

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:

Welded Construction, L.P., *et al.*,

Debtors.¹

Chapter 11

Case No. 18-12378 (CSS)

(Jointly Administered)

Welded Construction, L.P.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

Blasting Services, Inc.,

Defendant.

Adv. No. **Refer to Summons**

**COMPLAINT TO AVOID AND RECOVER TRANSFERS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§
547, 548, AND 550 AND TO DISALLOW CLAIMS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 502**

Welded Construction, L.P. (the “Plaintiff”), by and through its undersigned counsel, files this complaint (the “Complaint”) to avoid and recover transfers against Blasting Services Inc. (the “Defendant”) and to disallow any claims held by Defendant. In support of this Complaint, Plaintiff alleges upon information and belief that:

NATURE OF THE CASE

1. Plaintiff seeks to avoid and recover from Defendant, or from any other person or entity for whose benefit the transfers were made, all preferential transfers of property that occurred during the ninety (90) day period prior to the commencement of the bankruptcy proceedings of Welded Construction, L.P. and its affiliated debtor and debtor in possession (together, the “Debtors”)² pursuant to sections 547 and 550 of chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are: Welded Construction, L.P (5008) and Welded Construction Michigan, LLC (9830).

² The “Debtors” are all entities listed in footnote 1.



1812378201106000000000046

(the “Bankruptcy Code”). Subject to proof, Plaintiff also seeks to avoid and recover from Defendant or any other person or entity for whose benefit transfers were made pursuant to sections 548 and 550 of the Bankruptcy Code any transfers that may have been fraudulent conveyances.

2. In addition, Plaintiff seeks to disallow, pursuant to sections 502(d) and (j) of the Bankruptcy Code, any claim that Defendant has filed or asserted against the Debtors or that has been scheduled for Defendant. Plaintiff does not waive but hereby reserves all of its rights to object to any such claim for any reason, including, but not limited to, any reason set forth in sections 502(a) through (j) of the Bankruptcy Code.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

3. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding, which arises under the Bankruptcy Code and arises in and relates to cases under the Bankruptcy Code pending in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the “Court”), captioned *In re Welded Construction, L.P., et al.*, Case No. 18-12378 (CSS), pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334(b).

4. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief sought herein are sections 502, 547, 548, and 550 of the Bankruptcy Code and Rules 3007 and 7001 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”).

5. This adversary proceeding is a “core” proceeding to be heard and determined by the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2) and the Court may enter final orders for matters contained herein.

6. Venue is proper in the District of Delaware pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409.

7. Pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 7008-1, Plaintiff states that it does consent to the entry of final orders or judgments by the Court if it is determined that the Court, absent consent

of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

8. On October 22, 2018 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtors each commenced a case by filing a voluntary petition for relief in this Court under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

9. On October 23, 2018, this Court entered an order authorizing the joint administration of the chapter 11 cases for procedural purposes pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b). [D.I. 33].³

10. On June 25, 2020, this Court entered the *Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order Confirming the Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Welded Construction, L.P. and Welded Construction Michigan, LLC* (the “Confirmation Order” and “Plan,” respectively). [D.I. 1505].

11. The effective date of the Plan (the “Effective Date”) occurred on July 31, 2020. [D.I. 1555].

12. On the Effective Date, pursuant to Article V, Section 5.1 of the Plan, the Retained Causes of Action,⁴ including actions arising under chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code, were retained by or vested in the Plaintiff. [D.I. 1505-1].

13. Pursuant to Article II, Section 2.3 of the Plan, General Unsecured Claims comprise an impaired class of claims and are not expected to be paid in full.

³ All docket items referenced are from Case No. 18-12378, under which the Chapter 11 Cases are jointly administered.

⁴ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Plan and Confirmation Order.

THE PARTIES

14. Pursuant to the Plan and the Confirmation Order, Plaintiff is authorized and has standing to, among other things, pursue, prosecute, compromise, release, settle, or otherwise dispose of this avoidance action.

15. Upon information and belief, Defendant was, at all relevant times, a vendor or creditor that provided drilling and blasting services to or for the Debtors. Upon further information and belief, at all relevant times, Defendant's principal place of business is located at 2379 Lanier Road, Rockville, Virginia 23146. Plaintiff is informed and believes and on that basis alleges that Defendant is a corporation residing in and subject to the laws of the State of Virginia.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

16. As more fully discussed in the *Declaration of Frank Pometti in Support of Debtors' Chapter 11 Petitions and First-Day Motions*⁵ and the Disclosure Statement,⁶ as of the Petition Date, the Debtors were a mainline pipeline construction contractor headquartered in Perrysburg, Ohio.

17. Prior to the Petition Date, the Debtors, as a mainline pipeline construction contractor, maintained business relationships with various business entities, through which the Debtors regularly purchased, sold, received, and/or delivered goods and services.

18. As a mainline pipeline construction contractor, the Debtors regularly purchased goods from various entities including vendors, creditors, suppliers and distributors. The Debtors also regularly paid for services used to facilitate their business.

⁵ D.I. 4.

⁶ See Amended Disclosure Statement for the Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Welded Construction, L.P. and Welded Construction Michigan, LLC [D.I. 1344].

19. As of the Petition Date, the Debtors issued disbursements to vendors from the Debtors' account ending 0247 at Huntington Bank (the "Disbursement Account").

20. The Debtors drew upon the Disbursement Accounts to pay for their operational costs, including payment to their vendors, suppliers, distributors, and other creditors, including Defendant.

21. During the ninety (90) days before the Petition Date, that is between July 24, 2018 and October 22, 2018 (the "Preference Period"), the Debtors continued to operate their business affairs, including the transfer of property, either by checks, cashier checks, wire transfers, ACH transfers, direct deposits or otherwise to various entities.

22. Upon information and belief, during the course of their relationship, the Defendant and one or more of the Debtors entered into agreements, which are evidenced by invoices, communications and other documents (collectively, the "Agreements"). The Agreements concerned and related to the goods and/or services provided by Defendant or the debt otherwise incurred by one or more of the Debtors to the Defendant as described in the "Parties" section of this Complaint. The details of each of the transfers under the Agreements made during the Preference Period are set forth on the Statement of Account, which is attached hereto and incorporated by reference as Exhibit A. Such details include "Invoice Number," "Invoice Date," and "Invoice Amount."

23. Defendant conducted business with one or more of the Debtors through and including the Petition Date pursuant to the Agreements or otherwise held a debt owed by one or more of the Debtors.

24. As identified in the Agreements identified on Exhibit A, one or more of the Debtors purchased goods and/or services from Defendant.

25. Plaintiff has completed an analysis of all readily available information of the Debtors and is seeking to avoid all of the transfers of an interest of the Debtors' property made by the applicable Debtor(s) to Defendant within the Preference Period.

26. Plaintiff has determined that one or more of the Debtors made transfer(s) of an interest of the Debtors' property to or for the benefit of Defendant during the Preference Period through payments aggregating to an amount not less than \$105,000.00 (the "Transfer" or "Transfers"). The details of each Transfer are set forth on Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated by reference. Such details include "Check Number," "Check Amount," "Check Clear Date," and "Debtor Transferor(s)."

27. On or about September 22, 2020, Plaintiff, through counsel, sent a demand letter (the "Demand Letter") to Defendant, seeking a return of the Transfer(s). The Demand Letter indicated the potential statutory defenses available to Defendant pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 547(c), and requested that if Defendant had evidence to support any affirmative defenses, it provide this evidence so Plaintiff could review the same. Plaintiff also performed its own due diligence evaluation of the reasonably knowable affirmative defenses available to Defendant.

28. Based upon Plaintiff's review of the information, if any, provided by Defendant prior to filing this Complaint, and after performing its own due diligence evaluation of the reasonably knowable affirmative defenses to avoidance of the Transfer(s), Plaintiff has determined that Plaintiff may avoid some or all of the Transfers, even after taking into account Defendant's alleged affirmative defenses.

29. During the course of this proceeding, Plaintiff may learn (through discovery or otherwise) of additional transfers made to Defendant during the Preference Period. It is Plaintiff's intention to avoid and recover all transfers made by the Debtors of an interest of the Debtors in

property and to or for the benefit of Defendant or any other transferee. Plaintiff reserves its right to amend this original Complaint to include: (i) further information regarding the Transfer(s), (ii) additional transfers, (iii) modifications of and/or revision to Defendant's name, (iv) additional defendants, and/or (v) additional causes of action authorized by the Plan, if applicable (collectively, the "Amendments"), that may become known to Plaintiff at any time during this adversary proceeding, through formal discovery or otherwise, and for the Amendments to relate back to this original Complaint.

30. Plaintiff acknowledges that some of the Transfers might be subject to defenses under Bankruptcy Code section 547(c), for which Defendant bears the burden of proof under Bankruptcy Code section 547(g).

CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

COUNT I

(Avoidance of Preference Period Transfers – 11 U.S.C. § 547)

31. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as if fully re-alleged herein.

32. As more particularly described on Exhibit A attached hereto and incorporated herein, during the Preference Period, the Debtor(s) identified on Exhibit A made Transfers to or for the benefit of Defendant in an aggregate amount not less than \$105,000.00.

33. Each Transfer was made from the Disbursement Account described *supra*, and constituted transfers of an interest in property of the transferring Debtor(s) as identified on Exhibit A.

34. Defendant was a creditor at the time of each Transfer by virtue of supplying the Debtor(s) identified on Exhibit A goods and/or services identified in this Complaint and in the Agreements, as more fully set forth on Exhibit A hereto, for which the Debtor(s) identified on

Exhibit A were obligated to pay following delivery in accordance with the Agreements, or by virtue of otherwise holding a debt owed by one or more of the Debtors.

35. Each Transfer was to or for the benefit of a creditor within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. § 547(b)(1) because each Transfer either reduced or fully satisfied a debt or debts then owed by the Debtor(s) identified on Exhibit A to Defendant.

36. Each Transfer was made for, or on account of, an antecedent debt or debts owed by the Debtor(s) identified on Exhibit A to Defendant before such Transfers were made, as asserted by Defendant and memorialized in the Agreements, each of which constituted a “debt” or “claim” (as those terms are defined in the Bankruptcy Code) of Defendant prior to being paid by the transferring Debtor(s) as set forth on Exhibit A hereto.

37. Each Transfer was made while the Debtors were insolvent. Plaintiff is entitled to the presumption of insolvency for each Transfer made during the Preference Period pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 547(f).

38. Each Transfer was made during the Preference Period, as set forth on Exhibit A.

39. As a result of each Transfer, Defendant received more than Defendant would have received if: (i) the Debtors’ cases were under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; (ii) the Transfers had not been made; and (iii) Defendant received payments of its debts under the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. As evidenced by the Debtors’ schedules filed in the applicable underlying bankruptcy case as well as the proofs of claim that have been received to date, the Debtors’ liabilities exceed their assets to the point that unsecured creditors will not receive a full payout of their claims from the Debtors’ bankruptcy estates.

40. In accordance with the foregoing, each Transfer is avoidable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 547(b).

COUNT II

(Avoidance of Fraudulent Conveyances – 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B))

41. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as if fully re-alleged herein.

42. To the extent one or more of the Transfers identified on Exhibit A was not made on account of an antecedent debt, or was a prepayment for goods and/or services subsequently received, or was a transfer made by one Debtor without a corresponding transfer into the payment account by the Debtor incurring the debt, Plaintiff pleads in the alternative that the Debtor(s) making such transfer(s) did not receive reasonably equivalent value in exchange for such transfer(s) (the “Potentially Fraudulent Transfers”); and

- A. The Debtors were insolvent as of the date of the Transfer(s), or became insolvent as a result of the Transfer(s); or
- B. The Debtors were engaged, or about to engage, in business or a transaction for which any property remaining with the Debtors or for whose benefit the Transfer(s) was made was an unreasonably small capital; or
- C. The Debtors intended to incur, or believed they would incur, debts beyond their ability to pay upon maturity.

43. Based upon the foregoing, the Potentially Fraudulent Transfers are avoidable pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 548(a)(1)(B).

COUNT III

(Recovery of Avoided Transfers – 11 U.S.C. § 550)

44. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as if fully re-alleged herein, to the extent they are not inconsistent with allegations contained in this Count.

45. Plaintiff is entitled to avoid the Transfer(s) pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 547(b) and any Potentially Fraudulent Transfers pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 548 (collectively, the “Avoidable Transfers”).

46. Defendant was the initial transferee of the Avoidable Transfer(s) or the immediate or mediate transferee of such initial transferee or the person for whose benefit the Avoidable Transfer(s) were made.

47. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 550(a), Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendant the Avoidable Transfer(s), plus interest thereon to the date of payment and the costs of this action.

COUNT IV
(Disallowance of all Claims – 11 U.S.C. § 502(d) and (j))

48. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs as if fully re-alleged herein.

49. Defendant is a transferee of transfers avoidable under sections 547 and/or 548 of the Bankruptcy Code, which property is recoverable under section 550 of the Bankruptcy Code.

50. Defendant has not paid the amount of the Avoidable Transfer(s), or turned over such property, for which Defendant is liable under 11 U.S.C. § 550.

51. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(d), any and all Claims of Defendant and/or its assignee, against the Debtors’ chapter 11 estates or Plaintiff must be disallowed until such time as Defendant pays to Plaintiff an amount equal to the aggregate amount of the Avoidable Transfer(s), plus interest thereon and costs.

52. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(j), any and all Claims of Defendant, and/or its assignee, against the Debtors’ chapter 11 estates or Plaintiff previously allowed by the Debtors or by Plaintiff, must be reconsidered and disallowed until such time as Defendant pays to Plaintiff an amount equal to the aggregate amount of the Avoidable Transfer(s).

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff requests that this Court grant him the following relief against Defendant:

- A. On Plaintiff's First, Second and Third Claims for Relief, judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant, avoiding all of the Avoidable Transfers and directing Defendant to return to Plaintiff the amount of the Avoidable Transfers, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 547(b) and/or 548 and 550(a), plus interest from the date of demand at the maximum legal rate and to the fullest extent allowed by applicable law, together with the costs and expenses of this action including, without limitation, attorneys' fees;
- B. On Plaintiff's Fourth Claim for Relief, judgment in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant disallowing any claims held or filed by Defendant against the Plaintiff until Defendant returns the Avoidable Transfers to Plaintiff pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 502(d) and (j); and
- C. Granting Plaintiff such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

Dated: October 20, 2020

BLANK ROME LLP

By: /s/ Josef W. Mintz
Josef W. Mintz, Esq., DE 5644
1201 Market Street, Suite 800
Wilmington, DE 19801
Telephone: (302) 425-6400
mintz@blankrome.com

-and-

Joseph L. Steinfeld, Jr., Esq., MN SBN 0266292
Richard Reding, Esq., MN SBN 0389945
ASK LLP
2600 Eagan Woods Drive, Suite 400
St. Paul, MN 55121
Telephone: (651) 289-3842
Fax: (651) 406-9676
Email: rreding@askllp.com

Counsel for the Post-Effective Date Debtors



ATTORNEYS AT LAW

2600 Eagan Woods Dr, Suite 400
St. Paul, MN 55121
651-406-9665

151 West 46th Street, 4th Floor
New York, NY 10036
212-267-7342

Defendant: **Blasting Services, Inc.**
Bankruptcy Case: **Welded Construction, L.P., et al.**
Preference Period: **Jul 24, 2018 - Oct 22, 2018**

Transfers During Preference Period

Debtor Transferor(s)	Debtor(s) Incurring Antecedent Debt	Check Number	Check Amt	Clear Date	Invoice Number	Invoice Date	Invoice Amt
Welded Construction, L.P.	Welded Construction, L.P.	334153	\$23,000.00	9/28/2018	3433	7/23/2018	\$23,000.00
Welded Construction, L.P.	Welded Construction, L.P.	333928	\$23,000.00	9/10/2018	3427	7/10/2018	\$23,000.00
Welded Construction, L.P.	Welded Construction, L.P.	333679	\$29,500.00	8/23/2018	3425	7/2/2018	\$29,500.00
Welded Construction, L.P.	Welded Construction, L.P.	333294	\$29,500.00	7/30/2018	3423	6/22/2018	\$29,500.00

Totals: 4 transfer(s), \$105,000.00

In re	Chapter 11
Welded Construction, L.P., <i>et al.</i> ,	Case No.: 18-12378 (CSS)
Debtors. ¹	(Jointly Administered)
Welded Construction, L.P.,	Adv. No. Refer to Summons
Plaintiff,	
vs.	
Blasting Services, Inc.,	
Defendant(s).	

NOTICE OF DISPUTE RESOLUTION ALTERNATIVES

As party to litigation you have a right to adjudication of your matter by a judge of this Court. Settlement of your case, however, can often produce a resolution more quickly than appearing before a judge. Additionally, settlement can also reduce the expense, inconvenience, and uncertainty of litigation.

There are dispute resolution structures, other than litigation, that can lead to resolving your case. Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) is offered through a program established by this Court. The use of these services are often productive and effective in settling disputes. The purpose of this Notice is to furnish general information about ADR.

The ADR structures used most often are mediation, early-neutral evaluation, mediation/arbitration and arbitration. In each, the process is presided over by an impartial third party, called the “neutral.”

In mediation and early neutral evaluation, an experienced neutral has no power to impose a settlement on you. It fosters an environment where offers can be discussed and exchanged. In the process, together, you and your attorney will be involved in weighing settlement proposals and crafting a settlement. The Court in its Local Rules requires all ADR processes, except threat of a potential criminal action, to be confidential. You will not be prejudiced in the event a settlement is not achieved because the presiding judge will not be advised of the content of any of your settlement discussions.

Mediation/arbitration is a process where you submit to mediation and, if it is unsuccessful, agree that the mediator will act as an arbitrator. At that point, the process is the same as arbitration. You, through your counsel, will present evidence to a neutral, who issues a decision. If the matter in controversy arises in the main bankruptcy case or arises from a subsidiary issue in an adversary proceeding, the arbitration, though voluntary, may be binding. If a party requests *de novo* review of an arbitration award, the judge will rehear the case.

Your attorney can provide you with additional information about ADR and advise you as to whether and when ADR might be helpful in your case.

Dated: October 20, 2020

/s/ Una O’Boyle
Clerk of Court

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number, are: Welded Construction, L.P (5008) and Welded Construction Michigan, LLC (9830).