UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

n re:	Chapter 11

Zosano Pharma Corporation, Case No. 22-10506 (JKS)

Debtor. Obj. Date: June 1, 2023 at 4:00 p.m. (ET) Hearing Date: June 15, 2023 at 12:00 p.m. (ET)

LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S SECOND (NON-SUBSTANTIVE)
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO THE ALLOWANCE OF CERTAIN
CLAIMS PURSUANT TO SECTION 502 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE,
BANKRUPTCY RULE 3007 AND LOCAL RULE 3007-1

(Claims Based on Equity Interests)

PARTIES RECEIVING THIS OBJECTION SHOULD LOCATE THEIR NAMES AND THEIR DISPUTED CLAIMS IDENTIFIED ON <u>SCHEDULE 1</u> TO THE PROPOSED ORDER

TO CLAIMANTS WHOSE DISPUTED CLAIMS ARE SUBJECT TO THIS OBJECTION:

*YOUR SUBSTANTIVE RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED BY THIS OBJECTION AND ANY FURTHER OBJECTION THAT MAY BE FILED IN THIS CHAPTER 11 CASE

**THE RELIEF SOUGHT IN THIS OBJECTION IS WITHOUT PREJUDICE TO THE RIGHTS OF THE DEBTOR TO PURSUE FURTHER OBJECTIONS TO THE DISPUTED CLAIMS

SierraConstellation Partners, LLC, as Liquidating Trustee (the "<u>Trustee</u>") in the bankruptcy case of the above-captioned debtor (the "<u>Debtor</u>"), hereby submits its second omnibus objection (the "<u>Objection</u>"), pursuant to section 502 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "<u>Bankruptcy Code</u>"), Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "<u>Bankruptcy Rules</u>"), and Rule 3007-1 of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice and Procedure of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "<u>Local Rules</u>"), to the allowance of certain claims filed against the Debtor and its estate that are listed on <u>Schedule 1</u> (collectively, the "<u>Subject Claims</u>") to the proposed form of order attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the "Proposed Order"), and request the entry of the Proposed

ACTIVE 687074631v4

Order disallowing the Subject Claims, as indicated in further detail below and on **Schedule**1 to the Proposed Order. In support of the Objection, the Trustee respectfully represents as follows:

I. Jurisdiction and Venue

- 1. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware* dated as of February 29, 2012. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2), and the Trustee consents, pursuant to Local Rule 9013-1(f), to the entry of a final order by the Court in connection with the Objection to the extent that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments in connection herewith consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.
 - 2. Venue is proper before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 3. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief sought herein are section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 and Local Rule 3007-1.

II. Background

- 4. On June 1, 2022 (the "<u>Petition Date</u>"), the Debtor commenced this bankruptcy case (the "<u>Chapter 11 Case</u>") by filing a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 5. The Debtor filed its Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation [Docket No. 232] and related Amended Disclosure Statement [Docket No. 231] on October 10, 2022. The Debtor later filed an Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation [Docket No. 280] on November 18,

2022 and a Second Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation [Docket No. 291] on November 22, 2022.

- 6. The Court entered the Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order Confirming the Second Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation of Zosano Pharma Corporation (the "Confirmation Order") [Docket No. 294].
- 7. The Debtor's equity was publicly traded. The claims subject to this Objection were filed by individual stockholders who received notice of the claims bar date in this case. On October 17, 2022, the Debtor filed *Debtor's First (For Voting Purposes Only, Non-Substantive) Omnibus Objection to Claims Pursuant to Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 3007, 3017, and 3018* [Docket No. 239]. Pursuant to the Confirmation Order, such claims were deemed "disputed claims" and not entitled to vote to accept or reject the plan. *See* Confirmation Order, p. 30.
- 8. The effective date of the Plan was December 7, 2022. As outlined in the Confirmation Order, "the Liquidating Trustee shall have the sole authority to: (1) file, withdraw, or litigate to judgment, objections to Claims or Interest; (2) settle or compromise any Disputed Claim without any further notice to or action, order, or approval by the Bankruptcy Court…" *See* Confirmation Order, p. 29.

IV. Proofs of Claim and Bar Date Orders

9. On June 6, 2022, the Court entered an order [Docket No. 23] appointing Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC ("KCC") as claims and noticing agent in the Chapter 11 Case. Among other things, KCC is authorized to (a) receive, maintain, record and otherwise administer the proofs of claim filed in the Chapter 11 Case, and (b) maintain an official claims register for the Debtor.

- Date Order") providing that, except as otherwise provided therein, (i) all persons or entities (including, without limitation, individuals, partnerships, corporations, joint ventures, and trusts) that assert a claim, as defined in section 101(5) of the Bankruptcy Code, against the Debtor, including, without limitation, secured claims, and priority claims, which arose on or prior to the Petition Date, including requests for allowance and payment of claims under section 503(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code, shall file a proof of any such claim so that it is actually received on or before 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) on August 22, 2022 (the "General Bar Date"), and (ii) all governmental units, as defined in section 101(27) of the Bankruptcy Code, shall file a proof of any such claim so that it is actually received on or before 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) on November 28, 2022 (the "Government Bar Date").
- 11. Notice of the Bar Dates was provided by mail and publication in accordance with the procedures outlined in the Bar Date Order. [Docket Nos. 151, 154, 174, & 186].

III. Relief Requested

- 12. By this Objection, the Trustee requests that the Court enter the Proposed Order, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d)(7), and Local Rule 3007-1(d)(v), disallowing the Subject Claims as indicated in further detail below and on Schedule 1 to the Proposed Order.
- 13. In accordance with Local Rule 3007-1(e)(i)(E), the Trustee submits that this Objection complies in all material respects with Local Rule 3007-1.

IV. Basis for Relief

A. The Equity Claims

- 14. The Subject Claims listed on <u>Schedule 1</u> to the Proposed Order are claims filed by the Debtor's equity holders based upon their equity interests in the Debtor.
- 15. The Trustee's professionals have examined each of the Subject Claims, all supporting documentation provided by the claimant, if any, and the Debtor's books and records and have determined that in each case the Subject Claims were filed by the claimants asserting an equity interest in the Debtor and should be disallowed as claims on the basis that such claims are not claims at all, but an assertion of an equity interest in the Debtor. Accordingly, each such corresponding proof of claim should be disallowed, as a claim, and reclassified as an equity interest, as listed on **Schedule 1** to the Proposed Order.
- 16. Accordingly, the Trustee objects to the Subject Claims, and request entry of the Proposed Order disallowing, in their entirety and as set forth herein, the Subject Claims as indicated on **Schedule 1** to the Proposed Order.

V. Responses to this Objection

- 17. Any responses to this Objection must be filed <u>on or before 4:00 p.m. (ET)</u>
 on June 1, 2023, in accordance with the procedures set for in the notice of this Objection.
- 18. The Trustee reserves the right to seek to adjourn the hearing on any Subject Claim, and in the event that the Trustee does so, it will state the same in the agenda for the hearing on that Subject Claim, which agenda will be served on the claimant.

VI. Reservation of Rights

19. The Trustee reserves any and all rights to amend, supplement or otherwise modify this Objection, the Proposed Order, or <u>Schedule 1</u> thereto, and to file additional

objections to any and all claims filed in this Chapter 11 Case, including, without limitation, any and all of the Subject Claims. The Trustee also reserves any and all rights, claims and defenses with respect to any and all of the Subject Claims, and nothing included in or omitted from this Objection, the Proposed Order, or **Schedule 1** thereto is intended or shall be deemed to impair, prejudice, waive or otherwise affect any rights, claims, or defenses of the Trustee and its estate with respect to the Subject Claims.

VII. Notice

20. Notice of the Objection has been provided to: (i) the U.S. Trustee; (ii) any party that has requested notice pursuant to Rule 2002 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy procedure; and (iii) all claimants whose Subject Claims are the subject of this Objection. In light of the nature of the relief requested herein, the Trustee submits that no other or further notice is necessary.

VIII. Conclusion

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth herein, the Trustee respectfully requests that this Court:

- a) disallow the Subject Claims listed on **Schedule 1**;
- b) enter the Proposed Order, and
- c) grant the Trustee such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 8th day of May, 2023.

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

/s/ Dennis A. Meloro

Dennis A. Meloro (DE Bar No. 4435) 222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1600 Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Telephone: (302) 661-7000 Facsimile: (302) 661-7360

Email: Dennis.Meloro@gtlaw.com

-and-

John D. Elrod (admitted *pro hac vice*) Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE, Suite 2500 Atlanta, Georgia 30305 Telephone: (678) 553-2100 Facsimile: (678) 553-2212

Email: elrodj@gtlaw.com

Counsel for SierraConstellation Partners, LLC, as Liquidating Trustee

EXHIBIT A

Proposed Order

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:	Chapter 11
Zosano Pharma Corporation,	Case No. 22-10506 (JKS)
Debtor.	Ref. Docket No

ORDER SUSTAINING LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S SECOND (NON-SUBSTANTIVE) OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO THE ALLOWANCE OF CERTAIN CLAIMS PURSUANT TO SECTION 502 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, BANKRUPTCY RULE 3007 AND LOCAL RULE 3007-1

Upon consideration of the Liquidating Trustee's Second (Non-Substantive) Omnibus Objection to the Allowance of Certain Claims Pursuant to Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007, and Local Rule 3007-1 (the "Objection"); and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction to consider the Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the Amended Standing Order of Reference from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware dated as of February 29, 2012; and it appearing that venue of this chapter 11 case and the Objection in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and it appearing that this matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and it appearing that notice of the Objection was good and sufficient upon the particular circumstances and that no other or further notice need be given; and it appearing that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation thereon, and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor; it is hereby ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Objection is SUSTAINED, as set forth herein.

¹ Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meaning ascribed to it in the Objection.

- 2. The Subject Claims identified on <u>Schedule 1</u> to the Order are hereby disallowed as claims in their entirety and reclassified as equity interests.
- 3. The Trustee's objection to each Subject Claim addressed in the Objection constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. This Order shall be deemed a separate Order with respect to each claim. Any stay of this Order pending appeal by any of the claimants subject to this Order shall only apply to the contested matter which involves such claimant and shall not act to stay the applicability and/or finality of this Order with respect to the other contested matters covered hereby.
- 4. Any and all rights of the Trustee to amend, supplement or otherwise modify the Objection and to file additional objections to any and all claims filed in this Chapter 11 Case, including, without limitation, any and all of the Subject Claims, shall be reserved. Any and all rights, claims and defenses of the Trustee and its estate with respect to any and all of the Subject Claims shall be reserved, and nothing included in or omitted from the Objection is intended or shall be deemed to impair, prejudice, waive or otherwise affect any rights, claims, or defenses of the Trustee with respect to the Subject Claims.
- 5. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over any and all affected parties with respect to any and all matters, claims or rights arising from or related to the implementation or interpretation of this Order.

Schedule 1

(1) Name of Claimant	(2) Claim Number	(3) Claim Amount	(4) Reason for Disallowance
Koury, Ernest	22	\$31,384.31	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Kowalski, Eric R	117	\$1,500.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Krishnamoorthy, Malathy	163	\$273.42	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Kumalo, Barasa	120	\$3,919.45	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Kumar, Brajesh	32	\$3,807.25	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Kurian, Vimal	230	\$1,814.02	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Kwok, Raymond	185	\$341.62	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Lam, Thanh	96	\$775.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Lazar, Lynn	135	\$21,945.02	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Le, Jennie	307	\$240.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Le, Vivian Thanh-Vi	112	\$300.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Lee, Kiho	118	\$6.40	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Lee, Kil Dong	101	\$47,098.30	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Lim, Frolich	70	\$1,023.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Lim, Pooreun	224	\$1,648.54	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Linscomb, Christine	305	\$3,711.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Logitharaj, Sinthuja	169	\$24,206.92	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Lu, Phuong Yen	187	\$3,038.87	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Lung, Steven	43	\$7,437.50	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Luong, Wesley	134	\$445.01	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim

(1) Name of Claimant	(2) Claim Number	(3) Claim Amount	(4) Reason for Disallowance
Lyon, Christopher	6	\$3,882.76	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
MacAlpine, Russell	48	\$1,186.93	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Maker, Ryan	28	\$41.18	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Malepati, Umasankara Rao	322	\$223.60	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Man, Ben S	60	\$8,977.50	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Mangal, Chandradat	132	\$1,000.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Marco, Julienne De	300	\$2,534.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Margaryan, Lusine	31	\$200.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Mastromarino, Paul	85	\$997.95	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
McCarry, James	122	\$20,000.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
McCullough, Lavarvius	91	\$8,737.59	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
McDougal,Yvonne L Borg	314	\$329.92	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
McGinnis, Catherine	204	\$179.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Meeks, Dolores	154	\$2,500.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Moss, Aruemhye	146	\$98.53	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Murray, Joseph	200	\$44,084.08	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Nazakat, Abu	138	\$16,341.50	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Nesser, Mark	219	\$507.85	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Newrider, David A	93	\$60,389.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Ngo, Tien	188	\$12,810.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim

(1) Name of Claimant	(2) Claim Number	(3) Claim Amount	(4) Reason for Disallowance
Nguyen, An T	94	\$3,489.12	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Nguyen, Tien	81	\$28,000.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Nowak, Timothy M	289	\$1,869.37	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Omage, Jonathan I	298	\$97.01	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Orr, Kristian	313	\$3,900.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Patchen, Jennifer	177	\$132.55	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Patel, Kalpesh	110	\$3,930.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Patel, Mayurkumar	256	\$182.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Patel, Narottam J	53	BLANK	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Patel, Rajnikant P	153	\$6,658.40	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Patel, Rajnikant P	178	\$928.48	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Peck, Austin Travis	151	\$3,395.99	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Philip, Nelson	218	\$8,302.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Pichardo, Julio Cesar Ferreira	98	\$76.27	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Ping, Yip Tsz	184	\$2,965.98	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Pope, Erica	140	\$54.95	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Prior, Casey	253	\$87.50	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Qiu, Anne	262	\$1,526.55	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Quintana, Amanda	272	\$90.70	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Ramakrishnan, Vigneshkumar	328	\$3,200.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim

(1) Name of Claimant	(2) Claim Number	(3) Claim Amount	(4) Reason for Disallowance
Ramon, Julio Cesar	130	\$638.33	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Rana, Ha	223	\$1,946.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Rapoport, Nick	126		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Rickaby, Barbara	237	\$565.74	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Rijkens, Irene	324	\$53.44	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Rusten, John	41	\$60.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Salami, Wisdom Ikhuoria	221	\$2,841.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Sanka, Venkata Siva Kedar Nadh Gupta	202	\$156.30	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Saputra, Henky	90	\$196.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Sareban, Mostafa	49	\$198,870.53	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Sarpong, Sylvester	254	\$1,795.64	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Sato, Matthew	56	BLANK	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Schneider, Amber	65	\$2,216.63	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Sellers, Steven	61	\$270.27	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Seyarto, Stephen	270	\$2.86	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Shaikh, Sahil Faruk	327	\$5,099.57	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Sharifi, Roohullah and Kamila	213	\$6,300.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Sheppard, Ellen		\$100.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Sierra Trejo, Erick B		\$10,000.00	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Sikorski, Eugene		\$1,570.02	Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim

(1) Name of Claimant	(2) Claim Number	(3) Claim Amount	(4) Reason for Disallowance
Singh, Rajbir	255		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Smith III, Willie-Jay	64		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Smith, Fran	266		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Smith, Michael	215		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Smith, Santina G	239		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Spokoiny, Elizabeth	52		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Sprunger, Preston Lee	193		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Stanislaus, Peter Castaga	129		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Stone, Timothy J	38		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Tchijik, Alexandre	174		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Temel, Serdar	220		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Tempesta, Christine	290		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Thieke, Timothy	167		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Thomas, Nicolas	149		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Thompson, Bradley	125		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Thornton, Benjamin	141		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Thorp, William	196		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Tran, Huyson	287		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Turner, Eddie	180		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim
Uselton, Robert	66		Claim was filed on account of the claimant's ownership of an equity interest in the Debtor, and thus should be disallowed as a claim

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:

Chapter 11

Zosano Pharma Corporation,

Case No. 22-10506 (JKS)

Obj. Date: June 1, 2023 at 4:00 p.m. (ET)
Hearing Date: June 15, 2023 at 12:00 p.m. (ET)

NOTICE OF OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on May 8, 2023, SierraConstellation Partners, LLC, as Liquidating Trustee (the "<u>Trustee</u>") in the bankruptcy case of the above-captioned debtor (the "<u>Debtor</u>"), filed the *Liquidating Trustee's Second (Non-Substantive) Omnibus Objection to the Allowance of Certain Claims Pursuant to Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rules 3007, and Local Rule 3007-1 (the "Objection").*

THE OBJECTION SEEKS TO ALTER YOUR RIGHTS. THEREFORE, YOU SHOULD READ THE OBJECTION AND THE EXHIBITS THERETO CAREFULLY AND DISCUSS THEM WITH YOUR ATTORNEY. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE AN ATTORNEY, YOU MAY WISH TO CONSULT ONE. THE EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO THE PROPOSED ORDER LIST ALL CLAIMS THAT ARE THE SUBJECT OF THE OBJECTION.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that any response or objection to the relief sought in the Objection must be filed with the Court, 824 North Market Street, 3rd Floor, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 on or before June 1, 2023 AT 4:00 P.M. PREVAILING EASTERN TIME.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that at the same time, you must also serve a copy of the response or objection upon: counsel to the Trustee: Greenberg Traurig, LLP, The Nemours Building, 222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1600, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, Attn: Dennis A. Meloro (Dennis.Meloro@gtlaw.com); John D. Elrod (elrodj@gtlaw.com).

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT A HEARING TO CONSIDER THE RELIEF SOUGHT IN THE OBJECTION WILL BE HELD ON JUNE 15, 2023 AT 12:00 P.M. PREVAILING EASTERN TIME BEFORE THE HONORABLE KATE STICKLES, IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE, 824 N. MARKET STREET, 5TH FLOOR, COURTROOM NO. 6, WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19801.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT, IF NO OBJECTIONS OR RESPONSES TO THE OBJECTION ARE TIMELY FILED, SERVED, AND RECEIVED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS NOTICE, THE COURT MAY GRANT THE RELIEF REQUESTED THEREIN WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE OR A HEARING.

[Signature on next page]

Dated: May 8, 2023 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

/s/ Dennis A. Meloro

Dennis A. Meloro (DE Bar No. 4435) 222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1600 Wilmington, Delaware 19801 Telephone: (302) 661-7000 Facsimile: (302) 661-7360

Email: Dennis.Meloro@gtlaw.com

-and-

John D. Elrod (admitted *pro hac vice*) Terminus 200 3333 Piedmont Road NE, Suite 2500 Atlanta, Georgia 30305

Telephone: (678) 553-2100 Facsimile: (678) 553-2212 Email: elrodj@gtlaw.com

Counsel for SierraConstellation Partners, LLC, as Liquidating Trustee