Case 15-04055 Doc 47 Filed 09/ Docum				
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS				
In re:	Chapter 11 Cases			
TELEXFREE, LLC, TELEXFREE, INC. and TELEXFREE FINANCIAL, INC.,	14-40987-MSH 14-40988-MSH 14-40989-MSH			
Debtors.	Jointly Administered			
STEPHEN B. DARR AS TRUSTEE OF THE ESTATES OF TELEXFREE, LL TELEXFREE, INC. and TELEXFREE FINANCIAL, INC.,	C, Adversary Proceeding No. 15-04055			
Plaintiffs,				
V.				
RITA DOS SANTOS, INDIVIDUALLY AS PUTATIVE CLASS REPRESENTAT AND MARIA MURDOCH, ANGELA BATISTA JIMENEZ, ELISANGELA OLIVEIRA AND DIOGO DE ARAUGO, PUTATIVE CLASS REPRESENTATIVE	IVE, AS			

Defendants.

CROSS-MOTION BY TRUSTEE FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Pursuant to Rule 56 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, as incorporated by Rule 7056 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Stephen B. Darr, the Chapter 11 Trustee ("<u>Trustee</u>") of TelexFree LLC, TelexFree Inc., and TelexFree Financial Inc., hereby moves for summary judgement on Counts I and II of this action. There are no material facts in dispute and the Trustee is entitled to judgment as a matter of law.



Case 15-04055 Doc 47 Filed 09/02/16 Entered 09/02/16 14:55:10 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 3

In further support of this motion, the Trustee relies upon the following:

- Trustee's Memorandum of Law in Support of Opposition by Trustee to PIEC Motion for Summary Judgment and Cross-Motion by Trustee for Summary Judgment;
- 2. Opposition by Trustee to PIEC Motion for Summary Judgment
- Trustee's Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of Cross-Motion for Summary Judgement,
- Trustee's Response to Defendants' Statement of Undisputed Material Facts in Support of PIEC Motion for Summary Judgment; and
- Affidavit of Stephen B. Darr in Opposition to the PIEC Motion for Summary Judgment and in Support of the Trustee's Cross Motion for Summary Judgment.

Wherefore, the Trustee requests that judgment enter as follows:

- Declaring that the funds transferred in Triangular Transactions constitute property of the Debtors' estates;
- 2. Declaring that the Defendants' prosecution of the Unjust Enrichment Claims violates the automatic stay under 11 U.S.C. §362(a) and therefore is void *ab initio*;
- Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §105(a), permanently enjoining and restraining the Defendants, individually and as class representatives, and those acting in concert or participation with them or on their behalf or any other parties from further prosecuting the Unjust Enrichment Claims or any other action against the Net Winners;
- Awarding damages to the Trustee in an amount reasonable to compensate the Debtors' estates for the costs and expenses of bringing this action, including awards of reasonable attorney fees; and

Case 15-04055	Doc 47	Filed 09/02/16	Entered 09/02/16 14:55:10	Desc Main
		Document	Page 3 of 3	

5. Granting the Trustee such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

STEPHEN B. DARR, CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE, By his counsel:

Dated: September 2, 2016

/s/ Andrew G. Lizotte Harold B. Murphy (BBO #362610) Charles R. Bennett, Jr. (BBO #037380) Andrew G. Lizotte (BBO #559609) MURPHY & KING, Professional Corporation One Beacon Street Boston, MA 02108 Telephone: (617) 423-0400 ALizotte@murphyking.com

714351