## Response Deadline: October 13, 2021 at 4:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time) Hearing Date and Time: October 20, 2021 at 11:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

31 West 52nd Street

New York, NY 10019-6131 Telephone: 212-858-1000 Facsimile: 212-858-1500

Leo T. Crowley

Patrick E. Fitzmaurice Kwame O. Akuffo

Counsel for GUC Recovery Trustee

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	X	
In re:	:	Chapter 11
JCK LEGACY COMPANY, et al.,	:	Case No. 20-10418 (MEW)
Debtors. 1	: :	(Jointly Administered)
	X	

## GUC RECOVERY TRUSTEE'S OBJECTION TO TERMINATION PREMIUMS ASSERTED BY THE PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION IN PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 2689

William A. Brandt, Jr., in his capacity as trustee (the "GUC Recovery Trustee") of the JCK Legacy Company GUC Recovery Trust (the "GUC Recovery Trust") created under the GUC Recovery Trust Agreement (the "Trust Agreement") and the confirmed First Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Distribution of JCK Legacy Company and its affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession (the "Plan") [Docket No. 879], by and through undersigned counsel, files this objection (the "Objection"), pursuant to section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The last four digits of Debtor The McClatchy Company's tax identification number are 0478. Due to the large number of debtor entities in these jointly a dministered chapter 11 cases, a complete list of the debtor entities and the last four digits of their federal tax identification numbers is not provided herein. A complete list of such information may be obtained on the website of the Debtors' claims and noticing a gent at <a href="http://www.kccllc.net/McClatchy">http://www.kccllc.net/McClatchy</a>. The location of the Debtors' service address for purposes of these chapter 11 cases is: 2100 Q Street, Sacramento, California 95816.



"Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure ("Bankruptcy Rule"), for entry of an order, substantially in the form attached as Exhibit A (the "Proposed Order"), disallowing termination premiums asserted by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC") in Proof of Claim No. 2689. In support of this Objection, the GUC Recovery Trustee respectfully represents as follows:

### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.
  - 2. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).
  - 3. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 4. The statutory predicate for the relief requested herein are section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

### **BACKGROUND**

### A. General Overview

- 5. On February 13, 2020 (the "Petition Date"), The McClatchy Company, a corporation organized under the laws of the state of Delaware, and certain of its affiliates (collectively, the "Debtors"), filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code with the U.S. Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. The chapter 11 cases have been jointly administered for procedural purposes, and all claims against the Debtors have been transferred to and consolidated in the bankruptcy case for the lead debtor, JCK Legacy Company.
- 6. On May 21, 2020, this Court entered an *Order Establishing Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claimand Approving Form and Manner of Notice Thereof* (the "Bar Date Order"), and

set July 10, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. (ET), as the deadline for creditors to file proofs of claim against the Debtors [Docket No. 485].

- 7. Notice of the Bar Date Order was mailed and also published in the *New York Times* as required by the Bar Date Order. *See* Docket Nos. 485, 513.
- 8. On September 25, 2020, this Court entered an order (the "<u>Confirmation Order</u>") confirming the Plan, which became effective on September 30, 2020 (the "<u>Effective Date</u>"). *See* Docket Nos. 879, 886.

### **B.** GUC Recovery Trustee

- 9. The Plan and Confirmation Order provide for the establishment of the GUC Recovery Trust pursuant to the Trust Agreement on the Effective Date, at which time the GUC Recovery Trustee was appointed to administer the GUC Recovery Trust. Confirmation Order at 11, 42; Trust Agreement, § 2.1; Plan, § 6.20.
- 10. Under the Trust Agreement, the GUC Recovery Trustee is authorized to review, object to, settle and resolve all general unsecured claims filed against the Debtors' estates. Trust Agreement, § 6.1. The GUC Recovery Trustee is also authorized to take any action that may be or could have been taken by any officer or director of the Debtors or their estates with respect to the GUC Recovery Trust Assets, *id.* at § 2.2(e), represent the Debtors' estate before any court of competent jurisdiction on matters concerning the GUC Recovery Trust, *id.* at § 2.2(m), to enter into any agreement that is consistent with the Plan, the Confirmation Order and the GUC Recovery Trust, *id.* at § 2.2(u), and to take any action that is reasonably necessary to administer the GUC Recovery Trust and the Plan. *Id.* at § 2.2(aa).

### C. Pension Plan and PBGC Proofs of Claim

11. Prior to the Petition Date, the Debtors established and maintained The McClatchy

Company Retirement Plan (the "<u>Pension Plan</u>"), a qualified single-employer defined benefit pension plan, pursuant to Title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), as amended, 29 U.S.C. §§ 1301-1461 (2018).

- 12. Effective as of August 31, 2020, the Pension Plan was terminated pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1342(c) through an Agreement for Appointment of Trustee and Termination of Plan between the Debtors and PBGC. PBGC became the statutory trustee for the Pension Plan on September 4, 2020.
- 13. On July 8, 2020, PBGC filed three proofs of claim. Proof of Claim No. 1765 asserted a claim of \$1,008,000,000 for unfunded benefit liabilities; that is for the difference between assets of the Pension Plan and an estimate by PBGC of the liabilities of the Plan under 29 U.S.C. § 1362. Proof of Claim No. 1772 asserted a claim of \$90,210,000 for unpaid insurance premiums; that is for termination premiums related to flat-rate and variable rate premiums, 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(3), and resulting from a distressed termination under 29 U.S.C. §\$ 1341(c)(2)(B)(ii) or (iii), or an involuntary termination under 29 U.S.C. § 1342. Proof of Claim No. 1876 asserted an amount of \$80,428,564 for unpaid minimum funding contributions; that is for minimum funding contributions required under ERISA, 29 U.S.C. § 1082, and the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. §§ 412, 430, to ensure that the Pension Plan does not have an accumulated unfunded benefit liability.
- 14. On October 22, 2020, PBGC filed two amended proofs of claim. Proof of Claim No. 2666 amends Proof of Claim No. 1876 and asserts a revised amount of \$126,001,676 for unpaid minimum funding contributions. Proof of Claim No. 2667 amends Proof of Claim No. 1765 and asserts a revised amount of \$877,500,000 for unfunded benefit liabilities.
  - 15. On December 8, 2020, PBGC filed Proof of Claim No. 2689, which amends Proof

20-10418-mew Doc 1267 Filed 09/20/21 Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Main Document Pg 5 of 14

of Claim No. 1772 and asserts a revised amount of \$101,729,550 for unpaid insurance premiums. The claim has two components: (a) Flat-Rate and Variable-Rate Premiums in the amount of \$11,519,550.00 pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(3), 29 C.F.R. § 4006.3; and (b) Termination Premiums in the amount of \$90,210,000 pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7), as amended by § 8101(b) the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (Pub. L. 109-171) and by §§ 401(b) and 402(g)(2)(B) of the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (Pub. L. 109-280) (the "Termination Premium Claim"). The Termination Premium Claim is attached as Exhibit B.

- 16. In a statement in support of the Termination Premium Claim, PBGC states that the Termination Premium Claim applies to any termination premium "other than described in paragraph 10." Paragraph 10 of the statement provides that "[i]f the Pension Plan terminates in a distress termination pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1341(c)(2)(B)(ii) or in an involuntary termination under 29 U.S.C. § 1342 while the Debtor is attempting to reorganize in Chapter 11, and the Debtor ultimately obtains confirmation of a Chapter 11 plan of reorganization, the Debtor's obligation to PBGC for Termination Premiums does not exist until after the Chapter 11 plan is confirmed and the Debtor obtains a discharge. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7)(B). Thus, under those circumstances, Termination Premiums are not a dischargeable claim or debt within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. §§ 101(5) and 1141." Exhibit B at ¶ 10.
- 17. Section 1306(a)(7)(B) (as quoted and described in detail below) creates a special rule for termination premiums in cases where a plan is terminated during a chapter 11 bankruptcy reorganization. Thus, PBGC's statement that the Termination Premium Claim applies to any termination premium "other than described in paragraph 10" suggests that the "special rule" under section 1306(a)(7)(B) does not apply to the Termination Premium Claim asserted here.

### RELIEF REQUESTED

18. Pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3007, the GUC Recovery Trustee seeks entry of the Proposed Order disallowing the Termination Premium Claim because, due to the absence of a discharge, PBGC's right to collect the termination premium has not accrued, and will never accrue under 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7)(B).

## **BASIS FOR RELIEF**

- 19. Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code governs the allowance and disallowance of claims. 11 U.S.C. § 502. Generally, a proof of claim filed is deemed allowed unless a party in interest objects. 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). If an objection is filed, section 502(b) requires the court to determine the amount of the claim and allow it, unless the claim falls in one of the enumerated categories under sections 502(b)(1)-(9). See 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). Among other provisions, section 502(b) provides that a claim may be disallowed if it is unenforceable against the debtor under applicable law. 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1).
- 20. The objecting party has the initial "burden of putting forth evidence sufficient to refute the validity of the claim." *In re Metex Mfg. Corp.*, 510 B.R. 735, 740 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2014) (citation omitted). "By producing 'evidence equal in force to the prima facie case,' an objector can negate a claim's presumptive legal validity, thereby shifting the burden back to the claimant to 'prove by a preponderance of the evidence that under applicable law the claim should be allowed." *In re Residential Capital, LLC.*, 518 B.R. 720, 731 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2014) (quoting *In re Motors Liquidation Co.*, 2013 WL 5549643, at \*3 (S.D.N.Y. 2013)).

### A. Termination Premiums under ERISA

- 21. Section 1306(a)(7) provides:
  - (A) In general. If there is a termination of a single-employer plan under clause (ii) or (iii) of section 1341(c)(2)(B) of this title or

section 1342 of this title, there shall be payable to the corporation, with respect to each applicable 12-month period, a premium at a rate equal to \$1,250 multiplied by the number of individuals who were participants in the plan immediately before the termination date. Such premium shall be in addition to any other premium under this section.

(B) Special rule for plans terminated in bankruptcy reorganization. - In the case of a single-employer plan terminated under section 29 USCS § 1341(c)(2)(B)(ii) or under 29 USCS § 1342 during pendency of any bankruptcy reorganization proceeding under chapter 11 of title 11, United States Code, or under any similar law of a State or a political subdivision of a State (or a case described in 29 USCS § 1341(c)(2)(B)(i) filed by or against such person has been converted, as of such date, to such a case in which reorganization is sought), subparagraph (A) shall not apply to such plan until the date of the discharge or dismissal of such person in such case.

29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7) (emphasis added) (subparagraph (B), hereinafter referred to as the "Special Rule").

- 22. Section 1306(a)(7) of ERISA exclusively provides for payment of termination premiums to PBGC after a pension plan is terminated. Generally, an employer is obligated to pay a termination premium within three years following a distress termination of a single-employer plan under sections 1341(c)(2)(B)(ii) or (iii) or an involuntary termination of such plan under section 1342. See 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7)(A) and (C). However, the Special Rule applies to pension plans terminated during an employer's chapter 11 bankruptcy reorganization. Section 1306(a)(7)(B) provides that section 1306(a)(7)(A) does not apply to a single-employer plan terminated under section 1341(c)(2)(B)(ii) or section 1342 of ERISA during the pendency of "any bankruptcy reorganization proceeding under chapter 11 of title 11 . . . until the date of the discharge or dismissal of such person in such case." 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7)(B).
- 23. In construing the Special Rule, the Second Circuit determined that "an employer's obligation to pay a Termination Premium on a pension plan that is terminated during the course of

the bankruptcy does not even arise until the bankruptcy itself is terminated. No matter how broadly the term 'claim' is construed, it cannot extend to a right to payment that does not yet exist under federal law." *Pension Ben. Guar. Corp. v. Oneida Ltd.*, 562 F.3d 154, 157 (2d Cir. 2009). Other courts interpreting *Oneida* have concluded that termination premiums do not arise until after the employer receives a discharge or the bankruptcy case is terminated (*i.e.*, dismissed). *See In re Briggs*, No. 11-CV-73A, 2011 WL 2457875, at \*5 (W.D.N.Y. June 16, 2011) ("the financial liability in *Oneida* was not a liability that arose pre-petition and simply could not be enforced until later; it did not exist at all until the end of the bankruptcy proceedings.").

## B. Application of the Special Rule to Chapter 11 Plans of Liquidation

- a. Rules on Statutory Construction
- All federal statutes must be interpreted according to their plain meaning. *Tyler v. Douglas*, 280 F.3d 116, 123 (2d Cir. 2001), *cert. denied*, 536 U.S. 906 (2002). In determining its degree of ambiguity or clarity, courts cannot examine statutory language in isolation, *Robinson v. Shell Oil Co.*, 519 U.S. 337, 341 (1997), but must determine the specific context in which the language appears, and the statutory scheme's broader framework in order to preserve the coherence and consistency of the statutory scheme. *U.S. v. Ron Pair Enters., Inc.*, 489 U.S. 235, 240-41 (1989) (citation omitted). "[I]n matters of statutory interpretation, the plain meaning of statutory language is often illuminated by considering not only the particular statutory language at issue, but also the structure of the section in which the key language is found, and the design of the statute as a whole and its object." *Pellegrino v. United States Transp. Sec. Admin.*, 896 F.3d 207, 216 n.10 (3d Cir. 2018), *reh'g en banc granted*, 904 F.3d 329 (3d Cir. 2018).
  - b. The Special Rule Applies to Debtors Liquidating Under Chapter 11
  - 25. As previously stated, ERISA provides a Special Rule under section 1306(a)(7)(B)

20-10418-mew Doc 1267 Filed 09/20/21 Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Main Document Pa 9 of 14

for termination premiums in cases where an employer terminates a pension plan during a chapter 11 bankruptcy case. Under ERISA, a termination premium claim arising from termination of a plan during "any bankruptcy reorganization proceeding under chapter 11 of title 11" does not accrue until the date of discharge or dismissal of such debtor's case. 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7)(B) (emphasis added). The provision unambiguously provides that termination premiums arising from any bankruptcy reorganization proceeding under chapter 11 are deferred "until the date of the discharge or dismissal of such person in such case." 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7)(B).

- 26. There is no statutory exception to the Special Rule if the ultimate chapter 11 confirmed plan is one of liquidation. That no termination premium may ever be enforceable against the Debtors in any circumstance such as those presented here is consistent with the overall architecture of the termination premium statute. In a straight up liquidation, no termination premium would be due. Thus, if, for example, this case had been filed under chapter 7 at the outset, no termination premium claim would accrue. Similarly, if this case had been converted before the Pension Plan was terminated, no termination premium would be due.
- 27. In crafting the termination premium statute, Congress never intended for termination premium to apply in the context of liquidation. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7)(A) (applying termination premiums only to terminations under 29 U.S.C. §§ 1341(c)(2)(B)(ii) and (iii) and 1342, not § 1341(c)(2)(B)(i) (which applies to true liquidations under chapter 7)); 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7)(B) (providing that "[i]n the case of a single-employer plan terminated under section 1341(c)(2)(B)(ii) of this title or under section 1342 of this title during . . . (or a case described in section 1341(c)(2)(B)(i) of this title filed by or against such person has been converted, as of such date, to such a case in which reorganization is sought), subparagraph (A) shall not apply to such plan until the date of the discharge or dismissal of such person in such

20-10418-mew Doc 1267 Filed 09/20/21 Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Main Document Pg 10 of 14

case.").

- 28. That is hardly a surprising result because the cost of such a premium in any liquidation scenario (*i.e.*, chapter 7 or 11 (a liquidating chapter 11)) would be borne by other creditors, rather than the employer. *See Oneida*, 562 F.3d at 157 ("The obvious purpose of [the special] rule is to prevent *employers* from evading the Termination Premium while seeking reorganization in bankruptcy." [emphasis added]). And in any event, if the termination premium did apply in a liquidation (albeit it does not), it is a penalty<sup>2</sup> that would be subordinated to all other claims under section 726(a)(4) of the Bankruptcy Code, thus ensuring that creditors are not affected by such exaction (which is primarily the responsibility of the going-concern entity).
- bankruptcy reorganization proceeding. Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code is labeled "Reorganization" and contains provisions that provide for liquidating plans. See 11 U.S.C. §§ 1123(a)(5)(D) and 1123(b)(4); see also In re Ocean Beach Properties, 148 B.R. 494, 497 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 1992) ("[T]he purpose of Chapter 11 is to reorganize a business. It is certainly true that reorganization might in appropriate cases consist of either rehabilitation of the debtor's business or the orderly liquidation of the debtor's assets. The law as it has developed under Chapter 11 permits either course of action or a combination of both courses of action." [emphasis in original]); In re Copy Crafters Quickprint, Inc., 92 B.R. 973, 985-86 (Bankr. N.D.N.Y. 1988) ("While the primary purpose of Chapter 11 is reorganization, liquidation is not prohibited. . . . 'Reorganization encompasses rehabilitation and may include liquidation." [citations omitted]).
- 30. In *USA Commercial Mortgage Co.*, No. 06-10725 (LBR) (Bankr. D. Nev. 2006), the bankruptcy court disallowed a termination premium claim identical to that asserted here by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The termination premium is a penalty because it is payable even if all premiums under section 1306 of ERISA go unpaid. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 1307(d).

20-10418-mew Doc 1267 Filed 09/20/21 Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Main Document Pg 11 of 14

PBGC, after finding that the term "reorganization" applies to cases where a debtor liquidates under chapter 11. A copy of the bankruptcy court's order is attached as **Exhibit C** and the transcript of findings of fact and conclusions of law ("**Transcript of Record**") is attached as **Exhibit D**.

- 31. According to the court, the Special Rule is broad and applies to "any bankruptcy reorganization proceeding," not merely "a bankruptcy reorganization." Transcript of Record at 4, USA Commercial Mortgage Co., No. 06-10725 (LBR) (Bankr. D. Nev. Apr. 17, 2008) (Docket No. 6417). In its words, the Special Rule was designed to "cover everything in a Chapter 11." Id. The court also recognized that the Special Rule specifically refers to "Title 11" and that chapter 11 reorganization allows for liquidating plans. Id. Albeit a liquidating plan was approved in USA Commercial Mortgage, the court recognized that the liquidating plan involved more than proceeds from the sale of assets, but also the creation of a trust to recover more assets to maximize recoveries for the benefit of creditors. Id. Because there was no discharge, the court held that the termination premium claim did not accrue. The case is well-reasoned and squarely on point.
- 32. In response to the Objection, the GUC Recovery Trustee anticipates that PBGC may rely on *Pension Ben. Guar. Corp. v. Asahi Tec Corp.*, 979 F.Supp.2d 46 (D. D.C. 2013), where the district court determined that the Special Rule does not apply to debtors liquidating under chapter 11. However, *Asahi* is questionable authority.
- 33. Asahi did not involve a PBGC claim against the debtor's estate. In Asahi, after the debtor-affiliate filed for bankruptcy, terminated its pension plan, and liquidated under chapter 11, PBGC sought to impose liability on a non-debtor affiliate as a control group member for, among other things, termination premiums. Id. at 52, 55. PBGC argued in Asahi that the term "reorganization" under section 1306(a)(7)(B) does not apply in cases where the employer attempts to liquidate under chapter 11. Id. at 73-74. Applying the Chevron deference, the court deferred to

PBGC's interpretation and held that the Special Rule does not apply to debtors liquidating under chapter 11. *Id.* at 74. According to the court, the Special Rule is a "timing" provision that triggers a termination premium only after "the date of discharge or dismissal" of the debtor's case. *Id.* at 75.

- 34. Regardless of whether the Special Rule is a timing provision, such timing turns on whether a pension plan *was* in fact terminated in a "bankruptcy reorganization proceeding under chapter 11 of title 11" to trigger the termination premium. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7)(B). The Special Rule is unambiguous and thus the rule requires courts to give effect to the meaning of "bankruptcy reorganization proceeding under chapter 11." Because the debtor-affiliate in *Asahi* liquidated under chapter 11 and chapter 11 liquidation is deemed a form of reorganization under the Bankruptcy Code, deference should not have been afforded to PBGC.
- 35. Indeed, any litigation position espoused by PBGC here deserves no special deference, especially where the issue is determining whether a plan termination in a context such as this is in "the pendency of any bankruptcy reorganization proceeding under chapter 11 of title 11" 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7)(B). PBGC has no expertise in construing those terms. *See, e.g., In re Kaiser Aluminum Corp.*, 456 F.3d 328, 344 (3d Cir. 2006) (refusing to defer to PBGC's interpretation of 29 U.S.C. § 1341(c)(2)(B)(ii)(IV)'s reorganization test under *Chevron* because "[i]ssues relating to an employer's bankruptcy and reorganization are within the expertise of bankruptcy courts, not the PBGC.").

## C. The Termination Premium Claim Should Be Disallowed

36. Here, albeit the Debtors were unable to emerge from bankruptcy with a discharge, a bankruptcy reorganization proceeding existed. The case was filed under, and at all times remained under, chapter 11. In substance, the Debtors here were reorganized. Their businesses

continued operating under new ownership pursuant to restructuring transactions executed during the case under section 363 of the Bankruptcy Code, but with the former senior most creditors becoming the owners through a new acquisition vehicle and much of the prepetition debt being canceled.

- 37. Although the lack of a discharge means the termination premium claim would never accrue, that result in the context of a liquidating chapter 11 plan is consistent with the spirit of other provisions of ERISA, most notably 29 U.S.C. § 1341(c)(2)(B)(i), which confers what is tantamount to an automatic right of termination in liquidation, 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7)(A) (no termination premium payable when plan is terminated pursuant to the aforementioned clause), and section 1306(a)(7)(B), which provides that a termination premium does not arise in a liquidation case until such case is converted into a case where reorganization is sought.
- 38. Because the Debtors' Pension Plan was terminated while they were in reorganization proceedings under chapter 11 of title 11, the Termination Premium Claim asserted here against the estate will never arise under section 1306(a)(7)(B)'s Special Rule because the Debtors have not received a discharge. Accordingly, the GUC Recovery Trustee respectfully requests that the Termination Premium Claim be disallowed in its entirety.

#### NOTICE

39. Notice of this Objection has been given to parties on the master service list who have agreed to accept service by email and by first-class mail to: (a) the United States Trustee; (b) the Attorney General of the United States; (c) Office of the United States Attorney for the Southern District of New York; and (d) PBGC. The GUC Recovery Trustee submits that such notice is sufficient and no other or further notice need be provided.

### CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, the GUC Recovery Trustee requests that this Court enter the Proposed Order attached as **Exhibit A**: (a) disallowing the Termination Premium Claim; and (b) granting such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: September 20, 2021

New York, New York

/s/ Leo T. Crowley

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

Leo T. Crowley Patrick E. Fitzmaurice Kwame O. Akuffo 31 West 52nd Street

New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 858-1000 Facsimile: (212) 858-1500 leo.crowley@pillsburylaw.com

<u>patrick.fitzmaurice@pillsburylaw.com</u> <u>kwame.akuffo@pillsburylaw.com</u>

Counsel for GUC Recovery Trustee

Response Deadline: October 13, 2021 at 4:00 p.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time) Hearing Date and Time: October 20, 2021 at 11:00 a.m. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

31 West 52nd Street

New York, NY 10019-6131 Telephone: 212-858-1000 Facsimile: 212-858-1500

Leo T. Crowley Patrick E. Fitzmaurice Kwame O. Akuffo

Counsel for GUC Recovery Trustee

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

	X	
In re:	:	Chapter 11
JCK LEGACY COMPANY, et al.,	:	Case No. 20-10418 (MEW)
Debtors. <sup>1</sup>	:	(Jointly Administered)
	Х	

## **NOTICE OF OBJECTION AND HEARING**

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that William A. Brandt, Jr., in his capacity as trustee of the JCK Legacy Company GUC Recovery Trust, filed the GUC Recovery Trustee's Objection to Termination Premiums Asserted by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation in Proof of Claim No. 2689 (the "Objection") with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Bankruptcy Court").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The last four digits of Debtor The McClatchy Company's tax identification number are 0478. Due to the large number of debtor entities in these jointly administered chapter 11 cases, a complete list of the debtor entities and the last four digits of their federal tax identification numbers is not provided herein. A complete list of such information may be obtained on the website of the Debtors' claims and noticing a gent at <a href="http://www.kccllc.net/McClatchy">http://www.kccllc.net/McClatchy</a>. The location of the Debtors' service address for purposes of these chapter 11 cases is: 2100 Q Street, Sacramento, California 95816.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any response to the Objection must be filed on or before October 13, 2021 at 4:00 p.m. (ET) (the "Response Deadline") with the Bankruptcy Court, Courtroom 617, One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004. At the same time, you must serve a copy of any response by the Response Deadline upon the undersigned counsel to the movant and to:

- (a) The Debtors, JCK Legacy Company, c/o FTI Consulting, Inc., 1201 W. Peachtree Street, NW, Suite 500, Atlanta, Georgia 30309, Attn.: Sean M. Harding (sean.harding@fticonsulting.com);
- (b) Counsel for the Plan Administration Trustee, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, One Manhattan West, New York, New York 10001, Attn.: Shana A. Elberg (shana.elberg@skadden.com) and Bram Strochlic A. (bram.strochlic@skadden.com), 300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3400, Los Angeles, California 90071, Attn.: Van C. Durrer, II (van.durrer@skadden.com), and Destiny N. Almogue (destiny.almogue@skadden.com) and 525 University Avenue, Palo Alto, California 94301 Attn.: Jennifer Madden (jennifer.madden@skadden.com);
- (c) Co-counsel for the Plan Administration Trustee, Togut, Segal & Segal LLP, One Penn Plaza, Suite 3335, New York, New York 10119, Attn.: Albert Togut (altogut@teamtogut.com) and Kyle J. Ortiz (kortiz@teamtogut.com);
- (d) The GUC Recovery Trust, c/o DSI Consulting, Inc., 110 East 42nd Street, Suite 1818, New York, New York 10017 Attn.: William A. Brandt., Jr. (bbrandt@DSIconsulting.com);
- (e) Counsel for the GUC Recovery Trustee, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP, 31 West 52nd Street, New York, New York. Attn.: Leo T. Crowley (<a href="leo.crowley@pillsburylaw.com">leo.crowley@pillsburylaw.com</a>), Patrick Fitzmaurice (<a href="patrick.fitzmaurice@pillsburylaw.com">patrick.fitzmaurice@pillsburylaw.com</a>), and Kwame O. Akuffo (<a href="mailto:kwame.akuffo@pillsburylaw.com">kwame.akuffo@pillsburylaw.com</a>);
- (f) The Office of the United States Trustee, U.S. Federal Office Building, 201 Varick Street, Room 1006, New York, New York 10014, Attn.: Benjamin J. Higgins and Brian S. Masumoto; and
- (g) Any party that has requested notice pursuant to Bankruptcy

20-10418-mew Doc 1267-1 Filed 09/20/21 Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Notice of Objection and Hearing Pg 3 of 3

Rule 2002.

Only those responses made in writing and timely filed in accordance with the above

procedures will be considered by the Bankruptcy Court.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT, unless the telephonic hearing

procedures set forth in General Order M-543 (Morris, C.J.) are amended, the hearing to consider

the Objection shall be held telephonically via Court Solutions LLC on October 20, 2021, at

11:00 am (ET) before the Honorable Michael E. Wiles in the Bankruptcy Court, Courtroom 617,

One Bowling Green, New York, New York 10004. Instructions to register for Court Solutions

LLC are attached to Gen. Ord. M-543.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT if you fail to respond in accordance with

this Notice and by the Response Deadline, the Bankruptcy Court may grant the relief requested

in the Objection without further notice or a hearing.

Dated: September 20, 2021

New York, New York

/s/ Leo T. Crowley

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

Leo T. Crowley

Patrick E. Fitzmaurice

Kwame O. Akuffo

31 West 52nd Street

New York, New York 10019

Telephone: (212) 858-1000

Facsimile: (212) 858-1500

leo.crowley@pillsburylaw.com

patrick.fitzmaurice@pillsburylaw.com

kwame.akuffo@pillsburylaw.com

Counsel for GUC Recovery Trustee

3

20-10418-mew Doc 1267-2 Filed 09/20/21 Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Exhibit A Proposed Order Pg 1 of 3

## Exhibit A

Proposed Order

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

31 West 52nd Street

New York, NY 10019-6131 Telephone: 212-858-1000 Facsimile: 212-858-1500

Leo T. Crowley

Patrick E. Fitzmaurice Kwame O. Akuffo

Counselfor GUC Recovery Trustee

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COU	JRT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K

	X	
In re:	:	Chapter 11
JCK LEGACY COMPANY, et al.,	:	Case No. 20-10418 (MEW)
Debtors. 1	:	(Jointly Administered)
	X	

## ORDER GRANTING GUC RECOVERY TRUSTEE'S OBJECTION TO TERMINATION PREMIUMS ASSERTED BY THE PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION IN PROOF OF CLAIM NO. 2689

Upon consideration of the GUC Recovery Trustee's Objection to Termination Premiums

Asserted by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation in Proof of Claim No. 2689 (the "Objection") to disallow termination premiums asserted by PBGC<sup>2</sup> in Proof of Claim No. 2689 (the "Termination Premium Claim"); and the Court having jurisdiction to consider the Objection and relief requested under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and the Objection and relief requested being a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and venue being proper before this Court under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been provided; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The last four digits of Debtor The McClatchy Company's tax identification number are 0478. Due to the large number of debtor entities in these jointly a dministered chapter 11 cases, a complete list of the debtor entities and the last four digits of their federal tax identification numbers is not provided herein. A complete list of such information may be obtained on the website of the Debtors' claims and noticing a gent at <a href="http://www.kccllc.net/McClatchy">http://www.kccllc.net/McClatchy</a>. The location of the Debtors' service address for purposes of these chapter 11 cases is: 2100 Q Street, Sacramento, California 95816.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used and not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning a scribed to them in the Objection.

20-10418-mew Doc 1267-2 Filed 09/20/21 Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Exhibit A Proposed Order Pg 3 of 3

it appearing that no other notice is needed; and such relief being in the best interest of the Debtors' estates and its creditors, and the GUC Recovery Trust; and the Court having considered all papers submitted; and for good cause shown;

It is hereby **ORDERED** that:

- 1. The Objection is **SUSTAINED** to the extent set forth herein.
- 2. The Termination Premium Claim is hereby disallowed in its entirety.
- 3. The GUC Recovery Trustee or the claims agent is authorized and directed to modify the claims register in accordance with the terms of this Order.
- 4. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over any matter arising from or related to the implementation or interpretation of this Order.

Dated: October, 2021	
New York, NY	Michael E. Wiles
	United States Bankruptcy Judge

## Exhibit B

Proof of Claim No. 2689

20-10418-mew Doc 1267-3 Filed 09/20/21 Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Exhibit R
Proof of Claim 180. 2009 Fy 2 01 13

Fill in this information to identify the case:			
Debtor	The McClatchy Company		
United States Ba	nkruptcy Court for the: Southern	District of New York	
Case number	20-10418	_	

## Official Form 410

Proof of Claim 04/19

Read the instructions before filling out this form. This form is for making a claim for payment in a bankruptcy case. Do not use this form to make a request for payment of an administrative expense. Make such a request according to 11 U.S.C. § 503.

**Filers must leave out or redact** information that is entitled to privacy on this form or on any attached documents. Attach redacted copies or any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, and security agreements. **Do not send original documents;** they may be destroyed after scanning. If the documents are not available, explain in an attachment.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Fill in all the information about the claim as of the date the case was filed. That date is on the notice of bankruptcy (Form 309) that you received.

Р	Part 1: Identify the Claim			
1.	Who is the current creditor?	Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation  Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this claim)  Other names the creditor used with the debtor		
2.	Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	✓ No  Yes. From whom?		
3.	Where should notices and payments to the creditor be sent?  Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) 2002(g)	Where should notices to the creditor be sent?  Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation Emily E. Manbeck 1200 K Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005, United States		
4.	Does this claim amend one already filed?	No Yes. Claim number on court claims registry (if known) 1772 Filed on 07/08/2020 MM / DD / YYYY    No  Yes. Who made the earlier filing?		
5.	Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?			

Official Form 410 Proof of Claim

20-10418-mew Doc 1267-3 Filed 09/20/21 Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Exhibit B Proof of Claim No. 2689 Pg 3 of 13

	rt 2: Give Information Ab	out the Claim as of the Date the Case Was Filed		
	Do you have any number	☑ No		
	you use to identify the debtor?	Yes. Last 4 digits of the debtor's account or any number you use to identify the debtor:		
7.	How much is the claim?	. Does this amount include interest or other charges?  No		
		Yes. Attach statement itemizing interest, fees, expenses, or other charges required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c)(2)(A).		
8.	What is the basis of the claim?	Examples: Goods sold, money loaned, lease, services performed, personal injury or wrongful death, or credit card.		
	Claim:	Attach redacted copies of any documents supporting the claim required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c).		
		Limit disclosing information that is entitled to privacy, such as health care information.		
		Please see attached Statement in Support.		
9.	Is all or part of the claim	<b>☑</b> No		
	secured?	Yes. The claim is secured by a lien on property.		
		Nature or property:		
		Real estate: If the claim is secured by the debtor's principle residence, file a <i>Mortgage Proof of Claim Attachment</i> (Official Form 410-A) with this <i>Proof of Claim</i> .		
		Motor vehicle		
		Other. Describe:		
		Pagin for portantian		
		Basis for perfection:  Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest (for example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the lien has been filed or recorded.)		
		Value of property: \$		
		Amount of the claim that is secured: \$		
		Amount of the claim that is unsecured: \$(The sum of the secured and unsecured amount should match the amount in line 7.)		
		Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition: \$		
		Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed)%		
		Fixed		
		Variable		
10.	Is this claim based on a	<b>☑</b> No		
	lease?	Yes. Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition.		
11.	Is this claim subject to a right of setoff?	<b>☑</b> No		
	ngnt of seton!	Yes. Identify the property:		

Official Form 410 Proof of Claim

## 20-10418-mew Doc 1267-3 Filed 09/20/21 Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Exhibit B Proof of Claim No. 2689 Pg 4 of 13

		1001 01 Clailli 110. 2009 Fy 4 01 13	
12. Is all or part of the claim entitled to priority under			
11 U.S.C. § 507(a)?	Yes. Chec	k all that apply:	Amount entitled to priority
A claim may be partly priority and partly		estic support obligations (including alimony and child support) $\iota$ S.C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B).	under \$
nonpriority. For example, in some categories, the law limits the amount	Up to or ser	\$3,025* of deposits toward purchase, lease, or rental of provices for personal, family, or household use. 11 U.S.C. § 50	pperty 7(a)(7). \$
entitled to priority.	days	es, salaries, or commissions (up to \$13,650*) earned within 1 before the bankruptcy petition is filed or the debtor's busines ever is earlier. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4).	
	Taxes	s or penalties owed to governmental units. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)	)(8). \$
1	Contr	ibutions to an employee benefit plan. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(5).	\$
1	Other	Specify subsection of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)() that applies.	\$
	* Amounts	are subject to adjustment on 4/01/22 and every 3 years after that for ca	ses begun on or after the date of adjustment.
13. Is all or part of the claim pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9)?	days before	ate the amount of your claim arising from the value of any gover the date of commencement of the above case, in which the course of such Debtor's business. Attach documentation	ne goods have been sold to the Debtor in
1	\$	y source of such Beston a such least. Attackin decame matter	supporting such sidin.
	Φ	<del></del> -	
Part 3: Sign Below			
The person completing this proof of claim must sign and date it. FRBP 9011(b).  If you file this claim electronically, FRBP 5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules specifying what a signature is.  A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both.  18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.	☐ I am the trus ☐ I am a guara I understand that a the amount of the I have examined t I declare under per Executed on date  /s/Erika E. Signature	litor's attorney or authorized agent.  tee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent. Bankruptcy Rule intor, surety, endorser, or other codebtor. Bankruptcy Rule 300 an authorized signature on this <i>Proof of Claim</i> serves as an acclaim, the creditor gave the debtor credit for any payments rethe information in this <i>Proof of Claim</i> and have reasonable believed to perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.  12/08/2020  MM / DD / YYYYY  Barnes  f the person who is completing and signing this claim:  Erika E. Barnes  First name  Middle name  Assistant General Counsel  Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation Identify the corporate servicer as the company if the authorized agent is	cknowledgement that when calculating ceived toward the debt. ief that the information is true and correct.

Official Form 410 **Proof of Claim** 

# 20-10418-mew Doc 1267-3 Filed 09/20/21 Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Exhibit B KCC ePOC Electronic Claim Filing Summary

For phone assistance: Domestic (866) 810-6898 | International (424) 236-7215

Debtor:		
20-10418 - The McClatchy Company		
District:		
Southern District of New York, New York Division		
Creditor:	Has Supporting Doc	umentation:
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation	Yes, supportir	ng documentation successfully uploaded
Emily E. Manbeck	Related Document S	tatement:
1200 K Street, N.W.		
	Has Related Claim:	
Washington, DC, 20005	No	_
United States	Related Claim Filed	Ву:
Phone:	Filing Party:	
2022296607	Authorized ag	ent
Phone 2:	7 144.101.1204 49	
Fax:		
2023264318		
Email:		
manbeck.emily@pbgc.gov		
Other Names Used with Debtor:	Amends Claim:	
	Yes - 1772, 0	7/08/2020
	Acquired Claim:	
	No	
Basis of Claim:	Last 4 Digits:	Uniform Claim Identifier:
Please see attached Statement in Support.	No	
Total Amount of Claim:	Includes Interest or	Charges:
101,729,550.00	No	
Has Priority Claim:	Priority Under:	
No		
Has Secured Claim:	Nature of Secured A	mount:
No	Value of Property:	
Amount of 503(b)(9):	Annual Interest Rate	:
No	Arrearage Amount:	
Based on Lease:	_	
	No Basis for Perfection:	
ubject to Right of Setoff: Amount Unsecured:		
No		
Submitted By:		
Erika E. Barnes on 08-Dec-2020 3:04:09 p.m. Eastern	ı Time	
Title:		
Assistant General Counsel		
Company:		
Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation		

## 20-10418-mew<sub>our</sub> Darc 1267 alec Filed On 120/21 bsit Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Exhibit B Proof of Claim No. 2689 Pg 6 of 13

United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York				
Indicate Debtor against which you ass	Indicate Debtor against which you assert a claim by checking the appropriate box below. (Check only one Debtor per claim form.)			
The McClatchy Company (Case No. 20-10418)	☐ Macon Telegraph Publishing Company (Case No. 20-10436)	☐ Oak Street Redevelopment Corporation (Case No. 20-10888)		
☐ Aboard Publishing, Inc. (Case No. 20-10419)	☐ Mail Advertising Corporation (Case No. 20-10437)	☐ Olympian Publishing, LLC (Case No. 20-10455)		
☐ Bellingham Herald Publishing, LLC (Case No. 20-10420)	☐ McClatchy Big Valley, Inc. (Case No. 20-10438)	☐ Olympic-Cascade Publishing, Inc. (Case No. 20-10456)		
☐ Belton Publishing Company, Inc. (Case No. 20-10421)	☐ McClatchy Interactive LLC (Case No. 20-10439)	☐ Pacific Northwest Publishing Company, Inc. (Case No. 20-10457)		
☐ Biscayne Bay Publishing, Inc. (Case No. 20-10422)	☐ McClatchy Interactive West (Case No. 20-10440)	☐ Quad County Publishing, Inc. (Case No. 20-10458)		
☐ Cass County Publishing Company (Case No. 20-10423)	☐ McClatchy International Inc. (Case No. 20-10441)	☐ San Luis Obispo Tribune, LLC (Case No. 20-10459)		
☐ Columbus-Ledger Enquirer, Inc. (Case No. 20-10424)	☐ McClatchy Investment Company (Case No. 20-10442)	☐ Star-Telegram, Inc. (Case No. 20-10460)		
☐ Cypress Media, Inc. (Case No. 20-10417)	☐ McClatchy Management Services, Inc. (Case No. 20-10443)	☐ Tacoma News, Inc. (Case No. 20-10461)		
☐ Cypress Media, LLC (Case No. 20-10425)	☐ McClatchy News Services, Inc. (Case No. 20-10445)	☐ The Bradenton Herald, Inc. (Case No. 20-10462)		
☐ East Coast Newspapers, Inc. (Case No. 20-10426)	☐ McClatchy Newspapers, Inc. (Case No. 20-10444)	☐ The Charlotte Observer Publishing Company (Case No. 20-10463)		
☐ El Dorado Newspapers (Case No. 20-10427)	☐ McClatchy Property, Inc. (Case No. 20-10446)	☐ The News & Observer Publishing Co. (Case No. 20-10464)		
☐ Gulf Publishing Company, Inc. (Case No. 20-10428)	☐ McClatchy Resources, Inc. (Case No. 20-10447)	☐ The State Media Company (Case No. 20-10465)		
$\hfill \Box$ Herald Custom Publishing of Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V. (Case No. 20-10429)	☐ McClatchy Shared Services, Inc. (Case No. 20-10448)	☐ The Sun Publishing Company, Inc. (Case No. 20-10466)		
☐ HLB Newspapers, Inc. (Case No. 20-10430)	☐ McClatchy U.S.A., Inc. (Case No. 20-10449)	☐ Tribune Newsprint Company (Case No. 20-10467)		
☐ Idaho Statesman Publishing, LLC (Case No. 20-10431)	☐ Miami Herald Media Company (Case No. 20-10450)	☐ Tru Measure, LLC (Case No. 20-10468)		
☐ Keltatim Publishing Company, Inc. (Case No. 20-10432)	□ N & O Holdings, Inc. (Case No. 20-10451)	$\hfill\square$ Wichita Eagle and Beacon Publishing Company, Inc. (Case No. 20-10469)		
☐ Keynoter Publishing Company, Inc. (Case No. 20-10433)	☐ Newsprint Ventures, Inc. (Case No. 20-10452)	☐ Wingate Paper Company (Case No. 20-10470)		
☐ Lee's Summit Journal, Incorporated (Case No. 20-10434)	☐ Nittany Printing and Publishing Company (Case No. 20-10453)			
☐ Lexington H-L Services, Inc. (Case No. 20-10435)	☐ Nor-Tex Publishing, Inc. (Case No. 20-10454)			

# Official Form 410 Proof of Claim

04/19

Read the instructions before filling out this form. This form is for making a claim for payment in a bankruptcy case. Other than a claim under 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9), this form should not be used to make a claim for an administrative expense arising after the commencement of the case.

Filers must leave out or redact information that is entitled to privacy on this form or on any attached documents. Attach redacted copies or any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, and security agreements. Do not send original documents; they may be destroyed after scanning. If the documents are not available, explain in an attachment.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Fill in all the information about the claim as of the date the case was filed.

Pa	rt 1: Identify the Clain	n			
1.	Who is the current	Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation			
	creditor?	Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this claim)			
		Other names the creditor used with the debtor			
Has this claim been acquired from		☑ No			
	someone else?	Yes. From whom?			
3.	Where should	Where should notices to the creditor be sent?	Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if		
	notices and payments to the	Emily E. Manbeck	different)		
	creditor be sent?	Name	Name		
Ва	Federal Rule of	1200 K Street, N.W.			
	Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) 2002(g)	Number Street Washington DC 20005	Number Street		
	(FRDF) 2002(g)	City State ZIP Code	City State ZIP Code		
		U.S.A.			
		Country	Country		
		Contact phone (202) 229-6607	Contact phone		
		Contact email manbeck.emily@pbgc.gov	Contact email		
		Uniform claim identifier for electronic payments in chapter 13 (if you us	se one):		
4.	Does this claim amend one already filed?	□ No	) 1772 Filed as 07/08/2020		
	meu :	✓ Yes. Claim number on court claims registry (if known	) <u>1772</u> Filed on <u>MM / DD / YYYY</u>		
5. Do you know if No		☑ No			
	anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	Yes. Who made the earlier filing?			

Pa	20-10418-meW Give Information Abo	/ Doc 1267-3 Filed 09/20/21 Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Exhibit B out the Claim a <b>হণ্যেক্ ফিল্টোন্মনক্ষণেক্তি গুটিপ্ত</b> 9 Pg 7 of 13		
6.	Do you have any number you use to identify the debtor?	☑ No		
		Yes. Last 4 digits of the debtor's account or any number you use to identify the debtor:		
7.	How much is the claim?	\$\frac{101,729,550.00}{\infty} \text{. Does this amount include interest or other charges?} \text{No} \text{No} \text{Yes. Attach statement itemizing interest, fees, expenses, or other charges required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c)(2)(A).}		
8.	What is the basis of the claim?	Examples: Goods sold, money loaned, lease, services performed, personal injury or wrongful death, or credit card.  Attach redacted copies of any documents supporting the claim required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c).  Limit disclosing information that is entitled to privacy, such as health care information.  Please see attached Statement in Support.		
9.	Is all or part of the claim secured?	No   Yes. The claim is secured by a lien on property.   Nature of property:   Real estate: If the claim is secured by the debtor's principal residence, file a Mortgage Proof of Claim Attachment (Official Form 410-A) with this Proof of Claim.   Motor vehicle   Other. Describe:   Basis for perfection:   Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest (for example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the lien has been filed or recorded.)    Value of property:   Amount of the claim that is secured: \$   Amount of the claim that is unsecured: \$   Amount of the claim that is unsecured: \$   Amount of the claim that is unsecured: \$   Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition: \$   Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed)%   Fixed		
10.	Is this claim based on a lease?	No Yes. Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition. \$		
11.	Is this claim subject to a right of setoff?	✓ No  Yes. Identify the property:		

20-10418-mew Doc 1267-3 Filed 09/20/21 Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Exhibit B Proof of Claim No. 2689 Pg 8 of 13 12. Is all or part of the claim **☑** No entitled to priority under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)? Amount entitled to priority Yes. Check all that apply: A claim may be partly Domestic support obligations (including alimony and child support) under priority and partly 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B). nonpriority. For example, in some categories, the Up to \$3,025\* of deposits toward purchase, lease, or rental of property or law limits the amount services for personal, family, or household use. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7). entitled to priority. Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$13,650\*) earned within 180 days before the bankruptcy petition is filed or the debtor's business ends, whichever is earlier. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4). Taxes or penalties owed to governmental units. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8). Contributions to an employee benefit plan. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(5). Other. Specify subsection of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)( ) that applies. \* Amounts are subject to adjustment on 4/01/22 and every 3 years after that for cases begun on or after the date of adjustment. 13. Is all or part of the claim M No pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9)? Yes. Indicate the amount of your claim arising from the value of any goods received by the debtor within 20 days before the date of commencement of the above case, in which the goods have been sold to the Debtor in the ordinary course of such Debtor's business. Attach documentation supporting such claim. \$ Part 3: Sign Below The person completing Check the appropriate box: this proof of claim must sign and date it. I am the creditor. FRBP 9011(b). I am the creditor's attorney or authorized agent. If you file this claim electronically, FRBP I am the trustee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent. Bankruptcy Rule 3004. 5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules I am a guarantor, surety, endorser, or other codebtor. Bankruptcy Rule 3005. specifying what a signature I understand that an authorized signature on this Proof of Claim serves as an acknowledgement that when calculating the amount of the claim, the creditor gave the debtor credit for any payments received toward the debt. A person who files a fraudulent claim could be I have examined the information in this Proof of Claim and have reasonable belief that the information is true and correct. fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 12/08/2020 Executed on date 3571. MM / DD / YYYY /s/ Erika E. Barnes Signature Print the name of the person who is completing and signing this claim: E. Name First name Middle name Last name Assistant General Counsel Title Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation Company Identify the corporate servicer as the company if the authorized agent is a servicer. 1200 K Street, N.W Address Number Street DC 20005 U.S.A. Washington City State ZIP Code Country (202) 229-3460 Contact phone barnes.erika@pbgc.gov Email

## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:	)	Chapter 11
	)	
JCK Legacy Company, et al.	)	Case No. 20-10418
	)	
Debtors.	)	

## STATEMENT OF THE PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION IN SUPPORT OF ITS AMENDED CLAIM FOR PENSION INSURANCE PREMIUMS

The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC") hereby submits this Statement in Support of its amended claim against The McClatchy Company<sup>1</sup> ("Debtor") and each of the other Debtors for pension insurance premiums with respect to The McClatchy Company Retirement Plan (the "Pension Plan"), stating:

- 1. PBGC is a wholly-owned United States government corporation, and an agency of the United States, that administers the defined benefit pension plan termination insurance program under Title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, *as amended*, 29 U.S.C. §§ 1301-1461 (2018) ("ERISA"). PBGC guarantees the payment of certain pension benefits upon the termination of a single-employer pension plan covered by Title IV of ERISA. When an underfunded plan terminates, PBGC generally becomes trustee of the plan and, subject to certain statutory limitations, pays the plan's unfunded benefits with its insurance funds. *See* 29 U.S.C. §§ 1321-1322, 1342, 1361.
- 2. The Pension Plan is a single-employer defined benefit pension plan covered by Title IV of ERISA. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 1321.
  - 3. On February 13, 2020, the Debtors filed a petition for relief under Chapter 11 of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> JCK Legacy Company f/k/a The McClatchy Company. On September 11, 2020, the Court entered an order at Docket 837 authorizing the change of case caption in accordance with the change in corporate name from The McClatchy Company to JCK Legacy Company.

Bankruptcy Code. By Order of this Court, the Debtors' cases are consolidated for procedural purposes only, and are being jointly administered under case 20-10418.

- 4. On July 8, 2020, PBGC filed a proof of its claim against each of the Debtors for PBGC insurance premiums with respect to the Pension Plan, in the estimated amount of \$90,210,000. PBGC understands this claim was registered as Claim Number 1772. PBGC has revised the amount of the claim based on the date PBGC became the statutory trustee of the Pension Plan.
- 5. On September 4, 2020, PBGC became the statutory trustee of the Pension Plan, through an Agreement for Appointment of Trustee and Termination of Plan between the Debtor and PBGC. The date of termination for the Pension Plan is August 31, 2020.
- 6. On August 31, 2020, each of the Debtors was a contributing sponsor of the Pension Plan, 29 U.S.C. § 1301(a)(13), or a member of a contributing sponsor's controlled group, 29 U.S.C. § 1301(a)(14).
- 7. The contributing sponsor of the Pension Plan or the Pension Plan's Plan

  Administrator is the designated payor of PBGC insurance premiums. 29 U.S.C. § 1307(a), (e).
- 8. Each member of the contributing sponsor's controlled group is jointly and severally liable to PBGC for insurance premiums, interest, and penalties (collectively, "Premiums") with respect to the Pension Plan. 29 U.S.C. § 1307(e)(2). These Premiums include:
  - (a) Flat-Rate and Variable-Rate Premiums, see 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(3), 29 C.F.R. § 4006.3, and
  - (b) If the Pension Plan terminates in a distress termination pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §§ 1341(c)(2)(B)(ii) or (iii), or in an involuntary termination under 29 U.S.C. § 1342, Termination Premiums at the rate of \$1,250 per plan participant per year for three

- years. See 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7), as amended by § 8101(b) the Deficit Reduction Act of 2005 (Pub. L. 109-171) and by §§ 401(b) and 402(g)(2)(B) of the Pension Protection Act of 2006 (Pub. L. 109-280).
- 9. This is an amended claim for Premiums that the Debtors owe to PBGC in the total amount of \$101,729,550, apportioned as follows:
  - (a) Flat-Rate and Variable-Rate Premiums arising after the petition date are administrative expenses entitled to priority under 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(1) and 507(a)(2). This claim includes Flat-Rate and Variable-Rate Premiums arising after the petition date in an amount of zero (\$0.00).
  - (b) Flat-Rate and Variable-Rate Premiums arising before the petition date are general unsecured claims. This claim includes Flat-Rate and Variable-Rate Premiums arising before the petition date in an amount of \$11,519,550.00.
  - (c) Any Termination Premiums other than that described in paragraph 10 are asserted as a general unsecured claim. PBGC estimates that the total amount of Termination Premiums is \$90,210,000.00.
- 10. If the Pension Plan terminates in a distress termination pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1341(c)(2)(B)(ii) or in an involuntary termination under 29 U.S.C. § 1342 while the Debtor is attempting to reorganize in Chapter 11, and the Debtor ultimately obtains confirmation of a Chapter 11 plan of reorganization, the Debtor's obligation to PBGC for Termination Premiums does not exist until after the Chapter 11 plan is confirmed and the Debtor obtains a discharge. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7)(B). Thus, under those circumstances, Termination Premiums are not a dischargeable claim or debt within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. §§ 101(5) and 1141.
  - 11. Documents supporting this claim include the Pension Plan document with

20-10418-mew Doc 1267-3 Filed 09/20/21 Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Exhibit B Proof of Claim No. 2689 Pg 12 of 13

applicable amendments; relevant collateral agreements, if any; United States Internal Revenue

Service Form 5500s; PBGC Annual Premium Payment forms; and annual actuarial valuation

reports for the Pension Plan. On information and belief, the Debtor or a member of its controlled

group has in its possession and control copies or originals of these documents.

12. PBGC's investigation of this matter is continuing. The agency reserves the right to

amend, modify, and supplement this proof of claim and/or to file additional proofs of claim. This

claim may be subject to a right of setoff by PBGC as an agency of the United States government,

and the right of the United States to withhold subject to offset amounts due from other federal

entities. The filing of this proof of claim is not intended to be and shall not be construed as (1) an

election of remedy or (2) a waiver or limitation of any rights of PBGC, the Pension Plan or any of

its beneficiaries or participants.

13. Under the Order Establishing Bar Dates for Filing Proofs of Claim and Approving

Form and Manner of Notice Thereof signed by this Court on May 21, 2020 (Dkt. 485), this single

proof of claim shall be deemed to constitute the filing of a proof of claim against each and every

Debtor, asserted as a joint and several liability, in this jointly administered proceeding.

Dated: Washington, D.C.

December 8, 2020

C. PAUL CHALMERS

**Acting General Counsel** 

KARTAR S. KHALSA

Deputy General Counsel

ERIKA E. BARNES

**Assistant General Counsel** 

ERIN C. KIM

KIMBERLY E. NEUREITER

EMILY E. MANBECK

Attorneys

Office of the General Counsel

4

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION 1200 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005-4026 (202) 229-6607 (202) 326-4112 manbeck.emily@pbgc.gov and efile@pbgc.gov

- and -

SCHAFER AND WEINER, PLLC JOSEPH K. GREKIN (P52165) 70950 Woodward Ave., Suite 100 Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304 (248) 540-3340 jgrekin@schaferandweiner.com

## Exhibit C

USA Commercial Mortgage Order Disallowing PBGC Termination Premium

#### 20-104a8en0ew10725c4v267-40ocFiled309/20121ed Di7ter6/0309120625313:#2:v201 offxhibit C USA Commercial Mortgage Order Pg 2 of 5 1 2 **Entered on Docket** July 18, 2008 3 Hon. Linda B. Riegle **United States Bankruptcy Judge** 4 5 6 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP FOLEY & LARDNER LLP 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, NV 89169-5996 Facsimile (702) 949-8321 Telephone (702) 949-8320 321 North Clark Street, Suite 2800 Chicago, IL 60610 7 Facsimile (312) 832-4700 Telephone (312) 832-4500 8 Susan M. Freeman AZ State Bar No. 004199 Edward J. Green IL State Bar No. 6225069 Email: sfreeman@lrlaw.com Rob Charles NV State Bar No. 006593 Email: Geoffrey S. Goodman IL State Bar No. 6272297 9 Email: rcharles@lrlaw.com John Hinderaker AZ State Bar No. 018024 10 Email: jhinderaker@lrlaw.com Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust 11 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 12 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 13 In re: Case No. BK-S-06-10725-LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10726-LBR 14 USA Commercial Mortgage Company, Case No. BK-S-06-10727-LBR Case No. BK-S-06-10728-LBR<sup>1</sup> 15 Case No. BK-S-06-10729-LBR<sup>2</sup> USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC, 16 USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund, LLC, CHAPTER 11 17 USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC, 1 Jointly Administered Under Case No. BK-S-06-10725 LBR 18 USA Securities, LLC,<sup>2</sup> Debtors. ORDER DISALLOWING AMENDED 19 CLAIM NO. 791 FILED BY THE Affects: PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY 20 CORPORATION □All Debtors ☑ USA Commercial Mortgage Company 21 ☐ USA Capital Realty Advisors, LLC Date of Hearing: April 17, 2008 ☐ USA Capital Diversified Trust Deed Fund. Time of Hearing: 9:30 a.m. 22 LLC ☐ USA Capital First Trust Deed Fund, LLC 23 ☐ USA Securities, LLC 24 25 26

This bankruptcy case was closed on October 12, 2007.

## 

This matter having come before the Court upon the supplemental objection (the "Objection") of the USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") [DE 5927], filed on March 4, 2008, to the termination premium claim under 29 U.S.C. § 1306(a)(7) included in Amended Claim No. 791 (the "Termination Premium Claim") filed by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation (the "PBGC"); the PBGC having filed a response in opposition to the Objection on March 14, 2008 (the "Response") [DE 5984]; the USACM Trust having filed a reply in support of the Objection on March 25, 2008 (the "Reply") [DE 6049]; the PBGC having filed a sur-reply in opposition to the Objection on March 31, 2008 (the "Sur-reply") [DE 6063]; the Objection having come before the Court for hearing on April 17, 2008 (the "Hearing"); the Court having considered the Objection, the Response, the Reply, the Sur-reply, the arguments of counsel at the Hearing and other materials of which this Court may take judicial notice; and the Court being otherwise sufficiently advised;

### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Objection is sustained for the reasons set forth by this Court in its oral ruling at the Hearing; and
- 2. The Termination Premium Claim No. 10725-00791-2 is hereby disallowed in its entirety.

2 1910703.1

1 PREPARED AND SUBMITTED: 2 LEWIS AND ROCA LLP 3 /s/ RC (#0006593) 4 Susan M. Freeman Rob Charles 5 John Hinderaker 6 -and -7 FOLEY & LARDNER LLP Edward J. Green 8 Geoffrey S. Goodman 9 Attorneys for USACM Liquidating Trust 10 11 APPROVED 12 PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY 13 CORPORATION 14 By: James Eggeman Assistant Chief Counsel (pro 15 hac vice pending) 16 Frank A. Anderson, DC 478234 (pro hac vice) Erika E. Barnes, CA 197309 (pro hac vice) 17 Office of the Chief Counsel 1200 K. Street, NW Suite 340 18 Washington, DC 20005-3759 Email: anderson.frank@pbgc.gov 19 20 (APPROVED) 21 LISA POULIN, CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE FOR USA INVESTMENT PARTNERS, LLC 22 23 /s/ Gregory Garman By: Gregory Garman 24 Gordon & Silver, Ltd. 3960 Howard Hughes Pky., 9th Floor 25 Las Vegas, NV 89109 26 Email: geg@gordonsilver.com

## 20-10**418**-m06W107D5cg1267-4DodF661309/20W21ed 12m1466018091/26125313:472:2004 6ffxhibit C USA Commercial Mortgage Order Pg 5 of 5

1	CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 9021	
2	In accordance with Local Rule 9021, the undersigned certifies:	
3		The Court waived the requirements of approval under LR 9021.
4		No parties appeared or filed written objections, and there is no trustee appointed in the case.
5 6		No opposition was filed to the motion and no other party or counsel appeared at the hearing.
7 8 9	<b>Z</b> 3	I have delivered a copy of this proposed order to all attorneys and unrepresented parties who have appeared at the hearing, and any trustee appointed in the case, and each has approved or disapproved the order, or failed to respond, as indicated below:
10 11	[Claimant] LISA POULIN, CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE FOR USA INVESTMENT PARTNERS, LLC	
12		
13		
14	LEWIS AND ROCA LLP	
15		
16	Susan M. Freeman Rob Charles	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		

20-10418-mew Doc 1267-5 Filed 09/20/21 Entered 09/20/21 13:42:20 Exhibit D Transcript of Record Pg 1 of 12

## Exhibit D

Transcript of Record

```
1
            (Court previously convened at 09:42:16 a.m.)
            (Partial transcript at 09:48:45 a.m.)
 2
                THE COURT: Oh, those are at 10:30. Okay. All
 3
      right.
 4
 5
           Next, let's before we do this --
           (Colloquy not on the record.)
 6
 7
                THE COURT: Well, these are all equally horrific, so
      I quess we'll do the PBGC first.
 8
                THE CLERK: Item No. 4.
 9
10
                THE COURT: All right. Appearances, please, in the
      USA Commercial Mortgage case.
11
12
           (Colloquy not on the record.)
                MR. GOODMAN: Good morning, your Honor.
13
14
      Geoff Goodman, Foley & Lardner, special counsel to the
15
      USACM Liquidating Trust for the PBGC matter.
16
                MR. BARNES: Good morning, your Honor. Erika Barnes
17
      representing Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.
                MR. GARMAN: Your Honor, Greg Garman for the estates
18
      of USA Investments Partners and Investor VI. The claim
19
20
      trickles over and relates to us, also.
21
                THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else wish to make their
22
      appearance in the Commercial Mortgage matter since we're in the
23
      case? Okay. I just need --
                MR. EGGEMAN: Good morning, your Honor. Jim Eggeman
24
      also on behalf of Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.
25
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Okay. All right. Just to clarify the
      record here, the only claim remaining is 791, correct?
 2
                MR. GOODMAN: That's correct, your Honor. And,
 3
      actually, in a way, it's actually only a portion of that claim,
 4
 5
      the portion that was resolved pursuant to stipulation and on
      the 4th of April, but it's the termination-premium portion of
 6
 7
      791.
                THE COURT: Okay. So I'm just trying to clean up the
 8
 9
      calendar for the clerk's purpose.
10
                MR. GOODMAN: Yes, your Honor.
                THE COURT: So Docket No. 4 can be taken off
11
      calendar. Docket No. 5 can be taken off calendar, and what
12
      we're really hearing is the supplemental objection to 791,
13
14
      correct?
                MR. BARNES: Yes, your Honor.
15
16
                MR. GOODMAN: That's correct.
17
                THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.
                MR. GOODMAN: Thank you, your Honor. Geoff Goodman
18
      on behalf of USACM Liquidating Trust, special counsel. As
19
20
      your Honor mentioned, the only thing left -- we settled with
21
      the PBGC on a substantial portion of that claim.
           What's left is this termination-premium claim, and this
22
23
      claim arises out of or has its genesis in the so-called
      Deficit Reduction Act of -- I believe it's labelled as of 2005.
24
           You know, the president didn't sign it until February of
25
```

THE COURT: Thank you. First of all, I want to thank both of you for your briefs and your arguments. A lot of times -- you know, I always take oral argument.

But a lot of times oral argument isn't that helpful to me. This time it was helpful to -- and for another reason to kind of crystallize the thoughts in my mind and try and understand this statute. I mean, reading the -- my hat's off to you who deal with this.

Reading the ERISA and PBGC's statutes is as obtuse to me as people who do state court litigation trying to read the bankruptcy code I'm sure, so I understand.

But I'm going to sustain the objection, and I think that PBGC has argued well. The point is -- I just -- the law's just not on your side on this issue.

I appreciate your arguments, and I certainly fully appreciate the goals of the PBGC, the work of the PBGC, but we have the competing interest of the bankruptcy code, and we have two statutes involved.

The 1306 amendment -- the amendments to 1306 by the DRA (phonetic) of 2005 -- and I think we need to parse it and say special rules or plans terminated in bankruptcy reorganizations, but then it goes on to say in the case of a single-employer plan terminated under -- in this case, Section 1342 -- during pendency of any bankruptcy reorganization proceeding under Chapter 11 of Title 11

```
1
      United States Code, 11, USC, Section 1101, et seq.
           While -- or -- okay, seminal law. Subparagraph A, which
 2
      is the termination of premium "shall not apply to such plan
 3
      until the date of discharge or dismissal of such person in such
 4
 5
      case."
           So first of all, does that mean that it's only a
 6
 7
      reorganization in the very limited sense of the term? And I
      find it does not.
 8
 9
           I mean, Chapter 11 is called reorganization. Chapter 11
10
      allows liquidating plans. Importantly, I think the statute
      says any bankruptcy reorganization proceeding. It didn't say a
11
12
      bankruptcy reorganization, and it refers specifically to
      Title 11.
13
14
           I don't think it's illogical to read the statute that way
      because congress could have wanted that reorganizations are --
15
16
      that -- I don't think it's illogical to read it in that regard
17
      because it wanted to cover everything in a Chapter 11.
           In this case, while there's a liquidating plan, it wasn't
18
      merely that the assets were taken and sold, there's more. In
19
20
      other words, the trust continues, the trust collects assets,
21
      but there are no more employees.
           And I don't think it's illogical -- now, we have sort of
22
23
      like I quess it'd be the equivalent of the old springing
      interest. We purportedly have a premium, but then when's the
24
25
      premium due? Well, the premium's never due until there's a
```

discharge or a dismissal.

So one could say isn't that illogical that you have a premium, but it never comes due? Well, no. Perhaps that was congress' way of distinguishing. We're only going to apply this premium to new employers who take over -- who buy companies out of bankruptcy, and that could be quite logical.

We've seen a lot of hedge funds, for example, buy companies out of bankruptcy, proceed having terminated the plan, dumping the employees. Maybe it was congress' way of saying wait a minute. If you're going to do that, you got to pay a termination premium.

And conversely, it would be illogical for congress to say, well, if we're just liquidating, there's no sense for an administrative priority. There's no sense for a claim, the employees have been terminated.

So I find that the PBGC doesn't have a claim for the premium under that section. Although, you know, again, it seems to have a claim, but it never comes into being.

But, in any case, I don't find that even assuming that we took the very narrow reading and reorganization meant coming out with a new entity -- and I'm not sure how we even get to that, I don't -- I find that it's a penalty because the point is it is not applicable to single-employer plans -- it is only applicable to single-employer plans who terminate under 1342 which is a hardship discharge or clause 2 or 3 of Section

```
1
      1341(c)(2)(B). (C)(2)(B) is distress terminations of
 2
      single-employer plans.
           So clearly, it's meant to be a penalty or a deterrent from
 3
      termination of plans under distressed circumstances. It bears
 4
 5
      no relationship to what was owed.
           And I think that as I sort of indicated to counsel, she
 6
 7
      did a very good job trying to distinguish, but I think the
      point is to suggest that just because -- because the money's
 8
 9
      owed to the PBGC, because the PBGC does government work,
10
      because PBGC gets taxpayers' funds, ergo, it's a tax, takes
      that argument too far. It means everything's a tax and
11
      nothing's ever a penalty, and we know from the reorganized
12
      CFI&R (sic) case that that's not the case.
13
14
           Just an aside note, it is not my intent to publish at this
      time. I just have too many other irons in the fire. I know
15
16
      you all sort of want published decisions for various purposes.
17
      That's not to say I won't, but I don't think I will. I just --
      as I said, I'm just too backed up, so these are my oral
18
      findings and conclusions on the record.
19
2.0
           Thank you, again, very much.
21
                MR. GOODMAN: Thank you, your Honor.
22
                MS. BARNES: Excuse me.
23
                THE COURT: All right. What I'd like to do --
                MR. EGGEMAN: Your Honor --
24
25
                THE COURT: Sorry. Um-h'm. Oh, I'm sorry.
```

```
1
      (Indiscernible) comment.
                MS. BARNES: Your Honor, we just ask for a
 2
      clarification.
 3
                THE COURT: Sure.
 4
                MR. EGGEMAN: You said you find that there's no claim
 5
      under --
 6
 7
                THE COURT RECORDER: I'm sorry. Could you speak into
      one of the microphones.
 8
 9
                MR. EGGEMAN: I beg your pardon.
10
                THE COURT RECORDER: Thank you.
                MR. EGGEMAN: Your Honor, you were saying you find
11
      that there's no claim under --
12
                THE COURT: Yeah. I've got to admit I'm a little
13
14
      conflicted on whether or not -- there's clearly no
15
      administrative claim, and it would seem to me that you wouldn't
16
      even have a claim, period, because of the way 1306 works, but
17
      I'm unclear about -- maybe the point is it's just an unsecured
      claim because it never comes into being, and I --
18
19
                MR. EGGEMAN: Well, you --
                THE COURT: I don't know. I --
20
                MR. EGGEMAN: Your Honor --
21
22
                THE COURT: I think their point is there is no claim
23
      as opposed to --
                MR. GOODMAN: Yes. Under your Honor's reasoning of
24
25
      the first point --
```

```
1
                THE COURT: Of the first point.
                MR. GOODMAN: -- there would be no claim because it's
 2
 3
      not owed.
 4
                THE COURT: Because it's not owed. Right.
 5
                MR. EGGEMAN: Under B, under 1307(a)(7)(B).
                THE COURT: Yes. Let me clarify that. 1307 --
 6
 7
                MS. BARNES: 1306.
                THE COURT: -- B, because --
 8
 9
                MR. EGGEMAN: I beg your pardon --
10
                THE COURT: -- while there is a termination premium,
      it never becomes due, so the point is since it never becomes
11
      due, it becomes a nullity I guess is the best way to explain
12
      that.
13
14
                MR. GOODMAN: Essentially, your Honor, if I may --
                THE COURT RECORDER: I'm sorry. Could you move
15
16
      closer to the microphone --
17
                THE COURT: We need you by the microphone.
                THE COURT RECORDER: -- please.
18
                MR. GOODMAN: I apologize.
19
20
                THE COURT RECORDER: Thank you.
21
                MR. GOODMAN: Jeff Goodman on behalf USACM
      Liquidating Trust. I mentioned this. You know, even if it
22
      were a claim, it would have been a contingent claim. To the
23
      extent that it was, the contingency doesn't arise here, so,
24
25
      therefore, if it would been estimated, it would have been
```

```
1
      estimated at zero.
                THE COURT: Okay. I don't know if I agree with that.
 2
 3
      I'm not adopting that necessarily --
                MR. GOODMAN: I understand that.
 4
                THE COURT: -- by finding.
 5
                MR. EGGEMAN: May I have --
 6
 7
                THE COURT: Yes. Uh-huh.
                MR. EGGEMAN: -- just another word, your Honor. It's
 8
 9
      Jim Eggeman on behalf of PBGC. So you're saying that under B
10
      no claim would arise in a liquidating 11, is that --
                THE COURT: I --
11
12
                MR. EGGEMAN: -- but in --
                THE COURT: That's right. No. As long as it's a
13
14
      Chapter 11 proceeding then it doesn't apply, there is no claim,
15
      and I think that's the point because I think even the code
16
      means, even -- let's assume that we had a reorganization. I
17
      don't believe it's even a claim in the estate because it says
      it doesn't apply until the date --
18
19
                MR. EGGEMAN: Well, that's the argument --
                THE COURT: -- of the confirmation.
20
21
                MR. EGGEMAN: That's the argument we made in Oneida,
22
      and Oneida found that there was a claim.
23
                THE COURT: I appreciate that.
24
                MR. EGGEMAN: Okay.
25
                THE COURT: But that's -- I --
```

```
MR. EGGEMAN: I --
 1
                THE COURT: I have --
 2
 3
                MR. EGGEMAN: Off point. I --
                THE COURT: -- great respect for that Judge, and I'm
 4
 5
      not sure I disagree or I don't have that circumstance, but it
      would seem to me that the logic would follow that you don't
 6
 7
      even have a claim because it doesn't even arise until after the
      confirmation.
 8
 9
                MR. EGGEMAN: We would agree with you, your Honor.
10
                THE COURT: So -- all right. Thank you --
                MR. EGGEMAN: In --
11
12
                THE COURT: -- again.
13
                MR. EGGEMAN: In reorganization certainly.
14
                THE COURT: Thank you again for your arguments.
                MR. EGGEMAN: Thank you, your Honor.
15
                MS. BARNES: Thank you, your Honor.
16
17
                THE COURT RECORDER: Mr. Eggeman, could you spell
18
      your last name for the record please.
19
                MR. EGGEMAN: E-g-g-e-m-a-n.
20
                THE COURT RECORDER: Thank you, sir.
21
                THE COURT: Okay.
                MS. ITKIN: Your Honor, regarding Hantges. The
22
      parties (indiscernible) --
23
                THE COURT RECORDER: I'm sorry. Could you come to
24
25
      the microphone please.
```

		<b>○</b> /
1	I certify that the foregoing is	a correct transcript from
2	the electronic sound recording of th	
3	above-entitled matter.	
4		
5		
6	/s/ Michele Phelps	04/25/08
7	Michele Phelps, Transcriptionist	 Date
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		