Docket #0119 Date Filed: 9/7/2023

Kramer Levin



Roy T. Englert, Jr.

Partner T 202 775 4503 **F** 202.775.4510

REnglert@KRAMERLEVIN.com

2000 K Street NW, 4th Floor Washington, DC 20006 **T** 202.775.4500 **F** 202.775.4510

September 6, 2023

BY ECF

Lyle W. Cayce, Clerk United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit Office of the Clerk F. Edward Hebert Building 600 S. Maestri Place New Orleans, LA 70130

> No. 22-11036, The Charitable DAF Fund, et al. v. Highland Capital Re: Management, (heard September 5, 2023, before Circuit Judges Dennis, Engelhardt, and Oldham)

Dear Mr. Cayce:

I write on behalf of Appellee Highland regarding the impact of Goodyear Tire & Rubber Co. v. Haeger, 581 U.S. 101 (2017), on this Court's case law awarding fees for sanctioned conduct. The parties' briefs cited Goodyear, but no party suggested that pre-Goodyear decisions of this Court lack binding effect after Goodyear. A Member of this Court suggested that possibility for the first time at oral argument.

In Goodyear, the Court held that a compensatory civil sanction may award only fees that "would not have [been] paid but for the [other party's] misconduct." 581 U.S. at 109. The Ninth Circuit's holding below, which the Court reversed, allowed fees to be awarded "without any need to find a causal link between [fees incurred and] the sanctionable conduct." Id. at 106.

Goodyear is entirely consistent with Fifth Circuit case law. This Court has always required a causal connection between sanctions awarded and the sanctioned conduct. See, e.g., Cook v. Ochsner Found. Hosp., 559 F.2d 270, 272 (5th Cir. 1977) (compensatory award includes "attorneys' fees necessarily expended in bringing an action to enforce that order violated by the disobedient parties" (cleaned up)). That remains this Court's approach. Ravago Americas LLC v. Vinmar International reiterated that, for a "sanction to be compensatory, it must be measured in some degree by the pecuniary injury caused by the act of disobedience." 832 F. App'x 249, 255 (5th Cir. 2020) (cited by the district court's opinion at nn.75, 81). Though unpublished, Ravago relied on Supreme Court and Fifth Lyle W. Cayce, Clerk September 6, 2023



Circuit precedent that *Goodyear* did not disturb. *See id.* at 254-55 (citing *Gompers v. Buck's Stove & Range Co.*, 221 U.S. 418, 444 (1911); *In re Bradley*, 588 F.3d 254, 263 (5th Cir. 2009)).

The courts below found appropriate causation here and awarded fees for only expenses incurred in pursuing the contempt motion. That included discovery and trial proceedings about who was responsible for violating the bankruptcy court's orders—none of which would have been necessary had Appellants complied with those orders. *Cook*, cited above, is a published and therefore binding opinion supporting that approach, and undisturbed by *Goodyear*.

Respectfully submitted,

Roy T. Englert, Jr.

cc: Counsel of Record (via ECF)

Case: 22-11036 Document: 119-2 Page: 1 Date Filed: 09/07/2023

United States Court of Appeals

FIFTH CIRCUIT OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE **CLERK**

TEL. 504-310-7700 600 S. MAESTRI PLACE, Suite 115 **NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130**

September 07, 2023

Mr. Roy Theodore Englert Jr. Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel, L.L.P. 2000 K Street, N.W. 4th Floor Washington, DC 20006

> No. 22-11036 Charitable DAF Fund, L.P. v. Highland Capital Management, L.P.

> > USDC No. 3:21-CV-1974 USDC No. 3:21-CV-1979

Dear Mr. Englert,

We received your letter, leave of court to file is required, we are taking no action.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk

Roeshawn Johnson, Deputy Clerk 504-310-7998

Mr. Zachery Z. Annable

Mr. Jonathan Bridges

Mr. Joseph Carl Cecere Jr.

Mr. Gregory Vincent Demo Mr. Brian Field

Ms. Shikha Garq

Mr. Aaron Scott Gordon

Ms. Melissa Sue Hayward

Mr. Erik Scott Jaffe

Mr. Jeffrey Scott Levinger Mr. Matthew Michael Madden

Mr. John A. Morris Mr. Jeffrey N. Pomerantz

Mr. Mazin Āhmad Sbaiti