1	Dina L. Yunker, WSBA #16889 Assistant Attorney General	Judge Whitman L. Holt Chapter 11
2	Bankruptcy & Collections Unit	Chapter 11
3	800 Fifth Avenue, #2000	
4	Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 389-2198	
5	Attorney for Washington State Health Care Authority	
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	In re	Lead Case No. 19-01189
10		Jointly Administered
11	ASTRIA HEALTH, el al.,	
12	Debtors and	STATE OF WASHINGTON HEALTH CARE AUTHORITY'S
13	Debtors In Possession <sup>1</sup>	OBJECTION TO
14		CONFIRMATION
15	COMES NOW the State of Washington Health Care Authority (HCA) and	
16 17	objects to confirmation of the debtors' Second Amended Chapter 11 Plan of	
18	Reorganization, Docket No. 1986, on the grounds that without further	
19	clarification, it does not appear that the plan is feasible within the meaning of 11	
20	U.S.C. §§ 1129(a) (9) and (a)(11). The plan does not appear feasible because	
21	0.5.C. §§ 1129(a) (9) and (a)(11). The plan	n does not appear leasible because
22		
23		
24	<sup>1</sup> The Debtors, along with their case numbers, are as follows: Astria Health (19-01189), Glacier Canyon, LLC (19-01193), Kitchen and Bath Furnishings, LLC (19-01194) Oxbow Summit, LLC (19-01195), SHS Holdco,	
25	LLC (19-01196), SCH Medical Center – Toppenish (19-01190), SCH Medical Center – Yakima (19-01192), Sunnyside Community Hospital Association (19-01191), Sunnyside Community Hospital Home Medical Supply,	
26	LLC (19-01197), Sunnyside Home Health (19-01198), Sunnyside Home Health (19-01198), Sunnyside Home Health (19-01201), and Yakima Home Care Holdings, LLC (19-01201), and Yakima	nyside Professional Services, LLC (19-01199),

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL
Bankruptcy & Collections Unit 1901189201204000000000018

1

although the debtors purport to assume two executory contracts with the Health Care Authority, neither of the contracts are identifiable as Medicaid Core Provider Agreements and Medicaid Core Provider Agreements are necessary if the hospitals intend to continue participating in the Medicaid fee-for-service and other Medicaid reimbursement programs. Additionally, the process described in the Order (I) Fixing the First Interim Bar Date for Filing Certain Post-Petition Administrative Expense Claims and (II) Approving the Form of Notice of the Administrative Expense Claims Bar Date may have left unaccounted for post-petition claims that were not required to be noted for hearing.

1. <u>Medicaid Core Provider Agreements</u>. On November 25, 2020,
Debtors filed a Notice of Filing of Certain Plan Supplements to the Second
Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization of Astria Health and Its
Debtor Affiliates ("Plan Supplement"). Exhibit A to the Plan Supplement is a
four page Schedule of Assumed Agreements. There are only two entries
respecting contracts held with HCA. One entry describes a contract held by
Debtor SCH Medical Center – Toppenish as: "10\_17\_18 Final Draft Contract
10 19 18.docx Professional Services Contract" and indicates an Effective Date
of 9/1/2018 and a Cure Amount of \$2,823,612.00. Docket No. 2013 at Exhibit
A, page 8. The other entry describes a contract held by Debtor Astria Health

(which is not itself a hospital), as: "BasicHealth.pdf Health Care Authority Basic Health" and indicates an Effective Date of 8/20/2007 and a Cure Amount of \$775,430.00. Id.

Because it is not clear that the Medicaid Core Provider Agreements are being assumed, HCA must assume that, pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 365(b)(1), the Medicare Core Provider Agreements that SCH Medical Center – Toppenish and Sunnyside Community Hospital Association currently hold with HCA are rejected. Unless the hospitals negotiate new Medicaid Core Provider Agreements with HCA, they will not be eligible to participate in Medicaid feefor-service and other reimbursement programs related to being a Medicaid Core Provider and stand to recover significantly less in reimbursement than they had been recovering as providers of Medicaid services.

2. <u>Description of Administrative Expense Process Conflicts with Instructions Set Forth in The Administrative Claims Bar Date Order</u>. Debtors' plan states:

Holders of Administrative Claims incurred during the period from and after the Petition Date until the date of entry of the Administrative Claims Bar Date Order were required to File and serve a request for payment of such Administrative Claims and those that did not File and serve such a request by the Administrative Claims Bar date are forever barred, estopped, and enjoined from asserting such Administrative Claims against the Debtors or their Estates, and such Administrative

Claims shall be deemed discharged as of the Effective Date, except as provided in the Plan.

Docket No. 1986 at Section II (D)(1)(b).

However, the Order (I) Fixing the First Interim Bar Date for Filing

Certain Post-Petition Administrative Expense Claims and (II) Approving the

Form of Notice of the Administrative Expense Claims Bar Date (Docket No.

1416) did not require that parties file and serve a request for payment of

administrative expense claims. Rather, it required that parties file a pleading,

supported by documentary evidence. Moreover, it specified that creditors are not
required to set the matter for hearing. Specifically, per the Order,

Each person or entity (including, without limitation, each individual, partnership, joint venture, limited liability company, corporation, estate, trust or governmental unit) asserting a claim as defined in § 101(5) against the Debtors that constitutes and Administrative Expense Claim (other than holders of Excluded Claims) is required to file a pleading with the Bankruptcy Court on or before the Administrative Expense Claims Bar Date, in which the creditor indicates the amount of its asserted Administrative Expense Claim and attaches as an exhibit all documentary evidence in support of its asserted Administrative Expense Claim and serve that pleading on counsel for the Debtors. The creditor is not required to set the matter for hearing.

Docket No. 1416 at page 3.

Moreover, the instructions in the Notice are that:

In order to assert a timely Administrative Expense Claim, a creditor must file a pleading with the Bankruptcy Court at the following address: U.S. Bankruptcy Court, P.O. Box 2164, Spokane, WA 99201-2164 via U.S.

Mail, in person, or by other hand delivery system, or electronically via the CM/ECF system at <a href="https://ecf.waeb.uscourts.gov/">https://ecf.waeb.uscourts.gov/</a>, on or before the Administrative Expense Claims Bar Date, in which the creditor indicates the amount of its asserted Administrative Expense Claim and attaches as an exhibit all documentary evidence in support of its asserted Administrative Expense Claim and serve that pleading on counsel for the Debtors, whose names and addresses appear in the upper, left-hand corner of the first page of this Notice. The creditor is not required to set the matter for hearing.

Id. at page 13.

Although 11 USC § 503 contemplates that allowance of administrative expenses entails notice and a hearing, in this case, the court's order specified that matter need not be set for hearing. As instructed, HCA timely filed its pleadings by means of proofs of claim designating 11 U.S.C. § 507 (a)(2) as the basis for administrative priority. Accompanying each of HCA's administrative expense claims were a Declaration In Support of Washington Health Care Authority's Administrative Expense Claim and numerous exhibits documenting unpaid invoices. See Claim No. 95-1 filed in jointly administered case number 19-01192 and Claim No. 70-1 together with docket number 15, which is the Supplement to Claim 70-1 filed in jointly administered case number 19-01190.

Given that both the Order and the Notice of First Interim Bar Date For Filing Administrative Expense Claims instructed creditors with administrative expense claims that they need only file a pleading supported by documentary

evidence and need not note the matter for hearing, it is possible that other	
creditors have filed Administrative Expense Claims without yet bringing the	
matter for hearing. If so, unless Debtors have examined all proofs of claim filed	
in the jointly administered cases, the amount Debtors must reserve to fund the	
Administrative and Priority Claims Reserve Amount may be greater than	
anticipated in the Plan, conceivably bringing into question feasibility.	
Respectfully submitted this 4 <sup>th</sup> day of December 2020.	
ROBERT W. FERGUSON	
Attorney General	
DINA L. YUNKER,	
WSBA No. 16889	
Assistant Attorney General Bankruptcy & Collections Unit	