IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

| In re: | | | |
|--------|--|--|--|
| | | | |

Chapter 11

TRICIDA, INC.,1

Case No. 23-10024 (JTD)

Debtor.

Re: Docket Nos. 11, 100, & 211

DECLARATION OF ALEXANDER V. ROHAN IN SUPPORT OF THE DEBTOR'S MOTION FOR THE SALE OF SUBSTANTIALLY ALL OF THE DEBTOR'S ASSETS

I, Alexander V. Rohan, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief:

- 1. I am a Managing Director of the advisory and investment banking firm of Miller Buckfire, LLC ("Miller Buckfire"), which is a wholly owned affiliate of Stifel, Nicolaus & Company, Inc. ("Stifel" and, collectively with Miller Buckfire, "Stifel-MB") and maintains its principal office at 787 7th Avenue, New York, New York 10019. Stifel-MB is the investment banker to Tricida, Inc., the debtor and debtor in possession (the "Debtor") in the above-captioned chapter 11 case (the "Chapter 11 Case").
- 2. On January 11, 2023, I submitted a declaration [Docket No. 13] (the "Initial Declaration") in support of the Debtor's Motion for Entry of (I) an Order (A) Approving Certain Bidding Procedures and the Form and Manner of Notice Thereof, (B) Scheduling an Auction and a Hearing on the Approval of the Sale of All or Substantially All of the Debtor's Assets, (C) Establishing Certain Assumption and Assignment Procedures and Approving the Manner of Notice Thereof, and (D) Granting Related Relief; and (II) an Order (A) Authorizing and Approving

¹ The Debtor in this chapter 11 case, together with the last four digits of the Debtor's federal tax identification number, is Tricida, Inc. (2526). The Debtor's service address is 7000 Shoreline Court, Suite 201, South San Francisco, CA 94080.



the Debtor's Entry into an Asset Purchase Agreement, (B) Authorizing the Sale of All or Substantially All of the Debtor's Assets Free and Clear of All Encumbrances, (C) Approving the Assumption and Assignment of the Assumed Contracts, and (D) Granting Related Relief [Docket No. 11] (the "Sale Motion").

- 3. I submit this supplemental declaration (this "Supplemental Declaration") in further support of the Sale Motion and in support of Debtor's Reply to the Objection of the Official Committee Of Unsecured Creditors to Debtor's Motion for Entry of an Order (I) Authorizing and Approving the Debtor's Entry into Asset Purchase Agreements, (II) Authorizing the Sale of All or Substantially All of the Debtor's Assets Free and Clear of All Encumbrances, (III) Approving the Assumption and Assignment of the Assumed Contracts, and (IV) Granting Related Relief (the "Reply")² filed contemporaneously herewith.
- 4. I am authorized to execute this Supplemental Declaration on behalf of Stifel-MB in my capacity as a Managing Director of Miller Buckfire. Except as otherwise indicated, all facts set forth in this Supplemental Declaration are based upon my personal knowledge or opinion, information learned from my review of relevant documents, and information I have received from the Debtor's employees, its other advisors, or from other members of the team at Miller Buckfire and Stifel. If I were called to testify, I could and would testify competently to the facts set forth herein.

A. Professional Qualifications

5. Miller Buckfire is a leading investment bank focused on providing strategic and financial advisory services in financial restructurings, recapitalizations and other complex situations. Miller Buckfire and its professionals have extensive experience working with

² Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the respective meanings ascribed to such terms in the Sale Motion or the Reply, as applicable or as context requires.

financially troubled companies in complex financing, restructuring and liability management transactions both in chapter 11 cases and in out-of-court situations. Miller Buckfire was acquired by Stifel in December 2012, at which point it became a wholly owned affiliate.

- 6. Stifel is a global, full-service investment banking firm that provides a wide array of financial advisory capabilities to its clients, including mergers and acquisitions, debt and equity capital markets and fund placement, among others. Stifel has over 600 investment banking professionals and trades on the New York Stock Exchange (ticker symbol: SF), with a current market capitalization of approximately \$7 billion. In this case, Stifel's healthcare investment banking team, comprised of approximately 58 professionals in the United States and Europe with extensive biotech and industry expertise, worked alongside Miller Buckfire in connection with the sale process.
- 7. I have over 26 years of experience, most of which has involved complex restructuring transactions. Specifically, I have been a Managing Director at Miller Buckfire since 2020. Prior to joining Miller Buckfire, I was a Senior Managing Director or Managing Director in the restructuring groups of B. Riley FBR, Inc., Guggenheim Securities, and Jefferies. Prior to my investment banking experience, I held senior restructuring-related roles at GE Asset Management (n/k/a Genworth Financial) and was a bankruptcy attorney at Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP. I began my career as an auditor at Ernst & Young LLP and a senior accountant at AIG.
- 8. Throughout my career, I have advised on approximately 125 transactions representing in excess of \$150 billion in liabilities and involving balance sheet restructurings, capital raising, mergers and acquisitions, exchanges, amendments, waivers, consents, tenders, direct investments, business unit dispositions and collective bargaining agreements. My

restructuring experience includes advising a wide range of stakeholders in complex transactions in and out-of-court. Furthermore, I have substantial experience in sale processes in chapter 11 cases in various roles some of which include: In re PhaseBio Pharmaceuticals Inc., No. 22-10995 (Bankr. D. Del. Nov. 4, 2022) (advising debtor); In re Compute North Holdings, Inc., No. 22-90273 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. Sept. 22, 2022) (advising creditors); In re Washington Prime Group Inc., No. 21-31948 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. June 13, 2021) (advising equity holders); In re GNC Holdings, Inc. (Bankr. D. Del. June 23, 2020) (advising creditors); In re Inverness Village, No. 19-11510 (Bankr. N.D. Okla. July 22, 2019) (advising debtors); In re Americore Holdings, LLC (Bankr. E.D. Ky. Dec. 31, 2019) (advising debtors); In re Pernix Therapeutics Holdings, Inc. (Bankr. D. Del. Feb. 18, 2019) (advising creditors); In re Appvion, Inc., No. 17-12082 (Bankr. D. Del. Oct. 1, 2017) (advising debtors); In re Dendreon Corporation (Bankr. D. Del. Nov. 10, 2014) (advising creditors); In re Brookstone Holdings Corp., No. 14-10752 (Bankr. D. Del. April 3, 2014) (advising debtors); In re Exide Technologies, No 13-11482 (Bankr. D. Del. June 10, 2013) (advising potential buyer); In re ATP Oil & Gas Corp., No. 12-36187 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. August 17, 2012) (advising debtors); In re Contract Research Solutions, Inc., No. 12-11004 (Bankr. D. Del. March 26, 2012) (advising debtors).

- 9. I received a Juris Doctor from NYU School of Law in 2000 and a BBA in Public Accounting from Pace University in 1993. I am a Chartered Financial Analyst charterholder and Certified Public Accountant (inactive). I also hold the following securities industry licenses from the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority: Series 7, 24 and 63.
- 10. I currently serve as a member of the board of directors for the following non-profit organizations: May Ellen and Gerald Ritter Foundation, BuildOn, and the Stamford Police Foundation.

B. The Debtor's Prepetition Marketing Process

11. As set forth in the First Day Declaration and the Initial Declaration, beginning in late October 2022, following an unsuccessful Phase 3(b) drug trial, the Debtor determined that it was necessary to explore strategic alternatives aimed at maximizing optionality while containing costs wherever possible to best preserve available liquidity and maximize the value of the Debtor's Assets for all its stakeholders. On November 3, 2022 the Debtor retained Stifel-MB to assist in these efforts, and commencing in early November 2022, the Debtor with the assistance of its professional advisors pursued multiple work streams to evaluate a range of strategic alternatives with the goal of maximizing the value of the Debtor and its Assets. After conducting initial due diligence, the Debtor, in consultation with Stifel-MB, launched a marketing process for the Assets on November 14, 2022. In order to further ensure a robust process, the Debtor made public through an 8-K filing (the "November 8-K") certain information regarding veverimer including potential future applications.³

12. Initially, Stifel-MB contacted or received inbound interest from approximately fifty-three (53) strategic and financial parties regarding a potential transaction, primarily comprised of large-cap and mid-cap public and private companies with strategic interests in nephrology or renal and metabolic therapeutic categories. With respect to this outreach process, Stifel-MB prioritized parties with both adequate commercial infrastructure and drug development capabilities along with sufficient capital resources—or a reasonable likelihood of being able to obtain such capital—to consummate a transaction that would maximize the value of the Debtor or its Assets. These parties were provided non-confidential presentation materials prepared by the

³ Tricida's presentation, dated November 2022, can be accessed via the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's website: https://www.sec.gov/ix?doc=/Archives/edgar/data/0001595585/000159558522000089/tcda-20221115.htm, Exhibit 99.1.

Debtor that were disclosed in the November 8-K. For parties who executed a non-disclosure agreement, the Debtor provided certain confidential information, including access to a virtual data room.

13. In addition, throughout this prepetition process, Stifel-MB and the Debtor's other advisors held a number of calls and meetings with the advisors to certain holders of the 3.50% convertible senior notes due 2027 (the "Joint Noteholders") regarding, among other things, the marketing process, strategic alternatives and potential next steps. On January 11, 2023 the Debtor entered into a Restructuring Support Agreement with the Joint Noteholders, which contemplated the continuation of the prepetition marketing process in connection with the Debtor's chapter 11 filing.

C. The Debtor's Postpetition Marketing and Sale Process

14. Shortly after commencing the chapter 11 case, Stifel-MB launched the postpetition marketing process. This process was designed to build upon the prepetition efforts by marketing the Debtor's assets to an even broader group of potential buyers, which included the parties contacted prepetition, additional parties identified by the Debtor and its advisors, and all parties suggested by the advisors to the Joint Noteholders and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors ("Committee"). The expanded process included strategic and financial parties as well as distress-oriented investors who may be interested in the Debtor's intellectual property ("IP") and fixed assets. As a result, the Debtor and its advisors communicated with a total of eighty-seven (87) parties. Those parties received information regarding the proposed Bidding Procedures and access to non-confidential information, including a corporate presentation. In total, eight (8)

⁴ The advisors to the Joint Noteholders suggested six potential parties, all of which were contacted. None of those parties requested a non-disclosure agreement. The advisors to the Committee originally suggested five potential parties, however one of those parties was already contacted during the postpetition process; the remaining four parties were contacted and none of those parties requested a non-disclosure agreement.

parties executed a non-disclosure agreement and received additional materials, access to a virtual data room and in some cases, meetings with management. Throughout the process, the advisors to the Joint Noteholders and Committee received regular status updates from the Debtor's advisors.

- 15. On January 26, 2023 the Court entered the Bid Procedures Order which established the Bid Deadline of February 10, 2023 and auction date of February 15, 2023.
- 16. On February 9, 2023 and February 10, 2023 the Debtor received bid submissions from Potential Bidders for two distinct groups of Assets: (a) all of the Debtor's laboratory equipment; and (b) the Debtor's intellectual property, inventory and other assets. As part of the bid submission packages, each qualified bidder provided evidence of its financial wherewithal to consummate its proposed transaction as well as a good faith deposit of 10% of the cash purchase price. The Debtor's advisors held calls with the Consultation Parties on February 10, 2023 to discuss the submissions. That same day, the Debtor's advisors provided the bid submissions and a bid summary presentation to the Consultation Parties. Over the following days, the Debtor worked with the Potential Bidders to improve their bids and revise their proposed Purchase Agreements, with input from and updates to the Consultation Parties.
- 17. I understand, on information and belief, that on February 14, 2023, the Debtor conferred with the Consultation Parties on the status of the bids received and the upcoming commencement of an Auction for the Assets. The Debtor then informed the Potential Bidders that it intended to move forward with an in-person Auction at the offices of Sidley Austin in New York in an effort to maximize the value of the Assets. The Debtor sent the current bid from each of the Potential Bidders to the Consultation Parties in advance of the Auction.

D. The Auction

18. On February 15, 2023, the Debtor, following extensive in-person, phone, and email consultations with the Consultation Parties, determined it would maximize value to separate the

Assets into two lots: the first ("Lot 1") consisted of the Debtor's lab equipment, for which Liquidity Services and HGP presented competing bids, and the second ("Lot 2"), comprised all of the Debtor's other Assets, primarily composed of its intellectual property Assets, for which Renibus and Patheon presented competing bids.

- 19. The Auction for the Lot 1 Assets occurred on February 15, 2023; the Auction for the Lot 2 Assets commenced on February 15, 2023, was continued to February 16, 2023 and again to February 20, 2023. The February 15, 2023, February 16, 2023, and February 20, 2023 transcripts of the Auction are attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**, **Exhibit 2**, and **Exhibit 3**, respectively.
- 20. First, with respect to Lot 1, the Debtor announced the \$145,000 bid submitted by Liquidity Services as the Starting Bid, and set the Incremental Overbid amount at \$10,000. Following multiple rounds of bidding between Liquidity Services and HGP, the Debtor selected Liquidity Services' \$235,000 bid as the highest or otherwise best offer and HGP's \$225,000 bid as the next highest or otherwise best offer for Lot 1.
- 21. The Debtor then adjourned the Auction with respect to Lot 2 to give Renibus and Patheon an opportunity to improve their existing bids and to discuss those bids with the Consultation Parties. Over approximately the next six hours, the Debtor, the Consultation Parties, Renibus, and Patheon engaged in extensive conferences and negotiations to understand the bids and resolve concerns regarding certain non-monetary terms of the Renibus and Patheon bids. The Debtor then continued the adjournment until the following morning to allow for additional conferences with the Consultation Parties to understand the relative value of the two bids.
- 22. On February 16, 2023, the Auction re-opened at approximately 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern Time). With respect to Lot 2, the Debtor announced the \$250,000 bid submitted by Renibus as the Starting Bid. Additionally, the Debtor explained the Patheon bid

contained various provisions not present in the Renibus bid that the Debtor evaluated as reducing the value of that bid to the estate.⁵ As a result, the minimum overbid required for Patheon was set at \$980,000, which equaled the Starting Bid, plus adjustments for the value deductions attributed to the Patheon bid, plus the minimum overbid increment of \$100,000 set forth in the Bidding Procedures.⁶

23. The Debtor provided Patheon with an opportunity to submit a further bid, including a bid that removed Patheon's proposed acquisition of the Patheon Causes of Action, but Patheon declined. As a result, the Debtor announced Renibus as the Successful Bidder and Patheon as the Next-Highest Bidder. The Consultation Parties each lodged verbal objections to the selection of the Successful Bid and the Next-Highest Bid on the record at the Auction. The Auction was adjourned until Monday, February 20, 2023, to give the Consultation Parties additional time to negotiate a resolution with Renibus, or provide an alternative proposal. As part of these discussions, the Debtor communicated to the Committee and Joint Noteholders that preserving the intellectual property Assets in a "wait and see" approach would entail estimated annual maintenance costs of approximately \$480,000 to \$875,000, and that the estimated administrative costs to the estate would be substantially lower if the Lot 2 Assets were sold.

E. Settlement Negotiations

24. In light of the verbal objections that the Committee and the Joint Noteholders raised on the record at the Auction, on February 16, 2023 Renibus made an offer to provide certain

⁵ The Patheon bid did not agree to acquire certain inventory, which the Debtor would be required to dispose of at a cost of \$130,000. The Patheon bid also sought to acquire certain affirmative causes of action against Patheon (the "Patheon Causes of Action") which the Debtor valued at \$500,000. As a result, Patheon's cash bid of \$500,000 was reduced to negative -\$130,000 [\$500,000 - \$500,000 - \$130,000]. The Consultation Parties, after consultation with the Debtor, disagreed with the Debtor's valuation of the Patheon Causes of Action.

⁶ The Debtor informed the Consultation Parties of the proposed adjustments to the Patheon bid and the reasons for those adjustments prior to the reopening of the Auction.

contingent future milestone payments as additional consideration (the "Renibus Settlement Offer") in the hopes of consensually resolving those disputes. The Debtor offered the Committee and the Joint Noteholders certain extensions to their objection deadline for the Sale Motion to allow the parties to negotiate a consensual resolution with Renibus in the interim.

- 25. I understand, on information and belief, that between February 16, 2023 and February 20, 2023, the Debtor, the Consultation Parties, and Renibus worked around the clock to reach a negotiated settlement of the creditors' concerns, including through further improvement in the terms of the acquisition reflected by the Renibus Settlement Offer. I further understand, on information and belief, that the Renibus management team made itself available to the Consultation Parties to answer questions regarding its intellectual property development intentions, and the parties worked on acceptable documentation of the settlement offer, with the Consultation Parties providing comments on each exchanged draft of the Renibus Settlement Offer and Renibus' Purchase Agreement. Notwithstanding the extensions and ongoing negotiations, the Committee filed the Objection and the Joint Noteholders filed the Joinder on February 17, 2023 and February 20, 2023, respectively.
- 26. On February 20, 2023 at approximately 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) the Auction re-commenced. The Debtor announced on the record that, following consultation with the Consultation Parties, in addition to its bid, Renibus had proposed substantial additional consideration in the form of the Renibus Settlement Offer in an effort to consensually resolve the outstanding creditor objections. The Debtor adjourned the Auction for several hours to facilitate the ongoing negotiations, and closed the Auction shortly after 6:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time).

F. The Sale of the Debtor's Assets

27. I believe that the Debtor, with the assistance of its advisors, conducted the marketing and sale process for the Assets in a manner that was thorough, fair, designed to

maximize value, and in compliance with the Bidding Procedures Order and the Bidding Procedures in all material respects. Any parties suggested by the advisors to the Joint Noteholders and Committee were contacted and invited to participate in the process.

- 28. All parties that expressed a potential interest in the Assets were afforded a full, fair, and reasonable opportunity to conduct diligence and submit offers for the Assets and to object or otherwise be heard with respect to the sale process. I believe that at all times, the Debtor's negotiations and discussions with the potential bidders for the Assets were conducted in good faith and at arm's length, and by parties who were (or had the opportunity to be) represented by their own counsel and advisors.
- 29. I believe that HGP, Liquidity Services, Renibus and Patheon have acted in good faith throughout the sale process, and I am not aware of any evidence of collusion in the sale process of the Assets. Pursuant to the Bidding Procedures, each of these parties affirmed that it had not engaged in any collusion with respect to the submission of any bid or the Auction.
- 30. Additionally, I believe that the sales of the Assets to Liquidity Services and Renibus, pursuant to the terms of the Purchase Agreements and their respective ancillary documents, are in the best of interest of the Debtor, its estate, and its creditors because the Successful Bids are the highest or otherwise best offers available for the Assets and will maximize recoveries for the Debtor's creditors. In light of the Debtor's comprehensive sale and marketing process, I do not believe that further marketing of the Assets would have resulted in higher or otherwise better offers for the Assets, and I believe that the Assets were properly exposed to the market. In sum, I believe that the Debtor has a sound business justification for entering into the Purchase Agreements and consummating the Sale of the Assets to the Successful Bidders.

Case 23-10024-JTD Doc 216 Filed 02/21/23 Page 12 of 12

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing statements are true correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Date: February 21, 2023 New York, New York /s/ Alexander V. Rohan

Alexander V. Rohan

Managing Director of Miller Buckfire LLC

Exhibit 1

February 15, 2023 Auction Transcript

```
1
 2
    IN RE:
                                    Chapter 11
 3
                                    Case No. 23-10024 (JTD
    TRICIDA, INC.,
 4
 5
                     Debtor.
 6
 7
 8
                    TRANSCRIPT OF AUCTION OF ASSETS
 9
                     Wednesday, February 15, 2023
10
11
    APPEARANCES:
12
    For the Debtor:
                              Samuel A. Newman, Esquire
13
                              Julia Philips Roth, Esquire
                              SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP
                              555 West Fifth Street
14
                              Suite 4000
15
                              Los Angeles, California 90013
16
                              Charles M. Persons, Esquire
                              Jeri L. Miller, Esquire
17
                              2021 McKinney Avenue
                              Suite 2000
18
                              Dallas, Texas 75201
    (APPEARANCES CONTINUED)
19
20
    Transcription Company:
                              Reliable
21
                              The Nemours Building
                              1007 N. Orange Street, Suite 110
22
                              Wilmington, Delaware 19801
                              Telephone: (302)654-8080
23
                              Email: gmatthews@reliable-co.com
    Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording,
24
    transcript produced by transcription service.
25
```

| 1 | <u>APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)</u> : | |
|----|----------------------------------|--|
| 2 | For the Debtor: | Alex Rohan, Managing Director MILLER BUCKFIRE & CO, LLC |
| 3 | | 787 7th Avenue 5th Floor |
| 4 | | New York, New York 10019 |
| 5 | | -and- |
| 6 | | Sean Corwen, Director SIERRACONSTELLATION PARTNERS, LLC |
| 7 | | 805 3rd Avenue Suite 29 |
| 8 | | New York, New York 10022 |
| 9 | | -and- |
| 10 | | Neal Karnovsky, Managing Director, M&A, Biopharma |
| 11 | | STIFEL |
| 12 | | 501 North Broadway St. Louis, Missouri 63102 |
| 13 | For Renibus | |
| 14 | Therapeutics: | Alistair K. Fatheazam, Esquire |
| 15 | | LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP 1271 Avenue of the Americas New York, New York 10020 |
| 16 | | New IOIK, New IOIK 10020 |
| 17 | For the Official Committee of | |
| 18 | | Donald J. Detweiler, Esquire WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP 1313 North Market Street Suite 1200 |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | Wilmington, Delaware 19801 |
| 21 | | Todd A. Atkinson, Esquire 811 Main Street |
| 22 | | Suite 3130 Houston, Texas 77002 |
| 23 | | 110030011, 16203 //002 |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

| 1 | <u>APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)</u> : | |
|----|------------------------------------|---|
| 2 | For the Official Committee of | |
| 3 | Unsecured Creditors: | James Gansman, Founding Partner Brian Ayers, Managing Director |
| 4 | | ROCK CREEK ADVISORS, LLC 1738 Belmar Boulevard |
| 5 | | Belmar, New Jersey 07719 |
| 6 | For Liquidity | |
| 7 | For Liquidity Services, Inc.: | Nick Jimenez, Vice President of |
| 8 | | Global Business Development LIQUIDITY SERVICES, INC. |
| 9 | | 6931 Arlington Road Suite 200 |
| 10 | | Bethesda, Maryland 20814 |
| 11 | For the Noteholders: | Darren S. Klein, Esquire |
| 12 | | Abraham Bane, Esquire DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL, LLP |
| 13 | | 450 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10017 |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | For Patheon Austria GmbH & Co. KG: | William P. Hodges, Esquire |
| 16 | | THOMPSON HINE, LLP 335 Madison Avenue |
| 17 | | 12th Floor New York, New York 10017 |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | For ****: | Monica Healy, Corporate Finance and Restructuring Senior Consultant |
| 20 | | FTI CONSULTING, INC. 1166 Avenue of the Americas |
| 21 | | 15th Floor New York, New York 10036 |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

| 1 | APPEARANCES (CONTINUED) | VIA ZOOM: | |
|-----|------------------------------------|---|--|
| 2 | For Patheon Austria GmbH & Co. KG: | Louis F. Solimine, Esquire | |
| 3 | | THOMPSON HINE, LLP 312 Walnut Street | |
| 4 | | Suite 2000 Cincinatti, Ohio 45202 | |
| 5 | | , | |
| 6 | For Renibus | Tod A Dillman Faquiro | |
| 7 | Therapeutics: | Ted A. Dillman, Esquire LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP | |
| 8 | | 355 South Grand Avenue Suite 100 | |
| 9 | | Los Angeles, California 90071 | |
| 10 | | Claire H. Drucker, Esquire 12670 High Bluff Drive | |
| 11 | | San Diego, California 92130 | |
| 12 | | -and- | |
| 13 | | Jamie Donadio, Chief Financial Officer | |
| 14 | | RENIBUS THERAPEUTICS, INC. 181 Grand Avenue | |
| | | Suite 225 | |
| 15 | | Southlake, Texas 76092 | |
| 16 | For Heritage Global | | |
| 17 | Partners, Inc.: | Nick Dove, President David Barkoff, Senior Vice President | |
| 18 | | James Sklar, Executive Vice President and General Counsel | |
| 19 | | HERITAGE GLOBAL PARTNERS, INC. 12625 High Bluff Drive | |
| 20 | | Suite 305 San Diego, California 92130 | |
| 21 | | San Diego, Callionnia 92130 | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | | | |
| - 0 | | | |

(Proceedings commenced)

MR. NEWMAN: Okay. So, if we could just -- this is Sam Newman. This is the auction of assets in the Tricida, Inc. case, as authorized by the bid procedures order.

We have presented two lots for auction. One is a liquidation of the company's equipment and we have two bidders here, who I understand are interested in those assets, and then a separate lot excluding equipment for all other assets, which is substantially all other assets of the company. As reflected in the bids that we've received, we have two bidders for those lots.

This auction is on the record and everything that is said will be recorded using our Zoom technology and will not be subject to any confidentiality, so we wanted to just make clear and would like to have everyone who is here participating in the auction, either in person or remotely, introduce themselves so that we have a record.

I'll start over here to my left and we'll go to the right.

MR. FATHEAZAM: Alistair Fatheazam from Latham, on behalf of Renibus.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: John (indiscernible) with FTI (indiscernible).

MS. HEALY: Monica Healy, with FTI.

MR. NEWMAN: Hold on one second.

```
Can the people on Zoom hear as people are
 1
 2
    announcing themselves?
               MR. DOVE: Yes.
 3
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's very quiet.
 4
 5
               MR. NEWMAN: Go ahead.
               MR. KLEIN: Darren Klein from Davis Polk &
 6
 7
   Wardwell, on behalf of the Noteholders.
               MR. JIMENEZ: Nick Jimenez with Liquidity
 8
 9
    Services.
10
               MR. BANE: Abe Bane from Davis Polk.
               MS. PHILIPS ROTH: Julia Philips Roth, Sidley
11
   Austin, on behalf of the debtor.
12
13
               MS. MILLER: Jeri Leigh Miller, Sidley Austin, on
   behalf of the debtor.
14
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) from Sidley
15
16
   Austin, on behalf of the debtor.
17
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Jeff (indiscernible) from
18
   Sidley Austin, on behalf of the debtor.
19
               MR. ROHAN: Alex Rohan from Miller Buckfire, on
20
   behalf of the debtor.
               MR. CORWEN: Sean Corwen from SierraConstellation
21
22
   Partners, on behalf of the debtor.
23
               MR. KARNOVSKY: Neal Karnovsky, Stifel, on behalf
   of the debtor.
24
25
               MR. NEWMAN: Sam Newman, Sidley Austin, for the
```

1 debtors. 2 MR. PERSONS: Charles Persons, Sidley Austin, for the debtor. 3 MR. HODGES: William Hodges, Thompson Hine, for 4 5 Patheon. MR. ATKINSON: Todd Atkinson of Womble Bond 6 7 Dickinson, on behalf of the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors. 8 9 MR. GANSMAN: Jim Gansman from Rock Creek 10 Advisors, on behalf of the UCC. MR. AYERS: Brian Ayers, Rock Creek Advisors, FA 11 to the Committee. 12 13 MR. NEWMAN: And if the people on Zoom could 14 introduce themselves, starting with Lou. 15 MR. SOLIMINE: Louis Solimine, on behalf of 16 Patheon. 17 MR. NEWMAN: Ted? 18 MR. DILLMAN: Yeah, Ted Dillman from Latham & 19 Watkins, on behalf of Renibus. 20 Sam, would it be helpful for me to just introduce 21 the other Renibus people while I'm at it or do you just want 22 to go through the whole list? 23 MR. NEWMAN: So there's only a couple more people 24 on screen. If you have additional people who are appearing

25

on screen, feel free.

MR. DILLMAN: Sure. So, Jamie just joined, but 1 2 Jamie Donadio is with Renibus. I don't know if we have anybody else from Renibus 3 currently on the line, though, we may have some others 4 5 dialing in. 6 MR. NEWMAN: Okay. And then there's one more 7 person on the screen who I don't recognize. I apologize. 8 MR. DOVE: It's probably me, Nick Dove at Heritage 9 Global. 10 MR. NEWMAN: Great. Thank you, Nick. Anyone else who's listening in by Zoom or 11 otherwise that has not announced themselves? 12 13 MR. BARKOFF: Yeah, David Barkoff from Heritage Global Partners, as well. 14 15 MR. SKLAR: And James Sklar, I'm general counsel 16 for Heritage Global, as well. 17 MS. DRUCKER: You have Claire Drucker here from 18 Latham & Watkins, on behalf of Renibus. 19 MR. NEWMAN: Anyone else who has not yet announced 20 their presence? 21 (No verbal response) 22 MR. NEWMAN: Okay. Thank you very much. 23 All right. So, we have received and qualified two bids for the liquidation of the equipment. Who has the 24 25 official names of the bidders? I apologize.

MR. PERSONS: So, Liquidity Services, Inc. is the 1 2 first and Heritage Global, I'm not sure (indiscernible). Apologies. 3 MR. NEWMAN: Does the other liquidator want to 4 5 just give us their full name for the record. 6 MR. DOVE: Heritage Global Partners, Inc. 7 MR. NEWMAN: Great. Thank you. 8 So, we're prepared to proceed with that auction 9 for that lot. We have documentation that the Board has 10 deemed acceptable and has qualified those bidders for the lots for which they are bidding. 11 12 One question we want to ask for clarification purposes, it would be of value to the estate to be able to 13 14 remove the equipment that is being bid on no later than 15 February 28th, are both bidders able to accommodate that schedule? 16 17 MR. DOVE: Yes. 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So on that basis, in a moment, 20 we will proceed with that auction. We have decided in the 21 exercise of the business judgment of the debtors and in an 22 effort to kind of streamline and maximize value, to modify 23 the bid increment for that auction to \$10,000. And so, we will announce the highest and lowest best bid that has been

received and then proceed in open bidding rounds of 10,000 or

24

more.

With respect to the lots that have been received from Patheon and Renibus for the rest of the assets, we do not presently have definitive documentation reflecting those bids. We have agreed to extend the deadline for submission of documentation to 12:00 Eastern, and we would ask that both of those parties adjourn to the breakout rooms available to work with our corporate teams to finalize the documentation to make sure that we have all open (indiscernible).

Those bids received, we appreciate the work that the parties have done to try to work with our accelerated schedule and we believe that both parties have made -- have agreed to modifications of their bid terms that would be acceptable to the debtors and the Board, but we need to receive definitive documentation reflecting those bids before we proceed with that auction.

I'll also just announce that based on the bids that have been received, which we have disclosed to the consultation parties, both of the consultation parties and the Noteholders have expressed reservation as to whether they would (indiscernible) the acceptance of either bid. We do, however, intend to proceed with the auction and allow those parties to reserve their rights to object to any sale that is the product of the auction.

So, assuming that we are able to get those

documents, late documents resolved, we expect to reconvene to conduct this auction at about 12:00 p.m. If either bidder is not able to complete their documentation by then, we will assess whether we proceed further.

So, with that, I would excuse everyone who does not have an interest in Lot One to hopefully start getting the work done, finalizing their bid documents, and getting whatever information the Committee and Noteholders need in order to address their objections to the conduct of the auction as to the all-assets bid, and we would plan to reconvene that group at noon.

Any questions before we break?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Sam, I missed the beginning part. Is the court transcriber going to be present?

MR. NEWMAN: No. Just to save money, given the indicative bids, we have opted to use the recorded Zoom feature. So we can have that just transcribed if people believe it's necessary, so we'll have a record of the auction.

And I did announce at the beginning that this auction itself is on the record and not subject to any confidentiality obligations on behalf of the debtor or other parties and they should expect that any statements made in this room will be public knowledge.

MR. KLEIN: Know for the record, Darren Klein for the Noteholders. We're happy to (indiscernible). We do object to the debtor selling the IP (indiscernible). We think it's much better to (indiscernible).

MR. NEWMAN: And we note that objection, and what I'd like to see happen next is finalizing the bids in their current form and, hopefully, you know, engaging between you and the bidders to determine whether we proceed and resolve your objection. At this point, the debtor intends to proceed with an auction if the bids have been finalized and --

MR. SOLIMINE: Sam, this is Lou Solimine.

So am I to understand that you're suggesting that Renibus and Patheon sign off now, and then will one of your corporate team members contact us or how do you propose to proceed?

MR. NEWMAN: So one of the reasons that I -- one of the reasons we wanted to conduct this in person, we have breakout rooms for each party, and so we would align our corporate people with representatives that are here in person and ask them to arrange, you know, for electronic communication with appropriate parties to finalize that documentation. So, that would be my hope.

MR. DILLMAN: And Sam, just so people can sort of plan their days, would we expect that the auction, assuming people get through documentation, the auction would pick up

at 1 o'clock or sometime in the afternoon?

MR. NEWMAN: That -- my expectation at this point is just given the amount of work that we have to do to try to resolve the Committee and Noteholder objections, I would expect to reconvene at noon Eastern.

If we have definitive bids and have resolved those objections, I would like to proceed at that time.

MR. DILLMAN: Okay.

MR. NEWMAN: If we don't have definitive bids by that time, then we'll decide whether or not to continue the auction further. And if we have definitive bids, but have not resolved the creditor objections, then the debtor will decide whether or not to proceed with the auction at that time.

MR. DILLMAN: Okay.

MR. NEWMAN: But it's worthwhile to give everybody until noon. Let's reconvene, we'll have an update, and we'll provide the debtors potentially with respect to how we'd like to proceed at that time.

MR. DILLMAN: Okay. Thanks.

MR. NEWMAN: Any other questions?

MR. ATKINSON: Sam, just given the Noteholders' objection, you know, we'd join in and share the same objection and we reserve all rights.

MR. NEWMAN: And just -- because we don't have a

```
stenographer, if you could just add your name?
 1
 2
               MR. ATKINSON: Yeah, Todd Atkinson for the
 3
    Committee at Womble Bond Dickinson.
 4
               MR. NEWMAN: And also, for the record, Don
 5
    Detweiler just walked in, also with Womble, for the
 6
    Committee.
 7
               Great. So, let's dismiss everyone who's not
 8
   interested in Lot One. We will proceed to an auction of Lot
 9
    One and we'll reconvene -- we'll, then, suspend the auction
10
    until noon and reconvene at that time for further
    (indiscernible).
11
12
               Any questions?
13
          (No verbal response)
14
               MR. NEWMAN: Okay. So, we'll excuse those who are
15
   not interested in Lot One.
16
               MR. DILLMAN: Cool. Thank you, all.
17
          (Pause)
18
               MR. BARKOFF: Hey, guys?
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes? Who's talking?
19
20
               MR. BARKOFF: Yes, this is David Barkoff from
21
   Heritage Global Partners.
22
               How long till you reconvene for that first lot or
23
   is everyone just going to do --
24
               MR. NEWMAN: We are doing -- we are -- we are
25
    convening right now, I think.
```

```
MR. BARKOFF: Okay. Great.
 1
               MR. NEWMAN: So, (indiscernible) everybody else
 2
    out of the room.
 3
               Charles -- I'm going to turn the mic over to
 4
 5
    Charles Persons who will conduct the auction for Lot One.
 6
               MR. BARKOFF: Great.
 7
               MR. NEWMAN: And thank you very much. Thanks,
 8
   everyone, for your patience.
 9
               UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Charles, Sam, it looks like
10
    there are some volume issues. I've called IT to turn up the
11
    microphones. Until they get here, if you could just make
    sure you're talking right into the microphone.
12
13
               MR. PERSONS: Okay. It's probably because there's
   not as many microphones as there were people originally.
14
15
               Can everyone on the -- that's currently on the
16
   phone hear me fairly clearly?
17
               MR. BARKOFF: Yes.
18
               MR. PERSONS: Julia, why don't you swing down
19
   here.
20
               MS. PHILIPS ROTH: Yeah, no problem.
21
               MR. PERSONS: Okay. For the record, Charles
22
    Persons at Sidley Austin.
23
               This will be the auction for what we have deemed
    "Lot One" which is essentially the liquidation assets, the
24
25
    PP&E, if you will, of the company. We have two bids.
```

```
First, from Liquidity Services, I wanted to ask
 1
    who will be representative speaking?
 2
               MR. JIMENEZ: Yeah, Nick Jimenez.
 3
 4
               MR. PERSONS: Thank you.
 5
               And then Heritage Global, who's --
 6
               MR. DOVE: Me, Nick Dove.
 7
               MR. PERSONS: Two Nicks, okay.
 8
               Nick, how do you spell your last name?
 9
               MR. JIMENEZ: J-i-m-e-n-e-z.
10
               MR. PERSONS: Okay. So, the debtors have received
11
    two bids for Lot One, the company's PP&E. We have heard on
    the record that both bidders are able to get the property out
12
13
   by February 28th. I want to affirm that one more time on the
14
    record, just one at a time.
               Nick Jimenez?
15
               MR. JIMENEZ: Yes.
16
17
               MR. PERSONS: Yes.
18
               And Nick Dove?
               MR. DOVE: Yes.
19
20
               MR. PERSONS: All right. Thank you both.
21
               So, the two bids -- the bid that we received from
22
    Liquidity Services is the high bid right now at $145,000. So
23
   the auction will go -- the next bid will be Heritage Global.
24
    You have an option of -- the minimum bid increment is 10,000,
25
    so a bid of 155,000 or more, Mr. Dove?
```

```
1
               MR. DOVE: Heritage will be 180,000, one, eight,
 2
    zero.
 3
               MR. PERSONS: Heritage Global bids 180,000.
 4
    you very much.
 5
               Mr. Jimenez?
 6
               MR. JIMENEZ: 190.
 7
               MR. PERSONS: And Liquidity Services has gone to
 8
    190.
 9
               It's 200,000 to Heritage.
10
               MR. DOVE: Heritage will be 205,000.
11
               MR. PERSONS: So 205,000 to Heritage. So, that's
12
    two -- a bid of 215 to Liquidity Services.
13
               MR. JIMENEZ: I bid 215.
               MR. PERSONS: Liquidity Services will bid 215.
14
15
               Heritage?
               MR. DOVE: Yeah, 225 for Heritage.
16
17
               MR. PERSONS: Heritage is at 225.
18
               So, 235 now to Liquidity.
               MR. JIMENEZ: We'll bid 235.
19
20
               MR. PERSONS: Liquidity Services bids 235.
21
               Heritage?
22
               MR. DOVE: What'd you see there, Nick?
23
          (Laughter)
24
               MR. PERSONS: It's Storage Wars. You can't open
25
    it until you buy it.
```

```
(Laughter)
 1
               MR. DOVE: What did we miss, Nick?
 2
 3
          (No verbal response)
               MR. DOVE: The current bid is 235?
 4
 5
               MR. PERSONS: The current bid is 235. So, it's to
 6
    Heritage --
 7
               MR. DOVE: Heritage's bid would have to be 245?
 8
               MR. PERSONS:
                             Yes.
 9
               MR. DOVE: Okay. Congrats to Liquidity Services.
10
               MR. PERSONS: Very good. Thank you both for
   participating.
11
12
               With that, the auction for Lot One will stand
13
    closed. Congratulations to Liquidity Services. We
14
    appreciate that.
15
               We will figure out what we need in terms of
    documentation. Well, I know we actually have an APA with
16
17
    you. I appreciate that we've got the rest of the bids and a
18
   bunch of stuff to figure out, but we will sort out what we
   need to do.
19
20
               Anything from the Committee or Noteholders'
21
    representatives in here?
22
          (No verbal response)
23
               MR. PERSONS: All right. So, Lot One, 235,000.
               We appreciate everyone participating. Thank you
24
25
    very much.
```

MR. DOVE: Thanks.

MR. JIMENEZ: Hey, take care, Nick.

MR. DOVE: You got it. See ya.

MR. BARKOFF: Thanks, guys. Bye-bye.

MR. PERSONS: All right. So, with that, we'll go off the record until at least 12:00 noon. Everyone can convene to their rooms and we will keep people posted as to how things are going at that point in time. Thank you.

(Recess taken)

(Proceedings resumed)

MR. NEWMAN: So, going back on the record in the auction of the Tricida, Inc. case, we've had direct conversations today with both bidders. We have, I think, finalized the bid documents from both bidders.

Given the late hour and the somewhat material differences in non-monetary terms between the two bidders, we're going to need to spend some time with the creditors assessing the relative value of the two bids. So we have proposed, in the interests of the late hour here in New York, and also the unavailability of the Patheon client in Austria, that we adjourn the auction until tomorrow morning. We propose 10:00 a.m.

We expect to have continued conversations with both, the Creditors' Committee and the Noteholders over trying to overcome some of their objections to proceeding

1 with the auction given the current bids, and to commence 2 bidding in the morning. I would offer to the Committee any further 3 statement they would like to make regarding the status of the 4 5 auction and bidding process. MR. ATKINSON: The auction is being adjourned 6 7 until tomorrow morning? 8 MR. NEWMAN: Correct, 10:00 a.m. Eastern. 9 MR. ATKINSON: We will reserve our statements 10 until then. MR. NEWMAN: Okay. I'd offer a statement to the 11 12 Noteholders, if they --13 MR. KLEIN: Well, just a question. If you've finalized the bid docs, I haven't seen them if you have, and 14 15 I thought you -- there was a bid and ask that fell between 16 you and Patheon; is that not true anymore or do you accept 17 it? 18 MR. NEWMAN: We asked. They said no. And we have 19 the bid doc they're prepared to submit, so we'll circulate 20 that to the noticed parties shortly after we adjourn so they 21 can consider them overnight. 22 MR. KLEIN: Okay. 23 MR. NEWMAN: Any comments from the bidders? Patheon? 24 25 MR. SOLIMINE: I guess I'd just be interested in

1 the rationale of not -- I understand what you are proposing, 2 but I guess I'm at a loss to understand why we wouldn't -you also would not want to understand at this juncture what 3 each of the two bidders is prepared to pay. 4 5 MR. NEWMAN: So my view and the debtor's view is 6 that the non-monetary terms on the Patheon bid require a 7 further assessment before we can determine what, if any, next bid increment would be. 8 9 Ted, any questions or comments from Renibus? 10 MR. DILLMAN: No, Sam, not at this time. 11 MR. NEWMAN: So we encourage the parties -- there 12 are obviously issues beyond just the cash consideration that have been raised today amongst the parties. We encourage the 13 parties to continue to discuss them. 14 15 As the debtors, we, you know, we would like to see consensus emerge among the creditor body over the next steps 16 17 and we are willing to continue to progress the process and 18 have direction from the Board, if needed, to progress the 19 process if such consensus is (indiscernible). 20 And we look forward to seeing you all tomorrow at 21 10:00 a.m. 22 We can go off the record. Thank you.

24

23

(Audio concludes)

CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the best of my knowledge and ability. /s/ William J. Garling February 16, 2023 William J. Garling, CET-543 Certified Court Transcriptionist For Reliable

Exhibit 2

February 16, 2023 Auction Transcript

| 1 | | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | IN RE: | Chapter 11 |
| 3 | | Chapter 11Case No. 23-10024 (JTD |
| 4 | TRICIDA, INC., | • |
| 5 | Debtor. | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | TRANSCRIPT OF CONTINUED AUCTION OF ASSETS | |
| 8 | Thursda | ay, February 16, 2023 |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | APPEARANCES: | |
| 11 | For the Debtor: | Allison S. Mielke, Esquire YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP |
| 12 | | Rodney Square 1000 North King Street |
| 13 | | Wilmington, Delaware 19801 |
| 14 | | -and- |
| 15 | | Samuel A. Newman, Esquire SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP |
| 16 | | 555 West Fifth Street Suite 4000 |
| 17 | | Los Angeles, California 90013 |
| 18 | | |
| | (APPEARANCES CONTINUED) | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | Transcription Company: | Reliable |
| 21 | | The Nemours Building 1007 N. Orange Street, Suite 110 |
| 22 | | Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Telephone: (302)654-8080 |
| 23 | | Email: gmatthews@reliable-co.com |
| 24 | Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service. | |
| 25 | cranscript produced by t | ranscription service. |

| 1 | <u>APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)</u> : | |
|----|----------------------------------|--|
| 2 | For the Debtor: | Charles M. Persons, Esquire Jeri L. Miller, Esquire |
| 3 | | SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP 2021 McKinney Avenue |
| 4 | | Suite 2000 Dallas, Texas 75201 |
| 5 | | Lanna Lan, Esquire |
| 6 | | Jerry Ford II, Esquire 787 Seventh Avenue |
| 7 | | New York, New York 10019 |
| 8 | | -and- |
| 9 | | Alex Rohan, Managing Director Chris Jandora, Analyst |
| 10 | | MILLER BUCKFIRE & CO, LLC 787 7th Avenue |
| 11 | | 5th Floor New York, New York 10019 |
| 12 | | · |
| 13 | | -and- |
| 14 | | Sean Corwen, Director SIERRACONSTELLATION PARTNERS, LLC |
| 15 | | 805 3rd Avenue Suite 29 |
| 16 | | New York, New York 10022 |
| 17 | For the Official Committee of | |
| 18 | | Donald J. Detweiler, Esquire |
| 19 | | WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP 1313 North Market Street Suite 1200 |
| 20 | | Wilmington, Delaware 19801 |
| 21 | | Todd A. Atkinson, Esquire 811 Main Street |
| 22 | | Suite 3130 Houston, Texas 77002 |
| 23 | | 110ubcon, 16xab 11002 |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

| 1 | <u>APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)</u> : | |
|----|------------------------------------|--|
| 2 | For the Noteholders: | Darren S. Klein, Esquire Abraham Bane, Esquire |
| 3 | | DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL, LLP 450 Lexington Avenue |
| 4 | | New York, New York 10017 |
| 5 | For Patheon Austria GmbH & Co. KG: | William P. Hodges, Esquire |
| 6 | ombii a co. Ro. | THOMPSON HINE, LLP 335 Madison Avenue |
| 7 | | 12th Floor New York, New York 10017 |
| 8 | | New TOTK, New TOTK TOOT? |
| 9 | APPEARING VIA ZOOM: | |
| 10 | For Patheon Austria GmbH & Co. KG: | Louis F. Solimine, Esquire |
| 11 | onibil a co. itc. | THOMPSON HINE, LLP 312 Walnut Street |
| 12 | | Suite 2000 Cincinatti, Ohio 45202 |
| 13 | For Renibus | omernace, ente lede |
| 14 | Therapeutics: | Ted A. Dillman, Esquire LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP |
| 15 | | 355 South Grand Avenue Suite 100 |
| 16 | | Los Angeles, California 90071 |
| 17 | | -and- |
| 18 | | Frank Stonebanks, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman |
| 19 | | Jamie Donadio, Chief Financial Officer |
| 20 | | RENIBUS THERAPEUTICS, INC. 181 Grand Avenue |
| 21 | | Suite 225 Southlake, Texas 76092 |
| 22 | For the Official | Southfuke, Texas 70092 |
| 23 | Committee of Unsecured Creditors: | Brian Ayers, Managing Director |
| 24 | onsecuted creditors. | ROCK CREEK ADVISORS, LLC 1738 Belmar Boulevard |
| 25 | | Belmar, New Jersey 07719 |

(Proceedings commenced)

MR. NEWMAN: All right. I think we're ready to get underway.

All the mics in the room are live now. We're on the record and this proceeding is being recorded. We're back on the record in the auction of Tricida, Inc., Lot Two.

Pursuant to the order of the United States

Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware approving

certain bidding procedures in the former manner of notice

thereof, scheduling and auction and hearing on the approval

of the sale of all, or substantially all of the assets,

establishing certain assumption and assignment procedures,

and approving the manner and notice thereof and granting

related relief. And we are prepared to proceed with the

auction, pursuant to the Rules.

As an initial manner, as required by the bidding procedures, I'll ask each qualified bidder present to confirm that incorporated in their bid, they agree to proceed, pursuant to the bid procedures and, specifically, pursuant to paragraph 31. Each qualifying bidder who will participate in the auction will be required to confirm on the record that it is not engaged in any collusion with respect to the bidding process and that its bid is a good faith, bona fide offer that it intends to consummate, pursuant to the bid procedure rules, if selected as the successful back-up bidder.

Renibus, will you so confirm? 1 2 MR. DILLMAN: Yes. 3 MR. NEWMAN: All right. We, then, determine that 4 you are a qualified bidder to participate in this auction. 5 Will Patheon so confirm? 6 MR. SOLIMINE: Yes. 7 MR. NEWMAN: And we determine that Patheon is a qualified bidder and can proceed at this auction. 8 9 MR. DETWEILER: For the record --10 MR. NEWMAN: I don't need to be interpreted, but I will call on creditor parties so they can make statements. 11 Thank you. 12 Before we proceed, I want to afford the creditor 13 14 parties an opportunity to make any statement. MR. DETWEILER: For the record, Don Detweiler, 15 proposed counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured 16 17 Creditors. 18 We contest whether or not they have been qualified bidders. We believe the consideration that's being proposed 19 20 in both of the bidders' asset purchase agreement is 21 insufficient and adequate. It's not in the best interests of 22 the estate. It's not in compliance with the bid procedures. 23 The Committee does not agree to any releases or sale of the claims or causes of action. 24 25 MR. NEWMAN: Darren, on behalf of the Noteholders,

do you have any preliminary statement you'd like to make or reservation of rights?

MR. KLEIN: Yeah, thanks, Sam.

This is Darren Klein at Davis Polk for the Noteholders and I am on Zoom today.

I echo and join in the objections that Don just made; in addition, I would just say that for the level of consideration and the question of the debtor's business judgment on moving ahead on these levels, I question, fully, the inclusion of Patheon being allowed to buy claims against itself because it's an appropriate end, runaround Bankruptcy Rule 9019.

And, Sam, I feel the need to put you on notice that we think moving forward with that violates the restructuring support agreement you entered into us, where you agreed not to compromise or settle claims against Patheon, except pursuant to the RSA and the support of the consenting Noteholders.

MR. NEWMAN: And we appreciate that reservation of rights. We, also, as the debtor, reserve all rights under the bidding procedures, with respect to evaluation of the presentation of the bids after the auction.

So, we hope that we will continue an open dialogue with all creditor constituencies in an effort to try to move this process forward. But I think it is our determination

```
that proceeding with the auction at this time will allow us
 1
    to determine the highest and, otherwise, best bid and we
 2
 3
    would like to proceed on that basis, but thank you both for
    attending.
 4
 5
               Before we move forward, just for the record, since
 6
   we're being recorded, I would like to go through and just
   have a quick roll call so we're aware of who's present at the
 7
   auction. We'll start to my immediate left.
 9
               MR. HODGES: William Hodges from Thompson Hine for
10
    Patheon.
11
               MR. JANDORA: Chris Jandora, Miller Buckfire, for
12
   the debtor.
               MR. ROHAN: Alex Rohan from Miller Buckfire, for
13
    the debtor.
14
15
               MR. ATKINSON: Todd Atkinson, Womble Bond
    Dickinson, for the Committee.
16
17
               MR. DETWEILER: Don Detweiler for the Committee.
18
               MR. CORWEN: Sean Corwen, SierraConstellation
19
    Partners, for the debtor.
20
               MS. LAN: Lanna Lan from Sidley Austin for the
    debtor.
21
22
               UNIDENTIFIED: Jeff (indiscernible), Sidley Austin
23
   for the debtor.
24
               MR. FORD: Jerry Ford, Sidley Austin, for the
25
    debtor.
```

MR. BANE: Abe Bane from Davis Polk for the 1 Noteholders. 2 3 MS. MILLER: Jerri Leigh Miller, Sidley Austin, 4 for the debtor. 5 MR. PERSONS: Charles Persons, Sidley Austin, for the debtor. 6 7 MR. NEWMAN: Sam Newman, Sidley Austin, for the 8 debtor. 9 And on Zoom, I would start with Jamie Donadio. MR. DONADIO: Yes, Jamie Donadio with Renibus. 10 MR. STONEBANKS: Frank Stonebanks with Renibus. 11 12 MR. SOLIMINE: Louis Solimine, Thompson Hine, for 13 Patheon. MR. NEWMAN: I'm sorry. Before we go on, anybody 14 15 else from Renibus (indiscernible)? MR. STONEBANKS: No. 16 17 MR. NEWMAN: Okay. Ted, sorry? 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We do have counsel. MR. DILLMAN: Yeah, just so it's clear for the 19 20 record, Ted Dillman of Latham for Renibus. 21 And it's Frank Stonebanks, who's also with 22 Renibus, he's on the line, as well as Jamie Donadio. And 23 then I have a couple of my colleagues on the call who can 24 introduce themselves if you'd like them to. 25 MR. NEWMAN: Anyone else who would like to

introduce themselves from Renibus or from Latham? 1 2 (No verbal response) 3 THE COURT: Okay. MR. NEWMAN: Brian, I see you (indiscernible). 4 5 MR. AYERS: Brian Ayers, on behalf of the 6 Committee, Rock Creek Advisors. 7 MR. NEWMAN: Great. Anyone else who has not so far introduced themselves who wants to enter their -- who we 8 9 would ask them to enter their appearance. 10 MS. MIELKE: Allison Mielke with Young Conaway, on behalf of the debtors. 11 12 MR. NEWMAN: Great. All right. 13 So, we will proceed. We have received two bids. We've determined at this moment that the highest-and-best bid 14 15 is from Renibus for \$250,000 in cash. We are in receipt of documentation regarding that bid, which the debtors have 16 17 found to be acceptable. We've shared with the consultation 18 parties who have reserved their rights, with respect to that 19 agreement. We have also received a bid from Patheon 20 Corporation for \$500,000 in cash. That bid includes two 21 22 items that are non-conforming to the Renibus bid, which we 23 believe are burdens to the estate. One is they have not agreed to acquire certain inventory, which the estate will be 24 25 required to dispose of. The debtor's estimated cost of that

disposition to be \$130,000, and that will serve as a deduct to the Patheon claim, as compared to the Renibus claim.

And, second, as foreshadowed by the comments of creditors, Patheon has acquired -- is asked to acquire the assets identified in Section 1.1(f) and (h), which are certain claims, rights, causes of action that were not proposed to be acquired by Renibus, including, specifically, the rights, claims, causes of action in the right of or otherwise available to seller under the Patheon contracts, which we understand -- there's more language there, but that's the language to which I think the creditors are focused -- we understand that those rights and causes of action exclude, pursuant to 1.2(1), avoidance actions, including avoidance actions against Patheon.

And based on that understanding, the debtors have evaluated the detriment to the estate, as compared to the Renibus bid, which would be those assets with the estate at a negative \$500,000. We, therefore, have calculated the required minimum overbid for Patheon to be the Renibus bid of \$250,000, the reduction for the inventory disposal of \$130,000, the reduction for the value of the claims, transferred or assigned, under the Patheon bid of \$500,000, and the minimum overbid increment of \$100,000. Therefore, to continue the auction, the minimum bid was \$980,000.

I think the creditors may like to comment on that

proposal and calculation. 1 2 MR. DETWEILER: Yes, for the record, Don 3 Detweiler. 4 The Committee was not consulted with regard to 5 what was a qualified bid for purposes of opening the auction 6 today and we reserve all rights. 7 MR. NEWMAN: I appreciate the reservation. I do believe, in good faith, that we have 8 9 consulted with the Committee regarding our approach to this. 10 If you'd like to take a brief adjournment so that we could consult further, we're happy to do that, but we do believe 11 that we have been in consultation with all consultation 12 parties about our (indiscernible). 13 MR. DETWEILER: Well, the Committee disagrees with 14 15 the debtor's business judgment. MR. NEWMAN: Fair enough. 16 17 Anyone else? 18 MR. KLEIN: Yeah. Hey, Sam, it's Darren, again. I'll just say, in bouncing off what Don just said, 19 20 that in addition to that, we vehemently disagree with 21 (indiscernible) on top of including claims against Patheon, 22 selling them for the -- and valuing them at the trivial sum 23 of \$500,000. So, we'd reserve rights there.

MR. NEWMAN: Great. Thank you, I appreciate it.

With that reservation of rights, we would turn

24

25

over to Patheon, the opportunity to further bid, if it so chooses.

MR. SOLIMINE: Let me begin by saying that we did not know of any of these so-called valuations until this moment. Number two, we don't agree with those valuations.

Number three, just so, again, everyone on the call, including the Committee knows, we are prepared to increase our cash bid from \$500,000, but not to \$980,000 which you have arbitrarily decided is the minimum overbid, and that, Patheon is not prepared to do.

MR. NEWMAN: Well, the debtor disagrees with the characterization that that determination is arbitrary; that's Patheon's right. You're welcome to bid further or not. That's your choice.

Okay. We're just going to go off the record for one minute.

(Pause)

MR. NEWMAN: One question for Patheon before we proceed. The debtors would be prepared to accept a further bid without judgment if Patheon were to agree that it was not acquiring any claims against Patheon in the purchase agreement.

MR. SOLIMINE: No, we're not prepared to do that.

MR. NEWMAN: Okay. So, with that, the debtors have determined in their business judgment that the highest

and, otherwise, best bid we've received at the auction is the Renibus bid and we would determine them to be, at this time, the successful bid and the Patheon bid the backup bid.

With that, we would like to -- we've received indications before from the creditors that they have further consideration that they would like to give to the bid and the backup bid and we will, therefore, continue this auction to Monday morning at 10:00 a.m. Eastern.

Any other questions or comments before we wrap up?

MR. SOLIMINE: I'm sorry, would you -- I'm sorry,

I didn't understand the purpose of reconvening the auction to

Monday.

MR. KLEIN: Yeah, it's Darren, Sam.

I just want to be clear. The Noteholders are not, as you could probably tell from my prior comments, happy to have the company sell this at the price that was just determined to be the highest bidder. We would ask that the auction be continued because we would like to work with the debtor on an alternative proposal, where the debtor keeps this, because we think it's worth more than \$250,000.

MR. DETWEILER: And Don Detweiler, I would just echo what the Noteholders' counsel has said. We don't believe that you can declare them the successful and highest bid.

What we believe the appropriate action of the

debtor to be is to continue the auction, but not declare today. But they may be right now is the highest bid on the table, but the auction is open, so it's not appropriate to declare the highest and successful bid. Right now, they're the highest bid and that's it.

The auction is going to be continued. There may be other bidders who come forward.

MR. DILLMAN: Sam, this is Ted.

Before your adjourn the auction, I think I'd just like to (indiscernible) because that's been a curve ball. I think I would like to have a break with my client to just discuss it, if that's okay?

MR. NEWMAN: So, I honestly don't think there's any further discussion to be had. I think the record is clear and we're continuing the auction. We've received two bids and we're going to continue the auction until Monday morning at 10:00 a.m. I'm happy to have further conversations with the bidders in that interim time, but at the request of the creditors, following the debtor's determination, we will continue the auction until 10:00 a.m.

MR. SOLIMINE: And, Sam, may I ask just one question, because I -- again, perhaps you've said that and I just missed it -- what is the purpose of the adjournment? I understand it's going to be adjourned, but what is the purpose of the adjournment?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. NEWMAN: I think as you heard the creditors say, they believe they may have an alternative proposal that they would like the debtor to continue -- consider, which they will have until that time to present. MR. SOLIMINE: Thank you. MR. NEWMAN: Thank you. MR. DETWEILER: So, Don Detweiler, on behalf of the Committee. I think sale objections are due tomorrow, as well as objections to the plan. We would respectfully request a continuance of its deadline to object to any proposed sale or the plan. MR. NEWMAN: I appreciate that request. I think we should talk about that offline in terms of scheduling for the sale hearing. At this point, I think you understand the debtor's intention, given where this is, and we do want to have time to brief it. But why don't we talk about it offline, and we're happy to come to some reasonable briefing schedule. Thank you. MR. DETWEILER: MR. KLEIN: Yeah, I would join in that. I'm happy to talk about it offline, Sam. MR. NEWMAN: Yeah. We can have a meet-and-confer immediately after this auction. Thank you.

Any other questions?

CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the best of my knowledge and ability. /s/ William J. Garling February 17, 2023 William J. Garling, CET-543 Certified Court Transcriptionist For Reliable

Exhibit 3

February 20, 2023 Auction Transcript

| 1 | | |
|----|---------------------------|--|
| 2 | IN RE: | Chapter 11 |
| 3 | IN RE. | Chapter 11Case No. 23-10024 (JTD |
| 4 | TRICIDA, INC., | • |
| | Debtor. | • |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | TRANSCRIPT OF (| CONTINUED AUCTION OF ASSETS |
| 8 | Monday, | , February 20, 2023 |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | APPEARANCES: | |
| 11 | I I | Allison S. Mielke, Esquire YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP |
| | | Rodney Square |
| 12 | | 1000 North King Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801 |
| 13 | | -and- |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | Samuel A. Newman, Esquire SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP |
| 16 | | 555 West Fifth Street Suite 4000 |
| 17 | 11 | Los Angeles, California 90013 |
| | | |
| 18 | (APPEARANCES CONTINUED) | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | 11 + | Reliable |
| 22 | | The Nemours Building 1007 N. Orange Street, Suite 110 |
| 23 | | Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Telephone: (302)654-8080 |
| | I I | Email: gmatthews@reliable-co.com |
| 24 | Proceedings recorded by e | lectronic sound recording, |
| 25 | transcript produced by tr | anscription service. |

| 1 | APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): | |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|
| 2 | For the Debtor: | Charles M. Persons, Esquire Jeri L. Miller, Esquire |
| 3 | | SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP 2021 McKinney Avenue |
| 4 | | Suite 2000 Dallas, Texas 75201 |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | Michael Sabino, Esquire Geoffrey W. Levin, Esquire |
| 7 | | Lanna Lan, Esquire 787 Seventh Avenue |
| 8 | | New York, New York 10019 |
| 9 | | -and- |
| 10 | | Alex Rohan, Managing Director MILLER BUCKFIRE & CO, LLC |
| 11 | | 787 7th Avenue 5th Floor |
| 12 | | New York, New York 10019 |
| 13 | | -and- |
| 14 | | Neal Karnovsky, Managing Director, M&A, Biopharma |
| 15 | | STIFEL 501 North Broadway |
| 16 | | St. Louis, Missouri 63102 |
| 17 | For the Official | |
| 18 | Committee of Unsecured Creditors: | Donald J. Detweiler, Esquire |
| 19 | onsecured creditors. | WOMBLE BOND DICKINSON (US) LLP 1313 North Market Street |
| 20 | | Suite 1200 Wilmington, Delaware 19801 |
| 21 | | wilmington, belawate 19001 |
| 22 | | Todd A. Atkinson, Esquire 811 Main Street |
| 23 | | Suite 3130 Houston, Texas 77002 |
| 24 | | nouscon, lexas //ouz |
| 25 | | |

| 1 | APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): | |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| 2 | For the Official | |
| 3 | Committee of Unsecured Creditors: | James Gansman, Founding Partner |
| 4 | | Brian Ayers, Managing Director ROCK CREEK ADVISORS, LLC |
| 5 | | 1738 Belmar Boulevard Belmar, New Jersey 07719 |
| 6 | Day the Matchellane | Daniel O. Klade Bander |
| 7 | For the Noteholders: | Darren S. Klein, Esquire Abraham Bane, Esquire James Nirappel, Law Clerk |
| 8 | | DAVIS POLK & WARDWELL, LLP 450 Lexington Avenue |
| 9 | | New York, New York 10017 |
| 10 | | -and- |
| 11 | | Michael Katzenstein, Senior Managing Director, Leader of Interim |
| 12 | | Management Sean A. Gumbs, Senior Managing |
| 13 | | Director FTI CONSULTING, INC. |
| 1415 | | 1166 Avenue of the Americas 15th Floor |
| | | New York, New York 10036 |
| 16 | For Patheon Austria | |
| 17 18 | GmbH & Co. KG: | Louis F. Solimine, Esquire THOMPSON HINE, LLP 312 Walnut Street |
| 19 | | Suite 2000 Cincinatti, Ohio 45202 |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | For Renibus Therapeutics: | Ted A. Dillman, Esquire |
| 22 | inerapeutics. | LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP 355 South Grand Avenue |
| 23 | | Suite 100 Los Angeles, California 90071 |
| | | nos Angeres, Carrioffita 900/1 |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

| 1 | APPEARANCES (CONTINUED): | |
|----|--|---|
| 2 | For Renibus | |
| 3 | Therapeutics: | Claire H. Drucker, Esquire LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP 12670 High Bluff Drive |
| 4 | | San Diego, California 92130 |
| 5 | | -and- |
| 6 | | Frank Stonebanks, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman |
| 7 | | RENIBUS THERAPEUTICS, INC. 181 Grand Avenue |
| 8 | | Suite 225 Southlake, Texas 76092 |
| 9 | | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | I and the second | |

(Proceedings commenced) 1 2 MR. NEWMAN: Good morning, Sam Newman for Tricida, Inc., debtors. We have come back on the record in 3 the auction of Tricida, Inc. I wanted to take roll. Sam 4 5 Newman and Mike Sabino appear in person. MR. DILLMAN: You have Ted Dillman from Latham for 6 7 Renibus, along with I think a number of Renibus people. 8 MR. NEWMAN: Do you want to give us just a quick 9 list of who's there. Do you have that? 10 MR. DILLMAN: You know, actually, I'm not seeing their names. I think they weren't included on the invite, so 11 let me forward it to them and see if they can join. 12 13 MR. NEWMAN: Okay. It's not necessary. I just want to note who's on the record. I think we're going to be 14 15 somewhat brief here, so --MR. DILLMAN: Okay. 16 17 MR. NEWMAN: Lou? 18 MR. KLEIN: You have Darren Klein from Davis Polk 19 on behalf of the Noteholders Group. I see Abe Bane on, as 20 well, from Davis Polk, and I see Mike Katzenstein from FTI 21 and Sean Gumbs. 22 MR. NEWMAN: Thank you. 23 I see Lou on the screen. 24 MR. SOLIMINE: Yes, Lou Solimine, Thompson Hine,

25

for Patheon. Thank you, Sam.

MR. NEWMAN: No problem. 1 2 Was anybody else from Patheon on the line? 3 (Indiscernible). (No verbal response) 4 5 MR. NEWMAN: Anyone else who -- I saw Geoff Levin 6 from Sidley. 7 Any other Sidley folks on the line? 8 MS. LAN: Yes. You've got Lanna Lan from Sidley 9 for debtor. 10 MR. NEWMAN: Thank you. Anyone else who hasn't announced themselves yet? 11 12 MR. DETWEILER: Yes, this is Donald Detweiler, 13 proposed counsel to the Committee, along with Todd Atkinson. 14 MR. NEWMAN: Great. And good morning --15 MR. GANSMAN: Jim Gansman from Rock Creek is also on for the Committee. 16 17 MR. NEWMAN: I'm sorry, I didn't see you, Jim. 18 MR. AYERS: And Brian Ayers, also, from Rock 19 Creek, on behalf of the Committee. 20 MR. NEWMAN: Okay. Thank you, gentlemen. 21 MR. ROHAN: Good morning, Sam. You have Alex 22 Rohan and Neal Karnovsky from Miller Buckfire and Stifel for 23 the debtor. 24 MS. MIELKE: Allison Mielke with Young Conaway, on 25 for the debtor.

MR. NEWMAN: So --

MR. LEVIN: And Sam, it looks like we just had Frank Stonebanks chime in from Renibus.

MR. NEWMAN: Thanks, Frank.

Great. So, just as an update as to where we are, we have announced the successful backup bidder at the auction last week. We have since received a written objection from the Committee to the proposed sale and a written proposed objection from the Noteholders, and I provided them an extension of time to file until 1 o'clock today, and the debtors expect to file an omnibus reply, close of business today Eastern time.

We have not received any other or further proposals from any party. We have, however, received since that time, a proposal from Renibus geared at resolving the Committee's and the Noteholders' objections. We have found, I think, a constructive dialogue. Renibus has been actively engaged in negotiations with the Noteholders and the Committee, and the Committee and the Noteholders have conducted additional diligence. And we received late last night, a draft purchase agreement reflecting that proposed settlement and also have had some comments and an opportunity to comment from the Noteholders and the Committee on that.

We believe we need probably two to three more hours to finalize that draft and hopefully resolve the

Committee and the Noteholder objections and would intend to continue this proceeding until 1 o'clock eastern to confirm that that resolution has occurred.

We remind everyone that the debtors will continue to proceed to exercise their fiduciary duty to maximize the benefits of the estate, including, without limitations, as provided in the bid procedures, reserving our rights to modify or withdraw lots from auction to the extent necessary if, indeed necessary, in exercise of our fiduciary duties.

However, we intend, at this point, to finalize with the Committee and the Noteholders, the settlement proposal Renibus has made and proceed with the hearing on tomorrow at 2:00 p.m. in Wilmington.

Any questions before we continue?

MR. DILLMAN: No questions, Sam, just a comment.

Due to schedules, we may or may not be able to get the

Renibus team, you know, at 1:00, later in the day, but

somebody from Latham, you know, can at least be on as needed.

MR. NEWMAN: Understood. We also reserve the right to, given that there is no further interests in further bidding at this time, we reserve the right to cancel that continued auction at any time if we believe the Committee and the Noteholders' objections are resolved.

MR. DILLMAN: Great. Thanks, Sam.

MR. NEWMAN: And we will continue to provide

1 notice of the schedule to the parties who have been notified 2 today. Any other questions before we go off the record? 3 (No verbal response) 4 5 MR. NEWMAN: Thank you. We're off the record. (Recess taken) 6 7 (Proceedings resumed) 8 MR. NEWMAN: Sam Newman for Tricida, Inc. debtors. 9 We have representatives of the Committee. We don't have Don just yet. We can give him a minute. 10 Brian and others, do you want to introduce 11 12 yourselves? 13 MR. AYERS: Sure. It's Brian Ayers, on behalf of the Committee. 14 15 Sam, I'll text Don now. 16 MR. NEWMAN: Okay. 17 MR. DETWEILER: Don Detweiler. 18 MR. NEWMAN: Hey, Don. MR. DETWEILER: Hey, how are you? 19 20 MR. NEWMAN: We were just going back on the 21 record. It's being recorded. We have representatives of the 22 Committee. 23 Don, do you want to state your appearance for the record? 24 25 MR. DETWEILER: Yes, Donald Detweiler, Womble Bond

```
Dickinson for the Committee. I believe Todd Atkinson is on,
 1
 2
    as well, from Womble Bond, for the Committee.
               MR. ATKINSON: Yes.
 3
 4
               MR. NEWMAN: I see Jim Gansman and Brian Ayers
 5
    from the Committee.
 6
               MR. GANSMAN: That's right, from Rock Creek.
 7
               MR. NEWMAN: From Rock Creek, representing the
 8
    Committee. Sorry.
 9
               Abe, anyone on representing the Noteholders?
10
               MR. BANE: Yeah, it's Abraham Bane from Davis Polk
    representing the Noteholders. I see the FTI team, as well.
11
12
               MR. NEWMAN: Also, is Mike Katzenstein from FTI or
13
   others from FTI representing the Noteholders?
14
               MR. GUMBS: Sean Gumbs is on, as well, Sam.
15
               MR. NEWMAN: Oh, sorry, Sean. You're at the
16
   bottom of my screen. I apologize.
17
               I'm just going through my tiles here. We also
18
   have from Gibson -- I'm sorry -- from Sidley, Charles
    Persons, Geoff Levin and Jerri Leigh Miller and Lanna Lan.
19
20
               Alex Rohan from Miller Buckfire?
21
               MR. ROHAN: Yes, I'm here. Thank you, Sam.
22
               MR. NEWMAN: Jim Nirappel?
23
               MR. NIRAPPEL: Yes, James Nirappel for Davis Polk
    for the Noteholders.
24
25
               MR. NEWMAN: Great, thank you.
```

Lou Solimine is on. 1 2 MR. SOLIMINE: Yes, I'm here, Sam. Thank you. 3 MR. NEWMAN: Thank you. I noticed Mike Sabino, also from Sidley. Claire 4 5 Drucker from Davis Polk, right? MS. DRUCKER: From Latham (indiscernible). 6 7 MR. NEWMAN: I'm sorry, I'm getting people 8 confused. I apologize, Claire. 9 MS. DRUCKER: No worries. 10 MR. NEWMAN: That's all I see on my screen. 11 And is anyone else who hasn't been announced? 12 (No verbal response) 13 MR. NEWMAN: Okay. So, I didn't have a chance to consult with all the consultation parties, but here's where I 14 15 think we are. We've received no further bids from any qualified bidder and as confirmed, just prior to the auction 16 with Ted Dillman from Latham, that at this time, having 17 18 received no overbids to the Renibus bid, Renibus does not 19 intend to bid at this time any further. 20 They have indicated that they are in the process 21 of seeking to negotiate with the Noteholders and the 22 Committee, improvements to their proposal, denied to resolve 23 Committee and Noteholder objections to their bid, but their bid remains the cash bid that was made and identified as the 24 25 successful bidder on Friday.

Lou Solimine is on. I have been told before, although, I'll just, while we're on the record, that Patheon does not intend to increase its bid at this time?

MR. SOLIMINE: That's correct, Sam.

MR. NEWMAN: And so we would continue to identify Patheon as the backup bid under the bid procedures rules.

We have received objections from both, the Committee and the Noteholders, to the Renibus bid. And as I indicated, Renibus is actively engaged in negotiations with both the creditor constituencies to seek to resolve those objections.

We have also received from the Noteholders, a proposal to implement an alternative transaction through a plan, which we have transmitted to the company and are continuing to evaluate. We believe that under certain circumstances, that proposal could afford equal or better value to the estate than the Renibus bid; however, it has not, at this time, made a firm proposal and is still the subject of ongoing conversation. And therefore, while the debtor reserves its right to deem proceeding with the auction not in the best interests of the estate and, therefore, not proceed to seek approval of the bids identified to the auction, we've not made that determination at this time. We reserve our right to make that determination at any time before the entry of the sale order and we will continue to

work with the Noteholders to understand that proposal and to evaluate it. But at this time, we have not received any higher or better bids than the Renibus bid.

We believe we've afforded all parties an opportunity for free and fair bidding and we intend, therefore, following any remarks that parties wish to make on the record, to conclude the auction at this time. I welcome any comments that parties wish to place on the record.

Don, I'll defer to you, initially, as the Committee representative.

MR. DETWEILER: So, thank you, Sam. Thank you for the information.

The Committee continues to reserve its rights and it's objection to the sale.

MR. NEWMAN: Thank you.

We intend to file a written reply to the Committee's written objection and to continue to work with the Committee and with Renibus to try to resolve those objections, if possible, before the sale hearing tomorrow.

With respect to the Noteholders, Abe, do you want to make any comments or statements?

MR. BANE: Yes, we similarly object and reserve all rights if the debtor continues with the Renibus bid, as currently bid, and we believe that our bid is superior and provides greater value to their estates and we'd encourage

the bidder to move forward with our proposal, but to the extent the debtor moves forward with the existing Renibus bid, we reserve all rights.

MR. NEWMAN: Fair enough. And we will continue to engage with the Noteholders to understand and evaluate that proposal, as well as to work with the Noteholders and Renibus to try to resolve any objections to the Renibus bid.

Do any of the bidders have any further comment or anything that'd like to say before we conclude the auction?

MR. SOLIMINE: Sam, on behalf of Patheon, I'll just say that Patheon would like to reserve its rights and its position that its bid is, in fact, the highest-and-best bid, not the Renibus bid.

MR. NEWMAN: Thank you, and we will put that on the record, and we are happy, Lou, to talk to you offline about any concerns you about. You are an important creditor and constituent in this process, so thank you for your participation.

Anyone else?

(No verbal response)

MR. NEWMAN: Okay. With that, I am going to conclude the auction for assets of Tricida, Inc., so we can get on file, a report to the Court with respect to the auction. We intend to proceed tomorrow at 2:00 p.m. Eastern in Wilmington to seek approval of Lot One and we intend at

this time, to proceed, also, to seek approval of the Renibus
bid as Lot Two, however, we do understand there are
objections and we do intend to address those before the
Court.

We remain available to further conversations and
discussions. We think all parties have engaged productively

We remain available to further conversations and discussions. We think all parties have engaged productively and we appreciate that. We look forward to moving this forward to the next phase, so thanks, everyone, for your participation.

With that, we are off the record.

(Proceedings concluded)

CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the best of my knowledge and ability. /s/ William J. Garling February 20, 2023 William J. Garling, CET-543 Certified Court Transcriptionist For Reliable