Case	2:20-cv-00613-DSF Document 68 Filed 09/21	/20 Page 1 of 4 Page ID #:5285 Docket #0068 Date Filed: 9/21/2020
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	SAMUEL R. MAIZEL (Bar No. 189301) samuel.maizel@dentons.com SONIA MARTIN (State Bar No. 191148) sonia.martin@dentons.com TANIA M. MOYRON (Bar No. 235736) tania.moyron@dentons.com NICHOLAS A. KOFFROTH (Bar No. 28785) nick.koffroth@dentons.com DENTONS US LLP 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500 Los Angeles, California 90017-5704 Tel: (213) 623-9300 / Fax: (213) 623-9924 Counsel to Plaintiffs and Chapter 11 Debtors and Debtors In Possession UNITED STATES DIS FOR THE CENTRAL DISTR	STRICT COURT
10 11	WESTERN DIVISION	
11	In re	Case No. 2:20-cv-00613-DSF
13	VERITY HEALTH SYSTEM OF CALIFORNIA, INC., <i>et al.</i>	Hon. Dale S. Fischer
14	VERITY HEALTH SYSTEM OF	RESPONSE TO STRATEGIC GLOBAL MANAGEMENT'S
 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	CALIFORNIA, INC., a California nonprofit public benefit corporation, ST. VINCENT MEDICAL CENTER, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation, ST. VINCENT DIALYSIS CENTER, INC., a California nonprofit public benefit corporation, and ST. FRANCIS MEDICAL CENTER, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation, SETON MEDICAL CENTER, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation, and VERITY HOLDINGS, LLC, a California limited liability company, Plaintiffs, V. KALI P. CHAUDHURI, M.D., an individual, STRATEGIC GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, INC., a California corporation, KPC HEALTHCARE HOLDINGS, INC. a California Corporation KPC HEALTH PLAN HOLDINGS, INC. a California Corporation, KPC HEALTHCARE, INC. a Nevada Corporation, KPC GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC, a California	OPPOSITION TO DEBTORS' CORRECTED REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS STRATEGIC GLOBAL MANAGEMENT'S AMENDED COUNTERCLAIMS Date: October 5, 2020 Time: 1:30 p.m. Place: Courtroom 7D 350 West 1st Street Los Angeles, CA 90012
		1820151200922000000000000000

DENTONS US LLP 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500 Los Angeles, California 90017-5704 (213) 623-9300 II

1	Limited Liability Company, and DOES 1	
2	through 500, Defendants.	
3	STRATEGIC GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, INC., a California corporation,	
4	Counter-Plaintiff,	
5	V.	
6	VERITY HEALTH SYSTEM OF CALIFORNIA, INC., a California nonprofit	
7	public benefit corporation, ST. VINCENT MEDICAL CENTER, a California	
8	nonprofit public benefit corporation, ST. VINCENT DIALYSIS CENTER, INC., a	
9	California nonprofit public benefit corporation, and ST. FRANCIS MEDICAL	
10	CENTER, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation. SETON MEDICAL	
11	CENTER, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation, and VERITY	
12	HOLDINGS, LLC, a California limited liability company,	
13	Counter-Defendants.	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

Strategic Global Management, Inc. ("SGM") generally objects to Plaintiffs'
 Request for Judicial Notice, asserting that the Court may not judicially notice
 unspecified "facts or findings contained" in the Bankruptcy Court's orders or
 proceedings. *See* ECF 66. But Plaintiffs have not requested the Court to judicially
 notice any "disputed facts."

Instead, Plaintiffs' Motion properly relied on the APA and the parties' correspondence referenced in the Counterclaim. It is well-established that the Court may consider "documents whose contents are alleged in [counterclaim] and whose authenticity no party questions, but which are not physically attached to the pleading." *Lapidus v. Hecht*, 232 F.3d 679, 682 (9th Cir. 2000) (internal quotation omitted). The Court may also consider SGM admissions contained in its Answer, which are contained in the same document as its Counterclaim. *See* ECF 41; *Am. Title Ins. Co. v. Lacelaw Corp.*, 861 F.2d 224, 226 (9th Cir. 1988) ("A statement in a complaint, answer or pretrial order is a judicial admission, as is a failure in an answer to deny an allegation.").

16 Nor can there be any dispute that the Court may judicially notice the pleadings and Court orders filed in the bankruptcy proceeding. See Skilstaf, Inc. v. CVS 17 18 Caremark Corp., 669 F.3d 1005, 1016 n. 9 (9th Cir. 2012) (judicial notice proper 19 where "[t]here was no dispute about the contents or about the statements from those filings that the district court considered through judicial notice."). Indeed, SGM 20 21 previously filed its own request for judicial notice, attaching twenty exhibits from the 22 bankruptcy proceeding, including the Sale Order (compare SGM's Exhibit I in ECF 23 40 with Plaintiffs' Exhibit B) and many of the other exhibits at issue in Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice (compare SGM's Exhibit R in ECF 40 with Plaintiffs' 24 Exhibit I, and SGM's Exhibit P in ECF 40 with Plaintiffs' Exhibit L). 25

Accordingly, the Court should grant Plaintiffs' Request for Judicial Notice.
See Steinle v. City & Cty. of San Francisco, 919 F.3d 1154, 1167 n.17 (9th Cir. 2019)
("the policy underlying the incorporation by reference doctrine is to prevent plaintiffs")

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 1 -

Case 2:20-cv-00613-DSF Document 68 Filed 09/21/20 Page 4 of 4 Page ID #:5288

from surviving a Rule 12(b)(6) motion by deliberately omitting references to
 documents upon which their claims are based" (internal quotation and citation
 omitted)).

Dated: September 21, 2020

DENTONS US LLP

SAMUEL R. MAIZEL SONIA R. MARTIN TANIA M. MOYRON

> By: <u>/s/ Sonia Martin</u> Sonia Martin

Attorneys for Verity Health Systems of California, Inc., *et al.*