

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:

AKORN, INC., *et al.*,¹

Debtors.

Chapter 11

Case No. 20-11177 (KBO)

(Jointly Administered)

Hearing Date: July 1, 2020 at 2:30 p.m. (ET)
**Original Objection Deadline: June 24, 2020 at
4:00 p.m. (ET)**

Re: Docket Nos. 101, 102 & 103

**OPT-OUT PLAINTIFFS' AMENDED LIMITED OBJECTION TO
DEBTORS' MOTION FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER (I) APPROVING THE
ADEQUACY OF THE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT, (II) APPROVING
THE SOLICITATION AND NOTICE PROCEDURES WITH RESPECT
TO CONFIRMATION OF THE JOINT CHAPTER 11 PLAN OF AKORN,
INC. AND ITS DEBTOR AFFILIATES, (III) APPROVING THE FORMS
OF BALLOTS AND NOTICES IN CONNECTION THEREWITH, AND
(IV) SCHEDULING CERTAIN DATES WITH RESPECT THERETO**

AQR Funds – AQR Multi-Strategy Alternative Fund, AQR Absolute Return Master Account L.P., AQR DELTA Sapphire Fund, L.P., AQR DELTA XN Master Account, L.P., AQR Funds – AQR Diversified Arbitrage Fund, CNH Master Account, L.P., LUMYNA – AQR Global Relative Value UCITS Fund, AQR DELTA Master Account, L.P., AQR Global Alternative Premia Master Account, L.P., Magnetar Constellation Fund II-PRA LP, Magnetar Systematic Multi-Strategy Master Fund Ltd, Magnetar PRA Master Fund Ltd, Magnetar MSW Master Fund Ltd, MProved Systematic Merger Arbitrage Fund, MProved Systematic Multi-Strategy Fund, AMX Master – Magnetar – Passive Risk Arbitrage, Blackstone Alternative Multi-Strategy Sub

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, if any, are: Akorn, Inc. (7400); 10 Edison Street LLC (7890); 13 Edison Street LLC; Advanced Vision Research, Inc. (9046); Akorn (New Jersey), Inc. (1474); Akorn Animal Health, Inc. (6645); Akorn Ophthalmics, Inc. (6266); Akorn Sales, Inc. (7866); Clover Pharmaceuticals Corp. (3735); Covenant Pharma, Inc. (0115); Hi-Tech Pharmacal Co., Inc. (8720); Inspire Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (9022); Oak Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (6647); Olta Pharmaceuticals Corp. (3621); VersaPharm Incorporated (6739); VPI Holdings Corp. (6716); and VPI Holdings Sub, LLC. The location of the Debtors' service address is: 1925 W. Field Court, Suite 300, Lake Forest, Illinois 60045.



Fund IV LLC, Blackstone Diversified Multi-Strategy Fund, Manikay Master Fund, LP, Manikay Merger Fund, LP, Twin Master Fund, Ltd., Twin Opportunities Fund, LP, and Twin Securities, Inc. (collectively, the “Opt-Out Plaintiffs”), by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby submit this limited objection (the “Objection”) to the *Debtors' Motion for Entry of an Order (I) Approving the Adequacy of the Disclosure Statement, (II) Approving the Solicitation and Notice Procedures with Respect to Confirmation of the Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Akorn, Inc. and its Debtor Affiliates, (III) Approving the Forms of Ballots and Notices in Connection Therewith, and (IV) Scheduling Certain Dates with Respect Thereto* [Docket No. 103] (the “Motion”).² In support of this Objection, the Opt-Out Plaintiffs respectfully state as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT³

1. The foremost purpose of a disclosure statement is to provide creditors and other parties in interest with the information necessary to decide whether to support a proposed plan.

2. Here, the Disclosure Statement does not contain adequate information. Although the formula in Article III.B.7 of the Plan appears intended to provide for pro rata allocation of distributions between Class 8 Akorn Interests, which are denominated in shares, and Class 7 Section 510(b) Claims, which are denominated in dollars, neither the Plan nor the Disclosure Statement explains what value will be ascribed to Akorn Interests in making that calculation. Without this information, the Disclosure Statement fails to provide adequate information for holders of Section 510(b) Claims to fully evaluate the impact of the Plan on their claims.

3. Moreover, the proposed Solicitation and Voting Procedures do not provide sufficient time for a creditor whose claim is objected to on or prior to seven (7) days before the proposed Voting Deadline of August 15, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. (ET) to file a motion and obtain entry of an order temporarily allowing its claim for voting purposes as the proposed deadline for obtaining such a “Resolution Event” is August 13, 2020. The proposed procedure could leave a

² Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

³ Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Preliminary Statement or the Motion are defined subsequently in this Objection.

creditor with a *mere five (5) days* to file a motion and obtain such an order. Accordingly, the deadline for the Debtors to file an objection to a claim that will trigger the necessity of a creditor obtaining a Resolution Event in order to vote on the Plan should be twenty-five (25) days prior to the proposed Voting Deadline, or July 21, 2020.

4. Based on the foregoing, and for the additional reasons set forth below, the approval of the Disclosure Statement should be denied unless the issues raised herein are appropriately addressed and remedied.

RELEVANT BACKGROUND

A. The Securities Litigation and Shareholder Settlement

5. On or about March 8, 2018, a securities class action was commenced against Akorn, Inc. (“Akorn”) and certain then current officers of Akorn in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois (the “Illinois District Court”) alleging violations of sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 resulting from alleged false statements and omissions concerning Akorn’s data integrity compliance. Disclosure Statement, p. 24.

6. On May 31, 2018, the Illinois District Court issued an order in the class action appointing lead plaintiffs (the “Lead Plaintiffs”), approving their selection of lead counsel and liaison counsel, and amending the case caption to *In re Akorn, Inc. Data Integrity Securities Litigation*, Civ. A. No. 1:18-cv-01713 (the “Shareholder Litigation”). Several later-filed securities lawsuits were consolidated into the Shareholder Litigation. *Id.*

7. The Opt-Out Plaintiffs filed their own complaints (the “Opt-Out Complaints”)⁴ asserting various securities and common law fraud claims and causes of action (the “Opt-Out Plaintiffs’ Claims”) against Akorn and certain of its former directors and officers (collectively, the “Defendants”) in the Illinois District Court. On February 5, 2020, the District Court denied motions by the Defendants to dismiss the Opt-Out Complaints.

⁴ The Opt-Out Complaints are: *Twin Master Fund, Ltd., et al. v. Akorn, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 19-cv-3648 (N.D. Ill.), *Manikay Master Fund, LP, et al. v. Akorn, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 19-cv-4651 (N.D. Ill.), *Magnetar Constellation Fund II-PRA LP, et al. v. Akorn, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 19-cv-8418 (N.D. Ill.), and *AQR Funds – AQR Multi-Strategy Alternative Fund, et al. v. Akorn, Inc., et al.*, C.A. No. 20-cv-0434 (N.D. Ill.).

8. Following mediation in 2019, Akorn and the Lead Plaintiffs agreed to settle the Shareholder Litigation and executed a definitive Stipulation and Agreement of Settlement dated as of August 9, 2019 (the “Shareholder Settlement”), which was granted final approval by the Illinois District Court pursuant to that certain *Order and Final Judgment Approving Class Action Settlement* [Shareholder Litigation Document No. 190] entered on March 13, 2020. Disclosure Statement, p. 24; Plan, Article I.A.120. The Opt-Out Plaintiffs timely exercised their right to exclude themselves from the Shareholder Settlement.

B. The Plan, Disclosure Statement and Proposed Treatment of the Opt-Out Plaintiffs’ Claims

9. On May 20, 2020 (the “Petition Date”), each of the Debtors filed a voluntary petition with this Court under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, commencing these chapter 11 cases.

10. On May 26, 2020, the Debtors filed their proposed *Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Akorn, Inc. and Its Debtor Affiliates* [Docket No. 101] (the “Plan”) and accompanying *Disclosure Statement for Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Akorn, Inc. and Its Debtors Affiliates* [Docket No. 102] (the “Disclosure Statement”).

11. The Plan includes the following classification of Claims against and Interests in the Debtors.

Class	Claims and Interests	Status	Voting Rights
Class 1	Other Priority Claims	Unimpaired	Not Entitled to Vote (Deemed to Accept)
Class 2	Other Secured Claims	Unimpaired	Not Entitled to Vote (Deemed to Accept)
Class 3	Term Loan Claims	Impaired	Entitled to Vote
Class 4	General Unsecured Claims	Impaired	Entitled to Vote
Class 5	Intercompany Claims	Unimpaired / Impaired	Not Entitled to Vote (Deemed to Accept) / Not Entitled to Vote (Deemed to Reject)

Class	Claims and Interests	Status	Voting Rights
Class 6	Intercompany Interests	Unimpaired	Not Entitled to Vote (Deemed to Accept)
Class 7	Section 510(b) Claims	Impaired	Entitled to Vote
Class 8	Akorn Interests	Impaired	Entitled to Vote

12. A “Section 510(b) Claim” is defined in the Plan as: “any Claim against any of the Debtors that is subordinated under section 510(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, including, for the avoidance of doubt, the Fresenius Litigation Claims and any Shareholder Litigation Claims⁵ not settled pursuant to the Shareholder Settlement.” Thus, by definition, because the Opt-Out Plaintiffs’ Claims constitute Shareholder Litigation Claims that were not settled pursuant to the Shareholder Settlement, they are classified as Section 510(b) Claims in Class 7 under the Plan.

13. The Plan provides the following proposed treatment of Section 510(b) Claims for distribution purposes:

On the Effective Date, in full and final satisfaction, compromise, settlement, release, and discharge of its Claim, each Holder of an Allowed Class 7 Section 510(b) Claim shall receive its Pro Rata⁶ share of the Distributable Proceeds,⁷ if any, pursuant to the Waterfall Recovery;⁸ *provided that* for purposes of receiving the treatment provided herein, each Holder of an Allowed Section 510(b) Claim shall be treated as if such Holder held a number of Allowed Class 8

⁵ “Shareholder Litigation Claims” is defined in the Plan as: “any Claim relating to the Shareholder Litigation.” “Shareholder Litigation” is defined in the Plan as: “that certain litigation captioned *In re Akorn, Inc. Data Integrity Securities Litigation*, Civ. A. No. 1:18-cv-01713 (N.D. Ill. Mar. 8, 2018).”

⁶ “Pro Rata” is defined in the Plan as: “the proportion that an Allowed Claim or an Allowed Interest in a particular Class bears to the aggregate amount of Allowed Claims or Interests in that Class.”

⁷ “Distributable Proceeds” is defined in the Plan as: “all Cash of the Debtors on or after the Effective Date, after giving effect to the funding of the Professional Fee Escrow Account.”

⁸ “Waterfall Recovery” is defined in the Plan as: “the priority distribution of Distributable Proceeds, which shall be allocated and paid to the Holders of Claims or Interests, as applicable, until paid in full from time to time in the following priority (in each case on a Pro Rata basis): (a) first, on account of Allowed Administrative Priority Claims, DIP Facility Claims, and Priority Tax Claims; (b) second, on account of Allowed Other Secured Claims; (c) third, on account of Allowed Other Priority Claims; (d) fourth, on account of Allowed Term Loan Claims; (v) fifth, on account of any Allowed General Unsecured Claims that are not assumed by the Purchaser; and (e) sixth, on account of Allowed Section 510(b) Claims and Allowed Akorn Interests.”

Akorn Interests equal in value to the amount of its Allowed Section 510(b) Claim.

Plan, Article III.B.7.

14. The formula embodied in Article III.B.7 of the Plan appears intended to provide for pro rata allocation of distributions between Class 8 Akorn Interests, which are denominated in shares, and Class 7 Section 510(b) Claims, which are denominated in dollars. However, neither the Plan nor the Disclosure Statement explains what value will be ascribed to Akorn Interests in making that calculation.

OBJECTION

I. The Disclosure Statement Fails to Provide Adequate Information Regarding the Plan's Treatment of Section 510(b) Claims

15. The Disclosure Statement should not be approved because it does not provide holders of Section 510(b) Claims with “adequate information” necessary to make an informed judgment about the Plan as required by section 1125(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

16. The purpose of a disclosure statement is “to inform equity holders and claimants, as fully as possible, about the probable financial results of acceptance or rejection of a particular plan...” *In re Scioto Valley Mortg. Co.*, 88 B.R. 168, 170 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio 1988) (Congress intended the disclosure statement “to be the primary source of information upon which creditors and shareholders could rely in making an informed judgment about a plan of reorganization.”); *In re Ferretti*, 128 B.R. 16, 19 (Bankr. D.N.H. 1991) (A proposed disclosure statement “must clearly and succinctly inform the average unsecured creditor what i it, and what contingencies there are to getting its distribution.”).

17. Indeed, the Third Circuit has emphasized the importance of adequate disclosure, given the reliance creditors and bankruptcy courts place on disclosure statements. *See, e.g., Oneida Motor Freight, Inc. v. United Jersey Bank (In re Oneida Motor Freight, Inc.)*, 848 F.2d 414, 417 (3d Cir. 1988) (“[W]e cannot overemphasize the debtor’s obligation to provide sufficient data to satisfy the Code standard of adequate information.”). “Adequate information” is defined as “information of a kind, and in sufficient detail, as far as is reasonably practicable in

light of the nature and history of the debtor and the condition of the debtor's books and records . . . that would enable . . . a hypothetical investor of the relevant class to make an informed judgment about the plan." 11 U.S.C. § 1125(a)(1). Whether a disclosure statement provides "adequate information will be determined by the facts and circumstances of each case." *In re Oneida Motor Freight, Inc.*, 848 F.2d at 417.

18. The Disclosure Statement and Plan must be clarified in order to explain what value will be ascribed to Akorn Interests in making the calculation contemplated by the formula embodied in Article III.B.7 of the Plan in order to provide for a pro rata allocation of distributions between Class 8 Akorn Interests, which are denominated in shares, and Class 7 Section 510(b) Claims, which are denominated in dollars. Without this information, the Disclosure Statement fails to provide adequate information for holders of Section 510(b) Claims to fully evaluate the impact of the Plan on their claims.

19. Because the Plan provides for the liquidation of the Debtors' assets, the appropriate value of equity is simply whatever Distributable Proceeds remain in the estates after payment of all classes senior to Classes 7 and 8 - *i.e.*, the residual value of the Debtors' estates. That value, divided by the number of shares of stock issued and outstanding, represents an appropriate per-share price for use in converting the amount of a Class 7 Section 510(b) Claim to a hypothetical number of shares for purposes of the pro rata allocation of distributions among Classes 7 and 8. However, the Disclosure Statement and Plan are silent on this issue.⁹

20. Accordingly, the Disclosure Statement lacks adequate information and fails to comply with section 1125(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

⁹ By way of example, if \$1,000 remains for distribution after payment of all claims senior to equity, and there are 1,000 shares of Class 8 Akorn Interests issued and outstanding, the residual value per share is \$1. If there are \$500 of allowed Class 7 Section 510(b) Claims, those claims convert to 500 hypothetical common shares (\$500 / \$1 per share), for a total of 1,500 (1,000 + 500) shares for purposes of the pro rata allocation of distributions under the formula in Article III.B.7 of the Plan. The \$1,000 of Distributable Proceeds would be allocated 2/3 (1,000 shares / 1,500 total shares) to Class 8 and 1/3 (500 hypothetical shares / 1,500 total shares) to Class 7, each for further pro rata distribution to individual holders in those classes.

II. The Proposed Solicitation and Voting Procedures Should be Adjusted to Protect Creditor Rights

21. The proposed Solicitation and Voting Procedures do not provide a reasonable amount of time for a creditor whose claim is objected to on or prior to seven (7) days before the proposed Voting Deadline (August 15, 2020 at 5:00 p.m. (ET)) to file a motion and obtain entry of an order temporarily allowing its claim for voting purposes as the proposed deadline for obtaining such a “Resolution Event” is August 13, 2020. *See* Section 3.C. of the Solicitation and Voting Procedures, attached as Schedule 2 to the proposed Disclosure Statement Order. This could leave a creditor with a *mere five (5) days* to file a motion and obtain such an order. Accordingly, the deadline for the Debtors to file an objection to a claim that will trigger the necessity of a creditor obtaining a Resolution Event in order to vote on the Plan should be twenty-five (25) days prior to the proposed Voting Deadline, or July 21, 2020.

RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

22. The Opt-Out Plaintiffs reserve all rights, claims, defenses, and remedies, including, without limitation, to supplement and amend this Objection, to raise further and other objections, to introduce evidence at any hearing regarding the Disclosure Statement in the event this Objection is not resolved prior to such hearing, and to seek to introduce documents or other relevant information in support of the positions set forth in this Objection.

23. The Opt-Out Plaintiffs further reserve the right to object to confirmation of the Plan on any and all appropriate grounds, including, without limitation, that the Plan’s classification scheme is improper under section 1122 of the Bankruptcy Code.

Dated: June 27, 2020
Wilmington, Delaware

Respectfully Submitted,

THE ROSNER LAW GROUP LLC

/s/ Jason A. Gibson

Frederick B. Rosner (DE 3995)
Jason A. Gibson (DE 6091)
824 N. Market Street, Suite 810
Wilmington, DE 19801
T: (302) 777-111
rosner@teamrosner.com
gibson@teamrosner.com

- and -

LOWENSTEIN SANDLER LLP

Lawrence M. Rolnick, Esq.
Michael J. Hampson, Esq.
Wojciech F. Jung, Esq.
Michael Savetsky, Esq.
1251 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020
T: 212-262-6700
F: 212-262-7402
lrolnick@lowenstein.com
mhampson@lowenstein.com
wjung@lowenstein.com
msavetsky@lowenstein.com

Counsel to the Opt-Out Plaintiffs