Matt A. Kornhauser State Bar No. 11684500 Dylan B. Russell State Bar No. 24041839 Christopher J. Kronzer State Bar No. 24060120

HOOVER SLOVACEK LLP

5051 Westheimer, Suite 1200 Houston, Texas 77056 Telephone: (713) 977-8686 Facsimile: (713) 977-5395 kornhauser@hooverslovacek.com russell@hooverslovacek.com kronzer@hooverslovacek.com Jeff P. Prostok State Bar No. 16352500 J. Robert Forshey State Bar No. 07264200 Suzanne K. Rosen State Bar No. 00798518 **FORSHEY & PROSTOK LLP** 777 Main St., Suite 1550 Ft. Worth, TX 76102 (817) 877-8855 Telephone (817) 877-4151 Facsimile jprostok@forsheyprostok.com bforshey@forsheyprostok.com

Local Counsel for Sequitur Permian, LLC

Attorneys for Sequitur Permian, LLC

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS FORT WORTH DIVISION

In re:	§ Chapter 11
VISTA PROPPANTS AND LOGISTICS, LLC, ET AL., ¹ Debtors.	<pre>§ Case No. 20-42002-ELM-11 § (Jointly Administered) § §</pre>
MAALT, LP, <i>Plaintiff</i> , v.	\$ \$ \$ \$ ADV. PROC. NO. 20-04064-ELM \$
SEQUITUR PERMIAN, LLC,	§ § 8
Defendant.	\$ \$ _ \$

¹ The Debtors in these Chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: Vista Proppants and Logistics, LLC (7817) ("Vista HoldCo"); VPROP Operating, LLC (0269) ("VPROP"); Lonestar Prospects Management, L.L.C. (8451) ("Lonestar Management"); MAALT Specialized Bulk, LLC (2001) ("Bulk"); Denetz Logistics, LLC (8177) ("Denetz"); Lonestar Prospects, Ltd. (4483) ("Lonestar Ltd."); and MAALT, LP (5198) ("MAALT"). The location of the Debtors' service address is 4413 Carey Street, Fort Worth, TX 76119-4219.



SEQUITUR PERMIAN, LLC'S OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF, MAALT, LP'S TRIAL EXHIBIT LIST

Pursuant to the Court's Scheduling Order, SEQUITUR PERMIAN, LLC ("Sequitur" or "Defendant") respectfully submits the following objections to Plaintiff, Maalt, LP's ("Maalt") or "Plaintiff") Trial Exhibit List:

DEFENDANTS' OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFFS' TRIAL EXHIBIT LIST

1. Objections are identified as follows per Exhibit number and rule of evidence. For

exhibits that contain deposition excerpts those objections are identified in the following section:

Exhibit No.	Objectionable Part of Exhibit	Objection(s)	Ruling
22	Email dated November 19, 2018 from Jonas Strothers	FRE 805	
63	Email from Rob Wright	FRE 805	
67	Email from Rob Wright	FRE 805	
85	Email from Dion Nicely	FRE 805	
100	Email from Dion Nicely	FRE 805	
110	Email from Jonas Strothers	FRE 805	
159	Email from Dion Nicely	FRE 805	
161	Email from Dion Nicely	FRE 805	
162	Email from Dion Nicely	FRE 805	
168	Email from Dion Nicely	FRE 805	
233	Excerpts from the Deposition of Roger Nelson	See below	
234	Excerpts from the Deposition of Graham Brisben	See below	
236	Excerpts from the Deposition of Braden Merrill	See below	
237	Excerpts from the Deposition of Jon Ince	See below	
238	Excerpts from the Deposition of Robert Wright	See below	
239	Excerpts from the Deposition of Stan Meador	See below	
240	Excerpts from the Deposition of Josh Monroe	See below	

241	Excerpts from the Deposition of Brian Seward	See below
242	Excerpts from the Deposition of Travis Morris	See below
246	Email chain dated October 18, 2018	FRE 1002 (to the extent the writing refers to another document and Plaintiff seeks to prove the other writings contents)
248	Email chain dated August 15, 2018	FRE 805, 1002 (to the extent the writing refers to another document and Plaintiff seeks to prove the other writings contents)
249	Switching & Storage Agreement	FRE 401, 801, 802, 803*
250	Claim of Permit by Rule	FRE 801, 802, 803*, 901
251	Hazardous Materials Certificate of Registration	FRE 801, 802, 803*, 901
252	Spill Prevention Control & Countermeasure Plan	FRE 801, 802, 803*, 901
253	Email of William Hess	FRE 801, 802, 803*, 805, 901
254	Email of William Hess	FRE 801, 802, 803*, 805, 901
255	Claim of Permit by Rule with Certification	FRE 801, 802, 803*, 901
256	Site Security Plan	FRE 801, 802, 803*, 901
257	Email chain dated December 14, 2018	FRE 801, 802, 803*, 805, 901
264	Email from William Hess	FRE 801, 802, 803*,805, 1002 (to the extent the writing refers to another document and

		Plaintiff seeks to prove the other writings contents)
269	Excerpts from the Deposition of Mike Van Den Bold	See below

When FRE 801, 802 is followed by an * (asterisk), this signifies that the foregoing FRE 801, 802 803 objections may be resolved by a showing that the requirements of 803(6)(D) have been satisfied.

DEFENDANT'S OBJECTIONS TO PLAINTIFF'S DEPOSITION EXCERPTS

2. Defendant files these additional objections to the deposition testimony identified

by Plaintiff in its Trial Exhibit List:

A. <u>Exhibit 233 – Deposition Excerpts of Roger Nelson.</u>

Pg:Ln	Objection(s)	Ruling
45:9-13	Testimony by counsel, asked and answered	
46:5-12	Mischaracterization or misleading question	
51:12 - 52:8	Lack of foundation, assumes facts not in evidence,	
	mischaracterization of the facts, testimony by counsel	
55:15-19	Relevance	
57:24 - 58:5	Speculative	
62:7-10	Speculative, misleading	
64:15-18	Vague	
64:22 - 24	Vague	
65:17-19	Argumentative, outside scope	

B. <u>Exhibit 234 – Deposition Excerpts of Graham Brisben.</u>

Pg:Ln	Objection(s)	Ruling
35:8-10	Calls for speculation	
72:19-21	Mischaracterization of testimony, vague	
72:25-73:2	Mischaracterization of testimony, vague	
73:6-9	Compound question	
73:13-17	Asked and answers; incorporate testimony of 74:2-12 for	
	completeness	
75:2-4	Vague	
75:6-7	Argumentative, Calls for Speculation	
78:11-15,	Argumentative, Calls for Speculation	
17-19		
92:1-93:4	Argumentative; speculative	

Pg:Ln	Objection(s)	Ruling
24:16-21	Vague, Best Evidence Rule, calls for contractual interpretation	
45:22-25	Vague, Misleading	
51:25-52:1	Vague	
78:3-5	Best Evidence Rule, calls for contractual interpretation	
78:8-10	Best Evidence Rule, calls for contractual interpretation	
78:13-16	Best Evidence Rule, calls for contractual interpretation	
83:14-15	Vague	
179:5-12	Answer calls for reliance on hearsay; calls for speculation	
196:8-10	Answer calls for reliance on hearsay; calls for speculation	
224:11-14	Best Evidence Rule, calls for contractual interpretation	
224:19-23	Best Evidence Rule, calls for contractual interpretation	
225:4-7	Best Evidence Rule, calls for contractual interpretation,	
	argumentative	
249:11-13	Argumentative, compound question	

C. <u>Exhibit 236 – Deposition Excerpts of Braden Merril</u>.

D. <u>Exhibit 237 – Deposition Excerpts of Jon Ince</u>

Pg:Ln	Objection(s)	Ruling
54:18-19	Relevance	
54:24-55:6	Speculative	
55:12	Lack of foundation	
56:3-6	Vague, lack of foundation, speculative	
70:13-25	Speculative, relevance	
71:24	Lack of foundation, speculative	
91:9	Speculative, lack of foundation	
91:11-15	Answer based on hearsay, lack of foundation	
92:2	Speculative	
107:23 -	Lack of foundation, speculative, unqualified opinion, assumes	
108:8	facts not in evidence	
108:12	Lack of foundation, speculative, unqualified opinion	
108:14	Speculative	
108:16-17	Speculative	
109:17	Lack of foundation, speculative, unqualified opinion	
109:20-24	Lack of foundation, speculative, unqualified opinion	
110:1	Lack of foundation, speculative, unqualified opinion	
111:15-16	Lack of foundation, speculative, unqualified opinion	
111:21-23	Lack of foundation, speculative, unqualified opinion,	
130:16-	Unqualified opinion, lack of foundation	
131:6		
137:22-	Relevance	
138:1		

Pg:Ln	Objection(s)	Ruling
43:5-6	Speculative	
45:15-25	Speculative	
50:13-23	Hearsay; speculative	
62:1-8	Speculative, lack of foundation	
62:14-16	Speculative; lack of foundation	
63:18-64:2	Speculative; lack of foundation	
64:5-17	Hearsay, speculative, lack of foundation	
65:24 - 66:3	Hearsay; lack of foundation	
78:19 - 79:1	Speculative; lack of foundation	
70:17-20	Speculative; lack of foundation	
82:3-21	Nonresponsive; speculative; lack of foundation	
83:4-6	Nonresponsive; speculative	
94:12-15	Speculative	
94:22-95:2	Speculative	
116:19-23	Lack of foundation; calls for speculation	
118:1-5	Calls for speculation	
119:2-4	Speculative; lack of foundation	
119:8	Speculative; lack of foundation	
120:10-21	Hearsay; testimony by counsel	
121:21-25	Calls for speculation	
122:3-6	Hearsay; testimony by counsel	
124:5-7	Calls for speculation	
124:15-17	Calls for speculation, vague	
125:17-18	Speculative; lack of foundation;	
125:19-20	Vague	
126:17-19	Calls for speculation	
127:5-8	Calls for speculation	
127:11-13	Calls for speculation	
127:19-20	Calls for speculation, lack of foundation	
128:2-3	Calls for speculation	
129:24-	Hearsay; testimony by counsel	
130:4		
130:5	Answer relies on hearsay	
131:6-8	Calls for speculation	
132:4-8	Calls for speculation, lack of foundation	
132:10-17	Speculative	

E. <u>Exhibit 238 – Deposition Excerpts of Robert Wright</u>

F. <u>Exhibit 239 – Deposition Excerpts of Stan Meador.</u>

Pg:Ln	Objection(s)	Ruling
30:23-24	No question designated, relevance	
31:1, 3-5	Incomplete answer, no question designated, relevance	

31:7-8	Incomplete answer, no question designated, relevance	
31:13-14	Relevance	
31:17-18	Relevance	
33:7-8	Speculative; lack of foundation, relevance	
34:8-9	Incomplete answer	
34:12-13	Incomplete answer, speculative, lack of foundation	
35:1-5	Incomplete answer, speculative, lack of foundation	
35:16-17	Speculative, lack of foundation	
39:16	Relevance	
39:24	Relevance	
41:22-25	Relevance	
42:23-24	Incomplete answer, no question designated, lack of	
	foundation, hearsay, no context	
44:6-9	Incomplete answer, no questions designated	
45:5-7	Incomplete answer, no question designated, speculative	
76:21-22	Incomplete answer, no question designated, lack of	
	foundation, no context	
82:4-7	Incomplete answer, no question designated, lack of	
	foundation, no context	
82:11-19	Incomplete answer, no question designated, lack of	
	foundation, no context	
103:14-18	Incomplete answer, no question designated	
104:13-14	Incomplete answer, no question designated, lack of	
	foundation	
105:2-4	Speculative, lack of foundation	
191:24	Incomplete answer; answer should include 191:25-192:2 for	
100.4.5	completeness	
198:4-7	Calls for speculation, lack of foundation	
198:9-18	Speculative, lack of foundation	
200:14	Incomplete answer, no question designated	
200:24	Relevance	
201:17-19	Vague	
203:3-13	Speculative	
204:18-21	Relevance, calls for hearsay, vague	
204:24-25	Relevance,	
209:5-6	Relevance	
209:7-10	Calls for hearsay, calls for speculation	
209:13-14	Calls for speculation, calls for hearsay, lack of foundation	
213:17-22	Hearsay	
213:24-24	Answer based on hearsay, speculative, lack of foundation	
214:25-	Answer based on hearsay, speculative, lack of foundation,	
215:5	relevance	
215:8	Answer based on hearsay, speculative, lack of foundation,	
215 17 25	relevance	
215:17-25	Answer based on hearsay, speculative, lack of foundation	

217:12	Answer based on hearsay, counsel testifying	
217:13-15	Leading, answer calls for hearsay	
218:3-7	Calls for speculation, lack of foundation, leading	
221:8-9	Leading	
222:9-10	Relevance	
231:21-24	Answer based on hearsay	
237:20-24	Answer based on hearsay	
238:15-22	Answer based on hearsay	

G. <u>Exhibit 240 – Deposition Excerpts of Josh Monroe</u>

Pg:Ln	Objection(s)	Ruling
30:21-22	Relevance	
30:24	Relevance	
31:4-5	Relevance	
31:10	Relevance	
33:4-7	Relevance	
33:9-12	Relevance, speculative	
57:3-6	Answer relies on hearsay	
59:13-14	Answer relies on hearsay	
72:8-11	Speculative	
72:17	Speculative	
91:6-9	Calls for speculation, assumes facts not in evidence, leading	
91:19-22	Vague, leading	
93:25-94:4	Vague, leading	
99:20-25	Calls for hearsay, vague	
101:11-14	Calls for speculation	
103:10-21	Answer based on hearsay	
103:22-24	Leading, calls for speculation, assumes facts not in evidence	
104:18-21,	Hearsay within hearsay	
23		
106:10-13	Hearsay within hearsay	
108:18-21	Leading, vague	
108:24-	Leading, vague	
109:2		
109:20-22	Calls for hearsay	

H. <u>Exhibit 241 – Deposition Excerpts of Brian Seward.</u>

Pg:Ln	Objection(s)	Ruling
35:19-21	Nonresponsive	
59:7-10	Vague answer, lack of foundation	
73:6-9	Answer based on hearsay	
73:13-16	Calls for speculation, assumes facts not in evidence	
73:21-23	Assumes facts no in evidence, hearsay	

73:25	Hearsay, assumes facts not in evidence
74:12-15	Calls for speculation
74:20-22	Testimony by counsel, argumentative
76:21-23	Calls for speculation, vague
77:9-12	Calls for speculation, calls for unqualified opinion
78:1-3	Calls for speculation, assumes facts not in evidence
79:18-21	Calls for speculation, calls for unqualified opinion

I. <u>Exhibit 242 – Deposition Excerpts of Travis Morris.</u>

Pg:Ln	Objection(s)	Ruling
204:3-6	Calls for speculation	
206:10-14	Asked and answered	
206:22-	Asked and answered	
207:1		
208:2-5	Asked and answered	
317:16-17	Calls for speculation	
355:6-8	Vague	
372:14-16	Relevance	
379:25-	Leading, calls for hearsay	
380:3		
380:21-23	Leading, calls for hearsay	
381:9-11	Leading, calls for hearsay	
392:12-16	Calls for speculation, lack of foundation	

J. <u>Exhibit 269 – Deposition Excerpts of Mike Van Den Bold</u>.

Pg:Ln	Objection(s)	Ruling
59:8-16	Testimony by counsel, sidebar	

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Matthew A. Kornhauser

Matthew A. Kornhauser State Bar No. 11684500 Dylan B. Russell State Bar No. 24041839 Christopher J. Kronzer State Bar No. 24060120 **HOOVERSLOVACEK LLP** 5051 Westheimer, Suite 1200 Houston, Texas 77056 Telephone: (713) 977-8686 Facsimile: (713) 977-8686 Facsimile: (713) 977-5395 kornhauser@hooverslovack.com russell@hooverslovacek.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT, SEQUITUR PERMIAN, LLC

-AND-

Jeff P. Prostok State Bar No. 16352500 J. Robert Forshey State Bar No. 07264200 Suzanne K. Rosen State Bar No. 00798518 **FORSHEY & PROSTOK LLP** 777 Main St., Suite 1550 Ft. Worth, TX 76102 (817) 877-8855 Telephone (817) 877-4151 Facsimile jprostok@forsheyprostok.com bforshey@forsheyprostok.com

LOCAL COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT, SEQUITUR PERMIAN, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on June 7, 2021 a copy of the foregoing Brief was served through the Court's ECF system on those parties receiving ECF notice, and as indicated below on the parties reflected below.

Via Email: Stephen M. Pezanosky (stephen.pezanosky@haynesboone.com) Matthew T. Ferris (matt.ferris@haynesboone.com) David L. Staab (david.staab@haynesboone.com) Alexandra Kirincic (alex.kirincic@haynesboone.com)

Via Email: Jim Lanter (jim.lanter@lanter-law.com)

Via Email: Paul O. Wickes (<u>pwickes@wickeslaw.com</u>)

> <u>/s/ Matthew A. Kornhauser</u> Matthew A. Kornhauser