Case	2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/11 Main Document Fo	ער און
1	GARY E. KLAUSNER (SBN 69077)	
2	gek@lnbyb.com LEVENE, NEALE, BENDER, YOO & BRILL	ΤΙΡ
2	10250 Constellation Boulevard, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067	
4	Telephone: (310) 229-1234 Facsimile: (310) 229-1244	
5	Attorneys for Strategic Global Management, Inc	2.
6	UNITED STATES BA	ANKRUPTCY COURT
7		CT OF CALIFORNIA LES DIVISION
8	In re	LEAD CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20151-ER
9	VERITY HEALTH SYSTEM OF	CHAPTER: 11 JOINTLY ADMINISTERED WITH:
10	CALIFORNIA, INC., et al.,	CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20162-ER
11		CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20163-ER CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20164-ER
	Debtors and Debtors in Possession.	CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20165-ER
12		CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20167-ER CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20168-ER
13	⊠ Affects All Debtors	CASE NO.: 2:18-0k-20108-ER CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20169-ER
_	□ Affects Verity Health System of California,	CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20171-ER
14	Inc.	CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20172-ER
15	 Affects O'Connor Hospital Affects Saint Louise Regional Hospital 	CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20173-ER CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20175-ER
15	Affects St. Francis Medical Center	CASE NO.: 2:18-0k-20175-EK CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20176-ER
16	□ Affects St. Vincent Medical Center	CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20178-ER
17	Affects Seton Medical Center	CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20179-ER
17	 Affects O'Connor Hospital Foundation Affects Saint Louise Regional Hospital 	CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20180-ER CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20181-ER
18	Foundation	CASE NO.: 2.10-0K-20101-EK
19	Affects St. Francis Medical Center of Lynwood Foundation	OBJECTION TO ORDER GRANTING
20	□ Affects St. Vincent Foundation □ Affects St. Vincent Dialysis Center, Inc.	"DEBTOR'S EMERGENCY MOTION FOR THE ENTRY OF AN ORDER: (I)
21	 Affects Seton Medical Center Foundation Affects Verity Business Services 	ENFORCING THE ORDER AUTHORIZING THE SALE TO
22	□ Affects Verity Medical Foundation □ Affects Verity Holdings, LLC	STRATEGIC GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, INC.; (II) FINDING THAT THE SALE IS
23	□ Affects De Paul Ventures, LLC □ Affects De Paul Ventures – San Jose ASC,	FREE AND CLEAR OF CONDITIONS MATERIALLY DIFFERENT THAN
24	LLC	THOSE APPROVED BY THE COURT; (III) FINDING THAT THE ATTORNEY
25	Debtors and Debtors in Possession.	GENERAL ABUSED HIS DISCRETION IN IMPOSING CONDITIONS ON THAT
26		SALE; AND (IV) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF" (DOC. 3188)
27		
28		
		II I I I I I I I

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/11/19 Entered 11/11/19 10:57:50 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 20

1 Strategic Global Management, Inc. ("SGM") submits the following Objection to the 2 "Order Granting Debtor's Emergency Motion For The Entry Of An Order: (I) Enforcing The 3 Order Authorizing The Sale To Strategic Global Management, Inc.; (II) Finding That The Sale Is 4 Free And Clear Of Conditions Materially Different Than Those Approved By The Court; (III) Finding That The Attorney General Abused His Discretion In Imposing Conditions On That Sale; 5 6 And (IV) Granting Related Relief" [Doc. 3574] submitted by Verity Health System of California, 7 Inc. and related and affiliated Debtors. I. 8 9 **INTRODUCTION** 10 An enormous amount of time and expense has been incurred in the efforts of the 11 Debtors, SGM, and other parties in interest, to reach an agreement for SGM's purchase of 4 12 hospitals for a price of over \$600 Million, which will pave the way for confirmation of the 13 Debtors' Plan of Reorganization, and the continued operation of the hospitals, employment of 14 thousands of people, and support of the communities they serve. Fundamental to that process has 15 been the need to resolve the issue of whether and to what extent SGM would be obligated to 16 perform or abide by conditions which the California Attorney General ("AG") might attempt to 17 impose as part of his approval process. In recognition of the history in other cases of the AG's 18 imposition of conditions that have had the effect of causing transaction to fail and hospitals to 19 close, SGM bargained for protection such that it would not have to close this transaction if the 20 conditions imposed by the AG were materially different ("Additional Conditions") from that 21 which SGM had agreed to accept. The provisions of the APA addressing this subject matter are 22 contained in section 8.6. 23 The AG issued his Decision on September 25, 2019, in which the AG imposed Additional 24 Conditions for his approval of the sale to SGM. The Debtors, with SGM's support, filed its 25 "Emergency Motion For The Entry Of An Order: (I) Enforcing The Order Authorizing The Sale 26 To Strategic Global Management, Inc.; (II) Finding That The Sale Is Free And Clear Of

- 27 Conditions Materially Different Than Those Approved By The Court; (III) Finding That The
- 28 Attorney General Abused His Discretion In Imposing Conditions On That Sale; And (IV)

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/11/19 Entered 11/11/19 10:57:50 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 20

Granting Related Relief" [Doc. 3188] requesting a determination, among other things, that the
 sale to SGM was free and clear of such Additional Conditions. Following the conclusion of the
 hearing on the Emergency Motion, this court filed its Memorandum Decision on October 23,
 2019 [Doc. 3446] in which it granted the Emergency Motion and, recognizing the importance (if
 not ground breaking nature) of its ruling, the Court certified its ruling for a direct appeal to the
 U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit.

7 The AG has agreed to waive its right to appeal in exchange for the Court's vacation of its 8 Memorandum Decision and entry of a form of order to which it consents. That proposed order 9 [Doc. 3574] attached as Exhibit A hereto (herein, the "AG Order"), is not acceptable to 10 SGM. While SGM remains fully committed to the transaction, fundamental to SGM's rights as a 11 purchaser is the protection to which it is entitled under APA section 8.6 in the form of a clearly 12 and unambiguously written order which forecloses, to the extent possible, any disputes or 13 controversies as to SGM's protection from such Additional Conditions, its right not to comply 14 with, perform or adhere to any of the Additional Conditions, and SGM's ability to come to this 15 court if there are future disputes or controversies over the interpretation or enforcement of such 16 order. Rather than accomplish that end, the AG Order is muddled, obtuse, grammatically 17 ambiguous, and, if entered, will not provide SGM the clear and unequivocal protection to which it 18 is entitled and which SGM believes the court intended it to have. Fortunately, these deficiencies 19 can be corrected, with no prejudice to the AG or to the Debtors.

20 Under the unique circumstances of this case including: (1) the removal from the record of 21 the Court's Memorandum Decision, which thoroughly and comprehensively set forth the bases 22 for the Court's ruling in granting the Motion, and (2) the profoundly important consequence of 23 the Court's order on SGM's future relationship with the AG for many years, in a transaction in 24 which SGM will be paying over \$600 Million, SGM is entitled to have a clearly-written, 25 unequivocal and unambiguous order that addresses the following subject matters which were not 26 fully and clearly provided for in the AG Order: (1) a definition of "Additional Conditions," (2) a 27 clear statement as to what the "free and clear" terminology means as to the "Additional

28 Conditions," and (3) a clear and unambiguous statement regarding this Court's jurisdiction to

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/11/19 Entered 11/11/19 10:57:50 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 20

1 resolve disputes or controversies over the Order.

2 All of SGM's concerns over the AG Order can be fixed very simply and effectively by the 3 alternative order forth on Exhibit B hereto (the "SGM Order"). The use of the SGM Order, in 4 lieu of the AG Order, while providing necessary protection to SGM, will have no prejudicial 5 impact whatsoever on the AG or the Debtors. While SGM recognizes that one of the AG's goals, 6 in waiving its appeal and having the Court vacate its Memorandum Decision, is to limit, as much 7 as possible, a public record regarding this Court's significant decision concerning the 8 unenforceability of the Additional Conditions, the AG's goal of limiting the "collateral damage" 9 from this Court's ruling must give way to SGM's entitlement to a clearly stated, unambiguous 10 order which will avoid, or limit to the maximum extent, confusion and controversy over exactly 11 what this Court has decided. 12 Unfortunately, the AG's effort to avoid the precedential effect of this Court's ruling has 13 created an unnecessarily ambiguous order which may actually result in litigation between the AG 14 and SGM. The AG's verbatim extraction of specific language from § 8.6, while superficially 15 appealing, is grammatically unartful. Whether by design to obscure the outcome of the Court's 16 ruling or simply poor draftsmanship, the end result is an order that does not do justice to, or fairly reflects, this Court's ruling and leaves SGM open to litigation. 17 18 II. 19 THE CHANGES NECESSARY TO PROTECT THE INTEREST OF SGM, 20 **CAN BE MADE WITHOUT ANY PREJUDICE TO THE AG** 21 SGM's proposed alternative order, at Paragraph 2, which is the paragraph that addresses 22 the "free and clear" provision of the order, states as follows: The Debtors' transfer to SGM of the Debtors' assets (the 23 "SGM Sale") pursuant to that certain Asset Purchase 24 Agreement [Docket No. 2305-1] (the "SGM APA") is free and clear of, and shall not be subject to or conditioned upon 25 SGM's performance of, compliance with, or adherence to, any and all Additional Conditions (as defined in the SGM 26 APA and in the Motion), pursuant to Bankruptcy Code § 363(b), (f1), and (f5) and otherwise is provided in the Sale 27 Order." 28

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/11/19 Entered 11/11/19 10:57:50 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 20

1	The foregoing language is entirely consistent with the Debtor's Motion, this Court's
2	Memorandum Decision and, most importantly, expressly states what "free and clear" means, i.e.
3	that SGM is not required to perform, comply with or adhere to the Additional Conditions.
4	Because the concept of a "free and clear" sale as applied to regulatory conditions is, to say the
5	least, unusual, SGM believes that it is critical that the Order contain language, which clarifies that
6	a sale free and clear of conditions means that SGM will not have to comply with them.
7	Otherwise, there could be some doubt, and later a dispute, about exactly what the term "free and
8	clear" means as applied to regulatory conditions. The whole purpose of the SGM's negotiation of
9	its rights under § 8.6, and of the Debtor's Emergency Motion to satisfy its obligation under § 8.6,
10	was to establish that SGM would not have to comply with the AG Conditions. There is no
11	legitimate reason why the AG should refuse to have such language included in the Order – unless
12	the AG desires to leave the Order ambiguous enough either to be able to "spin it" or to create a
13	basis for controversy.
14	The problem with the AG's language, especially in comparison to that proposed by SGM,
15	are several fold. First, the prefatory words "Solely and exclusively for the purposes of the APA"
16	are unnecessary, not requested by the Debtor or SGM in the Motion, and are ambiguous. The
17	transfer of the Debtor's assets free and clear of the Additional Conditions needs to be clearly and
18	unambiguously stated. What does "Solely and exclusively for purpose of the APA" mean? If the
19	AG wants language to the effect that this Order does not apply to some other transaction over
20	which the AG has approval authority, then the Order can provide such language.
21	The AG Order contains the additional verbiage addressing the critical issue of the sale
22	being free and clear of the Additional Conditions in an awkward and imprecise manner:
23	Solely and exclusively for purposes of the APA the
24	Additional Conditions are an "interest in property" for purposes of 11 U.S.C. § 363(f) and the assets (as defined in
25	the APA) <i>can be</i> sold free and clear of the Additional Conditions(Emphasis added.)
26	
27	The use of the words "can be sold" does not expressively state that they "are being
28	transferred" free and clear. While the AG has adopted verbiage from § 8.6 of the APA, word for

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/11/19 Entered 11/11/19 10:57:50 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 20

1	word, those words do not translate clearly into a court order. There is no particular reason why
2	the Order should use the phrase "can be sold" as opposed to "are being transferred" which is
3	consistent with the Sale Order and is exactly what this Court decided when it granted the
4	Emergency Motion.
5	Finally, this Court made no ruling as to the AG's enforcement rights (and no such ruling
6	was requested) and this Court should retain exclusive jurisdiction to resolve any disputes or
7	controversies concerning its Order. The AG Order, after acknowledging the Bankruptcy Court's
8	exclusive jurisdiction, goes on to say:
9	"Notwithstanding the preceding sentence, nothing contained in this Order shall
10	prohibit or limit the authority of the Attorney General to enforce, in the state courts and
11	pursuant to section 5926 of the California Corporations Code, the Purchaser Approved
12	Conditions set forth in Schedule 8.6 to the SGM APA."
13	First, there is no basis, either in the Emergency Motion, the AG's Opposition or the
14	Memorandum Decision, for this Court to be ruling on the AG's enforcement right, one way or the
15	other, except with respect to the Additional Conditions. Second, the words "Notwithstanding the
16	preceding sentence" obviously are meant to supersede the prior sentence and, since the prior
17	sentence provided for the Bankruptcy Court's "exclusive jurisdiction" over disputes pertaining to
18	the Order, the AG Order would effectively trump that provision which or, at least create a "forum
19	war" over future disputes. In light of the fact that a dispute could involve whether the AG is
20	improperly attempting to enforce an "Additional Condition", there should be no question that any
21	such dispute should be heard in this Court, since it is this Court that has created the barrier against
22	such enforcement.
23	///
24	///
25	///
26	///
27	///
28	
	6

Case	2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 358 Main D	2 Filed 11/11/19 Entered 11/11/19 10:57:50 Desc Document Page 7 of 20
1	Based on the foregoing,	SGM respectfully requests that the Court enter the SGM Order. ¹
2		
3	Dated: November 11, 2019	LEVENE, NEALE, BENDER, YOO & BRILL L.L.P.
4		By: /s/ Gary E. Klausner
5		Gary E. Klausner
6		Counsel for Strategic Global Management, Inc.
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	¹ SGM reserves all of its rights under	the APA including, without limitation, as provided for in section 8.6
		7

EXHIBIT "A"

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/08/19 Entered 11/08/19 20:53:50 Desc Wain Document Prage 91 off 20

Attorney or Party Name, Address, Telephone & FAX Nos., State Bar No. & Email Address Samuel R. Maizel (SBN 189301) samuel.maizel@dentons.com Tania M. Moyron (SBN 235736) tania.moyron@dentons.com DENTONS US LLP 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 623-9300 Facsimile: (213) 623-9924	
 Individual appearing without an attorney Attorney for: Debtors and Debtors In Possession 	
	ANKRUPTCY COURT ORNIA - <u>LOS ANGELES</u> DIVISION
In re: VERITY HEALTH SYSTEM OF CALIFORNIA, INC., et al., Debtors and Debtors In Possession.	CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20151-ER;Jointly administered with: Case No. 2:18-bk-20162-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20163-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20164-ER
Affects All Debtors	Case No. 2:18-bk-20165-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20167-ER
 Affects Verity Health System of California, Inc. Affects O'Connor Hospital Affects Saint Louise Regional Hospital Affects St. Francis Medical Center Affects St. Vincent Medical Center Affects Seton Medical Center Affects O'Connor Hospital Foundation 	Case No. 2:18-bk-20168-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20169-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20171-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20172-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20173-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20175-ER
 Affects Saint Louise Regional Hospital Foundation Affects St. Francis Medical Center of Lynwood Foundation Affects St. Vincent Foundation Affects St. Vincent Dialysis Center, Inc. Affects Seton Medical Center Foundation 	Case No. 2:18-bk-20176-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20178-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20179-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20180-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20181-ER
 Affects Seton Medical Center Foundation Affects Verity Business Services Affects Verity Medical Foundation Affects Verity Holdings, LLC Affects De Paul Ventures, LLC Affects De Paul Ventures - San Jose Dialysis, LLC Liquidation Corporation, a California corporation, 	CHAPTER: 11 NOTICE OF LODGMENT OF ORDER IN BANKRUPTCY CASE RE: (<i>title of motion</i> ¹): <u>Enforcement Motion [Docket No. 3188]</u>
Debtor(s)	

PLEASE TAKE NOTE that the order titled <u>Order Granting "Debtors' Emergency Motion For The Entry Of An Order: (I) Enforcing The</u> <u>Order Authorizing The Sale To Strategic Global Management, Inc.; (II) Finding That The Sale Is Free And Clear Of</u> <u>Conditions Materially Different Than Those Approved By The Court; (III) Finding That The Attorney General Abused His</u> <u>Discretion In Imposing Conditions On That Sale; And (IV) Granting Related Relief" [Doc. 3188]</u> was lodged on (*date*) <u>11/08/19</u> and is attached. This order relates to the motion which is docket number <u>3188</u>.

¹ Please abbreviate if title cannot fit into text field.

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/08/19 Entered 11/08/19 20:53:50 Desc MatairDDocumeent Pagget 2 of 20 1 SAMUEL R. MAIZEL (Bar No. 189301) samuel.maizel@dentons.com TANIA M. MOYRON (Bar No. 235736) tania.moyron@dentons.com 3 NICHOLAS A. KOFFROTH (Bar No. 287854)

3 4 5 6 7	tania.moyron@dentons.com NICHOLAS A. KOFFROTH (Bar No. 287854) nicholas.koffroth@dentons.com DENTONS US LLP 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500 Los Angeles, California 90017-5704 Tel: (213) 623-9300 / Fax: (213) 623-9924 Attorneys for the Chapter 11 Debtors and Debtors In Possession	
8		BANKRUPTCY COURT IFORNIA - LOS ANGELES DIVISION
9	In re	Lead Case No. 2:18-bk-20151-ER
10 11	VERITY HEALTH SYSTEM OF CALIFORNIA, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	Jointly Administered With: Case No. 2:18-bk-20162-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20163-ER
	Debtor and Debtor In Possession.	Case No. 2:18-bk-20164-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20165-ER
12		Case No. 2:18-bk-20167-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20168-ER
13	Affects All Debtors	Case No. 2:18-bk-20169-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20171-ER
14	 Affects Verity Health System of California, Inc. Affects O'Connor Hospital 	Case No. 2:18-bk-20172-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20173-ER
15	 Affects Saint Louise Regional Hospital Affects St. Francis Medical Center 	Case No. 2:18-bk-20175-ER
16	 Affects St. Vincent Medical Center Affects Seton Medical Center 	Case No. 2:18-bk-20176-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20178-ER
17	 Affects O'Connor Hospital Foundation Affects Saint Louise Regional Hospital 	Case No. 2:18-bk-20179-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20180-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20181-ER
18	Foundation Affects St. Francis Medical Center of Lynwood Foundation	Chapter 11 Cases
19	□ Affects St. Vincent Foundation	Hon. Judge Ernest M. Robles ORDER GRANTING "DEBTORS' EMERGENCY
20	 Affects St. Vincent Dialysis Center, Inc. Affects Seton Medical Center Foundation 	MOTION FOR THE ENTRY OF AN ORDER: (I) ENFORCING THE ORDER AUTHORIZING THE
21	 Affects Verity Business Services Affects Verity Medical Foundation 	SALE TO STRATEGIC GLOBAL MANAGEMENT,
22	□ Affects Verity Holdings, LLC □ Affects De Paul Ventures, LLC	INC.; (II) FINDING THAT THE SALE IS FREE AND CLEAR OF CONDITIONS MATERIALLY
23	□ Affects De Paul Ventures - San Jose Dialysis,	DIFFERENT THAN THOSE APPROVED BY THE COURT; (III) FINDING THAT THE ATTORNEY
24	LLC	GENERAL ABUSED HIS DISCRETION IN
25	Debtors and Debtors In	IMPOSING CONDITIONS ON THAT SALE; AND (IV) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF" [DOC. 3188]
26	Possession.	Hearing Date and Time:
		Date:October 15, 2019Time:10:00 a.m. (Pacific Time)
27		Location: Courtroom 1568 255 E. Temple Street
28		Los Angeles, CA
1		

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Filed 11/08/19 Entered 11/08/19 20:53:50 Doc 3584 Desc MalarinDDocumeent Plage 13 of 20

1 The Court, having considered the motion [Docket No. 3188] (the "Motion")¹ filed by Verity 2 Health System of California, Inc. and the above-referenced affiliated debtors and debtors in 3 possession in the above captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases (collectively, the "Debtors"), the 4 response [Docket No. 3333] of the California Attorney General (the "Attorney General"), the 5 statement [Docket No. 3356] filed by Strategic Global Management, Inc. (collectively with its 6 affiliates, "SGM"), the reply [Docket No. 3382] filed by the Debtors, the stipulation [Docket No. 7 3572] by and among the Debtors and the Attorney General, and good cause appearing,

HEREBY ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

1. The Motion is GRANTED.

10 2. The Court's memorandum decision [Docket No. 3446] is hereby vacated and withdrawn.

12 3. Solely and exclusively for purposes of the APA (as defined below) and the Motion, 13 the Additional Conditions (as defined in section 8.6 of that certain asset purchase agreement 14 [Docket No. 2305-1] (the "APA")) are an "interest in property" for purposes of 11 U.S.C. § 363(f), 15 and the Assets (as defined in the APA) can be sold free and clear of the Additional Conditions 16 without the imposition of any other conditions which would adversely affect the Purchaser (as 17 defined in the APA).

18 4. This Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction to adjudicate any disputes or 19 controversies regarding the interpretation or enforcement of this Order. Notwithstanding the 20 preceding sentence, nothing contained in this Order shall prohibit or limit the authority of the 21 Attorney General to enforce, in the California state courts and pursuant to section 5926 of the 22 California Corporations Code, the Purchaser Approved Conditions set forth on Schedule 8.6 to the 23 APA.

- 24 111
- 25 111
- 26 ///
- 27 ///
- 28

¹ Unless otherwise defined herein, all capitalized terms have the definitions set forth in the Motion.

8

9

	Case	2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/08/19 Entered 11/08/19 20:53:50 MatairDDoccreent Pagge12 of 20	Desc
	1	5. The Attorney General waives any right to appeal this Order.	
	2	5. The rationey General warves any right to appear this order.	
	3	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
	4	###	
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
040	10		
DENTONS US LLP 601 South Figueros Streef , Suite 2500 Los Angeles, Callfornia 90017-5704 (213) 623-9300	11		
S LLP EET, S MA 900	12		
NS US DA STR LIFORN 623-93	13		
DENTO FIGUER LES, CA (213)	14		
D OUTH F ANGEL	15		
601 S Los	16		
	17		
	18		
	19		
	20		
	21		
	22		
	23		
	24		
	25		
	26		
	27		
	28		
		US_Active\113510247\V-6	

EXHIBIT "B"

Case	2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/1 Main Document F	1/19 Entered 11/11/19 10:57:50 Desc Page 14 of 20
1	GARY E. KLAUSNER (SBN 69077)	
2	gek@lnbyb.com LEVENE, NEALE, BENDER, YOO & BRIL	L
3	L.L.P. 10250 Constellation Boulevard, Suite 1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067	
4	Telephone: (310) 229-1234 Facsimile: (310) 229-1244	
5	Attorneys for Strategic Global Management, 1	Inc
6		BANKRUPTCY COURT
7		IFORNIA - LOS ANGELES DIVISION
8	In re	Lead Case No. 2:18-bk-20151-ER
9	VERITY HEALTH SYSTEM OF	Jointly Administered With: Case No. 2:18-bk-20162-ER
10	CALIFORNIA, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	Case No. 2:18-bk-20163-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20164-ER
11	Debtor and Debtor In Possession.	Case No. 2:18-bk-20165-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20167-ER
12	Affects All Debtors	Case No. 2:18-bk-20168-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20169-ER
13	☐ Affects Verity Health System of California, Inc.	Case No. 2:18-bk-20171-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20172-ER
14	□ Affects O'Connor Hospital □ Affects Saint Louise Regional Hospital	Case No. 2:18-bk-20173-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20175-ER
15	 Affects St. Francis Medical Center Affects St. Vincent Medical Center 	Case No. 2:18-bk-20176-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20178-ER
16	 Affects Seton Medical Center Affects O'Connor Hospital Foundation 	Case No. 2:18-bk-20179-ER Case No. 2:18-bk-20180-ER
17	Affects Saint Louise Regional Hospital Foundation	Case No. 2:18-bk-20181-ER
18	□ Affects St. Francis Medical Center of Lynwood Foundation	Chapter 11 Cases Hon. Judge Ernest M. Robles
19	□ Affects St. Vincent Foundation □ Affects St. Vincent Dialysis Center, Inc.	ORDER GRANTING DEBTORS' EMERGENCY MOTION FOR THE ENTRY OF AN ORDER: (I)
20	 Affects Seton Medical Center Foundation Affects Verity Business Services 	ENFORCING THE ORDER AUTHORIZING THE SALE TO STRATEGIC GLOBAL
21	 Affects Verity Medical Foundation Affects Verity Holdings, LLC 	MANAGEMENT, INC.; (II) FINDING THAT THE SALE IS FREE AND CLEAR OF CONDITIONS
22	 ☐ Affects De Paul Ventures, LLC ☐ Affects De Paul Ventures - San Jose Dialysis, 	MATERIALLY DIFFERENT THAN THOSE APPROVED BY THE COURT; (III) FINDING
23	LLC	THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL ABUSED HIS DISCRETION IN IMPOSING CONDITIONS
24	Debtors and Debtors In	ON THAT SALE; AND (IV) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF" (DOC.3188)
25	Possession.	
26		Hearing:Date:October 15, 2019Time:10:00 a.m. (Pacific Time)
27		Location: Courtroom 1568
28		255 E. Temple Street Los Angeles, CA

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/11/19 Entered 11/11/19 10:57:50 Desc Main Document Page 15 of 20

1	The Court, having considered the motion [Docket No. 3188] (the "Motion") ¹ filed by
2	Verity Health System of California, Inc. and the above-referenced affiliated debtors and debtors
3	in possession in the above captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases (collectively, the "Debtors"),
4	the response [Docket No. 3333] of the California Attorney General (the "Attorney General"), the
5	statement [Docket No. 3356] filed by Strategic Global Management, Inc. (collectively with its
6	affiliates, "SGM"), the reply [Docket No. 3382] filed by the Debtors, the stipulation [Docket No.
7	3572] by and among the Debtors and the Attorney General, and good cause appearing,
8	HEREBY ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:
9	1. The Motion is GRANTED.
10	2. The Debtors' transfer to SGM of the Debtors' assets (the " <u>SGM Sale</u> ") pursuant to
11	that certain asset purchase agreement [Docket No. 2305-1] (the "SGM APA") is free and clear of,
12	and shall not be subject to or conditioned upon SGM's performance of, compliance with, or
13	adherence to, any and all Additional Conditions (as defined in the SGM APA and in the Motion),
14	pursuant to Bankruptcy Code §§ 363(b), (f)(1), (f)(4), and (f)(5) and otherwise as provided in the
15	Sale Order.
16	3. This Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction to adjudicate any disputes or
17	controversies regarding the interpretation or enforcement of this Order.
18	4. The Court's memorandum decision [Docket No. 3446] is hereby vacated and
19	withdrawn.
20	5. The Attorney General waives any right to appeal this Order.
21	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23	###
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	¹ Unless otherwise defined herein, all capitalized terms have the definitions set forth in the Motion.

Case	2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/11/19 Entered 11/11/19 10:57:50 Desc Main Document Page 16 of 20
1	PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT
2 3	I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is 10250 Constellation Boulevard, Suite 1700, Los Angeles, CA 90067.
4	A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled OBJECTION TO ORDER GRANTING "DEBTOR'S EMERGENCY MOTION FOR THE ENTRY OF AN ORDER: (I) ENFORCING THE ORDER
5	AUTHORIZING THE SALE TO STRATEGIC GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, INC.; (II) FINDING THAT THE SALE IS FREE AND CLEAR OF CONDITION MATERIALLY DIFFERENT THAN THOSE APPROVED BY THE COURT; (III) FINDING THAT THE ATTORNEY GENERAL ABUSED HIS DISCRETION IN
6 7	IMPOSING CONDITIONS ON THAT SALE; AND (IV) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF" (DOC. 3188) will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:
8 9	1. <u>TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF)</u> : Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On November 11, 2019 , I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail
10	Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:
11	 Alexandra Achamallah aachamallah@milbank.com, rliubicic@milbank.com Melinda Alonzo ml7829@att.com
12	 Robert N Amkraut ramkraut@foxrothschild.com Kyra E Andrassy kandrassy@swelawfirm.com,
13	 Igarrett@swelawfirm.com;gcruz@swelawfirm.com;jchung@swelawfirm.com Simon Aron saron@wrslawyers.com
14	 Lauren T Attard lattard@bakerlaw.com, agrosso@bakerlaw.com Allison R Axenrod allison@claimsrecoveryllc.com
15	 Keith Patrick Banner kbanner@greenbergglusker.com, sharper@greenbergglusker.com;calendar@greenbergglusker.com Cristina E Bautista cristina.bautista@kattenlaw.com, ecf.lax.docket@kattenlaw.com
16	 James Cornell Behrens jbehrens@milbank.com, gbray@milbank.com;mshinderman@milbank.com;dodonnell@milbank.com;jbrewster@milbank.
17 18	 com;JWeber@milbank.com Ron Bender rb@Inbyb.com
18	 Bruce Bennett bbennett@jonesday.com Peter J Benvenutti pbenvenutti@kellerbenvenutti.com, pjbenven74@yahoo.com
20	 Leslie A Berkoff Iberkoff@moritthock.com, hmay@moritthock.com Steven M Berman sberman@slk-law.com
21	Stephen F Biegenzahn efile@sfblaw.comScott E Blakeley seb@blakeleyllp.com, ecf@blakeleyllp.com
22	 Karl E Block kblock@loeb.com, jvazquez@loeb.com;ladocket@loeb.com;kblock@ecf.courtdrive.com
23	Dustin P Branch branchd@ballardspahr.com, carolod@ballardspahr.com;hubenb@ballardspahr.com Michael D Braelover, mbraelover@sweelow.com
24	 Michael D Breslauer mbreslauer@swsslaw.com, wyones@swsslaw.com;mbreslauer@ecf.courtdrive.com;wyones@ecf.courtdrive.com Chane Buck cbuck@jonesday.com
25	 Lori A Butler butler.lori@pbgc.gov, efile@pbgc.gov Howard Camhi hcamhi@ecjlaw.com, tcastelli@ecjlaw.com;amatsuoka@ecjlaw.com
26	 Barry A Chatz barry.chatz@saul.com, jurate.medziak@saul.com Shirley Cho scho@pszjlaw.com
27	 Shawn M Christianson cmcintire@buchalter.com, schristianson@buchalter.com Louis J. Cisz lcisz@nixonpeabody.com, jzic@nixonpeabody.com
28	 Leslie A Cohen leslie@lesliecohenlaw.com, jaime@lesliecohenlaw.com;olivia@lesliecohenlaw.com
	This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California

June 2012

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/11/19 Entered 11/11/19 10:57:50 Desc Main Document Page 17 of 20

1	 Kevin Collins kevin.collins@btlaw.com, Kathleen.lytle@btlaw.com
1	 Joseph Corrigan Bankruptcy2@ironmountain.com
2	 David N Crapo dcrapo@gibbonslaw.com, elrosen@gibbonslaw.com
2	 Mariam Danielyan md@danielyanlawoffice.com, danielyan.mar@gmail.com
3	 Brian L Davidoff bdavidoff@greenbergglusker.com,
5	calendar@greenbergglusker.com;jking@greenbergglusker.com
4	 Aaron Davis aaron.davis@bryancave.com, kat.flaherty@bryancave.com
•	• Lauren A Deeb lauren.deeb@nelsonmullins.com, maria.domingo@nelsonmullins.com
5	Daniel Denny ddenny@milbank.com
Ũ	Anthony Dutra adutra@hansonbridgett.com
6	 Kevin M Eckhardt kevin.eckhardt@gmail.com, keckhardt@hunton.com
÷	Lei Lei Wang Ekvall lekvall@swelawfirm.com,
7	lgarrett@swelawfirm.com;gcruz@swelawfirm.com;jchung@swelawfirm.com
-	 David K Eldan david.eldan@doj.ca.gov, teresa.depaz@doj.ca.gov
8	Andy J Epstein taxcpaesq@gmail.com
	 Richard W Esterkin richard.esterkin@morganlewis.com
9	 Christine R Etheridge christine.etheridge@ikonfin.com
	 M Douglas Flahaut flahaut.douglas@arentfox.com
10	 Michael G Fletcher mfletcher@frandzel.com, sking@frandzel.com
	 Joseph D Frank jfrank@fgllp.com,
11	mmatlock@fgllp.com;csmith@fgllp.com;jkleinman@fgllp.com;csucic@fgllp.com
	William B Freeman bill.freeman@kattenlaw.com,
12	nicole.jones@kattenlaw.com,ecf.lax.docket@kattenlaw.com
10	Eric J Fromme efromme@tocounsel.com,
13	Ichapman@tocounsel.com;sschuster@tocounsel.com
14	 Amir Gamliel amir-gamliel-9554@ecf.pacerpro.com, cmallahi@perkinscoie.com;DocketLA@perkinscoie.com
14	 Jeffrey K Garfinkle jgarfinkle@buchalter.com,
15	docket@buchalter.com;dcyrankowski@buchalter.com
15	 Thomas M Geher tmg@jmbm.com, bt@jmbm.com;fc3@jmbm.com;tmg@ecf.inforuptcy.com
16	Lawrence B Gill Igill@nelsonhardiman.com, rrange@nelsonhardiman.com
10	 Paul R. Glassman pglassman@sycr.com
17	Matthew A Gold courts@argopartners.net
- ,	 Eric D Goldberg eric.goldberg@dlapiper.com, eric-goldberg-1103@ecf.pacerpro.com
18	 Marshall F Goldberg mgoldberg@glassgoldberg.com, jbailey@glassgoldberg.com
	 Richard H Golubow rgolubow@wcghlaw.com,
19	pj@wcghlaw.com;jmartinez@wcghlaw.com;Meir@virtualparalegalservices.com
	 David M. Guess dmguess@gmail.com
20	 Anna Gumport agumport@sidley.com
0.1	 Melissa T Harris harris.melissa@pbgc.gov, efile@pbgc.gov
21	 James A Hayes jhayes@zinserhayes.com, jhayes@jamesahayesaplc.com
22	Michael S Held mheld@jw.com
22	Lawrence J Hilton Ihilton@onellp.com, Ithemaa@onellp.com infe@onellp.com realder@onellp.com hyska@onellp.com nichtenberger
22	lthomas@onellp.com,info@onellp.com,rgolder@onellp.com,lhyska@onellp.com,nlichtenberger @onellp.com
23	Robert M Hirsh Robert.Hirsh@arentfox.com
24	 Florice Hoffman fhoffman@socal.rr.com, floricehoffman@gmail.com
∠ - т	 Lee F Hoffman leehoffmanjd@gmail.com, lee@fademlaw.com
25	 Michael Hogue hoguem@gtlaw.com, SFOLitDock@gtlaw.com;navarrom@gtlaw.com
	Matthew B Holbrook mholbrook@sheppardmullin.com, mmanns@sheppardmullin.com
26	 David I Horowitz david.horowitz@kirkland.com,
-	keith.catuara@kirkland.com;terry.ellis@kirkland.com;elsa.banuelos@kirkland.com;ivon.granado
27	s@kirkland.com
	 Brian D Huben hubenb@ballardspahr.com, carolod@ballardspahr.com
28	 Joan Huh joan.huh@cdtfa.ca.gov

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California. June 2012 **F 9013-3.1.PROOF.SERVICE**

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/11/19 Entered 11/11/19 10:57:50 Desc Main Document Page 18 of 20

1	Benjamin Ikuta bikuta@hml.law
1	 Lawrence A Jacobson laj@cohenandjacobson.com
2	 John Mark Jennings johnmark.jennings@kutakrock.com, mary.clark@kutakrock.com
2	 Monique D Jewett-Brewster mjb@hopkinscarley.com, eamaro@hopkinscarley.com
3	Crystal Johnson M46380@ATT.COM
5	 Gregory R Jones gjones@mwe.com, rnhunter@mwe.com
4	 Jeff D Kahane jkahane@duanemorris.com, dmartinez@duanemorris.com
•	Steven J Kahn skahn@pszyjw.com
5	Cameo M Kaisler salembier.cameo@pbgc.gov, efile@pbgc.gov
•	 Ivan L Kallick ikallick@manatt.com, ihernandez@manatt.com
6	Ori Katz okatz@sheppardmullin.com,
	cshulman@sheppardmullin.com;ezisholtz@sheppardmullin.com;lsegura@sheppardmullin.com
7	 Payam Khodadadi pkhodadadi@mcguirewoods.com, dkiker@mcguirewoods.com
	 Christian T Kim ckim@dumas-law.com, ckim@ecf.inforuptcy.com
8	 Jane Kim jkim@kellerbenvenutti.com
	 Monica Y Kim myk@Inbrb.com, myk@ecf.inforuptcy.com
9	 Gary E Klausner gek@Inbyb.com
10	David A Klein david.klein@kirkland.com
10	Nicholas A Koffroth nick.koffroth@dentons.com, chris.omeara@dentons.com
11	 Joseph A Kohanski jkohanski@bushgottlieb.com, kprestegard@bushgottlieb.com
11	Darryl S Laddin bkrfilings@agg.com
10	Robert S Lampl advocate45@aol.com, rlisarobinsonr@aol.com
12	Richard A Lapping richard@lappinglegal.com
13	 Paul J Laurin plaurin@btlaw.com, slmoore@btlaw.com;jboustani@btlaw.com
13	Nathaniel M Leeds nathaniel@mitchelllawsf.com, sam@mitchelllawsf.com
14	David E Lemke david.lemke@wallerlaw.com, Apris are the the max @wallerlaw com.
14	chris.cronk@wallerlaw.com;Melissa.jones@wallerlaw.com;cathy.thomas@wallerlaw.comLisa Lenherr llenherr@wendel.com, bankruptcy@wendel.com
15	 Lisa Lenherr llenherr@wendel.com, bankruptcy@wendel.com Elan S Levey elan.levey@usdoj.gov, louisa.lin@usdoj.gov
10	 Tracy L Mainguy bankruptcycourtnotices@unioncounsel.net, tmainguy@unioncounsel.net
16	 Samuel R Maizel samuel.maizel@dentons.com,
10	alicia.aguilar@dentons.com;docket.general.lit.LOS@dentons.com;tania.moyron@dentons.com;k
17	athryn.howard@dentons.com;joan.mack@dentons.com;derry.kalve@dentons.com
	 Alvin Mar alvin.mar@usdoj.gov, dare.law@usdoj.gov
18	Craig G Margulies Craig@MarguliesFaithlaw.com,
	Victoria@MarguliesFaithlaw.com;Helen@MarguliesFaithlaw.com;Dana@marguliesfaithlaw.com
19	 Hutchison B Meltzer hutchison.meltzer@doj.ca.gov, Alicia.Berry@doj.ca.gov
	 Christopher Minier becky@ringstadlaw.com, arlene@ringstadlaw.com
20	 John A Moe john.moe@dentons.com, derry.kalve@dentons.com
0.1	 Susan I Montgomery susan@simontgomerylaw.com,
21	assistant@simontgomerylaw.com;simontgomerylawecf.com@gmail.com;montgomerysr71631@
22	notify.bestcase.com
22	Monserrat Morales Monsi@MarguliesFaithLaw.com,
22	Victoria@MarguliesFaithLaw.com;Helen@marguliesfaithlaw.com;Dana@marguliesfaithlaw.com
23	Kevin H Morse kmorse@clarkhill.com, blambert@clarkhill.com
24	Marianne S Mortimer mmartin@jmbm.com Tania M Mayrantania mayran@dantana aam
24	 Tania M Moyron tania.moyron@dentons.com, chris.omeara@dentons.com;nick.koffroth@dentons.com
25	 Alan I Nahmias anahmias@mbnlawyers.com, jdale@mbnlawyers.com
20	 Akop J Nalbandyan jnalbandyan@LNtriallawyers.com, cbautista@LNtriallawyers.com
26	Jennifer L Nassiri jennifernassiri@quinnemanuel.com
_0	 Charles E Nelson nelsonc@ballardspahr.com, wassweilerw@ballardspahr.com
27	Sheila Gropper Nelson shedoesbklaw@aol.com
-	
28	

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/11/19 Entered 11/11/19 10:57:50 Desc Main Document Page 19 of 20 I

Ì

	Main Document Page 19 01 20
1	 Mark A Neubauer mneubauer@carltonfields.com,
1	mlrodriguez@carltonfields.com;smcloughlin@carltonfields.com;schau@carltonfields.com;NDunn
2	@carltonfields.com;ecfla@carltonfields.com
2	 Fred Neufeld fneufeld@sycr.com, tingman@sycr.com Nancy Newman nnewman@hansonbridgett.com,
3	 Nancy Newman nnewman@hansonbridgett.com, ajackson@hansonbridgett.com;calendarclerk@hansonbridgett.com
4	 Bryan L Ngo bngo@fortislaw.com,
	BNgo@bluecapitallaw.com;SPicariello@fortislaw.com;JNguyen@fortislaw.com;JNguyen@bluec
5	apitallaw.com
(Abigail V O'Brient avobrient@mintz.com, docketing@mintz.com;DEHashimoto@mintz.com;nleali@mintz.com;ABLevin@mintz.com;GJLeo
6	n@mintz.com
7	 John R OKeefe jokeefe@metzlewis.com, slohr@metzlewis.com
'	Scott H Olson solson@vedderprice.com,
8	jcano@vedderprice.com,jparker@vedderprice.com;scott-olson- 2161@ecf.pacerpro.com,ecfsfdocket@vedderprice.com
0	 Giovanni Orantes go@gobklaw.com, gorantes@orantes-
9	law.com,cmh@gobklaw.com,gobklaw@gmail.com,go@ecf.inforuptcy.com;orantesgr89122@noti
10	fy.bestcase.com
10	Keith C Owens kowens@venable.com, khoang@venable.com
11	 R Gibson Pagter gibson@ppilawyers.com, ecf@ppilawyers.com;pagterrr51779@notify.bestcase.com
10	 Paul J Pascuzzi ppascuzzi@ffwplaw.com
12	Lisa M Peters lisa.peters@kutakrock.com, marybeth.brukner@kutakrock.com
13	 Christopher J Petersen cjpetersen@blankrome.com, gsolis@blankrome.com
15	Mark D Plevin mplevin@crowell.com, cromo@crowell.com
14	 Steven G. Polard spolard@ch-law.com, calendar- lao@rmkb.com;melissa.tamura@rmkb.com;anthony.arriola@rmkb.com
1.5	 David M Powlen david.powlen@btlaw.com, pgroff@btlaw.com
15	Christopher E Prince cprince@lesnickprince.com,
16	jmack@lesnickprince.com;cprince@ecf.courtdrive.com
10	Lori L Purkey bareham@purkeyandassociates.com
17	 William M Rathbone wrathbone@grsm.com, jmydlandevans@grsm.com;sdurazo@grsm.com Jason M Reed Jason.Reed@Maslon.com
10	 Michael B Reynolds mreynolds@swlaw.com, kcollins@swlaw.com
18	J. Alexandra Rhim arhim@hrhlaw.com
19	 Emily P Rich erich@unioncounsel.net, bankruptcycourtnotices@unioncounsel.net
	Robert A Rich , candonian@huntonak.com
20	 Lesley A Riis Iriis@dpmclaw.com Debra Riley driley@allenmatkins.com
21	 Jason E Rios jrios@ffwplaw.com, scisneros@ffwplaw.com
21	Julie H Rome-Banks julie@bindermalter.com
22	Mary H Rose mrose@buchalter.com
	Megan A Rowe mrowe@dsrhealthlaw.com, lwestoby@dsrhealthlaw.com
23	 Nathan A Schultz nschultz@goodwinlaw.com William Schumacher wschumacher@jonesday.com
24	Mark A Serlin ms@swllplaw.com, mor@swllplaw.com
2 7	 Seth B Shapiro seth.shapiro@usdoj.gov
25	David B Shemano dshemano@shemanolaw.com
	Joseph Shickich jshickich@riddellwilliams.com Mark Shindarman mahindarman@milbank.com
26	 Mark Shinderman mshinderman@milbank.com, dmuhrez@milbank.com;dlbatie@milbank.com
27	 Rosa A Shirley rshirley@nelsonhardiman.com,
<i>∠</i> /	ksherry@nelsonhardiman.com;lgill@nelsonhardiman.com;rrange@nelsonhardiman.com
28	Kyrsten Skogstad kskogstad@calnurses.org, rcraven@calnurses.org
	Michael St James ecf@stjames-law.com
	This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California. F 9013-3.1.PROOF.SERVICE

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 3582 Filed 11/11/19 Entered 11/11/19 10:57:50 Desc Main Document Page 20 of 20

	Main Document Page 20 of 20
1	
1	 Andrew Still astill@swlaw.com, kcollins@swlaw.com Jason D Strabo jstrabo@mwe.com, cfuraha@mwe.com
2	Sabrina L Streusand Streusand@slollp.com
2	 Ralph J Swanson ralph.swanson@berliner.com, sabina.hall@berliner.com Michael A Sweet msweet@foxrothschild.com,
3	swillis@foxrothschild.com;pbasa@foxrothschild.com
4	 James Toma james.toma@doj.ca.gov, teresa.depaz@doj.ca.gov
5	 Gary F Torrell gtorrell@health-law.com United States Trustee (LA) ustpregion16.la.ecf@usdoj.gov
5	Cecelia Valentine cecelia.valentine@nlrb.gov
6	 Jason Wallach jwallach@ghplaw.com, g33404@notify.cincompass.com
7	 Kenneth K Wang kenneth.wang@doj.ca.gov, Jennifer.Kim@doj.ca.gov;Stacy.McKellar@doj.ca.gov;yesenia.caro@doj.ca.gov
	 Phillip K Wang phillip.wang@rimonlaw.com, david.kline@rimonlaw.com
8	 Sharon Z. Weiss sharon.weiss@bclplaw.com, raul.morales@bclplaw.com Adam G Wentland awentland@tocounsel.com, lkwon@tocounsel.com
9	 Latonia Williams Iwilliams@goodwin.com, bankruptcy@goodwin.com
10	Michael S Winsten mike@winsten.com
10	 Jeffrey C Wisler jwisler@connollygallagher.com, dperkins@connollygallagher.com Neal L Wolf nwolf@hansonbridgett.com,
11	calendarclerk@hansonbridgett.com,lchappell@hansonbridgett.com
12	Hatty K Yip hatty.yip@usdoj.govAndrew J Ziaja aziaja@leonardcarder.com,
12	 Andrew J Ziaja aziaja@leonardcarder.com, sgroff@leonardcarder.com;msimons@leonardcarder.com;lbadar@leonardcarder.com
13	Rose Zimmerman rzimmerman@dalycity.org
14	2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL: On November 11, 2019, I served the following persons and/or
	entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true
15	and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge <u>will be</u>
16	completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.
17	Service information continued on attached page
18	3. <u>SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR</u> EMAIL (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR,
19	on November 11, 2019 , I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight
17	mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or
20	overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.
21	
	<u>Served via Attorney Service</u> The Honorable Ernest M. Robles
22	United States Bankruptcy Court
23	Edward R. Roybal Federal Building 255 E. Temple Street, Suite 1560
	Los Angeles, CA 90012
24	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is
25	true and correct.
26	November 11, 2019 Stephanie Reichert /s/ Stephanie Reichert
27	Date Type Name Signature
28	
	This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.
	June 2012 F 9013-3.1.PROOF.SERVICE