12-12020-mg Doc 10456 Filed 01/03/10 Fatored 01/03/10 11:40:24 #10456 Date Filed: 01/03/2018 Pg 1 of 2

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	Presentment Date and Time:
	January 18, 2018 at 12 noon
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	Objection Deadline:
	January 12, 2018 at 11:59 p.m.
In re: Residential Capital, LLC, et al.,  Debtors.	Chapter 11 Case No. 12-12020 (MG)

# NOTICE OF PRESENTMENT OF REQUEST FOR ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY AND THE PLAN INJUNCTION DO NOT APPLY TO THE CITY OF BENSON AND OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that upon the annexed *Request For Order That The Automatic Stay And The Plan Injunction Do Not Apply To The City Of Benson* of the City of Benson, the undersigned will present the attached proposed order to the Honorable Martin Glenn, United States Bankruptcy Judge, for signature on **January 18, 2018** at 12:00 noon.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that unless a written objection to the proposed order, with proof of service, is filed with the Clerk of the Court and a courtesy copy is delivered to the Bankruptcy Judge's chambers at least three days before the date of presentment, there will not be a hearing and the order may be signed.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if a written objection is timely filed, the Court will notify the moving and objecting parties of the date and time of the hearing and of the moving party's obligation to notify all other parties entitled to receive notice. The moving and objecting parties are required to attend the hearing, and failure to attend in person or by counsel may result in relief being granted or denied upon default.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that the ECF docket number to which the filing relates shall be included in the upper right hand corner of the caption of all objections.

Dated: Tucson, Arizona January 3, 2018

MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD

Admitted Pro Hac Vice

By s/Jeffrey J. Coe

Jeffrey J. Coe

259 North Meyer Avenue

Tucson, Arizona 85701

(520) 624-8886; email: jcoe@mcrazlaw.com



#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Maureen B. Thompson, an employee of Mesch Clark Rothschild, hereby certify that on January 3, 2018, I served copies of the foregoing *Notice Of Presentment Of Request For Order That The Automatic Stay And The Plan Injunction Do Not Apply To The City Of Benson And Opportunity For Hearing* as indicated below:

ResCap Liquidating Trust
Jill Horner & Paul Grande
8300 Norman Ctr Dr Ste 170
Minneapolis MN 55437
Paul.Grande@rescapestate.com
Jill.Horner@rescapestate.com
Served via Electronic Mail and First Class Mail

Ofc US Trustee Southern District
of New York
Tracy Hope Davis, Linda A. Riffkin
& Brian S. Masumoto
201 Varick St Ste 1006
US Federal Ofc Bldg
New York NY 10006
Tracy.Davis2@usdoj.gov
Linda.Riffkin@usdoj.gov
Brian.Masumoto@usdoj.gov
Served via Electronic Mail and First Class Mail

Aldridge Pite, LLP Jenelle C. Arnold 4375 Jutland Drive, Suite 200 San Diego CA 92117 Served via First Class Mail

> Dated: Tucson, Arizona January 3, 2018

> > s/ Maureen B. Thompson
> > MAUREEN B. THOMPSON

24V6921.DOCX

1	MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD	
2	259 North Meyer Avenue	
_	Tucson, Arizona 85701	
3	Phone: (520) 624-8886	
4	Fax: (520) 798-1037	
4	Email: gcohen@mcrazlaw.com	
5	ploucks@mcrazlaw.com	
6	jcoe@mcrazlaw.com	
7	By: Gary J. Cohen, # 15002	
0	Paul A. Loucks, # 19880	
8	Jeffrey J. Coe, # 30581	
9	20044-1/mbt Atternava for The City of Reason	
10	Attorneys for The City of Benson	
10	LINITED STATES R	ANKRUPTCY COURT
11		MINIMOTICI COURT
12	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
12		
13		Chapter 11
1.4	In re:	
14		Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
15	Residential Capital, LLC, et al.,	
1.		Jointly Administered
16	Debtors.	
17		REQUEST FOR ORDER THAT THE AUTOMATIC STAY AND THE
18		PLAN INJUNCTION DO NOT
19		APPLY TO THE CITY OF BENSON
20	Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §362(j), the City of Benson, a municipal corporation organized	
21	in the State of Arizona, hereby requests an Order that the automatic stay and the injunction	
22	provided for in ¶40 of the Order Confirming Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan	
23	Proposed by Residential Capital, LLC et al. and the Official Committee of Unsecured	
24	Creditors (DE 6065) do not enjoin the cause of action commenced by the City of Benson	
25	against GMAC Mortgage, LLC ("GMAC") in the Superior Court of Arizona. The cause of	

action arose after the plan was confirmed and was not a Released Claim under the plan. The

City of Benson was not a creditor or a holder of any claim subject to the Bankruptcy Court's authority to discharge claims and enjoin actions of creditors, but GMAC has asserted to the Arizona Superior Court that the action is stayed and the City of Benson is subject to the Plan Injunction.

#### I. <u>FACTUAL BACKGROUND</u>

#### **City of Benson Action**

- 1. The City of Benson is a municipality incorporated within Cochise County, Arizona with legal authority to regulate the public health, safety, and general welfare.
- 2. GMAC is the owner of record of real property located at 185 W. 4th St., Benson, Arizona.
- 3. Pursuant to Arizona Revised Statutes ("A.R.S.") §9-240(B)(21), the City of Benson is authorized to define, abate, and remove nuisances and to compel the owner or occupant of any house or premises to clean the grounds, streets and walks appurtenant and adjacent to the premises.
- 4. Pursuant to authority granted by A.R.S. §9-802, the City of Benson has adopted the 1997 edition of the Uniform Code for the Abatement of Dangerous Buildings which permits the City of Benson Building Official to commences proceedings to repair or demolish a building that has been determined to be a "dangerous building."
- 5. On April 7, 2015, the City of Benson received a complaint from the Benson Fire Department that the property located at 185 W. 4th St., Benson, Arizona was abandoned but accessible and used by vagrants.
- 6. On April 7, 2015, a site visit by the City of Benson showed abandoned, dilapidated motel buildings which were accessible and being used by vagrants. The Benson Building Official determined that the buildings on the property constituted "dangerous buildings."

- 7. Pursuant to the Benson City Code §9-2-7, the City of Benson sent a *Notice to Abate and Cost Assessment* to GMAC, the owner of record of the property, with a response date of May 28, 2015. The City of Benson received no response from GMAC.
- 8. On June 30, 2016, the Building Official issued a *Notice and Order of Demolition* for the buildings located on the property. The Notice and Order of Demolition required GMAC to respond by September 1, 2016. The City of Benson did not receive a response from GMAC.
- 9. On October 25, 2016, the City of Benson filed a *Verified Complaint and Application for Preliminary Injunction* against GMAC seeking to compel GMAC to abate the nuisance on its property. The Complaint is attached as **Exhibit A**.
- 10. The Superior Court of Arizona consolidated the Preliminary Injunction Hearing with a trial on the merits and after fully considering the evidence, the Superior Court issued a Judgment requiring GMAC to abate the dangerous nature of the property and authorizing the City of Benson to abate the nuisances including demolishing all structures and removing debris if GMAC failed to do so. The Arizona Superior Court Judgment provides that the City of Benson will be awarded abatement costs incurred upon submission of a Verified Statement of Costs. The Judgment is attached as **Exhibit B**.
- 11. GMAC failed to abate the nuisance and pursuant to the Judgment, the City of Benson demolished the buildings and removed the debris.
- 12. Following abatement, the City of Benson filed a Verified Statement of Costs with the Arizona Superior Court. In response, GMAC filed a *Notice of Pending Bankruptcy Proceeding*, attached as **Exhibit C**.
- 13. GMAC asserted to the Arizona Superior Court that the automatic stay imposed by §362 enjoins the entire state court action against GMAC. GMAC also asserted that "[b]oth the Plan and Confirmation Order provide for the extension of the automatic stay beyond the Effective Date" and that "both Section G of ¶40 of the Confirmation Order and

14. Based on GMAC's Notice, the Arizona Superior Court stayed the case.

#### **GMAC Bankruptcy**

- 15. On May 14, 2012, Residential Capital, LLC and certain of its direct and indirect subsidiaries, including GMAC (collectively "Debtors") filed petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York. The Debtors' Chapter 11 cases are jointly administered under case no. 12-12020 (MG).
- 16. On December 11, 2013, the Bankruptcy Court entered its *Order Confirming Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan Proposed by Residential Capital, LLC et al. and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors* (the "Confirmation Order") (DE 6065).
- 17. On December 17, 2013, the Debtors filed a *Notice of Entry of Confirmation*Order Confirming the Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan Proposed by Residential

  Capital, LLC, et al. and The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors and Occurrence of

  Effective Date (DE 6137) which gave notice that the Effective Date occurred on December

  17, 2013.
- 18. In exchange for the plan contribution from Ally, Article IX.B of the Plan provides that "the holders of Claims and Equity Interests shall be deemed to provide a full and complete discharge and release to the Ally Released Parties..." Article IX also provides for releases of Debtor claims, Ally Contract claims, and claims of junior secured note holders.
- 19. Paragraph 40, section G, of the Confirmation Order provides for a permanent injunction of causes of action against Released Parties which constitute Released Claims.

20. The Confirmation Order provides for a discharge and release to the fullest extent permitted by §1141 of and from any and all claims that arose prior to the Effective Date.

#### II. ARGUMENT

The City of Benson properly asserted a cause of action against GMAC which first arose in April of 2015, nearly three years after the Petition Date and several months after the Effective Date. The automatic stay of §362(a) does not apply to claims that arise postpetition. *In re Chateaugay Corp.*, 86 B.R. 33, 37-38 (S.D.N.Y. 1987). A claim is a prepetition claim only if "the claimant…possess[es] a right to payment" and "that right must have arisen prior to the filing of the bankruptcy petition." *Olin Corp. v. Riverwood Int'l (In re Manville Forest Prods.)*, 209 F.3d 125, 128 (2d Cir. 2000).

The cause of action asserted by the City of Benson is based on the voluntary post-petition actions of GMAC to refuse to abate the nuisance on its property. The City of Benson did not have any right to payment until GMAC refused to comply with its obligation to maintain the property which forced the City of Benson to incur costs to abate GMAC's dangerous buildings. Because the cause of action is a post-petition claim, it is not stayed by \$362(a).

The City of Benson is not a creditor in the Bankruptcy Case and was not a holder of a claim against the GMAC estate. As a result, the claims of the City of Benson against GMAC were not discharged under ¶42 of the Confirmation Order and were not subject to the release provisions of ¶40 of the Confirmation Order. The Injunction provision of ¶40 of the Confirmation Order and Article IX of the Plan only apply to enjoin commencement or continuation of proceedings to collect Released Claims against Released Parties. The cause of action asserted by the City of Benson was not a Released Claim and is therefore not subject to the Injunction.

#### III. CONCLUSION

The City of Benson has obtained a Judgment from the Arizona Superior Court based on GMAC's voluntary post-petition conduct. The proceeding is not subject to the automatic stay and the cause of action was neither discharged nor released under the Plan and Confirmation Order. Contrary to GMAC's assertions to the state court, the discharge injunction and the Plan injunction do not prevent the City of Benson from continuing the action against GMAC. The City of Benson requests an Order from this Court that the Arizona Superior Court action is not stayed by §362(a) and the City of Benson is not enjoined by the Confirmation Order from continuing its post-petition, post-confirmation action against GMAC.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 3rd day of January, 2018.

MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD, PC as BENSON CITY ATTORNEY

By: Admitted Pro Hac Vice
By: s/ Jeffrey J. Coe
Gary J. Cohen
Paul A. Loucks
Jeffrey J. Coe

24V0962.DOCX

12-12020-mg Doc 10456-1 Filed 01/03/18 Entered 01/03/18 11:48:24 Pleading Request For Order That The Automatic Stay And The Plan Injunction Do No Pg 7 of 24

### Exhibit A

FILED 2016 OCT 25 PM 2: 55

MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD

259 North Meyer Avenue

Tucson, Arizona 85701

Phone: (520) 624-8886

Fax: (520) 798-1037

Email: gcohen@mcrazlaw.com ploucks@mcrazlaw.com icoe@mcrazlaw.com

By: Gary J. Cohen, # 15002 Paul A. Loucks, #19880 Jeffrey J. Coe, #30581

20044-1/jjc

Attorneys for Plaintiff The City of Benson

#### SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

#### **COUNTY OF COCHISE**

CITY OF BENSON, a municipal corporation,

Plaintiff,

, || v.

GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,

Defendant.

No. CW 201600530

VERIFIED COMPLAINT AND APPLICATION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

Plaintiff City of Benson ("City of Benson" or "City") hereby alleges as follows:

#### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 1. The City of Benson is a municipality incorporated within Cochise County with legal authority to regulate the public health, safety and general welfare.
- 2. All acts alleged in this Complaint occurred or are occurring in Cochise County, Arizona.

10

11

12

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

13 14

> 15 16

17

19

18

2021

22

23

24

25

6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

15 16

14

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

- This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action. 3.
- Defendant is the owner of record of the real property located at 185 W. 4th St., 4. Benson, Arizona, identified by tax parcel identification numbers 123-20-010B, 123-20-010C, 123-20-010D, and 123-20-010E of the Cochise County Assessor's Office ("Property").
- Pursuant to A.R.S. §9-240(B)(21), the City of Benson is authorized "(a) to 5. define, abate and remove nuisances, and punish persons committing nuisances, and (b) [t]o compel the owner or any occupant of any house or premises to clean the grounds, stables, alleys, streets and walks appurtenant and adjacent thereto."
- Article 9 of the Benson City Code ("City Code") establishes requirements for maintenance of all private property, structures, and land for the purpose of promoting the health, safety and welfare of the citizens of the City of Benson and protecting against nuisances, blight and deterioration.
- 7. Pursuant to City Code §9-2-6, it is unlawful for any owner, lessor, lessee, manager or other person in control of private property to fail to maintain the property and contiguous areas in such a manner so as to not create a public nuisance.
- The improvements on the Property constitute a public nuisance as they have 8. been maintained.
- 9. Section 9-2-7 of the City Code requires a Notice to Abate and Cost Assessment to be served upon the owner of record, as recorded in the Cochise County Recorder's Office, before the City files a civil citation or complaint.
- Pursuant to authority granted by A.R.S. §9-802, the City of Benson has 10. adopted the 1997 edition of the Uniform Code for the Abatement of Dangerous Buildings ("UCADB").

- 11. Section 401 of the UCADB permits the Building Official to commence proceedings to cause the repair, vacation or demolition of a building that has been determined to be a "dangerous building."
- 12. Section 302 of the UCADB defines a "dangerous building" as one that has any or all of eighteen listed conditions which endanger the life, health, property, or safety of the public or its occupants.
- 13. Under section 401 of the UCADB, adopted by the City of Benson, after the Building Official determines that a building is a dangerous building, the Building Official is required to issue a Notice and Order directed to the owner of the dangerous buildings which describes the actions required to be taken as determined by the Building Official.
- 14. In April 2015, the Benson City Zoning Administrator determined that the Property had been abandoned but was still accessible and used by vagrants.
- 15. On April 7, 2015, the City Zoning Administrator sent a Notice to Abate and Cost Assessment to Defendant, the record owner of the Property, with a response date of May 28, 2015. The Notice described a public nuisance caused by "retention of dilapidated and dangerous buildings on the property which are accessible, have had a fire in one of the buildings that the City of Benson Fire Department was called out to extinguish, buildings are full of debris, trash and old furniture, yard areas have uncultivated grass, brush and weeds over ten inches in height." The Notice to Abate and Cost Assessment and accompanying photographs are attached as Exhibit A.
- 16. The buildings on the Property constitute dangerous buildings as they have been maintained.
- 17. Defendant did not respond to the Notice to Abate and Cost Assessment. On May 30, 2015, a site visit indicated no change on the Property or buildings.
- 18. On June 30, 2016, the Building Official issued a Notice and Order of Demolition for the buildings located at the Property to the Defendant and posted the Notice

- and Order at the Property. The Building Official determined that the buildings were "dangerous buildings" because ten of the conditions listed in the UCADB §302 existed on the Property. The Notice and Order of Demolition and accompanying photographs are attached as Exhibit B.
- 19. Chapter 5 of the UCADB allows a property owner to appeal a building official's determination that a property contains dangerous buildings.
- 20. The Notice and Order of Demolition required Defendant to respond by September 1, 2016.
- 21. Despite the Notice to Abate and Cost Assessment issued on April 7, 2015 and the Notice and Order of Demolition issued on June 30, 2016, Defendant has neither abated the nuisance, nor demolished the buildings.
- 22. Defendant has not appealed or sought administrative review of either determination.

#### WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court:

- A. Set a hearing for a preliminary injunction to abate Defendant's violations of the Benson City Code and the Uniform Code for the Abatement of Dangerous Buildings as alleged in this Complaint.
- B. Order that the trial on the merits of this matter be accelerated pursuant to Rule 65(a)(2) Ariz. R. Civ. P. so that the trial may be consolidated with the hearing on the Plaintiff's application for Preliminary Injunction.
- C. Upon conclusion of the hearing on Plaintiff's Application for Preliminary Injunction and trial on the merits, enter a permanent order requiring Defendant, within a specified and prompt time, to demolish the buildings on the Property and abate all nuisances on the Property.

D. Enter a permanent alternative order allowing the City of Benson to demolish 1 2 and abate nuisances on the Property in the event that Defendant fails to 3 comply within the specified time. Grant judgment in favor of the City of Benson for its costs incurred in this E. 4 5 matter. F. Retain jurisdiction of this action for the purposes of enforcing the judgment 6 7 and orders prayed for herein. 8 G. Should the City abate the violations on the Property, enter a judgment in favor 9 of the City of Benson for the costs of the abatement. 10 H. Award such other and further relief as the Court deems just and appropriate 11 under the circumstances. 12 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 24th day of October, 2016. 13 MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD, P.C. as 14 BENSON CITY ATTORNEY 15 16 17 18 Jeffrey J. Coe 19 20 21

23O6859.DOCX

22

23

24

25

`	
1	VERIFICATION
2	STATE OF ARIZONA )
3	) ss.
4	COUNTY OF COCHISE )
5	I, Bradley J. Hamilton, being first duly sworn upon my oath, depose and say that I am the Zoning Administrator for the City of Benson, that I have read this Verified Complaint
6	and know the contents thereof, and I believe the property and zoning allegations contained in the Verified Complaint are true based upon my information and belief.
7	
8	Bradley J. Hamilton
9	Bradley J. Hamilton
11	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO before me on this 13th day of September, 2016,
12	1 D 1 TT '1.
13	OFFICIAL SEAL VICKI L. VIVIAN Notary Public - State of Arizona  VICKULVIVIAN Notary Public
14	County of Cochise (f)
15	MY COMMISSION EYPRES DOTOBER 16, 2018
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
26	
- 1	d

12-12020-mg Doc 10456-1 Filed 01/03/18 Entered 01/03/18 11:48:24 Pleading Request For Order That The Automatic Stay And The Plan Injunction Do No Pg 14 of 24

Exhibit B

## MONEY JUDGMENT INFORMATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO A.R.S. §33-967

The Property at issue is described both in the accompanying Judgment and in Exhibit "A" hereto. The Judgment Creditor provides the following information about the Judgment:

1. The correct name and last known address of each Judgment Debtor and the address at which each Judgment Debtor received the summons by personal service or mail:

GMAC Mortgage, LLC f/k/a GMAC Mortgage Corporation 1100 Virginia Drive Fort Washington, PA 19034 GMAC Mortgage, LLC c/o Corporation Service Co. 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400 Wilmington, DE 19808

2. The name and address of the Judgment Creditor:

City of Benson 120 W. 6<sup>th</sup> Street Benson, AZ 85602

c/o Mesch, Clark & Rothschild, P.C. 259 North Meyer Avenue Tucson AZ 85701

- 3. The amount of the Judgment or decree as entered or as most recently renewed.
  - \$ Unknown at this time; to be determined upon resubmission to Court.
- 4. If the Judgment Debtor is a natural person, the Judgment Debtor's social security number, date of birth and driver license number.

  N/A
- 5. Whether a stay of enforcement has been ordered by the Court and the date the stay expires. No.

#### EXHIBIT "A"

LOT 10 THROUGH 14 INCLUSIVE, BLOCK 1, BRYAN'S ADDITION TO THE TOWN OF BENSON, ACCORDING TO THE PLAT OF RECORD IN THE OFFICE OF THE COUNTY RECORDER OF COCHISE COUNTY, ARIZONA IN BOOK 1 OF MAPS, PAGE 24.

TOGETHER WITH THE ABANDONED PORTION OF ADAMS AVENUE LYING ALONG THE EAST LINE OF LOT 10, BLOCK 1, BRYAN'S ADDITION TO THE TOWN OF BENSON, ABANDONED BY RESOLUTION NO. 55-85 DATED NOVEMBER 20, 1985, RECORDED NOVEMBER 26, 1985, AS INSTRUMENT NO. 8511-23695, RECORDS OF COCHISE COUNTY, ARIZONA.

12-12020-mg Doc 10456-1 Filed 01/03/18 Entered 01/03/18 11:48:24 Pleading Request For Order That The Automatic Stay And The Plan Injunction Do No Pg 17 of 24

2016 NOV 23 AM 9: 52

75 WEEK, I'M WINGHOLD COURT 1 MESCH CLARK ROTHSCHILD 259 North Meyer Avenue DEPUTY 2 Tucson, Arizona 85701 3 Phone: (520) 624-8886 (520) 798-1037 Fax: 4 Email: gcohen@mcrazlaw.com ploucks@mcrazlaw.com 5 icoe@mcrazlaw.com 6 Gary J. Cohen, # 15002 By: 7 Paul A. Loucks, #19880 8 Jeffrey J. Coe, #30581 20044-1/iic 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff The City of Benson 10 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA 12 **COUNTY OF COCHISE** 13 CITY OF BENSON, a municipal 14 No. CV2016-00530 corporation, 15 Plaintiff, JUDGMENT 16 v. 17

The matter having come before the Court on Plaintiff's Application for a Preliminary Injunction and the Court having granted Plaintiff's motion to consolidate the Preliminary Injunction Hearing with a trial on the merits, the Court having fully considered the evidence,

#### THE COURT FINDS:

GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC, a Delaware

Defendant.

limited liability company,

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

A. The real property located at 185 W. 4th St., Benson, Arizona, identified by tax parcel identification numbers 123-20-010B, 123-20-010C, 123-20-010D, and

CV2015-00530 JUDGMENT

1	123-20-010E of the Cochise County Assessor's Office ("Property") is a
2	dangerous building;
3	B. Abatement of the conditions of the building is warranted;
4	THE COURT ACCORDINGLY ORDERS, ADJUDGES, AND DECREES, as
5	follows:
6	1. Defendant is required to abate the dangerous nature of the property, which steps may
7	include demolishing the buildings on the Property, and abate all nuisances on the Property.
8	2. Should the Defendant fail to abate the dangerous nature of the property and all
9	nuisances on the property within 60 days, the City of Benson is hereby authorized to do so,
10	including through demolishing all structures on the Property and removing whatever
11	remaining debris is necessary to render the property nuisance-free.
12	3. Awarding the City of Benson for its costs incurred in this matter upon submission of
13	a Verified Statement of Costs
14	4. Retaining jurisdiction of this action for the purposes of enforcing the judgment and
15	orders prayed for herein.
16	5. Should the City abate the violations on the Property, the City may apply no later than
17	60 days after its actions for an amended judgment in favor of the City of Benson for the
18	costs of the abatement.
19	This judgment is a final judgment entered pursuant to Ariz. R. Civ. Proc. 54(c).
20	
21	I de la servició de la
22	DATED: November 28, 2016  Judge of the Superior Court
23	Cochise County
24	CERTIFIED STATE OF ARIZONA  The foregoing instrument is a full, true and correct
25	copy of the original on file in the office.  Attested Coverness 23 20 6
26	MARY ELLEN DUNLAP, Clerk By Deputy

12-12020-mg Doc 10456-1 Filed 01/03/18 Entered 01/03/18 11:48:24 Pleading Request For Order That The Automatic Stay And The Plan Injunction Do No Pg 19 of 24

### Exhibit C

12-12020-mg Doc 10456-1 Filed 01/03/18 Entered 01/03/18 11:48:24 Pleading Request For Order That The Automatic Stay And The Plan Injunction Do No Pg 20 of 24

### RECEIVED

JUN 28 2017

Mesch, Clark & Rothschild, P.C.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

RYLEY CARLOCK & APPLEWHITE One North Central Avenue, Suite 1200

Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4417 Telephone 602.440.4800

Fax 602.257.9582

John C. Lemaster (Bar No. 11588)

ilemaster@rcalaw.com

Kevin R. Heaphy (Bar No. 26266) kheaphy@rcalaw.com

Attorneys for Defendant

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF COCHISE

CITY OF BENSON, a municipal corporate,

Case No. CV201600530

Plaintiff.

**GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC'S NOTICE** OF PENDING BANKRUPTCY **PROCEEDING** 

GMAC MORTGAGE, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,

Defendant.

GMAC Mortgage, LLC ("GMAC") by and through its undersigned counsel, respectfully submits this Notice of Pending Bankruptcy Proceeding, and states as follows:

- On May 14, 2012 (the "Petition Date"), Residential Capital, LLC and certain of its direct and indirect subsidiaries, including GMAC (collectively, the "Debtors"), filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of New York (the "Bankruptcy Court"). The Debtors' Chapter 11 cases (collectively, the "Bankruptcy Cases") are being jointly administered, indexed at case no. 12-12020 (MG).
- By filing this Notice, the undersigned hereby provides notice to the Court and the parties of the Bankruptcy Cases that the automatic stay imposed by §362 of the United States Bankruptcy Code enjoins this entire action, as asserted against GMAC, from proceeding.
- 3. On December 11, 2013, the Bankruptcy Court entered its Order Confirming Second Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan Proposed by Residential Capital, LLC et al. and the

4221946.1 06/26/17

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

> 23 24

> > 25 26

27

Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "Confirmation Order") [Bankruptcy Docket 6065] approving the terms of the Chapter 11 Plan, as amended (the "Plan"). The effective date under the Plan occurred on December 17, 2013 (the "Effective Date").

- 4. Both the Plan and Confirmation Order provide for the extension of the automatic stay beyond the Effective Date and provide that the injunctive provisions of the Plan and Confirmation Order will remain in full force and effect following the Effective Date. (Confirmation Order, ¶63(g); Plan, Art. XIII.K). Moreover, both Section G of ¶40 of the Confirmation Order and Article IX.I of Plan contain an "Injunction" provision that, among other things, enjoins all parties from "commencing or continuing in any manner or action or other proceeding of any kind" relating to claims that are released under the Plan.
- 5. In addition, pursuant to Article XII of the Plan and ¶66 of the Confirmation Order, the Bankruptcy Court retained exclusive jurisdiction to hear all matters pertaining to the injunction provided for in the Plan and Confirmation Order. Specifically, the Plan provides as follows:

#### RETENTION OF JURISDICTION

Notwithstanding the entry of the Confirmation Order and the occurrence of the Effective Date, on and after the Effective Date, the Bankruptcy Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction over all matters arising out of, or related to, the Chapter 11 Cases and the Plan pursuant to sections 105(a) and 1142 of the Bankruptcy Code, including jurisdiction:

- (a) to allow, disallow, determine, liquidate, classify, estimate, or establish the priority, secured, or unsecured status, or amount of any Claim or Equity Interest, including the resolution of any request for payment of any Administrative Claim and the resolution of any and all objections to the secured or unsecured status, priority, amount, or allowance of Claims or Equity Interests;
- (c) to hear and determine any matter, case, controversy, suit, dispute, or Causes of Action: (i) regarding the existence, nature, and scope of the releases, injunctions, and exculpation provided under the Plan, and (ii) enter such orders as may be necessary or appropriate to implement such releases, injunctions, and other provisions;

Due to its voluminous nature, the Confirmation Order, to which the Plan is an exhibit, is not included as an attachment, but a copy of the Confirmation Order and the Plan may be obtained at no charge at <a href="http://www.kccllc.net/rescap">http://www.kccllc.net/rescap</a>

12-12020-ma Doc 10456-1 Filed 01/03/18 Entered 01/03/18 11:48:24 Pleading Pg 22 of 24 Request For Order That The Automatic Stay And The Plan Injunction Do No (Plan, Art. XII) (emphasis added). In addition, the Confirmation Order provides as follows: Retention of Jurisdiction. The business and assets of the Debtors shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of this Court until the Effective Date. Notwithstanding the entry of this Order, from and after the Effective Date, the Court shall retain such jurisdiction over the Chapter 11 Cases as is legally permissible, including jurisdiction over those matters and issues described in Article XII of the Plan, including with respect to (i) insurance settlements and disputes involving insurance policies settled or otherwise addressed under or in connection with the Plan, and (ii) the Claims filed by WFBNA in these Chapter 11 Cases and any Claims or Causes of Action that may be asserted by WFBNA against any of the Ally Released Parties. (Confirmation Order, ¶66). For the avoidance of doubt, GMAC is not seeking any relief from the Court by this 6. Notice, and is submitting this Notice solely for the purpose of providing the Court and the parties to this action with notice of the pending Bankruptcy Cases. **DATED** this 26<sup>th</sup> day of June 2017. RYLEY CARLOCK & APPLEWHITE By /s/ Kevin R. Heaphy Kevin R. Heaphy Attorneys for Défendant COPY of the foregoing mailed/e-mailed this 26<sup>th</sup> day of June 2017 to: Gary J. Cohen Paul A. Loucks Jeffrey J. Coe Mesch Clark Rothschild 259 North Meyer Avenue Tucson, Arizona 85701 gcohen@mcrazlaw.com ploucks@mcrazlaw.com icoe@mcrazlaw.com /s/ Brandi R. Kline

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

12-12020-mg Doc 10456-1 Filed 01/03/18 Entered 01/03/18 11:48:24 Pleading Request For Order That The Automatic Stay And The Plan Injunction Do No Pg 23 of 24

Proposed Order

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	Presentment Date and Time:  January 18, 2018 at 12 noon  Objection Deadline:  January 12, 2018 at 11:59 p.m.
In re: Residential Capital, LLC, et al.,  Debtors.	Chapter 11 Case No. 12-12020 (MG)
	C STAY AND THE PLAN INJUNCTION O THE CITY OF BENSON
The Plan Injunction Do Not Apply To The City of Benson, a municipal corporation organized and appropriate notice on January 3, 2018, as esservice (DE). There have been no objection IT IS HEREBY ORDERED ADJUDGED, AN that the automatic stay and the injunction provided Joint Chapter 11 Plan Proposed by Ecommittee of Unsecured Creditors (DE 6065) City of Benson against GMAC Mortgage, LLC	D DECREED granting the Request and determining ided for in ¶40 of the <i>Order Confirming Second Residential Capital, LLC et al. and the Official</i> do not enjoin the cause of action commenced by the C ("GMAC") in the Superior Court of Arizona, and the ation Order from continuing its post-petition, post-
	NORABLE MARTIN GLENN ITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGEPLEASE

24W1352.DOCX