Case 20-50932-LSS Doc 56 Filed 05/23/22 Page 1 of 2 Docket #0056 Date Filed: 5/23/2022

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:	Chapter 11
Welded Construction, L.P., et al.,	Case No. 18-12378 (LSS)
Debtors. ¹	(Jointly Administered)
Welded Construction, L.P., Plaintiff,	
vs.	Adv. No. 20-50932
Industrial Fabrics, Inc.,	Auv. 190. 20-30732
Defendant.	Re: Adv. Dkt. Nos. 39, 42

APPLICATION FOR ORAL ARGUMENT REGARDING PARTIES' COMPETING MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

Pursuant to Rule 7007-3 of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, Welded Construction, L.P. (the "Plaintiff" or "Debtor"), hereby applies for the opportunity for oral argument on *Industrial Fabrics, Inc.'s Motion for Summary Judgment* [Adv. D.I. 39] and *Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment With Respect to Plaintiff's Claims Against Defendant Industrial Fabrics, Inc.* [Adv. D.I. 42], including the responses and replies filed or to be filed in connection therewith.²

² Pursuant to Local Rule 7007-3, the deadline for requesting oral argument on Defendant's motion for summary judgment is today. Although Plaintiff has yet to file a reply brief in support of Plaintiff's motion for summary



¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: Welded Construction, L.P (5008) and Welded Construction Michigan, LLC (9830).

Dated: May 23, 2022 BLANK ROME LLP

By: /s/ Josef W. Mintz Josef W. Mintz, Esq. (#5644) 1201 Market Street, Ste. 800 Wilmington, DE 19801 Tel.: (302) 425-6400

Email: josef.mintz@blankrome.com

-and-

ASK LLP

Joseph L. Steinfeld, Jr., Esq., MN SBN 0266292 Nicholas C. Brown, Esq., NC SBN 38054 2600 Eagan Woods Drive, Suite 400 St. Paul, MN 55121 Telephone: (651) 289-3854 Email: nbrown@askllp.com

-and-

Edward E. Neiger, Esq. 60 East 42nd Street, 46th Fl. New York, NY 10165 Telephone: (212) 267-7342

Fax: (212) 918-3427

Counsel for Plaintiff, Welded Construction. L.P.

judgment, Plaintiff believes that the issues are sufficiently similar so as to justify argument for both motions at the same time. By this Application, Plaintiff requests oral argument for both motions.