

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:

SOUTHCROSS ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P.,
et al.,

Debtors.¹

Chapter 11

Case No. 19-10702 (MFW)

Jointly Administered

Hearing Date: May 7, 2019 at 11:00 a.m. (ET)

Obj. Deadline: Apr. 30, 2019 at 4:00 p.m. (ET)

**MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF THE CREDITOR MATRIX
CONTAINING CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL CREDITOR INFORMATION**

Southcross Energy Partners, L.P. (“**Southcross**”), Southcross Energy Partners GP, LLC, and Southcross’s wholly owned direct and indirect subsidiaries, each of which is a debtor and debtor in possession (collectively, the “**Debtors**”) in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the “**Chapter 11 Cases**”), hereby file this *Motion to File Under Seal Portions of the Creditor Matrix Containing Certain Individual Creditor Information* (this “**Motion**”). In further support of this Motion, the Debtors respectfully state as follows:

¹ The debtors and debtors in possession in these Chapter 11 Cases, along with the last four digits of their respective Employer Identification Numbers, are as follows: Southcross Energy Partners, L.P. (5230); Southcross Energy Partners GP, LLC (5141); Southcross Energy Finance Corp. (2225); Southcross Energy Operating, LLC (9605); Southcross Energy GP LLC (4246); Southcross Energy LP LLC (4304); Southcross Gathering Ltd. (7233); Southcross CCNG Gathering Ltd. (9553); Southcross CCNG Transmission Ltd. (4531); Southcross Marketing Company Ltd. (3313); Southcross NGL Pipeline Ltd. (3214); Southcross Midstream Services, L.P. (5932); Southcross Mississippi Industrial Gas Sales, L.P. (7519); Southcross Mississippi Pipeline, L.P. (7499); Southcross Gulf Coast Transmission Ltd. (0546); Southcross Mississippi Gathering, L.P. (2994); Southcross Delta Pipeline LLC (6804); Southcross Alabama Pipeline LLC (7180); Southcross Nueces Pipelines LLC (7034); Southcross Processing LLC (0672); FL Rich Gas Services GP, LLC (5172); FL Rich Gas Services, LP (0219); FL Rich Gas Utility GP, LLC (3280); FL Rich Gas Utility, LP (3644); Southcross Transmission, LP (6432); T2 EF Cogeneration Holdings LLC (0613); and T2 EF Cogeneration LLC (4976). The debtors' mailing address is 1717 Main Street, Suite 5300, Dallas, TX 75201.



Relief Requested

1. By this Motion, and pursuant to sections 105(a) and 107(c) of title 11 of the United States Code (the “**Bankruptcy Code**”), Rule 9018 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “**Bankruptcy Rules**”), and Rule 9018(d) of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice and Procedure of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “**Local Rules**”), the Debtors seek entry of an order (the “**Proposed Order**” and, if entered, the “**Order**”) authorizing the Debtors to (a) file the portions of the creditor matrix (the “**Matrix**”) containing the Debtors’ employees’ home addresses under seal, (b) file a redacted version of the Matrix with the Debtors’ corporate mailing address in place of each current employees’ home address, (c) provide the Debtors’ claims and noticing agent, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC (“**KCC**”), with the employees’ home addresses and instruct KCC to serve the employees at their home addresses, and (d) provide the sealed Matrix to the Office of the United States Trustee for the District of Delaware (the “**U.S. Trustee**”), any official committee appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases, and any other party upon Court order.

Jurisdiction and Venue

2. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “**Court**”) has jurisdiction over this Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware*, dated February 29, 2012.

3. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2) and, pursuant to Local Rule 9013-1(f), the Debtors consent to the entry of a final order by the Court in connection with this Motion to the extent that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent

of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

4. Venue of the Chapter 11 Cases and related proceedings is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

Background

5. On April 1, 2019 (the “**Petition Date**”), each of the Debtors filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors have continued in possession of their property and have continued to operate and manage their businesses as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

6. No request has been made for the appointment of a trustee or examiner, and no official committee has been appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases.

7. Additional information about the Debtors’ businesses and affairs, capital structure, and prepetition indebtedness, and the events leading up to the Petition Date, can be found in the *Declaration of Michael B. Howe in Support of Debtors’ Chapter 11 Proceedings and First Day Pleadings* [D.I. 2], which is incorporated herein by reference.

8. The Chapter 11 Cases are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b) and the *Order Directing Joint Administration of Chapter 11 Cases* [D.I. 48] entered by the Court on April 2, 2019 in each of the Chapter 11 Cases.

Basis for Relief

9. Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code provides that “[t]he court may issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title.” 11 U.S.C. § 105(a).

10. Local Rule 9018-1 requires any party who seeks to file documents under seal must file a motion to that effect. *See* Del. Bankr. L.R. 9018-1(d).

11. Section 107(c) of the Bankruptcy Code enables the Court to issue orders that protect parties from the potential harm that could result from disclosing personally identifiable information:

(c)(1) The bankruptcy court, for cause, may protect an individual, with respect to the following types of information to the extent the court finds that disclosure of such information would create undue risk of identity theft or unlawful injury to the individual or the individual's property:

(A) Any means of identification (as defined in section 1028(d) of title 18) contained in a paper filed, or to be filed in a case under this title

(B) Other information contained in a paper described in subparagraph (A)

11 U.S.C. § 107(c)(1). Title 18 of the United States Code defines “means of identification” as:

any name or number that may be used, alone or in conjunction with any other information, to identify a specific individual, *including any—*

(A) name, social security number, date of birth, official State or government issued driver's license or identification number, alien registration number, government passport number, employer or taxpayer identification number...

18 U.S.C. § 1028(d)(7) (emphasis added).

12. While transparency is important to the judicial process, Congress recognized a counterbalancing interest in enacting 11 U.S.C. § 107(c)(1): the need to protect the identities and privacy of individuals who have dealings with debtors. The language of both statutes cited above demonstrate Congress's desire for courts to have flexibility to protect individuals' identities. Section 107(c)(1)(B) of the Bankruptcy Code allows a bankruptcy court to shield

“[o]ther information” apart from “means of identification,” and the definition of “means of identification” is itself a non-exhaustive list of personal identifying information. Accordingly, although an individual employee’s home address is not explicitly enumerated as a “means of identification,” it is nevertheless within the broad scope of 11 U.S.C. § 107(c)(1)(B).

13. Furthermore, bankruptcy judges in this district have routinely ordered the relief requested herein. Recently, in *In re Promise Healthcare Group, LLC*, Case No. 18-12491 (CSS) (Bankr. D. Del. Dec. 4, 2018) [D.I. 221], the Court authorized the debtors to seal their employees’ home addresses, noting:

The issue is not one of morale; it is one of protection and protecting the identity of the employees. . . . [I]t becomes a balancing act: what are we trying to protect versus what are we trying to preserve. And certainly, preserving the transparency of a bankruptcy and the identity of the creditors being revealed is part of that. . . . And the other side of that, the risk to those employees, is quite high.

Tr. of Dec. 4, 2018 Hr’g [D.I. 228]² at 18:5–7, 19:7–10, 19:14–15. Separately, in *In re L.K. Bennett U.S.A., Inc.*, Case No. 19-10760 (KG) (Bankr. D. Del. Apr. 9, 2019) [D.I. 46], the Court agreed with the balance struck in *Promise Healthcare*, finding that “privacy concerns win out in this particular circumstance” and authorizing the debtor to redact employee addresses from its creditor matrix. Tr. of Apr. 9, 2019 Hr’g, at 17:2–6.³

14. Similarly, in *In re Searchmetrics, Inc.*, the Court authorized the debtor to seal its employees’ home addresses under section 107 of the Bankruptcy Code. See Case No. 17-11032 (CSS) (Bankr. D. Del. May 9, 2017); see also *Keystone Tube Company, LLC*, Case No. 17-11330 (LSS) (Bankr. D. Del. June 20, 2017) (ordering that debtors were not required to publicly

² The referenced portion of the *Promise Healthcare* transcript is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

³ The referenced portion of the *L.K. Bennett* transcript is attached hereto as Exhibit C.

file addresses of current employees on creditor matrix); *In re Model Reorg Acquisition, LLC*, Case. No. 17-11794 (CSS) (Bankr. D. Del. Aug. 29, 2017) (same); *In re Triangle USA Petroleum Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 16-11566 (MFW) (Bankr. D. Del. July 5, 2016) (same); *In re Delivery Agent, Inc.*, Case No. 16-12051 (LSS) (Bankr. D. Del. Sept. 16, 2016) (authorizing redaction of addresses of the debtors' current and former employees).

15. In this case, the interest in public access to judicial records and papers is outweighed by the risk of identity theft to the Debtors' employees. *See In re Continental Airlines*, 150 B.R. 334, 341 (D. Del. 1993). There is minimal, if any, benefit to the public of publishing home addresses of the Debtors' employees. To disclose publicly each individual home address for all current and former employees would create an undue risk of identity theft for the individual employees, as well as open the door to other potential risks to the employees' safety and welfare. Moreover, the Debtors will instruct KCC, as its noticing agent, to serve the employees at their personal home addresses, ensuring that each employee will receive the same notices in the Chapter 11 Cases as all other creditors without the unnecessary public disclosure of his or her home address. Accordingly, the privacy concerns at issue here outweigh the interest in public access to judicial proceedings and support entry of the Proposed Order authorizing the Debtors to seal the home addresses of their current and former employees from the Matrix.

16. In light of the foregoing, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court permit the Debtors to seal the Matrix as described herein, with unsealed copies provided only to the Court, the U.S. Trustee, any official committee appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases, and KCC.

Notice

17. Notice of this Motion will be provided to (a) the U.S. Trustee, (b) each of the Debtors' 20 largest unsecured creditors on a consolidated basis, (c) Vinson & Elkins LLP, as

counsel to Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., the administrative agent under Southcross's prepetition secured revolving credit facility, (d) (x) Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer LLP and (y) Duane Morris LLP, as counsel to Wilmington Trust, N.A., the administrative agent under Southcross's prepetition secured term loan facility and post-petition credit facility, (e) (x) Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP and (y) Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP, as counsel to the post-petition lenders and an ad hoc group of prepetition lenders, (f) Debevoise & Plimpton LLP, as counsel to Southcross Holdings LP, and (g) any other party that has requested notice pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002 (collectively, the "**Notice Parties**").

18. A copy of this Motion and any order approving it will also be made available on the Debtors' case information website located at <http://www.kccllc.net/southcrossenergy>. The Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is required.

No Prior Request

19. The Debtors have not previously sought the relief requested herein from the Court or any other court.

(Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank)

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court enter the Proposed Order, substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, granting the relief requested herein and such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: April 23, 2019
Wilmington, Delaware

Respectfully submitted,
MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Eric W. Moats

Robert J. Dehney (No. 3578)
Andrew R. Remming (No. 5120)
Joseph C. Barsalona II (No. 6102)
Eric W. Moats (No. 6441)
1201 North Market Street, 16th Floor
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-1347
Tel.: (302) 658-9200
Fax: (302) 658-3989
rdehney@mnat.com
aremming@mnat.com
jbarsalona@mnat.com
emoats@mnat.com

-and-

DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP

Marshall S. Huebner (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Darren S. Klein (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Steven Z. Szanzer (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Benjamin M. Schak (admitted *pro hac vice*)
450 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
Tel.: (212) 450-4000
Fax: (212) 701-5800
marshall.huebner@davispolk.com
darren.klein@davispolk.com
steven.szanzer@davispolk.com
benjamin.schak@davispolk.com

Proposed Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors in Possession

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

Obj. Deadline: Apr. 30, 2019 at 4:00 p.m. (ET)

NOTICE OF MOTION TO FILE UNDER SEAL PORTIONS OF THE CREDITOR MATRIX CONTAINING CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL CREDITOR INFORMATION

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that today, the above-captioned debtors and debtors-in-possession (the “Debtors”) filed the **Motion to File Under Seal Portions of the Creditor Matrix Containing Certain Individual Creditor Information** (the “Motion”).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that objections, if any, to approval of the relief sought in the Application must be (a) in writing and served on or before **April 30, 2019 at 4:00 p.m. (ET)** (the “**Objection Deadline”**); (b) filed with the Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court, 824 N. Market Street, 3rd Floor, Wilmington, Delaware 19801; and (c) served so as to be received on or before the Objection Deadline by the undersigned counsel.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT only objections made in writing and timely filed and received, in accordance with the procedures above, will be considered by the Bankruptcy Court at such hearing.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT A HEARING ON THE APPLICATION WILL BE HELD ON MAY 7, 2019 AT 11:00 A.M. (ET) BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY F. WALRATH, AT THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

¹ The debtors and debtors in possession in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of their respective Employer Identification Numbers, are as follows: Southcross Energy Partners, L.P. (5230); Southcross Energy Partners GP, LLC (5141); Southcross Energy Finance Corp. (2225); Southcross Energy Operating, LLC (9605); Southcross Energy GP LLC (4246); Southcross Energy LP LLC (4304); Southcross Gathering Ltd. (7233); Southcross CCNG Gathering Ltd. (9553); Southcross CCNG Transmission Ltd. (4531); Southcross Marketing Company Ltd. (3313); Southcross NGL Pipeline Ltd. (3214); Southcross Midstream Services, L.P. (5932); Southcross Mississippi Industrial Gas Sales, L.P. (7519); Southcross Mississippi Pipeline, L.P. (7499); Southcross Gulf Coast Transmission Ltd. (0546); Southcross Mississippi Gathering, L.P. (2994); Southcross Delta Pipeline LLC (6804); Southcross Alabama Pipeline LLC (7180); Southcross Nueces Pipelines LLC (7034); Southcross Processing LLC (0672); FL Rich Gas Services GP, LLC (5172); FL Rich Gas Services, LP (0219); FL Rich Gas Utility GP, LLC (3280); FL Rich Gas Utility, LP (3644); Southcross Transmission, LP (6432); T2 EF Cogeneration Holdings LLC (0613); and T2 EF Cogeneration LLC (4976). The debtors' mailing address is 1717 Main Street, Suite 5300, Dallas, TX 75201.

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE, 824 MARKET STREET, 5TH FLOOR,
COURTROOM #4, WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19801.

IF YOU FAIL TO RESPOND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS NOTICE, THE
COURT MAY GRANT THE RELIEF REQUESTED IN THE APPLICATION WITHOUT
FURTHER NOTICE OR HEARING.

Dated: April 23, 2019
Wilmington, Delaware

MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ Eric W. Moats

Robert J. Dehney (No. 3578)
Andrew R. Remming (No. 5120)
Joseph C. Barsalona II (No. 6102)
Eric W. Moats (No. 6441)
1201 North Market Street, 16th Floor
P.O. Box 1347
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-1347
Tel.: (302) 658-9200
Fax: (302) 658-3989
rdehney@mnat.com
aremming@mnat.com
jbarsalona@mnat.com
emoats@mnat.com

-and-

DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL LLP

Marshall S. Huebner (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Darren S. Klein (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Steven Z. Szanzer (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Benjamin M. Schak (admitted *pro hac vice*)
450 Lexington Avenue
New York, New York 10017
Tel.: (212) 450-4000
Fax: (212) 701-5800
marshall.huebner@davispolk.com
darren.klein@davispolk.com
steven.szanzer@davispolk.com
benjamin.schak@davispolk.com

*Proposed Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors in
Possession*

Exhibit A

Proposed Order

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

)	
In re:)	Chapter 11
)	
SOUTHCROSS ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P.,)	Case No. 19-10702 (MFW)
<i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	Jointly Administered
Debtors. ¹)	
)	

**ORDER AUTHORIZING DEBTORS TO FILE UNDER SEAL
PORTIONS OF THE CREDITOR MATRIX CONTAINING
CERTAIN INDIVIDUAL CREDITOR INFORMATION**

Upon the motion (the “**Motion**”)² of Southcross Energy Partners, L.P. (“**Southcross**”), Southcross Energy Partners GP, LLC, and Southcross’s wholly owned direct and indirect subsidiaries, each of which is a debtor and debtor in possession (collectively, the “**Debtors**”) in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the “**Chapter 11 Cases**”), for entry of an order pursuant to sections 105(a) and 107(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 9018, and Local Rule 2018-1 authorizing the Debtors to file under seal portions of their creditor matrix (the “**Matrix**”), as more fully described in the Motion; and the Court having jurisdiction to consider the matters

¹ The debtors and debtors in possession in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of their respective Employer Identification Numbers, are as follows: Southcross Energy Partners, L.P. (5230); Southcross Energy Partners GP, LLC (5141); Southcross Energy Finance Corp. (2225); Southcross Energy Operating, LLC (9605); Southcross Energy GP LLC (4246); Southcross Energy LP LLC (4304); Southcross Gathering Ltd. (7233); Southcross CCNG Gathering Ltd. (9553); Southcross CCNG Transmission Ltd. (4531); Southcross Marketing Company Ltd. (3313); Southcross NGL Pipeline Ltd. (3214); Southcross Midstream Services, L.P. (5932); Southcross Mississippi Industrial Gas Sales, L.P. (7519); Southcross Mississippi Pipeline, L.P. (7499); Southcross Gulf Coast Transmission Ltd. (0546); Southcross Mississippi Gathering, L.P. (2994); Southcross Delta Pipeline LLC (6804); Southcross Alabama Pipeline LLC (7180); Southcross Nueces Pipelines LLC (7034); Southcross Processing LLC (0672); FL Rich Gas Services GP, LLC (5172); FL Rich Gas Services, LP (0219); FL Rich Gas Utility GP, LLC (3280); FL Rich Gas Utility, LP (3644); Southcross Transmission, LP (6432); T2 EF Cogeneration Holdings LLC (0613); and T2 EF Cogeneration LLC (4976). The debtors' mailing address is 1717 Main Street, Suite 5300, Dallas, TX 75201

² Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

raised in the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware*, dated February 29, 2012; and the Court having authority to hear the matters raised in the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157; and venue being proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and consideration of the Motion and the requested relief being a core proceeding that the Court can determine pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and due and proper notice of the Motion and opportunity for a hearing on the Motion having been given to the parties listed therein, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having reviewed and considered the Motion and the Howe Declaration; and the Court having the opportunity to hold a hearing on the Motion; and the Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and the Court having found that the relief requested in the Motion being in the best interests of the Debtors, their creditors, their estates, and all other parties in interest; and upon all of the proceedings had before the Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The relief requested in the Motion is hereby granted as set forth herein.
2. Pursuant to sections 105(a) and 107(c) of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 9018, and Local Rule 9018-1(d), the Debtors are authorized to (a) file the portions of the Matrix containing the Debtors' employees' home addresses under seal, (b) file a redacted version of the Matrix with the Debtors' corporate mailing address in place of each current employees' home address, (c) provide the Debtors' claims and noticing agent, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC ("KCC"), with the employees' home addresses and instruct KCC to serve the employees at their

home addresses, and (d) provide the sealed Matrix to the U.S. Trustee, any official committee appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases, and any other party upon Court order.

3. The Matrix shall remain under seal and not made available to anyone, except that unredacted copies shall be provided to the Court, the U.S. Trustee, any official committee appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases, KCC, and any others upon further Court order. Each party receiving an unredacted copy of the Matrix shall keep such information confidential.

4. To the extent that any party provided with an unredacted copy of the Matrix files any responsive pleading or other pleadings related to the Motion, such party shall redact from its pleadings any confidential or identifying information.

5. The Debtors are authorized to take all such actions as are necessary or appropriate to implement the terms of this Order.

6. Proper, timely, adequate, and sufficient notice of the Motion has been provided in accordance with the Bankruptcy Code, the Bankruptcy Rules, and the Local Rules, and no other or further notice of the Motion or the entry of this Order shall be required.

7. The Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.

Dated: April ____, 2019
Wilmington, Delaware

THE HONORABLE MARY F. WALRATH
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

Exhibit B

In re Promise Healthcare Group, LLC Transcript

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

- - - - -x

In the Matter of:
PROMISE HEALTHCARE GROUP, LLC, et al., Case No.
Debtors. 18-12491(CSS)

- - - - -x

United States Bankruptcy Court
824 North Market Street
Wilmington, Delaware

December 4, 2018
11:19 AM

B E F O R E:
HON. CHRISTOPHER S. SONTCHI
CHIEF U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

ECR OPERATOR: LESLIE MURIN

1 THE COURT: All right, thank you. All right, I'm
2 going to overrule the objection of the Office of the U.S.
3 Trustee and grant the motion. I think that, first of all, the
4 issue is not whether this promulgates or promotes a
5 reorganization of the debtors. The issue is not one of morale;
6 it is one of protection and protecting the identity of the
7 employees.

8 And I think that it's different from just picking up a
9 phone book, if those even exist anymore, and being able to go
10 through and find someone's name and address in the phone book.
11 I think the linking to an employment as well -- it's not just
12 John Smith at 100 Bridge Road; it's John Smith at 100 Bridge
13 Road who works for Providence (sic) Healthcare. And I think
14 that's a -- Promise Healthcare; excuse me. I think that's a
15 big difference.

16 And with responding -- Congress failing to act or
17 would have acted, I think there're two things there. I
18 think -- one, I think Mr. Brown's correct that they have acted;
19 they've provided an ability for the Court to protect people
20 when necessary. And two, maybe they haven't reacted, but the
21 reality is that the world continues to change. Identity theft
22 is a very real threat -- my family -- my wife was victim of it
23 a couple years ago -- no matter how careful you are, and it can
24 have a -- it can have a real adverse effect on someone. And we
25 don't know until it's -- we don't know what we don't know; half

PROMISE HEALTHCARE GROUP, LLC, et al.

19

1 of us who probably already have our information in hands of bad
2 guys and nothing has happened yet. But I think it's -- I think
3 the world is different. And to the extent Congress hasn't
4 acted specifically to deal with this, I think it should. But
5 again, they already have, because there's a mechanism for
6 protecting this information.

7 And to me, it becomes a balancing act: what are we
8 trying to protect versus what are we trying to preserve. And
9 certainly, preserving the transparency of a bankruptcy and the
10 identity of the creditors being revealed is part of that. But
11 especially we're talking about employees who are creditors
12 only -- really only in name only, once the wage order is
13 signed. And having them available to be reached is a very
14 small priority for protection of the mechanism. And the other
15 side of that, the risk to those employees, is quite high.

16 So I will -- my thinking on this has evolved, frankly,
17 over the last two years; may be a result of my personal
18 experience. But I will overrule the objection and sign the
19 motion -- or sign the order.

20 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor,
21 proceeding now through the rest of the agenda --

22 THE COURT: Do you have an order?

23 MR. BROWN: I'm sorry?

24 THE COURT: Order?

25 MR. BROWN: Oh.

Exhibit C

In re L.K. Bennett U.S.A., Inc. Transcript

In Re:
L.K. BENNETT U.S.A., INC.
Case No. 19-10760 (KG)

April 9, 2019

eScribers, LLC
(973) 406-2250
operations@escribers.net
www.escribers.net

To purchase copies of this transcript, please contact us by phone or email

1 believe -- and service issues -- but we believe that under the
2 strategies or structure that we propose, that Omni has the home
3 addresses, and it is available to serve papers for any creditor
4 who wants to serve the employees, that all the purposes and
5 policies of the Bankruptcy Code are met.

6 Thank you, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you.

8 Yes, Mr. Buchbinder? Yes.

9 MR. BUCHBINDER: Yes, Your Honor. First of all, no
10 application to retain Omni has been filed. Second, I reviewed
11 the creditor matrix that was filed, and nothing was filed in a
12 redacted manner. So there hasn't been any sealed document
13 filed yet that would disclose this other information.

14 And so to that extent, this motion is premature.

15 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Buchbinder.

16 I would say this. And look, I appreciate Mr.
17 Buchbinder's objection. It's well written. It's well argued.
18 It's forceful. But I have to overrule it here.

19 You know, Judge Sontchi, in the Promise Healthcare
20 case, addressed this issue, and he found, and he's obviously --
21 he's no pushover, and he's very learned -- and he found that
22 this was information to be protected. And I have to agree that
23 given the name, the address, home address, and the workplace,
24 it does make a search more plausible and more forceful. And
25 I'm well aware of the world we live in with all the theft of

1 identities.

2 And there's a balancing test, I think, here, the
3 information against the danger from making the address public.
4 Or the need for the information, I should say. And I just find
5 that the privacy concerns win out in this particular
6 circumstance.

7 So I'm going to grant the motion. Debtor will provide
8 the actual information to the Office of the United States
9 Trustee. I think that's what the order provides.

10 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: And presumably there will be a motion to
12 retain Omni in the near future, and we will there have the home
13 addresses. So I'm going to overrule the objection.

14 Mr. Buchbinder, I do appreciate your argument, but I
15 do think that the list of matters to be considered personally
16 identifiable is not exclusive, and therefore I will overrule
17 the objection. All right.

18 And I'll enter that order. I don't know if I have the
19 form -- do I have the actual order at this point?

20 MS. WILLIS: Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: You can hand them right now --

22 MS. WILLIS: Yes.

23 THE COURT: -- or later, Ms. Willis --

24 MS. WILLIS: Wonderful.

25 THE COURT: -- whatever you prefer.