Attorney or Party Name, Nos., State Bar No. & E	, Address, Telephone & FAX mail Address	FOR COURT USE ONLY		
Andy Epstein SBN: 22	20402			
20211 Spectrum				
Irvine, CA 92618				
T- 619-846-7369				
F- 866-212-7227 taxcpaesq@gmail.com		į,		
taxopacsq@gmail.com				
		×		
_				
│	without attorney			
Attorney for: Rosa C				
	UNITED STATES B	ANKRUPTCY COURT		
CEN	ITRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	A - LOS ANGELES DIVISION		
In re:		CASE NO.: 2:18-20151-ER		
Verity Health System of	California, Inc.	CHAPTER: 11		
		NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 362 (with supporting declarations) (ACTION IN NONBANKRUPTCY FORUM)		
	*	DATE: 07/15/2019		
		TIME: 10:00 am		
	<b>-</b>	COURTROOM: 1568		
	Debtor(s).			
Movant: Rosa Carcamo		g.		
21041 Burbank Bo	Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012 oulevard, Woodland Hills, CA 9136 et, Riverside, CA 92501	<ul> <li>411 West Fourth Street, Santa Ana, CA 92701</li> <li>1415 State Street, Santa Barbara, CA 93101</li> </ul>		
parties that on the dat	e and time and in the courtroom st	ding Parties), their attorneys ( <i>if any</i> ), and other interested ated above, Movant will request that this court enter an order Debtor's bankruptcy estate on the grounds set forth in the		
preparing your respon	he motion, you may obtain an appr use (optional LBR form F 4001-1.Rf o LBR 9004-1 and the Court Manua	roved court form at <a href="www.cacb.uscourts.gov/forms">www.cacb.uscourts.gov/forms</a> for use in FS.RESPONSE), or you may prepare your response using all.		

4,,	Wh was	When serving a response to the motion, serve a copy of it upon the Movant's attorney (or upon Movant, if the motion was filed by an unrepresented individual) at the address set forth above.					
5-	If you fail to timely file and serve a written response to the motion, or fail to appear at the hearing, the court may deem such failure as consent to granting of the motion.						
<b>3</b> .		This motion is being heard on REGULAR NOTICE purs you must file and serve a written response to this motion the hearing.	uant to LBR 9013-1(d). If you wish to oppose this motion, no later than 14 days before the hearing and appear at				
<b>7</b> .		This motion is being heard on SHORTENED NOTICE p motion, you must file and serve a response no later than may appear at the hearing.	ursuant to LBR 9075-1(b). If you wish to oppose this n (date); and, you				
	a.	An application for order setting hearing on shortened procedures of the assigned judge).	d notice was not required (according to the calendaring				
	b.	An application for order setting hearing on shortener motion and order have been or are being served up	d notice was filed and was granted by the court and such on the Debtor and upon the trustee (if any).				
	C.	rules on that application, you will be served with and	d notice was filed and remains pending. After the court other notice or an order that specifies the date, time and deadline for filing and serving a written opposition to the				
	Date	e: <u>06/12/2019</u>	Andy Epstein Esq., CPA Printed name of law firm (if applicable)  Andy Epstein Printed name of individual Movant or attorney for Movant				
			Signature of individual Movant or attorney for Movant				

### MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY AS TO NONBANKRUPTCY ACTION

1.	In a. b. c.		Nonbankruptcy Action, Movant is:  Plaintiff  Defendant  Other (specify):
2.	Th inv	e N	onbankruptcy Action: There is a pending lawsuit or administrative proceeding (Nonbankruptcy Action) ng the Debtor or the Debtor's bankruptcy estate:
	a. b. c. d.	Do No Su Ca 1. I	ame of Nonbankruptcy Action: Carcamo v. St. Francis Medical Center et al ocket number. TC029195  Inbankruptcy forum where Nonbankruptcy Action is pending: perior Court for the County of Los Angeles, Compton Courthouse auses of action or claims for relief (Claims):  Retaliation in Violation of California Labor Code Section 1102.5 2. Wrongful Termination ntentional Infliction of Emotional Distress
3.	Ва	nkrı	uptcy Case History:
	a.	$\boxtimes$	A voluntary
	b.		An order to convert this case to chapter
	C.		A plan was confirmed on (date)
4.:	<b>Gro</b>	cee	ds for Relief from Stay: Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1), cause exists to grant Movant relief from stay to d with the Nonbankruptcy Action to final judgment in the nonbankruptcy forum for the following reasons:
	a.		Movant seeks recovery only from applicable insurance, if any, and waives any deficiency or other claim against the Debtor or property of the Debtor's bankruptcy estate.
	b.	×	Movant seeks recovery primarily from third parties and agrees that the stay will remain in effect as to enforcement of any resulting judgment against the Debtor or bankruptcy estate, except that Movant will retain the right to file a proof of claim under 11 U.S.C. § 501 and/or an adversary complaint under 11 U.S.C. § 523 or § 727 in this bankruptcy case.
	C.		Mandatory abstention applies under 28 U.S.C. § 1334(c)(2), and Movant agrees that the stay will remain in effect as to enforcement of any resulting judgment against the Debtor or bankruptcy estate, except that Movant will retain the right to file a proof of claim under 11 U.S.C. § 501 and/or an adversary complaint under 11 U.S.C. § 523 or § 727 in this bankruptcy case.
	d.		The Claims are nondischargeable in nature and can be most expeditiously resolved in the nonbankruptcy forum.
	e.	×	The Claims arise under nonbankruptcy law and can be most expeditiously resolved in the nonbankruptcy forum.

	f.		Γhe bankruptcy case was filed in bad faith.
		(	1) Movant is the only creditor, or one of very few creditors, listed or scheduled in the Debtor's case commencement documents.
		(	2) The timing of the filing of the bankruptcy petition indicates that it was intended to delay or interfere with the Nonbankruptcy Action.
		(	3) Multiple bankruptcy cases affect the Nonbankruptcy Action.
		(	4) The Debtor filed only a few case commencement documents. No schedules or statement of financia affairs (or chapter 13 plan, if appropriate) has been filed.
	g.		Other (specify):
5.	G	rounds	for Annulment of Stay. Movant took postpetition actions against the Debtor.
	a.	☐ T b	he actions were taken before Movant knew that the bankruptcy case had been filed, and Movant would hav een entitled to relief from stay to proceed with these actions.
	b.	ır	Ilthough Movant knew the bankruptcy case was filed, Movant previously obtained relief from stay to proceed the Nonbankruptcy Action in prior bankruptcy cases affecting the Nonbankruptcy Action as set forth in xhibit
	C.	□ 0	ther (specify):
6.	Ev	idence der pe	in Support of Motion: (Important Note: declaration(s) in support of the Motion MUST be signed nalty of perjury and attached to this motion.)
	a.	⊠ TI	he DECLARATION RE ACTION IN NONBANKRUPTCY FORUM on page 6.
	b.	⊠ S	upplemental declaration(s).
	C.	Ca	the statements made by Debtor under penalty of perjury concerning Movant's claims as set forth in Debtor's asse commencement documents. Authenticated copies of the relevant portions of the Debtor's case ommencement documents are attached as Exhibit
	d.		ther evidence (specify):
7.		An op	tional Memorandum of Points and Authorities is attached to this Motion.
Mo	van		ests the following relief:
1.			the stay pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(1).
2.		the no	nt may proceed under applicable nonbankruptcy law to enforce its remedies to proceed to final judgment in nbankruptcy forum, provided that the stay remains in effect with respect to enforcement of any judgment at the Debtor or property of the Debtor's bankruptcy estate.
3.		The sta	ay is annulled retroactively to the bankruptcy petition date. Any postpetition acts taken by Movant in the inkruptcy Action shall not constitute a violation of the stay.

4.		The co-debtor stay of 11 U.S.C. § 1201(a) or § on the same terms and condition as to the Debt	1301(a) is terminated, modified, or annulled as to the co-debtor, or.
5.		The 14-day stay prescribed by FRBP 4001(a)(3	) is waived.
6.		The order is binding and effective in any bankru days, so that no further automatic stay shall aris	ptcy case commenced by or against the Debtor for a period of 180 e in that case as to the Nonbankruptcy Action.
7.		The order is binding and effective in any future to notice	pankruptcy case, no matter who the debtor may be, without further
8.		Other relief requested.	
Dat	e: _(	06/12/2019	Andy Epstein Esq., CPA
			Printed name of law firm (if applicable)
			Andy Epstein
			Printed name of individual Movant or attorney for Movant
			And Estern Signature of individual Movant or attorney for Movant

### **DECLARATION RE ACTION IN NONBANKRUPTCY FORUM**

١,	(nan	ne of Declarant) Andy Epstein , declare as follows:		
<ol> <li>I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth in this declaration and, if called upon to testify, I could competently testify thereto. I am over 18 years of age. I have knowledge regarding (Nonbankruptcy Action</li> </ol>				
		I am the Movant.		
		I am Movant's attorney of record in the Nonbankruptcy Action.		
		I am employed by Movant as (title and capacity):		
	$\boxtimes$	Other (specify): I am engaged by Movant's Nonbankruptcy Attorney to handle Bankruptcy Matters		
2.	to I ki Mo in t An kno	m one of the custodians of the books, records and files of Movant as to those books, records and files that pertain the Nonbankruptcy Action. I have personally worked on books, records and files, and as to the following facts, now them to be true of my own knowledge or I have gained knowledge of them from the business records of evant on behalf of Movant, which were made at or about the time of the events recorded, and which are maintained the ordinary course of Movant's business at or near the time of the acts, conditions or events to which they relate. It is such document was prepared in the ordinary course of business of Movant by a person who had personal bowledge of the event being recorded and had or has a business duty to record accurately such event. The siness records are available for inspection and copies can be submitted to the court if required.		
3.	In t	the Nonbankruptcy Action, Movant is:		
	$\boxtimes$	Plaintiff		
		Defendant		
		Other (specify):		
4.	Th	e Nonbankruptcy Action is pending as:		
	a.	Name of Nonbankruptcy Action: Carcamo v. St. Francis Medical Center et al		
	b.	Docket number: TC029195		
	C.	Nonbankruptcy court or agency where Nonbankruptcy Action is pending:		
		Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, Compton Courthouse		
5.	Pro	ocedural Status of Nonbankruptcy Action:		
	a.	The Claims are:		
		1. Retaliation in Violation of California Labor Code Section 1102.5 2. Wrongful Termination		
		3. Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress		
	b.	True and correct copies of the documents filed in the Nonbankruptcy Action are attached as Exhibit A		
	C.	The Nonbankruptcy Action was filed on (date) 06/29/2018		
	d.	Trial or hearing began/is scheduled to begin on (date)		
	e.	The trial or hearing is estimated to require _5 days (specify).		
	f.	Other plaintiffs in the Nonbankruptcy Action are (specify):		
	١.	None		

g.	ΑI	len Bool	er derendants in the Nonbankruptcy Action are ( <i>specify</i> ): In Bookatz MD, Jamie Viramontes ek Drake					
Gr	oun	ds for r	elief from stay:					
a.	×	enforce Movan	Movant seeks recovery primarily from third parties and agrees that the stay will remain in effect as to enforcement of any resulting judgment against the Debtor or the Debtor's bankruptcy estate, except that Movant will retain the right to file a proof of claim under 11 U.S.C. § 501 and/or an adversary complaint under 11 U.S.C. § 523 or § 727 in this bankruptcy case.					
b.		effect a	tory abstention applies under 28 U.S.C. § 1334(c)(2), and Movant agrees that the stay will remain in as to enforcement of any resulting judgment against the Debtor or the Debtor's bankruptcy estate, that Movant will retain the right to file a proof of claim under 11 U.S.C. § 501 and/or an adversary aint under 11 U.S.C. § 523 or § 727 in this bankruptcy case.					
C.			t seeks recovery only from applicable insurance, if any, and waives any deficiency or other claim the Debtor or property of the Debtor's bankruptcy estate. The insurance carrier and policy number ecify):					
d.	$\boxtimes$	The No	onbankruptcy Action can be tried more expeditiously in the nonbankruptcy forum.					
		(1)	It is currently set for trial on (date)					
		(2)	It is in advanced stages of discovery and Movant believes that it will be set for trial by (date) The basis for this belief is (specify):					
		(3) 🔀	The Nonbankruptcy Action involves non-debtor parties and a single trial in the nonbankruptcy forum is the most efficient use of judicial resources.					
е.		The ba Nonbar	nkruptcy case was filed in bad faith specifically to delay or interfere with the prosecution of the nkruptcy Action.					
		(1) 🗌	Movant is the only creditor, or one of very few creditors, listed or scheduled in the Debtor's case commencement documents.					
		(2)	The timing of the filing of the bankruptcy petition indicates it was intended to delay or interfere with the Nonbankruptcy Action based upon the following facts ( <i>specify</i> ):					
		(3)	Multiple bankruptcy cases affecting the Property include:					
		(A)	Case name:  Case number: Chapter:  Date filed: Date discharged: Date dismissed:  Relief from stay regarding this Nonbankruptcy Action was was not granted.					

6.

			(B)	Case name:					
				Case number:	Chapter:				
				Date filed:	Date discharged:		Date dismissed:	Ta.	
				Relief from stay regarding	this Nonbankruptcy Action	☐ was	was not	granted.	
			(C)	Case name:					
			` ,	Case number:	Chapter:				
				Date filed:	Date discharged:	D	ate dismissed:		
				Relief from stay regarding	this Nonbankruptcy Action	☐ was	☐ was not	granted.	
				See attached continuation Nonbankruptcy Action.	n page for information about	t other ba	nkruptcy cases	affecting the	
				See attached continuation	n page for additional facts e	stablishin	g that this case	was filed in bad faith	1
	f.				or other facts justifying relief		_		
	••		oo an	ionod continuation page 10	or outer facts justifying relief	nom sta	у.		
7.		Actio suppl	ns take lement	en in the Nonbankruptcy Adal declaration(s).	ction after the bankruptcy p	etition wa	s filed are spec	cified in the attached	
	a.	☐ T	hese a	ctions were taken before I en entitled to relief from st	Movant knew the bankrupto ay to proceed with these ac	y petition ctions.	had been filed,	, and Movant would	
	b.	W	ith the		had been filed, but Movant forcement actions in prior b				
	C.	☐ F	or othe	r facts justifying annulmer	nt, see attached continuation	n page.			
ı ae	clare	e una	er pena	ity of perjury under the lav	vs of the United States that	the foreg	oing is true and	d correct.	
						//	1 >	1	
0	6/12	/2019	Α	ndy Epstein		Ind	n In	elen	
De	ite			Printed name	<i>U</i>	Signal	ure		_

### PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is: 249 East Ocean Blvd. #814 Long Beach, CA 90802

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled: NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM THE AUTOMATIC STAY UNDER 11 U.S.C. § 362 (with supporting declarations) (ACTION IN NONBANKRUPTCY and (b) in the manner stated below:

FORUM) will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); 1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (date) 06/12/2019 , I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below: See attached Service information continued on attached page 2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL: , I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed. U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (LA), 915 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1850 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Verity Health System of California, Inc. 2040 E. Mariposa Avenue, El Segundo, CA 90245 ☐ Service information continued on attached page 3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (date) , I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed. Hon. Ernest M Robles United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Edward R. Roybal Federal Building and Courthouse 255 E. Temple Street, Suite 1560 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Service information continued on attached page I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct. 06/13/2019 Stephanie Box Date Printed Name

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER 6/3/2010

Filed 06/17/19 Entered 06/17/19 16:59:24 Desc Doc 2557 Main Deckerpents. Barkrage 10, of 33-LIVE)

DEFER, PlnDue, DsclsDue, JNTADMN, LEAD, APLDIST, APPEAL

### U.S. Bankruptcy Court Central District of California (Los Angeles) Bankruptcy Petition #: 2:18-bk-20151-ER

Assigned to: Ernest M. Robles

Voluntary Asset

Chapter 11

represented by Sam J Alberts

**DENTONS US LLP** 1900 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006 202-408-7004

Deadline for filing claims: 04/01/2019

Deadline for filing claims (govt.): 04/01/2019

Date filed: 08/31/2018

341 meeting: 10/22/2018

Fax: 202-496-7756

**Shirley Cho** Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP

10100 Santa Monica Bl 13th Fl Los Angeles, CA 90067-4100 310-277-6910

Fax: 310-201-0760 Email: scho@pszjlaw.com

Steven J Kahn

10100 Santa Monica Blvd Ste 13th Flr Los Angeles, CA 90067-4003 310-277-6910

Fax: 310-201-0760 Email: skahn@pszyjw.com

Nicholas A Koffroth

Dentons US LLP 601 South Figueroa Street Suite 2500 Los Angeles, CA 90017 213-623-9300 Fax: 213-623-9924

Email: nick.koffroth@dentons.com

Samuel R Maizel

Dentons US LLP 601 South Figueroa Street Suite 2500 Los Angeles, CA 90017 213-892-2910

Email: samuel.maizel@dentons.com

**Patrick Maxcy** 

Dentons US LLP 233 S Wacker Dr Ste 5900 Chicago, IL 60606

John A Moe, II Dentons US LLP 601 S. Figueroa Street

Debtor

Verity Health System of California, Inc.

2040 E. Mariposa Avenue El Segundo, CA 90245 LOS ANGELES-CA SSN / ITIN: xxx-xx-5484 Tax ID / EIN: 91-2145484

**Suite 2500** 

Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704

213-892-4905

Fax: 213-623-9924 Email: john.moe@dentons.com

#### Claude D Montgomery

Dentons US LLP 1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020-1001 212-768-6700

#### Tania M Moyron

Dentons US LLP 601 South Figuerora Street Suite 2500 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5704 213-623-9300

Fax: 213-623-9924

Email: tania.moyron@dentons.com

### U.S. Trustee United States Trustee (LA) 915 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1850 Los Angeles, CA 90017 (213) 894-6811

#### represented by Alvin Mar

915 Wilshire Boulevard, Ste 1850 Los Angeles, CA 90017 213-894-4219 Fax: 213-894-2603 Email: alvin.mar@usdoj.gov

Hatty K Yip

Office of the UST/DOJ 915 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1850 Los Angeles, CA 90017 213-894-1507 Fax: 213-894-2603 Email: hatty.yip@usdoj.gov

### Creditor Committee Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of Verity Health System of California, Inc., et al.

### represented by James Cornell Behrens

Milbank LLP 2029 Century Park East 33rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 424-386-4436

Fax: 213-892-4736

Email: jbehrens@milbank.com

### Robert M Hirsh

Arent Fox LLP 1301 Avenue of the Americas, Floor 42 New York, NY 10019 212-484-3900 Fax: 212-484-3990

Email: Robert.Hirsh@arentfox.com

#### Abigail V O'Brient

Mintz Levin 2029 Century Park East, Suite 3100 Los Angeles, CA 90067 310-586-3200

Fax: 310-586-3202

Email: avobrient@mintz.com SELF- TERMINATED: 02/04/2019

Aram Ordubegian

Arent Fox LLP 555 W 5th St 48th Fl Los Angeles, CA 90013-1065 213-629-7410

Fax: 213-629-7401

Email: ordubegian.aram@arentfox.com

Filing Date	#	<del>Docket Text</del>
08/31/2018	± (2810 pgs; 4 does)	Chapter 11 Voluntary Petition Non-Individual. Fee Amount \$1717 Filed by Verity Health System of California, Inc. Statement About Your Social Security Numbers (Form 121) due by 09/14/2018. Signature of Attorney on Petition (Form 101 or 201) due 09/14/2018. Schedule J-2: Expenses for Separate Household of Debtor 2 (Form 106J-2) due 09/14/2018. Cert. of Credit Counseling due by 09/14/2018. Petition Preparer Notice, Declaration, and Signature – Form 119 due by 09/14/2018. Disclosure of Compensation of Bankruptey Petition Preparer (Form 2800) due 09/14/2018. Disclosure of Compensation of Attorney for Debtor (Form 2030) due 09/14/2018. Declaration by Debtors as to Whether Income was Received from an Employer within 60-Days of the Petition Date (LBR Form F1002-1) due by 09/14/2018. Incomplete Filings due by 09/14/2018. Appointment of health eare ombudsman due by 10/1/2018 (Attachments: # L Master Mailing Matrix (Maizel, Samuel) WARNING: See entry 16 for corrective action. Case deficient for: Summary of Assets and Liabilities (Form 106Sum or 206Sum ) due 9/14/2018. Schedule A/B: Property (Form 106A/B or 206A/B) due 9/14/2018. Schedule D: Creditors Who Have Claims Secured by Property (Form 106D or 206D) due 9/14/2018. Schedule E/F: Creditors Who Have Unsecured Claims (Form 106E/F or 206E/F) due 9/14/2018. Schedule G: Executory Contracts and Unexpired Leases (Form 106H or 206H) due 9/14/2018. Schedule H: Your Codebtors (Form 106H or 206H) due 9/14/2018. Schedule H: Your Codebtors (Form 106H or 206H) due 9/14/2018. Schedule H: Your Codebtors (Form 106H or 206H) due 9/14/2018. Schedule H: Your Codebtors (Form 106H or 206H) due 9/14/2018. Schedule H: Your Codebtors (Form 106H or 206H) due 9/14/2018. Schedule H: Your Codebtors (Form 106H or 206H) due 9/14/2018. Schedule H: Your Codebtors (Form 106H or 206H) due 9/14/2018. Schedule H: Your Codebtors (Form 106H or 207) due 9/14/2018. List of Equity Sceurity Holders due 9/14/2018. The following items are not required on a Chapter H Non Individual case: BPP Nte. Del. Sign. (Form
08/31/2018	F	Receipt of Voluntary Petition (Chapter 11)(2:18-bk-20151) [misc,volp11] (1717.00) Filing Fee. Receipt number 47627407. Fee amount 1717.00. (re: Doc# 1) (U.S. Treasury) (Entered: 08/31/2018)
08/31/2018	2 (152 pgs; 7 docs)	Adversary case 2:18-ap-01277. Complaint by Verity Health System of California, Inc. against Old Republic Insurance Company, City National Bank. (Charge To Estate). Verified Complaint for Injunction Enjoining Defendant From Making Certain Draws on A Letter of Credit (Attachments: #1 Exhibit A #2 Exhibit B #3 Exhibit C #4 Exhibit D #5 Exhibit E #6 Exhibit F) Nature of Suit: (71 (Injunctive relief - reinstatement of stay)),(72 (Injunctive relief - other)) (Moyron, Tania) (Entered: 08/31/2018)
08/31/2018	3 (1 pg)	Motion for Joint Administration Debtors Ex Parte Emergency Motion For Entry Of An Order For Joint Administration Of Cases; Memorandum Of Points And Authorities; Declaration Of Richard G. Adcock WARNING: Motion incomplete. Attorney to re-file complete motion. Filed by Debtor Verity Health System of California, Inc. (Moe, John) Modified on 8/31/2018 (Lomeli, Lydia R.). CORRECTION: See entry 5 for complete motion. Modified on 8/31/2018 (Lomeli, Lydia R.). (Entered: 08/31/2018)
08/31/2018	4	Notice to Filer of Error and/or Deficient Document Other - WARNING:

# EXHIBIT A

1617

18

19

20 21

22

2324

2526

27

28

I Kevin Mahoney, declare as follows:

- 1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below. I can testify that said facts are true
- I am Movant's attorney of record in the case Rosa Carcamo v. St. Francis Medical Center et al., Superior Court for the County of Los Angeles, case no. TC 029195 ("Nonbankruptcy Action").
- I provide this declaration to supplement the facts set forth in the DECLARATION RE
  ACTION IN NONBANKRUPTCY FORUM, attached as part of the Notice and Motion
  for Relief from Automatic Stay (Form: F4001-1.RFS.NONBK. MOTION), filed
  simultaneously herewith.
- 4. The Nonbankruptcy Action was filed on behalf of Movant Rosa Carcamo ("Movant") on June 29, 2018 alleging Retaliation in Violation of California Labor Code Sec. 1102.5; Wrongful Termination in Violation of Public Policy; and Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress against St Francis Medical Center and Verity Health Systems of California (the "Bankruptcy Defendants") and Allen Bookatz, M.D, Derek Drake, and James Viramontes, (the "Nonbankruptcy Defendants").
- 5. Movant worked as a registered nurse at St. Francis Medical Center which was subsequently acquired by Verity Health Systems of California.
- 6. Movant's employment was terminated on or about March 8, 2018.
- 7. On or about September 6, 2018, Debtors filed a Notice of Bankruptcy Stay in the Nonbankruptcy Action, stating that they had filed petitions for bankruptcy on August 31, 2018.
- 8. Movant desires to conduct additional discovery in the State Court action and prosecute the case to judgment with the understanding that Movant will only attempt to collect from the Nonbankruptcy defendants.

	case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 2557 Filed 06/17/19 Entered 06/17/19 16:59:24 Desd Main Document Page 16 of 33	
1		
2	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the	
3	foregoing is true and correct. This Declaration is executed this day of June 2019.	
4		
5		
6	Kevin Mahoney, Declarant	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27	*	

# EXHIBIT B

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 2557 Filed 06/17/19 Entered 06/17/19 16:59:24 Desc

4 5

6 7

8

10 11

12

13

14

16 17

18 19

2021

22 23

2425

26

27

28

**COMES NOW** the Plaintiff, ROSA CARCAMO, (hereinafter referred to as the "Plaintiff" or "Ms. Carcamo"), who hereby respectfully complains and alleges against as follows:

#### **INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff brings these causes of action against her employer Defendants ST. FRANCIS MEDICAL CENTER ("SFMC"); VERITY HEALTH SYSTEM OF CALIFORNIA, INC. ("VERITY"); ALLEN BOOKATZ, M.D. "(DR. BOOKATZ"); JAIME VIRAMONTES ("VIRAMONTES") and DEREK DRAKE ("DRAKE") (collectively referred to as "Defendants"), for damages arising out of employer's violation of the California Labor Code, specifically, retaliation in violation of Labor Code section 1102.5 and intentional infliction of emotional distress.

### **PARTIES**

#### The Plaintiff

2. Plaintiff ROSA CARCAMO is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a resident of the County of Los Angeles, of the State of California. Plaintiff was hired as a registered nurse on or about July 9, 2007, by the Defendants SFMC, which has subsequently been acquired by VERITY. Plaintiff's employment was terminated on or about March 08, 2018.

#### Defendants

- 3. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that SFMC was her employer at all times mentioned herein. On information and belief, SFMC operated with its principal place of business located at 3630 East Imperial Highway, Lynwood, California, Los Angeles County.
- 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that VERITY was her employer at all times mentioned herein. On further information and belief, VERITY owned and/or operated SFMC located at 3630 East Imperial Highway, Lynwood, California, Los Angeles County.
- 5. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant VIRAMONTES; is an individual and at all relevant times was a managing agent and Vice President of Human Resources at SFMC who had the ability to set policies and/or procedures,

 and who exercised substantial independent authority and judgment in his decision making at SFMC.

- 6. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant DRAKE; is an individual and at all relevant times was a managing agent and Chief Nursing Officer at the SFMC who had the ability to set policies and/or procedures, and who exercised substantial independent authority and judgment in his decision making at SFMC.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendant DR. BOOKATZ is an individual and at all relevant times was an agent of Defendants at SFMC, who had the ability to affect policies and/or procedures, and who exercised substantial independent authority and judgment in his decision making at SFMC.
- 8. Plaintiff is not aware of the true names and/or capacities of those entities or individuals sued herein as DOES 1 through 50, inclusive, and therefore sues these Defendants by their fictitious names. Plaintiff will seek leave of this Court to amend this Complaint to insert their true names and/or capacities when the same are ascertained.
- 9. Unless otherwise specified herein, each DOE Defendant was the agent and employee of each Defendant, and in doing the things hereinafter mentioned, were at all times acting within the course and scope of that agency and employment.
- 10. Whenever and wherever reference is made in this Complaint to an act, error, omission, or other conduct by a Defendant or co-Defendant, such allegations and references shall also be deemed to be the acts, errors or omissions of each Defendant acting individually, jointly severally or concurrently.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 11. Jurisdiction is proper in this Court by virtue of the California statutes, decisional law and regulations, and the local rules under the Los Angeles County Superior Court Rules because both Plaintiff and Defendants reside in Los Angeles County, California.
- 12. Venue in this Court is also proper because the subject matter of the causes of action alleged herein all took place in the city of Lynwood, located in Los Angeles County, California.

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### 

### ADMINISTRATIVE PREREQUISITE

13. Plaintiff is not required to fulfill any pre-filing administrative pre-requisites as the only claims are for violation of Labor Code section 1102.5 and Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress.

### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS RELEVANT TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION

- 14. At all relevant times to this claim, Plaintiff was a registered nurse working for Defendants SFMC and VERITY. Plaintiff was qualified for the positions she held by reason of her education and training. Plaintiff also successfully completed and obtained certification as a Nurse Practitioner while working for SFMC and VERITY. Plaintiff joined the SFMC on or about July 9, 2007. During the course of her employment with Defendants SFMC and VERITY, Plaintiff performed her various responsibilities in an exemplary fashion and otherwise capably performed each and every condition of her employment agreement.
- 15. In or about mid-2016, Plaintiff worked in part as a charge nurse in SFMC and VERITY's emergency department. Plaintiff received multiple complaints from SFMC and VERITY nursing staff complaining of Defendant BOOKATZ harassing treatment of nursing staff. Plaintiff recommunicated complaints that Defendant BOOKATZ was yelling and acting aggressively towards the nursing staff who questioned his orders. For example, Defendant BOOKATZ became upset and angry, and yelled when the nursing staff questioned his orders to commence intravenous fluid and medication treatments in non-urgent care rooms where no patient monitoring equipment was available. Nursing staff questioned these orders based on safety patient concerns. Plaintiff reported the complaints to her superiors Amon McMaken, interim director of SFMC's emergency room, who stated he would address the matter with Defendant BOOKATZ.
- 16. In or about late 2016, Defendant BOOKATZ reported that an elderly patient who was admitted had a malfunctioning Percutaneous Endoscopic Gastrostomy ("PEG") feeding tube and ordered Plaintiff to complete procedures to address the malfunctioning PEG feeding tube. Plaintiff proceeded to review the condition of the PEG feeding tube in preparation for carrying out Defendant BOOKATZ's orders and found that the feeding tube was not malfunctioning.

Plaintiff in turn reported to Defendant BOOKATZ her findings that the PEG feeding tube was

19

20

23

24

27

28

not malfunctioning and that the ordered procedures were unnecessary at that time. Defendant BOOKATZ responded to Plaintiff by stating words to the effect of "Don't worry about it we get paid a lot for Medicare patients and the hospital needs the money." Plaintiff was shocked that Defendant BOOKATZ would direct her to perform unnecessary and costly procedures on a patient simply to charge Medicare for the cost of the services. Plaintiff told Defendant BOOKATZ that such conduct was highly inappropriate. To this, Defendant BOOKATZ responded by stating words to the effect of "I ordered it and that's that." On another occasion, on or about late 2016, Defendant BOOKATZ ordered a screening for Septic Encephalopathy for an elderly Medicare patient where it was not confirmed at the time that the patient had a urinary tract infection. Plaintiff confronted Defendant BOOKATZ about what she believed to be the ordering of unnecessary and costly procedures. Plaintiff then followed up by reporting complaints of unnecessary ordering of medical procedures for Medicare patients to her superiors, Vicky Del Castillo, Amon McMaken, Carlos Hernandez, and Justus Kelly, in addition to reporting her complaint to SFMC's and VERITY's corporate compliance line. Plaintiff did not receive a response to her complaints.

17. On or about September 18, 2017, Plaintiff was working and performing her usual and customary duties at SFMC. Plaintiff was approached by Defendant BOOKATZ, wherein he requested that Plaintiff perform certain tasks with respect to a patient. After assessing the patient, Plaintiff believed that the procedure requested by Defendant BOOKATZ was not warranted. While Plaintiff was speaking with a fellow nurse, Defendant BOOKATZ approached Plaintiff and began screaming at Plaintiff. In fact, the condition that Defendant BOOKATZ described the patient to be in was completely false. Plaintiff again believed that the procedure that Defendant BOOKATZ requested was again unwarranted. Having a second and more unstable patient, Plaintiff instead prioritized. Plaintiff's co-worker, Yashica Warren, was more familiar with the patient that Defendant BOOKATZ was concerned with and had decided to take care of that patient, while Plaintiff was dealing with the more unstable patient. As a result of Plaintiff focusing on the more unstable patient instead, Defendant BOOKATZ filed a complaint against

Plaintiff for refusing to follow his orders despite that Plaintiff was attending to a patient who had

greater need for urgent care and despite that fact that Ms. Warren was carrying out Defendant Dr. BOOKATZ's orders.

18. Soon thereafter, on or about September 21, 2017, Plaintiff was put on suspension pending an investigation into Dr. BOOKATZ's complaints against Plaintiff. In or about October 2017, the investigation into Plaintiff's alleged misconduct was brought to a close with no findings held against Plaintiff. Subsequently, Defendants refused to allow Plaintiff to return to work and

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

instead commenced a second investigation into Plaintiff's conduct in the workplace. This second

investigation culminated with Defendants' termination of Plaintiff.

### Retaliation in Violation of Labor Code 1102.5.

### (Plaintiff against Defendants)

- 19. The whistleblower protection statute of the Labor Code prohibits retaliation against an employee who discloses information about, or refuses to participate in, an illegal activity. (Lab. Code, § 1102.5(b), (c), (h).) Liability may be predicated on retaliation by "any person acting on behalf of the employer." (Lab. Code, § 1102.5(a)–(d).)
- 20. An employer may not retaliate against an employee for disclosing information to a government or law enforcement agency, where the employee has reasonable cause to believe that the information discloses a violation of state or federal statute, or a violation or noncompliance with a state or federal rule or regulation." "This provision reflects the broad public policy interest in encouraging workplace whistle-blowers to report unlawful acts without fearing retaliation." (internal citation omitted). To establish a prima facie case of retaliation, the plaintiff "must show (1) she engaged in a protected activity, (2) her employer subjected her to an adverse employment action, and (3) there is a causal link between the two." (McVeigh v. Recology San Francisco (2013) 213 Cal.App.4th 443, 468.)
- 21. Plaintiff is able to establish a 1102.5 claim because Plaintiff engaged in protected activity, including, but not limited to, complaining about Defendants deviation from proper medical procedure. Furthermore, Plaintiff had previously filed numerous complaints regarding

- Defendant BOOKATZ, specifically, complaints for performing medical procedures that presented patient safety concerns, performing unnecessary medical procedures on patients, as well as inappropriate comments to patients. Furthermore, Plaintiff had previously reported Defendant BOOKATZ for what she believed to be Medicare/MediCal fraud to CMS as well as to Defendant SFMC's value line and to Defendant's labor-management committee, wherein Defendant SFMC's and VERITY's employees and/or agents, specifically, Defendant DRAKE and Vicky Del Castillo (former Vice-President of Human Resources), were present and made aware.
- 22. Defendants, by and through its management, became upset that Plaintiff was making complaints regarding patient safety, unnecessary medical procedures, and reporting to governmental oversight departments, and as a result, Defendants SFMC and VERITY, by and through its employees and agents, retaliated against Plaintiff. Plaintiff had previously lodged complaints and charted in Risk-Pro regarding unlawful acts/medical procedures performed by doctors, and more specifically, Defendant BOOKATZ, which caused Defendant to retaliate by giving Plaintiff false write-up(s), suspending Plaintiff and ultimately terminating Plaintiff though previously, Plaintiff had never had any disciplinary action taken against her during her employment with Defendants.
- 23. As a direct result of this retaliatory conduct in violation of Labor Code section 1102.5, Plaintiff has sustained, and will continue to sustain, compensatory damages, including, but not limited to, loss of income and lost future earning capacity, all to his detriment in an amount according to proof, but which are in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.
- 24. As a further direct result of the aforementioned conduct, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages for physical, emotional, and mental distress, and for pain and suffering, all to his detriment in an amount according to proof, but which are in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.
- 25. Plaintiff is entitled to her reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses pursuant to the California Civil Procedure section 1021.5 as a significant benefit, whether pecuniary or nonpecuniary, has been conferred on the general public, the necessity and financial burden of

private enforcement are such as to make the award appropriate, and such fees should not in the interest of justice be paid out of the recovery.

### SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

## Wrongful Termination in Violation of Public Policy (Plaintiff Against Defendants SFMC and VERITY)

- 26. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates those matters contained in paragraphs 1 through 25 as though fully set forth.
- 27. At all times mentioned, the public policy of the State of California, as codified expressed and mandated in California Government Code section 12940 prohibits employers from discriminating and retaliating against any employee on the basis of his or his disability. Labor Code section 1102.5 prohibits employers from retaliating against employees for complaining to the government or to management about a subject matter that violates state law that the employee reasonably believes violates the law. The public policy of the State of California is designed to protect all employees and to promote the welfare and well-being of the community at large.
- 28. Plaintiff was employed with Defendants SFMC and VERITY on or about July 9, 2007, as a registered nurse. During the course of her employment with Defendants SFMC and VERITY, Plaintiff performed her various responsibilities in an exemplary fashion and otherwise capably performed each and every condition of her employment agreement. Plaintiff was terminated on March 08, 2018.
- 29. Prior to her termination, Plaintiff engaged in protected activity, including, but not limited to, complaining about Defendants deviation from proper medical procedure. Furthermore, Plaintiff had previously filed numerous complaints regarding Defendant BOOKATZ, specifically, complaints for performing medical procedures that presented patient safety concerns, performing unnecessary medical procedures on patients, as well as inappropriate comments to patients. Furthermore, Plaintiff had previously reported Defendant BOOKATZ for what she believed to be Medicare/MediCal fraud to CMS as well as to Defendant SFMC's value line and to Defendant's labor-management committee, wherein Defendant SFMC's and VERITY's

employees and/or agents, specifically, Defendant DRAKE and Vicky Del Castillo (former Vice-President of Human Resources) were present and made aware.

- 30. On or about September 21, 2017, Plaintiff is informed and believes that Defendants SFMC and VERITY initiated an investigation into Plaintiff as a result of Plaintiff engaging in protected activity, including, but not limited to, making complaints against its doctors, but more specifically, Defendant BOOKATZ for performing medical procedures that presented patient safety concerns, performing unnecessary medical procedures on patients, as well as inappropriate comments to patients. Furthermore, Plaintiff had previously reported Defendant BOOKATZ for what she believed to be Medicare/MediCal fraud to CMS as well as to Defendant SFMC's value line and to Defendant's labor-management committee.
- 31. Instead of investigating Plaintiff's as well as other nurse complaints regarding unlawful medical practices and potential fraud against the government, Defendants SFMC and VERITY wrongfully terminated Plaintiff's employment on March 08, 2018, as a result of her complaints
- 32. As a result of the foregoing protected activity, Defendants SFMC and VERITY wrongfully terminated Plaintiff's employment on March 08, 2018.
- 33. As a direct result of the wrongful termination, Plaintiff has sustained, and will continue to sustain for a period of time, compensatory damages, including, but not limited to, loss of income and loss of future earning capacity, all to his damage in an amount according to proof. As a further direct result of the wrongful termination, Plaintiff has sustained, and will continue to sustain for a period of time, severe physical, emotional, and mental pain, suffering, and distress, all to his general damage in an amount according to proof.
- 34. The acts and conduct of Defendants, and each of them, including, but not limited to, SFMC; VERITY; VIRAMONTES, DRAKE, constituted "malice," "oppression" and/or "fraud" (as those terms are defined in Civil Code section 3294(c), in that it was intended by Defendants, and each of them, to cause injury to Plaintiff or was despicable conduct which was carried on by Defendants, and each of them, with a willful and conscious disregard of the rights of Plaintiff.

35. The acts of Defendants, and each of them, including but not limited to SFMC; VERIT; VIRAMONTES and DRAKE, were done fraudulently, maliciously, and oppressively, and with the advance knowledge, conscious disregard, authorization, or ratification within the meaning of Civil Code section 3294 on the part of the Defendants' officers, directors, or managing agents of the corporation. The actions and conduct of Defendants, and each of them, were intended to cause injury to Plaintiff and constituted deceit and concealment of material facts known to Defendants, and each of them, with the intention of the Defendants' part to deprive Plaintiff of property and legal rights, justifying an award of exemplary and punitive damages in an amount according to proof.

### **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

### Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (Plaintiff Against All Defendants)

- 36. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates those matters contained in paragraphs 1 through 35 as though fully set forth.
- 37. A cause of action for intentional infliction of emotional distress ("IIED") exists when there is '(1) extreme and outrageous conduct by the defendant with the intention of causing, or reckless disregard of the probability of causing, emotional distress; (2) the plaintiff's suffering severe or extreme emotional distress; and (3) actual and proximate causation of the emotional distress by the defendant's outrageous conduct.' A defendant's conduct is 'outrageous' when it is so 'extreme as to exceed all bounds of that usually tolerated in a civilized community.' And the defendant's conduct must be 'intended to inflict injury or engaged in with the realization that injury will result.'" (Hughes v. Pair (2009) 46 Cal.4th 1035, 1050—1051.)
- 38. The conduct of Defendants, SFMC and VERITY, by and through its agents and employees, as well as all Defendants as described herein above, was intentional, malicious, despicable, extreme and outrageous, without substantial justification, unprivileged, and was of the type and variety known to create severe emotional and mental distress.
- 39. As direct result of the aforementioned conduct, Plaintiff sustained, and will continue to sustain, compensatory damages including, but not limited to, loss of income and lost

40. As a further direct result of the aforementioned conduct, Plaintiff has suffered, and will continue to suffer, damages for physical, emotional, and mental distress, and for pain and suffering, all to her detriment in an amount according to proof, but which are in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this Court.

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. For special damages in an amount according to proof;
- 2. For general damages in an amount according to proof;
- 3. For attorneys' fees and expenses under California Labor Code;
- 4. For punitive damages in an amount according to proof;
- 5. For prejudgment interest according to proof;
- 6. For the costs of the suit herein incurred; and
- 7. For all such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: June 29, 2018

MAHONEY LAW GROUP, APC

Бу.\_\_\_\_\_

Alexander Perez, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff ROSA CARCAMO

22

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

2526

27

### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff hereby demands trial by jury on all issues so triable in the Complaint.

Dated: June 29, 2018

MAHONEY LAW GROUP, APC

By:

Alexander Perez, Esq. Attorneys for Plaintiff ROSA CARCAMO

SHORT TITLE Carcamo v. St. Francis Medical Center, et al.

CASE NUMBER TC 0 2 9 1 9 5

### CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.3 in all new civil case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.

- Step 1: After completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet (Judicial Council form CM-010), find the exact case type in Column A that corresponds to the case type indicated in the Civil Case Cover Sheet.
- Step 2: In Column B, check the box for the type of action that best describes the nature of the case.
- Step 3: In Column C, circle the number which explains the reason for the court filing location you have chosen.

#### Applicable Reasons for Choosing Court Filing Location (Column C)

- 1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Central District.
- Permissive filing in central district.
- 3. Location where cause of action arose.
- Mandatory personal injury filing in North District.
- Location where performance required or defendant resides.
- 6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle.

- Location where petitioner resides.
- 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly.
- 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside.
- 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office.
- 11. Mandatory filing location (Hub Cases unlawful detainer, limited non-collection, limited collection, or personal injury).

Auto

Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort

A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons See Step 3 Above
Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1, 4, 11
Uninsured Motorist (46)	☐ A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death – Uninsured Motorist	1, 4, 11
Asbestos (04)	□ A6070 Asbestos Property Damage □ A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	1, 11 1, 11
Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1, 4, 11
Medical Malpractice (45)	☐ A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons ☐ A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11
Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	<ul> <li>□ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall)</li> <li>□ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.)</li> <li>□ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress</li> <li>□ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death</li> </ul>	1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11 1, 4, 11

SHORT TITLE

Carcamo v. St. Francis Medical Center, et al.

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Business Tort (07)	☐ A6029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1, 2, 3
perty h Tort	Civil Rights (08)	□ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1, 2, 3
ry/Pro I Deat	Defamation (13)	☐ A6010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1, 2, 3
al Inju ongfu	Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1, 2, 3
Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Professional Negligence (25)	□ A6017 Legal Malpractice □ A6050 Other Professional Malpractice (not medical or legal)	1, 2, 3 1, 2, 3
ŽΩ	Other (35)	□ A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	1, 2, 3
nent	Wrongful Termination (36)	☐ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1, 2(3)
Employment	Other Employment (15)	☐ A6024 Other Employment Complaint Case ☐ A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1, 2, 3 10
	Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	□ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction)     □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach -Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence)     □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud)     □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence)	2, 5 2, 5 1, 2, 5 1, 2, 5
Contract	Collections (09)	<ul> <li>□ A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff</li> <li>□ A6012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case</li> <li>□ A6034 Collections Case-Purchased Debt (Charged Off Consumer Debt Purchased on or after January 1, 2014)</li> </ul>	5, 6, 11 5, 11 5, 6, 11
	Insurance Coverage (18)	□ A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	1, 2, 5, 8
	Other Contract (37)	<ul> <li>□ A6009 Contractual Fraud</li> <li>□ A6031 Tortious Interference</li> <li>□ A6027 Other Contract Dispute(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)</li> </ul>	1, 2, 3, 5 1, 2, 3, 5 1, 2, 3, 8, 9
	Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	□ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of parcels	2, 6
opert)	Wrongful Eviction (33)	□ A6023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2, 6
Real Property	Other Real Property (26)	<ul> <li>□ A6018 Mortgage Foreclosure</li> <li>□ A6032 Quiet Title</li> <li>□ A6060 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)</li> </ul>	2, 6 2, 6 2, 6
<u>.</u>	Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
Detain	Unlawful Detainer-Residential (32)	□ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	6, 11
Unlawful Detainer	Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	□ A6020FUnlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2, 6, 11
5	Unlawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	□ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2, 6, 11

SHORT TITLE

Carcamo v. St. Francis Medical Center, et al.

CASE NUMBER

	A Civil Case Cover Sheet Category No.	B Type of Action (Check only one)	C Applicable Reasons - See Step 3 Above
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	☐ A6108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2, 3, 6
Provisionally Complex Litigation Judicial Review	Petition re Arbitration (11)	☐ A6115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2, 5
		☐ A6151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus	2, 8
	Writ of Mandate (02)	☐ A6152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2
		☐ A6153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2
	Other Judicial Review (39)	☐ A6150 Other Writ /Judicial Review	2, 8
	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	☐ A6003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1, 2, 8
	Construction Defect (10)	☐ A6007 Construction Defect	1, 2, 3
	Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)	□ A6006 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1, 2, 8
	Securities Litigation (28)	□ A6035 Securities Litigation Case	1, 2, 8
	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	☐ A6036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1, 2, 3, 8
	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	□ A6014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1, 2, 5, 8
		□ A6141 Sister State Judgment	2, 5, 11
せせ		□ A6160 Abstract of Judgment	2, 6
mer	Enforcement of Judgment (20)	☐ A6107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2, 9
Enforcement of Judgment		☐ A6140 Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid taxes)	2, B
Enf of.		☐ A6114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax	2, 8
1		☐ A6112 Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2, 8, 9
. s	RICO (27)	☐ A6033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1, 2, 8
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints		☐ A6030 Declaratory Relief Only	1, 2, 8
ellan omp	Other Complaints	☐ A6040 Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment)	2, 8
isce ii C	(Not Specified Above) (42)	☐ A6011 Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8
Ğ		☐ A6000 Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1, 2, 8
Ì	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	□ A6113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2, 8
		☐ A6121 Civil Harassment	2, 3, 9
Suc		□ A6123 Workplace Harassment	2, 3, 9
Miscellaneous Civil Petitions	Other Detitions (No.	☐ A6124 Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case	2, 3, 9
	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	☐ A6190 Election Contest	2
		☐ A6110 Petition for Change of Name/Change of Gender	2, 7
		☐ A6170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law	2, 7
		☐ A6100 Other Civil Petition	2, 9
į.			

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 2557 Filed 06/17/19 Entered 06/17/19 16:59:24 Main Document Page 33 of 33

Carcamo v. St. Francis Medical Center, et al.	CASE NUMBER

Step 4: Statement of Reason and Address: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected. Enter the address which is the basis for the filing location, including zip code. (No address required for class action cases).

REASON: □ 1. □ 2. ☑ 3. □ 4. □ 5. □ 6, □ 7. □	8. 🛭 9, 🗀	10. 🛭 11.	address: 3630 East Imperial Highway
CITY Lynwood	STATE	ZIP CODE: 90262	

Step 5: Certification of Assignment: I certify that this case is properly filed in the South Central District of the Superior Court of California, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., §392 et seq., and Local Rule 2.3(a)(1)(E)].

Dated:	June 28, 2018	
--------	---------------	--

(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)

### PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY **COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:**

- Original Complaint or Petition.
- 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
- Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
- 4. Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 02/16).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless there is court order for waiver, partial or scheduled payments.
- 6. A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.