12-12020-mg Doc 9887 Filed 05/12/16 Entered 05/12/16 11:40:40 Main Date Filed: 05/12/2016

Pg 1 of 5 Hearing Date: June 15, 2016 at 10:00 A.M. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 250 West 55<sup>th</sup> Street New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 468-8000 Facsimile: (212) 468-7900 Norman S. Rosenbaum Jordan A. Wishnew Jessica J. Arett

Counsel for the ResCap Borrower Claims Trust

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re:

RESIDENTIAL CAPITAL, LLC, et al.,

Debtors.

Case No. 12-12020 (MG)

Chapter 11

Jointly Administered

# RESCAP BORROWER CLAIMS TRUST'S OMNIBUS REPLY IN SUPPORT OF ITS NINETY-THIRD OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS ((I) REDESIGNATE AND ALLOW BORROWER CLAIMS, (II) RECLASSIFY AND ALLOW BORROWER CLAIMS, (III) REDESIGNATE, RECLASSIFY AND ALLOW BORROWER CLAIMS, <u>AND (IV) ALLOW IN FULL BORROWER CLAIMS) AS TO CLAIM NO. 1457</u>



### 12-12020-mg Doc 9887 Filed 05/12/16 Entered 05/12/16 11:48:42 Main Document Pg 2 of 5 Hearing Date: June 15, 2016 at 10:00 A.M. (Prevailing Eastern Time)

The ResCap Borrower Claims Trust (the "<u>Borrower Trust</u>"), established pursuant to the terms of the Plan<sup>1</sup> filed in the above-captioned Chapter 11 Cases, as successor in interest to the above-captioned Debtors with respect to Borrower Claims, by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby submits this reply (the "<u>Reply</u>") to the response filed by Beverly Blake (the "<u>Respondent</u>") [Docket No. 9849] (the "<u>Response</u>")<sup>2</sup> to the *ResCap Borrower Claims Trust's Ninety-Third Omnibus Objection to Claims ((I) Redesignate and Allow Borrower Claims, (II) Reclassify and Allow Borrower Claims, (III) Redesignate, Reclassify and Allow Borrower Claims, and (IV) Allow In Full Borrower Claims)* [Docket No. 9779] (the "<u>Objection</u>"). The Trust respectfully states as follows:

### **PRELIMINARY STATEMENT**

1. The Borrower Trust examined the Response and the statements submitted in support thereof. For purposes of this Reply and the Objection, the Borrower Trust takes these statements at face value. If the Court is not prepared to rule on the Objection with respect to the Respondent, then the Borrower Trust reserves the right to take discovery from the Respondent.

2. As described herein, the Borrower Trust examined the Claim and determined that it improperly asserts a priority claim under section 507(a)(7) of the Bankruptcy Code. For the reasons set forth in the Objection and this Reply, the Respondent has failed to demonstrate she made a deposit in connection with the purchase, lease, or rental of property or services that were not delivered or provided and therefore is not entitled to a priority claim under section 507(a)(7). Therefore, the Respondent has failed to meet her burden of proof, and the relief sought in the Objection should be granted with respect to the Respondent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Objection. <sup>2</sup> The Borrower Trust also received a letter, attached as <u>Exhibit A</u> hereto (the "<u>Letter</u>"), that might have been in response to the Objection. However, the signature on the Letter is illegible, and there is nothing in the Letter to identify the author as a Borrower whose claim was objected to in the Objection. As a result, the Borrower Trust does not respond to the Letter in this Reply.

#### BACKGROUND

3. In connection with the claims reconciliation process, the Borrower Trust identified certain claims filed by Borrowers that it believed improperly assert a secured claim or a priority claim under section 507 of the Bankruptcy Code (together, the "<u>Reclassify and Allow</u> <u>Borrower Claims</u>").

### **Background Facts**

4. On or around October 22, 2012, the Respondent filed a proof of claim against Debtor Homecomings Financial, LLC ("<u>Homecomings</u>") designated as Claim No. 1457 (the "<u>Claim</u>"), asserting a priority unsecured claim in the amount of \$26,000.00. <u>See Exhibit B</u> attached hereto. On the proof of claim form, the Respondent identified her claim as a priority claim under section 507(a)(7) of the Bankruptcy Code and stated as the basis for her claim: "There was a breach of performance in handling my loan for real estate." <u>See id</u>.

5. In the Response, the Respondent expands on the basis for her claim, stating that her claim is based on the improper origination of her loan.

### **REPLY**

6. A filed proof of claim is "deemed allowed, unless a party in interest ... objects." 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). Section 502(b)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code provides, in relevant part, that a claim may not be allowed to the extent that "such claim is unenforceable against the debtor and property of the debtor, under any agreement or applicable law...." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b)(1). As noted previously by the Court, claims objections have a shifting burden of proof. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3001(f), a Respondent establishes a prima facie case against a debtor upon filing a proof of claim alleging facts sufficient to support the claim. The objecting party is thereafter required to produce evidence equal in force to that provided by the Respondent to rebut the presumption of the Respondent's prima facie case. In re Residential

# 12-12020-mg Doc 9887 Filed 05/12/16 Entered 05/12/16 11:48:42 Main Document Pg 4 of 5

<u>Capital, LLC</u>, 507 B.R. 477, 490 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2014). <u>See also Allegheny Int'l, Inc. v.</u> <u>Snyder (In re Allegheny Int'l, Inc.)</u>, 954 F.2d 167, 173-74 (3d Cir. 1992).

7. Once an objection refutes an essential allegation of the claim, the burden of persuasion is on the holder of a proof of claim to establish a valid claim against a debtor by a preponderance of the evidence. <u>Residential Capital</u>, 507 B.R at 490; <u>Feinberg v. Bank of N.Y.</u> (In re Feinberg), 442 B.R. 215, 220-22 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2010); <u>In re Oneida Ltd.</u>, 400 B.R. 384, 389 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2009), <u>aff'd sub nom.</u>, <u>Peter J. Solomon Co. v. Oneida Ltd.</u>, No. 09-cv-2229 (DC), 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6500 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 22, 2010).

8. As stated in the Objection, the Borrower Trust is prepared to allow the Claim as a general unsecured non-priority claim, but disputes that the Claim is entitled to priority status under section 507(a)(7) of the Bankruptcy Code. That provision states that the following types of claims shall receive priority:

[A]llowed unsecured claims of individuals, to the extent of \$[2,600]<sup>3</sup> for each individual, arising from the deposit before the commencement of the case, of money in connection with the purchase, lease, or rental of property, or the purchase of services, for the personal, family, or household use of such individuals, that were not delivered or provided.

## 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7).

9. Here, the Respondent has not identified any deposit that she made with Homecomings in connection with the purchase, lease, or rental of property that was not provided to her. Rather, she asserts that her claim is based on higher interest rates and fees that she has been required to make. Furthermore, the Claim amount far exceeds the \$2,600 cap on priority

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For cases commenced prior to April 1, 2013, the dollar amount is \$2,600, rather than \$2,775, the amount currently listed in 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7).

# 12-12020-mg Doc 9887 Filed 05/12/16 Entered 05/12/16 11:48:42 Main Document Pg 5 of 5

claims permitted under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7). The Borrower Trust has not identified any other basis for granting the Claim priority status, and no other basis is put forward by the Respondent. As a result, the Respondent has failed to demonstrate that her claim is entitled to be classified as a priority claim, and the Court should reclassify her claim as a general unsecured claim and allow it in the asserted amount.

### **CONCLUSION**

10. WHEREFORE, the Borrower Trust respectfully submits that the relief requested in the Objection should be granted in its entirety, and the Claim should be reclassified as a general unsecured claim and allowed against Homecomings in the amount of \$26,000.

Dated: May 12, 2016 New York, New York <u>/s/ Norman S. Rosenbaum</u> Norman S. Rosenbaum Jordan A. Wishnew Jessica J. Arett MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 250 West 55<sup>th</sup> Street New York, New York 10019 Telephone: (212) 468-8000 Facsimile: (212) 468-7900

Counsel for the ResCap Borrower Claims Trust

# <u>Exhibit A</u>

4/18/16 Case no. 12-12020(MG) To Whom It May Concern,

The insurance fines, penalties and fees imposed on my property by Central mortgage and GMAC during an economic depression, with no consideration to the mortgage hardships millions of Americans were forced to deal with do to the illegal practices of banks and mortgage companies, caused me and my family to suffer hardships, misfortunes, and increase charges on everything. My credit was destroyed. I was a victim of predatory lending. Insurance was imposed by the mortgage company; and I was sold a liber index loan on another property, without my knowledge, because of the damage done to my credit by GMAC illegal unfair predatory practices. This had a snowball effect on my entire life. My finances, career, and marriage unraveled. This became a hopeless and impossible situation for 7 plus years and counting. This situation caused me to default and pay other creditors late. Because property insurance was imposed by GMAC, that was priced much higher then normal insurance, (put with half the coverage), I was not able to do the repairs caused by hurricane in 2014. (I could not get my property fixed do to I had no control over the insurance that was forced on my property.) The property was unsuitable for certification. I lost that property in Brigantine. On my other property, I have been forced to keep a liber index because my credit does not allow me to qualify for a refinance. My credit score would not allow me to take out a loan for my daughter's Rutgers tuition, and she could not attend. Credit cards, mortgage, purchases, retirement savings, and investments, have been greatly affected negatively, by these injustices done by GMAC.

RECEVED

MORRISON | FOERSTER

12-12020-mg Doc 9887-2 Filed 05/12/16 Entered 05/12/16 11:48:42 Exhibit B Pg 1 of 2

# <u>Exhibit B</u>

12-12020-mg Doc 9887-2 Filed 05/12/16 Entered 05/12/16 11:48:42 Exhibit R Claim #1457 Date Filed: 10/22/2012

B 10 Modified (Official Form 10) (12/11)	odified (Official For	m 10) (12/11)
--	-----------------------	---------------

Fyzuz

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY	<b>COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN</b>	DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	PROOF OF CLAIM
Name of Debtor and Case Number:	tome cominas à	TINANCIA/ILC 12-1204	12
NOTE: This form should not be used case. A "request" for paym	to make a claim for an administrative expense (o	ther than a claim asserted under 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9)) ari laim asserted under 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9)) may be filed put	ising after the commencement of the rsuant to $11 \text{ U.S.C } \$ 503$ .
	ntity to whom the debtor owes money or property		Check this box if this claim
BEVER	1, A. BLAKE		amends a previously filed
Name and address where notices should	ha sent:		claim.
RELAT		1/e, LOUISIANA 71360 1: b-blake 8 @yahoo.com	Court Claim
DEVERIN H. +	STATE		Number:
210 P. M. ARA	AFORD St. PINEWIL	16 LOUISIANA 71360	(If known)
810 012 214		4	Filed on:
(310) 5	AQ QIALI	L Lalla & Q	Check this box if you are aware that anyone else has filed a proof
Telephone number: 50 50	28-8614 emai	ED-BINCES (ayghoo, com	of claim relating to this claim.
Name and address where payment shou	d be sent (if different from above):	1	Attach copy of statement giving
			particulars.
			5. Amount of Claim Entitled to
Telephone number:	emai	l:	Priority under 11 U.S.C.
			§507(a). If any part of the claim falls into one of the following
1. Amount of Claim as of Date Case			categories, check the box
If all or part of the claim is secured, co			specifying the priority and state the amount.
If all or part of the claim is entitled to $\Box$ Check this how if the claim include		ipal amount of the claim. Attach a statement that itemizes	
interest or charges.	s marest or ourse charges in addition to the princi	ipar anount of the claim. Attach a statement that heritizes	Domestic support obligations under 11 U.S.C.
2. Basis for Claim: There	Wasabreachof	Performance,	§507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B).
(See instruction #2) in ha	Ndling my JOAN FO	REAL ESTATE,	Wages, salaries, or
3. Last four digits of any number by	3a. Debtor may have scheduled account as:	3b. Uniform Claim Identifier (optional):	commissions (up to \$11,725*) earned within 180 days before
which creditor identifies debtor:	Alison Tearnen		the case was filed or the
1234	(See instruction #3a)	(See instruction #3b)	debtor's business ceased, whichever is earlier - 11
4. Secured Claim (See instruction #4)	a <sup>,</sup>		U.S.C. §507 (a)(4).
	s secured by a lien on property or a right of setofi	f, attach required redacted documents, and provide the	Contributions to an employee
requested information.			benefit plan - 11 U.S.C. §507 (a)(5).
	□Real Estate □Motor Vehicle □Other		Up to \$2,600* of deposits
Describe:		1. Mar 1. Mar 1. 1. 1.	toward purchase, lease, or
Value of Property: \$	Annual Interest Rate%  [] (when case was filed)	Fixed OVariable	rental of property or services for personal, family, or
Amount of arrearage and other charg	ges, as of the time case was filed, included in se	ecured claim,	household use – 11 U.S.C.
if any: \$	Basis for perfect	tion:	§507 (a)(7).
			Taxes or penalties owed to governmental units – 11U.S.C.
Amount of Secured Claim: \$	Amount Unsect	ured: \$	\$507 (a)(8).
6. Claim Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Other – Specify applicable
Indicate the amount of your claim arising i	rom the value of any goods received by the Debtor w		paragraph of 11 U.S.C. §507 (a)().
supporting such claim.	h the goods have been sold to the Debtor in the ordin	hary course of such Debtor's business. Attach documentation	(a)_).
<u> </u>	(See instruction #6)		Amount entitled to priority:
		f making this proof of claim. (See instruction #7)	16 000 00
8. Documents: Attached are redacted of itemized statements of running accounts	copies of any documents that support the claim, su contracts, judgments, mortgages, and security a	uch as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, greements. If the claim is secured, box 4 has been	\$26,000.00
completed, and redacted copies of docu definition of "redacted".)	iments providing evidence of perfection of a secu	rity interest are attached. (See instruction #8, and the	* Amounts are subject to
		COTRANTS APPER OCANING	adjustment on 4/1/13 and every
If the documents are not available, please	ENTS. ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE D	23 INUI ED AFTER DUAININU.	3 years thereafter with respect to cases commenced on or
			after the date of adjustment.
9. Signature: (See instruction #9) Chec	1		
Λ	power of attorney, if any.)	ee, or the debtor, or I am a guarantor, surety,   agent. indorser, or other codebtor.	
	(See Bankruptc	y Rule 3004.) (See Bankruptcy Rule 3005.)	
I declare under penalty of perjury that the reasonable beliet	ne information provided in this claim is true and c	correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and	<b>NPA</b>
Print Name:	A. BIAKE R	A A RA. Il int i	KEI:FIVEN
Title:	Deve	Ver U. Stake 10/11/13	
Company: Address and telephone number (if differ	(Signature)	(Date)	OCT 2 2 2012
Autors and receptione number (H differ	ton none address above):		
······································			KURTZMAN CARSON CONSULTANTS
Telephone number:			COURT USE ONLY
Penalty for presenting fraudulent claim.	: Fine of up to \$500,000		
	1212	042121022000000000001	