Case 18-12378-LSS Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 1 of 17 Docket #1979 Date Filed: 09/13/2023 2082 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 1 DISTRICT OF DELAWARE 2 3 IN RE: . Chapter 11 . Case No. 18-12378 (LSS) 4 WELDED CONSTRUCTION, L.P., et al., . (Jointly Administered) 5 Debtors. 6 WELDED CONSTRUCTION, L.P., . Adversary Proceeding 7 . No. 19-50194 (LSS) 8 Plaintiff, 9 v. 10 THE WILLIAMS COMPANIES, INC., . WILLIAMS PARTNERS OPERATING . Courtroom 2 LLC, and TRANSCONTINENTAL GAS . 824 Market Street 11 PIPE LINE COMPANY, LLC, . Wilmington, Delaware 19801 12 Defendants. . Thursday, September 7, 2023 9:33 a.m. 13 14 15 TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE LAURIE SELBER SILVERSTEIN CHIEF UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE 16 17 TRIAL (DAY 10) 18 19 20 Electronically Recorded By: Brandon J. McCarthy, ECRO 21 Transcription Service: Reliable 22 1007 N. Orange Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801 23 Telephone: (302) 654-8080 E-Mail: gmatthews@reliable-co.com 24 Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; 25 transcript produced by transcription service. 181237823091300000000002

	Case 18-12378-LSS	Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 2 of 17
		2083
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	For the Plaintiff:	, 1
3		Michael S. Neiburg, Esquire Travis G. Buchanan, Esquire
4		YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP Rodney Square
5		1000 North King Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801
6		
7	For the Defendants:	Jonathan C. Burwood, Esquire WATT, TIEDER, HOFFAR & FITZGERALD, LLP
8		175 Federal Street Suite 1225
9		Boston, Massachusetts 02110
10		Shelly L. Ewald, Esquire
11		Wendy L. Bair, Senior Paralegal 1765 Greensboro Station Place
12		Suite 1000 McLean, Virginia 22102
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Case 18-12378-LSS Do	c 1979	Filed 09/13/23	Page 3 of 17	
					2084
1			INDEX		
2					
3	ADVERS	ARY MA	TTER GOING FC	RWARD:	
4	COMPANIES, INC., WILLIAMS PARTNERS OPERATING LLC, and				
5					
6					
7					
8	Status Conference				2085
9	Transcriptionists' Cer	tifica	ate		2098
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21 22					
23					
24					
25					
25					

Case 18-12378-LSS Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 4 of 17 2085 (Proceedings commenced at 9:33 a.m.) 1 2 THE CLERK: Please rise. THE COURT: Good morning, please be seated. 3 Okay. So, where to go from here and how to 4 5 proceed, Mr. Guerke? 6 MR. GUERKE: Good morning, Your Honor. Kevin Guerke on behalf of Welded Construction. 7 8 I can give you an update on our discussions that we had with -- with Transco yesterday and this morning. 9 After we closed evidence yesterday, we suggested a briefing 10 schedule at 45 days from yesterday and 45 days post-trial 11 brief and then leave it to the Court, whether the Court would 12 13 like reply briefs. We have no position on that. And whether 14 the Court would like an argument, we also don't have a 15 position on that. 16 After mulling it over a little bit, last night, we 17 thought maybe 45 days was too much and as an alternative, we 18 thought this morning if the Court wasn't receptive to 45 19 days, that 36 days would land October 13th, which is a little 20 less, but gives us more than 30 days, which is what we were 21 trying to do. 22 So, that was our proposal: 45 days, 45 pages, or 23 in the alternative, 36 days and however many pages that the Court would like. 24 25 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Ewald?

Case 18-12378-LSS Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 5 of 17 2086 MS. EWALD: Good morning, Your Honor. Shellv 1 2 Ewald for Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company. And I spoke with Mr. Guerke last night and this 3 morning regarding the brief. Originally, we had proposed a 4 5 30-day turnaround, but are amenable to the 36-day, Friday 6 the 13th proposal. 7 THE COURT: Yeah, I was going to ask, because 8 that's a Friday. It is, huh? 9 MS. EWALD: Yes. 10 And with regard to the page limit, we were hoping for a 60-page brief. After nine days of trial time, we felt 11 12 that having 20 pages longer than we did in the pretrial brief 13 would be appropriate, and exercising some self-restraint 14 hopefully, on our part, but we would defer to the Court. 15 In addition, with regard to the manner of 16 briefing, we would certainly defer to the Court, as well, if 17 proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law would be 18 helpful or traditional briefing with a statement of facts, 19 whatever Your Honor's preference is. 20 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 21 You know, I don't want proposed findings of fact 22 and conclusions of law. I actually don't find that very 23 helpful. What I do find helpful is telling me what evidence, pointing me to the evidence in the docket in the trial that 24 25 supports each category of claims. So, I know Mr. Sztroin

Case 18-12378-LSS Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 6 of 17

1 testified on this day and here's what he testified to and it 2 is with respect to this equipment fee issue, whatever. That, 3 I find, helpful. Pointing me to the factual basis in the 4 record that you believe supports your claim, your defense, 5 your counterclaim, whatever it happens to be.

6 I will also say that I found this chart -- I am a 7 chart person, I am visual, so charts help me -- that was 8 at -- on Welded's pretrial brief at the end, I find this kind of chart helpful. And it's often what I do where I line up, 9 10 here's the claim, where's the evidence, okay. Here's the claim, what's the legal issue. So I find charts helpful. 11 You don't have to use charts, but I will tell you I find them 12 helpful, because it's sort of a shortcut narratives good and 13 14 it's what we're all used to doing, but I find charts very 15 helpful.

16 If you're doing it in a narrative, bullet points 17 are helpful. Give me the citation to the record on the 18 evidence that supports your claims and counterclaims.

I will say I found, in terms of the briefing, and I believe this was of the summary judgment briefs, I found Transco's style helpful, where you had yours delineated by the claim and the amount and then you had a discussion. I found that very helpful.

24 So think about that when you're putting your 25 briefs together. Pointing me to the evidence is what I would

Case 18-12378-LSS Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 7 of 17

1 like to see and I'm sort of indifferent to the amount of time 2 it takes you to brief. So if the 36 is acceptable, let's go 3 with the 36 days.

I think given the number of claims and 4 5 counterclaims there are and that I want you to point me to 6 the evidence, I'm going to permit those 60 pages, which I'll 7 regret, but I'm going to do that. And then here, I think there's been enough briefing that I think simultaneous briefs 8 are okay. Simultaneous I find simultaneous briefing, people 9 10 talk past each other it's unhelpful, but I think there's been enough briefing here that simultaneous briefing could be 11 12 okay.

And I will, I'm sure -- but I'll obviously let you know -- entertain argument. So there will be an opportunity to respond and I will have questions.

I'm told I'm pretty hot-benched, so you should 16 17 expect a lot of questions and I will also say, it's because I 18 really want the answers. It's not putting anybody on the 19 spot usually when I'm asking questions, it's what I'm 20 concerned about, okay, and it's what I'm thinking about and 21 here's where I'm having difficulty and I want your assistance 22 or I need a response. So I try to be prepared with questions 23 so that I'm not wasting your time and I'm getting some 24 benefit of your counsel, with respect to the issues I'm 25 struggling with.

Case 18-12378-LSS Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 8 of 17

2089

So, that's what we'll do, I'll read the briefs,
and then we'll schedule argument.

As I said yesterday, burden of proof just jumps 3 out to me as something I need assistance on and I would like 4 5 to see that in your briefing. Issues that have been talked 6 about, I think that jump out from the briefing that I've had 7 and from the evidence that we got in terms of legal issues, are the dispute resolution process and the contract, the 8 9 audit process and the contract, what's the legal 10 ramifications of those provisions and how they apply, the payment of invoices and the effect of that from a legal 11 12 perspective. Those are the actual big legal issues that hit me. There's probably more. There could be more, but I know 13 14 I'd like assistance with those.

And I'm not sure, I don't recall -- if you've 15 16 already briefed it and I just don't recall it, these are 17 legal issues and you can point me to where you've briefed it 18 before -- that's fine but I don't think I've seen the issues 19 briefed. So that's my thought around post-trial briefing. 20 I'll permit it. Sometimes I find it helpful, sometimes I 21 don't. I'm hoping it will be here with some guidance that 22 I've given you on what I'm looking for. But, obviously, you 23 can brief whatever issues you think are important to your 24 case.

25

Exhibits. Let's talk about the exhibits a little

Case 18-12378-LSS Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 9 of 17

1 bit. What's back there in the seven -- six, carts, whatever? 2 And I was quickly looking at the Excel spreadsheet that y'all 3 sent over of the exhibits.

MR. GUERKE: So, Your Honor, my understanding of what's in the binders, we have, roughly, 2,100 exhibits from the Defense signed and then 650 or so from the Plaintiff's side. I suspect there are a fair amount that are not in play anymore.

9 As you know from the deposition designation 10 process, there are deposition designations that we're 11 admitting or submitting as part of our case and there are several exhibits that we're going to try to move in through 12 13 those depositions. So some of our 600-plus exhibits will be 14 hopefully coming into evidence through the briefing. I don't know if that 50 more or 12 more, but for the 600 exhibits on 15 16 the Plaintiff's side, we may have a hundred that we talked about in trial and then another set we're going to use as 17 18 part of the post-trial briefing and the overall evidence in 19 the case, but other than that, there's no other mechanism to 20 try to get the Court to consider the balance, if there were, 21 for example, 300 Plaintiff exhibits that have not been 22 discussed in the trial or in the briefing. So, I think 23 there'll be a fair amount that we can shred. 24 THE COURT: Okay. So some of it will depend on

25 what you use in your post-trial briefing and then whether

Case 18-12378-LSS Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 10 of 17

1	there were objections and whether I admitted or not.		
2	MR. GUERKE: Yes, that's how we see it. We don't		
3	have a separate agreement on evidence coming in outside of		
4	the briefing or the trial. We did discuss this morning, 12		
5	JXs are were identified in the depositions that we might have		
6	an agreement on those 12, but we said that we'll look at		
7	those 12 to see if we can reach agreement on and we wouldn't		
8	have objection on.		
9	I understand they're on the JX list and neither		
10	side objected to them, but I don't know what they are yet.		
11	THE COURT: Okay. So, I can get a list. I know		
12	y'all gave me an initial one after the first week of the		
13	exhibits that have been admitted. You can update that for		
14	me, just in one list, please. I'll ignore the first one.		
15	Let's just have one list of the exhibits that were admitted		
16	during trial and then a list of ones that are under		
17	consideration so that I can have that altogether and then		
18	that can be supplemented, I suppose after I getting the		
19	briefing. I'll figure out what to do with the exhibits that		
20	are discussed in the briefing and whether that adds anything		
21	or doesn't add anything. Okay.		
22	And then maybe we can pull out from the binders		
23	the exhibits that were not admitted, but I'll worry about		
24	that then. Okay.		
25	Exhibits, Ms. Ewald, anything wrong with what was		

Case 18-12378-LSS Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 11 of 17 2092 1 just said or different? 2 MS. EWALD: Thank you, Your Honor. Shelly Ewald for Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company. 3 I reviewed the list of exhibits that were 4 5 identified in deposition designations last night for both parties and I identified the 12 that are on the joint exhibit 6 7 list that had no objections and I would -- I do think and I 8 can provide that list to the Court or to Plaintiff's counsel, as well. 9 10 With regard to many of the remaining, there are objections to them and many of them were either offered or 11 12 accepted by people who were not witnesses at the trial. So, 13 I guess at this time, I would reserve my objections to the 14 documents that -- and exhibits as referenced in our exhibit 15 list with potentially an opportunity to work some of that out with the Plaintiff's counsel, particularly regarding the 16 17 joint exhibits or those that were not objected to. 18 THE COURT: Okay. MS. EWALD: And I think we will take the Court's 19 20 admonition to heart that the documents that were presented to 21 the Court should our focus for the purpose of briefing, so we 22 appreciate that. 23 THE COURT: Yes. It would be helpful. 24 MR. GUERKE: Your Honor, we can provide the list 25 of exhibits that have been admitted in the trial in short

Case 18-12378-LSS Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 12 of 17

1 order. For the list that you would like for the ones that 2 are under consideration, would that be something we would 3 submit in conjunction with our briefs, because that's when I 4 think it would come into focus, what additional documents we 5 would be relying on in the briefing.

THE COURT: Okay. Yes, no, I was talking about -but yes, you should do that then -- but I was talking about the -- I took a few and hopefully I have the list of during trial exhibits that I took under consideration and didn't ule on, but I just want to make sure I have the whole list of those.

MR. GUERKE: I'm sorry, I misunderstood.

13 THE COURT: Right. So, for those, I would like 14 that now because I can look at that in the meantime and make 15 some decisions, or maybe not, depending on my time, but I'd 16 like to have that just to make sure we have the complete list 17 of exhibits from trial.

12

18 MR. GUERKE: And that's how we've been tracking19 it, so we can do that.

THE COURT: Right. And then we'll deal with the ones that may be in the post-trial briefing. I'll deal -we'll deal with them separately. Yeah, we can't deal with them now.

24MR. GUERKE: Thank you, Your Honor.25THE COURT: Some of the exhibits I had that were

Case 18-12378-LSS Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 13 of 17

1	admitted were in native format and there were so, they
2	were Excels or they were huge filings and I would like
3	those submitted to me, either however you do it on a
4	CD, on a stick. I don't know if you've done that, but if
5	those could be put on one of those types of media, then I
6	would be able to access it if I need it while I'm considering
7	things. I don't think I have anything else on exhibits.
8	Okay. Anything else either of y'all want to bring
9	up?
10	MS. EWALD: I just want to note on exhibits, would
11	it be helpful to have the I think we have the admitted
12	exhibit list that we've been checking with Plaintiff's
13	counsel, and so we should be able to submit that in short
14	order. Would it be helpful to have all of the admitted
15	exhibits on this list in electronic format, as well, as the
16	native Excels?
17	THE COURT: I guess it couldn't hurt. I would say
18	I generally don't use them, but I know I have some long
19	documents here and it might be helpful to be able to search
20	them. So, you know, how many times does "Maruka" appear in
21	some document and I don't know but I was telling my
22	husband the other night, I'm going to be so great at
23	Hanukkah, because for my nephews that are 7 and 9, now I can
24	get them the trucks and I can tell them what they are and how
25	they work and I'm going to be the great aunt here. Let me

Case 18-12378-LSS Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 14 of 17 2095 tell ya. 1 So, if it's not too problematic, then sure, you 2 can send them over. I tend to look at paper. 3 MS. EWALD: I understand that, and I do, as well, 4 5 but I believe that we could probably fit on that same drive, 6 we could fit the electronic exhibits, along with those native 7 Excels. THE COURT: However it works is fine and I'm 8 9 trying to think how we did it in Boy Scouts, because I had 10 more exhibits than this. However they did it, I was able to 11 pull up the PX number. I was able to search by the exhibit number to find what I was looking for and then to search 12 13 within it. 14 So I could have had -- well, I've got an exhibit 15 list and I'm sure I have -- I know I have this in the Excel 16 format so I can search for a particular exhibit that way, 17 because that was helpful. 18 Let's just see the way you're going to send it to 19 me. If I have any questions or I need something different, 20 I'll let you know. But in the first instance, I'll let you 21 all put that together. 22 MR. GUERKE: And on a related question, Your 23 Honor, in some of our briefing, we've had appendixes or 24 declarations where we attach things that are referenced in 25 the brief.

Case 18-12378-LSS Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 15 of 17 2096 Are the copies that you have sufficient or would 1 vou like --2 THE COURT: I don't need more documents. 3 MR. GUERKE: Nothing attached? So, just like PX-4 5 25 would be just cited as PX-25. Nothing attached to the 6 brief? 7 THE COURT: Yep. 8 MR. GUERKE: Okay. Thank you. 9 THE COURT: I'm thinking what might be helpful --10 no, I think I'm good. I was thinking -- I mean, I will tell you I rely a lot on the witness binders, because I assume 11 that most of the documents, most of the important documents 12 13 will be found in one of the witness binders. 14 And I think most of them have a list in the front, 15 so I think I'm okay. And they're not that big. The witness 16 binders are just not that big, so I should be okay with those. 17 18 And then I can pull from the six carts, whatever 19 other exhibits I need, but I assume most of it will be in the 20 witness binders. 21 Other thoughts? Questions? 22 (No verbal response) 23 THE COURT: Okay. Well, thank you and your clients and the witnesses for the presentation over the last 24 25 nine days. I appreciate it very much. I hope I listened as

Case 18-12378-LSS Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 16 of 17 carefully as I think I did and I appreciate the coordination and the presentation made by both parties. So, thank you very much. I look forward to getting the briefing. I'd say, unfortunately, I'm losing my clerk, so he's the one with all the knowledge of everything, but that's the way it goes sometimes. So, I will, I'm sure, see you in a few months. Thank you very much. COUNSEL: Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: We are adjourned. (Proceedings concluded at 9:55 a.m.)

Case 18-12378-LSS Doc 1979 Filed 09/13/23 Page 17 of 1	
	2098
CERTIFICATION	
I certify that the foregoing is a corre	ct
transcript from the electronic sound recording of	the
proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the be	st of my
knowledge and ability.	
/s/ William J. Garling Septembe	r 12, 2023
William J. Garling, CET-543	
Certified Court Transcriptionist	
For Reliable	
k K	I certify that the foregoing is a corre cranscript from the electronic sound recording of proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the be knowledge and ability. <u>(s/ William J. Garling</u> Septembe William J. Garling, CET-543 Certified Court Transcriptionist