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## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

| In re: | § | Chapter 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., ${ }^{1}$ | § | Case No. 19-34054-sgj11 |
| Reorganized Debtor. | § |  |
| HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., | § |  |
| Plaintiff, | $\S$ $\S$ | Adversary Proceeding No. 21-3004-sgj |
| vs. | § |  |
| HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND | § |  |
| ADVISORS, L.P., | § |  |
| Defendant. | § |  |
|  | § |  |
|  | § |  |

## APPENDIX IN SUPPORT OF HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S SECOND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ANSWER

[^0]| Ex. | Description | Appx. \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Complaint against HCMFA (Adv. Pro. No. 21-3004) | 1-21 |
| 3 | Amended Complaint against HCMS (Adv. Pro. No. 21-3006) | 22-105 |
| 34 | Highland's Consolidated Financial Statements, dated December 31, 2018 (J. Dondero 5/8/21 Depo., Ex. 15) (P. Burger 7/30/21 Depo., Ex. 4) | 106-152 |
| 35 | HCMFA’s Incumbency Certificate, April 2019 | 153-154 |
| 36 | Email string re 15(c) Follow up (10/2/21-10/6/21) | 155-159 |
| 45 | HCMFA’s Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplemental Information (December 31, 2018) (Adv. Pro. No. 21-3004) (FILED UNDER SEAL) | 160 |
| 54 | 5/2/19 e-mail and attachment (Promissory Note) | 161-164 |
| 56 | 5/3/19 e-mail | 165-166 |
| 57 | 5/3/19 Promissory Note | 167-169 |
| 59 | Supplemental 15(c) Information Request 10.23.20 | 170-177 |
| 85 | James Dondero's Objections and Responses to Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Second Set of Interrogatories (Adv. Pro. No. 21-3003) <br> (J. Dondero 5/8/21 Depo., Ex. 12) | 178-185 |
| 94 | Peet Burger 7/30/21 Deposition Transcript | 186-220 |
| 99 | James Dondero 11/4/21 Deposition Transcript | 221-282 |
| 105 | Frank Waterhouse 10/19/21 Deposition Transcript | 283-413 |
| 181 | Declaration of Dennis C. Sauter, Jr. (Adv. Pro. No. 21-3004) | 414-445 |
| 188 | Email from David Klos to the Debtor’s Corporate Accounting group, with a copy to Melissa Schroth, dated February 2, 2018 (Adv. Pro. No. 213003) | 446-447 |
| 190 | (a) Email from Blair Hillis to David Klos and the Debtor's Corporate Accounting group, with a copy to Melissa Schroth, dated August 1, 2018 and (b) an email from David Klos to the Debtor's Corporate Accounting group, with a copy to Melissa Schroth, dated August 1, 2018 (Adv. Pro. <br> No. 21-3003) | 448-449 |
| 192 | Dustin Norris 12/1/21 Deposition Transcript | 450-519 |
| 193 | Dennis C. Sauter 11/17/21 Deposition Transcript | 520-562 |


| Ex. | Description | $\underline{\text { Appx. \# }}$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 194 | Kristin Hendrix 10/27/21 Deposition Transcript | $563-617$ |
| 195 | David Klos 10/27/21 Deposition Transcript | $618-675$ |
| 196 | Debtor's back-up for the December Monthly Operating Report, titled <br> "December 2019 Due From Affiliates" (Adv. Pro. No. 21-3003) | $676-677$ |
| 197 | Debtor's back-up for the September Monthly Operating Report, titled <br> "September 2020 Due From Affiliates" (Adv. Pro. No. 21-3003) | $678-679$ |
| 198 | Debtor's back-up for the January 2021 Monthly Operating Report, titled <br> "January 2021 Due From Affiliates" (Adv. Pro. No. 21-3003) | $680-681$ |
| 199 | Debtor's January 2021 Affiliates Loan Receivables Summary (Adv. Pro. <br> No. 21-3003) | $682-683$ |
| 208 | Email from Kristin Hendrix to Jim Dondero, with a copy to Frank <br> Waterhouse, dated August 29, 2020 regarding 7/31/20 HCMLP Requests <br> (REDACTED) | $684-688$ |
| 209 | Email from Kristin Hendrix to Jim Dondero, with a copy to Frank <br> Waterhouse and David Klos, dated April 27, 2020 regarding HCMLP <br> Schedule of Investments (REDACTED) | $689-695$ |
| 210 | Declaration of David Klos in Support of HCMLP’s Motion for Partial <br> Summary Judgment (Adv. Pro. No. 21-3004) | $696-774$ |
| 211 | Declaration of Hayley R. Winograd in Support of HCMLP’s Opposition to <br> HCMFA’s Second Motion to Amend | $775-779$ |
| 212 | Email to counsel for HCMFA on October 25, 2021 producing word <br> versions of the HCMFA Notes | $780-782$ |

Dated: December 30, 2021.
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John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 266326)
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Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569)
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 277-6910
Facsimile: (310) 201-0760
Email: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com
jmorris@pszjlaw.com
gdemo@pszjlaw.com
hwinograd@pszjlaw.com
-and-
HAYWARD PLLC
/s/ Zachery Z. Annable
Melissa S. Hayward
Texas Bar No. 24044908
MHayward@HaywardFirm.com
Zachery Z. Annable
Texas Bar No. 24053075
ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com
10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106
Dallas, Texas 75231
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## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on December 30, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing Appendix was served electronically upon all parties registered to receive electronic notice in this case via the Court's CM/ECF system.
/s/ Zachery Z. Annable
Zachery Z. Annable

## EXHIBIT 1
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Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (admitted pro hac vice)
Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (admitted pro hac vice)
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 277-6910
Facsimile: (310) 201-0760
HAYWARD PLLC
Melissa S. Hayward
Texas Bar No. 24044908
MHayward@HaywardFirm.com
Zachery Z. Annable
Texas Bar No. 24053075
ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com
10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106
Dallas, Texas 75231
Tel: (972) 755-7100
Fax: (972) 755-7110
Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION



[^1]COMPLAINT FOR (I) BREACH OF CONTRACT AND (II) TURNOVER OF PROPERTY OF THE DEBTOR'S ESTATE

Plaintiff, Highland Capital Management, L.P., the above-captioned debtor and debtor-inpossession (the "Debtor") in the above-captioned chapter 11 case and the plaintiff in the abovecaptioned adversary proceeding (the "Adversary Proceeding"), by its undersigned counsel, as and for its complaint (the "Complaint") against defendant, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P. ("HCMFA" or "Defendant"), alleges upon knowledge of its own actions and upon information and belief as to other matters as follows:

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. The Debtor brings this action against HCMFA as a result of HCMFA's defaults under two promissory notes executed by HCMFA in favor of the Debtor in the aggregate original principal amount of $\$ 7,400,000$ and payable upon the Debtor's demand. Despite due demand, HCMFA has failed to pay amounts due and owing under the notes and the accrued but unpaid interest thereon.
2. Through this Complaint, the Debtor seeks (a) damages from HCMFA in an amount equal to (i) the aggregate outstanding principal due under the Notes (as defined below), plus (ii) all accrued and unpaid interest thereon until the date of payment, plus (iii) an amount equal to the Debtor's costs of collection (including all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses, as provided for in the Notes), and (b) turnover by HCMFA to the Debtor of the foregoing amounts.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This adversary proceeding arises in and relates to the Debtor's case pending before the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division (the "Court") under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
4. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.
5. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b), and, pursuant to Rule 7008 of the Bankruptcy Rules, the Debtor consents to the entry of a final order by the Court in the event that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.
6. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

## THE PARTIES

7. The Debtor is a limited liability partnership formed under the laws of Delaware with a business address at 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75201.
8. Upon information and belief, HCMFA is a limited partnership with offices located in Dallas, Texas and is organized under the laws of the state of Delaware.

## CASE BACKGROUND

9. On October 16, 2019, the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Delaware Court"), Case No. 19-12239 (CSS) (the "Highland Bankruptcy Case").
10. On October 29, 2019, the U.S. Trustee in the Delaware Court appointed an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee") with the following members: (a) Redeemer Committee of Highland Crusader Fund, (b) Meta-e Discovery, (c) UBS Securities LLC and UBS AG London Branch, and (d) Acis LP and Acis GP.
11. On December 4, 2019, the Delaware Court entered an order transferring venue of the Highland Bankruptcy Case to this Court [Docket No. 186]. ${ }^{2}$
12. The Debtor has continued in the possession of its property and has continued to operate and manage its business as a debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in this chapter 11 case.

## STATEMENT OF FACTS

## A. The HCMFA Notes

13. HCMFA is the maker under a series of promissory notes in favor of the Debtor.
14. Specifically, on May 2, 2019, HCMFA executed a promissory note in favor of the Debtor, as payee, in the original principal amount of $\$ 2,400,000$ ("HCMFA's First Note"). A true and correct copy of HCMFA's First Note is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
15. On May 3, 2019, HCMFA executed a promissory note in favor of the Debtor, as payee, in the original principal amount of $\$ 5,000,000$ ("HCMFA's Second Note," and together with HCMFA's First Note, the "Notes"). A true and correct copy of HCMFA's Second Note is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
16. Section 2 of each Note provides: "Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand of the Payee."
17. Section 4 of each Note provides:

Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of the Payee in exercising any right, power, or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver hereof.

[^2]
## 18. Section 6 of each Note provides:

Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.

## B. HCMFA's Default under Each Note

19. By letter dated December 3, 2020, the Debtor made demand on HCMFA for payment under the Notes by December 11, 2020 (the "Demand Letter"). A true and correct copy of the Demand Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit 3. The Demand Letter provided:

By this letter, Payee is demanding payment of the accrued interest and principal due and payable on the Notes in the aggregate amount of $\$ 7,687,653.07$, which represents all accrued interest and principal through and including December 11, 2020.

Payment is due on December 11, 2020, and failure to make payment in full on such date will constitute an event of default under the Notes.

Demand Letter (emphasis in the original).
20. Despite the Debtor's demand, HCMFA did not pay all or any portion of the amounts demanded by the Debtor on December 11, 2020 or at any time thereafter.
21. As of December 11, 2020, there was an outstanding principal amount of $\$ 2,457,517.15$ on HCMFA's First Note and accrued but unpaid interest in the amount of $\$ 35,884.46$, resulting in a total outstanding amount as of that date of $\$ 2,493,401.61$.
22. As of December 11, 2020, there was an outstanding principal balance of $\$ 5,119,827.40$ on HCMFA's Second Note and accrued but unpaid interest in the amount of $\$ 74,424.05$, resulting in a total outstanding amount as of that date of $\$ 5,194,251.45$.
23. Thus, as of December 11, 2020, the total outstanding principal and accrued but unpaid interest due under the Notes was $\$ 7,687,653.07$
24. Pursuant to Section 4 of each Note, each Note is in default and is currently due and payable.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF <br> (For Breach of Contract)

25. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
26. Each Note is a binding and enforceable contract.
27. HCMFA breached each Note by failing to pay all amounts due to the Debtor upon the Debtor's demand.
28. Pursuant to each Note, the Debtor is entitled to damages from HCMFA in an amount equal to (i) the aggregate outstanding principal due under each Note, plus (ii) all accrued and unpaid interest thereon until the date of payment, plus (iii) an amount equal to the Debtor's costs of collection (including all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses) for HCMFA's breach of its obligations under each of the Notes.
29. As a direct and proximate cause of HCMFA's breach of each Note, the Debtor has suffered damages in the total amount of at least $\$ 7,687,653.07$ as of December 11, 2020, plus an amount equal to all accrued but unpaid interest from that date, plus the Debtor's cost of collection.

## SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Turnover by HCMFA Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 542(b))
30. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
31. HCMFA owes the Debtor an amount equal to (i) the aggregate outstanding principal due under each Note, plus (ii) all accrued and unpaid interest thereon until the date of payment, plus (iii) an amount equal to the Debtor's costs of collection (including all court costs
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and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses) for HCMFA's breach of its obligations under each of the Notes.
32. Each Note is property of the Debtor's estate, and the amounts due under each Note are matured and payable upon demand.
33. HCMFA has not paid the amounts due under each Note to the Debtor.
34. The Debtor has made demand for the turnover of the amounts due under each Note.
35. As of the date of filing of this Complaint, HCMFA has not turned over to the Debtor all or any of the amounts due under each of the Notes.
36. The Debtor is entitled to the turnover of all amounts due under each of the Notes.

WHEREFORE, the Debtor prays for judgment as follows:
(i) On its First Claim for Relief, damages in an amount to be determined at trial, including, among other things, (a) the aggregate outstanding principal due under each Note, plus (b) all accrued and unpaid interest thereon until the date of payment, plus (c) an amount equal to the Debtor's costs of collection (including all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses);
(ii) On its Second Claim for Relief, ordering turnover by HCMFA to the Debtor of an amount equal to (a) the aggregate outstanding principal due under each Note, plus (b) all accrued and unpaid interest thereon until the date of payment, plus (c) an amount equal to the Debtor's costs of collection (including all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses); and
(iii) Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: January 22, 2021.

## PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL \& JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No.143717)
Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084)
John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397)
Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992)
Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569)
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 277-6910
Facsimile: (310) 201-0760
E-mail: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com ikharasch@pszjlaw.com jmorris@pszjlaw.com gdemo@pszjlaw.com hwinograd@pszjlaw.com
-and-

## HAYWARD PLLC

/s/ Zachery Z. Annable
Melissa S. Hayward
Texas Bar No. 24044908
MHayward@HaywardFirm.com
Zachery Z. Annable
Texas Bar No. 24053075
ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com
10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106
Dallas, Texas 75231
Tel: (972) 755-7100
Fax: (972) 755-7110
Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

## PROMISSORY NOTE

\$2,400,000.00
May 2, 2019

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, LP. ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of TWO MILLION FOUR HUNDRED THOUSAND and 00/100 Dollars ( $\$ 2,400,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the short-term "applicable federal rate" ( $2.39 \%$ ) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.

MAKER:


FRANK WATERHOUSE

EXHIBIT 2

## EXHIBIT 2

## PROMISSORY NOTE

$\$ 5,000,000.00$
May 3, 2019

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, LP. ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of FIVE MILLION and 00/100 Dollars ( $\$ 5,000,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the short-term "applicable federal rate" ( $2.39 \%$ ) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.

MAKER:


FRANK WATERHOUSE

## EXHIBIT 3

## EXHIBIT 3

Dear Mr. Waterhouse,
Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, LP ("Maker") entered into the following promissory notes (collectively, the "Notes"), among others, ${ }^{1}$ in favor of Highland Capital Management, L.P. ("Payee"):

| Date Issued | Original Principal <br> Amount | Outstanding Principal <br> Amount (12/11/20) | Accrued But <br> Unpaid Interest <br> $(\mathbf{1 2 / 1 1} / 20)$ | Total Amount <br> Outstanding (12/11/20) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\$ 35,884.46$ | $\$ 2,493,401.61$ |
| $5 / 2 / 2019$ | $\$ 2,400,000$ | $\$ 2,457,517.15$ | $\$ 74,424.05$ | $\$ 5,194,251.45$ |
| $5 / 3 / 2019$ | $\$ 5,000,000$ | $\$ 5,119,827.40$ | $\$ 110,308.52$ | $\$ 7,687,653.07$ |

As set forth in Section 2 of each of the Notes, accrued interest and principal is due and payable upon the demand of Payee. By this letter, Payee is demanding payment of the accrued interest and principal due and payable on the Notes in the aggregate amount of $\$ 7,687,653.07$, which represents all accrued and unpaid interest and principal through and including December 11, 2020.

Payment is due on December 11, 2020, and failure to make payment in full on such date will constitute an event of default under the Notes.

Payments on the Notes must be made in immediately available funds. Payee's wire information is attached hereto as Appendix A.

Nothing contained herein constitutes a waiver of any rights or remedies of Payee under the Notes or otherwise and all such rights and remedies, whether at law, equity, contract, or otherwise, are

[^3]expressly reserved. Interest, including default interest if applicable, on the Notes will continue to accrue until the Notes are paid in full. Any such interest will remain the obligation of Maker.

Sincerely,
/s/ James P. Seery, Jr.
James P. Seery, Jr.
Highland Capital Management, L.P.
Chief Executive Officer/Chief Restructuring Officer
cc: Fred Caruso
James Romey
Jeffrey Pomerantz
Ira Kharasch
Gregory Demo
DC Sauter

## Appendix A

ABA \#: 322070381<br>Bank Name: East West Bank<br>Account Name: Highland Capital Management, LP Account \#: 5500014686
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## INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also must complete and file Form 1040, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 1040 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely selfexplanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.
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## AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR (I) BREACH OF CONTRACT,

 (II) TURNOVER OF PROPERTY, (III) FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, AND (IV) BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTYPlaintiff, Highland Capital Management, L.P., the above-captioned debtor and debtor-in-possession (the "Debtor") in the above-captioned chapter 11 case (the "Bankruptcy Case"), and the plaintiff (the "Plaintiff") in the above-captioned adversary proceeding (the "Adversary Proceeding"), by its undersigned counsel, as and for its amended complaint (the "Complaint") against defendants Highland Capital Management Services, Inc. ("HCMS"), James Dondero ("Mr. Dondero"), Nancy Dondero ("Ms. Dondero"), and The Dugaboy Investment Trust ("Dugaboy" and together with HCMS, Mr. Dondero, and Ms. Dondero, the "Defendants") alleges upon knowledge of its own actions and upon information and belief as to other matters as follows:

## PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. The Debtor brings this action against Defendants in connection with HCMS's defaults under (i) four demand notes, in the aggregate principal amount of $\$ 900,000$, and payable upon the Debtor's demand, and (ii) one term note, in the aggregate principal amount of $\$ 20,247,628.02$, and payable in the event of default, all executed by HCMS in favor of the Debtor. HCMS has failed to pay amounts due and owing under the notes and the accrued but unpaid interest thereon.

## 2. In paragraph 56 of HCMS's First Amended Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint

[Docket No. 34], HCMS contends that the Debtor orally agreed to relieve it of the obligations under the Notes (as defined below) upon fulfillment of "conditions subsequent" (the "Alleged Agreement'"). HCMS further contends that the Alleged Agreement was entered into between James Dondero, acting on behalf of HCMS, and his sister, Nancy Dondero, as representative of a majority of the Class A shareholders of the Plaintiff, including Dugaboy (the "Representative"),
acting on behalf of the Debtor. At the time Mr. Dondero entered into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of HCMS, he controlled both HCMS and the Debtor and was the lifetime beneficiary of Dugaboy.
3. Based on its books and records, discovery to date, and other facts, the Debtor believes that the Alleged Agreement is a fiction created after the commencement of this Adversary Proceeding for the purpose of avoiding or at least delaying paying the obligations due under the Notes.
4. Nevertheless, the Debtor amends its Complaint to add certain claims and name additional parties who would be liable to the Debtor if the Alleged Agreement were determined to exist and be enforceable. Specifically, in addition to pursuing claims against HCMS for breach of its obligations under the Notes and for turnover, the Debtor adds alternative claims (a) against HCMS for actual fraudulent transfer and aiding and abetting Dugaboy in its breach of fiduciary duty, (b) against Dugaboy for declaratory relief and for breach of fiduciary duty, and (c) against Nancy Dondero for aiding and abetting Dugaboy in the breach of his fiduciary duties.
5. As remedies, the Debtor seeks (a) damages from HCMS in an amount equal to (i) the aggregate outstanding principal due under the Notes (as defined below), plus (ii) all accrued and unpaid interest thereon until the date of payment, plus (iii) an amount equal to the Debtor's costs of collection (including all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses, as provided for in the notes), for HCMS's breach of its obligations under the Notes, (b) turnover by HCMS to the Debtor of the foregoing amounts; (c) avoidance of the Alleged Agreement and the transfers thereunder and recovery of the funds transferred from the Plaintiff to, or for the benefit of, HCMS pursuant to the Notes; (d) declaratory relief, and (e) damages arising from the Defendants' breach of fiduciary duties or aiding and abetting thereof.

## JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This adversary proceeding arises in and relates to the Debtor's case pending before the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division (the "Court") under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
7. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.
8. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b), and, pursuant to Rule 7008 of the Bankruptcy Rules, the Debtor consents to the entry of a final order by the Court in the event that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.
9. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

## THE PARTIES

10. The Debtor is a limited liability partnership formed under the laws of Delaware with a business address at 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75201.
11. Upon information and belief, HCMS is a company with offices located in Dallas, Texas, and is incorporated in the state of Delaware.
12. Upon information and belief, Mr. Dondero is an individual residing in Dallas, Texas. He is the co-founder of the Debtor and was the Debtor's President and Chief Executive Officer until his resignation on January 9, 2020. At all relevant times, Mr. Dondero controlled HCRE; Mr. Dondero also controlled the Debtor until January 9, 2020.
13. Upon information and belief, Dugaboy is (a) a limited partner of the Debtor, and (b) one of Mr. Dondero's family investment trusts for which is he a lifetime beneficiary.
14. Upon information and belief, Nancy Dondero is an individual residing in the state of Florida and who is Mr. Dondero's sister, and a trustee of Dugaboy.

## CASE BACKGROUND

15. On October 16, 2019, the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Delaware Court"), Case No. 19-12239 (CSS) (the "Highland Bankruptcy Case").
16. On October 29, 2019, the U.S. Trustee in the Delaware Court appointed an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Committee") with the following members: (a) Redeemer Committee of Highland Crusader Fund ("Redeemer"), (b) Meta-e Discovery, (c) UBS Securities LLC and UBS AG London Branch, and (d) Acis Capital Management, L.P. and Acis Capital Management GP LLC (collectively, "Acis").
17. On June 25, 2021, the U.S. Trustee in this Court filed that certain Notice of Amended Unsecured Creditors' Committee [Docket No. 2485] notifying the Court that Acis and Redeemer had resigned from the Committee.
18. On December 4, 2019, the Delaware Court entered an order transferring venue of the Highland Bankruptcy Case to this Court [Docket No. 186]. ${ }^{2}$
19. The Debtor has continued in the possession of its property and has continued to operate and manage its business as a debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in this chapter 11 case.
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## STATEMENT OF FACTS

## A. The HCMS Demand Notes

20. HCMS is the maker under a series of demand notes in favor of the Debtor.
21. Specifically, on March 28, 2018, HCMS executed a demand note in favor of the Debtor, as payee, in the original principal amount of $\$ 150,000$ ("HCMS's First Demand Note"). A true and correct copy of HCMS's First Demand Note is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
22. On June 25, 2018, HCMS executed a demand note in favor of the Debtor, as payee, in the original principal amount of $\$ 200,000$ ("HCMS's Second Demand Note"). A true and correct copy of HCMS's Second Demand Note is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.
23. On May 29, 2019, HCMS executed a demand note in favor of the Debtor, as payee, in the original principal amount of $\$ 400,000$ ("HCMS's Third Demand Note"). A true and correct copy of HCMS's Third Demand Note is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.
24. On June 26, 2019, HCMS executed a demand note in favor of the Debtor, as payee, in the original principal amount of $\$ 150,000$ ("HCMS's Fourth Demand Note," and collectively, with HCMS's First Demand Note, HCMS's Second Demand Note, and HCMS's Third Demand Note, the "Demand Notes"). A true and correct copy of HCMS's Fourth Demand Note is attached hereto as Exhibit 4.
25. Section 2 of the Demand Notes provide: "Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand of the Payee."
26. Section 4 of the Demand Notes provide:

Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and
the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of the Payee in exercising any right, power, or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver hereof.
27. Section 6 of the Demand Notes provide:

Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.

## B. HCMS's Defaults Under Each Demand Note

28. By letter dated December 3, 2020, the Debtor made demand on HCMS for payment under the Demand Notes by December 11, 2020 (the "Demand Letter"). A true and correct copy of the Demand Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit 5. The Demand Letter provided:

By this letter, Payee is demanding payment of the accrued interest and principal due and payable on the Notes in the aggregate amount of $\$ 947,519.43$, which represents all accrued interest and principal through and including December 11, 2020.

## Payment is due on December 11, 2020, and failure to make payment in full on such date will constitute an event of default under the Notes.

Demand Letter (emphasis in the original).
29. Despite the Debtor's demand, HCMS did not pay all or any portion of the amounts demanded by the Debtor on December 11, 2020.
30. As of December 11, 2020, there was an outstanding principal amount of $\$ 158,776.59$ on HCMS's First Demand Note and accrued but unpaid interest in the amount of $\$ 3,257.32$, resulting in a total outstanding amount as of that date of $\$ 162,033.91$.
31. As of December 11, 2020, there was an outstanding principal balance of $\$ 212,403.37$ on HCMS's Second Demand Note and accrued but unpaid interest in the amount of $\$ 2,999.54$, resulting in a total outstanding amount as of that date of $\$ 215,402.81$.
32. As of December 11, 2020, there was an outstanding principal balance of $\$ 409,586.19$ on HCMS's Third Demand Note and accrued but unpaid interest in the amount of $\$ 5,256.62$, resulting in a total outstanding amount as of that date of $\$ 414,842.81$.
33. As of December 11, 2020, there was an outstanding principal balance of $\$ 153,564.74$ on HCMS's Fourth Demand Note and accrued but unpaid interest in the amount of $\$ 1,675.16$, resulting in a total outstanding amount as of that date of $\$ 155,239.90$.
34. Thus, as of December 11, 2020, the total outstanding principal and accrued but unpaid interest due under the Demand Notes was $\$ 947,519.43$. Pursuant to Section 4 of each Demand Note, each Note is in default, and is currently due and payable.

## C. The HCMS Term Note

35. HCMS is the maker under a term note in favor of the Debtor.
36. Specifically, on May 31, 2017, HCMS executed a term note in favor of the Debtor, as payee, in the original principal amount of \$20,247,628.02 (the "Term Note," and together with the Demand Notes, the "Notes"). A true and correct copy of the Term Note is attached hereto as Exhibit 6.
37. Section 2 of the Term Note provides: "Payment of Principal and

Interest. Principal and interest under this Note shall be due and payable as follows:
2.1 Annual Payment Dates. During the term of this Note, Borrower shall pay the outstanding principal amount of the Note (and all unpaid accrued interest through the date of each such payment) in thirty (30) equal annual payments (the "Annual Installment") until the Note is paid in full. Borrower shall pay the Annual Installment on the $31^{\text {st }}$ day of December of each calendar year during the term of this Note, commencing on the first such date to occur after the date of execution of this note.
2.2 Final Payment Date. The final payment in the aggregate amount of the then outstanding and unpaid Note, together with all accrued and unpaid interest thereon, shall become immediately due and payable in full on December 31, 2047 (the "Maturity Date").

## 38. Section 3 of the Note provides:

Prepayment Allowed: Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
39. Section 4 of the Term Note provides:

Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of the Payee in exercising any right, power, or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver hereof.
40. Section 6 of the Term Note provides:

Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.

## D. HCMS's Default Under the Term Note

41. HCMS failed to make the payment due under the Term Note on December 31, 2020.
42. By letter dated January 7, 2021, the Debtor made demand on HCMS for immediate payment under the Term Note (the "Second Demand Letter"). A true and correct copy of the Second Demand Letter is attached hereto as Exhibit 7. The Second Demand Letter provides:

Because of Maker's failure to pay, the Note is in default. Pursuant to Section 4 of the Note, all principal, interest, and any other amounts due on the Note are immediately due and payable. The amount due and payable on the Note as of January 8,2021 is $\$ 6,757,248.95$; however, interest continues to accrue under the Note.

## The Note is in default, and payment is due immediately.

Second Demand Letter (emphasis in the original).
43. As of January 8, 2021, the total outstanding principal and accrued but unpaid interest under the Term Note was $\$ 6,757,248.95$.
44. Pursuant to Section 4 of the Term Note, the Note is in default, and is currently due and payable.

## E. The Debtor Files the Original Complaint

45. On January 22, 2021, the Debtor filed the Complaint for (I) Breach of

Contract and (II) Turnover of Property of the Debtor's Estate [Docket No. 1] (the "Original Complaint"). In the Original Complaint, the Debtor brought claims for (i) breach of contract for HCMS's breach of its obligations under the Notes and (ii) turnover by HCMS for the outstanding amounts under the Notes, plus all accrued and unpaid interest until the date of payment plus the Debtor's costs of collection and reasonable attorney's fees.

## F. HCMS's Affirmative Defenses

46. On March 13, 2021, HCMS filed Highland Capital Management Services, Inc. 's Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint [Docket No. 6] (the "Original Answer"). In its Original Answer, HCMS asserted four affirmative defenses: (i) the claims are barred in whole or in part under the doctrines of justification or repudiation, (ii) waiver, (iii) estoppel, and (iv) offset and/or setoff (the "Setoff Defense"). See id. बTI 53-56.
47. On June 11, 2021, HCMS filed its First Amended Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint [Docket No. 34] (the "Amended Answer"), that omitted the Setoff Defense but asserted two affirmative defenses: (i) the Debtor previously agreed that it would not collect on the Notes
"upon fulfillment of conditions subsequent" (i.e., the Alleged Agreement) id. ब 56, and (ii) the Notes are "ambiguous," id. 【 57.
48. According to HCMS, the Alleged Agreement was orally entered into "sometime between December of the year each note was made and February of the following year."
49. According to HCMS, Mr. Dondero, acting on its behalf, entered into the Alleged Agreement with his sister, Nancy Dondero, acting as the Representative.
50. Mr. Dondero controlled the Debtor at the time he entered into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of HCMS.
51. Upon information and belief, the Debtor's books and records do not reflect the Alleged Agreement.

## G. Dugaboy Lacked Authority to Act on Behalf of the Debtor

52. Under section 4.2 of the Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the "Limited Partnership Agreement"), and attached hereto as Exhibit 8, Dugaboy was not authorized to enter into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of the Partnership, or otherwise bind the Partnership (as "Partnership" is defined in the Limited Partnership Agreement).
53. Section 4.2(b) of the Limited Partnership Agreement states:

Management of Business. No Limited Partner shall take part in the control (within the meaning of the Delaware Act) of the Partnership's business, transact any business in the Partnership's name, or have the power to sign documents for or otherwise bind the Partnership other than as specifically set forth in this Agreement.

Exhibit 8, § 4.2(b).
54. No provision in the Limited Partnership Agreement authorizes any of the Partnership's limited partners to bind the Partnership.
55. Nancy Dondero also lacked authority to enter into the Alleged Agreement or to otherwise bind the Debtor.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## (Against HCMS)

(For Breach of Contract)
56. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
57. The Notes are binding and enforceable contracts.
58. HCMS breached each Demand Note by failing to pay all amounts due to the Debtor upon the Debtor's demand.
59. HCMS breached the Term Note by failing to pay all amounts due to the Debtor upon HCMS's default and acceleration.
60. Pursuant to each Note, the Debtor is entitled to damages from HCMS in an amount equal to (i) the aggregate outstanding principal due under each Note, plus (ii) all accrued and unpaid interest thereon until the date of payment, plus (iii) an amount equal to the Debtor's costs of collection (including all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses), for HCMS's breach of its obligations under each of the Demand Notes.
61. As a direct and proximate cause of HCMS's breach of each Demand Note, the Debtor has suffered damages in the amount of at least $\$ 947,519.43$, as of December 11, 2020, plus an amount equal to all accrued but unpaid interest from that date, plus the Debtor's cost of collection.
62. As a direct and proximate cause of HCMS's breach of the Term Note, the Debtor has suffered damages in the amount of at least $\$ 6,757,248.95$, as of January 8, 2021, plus
an amount equal to all accrued but unpaid interest from that date, plus the Debtor's cost of collection.

## SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## (Against HCMS)

## (Turnover by HCMS Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 542(b))

63. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
64. HCMS owes the Debtor an amount equal to (i) the aggregate outstanding principal due under each of the Notes, plus (ii) all accrued and unpaid interest thereon until the date of payment, plus (iii) an amount equal to the Debtor's costs of collection (including all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses), for HCMS's breach of its obligations under each of the Notes
65. Each Demand Note is property of the Debtor's estate and the amounts due under each Demand Note is matured and payable upon demand.
66. The Term Note is property of the Debtor's estate and the amounts due under the Term Note is matured and payable upon default and acceleration.
67. The Debtor has made demand for turnover of the amounts due under each of the Notes
68. As of the date of filing this Complaint, HCMS has not turned over to the Debtor all or any of the amounts due under each of the Notes.
69. The Debtor is entitled to the turnover of all amounts due under each of the Notes.

## THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against HCMS)
(Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Fraudulent Transfer Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(A) and 550)
70. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
71. The Debtor made the transfers pursuant to the Alleged Agreement within two years of the Petition Date.
72. HCMS entered into the Alleged Agreement with actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud a present or future creditor, demonstrated by, inter alia:
(a) The transfers were made to, or for the benefit of, HCMS, an insider of the Debtor.
(b) Mr. Dondero entered into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of HCMS with his sister, Nancy Dondero.
(c) Mr. Dondero did not inform the Debtor's CFO or outside auditors about the Alleged Agreement.
(d) The Debtor's books and record do not reflect the Alleged Agreement.
(e) The Alleged Agreement was not subject to negotiation.
(f) The value of the consideration received by the Debtor for the transfers was not reasonably equivalent in value.
73. The pattern of conduct, series of transactions, and general chronology of events under inquiry in connection with the debt HCMS incurred under the Notes demonstrates a scheme of fraud.
74. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 550 , the Debtor is entitled to recover for the benefit of the Debtor's estates the transfers made in exchange for the Alleged Agreement from HCMS.
75. Accordingly, the Debtor is entitled to a judgement: (i) avoiding the Alleged Agreement and the transfers thereunder, and (ii) recovering from HCMS an amount equal to all obligations remaining under the Notes.

## FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against HCMS)
(Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Fraudulent Transfer Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 544(b) and 550, and Tex. Bus. \& C. Code § 24.005(a)(1))
76. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
77. The Debtor made the transfers pursuant to the Alleged Agreement after, or within a reasonable time before, creditors' claims arose.
78. Mr. Dondero entered into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of HCMS with actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud a present or future creditor of the Debtor, demonstrated by, inter alia:
(g) The transfers were made to, or for the benefit of, HCMS, an insider of the Debtor.
(h) Mr. Dondero entered into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of HCMS with his sister, Nancy Dondero.
(i) Mr. Dondero did not inform the Debtor's CFO or outside auditor's about the Alleged Agreement.
(j) Upon information and belief, the Debtor's books and record do not reflect the Alleged Agreement.
(k) The Alleged Agreement was not subject to negotiation.
(l) The value of the consideration received by the Debtor for the transfers was not reasonably equivalent in value.
79. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 550, the Debtor is entitled to recover for the benefit of the Debtor's estates the transfers made in exchange for the Alleged Agreement from HCMS.
80. Accordingly, the Debtor is entitled to a judgement: (i) avoiding the Alleged Agreement and the transfers thereunder, and (ii) recovering from HCMS an amount equal to all obligations remaining under the Notes.

## FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against Dugaboy and Ms. Dondero)
(For Declaratory Relief: -- 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7001)
81. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
82. A bona fide, actual, present dispute exists between the Debtor, on the one hand, and Dugaboy and Ms. Dondero on the other hand, concerning whether Dugaboy and/or Ms. Dondero, acting as the Representative, were authorized to enter into the Alleged Agreement on the Debtor's behalf.
83. A judgment declaring the parties' respective rights and obligations will resolve their dispute.
84. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7001, the Debtor specifically seeks declarations that:

- (a) limited partners, including but not limited to Dugaboy, have no right or authority to take part in the control (within the meaning of the Delaware Act) of the Partnership's business, transact any business in the Partnership's name, or have the power to sign documents for or otherwise bind the Partnership other than as specifically provided in the Limited Partnership Agreement,
- (b) neither Dugaboy nor Ms. Dondero (whether individually or as Representative) was authorized under the Limited Partnership Agreement to enter into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of the Partnership,
- (c) neither Dugaboy nor Ms. Dondero (whether individually or as Representative) otherwise had any right or authority to enter into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of the Partnership, and
- (d) the Alleged Agreement is null and void.


## SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against Dugaboy and Ms. Dondero) (Breach of Fiduciary Duty)
85. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
86. If Dugaboy, as a limited partner, or Ms. Dondero, as Representative, had the authority to enter into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of the Debtor, then Dugaboy and/or Ms. Dondero would owe the Debtor a fiduciary duty.
87. If Dugaboy or Ms. Dondero (as Representative) had the authority to enter into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of the Debtor, then Dugaboy and/or Ms. Dondero breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Debtor by entering into and authorizing the purported Alleged Agreement on behalf of the Debtor.
88. Accordingly, the Debtor is entitled to recover from Dugaboy and Ms. Dondero (a) actual damages that the Debtor suffered as a result of their breach of fiduciary duty, and (b) for punitive and exemplary damages.

## SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against James Dondero and Nancy Dondero) (Aiding and Abetting a Breach of Fiduciary Duty)
89. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.
90. James Dondero and Nancy Dondero (together, the "Donderos") were aware that Dugaboy would have fiduciary duties to the Debtor if it acted to bind the Debtor.
91. The Donderos aided and abetted Dugaboy's breach of its fiduciary duties to the Debtor by knowingly participating in the authorization of the purported Alleged Agreement.
92. The Donderos aided and abetted Dugaboy's breach of its fiduciary duty to the Debtor by knowingly participating in the authorization of the purported Alleged Agreement.
93. Accordingly, the Donderos are jointly and severally liable (a) for the actual damages that the Debtor suffered as a result of aiding and abetting Dondero's breaches of fiduciary duties, and (b) for punitive and exemplary damages.

WHEREFORE, the Debtor prays for judgment as follows:
(i) On its First Claim for Relief, damages in an amount to be determined at trial but includes (a) the aggregate outstanding principal due under each Note, plus (b) all accrued and unpaid interest thereon until the date of payment, plus (c) an amount equal to the Debtor's cost of collection (including all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses);
(ii) On its Second Claim for Relief, ordering turnover by HCMS to the Debtor of an amount equal to (a) the aggregate principal due under each Note, plus (b) all accrued and unpaid interest thereon until the date of payment, plus (c) an amount equal to the Debtor's cost of collection (including all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses);
(iii) On its Third Claim for Relief, avoidance of the Alleged Agreements and the transfers thereunder pursuant to the Alleged Agreement of funds arising from actual fraudulent transfer under section 548 of the Bankruptcy Code;
(iv) On its Fourth Claim for Relief, avoidance of the Alleged Agreement and the transfers thereunder pursuant to the Alleged Agreement of funds arising from actual fraudulent transfer under Tex. Bus. \& C. Code § 24.005(a)(1);
(v) On its Fifth Claim for Relief, a declaration that: (a) limited partners, including but not limited to Dugaboy, have no right or authority to take part in the control (within the meaning of the Delaware Act) of the Partnership's business, transact any business in the Partnership's name, or have the power to sign documents for or otherwise bind the Partnership other than as specifically provided in the Limited Partnership Agreement, (b) neither Dugaboy nor Ms. Dondero (whether individually or as Representative) was authorized under the Limited Partnership Agreement to enter into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of the Partnership, (c) neither Dugaboy nor Ms. Dondero (whether individually or as Representative) otherwise had any right or authority to enter into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of the Partnership, and (d) the Alleged Agreement is null and void;
(vi) On its Sixth Claim for Relief, actual damages from Dugaboy and Ms. Dondero, in an amount to be determined at trial, that Debtor suffered as a result of their breach of fiduciary duty, and for punitive and exemplary damages;
(vii) On its Seventh Claim for Relief, actual damages from the Donderos, jointly and severally, in an amount to be determined at trial, that Debtor suffered as a result
of aiding and abetting Dugaboy's breaches of fiduciary duty, and for punitive and exemplary damages; and
(iii) Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: As of July 13, 2021.
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL \& JONES LLP
Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No.143717)
Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084)
John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397)
Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992)
Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569)
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 277-6910
Facsimile: (310) 201-0760
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## EXHIBIT 1

## PROMISSORY NOTE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP. ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY THOUSAND and $00 / 100$ Dollars ( $\$ 150,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate: The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the long-term "applicable federal rate" ( $2.88 \%$ ) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the ainiversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand of the Payee.
3. Prepayment Allowed: Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof, No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.


## EXHIBIT 2

# PROMISSORY NOTE 

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC: ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L'P. ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United Stattes of America, the principal sum of TWO HUNDRED THOUSAND and 00/100 Dollars ( $\$ 200,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the long-term "applicable federal rate" ( $3.05 \%$ ) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand of the Payee.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default, Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof,
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.
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## PROMISSORY NOTE

$$
\$ 400,000
$$

May 29, 2019

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of FOUR HUNDRED THOUSAND and $00 / 100$ Dollars ( $\$ 400,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the short-term "applicable federal rate" ( $2.39 \%$ ) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.

MAKER:


FRANK WATERHOUSE
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## PROMISSORY NOTE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY THOUSAND and $00 / 100$ Dollars ( $\$ 150,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the short-term "applicable federal rate" (2.37\%) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.

MAKER:


FRANK WATERHOUSE
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## Highland Capital Management, L.P.

December 3, 2020
Highland Capital Management Services, Inc. c/o Highland Capital Management, L.P.
300 Crescent Court, Suite 700
Dallas, Texas 75201
Attention: Frank Waterhouse, CFO
Re: Demand on Promissory Notes:
Dear Mr. Waterhouse,
Highland Capital Management Services, Inc. ("Maker") entered into the following promissory notes (collectively, the "Notes") in favor of Highland Capital Management, L.P. ("Payee"):

| Date Issued | Original Principal <br> Amount | Outstanding Principal <br> Amount <br> $(\mathbf{1 2 / 1 1 / 2 0})$ | Accrued But <br> Unpaid Interest <br> $(\mathbf{1 2 / 1 1 / 2 0})$ | Total Amount <br> Outstanding (12/11/20) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3 / 28 / 18$ | $\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 158,776.59$ | $\$ 3,257.32$ | $\$ 162,033.91$ |
| $6 / 25 / 18$ | $\$ 200,000$ | $\$ 212,403.27$ | $\$ 2,999.54$ | $\$ 215,402.81$ |
| $5 / 29 / 19$ | $\$ 400,000$ | $\$ 409,586.19$ | $\$ 5,256.62$ | $\$ 414,842.81$ |
| 6/26/19 | $\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 153,564.74$ | $\$ 1,675.16$ | $\$ 155,239.90$ |
| TOTALS | $\$ 900,000$ | $\mathbf{\$ 9 3 4 , 3 3 0 . 7 9}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 3 , 1 8 8 . 6 4}$ | $\$ \mathbf{9 4 7 , 5 1 9 . 4 3}$ |

As set forth in Section 2 of each of the Notes, accrued interest and principal is due and payable upon the demand of Payee. By this letter, Payee is demanding payment of the accrued interest and principal due and payable on the Notes in the aggregate amount of $\$ 947,519.43$, which represents all accrued and unpaid interest and principal through and including December 11, 2020.

Payment is due on December 11, 2020, and failure to make payment in full on such date will constitute an event of default under the Notes.

Payments on the Notes must be made in immediately available funds. Payee's wire information is attached hereto as Appendix A.

Nothing contained herein constitutes a waiver of any rights or remedies of Payee under the Notes or otherwise and all such rights and remedies, whether at law, equity, contract, or otherwise, are expressly reserved. Interest, including default interest if applicable, on the Notes will continue to accrue until the Notes are paid in full. Any such interest will remain the obligation of Maker.

Sincerely,
/s/ James P. Seery, Jr.
James P. Seery, Jr.
Highland Capital Management, L.P.
Chief Executive Officer/Chief Restructuring Officer
cc: Fred Caruso
James Romey
Jeffrey Pomerantz
Ira Kharasch
Gregory Demo

## Appendix A

ABA \#: 322070381
Bank Name: East West Bank
Account Name: Highland Capital Management, LP Account \#: 5500014686
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## PROMISSORY NOTE

THIS PROMISSORY NOTE (this "Note") is in substitution for and supersedes in their entirety each of those certain promissory notes described in Exhibit A hereto, from Highland Capital Management Services, Inc., as Maker, and Highland Capital Management, L.P. as Payee (collectively, the "Prior Notes"), together with the aggregate outstanding principal and accrued and unpaid interested represented thereby.

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P. ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of TWENTY MILLION, TWO HUNDRED FORTY SEVEN THOUSAND, SIX HUNDRED TWENTY EIGHT AND 02/100 DOLLARS (\$20,247,628.02), together with interest, on the terms set forth below. All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75201 , or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at the rate of two and seventy-five hundredths percent ( $2.75 \%$ ) per annum from the date hereof until Maturity Date (hereinafter defined), compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th $(1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable annually.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. Principal and interest under this Note shall be payable as follows:
2.1 Annual Payment Dates. During the term of this Note, Borrower shall pay the outstanding principal amount of the Note (and all unpaid accrued interest through the date of each such payment) in thirty (30) equal annual payments (the "Annual Installment") until the Note is paid in full. Borrower shall pay the Annual Installment on the $31^{\text {st }}$ day of December of each calendar year during the term of this Note, commencing on the first such date to occur after the date of execution of this Note.
2.2 Final Payment Date. The final payment in the aggregate amount of the then outstanding and unpaid Note, together with all accrued and unpaid interest thereon, shall become immediately due and payable in full on December 31, 2047 (the "Maturity Date").
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No
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failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.
9. Prior Notes. The original of each of the Prior Notes superseded hereby shall be marked "VOID" by Payee.

## MAKER:



## EXHIBIT A

PRIOR NOTES

| Loan Date | Initial Note <br> Amount | Interest Rate | Principal and Interest <br> Outstanding as <br> of May 31, 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 / 29 / 15$ | $\$ 500,000$ | $2.30 \%$ | $\$ 523,095$ |
| $10 / 1 / 15$ | $\$ 350,000$ | $2.58 \%$ | $\$ 315,500$ |
| $10 / 2 / 15$ | $\$ 310,000$ | $2.58 \%$ | $\$ 323,301$ |
| $10 / 27 / 15$ | $\$ 200,000$ | $2.58 \%$ | $\$ 208,228$ |
| $10 / 28 / 15$ | $\$ 200,000$ | $2.58 \%$ | $\$ 208,214$ |
| $10 / 30 / 15$ | $\$ 100,000$ | $2.58 \%$ | $\$ 104,093$ |
| $11 / 23 / 15$ | $\$ 100,000$ | $2.57 \%$ | $\$ 103,908$ |
| $11 / 24 / 15$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $2.57 \%$ | $\$ 259,752$ |
| $2 / 10 / 16$ | $\$ 2,000,000$ | $2.62 \%$ | $\$ 83,390$ |
| $2 / 11 / 16$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $2.62 \%$ | $\$ 258,524$ |
| $4 / 5 / 16$ | $\$ 6,000,000$ | $2.25 \%$ | $\$ 6,155,712$ |
| $5 / 4 / 16$ | $\$ 2,700,000$ | $2.24 \%$ | $\$ 2,764,954$ |
| $7 / 1 / 16$ | $\$ 30,000$ | $2.18 \%$ | $\$ 30,598$ |
| $8 / 5 / 16$ | $\$ 525,000$ | $2.18 \%$ | $\$ 534,375$ |
| $8 / 22 / 16$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $2.18 \%$ | $\$ 254,465$ |
| $9 / 22 / 16$ | $\$ 185,000$ | $2.18 \%$ | $\$ 187,773$ |
| $12 / 12 / 16$ | $\$ 7,700,000$ | $2.26 \%$ | $\$ 7,781,050$ |
| $3 / 31 / 17$ | $\$ 150,000$ | $2.78 \%$ | $\$ 150,697$ |
|  | $\$ 21,800,000$ |  | $\$ 20,247,628.02$ |

## EXHIBIT 7

## Highland Capital Management, L.P.

January 7, 2021

Highland Capital Management Services, Inc. c/o Bonds Ellis Eppich Schafer Jones LLP 420 Throckmorton Street, Suite 1000
Fort Worth, Texas 76012
Attention: James Dondero
Re: Demand on Promissory Note
Dear Mr. Dondero,
On May 31, 2017, Highland Capital Management Services, Inc. entered into that certain promissory note in the original principal amount of $\$ 20,247,628.02$ (the "Note") in favor of Highland Capital Management, L.P. ("Payee").

As set forth in Section 2 of the Note, accrued interest and principal on the Note is due and payable in thirty equal annual payments with each payment due on December 31 of each calendar year. Maker failed to make the payment due on December 31, 2020.

Because of Maker's failure to pay, the Note is in default. Pursuant to Section 4 of the Note, all principal, interest, and any other amounts due on the Note are immediately due and payable. The amount due and payable on the Note as of January 8, 2021 is $\$ 6,757,248.95$; however, interest continues to accrue under the Note.

The Note is in default, and payment is due immediately. Payments on the Note must be made in immediately available funds. Payee's wire information is attached hereto as Appendix A.

Nothing contained herein constitutes a waiver of any rights or remedies of Payee under the Note or otherwise and all such rights and remedies, whether at law, equity, contract, or otherwise, are expressly reserved. Interest, including default interest if applicable, on the Note will continue to accrue until the Note is paid in full. Any such interest will remain the obligation of Maker.

Sincerely,
/s/ James P. Seery, Jr.
James P. Seery, Jr.
Highland Capital Management, L.P.
Chief Executive Officer/Chief Restructuring Officer
cc: Fred Caruso
James Romey
Jeffrey Pomerantz
Ira Kharasch
Gregory Demo
D. Michael Lynn

## Appendix A

ABA \#: 322070381
Bank Name: East West Bank
Account Name: Highland Capital Management, LP Account \#: 5500014686
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## FOURTH AMENDED AND RESTATED

AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP
OF
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.


#### Abstract

THE PARTNERSHIP INTERESTS REPRESENTED BY THIS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OP 1933 OR UNDER ANY STATE SECURITIES ACTS IN RELIANCE UPON EXEMPTIONS UNDER THOSE ACTS. THE SALE OR OTHER DISPOSITION OF THE PARTNERSHIP INTERESTS IS PROHIBITED UNLESS THAT SALE OR DISPOSITION IS MADE IN COMPLIANCE WITH ALL SUCH APPLICABLE ACTS. ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS ON TRANSFER OF THE PARTNERSHIP INTERESTS ARE SET FORTH IN THIS AGREEMENT.
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# FOURTH AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP <br> OF <br> HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P. 

THIS FOURTH AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP is entered into on this $24^{\text {th }}$ day of December, 2015, to be effective as of December 24, 2015, by and among Strand Advisors, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Strand"), as General Partner, the Limited Partners party hereto, and any Person hereinafter admitted as a Limited Partner.

Certain terms used in this Agreement are defined in Article 2.

## ARTICLE 1

## GENERAL

1.1. Continuation. Subject to the provisions of this Agreement, the Partners hereby continue the Partnership as a limited partnership pursuant to the provisions of the Delaware Act. Except as expressly provided herein, the rights and obligations of the Partners and the administration and termination of the Partnership shall be governed by the Delaware Act.
1.2. Name. The name of the Partnership shall be, and the business of the Partnership shall be conducted under the name of Highland Capital Management, L.P. The General Partner, in its sole and unfettered discretion, may change the name of the Partnership at any time and from time to time and shall provide Limited Partners with written notice of such name change within twenty (20) days after such name change.
1.3. Purpose. The purpose and business of the Partnership shall be the conduct of any business or activity that may lawfully be conducted by a limited partnership organized pursuant to the Delaware Act. Any or all of the foregoing activities may be conducted directly by the Partnership or indirectly through another partnership, joint venture, or other arrangement.
1.4. Term. The Partnership was formed as a limited partnership on July 7, 1997, and shall continue until terminated pursuant to this Agreement.

### 1.5. Partnership Offices; Addresses of Partners.

(a) Partnership Offices. The registered office of the Partnership in the State of Delaware shall be 1013 Centre Road, Wilmington, Delaware 19805-1297, and its registered agent for service of process on the Partnership at that registered office shall be Corporation Service Company, or such other registered office or registered agent as the General Partner may from time to time designate. The principal office of the Partnership shall be 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75201, or such other place as the General Partner may from time to time designate. The Partnership may maintain offices at such other place or places as the General Partner deems advisable.
(b) Addresses of Partners. The address of the General Partner is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75201. The address of each Limited Partner shall be the address of that Limited Partner appearing on the books and records of the Partnership. Each Limited Partner agrees to provide the General Partner with prompt written notice of any change in his/her/its address.

## ARTICLE 2

## DEFINITIONS

2.1. Definitions. The following definitions shall apply to the terms used in this Agreement, unless otherwise clearly indicated to the contrary in this Agreement:
"Additional Capital Contribution" has the meaning set forth in Section 3.1(b) of this Agreement.
"Adjusted Capital Account Deficit" means, with respect to any Partner, the deficit balance, if any, in the Capital Account of that Partner as of the end of the relevant Fiscal Year, or other relevant period, giving effect to all adjustments previously made thereto pursuant to Section 3.7 and further adjusted as follows: (i) credit to that Capital Account, any amounts which that Partner is obligated or deemed obligated to restore pursuant to any provision of this Agreement or pursuant to Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(c); (ii) debit to that Capital Account, the items described in Treasury Regulations Sections $1.704-1(b)(2)(i i)(d)(4)$, (5) and (6); and (iii) to the extent required under the Treasury Regulations, credit to that Capital Account (A) that Partner's share of "minimum gain" and (B) that Partner's share of "partner nonrecourse debt minimum gain." (Each Partner's share of the minimum gain and partner nonrecourse debt minimum gain shall be determined under Treasury Regulations Sections $1.704-2(\mathrm{~g})$ and $1.704-2(\mathrm{i})(5)$, respectively.)
"Affiliate" means any Person that directly or indirectly controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with the Person in question. As used in this definition, the term "control" means the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a Person, whether through ownership of voting Securities, by contract or otherwise.
"Agreement" means this Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, as it may be amended, supplemented, or restated from time to time.
"Business Day" means Monday through Friday of each week, except that a legal holiday recognized as such by the government of the United States or the State of Texas shall not be regarded as a Business Day.
"Capital Account" means the capital account maintained for a Partner pursuant to Section 3.7(a).
"Capital Contribution" means, with respect to any Partner, the amount of money or property contributed to the Partnership with respect to the interest in the Partnership held by that Person.
"Certificate of Limited Partnership" means the Certificate of Limited Partnership filed with the Secretary of State of Delaware by the General Partner, as that Certificate may be amended, supplemented or restated from time to time.
"Class A Limited Partners" means those Partners holding a Class A Limited Partnership Interest, as shown on Exhibit A.
"Class A Limited Partnership Interest" means a Partnership Interest held by a Partner in its capacity as a Class A Limited Partner."
"Class B Limited Partner" means those Partners holding a Class B Limited Partnership Interest, as shown on Exhibit A.
"Class B Limited Partnership Interest" means a Partnership Interest held by a Partner in its capacity as a Class B Limited Partner,"
"Class B NAV Ratio Trigger Period" means any period during which the Class B Limited Partner's aggregate capital contributions, including the original principal balance of the Contribution Note, and reduced by the aggregate amount of distributions to the Class B Limited Partner, exceed 75 percent of the product of the Class B Limited Partner's Percentage Interest multiplied by the total book value of the Partnership; provided, however, that the General Partner shall only be required to test for a Class B NAV Ratio Trigger Period annually, as of the last day of each calendar year; provided further the General Partner must complete the testing within 180 days of the end of each calendar year; provided further that if the test results in a Class B NAV Ratio Trigger Period, the General Partner may, at its own election, retest at any time to determine the end date of the Class B NAV Ratio Trigger Period.
"Class C Limited Partner" means those Partners holding a Class C Limited Partnership Interest, as shown on Exhibit A.
"Class C Limited Partnership Interest" means a Partnership Interest held by a Partner in its capacity as a Class C Limited Partner."
"Class C NAV Ratio Trigger Period" means any period during which an amount equal to $\$ 93,000,000.00$ reduced by the aggregate amount of distributions to the Class C Limited Partner after the Effective Date exceeds 75 percent of the product of the Class C Limited Partner's Percentage Interest multiplied by the total book value of the Partnership; provided, however, that the General Partner shall only be required to test for a Class C NAV Ratio Trigger Period annually, as of the last day of each calendar year; provided further the General Partner must complete the testing within 180 days of the end of each calendar year; provided further that if the test results in a Class C NAV Ratio Trigger Period, the General Partner may, at its own election, retest at any time to determine the end date of the Class C NAV Ratio Trigger Period.
"Code" means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended and in effect from time to time.
"Contribution Note" means that certain Secured Promissory Note dated December 21, 2015 by and among Hunter Mountain Investment Trust, as maker, and the Partnership as Payee.
"Default Loan" has the meaning set forth in Section 3.1(c)(i).
"Defaulting Partner" has the meaning set forth in Section 3.1(c).
"Delaware Act" means the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act, Part IV, Title C, Chapter 17 of the Delaware Corporation Law Annotated, as it may be amended, supplemented or restated from time to time, and any successor to that Act.
"Effective Date" means the date first recited above.
"Fiscal Year" has the meaning set forth in Section 3.11(b).
"Founding Partner Group" means, all partners holding partnership interests in the Partnership immediately before the Effective Date.
"General Partner" means any Person who (i) is referred to as such in the first paragraph of this Agreement, or has become a General Partner pursuant to the terms of this Agreement; and (ii) has not ceased to be a General Partner pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.
"Limited Partner" means any Person who (i) is referred to as such in the first paragraph of this Agreement, or has become a Limited Partner pursuant to the terms of this Agreement, and (ii) has not ceased to be a Limited Partner pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.
"Liquidator" has the meaning set forth in Section 5.3.
"Losses" means, for each Fiscal Year, the losses and deductions of the Partnership determined in accordance with accounting principles consistently applied from year to year employed under the Partnership's method of accounting and as reported, separately or in the aggregate, as appropriate, on the Partnership's information tax return filed for federal income tax purposes, plus any expenditures described in Code Section $705(\mathrm{a})(2)(\mathrm{B})$.
"Majority Interest" means the owners of more than fifty percent (50\%) of the Percentage Interests of Class A Limited Partners.
"NAV Ratio Trigger Period" means a Class B NAV Ratio Trigger Period or a Class C NAV Ratio Trigger Period.
"Net Increase in Working Capital Accounts" means the excess of (i) Restricted Cash plus Management and Incentive Fees Receivable plus Other Assets plus Deferred Incentive Fees Receivable less Accounts Payable less Accrued and Other Liabilities as of the end of the period being measured over (ii) Restricted Cash plus Management and Incentive Fees Receivable plus Other Assets plus Deferred Incentive Fees Receivable less Accounts Payable less Accrued and Other Liabilities as of the beginning of the period being measured; provided, however, that amounts within each of the aforementioned categories shall be excluded from the calculation to the extent they are specifically identified as being derived from investing or financing activities. Each of the capitalized terms in this definition shall have the meaning given them in the books and records of the Partnership and appropriate adjustments may be made to the extent the Partnership adds new ledger accounts to its books and records that are current assets or current liabilities.
"New Issues" means Securities that are considered to be "new issues," as defined in the Conduct Rules of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.
"Nonrecourse Deduction" has the meaning set forth in Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(b)(1), as computed under Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(c).
"Nonrecourse Liability" has the meaning set forth in Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(b)(3).
"Operating Cash Flow" means Total Revenue less Total Operating Expenses plus Depreciation \& Amortization less Net Increase in Working Capital Accounts year over year. Each of the capitalized terms in this definition shall have the meaning given them in the books and records of the Partnership.
"Partner" means a General Partner or a Limited Partner.
"Partner Nonrecourse Debt" has the meaning set forth in Treasury Regulations Section $1.704-2(b)(4)$.
"Partner Nonrecourse Deductions" has the meaning set forth in Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(i)(2).
"Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain" has the meaning set forth in Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(i)(5).
"Partnership" means Highland Capital Management, L.P., the Delaware limited partnership established pursuant to this Agreement.
"Partnership Capital" means, as of any relevant date, the net book value of the Partnership's assets.
"Partnership Interest" means the interest acquired by a Partner in the Partnership including, without limitation, that Partner's right: (a) to an allocable share of the Profits, Losses, deductions, and credits of the Partnership; (b) to a distributive share of the assets of the Partnership; (c) if a Limited Partner, to vote on those matters described in this Agreement; and (d) if the General Partner, to manage and operate the Partnership.
"Partnership Minimum Gain" has the meaning set forth in Treasury Regulations Section $1.704-2(\mathrm{~d})$.
"Percentage Interest" means the percentage set forth opposite each Partner's name on Exhibit A as such Exhibit may be amended from time to time in accordance with this Agreement.
"Person" means an individual or a corporation, partnership, trust, estate, unincorporated organization, association, or other entity.
"Priority Distributions" has the meaning set forth in Section 3.9(b).
"Profits" means, for each Fiscal Year, the income and gains of the Partnership determined in accordance with accounting principles consistently applied from year to year employed under the Partnership's method of accounting and as reported, separately or in the aggregate, as appropriate, on the Partnership's information tax return filed for federal income tax purposes, plus any income described in Code Section 705(a)(1)(B).
"Profits Interest Partner" means any Person who is issued a Partnership Interest that is treated as a "profits interest" for federal income tax purposes.
"Purchase Notes" means those certain Secured Promissory Notes of even date herewith by and among Hunter Mountain Investment Trust, as maker, and The Dugaboy Investment Trust, The Mark K. Okada, The Mark and Pamela Okada Family Trust - Exempt Trust \#1, and The Mark K. Okada, The Mark and Pamela Okada Family Trust - Exempt Trust \#2, each as Payees of the respective Secured Promissory Notes.
"Record Date" means the date established by the General Partner for determining the identity of Limited Partners entitled to vote or give consent to Partnership action or entitled to exercise rights in respect of any other lawful action of Limited Partners.
"Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement" means that certain Second Amended and Restated Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement, dated December 21, 2015, to be effective as of December 21, 2015 by and between the Partnership and its Partners, as may be amended, supplemented, or restated from time to time.
"Securities" means the following: (i) securities of any kind (including, without limitation, "securities" as that term is defined in Section 2(a)(1) of the Securities Act; (ii) commodities of any kind (as that term is defined by the U.S. Securities Laws and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder); (iii) any contracts for future or forward delivery of any security, commodity or currency; (iv) any contracts based on any securities or group of securities, commodities or currencies; (v) any options on any contracts referred to in clauses (iii) or (iv); or (vi) any evidences of indebtedness (including participations in or assignments of bank loans or trade credit claims). The items set forth in clauses (i) through (vi) herein include, but are not limited to, capital stock, common stock, preferred stock, convertible securities, reorganization certificates, subscriptions, warrants, rights, options, puts, calls, bonds, mutual fund interests, debentures, notes, certificates of deposit, letters of credit, bankers acceptances, trust receipts and other securities of any corporation or other entity, whether readily marketable or not, rights and options, whether granted or written by the Partnership or by others, treasury bills, bonds and notes, any securities or obligations issued or guaranteed by the United States or any foreign country or any state or possession of the United States or any foreign country or any political subdivision or agency or instrumentality of any of the foregoing, and derivatives of any of the foregoing.
"Securities Act" means the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and any successor to such statute.
"Substitute Limited Partner" has the meaning set forth in Section 4.6(a).
"Transfer" or derivations thereof, of a Partnership Interest means, as a noun, the transfer, sale, assignment, exchange, pledge, hypothecation or other disposition of a Partnership Interest, or any part thereof, directly or indirectly, and as a verb, voluntarily or involuntarily to transfer, sell, assign, exchange, pledge, hypothecate or otherwise dispose of.
"Treasury Regulations" means the Department of Treasury Regulations promulgated under the Code, as amended and in effect (including corresponding provisions of succeeding regulations).
2.2. Other Definitions. All terms used in this Agreement that are not defined in this Article 2 have the meanings contained elsewhere in this Agreement.

## ARTICLE 3

## FINANCIAL MATTERS

### 3.1. Capital Contributions.

(a) Initial Capital Contributions. The initial Capital Contribution of each Partner shall be set forth in the books and records of the Partnership.
(b) Additional Capital Contributions.
(i) The General Partner, in its reasonable discretion and for a bona fide business purpose, may request in writing that the Founding Partner Group make additional Capital Contributions in proportion to their Percentage Interests (each, an "Additional Capital Contribution").
(ii) Any failure by a Partner to make an Additional Capital Contribution requested under Section 3.1 (b)(i) on or before the date on which that Additional Capital Contribution was due shall result in the Partner being in default.
(c) Consequences to Defaulting Partners. In the event a Partner is in default under Section 3.1(b) (a "Defaulting Partner"), the Defaulting Partner, in its sole and unfettered discretion, may elect to take either one of the option set forth below.
(i) Default Loans. If the Defaulting Partner so elects, the General Partner shall make a loan to the Defaulting Partner in an amount equal to that Defaulting Partner's additional capital contribution (a "Default Loan"). A Default Loan shall be deemed advanced on the date actually advanced. Default Loans shall earn interest on the outstanding principal amount thereof at a rate equal to the Applicable Federal Mid-Term Rate (determined by the Internal Revenue Service for the month in which the loan is deemed made) from the date actually advanced until the same is repaid in full. The term of any Default Loan shall be six (6) months, unless otherwise extended by the General Partner in its sole and unfettered discretion. If the General Partner makes a Default Loan, the Defaulting Partner shall not receive any distributions pursuant to Section 3.9(a) or Section 5.3 or any proceeds from the Transfer of all or any part of its Partnership Interest while the Default Loan remains unpaid. Instead, the Defaulting Partner's share of distributions or such other proceeds shall (until all Default Loans and interest thereon shall have been repaid in full) first be paid to the General Partner. Such payments shall be applied first to the payment of interest on such Default Loans and then to the repayment of the principal amounts thereof, but shall be considered, for all other purposes of this Agreement, to have been distributed to the Defaulting Partner. The Defaulting Partner shall be liable for the reasonable fees and expenses incurred by the General Partner (including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and disbursements) in connection with any enforcement or foreclosure upon any Default Loan and such costs shall, to the extent enforceable under applicable law, be added to the principal amount of the applicable Default Loan. In addition, at any time during the term of such Default Loan, the Defaulting Partner shall have the right to repay, in full, the Default Loan (including interest and any other charges). If the General Partner makes a Default Loan, the Defaulting Partner shall be deemed to have pledged to the General Partner and granted to the General Partner a continuing first priority security interest in, all of the Defaulting Partner's Partnership Interest to secure the payment of the principal of, and interest on, such Default Loan in accordance with the provisions hereof, and for such purpose this Agreement shall constitute a security agreement. The Defaulting Partner shall promptly execute, acknowledge and deliver such financing statements, continuation statements or other documents and take such other actions as the General Partner shall request in writing in order to perfect or continue the perfection of such security interest; and, if the Defaulting Partner shall fail to do so within seven (7) days after the Defaulting Partner's receipt of a notice making demand therefor, the General Partner is hereby appointed the attorney-in-fact of, and is hereby authorized on behalf of, the Defaulting Partner, to execute, acknowledge and deliver all such documents and take all such other actions as may be required to perfect such security interest. Such appointment and authorization are coupled with an interest and shall be irrevocable. The General Partner shall, prior to exercising any right or remedy (whether at law, in equity or pursuant to the terms hereof) available to it in connection with such security interest, provide to the Defaulting Partner a notice, in reasonable detail, of the right or remedy to be exercised and the intended timing of such exercise which shall not be less than five (5) days following the date of such notice.
(ii) Reduction of Percentage Interest. If the Defaulting Partner does not elect to obtain a Default Loan pursuant to Section 3.1(c)(i), the General Partner shall reduce the Defaulting Partner's Percentage Interest in accordance with the following formula:


#### Abstract

The Defaulting Partner's new Percentage Interest shall equal the product of (1) the Defaulting Partner's current Percentage Interest, multiplied by (2) the quotient of (a) the current Capital Account of the Defaulting Partner (with such Capital Account determined after taking into account a revaluation of the Capital Accounts immediately prior to such determination), divided by (b) the sum of (i) the current Capital Account of the Defaulting Partner (with such Capital Account determined after taking into account a revaluation of the Capital Accounts immediately prior to such determination), plus (ii) the amount of the additional capital contribution that such Defaulting Partner failed to make when due.


To the extent any downward adjustment is made to the Percentage Interest of a Partner pursuant to this Section 3.1(c)(ii), any resulting benefit shall accrue to the Partners (other than the Defaulting Partner) in proportion to their respective Percentage Interests.

### 3.2. Allocations of Profits and Losses.

(a) Allocations of Profits, Except as provided in Sections 3.4, 3.5, and 3.6, Profits for any Fiscal Year will be allocated to the Partners as follows:
(i) First, to the Partners until cumulative Profits allocated under this Section 3.2(a)(i) for all prior periods equal the cumulative Losses allocated to the Partners under Section 3.2(b)(iii) for all prior periods in the inverse order in which such Losses were allocated; and
(ii) Next, to the Partners until cumulative Profits allocated under this Section 3.2(a)(ii) for all prior periods equal the cumulative Losses allocated to the Partners under Section 3.2 (b)(ii) for all prior periods in the inverse order in which such Losses were allocated; and
(iii) Then, to all Partners in proportion to their respective Percentage Interests.
(b) Allocations of Losses. Except as provided in Sections 3.4, 3.5, and 3.6, Losses for any Fiscal Year will be will be allocated as follows:
(i) First, to the Partners until cumulative Losses allocated under this Section 3.2(b)(i) for all prior periods equal the cumulative Profits allocated to the Partners under Section 3.2(a)(iii) for all prior periods in the inverse order in which such Profits were allocated; and
(ii) Next, to the Partners in proportion to their respective positive Capital Account balances until the aggregate Capital Account balances of the Partners (excluding any negative Capital Account balances) equal zero; provided, however, losses shall first be allocated to reduce amounts that were last allocated to the Capital Accounts of the Partners; and
(iii) Then, to all Partners in proportion to their respective Percentage Interests.
(c) Limitation on Loss Allocations. If any allocation of Losses would cause a Limited Partner to have an Adjusted Capital Account Deficit, those Losses instead shall be allocated to the General Partner.
3.3. Allocations on Transfers. Taxable items of the Partnership attributable to a Partnership Interest that has been Transferred (including the simultaneous decrease in the Partnership Interest of existing Partners resulting from the admission of a new Partner) shall be allocated in accordance with Section 4.3 (d).
3.4. Special Allocations. If the requisite stated conditions or facts are present, the following special allocations shall be made in the following order:
(a) Partnership Minimum Gain Chargeback. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Article 3, if there is a net decrease in Partnership Minimum Gain during any taxable year or other period for which allocations are made, prior to any other allocation under this Agreement, each Partner shall be specially allocated items of Partnership income and gain for that period (and, if necessary, subsequent periods) in proportion to, and to the extent of, an amount equal to that Partner's share of the net decrease in Partnership Minimum Gain during that year determined in accordance with Treasury Regulations Section $1.704-2(\mathrm{~g})(2)$. The items to be allocated shall be determined in accordance with Treasury Regulations Section $1.704-2(\mathrm{~g})$. This Section $3.4(\mathrm{a})$ is intended to comply with the partnership minimum gain chargeback requirements of the Treasury Regulations and shall be subject to all exceptions provided therein.
(b) Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain Chargeback. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Article 3 (other than Section 3.4(a)), if there is a net decrease in Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain with respect to a Partner Nonrecourse Debt during any taxable year or other period for which allocations are made, any Partner with a share of such Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain as of the beginning of the year shall be specially allocated items of Partnership income and gain for that period (and, if necessary, subsequent periods in an amount equal to that Partner's share of the net decrease in the Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain during that year determined in accordance with Treasury Regulations Section $1.704-2(\mathrm{~g})(2)$. The items to be so allocated shall be determined in accordance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(g). This Section 3.4(b) is intended to comply with the partner nonrecourse debt minimum gain chargeback requirements of the Treasury Regulations, shall be interpreted consistently with the Treasury Regulations and shall be subject to all exceptions provided therein.
(c) Qualified Income Offset. If a Partner unexpectedly receives any adjustments, allocations or distributions described in Treasury Regulations Sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(4), (d)(5) or $(d)(6)$, then items of Partnership income and gain shall be specially allocated to each such Partner in an amount and manner sufficient to eliminate, to the extent required by the Treasury Regulations, the Adjusted Capital Account Deficit of the Partner as quickly as possible; provided, however, an allocation pursuant to this Section 3.4(c) shall be made if and only to the extent that the Partner would have an Adjusted Capital Account Deficit after all other allocations provided for in this Article 3 have been tentatively made without considering this Section 3.4(c).
(d) Gross Income Allocation. If a Partner has a deficit Capital Account at the end of any Fiscal Year of the Partnership that exceeds the sum of (i) the amount the Partner is obligated to restore, and (ii) the amount the Partner is deemed to be obligated to restore pursuant to the penultimate sentences of Treasury Regulations Sections 1.704-2(g)(1) and 1.704-2(i)(5), then each such Partner shall be specially allocated items of income and gain of the Partnership in the amount of the excess as quickly as possible; provided, however, an allocation pursuant to this Section 3.4(d) shall be made if and only to
the extent that the Partner would have a deficit Capital Account in excess of that sum after all other allocations provided for in this Article 3 have been tentatively made without considering Section 3.4(c) or $3.4(\mathrm{~d})$.
(e) Nonrecourse Deductions. Nonrecourse Deductions for any taxable year or other period for which allocations are made shall he allocated among the Partners in accordance with their Percentage interests.
(f) Partner Nonrecourse Deductions. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, any Partner Nonrecourse Deductions for any taxable year or other period for which allocations are made will be allocated to the Partner who bears the economic risk of loss with respect to the Partner Nonrecourse Debt to which the Partner Nonrecourse Deductions are attributable in accordance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(i).
(g) Section 754 Adjustments. To the extent an adjustment to the adjusted tax basis of any asset of the Partnership under Code Section 734(b) or Code Section 743(b) is required, pursuant to Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(m), to be taken into account in determining Capital Accounts, the amount of the adjustment to the Capital Accounts shall be treated as an item of gain (if the adjustment increases the basis of the asset) or loss (if the adjustment decreases the basis of the asset) and that gain or loss shall be specially allocated to the Partners in a manner consistent with the manner in which their Capital Accounts are required to be adjusted pursuant to that Section of the Treasury Regulations.
(h) Section 481 Adjustments. Any allocable items of income, gain, expense, deduction or credit required to be made by Section 481 of the Code as the result of the sale, transfer, exchange or issuance of a Partnership Interest will be specially allocated to the Partner receiving said Partnership Interest whether such items are positive or negative in amount.
3.5. Curative Allocations. The "Basic Regulatory Allocations" consist of (i) the allocations pursuant to Section 3.2 (c), and (ii) the allocations pursuant to Sections 3.4. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, the Basic Regulatory Allocations shall be taken into account in allocating items of income, gain, loss and deduction among the Partners so that, to the extent possible, the net amount of the allocations of other items and the Basic Regulatory Allocations to each Partner shall be equal to the net amount that would have been allocated to each such Partner if the Basic Regulatory Allocations had not occurred. For purposes of applying the foregoing sentence, allocations pursuant to this Section 3.5 shall be made with respect to allocations pursuant to Section 3.4 (g) and (h) only to the extent that it is reasonably determined that those allocations will otherwise be inconsistent with the economic agreement among the Partners. To the extent that a special allocation under Section 3.4 is determined not to comply with applicable Treasury Regulations, then the Partners intend that the items shall be allocated in accordance with the Partners' varying Percentage Interests throughout each tax year during which such items are recognized for tax purposes.
3.6. Code Section 704(c) Allocations. In accordance with Code Section 704(c) and the Treasury Regulations thereunder, income, gain, loss and deduction with respect to property contributed to the capital of the Partnership shall, solely for tax purposes, be allocated among the Partners so as to take account of any variation at the time of the contribution between the tax basis of the property to the Partnership and the fair market value of that property. Except as otherwise provided herein, any elections or other decisions relating to those allocations shall be made by the General Partner in any manner that reasonably reflects the purpose and intent of this Agreement. Allocations of income, gain, loss and deduction pursuant to this Section 3.6 are solely for purposes of federal, state and local taxes and shall not affect, or in any way be taken into account in computing, the Capital Account of any Partner or the share
of Profits, Losses, other tax items or distributions of any Partner pursuant to any provision of this Agreement.

### 3.7. Capital Accounts.

(a) Maintenance of Capital Accounts. The Partnership shall establish and maintain a separate capital account ("Capital Account") for each Partner in accordance with the rules of Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv), subject to and in accordance with the provisions set forth in this Section 3.7.
(i) The Capital Account balance of each Partner shall be credited (increased) by (A) the amount of cash contributed by that Partner to the capital of the Partnership, (B) the fair market value of property contributed by that Partner to the capital of the Partnership (net of liabilities secured by that contributed property that the Partnership assumes or takes subject to under Code Section 752), and (C) that Partner's allocable share of Profits and any items in the nature of income or gain which are specially allocated pursuant to Sections 3.4 and 3.5; and
(ii) The Capital Account balance of each Partner shall be debited (decreased) by (A) the amount of cash distributed to that Partner by the Partnership, (B) the fair market value of property distributed to that Partner by the Partnership (net of liabilities secured by that distributed property that such Partner assumes or takes subject to under Code Section 752), (C) that Partner's allocable share of expenditures of the Partnership described in Code Section 705(a)(2)(B), and (D) that Partner's allocable share of Losses and any items in the nature of expenses or losses which are specially allocated pursuant to Sections 3.2, 3.4 and 3.5.

The provisions of this Section 3.7 and the other provisions of this Agreement relating to the maintenance of Capital Accounts have been included in this Agreement to comply with Code Section 704(b) and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder and will be interpreted and applied in a manner consistent with those provisions. The General Partner may modify the manner in which the Capital Accounts are maintained under this Section 3.7 in order to comply with those provisions, as well as upon the occurrence of events that might otherwise cause this Agreement not to comply with those provisions.
(b) Negative Capital Accounts. If any Partner has a deficit balance in its Capital Account, that Partner shall have no obligation to restore that negative balance or to make any Capital Contribution by reason thereof, and that negative balance shall not be considered an asset of the Partnership or of any Partner.
(c) Interest. No interest shall be paid by the Partnership on Capital Contributions or on balances in Capital Accounts.
(d) No Withdrawal. No Partner shall be entitled to withdraw any part of his/her/its Capital Contribution or his/her/its Capital Account or to receive any distribution from the Partnership, except as provided in Section 3.9 and Article 5.
(e) Loans From Partners. Loans by a Partner to the Partnership shall not be considered Capital Contributions.
(f) Revaluations. The Capital Accounts of the Partners shall not be "booked-up" or "booked-down" to their fair market values under Treasury Regulations Section 1.704(c)-1(b)(2)(iv)(f) or otherwise.
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3.8. Distributive Share for Tax Purpose. All items of income, deduction, gain, loss or credit that are recognized for federal income tax purposes will be allocated among the Partners in accordance with the allocations of Profits and Losses hereunder as determined by the General Partner in its sole and unfettered discretion. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the General Partner may (i) as to each New Issue, specially allocate to the Partners who were allocated New Issue Profit from that New Issue any short-term capital gains realized during the Fiscal Year upon the disposition of such New Issue during that Fiscal Year, and (ii) specially allocate items of gain (or loss) to Partners who withdraw capital during any Fiscal Year in a manner designed to ensure that each withdrawing Partner is allocated gain (or loss) in an amount equal to the difference between that Partner's Capital Account balance (or portion thereof being withdrawn) at the time of the withdrawal and the tax basis for his/her/ its Partnership Interest at that time (or proportionate amount thereof); provided, however, that the General Partner may, without the consent of any other Partner, (a) alter the allocation of any item of taxable income, gain, loss, deduction or credit in any specific instance where the General Partner, in its sole and unfettered discretion, determines such alteration to be necessary or appropriate to avoid a materially inequitable result (e.g, where the allocation would create an inappropriate tax liability); and/or (b) adopt whatever other method of allocating tax items as the General Partner determines is necessary or appropriate in order to be consistent with the spirit and intent of the Treasury Regulations under Code Sections 704(b) and 704(c).

### 3.9. Distributions.

(a) General. The General Partner may make such pro rata or non-pro rata distributions as it may determine in its sole and unfettered discretion, without being limited to current or accumulated income or gains, but no such distribution shall be made out of funds required to make current payments on Partnership indebtedness; provided, however, that the General Partner may not make non-pro rata distributions under this Section 3.9(a) during an NAV Ratio Trigger Period without the consent of the Class B Limited Partner (in the case of a Class B NAV Ratio Trigger Period) and/or the Class C Limited Partner (in the case of a Class C NAV Ratio Trigger Period); provided, further this provision should not be interpreted to limit in any way the General Partner's ability to make non-pro rata tax distributions under Section 3.9(c) and Section 3.9(f). The Partnership has entered into one or more credit facilities with financial institutions that may limit the amount and timing of distributions to the Partners. Thus, the Partners acknowledge that distributions from the Partnership may be limited. Any distributions made to the Class B Limited Partner or the Class C Limited Partner pursuant to Section 3.9(b) shall reduce distributions otherwise allocable to such Partners under this Section 3.9(a) until such aggregate reductions are equal to the aggregate distributions made to the Class B Partners and the Class C Partners under Section 3.9(b).
(b) Priority Distributions. Prior to the distribution of any amounts to Partners pursuant to Section 3.9(a), and notwithstanding any other provision in this Agreement to the contrary, the Partnership shall make the following distributions ("Priority Distributions") pro-rata among the Class B Limited Partner and the Class C Limited Partner in accordance with their relative Percentage Interests:
(i) No later than March $31^{\text {st }}$ of each calendar year, commencing March 31, 2017, an amount equal to $\$ 1,600,000.00$;
(ii) No later than March $31^{\text {st }}$ of each year, commencing March 31, 2017, an amount equal to three percent ( $3 \%$ ) of the Partnership's investment gain for the prior year, as reflected in the Partnership's books and records within ledger account number 90100 plus three percent ( $3 \%$ ) of the gross realized investment gains for the prior year of Highland Select Equity Fund, as reflected in its books and records;
(iii) No later than March $31^{\text {st }}$ of each year, commencing March 31, 2017, an amount equal to ten percent ( $10 \%$ ) of the Partnership's Operating Cash Flow for the prior year; and
(iv) No later than December $24^{\text {th }}$ of each year, commencing December 24 , 2016, an amount equal to the aggregate annual principal and interest payments on the Purchase Notes for the then current year.
(c) Tax Distributions. The General Partner may, in its sole discretion, declare and make cash distributions pursuant hereto to the Partners to allow the federal and state income tax attributable to the Partnership's taxable income that is passed through the Partnership to the Partners to be paid by such Partners (a "Tax Distribution"). The General Partner may, in its discretion, make Tax Distributions to the Founding Partner Group without also making Tax Distributions to other Partners; provided, however, that if the General Partner makes Tax Distributions to the Founding Partner Group, Tax Distributions must also be made the Class B Limited Partner to the extent the Class B Limited Partner provides the Partnership with documentation showing it is subject to an entity-level federal income tax obligation. Notwithstanding anything else in this Agreement, the General Partner may declare and pay Tax Distributions even if such Tax Distributions cause the Partnership to be unable to make Priority Distributions under Section 3.9(b).
(d) Payments Not Deemed Distributions. Any amounts paid pursuant to Sections 4.1 (e) or 4.1(h) shall not be deemed to be distributions for purposes of this Agreement.
(e) Withheld Amounts. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 3.9 to the contrary, each Partner hereby authorizes the Partnership to withhold and to pay over, or otherwise pay, any withholding or other taxes payable by the Partnership with respect to that Partner as a result of that Partner's participation in the Partnership. If and to the extent that the Partnership shall be required to withhold or pay any such taxes, that Partner shall be deemed for all purposes of this Agreement to have received a payment from the Partnership as of the time that withholding or tax is paid, which payment shall be deemed to be a distribution with respect to that Partner's Partnership Interest to the extent that the Partner (or any successor to that Partner's Partnership Interest) is then entitled to receive a distribution. To the extent that the aggregate of such payments to a Partner for any period exceeds the distributions to which that Partner is entitled for that period, the amount of such excess shall be considered a loan from the Partnership to that Partner. Such loan shall bear interest (which interest shall be treated as an item of income to the Partnership) at the "Applicable Federal Rate" (as defined in the Code), as determined hereunder from time to time, until discharged by that Partner by repayment, which may be made in the sole and unfettered discretion of the General Partner out of distributions to which that Partner would otherwise be subsequently entitled. Any withholdings authorized by this Section 3.9 (d) shall be made at the maximum applicable statutory rate under the applicable tax law unless the General Partner shall have received an opinion of counsel or other evidence satisfactory to the General Partner to the effect that a lower rate is applicable, or that no withholding is applicable.
(f) Special Tax Distributions. The Partnership shall, upon request of such Founding Partner, make distributions to the Founding Partners (or loans, at the election of the General Partner) in an amount necessary for each of them to pay their respective federal income tax obligations incurred through the effective date of the Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Highland Capital Management, L.P., the predecessor to this Agreement.
(g) Tolling of Priority Distributions. In the event of a "Honis Trigger Event," as defined in the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement, the Partnership shall not make any distributions, including priority distributions under Section 3.9(b), to the Class B Limited Partner or the Class C Limited Partner until such time as a replacement trust administrator, manager and general partner,
as applicable, acceptable to the Partnership in its sole discretion, as indicated by an affirmative vote of consent by a Majority Interest, shall be appointed to the Class B Limited Partner/Class C Limited Partner and any of its direct or indirect owners that have governing documents directly affected by a Honis Trigger Event.

### 3.10. Compensation and Reimbursement of General Partner.

(a) Compensation. The General Partner and any Affiliate of the General Partner shall receive no compensation from the Partnership for services rendered pursuant to this Agreement or any other agreements unless approved by a Majority Interest; provided, however, that no compensation above five million dollars per year may be approved, even by a Majority Interest, during a NAV Ratio Trigger Period.
(b) Reimbursement for Expenses. In addition to amounts paid under other Sections of this Agreement, the General Partner and its Affiliates shall be reimbursed for all expenses, disbursements, and advances incurred or made, and all fees, deposits, and other sums paid in connection with the organization and operation of the Partnership, the qualification of the Partnership to do business, and all related matters.

### 3.11. Books, Records, Accounting, and Reports.

(a) Records and Accounting. The General Partner shall keep or cause to be kept appropriate books and records with respect to the Partnership's business, which shall at all times be kept at the principal office of the Partnership or such other office as the General Partner may designate for such purpose. The books of the Partnership shall be maintained for financial reporting purposes on the accrual basis or on a cash basis, as the General Partner shall determine in its sole and unfettered discretion, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and applicable law. Upon reasonable request, the Class B Limited Partner or the Class C Limited Partner may inspect the books and records of the Partnership.
(b) Fiscal Year. The fiscal year of the Partnership shall be the calendar year unless otherwise determined by the General Partner in its sole and unfettered discretion.
(c) Other Information. The General Partner may release information concerning the operations of the Partnership to any financial institution or other Person that has loaned or may loan funds to the Partnership or the General Partner or any of its Affiliates, and may release such information to any other Person for reasons reasonably related to the business and operations of the Partnership or as required by law or regulation of any regulatory body.
(d) Distribution Reporting to Class B Limited Partner and Class C Limited Partner. Upon request, the Partnership shall provide the Class B Limited Partner and/or the Class C Limited Partner information on any non-pro rata distributions made under Section 3.9 to Partners other than the Partner requesting the information.

### 3.12. Tax Matters.

(a) Tax Returns. The General Partner shall arrange for the preparation and timely filing of all returns of Partnership income, gain, loss, deduction, credit and other items necessary for federal, state and local income tax purposes. The General Partner shall deliver to each Partner as copy of his/her/its IRS Form K-1 as soon as practicable after the end of the Fiscal Year, but in no event later than October 1. The classification, realization, and recognition of income, gain, loss, deduction, credit and
other items shall be on the cash or accrual method of accounting for federal income tax purposes, as the General Partner shall determine in its sole and unfettered discretion. The General Partner in its sole and unfettered discretion may pay state and local income taxes attributable to operations of the Partnership and treat such taxes as an expense of the Partnership.
(b) Tax Elections. Except as otherwise provided herein, the General Partner shall, in its sole and unfettered discretion, determine whether to make any available tax election.
(c) Tax Controversies. Subject to the provisions hereof, the General Partner is designated the Tax Matters Partner (as defined in Code Section 6231), and is authorized and required to represent the Partnership, at the Partnership's expense, in connection with all examinations of the Partnership's affairs by tax authorities, including resulting administrative and judicial proceedings, and to expend Partnership funds for professional services and costs associated therewith. Each Partner agrees to cooperate with the General Partner in connection with such proceedings.
(d) Taxation as a Partnership. No election shall be made by the Partnership or any Partner for the Partnership to be excluded from the application of any of the provisions of Subchapter K, Chapter I of Subtitle A of the Code or from any similar provisions of any state tax laws.

## ARTICLE 4

## RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF PARTNERS

4.1. Rights and Obligations of the General Partner. In addition to the rights and obligations set forth elsewhere in this Agreement, the General Partner shall have the following rights and obligations:
(a) Management. The General Partner shall conduct, direct, and exercise full control of over all activities of the Partnership. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement, all management powers over the business and affairs of the Partnership shall be exclusively vested in the General Partner, and Limited Partners shall have no right of control over the business and affairs of the Partnership. In addition to the powers now or hereafter granted to a general partner of a limited partnership under applicable law or that are granted to the General Partner under any provision of this Agreement, the General Partner shall have full power and authority to do all things deemed necessary or desirable by it to conduct the business of the Partnership, including, without limitation: (i) the determination of the activities in which the Partnership will participate; (ii) the performance of any and all acts necessary or appropriate to the operation of any business of the Partnership (including, without limitation, purchasing and selling any asset, any debt instruments, any equity interests, any commercial paper, any note receivables and any other obligations); (iii) the procuring and maintaining of such insurance as may be available in such amounts and covering such risks as are deemed appropriate by the General Partner; (iv) the acquisition, disposition, sale, mortgage, pledge, encumbrance, hyphothecation, of exchange of any or all of the assets of the Partnership; (v) the execution and delivery on behalf of, and in the name of the Partnership, deeds, deeds of trust, notes, leases, subleases, mortgages, bills of sale and any and all other contracts or instruments necessary or incidental to the conduct of the Partnership's business; (vi) the making of any expenditures, the borrowing of money, the guaranteeing of indebtedness and other liabilities, the issuance of evidences of indebtedness, and the incurrence of any obligations it deems necessary or advisable for the conduct of the activities of the Partnership, including, without limitation, the payment of compensation and reimbursement to the General Partner and its Affiliates pursuant to Section 3.10; (vii) the use of the assets of the Partnership (including, without limitation, cash on hand) for any Partnership purpose on any terms it sees fit, including, without limitation, the financing of operations of the Partnership, the lending of funds to other Persons, and the repayment of obligations
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of the Partnership; (viii) the negotiation, execution, and performance of any contracts that it considers desirable, useful, or necessary to the conduct of the business or operations of the Partnership or the implementation of the General Partner's powers under this Agreement; (ix) the distribution of Partnership cash or other assets; (x) the selection, hiring and dismissal of employees, attorneys, accountants, consultants, contractors, agents and representatives and the determination of their compensation and other teens of employment or hiring; (xi) the formation of any further limited or general partnerships, joint ventures, or other relationships that it deems desirable and the contribution to such partnerships, ventures, or relationships of assets and properties of the Partnership; and (xii) the control of any matters affecting the rights and obligations of the Partnership, including, without limitation, the conduct of any litigation, the incurring of legal expenses, and the settlement of claims and suits.
(b) Certificate of Limited Partnership. The General Partner caused the Certificate of Limited Partnership of the Partnership to be filed with the Secretary of State of Delaware as required by the Delaware Act and shall cause to be filed such other certificates or documents (including, without limitation, copies, amendments, or restatements of this Agreement) as may be determined by the General Partner to be reasonable and necessary or appropriate for the formation, qualification, or registration and operation of a limited partnership (or a partnership in which Limited Partners have limited liability) in the State of Delaware and in any other state where the Partnership may elect to do business.
(c) Reliance by Third Parties. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement to the contrary, no lender or purchaser or other Person, including any purchaser of property from the Partnership or any other Person dealing with the Partnership, shall be required to verify any representation by the General Partner as to its authority to encumber, sell, or otherwise use any assess or properties of the Partnership, and any such lender, purchaser, or other Person shall be entitled to rely exclusively on such representations and shall be entitled to deal with the General Partner as if it were the sole party in interest therein, both legally and beneficially. Each Limited Partner hereby waives any and all defenses or other remedies that may be available against any such lender, purchaser, or other Person to contest, negate, or disaffirm any action of the General Partner in connection with any such sale or financing. In no event shall any Person dealing with the General Partner or the General Partner's representative with respect to any business or property of the Partnership be obligated to ascertain that the terms of this Agreement have been complied with, and each such Person shall be entitled to rely on the assumptions that the Partnership has been duly formed and is validly in existence. In no event shall any such Person be obligated to inquire into the necessity or expedience of any act or action of the General Partner or the General Partner's representative, and every contract, agreement, deed, mortgage, security agreement, promissory note, or other instrument or document executed by the General Partner or the General Partner's representative with respect to any business or property of the Partnership shall be conclusive evidence in favor of any and every Person relying thereon or claiming thereunder that (i), at the time of the execution and delivery thereof, this Agreement was in full force and effect; (ii) such instrument or document was duly executed in accordance with the terms and provisions of this Agreement and is binding upon the Partnership; and (iii) the General Partner or the General Partner's representative was duly authorized and empowered to execute and deliver any and every such instrument or document for and on behalf of the Partnership.
(d) Partnership Funds. The funds of the Partnership shall be deposited in such account or accounts as are designated by the General Partner. The General Partner may, in its sole and unfettered discretion, deposit funds of the Partnership in a central disbursing account maintained by or in the name of the General Partner, the Partnership, or any other Person into which funds of the General Partner, the Partnership, on other Persons are also deposited; provided, however, at all times books of account are maintained that show the amount of funds of the Partnership on deposit in such account and interest accrued with respect to such funds as credited to the Partnership. The General Partner may use the funds of the Partnership as compensating balances for its benefit; provided, however, such funds do
not directly or indirectly secure, and are not otherwise at risk on account of, any indebtedness or other obligation of the General Partner or any director, officer, employee, agent, representative, or Affiliate thereof. Nothing in this Section 4.1 (d) shall be deemed to prohibit or limit in any manner the right of the Partnership to lend funds to the General Partner or any Affiliate thereof pursuant to Section 4.1(e)(i). All withdrawals from or charges against such accounts shall be made by the General Partner or by its representatives. Funds of the Partnership may be invested as determined by the General Partner in accordance with the terms and provisions of this Agreement.

## (e) Loans to or from General Partner: Contracts with Affiliates; Joint Ventures.

(i) The General Partner or any Affiliate of the General Partner may lend to the Partnership funds needed by the Partnership for such periods of time as the General Partner may determine; provided, however, the General Partner or its Affiliate may not charge the Partnership interest at a rate greater than the rate (including points or other financing charges or fees) that would be charged the Partnership (without reference to the General Partner's financial abilities or guaranties) by unrelated lenders on comparable loans. The Partnership shall reimburse the General Partner or its Affiliate, as the case may be, for any costs incurred by the General Partner or that Affiliate in connection with the borrowing of funds obtained by the General Partner or that Affiliate and loaned to the Partnership. The Partnership may loan funds to the General Partner and any member of the Founding Partner Group at the General Partner's sole and exclusive discretion.
(ii) The General Partner or any of its Affiliates may enter into an agreement with the Partnership to render services, including management services, for the Partnership. Any service rendered for the Partnership by the General Partner or any Affiliate thereof shall be on terms that are fair and reasonable to the Partnership.
(iii) The Partnership may Transfer any assets to joint ventures or other partnerships in which it is or thereby becomes a participant upon terms and subject to such conditions consistent with applicable law as the General Partner deems appropriate; provided, however, that the Partnership may not transfer any asset to the General Partner or one of its Affiliates during any NAV Ratio Trigger Period for consideration less than such asset's fair market value.
(f) Outside Activities' Conflicts of Interest. The General Partner or any Affiliate thereof and any director, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the General Partner or any Affiliate thereof shall be entitled to and may have business interests and engage in business activities in addition to those relating to the Partnership, including, without limitation, business interests and activities in direct competition with the Partnership. Neither the Partnership nor any of the Partners shall have any rights by virtue of this Agreement or the partnership relationship created hereby in any business ventures of the General Partner, any Affiliate thereof, or any director, officer, employee, agent, or representative of either the General Partner or any Affiliate thereof.
(g) Resolution of Conflicts of Interest. Unless otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement or any other agreement contemplated herein, whenever a conflict of interest exists or arises between the General Partner or any of its Affiliates, on the one hand, and the Partnership or any Limited Partner, on the other hand, any action taken by the General Partner, in the absence of bad faith by the General Partner, shall not constitute a breach of this Agreement or any other agreement contemplated herein or a breach of any standard of care or duty imposed herein or therein or under the Delaware Act or any other applicable law, rule, or regulation.
(h) Indemnification. The Partnership shall indemnify and hold harmless the General Partner and any director, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the General Partner (collectively,
the "GP Party"), against all liabilities, losses, and damages incurred by any of them by reason of any act performed or omitted to be performed in the name of or on behalf of the Partnership, or in connection with the Partnership's business, including, without limitation, attorneys' fees and any amounts expended in the settlement of any claims or liabilities, losses, or damages, to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware Act; provided, however, the Partnership shall have no obligation to indemnify and hold harmless a GP Party for any action or inaction that constitutes gross negligence or willful or wanton misconduct. The Partnership, in the sole and unfettered discretion of the General Partner, may indemnify and hold harmless any Limited Partner, employee, agent, or representative of the Partnership, any Person who is or was serving at the request of the Partnership acting through the General Partner as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, agent, or representative of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust, or other enterprise, and any other Person to the extent determined by the General Partner in its sole and unfettered discretion, but in no event shall such indemnification exceed the indemnification permitted by the Delaware Act. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Section 4.1 (h) or elsewhere in this Agreement, no amendment to the Delaware Act after the date of this Agreement shall reduce or limit in any manner the indemnification provided for or permitted by this Section $4.1(\mathrm{~h})$ unless such reduction or limitation is mandated by such amendment for limited partnerships formed prior to the enactment of such amendment. In no event shall Limited Partners be subject to personal liability by reason of the indemnification provisions of this Agreement.
(i) Liability of General Partner.
(i) Neither the General Partner nor its directors, officers, employees, agents, or representatives shall be liable to the Partnership or any Limited Partner for errors in judgment or for any acts or omissions that do not constitute gross negligence or willful or wanton misconduct.
(ii) The General Partner may exercise any of the powers granted to it by this Agreement and perform any of the duties imposed upon it hereunder either directly or by or through its directors, officers, employees, agents, or representatives, and the General Partner shall not be responsible for any misconduct or negligence on the part of any agent or representative appointed by the General Partner.

## (j) Reliance by General Partner.

(i) The General Partner may rely and shall be protected in acting or refraining from acting upon any resolution, certificate, statement, instrument, opinion, report, notice, request, consent, order, bond, debenture, or other paper or document believed by it to be genuine and to have been signed or presented by the proper party or parties.
(ii) The General Partner may consult with legal counsel, accountants, appraisers, management consultants, investment bankers, and other consultants and advisers selected by it, and any opinion of any such Person as to matters which the General Partner believes to be within such Person's professional or expert competence shall be full and complete authorization and protection in respect of any action taken or suffered or omitted by the General Partner hereunder in good faith and in accordance with such opinion.
(k) The General Partner may, from time to time, designate one or more Persons to be officers of the Partnership. No officer need be a Partner. Any officers so designated shall have such authority and perform such duties as the General Partner may, from time to time, delegate to them. The General Partner may assign titles to particular officers, including, without limitation, president, vice president, secretary, assistant secretary, treasurer and assistant treasurer. Each officer shall hold office until such Person's successor shall be duly designated and shall qualify or until such Person's death or
until such Person shall resign or shall have been removed in the manner hereinafter provided. Any number of offices may be held by the same Person. The salaries or other compensation, if any, of the officers and agents of the Partnership shall be fixed from time to time by the General Partner. Any officer may be removed as such, either with or without cause, by the General Partner whenever in the General Partner's judgment the best interests of the Partnership will be served thereby. Any vacancy occurring in any office of the Partnership may be filled by the General Partner.
4.2. Rights and Obligations of Limited Partners. In addition to the rights and obligations of Limited Partners set forth elsewhere in this Agreement, Limited Partners shall have the following rights and obligations:
(a) Limitation of Liability. Limited Partners shall have no liability under this Agreement except as provided herein or under the Delaware Act.
(b) Management of Business. No Limited Partner shall take part in the control (within the meaning of the Delaware Act) of the Partnership's business, transact any business in the Partnership's name, or have the power to sign documents for or otherwise bind the Partnership other than as specifically set forth in this Agreement.
(c) Return of Capital. No Limited Partner shall be entitled to the withdrawal or return of its Capital Contribution except to the extent, if any, that distributions made pursuant to this Agreement or upon termination of the Partnership may be considered as such by law and then only to the extent provided for in this Agreement.
(d) Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement. Each Limited Partner shall comply with the terms and conditions of the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement.
(e) Default on Priority Distributions. If the Partnership fails to timely pay Priority Distributions pursuant to Section $3.9(b)$, and the Partnership does not subsequently make such Priority Distribution within ninety days of its due date, the Class B Limited Partner or the Class C Limited Partner may require the Partnership to liquidate publicly traded securities held by the Partnership or Highland Select Equity Master Fund, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership controlled by the Partnership; provided, however, that the General Partner may in its sole discretion elect instead to liquidate other non-publicly traded securities owned by the Partnership in order to satisfy the Partnership's obligations under Section 3.9 (b) and this Section 4.2(e). In either case, Affiliates of the General Partner shall have the right of first offer to purchase any securities liquidated under this Section 4.2(e).

### 4.3. Transfer of Partnership Interests.

(a) Transfer. No Partnership Interest shall be Transferred, in whole or in part, except in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in this Section 4.3 and the Second Amended BuySell and Redemption Agreement. Any Transfer or purported Transfer of any Partnership Interest not made in accordance with this Section 4.3 and the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement shall be null and void. An alleged transferee shall have no right to require any information or account of the Partnership's transactions or to inspect the Partnership's books. The Partnership shall be entitled to treat the alleged transferor of a Partnership Interest as the absolute owner thereof in all respects, and shall incur no liability to any alleged transferee for distributions to the Partner owning that Partnership Interest of record or for allocations of Profits, Losses, deductions or credits or for transmittal of reports and notices required to be given to holders of Partnership Interests.
(b) Transfers by General Partner. The General Partner may Transfer all, but not less than all, of its Partnership Interest to any Person only with the approval of a Majority Interest; provided, however, that the General Partner may not Transfer its Partnership Interest during any NAV Ratio Trigger Period except to the extent such Transfers are for estate planning purposes or resulting from the death of the individual owner of the General Partner. Any Transfer by the General Partner of its Partnership Interest under this Section $4.3(b)$ to an Affiliate of the General Partner or any other Person shall not constitute a withdrawal of the General Partner under Section 4.5(a), Section 5.1 (b), or any other provision of this Agreement. If any such Transfer is deemed to constitute a withdrawal under such provisions or otherwise and results in the dissolution of the Partnership under this Agreement or the laws of any jurisdiction to which the Partnership of this Agreement is subject, the Partners hereby unanimously consent to the reconstitution and continuation of the Partnership immediately following such dissolution, pursuant to Section 5.2.
(c) Transfers by Limited Partners. The Partnership Interest of a Limited Partner may not be Transferred without the consent of the General Partner (which consent may be withheld in the sole and unfettered discretion of the General Partner), and in accordance with the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement.
(d) Distributions and Allocations in Respect of Transferred Partnership Interests. If any Partnership Interest is Transferred during any Fiscal Year in compliance with the provisions of Article 4 and the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement, Profits, Losses, and all other items attributable to the transferred interest for that period shall be divided and allocated between the transferor and the transferee by taking into account their varying interests during the period in accordance with Code Section 706(d), using any conventions permitted by law and selected by the General Partner; provided that no allocations shall be made under this Section 4.3 (d) that would affect any special allocations made under Section 3.4. All distributions declared on or before the date of that Transfer shall be made to the transferor. Solely for purposes of making such allocations and distributions, the Partnership shall recognize that Transfer not later than the end of the calendar month during which it is given notice of that Transfer; provided, however, if the Partnership does not receive a notice stating the date that Partnership Interest was Transferred and such other information as the General Partner may reasonably require within thirty (30) days after the end of the Fiscal Year during which the Transfer occurs, then all of such items shall be allocated, and all distributions shall be made, to the person who, according to the books and records of the Partnership, on the last day of the Fiscal Year during which the Transfer occurs, was the owner of the Partnership Interest. Neither the Partnership nor any Partner shall incur any liability for making allocations and distributions in accordance with the provisions of this Section 4.3(d), whether or not any Partner or the Partnership has knowledge of any Transfer of ownership of any Partnership Interest.
(e) Forfeiture of Partnership Interests Pursuant to the Contribution Note. In the event any Class B Limited Partnership Interests are forfeited in favor of the Partnership as a result of any default on the Contribution Note, the Capital Accounts and Percentage Interests associated with such Class B Limited Partnership Interests shall be allocated pro rata among the Class A Partners. The Priority Distributions in Section 3.9(b) made after the date of such forfeiture shall each be reduced by an amount equal to the ratio of the Percentage Interest associated with the Class B Limited Partnership Interest transferred pursuant to this Section 4.3(e) over the aggregate Percentage Interests of all Class B Limited Partnership Interests and Class C Limited Partnership Interests, calculated immediately prior to any forfeiture of such Class B Limited Partnership Interest.
(f) Transfers of Partnership Interests Pursuant to the Purchase Notes. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Agreement, the Partnership shall respect, and the General Partner hereby provides automatic consent for, any transfers (in whole or transfers of partial interests) of
the Class C Limited Partnership Interests, or a portion thereof, if such transfer occurs as a result of a default on the Purchase Notes. Upon the transfer of any Class C Limited Partnership Interest to any member of the Founding Partner Group (or their assigns), such Class C Limited Partnership Interest shall automatically convert to a Class A Partnership Interest. The Priority Distributions in Section 3.9(b) shall each be reduced by an amount equal to the ratio of the Percentage Interest associated with the transferred Class C Limited Partnership Interest over the aggregate Percentage Interests of all Class B Limited Partnership Interests and Class C Limited Partnership Interests, calculated immediately prior to any transfer of such Class C Limited Partnership Interest.

### 4.4. Issuances of Partnership Interests to New and Existing Partners.

(a) Issuance of Partnership Interests to New Limited Partners. The General Partner may admit one or more additional Persons as Limited Partners ("Additional Limited Partners") to the Partnership at such times and upon such terms as it deems appropriate in its sole and unfettered discretion; provided, however, that the General Partner may only admit additional Persons as Limited Partners in relation to the issuance of equity incentives to key employees of the Partnership; provided, further that the General Partner may not issue such equity incentives to the extent they entitle the holders, in the aggregate, to a Percentage Interest in excess of twenty percent without the consent of the Class B Limited Partner and the Class C Limited Partner. All Class A Limited Partners, the Class B Limited Partner and the Class C Limited Partner shall be diluted proportionately by the issuance of such limited partnership interests. No Person may be admitted to the Partnership as a Limited Partner until he/she/it executes an Addendum to this Agreement in the form attached as Exhibit B (which may be modified by the General Partner in its sole and unfettered discretion) and an addendum to the Second Amended BuySell and Redemption Agreement.
(b) Issuance of an Additional Partnership Interest to an Existing Partner. The General Partner may issue an additional Partnership Interest to any existing Partner at such times and upon such terms as it deems appropriate in its sole and unfettered discretion. Upon the issuance of an additional Partnership Interest to an existing Partner, the Percentage Interests of the members of the Founding Partner Group shall be diluted proportionately. Any additional Partnership Interest shall be subject to all the terms and conditions of this Agreement and the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement.

### 4.5. Withdrawal of General Partner

(a) Option. In the event of the withdrawal of the General Partner from the Partnership, the departing General Partner (the "Departing Partner") shall, at the option of its successor (if any) exercisable prior to the effective date of the departure of that Departing Partner, promptly receive from its successor in exchange for its Partnership Interest as the General Partner, an amount in cash equal to its Capital Account balance, determined as of the effective date of its departure.
(b) Conversion. If the successor to a Departing Partner does not exercise the option described in Section 4.5(a), the Partnership Interest of the Departing Partner as the General Partner of the Partnership shall be converted into a Partnership Interest as a Limited Partner.

### 4.6. Admission of Substitute Limited Partners and Successor General Partner.

(a) Admission of Substitute Limited Partners. A transferee (which may be the heir or legatee of a Limited Partner) or assignee of a Limited Partner's Partnership Interest shall be entitled to receive only the distributive share of the Partnership's Profits, Losses, deductions, and credits attributable to that Partnership Interest. To become a substitute Limited Partner (a "Substitute Limited Partner"),
that transferee or assignee shall (1) obtain the consent of the General Partner (which consent may be withheld in the sole and unfettered discretion of the General Partner), (ii) comply with all the requirements of this Agreement and the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement with respect to the Transfer of the Partnership Interest at issue, and (iii) execute an Addendum to this Agreement in the form attached as Exhibit B (which may be modified by the General Partner in its sole and unfettered discretion) and an addendum to the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement. Upon admission of a Substitute Limited Partner, that Limited Partner shall be subject to all of the restrictions applicable to, shall assume all of the obligations of, and shall attain the status of a Limited Partner under and pursuant to this Agreement with respect to the Partnership Interest held by that Limited Partner.
(b) Admission of Successor General Partner. A successor General Partner selected pursuant to Section 5.2 or the transferee of or successor to all of the Partnership Interest of the General Partner pursuant to Section 4.3 (b) shall be admitted to the Partnership as the General Partner, effective as of the date of the withdrawal or removal of the predecessor General Partner or the date of Transfer of that predecessor's Partnership Interest.
(c) Action by General Partner. In connection with the admission of any substitute Limited Partner or successor General Partner or any additional Limited Partner, the General Partner shall have the authority to take all such actions as it deems necessary or advisable in connection therewith, including the amendment of Exhibit A and the execution and filing with appropriate authorities of any necessary documentation.

## ARTICLE 5

## DISSOLUTION AND WINDING UP

5.1. Dissolution. The Partnership shall be dissolved upon:
(a) The withdrawal, bankruptcy, or dissolution of the General Partner, or any other event that results in its ceasing to be the General Partner (other than by reason of a Transfer pursuant to Section 4.3(b));
(b) An election to dissolve the Partnership by the General Partner that is approved by the affirmative vote of a Majority Interest; provided, however, the General Partner may dissolve the Partnership without the approval of the Limited Partners in order to comply with Section 14 of the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement; or
(c) Any other event that, under the Delaware Act, would cause its dissolution.

For purposes of this Section 5.1, the bankruptcy of the General Partner shall be deemed to have occurred when the General Partner: (i) makes a general assignment for the benefit of creditors; (ii) files a voluntary bankruptcy petition; (iii) becomes the subject of an order for relief or is declared insolvent in any federal or state bankruptcy or insolvency proceeding: (iv) files a petition or answer seeking a reorganization, arrangement, composition, readjustment, liquidation, dissolution, or similar relief under any law; (v) files an answer or other pleading admitting or failing to contest the material allegations of a petition filed against the General Partner in a proceeding of the type described in clauses (i) through (iv) of this paragraph; (vi) seeks, consents to, or acquiesces in the appointment of a trustee, receiver, or liquidator of the General Partner or of all or any substantial part of the General Partner's properties; or (vii) one hundred twenty (120) days expire after the date of the commencement of a proceeding against the General Partner seeking reorganization, arrangement, composition, readjustment, liquidation, dissolution, or
similar relief under any law if the proceeding has not been previously dismissed, or ninety (90) days expire after the date of the appointment, without the General Partner's consent or acquiescence, of a trustee, receiver, or liquidator of the General Partner or of all or any substantial part of the General Partner's properties if the appointment has not previously been vacated or stayed, or ninety (90) days expire after the date of expiration of a stay, if the appointment has not previously been vacated.
5.2. Continuation of the Partnership. Upon the occurrence of an event described in Section 5.1(a), the Partnership shall be deemed to be dissolved and reconstituted if a Majority Interest elect to contimue the Partnership within ninety (90) days of that event. If no election to continue the Partnership is made within ninety (90) days of that event, the Partnership shall conduct only activities necessary to wind up its affairs. If an election to continue the Partnership is made upon the occurrence of an event described in Section 5.1(a), then:
(a) Within that ninety (90)-day period a successor General Partner shall be selected by a Majority Interest;
(b) The Partnership shall be deemed to be reconstituted and shall continue until the end of the term for which it is formed unless earlier dissolved in accordance with this Article 5;
(c) The interest of the former General Partner shall be converted to an interest as a Limited Partner; and
(d) All necessary steps shall be taken to amend or restate this Agreement and the Certificate of Limited Partnership, and the successor General Partner may for this purpose amend this Agreement and the Certificate of Limited Partnership, as appropriate, without the consent of any Partner.
5.3. Liquidation. Upon dissolution of the Partnership, unless the Partnership is continued under Section 5.2, the General Partner or, in the event the General Partner has been dissolved, becomes bankrupt (as defined in Section 5.1), or withdraws from the Partnership, a liquidator or liquidating committee selected by a Majority Interest, shall be the Liquidator. The Liquidator (if other than the General Partner) shall be entitled to receive such compensation for its services as may be approved by a Majority Interest. The Liquidator shall agree not to resign at any time without fifteen (15) days' prior written notice and (if other than the General Partner) may be removed at any time, with or without cause, by notice of removal approved by a Majority Interest. Upon dissolution, removal, or resignation of the Liquidator, a successor and substitute Liquidator (who shall have and succeed to all rights, powers, and duties of the original Liquidator) shall within thirty (30) days thereafter be selected by a Majority Interest. The right to appoint a successor or substitute Liquidator in the manner provided herein shall be recurring and continuing for so long as the functions and services of the Liquidator are authorized to continue under the provisions hereof, and every reference herein to the Liquidator shall be deemed to refer also to any such successor or substitute Liquidator appointed in the manner provided herein. Except as expressly provided in this Article 5, the Liquidator appointed in the manner provided herein shall have and may exercise, without further authorization or consent of any of the parties hereto, all of the powers conferred upon the General Partner under the terms of this Agreement (but subject to all of the applicable limitations, contractual and otherwise, upon the exercise of such powers) to the extent necessary or desirable in the good faith judgment of the Liquidator to carry out the duties and functions of the Liquidator hereunder for and during such period of time as shall be reasonably required in the good faith judgment of the Liquidator to complete the winding up and liquidation of the Partnership as provided herein. The Liquidator shall liquidate the assets of the Partnership and apply and distribute the proceeds of such liquidation in the following order of priority, unless otherwise required by mandatory provisions of applicable law:
(a) To the payment of the expenses of the terminating transactions including, without limitation, brokerage commission, legal fees, accounting fees and closing costs;
(b) To the payment of creditors of the Partnership, including Partners, in order of priority provided by law;
(c) To the Partners and assignees to the extent of, and in proportion to, the positive balances in their respective Capital Accounts as provided in Treasury Regulations Section 1.704$1(\mathrm{~b})(2)(\mathrm{ii})(\mathrm{b})(2)$; provided, however, the Liquidator may place in escrow a reserve of cash or other assets of the Partnership for contingent liabilities in an amount determined by the Liquidator to be appropriate for such purposes; and
(d) To the Partners in proportion to their respective Percentage Interests.
5.4. Distribution in Kind. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 5.3 that require the liquidation of the assets of the Partnership, but subject to the order of priorities set forth therein, if on dissolution of the Partnership the Liquidator determines that an immediate sale of part or all of the Partnership's assets would be impractical or would cause undue loss to the Partners and assignees, the Liquidator may defer for a reasonable time the liquidation of any assets except those necessary to satisfy liabilities of the Partnership (other than those to Partners) and/or may distribute to the Partners and assignees, in lieu of cash, as tenants in common and in accordance with the provisions of Section 5.3, undivided interests in such Partnership assets as the Liquidator deems not suitable for liquidation. Any such distributions in kind shall be subject to such conditions relating to the disposition and management of such properties as the Liquidator deems reasonable and equitable and to any joint operating agreements or other agreements governing the operation of such properties at such time. The Liquidator shall determine the fair market value of any property distributed in kind using such reasonable method of valuation as it may adopt.
5.5. Cancellation of Certificate of Limited Partnership. Upon the completion of the distribution of Partnership property as provided in Sections 5.3 and 5.4, the Partnership shall be terminated, and the Liquidator (or the General Partner and Limited Partners if necessary) shall cause the cancellation of the Certificate of Limited Partnership in the State of Delaware and of all qualifications and registrations of the Partnership as a foreign limited partnership in jurisdictions other than the State of Delaware and shall take such other actions as may be necessary to terminate the Partnership.
5.6. Return of Capital. The General Partner shall not be personally liable for the return of the Capital Contributions of Limited Partners, or any portion thereof, it being expressly understood that any such return shall be made solely from Partnership assets.
5.7. Waiver of Partition. Each Partner hereby waives any rights to partition of the Partnership property.

## ARTICLE 6

## GENERAL PROVISIONS

6.1. Amendments to Agreement. The General Partner may amend this Agreement without the consent of any Partner if the General Partner reasonably determines that such amendment is necessary and appropriate; provided, however, any action taken by the General Partner shall be subject to its fiduciary duties to the Limited Partners under the Delaware Act; provided further that any amendments
that adversely affect the Class B Limited Partner or the Class C Limited Partner may only be made with the consent of such Partner adversely affected.
6.2. Addresses and Notices. Any notice, demand, request, or report required or permitted to be given or made to a Partner under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed given or made when delivered in person or when sent by United States registered or certified mail to the Partner at his/her/its address as shown on the records of the Partnership, regardless of any claim of any Person who may have an interest in any Partnership Interest by reason of an assignment or otherwise.
6.3. Titles and Captions. All article and section titles and captions in the Agreement are for convenience only, shall not be deemed part of this Agreement, and in no way shall define, limit, extend, or describe the scope or intent of any provisions hereof. Except as specifically provided otherwise, references to "Articles," "Sections" and "Exhibits" are to "Articles," "Sections" and "Exhibits" of this Agreement. All Exhibits hereto are incorporated herein by reference.
6.4. Pronouns and Plurals. Whenever the context may require, any pronoun used in this Agreement shall include the corresponding masculine, feminine, or neuter forms, and the singular form of nouns, pronouns, and verbs shall include the plural and vice versa.
6.5. Further Action. The parties shall execute all documents, provide all information, and take or refrain from taking all actions as may be necessary or appropriate to achieve the purposes of this Agreement.
6.6. Binding Effect. This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties hereto and their heirs, executors, administrators, successors, legal representatives, and permitted assigns.
6.7. Integration. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement among the parties hereto pertaining to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings pertaining thereto.
6.8. Creditors. None of the provisions of this Agreement shall be for the benefit of or enforceable by any creditors of the Partnership.
6.9. Waiver. No failure by any party to insist upon the strict performance of any covenant, duty, agreement, or condition of this Agreement or to exercise any right or remedy consequent upon a breach thereof shall constitute waiver of any such breach or any other covenant, duty, agreement, or condition.
6.10. Counterparts. This agreement may be executed in counterparts, all of which together shall constitute one agreement binding on all the parties hereto, notwithstanding that all such parties are not signatories to the original or the same counterpart.
6.11. Applicable Law. This Agreement shall be construed in accordance with and governed by the laws of the State of Delaware, without regard to the principles of conflicts of law.
6.12. Invalidity of Provisions. If any provision of this Agreement is declared or found to be illegal, unenforceable, or void, in whole or in part, then the parties shall be relieved of all obligations arising under that provision, but only to the extent that it is illegal, unenforceable, or void, it being the intent and agreement of the parties that this Agreement shall be deemed amended by modifying that provision to the extent necessary to make it legal and enforceable while preserving its intent or, if that is
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not possible, by substituting therefor another provision that is legal and enforceable and achieves the same objectives.
6.13. General Partner Discretion. Whenever the General Partner may use its sole discretion, the General Partner may consider any items it deems relevant, including its own interest and that of its affiliates.
6.14. Mandatory Arbitration. In the event there is an unresolved legal dispute between the parties and/or any of their respective officers, directors, partners, employees, agents, affiliates or other representatives that involves legal rights or remedies arising from this Agreement, the parties agree to submit their dispute to binding arbitration under the authority of the Federal Arbitration Act; provided, however, that the Partnership or such applicable affiliate thereof may pursue a temporary restraining order and /or preliminary injunctive relief in connection with any confidentiality covenants or agreements binding on the other party, with related expedited discovery for the parties, in a court of law, and thereafter, require arbitration of all issues of final relief. The arbitration will be conducted by the American Arbitration Association, or another mutually agreeable arbitration service. A panel of three arbitrators will preside over the arbitration and will together deliberate, decide and issue the final award. The arbitrators shall be duly licensed to practice law in the state of Texas. The discovery process shall be limited to the following: Each side shall be permitted no more than (i) two party depositions of six hours each, each deposition to be taken pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure; (ii) one non-party deposition of six hours; (iii) twenty-five interrogatories; (iv) twenty-five requests for admissions; (v) ten request for production (in response, the producing party shall not be obligated to produce in excess of 5,000 total pages of documents, including electronic documents); and (vi) one request for disclosure pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. Any discovery not specifically provided for in this paragraph, whether to parties or non-parties, shall not be permitted. The arbitrators shall be required to state in a written opinion all facts and conclusions of law relied upon to support any decision rendered. The arbitrators will not have the authority to render a decision that contains an outcome based on error of state or federal law or to fashion a cause of action or remedy not otherwise provided for under applicable state or federal law. Any dispute over whether the arbitrators have failed to comply with the foregoing will be resolved by summary judgment in a court of law. In all other respects, the arbitration process will be conducted in accordance with the American Arbitration Association's dispute resolution rules or other mutually agreeable arbitration services rules. All proceedings shall be conducted in Dallas, Texas or another mutually agreeable site. Each party shall bear its own attorneys fees, costs and expenses, including any costs of experts, witnesses and /or travel, subject to a final arbitration award on who should bear costs and fees. The duty to arbitrate described above shall survive the termination of this Agreement. Except as otherwise provided above, the parties hereby waive trial in a court of law or by jury. All other rights, remedies, statutes of limitation and defenses applicable to claims asserted in a court of law will apply in the arbitration.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have entered into this Agreement as of the date and year first written above.


By:
Name: Lawrence Tonomura
Its: Trustee


IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have entered into this Agreement as of the date and year first written above.


MARK K. OKADA

Mark K. Okada


## EXHIBIT A

## CLASS A PARTNERS

GENERAL PARTNER:
Strand Advisors
LIMITED PARTNERS:

| The Dugaboy Investment Trust | $74.4426 \%$ | $0.1866 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Mark K. Okada | $19.4268 \%$ | $0.0487 \%$ |
| The Mark and Pamela Okada Family Trust - Exempt Trust \#1 | $3.9013 \%$ | $0.0098 \%$ |
| The Mark and Pamela Okada Family Trust - Exempt Trust \#2 | $1.6720 \%$ | $0.0042 \%$ |
| Total Class A Percentage Interest | $100.0000 \%$ | $0.500 \%$ |

## CLASS B LIMITED PARTNERS

Hunter Mountain Investment Trust
$100.0000 \% \quad 55.0000 \%$

## CLASS C LIMITED PARTNERS

Hunter Mountain Investment Trust
100.0000\%
44.500\%

## PROFIT AND LOSS AMONG CLASSES

| Class A Partners | $0.5000 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Class B Partners | $55.0000 \%$ |
| Class C Partners | $44.5000 \%$ |

## EXHIBIT B

## ADDENDUM <br> TO THE <br> FOURTH AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP OF

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.
THIS ADDENDUM (this "Addendum") to that certain Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Highland Capital Management, L.P., dated December 24, 2015, to be effective as of December 24, 2015, as amended from time to time (the "Agreement"), is made and entered into as of the day of $\quad 20$, by and between Strand Advisors, Inc., as the sole General Partner (the "General Partner") of Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the "Partnership") and
$\qquad$ (" $\qquad$ ") (except as otherwise provided herein, all capitalized terms used herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Agreement).

## RECITALS:

WHEREAS, the General Partner, in its sole and unfettered discretion, and without the consent of any Limited Partner, has the authority under (i) Section 4.4 of the Agreement to admit Additional Limited Partners, (ii) Section 4.6 of the Agreement to admit Substitute Limited Partners and (iii) Section 6.1 of the Agreement to amend the Agreement;

WHEREAS, the General Partner desires to admit $\qquad$ as a Class $\qquad$ Limited Partner holding
$\qquad$ $\%$ Percentage Interest in the Partnership as of the date hereof;

WHEREAS, $\qquad$ desires to become a Class
$\qquad$ Limited Partner and be bound by the terms and conditions of the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the General Partner desires to amend the Agreement to add $\qquad$ as a party thereto.

## AGREEMENT:

RESOLVED, as a condition to receiving a Partnership Interest in the Partnership, acknowledges and agrees that he/she/it (i) has received and read a copy of the Agreement, (ii) shall be bound by the terms and conditions of the Agreement; and (iii) shall promptly execute an addendum to the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, the General Partner hereby amends the Agreement to add as a Limited Partner, and the General Partner shall attach this Addendum to the Agreement and make it a part thereof; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, this Addendum may be executed in any number of counterparts, all of which together shall constitute one Addendum binding on all the parties hereto, notwithstanding that all such parties are not signatories to the original or the same counterpart.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have executed this Addendum as of the day and year above written.

GENERAL PARTNER:
STRAND ADVISORS, INC.
By:
Name: $\qquad$
Title:

NEW LIMITED PARTNER:


## AGREED AND ACCEPTED:

In consideration of the terms of this Addendum and the Agreement, in consideration of the Partnership's allowing the above signed Person to become a Limited Partner of the Partnership, and for other good and valuable consideration receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the undersigned shall be bound by the terms and conditions of the Agreement as though a party thereto.

SPOUSE OF NEW LIMITED PARTNER:
$\qquad$
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## ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEET <br> (Instructions on Reverse)

## PLAINTIFFS

Highland Capital Management, L.P.

ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.) Hayward PLLC
10501 N. Central Expressway, Suite 106
Dallas, Texas 75231 Tel.: (972) 755-7100
PARTY (Check One Box Only)
$\downarrow$ Debtor $\quad$ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin
$\square$ Creditor $\quad$ Other
$\square$ Trustee
CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED) Breach of Contract; Turnover Pursuant to 11 USC 542(b); Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Fraudulent Transfer under 11 USC 548(a)(1)(A) and 550; Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Fraudulent Transfer under 11 USC 544(b) and 550 and Tex. Bus. \& C. Code 24.005(a)(1); Declaratory Relief; Breach of Fiduciary Duty; Aiding \& Abetting Breach of Fiduciary Duty

## NATURE OF SUIT

(Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1 , first alternative cause as 2 , second alternative cause as 3 , etc.)

FRBP 7001(1) - Recovery of Money/Property
2
11-Recovery of money/property - $\S 542$ turnover of property
12-Recovery of money/property - $\S 547$ preference
3
13-Recovery of money/property - $\S 548$ fraudulent transfer
14-Recovery of money/property - other
FRBP 7001(2) - Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property
FRBP 7001(3) - Approval of Sale of Property31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h)
FRBP 7001(4) - Objection/Revocation of Discharge
41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e)
FRBP 7001(5) - Revocation of Confirmation51-Revocation of confirmation

FRBP 7001(6) - Dischargeability66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims
62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny
(continued next column)

| $\square$ |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law |  |
| $\square$ Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint |  |

## DEFENDANTS

Highland Capital Management Services, Inc., James Dondero, Nancy Dondero, and The Dugaboy Investment Trust
ATTORNEYS (If Known) Stinson LLP (for Highland Capital Management Services, Inc. and Nancy Dondero); Heller, Draper \& Horn, L.L.C. (for The Dugaboy Investment Trust)

## PARTY (Check One Box Only)

$\square$ Debtor $\square$ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin $\square$ Creditor $\otimes$ Other $\square$ Trustee

## ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER

 (Court Use Only)Case 21-03006-sgj Doc 68-9 Filed 08/27/21 Entered 08/27/21 17:34:12 Page 2 of 2
B1040 (FORM 1040) (12/15)


## INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also must complete and file Form 1040, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 1040 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely selfexplanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendants. Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.
Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.
Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.
Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.
Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.
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## Report of Independent Auditors

To the General Partner of Highland Capital Management, L.P.
We have audited the accompanying consolidated financial statements of Highland Capital Management, L.P. and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Partnership"), which comprise the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2018, and the related consolidated statements of income, of changes in partners' capital and of cash flows for the year then ended.

## Management's Responsibility for the Consolidated Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of consolidated financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

## Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the consolidated financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. The procedures selected depend on our judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the consolidated financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, we consider internal control relevant to the Partnership's preparation and fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Partnership's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the consolidated financial statements. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

## Opinion

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Highland Capital Management, L.P. and its subsidiaries as of December 31. 2018, and the results of their operations, changes in their partners' capital and their cash flows for the year then ended, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Other Matter

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming an opinion on the consolidated financial statements taken as a whole. The Supplemental Consolidating Balance Sheet, the Supplemental Consolidating Statement of Income, the Supplemental Unconsolidated Balance Sheet and the Supplemental Unconsolidated Statement of Income are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the consolidated financial statements. The information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the consolidated financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the consolidated financial statements or to the consolidated financial statements themselves and other additional procedures, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.


June 3, 2019

## Highland Capital Management, L.P. <br> (A Delaware Limited Partnership) <br> Consolidated Balance Sheet <br> December 31, 2018

## (in thousands)

## Assets

Cash and cash equivalents ..... \$ 5,034
Investments at fair value (cost \$922,027) ..... 845,186
Management and incentive fees receivable ..... 2,393
Due from broker for securities sold, not yet settled ..... 598
Other assets ..... 9,255
Notes and other amounts due from affiliates ..... 173,398
Intangible assets ..... 3,022
Fixed assets and leasehold improvements, net of accumulated ..... 4,581
depreciation of \$11,197
Total assets ..... \$ 1,043,467
Liabilities and partners' capital
Liabilities
Accounts payable ..... \$ 4,983
Securities sold, not yet purchased (proceeds $\$ 26,135$ ) ..... 32,357
Withdrawals payable ..... 57,009
Due to brokers ..... 116,560
Due to brokers for securities purchased, not yet settled ..... 1,640
Accrued and other liabilities ..... 40,246
Notes payable ..... 55,752
Investment liabilities ..... 46,092
Total liabilities ..... 354,639
Non-controlling interest ..... 316,867
Partners' capital ..... 371,961
Total liabilities and partners' capital

| $\$ \quad 1,043,467$ |
| :--- | :--- |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
Highland Capital Management, L.P.
(A Delaware Limited Partnership)
Consolidated Statement of Income
Year Ended December 31, 2018
(in thousands)
Revenue:
Management fees

\$

36,600
Interest and investment income ..... 15,831
Incentive fees ..... 70
Shared services fees ..... 9,187
Other income ..... 2,622
Total revenue ..... 64,310
Expenses:
Compensation and benefits ..... 34,475
Professional fees ..... 17,679
Interest expense ..... 5,670
Marketing and advertising expense ..... 2,413
Depreciation and amortization ..... 1,317
Investment and research consulting ..... 1,082
Bad debt expense ..... 7,862
Other operating expenses ..... 10,027
Total expenses ..... 80,525
Other Income/(Expense):
Other income ..... 9,826
Impairment on intangible assets ..... $(2,830)$
Total other income ..... 6,996
Loss before investment and derivative activities ..... $(9,219)$
Realized and unrealized loss on investments and derivatives:
Net realized loss on investments and derivatives$(31,517)$
Net change in unrealized loss on investments and derivatives ..... $(93,755)$
Net loss
Net realized and unrealized loss on investments and derivativesNet loss atribuble to non-controling inter$(61,313)$
Net loss attributable to Highland Capital Management, L.P.
Net loss attributable to non-controlling interest
(73,178)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

## Highland Capital Management, L.P.

(A Delaware Limited Partnership)
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Partners' Capital Year Ended December 31, 2018
(in thousands)

|  | General <br> Partner |  | Limited <br> Partners |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partners' capital, December 31, 2017 | \$ | 163 | \$ | 450,014 | \$ | 450,177 |
| Net loss attributable to Highland Capital Management, L.P. | \$ | (183) | \$ | $(72,995)$ | \$ | $(73,178)$ |
| Partner distributions | \$ | (13) | \$ | $(5,025)$ | \$ | $(5,038)$ |
| Partners' capital, December 31, 2018 | \$ | (33) | \$ | 371,994 | \$ | 371,961 |

Highland Capital Management, L.P.
(A Delaware Limited Partnership)
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows
Year Ended December 31, 2018
(in thousands)
Cash flows from operating activities:
Net loss ..... \$ ..... $(134,491)$
Adjustment to reconcile net loss to
Net realized loss on investments and derivative transactions ..... 31,517
Net change in unrealized loss on investments and derivative transactions ..... 93,755
Amortization and depreciation ..... 1,317
Changes in assets and liabilities:
Management and incentive fee receivable ..... 9,468
Due from brokers ..... 1,689
Due from affiliate ..... $(10,989)$
Other assets ..... ,272
Intangible assets ..... 3,308
Accounts payable ..... 546
Accrued and other liabilities ..... 1,214
Due to brokers for securities purchased, not yet settled ..... 1,886
Due to brokers ..... 11,665
Cash flows from investing activities:
Purchases of fixed assets and leasehold improvements, net ..... (67)
Purchases of investments ..... $(195,263)$
Proceeds from dispositions of investments ..... 258,858
Proceeds from securities sold, not yet purchased ..... 46,550
Issuance of notes receivable to affiliates ..... $(2,400)$
Proceeds from repayments of notes receivable from affiliates ..... 3,395
Purchases of investments to cover securities sold, not yet purchased ..... $\frac{(127,954)}{(16,881)}$
Cash flows from financing activities:
Payments on notes payable \& investment liabilities$(2,743)$
38,501
Proceeds from long-term debt
14,615
14,615
Capital contributions from minority interest investors of consolidated entities
$(141,986)$
$(141,986)$
Capital withdrawals by minority interest investors of consolidated entities
Capital withdrawals by minority interest investors of consolidated entities ..... $(5,060)$
Partner distributions$(96,673)$
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents ..... $(98,397)$
Cash and cash equivalents
Beginning of year ..... 103,479
De-consolidating funds adjustment ..... (48)
End of year
\$ ..... 5,034
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:
Interest paid during the year\$Taxes paid during the year
Investments acquired for non-cash consideration ..... 26,018
Investments disposed for non-cash consideration ..... 116

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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## 1. Description of Business

Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the "Partnership") was formed on July 7, 1997 as a limited partnership in the state of Delaware. The Partnership is a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 that manages collateralized loan obligations ("CLOs"), hedge funds, private equity funds, and other leveraged loan transactions that are collateralized predominately by senior secured bank debt and high-yield bonds. The Partnership and its subsidiaries make direct investments in debt, equity, and other securities in the normal course of business. The Partnership's general partner is Strand Advisors, Inc. (the "General Partner"). The Partnership is owned by an unaffiliated (other than through its direct ownership) trust as well as affiliated trusts and personal holdings of the senior management of the Partnership.

As of December 31, 2018, the Partnership provided investment advisory services for eighteen CLOs, five separate accounts, one master limited partnership, and nine hedge funds or private equity structures, with total fee-earning assets under management of approximately $\$ 3.1$ billion. The Partnership also provides investment services on behalf of affiliate advisors.

## 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The following is a summary of the significant accounting policies followed by the Partnership in preparation of its consolidated financial statements.

## Basis of Accounting

The Partnership's consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("U.S. GAAP") as set forth in the Financial Accounting Standards Board's Accounting Standards Codification and are stated in the United States Dollar.

## Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates and those differences could be material.

## Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Partnership and the Partnership's consolidated subsidiaries ("Consolidated Entities"), which are comprised of (i) those entities in which it has controlling investment and has control over significant operating, financial and investing decisions, (ii) those entities in which it, as the general partner, has control over significant operating, financial and investing decisions, and (iii) variable interest entities ("VIEs") in which it is the primary beneficiary as described below.
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The Partnership assesses consolidation requirements pursuant to ASU 2015-02: Consolidation, which was adopted using the modified retrospective method and resulted in an effective date of adoption of January 1, 2016.

The Partnership and its affiliate's involvement with unconsolidated VIEs is generally limited to that of an advisory services provider, and their investment, if any, represents an insignificant interest in the relevant investment entities' assets under management. The Partnership's affiliate's exposure to risk in these entities is generally limited to any capital contribution it has made or is required to make and any earned but uncollected asset based and performance fees. The Partnership has not issued any investment performance guarantees to these VIEs or their investors, except that the Partnership has agreed to subject the full value of its equity interest in Highland Prometheus Fund to dollar-for-dollar reduction to the extent the third party investor in such fund does not achieve an annual target return.

As of December 31, 2018, the net assets of the unconsolidated VIEs and the Partnership's maximum risk of loss were as follows:
(in thousands)

|  | Unconsolidated <br> VIE Net Assets |  | Carrying Value and <br> Maximum Risk of Loss |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\$ 206,329$ | $\$$ | 12,178 |

## Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities

The Partnership consolidates the following VIEs (along with majority owned funds: Highland Diversified Credit Fund, L.P., and Highland Select Equity Fund, L.P., collectively the "Consolidated Investment Funds"), as the Partnership (or its wholly owned subsidiaries) controls the general partner of the respective entities and is responsible for the daily operations of the following entities:

- Highland Multi Strategy Credit Fund, L.P. ("Multi Strategy Master"), formerly Highland Credit Opportunities CDO, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership that commenced operations on December 15, 2005 and changed its name on August 26, 2014;
- Highland Multi-Strategy Master Fund, L.P. ("Multi-Strategy Master"), a Bermuda limited partnership that commenced operations on July 18, 2006;
- Highland Multi-Strategy Fund, L.P. ("Multi-Strat Domestic Feeder"), a Delaware limited partnership that commenced operations on July 6, 2006;
- Highland Restoration Capital Partners Offshore, L.P. ("Restoration Offshore"), a Cayman limited partnership that commenced operations on September 2, 2008;
- Highland Restoration Capital Partners, L.P. ("Restoration Onshore"), a Delaware limited partnership that commenced operations on September 2, 2008; and
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## Consolidation of Majority Owned Entities

The Partnership consolidates the following entities as it has a controlling majority interest:

- $100 \%$ interest in Highland Capital Special Allocation, LLC ("HCSA"), a Delaware limited liability company that commenced operations on December 21, 2006;
- $100 \%$ interest in Highland Receivables Finance 1, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that commenced operations on December 29, 2006;
- $100 \%$ interest in Highland Multi-Strategy Onshore Master SubFund, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that commenced operations on July 19, 2006;
- $100 \%$ interest in Highland Multi-Strategy Onshore Master Subfund II, LLC, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that commenced operations on February 22, 2007;
- $100 \%$ interest in Highland Brasil, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that commenced operations on January 28, 2014;
- 100\% interest in Highland Capital Management (Singapore) Pte, Ltd. ("HCM Singapore"), a company organized in the Republic of Singapore that commenced operations on April 2, 2008;
- $100 \%$ interest in Highland Capital Management Korea, Ltd. ("HCM Korea"), a company organized in the Republic of Korea that commenced operations on August 2, 2012;
- 100\% interest in Highland Capital Management Latin America, L.P., ("HCM Latin America"), a Cayman company that was formed on April 13, 2017;
- $100 \%$ interest in HE Capital, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that was formed on March 22, 2007;
- $100 \%$ interest in De Kooning, Ltd, a Cayman company that was formed on December 1, 2012;
- $100 \%$ interest in Hirst, Ltd., a Cayman company that was formed on December 1, 2012;
- $100 \%$ interest in Hockney, Ltd., a Cayman company that was formed on December 1, 2012;
- $100 \%$ interest in Oldenburg, Ltd., a Cayman company that was formed on December 1, 2012;
- $100 \%$ interest in Eames, Ltd, a Cayman company that was formed on December 12, 2012;
- $\quad 99.9 \%$ interest in Penant Management, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership that was formed on December 12, 2012;
- $100 \%$ interest in Pollack, Ltd., a Cayman company that was formed on December 1, 2012;
- $100 \%$ interest in Warhol, Ltd., a Cayman company that was formed on December 1, 2012;
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- $100 \%$ interest in HCREF-I Holding Corp., a Delaware company that was formed on December 13, 2012;
- 100\% interest in HCREF-XI Holding Corp., a Delaware company that was formed on December 13, 2012;
- 100\% interest in HCREF-XII Holding Corp., a Delaware company that was formed on December 13, 2012;
- $100 \%$ interest in Highland ERA Management, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that was formed on February 1, 2013;
- $100 \%$ interest in The Dondero Insurance Rabbi Trust., a trust that was formed on May 27, 2004;
- $100 \%$ interest in The Okada Insurance Rabbi Trust, a trust that was formed on May 27, 2004;
- $100 \%$ interest in Highland Employee Retention Assets ("HERA"), LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that was formed on October 26, 2009;
- $100 \%$ interest in Highland Diversified Credit Fund, L.P. ("Highland Offshore Partners"), a Delaware limited partnership which began operations on February 29, 2000 and was organized for the sole purpose of investing substantially all of its assets in Highland Offshore Partners, L.P.;
- $99.6 \%$ interest in Highland Select Equity Master Fund, LP, and Highland Select Equity Fund, LP Delaware limited partnerships which began operations on January 1, 2002 and was organized for the purpose of investing and trading in large and small cap stocks that trade for less than intrinsic value;
- $100 \%$ interest in Highland Fund Holdings, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that was formed on May 24, 2016;
- $100 \%$ interest in Maple Avenue Holdings, LLC, a Texas limited liability company formed on August 17, 2016;
- $100 \%$ interest in Highland HCF Advisor, Ltd., a Cayman company that was formed on October 27, 2017;
- $100 \%$ interest in Asury Holdings, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company formed on February 14, 2017 and;
- 100\% interest in Highland CLO Management, Ltd., a Cayman company that was formed on October 27, 2017.

All inter-partnership and intercompany accounts and transactions involving the above listed Consolidated Entities have been eliminated in all of the aforementioned consolidating schedules. All the Consolidated Investment Funds are, for U.S. GAAP purposes, investment companies under the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Audit and Accounting Guide Investment Companies. The Partnership has retained the specialized accounting of these funds required under U.S. GAAP.
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The following table includes a rollforward of non-controlling interests from December 31, 2017, to December 31, 2018.
(in thousands)
Noncontrolling interest, December 31, 2017
$\$ 424,844$
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest
Noncontrolling partner contributions 14,615
Noncontrolling partner distributions
$(58,061)$
Noncontrolling interest of deconsolidated entities
$(3,218)$
Noncontrolling interest, December 31, 2018
$\$ \quad 316,867$

## Investment Transactions

Investment transactions are recorded on a trade date basis. Investments in securities are valued at market or fair value at the date of the consolidated financial statements with the resulting net unrealized appreciation or depreciation reflected in the Consolidated Statement of Income. Realized gains and losses on the transactions are determined based on either the first-in, first-out or specific identification method.

See Note 5 for the Partnership's fair value process and hierarchy disclosures.

## Management and Incentive Fee Revenue

The Partnership recognizes revenue as earned in connection with services provided under collateral and investment management agreements. Under these agreements, the Partnership earns management fees calculated as a percentage of assets under management or net asset value. The Partnership also has an opportunity to earn additional incentive fees and incentive allocations related to certain management agreements depending ultimately on the financial performance of the underlying assets the Partnership manages. During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities recognized management fees and incentive fees of approximately $\$ 36.6$ million and $\$ 0.1$ million, respectively.

## Shared Services Revenue

The Partnership recognizes revenue as earned in connection with services provided to related parties under various shared services agreements. Under these agreements, the Partnership earns fees for services including, but not limited to, back office support functions, marketing, and investment advisory services. During the year ended December 31, 2018, the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities recognized shared services revenue of approximately $\$ 9.2$ million, which has been presented in Shared services fees in the Consolidated Statement of Income. See further discussion in Note 8.
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## Income and Expense Recognition

Interest on currently paying debt instruments is accrued as earned and dividend income and dividends on securities sold, not yet purchased are recorded on the ex-dividend date, net of withholding taxes. In certain instances where the asset has defaulted or some amount of the interest payment is deemed uncollectable, interest is recognized when received. Discounts and premiums associated with purchases of investments are accreted and amortized to interest income, except for deep-discounted debt where ultimate collection of interest and principal may be in doubt. Such accretion/amortization is calculated on an effective-yield basis over the life of the investment. Amendment fees are recognized when agreed to by the underlying company and all settlement contingencies are met. Operating expenses, including interest on securities sold short, not yet purchased, are recorded on the accrual basis as incurred.

## Income Taxes

The Partnership is not subject to federal income taxes, and therefore, no provision has been made for such taxes in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Income taxes are the responsibility of the partners. Certain consolidated subsidiaries are subject to federal income taxes.

Certain entities that are included in these consolidated financial statements are subject to federal and/or state income taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the period that includes the enactment date. See further discussion in Note 13.

## Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash held at U.S. and foreign banks, deposits with original maturities of less than 90 days, and money market funds. Cash equivalents are carried at cost, which approximates market value. At December 31, 2018, the Partnership and Consolidated Entities held cash balances at certain financial institutions in excess of the federally insured limit of $\$ 0.3$ million. The Partnership and Consolidated Entities regularly monitor the credit quality of these institutions.

## Notes Receivable

Notes receivable consists of secured promissory notes with maturities greater than one year. When available, the Partnership uses observable market data, including pricing on recent closed transactions to value notes. When appropriate, these notes may be valued using collateral values. Adjustments to the value may be performed in circumstances where attributes specific to the collateral exist suggesting impairment.

## Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and other intangible assets are recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at current carrying values. The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities perform an impairment test on an annual basis. Any impairment in the value of other intangible assets is accounted for in the year when it occurs.

## Fixed Assets and Leasehold Improvements

Fixed assets and leasehold improvements are carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method over the shorter of the estimated useful life of the assets or the lease term.
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The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities are depreciating fixed assets as follows:

|  | Period |
| :--- | ---: |
| Leasehold improvements | Lease term |
| Buildings | $29-40$ years |
| Fumiture and fixtures | 7 years |
| Computer and equipment | $3-5$ years |
| Computer software | 3 years |

## Securities Sold, Not Yet Purchased

Certain of the Partnership's Consolidated Investment Funds engage in "short sales" as part of their investment strategies. Short selling is the practice of selling securities that are borrowed from a third party. The Consolidated Investment Funds are required to return securities equivalent to those borrowed for the short sale at the lender's demand.

Pending the return of such securities, the Consolidated Investment Funds deposit with the lender as collateral the proceeds of the short sale plus additional cash. The amount of the required deposit, which earns interest, is adjusted periodically to reflect any change in the market price of the securities that the Consolidated Investment Funds are required to return to the lender. A gain (which cannot exceed the price at which the Consolidated Investment Funds sold the security short) or a loss (which theoretically could be unlimited in size) will be settled upon termination of a short sale.

## Due to/from Brokers

Due to and from broker balances recorded on the Consolidated Balance Sheet include liquid assets maintained with brokers and counterparties for margin account balances and the amounts due for or due from the settlement of purchase and sales transactions. Certain due to and from broker balances have been reported on a net-by-counterparty basis where, in accordance with contractual rights and the Partnership's opinion, there is a right of offset in the event of bankruptcy or default by a counterparty.

## Options Contracts

The Partnership and the Consolidated Entities may purchase and write call and put options to gain market exposure or to hedge investments. A call option gives the purchaser of the option the right (but not the obligation) to buy, and obligates the seller to sell (when the option is exercised), the underlying position at the exercise price at any time or at a specified time during the option period. A put option gives the holder the right to sell and obligates the writer to buy the underlying position at the exercise price at any time or at a specified time during the option period. When the Partnership or the Consolidated Entities purchase (write) an option, an amount equal to the premium paid (received) by the entity is reflected as an asset (liability). The amount of the asset (liability) is subsequently marked-to-market to reflect the current market value of the option purchased (written). When a security is purchased (or sold) through an exercise of an option, the related premium paid (or received) is added to (or deducted from) the basis of the security acquired or deducted from (or added to) the proceeds of the security sold. When an option expires (or the Partnership or the Consolidated Entities enter into a closing transaction), the entity realizes a gain or loss on the option to the extent of the premiums received or paid (or gain or loss to the extent the cost of the closing transaction exceeds the premium received or paid). Exercise of a written option could result in the Partnership or the Consolidated Entities purchasing a security at a price different from the current market value.
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The Partnership and the Consolidated Entities are exposed to counterparty risk from the potential that a seller of an option contract does not sell or purchase the underlying asset as agreed under the terms of the option contract. The maximum risk of loss from counterparty risk to the Partnership and the Consolidated Entities is the greater of the fair value of its open option contracts or the premiums paid to purchase the open option contracts. The Partnership and the Consolidated Entities consider the credit risk of the intermediary counterparties to its option transactions in evaluating potential credit risk.

## Margin Transactions

To obtain more investable cash, certain of the Consolidated Entities may use various forms of leverage including purchasing securities on margin. A margin transaction consists of purchasing an investment with money loaned by a broker and agreeing to repay the broker at a later date. Interest expense on the outstanding margin balance is based on market rates at the time of the borrowing.

## Withdrawals Payable

Withdrawals are recognized as liabilities, net of incentive allocations, when the amount requested in the withdrawal notice becomes fixed and determinable. This generally may occur either at the time of receipt of the notice, or on the last day of a fiscal period, depending on the nature of the request. As a result, withdrawals paid after the end of the year, but based upon year-end capital balances are reflected as withdrawals payable at December 31, 2018. Withdrawal notices received for which the dollar amount is not fixed remains in capital until the amount is determined. At December 31, 2018, the Consolidated Investment Funds had withdrawals payable of $\$ 57.0$ million.

## Foreign Currency Transactions

The Partnership's subsidiaries HCM Singapore and HCM Korea use Singapore dollars and Korean won, respectively, as their functional currency. All foreign currency asset and liability balances are presented in U.S. dollars in the consolidated financial statements, translated using the exchange rate as of December 31, 2018. Revenues and expenses are recorded in U.S. dollars using an average exchange rate for the relative period. Foreign currency transaction gains and losses resulting from transactions outside of the functional currency of an entity are included in Other income on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

The Consolidated Entities do not isolate that portion of the results of operations resulting from changes in foreign exchange rates or investment or fluctuations from changes in market prices of securities held. Such fluctuations are included within the Net realized and unrealized gains or loss from investments on the Consolidated Statement of Income.

## Life Settlement Contracts

One of the Consolidated Investment Funds, through a subsidiary, holds life settlement contracts and accounts for them using the fair value method. These contracts are recorded as a component of "Investments at fair value" on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. Realized and unrealized gains (losses) on the contracts are recorded in the Consolidated Income Statement. Cash flows relating to the purchase and sale of the contracts are recorded as a component of Purchase of investments and Proceeds from dispositions of investments on the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. At December 31, 2018, the Consolidated Investment Fund was invested in 13 policies, which had a total face value of approximately $\$ 145.3$ million and a fair value of $\$ 35.7$ million.
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## Financing

The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities may finance the acquisition of its investments in securities and loans through financing arrangements which are classified in Notes payable and Investment liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities recognize interest expense on all borrowings on the accrual basis in the Consolidated Statement of Income.

## Financial Instruments

The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities determine fair value of financial instruments as required by U.S. GAAP. The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable, withdrawals payable, debt and notes payable, due to brokers, investment liabilities and accrued liabilities approximate their fair values. For fair value of investment, see Note 5.

Accounts Payable, Accrued and Other Liabilities
Expenses are recorded on an accrual basis, as incurred. Current liabilities are included in Accounts payable. Long-term liabilities are included in Accrued and other liabilities.

## Partners' Capital

The Partnership agreement requires that income or loss of the Partnership be allocated to the partners in accordance with their respective partnership interests.
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3. Fixed Assets and Leasehold Improvements

Fixed assets and leasehold improvements are comprised of the following as of December 31, 2018:
(in thousands)

| Leasehold improvements | $\$$ | 7,193 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Buildings | 2,595 |  |
| Furniture and fixtures | 2,796 |  |
| Computer and equipment | 2,863 |  |
| Computer software | 331 |  |
| Accumulated depreciation |  | $(11,197)$ |
|  | $\$ 14,581$ |  |

Depreciation expense in 2018 totaled approximately $\$ 1.3$ million for the Partnership and its subsidiaries.
4. Investments

Detailed below is a summary of the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities' investments at December 31, 2018:
(in thousands)

| (in thousands) | Amortized Cost/Cost |  | Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Common equity securities | \$ | 423,306 | \$ | 535,374 |
| Closed-end mutual funds |  | 100,788 |  | 94,845 |
| Floating rate syndicated bank loans |  | 142,586 |  | 72,622 |
| Real Estate Investment Trusts |  | 28,271 |  | 57,475 |
| Life settlement contracts |  | 65,276 |  | 35,744 |
| Limited partnership interests |  | 24,892 |  | 30,521 |
| Rights \& warrants |  | 26,661 |  | 7,446 |
| LLC interests |  | 10,629 |  | 2,775 |
| Preferred equity |  | 258 |  | 8,282 |
| Asset-backed securities |  | 7,350 |  | 102 |
| Participation interests |  | 6,590 |  | - |
| Corporate bonds |  | 85,421 |  | - |
| Total investments | \$ | 922,027 | \$ | 845,186 |
|  | Proceeds |  | Fair Value |  |
| Securities sold, not yet purchased | \$ | $(26,135)$ | \$ | $(32,357)$ |

Securities sold, not yet purchased
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## 5. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

## Fair Value Measurement

U.S. GAAP defines fair value as the price an entity would receive to sell an asset or pay to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. The standard requires fair value measurement techniques to reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability and, where possible, to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. It also establishes the following hierarchy that prioritizes the valuation inputs into three broad levels:

- Level 1 - Valuation based on unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities that the Partnership and the Consolidated Entities have the ability to access as of the measurement date. Valuations utilizing Level 1 inputs do not require any degree of judgment.
- Level 2 - Valuations based on (a) quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; (b) quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active that are reflective of recent market transactions; or (c) models in which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly.
- Level 3 - Valuations based on indicative quotes that do not reflect recent market transactions and models or other valuation techniques in which the inputs are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement, which includes situations where there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability.

The availability of observable inputs varies among financial instruments and is affected by numerous factors, including the type of instruments, the period of time in which the instrument has been established in the marketplace, market liquidity for an asset class and other characteristics particular to a transaction. When the inputs used in a valuation model are unobservable, management is required to exercise a greater degree of judgment to determine fair value than it would for observable inputs. For certain instruments, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the hierarchy discussed above. In those cases, the instruments are categorized for disclosure purposes based on the lowest level of inputs that are significant to their fair value measurements.

The Partnership and Consolidated Entities use prices and inputs that are current as of the measurement dates. The Partnership also considers the counterparty's non-performance risk when measuring the fair value of its investments.

During periods of market dislocation, the ability to observe prices and inputs for certain instruments may change. These circumstances may result in the instruments being reclassified to different levels within the hierarchy over time. They also create an inherent risk in the estimation of fair value that could cause actual amounts to differ from management's estimates. Whenever possible, the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities use actual market prices or relevant observable inputs to establish the fair value of its assets and liabilities. In cases where observable inputs are not available, the Partnership and Consolidated Entities develop methodologies that provide appropriate fair value estimates. These methodologies are reviewed on a continuous basis to account for changing market conditions.
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The Partnership has established policies, as described above, processes and procedures to ensure that valuation methodologies for investments and financial instruments that are categorized within all levels of the fair value hierarchy are fair and consistent. A Pricing Committee has been established to provide oversight of the valuation policies, processes and procedures, and is comprised of various personnel from the Partnership. The Pricing Committee meets monthly to review the proposed valuations for investments and financial instruments. The Pricing Committee is responsible for establishing the valuation policies and evaluating the overall fairness and consistent application of those policies.

As of December 31, 2018, the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities' investments consisted primarily of common equity securities, closed-end mutual funds, floating rate syndicated bank loans, real estate investment trusts, life settlement contracts, limited partnership interests, rights and warrants, LLC interests, asset-backed securities, and preferred equity. In addition, certain of the Consolidated Entities engage in short sale transactions. The majority of these financial instruments are not listed on national securities exchanges and management is required to use significant judgment to estimate their values.

## Public Equity Investments

Publicly traded equities, including closed-end mutual funds and publicly traded REITs are valued at the closing price at the date of the financial statements. The fair value of equity investments that are not traded on national exchanges or through real-time quotation services are derived from methodologies that provide appropriate fair value estimates. Equity investments with quotes that are based on actual trades with a sufficient level of activity on or near the valuation date are classified as Level 2 assets.

## Private Equity Investments

The Partnership and Consolidated Entities hold private equity investments which often resulted from the restructuring of other instruments which are classified as common equity securities. These assets are valued using market data obtained from a third-party pricing service and/or quotes from other parties dealing in the specific assets when available. In the event both a reliable market quote and third-party pricing service data are not available for such assets, the Partnership and Consolidated Entities will fair value the assets using various methodologies, as appropriate for individual investments, including comparable transaction multiples, comparable trading multiples, and/or discounted cash flow analysis. When utilizing comparable trading multiples, the Investment Manager determines comparable public companies (peers) based on industry, size, developmental stage, strategy, etc., and then calculates a trading multiple for each comparable company identified by using either a price to book ratio based on publically available information about the underlying comparable company or by dividing the enterprise value of the comparable company by its earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) or similar metrics. In certain instances, the inputs used in the calculation of the trading multiples may vary based on the industry or development stage of the company. A multiple determined by the Investment Manager to be within a reasonable range as calculated amongst its peers is then applied to the underlying company's price to book ratio or EBITDA (which may be normalized to adjust for certain nonrecurring events), to calculate the fair value of the underlying company. The fair value may be further adjusted for entity specific facts and circumstances. Private equity investments with quotes that are based on actual trades with a sufficient level of activity on or near the valuation date are classified as Level 2 assets. Private equity investments that are priced using quotes derived from implied values, bid/ask prices for trades that were never consummated, or a limited amount of actual trades are classified as Level 3 assets because the inputs used by the brokers and pricing services to derive the values are not readily observable.
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The Consolidated Entities also invest in warrant securities of publicly-traded companies. The fair value of these investments is based on an option pricing model. The option model bases warrant value on a number of factors including underlying equity price as of the valuation date, strike price, exercise date, time to expiration and volatility. Warrant investments that have observable volatility are classified as Level 2 assets. Warrant investments where volatility inputs are not observable are valued using an estimated volatility input, and are classified as Level 3 assets.

## Debt Securities

The Partnership and Consolidated Entities invest in various types of debt, including floating rate syndicated bank loans, which are almost exclusively valued using market data obtained from one or more third-party pricing services or brokers. In instances where a third-party pricing service does not provide pricing for a specific asset, the Partnership and Consolidated Entities first seek to obtain reliable market quotes from other parties dealing in the specific asset. Loans and bonds with quotes that are based on actual trades with a sufficient level of activity on or near the valuation date are classified as Level 2 assets. Loans and bonds that are priced using quotes derived from implied values, bid/ask prices for trades that were never consummated, or a limited amount of actual trades are classified as Level 3 assets because the inputs used by the brokers and pricing services to derive the values are not readily observable.

Absent both a reliable market quote and third-party pricing service date, the Partnership and Consolidated Entities may use various models to establish an estimated exit price. These investments are classified as Level 3 assets. Models used for debt securities are primarily based on identifying comparable assets for which market data is available and pricing the target asset consistent with the yields of the comparable assets. As circumstances require, other industry accepted techniques may be used in modeling debt assets.

## Life Settlement Contracts

Life Settlement contracts are valued using mortality tables and interest rate assumptions that are deemed by management to be appropriate for the demographic characteristics of the parties insured under the policies. Management generally utilizes an independent third party firm to perform these calculations and provide the relevant inputs. Management evaluates the results based on visible market activity and market research. Since these inputs are not readily observable, these contracts are classified as Level 3 assets.

At December 31, 2018, the Consolidated Entities' investments in life settlement contracts consisted of the following:
(U.S. dollars in thousands, except number of policies)

| Remaining Life Expectancy (in years) | Number of Policies | Face Value |  | Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-2 | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| 2-3 | 3 |  | 33,785 |  | 16,940 |
| 3-4 | - |  | - |  | - |
| 4-5 | - |  | - |  | - |
| Thereafter | 10 |  | 111,500 |  | 18,804 |
| Total | 13 | \$ | 145,285 | \$ | 35,744 |
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## Asset-Backed Securities

The Consolidated Entities invest in a variety of asset-backed securities. Asset-backed securities are generally valued based on complex cash flow models that analyze the cash flows generated by the investment's underlying assets after adjusting for expected default rates, prepayment rates, collateral quality, market liquidity among other factors. These models are then adjusted based on spreads available in the market place from various research firms, dealers, and trading activity. The Consolidated Entities generally utilize an independent third parties to provide the relevant inputs. The Consolidated Entities evaluate the results based on visible market activity and market research. When appropriate, the Consolidated Entities may apply other techniques based on a specific asset's characteristics. Asset-backed securities with quotes that are based on actual trades with a sufficient level of activity on or near the valuation date are classified as Level 2 assets. Asset-backed securities that are priced using quotes derived from implied values, bid/ask prices for trades that were never consummated, or a limited amount of actual trades are classified as Level 3 assets because the inputs used by the brokers and pricing services to derive the values are not readily observable.

## Limited Partnership and LLC Interests

The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities hold limited partnership and LLC interests in various entities. These assets are valued as the net asset value of the limited partnership interests because the entities utilize fair value accounting for their own financial statements. These interests are classified as Level 3 assets.

The Partnership categorizes investments recorded at fair value in accordance with the hierarchy established under U.S. GAAP. The following table provides a summary of the financial instruments recorded at fair value on a recurring basis by level within the hierarchy as of December 31, 2018:
(in thousands)
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccccc} & & & & & & & \\ \hline\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Total Fair } \\ \text { Value at }\end{array}\right)$

## Highland Capital Management, L.P.
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## Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
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The classification of a financial instrument within Level 3 is based on the significance of the unobservable inputs to the overall fair value measurement. The following table provides a roll forward of the investments classified within Level 3 for the year ended December 31, 2018:


All net realized and unrealized gains and losses in the tables above are reflected in the accompanying Consolidated Income Statement. Approximately $\$ 41.8$ million of the net unrealized losses presented in the table above relate to investments held as of December 31, 2018.

The following page includes a summary of significant unobservable inputs used in the fair valuations of assets and liabilities categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.
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|  | Ending Balance <br> at $12 / 31 / 2018$ | Valuation Technique |  | Unobservable Inputs |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | Input Value(s)

Total

| $\$ \quad 248,489$ |
| :--- | :--- |

In addition to the unobservable inputs utilized for various valuation methodologies, the Partnership often uses a combination of two or more valuation methodologies to determine fair value for a single holding. In such instances, the Partnership assesses the methodologies and ascribes weightings to each methodology. The selection of weightings is an inherently subjective process, dependent on professional judgement. These selections may have a material impact to the concluded fair value for such holdings.

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Partnership's assets could fluctuate significantly, resulting in a significantly higher or lower fair value measurement.
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## 6. Financial Instruments with Concentration of Credit and Other Risks

## Financial Instruments

The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities' investments include, among other things, equity securities, debt securities (both investment and non-investment grade) and bank loans. The Consolidated Entities may also invest in derivative instruments, including total return and credit default swaps. Investments in these derivative instruments throughout the year subject the Consolidated Entities to off-balance sheet market risk, where changes in the market or fair value of the financial instruments underlying the derivative instruments may be in excess of the amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

## Market Risk

Market risk represents the potential loss that may be incurred by the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities due to a change in the market value of its investments or the value of the investments underlying swap agreements. The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities' exposure to market risk is affected by a number of macroeconomic factors, such as interest rates, availability of credit, inflation rates, economic uncertainty and changes in laws and regulations. These factors may affect the level and volatility of securities prices and the liquidity of the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities investments. Volatility or illiquidity could impair the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities performance or result in losses. The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities may maintain substantial trading positions that can be adversely affected by the level of volatility in the financial markets. The performance of life settlement contracts may be adversely impacted by the under estimation of mortality and other rates.

## Credit Risk

Credit risk is the potential loss the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities may incur as a result of the failure of a counterparty or an issuer to make payments according to the terms of a contract. Because the Consolidated Entities enter into over-the-counter derivatives such as swaps, it is exposed to the credit risk of their counterparties. To limit the credit risk associated with such transactions, the Consolidated Entities execute transactions with financial institutions that the Investment Manager believes to be financially viable.

## Liquidity Risk

The Consolidated Entities' limited partner interests have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933 or any other applicable securities law. There is no public market for the interests, and neither the Consolidated Entities nor their manager expects such a market to develop.

## Business Risk

The Partnership provides advisory services to the Consolidated Entities. Consolidated Entities could be materially affected by the liquidity, credit and other events of the Partnership.
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## High Yield Bonds and Loans

The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities' investment portfolios consist of floating rate syndicated bank loans and fixed income securities that are not listed on a national securities exchange. These investments trade in a limited market and it may not be possible to immediately liquidate them if needed. In addition, certain of the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities' investments have resale or transfer restrictions that further reduce their liquidity. Because of the inherent uncertainty of these investments, the Investment Manager's best estimates may differ significantly from values that would have been used had a broader market for the investments existed.

When the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities purchase a senior secured syndicated bank loan, it enters into a contractual relationship directly with the corporate borrower, and as such, is exposed to certain degrees of risk, including interest rate risk, market risk and the potential nonpayment of principal and interest, including default or bankruptcy of the corporate borrower or early payment by the corporate borrower. Typically, senior secured syndicated bank loans are secured by the assets of the corporate borrower and the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities have a policy of regularly reviewing the adequacy of each corporate borrower's collateral.

The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities may invest in high-yield bonds that have been assigned lower rating categories or are not rated by the various credit rating agencies. Bonds in the lower rating categories are generally considered to be speculative with respect to the issuer's ability to repay principal and pay interest. They are also subject to greater risks than bonds with higher ratings in the case of deterioration of general economic conditions. Due to these risks, the yields and prices of lower-rated bonds are generally volatile, and the market for them is limited, which may affect the ability to liquidate them if needed.

## Debt Obligations

The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities' investment portfolio consists of collateralized loan obligations that are not listed on a national securities exchange. These investments trade in a limited market and it may not be possible to immediately liquidate them if needed. Because of the inherent uncertainty of these investments, the Partnership's best estimates may differ significantly from values that would have been used had broader market for the investments existed.

## Distressed Investments

A portion of the high yield corporate bonds and senior secured syndicated bank loans in which the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities invest have been issued by distressed companies in an unstable financial condition that have experienced poor operating performance and may be involved in bankruptcy or other reorganization and liquidation proceedings. These investments have substantial inherent risks. Many of these distressed companies are likely to have significantly leveraged capital structures, which make them highly sensitive to declines in revenue and to increases in expenses and interest rates. The leveraged capital structure also exposes the companies to adverse economic factors, including macroeconomic conditions, which may affect their ability to repay borrowed amounts on schedule.
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## Corporate Bonds, Preferred Securities, and Loans

The Consolidated Entities may invest in corporate bonds, floating rate syndicated bank loans, and preferred securities which are rated in the lower rating categories by the various credit rating agencies (or in comparable non-rated securities). Securities in the lower rating categories are subject to greater risk of loss of principal and interest than higher-rated securities and are generally considered to be predominantly speculative with respect to the issuer's capacity to pay interest and repay principal. They are also subject to greater risks than securities with higher ratings in the case of deterioration of general economic conditions. Because of these greater risks associated with the lower-rated securities, the yields and prices of such securities may be more volatile than those for higher-rated securities. The market for lower-rated securities is thinner and less active than that for higher-rated securities, which could adversely affect the prices at which these securities may be sold by the Consolidated Entities.

## Limited Diversification

The Investment Manager attempts to diversify the Consolidated Entities' investments. However, the Consolidated Entities' portfolios could become significantly concentrated in any one issuer, industry, sector strategy, country or geographic region, and such concentration of credit risk may increase the losses suffered by the Consolidated Entities. In addition, it is possible that the Investment Manager may select investments that are concentrated in certain classes of financial instruments. This limited diversity could expose the Consolidated Entities to losses that are disproportionate to market movements as a whole.

At December 31, 2018, the Consolidated Entities' investments were predominantly concentrated in the United States and Cayman Islands.

## Exit Difficulties

The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities cannot assure investors that it will be able to exit its investments by sale or other disposition at attractive prices, if at all. The mergers and acquisitions and public securities markets are highly cyclical, which means that the Consolidated Entities' investments, even its best performing investments, may be illiquid for extended periods of time despite the Consolidated Entities' efforts to identify attractive exit opportunities. Additionally, a significant portion of the Consolidated Entities' assets at any time will likely consist of debt obligations and other securities that are thinly-traded, for which no market exists and/or are restricted as to their transferability under applicable law and/or documents governing particular transactions of the Consolidated Entities. In some cases, the Consolidated Entities may be unable to realize an investment prior to the date on which the Consolidated Entities are scheduled to terminate and/or have to sell or otherwise dispose of one or more investments on disadvantageous terms as a result of the Consolidated Entities' termination, or distribute such investments in kind.

## Custody Risk

The clearing operations for the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities are provided by major financial institutions. In addition, all of the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities' cash and investments are held with banks or brokerage firms, which have worldwide custody facilities and are members of all major securities exchanges. The Partnership or its Consolidated Entities may lose all or a portion of the assets held by these banks or brokerage firms if they become insolvent or fail to perform pursuant to the terms of their obligations. While both the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and the Securities Investor Protection Act of 1970 seek to protect customer property in the event of a brokerdealer's failure, insolvency or liquidation, the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities might be unable to recover the full value of their assets or incur losses due to their assets being unavailable for a period of time.
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## Leverage Risk

The Consolidated Entities may borrow funds from brokers, banks and other lenders to finance its trading operations. The use of leverage can, in certain circumstances, magnify the losses to which the Consolidated Entities' investment portfolio may be subject. The use of margin and short-term borrowings creates several risks for the Consolidated Entities. If the value of the Consolidated Entities' securities fall below the margin level required by a counterparty, additional margin deposits would be required. If the Consolidated Entities are unable to satisfy a margin call, the counterparty could liquidate the Consolidated Entities' positions in some or all of the financial instruments that are in the account at the prime broker and cause the Consolidated Entities to incur significant losses. In addition, to the extent the Consolidated Entities have posted excess collateral for margin transactions, there is a risk that the counterparty will fail to fulfill its obligation to return the full value of that collateral.

The failure to satisfy a margin call, or the occurrence of other material defaults under margin or other financing agreements, may trigger cross-defaults under the Consolidated Entities' agreements with other brokers, lenders, clearing firms or other counterparties, multiplying the adverse impact to the Consolidated Entities. In addition, because the use of leverage allows the Consolidated Entities to control positions worth significantly more than its investment in those positions, the amount that the Consolidated Entities may lose in the event of adverse price movements is high in relation to the amount of their investment.

In the event of a sudden drop in the value of the Consolidated Entities' assets, the Consolidated Entities may not be able to liquidate assets quickly enough to satisfy their margin or collateral requirements. As a result, the Consolidated Entities may become subject to claims of financial intermediaries, and such claims could exceed the value of its assets. The banks and dealers that provide financing to the Consolidated Entities have the ability to apply discretionary margin, haircut, and financing and collateral valuation policies. Changes by banks and dealers in any of the foregoing may result in large margin calls, loss of financing and forced liquidations of positions and disadvantageous prices.

## Foreign Currency Risk

The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities may invest in securities or maintain cash denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities are exposed to risk that the exchange rate of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies may change in a manner that has an adverse effect on the reported value of the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities' assets and liabilities denominated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar.

## Concentration of Investments

At December 31, 2018, the Consolidated Entities' investments and derivative contracts were predominantly concentrated in the United States and Cayman Islands and across several industries.

## Litigation Risk

The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities are periodically subject to legal actions arising from the ordinary course of business. The ultimate outcome of these cases is inherently uncertain and could result in additional losses to the Partnership and/or its Consolidated Entities. Refer to Note 14 for a discussion of open litigation.
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## 7. Intangible Assets

On May 12, 2017, HCM Latin America, as manager, purchased all rights and obligations for management of a certain hedge fund. As of December 31, 2018, the current carrying value of these rights and obligations is $\$ 3.0$ million, which consists of the original purchase price of $\$ 2.0$ million and a deferred purchase price of $\$ 1.0$ million and is reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

The Partnership and its Consolidated Entities perform an impairment test as required by U.S. GAAP on a yearly basis. The Partnership has determined that an impairment charge was necessary for the value obtained on December 19, 2017, for subadvisory and shared servicing rights from an affiliate. As of December 31, 2018, the asset was determined to be fully impaired and an impairment expense of $\$ 2.8$ million is reflected in the Consolidated Statement of Income.

## 8. Related Party Transactions

## Investments Under Common Control

Certain members of the Partnership's management serve as members on the Boards of Directors for some of the companies with which it invests. Because these individuals participate in the management of these companies, investments held by the Partnership and its subsidiaries in these companies may, from time to time, not be freely tradable. As of December 31, 2018, the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities held the following investments in these companies:
(in thousands)

| Issuer | Type of Investment | Fair Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer, Inc. | Common Stock | 296,695 |
| Cornerstone Healthcare Group Holding, Inc. | Common Equity | 59,539 |
| OmniMax International, Inc. | Term Loan | 52,464 |
| JHT Holdings Inc. | Common Stock | 25,099 |
| OmniMax International, Inc. | Common Equity | 7,804 |
| Carey International, Inc. | Term Loan | 5,401 |
| CCS Medical, Inc. | Loan | 5,960 |
| Trussway Holdings, LLC | Common Equity | 4,582 |
| JHT Holdings Inc. | Term Loan | 4,160 |
| OmniMax International, Inc. | Warrants | 551 |
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Certain investments are issued and managed by affiliates of the Partnership. These investments are subject to the same valuation policies and procedures as similar investments within the same level of the fair value hierarchy. As of December 31, 2018, the Partnership and the Consolidated Entities held the following investments that were issued and managed by affiliates of the Partnership:
(in thousands)

| Issuer | Type of Investment | Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Harko, LLC | LLC Units | \$ | 2,721 |
| Highland CLO Funding | Partnership Interest |  | 610 |
| Highland Energy MLP Fund | Mutual Fund Shares |  | 1,363 |
| Highland Floating Rate Opportunities Fund | Closed-end mutual fund shares |  | 832 |
| Highland Global Allocation Fund | Mutual Fund Shares |  | 2,173 |
| Highland Long/Short Equity Fund | Mutual Fund Shares |  | 267 |
| Highland Long/Short Healthcare Fund | Mutual Fund Shares |  | 2,963 |
| Highland Master Loan Fund | Limited Partnership interest |  | 106 |
| Highland Merger Arbitrage Fund | Mutual Fund Shares |  | 1,321 |
| Highland Opportunistic Credit Fund | Mutual Fund Shares |  | 5,477 |
| Highland Premier Growth Equity Fund | Mutual Fund Shares |  | 64 |
| Highland Small Cap Equity Fund | Mutual Fund Shares |  | 465 |
| NexPoint Strategic Opportunities Fund | Mutual Fund Shares |  | 36,563 |
| NexPoint Multi Family Capital Trust | REIT |  | 10,881 |
| NexPoint Real Estate Strategies Fund | Closed-end mutual fund shares |  | 1,454 |
| NexPoint Residential Trust | REIT |  | 85,223 |

## Expenses Reimbursable by Funds Managed

In the normal course of business, the Partnership typically pays invoices it receives from vendors for various services provided to the investment funds the Partnership manages. A summary of these eligible reimbursable expenses are then submitted to the trustee/administrator for each respective fund, typically on a quarterly basis, and the Partnership receives payment as reimbursement for paying the invoices on behalf of the respective funds. As of December 31, 2018, approximately $\$ 6.4$ million in reimbursable expenses were due from various affiliated funds and entities for these eligible expenses, and is included in Other Assets in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet.

## Accounts Held with Related Party

During the year the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities maintained bank accounts at NexBank, SSB ("NexBank"), a related party by way of common control. As of December 31, 2018, balances in these accounts were approximately $\$ 0.5$ million, a portion of which exceeds Federal deposit insurance limits.

## Investment in Affiliated Loans

During the year, certain subsidiaries of the Partnership were invested in several bank loans in which NexBank was the agent bank. Interest earned on the loans during the year was approximately \$10.4 million and is included in interest and investment income in the Consolidated Statement of Income. At December 31, 2018, these subsidiaries were invested in NexBank agented loans with commitments and market values totaling approximately $\$ 83.3$ million and $\$ 56.5$ million, respectively.
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## Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates

During the year ended December 31, 2018, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P. ("HCMFA") did not issue any new promissory notes to the Partnership. The outstanding promissory notes accrue interest at a rate ranging from of 1.97-2.62\%, the mid-term applicable federal rate as promulgated by the Internal Revenue Service. As of December 31, 2018 total interest and principal due on outstanding promissory notes was approximately $\$ 5.3$ million and is payable on demand. The Partnership will not demand payment on amounts owed that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability prior to May 31, 2021. The fair value of the Partnership's outstanding notes receivable approximates the carrying value of the notes receivable.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("NPA") did not issue any new promissory notes to the Partnership. The outstanding promissory note accrues interest at a rate of $6.0 \%$. As of December 31, 2018 total interest and principal due on the outstanding promissory note was approximately $\$ 28.6$ million and is payable in annual installments throughout the term of the loan. The fair value of the Partnership's outstanding notes receivable approximates the carrying value of the notes receivable.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, HCRE Partners, LLC ("HCRE") issued a promissory note to the Partnership in the amount of $\$ 0.8$ million. The note accrues interest at a rate of $8.0 \%$. As of December 31, 2018 total interest and principal due on outstanding promissory notes was approximately $\$ 9.4$ million and is generally payable in annual installments throughout the term of the note. The fair value of the Partnership's outstanding notes receivable approximates the carrying value of the notes receivable.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, Highland Capital Management Services, Inc. ("HCMSI") issued promissory notes to the Partnership in the aggregate amount of $\$ 0.4$ million. All outstanding promissory notes accrue interest at a rate ranging from $2.75 \%-3.05 \%$, the long-term applicable federal rate as promulgated by the Internal Revenue Service. As of December 31, 2018 total interest and principal due on outstanding promissory notes was approximately $\$ 14.0$ million and is generally payable in annual installments throughout the term of the notes. The fair value of the Partnership's outstanding notes receivable approximates the carrying value of the notes receivable.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, James Dondero ("Dondero") issued promissory notes to the Partnership in the aggregate amount of $\$ 14.9$ million. The outstanding promissory notes accrue interest at a rate ranging from $2.03 \%-2.95 \%$, the average long-term applicable federal rate as promulgated by the Internal Revenue Service. As of December 31, 2018 total interest and principal due on outstanding promissory notes was approximately $\$ 29.2$ million and is generally payable in annual installments throughout the term of the note. The fair value of the Partnership's outstanding notes receivable approximates the carrying value of the notes receivable.

During the year ended December 31, 2018, Mark Okada ("Okada") did not issue any new promissory notes to the Partnership. All outstanding promissory notes accrue interest at a rate of $2.25 \%$, the average long-term applicable federal rate as promulgated by the Internal Revenue Service. As of December 31, 2018 total interest and principal due on outstanding promissory notes was approximately $\$ 1.3$ million and is payable on demand. The fair value of the Partnership's outstanding notes receivable approximates the carrying value of the notes receivable.
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During the year ended December 31, 2018, The Dugaboy Investment Trust ("Dugaboy") did not issue any new promissory notes to the Partnership. All outstanding promissory notes accrue interest at a rate of $3.26 \%$, the average long-term applicable federal rate as promulgated by the Internal Revenue Service. As of December 31, 2018 total interest and principal due on outstanding promissory notes was approximately $\$ 20.1$ million and is payable in annual installments throughout the term of the note. The fair value of the Partnership's outstanding notes receivable approximates the carrying value of the notes receivable.

On December 21, 2015, the Partnership entered into a contribution agreement (the "Contribution Agreement") with an affiliated trust. Pursuant to the Contribution Agreement, a note (the "Note Receivable") in the amount of $\$ 63.0$ million was due to the Partnership. The Note Receivable will mature on December 21, 2030. The Note Receivable accrues interest at a rate of $2.61 \%$ per annum. Accrued interest is paid-in-kind, with principal receipts occurring pursuant to a note amortization schedule, with such annual receipts commencing December 21, 2019. During the year, the trust prepaid $\$ 2.1$ million. As of December 31, 2018 total interest and principal due on the Note Receivable was approximately $\$ 60.2$ million.

## Services Performed by or on Behalf of an Affiliate

In March 2007, Highland Capital of New York, Inc. a New York corporation ("Highland New York"), was formed and has performed marketing services for the Partnership and its affiliates in connection with the Partnership's investment management and advising business, including, but not limited to, assisting Highland Capital in the marketing and sales of interests in investment pools for which Highland Capital serves as the investment manager. The Partnership is charged a marketing services fee for the services that Highland New York performs on the Partnership's behalf. Separately, the Partnership pays for, and seeks reimbursement for, various operating expenses on behalf of Highland New York. For the year ended December 31, 2018, total marketing fee expense charged to the Partnership by Highland New York was approximately $\$ 0.9$ million. Because the Partnership funded Highland New York's operations, including amounts above the marketing fee, as of December 31, 2018, net amounts owed to the Partnership by Highland New York was approximately $\$ 4.9$ million.

Effective December 15, 2011, the Partnership commenced performing services on behalf of HCMFA, a Delaware limited partnership and registered investment advisor. Services include, but are not limited to compliance, accounting, human resources, IT and other back office support functions. The Partnership charges a fee for the services performed. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the total fee charged by the Partnership to HCMFA was approximately $\$ 2.7$ million and as of December 31, 2018, amount owed to the Partnership by HCMFA was approximately $\$ 0.2$ million.

Effective July 29, 2010, the Partnership commenced performing services on behalf of Falcon E\&P Opportunities GP, LLC. ("Falcon"), a Delaware limited liability company and registered investment advisor. Services include, but are not limited to compliance, accounting, human resources, IT and other back office support functions. The Partnership charges a fee for the services performed. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the total fee charged by the Partnership to Falcon was approximately $\$ 0.2$ million and as of December 31, 2018, no amounts were owed to the Partnership by Falcon for services rendered.
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Effective March 17, 2017, pursuant to the Third Amended and Restated Sub-Advisory Agreement and the Fourth Amended and Restated Shared Services Agreement, the Partnership continued performing services on behalf of Acis Capital Management, L.P. ("Acis"), a Delaware limited partnership and registered investment advisor. Subadvisory services include investment advisory services and shared services include, but are not limited to compliance, accounting, human resources, IT and other back office support functions. The Partnership charges a fee for the services performed. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the total fees charged by the Partnership to Acis for shared services and subadvisory fees were approximately $\$ 2.6$ million and $\$ 3.4$ million, respectively. As of December 31, 2018, amount owed to the Partnership by Acis was approximately $\$ 6.0$ million. Although such fees were earned in 2018 , all related revenues and receivables recorded by the Partnership have been fully reserved against based on estimated collectability.

Effective January 1, 2018, pursuant to the Third Amended and Restated Shared Services Agreement, the Partnership commenced performing services on behalf of NPA. Services include, but are not limited to compliance, accounting, human resources, IT and other back office support functions. The Partnership charges a fee for the services performed. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the total fee charged by the Partnership to NexPoint was approximately $\$ 2.0$ million and as of December 31, 2018, no amounts were owed to the Partnership by NexPoint for services rendered.

Effective September 1, 2017, pursuant to the Third Amended and Restated Shared Services Agreement dated September 26, 2017, the Partnership commenced performing services on behalf of NexBank Capital, Inc. ("NexBank Capital"), financial services company. Services include, but are not limited to compliance, accounting, human resources, IT and other back office support functions. The Partnership charges a fee for the services performed. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the total fee charged by the Partnership to NexBank Capital was approximately $\$ 0.2$ million and as of December 31, 2018, $\$ 0.1$ million was owed to the Partnership by NexBank Capital for services rendered.

Effective September 1, 2017, pursuant to the Third Amended and Restated Investment Advisory Agreement dated September 26, 2017, the Partnership commenced performing services on behalf of NexBank SSB, ("NexBank"), a Texas savings bank. Services include investment advisory services. The Partnership charges a fee for the services performed. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the total fee charged by the Partnership to NexBank was approximately $\$ 3.6$ million and as of December 31, 2018, amounts owed by NexBank to the Partnership for services rendered were approximately $\$ 0.9$ million.

Effective April 1, 2015, the Partnership commenced performing services on behalf of NexPoint Real Estate Advisors, L.P. ("NREA"). Services include, but are not limited to compliance, accounting, human resources, IT and other back office support functions. NREA is charged a fee for the services provided. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the total fee charged to NREA by the Partnership was approximately $\$ 1.0$ million and as of December 31, 2018, no amounts were owed by NREA to the Partnership for services rendered.

Effective January 1, 2018, the Partnership entered in to a Payroll Reimbursement Agreement (the "Agreement") with HCMFA. Under the Agreement, HCMFA reimburses the Partnership for the cost of any dual employees of the Partnership and HCMFA and who provide advice to registered investment companies advised by HCMFA. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the total fees charged by the Partnership to HCMFA was approximately $\$ 6.2$ million and as of December 31, 2018, no amounts were owed by HCMFA to the Partnership for services rendered.
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Effective January 1, 2018, the Partnership entered in to a Payroll Reimbursement Agreement (the "Agreement") with NPA. Under the Agreement, NPA reimburses the Partnership for the cost of any dual employees of the Partnership and NPA and who provide advice to registered investment companies advised by NPA. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the total fees charged by the Partnership to NPA was approximately $\$ 4.3$ million and as of December 31, 2018, no amounts were owed by NPA to the Partnership for services rendered.

## Investment liability

On December 28, 2016, the Partnership entered into a purchase and sale agreement with The Get Good Nonexempt Trust ("Get Good"). In consideration for a note receivable from an affiliate, the Partnership sold or participated certain investments that it already held, with the participated investments carrying an aggregate market value of $\$ 21.3$ million as of the date of the transaction. The fair value of the Agreement will fluctuate with the fair value of the securities, throughout the term of the Agreement. As of December 31, 2018, the fair value of the participated investments was $\$ 12.1$ million.

On December 5, 2016, Select entered in to Stock Purchase Agreements with two counterparties for shares of Trussway Industries ("Trussway"), in exchange for promissory notes in the aggregate amount of $\$ 15.4$ million. The promissory notes accrue interest at a rate of $2.07 \%$, the long-term Applicable Federal Rate, compounded annually. Select must pay one-twenty-fifth of the initial note amounts, plus any additional principal attributable to the sale of Trussway, along with accumulated interest on an annual basis. The promissory notes will mature on December 5, 2041. As of December 31,2018 the remaining principal payable on the promissory notes was $\$ 14.8$ million. The fair value of Select's outstanding notes payable approximates the carrying value of the notes payable.

During 2014 and 2015, Select received multiple master securities loan agreements (the "Securities Agreements") for securities borrowed from an affiliate. The Securities Agreements accrue interest at a rate ranging from $0.38-0.48 \%$, the short term Applicable Federal Rate. The fair value of the securities loans will fluctuate with the fair value of the borrowed securities, throughout the term of the Securities Agreements. As of December 31, 2018, the fair value of the loans was $\$ 19.2$ million. The fair value of Select's securities loans approximates the carrying value of the securities loans.

## 9. Notes Payable

## Promissory Notes and Loan Agreements

On August 17, 2015, the Partnership entered in to a promissory note with Frontier State Bank ("Frontier") in the amount of $\$ 9.5$ million. Pursuant to the First Amended and Restated Loan Agreement, dated March 29, 2018, Frontier made an additional loan to the Partnership in the amount of $\$ 1.0$ million. The promissory note accrues interest at the 3 month LIBOR rate plus $4.75 \%$, adjusted each date of change, per annum. Accrued interest shall be paid quarterly. The promissory note is collateralized by shares of voting common stock of MGM Holdings, Inc and will mature on August 17, 2021. As of December 31, 2018 the remaining principal payable on the promissory note was $\$ 7.2$ million. The fair value of the Partnership's outstanding notes payable approximates the carrying value of the notes payable.

On August 25, 2015, Highland Select Equity Fund, L.P. ("Select") entered in to a promissory note with Dugaboy in the amount of $\$ 1.0$ million. The promissory note accrues interest at a rate of $2.82 \%$, the long-term Applicable Federal Rate, compounded annually. The accrued interest and principal of the promissory note is due and payable on demand. As of December 31, 2018 the remaining principal payable on the promissory note was $\$ 1.0$ million. The fair value of Select's outstanding notes payable approximates the carrying value of the notes payable.

# Highland Capital Management, L.P. <br> (A Delaware Limited Partnership) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements December 31, 2018 

On October 7, 2016, the Partnership entered in to a promissory note with Acis in the amount of $\$ 12.7$ million. The Partnership is required to make certain payments of the initial note amount, plus accumulated interest on May 31 of each year, until maturity. The promissory note is set to mature on May 31, 2020. The promissory note accrues interest at a rate of $3.00 \%$ per annum. Pursuant to an Assignment and Transfer Agreement dated November 3, 2017, between Acis and an affiliate of the Partnership, Acis transferred the promissory note to the affiliate. As of December 31, 2018 the remaining principal payable on the promissory note was $\$ 9.5$ million.

On August 29, 2016, Maple Avenue Holdings, LLC ("Maple") entered in to a promissory note with Great Southern Bank in the amount of $\$ 3.9$ million. Maple must pay principal and accrued interest installments on a monthly basis until maturity. The promissory note will mature on August 29, 2019. The promissory note accrues interest at a rate of $3.26 \%$ per annum. As of December 31, 2018 the remaining principal payable on the promissory note was $\$ 3.4$ million. The fair value of Maple's outstanding notes payable approximates the carrying value of the notes payable.

On May 1, 2018, Multi Strategy Master executed a loan agreement (the "Loan Agreement") with NexBank SSB, an affiliate of the Partnership. The original principal borrowed under the Loan Agreement was $\$ 36.5$ million. The loan bears interest at the 1 -month LIBOR rate plus $3.25 \%$. The maturity date is May 1, 2021. For the year ended December 31, 2018, the Multi Strategy Master incurred and paid approximately $\$ 1.3$ million of interest expense, and made aggregate principal payments of approximately $\$ 1.9$ million. Shares of Metro-Goldwyn Mayer, Inc. are pledged as collateral on the loan. The loan was used to purchase an outstanding redemption of $\$ 38.7$ million at a discount resulting in a reallocation of partners' capital on the Statement of Changes in Partners' Capital. As of December 31, 2018 the remaining principal payable on the loan was $\$ 34.6$ million. The fair value of Multi Strategy Master's outstanding loan approximates the carrying value of the loan.
10. Due to Broker

As of December 31, 2018 the due to broker balance of approximately $\$ 116.6$ million is payable to financing counterparties for margin transactions.

## 11. Commitments and Contingencies

## Contracts in the Normal Course of Business

In the normal course of business the Partnership and its subsidiaries may enter into contracts which provide general indemnifications and contain a variety of presentations and warranties that may expose the Partnership and its subsidiaries to some risk of loss. The Partnership regularly coinvests in vehicles it advises. The amounts committed are within the Partnerships capacity to fund when capital is called. In addition to the other financial commitments discussed in the consolidated financial statements, the amount of future losses arising from such undertakings, while not quantifiable, is not expected to be significant. Also refer to Note 8 for commitments of certain subsidiaries in affiliated loans.

## Loans as Co-Borrower

The Partnership is a named co-borrower in a Bridge Loan Agreement ("Loan") dated September 26, 2018 with Key Bank for $\$ 556.3$ million. The Loan accrues interest at the 3 month LIBOR rate plus $3.75 \%$, per annum. Accrued interest shall be paid monthly by a borrower other than the Partnership ("Lead Borrower"). The Loan will mature on September 26, 2019. The carrying value of the Loan is reflected on the financial statements of the Lead Borrower.
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## Legal Proceedings

The Partnership is a party to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. While any proceeding or litigation has an element of uncertainty, management believes that the final outcome will not have a materially adverse effect on the Partnership's Consolidated Balance Sheet, Consolidated statement of Income, or its liquidity. See Note 14.

## Operating Leases

The Partnership has an operating lease and associated commitments related to its main office space. Future minimum lease payments under operating lease commitments with initial or non-cancelable terms in excess of one year, at inception, are as follows:
(in thousands)
Years Ending December 31, 2019 1,550
2020 1,566
2021 1,567
2022 522
Total
\$ 5,205

Total rental expense of the Partnership and its Consolidated Entities for operating leases was approximately $\$ 1.5$ million for the year ended December 31, 2018.

## 12. Post Retirement Benefits

In December 2006, the Partnership created a defined benefit plan to which all employees and certain affiliated persons could participate if they met the eligibility requirements. The Partnership uses a December 31 measurement date for its defined benefit plan.

Effective December 31, 2008, the Partnership amended the plan by freezing it to new participants and additional benefit accruals. A new amendment became effective on January 1, 2011 in which a named participant was admitted to the plan and is eligible to earn benefit accrual. 2018 expense reflects a service cost charge for the value of the new participant's benefit earned during 2018.

The Partnership's benefit plan obligation and plan assets for the year ended December 31, 2018 are reconciled in the tables below.
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(in thousands)

| Change in projected benefit obligation | 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ | 2,578 |
| Service cost |  | 6 |
| Interest cost |  | 80 |
| Plan participants' contributions |  | - |
| Amendments |  | - |
| Actuarial loss/(gain) |  | 386 |
| Acquisition/(divestiture) |  | - |
| Benefits paid |  | (121) |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | \$ | 2,929 |
| Change in plan assets |  |  |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | \$ | 2,924 |
| Actual return on plan assets |  | 449 |
| Acquisition/(divestiture) |  | - |
| Employer contribution |  | - |
| Plan participants' contributions |  |  |
| Benefits paid |  | (121) |
| Other increase/(decrease) |  | - |
| Fair value of plan assets at year end | \$ | 3,252 |
| Reconciliation of Funded Status |  |  |
| Accumulated benefit obligation at end of year | \$ | 2,929 |
| Projected benefit obligation at end of year |  | 2,929 |
| Fair value of assets at end of year |  | 3,252 |
| Funded status at end of year | \$ | 323 |

The Partnership did not contribute to the plan during 2018.

## Assumptions

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations at December 31, 2018:
Discount rate $\quad 3.19 \%$

Rate of compensation increase N/A
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Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic benefit cost at December 31, 2018:

| Discount rate | $3.19 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Expected long-term return on plan assets | $3.19 \%$ |
| Rate of compensation increase | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

As of December 31, 2018, there were no plan assets categorized as Level 3.

## 13. Income Taxes

## The Partnership

For U.S. income tax purposes, the Partnership is treated as a pass-through-entity, which means it is not subject to income taxes under current Internal Revenue Service or state and local guidelines. Each partner is individually liable for income taxes, if any, on their share of the Partnership's net taxable income.

The Partnership files tax returns as prescribed by the tax laws of the jurisdictions in which it operates. In the normal course of business, the Partnership is subject to examination by federal and foreign jurisdictions, where applicable. As of December 31, 2018, the tax years that remain subject to examination by the major tax jurisdictions under the statute of limitations is from the year 2015 forward (with limited exceptions).

Authoritative guidance on accounting for and disclosure of uncertainty in tax positions requires the General Partner to determine whether a tax position of the Partnership is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. For tax positions meeting the more likely than not threshold, the tax amount recognized in the financial statements is the largest benefit that as a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the relative taxing authority. The General Partner does not expect a significant change in uncertain tax positions during the twelve months subsequent to December 31, 2018.

## Multi Strategy Master

For U.S. income tax purposes, Multi Strategy Master is treated as a pass-through entity, which means it is not subject to federal income taxes under current Internal Revenue Service guidelines. However, each investor may be individually liable for income taxes, if any, on its share of the partnership's net taxable income.

Multi Strategy Master trades in senior secured syndicated bank loans for its own account and, as such, non-U.S. Investment Vehicle investors are generally not subject to U.S. tax on such earnings (other than certain withholding taxes indicated below). The Partnership intends to conduct Multi Strategy Master business in such a manner that it does not constitute a U.S. trade or business, nor does it create a taxable presence in any of the jurisdictions in which the Partnership has offices.

Dividends as well as certain interest and other income received by Multi Strategy Master from sources within the United States may be subject to, and reflected net of, United States withholding tax at a rate of $30 \%$ for non-U.S. Investment Vehicles. Interest, dividend and other income realized by Multi Strategy Master from non-U.S. sources and capital gains realized on the sale of securities of non-U.S. issuers may be subject to withholding and other taxes levied by the jurisdiction in which the income is sourced. As of December 31, 2018, a minimal withholding tax liability of $\$ 0.9$ million is classified within accrued and other liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

# Highland Capital Management, L.P. <br> (A Delaware Limited Partnership) <br> Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements <br> December 31, 2018 

Multi Strategy Master applies authoritative guidance which requires management to determine whether a tax position Multi Strategy Master is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. For tax positions meeting the more likely than not threshold, the tax amount recognized in the consolidated financial statements is the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the relative taxing authority. Management does not expect a significant change in uncertain tax positions during the twelve months subsequent to December 31, 2018.

Multi Strategy Master files tax returns as prescribed by the tax laws of the jurisdictions in which it operates. In the normal course of business, Multi Strategy Master is subject to examination by federal and foreign jurisdictions, where applicable. As of December 31, 2018, the tax years that remain subject to examination by the major tax jurisdictions under the statute of limitations is from the year 2015 forward (with limited exceptions).

## Restoration Onshore

Restoration Onshore is treated as a pass-through entity for tax purposes, which means it is not subject to U.S. income taxes under current Internal Revenue Service or state and local guidelines. Each Partner is individually liable for income taxes, if any, on its share of the Restoration Onshore's net taxable income. Interest, dividends and other income realized by Restoration Onshore from nonU.S. sources and capital gains realized on the sale of securities of non-U.S. issuers may be subject to withholding and other taxes levied by the jurisdiction in which the income is sourced.

Restoration Onshore applies the authoritative guidance on accounting for and disclosure of uncertainty in tax positions, which requires the General Partner to determine whether a tax position of Restoration Onshore is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. For tax positions meeting the more likely than not threshold, the tax amount recognized in the financial statements is the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the relevant taxing authority.

The General Partner has determined that there was no effect on the financial statements from the Partnership's application of this authoritative guidance. The General Partner does not expect a significant change in uncertain tax positions during the twelve months subsequent to December 31, 2018. Restoration Onshore files tax returns as prescribed by the tax laws of the jurisdictions in which it operates. In the normal course of business, the Partnership is subject to examination by federal, state, local and foreign jurisdictions, where applicable. As of December 31, 2018, the tax years that remain subject to examination by the major tax jurisdictions under the statute of limitations is from the year 2015 forward (with limited exceptions).

## Restoration Offshore

Restoration Offshore is a Cayman Islands exempted company. Under the current laws of the Cayman Islands, there is no income, estate, transfer, sales or other tax payable by Restoration Offshore. Restoration Offshore has elected to be treated as a corporation for U.S. tax purposes and files a protective 1120-F.

The General Partner intends to conduct the business of Restoration Offshore in such a way that Restoration Offshore's activities do not constitute a U.S. trade or business and any income or realized gains earned by Restoration Offshore do not become "effectively connected" with a trade or business carried on in the United States for U.S. federal income tax purposes.
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Dividends as well as certain interest and other income received by the master partnership of Restoration Offshore from sources within the United States may be subject to, and reflected net of, United States withholding tax at a rate of $30 \%$ for non-U.S. Investment Vehicles. Interest, dividend and other income realized by the master partnership of Restoration Offshore from non-U.S. sources and capital gains realized on the sale of securities of non-U.S. issuers may be subject to withholding and other taxes levied by the jurisdiction in which the income is sourced.

Restoration Offshore applies the authoritative guidance on accounting for and disclosure of uncertainty in tax positions, which requires the General Partner to determine whether a tax position of Restoration Offshore is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. For tax positions meeting the more likely than not threshold, the tax amount recognized in the financial statements is the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the relevant taxing authority. The General Partner has determined that there was no effect on the financial statements from the Partnership's application of this authoritative guidance. The General Partner does not expect a significant change in uncertain tax positions during the twelve months subsequent to December 31, 2018. As of December 31, 2018, the tax years that remain subject to examination by major tax jurisdictions under the statute of limitations is from the year 2015 forward (with limited exceptions).

The remaining entities consolidated by the Partnership had no uncertain tax positions which required accrual under U.S. GAAP.
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## 14. Legal Proceedings

The Partnership and certain affiliated investment vehicles are defendants in a complaint filed on February 24, 2009 New York state court by UBS Securities LLC and UBS AG, London Branch relating to a CLO warehouse facility with respect to which UBS is attempting to extend liability beyond the two entities that bore sole risk of loss under the governing documents. On February 19, 2010, the court dismissed all claims against the Partnership. UBS since has filed additional claims against the Partnership and certain additional investment vehicles. On July 21, 2011, the First Appellate Division again dismissed two of UBS's four claims against the Partnership, severely limiting the remaining two claims. Additional claims were dismissed in a further appellate ruling issued on October 31, 2017. Certain claims were tried in July 2018 against two Highland-affiliated defendants, but the trial court has neither ruled on those claims nor indicated when it will set UBS's remaining claims for trial. The second trial, if it occurs, will try all claims against the Partnership and certain affiliated investment vehicles.

From time to time the Partnership is party to disputes with disgruntled former employees. One such matter involves a former employee that improperly recorded internal conversations in violation of the Partnership's internal policies and procedures and potentially certain criminal and regulatory provisions. The former employee obtained a $\$ 7.9$ million judgment against Highland affiliate Acis Capital Management, L.P. ("Acis"). The employee currently is attempting to collect this judgment through various proceedings in Texas state and federal court, including claims against Highland for receipt of assets from Acis.

In another matter, a Court ruled that a former employee breached his fiduciary duty to the Partnership, owed damages to the Partnership, and ordered the former employee to cease using or disclosing the Partnership's confidential information. Additionally, an award was entered in favor of the employee against a separate incentive compensation entity for an interest that was already escrowed in his name prior to trial and in which he was already vested. The dispute over the amount of his vested interest is on-going. Additionally, the Partnership from time to time must take action to enforce the permanent injunction against the former employee's continuing improper disclosures of the Partnership's confidential information.

The Partnership is engaged in litigation and arbitration with a group of investors relating to the postfinancial crisis wind down and distribution of the remaining assets in the Crusader hedge fund vehicle.

The Partnership currently is and has been previously subject to various legal proceedings, many of which have been due to the nature of operating in the distressed loan business in the U.S. The legal process is often the route of last resort to recover amounts due from delinquent borrowers. We currently do not anticipate these proceedings will have a material negative impact to the Partnership.

## 15. Subsequent Events

On March 18, 2019, SSP Holdings, LLC issued a promissory note to the Partnership in the amount of $\$ 2.0$ million. The note accrues interest at a rate of $18 \%$.

On March 26, 2019, Trussway Holdings, LLC issued a promissory note to the Partnership in the amount of $\$ 1.0$ million. The note accrues interest at a rate of $10 \%$.
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On March 28, 2019, the Partnership distributed equity to its partners in the aggregate amount of \$3.7 million.

On March 28, 2019, the Partnership received a $\$ 3.7$ million pay down on the outstanding Contribution Agreement.

Over the course of 2019, through the report date, HCMFA issued promissory notes to the Partnership in the aggregate amount of $\$ 7.4$ million. The notes accrue interest at a rate of $2.39 \%$.

The Partnership has performed an evaluation of subsequent events through June 3, 2019, which is the date the consolidated financial statements were available to be issued, and has determined that there are no other material subsequent events that would require disclosure in the Partnership's consolidated financial statements.

Highland Capital Management, L.P.
(A Delaware Limited Partnership)
As of And Year Ended December 31, 2018
Supplemental Information

## Highland Capital Management, L.P. <br> (A Delaware Limited Partnership) <br> Supplemental Consolidating Balance Sheet <br> December 31, 2018

| (in thousands) | Highland Capital Management, L.P. |  | All Other Consolidated Entities |  | Eliminations |  | Total Consolidated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 2,567 | \$ | 2,467 | \$ | - | \$ | 5,034 |
| Investments at fair value (cost \$922,027) |  | 161,939 |  | 683,247 |  | - |  | 845,186 |
| Equity method investees |  | 121,936 |  | - |  | $(121,936)$ |  | - |
| Management and incentive fees receivable |  | 2,242 |  | 158 |  | (7) |  | 2,393 |
| Due from brokers |  | - |  | 598 |  | - |  | 598 |
| Other assets |  | 8,421 |  | 5,660 |  | $(4,826)$ |  | 9,255 |
| Notes and other amounts due from affiliates |  | 176,963 |  | - |  | $(3,565)$ |  | 173,398 |
| Intangible assets |  | - |  | 3,022 |  | - |  | 3,022 |
| Fixed assets and leasehold improvements, net of accumulated depreciation of $\$ 11,197$ |  | 4,538 |  | 43 |  | - |  | 4,581 |
| Total assets | \$ | 478,606 | \$ | 695,195 | \$ | $(130,334)$ | \$ | 1,043,467 |

Liabilities and partners' capital
Liabilities

| Accounts payable | \$ | 4,838 | \$ | 145 | \$ | - | \$ | 4,983 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Securities sold, not yet purchased (proceeds \$26,135) |  | - |  | 32,357 |  | - |  | 32,357 |
| Withdrawals payable | - |  |  | 57,009 |  | - |  | 57,009 |
| Due to affiliates | 4,542 |  |  | - |  | $(4,542)$ |  | - |
| Due to brokers | 31,194 |  |  | 86,108 |  | (742) |  | 116,560 |
| Due to brokers for securities purchased, not yet settled | 1,640 |  |  | - |  | - |  | 1,640 |
| Accrued and other liabilities | 35,574 |  |  | 4,276 |  | 396 |  | 40,246 |
| Notes payable | 16,722 |  |  | 42,540 |  | $(3,510)$ |  | 55,752 |
| Investment liabilities | 12,135 |  |  | 33,957 |  | - |  | 46,092 |
| Total liabilities | 106,645 |  |  | 256,392 |  | $(8,398)$ |  | 354,639 |
| Non-controlling interest |  | - |  | 316,867 |  | - |  | 316,867 |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Partners' capital | 371,961 |  |  | 121,936 |  | $(121,936)$ |  | 371,961 |
| Total liabilities and partners' capital | \$ | 478,606 | \$ | 695,195 | \$ | $(130,334)$ | \$ | 1,043,467 |

Highland Capital Management, L.P.
(A Delaware Limited Partnership)
Supplemental Consolidating Statement of Income
Year Ended December 31, 2018
(in thousands)

## Revenue:

Management fees
Interest and investment income
Incentive fees
Shared services fees
Other income
Total revenue
Expenses:
Compensation and benefits
Professional fees
Interest expense
Marketing and advertising expense
Depreciation and amortization
Investment and research consulting
Bad debt expense
Other operating expenses
Total expenses
Other Income/(Expense):
Other income
Impairment on intangible assets
Total other income
Income/(loss) before investment and derivative activities
Realized and unrealized gain/(loss) on investments and derivatives:
Net realized gain/(loss) on investments and derivatives
Net change in unrealized loss on investments and derivatives
Net realized and unrealized loss on investments and derivatives

Net unrealized losses from equity method investees
Net loss
Net loss attributable to non-controlling interest

Net loss attributable to Highland Capital Management, L.P.

| Highland <br> Capital <br> Management, <br> L.P. | All Other <br> Consolidated <br> Entities | Eliminations |  | Total <br> Consolidated |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| 33,670 | 805 | - | 34,475 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 14,624 | 3,055 | - | 17,679 |
| 1,695 | 3,975 | - | 5,670 |
| 2,413 | - | - | 2,413 |
| 1,304 | 13 | - | 1,317 |
| 1,082 | - | - | 1,082 |
| 7,862 | - | - | 7,862 |
| 6,786 | 3,241 | - | 10,027 |
| 69,436 | 11,089 | - | 80,525 |


| 9,816 | 10 | - | 9,826 |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(2,830)$ | - | - | $(2,830)$ |
| 686 | 10 | - | 6,996 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 12,087$)$ | 2,868 | - | $(9,219)$ |


| 13,397 | $(44,914)$ | - | $(31,517)$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $(406)$ | $(93,349)$ | - | $(93,755)$ |
| 12,991 | $(138,263)$ | - | $(125,272)$ |


|  | $(74,082)$ | - | 74,082 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | $(73,178)$ | $(135,395)$ | 74,082 | $(134,491)$ |
|  | - | $(61,313)$ | - | $(61,313)$ |
| $\$$ | $(73,178) \$$ | $(74,082) \$$ | 74,082 | $\$$ |
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## (in thousands)

| Assets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current assets: |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 2,567 |
| Investments at fair value (cost \$263,008*) |  | 259,460 |
| Equity method investees |  | 24,415 |
| Management and incentive fees receivable |  | 2,242 |
| Intangible assets |  | 8,421 |
| Notes and other amounts due from affiliates |  | 176,963 |
| Fixed assets and leasehold improvements, net of accumulated depreciation of $\$ 11,177$ |  | 4,538 |
| Total assets | \$ | 478,606 |
| Liabilities and partners' capital |  |  |
| Liabilities |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 4,838 |
| Due to affiliate |  | 4,542 |
| Due to brokers |  | 31,194 |
| Due to brokers for securities purchased not yet settled |  | 1,640 |
| Accrued and other liabilities |  | 35,574 |
| Notes payable |  | 16,722 |
| Investment liabilities |  | 12,135 |
| Total liabilities |  | 106,645 |
| Partners' capital |  | 371,961 |
| Total liabilities and partners' capital | \$ | 478,606 |

*Investments, at fair value includes $\$ 97.5$ million of limited partnership interest ownership of Consolidated Investment Funds, which are discussed in Footnote 2. These entities are consolidated because the Partnership controls the general partner of the respective entities and is responsible for the daily operations of the entities.

The above information was derived from the audited December 31, 2018 consolidated financial statements of Highland Capital Management, L.P. This information should be read in conjunction with such audited financial statements.
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## (in thousands)

## Revenue:

| Management fees | 35,264 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Incentive fees | 17 |
| Shared services fees | 9,187 |
| Interest and investment income | 4,857 |
| Miscellaneous income | 1,038 |
| revenue | 50,363 |

## Expenses:

Compensation and benefits 33,670
Professional fees 14,624
Marketing and advertising expense 2,413
Interest expense 1,695
Depreciation and amortization 1,304
Investment and research consulting $\quad 1,082$
Bad debt expense 7,862
Other operating expenses 6,786
Total expenses 69,436
Other Income/(Expense):
Other income 9,816
Impairment on intangible assets $\quad(2,830)$
Total other income $\quad 6,986$
Loss before investment activities
Realized and unrealized gains/losses on investments:
Net realized gain on sale of investments
Net change in unrealized loss on investments*
Total realized and unrealized loss on investments $\quad(43,132)$

Loss from equity method investees:
Net loss
$\$ \quad(73,178)$
*Net change in unrealized gain on investments includes $\$ 56.1$ million of unrealized loss from holdings of limited partnership interests of Consolidated Investment Funds, which are discussed in Footnote 2. These entities are consolidated because the Partnership controls the general partner of the respective entities and is responsible for the daily operations of the entities.

The above information was derived from the audited December 31, 2018 consolidated financial statements of Highland Capital Management, L.P. This information should be read in conjunction with such audited consolidated financial statements.

## EXHIBIT 35

## HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, L.P.

## INCUMBENCY CERTIFICATE

I am the sole Director of STRAND ADVISORS XVI, INC., a Delaware corporation (the "General Partner"), the general partner of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership (the "Partnership"). In that capacity, I certify that the persons listed below have been duly appointed and qualified as, and currently are, officers of the General Partner of the Partnership. I also certify that each person listed below holds the position that is listed opposite his or her name in the General Partner, and that the signatures attached are the genuine signatures of the persons indicated. I also certify that in their capacity as officers of the General Partner, the persons listed below are authorized to execute any and all agreements on behalf of the General Partner in its capacity as the general partner of the Partnership. I further certify that in their capacity as officers of the General Partner, the persons listed below are authorized to give any party on behalf of the Partnership all notices, orders, directions, or instructions (including but not limited to written, facsimile, or oral funds transfer instructions) in connection with any transaction to which the Partnership is or in the future may be a party to in any capacity.

| Name of Officer | Title |
| :--- | :--- |
| Dustin Norris | Executive Vice President |
| Frank Waterhouse | Treasurer |
| Lauren Thedford | Secretary |

Signature


WITNESS my hand to be effective as of the $11^{\text {th }}$ day of April, 2019.

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, L.P.

By: Strand Advisors XVI, Inc., its general partner

By:


## EXHIBIT 36

From: Frank Waterhouse [FWaterhouse@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:FWaterhouse@HighlandCapital.com)
Sent: Tuesday, October 6, 2020 6:19 PM
To: Lauren Thedford [LThedford@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:LThedford@HighlandCapital.com); David Klos [DKlos@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:DKlos@HighlandCapital.com); Kristin Hendrix [KHendrix@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:KHendrix@HighlandCapital.com)
Cc: Thomas Surgent [TSurgent@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:TSurgent@HighlandCapital.com); Jason Post [JPost@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:JPost@HighlandCapital.com); Dustin Norris [DNorris@NexPointSecurities.com](mailto:DNorris@NexPointSecurities.com); Will Mabry [WMabry@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:WMabry@HighlandCapital.com)
Subject: RE: 15(c) Follow up (10_2_20).DOCX

No shared services outstanding. The HCMFA note is a demand note. The NexPoint note Kristin can give the end term. There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021. The attorneys think that BK doesn't change that but don't know for sure at the end of the day. The response should include as I covered in the Board meeting that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero and to my knowledge that hasn't changed.

From: Lauren Thedford [LThedford@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:LThedford@HighlandCapital.com)
Sent: Tuesday, October 6, 2020 6:14 PM
To: Frank Waterhouse [FWaterhouse@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:FWaterhouse@HighlandCapital.com); David Klos [DKlos@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:DKlos@HighlandCapital.com); Kristin Hendrix [KHendrix@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:KHendrix@HighlandCapital.com)
Cc: Thomas Surgent [TSurgent@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:TSurgent@HighlandCapital.com); Jason Post [JPost@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:JPost@HighlandCapital.com); Dustin Norris [DNorris@NexPointSecurities.com](mailto:DNorris@NexPointSecurities.com); Will Mabry [WMabry@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:WMabry@HighlandCapital.com)
Subject: RE: 15(c) Follow up (10_2_20).DOCX
I see the below from the 6/30 financials -
NPA: Due to HCMLP and affiliates as of June 30, 2020-23,683,000
HCMFA: Due to HCMLP as of June 30, 2020-12,286
I expect the follow-up question will be regarding terms and structure of the notes and whether any of the shared services invoices are outstanding.

Draft answer below.
Are there any material outstanding amounts currently payable or due in the future (e.g., notes) to HCMLP by HCMFA or NexPoint Advisors or any other affiliate that provide services to the Funds?

Response: As of June 30, 2020, $\$ 23,683,000$ remains outstanding to HCMLP and its affiliates from NexPoint and $\$ 12,286,000$ remains outstanding to HCMLP from HCMFA. The Notes between HCMLP and NexPoint come due on [DATE]. The Notes between HCMLP and HCMFA come due on [DATE]. All amounts owed by each of NexPoint and HCMFA pursuant to the shared services arrangement with HCMLP have been paid as of [DATE].

From: Frank Waterhouse [FWaterhouse@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:FWaterhouse@HighlandCapital.com)
Sent: Tuesday, October 6, 2020 6:05 PM
To: Lauren Thedford [LThedford@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:LThedford@HighlandCapital.com); David Klos [DKlos@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:DKlos@HighlandCapital.com); Kristin Hendrix [KHendrix@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:KHendrix@HighlandCapital.com)
Cc: Thomas Surgent [TSurgent@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:TSurgent@HighlandCapital.com); Jason Post [JPost@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:JPost@HighlandCapital.com); Dustin Norris
[DNorris@NexPointSecurities.com](mailto:DNorris@NexPointSecurities.com); Will Mabry [WMabry@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:WMabry@HighlandCapital.com)
Subject: RE: 15(c) Follow up (10_2_20).DOCX
It's on the balance sheet that was provided to the board as part of the 15 c materials.

From: Lauren Thedford [LThedford@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:LThedford@HighlandCapital.com)
Sent: Tuesday, October 6, 2020 6:04 PM
To: Frank Waterhouse [FWaterhouse@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:FWaterhouse@HighlandCapital.com); David Klos [DKlos@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:DKlos@HighlandCapital.com); Kristin Hendrix [KHendrix@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:KHendrix@HighlandCapital.com)
Cc: Thomas Surgent [TSurgent@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:TSurgent@HighlandCapital.com); Jason Post [JPost@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:JPost@HighlandCapital.com); Dustin Norris [DNorris@NexPointSecurities.com](mailto:DNorris@NexPointSecurities.com); Will Mabry [WMabry@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:WMabry@HighlandCapital.com)
Subject: RE: 15(c) Follow up (10_2_20).DOCX
Could you provide the amounts?
Thanks

From: Frank Waterhouse [FWaterhouse@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:FWaterhouse@HighlandCapital.com)
Sent: Tuesday, October 6, 2020 5:53 PM
To: Lauren Thedford [LThedford@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:LThedford@HighlandCapital.com); David Klos [DKlos@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:DKlos@HighlandCapital.com); Kristin Hendrix [KHendrix@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:KHendrix@HighlandCapital.com)
Cc: Thomas Surgent [TSurgent@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:TSurgent@HighlandCapital.com); Jason Post [JPost@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:JPost@HighlandCapital.com); Dustin Norris [DNorris@NexPointSecurities.com](mailto:DNorris@NexPointSecurities.com); Will Mabry [WMabry@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:WMabry@HighlandCapital.com)
Subject: RE: 15(c) Follow up (10_2_20).DOCX
Yes

From: Lauren Thedford [LThedford@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:LThedford@HighlandCapital.com)
Sent: Tuesday, October 6, 2020 5:52 PM
To: Frank Waterhouse [FWaterhouse@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:FWaterhouse@HighlandCapital.com); David Klos [DKlos@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:DKlos@HighlandCapital.com); Kristin Hendrix [KHendrix@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:KHendrix@HighlandCapital.com)
Cc: Thomas Surgent [TSurgent@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:TSurgent@HighlandCapital.com); Jason Post [JPost@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:JPost@HighlandCapital.com); Dustin Norris
[DNorris@NexPointSecurities.com](mailto:DNorris@NexPointSecurities.com); Will Mabry [WMabry@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:WMabry@HighlandCapital.com)
Subject: RE: 15(c) Follow up (10_2_20).DOCX

Good evening Frank, Klos, Kristin - please advise on the below in connection with the Board's follow-up request. Thanks!
Are there any material outstanding amounts currently payable or due in the future (e.g., notes) to HLCMLP by HCMFA or NexPoint Advisors or any other affiliate that provide services to the Funds?

From: Lauren Thedford
Sent: Friday, October 2, 2020 2:50 PM
To: Thomas Surgent [TSurgent@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:TSurgent@HighlandCapital.com)
Cc: Jason Post [JPost@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:JPost@HighlandCapital.com); Dustin Norris [DNorris@Nexpointsecurities.com](mailto:DNorris@Nexpointsecurities.com); Will Mabry [WMabry@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:WMabry@HighlandCapital.com); David Klos [DKlos@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:DKlos@HighlandCapital.com)
Subject: FW: 15(c) Follow up (10_2_20).DOCX

Thomas - please see attached (and reproduced below) additional 15 c follow-up questions from the Board.

1. Please provide, to the extent practicable, the contingency plans with respect to the services provided under the Shared Services Agreements in the event that the outcome of the HCMLP bankruptcy proceedings were to impact the current servicing structure. For example, has the Advisers considered any outside service providers if necessary?

Note prior question and response on related topic:

With respect to the Estimated Adviser Profitability chart (Item A.2.a in the Board book), is the "Shared Services" line the only expenses attributable to HCMLP? Has any work been done or consideration been given to the solicitation of a third party bid on performing these services or bringing them in house to HCMFA?

Response: Shared services, along with a portion of the investment professional compensation \& benefits lines, are the only allocations attributable to HCMLP employees' support of the Advisers. HCMFA does not have the resources to bring these services in-house at this time, but given that HCMLP staffing levels for the provision of the shared services have remained fairly consistent and HCMLP remains capable of providing such shared services on economically reasonable terms, outsourced third-party bids have not been solicited at this time.
2. Are there any material outstanding amounts currently payable or due in the future (e.g., notes) to HLCMLP by HCMFA or NexPoint Advisors or any other affiliate that provide services to the Funds?
3. The Board notes the provision of the updated list of current co-investments provided by HCMFA/NexPoint Advisors and the Advisers' discussion, including the senior-level team in place, to address any potential conflicts of interest matters. With respect to the compliance function, please confirm that the Funds' Chief Compliance Officer overall will continue in his usual role with respect to the Funds. Are there any other potential conflicts outside of the specific co-investment matters identified?

Please let me know if you would like me to set up a call on Monday to discuss.

From: Louizos, Stacy [SLouizos@BlankRome.com](mailto:SLouizos@BlankRome.com)
Sent: Friday, October 2, 2020 1:54 PM
To: Dustin Norris [DNorris@NexPointSecurities.com](mailto:DNorris@NexPointSecurities.com); Lauren Thedford [LThedford@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:LThedford@HighlandCapital.com)
Cc: Jason Post [JPost@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:JPost@HighlandCapital.com); Zornada, George [George.Zornada@klgates.com](mailto:George.Zornada@klgates.com); Charles.Miller@klgates.com; Jon-Luc.Dupuy@klgates.com
Subject: 15(c) Follow up (10_2_20).DOCX
Hi Dustin and Lauren-Please see attached follow up questions from the Trustees after the latest Board call. Happy to have a call to discuss if helpful.

Best,
Stacy

Stacy H. Louizos | BLANKROME
1271 Avenue of the Americas | New York, NY 10020
O: 212.885.5147 | F: 917.332.3028 | slouizos@blankrome.com
M: 203.918.3666

```
*
```

This message and any attachments may contain confidential or privileged information and are only for the use of the intended recipient of this message. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify the Blank Rome LLP or Blank Rome Government Relations LLC sender by return email, and delete or destroy this and all copies of this message and all attachments. Any unauthorized disclosure, use, distribution, or reproduction of this message or any attachments is prohibited and may be unlawful.

*

PRIVILEGE WARNING: The sender or recipient of this message is a member of the legal department at Highland Capital Management. This message and any attachments hereto may constitute attorney work product or be protected by the attorney-client privilege. Do not disclose this message or any attachments hereto without prior consent of a member of the legal department at Highland Capital Management.

## EXHIBIT 45

## TO BE FILED

## UNDER SEAL

## EXHIBIT 54

## From: Kristin Hendrix

Sent: Thursday, May 02, 2019 12:33 PM
To: Hayley Eliason [HEliason@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:HEliason@HighlandCapital.com); Blair Roeber [BRoeber@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:BRoeber@HighlandCapital.com)
Subject: FW: HCMLP to HCMFA loan

Blair,

Here is a copy of the note for support.

Hayley - FYI for your loan tracker.

## From: David Klos

Sent: Thursday, May 02, 2019 11:24 AM
To: Corporate Accounting
Subject: HCMLP to HCMFA loan

Blair,
Please send $\$ 2,400,000$ from HCMLP to HCMFA. This is a new interco loan. Kristin, can you or Hayley please prep a note for execution. I'll have further instructions later today, but please process this payment as soon as possible.

DAVID KLOS | CONTROLLER
HIGHLAND CAPITAL
MANAGEMENT

[^7]
## PROMISSORY NOTE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, LP. ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of TWO MILLION FOUR HUNDRED THOUSAND and 00/100 Dollars ( $\$ 2,400,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the short-term "applicable federal rate" (2.39\%) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.

## MAKER:



FRANK WATERHOUSE

## EXHIBIT 56

## From: Kristin Hendrix

Sent: Friday, May 03, 2019 3:06 PM
To: Corporate Accounting [CorporateAccounting@hcmlp.com](mailto:CorporateAccounting@hcmlp.com)
Subject: HCMLP Loan to HCMFA

Blair,
Please set up a wire from HCMLP to HCMFA for $\$ 5 \mathrm{M}$ as a new loan ( $\$ 4.4 \mathrm{M}$ should be coming in from Jim soon).

Hayley, please add this to your loan tracker. I will paper the loan.

Thanks,

Kristin Hendrix, CPA | Manager, Corporate Accounting

## HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT

300 Crescent Court | Suite 700 | Dallas, Texas 75201
O: 972.628.4127 | F: 972.628.4147
khendrix@highlandcapital.com | www.highlandcapital.com


## EXHIBIT 57

## PROMISSORY NOTE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, LP. ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of FIVE MILLION and 00/100 Dollars ( $\$ 5,000,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the short-term "applicable federal rate" (2.39\%) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.

## MAKER:



FRANK WATERHOUSE

## EXHIBIT 59

HIGHLAND CAPITAL
MANAGEMENT
FUND ADVISORS

TO: Board of Trustees or Board of Directors (as the case may be) (collectively, the "Board") of Highland Funds I, Highland Funds II, Highland Income Fund, Highland Global Allocation Fund, NexPoint Strategic Opportunities Fund, NexPoint Real Estate Strategies Fund and NexPoint Capital, Inc.

FROM: Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P., NexPoint Advisors, L.P. and NexPoint Securities, Inc.

RE: Supplemental 15(c) Information Request
DATE: $\quad$ October 23, 2020
Pursuant to your supplemental request dated October 2, 2020, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P. ("HCMFA"), NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("NexPoint", and with HCMFA, each, an "Adviser", and together, the "Advisers") and NexPoint Securities, Inc. ("NSI" the "Distributor") submit the following supplemental information to the Board in order to assist the Board in fulfilling its obligations under Section 15(c) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the " 1940 Act"), and to assist in the Board's consideration of the investment advisory, and other contractual arrangements, for the funds listed on Appendix A (each, a "Fund" and, collectively, the "Funds"). References to the 2020 15(c) Response dated August 13, 2020 and the supplemental response dated September 17-18, 2020 are referred to as the " $\underline{2020} 15$ (c) Response" and "2020 Supplemental 15(c) Response", respectively.

Your requests have been noted below, each of which is followed by our response. Unless otherwise specified, reference documents are located on Director's Desk at the following location: Home $>$ Documents $>$ Corporate Documents $>15 \mathrm{c}$ Reference Documents.

## A. Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

1. Please provide, to the extent practicable, the contingency plans with respect to the services provided under the Shared Services Agreements in the event that the outcome of the HCMLP bankruptcy proceedings were to impact the current servicing structure. For example, has the Advisers considered any outside service providers if necessary?

Response: As a result of the Highland Capital Management, L.P. ("HCMLP") bankruptcy, NexPoint's senior management's plan as a backup/contingency plan is to extend employment offers to the vast majority of HCMLP's employees by December 31, 2020. This will help ensure that there is no disruption in services to the Funds. Once we have further details of this we will advise. In the interim, the plan is to continue with existing shared services.

Representatives of HCMLP and NexPoint will be available to discuss the structure of these contingency plans, relevant employees, and communications
to current employees regarding these matters. Representatives of HCMLP and NexPoint are working to facilitate the shared use of and/or transfer of services such as the intranet, shared computer drives, and third-party contracts.
2. Are there any material outstanding amounts currently payable or due in the future (e.g., notes) to HLCMLP by HCMFA or NexPoint Advisors or any other affiliate that provide services to the Funds?

Response: As of June 30, 2020, $\$ 23,683,000$ remains outstanding to HCMLP and its affiliates from NexPoint and $\$ 12,286,000$ remains outstanding to HCMLP from HCMFA. The Note between HCMLP and NexPoint comes due on December 31, 2047. The earliest the Note between HCMLP and HCMFA could come due is in May 2021. All amounts owed by each of NexPoint and HCMFA pursuant to the shared services arrangement with HCMLP have been paid as of the date of this letter. The Adviser notes that both entities have the full faith and support of James Dondero.
3. The Board notes the provision of the updated list of current co-investments provided by HCMFA/NexPoint Advisors and the Advisers' discussion, including the senior-level team in place, to address any potential conflicts of interest matters. With respect to the compliance function, please confirm that the Funds' Chief Compliance Officer overall will continue in his usual role with respect to the Funds. Are there any other potential conflicts outside of the specific co-investment matters identified?

Response: The Advisers confirm that the Funds' Chief Compliance Officer overall will continue in his usual role with respect to the Funds. As of October 14, 2020, the Funds' Chief Compliance Officer is an employee of NexPoint. Please see Exhibit A for a list of current co-investments and cross-held positions where a future conflict may arise together with Exhibit B for the list of nonHCMLP employees available to assist the Board in any future conflicts.

## Exhibit A

## Co-Investment Analysis

## Highland Capital Management, LP ("HCMLP") <br> Condensed Co-Investment Analysis

As of 9/30/20

| Investment | HCMLP MV | Funds Managed by HCMLP MV | Retail Funds | Non-HCMLP Investment Coverage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc. Class A Common Stock | \$13,085,369 | \$418,019,027 | \$61,820,908 | Dondero |
| CCS Medical, Inc. (Chronic Care) Loan 1st Lien @ PRIME 7\% 7/31/2021 | - | 121,166,994 | 47,510,599 | Dondero |
| TerreStar Corporation Term Loan A @ LIBOR 11\% 2/28/2022 | - | 49,742,043 | 40,159,485 | Dondero |
| VST US Equity | - | 41,904,280 | 24,381,982 | Sowin |
| NXRT | 10,799,003 | 2,228,410 | 21,256,955 | McGraner |
| Grayson CLO, Ltd. Class II Preference Shares | - | 2,201,500 | 18,861,500 | Sowin |
| NHT/U CN | 2,028,793 | - | 18,524,594 | McGraner |
| NHF | 2,208,872 | 2,954,619 | 15,808,648 | Dondero |
| Advantage Sales \& Marketing Inc. Term Loan (Second Lien) @ LIBOR 6.5\% 7/25/2022 | - | 1,940,140 | 13,784,695 | Sowin |
| Procera Networks, Inc. (aka Sandvine Corp) Initial Term Loan (First Lien) @ LIBOR 4.5\% 10/3 | - | 1,367,373 | 13,681,487 | Sowin |
| Gruden Acquisition, Inc. (aka Quality Distribution) ITL (First Lien) @ LIBOR 5.5\% 8/18/2022 | - | 2,568,463 | 11,124,738 | Sowin |
| Westchester CLO, Ltd Class I Preference Shares 144A | - | 3,373,333 | 10,888,813 | Sowin |
| HRTX | - | 81,510 | 10,686,168 | Dondero |
| Vistra Energy Corp. (fka TCEH Corp.) TXU TRA rights | - | 3,494,825 | 10,476,054 | Sowin |
| American Banknote Common | 693,467 | - | 1,843,371 | Dondero |
| American Airlines Escrow | 154,650 | 630,365 | 1,444,839 | Dondero |
| Ginn LA Conduit Lender, Inc. 1st Lien A CL Deposit @ PRIME 4.5\% 6/8/2011 | 68,860 | 812,716 | 846,955 | Sowin |
| TerreStar Corporation TL C @ LIBOR 11\% 2/28/2022 | - | 25,418 | 553,282 | Dondero |
| CCS Medical, Inc. (Chronic Care) Common | - | 6,008 | 5,797 | Dondero |
| Sub-Total | \$29,039,013 | \$652,517,024 | \$323,660,869 |  |

Additional HCMLP Ownership of Retail Funds (non-co-investments) ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$

| Investment | HCMLP MV | Retail Fund MV | Funds Managed by HCMLP MV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highland Opportunistic Credit Fund (HNRZX) | \$2,911,923 |  |  |
| NexPoint Real Estate Strategies Fund (NRSZX) | 663,982 | - | - |
| Sub-Total | \$3,575,905 | \$0 | \$0 |

## Footnote:

1- Listing includes the following: 1) all investments held by both HCMLP and retail funds, regardless of materiality 2 ) investments for which retai funds hold $\$ 10$ million or greater in the aggregate and are also held by funds advised by HCMLP 3) investments for which retail funds hold ownership less than $\$ 10$ million in the aggregate, the position is private and fair valued, and are also held by funds advised by HCMLP.
2 - 'Additional HCMLP Ownership of Retail Funds' does not reflect other immaterial holdings of investments below $\$ 5,000$.

## Highland Capital Management, LP ("HCMLP")

Co-Investment Analysis
As of $9 / 30 / 20$
As of $9 / 30 / 20$

| Investment | HCMLP MV | Funds Managed by HCMLP MV | Retail Funds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Metro-Goldwyn-Mayer Inc. Class A Common Stock | \$13,085,369 | \$418,019,027 | 561,820,908 |
| 2 CCS Medical, Inc. (Chronic Care) Loan 1st Lien @ PRIME 7\% 7/31/2021 | - | 121,166,994 | 47,510,599 |
| 3 Terrestar Corporation Term Loan A @ LBOR 11\% 2/28/2022 | . | 49,742,043 | 40,159,485 |
| 4 VST US Equity |  | 41,904,280 | 24,381,982 |
| 5 NXRT | 10,799,003 | 2,228,410 | 21,256,955 |
| 6 Grayson CLo, Ltd. Class II Preference Shares |  | 2,201,500 | 18,861,500 |
| 7 NHT/U CN | 2,028,793 |  | 18,524,594 |
| 8 NHF | 2,208,872 | 2,954,619 | 15,808,648 |
| 9 Advantage Sales \& Marketing Inc. Term Loan (Second Lien) @ LBOR 6.5\% 7/25/2022 | - | 1,940,140 | 13,784,695 |
| 10. Procera Networks, Inc. (aka Sandvine Corp) Intial Term Loan (First Lien) @ LBOR 4.5\% 10/31/2025 | - | 1,367,373 | 13,681,487 |
| ${ }_{1}$ GGuden Acquisition, Inc. (aka Quality Distribution) TL (First Lien) @ LBOR 5.5\% 8/18/2022 | - | 2,568,463 | 11,124,738 |
| 12. Westchester CLO, Ltd Class IPreference Shares 144A | - | 3,373,333 | 10,888,813 |
| ${ }^{3} 3$ HRTX | - | 81,510 | 10,686,168 |
| 14 Vistra Energy Corp. (fka TCEH Corr.) TXU TRA rights | - | 3,494,825 | 10,476,054 |
| 15 Traverse Midstream Partners LLC Advance @ LBOR 5.5\% 9/27/2024 | - | 25,916,705 | 9,945,051 |
| 16 VM Consolidated, Inc. (aka American Traffic Solutions) 8-1 1 st Lien Non-ext @ LBOR 3.25\% 2/28/2025 | - | 2,719,702 | 9,594,505 |
| 17 Edelman Financial Center, LLC, The (fka Flight Debt Merger Sub Inc.) Initial Term Loan (Second Lien) @ LBor 6.75\% 7/20/2026 | - | 125,340 | 9,078,334 |
| 18 Forest City Enterprises, L.P. Replacement TL @ LBOR 3.5\% 12/8/2025 | - | 2,222,324 | 8,889,297 |
| 19 Avaya Inc. B TL @ LIBOR 4.25\% 12/15/2024 | - | 1,357,685 | 8,802,760 |
| 20 MPMQ Appraisal Rights Claims | - | 527,460 | 8,224,455 |
| 21 USS Ultimate Holdings, Inc. (aka United Site Services, Inc.) Intial Term Loan (First Lien) @ LiBOR 3.75\% 88/25/2024 | - | 2,877,263 | 6,691,414 |
| 22 PSC Industrial Holdings Corp. Term Loan (First Lien) @ LiBOR 3.75\% 10/11/2024 | - | 3,685,775 | 6,511,970 |
| ${ }^{23}$ EnergySolutions, LLC (aka Envirocare of Utah, LLC) Intital Term Loan @ LBOR 3.75\% 5/9/2025 | . | 7,194,271 | 5,678,112 |
| 24 Truck Hero, Inc. Intital TL 2nd Lien @ LBBOR 8.25\% 4/21/2025 | - | 645,557 | 5,561,471 |
| 25 Envision Healthcare Corporation Initial Term Loan @ LBOR 3.75\% 10/10/2025 | - | 2,854,870 | 5,502,657 |
| ${ }^{6}$ AERI | - | 35,310 | 5,211,756 |
| 27 MDPK 2014-15A Float - 01/2026- DR - 55818WAG0 @ LBOR 5.4400 1/27/2026 | - | 1,249,500 | 4,774,875 |
| 28. Brentwood CLO Ltd Class II Preference Shares | - | 7,424,000 | 4,416,000 |
| 29 Jo-Ann Stores, LLC Initial Loan @ LBOR 5\% 10/20/2023 | - | 2,354,854 | 4,384,100 |
| 30 Advantage Sales \& Marketing Inc. Initial Term Loan (First Lien) @ LBBR 3.25\% 7/23/2021 | - | 1,896,829 | 3,571,805 |
| 31 Radnet Management, Inc. T-1-1 @ L LBOR 3.75\% 6/30/2023 | - | 1,601,339 | 3,479,728 |
| 32 Fort Dearborn Holding Company, Inc. Intital Term Loan (First Lien) @ LIBOR 4\% 10/19/2023 | - | 1,394,305 | 3,406,180 |
| 33 Sound Inpatient Physicians, Inc. Initial Term Loan (Second Lien) @ LBOR 6.75\% 6/26/2026 | - | 326,460 | 3,264,600 |
| 34 Liberty CLO, Ltd. Preferred | - | $8,339,310$ 1291306 | $2,989,000$ <br> $2,801,645$ |
| 35 UoFI | - | 1,291,306 | 2,801,645 |
| 36 Auris Luxembourg III S.a r.l. Facility B2 @ LiB0R 3.75\% 2/27/2026 | - | 1,891,886 | 2,364,858 |
| 37810 |  | 171,133 | 2,319,570 |
| 38 Dayco Products LLC - (Mark IV Industries, Inc.) Term Loan @ LBOR 4.25\% 5/19/2023 | - | 1,587,518 | 2,121,554 |
| 39 Rockwall CDO, Ltd. Preferred Shares | - | 5,211,000 | 2,026,500 |
| 40 AVYA | - | 30,877,250 | 1,911,598 |
| 11 RWIC Not LITED | - | 579,000 | 1,852,800 |
| 42 American Banknote Common | 693,467 |  | $1,745,371$ 1,75000 |
| 43 TCW 2019-2A D2A Float-10/02032-872428A59 @ 4.89 10/20/2032 |  | 1,250,000 | 1,750,000 |
| 44 Red River CLO, Ltd. Red River CLO |  | 3,797,722 | 1,744,900 |
| ${ }^{4}$ American Airines Escrow | 154,650 | 630,365 | 1,444,839 |
| 46 Refinitiv U Holdings Inc. (fka Financial \& Risk Us Holdings, Inc.) Initial Dollar Term Loan @ LIBOR 3.25\% 10/1/2025 | - | 1,970,070 | 1,231,255 |
| 47 Scientific Games International, Inc. Initial Term B-5 Loan @ LBBRR 2.75\% 8/14/2024 | - | 3,715,025 | 1,213,050 |
| 48 ACIS 2015-6A Zero Coupon - 05/2027-SUB -004524AD6 @ Zero Coupon 0.0000 5/1/2027 | - | 8,296,000 | 1,200,000 |
| 49 CIFC 2015-5A DR Float - 10/02027-12550NA7 @ 5 5.5 10/25/2027 | - | 1,109,375 | 1,198,125 |
| 50 General Nutrition Centers, Inc. FILO Term Loan @ PRIME 8\% 12/31/2022 | - | 487,190 | 1,148,178 |
| 51 Change Healthcare Holdings, LLC Closing date TL @ LIBOR 2.5\% 3/1/2024 | - | 2,709,671 | 991,845 |
| 52 CIFC 2016-1A D2R Float - 10/02031-17180TAW2 @ 4.43 10/21/2031 | - | 980,000 | 980,000 |
|  | $:$ | 201,775 | 927,192 |
| 54 ACII 2015-6A Float - 05/2027-D -00452PAR8 @ LIBOR 3.7700 5/1/2027 | - | 1,810,000 | 905,000 |
| 55 Edelman Financial Center, LLC, The (fka Flight Debt Merger Sub Inc.) Initial Term Loan (First Lien) @ LBOR 3\% 7/21/2025 | - | 3,329,415 | 903,218 |
| 56 AHT1 2018-KEYS E Float - 05/02035- 04410CAN @ 4.15 05/15/2035 |  | 695,663 | 850,255 |
| 57 ABERD |  | 905,975 | 847,525 |
| 58 Ginn LA Conduit Lender, Inc. 1st Lien A CL Deposit @ PRIME 4.5\% 6/8/2011 | 68,860 | 812,716 | 846,955 |
| 59 Bausch Health Companies Inc. (fka Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc.) Initial Term Loan @ LBOR 3\% $6 / 2 / 2025$ |  | 3,010,042 | 825,922 |
| 50 CSC Holdings, LC (fka CSC Holdings Inc. (Cablevision)) March 2017 Refinanning Term Loan @ LBOR 2.25\% 7/17/2025 | - | 1,142,030 | 824,572 |
| 61 Hub International Limited Intital Term Loan @ LLBOR 3\% 4/25/2025 |  | 1,270,064 | 819,121 |
| 52 Nielsen Finance LLC (VNU, Inc.) Class B-4 Term Loan @ LBOR 2\% 10/4/2023 | - | 480,085 | 813,503 |
| $633^{\text {PRTK }}$ | - | 100,626 | 757,508 |
| 64 MPH Acquisition Holdings LLC Initial Term Loan @ LBOR 2.75\% 6/7/2023 | - | 3,767,027 | 739,421 |
| 65 VICI Properties 1 LIC Term B Loan @ LIBOR 1.75\% 12/20/2024 | - | 969,035 | 726,776 |
| 66 Mcafee, LLC Term B USD Loan @ LBBOR 3.75\% 9/30/2024 | - | 1,469,387 | ${ }_{7}^{722,848}$ |
| 57 \|RB Holding Corp. (aka Arb''/ Buffala Wild Wings) 2020 Replacement Term B Loan @ Libor 2.75\% $2 / 5 / 2025$ | - | 531,087 969179 | 716,184 699346 |
| 68 Global Medical Response, Inc. (aka Air Medical) 2018 Term Loan @ LiBOR 3.25\% 4/28/2022 |  | 969,179 | 699,346 |
| 69 CityCenter Holdings, LLC Term B Loan @ LBOR 2.25\% 4/18/2024 | - | 344,250 | 694,346 |
| 70 Misys Limited (aka Almonde/Tahoe, Finastra USA) Dollar Term Loan (First Lien) @ LBOR 3.5\% 6/13/2024 | - | 920,265 383374 | ${ }_{693}^{693} \mathbf{2 0 0}$ |
| 11. Golden Nugget, Inc. (aka Landrr's Inc.) TL @ LBOR 2.5\% 10/4/2023 | - | 388,374 | 671,846 |
| 72 H.B. Fuller Company Commitment @ LBOR 2\% 10/20/2024 | - | 250,488 | 638,664 |
| ${ }^{3} 3$ Lightstone Holdco LLC Refinancing Term B Loan @ LBBR 3.75\% 1/30/2024 |  | 4,262,832 | 616,367 |
| ${ }^{4} \mathrm{ACHC}$ | - | 73,700 | 589,600 |
| ${ }^{5}$ Crown Finance US, Inc. (aka Cineworld Group plc) nnitial Dollar Tranche Term Loan @ LBOR 2.5\% 2/28/2025 |  | 11,999,814 | 572,658 |
| ${ }^{6} 6$ Calpine Corporation Term Loan (2015) @ LIBRR 2.25\% 1/15/2024 | - | 375,085 | 567,158 |
| 77 Terrestar Corporation TL C @ LIBOR 11\% 2/28/2022 | - | 25,418 | 553,282 |
| 8 TransDigm Inc. Tranche E Refinancing Term Loan @ LIB0R 2.25\% 5/30/2025 | - | 6,149,465 | 542,437 |
| 99 Tronox Finance LLC Initial Dollar Term Loan (First Lien) @ LiBOR 3\% 9/23/2024 | $:$ | $3,327,701$ 446,555 | 493,305 490,314 |
| 30 Solera, LLC (Solera Finance, Inc.) Dollar TL @ LBBOR 2.75\% 3/3/2023 |  | 446,555 | 490,314 |
| 81 AlixPa.tners, LLP 2017 Refinancing Term Loan @ LBBR 2.5\% 4/4//2024 | - | 3,254,084 | ${ }^{483,887}$ |
|  | $:$ | 1,446 $10,941,771$ | 482,002 479,396 |
| 34 HLF 1X Floating - 08/2014-C1-43037AAE9 @ LBBR 0.0000 8/2/2018 | - | 318,583 | 477,874 |
| 35 Ineos US Finance LLC New 2024 Dollar Term Loan @ LBOR $2 \%$ 4/1/2024 | - | 2,131,748 | 474,805 |
| 36 CGMS 2019-4A D Float-01/02033-14317WAA6 @ 7.65 01/15/2033 |  | 930,500 | 465,250 |
| 87 B]'s Wholesale Club, Inc. Tranche B Term Loan (First Lien) @ LBOR 2\% 2/3/2024 |  | 515,535 | 460,180 |
| 88 Titan Acquisition Limited (aka Husky IMS International Ltd.) Initial Term Loan @ LBOR 3\% 3/28/2025 | - | 923,108 | 459,071 |
| 39 Plantronics, Inc. Initia Term B Loan @ LiBOR $2.55 \% 7 / 2 / 2025$ | - | 12,145,824 | 376,874 <br>  <br> 264538 |
| 90 SS\&C Technologies Holdings, Inc. Term B-5 Loan @ LBBOR 2.25\% 4/16/2025 | - | 952,120 | 264,538 |
| 91 Berry Global. Inc. (fka Berry Plastics Corporation) Term W Loan @ LiBRR 2\% 10/1/2022 | - | 339,055 $1,693,433$ | 248,184 <br> 245795 |
| 92 Applied Systems, Inc. Closing Date Term Loan (First Lien) @ LBBR 3.25\% 9/19/2024 |  | 1,693,433 | 245,795 |
| 93 SolarWinds Holdings, Inc. 2018 Refinancing Term Loan (First Lien) @ LBOR 2.75\% 2/5/2024 | - | 956,532 | 243,383 |
| 94 VAHA 2004-1A Variable - 08/2012-91914AAA4 @ Variable 0.0000 8/1/2012 |  | 375,000 | 225,000 |
| 55 SRC | - | 1,212 | 220,219 |
| 96 COLL | - | ${ }^{62,398}$ | 166,456 |
| T Texas Compeetitive Electric Holdings Company LLC (TXU) Escrow Loan Extended @ LBOR 0\% | - | 2,079 | 151,087 |
| 8 AAMRQ escrow Common Stock | - | 57,400 | ${ }^{123,000}$ |
| 290 Tecton9 PERP | - | 467,201 | 114,573 |
| $1{ }^{\text {NRG }}$ | : | ${ }_{26,498}^{41,887}$ | 111,422 <br> 83,767 |
| FGI Operating Company, LLC Common | - | 51,252 | 68,922 |
| ${ }^{3}$ Fieldwood Energy LLC Common1 | - | 15,420 | 56,288 |
| 104 ACRG/B/U CN | - | 15,022 | 39,960 |
| L Lightstone Holdco LCC Refinancing Term C Loan @ LIBOR 3.75\% 1/30/2024 |  | 240,430 | 34,764 |
| SMTA (Delisted 01/02/2020) | - | 93,852 | 7,880 |
| \%CS Medical, Inc. (Chronic Care) Common |  | 6,008 | 5,797 |
| Total | \$29,039,013 | \$878,908,335 | \$495,707,848 |
| Additional HCMLP Ownership of Retail funds (non-co-investments) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |
| Investment | HCMLP MV | Retail Fund MV | Funds Managed by HCMLP MV |
| Highland Opporturistic Credil Fund (HNNZX) | \$2,911,923 |  |  |
| Nexpoint Real Estate Strategies fund (NSSLX) Total | \$3,575,905 | 0.00 | 50 |

Footnote:


Exhibit B

## Non-HCMLP Employees

| Name | Role | Current Title | Employed <br> By |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jim Dondero | Senior Investment Team Member | Partner | NPA |
| Jason Post | Chief Compliance Officer | Chief Compliance Officer | NPA |
| Joe Sowin | Senior Investment Team Member | Co-CIO and Head of Global Equity Trading | HCMFA |
| Brad Heiss | Senior Investment Team Member | Managing Director | HCMFA |
| Matt McGraner | Senior Investment Team Member | Managing Director | NPA |
| Dustin Norris | Fund Officer/Liaison | Head of Distribution and Chief Product Strategist | NPA |
| DC Sauter | Legal | General Counsel | NPA |
| Eric Holt | Compliance | Chief Compliance Officer, Affiliated Broker Dealers | NSI |
| David Willmore | Accounting/Operations | Senior Manager, Real Estate Accounting | NXRT |
| Paul Richards | Valuation | Director, Real Estate | NPA |
| Jackie Graham | PR/Marketing | Investor Relations Manager | NPA |


| HCMFA | Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P. |
| :--- | :--- |
| NPA | NexPoint Advisors, L.P. |
| NSI | NexPoint Securities, Inc. |
| NXRT | NexPoint Residential Trust, Inc. |

## Appendix A

## Open-End Funds

Highland Funds I:

1. Highland Healthcare Opportunities Fund
2. Highland/iBoxx Senior Loan ETF
3. Highland Opportunistic Credit Fund (in liquidation)
4. Highland Merger Arbitrage Fund

Highland Funds II:
5. Highland Small-Cap Equity Fund
6. Highland Socially Responsible Equity Fund
7. Highland Fixed Income Fund (sub-advised)
8. Highland Total Return Fund (sub-advised)

## Closed-End Funds

9. NexPoint Capital, Inc.
a. BDC REIT Sub, LLC
(REIT Subsidiary)
10. NexPoint Strategic Opportunities Fund
a. NexPoint Real Estate Opportunities, LLC
b. NexPoint Real Estate Capital, LLC
(REIT Subsidiary)
11. Highland Income Fund
a. HFRO Sub, LLC
(REIT Subsidiary)
b. NFRO REIT Sub, LLC
(Credit Subsidiary)
12. Highland Global Allocation Fund
a. GAF REIT, LLC
(REIT Subsidiary)

## Interval Funds:

13. NexPoint Real Estate Strategies Fund
a. NRESF REIT Sub, LLC
(REIT Subsidiary)

## EXHIBIT 85

EXHIBIT 20

| John Y. Bonds, III | Deborah Deitsch-Perez |
| :--- | :--- |
| State Bar No. 02589100 | State Bar No. 24036072 |
| Clay M. Taylor | Michael P. Aigen |
| State Bar No. 24033261 | State Bar No. 24012196 |
| Bryan C. Assink | STINSON LLP |
| State Bar No. 24089009 | 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 777 |
| BONDS ELLIS EPPICH SCHAFER JONES LLP | Dallas, Texas 75219 |
| 420 Throckmorton Street, Suite 1000 | (214) 560-2201 telephone |
| Fort Worth, Texas 76102 | (214) 560-2203 facsimile |
| (817) 405-6900 telephone |  |
| (817) 405-6902 facsimile |  |

Attorneys for Defendant James Dondero
IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

| In re: | § | Case No. 19-34054 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | § |  |
| HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P. | § | Chapter 11 |
|  | § |  |
| Debtor. | § |  |
| § |  |  |
| HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., § |  |  |
|  | § |  |
| Plaintiff. | § |  |
| v. | § | Adversary No. 21-03003-sgj |
|  | § |  |
| JAMES D. DONDERO, | § |  |
|  | 8 |  |
| Defendant. | § |  |

## DEFENDANT JAMES DONDERO'S OBJECTIONS AND ANSWERS TO HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.'S SECOND SET OF INTERROGATORIES

TO: Highland Capital Management, L.P., by and through its attorneys of record, Zachery Z. Annable, Hayward PLLC, 10501 N. Central Expy., Ste. 106, Dallas, Texas 75231.

Defendant James Dondero ("Defendant" or "Dondero") serves his Objections and Answers to Debtor Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s ("Debtor" or "Highland") Second Set of Interrogatories ("Requests"), as follows:

[^8]Dated: May 7, 2021

Respectfully submitted,<br>Deborah Deitsch-Perez<br>John Y. Bonds, III<br>State Bar I.D. No. 02589100<br>Clay M. Taylor<br>State Bar I.D. No. 24033261<br>Bryan C. Assink<br>State Bar I.D. No. 24089009<br>Bonds Ellis Eppich Schafer Jones LLP<br>420 Throckmorton Street, Suite 1000<br>Fort Worth, Texas 76102<br>(817) 405-6900 telephone<br>(817) 405-6902 facsimile<br>Email: john@bondsellis.com<br>Email: clay.taylor@bondsellis.com<br>Email: bryan.assink@bondsellis.com<br>-and-<br>Deborah Deitsch-Perez<br>State Bar No. 24036072<br>Michael P. Aigen<br>State Bar No. 24012196<br>Stinson LLP<br>3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 777<br>Dallas, Texas 75219<br>(214) 560-2201 telephone<br>(214) 560-2203 facsimile<br>Email: deborah.deitschperez@stinson.com<br>Email: michael.aigen@stinson.com

## Attorneys for Defendant James Dondero

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that, on May 7,2021 , a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served via email on counsel for the Debtor.
/s/ Michael P. Aigen
Michael P. Aigen

## OBJECTIONS AND ANSWERS ${ }^{1}$

INTERROGATORY NO. 1: Identify the "conditions subsequent" referred to in paragraph 40 of the Amended Answer.


#### Abstract

ANSWER: The conditions subsequent referred to in paragraph 40 of the Amended Answer refer to the disposition of the portfolio company interests managed and/or owned, directly or indirectly, by Highland and/or its affiliates or managed funds on a favorable basis or on a basis wholly outside Dondero's control.


INTERROGATORY NO. 2: With respect to each Note, identify:
(a) the person who provided legal advice to James Dondero in connection with the negotiation, drafting, and execution of each Note, if any;
(b) the person who provided legal advice to the Debtor in connection with the negotiation, drafting, and execution of each Note, if any; and
(c) the person who drafted each Note.

## ANSWER:

Dondero objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it seeks privileged information. Subject to this objection, Dondero responds as follows:

Dondero does not know who specifically drafted the Notes, however, he believes they were drafted by an individual in either the Highland legal or finance department.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3: Identify the "mutual obligation" referred to in paragraph 41 of the Amended Answer, including (a) the date the mutual obligation was incurred, (b) any documents referring to or reflecting the mutual obligation, (c) the amount of the mutual obligation, (d) any demands made by James Dondero to the Debtor for payment on the mutual obligation.

[^9]ANSWER: are resolved.<br>\section*{ANSWER:}<br>James Dondero<br>Frank Waterhouse<br>Mark Okada<br>John Honis<br>Scott Ellington

Defendant is not pursuing in this action the mutual obligation referred to in paragraph 41 of the Amended Answer, which refers to potential contribution and/or indemnity claims that are largely unliquidated and contingent, and which Dondero cannot identify until all potential claims

INTERROGATORY NO. 4: Identify every person James Dondero believes has personal knowledge of the alleged mutual obligation referred to in paragraph 41 of the Amended Answer.

INTERROGATORY NO. 5: Identify the "debt" referred to in paragraph 41 of the Amended Answer, including (a) the date the debt was incurred, (b) any documents referring to or reflecting the debt, (c) the amount of the mutual obligation, (d) any demands made by James Dondero to the Debtor for payment on the debt.

## ANSWER:

See Response to Interrogatory No. 3.
INTERROGATORY NO. 6: Identify every person James Dondero believes has personal knowledge of the alleged debt referred to in paragraph 41 of the Amended Answer.

ANSWER:
See Response to Interrogatory No. 3.
INTERROGATORY NO. 7: Identify each provision of each Note that James Dondero contends is ambiguous.

## ANSWER:

Dondero contends that each Note as a whole is ambiguous because it refers to additional agreements without specifying them.

[^10]
## VERIFICATION

STATE OF TEXAS )
COUNTY OF DALLAS
)

On this day, James D. Dondero appeared before me, the undersigned notary public, and upon his oath, certified that he had read Defendant's Objections and Answers to Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Second Set of Interrogatories and that the facts stated therein are within his personal knowledge and are true and correct.


SWORN TO and SUBSCRIBED before me by James D. Dondero on the 7th day of May, 2021.

> Notary Public in and for the State of Texas

## EXHIBIT 94

```
1
BURGER
    IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
    FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
        DALLAS DIVISION
    ----------------------------
4 IN RE:
5
    HIGHLAND CAPITAL
6 MANAGEMENT, L.P., CASE NO.
19-34054-SGI11
7
8
    HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,
9
    Plaintiff,
1 0 ~ v s
                                Adversary
                                Proceeding No.
11 HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT 21-03000-sgj
    FUND ADVISORS, L.P.; NEXPOINT
12 ADVISORS, L.P.; HIGHLAND
    INCOME FUND; NEXPOINT
13 STRATEGIC OPPORTUNITIES FUND;
    NEXPOINT CAPITAL, INC.; and
14 CLO HOLDCO, LTD.,
15 Defendants.
24 Reported by: Susan S. Klinger, RMR-CRR, CSR
25 Job No. 197393
```



|  | Page 6 |  |  | Page 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 BURGER |  | 1 | BURGER |  |
| 2 Q. In that capacity, have you overseen |  |  | A. Somewhere in 2013. I would say |  |
| 3 the audits for Highland Capital Management, |  | 3 | April, 2013. |  |
| 4 L.P.? |  | 4 | Q. And were you the audit partner in |  |
| 5 A. Yes, I did. |  |  | charge of the Highland engagement from 2013 |  |
| 6 Q. Just briefly, were you employed by |  | 6 | until the time the 2018 financial statements |  |
| 7 PricewaterhouseCoopers prior to the time you |  |  | were completed? |  |
| 8 became an audit partner at the beginning of |  | 8 | A. This is specific to Highland Capital |  |
| 9 2014? |  | 9 | Management, L.P., yes. |  |
| 10 A. Yes, I have. Do I need to give the |  | 10 | Q. I'm just going to refer to Highland |  |
| 11 dates? |  |  | Capital Management, L.P. as Highland going |  |
| 12 Q. Can you just tell me when you first |  |  | forward; is that okay? |  |
| 13 joined PwC? |  | 13 | A. Yes. |  |
| 14 A. I joined in January of 1997 in our |  | 14 | Q. Have you ever been deposed before? |  |
| 15 South African firm. Yes, that's correct. |  | 15 | A. No. |  |
| 16 Q. When did you join the audit group? |  | 16 | Q. Okay. |  |
| 17 A. In January of 1997. |  | 17 | A. No. |  |
| 18 Q. So you have been with |  | 18 | Q. I apologize, I should have started |  |
| 19 PricewaterhouseCoopers' audit unit on a |  |  | with some ground rules, but l'm trying to be |  |
| 20 consistent basis for more than 20 years; is |  |  | mindful of the time. It is important that you |  |
| 21 that fair? |  |  | allow me to finish my questions before you |  |
| 22 A. Correct. |  |  | begin your answers; is that okay? |  |
| 23 Q. Okay. When did you personally begin |  | 23 | A. Sure. |  |
| 24 working on the Highland Capital Management, |  | 24 | Q. And if I begin my next question |  |
| 25 L.P. audits, do you recall? |  |  | before you begin - before you finish your |  |
| 1 BURGER | Page 8 | 1 | BURGER | Page 9 |
| 2 answer, will you let me know that? |  |  | A. It is a set of rules basically |  |
| 3 A. Sure. |  |  | governed by the AICPA of what -- considered |  |
| 4 Q. Do you understand that the court |  |  | what is the sort of conglomerate of rules on |  |
| 5 reporter is taking down every word that we say? |  |  | your professional standards of engagement to |  |
| 6 A. Yes. |  |  | sign an audit opinion. |  |
| 7 Q. If you want to break at any time, |  | 7 | Q. And do I have this correctly, that |  |
| 8 will you let me know? |  |  | the purpose of the audit is to provide |  |
| 9 A. Sure. |  |  | reasonable assurance that the financial |  |
| 10 Q. If there is anything that you don't |  |  | statements are in compliance with Generally |  |
| 11 understand, if there is a question that I ask |  |  | Accepted Auditing Standards? |  |
| 12 that you either don't understand or you think |  | 12 | MR. WANDER: Did you say assurance |  |
| 13 is ambiguous in some way, will you let me know |  | 13 | or insurance? |  |
| 14 that? |  |  | Q. Assurance? |  |
| 15 A. Yes. |  |  | A. Yes, assurance, yes. The procedures |  |
| 16 Q. Okay. From PricewaterhouseCoopers' |  |  | performed by us in terms of Generally Accepted |  |
| 17 perspective, what is the purpose of an audit? |  |  | Auditing Standards and the financials itself is |  |
| 18 A. To provide reasonable assurance in |  |  | presented in terms of Generally Accepted |  |
| 19 in terms of the auditing and accounting |  |  | Accounting Practice. |  |
| 20 standards. |  | 20 | Q. Okay. And are those standards or |  |
| 21 Q. What standards are you referring to? |  |  | practices familiar to you in the course of your |  |
| 22 A. In this case Generally Accepted |  |  | duties? |  |
| 23 Auditing Standards. |  | 23 | A. Yes, it is. |  |
| 24 Q. What are Generally Accepted Auditing |  | 24 | Q. Okay. Can you describe for me |  |
| 25 Standards, if you know? |  |  | generally the process that PwC undertook in |  |


| 2 connection with its auditing of the Highland |  | 2 fieldwork stage in around about April after - |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 financial statements? Is there, you know, a |  | 3 April after the unit. |  |
| 4 process that you follow? |  | 4 Q. And what do you mean when you use |  |
| 5 A. Yes, there is. I mean, it is a |  | 5 the phrase fieldwork? |  |
| 6 pretty long process which starts all the way |  | 6 A. Our execution phase. |  |
| 7 from the planning to completion and you know, |  | 7 Q. Is that the time when you begin to |  |
| 8 through the execution which audit approach |  | 8 send informational requests to Highland? |  |
| 9 outlines all the relevant standards of the |  | 9 A. No, we send it through the planning |  |
| 10 procedures that we're supposed perform from the |  | 10 phase as well, which the planning phase is the |  |
| 11 planning, execution and completion stage. |  | 11 phase where you get engaged to go through all |  |
| 12 Q. And is that something that you share |  | 12 the planning and setting up the procedures that |  |
| 13 with Highland so that they understand the |  | 13 you are supposed to perform for the - for the |  |
| 14 process? |  | 14 execution phase. And you can also do some of |  |
| 15 A. We don't share our workpapers and |  | 15 the execution transaction work during that |  |
| 16 absolutely every single part of that, but they |  | 16 period to save yourself from having to spend |  |
| 17 -- I mean, they know what we are looking for in |  | 17 that time in April and May. |  |
| 18 the sense of obviously for --we make requests |  | 18 Q. And when does the planning stage |  |
| 19 for information. And if the information is not |  | 19 begin? |  |
| 20 clear, we need to explain to them why we are |  | 20 A. Each year can be slightly different, |  |
| 21 asking them for it. |  | 21 but in this case, this was around about the |  |
| 22 Q. And how soon after the completion of |  | 22 October - September, October. |  |
| 23 the fiscal year does PwC begin the process that |  | 23 Q. So the planning would begin in the |  |
| 24 leads to the final audit? |  | 24 fall of each fiscal year and -- |  |
| 25 A. We start this engagement in its |  | 25 A. Correct. |  |
| 1 BURGER | Page 12 | 1 BURGER | Page 13 |
| 2 Q. Is that fair? |  | 2 Q. And who besides Mr. Klos were the |  |
| 3 A. That's fair. |  | 3 primary points of contact? |  |
| 4 Q. And then during the planning stage, |  | 4 A. Frank Waterhouse is the CFO and |  |
| 5 PwC would make information requests to |  | 5 Kristin Hendrix who, for the lack of a better |  |
| 6 Highland. Do I have that right? |  | 6 word was the - the sort of chief -- the |  |
| 7 A. You have got that correct. |  | 7 accountant. |  |
| 8 Q. And then in response to that, |  | 8 Q. The accountant? |  |
| 9 Highland would feed information to PwC for |  | 9 A. Yes. |  |
| 10 PwC's review. Do I have that right? |  | 10 Q. Yes. And how many people typically |  |
| 11 A. Correct. |  | 11 were on the Price Waterhouse team for purposes |  |
| 12 Q. And then the fieldwork is - is the |  | 12 of the Highland audits? |  |
| 13 next step the fieldwork? |  | 13 A. It depends on the phase of the |  |
| 14 A. Yes. |  | 14 audit, but at the biggest part of the audit the |  |
| 15 Q. Okay. Do you recall during the time |  | 15 execution phase we were, including me I would |  |
| 16 that you were the audit partner did you have a |  | 16 say six or seven people. |  |
| 17 primary contact at Highland for purposes of the |  | 17 Q. Okay. And how would |  |
| 18 planning and the execution phases of the audit? |  | 18 PricewaterhouseCoopers obtain the information |  |
| 19 A. There were more than one individual |  | 19 that it needed to prepare the audited financial |  |
| 20 we dealt with, but I recall there was a primary |  | 20 statements? |  |
| 21 contact which facilitated sort of - you know, |  | 21 A. Sorry. Just to make sure, say |  |
| 22 the - which facilitated all of our |  | 22 obtain the information. We - we have a - I |  |
| 23 communication. |  | 23 mean, I did this over seven years. It morphed |  |
| 24 Q. And who was that? |  | 24 over time, but we have a - a site, a secure |  |
| 25 A. That was David Klos. |  | 25 site called Connect. And I think towards the |  |



| BURGER | Page 18 | 1 BURG | Page 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 BURGER |  | 1 BURGER |  |
| 2 Q. Mr. Burger, so - |  | 2 you know, let's --let's go to the - to the |  |
| 3 MR. AIGEN: Sorry was this produced? |  | 3 page ending in 419? |  |
| 4 I just want to make sure, is there a Bates |  | 4 Do you see there that there are two |  |
| 5 label on this for the record? |  | 5 signatures? |  |
| 6 MR. MORRIS: I don't know but it was |  | 6 A. Correct. |  |
| 7 used in Mr. Dondero's deposition. |  | 7 Q. And do you understand that those are |  |
| 8 MR. AIGEN: There is a Bates label. |  | 8 the signatures of James Dondero and Frank |  |
| 9 Q. So Mr. Burger, this is a little |  | 9 Waterhouse? |  |
| 10 awkward. Usually in a deposition I would be in |  | 10 A. Yes, correct. |  |
| 11 the room with you and you would have the |  | 11 Q. Okay. If we could go back to the |  |
| 12 document in front of you and it would be easy |  | 12 top of the document, do you understand that |  |
| 13 for you to review the document. Since we can't |  | 13 this is the management representation letter |  |
| 14 do that, and I don't know that you have this |  | 14 that was provided to PwC by Mr. Dondero and |  |
| 15 particular document in front of you, we've put |  | 15 Mr. Waterhouse on June 3rd, 2019? |  |
| 16 it up on the screen. |  | 16 A. Yes. |  |
| 17 I'm going to ask you a few questions |  | 17 Q. Do you know why Mr. Waterhouse and |  |
| 18 about it, but I strongly encourage you, I |  | 18 Mr . Dondero were the people who signed this |  |
| 19 really request that you let me know if you |  | 19 letter? |  |
| 20 believe that there are other portions of the |  | 20 A. Starting with Mr. Waterhouse, he is |  |
| 21 document that you need to review in order to |  | 21 the responsible party from management in the |  |
| 22 either refresh your recollection or to put my |  | 22 sense of being the CFO and Mr. Dondero as the |  |
| 23 question into context, okay? |  | 23 general partner because the entity is a limited |  |
| 24 We're just going to have to make due |  | 24 partner and we expect the general partner to |  |
| 25 with the technology, but with that background, |  | 25 sign the rep letter. |  |
| 1 BURGER | Page 20 | 1 BURGER | Page 21 |
| 2 Q. Do you know who drafted this letter? |  | 2 is 50 separate representations that are made by |  |
| 3 A. We did. |  | 3 Mr . Waterhouse and Mr. Dondero, not including |  |
| 4 Q. Is this a form of management |  | 4 the subparts. Do you see that? |  |
| 5 representation that PwC typically prepares in |  | 5 A. Ido. |  |
| 6 the ordinary course of its audits? |  | 6 MR. MORRIS: And thank you, La Asia, |  |
| $7 \quad$ A. Yes, it is derived from a standard |  | 7 if we can go back to the top. |  |
| 8 template. |  | 8 Q. So even though the audit letter was |  |
| 9 Q. And you see in the first paragraph |  | 9 for the fiscal year ending December 31st, 2018, |  |
| 10 there is a reference to the balance sheet date. |  | 10 do you see in the sentence just before general |  |
| 11 Do I have that right? |  | 11 that Mr. Dondero and Mr. Waterhouse confirmed |  |
| 12 A. Correct. |  | 12 based on their then current knowledge that each |  |
| 13 Q. And for this particular management |  | 13 of the 50 representations were still correct as |  |
| 14 representation letter, the balance sheet is for |  | 14 of June 3rd, 2019? |  |
| 15 the fiscal year ending December 31st, 2018; |  | 15 A. Ido. |  |
| 16 correct? |  | 16 Q. Okay. And is that a standard |  |
| 17 A. Correct. |  | 17 practice of PwC to require management to |  |
| 18 Q. We can scroll down to the bottom, |  | 18 confirm the accuracy of the representations not |  |
| 19 but there is - stop right there. |  | 19 just as of the end of the fiscal year, but |  |
| 20 There is a series of representations |  | 20 carrying through to the date of the completion |  |
| 21 that are made in this letter. Do you |  | 21 of the audit? |  |
| 22 understand that? |  | 22 A. It is. |  |
| 23 A. Ido. |  | 23 Q. And why does PwC require that the |  |
| 24 Q. And if we scroll down to, I guess, |  | 24 representations be carried forward to the date |  |
| 25 the page ending in 18, you will see that there |  | 25 of the completion of the audit? |  |





|  | Page 34 |  | Page 35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 BURGER |  | 1 BURGER |  |
| 2 A. That's correct. |  | 2 related-party relationships and transactions. |  |
| 3 Q. Okay. If we could just scroll down |  | 3 Q. If we can go to page 30, please, and |  |
| 4 to the bottom of the page. Little further, |  | 4 just scroll straight down so Mr. Burger can see |  |
| 5 yeah, right there. |  | 5 what he's got front of him, if we can go to |  |
| 6 Do you see there is a reference that |  | 6 page 30. |  |
| 7 says, quote, the accompanying notes are an |  | $7 \quad$ Page 30 has a subheading to note 9 |  |
| 8 integral part of these consolidated financial |  | 8 called notes and other amounts due from |  |
| 9 statements, closed quote? |  | 9 affiliates. Do you see that? |  |
| 10 A. Ido. |  | 10 A. Correct. |  |
| 11 Q. What does that mean? |  | 11 Q. Okay. And do I have it - |  |
| 12 A. That is to draw the attention for |  | 12 withdrawn. |  |
| 13 the reader of not reading this page in a |  | 13 Highland prepared all of the |  |
| 14 stand-alone basis, because there are further |  | 14 information that is set forth in this section |  |
| 15 explanations required to the amounts in the |  | 15 of note 9; is that correct? |  |
| 16 footnotes. |  | 16 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  |
| 17 Q. Okay. Let's go to page 28 of the |  | 17 A. Idid. |  |
| 18 document. Okay. Do you see that there is a |  | 18 Q. Is it fair to say that this portion |  |
| 19 Section 9 entitled related party transactions? |  | 19 of note 9 is intended to describe obligations |  |
| 20 A. Ido. |  | 20 due to the debtor by affiliates? |  |
| 21 Q. And can you describe for me your |  | 21 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  |
| 22 understanding of why there is a note dedicated |  | 22 A. That's correct. |  |
| 23 to related party transactions? |  | 23 Q. Let me ask a different question to |  |
| 24 A. It is a GAAP requirement for |  | 24 deal with Michael's objection. |  |
| 25 financial statements to disclose material |  | 25 Can you tell me, Mr. Burger, what |  |
| 1 BURGER | Page 36 | 1 BURGER | Page 37 |
| 2 information is conveyed in the section called |  | 2 Q. Okay. Did management ever tell PwC |  |
| 3 notes and other amounts due from affiliates? |  | 3 at any time prior to June - withdrawn. |  |
| 4 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  | 4 Did management ever tell PwC at any |  |
| 5 MR. WANDER: You can answer. |  | 5 time prior to PwC's signing off on the audited |  |
| 6 A. I can answer, sorry. |  | 6 financial statements for 2017 that there was |  |
| 7 The purpose of this footnote is to |  | 7 anything inaccurate about this section of the |  |
| 8 strike out out -- because if you look at the |  | 8 notes? |  |
| 9 balance sheet you just see notes and you have |  | 9 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  |
| 10 no idea who that is from, which amounts and |  | 10 A. They did not. |  |
| 11 what the basic terms are. |  | 11 Q. Each of the paragraph ends with a |  |
| 12 Q. Is it your understanding that this |  | 12 sentence that may differ only in as to whether |  |
| 13 section of note 9 sets forth the amounts due |  | 13 it is singular or plural, but it says quote, |  |
| 14 and owing by each affiliate as of December |  | 14 the fair value of the partnership's outstanding |  |
| 15 31st, 2017? |  | 15 notes receivable approximates the carrying |  |
| 16 A. That's correct. |  | 16 value of the notes receivable. Do you see |  |
| 17 Q. And are the amounts included - are |  | 17 that? |  |
| 18 those amounts included in the line item that we |  | 18 A. Correct. |  |
| 19 just looked at in the balance sheet called |  | 19 Q. And we can scroll down a little bit |  |
| 20 notes and other amounts due from affiliates? |  | 20 just so you can - you have got the document in |  |
| 21 A. Correct. |  | 21 front of you. I would just ask you to confirm |  |
| 22 Q. Do you know who calculated the |  | 22 that each paragraph ends with the same sentence |  |
| 23 amounts due and owing by each affiliate as of |  | 23 except for the last paragraph. And does it, |  |
| 24 December 31st, 2017? |  | 24 sir? |  |
| 25 A. It was management. |  | 25 A. Yes, it is on each paragraph for |  |


| 2 that section of the notes except the paragraph |  | 2 Q. So is it fair to say that based on |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 starting on December 21st, 2015. |  | 3 this portion of note 9, the debtors' financial |  |
| 4 Q. Do you have an understanding of what |  | 4 statements - withdrawn. |  |
| 5 that sentence means? |  | 5 Is it fair to say that based on this |  |
| $6 \quad$ A. That sentence means that these notes |  | 6 portion of note 9, Highland is saying that the |  |
| 7 are per GAAP, the notes are supposed to be |  | 7 fair value of the promissory notes from the |  |
| 8 recorded at fair value and the assertion is |  | 8 affiliates was approximately equal to the |  |
| 9 that the carrying value is considered a |  | 9 principal and interest then due under the |  |
| 10 reasonable proxy for fair value. |  | 10 notes? |  |
| 11 Q. I'm sorry, what is fair value in |  | 11 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  |
| 12 this context? |  | 12 A. That's correct. |  |
| 13 A. Fair value of all assets would be |  | 13 Q. Is it fair to say that when the |  |
| 14 what you consider to be the reasonable value |  | 14 audit-withdrawn. |  |
| 15 for exchange of the asset. |  | 15 Is it fair to say that - no, |  |
| 16 Q. And then what is the carrying value? |  | 16 withdrawn. |  |
| 17 How does that differ from the carrying value? |  | 17 At the time the audit was completed |  |
| 18 A. Carrying value is the - is a |  | 18 for 2017, did PwC have any reason to discount |  |
| 19 contractual, is the term of the contractual |  | 19 the value of any of the notes described on page |  |
| 20 amount. In other words, whatever their loan |  | 2030 or 31? |  |
| 21 plus accrued interest minus payments. And fair |  | 21 A. We did not. |  |
| 22 value is - is basically the concept of this |  | 22 Q. Okay. Can we go to page 41, please. |  |
| 23 sentence is stating that the fair value of the |  | 23 If you scroll down a little bit you will see |  |
| 24 approximate or reasonable proxy for carrying |  | 24 there is a section entitled subsequent events |  |
| 25 value in its (inaudible). |  | 25 which is note 16. Do you see that? |  |
| 1 BURGER | Page 40 | 1 BURGER | Page 41 |
| 2 A. Correct. |  | 2 Q. Let's go to the 2017 workpapers, if |  |
| 3 Q. Okay. What is this section intended |  | 3 we can call it the next exhibit, please. |  |
| 4 to capture? |  | 4 (Exhibit 3 marked.) |  |
| 5 A. This is supposed to capture any |  | 5 Q. All right. I've put up on the |  |
| 6 significant material events that occurred after |  | 6 screen what I believe are PwC's workpapers in |  |
| 7 the balance sheet that requires disclosure. |  | 7 connection with the 2017 audit as it pertains |  |
| 8 Q. And is the information described |  | 8 to notes and other amounts due from affiliates. |  |
| 9 here information that was provided by Highland |  | 9 Is that an accurate way to describe this |  |
| 10 Capital? |  | 10 particular document? |  |
| 11 A. Yeah, correct, by management. |  | 11 A. Yes, it would be a workpaper that we |  |
| 12 Q. This section notes that Mr. Dondero |  | 12 retain in our file. |  |
| 13 issued promissory notes to the partnership in |  | 13 Q. Was it prepared in connection with |  |
| 14 the amount of \$11.7 million in 2018. Do you |  | 14 the 2017 audit? |  |
| 15 see that? |  | 15 A. Yes, this one was. |  |
| 16 A. Ido. |  | 16 Q. And when I use the phrase "2017 |  |
| 17 Q. Those obligations are not included |  | 17 audit," I'm specifically talking about the |  |
| 18 in the balance sheet that we looked at earlier |  | 18 audit that was prepared for the financial |  |
| 19 for the period ending December 31st, 2017; |  | 19 statements for the fiscal year ending December |  |
| 20 correct? |  | 20 31st, 2017. Do you understand that? |  |
| 21 A. That's correct. |  | 21 A. Correct. |  |
| 22 Q. The notes issued by Mr. Dondero are |  | 22 Q. Okay. Who prepared this particular |  |
| 23 the only material subsequent event that PwC was |  | 23 document? |  |
| 24 informed about; is that correct? |  | 24 A. Who prepared it? |  |
| 25 A. Correct. |  | 25 Q. I apologize, who prepared it? |  |


|  | Page 42 |  | Page 43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 BURGER |  | 1 BURGER |  |
| 2 A. Sorry, Hilda Garcia. |  | 2 this type in the ordinary course of its |  |
| 3 Q. Hilda Garcia, is she employed by |  | 3 business? |  |
| 4 PwC? |  | 4 A. We do. |  |
| 5 A. She is. |  | 5 Q. And did PwC prepare this particular |  |
| 6 Q. And what is her title? |  | 6 workpaper in the ordinary course of its |  |
| $7 \quad$ A. She is a senior associate now. She |  | 7 preparation of Highland's 2017 audit? |  |
| 8 would have been a senior associate back then as |  | 8 A. We did. |  |
| 9 well. |  | 9 Q. Okay. Can we go to the tab that is |  |
| 10 Q. Does she report to you or to |  | 10 marked as detailed, if you look at the bottom? |  |
| 11 somebody else? |  | 11 Do you have that, sir? |  |
| 12 A. She reports to me. |  | 12 A. Yes, I have. |  |
| 13 Q. And are you responsible for |  | 13 Q. Is that tab intended to list all of |  |
| 14 overseeing Ms. Garcia's work? |  | 14 the - of the notes and other amounts due from |  |
| 15 A. I am. |  | 15 affiliates that were outstanding at the end of |  |
| 16 Q. And what is the purpose of this |  | 16 the fiscal year? |  |
| 17 document? |  | 17 A. Correct. |  |
| 18 A. The purpose of this document is to |  | 18 Q. And is this information - where did |  |
| 19 layout what are the amounts that makes up the |  | 19 PwC get the information that is set forth on |  |
| 20 line item that is on the balance sheet of |  | 20 the detail tab? |  |
| 21 HCMLP. And then the audit procedure is |  | 21 A. It is from management from the trial |  |
| 22 performed to gain comfort over those - the |  | 22 balance. |  |
| 23 existence of those amounts based on |  | 23 Q. For the record, can you just tell me |  |
| 24 materiality. |  | 24 what a trial balance is? |  |
| 25 Q. And did PwC prepare workpapers of |  | 25 A. So that is a summary document |  |
| 1 BURGER | Page 44 | 1 BURGER | Page 45 |
| 2 listing out the balances of all accounts from |  | 2 on the information that was provided by |  |
| 3 the general ledger that is used to produce the |  | 3 management? |  |
| 4 set of financial statements. |  | 4 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  |
| 5 Q. And was the trial balance made |  | 5 A. Partly management and partly our own |  |
| 6 available to PwC by Highland in connection with |  | 6 due diligence. |  |
| 7 its audit work? |  | $7 \quad$ Q. Okay. The next tab is results |  |
| 8 A. Itwas. |  | 8 template. Do you see that? |  |
| 9 Q. The next tab is marked credit risk |  | 9 A. Yes. |  |
| 10 analysis. Do you see that? |  | 10 Q. Can you just explain to me what that |  |
| 11 A. Yes. |  | 11 page is, if we could scroll to the top, please? |  |
| 12 Q. What is the purpose of the credit |  | 12 A. This --there are a number of notes |  |
| 13 risk analysis? |  | 13 that are being dealt with. This - so if you |  |
| 14 A. The purpose of this is that if you |  | 14 go back to the detail tab, those are the |  |
| 15 think about a receivable or any amount due it |  | 15 individual notes that makes up the amount that |  |
| 16 is about intent and ability. And this is where |  | 16 ties to the back of the financial statement. |  |
| 17 we deal with ability to ask ourself the |  | 17 And there are relevant tabs here that deal with |  |
| 18 question is the counterparty reasonably able to |  | 18 a number of these loans. In preparation for |  |
| 19 repay the amounts. |  | 19 this, we focused on due from HCMSI as that is |  |
| 20 Q. And did PwC conclude in 2000 - in |  | 20 under question. |  |
| 21 connection with the 2017 audit that the makers |  | 21 Q. Why is due from HCMSI under |  |
| 22 of the notes set forth on this particular slide |  | 22 question? |  |
| 23 had the ability to pay? |  | 23 A. That is my understanding of what the |  |
| 24 A. In our opinion, yes. |  | 24 deposition relates to. |  |
| 25 Q. Okay. And did PwC base that opinion |  | 25 MR. WANDER: When he says in |  |


| BURGER | Page 46 |  | BURGER | Page 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 preparation for this, he means in |  | 2 | A. Iam. |  |
| 3 preparation for the deposition he reviewed |  | 3 | MR. AIGEN: Sorry to interrupt. Are |  |
| 4 this piece of it, the HCMSI. Not the rest |  | 4 | you marking this? I'm trying to keep |  |
| 5 of the notes, just HCMSI. |  | 5 | track, is this - |  |
| 6 Q. Okay. So, so but with respect to |  | 6 | MR. MORRIS: Yes, I apologize, this |  |
| 7 this particular page, is there an analysis that |  | 7 | will be Exhibit 4. |  |
| 8 PwC is undertaking? Does this reflect an - |  | 8 | (Exhibit 4 marked.) |  |
| 9 withdrawn. |  | 9 | MR. AIGEN: 4, okay. |  |
| 10 Does this page reflect an analysis |  | 10 | Q. And did you oversee the preparation |  |
| 11 that PwC did? |  |  | of the audited financial statements on behalf |  |
| 12 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  |  | of PwC for the period ending December 31st, |  |
| 13 A. If you add the other relevant tabs |  | 13 | 2018? |  |
| 14 to it, yes. So in other words, some of them |  | 14 | A. Correction, not preparation, we |  |
| 15 link to other tabs. Some of them have |  | 15 | don't prepare any of these documents. |  |
| 16 individual documentation as referenced in the |  | 16 | Q. Let - I apologize, let me restate |  |
| 17 marked legends. |  |  | the question. |  |
| 18 Q. And then there are tabs for the |  | 18 | Did you oversee PwC's audit of |  |
| 19 individual maker of each set of notes. Do I |  | 19 | Highland's financial statements for the period |  |
| 20 have that right? |  | 20 | ending December 31st, 2018? |  |
| 21 A. Correct. |  | 21 | A. Yeah, I did. |  |
| 22 Q. All right. Let's go to the 2018 |  | 22 | Q. Okay. Do you recall any deviations |  |
| 23 financial statements, please. Are you familiar |  |  | from the process you described earlier in |  |
| 24 with Highland's audited financial statements |  | 24 | connection with the preparation of the 2018 |  |
| 25 for the period ending December 31st, 2018? |  |  | audited financials? |  |
| 1 BURGER | Page 48 | 1 | BURGER | Page 49 |
| 2 A. No, I do not. |  |  | we cannot accept a date of, let's call it June |  |
| 3 Q. Can we go to the third page of the |  | 3 | 2nd or 1st or earlier from management's |  |
| 4 document right there. This document is dated, |  |  | representation. |  |
| 5 if you look at the bottom, June 3rd, 2019. Do |  | 5 | Q. Is -- is the report that is set out |  |
| 6 you see that? |  |  | here required by either GAAS or GAAP? |  |
| $7 \quad$ A. Ido. |  | 7 | A. This is - GAAS requires the audit |  |
| 8 Q. And that was the same date as the |  |  | opinion to be - to be the document whereby we |  |
| 9 management representation letter that we looked |  |  | report to the general partner on our - on our |  |
| 10 at earlier, do you recall that? We can pull it |  |  | audit. |  |
| 11 up. |  | 11 | Q. And does PwC have an internal |  |
| 12 A. No, Ido. |  |  | process by which it determines whether or not |  |
| 13 Q. Is it a coincidence that they both |  |  | to sign-off on - on any particular client's |  |
| 14 have the same date? |  |  | audit? |  |
| 15 A. No, it is not. We require that to |  | 15 | A. Wedo. |  |
| 16 be the same. |  |  | Q. Can you describe that process for me |  |
| 17 Q. And why do you require that the |  |  | generally? |  |
| 18 management representation letter and the report |  | 18 | A. From an acceptance phase of the |  |
| 19 of independent auditors be issued on the same |  |  | client or do you mean the content of their |  |
| 20 day? |  |  | opinion? |  |
| 21 A. This is - this is the date that we |  |  | Q. The content of the opinion, thank |  |
| 22 effectively consider these financials available |  |  | you. |  |
| 23 to be issued. And under standards, we are |  |  | A. Yes. So there is a framework that |  |
| 24 required to consider all subsequent events and |  |  | we follow on going back to whether there -- |  |
| 25 representations up to this date. So therefore, |  |  | whether we consider two things. Whether there |  |


| 1 BURGER | Page 50 |  | BURGER | Page 51 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 are material uncorrected misstatements to the |  |  | Q. Okay. Let's go to page 2, please, |  |
| 3 financials or material deviations from required |  | 3 | consolidated balance sheet. |  |
| 4 disclosures. So in other words, are the |  | 4 | Do you see, again, there is the |  |
| 5 financials reasonable and accurate in terms of |  |  | notes and other amounts due from affiliates? |  |
| 6 GAAP, and were we able to perform all the |  | 6 | A. Ido. |  |
| 7 procedures. So in other words there weren't |  | 7 | Q. And does this just carry over from |  |
| 8 any undue scope limitations which -- which got |  |  | the prior years subject to any payments or |  |
| 9 us to a point we weren't able to perform the |  |  | additional notes subject to any changes since |  |
| 10 audit and fulfill our professional duty. |  |  | the end of the prior fiscal year? |  |
| 11 If the answer to those are that we |  | 11 | A. It does. |  |
| 12 fulfill both then we would give what we call an |  | 12 | Q. As of the end of 2018 , is it fair to |  |
| 13 unqualified or a clean opinion. |  |  | say that the notes and other amounts due from |  |
| 14 Q. And is there an opinion committee |  |  | affiliates now exceeded more than 15 percent of |  |
| 15 that is - that is dedicated to this process? |  | 15 | Highland's assets? |  |
| 16 A. No, it is --if it is a clean |  |  | A. That is correct. |  |
| 17 opinion then it is the partner and if |  | 17 | Q. Now, let's go to page 26, please. |  |
| 18 applicable the second partner on the engagement |  | 18 | And you will see number - note number 8 |  |
| 19 is called. If there is anything which goes |  |  | relates to related-party transactions. Do you |  |
| 20 away from an unqualified opinion, in any |  |  | see that? |  |
| 21 deviation, then there is a whole consultation |  | 21 | A. Ido. |  |
| 22 process with our national office. |  |  | Q. So again, do I have this right that |  |
| 23 Q. And did you personally approve this |  | 23 | this section of the notes is intended to |  |
| 24 opinion letter? |  |  | provide the detail about transactions between |  |
| 25 A. I did, that is my signature. |  | 25 | Highland and related parties? |  |
| 1 BURGER | Page 52 | 1 | BURGER | Page 53 |
| 2 A. It is. |  |  | balance sheet called notes and other amounts |  |
| 3 Q. And that is required by GAAP, do I |  |  | due from affiliates? |  |
| 4 have that right? |  | 4 | A. It is. |  |
| 5 A. You have got it correct. |  |  | Q. And can you confirm for me that |  |
| 6 Q. Okay. Let's go to page 28, please. |  |  | management is the one who decided -- withdrawn. |  |
| $7 \quad$ Do you see on page 28 and continuing |  | 7 | Can you confirm for me that |  |
| 8 on page 29 there is again a section of note 9 |  |  | management is the one who calculated the |  |
| 9 entitled notes and other amounts due from |  |  | amounts due and owing by each affiliate as of |  |
| 10 affiliates? |  |  | December 31st, 2018? |  |
| 11 A. Ido. |  |  | MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  |
| 12 Q. And this information was provided by |  |  | A. That is correct. |  |
| 13 management; correct? |  | 13 | Q. To the best of your knowledge, did |  |
| 14 A. Correct. |  |  | anybody from Highland ever tell anybody from |  |
| 15 Q. And this portion of note 8 is |  |  | PwC that any of the amounts due and owing as |  |
| 16 intended to describe the obligations that were |  |  | set forth in the notes and other amounts due |  |
| 17 owed to the debtor by affiliates; correct? |  |  | from affiliates was wrong or incorrect? |  |
| 18 A. Correct. |  |  | A. Not to my knowledge. |  |
| 19 Q. Does this section of note 8 set |  | 19 | Q. And can you confirm for me that in |  |
| 20 forth the amounts that were due and owing by |  |  | connection with the 2018 financial statements |  |
| 21 each affiliate as of the end of fiscal year |  |  | Highland again stated in general that the fair |  |
| 22 2018? |  |  | value of the notes and other amounts due from |  |
| 23 A. It does. |  |  | affiliates approximates the carrying value of |  |
| 24 Q. And are those amounts included in |  |  | the notes receivable? |  |
| 25 the line item that we just looked at on the |  |  | A. That's correct. |  |



| 2 to pay? |  | 2 affiliate's creditworthiness? |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 A. This is a combination but most of |  | 3 A. Not each individual, but on a more |  |
| 4 this is our own due diligence. |  | 4 look-through basis to specifically Mr. Dondero. |  |
| 5 Q. And -- and can you describe for me |  | 5 The purpose of this is not to sign-off on an |  |
| 6 what steps in the due diligence process PwC |  | 6 absolute creditworthiness of each party, but to |  |
| 7 undertook to ascertain whether the makers have |  | 7 provide enough evidence to give us reasonable |  |
| 8 the ability to pay? |  | 8 assurance that these notes are recoverable. |  |
| 9 A. Mostly - mostly relates to evidence |  | 9 Q. And based on the due diligence that |  |
| 10 that there are payments on notes and that none |  | 10 PwC did and the information provided by |  |
| 11 of the notes are contractually in default. And |  | 11 Highland, did PwC conclude that the makers of |  |
| 12 then also very much specifically to |  | 12 the notes had the ability to repay the |  |
| 13 Mr . Dondero's ability from known assets that |  | 13 obligations set forth therein? |  |
| 14 can be found on public flings. |  | 14 A. We did. |  |
| 15 Q. And did PwC analyze public flings |  | 15 Q. Did PwC rely on the analysis set |  |
| 16 and conclude that Mr. Dondero had the ability |  | 16 forth on this document in deciding to issue the |  |
| 17 to repay the notes that had -- that he had |  | 17 opinion in connection - the clean opinion in |  |
| 18 issued to the debtor? |  | 18 connection with the 2018 audit? |  |
| 19 A. Through public flilings which we |  | 19 A. Yeah, this is part of our workpapers |  |
| 20 could obtain, we could at least assess that |  | 20 which forms the collective base of our opinion, |  |
| 21 there are assets in those, sort of let's call |  | 21 yes. |  |
| 22 it public filings that would be adequate to |  | 22 Q. If PwC had any concerns that any |  |
| 23 repay the amounts. |  | 23 maker was unable to repay the obligations under |  |
| 24 Q. Is it fair to say that this section |  | 24 any of the notes made to Highland, is there a |  |
| 25 of the workpapers is an assessment of each |  | 25 process or what would happen under that |  |
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| 2 circumstance? |  | 2 approximately 116 or 117 - withdrawn. |  |
| 3 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  | 3 Do you see that there is |  |
| 4 A. Do lanswer that? |  | 4 approximately $\$ 116$ difference between the |  |
| 5 MR. WANDER: Yes. |  | 5 amount per client and the balance per testing? |  |
| 6 A. If we become aware of any data or |  | 6 A. Yes, Ido. |  |
| 7 anything which shows us that a counterparty |  | 7 Q. Okay. What - what does - |  |
| 8 cannot repay the note, the question stems to |  | 8 withdrawn. |  |
| 9 management as to why they consider the note |  | 9 Is the amount per client the total |  |
| 10 fully recoverable. Because the fact that there |  | 10 principal and interest due as of the balance |  |
| 11 is a note with a legal agreement to it doesn't |  | 11 sheet date for each of the makers listed under |  |
| 12 mean - there may be adverse data that show |  | 12 the account description column? |  |
| 13 that the counterparty is not able to pay and |  | 13 A. That is the amount that is obtained |  |
| 14 that then results in additional work to assess |  | 14 from the trial balance that is used for the |  |
| 15 whether that loan can be recorded at its full |  | 15 financial statements - |  |
| 16 value. |  | 16 Q. Okay. |  |
| 17 Q. But in connection with the 2018 |  | 17 A. - in Column D. |  |
| 18 audit, management represented that each of the |  | 18 Q. And did PwC then test those amounts |  |
| 19 notes was fully recoverable. Do I have that |  | 19 for accuracy or reasonableness? |  |
| 20 right? |  | 20 A. For reasonableness we went back if |  |
| 21 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  | 21 material to the appropriate legal agreements. |  |
| 22 A. They did. |  | 22 MR. AIGEN: I didn't want to |  |
| 23 Q. Let's go to the results template, |  | 23 interrupt, but I was objecting to form with |  |
| 24 please. |  | 24 that one. |  |
| 25 Now, do you see that there is |  | 25 Q. And based on the testing that PwC |  |


| 2 did, did it reach any conclusions as to the |  | 2 Q. So does this show that an entity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 reliability of the debtors' of Highland's |  | 3 known as HCMSI had principal and interest due |  |
| 4 assessment as to the amount owed by each |  | 4 on one or more promissory notes totaling |  |
| 5 client? |  | 5 approximately 13 and a half million dollars? |  |
| 6 A. Do you mind repeating that question? |  | 6 A. It is three promissory notes, which |  |
| 7 Q. Yeah, that wasn't very good. |  | 7 adds up to approximately 13.9 million dollars. |  |
| 8 What is the purpose of the testing |  | 8 Q. Okay. So promissory note one is on |  |
| 9 that - that was undertaken that is reflected |  | 9 the left where it says closing date May 31, |  |
| 10 on this page? |  | 10 2017. Do I have that right? |  |
| 11 A. So the purpose is, again, the 173 is |  | 11 A. Correct. |  |
| 12 the amount that goes to the line item in |  | 12 Q. And if we scroll down promissory - |  |
| 13 question that we are or that part of feeds into |  | 13 where is the second promissory note? |  |
| 14 another tab called detail, which goes back into |  | 14 A. Just go over to column $R$ and then |  |
| 15 the detail. |  | 15 AB, I can read. |  |
| 16 So from there if we have a balance |  | 16 Q. Okay. So then - so that is the |  |
| 17 as recorded in the financial statements we need |  | 17 second promissory note is the one that was |  |
| 18 to obtain the detail behind that, what makes up |  | 18 issued on June 25th, 2018 in the amount of |  |
| 19 those amounts. And for each one individually |  | $19 \$ 200,000$, and then the third one is issued on |  |
| 20 or collective material, we need to test the, A, |  | 20 March 26th, 2018 in the amount of \$150,000. Do |  |
| 21 the existence of the amount and, $B$, the |  | 21 I have that right? |  |
| 22 evaluation of the amount. |  | 22 A. That's correct. |  |
| 23 Q. Let's go to the next tab, due from |  | 23 Q. And this shows that under the first |  |
| 24 HCMSI. Do you see that? |  | 24 note, if we could go to the left a bit, that |  |
| 25 A. Ido. |  | 25 HCMSI paid Highland exactly \$1 million on |  |
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| 2 October 8th, 2018 that was allocated - a |  | 2 purpose of the loans to Mr. Dondero? |  |
| 3 portion of which was allocated to principal and |  | 3 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  |
| 4 a portion of which was allocated to interest? |  | 4 A. In general. |  |
| 5 A. That's correct. |  | 5 Q. In general you made an inquiry? |  |
| 6 Q. Okay. Let's go to the next tab, |  | 6 A. Yeah, as to the - the - as to |  |
| 7 Dondero tax loans. Do you know why the loans |  | 7 whether these loans are considered reasonable |  |
| 8 to Mr. Dondero are described as tax loans? |  | 8 and arm's length. |  |
| 9 A. It is -it is described as tax loan |  | 9 Q. What information do you recall that |  |
| 10 to facilitate tax payments based on eamings is |  | 10 you have whether the loans were reasonable and |  |
| 11 my understanding. |  | 11 arm's length? |  |
| 12 Q. Did PwC ever make any inquiry as to |  | 12 A. Related to the notes being at an |  |
| 13 whether the amounts loaned to Mr. Dondero |  | 13 interest rate which is considered a reasonable |  |
| 14 approximated the amount of tax liability that |  | 14 interest rate considering all the parties |  |
| 15 he faced? |  | 15 involved. And then more on, you know, again, |  |
| 16 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  | 16 the testing that were done and the existence of |  |
| 17 A. We did not. |  | 17 the notes. |  |
| 18 Q. Does PwC have any information as to |  | 18 Q. Did PwC make any inquiry as to the |  |
| 19 whether or not the loans made to Mr. Dondero |  | 19 purpose of any of the loans to any of the |  |
| 20 were related in any way to his actual tax |  | 20 affiliates including Mr. Dondero? |  |
| 21 obligations? |  | 21 A. We did. |  |
| 22 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  | 22 Q. Okay. With respect to Mr. Dondero, |  |
| 23 A. We did not. We didn't consider it |  | 23 do you have any information that you haven't |  |
| 24 necessary. |  | 24 already provided as to PwC's understanding of |  |
| 25 Q. Did PwC make any inquiry as to the |  | 25 the purpose of the loans? |  |




|  | Page 74 |  | Page 75 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 BURGER |  | 1 BURGER |  |
| 2 Q. To the best of your knowledge, did |  | 2 Q. And why is that? |  |
| 3 anyone from Highland ever inform anyone at PwC |  | 3 A. If you have a material -- if you |  |
| 4 prior to June 3rd, 2019 that the obligations |  | 4 have material adverse effects of the balance |  |
| 5 under any of the notes would be extinguished |  | 5 sheet which gives a material adjustment to the |  |
| 6 upon the fulfillment of certain conditions |  | 6 financial statements, depending on the type of |  |
| 7 subsequent? |  | 7 event you require either disclosure or actual |  |
| 8 A. Not to my knowledge. |  | 8 adjustment to the balance sheet. |  |
| 9 Q. If PwC had learned before June 3rd, |  | 9 Q. If PwC had learned before June 3rd, |  |
| 102019 that any of the notes might not be |  | 102019 that any of the notes that had a |  |
| 11 collectable, would PwC have required that |  | 11 outstanding principal amount due of at least |  |
| 12 information to be disclosed? |  | 12 \$1.7 million might be forgiven, would PwC have |  |
| 13 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  | 13 required that to be disclosed? |  |
| 14 A. Disclosed or potentially based on |  | 14 A. Yes. |  |
| 15 materiality financials adjusted. |  | 15 MR. AlGEN: Objection, form. |  |
| 16 Q. I'm going to ask that question |  | 16 Q. Is that for the same reasons that |  |
| 17 again. |  | 17 you just articulated with respect to the lack |  |
| 18 A. Okay. |  | 18 of collectability? |  |
| 19 Q. If PwC had learned before June 3rd, |  | 19 A. Correct. |  |
| 202019 that any of the notes that had an |  | 20 Q. Just two more questions. If PwC |  |
| 21 outstanding principal amount of at least \$1.7 |  | 21 learned before June 3rd, 2019 that any of the |  |
| 22 million might not be collectable, would PwC |  | 22 notes that had an outstanding principal amount |  |
| 23 have required that to be disclosed? |  | 23 of \$1.7 million or more, if those notes had |  |
| 24 A. Correct. |  | 24 been amended, would PwC have required that to |  |
| 25 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  | 25 be disclosed? |  |
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| 2 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  | 2 John and I can work out stuff on the side. But |  |
| 3 A. We would have. |  | 3 just for the record, I understand this isn't |  |
| 4 Q. And finally, if PwC learned before |  | 4 your problem l just want to note that we were |  |
| 5 June 3rd, 2019 that any of the notes that had a |  | 5 never told there would be this sort of time |  |
| 6 then outstanding principal amount due of at |  | 6 limit today. Again, not your problem and I |  |
| 7 least \$1.7 million would be extinguished based |  | 7 just want to reserve all rights if we can't |  |
| 8 on the fulfillment of certain conditions |  | 8 finish today we may have to come back another |  |
| 9 subsequent, would PwC have required that to be |  | 9 time. Hopefully not, I will do my best to ask |  |
| 10 disclosed? |  | 10 questions. |  |
| 11 MR. AIGEN: Objection, form. |  | 11 Let's start with some of the |  |
| 12 A. We would have. |  | 12 questions you were asked at the end about - |  |
| 13 Q. Okay. |  | 13 Mr . Morris asked you if you had learned certain |  |
| 14 MR. MORRIS: I have no further |  | 14 things. And he asked you several questions |  |
| 15 questions. Thank you very much, sir. |  | 15 about it, that PwC would have required that |  |
| 16 EXAMINATION |  | 16 information to be disclosed. Do you remember |  |
| 17 BY MR. AIGEN: |  | 17 that? |  |
| 18 Q. All right. I guess my first |  | 18 A. Okay. |  |
| 19 question is, how much of a hard stop time is |  | 19 Q. Yes, you remember that? |  |
| 20 11:45? I don't mean that for you that can be |  | 20 A. Yes, Ido. |  |
| 21 for counsel. |  | 21 Q. When you say or he said required to |  |
| 22 A. I can go to noon. |  | 22 be disclosed, what are you talking about, |  |
| 23 Q. I will try - I do not think I'm |  | 23 disclosed where and to whom? |  |
| 24 going to be able to be done by then. I guess |  | 24 A. Typically that would be disclosed in |  |
| 25 at that point we can stop and it is possible |  | 25 your subsequent events footnotes, but you can |  |



|  | Page 82 |  | Page 83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 BURGER |  | 1 BURGER |  |
| 2 Q. And the audits that we were talking |  | 2 nature of the question. So again, Kristin |  |
| 3 about were in 2017 and 2018; is that correct? |  | 3 Hendrix, and actually earlier there is another |  |
| 4 A. Yeah, conducted in '18 for '17 and |  | 4 name Drew Wilson would have been a person that |  |
| 5 conducted in '19 for '18. |  | 5 we dealt with on a day-to-day basis. Above |  |
| 6 Q. Okay. And l just want to ask some |  | 6 them would be Dave Klos and above them would be |  |
| 7 general questions about the audits that were |  | 7 Frank Waterhouse, the CFO. |  |
| 8 done. And to speed things up, I'm going to ask |  | 8 So again, if it is a routine matter, |  |
| 9 you the questions combining those two years. |  | 9 our more junior people probably dealt with |  |
| 10 If you need to break it down per year we can do |  | 10 Kristin and Drew. And if it is not a routine |  |
| 11 that, too, but these are pretty general |  | 11 matter and on periodic status meetings, my |  |
| 12 questions. |  | 12 communication would have probably been more |  |
| 13 Can you tell me approximately how |  | 13 with Dave Klos and my managers. |  |
| 14 many people worked on the audits of Highland at |  | 14 Q. I apologize. Other than those four, |  |
| 15 PwC in 2017 and 2018? |  | 15 Ms. Hendrix, Mr. Wilson, Mr. Klos and |  |
| 16 A. Again, earlier I said six or seven. |  | 16 Mr . Waterhouse, is there anyone else at |  |
| 17 Q. And out of those six or seven, how |  | 17 Highland that PwC communicated with as part of |  |
| 18 many people had communications with anyone at |  | 18 the audit that you are aware of? |  |
| 19 Highland? |  | 19 A. Not that I'm aware of. Imean, |  |
| 20 A. I would argue all of them, all of |  | 20 there is a chance that they might have had |  |
| 21 us. |  | 21 somebody else involved, but not that I can |  |
| 22 Q. Okay. And who at Highland did these |  | 22 recall. |  |
| 23 six or seven people have communications with |  | 23 Q. Have you ever had any conversations |  |
| 24 with respect to the work on the audits? |  | 24 with Mr. Dondero? |  |
| 25 A. It depends. It depends on the |  | 25 A. Not specifically relating to any - |  |
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| 2 related to the audit directly. |  | 2 know that? Did you have any conversations with |  |
| 3 Q. Do you know whether any of the other |  | 3 anyone else at PwC about whether they had any |  |
| 4 people at PwC that worked on the audit had any |  | 4 such conversations with anyone at Highland |  |
| 5 conversations with Mr. Dondero? |  | 5 about potential dischargeability of the notes? |  |
| 6 A. Not that I'm aware of. |  | 6 A. I would have had discussions with my |  |
| 7 Q. At the end of Mr. Morris' questions |  | 7 manager directly through a review of the |  |
| 8 if you remember you were asked several |  | 8 engagement as we go through all of this. And |  |
| 9 questions about whether you or anyone at PwC |  | 9 in this instance depending on the person |  |
| 10 had different conversations with anyone at |  | 10 involved whether it was Hilda or Madeline, we |  |
| 11 Highland about the notes and them being |  | 11 analyze, review as we try to get towards |  |
| 12 potentially forgivable or discharged or |  | 12 sign-off. |  |
| 13 amended. Do you remember testifying to that? |  | 13 And on this line item, we would have |  |
| 14 A. Yeah, Ido. |  | 14 gone through the work done on this note, you |  |
| 15 Q. You were asked about conversations |  | 15 know, and the discussion of whether there is |  |
| 16 you had and you said you had no such |  | 16 any adverse event that anybody is aware of. |  |
| 17 conversations; is that correct? |  | 17 Q. These are all the conversations you |  |
| 18 A. Correct. |  | 18 are aware of during the audit not in the last |  |
| 19 Q. You also testified that you are not |  | 19 couple of years; is that correct? |  |
| 20 aware of any conversations of anyone else that |  | 20 A. Yeah, during the audit. |  |
| 21 PwC had with anyone at Highland about this |  | 21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 22 subject; is that correct? |  | 22 of the question. |  |
| 23 A. That's correct. |  | 23 Q. Are you aware of any specific |  |
| 24 Q. Did you - I know you said you're |  | 24 discussions that you had with anyone else at |  |
| 25 not aware and I guess my question is how do you |  | 25 PwC about whether they had any communications |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 BURGER |  | 1 BURGER |  |
| 2 with anyone at Highland about whether the notes |  | 2 A. Yes. As this is a partnership, it |  |
| 3 were potentially dischargeable or amended? |  | 3 is generally available to the general partner |  |
| 4 MR. MORRIS: Objection. |  | 4 and the partners. And there wasn't any |  |
| 5 A. No, I'm not aware. |  | 5 specific need that we were aware of with |  |
| 6 Q. As part of the audit process, is one |  | 6 third-party lenders or banks or anything that |  |
| 7 of the things that PwC looks at who would be |  | 7 we are relying on financials. |  |
| 8 reviewing or relying on the financial |  | 8 Q. Is who is going to end up reviewing |  |
| 9 statements that you are auditing? |  | 9 and relying on a financial statement relevant |  |
| 10 A. Yes, we consider that. |  | 10 to what PwC considers to be material and thus |  |
| 11 Q. And why is that considered? |  | 11 need to be disclosed? |  |
| 12 A. It is important - well, A, the - |  | 12 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 13 the format of our report and obviously just |  | 13 of the question, asked and answered. |  |
| 14 governed by who relies on it. So in other |  | 14 A. No, sorry. |  |
| 15 words, if you have a public client with the |  | 15 Q. Then what is the relevance - sorry. |  |
| 16 PCAOB standards where everybody in the public |  | 16 If it is - if who is going to |  |
| 17 relied on there are additional procedures and |  | 17 review a financial statement is not relevant to |  |
| 18 additional scope than we have to perform. In a |  | 18 what is going to be disclosed, why is it |  |
| 19 certain sense you can deal with two sets of |  | 19 relevant to the work that PwC is doing? |  |
| 20 rules. And the other part of that is |  | 20 A. We perform audits either in terms of |  |
| 21 considered in who we address our opinion to. |  | 21 GAAS as promulgated by AICPA or PCAOB, and |  |
| 22 Q. And in the case of the Highland |  | 22 there are differences in those standards. |  |
| 23 audits, did PwC make an effort to determine who |  | 23 And a correction to your previous |  |
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## EXHIBIT 99

In re:
: Chapter 11
: Case No.

Debtor.
$\qquad$
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, :
8 L.P.
Plaintiff,
vs. : Adversary
: Proceeding No.
11 NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., : 21-03005-sgj JAMES DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO,:

Defendants.

14
15
16

25 JOB NO. 202288



|  | Page 10 |  | Page 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO |  | 1 JAMES DONDERO |  |
| 2 THE WITNESS: (Complies with |  | 2 deposition on Friday? |  |
| 3 request.) |  | 3 A. No. |  |
| 4 JAMES DONDERO, |  | 4 Q. Nobody in the world? |  |
| 5 having first been duly swom, was examined and |  | 5 A. Just my attorney. |  |
| 6 testified as follows: |  | 6 Q. And did you speak with your attorney |  |
| 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I only have one |  | 7 about the substance of the deposition on |  |
| 8 questions. Who's Robert Loigman? |  | 8 Friday? Just - |  |
| 9 MR. LOIGMAN: I already stated for |  | 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm going to |  |
| 10 the record. I'm with Quinn Emanuel. I'm |  | 10 direct - l'm going to direct him not to |  |
| 11 Debbie Newman's partner. |  | 11 answer. |  |
| 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. Thank |  | 12 BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 13 you. |  | 13 Q. Okay. I'm just asking you a |  |
| 14 MR. MORRIS: Can we please put up on |  | 14 yes-or-no question. I'm not asking for the |  |
| 15 the screen the document that's been marked |  | 15 substance of any communications. |  |
| 16 Exhibit 31. |  | 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, you're -- |  |
| 17 MS. CANTY: (Complies with request.) |  | 17 one, I'd have to talk to him to see what he |  |
| 18 EXAMINATION |  | 18 thinks "substance" means. |  |
| 19 BYMR. MORRIS: |  | 19 And to the extent that's |  |
| 20 Q. Mr. Dondero, do you understand that |  | 20 substantive, you're actually getting at the |  |
| 21 this is a continuation of your deposition from |  | 21 content potentially of a discussion. So |  |
| 22 Friday? |  | 22 I'm going to direct him not to answer. |  |
| 23 A. Yes. |  | 23 BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 24 Q. Have you spoken with anybody about |  | 24 Q. Are you going to follow your |  |
| 25 your testimony since we concluded the |  | 25 counsel's advice? |  |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 12 | 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 13 |
| 2 A. Yes. |  | 2 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to |  |
| 3 Q. How much time did you spend speaking |  | 3 paragraph 82 of this document - |  |
| 4 with your attorney since the conclusion of the |  | 4 Q. - Mr. Dondero, do you see that this |  |
| 5 last deposition? |  | 5 is your answer to the Plaintiff's Amended |  |
| 6 A. 30 minutes, 40 minutes. |  | 6 Complaint. |  |
| 7 Q. Are you aware that Alan Johnson |  | 7 A. Yes. |  |
| 8 testified in this case the other day? |  | 8 Q. And we looked at this the other day; |  |
| 9 A. I don't know who Alan Johnson is. |  | 9 do you remember that? |  |
| 10 Uh, no. |  | 10 A. Yes. |  |
| 11 Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that you |  | 11 MR. MORRIS: Can we can go to page-- |  |
| 12 have no knowledge of Mr. Johnson's testimony? |  | 12 paragraph 82, please. |  |
| 13 A. I have no knowledge of Mr. Johnson's |  | 13 MS. CANTY: (Complies with request.) |  |
| 14 testimony. |  | 14 BYMR. MORRIS: |  |
| 15 Q. Are you aware that an expert was |  | 15 Q. And I just want to table set to make |  |
| 16 examined by me earlier in the week in |  | 16 sure we're on the same page. |  |
| 17 connection with this case? |  | 17 Paragraph 82 describes the |  |
| 18 A. I'm aware there's an expert. I'm |  | 18 agreements that you entered into with Dugaboy |  |
| 19 not - l'm not aware that you've examined, |  | 19 consuming the forgiveness of certain Promissory |  |
| 20 deposed, or whatever you did with him. |  | 20 Notes subject to conditions subsequent. |  |
| 21 Q. Okay. When did you speak with your |  | 21 Is that a fair overarching overview |  |
| 22 counsel for 30 minutes about - following last |  | 22 of the nature of the agreements? |  |
| 23 Friday's examination? |  | 23 A. Yes. |  |
| 24 A. About 40 minutes ago. |  | 24 Q. Okay. And for the rest of the |  |
| 25 Q. Okay. |  | 25 deposition today, when I use the phrase |  |


| JAMES DONDERO | Page 14 | 1 | JAMES DONDERO | Page 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 "agreements," I'm going to mean the agreements |  | 2 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sorry to say |  |
| 3 that are referred to in paragraph 82; is that |  | 3 | to you. |  |
| 4 fair? |  | 4 | MR. MORRIS: I object. That is -I |  |
| 5 A. Yes, generally. If I have any |  | 5 | have never in my life seen a witness - |  |
| 6 questions, I'll -- I'll ask. |  | 6 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I have had |  |
| 7 Q. Thank you very much. |  | 7 | 30(b)(6) witnesses with whole notebooks of |  |
| 8 The agreements covered each of the |  | 8 | information. |  |
| 9 notes that are the subject of the lawsuits that |  | 9 | MR. MORRIS: Okay. So let's just |  |
| 10 Highland commenced against you, HCRE Services, |  | 10 | make sure the record is clear. |  |
| 11 and NexPoint; is that right? |  | 11 | BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 12 A. The-yes. |  | 12 | Q. Please describe for me what's on |  |
| 13 Q. What are you looking at? |  |  | that page. |  |
| 14 A. Just this note sheet that covers all |  | 14 | A. It's a listing of the Notes payable |  |
| 15 the notes. |  | 15 | to Highland, what their original term and |  |
| 16 Q. Oh. |  | 16 | amount was, what the term is, and what the loan |  |
| 17 MR. MORRIS: Deborah, I would demand |  |  | date was. |  |
| 18 that that sheet be produced immediately. |  | 18 | Q. Okay. I'm going to ask the - |  |
| 19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. |  | 19 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No. I'm going |  |
| 20 MR. MORRIS: Okay. And I would ask |  | 20 | to take a picture, and I'm going to send it |  |
| 21 him to put it away. |  | 21 | to you, okay? |  |
| 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No. He's a |  | 22 | MR. MORRIS: Okay. And what we're |  |
| 23 30(b)(6) witness. He's entitled to have a |  | 23 | going to do right now is ask him to put it |  |
| 24 list of the notes. He sure he is. |  | 24 | away, and I'm going to ask him questions |  |
| 25 MR. MORRIS: I'm telling you now -- |  | 25 | solely in his capacity as an individual, |  |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 16 | 1 | JAMES DONDERO | Page 17 |
| 2 okay? |  | 2 | MR. MORRIS: We can do that - we |  |
| 3 Please put it away. |  |  | can do that when I ask him questions as a |  |
| 4 THE WITNESS: Isn't that what this |  | 4 | 30(b)(6) witness. |  |
| 5 deposition is, right? This deposition - |  | 5 | By the way, it's still |  |
| 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, this |  | 6 | inappropriate, but - |  |
| 7 deposition is both. |  | 7 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, it's not |  |
| 8 We're going to take a break for a |  | 8 | John. |  |
| 9 second. Let me think about that, but |  | 9 | MR. MORRIS: Okay. |  |
| 10 I'll - |  | 10 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: It's just not. |  |
| 11 MR. MORRIS: I object. I really |  |  | You can say it as much as you want. It |  |
| 12 object. I really object. I'm glad that |  |  | doesn't make it inappropriate. |  |
| 13 this is all on the record. I object. |  | 13 | And I am going to - I want to think |  |
| 14 My request is that he put it away |  |  | for a minute about whether or not your |  |
| 15 and answer questions in his capacity as an |  |  | request to have him not have it in front of |  |
| 16 individual. |  |  | him in his individual capacity is |  |
| 17 I don't know why we need to take a |  |  | appropriate. And l'm not going to make a |  |
| 18 break. |  | 18 | snap decision. I'm going to talk to my |  |
| 19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, because |  | 19 | colleagues, and we'll be back on the record |  |
| 20 I'm going to go take a picture of it and |  | 20 | in a couple of minutes. |  |
| 21 send it to you. |  | 21 | MR. MORRIS: I object, but I can't |  |
| 22 MR. MORRIS: I don't want you to do |  | 22 | stop you. |  |
| 23 that, though. |  | 23 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. |  |
| 24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Why don't you |  | 24 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would you like to |  |
| 25 want--okay. |  |  | go off the video record, Counsel? |  |


|  | Page 18 |  |  | Page 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO |  | 1 | JAMES DONDERO |  |
| 2 MR. MORRIS: No, no, not at all. |  | 2 | THE WITNESS: Correct. |  |
| 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. |  | 3 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Correct, he does |  |
| 4 MR. MORRIS: And just keep the - |  | 4 | not. |  |
| 5 keep the record going. |  | 5 | MR. MORRIS: Okay. I'm going to |  |
| 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yep, will do. |  | 6 | proceed; and I would ask, Deborah, that |  |
| 7 MR. MORRIS: And we're not off the |  | 7 | somebody from your office send that to me |  |
| 8 record? |  | 8 | as soon as possible. I'm sure it's on an |  |
| 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Correct. |  | 9 | e-mail somewhere and all they have to do is |  |
| 10 THE COURT REPORTER: Correct. |  | 10 | hit send. |  |
| 11 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. We're |  | 11 | BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 12 back on the record. |  | 12 | Q. Mr. Dondero, let's continue. |  |
| 13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We remained on |  | 13 | So you don't have that document in |  |
| 14 the record. |  |  | front of you right now? |  |
| 15 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. And this |  | 15 | A. Correct. |  |
| 16 part - this - at this point Mr. Morris |  |  | Q. Okay. How many agreements did you |  |
| 17 only taking Mr. Dondero's deposition in his |  |  | enter into with Dugaboy? |  |
| 18 personal capacity, not as a 30(b)(6) |  | 18 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean with |  |
| 19 witness. |  |  | the Dugaboy trustee? |  |
| 20 If you want to resume taking his |  | 20 | We had an agreement that you were |  |
| 21 deposition as a 30(b)(6) witness, let me |  | 21 | going to refer to these as the agreements |  |
| 22 know; and I will tell him to get his list |  | 22 | with the Dugaboy trustee. So let's stay |  |
| 23 of notes. |  | 23 | consistent. |  |
| 24 MR. MORRIS: So he doesn't have it |  | 24 | BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 25 in front of him right now? |  |  | Q. Mr. Dondero, how many agreements did |  |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 20 | 1 | JAMES DONDERO | Page 21 |
| 2 you enter into with Dugaboy trustee concerming |  | 2 | the Dugaboy trustee? |  |
| 3 Promissory Notes? |  |  | A. I don't remember which ones |  |
| 4 A. Is your question - is your |  |  | specifically. I remember the amount was more |  |
| 5 questions how many Notes were entered into? |  | 5 | substantial than subsequent years. |  |
| 6 Q. No. How many separate agreements |  |  | Q. Do you know how many Promissory |  |
| 7 did you enter into? |  | 7 | Notes were the subject of your first major |  |
| 8 A. The 2017, '18, and '19 agreements. |  |  | agreement with the Dugaboy trustee? |  |
| 9 Q. Okay. Ididn't ask you what |  | 9 | A. No. |  |
| 10 agreements. I asked how many agreements you |  | 10 | Q. Can you identify the maker of any |  |
| 11 entered into with the Dugaboy trustee. |  | 11 | Note that's subject to the first major |  |
| 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and |  | 12 | agreement with the Dugaboy trustee? |  |
| 13 answered. |  | 13 | A. Not without my list or details. |  |
| 14 THE WITNESS: Three major ones. |  | 14 | Q. Can you identify the principal |  |
| 15 BYMR. MORRIS: |  |  | amount of any Promissory Note that was subject |  |
| 16 Q. Are there any minor ones? |  | 16 | to the first agreement that you entered into |  |
| 17 A. Not that I can recall right now. |  | 17 | with the Dugaboy trustee? |  |
| 18 Q. Okay. When did you enter into your |  | 18 | A. I know they were - I know the gross |  |
| 19 first major agreement with the Dugaboy trustee? |  |  | amount. I know they were some of the term |  |
| 20 A. At the end of '17. |  | 20 | loans, but I don't know the specifics. |  |
| 21 Q. Meaning December 2017 or early 2018? |  | 21 | Q. Can you tell me the aggregate |  |
| 22 A. Yes. |  |  | amount - withdrawn. |  |
| 23 Q. What Promissory Notes are the |  | 23 | Can you tell me the aggregate |  |
| 24 subject of the first major agreement that you |  |  | principal amount of the Notes that are the |  |
| 25 entered into with the Dugaboy trust-- with |  |  | subject of your first agreement with the |  |






| JAMES DONDERO | Page 38 |  | JAMES DONDERO | Page 39 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 instead of prepaying a Note, it could have left |  | 2 | MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. |  |
| 3 it in an existing Note outstanding and then |  | 3 | BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 4 issued a separate Note, right, instead of |  |  | Q. Other than the Promissory Notes that |  |
| 5 prepaying, right? |  |  | are the subject of the lawsuits, are you aware |  |
| 6 So I don't know in the - in the pas |  |  | of any other Promissory Notes that are the |  |
| 7 past or how exactly they handled prepays |  |  | subject of any agreement that the Dugaboy |  |
| 8 consistently over time. But at the moment, I |  |  | trustee ever entered into as a representative |  |
| 9 don't believe there's a loan going from Dugaboy |  |  | of the majority of Class A shareholders? |  |
| 10 to Highland. |  | 10 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and |  |
| 11 But I do believe over the years, |  |  | answered. Ithink we've answered after the |  |
| 12 there were numerous loans from Dugaboy to other |  |  | sixth time. |  |
| 13 entities other than the ones we're talking |  | 13 | THE WITNESS: Not as I sit here |  |
| 14 about today. |  |  | today. |  |
| 15 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. John, |  | 15 | BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 16 we've gone way far afield of the topics for |  | 16 | Q. In paragraph 82 in about the fifth |  |
| 17 this deposition or anything that you ought |  |  | line down, there's a statement that, quote, |  |
| 18 to be even asking this individual witness |  |  | "Nancy Dondero is representative for a majority |  |
| 19 about given what these litigations are. |  |  | of the Class A holders of plaintiff, agree that |  |
| 20 Could we move on, please? |  |  | plaintiff would forgive the Notes." |  |
| 21 MR. MORRIS: No. Other than - |  |  | Do you see that? |  |
| 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You're spending |  |  | A. Yes. |  |
| 23 time on things other than the - |  | 23 | Q. The word "plaintiff" as used in your |  |
| 24 MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. |  |  | answer refers to Highland Capital Management, |  |
| 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: - action. |  | 25 | L.P., correct? |  |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 40 | 1 | JAMES DONDERO | Page 41 |
| 2 A. I-no-or wait. Hold on a |  |  | you entered into each of the agreements with |  |
| 3 second. |  |  | the Dugaboy trustee was that Dugaboy held the |  |
| 4 Yes. I guess, yes. |  |  | majority of Highland's Class A interest, |  |
| 5 Q. Okay. At the time you entered into |  |  | correct? |  |
| 6 the agreements, did you understand that |  |  | A. Yes. |  |
| 7 Dugaboy, as a majority - as a representative |  | 7 | Q. And that's exactly why you contacted |  |
| 8 of a majority of the Class A shareholders of |  |  | Nancy to discuss the topics that ultimately led |  |
| 9 the plaintiff was the entity that entered into |  |  | to the agreements, correct? |  |
| 10 the agreement on behalf of Highland? |  | 10 | A. Yes. |  |
| 11 A. Yes. |  | 11 | Q. You specifically called Nancy |  |
| 12 Q. And your sister Nancy is the trustee |  |  | because you wanted her to cause Dugaboy to |  |
| 13 of Dugaboy today, correct? |  |  | enter into the agreements with you on behalf of |  |
| 14 A. Yes. |  | 14 | Highland, correct? |  |
| 15 Q. And Nancy was the trustee of Dugaboy |  | 15 | A. Yes. |  |
| 16 at the time you entered into each of the |  | 16 | Q. And just as you wanted, Nancy, in |  |
| 17 agreements, correct? |  |  | fact, caused Dugaboy, as a representative of a |  |
| 18 A. Yes. |  |  | majority of the Class A shareholders of |  |
| 19 Q. And you knew that at the time you |  |  | plaintiff, to enter into each of the |  |
| 20 entered each of the agreements, correct? |  |  | agreements, correct? |  |
| 21 A. Yes. |  | 21 | A. Yes. |  |
| 22 Q. You knew she was acting on behalf of |  | 22 | Q. Would you agree with me that the |  |
| 23 Dugaboy, correct? |  | 23 | Promissory Notes that are the subject of the |  |
| 24 A. Yes. |  |  | agreements were the debtor's property? |  |
| 25 Q. Your understanding at that time that |  |  | A. I think l've stated numerous times |  |



|  | Page 46 |  | Page 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO |  | 1 JAMES DONDERO |  |
| 2 BY MR. MORRIS: |  | 2 into account? |  |
| 3 Q. Okay. So can you agree with me that |  | 3 A. I went through this already last |  |
| 4 that - that that was a change in the term of |  | 4 time, but the Notes were intentionally loose |  |
| 5 the Note? |  | 5 and, I think, anticipated the ability to adjust |  |
| 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 6 the subsequent conditions or other things. |  |
| 7 form. |  | 7 Q. Now, you told me that each of the |  |
| 8 THE WITNESS: Yeah. See, that's the |  | 8 agreements was entered into between December of |  |
| 9 part I don't want to comment on. I just |  | 9 one year or - actually, withdrawn. |  |
| 10 want to say I don't know. |  | 10 If we look at paragraph 82, it says |  |
| 11 BYMR. MORRIS: |  | 11 that each of the agreements was made, quote, |  |
| 12 Q. Okay. Wasn't that the purpose of |  | 12 "sometime between the December of the year in |  |
| 13 entering into the agreements was to change the |  | 13 which each note was made and February of the |  |
| 14 terms of the each of the Promissory Notes? |  | 14 following year." |  |
| 15 Wasn't that your intent? |  | 15 Do I have that right? |  |
| 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 16 A. Yes. |  |
| 17 form. |  | 17 Q. Can you identify with any greater |  |
| 18 THE WITNESS: I'd say the intent was |  | 18 specificity when you entered into the first |  |
| 19 to find and make compensation appropriate |  | 19 agreement with the Dugaboy trustee referenced |  |
| 20 for industry standards and Highland in |  | 20 in paragraph 82? |  |
| 21 particular. |  | 21 A. No. |  |
| 22 BYMR. MORRIS: |  | 22 Q. It's sometime within that 90-day |  |
| 23 Q. And did you believe that the Notes |  | 23 period; does that sound right to you? |  |
| 24 as originally drafted and signed by you or the |  | 24 A. I believe it was closer to the |  |
| 25 representatives of the makers didn't take that |  | 25 holidays around the turn of the year, but I |  |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 48 | 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 49 |
| 2 don't have specific recollection. |  | 2 mistake. |  |
| 3 Q. Is that answer the same for all |  | 3 Is it your testimony that each - |  |
| 4 three agreements or only for the first |  | 4 that you entered each of the agreements with |  |
| 5 agreement? |  | 5 the Dugaboy trustee in December rather than |  |
| 6 A. That would be the same for all |  | 6 January or February of the years indicated? |  |
| 7 three. |  | 7 A. That's the best of my recollection, |  |
| 8 Q. So then why - why does paragraph 82 |  | 8 but there may have been one year that was |  |
| 9 refer to sometime between December of the year |  | 9 towards the wider end of the interval. I can't |  |
| 10 in which each note was made and February of the |  | 10 remember with more specificity. |  |
| 11 following year if your best recollection is |  | 11 Q. Okay. Do you know of anything that |  |
| 12 that it happened around the holidays? |  | 12 memorialized the date on which you entered into |  |
| 13 A. Idon't know. |  | 13 any of the agreements? |  |
| 14 Q. All right. But as you sit here |  | 14 A. No, other than - no, other than - |  |
| 15 right now, is it your testimony that you |  | 15 no, other than, you know, other than travel |  |
| 16 believe each of the agreements was signed - |  | 16 schedule or phone logs or whatever. |  |
| 17 was more likely signed in December rather than |  | 17 Q. All right. During the discussion |  |
| 18 January or February? |  | 18 that led to the agreements, did you ever |  |
| 19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 19 provide any information to Nancy or to Dugaboy |  |
| 20 form. |  | 20 concerning your compensation? |  |
| 21 THE WITNESS: I think signed is a - |  | 21 A. Just-just verbal. I mean, she |  |
| 22 I'm not - l'm not testifying that signed, |  | 22 knew it was low, and she knew we had reinvested |  |
| 23 I Iguess. |  | 23 most everything we made back in the company |  |
| 24 BYMR. MORRIS: |  | 24 over the years. And that was the - that was, |  |
| 25 Q. I apologize. Maybe that was my |  | 25 I think, understanding by all involved; and it |  |










| 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 82 | 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 83 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 that right? |  | 2 A. I don't know if it's captured in |  |
| 3 We could put it back up on the |  | 3 there, but there was definitely a conversation, |  |
| 4 screen if you'd like. |  | 4 discussion that if something like MGM was |  |
| 5 MR. MORRIS: In fact, why don't we |  | 5 sold - Anchorage is the largest holder almost |  |
| 6 do that. |  | 6 a majority in and of themselves. And if it was |  |
| 7 MS. CANTY: I'm sorry, John. 51- |  | 7 bought or taken out at a price that we couldn't |  |
| 8 I mean, 50? |  | 8 control or couldn't agree with and it was lower |  |
| MR. MORRIS: I think it's |  | 9 than cost or - you know, Cornerstone, again, |  |
| 10 Exhibit 31, paragraph 82. |  | 10 had multiple funds between our ownership and |  |
| 11 MS. CANTY: Oh, okay, 82. I've got |  | 11 control that if --if things were sold |  |
| 12 you. |  | 12 beyond - without my support but sold below |  |
| 13 MR. MORRIS: Thank you. |  | 13 cost - and I'm not sure that's captured in |  |
| 14 BYMR. MORRIS: |  | 14 that paragraph, but I think that was part of |  |
| 15 Q. Does - Mr. Dondero, other than |  | 15 the understanding, also. |  |
| 16 specifying who the portfolio companies were, |  | 16 Q. Is there any other part of the |  |
| 17 does paragraph 82 set forth all of the material |  | 17 understanding that's not set forth in |  |
| 18 terms of each of the agreements? |  | 18 paragraph 82, Mr. Dondero? |  |
| 19 A. I think it sets forth the conditions |  | 19 A. Not that I can think of at this - |  |
| 20 subsequent. |  | 20 let me read it one more time, please. |  |
| 21 Q. Is there any aspect of your |  | 21 Q. Take your time. |  |
| 22 agreement-withdrawn. |  | 22 A. I believe that generally covers it. |  |
| 23 Is there any aspect of your |  | 23 Q. Was any provision of the agreements |  |
| 24 agreements with the Dugaboy trustees that's not |  | 24 the subject of negotiation? |  |
| 25 described in this paragraph? |  | 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 84 | 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 85 |
| 2 form. |  | 2 discussion-- I remember clarification |  |
| 3 THE WITNESS: I don't believe it was |  | 3 discussions like that, but I don't remember - |  |
| 4 materially adjusted by any negotiation. It |  | 4 it was a long time ago. I don't remember the |  |
| 5 was just clarified based on discussion is |  | 5 details of anything specific like that. |  |
| 6 how I would describe it. |  | 6 It wasn't - it wasn't a |  |
| 7 BY MR. MORRIS: |  | 7 contentious, nor should it have been a |  |
| 8 Q. Is there any provision in the |  | 8 contentious negotiation. |  |
| 9 agreements that was included at your sis- - at |  | 9 Q. How long did -- do you recall how |  |
| 10 the Dugaboy trustee's request? |  | 10 long each of the conversations lasted that led |  |
| 11 A. Like I said, there was discussion |  | 11 to the entry of each of the three agreements? |  |
| 12 and clarification. Not specifically that I |  | 12 A. I remember the first one being |  |
| 13 recall. |  | 13 longer than the second two, and then I remember |  |
| 14 Q. Okay. Did the Dugaboy trustee |  | 14 it being spread out periods of time. Sol |  |
| 15 refuse to include any provision in the |  | 15 can't-I can't-I can't put an exact |  |
| 16 agreement that you had proposed? |  | 16 estimate on it. |  |
| 17 A. Not that I recall. |  | 17 Q. Okay. I'm going to shift gears. |  |
| 18 Q. Can you identify any provision of |  | 18 MR. MORRIS: We can take that down |  |
| 19 the agreements that were the subject of a |  | 19 now, please. |  |
| 20 counterproposal that the Dugaboy trustee made? |  | 20 MS. CANTY: (Complies with request.) |  |
| 21 A. I remember clarification discussion |  | 21 BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 22 around, you know, three companies versus two or |  | 22 Q. Do you know of any written agreement |  |
| 23 one. I remember clarification of monetization |  | 23 pursuant to which HCRE provided services to |  |
| 24 being turned to cash versus illiquid. |  | 24 Highland at any time? |  |
| 25 Yeah. I mean, I remember |  | 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |




|  | Page 94 |  |  | Page 95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO |  | 1 | JAMES DONDERO |  |
| 2 minute, but this is the end of Media Number |  | 2 | minute. |  |
| 31. |  | 3 | (Whereupon, Exhibit 53, E-mail |  |
| 4 MR. MORRIS: Okay. |  | 4 | correspondence, Bates stamped D-CNL003768 |  |
| 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are off the |  | 5 | through D-CNL003770, marked for |  |
| 6 record at 3:21. |  | 6 | identification, as of this date.) |  |
| 7 MR. MORRIS: We are off the record, |  | 7 | BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 8 but don't go anywhere. |  | 8 | Q. Okay. So Mr. Dondero, do you see |  |
| 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What? |  | 9 | what's on the screen here? |  |
| 10 MR. MORRIS: We're not taking a |  | 10 | Mr . Dondero? |  |
| 11 break. |  | 11 | MR. MORRIS: Deborah? |  |
| 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yep. This will |  | 12 | Apparently Mr. Dondero has left the |  |
| 13 just take a minute. Please stand by. |  | 13 | seat. |  |
| 14 MR. MORRIS: Thank you. |  | 14 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Would you like to |  |
| 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. |  | 15 | go off record? |  |
| 16 Suzanne, are you good to go? |  | 16 | MR. MORRIS: No. |  |
| 17 THE COURT REPORTER: I'm good. |  | 17 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. We'll stay |  |
| 18 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the |  | 18 | on the record. |  |
| 19 beginning of Media Number 2, Volume II |  | 19 | MR. MORRIS: The video is still |  |
| 20 [sic] in the deposition of James Dondero. |  | 20 | rolling, right, sir? |  |
| 21 We are back on the record at 3:22. |  | 21 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes, it is. |  |
| 22 MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we |  | 22 | MR. MORRIS: Thank you. |  |
| 23 please put up Exhibit 53. |  | 23 | Hi, Michael. If you're - if you're |  |
| 24 MS. CANTY: Yeah. Just one second. |  | 24 | able, can you reach out to your partner? |  |
| 25 My computer went haywire. Give me one |  | 25 | MR. AIGEN: I had texted her. I |  |
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| 2 will try to call her, too; but I did text |  | 2 | the record will reflect, I specifically |  |
| 3 her a couple of minutes ago. I will try to |  | 3 | said do not leave. |  |
| 4 reach out again. Hold on. |  | 4 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. |  |
| 5 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm back. I'm |  | 5 | Mr. Dondero is back. |  |
| 6 lucky in that the ladies room is directly |  | 6 | You have to turn - turn the video |  |
| 7 across from the conference room. |  | 7 | on. |  |
| 8 Mr . Dondero's down at the other end |  | 8 | THE WITNESS: I'm back. |  |
| 9 of the floor, so he will be back shortly. |  | 9 | BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 10 And I just saw your note, John. The |  | 10 | Q. All right. Do you see on the screen |  |
| 11 - the videographer said he needed a break; |  | 11 | there's a document that's been marked as |  |
| 12 and you said, okay, then let's take our |  | 12 | Exhibit 53? |  |
| 13 break now. So we took a restroom break. |  | 13 | A. Yup. |  |
| 14 MR. MORRIS: I think everybody on |  | 14 | Q. Do you see there's an e-mail string |  |
| 15 the phone - and there's a transcript of it |  | 15 | dated May 2, 2019? |  |
| 16 - knows that I specifically said, how long |  | 16 | A. Yes. |  |
| 17 do you need. He said one minute, and I |  | 17 | Q. And do you see that Mr. Waterhouse |  |
| 18 said don't go anywhere. |  | 18 | has - if you look at the second to the top, |  |
| 19 This is your time, not mine. |  | 19 | Mr. Waterhouse's e-mail is forwarding a |  |
| 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Prior to that, |  | 20 | spreadsheet to David Klos and Kristin Hendrix |  |
| 21 you said, let's take the break now. |  | 21 | that he described as, quote, "The support for |  |
| 22 MR. MORRIS: Yeah, to allow him to |  | 22 | the payment to GAF by HCMFA? |  |
| 23 change the tape. I'm not going to question |  | 23 | A. Yes. |  |
| 24 anybody on the call, but I'm 100 percent |  | 24 | Q. What's GAF? |  |
| 25 certain that they would all tell you - and |  | 25 | A. That's the fund itself that owned |  |




|  | Page 106 |  |  | Page 107 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO |  | 1 | JAMES DONDERO |  |
| 2 all the valuation activities were performed by |  | 2 | THE WITNESS: Yes. Numerous board |  |
| 3 Highland. And GAF and HCMFA relied on |  |  | minutes. |  |
| 4 Highland, and it was a material part of board |  |  | BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 5 conversations for over a year. |  |  | Q. Okay. And have those board minutes |  |
| 6 MR. MORRIS: Okay. I move to |  |  | been produced in this litigation? |  |
| 7 strike. |  | 7 | A. Idon't know. |  |
| 8 BYMR. MORRIS: |  | 8 | Q. Okay. |  |
| 9 Q. I'm asking you just about writings, |  | 9 | MR. MORRIS: Let's go to the next |  |
| 10 sir. |  | 10 | exhibit, 54. |  |
| 11 Can you identify - |  | 11 | MS. CANTY: (Complies with request.) |  |
| 12 A. No, no, no. I'm not - l'm not |  | 12 | (Whereupon, Exhibit 54, E-mail |  |
| 13 going to - I'm not going to allow that strike, |  | 13 | correspondence, Bates stamped D-CNL003777 |  |
| 14 or I'm not answering anymore questions. |  |  | through D-CNL003779, marked for |  |
| 15 Q. Well, the judge will be the |  | 15 | identification, as of this date.) |  |
| 16 determiner of that. So I'd like you to answer |  |  | BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 17 my question. |  |  | Q. Do you see that on the same day, at |  |
| 18 Is there any - I don't want to know |  |  | the bottom, Mr. Klos sent an e-mail to the |  |
| 19 about board meetings. |  |  | Corporate Accounting Group? |  |
| 20 Is there anything in writing that |  |  | A. Yes. |  |
| 21 HCMFA provided to GAF that specifically stated |  |  | Q. And do you see that he instructed |  |
| 22 that Highland and not HCMFA was responsible for |  |  | the Corporate Accounting Group to transfer |  |
| 23 the NAV error? |  |  | \$2.4 million from HCMLT to HCMFA? |  |
| 24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and |  |  | A. Yes. |  |
| 25 answered. |  |  | Q. And do you see that he specifically |  |
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| 2 informed the Corporate Accounting Group that |  |  | \$2.4 million that where the maker is Highland |  |
| 3 this transaction was a, quote, "New inter |  |  | Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.? |  |
| 4 co-loan? |  |  | A. Yeah. |  |
| 5 A. Yes. |  |  | Q. Have you ever seen this before? |  |
| 6 Q. Do you see that he asked |  |  | A. I think in our last deposition. |  |
| 7 Christian - Kristin or Hayley to prepare a |  |  | Q. Okay. Do you recall when you saw it |  |
| 8 Promissory Note for discussion? |  |  | for the first time? |  |
| 9 A. Yes. |  |  | A. Our last deposition. |  |
| 10 Q. Okay. Are you aware in May 2019, |  |  | Q. Do you recall when you learned about |  |
| 11 Frank Waterhouse was included in the e-mail |  |  | the existence of this document for the first |  |
| 12 string identified as Corporate Accounting? |  |  | time? |  |
| 13 A. I do not have that awareness. |  |  | A. I believe somehow regarding the |  |
| 14 Q. Okay. Do you see at the top |  |  | litigation. |  |
| 15 Ms. Hendrix - Ms. Hendrix's response to |  |  | Q. Okay. So you have no knowledge of |  |
| 16 Mr . Klos's e-mail and attaches a copy of a |  |  | this Promissory Note until after the litigation |  |
| 17 Promissory Note? |  |  | was commenced; do I have that right? |  |
| 18 A. Yes. |  |  | A. Correct. |  |
| 19 Q. Okay. |  |  | Q. So you're not aware of Highland |  |
| 20 MR. MORRIS: Can we just go to the |  |  | having made a demand for payment on this |  |
| 21 attachment, please. |  |  | Promissory Note in December of 2020? |  |
| 22 MS. CANTY: (Complies with request.) |  |  | A. Not that I recall. |  |
| 23 BYMR. MORRIS: |  |  | Q. Okay. Putting aside the question of |  |
| 24 Q. Do you see that that is a Promissory |  |  | the Promissory Note, do you recall when you |  |
| 25 Note dated May 2, 2019, in the amount of |  |  | first leamed that the \$2.4 million that you |  |



|  |  | Page 114 |  |  | Page 115 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | JAMES DONDERO |  | 1 | JAMES DONDERO |  |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: My answer would be it |  | 2 | on. I've lost contact. Give me a minute. |  |
| 3 | depends. |  | 3 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay. I'd like |  |
| 4 | BY MR. MORRIS: |  | 4 | us to go off record. Do you agree? |  |
| 5 | Q. Do you recall whether Highland -- |  | 5 | MR. MORRIS: Yeah, but please don't |  |
| 6 | withdrawn. |  | 6 | leave. |  |
| 7 | Do you recall whether HCMFA was |  | 7 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yes, we agree. |  |
| 8 | required to make - to make a - to pay a |  | 8 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. Off |  |
| 9 | consent fee at any time in 2019? |  | 9 | the record at 3:53. |  |
| 10 | A. Idon't recall. |  | 10 | (Discussion held off the record.) |  |
| 11 | Q. Do you recall ever believing that |  | 11 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on |  |
| 12 | HCMFA paid a consent fee because of something |  | 12 | the record at 3:54. |  |
|  | that - because of a mistake that Highland |  | 13 | BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 14 | made? |  | 14 | Q. Okay. Can we put up - no. Before |  |
| 15 | A. It could be. I don't know. |  | 15 | we do that, Mr. Dondero, can you hear me? |  |
| 16 | Q. I'm just asking if you had a |  | 16 | We can't hear you. Are you on mute? |  |
| 17 | recollection? |  | 17 | Are you on mute? Can you speak? |  |
| 18 | A. I don't have a recollection. |  | 18 | You're yelling at me now. Stop |  |
| 19 | Q. Okay. |  |  | yelling at me. |  |
| 20 | MR. MORRIS: To the videographer, I |  | 20 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm seeing is |  |
| 21 | think Mr. Dondero's screen has frozen. |  | 21 | that Mr . Dondero is on mute. |  |
| 22 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, your |  | 22 | (Interruption.) |  |
| 23 | screen is frozen, too. |  | 23 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We've got - do |  |
| 24 | MR. MORRIS: I'm- |  | 24 | you want to go off video record? |  |
| 25 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm also - hang |  | 25 | MR. MORRIS: No. |  |
| 1 | JAMES DONDERO | Page 116 | 1 | JAMES DONDERO | Page 117 |
| 2 | Can somebody help Mr. Dondero and |  | 2 | BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 3 | get his audio feed fixed? |  | 3 | Q. All right. Do you see that this is |  |
| 4 | Thank you, sir. |  | 4 | an e-mail from Ms. Hendrix to the Corporate |  |
| 5 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Does this make a |  | 5 | Accounting Group on May 3, 2019? |  |
| 6 | difference? |  | 6 | Do you see that, sir? |  |
| 7 | MR. MORRIS: It sure does. |  | 7 | A. Yes. |  |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: Hello, hello. |  | 8 | Q. And do you see that Ms. Hendrix told |  |
| 9 | THE MORRIS: Thank you. All right. |  | 9 | corporate accounting to transfer $\$ 5$ million as |  |
| 10 | Let's try and - let's try and finish this |  | 10 | a, quote, "new loan," close quote? |  |
| 11 | up. |  | 11 | A. Yes. |  |
| 12 | BY MR. MORRIS: |  | 12 | Q. And did you see Ms. Hendrix also |  |
| 13 | Q. Are you ready, sir? |  | 13 | said that she would, quote, "paper the loan," |  |
| 14 | A. Yes. |  | 14 | close quote? |  |
| 15 | Q. Were you aware in May 2019 that the |  | 15 | A. Yes. |  |
| 16 | \$5-million transfer from Highland to HCMFA was |  | 16 | Q. Okay. You're aware that from time |  |
| 17 | booked as a loan? |  | 17 | to time, members of the Corporate Accounting |  |
| 18 | A. No. |  | 18 | Group used a template for a Promissory Note |  |
| 19 | MR. MORRIS: Can we put up |  | 19 | that had been previously prepared by counsel, |  |
| 20 | Exhibit 56, please. |  | 20 | correct? |  |
| 21 | MS. CANTY: (Complies with request.) |  | 21 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
| 22 | (Whereupon, Exhibit 56, E-mail |  | 22 | form. |  |
| 23 | correspondence, Bates stamped D-CNL003763, |  | 23 | THE WITNESS: I - yeah. I'm aware |  |
|  | marked for identification, as of this |  | 24 | they have a loan template, yes. |  |
| 25 | date.) |  | 25 |  |  |




|  | Page 126 |  | Page 127 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO |  | 1 JAMES DONDERO |  |
| 2 that was responsible for working with the |  | 2 A. -PWC. |  |
| 3 auditors in the preparation of the audit |  | 3 Q. I apologize, sir. |  |
| 4 reports? |  | 4 Highland was the sole source of |  |
| 5 A. Yeah, yes. |  | 5 information that's contained in its audit |  |
| 6 Q. Do you know what group that was? |  | 6 reports, right, to the best of your knowledge? |  |
| 7 A. I believe there's a financial |  | $7 \quad$ A. No. No. When I - the last thing I |  |
| 8 reporting group that reports to Frank that |  | 8 said a minute ago about I believe it was a |  |
| 9 handles this interaction. |  | 9 hundred percent sampling and verification, I |  |
| 10 Q. Are you familiar - are you aware of |  | 10 think the audit firm ties back to vendors, |  |
| 11 what role Mr. Waterhouse plays, if any, in |  | 11 credit agreements, source documents, et cetera. |  |
| 12 connection with Highland's annual audit, at |  | 12 Highland is not the only source of |  |
| 13 least during the time that you were serving as |  | 13 this information. |  |
| 14 president? |  | 14 Q. You were also responsible for the |  |
| 15 A. I think he - he coordinates - I |  | 15 audit report; is that fair? |  |
| 16 think he has to sign off on many aspects of it, |  | 16 A. Yes. |  |
| 17 you know, as a C suite executive. So he's |  | 17 Q. And that's because you signed a |  |
| 18 responsible for, you know, completeness, |  | 18 management representation letter, correct? |  |
| 19 integrity, et cetera. |  | 19 A. Yes. |  |
| 20 And there's a certain amount of |  | 20 Q. And do you have an understanding of |  |
| 21 reliance that PWC puts on it; but my |  | 21 what management a representation letter is? |  |
| 22 understanding is audits for the last bunch of |  | 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
| 23 years has been pretty much a hundred percent |  | 23 form. I think you've asked this in each |  |
| 24 sampling and verification. |  | 24 day of the deposition. |  |
| 25 Q. High-- |  | 25 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Just trying to |  |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 128 | 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 129 |
| 2 get some background here. |  | 2 Q. Have you ever told anyone that |  |
| 3 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have a general |  | 3 HCMFA's audited financial statements for the |  |
| 4 understanding. They very from accounting |  | 4 period ending December 31, 2018, inaccurately |  |
| 5 firm to accounting firm, and they very |  | 5 described the \$7.4 million transferred from |  |
| 6 depending upon the type of audit. But I |  | 6 Highland to HCMFA as loans? |  |
| 7 have a general understanding of them, yes. |  | 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
| 8 BY MR. MORRIS: |  | 8 form. |  |
| 9 Q. Okay. And you're - are you aware |  | 9 THE WITNESS: No, I have not; but I |  |
| 10 that HCMFA had its financial statements audited |  | 10 haven't been involved in any of the audit |  |
| 11 by PWC as well? |  | 11 functions for quite some time. |  |
| 12 A . Yes. |  | 12 I don't think I was involved or |  |
| 13 Q. Are you aware that HCMFA disclosed |  | 13 signed Management Representation Letters |  |
| 14 the May 2019 Notes in its own audited financial |  | 14 for any period covered by this. |  |
| 15 statements? |  | 15 BYMR. MORRIS: |  |
| 16 A. I assume so. |  | 16 Q. Okay. Let's switch gears. |  |
| 17 Q. Have you ever - |  | 17 The advisors have annual contracts |  |
| 18 A. Idon't have specific-I don't |  | 18 to manage certain retail funds, correct? |  |
| 19 have specific awareness, but it's not reported |  | 19 A. Yes. |  |
| 20 here but not on HCMFA; so I assume they are, |  | 20 Q. And the retail funds have a board |  |
| 21 yes. |  | 21 that decides whether to renew the contracts |  |
| 22 Q. Okay. And do you sign Management |  | 22 with the advisors, correct? |  |
| 23 Representation Letters for HCMFA's audit as you |  | 23 A. Yes. |  |
| 24 do for Highland? |  | 24 Q. And in connection with the annual |  |
| 25 A. I believe so. |  | 25 renewal, the advisors provide information to |  |






|  | Page 146 |  |  | Page 147 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO |  |  | JAMES DONDERO |  |
| 2 bankruptcy court about the agreements that you |  |  | All of those settlement proposals, |  |
| 3 entered into with the Dugaboy trustee? |  |  | some were done formally through Seery; some |  |
| 4 A. No. I'm not-yes. No. I'm |  |  | were done indirectly; some of it were -- some |  |
| 5 not-no, I don't. I don't want to - I don't |  |  | of them were done to the independent board; |  |
| 6 want to start talking and have you strike it or |  |  | some of them were done directly to Clemente. |  |
| 7 object. So I'll just answer specifically until |  |  | But all of those documented the expectation |  |
| 8 you get to the question. |  |  | that the notes were compensation. |  |
| 9 Q. Yeah. So - so again, l'm not |  |  | Q. Do you believe that any of the |  |
| 10 trying to trick you. |  |  | documents that you just described were ever |  |
| 11 Can you recall when you told the |  |  | 11 presented to the bankruptcy court? |  |
| 12 bankruptcy court that you had entered into will |  |  | A. Yes. |  |
| 13 the agreements with the Dugaboy trustee? |  |  | 3 Q. Okay. When and in what context were |  |
| 14 A. No. |  |  | 4 those documents delivered to the bankruptcy |  |
| 15 Q. Can you remember the subject matter |  |  | court? |  |
| 16 of any hearing at which you informed the |  |  | A. I believed that the independent |  |
| 17 bankruptcy court about the existence of the |  |  | board and Seery were representatives of the |  |
| 18 agreements that you entered into with the |  |  | bankruptcy court in that regard. |  |
| 19 Dugaboy trustee? |  | 19 | 9 So I think within a month, two |  |
| 20 A. I don't know where or how this works |  |  | months of the filing, there were proposals made |  |
| 21 legally. But every written proposal we put |  |  | 1 to creditors directly and the independent |  |
| 22 forward as a solution and as a plot plan, |  |  | board; and then subsequently, once Seery became |  |
| 23 always had a zero on all the affiliated notes |  |  | president, to him. |  |
| 24 as being a zero in something that was |  | 24 | And then when Seery proved |  |
| 25 ultimately likely to be compensation. |  |  | ineffective regarding settlements, there were |  |
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| 2 reach outs - reaches out to creditors directly |  |  | know. |  |
| 3 again and - to Clemente and the committee; but |  |  | Q. And I appreciate what you've said |  |
| 4 I think the committee already sold all their |  |  | about the proposals that you've made. But my |  |
| 5 stuff by that point. |  |  | next question's very specific. |  |
| 6 I mean, I- listen, I-but \| |  | 6 | Prior to the commencement of |  |
| 7 consider those reach-outs and characterizations |  |  | litigation, did you or anybody acting on your |  |
| 8 of the notes as not part of settlement under |  |  | behalf ever tell Jim Seery or Matt Clemente of |  |
| 9 the estate and that is likely to be |  |  | your agreements with the Dugaboy trustee? |  |
| 10 compensation notifying the Court generally. |  | 10 | A. I-I don't know specifically. |  |
| 11 Q. Okay. Are you aware of any notice |  |  | Q. Thank you very much. |  |
| 12 that was ever given to Judge Jernigan about the |  | 12 | THE COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry. |  |
| 13 existence of any of the agreements that you |  | 13 | When you get to a good point, could we just |  |
| 14 entered into with the Dugaboy trustee? |  | 14 | take a quick break? |  |
| 15 A. I-Idon't know. |  | 15 | MR. MORRIS: Yeah. Why don't we do |  |
| 16 Q. Okay. You're not aware of any as |  | 16 | 6 that, and I hope to try to wrap up. So |  |
| 17 you sit here right now; is that fair? |  | 17 | it's 5:37. I mean, l'm going to need |  |
| 18 A. Yes. I'm not aware if any of my |  | 18 | probably, you know, another half hour or an |  |
| 19 reach-outs to the people that I described ever |  | 19 | 9 hour; but I want to try to finish. It's |  |
| 20 made it to Jernigan. I don't know. |  | 20 | 5:38. |  |
| 21 Q. Okay. |  | 21 | I'm fine with if we just come back |  |
| 22 A. I know she asked for updates on the |  | 22 | at 4:45 Central Time, seven minutes. |  |
| 23 plot plan. I know she asked for whatever, but |  | 23 | THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're |  |
| 24 I don't know what specificity any of the people |  | 24 | off record at 4:38. |  |
| 25 I described presented them to her. So I don't |  | 25 | 5 (Whereupon, a break was taken.) |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | S |  |
| 2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: This is the |  | 2 BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 3 beginning of Media Number 3 in the |  | 3 Q. Prior to confirmation, did you |  |
| 4 deposition of James Dondero. We are back |  | 4 direct anybody to inform Judge Jernigan that |  |
| 5 on the record. The time is $4: 45$. |  | 5 any of the Promissory Notes were unenforceable |  |
| 6 BYMR. MORRIS: |  | 6 for any reason? |  |
| 7 Q. Just to finish up on the topic we |  | 7 A. Idon't know. |  |
| 8 were on when we took the break, Mr. Dondero. |  | 8 Q. Okay. I want to direct your |  |
| 9 Prior to confirmation, do you know |  | 9 attention to December 2020. |  |
| 10 which of the defendants ever informed the |  | 10 Do you recall if you had a |  |
| 11 bankruptcy court that any of the Promissory |  | 11 conversation with Frank Waterhouse concerning |  |
| 12 Notes that are the subject of the lawsuits were |  | 12 payments that were due to Highland by any of |  |
| 13 unenforceable for any reason? |  | 13 the companies that you directly or indirectly |  |
| 14 And when I use the phrase |  | 14 own or control? |  |
| 15 "bankruptcy court" here - you know what, let |  | 15 A. I'm trying to think. Generally, we |  |
| 16 me ask a different question. |  | 16 overpaid on shared services, so - by a |  |
| 17 Prior to confirmation, do you know |  | 17 significant amount, I believe 14, 15 million |  |
| 18 if anybody acting on behalf of the defendants |  | 18 bucks. And then there was a supposed to be an |  |
| 19 ever disclosed to Judge Jemigan that any of |  | 19 overall transition settlement true-up regarding |  |
| 20 the Promissory Notes subject to the lawsuits |  | 20 the employees, the office space, you know, |  |
| 21 were unenforceable for any reason? |  | 21 whatever. |  |
| 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 22 So the - yeah, that's - that's the |  |
| 23 form. |  | 23 -that's my general recollection. |  |
| 24 THE WITNESS: I don't know. |  | 24 Q. But did you give Mr. Waterhouse any |  |
| 25 |  | 25 instructions as to whether to pay or not pay |  |
|  | Page 152 |  | Page 153 |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO |  | 1 JAMES DONDERO |  |
| 2 any amounts that were due and owing to Highland |  | 2 Mr. Waterhouse? |  |
| 3 under any agreement between Highland and any |  | 3 A. Not that I recall. |  |
| 4 affiliate? |  | 4 Q. Do you recall telling anybody other |  |
| 5 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 5 than Mr. Waterhouse in December 2020 that no |  |
| 6 form. |  | 6 payment should be made to Highland under the |  |
| 7 Are you asking about the Notes or |  | 7 Shared Services Agreement? |  |
| 8 the Shared Services Agreements? |  | 8 A. I do believe there was a team - I |  |
| 9 MR. MORRIS: I'm asking about - I'm |  | 9 can't remember - I know Dustin Norris is on |  |
| 10 asking very broadly any payments. |  | 10 that team. He was aware. He was aware. And |  |
| 11 THE WITNESS: I do remember having |  | 11 as a matter of fact, Ithink -- yeah. He-I |  |
| 12 conversations not to pay any more shared |  | 12 know he was aware for sure. |  |
| 13 services. |  | 13 Q. Anybody else? |  |
| 14 And I hope there weren't anymore |  | 14 A. There were other people on that |  |
| 15 payments on shared services. There - |  | 15 team, but I can't remember who was on that team |  |
| 16 There was never a specific to not pay the |  | 16 or who was in the room at any time. |  |
| 17 notes. |  | 17 Q. Is there anything in writing that |  |
| 18 BYMR. MORRIS: |  | 18 you recall that reflects the instruction that |  |
| 19 Q. So your recollection is that you |  | 19 you gave to Mr. Waterhouse in December 2020 |  |
| 20 instructed Mr. Waterhouse not to make any |  | 20 that we're talking about? |  |
| 21 further payments under the shared services, and |  | 21 A. I believe the back-and-forth and the |  |
| 22 that's the instruction you gave? |  | 22 true-up with Seery on the multiple of things |  |
| 23 A. Yes. |  | 23 that I was just discussing, you know, right to |  |
| 24 Q. Did you ever tell anybody in |  | 24 transition of people, it included no more |  |
| 25 December of 2020 about your conversation with |  | 25 shared services being paid and a credit for |  |


|  | Page 154 |  | Page 155 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO |  | 1 JAMES DONDERO |  |
| 2 overpayment on shared services. And those - |  | 2 I don't know which ones were cured in January |  |
| 3 those spreadsheets went back and forth, and |  | 3 or February. Idon't know. |  |
| 4 Seery has copies of them also. |  | 4 Q. Is it your testimony that you |  |
| 5 Q. Are you aware of any payments being |  | 5 believe that one or more of Highland affiliates |  |
| 6 made by the advisors to Highland after |  | 6 made a payment in December 2020 to cure -- as a |  |
| 7 November 30, 2020? |  | 7 cure payment? |  |
| 8 A. Hopefully not on shared services. I |  | 8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
| 9 believe there were payments on principal and |  | 9 form. |  |
| 10 interest on notes. |  | 10 BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 11 Q. Were any of those payments that you |  | 11 Q. Ijust-- I'm sorry. I- |  |
| 12 have in mind made before the end of calendar |  | 12 A. I-I-okay. |  |
| 13 year 2020 - withdrawn. |  | 13 Q. Yeah. Ijust want to try to get |  |
| 14 Were any of those payments that you |  | 14 this as cleanly as I can. Did you - |  |
| 15 have in mind made in December 2020? |  | 15 A. I believe - |  |
| 16 A. I don't know. I don't know which |  | 16 Q. Go ahead, sir. |  |
| 17 ones were paid and kept current. I don't know |  | 17 A. No. I'll let you go. It's better |  |
| 18 which ones were cured. I don't - I don't |  | 18 if you ask me. |  |
| 19 remember which ones were which. |  | 19 Q. Okay. Did you direct anybody to |  |
| 20 Q. Are you aware of any note that was |  | 20 make any payment in December 2020 to Highland |  |
| 21 tendered by one of Highland's affiliates on |  | 21 on behalf of any affiliate that you owned or |  |
| 22 which payment was made in December 2020? |  | 22 controlled? |  |
| 23 A. Idon't know. I don't know when - |  | 23 A. I believe all notes are outstanding |  |
| 24 I don't know which ones were kept current. I |  | 24 and current and in good standing. I don't know |  |
| 25 don't know which ones were cured in December. |  | 25 when they were cured. |  |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 156 | 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 157 |
| 2 Q. Are you just talking about the term |  | 2 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
| 3 notes here or the demand notes as well? |  | 3 form. |  |
| 4 A. All of the above. All of the notes |  | 4 BYMR. MORRIS: |  |
| 5 as far as I know. |  | 5 Q. - by you - by you or any entity |  |
| 6 Q. Are you aware that in December 2020, |  | 6 directly or indirectly owned or control by you |  |
| 7 Highland made a demand for payment under all of |  | 7 to Highland? |  |
| 8 the demand notes? |  | 8 A. Idon't have awareness. |  |
| 9 A. And I believe they're all current as |  | 9 Q. Do you recall that early in 2021, |  |
| 10 far as interest and principal amortization. I |  | 10 Highland gave notice of default on the three |  |
| 11 believe they've all been cured. |  | 11 term notes? |  |
| 12 Q. Okay. Can you identify any payment |  | 12 A. I'm aware in - that January - yes, |  |
| 13 that was made in December 2020 to Highland on |  | 13 I guess I am aware that Highland declared them |  |
| 14 behalf of yourself or any entity that you |  | 14 in default in January, yes. |  |
| 15 directly or indirectly own or control? |  | 15 Q. And you're aware that in addition to |  |
| 16 A. I wouldn't have been involved in - |  | 16 declaring them in default, they gave notice of |  |
| 17 I wouldn't have been involved in normal course |  | 17 acceleration? |  |
| 18 payments. I know there were - I know for sure |  | 18 A. I'm not aware of acceleration. I'm |  |
| 19 there were cure payments in January. I don't |  | 19 aware of, I guess, default I had heard. |  |
| 20 know if there were in December. |  | 20 Q. Did you ever see the |  |
| 21 Q. Okay. And that's - we'll get to |  | 21 notice-of-default letters that Highland sent to |  |
| 22 January. I'm just trying to finish up |  | 22 NexPoint HCRE and services? |  |
| 23 December. |  | 23 A. I don't believe I've seen all of |  |
| 24 Are you aware of any payments made |  | 24 them. I think l've seen one on demand notes. |  |
| 25 in December 2020-- |  | 25 I don't think I've - I don't remember seeing |  |


|  | Page 158 |  | Page 159 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO |  | 1 JAMES DONDERO |  |
| 2 any on term loans. |  | 2 Q. Did you do anything or say anything |  |
| 3 Q. All right. So as you sit here right |  | 3 else with respect to your - your learning |  |
| 4 now, you don't have a recollection of having |  | 4 about the declaration of default? |  |
| 5 seen the default notices that were sent by |  | 5 A. No. It-no. Idon't remember |  |
| 6 Highland in January 2021 with respect to the |  | 6 anything else. |  |
| 7 term notes, right? |  | 7 Q. Did you ask your - do you know |  |
| 8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Why don't you |  | 8 whether anyone acting on behalf of ever reached |  |
| 9 show him one. |  | 9 out to Highland with respect to the payments |  |
| 10 THE WITNESS: I don't recall. Yeah. |  | 10 that were made in January of 2021 as cure |  |
| 11 I mean, I don't - I don't recall seeing |  | 11 payments as you described them? |  |
| 12 any of them. |  | 12 A. Frank was Highland. |  |
| 13 BYMR. MORRIS: |  | 13 Q. I'masking - |  |
| 14 Q. Okay. How did you learn that |  | 14 A. Frank - Frank - Frank was the |  |
| 15 Highland had sent the default notices? |  | 15 person I reached out to at Highland. Who else |  |
| 16 A. I believe it was at a hearing I |  | 16 would I reach out to at Highland? |  |
| 17 attended in person from which I called Frank, |  | 17 Q. Did you - did you reach out to |  |
| 18 and I was surprised and annoyed that the |  | 18 anybody else? |  |
| 19 relative de minimis amounts hadn't been paid; |  | 19 A. No. Just Frank. |  |
| 20 and I asked him what does it take to cure them |  | 20 Q. Okay. Did anybody acting on your |  |
| 21 or make them current. |  | 21 behalf reach out to anybody else? |  |
| 22 And then he told me the numbers, and |  | 22 A. Not that I know of or not that I |  |
| 23 they were small and de minimis; and I told him |  | 23 thought was necessary. |  |
| 24 make sure they get paid and make sure the notes |  | 24 Q. In January of 2021, did it occur to |  |
| 25 are cured. |  | 25 you to either communicate with or through your |  |
| 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 160 | 1 JAMES DONDERO | Page 161 |
| 2 lawyer, with Mr. Seery, about this? |  | 2 your attorneys to confirm with Pachulski Stang |  |
| 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 3 Ziehl \& Jones or anybody acting on behalf of |  |
| 4 form. |  | 4 the debtor that the payments that were made |  |
| 5 THE WITNESS: No. I thought Frank |  | 5 would be deemed to be cure payments? |  |
| 6 was fully empowered. |  | 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm going to not |  |
| 7 BY MR. MORRIS: |  | 7 to disclose communications with counsel. |  |
| 8 Q. Okay. Did you ever confirm your |  | 8 BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| 9 understanding about the cure with |  | 9 Q. Okay. Do you know whether your |  |
| 10 Mr . Waterhouse in writing? |  | 10 lawyers or anybody acting on your behalf ever |  |
| 11 A. In writing? No. I believe it was |  | 11 sought to confirm your understanding that the |  |
| 12 all in that phone conversation from the Court. |  | 12 payments would be deemed to have cured the |  |
| 13 I don't -- I don't recall anything in writing, |  | 13 default under the three term notes? |  |
| 14 but I'll check. |  | 14 A. Not that I'm aware of. |  |
| 15 Q. Do you recall sending him an e-mail |  | 15 Q. Okay. Is there any written record |  |
| 16 in which you confirmed with Mr. Waterhouse your |  | 16 of your call with Mr. Waterhouse? |  |
| 17 understanding that the debtor had agreed that |  | 17 A. If it was from my cell phone, I'm |  |
| 18 the payments that were being paid would |  | 18 sure there's a written record taking place of |  |
| 19 constitute a cure? |  | 19 the call taking place. |  |
| 20 A. No, I didn't - no. At the time I |  | 20 Q. Right. But did you take any notes, |  |
| 21 didn't think it was necessary. It was - the |  | 21 or is there anything in writing that |  |
| 22 cure amount was calculated by Frank. It was |  | 22 memorialized or reflected your conversation |  |
| 23 paid immediately. It was accepted. I never - |  | 23 with Mr. Waterhouse in January of 2021 about |  |
| 24 I never thought to memorialize it beyond that. |  | 24 the cure? |  |
| 25 Q. Okay. Did you - did you ever ask |  | 25 A. Not that I'm aware of and not that I |  |




|  | Page 170 |  |  | Page 171 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| JAMES DONDERO |  |  | JAMES DONDERO |  |
| Q. But you just testified a few minutes |  |  | A. Sure. |  |
| ago, I thought, that you didn't specifically |  |  | Q. I'm going to remind you that when I |  |
| tell Mr. Waterhouse of the terms of the |  |  | use the word "agreements," I'm referring |  |
| agreements to him, right? Did I miss - |  |  | specifically to the agreements that were set |  |
| A. That's right. I mean, not the |  | 6 | forth in paragraph 82 of your answer. |  |
| specific terms, correct. |  | 7 | Do you understand that? |  |
| Q. Okay. So is there any creditor to |  |  | A. Yes. And so I guess my answer is |  |
| whom you - is there any creditor of Highland's |  |  | generally but not specifically. |  |
| to whom you disclosed the existence of the |  | 10 | Q. Okay. And when you say "generally," |  |
| agreements that you entered into with the |  | 11 | you don't mean that you disclosed the existence |  |
| Dugaboy trustee prior to the commencement of |  |  | or terms of the agreement to any creditor. |  |
| the adversary proceeding? |  | 13 | What you mean is that you told all of the |  |
| MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and |  | 14 | creditors that you believed that the notes |  |
| answered. |  | 15 | should be forgiven as part of compensation. |  |
| THE WITNESS: Yeah. I mean, |  | 16 | Do I have that right? |  |
| generally, all the creditors via the |  | 17 | A. Well, that they would be forgiven as |  |
| settlement. And then we have lots of |  | 18 | part of compensation. |  |
| one-off conversations with Clubock |  | 19 | Q. Okay. Subject to that correction, |  |
| representing UBS where the notes were |  | 20 | are we on the same page now? |  |
| described as going to be forgiven |  | 21 | A. Yes. |  |
| compensation, never part of the estate. |  | 22 | Q. Okay. Can we go to page 12, |  |
| BY MR. MORRIS: |  | 23 | Interrogatory Number 2? |  |
| Q. All right. I don't - I don't want |  | 24 | A. This is still in Section 24? |  |
| to wrestle with you. |  | 25 | Q. Yes, sir. |  |
| JAMES DONDERO | Page 172 | 1 | JAMES DONDERO | Page 173 |
| MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 2 | (Whereupon, Exhibit 27, Defendant |  |
| form. |  | 3 | NexPoint Advisors, L.P.'s Objections and |  |
| THE WITNESS: 24, l'm sorry. |  | 4 | Responses to Plaintiff's Requests for |  |
| Page 2? |  | 5 | Admission, Interrogatories, and Requests |  |
| BY MR. MORRIS: |  | 6 | for Production, marked for identification, |  |
| Q. Page 12. |  |  | as of this date.) |  |
| A. Page 12. Yes. Which one? |  | 8 | BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| Q. Number 2. |  | 9 | Q. Let's go to Exhibit Number 27. |  |
| A. All right. |  | 10 | A. Yes. |  |
| Q. You didn't identify any email |  | 11 | Q. And if we can go to page 7 . |  |
| correspondence in response to Interrogatory |  | 12 | MR. MORRIS: I think - I don't know |  |
| Number 2; is that correct? |  | 13 | who's shuffling paper. |  |
| A. I don't have my e-mails. So we have |  | 14 | BY MR. MORRIS: |  |
| painfully little from the Highland estate. |  | 15 | Q. But if we're at page 7, we're |  |
| Q. Okay. |  | 16 | looking at Interrogatory Number 3. |  |
| A. I think at the time we responded, we |  | 17 | Is the reason for the denial -- and |  |
| thought we might get access to things; but we |  | 18 | I apologize. I may be going too quickly |  |
| haven't been able to come up with anything. We |  | 19 | because I know we're all anxious to finish, but |  |
| have - we have no access to anything. |  | 20 | I do want to represent to you that we're |  |
| Q. Okay. So as you sit here today, you |  | 21 | looking at the discovery responses of NexPoint |  |
| cannot identify any e-mail correspondence that |  | 22 | Advisors. |  |
| discusses the existence of the agreement, |  | 23 | 3 A. Right. |  |
| correct? |  | 24 | 4 Q. And if we went to page 12, we'd find |  |
| A. Not yet, no. |  |  | your signature on that one, okay? So looking |  |
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| 2 that I can as the accounting and finance person |  | 2 | A. I don't-I don't know. |  |
| 3 for HCMFA. |  | 3 | Q. You don't know? |  |
| 4 Q. As the accounting and finance person |  | 4 | You're the treasurer of HCMFA but |  |
| 5 for HCMFA, do you have any particular areas of |  | 5 | you don't know if HCMFA has a chief financial |  |
| 6 responsibility? |  |  | officer. |  |
| 7 A. Yeah, it is to manage the accounting |  | 7 | Do I have that right? |  |
| 8 and finance function for HCMFA. |  | 8 | A. That's right. |  |
| 9 Q. Would that include - do you have |  | 9 | Q. Okay. Have you heard of a company |  |
| 10 responsibility for overseeing HCMFA's annual |  | 10 | called NexPoint Advisors? |  |
| 11 audit? |  | 11 | A. Yes. |  |
| 12 A. Can I please elaborate on my prior |  | 12 | Q. We will refer to that as NexPoint. |  |
| 13 question? |  | 13 | Okay? |  |
| 14 Q. Of course. You - you are giving |  | 14 | A. Okay. |  |
| 15 answers. I'm asking questions. |  | 15 | Q. Were you ever employed by NexPoint? |  |
| 16 A. Okay. Yes, so the - it - like I |  | 16 | A. I don't recall. |  |
| 17 said, it is to manage the accounting finance |  | 17 | Q. Did you ever hold any title with |  |
| 18 aspect, but l am, as we discussed, the |  | 18 | respect to the entity known as NexPoint? |  |
| 19 treasurer. That is - being treasurer is what |  | 19 | A. Yes. |  |
| 20 gives me that - that management function. |  | 20 | Q. What titles have you held in |  |
| 21 Q. Does anybody report to you in your |  | 21 | relation to NexPoint? |  |
| 22 capacity as treasurer of HCMFA? |  | 22 | A. Treasurer. Ithink it was only |  |
| 23 A. Idon't believe so. |  | 23 | treasurer. |  |
| 24 Q. Does HCMFA have a chief financial |  | 24 | Q. Can you tell me the approximate year |  |
| 25 officer? |  | 25 | you became the treasurer of NexPoint? |  |
| 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 | Page 28 | WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 <br> Q. And you serve as an officer of <br> HCMFA; correct? <br> A. I think we went over that with my testimony. Yes, l'm the acting treasurer for HCMFA. <br> Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint; correct? <br> A. I think - I am the acting treasurer for NexPoint Advisors. <br> Q. And - and who appointed you acting <br> treasurer of NexPoint Advisors? <br> A. I don't recall specifically. <br> Q. Do you have any recollection of who <br> might have appointed you the treasurer of NexPoint? <br> A. I mean, it - it - I don't recall <br> exactly who it was. <br> Q. Who were the possibilities? <br> MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. <br> Q. You can answer. <br> A. Someone in the legal group for <br> NexPoint. The other officers as well. <br> Q. Have you heard of a company called |  | Page 29 |
| 2 A. Idon't know. |  |  |  |  |
| 3 Q. Are you still the treasurer of |  |  |  |  |
| 4 NexPoint today? |  |  |  |  |
| 5 A. I am the acting treasurer for |  |  |  |  |
| 6 NexPoint. |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Q. When did your title change from |  |  |  |  |
| 8 treasurer to acting treasurer? |  |  |  |  |
| 9 A. Idon't know. |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Q. Did your duties and responsibilities |  |  |  |  |
| 11 change at all when your title was changed from |  |  |  |  |
| 12 treasurer to acting treasurer? |  |  |  |  |
| 13 A. Idon't-I don't believe so. |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Q. Why did - |  |  |  |  |
| 15 A. I still manage the finance and |  |  |  |  |
| 16 accounting function for NexPoint. |  |  |  |  |
| 17 Q. Why did your title change from |  |  |  |  |
| 18 treasurer to acting treasurer? |  |  |  |  |
| 19 A. I don't - I'm using the term |  |  |  |  |
| 20 "acting treasurer" as I'm a Skyview employee. |  |  |  |  |
| 21 Idon't - I don't know - again, I am a - as |  |  |  |  |
| 22 I am the Skyview employee. |  |  |  |  |
| 23 Q. Okay. |  |  |  |  |
| 24 A. And we -- we provide officer |  |  |  |  |
| 25 services. |  |  |  |  |
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| 2 who an affiliate was or is at the time those - |  | 2 definition- |  |
| 3 I didn't - that wasn't my job to make a |  | 3 Q. I said owned and/or controlled. |  |
| 4 determination of who an affiliate is. |  | 4 A. I don't - again, I'm not - I'm not |  |
| 5 Q. All right. So as the CFO of |  | 5 the legal expert. I don't think it controls - |  |
| 6 Highland, do you have any ability right now to |  | 6 he controls Dugaboy, so again, l'm not the |  |
| 7 tell me which companies that were directly or |  | 7 legal person. |  |
| 8 indirectly owned and/or controlled by |  | 8 Q. I'm not asking you for a legal |  |
| 9 Mr . Dondero in whole or in part received loans |  | 9 conclusion, sir. I'm asking you for your |  |
| 10 from Highland Capital Management, L.P.? |  | 10 knowledge, okay, as the CFO - the former CFO |  |
| 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 11 of Highland Capital Management, other than |  |
| 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. |  | 12 NexPoint, HCMFA, and HCMF - HCMS, can you |  |
| 13 A. Yes. |  | 13 think of any other entities that were owned |  |
| 14 Q. Okay. Identify every entity that |  | 14 and/or controlled directly or indirectly in |  |
| 15 you can think of that was directly or |  | 15 whole or in part by Jim Dondero who received a |  |
| 16 indirectly owned and/or controlled by |  | 16 loan from Highland Capital Management, L.P.? |  |
| 17 Mr . Dondero in whole or in part that received a |  | 17 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 18 loan from Highland Capital Management, L.P. |  | 18 A. HCRE. |  |
| 19 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal |  | 19 Q. Any others? |  |
| 20 conclusion. |  | 20 A. That is - that is all I can think |  |
| 21 A. NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital |  | 21 of. |  |
| 22 Management Fund Advisors, HCM Services, |  | 22 Q. And you're aware that from time to |  |
| 23 Dugaboy. Sorry, I don't think - Dugaboy |  | 23 time while you were the CFO, Highland loaned |  |
| 24 doesn't fit that definition. You said owned |  | 24 money to Jim Dondero; correct? |  |
| 25 and controlled. I don't think that that |  | 25 A. Yes. |  |
| 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 | Page 44 | 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 | Page 45 |
| 2 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four |  | 2 identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? |  |
| 3 entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as |  | 3 MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you |  |
| 4 the affiliates? |  | 4 just give me a running objection to legal |  |
| 5 A. So that would be Jim Dondero, |  | 5 conclusion to HCM - |  |
| 6 NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management |  | 6 MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to |  |
| 7 Fund Advisors, and HCRE. |  | 7 object - |  |
| 8 Q. And HCMS? |  | 8 MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every |  |
| 9 A. And HCMS, okay. |  | 9 time. Object to legal conclusion. |  |
| 10 Q. And can we refer to the loans that |  | 10 MR. MORRIS: That is fine. |  |
| 11 were given to each of those affiliates as the |  | 11 A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? |  |
| 12 affiliate loans? |  | 12 Q. Are you aware that each of the - |  |
| 13 A. Yes. |  | 13 that each of the affiliates, as we have defined |  |
| 14 Q. And is it fair to say that each of |  | 14 the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in |  |
| 15 the affiliates were the borrowers under the |  | 15 exchange for the loans? |  |
| 16 affiliate loans as we're defining the term? |  | 16 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the |  |
| 17 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal |  | 17 extent that calls for a legal conclusion. |  |
| 18 conclusion. |  | 18 A. Idon't. |  |
| 19 A. The borrowers are whoever were on |  | 19 Q. No, you don't know that? |  |
| 20 the notes. I don't - I don't know. I'm not |  | 20 A. No, they didn't - you said they |  |
| 21 the legal person. |  | 21 exchanged a promissory note for a loan. I |  |
| 22 Q. But you - |  | 22 don't - I don't understand that question, so I |  |
| 23 A. Idon't know. |  | 23 said no. |  |
| 24 Q. You do know, as Highland's former |  | 24 Q. At the time of the bankruptcy |  |
| 25 CFO, that each of the affiliates that you have |  | 25 filing, did Highland have in its possession |  |
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| 2 promissory notes that were signed by each of |  | 2 | state that now. |  |
| 3 the affiliates? |  | 3 | MS. DANDENEAU: You know, and, |  |
| 4 A. Yes. |  | 4 | Mr. Morris, I really object to that. I |  |
| 5 Q. To the best of your knowledge, |  | 5 | mean- |  |
| 6 during the time that you served as Highland's |  | 6 | MR. MORRIS: Okay. |  |
| 7 CFO, did Highland disclose to its outside |  | 7 | MS. DANDENEAU: - Mr. Waterhouse |  |
| 8 auditors all of the loans that were made to |  | 8 | just told you he's trying to listen to your |  |
| 9 affliates? |  | 9 | questions and answer them carefully, and |  |
| 10 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, that calls |  | 10 | you have no basis for saying that. |  |
| 11 for a legal conclusion. |  | 11 | MR. MORRIS: Okay. |  |
| 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I also couldn't |  | 12 | MS. DANDENEAU: This does not - |  |
| 13 hear you, John, because there was some |  | 13 | this is not an experienced witness, so he's |  |
| 14 garbling on -- on the - on the call. |  | 14 | trying to do the best he can. |  |
| 15 MR. MORRIS: Folks, l've got to tell |  | 15 | Q. Mr. Waterhouse, during the time that |  |
| 16 you this is not going well, and I'm |  | 16 | you served as Highland's CFO, did Highland |  |
| 17 reserving my right - |  | 17 | disclose to its outside auditors all of the |  |
| 18 MS. DANDENEAU: John, it was just |  | 18 | loans that it made to each of the affiliates |  |
| 19 the end of that question. It was just the |  | 19 | that you have identified? |  |
| 20 end of that question. I couldn't hear it |  | 20 | MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal |  |
| 21 either. Sorry, if you could repeat it, |  | 21 | conclusion. |  |
| 22 please. |  | 22 | A. Yes. |  |
| 23 MR. MORRIS: That is less than an |  | 23 | Q. To the best of your knowledge, while |  |
| 24 hour into this, but folks are trying to run |  | 24 | you were Highland's CFO, were all of the |  |
| 25 out the clock, and so I'm just going to |  | 25 | affiliate loans described in Highland's audited |  |
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| 2 financial statements? |  | 2 | conclusion. |  |
| 3 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal |  | 3 | A. Yes. |  |
| 4 conclusion. |  | 4 | Q. You are not aware of any loan that |  |
| 5 A. When an audit was performed, any |  | 5 | any affliate ever obtained from Highland where |  |
| 6 loans that were made by Highland to the |  |  | the affiliate did not give a promissory note in |  |
| 7 affiliates were disclosed to auditors. |  |  | return; is that fair? |  |
| 8 Q. Are you aware of any loan that was |  | 8 | A. Yes, I'm not aware. |  |
| 9 made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to |  | 9 | Q. And to the best of your knowledge, |  |
| 10 the auditors? |  | 10 | did Highland loan to each affliate an amount |  |
| 11 A. I'm not aware. |  |  | of money equal to the principal amount of each |  |
| 12 Q. To the best of your knowledge, did |  | 12 | promissory note? |  |
| 13 each of the affiliates who were - |  | 13 | MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal |  |
| 14 (inaudible) - loaned from Highland execute a |  | 14 | conclusion. |  |
| 15 promissory note in connection with that loan? |  | 15 | A. Yes. |  |
| 16 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal |  | 16 | Q. During the time that you served as |  |
| 17 conclusion. |  | 17 | CFO, did Highland ever loan money to |  |
| 18 A. Sorry, you - halfway through the |  | 18 | Mark Okada? |  |
| 19 question it got muffled. |  | 19 | A. I-Idon't recall. |  |
| 20 Can you repeat that again? |  | 20 | Q. Did you ever see any promissory |  |
| 21 Q. To the best of your knowledge, did |  | 21 | notes executed by Mark Okada? |  |
| 22 every affiliate execute a promissory note in |  | 22 | A. I don't recall. |  |
| 23 connection with each loan that it obtained from |  | 23 | Q. Do you know if Highland ever forgave |  |
| 24 Highland? |  | 24 | any loan that it ever made to Mr. Okada? |  |
| 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal |  | 25 | A. I don't recall. |  |
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| 2 interest due under one of the notes that was |  | 2 A. I don't recall specifically. |  |
| 3 tendered by an affliate or himself should not |  | 3 Q. Do you recall what year it was? |  |
| 4 have been made? |  | 4 A. Yes. |  |
| 5 A. Yes. |  | 5 Q. What year did the conversation with |  |
| 6 Q. Can you identify the payment for me? |  | 6 Mr . Dondero take place that you just described? |  |
| 7 A. It would be for -- for NexPoint |  | 7 A. 2020. |  |
| 8 Advisors. |  | 8 Q. Okay. Do you remember if it was |  |
| 9 Q. Okay. And when did Mr. Dondero tell |  | 9 December 2020? |  |
| 10 you that a payment that you had initiated on |  | 10 A. It-it-I don't-I don't |  |
| 11 behalf of NexPoint should not have been made? |  | 11 recall what month specifically, but it would |  |
| 12 A. I wasn't initiating payment. It was |  | 12 have been November or December. |  |
| 13 in the context of the - I think you used this |  | 13 Q. And we're talking here about a |  |
| 14 term, "the advisors," so NexPoint Advisors and |  | 14 payment of principal and/or interest that was |  |
| 15 Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors had |  | 15 due - withdrawn. |  |
| 16 overpaid on certain agreements with Highland |  | 16 We're talking here about a payment |  |
| 17 Capital Management, L.P. And as a part of that |  | 17 of principal and interest that was applied |  |
| 18 process, the advisors - what I was told at the |  | 18 against NexPoint's note; correct? |  |
| 19 time were in talks and negotiations and |  | 19 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 20 discussions with Highland Capital Management, |  | 20 A. I don't recall what that payment |  |
| 21 L.P., on offsets in relation to those |  | 21 consisted of. |  |
| 22 overpayments. |  | 22 Q. Is it possible that the payment you |  |
| 23 Q. When did this conversation take |  | 23 have in mind related to the shared services |  |
| 24 place? |  | 24 agreement? |  |
| 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
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| 2 A. No. |  | 2 A. Yes, generally. |  |
| 3 Q. Are you certain that the payment - |  | 3 Q. Can you identify any loan that was |  |
| 4 that the payment that you have in mind related |  | 4 ever made to an affiliate or to Mr. Dondero |  |
| 5 to the promissory note that NexPoint issued in |  | 5 that Mr. Dondero did not approve of in advance? |  |
| 6 favor of Highland? |  | 6 A. Other than the ones that are in |  |
| 7 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 7 dispute, I'm not aware. |  |
| 8 A. Yes. |  | 8 Q. Do you believe that Mr. Dondero did |  |
| 9 Q. Okay. Other than that one payment, |  | 9 not approve of each of the loans that are in |  |
| 10 can you identify any other instance where |  | 10 dispute in advance of the time that the loan |  |
| 11 Mr . Dondero told you that a payment should not |  | 11 was made? |  |
| 12 have been applied against principal and |  | 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 13 interest under any promissory note tendered by |  | 13 A. Given what is in the dispute, you |  |
| 14 any affiliate or Mr. Dondero? |  | 14 know, and - and - and the way things might - |  |
| 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 15 yeah, I mean... |  |
| 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to |  | 16 Q. I am not asking about the dispute, |  |
| 17 form. |  | 17 and it was probably my mistake to follow you |  |
| 18 A. Not that I recall. |  | 18 there. |  |
| 19 Q. Thank you very much. |  | 19 Were you aware of every loan made by |  |
| 20 Do you know if Mr. Dondero approved |  | 20 Highland to each of its affiliates and |  |
| 21 in advance of each loan made to each affiliate |  | 21 Mr . Dondero while you were the CFO at the time |  |
| 22 and himself during the time that you were the |  | 22 each loan was made? |  |
| 23 CFO? |  | 23 A. Was l aware of every loan, yes. |  |
| 24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 24 Q. Okay. And if you put yourself back |  |
| 25 form. |  | 25 in time, do you recall that any of the loans |  |



|  | Page 66 |  |  | Page 67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 WATERHOUSE-10-19-21 |  | 1 | WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 |  |
| 2 terms of the agreement? |  | 2 | Q. Do you know anything about - do you |  |
| 3 A. That there were certain milestones |  | 3 | know what promissory notes the agreement |  |
| 4 that had to be reached. |  | 4 | covered? |  |
| 5 Q. Do you have any understanding of the |  | 5 | A. Idon't. |  |
| 6 terms of the agreement between Mr. Dondero and |  | 6 | Q. Do you know if - if Jim and Nancy |  |
| 7 Nancy Dondero concerning any of the notes |  | 7 | Dondero entered into one agreement or more than |  |
| 8 issued by the affiliates or Mr. Dondero other |  | 8 | one agreement? |  |
| 9 than that there have to be milestones reached? |  | 9 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
| 10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 10 | form. |  |
| 11 form. |  | 11 | A. Idon't know. |  |
| 12 A. There are milestones, I found out |  | 12 | Q. Do you know if the agreement is in |  |
| 13 yesterday, or there was some - |  | 13 | writing? |  |
| 14 MS. DANDENEAU: Okay. I'm just |  | 14 | A. Idon't know. |  |
| 15 going to object to the extent that you |  | 15 | Q. How did you learn of the existence |  |
| 16 learned anything in conversations with |  |  | of the agreement? |  |
| 17 counsel, please don't reveal -- that is |  | 17 | MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 18 privileged, and don't reveal any privileged |  | 18 | Again - |  |
| 19 communications. |  | 19 | A. I don't - I don't recall who told |  |
| 20 THE WITNESS: Okay. |  |  | me. |  |
| 21 A. So l'm not aware of anything else. |  | 21 | Q. You have no recollection of who told |  |
| 22 Q. Do you know what the milestones |  |  | you about this agreement between Jim and Nancy |  |
| 23 were? |  | 23 | Dondero? |  |
| 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 24 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
| 25 A. Idon't. |  | 25 | form. |  |
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| 2 A. Idon't recall. |  |  | where you understood that certain milestones |  |
| 3 Q. Do you recall how you learned of the |  | 3 | had to be reached. Okay? |  |
| 4 agreement? |  | 4 | A. Uh-huh. |  |
| 5 Was it in a meeting? Was it in a |  | 5 | MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. |  |
| 6 phone call? Was it in an email? |  | 6 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
| 7 A. Idon't recall. |  | 7 | form. |  |
| 8 Q. Do you recall when you learned of |  | 8 | MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term, |  |
| 9 the agreement? |  | 9 | what is the objection. |  |
| 10 A. Not specifically. |  | 10 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection - |  |
| 11 Q. Do you recall what year you learned |  | 11 | MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will |  |
| 12 of the agreement? |  | 12 | move on. |  |
| 13 A. In - look, I mean, there are so |  | 13 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John -- |  |
| 14 many notes. I may be getting - I believe it |  | 14 | Q. Sir, are you okay with that |  |
| 15 was 2020. |  | 15 | definition of agreement? |  |
| 16 Q. All right. I'm not asking about |  | 16 | A. Okay. |  |
| 17 notes, sir. I'm asking about the agreement |  | 17 | Q. Okay. So you don't recall who - |  |
| 18 that you testified you knew about between Jim |  | 18 | who informed you of the existence of the |  |
| 19 and Don-- Nancy Dondero. Okay. |  | 19 | agreement; is that right? |  |
| 20 Do you understand my question now? |  | 20 | A. Idon't recall. |  |
| 21 Should I ask my question again? |  | 21 | Q. You don't recall who told you the |  |
| 22 A. Yeah, sure. Go ahead. |  |  | terms of the agreement. |  |
| 23 Q. I'm going to use the word |  | 23 | Do I have that right? |  |
| 24 "agreement" to refer to the agreement that |  | 24 | A. Correct. |  |
| 25 Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero entered into |  | 25 | Q. And you don't recall if you learned |  |
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| 2 about the agreement in a meeting, through an |  |  | A. I don't remember the - I mean, it |  |
| 3 email, or through a phone call. |  |  | was sometime in 2021. I don't remember when. |  |
| 4 Do I have that right? |  |  | Q. All right. So to the best of your |  |
| 5 A. Idon't recall. |  |  | recollection, it was in 2021 but you don't |  |
| 6 Q. Can you tell me when you learned of |  |  | recall if it was before or after you ceased to |  |
| 7 the agreement? |  |  | be a Highland employee. |  |
| 8 A. Idon't-I don't-I don't |  | 8 | Do I have that right? |  |
| 9 remember specifically. |  | 9 | A. Yeah, I mean, it was - it was |  |
| 10 Q. Can you tell me if you learned of |  | 10 | likely after I was - after I left Highland |  |
| 11 the agreement before or after the petition |  |  | because, if I put myself back into the last |  |
| 12 date? |  |  | days of - of 2021, it was - you know, the |  |
| 13 A. It would have been - it would have |  | 13 | communications with Mr. Dondero were - were - |  |
| 14 been after. |  |  | were - there weren't as many communications |  |
| 15 Q. Can you tell me if you leamed of |  | 15 | because of the circumstances. |  |
| 16 the agreement before or after January 9th, |  | 16 | Q. And so based on that you believe |  |
| 17 2020? |  |  | that it is most likely that you learned of this |  |
| 18 A. It would have been after. |  |  | agreement sometime after you left Highland |  |
| 19 Q. Can you tell me if you learned of |  |  | employment? |  |
| 20 the agreement before or after you left Highland |  | 20 | A. I wouldn't use the term "most |  |
| 21 Capital Management in February of 2021? |  | 21 | likely." I don't recall specifically. I don't |  |
| 22 A. I don't-I don't-I don't know. |  | 22 | recall. |  |
| 23 Q. It is possible that you learned of |  |  | Q. Do you recall ever telling Jim Seery |  |
| 24 it while you were a Highland employee. |  | 24 | about this agreement? |  |
| 25 Do I have that right? |  | 25 | A. No, I don't - I didn't tell |  |
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| 2 Jim Seery. |  |  | at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I |  |
| 3 Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about |  | 3 | guess, at 15-at 11:15 your time. |  |
| 4 this agreement? |  | 4 | VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the |  |
| 5 A. No. |  |  | record at 11:02 a.m. |  |
| 6 Q. Did you tell any of Highland's |  | 6 | (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) |  |
| 7 independent directors about this agreement? |  | 7 | VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the |  |
| 8 A. No. |  |  | record at 11:20 a.m. |  |
| 9 Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski |  | 9 | Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with |  |
| 10 Stang Ziehl \& Jones about this agreement? |  |  | anybody during the break about this deposition? |  |
| 11 A. No. |  | 11 | A. No. |  |
| 12 Q. Did you tell any employee of |  | 12 | MS. DANDENEAU: Other than - other |  |
| 13 Highland about this agreement? |  | 13 | than his counsel. |  |
| 14 A. No. |  | 14 | Q. Did you speak to your counsel about |  |
| 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has |  |  | the substance of your deposition today? |  |
| 16 been an hour and a half. Is this a good |  | 16 | A. No, I didn't bring it up. |  |
| 17 time for a break? |  | 17 | Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it |  |
| 18 MR. MORRIS: Sure. |  | 18 | up. I asked you if you had any conversation |  |
| 19 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind |  | 19 | with your lawyer about the substance of your |  |
| 20 you that during the break please don't speak |  | 20 | deposition. |  |
| 21 with anybody about the deposition, the |  | 21 | MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did. |  |
| 22 substance of your testimony or anything else |  | 22 | Q. Can you tell me what the - you |  |
| 23 conceming the deposition. Okay? |  | 23 | discussed? |  |
| 24 A. Yes. |  | 24 | MS. DANDENEAU: No, l object to |  |
| 25 MR. MORRIS: So it is 11:02. We're |  |  | that. He's not going to answer. That is a |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 don't know who, I don't know when, as you |  | 2 milestones that had to be reached; is that |  |
| 3 asked, sometime in '21, someone told me about |  | 3 right? |  |
| 4 this - or I don't honestly know - I don't |  | 4 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 5 even recall exactly how I was made aware of |  | 5 A. That was one of the words that was |  |
| 6 this, but I was. I don't know -- I don't know |  | 6 used when I heard about it, yes. |  |
| 7 any of these details, and I'm getting - again, |  | 7 Q. And when you heard about this |  |
| 8 there is, you know, I-I-I had a passing |  | 8 agreement that had a term in it concerning |  |
| 9 conversation with - with Jim at some point |  | 9 milestones reached, did you ask the person who |  |
| 10 on - on some - on the executive comp, and I'm |  | 10 was telling you about the agreement whether or |  |
| 11 getting confused of what is what, because |  | 11 not it was in writing? |  |
| 12 again, I don't know any of these details. |  | 12 A. Idid not. |  |
| 13 Q. Okay. Let me try again, |  | 13 Q. Did you ask any questions at all? |  |
| 14 Mr . Waterhouse, and I apologize. |  | 14 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 15 Are you aware of any agreement |  | 15 A. Not that I recall. |  |
| 16 between Jim Dondero and Nancy Dondero |  | 16 Q. But do you understand that going |  |
| 17 concerming any promissory note that was given |  | 17 forward, we're going to refer to the agreement |  |
| 18 to Highland by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero? |  | 18 as the agreement that you just described that |  |
| 19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 19 you were- |  |
| 20 form. |  | 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. |  |
| 21 A. I've heard of an agreement. That |  | 21 A. Yes. |  |
| 22 is - that is - I mean, if you are using aware |  | 22 Q. Okay. You don't have any personal |  |
| 23 as heard, sure. |  | 23 knowledge concerning the terms of the |  |
| 24 Q. And you understand that one of the |  | 24 agreement; correct? |  |
| 25 terms of the agreement is that it was based on |  | 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
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| 2 form. |  | 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked |  |
| 3 Q. You can answer. |  | 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name |  |
| 4 A. I don't - I heard about the |  | 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery |  |
| 5 agreement. I don't know anything - I heard |  | 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the - who |  |
| 6 there was an agreement. That is -- again, as I |  | 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. |  |
| 7 testified before - I said before, heard about |  | 7 That is what prompted me do this, |  |
| 8 it, don't know the details. I believe it was |  | 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that |  |
| 9 sometime this year. |  | 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a |  |
| 10 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge |  | 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put |  |
| 11 about the terms of the agreement, sir? |  | 11 through this today. I had no intention of |  |
| 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is |  |
| 13 A. Other than what I have previously |  | 13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is |  |
| 14 discussed, I don't - I don't know. |  | 14 because certain of the defendants identified |  |
| 15 Q. Did - did Mr. Dondero tell you |  | 15 you as somebody - |  |
| 16 about the existence of the agreement? |  | 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you |  |
| 17 A. Idon't recall. |  | 17 are here to ask questions, not to have - |  |
| 18 Q. Do you recall the source of your |  | 18 MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the |  |
| 19 information when you learned about the |  | 19 guy. I really do. |  |
| 20 agreement? |  | 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sure you do. |  |
| 21 A. No, I don't-I don't recall. I |  | 21 MR. MORRIS: Ido. Stop. |  |
| 22 don't remember. I just - I heard about it |  | 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You stop. |  |
| 23 generally. I don't remember - I don't |  | 23 MR. MORRIS: I'm allowed. |  |
| 24 remember who, how, if, how. I don't remember. |  | 24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, you're not |  |
| 25 Q. You know, Mr. Waterhouse, I just |  | 25 allowed to have a chat with the witness. |  |
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| 2 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 2 was a - there was a point - it varies. It |  |
| 3 A. During my tenure as CFO, I played a |  | 3 varies by year, in function, in time and, you |  |
| 4 very minimal role. |  | 4 know, depending on the request, but yes, I |  |
| 5 Q. What was the minimal role that you |  | 5 mean, there is - there is - there is |  |
| 6 played? |  | 6 generally a point person of communication. |  |
| 7 A. You know, again, it was - it was to |  | 7 Q. And who was the point person from |  |
| 8 check in with the team, to make sure that, you |  | 82016 until the time you left Highland? |  |
| 9 know, audit - the deadlines were being hit, |  | 9 A. Idon't - I don't know |  |
| 10 information was being presented to the auditors |  | 10 specifically, but it would have been, you |  |
| 11 in a - in a timely fashion, but, you know, |  | 11 know - you know, someone on the corporate |  |
| 12 other than that, it was a very capable team |  | 12 accounting team. |  |
| 13 that are still current employees of Highland |  | 13 Q. And was there a head of the |  |
| 14 and, you know, they -- they conducted 99 |  | 14 corporate accounting team? |  |
| 15 percent of -- look, I don't want to give |  | 15 A. Yes, so-yes. |  |
| 16 percentages. I mean, this is - but I-I- |  | 16 Q. Who was the head of corporate |  |
| 17 I played a minimal role towards the end. |  | 17 accounting for the five years prior to the time |  |
| 18 Before during my earlier years as |  | 18 you left Highland? |  |
| 19 CFO, I did more, and then as time went on, I |  | 19 A. I don't - if you're asking from |  |
| 20 did less in it. |  | 202016 on, I don't -- it was Dave Klos, but, |  |
| 21 Q. Okay. Was there a person at |  | 21 again, there was - there was changes to the |  |
| 22 Highland who was responsible for overseeing |  | 22 team and the reporting structure. I don't |  |
| 23 Highland's participation in PwC's audit during |  | 23 remember exactly when that happened during - |  |
| 24 the time that you were the CFO? |  | 24 you know, over the last - since 2016. |  |
| 25 A. Yeah. I mean, there was - there |  | 25 Q. Did the folks who participated and |  |
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| 2 ran the audit all report to you, directly or |  | 2 If you --if you talk to my team members, I'm |  |
| 3 indirectly? |  | 3 not micromanaging people. I want people to |  |
| 4 A. Yes. |  | 4 learn and grow in their function so they can go |  |
| 5 Q. And did you have any responsibility |  | 5 on and do bigger and better things with their |  |
| 6 for making sure that the audit report was |  | 6 careers. |  |
| 7 accurate before it was finalized? |  | $7 \quad$ And so, yes, generally I was |  |
| 8 A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that - |  | 8 responsible for it, but I wanted the team to |  |
| 9 that is - my responsibility to the auditors |  | 9 learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk |  |
| 10 was - again, is - and the CFO is to - we are |  | 10 of the audit. |  |
| 11 providing accurate financial statements; right? |  | 11 Q. Did you personally review each audit |  |
| 12 And - and - and as part of any |  | 12 report before it was finalized to satisfy |  |
| 13 audit, we disclose all relevant information as |  | 13 yourself that it was accurate? |  |
| 14 part of any audit. |  | 14 A. I don't-I don't recall, you know, |  |
| 15 Q. Okay. And as the CFO, did you take |  | 15 for every single - we're talking 2016, there |  |
| 16 steps to make sure that the audit report was |  | 16 would have been three years, 2016 to '17, '18. |  |
| 17 accurate? |  | 17 I don't--we're - we're going back |  |
| 18 A. I mean, I would say in a general |  | 18 five years-plus. Idon't - you know, I don't |  |
| 19 sense, yes. But, again, I mean, I had a |  | 19 recall. |  |
| 20 very - I had a very capable and competent |  | 20 Q. Did you have a practice that you |  |
| 21 team. I wasn't managing them. |  | 21 employed to make sure that you were satisfied |  |
| 22 You know, part of what I do is I let |  | 22 that Highland's audit reports were true and |  |
| 23 the team-I want managers to grow. I want |  | 23 accurate to the best of your knowledge? |  |
| 24 managers to have rope. And that is - you |  | 24 A. I mean, our - the practice was set |  |
| 25 know, I'm not a stand-behind-you type of guy. |  | 25 up with our - the - the practice to put |  |
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| 2 together accurate audited or accurate financial |  |  | reporting and disclosures of loans to |  |
| 3 statements is to your control environment. |  |  | affiliates and Mr. Dondero? |  |
| 4 So, you know, the - so the practice |  |  | MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 5 was to maintain a stable control environment |  |  | A. I don't - I don't recall - |  |
| 6 which then the output is - is accurate |  |  | Q. So you don't recall -- |  |
| 7 financial statements. |  |  | A. - the - |  |
| 8 So - so, you know, if I was |  | 8 | MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris - |  |
| 9 comfortable that the control environment was |  |  | A. I don't recall being uncomfortable. |  |
| 10 operating, then, you know, that would dictate |  |  | But, again, we're going back several years. I |  |
| 11 how I would - you know, what I might or might |  |  | 1 don't - you know, the practice in an audit is |  |
| 12 not do in a given year. |  |  | to disclose all information to the auditors. |  |
| 13 Q. Okay. Do you recall ever being |  | 13 | 3 And I don't - I don't recall. |  |
| 14 uncomfortable with the control environment |  |  | 4 Q. As part of the process of the audit, |  |
| 15 during the period that you served as CFO? |  |  | did you sign what is sometimes referred to as a |  |
| 16 A. Yeah. I mean, look, yes, there are |  |  | management representation letter? |  |
| 17 times - you know, nothing is perfect. So |  |  | 7 A. Yes. |  |
| 18 there were - there were times when, yes, you |  | 18 | MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the |  |
| 19 know - there are times I learned I was |  |  | screen a document that we have premarked as |  |
| 20 uncomfortable with the control environment, and |  | 20 | Exhibit 33. |  |
| 21 that is part of the management of the process |  | 21 | (Exhibit 33 marked.) |  |
| 22 and having, you know -- and -- and working |  | 22 | MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, that is |  |
| 23 through whatever obstacles present themselves. |  |  | 3 not in the binder, correct? |  |
| 24 Q. Okay. Were you ever uncomfortable |  | 24 | 4 MR. MORRIS: Correct. |  |
| 25 with the control process as it related to |  |  | Q. So you will see, Mr. Waterhouse, |  |
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| 2 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we |  |  | materiality? |  |
| 3 could go to the signature page. |  |  | A. Yes. |  |
| 4 And do you see that you and |  |  | Q. And for purposes of the audit, did |  |
| 5 Mr . Dondero signed this document? |  |  | PwC set the level of materiality each year? |  |
| 6 A. Yes. |  |  | A. Yes. |  |
| 7 Q. That is your signature; right? |  |  | Q. Did that number change over time? |  |
| 8 A. Yes. |  |  | A. I'm not aware of what materiality is |  |
| 9 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back |  |  | every single year, so - but, you know, this |  |
| 10 to the top. |  |  | number would likely fluctuate. |  |
| 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you |  |  | 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a |  |
| 12 have somebody post this in the chat so that |  |  | question I asked you earlier today. And that |  |
| 13 we have can have a copy of this, please. |  |  | is in connection -- this letter is issued in |  |
| 14 MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can |  |  | connection with the audit for the period ending |  |
| 15 you do that, please. |  |  | 12/31/2018; correct? |  |
| 16 Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of |  | 16 | 6 A. Yes. |  |
| 17 the second paragraph there is a reference to |  |  | Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that if |  |
| 18 materiality? |  |  | any -- actually, withdrawn. I'm going to take |  |
| 19 A. Yes. |  |  | it outside of this. |  |
| 20 Q. Okay. It says, Materiality used for |  | 20 | If Highland ever forgave the loan to |  |
| 21 purposes of these representations is |  |  | any affiliate or any of its officers or |  |
| 22 \$1.7 million. |  |  | 2 employees, in whole or in part, to the best of |  |
| 23 Do you see that? |  |  | your knowledge, would that forgiveness have |  |
| 24 A. Ido. |  |  | 4 been disclosed in the audited financial |  |
| 25 Q. And did PwC set that level of |  |  | statements if it exceeded the level of |  |
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| 2 materiality that PwC established? |  | 2 signed as well. I don't -- I don't recall. |  |
| 3 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 3 Q. But to the best of your knowledge, |  |
| 4 A. So, again, during my tenure as CFO, |  | 4 you, personally, signed a management |  |
| 5 and - Highland - it was - it is required to |  | 5 representation letter in connection with |  |
| 6 disclose any affiliate loans that are in excess |  | 6 Highland's audit each year that you served as |  |
| 7 of materiality. |  | 7 the CFO; correct? |  |
| 8 Now, the forgiveness of those loans |  | 8 A. I would say generally speaking, |  |
| 9 may or may not - I mean, since materiality |  | 9 Mr . Morris. I don't recall for every single |  |
| 10 fluctuates every year, a - you know, if a loan |  | 10 year, you know, generally, but I would want to |  |
| 11 was forgiven, it may or may not, you know - |  | 11 refer to all the rep letters and see who signed |  |
| 12 and, look, I would want to consult the guidance |  | 12 them. |  |
| 13 around this. |  | 13 Q. Do you recall Highland having its |  |
| 14 It is not something we do - you |  | 14 financial statements audited in any year during |  |
| 15 know, it is not - you know, GAAP can be and |  | 15 the period that you were a CFO where you didn't |  |
| 16 disclosures can be very specialized so, again, |  | 16 sign the management representation letter? |  |
| 17 we want to consult the guidance. But we would |  | 17 A. I don't recall. But, John, we're |  |
| 18 see if and what would need to be disclosed if |  | 18 going back five, six, seven, eight, nine, |  |
| 19 it were deemed immaterial. |  | 19 decade. Idon't - I don't remember. |  |
| 20 Q. Did you and Mr. Dondero sign |  | 20 Q. I don't want to go back that many |  |
| 21 management representation letters of this type |  | 21 decades, but l'm just asking you if you recall |  |
| 22 in each year in which you served as Highland's |  | 22 that there was you didn't sign it? |  |
| 23 CFO? |  | 23 A. I-I-I don't, but my memory |  |
| 24 A. I-I-I will speak for myself. |  | 24 is - again, I-I-I can't tell you what I |  |
| 25 I signed them. There may have been others that |  | 25 did in 2012. I mean, It think generally, yes, |  |
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| 2 but I don't-I don't know for sure, and I |  | 2 management to sign management representation |  |
| 3 would want to rely on the document. |  | 3 letters? |  |
| 4 Q. Let me ask the question a little bit |  | 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
| 5 differently then. |  | 5 form. |  |
| 6 Do you have any reason to believe |  | 6 A. I don't know why PwC's - what PwC's |  |
| 7 that Highland had its annual financial audit |  | 7 specific practice is. I know generally what |  |
| 8 and you did not sign a management |  | 8 management representation letters are. |  |
| 9 representation letter in connection with that |  | 9 Q. Okay. Do you personally - I'm not |  |
| 10 audit? |  | 10 asking about PwC. I'm asking for you - I'm |  |
| 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 11 asking about you, do you have an understanding |  |
| 12 A. I don't believe it would, but, |  | 12 as to why the auditor asks for management |  |
| 13 again, I would want to - I don't recall and I |  | 13 representation letters? |  |
| 14 would want to confirm it to -- to make, you |  | 14 A. Okay. So you're asking me in my |  |
| 15 know, an affirmative - to give an affirmative |  | 15 personal capacity, yes, I have a general |  |
| 16 answer. |  | 16 understanding of why. |  |
| 17 Q. Do you know whether PwC required |  | 17 Q. Can you give me the general |  |
| 18 management to sign management representation |  | 18 understanding that you have as to why |  |
| 19 letters? |  | 19 management representation letters are required? |  |
| 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 20 A. They are - they are required to - |  |
| 21 A. Yes. Imean, it-management |  | 21 they are - they are one of the items required |  |
| 22 representation letters are signed by |  | 22 in an audit to help verify completeness. |  |
| 23 management. |  | 23 Q. Do you have any - any other |  |
| 24 Q. Okay. And do you know - do you |  | 24 understanding as to why management |  |
| 25 have any understanding as to why PwC requires |  | 25 representation letters are required? |  |
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| 2 being the point person of this audit. And I |  |  | accuracy of the section of the audit reports |  |
| 3 can't recall, you know, when - you know, I |  |  | called Notes and Other Amounts Due from |  |
| 4 don't even know if I was ever the point person |  |  | Affiliates; correct? |  |
| 5 during my tenure as CFO. |  |  | MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. |  |
| 6 I don't know if PwC had any concerns |  |  | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to |  |
| 7 when they were performing those audit |  |  | form. |  |
| 8 procedures. They may have and they may have - |  |  | A. I don't recall having any |  |
| 9 and it may not have been communicated to me. I |  |  | conversations. But, again, I mean, this is - |  |
| 10 don't know. |  |  | this is two years ago. |  |
| 11 MR. MORRIS: All right. I move to |  |  | 1 Q. I'm just asking for your |  |
| 12 strike. |  |  | 2 recollection, sir. |  |
| 13 Q. And l'm going to ask you to listen |  |  | 3 A. Yes. |  |
| 14 carefully to my question. |  |  | 4 Q. If you don't recall, this will - |  |
| 15 Did you - do you recall ever having |  |  | 5 A. Yeah. |  |
| 16 a conversation with anybody at any time |  |  | 6 Q. (Overspeak)-if you don't |  |
| 17 concerning the accuracy of the reporting |  |  | recall - |  |
| 18 provided in the audited financial statement on |  |  | 8 A. Yeah, I don't-I don't recall. |  |
| 19 the topic of Notes and Other Amounts Due? |  |  | Q Q. Do you know who was responsible for |  |
| 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |  | drafting the audit report? |  |
| 21 A. I don't recall for this, but that |  |  | 1 A. Are you asking the actual Highland |  |
| 22 doesn't mean that it didn't exist. |  |  | employee responsible? I mean, it was |  |
| 23 Q. Okay. But you have no reason to |  |  | 3 Highland's responsibility, so, I mean, that |  |
| 24 believe, as you sit here right now, that you |  |  | $4 \text { is - }$ |  |
| 25 ever discussed with anybody concerns over the |  |  | 5 Q. Right. |  |
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| 2 A. - Highland's responsibility. |  |  | audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any |  |
| 3 Highland's responsibility. |  |  | way? |  |
| 4 Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible |  |  | And I'm happy to give you a moment |  |
| 5 for drafting this section of the audit report? |  |  | to - to look at it, if you would like. |  |
| 6 A. I-I don't know the answer to |  |  | MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 7 that. Again, there was a team who worked on |  |  | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same. |  |
| 8 this. And I don't know, you know, whether it |  |  | A. I mean, I would have to look at - I |  |
| 9 was the staff or the manager. |  |  | would have to look at the bill to the note |  |
| 10 Again, this is where I let the teams |  |  | schedule to make sure I know you presented me |  |
| 11 manage. And, you know, there may be a |  |  | 1 with materiality, but again, there might be a |  |
| 12 corporate accountant who worked on this. I |  |  | note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was - was |  |
| 13 just - you know, I wasn't part of that process |  |  | under materiality not disclosed. I don't - I |  |
| 14 to give that person experience. I don't know. |  |  | 4 don't know. I would need more information. |  |
| 15 Q. Do you recall having any |  |  | Q. Okay. But without more information, |  |
| 16 communications with anybody at any time |  |  | 6 you have no reason to believe anything this |  |
| 17 concerning this section of the report? |  |  | 7 section is inaccurate; correct? |  |
| 18 A. Yeah, I don't recall. |  |  | 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 19 Q. Do you recall whether you ever told |  |  | 9 A. I don't. I mean, you know, this was |  |
| 20 anybody at any time that any aspect of this |  |  | part of the audit. |  |
| 21 section of the report was inaccurate or |  |  | 1 Q. Thank you. Now, you will see if we |  |
| 22 incomplete? |  |  | 2 could scroll just a little bit more that each |  |
| 23 A. I don't recall. |  |  | of the first five paragraphs concerns |  |
| 24 Q. As you sit here today, do you have |  |  | 4 specifically the four affiliates that we've |  |
| 25 any reason to believe that this section of the |  |  | 5 been discussing and Mr. Dondero. |  |
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| 2 MR. MORRIS: If we could go the |  | 2 value is the line item that you showed me |  |
| 3 other way, La Asia. We don't need Okada. |  | 3 earlier that is in Notes and Other Amounts Due |  |
| 4 We're going to have to thread the needle. |  | 4 from Affliates. |  |
| 5 Okay. Good, perfect. |  | 5 Q. Okay. Is another way to say this is |  |
| 6 Q. Do you see those five paragraphs |  | 6 that the fair market value of the notes equals |  |
| 7 certain the four affiliates and Mr. Dondero as |  | 7 the principal amount and - withdrawn. |  |
| 8 we've been referring to today? |  | 8 Is the fair way to interpret this |  |
| 9 A. Yes. |  | 9 that the fair market value of the notes equals |  |
| 10 Q. Okay. And do you see at the end of |  | 10 all remaining unpaid principal and interest due |  |
| 11 every paragraph it states, quote: A fair value |  | 11 under the notes? |  |
| 12 of a partnership's outstanding notes receivable |  | 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. |  |
| 13 approximates the carrying value of the notes |  | 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. |  |
| 14 receivable? |  | 14 A. I don't know the answer to that, |  |
| 15 A. Yes, I see that. |  | 15 because I don't recall where - where any - |  |
| 16 Q. Do you have an understanding of what |  | 16 where - in what line item was the interest |  |
| 17 that means? |  | 17 component reported. |  |
| 18 A. Yes. |  | 18 Q. All right. Well, if we look in this |  |
| 19 Q. What is your understanding of that |  | 19 audit report, you will see in the middle of the |  |
| 20 sentence? |  | 20 first paragraph, for example, it states that as |  |
| 21 A. It is the - again, the - the fair |  | 21 of December 31st, 2018, total interest and |  |
| 22 value, right, which is - which is what the - |  | 22 principal due on outstanding promissory notes |  |
| 23 what Highland could sell that asset for. This |  | 23 was approximately $\$ 5.3$ million. |  |
| 24 statement is comparing the fair value of the |  | 24 Do you see that? |  |
| 25 notes to the carrying value, so the carrying |  | 25 A. Ido. |  |
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| 2 Q. Is that the carrying value or the |  | 2 look, I mean, if you-I mean, if you are |  |
| 3 fair value? |  | 3 saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and |  |
| 4 A. That would be the carrying value - |  | 4 other amounts due from affiliates, then the |  |
| 5 Q. And is the last - |  | 5 last statement is saying the fair value |  |
| 6 A. -in my opinion. |  | 6 approximates 5.3 million. That is what that |  |
| $7 \quad$ Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as |  | 7 last sentence is saying. |  |
| 8 the chief financial officer of Highland during |  | 8 Q. Do you see in the middle of the |  |
| 9 the period of time that you described; right? |  | 9 first paragraph - not in the middle, the next |  |
| 10 It is an educated opinion? |  | 10 to last sentence there is a statement that the |  |
| 11 A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm |  | 11 partnership will not demand payment on amounts |  |
| 12 taking that as that is carrying value. |  | 12 that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability |  |
| 13 Q. Okay. And does the last sentence |  | 13 prior to May 31st, 2021. |  |
| 14 say that the carrying value is roughly |  | 14 Do you see that? |  |
| 15 approximate to the fair market value? |  | 15 A. Ido. |  |
| 16 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 16 Q. Do you know when Highland agreed not |  |
| 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. |  | 17 to demand payment as described in that |  |
| 18 A. Again, this note to the financial |  | 18 sentence? |  |
| 19 statement is specific to notes and other |  | 19 A. I don't know specifically. |  |
| 20 amounts due from affliates. |  | 20 Q. Do you know why Highland agreed not |  |
| 21 Q. Correct. |  | 21 to demand payment on HCMFA's notes until May |  |
| 22 A. If the interest component is |  | 22 2021? |  |
| 23 reported elsewhere on the balance sheet, you |  | 23 A. Yes. |  |
| 24 know, it - it - it could be off. Again, I |  | 24 Q. Why was that decision made? |  |
| 25 don't have the detail. I don't know, but yes, |  | 25 A. You know, well, it - it - that |  |
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| 2 decision was made as to not put HCMFA into a |  | 2 remember exactly --I don't remember if it was |  |
| 3 position where it didn't have sufficient assets |  | 3 myself or - or Jim Dondero who - who - there |  |
| 4 to pay for the demand note. |  | 4 was - there was something signed, from what I |  |
| 5 Q. And at the time the agreement was |  | 5 recall, that - that - that backed up this |  |
| 6 entered into, pursuant to which the partnership |  | 6 line item in the -- in the notes I'm-- look, |  |
| 7 wouldn't demand payment, did HCMFA have |  | 7 l 'm, l'm - |  |
| 8 insufficient assets to satisfy the notes if a |  | 8 Q. We will get to that. |  |
| 9 demand had been made? |  | 9 A. You- |  |
| 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 10 Q. I'mjust- |  |
| 11 A. I don't have HCMFA's financial |  | 11 A. You have-Imean- |  |
| 12 statements in front of me as of 12/31/18. |  | 12 Q. We're going to give that to you. |  |
| 13 Q. Was there a concern that HCMFA would |  | 13 I'm going to give that to you. |  |
| 14 be unable to satisfy its demands under the |  | 14 A. You - you - you have all the |  |
| 15 notes if demand was made? |  | 15 documents. I don't have the documents, and |  |
| 16 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 16 that is what makes it so hard. I don't have |  |
| 17 A. Well, there is - I don't recall -- |  | 17 any documents to prepare for this deposition; |  |
| 18 I mean, there is something, right, in place to |  | 18 right? You have all - I don't - I don't - I |  |
| 19 basically not demand payment until May 31, 2021 |  | 19 don't remember, but, you know, again, it would |  |
| 20 as detailed here. |  | 20 probably be myself or Jim. |  |
| 21 Q. And who made the decision to enter |  | 21 Q. Do you know if Highland received |  |
| 22 into - who made the decision on behalf of |  | 22 anything in return for its agreement not to |  |
| 23 Highland not to demand payment until May 31st, |  | 23 make a demand for two years? |  |
| 24 2021? |  | 24 A. I don't - I don't think it referred |  |
| 25 A. I'm trying to remember. I don't |  | 25 anything. |  |
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| 2 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss |  | 2 Allocation Fund, which was a - a fund managed |  |
| 3 HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a |  | 3 by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. |  |
| 4 demand was made at the time this agreement was |  | 4 There was a - we - I'm just telling you, |  |
| 5 entered into? |  | 5 there was - there was - there was a - a |  |
| 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 6 ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while |  |
| 7 A. I don't-I don't-I don't recall |  | 7 it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there |  |
| 8 having a specific conversation, if I did, or - |  | 8 were amounts owed by the advisor in - in |  |
| 9 or David Klos. |  | 9 relation to that NAV error. |  |
| 10 Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall |  | 10 There were also, for the same fund, |  |
| 11 any conversations that you had. |  | 11 that same fund was ongoing an |  |
| 12 A. Idon't recall. |  | 12 open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part |  |
| 13 Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland |  | 13 of that proposal, shareholders who voted for |  |
| 14 loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject |  | 14 the conversion received compensation from the |  |
| 15 of the notes described in this paragraph? |  | 15 advisor. |  |
| 16 A. I don't remember specifically why |  | 16 Q. All right. Now, the events that |  |
| 175.3 million was loaned. I mean, I-it would |  | 17 you're describing occurred in the spring of |  |
| 18 have to be put in the context. |  | 18 2019; right? |  |
| 19 Q. Do you have any recollection at all |  | 19 A. These started back - I think, I |  |
| 20 as to why Highland ever loaned any money to |  | 20 mean - |  |
| 21 HCMFA? |  | 21 Q. I apologize. |  |
| 22 A. Yes. |  | 22 A. -that - I mean, the answer to |  |
| 23 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 23 that is no. |  |
| 24 Q. What do you remember about that? |  | 24 Q. I apologize, the loans that were |  |
| 25 A. There was a Highland Global |  | 25 made in connection with the events that you're |  |
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| 2 Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that |  | 2 subsequent event relating to affiliate loans be |  |
| 3 there is a section there called Subsequent |  | 3 captured in this section if they were - if |  |
| 4 Events? |  | 4 they were made after the end of the fiscal year |  |
| 5 A. Ido. |  | 5 and prior to the issuance of the audit report? |  |
| 6 Q. And does this relate to the last |  | 6 A. Yes, if they were deemed material or |  |
| 7 sentence above the signature line on the |  | 7 disclosable. |  |
| 8 management representation letter that we talked |  | 8 Q. Okay. I appreciate that. |  |
| 9 about earlier where you made the representation |  | 9 Do you see the next to the last |  |
| 10 that you disclosed subsequent events? |  | 10 entry there? It says, Over the course of 2019 |  |
| 11 A. I mean, it relates to it, but not in |  | 11 through the report date, HCMFA issued |  |
| 12 its entirety. |  | 12 promissory notes to the partnership in the |  |
| 13 Q. Okay. |  | 13 aggregate amount of \$7.4 million? |  |
| 14 MR. MORRIS: If we can scroll up to |  | 14 A. Yes. |  |
| 15 capture the entirety of this section right |  | 15 Q. And does that refresh your |  |
| 16 here. |  | 16 recollection that those are the notes that |  |
| 17 Q. And what do you mean by that, sir? |  | 17 related to the NAV error that you mentioned |  |
| 18 MR. MORRIS: Yeah, right there. |  | 18 earlier? |  |
| 19 Perfect. |  | 19 A. I don't - I don't remember the |  |
| 20 A. There are - there are different |  | 20 exact. Again, there are - I mentioned two |  |
| 21 subsequent events in - under GAAP. So there |  | 21 line items; right? |  |
| 22 are - and - and - so what we see in the |  | 22 Q. Yes. |  |
| 23 notes to the financial statements are one type |  | 23 A. I mean, it was the GAAP conversion |  |
| 24 of subevent. |  | 24 process plus the - the NAV error. I don't |  |
| 25 Q. Okay. And -- and would the type of |  | 25 have the details. Idon't recall specifically |  |
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| 2 if -- you know, what -- if that 7.4 million was |  | 2 date, right, if - if my memory serves me |  |
| 3 solely attributable to the NAV error. |  | 3 right. |  |
| 4 Q. Okay. But there is no question that |  | 4 Q. Yes, I will represent to you that |  |
| 5 Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over |  | 5 your memory is accurate in that regard. |  |
| 6 the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory |  | 6 Did anybody ever instruct you as the |  |
| 7 notes to the partnership in the aggregate |  | 7 CFO to correct this statement that we're |  |
| 8 amount of \$7.4 million; correct? |  | 8 looking at in subsequent events? |  |
| 9 A. In the course of the audit, we would |  | $9 \quad$ A. So let me understand. You're saying |  |
| 10 have produced all promissory notes in our |  | 10 when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone |  |
| 11 possession, including the ones that are |  | 11 ever ask me to correct the - over the course |  |
| 12 detailed here. |  | 12 of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued |  |
| 13 Q. Do you recall that you signed the |  | 13 promissory notes, this statement? |  |
| 14 two promissory notes that are referenced in |  | 14 Q. Right. |  |
| 15 that provision? |  | 15 A. Not that I'm aware. |  |
| 16 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 16 Q. While you were the CFO of Highland, |  |
| 17 A. Ididn't recall initially but I've |  | 17 did anybody ever tell you that that sentence |  |
| 18 been reminded. |  | 18 was wrong? |  |
| 19 Q. Okay. And -- and do you recall that |  | 19 A. Not that I'm aware. |  |
| 20 those notes are dated May 2nd and May 3rd, |  | 20 Q. Highland - withdrawn. |  |
| 21 2019? |  | 21 HCMFA disclosed these notes in its |  |
| 22 A. Yes. |  | 22 own audited financial statements; right? |  |
| 23 Q. So that was just a month before the |  | 23 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, form. |  |
| 24 audit was completed; correct? |  | 24 A. I assume that these would be |  |
| 25 A. Yes. Ithink we had a June 3rd |  | 25 material --if these are material financial |  |
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| 2 statements, yes, they - they - they should be |  |  | June 3rd. It could have been done on June 4th. |  |
| 3 and they were likely disclosed. |  |  | I don't - I don't - I don't recall. |  |
| 4 Q. Now, there is no statement |  |  | Q. Okay. |  |
| 5 concerning the 2019 notes about the forbearance |  |  | MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the |  |
| 6 that we looked at in the affiliated note |  |  | screen the HCMFA audit report. And while |  |
| 7 section of the report; right? |  |  | we're - |  |
| 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |  | MS. DANDENEAU: What exhibit is |  |
| 9 Q. I'll withdraw. That was bad. |  |  | this? |  |
| 10 Do you recall when we were looking |  |  | MR. MORRIS: La Asia, what number is |  |
| 11 at the paragraph concerning HCMFA earlier it |  |  | 1 that? |  |
| 12 had that disclosure about the agreement whereby |  | 12 | 2 MS. CANTY: 45. |  |
| 13 Highland wouldn't ask for demand on the - on |  | 13 | MR. MORRIS: So this will be marked |  |
| 14 the HCMFA notes? |  |  | 4 as Exhibit 45. |  |
| 15 A. Yes. |  |  | 5 (Exhibit 45 marked.) |  |
| 16 Q. That forbearance disclosure is not |  | 16 | 6 MS. CANTY: Yeah, and I will put it |  |
| 17 made with respect to the 2019 notes; right? |  |  | 7 in the chat. |  |
| 18 A. Not-look, not that I can recall, |  |  | 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Thank you. |  |
| 19 unless - unless it was done at a subsequent |  |  | 9 Q. Okay. All right. Do you see that |  |
| 20 day. |  |  | this is the consolidated financial statements |  |
| 21 Q. Right. And it is not in the |  |  | 1 for HCMFA for the period ending 12/31/18? |  |
| 22 subsequent event section that we're looking at |  |  | 2 A. Yes. |  |
| 23 right now where the 2019 notes are described; |  |  | Q. As the treasurer of HCMFA at the |  |
| 24 right? |  |  | 4 time, did you have to sign a management |  |
| 25 A. Right. But this is through |  |  | 5 representation letter similar to the one that |  |
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| 2 we looked at earlier for Highland? |  |  | Q. Okay. PricewaterhouseCoopers served |  |
| 3 A. I would imagine I would have been |  |  | as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct? |  |
| 4 asked to. I don't recall if I did. |  |  | A. Yes. |  |
| 5 Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an |  |  | Q. Do you see that this audit report is |  |
| 6 auditor to sign a management representation |  |  | signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the |  |
| 7 letter and then not doing it? |  |  | Highland audit report? |  |
| 8 A. No. |  |  | A. That is correct. |  |
| 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down |  |  | Q. And did the process of - of |  |
| 10 again. I just want to see the date of the |  |  | preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the |  |
| 11 document. |  |  | 1 same process that Highland followed when it did |  |
| 12 A. I mean, let me - you know, there |  |  | 2 its audit report at this time? |  |
| 13 are different versions to management |  |  | 3 A. I mean, it is a different entity. |  |
| 14 representation letters I will qualify. |  |  | There are different assets. You know, it - |  |
| 15 Yes, there are certain - from time |  |  | it - it is - as you saw, Highland's |  |
| 16 to time auditors can make representations |  |  | 6 financials are on a consolidated basis. This |  |
| 17 that - in the rep letter that is being |  |  | is different, so it is under the same control |  |
| 18 proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or |  |  | environment and team. |  |
| 19 things like that and they've asked for |  |  | 9 Q. Okay. I appreciate that. So the |  |
| 20 signature. |  |  | same control environment and team participated |  |
| 21 In that context, yes. I mean, you |  |  | 1 in the preparation of the audit for Highland |  |
| 22 know - I mean, if I have been asked to sign |  |  | 2 and for HCMFA at around the same time; correct? |  |
| 23 and make those representations and those |  | 23 | 3 A. Yes. |  |
| 24 representations are invalid, yes, I would not, |  |  | 4 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to page 17 of |  |
| 25 I mean, I - I wouldn't sign that. |  |  | 5 the report. I don't have the Bates number. |  |
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| Q. Okay. Do you see that just like |  |  | Q. I apologize if I asked you this |  |
| Highland's audited financial report, HCMFA's |  |  | already, but has anybody ever told you at any |  |
| audited financial report also has a section |  |  | time that you are not authorized to sign the |  |
| related to subsequent events? |  |  | promissory notes that are the subject of the |  |
| A. Yes. |  |  | sentence we're looking at? |  |
| Q. And am I reading this correctly that |  |  | A. Not that I recall. |  |
| just as Highland had done, HCMFA disclosed in |  |  | Q. Did anybody ever tell you at any |  |
| its audited financial report a subsequent event |  |  | time that you had made a mistake when you |  |
| that related to the issuance of promissory |  |  | signed the promissory notes that are the |  |
| notes to Highland in the aggregate amount of |  |  | 1 subject of this sentence? |  |
| \$7.4 million in 2019? |  | 12 | 2 A. Say that again. Did anyone ever say |  |
| A. That is what I see in the report. |  |  | 3 that I made a mistake? |  |
| Q. And you were the treasurer of HCMFA |  |  | 4 Q. Let me ask the question again. |  |
| at the time; right? |  | 15 | 5 Did anybody ever tell you at any |  |
| A. Yes, to the best of my knowledge. |  |  | time that you made a mistake when you signed |  |
| Q. And did anybody ever tell you prior |  |  | the two promissory notes in Highland's favor on |  |
| to the time of the issuance of this audit |  |  | behalf of HCMFA in 2019? |  |
| report that that sentence relating to HCMFA's |  |  | 9 A. Not that I recall. |  |
| 2019 notes was inaccurate or wrong in any way? |  | 20 | MR. MORRIS: Let's just look at the |  |
| A. Not that I recall. |  |  | 1 promissory notes quickly. Can we please |  |
| Q. As you sit here right now, has |  |  | - put up Document Number 1, and so this is in |  |
| anybody ever told you that that sentence is |  |  | the pile that y'all have. We'll just go |  |
| inaccurate or wrong in any way? |  | 24 | 4 for a few more minutes and we can take our |  |
| A. Not that I recall. |  | 25 | ) lunch break. |  |
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| Q. All right. So I don't know if you |  |  | Q. Is that your signature, sir? |  |
| have seen this before, sir. Do you see that |  | 3 | A. Yes, it is. |  |
| this is a complaint against HCMFA? |  |  | Q. And did you sign this document on or |  |
| A. Yes, I am looking at it on the |  |  | around May 2nd, 2019? |  |
| screen. |  |  | A. I don't recall specifically signing |  |
| Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this |  |  | this, but this is my signature. |  |
| document before? |  |  | Q. Okay. And do you recall that |  |
| A. I went through some of these |  |  | Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at |  |
| documents with my counsel here yesterday. |  |  | or around the time you signed this document? |  |
| MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go |  |  | A. I don't recall specifically. I |  |
| to Exhibit 1 of this document. |  |  | would want to, as I sit here today, go back and |  |
| Q. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a |  |  | confirm that, but again, presumably that - |  |
| \$2.4 million promissory note back in 2019? |  |  | 4 that - that did happen. |  |
| A. Yeah, I found it in the book. Yes, |  |  | 5 Q. You wouldn't have signed this |  |
| I have it here in front of me. |  |  | document if you didn't believe that HCMFA |  |
| Q. And this is a demand note, right, if |  |  | either received or was going to receive |  |
| you look at Paragraph 2? |  |  | \$2.4 million from Highland; is that fair? |  |
| A. Yes. |  |  | A. I mean, it - if-if-if there |  |
| Q. And this is a note where the maker |  |  | wasn't a transfer of value, yeah, I mean, you |  |
| is HCMFA, and Highland is the payee; right? |  |  | 1 know, I would have no reason to - to sign a |  |
| A. Yes. |  |  | note. |  |
| MR. MORRIS: And if we can scroll |  |  | 3 Q. And - and Highland wouldn't have |  |
| down, can we just see Mr. Waterhouse's |  |  | given this note to PricewaterhouseCoopers if - |  |
| signature. |  |  |  |  |

aready, but
promissory notes that are the subject of the
sentence we're looking at?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Did anybody ever tell you at any
time that you had made a mistake when you
signed the promissory notes that are the
subject of this sentence?
A. Say that again. Did anyone ever say
that I made a mistake?
Q. Let me ask the question again.
Did anybody ever tell you at any
time that you made a mistake when you signed
the two promissory notes in Highland's favor on
behalf of HCMFA in 2019?
A. Not that I recall.

MR. MORRIS: Let's just look at the promissory notes quickly. Can we please put up Document Number 1, and so this is in the pile that y'all have. We'll just go for a few more minutes and we can take our lunch break.
Q. Is that your signature, sir?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. And did you sign this document on or
around May 2nd, 2019?
A. I don't recall specifically signing
this, but this is my signature.
Q. Okay. And do you recall that

Highland transferred $\$ 2.4$ million to HCMFA at
or around the time you signed this document?
A. I don't recall specifically. I
would want to, as I sit here today, go back and
confirm that, but again, presumably that -
that - that did happen.
Q. You wouldn't have signed this
document if you didn't believe that HCMFA
either received or was going to receive
$\$ 2.4$ million from Highland; is that fair?
A. I mean, it--if-if-if there
wasn't a transfer of value, yeah, I mean, you
know, I would have no reason to - to sign a
note.
Q. And - and Highland wouldn't have given this note to PricewaterhouseCoopers if -withdrawn.
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| 2 HCMFA wouldn't have given this note |  | 2 A. Yes. |  |
| 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers if it hadn't received |  | 3 Q. And if we go to the bottom, can we |  |
| 4 the principal value of -- of the note in the |  | 4 just confirm that that is your signature? |  |
| 5 form of a loan; correct? |  | 5 A. Yes. |  |
| 6 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal |  | 6 Q. And together these notes are the |  |
| 7 conclusion, speculation and form. |  | 7 notes that are referred to both in Highland and |  |
| 8 A. Again, we - what we provided to PwC |  | 8 HCMFA's audited financial reports in the |  |
| 9 were, as part of the audit, any promissory |  | 9 subsequent event sections; correct? |  |
| 10 notes executed and outstanding. You know, as a |  | 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 11 part of the audit, they, you know, they - they |  | 11 A. They - they - they totaled |  |
| 12 have copies of all the bank statements, |  | 12 \$7.4 million, so presumably, yes. |  |
| 13 things - things of that sort. |  | 13 Q. Okay. And you were authorized to |  |
| 14 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to |  | 14 sign these two notes; correct? |  |
| 15 Exhibit 2. |  | 15 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal |  |
| 16 (Exhibit 2 marked.) |  | 16 conclusion. |  |
| 17 Q. Do you see that this is a promissory |  | 17 A. Yeah. I mean, I'm-I was the |  |
| 18 note dated May 3rd, 2019 in the amount of |  | 18 officer of - of HCMFA. You know, I-- I'm not |  |
| 19 \$5 million? |  | 19 the legal expert on - on what that - what |  |
| 20 A. Yes. |  | 20 that confers to me or what it doesn't. I mean, |  |
| 21 Q. Do you believe this is also a demand |  | 21 that is my signature on the notes. |  |
| 22 note if you look at Paragraph 2? |  | 22 Q. And you believed you were authorized |  |
| 23 A. Yes. |  | 23 to sign the notes; is that fair? |  |
| 24 Q. And do you see that HCMFA is the |  | 24 A. I signed a lot of documents in my |  |
| 25 maker, and Highland is the payee? |  | 25 capacity, just because it is operational in |  |
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| 2 nature. So, you know, to me this was just |  | 2 form. |  |
| 3 another document, to be perfectly honest. |  | 3 A. You know, from -- from what I recall |  |
| 4 Q. Sir, would you have signed |  | 4 around these notes, you know, I don't recall |  |
| 5 promissory notes with the principal amount of |  | 5 specifically Mr. - Mr. Dondero saying to -- to |  |
| 6 \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were |  | 6 make this a loan. |  |
| 7 authorized to do so? |  | 7 So my conversation with Mr. Dondero |  |
| 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 8 around the culmination of the NAV error as |  |
| 9 Q. Are you frozen? |  | 9 related to TerreStar which was a-a-I |  |
| 10 A. No. I'm just - you know, it is - |  | 10 think it was a year and a half process. I |  |
| 11 you know, again, Itypically don't sign |  | 11 don't know, it was a multi-month process, very |  |
| 12 promissory notes, and I don't recall why I |  | 12 laborious, very difficult. |  |
| 13 signed these, but - you know, but I did. |  | 13 When we got to the end, I had a |  |
| 14 Q. All right. So listen carefully to |  | 14 conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you |  |
| 15 my question. Would you have ever signed |  | 15 know, basically get the funds to reimburse the |  |
| 16 promissory notes with a face amount of |  | 16 fund, and I recall him saying, get the money |  |
| 17 \$7.4 million without believing that you were |  | 17 from Highland. |  |
| 18 authorized to do so? |  | 18 Q. And so he told you to get the money |  |
| 19 A. No. I mean, I'm - I'm putting my |  | 19 from Highland; is that right? |  |
| 20 signature on there, so no. |  | 20 A. That is what I recall -- in my |  |
| 21 Q. Okay. And would you have signed two |  | 21 conversation with him, that is - that is what |  |
| 22 promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay |  | 22 I can recall. |  |
| 23 Highland $\$ 7.4$ million without Mr. Dondero's |  | 23 Q. Do you know who drafted these notes? |  |
| 24 prior knowledge and approval? |  | 24 A. Idon't. |  |
| 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 25 Q. Did you ask somebody to draft the |  |
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| 2 indicate. |  | 2 financials about Highland's agreement not to |  |
| 3 Q. And you wouldn't have written it if |  | 3 make demand upon HCMFA until May 2021; correct? |  |
| 4 you didn't believe it to be true at the time; |  | 4 A. Correct. |  |
| 5 correct? |  | 5 Q. And then - and then the next thing |  |
| 6 A. Correct. |  | 6 you write is that the attorneys think that BK |  |
| $7 \quad$ Q. And when you referred to shared |  | 7 doesn't change that, but don't know for sure at |  |
| 8 services outstanding, what you meant there was |  | 8 the end of the day. |  |
| 9 that neither NexPoint nor HCMFA owed Highland |  | 9 Do you see that sentence? |  |
| 10 any money under the shared services agreements |  | 10 A. Yes. |  |
| 11 that they had with Highland as of October 6th, |  | 11 Q. Which attorneys were you referring |  |
| 12 2020; right? |  | 12 to? |  |
| 13 A. I don't know if it is as of October |  | 13 A. I don't remember. |  |
| 14 6,2020 or if it was from - like through the |  | 14 Q. Did you have a conversation with |  |
| 15 financials - through the date of the |  | 15 attorneys concerning whether the bankruptcy |  |
| 16 financials as of June 30. |  | 16 would change or alter in any way the agreement |  |
| 17 Q. Okay. And then you noted that |  | 17 not to make a demand under the HCMFA note? |  |
| 18 HCMA - the HCMFA note is a demand note; right? |  | 18 A. Look, yeah, I mean, I don't |  |
| 19 A. Yes. |  | 19 specifically remember, but generally, I mean, |  |
| 20 Q. And then you referred Ms. Thedford |  | 20 it is in this email. I don't-- I don't-I |  |
| 21 to Kristin Hendrix for the term of the NexPoint |  | 21 don't - I don't remember who I talked to or, |  |
| 22 note. Do I have that right? |  | 22 you know, was it inside counsel, outside |  |
| 23 A. Yes. |  | 23 counsel, but obviously I talked to somebody. |  |
| 24 Q. And then you refer to that agreement |  | 24 Q. Do you have any recollection - |  |
| 25 that is referenced in the 2018 audited |  | 25 A. Well, I don't even know if it's - |  |
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| 2 actually, it may not even have been me. I say |  | 2 process didn't change the agreement? |  |
| 3 the attomeys in, you know, a lot of - like I |  | 3 A. Idon't recall. |  |
| 4 talked about the team. |  | 4 Q. Okay. Perfect. |  |
| 5 It could have been someone on the |  | 5 And then let's look at the last |  |
| 6 team, like, hey, we need to run this down, and |  | 6 sentence. It says, quote: The response should |  |
| 7 maybe they talked to attorneys again and |  | 7 include, as I covered in the board meeting, |  |
| 8 relayed that information to me. |  | 8 that both entities have the full faith and |  |
| 9 So I really don't know if I spoke or |  | 9 backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge |  |
| 10 someone else did or - or, I mean, and maybe it |  | 10 that hasn't changed. |  |
| 11 wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe |  | 11 Do you see that? |  |
| 12 it was, you know, other - I'm kind of |  | 12 A. Yes. |  |
| 13 summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really |  | 13 Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020, |  |
| 14 know - I can't really say for sure. I don't |  | 14 had you told the retail board that HCMFA and |  |
| 15 remember how I came about of this knowledge. |  | 15 NexPoint have the full faith and backing from |  |
| 16 Q. I appreciate your efforts, |  | 16 Jim Dondero? |  |
| 17 Mr . Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that |  | 17 A. Yes. |  |
| 18 if I ask a question and you don't know the |  | 18 Q. Do you remember in the context in |  |
| 19 answer or you don't recall, I'm happy to accept |  | 19 which you told the retail board that? |  |
| 20 that. I don't-I don't want you to |  | 20 A. I mean, generally, yes. |  |
| 21 speculate, so I want to be clear about that. |  | 21 Q. Tell me what you recall. |  |
| 22 Sol appreciate it. |  | 22 A. So we were walking through the |  |
| 23 Let me just ask you simply: Do you |  | 23 financials from the advisors; right? So as I |  |
| 24 know what attorneys -- can you identify any of |  | 24 described to you, you have got HCMFA and NPA. |  |
| 25 the attorneys who thought that the bankruptcy |  | 25 And these - the financials, you know, show |  |


|  | Page 190 |  | Page 191 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 WATERHOUSE-10-19-21 |  | 1 WATERHOUSE-10-19-21 |  |
| 2 they have liabilities on them that exceed |  | 2 retail board that the advisors had the full |  |
| 3 assets. |  | 3 faith and backing of Mr. - Mr. Dondero? |  |
| 4 So the retail board has asked, okay, |  | 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
| 5 you know, how - you know, if - if these |  | 5 form. |  |
| 6 liabilities come due or they're payable, you |  | 6 A. I don't recall discussing that with |  |
| 7 know, how does that come about? |  | 7 him at the time. |  |
| 8 And, you know, the response is, |  | 8 Q. When you told this to the board, was |  |
| 9 well, the advisors have the - the full faith |  | 9 Mr . Dondero participating in the discussion? |  |
| 10 and backing from - from Jim Dondero. |  | 10 A. Not that I recall. |  |
| 11 Q. And how did you know that the |  | 11 Q. Withdrawn. Was it not - withdrawn. |  |
| 12 advisors had the full faith and backing from |  | 12 Do you recall whether - when you |  |
| 13 Jim Dondero? What was the basis for that |  | 13 covered this issue with the board, was that in |  |
| 14 statement that you made to the retail board? |  | 14 a-a Zoom call or a Webex call? Was it a |  |
| 15 A. I talked to Jim about it at some |  | 15 telephone call? Was it in-person? Like where |  |
| 16 point in the past. |  | 16 were you physically in relation to the board? |  |
| 17 Q. And did you tell Mr. Dondero that |  | 17 A. I believe I was at home. |  |
| 18 you were going to inform the retail board that |  | 18 Q. Okay. Can you identify every person |  |
| 19 the advisors had his full faith and backing |  | 19 that you recall who was present for this |  |
| 20 before you actually told that to the retail |  | 20 disclosure other than -- other than the board |  |
| 21 board? |  | 21 members themselves? |  |
| 22 A. I don't recall having that |  | 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
| 23 conversation. |  | 23 form. |  |
| 24 Q. Do you recall if you ever informed |  | 24 A. I don't recall everyone on the call. |  |
| 25 Mr . Dondero that you had disclosed or told the |  | 25 Q. Can you identify anybody who was on |  |
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| 2 the call? |  | 2 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them |  |
| 3 A. Other than the board members? |  | 3 have a position with the retail board -- with |  |
| 4 Q. Yes. |  | 4 the retail funds? |  |
| 5 A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there |  | 5 A. Idon't believe Mr. Norris has a |  |
| 6 are - there are many - my section is just one |  | 6 position with the retail funds. |  |
| 7 of many sections that are just - you know, as |  | $7 \quad$ Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? |  |
| 8 you can appreciate, this is a long board |  | 8 A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. |  |
| 9 meeting. |  | 9 Q. Okay. Does he hold any position - |  |
| 10 I can't recall specifically, really |  | 10 A. Idon't believe so. |  |
| 11 even generally, or who was on when this was |  | 11 Q. - with the retail funds? |  |
| 12 discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the |  | 12 A. Idon't believe so. |  |
| 13 entire time. |  | 13 Q. Okay. |  |
| 14 Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but |  | 14 A. I don't know if being the CCO for |  |
| 15 do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any |  | 15 the advisor conveys something for the retail |  |
| 16 positions relative to the retail funds? |  | 16 funds. Again, I am not - that is the legal |  |
| 17 A. I think you asked me this already, |  | 17 compliance part of it. I don't know. |  |
| 18 John. |  | 18 Q. Why did you tell the retail board |  |
| 19 Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you |  | 19 that the advisors have the full faith and |  |
| 20 just refresh my recollection if I did, in fact, |  | 20 backing from Mr. Dondero? |  |
| 21 ask you the question? |  | 21 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 22 A. Idon't believe - if we can go |  | 22 A. It is - it is - it is what has |  |
| 23 back. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a title |  | 23 been discussed with them prior. |  |
| 24 at the retail funds. Mr. - and Mr. Post is |  | 24 Q. And were you - were you trying to |  |
| 25 the CCO of the advisor, the advisors. |  | 25 give them comfort that even though the |  |
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| 2 liabilities exceeded the assets that the |  | 2 | Q. Okay. |  |
| 3 advisors would still be able to meet their |  | 3 | A. And I don't really - I don't really |  |
| 4 obligations as they become due? |  | 4 | remember generally either. |  |
| 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 5 | Q. Okay. |  |
| 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object form. |  | 6 | MR. MORRIS: So -- so, again, I'm |  |
| 7 A. I-I can't-I don't remember |  | 7 | just going to ask Mr. Rukavina if your |  |
| 8 specifically the conversation, but generally - |  | 8 | clients can produce as soon as possible the |  |
| 9 you know, generally, yes. And that is why - |  | 9 | 15(c) response, the written response that |  |
| 10 but, you know, again, in this email saying, you |  | 10 | the advisors made, if any, to the board's |  |
| 11 know, I am sure I qualified it with the retail |  | 11 | Question No. 2. |  |
| 12 board, you know, as I said I like - you know, |  | 12 | I'm not looking for the whole |  |
| 13 to my knowledge, that hasn't changed. But, |  | 13 | response, but I certainly want the response |  |
| 14 again, generally - generally that is what I |  | 14 | to Question No. 2. |  |
| 15 remember. |  | 15 | Q. Do you have a general understanding |  |
| 16 Q. Okay. Do you recall if in the |  | 16 | as to the amount by which - withdrawn. |  |
| 17 advisors' response to the retail board's |  | 17 | Did - did the assets of - |  |
| 18 question if the response included any statement |  | 18 | withdrawn. |  |
| 19 conceming Mr. Dondero and -- and the full |  | 19 | Did the liabilities of HCMFA exceed |  |
| 20 faith and backing that he was giving to the |  | 20 | its assets in 2020? |  |
| 21 advisors? |  | 21 | MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 22 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. |  |
| 23 form. |  | 23 | A. I believe I have already answered |  |
| 24 A. I don't-I don't remember |  | 24 | that question earlier, I think. I believe I |  |
| 25 specifically what was provided. |  | 25 | said yes. |  |
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| 2 Q. Okay. And did the liabilities of |  | 2 | for those documents too. |  |
| 3 NexPoint exceed its assets in 2020? |  | 3 | Q. Let's go to the next exhibit, which |  |
| 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to |  | 4 | is Number 10. So I think it is in your stack, |  |
| 5 form. |  | 5 | Mr. Waterhouse. |  |
| 6 A. Idon't believe so. |  | 6 | MR. MORRIS: And we can take the one |  |
| $7 \quad$ Q. Okay. So - so it was only one of |  | 7 | down from the screen and put up Number 10 |  |
| 8 the two advisors who had liabilities that |  | 8 | for everybody. |  |
| 9 exceeded the value of the assets. |  | 9 | (Exhibit 10 marked.) |  |
| 10 Do I have that right? |  | 10 | Q. And I don't know if you have ever |  |
| 11 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to |  | 11 | seen this before, but I'm really putting it up |  |
| 12 form. |  | 12 | on the screen for purposes of turning to the |  |
| 13 MS. DANDENEAU: Form. |  | 13 | very last page of the document. |  |
| 14 A. Yes. |  | 14 | So this is a document that we have |  |
| 15 Q. And do you know, ballpark, the |  | 15 | been - that we premarked as Exhibit 10. And |  |
| 16 amount by which the value of HCMFA's |  | 16 | we're turning to the last page of the document, |  |
| 17 liabilities exceeded their assets in 2020? |  | 17 | which is a document that was filed in the |  |
| 18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 18 | adversary proceeding 21-3004. And -- no, I |  |
| 19 A. Idon't-- Idon't recall. |  | 19 | apologize, I think we - right there. Perfect. |  |
| 20 MR. MORRIS: I had specifically |  | 20 | And it is page 31 of 31. |  |
| 21 requested in discovery the audited |  | 21 | MR. MORRIS: I think there may have |  |
| 22 financial reports for both advisors and |  | 22 | been some something erroneously stapled to |  |
| 23 NexPoint. I think I may have gotten one |  | 23 | the hard copy that I gave you folks, but |  |
| 24 for NexPoint but I'm still waiting for the |  | 24 | I'm looking for page 31 of 31 in the |  |
| 25 balance. And I'm going to renew my request |  | 25 | document that begins with the first page of |  |
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| 2 Exhibit 10. |  | 2 A. It is something like this, but I |  |
| 3 Q. Do you have that, Mr. Waterhouse? |  | 3 don't think it was this specific document. |  |
| 4 A. I don't have it yet. I'm looking. |  | 4 Q. Well, but this document does say in |  |
| 5 Q. All right. If you look at the top |  | 5 the last sentence that Highland agreed not to |  |
| 6 right-hand corner, you will see it says page |  | 6 seek - not to demand payment from HCMFA prior |  |
| 7 hopefully something of 31? |  | 7 to May 31, 2021; right? |  |
| 8 A. Yes, I've got it now. |  | 8 A. Yes. |  |
| 9 Q. Okay. You have got 31 of 31. You |  | 9 Q. And are you aware of any other |  |
| 10 can take a moment to read that, if you would |  | 10 document that was ever created pursuant to |  |
| 11 like. |  | 11 which Highland agreed not to demand payment on |  |
| 12 A. (Reviewing document.) Okay. |  | 12 amounts owed by HCMFA before May 31, 2021? |  |
| 13 Q. Have you ever seen this before? |  | 13 A. Hold on. Are you asking, am I aware |  |
| 14 A. I don't know if I have seen this |  | 14 of a document that by HCMFA that basically says |  |
| 15 specific document, but, you know, l've - |  | 15 otherwise? |  |
| 16 I'm-l'm aware of it. |  | 16 Q. No. Let me try again. |  |
| 17 Q. And is this the document that you |  | 17 Are you aware of any other document |  |
| 18 had in mind when you sent that email to |  | 18 pursuant to which - pursuant to which Highland |  |
| 19 Ms . Thedford that we just looked at where you |  | 19 agreed not to make a demand on HCMFA until May |  |
| 20 said that Highland had agreed not to make a |  | 20 31st, 2021? |  |
| 21 demand upon HCMFA until May 2021? |  | 21 A. I'm - I think there was something |  |
| 22 A. Honestly, I don't - it wasn't this |  | 22 in connection with - with the - with the |  |
| 23 document. I mean, it's something like this, |  | 23 audit that basically says the same thing. |  |
| 24 yes. I mean, yes. |  | 24 Q. Okay. And do you think that the |  |
| 25 Q. Well - |  | 25 audit is referring to this particular document? |  |
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| 2 A. Idon't know. |  | 2 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. |  |
| 3 Q. All right. This document is dated |  | 3 Q. And this document states the |  |
| 4 April 15, 2019. Do you see that? |  | 4 deferral that you just described; correct? |  |
| 5 A. Ido. |  | 5 A. It does. |  |
| 6 Q. And do you remember that the audit |  | 6 Q. And this document states the |  |
| 7 was completed on June 3rd, 2019? |  | 7 deferral that was described in the audited |  |
| 8 A. Yes. |  | 8 financial statements that we looked at before; |  |
| 9 Q. And do you recall that the audited |  | 9 correct? |  |
| 10 financials - and I'm happy to pull them up if |  | 10 A. It does. |  |
| 11 you would like, but do you recall that the |  | 11 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll |  |
| 12 audited financials included a reference to the |  | 12 down just a little bit to see who signed on |  |
| 13 agreement pursuant to which Highland agreed not |  | 13 behalf of the acknowledgment there. |  |
| 14 to make a demand until May 31st, 2021? |  | 14 Q. Okay. So Mr. Dondero signed this |  |
| 15 A. Yes, I remember. |  | 15 document on behalf of both HCMFA and Highland; |  |
| 16 Q. And as part of the process, would |  | 16 do you see that? |  |
| 17 you have expected the corporate accounting team |  | 17 A. Ido. |  |
| 18 to have provided a copy of this document to |  | 18 Q. Okay. Did you discuss this document |  |
| 19 PwC? |  | 19 or the - withdrawn. |  |
| 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 20 Did you discuss the concept of the |  |
| 21 A. Yes, I would have expected something |  | 21 deferral with Mr. Dondero in the spring of |  |
| 22 like this, or again, you know, some document |  | 22 2019? |  |
| 23 that basically states - states the deferral |  | 23 A. I think I testified I don't recall. |  |
| 24 till May 31 of 2020. |  | 24 Q. Okay. Do you know whose idea it was |  |
| 25 Q. Okay. |  | 25 to issue the acknowledgment in this form? |  |


A. Well, this - this document dated

April 15, 2019 says they have been deferred to May 31, 2021.
Q. Right. But I'm just sticking to the
first paragraph where they refer to the
outstanding amounts. And in the end it says
the - it remained outstanding on December
31st, 2018, and I think you told me that you
understood that, and then I'm just trying to
capture the last piece of it.
Did you understand that there were amounts outstanding from the loan that Highland made to HCMFA to fund ongoing operations as of April 15th, 2019?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you. Let's look at the next sentence. HCMFA expects that it may be unable
to repay such amounts should they become due
for the period commencing today and continuing
through May 31st, 2021.
Do you see that?
MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
A. Ido.
Q. As the CFO - withdrawn.
should they become due?
MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
to answer you, completely, you know, again,
if -- the response I gave the retail board was,
you know, the - the advice - HCMFA advisors
have the - have the full faith and backing of
Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of
whether the advisor could pay it or not.
Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero?

MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form.
A. I mean, if you - if you - if you
take that last statement out, I mean, it would
be difficult for HCMFA to pay back demand notes at that time.
Q. And it was precisely for that reason
that you told the retail board that - that the
retail - that the advisors had the full faith
and backing of Mr. Dondero; correct?
MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
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| A. I mean, yes, as the mouthpiece, I |  |  | so let's put the email back up. |  |
| was relaying information. |  |  | A. It is --it is --it is in the |  |
| Q. Okay. And you relayed that |  |  | email. |  |
| information with the knowledge and approval of |  |  | Q. Let's put the email back up. You |  |
| Mr. Dondero; correct? |  |  | didn't say unless it has changed. You said you |  |
| MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |  | believe it hasn't changed; right? |  |
| form. |  |  | A. Okay. And to my knowledge that |  |
| A. As I stated in the email, I don't |  |  | hasn't changed, that is what it says. |  |
| believe, and I think I testified I don't |  | 10 | Q. That's right. |  |
| believe I had conversations with Mr. Dondero at |  | 11 | A. But, again, I mean, that is --I |  |
| the time of that board meeting. |  | 12 | don't know everything. And I'm not in every |  |
| Q. Did you tell the retail board that |  | 13 | conversation. I'm not - to presume that I am, |  |
| the advisors had the full faith and backing of |  |  | is - and you have to put myself - as you |  |
| Mr. Dondero without Mr. Dondero's prior |  |  | started this out, Mr. Morris, I was at home in |  |
| approval? |  | 16 | October of 2020 with COVID - or, you know, |  |
| A. Yeah, I-I-yes, I'm - like I |  |  | under these COVID times that we described is |  |
| said, I think I testified earlier, I'm sure I |  | 18 | very difficult. |  |
| qualified it as well. |  | 19 | We have all been working at home for |  |
| Q. What do you mean by that? |  |  | really the first time ever, undergoing |  |
| MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 21 | processes, procedures, control environments |  |
| A. Again - again, like I said in the |  | 22 | that have been untested, and there is poor |  |
| email, it has the full faith and backing of Jim |  | 23 | communication. |  |
| Dondero unless that has changed. |  | 24 | So I am relaying, as I'm telling you |  |
| Q. Actually that is not what you said, |  | 25 | now, what is in the email. And unless |  |
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| something has changed - to my knowledge, it |  | 2 | promissory notes that your clients refuse |  |
| hasn't changed, but it could have changed. |  | 3 | to pay. |  |
| Q. When you say that the advisors have |  | 4 | So I'm going to continue to ask my |  |
| the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero, |  |  | questions, and I would ask the court |  |
| did you intend to convey that, to the extent |  |  | reporter to read back my last question. |  |
| the advisors were unable to satisfy their |  | 7 | (Record read.) |  |
| obligations as they become due, Mr. Dondero |  |  | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And then I |  |
| would do it for them? |  |  | believe there were objections to form. |  |
| MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. |  |  | Q. You can answer the question. |  |
| MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |  | A. Yes. |  |
| form. |  | 12 | Q. Thank you very much, sir. |  |
| And, John, we have given you a lot |  | 13 | MR. MORRIS: Can we go back to the |  |
| of leeway here but this does not seem |  | 14 | other document, please? |  |
| relevant to this case. You seem sort of |  | 15 | Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you know if this |  |
| taking a complete sort of diversion into |  |  | document was ever shared with the retail board? |  |
| the allegations and the complaint just |  | 17 | A. Idon't recall. |  |
| filed on Friday, and so I would ask you to |  |  | Q. Did you ever share it with the |  |
| move on because -- |  |  | retail board? |  |
| MR. MORRIS: And I will tell you - |  | 20 | A. I don't recall. |  |
| I will tell you that I have never read that |  | 21 | Q. Did you ever tell the retail board |  |
| complaint cover-to-cover. I have nothing |  |  | about the substance of this document? |  |
| to do with the prosecution of those claims. |  |  | A. I don't recall. |  |
| And this issue that we're talking about |  |  | Q. Did you ever tell the retail board |  |
| right now is related solely to the |  |  | that Highland had agreed not to make a demand |  |
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| 2 against HCMFA until May 2021? |  | 2 Q. Did you ever inform PwC that HCMFA's |  |
| 3 A. Idon't recall. |  | 3 liabilities exceeded its assets? |  |
| 4 Q. Do you know whether anybody on |  | 4 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. |  |
| 5 behalf of the advisors ever informed the retail |  | 5 A. I don't - I don't think I told |  |
| 6 board that Highland had agreed on April 15, |  | 6 them. I mean, they - they audited the |  |
| 7 2019, not to make a demand against HCMFA under |  | 7 financial statements. |  |
| 8 the promissory notes? |  | 8 Q. Did - do you know if anybody on |  |
| 9 A. Idon't recall. |  | 9 behalf of Highland ever informed |  |
| 10 Q. Did you instruct Ms. Thedford or |  | 10 PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA may be unable |  |
| 11 anybody else responding to the retail board's |  | 11 to repay amounts owing to Highland, should they |  |
| 12 15(c) inquiry to disclose this document? |  | 12 become due? |  |
| 13 A. Did l instruct Ms. Thedford or |  | 13 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 14 anyone else to - to - to produce this, to |  | 14 A. Yes. Again, Ithink I testified |  |
| 15 disclose this document? Is that what you - I |  | 15 earlier that - that this was communicated to |  |
| 16 just want to make sure. |  | 16 the auditors. |  |
| 17 Q. Uh-huh. |  | 17 Q. Ideally - |  |
| 18 A. Yeah, I don't-I don't recall. |  | 18 A. I don't know who exactly did that. |  |
| 19 Q. Did you instruct anybody to inform |  | 19 I don't recall doing it, but, yeah, it was - |  |
| 20 the retail board, in response to their question |  | 20 it was communicated. And that is why - I |  |
| 21 as part of the 15(c) process, to - to tell the |  | 21 mean, there is a disclosure in the financial |  |
| 22 retail board about Highland's agreement not to |  | 22 statements; right? |  |
| 23 make a demand until 2021? |  | 23 Q. There is, and that disclosure |  |
| 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 24 relates to the last sentence of this document; |  |
| 25 A. Idon't recall. |  | 25 correct? |  |
| 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 | Page 212 | 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 | Page 213 |
| 2 A. Yes. |  | 2 A. I have answered this question |  |
| 3 Q. Do you recall looking in the |  | 3 before. |  |
| 4 document and seeing anything that was disclosed |  | 4 Q. And the answer was no? |  |
| 5 with respect to the sentence above that? |  | 5 A. I'm not aware. |  |
| 6 A. No. |  | 6 Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't |  |
| 7 Q. Do you know whether anybody on |  | 7 possibly apply to the two notes that you signed |  |
| 8 behalf of Highland ever informed |  | 8 on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were |  |
| 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that |  | 9 signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that |  |
| 10 it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing |  | 10 right? |  |
| 11 to Highland should they become due? |  | 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 12 A. Unless there is a drafting error. |  |
| 13 form. I think that is the third time. |  | 13 Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting |  |
| 14 A. I don't recall. Again, as I said, |  | 14 error? |  |
| 15 we - all of this was given to the auditors. |  | 15 A. I'm not aware. I didn't-I wasn't |  |
| 16 Q. Do you know if Highland received |  | 16 part of - I didn't sign this note or this |  |
| 17 anything of value in exchange for its agreement |  | 17 acknowledgment. Ididn't draft it. |  |
| 18 not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA |  | 18 Q. But you do see it is dated April 15, |  |
| 19 prior to May 31st, 2021? |  | 19 2019; right? |  |
| 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 20 A. Yes. |  |
| 21 form. That is the second time. |  | 21 Q. And this was a document that was |  |
| 22 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. |  | 22 actually included by the advisors in a pleading |  |
| 23 A. I have answered this question. |  | 23 they filed with the Court; right? |  |
| 24 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. Object to |  | 24 MR. RUKAVINA: Well, I don't know |  |
| 25 legal conclusion. Go ahead. |  | 25 that so l object to form. |  |
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| Q. Okay. Let's go to the first page of |  | 2 | of verifying that, we're just -- |  |
| the document and just confirm that. |  | 3 | MR. MORRIS: You do, actually. You |  |
| MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, I just note |  | 4 | could just go to Docket No. 21-3004. |  |
| that you already said there was some error |  | 5 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: If you want to |  |
| with the document that is listed as |  | 6 | stop this deposition so we can go and pull |  |
| exhibit-- |  | 7 | that document up, we're happy to do it. So |  |
| MR. MORRIS: No. No, no, no. |  | 8 | I am just asking you for your |  |
| MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, okay. |  | 9 | representation. |  |
| MR. MORRIS: What I said is that |  | 10 | MR. MORRIS: Sure. I gave that. |  |
| there is a few pages that were mistakenly |  | 11 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. |  |
| stapled to the end of the document. |  | 12 | Q. So do you see that this is a |  |
| MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. |  | 13 | document that was actually filed with the Court |  |
| MR. MORRIS: There is no problem |  | 14 | by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? |  |
| with this document. |  | 15 | A. No. I get with the first page in |  |
| MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And just so |  | 16 | the section. Maybe I'm looking at the wrong |  |
| we're clear that the document - the pages |  | 17 | thing. It says, Highland Capital Management. |  |
| that start with defendant's amended answer |  | 18 | Q. Don't worry about it. Don't worry |  |
| are not intended to be part of this |  | 19 | about it. |  |
| document? |  | 20 | A. Maybe I went back -- okay. |  |
| MR. MORRIS: That's correct. |  | 21 | MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we put |  |
| MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And that the - |  | 22 | up on the screen Exhibit 2. |  |
| but it is your representation that the rest |  | 23 | (Exhibit 2 marked.) |  |
| of the document is - is - is correct |  | 24 | MR. MORRIS: I think it is |  |
| because we don't - we don't have any way |  | 25 | Exhibit 1. |  |
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| MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did |  | 2 | this is an annual installment note? |  |
| you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? |  | 3 | A. Can you scroll down. |  |
| MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the |  | 4 | Q. Sure. |  |
| binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And |  | 5 | MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down -- |  |
| now I'm asking - right there - going to |  | 6 | yeah, there you go. |  |
| Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked |  | 7 | A. Right there, yeah. Yes. |  |
| as Exhibit 2. |  | 8 | MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down |  |
| MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the |  | 9 | to the signature line. |  |
| binder there is no - |  | 10 | Q. And do you recognize that as |  |
| MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no |  | 11 | Mr. Dondero's signature? |  |
| Exhibit 1. |  | 12 | A. Yes. |  |
| MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at |  | 13 | Q. And is this the promissory note that |  |
| the one on the screen. |  | 14 | we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made |  |
| Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that |  | 15 | certain payments in the aggregate amount of |  |
| this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, |  | 16 | about 6 to $\$ 7$ million against principal and |  |
| in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? |  | 17 | interest? |  |
| A. Yes. |  | 18 | A. I don't recall discussing the |  |
| Q. And do you see that the maker of the |  | 19 | aggregate principal amounts of 6 to $\$ 7$ million, |  |
| note is NexPoint? |  | 20 | but - so I don't - I don't recall that prior |  |
| A. Yes. |  | 21 | discussion with those amounts. |  |
| Q. And that Highland is the payee; is |  | 22 | Q. All right. Let's take a look. |  |
| that right? |  | 23 | NexPoint always included this promissory note |  |
| A. Yes. |  | 24 | as a liability on its audited financial |  |
| Q. Okay. And do you see in Paragraph 2 |  | 25 | statements; right? |  |
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| A. Yes. |  | 2 | A. I didn't participate directly, as |  |
| Q. And NexPoint had its financial |  | 3 | I've described before, about the - the team |  |
| statements audited; isn't that correct? |  | 4 | performing the audit. |  |
| A. Yes. |  | 5 | Q. Do you recall when the audit of |  |
| Q. And was the process of NexPoint's |  | 6 | NexPoint's financial statements for the period |  |
| audit similar to the process you described |  | 7 | ending December 31st, 2019 was completed? |  |
| earlier for Highland and HCMFA? |  | 8 | A. Yes. |  |
| A. Yes, it is similar. |  | 9 | Q. And when do you recall it being |  |
| Q. Okay. |  | 10 | completed? |  |
| MR. MORRIS: Can we put up |  | 11 | A. In January of 2021. |  |
| NexPoint's audited financials and let |  | 12 | Q. Do you know why the 2019 audit |  |
| everybody know what exhibit number it is, |  | 13 | report wasn't completed until January of 2021? |  |
| La Asia? |  | 14 | A. Yes. |  |
| MS. CANTY: It is going to be |  | 15 | Q. Why was the NexPoint audit report |  |
| Exhibit 46. |  | 16 | for the period ending 12/31/19 not completed |  |
| (Exhibit 46 marked.) |  | 17 | until January 2021? |  |
| Q. And do you see, sir, that we've put |  | 18 | A. Because we had to deal with working |  |
| up NexPoint Advisors' consolidated financial |  | 19 | from home from - with COVID, and on top of all |  |
| statements and supplemental information for the |  | 20 | of our daily responsibilities and job duties |  |
| period ending December 31st, 2019? |  | 21 | at - at providing - at Highland providing |  |
| A. Yes. |  | 22 | services to NexPoint, we had to do all of this |  |
| Q. Did you participate in the process |  | 23 | extra work for a bankruptcy that was filed in |  |
| whereby these audited financial statements were |  | 24 | October of 2019. |  |
| issued? |  | 25 | MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the |  |
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| balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right |  | 2 | form. |  |
| there. |  | 3 | A. Approximately. |  |
| Q. Do you see under the liabilities |  | 4 | Q. And does that refresh your |  |
| section, the last item is note payable to |  | 5 | recollection that between the time the note was |  |
| affiliate? |  | 6 | executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had |  |
| A. Yes. |  | 7 | paid down approximately $\$ 7$ million? |  |
| Q. And is that the note that we just |  | 8 | A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, |  |
| looked at? |  | 9 | yes. |  |
| MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 10 | Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its |  |
| Q. Withdrawn. |  | 11 | audit from 2020? |  |
| Is that the approximately |  | 12 | A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do |  |
| \$30 million note that we just looked at that |  | 13 | NexPoint complete? |  |
| was dated from $2017 ?$ |  | 14 | Q. The audit of its financial |  |
| MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 15 | statements for the period ending December 31st, |  |
| A. I believe no. |  | 16 | 2020? |  |
| Q. Okay. You're not aware of any other |  | 17 | A. No. |  |
| note that was outstanding from NexPoint to |  | 18 | Q. No, it's not complete? |  |
| Highland as of the end of the year 2019, other |  | 19 | A. No, it is not complete. |  |
| than that one \$30 million note; right? |  | 20 | Q. Did HCMFA complete its audit for the |  |
| A. Idon't recall. |  |  | year ending December 31st, 2020? |  |
| Q. And as of the end of 2019, the |  | 22 | A. No. |  |
| principal amount that was due on the note was |  | 23 | MR. MORRIS: Can we go to page 15, |  |
| approximately $\$ 23$ million; right? |  | 24 | please, the paragraph at the bottom. |  |
| MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 25 | Q. Do you see that NexPoint has |  |
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| 2 included under notes payable to Highland a |  |  | perfectly together. |  |
| 3 reference to the amounts that were outstanding |  | 3 | Q. Okay. But you're not aware of any |  |
| 4 as of the year-end 2019 under the note that we |  |  | note that was outstanding at the end of 2019 |  |
| 5 looked at just a moment ago? |  |  | from NexPoint to Highland other than whatever |  |
| 6 A. Yes. Are you talking about the |  |  | principal was still due and owing under the |  |
| 7 second paragraph? |  | 7 | \$30 million note issued in 2017; correct? |  |
| 8 Q. I'm actually talking about first |  | 8 | A. Well, it - I don't - there is |  |
| 9 paragraph. Do you understand that the first |  |  | reference in the second paragraph. I don't- |  |
| 10 paragraph is a reference to the 2017 note, and |  | 10 | I don't - I don't recall what that is |  |
| 11 the amounts that were - the principal amount |  |  | referring to, so I don't - I don't know. |  |
| 12 that was outstanding as of the end of 2019? |  | 12 | Q. Well, if you listen carefully to my |  |
| 13 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 13 | question, right, l'm asking about notes that |  |
| 14 John, do you mean the first paragraph of |  |  | were outstanding at the end of 2019, and if we |  |
| 15 that page? |  |  | look at the paragraph you just referred to, it |  |
| 16 MR. MORRIS: No, the first paragraph |  |  | says that during the year there were new notes |  |
| 17 under notes payable to Highland. |  |  | issued totaling $\$ 1.5$ million, but by the end of |  |
| 18 A. Yeah, I see the paragraph, and |  |  | the year, no principal or interest was |  |
| 19 again, this is what I answered earlier. I |  |  | outstanding on the notes. |  |
| 20 believe so, just because I don't -- again, this |  | 20 | Do you see that? |  |
| 21 is a number in a balance sheet, and without |  | 21 | A. Oh, Ido, yes. |  |
| 22 matching it up and seeing the detail with the |  |  | Q. So does that refresh your |  |
| 23 schedule like I kind of talked about for |  |  | recollection that there were no notes |  |
| 24 Highland's financial statements, it is a little |  |  | outstanding from NexPoint to Highland other |  |
| 25 bit more difficult to tie everything in |  |  | than the principal remaining under the original |  |
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| 2 \$30 million 2017 note that we looked at a |  | 2 | the record at 3:39 p.m. |  |
| 3 moment ago? |  | 3 | Q. All right. Mr. Waterhouse, we - I |  |
| 4 A. Well, we're at the bottom of the |  | 4 | don't think we have a lot more here. |  |
| 5 page. Is there anything on page 16 ? |  | 5 | To the best of your knowledge and |  |
| 6 Q. That is a fair question, sure. That |  | 6 | recollection, were all affiliate loans and all |  |
| 7 is it. |  | 7 | loans made to Mr. Dondero recorded on |  |
| 8 A. Okay. So it appears that that is |  | 8 | Highland's books and records as assets of |  |
| 9 the only note that is detailed in the notes in |  | 9 | Highland? |  |
| 10 the financial statement. |  | 10 | MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form, |  |
| 11 Q. And you don't have any memory of any |  | 11 | asked and answered. |  |
| 12 other note other than the 2017 note, right, |  | 12 | A. To my knowledge, yes. |  |
| 13 being outstanding as of the end of the year? |  | 13 | Q. Okay. Can you recall any loan to |  |
| 14 A. I deal with thousands of |  |  | any affiliate or Mr. Dondero that was not |  |
| 15 transactions every year. I don't really have a |  |  | recorded on Highland's books and records as an |  |
| 16 very specific memory for what exactly was |  | 16 | asset? |  |
| 17 outstanding. |  |  | A. Like during my time as CFO? I don't |  |
| 18 MR. MORRIS: Why don't we take a |  | 18 | recall. |  |
| 19 break now. We've been going for a little |  | 19 | Q. How about after the time that you |  |
| 20 while. It's 3:26. Let's come back at |  |  | were CFO? Did you recall that there was a loan |  |
| 21 3:40. |  |  | by Highland to an affiliate or to Mr. Dondero |  |
| 22 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the |  |  | that hadn't been previously recorded on |  |
| 23 record at 3:26 p.m. |  | 23 | Highland's books as an asset? |  |
| 24 (Recess taken 3:26 p.m. to 3:39 p.m.) |  | 24 | MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 25 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on |  |  | A. I guess I don't understand the |  |
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| 2 question. I left Highland as of - I'm not |  |  | is called operating results? |  |
| 3 aware of - I left Highland in February - |  |  | A. Yeah, that's the title of it. |  |
| 4 probably the last day of February of 2021. |  |  | Q. Okay. And was a report of operating |  |
| 5 Q. Okay. |  |  | results prepared by Highland on a monthly basis |  |
| 6 A. I'm not -- I'm not aware of any -- |  |  | during the time that you served as CFO? |  |
| 7 I'm not aware of anything past that date. |  |  | A. No. |  |
| 8 Q. Okay. While you were the CFO at |  |  | Q. Are you familiar with a document of |  |
| 9 Highland, did Highland prepare in the ordinary |  |  | this type? And we can certainly look at the |  |
| 10 course of business a document that reported |  |  | next page or two to refresh your recollection. |  |
| 11 operating results on a monthly basis? |  |  | A. I'm just looking at the title. I |  |
| 12 A. Yes. |  |  | don't really - again, as I discussed before, I |  |
| 13 Q. And are you generally familiar with |  |  | don't have any records or documents or emails |  |
| 14 the monthly operating reports? |  |  | or appointments or anything that I was able to |  |
| 15 A. Yeah. You are referring to the |  |  | use prior to - prior to this deposition, so |  |
| 16 reports that we filed to the Court every month? |  |  | I'm doing the best I can. |  |
| 17 Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking |  |  | Q. Okay. You don't need to apologize. |  |
| 18 you back to the pre-petition period. There was |  |  | I'm just asking you if you are familiar with |  |
| 19 a report that I have seen that I'm going to |  |  | the document called Operating Results that was |  |
| 20 show you, but I'm just asking for your |  |  | prepared on a monthly basis at Highland? |  |
| 21 knowledge. |  |  | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
| 22 MR. MORRIS: Let's put it up on the |  |  | form. |  |
| 23 screen, Exhibit 39. |  |  | Q. If you're not, you're not. |  |
| 24 (Exhibit 39 marked.) |  |  | A. I don't believe this was prepared on |  |
| 25 Q. Do you see this is a document that |  |  | a monthly basis. |  |
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| 2 Q. Okay. Do you see that this one |  |  | report that Highland prepared that identified |  |
| 3 is - is dated February 2018? |  |  | significant items impacting the balance sheet? |  |
| 4 A. Yes. |  |  | A. A report that was prepared. |  |
| 5 Q. Do you have -- do you believe - |  |  | Q. Let me ask a better question: Did |  |
| 6 have you ever seen a document that was |  |  | Highland prepare reports to the best of your |  |
| 7 purporting to report operating results for |  |  | recollection that identified significant items |  |
| 8 Highland? |  |  | that impacted its balance sheet? |  |
| 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |  | A. Well, so Highland prepared a - a |  |
| 10 A. Yes. |  |  | monthly close package. And maybe I'm |  |
| 11 Q. Okay. And when you say that you |  |  | getting -- and -- and maybe change names at one |  |
| 12 don't believe it was produced on a monthly |  |  | time or maybe I'm just -- again, just |  |
| 13 basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to |  |  | misremembering -- but in that, yes, there is a |  |
| 14 the best of your recollection? |  |  | page that would detail just changes in - you |  |
| 15 A. I believe it was - it was prepared |  |  | know, just changes month over month on the |  |
| 16 on an annual basis. |  |  | balance sheet. |  |
| 17 Q. Okay. |  |  | Q. Okay. And maybe it is my fault. |  |
| 18 MR. MORRIS: Can we look at the next |  |  | Maybe I didn't know the proper name for it. |  |
| 19 page. |  |  | But let's use the phrase "monthly close |  |
| 20 Q. Do you see that there is a statement |  |  | package." |  |
| 21 here called: Significant items impacting |  | 21 | Did Highland prepare a monthly close |  |
| 22 HCMLP's balance sheet? |  |  | package in the ordinary course of business |  |
| 23 And it is dated February 2018. |  |  | during the time that you served as CFO? |  |
| 24 A. Yes. |  |  | MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 25 Q. Do you recall that there was a |  |  | A. Yes. |  |
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| 2 once, twice, three, four times with - you |  | 2 to tell what you to do. Do you have - |  |
| 3 know, I mean, we - I don't - I don't remember |  | 3 MS. DANDENEAU: Good. |  |
| 4 the sum culmination of all these discussions. |  | 4 Q. Other than - other than telling |  |
| 5 They all kind of blend together. |  | 5 them that they should look at the values, do |  |
| 6 MR. MORRIS: Okay. I move to strike |  | 6 you have any recollection whatsoever of ever |  |
| 7 and I will try one more time. |  | 7 having told anybody at DSI that any of the |  |
| 8 Q. Did you ever tell anybody at DSI |  | 8 notes receivable on this page were doubtful or |  |
| 9 that you believed any of the notes receivable |  | 9 uncollectible? |  |
| 10 on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? |  | 10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
| 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. |  | 11 form. |  |
| 12 A. Potentially. |  | 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. |  |
| 13 Q. Potentially you told them or |  | 13 A. I recall having general discussions |  |
| 14 potentially they were doubtful or |  | 14 about everything on our balance sheet which |  |
| 15 uncollectible? |  | 15 would have included these - these notes |  |
| 16 A. Potentially I told them that we |  | 16 receivable. |  |
| 17 needed to look at the value of these - of |  | 17 Q. Okay. |  |
| 18 these assets. |  | 18 A. I don't recall specifically where |  |
| 19 Q. Okay. Did you-okay. It is |  | 19 those discussions delved into. |  |
| 20 potential that you told them and it is |  | 20 Q. Do you recall any discussion at all |  |
| 21 potentially that you didn't; right? |  | 21 on the topic of whether any of these notes on |  |
| 22 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 22 this list were doubtful or uncollectible? |  |
| 23 A. I've gone through that. Idon't |  | 23 MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, how on earth |  |
| 24 recall specifically. |  | 24 is that question different from the |  |
| 25 Q. So you should just - I don't want |  | 25 question that you just asked for the last |  |
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| 2 five times? I mean, really I thought you |  | 2 suggests that he has not testified |  |
| 3 were-(overspeak.) |  | 3 truthfully. |  |
| 4 MR. MORRIS: Because he never |  | 4 MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question |  |
| 5 answered it. |  | 5 again. And if at any time you want to |  |
| 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you |  | 6 direct him not to answer, that is your |  |
| 7 listening to him? |  | 7 prerogative. |  |
| 8 MR. MORRIS: You know - |  | 8 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any |  |
| 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically |  | 9 recollection at all of ever telling anybody |  |
| 10 said that he had a conversation with DSI |  | 10 from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful |  |
| 11 that went over all of this stuff and that |  | 11 or uncollectible? |  |
| 12 conversation could have included the notes |  | 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. |  |
| 13 but he doesn't recall specifically. |  | 13 A. I don't remember specifically. |  |
| 14 What more do you want him - to ask |  | 14 Q. Do you remember generally that |  |
| 15 of him? |  | 15 specific topic? |  |
| 16 MR. MORRIS: I want him - I would |  | 16 A. We generally talked about assets, |  |
| 17 love him to say - I would like him to |  | 17 values. If-we had discussions of that and |  |
| 18 testify to the truth, and that is he has no |  | 18 collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland, |  |
| 19 recollection. |  | 19 the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We |  |
| 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth |  | 20 had discussions like that, which is, you know, |  |
| 21 as you would like to see it, but - but he |  | 21 as you look at a billion dollar consolidated |  |
| 22 is testifying truthfully. And I-and, by |  | 22 balance sheet. |  |
| 23 the way, I move to strike that comment - |  | 23 So I generally remember -- this is |  |
| 24 MR. MORRIS: Okay. |  | 24 billions of dollars, including these assets - |  |
| 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: - because it |  | 25 having discussions of this - of this type. |  |
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| 2 under your direction; correct? |  | 2 Highland; correct? |  |
| 3 A. Yes. |  | 3 A. Yes. |  |
| 4 Q. So -- so your team was responsible |  | 4 Q. And you're the preparer - you're |  |
| 5 for maintaining Highland's books and records; |  | 5 identified as the preparer of the report; |  |
| 6 correct? |  | 6 correct? |  |
| 7 A. I'm sorry, my team was responsible? |  | 7 A. That is correct. |  |
| 8 Q. Correct. |  | 8 Q. Do you recall participating in the |  |
| 9 A. Yes. They - they - they were |  | 9 preparation of monthly operating reports? |  |
| 10 the - the - the general ledger of Highland, |  | 10 A. As I testified earlier, it was put |  |
| 11 that responsibility was with the corporate |  | 11 together, you know, with the team. The team |  |
| 12 accounting team. |  | 12 worked with DSI to put these monthly operating |  |
| 13 Q. The corporate accounting group |  | 13 reports together. We had no experience at this |  |
| 14 reported to you; correct? |  | 14 time of the monthly operating reports or things |  |
| 15 A. Yes. |  | 15 of this nature. |  |
| 16 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up 41, |  | 16 MR. MORRIS: Can you turn to the |  |
| 17 please. |  | 17 next page, please. |  |
| 18 (Exhibit 41 marked.) |  | 18 Q. Do you see a line item under assets |  |
| 19 Q. All right. You will see that this |  | 19 due from affiliates? |  |
| 20 is a report that is dated January 31st, 2020, |  | 20 A. Yes, Ido. |  |
| 21 but it is for the month ending December 2019. |  | 21 Q. Okay. And to the best of your |  |
| 22 Do you see that? |  | 22 knowledge and understanding, as the person who |  |
| 23 A. Ido. |  | 23 is identified as the preparer of this report, |  |
| 24 Q. And you signed this report in your |  | 24 does that line item include the affiliate loans |  |
| 25 capacity as the chief financial officer of |  | 25 that we've been talking about? |  |
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| 2 A. Again, I would have to see, just |  | 2 A. Yes, that is what this indicates. |  |
| 3 like we did with the financial statements of |  | 3 Q. Okay. And were you aware that the |  |
| 4 Highland and NexPoint, I would have to see a |  | 4 reserve was being taken on that it was? |  |
| 5 detailed build, but, you know, if you look at |  | 5 A. I was - I was aware, yeah, at some |  |
| 6 the other line items, you know, the only other |  | 6 point, yes. |  |
| 7 place it could be would be in - in other |  | $7 \quad$ Q. Okay. And are you aware of any |  |
| 8 assets. |  | 8 reserve being taken with respect to any other |  |
| 9 Q. Okay. And as a matter of |  | 9 note that was issued in favor of Highland? |  |
| 10 arithmetic, is it fair to say that is the value |  | 10 A. Again, as I testified, we didn't go |  |
| 11 of the assets due from affiliates was more than |  | 11 through an analysis on - on - on the other |  |
| 1225 percent of the value of Highland's total |  | 12 notes. |  |
| 13 assets as of 12/31/2019? |  | 13 Q. Can we turn - |  |
| 14 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 14 A. I believe - I believe it says that |  |
| 15 A. I'm really not doing the mental math |  | 15 in Footnote 1, fair value has not been |  |
| 16 right now, so l've been going at this depo for |  | 16 determined with respect to any of the notes. |  |
| 17 hours, so l'm really not - you know - |  | 17 So this footnote - footnotes, look, |  |
| 18 Q. All right. No problem. |  | 18 there has been no determination. |  |
| 19 A. - these are millions of dollars. |  | 19 Q. Okay. The determination was made in |  |
| 20 Q. Let's look at the Footnote 1, |  | 20 the audited financial statements just six |  |
| 21 please. Do you see there is a reference to the |  | 21 months earlier, right? We saw that earlier? |  |
| 22 Hunter Mountain note? |  | 22 A. That was as of 12/31/18. I mean, |  |
| 23 A. Yes, I see that in Footnote 1. |  | 23 things - circumstances - there's a bank - |  |
| 24 Q. Okay. And that's the reserve that |  | 24 circumstances change, things change - things |  |
| 25 was taken against that note? |  | 25 change over time, you know, facts and |  |
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    take a short break until 4:40 your time.
        MS. DANDENEAU: Okay.
        VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the
    record, 4:31 p.m.
    (Recess taken 4:31 p.m. to 4:43 p.m.)
        VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the
    record at 4:43 p.m.
        MR. MORRIS: I have no further
    questions.
        MR. RUKAVINA: Okay.
    Mr. Waterhouse, I will go next.
        EXAMINATION
BY MR. RUKAVINA:
    Q. Sir, my name is Davor Rukavina. I'm
the lawyer for --
MR. MORRIS: Hey, Davor, just before
    you begin, I just want to put on the record
    Highland's objection to documents that were
    produced to me 10 minutes before the
    deposition began.
        MR. RUKAVINA: What the basis of
    your objection?
        MR. MORRIS: That they were due
    quite some time ago, and the fact that you
        WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
    A. Yes.
    Q. You and I have never met or talked
before today, have we?
    A. No, I have - I have heard your
voice on calls before.
    Q. Okay.
        MR. RUKAVINA: Madam Court Reporter,
    I will use a few exhibits today. My
    associate, Mr. Nguyen, will find some way
    to get them to you. I don't know how to do
    that, but it looks like you guys do.
        I am going to use numbers as well.
    But to differentiate them from Mr. Morris
    we're going to mark mine with the prefix A
    for advisors.
        Do you understand?
        COURT REPORTER: Yes.
        MR. RUKAVINA: Okay. Perfect.
    Q. Okay. So, Mr. Waterhouse, let's
start with those two HCMFA notes that you were
asked about, one for 5 million and one for
2.4 million.
    Do you recall those notes?
    A. Yes.
```
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had - I just think it's appropriate to to dump documents on somebody 10 minutes before the deposition. I just think that's -
MR. RUKAVINA: Well, these are documents Highland produced. I'm not aware of any rule I have to give you advance documents when I know for the record that other than the exhibits that you sent to us last week, most of the exhibits you used today you did not provide to me prior to this deposition.
MR. MORRIS: No, but the documents were produced by me in - in litigation, right?
MR. RUKAVINA: I'm going to use primarily, John, the documents that you produced to me today, but you may.
MR. MORRIS: Primarily. I've got I've got my objection. You have got your response. Proceed.
Q. Mr. Waterhouse, again, I represent
the advisors, HCMFA and NexPoint Advisors.
Do you understand that?
```

4 Q. So to the best of your recollection, 5 you were still an officer of HCMFA in 2019, 6 just that your title was treasurer?

MR. MORRIS: Object to the form of the question. There is no leading here. He works for your client.

MS. DANDENEAU: That is not - that is not true.

MR. MORRIS: He's the treasurer -he is the treasurer of your client. I
don't - I'm going to object every time you
try to lead, so...
MR. RUKAVINA: Totally fine to object.

MR. MORRIS: Okay.
Q. Please answer my question,

Mr. Waterhouse.
A. I'm sorry, could you repeat? There
was...
Q. Yes. You were - you testified
earlier that in 2019 you were an officer of
HCMFA; correct?
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| 2 executed at market levels that were much lower |  | 2 And given that there was this fund |  |
| 3 than the Houlihan Lokey model. |  | 3 was, as we discussed - I don't know if we |  |
| 4 And based on information and |  | 4 discussed it, but it was an open-ended fund |  |
| 5 discussions with the portfolio managers and, |  | 5 that was going - that was converting to a |  |
| 6 you know, principals that were very familiar |  | 6 close-end fund. |  |
| 7 with TerreStar, it was determined that those |  | 7 Due to the fact that it was an |  |
| 8 trades were non-orderly and they were not |  | 8 open-ended fund, you had to recalculate NAV and |  |
| 9 considered in the valuation as consulted with |  | 9 see what the impact was on people - on |  |
| 10 Houlihan Lokey and PricewaterhouseCoopers at |  | 10 investors coming in and out of the fund and if |  |
| 11 the time. |  | 11 there is a detrimental impact and to calculate |  |
| 12 Subsequent to a - I can't remember |  | 12 what that - what that impact was and if there |  |
| 13 the exact circumstances of why the SEC got |  | 13 was any amounts owed to the fund pursuant to |  |
| 14 involved. I think it was due to this - this |  | 14 the error. |  |
| 15 investment became a material position in the |  | 15 Q. Were you personally involved |  |
| 16 fund. It triggered an SEC, kind of, inquiry. |  | 16 internally at either Highland or HCMFA with |  |
| 17 And as part of that inquiry, they questioned |  | 17 these investigations and discussions with the |  |
| 18 the valuation methodology. "They" meaning the |  | 18 SEC? |  |
| 19 SEC. |  | 19 A. I was. |  |
| 20 And at the culmination of that |  | 20 Q. Which other key people or senior |  |
| 21 process - this is all summarized - the value |  | 21 people at Highland were involved, to your |  |
| 22 that was - that ultimately had to be used in |  | 22 recollection? |  |
| 23 the fund's NAV was different than - materially |  | 23 A. Myself, Thomas Surgent, David Klos, |  |
| 24 different than what the original valuation at |  | 24 Lauren Thedford, Jason Post. |  |
| 25 Houlihan Lokey provided. |  | 25 Q. Mr. Dondero, was he - |  |
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| 2 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying |  | 2 A. Idon't recall specifically. |  |
| 3 to think. And maybe that is - that is - that |  | 3 Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused |  |
| 4 is - that is all kind I can recall at the |  | 4 any funds to be paid to the investors and the |  |
| 5 moment. |  | 5 fund the subject of the NAV error? |  |
| 6 Q. Do you recall whether it was |  | 6 A. Yes. |  |
| 7 determined that the fund suffered losses as a |  | 7 Q. Do you recall the approximate amount |  |
| 8 result of this error? |  | 8 of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the |  |
| 9 A. The - the fund - the - the - |  | 9 fund? |  |
| 10 because the open-ended nature of the fund, |  | 10 A. It was - it was approximately |  |
| 11 there were losses that were attributable to |  | 11 \$7 million. |  |
| 12 investors. Meaning they -- they would have |  | 12 Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million, |  |
| 13 redeemed and got a less money or - or they |  | 13 would that ring more true or are you sticking |  |
| 14 subscribed in and maybe because they didn't get |  | 14 with your original answer? |  |
| 15 enough shares and then they later sold and then |  | 15 A. It was - it was approximately 7- |  |
| 16 they were harmed in that fashion. |  | 167 to \$8 million. Again, I don't remember the |  |
| 17 And there is - there is - there |  | 17 exact number, but it was in that ballpark. |  |
| 18 were very - there were very detailed |  | 18 Q. So regardless of whether HCMFA |  |
| 19 calculations and, you know, all these different |  | 19 accepted fault or liability, it caused some |  |
| 20 scenarios that we had to -- l'm sorry, I keep |  | 20 \$7 million or more to be paid out to affected |  |
| 21 saying "we" - that the individuals involved |  | 21 investors in the fund? |  |
| 22 had to calculate and quantify. |  | 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 23 Q. Well, do you recall whether HCMFA |  | 23 of the question. |  |
| 24 admitted certain fault and liability for this |  | 24 A. And I want to make sure I'm |  |
| 25 error? |  | 25 understanding your question because there is a |  |
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| 2 lot of different entities that are going on to |  | 2 it depends on who you talk to, but yes, |  |
| 3 my head. |  | 3 generally, there were - there are multiple |  |
| 4 I think what you are saying is based |  | 4 agreements. |  |
| 5 on this error, shareholders were harmed by this |  | 5 Q. Pursuant to one or more of those |  |
| 6 approximately $\$ 7.8$ million - by approximately |  | 6 agreements, was the debtor providing certain |  |
| $7 \$ 7.8$ million. Is that what you are asking? |  | 7 services to HCMFA? |  |
| 8 Q. Yes, sir. |  | 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 9 A. Yes, that was - again, I don't have |  | 9 of the question. |  |
| 10 the exact numbers. If I take - it was --it |  | 10 A. Yes. |  |
| 11 was in that ballpark, and there is a detail |  | 11 Q. And can you at a very high level |  |
| 12 calculation and write-up that could, that - |  | 12 summarize in 2018 and 2019 what those services |  |
| 13 that exists someplace. |  | 13 were? |  |
| 14 Q. Now, at that time, at the time that |  | 14 A. Yes, there was a - yes. |  |
| 15 the NAV error occurred, was there a contract in |  | 15 Q. Okay. Please - please go - go |  |
| 16 place between HCMFA and the debtor pursuant to |  | 16 through a short summary. |  |
| 17 which the debtor was providing services to |  | 17 A. There was a - a cost reimbursement |  |
| 18 HCMFA? |  | 18 agreement between Highland Capital Management |  |
| 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 19 Fund Advisors and Highland Capital Management, |  |
| 20 of the question. |  | 20 L.P. That agreement was for what we referred |  |
| 21 A. Yes. |  | 21 to as front office services, so investment |  |
| 22 Q. Was that contract generally called a |  | 22 management, things of that nature. |  |
| 23 shared services agreement? |  | 23 There was I think what most people |  |
| 24 A. It was generally called that, but |  | 24 refer to as the shared services agreement that |  |
| 25 there were - there were - I mean, it - it - |  | 25 was - that agreement was between Highland |  |
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| 2 Capital Management Fund Advisors and Highland |  | 2 Q. And then you mentioned that the fund |  |
| 3 Capital Management for back office services. |  | 3 was being closed and some compensation related |  |
| 4 Q. And can you summarize what you mean |  | 4 to that. Can you - can you elaborate? What |  |
| 5 by back office services? |  | 5 were you referring to? |  |
| 6 A. Those services were for accounting, |  | 6 A. Right. So the advisor, pursuant to |  |
| 7 finance, tax, valuation, HR, IT, you know, |  | 7 board approval, put a proposal in front of the |  |
| 8 legal compliance, things of - things of those |  | 8 shareholders of the Highland Global Allocation |  |
| 9 nature - or things of that nature, excuse me. |  | 9 Fund to convert it from an open-ended fund to a |  |
| 10 Q. So in the spring of 2019, do you |  | 10 closed-end fund. |  |
| 11 recall whether HCMFA took the position that it |  | 11 So an open-ended fund, when |  |
| 12 was actually Highland that caused the NAV error |  | 12 shareholders subscribe to the fund or redeem |  |
| 13 to occur pursuant to the valuation services |  | 13 into the fund, they do it at NAV. |  |
| 14 that Highland was providing? |  | 14 When it is - when you have a |  |
| 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 15 closed-end fund, closed-end funds are - are |  |
| 16 of the question. |  | 16 publicly-traded, like on the New York Stock |  |
| 17 A. I do not recall. |  | 17 Exchange, exchanges like that, and -- and |  |
| 18 Q. Did you ever have any discussions |  | 18 shareholders or investors, they're not - |  |
| 19 with anyone, Jim Dondero or anyone in the first |  | 19 they're - they're not subscribing and |  |
| 20 half of 2019 as to whether Highland, the |  | 20 redeeming with the fund. They are like shares |  |
| 21 debtor, that is, had any liability to HCMFA |  | 21 of Apple. |  |
| 22 related to the NAV error? |  | 22 Those shares of the Highland Global |  |
| 23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 23 Allocation Fund trade on an exchange, and that |  |
| 24 of the question. |  | 24 is how you, you know, that is how, you know, |  |
| 25 A. I do not recall. |  | 25 you become an equity owner in the fund or you |  |
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| 2 sell your shares and you are no longer an |  | 2 for - for these amounts attributable to - it |  |
| 3 equity owner. |  | 3 was either the error - you know, the error, |  |
| 4 As part of that proposal, the |  | 4 and in that conversation he said, go get the |  |
| 5 advisor told shareholders if you - if you vote |  | 5 money from Highland. I believe that is what I |  |
| 6 for this proposal to -- to convert it from an |  | 6 testified earlier, and that - that is my |  |
| 7 open-ended fund to a closed-end fund, we will |  | 7 recollection. |  |
| 8 pay you some amounts of money. I forgot-a |  | 8 Q. Do you recall if that was an |  |
| 9 certain number of points. I think it was |  | 9 in-person meeting or some other mode for the |  |
| 10 like - it was like two to three points or |  | 10 meeting? |  |
| 11 something - something like that. |  | 11 A. $\|-\|-\|$ recall that being |  |
| 12 Q. Okay. You mentioned when Mr. Morris |  | 12 in-person. |  |
| 13 was asking you, going back to those two |  | 13 Q. Do you recall if anyone else was |  |
| 14 promissory notes, you will recall the 5 million |  | 14 present, or was it just you and Mr. Dondero? |  |
| 15 and 2.4 million, you mentioned something to the |  | 15 A. I recall just he and I. |  |
| 16 effect that Mr. Dondero told - told you to pay |  | 16 Q. And the moneys that he told you to |  |
| 17 some moneys out of Highland. Do you remember |  | 17 find from - or get from Highland, was that in |  |
| 18 that discussion with Mr. Morris? |  | 18 the amount of \$5 million and \$2.4 million? |  |
| 19 A. Ido. |  | 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 20 Q. So, to the best of your |  | 20 of the question. |  |
| 21 recollection, did you have a discussion with |  | 21 A. I believe so, but I would have to go |  |
| 22 Mr . Dondero about making some payments in May |  | 22 back and look and see when those moneys were |  |
| 23 of 2019 out of Highland? |  | 23 actually paid into the - into the fund and, |  |
| 24 A. I recall, as I testified earlier, |  | 24 you know, when those transfers were done. If |  |
| 25 that I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero |  | 25 they were all done around that same time, then |  |
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| 2 yes, I would say it was - it was all related |  | 2 Q. Is it possible that you, having |  |
| 3 to that. |  | 3 heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds |  |
| 4 Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those |  | 4 being transferred, assumed that that would be a |  |
| 5 funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? |  | 5 loan without him actually telling you that |  |
| 6 A. Idon't recall. |  | 6 would be a loan? |  |
| 7 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 7 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 8 of the question. |  | 8 of the question. |  |
| 9 Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably |  | 9 A. Sorry, I want to make sure - did I |  |
| 10 the only non-American born here, but I speak |  | 10 ask the amounts that were transferred that I- |  |
| 11 reasonably well in English. I don't recall, |  | 11 that - that I assumed that that was a loan? |  |
| 12 does that mean you don't remember or does that |  | 12 Q. Well, let me - let me take - let |  |
| 13 mean it didn't happen? |  | 13 metry again. |  |
| 14 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 14 So you have established already that |  |
| 15 of the question. |  | 15 there were quite a number of promissory notes |  |
| 16 A. It-it means I don't-I don't |  | 16 back and forth - l'm sorry, quite a number of |  |
| 17 remember. |  | 17 promissory notes with affiliated companies and |  |
| 18 Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you to have |  | 18 individuals owing Highland money; right? |  |
| 19 those two promissory notes prepared? |  | 19 A. Yes. |  |
| 20 A. Idon't recall. |  | 20 Q. And you have established that there |  |
| 21 Q. When you - again, when you say, I |  | 21 were many transactions and transfers going back |  |
| 22 don't recall today, that means that sitting |  | 22 and forth over the years; right? |  |
| 23 here today, you just don't remember one way or |  | 23 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 24 the other. Is that accurate? |  | 24 A. In - yes, in my capacity as CFO and |  |
| 25 A. Yes. |  | 25 my employment, yes, that is - yes. |  |
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| 2 Q. And that's part of the reason why |  | 2 Q. And that is fine. That - that - |  |
| 3 you just can't remember some of the details |  | 3 that is why l asked the question. |  |
| 4 today because this - this happened years ago, |  | 4 Is it possible in May of 2019 when |  |
| 5 and there were a number of transactions. Is |  | 5 Mr . Dondero told you to transfer the funds from |  |
| 6 that accurate? |  | 6 Highland, you just assumed on your own that |  |
| 7 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the |  | 7 those would be loans without him actually |  |
| 8 form. |  | 8 telling you that those would be loans? |  |
| 9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 10 of the question. |  | 10 of the question. |  |
| 11 A. I mean, I deal with thousands of - |  | 11 A. Idon't know. |  |
| 12 of - of - of transactions, you know, whether |  | 12 Q. I'm sorry, you - |  |
| 13 it has - the processing of transactions, you |  | 13 A. I said I don't know. |  |
| 14 know, if it has got, you know, more - more |  | 14 Q. Okay. Well, as the - as the CFO |  |
| 15 zeros, you know, behind it than others. |  | 15 for Highland, if you saw \$7.4 million going |  |
| 16 When you look at thousands of |  | 16 out, you would feel some responsibility to |  |
| 17 transactions over the years for funds and |  | 17 account for that, wouldn't you? |  |
| 18 advisors and -- and, you know, financial |  | 18 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 19 statements, I mean, it is - it is very hard |  | 19 of the question. |  |
| 20 going back in - in - in my - you know, |  | 20 A. Yes. |  |
| 21 14-ish year career at - at Highland to |  | 21 Q. Is it fair to say that those would |  |
| 22 remember a lot of those details, especially |  | 22 be in the range large enough to rise up to your |  |
| 23 when I don't have any records or books or |  | 23 level? |  |
| 24 anything like that, and - and going back many |  | 24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 25 years. |  | 25 of the question. |  |
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| 2 A. If-I don't know if I understand |  | 2 of the question. |  |
| 3 your question. Those amounts would arise to my |  | 3 A. Idon't know. As I testified |  |
| 4 level where I would be involved or... |  | 4 earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero |  |
| 5 Q. You would want to know what a |  | 5 about - about the - the - the moneys that |  |
| 6 transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all |  | 6 were needed for the NAV error. And I recall |  |
| 7 about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? |  | 7 him saying go get it from Highland - or get it |  |
| 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 8 from Highland. |  |
| 9 of the question. |  | 9 Q. Well, why did you sign those |  |
| 10 A. Yes, I make it-Imean, I-I |  | 10 promissory notes and why didn't you have him |  |
| 11 review all sorts of payments, I mean, even |  | 11 sign them? |  |
| 12 smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, |  | 12 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 13 you know, to - to -- to understand and to make |  | 13 of the question. |  |
| 14 sure that we are paying things in a - you |  | 14 A. Idon't know. I don't know. |  |
| 15 know, in - in - in an informed way. And, you |  | 15 Q. You mentioned earlier that you |  |
| 16 know - and we're - and we're paying things |  | 16 typically don't sign promissory notes. Am I |  |
| 17 pursuant to vendor contracts and things like |  | 17 remembering your testimony correctly? |  |
| 18 that. |  | 18 I mean, promissory notes on behalf |  |
| 19 Q. So as part of that, is it possible |  | 19 of the entities. Not yourself, obviously. |  |
| 20 that seeing $\$ 7.4$ million go out you would have |  | 20 A. Yes, that is what I said earlier. |  |
| 21 promissory notes made in order to keep a paper |  | 21 Q. Do you recall any other promissory |  |
| 22 trail, assuming that those were loans, when |  | 22 notes in the million-plus range that you had |  |
| 23 perhaps they were never intended to be loans by |  | 23 ever signed before on behalf of any entity? |  |
| 24 Mr . Dondero? |  | 24 A. There is - there has been a lot of |  |
| 25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 25 transactions over the years. I don't -- I |  |
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| 2 don't-I don't recall generally. Idon't - |  | 2 | Q. Strike that. |  |
| 3 Idon't recall. |  | 3 | Do you recall whether you sent an |  |
| 4 Q. So - but to the best of your |  | 4 | email to anyone asking them to draft those two |  |
| 5 recollection, it was on your initiative, |  | 5 | promissory notes? |  |
| 6 following your discussion with Mr. Dondero, |  | 6 | A. I don't recall because, again, |  |
| 7 that you had someone draft those two promissory |  |  | once - I would have instructed - likely |  |
| 8 notes; is that correct? |  | 8 | instructed the team to - to work with the |  |
| 9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 9 | legal group to draft these documents. |  |
| 10 of the question. |  | 10 | I-I-I- yeah, I didn't-I |  |
| 11 A. Yes, we would have - the team, as I |  |  | mean, that is more an operational-type |  |
| 12 stated earlier, we don't draft promissory |  | 12 | procedure. So, you know, a manager or a |  |
| 13 notes. "The team" meaning the accounting and |  | 13 | controller or working with legal. You know, |  |
| 14 finance team. |  | 14 | they - they can certainly handle that task to |  |
| 15 So the team would have worked with |  |  | get that - you know, to request that from |  |
| 16 the legal group at Highland to draft any notes. |  | 16 | legal. |  |
| 17 Q. Do you believe or do you have any |  | 17 | Q. And who on your team do you think |  |
| 18 recollection as to whether you would have done |  | 18 | you would have asked to do that? |  |
| 19 that pursuant to an email or telephone call or |  | 19 | MR. MORRIS: Objection - |  |
| 20 in-person meeting? |  | 20 | Q. Who would have been the logical |  |
| 21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 21 | person or people, if you don't remember their |  |
| 22 of the question. |  |  | name today? |  |
| 23 A. Are you asking if I would have - if |  | 23 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 24 those notes would have been drafted pursuant to |  | 24 | of the question. |  |
| 25 an email or phone call? |  | 25 | A. It - it - there is only two |  |
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| 2 managers of the group. That would have been |  |  | go-ahead was to - you know, we see the light |  |
| 3 Dave Klos or Kristin Hendrix. |  |  | at the end of the tunnel with wrapping this up |  |
| 4 Dave was the - one of his duties |  |  | and making shareholders whole - sorry to say |  |
| 5 was managing the valuation team, and so he was |  |  | "we" - you know, the - so the folks that are |  |
| 6 intimately involved with this process. So, you |  |  | involved in it. |  |
| 7 know... |  | 7 | I like to talk to people |  |
| 8 Q. Okay. |  |  | face-to-face and -- and -- and go to - and go |  |
| 9 A. I don't recall specifically but, I |  |  | to their desk, because that shows if I'm going |  |
| 10 mean, my general --you know, l-I-I |  |  | to their desk that - that is something that I |  |
| 11 likely would have talked to Dave first about it |  |  | want done, you know. |  |
| 12 versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been |  | 12 | Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse, |  |
| 13 intimately involved. |  |  | getting those two promissory notes in paper |  |
| 14 Q. And - and do you have a view as to |  |  | format or by email before they were executed? |  |
| 15 whether it is most likely that you would have |  | 15 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 16 done that by email or in-person or how would |  | 16 | of the question. |  |
| 17 you believe you would have communicated that to |  | 17 | A. Idon't recall. |  |
| $18 \mathrm{Mr} . \mathrm{Klos}$ ? |  | 18 | Q. For whatever was the ordinary course |  |
| 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |  | back then in May 2019, would you expect to have |  |
| 20 of the question. |  | 20 | received them only on paper or would you have |  |
| 21 A. I likely would have done that in |  | 21 | expected to have received them in Word document |  |
| 22 person. Again, if things of this nature |  |  | or PDF document by email? |  |
| 23 that - again, you have to put ourselves back |  | 23 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 24 to, we have been working on this very stressful |  | 24 | of the question. |  |
| 25 project for many, many months. And once the |  | 25 | A. I-I didn't sign - I signed very |  |
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| 2 few documents via email. I can't say that it |  | 2 And my assistant, you know, if she |  |
| 3 never happened, but people either stopped by my |  | 3 was there, she would review that - you know, |  |
| 4 office and physically walked in documents for |  | 4 whatever was being dropped off. And if that |  |
| 5 signature that we discussed face-to-face. |  | 5 has legal, you know, reviewed or - reviewed or |  |
| 6 Or documents were --if-- if -- |  | 6 approved it, if that wasn't -- if that stuff |  |
| 7 if - if - let's say I wasn't there or I |  | 7 hadn't been done, it was like she would just |  |
| 8 wasn't available, documents were dropped off. |  | 8 tell them like, go - go - go to the legal |  |
| 9 I had - I had some in- and outboxes in front |  | 9 group, because - |  |
| 10 of my - my office there at the Crescent. |  | 10 Q. Let me - let me pause - |  |
| 11 Documents would be dropped off for |  | 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Let him finish. |  |
| 12 signature. There would be a cover sheet that |  | 12 MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Go ahead. |  |
| 13 would be - have been applied to those |  | 13 A. I take - go to the legal group |  |
| 14 documents detailing, you know, who dropped it |  | 14 because that - that was my - you know, I |  |
| 15 off, the purpose, why, what time. |  | 15 didn't - I didn't review anything that - that |  |
| 16 And then, you know, as I stated, I |  | 16 they weren't - you know, or there wasn't some |  |
| 17 don't draft documents and I always go to the |  | 17 representation made to me that they had |  |
| 18 legal group and the compliance group to make |  | 18 reviewed, approved in some capacity. |  |
| 19 sure that they're in the loop. And there is |  | 19 Again, my - my - my goal, as CFO, |  |
| 20 a - a box or section that says, Has legal |  | 20 is to provide transparency and make sure that |  |
| 21 reviewed or approved, or something to that |  | 21 groups like compliance and other things - and |  |
| 22 nature. |  | 22 the other group in legal are - are in - you |  |
| 23 Again, I don't - I don't have |  | 23 know, their --they're made aware of |  |
| 24 access to that cover sheet anymore, but it |  | 24 transactions of - you know, that are crossing |  |
| 25 was - it was something to that effect. |  | 25 my desk. |  |
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| 2 Because I'm not in every |  | 2 Q. Do you know - was there a file at |  |
| 3 conversation. They're not in every |  | 3 Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed |  |
| 4 conversation - meaning legal compliance - and |  | 4 originals of a promissory notes in general or |  |
| 5 I just want to make sure that -- that everyone |  | 5 these two promissory notes specifically? |  |
| 6 is in sync to, you know, to - to the extent |  | 6 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 7 possible. |  | 7 of the question. |  |
| 8 Q. So if we summarize, you don't |  | 8 A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I |  |
| 9 specifically remember signing these two notes, |  | 9 understand your question. Are you saying is |  |
| 10 but most likely it would have been that they |  | 10 there a file somewhere that has ink-signed |  |
| 11 would have presented - been presented to you |  | 11 originals of these two promissory notes? |  |
| 12 physically on paper? |  | 12 Q. Yes. |  |
| 13 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 13 A. I would - I would assume they're |  |
| 14 of the question. |  | 14 some place. I mean - |  |
| 15 A. They would - they would have been |  | 15 Q. Well, was there a - was there a |  |
| 16 presented physically on paper most likely or |  | 16 place where Highland generally kept originals |  |
| 17 someone would have left it. But, I mean, |  | 17 of promissory notes owed to it? |  |
| 18 again, I don't-I don't recall. |  | 18 A. I wouldn't-no. |  |
| 19 Q. I understand. Understand. |  | 19 MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, would you |  |
| 20 When you signed - when you signed |  | 20 please pull up my A7, alpha 7. |  |
| 21 documents, when you personally signed |  | 21 Q. These are the two promissory notes, |  |
| 22 documents, did you typically use a ink pen or |  | 22 Mr . Waterhouse. |  |
| 23 did you use a stamp? |  | 23 (Exhibit A7 marked.) |  |
| 24 A. No, I-I-Iusea-an-an |  | 24 Q. And please - Mr. Waterhouse, please |  |
| 25 ink pen. |  | 25 command my associate to scroll down as you need |  |
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| 2 to, but I want you to take a very close look at |  | 2 did have a - an electronic signature, and that |  |
| 3 your two signatures here and tell me whether |  | 3 was used from time to time. It wasn't as |  |
| 4 you believe, in fact, that you ink signed them |  | 4 common practice back in 2019. It definitely |  |
| 5 or whether you - |  | 5 was more common practice when we had to work |  |
| 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Rukavina, |  | 6 from home and remotely for COVID because it |  |
| 7 Mr . Waterhouse has the copies. |  | 7 that made it almost impossible to, right, |  |
| 8 MR. RUKAVINA: Perfect. Then you |  | 8 provide wet signatures since we're all working |  |
| 9 can take this down, Mr. Nguyen. |  | 9 from home remotely. |  |
| 10 A. These - these - these signatures |  | 10 Q. Well, going just for these two |  |
| 11 are identical, now that I stare at them, and I |  | 11 promissory notes, Mr. Waterhouse, in light of |  |
| 12 mean, they are so close - I mean, they're |  | 12 your inability to remember any details, are you |  |
| 13 identical that, I mean, even with my chicken |  | 13 sure you actually signed either or both of |  |
| 14 scratch signature, I don't know if I can - you |  | 14 those notes? |  |
| 15 know, I do this 100 times, could I do that |  | 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 16 as - as precisely as I see between the two |  | 16 A. I don't recall specifically |  |
| 17 notes. |  | 17 signing -- actually physically signing these |  |
| 18 Q. Well, that is why I ask. |  | 18 notes. As I said before, I don't recall doing |  |
| 19 Mr . Waterhouse, now that you have examined |  | 19 that. This - this looks like my signature, |  |
| 20 them, does it seem like it is more likely that |  | 20 but yet these two signatures are identical. |  |
| 21 you actually electronically signed these? |  | 21 Q. So you don't recall physically |  |
| 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 22 signing them, and I take it you don't recall |  |
| 23 of the question. |  | 23 electronically signing them either? |  |
| 24 A. Is - I don't-I don't recall |  | 24 A. I don't recall. You know, Highland |  |
| 25 specifically. As I said before, my assistant |  | 25 has all my emails. If that occurred, you know, |  |
|  | Page 300 |  | Page 301 |
| WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 |  | 1 WATERHOUSE-10-19-21 |  |
| 2 you know, I don't have any of these records is |  | 2 May of 2019- |  |
| 3 what I'm saying. I don't have any of those |  | 3 A. And - and from what I recall, and |  |
| 4 records. |  | 4 the reason why I was by myself is - is, you |  |
| 5 Q. That is why I'm asking you these |  | 5 know, I don't - I don't want to speculate, I'm |  |
| 6 questions in great detail because I don't have |  | 6 sorry. |  |
| 7 those emails. I'm trying to - I'm hoping that |  | 7 Q. Okay. We have established that by |  |
| 8 you will give me some names or some details so |  | 8 May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of |  |
| 9 I can go look for more emails, but again, you |  | 9 HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? |  |
| 10 don't remember any - any individual, other |  | 10 A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have |  |
| 11 than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you |  | 11 financial statements in front of me, but I |  |
| 12 don't remember any individual with whom you |  | 12 think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the |  |
| 13 discussed these promissory notes prior to their |  | 13 testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was |  |
| 14 execution? |  | 14 the case. |  |
| 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 15 Q. In fact, you will recall that in |  |
| 16 of the question. |  | 16 April of 2019, Mr. Dondero signed a document |  |
| 17 A. I don't recall discussing it with |  | 17 that extended the demand feature of two prior |  |
| 18 anybody else. |  | 18 notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that? |  |
| 19 Q. Okay. |  | 19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think you |  |
| 20 A. I mean, prior - |  | 20 might - maybe have the court reporter read |  |
| 21 Q. I understand. |  | 21 that back. You might have misspoke. |  |
| 22 A. You know, there was no one else - |  | 22 (Record read.) |  |
| 23 there was no one else in that meeting that I |  | 23 MR. RUKAVINA: And I did misspeak. |  |
| 24 recall with Mr. Dondero. |  | 24 Q. Imeant to say to May 31, 2021. Do |  |
| 25 Q. Now, when you established that by |  | 25 you recall that, sir? |  |
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| 2 \$7.4 million? |  | 2 | MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the |  |
| 3 A. I would have to go back and look and |  |  | form. |  |
| 4 check in, you know, the - the financial |  |  | A. Yes. |  |
| 5 records and the bank statements. |  |  | Q. So do you agree with me that it's |  |
| 6 MR. RUKAVINA: You can take this |  |  | odd - I think that is the word you used - |  |
| 7 exhibit down, Mr. Nguyen. |  |  | that Highland would be loaning $\$ 7.4$ million a |  |
| 8 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I'm not trying to be |  |  | few weeks after that extension to an entity |  |
| 9 a smart-ass, but if the law says that because |  |  | whose liabilities exceeded its assets, and you |  |
| 10 of the way that you signed this promissory |  |  | would agree with me that it was never your |  |
| 11 note, if that is what the law says, that that |  |  | intention to be in any way liable for these two |  |
| 12 made you personally - personally liable, then |  | 12 | promissory notes; correct? |  |
| 13 you would agree with me that that was never |  | 13 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 14 your intent? |  | 14 | of the question. |  |
| 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 15 | A. Sorry, you - you asked a lot there. |  |
| 16 of the question. |  | 16 | MR. RUKAVINA: I will strike it and |  |
| 17 A. That was never - I wouldn't sign a |  | 17 | I will move on. |  |
| 18 note and not get consideration in return. |  | 18 | Let's go to - pull up Exhibit 9, |  |
| 19 Q. So putting all other issues aside, |  |  | please Mr. Nguyen - Alpha 9, I'm sorry, Alpha |  |
| 20 if the law - if the law says that you were |  | 20 | 9, A9. |  |
| 21 liable for those notes because of how you |  | 21 | (Exhibit A9 marked.) |  |
| 22 signed them, then would you agree with me that |  | 22 | Q. Sir, take a moment to look at this, |  |
| 23 these notes are a mistake? |  |  | but this is an email, and you will see attached |  |
| 24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 24 | July 31, 2020 affliate notes. |  |
| 25 of the question. |  | 25 | Do you see that attachment? |  |
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| 2 A. Yes. |  | 2 | schedule of assets. What exhibit is this |  |
| 3 Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for |  |  | of ours, Mr. Nguyen? |  |
| 4 Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? |  | 4 | MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. |  |
| 5 MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. |  | 5 | MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. |  |
| 6 Where are you looking? |  | 6 | (Exhibit A11 marked.) |  |
| 7 MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. |  | 7 | Q. You don't have this in front of you, |  |
| 8 MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the |  | 8 | Mr. Waterhouse? |  |
| 9 screen? |  | 9 | A. Okay. |  |
| 10 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. |  | 10 | Q. This is what Mr. Morris used |  |
| 11 Mr. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page |  |  | earlier. Do you remember looking at this with |  |
| 12 there. |  | 12 | Mr. Morris? |  |
| 13 MR. MORRIS: Thank you. |  | 13 | A. Yes. |  |
| 14 Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA? |  | 14 | MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to |  |
| 15 A. Yes. |  | 15 | zoom in a little. Okay. |  |
| 16 Q. About \$10.5 million. |  |  | Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and |  |
| 17 Do you see that? |  | 17 | C. |  |
| 18 A. Ido. |  | 18 | Do you have any recollection as to |  |
| 19 Q. And, now, do you have any |  |  | why the names of the affiliates are omitted? |  |
| 20 explanation for why if HCMFA owed $\$ 7.4$ million, |  | 20 | A. I don't. I testified earlier that, |  |
| 21 plus the 5.3 million that had been extended, |  |  | you know, the team worked with DSI in providing |  |
| 22 why that amount was only 10.5 million? |  | 22 | these. I - I don't - I don't know. |  |
| 23 A. Idon't know. Okay. |  | 23 | Q. Can we deduce - is it logical to |  |
| 24 MR. RUKAVINA: Close this one and |  |  | deduce that Affiliate Note A would be NexPoint |  |
| 25 pull up, Mr. Nguyen, the schedules, |  |  | given its size of $\$ 24.5$ million? |  |
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| 2 you might have made a mistake by referring to a |  |  | you signed were demand notes; right? |  |
| 3 singular instead of a plural; right? |  |  | A. Yes. |  |
| 4 A. Yes. |  |  | Q. Do you find it logical, based on |  |
| 5 Q. Okay. And you - you wrote - a |  |  | your experience, that had they intended to have |  |
| 6 couple of sentences later, you wrote: There |  |  | a different or a set maturity date, you would |  |
| 7 was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the |  |  | have instructed that that set maturity date be |  |
| 8 earliest they could demand is May 2021. |  |  | included instead of a demand feature? |  |
| 9 You wrote that, right? |  | 9 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 10 A. Yes. |  | 10 | of the question. |  |
| 11 Q. But I think you - you agreed with |  |  | A. Sorry, just want to make sure I |  |
| 12 Mr . Morris that that can't possibly apply to |  |  | understand. You are saying that -- that the |  |
| 13 the May 2019 notes, can it? |  |  | \$5 million note, the \$2.4 million note, if |  |
| 14 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |  | those were supposed to be a term note, that I |  |
| 15 of the question. That is not what he |  |  | would have made sure that those were a term |  |
| 16 testified to. |  |  | note? |  |
| 17 Q. Let me ask - let me ask a different |  |  | Q. I'm saying - I'm saying, |  |
| 18 question. |  |  | Mr. Waterhouse, that on May the 2nd and May the |  |
| 19 Sitting here today -- or if you can |  |  | 3rd, 2019, if you intended that those two |  |
| 20 answer me from your memory on October 6, |  |  | promissory notes could not be called until May |  |
| 212020 - did the April acknowledgment that |  |  | 2021, would you have included such language in |  |
| 22 extended the maturity date apply to the |  |  | those two promissory notes? |  |
| 23 May 2019 notes also? |  |  | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 24 A. I don't recall specifically. |  |  | of the question. |  |
| 25 Q. Well, you recall that the notes that |  | 25 | A. I guess - I'm sorry, I don't recall |  |
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| 2 putting language in those May notes. Idon't |  | 2 | MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this |  |
| 3 remember what language you are referring to. |  |  | down, Mr. Nguyen. |  |
| 4 Q. Well, let's read this again. |  |  | Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't |  |
| 5 There was an agreement between HCMLP |  |  | remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these |  |
| 6 and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May |  |  | loans or not. HCMLP was loaning $\$ 7.4$ million |  |
| 72021. |  |  | to someone that their assets were less than |  |
| 8 Do you recall that agreement? |  |  | their liabilities. |  |
| $9 \quad$ A. Yes, that was the agreement we |  |  | We don't see on the July list of |  |
| 10 looked at earlier; correct? |  |  | notes, where there is $\$ 12.7$ million of notes, |  |
| 11 Q. Okay. Yes. |  |  | we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, |  |
| 12 Do you - do you understand now that |  |  | and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are |  |
| 13 that agreement that we looked at earlier also |  |  | confused. |  |
| 14 applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed? |  | 14 | Are you prepared to tell me, sir, |  |
| 15 A. I don't - I don't know. |  |  | today that you might have made a mistake in |  |
| 16 Q. But as of October 6, 2020, you're |  |  | executing those two promissory notes? |  |
| 17 writing that there is one demand note and |  |  | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 18 you're categorizing that demand note as not |  |  | of the question. |  |
| 19 being demandable on May 2021; correct? |  |  | A. I-Idon't know. |  |
| 20 A. Yes. |  |  | Q. And if it turns out that you're |  |
| 21 Q. And you know now that you made at |  |  | personally liable for those promissory notes, |  |
| 22 least one mistake in this email; correct? |  |  | it would certainly be a mistake, wouldn't it? |  |
| 23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |  | MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the |  |
| 24 of the question. |  |  | form. |  |
| 25 A. Yes. |  | 25 | MR. MORRIS: Join. |  |
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| 2 Q. And was that shared services |  | 2 | A. Yes. |  |
| 3 agreement, to the best of your understanding, |  | 3 | Q. So let's break that up. You were a |  |
| 4 in place as of December 31, 2020? |  | 4 | treasurer of NexPoint as well in December of |  |
| 5 A. It was - it was terminated at some |  | 5 | 2020? |  |
| 6 point, and I remember the contracts had |  | 6 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 7 different termination dates, but I think the - |  | 7 | of the question. |  |
| 8 the date of termination was January 31st of |  | 8 | A. Yes. |  |
| 9 2021, after the termination was put in. |  | 9 | Q. Okay. And in December of 2020, did |  |
| 10 So yeah, it would be in place at the |  | 10 | NexPoint have its own bank accounts? |  |
| 11 end of the year of December - it would be in |  | 11 | A. Yes. |  |
| 12 place at December 31st, 2020. |  | 12 | Q. And did it use those bank accounts |  |
| 13 Q. And pursuant to that agreement as of |  | 13 | to pay various of its obligations? |  |
| 14 December 31st, 2020, was the debtor providing |  | 14 | A. Yes. |  |
| 15 what you would describe as back office services |  | 15 | Q. Did employees of the debtor have the |  |
| 16 to NexPoint? |  | 16 | ability to cause transfers to be made from |  |
| 17 A. Yes. |  | 17 | those bank accounts on behalf of NexPoint? |  |
| 18 Q. Would those have included accounting |  | 18 | A. Yes. |  |
| 19 services? |  | 19 | Q. And is that one of services that the |  |
| 20 A. Yes. |  | 20 | debtor provided NexPoint, basically ensuring |  |
| 21 Q. And as part of those accounting |  | 21 | that accounts payable and other obligations |  |
| 22 services, would the debtor have assisted |  | 22 | would be paid? |  |
| 23 NexPoint with paying its bills? |  | 23 | A. Yes. |  |
| 24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 24 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 25 of the question. |  | 25 | of the question. |  |
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| 2 Q. You answered yes? |  | 2 | Q. And was that how you-all internally |  |
| 3 A. Yes. |  | 3 | at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? |  |
| 4 Q. And the payments, though, whose |  | 4 | A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. |  |
| 5 funds would they be made from? |  | 5 | Q. And she writes at the bottom of her |  |
| 6 A. From the bank account of NexPoint |  |  | email: Okay to release? |  |
| 7 Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor |  | 7 | Do you see that? |  |
| 8 obligations, it would be made from NexPoint |  |  | A. Yes,ldo. |  |
| 9 Advisors' bank account. |  | 9 | Q. So what - |  |
| 10 Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. |  | 10 | MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second. |  |
| 11 You should have that --it is my Tab 1 or my |  | 11 | Okay. Go ahead. |  |
| 12 Exhibit 1. |  | 12 | MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah. |  |
| 13 (Exhibit A1 marked.) |  | 13 | Q. So what is - what is Ms. Hendrix |  |
| 14 Q. So this is a - this is a series of |  | 14 | here on November 25 asking of you? |  |
| 15 emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the |  | 15 | A. She is asking me - so she - these |  |
| 16 first page here, November 25, 2020, between |  | 16 | are - these are payments - typically we would |  |
| 17 Kristin Hendrix and yourself. |  | 17 | do an accounts payable run every week at the |  |
| 18 Do you see that, sir? |  | 18 | end of every Friday. But looking at this date, |  |
| 19 A. Ido. |  | 19 | it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to |  |
| 20 Q. And do you see where Ms. Hendrix |  | 20 | me, it is likely Thanksgiving weekend. |  |
| 21 writes: NPA. |  | 21 | So this is the day before |  |
| 22 Do you know what NPA stood for? |  | 22 | Thanksgiving, so this is the last kind of - |  |
| 23 A. Yes. |  | 23 | kind of day before the holidays and vacation |  |
| 24 Q. And what does it stand for? |  |  | and things of that nature. So it is |  |
| 25 A. NexPoint Advisors. |  | 25 | effectively the Friday of that week. |  |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 WATERHOUSE-10-19-21 |  | 1 WATERHOUSE-10-19-21 |  |
| 2 specifically but, yes, generally we - you |  | 2 the debtor would have played any role in |  |
| 3 know, we did do that. |  | 3 NexPoint having made those prior payments? |  |
| 4 Q. So do you recall -- and we can pull |  | 4 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 5 it up, if need be - that under the NexPoint |  | 5 of the question. |  |
| 6 note that Mr. Morris asked you about earlier, |  | 6 A. Yes. |  |
| 7 the one for more than \$30 million, that |  | 7 Q. And what role in years prior to 2020 |  |
| 8 NexPoint was obligated to make an annual |  | 8 would employees of the debtor have had with |  |
| 9 payment of principal and interest? |  | 9 respect to NexPoint making that annual payment? |  |
| 10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 10 A. We - we - we would have - I keep |  |
| 11 of the question. |  | 11 saying "we." The team would have calculated |  |
| 12 A. Yes, it was - yes, it - it was an |  | 12 any amounts due under that loan and other |  |
| 13 amortizing note. It was - you know, from what |  | 13 loans, as - as standard course. |  |
| 14 we reviewed earlier, it was payable by |  | 14 We would -- since we provided |  |
| 15 December 31st of each year. So - but are - |  | 15 treasury services to the advisors, we would |  |
| 16 are you asking me - |  | 16 inform the - the - the - we informed |  |
| 17 Q. I'm just asking you, sir, if you |  | 17 Mr . Dondero of any cash obligations that are |  |
| 18 recall the note. |  | 18 forthcoming, whether we do cash projections. |  |
| 19 A. Yes, the $\$ 30$ million note, yes, we |  | 19 If, you know, any of these payments |  |
| 20 reviewed it earlier, yes. |  | 20 would have - or, you know, the sum total of |  |
| 21 Q. And do you recall Mr. Morris had you |  | 21 all of these payments, including any note |  |
| 22 go through the fact that NexPoint had made |  | 22 payments, if there were any cash shortfalls, we |  |
| 23 payments in years prior to 2020 on that note? |  | 23 would have informed Mr. Dondero of any cash |  |
| 24 A. Ido. |  | 24 shortfalls. We could adequately plan, you |  |
| 25 Q. And do you believe that employees of |  | 25 know, in instances like that. |  |
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| 2 Or, sorry, we - I say "we" -- - |  | 2 or accounting would have sent some schedule or |  |
| 3 keep saying "we" - I keep wearing my - again, |  | 3 a reminder that a payment would be coming due |  |
| 4 my - my treasurer hat. |  | 4 in the future. Is that generally the practice? |  |
| 5 But, yes, it is to - it is to |  | 5 A. Yes, we would -- you know, again, I |  |
| 6 inform Mr. Dondero of the obligations of the |  | 6 didn't - I didn't micromanage the teams, but |  |
| 7 advisors in terms of cash and obligations that |  | 7 we had a - a corporate accounting calendar |  |
| 8 are - are upcoming and that -- and that are - |  | 8 that we use as kind of a tickler file to keep |  |
| 9 are scheduled to be paid. |  | 9 track of payments. |  |
| 10 Q. And would those obligations that are |  | 10 I actually, you know, don't know how |  |
| 11 upcoming and scheduled to be paid prior to 2020 |  | 11 actively they're using that in - in prior to |  |
| 12 have incurred the annual payment on that |  | 12 2020, but it was actively used at some point. |  |
| 13 NexPoint \$30 million note? |  | 13 We did look at NexPoint cash |  |
| 14 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 14 periodically and cash for the other advisors as |  |
| 15 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Davor, Ithink |  | 15 well and payments. You know, we -- payments |  |
| 16 you misspoke. You might want to just |  | 16 like this would have appeared in our cash |  |
| 17 repeat the question. |  | 17 projections, in the advisor's cash projections. |  |
| 18 Q. Okay. Let me repeat the question, |  | 18 And, again, as like I said earlier, |  |
| 19 sir. |  | 19 they would have appeared there, so there would |  |
| 20 Prior to 2020, those services that |  | 20 be time to plan for making any of these |  |
| 21 you just described, would that - on behalf of |  | 21 payments. |  |
| 22 the debtor, would that have included NexPoint's |  | 22 Q. And based on your experience, would |  |
| 23 payments on the \$30 million note? |  | 23 it have been reasonable for NexPoint to rely on |  |
| 24 A. Yes. |  | 24 the debtors' employees to inform NexPoint of an |  |
| 25 Q. So someone at the debtor in treasury |  | 25 upcoming payment due on the $\$ 30$ million |  |
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| 2 either way; correct? |  |  | somehow - |  |
| 3 A. Yeah, I don't remember. I don't |  | 3 | Q. Yes. Let me take a step back. Let |  |
| 4 remember us discussing that. |  |  | me take a step back. |  |
| 5 Q. Now - and we're almost done, I |  | 5 | So you are aware now that as a |  |
| 6 promise. I'm just going to - I don't know how |  |  | result of that default, what was still some |  |
| 7 to ask this question, so l'm just going to try |  |  | 25-year note was accelerated and became |  |
| 8 to do my best. |  |  | immediately due. You are aware of that now; |  |
| 9 Prior to the default on December 31, |  |  | right? |  |
| 10 2020, did Mr. Seery ever tell you any words to |  | 10 | A. Yes. |  |
| 11 the effect that you or someone at Highland |  | 11 | Q. And can you see how someone at |  |
| 12 should ensure that NexPoint doesn't make its |  | 12 | Highland might actually have been pleased with |  |
| 13 payment? |  |  | that development? |  |
| 14 A. No. |  | 14 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form. |  |
| 15 Q. Did you have any hint or any belief |  | 15 | Q. Not that they were - not that they |  |
| 16 that anyone at NexPoint - I'm sorry, strike |  |  | were pleased, but you can see how someone at |  |
| 17 that. |  | 17 | Highland might have been pleased with that |  |
| 18 Did you have any reason to believe |  |  | development? |  |
| 19 that anyone with Highland was actively trying |  | 19 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 20 to get NexPoint to make that default by not |  | 20 | of the question. |  |
| 21 paying on December 31? |  | 21 | MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. |  |
| 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 22 | A. I don't know how they would have |  |
| 23 of the question. |  | 23 | reacted to that. |  |
| 24 A. Are you asking, did any Highland |  | 24 | Q. Okay. But you're not-you're not |  |
| 25 employees actively work to make - to |  |  | aware of any instructions or any actions being |  |
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| 2 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the |  | 2 | VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off |  |
| 3 independent board, DSI, that - that would have |  | 3 | the record? |  |
| 4 basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint |  | 4 | MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. |  |
| 5 would fail to make that payment? |  | 5 | VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're |  |
| 6 A. I'm not aware. |  | 6 | going off the record at 6:27 p.m. |  |
| 7 Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick |  | 7 | (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) |  |
| 8 or a settlement; right? |  | 8 | VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the |  |
| 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to |  | 9 | record at 6:30 p.m. |  |
| 10 form. |  | 10 | MR. HORN: Is Deb back? |  |
| 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. |  | 11 | MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about |  |
| 12 MR. MORRIS: Object to form. |  | 12 | me? I'm here. |  |
| 13 A. I'm not aware. |  | 13 | MR. HORN: Oh, okay. Idon't see |  |
| 14 Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in |  | 14 | you, sorry. |  |
| 15 every conversation. I mean, and I'm just - |  | 15 | Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so |  |
| 16 again, l'm sitting at home. It is the end of |  | 16 | when you had - |  |
| 17 the year. Again, I'm not aware. |  | 17 | MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about |  |
| 18 Q. That is a perfectly legitimate |  | 18 | Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there |  |
| 19 answer. I don't know why - why you think |  | 19 | are a lot - as we talked about, a lot of |  |
| 20 otherwise. |  | 20 | Debs. I'm here. |  |
| 21 Okay. Just give me one second to |  | 21 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here. |  |
| 22 compose my thoughts. |  | 22 | MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about |  |
| 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're |  | 23 | DDP. |  |
| 24 taking your one second, why don't we take |  | 24 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here. |  |
| 25 three minutes. I will be right back. |  | 25 | MR. HORN: Okay. Here we go. I'm |  |
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believe I'm an authorized signer. So I
can't -- other personnel have to make payment
from HCRE to - to - to - to Highland.
Q. Okay. And in the conversation
that - that you had with Mr. Dondero when he
requested the payment to be made, did you say
to him words to the effect, Jim, this loan is
going to stay in default, what are you making
the payment for, anything like that?
A. No.
Q. In fact, did you have the impression
from him that he thought that the loan would
be - the default would be cured by making the
payment?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
A. Did I get the impression from Jim

Dondero that the loan would be cured if the
payment from HCRE -
Q. Yeah, if that is what he thought.

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
A. I didn't get any impression from him on that at the time.
January of 2021, do you think it was part of
the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,
hey, why didn't that get paid, please make
that -- get that payment done?
MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of
the question.
A. Yes. Likely it would have been - I
mean, again, I don't recall a payment being
made, but, you know, again, I don't remember
everything.
Q. Okay. Did - at the time you were communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the payment being made, whichever payments were made in January, did she say anything to you about the payments not curing the loan defaults?
A. No.
Q. Okay. All right. So I'm going to
take you back to very early in the deposition
when Mr. Morris was asking you about the -
the - the - the agreement with respect to
the - the forgiveness element of the loans, so
that is just to orient you.
Do you remember that there was a
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| 2 time that you and Mr. Dondero were |  | 2 | of the question. |  |
| 3 communicating about potential means of |  | 3 | A. Yes, we did have that conversation. |  |
| 4 resolving the Highland bankruptcy by what was |  | 4 | Q. Okay. Was that conversation in |  |
| 5 colloquially referred to as a pot plan? |  | 5 | connection with trying to figure out the right |  |
| 6 A. Yes. |  | 6 | numbers for a pot plan? |  |
| 7 Q. Okay. And can you tell me generally |  | 7 | A. Yeah. Imean, it was-it was-I |  |
| 8 when that was? |  | 8 | mean, Jim - Jim would ask for, you know, |  |
| 9 A. Like mid - mid 2020, sometime in |  | 9 | most - most recent asset values, you know, for |  |
| 10 2020, mid 2020. |  | 10 | Highland, and -- and myself and the team |  |
| 11 Q. Okay. And did the process of trying |  | 11 | provided those to him, so it was in that |  |
| 12 to figure out what the numbers should be |  | 12 | context. |  |
| 13 involve looking at what one should pay for the |  | 13 | Q. Okay. And does that refresh your |  |
| 14 Highland assets? |  | 14 | recollection that these communications were in |  |
| 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 15 | 2020 rather than 2021? |  |
| 16 of the question. |  | 16 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 17 A. Yes. |  | 17 | of the question. |  |
| 18 Q. Okay. And did there come a time |  | 18 | A. The - the - the executive |  |
| 19 when you were proposing some potential numbers |  | 19 | compensation discussions were definitely in |  |
| 20 and Mr. Dondero said something to you like, |  | 20 | 2020. |  |
| 21 well, why are you including payment for the |  | 21 | Q. Okay. Now, did you ever make |  |
| 22 related party notes, those, you know, were |  | 22 | proposals that took into account Jim's comment |  |
| 23 likely to be forgiven as part of my deferred |  | 23 | that the notes were likely to end up forgiven |  |
| 24 executive compensation? |  | 24 | as part of his compensation? |  |
| 25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 25 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
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| 2 of the question. |  | 2 | you knew of the practice of giving forgivable |  |
| 3 A. Yes, we - the team and myself put |  | 3 | loans to executives. |  |
| 4 together, you know, asset summaries of Highland |  | 4 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 5 at various times for all the assets of |  | 5 | of the question. |  |
| 6 Highland, and not including the notes. |  | 6 | Q. Can you - can you tell me what you |  |
| $7 \quad$ Q. Okay. And were those presentations |  | 7 | recall about that practice? |  |
| 8 communicated to - to Mr. Seery? |  | 8 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 9 A. No. Well, look, I didn't tell - I |  | 9 | of the question. |  |
| 10 didn't tell Mr. Seery. Idon't know what |  | 10 | A. Yes, so there were - there were - |  |
| 11 Mr . Dondero did with the information. |  | 11 | during my tenure at Highland, there were loans |  |
| 12 Q. Okay. |  | 12 | or - given to employees that were later |  |
| 13 A. I did not have conversations with |  | 13 | forgiven at a future date and time. |  |
| 14 Mr . Seery. |  | 14 | Q. Okay. And when the loans were |  |
| 15 Q. Okay. Do you know who saw the |  | 15 | given, did the notes, to your recollection, say |  |
| 16 presentations that you put together that didn't |  | 16 | anything about the potential forgiveness term? |  |
| 17 include the value of the related party notes? |  | 17 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 18 A. We're talking presentations --these |  | 18 | of the question. |  |
| 19 are - these are Excel spreadsheets? |  | 19 | A. When you say "did the notes," did |  |
| 20 Q. Uh-huh. |  | 20 | the promissory notes detail the forgiveness? |  |
| 21 A. I don't know who - these were given |  | 21 | Q. Yes. |  |
| 22 to - to Jim Dondero. I don't know what was |  | 22 | A. Not that I recall. |  |
| 23 done with them after that. |  | 23 | Q. And until such time as whatever was |  |
| 24 Q. Okay. You also mentioned earlier |  |  | to trigger the forgiveness occurred, were the |  |
| 25 that sometime during your tenure at Highland |  |  | notes bona fide notes as far as you were |  |
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| 2 concerned? |  | 2 of the question. |  |
| 3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 3 A. Yes. I mean, yes, that - there |  |
| 4 of the question. |  | 4 are. And that is - yes. |  |
| 5 A. Yes, similar to - yes. |  | 5 Q. Okay. And is it typical accounting |  |
| 6 Q. Okay. You were going to say similar |  | 6 practice that until there is some certainty |  |
| 7 to what? |  | 7 about those potential future events, that asset |  |
| 8 A. Mr. Morris earlier today showed |  | 8 value listed on - on the books doesn't take |  |
| 9 notes of the financial statements about various |  | 9 into account those potential future events? |  |
| 10 affiliate loans. I-I-I do recall these |  | 10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 11 notes because I - at that time personally |  | 11 of the question. |  |
| 12 worked on the -- the financial statements of |  | 12 A. Yeah, if those - yes. If--if |  |
| 13 Highland. That was, you know, in my role as a |  | 13 those future events, you know, at the time of |  |
| 14 corporate accountant. |  | 14 issuance are not known or knowable, like I |  |
| 15 And there were - those loans |  | 15 discussed earlier with, like, market practice, |  |
| 16 were - to the partners were detailed in the |  | 16 asset dislocation, or, you know, I mean, things |  |
| 17 notes to the financial statements, similar to |  | 17 like that, you - I mean, it - it could affect |  |
| 18 what we went through earlier today in the prior |  | 18 its fair value - |  |
| 19 testimony about what we saw with Highland |  | 19 Q. Okay. |  |
| 20 and - and - and the - and HCMFA. |  | 20 A. - in the future. |  |
| 21 Q. Is it fair to say that on Highland's |  | 21 Q. And am I correct you wouldn't feel |  |
| 22 balance sheet there were any number of assets |  | 22 compelled to footnote in every possible change |  |
| 23 that the value of which could be affected by |  | 23 in - in an asset when those possibilities are |  |
| 24 subsequent events? |  | 24 still remote? |  |
| 25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
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| 2 of the question. |  | 2 have been better prepared for this deposition |  |
| 3 A. The accounting standard is you have |  | 3 if the debtor had complied with those requests? |  |
| 4 to estimate to the best - you know, to - to |  | 4 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 5 the best of your ability, the fair value of an |  | 5 of the question. |  |
| 6 asset as of the balance sheet date under - |  | 6 A. I-I-I most certainly - yes. |  |
| 7 under GAAP. |  | 7 I mean, again, these are multiple years, |  |
| 8 Q. Did - strike that. |  | 8 multiple years ago, lots and lots of |  |
| $9 \quad$ Okay. Give me a minute. I'm |  | 9 transactions. |  |
| 10 close - I'm close to done. Let me just go off |  | 10 You know, we asked about NAV errors |  |
| 11 and look at my notes for a second. So take two |  | 11 and, you know, things like that and these |  |
| 12 minutes. |  | 12 are - it would make this process a lot more - |  |
| 13 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the |  | 13 a lot easier and if we had - if we had access |  |
| 14 record at 7:02 p.m. |  | 14 to that. |  |
| 15 (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) |  | 15 Q. Okay. And has the debtor - is the |  |
| 16 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the |  | 16 debtor suing you right now? |  |
| 17 record at 7:03 p.m. |  | 17 A. Yes. |  |
| 18 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your |  | 18 Q. And is the debtor trying to renege |  |
| 19 understanding that people you work with now |  | 19 on deals that it had previously made with you? |  |
| 20 have been asking the debtor for full and |  | 20 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 21 unfetterred access to their own former files? |  | 21 of the question. |  |
| 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 22 A. Sorry, I need to - it is my |  |
| 23 of the question. |  | 23 understanding that the litigation trust is |  |
| 24 A. Yes, I am - I am generally aware. |  | 24 suing me. And not being a lawyer, I don't |  |
| 25 Q. Okay. And do you think you could |  | 25 know - is that the debtor? |  |
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| 2 Q. And I think you said that there was |  | 2 deadlines. |  |
| $3 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{a}-$ |  | 3 I don't know how, as I testified |  |
| 4 A. It was - I think I testified to |  | 4 earlier, how much they were using that |  |
| 5 this earlier when we talked about procedures |  | 5 calendar. |  |
| 6 and policy, you know, again, I want to be |  | 6 Q. Okay. But - but you did get notice |  |
| 7 informed of -- of - of -- of - of any |  | 7 and a request to approve the payments that were |  |
| 8 payments that are going out. I want to be made |  | 8 coming due on behalf of Highland's affiliates. |  |
| 9 aware of these payments, and that was just a |  | 9 Do I have that right? |  |
| 10 general policy, not just for 2020. |  | 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  |
| 11 Q. Okay. So it went beyond 2020? |  | 11 A. I mean, generally, yes. I mean, you |  |
| 12 A . Yes. |  | 12 know, as we saw with these emails, generally, I |  |
| 13 Q. Is that right? |  | 13 mean, did that encompass everything, no. |  |
| 14 A. Yes. |  | 14 Q. Okay. Do you know why the |  |
| 15 Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting |  | 15 payment - do you know why there was no payment |  |
| 16 group would prepare a calendar that would set |  | 16 made by NexPoint at the end of 2020? |  |
| 17 forth all of the payments that were anticipated |  | 17 A. Yes. There was - there was - we |  |
| 18 in the - in the three weeks ahead; is that |  | 18 talked about these agreements between the |  |
| 19 right? |  | 19 advisors and Highland, the shared services and |  |
| 20 A. I- like I testified earlier, we |  | 20 the cost reimbursement agreement. |  |
| 21 had a corporate calendar that was set up, you |  | 21 And in late 2020, there were |  |
| 22 know, to - to provide reminders or, you know, |  | 22 overpayments, large overpayments that had been |  |
| 23 of anything of any nature, whether it is |  | 23 made over the years on these agreements, and it |  |
| 24 payments or -- or financial statements or, you |  | 24 was my understanding that the advisors were - |  |
| 25 know, whatever it is, you know, to meet |  | 25 were talking with - like Jim Seery and others |  |
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| 2 to offset any obligations that the advisors |  | 2 A. No. The advisors -- the advisors |  |
| 3 owed to Highland as offset to the overpayments |  | 3 had stopped making payments prior to that |  |
| 4 on these agreements. |  | 4 notice. |  |
| 5 Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of |  | 5 Q. Okay. And how do you know that the |  |
| 6 those conversations? |  | 6 advisors stopped making - making payments |  |
| 7 A. I did not. |  | 7 prior to the notice? |  |
| 8 Q. Okay. Do you know - do you recall |  | 8 A. I had - I had a conversation |  |
| 9 that the - at the end of November, the debtor |  | 9 with - with Jim Dondero. |  |
| 10 did notice to the advisors of their intent to |  | 10 Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that |  |
| 11 terminate the shared services agreements? |  | 11 the advisors would no longer make payments to |  |
| 12 A. Like I testified earlier, there |  | 12 Highland? |  |
| 13 was - the agreements weren't identical, from |  | 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  |
| 14 what I recall, and there is one that had a |  | 14 form. |  |
| 15 longer notice period, which I think had a |  | 15 A. Yes, he - he - again, he said |  |
| 16 60-day notice period. I don't recall which one |  | 16 they - they - the advisors have overpaid on |  |
| 17 that was, so not all of them were - notice |  | 17 these agreements, to not make any future |  |
| 18 hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all |  | 18 payments, and that there needs to be offsets, |  |
| 19 of the agreements. |  | 19 and they're working on getting offsets to these |  |
| 20 Q. Upon the receipt of the - the |  | 20 overpayment. |  |
| 21 termination notices that you recall, do you |  | 21 Q. Do you know if anybody ever |  |
| 22 know if the advisors decided at that point not |  | 22 instructed Highland's employees to make the |  |
| 23 to make any further payments of any kind to |  | 23 payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of |  |
| 24 Highland? |  | 24 the year? |  |
| 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, form. |  | 25 A. Did anyone instruct Highland's |  |
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| 2 the insurance claim made under HCMFA's policy? |  |  | A. Imean, generally, yes. You know, |  |
| 3 A. Yes. |  |  | we were asked to provide asset values, right, |  |
| 4 Q. Did HCMFA at any time prior to the |  |  | and he was having settlement discussions. |  |
| 5 petition date - withdrawn. |  |  | Again, I don't know who those went to |  |
| 6 You were asked a couple of questions |  |  | ultimately. I don't recall. |  |
| 7 where - where you said that Mr. Dondero told |  | 7 | MR. MORRIS: I have no further |  |
| 8 you that he was ascribing zero value to the |  | 8 | questions. Thank you very much for your |  |
| 9 notes as part of a pot plan because he believed |  | 9 | patience. I apologize for the late hour. |  |
| 10 that the notes were part of executive |  | 10 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, you stay |  |
| 11 compensation. |  | 11 | on about your email when - |  |
| 12 Do I have that right? |  | 12 | MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on, I'm not |  |
| 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the |  | 13 | done. |  |
| 14 form. |  | 14 | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, okay. Davor |  |
| 15 A. Yes. |  | 15 | still has questions. Sorry. I was going |  |
| 16 Q. Okay. Have you ever heard that |  | 16 | to say both John and Davor, could you stay |  |
| 17 before the time that Mr. Dondero told you that |  | 17 | on afterwards just to talk about the |  |
| 18 in the conversation about the pot plan? |  | 18 | requests. |  |
| 19 A. Had I heard that prior to my |  | 19 | FURTHER EXAMINATION |  |
| 20 conversation with Mr. Dondero? |  | 20 | BY MR. RUKAVINA: |  |
| 21 Q. Yes. |  |  | Q. Mr. Waterhouse, you were just now |  |
| 22 A. No, I had not heard that prior. |  |  | testifying about a discussion you had with |  |
| 23 Q. Okay. And that was in the context |  |  | Mr . Dondero where he said something like no |  |
| 24 of his formulation of the settlement proposal; |  |  | more payments. |  |
| 25 is that right? |  | 25 | Do you remember that testimony? |  |
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| 2 A. Yes. |  |  | talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you |  |
| 3 Q. Okay. And was that late November or |  |  | done any estimate of what the alleged |  |
| 4 early December of 2020? |  |  | overpayments were? |  |
| 5 A. It was, I would say, first or second |  | 5 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 6 week of November. |  |  | of the question. |  |
| 7 Q. Okay. Do you recall whether - |  |  | A. Yes, we had - there was a - there |  |
| 8 whenever you had that discussion, whether |  |  | was a detailed analysis that was put together |  |
| 9 Mr . Dondero had already been fired by the |  |  | by David Klos at the time. |  |
| 10 debtor? |  | 10 | Q. And do you recall just generally |  |
| 11 A. Yes, I - I believe he was not an |  |  | what the total amount for both advisors of the |  |
| 12 employee of the debtor anymore at that time. |  | 12 | overpayments was? |  |
| 13 Q. And when you were discussing this |  | 13 | A. It was in excess of $\$ 10$ million. |  |
| 14 with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments, |  | 14 | Q. Was it in excess of $\$ 14$ million? |  |
| 15 you were discussing the two shared services |  | 15 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 16 agreements and employee reimbursement |  | 16 | of the question. |  |
| 17 agreements we testified - you testified about |  | 17 | A. I-I remember it was an |  |
| 18 before; is that correct? |  | 18 | eight-figure number. I don't remember |  |
| 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 19 | specifically. |  |
| 20 of the question. |  | 20 | Q. Okay. And did you convey that |  |
| 21 A. That is correct. |  |  | number to Mr. Dondero when you had that |  |
| 22 Q. And had your office or you - and we |  | 22 | conversation? |  |
| 23 will talk at a future deposition about the |  | 23 | A. Yes. |  |
| 24 administrative claim. |  | 24 | Q. What was his reaction? |  |
| 25 But had - by that time that you |  |  | A. I mean, he wasn't happy. |  |
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| 2 Q. Is it fair to say he was upset? |  |  | word "NexPoint" when he was saying don't make |  |
| 3 A. Yes. |  |  | these payments? |  |
| 4 Q. Did Mr. Dondero ever expressly tell |  |  | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 5 you to not have NexPoint make the required |  |  | of the question, asked and answered. |  |
| 6 December 31, 2020, payment? |  |  | A. Yeah, we were -- we were discussing |  |
| 7 A. Yes, I recall him saying don't make |  |  | advisor obligations. So it was - you know, it |  |
| 8 the payment because it was being negotiated, as |  |  | was just obligations from the advisors. |  |
| 9 I discussed with Mr. Morris, this offset |  | 9 | And - and he specifically talked |  |
| 10 concept. So there were obligations due by the |  |  | about the NexPoint payment as well. |  |
| 11 advisors to Highland, they should be offset |  |  | Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that |  |
| 12 that - you know, those obligations should be |  |  | he expressly told you not to make that NexPoint |  |
| 13 offset by this - by this overpayment. |  |  | December 31 payment? |  |
| 14 Q. And when did he tell you that? |  |  | MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and |  |
| 15 A. I would say - I would say around - |  |  | answered twice. |  |
| 16 probably December - December-ish. |  |  | A. Yes, he - he did, during that |  |
| 17 Q. Early December, late December? |  |  | conversation. |  |
| 18 A. I don't recall with as much |  |  | Q. And did you ever follow up with him |  |
| 19 specificity as - as - as - as stopping the |  |  | after that about whether NexPoint should or |  |
| 20 shared services payments, because we had |  |  | shouldn't make that payment? |  |
| 21 actually made one shared services payment in |  |  | A. I did not. |  |
| 22 November. So that is why I need to remember |  |  | Q. Did you ever, on or about |  |
| 23 that one more clearly. I don't remember where |  |  | December 31, 2020, remind him and say, hey, |  |
| 24 exactly in December that conversation occurred. |  |  | this payment is due, what shall I - what |  |
| 25 Q. Did Mr. Dondero expressly use the |  |  | should I do? |  |
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| 2 A. I did not. |  |  | on your cell phone of when that conversation |  |
| 3 Q. So sitting here today, you - you |  |  | might have taken place? |  |
| 4 remember distinctly that Dondero in December of |  |  | I'm sorry, strike that. |  |
| 52020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint |  |  | Was that by cell phone? |  |
| 6 make that payment? |  |  | A. I believe - yes, because we - I |  |
| 7 MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and |  |  | was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline. |  |
| 8 answered three times. |  |  | All I have is my cell phone. |  |
| 9 A. Yes. |  |  | Q. Do you know whether your cell phone |  |
| 10 Q. Can you say categorically it wasn't |  |  | still has records of conversations from |  |
| 11 just some general discussion where he told you |  |  | December 2020 on it? |  |
| 12 not to make payments? |  |  | A. My call $\log$ doesn't go back that |  |
| 13 MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and |  |  | far. |  |
| 14 answer four times. |  |  | Q. Okay. Thank you. |  |
| 15 MR. HORN: Four times now. Go for |  | 15 | MR. RUKAVINA: I will pass the |  |
| 16 five. |  |  | witness. |  |
| 17 A. Yes. |  |  | MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Just a couple |  |
| 18 Q. Did you tell Mr. Seery that? |  | 18 | quick questions. |  |
| 19 A. Idon't believe I did. I don't |  | 19 | FURTHER EXAMINATION |  |
| 20 recall. |  |  | BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: |  |
| 21 Q. And was this an in-person discussion |  |  | Q. With respect to HCRE and HCMS, am I |  |
| 22 or telephone or email? Do you remember? |  |  | correct there was -- there was no direction not |  |
| 23 A. This was a phone - a phone |  |  | to pay those loan payments? |  |
| 24 conversation. |  |  | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  |
| 25 Q. Okay. Would you have a record of -- |  |  | of the question. |  |
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| 2 | A. Yes, I don't recall having |  | 2 | Thank you, Mr. Waterhouse. We appreciate |  |
| 3 | conversations about, you know, those - those |  | 3 | your time. I am logging off the discussion |  |
| 4 | entities. |  | 4 | and I will talk to y'all tomorrow. |  |
| 5 | Q. And, in fact, what was the tone that |  | 5 | MR. MORRIS: Super. |  |
| 6 | Mr. Dondero had when he talked to you about the |  | 6 | VIDEOGRAPHER: If there are no |  |
| 7 | fact that HCRE and HCMS payments hadn't been |  | 7 | further questions, this ends the |  |
| 8 | made when he found out that they hadn't been |  | 8 | deposition - excuse me. This ends the |  |
| 9 | paid? |  | 9 | deposition, and we are going off the record |  |
| 10 | MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. |  | 10 | at 7:30 p.m. |  |
| 11 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to form. |  | 11 | (Deposition concluded at 7:30 p.m.) |  |
| 12 | Q. What was the tone he took with you? |  | 12 |  |  |
| 13 | A. Oh, it was - it was - it was - it |  | 13 |  |  |
| 14 | was very negative. I mean, I think he cursed |  | 14 | FRANK WATERHOUSE |  |
| 15 | at me and he doesn't usually curse. |  | 15 |  |  |
| 16 | Q. Okay. And in your mind, is that |  | 16 | Subscribed and sworn to before me |  |
| 17 | consistent with the fact that he was surprised |  | 17 | this day of 2021. |  |
| 18 | that those payments hadn't been made? |  | 18 |  |  |
| 19 | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form |  | 19 |  |  |
| 20 | of the question. |  | 20 |  |  |
| 21 | A. Yes. |  | 21 |  |  |
| 22 | Q. Okay. Thank you. |  | 22 |  |  |
| 23 | MR. MORRIS: I have nothing further. |  | 23 |  |  |
| 24 | Thank you so much, Mr. Waterhouse. |  | 24 |  |  |
| 25 | MR. HORN: I have no questions. |  | 25 |  |  |
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| 2 | certificate |  | 2 | NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital |  |
| 3 |  |  | 3 | DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 |  |
| 4 | I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand |  |  | NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse |  |
| 5 | reporter within and for the State of Texas, do |  | 5 | Reason Codes: |  |
| 6 | hereby certify: |  | 6 | 1. To clarify the record. |  |
| 7 | That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose |  | 7 | 2. To conform to the facts. |  |
| 8 | deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly |  | 8 | 3. To correct transcription errors. |  |
| 9 | sworn by me and that such deposition is a true |  |  | Page___Line____ Reason_ |  |
| 10 | record of the testimony given by such witness. |  | 10 | From_to |  |
| 11 | I further certify that I am not related to |  | 11 | Page___Line____ Reason |  |
| 12 | any of the parties to this action by blood or |  | 12 | From__to |  |
| 13 | marriage; and that I am in no way interested in |  | 13 | Page____Line___ Reason_ |  |
| 14 | the outcome of this matter. |  | 14 | From_to |  |
| 15 | IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my |  | 15 | Page___Line____ Reason_ |  |
| 16 | hand this 19th of October, 2021. |  | 16 | From_to |  |
| 17 |  |  | 17 | Page___Line____ Reason |  |
| 18 |  |  | 18 | From_to |  |
| 19 | Susan S. Klinger, RMR-CRR, CSR |  | 19 | Page___Line___ Reason |  |
| 20 | Texas CSR\# 6531 |  | 20 | From__to |  |
| 21 |  |  | 21 | Page___Line____Reason |  |
| 22 |  |  | 22 | From_to |  |
| 23 |  |  | 23 | Page____Line____ Reason |  |
| 24 |  |  | 24 | From_to |  |
| 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
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## EXHIBIT 181

## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION



## DECLARATION OF DENNIS C. SAUTER, JR.

I, Dennis C. Sauter, Jr., hereby swear under oath and penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the United States of America that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief:

1. My name is Dennis C. Sauter, Jr. I am over the age of 21, have never been convicted of a felony or crime of moral turpitude, and am otherwise qualified to give this Declaration. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this Declaration, or such facts are known to me from my review of the books and records of Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P. ("HCMFA").
2. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the State of Texas and have been such since 2001.
3. While I provided limited legal services to Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the "Debtor") and its affiliated entities as outside counsel before I became in-house counsel, those services were limited to real estate transactions having nothing to do with the facts discussed in this Declaration.
4. HCMFA is a registered advisor under the Investment Advisors Act of 1940. CITE. As such, HCMFA advises various independent funds, which, in turn, are investment vehicles for a large number of investors.
5. HCMFA has always had very few employees. During 2019, for example, HCMFA had only 7 to 9 employees.
6. Instead, most of the services needed by HCMFA to transact its business were provided by the Debtor pursuant to that certain Second Amended and Restated Shared Services Agreement dated February 8, 2013 (the "Shared Services Agreement"), a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
7. This was standard business practices for the Debtor and various other affiliated companies, including other advisers within the Debtor's and its affiliates "complex" of businesses: the Debtor would employ most of the employees and then share those employees with HCMFA and other "complex" entities in exchange for payments by HCMFA and such other entities.
8. Thus, under the Shared Services Agreement, employees of the Debtor (many of whom were highly trained and specialized) provided many of the key services to HCMFA on an as-needed basis. These services included legal, accounting, regulatory, compliance, IT, and tax services, among others. Additionally, under the Shared Services Agreement the Debtor provided critical electronic infrastructure to HCMFA and other "complex" entities, such that the books and records, and e-mail communications, of HCMFA were actually stored on the Debtor's server.
9. These facts are very important to the issues I will discuss below.
10. On January 22, 2021, the Debtor filed its Complaint for (i) Breach of Contract and (ii) Turnover of Property of the Debtor's Estate (the "Complaint") against HCMFA, thereby initiating this Adversary Proceeding.
11. The Complaint concerns two promissory notes each dated May 2, 2019 (the "Notes") that the Debtor seeks a judgment against HCMFA for: (i) a note for $\$ 5$ million; and (ii) a note for $\$ 2.4$ million.
12. On March 1, 2021, HCMFA filed its Defendant's Original Answer (the "Answer").
13. At the time that the Debtor filed the Complaint, I promptly undertook an internal review of the background facts concerning the Notes. I had no knowledge of them since I had not been employed by HCMFA, and the few employees of HCMFA had no knowledge of the Notes. I also discussed the Notes with James Dondero, formerly the CEO of the Debtor, and Mr. Dondero could not recall the genesis of the Notes. My review of the limited books and records of HCMFA that were not in the possession of the Debtor did not reveal any background facts regarding the Notes or the existence of the Notes.
14. Normally, I would have discussed the Notes with employees of the Debtor who also provided services to HCMFA pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement in order to assess what defenses or affirmative defenses to the Complaint existed. However, in this instance I was precluded from doing so.
15. First, attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of an e-mail exchange between me and Mr. James Seery dated September 17, 2020. Mr. Seery was and remains the Chief Executive Officer of the Debtor. As stated in Exhibit 2, Mr. Seery was informing me that Debtor employees had been instructed not to discuss with me anything that is "inimical" to the interests of the Debtor, and that they would be terminated if they did so. This e-mail communication comports with other communications between myself and Mr. Seery and/or Debtor's counsel,
where I was cautioned not to discuss with Debtor employees matters that may be adverse to the Debtor.
16. Second, by the time of the filing of the Complaint, the Court had entered a preliminary injunction against Mr. Dondero, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 3. That injunction prohibited Mr. Dondero from "directly or indirectly ... communicating with any of the Debtor's employees, except as it specifically relates to shared services currently provided." As the information concerning the Notes was background information and not related to "services currently provided," I was concerned that, if I discussed the Notes with the Debtor's employees, the Debtor would argue that either Mr. Dondero or I violated the Court's injunction.
17. In sum, after the Complaint was filed, no one at HCMFA knew anything about the Notes, and I was precluded from contacting the people that would have known something about the notes, i.e. the Debtor's employees, to discuss what they may have known. I also had very limited access to HCMFA books and records and, even if I had had full access, I would not have known what relevant books and records to search for in the many millions of files without first obtaining a generalized background of the facts regarding the Notes from Debtor employees.
18. I then worked with outside counsel at Munsch Hardt Kopf \& Harr, P.C. to review the Complaint and prepare and file the Answer. That original Answer did not contain any affirmative defenses because, as explained above, no one at HCMFA knew of any facts that might give rise to an affirmative defense.
19. The situation changed by mid-April, 2021. As of late February, 2021, the Debtor terminated the Shared Services Agreement and terminated most of its former employees. Many of those employees then formed their own company, Skyview Group, which then contracted with HCMFA (and others) to continue providing essentially the same services that they had previously provided under the Shared Services Agreement. Additionally, the Debtor provided access to

HCMFA of much of its books and records (although not all). Thus, as of March, 2021, I was able to communicate with most former Debtor employees and to access the books and records of HCMFA without fear of violating any court order.
20. March, 2021, was exceedingly busy, to say the least. With the termination of the Shared Services Agreement, HCMFA, other entities that I am general counsel to, and I were preoccupied with transitioning the services that the Debtor had been providing for more than a decade to a new entity, using new infrastructure, new offices, new networks, etc., all for the primary goal of ensuring a smooth and uninterrupted continuity of business and services provided by HCMFA and others to third parties.
21. By mid-April, 2021, the situation had calmed down to the point that I was able to discuss the Notes with former employees, most importantly Frank Waterhouse ("Waterhouse") and Will Mabry ("Mabry"). Mabry in particular was able to provide me internal documents and memorandums that I had not previously known about or had access to that helped with the factual background of the Notes.
22. From these discussions and documents, I have been able to understand the factual background concerning the Notes, ultimately concluding that the Notes were signed by mistake by Waterhouse without authority from HCMFA and have no consideration and were never intended to be debt instruments of HCMFA.
23. My investigation has revealed the following.
24. One of the funds that HCMFA advises is Highland Global Allocation Fund ("GAF"). In March, 2018, GAF sold equity interests it held in TerreStar. As part of this, it was necessary to calculate the "net asset value" ("NAV") of these securities and of GAF assets. HCMFA was responsible for advising on the NAV. In turn, pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement and in accordance with applicable compliance and operating procedures, the Debtor
was responsible to HCMFA to calculate the NAV, and the Debtor had several employees charged with these and similar calculations as part of the Debtor's routine business services and as part of what the Debtor regularly provided to HCMFA and affiliated companies.
25. The Debtor made a mistake in calculating the NAV (the "NAV Error"). The NAV Error was discovered in early 2019 as GAF was being converted from an open-ended fund to a closed-ended fund. The Securities and Exchange Commission opened an investigation, and various employees and representatives of the Debtor, HCMFA, and GAF worked with the SEC to correct the error and to compensate GAF and the various investors in GAF harmed by the NAV Error.
26. Ultimately, and working with the SEC, the Debtor determined that the losses from the NAV Error to GAF and its shareholders amounted to $\$ 7.5$ million: (i) $\$ 6.1$ million for the NAV Error itself, as well as rebating related advisor fees and processing costs; and (ii) $\$ 1.4$ million of losses to the shareholders of GAF.
27. HCMFA accepted responsibility for the NAV Error and paid out $\$ 5,186,496$ on February 15, 2019 and $\$ 2,398,842$ on May 21, 2019. I am not sure of the flow of funds, whether the funds flowed through HCMFA or were paid by the Debtor on behalf of HCMFA, and discovery will likely clear that up. Either way, however, the payments were of HCMFA funds and on behalf of HCMFA.
28. In turn, the Debtor accepted responsibility to HCMFA for having caused the NAV Error, and the Debtor ultimately, whether through insurance or its own funds, compensated HCMFA for the above payments.
29. Returning to the Notes, Waterhouse was the Chief Financial Officer of both the Debtor and HCMFA during the above events and at the time he signed the Notes.
30. It appears clear that Waterhouse made a mistake in preparing and signing the Notes. First, , the Notes correspond very closely to the ultimate $\$ 5,186,496$ and $\$ 2,398,842$ payments. Second, it appears that Waterhouse assumed, incorrectly, that the funds being paid by the Debtor were a loan to HCMFA, instead of payments as compensation and restitution to HCMFA for the Debtor having caused the NAV Error. Third, it therefore appears that Waterhouse prepared the Notes for some internal accounting or other purpose, but without there being actual consideration for the Notes and without any intention on the part of the Debtor and HCMFA that there be Notes or that there be a loan transaction.
31. I also note that, as of May, 2019, HCMFA had executed other demand notes payable to the Debtor. On April 15, 2019, the Debtor executed that certain Acknowledgement from HCMLP, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 4. By the same, the Debtor agreed not to demand payment of these notes prior to May 31, 2021, because HCMFA believed that it would not be able to repay those notes prior to that time. It is illogical that, in light of the same, the Debtor would shortly thereafter lend an additional $\$ 7.4$ million to HCMFA. Rather, as my investigation has shown, the Debtor did not lend the funds to HCMFA but instead paid the funds, directly or indirectly, to compensate HCMFA for the NAV Error, which was the Debtor's error and therefore its obligation to correct and compensate for.
32. Therefore, in light of having learned of these facts in mid to late-April, 2019, HCMFA now believes that it has affirmative defenses to the Notes in the nature of mutual mistake, void for lack of consideration, and no proper authority of Waterhouse to sign the Notes.
33. Neither I, nor HCMFA, nor any of HCMFA's agents, have been less than diligent in investigating the Notes and the Complaint.
34. HCMFA respectfully requests that it be granted leave to assert these affirmative defenses in the Adversary Proceeding.

Signed: May 21, 2021


## SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED SHARED SERVICES AGREEMENT

THIS SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED SHARED SERVICES AGREEMENT (this "Agreement") is entered into to be effective as of $8^{\text {th }}$ day of February, 2013 (the "Effective Date") by and among Highland Capital Management, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership ("HCMLP"), and Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P., formerly known as Pyxis Capital, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership ("HCMFA"), and any affiliate of HCMFA that becomes a party hereto. Each of the signatories hereto is individually a "Party" and collectively the "Parties".

## RECITALS

A. During the Term, HCMLP will provide to HCMFA certain services as more fully described herein and the Parties desire to allocate the costs incurred for such services and assets among them in accordance with the terms and conditions in this Agreement.

## AGREEMENT

In consideration of the foregoing recitals and the mutual covenants and conditions contained herein, the Parties agree, intending to be legally bound, as follows:

## ARTICLE I DEFINITIONS

"Actual Cost" means, with respect to any period hereunder, one hundred percent (100\%) of the actual costs and expenses caused by, incurred or otherwise arising from or relating to (i) the Shared Services and (ii) the Shared Assets, in each case during such period.
"Affiliate" means a Person that directly, or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls, or is controlled by, or is under common control with, a specified Person. The term "control" (including, with correlative meanings, the terms "controlled by" and "under common control with") means the possession of the power to direct the management and policies of the referenced Person, whether through ownership interests, by contract or otherwise.
"Agreement" has the meaning set forth in the preamble.
"Allocation Percentage" has the meaning set forth in Section 4.01.
"Applicable Margin" shall mean an additional amount equal to $5 \%$ of all costs allocated by Service Provider to the other parties hereto under Article IV; provided that the parties may agree on a different margin percentage as to any item or items to the extent the above margin percentage, together with the allocated cost of such item or service, would not reflect an arm's length value of the particular service or item allocated.
"Change" has the meaning set forth in Section 2.02(a).
"Change Request" has the meaning set forth in Section 2.02(b).
"Code" means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and the related regulations and published interpretations.
"Effective Date" has the meaning set forth in the preamble.
"Governmental Entity" means any government or any regulatory agency, bureau, board, commission, court, department, official, political subdivision, tribunal or other instrumentality of any government, whether federal, state or local, domestic or foreign.
"Liabilities" means any cost, liability, indebtedness, obligation, co-obligation, commitment, expense, claim, deficiency, guaranty or endorsement of or by any Person of any nature (whether direct or indirect, known or unknown, absolute or contingent, liquidated or unliquidated, due or to become due, accrued or unaccrued, matured or unmatured).
"Loss" means any cost, damage, disbursement, expense, liability, loss, obligation, penalty or settlement, including interest or other carrying costs, legal, accounting and other professional fees and expenses incurred in the investigation, collection, prosecution and defense of claims and amounts paid in settlement, that may be imposed on or otherwise incurred or suffered by the referenced Person; provided, however, that the term "Loss" will not be deemed to include any special, exemplary or punitive damages, except to the extent such damages are incurred as a result of third party claims.
"New Shared Service" has the meaning set forth in Section 2.03.
"Party" or "Parties" has the meaning set forth in the preamble.
"Person" means an association, a corporation, an individual, a partnership, a limited liability company, a trust or any other entity or organization, including a Governmental Entity.
"Quarterly Report" has the meaning set forth in Section 5.01.
"Recipient" means HCMFA and any of HCMFA's direct or indirect Subsidiaries or managed funds or accounts in their capacity as a recipient of the Shared Services and/or Shared Assets.
"Service Provider" means any of HCMLP and its direct or indirect Subsidiaries in its capacity as a provider of Shared Services or Shared Assets.
"Service Standards" has the meaning set forth in Section 6.01.
"Shared Assets" shall have the meaning set forth in Section 3.02.
"Shared Services" shall have the meaning set forth in Section 2.01.
"Subsidiary" means, with respect to any Person, any Person in which such Person has a direct or indirect equity ownership interest in excess of $50 \%$.
"Tax" or "Taxes" means: (i) all state and local sales, use, value-added, gross receipts, foreign, privilege, utility, infrastructure maintenance, property, federal excise and similar levies, duties and other similar tax-like charges lawfully levied by a duly constituted taxing authority against or upon the Shared Services and the Shared Assets; and (ii) tax-related surcharges or fees that are related to the Shared Services and the Shared Assets identified and authorized by applicable tariffs.
"Term" has the meaning set forth in Section 7.01.

#  

ARTICLE II<br>SHARED SERVICES

Section 2.01 Services. During the Term, Service Provider will provide Recipient with Shared Services, including without limitation, all of the (i) finance and accounting services, (ii) human resources services, (iii) marketing services, (iv) legal services, (v) corporate services, (vi) information technology services, and (vii) operations services; each as requested by HCMFA and as described more fully on Annex A attached hereto, the "Shared Services"), it being understood that personnel providing Shared Services may be deemed to be employees of HCMFA to the extent necessary for purposes of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended.

## Section 2.02 Changes to the Shared Services.

(a) During the Term, the Parties may agree to modify the terms and conditions of a Service Provider's performance of any Shared Service in order to reflect new procedures, processes or other methods of providing such Shared Service, including modifying the applicable fees for such Shared Service to reflect the then current fair market value of such service (a "Change"). The Parties will negotiate in good faith the terms upon which a Service Provider would be willing to provide such New Shared Service to Recipient.
(b) The Party requesting a Change will deliver a description of the Change requested (a "Change Request") and no Party receiving a Change Request may unreasonably withhold, condition or delay its consent to the proposed Change.
(c) Notwithstanding any provision of this Agreement to the contrary, a Service Provider may make: (i) Changes to the process of performing a particular Shared Service that do not adversely affect the benefits to Recipient of Service Provider's provision or quality of such Shared Service in any material respect or increase Recipient's cost for such Shared Service; (ii) emergency Changes on a temporary and short-term basis; and/or (iii) Changes to a particular Shared Service in order to comply with applicable law or regulatory requirements, in each case without obtaining the prior consent of Recipient. A Service Provider will notify Recipient in writing of any such Change as follows: in the case of clauses (i) and (iii) above, prior to the implementation of such Change, and, in the case of clause (ii) above, as soon as reasonably practicable thereafter.

Section 2.03 New Shared Services. The Parties may, from time to time during the Term of this Agreement, negotiate in good faith for Shared Services not otherwise specifically listed in Section 2.01 (a "New Shared Service"). Any agreement between the Parties on the terms for a New Shared Service must be in accordance with the provisions of Article IV and Article V hereof, will be deemed to be an amendment to this Agreement and such New Shared Service will then be a "Shared Service" for all purposes of this Agreement.

Section 2.04 Subcontractors. Nothing in this Agreement will prevent Service Provider from, with the consent of Recipient, using subcontractors, hired with due care, to perform all or any part of a Shared Service hereunder. A Service Provider will remain fully responsible for the performance of its obligations under this Agreement in accordance with its terms, including any obligations it performs through subcontractors, and a Service Provider will be solely responsible for payments due to its subcontractors.

#  

ARTICLE III<br>SHARED ASSETS

Section 3.01 Shared IP Rights. Each Service Provider hereby grants to Recipient a nonexclusive right and license to use the intellectual property and other rights granted or licensed, directly or indirectly, to such Service Provider (the "Shared IP Rights") pursuant to third party intellectual property Agreements ("Third Party IP Agreements"), provided that the rights granted to Recipient hereunder are subject to the terms and conditions of the applicable Third Party IP Agreement, and that such rights shall terminate, as applicable, upon the expiration or termination of the applicable Third Party IP Agreement. Recipient shall be licensed to use the Shared IP Rights only for so long as it remains an Affiliate of HCMLP. In consideration of the foregoing licenses, Recipient agrees to take such further reasonable actions as a Service Provider deems to be necessary or desirable to comply with its obligations under the Third Party IP Agreements.

Section 3.02 Other Shared Assets. Subject to Section 3.01, each Service Provider hereby grants Recipient the right, license or permission, as applicable, to use and access the benefits under the agreements, contracts and licenses that such Service Provider will purchase, acquire, become a party or beneficiary to or license on behalf of Recipient (the "Future Shared Assets" and collectively with the Shared IP Rights, the "Shared Assets").

## ARTICLE IV <br> COST ALLOCATION

Section 4.01 Actual Cost Allocation Formula. The Actual Cost of any item relating to any Shared Services or Shared Assets shall be allocated based on the Allocation Percentage. For purposes of this Agreement, "Allocation Percentage" means:
(a) To the extent $100 \%$ of such item is demonstrably attributable to HCMFA, $100 \%$ of the Actual Cost of such item shall be allocated to HCMFA as agreed by HCMFA;
(b) To the extent a specific percentage of use of such item can be determined (e.g., $70 \%$ for HCMLP and $30 \%$ for HCMFA), that specific percentage of the Actual Cost of such item will be allocated to HCMLP or HCMFA, as applicable and as agreed by HCMFA; and
(c) All other portions of the Actual Cost of any item that cannot be allocated pursuant to clause (a) or (b) above shall be allocated between HCMLP and HCMFA in such proportion as is agreed in good faith between the parties.

Section 4.02 Non-Cash Cost Allocation. The actual, fully burdened cost of any item relating to any Shared Services or Shared Assets that does not result in a direct, out of pocket cash expense may be allocated to HCMLP and HCMFA for financial statement purposes only, as agreed by HCMFA, without any corresponding cash reimbursement required, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, based on the Allocation Percentage principles described in Section 4.01 hereof.

## ARTICLE V

PAYMENT OF COST AND REVENUE SHARE; TAXES
Section 5.01 Quarterly Statements. Within thirty (30) days following the end of each calendar qaurter during the Term (or at such time as may be otherwise agreed by the parties), each Service Provider shall furnish the other Parties hereto with a written statement with respect to the Actual Cost paid by it in respect of Shared Services and Shared Assets provided by it, in each case, during such
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period, setting forth (i) the cost allocation in accordance with Article IV hereof together with the Applicable Margin on such allocated amounts, and (ii) any amounts paid pursuant to Section 5.02 hereof, together with such other data and information necessary to complete the items described in Section 5.03 hereof (hereinafter referred to as the "Quarterly Report").

Section 5.02 Settlement Payments. At any time during the Term, any Party may make payment of the amounts that are allocable to such Party together with the Applicable Margin related thereto, regardless of whether an invoice pursuant to Section 5.03 hereof has been issued with respect to such amounts.

Section 5.03 Determination and Payment of Cost and Revenue Share.
(a) Within ten (10) days of the submission of the Quarterly Report described in Section 5.02 hereof (or at such other time as may be agreed by the parties), the Parties shall (i) agree on the cost share of each of the Parties and Applicable Margin as calculated pursuant to the provisions of this Agreement; and (ii) prepare and issue invoices for the cost share and Applicable Margin payments that are payable by any of the Parties.
(b) Within ten (10) days of preparation of the agreement and the issuance of the invoice described in Section 5.03(a) (or at such other time as may be agreed by the parties), the Parties shall promptly make payment of the amounts that are set forth on such cost allocation invoice. Notwithstanding anything in this Agreement to the contrary, provision of the Shared Services shall commence from the Effective Date, but no fees shall be payable from Recipient or otherwise accrue with respect to such services provided during the month of December 2011.

Section 5.04 Taxes.
(a) Recipient is responsible for and will pay all Taxes applicable to the Shared Services and the Shared Assets provided to Recipient, provided, that such payments by Recipient to Service Provider will be made in the most tax-efficient manner and provided further, that Service Provider will not be subject to any liability for Taxes applicable to the Shared Services and the Shared Assets as a result of such payment by Recipient. Service Provider will collect such Tax from Recipient in the same manner it collects such Taxes from other customers in the ordinary course of Service Provider's business, but in no event prior to the time it invoices Recipient for the Shared Services and Shared Assets, costs for which such Taxes are levied. Recipient may provide Service Provider with a certificate evidencing its exemption from payment of or liability for such Taxes.
(b) Service Provider will reimburse Recipient for any Taxes collected from Recipient and refunded to Service Provider. In the event a Tax is assessed against Service Provider that is solely the responsibility of Recipient and Recipient desires to protest such assessment, Recipient will submit to Service Provider a statement of the issues and arguments requesting that Service Provider grant Recipient the authority to prosecute the protest in Service Provider's name. Service Provider’s authorization will not be unreasonably withheld. Recipient will finance, manage, control and determine the strategy for such protest while keeping Service Provider reasonably informed of the proceedings. However, the authorization will be periodically reviewed by Service Provider to determine any adverse impact on Service Provider, and Service Provider will have the right to reasonably withdraw such authority at any time. Upon notice by Service Provider that it is so withdrawing such authority, Recipient will expeditiously terminate all proceedings. Any adverse consequences suffered by Recipient as a result of the withdrawal will be submitted to arbitration pursuant to Section 9.14. Any contest for Taxes brought by Recipient may not result in any lien attaching to any property or rights of Service Provider or otherwise jeopardize Service Provider's interests or rights in any of its property. Recipient agrees to
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indemnify Service Provider for all Losses that Service Provider incurs as a result of any such contest by Recipient.
(c) The provisions of this Section 5.04 will govern the treatment of all Taxes arising as a result of or in connection with this Agreement notwithstanding any other Article of this Agreement to the contrary.

## ARTICLE VI <br> SERVICE PROVIDER RESPONSIBILITIES

Section 6.01 Service Provider General Obligations. Service Provider will provide the Shared Services and the Shared Assets to Recipient on a non-discriminatory basis and will provide the Shared Services and the Shared Assets in the same manner as if it were providing such services and assets on its own account (the "Service Standards"). Service Provider will conduct its duties hereunder in a lawful manner in compliance with applicable laws, statutes, rules and regulations and in accordance with the Service Standards, including, for avoidance of doubt, laws and regulations relating to privacy of customer information.

Section 6.02 Books and Records; Access to Information. Service Provider will keep and maintain books and records on behalf of Recipient in accordance with past practices and internal control procedures. Recipient will have the right, at any time and from time to time upon reasonable prior notice to Service Provider, to inspect and copy (at its expense) during normal business hours at the offices of Service Provider the books and records relating to the Shared Services and Shared Assets, with respect to Service Provider's performance of its obligations hereunder. This inspection right will include the ability of Recipient's financial auditors to review such books and records in the ordinary course of performing standard financial auditing services for Recipient (but subject to Service Provider imposing reasonable access restrictions to Service Provider's and its Affiliates' proprietary information and such financial auditors executing appropriate confidentiality agreements reasonably acceptable to Service Provider). Service Provider will promptly respond to any reasonable requests for information or access. For the avoidance of doubt, all books and records kept and maintained by Service Provider on behalf of Recipient shall be the property of Recipient, and Service Provider will surrender promptly to Recipient any of such books or records upon Recipient's request (provided that Service Provider may retain a copy of such books or records) and shall make all such books and records available for inspection and use by the Securities and Exchange Commission or any person retained by Recipient at all reasonable times. Such records shall be maintained by Service Provider for the periods and in the places required by laws and regulations applicable to Recipient.

Section 6.03 Return of Property and Equipment. Upon expiration or termination of this Agreement, Service Provider will be obligated to return to Recipient, as soon as is reasonably practicable, any equipment or other property or materials of Recipient that is in Service Provider's control or possession.

## ARTICLE VII TERM AND TERMINATION

Section 7.01 Term. The term of this Agreement will commence as of the Effective Date and will continue in full force and effect until the first anniversary of the Effective Date (the "Term"), unless terminated earlier in accordance with Section 9.02. The Term shall automatically renew for successive one year periods unless sooner terminated under Section 7.02.
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Section 7.02 Termination. Either Party may terminate this Agreement, with or without cause, upon at least 60 days advance written notice at any time prior to the expiration of the Term.

## ARTICLE VIII <br> LIMITED WARRANTY

Section 8.01 Limited Warranty. Service Provider will perform the Shared Services hereunder in accordance with the Service Standards. Except as specifically provided in this Agreement, Service Provider makes no express or implied representations, warranties or guarantees relating to its performance of the Shared Services and the granting of the Shared Assets under this Agreement, including any warranty of merchantability, fitness, quality, non-infringement of third party rights, suitability or adequacy of the Shared Services and the Shared Assets for any purpose or use or purpose. Service Provider will (to the extent possible and subject to Service Provider's contractual obligations) pass through the benefits of any express warranties received from third parties relating to any Shared Service and Shared Asset, and will (at Recipient's expense) assist Recipient with any warranty claims related thereto.

## ARTICLE IX <br> MISCELLANEOUS

Section 9.01 No Partnership or Joint Venture; Independent Contractor. Nothing contained in this Agreement will constitute or be construed to be or create a partnership or joint venture between or among HCMLP or HCMFA or their respective successors or assigns. The Parties understand and agree that, with the exception of the procurement by Service Provider of licenses or other rights on behalf of Recipient pursuant to Section 3.01, this Agreement does not make any of them an agent or legal representative of the other for any purpose whatsoever. With the exception of the procurement by Service Provider of licenses or other rights on behalf of Recipient pursuant to Section 3.01, no Party is granted, by this Agreement or otherwise, any right or authority to assume or create any obligation or responsibilities, express or implied, on behalf of or in the name of any other Party, or to bind any other Party in any manner whatsoever. The Parties expressly acknowledge that Service Provider is an independent contractor with respect to Recipient in all respects, including with respect to the provision of the Shared Services.

Section 9.02 Amendments; Waivers. Except as expressly provided herein, this Agreement may be amended only by agreement in writing of all Parties. No waiver of any provision nor consent to any exception to the terms of this Agreement or any agreement contemplated hereby will be effective unless in writing and signed by all of the Parties affected and then only to the specific purpose, extent and instance so provided. No failure on the part of any Party to exercise or delay in exercising any right hereunder will be deemed a waiver thereof, nor will any single or partial exercise preclude any further or other exercise of such or any other right.

Section 9.03 Schedules and Exhibits; Integration. Each Schedule and Exhibit delivered pursuant to the terms of this Agreement must be in writing and will constitute a part of this Agreement, although schedules need not be attached to each copy of this Agreement. This Agreement, together with such Schedules and Exhibits constitutes the entire agreement among the Parties pertaining to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings of the Parties in connection therewith.

Section 9.04 Further Assurances. Each Party will take such actions as any other Party may reasonably request or as may be necessary or appropriate to consummate or implement the transactions contemplated by this Agreement or to evidence such events or matters.

Section 9.05 Governing Law. This Agreement and the legal relations between the Parties will be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the State of Texas applicable to contracts made and performed in such State and without regard to conflicts of law doctrines unless certain matters are preempted by federal law.

Section 9.06 Assignment. Except as otherwise provided hereunder, neither this Agreement nor any rights or obligations hereunder are assignable by one Party without the express prior written consent of the other Parties.

Section 9.07 Headings. The descriptive headings of the Articles, Sections and subsections of this Agreement are for convenience only and do not constitute a part of this Agreement.

Section 9.08 Counterparts. This Agreement and any amendment hereto or any other agreement delivered pursuant hereto may be executed in one or more counterparts and by different Parties in separate counterparts. All counterparts will constitute one and the same agreement and will become effective when one or more counterparts have been signed by each Party and delivered to the other Parties.

Section 9.09 Successors and Assigns; No Third Party Beneficiaries. This Agreement is binding upon and will inure to the benefit of each Party and its successors or assigns, and nothing in this Agreement, express or implied, is intended to confer upon any other Person or Governmental Entity any rights or remedies of any nature whatsoever under or by reason of this Agreement.

Section 9.10 Notices. All notices, demands and other communications to be given or delivered under or by reason of the provisions of this Agreement will be in writing and will be deemed to have been given: (i)immediately when personally delivered; (ii) when received by first class mail, return receipt requested; (iii) one day after being sent for overnight delivery by Federal Express or other overnight delivery service; or (iv) when receipt is acknowledged, either electronically or otherwise, if sent by facsimile, telecopy or other electronic transmission device. Notices, demands and communications to the other Parties will, unless another address is specified by such Parties in writing, be sent to the addresses indicated below:

If to HCMLP, addressed to:<br>Highland Capital Management, L.P.<br>300 Crescent Court, Suite 700<br>Dallas, Texas 75201<br>Attention: General Counsel<br>Fax: (972) 628-4147<br>If to HCMFA, addressed to:<br>Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.<br>300 Crescent Court, Suite 700<br>Dallas, Texas 75201<br>Attention: General Counsel<br>Fax: (972) 628-4147

Section 9.11 Expenses. Except as otherwise provided herein, the Parties will each pay their own expenses incident to the negotiation, preparation and performance of this Agreement, including the fees, expenses and disbursements of their respective investment bankers, accountants and counsel.
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Section 9.12 Waiver. No failure on the part of any Party to exercise or delay in exercising any right hereunder will be deemed a waiver thereof, nor will any single or partial exercise preclude any further or other exercise of such or any other right.

Section 9.13 Severability. If any provision of this Agreement is held to be unenforceable for any reason, it will be adjusted rather than voided, if possible, to achieve the intent of the Parties. All other provisions of this Agreement will be deemed valid and enforceable to the extent possible.

Section 9.14 Arbitration; Jurisdiction. Notwithstanding anything contained in this Agreement or the Annexes hereto to the contrary, in the event there is an unresolved legal dispute between the parties and/or any of their respective officers, directors, partners, employees, agents, affiliates or other representatives that involves legal rights or remedies arising from this Agreement, the parties agree to submit their dispute to binding arbitration under the authority of the Federal Arbitration Act; provided, however, that either party or such applicable affiliate thereof may pursue a temporary restraining order and/or preliminary injunctive relief in connection with confidentiality covenants or agreements binding on the other party, with related expedited discovery for the parties, in a court of law, and, thereafter, require arbitration of all issues of final relief. The Arbitration will be conducted by the American Arbitration Association, or another, mutually agreeable arbitration service. The arbitrator(s) shall be duly licensed to practice law in the State of Texas. The discovery process shall be limited to the following: Each side shall be permitted no more than (i) two party depositions of six hours each. Each deposition is to be taken pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure; (ii) one non-party deposition of six hours; (iii) twenty-five interrogatories; (iv) twenty-five requests for admission; (v) ten requests for production. In response, the producing party shall not be obligated to produce in excess of 5,000 total pages of documents. The total pages of documents shall include electronic documents; (vi) one request for disclosure pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. Any discovery not specifically provided for in this paragraph, whether to parties or non-parties, shall not be permitted. The arbitrator(s) shall be required to state in a written opinion all facts and conclusions of law relied upon to support any decision rendered. No arbitrator will have authority to render a decision that contains an outcome determinative error of state or federal law, or to fashion a cause of action or remedy not otherwise provided for under applicable state or federal law. Any dispute over whether the arbitrator(s) has failed to comply with the foregoing will be resolved by summary judgment in a court of law. In all other respects, the arbitration process will be conducted in accordance with the American Arbitration Association’s dispute resolution rules or other mutually agreeable, arbitration service rules. The party initiating arbitration shall pay all arbitration costs and arbitrator's fees, subject to a final arbitration award on who should bear costs and fees. All proceedings shall be conducted in Dallas, Texas, or another mutually agreeable site. Each party shall bear its own attorneys fees, costs and expenses, including any costs of experts, witnesses and/or travel, subject to a final arbitration award on who should bear costs and fees. The duty to arbitrate described above shall survive the termination of this Agreement. Except as otherwise provided above, the parties hereby waive trial in a court of law or by jury. All other rights, remedies, statutes of limitation and defenses applicable to claims asserted in a court of law will apply in the arbitration.

Section 9.15 General Rules of Construction. For all purposes of this Agreement and the Exhibits and Schedules delivered pursuant to this Agreement: (i) the terms defined in Article I have the meanings assigned to them in Article I and include the plural as well as the singular; (ii) all accounting terms not otherwise defined herein have the meanings assigned under GAAP; (iii) all references in this Agreement to designated "Articles," "Sections" and other subdivisions are to the designated Articles, Sections and other subdivisions of the body of this Agreement; (iv) pronouns of either gender or neuter will include, as appropriate, the other pronoun forms; (v) the words "herein,""hereof" and "hereunder" and other words of similar import refer to this Agreement as a whole and not to any particular Article, Section or other subdivision; (vi) "or" is not exclusive; (vii) "including" and "includes" will be deemed to be followed by "but not limited to" and "but is not limited to, "respectively; (viii) any definition of or
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reference to any law, agreement, instrument or other document herein will be construed as referring to such law, agreement, instrument or other document as from time to time amended, supplemented or otherwise modified; and (ix) any definition of or reference to any statute will be construed as referring also to any rules and regulations promulgated thereunder.

IN WITNESS HEREOF, each of the Parties has caused this Agreement to be executed by its duly authorized officers as of the day and year first above written.


HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, L.P.

By: Strand Advisors XVI, Inc., its general partner


Name: Brian Mitts
Title: Assistant Secretary

| Annex A |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Shared Services |
| Compliance |  |
|  | General compliance |
|  | Compliance systems |
| Facilities |  |
|  | Equipment |
|  | General Overhead |
|  | Office Supplies |
|  | Rent \& Parking |
| Finance \& Accounting |  |
|  | Book keeping |
|  | Cash management |
|  | Cash forecasting |
|  | Credit facility reporting |
|  | Financial reporting |
|  | Accounts payable |
|  | Accounts receivable |
|  | Expense reimbursement |
|  | Vendor management |
| HR |  |
|  | Drinks/snacks |
|  | Lunches |
|  | Recruiting |
| IT |  |
|  | General support \& maintenance (OMS, development, support) |
|  | Telecom (cell, phones, broadband) |
|  | WSO |
| Legal |  |
|  | Corporate secretarial services |
|  | Document review and preparation |
|  | Litigation support |
|  | Management of outside counsel |
| Marketing and PR |  |
|  | Public relations |
| $\underline{\text { Tax }}$ |  |
|  | Tax audit support |
|  | Tax planning |
|  | Tax prep and filing |
| $\underline{\text { Investments }}$ |  |
|  | Investment research on an ad hoc basis as requested by HCMFA |
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Valuation Committee
Trading
Trading desk services
Operations
Trade settlement

Rukavina, Davor

From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:

James Seery [jpseeryjr@gmail.com](mailto:jpseeryjr@gmail.com)
Thursday, September 17, 2020 4:17 PM
DC Sauter
Gregory V. Demo
Re: Acis Settlement

## DC

I believe your concerns regarding the release are misplaced as it does not bind entities that HCMLP does not control. Greg can walk you through the language, but I do not believe it requires adjustment nor does it create any liability. To the contrary, it reduces liability.

With regard to the HCMLP employee prohibitions, no employee whether legal or non-legal can work on any matter that is inimical to the interests of HCMLP. I ,as CEO, and the Independent Board will make the determination as to whether an action violates the prohibition, and a breach of the prohibition will lead to termination for cause. I believe that most of the employees have been informed of this requirement and are following the directive.

With regard to transactional matters, HCMLP employees will continue to work with you on those issues that do not run afoul of the prohibition above. If there is a particular matter where you are taking a potentially adversarial action vis a vis HCMLP, please let me know what it is. We can then consider whether a customized operating protocol for that issue is needed or whether you will simply be on your own. I will make the determination with the advice of counsel. We do not believe the Texas rules of professional responsibility apply in this situation.

Please let me know what matter you are considering with respect to the immediately preceding paragraph, and we will consider how to best address your concerns.

Best. Jim

Jim Seery
631-804-2049
jpseeryjr@gmail.com

From: DC Sauter [DSauter@NexPointadvisors.com](mailto:DSauter@NexPointadvisors.com)
Date: Thursday, September 17, 2020 at 4:56 PM
To: Jim Seery [jpseeryjr@gmail.com](mailto:jpseeryjr@gmail.com)
Cc: Greg Demo [GDemo@pszjlaw.com](mailto:GDemo@pszjlaw.com)

## Subject: RE: Acis Settlement

Jim/Greg, follow up on my email below. I have a few items that have been placed on my plate, and I really need to understand who I can speak with and the extent to which they are permitted to share information with me.

## D.C. SAuter

NEXPOINT

## From: DC Sauter

Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 8:55 AM
To: 'James Seery' [jpseeryjr@gmail.com](mailto:jpseeryjr@gmail.com)
Cc: Gregory V. Demo [GDemo@pszjlaw.com](mailto:GDemo@pszjlaw.com)
Subject: RE: Acis Settlement

My apologies for copying Isaac. I was under the mistaken impression that he would have assisted in the settlement.
In my view, the requested clarification is beneficial to Strand, HCMLP, and the other "HCMLP Entities." The documents purport to release ACIS from claims on behalf of, among others, any entity that is "managed" by HCMLP and "respective current advisors, trustees, directors, officers, managers, members, partners, current or former employees, beneficiaries, shareholders, agents, participants, subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, successors, designees, and assigns" of any "HCMLP Entity." Those "HCMLP Entities" lack the authority to bind a whole host of parties in that laundry list, which could result in claims against HCMLP, Strand, and the other "HCMLP Entities" by both the "ACIS Released Parties," who will claim they didn't receive the benefit of the bargain, and the parties on whose behalf the "HCMLP Parties" purported to release claims who didn't consent to the release.

Additionally, I'd like to visit with you all regarding the board's position that prohibits certain HCMLP personnel from working on certain matters.

First, I am unclear whether the prohibition applies to only HCMLP legal personnel or whether it applies to all HCMLP employees. Please clarify.

Second, as you may know, virtually all of these matters are falling into my lap, and in most cases I lack any knowledge about them. It would help me tremendously if current HCMLP employees, and particularly the legal personnel, could provide me with transactional background to assist in the transition of the matter. While I understand the board's concern with Judge Jernigan's order, I don't believe that the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct mandate or even permit an attorney licensed in the State of Texas to refuse to cooperate with a former client in the transfer of a matter to a new attorney. Rule 1.15 (d) states that "[u]pon termination of representation, a lawyer shall take steps to the extent reasonably practicable to protect a client's interests, such as giving reasonable notice to the client, allowing time for employment of other counsel, surrendering papers and property to which the client is entitled and refunding any advance payments of fee that has not been earned." The comments to that rule provide additional clarity: "In every instance of withdrawal and even if the lawyer has been unfairly discharged by the client, a lawyer must take all reasonable steps to mitigate the consequences to the client." T.D.R.P.C. Rule 1.15, comment 9. Proper steps may include providing information to new counsel or even continuing to represent the client for a limited time to meet impending deadlines. Microsoft Corp. v. Commonwealth Sci. \& Indus. Research Org., 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 91550 *23-24 fn. 11 (E.D. Tex. Dec. 13, 2007). Even if the board insists that the HCMLP legal personnel cannot continue to represent others in non-HCMLP matters or matters adverse to HCMLP (irrespective of any conflict of interest analysis of whether those attorneys may continue to represent HCMLP in those matters), the ethical rules require that the attorneys provide assistance in transferring those matters to me or others.

Finally, I routinely handle, and am routinely asked to handle, legal matters that relate to real estate for entities owned or controlled by HCMLP (Park West, the Arizona assets, the Maple Ave. property, to name a few). I am not an HCMLP employee, and it's my understanding that NexPoint Advisors, L.P. is not compensated for the time I spend on HCMLP matters. I'm not suggesting that this arrangement should change, but it feels from my perspective that the board's position is only working in one direction. In other words, if I understand the board's position correctly, I can work on both NexPoint and HCMLP matters, but the HCMLP legal employees may only work on HCMLP-related matters. It has also put a significant amount of additional work on my plate. I would like to understand two things. First, what is the scope of my authority in these matters, and what is the proper protocol vis-à-vis you, DSI, and the board? I have tried to take the conservative approach in keeping you all informed and asking for consent or approval where I thoughts it
appropriate. I assume this is how you'd like to continue to handle things, but I would like confirmation of that. Second, I have heard that you all were working to transfer a couple of the legal personnel (perhaps Thedford and Post) to HCMFA so they could assist with the work load (particularly in the areas where I don't have a significant amount of experience). I'd like to know where that stands and when relief can be expected.

I'm available most of today and tomorrow to discuss.
D.C. Sauter

O: 972.628 .4117 | C: 469.877 .6440

From: James Seery [jpseeryjr@gmail.com](mailto:jpseeryjr@gmail.com)
Sent: Tuesday, September 15, 2020 7:01 AM
To: DC Sauter [DSauter@NexPointadvisors.com](mailto:DSauter@NexPointadvisors.com)
Cc: Gregory V. Demo [GDemo@pszilaw.com](mailto:GDemo@pszilaw.com); Isaac Leventon [lLeventon@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:lLeventon@HighlandCapital.com)
Subject: Re: Acis Settlement
DC. We will discuss and revert to you. Neither Isaac nor anyone else at HCMLP is permitted to work on any issues related to the settlement and release other than as directed by me.

Thanks

Sent from my iPad

On Sep 14, 2020, at 7:08 PM, DC Sauter [DSauter@nexpointadvisors.com](mailto:DSauter@nexpointadvisors.com) wrote:

Greg,
I've been asked to review the attached release on behalf of HCMFA and the closed-end funds. I'm concerned that the language below creates an ambiguity as to whether the closed-end funds and HCMFA have released claims against the ACIS parties:

1. The release by Strand, which also serves as the general partner of HCMFA; and
2. The release by each "HCMLP Entity" of its "respective current advisors, trustees, directors, officers, managers, members, partners, current or former employees, beneficiaries, shareholders, agents, participants, subsidiaries, parents, affiliates, successors, designees, and assigns."

We would like the final sentence in paragraph 1.a. of the Release to be revised to specifically identify HCMFA and the closed-end funds as parties not covered by the release. Please let me know if you'd like to discuss in more detail.

D.C. Sauter \| General Counsel, Real Estate<br><image001.jpg>

300 Crescent Court | Suite 700 | Dallas, Texas 75201
O: 972.628.4117 | C: 469.877.6440 | F: 972.628.4147
dsauter@nexpointadvisors.com | www.NexPointGroup.com

[^11]PRIVILEGE WARNING: The sender or recipient of this message is a member of the legal department at Highland Capital Management. This message and any attachments hereto may constitute attorney work product or be protected by the attorney-client privilege. Do not disclose this message or any attachments hereto without prior consent of a member of the legal department at Highland Capital Management
<Acis - Release (EXECUTION VERSION).pdf>


CLERK, U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS ENTERED

THE DATE OF ENTRY IS ON THE COURT'S DOCKET

The following constitutes the ruling of the court and has the force and effect therein described.


## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION



## ORDER GRANTING DEBTOR'S MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION AGAINST JAMES DONDERO

This matter having come before the Court on Plaintiff Highland Capital Management,

[^12]L.P.'s Emergency Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction against Mr. James Dondero [Adv. Pro. Docket No. 2] (the "Motion"), filed by Highland Capital Management, L.P., the debtor and debtor-in-possession (the "Debtor") in the above-captioned chapter 11 case (the "Bankruptcy Case"), and the plaintiff in the above-captioned adversary proceeding (the "Adversary Proceeding"); and this Court having considered (a) the Motion, (b) Plaintiff Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Verified Original Complaint for Injunctive Relief [Adv. Pro. Docket No. 1] (the "Complaint"), (c) the arguments and law cited in the Debtor's Amended Memorandum of Law in Support of its Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction against Mr. James Dondero [Adv. Pro. Docket No. 3] (the "Memorandum of Law," and together with the Motion and Complaint, the "Debtor’s Papers"), (d) James Dondero's Response in Opposition to Debtor's Motion for a Preliminary Injunction [Adv. Pro. Docket No. 52] (the "Opposition") filed by James Dondero, (e) the testimonial and documentary evidence admitted into evidence during the hearing held on January 8, 2021 (the "Hearing"), including assessing the credibility of Mr. James Dondero, (f) the arguments made during the Hearing, and (g) all prior proceedings relating to the Motion, including the December 10, 2020 hearing on the Debtor's Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction against James Dondero [Adv. Pro. Docket No. 6] (the "TRO Hearing"); and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and this Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. $\S \S 1408$ and 1409; and this Court having found that injunctive relief is warranted under sections 105(a) and 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtor's estate, its creditors, and other parties-in-interest;
and this Court having found that the Debtor's notice of the Motion and opportunity for a hearing on the Motion were appropriate and that no other notice need be provided; and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Debtor's Papers, and the evidence submitted in support thereof, establish good cause for the relief granted herein, and that (1) such relief is necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm to the Debtor's estate and reorganization process; (2) the Debtor is likely to succeed on the merits of its underlying claim for injunctive relief; (3) the balance of the equities tip in the Debtor's favor; and (4) such relief serves the public interest; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor and for the reasons set forth in the record on this Motion, it is HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Motion is GRANTED as set forth herein.
2. James Dondero is preliminarily enjoined and restrained from (a) communicating (whether orally, in writing, or otherwise), directly or indirectly, with any Board member unless Mr. Dondero's counsel and counsel for the Debtor are included in any such communication; (b) making any express or implied threats of any nature against the Debtor or any of its directors, officers, employees, professionals, or agents, in whatever capacity they are acting; (c) communicating with any of the Debtor's employees, except as it specifically relates to shared services currently provided to affiliates owned or controlled by Mr. Dondero; (d) interfering with or otherwise impeding, directly or indirectly, the Debtor's business, including but not limited to the Debtor's decisions concerning its operations, management, treatment of claims, disposition of assets owned, controlled or managed by the Debtor, and the pursuit of the Plan or any
alternative to the Plan; and (e) otherwise violating section 362(a) of the Bankruptcy Code (collectively, the "Prohibited Conduct"). ${ }^{2}$
3. James Dondero is further preliminarily enjoined and restrained from causing, encouraging, or conspiring with (a) any entity owned or controlled by him, and/or (b) any person or entity acting with him or on his behalf, to, directly or indirectly, engage in any Prohibited Conduct.
4. James Dondero is further preliminarily enjoined and restrained from communicating (in person, telephonically, by e-mail, text message or otherwise) with Scott Ellington and/or Isaac Leventon, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.
5. James Dondero is further preliminarily enjoined and restrained from physically entering, or virtually entering through the Debtor's computer, email, or information systems, the Debtor's offices located at Crescent Court in Dallas, Texas, or any other offices or facilities owned or leased by the Debtor, regardless of any agreements, subleases, or otherwise, held by the Debtor's affiliates or entities owned or controlled by Mr. Dondero, without the prior written permission of Debtor's counsel made to Mr. Dondero's counsel. If Mr. Dondero enters the Debtor's office or other facilities or systems without such permission, such entrance will constitute trespass.
6. James Dondero is ordered to attend all future hearings in this Bankruptcy Case by Webex (or whatever other video platform is utilized by the Court), unless otherwise ordered by the Court.
7. This Order shall remain in effect until the date that any plan of reorganization or liquidation resolving the Debtor's case becomes effective, unless otherwise ordered by the Court.

[^13]8. All objections to the Motion are overruled in their entirety.
9. The Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or relating to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.

## \#\#\# END OF ORDER \#\#\#

## Acknowledgement from HCMLP

April 15, 2019

Reference is hereby made to certain outstanding amounts loaned from HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P. ("HCMLP") to HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, L.P. ("HCMF") for funding of HCMF's ongoing operations, which are payable on demand and remained outstanding on December 31, 2018 and as of the date hereof.

HCMF expects that it may be unable to repay such amounts should they become due, for the period commencing today and continuing through May 31, 2021.

HCMLP hereby agrees to not demand payment on amounts owed by HCMF prior to May 31, 2021.

Highland Capital Management, L.P.
By: Strand Advisors, Inc., its general partner

## Acknowledged By:

Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P. By: Strand XVI, Inc., its general partner

By:


## EXHIBIT 188

## Case 21-03003-sgj Doc 11-1 Filed 03/30/21 Entered 03/30/21 11:24:52 Page 2 of 2

| From: | David Klos [DKlos@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:DKlos@HighlandCapital.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Friday, February 02, 2018 2:16 PM |
| To: | Corporate Accounting |
| Cc: | Melissa Schroth |
| Subject: | $\$ 3.825 \mathrm{~mm}$ to Jim |

Blair,
Please set up $\$ 3.825 \mathrm{~mm}$ to go to Jim this afternoon. Frank has approved.

Drew, this is a new loan.

DAVID KLOS \| CONTROLLER

300 Crescent Court | Suite 700 | Dallas, Texas 75201
C: 214.674.2926 | O: 972.419.4478 | F: 972.628.4147
dklos@highlandcapital.com | www.highlandcapital.com

## EXHIBIT 190

## Case 21-03003-sgj Doc 11-4 Filed 03/30/21 Entered 03/30/21 11:24:52 <br> Page 2 of 2

| From: | Blair Hillis [BHillis@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:BHillis@HighlandCapital.com) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Wednesday, August 01, 2018 1:12 PM |
| To: | David Klos; Corporate Accounting |
| Cc: | Melissa Schroth |
| Subject: | RE: $\$ 2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ loan to Dondero |

Funds have been transferred to Jim's account. Thanks!

Kind Regards,
Blair Roeber

From: David Klos
Sent: Wednesday, August 1, 2018 10:47 AM
To: Corporate Accounting
Cc: Melissa Schroth
Subject: $\$ 2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ loan to Dondero

Jim has authorized a $\$ 2.5 \mathrm{~mm}$ loan from HCMLP to Dondero.

Blair, can you please set up this wire today?
Drew, can you please draw up loan docs for execution?

DAVID KLOS | CONTROLLER

## HIGHLAND CAPITAL <br> MANAGEMENT

## EXHIBIT 192

    NEXPOINT ADVISORS, LP; JAMES )
    DONDERO; NANCY DONDERO; and THE ) FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION
    In re:
)Chapter 11
)
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP, ) )Case No.

| Debtor. | $(19-34054-S G J-11$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP, ) |  |
| Plaintiff, | ) |
| vs. | )Advisory Proceeding |
| NEXPOINT ADVISORS, LP; JAMES |  |
| DONDERO; NANCY DONDERO; and THE ) |  | DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST, ) Dent ) Defendants. )

REMOTE DEPOSITION OF DUSTIN NORRIS
December 1, 2021
**********************************
DUSTIN NORRIS, produced as a witness at the instance of the Highland Capital Management, was
duly sworn and deposed in the above-styled and numbered cause on December 1, 2021, from
10:01 a.m. CST to 3:25 p.m. CST, stenographically
reported, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure and the provisions stated on the record.
Job Number: 203362
Reported by: Rebecca A. Graziano, CSR, RMR, CRR
Texas CSR 9306
California CSR 14407 Illinois CSR 084.004659

```




\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Dustin Norris & Page 18 & 1 Dustin Noris & Page 19 \\
\hline & & & \\
\hline 2 that time period or involved, and so we & & 2 I'm looking at the incumbency certificates here - & \\
\hline 3 were talking together based on what he was & & 3 and in 2019 in April became executive vice & \\
\hline 4 doing. & & 4 president. So from January to - January 2018 to & \\
\hline 5 BY MR. MORRIS: & & 5 April 2019, I was secretary and then became & \\
\hline 6 Q Who are you employed by today? & & 6 executive vice president. & \\
\hline 7 A NexPoint Advisors. & & 7 Q When did you first learn of the existence & \\
\hline 8 Q Do you hold any position or title with & & 8 of the notes? & \\
\hline 9 HCMFA? & & 9 A So it was after they were demanded, and it & \\
\hline 10 A Ido. & & 10 was - so I believe the demand came in in early & \\
\hline 11 Q And what's your position or title with & & 11 2020-2021. So January-ish 2021. & \\
\hline 12 HCMFA? & & 12 Q Do you have any role or any title with any & \\
\hline 13 A Executive vice president is my officer & & 13 of the funds that are managed by either NexPoint & \\
\hline 14 role. & & 14 or HCMFA? & \\
\hline 15 Q And when did you become an officer of & & 15 A Ido. & \\
\hline 16 HCMFA? & & 16 Q Can you describe those roles or titles for & \\
\hline 17 A Sol-I was originally secretary - and & & 17 me, please? & \\
\hline 18 I can't remember if I was assistant secretary, but & & 18 A Yeah. I'm - I'm the executive vice & \\
\hline 19 I've been involved with HCMFA since 2012. I don't & & 19 president of the funds, and my role more broadly & \\
\hline 20 know if I was added as an assistant secretary at & & 20 is I am the head of distribution and chief product & \\
\hline 21 that time; but for many - for several years, l've & & 21 strategist. And so in that role, I lead the sales & \\
\hline 22 been an officer of HCMFA. & & 22 and business development and marketing for the & \\
\hline 23 Q And you were an officer in 2018 and 2019; & & 23 funds, more broadly. & \\
\hline 24 is that right? & & 24 Q And what is your title with NexPoint & \\
\hline 25 A Correct. I was secretary in 2018, and - & & 25 Advisors, LP? & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 20 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 21 \\
\hline 2 A I am executive vice president in the & & 2 Advisors, LP, together as "the advisers"? & \\
\hline 3 officer capacity, and my role is - as an employee & & 3 A That's fine. & \\
\hline 4 is head of distribution and chief product & & 4 Q Okay. So is it fair to say that you were & \\
\hline 5 strategist. & & 5 the executive vice president, which was an officer & \\
\hline 6 Q Okay. So just to summarize, you're the & & 6 position, for each of the advisers as of April & \\
\hline 7 executive vice president of NexPoint Advisors, LP; & & 7 2019? & \\
\hline 8 correct? & & 8 A Yes. & \\
\hline 9 A Correct. & & 9 Q Okay. And- & \\
\hline 10 Q And that's an officer position; correct? & & 10 A I believe that's correct. & \\
\hline 11 A It is. & & 11 Q And you also serve as the executive vice & \\
\hline 12 Q And when did you attain that title? & & 12 president of the funds that each of the advisers & \\
\hline 13 A Probably --I don't have the incumbency & & 13 manages. Do I have that right? & \\
\hline 14 certificates, but it was probably the same time as & & 14 A Yes. Currently. & \\
\hline 15 HCMFA. & & 15 Q And have you held the - & \\
\hline 16 Q Is it fair to say that it was sometime & & 16 A Yes, currently. & \\
\hline 17 before January 1st, 2018? & & 17 Q And when did you become the executive vice & \\
\hline 18 A No. & & 18 president of the funds? & \\
\hline 19 Q Can you give me an estimate of when that & & 19 A I don't remember the exact date, if that & \\
\hline 20 was? Feel free- & & 20 was around the same time, but I was the secretary & \\
\hline 21 A Yeah. The time- - the timeline for HCMFA & & 21 before that and assistant secretary before that, & \\
\hline 22 was April 2019. I was secretary before that, and & & 22 dating back to 2012. & \\
\hline 23 I don't recall if NexPoint Advisors changed at the & & 23 Q So you've been - is it fair to say that & \\
\hline 24 same time. & & 24 you've been an officer of the funds managed by the & \\
\hline 25 Q Okay. Can I refer to HCMFA and NexPoint & & 25 advisers since at least 2013? & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 22 & & Page 23 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 A I believe so. I'd have to go back and & & 2 identity of officers, directors, and employees of & \\
\hline 3 look for sure, but I believe. There may have been & & 3 HCMFA? & \\
\hline 4 periods of time where I was not, but yes. & & 4 A Uh-huh. & \\
\hline 5 Q Okay. Were any of those periods of time & & 5 Q Do you want to take a look at that topic & \\
\hline 6 when you were not, at any point since 2018 to the & & 6 on the document that you have in front of you? & \\
\hline 7 present? & & 7 A Yes. & \\
\hline 8 A Idon't believe so. & & 8 Q Okay. & \\
\hline 9 Q Okay. So to the best of your & & 9 A That is - which topic? & \\
\hline 10 recollection, you've served as an executive vice & & 10 Q 13. & \\
\hline 11 president of each of the funds managed by the & & 11 A 13, yes. & \\
\hline 12 advisers since at least the beginning of 2018 ; is & & 12 Q Okay. So let's focus on 13 for a moment. & \\
\hline 13 that fair? & & 13 Can you - can you identify for me & \\
\hline 14 A No. That's - that's different than my & & 14 HCMFA's officers from January 1st, 2018, to the & \\
\hline 15 prior testimony that - I was secretary until & & 15 present- & \\
\hline 16 April - & & 16 A Yes. & \\
\hline 17 Q I apologize. Let me restate the question. & & 17 Q -including names and titles? & \\
\hline 18 You've been an officer of - of the & & 18 A Yes. & \\
\hline 19 funds managed by the advisers on a continuous & & 19 Q Okay. & \\
\hline 20 basis since at least the beginning of 2018; fair? & & 20 A So from January 1st, 2018-and I don't & \\
\hline 21 A I believe that's correct, yes. & & 21 have - I- I'm assuming that the dates that I & \\
\hline 22 Q Thank you for the question - for - for & & 22 have on the incumbency certificates are complete, & \\
\hline 23 the correction. & & 23 but I'm not certain, and - if there was one in & \\
\hline 24 So as I think you pointed out earlier, & & 24 between, but l'm assuming this is - that the & \\
\hline 25 one of the topics on the \(30(\mathrm{~b})(6)\) notice is the & & 25 dates I have changing is - is effective when they & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Noris & Page 24 & 1 Dustin Noris & Page 25 \\
\hline 2 changed. & & 2 Q Okay. & \\
\hline 3 But Brad Ross was president of HCMFA & & 3 A And February 18th, 2021, Dustin Norris, & \\
\hline 4 from January 1st, 2018, until, I believe, & & 4 executive vice president; Frank Waterhouse, & \\
\hline 5 February 2018-sorry - yeah, until & & 5 treasurer; Brian Mitts, assistant treasurer; David & \\
\hline 6 February 2018. & & 6 Willmore, secretary. So Lauren Thedford, no & \\
\hline 7 In that same time period, Brad Ross, & & 7 longer secretary. & \\
\hline 8 president; Trey Parker, executive vice president; & & 8 Q And have there been any changes since & \\
\hline 9 Frank Waterhouse, treasurer; Dustin Norris, & & 9 February 2021? & \\
\hline 10 secretary. & & 10 A Yes. You have April 8, 2021, Dustin & \\
\hline 11 And effective 26th of February - & & 11 Norris, executive president; Frank Waterhouse, & \\
\hline 12 Q I apologize. What is Mr. Parker's title? & & 12 treasurer; Will Mabry, assistant treasurer, and & \\
\hline 13 A Executive vice president. & & 13 Stephanie Vitiello, secretary. & \\
\hline 14 Q Thank you. & & 14 Again, I-I don't have - this is & \\
\hline 15 A And beginning February 26th, 2018, Trey & & 15 based on what was provided to me with effective & \\
\hline 16 Parker, executive vice president; Frank & & 16 dates. I don't know if there was any that were & \\
\hline 17 Waterhouse, treasurer, and Dustin Norris, & & 17 missing, if that's complete, but I - I believe & \\
\hline 18 secretary; and no longer president, Brad Ross. & & 18 those are accurate. & \\
\hline 19 There's no president on the lineup. & & 19 Q Is it fair to say that you're relying on & \\
\hline 20 So continuing on, April 11th, 2019, & & 20 exclusively on the incumbency certificates to & \\
\hline 21 Dustin Norris, executive vice president; Frank & & 21 identify the officers of HCMFA since January 1st, & \\
\hline 22 Waterhouse, treasurer; Lauren Thedford, secretary. & & 22 2018? & \\
\hline 23 Q And Trey Parker was no longer an officer & & 23 A For this purpose, yes. & \\
\hline 24 as of that time? & & 24 Q Do you have any other information that you & \\
\hline 25 A He was no longer an officer. & & 25 can share with me regarding the identity of any & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 30 & & Page 31 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 Q Okay. Is the copy that you have with you & & 2 A None that I know of. & \\
\hline 3 dated March 1st, 2021? & & 3 Q And there was no restriction or limitation & \\
\hline 4 A Yes, itis. & & 4 on HCMFA's ability to speak with you at or prior & \\
\hline 5 Q And if you can turn to Page 6 of 7, does & & 5 to March 1st, 2021; correct? & \\
\hline 6 it appear to be the exact same as what appears on & & 6 A That's correct. & \\
\hline 7 the screen, showing the March 1st, 2021, date? & & 7 Q How about Ms. Thedford? Are you aware of & \\
\hline 8 A Itdoes. & & 8 any restriction or limitation on HCMFA's ability & \\
\hline 9 Q And do you refer to the March 1st, 2021, & & 9 to speak with her prior to March 1st, 2021? & \\
\hline 10 date, as "the answer date"? & & 10 A Yes. & \\
\hline 11 A Yes. & & 11 Q Okay. And what restriction was that? & \\
\hline 12 Q Okay. HCMFA did not assert any & & 12 A Yeah. So she was part of the Highland & \\
\hline 13 affirmative defenses in this pleading; correct? & & 13 legal team. She was an employee of HCMLP. And & \\
\hline 14 A That's my understanding. & & 14 during this time period, we had outsourced our & \\
\hline 15 Q Okay. And HCMFA had full access to you as & & 15 legal and compliance functions to them. And if - & \\
\hline 16 of March 1st, 2021; correct? & & 16 I would refer you to Mr. Sauter's declaration and & \\
\hline 17 A Yes. & & 17 the attachments and schedules. There's a very & \\
\hline 18 Q And HCMFA had full access to Mr. Dondero & & 18 strict direction from Mr. Seery that & \\
\hline 19 as of March 1st, 2021; correct? & & 19 individuals -- particularly on the legal team - & \\
\hline 20 A In the term "full access," they could have & & 20 could not work on anything that would be inimical & \\
\hline 21 talked to him, yes. & & 21 to the debtor. & \\
\hline 22 Q Right. And there was no restriction from & & 22 Q Okay. & \\
\hline 23 the bankruptcy court or otherwise on HCMFA's & & 23 A And so Ms. Thedford, on multiple & \\
\hline 24 ability to communicate with Mr. Dondero that you & & 24 occasions, told us she was unable to work on & \\
\hline 25 know of; correct? & & 25 things, and that began back in fall of 2000-- & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 32 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 33 \\
\hline 2 fall of 2020-late summer 2020, actually. And & & 2 they were working on. & \\
\hline 3 so she was not accessible for things like this. & & 3 Q Did - did - were there any restrictions & \\
\hline 4 Q How about Mr. Post? Do you know who & & 4 or limitations on HCMFA's ability to speak with & \\
\hline 5 Mr . Post was employed by in 2018 and 2019? & & 5 Mr . Post prior to March 1st, 2021? & \\
\hline 6 A 2018 and '19, he was employed by Highland & & 6 A So once - so Jason - one important & \\
\hline 7 Capital Management, LP. & & 7 component here is Jason Post did leave the debtor, & \\
\hline 8 Q Do you know whether, in your conversations & & 8 and working with Mr. Seery, I believe, to then & \\
\hline 9 with him, does he have any personal knowledge & & 9 leave and become an employee of NexPoint Advisors, & \\
\hline 10 regarding the NAV error? & & 10 and that was at the request of our retail board, & \\
\hline 11 A Yes. & & 11 as there were restrictions on Mr. Post at that & \\
\hline 12 Q Was he involved in any of the issues & & 12 time. & \\
\hline 13 surrounding the NAV error? & & 13 And as chief compliance officer of the & \\
\hline 14 A He was knowledgeable - as he was & & 14 funds, the board had become very uncomfortable & \\
\hline 15 chief - chief compliance officer of the retail & & 15 that they had restrictions on Mr. Post. And so it & \\
\hline 16 advisers at that time, and interacted with the & & 16 was in everybody's interest to allow him to become & \\
\hline 17 HCMLP employees and the board regarding the NAV & & 17 an employee of NexPoint Advisors, and so that was & \\
\hline 18 error, he also - in your schedules, you'll notice & & 18 late 2020, I believe. I don't know the exact & \\
\hline 19 in one of the memos, he participated in calls with & & 19 date. And at that time, there were certain things & \\
\hline 20 the SEC, and so he was - he was involved in the & & 20 that Jason was able to then help the adviser with, & \\
\hline 21 process of the NAV error and understood and worked & & 21 but there were still restrictions. And he had & \\
\hline 22 with the other HCMLP employees, which naturally & & 22 limited access to his prior data. He left the & \\
\hline 23 they would. We had outsourced valuation services & & 23 debtor, but he didn't have - I believe he had & \\
\hline 24 to HCMLP. We had outsourced legal and compliance & & 24 restrictions on what he could access in the & \\
\hline 25 to HCMLP, and as such, that was all part of what & & 25 information. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 34 & & Page 35 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Noris & \\
\hline 2 Q Okay. But it is fair to say that between & & 2 could have been something, but - & \\
\hline 3 January 21st, 2021, the day that the complaint was & & 3 Q Okay. I'm just asking about your & \\
\hline 4 filed, and March 1st, 2021, the date that HCMFA & & 4 knowledge, not what could have been. & \\
\hline 5 filed its original answer, HCMFA had complete and & & 5 All right. So we're going to use & \\
\hline 6 unfettered access to you, to Mr. Dondero, and & & 6 March 1st, 2021, as the answer date. & \\
\hline 7 Mr . Post; correct? & & 7 Are you aware of any document that & \\
\hline 8 A Again, the complete and unfettered access & & 8 HCMFA filed with the bankruptcy court prior to the & \\
\hline 9 on the Jason Post aspect, they could have talked & & 9 answer date that concerns or relates in any way to & \\
\hline 10 to him. I'm not sure if there were any other & & 10 the notes? & \\
\hline 11 restrictions related to what he had or information & & 11 A I'm thinking if I'm aware. & \\
\hline 12 he had or based on his prior role of the debtor, & & 12 Not that I'm aware of. & \\
\hline 13 he was restricted on what he could or couldn't & & 13 Q Are you aware - withdrawn. & \\
\hline 14 talk about, if he had any lease agreement. I'm & & 14 Do you know what a "pleading" is, if I & \\
\hline 15 not certain on that. But, yes, we could talk & & 15 use that phrase? & \\
\hline 16 to - or HCMFA could talk to Mr. Post. & & 16 A I believe so. These are the answers that & \\
\hline 17 Q Okay. And the topics that you just raised & & 17 we gave. The first answer, the amended answer, & \\
\hline 18 are speculation on your part; correct? & & 18 and the second amended answer, that - I believe & \\
\hline 19 A It is. & & 19 those are the two pleadings. Is that correct? & \\
\hline 20 Q You're not aware of any restriction of - & & 20 Q You know what? I think my first question & \\
\hline 21 you don't have any knowledge of any restriction or & & 21 was broad enough, because I just used the word & \\
\hline 22 limitation placed on HCMFA in respect of its & & 22 "document," so I'm going to let that sit. & \\
\hline 23 ability to communicate with Mr. Post between & & 23 Are you aware of any argument that & \\
\hline 24 January 21st, 2021, and March 1st, 2021; correct? & & 24 anybody ever made on behalf of HCMFA prior to the & \\
\hline 25 A Based on my personal knowledge, no. There & & 25 answer date that concerned or related to any of & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 36 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 37 \\
\hline 2 the notes? & & 2 A Okay. That makes sense. Okay. & \\
\hline 3 A And you mean an argument to the Court? & & 3 Q And so if I use the phrase "you," just as & \\
\hline 4 Q Yes. & & 4 we did in the deposition notice, I'm really & \\
\hline 5 A Not that I'm aware of. & & 5 referring to HCMFA; is that fair? & \\
\hline 6 Q Okay. Are you aware of any statement of & & 6 A That's fair. & \\
\hline 7 any kind that was made to the bankruptcy court & & 7 Q Okay. So let me just ask the questions & \\
\hline 8 prior to the answer date that concermed or related & & 8 again with that clarification. & \\
\hline 9 in any way to the notes? & & 9 Are you aware, in your capacity as the & \\
\hline 10 A Not that I can remember. But there's & & \(1030(\mathrm{~b})(6)\) witness today, of any document that was & \\
\hline 11 obviously been a lot of documents with the Court, & & 11 ever filed on behalf of HCMFA prior to the answer & \\
\hline 12 but not that l'm aware of. & & 12 date that concerns or relates to the notes? & \\
\hline 13 Q Right. But you - did you do anything to & & 13 A No. & \\
\hline 14 prepare yourself to answer questions on Topic 12? & & 14 Q Are you aware, in your capacity as the & \\
\hline 15 A Yes. & & 15 HCMFA 30(b)(6) witness, of any argument that was & \\
\hline 16 Q And do you believe that you're able to & & 16 ever made to the Court prior to the answer date & \\
\hline 17 competently answer my questions relating to & & 17 that concerns or relates in any way to the notes? & \\
\hline 18 Topic 12 as HCMFA's 30(b)(6) witness? & & 18 A No. & \\
\hline 19 A lam. But I guess in this regard you're & & 19 Q Are you aware of - again, when I use the & \\
\hline 20 asking to my knowledge. And so, I guess, that - & & 20 phrase "you," I'm referring to HCMFA, just to & \\
\hline 21 are you asking my personal knowledge or as my & & 21 shorten these questions a little bit. & \\
\hline 22 knowledge as a representative of the company? & & 22 Are you aware of any statement that & \\
\hline 23 Q All right. I appreciate that. & & 23 was ever made on your behalf to the bankruptcy & \\
\hline 24 I am only examining you today in your & & 24 court prior to the answer date that concerns or & \\
\hline 25 capacity as a 30(b)(6) witness. & & 25 relates in any way to the notes? & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 42 & & Page 43 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Noris & \\
\hline 2 question. & & 2 A June 2019. & \\
\hline 3 As HCMFA's 30(b)(6) witness today, & & 3 Correct. & \\
\hline 4 does HCMFA contend that this letter was not & & 4 Q As the executive vice president of HCMFA, & \\
\hline 5 received by Mr. Waterhouse on or about & & 5 have you ever reviewed HCMFA's audited financial & \\
\hline 6 December 3rd, 2020? & & 6 statements? & \\
\hline 7 MR. RUKAVINA: Well, that's not our & & 7 A I have not. & \\
\hline 8 contention. We agree that it was received & & 8 Q Is there anybody on behalf of HCMFA who is & \\
\hline 9 on or about that date. & & 9 charged with the responsibility of reading HCMFA's & \\
\hline 10 MR. MORRIS: Okay. & & 10 audited financial statements? & \\
\hline 11 THE WITNESS: Yeah. That's - & & 11 A Yeah. We - again, the key here is we & \\
\hline 12 yeah. & & 12 outsourced finance, accounting, back-office & \\
\hline 13 BY MR. MORRIS: & & 13 functions. It includes financial statement & \\
\hline 14 Q Okay. HCMFA actually knew about the notes & & 14 preparation. The treasurer of HCMFA is an HCMLP & \\
\hline 15 just weeks after they were signed; correct? & & 15 employee, Frank Waterhouse, at that time, and at & \\
\hline 16 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection; form. & & 16 all times that we're talking about. And so with & \\
\hline 17 THE WITNESS: So the debtor & & 17 we - and Frank is a professional, and his team & \\
\hline 18 employees who created the notes knew about & & 18 are professionals, right? We outsource to an & \\
\hline 19 them, but it was not knowledge of HCMFA. & & 19 accounting group to prepare and oversee, work with & \\
\hline 20 Those were all Highland Capital & & 20 the auditors in preparation of those financials. & \\
\hline 21 Management, LP, employees. & & 21 And so they were tasked with that. And we relied & \\
\hline 22 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 22 on them. And there was not a specialist during & \\
\hline 23 Q So it's your testimony that HCMFA had no & & 23 this time period that did that. & \\
\hline 24 knowledge of the existence of the notes in & & 24 Q Does Frank Waterhouse have any & \\
\hline 25 June 2019; is that correct? & & 25 responsibility, as the treasurer of HCMFA, to make & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 44 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 45 \\
\hline 2 sure that HCMFA's audited financial statements are & & 2 role, I would say the treasurer role was to & \\
\hline 3 true, accurate, and reliable? & & 3 oversee the financial aspects of the advisers. & \\
\hline 4 A Him and his team, yeah. We actually - & & 4 Q And was one of those aspects HCMFA's & \\
\hline 5 that's what we rely on them for. & & 5 audited financial statements? & \\
\hline 6 Q And did you rely on him not only in his & & 6 A As-yeah. And he was-again, l'll & \\
\hline 7 capacity as an employee of Highland, but in his & & 7 reiterate, he was the CFO of Highland who was & \\
\hline 8 capacity as the treasurer of HCMFA? & & 8 tasked with creating the financial statements for & \\
\hline 9 A Yeah, he was - let's take the first - & & 9 the advisers. & \\
\hline 10 as a - in his capacity under the shared services & & 10 MR. MORRIS: Okay. I'm again going & \\
\hline 11 agreement, okay, doing accounting, books and & & 11 to move to strike. & \\
\hline 12 records, audited - audit support, yes, we relied & & 12 BYMR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 13 on him in that capacity. And he also, as an HCMLP & & 13 Q I'm not asking about his role as CFO of & \\
\hline 14 employee, served as a treasurer of HCMFA. In that & & 14 Highland. I'm limiting it strictly to his role as & \\
\hline 15 role, we would expect him to oversee the & & 15 the treasurer of HCMFA. & \\
\hline 16 financials. & & 16 A And Idon't have - & \\
\hline 17 MR. MORRIS: Okay. And move to & & 17 Q Did Frank - let me ask my question. & \\
\hline 18 strike. & & 18 Is any officer of HCMFA responsible & \\
\hline 19 BY MR. MORRIS: & & 19 for making sure that HCMFA's audited financial & \\
\hline 20 Q And I'm going to ask you very & & 20 statements are true and accurate? & \\
\hline 21 specifically: As HCMFA's representative today, & & 21 A I don't know, but I would assume - and I & \\
\hline 22 did Frank Waterhouse have a duty as the treasurer & & 22 don't want to make assumptions here as the & \\
\hline 23 of HCMFA to make sure that HCMFA's audited & & 23 representative - but I would assume that the & \\
\hline 24 financial statements were true and accurate? & & 24 treasurer would have that role. & \\
\hline 25 A That - very specific from the treasurer & & 25 Q Okay. And what is your assumption based & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 46 & & Page 47 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 on? & & 2 A Ido. & \\
\hline 3 A Based on the understanding of what a & & 3 MR. MORRIS: Okay. And if we could & \\
\hline 4 treasurer role would be. But - - I don't have & & 4 just scroll, I think, to the third page. & \\
\hline 5 any - I don't have any knowledge, I'm not & & 5 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 6 representing that we have any roles and & & 6 Q Do you see that it's signed by & \\
\hline 7 responsibilities or defined procedures that the & & 7 PricewaterhouseCoopers on June 3rd, 2019? & \\
\hline 8 treasurer does this, that, or the other. & & 8 A I see that the audit opinion is signed by & \\
\hline 9 Q Okay. Have you - as you sit here right & & 9 them, yes. & \\
\hline 10 now, have you ever seen HCMFA's audited financial & & 10 Q Correct. And - and you're aware that & \\
\hline 11 statements for the period ending December 31st, & & 11 PricewaterhouseCoopers was the outside auditor & \\
\hline 12 2018? & & 12 retained by HCMFA to conduct the audit of HCMFA's & \\
\hline 13 A I saw them in the materials that were & & 13 financial statements; correct? & \\
\hline 14 provided in your schedules, I believe. & & 14 A Given that they gave an opinion, yes. & \\
\hline 15 Q Okay. Let's - & & 15 Q Okay. And you have no reason to believe & \\
\hline 16 A That was the first time. & & 16 that the document that's up on the screen is & \\
\hline 17 Q Let's take a quick look at it. & & 17 anything other than HCMFA's audited financial & \\
\hline 18 MR. MORRIS: If we could put up on & & 18 statements for the period ending December 31st, & \\
\hline 19 the screen the document that's been marked & & 19 2018, do you? & \\
\hline 20 Exhibit 45. & & 20 And we're happy - I'm happy to scroll & \\
\hline 21 (Exhibit 45 tendered.) & & 21 through whatever you need to see. & \\
\hline 22 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 22 A Yeah. And there they're distinguishing - & \\
\hline 23 Q Okay. And do you see that this is the & & 23 you have an audit opinion and having audited & \\
\hline 24 first page of HCMFA's audited financial statements & & 24 financials, I assume that you have all that is & \\
\hline 25 for the period ending December 31st, 2018? & & 25 here. You showed me the first page of the & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 48 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 49 \\
\hline 2 financials, which - & & 2 backup, but I would assume that's the case. & \\
\hline 3 Q Yeah. Yeah. Let's - & & 3 Q And not only do the dollar amounts line & \\
\hline 4 A Sol'm assuming that's the - & & 4 up, but do you see that the statement in & \\
\hline 5 Q Let's scroll down just a little bit. & & 5 "Subsequent Events" specifically identifies the & \\
\hline 6 You can see that the next page is & & 6 notes as having been issued in the year 2019? & \\
\hline 7 HCMFA's balance sheet. Do you see that? & & 7 A Yes. & \\
\hline 8 A Ido. & & 8 Q And are you aware of any notes that & \\
\hline 9 Q Okay. & & 9 anybody in the world contends were signed by HCMFA & \\
\hline 10 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to & & 10 between January 1st, 2019, and June 3rd, 2019, & \\
\hline 11 "Subsequent Events"? I think it's & & 11 other than the two notes that Highland is suing & \\
\hline 12 Page 17. & & 12 on? & \\
\hline 13 BY MR. MORRIS: & & 13 A No. & \\
\hline 14 Q Have you seen this page of HCMFA's audited & & 14 Q Okay. So can you conclude, as HCMFA's & \\
\hline 15 financial statements before? & & 15 30(b)(6) witness, that the notes that are & \\
\hline 16 A Just in preparation for this. & & 16 described in the subsequent events are the very & \\
\hline 17 Q Do you understand that in the "Subsequent & & 17 notes that are the subject of the pending lawsuit? & \\
\hline 18 Events" section, the notes are described in the & & 18 A That appears to be the case. & \\
\hline 19 audited financial statements? & & 19 Q Okay. And so it's also fair to say, then, & \\
\hline 20 A There is a reference to promissory notes & & 20 that HCMFA does not dispute that its own audited & \\
\hline 21 in aggregate of \$7.4 million, yes. & & 21 financial statements that were the subject of a & \\
\hline 22 Q And those are the two notes that Highland & & 22 June 3rd, 2019, opinion by PricewaterhouseCoopers & \\
\hline 23 is suing on; correct? & & 23 disclosed the existence of the notes at issue; & \\
\hline 24 A I would assume that's the case, because & & 24 correct? & \\
\hline 25 the dollar amounts line up. But I don't have the & & 25 A No. We don't dispute that that was & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 54 & & Page 55 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 commentary. Your counsel can ask those questions & & 2 A So my understanding is the audited & \\
\hline 3 or if it's responsive to a question. I'm just & & 3 financials recorded in a subsequent event - you & \\
\hline 4 asking a very simple question. & & 4 showed me that - they recorded a subsequent & \\
\hline 5 A Yup. & & 5 event. The balance sheet as of 12/31/2018 wasn't & \\
\hline 6 Q How - how did HCMFA record these payments & & 6 amended because it was a subsequent event. But on & \\
\hline 7 on its books and records? & & 7 their books and records at that time, or & \\
\hline 8 A Yeah. My understanding is they recorded a & & 8 subsequent to that, they recorded a liability. & \\
\hline 9 payable to HCMLP, a liability. & & 9 Q And -- and do you know if that liability & \\
\hline 10 Q And do you know when HCMFA first & & 10 was recorded contemporaneously in May of 2019? & \\
\hline 11 discovered that the payments were booked on its & & 11 A Idon't know. & \\
\hline 12 books and records as a liability? & & 12 Q Butit's - it's HCMFA's position that, & \\
\hline 13 A Our position is that that was revealed & & 13 notwithstanding the recording of the liability on & \\
\hline 14 through after the - sorry - after the demand. & & 14 it's books and records, that HCMFA didn't learn of & \\
\hline 15 And as we began to get additional information - & & 15 that fact until after the demand letter was sent & \\
\hline 16 particularly, and I would refer you to & & 16 in December of 2020. & \\
\hline 17 Mr . Sauter's declaration, our amended response, & & 17 Do I have that right? & \\
\hline 18 and our second amended response that was filed & & 18 A Correct. & \\
\hline 19 yesterday regarding each of those time periods. & & 19 Q Okay. Have there been any changes in & \\
\hline 20 But it was after the demand we found out how it & & 20 HCMFA's books and records since it learned of the & \\
\hline 21 was booked. & & 21 promise - of the existence of the promise - & \\
\hline 22 Q Okay. So just to simplify this: HCMFA's & & 22 withdrawn. & \\
\hline 23 books and records recorded the transfers on & & 23 Has - has HCMFA changed its books and & \\
\hline 24 May 2nd and May 3rd as liabilities from HCMFA to & & 24 records after learning that the payments were & \\
\hline 25 Highland; correct? & & 25 recorded as liabilities? & \\
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\hline 2 A I'm not aware of how it's been treated & & 2 entries have been done since then, but - yeah, & \\
\hline 3 since then. & & 3 I'm not aware. & \\
\hline 4 Q Okay. & & 4 Q Okay. But you'll - you'll agree that the & \\
\hline 5 MR. RUKAVINA: And, John, no & & 5 accounting for these two payments was among the & \\
\hline 6 urgency, but find some time in the near & & 6 30(b)(6) topics, correct, Number 11 - Number 10? & \\
\hline 7 future for the restroom break. The & & 7 A Yes. & \\
\hline 8 moming coffee is working its magic. & & 8 Q And as the 30(b)(6) witness for HCMFA, can & \\
\hline 9 MR. MORRIS: Happy to do it right & & 9 you confirm that, to the best of your knowledge, & \\
\hline 10 now, Davor. & & 10 those payments were booked as liabilities and the & \\
\hline 11 THE WITNESS: I can use that, too. & & 11 booking of those payments as - as liabilities has & \\
\hline 12 I'm almost through my water bottle. & & 12 not changed? & \\
\hline 13 MR. MORRIS: All right. So, look, & & 13 A To the best of my knowledge, they were & \\
\hline 14 it's 12:05. Let's just come back at 12:15 & & 14 booked as liabilities, and I don't know how they & \\
\hline 15 or 11:15. & & 15 have been treated. There's not been a year-end & \\
\hline 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you. & & 16 audit for 2021, and I'm sure the accountants and & \\
\hline 17 MR. MORRIS: Thanks so much. & & 17 auditors will determine based on current facts and & \\
\hline 18 (Recess from 11:05 a.m. to 11:16 a.m. CST) & & 18 circumstances how those will be reported. & \\
\hline 19 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 19 Q Okay. But as of today, you have no & \\
\hline 20 Q To the best of your knowledge, has HCMFA & & 20 knowledge that the booking of those payments as & \\
\hline 21 ever changed its books and records in order to & & 21 liabilities has ever been changed; correct? & \\
\hline 22 reverse the booking of the payments that were made & & 22 A Those - there's no financial statements & \\
\hline 23 by Highland in May from liabilities to something & & 23 that are prepared, I believe, intra-year, during & \\
\hline 24 else? & & 24 the year, for audited purposes. And so, you know, & \\
\hline 25 A I'm not aware of how the accounting & & 25 that - that would be, I'm sure, determined based & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 58 & & Page 59 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 on any audit needs. & & 2 A Yes. We record liabilities on the balance & \\
\hline 3 Q Does HCMFA maintain an accounts payable & & 3 sheet. & \\
\hline 4 ledger? & & 4 Q Okay. Did HCMFA complete its audit for & \\
\hline 5 A I'm sure it does. & & 5 2019? & \\
\hline 6 Q Did you do anything to try to ascertain & & 6 A I don't-not that l'm aware of. I don't & \\
\hline 7 whether or not these notes appear as liabilities & & 7 believe they had an audit for 2019. & \\
\hline 8 on the accounts payable ledger? & & 8 Q Okay. Now, HCMFA contends that the & \\
\hline 9 A As current accounts payable ledger? & & 9 payments were - should not have been booked as a & \\
\hline 10 Q Yeah. & & 10 loan because they were supposed to be compensation & \\
\hline 11 A No. & & 11 for the error that Highland made in connection & \\
\hline 12 Q Did you - other than the audited & & 12 with the NAV error, correct? & \\
\hline 13 financial statements, did you take any steps to & & 13 A Correct. & \\
\hline 14 ascertain how these payments were recorded in & & 14 Q Okay. Did HCMFA ever issue an invoice or & \\
\hline 15 HCMFA's books and records, or is - or is it only & & 15 a bill of any kind to Highland? & \\
\hline 16 on the audited financial statements? & & 16 A Not that I'm aware of. & \\
\hline 17 A So at the time that they were recorded, we & & 17 Q Okay. Is there anything in HCMFA's books & \\
\hline 18 know they were recorded as liabilities on the & & 18 and records that reflects its position that the & \\
\hline 19 books and records. & & 19 payments should not have been billed as & \\
\hline 20 Q And when you say that it was recorded as a & & 20 liabilities, but they should have been billed as & \\
\hline 21 liability in the books and records, where in the & & 21 income? & \\
\hline 22 books and records was it recorded as a liability? & & 22 A As compensation? & \\
\hline 23 A Meaning on the balance sheet? & & 23 Q Yeah. & \\
\hline 24 Q Okay. So the balance sheet is one place; & & 24 A Yes. & \\
\hline 25 is that right? & & 25 Anything in their records? & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 60 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 61 \\
\hline 2 Q Yes. & & 2 payments were supposed to be made as compensation & \\
\hline 3 A I-I would refer you to the testimony of & & 3 rather than in the form of loans? & \\
\hline 4 Mr . Dondero and Mr. Waterhouse, who both testified & & 4 A I-I would say that the pleadings are a & \\
\hline 5 to this; Mr. Dondero that it was compensation, and & & 5 part of our books and records now. I would say & \\
\hline 6 that Frank testified in his deposition that he & & 6 depositions. And within that, it is well & \\
\hline 7 don't-didn't remember Mr. Dondero saying it was & & 7 documented. & \\
\hline 8 a loan, and that Mr. Dondero told him to get the & & 8 Q Okay. Let me ask a different question & \\
\hline 9 money from Highland. And so it's - it's - that & & 9 then. & \\
\hline 10 is on the record and in the record. & & 10 Remember we were using the answer date & \\
\hline 11 But in HCMFA's other records, we have & & 11 as being March 1st, 2021. & \\
\hline 12 the president of HCMLP, Jim Dondero, who made that & & 12 A Correct. & \\
\hline 13 transfer and has said that that is for & & 13 Q Is there anything in HCMFA's books and & \\
\hline 14 compensation. & & 14 records that was created prior to March 1st, 2021, & \\
\hline 15 So there is -- but there is - I & & 15 that corroborates HCMFA's position that the & \\
\hline 16 wouldn't - I would be surprised to see some kind & & 16 payments were intended to be compensation and not & \\
\hline 17 of a settlement agreement or invoice with - to & & 17 in the form of a loan? & \\
\hline 18 affiliates. & & 18 A Yeah, and I would, again, refer you to & \\
\hline 19 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Imove to & & 19 DC's - what do you call it-- declaration. That & \\
\hline 20 strike. & & 20 prior to that, we didn't have access to - to, & \\
\hline 21 BY MR. MORRIS: & & 21 largely, our books and records as that was & \\
\hline 22 Q And my answer - my question is really & & 22 outsourced to Highland Capital Management, LP, and & \\
\hline 23 simple. & & 23 to their employees, legal, compliance, and & \\
\hline 24 Is there anything in HCMFA's books and & & 24 accounting. So our position is we did not have & \\
\hline 25 records that reflects its position that these & & 25 anything at that point related to this agreement. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 62 & & Page 63 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Imove to & & 2 I'm still on 30(b)(6) Topic Number 10. & \\
\hline 3 strike. & & 3 Is there anything in HCMFA's books and & \\
\hline 4 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 4 records that was created before the answer date & \\
\hline 5 Q And listen carefully to my question. & & 5 that shows that the payment should have been & \\
\hline 6 Is HCMFA aware of anything that was & & 6 accounted for as compensation rather than as a & \\
\hline 7 created prior to the answer date that corroborates & & 7 loan? & \\
\hline 8 its position today that the payments were intended & & 8 A As far as an accounting record, I wouldn't & \\
\hline 9 to be treated as compensation rather than a loan? & & 9 expect there to be, because the accountant & \\
\hline 10 A I- I think as far as books and records & & 10 function was outsourced to HCMLP, and - and I & \\
\hline 11 go, we have NAV error memos, we have communication & & 11 would refer you to our latest response and our & \\
\hline 12 with the SEC. Right? & & 12 amended response of - of what was discovered and & \\
\hline 13 There's - there is a lot of & & 13 found throughout the process here. & \\
\hline 14 information related to the services that were & & 14 The accountants recorded a liability & \\
\hline 15 performed under the shared services agreement, & & 15 and they thought it should be liability. And so, & \\
\hline 16 were for valuation purposes that Highland had & & 16 no, there wasn't anything, to my knowledge, prior & \\
\hline 17 created and was responsible for the valuation & & 17 to that that was in the accounting books and & \\
\hline 18 process, and that is a host of documents that are & & 18 records. And I-you know, I'm not surprised & \\
\hline 19 in the record, yes. & & 19 there wasn't, because of the facts that you'll -- & \\
\hline 20 MR. MORRIS: Okay. I - Imove to & & 20 you'll see in our amended answers. & \\
\hline 21 strike. & & 21 Q Okay. Do you know whether, if it was & \\
\hline 22 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 22 intended to be compensation, that HCMFA's income & \\
\hline 23 Q I'm asking about accounting. Maybe it's & & 23 statement should have shown the inflow of the & \\
\hline 24 my fault. Okay? I'll - I'll take responsibility & & 24 \$7.4 million? & \\
\hline 25 for this. I'm asking as a matter of accounting. & & 25 A I don't know how it would be reported for & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 64 & 1 Dustin Noris & Page 65 \\
\hline 2 accounting purposes. I-I do have an accounting & & 2 A Yes, that's correct. The accounting & \\
\hline 3 background, but I haven't done accounting in a & & 3 function was outsourced to HCMLP. & \\
\hline 4 long time, and l'm not an expert in adviser & & 4 Q Okay. And there's - was there anybody - & \\
\hline 5 financial statements. So I would say I don't & & 5 was there any officer of HCMFA who had & \\
\hline 6 have - and I guess - I guess that - stepping & & 6 responsibility for reviewing HCMFA's balance & \\
\hline 7 back and answering on behalf of the company here, & & 7 sheet? & \\
\hline 8 I don't have a knowledge of how that would be & & 8 A I believe I already answered this earlier. & \\
\hline 9 recorded for income statement purposes. & & 9 Q lactually asked the question on the & \\
\hline 10 Q Okay. & & 10 audited financial statements. & \\
\hline 11 A But it would - it would be compensation & & 11 A Okay. & \\
\hline 12 that would be reported - & & 12 Q Now l'm going to ask specifically. Is & \\
\hline 13 Q Okay. & & 13 there anybody who served as an officer of HCMFA & \\
\hline 14 A -somewhere in the financial statements. & & 14 who had the responsibility of making sure that & \\
\hline 15 Q So it's your testimony today, as HCMFA's & & 15 HCMFA's balance sheets were true and accurate? & \\
\hline 16 30(b)(6) witness, that HCMFA was unaware that its & & 16 A Yes. So Frank Waterhouse and his team, & \\
\hline 17 audited financial statements disclosed these notes & & 17 Frank was the named treasurer of HCMFA, and his & \\
\hline 18 until after the lawsuit was commenced. & & 18 role at HCMLP, as a service provider, would have & \\
\hline 19 Do I have that right? & & 19 had that responsibility along with his team. & \\
\hline 20 A That's correct. & & 20 Q Okay. Let's go to the next topic, & \\
\hline 21 Q And it's your position today, as HCMFA's & & 21 Topic 11. Do you see Topic 11 refers to & \\
\hline 2230 (b)(6) witness, that HCMFA was unaware that the & & 22 "communications in 2020 with any retail board - & \\
\hline 23 payments that were made by Highland were booked as & & 23 A Yes. & \\
\hline 24 liabilities until sometime after the lawsuit was & & 24 Q -concerning the amounts due and owing to & \\
\hline 25 commenced; correct? & & 25 Highland"? & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
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\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 A Yes, Ido. & & 2 A Correct. & \\
\hline 3 Q Okay. HCMFA is a financial advisory firm; & & 3 Q And can we refer to the boards that manage & \\
\hline 4 correct? & & 4 the funds that are served by the advisers as "the & \\
\hline 5 A It is. & & 5 retail board"? & \\
\hline 6 Q And it provides advisory services to & & 6 A Yes. & \\
\hline 7 certain funds; correct? & & 7 Q Okay. Did you participate - are you & \\
\hline 8 A It does. & & 8 aware that in the fall of 2020 the retail board & \\
\hline 9 Q And those advisory services are provided & & 9 conducted a review in connection with the & \\
\hline 10 pursuant to written agreements; correct? & & 10 determination as to whether or not to renew & \\
\hline 11 A They are. & & 11 HCMFA's contracts? & \\
\hline 12 Q And those agreements are subject to annual & & 12 A lam aware, yes. & \\
\hline 13 review; correct? & & 13 Q Did you participate in that process? & \\
\hline 14 A They are. & & 14 A I did, in some - in some parts, yes. & \\
\hline 15 Q And those agreements the principal source & & 15 Q What parts did you participate in? & \\
\hline 16 of HCMFA's revenue? & & 16 A Yeah, sol attended the board meetings in & \\
\hline 17 A Yes, I believe so. & & 17 relation to - we call this the 15(c) analysis. & \\
\hline 18 Q Okay. It's among the most important & & 18 And so it's Section 15(c) of the 1940 Act requires & \\
\hline 19 contracts HCMFA has; correct? & & 19 the board to determine and renew the contracts on & \\
\hline 20 A Yes. & & 20 an annual basis. And so they look at a number of & \\
\hline 21 Q In fact, it's the reason for HCMFA's & & 21 factors. And there's, I believe, certain case law & \\
\hline 22 existence, is that fair, is to serve the funds? & & 22 that dictates the things that they should look at: & \\
\hline 23 A Largely, yes. & & 23 Quality of services, performance, fees. & \\
\hline 24 Q And the funds are managed by boards; & & 24 And so my aspect - the biggest part & \\
\hline 25 correct? & & 25 of my contribution is to talk about the & \\
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\hline 2 performance of the funds, how they performed & & 2 part, this process is managed and run by the HCMLP & \\
\hline 3 during the year. We hire an outside third party & & 3 employees as part of that shared services. Legal & \\
\hline 4 to come in and talk about performance and fees. I & & 4 and compliance help draft the memos. They are - & \\
\hline 5 help provide insight, talk about - as I oversee & & 5 Q And I'm going to interrupt you, and I & \\
\hline 6 the sales and business development of the firm, I & & 6 really apologize for doing that. I'm not asking & \\
\hline 7 talk about inflows and outlows, which help -- & & 7 about HCMLP. & \\
\hline 8 helps impact the economies of scale funds. We & & 8 A Yeah. & \\
\hline 9 have certain funds that are shrinking, some that & & 9 Q These are - these are HCMFA's contracts; & \\
\hline 10 are growing. So talking about future, talking & & 10 correct? & \\
\hline 11 about mergers, talking about different aspects of & & 11 A They are. & \\
\hline 12 that. & & 12 Q And they're the most important contracts & \\
\hline 13 And so my - mine is more of the sales & & 13 that HCMFA has; correct? & \\
\hline 14 business development function and regarding the & & 14 A Correct. & \\
\hline 15 services. One of the things that we do as the & & 15 Q Okay. So who - which officers of HCMFA & \\
\hline 16 adviser is we, again - they have to determine & & 16 are involved in the 15(c) analysis? & \\
\hline 17 that the quality of services we're providing are & & 17 A Yeah, one - going back to - to clarify & \\
\hline 18 sufficient, and so they have to get comfortable & & 18 on your - you know, this is the most important & \\
\hline 19 with the various functions. & & 19 thing, you know, that we have, it is, and as such & \\
\hline 20 Q Okay. Who else on behalf of HCMFA & & 20 we have - a lot of those functions, and to talk & \\
\hline 21 participated in the 15(c) analysis that you've & & 21 about HCMFA's role, we have front-office & \\
\hline 22 just described? & & 22 investment professionals who join those meetings & \\
\hline 23 A Yeah, so as - again, going back to the & & 23 to talk about the funds and performance. The & \\
\hline 24 shared services agreement, I point you to the & & 24 aspects of the adviser that we provide and source & \\
\hline 25 services that are provided by HCMLP. In large & & 25 is the management of the funds: The performance, & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Noris & \\
\hline 2 the investment selection. And then we bring in & & 2 correct? & \\
\hline 3 HCMLP to provide the various other services. And & & 3 A Not that I'm aware of. If you have & \\
\hline 4 so they are a huge part of that. To say that - & & 4 something you could - you know, a document or & \\
\hline 5 yeah, it's not - they are legal, compliance, & & 5 something that you're thinking of? & \\
\hline 6 accounting, finance, back office, settlement. & & 6 Q So you participated in the 15(c) process, & \\
\hline 7 Those are all functions that they're providing. & & 7 and you have no knowledge of HCMFA informing the & \\
\hline 8 Q I know - I appreciate that they're & & 8 retail board of the existence of the notes? & \\
\hline 9 functions that they play under the shared services & & 9 A Of these notes? No. And I would say that & \\
\hline 10 agreement. & & 10 there was a question from the retail board posed & \\
\hline 11 A Yup. & & 11 to the advisers, which we passed along to HCMLP, & \\
\hline 12 Q Let me-let me move on. & & 12 which included Lauren Thedford as an HCMLP & \\
\hline 13 A Okay. Go ahead. & & 13 employee and Frank Waterhouse, is: Were there any & \\
\hline 14 Q In October 2020, HCMFA informed the retail & & 14 liabilities to - owed to Highland? & \\
\hline 15 board that HCMFA was obligated to pay Highland the & & 15 Q Solet's take a look - I'm sorry. Go & \\
\hline 16 outstanding principal amount due under the notes; & & 16 ahead. & \\
\hline 17 correct? & & 17 A No, go ahead. & \\
\hline 18 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection; form. & & 18 Q I was going to say, let's take a look at & \\
\hline 19 THE WITNESS: Yeah, the & & 19 that. & \\
\hline 20 obligated - I would - sorry. Can you & & 20 MR. MORRIS: So if we could put up & \\
\hline 21 ask the question again? & & 21 on the screen Exhibit 59. & \\
\hline 22 BY MR. MORRIS: & & 22 (Exhibit 59 tendered.) & \\
\hline 23 Q Sure. & & 23 BYMR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 24 In October 2020, HCMFA informed the & & 24 Q Have you seen this document before, sir? & \\
\hline 25 retail board of the existence of the notes; & & 25 A I have. & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 72 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 73 \\
\hline 2 Q And this is the report that the advisers & & 2 A Idon't know. & \\
\hline 3 gave to the retail board in October 2020 as part & & 3 Q Did anybody on behalf of the advisers ever & \\
\hline 4 of the 15(c) analysis; correct? & & 4 suggest that this memo was wrong or inaccurate in & \\
\hline 5 A Yes, working closely with HCMLP in the & & 5 any way to the best of your knowledge? & \\
\hline 6 accounting, compliance, and legal function did & & 6 A At that time? Is that what you mean? & \\
\hline 7 draft this. & & 7 Q Yes. & \\
\hline 8 Q Okay. And who - who on behalf of the & & 8 A No, not-not to my knowledge. & \\
\hline 9 advisers authorized the sending of this memo? & & 9 Q Okay. When did you see this memo for the & \\
\hline 10 A I don't know that there's a formal & & 10 firsttime? & \\
\hline 11 authorization. Lauren Thedford, who was the & & 11 A I may have been copied on it at the time. & \\
\hline 12 secretary of the advisers and an HCMLP employee, & & 12 I don't remember if I read it, but I did review & \\
\hline 13 helped prepare the memo along with the rest of the & & 13 it - and actually, I didn't review the whole & \\
\hline 14 legal and compliance team. Thomas Surgent was & & 14 memo. I reviewed the one email that was related & \\
\hline 15 probably involved. & & 15 to the note payable in this. So I don't know that & \\
\hline 16 MR. MORRIS: Okay. I'm going to & & 16 I read the whole memo. & \\
\hline 17 move to strike. & & 17 Q So-so- & \\
\hline 18 BY MR. MORRIS: & & 18 MR. MORRIS: Can we see how long & \\
\hline 19 Q I don't want to know who was probably & & 19 the memo is? & \\
\hline 20 involved. I actually asked a very specific & & 20 BYMR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 21 question, and if you don't know, please just say & & 21 Q So it's two pages, and it's got some & \\
\hline 22 you don't know. & & 22 charts; is that fair? & \\
\hline 23 Who on behalf of the advisers & & 23 A That's fair. & \\
\hline 24 authorized the sending of this memo to the retail & & 24 Q And in October 2020, you were the & \\
\hline 25 board? & & 25 executive vice president of every single entity & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 74 & & Page 75 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 that this email is being sent to and from; & & 2 employee of HCMFA have any responsibility to make & \\
\hline 3 correct? & & 3 sure that this memo was true and accurate before & \\
\hline 4 A I'm looking at the entities. & & 4 it was sent to the retail board? & \\
\hline 5 I'm executive vice president of most & & 5 A Lauren Thedford was the secretary of the & \\
\hline 6 of the entities. & & 6 advisers and the funds, and I believe this has to & \\
\hline 7 Q Okay. You're the executive vice president & & 7 do with - and depending on the material, I think & \\
\hline 8 of each of the entities that are sending this & & 8 this has to do with the note, and other things. & \\
\hline 9 memo; correct? & & 9 So the finance team, Frank Waterhouse and his team & \\
\hline 10 A No. Not NexPoint Securities. & & 10 at HCMLP, would have been supplying those answers. & \\
\hline 11 Q I appreciate that. Thank you for the & & 11 Q Okay. And why do you keep saying Frank & \\
\hline 12 clarification. & & 12 Waterhouse at HCMLP instead of Frank Waterhouse as & \\
\hline 13 Did you review this before it was & & 13 the treasurer of the entity that's sending this & \\
\hline 14 sent? & & 14 memo? & \\
\hline 15 A Idon't remember. & & 15 A Because Frank was the CFO of Highland who & \\
\hline 16 Q Did you take any steps to make sure that & & 16 was responsible for the accounting, finance, & \\
\hline 17 it was accurate? & & 17 back-office functions of these funds. And the & \\
\hline 18 A Probably not. And that wouldn't have been & & 18 answer - the adviser did not have that & \\
\hline 19 my function. We had a legal and compliance team & & 19 information, and intentionally hired HCMLP to & \\
\hline 20 that was - through the shared services agreement & & 20 provide that function. And so that is how it was & \\
\hline 21 that prepared memos. This is going to the board. & & 21 viewed. Those were HCMLP employees, and that was & \\
\hline 22 That would have all obviously gone through legal & & 22 under the shared services agreement. & \\
\hline 23 and compliance. It wouldn't have been my & & 23 Q Is it your testimony as the HCMFA 30(b)(6) & \\
\hline 24 function. & & 24 witness that Frank Waterhouse did not have any & \\
\hline 25 Q Did anybody who served as an officer or & & 25 responsibility in his capacity as the treasurer of & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 76 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 77 \\
\hline 2 HCMFA to make sure that this report was true and & & 2 A I don't know for sure, but I highly doubt. & \\
\hline 3 accurate before it was sent to the retail board? & & 3 He was never, to my knowledge, involved in & \\
\hline 4 A I don't know of any function or & & 4 drafting or reviewing 15(c) memos. & \\
\hline 5 requirement of his role as treasurer of HCMFA that & & 5 Q Okay. You'll agree that this memo was & \\
\hline 6 he was responsible for reviewing 15(c) memos prior & & 6 sent by the advisers in response to the retail & \\
\hline 7 to going to the board. & & 7 board's questions; correct? & \\
\hline 8 Q And other than Lauren Thedford, you can't & & 8 A Correct. & \\
\hline 9 identify any officer or employee of HCMFA who had & & 9 Q And you'll agree - & \\
\hline 10 any responsibility to make sure that this report & & 10 A And actually, let me - let me correct & \\
\hline 11 was true and accurate before it was sent; is that & & 11 that. & \\
\hline 12 correct? & & 12 It was from the advisers. I believe & \\
\hline 13 A No. And I can't - and I would, again, go & & 13 that HCMLP employees sent it, getting back to - & \\
\hline 14 back to legal. And this is a memo that is going & & 14 it was sent by - technicality, but I believe & \\
\hline 15 to the board and is a legal and compliance & & 15 Lauren Thedford would have sent this. & \\
\hline 16 function that would have been provided services by & & 16 Q And why do you say that she sent it in her & \\
\hline 17 HCMLP. And that was always the case. Those & & 17 capacity as an HCMLP employee rather than as the & \\
\hline 18 employees, for years, have provided the & & 18 secretary of the entity that's actually the author & \\
\hline 19 legal/compliance support of memos of the 15(c) & & 19 of the memo? & \\
\hline 20 process and the support for everything that went & & 20 A Because that was the function that they & \\
\hline 21 into it. & & 21 were providing as part of the shared services & \\
\hline 22 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Move to strike. & & 22 agreement. And I-yeah. That was what-she's & \\
\hline 23 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 23 part of the legal team at HCMLP, and that was the & \\
\hline 24 Q Do you know if Jim Dondero reviewed this & & 24 service she was providing. We didn't have a legal & \\
\hline 25 before it was sent? & & 25 and compliance function at HCMFA. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 78 & & Page 79 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 Q Okay. & & 2 your answer that was responsive to my & \\
\hline 3 MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down to & & 3 question. & \\
\hline 4 Question 2, please? & & 4 BYMR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 5 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 5 Q As HCMFA's 30(b)(6) witness today, have & \\
\hline 6 Q Have you seen Question 2 before? & & 6 you done anything to determine whether or not the & \\
\hline 7 A Yes. & & 7 \$12.286 million number includes the principal & \\
\hline 8 Q Do you have an understanding of what was & & 8 amount of the notes? & \\
\hline 9 being requested by the retail board in Question & & 9 A Looking at it, we can't tell. Because it & \\
\hline 10 Number 2? & & 10 doesn't line up exactly with those notes. There & \\
\hline 11 A Yes. They are asking for amounts & & 11 were other notes that had been recorded in the & \\
\hline 12 currently payable or due in the future to HCMLP by & & 12 books for several years before. And if you add & \\
\hline 13 HCMFA or NexPoint Advisors. & & 13 those two together, it doesn't add up. So it's & \\
\hline 14 Q And - and did the advisers report to the & & 14 not clear. & \\
\hline 15 retail board in October 2020 that, quote, & & 15 Q Did you read the testimony of Mr. Klos and & \\
\hline 16 "\$12,286,000 remains outstanding to HCMLP from & & 16 Ms. Hendrix? I think you said you did; right? & \\
\hline 17 HCMFA"? & & 17 A I did. & \\
\hline 18 A It says it right there. That's in the & & 18 Q Did you read the portion of their & \\
\hline 19 memo. & & 19 testimony where they said that this number & \\
\hline 20 Q Okay. & & 20 includes the notes as well as certain other & \\
\hline 21 A And I would note that came from Frank & & 21 amounts that were due and owing to certain & \\
\hline 22 Waterhouse and his team, that information, the & & 22 Highland affiliates? & \\
\hline 23 accounting department at HCMLP. & & 23 A I did-I Ididn't read every single line, & \\
\hline 24 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Imove to & & 24 and there were, between the two of them - I don't & \\
\hline 25 strike everything after the portion of & & 25 know - 600 pages. So if it's in there and you & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 80 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 81 \\
\hline 2 can point to it, then I can take your & & 2 look at the email chain, it didn't look too & \\
\hline 3 representation. But I don't remember that. & & 3 extensive. And if you even look at this, he's & \\
\hline 4 Q All right. So did anybody acting on & & 4 saying that the earliest the note between HCMLP & \\
\hline 5 behalf of HCMFA - withdrawn. & & 5 and HCMFA can come due is May 21st. He himself & \\
\hline 6 Did any officer of - or employee of & & 6 seems to be confused here, because as we found out & \\
\hline 7 HCMFA do anything to make sure the information in & & 7 through discovery and in the testimony of what has & \\
\hline 8 this response was true and accurate before it was & & 8 come out, there was an agreement - that was a & \\
\hline 9 sent to the retail board? & & 9 separate agreement. That wasn't related to the & \\
\hline 10 A We received it from the individuals & & 10 notes at issue in this case. & \\
\hline 11 responsible. And there was no - you know, there & & 11 And sol don't know the extent that & \\
\hline 12 was no reason to doubt that it was incorrect. & & 12 was gone into this, but it - it - there's & \\
\hline 13 Right? These were professionals. We were relying & & 13 confusion even in the response. & \\
\hline 14 on them. This is Frank Waterhouse, Dave Klos, & & 14 MR. MORRIS: Okay. I move to & \\
\hline 15 Kristen. We anticipated this would be accurate. & & 15 strike. & \\
\hline 16 Q Okay. You anticipated it. But it's your & & 16 BYMR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 17 testimony that no officer or employee of HCMFA did & & 17 Q Again, I was just asking about the & \\
\hline 18 anything independently to make sure that it was & & 18 identity of anybody who was charged with the & \\
\hline 19 accurate; that they completely and 100 percent & & 19 responsibility of making sure that this was true & \\
\hline 20 just deferred and relied on somebody else under a & & 20 and accurate. & \\
\hline 21 contract? & & 21 Is there any officer or employee of & \\
\hline 22 A Frank Waterhouse was the treasurer. You & & 22 HCMFA who was charged with the responsibility of & \\
\hline 23 said any - any officer. He was - in his role, & & 23 making sure this response was true and accurate? & \\
\hline 24 he provided this information. And I don't know & & 24 A Yeah. It was sent to - the request went & \\
\hline 25 his extent of how he looked into it, but if you & & 25 to Frank Waterhouse because he and his team would & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 82 & & Page 83 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Noris & \\
\hline 2 have this information. That's --that's where we & & 2 and what is owing. We don't have information on & \\
\hline 3 would get this information. & & 3 the other notes. So discussed it with counsel, & \\
\hline 4 Q Okay. Thank you. & & 4 but - we don't have any backup to support or - & \\
\hline 5 MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, let me & & 5 Q Did you make - did you make any attempt & \\
\hline 6 just interject for a little. Let's go off & & 6 to speak with Ms. Thedford? & \\
\hline 7 the record for just a minute. & & 7 A No, I didn't. And she wouldn't have that & \\
\hline 8 (Discussion off the record.) & & 8 information. She's an attorney and was involved & \\
\hline 9 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 9 in the legal field, and she's no longer employed & \\
\hline 10 Q Do you know, as HCMFA's 30(b)(6) & & 10 there or at Skyview. & \\
\hline 11 representative, whether the \(\$ 12.286\) million & & 11 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. & \\
\hline 12 includes the \(\$ 7.5\) million - withdrawn. & & 12 BYMR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 13 Do you know if the 12.-- withdrawn. & & 13 Q Okay. And so you don't know what the & \\
\hline 14 As HCMFA's 30(b)(6) witness, do you & & 14 component parts of this \(\$ 12.286\) million number & \\
\hline 15 know whether the \(\$ 12.286\) million referenced in & & 15 are; correct? & \\
\hline 16 Response Number 2 includes the \(\$ 7.4\) million in & & 16 A Idon't. & \\
\hline 17 principal amount on the notes? & & 17 Q Okay. Do you see the last sentence of & \\
\hline 18 A Idon't. & & 18 this response that says, quote: "The adviser & \\
\hline 19 Q Okay. Did you do anything to try to & & 19 notes that both entities have the full faith and & \\
\hline 20 answer that question before appearing for today's & & 20 support of Jim Dondero," close quote? & \\
\hline 21 deposition? & & 21 A Ido. & \\
\hline 22 A Yeah. We discussed this with counsel. We & & 22 Q Do you know what that means? & \\
\hline 23 don't have underlying backup. We couldn't talk to & & 23 A Other than what Frank Waterhouse & \\
\hline 24 Frank Waterhouse on this in preparation, but the & & 24 testified - and I, again, refer you to his & \\
\hline 25 numbers just don't match up to principal amounts & & 25 deposition - that - I believe that wording came & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Noris & Page 84 & 1 Dustin Noris & Page 85 \\
\hline 2 from him, and he emailed that. So I would refer & & 2 A I'm not aware, and if you look at Frank's & \\
\hline 3 you to his testimony. & & 3 testimony, I believe he testified that he - he & \\
\hline 4 Q Well, as the 30(b)(6) witness, you were & & 4 didn't have that authority either, but I'm not & \\
\hline 5 asked to be prepared about communications to the & & 5 sure. I would refer you to his - I don't have & \\
\hline 6 retail board; correct? & & 6 any other knowledge. & \\
\hline 7 A Yes. & & 7 Q Okay. So it's HCMFA's position that the & \\
\hline 8 Q Okay. Did you do anything to try to & & 8 statement in the last sentence of Response & \\
\hline 9 figure out what that sentence meant - that & & 9 Number 2 was unauthorized. Do I have that & \\
\hline 10 sentence meant, other than reading Frank & & 10 correctly? & \\
\hline 11 Waterhouse's deposition transcript? & & 11 A I don't know that we're taking that & \\
\hline 12 A Knowing that it came from Frank, and Frank & & 12 position either way. It wasn't something & \\
\hline 13 elaborated, I didn't do any additional research. & & 13 that - that we're - was even part of the - our & \\
\hline 14 Q Did you ask Mr. Dondero if he was aware & & 14 arguments. & \\
\hline 15 that that statement was included in the report to & & 15 Q I'm not asking if it's part of your & \\
\hline 16 the retail board? & & 16 arguments. I'm just asking you, as a factual & \\
\hline 17 A I did not. & & 17 matter, does HCMFA contend that that sentence was & \\
\hline 18 Q Do you know why this statement was & & 18 included without authorization? & \\
\hline 19 included in the report to the retail board? & & 19 A I don't have the knowledge of that. & \\
\hline 20 A I could speculate, but I don't know & & 20 That's - I'm not going to contend that. & \\
\hline 21 specifically. & & 21 Q Okay. & \\
\hline 22 Q Do you know if Mr. Dondero authorized the & & 22 A It may have been. I don't know. & \\
\hline 23 advisers to inform the retail board, in October & & 23 Q Okay. So this letter was sent over a year & \\
\hline 24 of 2020, that the advisers had the full faith and & & 24 ago. Do I have that right? & \\
\hline 25 support of Mr. Dondero? & & 25 A What's the date on it? & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 86 & & Page 87 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 MR. MORRIS: If we can go back to & & 2 meeting as part of the 15(c) process, but - - & \\
\hline 3 the top. & & 3 don't know if you have that in hand, but I believe & \\
\hline 4 THE WITNESS: Yup. & & 4 that was supplied. I'm not certain. Sometimes it & \\
\hline 5 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 5 was 12/31 balance sheets, sometimes it was a & \\
\hline 6 Q Okay. Has - have the advisers ever told & & 6 June 30th balance sheet. & \\
\hline 7 the retail board that the response to Question & & 7 Q Okay. Can we - are you aware - have you & \\
\hline 8 Number 2 was inaccurate in any way? & & 8 seen an email exchange that preceded the - the & \\
\hline 9 A Specifically saying, "Hey, let me tell you & & 9 finalization of this memo to the retail board? & \\
\hline 10 this memo, Question 2, let me go back, it was & & 10 A I believe it was part of your exhibits. & \\
\hline 11 inaccurate," no, that was never a specific & & 11 Q All right. & \\
\hline 12 disclosure of the retail board. & & 12 MR. MORRIS: So let's put that up & \\
\hline 13 However, the retail board is aware of & & 13 on the screen, Exhibit 36. & \\
\hline 14 all of the facts and circumstances surrounding the & & 14 (Exhibit 36 tendered.) & \\
\hline 15 notes, and so they're aware of our position. & & 15 BYMR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 16 They're aware of - they've been demanded. & & 16 Q So is this the document that you've seen & \\
\hline 17 There's been a lawsuit involved on both notes. & & 17 before? & \\
\hline 18 And - and - but, no, this specific & & 18 A Yes. & \\
\hline 19 Number 2 is incorrect, no. But they're aware of & & 19 Q Okay. & \\
\hline 20 our position and what we found out since then. & & 20 MR. MORRIS: And can we start at & \\
\hline 21 Q Okay. Earlier in 2020, before this memo & & 21 the bottom of the document? & \\
\hline 22 was sent to the retail board, HCMFA had provided & & 22 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 23 to the retail board its financial statements for & & 23 Q Okay. And do you know who Stacy from & \\
\hline 24 the period ending June 30, 2020; correct? & & 24 Blank Rome is? & \\
\hline 25 A I believe that's typical in our August & & 25 A Ido. & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 88 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 89 \\
\hline 2 Q And who is that? & & 2 all of them. Idon't know if you have the memo. & \\
\hline 3 A She is independent counsel for the retail & & 3 If you represent that is all the questions, & \\
\hline 4 board, the independent directors. & & 4 then- & \\
\hline 5 Q And did she provide to the people on this & & 5 Q Yeah. & \\
\hline 6 email string certain questions that the retail & & 6 A -then I'll take that representation, & \\
\hline 7 board had in connection with its annual 15(c) & & 7 but- & \\
\hline 8 review? & & 8 Q And -- and Question Number 2 is the same & \\
\hline 9 A Yes. These were follow-up requests. So & & 9 Question Number 2 that we just looked at in the & \\
\hline 10 they have a memo that she provides early on with & & 10 report that was given to the retail board; & \\
\hline 11 an extensive list of questions, and these were the & & 11 correct? & \\
\hline 12 follow-up questions from the board. & & 12 A I don't know if it's exact, but - I don't & \\
\hline 13 Q Okay. And so it was sent to you, & & 13 know if you want to pull that up. & \\
\hline 14 actually; correct? & & 14 Q Don't you have a copy of it with you right & \\
\hline 15 A To me and Lauren. & & 15 there? & \\
\hline 16 MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll up a & & 16 A I don't know if I have a copy of that. & \\
\hline 17 little bit, please? Keep going. & & 17 Oh, I have the exhibits. What exhibit was that? & \\
\hline 18 BY MR. MORRIS: & & 18 I have it in PDF. & \\
\hline 19 Q And then Lauren forwards it to certain & & 19 Q Yeah, that's - that was 59. & \\
\hline 20 people, including you; correct? & & 20 A I'm scrolling. There are 650 pages here. & \\
\hline 21 A She forwards it to Thomas and copies me. & & 21 Sorry. Which exhibit again? & \\
\hline 22 Q Uh-huh. And - and she includes the & & 22 Q You know, let's just move on. & \\
\hline 23 questions that are being asked by the retail & & 23 Is it fair to say that Ms. Thedford & \\
\hline 24 board; correct? & & 24 forwarded to Mr. Surgent, you, and others, & \\
\hline 25 A I don't know if - I don't know if that's & & 25 questions that had been presented by Stacy, the & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Dustin No & Page 90 & Dustin & Page 91 \\
\hline 2 retail board's outside counsel? & & & \\
\hline 2 retail board's outside counsel? & & 2 would go to them as the source for that & \\
\hline 3 A Just one correction there. She forwarded & & 3 information. & \\
\hline 4 it to Mr. Surgent and copied me. & & 4 Q Okay. & \\
\hline 5 Q Fair enough. & & 5 MR. MORRIS: And let's scroll up & \\
\hline 6 A I'm not on the "To" line. That would & & 6 and see the response. & \\
\hline 7 be- & & 7 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 8 MR. MORRIS: Let's scroll down, & & 8 Q And do you see Mr. Waterhouse responded & \\
\hline 9 please. Let's scroll. & & 9 with one word: "Yes"? & \\
\hline 10 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 10 A Yes, I see that. & \\
\hline 11 Q And then - and then she forwards it & & 11 Q And then Ms. Thedford asked if & \\
\hline 12 further to Mr. Waterhouse, Mr. Klos, and & & 12 Mr . Waterhouse could provide the amounts. & \\
\hline 13 Ms. Hendrix. & & 13 Do you see that? & \\
\hline 14 Do you see that? & & 14 A Ido. & \\
\hline 15 A Ido. & & 15 Q And you're still copied on this email & \\
\hline 16 Q And you're still copied on it; correct? & & 16 chain; correct? & \\
\hline 17 A lam. & & 17 A lam. & \\
\hline 18 Q And do you see that she's asking Frank, & & 18 Q So- & \\
\hline 19 Mr . Klos, and Kristin to respond to Question & & 19 A Which, again, is not unusual to copy me on & \\
\hline 20 Number 2 that concems material outstanding & & 20 some things I wish they wouldn't. But I was & \\
\hline 21 amounts currently payable or due in the future to & & 21 copied on board items fairly regularly. & \\
\hline 22 Highland or its affiliates by either of the & & 22 MR. MORRIS: Okay. I move to & \\
\hline 23 advisers? & & 23 strike. & \\
\hline 24 A Yes, it - HCMLP will take that as a typo. & & 24 BYMR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 25 Butyes. And that would be standard. Lauren & & 25 Q I appreciate your wishes, but the question & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 92 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 93 \\
\hline 2 was simply whether or not, you know, you would & & 2 Okay. & \\
\hline 3 acknowledge that you were copied on this email. & & 3 A "Are there material outstanding amounts & \\
\hline 4 A Yup, that's my email. & & 4 currently payable or due to the future by HCMLP to & \\
\hline 5 Q Okay. And let's see what the next & & 5 HCMFA" - yeah -- "or any other affiliate?" & \\
\hline 6 response is. & & 6 Okay. & \\
\hline \(7 \quad\) And do you see Mr. Waterhouse & & 7 Q Having read that, does that change your & \\
\hline 8 responds - can you read Mr. Waterhouse's & & 8 answer at all? & \\
\hline 9 response? & & 9 A And so - go back to your original & \\
\hline 10 A I can. He said: "It's on the balance & & 10 question on whether his - & \\
\hline 11 sheet that was provided the board as part of the & & 11 Q Right. So Mr. - & \\
\hline 12 15(c)materials." & & 12 MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll back up & \\
\hline 13 Q Okay. So everybody to whom Mr. Waterhouse & & 13 to Mr. Waterhouse's response? & \\
\hline 14 has sent-withdrawn. & & 14 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 15 So you don't dispute, as HCMFA's & & 15 Q Thank you for your patience, Mr. Norris. & \\
\hline \(1630(\mathrm{~b})(6)\) witness, that Mr. Waterhouse informed all & & 16 A Uh-huh. & \\
\hline 17 of the recipients of his email on Tuesday, & & 17 Q You'll see that Mr. Waterhouse responds at & \\
\hline 18 October 6th, 2020, at 6:05 p.m. that the answer to & & 18 6:05 p.m. on October 6th, and my question is a & \\
\hline 19 the retail board's Question Number 2 could be & & 19 simple one: Does HCMFA dispute that in & \\
\hline 20 found in HCMFA's balance sheet; correct? & & 20 Mr . Waterhouse's email that he is telling the & \\
\hline 21 A Correct. & & 21 recipients that the answer to the retail board's & \\
\hline 22 Q Okay. Let's go- & & 22 Question Number 2 can be found in HCMFA's balance & \\
\hline 23 A Actually, can you go back down to the & & 23 sheet? & \\
\hline 24 answer --the exact question? & & 24 A I would say the answer -- his - his & \\
\hline 25 Q Of course. & & 25 response is the answer to the retail board is not & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 94 & & Page 95 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 completely accurate, because there was - there's & & 2 financials. And, again, to correct to me, I'm & \\
\hline 3 not enough there to be responsive. I think what & & 3 CC'd. It's a nuance, but she's representing to & \\
\hline 4 he's saying here is to Lauren, "Hey, it's on the & & 4 Frank and Dave and Kristin with a CC to me. & \\
\hline 5 balance sheet. Can you look at it and figure it & & 5 Q Okay. Does HCMFA acknowledge that the & \\
\hline 6 out?" & & 6 information contained in the October 23rd, 2020, & \\
\hline 7 And I- I think they go back and & & 7 report to the retail board with respect to & \\
\hline 8 forth, "Well, can you give us more information?" & & 8 Question Number 2 was derived from HCMFA's & \\
\hline 9 And so it's - this is not responsive to the & & 9 June 30th, 2020, financials? & \\
\hline 10 question and isn't what was provided to the board, & & 10 A Sorry. One more time? & \\
\hline 11 but that's - & & 11 Q Will you agree, as HCMFA's 30(b)(6) & \\
\hline 12 Q Well, let-let's see what Ms. Thedford & & 12 witness, that the information provided to the & \\
\hline 13 does. Ms. Thedford's the lawyer, right? & & 13 retail board in October 2020 in response to & \\
\hline 14 A She is. & & 14 Question Number 2 was taken directly from HCMFA's & \\
\hline 15 Q Yeah. But she's also the secretary of & & 15 financial statements for the period ending & \\
\hline 16 HCMFA; correct? & & 16 June 30th, 2020? & \\
\hline 17 A At this time, I believe so, yes. & & 17 A Yeah. The unaudited financials, yes. & \\
\hline 18 Q And you wouldn't dispute that she is & & 18 Q Okay. And so - so as HCMFA's 30(b)(6) & \\
\hline 19 taking the lead on formulating the advisers' & & 19 witness, you will agree that the \$ \(12,286,000\) & \\
\hline 20 response to the retail board; correct? & & 20 figure that was included in the former response to & \\
\hline 21 A I would not dispute that. & & 21 the retail board was obtained from HCMFA's & \\
\hline 22 Q Okay. And do you see that she reports to & & 22 unaudited financial statements for the period & \\
\hline 23 you and everybody else in her email that she has & & 23 ending June 30th, 2020; correct? & \\
\hline 24 taken information from the \(6 / 30\) financials? & & 24 A It appears that way. & \\
\hline 25 A Yes, I see the below from the \(6 / 30\) & & 25 And I - I think - and, again, we're & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 96 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 97 \\
\hline 2 looking at a draft answer here. I don't have the & & 2 secretary for the advisers; correct? & \\
\hline 3 final answer. But it looks as work product that & & 3 A That's correct. & \\
\hline 4 she's pulling numbers from the unaudited balance & & 4 Q And you are the executive vice president & \\
\hline 5 sheet and plugging them in here. & & 5 for the advisers; correct? & \\
\hline 6 Q Okay. And we can look at the final if you & & 6 A As of this date, yes. & \\
\hline 7 want, but that \$12,286,000 number that was due to & & 7 Q And you had no position with Highland; & \\
\hline 8 HCMLP as of June 30th 2020, that's the exact & & 8 correct? & \\
\hline 9 figure that was given to the retail board in the & & 9 A At this time? & \\
\hline 10 final report; correct? & & 10 Q Correct. & \\
\hline 11 A "Final report," meaning the final memo - & & 11 A No position with Highland, no. & \\
\hline 12 final memos? & & 12 Q Okay. How about Mr. Post? Had he & \\
\hline 13 Q Yes. & & 13 transitioned from Highland to the advisers as of & \\
\hline 14 A Yes. Yes, I believe so. & & 14 October 6th? & \\
\hline 15 Q Okay. & & 15 A Idon't believe so. & \\
\hline 16 MR. MORRIS: Can you scroll back up & & 16 Q Okay. It happened in October, though; & \\
\hline 17 to the last email? & & 17 right? & \\
\hline 18 BY MR. MORRIS: & & 18 A I--Idon't know. & \\
\hline 19 Q So this is Mr. Waterhouse's response to & & 19 Q Okay. & \\
\hline 20 Ms . Thedford. And, again, Mr. Waterhouse is & & 20 A Late October/November. It was late in the & \\
\hline 21 Highland's CFO and the advisers' treasurer; & & 21 year. & \\
\hline 22 correct? & & 22 Q Okay. And do you know if anybody ever & \\
\hline 23 A Correct. & & 23 told Mr. Waterhouse in October 2020 that there was & \\
\hline 24 Q And at this time, Ms. Thedford is an & & 24 any aspect of his email that was incorrect? & \\
\hline 25 attorney at Highland, but she also serves as the & & 25 A Not at that time, no, that I'm - not that & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Dustin Nornis & Page 98 & Dustin No & Page 99 \\
\hline 2 I'm aware of. & & 2 full faith and backing." & \\
\hline 3 Q Okay. & & 3 So I don't know the exact board & \\
\hline 4 A And - and would we have reason to doubt & & 4 meeting. However, we do have an August board & \\
\hline 5 him? This - he was the source of the & & 5 meeting related to 15(c). There's typically an & \\
\hline 6 information. & & 6 in-person or telephonic meeting in August, and & \\
\hline 7 Q Okay. And do you see that the last & & 7 then there's a September board meeting that is & \\
\hline 8 sentence of his email actually refers to the last & & 8 devoted almost exclusively to the 15(c) process. & \\
\hline 9 sentence of Response Number 2 that was given to & & 9 And after that, there is follow-up & \\
\hline 10 the retail board later in October 2020? & & 10 meetings - multiple sometimes, particularly in & \\
\hline 11 A Ido. & & 112020 during the bankruptcy proceedings that - & \\
\hline 12 Q Did you ever ask Mr. Waterhouse anything & & 12 where the board was getting comfortable. So it & \\
\hline 13 about that last sentence? & & 13 would have been one of those meetings, but I don't & \\
\hline 14 A Idon't believe so. & & 14 know which one. & \\
\hline 15 Q Do you see that he says, quote: "The & & 15 Q And - and did you personally participate & \\
\hline 16 response should include, as I covered in the board & & 16 in a board meeting where Mr. Waterhouse covered & \\
\hline 17 meeting, that both entities have the full faith & & 17 the topic of the advisers having the full faith & \\
\hline 18 and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge & & 18 and backing from Mr. Dondero? & \\
\hline 19 that hasn't changed"? & & 19 A I-I I probably would have been in most or & \\
\hline 20 Do you see that? & & 20 all of those board meetings, but I don't remember & \\
\hline 21 A Ido. & & 21 that specifically. & \\
\hline 22 Q Do you know what board meeting he's & & 22 Q Okay. Do you know - do you know whether & \\
\hline 23 referring to? & & 23 anybody who's copied on this email ever questioned & \\
\hline 24 A "The response should include, as I covered & & 24 any aspect of the last sentence of & \\
\hline 25 in the board meeting, that both entities have a & & 25 Mr . Waterhouse's email at any time prior to the & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Noris & Page 100 & 1 Dustin Noris & Page 101 \\
\hline 2 sending of the final memo on October 23rd? & & 2 correct? & \\
\hline 3 A Not that I'm aware of. & & 3 A I don't know what the conversations were & \\
\hline 4 Q You didn't, isn't that right? & & 4 had between the others, but I have no knowledge of & \\
\hline 5 A I don't know that I read it, but I didn't & & 5 that. & \\
\hline 6 question it. If I - l either didn't read it or I & & 6 Q Okay. & \\
\hline 7 didn't question it. & & 7 A And--and you've got-sorry. Go ahead. & \\
\hline 8 Q Okay. So you have no recollection of ever & & 8 Q This email string is - is an email string & \\
\hline 9 asking Mr. Waterhouse what he meant by the last & & 9 devoted for the sole purpose of addressing & \\
\hline 10 sentence of this email; correct? & & 10 questions posed by the retail board in connection & \\
\hline 11 A No, I have no recollection. & & 11 with the 15(c) review; correct? & \\
\hline 12 Q And you have no recollection of any & & 12 A Ibelieve so. & \\
\hline 13 recipient of this email asking Mr. Waterhouse what & & 13 Q Okay. Have you ever seen HCMFA's & \\
\hline 14 he meant by that last sentence; correct? & & 14 unaudited financial statements for June 30th, & \\
\hline 15 A Idon'tremember. & & 15 2020? & \\
\hline 16 Q And you never told Mr. Waterhouse that you & & 16 A Yes. & \\
\hline 17 had no knowledge of him having covered this issue & & 17 Q And do you know if those audited - & \\
\hline 18 before the board? & & 18 unaudited financial statements included the & \\
\hline 19 A You're wondering if I ever told him I had & & 19 amounts due and payable under the notes? & \\
\hline 20 no knowledge? & & 20 A I-I think that-I-Idon't & \\
\hline 21 Q Yeah. & & 21 remember, but I think our position is it's & \\
\hline 22 A No, Inever talked to him about that. & & 22 unclear, because the amounts don't agree to & \\
\hline 23 Q And to the best of your knowledge, no & & 23 the - again, we have prior notes, we have these & \\
\hline 24 recipient of this email ever challenged & & 24 notes. The amounts don't line up. & \\
\hline 25 Mr . Waterhouse's statement in this last sentence; & & 25 So it's - it's - the underlying & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 102 & & Page 103 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Noris & \\
\hline 2 backing is not provided. There's no footnotes. & & 2 (Recess from 12:11 p.m. to 1:06 p.m. CST) & \\
\hline 3 It's just a number that says due to HCMLP. & & 3 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 4 Q Do you know - do you know - do you have & & 4 Q Mr. Norris, Topic Number 9 relates to & \\
\hline 5 any recollection as to the totality of HCMFA's & & 5 consentfees. & \\
\hline 6 liabilities as of June 30th, 2020? & & 6 Do you understand that? & \\
\hline 7 A Including this note? Or just this note? & & 7 A Ido. & \\
\hline 8 Q All - all liabilities. What's the bottom & & 8 Q Do you have an understanding of what a & \\
\hline 9 of the balance sheet? & & 9 "consent fee" is? & \\
\hline 10 A Idon't know. Do you have it? Do you & & 10 A Ido. & \\
\hline 11 want to pull it up? & & 11 Q Did you do anything to prepare for this & \\
\hline 12 Q Idon't. & & 12 particular topic? & \\
\hline 13 A Yeah, I don't remember. & & 13 A Idid. & \\
\hline 14 MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, it's & & 14 Q What did you do to prepare for this topic? & \\
\hline 15 approaching 12:15. Just whenever, you & & 15 A I discussed the consent fee with & \\
\hline 16 know - & & 16 Mr. Dondero, with Mr. Rukavina, and with & \\
\hline 17 MR. MORRIS: Yeah. You know what? & & 17 Mr . Sauter. & \\
\hline 18 I was just about to change topics, so this & & 18 Q Okay. Mr. Sauter has no personal & \\
\hline 19 is a good time. & & 19 knowledge of any consent fee that was paid in the & \\
\hline 20 MR. RUKAVINA: Okay. & & 20 spring of 2019; correct? & \\
\hline 21 MR. MORRIS: Why don't we stop & & 21 A No. & \\
\hline 22 here, and we'll come back at the top of & & 22 Q Okay. What's your understanding of what a & \\
\hline 23 the hour. & & 23 "consent fee" is? & \\
\hline 24 MR. RUKAVINA: Excellent. Thank & & 24 A Generally or the specific consent fee & \\
\hline 25 you. & & 25 in -that - & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 104 & 1 Dustin Noris & Page 105 \\
\hline 2 Q Let's start generally. & & 2 A Yeah. So the consent fee was related to & \\
\hline 3 A Yeah. So a "consent fee" is a fee paid to & & 3 the global allocation fund that converted from an & \\
\hline 4 a - paid to someone who's agreeing to amend terms & & 4 open-end fund to a closed-end fund, and there was & \\
\hline 5 or change the structure of the - of a document or & & 5 a 3 percent fee that would be paid to investors & \\
\hline 6 a loan. In -in bank loan world, or loan world, & & 6 that, one, consented to the conversion from an & \\
\hline 7 if you are going to amend or extend or change the & & 7 open-end fund to a closed-end fund, but also held & \\
\hline 8 terms, typically there was a consent fee paid to & & 8 their investment through the conversion. & \\
\hline 9 those willing to consent. & & \(9 \quad\) The conversion was finalized in & \\
\hline 10 Those that have voted or consented & & 10 February of 2019, and the consent fee was an & \\
\hline 11 receive a fee. & & 11 operational challenge because you had to determine & \\
\hline 12 Q Okay. And did HCMFA pay any consent fees & & 12 who the investors were that voted yes and that & \\
\hline 13 in or around April or May 2019? & & 13 held on to the conversion. & \\
\hline 14 A It began to pay consent fees in May & & 14 So with that, the - the amounts that & \\
\hline 15 of 2019, I believe. & & 15 were paid, there was an operational challenge to & \\
\hline 16 Q Okay. Are you looking at something as you & & 16 determine who -- who needed to be paid, and so & \\
\hline 17 prepare your answer? & & 17 they were deposited and then paid out over a & \\
\hline 18 A Yeah. I'm looking at Topic Number 9 that & & 18 couple-month period. & \\
\hline 19 says consent fee in April or May 2019. & & 19 Q And who made the decision to pay the & \\
\hline 20 Q Okay. Thank you so much. & & 20 consentfee? & \\
\hline 21 And - and I think you testified that & & 21 A So the consent fee was a collaborative & \\
\hline 22 they began paying consent fees at around that & & 22 decision of senior management. Jim Dondero and & \\
\hline 23 time? & & 23 myself were involved in the decision, the & \\
\hline 24 A That's right. & & 24 discussion to - and it was a novel idea in terms & \\
\hline 25 Q What do you mean by that? & & 25 of converting from an open-end fund to a & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline & Page 106 & Dustin & Page 107 \\
\hline 2 dosed end fund and it was submitted to & & 2 detemine what the likely total fee would be? & \\
\hline 2 closed-end fund, and it was submitted to & & 2 determine what the likely total fee would be? & \\
\hline 3 investors. It went through SEC review as a proxy & & 3 A Yeah. I'm sure they did. & \\
\hline 4 statement, and it went out to shareholders who & & 4 Q Do you know what the total fee & \\
\hline 5 needed to vote for the proposal. & & 5 paid - what the total consent fee paid was? & \\
\hline 6 Q And who paid the consent fee? HCMFA? & & 6 A I don't have the exact amount, but it was & \\
\hline 7 A My understanding is HCMFA as the adviser & & 7 over \(\$ 5\) million. & \\
\hline 8 of the global allocation fund paid the consent fee & & 8 Q Okay. And over what period of time were & \\
\hline 9 to investors. & & 9 the consent fees paid? & \\
\hline 10 Q And whose idea was it to seek consent to & & 10 A I know they were paid in May and June, and & \\
\hline 11 change from an open fund to a closed-end fund? & & 11 there may be a portion that were paid thereafter, & \\
\hline 12 A I-I would say it was collaborative of & & 12 but at least May and June of 2019. There were & \\
\hline 13 senior management. Jim Dondero, myself, legal & & 13 certain broker-dealers that reported later, and & \\
\hline 14 compliance was involved. It was, you know, Mark & & 14 when those were reported and verified, they were & \\
\hline 15 Okada, who was a partner at the time. There was a & & 15 paid out. I don't remember the final date of the & \\
\hline 16 lot of discussion involved. & & 16 last distribution. & \\
\hline 17 Q And when the decision was made to seek & & 17 Q Okay. And forgive me. It's not my & \\
\hline 18 consent to change from an open-end fund to a & & 18 business. But were the consent fees paid to the & \\
\hline 19 closed-end fund, did HCMFA understand that there & & 19 fund's shareholders? & \\
\hline 20 would be costs, fees, and expenses associated with & & 20 A They were paid to the shareholders. & \\
\hline 21 that decision? & & 21 That's correct. & \\
\hline 22 A Being cost fees as in the consent fee? & & 22 Q Okay. & \\
\hline 23 Q Correct. & & 23 A That's consented. The shareholders had to & \\
\hline 24 A Yes. & & 24 vote, and they had to be a shareholder on & \\
\hline 25 Q And did it undertake any analysis to & & 25 conversion date. & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 108 & 1 Dustin Noris & Page 109 \\
\hline 2 Q Okay. And the decision to seek and obtain & & 2 A Yes. & \\
\hline 3 consent, was that a voluntary decision by HCMFA? & & 3 Q And, in fact, it used approximately & \\
\hline 4 A To seek consent to move to a closed-end & & 4 \$5 million of the moneys paid in May 2019 to pay & \\
\hline 5 fund? & & 5 the consent fee of approximately \$5 million; is & \\
\hline 6 Q Yes. That's not something that any & & 6 that fair? & \\
\hline 7 regulator required, was it? & & 7 A At least \(\$ 5\) million. & \\
\hline 8 A No. & & 8 Q Okay. Do you know the exact number? & \\
\hline 9 Q lt's not something that any rule or & & 9 A Of the consent fee? & \\
\hline 10 anybody mandated; correct? & & 10 Q Withdrawn. & \\
\hline 11 A Not that I believe. & & 11 Do you have a better or more precise & \\
\hline 12 Q Okay. How did HCMFA fund the payment of & & 12 estimate of the total consent fee other than & \\
\hline 13 the total consent fee of over \(\$ 5\) million? & & 13 \$5 million? & \\
\hline 14 A Yeah, from cash that it had on the balance & & 14 A It was over \$5 million. I don't remember & \\
\hline 15 sheet. & & 15 the exact amount, whether it was 5.6 or 5.2 - & \\
\hline 16 Q And where did it get the cash that was on & & 16 Q All right. & \\
\hline 17 the balance sheet? & & 17 A - because it was paid over time. & \\
\hline 18 A The cash came from the transaction that we & & 18 Q Let's talk about the TerreStar valuation & \\
\hline 19 discussed earlier - and you showed the capital & & 19 issue for a few minutes, if we can. & \\
\hline 20 coming in from Highland - which was compensation & & 20 A Okay. & \\
\hline 21 for the NAV error. & & 21 Q Just generally, in 2018/2019, HCMFA spent & \\
\hline 22 Q So it used the money that it received in & & 22 a fair amount of time addressing the consequences & \\
\hline 23 the transfers that we talked about to pay the & & 23 of a valuation error concerning TerreStar. Do I & \\
\hline 24 consent fee. Do I have that right? Or at least & & 24 have that right? & \\
\hline 25 some of it? & & 25 A There was a lot in there, but there was, & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline & Page 114 & & Page 115 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Nomis & \\
\hline 2 mistakes in connection with the work that it did & & 2 Q But HCMFA has not undertaken any analysis & \\
\hline 3 on the TerreStar valuation? & & 3 or investigation, to the best of your knowledge, & \\
\hline 4 A Sorry. One more time. & & 4 to try to determine if Houlihan Lokey was the & \\
\hline 5 Q Is HCMFA undertaking any analysis or & & 5 responsible party; fair? & \\
\hline 6 investigation to try to determine whether Houlihan & & 6 A We don't know if there is a contract or & \\
\hline 7 Lokey made any mistakes? & & 7 not. At this point, we're talking about the & \\
\hline 8 A There are - I don't know. I don't know. & & 8 defense of Highland's responsibility. There's no & \\
\hline 9 Q You have no knowledge, as you sit here & & 9 question they were responsible for the valuations. & \\
\hline 10 today, as to whether HCMFA is undertaking any & & 10 They were outsource provider of the valuation & \\
\hline 11 analysis or investigation to try to determine & & 11 committee. Every individual working and & \\
\hline 12 whether Houlihan Lokey did anything wrong in & & 12 coordinating with Houlihan Lokey was an HCMFA & \\
\hline 13 connection with its valuation services; correct? & & 13 employee. All the data and information that was & \\
\hline 14 A And I wasn't prepared - I don't think & & 14 provided to them came from HCMLP. There's no & \\
\hline 15 this is one of the topics - you know, Houlihan & & 15 question that Highland was responsible for the NAV & \\
\hline 16 Lokey's, you know, involvement, and so I wasn't & & 16 error. No one ever questioned that. That was & \\
\hline 17 prepared to answer that one. & & 17 always known. It was all the employees that were & \\
\hline 18 Q Okay. Well, the defense-HCMFA's & & 18 involved. & \\
\hline 19 defense is that Highland is responsible for the & & 19 MR. RUKAVINA: John, I'll just & \\
\hline 20 TerreStar valuation issue; correct? & & 20 reiterate that we did not understand your & \\
\hline 21 A Yes. & & 21 topics to include Houlihan Lokey. If you & \\
\hline 22 Q And there's no question that Houlihan & & 22 need more information about that or if we & \\
\hline 23 Lokey provided services in connection with that & & 23 need to have a supplemental deposition, & \\
\hline 24 valuation; correct? & & 24 that's fine. But this is just not & \\
\hline 25 A Correct. & & 25 something that we reasonably anticipated & \\
\hline & Page 116 & & Page 117 \\
\hline Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 you asking about. & & 2 have produced it to you. So if you have & \\
\hline 3 MR. MORRIS: Ithink it's - I & & 3 anything like that, we'd love to see it. & \\
\hline 4 think I have the answer that I need and & & 4 We do not even know whether we had a & \\
\hline 5 that the executive vice president and & & 5 contract with Houlihan Lokey or not. So & \\
\hline \(6 \quad 30(\mathrm{~b})(6)\) witness has no knowledge of any & & 6 we'll try to find you information, John. & \\
\hline 7 investigation or analysis that has been & & 7 We just - we just don't have it. & \\
\hline 8 undertaken by HCMFA to try to even & & 8 MR. MORRIS: We'll get to that in a & \\
\hline 9 determine whether Houlihan Lokey is at & & 9 moment. & \\
\hline 10 fault. & & 10 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 11 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 11 Q Has HCMFA - withdrawn. & \\
\hline 12 Q Do I have that right, Mr. Norris? & & 12 Has HCMFA ever told Houlihan Lokey & \\
\hline 13 MR. RUKAVINA: Well, I will just & & 13 that it believed it made any mistake or error of & \\
\hline 14 object that that was not your prior & & 14 any kind in connection with its work on the & \\
\hline 15 question. & & 15 TerreStar valuation? & \\
\hline 16 MR. MORRIS: All right. Well, & & 16 A Again, I-this is not a topic that we & \\
\hline 17 that's my question now. & & 17 reviewed, sol don't know. & \\
\hline 18 BY MR. MORRIS: & & 18 Q Okay. You're not aware of anything today; & \\
\hline 19 Q Is that correct, Mr. Norris? & & 19 correct? & \\
\hline 20 A I know there's been discussion with & & 20 A Again, the employees working with Houlihan & \\
\hline 21 counsel. & & 21 Lokey were the HCMLP employees. So I don't know & \\
\hline 22 MR. RUKAVINA: Well, I will & & 22 if the debtor employees have that conversation, & \\
\hline 23 represent to you that we have looked for a & & 23 but- & \\
\hline 24 Houlihan Lokey contract and have not been & & 24 MR. MORRIS: Yeah, I'm going to & \\
\hline 25 able to find one. Otherwise, we would & & 25 move to strike. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline & Page 118 & & Page 119 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Noris & \\
\hline 2 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 2 Q They sent to the board of the fund? & \\
\hline 3 Q And l'm asking about HCMFA. & & 3 A Oh, the board of the fund. & \\
\hline 4 Did - has HCMFA ever informed & & 4 There were a number of memos and & \\
\hline 5 Houlihan Lokey that HCMFA believes that Houlihan & & 5 presentations. If you have one you want to pull & \\
\hline 6 Lokey made a mistake or error in the work that it & & 6 up, you can - we can refer to it. & \\
\hline 7 did? & & 7 Q Sure. & \\
\hline 8 A There were ongoing discussions extensively & & 8 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up what & \\
\hline 9 throughout this with Houlihan Lokey and the debtor & & 9 we've marked as Exhibit 182. & \\
\hline 10 employees regarding the error and what the causes & & 10 (Exhibit 182 tendered.) & \\
\hline 11 were. It was extensive discussions. & & 11 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 12 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Move to strike. & & 12 Q And while we're doing that, have you ever & \\
\hline 13 BY MR. MORRIS: & & 13 seen a single document anywhere at any time in & \\
\hline 14 Q Has HCMFA ever told Houlihan Lokey that & & 14 which any representative of HCMFA took Highland to & \\
\hline 15 HCMFA believes that Houlihan Lokey made a mistake & & 15 task for the work that it did in connection with & \\
\hline 16 or an error in connection with its valuation & & 16 the TerreStar valuation? & \\
\hline 17 sevices? & & 17 A "Took them to task"? Define "take them to & \\
\hline 18 A It may have, but l'm not aware. & & 18 task." & \\
\hline 19 Q Thank you. & & 19 Q Told them that they were the source and & \\
\hline 20 Are you familiar with the report that & & 20 cause of the NAV error. & \\
\hline 21 HCMFA prepared and sent to the Global Allocation & & 21 A The irony of all of the reporting to the & \\
\hline 22 Fund conceming the TerreStar valuation issues? & & 22 board, all of the valuation knowledge was from & \\
\hline 23 A They sent to the fund? & & 23 HCMLP's employees. We - we outsourced that to & \\
\hline 24 Q Uh-huh. & & 24 them. There was - there was no question that & \\
\hline 25 A What do you mean "they sent to the fund"? & & 25 they were at fault, and that's - every employee & \\
\hline & Page 120 & & Page 121 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Noris & \\
\hline 2 involved was an HCMLP employee. & & 2 analyzed and debated and communications with the & \\
\hline 3 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. & & 3 SEC, during that entire period, Jim Dondero was in & \\
\hline 4 BY MR. MORRIS: & & 4 control of both HCMFA and Highland; correct? & \\
\hline 5 Q And l'm going to ask you, sir, to listen & & 5 A Yes, I believe so. & \\
\hline 6 carefully to my question. & & 6 Q Okay. Can you identify any employee of & \\
\hline 7 Have you ever seen a document that & & 7 Highland who was fired as a result of any of the & \\
\hline 8 HCMFA sent to Highland in which HCMFA accused & & 8 mistakes that were made in connection with the & \\
\hline 9 Highland of being the cause of the NAV error? & & 9 TerreStar valuation? & \\
\hline 10 A I have not. & & 10 A No. & \\
\hline 11 Q Thank you. & & 11 Q Can you identify - & \\
\hline 12 Do you see the document that's on the & & 12 A Not that I can remember. & \\
\hline 13 screen? & & 13 Q Can you identify any steps that & \\
\hline 14 A Ido. & & 14 Mr . Dondero took against any employee who was & \\
\hline 15 Q Before I get to that, so the NAV error & & 15 allegedly involved in the NAV error? & \\
\hline 16 occurred sometime prior to May 2019; correct? & & 16 A That would have been an HCMLP matter. I & \\
\hline 17 A Beginning - I don't know the specific & & 17 don't have any knowledge of HCMLP's hiring or & \\
\hline 18 dates. I believe it began in May of 2019-- & & 18 firing practices. & \\
\hline 19 sorry. May 2019- & & 19 Q Okay. So at no time did anybody ever tell & \\
\hline 20 Q That's when it ended; right? & & 20 you that any disciplinary measures were imposed & \\
\hline 21 A What's that? & & 21 upon any Highland employee as a result of the NAV & \\
\hline 22 Q That's when it ended; right? That's - & & 22 error that Highland allegedly caused; correct? & \\
\hline 23 A Yeah, it was before May 2019. & & 23 A Any firing practice? Is that what you & \\
\hline 24 Q Okay. So during the entire time that the & & 24 said? & \\
\hline 25 TerreStar NAV error was being discussed and & & 25 Q Disciplinary. Firing. Anything. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\hline & Page 122 & & Page 123 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Noris & \\
\hline 2 A There was a remediation process that had & & 2 A Not to the Global Allocation Fund. This & \\
\hline 3 to go into effect, which was improvement of & & 3 is a memo to the board. & \\
\hline 4 controls, and they maybe even hired additional & & 4 Q Thank you for the clarification. & \\
\hline 5 people. But it was-and I don't-I'm not & & 5 Subject to that clarification, is my & \\
\hline 6 aware of any disciplinary, but there could have & & 6 description otherwise correct? & \\
\hline 7 been. & & 7 A I believe so. There had been a number of & \\
\hline 8 Q Okay. But that would just be speculation & & 8 communications with the board, and this is the & \\
\hline 9 on your part; correct? & & 9 resolution of the whole process, or most of the & \\
\hline 10 A Yeah. & & 10 process. & \\
\hline 11 Q So have you seen the document that's up on & & 11 Q This was a pretty big issue for HCMFA, & \\
\hline 12 the screen? & & 12 wasn'tit? & \\
\hline 13 A Ihave. & & 13 A There was a lot of people involved. It & \\
\hline 14 Q Did you read it before it was sent? & & 14 was - there was a lot of involvement from - & \\
\hline 15 A Idon't think so. & & 15 mostly Highland Capital Management, LP, employees, & \\
\hline 16 Q Did anybody - did any officer or employee & & 16 but it was - there was a lot involved. & \\
\hline 17 take responsibility for making sure that - & & 17 Q And who - what outside counsel was & \\
\hline 18 withdrawn. & & 18 retained? & \\
\hline 19 What is this document? & & 19 A Adviser counsel is counsel - is -- I & \\
\hline 20 A It is titled "Resolution of the Funds Net & & 20 believe it was K\&L Gates for HCMFA. & \\
\hline 21 Asset Value Error." & & 21 Q And who was Highland's counsel? & \\
\hline 22 Q And was - is it your understanding that & & 22 A Idon'tknow. & \\
\hline 23 the purpose of this document was to enable HCMFA & & 23 Q Do you know if Highland had counsel? & \\
\hline 24 to explain to the Global Allocation Fund how the & & 24 A Idon't know. & \\
\hline 25 resolution of the NAV error was being conducted? & & 25 Q Doyou- & \\
\hline & Page 124 & & Page 125 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Noris & \\
\hline 2 A I know they had counsel they referred to & & 2 the responsible party. & \\
\hline 3 for SEC matters, and I don't know if they utilized & & \(3 \quad\) But I would say every single person & \\
\hline 4 them here or not. They were all Highland & & 4 that interacted with the SEC, I believe, were & \\
\hline 5 employees that worked on this. So I'm sure you & & 5 HCMLP employees. We can see that on the other & \\
\hline 6 probably have that in your records. & & 6 memo that they have to the SEC following up on a & \\
\hline 7 Q Sir, can you identify any outside counsel & & 7 call; all HCMLP employees. So whether they told & \\
\hline 8 that was retained by Highland to advise it in & & 8 them or not, they were all HCMLP employees. & \\
\hline 9 connection with the TerreStar valuation issues & & 9 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Move to strike & \\
\hline 10 that were the subject of an SEC investigation? & & 10 after the very first portion of the answer & \\
\hline 11 A I have - I have no knowledge of that. & & 11 that was responsive. & \\
\hline 12 Q Okay. Did you see this memo that's up on & & 12 BYMR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 13 the screen that's been marked as Exhibit 182 prior & & 13 Q Did anybody - did any officer or employee & \\
\hline 14 to the time that it was sent? & & 14 of HCMFA ever inform the SEC that Highland Capital & \\
\hline 15 A Idon't recall. & & 15 Management, LP, was the responsible party for the & \\
\hline 16 Q The NAV error was the subject of an SEC & & 16 NAV error? & \\
\hline 17 investigation; correct? & & 17 A Specifically, not that I'm aware of. & \\
\hline 18 A Correct. & & 18 Q Okay. Was any HCMFA officer or employee & \\
\hline 19 Q Do you know if HCMFA ever told the SEC & & 19 responsible for making sure that the memorandum up & \\
\hline 20 orally, in writing, or otherwise that Highland & & 20 on the screen that's been marked as 182 was true & \\
\hline 21 Capital Management, LP, was the cause of the NAV & & 21 and accurate before it was sent to the board of & \\
\hline 22 error? & & 22 the Highland Global Allocation Fund? & \\
\hline 23 A Not that l'm aware of, but they were & & 23 A I don't know that there is a - there's a & \\
\hline 24 concerned about the ultimate correction of the NAV & & 24 specific requirement of an officer to verify the & \\
\hline 25 error. I don't think they were concerned about & & 25 accuracy. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 130 & & Page 131 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Noris & \\
\hline 2 Is that correct? & & 2 agree with me that there's no reference to & \\
\hline 3 A The - in the memo, it says that on this & & 3 Highland Capital Management, LP, anywhere in this & \\
\hline 4 date, there were many other conversations probably & & 4 report; correct? & \\
\hline 5 around this date and on this date discussing the & & 5 A No, there's not, but the board knew that & \\
\hline 6 determinations and non-orderly and that it was the & & 6 HCMLP was preparing the valuations. & \\
\hline 7 HCMLP employees, and the board knew that. They & & 7 MR. MORRIS: All right. I move to & \\
\hline 8 were very aware that it was the - the valuation & & 8 strike after the word "no." & \\
\hline 9 control environment of HCMLP that determined these & & 9 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 10 were non-orderly transactions. & & 10 Q And it was the determination concerning & \\
\hline 11 Q So this - so this report is inaccurate, & & 11 whether or not it was orderly or non-orderly, and & \\
\hline 12 according to you? & & 12 whether or not to use zero weighting that were the & \\
\hline 13 A No. There's - there's just-your & & 13 two causes of the NAV error, correct? & \\
\hline 14 question was did they tell the board. There is a & & 14 A Those were key portions. & \\
\hline 15 lot that we told the board outside of this memo. & & 15 Q In the last sentence, in fact, that's the & \\
\hline 16 This memo does say advised from Houlihan Lokey. & & 16 only portions; isn't that fair? & \\
\hline 17 The adviser is ultimately responsible. But there & & 17 A "Initially determined" - well, it doesn't & \\
\hline 18 was a lot of communication with the board - & & 18 say that there's not other factors. They're the & \\
\hline 19 Q Okay. & & 19 only ones mentioned. & \\
\hline 20 A - around this, that they knew exactly who & & 20 Q Let me - let me-let me read the last & \\
\hline 21 was responsible for valuation as the board & & 21 sentence. & \\
\hline 22 determining that these were market transactions & & 22 Quote: "The orderly determination and & \\
\hline 23 and orderly or non-orderly. & & 23 adoption of the weighted fair value methodology & \\
\hline 24 Q Okay. I want to focus on this memo, & & 24 resulted in NAV errors in the fund," and that's & \\
\hline 25 because this is the one that I have. And you'll & & 25 what it's defining as the NAV error. & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 132 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 133 \\
\hline 2 Have I read that correctly? & & 2 dispute that Houlihan Lokey was approved by the & \\
\hline 3 A Youdid. & & 3 board. You're just telling me that, as you sit & \\
\hline 4 Q And so would you agree with me, as HCMFA's & & 4 here today, that's the one fact that you've not & \\
\hline 5 30(b)(6) witness, that on May 28th, 2019, HCMFA & & 5 been able to confirm; is that fair? & \\
\hline 6 told the GAF board that the two causes of the NAV & & 6 A As far as I know, yeah. & \\
\hline 7 error were the orderly determination and the & & 7 Q Okay. Let's go on to the next paragraph. & \\
\hline 8 adoption of the weighted fair value methodology - & & 8 MR. MORRIS: If we could just & \\
\hline 9 fair value - fair valuation methodology? & & 9 scroll up a little bit. & \\
\hline 10 A Those were --it doesn't say those are & & 10 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 11 exclusively the only factors, but those are & & 11 Q I'm going to try and summarize here, but & \\
\hline 12 mentioned here. & & 12 if you don't think it's a fair summary, of course & \\
\hline 13 Q It says those two factors resulted in the & & 13 I would encourage you to let me know. & \\
\hline 14 NAV error; correct? & & 14 Is it fair to say that, as a general & \\
\hline 15 A Those - no, it didn't say "the NAV & & 15 matter, the next paragraph describes a total loss & \\
\hline 16 error." It said "in NAV errors." & & 16 from the NAV error as being approximately & \\
\hline 17 Q Which it's defining as the NAV error; & & 17 \$7.5 million? & \\
\hline 18 correct? & & 18 A Yeah, including processing costs and & \\
\hline 19 A Defines as "the NAV error." & & 19 rebates and offsets, yes. & \\
\hline 20 Q Okay. Does HCMFA contend that there's & & 20 Q Right. That's what the parenthetical & \\
\hline 21 anything in this paragraph that is inaccurate? & & 21 says, a total loss - & \\
\hline 22 A Again, I-I don't know that Houlihan & & 22 A Yup. & \\
\hline 23 Lokey was approved by the board, but I don't know & & 23 Q -of approximately \(\$ 7.5\) million? & \\
\hline 24 of any other contention. & & 24 A Correct. & \\
\hline 25 Q Okay. And you don't-- and HCMFA doesn't & & 25 Q And the next paragraph states that that & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 134 & & Page 135 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Noris & \\
\hline 2 loss was funded with two payments. Do I have that & & 2 negligent valuation services in connection with & \\
\hline 3 correct in the first sentence? & & 3 the NAV error; correct? & \\
\hline 4 A Correct. & & 4 A Sorry. One more time. & \\
\hline 5 Q Okay. Did HCMFA pay approximately & & 5 Q HCMFA contends that the \(\$ 7.4\) million in & \\
\hline 6 \$5.186 million on or around February 15, 2019, in & & 6 payments was supposed to be compensation resulting & \\
\hline 7 connection with the NAV error? & & 7 from Highland's negligent valuation services; & \\
\hline 8 A Ibelieve so. & & 8 correct? & \\
\hline 9 And if we go to the next page, it has & & 9 A Yes, subject to all of our defenses that & \\
\hline 10 dates and payments. I think it's represented & & 10 we've laid out in our pleadings. & \\
\hline 11 there. & & 11 Q Okay. When did HCMFA reach the conclusion & \\
\hline 12 Q Okay. Where did HCMFA get the money to & & 12 that Highland was the cause of the NAV error? & \\
\hline 13 make that payment? & & 13 A The - there was never - I don't think & \\
\hline 14 A A combination of insurance proceeds and & & 14 there was ever a question. It was always known & \\
\hline 15 cash that it had. And, again, that's detailed, I & & 15 that HCMLP employees were the ones creating the & \\
\hline 16 believe, on the next page. & & 16 valuation, overseeing the valuation, working with & \\
\hline 17 Q HCMFA contends that the \(\$ 7.4\) million & & 17 the value - you know, everything that was done & \\
\hline 18 transferred by Highland to HCMFA was mistakenly & & 18 was outsourced to HCMLP. & \\
\hline 19 recorded as a loan; correct? & & 19 And so it was discussed with the & \\
\hline 20 A There's - there's two different amounts & & 20 board. It was discussed in-depth internally. The & \\
\hline 21 that we contend were recorded as a note, a & & 21 employees were all HCMLP employees. So I can't & \\
\hline 22 combined 7.4 million, yes. & & 22 pinpoint a date, but there - it was a known & \\
\hline 23 Q Okay. And HCMFA contends that the & & 23 factor that HCMLP was responsible. & \\
\hline 24 \$7.4 million in payments was not to be a loan, but & & 24 MR. MORRIS: Okay. I move to & \\
\hline 25 was supposed to be compensation for Highland's & & 25 strike. & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 136 & 1 Dustin Noris & Page 137 \\
\hline 2 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 2 Q No question - no question that HCMFA knew & \\
\hline 3 Q The only thing I'm asking you for is a & & 3 before February 15, 2019, that there was a NAV & \\
\hline 4 date. And if you don't know, the answer is "I & & 4 error; correct? & \\
\hline 5 don't know." So let me try one more time. & & 5 A Correct. & \\
\hline 6 Do you know when HCMFA first & & 6 Q No question that HCMFA knew before & \\
\hline 7 determined that Highland was negligent? & & 7 February 15, 2019, that the NAV error was caused & \\
\hline 8 A Idon't know the first date. & & 8 by Highland; correct? & \\
\hline 9 Q Do you know if it was in 2018 or 2019? & & 9 A Yeah. Like I said, it was always known & \\
\hline 10 A Idon't know. & & 10 that these were Highland employees that were & \\
\hline 11 Q Do you know when the NAV error first - & & 11 outsourced through the valuation, and they were & \\
\hline 12 was first identified? & & 12 the ones at fault. & \\
\hline 13 A I believe the NAV error was determined in & & 13 Q Okay. Do you know if-if HCMFA ever & \\
\hline 14 early 2019. & & 14 demanded compensation from Highland for any errors & \\
\hline 15 Q Was it before or after-I mean, the - & & 15 or mistakes it may have made in connection with & \\
\hline 16 the NAV error must have been identified before & & 16 the TerreStar valuation? & \\
\hline 17 February 15, 2019; correct? & & 17 A Yeah. Mr. Dondero told Frank to make the & \\
\hline 18 A Correct. & & 18 payments to compensate for the NAV error. & \\
\hline 19 Q Okay. & & 19 Q And did he do that in his capacity as the & \\
\hline 20 A Well, I should say whether there - I & & 20 person in control of HCMFA, or did he do that in & \\
\hline 21 don't know. I don't remember - we'll have to & & 21 his capacity as the person in control of Highland? & \\
\hline 22 look through the documents - what the actual - & & 22 A I would imagine it would have been both. & \\
\hline 23 oh, you're saying before February 15th. Yes, & & 23 Further supported, he transferred money - of his & \\
\hline 24 that's when the paid insurance proceeds came in. & & 24 own money to HCMLP to then pay HCMFA. And so & \\
\hline 25 Soyes. & & 25 he - yes, he was on both sides of it, but he had & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 138 & & Page 139 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 the authority on both sides to make that decision. & & 2 an agreement to that effect, no. & \\
\hline 3 Q Okay. And so Mr. Dondero reached an & & 3 Q You haven't seen anything; correct? & \\
\hline 4 agreement with himself pursuant to which HCMFA & & 4 A No. & \\
\hline 5 demanded and Highland agreed to pay the & & 5 Q Have you looked? & \\
\hline 6 \$7.4 million as a consequence of Highland's & & 6 A We have. I actually wouldn't be & \\
\hline 7 negligent conduct in the performance of its & & 7 surprised - I would be surprised to see one. And & \\
\hline 8 valuation services. Do I have that right? & & 8 it's - my understanding is - and the company's & \\
\hline 9 A Sounds like there's a legal determination & & 9 position is that there doesn't need to be an & \\
\hline 10 there that needs to be made. I- & & 10 agreement. Right? We- & \\
\hline 11 Q It's a factual one, I promise. & & 11 Q I'm not asking - I'm going to interrupt & \\
\hline 12 A Entering - whether entering into an & & 12 you again. I'm not asking you - & \\
\hline 13 agreement or not, I-that seems like a legal & & 13 MR. RUKAVINA: Well, John - & \\
\hline 14 determination. But maybe ask the question again. & & 14 MR. MORRIS: -- anything like that. & \\
\hline 15 Q Did somebody on behalf of Highland agree & & 15 I need him to answer my questions or we're & \\
\hline 16 to pay HCMFA \$7.4 million in order to compensate & & 16 going to be here all night. & \\
\hline 17 HCMFA for Highland's negligent services in & & 17 MR. RUKAVINA: John, hold on. & \\
\hline 18 connection with the TerreStar valuation? & & 18 BYMR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 19 A Yes. Mr. Dondero. & & 19 Q Have you ever - have you ever seen & \\
\hline 20 Q Thank you. & & 20 anything- & \\
\hline 21 Is there any document anywhere that & & 21 MR. RUKAVINA: John, hold on. Hold & \\
\hline 22 you have ever seen that reflects Highland's & & 22 on. & \\
\hline 23 agreement to pay \$7.4 million as compensation to & & 23 MR. MORRIS: No, no. Davor, & \\
\hline 24 HCMFA? & & 24 please-please- & \\
\hline 25 A I haven't seen a settlement agreement or & & 25 MR. RUKAVINA: John, it is not our & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 140 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 141 \\
\hline 2 position-it is not-it is our & & 2 Q And the cash portion was really just the & \\
\hline 3 position that there is no settlement & & 3 deductible? & \\
\hline 4 agreement. & & 4 A If you want to go to Page 2, we can look & \\
\hline 5 MR. MORRIS: Thank you very much. & & 5 at the details. & \\
\hline 6 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 6 Q Sure. Sure. & \\
\hline 7 Q Is it your position that there is any & & 7 A Idon't have it all by memory. & \\
\hline 8 document of any kind that reflects Highland's & & 8 Q That's fair. & \\
\hline 9 agreement to pay \(\$ 7.4\) million as compensation? & & 9 MR. MORRIS: Let's go to the next & \\
\hline 10 A No. Subject to our defenses, but there's & & 10 page. & \\
\hline 11 none. & & 11 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 12 Q Did Mr. Dondero tell Mr. Waterhouse that & & 12 Q Looking at this, do the third and fourth & \\
\hline 13 the money that he was asking to be transferred & & 13 lines refresh your recollection - & \\
\hline 14 from Highland to HCMFA be transferred as & & 14 A Yes. & \\
\hline 15 compensation for the NAV error? & & 15 Q - that the February payment was funded & \\
\hline 16 A Our position is that this was compensation & & 16 through insurance proceeds and an insurance & \\
\hline 17 for the NAV error, and that was discussed. & & 17 deductible paid by the adviser? & \\
\hline 18 Mr . Dondero told Frank. And I believe Frank even & & 18 A Yes, I believe that's correct. & \\
\hline 19 testified to that, and Mr. Dondero testified to & & 19 Q Okay. And Topic Number 8 on the 30(b)(6) & \\
\hline 20 that in their depositions. & & 20 notice relates to the insurance claim; right? & \\
\hline 21 Q Okay. Now, you said that the February & & 21 A Uh-huh. & \\
\hline 22 payment of over \$5 million was funded through & & 22 Q Okay. Did you do anything to familiarize & \\
\hline 23 insurance proceeds and cash. & & 23 yourself with the insurance claim? & \\
\hline 24 Do I have that right? & & 24 A Idid. & \\
\hline 25 A Yes. & & 25 Q And what did you do to familiarize & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 142 & & Page 143 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Noris & \\
\hline 2 yourself with the insurance claim? & & 2 insurance company - & \\
\hline 3 A Idiscussed with DC and Davor the & & 3 Q And -- and the fling - & \\
\hline 4 company's position on the insurance claim. & & 4 A - on behalf of HCMFA. & \\
\hline 5 Q Okay. I don't want to know what the & & 5 Q And the fling that was made, was that a & \\
\hline 6 company's position is. I want to know what the & & 6 claim that was made on behalf of HCMFA? & \\
\hline 7 facts are. & & 7 A I believe so, yes. & \\
\hline 8 Did you learn any facts in connection & & 8 Q And did HCMFA authorize the filing of that & \\
\hline 9 with your diligence and your preparation to answer & & 9 claim? & \\
\hline 10 topic - questions on Topic Number 8? & & 10 A Our position is that that-- that is a & \\
\hline 11 A Yeah. The HCMFA policy was - was - the & & 11 valid claim from HCMFA. & \\
\hline 12 HCMFA - HCMFA had an insurance policy with ICI & & 12 Q All right. Did HCMFA authorize the fling & \\
\hline 13 Mutual; and based on the NAV error, the policy & & 13 of the insurance claim? & \\
\hline 14 was - I don't know what the word is -- was used & & 14 A I-Idon't know. & \\
\hline 15 to seek reimbursement for the NAV error. & & 15 Q Did - has HCMFA ever told anybody at any & \\
\hline 16 Q Okay. So - & & 16 time that the insurance claim was not authorized & \\
\hline 17 (Reporter discussion off the record.) & & 17 by HCMFA? & \\
\hline 18 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 18 A No. & \\
\hline 19 Q So did HCMFA file a claim for insurance & & 19 Q And HCMFA received almost \$5 million on & \\
\hline 20 coverage with ICI Mutual in connection with the & & 20 account of the claim; right? & \\
\hline 21 NAV error? & & 21 A Correct. & \\
\hline 22 A The HCMLP employees, I believe, through & & 22 Q And HCMFA wanted to recover on its & \\
\hline 23 Frank Waterhouse and his team, did that. They - & & 23 insurance claim; correct? & \\
\hline 24 they managed the insurance as part of the shared & & 24 A Yes. & \\
\hline 25 services agreement, and they filed with the & & 25 Q Did the claim - was the claim made in & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 144 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 145 \\
\hline 2 writing? & & 2 interacting with ICI Mutual. & \\
\hline 3 A I believe so. & & 3 Q HCMFA and HCMLP broke up at the end of & \\
\hline 4 Q Have you seen the claim? & & 4 February; is that fair? & \\
\hline 5 A I don't-I don't recall seeing the & & 5 A That's correct. & \\
\hline 6 claim. & & 6 Q At any time since the end of February, has & \\
\hline 7 Q In connection with the defense of this & & 7 HCMFA made any effort to obtain any information & \\
\hline 8 lawsuit and the preparation, have you made any & & 8 concerning this insurance claim from ICI Mutual? & \\
\hline 9 efforts to identify the actual claim that was & & 9 A I don't know where we got the source of -- & \\
\hline 10 filed on behalf of HCMFA? & & 10 of the documents, but there - there was - they & \\
\hline 11 MR. RUKAVINA: Let me interject - & & 11 were searching for the ICl documents. I don't & \\
\hline 12 let-- let me interject. And we can talk & & 12 know if it came from ICI or another source. & \\
\hline 13 about this offline. We searched for that & & 13 Q Anybody - & \\
\hline 14 and could not find it. We suspect it & & 14 A Idon't- & \\
\hline 15 might be in HCMLP's legal documents that & & 15 Q Anybody from HCMFA reach out to ICI Mutual & \\
\hline 16 we don't have access to, but we have and & & 16 for information relating to this insurance claim & \\
\hline 17 we continue to actively search for the & & 17 at any time? & \\
\hline 18 claim itself. We have not been able to & & 18 A Idon't - not that I'm aware of. & \\
\hline 19 find it. & & 19 Q Okay. & \\
\hline 20 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 20 A They may have, but I don't know. & \\
\hline 21 Q Does HCMFA use an insurance broker? & & 21 Q Do you know when the claim was filed? & \\
\hline 22 A I don't believe so for this. It think it's & & 22 A I don't. I--I don't. I-I think it & \\
\hline 23 directly with ICI Mutual. And it - we - there's & & 23 may have been late 2018, but I'm not sure. & \\
\hline 24 no broker, but it goes through HCMLP's employees. & & 24 Q And at the time HCMFA filed the claim for & \\
\hline 25 Frank Waterhouse would have been the one probably & & 25 insurance, it had already formed the opinion that & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 146 & & Page 147 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 Highland Capital Management, LP, was the & & 27.4 million, with the net - net loss that's shown & \\
\hline 3 responsible party; correct? & & 3 there, estimated loss. & \\
\hline 4 A Ibelieve so, yes. & & 4 Q Right. So it's fair to say, then, from - & \\
\hline 5 Q Did HCMFA tell the insurance company that & & 5 that it's HCMFA's position that it received & \\
\hline 6 Highland Capital Management was the responsible & & 6 \$7.4 million from Highland as compensation, and & \\
\hline 7 party? & & 7 approximately \(\$ 5\) million from the insurance & \\
\hline 8 A I'm not sure. Again, this was Highland & & 8 carrier as compensation for total receipts of & \\
\hline 9 employees that filled out the materials and was & & 9 \$12.4 million in connection with the NAV star - & \\
\hline 10 working with ICI. So I don't know if your & & 10 with the TerreStar valuation error? & \\
\hline 11 employees notified them. & & 11 A Correct. & \\
\hline 12 Q So the total estimated loss was & & 12 Q Okay. Why would H-- why does HCMFA & \\
\hline 13 approximately \(\$ 7.5\) million; right? That's the top & & 13 contend that its entitled to \(\$ 12.4\) million from & \\
\hline 14 number on the right? & & 14 Highland and the insurance company when the total & \\
\hline 15 A Yes. & & 15 loss was only \$7.4 million? & \\
\hline 16 Q Okay. And roughly two-thirds of that was & & 16 A Yeah, it's - it's our position that the & \\
\hline 17 financed through insurance proceeds that were & & 17 collateral - and I'm not an attorney. But & \\
\hline 18 received in February of 2019; correct? & & 18 understanding our position here, that under Texas & \\
\hline 19 A Correct. & & 19 law, the collateral source rule would permit you & \\
\hline 20 Q And thereafter, it's HCMFA's contention & & 20 to recover value from the insurance company and to & \\
\hline 21 that Highland paid it another \$7.4 million for & & 21 the individual or the - the company that created & \\
\hline 22 purposes of providing compensation in connection & & 22 the - or caused you harm. & \\
\hline 23 with its negligent work on the - on the TerreStar & & 23 Q So you're - would you agree that HCMFA & \\
\hline 24 valuation error, correct? & & 24 has profited by about \$5 million as a result of & \\
\hline 25 A Yes, that's correct. And that lines up, & & 25 the NAV error under that theory? & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 148 & 1 Dustin Noris & Page 149 \\
\hline 2 A I-I don't know that - how the theory & & 2 Q Okay. I just want to make this really & \\
\hline 3 relates to profits, but we've - we've paid -- and & & 3 clean. & \\
\hline 4 say, "What's the logic for this?" We paid in & & 4 The estimated net loss from the NAV & \\
\hline 5 insurance premiums for years, significant & & 5 error is \$7.442 million; correct? & \\
\hline 6 insurance premiums. And so there's been a loss & & 6 A The estimated loss from the NAV error, & \\
\hline 7 for years and years for the insurance, and then & & 7 yes. & \\
\hline 8 we're now hitting that insurance to say there's a & & 8 Q Okay. And notwithstanding that HCMFA & \\
\hline 9 gain of \$5 million, whatever number you threw out. & & 9 believed that Highland was the responsible party, & \\
\hline 10 I would disagree with that. & & 10 HCMFA, nevertheless, filed a claim for insurance & \\
\hline 11 But, yes, there was proceeds of & & 11 coverage with ICI Mutual; correct? & \\
\hline 12 12-and-a-half million, but we've been paying in & & 12 A That's correct. & \\
\hline 13 insurance proceeds or premiums for a long time. & & 13 Q And ICI Mutual paid almost \$5 million in & \\
\hline 14 We're going to continue, and likely, I would & & 14 connection with that claim; correct? & \\
\hline 15 imagine, those premiums will go up because of the & & 15 A Correct. & \\
\hline 16 claim. & & 16 Q And in addition to that almost \$5 million, & \\
\hline 17 Sol-l'm, again, not a lawyer. I & & 17 it's HCMFA's position that it received and was & \\
\hline 18 don't understand all the reasons why it's & & 18 entitled to receive an additional \(\$ 7.4\) million & \\
\hline 19 permitted. But our position is that the & & 19 from Highland as compensation for its error; & \\
\hline 20 collateral source rule under Texas law permits you & & 20 correct? & \\
\hline 21 to receive from the insurance - your insurance & & 21 A Correct. & \\
\hline 22 provider and from the party that did you harm. & & 22 Q So that notwithstanding the fact that the & \\
\hline 23 And as you said, here we believe it's negligence. & & 23 estimated net loss was \$7.44 million, HCMFA & \\
\hline 24 It may be breach of contract, but we believe it's & & 24 received and contends that it's entitled to keep & \\
\hline 25 negligence. & & 25 \$12.4 million; correct? & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 154 & & Page 155 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 September is when HCMFA first learned about it? & & 2 several weeks, if not months. & \\
\hline 3 A About - define "it." Is that the NAV & & 3 So that is not when they found out & \\
\hline 4 error. & & 4 about a NAV error, but the questions over & \\
\hline 5 Q I apologize. Let me ask the question & & 5 valuation, yes. & \\
\hline 6 again. & & 6 Q Okay. So then let me state the question & \\
\hline 7 Is it fair to say, based on the timing & & 7 differently then. & \\
\hline 8 of the audit, 60 days after June 30th would take & & 8 Is it fair to say that HCMFA first & \\
\hline 9 us to approximately August 31st, right? & & 9 learned in or about August 2018 of the valuation & \\
\hline 10 A It does. & & 10 issues? & \\
\hline 11 Q And so is it fair to say, then, that HCMFA & & 11 A The "about" is key here. I don't know the & \\
\hline 12 first learned about the NAV error sometime in & & 12 specific date, but around that time or earlier -- & \\
\hline 13 August of 2018 while it was preparing the & & 13 Q Okay. & \\
\hline 14 financials for the period ending June 30th? & & 14 A - or later. On or around that time. & \\
\hline 15 A No. Idon't think there was a & & 15 Q And did HCMFA conclude, at the same time & \\
\hline 16 determination of whether there was a NAV error or & & 16 it learned of the valuation issues, that HCMFA was & \\
\hline 17 not at that point. Ithink the reason they have & & 17 the responsible party? Or was there a gap between & \\
\hline 18 going all the way to January 19-2019 is it & & 18 learning about the valuation issues and making the & \\
\hline 19 wasn't determined - finalized if there is an & & 19 determination that Highland was the responsible & \\
\hline 20 error or not. & & 20 party? & \\
\hline 21 There was a lot of discussion with the & & 21 A Yeah, first you said HCMFA was the & \\
\hline 22 SEC and auditors over whether there was or wasn't & & 22 responsible party, and then you said Highland. & \\
\hline 23 an error, what the amount was, what the proper & & 23 Q I apologize. Let me try and restate that. & \\
\hline 24 valuation should be. There was consultation with & & 24 Did HCMFA conclude that Highland was & \\
\hline 25 the SEC, and that process lasted, I believe, & & 25 the responsible party at or around the same time & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 156 & 1 Dustin Noris & Page 157 \\
\hline 2 that it learned of the valuation issues, or was & & 2 Q Do you know when there was a determination & \\
\hline 3 there a period during which it knew about the & & 3 that there was a NAV error? & \\
\hline 4 valuation issues, but not - had not yet formed & & 4 A I don't know the specific time, no. & \\
\hline 5 the conclusion that Highland was the responsible & & 5 Q Do you know if it was in 2019 or 2018? & \\
\hline 6 party? & & 6 A Idon't remember. & \\
\hline 7 A From the beginning, everybody knew who the & & 7 Q Is it fair to say that it was before & \\
\hline 8 responsible party was for the valuation. Those & & 8 May of 2019? & \\
\hline 9 reporting the issues, those responding to & & 9 A That there was a determination there was a & \\
\hline 10 auditors, those responding to SEC and the board & & 10 NAV error? Yes. & \\
\hline 11 were all HCMLP employees from the beginning. But & & 11 Q And is it fair to say that HCMFA had & \\
\hline 12 Idon't have a specific date. & & 12 concluded that the loss of that NAV error was & \\
\hline 13 Again, as you look here, it doesn't & & 13 going to be more than a million dollars prior to & \\
\hline 14 say when the NAV error was determined, but from & & 14 May 2019? & \\
\hline 15 the beginning, it was the knowledge that HCMLP was & & 15 A More than a million? Probably - yes. & \\
\hline 16 responsible for the valuations. & & 16 Q Okay. Is there a reason that HCMFA waited & \\
\hline 17 Q Okay. Do you know when HCMFA first & & 17 until May to have Highland pay it for the & \\
\hline 18 determined that the estimated loss was & & 18 compensation? & \\
\hline 19 approximately \(\$ 7.4\) million? & & 19 A I think that the whole process - as you & \\
\hline 20 A Idon't, no. Idon't have specifics, but & & 20 see, the resolution memo is in May to the board. & \\
\hline 21 it was after there was a determination there was & & 21 That was the conclusion of the overall process. & \\
\hline 22 actually a NAV error. And it may be in some of & & 22 So our stance would be that that was when it was & \\
\hline 23 the documents that you have. I believe it may be & & 23 the right time and everything was - the right & \\
\hline 24 in, you know, a memo to the board or the SEC, but & & 24 time to be sent. & \\
\hline 25 Idon't know offhand. & & 25 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we put up & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 158 & & Page 159 \\
\hline Dustin Norris & & Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 on the screen a document that's been & & 2 that right? & \\
\hline 3 marked as, I think, as Exhibit 13? I & & 3 A On the second amended answer, yes. & \\
\hline 4 don't know if you're able to get that, & & 4 Q Yes. & \\
\hline 5 LaAsia. & & 5 A I'm sorry. The first amended answer, yes. & \\
\hline 6 MS. CANTY: Yup, I got it. & & 6 Q And as of today, is it your understanding & \\
\hline 7 MR. MORRIS: Thank you. & & 7 that this is HCMFA's operative pleading? & \\
\hline 8 (Exhibit 13 tendered.) & & 8 A No. & \\
\hline 9 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 9 Q Has it been amended after this time? & \\
\hline 10 Q Are you aware, sir, that there came a & & 10 A Yeah, we- & \\
\hline 11 point in time when HCMFA amended its answer? & & 11 MR. RUKAVINA: Well, he doesn't & \\
\hline 12 A Yes. & & 12 know what "operative pleading" means. & \\
\hline 13 Q And I think topic - & & 13 THE WITNESS: Oh. & \\
\hline 14 A Topic 2 is our amended answer. & & 14 MR. RUKAVINA: Yes, it is our & \\
\hline 15 Q Okay. So that's the document that's in & & 15 operative pleading, Dustin. & \\
\hline 16 front of you? & & 16 THE WITNESS: It is our operative & \\
\hline 17 A Yes. & & 17 pleading then. & \\
\hline 18 Q And you've seen that before; correct? & & 18 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 19 A Yes. & & 19 Q And I didn't mean to trick you. I & \\
\hline 20 Q Okay. & & 20 apologize. I just meant to say that this has not & \\
\hline 21 MR. MORRIS: Can we turn to Page 5 & & 21 been amended as of today; correct? & \\
\hline 22 of 9, please? & & 22 A We filed a - wait. Let me see what it's & \\
\hline 23 And if we can scroll to the bottom. & & 23 called. & \\
\hline 24 BY MR. MORRIS: & & 24 Q You filed a motion for permission to amend & \\
\hline 25 Q These are HCMFA's affirmative defenses; is & & 25 it further - & \\
\hline & Page 160 & & Page 161 \\
\hline Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 A Yes. & & 2 This amended complaint was prepared & \\
\hline 3 Q -but that motion hasn't been granted; & & 3 after DC Sauter conducted an investigation & \\
\hline 4 right? & & 4 concerming the circumstances surrounding the two & \\
\hline 5 A To my understanding, no. & & 5 notes that Highland was suing on; right? & \\
\hline 6 Q Okay. And you understand that your -- the & & 6 A Yes. My understanding is it is after & \\
\hline 7 answer that's up on the screen can't be amended & & 7 he - so background, when he - we filed our & \\
\hline 8 unless the Court grants the motion; right? & & 8 initial response, we didn't have access to the & \\
\hline 9 A I--if you tell me that that's the & & 9 HCMLP employees during that time period. They & \\
\hline 10 process, l'll take that for what it's worth. I'm & & 10 were not permitted to talk to us about things like & \\
\hline 11 not an attomey. I don't know the process. & & 11 this. And so he did the best he could to prepare & \\
\hline 12 Q Okay. So let's just look at this & & 12 a response. But once they were mostly all fired & \\
\hline 13 document. & & 13 by HCMLP and formed their own company called & \\
\hline 14 Is it fair to say that Paragraph 38 & & 14 Skyview, he was able to talk to them on & \\
\hline 15 through 45 deals with - & & 15 particulars. As you note in his - his statement, & \\
\hline 16 A I'm going to grab the - & & 16 he was able to talk to Frank Waterhouse, where he & \\
\hline 17 Q Yeah. & & 17 wasn't before, on this topic. & \\
\hline 18 A - thing here so I can see it on my desk, & & 18 Q Right. So by the time this document has & \\
\hline 19 too. & & 19 been prepared, HCMFA had copies of the notes that & \\
\hline 20 Q Sure. & & 20 Highland was suing on for six months; right? & \\
\hline 21 A Okay. & & 21 Because the lawsuit was commenced in January, and & \\
\hline 22 38? & & 22 the notes were attached as exhibits to the & \\
\hline 23 Q Right. & & 23 complaint; right? & \\
\hline 24 A Okay. & & 24 A Yes. This is July 6th this is filed. & \\
\hline 25 Q Now--actually, a little background. & & 25 Q Right. Okay. So this is filed almost six & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 162 & & Page 163 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Noris & \\
\hline 2 months after the complaint is filed; right? & & 2 Topic Number 1? No. It's just the answer. & \\
\hline 3 A More like a five-month - five months and & & 3 In looking at the answer, did you look & \\
\hline 4 a week, but yeah. & & 4 at the original complaint? & \\
\hline 5 Q All right. I won't quarrel with you. & & 5 A Yes. & \\
\hline 6 A Or five and a half - five and a half & & 6 Q Do you recall seeing that the notes were & \\
\hline 7 months, yeah. & & 7 attached to the original complaint? & \\
\hline 8 Q Okay. & & 8 A Ilooked at thousands of pages in & \\
\hline 9 A Whether you consider that - & & 9 preparation, so l just - I could take your word & \\
\hline 10 Q Okay. & & 10 for it if you say it's in there, or if you want to & \\
\hline 11 A - six full months or not. & & 11 show it to me, we can look at it. & \\
\hline 12 Q So- & & 12 MR. RUKAVINA: They are, Dustin. & \\
\hline 13 A We know the dates January 22nd and & & 13 They are. & \\
\hline 14 July 6th. & & 14 MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I think you'll & \\
\hline 15 Q Okay. So for that entire time period of & & 15 have to take my word for it. Thank you, & \\
\hline 16 time, there's no dispute that HCMFA had in its & & 16 Davor, for confirming my word. & \\
\hline 17 possession copies of the notes that Highland was & & 17 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 18 suing on; correct? & & 18 Q So let me just try this again to make it & \\
\hline 19 A I'm looking at the original - you said & & 19 clean. & \\
\hline 20 they were attached, but I- & & 20 Based on my representation, that & \\
\hline 21 Q Yeah. & & 21 Mr . Rukavina has agreed with, that the notes that & \\
\hline 22 A If you want to show me the original notes & & 22 Highland are suing on were attached to its & \\
\hline 23 on the original filing. & & 23 complaint in January, you would agree with me that & \\
\hline 24 Q Well, I asked you to look at the original & & 24 HCMFA had the notes in its possession from at & \\
\hline 25 complaint. Ithink - was the original complaint & & 25 least the time the complaint was filed until the & \\
\hline & Page 164 & & Page 165 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Noris & \\
\hline 2 time HCMFA filed this amended answer on July 6th; & & 2 investigation? An analysis? What word do & \\
\hline 3 correct? & & 3 you --would you use? Due diligence? How would & \\
\hline 4 A Yes. & & 4 you characterize the work that Mr. Sauter did & \\
\hline 5 Q And this amended answer was filed because & & 5 that's set forth in his declaration? & \\
\hline 6 HCMFA had a - had previously made a motion to the & & 6 A I--I'm looking here. I want to see how & \\
\hline 7 Court for leave to amend its answer, correct? & & 7 he characterizes it. & \\
\hline 8 MR. RUKAVINA: That's correct, & & 8 Ithink he does a very good job of & \\
\hline 9 Dustin. & & 9 explaining. & \\
\hline 10 He wouldn't know about that, but & & 10 My investigation would be of the & \\
\hline 11 that's all correct. & & 11 following. So he calls it an investigation. & \\
\hline 12 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 12 Q Okay. So HCMFA would agree that after & \\
\hline 13 Q Okay. Well, you're familiar with the & & 13 Mr . Waterhouse left the employ of Highland, that & \\
\hline 14 Sauter declaration; right? & & 14 DC Sauter conducted an investigation into the & \\
\hline 15 A lam. & & 15 circumstances surrounding the notes that Highland & \\
\hline 16 Q And the Sauter declaration purports to & & 16 was suing on; correct? & \\
\hline 17 describe an investigation that Mr. Sauter & & 17 A Correct. & \\
\hline 18 undertook to determine the circumstances & & 18 Q And as part of that investigation, he & \\
\hline 19 surrounding the notes; is that fair? & & 19 spoke with Mr. Waterhouse; correct? & \\
\hline 20 A I don't know if I'd characterize it & & 20 A Yes. & \\
\hline 21 investigation, but he was tasked with - and l've & & 21 Q And as part of that investigation, he & \\
\hline 22 got it right here. I would refer you to the & & 22 spoke with Mr. Dondero; correct? & \\
\hline 23 agreement on - or his - to his declaration on - & & 23 A I believe so, but let me - let me confirm & \\
\hline 24 Q How would you - how would you & & 24 in his statement. & \\
\hline 25 characterize the work that he did? An & & 25 Because I believe in - yeah. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 166 & & Page 167 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 Q Is that correct, that he spoke with & & 2 notes of James Dondero, formerly the CEO of the & \\
\hline 3 Mr . Dondero in connection with his investigation? & & 3 debtor, Mr. Dondero. & \\
\hline 4 A I'm-I'm seeing what he rep'ed to in his & & 4 So this is March 1st when that first & \\
\hline 5 statement. & & 5 fling was made. So he did speak with Mr. Dondero & \\
\hline 6 Q And does his statement say that? I don't & & 6 prior, and then I believe the source of the & \\
\hline 7 have it in front of me. & & 7 additional information was being able to speak & \\
\hline 8 A Idon't know. That's what I'm looking at. & & 8 with Frank Waterhouse and Will Mabry. & \\
\hline 9 Q And you don't know, independently of the & & 9 Q Okay. And is it fair to say that the & \\
\hline 10 document, whether Mr. Sauter spoke with & & 10 amended complaint is based on Mr. Sauter's & \\
\hline 11 Mr . Dondero as part of his investigation? & & 11 investigation? & \\
\hline 12 A I know he did. I know he talked & & 12 A Yes, I believe so. & \\
\hline 13 throughout from when we received the original & & 13 Q Yeah. & \\
\hline 14 complaint on. I just - you're asking about the & & 14 A Yes. & \\
\hline 15 time frame between filing the original fling. & & 15 Q That's why HCMFA amended its complaint. & \\
\hline 16 And I think he may have spoken with him before & & 16 It's because Mr. Sauter had undertaken this & \\
\hline 17 that, too, but I - I just want to take a... & & 17 investigation, and he learned what he believed & \\
\hline 18 So at the time - this is on & & 18 were relevant facts, and those facts are described & \\
\hline 19 March 1st, filed the defendant's original answer. & & 19 in his declaration, and they formed the basis of & \\
\hline 20 At that - at the time the debtor filed a & & 20 the affirmative defenses that we're looking at now & \\
\hline 21 complaint, I promptly undertook an internal review & & 21 in the amended answer; fair? & \\
\hline 22 of the background facts concerning the notes. I & & 22 A Let me pull up the amended answer just & \\
\hline 23 had no knowledge of them since I had not been & & 23 to- & \\
\hline 24 employed by HCMFA. And a few employees of HCMLP & & 24 Q It's up on the screen, but if you have a & \\
\hline 25 had no knowledge of notes. I also discussed the & & 25 hard copy, that's fine. & \\
\hline & Page 168 & & Page 169 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 A Yeah. I have a hard copy here, although I & & 2 A 38 to 45. & \\
\hline 3 may have mixed my documents. & & 3 Yeah, it - the NAV error items are & \\
\hline 4 Yeah, it was based on additional facts & & 4 included in there as one of our defenses. & \\
\hline 5 that weren't available at the time of the original & & 5 Q Right. & \\
\hline 6 response. & & 6 A 43 and 44 and 45 discuss additional & \\
\hline 7 Q Okay. And is it fair to say that & & 7 defenses related to the note and who may or may & \\
\hline 8 Paragraphs 38 through 45 relate to the affirmative & & 8 not have signed the note and who had authority to & \\
\hline 9 defense that Highland was responsible for the NAV & & 9 sign the note. & \\
\hline 10 error, and the \$7.4 million payment was intended & & 10 Q Okay. & \\
\hline 11 to be compensation for Highland's negligent work? & & 11 MR. MORRIS: Can you - can we turn & \\
\hline 12 A Sorry. Can you ask that one more time? & & 12 to Paragraph 42? & \\
\hline 13 There was a couple parts there. & & 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. & \\
\hline 14 Q No problem. & & 14 BYMR.MORRIS: & \\
\hline 15 Is it fair to say that & & 15 Q Do you see the first four - first few & \\
\hline 16 Paragraphs 35-withdrawn. & & 16 words in Paragraph 42 are, quote: "The defendant & \\
\hline 17 Is it fair to say that Paragraphs 38 & & 17 accepted responsibility for the NAV error"? & \\
\hline 18 to 45 relate to HCMFA's affirmative defense that & & 18 A Yes. & \\
\hline 19 the \$7.4 million that was transferred from & & 19 Q Okay. "Defendant" there refers to & \\
\hline 20 Highland to HCMFA in May 2019 was intended to be & & 20 Highland Capital Management, LP; correct? & \\
\hline 21 compensation for Highland's negligent work in & & 21 A No. Ibelieve- & \\
\hline 22 connection with the NAV error and not in the form & & 22 Q Oh, I apologize. I apologize. & \\
\hline 23 of a loan? & & 23 A Thankyou. & \\
\hline 24 A You said 38 to 42? & & 24 Q It's HCMFA; right? & \\
\hline 25 Q 38 to 45. & & 25 A HCMFA. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 174 & & Page 175 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Noris & \\
\hline 2 A Not-not that I know of. However, we've & & 2 complete, unfettered access to Mr. Waterhouse from & \\
\hline 3 been not permitted to talk to him related to this, & & 3 the time he left Highland in early March 2021 & \\
\hline 4 based on his attorney. So- & & 4 until at least the end of July 2021; right? & \\
\hline 5 Q Well, when did - & & 5 A Yeah. And I would add a point to & \\
\hline 6 A We never really discussed -- go ahead. & & 6 Mr . Sauter's declaration and our pleadings and the & \\
\hline 7 Q I'm sorry. & & 7 depositions for the various details of what we've & \\
\hline 8 A Go ahead. You were - & & 8 discovered since. However, the unfettered access & \\
\hline 9 Q I was just going to ask: When did that & & 9 was also inhibited - or - or Mr. Sauter & \\
\hline 10 prohibition go into effect? & & 10 represented this. There was a lot going on in & \\
\hline 11 MR. RUKAVINA: John, the witness & & 11 March, April, May of 2021. & \\
\hline 12 wouldn't know that. It's about three & & 12 Q Yeah. & \\
\hline 13 months ago that the lady from Baker & & 13 A And we were trying to lift out an entire & \\
\hline 14 McKenzie, Deb - I don't know her last & & 14 business and keep everything afloat, and - as & \\
\hline 15 name - got angry at me because I tried to & & 15 you're very aware. And so there was a lot going & \\
\hline 16 talk to Frank and she said, "Absolutely & & 16 on. & \\
\hline 17 not. You're forbidden, and you're & & 17 Q Right. Right. & \\
\hline 18 violating your ethical rules if you do." & & 18 Do you see - can we go to & \\
\hline 19 MR. MORRIS: So sometime in & & 19 Paragraph 43, please? & \\
\hline 20 September? & & 20 A Yes. & \\
\hline 21 MR. RUKAVINA: I would say August & & 21 MR. MORRIS: If we could just & \\
\hline 22 or September. & & 22 scroll down to Paragraph 43, please. & \\
\hline 23 MR. MORRIS: Okay. & & 23 Thank you. & \\
\hline 24 BY MR. MORRIS: & & 24 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 25 Q But sometime - but you had - HCMFA had & & 25 Q Now, again, this amended complaint is & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 176 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 177 \\
\hline 2 filed is July 2006; correct? & & 2 before there was any limitation or restriction & \\
\hline 3 A July 6th, not July 2006. & & 3 imposed on HCMFA's ability to communicate with & \\
\hline 4 Q I apologize. Let me ask the question & & 4 Mr . Waterhouse? & \\
\hline 5 again. & & 5 A Yes. Once he left in March of 2021 is & \\
\hline 6 This amended answer was filed on & & 6 when that happened. And, again, in March, we & \\
\hline 7 July 6th, 2021; correct? & & 7 were, on both sides, the creation of Skyview, as & \\
\hline 8 A Correct. & & 8 well as our employees, trying as - doing & \\
\hline 9 Q And it was filed after Mr. Sauter & & 9 everything we could do to transition the & \\
\hline 10 conducted his investigation to determine the & & 10 businesses and services. And so that was an & \\
\hline 11 circumstances surrounding the note; correct? & & 11 important time. & \\
\hline 12 A Uh-huh, correct. & & 12 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Move to strike. & \\
\hline 13 Q And it was filed after HCMFA had had in & & 13 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 14 its possession since January copies of the notes & & 14 Q I just want to confirm that HCMFA had & \\
\hline 15 that Highland was suing on; correct? & & 15 unfettered access to Mr. Waterhouse between the & \\
\hline 16 A Correct. & & 16 time he left Highland and the time this amended & \\
\hline 17 Q And it was filed at a time before any & & 17 answer was filed in July. & \\
\hline 18 limitation or prohibition was placed on HCMFA's & & 18 A We had access to him to ask him what we & \\
\hline 19 ability to communicate with Mr. Waterhouse since & & 19 needed. Unfettered in the sense of, "Hey, we can & \\
\hline 20 the time he had left Highland; correct? & & 20 access you whenever we need," no, because there & \\
\hline 21 A Sorry. You want to repeat the first part & & 21 was a lot involved in launching and -- launching & \\
\hline 22 of that? & & 22 of Skyview and creating all the services needed & \\
\hline 23 Q Sure. & & 23 for our funds since we - HCMLP is sharing & \\
\hline 24 It was filed at a time after & & 24 services provided - & \\
\hline 25 Mr . Waterhouse left the employ of Highland but & & 25 Q Does Mr. Sauter have a role with HCMFA? & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 182 & & Page 183 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 I-I believe he said he was - Mr. Waterhouse & & 2 he told his controller, Mr. Klos, to transfer the & \\
\hline 3 told him he signed, but - & & 3 funds, and Mr. Klos then turned around and asked & \\
\hline 4 Q Right. And, in fact, HCMFA's position & & 4 Kristin to paper it up as a note, and to transfer & \\
\hline 5 throughout this entire case was that & & 5 the cash. And Ms. Hendrix - Kristin Hendrix then & \\
\hline 6 Mr . Waterhouse signed the notes, but he did so by & & 6 added Mr. Waterhouse's JPEG signature to the Word & \\
\hline 7 mistake and without authority; correct? & & 7 document, which then was filed away. & \\
\hline 8 A That's right. And if you look at the & & 8 So we - we, through the process of & \\
\hline 9 depositions, he testified of that, that he didn't & & 9 depositions and discovery, were able to find more & \\
\hline 10 remember signing them, and he didn't have a & & 10 information that Frank Waterhouse did not & \\
\hline 11 recollection, and Mr. Dondero never told him to & & 11 remember. He didn't remember signing but said his & \\
\hline 12 sign it, and he never asked him whether - or & & 12 signature is on there, so he must have signed it. & \\
\hline 13 he - Mr. Dondero told him never - told him & & 13 MR. MORRIS: All right. Imove to & \\
\hline 14 shouldn't be - didn't - Mr. Dondero didn't tell & & 14 strike. My question is really, really & \\
\hline 15 him it was a note, and he never asked if it should & & 15 simple. & \\
\hline 16 beanote. & & 16 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 17 With this - this amended pleading, & & 17 Q Up until the time that you filed the & \\
\hline 18 the thought was he mistakenly thought it was a & & 18 motion last night, HCMFA's publicly stated & \\
\hline 19 note, because that was the practice for other & & 19 position has always been that Frank Waterhouse & \\
\hline 20 notes or other -- other transfers of this & & 20 signed the notes, and that he did so by mistake & \\
\hline 21 nature - not of this nature, but other transfers & & 21 and without authority; correct? & \\
\hline 22 between companies, and so he had papered it up as & & 22 A Correct. It says it here: & \\
\hline 23 a note. & & 23 "Mr. Waterhouse made a mistake in preparing and & \\
\hline 24 But if you look at the depositions, & & 24 signing the notes for the defendant." & \\
\hline 25 you'll see that additional details came out that & & 25 Q Okay. Good enough. & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 184 & 1 Dustin Norris & Page 185 \\
\hline 2 A And then it says: "Upon information" - & & 2 consideration. We -- there were notes, but there & \\
\hline 3 Q That's - & & 3 was no payment for those notes. The payment was & \\
\hline 4 A - "and belief, Waterhouse was not aware & & 4 for compensation related to the NAV error, so & \\
\hline 5 that the payment from the plaintiff to defendant & & 5 there was no payment - or no compensation for & \\
\hline 6 were to compensate the defendant for the NAV & & 6 notes that had been drafted. & \\
\hline 7 error." & & 7 Q Okay. And the next defense there in & \\
\hline 8 Q I'm sorry. Where are you reading from? & & 8 Paragraph 47 is "mutual mistake." & \\
\hline 9 Oh, that's 44? & & 9 Do you see that? & \\
\hline 10 A That's in number 44. & & 10 A Correct. & \\
\hline 11 Q Okay. & & 11 Q Do you have any facts that support that, & \\
\hline 12 A Yeah. "Waterhouse made a mistake in & & 12 that the mistake was mutual? & \\
\hline 13 preparing and signing the notes for the & & 13 A Yeah. I-- I would look to the & \\
\hline 14 defendant." & & 14 depositions. And if you go to the testimony of & \\
\hline 15 Q Right. Okay. & & 15 Frank and Jim Dondero and David Klos and Kristin, & \\
\hline 16 A But, again, I'll refer you to the & & 16 it was a clear path and a clear record of mutual & \\
\hline 17 depositions and the evidence - & & 17 mistake. & \\
\hline 18 MR. MORRIS: Move to strike. It's & & 18 Jim told Frank to transfer the money & \\
\hline 19 not responsive to my question. & & 19 for the NAV error. Frank then goes, tells & \\
\hline 20 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 20 Mr . Klos, the controller, to go and transfer the & \\
\hline 21 Q Do you see in Paragraph 47 there's a & & 21 money, who tells Kristin to transfer the money - & \\
\hline 22 reference to "lack of consideration"? & & 22 or to make the transfer and to paper it up. & \\
\hline 23 A Yes. & & 23 Kristin then papers it up, following the process & \\
\hline 24 Q Okay. What does that mean? & & 24 that she's always followed or she said she's & \\
\hline 25 A My understanding is that there was no & & 25 followed for many other notes. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 190 & & Page 191 \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & & 1 Dustin Norris & \\
\hline 2 email - and this was used in depositions. & & 2 Let's take a short break. Imay be & \\
\hline 3 There's an email that went - was David Klos & & 3 done. It's 4:09. Can we just come back & \\
\hline 4 instructing the group - or instructing Kristin to & & 4 in six minutes? & \\
\hline 5 send the cash and to record a note. & & 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. Thank you. & \\
\hline 6 Q And you believe that - and it's HCMFA's & & 6 MR. RUKAVINA: Sure. & \\
\hline 7 contention that that document supports their & & 7 MR. MORRIS: Thank you. & \\
\hline 8 position of mutual mistake. Do I have that right? & & 8 (Recess from 3:09 p.m. to 3:19 p.m. CST) & \\
\hline 9 A Again, l'm not an attorney, so tying the & & 9 BYMR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 10 definition as little M, little M, I'm going to & & 10 Q Just a couple more questions, Mr. Norris. & \\
\hline 11 have to say I don't know. & & 11 If you can take a look again at & \\
\hline 12 Q Okay. Other than the emails, the two & & 12 Paragraph 47 of the amended answer. & \\
\hline 13 emails that you referenced and the JPEG documents, & & 13 A Yes. & \\
\hline 14 can you identify any other document created before & & 14 Q Do you see there's also a reference to, & \\
\hline 15 May 1st - March 1st, 2021, that supports or & & 15 quote, "the lack of authority from the defendant & \\
\hline 16 corroborates the defense of mutual mistake? & & 16 to Waterhouse," close quote? & \\
\hline 17 A There may be a document. I-I don't & & 17 A Yes. & \\
\hline 18 know. & & 18 Q HCMFA does not dispute that Mr. Waterhouse & \\
\hline 19 Q Okay. & & 19 was an officer of HCMFA in May of 2019, does it? & \\
\hline 20 A And, again, as you've seen, there's a lot & & 20 A No, we don't dispute that. & \\
\hline 21 of stuff that's come out in discovery, and it's & & 21 Q And HCMFA doesn't dispute that & \\
\hline 22 important that testimony of - of those witnesses & & 22 Mr . Waterhouse, in fact, served as the treasurer & \\
\hline 23 is taken into account. & & 23 of HCMFA in May 2019; correct? & \\
\hline 24 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Move to strike & & 24 A We don't, no. & \\
\hline 25 the last portion of that answer. & & 25 Q Okay. Is the sole basis for the assertion & \\
\hline 1 Dustin Norris & Page 192 & 1 Dustin Noris & Page 193 \\
\hline 2 that Mr. Waterhouse lacked authority was that & & 2 and a half million dollars. Overall from the & \\
\hline 3 Mr . Dondero did not specifically approve it? & & 3 operating business, it was meaningful. But seven & \\
\hline 4 A By nature, just the size of this note and & & 4 and a half million dollars in any entity would & \\
\hline 5 the nature of it would have required Mr. Dondero's & & 5 have required Jim Dondero's approval. & \\
\hline 6 authority. And both Mr. Waterhouse and & & 6 Q And so can you explain to me why, if it & \\
\hline 7 Mr . Dondero testified to that in their deposition. & & 7 would have required his approval, nobody at HCMFA & \\
\hline 8 So I'd refer you to that. They both testified he & & 8 noticed that it was carried on HCMFA's books and & \\
\hline 9 did not have the authority. & & 9 records as a liability since May of 2019? & \\
\hline 10 MR. MORRIS: I'm not sure that he & & 10 A Yeah. I think it's a simple mistake. & \\
\hline 11 did, so l'm going to move to strike. The & & 11 There were other notes of a similar nature in & \\
\hline 12 testimony will be what the testimony will & & 12 size. And as Mr. Dondero testified, he wasn't & \\
\hline 13 be, not your characterization of it. & & 13 reviewing these regularly, the balance sheet. & \\
\hline 14 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 14 Frank Waterhouse was. The accounting team was. & \\
\hline 15 Q But what about the size of the notes & & 15 And so the HCMFA side, there was other notes of & \\
\hline 16 causes HCMFA to contend that Mr. Waterhouse didn't & & 16 similar size and nature. It didn't occur to them & \\
\hline 17 have authority? & & 17 that there was new notes. The accounting team, as & \\
\hline 18 A A seven and a half million dollar note is & & 18 we've - which is our position, created the notes, & \\
\hline 19 large enough to rise that Jim Dondero would have, & & 19 added the signature of Mr . Waterhouse, and then & \\
\hline 20 in any instance, authorized or needed to authorize & & 20 they continued to record those as liabilities on & \\
\hline 21 this, and he did not. & & 21 the balance sheet. And - & \\
\hline 22 Q And is that because a \(\$ 7.4\) million note is & & 22 Q Is- & \\
\hline 23 a substantial obligation for HCMFA? & & 23 A -that was - you had - and, again, I'd & \\
\hline 24 A Youknow, substantial - define & & 24 refer you to our pleadings and our amended & \\
\hline 25 "substantial." It's sizeable. Right? It's seven & & 25 pleadings and the recent pleading yesterday that & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{aligned}
& \text { weighted 131:23 } \\
& \text { 132:8 }
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { year 16:13 49:6 57:24 } \\
\text { 68:3 85:23 97:21 }
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { weighting 129:6,25 } \\
& \text { 131:12 }
\end{aligned}
\] & year-end 57:15 \\
\hline Willmore 25:6 & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { years } 18: 2176: 18 \\
79: 12148: 5,7
\end{gathered}
\] \\
\hline wishes 91:25 & yesterday 9:13 \\
\hline withdrawn 35:13 & 54:19 193:25 \\
\hline 55:22 80:5 82:12,13 & Yup 52:19 54:5 70:11 \\
\hline 92:14 109:10 117:11 & 86:4 92:4 127:21 \\
\hline 122:18 127:4,5 & 133:22 158:6 \\
\hline 168:16 & \\
\hline witnesses 190:22 & Z \\
\hline wondering 100:19 & \\
\hline word 35:21 91:9 & Ziehl 5:10 \\
\hline 131:8 142:14 163:9, & Zoom 12:4 \\
\hline 15,16 165:2 183:6 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{EXHIBIT 193}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Sauter & Page 6 & & D. Sauter & Page 7 \\
\hline 2 A. That's fair. & & 2 & Gruber until 2006. & \\
\hline 3 Q. Okay. Do you have a license to & & 3 & And I went in-house with a & \\
\hline 4 practice law, sir? & & 4 & development firm called St. Ives Realty. I was & \\
\hline 5 A. Ido. & & 5 & there until 2009. & \\
\hline 6 Q. In what states are you admitted to & & 6 & And in 2009, I went back to work & \\
\hline 7 practice? & & 7 & with the group I'd worked with before but now & \\
\hline 8 A. Just Texas. & & 8 & it was called Langley Weinstein. I was with & \\
\hline 9 Q. When did you obtain your license? & & 9 & Langley Weinstein until December 31 of '13. & \\
\hline 10 A. November of 2001. & & 10 & And in 2014, I started at Wick & \\
\hline 11 Q. And did you graduate from law & & 11 & Phillips Gould \& Martin, and I was at Wick & \\
\hline 12 school? & & 12 & Phillips until February of 2020 when I began at & \\
\hline 13 A. Idid. & & 13 & Nexpoint. & \\
\hline 14 Q. Where did you graduate from law & & 14 & Q. And while you were at Nexpoint - I & \\
\hline 15 school? & & & mean, withdrawn. & \\
\hline 16 A. Southern Methodist University. & & 16 & While you were at Wick Phillips, did & \\
\hline 17 Q. And can you describe for me your & & & you provide services to Highland or any of its & \\
\hline 18 employment history from the time you graduated & & & affiliates? & \\
\hline 19 law school until today. & & 19 & A. I provided services primarily to & \\
\hline 20 A. Sure. & & 20 & Nexpoint advisors and its wholly owned & \\
\hline 21 Out of law school I began at a firm & & & subsidiaries. & \\
\hline 22 called Winstead Sechrest \& Minick. And I was & & 22 & I did have occasion to do a couple & \\
\hline 23 there just till tax day, so April 15 of 2002, & & & of discrete engagements for - I think they & \\
\hline 24 when my group moved to a firm at the time that & & 24 & were CLOs but managed by Highland. & \\
\hline 25 was called Godwin Gruber. I was at Godwin & & 25 & Q. Prior to the time that you joined & \\
\hline D. Sauter & Page 8 & 1 & D. Sauter & Page 9 \\
\hline 2 Nexpoint, did you have any particular expertise & & 2 & counsel of Nexpoint? & \\
\hline 3 in a specified area of the law? & & 3 & A. I don't recall exactly, but I would & \\
\hline 4 A. For about the last ten years, real & & 4 & say April or May of this year. & \\
\hline 5 estate. & & 5 & Q. All right. So from approximately & \\
\hline 6 It was, before that, kind of a & & 6 & February of 2020 until approximately April of & \\
\hline 7 hybrid of construction related litigation, & & 7 & 2021, you were the general counsel of real & \\
\hline 8 landlord-tenant disputes, you know, & & 8 & estate, and since approximately April of 2021 & \\
\hline 9 foreclosures. It was all real estate related & & 9 & you were - you have been the general counsel & \\
\hline 10 litigation and then real estate transactional & & & of Nexpoint. & \\
\hline 11 work. & & 11 & Do I have that right? & \\
\hline 12 Q. How did you come to become employed & & 12 & A. Correct. & \\
\hline 13 by Nexpoint? & & 13 & Q. Was there a general counsel of & \\
\hline 14 A. I had worked with the folks here at & & 14 & Nexpoint during the time you served as general & \\
\hline 15 Nexpoint for my entire tenure at Wick Phillips, & & & counsel of real estate? & \\
\hline 16 and they gave me an offer and I accepted. & & 16 & A. There was not. & \\
\hline 17 Q. What offer did they give you? What & & 17 & Generally the way things worked is & \\
\hline 18 position? & & 18 & Scott Ellington was general counsel at Highland & \\
\hline 19 A. I was hired to be general counsel of & & 19 & Capital, and most of the legal department & \\
\hline 20 real estate. & & & reported to him. I was the one attorney that & \\
\hline 21 Q. Are you still the general counsel of & & & was not under him. & \\
\hline 22 real estate? & & 22 & So no, there was not. & \\
\hline 23 A. I'm now the general counsel of & & 23 & Q. Okay. To whom do you report today? & \\
\hline 24 Nexpoint. & & 24 & A. Matt McGraner. & \\
\hline 25 Q. When did you become the general & & 25 & Q. And what is Mr. McGraner's title? & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}





\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 30 & & Page 31 \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & & 1 D. Sauter & \\
\hline 2 Q. I understand. & & 2 BYMR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 3 But the definition of "maker" is & & 3 Q. All right. We're going to refer to & \\
\hline 4 above. Correct? & & 4 these two notes collectively as "the notes." & \\
\hline 5 A. I wouldn't - that's not how I would & & 5 Is that okay? & \\
\hline 6 draft a promissory note. & & 6 A. That's fine. & \\
\hline \(7 \quad\) Q. I didn't ask you how you would draft & & 7 Q. And these are the two notes that you & \\
\hline 8 it . & & 8 were investigating. Right? & \\
\hline 9 I'm just asking you whether, having & & 9 A. Yes. & \\
\hline 10 just looked at the document and as a lawyer & & 10 Q. And it's your understanding that & \\
\hline 11 admitted to practice in law, would you agree & & 11 these are the two notes that Highland Capital & \\
\hline 12 that the term "maker" is a defined term in this & & 12 Management is suing to collect on. Right? & \\
\hline 13 document? & & 13 A. Yes. & \\
\hline 14 MR. RUKAVINA: I'll just object to & & 14 Q. Okay. According to your & \\
\hline 15 form here and also that this witness has not & & 15 declaration, if we can go to Paragraph 13, if & \\
\hline 16 been called as an expert, even though he's a & & 16 we can put that back up on the screen, as part & \\
\hline 17 lawyer. & & 17 of the initial investigation -- withdrawn. & \\
\hline 18 So I'll just preserve that for the & & 18 I'm going to use the phrase "initial & \\
\hline 19 record. & & 19 investigation" to mean the investigation that & \\
\hline 20 MR. MORRIS: Fair. That's fine. & & 20 you conducted between the time the complaint & \\
\hline 21 THE WITNESS: I would agree that & & 21 was filed and the time that HCMFA filed its & \\
\hline 22 "maker" is defined on the first page, but that & & 22 original answer on March 1st. & \\
\hline 23 would be an improper signature block, if it was & & 23 Is that okay? & \\
\hline 24 intended to be Highland Capital Management Fund & & \[
24 \quad \text { A. Sure. }
\] & \\
\hline 25 Advisors. & & 25 Q. And during that initial & \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & Page 32 & 1 D. Sauter & Page 33 \\
\hline 2 investigation, you spoke with Jim Dondero. & & 2 that right? & \\
\hline 3 Correct? & & 3 A. He couldn't recall the genesis, & \\
\hline 4 A. Idid. & & 4 correct. & \\
\hline 5 Q. Okay. And according to & & 5 Q. Did he have any recollection at all & \\
\hline 6 Paragraph 13, he couldn't recall the genesis of & & 6 as to what the notes related to? & \\
\hline 7 the notes. Is that right? & & 7 A. I don't -- I don't believe so, & \\
\hline 8 A. That's correct. & & 8 because if he had, then I would have been able & \\
\hline 9 Q. Did you show him the notes? & & 9 to pin it down further. & \\
\hline 10 A. Idon't recall. & & 10 Q. How many conversations did you have & \\
\hline 11 Q. Did you tell him that the notes were & & 11 with Mr. Dondero as part of your initial & \\
\hline 12 dated May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019? & & 12 investigation? & \\
\hline 13 A. I don't recall that either. & & 13 A. I don't recall. & \\
\hline 14 Q. Did you do anything to try to & & 14 Two, three. & \\
\hline 15 refresh his recollection about the timing of & & 15 Q. Was there anybody present other than & \\
\hline 16 the notes? & & 16 the two of you? & \\
\hline 17 A. I'm sure I did. & & 17 A. Again, I don't recall. & \\
\hline 18 But I don't recall that conversation & & 18 Q. Do you recall if they took place on & \\
\hline 19 in any detail as I'm sitting here today. & & 19 the phone or were they in person? & \\
\hline 20 Q. Did you tell him the principal & & 20 A. It would have been in person. & \\
\hline 21 amount of the notes? & & 21 Q. And why do you say it would have & \\
\hline 22 A. Yes. & & 22 been in person? & \\
\hline 23 Q. And even though you told him the & & 23 A. Well, now that you say that, no, it & \\
\hline 24 principal amount of the notes, he still had no & & 24 probably wasn't in person because he would not & \\
\hline 25 recollection as to what they related to. Is & & 25 have been in the office at that time. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 34 & & Page 35 \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & & 1 D. Sauter & \\
\hline 2 There was obviously a lot of things & & 2 Q. Do you have a recollection of & \\
\hline 3 going on at this point. Mr. Dondero had been & & 3 speaking to Mr. Norris, or are you just & \\
\hline 4 evicted from the building, and so that made -- & & 4 surmising that you probably did? & \\
\hline 5 I shouldn't say evicted. He'd been kicked out & & 5 A. I'm surmising that I probably would & \\
\hline 6 by the debtor, and so that made our & & 6 have. & \\
\hline 7 communications a little more difficult. & & 7 There was a lot, again, that was & \\
\hline 8 So I would have spoken with him on & & 8 happening. Ididn't have the historical & \\
\hline 9 the phone because I did not go over to the & & 9 knowledge of these things, and so I talked with & \\
\hline 10 NexBank office very often. & & 10 Mr . Post and Mr. Norris daily about everything & \\
\hline 11 Q. Paragraph 13 says that you also & & 11 that was going on just to get some background & \\
\hline 12 spoke with "the few employees of HCMFA." & & 12 on all of the moving parts. & \\
\hline 13 Do you see that in the middle of the & & 13 Q. Okay. Do you know if Mr. Norris & \\
\hline 14 paragraph? & & 14 held any position with HCMFA in 2019? & \\
\hline 15 A. Yes. & & 15 A. I don't - I don't know for certain. & \\
\hline 16 Q. Can you identify the other CMFA & & 16 I believe he did. & \\
\hline 17 employees that you spoke with as part of your & & 17 I can't recall what his position & \\
\hline 18 initial investigation? & & 18 would have been. & \\
\hline 19 A. I would have spoken with Dustin & & 19 Q. Does he have a position with HCMFA & \\
\hline 20 Norris and - & & 20 today, to the best of your knowledge? & \\
\hline 21 Q. Do you recall speaking - I & & 21 A. I believe he does. & \\
\hline 22 apologize for interrupting. & & 22 Q. And what do you understand his & \\
\hline 23 Go ahead. & & 23 position to be? & \\
\hline 24 A. And so he wasn't an HCMFA employee, & & 24 A. I would say vice president. & \\
\hline 25 but Jason Post. & & 25 Q. Do you know when he became vice & \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & Page 36 & 1 D. Sauter & Page 37 \\
\hline 2 president of HCMFA? & & 2 A. He was chief compliance officer for & \\
\hline 3 A. Idonot. & & 3 Nexpoint Advisors. & \\
\hline 4 Q. Do you know if he was vice president & & 4 He may have been the chief & \\
\hline 5 of HCMFA in October 2020? & & 5 compliance officer for HCMFA as well. & \\
\hline \(6 \quad\) A. Ido not. & & 6 Q. Okay. & \\
\hline 7 Q. Do you know if Mr. Norris holds any & & 7 A. And if I had to guess, he would have & \\
\hline 8 positions with DAF - I'm sorry. & & 8 had those same positions back in 2019-- & \\
\hline 9 Do you know if Mr. Norris holds any & & 9 Q. Okay. & \\
\hline 10 positions with GAF? & & 10 A. - because Thomas Surgent was the & \\
\hline 11 A. Idon't know. & & 11 chief compliance officer for HCMLP and Jason & \\
\hline 12 Q. How about Mr. Post? Do you know if & & 12 worked under him. & \\
\hline 13 Mr. Post held any positions with HCMFA in 2019? & & 13 And I think that started sometime in & \\
\hline 14 A. Idon't. & & 14 2014, maybe earlier. & \\
\hline 15 Q. Do you know if he holds any & & 15 Q. And did Mr. Norris and Mr. Post tell & \\
\hline 16 positions with HCMFA today? & & 16 you during your initial investigation that they & \\
\hline 17 A. He does not. & & 17 had no knowledge of the notes? & \\
\hline 18 Q. Is Mr. Post a compliance officer, to & & 18 A. Yeah, generally I don't think that & \\
\hline 19 the best of your knowledge? & & 19 they were aware of the notes, or I should say & \\
\hline 20 A. Hewas. & & 20 they weren't aware of the genesis of the notes. & \\
\hline 21 He left a week ago to take another & & 21 Q. Were they aware of the existence of & \\
\hline 22 job. & & 22 the notes? & \\
\hline 23 Q. So he was -- and who did he -- for & & 23 A. They were. & \\
\hline 24 whom did he serve as the chief compliance & & 24 Q. Did they tell you when they had & \\
\hline 25 officer until a week ago? & & 25 learned of the existence of the notes? & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 42 & & & Page 43 \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & & 1 & D. Sauter & \\
\hline 2 Q. Okay. Do you know if - prior to & & & A. Correct. & \\
\hline 3 the time it filed its original answer, whether & & 3 & Q. And HCMFA had no access to the & \\
\hline 4 HCMFA ever asked HCMLP to provide any documents & & & debtor's employees who had provided services to & \\
\hline 5 in connection with the adversary proceeding? & & 5 & HCMFA under shared services agreements. & \\
\hline 6 A. Say that again. & & 6 & Correct? & \\
\hline 7 Q. Sure. & & 7 & A. Ithink our view was it was & \\
\hline 8 So HCMFA filed its answer on & & 8 & potentially improper to reach out to those & \\
\hline 9 March 1st, according to Paragraph 12. & & & employees on a matter that was adverse to & \\
\hline 10 Do I have that right? & & 10 & HCMLP, and so we refrained from doing so. & \\
\hline 11 A. I believe that's right. & & 11 & Q. Okay. And so under those & \\
\hline 12 Q. Okay. Do you know if HCMFA ever & & 12 & circumstances, HCMFA nevertheless filed an & \\
\hline 13 asked Highland for any documents before it & & 13 & answer that asserted no affirmative defenses. & \\
\hline 14 filed its answer? & & 14 & Correct? & \\
\hline 15 A. Idon't recall. & & 15 & A. Yes. & \\
\hline 16 Q. So at the time HCMFA filed its & & 16 & Q. But this situation changed in & \\
\hline 17 answer, Mr. Dondero couldn't recall the genesis & & & mid-April 2001. Correct? & \\
\hline 18 of the notes. Correct? & & 18 & A. Yes. & \\
\hline 19 A. That's right. & & 19 & Q. If we can scroll down to & \\
\hline 20 Q. And neither Mr. Post nor Mr. Norris & & 20 & Paragraph 19. & \\
\hline 21 could recall the genesis of the notes. & & 21 & (Discussion was held off the & \\
\hline 22 Correct? & & & record.) & \\
\hline 23 A. Correct. & & 23 & Q. So in April 2001, the situation & \\
\hline 24 Q. And HCMFA had limited access to & & & changed because Mr. Waterhouse and other former & \\
\hline 25 books and records. Correct? & & 25 & employees of Highland had migrated over to & \\
\hline & Page 44 & & & Page 45 \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & & 1 & D. Sauter & \\
\hline 2 Skyview so that you had access to them. Is & & & requests, and those were either granted or & \\
\hline 3 that right? & & & denied. And so there were a litany of & \\
\hline 4 A. Correct. & & & documents that were sent over. & \\
\hline 5 Q. And that's when you conducted the & & & Q. Can you identify any documents that & \\
\hline 6 second phase of your investigation. Correct? & & & you reviewed as part of either the initial & \\
\hline 7 A. Yes. & & & investigation or the follow-up investigation in & \\
\hline 8 Q. And you'll see at the end of Page 4 & & 8 & April 2021? & \\
\hline 9 you reference that the debtor had provided & & 9 & A. Yes. & \\
\hline 10 access to HCMFA of much of its books and & & 10 & I would have reviewed documents & \\
\hline 11 records. & & 11 & related to the TerreStar NAV error. & \\
\hline 12 Do l have that right? & & 12 & Q. And can you describe what those & \\
\hline 13 A. Yes. & & 13 & documents are. & \\
\hline 14 Q. Okay. And what books and records & & 14 & A. Memos. & \\
\hline 15 did Highland provide between March 1st and & & 15 & Q. Okay. Do you recall how many memos & \\
\hline 16 mid-April when you conducted the second phase & & & you reviewed that concerned the TerreStar NAV & \\
\hline 17 of your investigation? & & 17 & issue? & \\
\hline 18 Are there any particular books and & & 18 & A. I want to say that there were three, & \\
\hline 19 records that you're referring to in that & & & four or five, something along those lines. & \\
\hline 20 sentence? & & 20 & I think there was a memo that was & \\
\hline 21 A. I can't recall exactly what it was. & & & submitted to the board and then maybe some & \\
\hline 22 There was a process that we were & & 22 & communications with the SEC. & \\
\hline 23 going through that I think - if you'll recall, & & 23 & Q. And is it your testimony that HCMFA & \\
\hline 24 that we went back and forth on obtaining access & & & did not have those memos until after March 1st, & \\
\hline 25 to books and records, submitting written & & & 2021? & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 54 & & & Page 55 \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & & 1 & D. Sauter & \\
\hline 2 his own declaration in support of HCMFA's & & 2 & A. No. & \\
\hline 3 motion for leave to amend their answer. & & 3 & Q. Okay. Your declaration certainly & \\
\hline 4 Correct? & & 4 & doesn't say that Mr. Waterhouse admitted & \\
\hline 5 A. Correct. & & 5 & signing the notes without authority. Correct? & \\
\hline 6 Q. During your investigation did you & & 6 & A. Correct. & \\
\hline 7 ask Mr. Waterhouse if he had authority to sign & & 7 & Q. Mr. Waterhouse never filed a & \\
\hline 8 the notes? & & 8 & declaration in this case stating that he had & \\
\hline 9 A. Probably not in those exact words. & & 9 & filed the notes without authority. Correct? & \\
\hline 10 Q. Okay. Did you ask him in form or & & 10 & A. Correct. & \\
\hline 11 substance whether he was authorized to sign the & & 11 & Q. Are you aware that Mr. Waterhouse & \\
\hline 12 notes? & & 12 & was deposed in this case? & \\
\hline 13 A. Yes. & & 13 & A. I'm-yes, I'm aware. & \\
\hline 14 Q. And what did he say? & & 14 & Q. Have you reviewed his deposition & \\
\hline 15 A. I think he -- well, his response was & & 15 & transcript? & \\
\hline 16 if he signed them, he was authorized to sign & & 16 & A. I have not. & \\
\hline 17 them. & & 17 & Q. Has his testimony been described for & \\
\hline 18 Q. Okay. And Mr. Waterhouse never told & & 18 & you by anybody? & \\
\hline 19 you that he signed the notes without authority. & & 19 & MR. RUKAVINA: And I'll just caution & \\
\hline 20 Correct? & & 20 & you, Mr. Sauter. You know, Ithink that's a & \\
\hline 21 A. He told me that - I asked him if & & 21 & yes or no answer, but don't go into the & \\
\hline 22 Mr . Dondero had approved the notes. & & 22 & substance of any discussions with me. & \\
\hline 23 And I don't think he could recall. & & 23 & THE WITNESS: Yes. Okay. & \\
\hline 24 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Waterhouse ever tell & & 24 & Yes. & \\
\hline 25 you that he signed the notes without authority? & & 25 & & \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & Page 56 & 1 & D. Sauter & Page 57 \\
\hline 2 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 2 & A. I think I implied it. & \\
\hline 3 Q. All right. Are you aware that he & & 3 & Q. Do you have a recollection of & \\
\hline 4 testified that nobody has ever told him that he & & 4 & actually telling him that he made a mistake? & \\
\hline 5 made a mistake in signing the notes? & & 5 & A. That would be my recollection. & \\
\hline 6 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, form. & & 6 & Obviously he disagrees with it. & \\
\hline 7 THE WITNESS: I'm not. & & 7 & Q. Do you know if any - and on what & \\
\hline 8 Q. Are you aware of anybody in the & & 8 & basis did you conclude that he made a mistake? & \\
\hline 9 world ever telling Mr. Waterhouse that he made & & 9 & Withdrawn. & \\
\hline 10 a mistake in signing the notes? & & 10 & You have no personal knowledge of & \\
\hline 11 A. Yes. & & 11 & anything that happened in connection with the & \\
\hline 12 Q. And who told him that? & & 12 & TerreStar valuation issue. Correct? & \\
\hline 13 A. Me. & & 13 & A. I was not personally involved in the & \\
\hline 14 Q. And when did you tell him that? & & 14 & TerreStar valuation issue, correct. & \\
\hline 15 A. When we had this discussion. & & 15 & Q. You weren't involved in any of the & \\
\hline 16 Q. Okay. So it's your testimony that & & 16 & decisions that were made in connection with the & \\
\hline 17 you actually told Mr. Waterhouse that he made a & & 17 & TerreStar valuation. Correct? & \\
\hline 18 mistake in signing the notes. Right? & & 18 & A. Correct. & \\
\hline 19 A. I asked him who had approved these & & 19 & Q. You weren't made - you weren't & \\
\hline 20 notes and what was the process. & & 20 & involved and had no responsibility for HCMFA's & \\
\hline 21 And he said he couldn't give me any & & 21 & response to the SEC. Correct? & \\
\hline 22 process. He said the money was transferred, & & 22 & A. Correct. & \\
\hline 23 and so we signed the notes. & & 23 & Q. You had no responsibility or & \\
\hline 24 Q. Okay. But did you tell him that he & & 24 & involvement in the decision as to how HCMFA was & \\
\hline 25 made a mistake? & & & going to fund the losses to the GAF. Correct? & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 58 & & & Page 59 \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & & 1 & D. Sauter & \\
\hline 2 A. Correct. & & 2 & Mr. Waterhouse, does it? & \\
\hline 3 Q. You had no responsibility or & & 3 & A. It does not. & \\
\hline 4 involvement in how HCMFA reported to GAF. & & 4 & Q. In fact, your declaration is just - & \\
\hline 5 Correct? & & & withdrawn. & \\
\hline 6 A. Correct. & & 6 & If we can go to Paragraph 30. & \\
\hline 7 Q. But nevertheless, despite having no & & 7 & Take a look at Paragraph 30. We'll & \\
\hline 8 personal knowledge of those issues, you told & & 8 & kind of parse it through. & \\
\hline 9 Mr . Waterhouse or implied to Mr. Waterhouse & & 9 & The first sentence says: "It & \\
\hline 10 that he made a mistake in executing the notes. & & 10 & appears clear that Mr. Waterhouse made a & \\
\hline 11 Correct? & & & mistake." & \\
\hline 12 A. Correct. & & 12 & Do you see that? & \\
\hline 13 Q. What did Mr. Waterhouse say in & & 13 & A. Yes. & \\
\hline 14 response? & & 14 & Q. But again, Mr. Waterhouse never & \\
\hline 15 A. Not much. He just disagreed. & & 15 & admitted to making a mistake. Correct? & \\
\hline 16 Q. Did he just say, I disagree, and & & 16 & A. Correct. & \\
\hline 17 that's it or did he actually -- do you recall & & 17 & Q. And this is your - this is a & \\
\hline 18 anything specific that he said? & & 18 & conclusion that you're reaching in May of 2021, & \\
\hline 19 A. I think l've already testified he & & & more than two years after the fact. Correct? & \\
\hline 20 said, we transferred the money, so I executed & & 20 & A. Based upon my review of the & \\
\hline 21 the notes. HCMFA didn't have the money to pay & & & documents and my discussions with Mr. Post and & \\
\hline 22 GAF, and so we transferred it from HCMLP and I & & 22 & Mr. Norris. & \\
\hline 23 executed the notes. & & 23 & Q. Did you ever have any discussions & \\
\hline 24 Q. Okay. Your declaration doesn't & & 24 & with Mr. Dondero in May of 2021 as you were & \\
\hline 25 attribute any specific statements to & & 25 & preparing this document? & \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & Page 60 & 1 & D. Sauter & Page 61 \\
\hline 2 A. Did I have any discussions with him & & 2 & Q. Okay. Again, that's your own & \\
\hline 3 about this? & & & conclusion. Is that fair? & \\
\hline 4 Q. I apologize. That was a bad & & 4 & A. That's correct. & \\
\hline 5 question. & & 5 & Q. And then you continue on and you & \\
\hline 6 Did you discuss in May of 2021 the & & 6 & write: "Third" - withdrawn. & \\
\hline 7 issues concerning the notes with Mr. Dondero, & & 7 & You write: "Third, it therefore & \\
\hline 8 or was that just part of the initial & & & appears that Mr. Waterhouse prepared the notes & \\
\hline 9 investigation? & & 9 & for some internal accounting or other purpose & \\
\hline 10 A. Idon't recall. & & 10 & but without there being actual consideration & \\
\hline 11 Q. And then a couple of lines down, you & & 11 & for the notes and without any intention on the & \\
\hline 12 say - you wrote: "It appears that & & 12 & part of the debtor and HCMFA that there be & \\
\hline 13 Mr . Waterhouse assumed incorrectly that the & & 13 & notes or that there be a loan transaction." & \\
\hline 14 funds being paid by the debtor were a loan to & & 14 & Have I read that correctly? & \\
\hline 15 HCMFA." & & 15 & A. Yes. & \\
\hline 16 Do you see that? & & 16 & Q. So did Mr. Waterhouse tell you that & \\
\hline 17 A. Yes. & & 17 & he prepared the notes for some internal & \\
\hline 18 Q. Did you ask Mr. Waterhouse if he & & 18 & accounting or other purpose? & \\
\hline 19 actually made the assumption that you're & & 19 & A. Yes. & \\
\hline 20 attributing to him? & & 20 & Q. And did he tell you what the purpose & \\
\hline 21 A. Yes. & & 21 & of the notes was? & \\
\hline 22 Q. And did he ever admit that the & & 22 & A. Yes. & \\
\hline 23 assumption was incorrect? & & 23 & He said if he transferred money he & \\
\hline 24 A. He did not admit that the assumption & & & had to have a note to go with it. & \\
\hline 25 was incorrect. & & 25 & Q. You state in your declaration: & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & \multirow[t]{26}{*}{Page 66} & & & Page 67 \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & & & D. Sauter & \\
\hline 2 A. That's correct. & & & MR. MORRIS: We're not taking a & \\
\hline 3 Q. Okay. & & & break, bud. I'm not - & \\
\hline 4 A. Can we take a quick break? & & & (Simultaneous crosstalk.) & \\
\hline 5 Q. Yeah, now would be perfectly fine. & & & MR. RUKAVINA: We'll be back in ten & \\
\hline 6 Give me just one second before we go & & & minutes. & \\
\hline 7 off the record. & & & MR. MORRIS: Hey, Davor, I'm going & \\
\hline 8 So it's \(2: 15\) local time. Can we & & & to ask your client a question. Okay? & \\
\hline 9 limit it to ten minutes, Mr. Sauter? & & & (Simultaneous crosstalk.) & \\
\hline 10 A. Yeah, that would be fine. & & & MR. RUKAVINA: - but we're not - & \\
\hline 11 Q. Okay. And I would ask that you're & & & 1 I'm sorry. & \\
\hline 12 still under oath, and I would ask that you not & & & 2 You can ask him afterwards who he's & \\
\hline 13 speak with counsel or communicate with anybody & & & 3 talked to and about what, but you don't get to & \\
\hline 14 about the substance of your deposition. & & & tell him that he can't talk to anyone. & \\
\hline 15 Is that fair? & & & 5 So let's go take a piss break and be & \\
\hline 16 MR. RUKAVINA: Don't answer that & & & back in nine minutes. & \\
\hline 17 question, Mr. Sauter. & & & 7 MR. MORRIS: Put that on the record. & \\
\hline 18 The law is what it is, and we're not & & & (Recess was taken from 2:17 p.m. to & \\
\hline 19 going to agree to something (audio issue) than & & & 2:28 p.m.) & \\
\hline 20 the law requires. & & & BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 21 MR. MORRIS: Well, then I'm not & & & 1 Q. Are you ready to proceed, Mr. & \\
\hline 22 going to take a break. How about that? & & & Sauter? & \\
\hline 23 Let's keep going. & & & A. Iam. & \\
\hline 24 MR. RUKAVINA: No, we're taking a & & & 4 Q. During the break did you speak to & \\
\hline 25 break and I'm going to the restroom. & & & anybody about the substance of your testimony? & \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & \multirow[t]{25}{*}{Page 68} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{25}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
D. Sauter \\
right? \\
A. Well, I didn't review them in \\
connection with my preparation of the \\
declaration, but yes, I had reviewed them. \\
Q. And in reviewing them, did you learn \\
that the debtor had in fact carried the notes \\
as assets on its balance sheet or on its \\
schedules of assets and liabilities? \\
MR. RUKAVINA: I'm going to object \\
to the form. \\
THE WITNESS: I was aware that the \\
debtor sought to collect on the note from \\
HCMFA, the notes. \\
BY MR. MORRIS: \\
Q. Are you aware that Mr . Dondero was \\
in control of Highland Capital Management, LP \\
from at least the date of the bankruptcy filing \\
in October 2019 through around January 9th, 2020? \\
A. Yes. \\
Q. Okay. Are you aware that, while \\
Mr . Dondero was in control of the debtor during that period, that Highland filed statements of financial affairs and schedules of assets?
\end{tabular}}} & \multirow[t]{25}{*}{Page 69} \\
\hline 2 A. I did not. & & & & \\
\hline 3 Q. Okay. Did you communicate with & & & & \\
\hline 4 anybody about the substance of your testimony? & & & & \\
\hline 5 A. I did not. & & & & \\
\hline 6 Q. I want to stick with the focus on & & & & \\
\hline 7 the debtor's intent as stated in Paragraph 30. & & & & \\
\hline 8 Before you prepared your & & & & \\
\hline 9 declaration, did you spend any time reviewing & & & & \\
\hline 10 any of the debtor's bankruptcy flings? & & & & \\
\hline 11 A. Yes. & & & & \\
\hline 12 Q. And are you aware that throughout & & & & \\
\hline 13 the bankruptcy the debtor disclosed these notes & & & & \\
\hline 14 as assets of the estate? & & & & \\
\hline 15 A. Yes. & & & & \\
\hline 16 Q. And what documents did you review & & & & \\
\hline 17 that led you to conclude that the debtor was & & & & \\
\hline 18 disclosing the notes as assets of the estate? & & & & \\
\hline 19 Do you recall? & & & & \\
\hline 20 A. I mean, I would have known it from & & & & \\
\hline 21 the schedules. I would have known it from your & & & & \\
\hline 22 complaint. & & & & \\
\hline 23 Q. Okay. So you reviewed the debtor's & & & & \\
\hline 24 schedules of assets and liabilities prior to & & & & \\
\hline 25 the time you signed your declaration. Is that & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 74 & & & Page 75 \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & & 1 & D. Sauter & \\
\hline 2 adversary proceeding as you understand the & & & financial statements for - as subsequent & \\
\hline 3 timing. Correct? & & & events at the time you executed your & \\
\hline 4 A. Yep. & & & declaration. Correct? & \\
\hline 5 Q. Let's go to Page 17, please. & & & A. Correct. & \\
\hline 6 Do you see there's a section in the & & & Q. Now that you know that, do you think & \\
\hline 7 audited financial statements called Subsequent & & & HCMFA made a mistake in including these notes & \\
\hline 8 Events? & & & in the audited financial statements, or does it & \\
\hline 9 A. Yep. & & & cause you to reconsider your conclusion that & \\
\hline 10 Q. Do you have any understanding as to & & & the issuance of the notes was a mistake? & \\
\hline 11 what a Subsequent Events section is in audited & & & MR. RUKAVINA: I'll object to that & \\
\hline 12 financial statements? & & & question based on form. & \\
\hline 13 A. Yes. & & & 3 THE WITNESS: You're asking me for & \\
\hline 14 Q. What's your understanding of what & & & 4 my legal conclusion? & \\
\hline 15 that section is supposed to include? & & & 5 Q. No, I'm not actually, but it & \\
\hline 16 A. It's intended to pick up events that & & & 6 probably wasn't a great question. & \\
\hline 17 occurred after the date of the financials but & & & 17 So your conclusion was that the & \\
\hline 18 prior to the date the financials are & & & execution of the notes was a mistake. Correct? & \\
\hline 19 executed -- or issued. & & & 9 A. Yes. & \\
\hline 20 Q. And do you see in the second & & & Q. But HCMFA is reporting the notes as & \\
\hline 21 paragraph there's a description of the two & & & 1 part of its audited financial statements. & \\
\hline 22 notes? & & & Correct? & \\
\hline 23 A. Yes. & & & 3 A. Yes. & \\
\hline 24 Q. Okay. You were not aware that the & & & 4 Q. And do you understand that these & \\
\hline 25 two notes were included in HCMFA's audited & & & 5 financial statements have been audited by & \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & Page 76 & 1 & D. Sauter & Page 77 \\
\hline 2 independent - an independent outside firm & & & notes was a mistake? & \\
\hline 3 called PricewaterhouseCoopers? & & & MR. RUKAVINA: I'll again object. & \\
\hline 4 A. I assume they're audited financials. & & & This witness is not an expert. He's & \\
\hline 5 And yes, what you've shown me, it & & & not going to be a trial expert. And a motion & \\
\hline 6 appears as though they were prepared by & & & to amend has already been agreed upon and ruled & \\
\hline 7 PricewaterhouseCoopers. & & & upon. & \\
\hline 8 Q. Okay. Would you agree with me that & & & BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 9 it's inconsistent that the notes can't be both & & & Q. You can answer, sir. & \\
\hline 10 a mistake and be reported as valid obligations & & & 10 A. I would say that the audited & \\
\hline 11 in the audited financial statements? & & & 1 financials were prepared by & \\
\hline 12 MR. RUKAVINA: I'll object. & & & 2 PricewaterhouseCoopers with input from the & \\
\hline 13 This witness is not an expert. He & & & 3 accounting team. & \\
\hline 14 has no personal knowledge. This is well & & & 4 And as I stated previously, I think & \\
\hline 15 outside the scope of his factual investigation & & & 5 there was an - a breakdown in the process that & \\
\hline 16 in May of 2021. & & & 6 should have occurred, and had others looked at & \\
\hline 17 BYMR. MORRIS: & & & 7 this, they wouldn't have come to the same & \\
\hline 18 Q. You can answer, sir. & & & conclusion. & \\
\hline 19 A. I would agree that the two & & & 19 Q. So do you believe, based on the & \\
\hline 20 statements are at odds with one another. & & & 0 investigation that you did, that a second & \\
\hline 21 Q. Okay. So I'm just asking you & & & 1 mistake occurred not only in signing the notes & \\
\hline 22 whether - now that you know that HCMFA & & & 2 but including them in the audited financial & \\
\hline 23 included these in the audited financial & & & 3 statements? & \\
\hline 24 statements, does that cause you to question at & & & 4 MR. RUKAVINA: Again, I'll object. & \\
\hline 25 all your conclusion that the execution of the & & & 5 This witness is not an expert. He & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 82 & & & Page 83 \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & & 1 & D. Sauter & \\
\hline 2 caused the NAV error? & & 2 & (Exhibit 182, Memo dated 5/28/19, & \\
\hline 3 A. Other than what I've identified, no. & & & previously marked for identification.) & \\
\hline 4 Q. And what you've identified is that & & & BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 5 policy. Is that right? & & & Q. Is this one of the memos that -- and & \\
\hline 6 A. There's a policy and the & & & again, Mr. Sauter, if you need to see more of & \\
\hline 7 acknowledgment that the NAV error was made by & & & it, just let me know. & \\
\hline 8 the HCMLP employees who were on the valuation & & 8 & But is this one of the memos that & \\
\hline 9 committee. & & & you saw as part of your investigation? & \\
\hline 10 Q. Okay. You're aware that shortly & & 10 & A. I believe so. & \\
\hline 11 after HCMFA paid the \$7.4 million to the fund, & & 11 & 1 Q. Okay. And do you understand that & \\
\hline 12 HCMFA sent the fund a written report. Is that & & & 2 this is a memo from HCMFA to the board of the & \\
\hline 13 right? & & & 3 Highland Global Allocation Fund? & \\
\hline 14 A. Yes. & & & 4 A. Yes. & \\
\hline 15 Q. Let's take a look at that, if we can & & 15 & 5 Q. And this is where HCMFA describes & \\
\hline 16 put that on the screen. & & & 6 for the board the resolution of the NAV error. & \\
\hline 17 MS. CANTY: Sorry, John, you went & & & Correct? & \\
\hline 18 out for a second. & & & 8 A. Correct. & \\
\hline 19 Can you say that again. & & & 9 Q. Okay. And did you discuss this memo & \\
\hline 20 MR. MORRIS: Yeah. & & & with anybody as part of your investigation? & \\
\hline 21 If you could, I think - I think I & & & 1 A. I mean, other than reviewing it, no. & \\
\hline 22 had it listed as Exhibit 37, but it's one of & & & 2 Q. So - and how did you obtain a copy & \\
\hline 23 the new ones. It's the memo, I think, from & & & of it? & \\
\hline 24 HCMFA to the funds. & & & 4 A. Mr. Post. & \\
\hline 25 MS. CANTY: Got it. & & & Q. So Mr. Post gave it to you. & \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & Page 84 & 1 & D. Sauter & Page 85 \\
\hline 2 But you didn't speak with him about & & 2 & Yes. Okay. & \\
\hline 3 it in substance. Correct? & & & Q. So then the second page is this NAV & \\
\hline 4 A. I mean, I spoke to him about the & & & error breakdown. & \\
\hline 5 transaction and the mistake. & & & Do you see that? & \\
\hline 6 I did the same thing with Dustin & & & A. Yes. & \\
\hline 7 Norris. & & & Q. All right. We'll come to that, but & \\
\hline 8 Q. Okay. But you didn't speak with & & & let's go back to the first page. & \\
\hline 9 anybody about the substance of this memo. & & 9 & Have you taken a look at the second & \\
\hline 10 Correct? & & & paragraph there that begins: "The advisor and & \\
\hline 11 A. Correct. & & & 11 Houlihan Lokey, an independent third party & \\
\hline 12 Q. Okay. And -- but you did see this & & & 2 expert valuation consultant approved by the & \\
\hline 13 memo before you signed your declaration. & & 13 & board," have you read that paragraph? & \\
\hline 14 Correct? & & 14 & 4 A. Yes. & \\
\hline 15 A. Yes. & & 15 & Q. Okay. To the best of your & \\
\hline 16 Q. Okay. And do you have an & & 16 & 6 knowledge, did HCMFA accurately define "NAV & \\
\hline 17 understanding of what this memo is? & & & 7 error" for the board in that paragraph? & \\
\hline 18 A. Yeah. & & 18 & MR. RUKAVINA: Objection - & \\
\hline 19 I'd like to take a - I'd like to & & 19 & THE WITNESS: As far as I know, yes. & \\
\hline 20 see the memo in full. & & 20 & MR. RUKAVINA: This witness is not & \\
\hline 21 Q. Sure. Take your time. & & & 1 an expert and has no personal knowledge. & \\
\hline 22 So just tell Ms. Canty when you want & & 22 & 2 Q. Do you have any reason to believe & \\
\hline 23 to see more and then she'll scroll. & & & 3 that HCMFA did not accurately describe for the & \\
\hline 24 Okay. Stop right there. & & & board the definition of "NAV error"? & \\
\hline 25 A. (Reviewing document.) & & & 5 A. No. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 94 & & & Page 95 \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & & 1 & D. Sauter & \\
\hline 2 believe that the source of the funding is & & 2 & BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 3 anything other than what's set forth on this & & 3 & Q. And that's because you believe the & \\
\hline 4 page? & & & notes were executed by mistake. Correct? & \\
\hline 5 A. Idon't. & & 5 & A. I believe that Highland made the NAV & \\
\hline 6 Q. And the \(\$ 2.4\) million, that's the & & & error and was responsible for making GAF whole, & \\
\hline 7 \$2.4 million that HCMFA obtained from Highland & & & albeit vis-_jis HCMFA, its advisor. & \\
\hline 8 on May 2nd. Correct? & & 8 & Q. Okay. So because Highland created & \\
\hline 9 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. & & & the NAV error, your understanding based on your & \\
\hline 10 The witness is not qualified to & & 10 & discussions with Mr. Post and Mr. Norris is & \\
\hline 11 answer that. & & 11 & that Highland paid the \(\$ 7.4\) million to HCMFA & \\
\hline 12 Q. During the course of your & & & not as a loan but as compensation for the error & \\
\hline 13 investigation, did you learn that Highland & & 13 & that it made. & \\
\hline 14 transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA on May 2nd, & & 14 & Do I have that right? & \\
\hline 152019 so that it could pay GAF? & & 15 & A. That would not be based on my & \\
\hline 16 A. That's what I was told. & & 16 & discussions with Mr. Post and Mr. Norris. & \\
\hline 17 Q. Okay. Is it your conclusion that & & 17 & But yes, your conclusion is & \\
\hline 18 Highland was responsible for the \(\$ 7.44\) million & & & accurate. & \\
\hline 19 estimated net loss resulting from the NAV & & 19 & Q. Okay. And let's be really clear & \\
\hline 20 error? & & & what the conclusion is. & \\
\hline 21 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. & & 21 & It's your conclusion that because & \\
\hline 22 This witness is not an expert, and & & & Highland was negligent in making the NAV error, & \\
\hline 23 he has no personal knowledge. & & & that when it paid \(\$ 7.4\) million to HCMFA on & \\
\hline 24 THE WITNESS: Yes, I believe that & & 24 & May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019, it did so as & \\
\hline 25 that's accurate. & & 25 & compensation for its negligent conduct and not & \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & Page 96 & 1 & D. Sauter & Page 97 \\
\hline 2 as a loan. Correct? & & 2 & not the investigation generally. & \\
\hline 3 A. I didn't say negligent, and I don't & & 3 & THE WITNESS: Yes. & \\
\hline 4 know that I can make that conclusion. & & 4 & And as I said, the May declaration, & \\
\hline 5 But it should have been indemnity & & 5 & I was unaware of the \$5 million in insurance & \\
\hline 6 and reimbursement for the error that Highland & & & payments. & \\
\hline 7 created. & & 7 & BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 8 Q. Okay. Can you tell me why HCMFA & & 8 & Q. Now that you're aware of it, does it & \\
\hline 9 took \$5 million from an insurance company at & & & cause you to question your conclusion that the & \\
\hline 10 the same time it was being made whole by & & 10 & payment made by Highland in May of 2019 was & \\
\hline 11 Highland? & & & compensation and not a loan? & \\
\hline 12 MR. RUKAVINA: I'll instruct you not & & 12 & MR. RUKAVINA: I instruct you not to & \\
\hline 13 to answer that. & & 13 & answer that, Mr. Sauter. & \\
\hline 14 That is attomey client privileged & & 14 & MR. MORRIS: On what basis? That & \\
\hline 15 and work product. & & 15 & you don't like the question? & \\
\hline 16 Q. Sir, as part of your investigation, & & 16 & MR. RUKAVINA: No. & \\
\hline 17 did you make any assessment as to why HCMFA & & 17 & Let's be professional here, John. I & \\
\hline 18 accepted \$5 million in proceed - in insurance & & & don't know why you've got to get - & \\
\hline 19 proceeds at the same time it believed that the & & 19 & (Simultaneous crosstalk.) & \\
\hline 20 \$7.4 million was being paid by Highland as & & 20 & MR. MORRIS: I don't understand. & \\
\hline 21 compensation? & & & It's a - & \\
\hline 22 MR. RUKAVINA: Just want to make & & 22 & MR. RUKAVINA: No, you - the way - & \\
\hline 23 sure, Mr. Sauter, you understand that counsel & & 23 & MR. MORRIS: It's an investigation. & \\
\hline 24 is asking about your investigation in May of & & & He made a conclusion in the investigation. & \\
\hline 25 this year as referenced in your declaration and & & 25 & He's now learned a new fact. I'm & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 106 & & & Page 107 \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & & 1 & D. Sauter & \\
\hline 2 after you learned from Mr. Norris that the & & 2 & Q. Okay. Let's go back to your & \\
\hline 3 \$5 million was paid so that HCMFA could pay the & & 3 & declaration, please, Paragraph 31. & \\
\hline 4 consent fee, did you follow up with & & 4 & Is it fair to summarize this & \\
\hline 5 Mr . Waterhouse at all? & & & paragraph as saying that because HCMFA and the & \\
\hline \(6 \quad\) A. I didn't know about the consent fee & & 6 & debtor had executed that acknowledgment, that & \\
\hline 7 at the time of my investigation. & & & it would have been illogical for Highland to & \\
\hline 8 Q. Okay. When did Mr. Norris tell you & & 8 & lend HCMFA \(\$ 7.4\) million in May 2021? & \\
\hline 9 about the consent fee? & & 9 & A. Yes. & \\
\hline 10 A. Probably within the last six weeks. & & 10 & Q. Okay. And what was the source of & \\
\hline 11 Q. And does learning about the consent & & 11 & your information for Paragraph 31? & \\
\hline 12 fee from Mr. Norris cause you to question your & & 12 & A. I'm not sure I follow. & \\
\hline 13 conclusion that the \(\$ 7.4\) million was paid by & & 13 & Q. So you've got the acknowledgment & \\
\hline 14 Highland to HCMFA on account of the mistake & & 14 & that you attached as Exhibit 4. Correct? & \\
\hline 15 that Highland made on the NAV error? & & 15 & A. Yes. & \\
\hline 16 MR. RUKAVINA: I'll again object & & 16 & Q. Did you discuss with anybody during & \\
\hline 17 that this witness is not an expert and he has & & 17 & your investigation any of the facts or & \\
\hline 18 no personal knowledge. & & & conclusions that are set forth in Paragraph 31 & \\
\hline 19 Q. You can answer, sir. & & & or did you - or is it based just on your & \\
\hline 20 A. I wasn't aware of the consent fee. & & & review of Exhibit 4? & \\
\hline 21 I don't know much about the consent & & 21 & A. Based on my review. & \\
\hline 22 fee. I don't know what it is, who paid it, why & & 22 & Q. Okay. Are you aware that in & \\
\hline 23 they paid it, what the consideration was for & & & May 2019, Mr. Dondero contemporaneously and & \\
\hline 24 it. & & & personally paid Highland exactly \(\$ 7.4\) million & \\
\hline 25 So l'm not prepared to answer that. & & 25 & that was owed by Mr. Dondero to Highland under & \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & Page 108 & 1 & D. Sauter & Page 109 \\
\hline 2 a promissory note where he was the maker? & & 2 & A. Yes. & \\
\hline 3 A. I was not. & & 3 & Q. As Nexpoint's general counsel, did & \\
\hline 4 Q. Nobody told you that as part of your & & 4 & you participate in the annual renewal process & \\
\hline 5 investigation, that the way Highland was able & & & in the fall of 2020? & \\
\hline 6 to transfer the \$7.4 million to HCMFA was to & & 6 & A. I would have participated in the & \\
\hline 7 get that money from Mr . Dondero on account of a & & & process, but only with respect to NXRT, & \\
\hline 8 note that he signed? & & & Nexpoint Residential Trust and Nexpoint Real & \\
\hline 9 A. No one told me that. & & & Estate Finance. & \\
\hline 10 Q. You're hearing that for the first & & 10 & Q. Isee. & \\
\hline 11 time today? & & 11 & A. I had some limited involvement in & \\
\hline 12 A. I am. & & & the 15(c) process with respect to Nexpoint's & \\
\hline 13 Q. If Mr. Dondero paid down & & 13 & strategic opportunities fund, but very limited. & \\
\hline 14 \$7.4 million in obligations that he owed to & & 14 & Q. Do you know who the representative & \\
\hline 15 Highland, would it change your view that it was & & & was for HCMFA who was responsible for the 15(c) & \\
\hline 16 illogical for Highland to loan that money to & & & annual renewal process in the fall of 2020? & \\
\hline 17 HCMFA in May of 2019? & & 17 & A. Idon't. & \\
\hline 18 A. Again, without seeing the documents & & 18 & I can speculate, and I would assume & \\
\hline 19 and the timing and the details of the & & & it's Mr. - a combination of Mr. Norris and & \\
\hline 20 transaction, I can't answer that. & & & Mr. Sella (phonetic). & \\
\hline 21 Q. Okay. Now, the advisors have & & 21 & Q. And why do you speculate that it's a & \\
\hline 22 contracts with the funds they advise. Correct? & & & combination of them? & \\
\hline 23 A. Advisory agreements, yes. & & & A. Because they were actively involved & \\
\hline 24 Q. And those advisory agreements are & & & in the process just from conversations I had & \\
\hline 25 subject to annual renewal. Correct? & & & with them. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline D. Sa & Page 110 & 1 D. Sauter & Page 111 \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & & & \\
\hline 2 Q. Okay. Have you ever seen any of the & & 2 A. Could you clarify the question. & \\
\hline 3 reports that the advisors sent to the retail & & 3 Q. Sure. & \\
\hline 4 board in connection with the 15(c) annual & & 4 Have you ever seen any of the & \\
\hline 5 review? & & 5 reports that were issued by the advisors to the & \\
\hline 6 MR. RUKAVINA: Now, this one, & & 6 retail board in the fall of 2020 in connection & \\
\hline 7 Mr . Sauter, I am going to instruct you not to & & 7 with the 15(c) review? & \\
\hline 8 answer. & & 8 MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Sauter, I'm & \\
\hline 9 MR. MORRIS: Have you ever seen the & & 9 instructing you not to answer that if your & \\
\hline 10 document? That's what, you're going to & & 10 answer involves working with me in this & \\
\hline 11 instruct him not to - & & 11 adversary proceeding. & \\
\hline 12 MR. RUKAVINA: Don't answer that. & & 12 If you saw it otherwise as part of & \\
\hline 13 Don't answer that. That relates to discovery & & 13 business operation, that's fine. & \\
\hline 14 and work product privilege. & & 14 THE WITNESS: In the fall of 2020, I & \\
\hline 15 The document was produced to you. & & 15 would have had -- I would not have been & \\
\hline 16 Mr . Sauter helped me find that document. Other & & 16 involved and I would not have seen anything & \\
\hline 17 than that, nothing about that document and his & & 17 sent to the board. & \\
\hline 18 knowledge is fair game. & & 18 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 19 MR. MORRIS: Well, I'm going to ask & & 19 Q. All right. Well, let's put it up on & \\
\hline 20 my questions, and you can keep directing him & & 20 the screen. It's, Ithink, a document that was & \\
\hline 21 not to answer. & & 21 previously marked as Deposition Exhibit 59. & \\
\hline 22 BY MR. MORRIS: & & 22 (Exhibit 59, Memo dated 10/23/20, & \\
\hline 23 Q. Mr. Sauter, have you ever seen any & & 23 HCMFAS 000025-031, marked for identification.) & \\
\hline 24 of the reports that were issued by the advisors & & 24 Q. Have you ever seen this document & \\
\hline 25 to the funds? & & 25 before, sir? & \\
\hline & Page 112 & & Page 113 \\
\hline D. Sauter & & 1 D. Sauter & \\
\hline 2 A. Could you scroll down. & & 2 review in October of 2020? & \\
\hline 3 Q. Sure. & & 3 A. I think that's accurate. & \\
\hline 4 A. (Reviewing document.) & & 4 MR. MORRIS: We're going to do the & \\
\hline 5 Q. We can keep going. & & 5 30(b)(6) deposition on December 1st? & \\
\hline \(6 \quad\) A. All right. & & 6 MR. RUKAVINA: I think I'm waiting & \\
\hline \(7 \quad\) What's the date on this? & & 7 for you to confirm. & \\
\hline 8 Q. October 23rd, 2020. & & 8 I think that's what - & \\
\hline 9 A. I honestly don't think I would have & & 9 MR. MORRIS: Let's confirm that & \\
\hline 10 been involved in that or seen that. & & 10 right now. & \\
\hline 11 Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody as & & 11 l'll send you an e-mail, but I & \\
\hline 12 part of your investigation - withdrawn. & & 12 just... & \\
\hline 13 Are you aware that the advisors were & & 13 MR. RUKAVINA: Okay. 10 a.m., & \\
\hline 14 asked to provide information to the retail & & 14 Dallas? & \\
\hline 15 board as to the obligations that it owed to & & 15 MR. MORRIS: Yeah, that sounds fair. & \\
\hline 16 Highland and its affiliates in connection with & & 16 BY MR. MORRIS: & \\
\hline 17 the 15(c) annual review? & & 17 Q. All right. Let's go back to your & \\
\hline 18 A. I was not. & & 18 declaration, please, Paragraph 32. & \\
\hline 19 Q. So is it fair to say that you never & & 19 I'm almost done, sir. & \\
\hline 20 saw this document as part of your & & 20 So you state, among other things, & \\
\hline 21 investigation? & & 21 that - and I'm paraphrasing. Let me know if & \\
\hline 22 A. Idon't think so. & & 22 I- if this is fair - that as a result of & \\
\hline 23 Q. Is it fair to say that nobody ever & & 23 your investigation in April of 2019, HCMFA now & \\
\hline 24 told you about the advisors' responses to the & & 24 believes that it has affirmative defenses to & \\
\hline 25 retail board in connection with the 15(c) & & 25 the notes that includes the defense of mutual & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & Page 114 & & Page 115 \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & Fage & 1 D. Sauter & Page \\
\hline 2 mistake. & & 2 the payments to the fund. & \\
\hline 3 Do I have that right? & & 3 A transfer was made. A note was & \\
\hline 4 A. Yes. & & 4 executed without any analysis. & \\
\hline 5 Q. Okay. What does "mutual" - excuse & & 5 Q. And do you have anything else to add & \\
\hline 6 me -- what does "mutual mistake" mean? & & 6 to that? & \\
\hline 7 MR. RUKAVINA: Are you asking for & & 7 A. I don't think so. & \\
\hline 8 his legal opinion or how he used it in this & & 8 Q. Okay. You also say that the notes & \\
\hline 9 declaration? & & 9 are void for lack of consideration. & \\
\hline 10 MR. MORRIS: Only how he used it in & & 10 Do I have that right? & \\
\hline 11 the declaration. & & 11 A. Yes. & \\
\hline 12 THE WITNESS: Well, wouldn't that be & & 12 Q. You don't dispute that Highland paid & \\
\hline 13 a legal conclusion because it's an affirmative & & 13 HCMFA \$2.4 million on May 2nd, 2019. Correct? & \\
\hline 14 defense? & & 14 A. No. & \\
\hline 15 BYMR. MORRIS: & & 15 Q. And you don't dispute that Highland & \\
\hline 16 Q. Well, I don't know. It's in your & & 16 paid HCMFA \$5 million on May 3rd, 2019. & \\
\hline 17 declaration. I'm just asking you what you & & 17 Correct? & \\
\hline 18 meant when you used the phrase - withdrawn. & & 18 A. I mean, I believe that's right. & \\
\hline 19 Let me ask a better question. Maybe & & 19 That's what l've been told. & \\
\hline 20 it's my fault. & & 20 So yeah, I don't dispute that. & \\
\hline 21 Mr. Sauter, what did you mean when & & 21 Q. Your reference to "a lack of & \\
\hline 22 you used the phrase "mutual mistake"? & & 22 consideration" means only that, in your & \\
\hline 23 A. What I meant is that there was no & & 23 opinion, the money should not have been & \\
\hline 24 analysis or consideration of what had & & 24 transferred in the form of a loan. & \\
\hline 25 transpired and who is legally responsible for & & 25 Do I have that right? & \\
\hline 1 D. Sauter & Page 116 & 1 D. Sauter & Page 117 \\
\hline 2 A. Youdo. & & 2 papering a transaction like a \(\$ 7.4\) million & \\
\hline 3 Q. It does not mean that HCMFA did not & & 3 loan. And my understanding of the process, as & \\
\hline 4 receive an amount of money exactly equal to the & & 4 described to me by Frank Waterhouse, was not & \\
\hline 5 principal amount of the notes. Correct? & & 5 the proper process. & \\
\hline 6 A. Based upon what l've been told, & & 6 Q. Is there a policy or a law that & \\
\hline 7 correct. & & 7 requires a particular process to be followed & \\
\hline 8 Q. Okay. You also write here that & & 8 that you're aware of? & \\
\hline 9 Mr . Waterhouse did not "have proper authority & & 9 A. What I would expect is & \\
\hline 10 to sign the notes." & & 10 communications among the various parties that & \\
\hline 11 Do I have that right? & & 11 are involved and agreement that this should be & \\
\hline 12 A. Yes. & & 12 a loan rather than just transferring money and & \\
\hline 13 Q. What does "proper" -- what did you & & 13 sign a note. & \\
\hline 14 mean by the phrase "proper authority"? & & 14 Q. You knew when you signed this & \\
\hline 15 A. I mean going through the process of & & 15 declaration that Mr. Waterhouse in fact was an & \\
\hline 16 what I would expect to see in making a loan of & & 16 officer of HCMFA at the time his signature was & \\
\hline 17 \$7.4 million. & & 17 put on the notes. Correct? & \\
\hline 18 Q. So that's just your own subjective & & 18 A. Yes. & \\
\hline 19 view. & & 19 Q. And is it your view that an officer & \\
\hline 20 Is that fair? & & 20 is not authorized to execute notes on behalf of & \\
\hline 21 A. No. & & 21 the company for which he or she works for? & \\
\hline 22 I mean, I think there's a legal & & 22 A. I think every company has & \\
\hline 23 basis for that, so yeah. & & 23 limitations on authority. & \\
\hline 24 Q. What's your legal basis for that? & & 24 Q. And what limits are you aware of on & \\
\hline 25 A. There is a process to go through in & & 25 Mr . Waterhouse - withdrawn. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline & 5 & & 7 \\
\hline & Exhibit 17 Email from James Seery to 88 & & understood my question; okay? \\
\hline 2 & James Dondero, Jan 7, 2021, demand & 2 & A. Yeah. \\
\hline 3 & on promissory note & 3 & MR. MORRIS: Objection. \\
\hline 4 & & 4 & Q. (BY Mr. RUKAVINA) Sometimes Counsel will \\
\hline 5 & Exhibit 18 Email from Kristin Hendrix, Jan 12, 90 & 5 & make objections. Unless he instructs you not to \\
\hline 6 & 2021, NexPoint Note to HCMLP & 6 & answer, you're still required to answer my questions. \\
\hline 7 & & 7 & A. Okay. \\
\hline 8 & & 8 & Q. Now, in preparation for this deposition, did \\
\hline 9 & & 9 & you read the deposition transcript or any part of it of \\
\hline 10 & & 10 & Frank Waterhouse? \\
\hline 11 & & 11 & A. I did not. \\
\hline 12 & & 12 & Q. Did anyone provide you a synopsis or summary \\
\hline 13 & & & of it? \\
\hline 14 & & 14 & A. Maybe a few bits and pieces, but... \\
\hline 15 & & 15 & MR. RUKAVINA: Off the record for a second. \\
\hline 16 & & 16 & (Off the record.) \\
\hline 17 & & 17 & Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) What do you mean bits and \\
\hline 18 & & 18 & pieces? \\
\hline 19 & & 19 & A. I don't recall anything specific that was \\
\hline 20 & & 20 & said, other than it was very long. \\
\hline 21 & & 21 & Q. Did you talk to Frank Waterhouse about it? \\
\hline 22 & & 22 & A. Did not. \\
\hline 23 & & 23 & Q. Other than Highland's legal counsel, did you \\
\hline 24 & & 24 & talk to anyone else about -- or -- strike that. \\
\hline 25 & & 25 & Other than Highland's legal counsel, did you \\
\hline & 6 & & 8 \\
\hline 1 & KRISTIN HENDRIX, & 1 & talk to anyone about Frank Waterhouse's deposition from \\
\hline 2 & having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: & 2 & last week? \\
\hline 3 & EXAMINATION & 3 & A. I did not. \\
\hline 4 & Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Good morning. If you'll & 4 & Q. Did you review -- strike that. \\
\hline 5 & state your name. & 5 & Did you see any of the video of \\
\hline 6 & A. Kristin Hendrix. & 6 & Mr. Waterhouse's deposition? \\
\hline 7 & Q. We're doing this both ways. You're on the & 7 & A. Nope. \\
\hline 8 & Zoom remotely and they can see you, but I would ask & 8 & Q. Same questions now for Mr. Seery, S-e-e-r-y. \\
\hline 9 & that you and I maintain eye contact. Of course, if & 9 & Did you read any portion or the whole of \\
\hline 10 & someone is asking you on the Zoom, then maintain & 10 & Mr. Seery's deposition from last week? \\
\hline 11 & contact with them, if that's okay with you. & 11 & A. I did not. \\
\hline 12 & A. Sure. & 12 & Q. See any of the video? \\
\hline 13 & Q. Have you been deposed before? & 13 & A. No. \\
\hline 14 & A. No. & 14 & Q. Did you see any synopsis or summary of his \\
\hline 15 & Q. So I'm sure your counsel explained to you, & 15 & deposition? \\
\hline 16 & but very quickly, you understand that you're testifying & 16 & A. No. \\
\hline 17 & under oath and penalty of perjury as though you were in & 17 & Q. Did you talk to him about his deposition? \\
\hline 18 & a court of law? & 18 & A. I did not. \\
\hline 19 & A. Yes. & 19 & Q. Other than talking to Highland's counsel, did \\
\hline 20 & Q. And you understand my job is to ask clear & 20 & you talk to anyone about Mr. Seery's deposition? \\
\hline 21 & questions that you understand? & 21 & A. No. \\
\hline 22 & A. Yes. & 22 & Q. Other than talking to Highland's counsel, did \\
\hline 23 & Q. And if for whatever reason you don't & 23 & you talk to anyone about your deposition today? \\
\hline 24 & understand my questions, please let me know or ask me & 24 & A. Just John Morris and Dave Klos. \\
\hline 25 & to rephrase; otherwise, I'm going to assume that you & 25 & Q. When did you talk to Mr. Klos, K-l-o-s? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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A. First time about this was last Friday. And
then again Monday this week. And yesterday. And this
morning.
Q. Friday was there any lawyer present during your discussion with Mr. Klos?
A. Yes, every time Mr. Morris was present.

MR. RUKAVINA: Is it your position that those
four discussions would be privileged, Counsel?
MR. MORRIS: Yes.
MR. RUKAVINA: Then we'll move on.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So we've established the four times you talked to Mr. Klos with counsel present. Did you do anything else related to or in preparation for today's deposition?
A. Yes, probably went through and reviewed some emails, documentation that I may have had that I need to refresh memory on.
Q. These documents and emails that you might have reviewed, did you supplementally provide them to counsel or anyone else?
A. Yes.
Q. This would have been in the last week or 10 days?
A. Yes.
Q. Prior to the last week or 10 days, are you
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aware that my office served requests for production on Highland?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you do anything prior to the last
week or 10 days to try to search both your personal
records and corporate records for any responsive documents?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Is that something that you understand legal
counsel was charged with?
A. Yes.
Q. Let's go briefly now about your background, please.

Where do you live?
A. I live in Denton, Texas.
Q. And what is your date of birth, please?
A. January 26, 1982.
Q. And walk me through your educational
background, starting with any postsecondary, if any, schooling or college or anything like that.
A. Sure. Graduated in 2004 from the University of North Texas with a degree in finance. Went on to get my MBA from SMU in 2009. And then went further and got my CPA license I believe in 2015.
Q. In the state of Texas?
A. Yes.
Q. And has your CPA license been current since then?
A. Sure has.
Q. Have you faced any kind of disciplinary action as a CPA?
A. I have not.
Q. Now, please walk me through your work history. Let's say starting with after you graduated college.
A. Sure. December of 2005, which was shortly -sorry, 2004, shortly after I graduated from
North Texas, I started at Highland. It was my first real job out of college. I have been there ever since, almost 17 years now.

Have worked in the corporate accounting department the entire time. Started off as the AP associate, and worked my way up over the years and currently am the controller.
Q. So even when you were getting your MBA and CPA you were employed by Highland?
A. Yes.
Q. Impressive. You're the controller today you mentioned?
A. Yes.
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A.
Q. That's -- when did you become the controller, sometime February or March of this year?
A. Yes.
Q. Before you became the controller, what was your role at Highland?
A. Right before that I was assistant controller.

That was I believe April of 2020. Before that, the
senior accounting manager, and \(I\) held that position for years.
Q. So in May of 2019 would you have been the senior -- you said senior account?
A. Senior accounting manager I believe was my title.
Q. And would that have been your title in May of 2017?
A. Yes, I believe so.
Q. And let's focus now on May 2019 as the senior accounting manager. How would you describe your role at Highland in May of 2019? What were your duties?
A. Sure. I helped with treasury management function, cash forecasts and things like that. And oversaw the financial reporting from the last batch of AP to all the way to financials and reporting on audits.
Q. Who did you report to in May of 2019?
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13
```

A. David Klos.
Q. What was Mr. Klos' title to your
understanding back then?
A. I believe he was the controller.
Q. And do you have an understanding as to who
6 Mr . Klos reported to back then?
A. Yes, Frank Waterhouse.
Q. Frank Waterhouse. Who was he in May of 2019?
A. The CFO.
Q. Is Mr. Klos still with Highland today?
A. He is.
Q. What is his role now?
A. He's now CFO.
Q. You mentioned treasury management as of 2019,
May. What do you mean by treasury management? What is that?
A. Generally speaking, we -- it's not just me as
one person. We have checks and balances.
My team would be in charge of sending out payments, reconciling bank statements, making sure money is in the right accounts, creating cash forecasts
and reporting on those every week with the CFO and
oftentimes the CEO.
Generally that's everything that fell under
the umbrella.

```
A. Yes, you can say the debtor.
Q. So when I say the debtor and you say the debtor we understand each other to mean Highland Capital Management, comma, LP; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. I apologize. In the December 2020 period, I would imagine that the debtor had its own -- that was -- strike that.

We'll cut to the chase.
In December of 2020, the debtor was providing services to various other entities affiliated with Mr. Dondero; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. That would have included NexPoint Advisors, LP?
A. Correct.
Q. And you're aware that NexPoint Advisors was the obligor on at least one promissory note to the debtor; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And did the debtor in December 2020 provide so-called treasury management services to NexPoint Advisors?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) As part of that, in December 2020, would it have been employees of the
debtor that would have scheduled for potential payment,
subject to approval by NexPoint, NexPoint's future
obligations as they were coming due?
A. Yes, we would have scheduled, only with approval.
Q. And would that have included NexPoint's
obligations on the promissory note to Highland?
A. Yes.
Q. Back to your background briefly.

Do you have any legal training at all?
A. I do not.
Q. Do you have any courses, have you taken any courses in drafting promissory notes?
A. No.
Q. Do you believe that your expertise as a certified public accountant gives you any greater qualification than anyone else to prepare a promissory note?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: No.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Have you ever prepared or
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drafted a promissory note?
A. That term is probably used loosely. I have
not completely drafted a promissory note from scratch,
no.
Q. And we'll go into the details. Fair to say
that you have taken a form promissory note and revised
it?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Was this part of your job in May of 2019 at
Highland?
A. Yes.
Q. Going back to the May }2019\mathrm{ time frame, were
you part of a particular group at Highland, like
accounting or legal or compliance?
A. Yes, corporate accounting.
Q. Corporate accounting. That's what you
described before about treasury management and
projections and forecasts?
A. Yes.
Q. In May of 2019, was it the practice at
Highland that corporate accounting would be responsible
for drafting intercompany promissory notes?
A. Not necessarily drafting, but updating a
draft that had been previously produced and provided by
our legal team, yes.

```
Q. And Highland in May -- the debtor in May of 2019 did have a legal department?
A. Yes.
Q. Kind of like the corporate accounting, there
was a separate legal department; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And who would have been in charge of that department in May of 2019?
A. Scott Ellington, E-l-l-i-n-g-t-o-n.
Q. In May of 2019 or by May of 2019 was there
any practice at Highland as to whether its legal department would be involved with the drafting or execution of any intercompany promissory notes?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: It depends on the note.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) What did it depend on?
A. Our typical practice is if we have a loan with certain affiliates that it's a demand note. We have a template that we have used for years that was created by either our internal legal team or an outside law firm, I'm not sure which.

The typical practice is always updating a few things on that template, getting it executed, and filing it in our audit folders.
Q. By updating, what do you mean?
A. There's a few things that would need updating, the date.
Q. Maker?
A. Maker.
Q. Amount?
A. The dollar amount, the interest rate.
Q. And is it your testimony that the corporate
accounting group would do these things on its own
without necessarily the involvement of the legal group?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Generally, yes.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you have any memory in or before May of 2019 if the corporate -- I'm sorry, if the legal group became involved in drafting or executing any prior intercompany promissory notes?
A. Yes.
Q. Explain to me what you remember about that.
A. I do know that they were involved with drafting restructured notes. So taking demand notes and turning them into a 30 -year amort note.

That was in 2017. I know for sure that they were involved in that because it was something different. We weren't just updating a demand note.

\section*{20}
Q. Is it your testimony that to the best of your recollection by May of 2019 and in May of 2019 it would have been the corporate accounting group that would have handled routine intercompany demand notes?
A. Yes.
Q. And you can think of more than one instance on which that happened?
A. Yes.
Q. And this is not a memory test, but going back in time can you try to give an estimate of what year that first started happening, that the corporate accounting would handle the drafting or execution of intercompany demand notes?
A. As far as I can remember.
Q. Is it your testimony that as -- maybe even going back as far as 2005 there were intercompany demand notes?
A. Yes.
Q. I don't know how to ask this question, but was this a significant thing in corporate accounting or just another routine deal when you handled demand notes?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: This is a routine job duty that
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 21 & 23 \\
\hline 1 we routinely did. & 1 A. If the debtor needed cash to lend to another \\
\hline 2 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Between 2005 and 2019, do & 2 entity. \\
\hline 3 you remember any maker on these intercompany demand & 3 Q. I see. So again, it's all one big happy \\
\hline 4 notes actually being required to pay a demand note, in & 4 family, and whoever needed cash, the cash moved around; \\
\hline 5 other words, Highland making demand? & 5 correct? \\
\hline 6 A. Not that I can specifically recall. & 6 A. Correct. \\
\hline \(7 \quad\) Q. Do you have any recollection as to what & 7 Q. Was it Mr. Dondero that basically was the \\
\hline 8 happened to these intercompany demand notes over the & 8 only deciding person in each instance that you're aware \\
\hline 9 years between 2005 and 2019? & 9 of in those 14 years as to when a note would be made or \\
\hline 10 A. Yeah. Typically anytime specifically Jim & 10 repaid? \\
\hline 11 Dondero would need to move money between related & 11 A. I can't answer specifically to that. Most of \\
\hline 12 parties, he would pay down -- when I say him, he would & 12 my direction came from our CFO at the time, \\
\hline 13 have us in corporate accounting move money around, pay & 13 Frank Waterhouse. So what conversations he would have \\
\hline 14 off notes, reissue new notes somewhere else. & 14 with Jim Dondero, I can't answer to that. But I would \\
\hline 15 So a way to move money around between his & 15 suspect so, yes. \\
\hline 16 entities. & 16 Q. And in May of 2019 or by May of 2019, did you \\
\hline 17 Q. So let's use just hypotheticals here so that & 17 communicate personally, by email or telephone, in \\
\hline 18 I'm not trying to pin you down to any specific fact. & 18 person, periodically with Jim Dondero? \\
\hline 19 But between 2005 and 2019, is it fair to say & 19 A. I can't say periodically, no. \\
\hline 20 that if some Dondero entity that's not the debtor & 20 Q. Well, I'm not trying to put words in your \\
\hline 21 needed money and the debtor had money, then Dondero & 21 mouth. Is it fair to say that you kind of -- your \\
\hline 22 would have the debtor lend money to that entity on a & 22 communications stopped with Mr. Waterhouse and \\
\hline 23 demand note basis? & 23 Waterhouse communicated with Dondero, as opposed to you \\
\hline 24 A. So long as they have the cash available to do & 24 regularly communicating with Dondero? \\
\hline 25 so. & 25 A. That's typical, yes. \\
\hline 22 & 24 \\
\hline 1 Q. "They" being the debtor? & 1 Q. Can you think of any instances in which \\
\hline 2 A. Debtor, yes. & 2 Mr. Dondero gave you any instructions or you came to \\
\hline 3 Q. And is it fair to say, then, again & 3 him seeking any instructions, without some intermediary \\
\hline 4 hypothetically without any specifics, that if the & 4 between the two of you? \\
\hline 5 debtor maybe from time to time needed money and one of & 5 A. No, usually Frank was present. \\
\hline 6 these other entities had cash, then Dondero would cause & 6 Q. Would you categorize Mr. Waterhouse as kind \\
\hline 7 that other entity to pay down the demand note? & 7 of guarding with jealousy his access to Mr. Dondero? \\
\hline 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the & 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the \\
\hline 9 question. & 9 question. \\
\hline 10 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat that. & 10 THE WITNESS: No. \\
\hline 11 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sure. So I think you & 11 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) What kind of boss was he \\
\hline 12 mentioned that from time to time these entities would & 12 in May of 2019? Was he laid back, or was he a jerk? \\
\hline 13 pay down these demand notes? & 13 Was he demanding? How would you characterize him in \\
\hline 14 A. To the debtor? & 14 May of 2019? \\
\hline 15 Q. To the debtor. & 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the \\
\hline 16 A. Yes. & 16 question. \\
\hline 17 Q. And is that, hypothetically again, is that & 17 THE WITNESS: I would say he was a good boss. \\
\hline 18 because on occasion the debtor might have needed cash & 18 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) You think he was competent \\
\hline 19 and these entities had the cash, so Dondero would have & 19 as far as his job went? \\
\hline 20 them pay back the note? & 20 A. Yes, very competent. \\
\hline 21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the & 21 Q. Do you think he was competent as far as his \\
\hline 22 question. & 22 job went in December of 2020? \\
\hline 23 THE WITNESS: Yes, that could be a reason. & 23 A. Yes. \\
\hline 24 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Can you think of any other & 24 Q. January 2021? \\
\hline 25 reason in those 14 years? & 25 A. Yes. \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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Q. Was he patient and understanding as a boss?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Was he ever condescending or rude to anyone in your presence?
A. No.
Q. So you're the controller today at Highland,
the debtor, the reorganized debtor; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And who do you report to? You mentioned

Mr. Klos is the CFO?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you also report to Mr. Seery?
A. Yes, I think everybody does.
Q. And I don't need to know details, but I take
it you're on a salary from reorganized Highland?
A. Yes.
Q. Is any part of your compensation merit or bonus based?
A. It could potentially be.
Q. Have you had any discussions with Mr. Seery
or Mr. Klos about some sort of bonus compensation?
A. Yes.
Q. Has anything been agreed to?
A. Yes.
Q. And again, I don't need to know the exact
A. Correct.
Q. And how Highland, reorganized Highland collects these promissory notes is going to play no part in your base and bonus compensation to your understanding; is that correct?
A. To my knowledge, yes.
Q. So you have no direct or indirect stake in
the outcome of these litigations?
A. No.
Q. And you understand that I represent HCMFA and NexPoint?
A. Yes.
Q. And these court reporters are not familiar with some of our terminology. NAP [verbatim], if we say that, that means NexPoint; right?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. You have to say yes or no.
A. Yes, NPA, NexPoint.
Q. NPA. And when we say NexPoint, you and I are meaning NexPoint Advisors, LP; right?
A. Yes.
Q. And when we say HCMFA, we're meaning Highland

Capital Management Fund Advisors, LP, yes?
A. Yes.
Q. What is your understanding of the two
numbers. What would your bonus compensation consist
of? How would it be decided?
A. It's actually -- was decided when I agreed to
stay on the Highland team back in February 2021, so
it's in my employment agreement.
Q. So what's your bonus compensation?
A. I'm not sure I understand what you're asking.
Q. So is the bonus discretionary on the part of Highland?
A. No, it's a set amount.
Q. And what triggers it or governs the set amount?
A. Just it gets paid out on a certain date of the year. It's very straightforward, set out in my employment agreement.
Q. Is it irrespective of the performance of the reorganized debtor?
A. Yes.
Q. So why do you call it a bonus instead of base compensation?
A. That's what it's called in my agreement.
Q. So your base compensation and your bonus,
it's your testimony, you're going to earn it
irrespective of whether reorganized Highland does good or bad with respect to its profitability?
lawsuits, the one against HCMFA and the one against
NexPoint, that you're being deposed on today?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Who is suing who and for what?
A. I don't know all the details.
Q. So we've established that you've discussed these lawsuits in the last week or a little bit more with legal counsel. I don't want to talk about that. Prior to these recent discussions, did you have any discussions with anyone at Highland about its lawsuits against HCMFA and NexPoint on promissory notes?
A. Repeat that again.
Q. Sure. So remember we're excluding the recent discussions in the last week or 10 days with counsel; right?
A. Okay.
Q. Are you aware that in January of 2021 the debtor sued NexPoint to collect on a promissory note?
A. I'm aware that demand notices were sent.
Q. So until recently you weren't aware that a lawsuit had been filed?
A. There's a lot of lawsuits filed. I can't
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keep track of what is what or what we're talking about
at certain times.
Q. But you have no distinct memory of that?
A. Correct.
Q. And same question for the lawsuit that the
debtor filed against HCMFA in January.
Do you have any specific memory of that
lawsuit having been filed?
A. Not specifically.
Q. You mentioned that you're aware that on or
before January 2021, demand letters had been sent?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you play any role in either drafting
those demand letters or the decision to send them?
A. No.
Q. So going back to my question about these lawsuits, do you have any memory of anyone asking you -- again, excluding the last week or two.

Do you have any memory of anyone asking you to do anything with respect to either or both of these lawsuits?
A. No.
Q. You have no memory of Mr. Waterhouse, Mr. Klos, Mr. Surgent, or Mr. Seery asking for any
background information or your input at all on these

\section*{two lawsuits?}

MR. MORRIS: Better not have been --
THE WITNESS: No.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Who did I say? Did I
misspeak? Okay.
Now we're going to have some exhibits here.
And do you have the labels?
Let's take a minute break off the record. (Off the record.)
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Ms. Hendrix, I'm going to provide to you a promissory note in the original
principal amount of \(\$ 5\) million from HCMFA. This is the
PDF version of this as filed with the Court for
collection. It's going to be Exhibit 1.
(Whereupon, Exhibit 1 was marked for identification.)
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Before you look at

Exhibit 1, I'm going to do the same thing for
Exhibit 2, which is a promissory note from HCMFA for
\$2.4 million, dated May 2, 2019.
(Whereupon, Exhibit 2 was marked for identification.)
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Again, Ms. Hendrix, these are the PDF versions of these notes as filed with the
Court. Sitting here today, do you remember anything
about either or both of these two promissory notes?
A. Sure, yes.
Q. What do you remember?
A. I remember seeing them because I've recently
looked at them. I see them all the time in our loan
tracking spreadsheets. My team would have been
responsible for the whole process that \(I\) explained
before when it comes to a promissory note.
Q. And --

MR. MORRIS: Are you finished?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And we have an email here that might give some more context to that if I can find it here.

This will be Exhibit 3. This is an email
from David Klos to corporate accounting dated May 2, 2019.
(Whereupon, Exhibit 3 was marked for
identification.)
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you see this email, ma'am?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Corporate accounting, would that email group have included you?
A. Yes.
Q. And Hayley. Do you think that Kristin was you?
A. I do.
Q. Do you remember receiving this email?
A. Not explicitly.
Q. So it says Blair. Who would Blair be?
A. Blair was our AP associate.
Q. What is her last name?
A. At this time it would have been Roeber,

R-o-e-b-e-r.
Q. Okay. And did it subsequently change?
A. Yes, it's now Hillis, H-i-l-l-i-s.
Q. Please send \(\$ 2.4\) million from HCMLP to HCMFA.

This is a new interco loan. Kristin, can you or Hayley
please prep a note for execution. I'll have further
instructions later today, but please process this
payment as soon as possible.
Did I read that correctly?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any memory of whether this email relates to Exhibit 2, the \(\$ 2.4\) million promissory note?
A. It seems like it does, same date, same amount.
Q. Do you have any memory, or in reviewing your files did you see any similar email or document that
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline 33 & 35 \\
\hline 1 would have related to Exhibit 1, the \$5 million & 1 the door, is typically how this works. \\
\hline 2 promissory note? & 2 Q. Is the answer generally the same for the \\
\hline 3 A. Yes. I believe there's another email for & 3 \$5 million note? \\
\hline 4 that one. & 4 A. Yes. \\
\hline 5 Q. And do you believe that you provided that to & 5 Q. So is it fair to say that typically, \\
\hline 6 counsel? & 6 obviously not every time, but typically your corporate \\
\hline A. Yes. & 7 accounting group when it would see intercompany \\
\hline 8 Q. Recently or some time ago? & 8 transfers in large amounts would believe that they were \\
\hline 9 A. Well, I don't think I provided it, so I'm not & 9 loans? \\
\hline 10 sure when they got it. I know it has been provided. & 10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the \\
\hline 11 Q. You know that it has? & 11 question. \\
\hline 12 A. Uh-huh. & 12 THE WITNESS: Typically they were loans. \\
\hline 13 Q. How do you know? & 13 There's not really another way to get money from one \\
\hline 14 A. Because I've seen it. & 14 entity to another. And if they were papered as a loan, \\
\hline 15 Q. In the production that was produced to me? & 15 that means we were told to set it up that way. \\
\hline 16 A. Yes. & 16 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) What do you mean papered \\
\hline 17 Q. And also from a David Klos? & 17 as a loan? Aren't you papering it as a loan when \\
\hline 18 A. This one, or on the -- when I say this one, & 18 someone makes the promissory note? \\
\hline 19 on the \$2.4 million or the 5-? & 19 A. Yes, because we're told by somebody to do \\
\hline 20 Q. On the \(\$ 5\) million note. & 20 that. \\
\hline 21 A. I'm not sure. & 21 Q. And in this instance, Mr. Klos on Exhibit 3 \\
\hline 22 Q. Okay. Let me make sure I understand you & 22 told the group that this was a loan; right? \\
\hline 23 correctly. & 23 A. Correct. But he would have spoken with \\
\hline 24 Sitting here today you believe that there is & 24 Frank Waterhouse or Jim Dondero prior to that, before \\
\hline 25 another email referencing the \$5 million loan that has & 25 telling anybody to do that. \\
\hline 34 & 36 \\
\hline 1 been produced to my office? & 1 Q. Okay. And do you have any knowledge that he \\
\hline 2 A. Yes. I believe so. & 2 did speak to Mr. Waterhouse or Mr. Dondero before \\
\hline 3 Q. Okay. And going off memory, did it kind of & 3 sending this email? \\
\hline 4 say the same thing as this Exhibit 3 except that it & 4 A. Again, I don't have specific knowledge on the \\
\hline 5 referenced \$5 million? & 5 exact conversations, but that's always how it has \\
\hline MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the & 6 worked. \\
\hline 7 question. & 7 Q. That's how it was for \(\mathbf{1 4}\) or \(\mathbf{1 5}\) years; \\
\hline 8 THE WITNESS: Generally, should have said the & 8 correct? \\
\hline 9 similar situation, yeah. & 9 A. Yes. \\
\hline 10 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So Mr. Klos says, this is & 10 Q. But you're logically assuming that it \\
\hline 11 a new interco loan, for Exhibit 3. Other than what he & 11 happened here. You don't know that it happened here; \\
\hline 12 told you, that this is an intercompany loan, did anyone & 12 correct? \\
\hline 13 else tell you or did you have any other information on & 13 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the \\
\hline 14 May 2, 2019 that this was a loan? & 14 question. \\
\hline 15 A. I don't specifically recall these & 15 THE WITNESS: I would have to be fairly \\
\hline 16 conversations, but I can tell you our normal practice & 16 certain that it did, even though I can't recall \\
\hline 17 would be we would either likely be in a cash meeting -- & 17 specific conversations. \\
\hline 18 and I say "we." Would have been myself, Dave Klos, & 18 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Did you ask Mr. Klos about \\
\hline 19 Frank Waterhouse, potentially even Jim Dondero. & 19 who told him that this is a new intercompany loan on \\
\hline 20 But I don't recall conversations on this & 20 Exhibit 3? \\
\hline 21 specific date. But general practice is we would talk & 21 A. No. It's quite possible I was involved in \\
\hline 22 about it. & 22 the conversation. I reported to him. I wouldn't \\
\hline 23 Oftentimes, Frank would either call Dave or I & 23 question his authority. \\
\hline 24 or stop by and tell us that, we need to send money to & 24 Q. Did you ask Mr. Klos who told him that the \\
\hline 25 an affiliate, paper up a new loan, let's get a wire out & 25 \$5 million deal was also an intercompany loan? \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
would have related to Exhibit 1 , the \(\$ 5\) million
promissory note?
A. Yes. I believe there's another email for that one.

And do you believe that you provided that to
A. Yes.
Q. Recently or some time ago?
A. Well, I don't think I provided it, so I'm not
sure when they got it. I know it has been provided.
You know that it has?
Q. How do you know?
A. Because I've seen it.
Q. In the production that was produced to me?
A. Yes.
A. This one, or on the -- when I say this one,
on the \(\$ 2.4\) million or the 5 -?
Q. On the \(\$ 5\) million note.
A. I'm not sure.
Q. Okay. Let me make sure I understand you

Sitting here today you believe that there is
another email referencing the \(\$ 5\) million loan that has

34

> Q. Okay. And do you have any knowledge that he did speak to Mr. Waterhouse or Mr. Dondero before sending this email? A. Again, I don't have specific knowledge on the exact conversations, but that's always how it has worked. Q. That's how it was for 14 or 15 years; correct? A. Yes. Q. But you're logically assuming that it happened here. You don't know that it happened here; correct? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I would have to be fairly certain that it did, even though I can't recall specific conversations. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Did you ask Mr. Klos about who told him that this is a new intercompany loan on Exhibit 3? A. No. It's quite possible I was involved in the conversation. I reported to him. I wouldn't question his authority. Q. Did you ask Mr. Klos who told him that the \$5 million deal was also an intercompany loan?
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A. I did not ask that specific question that \(I\)
can recall.
Q. Did you ask Mr. Waterhouse whether either of these transactions were loans?
A. I'm sure Mr. Waterhouse is the one that told us they were loans. We wouldn't just paper up a loan, send money out and call it a loan and account for it that way, unless somebody specifically told us.
Q. Do you have any memory of Mr. Waterhouse orally or in writing or email or in any way, shape, or form on or about May 2 or 3, 2019 telling you that the 2.4 million or \(\$ 5\) million transfers were intercompany loans?
A. No specific knowledge of exact conversations, but I'm certain that those conversations were had because that's the only way that we would have papered up a loan, sent money out as a loan, had them on our financials for two years.
Q. So you're saying that this email, Exhibit 3,
from Mr. Klos was not enough, that there would have
been other things that happened to make you and other people in your group confident that these were loans?
A. Yes.
Q. And these other things would have been in person or by email?
a consent fee that the advisor of the Global Allocation
Fund had promised to pay to shareholders of that fund, and it was in the amount of \(\$ 5\) million roughly.

So both of these loans were for those
purposes respectfully.
Q. And were you in May of \(\mathbf{2 0 1 9}\) also aware that in addition to the \(\$ 2.4\) million, there was another more than \(\$ 5\) million paid to that fund by HCMFA's insurer as compensation for the NAV error?
A. By the insurance company, yes.
Q. So the \(\$ 7.4\) million, you understood then was a loan as opposed to compensation to HCMFA?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Did you understand in May of 2019 that it had been the debtor and its valuation team that caused that NAV error?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I can't answer that. I was not involved with the activities leading up to the NAV error.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) How do you know that the \(\$ 7.4\) million were being transferred for the NAV error and consent fee?
A. Because I do know about both of those
A. Most likely in person via phone call.
Q. Okay. So again, you have no specific memory
of it, but based on the 14 -year pattern and conduct you
believe that you would have discussed these two
transfers with Mr. Waterhouse and he would have told you these are loans?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Correct.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And then would he have told you to take care of the promissory notes, or was that Mr. Klos here in Exhibit 3?
A. It could have been both. It's clearly Dave in this email, but Frank could have also said that to me.
Q. Now, do you -- strike that.

In May of 2019, did you know or were you told why these \(\$ 7.4\) million were being transferred from the debtor to HCMFA?
A. Yes. I do have recollection that -- I do
know that there were two big events in May 2019.
2.4 million was related to a TerreStar NAV error, with
one of the funds advised by HCMFA. That's Global Allocation Fund.

Similar with the \(\$ 5\) million loan. There was

1 instances and I do know that HCMFA needed to pay these dollar amounts for both of those.
Q. And you knew that in May of 2019?
A. Yes.
Q. How did you know that in May of 2019?
A. It was lots of discussions had been going on around both of these issues for months. These weren't surprises to anybody.
Q. So although you weren't involved directly
with the NAV error issues, it was more or less common
knowledge in your accounting group?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you have any knowledge at all as to
whether Mr. Dondero decided to transfer these
\(\$ 7.4\) million not as a loan, but to compensate HCMFA for
the debtor's alleged liability?
A. Have not heard of that.
Q. Ever?
A. Never.
Q. But you also never heard Mr. Dondero say that these \(\$ 7.4\) million were a loan; correct?
A. That was not told to me directly.
Q. Again, you're logically assuming that based
on many instances of intercompany transfers in the
14 years prior to that?
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MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Mischaracterizes the testimony.
THE WITNESS: Correct.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) I think you answered correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you mentioned that after these notes, you
saw them on internal financials and that reinforces
your view that these were loans?
A. Correct.
Q. But as of May 2 and 3, 2019, no one had told you directly that these are loans?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. It's in writing.
THE WITNESS: That's not what I'm saying at all.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Other than Mr. Klos' email or emails, no one told you on May 2 or May 3, 2019 that you remember today that these were loans?
A. It quite possibly could have been told to me in addition to this email.
Q. I understand. You just have no memory of that today; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Is there anything that you can think of
sitting here today to refresh your memory on that point?
A. I do not think so. I'm sure there was
conversation that unfortunately would not be in an email.
Q. Now, we have the Word documents, the Word version of these two promissory notes, and you're going to have rely on me that I printed these out as Mr. Morris sent to me. If I'm misleading you on that, then I'm in trouble and your answers don't count.

So please assume that I didn't doctor these and that I printed them out as they were prepared to me; okay?
A. Yes.
Q. So Exhibit 4 will be the $\$ 5$ million note and Exhibit 5 will be the 2.4 million.
(Whereupon, Exhibits 4 \& 5 were marked for identification.)
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Before I ask about 4 and 5, to be fair to you and refresh your memory, I'm going to provide you printouts of the metadata, metadata -I'm not sure how to better say that -- for both notes.

And again I'm representing to you that I printed out the metadata without doctoring it, so please assume that's true, and if it's not, your
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answers don't count and I'm in trouble.
6 will be the $\$ 5$ million note, and 7 will be the $\$ 2.4$ million note.
(Whereupon, Exhibits 6 \& 7 were marked for identification.)
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Okay. So Exhibit 4 and 5 are the Word documents. Do you have any memory of you doing anything with respect to these two Word documents?
A. I don't have specific memory, but generally speaking, it was my job to update promissory note templates and create promissory notes.
Q. So do you believe that -- we discussed earlier that your group would have used a template and that it would have made changes reflecting the maker, amount, date, interest rate.

Do you believe you were the one with respect to 4 and 5 that updated that template to create 4 and 5 ?
A. I'm sure that I was, yes.
Q. Well, Exhibit 6 -- do you know what metadata is?
A. Sort of.
Q. What's your understanding of what metadata is?
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1 2 it

3 d 8 on my part. We'll have some more metadata, but we can still talk about 6 and 7.
It says the author JFORSHEE, J-F-O-R-S-H-E-E. 1 Do you recall or do you know who that person was?
A. I recognize the name, and it makes sense.

This says Strasburger is the company. I think he was one of the lawyers that we had used at some point in time.
Q. Strasburger is a law firm?
A. Yes.
Q. And then it says, so Exhibit 6 created May 3,

Exhibit 7 created May 2, modified, accessed. Does that
to the best of your understanding comport with when to the best of your understanding comport with when to the best of your understanding compo
Exhibits 4 and 5 were actually created?
A. Can you repeat that.
Q. Yeah. We'll wait for the rest of the metadata. But let's go back to 4 and 5. In and by May 2019 I think you mentioned that
A. Just in context from speaking on it recently, it's going to tell you who made changes to the documents, is what I would assume.

MR. RUKAVINA: Go off the record for one
(Off the record.)
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So a little bit of error
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it was your job to, I think you said update promissory notes?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Let me take that question back.

You testified earlier that your group would
have taken a template and used it to create or prepare
a new promissory note; right?
A. Right.
Q. How would you call that process? What word would you use for that process?
A. Let's call it papering the loan.
Q. In May of 2019, was it your job to paper the loan?
A. Yes.
Q. Would anyone else at the corporate accounting
group have been responsible to paper a loan?
A. At that time, I don't think so. I think I
was the one doing it.
Q. I think you mentioned that you think you
papered the loan, respecting Exhibits 4 and 5; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. You have no distinct present memory of papering 4 and 5; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Can you think of anyone else at the corporate
accounting group that would have papered 4 and 5?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: The only other person that
could have would either be Dave Klos or Hayley Eliason.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) What was Hayley's role in May of 2019?
A. She was the accountant. I can't recall her specific title.
Q. Now, in May of 2019 when you papered a loan, would you have consulted with either internal or external legal before finishing that loan or presenting it for signature or anything else?
A. Not if it was just our standard demand note that we already had a template on.
Q. So would it have been your general course in May of 2019, if you prepared Exhibits 4 and 5, not to seek advice from internal or legal before proceeding with these notes?
A. With these two specific notes?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. If we flip the page, I'll represent to you
that Mr. Waterhouse's signature there appears on the Word document as an image.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Do you have any memory of whether there was an image that someone would have affixed of Mr. Waterhouse's signature to promissory notes?
A. Yes. We typically always -- he was
completely fine with having documentations -- sorry,
having documents signed or executed with his e-signature.
Q. Would these pictures of his signature have been his e-signature in May of 2019?
A. Yes.
Q. So let's just clarify that because I don't want there to be any confusion.

I know there's some computer programs out there that are restrictive and have passwords before any signature is printed. And then there's some people that use a stamp or an image; right?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Are you following me?
A. I follow you.
Q. In May of 2019, did Mr. Waterhouse have any
specific program that would have to -- you would have
to go through before it would spit out his e-signature,
or was he fine with you and his staff using an image
like this?
A. He was fine with using his e-signature, and
what is on these documents was that exact e-signature.
So I don't know if he had -- I don't know how it was created originally.
Q. The e-signature?
A. E-signature.
Q. Do you have any memory with respect to Exhibits 4 and 5 of getting Mr. Waterhouse's specific approval to use his e-signature?
A. I don't have exact specific memory, same as conversations on these loans. But he would have had to approve this loan in the dollar amount, the day.

He would have been the one directing us to create these loans. In past practice he has always approved using his e-signature to execute documents.
Q. How would he have approved Exhibits 4 and 5? By that, I mean by email or memorandum? How would he have approved it in May of 2019?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I would assume that, as I've stated previously, these directions were coming
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|  | 51 |
| :---: | :---: |
| directly from him to paper a loan. These changes that <br> are made are only to the dollar amount. Interest rate <br> is pulled right off the IRS website. <br> That is his approval to paper a loan and in <br> fact execute or approve the loan. <br> Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) In May of 2019, would <br> Mr. Waterhouse -- what was his practice as far as using <br> an ink signature on documents as opposed to an <br> e-signature? Did he have a practice? <br> MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. <br> THE WITNESS: He has never specifically said, on certain documents I would like to ink it with my <br> signature. Probably at this time, 99 percent of the <br> stuff my team got his signature on was his e-signature. <br> I think it just depended on the group and what it was. <br> Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So how would he authorize <br> you or your team to use his e-signature for any given <br> document in May of 2019? <br> MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. <br> THE WITNESS: Through the conversations that <br> would have been had before these emails went out saying <br> paper loan. <br> Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And -- okay. So, and | Q. But you have no memory of that authority or approval, specifically for 4 and 5 ? <br> MR. MORRIS: Objection. Asked and answered <br> about five times. <br> THE WITNESS: Same as my answer I just gave. <br> Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And I think you mentioned <br> that in your years at Highland your team papered <br> hundreds of loans? <br> A. Yeah. <br> Q. In your time at Highland, is it your <br> testimony that the accounting -- corporate accounting <br> department never made a mistake with respect to <br> anything that it did? <br> MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. <br> THE WITNESS: No, I did not say that. <br> Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you recall any mistakes <br> in your time at the corporate accounting group at <br> Highland that had been made, any significant mistakes? <br> MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. <br> THE WITNESS: Significant mistakes, not that <br> I can recall. <br> Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) No accounts payable mistakenly paid? |
| after his e-signature was used either on these notes or other documents in May of 2019, would you have brought the documents back to him for any kind of verification? <br> MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. <br> THE WITNESS: Probably not. These are all <br> very standard. We've papered hundreds of loans. So I <br> think he trusted that we can handle updating a date and <br> a dollar amount on these loan templates. <br> Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you know or believe, or your recent review of documents, did it reveal an email from Mr. Waterhouse to you specifically authorizing his e-signature on Exhibits 4 and/or 5? <br> A. Not that I recall seeing, no. <br> Q. Sitting here today, do you have any memory of <br> Mr. Waterhouse orally or otherwise specifically <br> authorizing you to affix his e-signature to Exhibits 4 <br> and/or 5 ? <br> A. Specifically on these loans, no, I don't <br> recall those conversations. But, again, our practice <br> has always been we have this discussion, he's under the understanding that we're going to paper the loans, he's always comfortable with using his e-signature. <br> This is not something me or my team would <br> have done without that authority and approval from him. | MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the <br> question. <br> THE WITNESS: I cannot specifically answer <br> that question with 17 years of work to recall, sorry. <br> MR. RUKAVINA: Just take a quick break. If <br> you need a restroom -- off the record. <br> (Off the record.) <br> Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Going back to Exhibits 4 and 5. <br> Mr. Waterhouse signed these promissory notes. <br> Is there any particular reason why he signed them as opposed to Dondero or someone else? <br> A. No particular reason. He's an officer for both companies. He's a signatory. <br> Q. Who decided, if anyone, to your knowledge, that he would be the one signing the notes, these two notes? <br> A. I don't know who would have decided that, but typically if Frank specifically wanted Jim Dondero to sign it, he would say, take it to Jim to sign. <br> Q. Do you have a recollection of <br> Mr. Dondero -- strike that. <br> Do you have a recollection of Mr. Waterhouse <br> signing other promissory notes? <br> A. Yes. I know for sure he has signed other |
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promissory notes. I can't tell you explicitly which
ones.
(Off the record.)
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Are you saying that in May of 2019 -- strike that.

By May of 2019, was it not the standard
practice at the debtor that Mr. Dondero would sign
intercompany promissory notes?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: No, that's not standard practice. Just needed to be somebody -- somebody who
is a signer for the entity on the incumbency
certificate.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Was there a standard
practice, or did you just describe the standard
practice that it was someone on the incumbency
certificate?
A. That's correct, somebody on the incumbency
certificate. Frank is a great prospect to sign, with
giving direction to set loans up, send money out. Why
wouldn't he sign it.
Q. Do you have any memory sitting here today of

Mr. Waterhouse telling you or agreeing that he would be signing these two promissory notes for HCMFA?
A. Not specifically, but he didn't need to tell
me. He typically would tell me if he wanted Jim to sign them.
Q. Sitting here today, do you have any memory of
giving Mr. Waterhouse these two promissory notes after they were prepared?
A. I specifically don't remember walking into his office and providing it to him, but he could have found it on our shared drive if he wanted to.
Q. Do you have any memory or in your recent
review of documents did you see any email to the effect
of you sending either or both of these promissory notes
to Mr. Waterhouse after they were papered up?
A. I don't have any specific recollection,
again, but he had access to look at them.
Q. On the shared drive?
A. Yes.
Q. In May -- I'm going to ask this question
multiple different ways, so let's start with kind of the general.

In May or by May of 2019, was there a repository, electronic or paper, where the debtor kept original promissory notes that were owed -- where money was owed to it?
A. Original meaning paper?
Q. Well, let's go back a little bit in time.

Would you agree that at some point prior to 2019 the standard course was that paper notes were ink signed?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I could not tell you specifically when notes were or were not ink signed.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Was there any repository, to the best of your recollection, as of May 2019 where any ink-signed original promissory notes were kept by the debtor?
A. No. We always would scan them in, save them on our shared drive. Never had paper copies.
Q. So that's -- fixing to ask that question next.

So Exhibits 4 and 5, would they even have been printed after they were papered up?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Possibly. Somebody could have printed them.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you remember printing Exhibits 4 or 5 sitting here today?
A. I don't recall printing them myself, no.
Q. Would there have been a reason to print them out if, as you said, the notes were stored electronically?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: There could be a reason. I
don't recall that I for any reason printed these particular notes.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So as of May 2019, is it your testimony that notes that were papered up by the corporate accounting group would have been saved electronically on the system and not kept by way of paper copies in some file?
A. Correct. That's right.
Q. This is additional metadata. And you understand $I$ have a bit of an accent.

What are we on?
(Off the record.)
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Ms. Hendrix, Exhibit 8 is going to be additional metadata for the May 3, 2019, note that we've been looking at, and Exhibit 9 will be the same thing for the May 2 note that we've been looking at.

That's 8. That's 9.
(Whereupon, Exhibits 8 \& 9 were marked for

```
identification.)
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Ms. Hendrix, I'm going to
represent to you again that my office has faithfully
printed this metadata out without doctoring or changing
anything, and I ask you to assume that. If I'm wrong
on that, then your answers don't count.
Ma'am, as I look at these two documents, it
says last modified by Kristin Hendrix.
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And that would have -- that could have only been you; correct, in that department?
A. I hope so, yes.
Q. Seeing these two documents, can you agree
with me now that it was in fact you that papered up
Exhibits 4 and 5?
MR. MORRIS: Objection. Asked and answered.
THE WITNESS: I would assume so since my name is on it, yes.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Both of these documents
say last printed -- I'm sorry. If you see related
dates, it says last printed May 2, 2019, 11:27 A.M. Do
you have any memory or any understanding as to why that
date would be there or what last printed might mean?
A. I don't know why it says last printed the day
```

before it was created. That doesn't make any sense. I have no idea.

Unless, the only thing I could think of is if we changed this template. When I say "this," the $\$ 2.4$ million loan, which was papered on the 2nd, and then used it for the next day for the template to update the date, possibly. I have no idea.
Q. Well, it may be -- and I understand that you don't have any memory; we're speculating a little bit.

It may be, looking at Exhibits 8 and 9, that the $\$ 2.4$ million note was printed on May 2, and then
after having been used as the template for the
$\$ 5$ million note, the $\$ 5$ million note would not have been printed.

Does that sound possible?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Sure, it could be possible.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) But you don't have any memory either way?
A. No. And when these were printed they're printed to PDF, I believe, is probably what that means.
Q. Okay.

We're going to switch gears a little bit now, if you want to make a pile of those exhibits.

Obviously, you're welcome to use them anytime you need
to, but I think we're done with those notes.
Going to hand you what we're going to mark as
Exhibit 10, which is an email chain produced by the debtor.

And I don't know how anyone on the video will see it. I apologize. I'll have to send it to you later.
(Whereupon, Exhibit 10 was marked for identification.)
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Now, if you start with this email chain, it starts on November 19, 2020 from Jack Donohue to you, copying Mr. Seery and various others.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr. Donohue is asking you to provide him the financial records of HCMFA due to the funds owed the debtor.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall that email from Mr. Donohue to you?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall any context or subsequent
discussions or how that email came to be, or do you just recall getting that email?
A. I just recall getting the email.
Q. You write back, hi Jack, Scott Ellington is going to follow up with the board on this request. Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall why you told Jack that Mr. Ellington was going to follow up?
A. From what I recall, I had asked Frank Waterhouse if it was okay to send these financials over, and he wanted me to check with Scott Ellington and that was Scott's response.
Q. And did he tell you why he wanted you to check with Scott Ellington?
A. Just to make sure that there were no issues with sending them over.
Q. Mr. Seery writes back, can I get this ASAP. HCMFA is way overdue.

Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And Mr. Seery writes again, it's about a week later, and he says, this is an explicit direction from me as CEO of HCMLP. But it looks like you are the recipient of that December 2 email; correct?
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    A. Yes.
    Q. Do you remember him sending you that email
and copying those people?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Do you remember anything happening in that
week between his November 25 and December 2 email along
the same discussion lines?
    A. I don't remember anything. I think I was
probably left out of any discussions, and if there were
any, it was with Scott Ellington and whomever he had
discussions with.
    Q. Then subsequent, on December 2, Mr. Seery
writes, all, Scott and I have spoken and agree that the
information should be provided to James immediately.
    Would that have been James Romey, do you
think?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And who was James Romey?
    A. He also worked for DSI.
    Q. And then he writes, Kristin, please proceed
with James. If anyone has any questions or issues,
please call me.
        Do you see that?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Did you proceed with James Romey?
```

A. I further made sure that Scott was okay, to
confirm. He said yes, please do, and I did send them to James Romey.
Q. So Mr. Seery has some of it in this email
chain, but do you have any understanding as to why
either DSI or Mr. Seery in November of 2020 was asking
for the financial records of HCMFA?
A. I do not, other than what's in this email.
Q. Did you discuss with either DSI or Mr. Seery
or Mr. Waterhouse in November or December 2020 whether
the demand notes from HCMFA should be demanded, should be called?
A. I did not have discussions.
Q. Next exhibit is Exhibit 11. This is another
email chain.
And I apologize to the folks on the video.
I'll have to get it to you during some break.
MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.
MR. RUKAVINA: Sure. Off the record.
(Off the record.)
(Whereupon, Exhibit 11 was marked for identification.)
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Exhibit 11, Ms. Hendrix, if you'll go to the beginning of this email chain, is an email on January 6, 2021, again from Mr. Donohue to
you, copying Waterhouse, Seery, a bunch of others.
Where he says, at the direction of Jim Seery,
please provide DSI with the requested information for
each entity below.
And you'll see the entity includes both of my
clients, NexPoint Advisors and HCMFA. And the
information includes bank statements, income
statements, balance sheets, cash flows.
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall this email?
A. Vaguely, yes.
Q. Did you have any concerns when you received this email?
A. Concerns about the email, no. I probably
checked with -- I would have checked with Frank to make sure it was okay to send this first.
Q. Frank Waterhouse?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any understanding as to why

Mr. Donohue requested bank statements, income statements, balance sheets for NexPoint and/or HCMFA?
A. I do not.
Q. Did he or anyone at DSI tell you why they were requesting that?

## A. Not that I can recall.

Q. If we go forward in time, you'll see that

Mr. Waterhouse is writing back to Mr. Donohue. And
then Mr. Seery interjects and says, these are HCMLP
business records. Please provide them as requested by
Jack ASAP.
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. And it looks like you were not privy to subsequent communications where Frank and Jim were talking back and forth about this. You were not privy to those, like you weren't blind copied or anything to your recollection?
A. No.
Q. Did you in fact on or after January 6, 2021,
provide Mr. Donohue or anyone on his team the
information that he had requested as it relates to NexPoint and/or HCMFA?
A. Without going back to check, I couldn't answer yes or no for certain.
Q. So I think you mentioned when you received the email from Mr. Donohue you would have checked with Frank. And what do you remember asking Frank or checking with him about?
A. I don't remember asking him specifically. In

```
fact, it's possible that Frank just responded on his
own here to Jack. Again, would have been a
conversation that I can't specifically recall.
    Q. Sure.And you don't specifically remember
    today providing Mr. Donohue any of that information;
    right?
    A. Right.
    Q. You don't specifically remember today having
    a discussion with Mr. Donohue or Seery or anyone else
    at or about that time as to why they were wanting this
    information?
        A. Correct.
        Q. Exhibit 12, Ms. Hendrix, is going to be the
    December 3, 2020, letter by which Highland called the
    notes.
        MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
    question if there was one.
        (Whereupon, Exhibit }12\mathrm{ was marked for
        identification.)
        Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Are you familiar with
    Exhibit 12, Ms. Hendrix?
        A. No, I haven't seen this.
        Q. Prior to today, you don't remember seeing
    this?
    A. No.
```

Q. I think you're answering no?
A. No, sorry, no.
Q. On or before December 3, 2020, did anyone
discuss with you whether Highland should call the
demand notes that were outstanding by HCMFA?
A. No.
Q. Do you recall in December 2020 any discussion
with anyone at the debtor about the NexPoint
$\$ 30.7$ million term note?
A. Repeat your question again, please.
Q. Sure. So you're familiar, and we'll talk
about it in some detail, with the NexPoint
\$30.7 million note?
A. Yes.
Q. And again, we'll talk about it, but at that
point in time that was a term note; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Do you remember in the December 2020 or

November 2020 time frame discussing with anyone at the debtor the status of that NexPoint note?
A. Yes, we would have discussed this on a weekly basis in our cash meetings that we would have had, as identifying that there are payments due on these loans in December.
Q. What weekly cash meetings are you referring
to?
A. We had a standing weekly cash meeting with

Frank Waterhouse, myself, Jim Seery. I can't recall everyone on it. Some of the DSI folks. We go through cash forecasts. It's a 13-week cash forecast. We go through it every week.

It's going to lay out incoming and outgoing payments that are forecasted, of which these term loans were in those forecasts, so they were discussed.
Q. And Mr. Morris produced some of those to me this morning. I haven't had time to go through them. But it is your recollection in November and December of 2020 the fact of the NexPoint term note being out there was known to Mr. Seery?
A. Yes.
Q. And the fact of an upcoming December 31,

2020, payment was known to Mr. Seery?
A. Yes.
Q. So with that background, in November and

December of 2020, do you remember discussing with
anyone anything to the effect of, oh, it really would
be better if NexPoint defaulted on that note so we could call it?
A. No.
Q. Did Mr. Seery ever state to you anything in

November or December of 2020 about how the debtor might monetize that NexPoint note?
A. No.
Q. Did he discuss with you any potential sale of that promissory note?
A. No.
Q. Did DSI ever discuss with you in November or December 2020 any potential sale of that note?
A. No.
Q. Or how to monetize that note?
A. No.
Q. So -- well, strike that.

Did Mr. Seery or anyone at DSI, or anyone at all, in November or December of 2020 state any words to you to the effect that they were hoping that NexPoint would default on that note?
A. Never.
Q. Or that it would be in the debtor's interest
for NexPoint to default on that note?
A. No.
Q. In November or December of 2020, do you recall having any discussions with Mr. Seery or anyone at DSI as to the collectibility of that note? And by that I mean whether NexPoint can pay the note?
A. I don't specifically recall. It most likely
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| 1 came up in cash conversations. | 1 not? |
| 2 Q. I think you were assistant controller back | 2 A. Yes. |
| 3 then? | 3 Q. What's your understanding? |
| 4 A. Yes. | 4 A. Either November 30 or December 1, 2020, I |
| 5 Q. Would a discussion of a borrower's ability to | 5 received a phone call from Frank Waterhouse that said, |
| 6 repay have been something within your general sphere of | 6 no payments are going from any of the Advisors to |
| 7 responsibility in that time frame? | 7 Highland. |
| 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the | 8 Q. Can you be more specific with what he said? |
| 9 question. | A. That's what he said. |
| 10 THE WITNESS: It depends on who the borrower | 10 Q. So he said no payments from the Advisors to |
| 11 is, and at that time we did -- we had knowledge over | 11 Highland? |
| 12 that information, so yes. | 12 A. Yes. |
| 13 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Well, you've seen some | 13 Q. Did he reference the promissory note |
| 14 instructions or requests from Mr. Seery to you and DSI | 14 expressly? |
| 15 to you for financial information of NexPoint and HCMFA. | 15 A. No. |
| 16 We've gone through those documents; right? | 16 Q. But no payments means? |
| 17 A. Yes. | 17 A. Nothing. |
| 18 Q. Does that refresh your memory that there was | 18 Q. That would logically in your mind include the |
| 19 any internal discussion that you were privy to about | 19 promissory note? |
| 20 the ability of HCMFA and/or NexPoint to pay these | 20 A. Yes. |
| 21 notes? | 21 Q. Did you ask him why? |
| 22 A. I don't recall that specifically being asked. | 22 A. No. |
| 23 It could have. | 23 Q. Did he tell you why? |
| 24 Q. Did you ever at any point in time have any | 24 A. No. |
| 25 employment or officer or any title or role with | 25 Q. Did you, prior to January 1, 2021, did you |
| 70 | 72 |
| 1 NexPoint Advisors, LP? | 1 hear from anyone as to why Mr. Waterhouse gave that |
| 2 A. No. | 2 instruction? |
| 3 Q. Were you ever the controller or assistant | A. Not that I recall. |
| 4 controller for NexPoint Advisors LP? | 4 Q. Did you, after that November 30 or December 1 |
| 5 A. No. | 5 phone call, did you follow up with him or anyone else |
| 6 Q. Did you ever at any point in time have any | 6 about the upcoming note payment? |
| 7 employment, officer or any title or role at HCMFA? | A. I didn't have any reason to. |
| 8 A. No. | Q. I'm going to -- let me find you a document |
| 9 Q. Were you ever the controller or assistant | for a moment. |
| 10 controller of HCMFA? | 10 Just so the record is complete, let's include |
| 11 A. No. | 11 this promissory note. It's going to be Exhibit 13. |
| 12 Q. So you might have indirectly provided | 12 This is the NexPoint promissory note. |
| 13 services to those two as part of shared services, but | 13 (Whereupon, Exhibit 13 was marked for |
| 14 never directly; is that fair? | 14 identification.) |
| 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the | 15 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) I take it you've seen this |
| 16 question. | 16 promissory note, Exhibit 13? |
| 17 THE WITNESS: When you say never directly, | 17 A. Yes. |
| 18 meaning I was not employed by those entities? | 18 Q. And I think you testified about this before, |
| 19 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Correct. | 19 but just to summarize to save time. |
| 20 A. That's correct. | 20 This would have been a note that you would |
| 21 Q. Do you have any understanding -- first of | 21 not have papered but would have gone through legal |
| 22 all, NexPoint did not make a payment on December 31, | 22 because it was a roll-up. Is that generally accurate? |
| 23 2020; correct? | 23 A. Yes. |
| 24 A. Correct. | 24 Q. And do you have any memory at all of having |
| 25 Q. Okay. Do you have any understanding of why | 25 anything to do with papering up this loan? |
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```
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Would you have had, after 2017 and before 2021, any role with respect to any payments or upcoming payments on this note, any role at all?
A. Yes.
Q. What would have been your role or roles?
A. That would have been taking direction from
Frank Waterhouse or possibly Jim Dondero saying, go
ahead and make these payments that are due on these term notes.
Q. Would you have recorded on any books or records payments that actually were made?
A. Not me personally.
Q. Who would have?
A. Our accountant, which could have been one of two different people, depending on the time frame.
Q. Would you have had any role with respect to recording those payments or is that just something that your group would have done?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
THE WITNESS: I would not have had a role. My group would have.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) What about calculating amortization and/or interest payments that are due or
```

MR. MORRIS: And to foundation.
THE WITNESS: I have no idea.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you have your cell phone with you right now?
A. In the other room.
Q. I might ask you during the break to just -we'll take a short break before I'm done, and I'll ask you if you've had a chance to look for November and December 2020 phone logs between you and
Mr. Waterhouse. I would ask you to do that, please.
A. Sure.
Q. And I apologize, I think you said you thought it was a short telephone call?
A. I have no idea.
Q. Did the telephone call or Mr. Waterhouse's
upcoming? Who would have done that, you or someone else?
A. Our accountant.
Q. Do you have any memory of doing that?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Not during 2017 through 2019.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) What about 2020 ?
A. No.
Q. Going back to that November 30 or December 1
telephone call, do you recall who initiated the call?
A. To me?
Q. The one between you and Mr. Waterhouse.
A. Frank called me.
Q. Frank called you.

And was it just to discuss -- or just to give you that instruction, no payments from the Advisors, or was there other things discussed?
A. I could not tell you if something else was discussed on that phone call.
Q. Do you remember if it was a long phone call or short?
A. Couldn't tell you.
Q. Do you remember where you were when he called you?
instructions surprise you in any way?
A. Nothing surprises me anymore, so no.
Q. Did it surprise you back in November or

December of 2020?
A. No.
Q. Did it pique your curiosity?
A. Nope.
Q. Just another instruction from your boss?
A. Yep.
Q. Exhibit 14 is going to be a document that
we're not sure what it is and we're not sure who
prepared it. It appears to be a ledger of charges
against and payments on this promissory note.
I'm just saying that so the people on the
phone know what it is, but you don't have to take what I said as correct.
(Whereupon, Exhibit 14 was marked for identification.)
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So Ms. Hendrix, Exhibit 14
was produced by the debtor. And I'm going to ask you, do you know what this is or have you seen it before?
Can you help us state what it is?
A. This looks like it is an amortization
schedule of the NexPoint Advisors term loan.
Q. Would this have been something that it
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appears to you would have been maintained internally by
the debtor, or does it look like it might have been
prepared by DSI or someone else for some other reason?
A. It looks like the debtor's amortization
schedule that they kept.
Q. Did the debtor keep an amortization schedule
for the NexPoint promissory note, to your knowledge?
A. Yes.
Q. Did the debtor keep amortization schedules
for other term promissory notes?
A. Yes.
Q. In what format, like Excel spreadsheets or

Word documents? What is your recollection for NexPoint
specifically?
A. Excel.
Q. Would that have been on the shared system or
something?
A. Yes.
Q. And who would have been responsible on an
ongoing basis to update the NexPoint amortization
schedule?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Depends on what time you're asking.
change but it doesn't use brackets?
A. It's a negative number. It's just a formatting issue.
Q. What about also on that same page in the
other column, principal paid, $5 / 31 / 2020$, it's a positive number, 575,550.

MR. MORRIS: Where are you?
MR. RUKAVINA: On page 2 of this exhibit.
MR. MORRIS: What date?
MR. RUKAVINA: May 31, 2020. And it's the column over, principal paid. It's a positive number, 575,000 and change.

MR. MORRIS: Got it, thank you.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you see that, Ms. Hendrix?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have an understanding of why that number would be positive?
A. Actually, I think this looks like an entry to me where the interest is what we call picking. So on the anniversary date of this loan, which is May, from what I can tell, the accrued interest total, which is that 575-, is being rolled into principal.

That's what I can tell from looking at it.
Q. Okay. Do you have any understanding as to
why that would have been done or why that would have been done on that day?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Because that's the anniversary date of the loan. I would assume that that's how the loan is written.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And I think that that Section 1 of the promissory note does say, the unpaid principal balance of this note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest.

At the rate of $\mathbf{6}$ percent per annum from the date hereof until maturity date, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this note.

Do you see that?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I see that.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Assuming that this is the correct amortization schedule for the NexPoint note, and that the numbers in here are correct, if you look at the second page under the column total paid there are a number of entries for 2019.

Do you see that, the far right column?
A. At the top, yes.

| 81 | 83 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Q. For example, 1.3 million, 2.1 million, <br> 1.3 million. <br> Do you see that? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. Assuming that that's correct, do you have any <br> memory or understanding whether in the year 2019, or <br> why NexPoint was making these payments on this <br> promissory note? <br> A. Without going back and reading through emails <br> I can only assume that, from looking at this, Highland, <br> the debtor, would have needed cash, and so this is one way of getting cash to the debtor. <br> Q. This is kind of like what we discussed in the <br> beginning, that Mr. Dondero on a cash needed basis <br> would just transfer money between entities? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. Do you have any memory in the first half of <br> 2019 whether Highland, the debtor, had any particular <br> need for cash money at that time? <br> A. We generally always had a need for cash, so yes. <br> Q. And so if NexPoint was transferring money <br> back to Highland on this note because Highland needed <br> the money, would those have been recorded as <br> prepayments by the debtor? | ```Exhibit }15\mathrm{ and I'm going to represent to you that it's the email that Mr. Morris sent to me today and I've not doctored it in any way. (Whereupon, Exhibit }15\mathrm{ was marked for identification.) MR. MORRIS: Do you have the email that it was attached to? MR. RUKAVINA: Somewhere. I can find it at a break. MR. MORRIS: I'll let the witness testify. This was attached to an email. Not my email, but another email. But I'll let the witness testify. MR. RUKAVINA: Off the record. (Off the record.) Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So you have Exhibit }15 And during the break we established, I don't have a copy of it right now, but you sent Exhibit }15\mathrm{ on August 29, 2020, to Mr. Dondero by email, copying Mr. Waterhouse, as well as a couple of other attachments; is that correct? \\ A. Correct. \\ Q. Do you recall what prompted you to send that email and this attachment? \\ A. Yes. \\ Q. What?``` |
| MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the <br> question. <br> THE WITNESS: Yes. <br> Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sitting here today, do you <br> have any reason to believe based on the formatting or <br> anything on Exhibit 14 that it's not the amortization <br> schedule as it was maintained by the debtor? <br> A. I don't have any reason to not believe that <br> it was. <br> Q. Going to show you a few documents that I'm <br> hopefully going to burn through, but you're certainly <br> entitled to take all the time that you need. <br> So first is going to be a document that <br> Mr. Morris produced this morning. It's not Bates <br> labeled. I don't know why. <br> MR. MORRIS: As I said in my email, my <br> paralegal is sick and so I wanted you to have the <br> documents. We'll Bates stamp them later, but we have a <br> written record from my email of what we produced to <br> you. <br> MR. RUKAVINA: You're assuming that I read my <br> emails. <br> MR. MORRIS: Sorry about that. I confess, <br> sometimes I don't as well. <br> Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So I'm going to hand you | A. Frank Waterhouse called me on August 29, and requested that $I$ do so. <br> Q. Did he tell you why? <br> A. From what I recall, this was a time when Jim was trying to come up with his bargain or pop land, whatever he referenced it as. This was all information that Frank said he wanted. <br> Q. Okay. So going back to Exhibit 15, what I'm interested in is NexPoint Advisors, the 23,846,000 and change number. <br> Do you see that? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. Where did that number -- or where did this <br> Exhibit 15 come from, if you understand my question? <br> A. Sure. These numbers should all be balances <br> off of the corresponding notes that each entity owed to the debtor. <br> Q. Did you or someone prepare Exhibit 15 <br> specifically for that email? Or was Exhibit 15 already <br> existing somewhere on the system? <br> A. I believe that we prepared it specifically for this request. <br> Q. Do you recall who? <br> A. It was either myself or our accountant. I <br> don't recall who put it together. |
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Q. Okay. And where would that 23 million and
change number for NexPoint have come from, an amortization schedule?
A. Yes.
Q. And what about Highland Capital Management

Fund Advisors? You see $\$ 10.5$ million and change demand on Exhibit 15?
A. Yes.
Q. Where would that $\$ 10.5$ million number have come from, do you remember?
A. The same. It would have come off of the amortization schedules for all of their notes.
Q. How was there an amortization schedule for a demand note?
A. Because it's accruing interest.
Q. So sitting here today, you expect there would be some amortization schedule like Exhibit 14 but for
HCMFA?
A. Yes.
Q. Now we're going to have an exhibit [verbatim]
chain that's going to be marked as Exhibit 16.
(Whereupon, Exhibit 16 was marked for identification.)
MR. RUKAVINA: For the folks on the video,
Exhibit 16 is the email chain that Mr. Morris used last

Do you see that, ma'am?
A. Yes.
Q. 23 million 683?
A. Yes.
Q. And you see, HCMFA due to HCMLP as of June 30, 2020, 12,286,000?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Strike that.

It says $\mathbf{1 2 , 2 8 6}$. What do you take that $\mathbf{1 2 , 2 8 6}$ to mean?
A. I think that's a typo and it should have said -- well, there's several things wrong with this, from looking at it.

She left off three zeros on the end of it.
Should have said $\mathbf{1 2 , 2 8 6 , 0 0 0}$. Secondly, that amount is our due to affiliates on HCMFA's books, not just due to HCMLP.
Q. That was going to be my question, why that 12,286,000 number didn't jive with the $10,530,000$ number on Exhibit 15?
A. Yes, there's another loan due to a different affiliate.
Q. So that $\$ 12,286,000$ amount doesn't mean that it's all due to Highland; is that correct?
week regarding the Section 15(c) document.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Are you familiar with this Exhibit 16 email chain, Ms. Hendrix?
A. Yes.
Q. Why are you familiar with it?
A. Well, I'm copied on it, and I saw it yesterday.
Q. Do you have any memory -- well, that's a
stupid question. But prior to yesterday, did you have
any memory of this?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you recall the context or the purpose of this exhibit, or this email chain?
A. From what I remember this is the time where information was being prepared for the retail board to re-up the debtor's shared services.
Q. So, here -- you're certainly welcome to read it in its entirety and if you feel like you want to or need to, that's fine. But I only have one question. Well, one question with two subparts.

I'm looking at Ms. Lauren Thedford's,
T-h-e-d-f-o-r-d's, email October 6, 2000 [verbatim]
where she says, I see the below from the $6 / 30$
financials. NPA, due to HCMLP and affiliates as of June 30, 2020.
A. Correct.
Q. Exhibit 17 is going to be the January 7, 2021 notice from the debtor to NexPoint about the default.
(Whereupon, Exhibit 17 was marked for identification.)
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) You've been handed Exhibit 17. Have you seen this document before?
A. Not that I believe.
Q. And I think we've asked this before, but just to clarify.

Did anyone at the debtor, including Mr. Seery
or DSI, discuss with you after December 31, 2020 that
the payment had not been made and what, if anything,
the debtor should do about that?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I can't recall specific
conversations that may or may not have been had around that topic.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Would -- so back then you were the assistant controller, on January 7; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you think that back then Mr. Seery or DSI
would have sought your advice or input as to what they
should do about the missed payment?
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(Whereupon, Exhibit 18 was marked for identification.)
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Exhibit 18, Ms. Hendrix, is an email chain between you and Mr. Waterhouse on
January 12, 2021. Do you remember this email chain?
A. No.
Q. Do you remember on January 12 Mr . Waterhouse emailing you, asking when the last amort payment due and what the amount was for NexPoint?
A. No.
Q. When was the last time -- well, strike that.

Do you remember ever seeing this email between then and today?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any present memory of any
communications with Mr. Waterhouse on or about
January 12, 2021 regarding the NexPoint default or note?
A. Not specific, no.
Q. Any general memory?
A. Not that I can pinpoint, no.
Q. Were you aware that on or about January 14

NexPoint transferred about $\mathbf{\$ 1 . 4}$ million and change to the debtor?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you aware of it then?
A. Was I aware of what?
Q. That transfer of $\$ 1.4$ million and change.
A. On January 14?
Q. Yes.
A. Yes.
Q. Did you facilitate that transfer?
A. Yes.
Q. Who told you to make that transfer?
A. Frank Waterhouse.
Q. Did he tell you why?
A. Nope.
Q. He just said make the transfer?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he tell you that it was on account of the NexPoint note?
A. Yes.
Q. Did he tell you how to, if at all, to credit that note for that amount?
A. No.
Q. Sitting here today, you have no memory other than that Frank Waterhouse told you to transfer some $\$ 1.4$ million on the NexPoint note?
A. Right.
Q. And do you recall, was that oral or written
Q. And do you recall, was that oral or written
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or how would that have been?
A. That was a phone call.
Q. Do you recall who initiated the phone call?
A. Frank called me.
Q. Was that the only topic discussed in that phone call to your memory?

## A. Yes.

Q. Did you ask him why the payment or anything -- did you ask him anything at all?
A. No.
Q. And after you made the payment -- or I'm sorry, after you caused the payment to be made, did you take any further steps with respect to the NexPoint note?
A. I forwarded the payment confirmation, showing that the money was sent from NexPoint Advisors to Highland, forwarded that payment confirmation from the bank to Jack Donohue at DSI, letting him know.
Q. Did you let Mr. Donohue or anyone at DSI know about the transfer before the transfer was made?
A. No.
Q. And you sent that by email to Mr. Donohue?
A. Yes.
Q. Did Mr. Donohue thereafter have any
discussion with you about that in any way?
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| 93 | 95 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 A. I have no idea. | 1 proceedings today. |
| 2 Q. He didn't ask what this was for or anything | I'm going to try to ask you some questions |
| like that? | 3 about these adversary proceedings. I'll try to make it |
| A. He may have asked what the amount | 4 as quick as possible so we don't keep you here. |
| 5 represented. I can't specifically recall. But it's | 5 You understand that you're still under oath; |
| 6 possible. | 6 is that correct? |
| 7 Q. Okay. Do you recall any discussion about | 7 A. Correct. |
| 8 that time, January 14, with Mr. Donohue or | 8 Q. First topic I want to ask you about is one of |
| 9 Mr. Waterhouse or anyone as to whether that payment | 9 the defenses in this case related to an oral agreement. |
| 10 would in any way relieve NexPoint of the default or | 10 Let me start off with this question. |
| 11 would not relieve NexPoint of the default? | 11 Are you aware that some of the defendants in |
| 12 A. No. | 12 these adversary proceedings have raised a defense that |
| 13 Q. Ms. Hendrix, I believe that I am done. I | 13 there was a subsequent oral agreement allowing the |
| 14 would like you, however, because it's important, to | 14 notes at issue to be potentially forgiven if certain |
| 15 check your phone. Would you like a short, five-minute | 15 events occurred? |
| 16 restroom break and just check -- | 16 A. I've recently been made aware that this came |
| 17 A. Yeah, and I might need help figuring out how | 17 up, yes. |
| 18 to do that. | 18 Q. When you say recently, approximately when? |
| 19 Q. I'm not saying that it's possible, but I'm | 19 A. Within the last week. |
| 20 going to ask you on the record to look for that | 20 Q. And where did you learn that from? |
| 21 November 30 or December 1, 2020 phone call. | 21 A. In my speakings with John Morris just |
| 22 MR. MORRIS: We're happy to do that. | 22 preparing for today. |
| 23 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) But what I would like if | 23 MR. AIGEN: And John, I'm going to assume |
| 24 you find it, I would like you to tell me the time, the | 24 that those conversations are privileged? |
| 25 date and the length of that call. | 25 MR. MORRIS: That's a very fair assumption. |
| 94 | 96 |
| 1 A. Okay. | 1 Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Other than the conversation |
| Q. Thank you. | 2 you just referred to with Mr. Morris, have you ever had |
| We'll be back in five minutes. | 3 any other conversations with anyone about this alleged |
| 4 (Off the record.) | 4 oral agreement that Defendants are contending occurred? |
| Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Ms. Hendrix, during the | 5 A. No. |
| 6 break did you look at your phone? | Q. So prior to that conversation with Mr. Morris |
| A. I did. | 7 you weren't even aware of this alleged defense related |
| Q. Did you find anything? | 8 to an oral agreement. Is that fair to say? |
| A. Sadly, it only goes back to October 5 of | 9 A. That's right. |
| 102021. | 10 Q. This is a similar question but slightly |
| 11 Q. Not surprised. Thank you. | 11 different, just to sort of finish this topic. I'm not |
| 12 Have I been courteous to you today? | 12 asking about this oral agreement as a defense, I'm just |
| 13 A. Yes. | 13 asking more generally. |
| 14 MR. RUKAVINA: I pass the witness. | 14 Other than this conversation, were you aware |
| 15 MR. MORRIS: Thank you. | 15 generally of any conversations that anyone had where |
| 16 MR. AIGEN: Are we ready to move forward? | 16 the notes at issue might be forgiven if certain events |
| 17 MR. MORRIS: Yes. You're a little dark | 17 occurred? |
| 18 there. | 18 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the |
| 19 MR. RUKAVINA: Can we increase the volume on | 19 question. |
| 20 that thing? | 20 THE WITNESS: No. |
| 21 (Off the record.) | 21 Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Is it fair to say that you |
| 22 EXAMINATION | 22 haven't had any conversations about this subsequent |
| 23 Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Good afternoon, Ms. Hendrix. | 23 oral agreement with anyone other than Mr. Morris? |
| 24 My name is Michael Aigen. I represent Mr. Dondero, | 24 A. That's fair. |
| 25 HCMS and HCRE Partners in several of the adversary | 25 Q. You never discussed it with Mr. Seery? |


|  |  | 97 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | A. | No. |
| 2 | Q. | Never discussed it with Mr. Klos? |
| 3 | A. | No. Well, sorry, Mr. Klos was present when |
| 4 | John and I talked about it. But that's it. |  |
| 5 | Q. | Have you ever made any investigation or |
| 6 | effort in order to determine if this oral agreement |  |
| 7 | actually occurred? |  |
| 8 | A. | No. |
| 9 | Q. | If there was such an oral agreement to |
| 10 | potentially forgive the notes, do you believe that you |  |
| 11 | would have known about such an oral agreement as part |  |
| 12 | of your duties and responsibilities? |  |
| 13 | A. | Yes, I would hope so. |
| 14 | Q. | Why do you say that? |
| 15 | A. | That's something that should be disclosed in |
| 16 | audited financial statements, and me and my team are |  |
| 17 | responsible for preparing those financial statements |  |
| 18 | and presenting them to the auditors as fair and |  |
| 19 | accurate. |  |
| 20 | Q. And is it fair to say that this oral |  |
| 21 | agreement should have been disclosed to PwC if it was |  |
| 22 | determined that it was material? |  |
| 23 | A. | Yes. |
| 24 | Q. And have you done any sort of analysis to |  |
| 25 | determine whether the oral agreement at issue here |  |
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would have been material for purposes of a PwC audit?
A. I've not done any work, just finding out
about it, but from what it sounds like, it would be
material.
Q. That's your opinion, that it would have been material; is that fair to say?
A. Fair.
Q. Have you had any discussions with anyone else
about whether the oral agreement would have been
material?
A. No.
Q. Changing topics a little bit here, are you
aware --
(Off the record.)
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Are you aware that a few of
the loans at issue here, specifically related to HCMS
and HCRE, were term loans as opposed to demand loans?
A. Yes.
Q. And are you aware that for those particular
loans, there were payments that were supposed to be
made but weren't on December 31, 2020 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any understanding as to why those
payments weren't made with respect to the HCMS and HCRE term loans on December 31, 2020 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me why?
A. Sure. It goes along with the same statement as HCMFA and NPA and the phone call that I got from Frank Waterhouse saying there's no payments coming from any of the affiliates to the debtor.
Q. I may have written that down wrong when you
talked about that before, but I believe your earlier
testimony when you described that conversation was that
there was no more payments coming from the Advisors, not affiliates.

Let me ask you then, what was the conversation? Was it no more payments from affiliates or Advisors?
A. It could have been either. I probably did say Advisors. But regardless, those payments would have been directed to me to be made, either by Frank Waterhouse or Jim Dondero.

And I would assume that nobody directed me to make those payments because we weren't making any payments from Jim's related parties. I don't know for a fact, but that's what I would assume. Those were all under the same umbrella.
Q. And again, let's back up a second.

When you refer to Advisors, fair to say that

1 th
2 3 a

Let me
of that phone call was. Tell me as best as you can
what you remember Frank telling you?
A. I remember it as being no payments from the Advisors to the debtor.
Q. So you don't remember the instruction being,
don't make payments from the affiliates. It was, don't
make payments from the Advisors; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So is it fair to say that you don't remember
any instructions telling you not to make any payments
from HCMS or HCRE?
A. That's fair.
Q. So if that is the case, why weren't payments
made from HCMS or HCRE for December 31, 2020, payment?
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```
A. Sure. Typically what would have happened is
Frank would be talking to Jim Dondero about making
these payments and getting his approval to do so,
because Jim Dondero is, you know, directing payments out of these entities.
I have never -- had never been given the
direction to effectuate those payments by anybody.
Q. Is it fair to say, then, that you're not
aware of any instructions from anyone saying that the
HCMS and HCRE payments should not be made on
December 31, 2020?
A. That's fair.
Q. So the reason the payments weren't made is
because you never got an affirmative instruction to
actually make that payment; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you're not aware of Mr. Dondero
instructing anyone that HCMS and HCRE should not have made the December 31, 2020, payments; is that correct?
A. I'm not aware personally, no. Correct.
Q. You say personally. In any way are you aware
of such a specific instruction?
A. No.
Q. If that payment was to be made, who at the debtor would have been responsible for making those
```


## payments on behalf of HCMS and HCRE?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
THE WITNESS: The corporate accounting team.
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) And that included you?
A. Yes.
Q. And in December of 2020, were you aware that
those payments were due on December 31, 2020 ?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you make any attempts or efforts to
determine whether Mr. Dondero wanted those payments to be made?
A. I did not, no.
Q. Why not?
A. That would have been something that Frank

Waterhouse would have done directly with Jim Dondero himself.
Q. Did you have any conversations with anyone about whether the December 31 payments for HCMS and HCRE would be made in December of 2020?
A. Not that I can recall.
Q. And you didn't think it was your
responsibility to check on those payments and find out if they should have been made?
A. Right, correct.
Q. And is that because it's only your job to make payments that you're told to specifically make; is that correct?
A. Yes, in this case, that is correct.
Q. Is it fair to say then that as part of your job responsibilities you've never made a payment to anyone without being specifically told by Mr. Dondero and Mr. Waterhouse?
A. Sorry, say that again.
Q. As part of your job responsibilities, have you ever made a payment to anyone without the specific instruction of Mr. Waterhouse or Mr. Dondero?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yes, we make payments all the time.
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) So why is this different in that this payment was not made without the specific instructions from Mr. Waterhouse and Mr. Dondero, even though you believed the payment was due on December 31, 2020?
A. The difference between making a loan payment and making normal course -- or sorry, normal, ordinary course, you know, overhead expense payments is that something like that is not necessarily what we would
take to Jim Dondero to approve.
He doesn't have time to approve every single overhead payment that we're making out of every single entity. That's what Frank is for.

Something that's once a year that's more material in amount, such as a loan payment, that is something that needs to get approved by Jim Dondero.
Q. You say needs to get approved. What's your basis for that, something in a policy manual, something someone told you?
A. It's a policy that my team followed. I don't think that it's written in an actual manual anywhere, but anything that's not ordinary course needs to get approved by Jim Dondero.
Q. Is that something that's written in a policy anywhere?
A. Not that I know of.
Q. Were you ever told that payments in the ordinary course can be made without Mr. Dondero's approval but loan payments cannot?
A. Yes, I do recall years ago that Frank and I, possibly Jim, this was years ago, had a conversation that anything ordinary course is up to Frank to approve. And this is, quite frankly, up to Frank.

Whatever he felt Jim needed to sign off on,
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that's what Jim would sign off on. This was not my responsibility to make that decision.
Q. And in December -- prior to the December 31,

2020, due date you didn't have any conversations with anyone about whether this -- these payments that were due should be made; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And you didn't try to check with anyone to
see whether anyone wanted these payments to be made; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Subsequent to the payment being missed, did you ever have any conversations with anyone about why the payment was not made?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. So is it fair to say that sitting here today
you have no idea why the payments were not made for
HCMS and HCRE on December 31, 2020 ?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I don't have any specific
evidence telling me why they weren't. I can make
assumptions but that's not going to help.
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Well, did you ever have any conversations with anyone about why those payments were
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not made?
A. No.
Q. You have no idea why they weren't made other than just speculation; is that fair to say?
A. Correct.

MR. MORRIS: Objection. Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: Correct.
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) And are you aware that with respect to those two loans, some payments were actually made in the next month, in January of 2021?
A. Yes.
Q. What role, if any, did you have with respect to those payments?
A. Frank Waterhouse would call me and tell me to have my team effectuate a wire.
Q. And you say would call you. Do you remember
this conversation or are you just assuming it occurred?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: If we sent a payment out, Frank would have told me to do it. I would not have done it on my own.
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Sitting here today, do you have a specific recollection of the conversation where someone told you to make the January 2021 payments?
A. I can't tell you the exact date, but, yes, $I$
do have a recollection of Frank calling or emailing me to have, I believe it was the HCRE wire sent out for their payment.
Q. What about the HCMS payment?
A. I don't recall that one as much.
Q. Other than the payment being made, do you
have any recollection of any other conversations about why the payment was being made?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware of any conversations that anyone had regarding whether these payments would deaccelerate loans?
A. No.
Q. Is that something you would normally be part of, conversations like that?
A. No.
Q. Changing topics here. Not sure if this is an area that you know anything about.

Are you familiar with the term, as it's used at Highland, NAV ratio trigger period?
A. No.
Q. This may go very quick. If I represent to you that it's a term that's used in the -- in the fourth amended limited partnership agreement for

Highland Capital Management, would that refresh your recollection at all?
A. No.
Q. Fair to say, then, that you have no knowledge as to whether NAV ratio trigger period was ever reached at any time prior to bankruptcy buyouts?
A. No, I don't know.
Q. Have you ever had any conversations with Nancy Dondero?
A. I have not.
Q. Never met her?
A. No. I may have exchanged an email with her on an invoice, but that's the extent of it. No conversations.
Q. In the years leading up to the bankruptcy of

Highland Capital, was there any time period where
Highland was unable to pay its salaries?
A. Salaries?
Q. Salaries of its employees?
A. No.
Q. In the time leading up to the Highland bankruptcy, was there any time period where Highland wasn't able to pay bonuses owed to any of its employees?
25 A. Not that I know of. Not that I can recall.

| 109 | 111 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q. Are you aware of any time period leading up | 1 behalf of HCMFA in May of 2019 to bind HCMFA to such |
| 2 to the Highland bankruptcy where Highland was unable to | 2 notes? |
| 3 pay its bills? | 3 A. No. |
| 4 A. There's times where we would be in a cash | 4 Q. Thank you, ma'am. |
| 5 flow crunch and we would stretch our AP, but eventually | 5 EXAMINATION |
| 6 it would get paid. | 6 Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Ms. Hendrix, can you get out |
| $7 \quad$ Q. And I think this is the last topic and we can | 7 of your pile, Exhibit Number 3. |
| 8 probably move through this pretty quickly. | 8 And this is the email from Dave Klos to |
| 9 Are you aware of any loans made by Highland | 9 corporate accounting on May 2nd concerning the |
| 10 to any of its employees or officers that were forgiven | 10 \$2.4 million that was going to be transferred from |
| 11 in part or all? | 11 HCMLP to HCMFA? |
| 12 A. Yes. | 12 A. Yes. |
| 13 Q. Which officers or employees are you aware of? | 13 Q. And how did Mr. Klos characterize that |
| 14 A. I recall there were two employees. I can't | 14 transfer? |
| 15 remember one of them, but I believe another, the second | 15 A. He called it a new intercompany loan. |
| 16 one, was Paul Adkins. Again, I'm just recalling this | 16 Q. What does a new intercompany loan mean to |
| 17 was years ago. | 17 you? |
| 18 Q. And these two are the only ones you're aware | 18 A. That means we are creating a new loan |
| 19 of? | 19 document, sending money out, tracking it as a |
| 20 A. Or I'm sorry, not Paul Adkins, Tim Lawler. | 20 brand-new, fresh loan. |
| 21 It's possible Paul Adkins was the other one, but I | 21 Q. And he sent this email to an email group |
| 22 can't tell you for sure. | 22 called corporateaccounting@hcmlp.com. Do I have that |
| 23 Q. Tim Lawler and some other employee that you | 23 right? |
| 24 can't remember the name of are the only two that you're | 24 A. Yes. |
| 25 aware of? | 25 Q. Were you included in that email group? |
| 110 | 112 |
| 1 A. Yes. | 1 A. I was. |
| 2 Q. This other employee, I know you don't | 2 Q. Can you identify everybody else who you |
| 3 remember the name. Is there any other description that | 3 recall being in that email group? |
| 4 you can give me, what their position was, how long they | 4 A. Yes. |
| 5 worked, or is it just you remember those loans? | 5 Q. Who else was in that email group? |
| 6 A. I just remember we had two employee loans. | 6 A. Dave Klos, Frank Waterhouse, myself, Hayley |
| $7 \quad$ Q. Approximately when was this? | 7 Eliason, and Blair Roeber. |
| 8 A. I couldn't even tell you. All the years just | 8 Q. Okay. Did Mr. Waterhouse ever tell anybody, |
| 9 commingle together. | 9 to the best of your knowledge, in May 2019 that the |
| 10 Q. More than five years ago? | 10 transaction should not be booked as a loan? |
| 11 A. Yes. | 11 A. No, not to my knowledge. |
| 12 Q. More than 10 years ago? | 12 Q. You testified earlier that there was, you |
| 13 A. I couldn't say. | 13 recall, a similar email the next day with respect to a |
| 14 MR. AIGEN: Why don't we take a five-minute | 14 \$5 million transaction. |
| 15 break and then I'll either be done or have just a few | 15 Do you recall that? |
| 16 wrap-up questions. | 16 A. Yes. |
| 17 MR. RUKAVINA: Okay. | 17 Q. Do you recall if that email also went to |
| 18 (Off the record.) | 18 corporate accounting? |
| 19 FURTHER EXAMINATION | 19 A. I believe so, yes. |
| 20 Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Ms. Hendrix, in May of | 20 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, would |
| 21 2019, would you on behalf of Highland alone, | 21 Mr. Waterhouse have been informed on May 3, 2019, that |
| 22 unilaterally, have the authority to lend to HCMFA 2.4- | 22 the transaction was being booked by the corporate |
| 23 and/or \$5.0 million? | 23 accounting department as a loan? |
| 24 A. No. | 24 A. Yes. |
| 25 Q. And would you have had any authority on | 25 Q. Did Mr. Waterhouse tell you at that time or |
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at any time thereafter that it was a mistake to book it
as a loan?
A. No.
Q. Did Mr. Waterhouse tell you at that time or at any time thereafter that he didn't intend to sign the promissory notes?
A. No.

MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. To the last
question, objection to form.
Go ahead.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Okay. The promissory notes, to be clear, are the two promissory notes that you testified to earlier that have been marked as exhibits in this deposition for $\$ 5$ million and $\$ 2.4$ million respectively.

With that definition as promissory notes, did
Mr. Waterhouse ever tell you at any time that it was a
mistake to sign those notes?
MR. RUKAVINA: I'll object to the form.
Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: No.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did Mr. Waterhouse or anybody -- withdrawn. I'll go back to the first question.

Did Mr. Waterhouse or anybody in the world
ever tell you at any time since May of 2019 that it was a mistake to issue the promissory notes as we've defined them?
A. No.
Q. Did Mr. Waterhouse or anybody in the world tell you that Mr. Waterhouse wasn't authorized to affix his signature to those promissory notes?

MR. RUKAVINA: And I'll object. Assumes
facts not in evidence, i.e., the signature. That's
what I've been objecting to.
But go ahead and answer.
THE WITNESS: Say it again.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did Mr. Waterhouse or anybody in the world tell you at any time that he wasn't authorized to have his signature affixed to the promissory notes?

MR. RUKAVINA: Same objection.
THE WITNESS: No.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did you have anything to do with Highland's annual audit?
A. Yes.
Q. What role did you play with respect to

Highland's annual audit?
A. I personally was in charge of completely
writing the entire audit report for the debtor and for

HCMFA. I oversaw all other aspects of the audit my
team carried out.
Any requests from the auditors, emails with questions, any issues that arose, all of that went through me.
Q. And did Mr. Waterhouse play a role in relation to the annual audit?
A. Yes.
Q. What is your understanding of

## Mr. Waterhouse's role?

A. Let's see. He was in charge of reviewing the financial statements as they were done, so he saw the end product. He would sign off on the management rep letter. He signed engagement letters.

If there were any big issues, those got --
those would be brought to Frank's attention for sure.
Q. Okay. And are you a CPA?
A. Yes.
Q. And are you familiar with management rep letters?
A. Yes.
Q. What is your understanding of what a management rep letter is?
A. That's basically telling the auditors that
everything in the audited financial report is accurate
to the best of their knowledge, they've presented
everything that they have fair and accurately, they're not withholding any information.
Q. And do you recall that the -- Highland's 2018
audit was completed in early June 2019?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you cause the two promissory notes that we're talking about here to be delivered to
PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with the audit?
A. Yes.
Q. And were those two promissory notes delivered to PricewaterhouseCoopers because they constituted subsequent events?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall whether those promissory notes were described in Highland's 2018 audited financial statements?
A. Yes.
Q. And did Mr. Waterhouse or Mr. Dondero ever tell you at any time that there was a mistake in the audited financial statements?
A. No.
Q. Did they ever tell you -- did Mr. Waterhouse or Mr. Dondero or anybody in the world ever tell you at any time that the two notes were mischaracterized in
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|  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | A. Yes. |
| 2 | Q. And above that there is a reference to the |
| 3 | 6/30 financials. |
| 4 | Do you see that? |
| 5 | A. I do. |
| 6 | Q. Do you know what the reference to the 6/30 |
| 7 | financials is? |
| 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | Q. And what is that reference? |
| 10 | A. That is referencing the amounts on the |
| 11 | balance sheet at 6/30 that we provided for the 15(c) |
| 12 | materials to the board. |
| 13 | Q. Okay. And does that \$12.3 million include, |
| 14 | to the best of your knowledge, the principal amount of |
| 15 | the two notes that we were talking about? |
| 16 | A. Yes. |
| 17 | MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence. |
| 18 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 19 | Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) And how do you know that? |
| 20 | A. Because I kept their financials, I know for a |
| 21 | fact that it included all of their outstanding notes |
| 22 | and it most certainly included these two notes that |
| 23 | we've been talking about today. |
| 24 | Q. And to the best of your recollection did |
| 25 | HCMFA provide the 6/30 financials to the retail board? |

## A. Yes.

Q. And to the best of your knowledge did

Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse or anybody in the world
ever tell you that the financial statements that were
provided to the retail board were erroneous in any way?
A. No.
Q. Did Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse or anybody in the world ever tell you that the $6 / 30$ financials
that were given to the retail board should not have
included the $\$ 7.4$ million principal amount on the two
promissory notes?
MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence.
Answer.
THE WITNESS: No.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Do you know whether -- are
you at all familiar with the Advisors' actual response
to the retail board in October 2020?
A. Say that again, please.
Q. So this email string is October 2020; right?
A. Right.
Q. And do you understand that this is kind of a
discussion between Mr. Waterhouse and Ms. Thedford as
to how to respond?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you ever seen the actual response that
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was given to the retail board?
A. I likely did. I can't tell you for certain that I was on the correspondence.
Q. Do you recall any discussion at any time that the $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 . 3}$ million number in Ms. Thedford's email should be changed in the final report to the retail board?
A. I don't believe so.
Q. Did anybody ever tell you at any time that the $\$ 12.3$ million number was incorrect?
A. No.
Q. Did anybody ever tell you at any time that that number wrongly included the $\$ 7.4$ million reflected in the two notes?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Do you recall that earlier that summer -- we looked at Exhibit 15?
A. Yep.
Q. And that was an attachment to an email that you personally sent to Mr. Dondero. We saw that before?
A. Right.
Q. And this Exhibit 15, which was attached to your email, identifies amounts due and owing from NexPoint Advisors; right?
A. Right.

2
Q. Did Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse ever ask
you why -- withdrawn.
Did Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse ever ask
you how the $\$ 10.5$ million number was calculated?
A. No.
Q. Did Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse ever suggest to you that the number was incorrect?
A. No.
Q. Did Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse or anybody in the world ever question the number that you gave to Mr. Dondero in the summer of 2020 concerning the principal amount due by HCMFA to HCMLP?
A. No.
Q. Have you ever made a payment -- withdrawn.

Have you ever caused a payment to be made in connection with an intercompany loan without receiving the prior approval from either Frank Waterhouse or Mr. Dondero?
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A. No.
Q. Has anybody ever said to you that you made a
mistake in applying a payment against principal or
interest due on an intercompany loan?
A. No.
Q. We saw this morning, and we produced to

Mr. Rukavina and he mentioned earlier, 13-week
forecasts? Do you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you review the 13-week forecasts
recently?
A. Yes.
Q. And we're talking specifically about the

13-week forecasts for the November/December 2020 time
period. Do you understand that?
A. Yes.
Q. Based on your review of those forecasts, did those forecasts specifically identify the principal and interest that were due on the three term notes as of December 28, 2020?
A. Yes.
Q. And what was the purpose of creating the 13-week forecasts?
A. Sure. That was to keep everybody informed who was on the cash call, Frank Waterhouse, Jim Seery
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and others, keep everybody informed of upcoming
payments that were due on term loans well in advance.
Everybody knew about it. It was out there
for everybody to see that was on these cash calls.
Q. Now, is it your understanding that

Mr. Waterhouse -- withdrawn.
Did you email these forecasts -- withdrawn.
Did anybody email these forecasts to the best
of your recollection in late 2020 ?
A. Yes.
Q. And was it sent to the corporate accounting group that we saw earlier?
A. It was probably sent to Frank, Seery, the DSI guys that were involved with the cash call.
Q. Okay. And so did you participate in the creation of the 13 -week forecasts?
A. Yes.
Q. What role did you play in the creation of the 13-week forecasts?
A. I was responsible for creating the entire thing.
Q. Okay. And based on the work that you did, was one of the purposes to make sure that Mr. Waterhouse was aware of all payments that were coming due under the intercompany notes?

> A. Yes.
> Q. And was that information that was included on the reports to Mr. Waterhouse?
A. Yes.
Q. And do you recall whether there were any
specific discussions in November or December of 2020
concerning those payments -- withdrawn. That wasn't a good question.

Did Mr. Waterhouse or -- withdrawn.
Did anybody on behalf of HCMS or HCRE ever instruct you to make the payments that were due under their term notes?
A. No.
Q. Did anybody on behalf of NexPoint ever
instruct you to make a payment that was due at year end with respect to the NexPoint term note?
A. No.
Q. Were you authorized to make those payments without the prior approval of either Mr. Waterhouse or Mr. Dondero?
A. No.
Q. I think you testified that there were certain payments that were made in January 2001 under each of the three term notes.

Do I have that right?
A. Correct.

MR. RUKAVINA: 2021.
MR. MORRIS: Thank you very much.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) With that amendment, do you understand my question?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know why the three payments were made in January of 2021 on each of three term notes?
A. Because Frank Waterhouse instructed me to do so.
Q. And he had not instructed you to make those payments prior to that time?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you have to prompt Frank Waterhouse in January of 2021 to make those payments?
A. No.
Q. So based on the 13 -week forecast that you prepared and delivered to Mr. Waterhouse, is it your understanding that Mr. Waterhouse knew as early as mid November 2020 that payments would be due under the three term notes at the end of the year?
A. Yes.
Q. And, in fact, did HCMS and HCRE and NexPoint timely make their installment payments that were due at year end 2018?
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|  | 131 |
| :---: | :---: |
| A. Yes. <br> Q. And was that done because HCMLP received the <br> instructions of somebody authorized to give the <br> instruction on behalf of those entities? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. Did HCMS and HCRE and NexPoint timely make <br> the installment payments that were due at year end <br> 2019? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And why did they make those payments? <br> A. Because we were provided instruction and authorization to do so. <br> Q. Okay. And is the only reason that the <br> payment wasn't made at year end 2020 because nobody on <br> behalf of the Advisors -- withdrawn. <br> Is the only reason that no payment was made <br> at the end of 2020 is because no one on behalf of <br> NexPoint, HCRE, or HCMS directed HCMLP to make those <br> payments? <br> A. Correct. <br> MR. AIGEN: Objection. Form. <br> Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) And you testified earlier to <br> a call that you had with Mr. Waterhouse. I think you <br> said it was either November 30 or December 1. <br> Do you recall that? | ```any reason they weren't, then they were going to raise an issue, a going concern issue. That came up several years in a row with HCMFA. Q. Do you recall that the three term notes at issue here were all signed on May 31, 2017 ? A. Yes. Q. And all of those term notes involved a roll-up of previously issued demand notes; is that right? A. Correct. Q. Do you know why in -- at the end of May 2017 NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS rolled up their demand notes into individualized term notes? \\ A. Yes. \\ Q. What is your understanding as to why thatNone``` |
| A. Yes. <br> Q. And did you personally continue to prepare <br> the 13 -week forecasts after your conversation with <br> Mr. Waterhouse? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And did those 13 -week forecasts continue to include the payments that were due under the three term notes at the year end? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And that's information that you gave to <br> Mr. Waterhouse; is that right? <br> A. Right. <br> Q. Mr. Rukavina elicited from you the fact that <br> payments of principal hadn't been made on demand notes <br> that were executed in favor of Mr. Dondero's <br> affiliates. <br> Do you recall that? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. Okay. Was that a topic of conversation with <br> PricewaterhouseCoopers at any time? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. Can you tell me about that conversation? <br> A. Sure. As part of our annual audit, the <br> auditors would, you know, make sure that our <br> receivables are collectible. And if they thought for | obligations of the maker? <br> A. No. <br> Q. As the person responsible for Highland's audit, did anybody ever tell you at any time that any of the notes at issue should not have been signed? <br> A. No. <br> Q. As the person responsible for Highland's <br> audit, did anybody ever tell you at any time that any <br> of the notes at issue were signed by mistake? <br> A. No. <br> Q. Did anybody ever tell you at any time that -withdrawn. <br> As the person responsible for Highland's <br> audit, did anybody ever tell you at any time that <br> Mr. Dondero didn't approve of any of the notes? <br> A. No. <br> Q. As the person responsible for Highland's <br> audit, did anybody ever tell you at any time that <br> the -- any of the notes at issue were subject to an oral agreement? <br> A. No. <br> Q. As the person responsible for Highland's <br> audit, did anybody ever tell you at any time that any of the notes were amended? <br> A. No. |
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| 133 |  | 135 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Q. As the person responsible for Highland's | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER |  |
| 2 audit, did anybody ever tell you at any time that any | 2 I, BRANDON D. COMBS, a Certified Shorthand |  |
| 3 of the notes would be forgiven? | 3 Reporter, hereby certify that the witness in the |  |
| 4 A. No. | 4 foregoing deposition was by me duly sworn to tell the |  |
| 5 Q. During your 15 years at Highland, has an | 5 truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth in the 6 within-entitled cause; |  |
| 6 intercompany loan ever been forgiven in whole or in |  |  |
| 7 part? | $7 \quad$ That said deposition was taken in shorthand by <br> 8 me, a disinterested person, at the time and place |  |
| 8 A. No. |  |  |
| 9 Q. During your -- withdrawn. | 9 therein stated, and that the testimony of the said |  |
| 10 Can you recall any note that Highland ever | 10 witness was thereafter reduced to typewriting, by |  |
| 11 held as the payee that was forgiven in whole or in part | 11 computer, under my direction and supervision; |  |
| 12 in the five years prior to bankruptcy, go back to 2014? | 12 That before completion of the deposition, |  |
| 13 A. No. | 13 review of the transcript was not requested. If |  |
| 14 Q. Is it your understanding as the person | 14 requested, any changes made by the deponent (and |  |
| 15 responsible for Highland's audit that the forgiveness | 15 provided to the reporter) during the period allowed are |  |
| 16 of notes, if they were in a material amount, would have | 16 appended hereto. |  |
| 17 had to have been disclosed in the audited financial | 17 I further certify th |  |
| 18 statements? | 18 attorney for either or any of the parties to the said |  |
| 19 A. Yes. | 19 deposition, nor in any way interested in the event of |  |
| 20 Q. So is it fair to say that any evidence of the | 20 this cause, and that I am not related to any of the |  |
| 21 forgiveness of material amounts would have been | 21 parties thereto. |  |
| 22 disclosed in Highland's financial statements? | 22 DATED: November 1, 2021 |  |
| 23 A. Yes. | 23 |  |
| 24 MR. MORRIS: I have no further questions. | 24 |  |
| 25 MR. RUKAVINA: I have none. | 25 | Brandon Combs, Certified Shorthand |
| 134 |  | 136 |
| 1 MR. AIGEN: None. | 1 | State of Texas |
| 2 MR. RUKAVINA: Okay. Thank you very much. |  | Dickman Davenport, Inc. Cert 312 |
| 3 (Whereupon, the deposition adjourned at | 2 | 4228 North Central Expressway |
| 4 1:19 P.M.) | 3 | Suite 101, Dallas, TX 75206 |
| 5 --oOo-- | 3 | Email: info@dickmandavenport.com |
| 6 I declare under penalty of perjury that the | 4 | www.dickmandavenport.com |
| 7 foregoing is true and correct. Subscribed at |  | My commission expires 1-31-23 |
| 8 [ ${ }^{\text {a }}$, Texas, this ____ day of | 5 |  |
| $9 \longrightarrow 2021$. | 6 |  |
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## EXHIBIT 195

| 1 |  |  | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 3 DALLAS DIVISION | 3 | Examination by Mr. RUKAVINA | 4 |
| 4 --000-- | 4 | Examination by MR. AIGEN | 95 |
| 5 | 4 | Examination by Mr. AIGEN | 95 |
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A. As far as I know.
Q. Have you ever been disciplined or threatened with disciplinary proceedings?
A. No.
Q. And your relevant work experience, please, starting with college and afterwards?
A. Sure. Out of grad school I started working at Deloitte in Boston. I worked at Deloitte for
approximately three and a half years, between the
Boston office and the Dallas office.
And then I began working at Highland Capital
Management in March of 2009 and I've been at Highland since then.
Q. And when you joined Highland in March of

2009, what was your title or your role at that time?
A. My title, if I remember correctly, was
valuation senior analyst. I'm not certain if that was
exactly it, but it was something along those lines.
Q. Was it in the valuation group?
A. Yes.
Q. And then give me your -- today you're the CFO
of Highland; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. So give me the progression from valuation analyst to CFO with, to the best of your recollection,
the approximate year that you were promoted, et cetera?
A. Sure. I was in the valuation role from basically March of 2009 to end of 2009.

I was then brought over to what we call the corporate accounting team, so doing the accounting for Highland Capital Management, LP and of the other advisor-type entities, where I was primarily focused on budgeting and forecasting, credit facility compliance.

That took from roughly 2010 until I think middle of 2011, at which point I was moved over to the fund accounting group, so doing hedge fund accounting, which was a short role, really, for probably three or four months.

At which point I was brought back to the corporate team and also put in charge of the valuation group. I held that role in some way, shape, or form more or less continuously for the next several years, although certainly my role evolved and changed.

But in terms of the groups that I had oversight over, those were the groups. Like I said, my role definitely evolved over time from 2011.
Q. So by 2017 what was your title?
A. So, yeah, by that time, I was, I believe, controller. I might have still been assistant controller.

There were a few title changes in between there. I think at one point I was manager, at one point I was senior manager, at one point I was assistant controller and at one point I was controller.

I can't remember the exact times of all of those break points.
Q. Let me pause you. When you were assistant controller, who was the controller?
A. There was quite a bit of time where I was assistant controller and we didn't have a controller. I couldn't tell you the exact time frame, but there was definitely an extended time frame.

And then in April of 2020, our existing chief accounting officer left and $I$ assumed his responsibilities at that time.
Q. Let me pause you. That's a new term for me. Chief accounting officer?
A. Uh-huh.
Q. Who was that person?
A. The person that left?
Q. The person that was the chief accounting officer until April 2020.
A. Cliff Stoops.
Q. And do you have any idea or knowledge whether at Highland that was like an officer-level position?

8
A. It was not. It was more of a term of art, I would describe it. So it -- so, yeah --
Q. To the best of your recollection, when did you become the controller at Highland Capital Management, LP?
A. I couldn't pin down a specific date. Like I said, the responsibilities were very similar. I would guess the change from assistant controller to controller was probably in the, most likely in the '16, '17, maybe '18 time frame.
Q. Can we agree that as of May 1, 2019, you were the controller at Highland?
A. Yes.
Q. So let's focus on that time frame, May 2019, and you're the controller. Who do you report to at Highland?
A. Frank Waterhouse.
Q. The CFO?
A. Correct.
Q. No one in between you and him?
A. Correct.
Q. So what -- explain to me the role between the chief accounting officer and the chief financial officer in that time frame, '19, '20?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
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```
1 question.
THE WITNESS: Very little. Like I said,
3 \text { chief accounting officer was more of a term of art.}
What that role actually had oversight of was our retail
fund accounting, institutional fund accounting,
operations, so loan settlement and treasury.
    And probably another department or two that
I'm forgetting, but it did not have any oversight over
the corporate accounting group.
    Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And in May of 2019, as the
controller, what were -- what was your role or what
were your duties?
    A. In May of 2019 I was at that point still
overseeing the valuation group. I was overseeing the
corporate accounting group, which my primary direct
report there was Kristin Hendrix, who really was the
day-to-day person. But I certainly oversaw her.
    Q. By that you mean the person that answers to
you?
    A. Correct. Sorry. If I flipped that, I
apologize. So I was overseeing that group, which had,
you know, fairly broad responsibilities.
    In terms of, you know, accounting for the
Advisor, doing forecasts when they were called for,
performing the audit every year, managing cash,
```

1 processing payroll, things of that nature.
2 And then at that time I was also put in
3 charge of one of the public REITs that was launching at
the time under the NexPoint flag. And getting that
team started.
Q. Did you mention that in May of 2019 you were
still involved with the valuation group?
A. I did.
Q. Did you have a title at the valuation group?
A. Nothing distinct from my overall controller
title. These titles were often, like I said, terms of
art, whether it was controller or chief accounting
officer.
Q. What did the valuation group at Highland do?
A. Well, valuation group was really a liaison
with both third-party pricing providers, pricing
services, brokers on the street, front office, members
at Highland.
To, you know, to work on valuing the
securities held across the platform, both for Highland
HCMLP managed funds as well as affiliated managed
funds.
Q. So who did -- did you report to anyone at the
valuation group? In other words, did it have its own
separate hierarchy kind of?
themselves, but they do act in this liaison role
Q. Perhaps that's my confusion. Is there a
separate group that handles just valuation?
A. No.
Q. Is there an outside consultancy that handled
that in May of 2019?
A. I don't know if I would call it consultancy,
ut there was a third-party valuation service provider
A. I don't know if I would call it consultancy,
but there was a third-party valuation service provider that would do certain of the, call it illiquid, harder to value securities.
Q. So would you say that you were pretty busy in April, May 2019?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I've been busy throughout my career.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) In April, May, June 2019, how many hours a month do you estimate you worked for Highland?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
A. Frank Waterhouse.
Q. And were --
A. I should clarify too, that the valuation team isn't ultimately responsible for the valuations
themselves, but they do act in this liaison role.
Q. Perhaps that's my confusion. Is there a separate group that handles just valuation?
A. No.
Q. Is there an outside consultancy that handled that in May of 2019?
Q. So would you say that you were pretty busy in
April, May 2019?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
THE WITNESS: I've been busy throughout my
career.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) In April, May, June 2019,
how many hours a month do you estimate you worked for
Highland?
question.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember. A significant number.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Certainly full-time?
A. Absolutely.
Q. Would you say that you were working more than 200 hours a month in that time frame for Highland?
A. I don't know how many hours. I should clarify, we're using Highland very liberally. When I say Highland, supporting the entire apparatus, platform. Significant number of hours at that time, and before and after.
Q. And let's explore that a little bit. You mentioned one of the funds for NexPoint. I'd like to talk about NexPoint Advisors, LP, just NexPoint Advisors, LP.

Did you ever have an official role or title with NexPoint Advisors, LP?
A. Not that I can remember.
Q. Do you know if you were ever the controller for that entity?
A. I'm not certain. I'm not certain.
Q. But I take it that pursuant to the shared services agreement you, as an employee of Highland, were providing services on behalf of NexPoint?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
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```
question.
```

THE WITNESS: I provided many of the same services for NexPoint Advisors that I provided for Highland, similar types of services.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And briefly about Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, LP, HCMFA, did you ever have like an official title or role with that entity, to your knowledge?
A. Again, not that I can remember.
Q. Not to your knowledge, the controller ever of that entity?
A. I'm not certain whether I was or not.
Q. But you provided services to that entity as
part of your role at Highland pursuant to shared services?
A. Similar to NexPoint as I described.
Q. When you were controller of Highland, was that an officer-level position at Highland?
A. No.
Q. When did you become the chief financial officer of Highland?
A. Chief financial officer?
Q. Uh-huh.
A. 2021, March.
Q. After Mr. Waterhouse was gone?
A. Yes.
Q. And I'm going to ask you a little bit about your compensation today at Highland.

You don't have to give me specific numbers
unless I ask you, please, but I take it you have a base compensation?
A. Yes, I have a base.
Q. Do you have any bonus structure compensation?
A. Yes, I have a bonus.
Q. And what is that bonus number or whether it's paid out based upon or contingent upon?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: As I understand, it's based on my offer letter.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) On your what?
A. My letter for extending an offer.
Q. Tell me, what is your -- without having to use express numbers, what is your bonus compensation? When is it paid, et cetera?
A. Yeah, so it's not too dissimilar from the prior Highland plan that has semiannual installments payable. And then there's a, kind of an end of plan bonus when -- I don't remember the specifics on exactly what triggers that, but it's back-ended in the plan.
Q. Do you have an expectation as to when the winding down and monetization of Highland and its assets will be complete?
A. That's very hard to speculate, especially given the amount of litigation that's going on because I don't know when that's going to play out and that's a material asset.
Q. Have you discussed with Mr. Seery how long that might be?
A. Not that I can specifically remember.
Q. Do you believe it will be at least probably two years, from today?
A. I don't know.
Q. This bonus compensation, does it or any amount of it depend on how well Highland or the claimant trust, how well they do vis-a-vis collecting money from creditors?
A. Not that I can think of. I'd have to probably go back and look and understand the back-end piece to say definitively.
Q. And back-end piece, does that mean whenever the winding down is completed?
A. Yeah, like I said, I'm not exactly -- I'm not completely facile with the exact timing, if it's
completed 100 percent or 80 percent, what kind of
qualitative considerations go into that. But substantially completed.
Q. Sitting here today, do you think or believe
that any portion of your compensation over the next
however long it takes to wind down Highland depends on
how much Highland recovers from the litigation
regarding promissory notes?
A. I really take exception to that question because the insinuation is that it's going to somehow change my answers here, and it's absolutely not.

How litigation, it may or may not affect my ultimate compensation, but that's not going to affect one iota of the answers I give you today or at any time, whether I'm on or off the record.
Q. Fair enough. So you're going to testify
today truthfully regardless of your compensation. I
got you; right? Correct?
A. I didn't follow what you just asked me.
Q. You're going to testify today truthfully
regardless of how these events may or may not affect your compensation; right?
A. It's such a loaded question I can't even begin to answer that.
Q. So sitting here today -- I want to ask you the same question I did before, and your answer to me

## David Klos - October 27, 2021

was that you took exception to the insinuation. Now
I'd like you to answer my question.
Which is, sitting here today, do you believe that any part of your compensation in the future, however long it takes to wind down Highland, is going to depend on how well Highland does in these litigations concerning the notes?
A. I believe my ultimate compensation will depend on how long this process takes, which I don't know, and ultimate recoveries to trust beneficiaries under the plan.

And so I do expect that it will vary, but I would reiterate my earlier comment.
Q. So sitting here today, you understand that if the trust beneficiaries recover more, then you might be compensated more?
A. That's possible.
Q. Well, sir, I'm not trying to be a smart ass, but --

MR. MORRIS: Actually, you're coming awfully
close, just to be clear, so be careful, because I'm offended as well. But continue.

MR. RUKAVINA: I'm entitled to ask the man about his compensation.

MR. MORRIS: Right. And your clients have
\$75 million, hard dollars at stake in this litigation, so we should never believe anything that he says? Is that where we are now?
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sir, again, what is your
bonus compensation as it relates to how well the
claimant trust does? Do you remember or not?
A. I don't know that that's even something that I could know at this point.
Q. In preparing for this deposition, I take it
you spoke to legal counsel, and I'm not entitled to
know that and I'm not asking that.
But did you talk to anyone else?
A. I've spoken in general terms to Mr. Seery.
Q. Okay. Anyone else?
A. I've spoken, again in general terms, to

Kristin Hendrix.
Q. Anyone else?
A. Not that I can think of.
Q. Now, I understand you spoke to Ms. Hendrix
when legal counsel was present; right?
A. Yes.
Q. So let's exclude that conversation.

Did you have any conversations with
Ms. Hendrix regarding this deposition or this
litigation at which counsel was not present?
A. Not in any substance.
Q. And when do you recall you might have had those discussions with her?
A. I'm not even sure.
Q. Would it have been recently or like 9 , 10 months ago?
A. No, it would have been recently.
Q. And with Mr. Seery, when did you have a general conversation with Mr. Seery?
A. I've had, you know, one or more general conversations with Mr. Seery. It's my understanding that he was the $30(\mathrm{~b})(6)$ witness, and he had questions in preparation for his role in that.
Q. So that would have been before last Thursday that you talked to him? I'll represent to you that that's when his deposition was.
A. Yeah, if I'm accepting that representation, yes, prior to.
Q. Other than that conversation with respect to him preparing for the $30(b)(6)$, did you have a discussion with him about this litigation as it might relate to your deposition?
A. I don't believe so in terms of relating to this deposition. We've talked at length about the notes more generally.
Q. And we'll go through that I'm sure.

So other than the conversations with Ms. Hendrix and Mr. Seery and, of course, with counsel that I'm not entitled to know about, did you discuss this deposition or what you might be asked today with anyone else?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Did you read all or any portions of the deposition of Frank Waterhouse?
A. Certainly didn't read all of it. I have a general understanding of the topics that were -- that's a bad way to frame it.

I have a general understanding of a few points that were covered in his deposition.
Q. Were you provided -- were you provided the exact pages of any of his deposition?

MR. MORRIS: Objection. Direct him not to answer.

MR. RUKAVINA: You're going to direct him not to answer whether he read --

MR. MORRIS: If you're asking him whether I
directed him to particular --
MR. RUKAVINA: I didn't ask that.
MR. MORRIS: Rephrase your question.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Did you read any pages
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6 (Pages 21 to 24)

```
from Mr. Waterhouse's deposition?
    MR. MORRIS: Objection. Asked and answered.
    You can answer again.
    THE WITNESS: I don't recall -- I don't
recall reading it.
    Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So were you provided a
summary of his deposition?
    A. I have had discussions with Mr. Morris in
preparation for this deposition.
    Q. That's fine. And we can stop there.
        Did you read or -- did you read the whole or
any portion of Mr. Seery's deposition?
    A. No, I don't believe I -- no, I don't believe
so.
    Q. Is it the same answer, that whatever you
discussed would have been through counsel?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Did you see any of the videotape of either
Mr. Waterhouse's or Mr. Seery's deposition?
    A. No.
    Q. So let's talk about the NexPoint
$30.7 million note.
    You're familiar with that note; right?
    MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
```

THE WITNESS: Before I answer that, I'd like to see the note.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) It's in here. I'm looking for the exhibit number. It's in here somewhere.
A. Yes, I'm familiar with this note.
Q. Are you familiar with anything having to do with the negotiation or execution of this note?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Yes. Let me rephrase it. Did you have anything to do, back on or about May 31, 2017, with the negotiation or execution of this promissory note?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Nothing with respect to the negotiation --
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) I'm sorry.
A. In terms of the execution, I believe I coordinated with internal counsel, who drafted the note, and I can't remember -- I can't recall one way or the other if I assisted in actually physically receiving signatures. I just don't remember.
Q. Do you remember who that internal counsel
was?
A. Yeah, it was Lauren Thedford, who is Highland in-house counsel.
Q. She's a lawyer?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you recall from that -- strike that.

Did you know on or about May 31, 2017 what
the purpose or reason behind Exhibit 13, this
promissory note, was?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: The purpose was to take existing notes, which I believe were exclusively demand notes, I'm not a hundred percent certain on that, and roll them into a single note that would have a 30 -year amortization period.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you know why that was done?
A. I believe it was done probably for a number of reasons, one of which was to ensure some level of cash flow back to Highland, when I say Highland, Highland Capital Management, LP, on an annual basis.
Q. Was that a concern at Highland Capital Management, that it wasn't getting any level of cash flow back?
A. It wasn't a concern of mine. I don't know if it was a concern of others.
Q. Do you recall whether any auditor ever raised that concern?
A. The auditors did raise that in conjunction with the audit that was concluding around this time. So yes, they did raise it, you know, probably in the May of 2017 time frame.
Q. Do you know who decided that it would be a 30-year term note? By that I mean 30 years.
A. Jim Dondero.
Q. Do you know if he decided that in connection with discussions with anybody or, to your knowledge, he just decided?
A. As far as I know he just decided it. I
believe there was a draft at one point that was for
20 years, and he wanted to do 30 .
Q. So this note is executed in May 31, 2017.

Did you have any further role prior to, let's say,
December 1, 2020 with respect to anything to do with this promissory note?
A. Sorry, tell me the date again.
Q. From execution of the note until December 1, 2020?
A. And the question was?
Q. Did you have any role in that time frame with
respect to this promissory note on behalf of Highland?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
THE WITNESS: I don't know how to answer
that, it's such an open-ended question. I just don't
know how to respond to that.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) If payments were made on
this note, would you have any duty to record or credit
those payments?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I wouldn't have personally in
my role, but my team would have been involved in the recording of those.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And when payments were due on this note, did you personally have any role with
respect to doing anything to facilitate those payments?
A. When payments were due did I have anything -yes.
Q. What was your role?
A. So my role, as part of the corporate team,
part of our role is managing cash at the various
entities. So I was involved in weekly cash meetings,
where things like upcoming, whether it's an obligation

Capital Management or NexPoint Advisors as to a
decision as to whether any prepayments on this note would ever be made?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Can you repeat.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Let's start from scratch. Do you have any memory of any payments being made on this note, Exhibit 13, prior to their scheduled dates of payment?
A. There were payments on -- and to be clear, we're talking about the original 30.7- NexPoint promissory note? There were payments that I recall happening throughout 2019 on this note.
Q. And we can look at Exhibit 14.

MR. MORRIS: What number?
MR. RUKAVINA: 14, 1-4.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And those are only numbered because Ms. Hendrix, they were used for her deposition.
A. Sure. Just trying to keep these in order, I apologize. Got it.
Q. Do you recognize Exhibit 14?
A. Generally. I can't say that I can verify
that this is completely accurate. But it looks
or a receipt, would be put on people's radars.
And we would, in connection with the 30 -year notes such as this one from NexPoint, we would either confer with Jim or -- certainly Jim. Also likely his accountant.

In terms of teeing them up to make sure that they were prepared from a cash flow statement to make the payment.
Q. What do you mean by his accountant?
A. Melissa Schroth.
Q. What do you mean by his? That's a new name to me. Who is Melissa Schroth?
A. I find it hard to believe that she's a new
name to you. But I think her title was executive accountant, and she was the keeper of Jim's -- many of Jim's trusts and personal entities.
Q. Was she a Highland employee?
A. She was. And when I say Highland, I should be clear, Highland Capital Management, LP.
Q. So when you say Jim's accountant, she was still a debtor employee, just that she handled primarily Jim's personal matters?
A. She was still a Highland Capital Management,

LP employee but she did Jim's personal matters.
Q. Did you have any role at either Highland
assume that they are what they are.

This does purport to show on the second page a number of transfers in 2019, which goes along with your recent answer.

Do you see those, sir?
A. I do.
Q. In particular, 750,000 , then 1.3 million, 300,000, 2.1 million, 630,000, 1.3 million.

You see all those, sir?
A. Yes, I see every one.
Q. Do you have any memory, without going into those transfers of those dates to the dollar, do you have any memory that those transfers were made?
A. Yes. Again, not a specific recollection of where I was at the time, but yes, I know that these transfers were made.
Q. Do you know why they were made in those amounts and on those dates?
A. No, not without speculating.
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6 speculation. I don't know for a fact that that's true,
7 but that's what I would assume.
Q. Who would have made those decisions in 2019
to transfer those funds?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, it would have been either
Frank or Jim. I can't say with certainty, but one of
the two. When I say Jim, I should be clear,
Mr. Dondero.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Between January and
July 2019, do you have any recollection that there was
any particular liquidity issue or need at Highland
Capital Management?
A. Yeah, Highland was dealing with liquidity
problems throughout 2019. Maybe not every single day
of the year, but we were continuously needing to bridge
liquidity.
Q. And you joined Highland in 2009. From that
point in time, 2009, through 2019, was there any
them had been made and you executed them?
A. Both, depending on the circumstances.
Q. So sometimes you would be brought into a discussion?
A. Yes.
Q. And can you think of any particular example?
A. Of when I was brought into the discussion of whether to transfer? I can't think of an individual example but we met quite regularly with Jim on cash. So to the extent that either he needed cash on one of his entities, he might let us know that. Or to the extent that Highland needed cash, we might let him know that and ask for basically his assistance in helping us to meet our own cash needs.
Q. And did he usually find a way to facilitate the cash need either at one of his entities or at Highland?
A. I suppose until October 16 of 2019.
Q. Yes. Prior to bankruptcy, do you recall any instance where one entity wasn't able to transfer funds to another for liquidity purposes?
A. I can't think of a specific situation. But I'm sure there were situations where -- you know, cash was always something that was being juggled, so I don't know that necessarily liquidity could be met the same
practice at the enterprise of those businesses to transfer funds between each other on a basis of when one needed it and one had it?
A. Yes, that was a fairly, generally speaking,
that was a fairly common practice, of using different entities within the overall structure to bridge liquidity.
Q. Would that have been Mr. Dondero who, in the final analysis, would have made those decisions?
A. Maybe not a hundred percent, but I'd say the -- if not a hundred percent, certainly most.
Q. And who else might have participated, Mr. Waterhouse?
A. Potentially Mr. Waterhouse. And the reason I hedge on that a little bit is I don't think Frank would have made any of these decisions on his own either. But I may have heard them from Frank via Jim.
Q. So in those same years, were you ever asked by Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse as to whether funds should be transferred from one entity to another for liquidity purposes?
A. Can you ask that again, please.
Q. Yes. Trying to understand, were you part of those discussions as to whether these transfers should be made, or did you just learn that a decision to make
day.
But eventually we were able to manage through 3 those situations, you know, oftentimes through some of 4 these loans.
Q. In instances that you may remember when

Highland Capital Management needed liquidity, do you
know how Mr. Dondero decided from which other entity to
Highland Capital Management needed liquidity, do you
know how Mr. Dondero decided from which other entity to transfer the cash?
A. I can't step into his brain and think about
A. I can't step into his brain and think about
his decision-making process, but if I was going to oversimplify it I would speculate that it would be based on who has cash in that moment. Q. Would he ask you or someone on your team who had cash?
A. At times, depending on which entity we're talking about. Because my team certainly didn't have 7 responsibility for every single entity in the enterprise, but we did have responsibility for some.
Q. And if your team -- so -- strike that.

So over the general -- talking about
generally now, over those 10 years when there were these intercompany transfers for liquidity purposes,
23 how were they booked by the debtor, by Highland Capital Management?
25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
day.

9

20
$21 g$
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question.
            THE WITNESS: Help me on the direction. So
this is money that Highland is receiving or money that
Highland is sending?
    Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sending out.
    A. Sending out. So this is -- in the scenario
that you're describing, this money that Highland is
sending out to meet some other corporate obligor's
liquidity needs?
    Q. Yes, sir.
    A. So those would be booked as a loan. I
would -- I need to hedge a little bit because I'm not
a hundred percent certain, but I would say if not
exclusively via loans close to exclusively.
    Q. And would they -- strike that.
        Would they usually be papered up with a
promissory note?
    A. Yes.
    Q. Now, why was that the general course during
10 years? Was there a policy and procedure in place,
or would Dondero say book it as a loan, or was that
just the right thing to do from an accounting
perspective?
    MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
```
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THE WITNESS: At the end of the day it's at the direction of Jim Dondero, so I can't tell you
exactly why he wanted it to be done that way. But that
was certainly the practice of how it was done in those
situations.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) To your knowledge, did Jim
Dondero ever tell you or anyone else that when Highland
is transferring funds to one of his affiliated entities
that it should always be booked as a loan?
A. So remembering 10 years' worth of
conversations, I can't remember a specific instance
where he would have said, always book every single
transaction I direct you to do as a loan. However,
that was the practice.
Q. Different question.

Do you remember that in each instance, and again, that might be unfair over 10 years, but do you
remember in each instance when Mr. Dondero said
transfer money from Highland to this other entity for
liquidity needs that he said book it as a loan?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
THE WITNESS: I can't recall with any
specificity what he may or may not have specifically
said so long ago.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) To your knowledge, was there any written policy or procedure in place at Highland Capital Management with respect to how transfers from Highland to an affiliated entity should be booked or treated?
A. No written policy or procedure that I'm aware of.
Q. Is it fair to say that by May 2019, the corporate accounting group had handled so many of these transfers that it believed that if Highland was transferring funds to another affiliated entity, it's probably a loan?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I don't know that I can answer that in terms of the corporate accounting team. That just feels way too broad.

It was certainly the practice that when somebody needed liquidity and it was appropriate from an accounting perspective, that's how it would be booked.

And there was no reason to doubt that that was the appropriate way to do it, particularly with direction from either Frank or Jim.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Is it your testimony that in each instance that happened, that either Frank or

Jim said, this is a loan, the "this" being the transfer
from Highland to an affiliated entity for liquidity
purposes?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I can't recall with that level
of specificity if those words came out of Jim's mouth.
But with 0 percent doubt in my mind, every single one
of those loans was done with the authority of Jim or
Frank, or both.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So going back to this Exhibit 14, now I'm going to ask you about these payments coming in.

Assuming that these payments were actually made in 2019 --

And I think, John, you sent me this morning, or maybe last night, some bank statements?

MR. MORRIS: I actually sent all of the backup for all payments made, I think, under the notes at issue a week or two ago.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) How would -- so assuming that these payments in 2019 that NexPoint made didn't technically have to be made at that point in time, how would Highland have booked these payments?
A. So I can't tell the column headers, so you'll
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have to excuse me if I flip them.
Q. They'll be on the first page. Rip the page
off if you need to.
A. First one is interest, second one is
principal. On the far right is the actual amount of the payment. So, for example, March 29, 750,000.

And the -- the column that has the negative 411,000 is the application of interest and the 338 - is the application of principal.
Q. So again, if Highland -- strike that.

If NexPoint made a payment that was not technically due at that point in time, it would be recorded as payments on principal and interest?
A. It would be recorded as it's reflected in the schedule. So there's an application of interest and an application of principal.
Q. So based on your understanding and experience, if that payment wasn't due at that time, would it have been a prepayment by NexPoint?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm not sure that it's a prepayment or not. It's certainly a payment. It's certainly voluntary. It's not spelled out under the schedule. I don't know that it's a per se, capital P,
prepayment. I'm just not certain.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Well, maybe without
respect to these specific transfers.
Generally, generally, if one of the Dondero
affiliates made a payment that wasn't scheduled, how
would the debtor have accounted for that payment?
A. It would have recorded the payment as a
reduction to either or both outstanding accrued
interest or principal.
Q. You wouldn't call those prepayments?
A. I don't know the definition of prepayment.

It's a payment. It's off schedule, but I don't know
whether it's a per se prepayment.
Q. Would that be something in your experience
that we would look at the promissory note to maybe
determine?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Well, remember, I'm asking
you the same question just in different ways.
Who decides at the debtor, or how does the
debtor decide, if an unscheduled payment is made, how
to apply it?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the

## question.

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And his objection is
valid. And just to give you a little bit of a fine
point, does someone look at the promissory note to
decide that? Or is there some other rule or procedure
that someone looks at?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: So the person -- I don't know that I can specifically name a person because the role probably changed over time.

But either our corporate accountant, or the corporate accountant's boss, which was Kristin Hendrix for years, would have been responsible for recording and tracking those payments.

So some combination of the corporate accountant and Kristin would have applied those payments, and that rolls up through my and Frank's review ultimately.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So if I can round off this discussion, I think you told me a few minutes ago that in each instance that Highland was transferring money out to an affiliate.

Whether or not you remember Dondero or Waterhouse saying it's a loan, it would have been a
loan because that's how it always was and it was always authorized. Generally correct?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: There were a few "always" and "generallys" in there. And like I said, when it came to liquidity needs, my recollection is that these would be handled via loans.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And in reverse, if a Dondero entity made a payment prior to a scheduled payment on a note, generally there would be credit against principal and/or interest provided on that note?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Generally speaking, yes, if the payment was for payment on the note.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Well, that goes back to my question.

Do you know how these payments on Exhibit 14 in 2019 were determined to be payments on these notes, as opposed to a transfer from NexPoint to Highland for some other reason?
A. What other reason would it be, if I can be so bold.
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Q. Can you think of any other reason in 2019?
A. Well, Highland had -- Highland had shared services and intercompany agreements with NexPoint, at this time.
But these were not payments that could possibly be confused with those payments. These are off cycle, they're larger amounts, and there's nothing that they could be other than payments against the loan.
Q. So I asked you before, and I think you said that you were speculating with respect to these payments, that Highland needed money at that time.
Do you recall in 2019 any discussions with
anyone, Dondero or Waterhouse, to the effect that
NexPoint has excess cash so maybe NexPoint should transfer some money to Highland?
MR. MORRIS: Objection. Asked and answered. THE WITNESS: Do I still answer?
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Yes. MR. MORRIS: Yes. THE WITNESS: And sorry, I got lost there.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Yes. So my predicate was you testified before that you were assuming that these payments were because of a cash need at Highland; right?
```
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## A. Correct.

Q. So with that predicate my question is, do you
recall discussing with Dondero or Waterhouse or with
anyone as to why NexPoint would be transferring money
to Highland at that time?
A. Yes, I would have had conversations with Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse.
Q. And do you remember specifically in 2019 why
these transfers were made from NexPoint as opposed to
some other Dondero entity?
A. Not with specificity, but certainly NexPoint was generating cash at that time, and had the ability to assist with Highland's liquidity.
Q. So sitting here today, you've told me
generally and logically that you have no specific
memory why between January 2019 and August 2019, any of
these payments on Exhibit 14 were made by NexPoint?
A. I have no specific memory, but I would say
with certainty that most or all of this was driven by
Highland HCMLP liquidity needs.
Q. And most or all of this would have been

Highland in the first instance going to NexPoint and
saying, hey, can you send us some cash?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, the premise of that, given that Mr. Dondero is in control of both sides, it's a faulty premise.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) But you told me not that long ago that in these weekly cash meetings that it would be your team at Highland who would go to Mr. Dondero and say Highland has a liquidity issue.

So wouldn't that liquidity issue have originated with the Highland team?
A. Mr. Dondero is the president of Highland. He's the president of NexPoint. We're employees of Highland. We're also shared services providers for NexPoint.

The waters are very muddy in terms of who is wearing what hat in that conversation.
Q. But Mr. Dondero doesn't know that Highland has a liquidity issue unless someone from the corporate accounting group tells him, does he?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. I hope that's not the case.

THE WITNESS: He has the ability to know what our cash position is at any given time, at that time.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So why would you have these weekly cash meetings with Mr. Waterhouse and sometimes Mr. Dondero?

## A. So these were cash forecasts, looking at

 outlook. I can tell you almost without exception, maybe -- with maybe without exception, be speculating, but those forecasts would be showing negative numbers at Highland, virtually nonstop.And so it was important, my opinion, but it was probably important to Frank to make sure that he was getting in front of Jim to make sure that those needs were being addressed timely.
Q. So I've asked that question. I want to ask you a different question.

For any of these payments between
January 2019 and August 2019 reflected on Exhibit 14, do you have any personal knowledge as to whether they were intended to be prepayments or not?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I don't know whether they were intended to be prepayments at that time.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sitting here today, seeing this document as a CPA and as a sophisticated person, do you read this Exhibit 14 to indicate that those payments were booked as prepayments?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
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is the one I'm struggling with. I can ascertain that
there are payments and they're off schedule. But I
don't know that I can ascertain that they're
prepayments.
    Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Well, if a borrower makes
a payment that's ahead of schedule, how would that
generally be accounted for?
    MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
    THE WITNESS: It would be accounted for as a
reduction of principal or interest or some combination
of the two.
    Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Which would relieve the
borrower of having to make that at some point in the
future; right?
    MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
    THE WITNESS: No. The borrower still owes
the money. This is showing 23-point -- pick a date.
May 31, 23.034-. That there's significant obligations
that are still outstanding.
    Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So on June 4, 2019 -- I'm
sorry, on June 19, 2019, the borrower made a
$2.1 million payment. That's what this shows; correct?
```
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A. I see that.
Q. You're not saying that the borrower would
ever have to make that same $\mathbf{\$ 2 . 1}$ million payment again, are you?
A. No. What I'm saying is, based on that 2.1-
payment -- and this is reading this cold.
But based on that 2.1- payment, 66,000 was applied to interest, which left zero accrued interest outstanding. 2.03- applied to principal, which left
24.7- and change still outstanding.
Q. Well, I'm going to ask you about the
promissory note then, Exhibit 13, in particular
Section 3, where it says prepayment allowed.
And the first sentence says, may or -- pardon
me, maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid
principal or accrued interest of this note.
Do you see that, sir?
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. In your experience, can someone prepay
accrued interest?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: The document reads, maker may
prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or
accrued interest of this note. So I read that to say
that the maker may pay outstanding accrued interest, or
unpaid principal.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) But my question is, as I understand accrued interest, it means interest that has already occurred or accrued as of the date, like today's date; right?
A. Uh-huh.

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you agree with that?

Do you agree with that? Accrued interest means interest that has already come due, that has actually happened because interest happens over time.
A. Accrued interest --

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Why don't you start. Why don't you define for me accrued interest.
A. Sure. Accrued interest would be outstanding and unpaid interest that -- sorry, it's hard to define it without using the term. But it's interest that's accumulated in respect of a principal amount through a given date.
Q. So how do you prepay accrued interest?
A. How do you prepay accrued interest. Again,
that's a little bit of a mental jumble.
Q. Exactly.
A. Well, what I'm...
Q. To me one pays accrued interest. But this note says you can prepay accrued interest. So I'm just seeing whether you as a CPA, CFO and controller for years agrees that one can prepay accrued interest?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Frankly, I don't know if it's possible. That's not how I'm seeing it applied here, based on the quick review of Exhibit 14.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Well, the next sentence says, any payments on this note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.

Do you see that, sir?
A. I see that.
Q. Do you have any understanding based either on your personal knowledge or in your expertise as a CPA and a CFO as to what that sentence means?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: The way that I would read that would be that for a payment, for example, pick a date,
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Exhibit 14 again, the $2.1 million payment on or about
June 19. I see that a payment was made.
    And it was -- it appears that there was
accrued and unpaid interest at that time of 66,000. And
so the first 66,000 was applied to outstanding accrued
interest, to bring the balance to zero.
And the difference between that 66,000 and the 2.1 million was applied to principal.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you believe, whether from personal knowledge from this note, Exhibit 13, or your experience at Highland or as a CPA, that one can say that interest, accrued interest will be due on a future date, it will accrue by that date, but I' m going to pay it earlier as of that date?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
THE WITNESS: If I can rephrase back to you just so I make sure I'm understanding the question.
You're saying could someone say, I would like to prepay
interest into the future. It hasn't accrued yet, but it will be accrued by end of year.
And I would like to be prepaid effectively with respect to that interest, and then have the remainder used to pay down principal.
The question is, can someone do that?
```

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Yes.

MR. MORRIS: I object to the question.
THE WITNESS: I suppose it's possible, but
that certainly wasn't the practice if that makes sense.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) That does make sense. I'm still struggling, and again, I'm not trying to be a
smart aleck. I'm still struggling with the first
sentence of paragraph 3 , that maker may prepay accrued interest.

And it sounds like to me like you don't
necessarily have a definitive answer as to what that might have meant either.

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I think the document speaks for itself in that sentence.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) But have you seen something like this, to your recollection, in other Highland promissory notes?
A. Something like what?
Q. Prepaying accrued interest.
A. Yes, I have seen that.
Q. What's your memory? Where have you seen that?
A. I can't remember a specific note, but I
believe that has been done in a specific circumstance.
Q. So at least at Highland, you would believe
that that phrase, prepaying accrued interest, had some
established meaning at Highland?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: No, I don't agree with that.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Okay. You understand, of course, that it's Highland's position that with respect to this note, a payment was due on December 31 of 2020 that wasn't made; correct?
A. Yes, it's my understanding -- if I can state it back just so I make sure I'm getting it correctly. It's my understanding that there was a payment due on December 31, 2020, that wasn't made timely, yes.
Q. Okay. Do you know why that payment wasn't made timely?
A. By recollection, because Mr. Dondero had directed people not to process payments from Highland affiliates to Highland.
Q. When did you learn of that?
A. Early December 2020.
Q. How did you learn of that?
A. I don't specifically remember the
conversation, but I know I had conversations with both

Kristin and Frank. I can't remember if those were individual or collective, but we understood that to be the marching orders.
Q. Did you hear Mr. Dondero say anything like that?
A. I did not.
Q. Did Mr. Waterhouse tell you that Mr. Dondero said something like that to him?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Separately, do you remember whether Ms. Hendrix told you that Mr. Waterhouse told her that, or would it have been kind of at the same meeting?
A. I don't remember specifically. It would have been all around the same time.
Q. And to the best of your recollection, what words -- strike that.

To the best of your recollection, did Mr. Waterhouse include a reference to promissory notes and the Advisors when he said that Dondero told him not to make payments?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember the specific words that Mr. Waterhouse used. My clear impression was that it was a very global instruction.

|  | 55 |
| :---: | :---: |
| And I should clarify also that, you know, at <br> this time, I think as we covered in my background. <br> At this point I had assumed the chief <br> accounting officer role, so I wasn't necessarily in <br> the -- in as much of the chain of command as I had been <br> previously to taking that role, where that sort of thing <br> might have come from Frank, to me, to Kristin. <br> By this time, Frank and Kristin were <br> communicating and I was sometimes in the loop, sometimes not. <br> Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Did Mr. Waterhouse tell you why Dondero had told him that? <br> A. I don't remember with any specificity. <br> However, my perception at the time was that at this time the relationship between Mr. Dondero and Mr. Seery was hopelessly broken, and that this was Jim Dondero, you know, gearing up for a fight in the future. <br> Q. Prior to December of 2020, had you prepared a report showing potential overpayments that NexPoint and HCMFA had made on account of shared services and payroll reimbursement? <br> MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. <br> You can answer. <br> THE WITNESS: I know the analysis that you're | ```analysis, right or wrong, suggested that the Advisors had made large overpayments? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: No, that's incorrect. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Why is that incorrect? A. Because by recollection, to the best of my recollection, that analysis didn't occur until after Dondero had told Frank no more payments. Q. Is that the only reason why you might suspect that what I just said was incorrect? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. THE WITNESS:Yeah, I don't know how to answer that. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) I'm going back, when I asked you, did Waterhouse tell you why Dondero gave the direction, you said no. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: Sorry, I'm not sure. If I could have the question asked again, I'd be happy to answer. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) I'll ask it again. Mr. Waterhouse tells you that Mr. Dondero``` |
| ```talking about. I would not characterize it the way that you characterized it. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And we'll talk about this more in November, so I really don't want to go into any detail, unless you feel the need to. But, so you did not prepare that analysis? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. THE WITNESS: I prepared an analysis that differed from how you described it. Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) How would you describe it, in a nutshell? A. I would describe it as I was asked to refresh a spreadsheet using certain assumptions, based on the direction of Frank Waterhouse, and I updated and I sent him an email. Q. Do you have any understanding that that analysis was then shared with Mr. Dondero by Mr. Waterhouse? A. I know that now. I didn't know that at the time. Q. Do you have any understanding -- strike that. Did you have any understanding that as of early December 2020 the reason why Mr. Dondero said what he said to Mr. Waterhouse was because that``` | basically said no more payments; right? <br> A. Yes. <br> Q. And, but he did not tell you why Mr. Dondero <br> said that? <br> A. Not that I can recall. <br> Q. So he might have? <br> A. He might have. I don't specifically <br> remember. <br> Q. Do you recall asking him or anyone else why <br> Dondero would have said that? <br> MR. MORRIS: Objection. Asked and answered. <br> THE WITNESS: I don't recall specifically <br> asking. <br> Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you recall telling <br> Mr. Seery that Dondero said anything like that? <br> A. At what point in time? <br> Q. Prior to December 31, 2020. <br> A. No, I did not. I did not say that to <br> Mr. Seery. <br> Q. In your mind was there any present <br> understanding or concern that NexPoint therefore <br> wouldn't make a scheduled December 31, 2020, payment? <br> A. Was there any concern that they wouldn't? <br> Q. Yeah. <br> A. I would never use the word "concern." At |
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1 that point I wasn't even on the team anymore, so I hate
to say it's other people's problem, but I had my hands
full with plenty of other things. It wasn't something
I was thinking about.
    Q. Do you remember here today that prior to
December 31, 2020, you believed that NexPoint would not
make the scheduled payment?
    MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
    THE WITNESS: I had no idea whether NexPoint
was going to make the payment.
    Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Were you asked prior to
December 31, 2020 by Mr. Seery or anyone else as to
whether NexPoint was going to make that payment?
    A. Was I asked by Mr. Seery? Not that I can
remember.
    Q. Prior to December 31, 2020, do you recall any
discussion with Mr. Seery about the NexPoint note?
            MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, can I have the
question again.
    Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Prior to December 31,
2020, do you recall any discussion that you had with
Mr. Seery about this NexPoint note?
    A. Not that I can remember. If there was, it
    would have been in a cash meeting, but I don't remember
```

```
1 at all.
```

    Q. So it might have been some detail as part of
    a larger discussion, but you don't remember any
specific discussion just around this note?
A. No.
Q. When did you learn or how did you learn that
7 the December 31 payment had not been made?
A. I'm not sure, but certainly after
December 31.
Q. Do you recall if it was before or after
January 7?
A. I think it was after.
Q. The default letter from Highland is in here,
if you need to see it. I'm just telling you it's the
January 7.
Do you recall having any role with respect to
drafting the default letter that went out to NexPoint
after the failed payment?
A. No, none that I can remember.
Q. How do you recall learning that the note had
been called by Highland?
A. I honestly don't remember. I think after the
fact. I couldn't tell you how far after the fact.
Q. Are you aware that on or about July -- I'm
sorry, January 14, 2021 NexPoint made a $\$ 1.4$ million
and change payment?
A. Yeah, I'm aware that that payment happened.
Q. When did you become aware of that payment?
A. I think after it happened.
Q. Can you tell us, was it days, weeks, months
later?
A. It was that day. And if I can expand, I
recall getting an email, seeing a large inflow to
Highland, to MLP because I was on an email distribution
list that had those payments.
And I think I emailed or called Kristin and
asked her, is this the NexPoint note, because it was a
large amount of money. And she said yes.
Q. Did she tell you anything more about that
payment, when it had been made, why, who authorized it?
A. I had that information of when it had been
sent. I had a wire confirm.
Q. Only important thing to you is where did that
money come from?
A. It wasn't important to me. It was more
curiosity.
Q. Did you have any discussions with anyone on
or about that time, January 14, 2021, as to why
NexPoint made that payment?
A. Not that I can remember.

1 2 question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's a very broad
question. In general terms, yes.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Well, I'm not asking what you learned since then.

I'm asking that as of, let's say, January 15, 2021 that would have been the extent of what you would have known?
A. Correct. And if I can just restate and make sure I understand what I'm saying.

It would have been my understanding that we had had an instruction -- when I say "we," Kristin and Frank and by default the whole corporate team -- not to make payments from these affiliated entities.

To my knowledge, none of those payments had occurred since that point. And then on or about January 14, such a payment was made and I found out about that by seeing a wire confirm.
Q. Well, you mentioned a couple times that you, in December 2020, you weren't part of that group anymore. So do you have any understanding as to why Mr. Waterhouse would have told you in particular, you being Mr. Klos, about that instruction from Dondero?
A. Sure. I still was participating in cash
meetings, even if it was almost in a nominal role, because of some of my history that I had. So I was still participating in those meetings.

I've worked closely with Kristin for a long time, so I may have caught up with her informally. But as far as day-to-day duties, I wasn't part of that team anymore.
Q. And is it your, did I understand you correctly, is it your testimony that Mr. Waterhouse informed the whole accounting group there, the corporate accounting group, of Mr. Dondero's instruction?
A. I don't know specifically who he told, if he told every single member of the team, but he certainly told Kristin and Kristin was the head of the team.
Q. And you don't recall anyone, after you heard about that instruction, raising any concern to the effect that NexPoint is going to default and be in trouble if that payment isn't made?
A. I don't remember any discussion to that effect.
Q. Do you remember anyone suggesting that they ought to try to dissuade Mr. Dondero from that direction?
A. Not that I can remember.
Q. Do you remember any discussion at that approximate point in time for your cash meetings or anything else as to whether NexPoint had made any prepayments on the promissory note?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's very hard to -- by the way, I've said yeah a few times. I want to make clear that that's just --
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) That's not a yes?
A. I apologize for that.
Q. Understood. Yeah means, it's not a yes.

MR. MORRIS: It's a pause; it's an um.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Germans call it flavoring particle.
A. Sorry, I got lost there. If you can ask again.
Q. Yeah. Do you recall in November or

December 2020 in your weekly meetings or anything else, any discussion whatsoever concerning whether NexPoint had made any prepayments on its note?
A. No discussions of whether or not there had been a prepayment that I can remember, no.
Q. To the best of your knowledge sitting here today -- strike that.

For my next question, again we're assuming that Exhibit 14 is what it appears to be.
A. Sure, sure.
Q. So with that qualification, to the best of your knowledge, other than what's on Exhibit 14, can you think of any other record or source or document that would address whether any unscheduled payments by NexPoint would or wouldn't be prepayments on the note?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Again, with the struggle of the prepayment, this is the document that I would expect to explain how the payment was applied.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) But you yourself did not play any role in deciding how the payment would be applied?
A. I'd hesitate to say no role, because the team ultimately rolls up to me.
Q. You personally?
A. Me personally, I wouldn't have prepared these schedules.
Q. Or decided, you personally, as Mr. Klos, how any unscheduled payments should be accounted for by Highland?
A. Correct, not without some -- some
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authoritative direction on how they should be applied.
Q. And that authoritative direction would have come from Mr. Waterhouse or Mr. Dondero?
A. That's what I would expect.
Q. Could it have come from anyone else that you can think of here today?
A. Not that I can think of.
Q. Now we're going to switch gears and I think
we're going to stop discussing the NexPoint note, and
we're going to focus on the HCMFA two promissory notes.
A. Sure.
Q. So we're going to go back in time to

May 2019; okay?
A. Sure.
Q. And is it fair to say by -- that by May 2019
there were at least dozens if not hundreds of instances
of intercompany loans in the years leading up there
from Highland to one of the other entities?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: From Highland to one of the other entities. Can you help with other entities.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Advisors, the trusts, any of the Dondero entities?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
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## question.

THE WITNESS: Yes, there would have been many loans over the years.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And do I understand that
most, if not all, of those loans should have been
papered up with a written promissory note?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Should have been. To the extent that they were for a promissory note, then yes.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So in the May 2019 time frame, was there a regular pattern or course or procedure in place as to how a promissory note would be physically prepared and presented for approval?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, when you say a process, can you please clarify that for me.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sure. Let's look at these two promissory notes and maybe that will help frame the question. And I apologize for not having them right here.
A. It might be --

MR. MORRIS: 1 and 2.
MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.
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Q. But can you also say with certainty that either Dondero or Waterhouse also told you that this transfer is an intercompany loan?
A. With a hundred percent certainty, yes. I can't say that necessarily with respect to Dondero, because I don't remember if I would have talked to him specifically about it. But, yes, this would have been clear that it's a loan.
Q. You say clear. Did someone tell you that it's a loan, or are you just, because of the prior 10 years of course and conduct, logically deciding that it has to be a loan?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: So this is -- this is not just a situation of past practice. I would have known with certainty that this was a loan and that's what was authorized.
within that fund.
Q. Who made that NAV error?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's hard to answer that.
So the Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors is the
advisor to the fund, so they're the responsible party
for making the fund whole in the instances of NAV errors.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And did HCMFA contract out with Highland for valuation services?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I don't specifically remember
if they contracted for valuation services, but if you
tell me that they did, Ill take that at face value.
So yes, HCMFA utilized HCMLP for valuation services.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you have any memory of what human being or beings made that NAV error?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: It's -- in respect to people, not particularly. In respect to parties, Houlihan
Lokey was the service provider that performed the
valuation that resulted in the NAV error.

And as I described before, the valuation
function was housed at HCMLP by HCMLP employees
supporting that through, among other people, front
office, compliance, other parts of the organization as
well.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So it was your
understanding that Highland was loaning $\$ 2.4$ million to
HCMFA for HCMFA to compensate that fund?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you have any understanding that Highland
might have been, instead of loaning that money,
actually paying that money to HCMFA to compensate HCMFA
for Highland's valuation error?
A. First, not Highland's valuation error. But
second, no, there's no way that that would have been
what that payment was for.
Q. Why can you say that there's no way that that would have been what that payment was for?
A. First, this wasn't the first NAV error that
ever occurred. There had been other NAV errors. There were other NAV errors with respect to this valuation that pertain to NexPoint Advisors.

There was no reimbursement from HCMLP to
NexPoint or HCMFA, regardless of any individual being
identified as the person. That had just never occurred
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```
to my knowledge.
    Second, the amount was to meet the liquidity
need of HCMFA. It wasn't to -- it wasn't to
dollar-for-dollar make up for the NAV error. It was
that's how much money HCMFA needed.
    Third, it was definitely Dondero's practice
and preference to have expenses at HCMFA for tax
purposes. So if this was compensation, he would
ultimately not really be benefiting from the deduction
so.
    That would have been a strong preference of
his against having it be compensation.
    So it would have been excruciatingly clear
that this was a loan for liquidity for HCMFA to make
the fund whole, just like it had in the past NAV
errors.
    Q. How did you know that HCMFA needed
$2.4 million for liquidity?
    A. At that point I was still part of the
corporate team, so I had a good sense of how much cash
HCMFA would have had at any given moment. And at that
given moment it would not have had -- I'd be shocked if
it had even 2.4-
    Probably would have had probably between
a million and 2 million if I had to speculate.
```

Q. Okay. So you've given the reasons why this was clearly a loan.

But you never heard Mr. Dondero say that this was a loan, did you?
A. I don't remember. It's possible I did, but I don't specifically remember.
Q. Okay. What about the $\$ 5$ million loan on the day after? What was that $\$ 5$ million for?
A. That was similar but different. So again, HCMFA needed liquidity. This time this was for -related to that same fund.

So Highland Global Allocation Fund had converted from an open-end fund, mutual fund, to a closed-end mutual fund.

And pursuant to that conversion there was a, I believe it was called a consent fee, for any investors of that fund who consented to the conversion, that they would receive a 3 percent fee payable by the investment advisor.

And so at this time the bill came due on that because the conversion had been completed, and the accounting for how much that 3 percent was going to be was complete.

HCMFA sure as hell didn't have 5 million bucks. Excuse my language. Highland needed to pay

HCMFA for the liquidity. HCMFA made the payment to the
fund. It wasn't dollar for dollar. I think it was
like $\mathbf{5 , 0 1 9 , 0 0 0}$, or some such number.
But 5 million was the number that would allow it to make that payment effectively to the investors of Global Allocation Fund.
Q. Do you have any understanding as to why

Highland, as opposed to some other entity, was
transferring $\$ 7.4$ million?
A. Highland as opposed to some other entity?
Q. Uh-huh.
A. Because Highland had the money.
Q. But I think we've established earlier that in the first seven months of 2019, Highland was having constant liquidity issues?
A. It was.
Q. And that's part of the reason that NexPoint was making unscheduled payments on its note; right?
A. That's part of the reason NexPoint was making unscheduled payments on its note, yes.
Q. So your recollection is that HCMFA needed \$2.4 million for liquidity purposes and about $\$ 5$ million for the consent fee. And Highland transferred those funds because Highland had the funds?
A. Yes. And I should clarify that Highland only
had the funds because Mr. Dondero repaid personal notes to HCMLP on the same days.

So he paid 2.4 million on May 2, which
Highland turned around and reloaned. And he paid 4.4-
on May 3, and Highland sent out 5-, so there's a
$\$ 600,000$ difference. And my recollection, he paid the other 600,000 via note repayment within a few days.
Q. So this would have been part of some broader transaction in Mr. Dondero's mind?
A. I would not characterize it that way.
Q. You established that HCMFA needed money. You established that Highland temporarily had money because Dondero provided it with money.

But you still don't know, sir, as a fact as
to whether that transfer was a loan or some other
payment from HCMFA -- I'm sorry from HCM, from debtor to HCMFA?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Asked and answered a million times. It's in the documents you're showing him.

THE WITNESS: It was a loan.
MR. MORRIS: Come on, Davor. With all due respect, it's in the document. It's on the document.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) I'm being courteous and respectful to you and I'd ask the same in return; okay?

## David Klos - October 27, 2021

A. Absolutely. I apologize if $I$ haven't been.
Q. Mr. Dondero, would you agree, was the only
person that had the authority at the debtor to
authorize a transfer of 2.4 - and then $\$ 5$ million?
A. At the debtor?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Yes, at the debtor.
A. No.
Q. Who else could have transferred 2.4 million or $\$ 5$ million?
A. Those are two different questions. But if you're asking who had the authority, certainly Frank did as well.
Q. So Frank had the authority. Perhaps my question was inartful.

Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse would have decided to transfer \$2.4 million or \$5 million without Mr. Dondero's approval?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Generally speaking, no, but I don't know exactly what the form of the approval. But he certainly wouldn't have done that on his own without discussing with Dondero.
into the note on behalf of HCMFA, yes.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Was that something that he would have done without Mr. Dondero's approval to your understanding and practice at that time?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Same answer that I gave before with respect to Highland.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So here's where I'm going with all this.

Mr. Dondero's position, and tomorrow his
testimony will be, that he caused the $\$ 7.4$ million to be transferred not as a loan to HCMFA, but to compensate HCMFA for various things including that NAV error.

Other than perhaps you think he's lying,
would you have any knowledge, hearsay, document,
anything, to contradict Mr. Dondero's position?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yes. I would point to the fact that as it pertains to the $\$ 5$ million note, if we're separating issues, there's no other possibility of what that money could be other than either a loan or equity.

It's not compensation. Highland is under --
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had the ability on behalf of the debtor to loan \$5 million without Mr. Dondero's approval?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I think he had the technical authority to. However, I don't believe in practice that he ever would.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Same question, $\mathbf{\$ 2 . 4}$ million?
A. Same answer.
Q. We've established that you never really had a direct employment or types of a role for NexPoint -I'm sorry, for HCMFA; right?
A. Again --
Q. To the best of your recollection?
A. Best of my recollection I can't remember how the titles transferred over or whatever, but I don't believe I did.
Q. Do you know whether Mr. Waterhouse in 2019 had the authority, without Mr. Dondero's approval, to borrow $\$ 7.4$ million on behalf of HCMFA?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: He had the authority to enter

HCMLP has absolutely zero obligation in respect to that consent fee. So when Highland sends \$5 million to HCMFA there's nothing else that it can be. That's Point 1.

Point 2, we're right in the middle of an audit at this point. Jim signs rep letters at this point. He's being provided balance sheets throughout 2019 that indicate the loans that Highland has on its books.

Balance sheets are being prepared in respect
of annual approvals for 15(c) for retail funds in the
fall. Schedules are being created for bankruptcy after we file in October.

Nobody says this is a mistake. Frank is on all of these emails. Frank never questions it.

There's absolutely no evidence from that point in time to whenever this defense got raised that would indicate that anybody said that these weren't exactly what they say they are.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Are you aware that in February or March 2019 some $\$ 5.2$ million was paid from insurance that HCMFA had to the fund for the NAV error?
A. The amount sounds unfamiliar, but I'm aware that insurance proceeds were paid from HCMFA to the fund.
Q. And do you think that it's impossible for a
sane, rational person to conclude that HCMFA had a
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```
1 claim against the debtor related to that NAV error?
    MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
    THE WITNESS: If it did, I don't know how
that's not insurance fraud for basically double
collecting insurance proceeds and then collecting it
again.
    Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So you believe, sir, that
if insurance pays a claim you have no more right to go
against a person who caused the fault?
    MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
    THE WITNESS: We can speak specifically here.
This is about a NAV error that an insurance company
reimbursed HCMFA for, which it then turned around and
paid for the fund.
    So if it went to collect that same, let's use
round numbers, $5 million from Highland that it's
already collected from insurance, that sounds
inappropriate to me.
    Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Okay. But you don't know
whether that's allowed in Texas law or not, do you?
    MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
    THE WITNESS: No, I don't know whether it's
```

allowed under Texas law.
damages?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
THE WITNESS: I'm not familiar at any level
16 of specificity with Texas law.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Again, it just sounds
18 wrong to you that you could go after someone after
18 wrong to you that you could go after someone after
19 insurance pays, but you don't know legally one way or
20 the other?
21 A. Correct. I'm not a lawyer or expert in Texas
law. It feels wrong, yes.
Q. Okay. Going back to this email of yours,
Exhibit 3, do you recall whether there was a similar
email with respect to the $\$ 5$ million note?
lowed under Texas law.

```
    Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So you don't know that if
you're hit by someone on the street and your medical
3 you're hit by someone on the street and your medical
has to pay you for the same bills?
    MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question. I hope I don't miss my plane.
    Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) You don't know that under
Texas law if someone hits you with their car and causes
Texas law if someone hits you with their car and causes
bills, that you can still sue them for the same
```

email communication on or around May 3, but I don't
know specifically who all was on the email. I'm going
off what I would expect to see.

MR. MORRIS: If you're really interested,
it's right here. It was produced to you with
Bates 3763. And if you'd like to question the witness.
MR. RUKAVINA: When was it produced?
MR. MORRIS: I can't tell you. It's part of
the same package.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So going back to this Exhibit 3, sir, why did you ask Kristin, can you or
Hayley please prep a note for execution? Why them? Exhibit 3, sir, why did you ask Kristin, can you or
Hayley please prep a note for execution? Why them? 22 Remember, I was asking about what the course or procedure was at that point in time.
A. Yeah, so nomenclature, procedure, process.

I would say the informal process for these
A. Yes, I am. I believe Kristin sent that one.
Q. Kristin sent that one?
A. I believe so.
Q. To whom?
A. Likely the same distribution group, but that's speculation.
Q. Did you see such an email in the last week or
A. I'm not certain, but probably. I have seen know specifically who all was on the email. I'm going off what I would expect to see.
it's right here. It was produced to you with
Bates 3763. And if you'd like to question the witness.
MR. RUKAVINA: When was it produced?
MR. MORRIS: I can't tell you. It's part of the same package.
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1
types of loans, they were frequent in nature, would be a note and have it executed.
Q. Okay. That was the standard course back then?
A. Again, I don't know what standard course
means. That was fairly typical.
Q. Why would you not have asked someone in the Highland legal department to prepare a note?
A. Because this was a legally reviewed document as far as the form of the agreement. It's a one-page, two-paragraph form that had been used for a long time.

So the only thing that would change with respect to these notes would be the date, the amount, likely the rate. I can't think of anything else offhand that would have changed from note to note.
Q. After you asked Ms. Hendrix to prepare this note, did you have any further role with respect to the papering, preparation, or execution of that note?
A. Not that I can remember.
Q. Would you have had any role in having either or both of the notes actually signed electronically or by ink by Mr. Waterhouse?
A. Likely not, no.

25 Q. Do you know who decided to have
3 a note and have it executed.
Q. Okay. That was the standard course back
then?
eans. That was fairly typical.
Highland legal department to prepare a note?
A. Because this was a legally reviewed document
as far as the form of the agreement. It's a one-page,
two-paragraph form that had been used for a long time.
respect to these notes would be the date, the amount,
likely the rate. I can't think of anything else
offhand that would have changed from note to note.
Q. After you asked Ms. Hendrix to prepare this
note, did you have any further role with respect to the
papering, preparation, or execution of that note?
Q. Would you have had any role in having either
or both of the notes actually signed electronically or
by ink by Mr. Waterhouse?
Q. Do you know who decided to have
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Mr. Waterhouse as opposed to Mr. Dondero sign these two promissory notes?
A. I don't.
Q. On the $\$ 5$ million note, do you remember if you had any role with respect to its physical papering or execution?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. To the best of your memory, your role would
have been done by instructing your team, hey, here is
these new loans, go paper it up; is that accurate?
A. On the upfront side. I suppose my role would have also included on the back end making sure that the actual payment had occurred. But that would have been doing that realtime, seeing the funds went out, and
that, most importantly, that the consent fee had been paid from HCMFA to the transfer agent.
Q. How did you or anyone on your team know -- so obviously, you know it's a $\$ 2.4$ million loan because that's what Waterhouse or Dondero told you; right?

How did you know it was a $\$ 2.4$ million loan?
MR. MORRIS: Objection. Asked and answered.
THE WITNESS: I knew that the NAV error was
2 million, I think it was 398,000, somewhere in that
ballpark. And that 2.4- had been authorized for that purpose.
Q. Did you have any understanding in early May of 2019 as to whether HCMFA was solvent or insolvent?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Whether HCMFA was solvent or insolvent? I'm not a solvency expert, so I don't know
that I could even attempt to answer that.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Did you have an understanding as far as HCMFA goes on May 2, 2019, that its liabilities exceeded its assets?
A. I don't remember specifically where it stood on assets versus liabilities.
Q. Do you have any memory that by May 2, 2019, the debtor had taken a couple prior demand notes from HCMFA and made them not collectible prior to May 31, 2021?
A. I know what you're referring to. I wouldn't characterize it that way.
Q. How would you characterize it?
A. I recall that there was a financial support
acknowledgment, I think it was the name of the
acknowledgment.
That described -- I can't remember if it described those two notes specifically or just referred to them, that there would not be collection sought on
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you know who decided what the interest rate in this note would be, or that it would be a demand note as opposed to a term note?
A. I don't specifically know who made that decision. However, the common practice for fund advisors was to put -- was for the rate to equal the, I forget if it was the short-term or long-term AFR.

And for the note to be demand, that was just the standard -- that was the standard.
Q. And I think I asked this, but just if I didn't.

For either or both of these two notes, the 2.4- and \$5 million note, did you have any role with respect to Mr. Waterhouse signing them?
A. No, not that I can remember. I don't think I did.
Q. And you don't remember doing anything to get his signatures?
A. Not that I recall.
Q. Nor would that have been something that you would expect that you would have a role with?
A. Certainly not in this instance. Maybe to the extent that nobody else was around and it was time sensitive, but that wouldn't have been the case with these, I don't believe.

## those until May 31 of 2021.

Q. Do you remember why that document was done?
A. My recollection, and it could have been done
for other reasons, but my recollection of it was that it was primarily audit-driven.

For the auditors to be comfortable that these notes weren't going to be just called and FA not have the ability to pay them right away.
Q. Because it's true in April or May of 2019

HCMFA didn't have the ability to pay those notes; correct?
A. It didn't have enough cash to pay those.
Q. And I think you mentioned before that in May 2019 the auditors at the Highland level were talking about rolling up prior demand notes into term notes so the debtor would at least get some regular cash flow; correct?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: No.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So you recall that -- I'm sorry, that was 2017. I was wrong; right?
A. Correct.
Q. So I guess here is my question, and I'm struggling to understand this.
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So why would Highland be loaning an
additional $7.4 million in early May of 2019 to HCMFA
when HCMFA already was then unable to repay its debts
to Highland?
    MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
    THE WITNESS: Yeah, I kind of reject the
premise of the question, and these are all controlled
by Jim. And it's completely within his power at any
point in time to make any payment on any of the loans,
depending on where priorities sit.
    So the idea that HCMFA -- that Highland would
be doing a credit analysis on HCMFA, determining that it
was unable to make that payment and, therefore, this is
a bad note, is a completely foreign, preposterous
concept at that time.
    Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And in May of 2019 isn't
it also, sir, the case that Mr. Dondero could have,
right or wrong, agree or disagree, said, that 7.4- is
going to compensate HCMFA for the NAV error as opposed
to being a loan?
    A. No.
    Q. That's not possible?
    A. No.
    Q. And why is that not possible?
```

A. As we discussed, the 5-, there's absolutely no construct where that can be compensation for an NAV error. It's not a NAV error. It's a consent fee.
Highland has absolutely no responsibility for that.

Highland also has no responsibility for the 2.4-, but if you want to assume that it did, that's completely not the practice. It was Jim's preference to do these via loans, and that's how it was booked.
Q. You're saying on the one hand Mr. Dondero can absolutely control that one entity make a loan to another, irrespective of credit worthiness, but he can't decide that a transfer is compensation as opposed to a loan?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Argumentative.

THE WITNESS: If he wants to call
$\$ 7.4$ million compensation to himself or to HCMFA, I
just don't know how he does that. This is me being an
accountant. I don't know how that's possible.
If he wants to pay himself a $\$ 7.4$ million
bonus from HCMFA, fine, he has the power to do that. If
he wants Highland to inject 7.4 million of equity into
HCMFA, he has the power to do that.
But sending the 7.4 million and calling it
something else, I don't know how he could do that.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) So it had to have been a loan; correct?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: In these instances I know it to
have been a loan.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Because of what

Mr. Waterhouse told you?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question. Asked and answered.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was my understanding
that these were loans.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) You know these 7.4- to be loans even though you never heard Mr. Dondero say that to you?
A. Yes, although to be fair, I don't know
whether I ever heard Mr. Dondero. It's possible he did say it.

MR. MORRIS: Objection. Withdrawn.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) You have no memory that on or before May 4, 2019 you heard Mr. Dondero say that the $\mathbf{\$ 2 . 4}$ million transfer and/or the $\$ 5$ million transfer to HCMFA were loans?
A. I have no specific recollection, but such a conversation is just off the reservation impossible.

That there's no way -- there's no way -- there's no way
that it would have been described that way and there's
a hundred percent that it's loan.
Q. Do you have any memory discussing prior --

MR. MORRIS: Objection. Asked and answered. He's answered this a thousand times.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you have any memory on or before May 2, 2019 discussing the $\$ 2.4$ million transfer with Mr. Dondero at all?
A. I do recall, I don't remember the time, but I do remember discussing the NAV error in general terms and the potential magnitude of that. I don't remember specifically when that occurred.
Q. At least in your discussion with Mr. Dondero, the $\mathbf{\$ 2 . 4}$ million loan or note was somehow linked to the NAV error?
A. Linked to the NAV error is strong. It
related to the NAV error from the standpoint that
that's what Highland was loaning HCMFA the money for, because HCMFA couldn't otherwise make the payment itself.
Q. You just said Highland was loaning the money for. Are you remembering now Mr. Dondero saying that or are you just extrapolating?
A. No, I'm explaining rationally what the
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```
1 situation was.
    Q. Do you remember on or before May 3, }201
discussing the $5 million transfer with Mr. Dondero?
    A. Again, in general terms. I couldn't tell you
a time period, but this was something that, between
Frank and I, we had put on Jim's radar that this would
be a cash need in the future. I couldn't specify
specifically when that happened.
    Q. Okay. You have no present memory of
discussing that issue with Mr. Dondero on or before
May 3, 2019? It must have happened but you have no
memory?
    MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
    THE WITNESS: We discussed that there would
be a consent fee payable from HCMFA. We would have
discussed -- and again, I don't remember where I was,
what day it was, the specifics around the conversation.
    But I know that we had conversations
pertaining to cash, because this was a large need for --
cash need for HCMFA to satisfy this, and this was an
important payment.
    And neither HCMFA nor Highland had the
wherewithal to make that payment. The only way that
those could make the payment was by Jim Dondero repaying
```

1 loans that he owed to HCMLP. So we absolutely discussed
2 that with Jim Dondero.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And with respect to
everything that we just talked about and your
recollection, you still don't remember Mr. Dondero
saying to you or Mr. Waterhouse one way or the other
that one or both of these transfers were loans?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question. Asked and answered.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, again --
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Just yes or no. This is a
yes-or-no question.
MR. MORRIS: Let him answer the question.
MR. RUKAVINA: If he'll answer the question
I'll stop asking him --
MR. MORRIS: He's allowed --
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) The answer [verbatim] is,
do you remember --
A. I don't remember Jim's exact words two and a
half years ago in respect to authorizing these
payments. So to answer your question, no, I don't
specifically remember him saying these are loans.
But every other fact around this tells me
that we did have that conversation and that was the
conclusion and that was the direction.
these were loans but because y'all have been doing
it this way for 10 years, that everyone, all of you
CPAs, understood that it had to be a loan?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) My question is, is that possible?
A. I really don't think it's possible. I
suppose people say anything is possible. Again, two
and a half years ago, I'm certain that that was the
intent at the time and $I$ 'm sure it was communicated as such. I just don't have a specific recollection.
MR. RUKAVINA: Thank you.
I'll pass the witness.
MR. MORRIS: Michael, do you have any questions?

MR. AIGEN: I do. I assume you want me to start now to do my best to be done at 5:00?

MR. MORRIS: Yes, please.
EXAMINATION
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Good afternoon, Mr. Klos. My name is Michael Aigen with the Stinson law firm. I represent Mr. Dondero, HCMS, and HCRE. How are you today?
Q. So it's possible that Mr. Dondero told no one
that these were loans but because y'all have been doing
it this way for 10 years, that everyone, all of you CPAs, understood that it had to be a loan?
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substantive.
Q. And what did you discuss about this with Mr. Seery?
A. I've discussed with him, I hate to phrase it this way, the ridiculousness of the defense. Under oath. I've discussed my general understanding of what is being asserted as a defense.

Which is that there was some sort of an oral agreement between Jim and his sister at some point in the past pertaining to forgiveness of certain promissory notes that was conditional upon Highland monetizing any of three PE assets for any amount above cost.
Q. And is it fair to say that prior to these lawsuits being brought, you weren't aware of any oral agreements related to the promissory notes related to potential forgiveness?
A. That's correct. Not that I can remember, and I think I would remember.
Q. And other than your conversations with Mr. Seery and counsel, you haven't had any conversations with anyone else about these alleged oral agreements; is that fair to say?
A. I'm not sure I understand the question.
Q. You told me you may have had questions with
counsel about these oral agreements defense, and you
told me about conversations with Mr. Seery, so I'm
trying to close that topic.
Was there anyone else you had any
conversations with about this alleged oral agreement?
A. Like I said before, nothing of substance.

I've probably mentioned it in passing to other
employees, this is what I understand is being asserted
in this, but nothing of substance.
Q. Do you have any personal knowledge as to
whether Mr. Dondero or Ms. Dondero entered into any
type of oral agreement prior to the bankruptcy?
A. No, not other than what's been pled, or
whatever the terminology is.
Q. I want to talk a little bit about, you
touched on earlier, you gave some testimony about how
in -- there were certain term loans that had payments
due in December or on or about December 31, 2020.
Do you remember talking about that?
A. Yeah, generally.
Q. And I don't know if you're specifically
referring to these loans, but is it also your
understanding that HCMS and HCRE also had payments that were due on December 31, 2020 ?
A. Yes.

## Q. Is it fair to say that if those payments were

to be made, it would have been Ms. Hendrix that would
have gone and effectuated those payments?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
THE WITNESS: Can you remind me the entities again.
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Sorry. HCMS and HCRE

Partners.
A. HCMS, yes. HCRE, I'm not sure, maybe.
Q. Why might it have been different?
A. I just don't recall who had the, you know, kind of bank access to effectuate that payment. I think Kristin did but I'm not certain.
Q. It wouldn't have been you; is that fair to say?
A. Correct. It would not have been me.
Q. And if Ms. Hendrix testified that the instruction she received in December 2020 about not making payments related only to the Advisors and not to HMS or HCRE, would you have any reason to disagree with her?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I was struggling with
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1 that question. There was a lot to it. If you don't
mind.
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Okay. I'll repeat it. Maybe that will help.

MR. MORRIS: Why don't you ask him about his knowledge, instead of Kristin's. You had her as a witness.

I'll continue to object. I don't know why you're asking him about her knowledge.

MR. AIGEN: Do you want to keep coaching him?
MR. MORRIS: No, I'm trying to coach you.
MR. AIGEN: Oh, thanks. That's good.
Appreciate if you stop coaching your witness.
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) If Ms. Hendrix testified that the instructions she received in December 2020 regarding not making any more payments related only to the Advisors and not to HMS or HCRE, would you have any reason to disagree with her?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I have no reason to question
Kristin's testimony. I'm sure she gave truthful
testimony.
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Are you aware or not of
whether Ms. Hendrix was told by Mr. Waterhouse not to
make payments from certain entities in December of 2020?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I'm aware, and I think I
spoke to that earlier of the instruction that had come
down from Dondero through Frank to Kristin, and I was certainly aware of it.

And I'm -- and I think I spoke to the fact
that, you know, certainly hearing it from a person who,
as I said before, wasn't really on the team at that
point, it was certainly my understanding that that was a global instruction at the time.
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) And I want to get into what was actually said and what you remember, so let me ask you this.

This instruction that came down started from
Jim and went to Frank. Is that your understanding?
A. That's my understanding.
Q. You weren't there during that discussion I
assume; is that correct?
A. Correct, I was not.
Q. And then Frank gave an instruction to

Kristin; is that your recollection?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
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question.
THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's my understanding that Frank informed Kristin of that instruction.
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Were you there when Frank
provided this instruction to Kristin?
A. I don't believe I was.
Q. Then can I ask, how did you become aware that

Frank had given this instruction to Kristin?
A. Through subsequent conversations with Frank
and Kristin. As I said before, I don't recall if it
was the three of us or me and Frank or me and Kristin.
But subsequent conversations.
Q. Are we talking about conversations back in

2020 or after the bankruptcy?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: During 2020, December of 2020.
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Sitting here today, can you
say with a hundred percent certainty that the instruction related to all of the entities as opposed to just Advisors?
A. So as you pointed out, I was not party to the direction, so I have no way of knowing with any sort of specificity what the direction actually was. I just know how it was conveyed to me and how I understood it.
A. In a very, very general sense, yes.
Q. And in a general sense what does that term mean to you?
A. It's a term I recognize from the limited
partnership agreement of HCMLP. It's a defined term in that agreement.
Q. To your knowledge, was the NAV ratio trigger period ever reached or triggered prior to the Highland bankruptcy?
A. I don't know the definition, so I don't know based on the definition whether it had or hadn't.
Q. Sitting here today, though, it's not your
belief, based on your experience, that it was
triggered; is that fair to say?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I don't know the consequence of being in a trigger period, I guess is what -- how I'm trying to answer your question.
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) Have you ever had any conversations with Nancy Dondero?
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I want to throw out a term. Are you familiar with the term "NAV ratio trigger period" as it was used yments in December of 2020?
A. No, I'm not aware of anything in writing.
Q. And let's change topics for a second here.

```
A. Yes.
```
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Q. Generally, how many and what was the reasoning?
A. Probably less than five. I think maybe only one or two that I can really remember.
Q. At a high level what were those conversations about?
A. From my recollection of my conversations with her, they pertained to the DRIP, which is a dividend reinvestment program that $I$ helped.
Q. And approximately when were these conversations?
A. I don't know. Sometime between 2017 and
probably 2019. I couldn't tell you with any specificity. These were very informal.
Q. Fair to say that you've never had any
conversations with Nancy Dondero about any of the loans at issue in this case?
A. No, no, no, I've never had a conversation with her like that.
Q. And fair to say that you've never had any
conversations with Nancy Dondero about compensation for
Jim or any other officers at Highland?
A. Correct.

MR. AIGEN: Why don't we go off the record
for two minutes. I think I'm either done or about
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done.
(Off the record.)
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) You understand you're still under oath?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware of any loans that Highland has
made to any employees or officers that were forgiven in all or in part?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you tell me who?
A. I don't know that this will be a complete list, but there were a few employees in the kind of late aughts, maybe 2010, 2011 frame.
Q. Do you know the names?
A. One was Jack Yang. Another, I'm not sure if it was forgiven or not, that's why I'm hesitating, but it was Tim Lawler. I think his was forgiven in part or in full, but I'm not a hundred percent certain.
Q. And any other individuals that received loans that were forgiven in part that you're aware of?
A. Not that I recall, but there could be others. Some of this is very, very old.
Q. Changing topics here a little bit, I'm going to combine two entities to try to speed this up. If you need to separate, that's fine.

Can you just generally explain to me what services Highland Capital Management provided for HCMS and HCRE?
A. For HCMS -- I do need to separate these a little bit. For HCMS, really full-service accounting, tax, treasury, cash payments. I said tax. Valuation. Nothing personnel-wise because they didn't have any employees.

That's all I can think of right off the top of my head, but $I$ could be missing some.
Q. And what about HCRE? How is that different?
A. Similar, except different types of assets. So more real estate, so less heavy.

Maybe not necessarily differences in terms of the types of services, but services would have, I'd say, more cash activity, more variety of investments, which triggers different types of activities going on at those entities.

But similar in terms of tax operations, making payments. HCRE didn't have employees, so no payroll. So these would be the broad areas that I would think about.
Q. And you mentioned making payments. Would one of those services that Highland provided for these two entities include making loan payments on the term loans
like the term loans at issue in these proceedings?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I think I mentioned before, I couldn't remember whether or not Kristin was authorized to make payments with respect to HCRE. I think she probably was, but I don't know that with certainty.

But, you know, for services, certainly Kristin and her team would be responsible for making those payments, subject to the proper authorization.
Q. (BY MR. AIGEN) And I'm sorry if I asked this before. If it wasn't Kristin for HCRE, do you have an idea who it would have been?
A. If not Kristin, it would have been Melissa Schroth.
Q. And how were those responsibilities split up? What entities was Melissa Schroth responsible for?
A. Generally speaking, Melissa was more responsible for entities that were really, like -- I'm going to use this in the most general sense, like Jim entities, Jim's trusts, Jim personally.

And for HCRE it was kind of in the middle. When it started out it kind of was more Jim world and then over time it got more complex.

And as entities got more complex over time
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they tend to get transitioned from Melissa to corporate
accounting. And when they got really complex over to another group of fund accountants.

So this is one that was, at its beginning,
Melissa was the, called primary accountant. And at some point in time that transitioned to the corporate accounting team. I can't remember when the cash process kind of cut over.
Q. Is there a list somewhere saying Melissa is responsible for these, Kristin for the others, or is it just more of a pattern or matter of practice?
A. More of a matter of practice. If you're
responsible for an entity, you're responsible. If
you're not, then you're not.
MR. AIGEN: That's all the questions I have.
Thank you for your time.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
EXAMINATION
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Just a few, Mr. Klos. Let's pick up where Mr. Aigen left off.

To the best of your knowledge, did HCMS have a shared services agreement with Highland?
A. No, it didn't that I'm aware of.
Q. But you described certain services that HCMLP
provided to HCMS; is that right?

110
A. Yes.
Q. Do you know whether HCMFA ever compensated --
do you know whether HCMS ever compensated HCMLP for any
of those services that HCMLP provided?
A. No, it didn't.
Q. You mentioned HCRE. To the best of your
knowledge, did HCRE have a shared services agreement with Highland Capital Management, LP?
A. No, it didn't.
Q. Did HCRE provide the services that --
withdrawn.
Did HCMLP provide the services to HCRE that
you just described?
A. Yes.
Q. Did HCRE ever compensate HCMLP for any of the services that HCMLP provided?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Mr. Rukavina asked you some questions
about payments that were made on the NexPoint loan in
the first half of 2019.
Do you remember that?
A. Yes, generally.
Q. Okay. Notwithstanding those payments, did
your group continue to carry on its books and records
NexPoint's obligation to make the installment payment
that was due at the end of the year?
A. Yes, we continued to track it through our interest schedules and through cash.
Q. So in the debtor's books and records is there any evidence that the payments that were made in early 2019 were intended to relieve NexPoint's obligation to make the installment payment due at the end of the year?

MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence. THE WITNESS: No, I don't believe so.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did you have a conversation with anybody at any time in the year 2019 about whether the payments made earlier in the year on behalf of NexPoint would eliminate or suspend its obligation -withdrawn.

Did you have any conversation with anybody -I think I screwed up the dates. Going to have to start over.

Let me ask better questions.
You looked with Mr. Rukavina at certain payments that were made in early 2019 with respect to the NexPoint note.

Do I have that right?
A. Yes.
Q. Notwithstanding those payments, did NexPoint
make the installment payment that was due at the end of 2019?

MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Calls for a legal conclusion.

THE WITNESS: It did make the payment that was due at the end of 2019.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) And the payment that it made at the end of 2019, was that the annual installment payment that was called for in the note itself?

MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Legal conclusion.
THE WITNESS: Yes, it was a payment pursuant to the note.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did anybody ever tell you at any time prior to the commencement of this lawsuit that any prior payment by or on behalf of NexPoint relieved it of any obligation to pay the installment payment due at the end of 2020?
A. No.
Q. And did in fact -- is it your understanding that Mr. Dondero specifically authorized Highland to effectuate a payment on NexPoint's behalf in mid January 2021?
A. I don't have specific knowledge, but I know that to have occurred.
Q. Okay. Did anybody ever tell you in 2021 --
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```
withdrawn.
    Did anybody tell you in December 2020 or
December -- or January 2021 that NexPoint didn't have
to make the installment payment at year end 2020
because of some prior prepayment?
    A. No.
    Q. Can you think of any reason -- withdrawn.
        Did you ever hear Mr. Dondero -- withdrawn.
        Did you ever see anything in writing where
NexPoint ever contended, prior to February 1, 2021,
that it had no obligation to make the payment due at
the end of 2020 because of some prepayment issue?
    A. No, not that I remember.
    Q. Can you think of any reason why Mr. Dondero
would have authorized a payment by NexPoint to HCMLP on
account of the note in January of 2021 if he actually
believed at that time that no obligation was due
because of a prior prepayment?
    MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Speculation, lacks
foundation.
    THE WITNESS: No.
    Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Does it make any sense to
you as an accountant that you would pay a seven-figure
sum of money that you didn't think was due and owing?
    A. No, that does not make sense to me.
```

Q. Can you get Exhibit 13, please.
A. Got it.
Q. You were asked some questions about
paragraph 3.
Do you see that?
A. Yes.
Q. Does paragraph 3 mention annual installment payments at all?
A. No, I'm not seeing it.
Q. Does paragraph 3 state in any way that a prepayment as described in that paragraph would relieve the maker of the obligation to make annual installment payments?
A. No.
Q. Can you turn to the next page and look at paragraph 5.

Are you familiar with that paragraph at all?
A. No. I mean, I've seen it before, but this is, as I said before, this is a provision that probably would have been in most, if not all, of these types of notes.
Q. Can you get Exhibit 3, please. This is your email dated May 2, 2019.

Do I have that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And you sent it to the corporate accounting
email group; is that right?
A. I did.
Q. And to the best of your recollection, was

Mr. Waterhouse included in that email group?
A. Yes, absolutely.
Q. And did you instruct the corporate accounting
team to transfer \$2.4 million from HCMLP to HCMFA on
May 2, 2019?
A. Yes, specifically Blair, but yes, for the team as well.
Q. The whole team was aware of this?
A. The whole team is on the email, and I'm
sending to Blair, who is the AP person, to please set up the payment.
Q. Is it fair to say that you're being completely transparent here by including the entire corporate accounting group on this email?
A. Yes.
Q. And did you tell the entire corporate accounting group that this transaction would be $a$, quote, new interco loan?
A. Yes, that's what the email says.
Q. Do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Waterhouse didn't get this?
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,

5

7

9 7 history of the world that this transaction should not have been booked as a loan?
A. No.
Q. You mentioned that there was an audit that followed shortly thereafter?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you familiar with the debtor's audited financial statements for the period ending 2018?
A. Yes, generally. Not total recall, but yes.
Q. Are you aware that this loan was included as a subsequent event in the debtor's audited financial statements?
A. Yes.

MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did Mr. Dondero or

Mr. Waterhouse or anybody ever tell you that the debtor should not have included this $\$ 2.4$ million loan in its audited financial statements?

MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence.
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THE WITNESS: No.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Okay. And the next day
there was another loan; right?
A. Yes.
Q. I'm going to show you here a document that's been produced.

MR. RUKAVINA: Would you email it to me and I
can print it out for the court reporter.
MR. MORRIS: You want to come over here and look --

MR. RUKAVINA: I know it. I'm just thinking that we can append it to the record right now.

MR. MORRIS: It's eight pages, so it's part
of a whole production.
MR. RUKAVINA: But it's just one email?
MR. MORRIS: Just one email that I'm talking about. So we're looking at Bates stamp D-CNL003763.

And I'll email it to you when we're done here.
And you're welcome to come over here if you'd like to see it.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Mr. Klos, can you take a look at the email that $I$ have on my screen.
A. Yes.
Q. And do you see that it's an email from

Kristin Hendrix to the corporate accounting group on
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Friday, May 3?
A. Yes.
Q. And were you also included in the corporate
accounting email string?
A. Yes.
Q. Can you read the email out loud, please.
A. It says, Blair, please set up a wire from

HCMLP to HCMFA for 5 million as a new loan,
parentheses, 4.4 million should be coming in from Jim
soon. Hayley, please add this to your loan tracker. I
will paper the loan.
Q. So based on that email, did you understand on

May 3 that HCMLP was going to loan $\$ 5$ million to HCMFA?
A. Yes, HCMFA.
Q. And did you understand that Kristin
specifically told the corporate accounting group that
she would take responsibility for papering the loan?
A. Yes, that's what she says.
Q. Do you recall whether Mr. Waterhouse ever
objected to any aspect of Kristin's email?
A. He didn't.
Q. Do you recall in the history of the world
whether Mr. Waterhouse ever told you that this
$\$ 5$ million transaction should not have been booked as a loan?
A. No.
Q. Did anybody in the history of the world ever
raise a question to you as to whether or not Kristin
was authorized to paper the loan, as she describes it
in this particular email?
A. No.
Q. Do you know if this $\$ 5$ million loan was also included in the debtor's audited financial statements? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence. THE WITNESS: Yes. Again, subsequent event.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Okay. And did anybody in
the history of the world ever tell you that Highland
should not have included as a subsequent event in its
2018 audited financial statement this $\$ 5$ million loan?
A. No.

MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence.
THE WITNESS: No.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Do you know if HCMFA had its financial statements audited?
A. It did.
Q. And are you generally familiar with those
financial statements?
A. Yes.
Q. Are you aware that these two loans totaling
\$7.4 million were included in HCMFA's audited financial
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statements as a subsequent event for the period ended December 31, 2018 ?
A. Yes.

MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did anybody in the history
of the world ever tell you that HCMFA should not have
included as a subsequent event the borrowing of the money reflected in these loans?

MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence.
THE WITNESS: No, no one said that.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Do you know if HCMFA included these loans as a liability on its balance sheet?
A. It did.

MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Move to strike. Best evidence.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did anyone in the history of the world ever tell you that HCMFA should not have included these loans as a liability on its balance sheet?

MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Best evidence. THE WITNESS: No.
Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Okay. Do you recall that in October of 2020 HCMFA and NexPoint made a report to the retail board?
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A. They asked for financials, I believe as of $6 / 30$ as part of that process.
Q. And are you aware as to whether or not the financials that were provided to the retail board included, among other things, the $\$ 7.4$ million in notes that were -- that we're talking about here?
A. Yes, those financials would have included those amounts as liabilities to HCMLP.
Q. Did Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse ever tell you or anybody to your knowledge that the Advisors should not have told the retail boards that they were obligated to pay under those two notes?
A. No.
Q. Let's talk about loan forgiveness for a moment.

How long have you been with the company?
A. March of 2009.
Q. At any time since you've been employed by Highland, has Highland ever forgiven a promissory note that it held where the maker was a corporate affiliate?
A. Not that I can recall.
Q. Have you ever heard prior -- has anybody ever told you that before you joined the company, Highland had ever forgiven in whole or in part any note that it held where the maker was a corporate affiliate?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. You referred to a couple of loans that were given to individuals earlier.

Do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. What's the biggest loan that you can recall Highland ever forgiving?
A. The largest one that I can remember was a half-million dollars, 500,000.
Q. So you have no knowledge of any loan ever being forgiven where the principal amount forgiven exceeded $\$ 500,000$; is that right?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. And when is the last loan that Highland forgave in whole or in part to one of its officers or employees that you can recall?
A. I don't know a specific year, but it would have been in the 2010, 2011 time frame. Maybe 2012, but I suspect ' 10 or ' 11 .
Q. So is it fair to say to the best of your recollection and knowledge that Highland did not forgive a single loan made to an officer or employee for at least seven years prior to the petition date?
A. There's none that I can think of.
Q. Let's just turn our attention to

## December 2020.

Do you recall that you testified at length
about your understanding of the conversations with
Mr. Waterhouse and Ms. Hendrix?
Do you remember that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Are you aware of any instruction ever
made by Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse in November or December 2020 in order to make the payments that were due under the three term notes -- withdrawn.

There were three term notes that were due -withdrawn.

There are three term notes at issue in this
case. Do you understand that?
A. Yeah, that's my understanding.
Q. And one of them was issued by NexBank; is that right?
A. NexPoint Advisors.
Q. Thank you for the clarification.

One was by HCRE?
A. Correct.
Q. And one was from HCMS; do I have that right?
A. Yes.
Q. And all three of those notes were executed as
of May 31, 2017; right?
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| :---: | :---: |
|  | A. Yeah, that was the effective date on all |
| 2 | three. |
| 3 | Q. And they all rolled up previously outstanding |
| 4 | notes that were due and payable to Highland. |
| 5 | Do I have that right? |
| 6 | A. Correct. To the best of my recollection. |
| 7 | Q. So we'll refer to those notes as the term |
| 8 | notes. Is that okay? |
| 9 | A. Sure. |
| 10 | Q. Do you have any knowledge that Mr. Dondero or |
| 11 | Mr. Waterhouse ever instructed HCMLP to make the |
| 12 | installment payments that were due at the end of 2020 |
| 13 | with respect to any of those term notes? |
| 14 | A. No, I don't believe they provided that |
| 15 | instruction to make those payments. |
| 16 | MR. RUKAVINA: Objection. Move to strike. |
| 17 | Lacks foundation. |
| 18 | MR. MORRIS: I'm asking him if he ever heard. |
| 19 | MR. RUKAVINA: But he answered a different |
| 20 | question. He answered a different question. |
| 21 | Q. (BY MR. MORRIS) Did you ever see anything in |
| 22 | writing where either Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse |
| 23 | directed HCMLP to make the annual installment payments |
| 24 | that were due at the end of 2020 with respect to any of |
| 25 | the term notes? |

126

## A. No.

Q. Okay. But to the best of your recollection,
in the 13-week forecast, those forecasts included the
installment payments that were due at the end of the
year; is that right?
A. They did.
Q. Did anybody ever tell you prior to

February 1, 2021, that your group had made a mistake by not making the payment -- any of the payments that were
due under the term notes at the end of 2020 ?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
Q. Did anybody tell you prior to February 1,

2021, that the makers of the term notes expected
Highland to effectuate the payments that were due at
the end of the year without approval by Mr. Waterhouse
or Mr. Dondero?
A. No.
Q. Have you seen any protest in writing prior to the commencement of the litigation by any of the makers of the notes about a failure on the part of HCMLP to perform its duties and make that payment at the end of the year?
A. No.

MR. MORRIS: I have no further questions. MR. RUKAVINA: I have five minutes.

## FURTHER EXAMINATION

Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Go to Exhibit 16, please, 1-6.
A. Sure.
Q. Sir, this is an email string regarding that Rule 15(c) that you were talking about. I'm just going to ask you about the top email, but you're welcome to read the whole.
A. Uh-huh.
Q. You're copied on Mr. Waterhouse's email there

October 6, 2020; right?
A. Yes, I'm on the email.
Q. And Mr. Waterhouse writes, the HCMFA note is
a demand note. You would have read that; right?
A. Yes.
Q. Did you ever correct Mr. Waterhouse when he says the HCMFA note, as opposed to notes?
A. No, that's not something I would have corrected from Frank.
Q. Do you recall right now that you might have, when you read this, realized that he made a mistake?
A. It would have been such a de minimus, inconsequential mistake that I don't know that I would have addressed it.
Q. What about two sentences over, there was an
agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 2021.

Did you ever write to him and say that too was a mistake?
A. I didn't write to him.
Q. Did you realize back then when you read it that he had made a mistake?
A. I'm not certain.
Q. Did you -- and I'm not suggesting that you
should have. You're a busy man. But did you attach
any significance outside of the ordinary to this email exchange?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
5 THE WITNESS: I struggle with how to answer
that. I saw that this note was in response to retail
15(c) follow-up on the Advisors.
At this point my role was different, where I
was dealing with really the retail funds primarily. So
the fact that I'm even on this email is somewhat incidental.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) But surely on October 6, 2020 you knew that there were four HCMFA demand notes, didn't you?
A. I'm sure I would have had access to that
information. I'm not sure that $I$ was keeping track of
how many were outstanding at any given point in time.
Q. And surely on October 6, 2020 you knew that
only two of them couldn't be demanded by May of 2021, didn't you?
A. Again, I don't know that I was even really
thinking about these notes at that time.
Q. Even though you were preparing weekly cash forecasts for Mr. Seery?
A. I wasn't preparing a weekly cash forecast for Mr. Seery.
Q. Going to Exhibit 13, please. Mr. Morris asked you a couple questions about this.
A. I'm sorry, 13?
Q. Yes, sir. And again, that paragraph 3 that talks about prepayment.

Can you find anything in here, sir, that says that a prepayment does not relieve the maker of any regularly scheduled payment?
A. Sorry, that's a lot to comprehend. If you could ask again.
Q. Is there any provision that you can see here that's to the effect that a prepayment will not relieve the maker of any regularly scheduled payment?
A. I don't see that specific provision. I just
read it for what is on the page.
Q. Isn't it, sir, in your experience the case
that a promissory note, if it intended not to relieve
the borrower of regularly scheduled payments would say
that a prepayment does not relieve the borrower of
regularly scheduled payments?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the
question.
THE WITNESS: That's a legal question. I
can't -- I don't know the answer.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Do you remember seeing promissory notes that say something like that?
A. Not that I can recall.
Q. You'd be surprised if that's what promissory
notes say?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) And Mr. Morris asked you about this. I'm trying to burn through this so the man can make his plane.

Section 2.1 talks about 30 equal annual payments, annual installments.

You see that?
A. Yes, I see that.
Q. And Mr. Morris asked you whether you see anything in here that says that a prepayment relieves an annual installment.

Do you remember that question?
MR. MORRIS: Objection. That's not what I asked.

THE WITNESS: I don't remember that question.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Reading Section 2.1 and 3
together, what would a prepayment apply to other than
an annual installment? Do you have a view on that?
MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: Again, I struggle with
prepayment. But as I read Section 3, it would be
applied first to unpaid accrued interest and then to unpaid principal.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Have you ever in your personal life prepaid a promissory note before -- have you ever in your personal life prepaid a promissory note prior to its maturity?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

THE WITNESS: I don't know.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) Sitting here today, with your CPA, your MBA and you're a CFO of a large entity,
you don't understand what a prepayment means?
MR. MORRIS: Objection. Argumentative.
I direct you not to answer.
You're going to have ask a different question.
That's an argumentative question and it's insulting.
MR. RUKAVINA: What's the privilege on which
you're directing him not to answer?
MR. MORRIS: I just said it's argumentative.
MR. RUKAVINA: I'm trying to let you get to your flight.

MR. MORRIS: Ask a proper question. Don't make this about me.
Q. (BY MR. RUKAVINA) You were going to answer my question, sir?

MR. MORRIS: No, I'm directing him not to answer.

MR. RUKAVINA: Then we'll end this deposition with a motion to compel.

MR. MORRIS: Okay. You do that.
MR. RUKAVINA: I'm making a motion to compel. We'll call the judge as soon as we land in New York tomorrow.

MR. MORRIS: You have to read the whole question. You can ask the question without the verbiage; right?
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| suggesting 62:22 | 137:7 | 116:22 119:12 | Thedford 23:2 | 7:12,15 8:10,14 |
| 128:9 | takes 16:5 17:5,9 | 120:6,18 122:9 | thereto 137:21 | 8:24 10:2,4 |
| Suite 2:4,17 | talk 12:14 18:12 | 126:7,12 137:4 | thing 33:22 53:6 | 12:6,10 16:14 |
| 138:2 | 21:21 54:3 | telling 56:14 | 59:18 84:13 | 24:6,8 25:1 |
| sum 113:24 | 98:15 122:14 | 58:14 68:15 | things 10:1 25:25 | 28:21 29:25 |

David Klos - October 27, 2021

| 36:23 37:12,18 | told 39:21 42:14 | transfers 28:9,18 | 83:8 85:1 86:12 | 37:17 48:19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39:11 41:4,12 | 43:4 52:11,11 | 28:19,22 30:24 | 87:24 94:19 | 49:18 51:12,14 |
| 42:5,12 43:22 | 52:19 53:12 | 32:22 35:4,10 | 95:10 105:4,25 | 54:17,22,23 |
| 43:22 44:19 | 55:9 60:21 | 38:3 42:9 94:7 | 106:24 107:24 | 56:21 61:12,22 |
| 47:13 49:4 | 61:23 62:13,14 | transitioned | 119:24 121:18 | 70:18 72:7,10 |
| 52:14 53:2,8,14 | 62:15 68:12 | 109:1,6 | 122:12 127:25 | 75:7 79:4 87:1 |
| 53:15 54:21 | 69:9 70:9 85:19 | transparent | 129:4 134:22 | 87:9 91:11 97:6 |
| 56:16 59:23 | 91:8 95:1 97:25 | 115:17 | two-paragraph | 98:23 101:12 |
| 60:2,10 62:5 | 98:2 100:25 | Treasurer | 84:12 | 101:18,19 |
| 63:2 65:12 | 103:7 118:16 | 121:11 | TX 2:4,18 138:2 | 102:2 112:19 |
| 66:11 74:10,20 | 118:23 122:11 | treasury 9:6 | type $98: 12$ | 121:9 124:3,15 |
| 79:4 80:15 | 122:23 | 107:6 | types 13:4 78:13 | 133:11,20,25 |
| 83:23 84:12 | tomorrow 79:11 | treated 35:5 | 84:1 107:12,15 | 134:2,6 |
| 86:23 89:10,16 | 132:22 | trigger 104:2,10 | 107:17 114:20 | understood 52:2 |
| 92:10 93:5 | $\boldsymbol{t o p} 107: 9127: 7$ | 104:21 | typewriting | 63:12 95:4 |
| 95:12 101:13 | topic 96:2 98:3 | triggered 104:11 | 137:10 | 102:25 |
| 108:24,25 | topics 20:11 | 104:17 | typical 84:7 | unfair 34:17 |
| 109:6,16 | 103:25 106:23 | triggers 14:25 |  | unfamiliar 80:21 |
| 111:12 112:14 | total 116:15 | 107:17 | U | UNITED 1:1 |
| 113:17 116:2 | totaling 119:24 | trouble 62:19 | Uh-huh 7:18 | unpaid 46:15,24 |
| 122:18 123:18 | touched 98:16 | true 29:6 88:9 | 13:23 47:7 | 47:2,20 48:15 |
| 129:2,7 134:12 | track 111:2 129:1 | 135:24 | 75:11 127:9 | 48:15 49:4 |
| 135:1 137:8 | tracker 118:10 | trust 15:16 17:10 | ultimate 16:12 | 131:15,16 |
| timely 44:9 51:15 | tracking 39:15 | 17:15 18:6 | 17:8,10 | unscheduled |
| 51:17 | transaction | trusts 26:16 | ultimately 11:4 | 38:23 64:7,23 |
| times 7:5 32:15 | 34:13 70:21 | 65:23 108:21 | 39:19 64:18 | 75:18,20 |
| 61:20 63:8 | 76:9 115:21 | truth 137:5,5,5 | 73:9 | upcoming 25:25 |
| 76:19 92:6 | 116:7 118:24 | truthful 100:22 | um 63:13 | updated 54:15 |
| timing 15:24 | transcript 135:14 | truthfully 16:16 | unable 89:3,14 | upfront 85:11 |
| title 5:15,16 6:22 | 135:17 137:13 | 16:19 | undergrad 4:15 | use 14:19 56:25 |
| 7:1 10:9,11 | transfer 29:9 | try 62:23 106:24 | Undergraduate | 70:23 81:17 |
| 12:16 13:7 | 30:2 31:8,20 | trying 17:18 | 4:14 | 108:20 |
| 26:14 | 32:8 34:19 36:1 | 27:21 30:23 | understand | usually 31:15 |
| titles 10:11 78:18 | 40:22 41:16 | 50:6 67:14 98:3 | 14:14 15:19 | 33:16 |
| today 5:21 14:3 | 69:2,10 76:15 | 100:11 104:22 | 17:14 18:19 | utilized 71:17 |
| 15:12 16:3,13 | 77:4,18 85:16 | 130:20 132:9 | 30:23 47:4 51:8 |  |
| 16:16,19,24 | 90:12 91:22,23 | 133:8 | 61:11 62:8 66:4 | V |
| 17:3,14 20:5 | 92:9 93:3 115:8 | turn 114:15 | 67:25 68:2 | valid 39:3 |
| 42:14 44:20 | transferred | 123:25 | 88:25 96:17 | valuation 5:17,19 |
| 57:5 63:25 65:6 | 30:20 75:24 | turned 76:4 | 97:24 98:8 | 5:24 6:2,15 |
| 95:25 96:11 | 77:10 78:18 | 81:15 | 106:3 118:12 | 9:14 10:7,9,14 |
| 102:18 103:6 | 79:13 | two 9:7 15:12 | 118:15 124:14 | 10:15,24 11:3,7 |
| 104:15 131:24 | transferring 34:8 | 29:14 36:20 | 132:1 | 11:12 71:11,15 |
| 133:11,19 | 35:11 39:22 | 45:13 65:10 | understanding | 71:17,25 72:1 |
| today's 47:6 | 42:4 75:9 | 66:20 77:12 | 19:11 20:11,13 | 72:13,14,21 |
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| 107:6 | Waterhouse 8:17 | 121:5 122:6 | 51:7 52:23 | worthiness 90:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| valuations 11:4 | 11:1 13:25 20:9 | we've 19:24 | 53:25 54:9 55:5 | wouldn't 25:13 |
| value 11:14 | 30:13,14,19 | 75:13 78:12 | 55:14,21 56:12 | 38:10 43:8 |
| 71:16 | 39:25 41:14 | wearing 43:15 | 57:10 60:14 | 56:22,23 60:16 |
| valuing 10:19 | 42:3,7 43:24 | week 36:20 83:7 | 61:3 63:7 64:11 | 64:8,20 77:24 |
| variety 107:16 | 52:7,11,18,24 | weekly 25:24 | 65:21 66:2,9,17 | 86:24 87:17 |
| various 25:23 | 53:11 54:15,19 | 43:5,24 63:19 | 69:22 71:5,14 | 99:15 |
| 79:14 | 54:25 55:17,25 | 129:8,10 | 71:22 76:21 | write 128:3,5 |
| vary 17:12 | 60:20 61:23 | weeks 59:5 | 77:22 78:6,25 | writes 127:13 |
| verbatim 94:17 | 62:9 65:3 69:9 | welcome 117:19 | 79:7,21 81:4,13 | writing 103:21 |
| verbiage 132:25 | 77:17 78:2,20 | 127:7 | 81:25 82:15 | 103:24 113:9 |
| verify $27: 24$ | 84:23 85:1,19 | went 4:14 58:17 | 83:15 85:22 | 125:22 126:18 |
| versus 87:12 | 86:14 91:8 94:6 | 81:17 85:14 | 87:5 88:20 89:7 | written 35:2,6 |
| videoconference | 100:25 103:3 | 101:18 | 90:16 91:5,11 | 66:6 |
| 1:19 2:6,13,19 | 103:13 115:5 | weren't 61:2 | 93:15 94:10 | wrong 55:1 82:18 |
| videotape $21: 18$ | 115:25 116:2 | 80:16 88:7 | 95:15 99:6,25 | 82:22 88:22 |
| view 131:10 | 116:22 118:19 | 97:15 101:20 | 100:7,13,21 | 89:19 |
| virtually 44:5 | 118:23 121:6 | whatsoever | 101:5 102:2,17 | wrote 67:21 |
| vis-a-vis 15:16 | 122:9 124:4,8 | 63:20 | 103:17 104:20 | 70:12 |
| voluntary 37:24 | 125:11,22 | wherewithal | 108:4 109:17 | www.dickman... |
| vs $1: 8$ | 126:15 127:13 | 93:24 | 111:10 112:5 | 138:4 |
| W | 127:16 | wind 16:5 17:5 | 112:11 113:21 | X |
| vant 16:24 44 |  |  |  |  |
| want $16: 2444.10$ $54: 463: 890: 6$ | waters 43.14 | wire 59:7 | 119:17 120:10 | Y |
| 54.4 63:8 90:6 95:18 96:19 | waters 43:14 | 118:7 | 120:22 128:15 | y'all 95:2 |
| 95:18 96:19 $98.15100 \cdot 10$ | way 6:16 20:12 | withdrawn 91:19 | 130:9,18 131:7 | Yang 106:15 |
| 98:15 100:10 | 22:22 31:15 | 110:11 111:15 | 131:13,23 |  |
| 101:14 104:1 | 34:3 35:17,22 | 113:1,7,8 | 133:16,24 | yeah 6:23 8:2 |
| 117:9 134:19 | 48:24 54:1 63:8 | 124:10,12 | 134:10,19 | 14:21 15:23 |
| 135:13,16 | 69:6 72:15,17 | within-entitled | 135:4,9 137:3 | 19:17 23:2 |
| wanted 24:17 | 76:10 82:19 | 137:6 | 137:10 | 29:12,20 35:15 |
| 34:3 96:2 | 87:18 92:1,1,1 | witness 1:19 9:2 | word 56:25 | 37:22 43:1 |
| wants 90:16,20 | 92:2 93:24 94:6 | 11:19 12:1 13:2 | 133:11,20 | 55:14 56:24 |
| 90:22 | 95:3 97:5 | 14:14 19:12 | words 10:24 36:7 | 59:2 60:14 61:3 |
| wasn't 23:24 | 102:23 114:10 | 21:4 22:1,10,17 | 52:16,24 94:19 | 63:7,8,12,18 |
| 24:1 31:20 | 121:5 137:19 | 23:12 25:5,13 | work 5:5 10:19 | 66:17 67:9 71:5 |
| 37:18 38:5 50:4 | ways 38:21 | 27:6 29:12 33:2 | worked 5:8 11:22 | 83:24 89:7 |
| 51:11,15,16 | we'll 20:1 54:3 | 34:1,23 35:15 | 62:4 | 91:11 94:10 |
| 53:4 57:1,3 | 125:7 132:17 | 36:6 37:22 | working 5:7,11 | 96:10 98:20 |
| 59:20 60:15 | 132:21 | 38:19 39:9 40:5 | 12:5 | 99:25 101:5 |
| 62:6 70:17 | we're 12:8 27:12 | 40:16 41:18,21 | world 108:23 | 102:2 124:15 |
| 72:19 73:3,3 | 32:15 43:11,12 | 43:1,21 44:18 | 116:3,7 118:22 | 125:1 135:19 |
| 75:2 101:11 | 64:1 65:8,9,10 | 45:1,11,19 | 119:2,12 120:6 | year 6:1 9:25 |
| 103:17 108:12 | 65:12 79:22 | 46:23 48:10,24 | 120:18 | $\begin{aligned} & 29: 2249: 21 \\ & 111: 1.8 .12 .13 \end{aligned}$ |
| 129:10 | 80:4 117:17,18 | 49:17 50:3,15 | worth $34: 10$ | 111:1,8,12,13 |
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| 113:4 123:17 | 46:12 49:10 | 200 12:6 | 102:17,17 | 51:10,15 56:17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 126:5,15,22 | 114:1 129:12 | 2009 5:12,15 6:3 | 103:23 112:17 | 56:22 57:6,13 |
| years 5:9 6:17 | 129:14 | 6:3 29:24,25 | 113:2,4,12 | 57:17,21 58:7,9 |
| 15:12 24:10,17 | 13-week 126:3 | 122:17 | 120:24 121:7 | 60:25 87:15 |
| 30:18 32:21 | 14 27:15,17,23 | 2010 6:9 106:13 | 121:21 124:1,9 | 88:1 98:18,24 |
| 33:20 34:17 | 36:12 40:20 | 123:18 | 125:12,24 | 120:2 124:25 |
| 39:14 48:7 | 42:17 44:13,22 | 2011 6:10,21 | 126:10 127:11 | 3102 2:17 |
| 65:17 66:3 | 48:12 49:1 | 106:13 123:18 | 128:23 129:3 | 312 138:1 |
| 69:18 94:20 | 58:25 59:23 | 2012 123:18 | 2021 1:15,21 | 338-37:8 |
| 95:3,11 123:23 | 60:2,23 61:18 | 2017 6:22 22:13 | 13:24 58:25 | 34th 2:11 |
| years' 34:10 | 64:2,5 | 23:7 24:8,18 | 59:23 60:2 61:8 | 3763 83:15 |
| yes-or-no 94:12 | 15 61:7 | 88:22 105:12 | 87:16 88:1 | 3800 2:4 |
| York 2:11 132:21 | 15(c) 80:9 121:4 | 124:25 | 112:22,25 | 38th 1:25 |
|  | 127:6 128:17 | 2018 116:14 | 113:3,10,16 | 398,000 85:23 |
| Z | 16 8:9 31:18 | 119:14 120:2 | 126:8,13 128:2 |  |
| zero 46:8 49:6 | 127:2 | 2019 8:11,14 9:10 | 129:4 136:1 | 4 |
| 80:1 | $178: 10$ | 9:13 10:6 11:10 | 137:22 | $43: 345: 23$ 91:21 |
| ZIEHL 2:10 | 18 8:10 | 11:16,21 27:14 | 21-03004-sgj 1:8 | $4.4118: 9$ |
| 0 | 19 8:24 45:24 | 28:9 29:8,17,21 | $214138: 3$ | 4.4-76:4 |
| -36.8 69:4 | 49: | 29:25 31:18 | 23-point 45:20 | 411,000 37: |
| 0 36:8 69:4 | 1982 4:10 | 35:8 36:15,22 | 23.034-45:21 | 4228 138:2 |
| 08 4:24 |  | 40:21 41:1,13 | 24.7-46:10 | 445-9548 138:3 |
| 09 4:24 | 2 | 42:8,16,16 | 27 1:15,21 4:20 |  |
| 1 | $266: 24 ~ 67: 2,7 ~$ $73 \cdot 2576 \cdot 380 \cdot 4$ | 44:13,13 45:23 | 29 37:6 | $74: 7,8,2475: 4$ |
| $18: 11$ 24:20,23 | $73: 25 ~ 76: 3 ~ 80: 4 ~$ 85:23 87:9,13 | 45:24 65:13,15 | 3 | 75:7,8,24 75 77 |
| 66:24 67:2,7 | 92:8 114:23 | 78:20 80:6,19 | 3 46:13 50:8 | 77:18 78:3 |
| 80:3 113:10 | 115:9 | 87:2,9,13 88:9 | 67:17 68:4 | 79:22 80:2 |
| 126:8,12 | 2.03-46:9 | 88:14 89:2,17 | 74:18,22 76:5 | 81:18 82:25 |
| 137:22 | $2.128: 14$ 45:25 | 91:21 92:8 93:2 | 82:24 83:10,20 | 85:4 86:13 |
| 1-31-23 138:4 | 46:3 49:1,8 | 93:11 105:13 | 93:2,11 114:4,7 | 91:22 93:3 |
| 1-4 27:17 | 130:22 131:8 | 110:20 111:6 | 114:10,22 | 114:16 118:8 |
| 1-6 127:3 | 2.1-46:5,7 | 111:12,21 | 118:1,13 | 118:13,24 |
| $1.328: 13,14$ | 2.468:7 69:2 | $112: 2,6,8$ | 129:15 131:8 | 119:7,14 |
| 1.458:25 | 70:7,19,23 72:7 | 114:23 115:9 | 131:14 | 5-76:5 90:1 |
| 10 19:6 32:21 | 73:18 75:22 | 121:7 | 30 24:10,17 | 5,019,000 75:3 |
| 33:20 34:10,17 | 76:3 77:10,18 | 2020 7:13,22 | 130:22 | $5.280: 19$ |
| 69:18 95:3 | 78:9 85:18,20 | 24:20,24 51:10 | 30-year 23:15 | 5:00 95:19 |
| 123:19 | 91:22 92:8,15 | 51:15,22 53:18 | 24:10 26:2 | 5:14 1:22 135:21 |
| $10015: 25$ | 115:8 116:3,23 | 54:24 56:17,22 | 30(b)(6) 19:12,20 | $5001: 242: 3$ |
| 10017-2024 2:11 $101138 \cdot 2$ | 2.4-70:21 73:23 | 57:6,13,17,22 | $30.721: 22$ | 500,000 123:9,12 |
| 101138 1093.5 | 77:4 85:24 | 60:20 61:21 | 30.7-27:12 | 6 |
|  | 86:13 90:6 | 63:19 98:18,24 | 300,000 28:14 | 6 |
| $11123: 19$ | 2:30 1:22 | 99:19 100:15 | 31 22:13 23:7 | 6 4:10 127:11 |
| 127 3:6 | 20 8:24 24:17 | 101:2 102:14 | 24:18 45:21 | 128:22 129:3 |
| 13 23:8 27:9 |  | 101.2102 .14 |  | 6/30 122:2 |



## EXHIBIT 196

Case 21-03003-sgj Doc 11-17 Filed 03/30/21 Entered 03/30/21 11:24:52 Page 2 of 2

## December 2019 Due From Affiliates

| 14585 DUE FROM HUNTER MOUNTAIN INVESTMENT TRUST | \$ | 57,963,118 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14532 DUE FROM NEXPOINT ADVISORS |  | 23,034,644 |
| 14750 LONG TERM NOTES RECEIVABLE |  | 18,286,268 |
| 14531 DUE FROM HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS |  | 10,413,540 |
| 14533 DUE FROM HCRE PARTNERS |  | 10,192,686 |
| 14565 DUE FROM OTHER - TAX LOANS |  | 9,946,805 |
| 14530 DUE FROM HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES |  | 7,543,781 |
| 14590 DUE FROM OTHER AFFILIATE |  | 5,088,256 |
| 14595 DUE FROM HIGHLAND CAPITAL KOREA |  | 3,132,278 |
| 14140 SHARED SVCS FEE RECVBL - PYXIS |  | 298,283 |
| 14010 CASH INTEREST RECEIVABLE |  | 285,626 |
| 14580 DUE FROM NEXBANK |  | 60,000 |
| Total Due From Affiliates | \$ | 146,245,285 |

## EXHIBIT 197

Case 21-03003-sgj Doc 11-19 Filed 03/30/21 Entered 03/30/21 11:24:52 Page 2 of 2

## September 2020 Due From Affiliates

| 14585 DUE FROM HUNTER MOUNTAIN INVESTMENT TRUST | \$ | 57,963,118 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14532 DUE FROM NEXPOINT ADVISORS |  | 23,610,195 |
| 14750 LONG TERM NOTES RECEIVABLE |  | 18,286,268 |
| 14531 DUE FROM HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS |  | 10,635,564 |
| 14533 DUE FROM HCRE PARTNERS |  | 10,436,597 |
| 14565 DUE FROM OTHER - TAX LOANS |  | 8,929,625 |
| 14530 DUE FROM HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES |  | 7,518,692 |
| 14590 DUE FROM OTHER AFFILIATE |  | 5,088,256 |
| 14595 DUE FROM HIGHLAND CAPITAL KOREA |  | 3,832,358 |
| 14536 DUE FROM SELECT |  | 3,000,000 |
| 14010 CASH INTEREST RECEIVABLE |  | 2,718,375 |
| 14140 SHARED SVCS FEE RECVBL - PYXIS |  | 308,093 |
| 14137 SHARED SVCS FEE RECVBL - OSLI |  | 122,000 |
| 14580 DUE FROM NEXBANK |  | 60,000 |
| 14148 SHARED SVCS FEE RECVBL - RAND ADVISORS |  | 40,182 |
| 14142 SHARED SVCS FEE RECVBL - HCLOH |  | 24,592 |
| 14535 DUE FROM HERA |  | 10,676 |
| Total Due From Affiliates | \$ | 152,584,592 |

## EXHIBIT 198

Case 21-03003-sgj Doc 11-21 Filed 03/30/21 Entered 03/30/21 11:24:52 Page 2 of 2

## January 2021 Due From Affiliates

| 14585-DUE FROM HUNTER MOUNTAIN INVESTMENT TRUST | 59,480,100 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 14532-DUE FROM NEXPOINT ADVISORS | $\mathbf{2 3 , 0 3 4 , 6 4 4}$ |
| 14750-LONG TERM NOTES RECEIVABLE | $18,286,268$ |
| 14531-DUE FROM HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS | $10,635,564$ |
| 14533-DUE FROM HCRE PARTNERS | $10,604,952$ |
| 14565-DUE FROM OTHER - TAX LOANS | $8,929,625$ |
| 14530-DUE FROM HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES | $\mathbf{7 , 5 1 8 , 6 9 2}$ |
| 14536-DUE FROM SELECT | $4,818,153$ |
| 14595-DUE FROM HIGHLAND CAPITAL KOREA | $3,832,358$ |
| 14590-DUE FROM OTHER AFFILIATE | $2,651,256$ |
| 14010-CASH INTEREST RECEIVABLE | $1,111,875$ |
| 14140-SHARED SVCS FEE RECVBL - PYXIS | 894,280 |
| 14146-SHARED SVCS FEE RECVBL - NEXPOINT | 336,000 |
| 14149-SHARED SVCS FEE RECVBL - NREA | 160,000 |
| 14137-SHARED SVCS FEE RECVBL - OSLI | 122,000 |
| 14580-DUE FROM NEXBANK | 80,000 |
| 14142-SHARED SVCS FEE RECVBL - HCLOH | 31,179 |
| 14535-DUE FROM HERA | 10,676 |
| Total Due From Affiliates | $\mathbf{1 5 2 , 5 3 7 , 6 2 2}$ |

EXHIBIT 199

Case 21-03003-sgj Doc 11-22 Filed 03/30/21 Entered 03/30/21 11:24:52


Appx. 00683

## EXHIBIT 208

From: Kristin Hendrix [KHendrix@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:KHendrix@HighlandCapital.com)
To: Jim Dondero [JDondero@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:JDondero@HighlandCapital.com)
Cc: Frank Waterhouse < FWaterhouse@HighlandCapital.com>
Subject: 7/31/2020 HCMLP Requests
Date: Sat, 29 Aug 2020 14:47:29-0500
Importance: Normal
Attachments: HCMLP_07_31_2020_SOI.pdf; HCMLP_Notes_Receivable_07_31_2020.pdf; HCMLP_Equity.pdf
Inline-Images: image001.jpg

Hi Jim,
Please see attached data you had requested for HCMLP.

- 7/31/2020 SOI
- 7/31/2020 affiliate notes
- Oct 2019 - July 2020 equity balances

Please let us know if you have any questions or need anything else.

Thanks,
Kristin
Kristin Hendrix, CPA | Assistant Controller

## HIGHLAND CAPITAL <br> MANAGEMENT

300 Crescent Court | Suite 700 | Dallas, Texas 75201
O: $972.628 .4127 \mid F: 972.628 .4147$
khendrix@highlandcapital.com | www.highlandcapital.com


## HCMLP Equity

10/31/19-7/31/20
(all values in millions)


HCMLP Notes Receivable As of 7/31/2020

| NexPoint Advisors | \$ | 23,846,944 | 30 yr Amort (issued 2017) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dugaboy |  | 17,788,532 | 30 yr Amort (issued 2017) |
| Highland Capital Management Services |  | 6,677,529 | 30 yr Amort (issued 2017) |
| HCRE |  | 5,938,670 | 30 yr Amort (issued 2017) |
| Trussway |  | 1,004,993 | Due upon maturity - 11/1/2021 |
| SSP Holdings, LLC |  | 2,037,898 | Due upon maturity - 11/22/2022 |
| Siepe |  | 2,334,606 | Equity conversion option |
| Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors |  | 10,530,971 | Demand |
| James Dondero |  | 8,911,977 | Demand |
| Multi-Strategy Credit Fund |  | 1,269,000 | Demand |
| HCRE |  | 4,859,929 | Demand |
| Highland Select Equity Fund |  | 3,000,000 | Demand |
| Highland Capital Management Korea |  | 3,760,000 | Due upon maturity - 4/21/2037 |
| Highland Capital Management Services |  | 934,331 | Demand |
| Total Notes Receivable | \$ | 92,895,380 |  |

## EXHIBIT 209

From: Kristin Hendrix <KHendrix @ HighlandCapital.com>
To: Jim Dondero [JDondero@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:JDondero@HighlandCapital.com)
Cc: Frank Waterhouse < FWaterhouse@HighlandCapital.com>, David Klos [DKlos@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:DKlos@HighlandCapital.com)
Subject: RE: HCMLP Schedule of Investments
Date: Mon, 27 Apr 2020 18:21:21-0500
Importance: Normal
Attachments: HCMLP_03_31_2020_SOI.pdf; Look_through_of_HF_interests_3.31.20.pdf;
HCMLP_Notes_Receivable_\&_Other_Net_Working_Capital_Listing_03_31_2020.pdf;
HCMLP_Internal_Jefferies_4.24.20.pdf
Inline-Images: image001.jpg; image002.jpg

Jim,
Revised schedules are attached with the latest updates.

Thanks,

Kristin

From: Kristin Hendrix
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2020 5:25 PM
To: Jim Dondero
Cc: Frank Waterhouse ; David Klos
Subject: RE: HCMLP Schedule of Investments
Jim,
Attached are updated files, per your earlier conversation with Dave and Frank. Let us know how else we can help.

Thanks,
Kristin

From: Kristin Hendrix
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2020 3:20 PM
To: Jim Dondero [JDondero@HighlandCapital.com](mailto:JDondero@HighlandCapital.com)
Cc: Frank Waterhouse < FWaterhouse@HighlandCapital.com>; David Klos
<DKlos@ HighlandCapital.com>
Subject: HCMLP Schedule of Investments
Hi Jim,
I hope you are doing well!

We are sending over a HCMLP SOI, as of $3 / 31$, along with a look through detail to the hedge fund ownership. Please note that the HCMLP investments held at Jefferies are shown gross of their borrow. I have noted those on the schedule. Let us know if you have any questions.

Thanks,

## Kristin

Kristin Hendrix, CPA | Senior Manager, Corporate Accounting

## HIGHLAND CAPITAL <br> MANAGEMENT

300 Crescent Court | Suite 700 | Dallas, Texas 75201
O: 972.628 .4127 |F: 972.628 .4147
khendrix@highlandcapital.com | www.highlandcapital.com


Highland Capital Management, LP


HCMLP Jefferies Margin Summary


HCMLP Notes Receivable - Principal
As of 3/31/2020

| NexPoint Advisors | 23,034,644 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Dugaboy | $18,286,268$ |  |
| Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors | $10,458,220$ |  |
| HCRE | $10,192,686$ |  |
| James Dondero | $8,834,770$ |  |
| Highland Capital Management Services | $7,499,238$ |  |
| Multi-Strategy Credit Fund | $5,019,000$ |  |
| Highland Select Equity Fund | $3,000,000$ |  |
| SSP Holdings, LLC | $2,000,000$ |  |
| Siepe | $\mathbf{1 , 6 7 2 , 3 2 1}$ |  |
| Trussway | $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |  |
| Total Notes Receivable | $\mathbf{\$ 1}$ | $\mathbf{9 0 , 9 9 7 , 1 4 7}$ |
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PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL \& JONES LLP
Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717)
John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 266326)
Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992)
Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569)
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 277-6910
Facsimile: (310) 201-0760
Email: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com
rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com
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gdemo@pszjlaw.com
hwinograd@pszjlaw.com
-and-
HAYWARD PLLC
Melissa S. Hayward (Texas Bar No. 24044908)
Zachery Z. Annable (Texas Bar No. 24053075)
10501 N. Central Expy., Ste. 106
Dallas, Texas 75231
Telephone: (972) 755-7100
Facsimile: (972) 755-7110
Email: MHayward@HaywardFirm.com
ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com
Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

# IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS <br> DALLAS DIVISION 

| HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., |
| :---: |
| Plaintiff, |
| vs. |
| JAMES DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST, |
| Defendants. |



| HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., |
| :---: |
| Plaintiff, |
| vs. |
| HCRE PARTNERS, LLC ( $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{k} / \mathrm{a}$ NexPoint Real Estate Partners, LLC), JAMES DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST, |
| Defendants. |

## DECLARATION OF DAVID KLOS IN SUPPORT OF

## HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT L.P.'S

 MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT IN NOTES ACTIONSI, David Klos, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, under penalty of perjury, declare as follows:

1. I am the Chief Financial Officer (" $\underline{\mathrm{CFO}}$ ") of the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P. ("Highland"), and I submit this Declaration in support of Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Motion for Partial Summary Judgment in Notes Actions (the "Motion"). This Declaration is based on my personal knowledge. I could and would testify to the facts and statements set forth herein if asked or required to do so.
2. I joined Highland in 2009 and served as Controller from 2017 to 2020 and Chief Accounting Officer from 2020 to February 2021. At all relevant times, I reported to Frank Waterhouse until he left the company in February 2021. I was appointed CFO in March 2021 following confirmation of Highland's Plan. ${ }^{1}$
[^15]
## A. NexPoint Advisors, LP's ("NexPoint") Prepayment Defense

3. I understand that NexPoint contends that it had no obligation to make the Annual Installment payment due on December 31, 2020 under the NexPoint Note because it "pre-paid." Two documents show that NexPoint is mistaken.
4. The first document is the NexPoint Note, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. ${ }^{2}$ Under the NexPoint Note, NexPoint was required to make "Annual Installment" payments on December 31 of each year equal to (i) all unpaid accrued interest, plus (ii) $1 / 30^{\text {th }}$ of the outstanding principal amount of the NexPoint Note. Exhibit A $\mathbb{T}$ 2.1.
5. NexPoint was permitted to make "prepayments" under the NexPoint Note. Section 3 of the NexPoint Note sets forth NexPoint's agreement concerning the treatment of "prepayments" and provides:
6. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.

Exhibit A \| 13 (emphasis added).
6. The second relevant document is an amortization schedule (the "Amortization Schedule") that was prepared and maintained in the ordinary course of Highland's business, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B. ${ }^{3}$ I understand that the Amortization Schedule is the only document that NexPoint relies upon to support its "prepayment defense."
7. The Amortization Schedule shows, among other things, the following:

[^16]- The "Interest Accrual" column shows the periodic interest that accrued under the NexPoint Note between the dates described in the "Date" column;
- The "Total Paid" column shows the amount NexPoint paid against the NexPoint Note ${ }^{4}$; and
- The "Interest Paid" and "Principal Paid" columns show how each payment was applied.

8. As the Amortization Schedule shows, (a) between October 20, 2017 and August 13, 2019, NexPoint made twelve (12) payments that could broadly be characterized as unscheduled "prepayments" of principal and/or interest (the "Prepayments") ${ }^{5}$, and (b) with one exception, each of the Prepayments was applied first to reduce or eliminate all accrued and outstanding interest and then to unpaid principal, as required by Section 3 of the NexPoint Note. ${ }^{6}$
9. As can also be seen on the Amortization Schedule, notwithstanding the Prepayments, NexPoint was still required to make additional payments against the NexPoint Note in December of 2017, 2018, and 2019, in order to reduce "Accrued Interest" to \$0 as of December 31 in each year ${ }^{7}$ as required by Section 2.1 of the NexPoint Note, which it did in each instance.
10. Indeed, even though NexPoint made six (6) Prepayments totaling $\$ 6.38$ million between March 29 and August 13, 2019, NexPoint was still required to pay $\$ 530,112.36$ to fully

[^17]satisfy its obligation to make the unpaid interest portion of the Annual Installment payment due as of December 31, 2019, which it did.
11. As the Amortization Schedule shows, NexPoint did not make any Prepayments on account of the NexPoint Note in 2020. Thus, as of December 31, 2020, NexPoint was required to make an Annual Installment payment on December 31 equal to (i) all unpaid accrued interest, plus (ii) $1 / 30^{\text {th }}$ of the outstanding principal amount of the NexPoint Note (the " 2020 Annual Installment"). Exhibit A 【(2.1.
12. NexPoint knew the 2020 Annual Installment was due on December 31, 2020 because it was included in a 13-week forecast that Highland's Corporate Accounting Group updated on a weekly basis and that was provided to (among others) Frank Waterhouse, NexPoint's Treasurer and then Highland's CFO. See, e.g., Exhibit C (a true and correct copy of a 13-week forecast prepared for the 13 -week period commencing December 14, 2020) Exhibit C shows that Operating Receipts of $\$ 2.051$ million was due on December 28, 2020 in connection with "Interest Receipts on notes receivable," an amount that included the Required Payment). ${ }^{8}$
13. NexPoint failed to make the 2020 Annual Installment due on December 31, 2020 as required under Section 2.1 of the NexPoint Note.
14. On January 14, 2021, after Highland sent notice of default, NexPoint paid Highland
\$1,406,111.92. Exhibit B (entry dated 1/14/21).

## B. Highland's Loan Summaries

15. Highland's accounting group has a regular practice of creating and maintaining "loan summaries" in the ordinary course of business (the "Loan Summaries"). The Loan
[^18]Summaries identify amounts owed to Highland under affiliate notes and are created by updating underlying schedules for activity and reconciling with Highland's general ledger. Ex. 199 is an example of a Loan Summary. The Loan Summaries identify each Obligor by reference to the "GL" number used in the general ledger. See Ex. 199 (HCMS ("GL 14530"), HCMFA ("GL $14531 ")$, NexPoint ("GL 14532"), HCRE ("GL 14533"), and Mr. Dondero ("GL 14565")).
16. The Loan Summaries were used in connection with the PwC audits and to support accounting entries and year-end balances in the ordinary course of Highland's business. For example, Ex. 199 ties exactly into Ex. 198, the "back up" to the "Due from affiliates" entry in the January 2021 MOR. Docket No. 2020. ${ }^{9}$

## C. The Notes

17. In the ordinary course of business, Highland had (and continues to have) a regular practice of maintaining electronic copies of all promissory notes issued by any officer, employee, or corporate affiliate.
18. Attached as Exhibit $\mathbf{D}$ is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated February 2, 2018, executed by James Dondero, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 3,825,000$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the ordinary course of business and that was provided to PwC in connection with its annual audits (the "First Dondero Note").

[^19]19. Attached as Exhibit $\mathbf{E}$ is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated August 1, 2018, executed by James Dondero, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 2,500,000$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the ordinary course of business and that was provided to PwC in connection with its annual audits (the "Second Dondero Note").
20. Attached as Exhibit F is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated August 13, 2018, executed by James Dondero, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 2,500,000$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the ordinary course of business and that was provided to PwC in connection with its annual audits (the "Third Dondero Note," and together with the First Dondero Note and Second Dondero Note, the "Dondero Notes").
21. Attached as Exhibit G is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated May 2, 2019, executed by HCMFA, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 2,400,000$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the ordinary course of business and that was provided to PwC in connection with its annual audits (the "First HCMFA Demand Note").
22. Attached as Exhibit $\mathbf{H}$ is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated May 3, 2019, executed by HCMFA, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 5,000,000$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the ordinary course of business and that was provided to PwC in connection with its annual audits (the "Second HCMFA Demand Note," and together with the First HCMFA Note, the "HCMFA Demand Notes").
23. Attached as Exhibit I is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated March 28, 2018, executed by HCMS, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 150,000$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the ordinary course of business and that was provided to PwC in connection with its annual audits (the "First HCMS Demand Note").
24. Attached as Exhibit $\mathbf{J}$ is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated June 25,2018 , executed by HCMS, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 200,000$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the ordinary course of business and that was provided to PwC in connection with its annual audits (the "Second HCMS Demand Note").
25. Attached as Exhibit $\mathbf{K}$ is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated May 29, 2019, executed by HCMS, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 400,000$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the ordinary course of business (the "Third HCMS Demand Note").
26. Attached as Exhibit $\mathbf{L}$ is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated June 26, 2019, executed by HCMS, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 150,000$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the ordinary course of business (the "Fourth HCMS Demand Note," and collectively with the First HCMS Demand Note, the Second HCMS Demand Note, and Third HCMS Demand Notes, the "HCMS Demand Notes").
27. Attached as Exhibit $\mathbf{M}$ is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated November 27, 2013, executed by HCRE, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 100,000$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the
ordinary course of business and that was provided to PwC in connection with its annual audits (the "First HCRE Demand Note").
28. Attached as Exhibit $\mathbf{N}$ is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated October 12, 2017, executed by HCRE, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 2,500,000$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the ordinary course of business and that was provided to PwC in connection with its annual audits (the "Second HCRE Demand Note").
29. Attached as Exhibit $\mathbf{O}$ is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated October 15,2018 , executed by HCRE, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 750,000$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the ordinary course of business and that was provided to PwC in connection with its annual audits (the "Third HCRE Demand Note").
30. Attached as Exhibit $\mathbf{P}$ is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated September 25, 2019, executed by HCRE, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 900,000$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the ordinary course of business (the "Fourth HCRE Demand Note," and collectively with the First HCRE Demand Note, the Second HCRE Demand Note, and Third HCRE Demand Notes, the "HCRE Demand Notes," and together with the Dondero Demand Notes and the HCMS Demand Notes, the "Demand Notes").
31. Attached as Exhibit $\mathbf{A}$ is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated May 31, 2017, executed by NexPoint, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 30,746,812.23$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the ordinary
course of business and that was provided to PwC in connection with its annual audits (the

## "NexPoint Note").

32. Attached as Exhibit $\mathbf{Q}$ is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated May 31, 2017, executed by HCMS, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 20,247,628.02$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the ordinary course of business and that was provided to PwC in connection with its annual audits (the "HCMS Term Note").
33. Attached as Exhibit $\mathbf{R}$ is a true and correct copy of a promissory note dated May 31, 2017, executed by HCRE, as the maker, in the original principal amount of $\$ 6,059,831.51$ in favor of Highland that was and is maintained in Highland's books and records in the ordinary course of business and that was provided to PwC in connection with its annual audits (the "HCRE Term Note," and together with the NexPoint Term Note and the HCMS Term Note, the "Term Notes").
34. As of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the First Dondero Note was $\$ 3,708,273.71$, and (b) as of December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the First Dondero Note was $\$ 3,808,783.89$.
35. As of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Second Dondero Note was $\$ 2,647,880.12$, and (b) as of December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Second Dondero Note was $\$ 2,727,300.55$.
36. As of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Third Dondero Note was $\$ 2,647,859.55$, and as of (b) December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Third Dondero Note was $\$ 2,727,280.61$.
37. Thus, (a) as of December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Dondero Notes was $\$ 9,004,013.07$, and (b) as of December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Dondero Notes was $\$ 9,263,365.05$.
38. As of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the First HCMFA Note was $\$ 2,493,401.61$, and (b) as of December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the First Dondero Note was $\$ 2,553,982.49$.
39. As of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Second HCMFA Note was $\$ 5,194,251.45$, and (b) as of December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Second HCMFA Note was $\$ 5,320,453.60$.
40. Thus, as of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the HCMFA Notes was $\$ 7,687,653.06$, and as of (b) December 17, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the HCMFA Notes was $\$ 7,874,436.09$.
41. As of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the First HCMS Demand Note was $\$ 162,033.91$, and as of (b) December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the First HCMS Demand Note was $\$ 166,777.82$.
42. As of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Second HCMS Demand Note was $\$ 215,402.81$, and as of (b) December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Second HCMS Demand Note was $\$ 222,082.34$.
43. As of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Third HCMS Demand Note was $\$ 414,842.81$, and as of (b) December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Third HCMS Demand Note was $\$ 424,922.32$.
44. As of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Fourth HCMS Demand Note was $\$ 155,239.90$, and as of (b) December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Fourth HCMS Demand Note was $\$ 158,980.33$.
45. Thus, as of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the HCMS Demand Notes was $\$ 947,519.43$, and as of (b) December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the HCMS Demand Notes was $\$ 972,762.81$.
46. As of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the First HCRE Demand Note was $\$ 171,978.10$, and as of (b) December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the First HCRE Demand Note was $\$ 185,979.85$.
47. As of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Second HCRE Demand Note was $\$ 3,191,342.72$, and as of (b) December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Second HCRE Demand Note was $\$ 3,380,385.47$.
48. As of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Third HCRE Demand Note was $\$ 885,908.76$, and as of (b) December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Third HCRE Demand Note was \$938,970.62.
49. As of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Fourth HCRE Demand Note was $\$ 762,941.38$, and as of (b) December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the Fourth HCRE Demand Note was $\$ 825,042.29$.
50. Thus, as of (a) December 11, 2020, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the HCRE Demand Notes was $\$ 5,012,170.96$, and as of (b) December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the HCRE Demand Notes was $\$ 5,330,378.23$.
51. As of (a) January 8, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the NexPoint Term Note was $\$ 24,471,804.98$, and as of (b) December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the NexPoint Term Note was $\$ 24,383,877.27 .{ }^{10}$
52. As of (a) January 8, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the HCMS Term Note was $\$ 6,758,507.81$, and as of (b) December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the HCMS Term Note was $\$ 6,748,456.31^{11}$.
53. As of (a) January 8, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the HCRE Term Note was $\$ 6,145,466.84$, and as of (b) December 17, 2021, the unpaid principal and accrued interest due under the HCRE Term Note was $\$ 5,899,962.22 .{ }^{12}$

I declare under penalty of perjury that the forgoing is true and correct.
Dated: December 17, 2021 $\qquad$
David Klos

[^20]
## EXHIBIT A

#  

## PROMISSORY NOTE

THIS PROMISSORY NOTE (this "Note") is in substitution for and supersedes in their entirety each of those certain promissory notes described in Exhibit A hereto, from NexPoint Advisors, L.P., as Maker, and Highland Capital Management, L.P. as Payee (collectively, the "Prior Notes"), together with the aggregate outstanding principal and accrued and unpaid interested represented thereby.

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P. ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of THIRTY MILLION, SEVEN HUNDRED FORTY SIX THOUSAND, EIGHT HUNDRED TWELVE AND 33/100 DOLLARS ( $\$ 30,746,812.33$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below. All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at the rate of six percent ( $6.00 \%$ ) per annum from the date hereof until Maturity Date (hereinafter defined), compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable annually.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. Principal and interest under this Note shall be payable as follows:
2.1 Annual Payment Dates. During the term of this Note, Borrower shall pay the outstanding principal amount of the Note (and all unpaid accrued interest through the date of each such payment) in thirty (30) equal annual payments (the "Annual Installment") until the Note is paid in full. Borrower shall pay the Annual Installment on the $31^{\text {st }}$ day of December of each calendar year during the term of this Note, commencing on the first such date to occur after the date of execution of this Note.
2.2 Final Payment Date. The final payment in the aggregate amount of the then outstanding and unpaid Note, together with all accrued and unpaid interest thereon, shall become immediately due and payable in full on December 31, 2047 (the "Maturity Date").
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same
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shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.
9. Prior Notes. The original of each of the Prior Notes superseded hereby shall be marked "VOID" by Payee.


## 

## EXHIBIT A

## PRIOR NOTES

| Loan Date | Initial Note <br> Amount | Interest Rate | Principal and Interest <br> Outstanding as <br> of May 31, 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $8 / 21 / 14$ | $\$ 4,000,000$ | $6.00 \%$ | $\$ 4,616,739.73$ |
| $10 / 1 / 14$ | $\$ 6,000,000$ | $6.00 \%$ | $\$ 6,959,671.23$ |
| $11 / 14 / 14$ | $\$ 2,500,000$ | $6.00 \%$ | $\$ 2,881,780.82$ |
| $1 / 29 / 15$ | $\$ 3,100,000$ | $6.00 \%$ | $\$ 3,534,679.45$ |
| $7 / 22 / 15$ | $\$ 12,075,000$ | $6.00 \%$ | $\$ 12,753,941.10$ |
|  | $\$ 27,675,000$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 3 0 , 7 4 6 , 8 1 2 . 3 3}$ |

## EXHIBIT B
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NPA \$30.7M

| Closing Date | $5 / 31 / 2017$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Commitment | $\$$ | $30,746,812$ |
| Rate | $6.000 \%$ |  |
| Maturity: | $12 / 31 / 2047$ |  |


| Date | Interest Accrual | Interest Paid | Accrued Interest | Beg Prin Bal | Principal Paid | Ending Prin Bal | Total Paid |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5/31/2017 |  |  |  |  |  | 30,746,812 |  |
| 6/30/2017 | 151,628.12 |  | 151,628.12 | 30,746,812.33 |  | 30,746,812.33 |  |
| 7/31/2017 | 156,682.39 |  | 308,310.50 | 30,746,812.33 |  | 30,746,812.33 |  |
| 8/31/2017 | 156,682.39 |  | 464,992.89 | 30,746,812.33 |  | 30,746,812.33 |  |
| 9/30/2017 | 151,628.12 |  | 616,621.00 | 30,746,812.33 |  | 30,746,812.33 |  |
| 10/20/2017 | 101,085.41 | (717,706.41) | - | 30,746,812.33 | $(82,293.59)$ | 30,664,518.74 | (800,000.00) |
| 10/31/2017 | 55,448.17 |  | 55,448.17 | 30,664,518.74 |  | 30,664,518.74 |  |
| 11/30/2017 | 151,222.28 |  | 206,670.46 | 30,664,518.74 |  | 30,664,518.74 |  |
| 12/5/2017 | 25,203.71 | $(358,904.83)$ | $(127,030.67)$ | 30,664,518.74 | $(942,600.16)$ | 29,721,918.58 | (1,301,504.99) |
| 12/31/2017 | 127,030.67 |  | (0.00) | 29,721,918.58 |  | 29,721,918.58 |  |
| 1/31/2018 | 151,459.64 |  | 151,459.64 | 29,721,918.58 |  | 29,721,918.58 |  |
| 2/28/2018 | 136,802.26 |  | 288,261.90 | 29,721,918.58 |  | 29,721,918.58 |  |
| 3/31/2018 | 151,459.64 |  | 439,721.54 | 29,721,918.58 |  | 29,721,918.58 |  |
| 4/10/2018 | 48,857.95 | (439,721.54) | 48,857.95 | 29,721,918.58 |  | 29,721,918.58 | $(439,721.54)$ |
| 4/30/2018 | 97,715.90 |  | 146,573.85 | 29,721,918.58 |  | 29,721,918.58 |  |
| 5/1/2018 | 4,885.79 | $(146,573.85)$ | 4,885.79 | 29,721,918.58 |  | 29,721,918.58 | $(146,573.85)$ |
| 5/9/2018 | 39,086.36 | (879,927.65) | $(835,955.50)$ | 29,721,918.58 |  | 29,721,918.58 | $(879,927.65)$ |
| 5/31/2018 | 107,487.49 |  | $(728,468.01)$ | 29,721,918.58 |  | 29,721,918.58 |  |
| 6/30/2018 | 146,573.85 |  | $(581,894.17)$ | 29,721,918.58 |  | 29,721,918.58 |  |
| 7/31/2018 | 151,459.64 |  | $(430,434.53)$ | 29,721,918.58 |  | 29,721,918.58 |  |
| 8/31/2018 | 151,459.64 |  | $(278,974.89)$ | 29,721,918.58 |  | 29,721,918.58 |  |
| 9/5/2018 | 24,428.97 |  | $(254,545.91)$ | 29,721,918.58 | (280,765.40) | 29,441,153.18 | $(280,765.40)$ |
| 9/21/2018 | 77,434.27 |  | $(177,111.65)$ | 29,441,153.18 | $(1,023,750.00)$ | 28,417,403.18 | (1,023,750.00) |
| 9/30/2018 | 42,042.19 |  | $(135,069.46)$ | 28,417,403.18 |  | 28,417,403.18 |  |
| 10/31/2018 | 144,811.97 |  | 9,742.51 | 28,417,403.18 |  | 28,417,403.18 |  |
| 11/30/2018 | 140,140.62 |  | 149,883.13 | 28,417,403.18 |  | 28,417,403.18 |  |
| 12/18/2018 | 84,084.37 | (294,695.10) | $(60,727.60)$ | 28,417,403.18 |  | 28,417,403.18 | $(294,695.10)$ |
| 12/31/2018 | 60,727.60 |  | (0.00) | 28,417,403.18 |  | 28,417,403.18 |  |
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| 1/31/2019 | 144,811.97 |  | 144,811.97 | 28,417,403.18 |  | 28,417,403.18 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/28/2019 | 130,797.91 |  | 275,609.88 | 28,417,403.18 |  | 28,417,403.18 |  |
| 3/29/2019 | 135,469.26 | $(411,079.15)$ | (0.00) | 28,417,403.18 | $(338,920.85)$ | 28,078,482.33 | (750,000.00) |
| 3/31/2019 | 9,231.28 |  | 9,231.28 | 28,078,482.33 |  | 28,078,482.33 |  |
| 4/16/2019 | 73,850.25 | (83,081.53) | 0.00 | 28,078,482.33 | (1,216,918.47) | 26,861,563.86 | (1,300,000.00) |
| 4/30/2019 | 61,818.39 |  | 61,818.40 | 26,861,563.86 |  | 26,861,563.86 |  |
| 5/31/2019 | 136,883.59 | $(198,701.98)$ | 0.00 | 26,861,563.86 | 198,701.98 | 27,060,265.84 | - |
| 6/4/2019 | 17,793.05 | $(17,793.05)$ | 0.00 | 27,060,265.84 | $(282,206.95)$ | 26,778,058.89 | $(300,000.00)$ |
| 6/19/2019 | 66,028.09 | $(66,028.10)$ | (0.00) | 26,778,058.89 | (2,033,971.90) | 24,744,086.99 | (2,100,000.00) |
| 6/30/2019 | 44,742.73 |  | 44,742.73 | 24,744,086.99 |  | 24,744,086.99 |  |
| 7/9/2019 | 36,607.69 | (81,350.42) | (0.00) | 24,744,086.99 | $(548,649.58)$ | 24,195,437.41 | (630,000.00) |
| 7/31/2019 | 87,501.31 |  | 87,501.31 | 24,195,437.41 |  | 24,195,437.41 |  |
| 8/13/2019 | 51,705.32 | $(139,206.62)$ | 0.00 | 24,195,437.41 | (1,160,793.38) | 23,034,644.03 | (1,300,000.00) |
| 8/31/2019 | 68,157.30 |  | 68,157.31 | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 |  |
| 9/30/2019 | 113,595.50 |  | 181,752.81 | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 |  |
| 10/15/2019 | 56,797.75 |  | 238,550.56 | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 |  |
| 10/31/2019 | 60,584.27 |  | 299,134.83 | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 |  |
| 11/30/2019 | 113,595.50 |  | 412,730.34 | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 |  |
| 12/30/2019 | 113,595.50 | -530,112.36 | $(3,786.52)$ | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 | $(530,112.36)$ |
| 12/31/2019 | 3,786.52 |  | 0.00 | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 |  |
| 1/31/2020 | 117,382.02 |  | 117,382.02 | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 |  |
| 2/29/2020 | 109,808.99 |  | 227,191.01 | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 |  |
| 3/31/2020 | 117,382.02 |  | 344,573.03 | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 |  |
| 4/30/2020 | 113,595.50 |  | 458,168.54 | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 |  |
| 5/31/2020 | 117,382.02 | $(575,550.56)$ | (0.00) | 23,034,644.03 | 575,550.56 | 23,610,194.59 |  |
| 6/30/2020 | 116,433.84 |  | 116,433.83 | 23,610,194.59 |  | 23,610,194.59 |  |
| 7/31/2020 | 120,314.96 |  | 236,748.80 | 23,610,194.59 |  | 23,610,194.59 |  |
| 8/31/2020 | 120,314.96 |  | 357,063.76 | 23,610,194.59 |  | 23,610,194.59 |  |
| 9/30/2020 | 116,433.84 |  | 473,497.60 | 23,610,194.59 |  | 23,610,194.59 |  |
| 10/31/2020 | 120,314.96 |  | 593,812.56 | 23,610,194.59 |  | 23,610,194.59 |  |
| 11/30/2020 | 116,433.84 |  | 710,246.40 | 23,610,194.59 |  | 23,610,194.59 |  |
| 12/31/2020 | 120,314.96 |  | 830,561.36 | 23,610,194.59 |  | 23,610,194.59 |  |
| 1/14/2021 | 54,335.79 | $(830,561.36)$ | 54,335.79 | 23,610,194.59 | $(575,550.56)$ | 23,034,644.03 | $(1,406,111.92)$ |
| 1/31/2021 | 64,370.79 |  | 118,706.58 | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 |  |
| 2/28/2021 | 106,022.47 |  | 224,729.05 | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 |  |
| 3/31/2021 | 117,382.02 |  | 342,111.07 | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 |  |
| 4/30/2021 | 113,595.50 |  | 455,706.58 | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 |  |
| 5/31/2021 | 117,382.02 |  | 573,088.60 | 23,034,644.03 |  | 23,034,644.03 |  |
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| $6 / 30 / 2021$ | $113,595.50$ | $686,684.10$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7 / 31 / 2021$ | $117,382.02$ | $804,066.13$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2021$ | $117,382.02$ | $921,448.15$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2021$ | $113,595.50$ | $1,035,043.65$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2021$ | $117,382.02$ | $1,152,425.67$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2021$ | $113,595.50$ | $1,266,021.18$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2021$ | $117,382.02$ | $1,383,403.20$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2022$ | $117,382.02$ | $1,500,785.22$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2022$ | $106,022.47$ | $1,606,807.69$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2022$ | $117,382.02$ | $1,724,189.72$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2022$ | $113,595.50$ | $1,837,785.22$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2022$ | $117,382.02$ | $1,955,167.24$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2022$ | $113,595.50$ | $2,068,762.75$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2022$ | $117,382.02$ | $2,186,144.77$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2022$ | $117,382.02$ | $2,303,526.79$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2022$ | $113,595.50$ | $2,417,122.29$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2022$ | $117,382.02$ | $2,534,504.32$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2022$ | $113,595.50$ | $2,648,099.82$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2022$ | $117,382.02$ | $2,765,481.84$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2023$ | $117,382.02$ | $2,882,863.86$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2023$ | $10,, 022.47$ | $2,988,886.34$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2023$ | $117,382.02$ | $3,106,268.36$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2023$ | $113,595.50$ | $3,219,863.86$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2023$ | $117,382.02$ | $3,337,245.88$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2023$ | $113,595.50$ | $3,450,841.39$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2023$ | $117,382.02$ | $3,568,223.41$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2023$ | $117,382.02$ | $3,685,605.43$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2023$ | $113,595.50$ | $3,799,200.94$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2023$ | $117,382.02$ | $3,916,582.96$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2023$ | $113,595.50$ | $4,030,178.46$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2023$ | $117,382.02$ | $4,147,560.48$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2024$ | $117,382.02$ | $4,264,942.51$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 29 / 2024$ | $109,808.99$ | $4,374,751.49$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2024$ | $117,382.02$ | $4,492,133.52$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2024$ | $113,595.50$ | $4,605,729.02$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2024$ | $117,382.02$ | $4,723,111.04$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2024$ | $113,595.50$ | $4,836,706.55$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2024$ | $117,382.02$ | $4,954,088.57$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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| $8 / 31 / 2024$ | $117,382.02$ | $5,071,470.59$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $9 / 30 / 2024$ | $113,595.50$ | $5,185,066.10$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2024$ | $117,382.02$ | $5,302,448.12$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2024$ | $113,595.50$ | $5,416,043.62$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2024$ | $117,382.02$ | $5,533,425.64$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2025$ | $117,382.02$ | $5,650,807.67$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2025$ | $106,022.47$ | $5,756,830.14$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2025$ | $117,382.02$ | $5,874,212.16$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2025$ | $113,595.50$ | $5,987,807.66$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2025$ | $117,382.02$ | $6,105,189.68$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2025$ | $113,595.50$ | $6,218,785.19$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2025$ | $117,382.02$ | $6,336,167.21$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2025$ | $117,382.02$ | $6,453,549.23$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2025$ | $113,595.50$ | $6,567,144.74$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2025$ | $117,382.02$ | $6,684,526.76$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2025$ | $113,595.50$ | $6,798,122.26$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2025$ | $117,382.02$ | $6,915,504.29$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2026$ | $117,382.02$ | $7,032,886.31$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2026$ | $106,022.47$ | $7,138,908.78$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2026$ | $117,382.02$ | $7,256,290.80$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2026$ | $113,595.50$ | $7,369,886.31$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2026$ | $117,382.02$ | $7,487,268.33$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2026$ | $113,595.50$ | $7,600,863.83$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2026$ | $117,382.02$ | $7,718,245.85$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2026$ | $117,382.02$ | $7,835,627.87$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2026$ | $113,595.50$ | $7,949,223.38$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2026$ | $117,382.02$ | $8,066,605.40$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2026$ | $113,595.50$ | $8,180,200.91$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2026$ | $117,382.02$ | $8,297,582.93$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2027$ | $117,382.02$ | $8,414,964.95$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2027$ | $106,022.47$ | $8,520,987.42$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2027$ | $117,382.02$ | $8,638,369.44$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2027$ | $113,595.50$ | $8,751,964.95$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2027$ | $117,382.02$ | $8,869,346.97$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2027$ | $113,595.50$ | $8,982,942.47$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2027$ | $117,382.02$ | $9,100,324.50$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2027$ | $117,382.02$ | $9,217,706.52$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2027$ | $113,595.50$ | $9,331,302.02$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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| $10 / 31 / 2027$ | $117,382.02$ | $9,448,684.04$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $11 / 30 / 2027$ | $113,595.50$ | $9,562,279.55$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2027$ | $117,382.02$ | $9,679,661.57$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2028$ | $117,382.02$ | $9,797,043.59$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 29 / 2028$ | $109,808.99$ | $9,906,852.58$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2028$ | $117,382.02$ | $10,024,234.60$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2028$ | $113,595.50$ | $10,137,830.11$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2028$ | $117,382.02$ | $10,255,212.13$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2028$ | $113,595.50$ | $10,368,807.63$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2028$ | $117,382.02$ | $10,486,189.65$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2028$ | $117,382.02$ | $10,603,571.68$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2028$ | $113,595.50$ | $10,717,167.18$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2028$ | $117,382.02$ | $10,834,549.20$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2028$ | $113,595.50$ | $10,948,144.71$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2028$ | $117,382.02$ | $11,065,526.73$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2029$ | $117,382.02$ | $11,182,908.75$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2029$ | $106,022.47$ | $11,288,931.22$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2029$ | $117,382.02$ | $11,406,313.24$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2029$ | $113,595.50$ | $11,519,908.75$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2029$ | $117,382.02$ | $11,637,290.77$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2029$ | $113,595.50$ | $11,750,886.27$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2029$ | $117,382.02$ | $11,868,268.30$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2029$ | $117,382.02$ | $11,985,650.32$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2029$ | $113,595.50$ | $12,099,245.82$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2029$ | $117,382.02$ | $12,216,627.84$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2029$ | $113,595.50$ | $12,330,223.35$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2029$ | $117,382.02$ | $12,447,605.37$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2030$ | $117,382.02$ | $12,564,987.39$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2030$ | $106,022.47$ | $12,671,009.86$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2030$ | $117,382.02$ | $12,788,391.89$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2030$ | $113,595.50$ | $12,901,987.39$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2030$ | $117,382.02$ | $13,019,369.41$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2030$ | $113,595.50$ | $13,132,964.92$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2030$ | $117,382.02$ | $13,250,346.94$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2030$ | $117,382.02$ | $11,367,728.96$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2030$ | $113,595.50$ | $13,481,324.46$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2030$ | $117,382.02$ | $13,598,706.49$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2030$ | $113,595.50$ | $13,712,301.99$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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| $12 / 31 / 2030$ | $117,382.02$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $1 / 31 / 2031$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2031$ | $106,022.47$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2031$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2031$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2031$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2031$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2031$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2031$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2031$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2031$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2031$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2031$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2032$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $2 / 29 / 2032$ | $109,808.99$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2032$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2032$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2032$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2032$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2032$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2032$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2032$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2032$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2032$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2032$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2033$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2033$ | $106,022.47$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2033$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2033$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2033$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2033$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2033$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2033$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2033$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2033$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2033$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2033$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2034$ | $117,382.02$ |
|  |  |


| $13,829,684.01$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $13,947,066.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $14,053,088.51$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $14,170,470.53$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $14,284,066.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $14,401,448.05$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $14,515,043.56$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $14,632,425.58$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $14,749,807.60$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $14,863,403.11$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $14,980,785.13$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $15,094,380.63$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $15,211,762.65$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $15,329,144.68$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $15,438,953.66$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $15,556,335.69$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $15,669,931.19$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $15,787,313.21$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $15,900,908.72$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $16,018,290.74$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $16,135,672.76$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $16,249,268.27$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $16,366,650.29$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $16,480,245.79$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $16,597,627.81$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $16,715,009.84$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $16,821,032.31$ | $23,034,6444.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $16,938,414.33$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $17,052,009.83$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $17,169,391.85$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $17,282,987.36$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $17,400,369.38$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $17,517,751.40$ | $23,034,6444.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $17,631,346.91$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $17,748,728.93$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $17,862,324.43$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $17,979,706.46$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $18,097,088.48$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
|  |  |  |
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| $2 / 28 / 2034$ | $106,022.47$ | $18,203,110.95$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $3 / 31 / 2034$ | $117,382.02$ | $18,320,492.97$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2034$ | $113,595.50$ | $18,434,088.47$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2034$ | $117,382.02$ | $18,551,470.50$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2034$ | $113,595.50$ | $18,665,066.00$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2034$ | $117,382.02$ | $18,782,448.02$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2034$ | $117,382.02$ | $18,899,830.04$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2034$ | $113,595.50$ | $19,013,425.55$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2034$ | $117,382.02$ | $19,130,807.57$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2034$ | $113,595.50$ | $19,244,403.08$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2034$ | $117,382.02$ | $19,361,785.10$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2035$ | $117,382.02$ | $19,479,167.12$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2035$ | $106,022.47$ | $19,585,189.59$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2035$ | $117,382.02$ | $19,702,571.61$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2035$ | $113,595.50$ | $19,816,167.12$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2035$ | $117,382.02$ | $19,933,549.14$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2035$ | $113,595.50$ | $20,047,144.64$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2035$ | $117,382.02$ | $20,164,526.67$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2035$ | $117,382.02$ | $20,281,908.69$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2035$ | $113,595.50$ | $20,395,504.19$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2035$ | $117,382.02$ | $20,512,886.21$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2035$ | $113,595.50$ | $20,626,481.72$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2035$ | $117,382.02$ | $20,743,863.74$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2036$ | $117,382.02$ | $20,861,245.76$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 29 / 2036$ | $109,808.99$ | $20,971,054.75$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2036$ | $117,382.02$ | $21,088,436.77$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2036$ | $113,595.50$ | $21,202,032.28$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2036$ | $117,382.02$ | $21,319,414.30$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2036$ | $113,595.50$ | $21,433,009.80$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2036$ | $117,382.02$ | $21,550,391.82$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2036$ | $117,382.02$ | $21,667,773.85$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2036$ | $113,595.50$ | $21,781,369.35$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2036$ | $117,382.02$ | $21,898,751.37$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2036$ | $113,595.50$ | $22,012,346.88$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2036$ | $117,382.02$ | $22,129,728.90$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2037$ | $117,382.02$ | $22,247,110.92$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2037$ | $106,022.47$ | $22,353,133.39$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2037$ | $117,382.02$ | $22,470,515.41$ | $23,034,644.03$ | 23,03 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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| $4 / 30 / 2037$ | $113,595.50$ | $22,584,110.92$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5 / 31 / 2037$ | $117,382.02$ | $22,701,492.94$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2037$ | $113,595.50$ | $22,815,088.44$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2037$ | $117,382.02$ | $22,932,470.47$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2037$ | $117,382.02$ | $23,049,852.49$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2037$ | $113,595.50$ | $23,163,447.99$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2037$ | $117,382.02$ | $23,280,830.01$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2037$ | $113,595.50$ | $23,394,425.52$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2037$ | $117,382.02$ | $23,511,807.54$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2038$ | $117,382.02$ | $23,629,189.56$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2038$ | $106,022.47$ | $23,735,212.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2038$ | $117,382.02$ | $23,852,594.06$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2038$ | $113,595.50$ | $23,966,189.56$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2038$ | $117,382.02$ | $24,083,571.58$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2038$ | $113,595.50$ | $24,197,167.09$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2038$ | $117,382.02$ | $24,314,549.11$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2038$ | $117,382.02$ | $24,431,931.13$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2038$ | $113,595.50$ | $24,545,526.63$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2038$ | $117,382.02$ | $24,662,908.66$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2038$ | $113,595.50$ | $24,776,504.16$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2038$ | $117,382.02$ | $24,893,886.18$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2039$ | $117,382.02$ | $25,011,268.20$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2039$ | $106,022.47$ | $25,117,290.68$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2039$ | $117,382.02$ | $25,234,672.70$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2039$ | $113,595.50$ | $25,348,268.20$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2039$ | $117,382.02$ | $25,465,650.22$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2039$ | $113,595.50$ | $25,579,245.73$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2039$ | $117,382.02$ | $25,696,627.75$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2039$ | $117,382.02$ | $25,814,009.77$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2039$ | $113,595.50$ | $25,927,605.28$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2039$ | $117,382.02$ | $26,044,987.30$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2039$ | $113,595.50$ | $26,158,582.80$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2039$ | $117,382.02$ | $26,275,964.82$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2040$ | $117,382.02$ | $26,393,346.85$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 29 / 2040$ | $109,808.99$ | $26,503,155.83$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2040$ | $117,382.02$ | $26,620,537.86$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2040$ | $113,595.50$ | $26,734,133.36$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2040$ | $117,382.02$ | $26,851,515.38$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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| $6 / 30 / 2040$ | $113,595.50$ | $26,965,110.89$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $7 / 31 / 2040$ | $117,382.02$ | $27,082,492.91$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2040$ | $117,382.02$ | $27,199,874.93$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2040$ | $113,595.50$ | $27,313,470.44$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2040$ | $117,382.02$ | $27,430,852.46$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2040$ | $113,595.50$ | $27,544,447.96$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2040$ | $117,382.02$ | $27,661,829.98$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2041$ | $117,382.02$ | $27,779,212.01$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2041$ | $106,022.47$ | $27,885,234.48$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2041$ | $117,382.02$ | $28,002,616.50$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2041$ | $113,595.50$ | $28,116,212.00$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2041$ | $117,382.02$ | $28,233,594.02$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2041$ | $113,595.50$ | $28,347,189.53$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2041$ | $117,382.02$ | $28,464,571.55$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2041$ | $117,382.02$ | $28,581,953.57$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2041$ | $113,595.50$ | $28,695,549.08$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2041$ | $117,382.02$ | $28,812,931.10$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2041$ | $113,595.50$ | $28,926,526.60$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2041$ | $117,382.02$ | $29,043,908.63$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2042$ | $117,382.02$ | $29,161,290.65$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2042$ | $106,022.47$ | $29,267,313.12$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2042$ | $117,382.02$ | $29,384,695.14$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2042$ | $113,595.50$ | $29,498,290.64$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2042$ | $117,382.02$ | $29,615,672.67$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2042$ | $113,595.50$ | $29,729,268.17$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2042$ | $117,382.02$ | $29,846,650.19$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2042$ | $117,382.02$ | $29,964,032.21$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2042$ | $113,595.50$ | $30,077,627.72$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2042$ | $117,382.02$ | $30,195,009.74$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2042$ | $113,595.50$ | $30,308,605.25$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2042$ | $117,382.02$ | $30,425,987.27$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2043$ | $117,382.02$ | $30,543,369.29$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2043$ | $106,022.47$ | $30,649,391.76$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2043$ | $117,382.02$ | $30,766,773.78$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2043$ | $113,595.50$ | $30,880,369.29$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2043$ | $117,382.02$ | $30,997,751.31$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2043$ | $113,595.50$ | $31,111,346.81$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2043$ | $117,382.02$ | $31,228,728.84$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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| $8 / 31 / 2043$ | $117,382.02$ | $31,346,110.86$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $9 / 30 / 2043$ | $113,595.50$ | $31,459,706.36$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2043$ | $117,382.02$ | $31,577,088.38$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2043$ | $113,595.50$ | $31,690,683.89$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2043$ | $117,382.02$ | $31,808,065.91$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2044$ | $117,382.02$ | $31,925,447.93$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 29 / 2044$ | $109,808.99$ | $32,035,256.92$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2044$ | $117,382.02$ | $32,152,638.94$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2044$ | $113,595.50$ | $32,266,234.45$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2044$ | $117,382.02$ | $32,383,616.47$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2044$ | $113,595.50$ | $32,497,211.97$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2044$ | $117,382.02$ | $32,614,593.99$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2044$ | $117,382.02$ | $32,731,976.02$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2044$ | $113,595.50$ | $32,845,571.52$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2044$ | $117,382.02$ | $32,962,953.54$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2044$ | $113,595.50$ | $33,076,549.05$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2044$ | $117,382.02$ | $33,193,931.07$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2045$ | $117,382.02$ | $33,311,313.09$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2045$ | $106,022.47$ | $33,417,335.56$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2045$ | $117,382.02$ | $33,534,717.58$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2045$ | $113,595.50$ | $33,648,313.09$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2045$ | $117,382.02$ | $33,765,695.11$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2045$ | $113,595.50$ | $33,879,290.61$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2045$ | $117,382.02$ | $33,996,672.64$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2045$ | $117,382.02$ | $34,114,054.66$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2045$ | $113,595.50$ | $34,227,650.16$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2045$ | $117,382.02$ | $34,345,032.18$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $11 / 30 / 2045$ | $113,595.50$ | $34,458,627.69$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2045$ | $117,382.02$ | $34,576,009.71$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2046$ | $117,382.02$ | $34,693,391.73$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2046$ | $106,022.47$ | $34,799,414.20$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2046$ | $117,382.02$ | $34,916,796.23$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2046$ | $113,595.50$ | $35,030,391.73$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2046$ | $117,382.02$ | $35,147,773.75$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2046$ | $113,595.50$ | $35,261,369.26$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2046$ | $117,382.02$ | $35,378,751.28$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2046$ | $117,382.02$ | $35,496,133.30$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2046$ | $113,595.50$ | $35,609,728.80$ | $23,034,644.03$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
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| $10 / 31 / 2046$ | $117,382.02$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $11 / 30 / 2046$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $12 / 31 / 2046$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $1 / 31 / 2047$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $2 / 28 / 2047$ | $106,022.47$ |
| $3 / 31 / 2047$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $4 / 30 / 2047$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $5 / 31 / 2047$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $6 / 30 / 2047$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $7 / 31 / 2047$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $8 / 31 / 2047$ | $117,382.02$ |
| $9 / 30 / 2047$ | $113,595.50$ |
| $10 / 31 / 2047$ | $117,382.02$ |


| $35,727,110.83$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $35,840,706.33$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $35,958,088.35$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $36,075,470.37$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $36,181,492.85$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $36,298,874.87$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $36,412,470.37$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $36,529,852.39$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $36,643,447.90$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $36,760,829.92$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $36,878,211.94$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $36,991,807.45$ | $23,034,644.03$ |
| $37,109,189.47$ | $23,034,644.03$ |

23,034,644.03
23,034,644.03
23,034,644.03
23,034,644.03
23,034,644.03
23,034,644.03
23,034,644.03
23,034,644.03
23,034,644.03
23,034,644.03
23,034,644.03
23,034,644.03
23,034,644.03
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Highland Capital Management, L.P. - Cash
Next 13 Weeks Commencing Deeember 14, 2020
tinthousunds.



## EXHIBIT D

## PROMISSORY NOTE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, JAMES DONDERO ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHILAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LP ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of THREE MILLION, EIGHT HUNDRED AND TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND and 00/100 Dollars ( $\$ 3,825,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the long-term "applicable federal rate" ( $2.66 \%$ ) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code; per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand of the Payee.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Tax Loan. This Note is paid to the Maker to help satisfy any current tax obligations of a former paitner or current partner.
5. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrited, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
6. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
7. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an ationey for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other

## 

amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
8. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
9. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.


## EXHIBIT E

## PROMISSORY NOTE

August 1,2018

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, JAMES DONDERO ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LP ("Payee"), in legal and lawfut tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of TWO MILLION, FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND and $00 / 100$ Dollars $(\$ 2,500,000.00)$, together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201 , or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the long-term "applicable federal rate" ( $2.95 \%$ ) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accurued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demandi of the Payee.
3. Prepayment Allowed: Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attomeys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.

## 

7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.


## PROMISSORY NOTE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, JAMES DONDERO ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT LP ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of TWO MILLION, FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND and $00 / 100$ Dollars ( $\$ 2,500,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201 , or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the long-term "applicable federal rate" ( $2.95 \%$ ) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand of the Payee.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any; and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptey court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
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7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.


## EXHIBIT G

## PROMISSORY NOTE

\$2,400,000.00
May 2, 2019

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, LP. ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of TWO MILLION FOUR HUNDRED THOUSAND and 00/100 Dollars ( $\$ 2,400,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the short-term "applicable federal rate" ( $2.39 \%$ ) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.

## 

7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.

MAKER:


FRANK WATERHOUSE

## EXHIBIT H

## PROMISSORY NOTE

$\$ 5,000,000.00$
May 3, 2019

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, LP. ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of FIVE MILLION and 00/100 Dollars ( $\$ 5,000,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the short-term "applicable federal rate" ( $2.39 \%$ ) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.

## 

7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.

MAKER:


FRANK WATERHOUSE

## EXHIBIT I

## PROMISSORY NOTE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP. ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY THOUSAND and $00 / 100$ Dollars ( $\$ 150,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate: The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the long-term "applicable federal rate" ( $2.88 \%$ ) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the ainiversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand of the Payee.
3. Prepayment Allowed: Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof, No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver: Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.


## EXHIBIT J

## PROMISSORY NOTE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC: ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LIP. ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United Stattes of America, the principal sum of TWO HUNDRED THOUSAND and 00/100 Dollars ( $\$ 200,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the long-term "applicable federal rate" ( $3.05 \%$ ) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand of the Payee.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default, Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due aṇd payable and subject to those remedies of the holder liereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof,
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attomeys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.


## EXHIBIT K

#  

## PROMISSORY NOTE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of FOUR HUNDRED THOUSAND and $00 / 100$ Dollars ( $\$ 400,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the short-term "applicable federal rate" ( $2.39 \%$ ) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
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7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.

MAKER:


FRANK WATERHOUSE

## EXHIBIT L

#  

## PROMISSORY NOTE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of ONE HUNDRED AND FIFTY THOUSAND and $00 / 100$ Dollars ( $\$ 150,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to the short-term "applicable federal rate" ( $2.37 \%$ ) in effect on the date hereof for loans of such maturity as determined by Section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code, per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.

## 

7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.

MAKER:


FRANK WATERHOUSE

## EXHIBIT M

#  

## PROMISSORY NOTE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HCRE PARTNERS, LLC ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP. ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of ONE HUNDRED THOUSAND and 00/100 Dollars ( $\$ 100,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to $8.00 \%$ per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand of the Payee.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or

## 

performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.


## EXHIBIT N

## PROMISSORY NOTE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HCRE PARTNERS, LLC ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP. ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of TWO MILLION, FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND and 00/100 Dollars $(\$ 2,500,000.00)$, together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201 , or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to $8.00 \%$ per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note, Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand of the Payee,
3. Prepayment Allowed: Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accried interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Faiiure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attomeys ${ }^{\text {² }}$ Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or
performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.


## EXHIBIT 0

#  

## PROMISSORY NOTE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HCRE PARTNERS, LLC ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP. ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of SEVEN HUNDRED FIFTY THOUSAND and $00 / 100$ Dollars ( $\$ 750,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to $8.00 \%$ per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand of the Payee.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or

## 

performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.


EXHIBIT P

#  

## PROMISSORY NOTE

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HCRE PARTNERS, LLC ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, LP. ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of NINE HUNDRED THOUSAND and 00/100 Dollars ( $\$ 900,000.00$ ), together with interest, on the terms set forth below (the "Note"). All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Dallas, TX 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at a rate equal to $8.00 \%$ per annum from the date hereof until maturity, compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable on demand of the Payee.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. The accrued interest and principal of this Note shall be due and payable on demand of the Payee.
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or

## 

performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.


## EXHIBIT Q

#  

## PROMISSORY NOTE

May 31, 2017
THIS PROMISSORY NOTE (this "Note") is in substitution for and supersedes in their entirety each of those certain promissory notes described in Exhibit A hereto, from Highland Capital Management Services, Inc., as Maker, and Highland Capital Management, L.P. as Payee (collectively, the "Prior Notes"), together with the aggregate outstanding principal and accrued and unpaid interested represented thereby.

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC. ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P. ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of TWENTY MILLION, TWO HUNDRED FORTY SEVEN THOUSAND, SIX HUNDRED TWENTY EIGHT AND 02/100 DOLLARS (\$20,247,628.02), together with interest, on the terms set forth below. All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75201 , or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at the rate of two and seventy-five hundredths percent (2.75\%) per annum from the date hereof until Maturity Date (hereinafter defined), compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th $(1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable annually.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. Principal and interest under this Note shall be payable as follows:
2.1 Annual Payment Dates. During the term of this Note, Borrower shall pay the outstanding principal amount of the Note (and all unpaid accrued interest through the date of each such payment) in thirty (30) equal annual payments (the "Annual Installment") until the Note is paid in full. Borrower shall pay the Annual Installment on the $31^{\text {st }}$ day of December of each calendar year during the term of this Note, commencing on the first such date to occur after the date of execution of this Note.
2.2 Final Payment Date. The final payment in the aggregate amount of the then outstanding and unpaid Note, together with all accrued and unpaid interest thereon, shall become immediately due and payable in full on December 31, 2047 (the "Maturity Date").
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No
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failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.
9. Prior Notes. The original of each of the Prior Notes superseded hereby shall be marked "VOID" by Payee.

## MAKER:




## EXHIBIT A

PRIOR NOTES

| Loan Date | Initial Note <br> Amount | Interest Rate | Principal and Interest <br> Outstanding as <br> of May 31, 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 / 29 / 15$ | $\$ 500,000$ | $2.30 \%$ | $\$ 523,095$ |
| $10 / 1 / 15$ | $\$ 350,000$ | $2.58 \%$ | $\$ 315,500$ |
| $10 / 2 / 15$ | $\$ 310,000$ | $2.58 \%$ | $\$ 323,301$ |
| $10 / 27 / 15$ | $\$ 200,000$ | $2.58 \%$ | $\$ 208,228$ |
| $10 / 28 / 15$ | $\$ 200,000$ | $2.58 \%$ | $\$ 208,214$ |
| $10 / 30 / 15$ | $\$ 100,000$ | $2.58 \%$ | $\$ 104,093$ |
| $11 / 23 / 15$ | $\$ 100,000$ | $2.57 \%$ | $\$ 103,908$ |
| $11 / 24 / 15$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $2.57 \%$ | $\$ 259,752$ |
| $2 / 10 / 16$ | $\$ 2,000,000$ | $2.62 \%$ | $\$ 83,390$ |
| $2 / 11 / 16$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $2.62 \%$ | $\$ 258,524$ |
| $4 / 5 / 16$ | $\$ 6,000,000$ | $2.25 \%$ | $\$ 6,155,712$ |
| $5 / 4 / 16$ | $\$ 2,700,000$ | $2.24 \%$ | $\$ 2,764,954$ |
| $7 / 1 / 16$ | $\$ 30,000$ | $2.18 \%$ | $\$ 30,598$ |
| $8 / 5 / 16$ | $\$ 525,000$ | $2.18 \%$ | $\$ 534,375$ |
| $8 / 22 / 16$ | $\$ 250,000$ | $2.18 \%$ | $\$ 254,465$ |
| $9 / 22 / 16$ | $\$ 185,000$ | $2.18 \%$ | $\$ 187,773$ |
| $12 / 12 / 16$ | $\$ 7,700,000$ | $2.26 \%$ | $\$ 7,781,050$ |
| $3 / 31 / 17$ | $\$ 150,000$ | $2.78 \%$ | $\$ 150,697$ |
|  | $\$ 21,800,000$ |  | $\$ 20,247,628.02$ |

## EXHIBIT R

# Case 21-03004-sgij Doc 93 File 

## PROMISSORY NOTE

\$6,059,831.51
May 31, 2017
THIS PROMISSORY NOTE (this "Note") is in substitution for and supersedes in their entirety each of those certain promissory notes described in Exhibit A hereto, from HCRE Partners, LLC, as Maker, and Highland Capital Management, L.P. as Payee (collectively, the "Prior Notes"), together with the aggregate outstanding principal and accrued and unpaid interested represented thereby.

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, HCREA PARTNERS, LLC ("Maker") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P. ("Payee"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of SIX MILLION, FIFTY NINE THOUSAND, EIGHT HUNDRED THIRTY ONE AND 51/100 DOLLARS (\$6,059,831.51), together with interest, on the terms set forth below. All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. Interest Rate. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at the rate of eight percent $(8.00 \%)$ per annum from the date hereof until Maturity Date (hereinafter defined), compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to $1 / 365$ th ( $1 / 366$ in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable annually.
2. Payment of Principal and Interest. Principal and interest under this Note shall be payable as follows:
2.1 Annual Payment Dates. During the term of this Note, Borrower shall pay the outstanding principal amount of the Note (and all unpaid accrued interest through the date of each such payment) in thirty (30) equal annual payments (the "Annual Installment") until the Note is paid in full. Borrower shall pay the Annual Installment on the $31^{\text {st }}$ day of December of each calendar year during the term of this Note, commencing on the first such date to occur after the date of execution of this Note.
2.2 Final Payment Date. The final payment in the aggregate amount of the then outstanding and unpaid Note, together with all accrued and unpaid interest thereon, shall become immediately due and payable in full on December 31, 2047 (the "Maturity Date").
3. Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.
4. Acceleration Upon Default. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same

## 

shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.
5. Waiver. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.
6. Attorneys' Fees. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.
7. Limitation on Agreements. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.
8. Governing Law. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.
9. Prior Notes. The original of each of the Prior Notes superseded hereby shall be marked "VOID" by Payee.

MAKER:


Name: James Dondero
Title:

## 

## EXHIBIT A

## PRIOR NOTES

| Loan Date | Initial Note <br> Amount | Interest Rate | Principal and Interest <br> Outstanding as <br> of May 31, 2017 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 9 / 14$ | $\$ 100,000.00$ | $8.00 \%$ | $\$ 108,000.00$ |
| $1 / 29 / 14$ | $\$ 600,000.00$ | $8.00 \%$ | $\$ 648,000.00$ |
| $3 / 10 / 14$ | $\$ 2,000,000.00$ | $8.00 \%$ | $\$ 2,009,643.84$ |
| $3 / 28 / 14$ | $\$ 50,000.00$ | $8.00 \%$ | $\$ 54,000.00$ |
| $1 / 26 / 15$ | $\$ 1,500,000.00$ | $8.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,545,356.16$ |
| $4 / 2 / 15$ | $\$ 1,500,000.00$ | $8.00 \%$ | $\$ 1,545,356$ |
|  | $\mathbf{\$ 5 , 7 5 0 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{\$ 6 , 0 5 9 , 8 3 1 . 5 1}$ |

## EXHIBIT 211

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL \& JONES LLP
Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) (admitted pro hac vice)
Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084) (admitted pro hac vice)
John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397) (admitted pro hac vice)
Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (admitted pro hac vice)
Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (admitted pro hac vice)
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 277-6910
Facsimile: (310) 201-0760
HAYWARD PLLC
Melissa S. Hayward (Texas Bar No. 24044908)
Zachery Z. Annable (Texas Bar No. 24053075)
10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106
Dallas, TX 75231
Tel: (972) 755-7100
Fax: (972) 755-7110
Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT <br> FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

| In re: | § | Chapter 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., ${ }^{1}$ | § | Case No. 19-34054-sgj11 |
| Reorganized Debtor. | § |  |
| HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., | § |  |
| Plaintiff, | § | Adversary Proceeding No. 21-3004-sgj |
| vs. | § |  |
| HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, L.P., | § |  |
|  | § |  |
| Defendant. | § |  |
|  | § |  |
|  | § |  |

[^21]
# DECLARATION OF HAYLEY R. WINOGRAD IN SUPPORT OF HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.'S OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S SECOND MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND ANSWER 

I, Hayley R. Winograd, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 and under penalty of perjury, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney in the law firm of Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl \& Jones LLP, counsel to the above-referenced Reorganized Debtor, and I submit this Declaration in support of Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Opposition to Defendant's Second Motion for Leave to Amend Answer (the "Opposition") being filed concurrently with this Declaration. I submit this Declaration based on my personal knowledge and review of the documents listed below.
2. Attached as Exhibit $\mathbf{A}$ is a true and correct copy of communications from Highland's counsel to HCMFA's counsel on June 25, 2021, producing the May 2, 2019 e-mail.
3. Attached as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of communications from Highland's counsel to HCMFA's counsel on July 2, 2021, producing Word versions of the HCMFA Notes.

Dated: December 30, 2021.
/s/ Hayley R. Winograd
Hayley R. Winograd

From: Hayley R. Winograd
Sent: Friday, June 25, 2021 8:15 PM
To: 'Rukavina, Davor' [drukavina@munsch.com](mailto:drukavina@munsch.com); Vasek, Julian [jvasek@munsch.com](mailto:jvasek@munsch.com)
Cc: John A. Morris [jmorris@pszjlaw.com](mailto:jmorris@pszjlaw.com)
Subject: Debtor's Production to 1st Set of Discovery - Adv. Proc. 21-3004

Counsel,

Below please find the link and password to the production of documents responsive to HCMFA's First Set of Discovery to Plaintiff, at bates numbers: D-HCMFA000001 - D-HCMFA095954.
https://app.everlaw.com/14261/dl/uHZHMIY9wvBBGN7oRKLI42IJv5aGxY0p8OIpH03HhbUS
Password: s4qCG3ppz2DX

Thanks,
Hayley

Hayley R. Winograd
Pachulski Stang Ziehl \& Jones LLP
Tel: 212.561.7700 | Fax: 212.561.7777
hwinograd@pszjlaw.com

## PACHULSKI <br> STANG <br> ZIEHL JONES

## Attachments:

HCMFA 7_2_21 Production (2).zip

From: Hayley R. Winograd
Sent: Friday, July 2, 2021 12:56 PM
To: 'Rukavina, Davor' [drukavina@munsch.com](mailto:drukavina@munsch.com); 'Vasek, Julian' [jvasek@munsch.com](mailto:jvasek@munsch.com)
Cc: John A. Morris [jmorris@pszjlaw.com](mailto:jmorris@pszjlaw.com)
Subject: RE: Debtor's Supplemental Production to 2nd Set of Discovery - Adv. Proc. 21-3004

Counsel,

Please find in the attached link the Debtor's supplemental production responsive to HCMFA's Second Set of Requests for Production, at bates numbers: D-HCMFA290880 - D-HCMFA290883.

Thanks,
Hayley

Hayley R. Winograd
Pachulski Stang Ziehl \& Jones LLP
Tel: 212.561.7700 | Fax: 212.561.7777
hwinograd@pszjlaw.com

## PACHULSKI <br> STANG <br> ZIEHL <br> JONES

Los Angeles | San Francisco | Wilmington, DE | New York | Houston

## EXHIBIT 212

| From: | John A. Morris |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sent: | Monday, October 25, 2021 5:39 PM |
| To: | Deborah R. Deitsch-Perez (deborah.deitschperez@stinson.com); Aigen, Michael P. |
|  | (michael.aigen@stinson.com); Rukavina, Davor (drukavina@munsch.com); Berghman, Thomas |
|  | (tberghman@munsch.com); Vasek, Julian (jvasek@munsch.com); Clay Taylor |
|  | (clay.taylor@bondsellis.com); Bryan Assink (bryan.assink@bondsellis.com); |
|  | 'ddraper@hellerdraper.com' |
| Cc: | Jeff Pomerantz; Gregory V. Demo; Hayley R. Winograd; La Asia S. Canty |
| Subject: | Highland: Word Versions of the Notes |
| Attachments: | Notes.zip; RE: Form of Loan Consolidation Agreement.msg |

Counsel:
As requested, attached are two zip files containing the Word versions of the Notes.

Because they are Word versions, they have not been bates stamped. Therefore, this e-mail will serve as the "proof" of the form, format, timing, and content of the production.

As I mentioned, Highland has retained an expert who has performed his analysis of the Metadata but has not prepared a report. Insofar as Mr. Dondero and his related entities will be liable for Highland's fees and expenses in the event Highland prevails in this matter, please let me know if the Defendants intend to dispute the authenticity of the Notes or otherwise challenge any aspect of their creation as soon as possible so we don't unnecessarily incur an expense.

If we don't hear from you by the close of business on Wednesday on this matter, we will direct the expert to turn his findings into a report for delivery on Friday, make him available for a deposition, and include those expenses in a future supplemental production.

Also, Davor, please produce the Advisors' 15c report (including responses to questions 1 and 2) from 2020 by the close of business tomorrow (Tuesday) or Highland will move to compel production.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,
John

John A. Morris

Pachulski Stang Ziehl \& Jones LLP
Direct Dial: 212.561.7760
Tel: 212.561.7700 | Fax: 212.561.7777
imorris@pszjlaw.com
vCard | Bio | LinkedIn


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Reorganized Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification । service address for the above-captioned Reorganized Debtor is 100 Cresce

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ All docket numbers refer to the main docket for the Highland Bankruptcy Case maintained by this Court.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Maker is also obligated to pay amounts due under promissory notes issued in favor of Payee prior to April 15, 2019. Pursuant to that certain Acknowledgment from HCMLP, dated as of April 15, 2019, Payee agreed not to demand payment on such amounts until May 31, 2021. Payee reserves all rights with respect to such amounts.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.

[^5]:    ${ }^{2}$ All docket numbers refer to the main docket for the Highland Bankruptcy Case maintained by this Court.

[^6]:    The Partnership determines whether an entity has equity investors who lack the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or does not have sufficient equity at risk to finance its expected activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties. If an entity has either of these characteristics, it is considered a VIE and must be consolidated by its primary beneficiary, which is the party that, along with its affiliates and de facto agents, absorbs a majority of the VIEs' expected losses or receives a majority of the expected residual returns as a result of holding variable interests.

[^7]:    300 Crescent Court | Suite 700 | Dallas, Texas 75201
    C: 214.674.2926 | O: 972.419 .4478 | F: 972628.4147
    dklos@highlandcapital.com | www. highlandcapital.com

[^8]:    Defendant James Dondero's Objections and answers to Highland Capital Management, l.P.'s SECOND SET OF Interrogatories CORE/3522697.0002/166180645.4

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Defendant makes these responses subject in all respects to his Motion for Withdrawal of the Reference [Adv. Dkt. No. 21] and the Motion to Stay Pending the Motion to Withdraw the Reference of Plaintiff's Complaint [Adv. Dkt. No. 22] filed on April 15, 2021. For the reasons stated in the motions, Defendant believes that the reference should be withdrawn and this proceeding stayed while the motion to withdraw the reference is considered. Defendant does not waive, but instead hereby preserves, his right to a jury trial and all rights and requests for relief asserted in the motions. Defendant does not consent to the Bankruptcy Court determining this proceeding or entering final orders or judgments in this proceeding. Defendant requests that the reference be withdrawn and that the District Court adjudicate this proceeding.
    defendant James Dondero's Objections and answers to Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s Second Set of Interrogatories

    PAGE 4 OF 6

[^10]:    Defendant James dondero's Objections and Answers to Highland Capital Management, L.P.'s SECOND SET OF Interrogatories

    PAGE 5 OF 6

[^11]:    DISCLAIMER- This email is intended for the recipient(s) only and should not be copied or reproduced without explicit permission. The material provided herein is for informational purposes only and does not constitute an offer or commitment, a solicitation of an offer, or any advice or recommendation, to enter into or conclude any transaction. It may contain confidential, proprietary or legally privileged information. If you receive this message in error, please immediately delete it.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.

[^13]:    ${ }^{2}$ For the avoidance of doubt, this Order does not enjoin or restrain Mr. Dondero from (1) seeking judicial relief upon proper notice or from objecting to any motion filed in this Bankruptcy Case, or (2) communicating with the committee of unsecured creditors (the " $\underline{U C C}$ ") and its professionals regarding a pot plan.

[^14]:    A. Yes.
    Q. And are you aware that that's part of the annual review process?
    A. Yes, it's the 15(c) process.
    Q. By the way, as we're talking about these
    issues, did Mr. Waterhouse have -- was he an officer of HCMFA in 2019 and 2020?
    A. Yes.
    Q. And what's your understanding as to the office he held?
    A. Treasurer, I believe.
    Q. And do you know if Mr. Dondero held an officer position with respect to each of the Advisors?
    A. He did.
    Q. What position did he hold?
    A. I don't recall with certainty, but I believe president.
    Q. As officers of those two entities, do you have any knowledge as to whether they participated in the communications with the retail board in the fall of 2020?
    A. I believe Jim and Frank both did.
    Q. And do you know whether the retail board asked the Advisors for a report on all obligations due and owing to HCMLP and affiliates?

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed in the Motion.

[^16]:    ${ }^{2}$ The NexPoint Note is also included as Highland's Ex. 2 (Exhibit 1),
    ${ }^{3}$ The Amortization Schedule is also included as Highland's Ex. 200.

[^17]:    ${ }^{4}$ Note that for the interest payment made December 30,2019 , interest of $\$ 530,112.36$ was paid in cash and is reflected on the "Interest Paid" column. The amount is omitted from the "Total Paid" column but has no bearing on the actual calculations contained in the Amortization Schedule. For avoidance of doubt, $\$ 530,112.36$ of interest was paid to Highland from NexPoint on December 30, 2019.
    ${ }^{5}$ For the avoidance of doubt, NexPoint made the Prepayments on October 20, 2017, April 10, 2018, May 1, 2018, May 9, 2018, September 5, 2019, September 21, 2019, March 29, 2019, April 16, 2019, June 4, 2019, June 19, 2019, July 9, 2019, and August 13, 2019. See generally Ex. B.
    ${ }^{6}$ The exception is the Prepayment made on May 9, 2018, which prepaid approximately six (6) months of future interest.
    ${ }^{7}$ NexPoint made payments against the NexPoint Note on December 5, 2017, December 18, 2018, and December 30, 2019 , respectively, which reduced "Accrued Interest" to $\$ 0$ as of December 31 in each of those years in order to comply with Section 2.1 of the NexPoint Note.

[^18]:    ${ }^{8}$ This 13-week forecast is also included as Highland's Ex. 58 and is just an example. For years, the accounting group prepared a 13-week forecast that was updated weekly so that everyone knew what payments and receipts were anticipated.

[^19]:    ${ }^{9}$ Colloquially, the Loan Summaries are the "back up" to the "back up." To illustrate, and working backwards, the January 2021 MOR reported that $\$ 152,538,000$ was "Due from affiliates." Docket No. 2030 (balance sheet). Ex. 198 is the "back up" to the January 2021 MOR and it shows that $\$ 152,537,622$ was the "Total Due from Affiliates" (the January 2021 MOR rounded up to the nearest thousand). Ex. 199, the Loan Summary, is the "back up" to the "back up," and is reconciled with Highland's general ledger. As can be seen, the Loan Summary specifies the outstanding principal amounts due under each Note. Interest on these notes is accrued in a single account (general ledger account 14010).

[^20]:    ${ }^{10}$ Total unpaid principal and interest due actually decreased from January 8, 2021 to December 17, 2021 because a payment of $\$ 1,406,111.92$ made January 14,2021 , which reduced the total principal and interest then-outstanding.
    ${ }^{11}$ Total unpaid outstanding principal and interest due actually decreased from January 8, 2021 to December 17, 2021 because a payment of $\$ 181,226.83$ made January 21 , 2021, which reduced the total principal and interest thenoutstanding.
    ${ }^{12}$ Total unpaid principal and interest due actually decreased from January 8, 2021 to December 17, 2021 because a payment of $\$ 665,811.09$ made January 21, 2021, which reduced the total principal and interest then-outstanding.

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Reorganized Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Reorganized Debtor is 100 Crescent Court, Suite 1850, Dallas, TX 75201.

