitimate notes.	
17	A. Same answer.	17	I know the response was more around	
18	Q. Were you aware or you were not	18	it being payments for the TerreStar regulatory	
19	aware?	19	obligations for all the things that Highland	
20	A. Well, no specific knowledge for the	20	had mucked up in the TerreStar situation.	
21	reasons articulated in the answer that you –	21	Q. While you were president of that	
22	you moved to strike.	22	entity; right?	
23	Q. Okay. So – so you had – you had	23	A. Yes.	
24	no particularized knowledge of the demands in	24	Q. Okay. And – and	
25	December 2020; correct?	25	PricewaterhouseCoopers certainly doesn't think	
20		20		
1	Page 470	1	DONDERO - 10/20/21	Page 471
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 471
2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it?	2	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	Page 471
23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	2 3	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	Page 471
2 3 4	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	2 3 4	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes.	Page 471
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't –	2 3 4 5	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for	Page 471
2 3 4	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically	2 3 4 5 6	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me?	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM,	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM, the rationale that they had in the regulatory	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM, the rationale that they had in the regulatory breaches and in the settlement, and all of the	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I mean, as they should have with the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM, the rationale that they had in the regulatory breaches and in the settlement, and all of the breaches in the settlement were things that	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I mean, as they should have with the information they had at the time, but I think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM, the rationale that they had in the regulatory breaches and in the settlement, and all of the breaches in the settlement were things that Highland did, not that HFAM did.	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I mean, as they should have with the information they had at the time, but I think what has come out since then is that they – it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM, the rationale that they had in the regulatory breaches and in the settlement, and all of the breaches in the settlement were things that Highland did, not that HFAM did. It was all valuation, it was all –	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I mean, as they should have with the information they had at the time, but I think what has come out since then is that they – it was moneys that moved from Highland to HFAM for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM, the rationale that they had in the regulatory breaches and in the settlement, and all of the breaches in the settlement were things that Highland did, not that HFAM did. It was all valuation, it was all – it was all services that HFAM had contracted	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I mean, as they should have with the information they had at the time, but I think what has come out since then is that they – it was moneys that moved from Highland to HFAM for things that were caused by Highland and people,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM, the rationale that they had in the regulatory breaches and in the settlement, and all of the breaches in the settlement were things that Highland did, not that HFAM did. It was all valuation, it was all – it was all services that HFAM had contracted with Highland that were performed deficiently	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I mean, as they should have with the information they had at the time, but I think what has come out since then is that they – it was moneys that moved from Highland to HFAM for things that were caused by Highland and people, not me, not even Frank, I think, but other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM, the rationale that they had in the regulatory breaches and in the settlement, and all of the breaches in the settlement were things that Highland did, not that HFAM did. It was all valuation, it was all – it was all services that HFAM had contracted with Highland that were performed deficiently in the eyes of the SEC.	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I mean, as they should have with the information they had at the time, but I think what has come out since then is that they – it was moneys that moved from Highland to HFAM for things that were caused by Highland and people, not me, not even Frank, I think, but other people assumed it was a note and made notes out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM, the rationale that they had in the regulatory breaches and in the settlement, and all of the breaches in the settlement were things that Highland did, not that HFAM did. It was all valuation, it was all – it was all services that HFAM had contracted with Highland that were performed deficiently in the eyes of the SEC. Q. Okay. We will – we will get to	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I mean, as they should have with the information they had at the time, but I think what has come out since then is that they – it was moneys that moved from Highland to HFAM for things that were caused by Highland and people, not me, not even Frank, I think, but other people assumed it was a note and made notes out of it. And that is what PricewaterhouseCoopers	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM, the rationale that they had in the regulatory breaches and in the settlement, and all of the breaches in the settlement were things that Highland did, not that HFAM did. It was all valuation, it was all – it was all services that HFAM had contracted with Highland that were performed deficiently in the eyes of the SEC. Q. Okay. We will – we will get to that in more detail, but I just would like to	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I mean, as they should have with the information they had at the time, but I think what has come out since then is that they – it was moneys that moved from Highland to HFAM for things that were caused by Highland and people, not me, not even Frank, I think, but other people assumed it was a note and made notes out of it. And that is what PricewaterhouseCoopers put into the financials, but I think what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM, the rationale that they had in the regulatory breaches and in the settlement, and all of the breaches and in the settlement, and all of the breaches in the settlement were things that Highland did, not that HFAM did. It was all valuation, it was all – it was all services that HFAM had contracted with Highland that were performed deficiently in the eyes of the SEC. Q. Okay. We will – we will get to that in more detail, but I just would like to know if you believe that any correspondence to	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I mean, as they should have with the information they had at the time, but I think what has come out since then is that they – it was moneys that moved from Highland to HFAM for things that were caused by Highland and people, not me, not even Frank, I think, but other people assumed it was a note and made notes out of it. And that is what PricewaterhouseCoopers put into the financials, but I think what everybody acknowledges is that they were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM, the rationale that they had in the regulatory breaches and in the settlement, and all of the breaches in the settlement were things that Highland did, not that HFAM did. It was all valuation, it was all – it was all services that HFAM had contracted with Highland that were performed deficiently in the eyes of the SEC. Q. Okay. We will – we will get to that in more detail, but I just would like to know if you believe that any correspondence to the SEC specifically stated that Highland	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I mean, as they should have with the information they had at the time, but I think what has come out since then is that they – it was moneys that moved from Highland to HFAM for things that were caused by Highland and people, not me, not even Frank, I think, but other people assumed it was a note and made notes out of it. And that is what PricewaterhouseCoopers put into the financials, but I think what everybody acknowledges is that they were never – they were never notes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM, the rationale that they had in the regulatory breaches and in the settlement, and all of the breaches in the settlement were things that Highland did, not that HFAM did. It was all valuation, it was all – it was all services that HFAM had contracted with Highland that were performed deficiently in the eyes of the SEC. Q. Okay. We will – we will get to that in more detail, but I just would like to know if you believe that any correspondence to the SEC specifically stated that Highland Capital Management, L.P. and not Highland	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I mean, as they should have with the information they had at the time, but I think what has come out since then is that they – it was moneys that moved from Highland to HFAM for things that were caused by Highland and people, not me, not even Frank, I think, but other people assumed it was a note and made notes out of it. And that is what PricewaterhouseCoopers put into the financials, but I think what everybody acknowledges is that they were never – they were never notes. Q. Is there a document that you have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM, the rationale that they had in the regulatory breaches and in the settlement, and all of the breaches and in the settlement, and all of the breaches in the settlement were things that Highland did, not that HFAM did. It was all valuation, it was all – it was all services that HFAM had contracted with Highland that were performed deficiently in the eyes of the SEC. Q. Okay. We will – we will get to that in more detail, but I just would like to know if you believe that any correspondence to the SEC specifically stated that Highland Capital Management, L.P. and not Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P. was	Page 471
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DONDERO - 10/29/21 these are frivolous obligations, does it? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't – Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically included a disclosure of all of these promissory notes in the audited financial statements; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I mean, as they should have with the information they had at the time, but I think what has come out since then is that they – it was moneys that moved from Highland to HFAM for things that were caused by Highland and people, not me, not even Frank, I think, but other people assumed it was a note and made notes out of it. And that is what PricewaterhouseCoopers put into the financials, but I think what everybody acknowledges is that they were never – they were never notes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Can you identify that document for me? A. Yeah. It is a – it is a settlement with the SEC in terms of what they said the breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM, the rationale that they had in the regulatory breaches and in the settlement, and all of the breaches in the settlement were things that Highland did, not that HFAM did. It was all valuation, it was all – it was all services that HFAM had contracted with Highland that were performed deficiently in the eyes of the SEC. Q. Okay. We will – we will get to that in more detail, but I just would like to know if you believe that any correspondence to the SEC specifically stated that Highland Capital Management, L.P. and not Highland	Page 471

I         DONDERO - 102221         Page 22           2         between the different payses in the entities.         3         Includes the portissory roles that you signed           4         treaches were overall in their kiter, and what         5         promissory roles that YoU. SIGN, and NexPoint           6         agreement and where were the employees that         7         A. Yes.           7         padment begits the stread services         5         promissory roles that HCRE, HCNS, and NexPoint           7         padment begits the stread services         5         gromissory roles that HCRE, HCNS, and NexPoint           8         Q. Okay. We will get to that at a         9         agreements that you entered into with the           10         Al right. Let's go back to the         10         Dugaboy trustee         11         und agreements that you entered into with the           11         ord agreements that you entered into with the         11         und agreements the you cantered into with the         11         und agreements the you cantered into with the           12         Dugaboy trustee.         12         MR. MORRIS: Yes. Exhibit 31, Paragraph 82, wes.         13         form.           14         puting back you streed into with the         12         word grame for the you direct on with the         20         Q. Clex, you make allog allog the youn		Page 472			Page 473
3         They would have said what hey though the 4         3         indudes the promissory notes that you signed 4           4         breaches were overall in their letter, and what 5         3         indudes the promissory notes that you signed 4           6         agreement and where were the employees that 7         7         A         Yes.           8         Q         Okay. We will get to that at a 9         8         Q         Okay. We will get to that at a 9         8         Q         Okay. Use you contend that the oral 9         9         agreements that you entered into with the 10         Dugaboy trustee modified the parties' rights 11         11         under the original promissory notes?           12         Dugaboy trustee.         12         MS. MORRIS. See, Schibt 31, 13         Test and conditions by which they could 16         16         fem right 2         see and 14         A         Modify, boy, sounds like a legal 15         test and conditions in 14         A         Modify, boy, sounds like a legal 15         test and conditions in 16         test original promissory notes for 10         test and conditions in 18         test original promissory 10         Double Normal         11         Nord there were no such conditions in 18         test original promissory 10         Test and right 2	1		1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Fage 475
4         treaches were overall in their tetter, and what         4         that Highand is suing on as well as the ''           5         would govern the split is the shared services         5         promissory notes that HORE, HCMS, and NexPoint           6         agreement and where were the employees that         6         signed that Highand is suing on as well as the ''           7         performed the activities that they oled.         7         A. Yes.         8           10         agreements that you entered into with the         10         Dugaboy trustee modified the parties rights           11         or all greements that you entered into with the         11         under the orginal pornissory notes?           12         Dugaboy trustee         11         under the orginal pornissory notes?         12           12         Dugaboy trustee         13         MR. MORRIS is and left's start by         14         A. Modify, boy, sounds like a legal           15         mS. JEFFREES. I'm sony, can you         15         the original notes; right?         14         A. Modify, boy, sounds like a legal           15         mR. MORRIS. Net Exhibit 31,         17         Q. And again, M. Dondero, I think you         19         A. That is correct.           20         pageragnet 82, yes.         19         A. That is sord and thes; right?         20	2	between the different players in the entities.	2	Q. And – and it is – and that	
4         treaches were overall in their tetter, and what         4         that Highand is suing on as well as the ''           5         would govern the split is the shared services         5         promissory notes that HORE, HCMS, and NexPoint           6         agreement and where were the employees that         6         signed that Highand is suing on as well as the ''           7         performed the activities that they oled.         7         A. Yes.         8           10         agreements that you entered into with the         10         Dugaboy trustee modified the parties rights           11         or all greements that you entered into with the         11         under the orginal pornissory notes?           12         Dugaboy trustee         11         under the orginal pornissory notes?         12           12         Dugaboy trustee         13         MR. MORRIS is and left's start by         14         A. Modify, boy, sounds like a legal           15         mS. JEFFREES. I'm sony, can you         15         the original notes; right?         14         A. Modify, boy, sounds like a legal           15         mR. MORRIS. Net Exhibit 31,         17         Q. And again, M. Dondero, I think you         19         A. That is correct.           20         pageragnet 82, yes.         19         A. That is sord and thes; right?         20	3	They would have said what they thought the	3	includes the promissory notes that you signed	
6       agreement and where were the employees that       6       signed that Hightand is suing on; correct?         7       performed the activities that they cited.       7       A       Yes.         9       later time.       9       agreements that you entered into with the       10       Dugaboy trustee.       8       Q. Okay. Do you contend that the oral       9         11       oral agreements that you entered into with the       10       Dugaboy trustee.       11       under the original promissory notes?         12       Dugaboy trustee.       12       MR. MORRIS: And let's start by       11       inder the original promissory notes?         13       MR. MORRIS: Yes. Exhibit 31,       16       be forgiven.       11       add conditions by which they could         16       be forgiven.       17       MR. MORRIS: Yes. Exhibit 31,       17       Q. And again, Mr. Dondero, I think you       16       be forgiven.         19       Q. And, again, Mr. Dondero, I think you       20       Q. Okay. So Tim just asking you form       21       you preservice where there the rail agreements         23       Degeption better were no such conditions in       18       the original notes: right?       2       you preservice were no such conditions in         24       notes that we've describes the rail       2       you	4	breaches were overall in their letter, and what	4		
6       agreement and where were the employees that       6       signed that Hightand is suing on; correct?         7       performed the activities that they cited.       7       A       Yes.         9       later time.       9       agreements that you entered into with the       10       Dugaboy trustee.       8       Q. Okay. Do you contend that the oral       9         11       oral agreements that you entered into with the       10       Dugaboy trustee.       11       under the original promissory notes?         12       Dugaboy trustee.       12       MR. MORRIS: And let's start by       11       inder the original promissory notes?         13       MR. MORRIS: Yes. Exhibit 31,       16       be forgiven.       11       add conditions by which they could         16       be forgiven.       17       MR. MORRIS: Yes. Exhibit 31,       17       Q. And again, Mr. Dondero, I think you       16       be forgiven.         19       Q. And, again, Mr. Dondero, I think you       20       Q. Okay. So Tim just asking you form       21       you preservice where there the rail agreements         23       Degeption better were no such conditions in       18       the original notes: right?       2       you preservice were no such conditions in         24       notes that we've describes the rail       2       you	5	would govern the split is the shared services	5	promissory notes that HCRE, HCMS, and NexPoint	
7       performed the achilises that they cited.       7       Å       Yes.         8       Q. Okay. We will get to that at a       8       Q. Okay. Do you contrained that the oral         9       later time.       9       agreements that you entered into with the       10         10       all right. Let's go back to the       10       Dugaboy trustee.       11       under the original promissory ordes?         12       Dugaboy trustee.       12       MR. MORRIS: And let's start by       14       A       Modify, boy, sounds like a legal         15       MS. JEFFRIES: I'm sony, can you       15       term. It said conditions by which they could         16       repart that?       17       Q. And three were no such conditions in         18       Paragraph 82, generally describes the oral       21       your perspective whether the oral agreements         21       agreement that you entered into with the       21       hat is correct.       20       Q. Card, again, Mr. Dondero, I think you         20       hat we're described: right?       24       hat is correct.       20       your perspective whether the oral agreements         21       agreement that you entered in the with the       21       hat we're described: rights and       21       hat we're descripht         23       forements	6	•	6		
8     Q. Okay. We will get to that at a greements that you entered into with the cal a greements that you entered into with the integrated promissory notes?       10     Al right. Lefs go back to the into with the integrated promissory notes?       12     Dugaboy hustee.       13     MR. MORRIS: And lefs start by integrated into with the integrated promissory notes?       14     putting back up Exhibit 31, Prangaph 82.       16     repeat that?       17     MR. MORRIS: Yes. Exhibit 31, integrated and provide provide integrated provide into with the cal agreements that you entered into with the integrated provide into with the provide into with the cal agreements into you form integrated provide into with the cal agreements into you form intograted provide into with the provide into with the integrated provide provide into with the integrated provide provide into with the cal agreements into you form intograte provide into with the integrated provide provide into with the prates rights and integrated provide provide provide provide integrate provide into with the integrated provide provide provide provide into with the integrated provide provide into with the integrated provide into with the integrate provide provide provide into with the integrated provide provide into with the integrated provide provide provide provide provide integrate provide provide inthe integrated provide provide provide provide provide pr	7		7		
9       later time.       9       agreements that you entered into with the         10       Dugaboy fusible.       10       Dugaboy fusible.         12       Dugaboy fusible.       11       Under the original promissory notes?         12       Dugaboy fusible.       12       MR. MORRIS: And lefs start by       13       MR. MORRIS: And lefs start by         13       MR. MORRIS: And lefs start by       13       fm.       14       A       Modify, boy, sounds like a legal         15       MS. JEFFRES: Im sony, can you       15       term. It said conditions by which they could       16       be forgiven.         17       MR. MORRIS: Yes. Exhibit 31,       17       Q. And again, Mr. Dondero, I think you       19       A       That is correct.         20       hear agreements at you entered in they: on these of the promissory notes: night?       19       A       That is correct.         21       you perspective whether the roi al agreements       22       agreement she you entered in they: the parties' rights and         24       notes that we've described, right?       24       obligations under the original greenents       22       that back again.       4       ODINDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21	8		8	Q. Okav. Do vou contend that the oral	
10       All right. Left go back to the       10       Dugaboy fusite modified the parties' rights         11       oral agreements that you entered into with the       11       Under the original promissory notes?         12       Dugaboy fusites       12       MR. MORRIS: And left start by       13       form.         14       putting back up Exhibit 31, Paragraph 82.       14       A. Modify, boy, sounds like a legal       15         15       MS. DETRSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       16       be orgiven.       17       Q. And here were no such conditions in         18       Paragraph 82, yes.       18       the original notes: right?       19       Q. And again, Mr. Dondero, I think you         19       A. And again, Mr. Dondero, I think you       19       A. That's correct.       20       Q. Okay. So I'm just asking you from         21       parement that you entered into with the       21       your perspective where the original promissory       23       were interded to modify the parties' rights and         24       notes that we've described; right?       24       obligations under the original promissory       25       notes.         11       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474         2       MS. DETTSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       3       that back	1		-		
11       oral agreements that you entered into with the       11       under the original promissory notes?         12       Dugaboy trustee.       12       MR. MORRIS: And lefs start by         13       MR. MORRIS: And lefs start by       14       A Modify, boy, sounds like a legal         15       MS. JEFFREES: Im sony, can you       15       term. It said conditions by which they could         16       request that?       16       be forgiven.         17       MR. MORRIS: Yes. Exhibit 31,       17       Q. And dapain, Mr. Dondero, I think you         19       Q. And again, Mr. Dondero, I think you       19       A That is correct.         20       have testified already that you believe       20       Q. Okay. So I'm just asking you from         21       agreements that you entered in tow thit the Ugaboy trustee       21       your perspective whether the original greements         22       agreement that you entered in work the approximation with the Dugaboy trustee       22       were intended to modify the parties' rights and         24       notes that we've described, right?       24       obligations under the original       promissory notes that are the subject of the         3       finat back again.       4       A. It was meant to condition the       4       Q. Is it fair to say that the original         5					
12       Dugaboy trustee       12       MS. DETTSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       MR.MORRIS: And left start by       13       form.         14       A. Modify, boy, sounds like a legal         15       MS. JEFFRIES: I'm sony, can you       15       term. It said conditions by which they could         16       repeat that?       16       be forgiven.       17         17       MR. MORRIS: Yes. Exhibit 31,       17       G. And here were no such conditions in         18       the original notes: right?       19       A. And, again, Mr. Dondero, I think you       20       Q. And, dagain, Mr. Dondero, I think you         20       have testified already that you believe       20       Q. Okay. So This asking you from       21         21       paragraph 82, yes.       agreement that you entered into with the       22       that you entered into with the Dugaboy trustee         22       agreement that you entered into with the       22       that you entered into with the Dugaboy trustee         23       unotes that we've described; right?       24       collipations under the original promissory         24       notes that we've described; right?       2       MS. DETTSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       3         3       form.       4       Q. Isit fair to say that the original       5	1				
13       MR. MORRIS: And let's start by       13       form.         14       putting back up Exhibit 31, Paragraph 82, etc.       14       A. Modify, boy, sounds like a legal         15       MS. UEFFRIES: I'm sony, can you       15       term. It said conditions by which they could         16       repeat that?       16       be forgiven.         17       MR. MORRIS: Yes. Exhibit 31,       17       Q. And, again, Mr. Dondero, I think you         19       Q. And, again, Mr. Dondero, I think you       19       A. That is correct.         20       have testified already that you believe       20       Q. Okay. So I'm just asking you from         21       Paragraph 82 yes.       18       the original network whether the oral agreements         22       agreement that you entered into with the       22       that you entered into with the Dugaboy tustee         23       Dugaboy trustee with respect to the promissory       23       that you entered into with the Dugaboy tustee         24       notes that we've described; right?       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474       4       Q. Isit fair to say that the original       5         5       form.       3       Is fair to say that the original       5       that back again.					
14       putting back up Exhibit 31, Paragraph 82.       14       A. Modify, boy, sounds like a legal         15       MS. JEFRHES: I'm sony, can you       15       term. It said conditions by which they could         16       repeat that?       16       be forgiven.         17       MR.MORRIS: Yes. Exhibit 31,       17       G. And there were no such conditions in         18       Paragraph 82, yes.       19       A. That is correct.         20       have testified already that you believe       20       O. Okay. So I'm just asking you from         21       paragraph 82 generally desorbes the oral       21       your perspective whether the oral agreements         22       agreement that you entered into with the       22       that you entered into with the       22         23       ougabory trustee with respect to the promissory       23       were intended to modify the parties' rights and         24       notes that we've described; right?       24       obligations under the original promissory       25         25       A. Yes.       25       notes.       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 472         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Con you read       3       that back again.         3       form.       3       promissory notes that	1			-	
15       MS. JEFFRIES: I'm sony, can you       15       term. It said conditions by which they could         16       repeat That?       16       be forgiven.         17       MR. MCRNS: Yes. Exhibit 31,       17       Q. And, again, Mr. Dondero, I think you       19       A. That is correct.         19       Q. And, again, Mr. Dondero, I think you       19       A. That is correct.       20         20       have testified already that you believe       20       Q. Okay. So I'm just asking you from         21       paragraph 82 generally describes the coral       21       your perspective wither the oral agreements         22       agreement that you entered into with the expansion       23       were intended to modify the parties' rights and         24       notes that we've described: right?       24       obligations under the original promissory         25       A. Yes.       25       notes.       2         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       3       is that back again.         3       form.       3       is trair to say that the original         4       A. It was meant to condition the       4       Q. Did It charge –       7         7       A.		-			
16       repeat that?       16       be forgiven.         17       MR. MORRIS: Yes. Exhibit 31,       17       Q. And there were no such conditions in         18       Paragraph 82, yes.       18       the original notes; right?         19       Q. And, again, Mr. Dondero, I think you       19       A. That is correct.         20       have testified already that you believe       20       Q. Okay. Sor Im just asking you from         21       paragraph 82 generally describes the oral       21       your perspective whether the oral agreements         23       paragraph 82 describes the oral       22       were intended into with the Dugaboy trustee         23       paragraph 82 describes the oral       22       were intended into with the Oral agreements         24       notes that we've described; right?       25       notes.         24       notes that we've described; right?       25       notes.         2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       3       that back again.         4       A. It was meant to condition the       5       forgiveness.       7       withdrawn.         8       versus modified the agreement.       9       promissory notes that the brightal       5       promissory notes that the original         9       Q. Didit - didit altar the					
17       MR. MORRIS: Yes. Exhibit 31,       17       Q. And there were no such conditions in         18       Paragraph 82, yes.       18       the original notes; right?         20       have testified already that you believe       20       Q. Okay. So I'm just asking you from         21       Paragraph 82, generally describes the oral       21       your perspective whether the roal agreements         22       agreement that you entered into with the       22       Q. Okay. So I'm just asking you from         23       bagado functions in       18       the original notes; right?         24       notes that we've described; right?       23       were intended to modify the parties' rights and         24       notes that we've described; right?       24       obligations under the original promissory         25       A. Yes.       25       notes.       Page 474         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 475         2       MS. DETSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       3       that back again.         3       form.       4       Lit was meant to condition the       4       Q. Is it fair to say that the original         5       forgiveness.       7       Vithdrawn.       8       Is if fair to say that the original         6       n.0. Did it – did it alter the partie					
18       Paragraph 82, yes.       18       the original notes; right?         19       Q. And, again, Mr. Dondero, I think you       19       A. That is correct.         20       have testified already that you believe       20       Q. Okay. So I'n just asking you from         21       Paragraph 82 generally describes the oral       21       your perspective whether the oral agreements         22       agreement that you entered into with the       22       that you entered into with the Dugaboy trustee         23       bugaboy trustee with respect to the promissory       24       obligations under the original promissory         25       A. Yes.       25       notes that we've described; right?       24       obligations under the original promissory         26       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. Object to the       3       that back again.       4         3       form.       4       Q. Is it fair to say that the original       5         5       forgiveness.       5       promissory notes that are the subject of the       6       oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy –         7       A. Itwas meant to condition the       4       Q. Is it fair to say that the original       9         9       O. Did it chain all are the parties'       10       oright and obligations?       10       set fair to say that the		•		-	
19       Q. And, again, Mr. Dondero, I think you       19       A. That is correct.         20       have testified already that you believe       20       Q. Okay. So Tim just asking you from         21       Paragraph 82 generally describes the oral       21       your perspective whether the oral agreements         23       agreement that you entered into with the       22       that you entered into with the Dugaboy trustee         23       Dugaboy trustee with respect to the promissory       23       were intended to modify the parties' rights and         24       notes that we've described; right?       25       notes.       25         24       notes that we've described; right?       24       obligations under the original promissory         25       A. Yes.       25       notes.       25         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474         2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       3       that back again.       4       0. Is if air to say that the original         5       forgiveness.       5       promissory notes that are the subject of the       6       oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy –       7         4       A. It was meant to condition the       4       N. Is thair to say that the original       9 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>					
20       have testilied already that you believe       20       Q. Okay. So I'm just asking you from         21       Paragraph 82 generally describes the oral       21       your perspective whether the oral agreement is         22       agreement that you entered into with the       22       that you entered into with the Dugaboy trustee         23       Dugaboy trustee with respect to the promissory       23       were intended to modify the parties' rights and         24       notes that we've described; right?       25       A. Yes.       25       notes.         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read       3       that hack again.         3       form.       3       that mass meant to condition the       4       Q. Is if fair to say that the original       5       promissory notes that are the subject of the         6       Q. Did it change –       7       N. Would like to use those words       7       Withdrawn.       8       Is if fair to say that the original       9       promissory notes that Highland is suing under         10       rights and obligations?       10       set forth the maker and the payees' rights and       11       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       13       form.       14       Noure Discory notes that Highland is suing under					
21       Paragraph 82 generally describes the oral       21       your perspective whether the oral agreements         22       agreement that you entered into with the       22       that you entered into with the Dugaboy trustee         23       Dugaboy trustee with respect to the promissory       23       were intended to modify the parties' rights and         24       notes that we've described; right?       25       A. Yes.       25         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       2         2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read         3       form.       4       A. It was meant to condition the       4       Q. Is it fair to say that the original         5       forgiveness.       5       promissory notes that are the subject of the       6       oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy –         4       A. It was meant to condition the       5       your perspective whether the original       9       promissory notes that are the subject of the       6       oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy –       withfrawn.         8       versus modified the agreement.       9       promissory notes that are the subject of the       1       obligations under the original       9       promissory notes that are fully inthand is suing under         10	1				
22       agreement that you entered into with the       22       that you entered into with the         23       Dugaboy trustee with respect to the promissory       23       were intended to modify the parties' rights and         24       notes that we've described; right?       24       obligations under the original promissory         25       A. Yes.       25       notes.       Page 474         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474         2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       3       that back again.       4       Q. Is it fair to say that the original         3       form.       3       that back again.       4       Q. Is it fair to say that the original         4       A. It was meant to condition the       4       Q. Is it fair to say that the original       5         5       forgiveness.       6       oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy -       7         7       A. I would like to use those words       7       withdrawn.       8       Is it fair to say that the original       9         9       O. Did it -adid it alter the parties'       10       promissory notes that are the subject of the       11       obligations under those notes?       11       obligations under those notes?       12       MS.					
23       Dugaboy trustee with respect to the promissory       23       were intended to modify the parties' rights and         24       notes that we've described; right?       24       obligations under the original promissory         25       A       Yes.       25       notes.         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474         2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       3       that back again.       4         3       form.       3       that back again.       4         4       A. It was meant to condition the       5       promissory notes that are the subject of the       6         6       Q. Did it change –       6       oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy –       7         7       A. I would like to use those words       7       withdrawn.       8         8       versus modified the agreement.       8       Is it fair to say that the original         9       Q. Did it – did it alter the parites'       10       set forth the maker and the payees' rights and         11       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11       obligations under those notes?         12       form.       12       form. Object to the form.         13       Q. If m not trying to play a game with					
24       notes that we've described; right?       24       obligations under the original promissory         25       A. Yes.       25       notes.         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474         2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read       3         3       form.       3       that back again.       4         4       A. It was meant to condition the       4       Q. Is it fair to say that the original       5         5       forgiveness.       5       promissory notes that are the subject of the       6       oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy –       7         7       A. I would like to use those words       8       Is it fair to say that the original       9         9       Q. Did it – did it alter the parties'       9       promissory notes that are the subject of the       1       0 bigations under those notes?         11       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11       obligations under the original       9       promissory notes that and the payees? rights and         11       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11       obligations under those notes?       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       Q. I'm not trying to play a game with		• •			
25       A       Yes.       25       notes.       Page 474       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474         2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read       3         3       form.       3       that back again.       4       Q. Is it fair to say that the original         5       forgiveness.       6       Q. Did it change –       7       A. I would like to use those words       7       withdrawn.         8       versus modified the agreement.       8       Is it fair to say that the original       9         9       Q. Did it – did it alter the parties'       9       promissory notes that are the subject of the       6         11       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11       obligations?       10       set orth the maker and the payees' rights and         12       form.       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11       obligations under those notes?         12       form.       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly       15       want to avoid using the term "modification" or         13       Q. I'm not trying to play a game with       13       form. Object to the form.       14 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Page 474       Page 474       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       Page 474         1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read       3         3       form.       3       that back again.       4         4       A. It was meant to condition the       4       Q. Is it fair to say that the original       5         5       forgiveness.       5       promissory notes that are the subject of the       6         6       Q. Did it change –       6       oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy –       7         7       A. I would like to use those words       7       withdrawn.       8       Is it fair to say that the original         9       Q. Did it - did it after the parties'       9       promissory notes that Highland is suing under       10         10       rights and obligations?       10       set forth the maker and the payees' rights and       11         11       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11       obligations under those notes?       12         12       form.       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly       15       was to avoid using the term "modification" or         14       you. Ijust –       14       A. Yeah, I – again, I, want to – I       15         15       MS. DEI		-			
1       DONDERO - 10/29/21       1       DONDERO - 10/29/21         2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read         3       form.       3       that back again.         4       A       It was meant to condition the       4       Q. Is it fair to say that the original         5       forgiveness.       5       promissory notes that are the subject of the         6       Q. Did it change –       6       oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy –         7       A       Iwould like to use those words       7       withdrawn.         8       versus modified the agreement.       8       Is it fair to say that the original         9       Q. Did it did it alter the parties'       9       promissory notes that Highland is suing under         10       rights and obligations?       10       set forth the maker and the payees' rights and         11       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11       obligations under those notes?         12       form.       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       13         13       Q. I'm not trying to play a game with       13       form. Object to the form.         14       you. Ijust -       14       A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I	25	A. Tes.	25	Holes.	
2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       2       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read         3       form.       3       that back again.         4       A       It was meant to condition the       4       Q. Is it fair to say that the original         5       forgiveness.       5       promissory notes that are the subject of the         6       Q. Did it change –       6       oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy –         7       A. I would like to use those words       7       withdrawn.         8       versus modified the agreement.       8       Is it fair to say that the original         9       Q. Did it - did it alter the parties'       9       promissory notes that Highland is suing under         10       rights and obligations?       10       set forth the maker and the payees' rights and         11       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11       obligations under those notes?         12       form.       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       Q. I'm not trying to play a game with       13       form. Object to the form.         14       you. I just -       14       A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I         15       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly       15       want to avoid using the term "modification" or <td></td> <td>Page 474</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Page 475</td>		Page 474			Page 475
3       form.       3       that back again.         4       A. It was meant to condition the       4       Q. Is it fair to say that the original         5       forgiveness.       5       promissory notes that are the subject of the         6       Q. Did it change –       6       oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy –         7       A. Iwould like to use those words       7       withdrawn.         8       versus modified the agreement.       8       Is it fair to say that the original         9       Q. Did it – did it alter the parties'       9       promissory notes that Highland is suing under         10       rights and obligations?       10       set forth the maker and the payees' rights and         11       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11       obligations under those notes?         12       form.       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       13         13       Q. I'm not trying to play a game with       13       form. Object to the form.         14       you. Jjust –       14       A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I         15       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly       15       want to avoid using the term "modification" or         16       implying modification because, again, the notes       17       are soft, and they really	11		1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	i ago iro
4       A. It was meant to condition the       4       Q. Is it fair to say that the original         5       forgiveness.       5       promissory notes that are the subject of the         6       Q. Did it change –       6       oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy –         7       A. I would like to use those words       7       withdrawn.         8       versus modified the agreement.       8       Is it fair to say that the original         9       Q. Did it - did it alter the parties'       9       promissory notes that Highland is suing under         10       rights and obligations?       10       set forth the maker and the payees' rights and         11       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11       obligations under those notes?         12       form.       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       13         13       Q. I'm not trying to play a game with       13       form. Object to the form.         14       you. I just –       14       A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I         15       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly       15       want to avoid using the term 'modification' or         16       what you are doing. Why don't you just ask       16       implying modification because, again, the notes         17       him –       17	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21			
5       forgiveness.       5       promissory notes that are the subject of the         6       Q. Did it change –       6       oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy –         7       A. I would like to use those words       7       withdrawn.         8       versus modified the agreement.       8       Is it fair to say that the original         9       Q. Did it – did it alter the parties'       9       promissory notes that Highland is suing under         10       rights and obligations?       10       set forth the maker and the payees' rights and         11       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11       obligations under those notes?         12       form.       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       13         13       Q. I'm not trying to play a game with       13       form. Object to the form.         14       you. Jjust –       14       A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I         15       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly       15       want to avoid using the term "modification" or         16       what you are doing. Why don't you just ask       16       implying modification because, again, the notes         17       him –       17       are soft, and they really just talk about a         18       MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.       19	2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	2	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read	. ageo
6       Q. Did it change –       6       oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy –         7       A. I would like to use those words       7       withdrawn.         8       versus modified the agreement.       8       Is it fair to say that the original         9       Q. Did it – did it after the parties'       9       promissory notes that Highland is suing under         10       rights and obligations?       10       set forth the maker and the payees' rights and         11       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11       obligations under those notes?         12       form.       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       Q. I'm not trying to play a game with       13       form. Object to the form.         14       you. Jjust –       14       A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I         15       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly       15       want to avoid using the term "modification" or         16       what you are doing. Why don't you just ask       16       implying modification because, again, the notes         17       him –       17       are soft, and they really just talk about a       18         18       MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.       19       they resoft notes. Something in the agreement         20       Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say	23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	2 3	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again.	
7       A. I would like to use those words       7       withdrawn.         8       versus modified the agreement.       8       Is it fair to say that the original         9       Q. Did it – did it alter the parties'       9       promissory notes that Highland is suing under         10       rights and obligations?       10       set forth the maker and the payees' rights and         11       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11       obligations under those notes?         12       form.       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       Q. I'm not trying to play a game with       13       form. Object to the form.         14       you. I just –       14       A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I         15       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly       15       want to avoid using the term "modification" or         16       what you are doing. Why don't you just ask       16       implying modification because, again, the notes         17       him –       18       rate and/or payment or amortizations, but       19         19       Please stop talking.       19       they resoft notes. Something in the agreement         20       Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that       20       that lays out the conditions for forgiveness         21       the promissory notes that	2 3 4	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the	2 3 4	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original	
8       versus modified the agreement.       8       Is it fair to say that the original         9       Q. Did it – did it alter the parties'       9       promissory notes that Highland is suing under         10       rights and obligations?       10       set forth the maker and the payees' rights and         11       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11       obligations under those notes?         12       form.       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       Q. I'm not trying to play a game with       13       form. Object to the form.         14       you. I just –       14       A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I         15       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly       15       want to avoid using the term "modification" or         16       what you are doing. Why don't you just ask       16       implying modification because, again, the notes         17       him –       17       are soft, and they really just talk about a       18         18       MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.       19       the promissory notes that are the subject of       21       aren't necessarily a modification of the note,         22       your oral agreements with the Dugaboy –       22       and I'd like that to be –       23       Q. Let me –         24       and obligations thereunder, both t	2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness.	2 3 4 5	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the	
9       Q. Did it – did it atter the parties'       9       promissory notes that Highland is suing under         10       rights and obligations?       10       set forth the maker and the payees' rights and         11       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the       11       obligations under those notes?         12       form.       12       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the         13       Q. I'm not trying to play a game with       13       form. Object to the form.         14       you. I just –       14       A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I         15       MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly       15       want to avoid using the term "modification" or         16       what you are doing. Why don't you just ask       16       implying modification because, again, the notes         17       him –       17       are soft, and they really just talk about a       18         18       MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.       19       they resoft notes. Something in the agreement         20       Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that       20       that lays out the conditions for forgiveness         21       the promissory notes that are the subject of       21       aren't necessarily a modification of the note,         22       your oral agreements with the Dugaboy –       22       and l'd like that to be –	2 3 4 5 6	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change –	2 3 4 5 6	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy –	
10rights and obligations?10set forth the maker and the payees' rights and11MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the11obligations under those notes?12form.12MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the13Q.I'm not trying to play a game with13form. Object to the form.14you. I just -14A.Yeah, I - again, I want to - I15MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly15want to avoid using the term "modification" or16what you are doing. Why don't you just ask16implying modification because, again, the notes17him -17are soft, and they really just talk about a18MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.18rate and/or payment or amortizations, but19Please stop talking.19they're soft notes. Something in the agreement20Q.Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that20that lays out the conditions for forgiveness21the promissory notes that are the subject of21aren't necessarily a modification of the note,22your oral agreements with the Dugaboy -22and I'd like that to be -23Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights23Q.24and obligations thereunder, both the maker and24A my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words	2 3 4 5 6 7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy withdrawn.	go o
11MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the11obligations under those notes?12form.12MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the13Q. I'm not trying to play a game with13form. Object to the form.14you. I just14A. Yeah, I - again, I want to - I15MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly15want to avoid using the term "modification" or16what you are doing. Why don't you just ask16implying modification because, again, the notes17him -17are soft, and they really just talk about a18MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.18rate and/or payment or amortizations, but19Please stop talking.19they're soft notes. Something in the agreement20Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that20that lays out the conditions for forgiveness21the promissory notes that are the subject of21aren't necessarily a modification of the note,22your oral agreements with the Dugaboy -22and 'd like that to be -23Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights23Q. Let me -24and obligations thereunder, both the maker and24A my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original	go o
12form.12MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the13Q.I'm not trying to play a game with13form. Object to the form.14you. I just –14A.Yeah, I – again, I want to – I15MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly15want to avoid using the term "modification" or16what you are doing. Why don't you just ask16implying modification because, again, the notes17him –17are soft, and they really just talk about a18MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.18rate and/or payment or amortizations, but19Please stop talking.19they're soft notes. Something in the agreement20Q.Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that20that lays out the conditions for forgiveness21the promissory notes that are the subject of21aren't necessarily a modification of the note,22your oral agreements with the Dugaboy –22and 'ld like that to be –23Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights23Q.Let me –24and obligations thereunder, both the maker and24A. – my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties'	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under	go o
13Q. I'm not trying to play a game with13form. Object to the form.14you. I just -14A. Yeah, I - again, I want to - I15MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly15want to avoid using the term "modification" or16what you are doing. Why don't you just ask16implying modification because, again, the notes17him -17are soft, and they really just talk about a18MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.18rate and/or payment or amortizations, but19Please stop talking.19they're soft notes. Something in the agreement20Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that20that lays out the conditions for forgiveness21the promissory notes that are the subject of21aren't necessarily a modification of the note,22your oral agreements with the Dugaboy -22and I'd like that to be -23Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights23Q. Let me -24and obligations thereunder, both the maker and24A my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and	go o
14you. I just14A. Yeah, I - again, I want to - I15MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly15want to avoid using the term "modification" or16what you are doing. Why don't you just ask16implying modification because, again, the notes17him17are soft, and they really just talk about a18MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.18rate and/or payment or amortizations, but19Please stop talking.19they're soft notes. Something in the agreement20Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that20that lays out the conditions for forgiveness21the promissory notes that are the subject of21aren't necessarily a modification of the note,22your oral agreements with the Dugaboy23Q. Let me24and obligations thereunder, both the maker and24A my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes?	go o
15MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly15want to avoid using the term "modification" or16what you are doing. Why don't you just ask16implying modification because, again, the notes17him –17are soft, and they really just talk about a18MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.18rate and/or payment or amortizations, but19Please stop talking.19they're soft notes. Something in the agreement20Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that20that lays out the conditions for forgiveness21the promissory notes that are the subject of21aren't necessarily a modification of the note,22your oral agreements with the Dugaboy –22and I'd like that to be –23Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights23Q. Let me –24and obligations thereunder, both the maker and24A. – my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
16what you are doing. Why don't you just ask16implying modification because, again, the notes17him –17are soft, and they really just talk about a18MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.18rate and/or payment or amortizations, but19Please stop talking.19they're soft notes. Something in the agreement20Q.Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that20that lays out the conditions for forgiveness21the promissory notes that are the subject of21aren't necessarily a modification of the note,22your oral agreements with the Dugaboy –22and I'd like that to be –23Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights23Q. Let me –24A. – my testimony.24A. – my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form.	
17him –17are soft, and they really just talk about a18MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.18rate and/or payment or amortizations, but19Please stop talking.19they're soft notes. Something in the agreement20Q.Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that20that lays out the conditions for forgiveness21the promissory notes that are the subject of21aren't necessarily a modification of the note,22your oral agreements with the Dugaboy –22and I'd like that to be –23Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights23Q.Let me –24and obligations thereunder, both the maker and24A. – my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I	
18MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.18rate and/or payment or amortizations, but19Please stop talking.19they're soft notes. Something in the agreement20Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that20that lays out the conditions for forgiveness21the promissory notes that are the subject of21aren't necessarily a modification of the note,22your oral agreements with the Dugaboy –22and I'd like that to be –23Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights23Q. Let me –24and obligations thereunder, both the maker and24A. – my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or	
19Please stop talking.19they're soft notes. Something in the agreement20Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that20that lays out the conditions for forgiveness21the promissory notes that are the subject of21aren't necessarily a modification of the note,22your oral agreements with the Dugaboy22and I'd like that to be23Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights23Q. Let me24and obligations thereunder, both the maker and24A my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes	
20Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that20that lays out the conditions for forgiveness21the promissory notes that are the subject of21aren't necessarily a modification of the note,22your oral agreements with the Dugaboy22and I'd like that to be23Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights23Q. Let me24and obligations thereunder, both the maker and24A my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a	
21the promissory notes that are the subject of21aren't necessarily a modification of the note,22your oral agreements with the Dugaboy -22and I'd like that to be -23Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights23Q.Let me -24and obligations thereunder, both the maker and24A my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a rate and/or payment or amortizations, but	
22your oral agreements with the Dugaboy22and I'd like that to be23Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights23Q.Let me24and obligations thereunder, both the maker and24A my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. Please stop talking.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I again, I want to I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a rate and/or payment or amortizations, but they're soft notes. Something in the agreement	
23 Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights23 Q. Let me –24 and obligations thereunder, both the maker and24 A. – my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. Please stop talking. Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a rate and/or payment or amortizations, but they're soft notes. Something in the agreement that lays out the conditions for forgiveness	
24 and obligations thereunder, both the maker and24A my testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. Please stop talking. Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that the promissory notes that are the subject of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a rate and/or payment or amortizations, but they're soft notes. Something in the agreement that lays out the conditions for forgiveness aren't necessarily a modification of the note,	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. Please stop talking. Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that the promissory notes that are the subject of your oral agreements with the Dugaboy –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a rate and/or payment or amortizations, but they're soft notes. Something in the agreement that lays out the conditions for forgiveness aren't necessarily a modification of the note, and I'd like that to be –	
23 Q. Let the ask it uns way. Onder each	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. Please stop talking. Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that the promissory notes that are the subject of your oral agreements with the Dugaboy – Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a rate and/or payment or amortizations, but they're soft notes. Something in the agreement that lays out the conditions for forgiveness aren't necessarily a modification of the note, and I'd like that to be – Q. Let me –	
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DONDERO - 10/29/21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. Please stop talking. Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that the promissory notes that are the subject of your oral agreements with the Dugaboy – Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights and obligations thereunder, both the maker and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a rate and/or payment or amortizations, but they're soft notes. Something in the agreement that lays out the conditions for forgiveness aren't necessarily a modification of the note, and I'd like that to be – Q. Let me – A. – my testimony.	

Page 476			Page 477
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 of the demand notes, Highland as the payee had	2	of the question.	
3 the unfetterred right to demand payment at any	3	Q. You can answer.	
4 time; correct? Did you understand that?	4	A. The conditions subsequent – the	
5 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: At the time that	5	condition precedent – precedence for	
6 the notes were first signed?		forgiveness changed the ability for the demand	
7 MR. MORRIS: Yes, ma'am.	7	notes to be demanded.	
8 A. Yeah. I mean, at the – at the time	8	Q. Okay. And – and each of the oral	
9 that they were first put in place, but by the	9	agreements that you entered into with the	
10 time the demand was made, they had already been	10	Dugaboy trustee was related to the loans that	
11 subject to the conditions present or the	11	were reflected in the promissory notes;	
12 conditions for forgiveness.		correct?	
13 Q. Okay. So this is exactly what I'm	13	A. Well, it was related to the	
14 trying to get at. At the time the notes were	14	promissory notes themselves.	
15 signed, Highland had the right to make demand	15	Q. Correct. And the promissory notes	
16 for payment at any time; correct?	16	reflect notes that were made from the payee to	
17 A. Yes.	17	the maker; correct?	
18 Q. And when you entered into the oral	18	A. Yeah. Most of them were roll-ups	
19 agreements with the Dugaboy trustee, Highland's		from prior.	
20 right to make a demand – pick your word,	20	Q. No. Those are the term notes. I'm	
21 modified, altered, amended, changed – it	21	only talking about the demand notes.	
22 was – your oral agreement had an impact on	22	A. Okay.	
23 Highland's rights under the promissory notes;	23	Q. Okay. So with respect to the demand	
24 correct?	24	notes, the oral agreements that you entered	
25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form	25	into with the Dugaboy trustee related to the	
Page 478	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 479
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21 vou have?	Page 479
1DONDERO - 10/29/212loans that were the subject of the promissory	2	you have?	Page 479
1DONDERO - 10/29/212loans that were the subject of the promissory3notes; correct?	23	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> </ol>	2 3 4	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero if Mr. Dondero	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop –	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop – Q. Are you unable to continue right	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop – Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero?	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop – Q. Are you unable to continue right	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> <li>promissory notes?</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop – Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero? A. Well, if we have four more hours, I	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> <li>promissory notes?</li> <li>A. The oral agreements affected the</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop – Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero? A. Well, if we have four more hours, I would rather do it a day next – next week, one	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> <li>promissory notes?</li> <li>A. The oral agreements affected the</li> <li>term loans and the demand notes.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero if Mr. Dondero wants to stop Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero? A. Well, if we have four more hours, I would rather do it a day next next week, one afternoon.	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> <li>promissory notes?</li> <li>A. The oral agreements affected the</li> <li>term loans and the demand notes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop – Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero? A. Well, if we have four more hours, I would rather do it a day next – next week, one afternoon. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we check our	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Ioans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> <li>promissory notes?</li> <li>A. The oral agreements affected the</li> <li>term loans and the demand notes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Does that answer your question?</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero if Mr. Dondero wants to stop Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero? A. Well, if we have four more hours, I would rather do it a day next next week, one afternoon. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we check our calendars before we go off the record?	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Ioans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> <li>promissory notes?</li> <li>A. The oral agreements affected the</li> <li>term loans and the demand notes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. And so – and so is it fair to say</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop – Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero? A. Well, if we have four more hours, I would rather do it a day next – next week, one afternoon. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we check our calendars before we go off the record? We have a deposition on Tuesday.	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> <li>promissory notes?</li> <li>A. The oral agreements affected the</li> <li>term loans and the demand notes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. And so – and so is it fair to say</li> <li>that the oral agreements related to – to</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop – Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero? A. Well, if we have four more hours, I would rather do it a day next – next week, one afternoon. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we check our calendars before we go off the record? We have a deposition on Tuesday. I'm not available on Monday. I can make	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> <li>promissory notes?</li> <li>A. The oral agreements affected the</li> <li>term loans and the demand notes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. And so – and so is it fair to say</li> <li>that the oral agreements related to – to</li> <li>the – to the – to the loans that were the</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero if Mr. Dondero wants to stop Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero? A. Well, if we have four more hours, I would rather do it a day next next week, one afternoon. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we check our calendars before we go off the record? We have a deposition on Tuesday. I'm not available on Monday. I can make myself free on Wednesday, Thursday, or	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> <li>promissory notes?</li> <li>A. The oral agreements affected the</li> <li>term loans and the demand notes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. And so – and so is it fair to say</li> <li>that the oral agreements related to – to</li> <li>the – to the – to the loans that were the</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop – Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero? A. Well, if we have four more hours, I would rather do it a day next – next week, one afternoon. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we check our calendars before we go off the record? We have a deposition on Tuesday. I'm not available on Monday. I can make myself free on Wednesday, Thursday, or Friday. And I think that we should expect,	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> <li>promissory notes?</li> <li>A. The oral agreements affected the</li> <li>term loans and the demand notes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. And so – and so is it fair to say</li> <li>that the oral agreements related to – to</li> <li>the – to the – to the loans that were the</li> <li>subject of the notes?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop – Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero? A. Well, if we have four more hours, I would rather do it a day next – next week, one afternoon. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we check our calendars before we go off the record? We have a deposition on Tuesday. I'm not available on Monday. I can make myself free on Wednesday, Thursday, or Friday. And I think that we should expect, you know, a substantial period of time,	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> <li>promissory notes?</li> <li>A. The oral agreements affected the</li> <li>term loans and the demand notes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. And so – and so is it fair to say</li> <li>that the oral agreements related to – to</li> <li>the – to the – to the loans that were the</li> <li>subject of the notes?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop – Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero? A. Well, if we have four more hours, I would rather do it a day next – next week, one afternoon. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we check our calendars before we go off the record? We have a deposition on Tuesday. I'm not available on Monday. I can make myself free on Wednesday, Thursday, or Friday. And I think that we should expect, you know, a substantial period of time, perhaps as long as a full day.	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> <li>promissory notes?</li> <li>A. The oral agreements affected the</li> <li>term loans and the demand notes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. And so – and so is it fair to say</li> <li>that the oral agreements related to – to</li> <li>the – to the – to the loans that were the</li> <li>subject of the notes?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I'm not – I'm not sure what you are</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop – Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero? A. Well, if we have four more hours, I would rather do it a day next – next week, one afternoon. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we check our calendars before we go off the record? We have a deposition on Tuesday. I'm not available on Monday. I can make myself free on Wednesday, Thursday, or Friday. And I think that we should expect, you know, a substantial period of time, perhaps as long as a full day. I mean, with all due respect –	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Ioans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> <li>promissory notes?</li> <li>A. The oral agreements affected the</li> <li>term loans and the demand notes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. And so – and so is it fair to say</li> <li>that the oral agreements related to – to</li> <li>the – to the – to the loans that were the</li> <li>subject of the notes?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I'm not – I'm not sure what you are</li> <li>asking, but I don't know the answer.</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop – Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero? A. Well, if we have four more hours, I would rather do it a day next – next week, one afternoon. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we check our calendars before we go off the record? We have a deposition on Tuesday. I'm not available on Monday. I can make myself free on Wednesday, Thursday, or Friday. And I think that we should expect, you know, a substantial period of time, perhaps as long as a full day. I mean, with all due respect – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: How do you have	Page 479
<ul> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>Ioans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> <li>promissory notes?</li> <li>A. The oral agreements affected the</li> <li>term loans and the demand notes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. And so – and so is it fair to say</li> <li>that the oral agreements related to – to</li> <li>the – to the – to the loans that were the</li> <li>subject of the notes?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I'm not – I'm not sure what you are</li> <li>asking, but I don't know the answer.</li> <li>Q. Okay. It is your –</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop – Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero? A. Well, if we have four more hours, I would rather do it a day next – next week, one afternoon. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we check our calendars before we go off the record? We have a deposition on Tuesday. I'm not available on Monday. I can make myself free on Wednesday, Thursday, or Friday. And I think that we should expect, you know, a substantial period of time, perhaps as long as a full day. I mean, with all due respect – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: How do you have a full day? You have already gone – you	Page 479
<ol> <li>DONDERO - 10/29/21</li> <li>loans that were the subject of the promissory</li> <li>notes; correct?</li> <li>A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not</li> <li>understanding the nuance enough to answer that</li> <li>question.</li> <li>Q. Did the oral agreements relate to</li> <li>the loans that were the subject of the</li> <li>promissory notes?</li> <li>A. The oral agreements affected the</li> <li>term loans and the demand notes.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. Does that answer your question?</li> <li>Q. And so – and so is it fair to say</li> <li>that the oral agreements related to – to</li> <li>the – to the – to the loans that were the</li> <li>subject of the notes?</li> <li>A. I don't know.</li> <li>Q. Okay.</li> <li>A. I'm not – I'm not sure what you are</li> <li>asking, but I don't know the answer.</li> <li>Q. Okay. It is your –</li> <li>MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, just</li> </ol>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you have? MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably four hours, so I don't expect to finish today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero wants to stop – Q. Are you unable to continue right now, Mr. Dondero? A. Well, if we have four more hours, I would rather do it a day next – next week, one afternoon. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we check our calendars before we go off the record? We have a deposition on Tuesday. I'm not available on Monday. I can make myself free on Wednesday, Thursday, or Friday. And I think that we should expect, you know, a substantial period of time, perhaps as long as a full day. I mean, with all due respect – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: How do you have a full day? You have already gone – you have already gone more than half a day.	Page 479

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-4 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 52 of 71

	Page 480			Dogo 491
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 481
2	to – to discuss this with you offline, but	2	or Friday, Mr. Dondero, I will make myself	
3	I didn't decide that Mr. Dondero would	3	available at your convenience.	
4	appear in his personal capacity and on	4	THE WITNESS: I have all day board	
5	behalf of three separate 30(b)(6)	5	meetings on Wednesday.	
6	witnesses.	6	MR. MORRIS: Okay.	
7	If you had given me a different	7	THE WITNESS: I could do Thursday	
8	witness for each, I would have a total of	8	afternoon or I can do Friday afternoon.	
9	28 hours. I don't expect to use anything	9	Hold on.	
10	remotely close to that time, but I am	10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Let me put this	
11	examining four witnesses here and I	11	on mute and we will look at our calendars.	
12	would I would appreciate	12	MR. MORRIS: Thank you.	
13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: But we also	13	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to stay	
14	MR. MORRIS: I would appreciate it.	14	on the record?	
15	And, look, you can stop me at any time. If	15	MR. MORRIS: Yes, please.	
16	I haven't finished asking the questions	16	THE WITNESS: Hello. All right. I	
17	that I believe I'm entitled to, I will, you	17	can do Thursday afternoon for four hours.	
18	know, take it to the judge. I'm just	18	And if we need more time than that we can	
19	putting you on notice. I have I'm on	19	either do Friday afternoon or sometime	
20	page 27 of a 57-page outline, so	20	the the week after that, but I have I	
21	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, geez.	21	have got	
22	MR. MORRIS: Yeah, so I do have a	22	MR. MORRIS: Thank you very much.	
23	fair amount more to cover. Okay?	23	What time on Thursday works for you,	
24	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right.	24	sir?	
25	MR. MORRIS: So Wednesday, Thursday,	25	THE WITNESS: How about 1:00 o'clock	
	Page 482			Page 483
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	my time?	2	JAMES DONDERO	
3	MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it.	4	JAMES DONDERO	
4	Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central,	5	Subscribed and sworn to before me	
5	it is, next Thursday for the continuation			
6	of this.	6	this day of 2021	
17		6	this day of 2021.	
1	And hopefully I will finish that	7	this day of 2021.	
8	day, you know, if we can go without a lot	7 8	this day of 2021.	
8 9	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully l	7 8 9	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do	7 8 9 10	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10 11	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay?	7 8 9 10 11	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10 11 12	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you.	7 8 9 10 11 12	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10 11 12 13	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.	<ul> <li>7</li> <li>8</li> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ul>	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 3:53.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.	<ul> <li>7</li> <li>8</li> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> </ul>	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 3:53.	<ul> <li>7</li> <li>8</li> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> </ul>	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 3:53.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 3:53.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this day of 2021.	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 3:53.	<ul> <li>7</li> <li>8</li> <li>9</li> <li>10</li> <li>11</li> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> <li>16</li> <li>17</li> <li>18</li> <li>19</li> <li>20</li> <li>21</li> <li>22</li> <li>23</li> <li>24</li> </ul>	this day of 2021.	

## Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 106-4 Filed 12/01/21 Entered 12/01/21 14:47:42 Page 53 of 71

	F	Page 484			Page 485
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	<b>J</b>	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	5
2	CERTIFICATE		2	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital	
3			3	DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 29, 2021	
4	I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand		4	NAME OF WITNESS: James Dondero	
5	reporter within and for the State of Texas, do		5	Reason Codes:	
6	hereby certify:		6	1. To clarify the record.	
7	That JAMES DONDERO, the witness whose		7	2. To conform to the facts.	
8	deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly		8	3. To correct transcription errors.	
9	sworn by me and that such deposition is a true		9	PageLineReason	_
10	record of the testimony given by such witness.		10	Fromto	_
11	I further certify that I am not related to		11	PageLineReason	_
12	any of the parties to this action by blood or		12	Fromto	_
13	marriage; and that I am in no way interested in		13	PageLineReason	_
14	the outcome of this matter.		14	Fromto	_
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my		15	PageLineReason	_
16	hand this 29th of October, 2021.		16	Fromto	_
17			17	PageLineReason	_
18			18	Fromto	_
19	Susan S. Klinger, RMR-CRR, CSR		19	PageLineReason	_
20	Texas CSR# 6531		20	Fromto	_
21			21	PageLineReason	_
22			22	Fromto	_
23			23	PageLineReason	_
24			24	Fromto	_
25			25		

Index: \$100..84

	341:1 342:1 343:1	<b>11:16</b> 318:8,10	<b>2020</b> 291:11 336:25	449:6,13 450:11
\$	344:1 345:1 346:1	<b>11th</b> 310:11 324:4	371:11,15,16 372:15	451:3 453:23 455:8
	347:1 348:1 349:1		373:22 374:6,9,21	461:21
<b>\$100</b> 294:8	350:1 351:1 352:1	440:21	393:17 394:2,9,16	<b>35</b> 000.7 000.40
	353:1 354:1 355:1	<b>12</b> 298:13,16	395:14 397:4,25	<b>35</b> 292:7 309:13
<b>\$14</b> 392:5	356:1 357:1 358:1	<b>12</b> month 100.10	415:8 439:8,17	<b>37</b> 323:18
<b>\$150,000</b> 434:2,14	359:1 360:1 361:1	12-month 420:12	440:16,21 445:22	0.00 445 0
435:3,9 437:17	362:1 363:1 364:1	<b>12:40</b> 381:8,9	446:5 456:3,13,22	<b>3:00</b> 445:8
	365:1 366:1 367:1		459:2,9,13,16 461:20	<b>3:19</b> 454:17,19
<b>\$200</b> 294:2	368:1 369:1 370:1	<b>12:51</b> 381:9	463:3 464:21,25	2.52 400.00 04
<b>\$25</b> 296:18	371:1 372:1 373:1	<b>13</b> 357:19	465:13 466:16	<b>3:53</b> 482:20,21
-	374:1 375:1 376:1	<b>14</b> 391:25	467:22 468:14,25	3rd 439:7,17 440:6,16
<b>\$27</b> 420:12	377:1 378:1 379:1	14 391.25	469:12	464:3,5,21,25 466:16
<b>\$27.675</b> 419:17	380:1 381:1 382:1	<b>15</b> 380:10,11 421:17	<b>2021</b> 288:7 357:6	
-	383:1 384:1 385:1	431:8	363:17	4
<b>\$30</b> 407:22 412:4	386:1 387:1 388:1	<b>16</b> 362:13,16,18,19		
413:5,11	389:1 390:1 391:1	391:25	<b>21</b> 337:2	4 000 4 440 00 400 04
<b>\$30.75</b> 408:18	392:1 393:1 394:1	591.25	<b>25</b> 292:15,16 422:3,	<b>4</b> 393:4 412:23 433:21
	395:1 396:1 397:1	<b>17</b> 377:21,22	18	436:3 448:3,14,20,23
<b>\$400,000</b> 437:4	398:1 399:1 400:1	<b>1994</b> 291:10		464:11,12,13,18
<b>\$50</b> 296:15	401:1 402:1 403:1		25-year 293:15	<b>40</b> 365:2
	404:1 405:1 406:1	<b>1:00</b> 481:25 482:4	<b>26</b> 292:16 422:3,19	47 045 5 0
<b>\$600</b> 298:12	407:1 408:1 409:1	<b>1:13</b> 398:19,20	,	<b>47</b> 345:5,6
<b>\$75</b> 294:11	410:1 411:1 412:1	1.13 398.19,20	<b>27</b> 480:20	<b>48</b> 353:11,12
	413:1 414:1 415:1	<b>1:45</b> 398:18	<b>28</b> 480:9	10 054 5 0
•	416:1 417:1 418:1	<b>1:48</b> 398:20,22		<b>49</b> 354:5,6
0	419:1 420:1 421:1	1.40 398.20,22	28th 435:4	
	422:1 423:1 424:1		<b>29</b> 288:7	5
<b>03</b> 402:14	425:1 426:1 427:1	2		
	428:1 429:1 430:1		<b>2:13</b> 398:15	<b>5</b> 298:11 414:6 439:15
1	431:1 432:1 433:1	<b>2</b> 288:4 408:8,10,13,	<b>2:45</b> 398:18	445:25 455:11,14,18
·	434:1 435:1 436:1 437:1 438:1 439:1	21 436:3,4,5 464:10,		464:18
<b>1</b> 288:4 408:12,15	440:1 441:1 442:1	11,17	<b>2:56</b> 454:16,17	
433:21,23,24 466:8,9	443:1 444:1 445:1	24 400-40		<b>50</b> 405:17 429:24
433.21,23,24 400.0,9	446:1 447:1 448:1	<b>2.1</b> 409:13	3	57-page 480:20
<b>10</b> 298:13,15 318:3	449:1 450:1 451:1	<b>20</b> 414:24 421:17		•••••••••••••••
454:8	452:1 453:1 454:1	2008 000-11 100-01	<b>3</b> 348:11 433:14,15,	
<b>10/29/21</b> 288:1 289:1	455:1 456:1 457:1	<b>2008</b> 292:11 426:21	18,23 436:3,13	6
290:1 291:1 292:1	458:1 459:1 460:1	428:12 429:7	439:15 464:17	
293:1 294:1 295:1	461:1 462:1 463:1	<b>2010</b> 307:12	466:11	<b>6</b> 446:15,16 448:17,
296:1 297:1 298:1	464:1 465:1 466:1	<b>2014</b> 420:13 450:23		19,22
299:1 300:1 301:1	467:1 468:1 469:1	2014 420.13 450.25	<b>30(b)(6)</b> 345:2,10,13	
302:1 303:1 304:1	470:1 471:1 472:1	<b>2015</b> 401:21 420:13	353:14,18 354:7,13	8
305:1 306:1 307:1	473:1 474:1 475:1	450:23	363:3 370:21 376:4,	<b>`</b>
308:1 309:1 310:1	476:1 477:1 478:1	<b>2017</b> 408:17,24	19 378:3 388:22	9 000:40 45
311:1 312:1 313:1	479:1 480:1 481:1	410:23 411:8 419:12	391:5 406:5 480:5	<b>8</b> 298:13,15
314:1 315:1 316:1	482:1	447:2,24 449:6,13	30(b)(6)s 386:22	<b>80</b> 390:4,7
317:1 318:1 319:1		450:11 451:3 452:15	387:23	<b>81</b> 390:7 398:12
320:1 321:1 322:1	<b>102</b> 365:10	455:8 463:24		
323:1 324:1 325:1	<b>10:21</b> 288:8		<b>30-year</b> 451:10,13,17	82 357:20,25 390:7
326:1 327:1 328:1		<b>2018</b> 369:15 435:4	<b>31</b> 354:22,23 402:9,	398:12 403:4,6 422:8
329:1 330:1 331:1	<b>10:41</b> 304:6,7	436:10 463:25	13,23 472:14,17	423:10 472:14,18,21
332:1 333:1 334:1	<b>10:47</b> 304:7,9	<b>2019</b> 310:11 324:5		<b>83</b> 357:18,25 358:19
335:1 336:1 337:1		369:19 436:22 437:4,	<b>31st</b> 408:16,24	<b>UU</b> 337.10,23 330.19
338:1 339:1 340:1	<b>11:08</b> 318:7,8	17 463:25	410:23 419:12 447:2	<b>84</b> 359:12,13
		11 100.20		

<ul> <li>85 359:21</li> <li>86 359:23 360:13</li> <li>88 360:16 361:14 362:9</li> </ul>	<b>accounting</b> 299:8, 19 300:4 319:25 324:15 334:12,19 382:23,24 383:9 397:13 410:16,20,25 411:12,15 416:5
9	418:2 459:6
<b>91</b> 357:21 <b>94</b> 291:8 365:2,10,15	accounts 319:9,12, 17,21 328:19,22 329:2,8
370:8	accrue 373:12
<b>95</b> 370:14,15,23 375:22	accurate 343:13,15 357:15 364:24 379:10
<b>96</b> 370:24 375:22 378:10,17,20 379:14,	accurately 334:16
24	acknowledge 360:6
<ul><li>97 380:2</li><li>98 380:3</li></ul>	acknowledges 470:21
9th 291:11 374:9	<b>acting</b> 340:15 371:24 372:12 396:25 442:25 462:25
	465:10 activities 472:7
<b>a.m.</b> 288:8 304:7 318:8	actual 438:4
ability 289:25 353:23	add 392:4
477:6 absolutely 375:15 458:18	additional 361:16 392:5 426:4
absolve 376:20	addressed 464:20
accelerate 414:12	adjourn 290:4
acceleration 377:17	adjourned 482:21 adjust 291:24 442:10
412:23 414:13 accepted 392:22	administered
accepting 377:14	416:11 417:21
access 425:25	administering 415:3
431:15,25	advance 352:25
accommodate 317:25	advisor 308:11,12, 13,14
accordance 418:16, 23 account 331:3 338:14 372:2	advisors 306:8 322:13 324:4,9 326:2,5 335:20,22 374:20 375:6 466:23 471:23
accountants 371:5	affected 478:10
451:14	affiliate 298:4,22

299:3.21 300:7 301:3.9 344:12 452:23 **affiliated** 297:16,24 314:22 315:8 325:3, 11,12,17 344:14 426:15 affiliates 344:22 413:12,13 426:22 467:21 468:16 affirmative 347:7,11, 14 348:8 357:21 358:6,20 359:3,19,24 360:12,25 361:13 362:9 365:11 366:7 370:15,22 375:21 376:9,17 378:11 379:23 380:4 389:13 390:9 391:7 398:11 406:20 affixed 434:14 435:7 afoot 438:22 afternoon 479:11 481:8,17,19 aggregate 293:23 295:16 297:25 419:8 aggregating 411:10 **agree** 400:8 446:25 agreed 301:15 430:5 agreement 335:15, 17,24 336:5,6,9,15, 25 337:5,6 356:4 361:22 381:11,20,24 382:13,21 404:16,24 405:3 407:10,12 420:25 421:5 427:20 449:20 472:6,22 474:8 475:19 476:22 agreements 335:23 336:2 339:23 375:5 399:8,16 400:10,11, 23 401:4,16 403:7, 11,14,20 404:2,8 405:15 406:21 420:21 422:7,23 423:9 426:15,22 427:3 443:10,20 472:11 473:9,21 474:22 475:6 476:19 477:9,24 478:7,10,15

Index: 85..aspect ahead 437:22 441:21 air 423:20 alleged 360:18 allowed 435:7 alter 474:9 altered 476:21 alternative 291:18 361:6 452:2 amassed 424:19 288:17 425:5 431:8 amend 320:19 amended 346:18 355:2,20 356:3,5 357:14 362:15,24 364:23 379:9 389:3 402:24 476:21 405:8.9 amortizations 475:18 **amount** 293:23 294:12 295:17 298:5. 21 299:3 360:7 385:11 391:21 392:2, 6 393:6 407:22 408:17 417:20 419:16,22 422:13 430:6 435:3 460:18 357:4 480:23 amounts 333:5 344:21,23 367:12 372:6 377:13 382:6 392:21,25 420:3 407:22 419:17 424:21 427:4 431:9 448:6 450:17 460:19, 450:23 22 and/or 334:14 338:6 364:2 365:18 412:2 475:18 animation 396:22 annoyed 391:22 392:19 annual 369:23 377:2 409:16,22 410:8 453:22 460:10 468:21 **answers** 345:24

347:16,18 405:25

406:22 407:4 439:4

aspect 337:10 379:5

**anytime** 371:16

Apologies 423:24

apologize 288:21 295:12 297:21 301:19 332:5 387:17 422:16 423:18 425:8, 18 445:3 448:21 455:4 464:10

appearance 445:5

appearances

appears 311:7 314:9

applied 403:15

applies 406:13

**apply** 333:3 368:15 403:21 404:3,9

**appoint** 301:23 302:14 311:3 323:14

**appointed** 301:12,14 302:18 321:6

approval 312:4

approve 312:11

**approved** 312:19

approving 364:10

approximate 408:17

approximately

April 310:11 324:4

arbitrary 290:19

area 292:18

argue 393:13

argument 377:17 386:10 393:8,10 446:13 465:6,7

articulate 330:23

articulated 421:10

363:25 370:11 372:5

			Index:	assertedcapacity
asserted 358:20 366:13 assertion 379:16 430:3 431:12	authorized 316:12, 18 319:8,11,16,20 328:18,21,25 329:7 363:15 371:25	<b>balance</b> 293:8 298:9 299:4,22 300:8 301:4,10 326:23 327:3,7 405:18 412:2	betrayed 468:6 big 421:10 bigger 393:11	<b>break</b> 317:23 318:4, 12 380:15,19,21 398:17 399:4 454:7, 23 455:6
asserts 359:24 360:16 365:16	391:19 434:21 438:2 463:11,19 authorizing 364:10	413:19 418:4 balances 319:24	billion 292:7 binder 346:22 362:19	breaks 482:9 Brian 324:14
asset 291:19 298:16 308:10 376:25 412:20 421:14	automated 438:3 avoid 475:15	ballpark 295:18 bank 319:9,12,17,21 328:18,22,25 329:8	433:17 binding 345:25	bring 395:6 broad 366:5
assets 292:4 299:9 330:16 334:14	<b>aware</b> 311:18 312:14 314:18,24 315:4 317:7,11 324:18,19,	425:2,3 <b>bankruptcy</b> 300:6, 15 302:21 315:24,25	<b>bit</b> 328:3 337:20 345:11 356:17 407:16	<b>bucks</b> 377:3,4 391:25 393:12 421:16 430:14
assigned 300:20 assume 311:22 342:11 408:25 435:5	24 325:5 327:6 330:10 334:4,6 340:10,12 346:16,17	366:13 413:14 barred 358:21	blocked 378:13 blurt 441:18	building 338:18 built 339:5
438:5 assumed 470:18	351:13 352:10,19 357:9,11,12 358:5 359:18 364:14,18,21	359:15 360:2,17 365:17 370:16 <b>base</b> 298:16	board 425:2 431:21 481:4 boilerplate 410:17	bullet 416:8 bunch 309:4
assuming 415:20 attached 444:10,18	365:20 367:20 368:4 369:22 370:6 372:12, 19,25 373:2 376:16	<b>based</b> 324:16 398:5 467:25	416:4 <b>bona</b> 373:8 418:18,	burden 327:13 business 291:14
attention 439:21 attorney 320:18 321:8	378:8 379:17 389:10 390:8 397:21 398:11 402:4 428:13,18	basic 416:19 459:5 basis 294:5 344:9	19,20 419:23 421:19 457:10 Bonds 363:23 364:4	308:5 323:4 330:15 342:6 384:17 385:7
attorneys 429:7 audit 320:2 334:19	429:13 434:13 435:13,15 437:16,20 439:7 442:2,11	Bates 444:20,23 begin 289:6,12,18	<b>bonuses</b> 322:9 424:24	C calculating 334:8
411:3,16 416:6 426:10	444:8,19,24 453:21 455:17 466:15 468:18,19	beginning 288:4 355:25 357:5 363:16 465:12	book 309:16 booklet 448:25	calculation 393:2 calendar 409:17
audited 299:10,25 327:18 340:9 412:11, 17 428:11 429:6 430:7,22 432:6,12 470:8 auditor 426:14 427:9	awareness 320:6,8 321:4 322:10 324:12 325:8 332:16 333:4 344:10,18 352:13 366:19 373:17 401:22,23 456:25	<b>behalf</b> 293:4 316:13, 19 349:12 353:23 354:8 363:16 364:11 371:12,24 372:7,13 386:17 387:5 388:12 389:8 394:8,16 396:25 404:10	books 298:23 397:19 borrow 295:7 420:5 borrowed 295:3,8,13 420:2,11 437:3,17 448:6 450:15 452:14 borrower 400:15	454:11 <b>calendars</b> 479:13 481:11 <b>call</b> 400:16 450:25 464:9
auditors 334:17 373:10 411:12 416:7 426:22 427:14 435:14 451:14 454:5	B back 294:19 304:8	408:24 409:7 411:17 415:4 418:13 419:20 436:10 437:14 443:2 449:12 451:6 455:7	borrower 409:15 bottom 310:6 323:23 boy 336:17 383:13	<b>called</b> 306:7 322:12 329:18 341:8 345:9 357:21 389:15 402:2 462:10
audits 327:14 334:11 337:23	318:9 320:25 336:17 338:17 381:3,13 388:19 392:5,24	449.12 451.0 455.7 456:18 462:17,25 463:18 464:20 465:10 469:9,10	416:16 417:8 422:9 473:14 <b>Boyce</b> 301:15	<b>calls</b> 349:24 350:3,9, 17,22 351:2 <b>capable</b> 290:7
August 356:4 420:13 authoritative 310:20 authority 301:22,23	397:10,11 398:21 401:5 424:2,10 428:18 429:7 430:3 454:18 462:11	480:5 believes 469:15	breach 391:15 392:2, 17 breached 303:11	458:22 capacities 325:3
302:13,14 310:5 317:9,13 451:5	472:10,14 475:3 background 324:15 357:4	benefit 339:4 385:10 benefiting 385:9 benefits 384:20	304:14 305:3,13,15, 22	<b>capacity</b> 303:5 311:2,19 314:4,7 315:20 331:25 332:9
authorize 371:18 389:7 420:5 436:9	<b>bad</b> 405:7 416:16 417:8	Berghman 445:7	breaches 471:9,11, 12 472:4	345:8,9,20,24 351:3 363:3 371:16 378:3 388:22 393:14

Index: Capital..copy 399:25 406:5 480:4 connection 294:17 **chagrin** 345:11 CLO 338:22 385:5 16 433:23 448:15 353:7 426:9 chance 331:3 351:6 Capital 288:6 290:23 **close** 434:12.18.19 complaints 356:5 consideration 360:3 436:6 480:10 407:6 294:10,15,21 295:4, change 341:13,14 6,14,21,23 296:3,9 362:12 474:6 **closed** 360:3 complete 290:5 considered 315:5 306:7 311:21 329:18 334:13 335:2 343:12, 325:24 362:23 405:21 changed 299:17 closely 410:3 411:23 15 357:14 364:23 434:15 457:24 476:21 477:6 consistent 407:6 379:10 405:16 collateral 413:16 466:22 471:22,23 419:24 characterize 333:12 417:7 completely 391:3 captured 308:10 consolidated characterizing colleague 309:12 397:7 334:16 370:11 447:22 409:14 collectively 451:3 completeness care 445:20 contained 430:7 327:24 charged 299:2 combination 310:5 carefully 372:11 333:21 364:9 contemporaneous **complex** 401:25 468:11 comfortable 390:13 y 442:12 charging 386:7 complexity 363:24 391:10 398:23 carried 298:22 **contend** 420:19 chat 309:18 355:11 299:4,22 300:8 commenced 404:5. compliance 327:14 424:5 473:8 301:3,10 327:4 371:8 393:3 411:14 **check** 426:5 479:12 11 405:6 433:10 contended 367:3 462:12 case 363:25 378:12 checks 319:24 commencement contends 461:3 383:5 compliant 319:25 441:7,9 443:4,8,18 chief 303:2 331:23. 334:11 418:2 455:23 **content** 416:8 cases 460:19 25 332:9 compliantly 320:7 **comment** 306:3 contention 403:13, cash 383:18 384:13 choice 345:15 338:18 396:18 432:4 19.25 423:17 458:14 421:11 complicated 386:6 circumstances 460:21 463:15 common 421:18 categorized 292:24 comport 303:6 348:7 375:12,21 contentious 461:2 413:8 communicate category 361:9 concede 433:5 318:11 350:4 context 335:11 cited 472:7 caused 470:16 concern 333:17 400:10 460:21.22 communications **claim** 360:17 358:6 359:2.18 caveat 427:17 348:7 441:18 442:6 contingent 427:5 360:25 361:13 362:8 441:21 claims 358:21 **comp** 361:6,8,16 365:21 370:21 390:9 continuation 482:5 359:14,25 365:16 ceased 305:11 423:5 370:16 concerned 411:24 **continue** 290:4.20 Central 398:18 482:4 453:14 companies 311:20 389:22 400:6 479:7 clarification 332:6 314:13 315:7 325:4, centralized 417:22 378:18 423:8 concerns 347:6 contracted 471:15 12 344:14 361:21 348:11 certificate 309:23 clarify 337:20 412:21 421:19,22 control 297:2 307:6, 310:2,10 311:8 431:19 concluding 328:11 19.23 308:2 311:3 **class** 400:14,19 313:13 320:24 315:12.20 322:21 conclusion 452:13 427:21 company 297:4 323:20 331:20 330:5,9 331:7 332:18 314:23 325:17 415:2 340:12.20 342:12 conclusions 305:18 clause 415:9 333:16 341:24 398:6 436:25 compared 404:18 371:17 388:5 393:15 clauses 416:17 condition 407:11 410:4 429:22 431:19 certificates 313:15 **compel** 395:7 429:12.16 474:4 clean 304:11 342:21 477:5 controlled 307:14 compensation cleaner 423:6,7 323:6 325:13 342:2 cetera 320:2 334:5 conditioner 423:20 322:9 404:16,18 374:20 385:15 367:13 389:24 421:8,11,21 422:6,22 **cleanup** 406:9 **conditions** 413:6,10 392:12,15 393:2 423:2,13 424:22 controls 320:2 473:15.17 475:20 clear 295:11 304:24, 433:2 430:16 443:24 476:11.12 477:4 25 322:2 335:5 convenience 481:3 446:12 CFO 299:24 301:13. 417:11 431:4 432:15 confirm 401:8 462:3 conversation 23 302:15.18 303:6 complaint 346:18 479:25 457:12 311:12,20 313:7,10, 355:2,20 356:3 confirmed 462:10 Cliff 300:17 11,19 314:4 440:3 358:15 362:15.24 **copy** 355:18 356:21, confusing 456:8 389:3 402:24 408:9, 22,23 402:20 434:7

			Index: Co	rnerstonedemands
448:25 Cornerstone 427:19 corporate 312:7 320:18 321:7 323:7 330:8 342:3 345:21, 25 354:9 405:10 406:24 407:3,12 458:13 459:20 460:10 461:3,13 462:17 463:2,14,18 469:10 correct 290:24 295:4 301:11,24 306:8 313:3 316:5 322:13 325:14 328:15 335:15 337:17 339:24 342:24 351:19 374:6,9 389:18 398:2 402:15 404:12 405:14 412:9, 18 416:3 419:22 420:22 424:7 435:10 436:22 438:18 440:2, 17 442:3 447:6,10,19 449:7 450:6,11,13 451:7,10,22,23 452:4 456:3,13,22 457:5 458:9 459:10,13,16 465:24 468:25 469:6	court 288:9 303:14, 21,24 355:25 366:13 374:24 381:17 395:7 396:5,8 482:15,19         cover 480:23         crazy 415:8         create 291:7 330:17, 19 413:15         created 307:9,15 322:24 330:11 339:6         creatures 365:5         credit 291:17 411:4         creditors 452:24         crisis 292:12         culpa 406:16         current 321:14,18         329:5,12 340:25         405:18         curved 374:24         cut-and-paste 406:17	23 481:4 482:8,10 days 349:19,20 de 297:25 298:4,7,17 333:5,7,15 334:7,14 343:21,22 376:24 377:3 391:20 393:20 410:13 411:25 412:2 413:18 417:20 421:13 458:20 dealing 372:22 Deborah 288:14,18 291:25 303:22 349:17 350:5 351:5 381:4 383:21 388:9 390:18 425:8 428:22 445:5 debt 333:6 334:5 421:19 453:10,16 debtholders 453:13 debtor 367:22 374:21 428:8,10 443:15 444:15 debts 297:8,14 315:14,21 316:3,8 318:17,22 326:7,12, 17 327:10 328:7 332:20 333:2,23	deemed         426:16           427:3,12         deeply         453:12           default         368:21         377:8           391:20         392:20         393:5           412:23         defendant         362:23           defendant         362:23         404:17           defendants         289:7         defendants         289:7           defense         347:11,14         358:6,20         359:3,19,24           360:12,25         361:6,13         362:9         365:21         366:2,           7,14         367:5         368:14         370:8,15,22         378:17,         20         379:16,18,23         389:20         391:7         406:20           459:21,25         460:8         461:3,8,9         defenses         347:7         348:9         357:22         365:11         375:22         376:9,17         378:11         380:4         390:9         398:12         43:3         459:20         461:13           deficiently         471:16         definable         385:10         385:10	$\begin{array}{c} 356:20\ 359:4\ 361:25\\ 365:4\ 366:8,17,22\\ 367:6\ 369:7,25\ 373:5\\ 376:10,18\ 379:11\\ 380:13,20,25\ 381:6\\ 382:15\ 383:17\ 384:4,\\ 9,22\ 385:17,24\\ 386:20\ 387:8,14,18,\\ 21\ 388:15\ 390:14,19,\\ 21\ 394:3,10,17\\ 395:12,18,22\ 396:3,\\ 9,21\ 397:5,9\ 399:11,\\ 19,21\ 400:3\ 401:11,\\ 18\ 402:13,18\ 405:11\\ 406:14\ 409:9,18,25\\ 410:9\ 411:20\ 415:14,\\ 25\ 423:21\ 425:14,23\\ 426:17\ 428:5,21,23\\ 426:17\ 428:5,21,23\\ 429:8\ 430:25\ 432:13\\ 433:11,16,19\ 434:8,\\ 17\ 438:10\ 441:3,16\\ 444:8,16\ 445:24\\ 447:11\ 448:19,24\\ 449:22\ 452:10\ 453:7,\\ 24\ 455:19\ 456:4,10,\\ 14\ 457:6,16,19\\ 460:13\ 461:16,23\\ 462:6,21\ 463:6,22\\ 464:13\ 465:14,19,25\\ 467:4\ 470:3,10\ 471:2\\ 473:12\ 474:2,11,15\\ \end{array}$
470:9 473:6,19 476:4,16,24 477:12, 15,17 478:3	D	340:5 343:6,18 344:6 <b>December</b> 371:11,	define 298:7,17 317:2 414:21	475:2,12 476:5,25 478:23 479:21 480:13,21,24 481:10
correctly 320:7 335:12 404:7,22 411:2 414:15 correspondence 471:20 cost 385:11 costs 333:7 334:5 337:21 338:5,8 counsel 288:19 289:6,8,9 355:18 counsel's 353:25 354:16	DAF 339:2,5 385:2,5, 19,22 386:5,18 387:6 388:13 date 288:7 310:15 311:10 324:9 325:21 428:24 dated 408:16 439:17 464:21 dates 369:9,10 Dave 352:10 David 300:17	15 373:21 374:12,17, 21,25 395:14 397:3, 25 439:7,17 440:6, 16,21 445:22 446:5 453:23 459:2,9,12,16 461:20,21 463:3 464:3,5,21,25 465:13 466:16 467:21 468:14,25 469:12 <b>decide</b> 385:21 386:16 417:16 480:3 <b>decided</b> 297:3 386:8 420:24 451:12	<ul> <li>defined 317:18 411:11 419:12 426:23</li> <li>defining 377:14</li> <li>definition 416:23</li> <li>definitively 340:10</li> <li>Deitsch-perez 288:16,18 291:21 292:2 294:3,16,19,24 296:11,23 297:10 301:25 304:16 305:5, 25 309:15 311:5</li> </ul>	482:13,18 <b>delegate</b> 317:19 <b>deluded</b> 375:16 <b>demand</b> 333:19 348:12,15 368:15 414:10 439:8,11 440:7,9,17,23 441:6, 11 442:2 443:3 444:4 445:24 447:22 464:24 465:12,23 466:17 467:2,9,19 468:14,15 469:11 476:2,3,10,15,20
<b>counterparties</b> 377:2 <b>couple</b> 300:14,18 349:19,20 350:2 421:16 428:15 445:3	Davor 317:22,25 445:2 day 290:5 336:18 352:16 386:15 410:23 435:12 452:15 479:10,19,22,	<ul> <li>deciding 417:25</li> <li>decision 387:5,12, 13,19 388:6,12 429:17</li> <li>declared 368:20</li> <li>deed 416:15</li> </ul>	315:16 317:21 318:5, 24 321:21 324:21 326:8,19 327:20 329:9 331:9 332:11 335:16 337:15 340:6 343:19 344:7 346:3 347:3,21,25 348:5,18 349:23 355:3,7,13	477:6,21,23 478:11 demanded 445:23 446:6,10 477:7 demanding 464:5 demands 468:24 469:5

Index: demonstrate..end

			IIIdex	: demonstrateend
demonstrate 424:14	disclose 426:14	304:1,11 305:1,9	464:1 465:1 466:1	
		306:1 307:1 308:1	467:1 468:1 469:1	E
department 382:23,	disclosed 412:15	309:1,20 310:1 311:1	470:1 471:1 472:1,19	
24 383:2 411:12	426:21 427:9,13	312:1 313:1 314:1	473:1 474:1,20 475:1	
417:23	430:24 432:11 433:3	315:1 316:1 317:1	476:1 477:1 478:1	earlier 320:24 324:12
demond 000 (				367:18 379:19 406:8
depend 383:4	disclosure 427:23	318:1,11 319:1 320:1	479:1,5,8 480:1,3	415:10 436:24
deposed 352:9,11,	432:7,21,24 441:20	321:1 322:1 323:1	481:1,2 482:1	early 337:2 356:9
19	470:7	324:1 325:1 326:1	Dondero's 386:23	374:11,17 467:21
	discovery 349:7,13	327:1 328:1 329:1	387:24 401:6 402:16	468:13 469:12
deposition 288:5,20,	425:19,21 444:7,13	330:1 331:1 332:1		400.13 409.12
25 289:18,22 290:5	120.10,21 111.1,10	333:1 334:1 335:1	double-check	easier 393:12
346:21 349:16 350:6,	discuss 306:5 401:3	336:1 337:1 338:1	425:15	
16 351:8,11,14,18,21	441:10,13 480:2	339:1 340:1 341:1	doubt 324:7 409:11	Eastern 398:16
352:4,7,14 353:2,3,8		342:1 343:1 344:1	<b>doubt</b> 324.7 409.11	effective 310:11
363:7 376:19 386:23	discussing 442:19	345:1,7 346:1 347:1	dozen 350:7 422:12	324:4
399:5 400:4 408:12	discussion 444:3	348:1 349:1 350:1	425:6 431:8	
444:19 454:24		351:1 352:1 353:1		effectively 338:21
479:14 482:21	disrupt 400:4	354:1,24 355:1,17	dozens 293:19,21	419:7
	distinguish 457:21	356:1,14 357:1 358:1	drafted 410:15	affart 101.10
depth 334:13	C C	359:1 360:1 361:1		effort 424:13
describe 291:13	diversified 291:17	362:1 363:1 364:1	draw 452:12	efforts 382:5 424:18
332:24 410:12	destring 005.47	365:1 366:1 367:1	drivon 454.4	
	doctrine 365:17	368:1 369:1 370:1	driven 454:4	eight-day 440:20
456:17 461:12	370:17	371:1 372:1,10 373:1	dropped 423:20	electronic 438:9,14,
describes 403:6	document 309:12	374:1 375:1 376:1		18
472:21	310:7,21 313:20	377:1 378:1 379:1	<b>DSI</b> 414:24	10
	317:6 320:18 345:4,		dual 321:23	electronically
describing 429:3	16 355:24 356:9,16,	380:1 381:1 382:1		439:2,3
432:8	18 357:5,9,13	383:1,22 384:1,6,7	due 297:24 316:14	
deserve 344:3	363:10,16,20 364:11,	385:1 386:1 387:1	327:4 344:13,21	Ellis 363:23 364:4
<b>deserve</b> 344.3		388:1 389:1 390:1,5,	358:22 359:15 360:2	else's 454:11
detail 334:17 378:14	15,19,22 366:12,20	22 391:1 392:1 393:1	366:15 371:20 372:5,	
471:19	378:6,9 379:6,8	394:1 395:1,13 396:1	15 373:3 389:23	email 353:9 445:17
	380:17 381:10	397:1 398:1,23 399:1	391:20 392:25	emails 353:6
detailed 344:9	388:20 389:2,5,8,11	400:1,9 401:1,8	393:13,17,21 394:2	enans 555.0
details 301:21	402:8 435:8 447:18	402:1,23 403:1	395:16 397:3 408:2	employed 308:17
337:24 366:4	466:11 470:23 471:5	404:1,17 405:1	409:23 440:8 456:2,	319:15 322:2 383:12
007.21000.1	documentation	406:1,25 407:1 408:1	12,21 457:4 458:8,20	
<b>di</b> 333:12	342:21 431:12,17,24	409:1 410:1 411:1	460:11 461:14,21	employee 317:20
differentiate 333:24	072.21701.12,17,24	412:1 413:1 414:1	462:4 463:5 479:20	320:11 321:15,19,20,
unierentiale 555.24	documents 320:19	415:1 416:1 417:1	402.4 403.3 479.20	24 329:5,13 340:16
dig 369:9	334:18 348:7 352:24	418:1 419:1 420:1	Dugaboy 306:22	341:2 398:5 422:6,22
C	367:23 370:5 425:11,	421:1 422:1 423:1	338:6 400:12,16,23	458:21
direct 329:23 337:21	22,24,25 438:14	424:1,5 425:1,11	401:9,17 403:8,14,21	employees 371:6
338:7 341:18 371:17		426:1,8 427:1 428:1	404:2 405:4 420:21	
directed 462:18	<b>dog</b> 387:15,16	420:1,8 427:1 428.1 429:1 429:1 430:1 431:1	421:2,6 422:24	392:11 422:12
463:13,19 469:4	dollar 386:3	432:1 433:1 434:1	427:20 443:11,20	458:25 459:4,5,9
			472:12,23 473:10,22	472:6
direction 463:21	dollars 391:22	435:1 436:1 437:1	474:22,23 475:6	end 369:24 371:21
directly 200-04 207-0	393:10	438:1 439:1 440:1	476:19 477:10,25	373:3,14 375:3,14
directly 306:21 307:3	Dondoro 000-4 5 44	441:1,25 442:1 443:1	10.13 11.10,23	391:16,17 393:17
314:5,8,13 322:19	<b>Dondero</b> 288:1,5,11,	444:1,13,15 445:1	duly 288:12	394:2,8,15 395:17
330:3	19,22 289:1,15 290:1	446:1 447:1 448:1	duplicate 255:45	409:16,23 410:8
directors 431:22	291:1,23 292:1,3	449:1 450:1 451:1	duplicate 355:15	409.10,23 410.8
	293:1 294:1 295:1,3	452:1 453:1 454:1,14	duties 297:6 303:2,	
disagree 324:11	296:1 297:1 298:1	455:1 456:1 457:1	12 304:14 305:4,13,	447:23 456:2,12,21
disappeared 294:17	299:1 300:1 301:1	458:1 459:1 460:1	15,23 328:12,14	457:4 458:15 460:11
usappeareu 284.17	302:1 303:1,17,20	461:1 462:1 463:1		461:15 462:5,19,23
	1	1	1	1

ensure 393:25 existence 323:7 estimate 290:21 296:8 350:14 330:8 342:3 enter 452:19 estoppel 347:17 exists 461:4 entered 336:14 359:15 370:17 381:24 382:12 399:7, expect 479:4,17 event 427:8 480:9 15 400:22 401:16 403:11,14,20 404:25 eventually 368:11 expectation 392:15 405:4 407:10 472:11, 469:14 22 473:9,22 476:18 expectations 303:7 477:9,24 evidence 330:24 expected 338:6 418:8 entering 400:11 393:20 exact 406:21 enterprise 343:24 **expenses** 316:23 388:5 **EXAMINATION** 338:16 289:13 entire 431:21 expert 292:18 examining 480:11 entities 306:20 expertly 334:21 311:15,24 325:7,8 examples 425:6 experts 421:24 431:9 333:4,8 335:7,25 336:4,8,11,20 337:25 extended 375:8 exceeded 293:25 338:7 340:10 343:9 extent 301:8 312:11 345:10 377:7 382:5, exchange 337:7 313:23 316:7 325:10 25 384:19 385:16 339:12 332:21 334:6 338:25 406:6.24 407:13 execute 330:21 361:16 371:2 391:12, 423:3 426:15 458:21 13 396:17 427:13 472:2 **executed** 403:16,21 404:3,9 405:9 411:7 eyes 471:17 entities' 407:4 455:7 entitled 414:7 480:17 F **executive** 316:21 entity 291:3 306:7,11 317:18 327:23 413:4. 311:4 322:12 329:18 9 facility 411:4 330:9 331:7 333:6 executives 325:6 fact 368:4,7 376:18 338:2 341:7,10,16 413:20 424:25 425:2 387:24 421:9 430:21 342:9 383:5 385:20 431:10 432:19 463:4 413:19 429:21 469:22 exercise 302:13 facts 348:8 358:5.25 359:17 360:11,24 entity's 332:20 exhibit 309:13 361:12 362:8 365:20 323:17 345:5,6 entrepreneurial 366:6 368:9 370:6,20 353:11,12 354:4,6, 382:5 375:12,20 376:8,15 22,23 357:20 362:13, 377:11,16 379:17,22 equal 360:7 448:7 16 377:21,22 380:10, 380:3 390:9,15 391:6 11 402:9,23 408:8, equity 385:6 452:24 398:10 10,12,15 419:5,9 453:5 420:3,7 433:14,15, failed 318:20 319:5 equity-ish 453:12 18,23,24 436:4,5,13 328:5,12 437:12 439:15 equivalent 360:21 failure 360:2 445:25 446:7,15,16 error 406:17 471:24 447:18 448:3,8,14, fair 290:20 293:2,14, 17,19,20,22 450:5,8, 18,21,22 296:20 established 405:15 17 456:7 464:10,11, 297:4 311:9 312:2,5 estate 323:5 341:11 12,13,17,18 466:8,9, 313:8,24 325:22 11 472:14,17 342:7 374:3 375:17, 331:8 339:19 342:23 18 385:8 392:12 344:4 372:21 392:10 exhibits 433:21 468:6 406:25 410:5 411:19 436:3 464:17

Index: ensure..forgiven 389:7 415:11.22 427:11 428:3 429:3 432:10 **finally** 360:15 439:6 447:4,7 449:19 451:17,24 452:12 financial 292:11 458:5 459:19 461:5 299:10,12 300:11 465:9,18 469:3 303:2 327:18 331:25 474:20 475:4,8 332:9 337:10 382:7 478:14 480:23 412:8,17 428:11 429:6,15 430:7,19,22 fairly 409:14 422:12 432:6,12 458:22 faith 360:20 470:8 fallen 316:9 financials 299:25 300:20 340:9 343:13. falls 361:9.17 15 411:3 412:11 familiar 297:7 306:6 430:11 431:5,7 432:17,24 433:4 315:14 318:17 470:20 322:12 329:18 341:7 433:8 financing 451:25 familiarize 297:14 financings 453:2 298:21 315:21 316:2 **find** 434:6 318:22 326:6,17 327:10 328:7,13 **findina** 471:9 332:19.25 344:5 fine 341:17 369:13 familiarizing 333:22 395:11 340:4 343:5 finish 290:15 340:24 family 314:12 321:8 479:4 482:7,10 fast 381:3 **finished** 480:16 faster 361:19 firing 315:5 325:24 fault 295:12 441:24 firm 363:15 favor 296:3 447:2 firms 334:12 389:23 features 417:8 fits 454:11 fee 338:9 339:2 flip 436:2 feel 290:3 focus 292:19 344:3 feeling 289:3,17,21 390:6 430:3 fees 308:11 317:3 focusing 334:8 357:25 413:21 386:7 422:16 fide 373:8 418:18.19. 20 419:23 421:19 **follow-up** 388:8 457:10 **footnoted** 430:12 figure 429:17 forcing 290:6 file 363:15 forgave 422:4 filed 346:17 355:20, 430:15 432:11 24 356:2,5 362:14 forgivable 404:20 366:12 374:20 416:15 forgive 421:20 427:3 forgiven 422:21 filing 357:5 364:10

			Inde
423:4,11 425:4	338:4 340:8,14	<b>geez</b> 480:21	gulf 382:10
427:7,13,24 429:11 430:6,24 431:5 432:22,23,25 433:4	343:10,11,16 397:24 398:7 415:3 436:16, 21 437:13,25 438:25	<b>general</b> 293:7 296:20,25 308:15	<b>guys</b> 346:23 432:2
473:16	441:15 442:16 443:5	312:7 343:20 344:10, 17 364:7 376:24	н
forgiveness 361:7 429:4,20 430:4	456:18 462:10 466:20 470:17	403:7 generalize 384:24	haggled 418:6,9
431:9,22 440:13 443:24 446:13 465:6, 7 467:25 474:5	Frank's 299:18 300:10,14,25 437:23 438:3	generally 297:12 323:3,5 325:15	<b>half</b> 350:7 430:14 479:23
475:20 476:12 477:6	frauds 327:25	326:10,12 327:6 329:20 332:21,23,25	half-hour 380:19,21 398:17
forgiving 424:6,15 429:18 431:13	fraudulent 360:17, 19	344:15 357:7 363:21 364:12,18 373:15	hand 355:16
<b>form</b> 294:4 296:24 297:11 306:2 311:6	<b>free</b> 479:16	389:6 426:19 461:10 472:21	handle 363:23,25 382:24
315:17 318:25 321:22 324:22 326:9,	<b>Friday</b> 479:17 481:2, 8,19	<b>give</b> 331:3 334:25 337:24 342:22 366:6	Hang 355:7 402:18 428:21
20 327:20 329:10 331:10 340:7 343:19 344:8 361:25 366:9,	friendly 413:13 417:21	369:8,10 382:2 394:12,19 395:14	happy 317:25 406:3 479:25
18,23 367:7 370:2 373:6 376:11 379:12	frivolous 470:2	397:16 405:16 440:25 451:12	hard 355:18 356:22,
384:23 385:18,25 388:16 390:15	front 348:2 389:21 frozen 302:3	<b>giving</b> 399:25 422:22 423:13	23 402:20 416:14 434:6 448:25 457:20
399:12,22 401:12,19	fulfill 318:21 319:5	glad 351:22	Harvard 385:4
405:12 407:21 409:10,19 410:2,10	328:5,12	<b>good</b> 308:15 312:8	hats 324:20
411:21 415:15 416:2 426:18 428:6 429:9	fulfilled 302:25	330:23 360:20 382:8 393:3 413:19 423:22	HCMF 331:24 332:3 10 339:19,25 457:21
431:2 434:17 438:11 441:4 447:12 449:23	full 431:19 479:19,22 function 333:25	govern 472:5	<b>HCMFA</b> 306:11,13,
452:11 453:8,25 455:20 456:15 457:7	334:19	<b>grace</b> 414:10 440:20, 25	19 307:4,6,8,14,19 308:2,17,18,22
459:18 460:14 461:17,24 462:7,22	functional 401:23	grade 291:17	309:2,8 310:10,14, 19,23 311:3 313:20
463:7,23 465:15,20	functions 459:6	green 289:2	314:8,17 315:10,13, 20 316:13,18 317:9
466:2 467:4 470:4,11 471:3 473:13 474:3,	<b>fund</b> 306:7 317:3,4 466:22 471:23	Gregory 322:6	318:15,22 320:22
12 475:13 476:25	funds 308:6,8,10,16	grounds 312:18	321:9,16,20 322:7 333:10,17 336:23
<b>formal</b> 335:22,23 336:2 381:18 384:13	309:4 312:23 320:19, 20 327:16 336:13	<b>group</b> 299:18 300:4, 11,14,21,25 316:10	339:22 404:10,11 405:13 457:9 465:24 466:3,4
formally 382:18	G	319:25 334:2,23 335:10 336:19 338:5	HCMFA's 308:5
formation 315:2		guarantee 417:8	311:12 315:14,21
formats 291:19	gained 385:11	guaranteed 416:16	316:3,8 318:17 319:9,12,17,20
found 291:6 392:18 founded 290:23	game 474:13 gather 424:13	guess 304:20 310:4	333:12
Frank 299:20 300:20	gave 382:2 392:20	312:11 333:3 347:19 375:25 393:7 429:10	<b>HCMLP</b> 333:11,19 404:21
301:23 302:10 309:7 310:13 312:7 316:9 325:8 327:13 334:2, 22 335:10 336:18	394:20 395:2,21 413:25 430:13 440:20	437:25 451:18 456:23,25 457:2,10, 11	HCMS 288:20 329:17,21,24 330:3, 5,11 331:5,14,18
	1	1	I

332:6,9,18 335:11,14 336:16 337:6,13 338:14 339:11,19 340:3,16 341:3 353:14,17,23,24 362:14 363:14 364:11 365:10,15 366:12,25 367:14,25 369:15,18,23 370:21 371:12,17,19,24 372:13,21 375:14 -hour 380:19,21 379:19 385:22 403:22,23 405:20,25 433:8,10,24 434:15 435:2,9,18,23 436:10,22 437:3,14, 16 439:8,18,25 g 355:7 402:18 440:7,20 441:5,11 442:8 443:2,10,19 445:23 446:9 447:21 py 317:25 406:3 451:2 452:7 453:6 457:3,14,17,21 461:8 355:18 356:22, 465:11 473:5 HCMS' 340:5 363:3,7 :6 448:25 457:20 443:2 HCMS's 330:8,15 333:2,23 353:18 372:2 **//F** 331:24 332:3, 339:19,25 457:21 HCRE 288:20 341:6, 8,16,19,24 342:2,16 **IFA** 306:11,13, 343:7,13,15,18 354:8,9,14 378:4,21 381:11,22,23,25 :2,8 310:10,14, 382:13 383:3,5,11,15 23 311:3 313:20 384:7 385:9.21 386:3 :8,17 315:10,13, 404:3,5 405:19 406:2 316:13,18 317:9 447:21 448:2,5,11,16 449:13 450:9,15,21 451:2 452:7,17,18 453:2,5 455:7 456:5, 20 459:3,12 464:20 5:13 457:9 465:24 465:11 473:5 HCRE's 342:6 344:6 377:23 HCRE-TYPE 385:20 head 292:2 306:4 311:25 317:14,16 325:19 340:23 369:17,21 376:8 hear 289:15 303:14, ):17,21,24 330:3, 19 335:11 395:24 396:4,5,7,9,10,11,22

Index: forgiveness..hear

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

			Inde	x: heardinvestor
heard 303:16 352:20	433:10 434:15 435:3,	21 350:19,20 479:4,9	incentive 361:18	inkling 413:14
462:9	9,19 437:4,17 439:8	480:9 481:17	incentives 361:17	inordinate 392:6
held 312:17,22 313:5,	440:4,6,17,19,24	house 308:7 424:25	421:21	
18 314:12 320:14,16	442:2,7 443:2,9,17, 18 445:23 446:6,10	housed 308:6	include 297:7 318:16	insignificant 424:20
325:11,17 465:2	447:2 448:6,12		420:24 427:2 432:7	installment 369:24
helped 339:16	450:10,16,22 452:3	hundred 391:21		409:16,22 453:22
Hendrix 352:19	453:4 456:17,18	hundreds 293:15	included 354:13	456:2,12,21 458:8
Hendrix 352:19	457:24 458:4,16,23	312:12	412:8,12,16 414:18 415:13 430:10	460:11 461:20
hereunder 414:14	460:23 461:4 462:3,	HVIN 469:15	432:17,19 470:7	instruct 371:10
Hey 380:13	18 463:4,9,11,19,20			393:15 442:21 443:9
-	465:2 466:16,22 467:19 468:14		includes 473:3	445:21 446:4 461:19
<b>HFAM</b> 335:20 470:15 471:9,13,15	469:19 470:15,16		including 348:15	instructed 371:24
	471:13,16,21,22	idea 300:21 331:15	421:5 459:8,13,16	372:13 397:2
Highland 288:6	473:4,6 475:9 476:2,	410:15,19 416:7	inclusive 350:16	instruction 372:20
289:9 290:23 291:3,	15	435:21	incomo 000.0 (	397:16
7,14 292:5,15 293:16,20,25 294:10,	Highland's 291:9		income 322:3,4 343:24 386:19 387:7	instructions 395:15
14,21 295:4,6,7,14,	298:16 301:13	identified 310:14 324:2 348:16 353:24	388:14	
21,23 296:3,9 297:3,	302:14 303:6 311:12	459:19 461:2,7		intended 325:20
7,9,15,23 298:2,4,10,	313:6 327:18 346:18		incorporated 366:2 392:24	418:19,20 473:23
20,22,25 299:4,22	349:12 354:25	identifies 317:8		intent 339:9 377:5,6
300:8 301:3,9	358:14 362:15	identify 299:6 300:3	incumbency	414:12
302:12,18,24 303:12	370:25 389:3 402:24 403:17,22 412:8,16	313:4,17 314:21	309:23,25 310:10	intention 418:14
304:14 305:4,12,14, 23 306:7 308:14	426:2,10 432:17	321:14,18 328:10	311:8 313:13,14 320:23 323:19	482:10
311:19,20 313:7,19	435:14 441:11	329:12 331:16	331:19 340:12,20	intentionally 304:19
314:5,12,23 315:8	476:19,23	340:25 341:5 342:14 366:6 396:24 422:2,	342:11,20 398:6	-
319:15 320:12 321:7,	highlighted 306:4	18 471:5	436:25	interacted 402:4
8,15,19 322:8 325:2			incur 316:12,18	intercompany
327:5 329:5,13,18	highly 334:10 391:22 417:18,19	illiquid 361:18		422:5,17,20 423:4,11
335:15 336:11,16,24 337:7,8,12,22 338:2,		imagine 290:12	incurred 337:22	interest 329:24
10,13 339:3,7,12,13,	hired 297:3	313:21 319:23 320:3	indefinitely 373:12	330:3 341:19,21
16,17,20 341:2	historic 322:5 431:5,	336:17,21 342:18 367:16,17 383:13	independent 385:19	400:14 408:2 419:10
344:13,22 356:2,5	7			440:8 450:9 452:20
358:15 360:6 362:23	historically 454:3	impact 476:22	indirect 329:23 341:19	453:10
367:2,11,15 371:7,	-	imperfect 365:5		interests 306:18
19,25 372:2,7,13,20	history 422:3	implying 475:16	indirectly 307:3	307:4 453:5
374:6,12,15 375:4,13 376:25 377:8,13,14	hold 294:16 329:6		322:19 330:3	interject 390:25
381:12,22,25 382:13,	355:14 423:18 481:9	important 423:15	individual 345:8	interjecting 445:4
14 383:12,15 384:8,	holders 400:14,19	importantly 440:12	351:3	interrelated 423:3
16 385:23 386:17 387:6 388:12 391:24	427:21	improper 391:4	industry 317:16	
392:16 393:16	holding 392:5	in-person 350:9,12	404:19,21	interrogatory 426:5
395:15 397:2 398:4,	holds 314:22 315:7	inaccuracy 378:18	inform 326:12 443:9	interrupt 422:14
5,9 400:14 404:5,11	honorable 428:9	-	information 344:20	investment 291:16
405:21 408:4 412:2 415:5 419:11,22	-	inaccurate 357:10 364:15,19 378:9	378:13 414:25	330:22 401:9
410.5 419.11,22 420:2,12 421:14	hope 335:8 348:23 351:24 396:15	379:6 389:12	424:14 427:18	investments 330:21
422:4,20 423:12,18	445:13		470:13	339:17 342:7 361:19
424:6,15 426:21		inaudible 338:23	informed 443:2	investor 292:21
429:6 430:7,10	hour 454:9,12	Inc.'s 362:24	initial 419:15	338:24 385:4
431:13 432:11,24	hours 290:13 349:20,			

			Index	: investorsmaker
investors 330:24 331:2	К	Lauren 320:9 law 335:21 389:23	limited 413:16 417:7 427:18	lot 291:22 297:23 311:14 416:3 452:14
invite 396:19	keeping 432:2	lawsuit 403:17,22	limits 317:12	467:23 482:8
involved 325:9 328:2	key 377:16	404:4,11 433:9	lines 331:4	loud 414:9
339:17 355:21 373:16 386:12	kind 335:3,6 366:5	lawsuits 399:9,17 400:25 405:5	liquidity 339:16	love 428:25
406:10 459:5	384:19 414:14 425:3,	400:25 405:5 lawyer 390:24 391:4	<b>list</b> 354:12 418:25 424:19,20 425:10,17,	low 312:15 lower 452:14,20
<b>issue</b> 306:5 332:22 335:7 360:8 399:9,17	11 432:21 kinds 327:25	lawyers 312:6	18,20 426:4	lunch 380:19,21
400:24 405:5 410:22 423:15 424:10 433:9	Klos 300:17 352:10	350:23,25 365:5 383:6 406:10	listed 354:18 419:5 420:3 425:16 430:17	398:17
issued 467:20	knew 311:9 313:24	layers 401:25	440:9 445:25 446:6 450:5 466:17	<b>Lynn</b> 363:22 443:12, 17
468:15	325:20 334:6 336:19 391:23 392:18	lays 475:20	listen 372:11 468:11	
issues 333:7 348:16 386:9 468:2	439:11 440:11,12,16 442:12,13,17 451:16	learn 367:14,25 440:5	listing 353:4	N
item 430:16	454:2	learned 312:17 368:6	lists 344:20	made 333:19 346:4 367:15,25 368:24
J	knowing 294:5 299:2,21 300:6 301:2 316:7	442:23 <b>leave</b> 288:24 370:13	litigation 289:9 356:7 414:19 441:7, 10 443:4,8,18 455:23	369:3,5,15,18 372:18 387:12,19 388:6,11 392:6 393:25 395:16
James 288:5,11 404:17	knowledge 300:24 301:6 312:4 323:8	380:9,25 <b>left</b> 456:5	LLC 341:8,11	397:22 424:13,18 432:19 434:15 439:8
January 291:10 450:23	340:13 341:22 349:2, 14 351:16,20 367:22 368:24 373:20	<b>legal</b> 383:2,7 410:18 473:14	loan 365:23,25 416:18 421:19 422:5, 18,20 423:11 430:4,	440:6,17 442:2 445:4 460:20 465:6 466:17 468:14 470:18
<b>JEFFRIES</b> 472:15	397:15 410:11 420:15 437:8,9 438:6	legitimate 467:25 468:3 469:16	5,15,23 431:9 435:2, 18,24	476:10 477:16
Jim 302:3	457:5 465:17,22	lengthy 356:18	loaned 452:7	major- 307:2
John 291:21 317:21 335:17 347:21 369:8	466:6 468:13,20,24 469:5	lens 421:12	loans 298:22 299:3,	<b>majority</b> 307:4 330:2 341:21 400:13,18
376:18 380:13,14 386:20 387:22	knowledgeable 373:16	<b>letter</b> 412:14 426:24	22 300:7 301:3,9 344:12 371:3,9	427:21
390:21 395:23,24 396:3,6 397:5 406:16	<b>Kristin</b> 352:18	439:16 441:6,12 442:7,11,13,22 443:3,13,17,25	404:20 406:11 420:17 422:17 423:4 424:7,16 427:3,12	<b>make</b> 288:15 305:17, 18 341:14 357:14 358:12 364:23
445:3,9 457:19 478:23		444:4,6,9,15,17,24 445:16,18 464:3,20	429:4,11,18,19,24	371:11,13,18,25 372:14,21 375:13
Jonathan 322:6		465:4,23 466:18	430:23 431:5,13,21 432:7,11,15,17,18	377:16 379:10 387:5
<b>judge</b> 363:22 443:12, 17 480:18	L.P. 288:6 290:24 306:8 311:21 322:13 324:4 471:22,23	467:2,6,10 472:4 <b>letters</b> 299:25 426:9 464:5 465:12 469:11	448:12 450:21 452:19,20,22,23 477:10 478:2,8,11,16	393:7,10,16 394:7,15 395:15 397:2 400:15 409:15 410:8 411:17
judgment 305:17	lag 301:25	level 423:2	logical 377:6,9	429:17 440:21 441:17 445:22 446:5,
July 420:13 428:18	lagging 478:24	levels 317:20 404:19	391:18 413:20	9 455:12,25 456:11,
jumps 390:2	Lamensdorf 322:6	liabilities 299:9	long 301:20 380:18	19,20 457:3 458:7, 11,15,17 460:10
juncture 355:22	largely 291:16 308:6	327:4	397:17,18 427:5 434:5 479:19	461:5,20 462:3,10,
June 436:10 437:17	370:10 397:20	liability 412:20	longer 478:25	11,19 463:3,4,11,19 465:7 466:25 476:15,
justification 347:17 365:18 370:7 389:16,	larger 460:22	lieu 361:8,16 416:15	looked 340:19	20 479:15 481:2
20	largest 292:4	life 427:19 470:24	396:23 431:4 439:10 455:11 464:2	maker 295:22 296:2 414:9 429:21 437:14
	late 288:22 336:25 356:4 374:6	likelihood 312:15	100.11 101.2	467:3 474:24 475:10

Index: makers..Nexpoint 477:17 matter 288:5 293:7 411:25 412:3 413:18 22 304:4 305:8 mvriad 335:2 296:20 350:18 417:20 421:13 309:11.17 317:24 makers 451:7.25 467:15 458:20 323:17 337:17 345:3 Ν makes 342:7 346:5,8 347:24 348:4 **matters** 302:2 **minimus** 298:7 349:25 353:10 354:4. making 377:13 343:22,23 names 299:14 **Mcgraner** 342:18,23 21 355:5,9 359:6 461:14 467:19 342:22 425:19.20 **minute** 291:24 369:12 372:8 374:4 **mea** 406:16 426:4 375:19 376:22 maliciously 304:20 minutes 318:3 370:5 377:20 380:12,16,24 means 384:2 395:20 Nancy 288:19 355:14 man 383:22 387:10 454:8 381:4,15 383:19 458:4 narrow 313:16 386:25 387:16 388:3 misleading 390:25 manage 293:4 meant 332:3 456:7 390:3,17,20 391:3 421:21 nature 308:5 323:3 missed 425:9 456:24 474:4 393:22 396:7 397:7 330:15 342:5 **managed** 308:9,16 398:15 401:5 402:9. mechanism 429:18 missing 389:20 325:13,18 327:16 nauseous 289:4 15 403:3 405:14 meet 350:11 mistake 448:22 406:18 408:7,11 management 288:6 necessarily 413:7 414:4 423:23,25 290:24 292:5,18 meetings 350:16,22 mistaken 397:10 475:21 425:8,17 426:7 432:3 294:11,22 295:4,14, 351:2 481:5 Mitts 324:14 necessity 376:20 433:13,18,20 434:9, 21,23 296:3,10 306:7 members 425:2 24 436:13,19 437:11 308:11 311:21 mixed 430:15 needed 372:18 439:14 444:12,22 329:19 362:23 memorized 347:23 modest 382:6 385:11 negotiate 417:13 445:12,20 446:2,14, 405:22 412:14 426:8, memory 356:13 20 448:17,21 454:13 13,23 431:21 434:15 modification 417:4 negotiated 418:6,10 456:6 462:14 464:9, 457:24 458:4 466:22 mentally 289:24 475:15,16,21 15 466:7,10 468:10 negotiating 411:23 471:22,23 mentioned 335:10 modified 357:14 472:13,17 474:18 negotiation 416:22 manager 291:19 367:18 364:22 379:9 473:10 476:7 479:3,12,24 417:2,4 293:2 309:3 421:14 474:8 476:21 480:14,22,25 481:6, met 349:17 negotiations 417:2 12,15,22 482:3,16 managers 309:5 modify 473:14,23 Michael 322:6 451:22 322:5 421:21 mortgages 452:25 **moment** 357:16,25 mid 374:21 netting 392:9,15 manages 330:16 359:11 364:20 366:4, motion 374:20,24 middle 374:24 10 375:23 379:25 395:7 news 428:14 March 435:3 404:15 422:2 461:18 mouth 302:5 Nexbank 431:18 Mark 306:15 383:11 migration 364:6 **Monday** 479:15 432:6,18,20 move 322:11 372:8 marked 345:4,6 monetize 361:18 Mike's 387:14 374:4 375:19 386:24 **Nexpoint** 322:11,12, 353:12 354:6,23 393:22 432:3 433:7 15,18,21,23 323:6, 362:16 377:22 million 294:2.8.11 money 292:18,25 440:15 462:14 10,15 324:3,9,15 380:11 402:23 293:4 295:3,7,8,13 296:15,18 298:12 468:10 326:2,4 327:7,16 408:10 433:15 377:3,4 391:25 392:5 296:9 331:4 336:24 328:6,13 329:6,14 446:16 464:12 466:9 393:4,12 405:17 337:7.16 360:7 moved 364:3 468:22 335:20 336:23 382:11 391:21 407:22 408:18 412:4 470:15 market 339:5 384:16 339:22 341:11 346:2, 413:5,11 419:17 419:22 420:2,6 moving 302:5 468:7 17 353:17 381:23 marketed 330:18.20 420:12 421:16 421:19 448:6 450:16 382:8 383:6 384:16 452:7,14 460:22 429:24 430:14 mucked 469:20 marks 288:3 385:8 389:8 391:24 mince 393:9 moneys 337:19 multiple 311:15.23 393:15,25 394:8,16 masked 422:9 470:15 395:16 396:25 397:3, 320:4,5 324:20 mind 324:13 392:21 material 332:22 325:5,6,22 375:7,8 13,16,22,25 398:8 **monitor** 288:8 344:2 365:22,24 mine 434:19 406:10 403:16,17 405:18,25 368:4,7 369:2 412:7, **monthly** 299:13 407:17,21,24 408:4, minimis 297:25 **mute** 395:22,23,24 10 425:7 426:16,23 9,24 409:8,24 410:5, months 428:15 298:5,17 333:5,7,12, 396:2,14 481:11 427:4,12 430:6,9 7 411:18 419:11,20, 15 334:7,14 343:21 431:8,9 **MORRIS** 288:14 21,25 420:5,11,16 **mutual** 308:6,7,9,16 376:25 377:3 391:21 289:5,14 291:25 439:12 447:21 451:2 317:3,4 336:12 Matt 342:18 393:20 410:13 294:18 295:2 303:18, 452:7,13,18 453:2,5

473:5

17

nods 292:2

414:11

442:6

464:14

Index: Nexpoint's..paragraph 455:25 456:11.19 360:8 364:7 367:4 418:13.14 459:20 original 356:2 0 458:25 459:4.9.24.25 368:15 373:8 376:24 460:10 461:3.13 407:21 473:11.18.24 460:7 461:8 467:6,8 475:4.8 377:12 391:13 399:9, 462:17 463:2,14,18 17 400:24 402:6 object 296:23 297:10 obligors' 458:13,14 out-of-pocket 403:15,21 404:3,9 305:25 311:5 315:16 Nexpoint's 323:4 469:10 338:8,16 405:5,9,10,17 408:3 318:24 321:21 326:8, 326:7,12,17,22 410:22 411:7 414:23, obtained 312:3 outline 480:20 19 329:9 331:9 340:6 327:2,9,10 328:7,14, 25 415:4,18,21,23 374:16 435:18 344:7 366:8,17,22 18,22,25 329:8 outstanding 295:17 416:21 417:12,20,21 448:12 450:22 367:6 369:25 373:5 345:20,24 346:14,20 407:25 419:10 440:7 418:9,13,16,19,20,25 376:10 379:11 384:2, 347:2 348:8 349:3,12 obtaining 452:19 447:22 450:9 419:5,12,16 427:24 22 385:17,24 388:15 388:22 389:2 412:3, 433:9 439:9 440:9 occurred 368:13 overcharged 391:24 390:14 399:11,19,21, 442:3 443:10,19 22 401:11,18 405:11 October 288:7 374:8 overlay 343:20 night 290:10 445:23,24 446:11,18 409:9,18,25 410:9 447:17,23 448:7 411:20 415:14,25 odd 422:25 overpayment 392:4 449:4 450:4,10,25 426:17 429:8 430:25 odds 413:15 overstatement nominal 386:4 451:3,6,9,16,19,21, 432:13 438:10 441:3, 460:17 25 452:3,9 453:11, offense 432:4 20 445:11 447:11 non-payment 19,21,22 455:3 457:9 owe 294:10 296:9 449:22 452:10 453:7, officer 303:2 310:4 461:22 462:4,18 426:3 24 455:19 456:4,14 312:8 320:22 321:16 463:2,12 464:6 465:2 non-privileged 457:6 460:13 461:16, 329:6,14 331:13,17, owed 297:8,15 466:17 467:20 23 462:6,21 463:6,22 25 332:9 340:16 375:14 419:11,22 468:15 469:16 470:8, 465:14,19,25 467:4 nonetheless 334:15 341:3 342:15 450:10 460:23 18,22 472:24 473:3, 470:3,10 471:2 5,11,18,25 474:21 normal 316:22 473:12 474:2,11 **officers** 311:14 owing 327:4 344:21 475:5,9,11,16,19 366:16 475:12,13 476:25 459:8,12,14,15 note 306:5 349:3 476:2,6,14,23 477:7, 368:16,18,19,22 offline 480:2 ownership 306:18 **objected** 312:18 11,14,15,16,20,21,24 369:23 371:12,21 307:4,21,24 317:18 478:3,9,11,17 objecting 409:12 Okada 306:15,22 329:24 341:19 372:2,16 397:3 445:6 notice 353:14,18 407:17,21,25 408:16, **omission** 406:22 owns 306:13 322:18 354:7,13 363:7 367:3 23 409:2,6 410:7,15 objection 294:3 omitted 405:25 375:4 377:8 414:11, 411:11,18 412:4,15 324:21 327:20 406:7,12 407:3,5 12,13 443:19 480:19 Ρ 413:5,6,11,15,18,24 343:19 347:4 348:25 414:18 415:9,13 361:25 387:8 428:5 operating 299:13 **noticed** 406:15 416:9,12,14,18,24 434:17 316:20,23 343:23 **p.m.** 381:9 398:20 notices 345:2 353:3 417:9,14,17 418:4, 454:17 482:21 objections 346:4 **opinion** 447:14 414:13 464:24 17,18 419:4,8,20,23 348:19 353:25 pages 435:21 420:6,20,25 421:5 oral 336:15 337:4 notion 423:16 354:17 383:21 434:3,14,22 435:14 361:22 399:7,15 paid 338:8 373:13 notwithstanding 438:18 440:14,23 obligation 371:20 400:10,22 401:4,16 392:16 418:21,22 375:12 379:16 446:25 447:5,8,16 426:13 403:7,10,13,19,25 460:20 462:11 448:8 449:12,15 November 375:4 404:8 406:20 407:10 obligations 294:14, paper 338:22 450:3,18 451:12 420:21 443:10,20 21 295:20 297:8,15, nuance 478:5 455:6 457:10 467:2, 472:11,21 473:8,21 paragraph 357:17 23 315:15,22 316:3, 3,9 470:18 475:21 474:22 475:6 476:18, number 298:14,21 358:19 359:12,13,23 8,12,19 318:17 22 477:8,24 478:7, 299:3 362:17.18 360:13,16 361:14 notebook 355:4 326:7,13,18 327:3,11 10,15 362:9 365:2,15 408:8,12,13,15 464:4 328:8,15 332:20 370:8,14 378:20 333:2,11,15,18,23 orchestrate 314:19, notes 293:12,15,19, numbers 392:20 379:14,24 390:4 334:4,13 340:5 25 425:3 24 294:23 295:22 403:4,6 404:14 343:6,18,22 344:6,12 296:3,22 297:17,25 orchestrated 327:14 numerous 365:25 407:14 409:13,20 366:15 367:4 377:2 298:4,12 305:18 368:25 412:23 414:6,7,17 469:19 470:2 473:24 order 374:13.16 327:6 334:5 348:12, 421:10 422:8 423:10 474:10,24 475:11 15 353:5 356:6 ordinary 372:23 455:11,14,18 472:14, 358:7,8,9,16 359:10 obligors 356:6 18,21

naragrapha 057:00	01 070-0 44 075-40	211.2 245.40 00	nortfolio 200-40.40	450,00 05 400.0
paragraphs 357:20 365:10 375:22 380:2	21 373:3,11 375:13 391:16,20 393:16,25	311:3 315:12,20 316:24 331:16	<b>portfolio</b> 308:10,16 309:3,5 322:5 361:21	459:22,25 460:8
390:7,10,16 398:12	394:8,15 395:16	332:17 333:16 364:8		prepayments
416:9	397:2 409:16,23	371:17,18 373:19	portion 303:16	365:23,25 367:13
paraphrase 394:23	414:11 439:9 440:7,	388:5 393:15 396:24	308:15 351:10	369:2,15,19 378:15, 23
	21 442:3 453:22	397:15 410:4 443:5	position 298:3	_
parsed 471:25	456:2,12,19,21 457:4	458:22	311:23 312:16	prepays 389:19
part 334:19 337:4	458:8,17 460:11,17 461:5,14 464:5	personal 358:8,9	313:17 314:11,21	392:24,25
340:8 358:22 359:15	468:15 475:18 476:3,	386:23 387:24 480:4	320:14 321:7 325:25 329:6 367:9 403:10	presence 384:15
360:2,18 365:17	16	personally 297:3	407:9	present 431:24
370:17 412:10		301:7 324:2 327:17		476:11
414:19 418:21 423:4 427:20 443:23 444:2	payments 369:24 373:18 377:13 410:8	363:19 371:10	<b>positions</b> 297:5 312:22 315:6 316:21	presentation 424.4
427.20 443.23 444.2 454:4 456:8 468:3	445:22 446:5,10	393:24 405:6 417:16	320:17	presentation 431:4
	454:3 458:15 460:16	personnel 372:7		presentment 414:1
participate 289:24	461:21 462:3,19	-	possibly 423:3	president 291:10,15
350:21,25	463:4,11,20 469:18	perspective 333:13 334:3 377:7 405:17	post 309:17	292:6,14 293:16,20,
particularized	peak 292:3	473:21	pot 468:8	25 297:6 298:19
468:24	-			302:12,23,24 303:13
parties 297:24 339:6	<b>people</b> 293:5 299:6, 8,15,18 300:10,13,14	<b>petition</b> 311:10 324:9 325:21	potential 330:23	304:15,22 305:2,11,
413:14 415:4 418:7	303:18 342:19 407:9		practice 317:17	24 308:21 309:6 313:6 315:10 323:12
parties' 473:10,23	416:10,11 421:12	Phillips 364:5	404:20 423:16,17	325:2 326:4 332:17
474:9,23	424:23,24 426:15	phone 350:2,9,17,22	424:6,15,22 429:4	337:12 338:13 422:3
	458:22 459:7 470:16,	351:2	430:4 431:13	19 423:12 469:21
partly 389:23 454:4	18	phrase 347:15	practices 411:13	presidents 317:18
partner 361:6	people's 424:21		precedence 477:5	-
Partners 341:8,11	perceived 396:16	physically 289:23		pretty 460:24
455:7 464:21	-	pick 476:20	<b>precedent</b> 429:12,16 477:5	previously 319:15
party 452:2,6	perceives 396:18	picked 335:8		408:3
passed 338:5	percent 298:13,16	pile 362:13	precipitate 314:25	Price 337:23
	percentage 306:17		premarked 309:13	Pricewaterhousec
passing 363:22		<b>PJ</b> 434:10	prepaid 366:15	opers 469:25 470:5,
past 296:6 338:20	percentages 306:23	place 476:9	391:13 406:11	6,19
patient 372:10	Perfect 402:12	plaintiff 289:8	418:22 461:9	
383:20	482:12	-	preparation 328:2	primarily 322:7 339:14 368:18,19
	performance 385:9	plaintiff's 355:19	350:5 353:7	383:10 440:3
Patrick 301:15 383:11	416:16	358:21 359:14,25 365:16 370:16		
	performed 471:16		prepare 349:16	principal 293:23 295:17 360:7 407:22
pay 336:23 337:6	472:7	<b>plan</b> 468:8	prepared 299:12	408:2 419:10.16
338:7 366:14 367:4		play 474:13	334:16 338:3 346:25	440:8 450:9
369:23 383:15 384:7 385:22 303:5 12 18	period 293:16 307:18,25 330:8		347:20 348:6,14,22 349:11 354:17 356:9	
385:22 393:5,12,18, 19 419:7	367:20 401:13,15	players 472:2	363:9 376:6	prior 300:5,15 302:2 307:21 311:10
	420:13 421:17	pleasure 381:4		315:23 324:9 325:20
payee 295:24 422:21	440:20,25 479:18	point 288:25 290:22	preparing 299:10	389:14 391:9 418:25
466:25 474:25 476:2 477:16	periodic 454:3	309:8 318:2 320:15	320:4 340:9 350:15 363:20	419:11,16 420:6
		377:19 406:19 427:7,		423:16,17 424:15
<b>payees'</b> 475:10	periods 304:21	24 433:5 468:7	prepay 389:17	441:6,9 442:10
paying 339:2	permissible 386:22	points 416:9	prepayment 366:21	443:3,7 448:7 450:1
	387:23		367:5,15,22 368:2,	463:16 477:19
payment 337:13 371:11,19,25 372:14,	person 300:4,17,18	<b>policy</b> 317:6	10,15,24 370:10	private 421:18,22
011.11,10,20 012.14,			378:12,16,20 379:18	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

privileged 441:18	prudent 413:4	346:25 353:22 363:2,	recall 292:8 298:24	redaction 428:12
problem 302:7 381:5	pulling 449:2	9 375:25 376:3,6,21	302:17 303:4 310:25	reestablish 419:8
384:3 454:13	purchasing 317:4	378:2 388:21 390:22, 24 480:16	312:20,25 313:21 320:14,21 326:15,16,	refer 291:2 306:10
289:22 289:22 291:25 298:25	purpose 298:8	quick 317:23 380:14	21,25 330:11 337:11, 18 355:18 356:2	322:15 329:21 340:14 341:15 400:9
454:20	377:10 401:3 404:15,	quickly 344:25	357:3 363:6 368:23	
oroceeds 348:20	24 405:3,8,24 406:7, 23 407:3,9,11 421:4	345:15 377:12	371:22 373:21	referenced 337:5
349:3 420:16 435:23	422:5 423:12 430:22	quote 359:25 360:3	374:11,15,19,23	referred 445:17
448:11 450:21	purposes 416:6	365:16 370:16	375:3 407:20,24 409:5 410:6,21 424:9	referring 297:16
oroduced 367:23	422:21 424:25	404:15	425:10,21 437:3	371:4
425:13 428:10,17			446:3 465:4	refine 330:22
444:6,12,17 445:18	pursuant 356:4 put 290:18 309:12,18	R	receive 338:14	reflect 477:16
oroduct 451:21	320:25 323:17	raise 382:10	344:19 435:2	reflected 294:22
production 428:16	342:13 345:3 348:2		received 322:3	295:21 313:20 327:3
profit 338:9	353:10 354:4,22	rambling 387:10	337:13 383:16 435:9	334:15 420:6 477:1
	355:11 367:2 378:10	rate 475:18	464:4,24	refresh 309:14
promissory 293:11, 12,15,19,24 294:23	379:4 391:20 401:5 408:7 416:5.7.10	rates 452:14,20	receiving 386:18	310:17 323:25
295:22 296:2,22	408.7 416.5,7,10	rationale 471:10	387:7 388:13 425:22	339:18 349:5 352:2
408:3,16 411:18	433:14,22 448:3		442:14	355:23 356:8 439:12
413:11 419:5 434:3,	466:7 470:20 476:9	read 293:8 296:21	recess 304:7 318:8	455:5 464:23
14,22 446:25 447:17	481:10	358:2,10 361:2 381:15 397:9,10,12	381:9 398:20 454:17	refreshed 331:21
450:4,18 464:6 467:20 470:8 472:23	putting 343:12,14	404:22 409:2,11	recollect 442:16	332:16 341:4 342:1 17 420:14,18
473:3,5,11,24 474:21	354:24 355:10	410:3 413:6,10,24	recollection 309:14	
475:5,9 476:23	443:18 472:14 480:19	414:9,15 415:24	310:17,21 311:17	regard 386:5 402:5
477:11,14,15 478:2,9		424:2,3 430:21 447:5 449:15,18 455:13	324:2,17 338:12	407:7 443:13,25
proper 412:11	<b>Pwc</b> 412:16	475:2	349:6 352:25 355:24 356:8 386:2 411:6	regular 344:9 373:1
properly 412:12			420:10 435:17,22	460:16
	Q	reading 310:3 411:23	440:2 442:14,19	regularly 299:17
proportionate 424:21			443:16 444:14 448:5,	regulatory 327:15
	qualified 346:3	ready 454:20	10 450:20 455:5	335:21 411:14 416:0
protections 413:17	quarterly 299:11	real 323:5 341:11	464:23 467:16,19	469:18 471:10
protest 414:11,12	question 294:25	342:7 385:8 391:14	recommended	regulatory-wise
orovide 383:3	295:11,25 302:10	realize 468:6	301:15	418:3
386:17 387:6 404:16	304:10,24 305:21	reason 303:10	reconvene 289:3	reimbursement
421:7	306:2 332:2 335:18 357:2 358:11 372:11	304:12 305:2,12	<b>record</b> 304:3,5,8,11,	338:15
provided 337:8	376:13,22 381:16	318:19 319:4 324:7,	25 318:6,9 330:20,25	relate 361:20 375:2
339:11,16,20,21	383:25 387:2,3	10 328:4,11 391:18	338:18,22 339:4	376:8,16 379:23
353:2 385:12 386:4	388:2,9,16 391:6,14	410:25 411:14,15,16 413:20 434:20 436:7	381:7,14 382:10 384:15 385:5 397:12	380:3 398:11 478:7
429:7	393:23 395:6,8,9 397:8 398:7 405:7	437:6 447:6 452:5	398:19,21 399:24	related 323:5 366:6
providing 336:6	417:10 422:15,25	453:3	424:3 454:16,18	382:4 390:11 398:4
422:5	423:7 424:2 441:22	reasonable 392:10	479:13 481:14	415:4 477:10,13,25 478:15
provision 382:14	442:15 457:14,15	404:18 411:19	482:17,20	
415:12,24 447:8	460:25 467:17	413:24	records 298:23	relative 333:5,7
449:19 455:18	468:12 477:2 478:6, 13	reasons 388:16	330:17 367:8,12	343:23 376:25 413:18 430:18
provisions 440:14		413:25 458:14 460:9	397:14,19 431:20,25	453:16
449:25	questions 335:4,6	468:21	recover 358:16	-

relevant 412:3

reliance 370:25 372:5 463:8

**relied** 372:22,23 375:13 383:9 393:4,5

relocate 430:14

relocation 424:24

rely 428:2,7,8

relying 367:10 371:7 398:8 458:16

remains 401:21

remember 301:21 307:20,21 308:12,13 309:10 311:14 312:14 320:17 323:2 330:13 358:10 361:5, 8 368:6 375:9 389:14 407:18 409:12 410:25 411:5,22 441:14 443:14,15 464:4 465:8 467:22 468:5

remembered 415:9

remind 460:5

remotely 480:10

remuneration 337:10 382:8 384:13 385:14

render 388:12

rendered 337:14 339:13 384:8 385:23

renegotiation 416:20

rep 299:25

repaying 418:15

**repeat** 294:24 295:25 302:10 387:3 391:8 394:5 399:13 441:22 472:16

repetitive 335:9

rephrase 295:10 399:13

report 314:5,8,13

reporter 288:9

303:14,21,24 381:17 396:5,8 482:15,19 reports 299:13 represent 351:3 353:16

> representation 412:14 426:9,14,24

representative 345:21,25 354:9 378:3 388:22 400:12,

13 463:13 repudiation 365:18

370:7 reputable 334:12

request 317:22 372:25 397:23

requests 349:8,13

required 369:23 385:22 409:15 410:7 411:11 440:24 453:22 454:2

resign 374:5,8

resolution 468:8

resolve 375:17

**respect** 319:16 325:3 335:7 343:17 348:15, 20 353:24 464:25 472:23 477:23 479:20

respectful 351:25

respective 451:7

442:8,22 469:4

respond 441:11

**responded** 441:6 465:11 469:9

responding 465:23

respons 328:14 response 305:21

425:21 444:3 469:17 responses 425:19

responsibilities 299:15 318:16,21,23 328:6 398:4 responsibility 299:2,20 300:6 301:2 315:13 319:6 326:6 327:9 332:19 333:22 340:4 343:2,5,17 364:9

**responsible** 299:7,8 300:11 316:6 327:18, 21 471:24

responsive 422:15

rest 290:17 482:9

restate 457:14

**restraining** 374:13, 16

**restroom** 317:23 380:15,23

results 330:23

retail 312:22

return 386:19 387:7 388:14 398:17

revenue 339:6,20,21

**review** 344:12 346:20 351:7 354:12 389:5 447:13 450:2

reviewed 357:4 429:5

reviewing 355:19 363:20

ridiculous 377:17

**rights** 473:10,23 474:10,23 475:10 476:23

road 339:7

**role** 314:2 327:12 343:11 363:19

**roles** 311:15 325:22 373:12

roll 337:25

roll-ups 477:18

rollup 407:25

room 309:18 355:11

rough 482:14 roughly 375:2

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

row 318:3 RUKAVINA 445:2,14 rules 386:6,7 run 319:24 380:22 running 324:13

## S

**safe** 445:13

**satisfaction** 371:19 372:14

**satisfied** 373:18 429:13,16

satisfy 333:18

**scope** 300:7 301:9 316:7 317:8 387:22 405:15

screen 353:19 354:19,25 355:10 381:2 388:20 389:2 401:6 402:7,17,22 433:22,25 434:6

**screw-up** 370:25 371:2,4

scroll 434:9

**sec** 291:22 471:8,17, 21,25

**secrecy** 427:23

secretary 321:9

**section** 409:14 412:22,25

**secured** 416:13,15 452:25 453:13,16

Seery 352:6,8 373:23,24,25 375:16 391:23 392:9 414:24 439:17 468:6

Seery's 352:7

**sees** 302:6

selection 301:16

send 467:2

877-702-9580

**senior** 297:5 300:10, 14,17,18 312:8 316:20 325:5 327:23

424:25 431:21

Index: relevant..services

432:19 452:25 453:13,16

**sense** 341:14 392:7 466:25

sentence 405:24

**separate** 331:3 333:24 385:20 457:9 480:5

separately 430:17

September 355:25 356:10 357:6 374:6

series 455:3

**serve** 291:9 309:7 323:15 325:21 331:24

served 302:24 303:13 304:15 305:24 310:18,23 311:19 313:6 320:22 321:15 324:8,25 325:3 329:13 331:13, 17,22 332:8 341:2 342:15

service 333:6 334:5

**services** 294:11,22

295:4,7,9,14,21,23

296:4,10 329:19

331:20 332:4,14

335:15,17,22,23

339:3,9,12,15,22

340:11,20 362:24

383:3.9.16 384:8

392:6 405:19.21

417:22 433:12

385:12,23 386:2,4,

10,18 387:6 388:13

437:14 457:23,24,25

458:3,5,7,23 459:4,

15 460:6,7 466:3

467:6,13 471:15

472:5

336:2,5,7,9,10,15,24

337:7,13 338:4,6,15

367:11 371:6,7 372:7

375:5 381:11 382:14

server 426:2

serviced 336:3

343:22

Index: servicing..subject servicing 413:17 signatories 319:12 states 359:13 396:24 402:17 356:13 372:24.25 328:18 408:15 422:14 382:7 383:4 385:10. serving 311:11 statute 335:21 428:11 429:3 432:5 14 438:6 456:24 313:25 signatory 319:9,16, 433:22 434:4,11 467:15 468:20 stay 371:8 394:25 20 328:22,25 329:7 set 291:22 319:23 436:5 439:22 446:22 481:13 438:2 specifically 312:14 360:12 365:11 390:9 449:10 450:13 steal 374:2 375:17 316:5 317:7,12 393:6 398:12 419:9 **signature** 310:4,6 454:20 460:25 326:14 344:20 349:4 420:7 447:18 448:7 323:23 408:20 464:19 481:24 step 297:21 364:17 372:17.18 418:15 434:11,13 450:8,17 452:8 sister 351:21 361:22 373:7,15 382:18 steps 316:2 344:11 455:18 474:23 435:7 436:5,8,14 399:8,16,25 400:9,17 393:18,19 412:15 393:24 462:2 463:3 475:10 437:23 438:4,5,9,14, 401:8 403:12 404:8, 430:12 434:23 18 446:21,22 449:10 stick 395:21 settlement 444:2 25 431:18 435:16 437:5 470:6 468:4 471:7.11.12 signatures 320:4 471:21 Stinson 288:18 sit 300:24 301:7 363:15 364:3,6 seven-figure 425:3 304:13 318:20 319:4 **specifics** 369:16,20 **signed** 293:11,14,18, 24 310:11 358:16 357:8 445:10 375:25 410:12,13 **Stoops** 300:17 seven-figure-plus 409:3,7,22 410:6,22 situation 469:20 speculating 300:9 431:20 stop 289:2 356:21 412:14 414:18 430:20 441:17 474:18,19 sixth 397:6 share 359:2,17 362:7 415:13,23 416:22 479:6 480:15 368:10,21 417:12 418:12 speculative 427:6 skip 357:24 359:21 419:19,21 435:7,12 strap 291:17 spend 334:7 413:21 **shared** 335:14,17,22, 437:13 438:25 Skyview 315:2 23 336:2,5,9,10,15, strategy 330:22 446:25 447:5,9 spent 350:15 24 339:3.22 367:11 small 372:6 386:4 449:6,16,21 451:2 stream 339:6,20,21 **split** 322:9 472:5 371:7 375:5 381:11 392:2 393:10.20 453:20 455:14 473:3, 386:18 387:7 388:13 383:8 392:5 417:22 430:18 460:19 6 476:6,15 spreadsheet 368:11 458:23 472:5 strike 372:8 374:4 soft 413:15 415:9 369:10 significance 316:25 375:19 393:22 432:3 She'll 428:22 416:9,12,18,24 317:2 460:18 stack 353:2 440:15 462:14 417:8,21 418:17 sheet 298:9 299:4,23 468:10,22 significant 382:9 staff 383:8 397:14 452:22,23 453:11,19 300:8 301:10 326:23 422:13 425:6 475:17,19 strong 324:15 327:3,7 412:2 432:16 **stamped** 444:20,23 significantly 391:14 **sold** 427:22 struck 394:13 422:24 sheets 293:8 301:4 standard 404:20 signing 413:5 413:19 solidifying 411:10 structural 335:3,6 standing 312:8 437:24.25 438:22 shocked 412:19 **solvent** 417:19 393:3 structure 307:21 439:5 308:12 **shoot** 290:13 sophisticated standpoint 418:3 similar 336:7 339:21 292:21,25 383:22 421:13 structured 314:10 342:12 378:11 **short** 318:4 380:19, 390:23 21 386:11 387:10 start 365:13 424:4 structuring 312:7 similarly 336:3 397:17 398:16 sought 374:12 472:13 373:9 simple 348:25 391:6 451:25 **shorter** 291:23 started 307:22 364:4 **struggle** 316:15 393:23 395:8,9 sounds 295:5 422:10 400:16 441:23 **show** 330:23 347:22 starting 288:22 study 327:7 333:9 473:14 460:25 406:4 415:17 429:15 stated 388:17 407:13 studying 334:8 431:20 source 334:18 simultaneously 419:17 471:21 stuff 327:15 383:14 side 308:10 450:5 301:18 311:11 **space** 385:8 statement 288:15 416:17 321:20 384:5 sides 413:21 **speak** 303:23 399:3 310:4 406:6,23 407:2 subject 332:2 347:3 single 353:9 414:18 453:12 454:22 428:12 430:19 sign 299:24 312:12 348:18 353:25 428:11 458:21 327:24 408:23 426:8, **speaker** 303:25 statements 299:10, 354:16 361:21 **sir** 295:11 323:20 13 434:22 436:9 403:16,22 404:4,10 12 300:12 327:15.19. speaking 301:18 324:24 335:18 449:12 451:5 24 338:4 366:5 416:20,22,25 417:3 303:15 383:21 384:5 345:17 346:11 412:8,17 429:6,15 420:20 429:20 sign-offs 320:5 377:24 381:11 specific 320:8 325:7 430:8,22 432:7,12 440:13 443:10,19 387:19 394:14 347:18 348:16 470:9

474:21 475:5 476:11	systems 397:14	471:24	304:14,22,25 305:6,	453:4 454:9 479:5
478:2,8,17		testified 288:12	23 307:15,18,25	today's 288:7 289:1
subordinated	т	351:14 352:16,22	309:8 313:5,18,24	346:21 349:16 350:5
452:25 453:10,12		370:9 379:15 441:25	318:7 320:12,15	353:7
subsequent 407:11	taking 398:7	462:8 472:20	324:25 326:18 329:14 330:8 334:7	told 392:19 465:7
477:4	talk 303:8 304:21	testify 346:14 348:6,	337:12 338:12 341:3	
	305:18 328:3 366:3	14,22 349:11 354:8,	342:16 344:19,20,23	top 306:3 311:25
subsequently 425:4	414:20 475:17	17	346:21 350:15	325:19 340:23
subsidiaries 415:3		testifying 431:7	353:21,22 358:11	369:17,21
417:19	talked 336:22 406:8 407:16 424:9	444:14	359:9 361:2,3 367:19	topic 347:6 348:11,
substance 318:12			378:14 379:2 387:25	17 349:7
399:4 454:23	talking 337:16	testimony 318:3,13	389:24 390:13 392:9 393:14 395:4,10	topics 346:9,10
whatential 470.40	359:10 383:17 390:5	375:11 419:24 459:2 463:10 475:24	396:17 397:6 398:16	353:16,17,24 354:13
substantial 479:18	401:14 421:24		399:23 401:13,15	18 363:7 376:5
substituted 419:4	429:23,24 441:14	Thedford 320:9,11	406:15 411:4 413:5,	386:22
447:16 450:3	442:16 443:14,15 456:5 474:18,19	321:14 329:15	9,21 415:6,23,24	total 350:15 480:8
suggested 391:19	477:21	thereof 310:5 431:22	416:20 417:3 418:12,	
		<b>the recorder</b> 474.04	14 419:21 424:12	track 330:17,19,25
suggesting 396:18	<b>tax</b> 373:9 382:23,24	thereunder 474:24	438:9 439:25 440:11	338:18,22,23 339:4 382:9 384:15 385:5
suing 457:2 473:4,6	383:10 416:6	thing 324:13 336:12	442:6,14,17,18,20,22	302.9 304.15 305.5
475:9	tax-related 383:14	362:3 389:25 426:2	449:21 453:20	tracking 299:9
summarized 368:12	team 308:16 327:14	436:24 452:17	454:14,15 466:23	transcript 351:7
	340:8,15 343:12,16	things 292:19 304:20	470:13 472:9 476:4, 5,8,10,14,16 479:18	352:3,7
summarizing	417:7	312:10,12 313:11	480:10,15 481:18,23	
360:19		317:4 322:8 327:25	482:2	transfer 360:17,19
summary 313:15	telling 400:5 455:13	359:14,25 360:16	-	transferred 360:6
459:18	temporary 374:12,	373:9 403:6 428:7	timeframe 371:14	transition 363:22
superseded 419:4	16	435:11 445:3 468:2	times 338:20 339:15	392:11
447:17 450:4	tension 373:22,24,25	469:19 470:16	349:22 350:4,8,11	
		471:12	356:15 375:8 383:23	travels 445:13
supplemental 338:9	term 368:15,18,19,22	thinking 340:17	420:2 421:20 450:16	treasurer 309:8
supplying 334:17	369:22 371:3,12,20 372:2,16 397:3	375:16 402:3 459:3	title 308:18,25 313:4	310:14,18,23 311:4
support 336:10	407:17,21,25 410:22	461:18	323:10 325:11,16	12 313:12,19 314:7
360:12 370:7 391:7	427:6 446:17 447:23	thinks 351:25	331:5 342:8	16 316:18 317:9
431:12	448:8 449:4 450:25	third-party 330:24	titled 362:22	318:15,21 323:15 324:3,8,17 325:25
supported 382:4	451:3,6,9,10,13,17,			327:9 328:6,13
• •	25 452:8 453:21	<b>Thomas</b> 445:7	titles 311:25 312:3	343:10,11 397:24
supports 470:24	455:3,6 461:22	thought 388:4	325:6	436:21 439:25
supposed 289:11	462:4,18 463:2 467:9	413:23 467:25 468:3,	today 290:13 291:3	466:21,22 467:3
376:5 392:8 395:20	473:15 475:15	7 472:3	294:10 295:17	treasury 333:25
431:16 461:4	477:20 478:11	thousand 391:22	296:10 298:10	416:4 417:22
surprise 410:24	termination 375:5		300:25 301:7 303:9 304:21 305:22	
438:17	terms 338:18 373:10	thousands 293:5	304:21 305:22 308:19 309:2 314:17,	treated 429:11
	375:16 382:20	throw 290:9	23 318:20 319:5	trick 358:14
surprising 311:16	384:15 386:7 392:10	Thursday 479:16	323:11 328:5 331:5	trouble 393:11
swear 288:9	403:7 411:18 413:6,	480:25 481:7,17,23	342:14 345:8,20,24	394:22
switching 437:24	10 416:19 417:13,17	482:5	346:25 348:6 349:11	
-	418:16 432:22,25		354:8 357:8 364:15	true 453:15
sworn 288:12	452:8 471:8	time 288:7 290:3,19	378:9 379:6 389:11	trued 392:13
synergistic 384:20	Terrestar 469:18,20	293:19 298:2 301:20	395:5 401:21 414:20,	
		302:23 303:12	22 415:12 445:5	trust 289:9 401:10

427:19 443:21	unified 384:19	walked 355:15	
trustee 400:13,16,23	unit 384:20 400:19	wanted 288:17	
401:9,17,21,23 402:5 403:8,15,21 404:2	universe 311:19	wanting 392:10	
405:4 420:21 421:2,6	unrelated 452:6	Waterhouse 301:12	
422:24 427:20 443:11 472:12,23 473:10,22 474:23	unsecured 416:18 451:19 453:16	302:18,25 303:5,11 304:13 305:3,13,22 309:7 310:13,18,24 311:4,11,18 312:17, 21 313:5,18,25 314:12,16,22 315:6 316:17 318:20 319:19 321:11,13	
476:19 477:10,25	up-and-comers 300:19		
trusts 306:23 401:25			
Tuesday 479:14	V		
typical 317:16	valuation 471:14,24	323:14 324:3,8,20 325:2,21,25 327:8	
421:25	values 376:25	328:5,12,24 329:15 331:13 337:23	
typically 463:24	variety 291:19	351:13 337:23	
U	<b>verbal</b> 336:5 381:19, 20	436:17,21 437:13 438:8 439:21,24	
<b>UCC</b> 416:15	versa 308:14	456:18 466:12,20	
<b>Uh-huh</b> 450:12	versus 438:4 474:8	Waterhouse's 317:8,12 318:16	
unable 290:20 479:7	vest 385:4	351:7	
unaudited 299:11	vice 308:14 317:18	ways 335:2	
<b>unaware</b> 431:6 455:16	video 288:4,8 302:2 396:20 457:20	weather 288:23 Wednesday 479:16	
	videotape 396:17	480:25 481:5	
underpaid 404:18 understand 289:20,	view 334:14 411:24	week 290:5,17	
25 293:8 296:21	viewed 452:24	351:17 367:2 462:9 479:10 481:20 well-capitalized 417:19	
301:8 335:18 345:7, 19,23 354:10 358:13,	453:11 viewing 398:3		
17 370:24 378:4 383:25 386:13	virtually 418:21	whatsoever 325:8	
388:25 390:22 404:7	<b>voice</b> 302:4	Wick 364:5	
409:7,21 415:12 426:12 435:8 447:9	Volume 288:4	window 423:20	
449:20,25 457:13 476:4	volunteer 375:24	wires 320:5	
understanding		withdrawn 307:2	
299:21 300:7 301:2	W	315:11 321:12 324:23 331:23 340:2 341:6 343:3 367:24 403:12 405:2,7 475:7	
306:17 316:7,11 330:14 343:17	wait 355:17		
347:10,13 403:5 410:6 419:3 426:20 440:24 478:5	waiver 347:17 358:22 414:7	withholding 414:25	
understood 336:19	waives 414:10	432:5 witnesses 480:6,11 word 327:22 366:21 395:3,4 415:21 458:3 460:17 462:15	
382:4,23	waiving 317:3		
unfetterred 476:3	walk 406:3		

	Index:	trustee.	.yells
476:20			
<b>words</b> 291:14 297 343:25 430:13 474			
wore 324:20			
<b>work</b> 300:20 322:7 338:4 378:20 382: 383:11 384:20 410:18 454:10			
worked 312:6 338 383:6 463:16	3:20		
working 320:18 375:17 384:19			
works 378:17 481	:23		
world 331:17 371: 396:25 397:15,22	18		
wrap 454:12			
<b>written</b> 335:17 33 4,9 381:19 382:21	6:2,		
wrong 402:8			
Y			
Yankees 395:4,5			
<b>year</b> 292:8 299:11 334:11 369:4,24 371:21 373:3,14,1 375:14 391:16,17 395:17 409:17,23 410:8 421:16 422: 426:10 453:23 457 458:16 460:12 461:15 462:5,20,2	3 7:4		
year-end 372:15 458:8 463:5,12			
<b>years</b> 291:18 292: 298:10,11 300:5,1 302:20 311:10 320:17 322:25 330:12 336:22 415:10 422:12,19 463:16			
yell 396:13,15			
yelled 396:19			
yelling 396:11			
yells 396:2			
977 702 0590			