

**FOLEY & LARDNER LLP**

Eileen R. Ridley (CA Bar No. 151735)

[Tel: \(415\) 438-6469](tel:(415)438-6469); [eridley@foley.com](mailto:eridley@foley.com)Ann Marie Uetz (admitted *pro hac vice*)Tel: (313) 234-7114; [auetz@foley.com](mailto:auetz@foley.com)Matthew D. Lee (admitted *pro hac vice*)Tel: (608) 258-4203; [mdlee@foley.com](mailto:mdlee@foley.com)

Thomas F. Carlucci (CA Bar No. 135767)

Tel: (415) 984-9824; [tcarlucchi@foley.com](mailto:tcarlucchi@foley.com)

Jeffrey R. Blease (CA Bar. No. 134933)

Tel: (617) 226-3155; [jblease@foley.com](mailto:jblease@foley.com)

555 California Street, Suite 1700

San Francisco, CA 94104-1520

*Counsel for the Debtor  
and Debtor in Possession***UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT****NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA****OAKLAND DIVISION**

In re:

THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF  
OAKLAND, a California corporation sole,

Debtor.

CHAPTER 11

CASE No: 23-40523 WJL

HON. WILLIAM J. LAFFERTY

**RCBO'S RESPONSE AND OPPOSITION  
TO PACIFIC INDEMNITY COMPANY,  
CENTURY INDEMNITY COMPANY,  
INSURANCE COMPANY OF NORTH  
AMERICA, PACIFIC EMPLOYERS  
INSURANCE COMPANY, AND  
WESTCHESTER FIRE INSURANCE  
COMPANY'S MOTION TO DISMISS FOR  
FAILURE TO STATE A CLAIM**THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF  
OAKLAND,

PLAINTIFF,

v.

PACIFIC INDEMNITY, a Delaware  
corporation; INSURANCE COMPANY OF  
AMERICA, a Delaware corporation; AETNA  
INSURANCE COMPANY, a Delaware  
corporation; TRAVELERS INSURANCE

ADVERSARY CASE No. 23-04028 WJL



1 COMPANY, a Delaware corporation;  
2 CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S  
3 OF LONDON SUBSCRIBING TO  
4 SYNDICATES 2623 (AFB) AND 623 (AFB),  
5 a foreign organization; INSURANCE  
6 COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA, a  
7 Delaware corporation; ACE LIMITED, a  
8 foreign organization; UNITED STATES FIRE  
9 INSURANCE, a Delaware corporation;  
10 EMPLOYERS REINSURANCE, a Delaware  
11 corporation; CNA INSURANCE COMPANY,  
12 a Delaware corporation; PACIFIC  
13 EMPLOYERS INSURANCE, a Delaware  
14 corporation; WESTCHESTER FIRE  
15 INSURANCE COMPANY, a Pennsylvania  
16 corporation; and the CALIFORNIA  
17 INSURANCE GUARANTEE ASSOCIATION,  
18 a state entity,

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
DEFENDANTS.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION.....	5
II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.....	6
III. LEGAL STANDARD .....	8
IV. ARGUMENT .....	9
A. Moving Insurers' Broker Argument Is Simply False and In Bad Faith .....	9
B. RCBO Pleads Plausible Claims Under Federal Pleading Standards.....	9
C. RCBO States a Plausible Claim for Breach of Contract as to the Primary Policies .....	10
D. RCBO States a Plausible Claim for Breach of Contract as to the Excess and Umbrella Policies .....	13
E. RCBO States a Plausible Claim for Declaratory Relief as to the Primary Policies .....	14
F. RCBO States a Plausible Claim for Declaratory Relief as to the Excess and Umbrella Policies .....	16
V. CONCLUSION.....	20

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

### Cases

<i>Allstate Ins. Co. v. Huerta</i> , 2006 WL 2655239 (E.D. Cal. Sept. 13, 2006) .....	13, 17
<i>Am. Mail Line, Ltd. v. United States</i> , 213 F. Supp. 152 (W.D. Wash. 1962) .....	16
<i>Ashcroft v. Iqbal</i> , 566 U.S. 662 (2009) .....	9
<i>Baugh Construction Co. v. Mission Ins. Co.</i> , 836 F.2d 1164 (9th Cir. 1988) .....	16
<i>Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly</i> , 550 U.S. 544 (2007) .....	8, 9
<i>Calderon v. Ashmus</i> , 523 US 740 (1998) .....	15
<i>Century Indemnity Co. v. The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco</i> , No. CGC-23-607975, 2023 WL 4896430 (Cal. Super. Ct., San Francisco Cnty. July 28, 2023) .....	17
<i>Cont'l Cas. Co. v. Homecorp Mgmt., Inc.</i> , No. 2:10-cv-566, 2010 WL 5301030 (M.D. Ala. Dec. 20, 2010) .....	17
<i>Fremont Reorganizing Corp. v. Fed. Ins. Co.</i> , 2010 WL 444718 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 1, 2010) .....	14
<i>Galusha v. Unigard Ins. Co.,,</i> No. C 18-06905 SBA, 2019 WL 8128571 (N.D. Cal. June 28, 2019) .....	15
<i>Heidingsfelder v. Ameriprise Auto &amp; Home Ins.</i> , 2020 WL 5702111 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 24, 2020) .....	8, 10
<i>In re County Seat Stores</i> , 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1555 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 31, 2002) .....	18
<i>In re Diocese of Buffalo, N.Y.</i> , 616 B.R. 10 (Bankr. W.D.N.Y. 2020) .....	10, 12, 17, 18
<i>In re Longview Power, LLC</i> , 516 BR 282 (Bankr. D. Del. 2014) .....	12, 17, 18, 19
<i>In re Singh</i> , 457 B.R. 790 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2011) .....	17

1	<i>In re U.S. Lines, Inc.</i> ,	
2	197 F.3d 631 (2d Cir. 1999).....	18, 19
3	<i>Lazy Y Ranch Ltd. v. Behrens</i> ,	
4	546 F.3d 580 (9th Cir. 2008).....	8
5	<i>Ludgate Insurance Co. v. Lockheed Martin Corp.</i> ,	
6	82 Cal. App. 4th 592 (2000).....	7, 16, 17, 19
7	<i>Marks v. UMG Recordings, Inc.</i> ,	
8	2023 WL 4532774 (9th Cir. July 13, 2023) .....	14
9	<i>MedImmune, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.</i> ,	
10	549 US 118 (2007) .....	15
11	<i>Montrose Chemical Corp. of Cal. v. Admiral Ins. Co.</i> , ,	
12	10 Cal. 4th 645 (Sup. Ct. Cal. 1995) .....	12
13	<i>N. River Ins. Co. v. Leffingwell Ag Sales Co.</i> ,	
14	2011 WL 304579 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 27, 2011).....	15
15	<i>N. River Ins. Co. v. Marietta Cellars, Inc.</i> ,	
16	2015 WL 6954976 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 10, 2015).....	15
17	<i>Office Sys., Inc. v. Eastman Kodak Co.</i> ,	
18	805 F. Supp. 642 (N.D. Ill. 1992) .....	16
19	<i>Pruco Life Ins. Co. v. Cal. Energy Dev., Inc.</i> ,	
20	2020 WL 7226184 (S.D. Cal. Dec. 8, 2020).....	9
21	<i>San Mateo Union High School Dist. v. County of San Mateo</i> ,	
22	213 Cal.App 4th 418 (2013).....	11
23	<i>Starr v. Baca</i> ,	
24	652 F.3d 1202(9th Cir. 2011).....	10
25	<i>State Farm Fire &amp; Cas. Co. v. LiMauro</i> ,	
26	481 N.Y.S.2d 90 (1984) .....	13, 17
27	<i>The Housing Group v. PMA Capital Ins. Co.</i> ,	
28	193 Cal. App. 4 <sup>th</sup> 1150 (2011).....	14
	<i>Terzian v. Cal. Cas. Indem. Exch.</i> ,	
	42 Cal. App. 3d 942 (1974).....	14
	<i>United States v. Transport Indem. Co.</i> ,	
	544 F2d 393 (9 <sup>th</sup> Cir. 1976).....	15
	<i>Westport Ins. Corp. v. M.L. Sullivan Ins. Agency, Inc.</i> ,	
	No. 15-cv-7294, 2016 WL 7569263 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 22, 2016) .....	17

**Statutes**

28 U.S.C. § 2201 ..... 14

**Rules**

Cal. Code. Civ. P. § 1060..... 14, 17

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6) ..... 8

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(e) ..... 10

**Regulations**

Cal. Code. Reg. §§ 2695.2(s), 2695.7(b) ..... 12, 13, 14

## I. INTRODUCTION

The Roman Catholic Bishop of Oakland (“RCBO”), plaintiff in the above-captioned adversary proceeding (the “Adversary Proceeding”), and the debtor and debtor in possession in the underlying Chapter 11 bankruptcy case (the “Chapter 11 Case”) currently faces almost 400 claims related to alleged sexual abuse by RCBO personnel stretching back to the 1960s. In an effort to fairly compensate the victims bringing these abuse claims, RCBO turned to its insurers, seeking coverage under primary, excess, and umbrella liability insurance policies that RCBO has maintained since that time. Despite RCBO’s diligent, ongoing process of tendering defense and indemnity of claims as they were filed, the defendant insurers named in this Adversary Proceeding have failed to provide the agreed-upon coverage, and at times have failed to respond at all, forcing RCBO to file the instant Adversary Proceeding to recoup insurance proceeds.

Pacific Indemnity Company, Century Indemnity Company, as successor to CCI Insurance Company, as successor to Insurance Company of North America, Pacific Employers Insurance Company, and Westchester Fire Insurance Company (collectively, “Moving Insurers”) now bring another Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim (“Motion”) as to RCBO’s Third Amended Complaint (“TAC”). RCBO is astonished that, in the current case posture, the Moving Insurers would bring another motion to dismiss. At the hearing on Moving Insurers’ last motion to dismiss (on RCBO’s initial Complaint), the Court granted the motion in part, but granted leave for RCBO to amend its Complaint, and laid out specific steps it wanted to see RCBO take in amending its Complaint to satisfy the applicable pleading standard. RCBO has followed the Court’s instructions closely and fully. Despite this, Moving Insurers still take another cynical bite at the apple. They now claim that the TAC should be dismissed because RCBO has not pleaded when it tendered the claims to Moving Insurers. This is as ridiculous as it is false. In its Exhibit A attached to the TAC, RCBO identifies, among a plethora of information, when the claims were tendered to Moving Insurers to the best of its belief and knowledge. Similarly, Moving Insurers’ argument that they have sufficiently responded to tenders and therefore there is no breach of contract is unconvincing. Moving Insurers’ motion is merely an exercise in delay and a complete waste of time that seeks to have RCBO prove their entire case at the pleading stage. It should be denied.

## II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

On May 8, 2023, RCBO filed a voluntary petition for chapter 11 bankruptcy relief under the Bankruptcy Code. RCBO continues to operate its ministry and manage its properties as a debtor in possession under sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in the Chapter 11 Case.

RCBO is a corporation sole organized under the laws of the State of California. It filed this bankruptcy case to ensure that survivors of childhood sexual abuse by priests or other employees of the Debtor would be fairly and justly compensated. The underlying sexual abuse cases have been consolidated in *In re Northern California Clergy Cases*, JCCP 5108.

RCBO has maintained insurance coverage through a series of primary, excess, and umbrella insurers from the early 1960s through the present day. The relevant policies for purposes of this motion brought by Moving Insurers are:

- Pacific Indemnity Policy No. LAC127792 for March 12, 1962-October 25, 1963; and Pacific Indemnity Policy No. LAC 155598 for October 25, 1963-October 25, 1966 (“Primary Policies”).
- Insurance Company of North America Policy No. XBC 24312 for October 25, 1966 to October 25, 1970 (“Excess Policies”).
- Pacific Employers Insurance Policy No. XCC 01 2405 for March 13, 1985-December 1, 1985 (“Umbrella Policies”).

RCBO has been named in approximately 400 complaints seeking recovery for alleged negligent supervision and hiring of clerical and ministerial personnel over a period of decades. Attached to its Complaint is a list of pending suits for which it has received notice or service. RCBO tendered defense and indemnity of the suits to Moving Insurers through its broker under all applicable insurance policies. These insurance policies issued by various insurance carriers, including each of the Moving Insurers, provide coverage for many if not all of the sexual abuse claims asserted against RCBO and constitute the most significant source of recovery for the alleged abuse victims in the Chapter 11 Case. Despite receipt of the tenders, the defendants to this Adversary Proceeding, including Moving Insurers, either failed to respond to the tenders, issued acknowledgments with reservations of rights, or explicitly denied



1 coverage. The insurers' refusal to provide the promised coverage has cost (and continues to cost)  
2 RCBO's estate significant amounts of money and impedes its ability to propose a confirmable plan of  
3 reorganization. RCBO has alleged that the primary insurers, including Moving Insurers, received those  
4 tenders but denied or failed to confirm coverage. RCBO has also alleged that the Moving Insurers have  
5 failed to provide defense or indemnity. Those allegations must be deemed true at this stage of the  
6 Adversary Proceeding.

7 On November 14, 2023, the Court heard arguments from the parties on the Moving Insurers' first  
8 attempt at this motion, seeking to dismiss RCBO's initial Complaint. At the hearing, the Court granted  
9 the motion in part, but also granted RCBO leave to amend its Complaint. Specifically, the Court gave  
10 RCBO guidance on how to amend its Complaint to satisfy the pleading standards at this stage of the  
11 proceeding. The Court gave the following directions:

- 12 1. "One, to the extent that the plaintiff believes that the obligation to indemnify has  
13 been triggered, the plaintiff should clarify the reasons why it believes that's the  
14 case." (Nov. 14, 2023 Tr. at 60:10-12).
- 15 2. "Two, to the extent the plaintiff believes that the duty to defend has been breached,  
16 the plaintiff should provide further details concerning the instances of the alleged  
17 breach, including but not necessarily limited to, one, the dates the plaintiff tendered  
18 the claims to the insurers, two, the dates of the...insurers' responses, if any..."  
19 (Nov. 14, 2023 Tr. at 60:13-19).
- 20 3. "[T]hree, the reasons why the plaintiff asserts that the insurers' responses, if there  
21 was a response, were unsatisfactory or deficient under California law." (Nov. 14,  
22 2023 Tr. at 60:19-21).
- 23 4. "I think there needs to be some statement consistent with [*Ludgate Insurance Co.*  
24 *v. Lockheed Martin Corp.*, 82 Cal. App. 4th 592 (2000)] [regarding] the reasonable  
25 possibility or reasonable plausibility they're looking to get to something  
26 implicating the excess policies..." (Nov. 14, 2023 Tr. at 64:17-22).

27 RCBO filed amended complaints on June 22, 2023, December 18, 2023, and now the instant  
28 TAC on January 12, 2024. Each amendment sought to further clarify the appropriate parties and/or

1 provide additional information per the Court's directions.

2 As to No. 1, RCBO included in the TAC details as to why Moving Insurers' indemnity  
3 obligations have been triggered. TAC ¶¶ 29, 32, 41, 49, 50, 51, 54, Exhibit A.

4 As to No. 2 and No. 3, RCBO prepared and attached an exhaustive Exhibit A to the TAC.  
5 Exhibit A includes the dates RCBO tendered each claim to its broker, Arthur J. Gallagher, the date that  
6 Gallagher tendered each claim to specific insurers, and the date and nature of the insurers' responses.  
7 RCBO also included in its TAC which claims Moving Insurers are responsible for, and explained why  
8 Moving Insurers responses (or lack thereof) are deficient: "To the extent that the PRIMARY  
9 DEFENDANTS have issued reservation of rights letters in response to RCBO's tenders (as reflected in  
10 Exhibit A), the PRIMARY DEFENDANTS have not actually provided the benefits of their respective  
11 policies to RCBO – i.e., actual defense and/or indemnity payments." TAC ¶ 40, see also ¶¶ 7, 11, 15, 20,  
12 40, 41, 42, Ex. A.

13 As to No. 4, RCBO specifically included statements in its TAC, stating, "RCBO contends that it  
14 is presently facing in excess of 400 Suits seeking a total amount of damages which has the substantial  
15 likelihood of being in excess of the available limits of insurance available under the PRIMARY  
16 DEFENDANTS', EXCESS DEFEDENDANTS', and UMBRELLA DEFENDANTS' respective  
17 insurance policies." TAC ¶¶ 30, 31. Moving Insurers then filed the instant Motion on January 26, 2024.

### 18 **III. LEGAL STANDARD**

19 To survive a motion to dismiss under Rule 12(b)(6), a complaint must allege "only enough facts  
20 to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face." *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570  
21 (2007). "Determining whether a complaint states a plausible claim for relief is a 'context-specific task  
22 that requires the reviewing court to draw on its judicial experience and common sense.'" *Heidingsfelder*  
23 *v. Ameriprise Auto & Home Ins.*, No. 19-CV-08255, 2020 WL 5702111, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 24,  
24 2020). In general, the "inquiry is limited to the allegations in the complaint, which are accepted as true  
25 and construed in the light most favorable to the plaintiff." *Lazy Y Ranch Ltd. v. Behrens*, 546 F.3d 580,  
26 588 (9th Cir. 2008).

27 A motion to dismiss "is not the appropriate vehicle to test the merits" of a complaint or the  
28 claims asserted therein. Instead, "on a motion to dismiss the Court's review is limited to determining

whether the factual allegations in the complaint state a plausible claim for relief.” *Pruco Life Ins. Co. v. Cal. Energy Development, Inc.*, 2020 WL 7226184, (S.D. Cal. Dec. 8, 2020).

#### IV. ARGUMENT

##### A. Moving Insurers’ Broker Argument is Simply False and in Bad Faith

Off the bat, Moving Insurers’ Motion is deceptive and misleading. Moving Insurers argue that the TAC should be dismissed because RCBO has not tendered the claims to the insurers, and argues that RCBO has only specified when it tendered the claims to its broker Gallagher—and “not when tender was made to the insurers, if it was made at all.” Motion p. 8. Specifically, Moving Insurers claim that Exhibit A to the TAC only identifies the date of tender to the broker, but not to the insurers themselves.

There is little to say other than Moving Insurers’ reading comprehension appears to have failed them. Even a cursory glance at Exhibit A reveals that the two rightmost columns of the chart are titled “Foley Tender to AJG Date” and “AJG Tendered To.” “Foley Tender to AJG Date” is the date that RCBO’s undersigned counsel tendered each particular claim to Gallagher, RCBO’s broker. “AJG Tendered To” then contains the date that each particular claim was tendered to the appropriate insurer.

For example, for Claimant Number 204 on Exhibit A, “Foley Tender to AJG Date” indicates that RCBO’s counsel tendered this claim to its broker on October 12, 2022, and again May 31, 2023 (one tender was under a Notice of Claim, and the second was under the subsequent lawsuit). The “AJG Tendered To” column then indicates that these claims were tendered by Gallagher to Chubb on July 14, 2023, and again on August 1, 2023. This same pattern is repeated throughout Exhibit A, for hundreds of claims that were tendered to Chubb.

It is astonishing that Moving Insurers would stand in front of this Court and claim that they have not received the claim tenders, or that they do not have “sufficient detail” to be able to respond. Motion. P. 9. There is no question that Moving Insurers are absolutely in possession of the tender letters. Their Motion should be denied on this basis.

##### B. RCBO Pleads Plausible Claims Under Federal Pleading Standards

Under *Twombly* and *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662 (2009), RCBO is only required to make a factual showing sufficient to state a plausible claim. The factual allegations that are taken as true must plausibly suggest an entitlement to relief, such that it is not unfair to require the opposing party to be

1 subjected to the expense of discovery and continued litigation. *Starr v. Baca*, 652 F.3d 1202, 1216 (9th  
2 Cir. 2011). The rules “[do] not impose a probability requirement at the pleading stage; it simply calls for  
3 enough fact to raise a reasonable expectation that discovery will reveal evidence” to support the  
4 allegations. *Starr v. Baca*, 652 F.3d 1202, 1217 (9th Cir. 2011) (quoting *Twombly*).

5 “Determining whether a complaint states a plausible claim for relief is a ‘context-specific task  
6 that requires the reviewing court to draw on its judicial experience and common sense.’” *Heidingsfelder*  
7 *v. Ameriprise Auto & Home Ins.*, No. 19-CV-08255, 2020 WL 5702111, at \*2 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 24, 2020)  
8 (quoting *Iqbal*). In their Motion, Moving Insurers argue that lack “sufficient detail for the Moving  
9 Insurers to respond” Motion p. 9. However, the TAC unquestionably puts Moving Insurers on notice  
10 that they are being sued for a declaratory judgment and breach of contract damages arising from their  
11 failure to provide insurance coverage for the sexual abuse lawsuits identified in Exhibit A to the TAC  
12 for which Debtor has tendered coverage.

13 The bankruptcy court in *In re Diocese of Buffalo, N.Y.*, 616 B.R. 10 (Bankr. W.D.N.Y. 2020)  
14 denied a Rule 12(e) motion involving a virtually identical complaint. There, as here, the debtor diocese  
15 filed an adversary proceeding against its insurers for breach of contract and a declaratory judgment of  
16 insurance coverage. The complaint at issue in that case, like here, alleged in general terms that the  
17 defendant insurers had issued insurance policies to the debtor, the insurance policies covered underlying  
18 sexual abuse claims asserted against the debtor, the debtor had tendered notice of the claims to the  
19 defendant insurers, and the defendant insurers had failed to acknowledge their coverage obligations. *See*  
20 *In re Diocese of Buffalo*, Case No. 1:20-ap-1009, Dkt. No. 1 (S.D.N.Y.). Although the complaint did  
21 not allege any of the five categories of information that Pacific incorrectly asserts Debtor’s complaint  
22 must contain in their concurrently filed motion, the court nevertheless held that “the debtor’s complaint  
23 is adequately clear” and denied the defendant insurers’ motion for a more definite statement under  
24 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(e). *In re Diocese of Buffalo, N.Y.*, 616 B.R. at 13–14. The same  
25 result is warranted here.

26 **C. RCBO States a Plausible Claim for Breach of Contract as to the Primary Policies**

27 The essential elements of a claim of breach of contract, whether express or implied, are the  
28 contract, plaintiff’s performance or excuse for nonperformance, defendant’s breach, and the resulting

1 damages to plaintiff.” *San Mateo Union High School Dist. v. County of San Mateo* 213 Cal.App 4th 418,  
2 439 (2013). RCBO clearly states facts to allege a plausible claim for relief for breach of contract.

3 RCBO has identified, by policy number and policy years, the relevant primary insurance policies  
4 issued by Moving Insurers. TAC ¶ 7, 11, 15, 20. RCBO has alleged that these policies, among others,  
5 provided them insurance coverage through a series of primary, excess, and umbrella policies from the  
6 early 1960s until today. TAC ¶ 27. It has further alleged it complied with and fully performed under the  
7 respective insurance policies. TAC ¶ 39, 52. See FRCP 9(c) (“In pleading conditions precedent, it  
8 suffices to allege generally that all conditions precedent have occurred or been performed”).

9 RCBO has also adequately alleged Moving Insurers’ breach. The Complaint alleges that RCBO  
10 tendered its defense and indemnity for the almost 400 lawsuits to Moving Insurers through its insurance  
11 broker under all applicable insurance policies. TAC ¶ 25. The Complaint alleges that the Moving  
12 Insurers received these tenders, but either improperly denied or failed to confirm coverage, and/or failed  
13 to provide defense and indemnity to RCBO as required under the policies. TAC ¶ 29-32.

14 Finally, RCBO has adequately alleged the resulting damages. The Complaint identifies that  
15 RCBO has been forced to defend itself against the lawsuits without the benefit of the defense and/or  
16 indemnity that it purchased under the policies including any settlement amounts. TAC ¶ 32. RCBO has  
17 incurred significant legal fees and costs in defending itself in the lawsuits. TAC ¶ 54. All of these facts  
18 rise above mere formulaic recitation of elements. Together, they plead a plausible claim for breach of  
19 contract against the Moving Insurers.

20 Indeed, RCBO has both met the applicable pleading standards and followed the Court’s specific  
21 guidance on how to amend its Complaint. At the November 14, 2023 hearing on Moving Insurers’ prior  
22 motion, the Court directed RCBO to “provide further details concerning the instances of the alleged  
23 breach, including but not necessarily limited to, one, the dates the plaintiff tendered the claims to the  
24 insurers, two, the dates of the...insurers' responses, if any...” (Nov. 14, 2023 Tr. at 60:13-19). In  
25 response, RCBO prepared Exhibit A to its second and third amendments. Exhibit A identifies, by claim,  
26 the case number, years of alleged abuse, date the claim was tendered to Gallagher, the date Gallagher  
27 tendered the claim to the applicable insurer, and any response received from the insurers. See Ex. A.  
28 RCBO also adequately pleaded why the insurers responses thus far are inadequate, i.e. Moving Insurers

1 have not actually provided the benefits of their respective policies to RCBO, including actual defense  
2 and/or indemnity payments. TAC ¶ 40, see also ¶ 7, 11, 15, 20, 40, 41, 42, Ex. A.

3 Moving Insurers are, and have been, aware of exactly which suits have been tendered under  
4 which policies, and possess more than enough information to respond to the Complaint. Indeed, it is  
5 Moving Insurers who have raised coverage issues regarding the matters tendered to them by RCBO –  
6 thus it is Moving Insurers who have control of the basis for their failure to respond and/or reservations  
7 of rights. Moving Insurers cannot issue reservations of right on one hand, then credibly argue that they  
8 are incapable of responding to the Complaint because they do not know the issues in dispute.

9 Moving Insurers further argue that RCBO failed to plead its right to indemnity because it did not  
10 allege that underlying state court proceedings have resulted in judgments or settlements. Motion p.10.  
11 Moving Insurers argue that the duty to indemnify does not arise until the insured's underlying liability is  
12 established, citing *Montrose Chemical Corp. of Cal. v. Admiral Ins. Co.*, 10 Cal. 4th 645, 660 n. 9 (Sup.  
13 Ct. Cal. 1995). But *Montrose* itself is a case where the court analyzed the duty to indemnify based on  
14 tenders to the insurer, as RCBO has done here. When RCBO tendered each claim to the applicable  
15 insurer, it attached the complaint for the underlying sexual abuse case and any fact sheets or proofs of  
16 claim attached to the complaint. This triggered the Moving Insurers' obligations to either confirm or  
17 deny coverage of the claim. California law imposes an explicit duty on "every insurer," to confirm or  
18 deny coverage of the claim within 40 days of receiving "proof of claim," i.e., "any evidence or  
19 documentation...that provides any evidence of the claim and that reasonably supports the magnitude or  
20 the amount of the claimed loss." Cal. Code. Reg. §§ 2695.2(s), 2695.7. When RCBO tendered the  
21 complaints and proofs of claim to the insurers, this established Moving Insurers' underlying liability for  
22 purposes of pleading a breach of the insurance contracts. Controversies regarding insurance coverage are  
23 commonly resolved before the underlying actions are finally adjudicated or primary insurance has  
24 already been exhausted. See, e.g., *In re Longview Power, LLC*, 516 BR 282, 292 (Bankr. D. Del. 2014)  
25 ("[C]ourts routinely grant declaratory relief regarding the scope of insurance coverage before the  
26 underlying claims have matured or [are] finally adjudicated."); *In re Diocese of Buffalo, N.Y.*, 616 B.R.  
27 10 (Bankr. W.D.N.Y. 2020) (denying motion to dismiss and abstain from deciding adversary proceeding  
28 brought by the debtor to determine insurance coverage for pending and future sexual abuse claims);

1 *Allstate Ins. Co. v. Huerta*, 2006 WL 2655239, at \*2 (E.D. Cal. Sept. 13, 2006) (“Insurers may seek a  
2 declaratory judgment relating to their coverage obligations and are entitled to such relief before the  
3 underlying action is finally adjudicated.”); *State Farm Fire & Cas. Co. v. LiMauro*, 481 N.Y.S.2d 90,  
4 518 (1984). Accordingly, Moving Insurers cannot close their eyes and abstain from taking a coverage  
5 position, paying amounts due, or continue to delay until a settlement or judgment has occurred in an  
6 underlying case.

7 RCBO has alleged the existence of the policies, its compliance with the policies, Moving  
8 Insurers’ breach of the policies, and losses incurred. RCBO has satisfied the standards set forth by the  
9 FRCP, *Twombly*, and *Iqbal*. Further, RCBO has closely followed the Court’s guidance on amending its  
10 pleadings to plead a breach of contract. In contrast, the insurers conduct has been designed to delay  
11 having to provide the benefits of their policies to their insured, RCBO, including the filing of this  
12 motion. Accordingly, the Court should deny Moving Insurers’ Motion to Dismiss RCBO’s breach of  
13 contract claim.

14 **D. RCBO States a Plausible Claim for Breach of Contract as to the Excess and**  
15 **Umbrella Policies**

16 As explained above in Section IV(B), RCBO has adequately pleaded each element of a breach of  
17 contract claim. Moving Insurers nevertheless argue that the TAC does not state a plausible breach of  
18 contract claim as to the excess policies because RCBO has apparently not alleged that the underlying  
19 insurance has in fact been exhausted. Motion p. 15. Moving Insurers are wrong.

20 California law imposes an explicit duty upon “every insurer”—including excess insurers—to  
21 “immediately, but in no event more than fifteen (15) calendar days” after receiving “notice of claim,”  
22 acknowledge receipt of the claim, provide the insured with any necessary forms or information to  
23 provide “proof of claim,” and begin any necessary investigation of the claim. Cal. Code. Reg. § 2695.5.  
24 California law further imposes an explicit duty on “every insurer,” including excess insurers, to confirm  
25 or deny coverage of the claim within 40 days of receiving “proof of claim,” i.e., “any evidence or  
26 documentation . . . that provides any evidence of the claim and that reasonably supports the magnitude  
27 or the amount of the claimed loss.” Cal. Code. Reg. §§ 2695.2(s), 2695.7.

28 As explained in the prior section regarding the primary insurers, the excess insurers were



obligated to promptly respond to RCBO's tender letters. *The Housing Group v. PMA Capital Ins. Co.*, 193 Cal. App. 4<sup>th</sup> 1150, 1155–56 (2011) (explaining that an insurer has an “immediate duty to defend” that “arises when tender is made” showing a “potential for coverage”); and *Terzian v. Cal. Cas. Indem. Exch.*, 42 Cal. App. 3d 942 (1974) (holding that “the insurer’s repeated failure to respond [to the insured’s written demand for coverage] was tantamount to a denial of liability under the policy and constituted a breach”); see also Cal. Code. Reg. § 2695.7. Moreover, contrary to Moving Insurers’ assertion otherwise, the TAC explicitly states that Moving Insurers have “fail[ed] to recognize the exhaustion of underlying insurance through payment, liquidation or other means thereby requiring the excess insurance to drop down and provide defense and indemnity to RCBO for the Suits.” TAC ¶ 30, 31, 46. The TAC therefore states a valid breach of contract claim as to the Excess and Umbrella Policies. *Fremont Reorganizing Corp. v. Federal Ins. Co.*, 2010 WL 444718, at \*3-4 (C.D. Cal. Feb. 1, 2010) (holding that an insured can state a breach of contract claim against an excess policy insurer prior to exhaustion of the primary policy “so long as the plaintiff alleges a loss that exceeds the primary coverage”). As explained in Section F, *infra.*, RCBO has adequately alleged an existing and potential loss that exceeds primary limits based on the volume of cases it faces, reasonable assumptions as to the nature of the cases, and the insolvency of various RCBO primary insurers which will require excess and umbrella insurers to “drop down.”

**E. RCBO States a Plausible Claim for Declaratory Relief as to the Primary Policies**

The TAC also sufficiently pleads a claim for a declaratory judgment. All RCBO needs to plead to state a claim for a declaratory judgment is an “actual controversy.” 28 U.S.C. § 2201; Cal. Code. Civ. P. § 1060. Moving Insurers cannot reasonably dispute that there is an actual controversy regarding insurance coverage in this case. As discussed above, RCBO has identified, by policy number and policy years, the relevant primary insurance policies issued by Moving Insurers TAC ¶ 7, 11, 15, 20. RCBO has alleged that it tendered claims for insurance coverage; alleged that Moving Insurers refused to provide coverage, and Moving Insurers have argued in their motion that no coverage exists.

Courts have routinely held that disputes regarding contractual rights such as insurance coverage involve an “actual controversy” fit for resolution through a declaratory judgment case. See, e.g., *Marks v. UMG Recordings, Inc.*, 2023 WL 4532774, at \*3 n.2 (9th Cir. July 13, 2023) (acknowledging that



1 “California law unambiguously provides a claim for declaratory relief when there is an actual  
2 controversy about the parties’ rights and duties under a contract”); *N. River Ins. Co. v. Leffingwell Ag*  
3 *Sales Co.*, 2011 WL 304579, at \*3 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 27, 2011) (holding that a “dispute over insurance  
4 coverage provides a sufficient bases for an ‘actual controversy’”); *N. River Ins. Co. v. Marietta Cellars,*  
5 *Inc.*, 2015 WL 6954976, at \*3 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 10, 2015) (“A dispute between an insurer and its insured  
6 over the duties to defend and indemnify satisfies the actual controversy requirement of the Act, whether  
7 or not there is an underlying state court action pending;” *N. River Ins. Co. v. Leffingwell Ag Sales Co.*,  
8 2011 WL 304579, at \*3 (“A common use of declaratory relief is in insurance coverage cases such as  
9 actions between insurers and insureds to determine rights and obligations under an insurance policy.”)).

10 The court should accordingly deny the motion to dismiss RCBO’s declaratory judgment claim.  
11 To allege a claim for declaratory relief, a Plaintiff must allege an actual controversy regarding a matter  
12 within federal court subject matter jurisdiction. See *Calderon v. Ashmus* (1998) 523 US 740, 745-746,  
13 118 S.Ct. 1694, 1697-1698; *MedImmune, Inc. v. Genentech, Inc.* (2007) 549 US 118, 127-128, 127 S.Ct.  
14 764, 771-772. The complaint must disclose some legal right claimed by the plaintiff over which an  
15 actual controversy or dispute with defendant has arisen. A party claiming to be insured also has standing  
16 to sue for declaratory relief (e.g., for declaration of right to be indemnified). [*United States v. Transport*  
17 *Indem. Co.*, 544 F2d 393, 395, fn. 1 (9<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1976).

18 There is no credible argument that RCBO has not adequately alleged a claim for declaratory  
19 relief. In its Motion, Moving Insurers dedicate one sole paragraph to this issue and rely only on one  
20 case, arguing that the claim for declaratory relief should be dismissed as “wholly derivative of the cause  
21 of action for breach of contract.” Motion p.12, citing *Galusha v. Unigard Ins. Co.*, No. C 18-06905  
22 SBA, 2019 WL 8128571, at \*5 (N.D. Cal. June 28, 2019), *aff’d*, 816 F. App’x 46 (9th Cir. 2020). But  
23 *Galusha* dealt with a matter in which the declaratory judgment claim and breach of contract claims  
24 sought only to redress past wrongs. “If there is a controversy that calls for a declaration of rights, it is no  
25 objection that past wrongs are to be redressed; but there is no basis for declaratory relief where only past  
26 wrongs are involved.” *Galusha*, 2019 WL 8128571, at \*5. However, “a court may provide declaratory  
27 relief in a dispute involving a breach of contract if the relief sought would also govern the future conduct  
28 of the parties.” *Id.* RCBO’s claim for declaratory relief specifically seeks prospective relief, seeking a

1 declaration that it is entitled to defense and indemnity from Moving Insurers for ongoing and future  
2 losses due to the pending lawsuits. TAC ¶ 44, 54. Accordingly, RCBO has stated a plausible claim for  
3 declaratory relief, and Moving Insurers' motion should be denied on this basis.

4 **F. RCBO States a Plausible Claim for Declaratory Relief as to the Excess and**  
5 **Umbrella Policies**

6 Excess Insurer's argument that they cannot be sued until all primary insurance has been  
7 exhausted was expressly rejected by the California Court of Appeals in *Ludgate Insurance Co. v.*  
8 *Lockheed Martin Corp.*, 82 Cal. App. 4th 592 (2000). In *Ludgate*, an insured sought a declaratory  
9 judgment that its primary and excess insurers had an obligation to defend and indemnify the insured  
10 against pending and future claims relating to environmental contamination. *Id.* at 598. The California  
11 Court of Appeals held that the defendant had stated a valid declaratory judgment claim against the  
12 excess insurer regardless of whether the primary insurance coverage had been exhausted because  
13 "[e]xhaustion is merely an issue of proof and entitlement to recovery, not of pleading." 82 Cal. App. 4th  
14 at 606 (emphasis added). Under *Ludgate*, RCBO has sufficiently stated a claim against the excess  
15 insurers for a declaratory judgment. *Ludgate*, 82 Cal. App. 4th at 606.

16 As the court in *Ludgate* recognized, to hold otherwise would violate a cardinal principal of  
17 pleading: a declaratory judgment complaint must merely plead the existence of an actual controversy,  
18 not establish that the plaintiff is entitled to a favorable judgment on the merits of that controversy.  
19 *Ludgate*, 82 Cal. App. 4th at 606; accord *Baugh Construction Co. v. Mission Ins. Co.*, 836 F.2d 1164,  
20 1168 (9th Cir. 1988) ("We note that many of [the insurance companies'] arguments, based on evidence  
21 and facts eventually proven to exclude coverage, are irrelevant to the issue of whether the complaint  
22 alleged facts sufficient for potential liability.").

23 To hold otherwise would also contravene the very purpose of a declaratory judgment action: to  
24 settle the parties' respective rights before there is a violation of law, exercise of right, or breach of duty.  
25 See, e.g., *Océ-Office Systems, Inc. v. Eastman Kodak Co.*, 805 F. Supp. 642, 646 (N.D. Ill. 1992)  
26 ("Resolving the uncertainty and anxiety resulting from a looming lawsuit is, indeed, the purpose of the  
27 Declaratory Judgment Act."); *American Mail Line, Ltd. v. United States*, 213 F. Supp. 152, 160 (W.D.  
28 Wash. 1962) ("[T]he Declaratory Judgment Act was enacted to permit parties to resolve their disputes

1 before a cause of action has accrued..."); *In re Singh*, 457 B.R. 790, 798 (Bankr. E.D. Cal. 2011)  
2 ("Declaratory relief is an equitable remedy distinctive in that it allows adjudication of rights and  
3 obligations on disputes regardless of whether claims for damages or injunction have arisen."); Cal.  
4 Code. Civ. P. § 1060 (providing that a party to a contract may seek "a declaration of his or her rights or  
5 duties" under the contract "before there has been any breach of the obligation in respect to which said  
6 declaration is sought").

7 Consistent with the court's ruling in *Ludgate*, controversies regarding insurance coverage are  
8 commonly resolved in declaratory judgment actions before the underlying actions are finally adjudicated  
9 or primary insurance has already been exhausted. See, e.g., *In re Longview Power, LLC*, 516 BR 282,  
10 292 (Bankr. D. Del. 2014) ("[C]ourts routinely grant declaratory relief regarding the scope of insurance  
11 coverage before the underlying claims have matured or [are] finally adjudicated."); *In re Diocese of*  
12 *Buffalo, N.Y.*, 616 B.R. 10 (Bankr. W.D.N.Y. 2020) (denying motion to dismiss and abstain from  
13 deciding adversary proceeding brought by the debtor to determine insurance coverage for pending and  
14 future sexual abuse claims); *Allstate Ins. Co. v. Huerta*, 2006 WL 2655239, at \*2 (E.D. Cal. Sept. 13,  
15 2006) ("Insurers may seek a declaratory judgment relating to their coverage obligations and are entitled  
16 to such relief before the underlying action is finally adjudicated."); *State Farm Fire & Cas. Co. v.*  
17 *LiMauro*, 481 N.Y.S.2d 90, 518 (1984) ("[I]t is long settled that a declaratory judgment action against  
18 insurers, including excess carriers, is permitted prior to judgment where the 'judgments likely to be  
19 recovered' in the underlying claims would amount to more than the excess floor or the 'potential  
20 liability' might well reach into the excess coverage." (citations omitted).

21 This reality is well recognized by the insurer defendants in this case, who have themselves filed  
22 actions for declaratory judgment on insurance coverage for pending litigation. E.g., *Century Indemnity*  
23 *Co. v. The Roman Catholic Archbishop of San Francisco*, No. CGC-23-607975, 2023 WL 4896430  
24 (Cal. Super. Ct., San Francisco Cnty. July 28, 2023) (declaratory judgment action brought by insurers  
25 regarding their coverage obligations for pending sexual abuse cases filed against a diocese insured) See  
26 also, *Continental Cas. Co. v. Homecorp Mgmt., Inc.*, No. 2:10-cv-566, 2010 WL 5301030, at \*1 (M.D.  
27 Ala. Dec. 20, 2010); *Westport Ins. Corp. v. M.L. Sullivan Ins. Agency, Inc.*, No. 15-cv-7294, 2016 WL  
28 7569263, at \*1 (N.D. Ill. Aug. 22, 2016). Further, other insurer defendants in this proceeding, such as

Travelers, found the TAC contained sufficient detail to be able to articulate a responsive pleading. (Docket 78). Moving Insurers cannot credibly argue that they are unable to respond and don't understand RCBO's complaint, when other insurers could, and they themselves have filed the same type of declaratory judgment action in a similar proceeding against another diocese.

Unsurprisingly, bankruptcy courts have held that declaratory relief on insurance coverage issues "is warranted" in adversary proceedings even "before the underlying claims have matured or finally adjudicated" because the relief is "necessary to achieve a successful reorganization." *In re Longview Power, LLC*, 516 B.R. at 292–93. The property of a bankruptcy estate "includes contingent claims," such as contingent claims for insurance coverage. *Id.* at 293. Indeed, insurance contracts "may well be the most important asset of the debtor's estate," particularly where, as here, "the debtor is faced with substantial liability claims within the coverage of the policy" and the insurance proceeds provide the most significant potential source of recovery to personal injury claimants. *In re United States Lines, Inc.*, 197 F.3d 631, 638 (2d Cir. 1999) (cleaned up, citation omitted). Without a determination on insurance coverage issues, "the Bankruptcy Court would have great difficulty administering an orderly and equitable distribution of the estate's assets." *In re County Seat Stores*, 2002 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1555, at \*16 (S.D.N.Y. Jan. 31, 2002). As the court in *In re Diocese of Buffalo, N.Y.* explained in permitting a virtually identical insurance coverage adversary proceeding to continue,

[T]he fundamental problem with the arguments of [the insurers] is that they narrowly focus on a disagreement between carrier and insured, without concern for the broader need to achieve an effective reorganization and the expeditious resolution of the rights of all parties in interest...

Based on the experiences in the cases of other Catholic Dioceses, we can anticipate that a significant portion of the debtor's assets will be expended on costs of representation. Moreover, the longer that the litigation process continues, the greater is the risk that legal costs will consume the debtor's assets, thereby leaving less to contribute to payment of creditors. Because the monetary resources of the debtor are not without limit, the availability of insurance becomes an important and critical factor in determining the direction of this case.

Time is an essential consideration in the administration of this case. Creditors deserve an expeditious resolution of their claims. Like all debtors, the Diocese needs as quickly as is reasonably possible to propose a plan that will allow it to reorganize. But the development of a plan and the payment of creditors is not possible without a determination of the existence or absence of insurance.

1 *Id.* at 13. Permitting insured-debtors to litigate issues of coverage in adversary proceedings like this one  
2 is critical to a successful, expedient, and equitable resolution of the bankruptcy case. *In re Longview*  
3 *Power, LLC*, 516 B.R. at 292–93 (finding a similar insurance coverage adversary action “necessary to  
4 achieve a successful reorganization” and therefore “ripe for adjudication”); *In re United States Lines,*  
5 *Inc.*, 197 F.3d at 69 (holding that “in order to effectuate an equitable distribution of the bankruptcy  
6 estate, a comprehensive declaratory judgment is required” to determine insurance coverage obligations  
7 and amounts payable (emphasis added)). The unique policy considerations at play in the bankruptcy  
8 context provide compelling reason to allow RCBO’s declaratory relief claim against the Excess Insurers  
9 to proceed.

10 Further, as with RCBO’s breach of contract claim, the Court also provided guidance on how  
11 RCBO should amend its Declaratory Relief claims as to excess and umbrella insurers. The Court  
12 requested that RCBO provide “some statement consistent with *Ludgate* [regarding] the reasonable  
13 possibility or reasonable plausibility they’re looking to get to something implicating the excess  
14 policies...” (Nov. 14, 2023 Tr. at 64:17-22). In short, the Court instructed RCBO to plead more  
15 thoroughly that, under *Ludgate*, there is “a reasonable possibility” that the excess and umbrella coverage  
16 will be implicated. RCBO has done so, by including in its TAC allegations that “it is presently facing in  
17 excess of 400 Suits seeking a total amount of damages which has the substantial likelihood of being in  
18 excess of the available limits of insurance available under the PRIMARY DEFENDANTS’, EXCESS  
19 DEFEDENDANTS’, and UMBRELLA DEFENDANTS’ respective insurance policies.” TAC ¶ 30.  
20 Further, RCBO included the list of over 400 claims it is facing in Exhibit A to the TAC. These factual  
21 allegations indicate more than a “reasonable possibility” that the excess and umbrella coverage will be  
22 triggered. Importantly, at no point did the court state that RCBO must plead a dollar amount, rendering  
23 Moving Insurers’ argument that RCBO failed to include a dollar amount moot. Instead, the Court  
24 specifically stated that RCBO does *not* need to plead “down to the penny.” (Nov. 14, 2023 Tr. at 64:12-  
25 13). Moving Insurers’ excess policies are also highly likely to be triggered based on the insolvency of  
26 numerous of RCBO’s primary insurers across different policy years. Accordingly, RCBO has adequately  
27 plead its declaratory relief claim, and this Motion should be rejected on this basis.  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**V. CONCLUSION**

For the reasons stated above, RCBO respectfully requests that the Court deny Moving Insurers' Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim or Motion for More Definite Statement.

DATED: MARCH 13, 2024

**FOLEY & LARDNER LLP**

Eileen R. Ridley

Ann Marie Uetz

Thomas F. Carlucci

Matthew D. Lee

/s/ Eileen R. Ridley

EILEEN R. RIDLEY

COUNSEL FOR THE DEBTOR

THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF  
OAKLAND

# EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

-oOo-

In Re: ) Case No. 4:23-Bk-40523  
 ) Chapter 13  
THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF )  
OAKLAND ) Oakland, California  
 ) Tuesday, November 14, 2023  
Debtor. ) 9:00 AM

---

ADV#: 23-04028  
THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF  
OAKLAND, ET AL. v. PACIFIC  
INDEMNITY, ET AL.

1. SCHEDULING CONFERENCE

2. MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE  
ORDER FILED BY PLAINTIFF THE  
ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF  
OAKLAND. (DOC. 124)

1. STATUS CONFERENCE. CONT'D  
FROM 10/18/23, 11/17/23

2. MOTION FOR 2004  
EXAMINATION OF INSURERS FILED  
BY CREDITOR COMMITTEE (DOC.  
502). CONT'D FROM 11/17/23

3. MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE  
ORDER RE SURVIVOR CLAIMS  
FILED BY CREDITOR COMMITTEE  
(DOC. 517). CONT'D FROM  
11/17/23

4. MOVING INSURERS' MOTION  
FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER  
PERMITTING INSURER EXPERTS  
AND/OR CONSULTANTS TO HAVE  
ACCESS TO SEXUAL ABUSE PROOFS  
OF CLAIMS AND SUPPLEMENTS  
FILED BY CREDITOR PACIFIC  
INDEMNITY COMPANY, INSURANCE  
COMPANY OF NORTH AMERICA, AND  
PACIFIC EMPLOYERS INSURANCE



1 COMPANY (DOC. 522). CONT'D  
2 FROM 11/17/23

3 5. MOVING INSURERS' MOTION  
4 FOR COURT'S APPROVAL OF  
5 CONFIDENTIALITY AND  
6 PROTECTIVE ORDER FILED BY  
7 CREDITOR PACIFIC INDEMNITY  
8 COMPANY, INSURANCE COMPANY OF  
9 NORTH AMERICA, AND PACIFIC  
10 EMPLOYERS INSURANCE COMPANY  
11 (DOC. 523). CONT'D FROM  
12 11/17/23

13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE WILLIAM J. LAFFERTY  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor-Plaintiff: SHANE J. MOSES, ESQ.  
EILEEN R. RIDLEY, ESQ.  
(Via Zoom)  
ANN MARIE UETZ, ESQ.  
(Via Zoom)  
Foley & Lardner LLP  
555 California Street  
Suite 1700  
San Francisco, CA 94104  
(415)434-4484

MATTHEW D. LEE, ESQ.  
(Via Zoom)  
Foley & Lardner LLP  
150 East Gilman Street  
Suite 5000  
Madison, WI 53703  
(608) 258-4203

For California Insurance Guarantee Association: MICHAEL D. COMPEAN, ESQ.  
(Via Zoom)  
Black, Compean & Hall, LLP  
275 East Hillcrest Drive  
Suite 160-1021  
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360  
818-883-9500

1 For Official Committee of  
2 Unsecured Creditors:

GABRIELLE ALBERT, ESQ.  
Keller Benvenuti Kim LLP  
650 California Street  
Suite 1900  
San Francisco, CA 94108  
(415)796-0709

3  
4  
5 JESSE J. BAIR, ESQ.  
TIMOTHY W. BURNS, ESQ.  
Burns Bair LLP  
10 East Doty Street  
Suite 600  
Madison, WI 53703  
(608)286-2302

6  
7  
8 MICHAEL A. KAPLAN, ESQ.  
COLLEEN M. RESTEL, ESQ.  
Lowenstein Sandler LLP  
One Lowenstein Drive  
Roseland, NJ 07068  
(973)597-2490

9  
10  
11  
12 For Westport Insurance  
13 Corporation:

TODD C. JACOBS, ESQ.  
(Via Zoom)  
Parker, Hudson, Rainer & Dobbs  
LLP  
Two North Riverside Plaza  
Suite 1850  
Chicago, IL 60606  
(312) 477-3306

14  
15  
16  
17 MATTHEW M. WEISS, ESQ.  
(Via Zoom)  
Parker Hudson Rainer & Dobbs LLP  
303 Peachtree Street, Northeast  
Suite 3600  
Atlanta, GA 30308  
(404)523-6988

18  
19  
20  
21 BLAISE S. CURET, ESQ.  
(Via Zoom)  
Sinnott Puebla Campagne & Curet  
2000 Powell Street  
Suite 830  
Emeryville, CA 94608  
(415)352-6200

1 For Pacific Indemnity TANCRED V. SCHIAVONI, ESQ.  
2 Company, Insurance Company O'Melveny & Myers LLP  
3 of North America, Pacific 7 Times Square  
Employers Insurance New York, NY 10036  
4 Company, and Westchester (212)326-2000  
Fire Insurance Company:

5 JUSTINE DANIELS, ESQ.  
O'Melveny & Myers LLP  
400 South Hope Street  
18th Floor  
6 Los Angeles, CA 90071  
(213)430-6000

7 For Continental Casualty MARK D. PLEVIN, ESQ.  
8 Company: Crowell & Moring LLP  
3 Embarcadero Center  
9 26th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
10 (415)365-7446

11 For London Market CLINTON E. CAMERON, ESQ.  
12 Insurers: BRADLEY PUKLIN, ESQ.  
(Via Zoom)  
13 Clyde & Co US LLP  
55 West Monroe Street  
14 Suite 3000  
Chicago, IL 60603  
(312)635-6917

15 For United States Fire GEORGE R. CALHOUN, ESQ.  
16 Insurance Company: (Via Zoom)  
Ifrah Law  
17 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue,  
Northwest  
18 Suite 650  
Washington, DC 20006  
19 (202)524-4140  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 Court Recorder:

P.L. WRIGHT  
United States Bankruptcy Court  
1300 Clay Street  
Oakland, CA 94612

20

21 Transcriber:

MICHAEL DRAKE  
eScribers, LLC  
7227 N. 16th Street  
Suite #207  
Phoenix, AZ 85020  
(800) 257-0885

24

25

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;  
transcript provided by transcription service.

**The Roman Catholic Bishop Of Oakland**

6

1 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 14, 2023, 9:01 AM

2 -oOo-

3 (Call to order of the Court.)

4 THE CLERK: All rise. The court is in session. This  
5 is the United States Bankruptcy Court, Northern District,  
6 California, the Honorable William J. Lafferty presiding.

7 THE COURT: Okay. Please be seated.

8 This is a specially set matter, so let's go ahead and  
9 just call the matter.

10 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. Would Your Honor like me  
11 to call the adversary along with the bankruptcy?

12 THE COURT: Yeah. Let's just do that, then we'll see  
13 where we proceed. Okay.

14 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor. Calling line items  
15 number 1 and 2 jointly. Line item number 1 is for the Roman  
16 Catholic Bishop of Oakland, et al., v. Pacific Indemnity, et  
17 al., case number 22-04028. And line item number 2 is the Roman  
18 Catholic Bishop of Oakland bankruptcy, case number 23-40523.

19 Moving the parties over now from Zoom, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Why don't we start out with  
21 appearances in the courtroom.

22 MR. MOSES: Good morning, Your Honor. Shane Moses,  
23 Foley & Lardner, for the debtor Roman Catholic Bishop of  
24 Oakland.

25 THE COURT: Okay.

**The Roman Catholic Bishop Of Oakland**

7

1 MR. MOSES: And I believe Mr. Lee and Ms. Uetz are on  
2 the line on Zoom.

3 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, we'll get to them  
4 in a minute or two.

5 MS. ALBERT: Good morning, Your Honor. Gabrielle  
6 Albert, Keller Benvenutti Kim, on behalf of the unsecured  
7 creditors committee.

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 MS. ALBERT: And with me, we have counsel from  
10 Lowenstein and Burns Bair, who will introduce themselves.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

12 MR. KAPLAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Michael Kaplan  
13 from Lowenstein Sandler on behalf of the committee, along with  
14 my colleague Colleen Restel, who is in the gallery for now.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MS. RESTEL: Good morning, Your Honor.

17 MR. BURNS: So --

18 THE COURT: Yeah, get up to a microphone so we don't  
19 Ms. a beat.

20 MR. BURNS: Good morning, Your Honor. Tim Burns,  
21 special insurance counsel for the committee. And with me is my  
22 partner Jesse Bair.

23 THE COURT: Great. Nice to see you. Okay.

24 MR. BURNS: Thank you, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: All right.

**The Roman Catholic Bishop Of Oakland**

8

1 MR. PLEVIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Mark Plevin  
2 for Continental Casualty Company.

3 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning.

4 MR. SCHIAVONI: Good morning, Your Honor. Tancred  
5 Schiavoni from O'Melveny for Pacific Indemnity and the I name  
6 Pacific Employers and maybe even Westchester, too, I think, in  
7 this case. Okay.

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 MR. SCHIAVONI: And Your Honor, I'm proud to just  
10 introduce you to Justine Daniels from my office also. Thank  
11 you.

12 THE COURT: Great. Nice to see you. Okay.

13 All right. On the screen, why don't we start with --

14 MS. UETZ: Good morning, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Yeah, we'll start with other debtors'  
16 counsel. Go ahead, Ms. Uetz.

17 MS. UETZ: Thanks, Your Honor. Nice to see you. Ann  
18 Marie Uetz from Foley & Lardner on behalf of the debtor.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MR. LEE: Good morning, Your --

21 MS. RIDLEY: Good morning, Your --

22 MR. LEE: Matthew Lee of Foley & Lardner on behalf of  
23 the debtor.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MS. RIDLEY: And good morning, Your Honor. Eileen

## The Roman Catholic Bishop Of Oakland

9

1 Ridley on behalf of the debtor, specifically on the adversary  
2 proceeding.

3 THE COURT: Right. Okay. Anybody else for the  
4 debtor?

5 MS. UETZ: Not today.

6 THE COURT: How about anybody on screen for the  
7 committee?

8 MR. KAPLAN: No, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay. Then let's go ahead and just pick  
10 up the other folks on screen. I'm assuming they're all  
11 insurance company counsel.

12 MR. CALHOUN: Good morning, Your Honor. George  
13 Calhoun for United States Fire Insurance Company.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning.

15 MR. WEISS: Morning, Your Honor. Matt Weiss of  
16 Westport Insurance Corporation.

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. WEISS: And Todd Jacobs and Blaise Curet --

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MR. WEISS: -- on as well.

21 THE COURT: Good morning.

22 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good morning.

23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good morning, Your Honor.

24 MR. CAMERON: Good morning, Your Honor. Clinton  
25 Cameron on behalf of the London Market insurers.



**The Roman Catholic Bishop Of Oakland**

10

1 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning.

2 MR. PUKLIN: Morning, Your Honor. Bradley Puklin for  
3 the London Market insurers as well.

4 THE COURT: Okay. Now, that connection is not so  
5 great. I don't know if you're able to hear me well.

6 MR. PUKLIN: I am. I apologize.

7 THE COURT: That's a little better. That's a little  
8 better. Thank you.

9 Okay. Anybody else? That's all the appearances?

10 MR. COMPEAN: On behalf of the defendant in the  
11 adversary proceeding California Insurance Carrier Association.

12 THE COURT: Right. You're here to see if I do the  
13 same thing as I did last week, right?

14 MR. COMPEAN: That's right, Your Honor.

15 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, that's a good  
16 question.

17 All right. Anybody else on screen? Got everybody?

18 Okay. We have a lot that's on today. So who has a  
19 suggestion re the order of procedure.

20 MS. UETZ: Your Honor, it's Ann Marie Uetz for the  
21 debtor. Maybe we could just set the table to confirm that  
22 we're all on the same page with respect to what's on --

23 THE COURT: Yeah, sure.

24 MS. UETZ: -- (Indiscernible).

25 THE COURT: Sure, sure, sure.

1 MS. UETZ: Thank you. Our understanding is there are  
2 cross-motions for entry of a protective order --

3 THE COURT: Um-hum.

4 MS. UETZ: -- regarding the discovery to be produced  
5 to the insurers. The committee has also filed a further motion  
6 for protective order in respect of the proofs of claim.

7 THE COURT: Um-hum.

8 MS. UETZ: I believe there is a status or case  
9 management conference set generally.

10 THE COURT: Um-hum.

11 MS. UETZ: And we did just want to at the foot of this  
12 mention Alvarez & Marsal's fee application, which is out there  
13 without decision and just check on that.

14 THE COURT: Yeah, I'm thinking about it.

15 MS. UETZ: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. That's why I  
16 have --

17 THE COURT: Well, let me -- well, let me tell you --  
18 since you mentioned, let me tell you what I'm thinking about.  
19 Okay.

20 MR. SCHIAVONI: Your Honor, there is one motion  
21 missing from that list.

22 MR. KAPLAN: Yes.

23 THE COURT: Okay. Can we get to it in one second?

24 MR. SCHIAVONI: Sure. I'm sorry.

25 THE COURT: All right. Appreciate it.

1 MR. SCHIAVONI: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: What I tried to indicate during the fee  
3 app hearings, and I probably didn't do it as directly as I  
4 should, was a concern, both with the relative brevity of the  
5 descriptions of what Alvarez & Marsal were doing and particular  
6 tasks, but also my concern -- and I might have said it in a way  
7 that came across somewhat archly. I didn't mean it to be arch.  
8 I meant it to be quite literal.

9 I was concerned that it -- I mean, I don't know -- if  
10 A&M is doing everything they say they're doing, I don't know  
11 who else is doing anything with respect to any financial or  
12 accounting or business advisory or other functions that are  
13 within the diocese. And I didn't really expect through the  
14 order that I entered to have A&M totally supplant the diocese.  
15 It kind of looks like that's what's happened. And that was the  
16 other concern I had.

17 The additional descriptions were better. I could  
18 probably find a way to live with them on the theory that  
19 everything is interim until it isn't, in the same way that  
20 baseball season is very long until suddenly it's very short.  
21 And similarly here, everything's --

22 MS. UETZ: I've never heard that one, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Yeah, well --

24 MS. UETZ: That's a good one.

25 THE COURT: Okay. So but my concern was just to

1 figure out really who's doing what here because the numbers are  
2 very large. I'm not suggesting that they aren't performing  
3 wonderfully important services. But if they've basically just  
4 taken over all these functions from the debtor, I'd like to  
5 know that because I think that's something I need to -- I need  
6 to chat about with them possibly. Okay.

7 MS. UETZ: And Your Honor, I do believe that Charles  
8 Moore from Alvarez is here today. I think raises as --

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MS. UETZ: -- point of procedure because we don't have  
11 anything on calendar. So --

12 THE COURT: No, no. But I just, I've been kind of  
13 going back and forth on this one in my head, and I wanted you  
14 to know why because I did indicate I would try to --

15 MS. UETZ: Yeah.

16 THE COURT: -- I'd try to enter an order promptly.  
17 And I've been struggling with whether I do that or not. So  
18 that's the second -- that's the other half of my concern.  
19 Okay.

20 MS. UETZ: If it's helpful to either have him  
21 available or set it for a hearing, whatever you suggest, we'll  
22 take your direction on it.

23 THE COURT: We'll come back --

24 MS. UETZ: I think we can answer those questions --

25 THE COURT: Yeah, we'll come back to that at the end

1 if that's --

2 MS. UETZ: -- when the time's right.

3 THE COURT: Yeah, we'll come back to that --

4 MS. UETZ: Sure.

5 THE COURT: -- at the end. Okay. In the meantime,

6 I --

7 MS. UETZ: Okay. And then --

8 THE COURT: Okay. You want to go ahead and see if Mr.  
9 Schiavoni thinks that you forgot something?

10 MS. UETZ: Well, the ruling on the motions to dismiss  
11 maybe what he's suggesting, or maybe I've --

12 THE COURT: Yeah.

13 MS. UETZ: -- completely forgotten something else.  
14 But we do have on our radar that you were going to issue --

15 THE COURT: Right.

16 MS. UETZ: -- a ruling on this motion.

17 THE COURT: Right. Right. And there's a 2004 exam.

18 MR. KAPLAN: Yeah. Your Honor, that's the other  
19 piece.

20 MS. UETZ: Oh, thank you.

21 THE COURT: That's on too?

22 MR. KAPLAN: The committee's 2004 of the insurers,  
23 yes, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Right. Okay. And the insurer's response  
25 to that?

1 MS. ALBERT: Yes, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: All right. Which I think it was really  
3 primarily Mr. Levin's pleading, right?

4 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay.

5 THE COURT: Okay.

6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yep.

7 THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Plevin. Excuse me.

8 Okay. Well, anybody have a suggestion where we start?

9 MR. KAPLAN: Your Honor, if I might, the committee's  
10 protective motion seems rather uncontroverted with except for a  
11 couple of clarifications. Maybe we could start off on  
12 agreement or we could start off on the most --

13 THE COURT: Well, are you talking about the motion  
14 that would restrict certain information from, example, ISO?

15 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Well, I don't know that -- I think I read  
17 the response a little differently, as in shouldn't it be dealt  
18 with in the context of the disagreement about the form of a  
19 protective order; is that fair?

20 MR. SCHIAVONI: We think it's moot, Your Honor,  
21 because the protective orders we've proposed specifically --

22 THE COURT: Okay.

23 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- exclude ISO from --

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- authorized party, and I explained

1 the reasons for that.

2 THE COURT: Okay.

3 MR. SCHIAVONI: Judge, there is one motion missing  
4 still.

5 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

6 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. And I'm sorry to interrupt you  
7 before. I think I had too much coffee this morning. Okay.  
8 So --

9 THE COURT: Look, don't ever worry about that. That's  
10 okay.

11 MR. SCHIAVONI: No disrespect was intended. It's  
12 there is this package, so to speak, of protective order  
13 motions. We have a motion that so we can use experts --

14 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

15 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- and consultants. It's really  
16 essential to us. So that's another motion in that little  
17 package.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MR. SCHIAVONI: I have no objection to starting with  
20 this ISO issue if that's what is --

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- the pleasure of Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Well, I mean, if it's essentially moot  
24 because through one protective order or the other, we're all  
25 going to agree that absent some other agreement or development,

1 information is not going to be shared with them, it's fine with  
2 me.

3 MR. KAPLAN: Well, might I, Your Honor, just --

4 THE COURT: Yeah.

5 MR. KAPLAN: Yeah.

6 THE COURT: Come on up.

7 MR. KAPLAN: Just for record purposes, good morning,  
8 Your Honor, again. Michael Kaplan from Lowenstein on behalf of  
9 the committee. We don't agree with Mr. Schiavoni's assessment  
10 that it's moot because of the protective order. We'll save the  
11 argument on which protective order should apply, but --

12 THE COURT: Um-hum.

13 MR. KAPLAN: -- very clearly, our view is is that the  
14 bar date order that Your Honor already entered and we heard  
15 argument about and Your Honor made balls and strikes calls,  
16 just to keep the baseball analogy going today, governs --

17 THE COURT: Um-hum.

18 MR. KAPLAN: -- the proofs of claim in this case. It  
19 was the bar date order in four other diocesan bankruptcy cases  
20 that one insurer who is not in this particular case violated by  
21 sharing data with this third-party ISO. So our view is is it  
22 is not a matter of questions of the protective orders is our  
23 motion seeks to clarify and ensure that the protections  
24 afforded in the bar date order are crystal clear --

25 THE COURT: Um-hum.



1 MR. KAPLAN: -- that you cannot share this data with  
2 ISO because what happened in these other cases, Your Honor, is  
3 is the insurer filed a the letter, same letter, four separate  
4 cases on September 28th, attempting to justify the disclosure  
5 ISO under the bar date order. We don't want to get to that  
6 point.

7 THE COURT: Um-hum.

8 MR. KAPLAN: And so when I said it was uncontroverted,  
9 it seems to me that everyone agrees that we should not be  
10 sharing the data with ISO. We're not talking about publicly  
11 available information. We're talking about strictly proof of  
12 claims. We would just like the protective order entered to  
13 ensure that there is clarity that the bar date order Your Honor  
14 entered does not permit that data to be shared with ISO.

15 THE COURT: Um-hum.

16 MR. KAPLAN: This is separate and apart from the  
17 conversations of the protective order because none of the  
18 motions as I read them -- I'd be happy to be corrected if I'm  
19 wrong -- modify Your Honor's bar date order.

20 THE COURT: Okay.

21 MR. KAPLAN: So that's why I think that it is -- it  
22 should be uncontroverted and should be a fairly simple way to  
23 get started.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MR. KAPLAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Thank you.

2 Let me invite response.

3 MR. SCHIAVONI: So Tancred Schiavoni from O'Melveny  
4 for Pacific Indemnity. Your Honor, this issue is moot  
5 because -- and I'm glad I brought up this expert motion,  
6 right --

7 THE COURT: Um-hum.

8 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- because the limitation -- `what  
9 we've done is under the bar date order, there's a mechanism to  
10 sort of -- it's unclear to me whether experts were intended to  
11 be excluded for us. I mean, it seems inconsistent with a lot  
12 of things for that to be the case. But just jumping beyond  
13 that, there's a provision that allows us to seek court approval  
14 to have another party made part of the bar date protection, so  
15 to speak.

16 So we have that motion before you. We ask for experts  
17 and consultants. And what we do in that is specifically the  
18 order that defines what an expert is says -- like, it says ISO  
19 is not an expert. ISO is not an authorized party. It says it  
20 right there. So that would moot any perceived ambiguity that  
21 maybe ISO is an expert under the bar date order.

22 THE COURT: Um-hum.

23 MR. SCHIAVONI: To the extent they're saying that  
24 experts aren't even permitted, there's not even really an issue  
25 about ISO, so to speak, under that. But that would cure that.

1 That would address that.

2 And on the protective orders, we have no problem with  
3 a line in those orders. In fact, we proposed it. It's in  
4 our -- it's in our protective order that says ISO is not a  
5 authorized party.

6 And to be clear, I think it's inadvertent, but this  
7 separate order that they're seeking, it kind of hits -- it hits  
8 a nail with a sledgehammer instead of a hammer because it goes  
9 beyond just saying they're not an authorized party. It  
10 reinvoles all sorts of confidentiality, and it does it one-  
11 sidedly, just for insureds. It doesn't say nobody can use ISO  
12 or nobody can use claims database people or what have you.

13 And we lay that in our brief. I could explain it to  
14 you further. But I think, if you've read it, Your Honor, I  
15 won't --

16 THE COURT: Um-hum.

17 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- go through it any further. The  
18 cleanest way to deal with this is just to say ISO is not an  
19 authorized party. And we're prepared to do that. We did it in  
20 our two protective orders. When they contacted us, we wrote  
21 them back right away, saying that's the way to deal with this.  
22 It's like, and we have no problem with that.

23 And to be clear about this, like, much has made ado  
24 about ISO and Interstate here. But if you read the fine print  
25 of what their accusations are against ISO, it says they shared

1 it with them. But it says that, like, in five instances, maybe  
2 someone else looked at it, okay, other than ISO. When I read  
3 the ISO website to this, it says it's an anti-fraud mechanism.  
4 In other words, it looks like you put a name in and it would  
5 tell you whether somebody has submitted fifty other claims,  
6 okay, for the same thing.

7 So we don't need to get into a huge debate about  
8 whether that's proper or not proper. But it doesn't seem to me  
9 there was some evil motive --

10 THE COURT: Um-hum.

11 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- behind the whole thing. And  
12 Interstate, as far as I read the record, self-reported.  
13 They've done everything they can to sort of cure. They've been  
14 punished with having to pay all of Lowenstein's fees. They  
15 have a bill already of a hundred-and-some-odd-thousand dollars  
16 for them --

17 THE COURT: Um-hum.

18 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- examining them, et cetera, about  
19 it. So we all want to be careful about this. But it's like,  
20 let's not to try to cure this problem make a bigger problem --

21 THE COURT: Um-hum.

22 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- okay, so to speak. It's like, I  
23 would just take them out of the definition of authorized party,  
24 and we're fully prepared to do that, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask Mr. Kaplan a question.

1 MR. KAPLAN: Yes, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Come on up.

3 MR. KAPLAN: Yes.

4 THE COURT: I'll tell you what my instinct here is.  
5 It may be that this is a sledgehammer hitting a nail, but there  
6 are some things that are sensitive, and it doesn't hurt to have  
7 a sledgehammer. So I want you to address what you heard Mr.  
8 Schiavoni suggest is some overreach here, or it's maybe some  
9 unintended consequences. But the point of this is simply to  
10 say that there would be a protective order. ISO will not be --  
11 nobody will share the following information with ISO, and  
12 that's it. That doesn't sound like a problem.

13 MR. KAPLAN: Well, it's not a problem, Your Honor.  
14 But we've put ISO, and we tried to define as best we could  
15 because I am not an expert in the --

16 THE COURT: Sure.

17 MR. KAPLAN: -- insurance world.

18 THE COURT: Yeah.

19 MR. KAPLAN: I disagree with most everything Mr.  
20 Schiavoni said about the sensitivity, but I'll get to that. We  
21 want to make sure exactly that, Your Honor, that that we're not  
22 going to get a letter on September 28th of 2024, which says,  
23 oops, we shared it with --

24 THE COURT: Yeah.

25 MR. KAPLAN: -- SFO and it's okay and we did it.

1 So --

2 THE COURT: Yeah.

3 MR. KAPLAN: -- we definitely want that clarity. I  
4 don't want to conflate the other motion that the insurers  
5 filed, Your Honor, with the extra disclosure pieces with the  
6 experts because we are prepared to address that. But we don't  
7 think it's hitting a -- I mean, is it a sledgehammer?  
8 Possibly. But keep in mind, Your Honor, the survivor's  
9 information, only talking about information from the proofs of  
10 claim, only exists because of the debtor filing bankruptcy.

11 THE COURT: Um-hum.

12 MR. KAPLAN: And they did so under the guise of filing  
13 these proofs of claim that the information would be kept  
14 confidential.

15 THE COURT: Um-hum.

16 MR. KAPLAN: So that's pretty important, I think. So  
17 if it's a sledgehammer or a jackhammer or --

18 THE COURT: Well, the only question is what are the  
19 implications, other than if any, ISO is not going to have this  
20 information? I mean, is this one-sided, the way Mr. Schiavoni  
21 suggests? Then it should be -- it should be -- the order  
22 should be modified to make it clear that the restrictions work  
23 both ways.

24 MR. KAPLAN: Well, Your Honor, I don't think it needs  
25 to be. And this actually goes to the second sort of motion out

1 there, which is --

2 THE COURT: Um-hum.

3 MR. KAPLAN: -- neither the debtor nor the committee  
4 is able to retain anybody without Your Honor's approval, which  
5 is specifically provided for in the bar date order. It's the  
6 same for everyone else. So we can't go out and retain a third-  
7 party service provider of any kind unless we tell Your Honor  
8 why, what we're planning to do, how we're going to pay for it,  
9 and the list goes on.

10 THE COURT: Um-hum.

11 MR. KAPLAN: The insurers are in the unique position,  
12 and they're the only ones in this position, who do not have to  
13 tell you necessarily who they're retaining and for what.

14 THE COURT: Um-hum.

15 MR. KAPLAN: So it is, in fact, one-sided, absolutely,  
16 because there are already additional protections built in place  
17 in the bankruptcy and the bar date order for that. But to the  
18 extent, Your Honor, to make clear, I'm happy for the order to  
19 say that nobody can share the proofs of claim information with  
20 any third-party without court intervention. We want -- right.

21 THE COURT: Look, and that would just confirm  
22 something that's already the case as to the debtor and other  
23 authorized professionals. Right. I think that's a good idea.

24 MR. KAPLAN: With pleasure. And we will --

25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MR. KAPLAN: -- circulate a revised language --

2 THE COURT: Okay.

3 MR. KAPLAN: -- to that regard.

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 MR. KAPLAN: And --

6 THE COURT: Thank you.

7 MR. KAPLAN: -- thank you, Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: And on that basis, the motion is granted.

9 Okay.

10 MR. KAPLAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Thank you. Where do we go next?

12 MR. KAPLAN: Shall we continue onto Mr. Schiavoni's  
13 motion on the experts on the bar date order if the --

14 THE COURT: Would you like to do that, Mr. Schiavoni?

15 MR. SCHIAVONI: Sure, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Okay. It's your motion. Come on up.

17 MR. SCHIAVONI: Your Honor, again, Tanc Schiavoni for  
18 Pacific.

19 THE COURT: Um-hum.

20 MR. SCHIAVONI: In some ways, I'm sorry that we had to  
21 burden you with a series of motions on this, but I don't want  
22 you to -- like, this is collectively of enormous importance to  
23 us --

24 THE COURT: Um-hum.

25 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- because we need to have experts.



1 We need to have consultants. We need to have the ability to  
2 question adverse witnesses. We need to be able to have the  
3 ability to present evidence to a jury at some point here.

4 And like, the maze of, like, whatever is done with  
5 these confidentiality provisions throughout the day, and we'll  
6 talk about them, has to be done in a way that's consistent with  
7 107 and it doesn't take away our basic rights under the Seventh  
8 Amendment to basically try a case. Okay. And that's all said  
9 with we have no problem with protecting the names and the  
10 identities of the claimants --

11 THE COURT: Um-hum.

12 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- and other reasonable protections.  
13 But we can't be boxed into a position where we're giving up --  
14 like, we're being forced to sign an agreement that says we  
15 consent to giving up our right under Rule 26 to have an expert  
16 or a consultant. We can't even function that way as a  
17 practical matter to get through these proofs of claim.

18 In Camden, in Boy Scouts, in Buffalo, and I could go  
19 on, the ability to kind of look at these things and analyze  
20 them from an aggregate basis and an individual basis -- like,  
21 we've given you citations to experts who were experts in the  
22 field of sexual abuse, who reviewed proofs of claim and reached  
23 conclusions and gave opinions to the court about them that were  
24 picked up in Boy Scouts about manners in which protective  
25 measures would be adopted, et cetera.

1           We had other experts look at them and give views about  
2       where there were issues about deficient claims and how to deal  
3       with them. In Boy Scouts, a court has adopted anti-fraud  
4       provisions as a result. This was salutary. It was positive in  
5       a sense for everybody.

6           So what is it at issue with the bar date order, it  
7       specifically provides as we set out. It says that the debtor  
8       and the committee can use experts. The Camden order and other  
9       orders then went on to say the insurers -- like, it mirrored  
10      it. It used the same language. Here, it says the insurers are  
11      a "authorized party", and then it goes on to list, I don't  
12      know, a series of other, like, related entities, successors,  
13      reinsurers, et cetera, but it doesn't include a specific  
14      designation for experts.

15          On Thursday, there was argument in the San Francisco  
16      case about the specific terms. And there, the term  
17      "professional" is used. And I don't want to get into a huge  
18      debate about what happened at a hearing that I don't have a  
19      record for yet, a transcript. But Your Honor, in a matter of  
20      days, I believe you'll see a proposed order go in that will  
21      have professionals in it which incorporates experts. Okay.

22          Now, there was some big debate about whether or not  
23      each person at a professional had to sign --

24           THE COURT: Um-hum.

25           MR. SCHIAVONI: -- the acknowledgment --

1 THE COURT: Um-hum.

2 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- there or whether the entity itself  
3 could itself cover it.

4 THE COURT: Yeah.

5 MR. SCHIAVONI: And that was a matter of some debate.  
6 I don't know how that's going to resolve itself, to be candid.  
7 But I don't think there was any debate that, like, parties get  
8 to use experts and consultants. Everybody benefits from it.

9 So the order here, again, by oversight or whatnot,  
10 it's not explicit about this. And we want to be cautious. We  
11 don't want a repeat of the thing that's been made out of this  
12 ISO thing. So we came to the Court under a provision of the  
13 order. It's, I think, 14 Romanette (iii)(J) that allows a  
14 moving party with the authority of the Court to share with  
15 someone else.

16 And we've asked for that authority to share it with  
17 our experts and consultants. We would only share it with them  
18 if they signed the appropriate agreements, acknowledgment that  
19 that applies in this case so that they're being bound to the --  
20 they're agreeing to be bound to the order. We do that candidly  
21 for our own protection, but also, obviously --

22 THE COURT: Um-hum.

23 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- we want to comply with the order to  
24 the letter. But --

25 THE COURT: Is this a request to amend the order or to

1 clarify or what's the --

2 MR. SCHIAVONI: I don't think it's -- that's not  
3 how --

4 THE COURT: What's the relief?

5 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. We have not presented it as a  
6 motion to amend or clarify.

7 THE COURT: I mean, I'm not saying that's wrong, but  
8 I'm just curious.

9 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. And we've presented it to Your  
10 Honor in the first instance as the order itself provides, it  
11 says, here are the authorized parts.

12 THE COURT: Um-hum.

13 MR. SCHIAVONI: And then under Romanette 14(iii)(J) --  
14 Um-hum.

15 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- it says that any other person can  
16 be added, but we've got to come to you. We've got to --

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- give notice to everybody.

19 THE COURT: So it's under that --

20 MR. SCHIAVONI: Yes.

21 THE COURT: -- rubric? Okay.

22 MR. SCHIAVONI: So we're invoking that provision --

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- to say that we're asking for  
25 that -- we're moving, asking for authority. We've actually

1 identified two specific experts that we proposed to use. Like,  
2 nobody can help themselves at throwing stones at them, whether  
3 they're good or bad. That's the litigation world. People do  
4 that. But it's like, they're very legitimate enterprises, let  
5 me put it that way.

6 THE COURT: Um-hum.

7 MR. SCHIAVONI: I mean, they're big consulting  
8 entities. Okay. They're not people we pulled off the street,  
9 the Brattle Group and NERA (phonetic). We may not use both of  
10 them. Okay.

11 THE COURT: Um-hum.

12 MR. SCHIAVONI: But I wanted to have their names in  
13 there so that, like, we weren't just dealing with this totally  
14 in the abstract.

15 THE COURT: Um-hum.

16 MR. SCHIAVONI: But yeah, we may need another  
17 consultant or two in there, and we give that right. So the  
18 issue here -- I'm sorry, Your Honor. I (indiscernible)--

19 THE COURT: No, I just, I have a question. And I  
20 apologize. Remind me whether the relief requested is in the  
21 abstract, as in we want a -- we want an understanding that we  
22 can consult with -- let's just use the word "professionals"  
23 because it is fairly broad and probably helpful here. And that  
24 doesn't require you particularly to disclose who they are to  
25 the other side; is that the idea? I mean, you happen to be

1 disclosing to folks here because they're known entities.

2 MR. SCHIAVONI: Well, we do qualify it in this  
3 respect, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Um-hum.

5 MR. SCHIAVONI: I think it does say in the specific  
6 order, and God forbid I've remembered it wrong, we want it this  
7 way. It said, these are people who would be specifically  
8 hired --

9 THE COURT: Um-hum.

10 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- for this engagement.

11 THE COURT: Um-hum.

12 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. It would not -- it would be  
13 someone we've retained for this very engagement, not --

14 THE COURT: Um-hum.

15 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- somebody like -- like the ISO  
16 instance that came up, okay, I guess nobody knew about. Right.  
17 It's like, here, it'd be someone we specifically engaged --

18 THE COURT: Um-hum.

19 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- for the engagement. And in a  
20 sense, the proposed order in San Francisco, I think it's  
21 constructed that way. It says professionals, and parties then  
22 are able to get them. Now, look, it is true that there is, in  
23 effect, sort of disclosure --

24 THE COURT: Um-hum.

25 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- by professionals that are

1 retained --

2 THE COURT: Well, they have to sign something.

3 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- for -- well, we would have to sign  
4 them.

5 THE COURT: Um-hum.

6 MR. SCHIAVONI: And we would ask Your Honor that we  
7 get to -- like, we don't have to -- we would ask that we  
8 follow, in essence, the Federal Rules and we not have to  
9 disclose a nontestifying expert who we consult with to get  
10 advice, maybe advice to try to resolve the case --

11 THE COURT: Um-hum.

12 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- okay, that we're not putting up as  
13 a testifying expert.

14 THE COURT: Um-hum.

15 MR. SCHIAVONI: That is how it -- that is how Congress  
16 envisioned the distinction being testifying and nontestifying  
17 experts.

18 THE COURT: Um-hum.

19 MR. SCHIAVONI: And we would hold the agreement to be  
20 bound by the order.

21 THE COURT: Um-hum.

22 MR. SCHIAVONI: And we'd obviously be in peril, like  
23 if there was -- if we didn't get it and there was some  
24 violation because we didn't get it, we'd have that in hand.

25 But --

1 THE COURT: But whoever that is, whether they're  
2 testifying or nontestifying, they're signing that --

3 MR. SCHIAVONI: Absolutely.

4 THE COURT: -- Exhibit A, right?

5 MR. SCHIAVONI: Absolutely. That would --

6 THE COURT: But you wouldn't have to disclose they had  
7 done -- I mean, you would be responsible for that --

8 MR. SCHIAVONI: Yes.

9 THE COURT: -- and you wouldn't necessarily have to  
10 disclose that to the debtor or the committee, right?

11 MR. SCHIAVONI: That's the proposal, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

13 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. You can reject that. Okay.

14 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

15 MR. SCHIAVONI: You'll hear from the other side that  
16 they feel that because there's a different set of rules that  
17 apply in a sense to a professional who's getting paid from the  
18 estate. It's like, they have to make an application here.

19 THE COURT: Um-hum.

20 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. But I think that's really  
21 different -- that's just a different -- that applies for a  
22 different reason. Okay. And it's not, I don't think, right to  
23 rob us of what the rules are under Rule 26 for disclosing  
24 nontestifying experts.

25 THE COURT: Um-hum.



1 MR. SCHIAVONI: I also don't think it's helpful. I  
2 think we ought to be encouraged to have nontestifying experts  
3 who help us better understand the situation here. And I think  
4 that ought to be frankly encouraged. I think that's why  
5 Congress wrote it that way.

6 THE COURT: Um-hum.

7 MR. SCHIAVONI: But it's here. It has particular  
8 rationale and benefit. But that's why we -- that's the  
9 request --

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- so to speak.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. And the other thing, just the  
14 other point on this, is there's some issue here about, well,  
15 have we followed the provision by the letter of the rule, okay,  
16 and it says we're supposed to serve the claimants, comma, if  
17 known. All right. And Your Honor, what we did was we served  
18 the -- I forget what they call it, the core service list.

19 THE COURT: Um-hum.

20 MR. SCHIAVONI: I think that's what it's called.

21 THE COURT: Um-hum.

22 MR. SCHIAVONI: And that does include counsel of  
23 record for plaintiffs' lawyers. And it does include a number  
24 of plaintiffs' lawyers. I'd be the first to say it probably  
25 doesn't include every plaintiffs' lawyer.

1 THE COURT: Um-hum.

2 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. But it's if known. We're  
3 literally in the situation where we don't know who the  
4 plaintiffs -- like, we don't know who the claimants are.

5 THE COURT: Um-hum.

6 MR. SCHIAVONI: We know from the complaints who some  
7 of them are. Right. But we don't have a full list. It's  
8 like, it's impossible for us to serve all of the individual  
9 claimants, Your Honor. And I submit that that can't be, like,  
10 a reasoned interpretation of what Your Honor meant when you  
11 signed the order that we would have to go out and individually  
12 serve all the claimants. It seems inconsistent with everything  
13 that the protective order was trying to achieve, that all of a  
14 sudden, they'd be getting notices from, like, an insurance  
15 company, saying, we're going to use the Brattle Group. Right.

16 It's like, they are represented here in a fiduciary  
17 capacity by the TCC, by the committee, and they're certainly in  
18 the best position, if they felt any additional service was  
19 necessary, to provide that service. They may have the list of  
20 all the plaintiffs' lawyers and whatnot in the case. And I  
21 think certainly they're in contact with them. They're in the  
22 best position to sort of do that, Your Honor. And so I think  
23 we've done everything to kind of comply. Okay.

24 If the order is construed in this sort of literalistic  
25 way, it makes the terms of the order. And this is sort of like

1 a rule of construction for interpreting contracts, but also  
2 orders and statutes. Right. It makes the statute, or here,  
3 like, the order, it's not a reasoned interpretation because it  
4 makes it illusory. There's no way to use this provision if you  
5 have to serve people and you don't know who they are or you  
6 don't know who their counsel are. We've made service of the  
7 folks that we know who are on this by the mechanism provided  
8 through ECF service and through the service list. So Your  
9 Honor, we submit that that's good service.

10 To the extent, Your Honor, there's some literalistic  
11 sort of other analysis of this, we're not moving for  
12 reconsideration. But the Court always has the power to  
13 interpret its own orders and to tweak them and to sort of leave  
14 us in a position where we don't get to use experts or we are  
15 left with months of litigation over whether we can use an  
16 expert. It's not productive to -- like, where we're going to  
17 go on this. It's like, it makes it impossible for us to sort  
18 of -- to function on a going-forward basis.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MR. SCHIAVONI: Thank you, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

22 Yeah. Come on up.

23 MR. KAPLAN: Okay. Good morning again, Your Honor.  
24 Michael Kaplan from Lowenstein. A lot to unpack there. I'm  
25 going to do my best to sort of follow it.

1 THE COURT: Um-hum.

2 MR. KAPLAN: Let's start with a couple of points. The  
3 service argument that you just heard Counsel argue about is  
4 it's just not right. The service argument that was made is is  
5 that the goods we're talking about proofs of claim. So let's  
6 just make sure we ground ourselves in this argument.

7 This is to do with proofs of claim. And it really is,  
8 Your Honor, a motion for reconsideration of the bar date order,  
9 which was already litigated once before. And then 0.25 this  
10 morning, we did another round on it. But this is all about the  
11 bar order. So procedurally, I would argue that the motion is  
12 not properly before you to do it, but let's set the sort of  
13 form over substance aside here.

14 The issue we have, Your Honor, with this proposed  
15 modification is a couple things. Number one, we have the main  
16 case, then we have the adversary proceeding. There is no  
17 contested matter currently in the main case for application of  
18 Rule 26. Depending what Your Honor says in about half an hour  
19 or maybe a little bit more about the adversary proceeding,  
20 there might not be any discovery going on yet in the adversary  
21 proceeding. But admittedly, at some point, we would hope that  
22 discovery ensues in the adversary, at which time Rule 26  
23 through 7026 and otherwise would apply.

24 So the whole notion about disclosure of nontestifying  
25 and consulting experts under Rule 26, that is a red herring,

1 Your Honor. It has no application here. That has to do with  
2 the adversary proceeding. But that is actually part of where  
3 we have the problem because if you look at the insurers'  
4 proposed order, which broadly defines the term.

5 "Expert shall mean any entity or person with  
6 specialized knowledge or experience in a matter pertinent to  
7 the Chapter 11 case and/or adversary proceeding who has been  
8 retained by an authorized party or its counsel to serve as an  
9 expert witness or as a consultant in connection with the  
10 Chapter 11 case and/or the adversary," including, he goes on,  
11 Mr. Schiavoni lists the Brattle Group and NERA.

12 I'm not going to get into the Brattle Group and NERA,  
13 Your Honor. The citations that were made to Your Honor in the  
14 moving brief about their utility is not true. The citations we  
15 provided you in the transcript about their utility, that's the  
16 record.

17 THE COURT: I think that's neither here nor there.

18 MR. KAPLAN: Yeah.

19 THE COURT: Yeah.

20 MR. KAPLAN: And that's the point.

21 THE COURT: I mean, it's we'll see.

22 MR. KAPLAN: We may come a fine -- and I think that's  
23 exactly the point, Your Honor, is is --

24 THE COURT: Um-hum.

25 MR. KAPLAN: -- we are not in a contested matter yet

1 in the main case. There is nothing in which the parties are  
2 about to take depositions. There is nothing in which there is  
3 that type of formal discovery occurring.

4 And what the bar date order provides is again, we're  
5 only talking about proofs of claim. We're not talking about  
6 any documents the debtor provides otherwise. We are talking  
7 about only proofs of claim. Says that if you want to show that  
8 proof of claim to someone, you have to follow the procedures in  
9 the bar date order, which means you have to disclose who they  
10 are under Exhibit A, you have to give the parties ten days to  
11 do it, and you have to provide the specific survivor whose  
12 claims information it is with notice.

13 Those are the protections, Your Honor, that we  
14 litigated extensively before you. I can't remember the date  
15 exactly, but I think it was sometime this summer when we went  
16 through all of this. And it's exactly what Your Honor entered.  
17 And again, we only have this situation -- it's not because  
18 we're trying to single out the insurers. It's because the  
19 folks sitting on this side of the courtroom can't retain  
20 experts without the Court approving it and knowing it and  
21 disclosing it. And those experts are still subject to sign the  
22 authorized party agreement and otherwise.

23 So all we're asking for here, Your Honor, is we are  
24 not trying to limit anybody that the insurers want to retain.  
25 We can argue about the utility of that retention at a different

1 time. But what we're simply saying is is if you want to show  
2 them a proof of claim or the information in the sort of  
3 supplement to the proof of claim, you need to follow the bar  
4 date, which says you have to provide notice, you have to sign  
5 the agreement, and you have to give the parties a chance to  
6 object.

7 We should not have endless lists. I lost count, Your  
8 Honor. I think there are nine separate insurers here, but I  
9 might be off by a digit here or there, so forgive me. We  
10 should not have a world where nine separate parties have a  
11 right to retain anyone that they deem pertinent and that the  
12 universe of people who have access to proof-of-claim  
13 information is twenty-five, thirty, forty-five, fifty. That's  
14 not what the proof of claim information is.

15 Again, nothing to do with discovery that provided  
16 pursuant to 2004 in the main case. This is only proof-of-claim  
17 information. If you get the information somewhere else, share  
18 it as you see fit. But I don't think it's really onerous, Your  
19 Honor, and burdensome for the main case to limit who sees the  
20 proofs of claim and to have to follow the procedures that Your  
21 Honor carefully thought about and implied.

22 No one's being limited. We're simply just saying you  
23 have to disclose it. This isn't the adversary proceeding.  
24 There's a separate procedure there. And it really goes, Your  
25 Honor, to the argument of whether or not the proofs of claim

1 belong in the adversary proceeding. But we will get to that at  
2 the appropriate time in the adversary proceeding.

3 I don't see really how it's more complicated than  
4 that. But this broad definition of expert, that they don't  
5 have to tell -- that the insurers don't have to disclose who  
6 they're showing proofs of claim to, in the committee's mind,  
7 that is unacceptable and that is inconsistent with the  
8 confidentiality that is provided in the bar date order. And  
9 there is no way to police that, and there is no way to check  
10 that because the Exhibit A has to be signed by both the debtor  
11 and committee, Your Honor.

12 So I'm not sure what we're getting at here. If  
13 they're willing to sign Exhibit A, it's got to be signed by  
14 both of us, and there's still a disclosure and a period for us  
15 to object and say, no, you shouldn't give the proof of claim  
16 information to those people. Your Honor would have to call it.  
17 I've never objected to a name yet when these have come through.  
18 I'm not sure who we're talking about. But there are no  
19 depositions. There are no document demands. There is no  
20 discovery. I'm not sure why we're really back here.

21 THE COURT: Yeah Let me give you one reaction to that.

22 MR. KAPLAN: Okay.

23 THE COURT: And this is not a ruling. It's an  
24 observation. Okay. The challenge of these kinds of cases is  
25 so many things are happening in parallel. And I take your



1 point that there's technically no contested matter here.

2 But for the same reason that I'm going to look  
3 somewhat askance at the insurers' position re the motions to  
4 dismiss, although not as askance as you might like me to, but  
5 the same reason that I question anybody's puzzlement as to why  
6 we're here, we know where we're going here. Okay. I mean,  
7 they're going to have to look at these things. And it's just a  
8 question of what should be the impediments and what should be  
9 the barriers. Right. So the fact that there is or isn't a  
10 contested matter right now, I agree with you, but we have to  
11 sort of get past that. Right.

12 MR. KAPLAN: Fully agree with Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. KAPLAN: And that is why if they wanted to -- if  
15 the insurers would like to disclose all the folks they want to  
16 use now -- again, it's not a matter of --

17 THE COURT: Right.

18 MR. KAPLAN: -- it's not a matter of telling us every  
19 person at the Brattle Group so we can go back through and sit  
20 down and search through everyone's name, although I certainly  
21 know Mr. Hinton and some of the other experts well.

22 THE COURT: Yeah.

23 MR. KAPLAN: And we may get to see them again on  
24 Monday on the other side of the country. This is simply  
25 just --

1 THE COURT: In Camden?

2 MR. KAPLAN: In Camden, yes, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 MR. KAPLAN: They're a proposed -- Mr. Hinton's  
5 proposed to testify again.

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 MR. KAPLAN: But nevertheless, the point is simply to  
8 have a disclosure at a level -- for instance, Your Honor, we  
9 retained Stout (phonetic).

10 THE COURT: Um-hum.

11 MR. KAPLAN: Your Honor saw the application. You  
12 approved it. Stout signed the authorized party agreement. And  
13 everybody knows Stout is in the case.

14 I don't think it is particularly onerous or burdensome  
15 to simply say that the Brattle Group is in the case. They are  
16 going to be looking at proofs of claim. I don't think it's  
17 onerous to say NERA is in the case. They're looking at proofs  
18 of claim. But I will say that had we known in this procedure  
19 we're followed in another case, we probably would not have been  
20 in the position we're in in some of those talking about ISO and  
21 others.

22 So I'm not sure what the impediment is. I don't think  
23 Your Honor would look kindly on us over0objecting to everyone  
24 the insurers wanted to retain, and I'm not sure that I would  
25 personally come argue that. I might bring one of my colleagues

1 to stand in front of the proverbial firing line if we chose to  
2 do that.

3 But specifically for the proof of claim information,  
4 Your Honor, the disclosure required and the notice, to simply  
5 give the individual survivors, whose rights have been violated  
6 many, many times, an opportunity to be told your information  
7 that you submitted confidentially in the bankruptcy is going to  
8 be shared with people who you may not have known. Ten days,  
9 Your Honor, for them to give the opportunity to do that, I'm  
10 hard-pressed to understand how that's slowing anything down in  
11 this particular case. But that's what -- I mean, we --

12 THE COURT: Let me just ask you this. And if you  
13 don't know, that's fine. I mean, is this aberrational in the  
14 sense that this bar date order is different from others that  
15 have been entered around the country? This issue has never  
16 come up before, versus in what sense is this typical?

17 MR. KAPLAN: Your Honor, I can represent to you that  
18 this is not an issue that I have litigated in other --

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MR. KAPLAN: -- cases previously.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. KAPLAN: This has become a specific issue, I  
23 think, because of the additional disclosures that occurred in  
24 the Rochester, Rockville, Camden, and Syracuse cases. But in  
25 the other diocesan cases, there are provisions that allow the

1 insurers to get access to all the proofs of claim. They still  
2 had to sign the authorized party agreement. And I do not  
3 recall -- Mr. Schiavoni has a far better memory than me in some  
4 respects.

5 THE COURT: Um-hum.

6 MR. KAPLAN: I do not recall this similar motion being  
7 presented in the Camden case, of which I litigated virtually  
8 every motion that was before the court, and I do not recall  
9 this being presented in any other case. The provision to share  
10 strictly the proofs of claim, I believe, is nearly identical.  
11 I could certainly check it, Your Honor, but I know there's an  
12 authorized party agreement --

13 THE COURT: Um-hum.

14 MR. KAPLAN: -- that requires parties to be signed.  
15 It has to be cosigned by the debtor and the committee. And I  
16 believe there's a notice provision there. There's a  
17 (indiscernible).

18 THE COURT: Okay. Appreciate it. Thank you.

19 MR. KAPLAN: Okay.

20 THE COURT: Okay.

21 MR. SCHIAVONI: Your Honor, if I could just --

22 THE COURT: Yeah. Come on up.

23 MR. SCHIAVONI: So I have a proposal, okay, which,  
24 like --

25 THE COURT: Always happy to hear a proposal.

1 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- that may, like, get us where we  
2 need to be. But --

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- let me just quickly just cover a  
5 couple of points.

6 THE COURT: Yeah.

7 MR. SCHIAVONI: So the Camden order says -- and I'm  
8 reading -- it's in footnote 7 of our moving brief.

9 THE COURT: Yeah. Um-hum.

10 MR. SCHIAVONI: And exhibit and whatnot. It says in  
11 Section 15(iii), then (iv), it provides that authorized party  
12 shall include, "any insurance company ... together with their  
13 respective successors, reinsurance counsel, experts, and  
14 consultants." So --

15 THE COURT: And that was the similar order that was  
16 the --

17 MR. SCHIAVONI: Mr. Kaplan's right. It's like, he  
18 didn't come up there because it was specifically in the order.

19 THE COURT: Okay. But is that the bar date order in  
20 that case?

21 MR. SCHIAVONI: Yes.

22 THE COURT: Okay.

23 MR. SCHIAVONI: Yes.

24 THE COURT: Thanks. Appreciate it. Thanks.

25 MR. SCHIAVONI: It's not really come -- as I

1 understand, it's not really coming up before Judge Montali  
2 whether or not experts are permitted. It's just a matter of  
3 who exactly signs it because professionals is right in the  
4 form. That's how --

5 THE COURT: Um-hum.

6 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- almost all of these are set up.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 MR. SCHIAVONI: What happened here was whether -- I  
9 don't know whether we missed it. I don't know. But like,  
10 there was a lot before --

11 THE COURT: Right. I missed it. Okay.

12 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- assigned to protect -- there was  
13 a --

14 THE COURT: So nobody has a -- nobody has any  
15 concerns. Okay.

16 MR. SCHIAVONI: There was a lot before us on the  
17 protective order.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MR. SCHIAVONI: And if I'm at fault for not bringing  
20 that to your attention --

21 THE COURT: That's all right.

22 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- I take the fault.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. SCHIAVONI: But I can't believe Your Honor really,  
25 like, meant to, like, limit us in that way. So --

1 THE COURT: I appreciate it.

2 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- just two other quick things. All  
3 right.

4 THE COURT: Yeah. Okay.

5 MR. SCHIAVONI: So this notion of there is not really  
6 a contested matter now, it's like, look, we're not waiting  
7 until the eve of a confirmation hearing or the beginning of --

8 THE COURT: Um-hum.

9 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- the claims allowance process --

10 THE COURT: Um-hum.

11 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- to then present you with an expert  
12 and then have them start his work.

13 THE COURT: Yeah, I get it.

14 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay.

15 THE COURT: I get it.

16 MR. SCHIAVONI: There is a contested matter here.

17 THE COURT: Yeah.

18 MR. SCHIAVONI: And whether whatever happens with the  
19 adversary, I suspecting it's not going away entirely, okay, we  
20 need to be prepared for both things and --

21 THE COURT: Um-hum.

22 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- we need one set of experts looking  
23 for it.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MR. SCHIAVONI: But also, like, we like to try to get

1 a handle on this. Okay.

2 THE COURT: Um-hum.

3 MR. SCHIAVONI: And Rule 26 does allow for  
4 nontestifying experts for a very good reason. And we should be  
5 encouraged in that regard, Your Honor. Thank you.

6 THE COURT: You bet. Okay.

7 MR. SCHIAVONI: Oh, so I had a proposal. Okay.

8 THE COURT: Yeah.

9 MR. SCHIAVONI: If Your Honor is really concerned  
10 about us complying with the letter of whatever it is,  
11 14(3)(ii)(J) --

12 THE COURT: Um-hum.

13 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- giving notice to all of the  
14 claimants on ten days for this proposal we have before Your  
15 Honor, this request for relief, you could either enter the  
16 order on negative notice and then have the committee notice it  
17 out to -- I don't have -- I noticed the claimants I know of.  
18 Those are, like, their counsel, the ones on the 2002 service  
19 list.

20 THE COURT: Okay.

21 MR. SCHIAVONI: So the committee could notice out --  
22 if they haven't probably have already done it, but like, if  
23 they haven't, it's like, they could notice it out and the order  
24 wouldn't be effective for ten days if any of them come in to  
25 object to experts being permitted to review this, the order



1 wouldn't go into effect within ten days.

2 THE COURT: Well, it's just funny because at the risk  
3 of parsing this too fine, which is the last thing we need in  
4 this case, are there two issues? I mean, one is with respect  
5 to this motion to whom it should have been noticed. And the  
6 second is the issue that's underneath it.

7 Is it with respect to any particular instance in which  
8 you're going to get a proof of claim that that particular  
9 claimant -- I mean, are those two different things? Or are you  
10 suggesting that because of the effect of the relief that you're  
11 requesting here, the question is whether the notice of this was  
12 sufficient, and that's all?

13 MR. SCHIAVONI: The motion before Your Honor is to ask  
14 under J --

15 THE COURT: Yeah.

16 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- let me just call it that --

17 THE COURT: Yeah.

18 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- is that authorized parties -- that  
19 the Court include, among authorized parties, experts and  
20 consultants, exactly as the order did in Camden --

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- and similar to the order in San  
23 Francisco.

24 THE COURT: Okay, as opposed to a further notice  
25 issue?

1 MR. SCHIAVONI: That's the request.

2 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

3 MR. SCHIAVONI: The objection to that request is that  
4 somehow we haven't complied with the notice procedure because  
5 even though the notice procedure says that we serve claimants  
6 if known, that we didn't serve the ones we don't know --

7 THE COURT: Yeah, yeah. Okay.

8 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- who they are.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. It's like, if -- like, I don't  
11 think that's a reasoned analysis, and I don't think we should  
12 have to --

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- provide other notice. But if Your  
15 Honor wants more notice --

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- give them ten days to give it.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Appreciate it.

19 Okay. Submitted?

20 MR. KAPLAN: Unless Your Honor has further questions.

21 THE COURT: No. No. I want to think about this for  
22 literally a day or two.

23 MR. KAPLAN: Okay. Sure.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MR. KAPLAN: Just to be clear, Your Honor, we did not

1 raise the service of the actual motion.

2 THE COURT: Yeah, I wasn't sure --

3 MR. KAPLAN: Yeah.

4 THE COURT: -- you had. I'm sorry. I mangled my  
5 question to Mr. Schiavoni.

6 MR. KAPLAN: That's okay.

7 THE COURT: -- but I think you got -- but you saw what  
8 I was asking.

9 MR. KAPLAN: I saw where you were go --

10 THE COURT: Yeah.

11 MR. KAPLAN: We didn't raise it.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 MR. KAPLAN: It's not an issue.

14 THE COURT: All right. I'm going to get back to you  
15 promptly on this. Okay. I'm thinking end of the week or  
16 Monday. All right.

17 Okay. Where do we go next?

18 MR. KAPLAN: Shall we stay on the theme of protective  
19 orders, or should we move to 2004?

20 THE COURT: Well, you can. I mean, when would it be  
21 appropriate to hear my thinking about the motion to dismiss?

22 MR. KAPLAN: Right now.

23 THE COURT: Okay. So it's good enough? Okay. All  
24 right. And look, there's going to be overlap here in several  
25 different ways. Okay.

1           So we had a fairly lengthy argument about a couple of  
2 motions to dismiss back on October 18. And I want to thank the  
3 parties for doing really a wonderful job of illuminating their  
4 views of the subjects. And again, this is another one of those  
5 situations where I think we're proceeding in some ways in  
6 parallel in terms of what's going on in the main case and  
7 what's going on in the AP.

8           And apropos of absolutely nothing, I'm struck by what  
9 I understand to be all the different ways that these kinds of  
10 APs are dealt with in different cases. There seem to be cases  
11 where they just get filed and they kind of sit there and  
12 they're just a vehicle to do something someday but it's not  
13 really urgent or necessarily joined in battle initially. And  
14 there are other situations where I think they're more  
15 immediately sort of a means to advance all kinds of important  
16 questions.

17           This one has provoked a couple of 12(b)(6) and 12(e)  
18 motions, which is fine because I think at the end of the day,  
19 my ruling is going to suggest how I think we need to clarify a  
20 few things here. So let me go back to the beginning.

21           So on June 22nd, the plaintiff in this case, the Roman  
22 Catholic Bishop of Oakland, filed a complaint, later amended,  
23 breach of contract and declaratory judgment against certain  
24 primary access and umbrella insurers. Plaintiffs allege  
25 jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. 1334. They also allege that all

1 these matters are core under 28 U.S.C. 157(b), but there's not  
2 much elaboration as to what little part of 157(b) might render  
3 these things core. Plaintiffs also consent to this Court  
4 entering final orders, judgments, or decrees.

5 Certain of the defendants have filed demands for jury  
6 trials. The defendants also assert that these matters are  
7 state law causes of action that are not core. And they don't  
8 consent to this Court entering final orders, judgments, or  
9 decrees.

10 Clearly, this Court would have no ability to conduct a  
11 jury trial on the matter as presently set, I believe. Okay.  
12 certain of the insurers have also indicated a desire to file a  
13 motion to withdraw the reference, but I don't think that's been  
14 filed yet. And at some point, we'll circle back to that  
15 because that's going to implicate some timing questions on a  
16 couple of different matters here. Okay.

17 And let me just say as an aside, whether something is  
18 core or isn't is initially theoretically my call, but it's not  
19 ultimately my call. So the fact that somebody alleges that  
20 something isn't core or I shouldn't be entering final orders of  
21 the motion -- the reference should be withdrawn. The only  
22 thing I care about is certainty, not that I am never offended  
23 when anybody tells me I shouldn't be doing a thing. Congress  
24 has told me that, and I have to interpret it. But somebody  
25 else may interpret it differently, so I don't want anybody ever

1 to think that that is problematic.

2 The problem occurs when in all too many APs people  
3 don't say what they think about that and you get to the eve of  
4 a trial and suddenly somebody thinks that there's a problem.  
5 So I appreciate the fact this has come up early. That helps  
6 the process. Okay.

7 And the curious thing about this is although it's  
8 reasonably clear to me that even at this 12(b)(6), 12(e) stage,  
9 there are some factual disputes about fundamental aspects of  
10 these issues. I don't think any factual disputes have to be  
11 resolved here. So in the sense that if purely from a related  
12 to jurisdiction core, noncore matter, if I'm not resolving a  
13 factual dispute, I don't think that there's any Constitutional  
14 implications or problems because if what I do were to be  
15 reviewed, it would be reviewed de novo in any event, in which  
16 case the Stern issue just isn't a problem. So I intend to go  
17 ahead and rule on these motions. Okay.

18 So the amended complaint alleges that -- and here, I'm  
19 going to do sort of a laundry list. Don't take notes because  
20 it's going to -- don't feel the need to jot down every thought.  
21 Okay.

22 The complaint alleges that the defendant Pacific  
23 Indemnity on information and belief issued primary insurance  
24 policies to the plaintiff under various policy numbers for a  
25 period from roughly 1963 to 1966.

1           The Insurance Company of America information and  
2 belief issued primary insurance policies to plaintiff under  
3 various policy numbers as set forth in the complaint for  
4 periods 1966 to '69 and '69 to 1970.

5           Defendant Aetna Travelers issued written primary  
6 policies of insurance to the plaintiff under various policies  
7 for different periods of time commencing in 1975 and running  
8 through 1981.

9           Certain Underwriters of Lloyd's wrote primary -- I'm  
10 sorry, wrote excess policies under certain policy numbers for  
11 periods allegedly beginning 1962 and running through 1966.

12           Oh, I think I skipped somebody here. Yeah.  
13 Commercial Union/Armour Insurance Company obligations were  
14 later assumed by California Insurance Guaranty Association,  
15 allegedly issued written policies of insurance, various numbers  
16 from periods allegedly from 1970 to 1975. And those we dealt  
17 with last week. Okay.

18           Insurance Company of North America issued a written  
19 excess policy of insurance allegedly under a policy for the  
20 period of 1966 to 1970.

21           United States Fire Insurance issued a written policy  
22 of excess insurance, allegedly, for a period 1970 to 1971.

23           The Employer's for the Insurance written policy of  
24 excess insurance allegedly in 1971 to 1974.

25           CNA Insurance Company allegedly wrote a written policy

1 of excess insurance, various policy numbers from a period  
2 beginning 1974 running through 1980.

3 Industrial Indemnity issued a written policy of excess  
4 insurance, allegedly, again during 1980 and 1981.

5 And Lloyd's Underwriters allegedly issued written  
6 umbrella policies of insurance for a period 1963 to -- I'm  
7 sorry, 1962 to '63 and then '63 to '66.

8 Employers re issued a written umbrella policy of  
9 insurance to plaintiff under a policy number for a period 1974  
10 to 1977.

11 Aetna Travelers allegedly issued written umbrella  
12 policies of insurance from periods 1978 to 1981 and then 1981  
13 to 1987.

14 Pacific Employer's Insurance allegedly issued a  
15 written umbrella policy for a period 1985 -- I'm sorry, March  
16 1985 through December 1985.

17 So attached to the amended complaint is Exhibit A is a  
18 chart listing the pending lawsuits filed in the (indiscernible)  
19 County Superior Court against plaintiff for alleged negligent  
20 supervision and hiring of certain clerical and ministerial  
21 personnel. The list underlies most of the claims that need to  
22 be resolved.

23 In this adversary proceeding, the plaintiff alleges  
24 generally that the primary and excess insurers have a duty to  
25 defend and indemnify the plaintiff through the state court



1 actions and further alleges that the insurers have either  
2 denied or failed to confirm coverage and/or provide defense  
3 and/or indemnity. As a result, the plaintiffs claim they have  
4 been damaged because one, the plaintiffs' been denied the  
5 benefits of the insurance policies that it purchased, despite  
6 having complied with all of the requirements under the  
7 policies. And two, plaintiff has been forced to defend itself  
8 against the lawsuits without the appropriate defense and  
9 indemnity from the insurers.

10 Plaintiff believes that the foregoing demonstrates a  
11 need for declaratory relief because there appears to be a  
12 dispute regarding coverage, and plaintiff believes some or all  
13 of the insurers breached their contracts because of their  
14 deficient response. Primary insurers contend that they did not  
15 breach any contract for failure to furnish a defense because  
16 they provided plaintiff a qualified defense under a reservation  
17 of rights. And the primary insurers who filed a 12(b)(6)  
18 motion further argue that they are not obligated to indemnify  
19 the plaintiff because the duty to indemnify only arises after  
20 the primary insurers' liability is established, which they  
21 argue has not yet happened.

22 Primary insurers contend that because the plaintiff  
23 has failed to allege or provide any evidence of the existence  
24 of any judgment or settlement in any underlying state court  
25 proceedings, primary insurers have no duty to indemnify the

1 plaintiff. Therefore, the primary insurers moved the Court to  
2 either dismiss this adversary proceeding or require plaintiff  
3 to provide a more definite statement.

4           So let me take a step back here. As background, the  
5 Court has made a comment few hearings ago that it finds it a  
6 little bit unusual to approach the issue of insurance coverage  
7 through this adversary proceeding, considering the fact that  
8 most of the questions related to the coverage can be resolved  
9 through comprehensive 2004 exams and through the parties'  
10 extensive discussions that are under way. That's neither here  
11 nor there. I mean, there's clearly two paths here. It's  
12 curious to me that we're on both, but there we are. Okay.  
13 This dichotomy persists and is going to be addressed in several  
14 applications today, small way applications.

15           With that and thinking about the motion to dismiss or  
16 a motion for a more definite statement, this dispute plays out  
17 sort of on two strata, one, a sort of meta conceptual level,  
18 what's this case about, and on a more particularized level,  
19 what are the duties allegedly implicated and have they been  
20 breached. And those are really two different questions.

21           To the extent that the insurers are basically taking  
22 the position, at least thematically, that they are uncertain as  
23 to what the plaintiff is seeking here at large. That argument  
24 generally lacks credibility with me. It's clear to me that the  
25 plaintiff is alleging that there is coverage, which is hardly a

1 surprise in this case or in any other disease case. Thus, for  
2 the insurers to claim they're uncertain how to respond is on  
3 that meta level unpersuasive.

4           However, we're talking about a complaint here, which  
5 is a much more particularized form of request for relief, and  
6 it needs to be precise in its allegations and assertions of  
7 duties and breaches. So the Court agrees with the insurers  
8 that for them to respond to the complaint, the plaintiff should  
9 amend the complaint to clarify at least the following points.

10           One, to the extent that the plaintiff believes that  
11 the obligation to indemnify has been triggered, the plaintiff  
12 should clarify the reasons why it believes that's the case.

13           Two, to the extent the plaintiff believes that the  
14 duty to defend has been breached, the plaintiff should provide  
15 further details concerning the instances of the alleged breach,  
16 including but not necessarily limited to, one, the dates the  
17 plaintiff tendered the claims to the insurers, two, the dates  
18 of the -- I'm sorry, I lost my place here -- dates of the  
19 insurers' responses, if any, and three, the reasons why the  
20 plaintiff asserts that the insurers' responses, if there was a  
21 response, were unsatisfactory or deficient under California  
22 law. I think we have to have that to understand that we have a  
23 breach or don't have a breach.

24           Further, to the extent that the insurance companies  
25 are asking for more particulars about the individual policies

1 or why the policies may or may not be in effect or exclusions  
2 may or may not apply, the Court believes that and agrees with  
3 Ms. Ridley. Those are really merits issues, but I don't think  
4 we need to get into it at pleading stage. So to the extent  
5 there was a request for that kind of information, I'm not  
6 granting the motion to dismiss.

7 But the primary motions, primary insurers' motions to  
8 dismiss, a motion for a more definite statement, are granted.  
9 And the plaintiff is directed and shall be permitted to amend  
10 its complaint consistent with the concerns described above.

11 With respect to the excess insurers, the excess  
12 insurers replicate many of the primary insurers' arguments  
13 regarding indemnity and defense. In addition, they argue that  
14 under Iolab Corp. v. Seaboard Surety Company, which is 15 F.3d  
15 1500 (9th Cir. 1994), they have no duty whatsoever to an  
16 insured until the insured can demonstrate that the primary  
17 insurance has been exhausted and that the excess has been  
18 accessed.

19 Let me take a minute with respect to Iolab because  
20 it's clearly a very important case. In Iolab, Iolab was sued  
21 in the Central District of California for allegedly infringing  
22 the patent for an optical device owned by Dr. Jenson. The  
23 trial was bifurcated between liability and damages. And at  
24 trial, Iolab was found liable for patent infringement, and the  
25 parties subsequently settled. Iolab agreed to pay 13.5 million

1 dollars to Dr. Jenson.

2 Iolab then filed an action seeking indemnification  
3 from its insurers, both primary and excess insurers, for 13.5  
4 million dollars, together with costs estimated at 1 million  
5 dollars, for a total of 14.5 million. Iolab's aggregate  
6 primary coverage during the infringing period amounted to  
7 thirty-six million dollars.

8 Further, the excess policy specifically provided that  
9 their liability does not attach until the underlying jurors  
10 have paid or have been held liable to pay. The district court  
11 dismissed on the pleadings the actions against four insurers,  
12 dismissing a fifth based on the complaint alone, and granted  
13 summary judgment, dismissing the remaining ten causes of action  
14 Iolab appealed.

15 The Ninth Circuit found that under California law, as  
16 they were interpreting California law, primary insurance must  
17 be exhausted before liability attaches under a secondary  
18 policy. This is true even if the total amount of primary  
19 insurance exceeds the amount contemplated in the secondary  
20 policy. So the Ninth Circuit affirmed the trial court finding  
21 the Iolab could not have sued for excess -- I'm sorry, could  
22 not exclude the excess policyholders for breach of contract  
23 until the legal obligations of the primary insurers have been  
24 determined and the excess policies had been triggered.

25 Now, the argument was raised at the oral argument in

1 the papers that there is other pertinent law in California with  
2 respect to declaratory relief actions in particular. And the  
3 case that was cited to the Court was Ludgate Insurance Company  
4 v. Lockheed Martin Corp., which is 82 Cal. App. 4th 592 (2008).

5 In looking at this case, my instinct is that there is  
6 greater flexibility under California law, specifically with  
7 respect to declaratory relief actions than I think was  
8 necessarily contemplated by Iolab. I think Ludgate stands for  
9 the proposition. And again, that's more of a pleading case.  
10 And they pointed out in Ludgate that Iolab was largely a  
11 summary judgment case.

12 But what I think Ludgate stands for is the proposition  
13 that at a pleading stage, it's sufficient, at least plausibly,  
14 to allege a likelihood that the excess can be implicated. In  
15 fact, the actual pleading in Ludgate might have gone beyond  
16 that and might have alleged on the numbers presented that the  
17 excess would be implicated. But I think that the point of  
18 Ludgate, in my view, is that there should be greater  
19 flexibility in looking at these issues through the prism of  
20 declaratory relief and that what needs to demonstrate through  
21 declaratory relief is an actual, plausible controversy and that  
22 that can be done even in this excess insurance concept.

23 I think that's particularly relevant here, and I think  
24 it's particularly relevant to a diocese case at this stage,  
25 because unlike Iolab, where the damages were set and everybody

1 knew what the numbers were, we may have ideas what numbers are  
2 likely to be based on other cases here, but we just don't know.  
3 I think that, as I look at the complaint, I don't believe that  
4 the plaintiff has yet alleged anything with respect to any kind  
5 of likelihood that there's going to be a likely invasion of the  
6 excess policies. I think they should be required to do that  
7 and have some basis for doing it.

8           So I think I'm going to grant the excess insurers  
9 motion to that extent. I think there needs to be some  
10 statement consistent with Ludgate where the reasonable  
11 possibility or reasonable plausibility they're looking to get  
12 to something implicating the excess policies, I don't think  
13 that has to be necessarily down to the penny. But I do think  
14 that Ludgate suggests that there can be a declaratory relief  
15 action, but it does require some pleading beyond what we have  
16 here.

17           So I'm going to grant the excess insurers' policy as  
18 well and permit the debtor, the plaintiff, to amend the  
19 complaint with respect to statements with respect to a  
20 plausibility under a Ludgate analysis that we're going to -- we  
21 are going to or are likely to implicate the excess policies as  
22 well.

23           So we talked about a deadline for amendment last week.  
24 The plaintiff suggests on November 28th. I don't know if Ms.  
25 Ridley wants to comment on whether in light of these rulings,

1 November 28th still make sense for one amended complaint or  
2 whether something else should be considered.

3 MS. RIDLEY: Thank you, Your Honor. This is Eileen  
4 Ridley for the debtor in the adversary proceeding. Given the  
5 information, and I understand the Court's ruling, I would ask  
6 for a bit more time --

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 MS. RIDLEY: -- because we're going to combine this  
9 with the amendments --

10 THE COURT: Yeah.

11 MS. RIDLEY: -- that the Court granted and amended for  
12 CIGA.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MS. RIDLEY: And so I would ask for a little  
15 leniency --

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 MS. RIDLEY: -- for time in the holidays.

18 THE COURT: All right. Well, let me give you one  
19 other thought, too. I mean, the argument primarily went to the  
20 dec relief aspect of this. I don't know if you want to allege  
21 that there's some immediate breach, other than what you're  
22 suggesting in the dec relief, failure to respond. If you have  
23 that in mind, I don't think that's been pled yet. And I think  
24 that you would need to do so. If you want to simply rely on  
25 what I think is my interpretation of Ludgate, here, re a dec



1 relief action, that's fine. And then you might get another  
2 12(b)(6) motion.

3 But if you have something else to say about a breach  
4 of a current duty, I think the complaint needs to be amended to  
5 say that because I don't think it -- it doesn't say it clearly  
6 to me right now. Okay.

7 MS. RIDLEY: Understood.

8 THE COURT: All right.

9 MS. RIDLEY: Understood.

10 THE COURT: All right. You want to suggest a amended  
11 date?

12 MS. RIDLEY: I'm sorry. I couldn't --

13 THE COURT: I'm sorry. Do you want --

14 MS. RIDLEY: -- tell if that was -- I'm assuming  
15 that's directed to me.

16 THE COURT: Do you want to suggest a different date  
17 for amending?

18 MS. RIDLEY: I do. Could I suggest -- I'm looking at  
19 a calendar right now. Could I suggest by the 18th of December?

20 THE COURT: Anybody want to comment?

21 MR. PLEVIN: Your Honor, Mark Plevin for Continental.  
22 18th of December sort of puts us in a hole if we are responding  
23 to the complaint, either by motion or answer. So if Ms. Ridley  
24 wants that much time, that's great. I think we would need more  
25 than the amount of time --

1 THE COURT: Well, maybe you get to January 10th or  
2 something to file, for example.

3 MR. PLEVIN: Yes. Yeah.

4 THE COURT: That's the idea?

5 MR. PLEVIN: Right.

6 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Ms. Ridley. I mean, I  
7 pulled --

8 MS. RIDLEY: I'm happy to say so --

9 THE COURT: -- that out of my head, so I don't know  
10 what -- if we're looking at December 18, that is --

11 THE CLERK: It's the Monday, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: It's a Monday? Okay.

13 THE CLERK: The 10th would be a Wednesday, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I just pulled January 10th  
15 out of thin air. So if you want to make a different  
16 suggestion, let me know.

17 MR. PLEVIN: So assuming people are taking off the  
18 Christmas holiday and New Years', we're back in the office on  
19 the 2nd --

20 THE COURT: Um-hum.

21 MR. PLEVIN: -- I would say two weeks from that is the  
22 16th of January.

23 THE COURT: Ms. Ridley, any comments on that?

24 MS. RIDLEY: I think what Counsel said is probably  
25 right, and I don't object to the 16th.

1 THE COURT: Okay. So January 16 for a response date  
2 to the amended complaint, okay, assuming it's filed on December  
3 18. Okay. Okay.

4 MS. RIDLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

5 THE COURT: All right. Is it appropriate to -- should  
6 we take the case management issues last, or given that we're  
7 now talking about timing on amended complaints, is it  
8 appropriate to take that up to some degree now? I mean, part  
9 of the response to what the insurers believe is a fairly  
10 aggressive schedule by the plaintiff was we're not even sure  
11 where we are with the pleadings yet, which is now truer than it  
12 was twenty minutes ago. I mean, I have two thoughts I'll just  
13 give you, and then we can get into the conversation.

14 It doesn't surprise me that the insurers have in mind  
15 a motion to withdraw the reference, and that is something that  
16 the reasons for that potentially go way beyond this isn't core.  
17 And I'm of two minds about that. In my experience, the  
18 experience has been party files that motion with the district  
19 court, the bankruptcy court under our Local Rules has the  
20 ability to "comment thereon". I've done that in a number of  
21 instances.

22 I will just tell you from my perspective in this  
23 instance, were I do comment on a motion to withdraw the  
24 reference in this instance, it would be probably not much more  
25 than I stand ready to do whatever the district court tells me I

1 should do. And there are plenty of instances where the  
2 district court says, just, Lafferty, you do all the grunt work.  
3 When we're ready to try this thing to a jury, then come see me.  
4 But whatever the district court suggests, obviously we will do.

5 I doubt that my comment would go much beyond tell me  
6 what you'd like me to do, District Court Judge. So I don't  
7 think I'm going to take issue necessarily with the motion to  
8 withdraw the reference in this circumstance. I mean, when I  
9 see it, I'll respond more precisely. But I suspect that's  
10 really all I'm going to say.

11 My experience has been, without meaning to be arch,  
12 that motions to withdraw the reference are presented to the  
13 district court. They are rarely argued. District court simply  
14 decides what it wants to do when it decides it wants to do it  
15 and does it. And we all go forward from there.

16 Which really is a bit of a dilemma for deadlines  
17 because on the one hand we can set all the deadlines we want  
18 here. If the reference were withdrawn, the district court  
19 would simply rethink all of them, and I don't think it would --  
20 unless deadlines were to be coming up and being adhered to  
21 prior to the time the district court would decide a motion to  
22 withdraw the reference, and they have been known to linger up  
23 there for a period of weeks to months, if we're talking about  
24 simply things that the parties are going to be doing, it's  
25 maybe not such a big deal. If we're talking about things a

1 judge is going to be asked to do, I mean, we have to hold those  
2 for a while until we know what the district court's up to.

3 So those are just some general comments on scheduling.  
4 If anybody wants to come to the podium and give me your  
5 thoughts, I'm all ears, including Ms. Uetz, I can see.

6 MS. UETZ: Thanks, Your Honor. I can follow Mr.  
7 Schiavoni and others in the courtroom.

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 MS. UETZ: I just wanted to let you know that I had a  
10 couple of comments for --

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 MS. UETZ: -- to record on this.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MS. UETZ: Thank you.

15 MR. SCHIAVONI: Tancred Schiavoni for Pacific.

16 THE COURT: Pacific. Uh-huh.

17 MR. SCHIAVONI: Your Honor, this is an occasion where  
18 it's sort of maybe less said is better, right, which maybe  
19 that's warmly received right off. But I do think that -- so we  
20 were -- I was flying here yesterday, and I did receive an email  
21 from Ms. Uetz that I just only read this morning.

22 THE COURT: Okay.

23 MR. SCHIAVONI: I didn't want to get into that email  
24 because I don't know whether it, like, is a privileged email,  
25 like, in a sense. Right. But it might make sense, given your

1 ruling for -- in a sense for us to be able to now use this  
2 opportunity to meet-and-confer --

3 THE COURT: Sure.

4 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- on what's the next best step --

5 THE COURT: Sure.

6 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- for the case. Okay. I will say,  
7 Your Honor, it's like, these cases -- like, I have two kids in  
8 Catholic schools, and I have eight years at Georgetown.

9 THE COURT: Um-hum.

10 MR. SCHIAVONI: I would like to bring this case to a  
11 soft landing personally.

12 THE COURT: Um-hum.

13 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. And I commit to work as hard as  
14 humanly possible. It is enormous challenges here. But I'm  
15 very committed to that. I'm a good litigator, and I can fight  
16 too, if, like, I'm put in an unreasonable position. But that's  
17 where I'd like to see the case go.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MR. SCHIAVONI: So like, I think, rather than getting  
20 into a whole thing about what our competing schedules are, I  
21 don't --

22 THE COURT: I kind of thought we'd go this tact.

23 MR. SCHIAVONI: I don't think it's --

24 THE COURT: That's fine.

25 MR. SCHIAVONI: You've looked at the Rule 26

1 statements. For me, on a personal level --

2 THE COURT: Um-hum.

3 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- it's not a win to go off and  
4 litigate this thing in a district court or have jury trials.  
5 And that's not what I personally want to see happen.

6 THE COURT: Um-hum.

7 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. I will protect my clients  
8 rights and they want to do everything. But to the extent I can  
9 bring about a different outcome, then I'm committed to that.  
10 So that's one thing. Okay.

11 THE COURT: Um-hum.

12 MR. SCHIAVONI: The second thing is on the motion to  
13 withdraw the reference, I appreciate Your Honor's comments  
14 about it. One of the main issue, there's two sort of issues  
15 that you'll see when you get -- if we have to bring the  
16 motion --

17 THE COURT: Um-hum.

18 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- okay, like, you'll see sort of,  
19 like, there is an issue about -- like, we think, and I know  
20 this may be disputed, but that this is very much a jury-trial  
21 issue. And in a jury trial case, it's like, very important for  
22 a judge, I think, to have the case early on. Okay. And that  
23 is no -- you are the great.

24 THE COURT: No, no. Look, look --

25 MR. SCHIAVONI: All right. All right. But anyway,

1 that's --

2 THE COURT: The district judge will decide that --

3 MR. SCHIAVONI: Right.

4 THE COURT: -- and I mean, I couldn't be offended by  
5 that because they know something I don't know. Absolutely. No  
6 problem.

7 MR. SCHIAVONI: Mainly in some respects because the  
8 way every district court judge and every judge tries a jury  
9 trial --

10 THE COURT: Um-hum.

11 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- I have found in my experience  
12 trying jury trials, everybody, it's a very personal thing --

13 THE COURT: Um-hum.

14 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- I mean, how they interact with the  
15 jury and how they want --

16 THE COURT: Um-hum.

17 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- to do things.

18 THE COURT: Um-hum.

19 MR. SCHIAVONI: And it's just very individualized.  
20 Right.

21 THE COURT: Um-hum.

22 MR. SCHIAVONI: So I think it's sort of different than  
23 ninety-nine percent of the cases that --

24 THE COURT: Not a problem.

25 MR. PLEVIN: -- that arise -- when I'm representing,



1     like, a commercial party in a commercial bankruptcy --

2             THE COURT:   Um-hum.

3             MR. SCHIAVONI:  -- look, it's like, disputes about  
4     bond indentures, it's theoretically possible we could have a  
5     jury trial and a bond indenture.  But I have yet to try that  
6     case.

7             THE COURT:   Um-hum.

8             MR. SCHIAVONI:  Okay.

9             THE COURT:   Um-hum.

10            MR. SCHIAVONI:  They normally resolve, frankly, with  
11     the very good advice of a judge in a bankruptcy court is  
12     extremely experienced in commercial matters.  But --

13            THE COURT:   Um-hum.

14            MR. SCHIAVONI:  -- this is sort of a different animal.  
15     That's one thing.

16            The second thing, Your Honor, is it just as far as the  
17     precise timing, I would like the benefit of just -- like, I  
18     think the motion is best presented to the Court with the  
19     complaint attached, so to speak.  Okay.  But honestly, I'd like  
20     to do a little extra research on that because I'm not trying to  
21     slow things down or whatnot.  It's like --

22            THE COURT:   Um-hum.

23            MR. SCHIAVONI:  -- I'm happy to sort of look into that  
24     a little bit further.

25            THE COURT:   Um-hum.

1 MR. SCHIAVONI: But I'm embarrassed to say that's not  
2 an issue I've particularly studied.

3 THE COURT: Yeah.

4 MR. SCHIAVONI: So I benefit from a little time  
5 looking at that.

6 THE COURT: Okay. Well, if we're -- on the current  
7 schedule, we'd be here roughly the middle of -- if we're in  
8 12(b)(6) land again, we're here in the middle of February,  
9 right? I think. Something like that.

10 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay. Right.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 MR. SCHIAVONI: So like, we'd be -- like, if the cases  
13 suggest that I really should have that right after the motion  
14 to --

15 THE COURT: Um-hum.

16 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- right after the amendment, like,  
17 we'd be looking like very reasonable time shortly thereafter of  
18 that.

19 THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine.

20 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay.

21 THE COURT: That's fine.

22 MR. SCHIAVONI: But if my research shows that we could  
23 do it sooner, I'm happy to entertain that.

24 THE COURT: Okay. All right.

25 MR. SCHIAVONI: But I would like to meet-and-confer

1 first.

2 THE COURT: No, I asked the question thinking somebody  
3 would tell me this is a pause moment or something along those  
4 lines. That's fine. Appreciate it.

5 MR. SCHIAVONI: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much. Appreciate  
7 it.

8 Okay. Ms. Uetz.

9 MS. UETZ: Thanks, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Unless you want to defer to your insurance  
11 counsel, who is --

12 MS. UETZ: (Indiscernible).

13 MR. KAPLAN: He's my insurance counsel.

14 THE COURT: I'm sorry. The committee's -- well, we're  
15 all sharing here, right? I mean, clearly. Okay. All right.

16 MR. KAPLAN: Sharing is good.

17 THE COURT: I apologize. Okay. Ms. Uetz, you had  
18 your hand up first.

19 MS. UETZ: Yeah. Thanks, Your Honor. Ann Marie  
20 Uetz --

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MS. UETZ: -- for the debtor. Try to lower my hands.  
23 There we are. A couple of comments, Your Honor. And I think  
24 as Your Honor was observe, we, as on behalf of the debtor, are  
25 intent on proceeding down a path of pursuing the adversary,

1 proceeding against the insurers while also inviting and try to  
2 work toward resolution. I think to a great degree the insurers  
3 hold a little bit of the keys to some of the timeline here in  
4 the following sense, Your Honor.

5 And let me just -- let me just emphasize, it is the  
6 debtors' belief that the best way to get to a resolution with  
7 the insurers, the most effective way to get to a resolution  
8 with the insurers in this Chapter 11 case, is to pursue the  
9 adversary proceeding as well. Mr. Schiavoni noted that he's a  
10 real good litigator, but he also likes to settle. I'm lucky.  
11 I have a really good litigator. I have Eileen Ridley. And as  
12 the debtor lead lawyer, I like to settle. So we are very much  
13 trying to work down that parallel path.

14 And when we talk about timing -- and that's the reason  
15 I raised my hand. When we talk about timing here for the  
16 adversary proceeding case, Your Honor, I think the reason I  
17 said that the insurers hold a little bit of the keys to the  
18 timing for resolution discussions with me is the following.  
19 They have identified that they want to file a motion to  
20 withdraw the reference. We just talked a minute ago about  
21 maybe even returning in mid-February for 12(b)(6) motions.

22 There are some gating issues which we believe the  
23 insurers will raise, negating coverage. So those actions by  
24 the insurers, whether it's to bring the 12(b)(6) after the next  
25 complaint is amended or whether it's to bring the motion to

1 withdraw the reference, that timing is a little bit in their  
2 camp, right.

3 As well, and Mr. Schiavoni alluded to it and I don't  
4 think it's -- I didn't intend it to be a secret, we have  
5 reached out to counsel for the insurers, and we asked them to  
6 consider who they might want to mediate the insurer issues in  
7 this case. And we're trying to move forward on really what is  
8 it they're allowed to have. Again, based on the firm belief by  
9 the debtor, right or wrong, hopefully I'm right, hopefully  
10 we're right, that by pursuing the adversary proceeding, we are  
11 moving the parties closer to a potential resolution.

12 So all of that, Your Honor, is to say that in light of  
13 the Court's ruling today and the intended amendment date, we  
14 have made clear to the parties and hopefully to the Court in  
15 the statement that we filed this week, we had intended to  
16 address the date for the adversary proceeding anew after the  
17 Court ruled on the motions to dismiss because we know those  
18 would be (indiscernible).

19 THE COURT: Got it. Got it. Got it. Got it. Okay.

20 MS. UETZ: So from the debtors' perspective, what I'm  
21 hoping to do is to meet-and-confer with counsel for the  
22 insurers regarding some schedule or timing on the motion to  
23 withdraw the reference. And then Mr. Schiavoni maybe say that  
24 the timing for that motion, in his view, is more appropriate  
25 after amendment. I don't actually agree with that, but that's

1 their motion and it's their motion to bring.

2 But as well, I'm happy to state we will continue to  
3 pursue mediation, having just started to (indiscernible)  
4 yesterday and I acknowledge that.

5 THE COURT: Yeah.

6 MS. UETZ: We reached out, and I'm hoping to have  
7 those discussions with counsel for the insurers and then return  
8 to this court on that subject as well.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MS. UETZ: So I'll pause there. Ask if you have any  
11 questions for me.

12 THE COURT: No, I don't. Thank you.

13 MS. UETZ: Thank you.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Burns wanted to be heard.

15 MR. BURNS: Good morning again, Your Honor. So the  
16 committee agrees with the debtor.

17 THE COURT: Um-hum.

18 MR. BURNS: We believe that an aggressive litigation  
19 schedule in the adversary will help the resolution --

20 THE COURT: Okay.

21 MR. BURNS: -- of this case. Frankly, when I looked  
22 at the case management proposals of the debtor and of the  
23 insurers --

24 THE COURT: Um-hum.

25 MR. BURNS: -- there were things I liked in both.

1 Neither was wholly - neither was correctly -- what I'd call  
2 perfect.

3 THE COURT: Um-hum.

4 MR. BURNS: But there were things that the committee  
5 liked in both. Given the withdrawing the reference issue, it  
6 probably does make sense to me to meet-and-confer about the  
7 filing of that motion.

8 THE COURT: Um-hum.

9 MR. BURNS: But I didn't rise to speak --

10 THE COURT: Um-hum.

11 MR. BURNS: -- to talk specifically about those  
12 things. I thought it was important to get --

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MR. BURNS: -- the committee's position out there.  
15 But --

16 THE COURT: Um-hum.

17 MR. BURNS: -- I do want to say one word about how  
18 this impacts the 2004 motion that the committee's brought.

19 THE COURT: Um-hum.

20 MR. BURNS: I think the Court is correctly looking at  
21 this case, meta-level look at the case, plus an adversary-  
22 proceeding-level look at the case.

23 THE COURT: Um-hum.

24 MR. BURNS: If we're going to be waiting two to four  
25 months before this, the motions even filed at the adversary

1 proceeding level, I think it very much heightens the need for  
2 the 2004 examination to begin, as we discuss in our papers.

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 MR. BURNS: The 2004. So I wanted to make that point.  
5 The meta will impact the litigation.

6 THE COURT: Um-hum.

7 MR. BURNS: And by proceeding down the road with the  
8 2004, I think everyone benefits.

9 THE COURT: Okay. I appreciate that. Thank you.

10 MR. PLEVIN: Your Honor, Mark Plevin for Continental.  
11 I'm not going to respond now to what Mr. Burns just said about  
12 the Rule 2004 motion. I'll save that for later.

13 THE COURT: Um-hum.

14 MR. PLEVIN: I just wanted to say a word because I had  
15 understand that Your Honor wanted to rule on the motions to  
16 dismiss last week, and many of us on the insurers' side were  
17 not in attendance. And I wanted to explain that the --

18 THE COURT: You don't need to. I mean --

19 MR. PLEVIN: Well --

20 THE COURT: -- I'm happy to hear it, but you don't  
21 need to.

22 MR. PLEVIN: Yeah. Well, I wanted to explain that the  
23 reason was that the communication didn't come to us.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MR. PLEVIN: And I've spoken with Ms. Ridley about



1 coordinating on providing the Court with a --

2 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

3 MR. PLEVIN: -- email distribution list for the --

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 MR. PLEVIN: -- adversary proceeding --

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 MR. PLEVIN: -- so that the next time the Court wants  
8 to --

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. PLEVIN: -- reach out to everybody will have an  
11 up-to-date list --

12 THE COURT: Yeah.

13 MR. PLEVIN: -- in order to do that.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I mean, I missed you, but I  
15 was I was okay.

16 MR. PLEVIN: Right. Okay.

17 THE COURT: I will learn to love again. It's okay.

18 MR. PLEVIN: All right.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MR. PLEVIN: Thank you.

21 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Okay. But no, look,  
22 that's a good point. There are a lot of people to keep  
23 apprized about things. And if we need to come up with a better  
24 system to do that, we'll certainly work with all of you to do  
25 that. So thank you. Thank you for raising that point. I

1 appreciate it.

2 Okay. Well, is this a time to sort of put on hold  
3 further discussion re case management while the parties chat, I  
4 think?

5 MS. UETZ: Your Honor, if I may, Ann Marie Uetz for  
6 the debtor. I would suggest that's appropriate. And I would  
7 just like to mention in respect to the schedule for the hearing  
8 this morning --

9 THE COURT: Yeah.

10 MS. UETZ: -- and Ms. Ridley was here for the  
11 insurance ruling and the insurance matters. She needs to get  
12 on a plane soon. So --

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MS. UETZ: -- we're going to ask if she can be  
15 excused --

16 THE COURT: Yeah. Thank you.

17 MS. UETZ: -- as Mr. Lee and I will handle the balance  
18 of the hearing.

19 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. Nice to  
20 see you, Ms. Ridley. Safe travels.

21 MS. RIDLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

23 MS. RIDLEY: Okay. Thank you.

24 THE COURT: Okay. I would ask where we go next and  
25 then wonder whether people want a five-minute break.

1 MR. KAPLAN: Would love the five minute break.

2 THE COURT: Okay.

3 MR. KAPLAN: That's the second question.

4 Mr. Burns, before Mr. Burns previewed the 2004, Mr.  
5 Plevin --

6 THE COURT: Should we go to 2004 next?

7 MR. SCHIAVONI: Your Honor, I think we ought to maybe  
8 close out on the protective order motions while that's fresh in  
9 your mind, or if you want to change of pace, so to speak, we  
10 can move to --

11 THE COURT: I think I'm okay either way. Whatever you  
12 guys believe is the better --

13 MR. SCHIAVONI: Then I would suggest we close out on  
14 the protective orders.

15 THE COURT: Which is the -- which is the cross-  
16 motions, right?

17 MR. SCHIAVONI: Yeah.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Ten minutes?

19 MR. SCHIAVONI: Excellent.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

21 (Whereupon a recess was taken.)

22 THE COURT: Okay. So protective orders?

23 MR. LEE: Yes, Your Honor. Matt Lee for the debtor.  
24 I'll be arguing these motions on behalf of the debtor.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Do we start one place or the other?

1 Anybody with Mr. Lee starting off?

2 MR. LEE: I haven't got to speak yet of this hearing,  
3 so I thought I'd jump in but --

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 MR. LEE: However you'd prefer.

6 THE COURT: No, no. No, no, that's fine. Go ahead.

7 MR. LEE: Thank you, Your Honor. So we're here on  
8 the -- I guess I'd call them dueling protective order motions,  
9 one technically filed in case --

10 THE COURT: Yeah.

11 MR. LEE: -- one in the adversary proceeding.

12 Your Honor, in the six months that have passed since  
13 this case was filed, I think the debtors demonstrated, or at  
14 least I hope the debtors demonstrated, that it's willing to  
15 work hard to reach consensus with any party on just about any  
16 issue. And the debtor will obviously abide by whatever  
17 protective order or orders end up governing this case.

18 There are two primary reasons -- all that said,  
19 there's two primary reasons why the debtor submits that the  
20 Court should enter the debtor's proposed order governing the  
21 adversary proceeding and then reject the insurer's proposed  
22 order governing everything. The first reason is that the  
23 debtor absolutely -- and I think the case absolutely needs a  
24 two-tiered level of confidentiality here. Not all confidential  
25 documents are created equal. And as the Northern District's

1 model form in patent cases recognizes, it's appropriate in the  
2 patent context and the trade secret context. And it's no  
3 different here. I mean, these aren't trade secrets, but this  
4 is -- I mean, there's a dramatic difference between who should  
5 be allowed to see things like the debtor's retirement plans and  
6 trust agreements or like nonpublic corporate documents versus  
7 who should be allowed to see really any document detailing  
8 allegations of sexual abuse and the things that, from the  
9 debtors standpoint, people stand accused of.

10 And as the Court knows and has been argued ad nauseam  
11 in this case, California law requires the information to stay  
12 private and nonpublic the most sensitive information in the  
13 case, It may be the most sensitive information under  
14 California law at this point. And what the debtor's proposed  
15 order effectively does is make information regarding  
16 allegations of sexual abuse attorneys' eyes only with specific  
17 exceptions for, for example, lay and expert witnesses, people  
18 who were authors or recipients of the document, and anyone else  
19 the parties consent. In each case, all those people have to do  
20 in order to get access to the information is sign a form  
21 declaration indicating that they've read the protective order  
22 and that they agree to be bound by it.

23 And the insurers, in their motion and in any of their  
24 briefing, never explain why this is unwarranted, why the two  
25 levels of confidentiality is unwarranted, or why they shouldn't

1 be held to the same standard that thus far the debtor and the  
2 committee are held to in this case. What they allege, and they  
3 never really support this, is that somehow the debtor's  
4 proposed order, and by extension the order that has already  
5 been entered in this case because that's exactly what the  
6 debtors proposed order is modeled off of, doesn't adequately  
7 account for California law.

8 And what -- the law that they point to is called the  
9 Silenced No More Act which limits the scope of confidentiality  
10 provisions in settlement agreements between employers and  
11 employees or former employees relating to harassment,  
12 discrimination, or retaliation at work. It has nothing to do  
13 with the subject matter in this case. And even if it did, the  
14 insurers don't explain how their proposed order adequately  
15 accounts for it or how the debtor's proposed disorder doesn't.  
16 So that's the first reason. And the second reason is and we  
17 briefed this extensively. And so I don't want to belabor the  
18 point, but to adopt the insurer's proposed order quite simply  
19 changes everything about how confidential information is  
20 treated in this case. And it's going to require enormous time  
21 and expense burdens on the part of the estate to comply with  
22 either two orders simultaneously or to redo everything that  
23 they've done before up to this point under the existing main  
24 case protective order.

25 And I got to say that this wasn't the premise under

1 which the insurers brought their rule 2004 motion. The premise  
2 was that the debtor would be making the same production to the  
3 insurers that they made to the committee, that the debtor made  
4 to the committee. And if insurer's proposed order is granted,  
5 that won't the case. That debtor is going to have to  
6 redesignate, reproduce everything that's been produced. But  
7 more importantly, it's going to have to reassess the  
8 confidentiality of every document that it's already produced  
9 and all the documents that it's going to produce going forward.  
10 This is going to slow down discovery. It'll slow down the work  
11 of the case, and again, require a redo of work that's already  
12 done at tremendous expense to the estate.

13 This is just -- I submit that this is the opposite of  
14 what nature has promised. There's no reason to start over and  
15 really for what amounts to the reason that the insurers just  
16 don't like our order. The only issue that -- of any substance  
17 that they actually point to in objection to the debtor's  
18 proposed order is whether their witnesses, lay and expert  
19 witnesses, will have to sign a declaration saying that they'll  
20 be bound by whatever protective order is entered.

21 And if you look at the Northern District's model that  
22 they claim to have based their proposed order off of, it  
23 includes a provision that does exactly that. It's section 7.2F  
24 of the model order. And it says that witnesses who are being  
25 prepped for deposition or who are having their deposition

1 taken, all they've got to do is sign the form declaration  
2 saying they'll agree to be bound by the order. It cures that  
3 provision, that protection out for only that category of  
4 people.

5 But then they want you to say, well, the moral order  
6 from the Northern District should govern on all fronts,  
7 notwithstanding all the issues I mentioned before,  
8 notwithstanding the inconvenience and the cost of the estate,  
9 notwithstanding the fact that for three months, more than three  
10 months now, almost four months, the parties have been operating  
11 under a protective order that complies with Section 107 of the  
12 Bankruptcy Code, complies with and allows for the application  
13 of the bankruptcy rules, the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy  
14 Procedure and the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and that  
15 the Court has already acknowledged there's nothing untoward or  
16 surprising about any of the provisions of that order.

17 So but getting back to getting back to the witness  
18 question, I don't know why that would be the one category of  
19 people that the insurers think should not have to comply with  
20 the protective order. And their proposed order, all it does is  
21 says that the witness has to simply acknowledge it, not that  
22 they have to be bound by it. And I don't know -- there's no  
23 justification for that carveout.

24 I think the -- there's also a concern, although again,  
25 it's not explained, that the debtor's proposed order somehow



1 hamstrings the insurers or anyone from trying the case,  
2 adequately preparing the case. Neither the debtor nor the  
3 committee would have agreed to anything like that. And all  
4 this order does is control who sees the -- who gets to see the  
5 confidential information and says anybody who gets to see the  
6 confidential information, all they have to do is sign a  
7 declaration saying, yes, I agree to be bound by the protective  
8 order. And that's it. There's no limitation on anybody's  
9 ability to prepare a case or prosecute this case.

10 And we're talking about nondebtors through the Court  
11 doesn't otherwise have jurisdiction over. So this is literally  
12 the only way to control not only the dissemination of  
13 information, but also to compel people who get to see the  
14 highly confidential information to maintain the level of  
15 secrecy that the parties have to maintain.

16 I can address specific points in the motions. Those  
17 are the primary reasons why the motion that the debtors filed  
18 should be granted and why the motion the insurers filed should  
19 be denied.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Thanks very much. Appreciate it.

21 MR. LEE: Thank you.

22 THE COURT: Thank you. Who's going next to you?

23 MS. RESTEL: That's up to you, Your Honor. Your  
24 Honor, if you'd like, the committee supports the debtors  
25 position. So if you want to hear all in favor of that and then

1 the opposing or --

2 THE COURT: Yeah, why don't you do that? Okay.

3 MS. RESTEL: Thank you, Your Honor. Colleen Restel  
4 from Lowenstein Sandler on behalf of the committee.

5 There are a few things that can't be disputed in terms  
6 of the dueling motions. The Court entered a protective order  
7 in the main case back in August. The insurers objected at that  
8 time. And you, as we discussed earlier, called balls and  
9 strikes and entered the order. No party filed a motion for  
10 reconsideration of that order. And documents have already been  
11 produced pursuant to those procedures.

12 The debtors filed their proposed protective order and  
13 the adversary proceeding which is at least under the  
14 committee's interpretation, what Your Honor meant when you said  
15 that we would involve the insurers later on at the August  
16 hearing.

17 The proposed protective order by the debtors is  
18 substantially similar. All parties agree, and the procedures  
19 are the same as what was entered in the main case. And the  
20 insurers now want to replace the protective order with a  
21 completely new protective order with different procedures, and  
22 as we mentioned, only one layer of protection.

23 The insurers primary argument for the brand new  
24 protective order is that they want to use the District Court's  
25 form. Just want to note that the District Court website is

1 very clear that the form is optional. And it says, and I  
2 quote, "The local rules do not require the parties to use any  
3 of the model protective orders, and counsel may stipulate or  
4 move for any other form of protective order."

5 As Mr. Lee mentioned, the most problematic portion of  
6 the protective order is the difference between the one tier and  
7 the two tiers. And I will note that the model form does  
8 contemplate for a highly sensitive confidential information, a  
9 two-tier system, but the insurers didn't elect to use that  
10 model form.

11 THE COURT: Well, what if they did? I mean, what if  
12 they said, okay, we'll modify our order to have the two tiers  
13 that you'd would have in patent or other matters? Would that  
14 alleviate the problem?

15 MS. RESTEL: I think it would alleviate that one  
16 problem. But I think we would need to compare the highly  
17 sensitive information and who's able to see it under the  
18 current protective order versus the new protective order. And  
19 it would be up to the debtors because it's their sensitive  
20 information to determine who needs to -- if any revisions need  
21 to be made. And as Mr. Lee mentioned, that will set things  
22 back probably several months. It would be costly to the  
23 estate. And it would really just cause delay.

24 The one thing I will note for the committee as we --  
25 it was a theme this morning is, as I mentioned, the information

1 that we're receiving from the debtor is the debtor's sensitive  
2 information. And I just want to be clear that the proofs of  
3 claim and the supplements to the proofs of claim are governed  
4 by the bar date order and not by the current protective order,  
5 the debtor's proposed protective order. It's very clear in  
6 both of those orders that the bar did order controls for proofs  
7 of claim and supplements. And I haven't seen that in the  
8 insurer's. It might be there and I just missed it somehow, so  
9 I'd be happy to be wrong. But in any -- if a new protective  
10 order is to be entered, we would just request that those  
11 protections are also very clear.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 MS. RESTEL: Thank you.

14 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

15 MR. SCHIAVONI: Tancred Schiavoni for Pacific, Judge.

16 As we are here at this very moment, there's a hearing  
17 going on in New Orleans, in the Diocese of New Orleans case,  
18 with a courtroom full of the press and individual plaintiffs  
19 lawyers trying to put into evidence documents that are about  
20 the abuse of the church in that case and a dispute about  
21 whether the press should have access and whether the judge  
22 engaged in a cover up with the church about preventing things.

23 In the Diocese of Buffalo, as we sit here, there is a  
24 action pending that the Buffalo News has intervened to try to  
25 get from the attorney general documents that were produced

1 about the abuse of the church in that case. And the church  
2 proceeding in an Article 78 unique to New York about whether  
3 those documents and the method to keep them confidential is in  
4 place. There will be hearing on that another week or so.

5 The point here is that we need here a form of order  
6 that has been appellate tested and has the backing of the Ninth  
7 Circuit. This is not a situation where we ought to have  
8 two-party agreements that all of us, everyone here, is going to  
9 be subject to attack and claiming and allegations about the  
10 underlying claim here by the plaintiffs lawyers are replete  
11 with allegations of cover-up and this and that. I'm not giving  
12 merit or credit to any of that. The point here is that what  
13 we've suggested -- and I know I -- like, before the day is out,  
14 so I'm going to say Tanc is Greeks bearing gifts, but it's like  
15 we all need a form of order that is as consistent with what the  
16 circuit has approved as possible. There's very good reasons  
17 for that.

18 So what's on the table with the, quote, competing  
19 orders? In the first instance, Your Honor, what the debtor,  
20 the TCC, the committee keeps referring to them as having a  
21 protective order, but it's not a protective order what they've  
22 put in place. What they presented you with in July was a  
23 nondisclosure agreement, the two-party agreement between two  
24 parties which Bankruptcy Courts see and approve all the time.  
25 It would say it's a confidentiality agreement, a nondisclosure

1 agreement, for which they ask the Court to authorize them to  
2 enter, into which the Court did. And the Court, when it did  
3 that, made very clear -- it said on the transcript on page 48  
4 that the insurance companies will have their say down the road  
5 on a different form of agreement. That's a nondisclosure  
6 agreement. It's perfectly appropriate and used all the time  
7 for due diligence. It's used all the time in bankruptcies in  
8 connection with sales. It's used on basic things about how  
9 among commercial parties to put together a plan, those sorts of  
10 things.

11 What it's not is it's not the form of order that's  
12 used by District Courts to deal with litigated matters, matters  
13 that involve presenting evidence to juries or extended  
14 proceedings in the court, precisely because those courts are --  
15 the Court is bound and has limited authority about exactly what  
16 sealing can be done with respect to locking the doors of a  
17 court when a hearing takes place or in presenting evidence to a  
18 jury or in keeping its docket sealed.

19 THE COURT: Can I ask you a couple of questions?

20 MR. SCHIAVONI: Yes.

21 THE COURT: Thanks to both of you. I think this is  
22 incredibly important. The point that at least up to now, a  
23 couple of important constituents in the case have been acting  
24 with respect to a two-level confidentiality regimen. Is that  
25 something that could, in your view, be imported into the form

1 that you would like to use?

2 MR. SCHIAVONI: Your Honor, we're definitely open to  
3 it. It's like what we did -- I want you to understand --

4 THE COURT: Yeah.

5 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- is we took precisely the official  
6 form that's used. And did mark it up and we gave you a black  
7 line because the whole point of the District using an official  
8 form is, I think, to minimize relitigation of the form. So a  
9 court could see -- and I've seen many proceedings where the  
10 judge said I want to see -- I want to see who's diverting in  
11 what way. Okay? So you have that in front of you. I don't  
12 believe that form --

13 THE COURT: I actually read it.

14 MR. SCHIAVONI: Yeah. I don't think the form has a  
15 two tier --

16 THE COURT: So I --

17 MR. SCHIAVONI: But I think maybe Montali might have  
18 done an order where he had to two tiers. Okay? My biggest  
19 concern --

20 THE COURT: Well, let me -- can I just pose it back --

21 MR. SCHIAVONI: Yes. I'm sorry, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: -- and see if I'm thinking the same way  
23 you are?

24 MR. SCHIAVONI: Yeah.

25 THE COURT: Do you have a concern that either the mere

1 fact of creating two tiers or doing it along the lines that  
2 they've been -- the debtor and the committee have been working  
3 so far would be so far out of whack with what the District  
4 Court does that it would be -- there would be different issues  
5 on appeal than you would expect or different outcomes on appeal  
6 because of that, or do you know?

7 MR. SCHIAVONI: My biggest concern -- first of all, I  
8 think it's essentially having an order because it's the way to  
9 bind a third party who doesn't have to -- who doesn't consent  
10 to it, okay? So without that, there's not a vehicle. I mean,  
11 this is sort of a false analysis to say, oh, why can't I have  
12 my own expert sign a confidentiality agreement. Well, if he's  
13 an employee of the company, he's going to -- he's probably  
14 going to sign it, okay? Not getting into a lot of details on  
15 how that sausage is cut. It's like that will sign it.

16 But it's like most of the witnesses normally in a  
17 trial, a third-party witnesses, right? You call them, you  
18 subpoena them, they come. It's like -- I'm a persuasive guy,  
19 but it's like who's going to say -- who's going to say, yeah,  
20 I'm happy to come to the deposition, I'll sign your copy,  
21 right? They won't come. That's that. Right? So it's an  
22 actual real impediment. So having an order is very important,  
23 right?

24 As far as the two tiers, Your Honor, it's like -- my  
25 biggest -- like, maybe this is a wordsmithing issue, but my



1 biggest concern would just be that the so-called exception or  
2 doesn't swallow the rule, it's like what I hear is sort of --  
3 and our concern about signing a private agreement, right, it's  
4 that if anything about sexual abuse gets subject to the higher  
5 tier, what's actually left for the lower tier, right? I mean,  
6 that's sort of what the case is about, so to speak, right? I  
7 mean, so everything would be subject to the higher tier.

8 THE COURT: Well, I think one -- off the top of my  
9 head, one possible distinction that I think this side of the  
10 room was alluding to is it's one thing to protect at the  
11 highest level of sensitivity the information of a third person  
12 who alleges they were abused. It might be a very different  
13 thing for the church to make available their private files  
14 about what they did about it. Those might be -- I mean, that's  
15 just an example. Those might be two different things. And  
16 that would be -- that would be a possibly a significant  
17 difference.

18 What I'm really trying to figure out is, is there a  
19 way to meld these things so that we can have the certainty of  
20 what you're telling me -- and I've used this. I've modified  
21 it. I've used it. I've not used it. So I'm open to lots of  
22 different possibilities here. But is there something about the  
23 way that the debtor and the committee have structured their  
24 definitions of confidential and highly confidential that's  
25 going to be a problem in this order that it just wouldn't work

1 in some fashion other than what you've told me so far? Because  
2 I think there could be more to the confidential world than your  
3 creditor.

4 MR. SCHIAVONI: So if the issue is whether in  
5 importing into the official form the second tier, Your Honor,  
6 we'd work with that. Okay? And I just would want --

7 THE COURT: Okay. Is it definitional that you think  
8 there's a definition -- I mean, for the patent and other  
9 proprietary, is there a definition there that just doesn't work  
10 for what they're suggesting?

11 MR. SCHIAVONI: Well, I think what's in the -- what's  
12 in the official form are the actual definitions are the ones  
13 that in a sense are tested. And there's provision for if  
14 something doesn't really -- like, if somebody designates  
15 everything at the highest tier or at a tier and it really  
16 shouldn't be, there is a mechanism to resolve that with the  
17 Court.

18 THE COURT: Can I tell you? If that happens, come see  
19 me? I mean, I've been through this before and I'm hearing you,  
20 okay?

21 MR. SCHIAVONI: But that's -- the point is having an  
22 order instead of -- like, the problem with a two-party  
23 agreement is once I sign it, I'm -- like, I now have a contract  
24 that I'm bound by that. The Court arguably maybe loses even  
25 power over that. You've just heard this argument about the --

1 somehow under the bar date you've lost control over experts and  
2 whatnot. It's like if I signed an agreement, they're going  
3 to -- it's the whole reason it's presented that way, to be  
4 honest. Right? It's like normally it'd be presented as a  
5 protective order. The Court would be ordering us to do  
6 something. And maybe we would consent or stipulate to the of  
7 order.

8 THE COURT: Yeah.

9 MR. SCHIAVONI: But that the confidentiality  
10 provisions are in the order. They're not in a private  
11 contract. We have a contract with the debtor. It's called an  
12 insurance policy. We don't normally deal with this in the real  
13 world. It's just like we submitted a declaration here showing  
14 that in the actual underlying cases, in most of them, the  
15 claimants actually have their names right on the complaints.  
16 They're filed on the public docket. You can access them and  
17 see that information. It's all there.

18 It's like this creates a whole mechanism that makes it  
19 impossible to investigate the claims and impossible present  
20 evidence about them. And that's a concern.

21 So could the official form be modified to have a  
22 second tier? Yes. Would we cooperate with that? Yes.

23 THE COURT: And does that -- I mean, the fact that it  
24 is presented as an order as opposed to, as you're suggesting to  
25 me, an agreement between two parties, does that implicate how

1 the parties designate the level of confidentiality?

2 MR. SCHIAVONI: I don't -- I think what would happen  
3 is in this again, I think Montali might have entered a form of  
4 order with a second tier on it. So we could look at that. But  
5 it's like there would be -- there's typically like a definition  
6 of what sort of would qualify for that. And a party would  
7 designate that way. And then there'd be if there's a  
8 disagreement, it could be brought to the Court and the Court  
9 could address it. That's typically how that's set up. If it's  
10 a private contract, well, then, it's like -- you're going to  
11 hear how's of like, well, I'm stuck with that. It's like,  
12 that's what I agreed to. That's my contract now.

13 And we're also going to hear -- or I don't I don't  
14 want to hear this. My wife says I go around and I only think  
15 about what could go wrong. Okay. And maybe -- I say, well,  
16 that's a good trait for a lawyer. And she said, well, it's a  
17 bad trait for a husband. But I don't want to see whatever it  
18 is, the San Francisco news in here saying that we entered into  
19 a private contract and that we're --

20 THE COURT: Your view of protective order will help --

21 MR. SCHIAVONI: It like, hey, we --

22 THE COURT: -- is the safeguard with respect to that.

23 MR. SCHIAVONI: We did an order that the Ninth Circuit  
24 and the -- it's the official order of the District. It's like  
25 there's nobody up to any bad business here. It's like this is

1 straightforward, consistent with what happens in this District.  
2 And if some newspaper takes it, brings a challenge, I'm not  
3 facing -- it's fine for counsel to say the Silence No More Act,  
4 oh, that wouldn't really bring about a private cause of action  
5 against us. But hey, this is California. We have very good  
6 plaintiffs lawyers here. This gentlemen right here is  
7 excellent, right? I don't want to see collateral lawsuits  
8 against -- in Superior Court in Alameda County like addressing  
9 why I signed a private contract.

10 THE COURT: So if I can -- can I summarize where I  
11 think we are so far? And you correct me. Okay?

12 MR. SCHIAVONI: Sorry, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: No, no, no. We're having a good  
14 conversation. I appreciate it. You believe that a protective  
15 order in the form that you're proposing is protective of the  
16 process and protective of the parties and protective of the  
17 Court in a way that, as you're conceiving what the other side  
18 has done so far, which is a contract that the courts approved,  
19 you're conceiving a material difference between those two?

20 MR. SCHIAVONI: I am, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Okay. That's number 1. Number 2, to the  
22 extent that they have a concern that, look, we've lived with a  
23 regiment of confidential and highly confidential, and to change  
24 that, you're saying we can accommodate that?

25 MR. SCHIAVONI: I think we could, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Is there a reason why I think you can't?

2 MR. SCHIAVONI: Well, it's always a little bit of we  
3 don't want the exception to swallow the rule. But it's a sort  
4 of -- we pick up whatever Montali did, two tiers if he did --  
5 if my memory does serve me, it would be within the ballpark of  
6 the --

7 THE COURT: Okay. And then I guess the other question  
8 I have you haven't quite got to yet, or maybe you have and I  
9 just don't remember it, is whether there's any difference here  
10 dealing with true third parties and what they're going to.

11 MR. SCHIAVONI: With third parties?

12 THE COURT: With third parties, yeah.

13 MR. SCHIAVONI: Here's the real -- the rub there, so  
14 to speak, okay? The way -- the structure right now that the  
15 debtors put in place is a nondisclosure agreement with a  
16 cooperating party on due diligence. Okay? And I don't -- just  
17 respectfully, I don't think it really contemplates actual  
18 litigation, right? It contemplates the sharing of financial  
19 information, et cetera, et cetera. It doesn't really  
20 contemplate a contested kind of environment. They can say it  
21 applies to that sort of thing.

22 But in a situation where we have -- you know, in Boy  
23 Scouts we had a whistleblower witness, okay --

24 THE COURT: Yeah.

25 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- who was not -- came from one of

1 these claims aggregator shops, was not necessarily totally  
2 cooperative and whatnot, but there was no way that person is  
3 going to sign -- like bringing an agreement to sign. And we  
4 had other such witnesses. We need a mechanism. And what we  
5 proposed in there was that, look -- and it doesn't even suggest  
6 that like in the -- that we could share documents with a  
7 hostile witness on the streets of San Francisco and question  
8 him about it.

9 It says in a deposition where everybody is there if we  
10 need to and we have good reason to. And people could come and  
11 complain that somehow we put a pile of eighty-seven privileged  
12 documents. If we had good reason to, we could use an exhibit  
13 with that witness. And first the witness would be advised it  
14 would be an exhibit to the deposition that there is a  
15 protective order from this Court holding this stuff -- this  
16 document is confidential. The transcript is confidential. And  
17 you don't get to keep -- you don't get to keep the exhibit.  
18 You can see it for purposes of this examination, but that's it.

19 And if you're a trial witness on the stand, the same  
20 thing. It's like it's like you're bound by the order. You  
21 don't have to sign it. But the courts enter those forms of  
22 order, and they're tested in the appellate courts. Right now  
23 we have testing of it, in a sense, with our former president,  
24 with these quote -- they call them gag orders, right? But it's  
25 like they're not asking Mr. Trump to sign a confi, right?

1 Imagine the circus about that, right? It's like the judge  
2 issues an order. He's advised of it. And the penalty is  
3 contempt if he doesn't honor it. Right? That's how you would  
4 deal with this problem otherwise.

5 The problem otherwise is we're actually -- like, by  
6 signing the agreement, we are giving up our right -- and this  
7 is why I'm going to have a problem getting authority to sign an  
8 agreement like this. Right? It's like we're giving up a right  
9 to present a hostile -- like, to question a hostile witness. I  
10 mean, my colleagues told me, don't even raise this because the  
11 judge will say you're -- like, he'll think you're completely in  
12 La La Land. But if you read this, it actually prevents us from  
13 presenting exhibits in court with a jury. We'd have to get the  
14 jury members to sign it. Now, that's not going to happen.

15 Okay?

16 But what would happen is I would be told that I sign  
17 the agreement. I can't present an exhibit or information about  
18 an exhibit in court because I'm bound by the agreement. Okay?  
19 That can't be. It's like that would be -- that'd be an  
20 enormous problem for us. The same thing with having to like,  
21 closing a courtroom because of concerns that we signed an --  
22 but I don't -- actually, I think the way it would manifest  
23 itself is we would get (indiscernible) that we can't use a  
24 range of documents or exhibits, because if we do, we'd be  
25 violating the agreement and subject to suit. So it would



1 hamstring us in actually presenting a case, okay?

2 Courts deal with all the time -- and I can't tell you  
3 that the District Court judges relish it, right? But that they  
4 deal with cases with lots of confidential information. And  
5 they find mechanisms. I tried years ago the first Microsoft  
6 antitrust case where I actually had like the Windows program on  
7 a disk. And I had a little suitcase with like a chain on it.  
8 It's like, there were -- we had various levels of protection.  
9 But at the end of the day, that judge didn't lock the courtroom  
10 with the press outside. That just doesn't fly when in a --  
11 that's not -- 107 doesn't offer us that. But we found ways to  
12 deal with it. But we didn't sign an agreement saying, oh, no,  
13 we won't present any evidence about the Microsoft code. It's  
14 like it would -- like, that would have bound us in a way that  
15 that would have just really hamstrung us.

16 So let me just deal with a couple of what I think are  
17 conundrums here or maybe things that might give some ease.  
18 We're not suggesting that by entering the official form of a  
19 site modification of it, we're modifying the protective -- the  
20 bar date order. And if so be it, we need some sort of just a  
21 little statement to that effect --

22 THE COURT: You've asked me for relief on that  
23 already.

24 MR. SCHIAVONI: We've asked for specific relief in  
25 that regard. But the entry of the protective order wouldn't

1 override the existing bar date order, okay, first of all.

2 Secondly, this notion that, like, somehow we'll be  
3 dealing with this incredible complication, it's like documents  
4 have been produced to the committee under an NDA for their due  
5 diligence in preparing a plan. Those include a lot of  
6 financial documents which are not being given to us. Like, to  
7 be clear, under our -- we just are getting the ones that were  
8 subject of the TCCs 2004.

9 So it's like I think this is sort of a nonissue.  
10 Whatever documents are in that that are in there that they want  
11 to use as part of the main case, they can just reproduce them.  
12 The ones that they're going to produce to us, they can produce  
13 to the committee the same way. And there's not some weird  
14 overcomplication. On the financial documents, they can keep  
15 them under their NDA if they want it, but there wouldn't be  
16 this sort of tremendous burden at all, I don't think, in this  
17 respect. And if you added a second tier, that might not even  
18 be much of a sort of difference in the practical application of  
19 it.

20 And there is sort of the secondary issue of like just  
21 because the TCC -- the committee and the debtor entered into a  
22 private agreement and the Court blessed it, it doesn't -- it  
23 shouldn't like, have us give up our Seventh Amendment rights  
24 thereafter. Okay?

25 It's like, so I don't think it's in any way

1 complicates the case. They just have to reproduce those  
2 documents that they're going to produce to us to the committee.  
3 And they can do it -- again, they can add the second tier under  
4 an actual protective order, not a private agreement.

5 The second thing, Judge, is not only does this not add  
6 complications, but what we're proposing, it's just that this  
7 one protective order cover both the adversary and the main  
8 case. Because, look, I mean, the documents about like the  
9 claims and whatnot, they're going to come from that source.  
10 It's like they would apply in both. And we wouldn't have  
11 competing separate little orders complicating things. There'd  
12 just be one order that applies to both the adversary and the  
13 main case. And it would be a form of order that would be  
14 tested by the circuit and everything else.

15 There's nothing -- this whole notion of, like -- we're  
16 all in favor of protecting the names of the claimants. And we  
17 have some -- some of the documents have been coded with -- so  
18 their names are redacted and there's codes on them, sort of as  
19 a day-to-day manner adds extra efforts -- extra protections.

20 Our ability to use experts is another vehicle,  
21 frankly, that eliminates somewhat the need to actually put  
22 individual documents into evidence. As a practical matter how  
23 that how the Boy Scouts confirmation trial in the Camden trial  
24 went forward, there weren't a lot of proofs of claims being  
25 offered in evidence because the experts have reviewed them and

1 were able to talk about them in an aggregate way without  
2 getting into people's names, et cetera. It was salutary. It  
3 was beneficial to have experts in that regard and counsel found  
4 ways around it. But we weren't hamstrung by an agreement, a  
5 private agreement that would be alleged that we'd be breaching  
6 if we presented evidence.

7           The other two points is as far as how this is handled  
8 in the State system, it's like, again, most of the complaints  
9 have the claimants' names on them, not all of them to be clear.  
10 And when there's a trial, different courts handle it  
11 differently. Oftentimes the name of the claimant is protected.  
12 I will say if there's actually a child victim involved, like  
13 who is a child at the time of a trial, there are extra  
14 protections as there might be there. Right? But when they're  
15 adults, the names are protected. But the regular process of --  
16 like, these courts are not generally shutting their doors and  
17 having secret star chamber trials. That's just not sort of  
18 what's happening. Right? It's like everyone is -- the  
19 identities are protected.

20           And the main concern sort of in those proceedings is  
21 to make sure that if there's an active perpetrator loose and  
22 there's a case against him, that we're not setting up a  
23 situation where the perpetrator is like in a position where  
24 he's able to commit violence against the defendant. That's not  
25 really -- like, this would be for the trial court handling

1 this, sort of how it would play out ultimately. But that's not  
2 really, I think, how this case presents itself fundamentally,  
3 because it's a -- it's a case against us and against -- it's  
4 like there's not -- I don't think there's going to be a big  
5 parade of perpetrators involved here. All right?

6 So just the last point I'd make about the use of the  
7 official form is the touchstone here -- I see it --and cited in  
8 some of these papers is that you're entering a protective order  
9 under Rule 26 which is a protective order for the production  
10 of -- when somebody moves to produce documents and they want an  
11 order limiting what gets produced because it's too burdensome  
12 or what have you, that's not the rule that applies.

13 It's like in Bankruptcy Courts, it's Rule 107. That's  
14 the rule. It starts off with that. This is an open proceeding  
15 and it sets a very high standard for what -- and a very  
16 specific standard about what can be held confidential. All  
17 right? It talks very specifically about trade secrets, et  
18 cetera. It is not that all your records about how you handled  
19 something are confidential. This notion of like, oh, in sexual  
20 abuse, everything is confidential and we've already presented  
21 that to you. Your Honor, we presented the -- it's like -- we  
22 presented a case to you on -- coming out of the clergy 3 cases  
23 involving one of the Catholic orders where the plaintiffs had  
24 moved to produce the medical that were the sort of internal  
25 records of the brothers of that entity and have them produced.

1 And the District Court -- or not the -- it was a superior court  
2 found that like those should be -- that was public. Okay?

3 I'm not -- we're not really advocating necessarily for  
4 any of that. Right? But it's like to invoke 107 to -- it's  
5 like -- and they're very crafty about it because they're not  
6 presenting an order where you set out here all the things you  
7 must do. You must give up your right to question witnesses,  
8 because I think they know that's not permissible, okay? that's  
9 not supported. There is no support offered. There's no  
10 declarations or analysis or anything else explaining why it is  
11 that, like all of the material that that the church is going to  
12 produce is subject to 107. There's just no explanation for  
13 that. And there's no citation to why these other cases  
14 wouldn't apply. They don't meet -- they don't do anything to  
15 offer their burden on those things at all. They really just  
16 sort of fall back on, well, an order was previously entered as  
17 if it's res adjudicata, but that was expressly not the ruling  
18 of the Court when it entered the order.

19 We have a completely different situation here. We're  
20 totally supportive of having the names of the people, the  
21 highest level of confidentiality who are alleging abuse. And  
22 the other stuff can be under an order as long as Your Honor --  
23 as long as whoever the judge is has got -- and you are the  
24 judge. I'm sorry. I didn't mean to suggest otherwise. But  
25 it's like whoever tries the case or whatever it goes, it's like

1 should have full flexibility in in how the proceeding then goes  
2 forward. You shouldn't be faced later with, well, well, they  
3 signed this agreement. There's an expectation among claimants  
4 that the insurance companies have given up their Seventh  
5 Amendment right. In fact, they can't put on any evidence at  
6 all.

7           It's like -- it's like what's going to happen here is  
8 there's going to be a problem with whether or not we can get  
9 authority to sign that agreement. It's like we might end up  
10 suggesting that, well, jeez, why don't we present that with the  
11 motion, withdraw the reference to the District court, and maybe  
12 he can -- we can sort of get a sense of how a jury trial. But  
13 I think we've tried to come up with something that's very  
14 reasonable.

15           I heard before like this statement about, well, this  
16 definition of experts like that we used, and we're like, where  
17 did that come from, like it's very tricky how we put it  
18 together. You know here it comes from? It comes right out of  
19 the standard official order. That's how experts are defined  
20 there. We put it right -- we use the official form's  
21 definition of experts and consultants.

22           So I would suggest, Your Honor, that the way to deal  
23 with this is to use the official form and the parties have a  
24 dispute, you can just look at the black line between wherever  
25 we have the dispute against the official form and call balls

1 and strikes on what is the benchmark for the District. Thank  
2 you, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Thank you.

4 Before I let Mr. Lee talk again, anybody else want to  
5 weigh in?

6 Okay. Mr. Lee, go ahead.

7 MR. LEE: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm just going to  
8 start by saying that the form order, as far as we can tell, has  
9 never been tested in the appellate courts. I'm not sure -- the  
10 insurers cited PG&E and two other cases as support for that  
11 argument. We looked at all three of the cases they cited. Not  
12 a single appeal was taken from any order that had anything to  
13 do with protective orders in those cases. So I think we can  
14 discount that.

15 As to the point about the committee and the debtor's  
16 stipulated protective order, which was then approved by an  
17 order of this Court being a two-party private agreement, the  
18 stipulation and then the order literally say protective order.  
19 And the proposed protective order for the adversary proceeding  
20 literally says protective order that would govern all discovery  
21 requests in the adversary proceeding. And the one that you  
22 entered in the main case, Your Honor, says that it covers all  
23 disputes, contested matters, et cetera, in the main case, and  
24 that it applies to anybody who is bound by the protective  
25 order.



1           As most protective orders go, there's a stipulation  
2     and then an order entered by the Court. There is no risk of  
3     anybody being hamstrung in or accused of entering some kind of  
4     private agreement that they didn't approve. And when Attorney  
5     Schiavoni goes to his client, if you enter our proposed order  
6     and say -- he's not going to say, well, you know, I have to get  
7     into this private agreement that I didn't negotiate in order to  
8     get the documents, that's not what he's going to say. He's  
9     going to say, well, the judge has ordered X, Y, and Z; do you  
10    want the documents or not? The answer is going to be yes.  
11    They're going to sign the agreement. And that's going to be  
12    the end of it.

13           As far as the issue of how a document is designated,  
14    if you look at paragraphs 24 and 25 of both the main case order  
15    that you've already entered and the proposed order for the  
16    adversary proceeding that the debtor submitted, it provides a  
17    specific procedure for contesting any designation that anybody  
18    wants to contest. So that that built-in protection is there.  
19    And as Attorney Restel pointed out, it encourages the parties  
20    to reach consensus so that we don't have to go in front of the  
21    Court and justify extreme positions. So -- and that's the  
22    case -- that's the case for the other issue that the insurers  
23    are worried about, and that's whether witnesses being prepped  
24    or being actually deposed have to sign a declaration.

25           I'm going to, again, point out that the model form

1 that the insurers are saying is the Bible of protective orders,  
2 and that absolutely has to be applied in this case reads as  
3 follows: 7.2, Section F. "Unless otherwise ordered by the  
4 court or permitted in writing by the designating party, a  
5 receiving party may disclose any information or item designated  
6 confidential only to F, during their depositions, witnesses in  
7 the action to whom disclosure is reasonably necessary and who  
8 have signed the acknowledgment and agreement to be bound,  
9 Exhibit, A unless otherwise agreed by the designated party  
10 designating party or ordered by the court."

11 That is the one provision that the insurers took out  
12 of Section 7.2, the one category of people that they don't --

13 THE COURT: Do they take it out or just modify it?

14 MR. LEE: No, they removed it. Their version of the  
15 order says during their depositions, witnesses in the Chapter  
16 11 case, to whom disclosure is reasonably -- do you see that,  
17 Your Honor? You don't need me to read it?

18 THE COURT: No, I'm with you. I'm just -- okay.

19 MR. LEE: And they're saying who have been -- they can  
20 see it if they have been advised of and provided a copy of this  
21 order. So the model form, which again isn't mandatory, has not  
22 been tested on appeal, the model form says those people should  
23 have to sign a declaration agreeing and acknowledging that  
24 they're bound by the protective order. The insurers don't want  
25 them to be bound by your protective order. They don't want

1    them to be bound by the protective order in this case. And  
2    they don't want them to be bound by the rule that everybody  
3    else in the case sees this information is going to -- is going  
4    to be bound by.

5           I don't want to speculate as to their motivations, but  
6    that might be a question that's worth asking them, Your Honor.  
7    And again, this this this idea that this somehow is going to  
8    make trial impossible, the order doesn't even address trial.  
9    What the order addresses is discovery. And it addresses the  
10   use -- I'm sorry, it addresses the production, the use, and the  
11   dissemination of documents and information that are designated  
12   either confidential or highly confidential. And again, the  
13   stipulated protective order from the main case is almost  
14   verbatim exactly what's been proposed for the adversary  
15   proceeding. The only tailors that we proposed were to specify  
16   that, okay, this governs this adversary proceeding. We're not  
17   talking about contested matters. We're not talking about rule  
18   2004 motions. We're talking about discovery requests in this  
19   adversary proceeding, not stuff going back and forth between  
20   the committee, stuff going back and forth between -- discovery  
21   requests, going back and forth between the parties to the  
22   adversary proceeding like any protective order would.

23           It doesn't get into trial. I think it would be  
24   premature to get into the trial. The one thing that -- and  
25   procedures for trial because who knows if we're ever even going

1 to have a trial in this matter. With press, with witnesses,  
2 with multiple parties-in-interest beyond who's in the courtroom  
3 right now, we don't know that. And so the order consciously  
4 leaves that out. And frankly, so does the insurer's proposed  
5 order, because that's not what we're dealing with. We're  
6 dealing with discovery.

7 So I guess in closing, I would just add that the  
8 insurers are just utterly dismissive and seem to take the too  
9 bad, so sad attitude toward the debtor's arguments about the  
10 burden and the cost to the estate. I can't tell you how  
11 difficult it is, Your Honor, to keep track of just two  
12 constantly evolving lists of email addresses. That one for the  
13 insurers and their people and another for the committee and  
14 their people, because at this point they have different levels  
15 of access so I have to keep them separate.

16 And just the -- just the job of doing that takes a lot  
17 of time and it takes a lot of administrative effort. And the  
18 cost of the debtor of screwing up, getting it wrong, sending  
19 information to somebody who doesn't have permission to see it  
20 is dire. It is a -- it is an absolute constitutional right of  
21 privacy that that people who have alleged that they were  
22 sexually abused as children have, that their information and  
23 their accusations and their pain gets to stay confidential.

24 The insurers have already secured an order saying that  
25 they get access to all that subject to a protective order. And

1 Your Honor, the protective order that the debtor negotiated  
2 with the with the committee that was a stipulated protective  
3 order that this Court signed, that is expressly a protective  
4 order that is expressly open to any other party in the case,  
5 and that expressly covers any dispute that could come up in the  
6 case, including other adversary proceedings. There is nothing  
7 wrong with that order. And the only thing that the insurers  
8 have complained about is something that it has to do with  
9 witnesses at deposition or preparing for deposition having to  
10 sign -- having to sign a declaration saying that they agree to  
11 be bound.

12 And ironically, their proposed language on that is  
13 different than the model form that they think you should follow  
14 and that they think should supplant the one that's been working  
15 for months and will continue to work for months. Thank you,  
16 Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Well, let's say hypothetically, I like  
18 their order. Let's say hypothetically, I asked whether if they  
19 were to reinsert the portion that you point out they've  
20 excluded and accommodate the current definition of confidential  
21 and highly confidential, whether that works for the debtor and  
22 the committee.

23 MR. LEE: So what that does, assuming -- again,  
24 assuming that the language is identical, that we're  
25 operating --

1 THE COURT: Yeah.

2 MR. LEE: -- on the same standards that we have that  
3 addresses a lot of the convenience issues. However, the issue  
4 of who gets to review the documentation that is designated  
5 confidential or highly confidential, that issue remains. And  
6 the witnesses -- anybody who looks -- our position is that  
7 anybody who looks at this should be bound by some standard of  
8 confidentiality.

9 THE COURT: Well, the -- may I see if I'm  
10 misunderstanding you? I suggested if you also were to re-  
11 import the language that you suggest they have excised with  
12 respect to witnesses, what you cited to me as 7F, if that were  
13 to be reincorporated, would that take care of the problem or  
14 would that not take care of the problem?

15 MR. LEE: That would address that problem.

16 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. But you would tell -- and  
17 I'm trying not to be angels on the head of a pin here, but you  
18 would tell me that there's no enforceability difference between  
19 what we've achieved already in this case, which is two parties  
20 agreed to something and I blessed I, and something that is  
21 called a protective order that more obviously emanates from a  
22 form that is frequently used in the Northern District. And  
23 you're Mr. Schiavoni may believe there's some difference  
24 between those two things. You're telling me there isn't from  
25 your perspective.

1 MR. LEE: I don't think so, Your Honor, because as you  
2 pointed -- as you pointed out previously, the terms of the  
3 existing main case protective order are typical of what you see  
4 in these kinds of protective orders. And I can say from  
5 practicing seventeen years, it's typical of what I see in  
6 protective order. And thus far it's worked in this case. The  
7 debtor has produced over 10,000 documents to the committee  
8 on -- based on the protections that that were put in there.

9 And, again, like I said, we'll continue to -- we'll  
10 abide by whatever order -- whatever order or orders control.  
11 But we submit that the simplest thing to do is just to roll it  
12 over into the adversary proceeding and make it applicable to  
13 everybody.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MR. LEE: And the insurers have had a chance to weigh  
16 in on that. And we adopted some of their some of their  
17 suggestions. The bit about the common interest privilege in  
18 paragraph 26, that was something the insurers demanded and you  
19 ordered.

20 THE COURT: Okay.

21 MR. LEE: And we accepted it because you ordered it.

22 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Schiavoni, let me give you the  
23 same hypothetical. What if I just liked your order better and  
24 asked you to accommodate the two levels of confidentiality that  
25 we've been working under so far and reincorporate the paragraph

1 that debtor tells me was excised with respect to witness  
2 treatment? Is that workable?

3 MR. SCHIAVONI: I think that's workable if -- I am a  
4 lawyer, so I got to add just one little thing.

5 THE COURT: Oh, of course.

6 MR. LEE: Okay. We did absolutely modify provision  
7 about witnesses.

8 THE COURT: Yeah. I thought you -- I didn't think you  
9 excised it. I thought you modified it. Am I wrong?

10 MR. SCHIAVONI: Right, we did.

11 THE COURT: Okay, yeah.

12 MR. SCHIAVONI: And, we were not hiding the ball. We  
13 gave you a black line. Okay? It's in most litigations the  
14 day-to-day, right? You're dealing with two parties, and they  
15 both have their own witnesses. And that it works very well to  
16 make your company employees sign an acknowledgment.

17 And let's be clear here, the difference that we're  
18 talking about. The official form attaches something called  
19 acknowledgment.

20 THE COURT: Yeah.

21 MR. SCHIAVONI: And what it does is it says I  
22 acknowledge I've read the Court's order, and I think it says I  
23 will abide by it, something to that effect.

24 THE COURT: Yeah.

25 MR. SCHIAVONI: By the way, not to bring in the news,



1 but I think that's actually what happened in court for Mr.  
2 Trump. He was -- like, his lawyer was told, make sure he  
3 read -- you can confirm he's read it and he acknowledges it.

4 THE COURT: Well --

5 MR. SCHIAVONI: Okay?

6 THE COURT: Well, it's the difference between breach  
7 of contract and contempt, right, is what you're saying?

8 MR. SCHIAVONI: Right. It's totally different than  
9 saying the Court entering an order saying, hey, you can have  
10 access to stuff if you sign -- like, go sign a private  
11 contract. That's different. The official form doesn't have  
12 all of the -- all of the imitations are in this contract they  
13 want us to sign.

14 In the official form, the acknowledgment is simply  
15 having us acknowledge -- the recipient acknowledge that they're  
16 aware of the order.

17 THE COURT: Well, which is a predicate for contempt.

18 MR. SCHIAVONI: Exactly. And further, it goes  
19 actually a step further. Theirs doesn't. It says that they'll  
20 submit to the jurisdiction of this Court, okay, which if  
21 they're parties out of state, it's sort of -- it's extra  
22 protection.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. LEE: Your --

25 MR. SCHIAVONI: But the other -- just --

1 THE COURT: Just I'm sorry. Mr. Lee has something he  
2 wants to interject real fast. Go ahead, Mr. Lee.

3 MR. LEE: I mean, our form declaration expressly says  
4 I stipulate to the jurisdiction of this Court solely with  
5 respect to the provisions of this order.

6 THE COURT: Okay. All right. So there's no  
7 difference there in your view. Okay. I appreciate it. Thank  
8 you.

9 Mr. Schiavoni, go ahead.

10 MR. SCHIAVONI: So, Your Honor, with this issue about  
11 the witnesses, look, the key thing here, the difference between  
12 the two-party agreement and the official form, my memory isn't  
13 exact, but there's a precursor. I think it says unless  
14 otherwise ordered by the Court, witnesses shall sign. So that  
15 gives you the ability to say, oh, you have a recalcitrant  
16 witness who won't sign, I'll deal -- I will deal with it in  
17 some such way. Okay? The private agreement, it doesn't give  
18 any such --

19 THE COURT: But that's the language you're telling me  
20 you would be re-importing, right?

21 MR. SCHIAVONI: Yes. But I would -- Your Honor, if  
22 that's what you want to do, all right, I just would suggest  
23 that so that we're not back here every day, right -- not  
24 that --okay. I exaggerate. It wouldn't be every day. But  
25 it's like I don't know if we could qualify that in some way so

1 that if there's -- we tried. The little carveout we have is  
2 actually very limited. It's for -- it's not for people on the  
3 street. It's not for some witness on preparing. It's for  
4 somebody in a deposition who is declining to sign it, okay, in  
5 the presence of other -- the other folks, right? So if we were  
6 to say abuse it, pick a janitor out and then try to give him a  
7 pile of documents as high, the deposition would stop and I'm  
8 sure they'd call the Court of some such thing. Right?

9 But if we have -- but in that kind of instance, do we  
10 need to make full application to you on fourteen days' notice  
11 or everything else?

12 THE COURT: No, of course not.

13 MR. SCHIAVONI: I just wonder whether we could  
14 retain -- like, we could wordsmith that a little bit to say  
15 that sort of in essence, for in a deposition setting for  
16 (indiscernible) in person that would apply. Otherwise, we use  
17 the standard language saying, otherwise for our witnesses and  
18 whatnot, they would sign this. They would they would have to  
19 sign --

20 THE COURT: All right.

21 MR. SCHIAVONI: -- unless otherwise ordered by the  
22 Court.

23 THE COURT: All right. Mr. Lee, any reaction to that?

24 MR. LEE: My first reaction is that in the twenty-four  
25 days that they -- that passed between us sending our proposed

1 order and then getting back their completely different proposed  
2 order, like, that might have been something they could have  
3 suggested either in a red line or an email, and that that never  
4 happened here. There was never any effort to do this except on  
5 the record -- by the insurers except on the record right now.

6 That's my that's my first reaction. My second --

7 THE COURT: By the way, Mr. Lee, I hear you. Okay? I  
8 get it.

9 MR. LEE: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 My second reaction is I guess that Your Honor is the  
11 ultimate arbiter of everything relating to whichever version of  
12 these orders get entered. And if we have a recalcitrant  
13 witness who won't sign, you go before the Court and either get  
14 him to sign or the Court to compel them to sign or not compel  
15 them to sign it.

16 The purpose of the version that we that the debtor  
17 proposed is to force the parties to avoid all that and to be  
18 reasonable and to let the case go -- let the case flow without  
19 constantly being interrupted by discovery disputes. And I  
20 don't see any reason why the insurer's proposed order would be  
21 any better at preventing that than the proposed order that the  
22 debtor submitted.

23 So I mean, I guess there's a lot of statements here  
24 that that theirs is better than ours, but they don't really say  
25 how. And every time they say how, they point out something

1 that is very directly in our order in the same substantive way.  
2 I hope I answered your question.

3 THE COURT: Oh, you did. Thank you. And I thank you.  
4 And I know it's somewhat maddening to talk about these things.  
5 As important as they are, they're also a little mind-numbing.  
6 So thanks to all of you for your patience and your perseverance  
7 on this. All right. Submitted?

8 MR. LEE: Thank you, Your Honor.

9 MR. SCHIAVONI: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 MR. LEE: The debtor, yes.

11 THE COURT: Look -- I'm sorry, did you want to --

12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No. I'm switching seats, Your  
13 Honor.

14 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Look, I am inclined to use  
15 the form Mr. Schiavoni and the insurers are proposing with the  
16 suggested modifications that we've talked about here which is  
17 accommodating. And I think it ought to be word for word. I  
18 think we can modify the form of the order to take account of  
19 what has been done so far in terms of highly confidential and  
20 confidential. I think those definitions ought to be imported  
21 essentially word for word into this form. And I think with the  
22 accommodation further that the language that had been modified  
23 or deleted with respect to witnesses from the official form be  
24 reinserted.

25 And I would just -- look, I'll deal with it. If we

1 have a problem, we'll deal with it the way I deal with most  
2 discovery issues, which is very quickly. And you don't have to  
3 file twenty-page briefs.

4 All right. If you want to take a whack at that, I'm  
5 happy to look at it. And if parties want to talk about it  
6 further and you need my help in talking about it, let me know.  
7 I will do that at the drop of a hat. Okay? Sensible? Okay.  
8 Did you want to -- Sensible. Okay. I'm sorry. Did you want  
9 to --

10 MS. RESTEL: Just one question, Your Honor. Would it  
11 be all right if we also added the language that's in the  
12 current order so that the bar date order controls?

13 THE COURT: Absolutely.

14 MS. RESTEL: Thank you.

15 THE COURT: So I will decide that independently.  
16 Okay? I appreciate it. Okay. Thank you.

17 MR. SCHIAVONI: May I ask clarifying question, Your  
18 Honor?

19 THE COURT: of course.

20 MR. SCHIAVONI: Will this order essentially abrogate  
21 the previous order and govern both the main case and the  
22 adversary proceeding or --

23 THE COURT: Well, I'm reluctant to have it abrogate  
24 because you've done things and you've relied on it. So I mean,  
25 I think go forward is probably a better way of thinking about

1 it than abrogate. Makes sense?

2 MR. SCHIAVONI: Yes, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Thank you.

4 All right. Does that leave the 2004 exam?

5 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It does, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: Anything else? Okay. Everybody ready?

7 MR. SCHIAVONI: Your Honor, can we just take a  
8 five-minute break?

9 THE COURT: Of course we can.

10 MR. SCHIAVONI: Thank you, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Thank you.

12 MR. KAPLAN: Your Honor, just in way -- what time is  
13 the Court planning to break for lunch today?

14 THE COURT: Well, are we likely to come back after  
15 lunch?

16 MR. KAPLAN: Hopefully not, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Yeah, I've got another ruling I have to do  
18 at 1:30 with a number of folks. So, I mean, I'm anticipating  
19 you want to take ten minutes now, longer?

20 Lesser.

21 THE COURT: All right. All right. I mean, is there  
22 any reason why we wouldn't be done by 12:30ish?

23 MR. KAPLAN: I hope to be, Your Honor. I think that  
24 on our side, that's --

25 THE COURT: Okay. Then that'll be our --

1 MR. KAPLAN: I do believe we're good to go.

2 THE COURT: All right. That'll be our goal. We'll be  
3 back in five, okay? Thanks.

4 (Whereupon a recess was taken)

5 THE COURT: Okay. 2004 exam.

6 MR. KAPLAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Michael Kaplan  
7 again for Lowenstein Sandler on behalf of the committee.

8 Just by sort of setting the groundwork, Your Honor,  
9 I'm going to just briefly give an overview of where we are with  
10 this motion. And then my special insurance counsel, who the  
11 debtors may want to borrow, Mr. Burns, is going to come forward  
12 and talk specifically about the insurance. So if Your Honor  
13 has ask questions specifically about the insurance request, I  
14 will probably just stand here and give you a blank stare and  
15 then go to the bullpen.

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 MR. KAPLAN: So, Your Honor, we were here a couple of  
18 months ago, I believe, maybe a month and a half ago, in  
19 response with respect to the insurer's 2004 motion of the  
20 debtor seeking documents that were being produced to the  
21 committee related to the sexual abuse.

22 We argued vigorously, Your Honor, about the  
23 application of the pending proceeding rule. We argued  
24 vigorously about why the insurers don't need the information.  
25 I think I even argued that the insurers we don't even really



1 need them in the case. But because the plan might be insurance  
2 neutral, it might come back another time.

3 All those arguments aside, Your Honor said that that  
4 the insurers are in the case. They do have standing to be  
5 heard. They are heard on issues. The more information the  
6 shared is, the better which Your Honor, I believe said, I'm  
7 paraphrasing, of course, hope will help move this case along in  
8 a quicker resolution.

9 And, Your Honor, with that in mind, we said, okay, the  
10 insurers want to participate. They have represented to you  
11 time and time again that they want to participate, that they  
12 want to provide solutions and not problems, that they want to  
13 move this case along, and they want to be constructive  
14 participants. And so we said, okay, we recognize -- Ms. Uetz  
15 has said that we're all moving towards a mediation path. We've  
16 heard that a couple of times. I think she called it a little  
17 pea plan the last time we were here last time. And we're all  
18 moving in that direction at the debtor's desire to move toward  
19 it.

20 With that said, Your Honor, we can't go to mediation  
21 blind and uninformed with respect to what some courts have  
22 called the debtors potentially largest asset, which is the  
23 insurance, which I believe Your Honor commented on last time  
24 with respect to in talking about the insurance.

25 And so we have served on the insurers a 2004 request,

1 which Mr. Burns is going to talk about the specifics designed  
2 to address issues that are arising in the main case, issues  
3 that from experience, Your Honor, we have seen the insurers  
4 raise in other cases. It has been impediments to moving  
5 forward, issues that may become part and parcel to different  
6 contested matters, and issues generally necessary so that we  
7 understand the sum and -- the specifics of the insurance asset  
8 that we are -- that everyone, the debtor in the community, are  
9 going to be asked to consider in resolving it.

10 It's disappointing, Your Honor, that what we've heard  
11 from the insurers, which is contrary to what we heard when they  
12 were the ones speaking first on their motion, is that we  
13 heard -- we hear about the pending proceeding rule and that  
14 before wasn't an impediment. We hear about we don't really  
15 need this information, it's for embarrassment or otherwise.  
16 And Mr. Burns is going to address that.

17 But, Your Honor, I simply leave it at this sort of  
18 overall theme, which is we talked about the pending proceeding  
19 rule last time. I believe Your Honor commented that you had  
20 less concerns over it with respect to documents and otherwise.  
21 And we and we understand that and we respect it.

22 As a technical matter, however, just by way of Your  
23 Honor's order a few moments ago, there's not much going on in  
24 the quote unquote pending proceeding right now that we should  
25 really be concerned about the duplicative discovery and the

1 documents, as Mr. Burns will explain, overlap very nicely.

2 But we've really gone beyond that, Your Honor, because  
3 what we expect we will hear at some point is, is we will hear  
4 about the vast insurance defenses that they have, the coverage  
5 defenses, including they want it in disclosure statements, they  
6 want to inform everyone about it. We should be able to inform  
7 ourselves about it to be able to assess it.

8 We're going to hear about financial solvency of  
9 various carriers possibly and why they can't possibly pay these  
10 amounts. We should be able to inform ourselves about that.  
11 We're going to hear about the strengths and weaknesses of  
12 various coverage positions. And again, my point, Your Honor,  
13 is simply we should be able to inform ourselves about that. We  
14 shouldn't be testifying from the podium. We should be -- we  
15 should be working off of the same amount of evidence. And if  
16 we're really moving towards this path where the insurers want  
17 to be meaningful participants in this process towards the  
18 mediation, we believe, and I believe the debtor joins, that we  
19 should all have the information we need in order to do that.

20 Unless you have any just general cases on that, I  
21 defer to my colleague, Mr. Burns, who will talk to you  
22 specifically about the request we ask for and why we need it.

23 THE COURT: Yeah, let's do that. Okay.

24 MR. BURNS: Tim Burns for the committee, Your Honor.

25 The committee's essentially seeking six categories of

1 insurer files, six categories. To understand why four of these  
2 categories are important, I have to talk with you about two  
3 fundamental principles of California insurance law. These two  
4 principles are going to play out, Your Honor, in what you've  
5 called the MABA (ph.) insurance case. They may have an impact  
6 on the adversary. They may shape them in some ways, but they  
7 are going to play out in the meta case. It will be how we  
8 resolve this case.

9           These two principles of California insurance law put  
10 the insurance companies in a vise. It's not a bankruptcy vice.  
11 It's not a Bankruptcy Code device. It's not a bankruptcy law  
12 device. They are regulated by California and California law.  
13 And California has chosen to put them in a vice.

14           The reason we need this information is because of what  
15 California law creates. It may well be the key to successful  
16 resolution of this case. And both of these cardinal principles  
17 of California insurance law, which I'm going to get to next,  
18 deal with the reasonable settlement value of sexual abuse cases  
19 and the impact on liability insurance policies of those values,  
20 and thus the reasonable value of the insurance asset.

21           Here are the cardinal principles of California  
22 insurance law. In California, if an insurer reserves its  
23 rights, which the insurers have contended they've done more so  
24 than deny, they reserve rather than deny coverage, the insurer  
25 must reasonably settle the underlying case if they have the

1 opportunity to do so. If they're offered a reasonable demand,  
2 they have to take it. They don't get to say like you can in  
3 some state, hey, wait a minute, I have all these coverage  
4 differences. They have to pay the demand.

5 And that's important. They may have a claim over  
6 against the debtor if it turns out things aren't covered. But  
7 if they're reserving, they need to pay a reasonable settlement  
8 demand. That makes how the insurers have valued these claims  
9 in the past and how they are valuing them now directly relevant  
10 to the value of the insurance asset and resolution of the case.

11 Now, the second cardinal principle of California  
12 insurance law is this. If the insurers deny the claim as  
13 opposed to reserving, the bishop can settle, the debtor can  
14 settle with the survivors for a stipulated reasonable amount in  
15 the form of a judgment collectible against the insurance  
16 companies. That's the vice under California law. They have  
17 their choice reserve and have to pay without reference to  
18 coverage reasonable claims of abuse or deny and risk the  
19 survivors getting a stipulated judgment against them.

20 The value of these claims are critical to both of  
21 those -- both prongs of California law, looks to the reasonable  
22 value of the underlying claims and their impact on the policies  
23 as far as value is concerned because they're liability policies  
24 whose value depends on the claims that they are covering. So  
25 the value will become key to help this case play out on a meta

1 mental level, whether we're able to globally resolve it, Your  
2 Honor.

3 Those two cardinal principles are where we're seeking  
4 four of the six categories of documents, claims files. All  
5 insurers are required to keep claims file. They're bound to  
6 have a claims filed that says RCBO. And in that claims file,  
7 there will be information on how they value the case and what  
8 their coverage defenses are and things like that. Critical to  
9 the value.

10 Reserve Working papers. Insurers have a statutory  
11 duty to create reasonable reserves for these claims. They look  
12 back at the history of their settlement of the claims and  
13 resolution of the claims to create these reserve working  
14 papers. And that goes to the reasonable value of these claims.

15 The third category is those two first categories. But  
16 with respect to the early California window in the early 2000,  
17 because what they paid them is relevant to what their reserves  
18 are and what these cases are worth as an insurance impact,  
19 recognizing its liability insurers and insurance.

20 And then the final category of these first four are  
21 the board minutes because they contain information in all  
22 likelihood on this valuation and exposure issue. This  
23 information goes to the heart of the resolution of this case.  
24 It goes to the very heart of what this insurance asset is  
25 worth. It will prevent the insurers from escaping their duty.

1 Insurers have to keep files. They're businesses.  
2 There's no mystery that they have reserve working papers,  
3 claims, files and the like. And their businesses, that can  
4 pull on those. It isn't the kind of burden they're describing.

5 Now, I want to talk to you briefly about the other two  
6 categories. The first of those is underwriting files. These  
7 files show the terms of the policies. This is the case with  
8 some lost or missing policies. There will likely be evidence  
9 of the terms of those policies within the underwriting files.  
10 The underwriting files show the reinsurance backing of the  
11 policy. So whether these claims present any type of  
12 collectability, how quickly can they be paid type issue, all  
13 insurance companies keep these files. They are organized.  
14 They're not a huge burden for the insurance company to produce.

15 Final category, organizational charts, documentary  
16 retention policies, and claims manuals. Why organizational  
17 charts? They'll help us understand the other documents. And  
18 if we go to depositions, they won't give us an idea of who  
19 we're deposing.

20 Why retention policies? This is coverage issues  
21 potentially turn on what these policies are and the some  
22 policies will be missing. We know what should be missing and  
23 shouldn't be missing based on retention policies.

24 Finally, claims manuals. Remember, Your Honor, the  
25 value of these policies aren't measured just by the claims for

1 coverage and the value of the sexual abuse claims. There may  
2 also be extra contractual and statutory claims. And whether  
3 the insurance companies are following their own procedures with  
4 respect to these claims will be part and parcel of that  
5 analysis.

6 Now, the insurers try to limit what's relevant in a  
7 2004 proceeding to what would be relevant in a coverage  
8 proceeding. Before I was fortunate enough four or five years  
9 ago, Your Honor, to start representing survivors in these  
10 cases, we did day in, day out coverage actions usually for  
11 businesses. And coverage actions are about the meaning of  
12 policy terms. They turn on the meaning of a policy terms.

13 These days, they don't turn on contexts,  
14 unfortunately, so much. It's usually a fairly straightforward,  
15 leaning analysis. But that's not what 2004 exam turns on.  
16 That's not what the meta case is going to turn on here. We  
17 should not be constrained by what's available in a coverage  
18 action with respect to a 2004 proceeding.

19 We tried to make clear in the letter to the insurance  
20 companies a week ago, Your Honor, after the motion papers were  
21 filed, look, this is what we're seeking. Of course, we have  
22 broadly worded requests, but they all sort of fall within this  
23 category. We did the same thing every other litigant does,  
24 which is weary of folks gaming the system. But these six  
25 categories of documents are relevant. They don't impose a



1 burden and undue burden at least because insurance companies  
2 maintain these in the ordinary course of business. It doesn't  
3 require system-wide discovery.

4 The insurance companies tell the Court that why the  
5 committee needs these documents are mediation, and all we  
6 really need the policy and evidence of coverage. That's part  
7 of it. But it's the meta case, Your Honor, that we're trying  
8 to resolve. And that's why we need the documents. They  
9 shouldn't be left to a mediator. These documents go to the  
10 heart of the case.

11 These are my final words. The insurers are asking the  
12 Court to show as solicitude for insurers that is not warranted  
13 under the bankruptcy law under 2004. We've watched insurers  
14 across the country grasp at every advantage, Your Honor, in  
15 Bankruptcy Courts. But once their conduct is scrutinized under  
16 the bankruptcy law, the advantage they purport to seek tends to  
17 disappear. I'm sure Your Honor is aware of Judge Poslusny's  
18 (ph.) skepticism of Camden of the administrative claim. The  
19 insurers contend that because there was an insured diocesan  
20 settlement that hadn't been approved by the court that the  
21 diocese backed away from when it became clear that the  
22 committee wasn't going to join that settlement. That's  
23 happened elsewhere. It's happening in Rochester.

24 I will conclude on this point, Your Honor. Went to  
25 Martin Glenn of the Southern District of New York heard this

1 issue of administrative claim based on the purported insurance  
2 settlement, this advantage that the insurance companies were  
3 seeking was brought up to him. His reaction was there's no  
4 deal until I approve it. There's no breach. There's no  
5 administrative claim.

6 My point is this, Your Honor, 2004 applies to all  
7 apples just the same, even insurance companies. They shouldn't  
8 be grasping for advantages that just aren't deserved.

9 THE COURT: Let me make sure I have all the  
10 categories. Can you -- would you mind restating the first  
11 four?

12 MR. BURNS: Sure.

13 THE COURT: I had claims files, reserve working  
14 papers, board minutes. I think I missed one.

15 MR. BURNS: The third one was -- so the first two or  
16 the current claims files. Reserve working papers. The third  
17 category is we've asked for the same information with respect  
18 to the earlier California window.

19 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you very much.

20 MR. BURNS: Thank you, Your Honor.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MS. UETZ: Excuse me, Your Honor. I have just a brief  
23 comment regarding the motion. Would you like to hear that  
24 before the opposition?

25 THE COURT: I'm happy to if it's brief.

1 MS. UETZ: Super brief, Your Honor. We filed a  
2 response that simply said any documents that are produced to  
3 the committee from the insurers pursuant to this motion, we'd  
4 like copies of the same. We're not -- we just want to make  
5 sure that we get whatever is produced as well.

6 THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

7 MS. UETZ: Thank you.

8 MR. PLEVIN: Your Honor, Mark Plevin for Continental  
9 Casualty Company.

10 Your Honor may have thought that there was one Rule  
11 2004 motion before the Court today, but there's actually two  
12 and apparently an administrative -- an objection to an  
13 administrative claim as well.

14 The first motion is the one that was filed by the  
15 committee, which attached subpoenas containing thirty-seven  
16 separate requests and nineteen subparts for a total of fifty-  
17 six requests. That's the motion that we responded to.

18 Then in its reply brief, the committee filed  
19 essentially a new motion with six categories, uncertain whether  
20 those six categories are a distillation of the first fifty-six  
21 or a supplementation or a replacement. I don't know what they  
22 are. There's no text of those requests. There's nothing that  
23 sets out other than what Mr. Burns just said. He pointed to a  
24 letter that he sent us, which I found frankly baffling because  
25 I got the letter about an hour before they filed the reply

1 brief, so I'm not sure what I was supposed to do with that  
2 letter. And we, of course, haven't had a chance to respond to  
3 that second motion because it was the reply brief. So they've  
4 completely gone in a new, different, and unexpected direction.

5 And I don't want to linger on it, but Mr. Burns  
6 finished his remarks with a very impassioned plea to the Court  
7 to reject an administrative claim based on a settlement between  
8 the insurers and the debtor that hasn't taken place, citing  
9 something from Judge Glenn in New York. I don't know what  
10 Judge Glenn said, but I do know if that's what he said, he  
11 wasn't aware of Second Circuit law. There's a case called  
12 Liberty Towers, I don't have the citation with me, although I  
13 can get it in a few minutes, which says exactly that when a  
14 debtor enters into a an agreement and has a rule 9019 motion,  
15 they can't just back away from it. They have to take it to the  
16 Bankruptcy Court. And the Bankruptcy Court has to determine  
17 whether it's a good deal or whether some deal that came along  
18 later is better. So it seems like Mr. Burns is trying to  
19 inoculate the Court against something. I'm not sure.

20 So I'd like to start my remarks with the first Rule  
21 2004 motion, and then I'll come back to the second one.

22 THE COURT: Um-hum.

23 MR. PLEVIN: The key principle for the first one is  
24 that Rule 2004 is not without limits. It is broad, but it's  
25 not without limits. A request has to be reasonable and it has

1 to be relevant. Relevant to what? Relevant to the  
2 justification given for the Rule 2004 request.

3 The justification that was given in the committee's  
4 motion papers here was that they needed to fully understand the  
5 nature and extent of the insurance coverage. That's what they  
6 said. For purposes of mediation, I should add that. They  
7 needed to fully understand the coverage, the nature and extent  
8 of coverage for purposes of mediation. And then, as I said,  
9 they hit us with fifty-six separate requests, which ranged all  
10 over God's creation.

11 So we looked at their at their justification and their  
12 request and realize that there was a huge disconnect between  
13 the justification and the requests that were made. And what we  
14 did is we proposed a set of requests that was directly  
15 responsive to the asserted justification and avowed purpose for  
16 these requests. And those are set forth in our in our brief.  
17 We created a redline of their requests.

18 We also created a revised definition of the term  
19 insurance policies because their term insurance policies wasn't  
20 in any way linked to the debtor here. And we said, if you need  
21 policies, that's fair. People need policies for mediation. In  
22 fact, one could argue that's all that's needed to understand  
23 the coverage because it has the policy period, who the insurer  
24 is, the terms and conditions of coverage, the limits of  
25 liability. And that's what you look at to determine what the

1 coverage is, is the policy.

2 Second, we said, well, okay, some policies are alleged  
3 to be missing. And in that case, secondary evidence of the  
4 policies, whether that's a binder or correspondence with a  
5 broker or an application, whatever is relevant to proving the  
6 existence in terms of a policy that's fair as well.

7 The third thing that we thought would be appropriate  
8 would be coverage position letters. If they want to know  
9 whether the insurers accepted coverage, reserved rights, denied  
10 coverage, that would be in the coverage position letters, along  
11 with the grounds for any position the insurers have taken. And  
12 then we thought that was a fair thing to offer as well.

13 And then the fourth thing that was -- would be  
14 appropriate would be erosion or exhaustion information. In  
15 other words, how much of the policies are still available out  
16 of the -- out of the limits of liability?

17 And so we proposed these revisions. And that's all  
18 the committee needs for the avowed purpose stated in the motion  
19 of understanding the nature and extent of coverage for purposes  
20 of mediation. That's it, full stop. They don't need  
21 information about payments of claims over the past thirty years  
22 involving not just this debtor but other debtors.

23 A request that would require the insurers to go  
24 through their entire portfolio of insureds to determine who may  
25 have had a sexual abuse claim and then to present documentation

1 on that going back thirty years, that same request intrudes on  
2 the privacy rights of other insurers because in order to say  
3 what we paid and what the circumstances were, we'd have to  
4 present information about the -- I said other insurers. I  
5 meant the claimants. They'd have to present information about  
6 the claimants who were paid, the nature of the claims they  
7 made, their identities, et cetera.

8 They talk about things like organizational charts,  
9 which Mr. Burns said they need when they take a deposition.  
10 Well, if you're preparing for mediation, you're not taking a  
11 deposition. You're preparing for mediation. If they want to  
12 ask my client a question in a mediation, they can ask my client  
13 or they can ask the mediator to ask my client. I don't know  
14 why we're talking about depositions. We're not authenticating  
15 documents in a mediation. We're not tying down testimony in a  
16 mediation. It's not how mediations work.

17 THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this. Let's say  
18 we're not talking about depositions. Do you have a problem  
19 with the organizational charts one way or the other?

20 MR. PLEVIN: It's not -- well, the problem is what  
21 time frame. If you look at their subpoenas, Your Honor, it's  
22 not limited as to time.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. PLEVIN: The only thing that's limited as to time  
25 is this request for thirty years of --

1 THE COURT: Yeah, yeah. No, I saw that too.

2 MR. PLEVIN: So I have to go back and find everything  
3 from my client, and all the other insurers would have to do the  
4 same thing going back decades to find out. And for what  
5 purpose? That doesn't help understand the coverage. The  
6 coverage is in the policy. It doesn't help to know who was a  
7 claim handler in this particular unit in 1973. That's just  
8 not -- it's not relevant for mediation.

9 There's a case I wanted to refer Your Honor to, it  
10 arises in a slightly different context, but I think it's  
11 relevant. It's Eleventh Circuit decision called in Re Gaddy  
12 And the citation is. 851 F.App'x 996. It arose in the context  
13 of a Rule 9019 motion. And the Bankruptcy Court didn't allow a  
14 lot of discovery in that 9019 context. And there was an appeal  
15 on the Eleventh Circuit said, No, that's right. And the thrust  
16 of the Eleventh Circuit's ruling was if you're going to make  
17 people go through all of the same litigation that they would  
18 have to go through without a settlement, it doesn't make sense  
19 to make them do it when they've settled.

20 And the same principle applies here in the sense that  
21 if we're preparing for mediation, we're not preparing for a  
22 full-scale litigation. We're preparing for -- we're not  
23 preparing for depositions. We're preparing to sit at a table  
24 with a mediator and talk about the claims that have come in  
25 that how the coverage might apply, and what a fair settlement



1 value would be. That's it.

2 All this other stuff that the committee asked for in  
3 its original fifty-six requests is not relevant to any of that.  
4 And to put us through all of that now under the guise of  
5 preparing for mediation just can't be justified.

6 This same issue came up before Judge Lane in New York  
7 and the Madison Square Boys and Girls Club case. Very broad  
8 Rule 2004 request by the committee to the insurers, objections  
9 by the insurers. And Judge Lane essentially ruled, as I've  
10 suggested in the redline in our brief, policies, secondary  
11 evidence, a few other small things, no depositions. And  
12 they've not pointed to any Bankruptcy Court in any one of these  
13 cases, Diocese and sex abuse cases or otherwise, where a court  
14 has gone beyond what Judge Lane did. And neither should this  
15 Court.

16 There's been a -- Mr. Kaplan started with this and Mr.  
17 Burns picked it up. There's an attempt to draw what I would  
18 call a false equivalence here. The insurers wanted information  
19 about the claims, and the Court said we should have that  
20 because we need it. And they then say, well, it's only fair  
21 for them to get whatever they want from us. Well, the  
22 difference is the claims are the very things that we're being  
23 asked to pay. And we need that that information in order to  
24 assess whether things end or in our policy period, what the  
25 severity of the claim is. Mr. Burns wants to set us up for a

1 bad-faith claim by putting us in a vice. And the one thing we  
2 need to even have a reasonable settlement obligation is  
3 information about the thing that we're being asked to settle.

4 So that's why we needed the information. I say it's a  
5 false equivalence because what we're offering them, what we've  
6 said would be appropriate, is the mirror image from their  
7 perspective. They have the information about the claims. We  
8 don't. We have the information about the policies. They  
9 don't. That's the two things that you need to determine the  
10 value of the claims and how the coverage applies, the claims  
11 information and the policy information. All the rest of it is  
12 unnecessary.

13 Mr. Burns said that they should not be bound in any  
14 way by the rules of relevance in an adversary proceeding  
15 because Rule 2004 is broader and they brought it in the main  
16 case. Well, as Your Honor knows, when the committee moved to  
17 intervene into the adversary proceeding, that intervention was  
18 granted subject to the express limitation that they not  
19 propound discovery. I am confident that the Court didn't do  
20 that for the purpose of saying you can go out and serve much,  
21 much, much broader discovery in the main case.

22 And we're not the people who invoke the pending  
23 proceeding rule, by the way. They did that in their opening  
24 motion trying to distinguish it. And we actually said in our  
25 opposition brief, Your Honor, that that's not the reason why

1 this is a problem. The reason it's a problem is because their  
2 discovery rights were limited for a particular and good  
3 purpose, and it wasn't for the purpose of allowing them to then  
4 go out and exceed all bounds of relevance in the Chapter 11  
5 case under Rule 2004.

6 And when Ms. Uetz makes what sounds on its face like a  
7 very straightforward, fair-minded request that, oh, if they get  
8 stuff, we should get it to, the debtors are absolutely bound by  
9 the pending proceeding rule because they're the plaintiff in  
10 the adversary proceeding. And that request is an overt attempt  
11 to evade the restrictions of Rules 26 through 37.

12 One other thing worth noting, Mr. Burns said, and I  
13 think he used the phrase in all likelihood the insurers are  
14 going to claim financial solvency problems. The only example  
15 they propounded of an insurer -- of financial solvency problems  
16 with an insurer was Arrowood in the Rockville Center case. And  
17 Arrowood was under supervision of the Delaware insurance  
18 commissioner and just last week was actually placed in  
19 liquidation by the Delaware Insurance Commissioner. After we  
20 filed our brief, the court entered an order of liquidation.

21 So that is a unique one-off situation. It does not  
22 justify rifling through our files to see what our finances are,  
23 particularly since they can get the public documents that all  
24 the insurance companies have to file by doing that. They're  
25 all publicly available. They don't need to get into our files

1 and try to get all that information when no one in this case  
2 has said I don't have a financial problem paying what I might  
3 own under my policy.

4 Now, as I said, that's the first motion. We think  
5 that it's reasonable for them to seek some information for  
6 purposes of preparing for mediation. We think we've met them  
7 halfway. We've offered to give them the information that Judge  
8 Lane found was appropriate and Madison Square Boys and Girls  
9 Club. And we think that's all they need.

10 So now we have the second motion, and I can speculate  
11 as to why the second motion was made, what I'm calling the  
12 second motion and the reply brief.

13 As I said, I don't know what they're doing with the  
14 first fifty-six requests, whether these are six on top of  
15 those, whether these are six instead of those, whether this is  
16 some kind of, as I said, distillation of the fifty-six. These  
17 are new arguments that shouldn't be permitted in reply. Even  
18 if the Court considers them, they're living in a fantasy world,  
19 a fantasy world in which we've got robust claim files on claims  
20 where we don't even have the documents yet. We're still  
21 waiting to get the proofs of claim. The claim file I would  
22 venture of virtually all the insurers, if not all of them, at  
23 this point consists of a tender letter which attaches a  
24 complaint and a response to the tender letter which either  
25 reserves rights, declines coverage, or accepts coverage and

1 accepts the defense.

2 But that's all that there could be at this point. We  
3 don't have the information. These claims are actually still  
4 being tendered. Mr. Schiavoni told me this morning his client  
5 just got another whole bunch of claims in this case because I  
6 guess we all know the Alameda County Superior Court was so  
7 burdened by claims that pushing them out very slowly. So here  
8 we are in November, ten and a half months after the window  
9 closed for the filing of these claims, and claims are still  
10 being pushed out and tendered. So the idea that we have all  
11 these claims and robust claim files is just wrong.

12 Mr. Burns seems to think that it would be relevant to  
13 get all of the documents that were created or that are in files  
14 relating to claims that have been paid in the past because he  
15 says that way we know what the value of the claims are. But I  
16 don't see how you can draw a line between a claim involving  
17 John Doe Number 1 that was settled in 1970 and a claim today by  
18 John Doe Number 2 who's just asserted his claim. To determine  
19 for the first claim to be relevant, you'd have to know that  
20 it's actually the same kind of claim, invoking the same kind of  
21 coverage, that the circumstances of the claim were the same,  
22 that the knowledge of the church was the same, and that the  
23 knowledge of the insurers about the knowledge of the church was  
24 the same. I think we all know more in the year 2023 about what  
25 the various dioceses knew about what their priests and others

1 were doing than we knew about back in the 1970s and 1980s.

2 And so that's a whole kind of collateral litigation  
3 and investigation that doesn't make any sense. What we should  
4 be doing is valuing the claims that are being made in this case  
5 by proofs of claim that we still don't have but we're hoping to  
6 get soon and looking at those claims and determining the value  
7 of those claims.

8 In their reply brief, the committee also talks about  
9 the value of claims in other cases. Well, we can all, either  
10 ourselves or through consultants, go to the plans of  
11 reorganization that have been confirmed in other cases and  
12 figure out how many claims there were, what the total insurance  
13 contribution was, what the diocesan contribution was, and  
14 generate the numbers. You don't need to go through decades of  
15 the insurers' files in order to get there.

16 Skipping around a little bit, the claims manuals, we  
17 litigated under Rule 2004 in both the Imerys case and the Boy  
18 Scouts case, both before Judge Silverstein in Delaware whether  
19 claims manuals were accessible under Rule 2004. And she held  
20 for good reason no, because it doesn't tell you anything about  
21 the value of the claim for purposes of a mediation. For  
22 purposes of the mediation, you just look at the claim and the  
23 policy. You don't need to know what a company's claims manual  
24 is. It's not relevant in most coverage litigation. And Judge  
25 Silverstein held it's not relevant in a Rule 2004 context

1 either.

2 I think, Your Honor, that that covers most of it.  
3 Just to make a few points, in the brief, they demand that we  
4 respond in fourteen days. These new requests -- first of all,  
5 the fifty-six requests are incredibly broad. And there's no  
6 way that we could reasonably be required to respond to the  
7 fifty-six requests in fourteen days. It's just not possible.  
8 Even if you cut back to the four requests we think is  
9 appropriate, I think fourteen days is a bit aggressive.

10 We don't have a mediation scheduled. We don't have a  
11 mediator. Ms. Uetz sent a letter yesterday opening the door to  
12 discussion about who mediators might be. I welcome that  
13 approach from her. We've just been engaged in that very  
14 process in Santa Rosa. So I and many of the other insurers on  
15 the screen have recently been talking about mediators. So I  
16 think we should be able to respond fairly quickly to her.

17 But we don't have a mediation on the horizon. There's  
18 no reason we need to do this in fourteen days.

19 THE COURT: Let me ask a question or two. Let's say  
20 that I accept some of your arguments enough to draw a line  
21 between things that are generally probative of an asset and  
22 questions that are really kind of litigation posture questions.  
23 I think you would put your four categories that you're willing  
24 to produce under the first category, right? This is generally  
25 what assets are about. Well, I mean, would it be okay then

1 also to include underwriting files in those categories just as  
2 an example?

3 MR. PLEVIN: Right. So underwriting files can be  
4 complicated to the extent we're talking about policies in the  
5 '60s and '70s. I'm not sure that they necessarily exist. If  
6 they do exist, it's not on the top of someone's desk or their  
7 file drawer. There's undoubtedly going to have to be some  
8 search undertaken within the company. And some of these  
9 insurance companies have very prescribed manners of looking for  
10 policies and underwriting files, so that could be done. I  
11 would suggest that unless it's a missing policy situation where  
12 you're looking for secondary evidence that something was done,  
13 it's probably not necessary because the underwriting file will  
14 generally include correspondence between the broker and the  
15 insured or the broker and the insurance company, a lot of  
16 premium information people trying to --

17 THE COURT: Some reinsurance stuff, maybe.

18 MR. PLEVIN: Maybe reinsurance.

19 THE COURT: Yeah.

20 MR. PLEVIN: Although often done in a separate unit.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. PLEVIN: But one of the big problems is just the  
23 age of those files and their accessibility.

24 THE COURT: That is ever with us, right?

25 MR. PLEVIN: Right.



1 THE COURT: Yeah.

2 MR. PLEVIN: Especially when we're this many years  
3 after --

4 THE COURT: I know, I know.

5 MR. PLEVIN: -- after the policies were written.

6 THE COURT: How about -- so you've suggested to me  
7 that the claims files, even were they to be produced that are  
8 relevant in this case, are kind of a nothing burger?

9 MR. PLEVIN: They're skeletons at best.

10 THE COURT: Okay. How about the reserve files or the  
11 reserve working papers?

12 MR. PLEVIN: So reserve working papers are --

13 THE COURT: And let's start initially with what we're  
14 talking what would be directly relevant here, okay?

15 MR. PLEVIN: Okay. So first of all, as a matter of  
16 coverage law, reserves are not relevant. And they're not  
17 relevant because they are not a determination of the value of  
18 the claim. It's a determination of how much the insurance  
19 company thinks it needs to have Under whatever statutory  
20 accounting rules are required. It doesn't reflect the value of  
21 the claim.

22 At this point in the development of these claims, Your  
23 Honor, where we don't have proofs of claim, I know because I  
24 asked my client we don't have any reserves because we don't  
25 have enough information to set reserves on any of these claims.

1 I don't know what the other insurers have, but I suspect many,  
2 if not most of them, are in the same boat. You can't set a  
3 reserve just because somebody filed a complaint with untested  
4 allegations. And that's all there is.

5 So reserves are set later. Reserves are set at a  
6 point when there's some confidence level about what you're  
7 dealing with. I think some companies may not even set reserves  
8 in a situation like this on a contingent litigated tort claim  
9 where there's scant information until settlements are reached  
10 or at least until mediations are underway and progressing and  
11 they have an idea of where the end point might be. So I don't  
12 think -- I think that's a nothing burger as well for that --

13 THE COURT: Let me ask you another question, which I'm  
14 also going to direct to Mr. Burns. You can take 2004 exams  
15 lots of different times in cases for lots of different reasons.  
16 It may be that this is a useful thing to do for a relatively  
17 limited purpose here without prejudice to. It's going to look  
18 a whole lot different in two months or three months or six  
19 months.

20 And I'm going to ask you a question because you've  
21 been through this and I haven't. Okay? Let's say you get a  
22 mediator and you're talking about how we're going to get  
23 everybody in the same room. What is the mediator's role in  
24 trying to figure out what everybody needs to know? Can the  
25 mediator, for example, talk about that with both sides and then

1 let the Court know, I think, look, we need an X, Y and Z, we  
2 don't know it yet?

3 MR. PLEVIN: It's been my experience that mediators  
4 often carry back and forth information requests between the  
5 parties. And the mediator will endorse requests that he or she  
6 thinks are appropriate.

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 MR. PLEVIN: And indeed --

9 THE COURT: Which end up back at the court.

10 MR. PLEVIN: Well --

11 THE COURT: Or not.

12 MR. PLEVIN: I know Mr. Schiavoni filed as a request  
13 for judicial notice a transcript from Amreys case where this  
14 issue just came up before Judge Silverstein. And what she said  
15 is I'm not going to allow any Rule 2004 discovery this point.  
16 You go talk to the mediators. And if you have a problem with  
17 what the mediators are either doing or not doing or what, then  
18 you can come back to me. So she put it on the mediators first  
19 to work with parties to get the information that the mediators  
20 thought would be appropriate for the valuation of the claims  
21 and the negotiations that would take place in the mediation.  
22 And there was no -- she was clear I'm not dealing with this  
23 today, but if there's a dispute that can't be solved in the  
24 context of the mediation, then you come back to me and I'll get  
25 involved at that point. And I think that that's something that

1 would make sense.

2 THE COURT: Finishing up the categories that Mr. Burns  
3 gave us, how about board minutes?

4 MR. PLEVIN: I guess that's the one where he said in  
5 all likelihood, because now I'm looking at my notes, I put that  
6 in quotes. That's just sheer speculation about what's going on  
7 here. These are insurance companies that are very big  
8 companies. Not every settlement is board-worthy. There are  
9 executives within the company who have delegated authority from  
10 the board in different amounts. Your claim handler will have  
11 desk authority and one amount. That claim handler's supervisor  
12 will have additional authority. That person supervisor will  
13 have additional authority. Only when you get to very, very,  
14 very high levels of authority is there even any chance that  
15 you'd go to the board of directors for authority.

16 And if you've ever seen board minutes, Your Honor,  
17 they are not -- they're not transcripts generally. They are --  
18 they record in a very cursory way what's happened. So at most  
19 you would have something where somebody would say in the board  
20 minute that in such and such case, the board was asked to and  
21 did authorize a payment or an offer of X dollars. But if Mr.  
22 Burns thinks that board minutes are going to be some kind of  
23 opening the board's soul and talking about existential issues,  
24 that's not what --

25 THE COURT: Assumes facts not in evidence, correct.

1 MR. PLEVIN: Yeah. Well, it's speculative. It  
2 assumes facts not in evidence. And I don't think it's --

3 THE COURT: I mean boards having souls, but yeah.

4 MR. PLEVIN: Well, corporations are people, as some  
5 people --

6 THE COURT: We don't have to all agree with that,  
7 right, just because somebody prominent said it.

8 MR. PLEVIN: Right. But I think that the review of  
9 board minutes is also going to be very intrusive. And I think  
10 we might have disputes about that because some boards deal with  
11 lots of things. And so would we have to produce board minutes  
12 that don't deal with any of these claims at all? Would we be  
13 able to redact that? In which case all the board minutes might  
14 be redacted except for maybe one sentence. And again, what's  
15 the time frame here? We're actually dealing with Mr. Burns's  
16 request that isn't in the Rule 2004 application, so we don't  
17 even know what the text of it says. But what's the time frame?  
18 Are we going back thirty, forty, fifty years? You know,  
19 there's a burden. He says companies have to keep records. And  
20 that's true. Companies keep records. But they also don't  
21 necessarily keep records for thirty, forty and fifty years.  
22 And even if they do have them, they don't always know where  
23 they are. And it takes a huge effort to locate them.

24 And for what purpose? I mean, the board minutes, I  
25 don't think are probative of anything that's needed for the

1 committee to not be blindfolded in a mediation.

2 Mr. Kaplan, Mr. Burns, Mr. Bair, they all -- Ms.  
3 Restel, they're all very, very experienced at this, and they  
4 don't need to know what the board said in 1978 about a  
5 particular sex abuse claim to figure out what position they're  
6 taking in a mediation or how much they want to ask for on a  
7 particular claim.

8 THE COURT: Let me ask you one other question. And  
9 I'll try to ask it a couple of different ways. I hear your  
10 objection to going back thirty years, for lack of a better  
11 word, claim files and valuation of claims. I just don't know,  
12 and you're going to know better than me, whether there is a  
13 relevant subset. Is there a five-year period that would make  
14 some sense that could be more easily -- I mean, you're not --  
15 you could still argue it's not relevant when the rubber meets  
16 the road. But is there a subset that you could identify or  
17 suggest that would be responsive to the thought that they have  
18 on the side?

19 MR. PLEVIN: I don't really think so Your Honor,  
20 because if you're talking about claims at a granular level,  
21 you're looking at individual claims. And what a particular  
22 claim settled for is not probative of what some unrelated  
23 different claim is worth. Because the claims are different,  
24 the circumstances are different, the insurance might be  
25 different, the policies might be different.

1 THE COURT: I know.

2 MR. PLEVIN: The applicable law might be different.

3 THE COURT: Yeah.

4 MR. PLEVIN: The attitude of the mediator might be  
5 different. If you're concerned -- if you're in litigation,  
6 your valuation of the judge and your chances of success in a  
7 trial might be different.

8 THE COURT: Sure.

9 MR. PLEVIN: So how you would take the information  
10 about one claim and use that as a basis to say, okay, now  
11 you're going to do this and some other claim later --

12 THE COURT: I --

13 MR. PLEVIN: But there's also one other point, Your  
14 Honor, is that in my experience in these types of cases,  
15 discussion of the individual claims is not typically how these  
16 mediations go forward. They go forward in bulk. The committee  
17 or the debtor makes a demand of X for the whole body of claims  
18 and for a channeling injunction. And then the insurer responds  
19 with an offer of Y. And then X and Y are at different  
20 extremes. And through the efforts of the mediator and the  
21 parties, hopefully a deal gets done and they come somewhere in  
22 the middle.

23 THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not disagreeing with you that  
24 any particular claim -- I mean, there's so much variation. My  
25 instinct, and you're both going to disabuse me of this is, that

1 when you get into this, what you need are various touchstones,  
2 right? You need reference point. There's not say that any one  
3 is going to get you one hundred percent from A to B, but you  
4 need them in the sense that where are we talking about twenty  
5 bazillion dollars ere or three? And I'm just exploring whether  
6 there is a -- whether there's a reasonable way to provide  
7 something that would be a touchstone that wouldn't be thirty  
8 years ago.

9 THE COURT: Well, so it's just way of --

10 MR. PLEVIN: And, well, just by way of example --

11 THE COURT: And maybe the answer is, well, go look at  
12 what happens in bankruptcy cases. Go look at the numbers.  
13 Maybe that's the answer.

14 MR. PLEVIN: I was going to say, that is exactly where  
15 I was going.

16 THE COURT: Yeah.

17 MR. PLEVIN: So let me just give you an example --

18 THE COURT: Sure.

19 MR. PLEVIN: -- since Mr. Mr. Burns raised it, the  
20 Rochester case, which is still pending. Right now it's got  
21 competing plans. There are, I think, four insurers, maybe  
22 five. The debtor settled at one set of values. The committee  
23 objected. All but one of the insurers then entered into  
24 separate -- or additional settlements. And the one settled --  
25 one insurer who didn't settle proposed a plan and put forth



1 what it was -- its offering as its contribution. This is all  
2 public information. And the committee knows how many claims  
3 are against each insurer's policy, what each insurer has  
4 settled with or settled for or offered to pay. And they can do  
5 a per claimant calculation based on that. They can do the same  
6 thing in every single bankruptcy case that's been resolved.  
7 They can do it in Camden for the deal that the debtor cut with  
8 the insurers that the insurers claim is binding and that the  
9 other side claims is not. So that's at least a touchstone.  
10 The parties might have different views about whether that  
11 touchstone should be enforceable or not.

12 But that information is all out there. It's all out  
13 there. And the very experienced lawyers for the committee and  
14 the debtor are aware of all of those values and all of those  
15 cases going back to the Diocese of Billings case and the  
16 Diocese of Northern Alaska, whenever those took place in the  
17 '90s or early 2000, up through the more recent cases. They're  
18 involved in these mediations. They know -- even though it's  
19 not public, they know what's on the table between committees  
20 and debtors on the one hand and insurers on the other hand. So  
21 they have those touchstones they don't need to get that  
22 information from our files to the extent it's even relevant.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. PLEVIN: Your Honor, Unless you have any other  
25 questions, I think --

1 THE COURT: No. Thank you for your -- thank you for  
2 your very helpful answers.

3 MR. PLEVIN: Thank you.

4 THE COURT: I appreciate it. Okay.

5 MR. SCHIAVONI: Your Honor, if I could just be heard  
6 very briefly.

7 THE COURT: Well, you didn't file anything. Do you  
8 want to say yes or no?

9 MR. KAPLAN: Your Honor, I think that's exactly what  
10 you said. Mr. Schiavoni didn't file anything.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 MR. KAPLAN: I think --

13 MR. SCHIAVONI: We did join, Your Honor, the brief.  
14 We're on the brief.

15 MR. KAPLAN: They're on the brief, but we've -- I  
16 mean, this is the problem we've raised before, which is we  
17 respect Mr. Plevin taking the lead on this. We have taken the  
18 lead. He argued. We have argued. And this just sort of --  
19 it's Your Honor's courtroom and Your Honor's decision. But we  
20 would respectfully request that Mr. Plevin has represented the  
21 insurer.

22 THE COURT: I'm going to agree with you. Thank you  
23 very much.

24 MR. SCHIAVONI: Thank you, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Thank you.

1 MR. KAPLAN: Your Honor, just briefly, I saw Ms. Uetz  
2 doing a hand thing. I don't know if that was she had a -- I  
3 don't want to --

4 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Ms. Uetz?

5 MS. UETZ: Thanks, Your Honor. Very briefly, just a  
6 couple of points.

7 My recall is that the insurers filed a 2004 motion.  
8 And when they did so, they didn't mind the single proceeding,  
9 one proceeding rule. It seems to me only fair that if this  
10 motion is granted and there's a production to the committee,  
11 that the debtor counted as well.

12 There's just one other point I would make. Your  
13 Honor, Mr. Plevin made some pretty sweeping statements about  
14 his view of the information that is important to mediation.  
15 And I would submit that that's just it. It's his view.

16 We have made claim on behalf of the debtor that we are  
17 pursuing the insurers and the adversary proceeding, as well we  
18 hope to pursue a mediation.

19 Candidly, had the committee not filed a 2004 motion,  
20 the debtor may have done so. So I just -- I want to -- I want  
21 to express my view to the Court that more is needed for  
22 mediation I think that Mr. Plevin suggested. That's all I  
23 wanted to say.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MS. UETZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you so much. Okay. Who  
2 wants to talk for --

3 MR. KAPLAN: Your Honor, given that I can't answer the  
4 insurance specifics, I will save the parties the time of  
5 deferring to my -- Mr. Burns.

6 THE COURT: Okay. Let me give you a couple of  
7 thoughts to flesh out a bit where I was going with Mr. Plevin,  
8 okay?

9 Okay. Let me begin with, you know, the pending  
10 proceeding rule, I think, is going to be on the back of the  
11 stove for a while, this case. So I'm not -- I'm not taking the  
12 position that that you should, for all purposes, be foreclosed.  
13 That's not the way I'm looking at. And I'm also not accepting  
14 as broadly as maybe Mr. Plevin would like me to the  
15 implications of the committee intervening in the AP with an  
16 understanding that their discovery role was going to be limited  
17 or none. Okay. That's in the same way that it's kind of  
18 apples and oranges in terms of what you're doing in the AP and  
19 what you might do in the main case.

20 In the same way, it's kind of apples and oranges.  
21 What kind of questions get asked at 2004 exam or what kind of  
22 questions are litigation questions? And that's where I think  
23 I'm drawing a line here. I think there are some things that  
24 are -- that go generally to the kinds of what is the status of  
25 the case, what are the assets, what are the liabilities, what

1 do we have to work with here that are more modest than some of  
2 the questions that you're posing, which are great questions,  
3 but in my mind they're much more, you know, litigation take a  
4 position because we're going to contest it kind of situations  
5 which include things like valuing of claims from X years ago.

6 So that's generally my mindset now, which is to say I  
7 think this is also a moving target, that if I give you four or  
8 five or six things here, it's not like you can't come back in  
9 two months and say, well, now where at this stage we need  
10 something else. I'll hear that. But I'm thinking it makes  
11 sense to, for lack of a better word, stage this.

12 But let me put the same question to you that I put to  
13 Mr. Plevin, toward the end of his presentation, which is, is  
14 there a subset here of claims or claims analysis that you can't  
15 get just from looking at the last five bankruptcy cases,  
16 whatever they are? Is there a subset that you think would be  
17 relevant over a reasonable period of time that might be a  
18 little closer to what we're talking about here that you think  
19 should be produced?

20 MR. BURNS: Your Honor, let me answer that two ways.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. BURNS: Because there are really two questions.  
23 The first question is what I would call the staging is, I  
24 think, the term you used. And then the second question is the  
25 subset.

1           Your Honor, with all due respect, and I do respect  
2   you --

3           THE COURT: You know what I say there, right? In my  
4   humble opinion. And we're both lying, Mr. Burns. Okay.

5           MR. BURNS: And, Your Honor, we have the experience of  
6   being in these cases in a number of bankruptcies for the last  
7   three or four years. We they have the experience of those  
8   cases not resolving. They just haven't resolved. And I would  
9   just suggest that public enemy number 1 in the cases not  
10   resolving is what I call the bankruptcy holiday that the  
11   insurers get. They get a holiday. They know they're not going  
12   to pay claims for four or five years because the bankruptcy --  
13   the courts are not going to push them to fulfill the obligation  
14   that other litigants in other assets have.

15           Staging, there is a way of staging under the rules.  
16   It's called a continuing obligation to produce documents.  
17   That's the staging occasion by rules of just every subset.

18           Your Honor, it really goes to the nothing burger point  
19   because I heard it a couple of times, and I was aghast. But  
20   one of the leading lawyers for survivors is in court with us.  
21   nothing burger in the claims file, nothing burger in the  
22   reserves. California Window has been open for a while. The  
23   California window has been closed for a while. Test case  
24   number 1 was scheduled to go to trial two weeks before the  
25   bankruptcy filing. That's the Woodall of the case. Nothing

1 burger. There's no claims filed on Woodall by these guys.  
2 There's no claims. There's no reserve information set on  
3 Woodall. It --

4 THE COURT: Are we talking a proper name here for a  
5 particular reason? I mean, isn't that confidential?

6 MR. BURNS: I don't think --

7 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible).

8 THE COURT: Oh, okay. All right. Thank you. Go  
9 ahead.

10 MR. BURNS: So as these approaches trial, they lose  
11 some of their confidentiality.

12 THE COURT: Okay.

13 MR. BURNS: SO --

14 THE COURT: Well, you can tell you know more about  
15 this than I do. That kind of stopped me in my tracks for a  
16 second. But you go ahead.

17 MR. BURNS: Your Honor, And we appreciate the concern.  
18 And if I'd rather you called me on it than I make --

19 THE COURT: Okay. Well, especially if you can correct  
20 me, especially that.

21 MR. BURNS: Understood. A mistake.

22 THE COURT: Sure.

23 MR. BURNS: There were cases that were proceeding  
24 along before the bankruptcy was filed. We asked this board  
25 document requests, Your Honor, because of the unbelievable --

1 the not plausible answers from the insurance companies. If we  
2 just asked for the claims file and it's going to have nothing  
3 there, maybe some version of the claims file that has nothing  
4 there, I don't dispute that, I've seen it before, that's why we  
5 asked for all the additional information, because we want the  
6 claims file.

7 THE COURT: Well, let me just let me pull this apart a  
8 little bit, okay? Okay. One aspect would be the claims files  
9 for the claims that are relevant to this matter, right? Is the  
10 next step cases that are otherwise pending in California as  
11 opposed to just this case? Is that is that the progression?

12 MR. BURNS: It would be, Your Honor, the claims file  
13 relating to those other California claims.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MR. BURNS: There are cases around the country. But  
16 Your Honor, frankly, that they haven't settled for the amount  
17 that the California claims have settled for.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 MR. BURNS: There's a different valuation. But while  
20 I'm on valuation, Your Honor, and the touchstones issue, the  
21 shorthand touchstone, earlier today, Mr. Schiavoni was talking  
22 about hiring Brattle Group and I think Casey Isaac. What are  
23 those folks being hired to do? They're being hired to look at  
24 those touchstones. Your Honor, they're being hired to look at  
25 other claims to come up with valuation figures for litigation.



1 What we want is the valuation figures for their statutory  
2 obligation to adjust these claims and set appropriate reserves  
3 for these claims.

4 And so as a first step, Your Honor, getting the  
5 complete set of claims documents for the cases related to the  
6 dioceses and the reserves workup --

7 THE COURT: For this case.

8 MR. BURNS: -- for this case, you put your finger on  
9 it, Your Honor. The underwriting files, maybe their response  
10 to the underwriting files, they'll sign the document requests  
11 that they just don't have any. And maybe we'll ask for a  
12 deposition on that and see if that's the case. But  
13 underwriting files, which seems like they should be there.

14 A second step would be the broader California  
15 universe.

16 And the third step would be what happened in these  
17 cases -- I think fifty-five cases were resolved back in '07.  
18 We don't have all the information.

19 The insurers -- we want these cases resolved. We want  
20 these cases to resolve by consensual solution. It's our  
21 experience that just going to mediation doesn't work. We have  
22 to be pushing on the insurers to fulfill the obligations of  
23 other assets, other litigants to litigate some of these covered  
24 issues or the case just won't -- it won't be resolved in  
25 anything like an ordinary period of time for a bankruptcy case.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 MR. BURNS: We are trying very hard, Your Honor, to  
3 make it so this case works. We're at the end. The bankruptcy  
4 plan is confirmed with everybody on board. But we've seen how  
5 it hasn't worked that way over the last several cases. And  
6 having these tools available for us, they love using bankruptcy  
7 tools in --

8 THE COURT: Well, they're not the only ones. That  
9 auto-stay thing is pretty nice, you know? Debtors love that.

10 MR. BURNS: In these cases. I love being in  
11 Bankruptcy Court. It was my second choice of profession.

12 THE COURT: I need to take a minute.

13 MR. BURNS: But what's good for the goose has to be  
14 for the gander.

15 THE COURT: No, I mean, that whole idea that you're  
16 going to step away for a minute or two is helpful on a bunch of  
17 levels. So I'm certainly hearing you. I don't think it's -- I  
18 would not infer anything inappropriate to the insurance  
19 companies if they found a benefit in there too as far as that  
20 goes. I know that you're saying something broader than that.  
21 And I'm not -- I'm just -- I'm hearing it, okay?

22 MR. BURNS: Okay.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. BURNS: Thank you.

25 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

1 MR. PLEVIN: Your Honor, very briefly.

2 THE COURT: Yeah, go ahead.

3 MR. PLEVIN: First of all, on that last point, the  
4 insurers are not the ones who filed for bankruptcy.

5 THE COURT: I know.

6 MR. PLEVIN: We're here because the debtor did.

7 THE COURT: Well, I mean, there's an argument that the  
8 process helps everybody in a that's all calm down kind of way.

9 MR. PLEVIN: Right. But pointing the finger at us --

10 THE COURT: You know what? I --

11 MR. PLEVIN: Yeah. We didn't file the case.

12 Second, Mr. Burns in his last remarks was very clear  
13 that the reason the committee wants this doesn't have anything  
14 to do with mediation. It's beyond mediation. But that's --  
15 mediation was the reason they filed a Rule 2004 application.  
16 And the reason that they said you should grant it. And now  
17 they've showed their true colors.

18 He said he wants documents from relating to claims  
19 against other dioceses. Well, it seems to me the proper place  
20 to go ask for documents regarding the Diocese of Santa Rosa is  
21 in Judge Novak's courtroom, or the Archdiocese of San Francisco  
22 is in Judge Montali's courtroom, et cetera. I don't think it's  
23 appropriate for them to be fishing for that information here.

24 And then one last point, Your Honor, just about the  
25 board minutes. I was looking at Number 36 in their requests.

1 And the board minute requests are -- in the original  
2 application are tied to the -- what the board said about the  
3 Diocese of Oakland. What I now perceive in the new broad  
4 requests which Your Honor has no text and we have no text, is  
5 that it's board minutes writ large about, I guess, sexual abuse  
6 claims, period. That's not what they were asking for in their  
7 original application.

8 And I think that shows the danger of allowing them to  
9 change on the fly and to abandon the application and  
10 essentially replace it with a new one in their reply brief, not  
11 give us or Your Honor, the actual text of the requests that  
12 they're asking to propound. And I don't see how Your Honor  
13 could can respond to that because you don't know what you're  
14 being asked to authorize. And I think they should go back and  
15 do it again and file a new application. And if they want to  
16 ask just six categories, put the six categories in and give  
17 Your Honor and us an explanation of why they think they're  
18 entitled to it under Rule 2004, because as I said at the  
19 outset, it's got to be relevant, relevant to the reason for the  
20 request. And they haven't done this with respect to the new  
21 requests.

22 THE COURT: Okay.

23 MR. PLEVIN: Thank you.

24 THE COURT: Thank you. Submitted?

25 MR. KAPLAN: Submitted, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you very much.

2 Let me give you some thoughts. Without casting any  
3 blame one way or the other, because these things frequently are  
4 moving target, this one is a moving target, I'm going to for  
5 convenience -- and this is not to say that if somebody renewed  
6 a request in a month or two, I wouldn't look at it differently.  
7 But for convenience today, I'm going to drop down to what I  
8 think is the last iteration of the request from Mr. Burns and  
9 what I think is a sort of a response from Mr. Plevin.

10 With respect to what the documents Mr. Plevin suggests  
11 they will produce, I think that's fine. They're helpful.  
12 They're not everything you want, but they're certainly helpful.  
13 So that will be done. And we can talk about how long that will  
14 take.

15 I am -- things like the claims files and the reserve  
16 working papers and the underwriting, working backwards a bit,  
17 I am disinclined at this point -- well, first of all, I think  
18 each of those arguably is much more of a litigation question  
19 than a 2004 what are the assets kind of question.

20 That having been said, I think there is some  
21 intellectual bleed-over between the idea that they wanted the  
22 claims and you wanted some things in their files. I think  
23 there's some similarities there. I am hard-pressed to think  
24 that there's tremendous relevance, as I understand it now,  
25 between what might have been a claim resolution in the early

1 2000s and what you're going to be looking at now.

2 So I think -- I mean, if somebody wants to renew that  
3 argument at some point, I'll listen to it. But for right now,  
4 I'm not inclined to require the production of anything having  
5 to do with the earlier periods as long as thirty years ago.

6 I'm inclined to entertain the request with respect to  
7 the current claims files, the reserve working papers, and the  
8 underwriting information, if any, with respect to these cases.  
9 I'm disinclined to go further than that for now because, among  
10 other things, privacy concerns. And I know that people would  
11 be diligent in redacting, but all we need is one slip-up and we  
12 would be in a bad place. I'm inclined to grant the request as  
13 to those.

14 I do think that you're going to want to sit down with  
15 Mr. Plevin and just make sure everybody is agreeing on what the  
16 wording is because this is a moving target. And that's not a  
17 critique because these things frequently are moving targets.  
18 It's okay. But I think we need a little precision on what you  
19 mean by claims files, the reserve working files, and the  
20 underwriting information.

21 I think with respect to this case, that is close  
22 enough. And it's analogous to getting the claims from their  
23 perspective, okay? So I -- but I think you should work to just  
24 give me some language that is agreed to between you guys so  
25 that we're talking about the same thing.

1           And I think as to any other request, I think it's --  
2   we're really getting into litigation positions that I think is  
3   rarely a proper function for 2004. And I think there we are  
4   getting a little bit closer to being concerned about the  
5   committee's role in the AP where they basically said, listen,  
6   we're not going to be generating discovery. I'm not holding  
7   you to that exactly here, but I don't want to intrude on that  
8   too much.

9           I do think that what we're talking about here is  
10   acceptable for current purposes. And things are going to  
11   change. As you get closer to a mediation or other issues  
12   bubble up to the surface, I will hear this again. And I'll  
13   listen to people as to why the world is different now and I  
14   should do something else. And/or when you get to the  
15   mediation, either the mediator is going to tell you you've got  
16   to do X, Y, and Z, and you guys have been through that drill  
17   enough to know or it sounds like Mr. Plevin or maybe they both  
18   confirmed something that I suspected, which is the judge role  
19   at that point is fairly minimal in terms of -- I mean, would I  
20   take direction from the mediator? I'd certainly listen if  
21   there were communication that, Judge, I think we need X, Y, and  
22   Z and you can help with that. I think I'd be inclined to  
23   listen to it. I don't know if that puts me in conflict with  
24   Judge Silverstein. If it does, I'm probably going to be  
25   worried. But there you go.

1           So I do think it's not that this can't be revisited,  
2   but I think it's a fairly limited production now is what's  
3   appropriate. And I don't want to hear about depositions now.  
4   We'll see about depositions down the road. Okay? I'm not sure  
5   that -- I don't think that they're going to be necessary  
6   "clarify" anything that you're going to be getting. And to the  
7   extent that they're depositions and the more traditional sense,  
8   they really are litigation vehicles that I think were we're  
9   just not there yet. So that's my ruling.

10           If you guys can put your heads together about  
11   appropriate wording for the three categories I suggested with  
12   respect to this case, I think could be produced, I think I  
13   can -- I'll be happy to see your handiwork. And I'll approve  
14   that, okay, subject to that being worked out. All right?

15           Anything else for the good of the order?

16           Oh, you guys, I'm thinking about the bar date order.  
17   And I promise you that will be category 1, okay?

18           MR. KAPLAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19           THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much.

20           MS. UETZ: Your Honor, excuse me. Sorry, sorry,  
21   sorry.

22           THE COURT: Yeah, Yeah.

23           MS. UETZ: Just I know it's late, so I just want to  
24   raise the subject of Alvarez responding to your questions and  
25   see if we can't maybe set that for hearing or how you'd like to



1 proceed. Because I know we've -- Mr. Moore has been in the  
2 hearing and is prepared to respond to you, but I recognize  
3 it's -- so I really didn't -- next procedurally --

4 THE COURT: Yeah. I really need to get ready -- IU  
5 need to get ready for something at 1:30.

6 MS. UETZ: Sure. May we set it with Ms. Vann perhaps  
7 for a date or something?

8 THE COURT: Well, let me ask her a quick question,  
9 okay?

10 S1: May we set it with Ms. Fand, perhaps for a date  
11 or.

12 THE COURT: Let me just ask her a quick question.  
13 Okay. Ms. Fand, how are we looking on the 22nd?

14 THE CLERK: We're pretty -- there's only three matters  
15 so far set.

16 THE COURT: All right. I've got -- if anybody wants  
17 to do the day before Thanksgiving, that's actually -- oddly  
18 enough, that's a light calendar. If you would rather not do  
19 it, then we can do it a little bit later. It's up to you  
20 folks.

21 MS. UETZ: Your Honor, Mr. Moore is on. And I'll  
22 defer to him. We will have someone from Foley here for that  
23 hearing on that date --

24 THE COURT: All right, the 22nd.

25 MS. UETZ: -- if he can make it. And I know he's on

1 the hearing.

2 THE COURT: All right.

3 MS. UETZ: So maybe he can say so.

4 MR. MOORE: Your Honor, it's --

5 THE COURT: Well, no. I mean, it's not as if you  
6 can't do this by -- you can do it by Zoom.

7 MS. UETZ: Sure.

8 MR. MOORE: That's fine, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay. All right. I appreciate it. We'll  
10 see you then.

11 MS. UETZ: Thank you so much. Sorry for the  
12 interruption.

13 THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. No, no. Thanks very  
14 much.

15 MS. UETZ: Thanks. Bye.

16 THE COURT: Okay. See you soon.

17 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, Michael Drake, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.



/s/ MICHAEL DRAKE, CET-513

eScribers

7227 N. 16th Street, Suite #207

Phoenix, AZ 85020

Date: November 20, 2023

	117:15,25;122:10	12,22;106:1,6;	6:11;9:1;10:11;	59:5;68:12;77:20;
	<b>accessed (1)</b>	108:21;109:12;	37:16,19,20,22;38:2,	106:5;129:18,18;
	61:18	114:24;122:1,19;	7,10;40:23;41:1,2;	131:23;137:9,20;
<b>what (1)</b>	<b>accessibility (1)</b>	124:2;140:11;147:24;	48:19;57:23;59:2,7;	161:8;166:5;175:5
19:8	153:23	148:18;150:3,20;	65:4;76:25;77:9,16;	<b>agree (12)</b>
	<b>accessible (1)</b>	158:15;178:17	78:10,16;79:19;	16:25;17:9;42:10,
<b>A</b>	151:19	<b>ad (1)</b>	80:25;82:5;85:11,21;	12:78:25;86:22;89:2;
	<b>accommodate (3)</b>	86:10	91:13;108:7,12;	90:7;91:18;118:10;
<b>A&amp;M (2)</b>	102:24;118:20;	<b>add (5)</b>	113:19,21;114:16;	158:6;163:22
12:10,14	120:24	108:3,5;117:7;	116:14,16,19,22;	<b>agreed (6)</b>
<b>abandon (1)</b>	<b>accommodating (1)</b>	121:4;142:6	118:6;120:12;127:22;	61:25;90:3;101:12;
173:9	126:17	<b>added (3)</b>	133:6;147:14,17;	115:9;119:20;175:24
<b>aberrational (1)</b>	<b>accommodation (1)</b>	29:16;107:17;	148:10;164:17	<b>agreeing (3)</b>
44:13	126:22	127:11	<b>adversary- (1)</b>	28:20;115:23;
<b>abide (3)</b>	<b>account (2)</b>	<b>addition (1)</b>	80:21	175:15
85:16;120:10;	87:7;126:18	61:13	<b>adverse (1)</b>	<b>agreement (42)</b>
121:23	<b>accounting (2)</b>	<b>additional (8)</b>	26:2	15:12;16:25;26:14;
<b>ability (8)</b>	12:12;154:20	12:17;24:16;35:18;	<b>advice (3)</b>	32:19;39:22;40:5;
26:1,3,19;54:10;	<b>accounts (1)</b>	44:23;157:12,13;	32:10,10;74:11	43:12;45:2,12;94:23,
68:20;90:9;108:20;	87:15	161:24;169:5	<b>advised (3)</b>	23,25;95:1,5,6;97:12;
123:15	<b>accusations (2)</b>	<b>address (10)</b>	104:13;105:2;	98:3;99:23;100:2,25;
<b>able (15)</b>	20:25;117:23	20:1;22:7;23:6;	115:20	103:15;104:3;105:6,
10:5;24:4;26:2;	<b>accused (2)</b>	78:16;90:16;101:9;	<b>advisory (1)</b>	8,17,18,25;106:12;
31:22;71:1;92:17;	86:9;114:3	116:8;119:15;131:2,	12:12	107:22;108:4;109:4,
109:1,24;132:6,7,10,	<b>achieve (1)</b>	16	<b>advocating (1)</b>	5;112:3,9;113:17;
13;135:1;152:16;	35:13	<b>addressed (1)</b>	111:3	114:4,7,11;115:8;
158:13	<b>achieved (1)</b>	59:13	<b>Aetna (2)</b>	123:12,17;141:14
<b>above (1)</b>	119:19	<b>addresses (5)</b>	56:5;57:11	<b>agreements (4)</b>
61:10	<b>acknowledge (5)</b>	116:9,9,10;117:12;	<b>affirmed (1)</b>	28:18;86:6;87:10;
<b>abrogate (3)</b>	79:4;89:21;121:22;	119:3	62:20	94:8
127:20,23;128:1	122:15,15	<b>addressing (1)</b>	<b>afforded (1)</b>	<b>agrees (4)</b>
<b>absent (1)</b>	<b>acknowledged (1)</b>	102:8	17:24	18:9;60:7;61:2;
16:25	89:15	<b>adds (1)</b>	<b>again (35)</b>	79:16
<b>absolute (1)</b>	<b>acknowledges (1)</b>	108:19	17:8;25:17;28:9;	<b>ahead (13)</b>
117:20	122:3	<b>adequately (3)</b>	36:23;39:4,17;40:15;	6:8;7:11;8:16;9:9;
<b>absolutely (11)</b>	<b>acknowledging (1)</b>	87:6,14;90:2	42:16,23;43:5;53:4;	14:8;55:17;85:6;
24:15;33:3,5;53:8;	115:23	<b>adhered (1)</b>	57:4;63:9;75:8;78:8;	113:6;123:2,9;168:9,
73:5;85:23,23;115:2;	<b>acknowledgment (6)</b>	69:20	79:15;82:17;88:11;	16;172:2
121:6;127:13;148:8	27:25;28:18;115:8;	<b>adjudicata (1)</b>	89:24;101:3;108:3;	<b>air (1)</b>
<b>abstract (2)</b>	121:16,19;122:14	111:17	109:8;113:4;114:25;	67:15
30:14,21	<b>across (2)</b>	<b>adjust (1)</b>	115:21;116:7,12;	<b>al (2)</b>
<b>abuse (17)</b>	12:7;138:14	170:2	118:23;120:9;129:7;	6:16,17
26:22;86:8,16;	<b>Act (2)</b>	<b>administrative (7)</b>	130:11;132:12;	<b>Alameda (2)</b>
93:20;94:1;98:4;	87:9;102:3	117:17;138:18;	158:14;173:15;	102:8;150:6
110:20;111:21;124:6;	<b>acting (1)</b>	139:1,5;140:12,13;	176:12	<b>Alaska (1)</b>
129:21;133:18;	95:23	141:7	<b>against (19)</b>	162:16
134:18;137:1;143:25;	<b>action (9)</b>	<b>admittedly (1)</b>	20:25;53:23;57:19;	<b>ALBERT (4)</b>
146:13;159:5;173:5	54:7;62:2,13;64:15;	37:21	58:8;62:11;77:1;	7:5,6,9;15:1
<b>abused (2)</b>	66:1;93:24;102:4;	<b>ado (1)</b>	102:5,8;109:22,24;	<b>allegations (6)</b>
98:12;117:22	115:7;137:18	20:23	110:3,3;112:25;	60:6;86:8,16;94:9,
<b>accept (1)</b>	<b>actions (7)</b>	<b>adopt (1)</b>	134:6,15,19;141:19;	11;155:4
152:20	58:1;62:11;63:2,7;	87:18	162:3;172:19	<b>allege (6)</b>
<b>acceptable (1)</b>	77:23;137:10,11	<b>adopted (3)</b>	<b>age (1)</b>	53:24,25;58:23;
176:10	<b>active (1)</b>	26:25;27:3;120:16	153:23	63:14;65:20;87:2
<b>accepted (2)</b>	109:21	<b>adults (1)</b>	<b>aggregate (3)</b>	<b>alleged (7)</b>
120:21;143:9	<b>actual (9)</b>	109:15	26:20;62:5;109:1	57:19;60:15;63:16;
<b>accepting (1)</b>	52:1;63:15,21;	<b>advance (1)</b>	<b>aggregator (1)</b>	64:4;109:5;117:21;
165:13	97:22;99:12;100:14;	53:15	104:1	143:2
<b>accepts (2)</b>	103:17;108:4;173:11	<b>advantage (3)</b>	<b>aggressive (3)</b>	<b>allegedly (13)</b>
149:25;150:1	<b>actually (26)</b>	138:14,16;139:2	68:10;79:18;152:9	56:11,15,16,19,22,
<b>access (9)</b>	23:25;29:25;38:2;	<b>advantages (1)</b>	<b>aghost (1)</b>	24,25;57:4,5,11,14;
40:12;45:1;53:24;	78:25;88:17;96:13;	139:8	167:19	59:19;61:21
86:20;93:21;100:16;	98:5;100:15;105:5,	<b>adversary (46)</b>	<b>ago (12)</b>	<b>alleges (6)</b>

54:19;55:18,22; 57:23;58:1;98:12	132:15;134:14; 157:11;169:16	<b>applicable (2)</b> 120:12;160:2	<b>archly (1)</b> 12:7	152:25;165:25; 167:14;170:23; 174:19
<b>alleging (2)</b> 59:25;111:21	<b>amounted (1)</b> 62:6	<b>application (16)</b> 11:12;33:18;37:17; 38:1;43:11;89:12; 107:18;124:10; 129:23;143:5;158:16; 172:15;173:2,7,9,15	<b>arguably (2)</b> 99:24;174:18	<b>assigned (1)</b> 47:12
<b>alleviate (2)</b> 92:14,15	<b>amounts (3)</b> 88:15;132:10; 157:10	<b>applications (2)</b> 59:14,14	<b>argue (9)</b> 37:3,11;39:25; 43:25;58:18,21; 61:13;142:22;159:15	<b>Association (2)</b> 10:11;56:14
<b>allow (4)</b> 44:25;49:3;145:13; 156:15	<b>Amreys (1)</b> 156:13	<b>applied (1)</b> 115:2	<b>argued (7)</b> 69:13;86:10; 129:22,23,25;163:18, 18	<b>assumed (1)</b> 56:14
<b>allowance (1)</b> 48:9	<b>analogous (1)</b> 175:22	<b>applies (9)</b> 28:19;33:21; 103:21;108:12; 110:12;113:24;139:6; 145:20;147:10	<b>arguing (1)</b> 84:24	<b>Assumes (2)</b> 157:25;158:2
<b>allowed (3)</b> 78:8;86:5,7	<b>analogy (1)</b> 17:16	<b>apply (8)</b> 17:11;33:17;37:23; 61:2;108:10;111:14; 124:16;145:25	<b>argument (17)</b> 17:11,15;27:15; 37:3,4,6;40:25;53:1; 59:23;62:25,25; 65:19;91:23;99:25; 113:11;172:7;175:3	<b>assuming (6)</b> 9:10;66:14;67:17; 68:2;118:23,24
<b>allowing (2)</b> 148:3;173:8	<b>analysis (8)</b> 36:11;51:11;64:20; 97:11;111:10;137:5, 15;166:14	<b>Appreciate (18)</b> 11:25;45:18;46:24; 48:1;51:18;55:5; 72:13;76:4,6;81:9; 83:1;90:20;102:14; 123:7;127:16;163:4; 168:17;179:9	<b>arguments (5)</b> 61:12;117:9;130:3; 149:17;152:20	<b>attach (1)</b> 62:9
<b>allows (3)</b> 19:13;28:13;89:12	<b>analyze (1)</b> 26:19	<b>apprized (1)</b> 82:23	<b>arise (1)</b> 73:25	<b>attached (3)</b> 57:17;74:19;140:15
<b>alluded (1)</b> 78:3	<b>and/or (5)</b> 38:7,10;58:2,3; 176:14	<b>approach (2)</b> 59:6;152:13	<b>arises (2)</b> 58:19;145:10	<b>attaches (3)</b> 62:17;121:18; 149:23
<b>alluding (1)</b> 98:10	<b>anew (1)</b> 78:16	<b>approaches (1)</b> 168:10	<b>arising (1)</b> 131:2	<b>attack (1)</b> 94:9
<b>almost (3)</b> 47:6;89:10;116:13	<b>angels (1)</b> 119:17	<b>appropriate (21)</b> 28:18;41:2;52:21; 58:8;68:5,8;78:24; 83:6;86:1;95:6;143:7, 14;147:6;149:8; 152:9;156:6,20; 170:2;172:23;177:3, 11	<b>arose (1)</b> 145:12	<b>attempt (2)</b> 146:17;148:10
<b>alone (1)</b> 62:12	<b>animal (1)</b> 74:14	<b>approved (5)</b> 43:12;94:16; 102:18;113:16; 138:20	<b>around (5)</b> 44:15;101:14; 109:4;151:16;169:15	<b>attempting (1)</b> 18:4
<b>along (9)</b> 6:11;7:13;76:3; 97:1;130:7,13; 141:17;143:10; 168:24	<b>Ann (4)</b> 8:17;10:20;76:19; 83:5	<b>approval (2)</b> 19:13;24:4	<b>Arrowood (2)</b> 148:16,17	<b>attendance (1)</b> 81:17
<b>although (6)</b> 42:4,20;55:7;89:24; 141:12;153:20	<b>answered (1)</b> 126:2	<b>approve (4)</b> 94:24;114:4;139:4; 177:13	<b>Article (1)</b> 94:2	<b>attention (1)</b> 47:20
<b>Alvarez (4)</b> 11:12;12:5;13:8; 177:24	<b>anticipating (1)</b> 128:18	<b>approving (1)</b> 39:20	<b>aside (3)</b> 37:13;54:17;130:3	<b>attitude (2)</b> 117:9;160:4
<b>always (4)</b> 36:12;45:25;103:2; 158:22	<b>anti-fraud (2)</b> 21:3;27:3	<b>apropos (1)</b> 53:8	<b>askance (2)</b> 42:3,4	<b>attorney (3)</b> 93:25;114:4,19
<b>ambiguity (1)</b> 19:20	<b>antitrust (1)</b> 106:6	<b>APs (2)</b> 53:10;55:2	<b>aspect (2)</b> 65:20;169:8	<b>attorneys' (1)</b> 86:16
<b>amend (5)</b> 28:25;29:6;60:9; 61:9;64:18	<b>AP (4)</b> 53:7;165:15,18; 176:5	<b>arbitrator (1)</b> 125:11	<b>aspects (1)</b> 55:9	<b>August (2)</b> 91:7,15
<b>amended (10)</b> 53:22;55:18;57:17; 65:1,11;66:4,10;68:2, 7;77:25	<b>apart (2)</b> 18:16;169:7	<b>arch (2)</b> 12:7;69:11	<b>assert (1)</b> 54:6	<b>authenticating (1)</b> 144:14
<b>amending (1)</b> 66:17	<b>apologize (3)</b> 10:6;30:20;76:17	<b>Archdiocese (1)</b> 172:21	<b>asserted (2)</b> 142:15;150:18	<b>authority (12)</b> 28:14,16;29:25; 95:15;105:7;112:9; 157:9,11,12,13,14,15
<b>Amendment (7)</b> 26:8;64:23;75:16; 78:13,25;107:23; 112:5	<b>app (2)</b> 12:3;63:4		<b>assertions (1)</b> 60:6	<b>authorize (3)</b> 95:1;157:21;173:14
<b>amendments (1)</b> 65:9	<b>apparently (1)</b> 140:12		<b>asserts (1)</b> 60:20	<b>authorized (17)</b> 15:25;19:19;20:5,9, 19;21:23;24:23; 27:11;29:11;38:8; 39:22;43:12;45:2,12; 46:11;50:18,19
<b>America (2)</b> 56:1,18	<b>appeal (5)</b> 97:5,5;113:12; 115:22;145:14		<b>assess (2)</b> 132:7;146:24	<b>authors (1)</b> 86:18
<b>among (4)</b> 50:19;95:9;112:3; 175:9	<b>appealed (1)</b> 62:14		<b>assessment (1)</b> 17:9	<b>auto-stay (1)</b> 171:9
<b>amount (7)</b> 62:18,19;66:25;	<b>appearances (2)</b> 6:21;10:9		<b>asset (6)</b> 130:22;131:7; 133:20;134:10; 135:24;152:21	<b>available (7)</b> 13:21;18:11;98:13; 137:17;143:15; 148:25;171:6
	<b>appears (1)</b> 58:11		<b>assets (5)</b>	<b>avoid (1)</b> 125:17
	<b>appellate (3)</b> 94:6;104:22;113:9			
	<b>apples (3)</b> 139:7;165:18,20			

<b>avowed (2)</b> 142:15;143:18	167:10;12,25;168:24; 170:25;171:3,6,11; 172:4	<b>beneficial (1)</b> 109:3	9:18	10:2
<b>aware (4)</b> 122:16;138:17; 141:11;162:14	<b>bar (28)</b> 17:14,19,24;18:5, 13,19;19:9,14,21; 24:5,17;25:13;27:6; 37:8,11;39:4,9;40:3; 41:8;44:14;46:19; 93:4,6;100:1;106:20; 107:1;127:12;177:16	<b>benefit (4)</b> 34:8;74:17;75:4; 171:19	<b>blame (1)</b> 174:3	<b>brand (1)</b> 91:23
<b>away (6)</b> 20:21;26:7;48:19; 138:21;141:15; 171:16		<b>benefits (3)</b> 28:8;58:5;81:8	<b>blank (1)</b> 129:14	<b>Brattle (7)</b> 30:9;35:15;38:11, 12;42:19;43:15; 169:22
<b>B</b>		<b>Benvenuti (1)</b> 7:6	<b>bleed-over (1)</b> 174:21	<b>breach (10)</b> 53:23;58:15;60:15, 23,23;62:22;65:21; 66:3;122:6;139:4
<b>back (46)</b> 13:13,23,25;14:3; 20:21;41:20;42:19; 52:14;53:2,20;54:14; 59:4;67:18;89:17,17; 91:7;92:22;96:20; 111:16;116:19,20,21; 123:23;125:1;128:14; 129:3;130:2;135:12; 141:15,21;144:1; 145:2,4;151:1;152:8; 156:4,9,18,24;158:18; 159:10;162:15; 165:10;166:8;170:17; 173:14	<b>barriers (1)</b> 42:9	<b>best (8)</b> 22:14;35:18,22; 36:25;71:4;74:18; 77:6;154:9	<b>blessed (2)</b> 107:22;119:20	<b>breached (3)</b> 58:13;59:20;60:14
<b>backed (1)</b> 138:21	<b>baseball (2)</b> 12:20;17:16	<b>bet (1)</b> 49:6	<b>blind (1)</b> 130:21	<b>breaches (1)</b> 60:7
<b>background (1)</b> 59:4	<b>based (9)</b> 62:12;64:2;78:8; 88:22;120:8;136:23; 139:1;141:7;162:5	<b>better (17)</b> 10:7,8;12:17;34:3; 45:3;70:18;82:23; 84:12;120:23;125:21, 24;127:25;130:6; 141:18;159:10,12; 166:11	<b>blindfolded (1)</b> 159:1	<b>breaching (1)</b> 109:5
<b>backing (2)</b> 94:6;136:10	<b>basic (2)</b> 26:7;95:8	<b>bi (1)</b> 151:16	<b>boards (2)</b> 158:3,10	<b>break (4)</b> 83:25;84:1;128:8, 13
<b>backwards (1)</b> 174:16	<b>basically (4)</b> 13:3;26:8;59:21; 176:5	<b>beyond (10)</b> 19:12;20:9;63:15; 64:15;68:16;69:5; 117:2;132:2;146:14; 172:14	<b>board's (1)</b> 157:23	<b>brevity (1)</b> 12:4
<b>bad (5)</b> 30:3;101:17,25; 117:9;175:12	<b>basis (6)</b> 25:8;26:20,20; 36:18;64:7;160:10	<b>Bible (1)</b> 115:1	<b>board-worthy (1)</b> 157:8	<b>brief (20)</b> 20:13;38:14;46:8; 139:22,25;140:1,18; 141:1,3;142:16; 146:10;147:25; 148:20;149:12;151:8; 152:3;163:13,14,15; 173:10
<b>bad-faith (1)</b> 147:1	<b>battle (1)</b> 53:13	<b>bifurcated (1)</b> 61:23	<b>boat (1)</b> 155:2	<b>briefed (1)</b> 87:17
<b>baffling (1)</b> 140:24	<b>bazillion (1)</b> 161:5	<b>big (6)</b> 27:22;30:7;69:25; 110:4;153:22;157:7	<b>bond (2)</b> 74:4,5	<b>briefing (1)</b> 86:24
<b>Bair (3)</b> 7:10,22;159:2	<b>bearing (1)</b> 94:14	<b>bigger (1)</b> 21:20	<b>borrow (1)</b> 129:11	<b>briefly (6)</b> 129:9;136:5;163:6; 164:1,5;172:1
<b>balance (1)</b> 83:17	<b>beat (1)</b> 7:19	<b>biggest (4)</b> 96:18;97:7,25;98:1	<b>both (27)</b> 12:4;23:23;30:9; 41:10,14;48:20; 59:12;62:3;79:25; 80:5;93:6;95:21; 108:7,10,12;114:14; 121:15;127:21; 133:16;134:20,21; 151:17,18;155:25; 160:25;167:4;176:17	<b>briefs (1)</b> 127:3
<b>ball (1)</b> 121:12	<b>became (1)</b> 138:21	<b>bill (1)</b> 21:15	<b>bound (25)</b> 28:19,20;32:20; 86:22;88:20;89:2,22; 90:7;95:15;99:24; 104:20;105:18; 106:14;113:24;115:8, 24,25;116:1,2,4; 118:11;119:7;135:5; 147:13;148:8	<b>bring (9)</b> 43:25;71:10;72:9, 15;77:24,25;79:1; 102:4;121:25
<b>ballpark (1)</b> 103:5	<b>become (3)</b> 44:22;131:5;134:25	<b>Billings (1)</b> 162:15	<b>bound (25)</b> 28:19,20;32:20; 86:22;88:20;89:2,22; 90:7;95:15;99:24; 104:20;105:18; 106:14;113:24;115:8, 24,25;116:1,2,4; 118:11;119:7;135:5; 147:13;148:8	<b>bringing (2)</b> 47:19;104:3
<b>balls (3)</b> 17:15;91:8;112:25	<b>begin (2)</b> 81:2;165:9	<b>bind (1)</b> 97:9	<b>bound (25)</b> 28:19,20;32:20; 86:22;88:20;89:2,22; 90:7;95:15;99:24; 104:20;105:18; 106:14;113:24;115:8, 24,25;116:1,2,4; 118:11;119:7;135:5; 147:13;148:8	<b>brings (1)</b> 102:2
<b>bankruptcies (2)</b> 95:7;167:6	<b>beginning (4)</b> 48:7;53:20;56:11; 57:2	<b>binder (1)</b> 143:4	<b>bound (25)</b> 28:19,20;32:20; 86:22;88:20;89:2,22; 90:7;95:15;99:24; 104:20;105:18; 106:14;113:24;115:8, 24,25;116:1,2,4; 118:11;119:7;135:5; 147:13;148:8	<b>broad (6)</b> 30:23;41:4;141:24; 146:7;152:5;173:3
<b>Bankruptcy (37)</b> 6:5,11,18;17:19; 23:10;24:17;44:7; 68:19;74:1,11;89:12, 13,13;94:24;110:13; 133:10,11,11;138:13, 15,16;141:16,16; 145:13;146:12; 161:12;162:6;166:15;	<b>behalf (13)</b> 7:6,13;8:18,22;9:1, 25;10:10;17:8;76:24; 84:24;91:4;129:7; 164:16	<b>binding (1)</b> 162:8	<b>bound (25)</b> 28:19,20;32:20; 86:22;88:20;89:2,22; 90:7;95:15;99:24; 104:20;105:18; 106:14;113:24;115:8, 24,25;116:1,2,4; 118:11;119:7;135:5; 147:13;148:8	<b>broader (4)</b> 147:15,21;170:14; 171:20
	<b>behind (1)</b> 21:11	<b>Bishop (5)</b> 6:16,18,23;53:22; 134:13	<b>bound (25)</b> 28:19,20;32:20; 86:22;88:20;89:2,22; 90:7;95:15;99:24; 104:20;105:18; 106:14;113:24;115:8, 24,25;116:1,2,4; 118:11;119:7;135:5; 147:13;148:8	<b>broadly (3)</b> 38:4;137:22;165:14
	<b>belabor (1)</b> 87:17	<b>bit (17)</b> 37:19;59:6;65:6; 69:16;74:24;77:3,17; 78:1;103:2;120:17; 124:14;152:9;165:7; 169:8;174:16;176:4; 178:19	<b>bound (25)</b> 28:19,20;32:20; 86:22;88:20;89:2,22; 90:7;95:15;99:24; 104:20;105:18; 106:14;113:24;115:8, 24,25;116:1,2,4; 118:11;119:7;135:5; 147:13;148:8	<b>broker (3)</b> 143:5;153:14,15
	<b>belief (4)</b> 55:23;56:2;77:6; 78:8	<b>black (3)</b> 96:6;112:24;121:13	<b>bound (25)</b> 28:19,20;32:20; 86:22;88:20;89:2,22; 90:7;95:15;99:24; 104:20;105:18; 106:14;113:24;115:8, 24,25;116:1,2,4; 118:11;119:7;135:5; 147:13;148:8	<b>brothers (1)</b> 110:25
	<b>believes (6)</b> 58:10,12;60:10,12, 13;61:2	<b>Blaise (1)</b>	<b>bound (25)</b> 28:19,20;32:20; 86:22;88:20;89:2,22; 90:7;95:15;99:24; 104:20;105:18; 106:14;113:24;115:8, 24,25;116:1,2,4; 118:11;119:7;135:5; 147:13;148:8	<b>brought (6)</b> 19:5;80:18;88:1; 101:8;139:3;147:15
	<b>belong (1)</b> 41:1		<b>bound (25)</b> 28:19,20;32:20; 86:22;88:20;89:2,22; 90:7;95:15;99:24; 104:20;105:18; 106:14;113:24;115:8, 24,25;116:1,2,4; 118:11;119:7;135:5; 147:13;148:8	<b>bubble (1)</b>
	<b>benchmark (1)</b> 113:1		<b>bound (25)</b> 28:19,20;32:20; 86:22;88:20;89:2,22; 90:7;95:15;99:24; 104:20;105:18; 106:14;113:24;115:8, 24,25;116:1,2,4; 118:11;119:7;135:5; 147:13;148:8	



176:12 <b>Buffalo (3)</b> 26:18;93:23,24 <b>built (1)</b> 24:16 <b>built-in (1)</b> 114:18 <b>bulk (1)</b> 160:16 <b>bullpen (1)</b> 129:15 <b>bunch (2)</b> 150:5;171:16 <b>burden (9)</b> 25:21;107:16; 111:15;117:10;136:4, 14;138:1,1;158:19 <b>burdened (1)</b> 150:7 <b>burdens (1)</b> 87:21 <b>burdensome (3)</b> 40:19;43:14;110:11 <b>burger (6)</b> 154:8;155:12; 167:18,21,21;168:1 <b>Burns (68)</b> 7:10,17,20,20,24; 79:14,15,18,21,25; 80:4,9,11,14,17,20, 24;81:4,7,11;84:4,4; 129:11;131:1,16; 132:1,21,24,24; 139:12,15,20;140:23; 141:5,18;144:9; 146:17,25;147:13; 148:12;150:12; 155:14;157:2,22; 159:2;161:19;165:5; 166:20,22;167:4,5; 168:6,10,13,17,21,23; 169:12,15,19;170:8; 171:2,10,13,22,24; 172:12;174:8 <b>Burns's (1)</b> 158:15 <b>business (3)</b> 12:12;101:25;138:2 <b>businesses (3)</b> 136:1,3;137:11 <b>Bye (1)</b> 179:15	<b>CALIFORNIA (34)</b> 6:1,6;10:11;56:14; 60:21;61:21;62:15, 16;63:1,6;86:11,14; 87:7;102:5;133:3,9, 12,12,13,15,17,21,22; 134:11,16,21;135:16; 139:18;167:22,23; 169:10,13,17;170:14 <b>Call (17)</b> 6:3,9,11;34:18; 41:16;50:16;54:18, 19;80:1;85:8;97:17; 104:24;112:25;124:8; 146:18;166:23; 167:10 <b>called (13)</b> 34:20;87:8;91:8; 100:11;119:21; 121:18;130:16,22; 133:5;141:11;145:11; 167:16;168:18 <b>Calling (2)</b> 6:14;149:11 <b>calls (1)</b> 17:15 <b>calm (1)</b> 172:8 <b>Camden (11)</b> 26:18;27:8;43:1,2; 44:24;45:7;46:7; 50:20;108:23;138:18; 162:7 <b>came (7)</b> 12:7;28:12;31:16; 103:25;141:17;146:6; 156:14 <b>CAMERON (2)</b> 9:24,25 <b>camp (1)</b> 78:2 <b>Can (94)</b> 11:23;13:24;16:13; 20:11,12;21:13; 24:19;27:8;29:15; 30:2,22;33:13;36:15; 39:25;42:19;44:17; 52:20;59:8;61:16; 63:14,22;64:14; 68:13;69:17;70:5,6; 71:15;72:8;83:14; 84:10;90:16;95:16, 19;96:20;98:19; 99:18;100:16;102:10, 10,24;103:20;104:18; 107:11,12,14;108:3,3; 110:16;111:22;112:8, 12,12,24;113:8,13; 115:19;120:4;122:3, 9;126:18;128:7,9; 134:2,13,13;136:3,12; 139:10;141:13; 144:12,13;147:20;	148:23;149:10; 150:16;151:9;153:3; 155:14,24;156:18; 162:4,5,7;168:14,19; 173:13;174:13; 176:22;177:10,13; 178:19,25;179:3,6 <b>candid (1)</b> 28:6 <b>candidly (2)</b> 28:20;164:19 <b>capacity (1)</b> 35:17 <b>cardinal (4)</b> 133:16,21;134:11; 135:3 <b>care (3)</b> 54:22;119:13,14 <b>careful (1)</b> 21:19 <b>carefully (1)</b> 40:21 <b>Carrier (1)</b> 10:11 <b>carriers (1)</b> 132:9 <b>carry (1)</b> 156:4 <b>carveout (2)</b> 89:23;124:1 <b>case (165)</b> 6:17,18;8:7;11:8; 17:18,20;19:12; 24:22;26:8;27:16; 28:19;32:10;35:20; 37:16,17;38:7,10; 39:1;40:16,19;43:13, 15,17,19;44:11;45:7, 9;46:20;50:4;53:6,21; 55:16;59:18;60:1,1, 12;61:20;63:3,5,9,11, 24;68:6;71:6,10,17; 72:21,22;74:6;77:8, 16;78:7;79:21,22; 80:21,21,22;83:3; 85:9,13,17,23;86:11, 13,19;87:2,5,13,20, 24;88:5,11;90:1,2,9,9; 91:7,19;93:17,20; 94:1;95:23;98:6; 106:1,6;107:11; 108:1,8,13;109:22; 110:2,3,22;111:25; 113:22,23;114:14,22, 22;115:2,16;116:1,3, 13;118:4,6;119:19; 120:3,6;125:18,18; 127:21;130:1,4,7,13; 131:2;133:5,7,8,16, 25;134:10,25;135:7, 23;136:7;137:16; 138:7,10;141:11; 143:3;145:9;146:7;	147:16,21;148:5,16; 149:1;150:5;151:4, 17,18;154:8;156:13; 157:20;158:13; 161:20;162:6,15; 165:11,19,25;167:23, 25;169:11;170:7,8,12, 24,25;171:3;172:11; 175:21;177:12 <b>cases (50)</b> 17:19;18:2,4;41:24; 44:20,24,25;53:10,10; 64:2;71:7;73:23; 75:12;86:1;100:14; 106:4;110:22;111:13; 113:10,11,13;131:4; 132:20;133:18; 135:18;137:10; 146:13,13;151:9,11; 155:15;160:14; 161:12;162:15,17; 166:15;167:6,8,9; 168:23;169:10,15; 170:5,17,17,19,20; 171:5,10;175:8 <b>Casey (1)</b> 169:22 <b>casting (1)</b> 174:2 <b>Casualty (2)</b> 8:2;140:9 <b>categories (16)</b> 132:25;133:1,2; 135:4,15;136:6; 137:25;139:10; 140:19,20;152:23; 153:1;157:2;173:16, 16;177:11 <b>category (10)</b> 89:3,18;115:12; 135:15,20;136:15; 137:23;139:17; 152:24;177:17 <b>Catholic (6)</b> 6:16,18,23;53:22; 71:8;110:23 <b>cause (2)</b> 92:23;102:4 <b>causes (2)</b> 54:7;62:13 <b>cautious (1)</b> 28:10 <b>Center (1)</b> 148:16 <b>Central (1)</b> 61:21 <b>certain (7)</b> 15:14;53:23;54:5, 12;56:9,10;57:20 <b>certainly (8)</b> 35:17,21;42:20; 45:11;82:24;171:17; 174:12;176:20	<b>certainty (2)</b> 54:22;98:19 <b>cetera (10)</b> 21:18;26:25;27:13; 103:19,19;109:2; 110:18;113:23;144:7; 172:22 <b>chain (1)</b> 106:7 <b>challenge (2)</b> 41:24;102:2 <b>challenges (1)</b> 71:14 <b>chamber (1)</b> 109:17 <b>chance (4)</b> 40:5;120:15;141:2; 157:14 <b>chances (1)</b> 160:6 <b>change (4)</b> 84:9;102:23;173:9; 176:11 <b>changes (1)</b> 87:19 <b>channeling (1)</b> 160:18 <b>Chapter (5)</b> 38:7,10;77:8; 115:15;148:4 <b>Charles (1)</b> 13:7 <b>chart (1)</b> 57:18 <b>charts (4)</b> 136:15,17;144:8,19 <b>chat (2)</b> 13:6;83:3 <b>check (3)</b> 11:13;41:9;45:11 <b>child (2)</b> 109:12,13 <b>children (1)</b> 117:22 <b>choice (2)</b> 134:17;171:11 <b>chose (1)</b> 44:1 <b>chosen (1)</b> 133:13 <b>Christmas (1)</b> 67:18 <b>church (8)</b> 93:20,22;94:1,1; 98:13;111:11;150:22, 23 <b>CIGA (1)</b> 65:12 <b>Cir (1)</b> 61:15 <b>circle (1)</b> 54:14 <b>Circuit (9)</b>
<b>C</b>				
<b>Cal (1)</b> 63:4 <b>calculation (1)</b> 162:5 <b>calendar (3)</b> 13:11;66:19;178:18 <b>CALHOUN (2)</b> 9:12,13				

62:15;20;94:7,16; 101:23;108:14; 141:11;145:11,15 <b>Circuit's (1)</b> 145:16 <b>circulate (1)</b> 25:1 <b>circumstance (1)</b> 69:8 <b>circumstances (3)</b> 144:3;150:21; 159:24 <b>circus (1)</b> 105:1 <b>citation (3)</b> 111:13;141:12; 145:12 <b>citations (3)</b> 26:21;38:13,14 <b>cited (5)</b> 63:3;110:7;113:10, 11;119:12 <b>citing (1)</b> 141:8 <b>Civil (1)</b> 89:14 <b>claim (74)</b> 11:6;17:18;23:10, 13;24:19;26:17,22; 37:5,7;39:5,7,8;40:2, 3,14,20,25;41:6,15; 43:16,18;44:3;45:1, 10;50:8;58:3;60:2; 88:22;93:3,3,7;94:10; 134:5,12;138:18; 139:1,5;140:13; 141:7;143:25;145:7; 146:25;147:1;148:14; 149:19,21,21;150:11, 16,17,18,19,20,21; 151:5,21,22;154:18, 21,23;155:8;157:10, 11;159:5,7,11,22,23; 160:10,11,24;162:8; 164:16;174:25 <b>claimant (3)</b> 50:9;109:11;162:5 <b>claimants (13)</b> 26:10;34:16;35:4,9, 12;49:14,17;51:5; 100:15;108:16;112:3; 144:5,6 <b>claimants' (1)</b> 109:9 <b>claiming (1)</b> 94:9 <b>claims (99)</b> 18:12;20:12;21:5; 27:2;39:12;48:9; 57:21;60:17;100:19; 104:1;108:9,24; 134:8,18,20,22,24; 135:4,5,6,6,11,12,13,	14;136:3,11,16,24,25; 137:1,2,4;139:13,16; 143:21;144:6;145:24; 146:19,22;147:7,10, 10;149:19;150:3,5,7, 9,9,11,14,15;151:4,6, 7,9,12,16,19,23; 154:7,22,25;156:20; 158:12;159:11,20,21, 23;160:15,17;162:2, 9;166:5,14,14;167:12, 21;168:1,2;169:2,3,6, 8,9,12,13,17,25; 170:2,3,5;172:18; 173:6;174:15,22; 175:7,19,22 <b>clarifications (1)</b> 15:11 <b>clarify (7)</b> 17:23;29:1,6;53:19; 60:9,12;177:6 <b>clarifying (1)</b> 127:17 <b>clarity (2)</b> 18:13;23:3 <b>cleanest (1)</b> 20:18 <b>clear (21)</b> 17:24;20:6,23; 23:22;24:18;51:25; 55:8;59:24;78:14; 92:1;93:2,5,11;95:3; 107:7;109:9;121:17; 137:19;138:21; 156:22;172:12 <b>clearly (6)</b> 17:13;54:10;59:11; 61:20;66:5;76:15 <b>clergy (1)</b> 110:22 <b>clerical (1)</b> 57:20 <b>CLERK (6)</b> 6:4,10,14;67:11,13; 178:14 <b>client (7)</b> 114:5;144:12,12, 13;145:3;150:4; 154:24 <b>clients (1)</b> 72:7 <b>Clinton (1)</b> 9:24 <b>close (3)</b> 84:8,13;175:21 <b>closed (2)</b> 150:9;167:23 <b>closer (4)</b> 78:11;166:18; 176:4,11 <b>closing (2)</b> 105:21;117:7 <b>Club (2)</b>	146:7;149:9 <b>CNA (1)</b> 56:25 <b>Code (3)</b> 89:12;106:13; 133:11 <b>coded (1)</b> 108:17 <b>codes (1)</b> 108:18 <b>coffee (1)</b> 16:7 <b>collateral (2)</b> 102:7;151:2 <b>colleague (2)</b> 7:14;132:21 <b>colleagues (2)</b> 43:25;105:10 <b>collectability (1)</b> 136:12 <b>collectible (1)</b> 134:15 <b>collectively (1)</b> 25:22 <b>Colleen (2)</b> 7:14;91:3 <b>colors (1)</b> 172:17 <b>combine (1)</b> 65:8 <b>coming (3)</b> 47:1;69:20;110:22 <b>comma (1)</b> 34:16 <b>commencing (1)</b> 56:7 <b>comment (7)</b> 59:5;64:25;66:20; 68:20,23;69:5;139:23 <b>commented (2)</b> 130:23;131:19 <b>comments (5)</b> 67:23;70:3,10; 72:13;76:23 <b>Commercial (5)</b> 56:13;74:1,1,12; 95:9 <b>commissioner (2)</b> 148:18,19 <b>commit (2)</b> 71:13;109:24 <b>committed (2)</b> 71:15;72:9 <b>committee (58)</b> 7:7,13,21;9:7;11:5; 17:9;24:3;27:8;33:10; 35:17;41:11;45:15; 49:16,21;79:16;80:4; 87:2;88:3,4;90:3,24; 91:4;92:24;94:20; 97:2;98:23;107:4,13, 21;108:2;113:15; 116:20;117:13;118:2,	22;120:7;129:7,21; 132:24;138:5,22; 140:3,15,18;143:18; 146:2,8;147:16; 151:8;159:1;160:16; 161:22;162:2,13; 164:10,19;165:15; 172:13 <b>committees (1)</b> 162:19 <b>committee's (10)</b> 14:22;15:9;41:6; 76:14;80:14,18; 91:14;132:25;142:3; 176:5 <b>common (1)</b> 120:17 <b>communication (2)</b> 81:23;176:21 <b>community (1)</b> 131:8 <b>companies (21)</b> 60:24;95:4;112:4; 133:10;134:16; 136:13;137:3,20; 138:1,4;139:2,7; 148:24;153:9;155:7; 157:7,8;158:19,20; 169:1;171:19 <b>Company (19)</b> 8:2;9:11,13;35:15; 46:12;56:1,13,18,25; 61:14;63:3;97:13; 121:16;136:14;140:9; 153:8,15;154:19; 157:9 <b>company's (1)</b> 151:23 <b>compare (1)</b> 92:16 <b>COMPEAN (2)</b> 10:10,14 <b>compel (3)</b> 90:13;125:14,14 <b>competing (4)</b> 71:20;94:18; 108:11;161:21 <b>complain (1)</b> 104:11 <b>complained (1)</b> 118:8 <b>complaint (20)</b> 53:22;55:18,22; 56:3;57:17;60:4,8,9; 61:10;62:12;64:3,19; 65:1;66:4,23;68:2; 74:19;77:25;149:24; 155:3 <b>complaints (4)</b> 35:6;68:7;100:15; 109:8 <b>complete (1)</b> 170:5	<b>completely (6)</b> 14:13;91:21; 105:11;111:19;125:1; 141:4 <b>complicated (2)</b> 41:3;153:4 <b>complicates (1)</b> 108:1 <b>complicating (1)</b> 108:11 <b>complication (1)</b> 107:3 <b>complications (1)</b> 108:6 <b>complied (2)</b> 51:4;58:6 <b>complies (2)</b> 89:11,12 <b>comply (4)</b> 28:23;35:23;87:21; 89:19 <b>complying (1)</b> 49:10 <b>comprehensive (1)</b> 59:9 <b>conceiving (2)</b> 102:17,19 <b>concept (1)</b> 63:22 <b>conceptual (1)</b> 59:17 <b>concern (15)</b> 12:4,6,16,25;13:18; 89:24;96:19,25;97:7; 98:1,3;100:20; 102:22;109:20; 168:17 <b>concerned (6)</b> 12:9;49:9;131:25; 134:23;160:5;176:4 <b>concerning (1)</b> 60:15 <b>concerns (5)</b> 47:15;61:10; 105:21;131:20; 175:10 <b>conclude (1)</b> 138:24 <b>concluded (1)</b> 179:17 <b>conclusions (1)</b> 26:23 <b>conditions (1)</b> 142:24 <b>conduct (2)</b> 54:10;138:15 <b>conference (1)</b> 11:9 <b>confi (1)</b> 104:25 <b>confidence (1)</b> 155:6 <b>confident (1)</b>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------



147:19 <b>confidential (30)</b> 23:14;85:24;87:19; 90:5,6,14;92:8;94:3; 98:24,24;99:2; 102:23,23;104:16,16; 106:4;110:16,19,20; 115:6;116:12,12; 117:23;118:20,21; 119:5,5;126:19,20; 168:5 <b>confidentiality (16)</b> 20:10;26:5;41:8; 85:24;86:25;87:9; 88:8;94:25;95:24; 97:12;100:9;101:1; 111:21;119:8;120:24; 168:11 <b>confidentially (1)</b> 44:7 <b>confirm (4)</b> 10:21;24:21;58:2; 122:3 <b>confirmation (2)</b> 48:7;108:23 <b>confirmed (3)</b> 151:11;171:4; 176:18 <b>conflate (1)</b> 23:4 <b>conflict (1)</b> 176:23 <b>Congress (3)</b> 32:15;34:5;54:23 <b>connection (3)</b> 10:4;38:9;95:8 <b>consciously (1)</b> 117:3 <b>consensual (1)</b> 170:20 <b>consensus (2)</b> 85:15;114:20 <b>consent (6)</b> 26:15;54:3,8;86:19; 97:9;100:6 <b>consequences (1)</b> 22:9 <b>consider (2)</b> 78:6;131:9 <b>considered (1)</b> 65:2 <b>considering (1)</b> 59:7 <b>considers (1)</b> 149:18 <b>consistent (5)</b> 26:6;61:10;64:10; 94:15;102:1 <b>consists (1)</b> 149:23 <b>constantly (2)</b> 117:12;125:19 <b>constituents (1)</b>	95:23 <b>Constitutional (2)</b> 55:13;117:20 <b>constrained (1)</b> 137:17 <b>constructed (1)</b> 31:21 <b>construction (1)</b> 36:1 <b>constructive (1)</b> 130:13 <b>construed (1)</b> 35:24 <b>consult (2)</b> 30:22;32:9 <b>consultant (3)</b> 26:16;30:17;38:9 <b>consultants (9)</b> 16:15;19:17;26:1; 28:8,17;46:14;50:20; 112:21;151:10 <b>consulting (2)</b> 30:7;37:25 <b>contact (1)</b> 35:21 <b>contacted (1)</b> 20:20 <b>contain (1)</b> 135:21 <b>containing (1)</b> 140:15 <b>contemplate (2)</b> 92:8;103:20 <b>contemplated (2)</b> 62:19;63:8 <b>contemplates (2)</b> 103:17,18 <b>contempt (3)</b> 105:3;122:7,17 <b>contend (3)</b> 58:14,22;138:19 <b>contended (1)</b> 133:23 <b>contest (2)</b> 114:18;166:4 <b>contested (10)</b> 37:17;38:25;42:1, 10:48;6,16;103:20; 113:23;116:17;131:6 <b>contesting (1)</b> 114:17 <b>context (8)</b> 15:18;86:2,2; 145:10,12,14;151:25; 156:24 <b>contexts (1)</b> 137:13 <b>Continental (4)</b> 8:2;66:21;81:10; 140:8 <b>contingent (1)</b> 155:8 <b>continue (4)</b>	25:12;79:2;118:15; 120:9 <b>continuing (1)</b> 167:16 <b>contract (14)</b> 53:23;58:15;62:22; 99:23;100:11,11; 101:10,12,19;102:9, 18;122:7,11,12 <b>contracts (2)</b> 36:1;58:13 <b>contractual (1)</b> 137:2 <b>contrary (1)</b> 131:11 <b>contribution (3)</b> 151:13,13;162:1 <b>control (4)</b> 90:4,12;100:1; 120:10 <b>controls (2)</b> 93:6;127:12 <b>controversy (1)</b> 63:21 <b>conundrums (1)</b> 106:17 <b>convenience (3)</b> 119:3;174:5,7 <b>conversation (2)</b> 68:13;102:14 <b>conversations (1)</b> 18:17 <b>cooperate (1)</b> 100:22 <b>cooperating (1)</b> 103:16 <b>cooperative (1)</b> 104:2 <b>coordinating (1)</b> 82:1 <b>copies (1)</b> 140:4 <b>copy (2)</b> 97:20;115:20 <b>core (8)</b> 34:18;54:1,3,7,18, 20;55:12;68:16 <b>Corp (2)</b> 61:14;63:4 <b>corporate (1)</b> 86:6 <b>Corporation (1)</b> 9:16 <b>corporations (1)</b> 158:4 <b>corrected (1)</b> 18:18 <b>correctly (2)</b> 80:1,20 <b>correspondence (2)</b> 143:4;153:14 <b>cosigned (1)</b> 45:15	<b>cost (3)</b> 89:8;117:10,18 <b>costly (1)</b> 92:22 <b>costs (1)</b> 62:4 <b>counsel (20)</b> 7:9,21;8:16;9:11; 34:22;36:6;37:3;38:8; 46:13;49:18;67:24; 76:11,13;78:5,21; 79:7;92:3;102:3; 109:3;129:10 <b>count (1)</b> 40:7 <b>counted (1)</b> 164:11 <b>country (4)</b> 42:24;44:15; 138:14;169:15 <b>County (3)</b> 57:19;102:8;150:6 <b>couple (18)</b> 15:11;37:2,15;46:5; 53:1,17;54:16;70:10; 76:23;95:19,23; 106:16;129:17; 130:16;159:9;164:6; 165:6;167:19 <b>course (8)</b> 121:5;124:12; 127:19;128:9;130:7; 137:21;138:2;141:2 <b>Court (614)</b> 6:3,4,5,7,12,20,25; 7:3,8,11,15,18,23,25; 8:3,8,12,15,19,24;9:3, 6,9,14,17,19,21;10:1, 4,7,12,15,23,25;11:3, 7,10,14,17,23,25; 12:2,23,25;13:9,12, 16,23,25;14:3,5,8,12, 15,17,21,24;15:2,5,7, 13,16,22,24;16:2,5,9, 14,18,21,23;17:4,6, 12,17,25;18:7,15,20, 24;19:1,7,13,22; 20:16;21:10,17,21,25; 22:2,4,16,18,24;23:2, 11,15,18;24:2,10,14, 20,21,25;25:2,4,6,8, 11,14,16,19,24;26:11, 23;27:3,24;28:1,4,12, 14,22,25;29:4,7,12, 17,19,21,23;30:6,11, 15,19;31:4,9,11,14, 18,24;32:2,5,11,14, 18,21;33:1,4,6,9,12, 14,19,25;34:6,10,12, 19,21;35:1,5;36:12, 19,21;37:1;38:17,19, 21,24;39:20;41:21, 23;42:13,17,22;43:1,	3,6,10;44:12,19,21; 45:5,8,13,18,20,22, 25;46:3,6,9,15,19,22, 24;47:5,7,11,14,18, 21,23;48:1,4,8,10,13, 15,17,21,24;49:2,6,8, 12,20;50:2,15,17,19, 21,24;51:2,7,9,13,16, 18,21,24;52:2,4,7,10, 12,14,20,23;54:3,8, 10;57:19,25;58:24; 59:1,5;60:7;61:2; 62:10,20;63:3;65:7, 10,11,13,16,18;66:8, 10,13,16,20;67:1,4,6, 9,12,14,20,23;68:1,5, 19,19,25;69:2,4,6,13, 13,18,21;70:8,11,13, 16,22;71:3,5,9,12,18, 22,24;72:2,4,6,11,17, 24;73:2,4,8,10,13,16, 18,21,24;74:2,7,9,11, 13,18,22,25;75:3,6, 11,15,19,21,24;76:2, 6,10,14,17,21;78:14, 17,19;79:5,8,9,12,14, 17,20,24;80:3,8,10, 13,16,19,20,23;81:3, 6,9,13,18,20,24;82:1, 2,4,6,7,9,12,14,17,19, 21;83:9,13,16,19,22, 24;84:2,6,11,15,18, 20,22,25;85:4,6,10, 20;86:10;89:15; 90:10,20,22;91:2,6, 25;92:11;93:12,14; 95:1,2,2,14,15,17,19, 21;96:4,9,13,16,20, 22,25;97:4;98:8;99:7, 17,18,24;100:5,8,23; 101:8,8,20,22;102:8, 10,13,17,21;103:1,7, 12,24;104:15;105:13, 18;106:3,22;107:22; 109:25;111:1,1,18; 112:11;113:3,17; 114:2,21;115:4,10,13, 18;118:3,17;119:1,9, 16;120:14,20,22; 121:5,8,11,20,24; 122:1,4,6,9,17,20,23; 123:1,4,6,14,19; 124:8,12,20,22,23; 125:7,13,14;126:3,11, 14;127:13,15,19,23; 128:3,6,9,11,13,14,17, 21,25;129:2,5,16; 132:23;138:4,12,20; 139:9,13,19,21,25; 140:6,11;141:6,16,16, 19,22;144:17,23; 145:1,13;146:12,13, 15,19;147:19;148:20;
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

149:18;150:6;152:19; 153:17,19,21,24; 154:1,4,6,10,13; 155:13;156:1,7,9,9, 11;157:2,25;158:3,6; 159:8;160:1,3,8,12, 23;161:9,11,16,18; 162:23;163:1,4,7,11, 22,25;164:4,21,24; 165:1,6;166:21; 167:3,20;168:4,8,12, 14,19,22;169:7,14,18; 170:7;171:1,8,11,12, 15,23,25;172:2,5,7, 10;173:22,24;174:1; 177:19,22;178:4,8,12, 16,24;179:2,5,9,13,16	97:1 <b>creation (1)</b> 142:10 <b>credibility (1)</b> 59:24 <b>credit (1)</b> 94:12 <b>creditor (1)</b> 99:3 <b>creditors (1)</b> 7:7 <b>critical (2)</b> 134:20;135:8 <b>critique (1)</b> 175:17 <b>cross- (1)</b> 84:15 <b>cross-motions (1)</b> 11:2 <b>crystal (1)</b> 17:24 <b>cure (3)</b> 19:25;21:13,20 <b>cures (1)</b> 89:2 <b>Curet (1)</b> 9:18 <b>curious (3)</b> 29:8;55:7;59:12 <b>current (9)</b> 66:4;75:6;92:18; 93:4;118:20;127:12; 139:16;175:7;176:10 <b>currently (1)</b> 37:17 <b>cursor (1)</b> 157:18 <b>cut (3)</b> 97:15;152:8;162:7	177:16;178:7,10,23 <b>dates (3)</b> 60:16,17,18 <b>day (10)</b> 26:5;51:22;53:18; 94:13;106:9;123:23, 24;137:10,10;178:17 <b>days (13)</b> 27:20;39:10;44:8; 49:14,24;50:1;51:17; 124:25;137:13;152:4, 7,9,18 <b>days' (1)</b> 124:10 <b>day-to-day (2)</b> 108:19;121:14 <b>de (1)</b> 55:15 <b>deadline (1)</b> 64:23 <b>deadlines (3)</b> 69:16,17,20 <b>deal (25)</b> 20:18,21;27:2; 69:25;95:12;100:12; 105:4;106:2,4,12,16; 112:22;123:16,16; 126:25;127:1,1; 133:18;139:4;141:17, 17;158:10,12;160:21; 162:7 <b>dealing (9)</b> 30:13;103:10; 107:3;117:5,6; 121:14;155:7;156:22; 158:15 <b>dealt (3)</b> 15:17;53:10;56:16 <b>debate (5)</b> 21:7;27:18,22;28:5, 7 <b>debtor (66)</b> 6:23;8:18,23;9:1,4; 10:21;13:4;23:10; 24:3,22;27:7;33:10; 39:6;41:10;45:15; 64:18;65:4;76:22,24; 77:12;78:9;79:16,22; 83:6;84:23,24;85:16, 19,23;87:1;88:2,3,5; 90:2;93:1;94:19;97:2; 98:23;100:11;107:21; 114:16;117:18;118:1, 21;120:7;121:1; 125:16,22;126:10; 129:20;131:8;132:18; 134:6,13;141:8,14; 142:20;143:22; 160:17;161:22;162:7, 14;164:11,16,20; 172:6 <b>debtors (16)</b> 85:13,14;86:9;87:6;	90:17,24;91:12,17; 92:19;103:15;129:11; 130:22;143:22;148:8; 162:20;171:9 <b>debtors' (3)</b> 8:15;77:6;78:20 <b>debtor's (12)</b> 85:20;86:5,14;87:3, 15;88:17;89:25;93:1, 5;113:15;117:9; 130:18 <b>dec (3)</b> 65:20,22,25 <b>decades (2)</b> 145:4;151:14 <b>December (5)</b> 57:16;66:19,22; 67:10;68:2 <b>decide (3)</b> 69:21;73:2;127:15 <b>decides (2)</b> 69:14,14 <b>decision (3)</b> 11:13;145:11; 163:19 <b>declaration (9)</b> 86:21;88:19;89:1; 90:7;100:13;114:24; 115:23;118:10;123:3 <b>declarations (1)</b> 111:10 <b>declaratory (7)</b> 53:23;58:11;63:2,7, 20,21;64:14 <b>declines (1)</b> 149:25 <b>declining (1)</b> 124:4 <b>decrees (2)</b> 54:4,9 <b>deem (1)</b> 40:11 <b>defend (3)</b> 57:25;58:7;60:14 <b>defendant (4)</b> 10:10;55:22;56:5; 109:24 <b>defendants (2)</b> 54:5,6 <b>defense (6)</b> 58:2,8,15,16;61:13; 150:1 <b>defenses (3)</b> 132:4,5;135:8 <b>defer (3)</b> 76:10;132:21; 178:22 <b>deferring (1)</b> 165:5 <b>deficient (3)</b> 27:2;58:14;60:21 <b>define (1)</b> 22:14	<b>defined (1)</b> 112:19 <b>defines (2)</b> 19:18;38:4 <b>definite (3)</b> 59:3,16;61:8 <b>definitely (2)</b> 23:3;96:2 <b>definition (9)</b> 21:23;41:4;99:8,9; 101:5;112:16,21; 118:20;142:18 <b>definitional (1)</b> 99:7 <b>definitions (3)</b> 98:24;99:12;126:20 <b>degree (2)</b> 68:8;77:2 <b>Delaware (3)</b> 148:17,19;151:18 <b>delay (1)</b> 92:23 <b>delegated (1)</b> 157:9 <b>deleted (1)</b> 126:23 <b>demand (5)</b> 134:1,4,8;152:3; 160:17 <b>demand (1)</b> 120:18 <b>demands (2)</b> 41:19;54:5 <b>demonstrate (2)</b> 61:16;63:20 <b>demonstrated (2)</b> 85:13,14 <b>demonstrates (1)</b> 58:10 <b>denied (4)</b> 58:2,4;90:19;143:9 <b>deny (4)</b> 133:24,24;134:12, 18 <b>Depending (1)</b> 37:18 <b>depends (1)</b> 134:24 <b>deposed (1)</b> 114:24 <b>deposing (1)</b> 136:19 <b>deposition (13)</b> 88:25,25;97:20; 104:9,14;118:9,9; 124:4,7,15;144:9,11; 170:12 <b>depositions (12)</b> 39:2;41:19;115:6, 15;136:18;144:14,18; 145:23;146:11;177:3, 4,7 <b>described (1)</b>
<b>courtroom (10)</b> 6:21;39:19;70:7; 93:18;105:21;106:9; 117:2;163:19;172:21, 22 <b>Courts (14)</b> 94:24;95:12,14; 102:18;104:21,22; 106:2;109:10,16; 110:13;113:9;130:21; 138:15;167:13 <b>Court's (5)</b> 65:5;70:2;78:13; 91:24;121:22 <b>cover (4)</b> 28:3;46:4;93:22; 108:7 <b>coverage (40)</b> 58:2,12;59:6,8,25; 62:6;77:23;132:4,12; 133:24;134:3,18; 135:8;136:20;137:1, 7,10,11,17;138:6; 142:5,7,8,23,24; 143:1,8,9,10,10,19; 145:5,6,25;147:10; 149:25,25;150:21; 151:24;154:16 <b>covered (2)</b> 134:6;170:23 <b>covering (1)</b> 134:24 <b>covers (3)</b> 113:22;118:5;152:2 <b>cover-up (1)</b> 94:11 <b>crafty (1)</b> 111:5 <b>create (2)</b> 135:11,13 <b>created (4)</b> 85:25;142:17,18; 150:13 <b>creates (2)</b> 100:18;133:15 <b>creating (1)</b>	<b>D</b>  <b>damaged (1)</b> 58:4 <b>damages (2)</b> 61:23;63:25 <b>danger (1)</b> 173:8 <b>Daniels (1)</b> 8:10 <b>data (4)</b> 17:21;18:1,10,14 <b>database (1)</b> 20:12 <b>date (35)</b> 17:14,19,24;18:5, 13,19;19:9,14,21; 24:5,17;25:13;27:6; 37:8;39:4,9,14;40:4; 41:8;44:14;46:19; 66:11,16;68:1;78:13, 16;93:4;100:1; 106:20;107:1;127:12;			

61:10 <b>describing (1)</b> 136:4 <b>descriptions (2)</b> 12:5,17 <b>deserved (1)</b> 139:8 <b>designate (2)</b> 101:1,7 <b>designated (5)</b> 114:13;115:5,9; 116:11;119:4 <b>designates (1)</b> 99:14 <b>designating (2)</b> 115:4,10 <b>designation (2)</b> 27:14;114:17 <b>designed (1)</b> 131:1 <b>desire (2)</b> 54:12;130:18 <b>desk (2)</b> 153:6;157:11 <b>despite (1)</b> 58:5 <b>detailing (1)</b> 86:7 <b>details (2)</b> 60:15;97:14 <b>determination (2)</b> 154:17,18 <b>determine (6)</b> 92:20;141:16; 142:25;143:24;147:9; 150:18 <b>determined (1)</b> 62:24 <b>determining (1)</b> 151:6 <b>development (2)</b> 16:25;154:22 <b>device (3)</b> 61:22;133:11,12 <b>dichotomy (1)</b> 59:13 <b>difference (13)</b> 86:4;92:6;98:17; 102:19;103:9;107:18; 119:18,23;121:17; 122:6;123:7,11; 146:22 <b>differences (1)</b> 134:4 <b>different (53)</b> 33:16,21,21,22; 39:25;44:14;50:9; 52:25;53:9,10;54:16; 56:7;59:20;66:16; 67:15;72:9;73:22; 74:14;86:3;91:21; 95:5;97:4,5;98:12,15, 22;109:10;111:19;	117:14;118:13;122:8, 11;125:1;131:5; 141:4;145:10;155:15, 15,18;157:10;159:9, 23,23,24,25,25;160:2, 5,7,19;162:10; 169:19;176:13 <b>differently (4)</b> 15:17;54:25; 109:11;174:6 <b>difficult (1)</b> 117:11 <b>digit (1)</b> 40:9 <b>dilemma (1)</b> 69:16 <b>diligence (3)</b> 95:7;103:16;107:5 <b>diligent (1)</b> 175:11 <b>diocesan (4)</b> 17:19;44:25; 138:19;151:13 <b>diocese (11)</b> 12:13,14;63:24; 93:17,23;138:21; 146:13;162:15,16; 172:20;173:3 <b>dioceses (3)</b> 150:25;170:6; 172:19 <b>dire (1)</b> 117:20 <b>direct (1)</b> 155:14 <b>directed (2)</b> 61:9;66:15 <b>direction (4)</b> 13:22;130:18; 141:4;176:20 <b>directly (5)</b> 12:3;126:1;134:9; 142:14;154:14 <b>directors (1)</b> 157:15 <b>disabuse (1)</b> 160:25 <b>disagree (1)</b> 22:19 <b>disagreeing (1)</b> 160:23 <b>disagreement (2)</b> 15:18;101:8 <b>disappear (1)</b> 138:17 <b>disappointing (1)</b> 131:10 <b>disclose (9)</b> 30:24;32:9;33:6,10; 39:9;40:23;41:5; 42:15;115:5 <b>disclosing (3)</b> 31:1;33:23;39:21	<b>disclosure (10)</b> 18:4;23:5;31:23; 37:24;41:14;43:8; 44:4;115:7,16;132:5 <b>disclosures (1)</b> 44:23 <b>disconnect (1)</b> 142:12 <b>discount (1)</b> 113:14 <b>discovery (23)</b> 11:4;37:20,22;39:3; 40:15;41:20;88:10; 113:20;116:9,18,20; 117:6;125:19;127:2; 131:25;138:3;145:14; 147:19,21;148:2; 156:15;165:16;176:6 <b>discrimination (1)</b> 87:12 <b>discuss (1)</b> 81:2 <b>discussed (1)</b> 91:8 <b>discussion (3)</b> 83:3;152:12;160:15 <b>discussions (3)</b> 59:10;77:18;79:7 <b>disease (1)</b> 60:1 <b>disinclined (2)</b> 174:17;175:9 <b>disk (1)</b> 106:7 <b>dismiss (10)</b> 14:10;42:4;52:21; 53:2;59:2,15;61:6,8; 78:17;81:16 <b>dismissed (1)</b> 62:11 <b>dismissing (2)</b> 62:12,13 <b>dismissive (1)</b> 117:8 <b>disorder (1)</b> 87:15 <b>dispute (9)</b> 55:13;58:12;59:16; 93:20;112:24,25; 118:5;156:23;169:4 <b>disputed (2)</b> 72:20;91:5 <b>disputes (6)</b> 55:9,10;74:3; 113:23;125:19; 158:10 <b>disrespect (1)</b> 16:11 <b>dissemination (2)</b> 90:12;116:11 <b>distillation (2)</b> 140:20;149:16 <b>distinction (2)</b>	32:16;98:9 <b>distinguish (1)</b> 147:24 <b>distribution (1)</b> 82:3 <b>District (30)</b> 6:5;61:21;62:10; 68:18,25;69:2,4,6,13, 13,18,21;70:2;72:4; 73:2,8;89:6;91:24,25; 95:12;96:7;97:3; 101:24;102:1;106:3; 111:1;112:11;113:1; 119:22;138:25 <b>District's (2)</b> 85:25;88:21 <b>diverting (1)</b> 96:10 <b>docket (2)</b> 95:18;100:16 <b>document (8)</b> 41:19;86:7,18;88:8; 104:16;114:13; 168:25;170:10 <b>documentary (1)</b> 136:15 <b>documentation (2)</b> 119:4;143:25 <b>documents (44)</b> 39:6;85:25;86:6; 88:9;91:10;93:19,25; 94:3;104:6,12; 105:24;107:3,6,10,14; 108:2,8,17,22;110:10; 114:8,10;116:11; 120:7;124:7;129:20; 131:20;132:1;135:4; 136:17;137:25;138:5, 8,9;140:2;144:15; 148:23;149:20; 150:13;167:16;170:5; 172:18,20;174:10 <b>Doe (2)</b> 150:17,18 <b>dollars (7)</b> 21:15;62:1,4,5,7; 157:21;161:5 <b>done (25)</b> 19:9;21:13;26:4,6; 33:7;35:23;49:22; 63:22;68:20;87:23; 88:12;95:16;96:18; 102:18;126:19; 127:24;128:22; 133:23;153:10,12,20; 160:21;164:20; 173:20;174:13 <b>door (1)</b> 152:11 <b>doors (2)</b> 95:16;109:16 <b>doubt (1)</b> 69:5	<b>down (16)</b> 42:20;44:10;55:20; 64:13;74:21;76:25; 77:13;81:7;88:10,10; 95:4;144:15;172:8; 174:7;175:14;177:4 <b>Dr (2)</b> 61:22;62:1 <b>dramatic (1)</b> 86:4 <b>draw (3)</b> 146:17;150:16; 152:20 <b>drawer (1)</b> 153:7 <b>drawing (1)</b> 165:23 <b>drill (1)</b> 176:16 <b>drop (2)</b> 127:7;174:7 <b>due (4)</b> 95:7;103:16;107:4; 167:1 <b>dueling (2)</b> 85:8;91:6 <b>uplicative (1)</b> 131:25 <b>during (5)</b> 12:2;57:4;62:6; 115:6,15 <b>duties (2)</b> 59:19;60:7 <b>duty (8)</b> 57:24;58:19,25; 60:14;61:15;66:4; 135:11,25
<b>E</b>				
<b>earlier (4)</b> 91:8;139:18; 169:21;175:5 <b>early (6)</b> 55:5;72:22;135:16, 16;162:17;174:25 <b>ears (1)</b> 70:5 <b>ease (1)</b> 106:17 <b>easily (1)</b> 159:14 <b>ECF (1)</b> 36:8 <b>effect (6)</b> 31:23;50:1,10;61:1; 106:21;121:23 <b>effective (2)</b> 49:24;77:7 <b>effectively (1)</b> 86:15 <b>effort (3)</b> 117:17;125:4;				

158:23 <b>efforts (2)</b> 108:19;160:20 <b>eight (1)</b> 71:8 <b>eighty-seven (1)</b> 104:11 <b>Eileen (3)</b> 8:25;65:3;77:11 <b>either (16)</b> 13:20;49:15;58:1; 59:2;66:23;84:11; 87:22;96:25;116:12; 125:3,13;149:24; 151:9;152:1;156:17; 176:15 <b>elaboration (1)</b> 54:2 <b>elect (1)</b> 92:9 <b>Eleventh (3)</b> 145:11,15,16 <b>eliminates (1)</b> 108:21 <b>else (22)</b> 9:3;10:9,17;12:11; 14:13;21:2;24:6; 28:15;40:17;54:25; 65:2;66:3;86:18; 108:14;111:10;113:4; 116:3;124:11;128:6; 166:10;176:14; 177:15 <b>elsewhere (1)</b> 138:23 <b>email (6)</b> 70:20,23,24;82:3; 117:12;125:3 <b>emanates (1)</b> 119:21 <b>embarrassed (1)</b> 75:1 <b>embarrassment (1)</b> 131:15 <b>emphasize (1)</b> 77:5 <b>employee (1)</b> 97:13 <b>employees (3)</b> 87:11,11;121:16 <b>Employers (3)</b> 8:6;57:8;87:10 <b>Employer's (2)</b> 56:23;57:14 <b>encouraged (3)</b> 34:2,4;49:5 <b>encourages (1)</b> 114:19 <b>end (13)</b> 13:25;14:5;52:15; 53:18;85:17;106:9; 112:9;114:12;146:24; 155:11;156:9;166:13;	171:3 <b>endless (1)</b> 40:7 <b>endorse (1)</b> 156:5 <b>enemy (1)</b> 167:9 <b>enforceability (1)</b> 119:18 <b>enforceable (1)</b> 162:11 <b>engaged (3)</b> 31:17;93:22;152:13 <b>engagement (3)</b> 31:10,13,19 <b>enormous (4)</b> 25:22;71:14;87:20; 105:20 <b>enough (7)</b> 52:23;137:8; 152:20;154:25; 175:22;176:17; 178:18 <b>ensues (1)</b> 37:22 <b>ensure (2)</b> 17:23;18:13 <b>enter (6)</b> 13:16;49:15;85:20; 95:2;104:21;114:5 <b>entered (23)</b> 12:14;17:14;18:12; 14:39;16:44;15;87:5; 88:20;91:6,9,19; 93:10;101:3,18; 107:21;111:16,18; 113:22;114:2,15; 125:12;148:20; 161:23 <b>entering (7)</b> 54:4,8,20;106:18; 110:8;114:3;122:9 <b>enterprises (1)</b> 30:4 <b>enters (1)</b> 141:14 <b>entertain (2)</b> 75:23;175:6 <b>entire (1)</b> 143:24 <b>entirely (1)</b> 48:19 <b>entities (3)</b> 27:12;30:8;31:1 <b>entitled (1)</b> 173:18 <b>entity (3)</b> 28:2;38:5;110:25 <b>entry (2)</b> 11:2;106:25 <b>environment (1)</b> 103:20 <b>envisioned (1)</b>	32:16 <b>equal (1)</b> 85:25 <b>equivalence (2)</b> 146:18;147:5 <b>ere (1)</b> 161:5 <b>erosion (1)</b> 143:14 <b>escaping (1)</b> 135:25 <b>Especially (3)</b> 154:2;168:19,20 <b>essence (2)</b> 32:8;124:15 <b>essential (1)</b> 16:16 <b>essentially (8)</b> 16:23;97:8;126:21; 127:20;132:25; 140:19;146:9;173:10 <b>established (1)</b> 58:20 <b>estate (6)</b> 33:18;87:21;88:12; 89:8;92:23;117:10 <b>estimated (1)</b> 62:4 <b>et (12)</b> 6:16,16;21:18; 26:25;27:13;103:19; 19;109:2;110:17; 113:23;144:7;172:22 <b>evade (1)</b> 148:11 <b>eve (2)</b> 48:7;55:3 <b>even (32)</b> 8:6;19:24,24;26:16; 51:5;55:8;62:18; 63:22;68:10;77:21; 80:25;87:13;99:24; 104:5;105:10;107:17; 116:8,25;129:25,25; 139:7;147:2;149:17; 20;152:8;154:7; 155:7;157:14;158:17, 22;162:18,22 <b>event (1)</b> 55:15 <b>everybody (17)</b> 10:17;27:5;28:8; 29:18;43:13;63:25; 73:12;82:10;104:9; 116:2;120:13;128:6; 155:23,24;171:4; 172:8;175:15 <b>everyone (8)</b> 18:9;24:6;43:23; 81:8;94:8;109:18; 131:8;132:6 <b>everyone's (1)</b> 42:20	<b>everything's (1)</b> 12:21 <b>evidence (19)</b> 26:3;58:23;93:19; 95:13,17;100:20; 106:13;108:22,25; 109:6;112:5;132:15; 136:8;138:6;143:3; 146:11;153:12; 157:25;158:2 <b>evil (1)</b> 21:9 <b>evolving (1)</b> 117:12 <b>exact (1)</b> 123:13 <b>exactly (15)</b> 22:21;38:23;39:15, 16;47:3;50:20;87:5; 88:23;95:15;116:14; 122:18;141:13; 161:14;163:9;176:7 <b>exaggerate (1)</b> 123:24 <b>exam (5)</b> 14:17;128:4;129:5; 137:15;165:21 <b>examination (2)</b> 81:2;104:18 <b>examining (1)</b> 21:18 <b>example (9)</b> 15:14;67:2;86:17; 98:15;148:14;153:2; 155:25;161:10,17 <b>exams (2)</b> 59:9;155:14 <b>exceed (1)</b> 148:4 <b>exceeds (1)</b> 62:19 <b>Excellent (2)</b> 84:19;102:7 <b>except (4)</b> 15:10;125:4,5; 158:14 <b>exception (2)</b> 98:1;103:3 <b>exceptions (1)</b> 86:17 <b>excess (23)</b> 56:10,19,22,24; 57:1,3,24;61:11,11, 17;62:3,8,21,22,24; 63:14,17,22;64:6,8, 12,17,21 <b>excised (3)</b> 119:11;121:1,9 <b>exclude (2)</b> 15:23;62:22 <b>excluded (2)</b> 19:11;118:20 <b>exclusions (1)</b>	61:1 <b>Excuse (3)</b> 15:7;139:22;177:20 <b>excused (1)</b> 83:15 <b>executives (1)</b> 157:9 <b>exhausted (2)</b> 61:17;62:17 <b>exhaustion (1)</b> 143:14 <b>Exhibit (12)</b> 33:4;39:10;41:10, 13;46:10;57:17; 104:12,14,17;105:17, 18;115:9 <b>exhibits (2)</b> 105:13,24 <b>exist (2)</b> 153:5,6 <b>existence (2)</b> 58:23;143:6 <b>existential (1)</b> 157:23 <b>existing (3)</b> 87:23;107:1;120:3 <b>exists (1)</b> 23:10 <b>expect (3)</b> 12:13;97:5;132:3 <b>expectation (1)</b> 112:3 <b>expense (2)</b> 87:21;88:12 <b>experience (11)</b> 38:6;68:17,18; 69:11;73:11;131:3; 156:3;160:14;167:5, 7;170:21 <b>experienced (3)</b> 74:12;159:3;162:13 <b>expert (16)</b> 19:5,18,19,21; 22:15;26:15;32:9,13; 36:16;38:5,9;41:4; 48:11;86:17;88:18; 97:12 <b>experts (37)</b> 16:13;19:10,16,24; 23:6;25:13,25;26:21, 21;27:1,8,14,21;28:8, 17;30:1;32:17;33:24; 34:2;36:14;37:25; 39:20,21;42:21; 46:13;47:2;48:22; 49:4,25;50:19;100:1; 108:20,25;109:3; 112:16,19,21 <b>explain (6)</b> 20:13;81:17,22; 86:24;87:14;132:1 <b>explained (2)</b> 15:25;89:25
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------



<b>explaining (1)</b> 111:10	58:2,23	<b>fifty- (1)</b> 140:16	<b>finds (1)</b> 59:5	32:8;36:25;39:8; 40:3,20;70:6;118:13
<b>explanation (2)</b> 111:12;173:17	<b>failure (2)</b> 58:15;65:22	<b>fifty-five (1)</b> 170:17	<b>fine (15)</b> 17:1;20:24;38:22; 44:13;50:3;53:18; 66:1;71:24;75:19,21; 76:4;85:6;102:3; 174:11;179:8	<b>followed (2)</b> 34:15;43:19
<b>explicit (1)</b> 28:10	<b>fair (7)</b> 15:19;142:21; 143:6,12;145:25; 146:20;164:9	<b>fifty-six (7)</b> 140:20;142:9; 146:3;149:14,16; 152:5,7	<b>finger (2)</b> 170:8;172:9	<b>following (5)</b> 22:11;60:9;77:4,18; 137:3
<b>exploring (1)</b> 161:5	<b>fairly (8)</b> 18:22;30:23;53:1; 68:9;137:14;152:16; 176:19;177:2	<b>fight (1)</b> 71:15	<b>finished (1)</b> 141:6	<b>follows (1)</b> 115:3
<b>exposure (1)</b> 135:22	<b>fair-minded (1)</b> 148:7	<b>figure (5)</b> 13:1;98:18;151:12; 155:24;159:5	<b>Finishing (1)</b> 157:2	<b>foot (1)</b> 11:11
<b>express (2)</b> 147:18;164:21	<b>fall (2)</b> 111:16;137:22	<b>figures (2)</b> 169:25;170:1	<b>Fire (2)</b> 9:13;56:21	<b>footnote (1)</b> 46:8
<b>expressly (5)</b> 111:17;118:3,4,5; 123:3	<b>false (3)</b> 97:11;146:18;147:5	<b>file (19)</b> 54:12;67:2;77:19; 127:3;135:5,6; 148:24;149:21;153:7; 13;163:7,10;167:21; 169:2,3,6,12;172:11; 173:15	<b>firing (1)</b> 44:1	<b>forbid (1)</b> 31:6
<b>extended (1)</b> 95:13	<b>Fand (2)</b> 178:10,13	<b>files (36)</b> 68:18;98:13;133:1; 135:4;136:1,3,6,7,9, 10,13;139:13,16; 148:22,25;149:19; 150:11,13;151:15; 153:1,3,10,23;154:7, 10;159:11;162:22; 169:8;170:9,10,13; 174:15,22;175:7,19, 19	<b>firm (1)</b> 78:8	<b>force (1)</b> 125:17
<b>extension (1)</b> 87:4	<b>fantasy (2)</b> 149:18,19	<b>filed (35)</b> 11:5;18:3;23:5; 53:11,22;54:5,14; 57:18;58:17;62:2; 68:2;78:15;80:25; 85:9,13;90:17,18; 91:9,12;100:16; 135:6;137:21;140:1, 14,18,25;148:20; 155:3;156:12;164:7, 19;168:1,24;172:4,15	<b>first (34)</b> 29:10;34:24;76:1, 18;85:22;87:16; 94:19;97:7;104:13; 106:5;107:1;124:24; 125:6;131:12;135:15, 20;136:6;139:10,15; 140:14,20;141:20,23; 149:4,14;150:19; 152:4,24;154:15; 156:18;166:23;170:4; 172:3;174:17	<b>forced (2)</b> 26:14;58:7
<b>extensive (1)</b> 59:10	<b>FApp'x (1)</b> 145:12	<b>final (6)</b> 54:4,8,20;135:20; 136:15;138:11	<b>fishing (1)</b> 172:23	<b>foreclosed (1)</b> 165:12
<b>extensively (2)</b> 39:14;87:17	<b>far (19)</b> 21:12;45:3;74:16; 87:1;97:3,3,24;99:1; 102:11,18;109:7; 113:8;114:13;120:6, 25;126:19;134:23; 171:19;178:15	<b>finding (1)</b> 62:20	<b>fit (1)</b> 40:18	<b>foregoing (1)</b> 58:10
<b>extent (17)</b> 19:23;24:18;36:10; 59:21;60:10,13,24; 61:4;64:9;72:8; 102:22;142:5,7; 143:19;153:4;162:22; 177:7	<b>fashion (1)</b> 99:1	<b>finally (1)</b> 136:24	<b>five (8)</b> 21:1;84:1;129:3; 137:8;161:22;166:8, 15;167:12	<b>forget (1)</b> 34:18
<b>extra (7)</b> 23:5;74:20;108:19, 19;109:13;122:21; 137:2	<b>fast (1)</b> 123:2	<b>finances (1)</b> 148:22	<b>five-minute (2)</b> 83:25;128:8	<b>forgive (1)</b> 40:9
<b>extreme (1)</b> 114:21	<b>fault (2)</b> 47:19,22	<b>financial (8)</b> 12:11;103:18; 107:6,14;132:8; 148:14,15;149:2	<b>five-year (1)</b> 159:13	<b>forgot (1)</b> 14:9
<b>extremely (1)</b> 74:12	<b>favor (2)</b> 90:25;108:16	<b>find (4)</b> 12:18;106:5;145:2, 4	<b>flesh (1)</b> 165:7	<b>forgotten (1)</b> 14:13
<b>extremes (1)</b> 160:20	<b>February (1)</b> 75:8	<b>files (36)</b> 68:18;98:13;133:1; 135:4;136:1,3,6,7,9, 10,13;139:13,16; 148:22,25;149:19; 150:11,13;151:15; 153:1,3,10,23;154:7, 10;159:11;162:22; 169:8;170:9,10,13; 174:15,22;175:7,19, 19	<b>flexibility (3)</b> 63:6,19;112:1	<b>form (48)</b> 15:18;37:13;47:4; 60:5;86:1,20;89:1; 91:25;92:1,4,7,10; 94:5,15;95:5,11,25; 96:6,8,8,12,14;99:5, 12;100:21;101:3; 102:15;106:18; 108:13;110:7;112:23, 25;113:8;114:25; 115:21,22;118:13; 119:22;121:18; 122:11,14;123:3,12; 126:15,18,21,23; 134:15
<b>eyes (1)</b> 86:16	<b>Federal (3)</b> 32:8;89:13,14	<b>final (6)</b> 54:4,8,20;135:20; 136:15;138:11	<b>flow (1)</b> 125:18	<b>formal (1)</b> 39:3
<b>F</b>	<b>fee (2)</b> 11:12;12:2	<b>finding (1)</b> 62:20	<b>fly (2)</b> 106:10;173:9	<b>former (2)</b> 87:11;104:23
<b>F3d (1)</b> 61:14	<b>feel (2)</b> 33:16;55:20	<b>filing (5)</b> 23:10,12;80:7; 150:9;167:25	<b>flying (1)</b> 70:20	<b>forms (1)</b> 104:21
<b>face (1)</b> 148:6	<b>fees (1)</b> 21:14	<b>final (6)</b> 54:4,8,20;135:20; 136:15;138:11	<b>Foley (4)</b> 6:23;8:18,22; 178:22	<b>form's (1)</b> 112:20
<b>faced (1)</b> 112:2	<b>felt (1)</b> 35:18	<b>financial (8)</b> 12:11;103:18; 107:6,14;132:8; 148:14,15;149:2	<b>folks (10)</b> 9:10;31:1;36:7; 39:19;42:15;124:5; 128:18;137:24; 169:23;178:20	<b>forth (8)</b> 13:13;56:3;116:19, 20,21;142:16;156:4; 161:25
<b>facing (1)</b> 102:3	<b>few (7)</b> 53:20;59:5;91:5; 131:23;141:13; 146:11;152:3	<b>find (4)</b> 12:18;106:5;145:2, 4	<b>follow (7)</b>	<b>fortunate (1)</b> 137:8
<b>fact (12)</b> 20:3;24:15;42:9; 54:19;55:5;59:7; 63:15;89:9;97:1; 100:23;112:5;142:22	<b>fiduciary (1)</b> 35:16	<b>finding (1)</b> 62:20		<b>forty (2)</b> 158:18,21
<b>facts (2)</b> 157:25;158:2	<b>field (1)</b> 26:22			<b>forty-five (1)</b>
<b>factual (3)</b> 55:9,10,13	<b>fifth (1)</b> 62:12			
<b>failed (2)</b>	<b>fifty (4)</b> 21:5;40:13;158:18, 21			

40:13 <b>forward (10)</b> 69:15;78:7;88:9; 108:24;112:2;127:25; 129:11;131:5;160:16, 16 <b>found (9)</b> 61:24;62:15;73:11; 106:11;109:3;111:2; 140:24;149:8;171:19 <b>four (16)</b> 17:19;18:3;62:11; 80:24;89:10;133:1; 135:4,20;137:8; 139:11;152:8,23; 161:21;166:7;167:7, 12 <b>fourteen (5)</b> 124:10;152:4,7,9, 18 <b>fourth (1)</b> 143:13 <b>frame (3)</b> 144:21;158:15,17 <b>Francisco (6)</b> 27:15;31:20;50:23; 101:18;104:7;172:21 <b>frankly (7)</b> 34:4;74:10;79:21; 108:21;117:4;140:24; 169:16 <b>frequently (3)</b> 119:22;174:3; 175:17 <b>fresh (1)</b> 84:8 <b>front (3)</b> 44:1;96:11;114:20 <b>fronts (1)</b> 89:6 <b>fulfill (2)</b> 167:13;170:22 <b>full (5)</b> 35:7;93:18;112:1; 124:10;143:20 <b>full-scale (1)</b> 145:22 <b>fully (4)</b> 21:24;42:12;142:4, 7 <b>function (3)</b> 26:16;36:18;176:3 <b>functions (2)</b> 12:12;13:4 <b>fundamental (2)</b> 55:9;133:3 <b>fundamentally (1)</b> 110:2 <b>funny (1)</b> 50:2 <b>furnish (1)</b> 58:15 <b>further (17)</b>	11:5;20:14,17; 50:24;51:20;58:1,18; 60:15,24;62:8;74:24; 83:3;122:18,19; 126:22;127:6;175:9  <b>G</b>  <b>Gabrielle (1)</b> 7:5 <b>Gaddy (1)</b> 145:11 <b>gag (1)</b> 104:24 <b>gallery (1)</b> 7:14 <b>gaming (1)</b> 137:24 <b>gander (1)</b> 171:14 <b>gating (1)</b> 77:22 <b>gave (4)</b> 26:23;96:6;121:13; 157:3 <b>general (3)</b> 70:3;93:25;132:20 <b>generally (11)</b> 11:9;57:24;59:24; 109:16;131:6;152:21, 24;153:14;157:17; 165:24;166:6 <b>generate (1)</b> 151:14 <b>generating (1)</b> 176:6 <b>gentlemen (1)</b> 102:6 <b>George (1)</b> 9:12 <b>Georgetown (1)</b> 71:8 <b>gets (7)</b> 90:4,5;98:4;110:11; 117:23;119:4;160:21 <b>gifts (1)</b> 94:14 <b>Girls (2)</b> 146:7;149:8 <b>given (10)</b> 26:21;65:4;68:6; 70:25;80:5;107:6; 112:4;142:2,3;165:3 <b>gives (1)</b> 123:15 <b>giving (6)</b> 26:13,15;49:13; 94:11;105:6,8 <b>glad (1)</b> 19:5 <b>Glenn (3)</b> 138:25;141:9,10 <b>globally (1)</b>	135:1 <b>goal (1)</b> 129:2 <b>God (1)</b> 31:6 <b>God's (1)</b> 142:10 <b>goes (15)</b> 20:8;23:25;24:9; 27:11;38:10;40:24; 111:25;112:1;114:5; 122:18;135:14,23,24; 167:18;171:20 <b>going-forward (1)</b> 36:18 <b>Good (47)</b> 6:22;7:5,12,16,20; 8:1,3,4,14,20,21,25; 9:12,14,21,22,23,24; 10:1,15;12:24;17:7; 24:23;30:3;36:9,23; 49:4;52:23;71:15; 74:11;76:16;77:10, 11;79:15;82:22; 94:16;101:16;102:5, 13;104:10,12;129:1; 141:17;148:2;151:20; 171:13;177:15 <b>goods (1)</b> 37:5 <b>goose (1)</b> 171:13 <b>govern (3)</b> 89:6;113:20;127:21 <b>governed (1)</b> 93:3 <b>governing (3)</b> 85:17,20,22 <b>governs (2)</b> 17:16;116:16 <b>grant (4)</b> 64:8,17;172:16; 175:12 <b>granted (8)</b> 25:8;61:8;62:12; 65:11;88:4,90:18; 147:18;164:10 <b>granting (1)</b> 61:6 <b>granular (1)</b> 159:20 <b>grasp (1)</b> 138:14 <b>grasping (1)</b> 139:8 <b>Great (7)</b> 7:23;8:12;10:5; 66:24;72:23;77:2; 166:2 <b>greater (2)</b> 63:6,18 <b>Greeks (1)</b> 94:14	<b>ground (1)</b> 37:6 <b>grounds (1)</b> 143:11 <b>groundwork (1)</b> 129:8 <b>Group (7)</b> 30:9;35:15;38:11, 12;42:19;43:15; 169:22 <b>grunt (1)</b> 69:2 <b>Guaranty (1)</b> 56:14 <b>guess (9)</b> 31:16;85:8;103:7; 117:7;125:10,23; 150:6;157:4;173:5 <b>guise (2)</b> 23:12;146:4 <b>guy (1)</b> 97:18 <b>guys (6)</b> 84:12;168:1; 175:24;176:16; 177:10,16  <b>H</b>  <b>half (4)</b> 13:18;37:18; 129:18;150:8 <b>halfway (1)</b> 149:7 <b>hammer (1)</b> 20:8 <b>hamstring (1)</b> 106:1 <b>hamstrings (1)</b> 90:1 <b>hamstrung (3)</b> 106:15;109:4;114:3 <b>hand (7)</b> 32:24;69:17;76:18; 77:15;162:20,20; 164:2 <b>handiwork (1)</b> 177:13 <b>handle (3)</b> 49:1;83:17;109:10 <b>handled (2)</b> 109:7;110:18 <b>handler (2)</b> 145:7;157:10 <b>handler's (1)</b> 157:11 <b>handling (1)</b> 109:25 <b>hands (1)</b> 76:22 <b>happen (6)</b> 30:25;72:5;101:2; 105:14,16;112:7	<b>happened (10)</b> 12:15;18:2;27:18; 47:8;58:21;122:1; 125:4;138:23;157:18; 170:16 <b>happening (3)</b> 41:25;109:18; 138:23 <b>happens (4)</b> 48:18;99:18;102:1; 161:12 <b>happy (13)</b> 18:18;24:18;45:25; 67:8;74:23;75:23; 79:2;81:20;93:9; 97:20;127:5;139:25; 177:13 <b>harassment (1)</b> 87:11 <b>hard (3)</b> 71:13;85:15;171:2 <b>hardly (1)</b> 59:25 <b>hard-pressed (2)</b> 44:10;174:23 <b>hat (1)</b> 127:7 <b>head (4)</b> 13:13;67:9;98:9; 119:17 <b>heads (1)</b> 177:10 <b>hear (22)</b> 10:5;33:15;45:25; 52:21;81:20;90:25; 98:2;101:11,13,14; 125:7;131:13,14; 132:3,3,8,11;139:23; 159:9;166:10;176:12; 177:3 <b>heard (16)</b> 12:22;17:14;22:7; 37:3;79:14;99:25; 112:15;130:5,5,16; 131:10,11,13;138:25; 163:5;167:19 <b>hearing (17)</b> 13:21;27:18;48:7; 83:7,18;85:2;91:16; 93:16;94:4;95:17; 99:19;171:17,21; 177:25;178:2,23; 179:1 <b>hearings (2)</b> 12:3;59:5 <b>heart (3)</b> 135:23,24;138:10 <b>heightens (1)</b> 81:1 <b>held (6)</b> 62:10;87:1,2; 110:16;151:19,25 <b>help (11)</b>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

30:2;34:3;79:19; 101:20;127:6;130:7; 134:25;136:17;145:5, 6;176:22 <b>helpful (7)</b> 13:20;30:23;34:1; 163:2;171:16;174:11, 12 <b>helps (2)</b> 55:5;172:8 <b>Here's (1)</b> 103:13 <b>herring (1)</b> 37:25 <b>hey (4)</b> 101:21;102:5; 122:9;134:3 <b>hiding (1)</b> 121:12 <b>high (3)</b> 110:15;124:7; 157:14 <b>higher (2)</b> 98:4,7 <b>highest (3)</b> 98:11;99:15;111:21 <b>highly (9)</b> 90:14;92:8,16; 98:24;102:23;116:12; 118:21;119:5;126:19 <b>Hinton (1)</b> 42:21 <b>Hinton's (1)</b> 43:4 <b>hired (4)</b> 31:8;169:23,23,24 <b>hiring (2)</b> 57:20;169:22 <b>history (1)</b> 135:12 <b>hit (1)</b> 142:9 <b>hits (2)</b> 20:7,7 <b>hitting (2)</b> 22:5;23:7 <b>hold (5)</b> 32:19;70:1;77:3,17; 83:2 <b>holding (2)</b> 104:15;176:6 <b>hole (1)</b> 66:22 <b>holiday (3)</b> 67:18;167:10,11 <b>holidays (1)</b> 65:17 <b>honest (1)</b> 100:4 <b>honestly (1)</b> 74:19 <b>Honor (249)</b> 6:10,10,14,19,22;	7:5,12,16,20,24;8:1,4, 9,14,17,25;9:8,12,15, 23,24;10:2,14,20; 11:15,20;12:1,22; 13:7;14:18,23;15:1,9, 15,20;16:22;17:3,8, 14,15;18:2,13,25; 19:4;20:14;21:24; 22:1,13,21;23:5,8,24; 24:7,18;25:7,10,15, 17;27:19;29:10; 30:18;31:3;32:6; 33:11;34:17;35:9,10, 22;36:9,10,20,23; 37:8,14,18;38:1,13, 13,23;39:13,16,23; 40:8,19,21,25;41:11, 16;42:12;43:2,8,11, 23;44:4,9,17;45:11, 21;47:24;49:5,9,15; 50:13;51:15,20,25; 65:3;66:21;67:11,13; 68:4;70:6,17;71:7; 74:16;76:5,9,19,23, 24;77:4,16;78:12; 79:15;81:10,15;83:5, 21;84:7,23;85:7,12; 90:23,24;91:3,14; 94:19;96:2,21;97:24; 99:5;102:12,20,25; 105:3;110:21;111:22; 112:22;113:2,7,22; 115:17;116:6;117:11; 118:1,16;120:1; 123:10,21;125:9,10; 126:8,9,13;127:10,18; 128:2,5,7,10,12,16, 23;129:6,8,12,17,22; 130:3,6,9,20,23; 131:3,10,17,19;132:2, 12,24;133:4;135:2; 136:24;137:9,20; 138:7,14,17,24;139:6, 20,22;140:1,8,10; 144:21;145:9;147:16, 25;152:2;154:23; 157:16;159:19; 160:14;162:24;163:5, 9,13,24;164:1,5,13, 25;165:3;166:20; 167:1,5,18;168:17,25; 169:12,16,20,24; 170:4,9;171:2;172:1, 24;173:4,11,12,17,25; 177:18,20;178:21; 179:4,8 <b>Honorable (1)</b> 6:6 <b>Honor's (6)</b> 18:19;24:4;72:13; 131:23;163:19,19 <b>hope (6)</b> 37:21;85:14;126:2;	128:23;130:7;164:18 <b>hopefully (5)</b> 78:9,9,14;128:16; 160:21 <b>hoping (3)</b> 78:21;79:6;151:5 <b>horizon (1)</b> 152:17 <b>hostile (3)</b> 104:7;105:9,9 <b>hour (2)</b> 37:18;140:25 <b>how's (1)</b> 101:11 <b>huge (5)</b> 21:7;27:17;136:14; 142:12;158:23 <b>humanly (1)</b> 71:14 <b>humble (1)</b> 167:4 <b>hundred (1)</b> 161:3 hundred-and-some-odd-thousand (1) 21:15 <b>hurt (1)</b> 22:6 <b>husband (1)</b> 101:17 <b>hypothetical (1)</b> 120:23 <b>hypothetically (2)</b> 118:17,18  <b>I</b>  <b>idea (9)</b> 24:23;30:25;67:4; 116:7;136:18;150:10; 155:11;171:15; 174:21 <b>ideas (1)</b> 64:1 <b>identical (2)</b> 45:10;118:24 <b>identified (2)</b> 30:1;77:19 <b>identify (1)</b> 159:16 <b>identities (3)</b> 26:10;109:19;144:7 <b>iiiJ (1)</b> 28:13 <b>illuminating (1)</b> 53:3 <b>illusory (1)</b> 36:4 <b>image (1)</b> 147:6 <b>Imagine (1)</b> 105:1 <b>Imerys (1)</b> 151:17	<b>imitations (1)</b> 122:12 <b>immediate (1)</b> 65:21 <b>immediately (1)</b> 53:15 <b>impact (5)</b> 81:5;133:5,19; 134:22;135:18 <b>impacts (1)</b> 80:18 <b>impassioned (1)</b> 141:6 <b>impediment (3)</b> 43:22;97:22;131:14 <b>impediments (2)</b> 42:8;131:4 <b>implicate (3)</b> 54:15;64:21;100:25 <b>implicated (3)</b> 59:19;63:14,17 <b>implicating (1)</b> 64:12 <b>implications (3)</b> 23:19;55:14;165:15 <b>implied (1)</b> 40:21 <b>import (1)</b> 119:11 <b>importance (1)</b> 25:22 <b>important (13)</b> 13:3;23:16;53:15; 61:20;72:21;80:12; 95:22,23;97:22; 126:5;133:2;134:5; 164:14 <b>importantly (1)</b> 88:7 <b>imported (2)</b> 95:25;126:20 <b>importing (1)</b> 99:5 <b>impose (1)</b> 137:25 <b>impossible (5)</b> 35:8;36:17;100:19, 19;116:8 <b>inadvertent (1)</b> 20:6 <b>inappropriate (1)</b> 171:18 <b>inclined (5)</b> 126:14;175:4,6,12; 176:22 <b>include (10)</b> 27:13;34:22,23,25; 46:12;50:19;107:5; 153:1,14;166:5 <b>includes (1)</b> 88:23 <b>including (5)</b> 38:10;60:16;70:5;	118:6;132:5 <b>inconsistent (3)</b> 19:11;35:12;41:7 <b>inconvenience (1)</b> 89:8 <b>incorporates (1)</b> 27:21 <b>incredible (1)</b> 107:3 <b>incredibly (2)</b> 95:22;152:5 <b>indeed (1)</b> 156:8 <b>indemnification (1)</b> 62:2 <b>indemnify (5)</b> 57:25;58:18,19,25; 60:11 <b>Indemnity (8)</b> 6:16;8:5;19:4; 55:23;57:3;58:3,9; 61:13 <b>indenture (1)</b> 74:5 <b>indentures (1)</b> 74:4 <b>independently (1)</b> 127:15 <b>indicate (2)</b> 12:2;13:14 <b>indicated (1)</b> 54:12 <b>indicating (1)</b> 86:21 <b>Indiscernible (9)</b> 10:24;45:17;57:18; 76:12;78:18;79:3; 105:23;124:16;168:7 <b>indiscernible- (1)</b> 30:18 <b>individual (8)</b> 26:20;35:8;44:5; 60:25;93:18;108:22; 159:21;160:15 <b>individualized (1)</b> 73:19 <b>individually (1)</b> 35:11 <b>Industrial (1)</b> 57:3 <b>infer (1)</b> 171:18 <b>inform (4)</b> 132:6,6,10,13 <b>information (88)</b> 15:14;17:1;18:11; 22:11;23:9,9,13,20; 24:19;39:12;40:2,13, 14,17,17;41:16;44:3, 6;55:23;56:1;61:5; 65:5;86:11,12,13,15, 20;87:19;90:5,6,13, 14;92:8,17,20,25;
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

93:2;98:11;100:17; 103:19;105:17;106:4; 115:5;116:3,11; 117:19,22;129:24; 130:5;131:15;132:19; 133:14;135:7,21,23; 139:17;143:14,21; 144:4,5;146:18,23; 147:3,4,7,8,11,11; 149:1,5,7;150:3; 153:16;154:25;155:9; 156:4,19;160:9; 162:2,12,22;164:14; 168:2;169:5;170:18; 172:23;175:8,20	<b>insurer (13)</b> 17:20;18:3;78:6; 133:1,22,24;142:23; 148:15,16;160:18; 161:25;162:3;163:21	110:24	<b>involving (3)</b> 110:23;143:22; 150:16	<b>January (4)</b> 67:1,14,22;68:1
<b>infringement (1)</b> 61:24	<b>insurers (124)</b> 9:25;10:3;11:5; 14:22;23:4;24:11; 27:9,10;39:18,24; 40:8;41:5;42:15; 43:24;45:1;53:24; 54:12;57:24;58:1,9, 13,14,17,22,25;59:1, 21;60:2,7,17;61:11, 12;62:3,3,11,23;64:8; 68:9,14;77:1,2,7,8,17, 23,24;78:5,22;79:7, 23;86:23;87:14;88:1, 3,15;89:19;90:1,18; 91:7,15,20,23;92:9; 113:10;114:22;115:1, 11,24;117:8,13,24; 118:7;120:15,18; 125:5;126:15;129:24, 25;130:4,10,25;131:3, 11;132:16;133:23; 134:8,12;135:5,10,19, 25;136:1;137:6; 138:11,12,13,19; 140:3;141:8;143:9, 11,23;144:2,4;145:3; 146:8,9,18;148:13; 149:22;150:23; 152:14;155:1;161:21, 23;162:8,8,20;164:7, 17;167:11;170:19,22; 172:4	<b>interpret (3)</b> 36:13;54:24,25	<b>Iolab (12)</b> 61:14,19,20,20,24, 25;62:2,14,21;63:8, 10,25	<b>jeez (1)</b> 112:10
<b>infringing (2)</b> 61:21;62:6	<b>insurers' (10)</b> 38:3;42:3;58:20; 60:19,20;61:7,12; 64:17;81:16;151:15	<b>interpretation (4)</b> 35:10;36:3;65:25; 91:14	<b>Iolab's (1)</b> 62:5	<b>Jenson (2)</b> 61:22;62:1
<b>initially (3)</b> 53:13;54:18;154:13	<b>insurer's (9)</b> 14:24;85:21;87:18; 88:4;93:8;117:4; 125:20;129:19;162:3	<b>interpreting (2)</b> 36:1;62:16	<b>ironically (1)</b> 118:12	<b>Jesse (1)</b> 7:22
<b>injunction (1)</b> 160:18	<b>intellectual (1)</b> 174:21	<b>interrupt (1)</b> 16:6	<b>Isaac (1)</b> 169:22	<b>job (2)</b> 53:3;117:16
<b>inoculate (1)</b> 141:19	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>interrupted (1)</b> 125:19	<b>ISO (26)</b> 15:14,23;16:20; 17:21;18:2,5,10,14; 19:18,19,21,25;20:4, 11,18,24,25;21:2,3; 22:10,11,14;23:19; 28:12;31:15;43:20	<b>John (2)</b> 150:17,18
<b>instance (8)</b> 29:10;31:16;43:8; 50:7;68:23,24;94:19; 124:9	<b>intended (4)</b> 16:11;19:10;78:13, 15	<b>interruption (1)</b> 179:12	<b>issue (38)</b> 14:14;16:20;19:4, 24;27:6;30:18;34:14; 37:14;44:15,18,22; 50:6,25;52:13;55:16; 59:6;69:7;72:14,19, 21;75:2;80:5;85:16; 88:16;97:25;99:4; 107:20;114:13,22; 119:3,5;123:10; 135:22;136:12;139:1; 146:6;156:14;169:20	<b>join (2)</b> 138:22;163:13
<b>instances (4)</b> 21:1;60:15;68:21; 69:1	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>Interstate (2)</b> 20:24;21:12	<b>issued (11)</b> 55:23;56:2,5,15,18, 21;57:3,5,8,11,14	<b>joined (1)</b> 53:13
<b>instead (3)</b> 20:8;99:22;149:15	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>intervene (1)</b> 147:17	<b>issues (23)</b> 27:2;50:4;55:10; 61:3;63:19;68:6; 72:14;77:22;78:6; 89:7;97:4;105:2; 119:3;127:2;130:5; 131:2,2,5,6;136:20; 157:23;170:24; 176:11	<b>joins (1)</b> 132:18
<b>instinct (3)</b> 22:4;63:5;160:25	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>intervened (1)</b> 93:24	<b>item (3)</b> 6:15,17;115:5	<b>jointly (1)</b> 6:15
<b>insurance (89)</b> 7:21;9:11,13,16; 10:11;22:17;35:14; 46:12;55:23;56:1,2,6, 13,14,15,18,19,21,22, 23,24,25;57:1,4,6,9, 12,14;58:5;59:6; 60:24;61:17;62:16, 19;63:3,22;76:10,13; 83:11,11;95:4; 100:12;112:4;129:10, 12,13;130:1,23,24; 131:7;132:4;133:3,5, 9,10,17,19,20,22; 134:10,12,15;135:18, 19,24;136:13,14; 137:3,19;138:1,4; 139:1,2,7;142:5,19, 19;148:17,19,24; 151:12;153:9,15; 154:18;157:7;159:24; 165:4;169:1;171:18	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>intervening (1)</b> 165:15	<b>jot (1)</b> 55:20	
<b>insured (4)</b> 61:16,16;138:19; 153:15	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>intervention (2)</b> 24:20;147:17	<b>items (1)</b> 6:14	<b>Judge (35)</b> 16:3;47:1;69:6; 70:1;72:22;73:2,8,8; 74:11;93:15,21; 96:10;105:1,11; 106:9;108:5;111:23, 24;114:9;138:17; 141:9,10;146:6,9,14; 149:7;151:18,24; 156:14;160:6;172:21, 22;176:18,21,24
<b>insureds (2)</b> 20:11;143:24	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>into (30)</b> 21:7;26:13;27:17; 38:12;50:1;61:4; 68:13;70:23;71:20; 74:23;93:19;95:2,25; 97:14;99:5;101:18; 107:21;108:22;109:2; 114:7;116:23,24; 120:12;126:21; 141:14;147:17; 148:25;161:1,23; 176:2	<b>iteration (1)</b> 174:8	<b>judges (1)</b> 106:3
	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>introduce (2)</b> 7:10;8:10	<b>IU (1)</b> 178:4	<b>judgment (6)</b> 53:23;58:24;62:13; 63:11;134:15,19
	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>intrude (1)</b> 176:7	<b>iv (1)</b> 46:11	<b>judgments (2)</b> 54:4,8
	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>intrudes (1)</b> 144:1		<b>judicial (1)</b> 156:13
	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>intrusive (1)</b> 158:9		<b>July (1)</b> 94:22
	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>invasion (1)</b> 64:5		<b>jump (1)</b> 85:3
	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>investigate (1)</b> 100:19		<b>jumping (1)</b> 19:12
	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>investigation (1)</b> 151:3		<b>June (1)</b> 53:21
	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>invite (1)</b> 19:2		<b>juries (1)</b> 95:13
	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>inviting (1)</b> 77:1		<b>jurisdiction (5)</b> 53:25;55:12;90:11; 122:20;123:4
	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>invoke (2)</b> 111:4;147:22		<b>jurors (1)</b> 62:9
	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>invoking (2)</b> 29:22;150:20		<b>jury (14)</b> 26:3;54:5,11;69:3; 72:4,21;73:8,12,15; 74:5;95:18;105:13, 14;112:12
	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>involve (2)</b> 91:15;95:13		<b>jury-trial (1)</b>
	<b>intend (2)</b> 55:16;78:4	<b>involved (4)</b> 109:12;110:5; 156:25;162:18		



72:20 <b>justification (6)</b> 89:23;142:2,3,11, 13,15 <b>justified (1)</b> 146:5 <b>justify (3)</b> 18:4;114:21;148:22 <b>Justine (1)</b> 8:10	150:20,20;151:2; 152:22;154:8;157:22; 165:17,20,21,21; 166:4;168:15;172:8; 174:19 <b>kindly (1)</b> 43:23 <b>kinds (5)</b> 41:24;53:9,15; 120:4;165:24 <b>knew (4)</b> 31:16;64:1;150:25; 151:1 <b>knowing (1)</b> 39:20 <b>knowledge (4)</b> 38:6;150:22,23,23 <b>known (7)</b> 31:1;34:17;35:2; 43:18;44:8;51:6; 69:22 <b>knows (5)</b> 43:13;86:10; 116:25;147:16;162:2	<b>later (9)</b> 53:22;56:14;81:12; 91:15;112:2;141:18; 155:5;160:11;178:19 <b>laundry (1)</b> 55:19 <b>law (25)</b> 54:7;60:22;62:15, 16;63:1,6;86:11,14; 87:7,8;133:3,9,11,12, 15,17,22;134:12,16, 21;138:13,16;141:11; 154:16;160:2 <b>lawsuits (3)</b> 57:18;58:8;102:7 <b>lawyer (5)</b> 34:25;77:12; 101:16;121:4;122:2 <b>lawyers (8)</b> 34:23,24;35:20; 93:19;94:10;102:6; 162:13;167:20 <b>lay (3)</b> 20:13;86:17;88:18 <b>layer (1)</b> 91:22 <b>lead (3)</b> 77:12;163:17,18 <b>leading (1)</b> 167:20 <b>leaning (1)</b> 137:15 <b>learn (1)</b> 82:17 <b>least (9)</b> 59:22;60:9;63:13; 85:14;91:13;95:22; 138:1;155:10;162:9 <b>leave (3)</b> 36:13;128:4;131:17 <b>leaves (1)</b> 117:4 <b>Lee (37)</b> 7:1;8:20,22,22; 83:17;84:23,23;85:1, 2,5,7,11;90:21;92:5, 21;113:4,6,7;115:14, 19;118:23;119:2,15; 120:1,15,21;121:6; 122:24;123:1,2,3; 124:23,24;125:7,9; 126:8,10 <b>left (3)</b> 36:15;98:5;138:9 <b>legal (1)</b> 62:23 <b>legitimate (1)</b> 30:4 <b>lengthy (1)</b> 53:1 <b>leniency (1)</b> 65:15 <b>less (2)</b>	70:18;131:20 <b>Lesser (1)</b> 128:20 <b>letter (13)</b> 18:3,3;22:22;28:24; 34:15;49:10;137:19; 140:24,25;141:2; 149:23,24;152:11 <b>letters (2)</b> 143:8,10 <b>level (14)</b> 43:8;59:17,18;60:3; 72:1;81:1;85:24; 90:14;98:11;101:1; 111:21;135:1;155:6; 159:20 <b>levels (6)</b> 86:25;106:8; 117:14;120:24; 157:14;171:17 <b>Levin's (1)</b> 15:3 <b>liabilities (1)</b> 165:25 <b>liability (9)</b> 58:20;61:23;62:9, 17;133:19;134:23; 135:19;142:25; 143:16 <b>liable (2)</b> 61:24;62:10 <b>Liberty (1)</b> 141:12 <b>light (3)</b> 64:25;78:12;178:18 <b>liked (3)</b> 79:25;80:5;120:23 <b>likelihood (5)</b> 63:14;64:5;135:22; 148:13;157:5 <b>likely (5)</b> 64:2,5,21;128:14; 136:8 <b>likes (1)</b> 77:10 <b>limit (4)</b> 39:24;40:19;47:25; 137:6 <b>limitation (3)</b> 19:8;90:8;147:18 <b>limited (10)</b> 40:22;60:16;95:15; 124:2;144:22,24; 148:2;155:17;165:16; 177:2 <b>limiting (1)</b> 110:11 <b>limits (5)</b> 87:9;141:24,25; 142:24;143:16 <b>line (13)</b> 6:14,15,17;7:2; 20:3;44:1;96:7;	112:24;121:13;125:3; 150:16;152:20; 165:23 <b>lines (2)</b> 76:4;97:1 <b>linger (2)</b> 69:22;141:5 <b>linked (1)</b> 142:20 <b>liquidation (2)</b> 148:19,20 <b>list (12)</b> 11:21;24:9;27:11; 34:18;35:7,19;36:8; 49:19;55:19;57:21; 82:3,11 <b>listen (5)</b> 175:3;176:5,13,20, 23 <b>listing (1)</b> 57:18 <b>lists (3)</b> 38:11;40:7;117:12 <b>literal (1)</b> 12:8 <b>literalistic (2)</b> 35:24;36:10 <b>literally (5)</b> 35:3;51:22;90:11; 113:18,20 <b>litigant (1)</b> 137:23 <b>litigants (2)</b> 167:14;170:23 <b>litigate (2)</b> 72:4;170:23 <b>litigated (7)</b> 37:9;39:14;44:18; 45:7;95:12;151:17; 155:8 <b>litigation (17)</b> 30:3;36:15;79:18; 81:5;103:18;145:17, 22;151:2,24;152:22; 160:5;165:22;166:3; 169:25;174:18;176:2; 177:8 <b>litigations (1)</b> 121:13 <b>litigator (3)</b> 71:15;77:10,11 <b>little (29)</b> 10:7,7;15:17;16:16; 37:19;54:2;59:6; 65:14;74:20,24;75:4; 77:3,17;78:1;103:2; 106:7,21;108:11; 121:4;124:1,14; 126:5;130:16;151:16; 166:18;169:8;175:18; 176:4;178:19 <b>live (1)</b> 12:18
<b>K</b>				
<b>KAPLAN (92)</b> 7:12,12;9:8;11:22; 14:18,22;15:9,15; 17:3,5,7,8,13,18;18:1, 8,16,21,25;21:25; 22:1,3,13,17,19,25; 23:3,12,16,24;24:3, 11,15,24;25:1,3,5,7, 10,12;36:23,24;37:2; 38:18,20,22,25;41:22; 42:12,14,18,23;43:2, 4,7,11;44:17,20,22; 45:6,14,19;51:20,23, 25;52:3,6,9,11,13,18, 22;76:13,16;84:1,3; 128:12,16,23;129:1,6, 6,17;146:16;159:2; 163:9,12,15;164:1; 165:3;173:25;177:18 <b>Kaplan's (1)</b> 46:17 <b>keep (15)</b> 17:16;23:8;82:22; 94:3;104:17,17; 107:14;117:11,15; 135:5;136:1,13; 158:19,20,21 <b>keeping (1)</b> 95:18 <b>keeps (1)</b> 94:20 <b>Keller (1)</b> 7:6 <b>kept (1)</b> 23:13 <b>key (4)</b> 123:11;133:15; 134:25;141:23 <b>keys (2)</b> 77:3,17 <b>kids (1)</b> 71:7 <b>Kim (1)</b> 7:6 <b>kind (29)</b> 12:15;13:12;20:7; 24:7;26:19;35:23; 53:11;61:5;64:4; 71:22;103:20;114:3; 124:9;136:4;149:16;	<b>kindly (1)</b> 43:23 <b>kinds (5)</b> 41:24;53:9,15; 120:4;165:24 <b>knew (4)</b> 31:16;64:1;150:25; 151:1 <b>knowing (1)</b> 39:20 <b>knowledge (4)</b> 38:6;150:22,23,23 <b>known (7)</b> 31:1;34:17;35:2; 43:18;44:8;51:6; 69:22 <b>knows (5)</b> 43:13;86:10; 116:25;147:16;162:2			
	<b>L</b>			
	<b>La (2)</b> 105:12,12 <b>lack (2)</b> 159:10;166:11 <b>lacks (1)</b> 59:24 <b>Lafferty (2)</b> 6:6;69:2 <b>land (2)</b> 75:8;105:12 <b>landing (1)</b> 71:11 <b>Lane (4)</b> 146:6,9,14;149:8 <b>language (10)</b> 25:1;27:10;118:12, 24;119:11;123:19; 124:17;126:22; 127:11;175:24 <b>Lardner (3)</b> 6:23;8:18,22 <b>large (3)</b> 13:2;59:23;173:5 <b>largely (1)</b> 63:10 <b>largest (1)</b> 130:22 <b>last (19)</b> 10:13;50:3;56:17; 64:23;68:6;81:16; 110:6;130:17,17,23; 131:19;148:18; 166:15;167:6;171:5; 172:3,12,24;174:8 <b>late (1)</b> 177:23			

<b>lived (1)</b> 102:22	47:10,16;82:22; 97:14;107:5;108:24;	105:22	15:11;19:21;21:1; 22:8;32:10;37:19;	15;176:11,15
<b>living (1)</b> 149:18	117:16,17;119:3; 125:23;145:14;	<b>manner (1)</b> 108:19	67:1;69:25;70:18,18; 77:21;78:23;84:7;	<b>mediations (4)</b> 144:16;155:10; 160:16;162:18
<b>Lloyd's (2)</b> 56:9;57:5	153:15;155:18	<b>manners (2)</b> 26:24;153:9	96:17;97:25;99:24;	<b>mediator (11)</b> 138:9;144:13; 145:24;152:11;
<b>Local (2)</b> 68:19;92:2	<b>lots (5)</b> 98:21;106:4; 155:15,15;158:11	<b>manual (1)</b> 151:23	100:6;101:15;103:8; 106:17;112:11;	155:22,25;156:5; 160:4,20;176:15,20
<b>locate (1)</b> 158:23	<b>love (5)</b> 82:17;84:1;171:6,9, 10	<b>manuals (4)</b> 136:16,24;151:16, 19	129:18;153:17,18; 158:14;161:11,13,21; 165:14;169:3;170:9, 11;176:17;177:25; 179:3	<b>mediators (8)</b> 152:12,15;155:23; 156:3,16,17,18,19
<b>lock (1)</b> 106:9	<b>Lowenstein (6)</b> 7:10,13;17:8;36:24; 91:4;129:7	<b>many (12)</b> 41:25;44:6,6;55:2; 61:12;81:16;96:9; 151:12;152:14;154:2; 155:1;162:2	<b>maze (1)</b> 26:4	<b>medical (1)</b> 110:24
<b>Lockheed (1)</b> 63:4	<b>Lowenstein's (1)</b> 21:14	<b>March (1)</b> 57:15	<b>mean (61)</b> 12:7,9;16:23;19:11; 23:7,20;29:7;30:7,25; 33:7;38:5,21;42:6; 44:11,13;50:4,9; 52:20;59:11;65:19; 67:6;68:8,12;69:8; 70:1;73:4,14;76:15; 81:18;82:14;86:3,4; 92:11;97:10;98:5,7, 14;99:8,19;100:23; 105:10;108:8;111:24; 123:3;125:23;127:24; 128:18,21;152:25; 158:3,24;159:14; 160:24;163:16;168:5; 171:15;172:7;175:2, 19;176:19;179:5	<b>meet (1)</b> 111:14
<b>locking (1)</b> 95:16	<b>lower (2)</b> 76:22;98:5	<b>Marie (4)</b> 8:18;10:20;76:19; 83:5	<b>meaning (3)</b> 69:11;137:11,12	<b>meet-and-confer (4)</b> 71:2;75:25;78:21; 80:6
<b>London (2)</b> 9:25;10:3	<b>lucky (1)</b> 77:10	<b>Mark (5)</b> 8:1;66:21;81:10; 96:6;140:8	<b>meaningful (1)</b> 132:17	<b>meets (1)</b> 159:15
<b>long (5)</b> 12:20;111:22,23; 174:13;175:5	<b>Ludgate (10)</b> 63:3,8,10,12,15,18; 64:10,14,20;65:25	<b>Market (2)</b> 9:25;10:3	<b>means (2)</b> 39:9;53:15	<b>meld (1)</b> 98:19
<b>longer (1)</b> 128:19	<b>lunch (2)</b> 128:13,15	<b>Marsal (1)</b> 12:5	<b>meant (5)</b> 12:8;35:10;47:25; 91:14;144:5	<b>members (1)</b> 105:14
<b>Look (43)</b> 16:9;24:21;26:19; 27:1;31:22;38:3;42:2, 7;43:23;48:6;52:24; 64:3;72:24,24;74:3, 23;80:21,22;82:21; 88:21;101:4;102:22; 104:5;108:8;112:24; 114:14;123:11; 126:11,14,25;127:5; 135:11;137:21; 142:25;144:21; 151:22;155:17;156:1; 161:11,12;169:23,24; 174:6	<b>lying (1)</b> 167:4	<b>Marsal's (1)</b> 11:12	<b>memory (3)</b> 45:3;103:5;123:12	<b>mental (1)</b> 135:1
<b>looked (5)</b> 21:2;71:25;79:21; 113:11;142:11	<b>M</b>	<b>Martin (2)</b> 63:4;138:25	<b>meantime (1)</b> 14:5	<b>mention (2)</b> 11:12;83:7
<b>looking (21)</b> 43:16,17;48:22; 63:5,19;64:11;66:18; 67:10;75:5,17;80:20; 151:6;153:9,12; 157:5;159:21;165:13; 166:15;172:25;175:1; 178:13	<b>MABA (1)</b> 133:5	<b>material (2)</b> 102:19;111:11	<b>measures (1)</b> 26:25	<b>mentioned (6)</b> 11:18;89:7;91:22; 92:5,21,25
<b>looks (5)</b> 12:15;21:4;119:6,7; 134:21	<b>maddening (1)</b> 126:4	<b>Matt (2)</b> 9:15;84:23	<b>mechanism (6)</b> 19:9;21:3;36:7; 99:16;100:18;104:4	<b>mere (1)</b> 96:25
<b>loose (1)</b> 109:21	<b>Madison (2)</b> 146:7;149:8	<b>matter (24)</b> 6:8,9;17:22;26:17; 27:19;28:5;37:17; 38:6,25;42:1,10,16, 18;47:2;48:6,16; 54:11;55:12;87:13; 108:22;117:1;131:22; 154:15;169:9	<b>mechanisms (1)</b> 106:5	<b>merit (1)</b> 94:12
<b>lose (1)</b> 168:10	<b>main (24)</b> 37:15,17;39:1; 40:16,19;53:6;72:14; 87:23;91:7,19; 107:11;108:7,13; 109:20;113:22,23; 114:14;116:13;120:3; 127:21;131:2;147:15, 21;165:19	<b>Matthew (1)</b> 8:22	<b>mediate (1)</b> 78:6	<b>merits (1)</b> 61:3
<b>loses (1)</b> 99:24	<b>Mainly (1)</b> 73:7	<b>may (36)</b> 22:5;30:9,16;35:19; 38:22;42:23;44:8; 46:1;54:25;61:1,1,2, 2;64:1;72:20;83:5; 86:13;92:3;115:5; 119:9,23;127:17; 129:11;131:5;133:5, 6,15;134:5;137:1; 140:10;143:24;155:7, 16;164:20;178:6,10	<b>mediation (35)</b> 79:3;130:15,20; 132:18;138:5;142:6, 8,21;143:20;144:10, 11,12,15,16;145:8,21; 146:5;149:6;151:21, 22;152:10,17;156:21, 24;159:1,6;164:14,18, 22;170:21;172:14,14,	<b>met (1)</b> 149:6
<b>lost (4)</b> 40:7;60:18;100:1; 136:8	<b>maintain (3)</b> 90:14,15;138:2	<b>maybe (39)</b> 8:6;10:21;14:11,11;		<b>meta (7)</b> 59:17;60:3;81:5; 133:7;134:25;137:16; 138:7
<b>lot (16)</b> 10:18;19:11;36:24;	<b>makes (10)</b> 35:25;36:2,4,17; 100:18;128:1;134:8; 148:6;160:17;166:10			<b>meta-level (1)</b> 80:21
	<b>making (1)</b> 88:2			<b>method (1)</b> 94:3
	<b>management (4)</b> 11:9;68:6;79:22; 83:3			<b>Michael (4)</b> 7:12;17:8;36:24; 129:6
	<b>mandatory (1)</b> 115:21			<b>microphone (1)</b> 7:18
	<b>mangled (1)</b> 52:4			<b>Microsoft (2)</b> 106:5,13
	<b>manifest (1)</b>			<b>middle (3)</b> 75:7,8;160:22
				<b>mid-February (1)</b> 77:21
				<b>might (42)</b> 12:6;15:9;17:3;

37:20;40:9;42:4; 43:25;54:2;63:15,16; 66:1;70:25;78:6;93:8; 96:17;98:12,14,15; 101:3;106:17;107:17; 109:14;112:9;116:6; 125:2;130:1,2; 145:25;149:2;152:12; 155:11;158:10,13; 159:24,25;160:2,4,7; 162:10;165:19; 166:17;174:25	<b>modification (2)</b> 37:15;106:19 <b>modifications (1)</b> 126:16 <b>modified (5)</b> 23:22;98:20; 100:21;121:9;126:22 <b>modify (5)</b> 18:19;92:12; 115:13;121:6;126:18 <b>modifying (1)</b> 106:19 <b>moment (2)</b> 76:3;93:16 <b>moments (1)</b> 131:23 <b>Monday (4)</b> 42:24;52:16;67:11, 12 <b>Montali (4)</b> 47:1;96:17;101:3; 103:4 <b>Montali's (1)</b> 172:22 <b>month (2)</b> 129:18;174:6 <b>months (16)</b> 36:15;69:23;80:25; 85:12;89:9,10,10; 92:22;118:15,15; 129:18;150:8;155:18, 18,19;166:9 <b>Moore (5)</b> 13:8;178:1,21; 179:4,8 <b>moot (5)</b> 15:20;16:23;17:10; 19:4,20 <b>moral (1)</b> 89:5 <b>more (33)</b> 37:19;41:3;51:15; 53:14;59:3,16,18; 60:5,25;61:8;63:9; 65:6;66:24;68:24; 69:9;78:24;87:9;88:7; 89:9;99:2;102:3; 119:21;130:5;133:23; 150:24;159:14; 162:17;164:21;166:1, 3;168:14;174:18; 177:7 <b>morning (30)</b> 6:22;7:5,12,16,20; 8:1,3,4,14,20,21,25; 9:12,14,15,21,22,23, 24;10:1,2,16:7;17:7; 36:23;37:10;70:21; 79:15;83:8;92:25; 150:4 <b>Moses (3)</b> 6:22,22;7:1 <b>most (18)</b>	15:12;22:19;57:21; 59:8;77:7;86:12,13; 92:5;97:16;100:14; 109:8;114:1;121:13; 127:1;151:24;152:2; 155:2;157:18 <b>motion (83)</b> 11:5,20;14:16; 15:10,13;16:3,13,16; 17:23;19:5,16;23:4, 25;25:8,13,16;29:6; 37:8,11;45:6,8;50:5, 13;52:1,21;54:13,21; 58:18;59:15,16;61:6, 8;64:9;66:2,23;68:15, 18,23;69:7,21;72:12, 16;74:18;75:13; 77:19,25;78:22,24; 79:1,1;80:7,18;81:12; 86:23;88:1;90:17,18; 91:9;112:11;129:10, 19;131:12;137:20; 139:23;140:3,11,14, 17,19;141:3,14,21; 142:4;143:18;145:13; 147:24;149:4,10,11, 12;164:7,10,19 <b>motions (22)</b> 14:10;16:13;18:18; 25:21;42:3;53:2,18; 55:17;61:7,7;69:12; 77:21;78:17;80:25; 81:15;84:8,16,24; 85:8;90:16;91:6; 116:18 <b>motivations (1)</b> 116:5 <b>motive (1)</b> 21:9 <b>move (7)</b> 52:19;78:7;84:10; 92:4;130:7,13,18 <b>moved (3)</b> 59:1;110:24;147:16 <b>moves (1)</b> 110:10 <b>Moving (16)</b> 6:19;28:14;29:25; 36:11;38:14;46:8; 78:11;130:15,18; 131:4;132:16;166:7; 174:4,4;175:16,17 <b>much (37)</b> 16:7;20:23;36:21; 54:2;60:5;66:24; 68:24;69:5;72:20; 76:6;77:12;81:1; 82:21;83:19;90:20; 93:14;107:18;131:23; 137:14;139:19; 143:15;147:20,21,21; 154:18;159:6;160:24; 163:23;165:1;166:3;	171:25;174:1,18; 176:8;177:19;179:11, 14 <b>multiple (1)</b> 117:2 <b>must (4)</b> 62:16;111:7,7; 133:25 <b>mystery (1)</b> 136:2 <b>N</b> <b>nail (2)</b> 20:8;22:5 <b>name (6)</b> 8:5;21:4;41:17; 42:20;109:11;168:4 <b>names (9)</b> 26:9;30:12;100:15; 108:16,18;109:2,9,15; 111:20 <b>nature (5)</b> 88:14;142:5,7; 143:19;144:6 <b>nauseam (1)</b> 86:10 <b>NDA (2)</b> 107:4,15 <b>nearly (1)</b> 45:10 <b>necessarily (11)</b> 24:13;33:9;53:13; 60:16;63:8;64:13; 69:7;104:1;111:3; 153:5;158:21 <b>necessary (5)</b> 35:19;115:7;131:6; 153:13;177:5 <b>need (70)</b> 13:5,5;21:7;25:25; 26:1,1,2;30:16;40:3; 46:2;48:20,22;50:3; 53:19;55:20;57:21; 58:11;61:4;65:24; 66:24;81:1,18,21; 82:23;92:16,20;94:5, 15;104:4,10;106:20; 108:21;115:17; 124:10;127:6;129:24; 130:1;131:15;132:19, 22;133:14;134:7; 138:6,8;142:20,21; 143:20;144:9;146:20, 23;147:2,9;148:25; 149:9;151:14,23; 152:18;156:1;159:4; 161:1,2,4;162:21; 166:9;171:12;175:11, 18;176:21;178:4,5 <b>needed (6)</b> 142:4,7,22;147:4; 158:25;164:21	<b>needs (12)</b> 23:24;60:6;63:20; 64:9;66:4;83:11; 85:23;92:20;138:5; 143:18;154:19; 155:24 <b>negating (1)</b> 77:23 <b>negative (1)</b> 49:16 <b>negligent (1)</b> 57:19 <b>negotiate (1)</b> 114:7 <b>negotiated (1)</b> 118:1 <b>negotiations (1)</b> 156:21 <b>neither (7)</b> 24:3;38:17;59:10; 80:1,1;90:2;146:14 <b>NERA (4)</b> 30:9;38:11,12; 43:17 <b>neutral (1)</b> 130:2 <b>nevertheless (1)</b> 43:7 <b>New (19)</b> 67:18;91:21,23; 92:18;93:9,17,17; 94:2;138:25;140:19; 141:4,9;146:6; 149:17;152:4;173:3, 10,15,20 <b>News (3)</b> 93:24;101:18; 121:25 <b>newspaper (1)</b> 102:2 <b>next (11)</b> 25:11;52:17;71:4; 77:24;82:7;83:24; 84:6;90:22;133:17; 169:10;178:3 <b>Nice (5)</b> 7:23;8:12,17;83:19; 171:9 <b>nicely (1)</b> 132:1 <b>nine (2)</b> 40:8,10 <b>nineteen (1)</b> 140:16 <b>ninety-nine (1)</b> 73:23 <b>Ninth (4)</b> 62:15,20;94:6; 101:23 <b>nobody (9)</b> 20:11,12;22:11; 24:19;30:2;31:16; 47:14,14;101:25
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

<b>noncore (1)</b> 55:12	<b>numbers (11)</b> 13:1;55:24;56:3,10; 15:57:1;63:16;64:1,1; 151:14;161:12	8:10;67:18 <b>official (17)</b> 96:5,7;99:5,12; 100:21;101:24; 106:18;110:7;112:19, 20,23,25;121:18; 122:11,14;123:12; 126:23	116:15;118:7;144:24; 146:20;148:14; 157:13;164:9;171:8; 178:14	93:4,4,5,6,10;94:5,15, 21,21;95:11;96:18; 97:8,22;98:25;99:22; 100:5,7,10,24;101:4, 20,23,24;102:15; 104:15,20,22;105:2; 106:20,25;107:1; 108:4,7,12,13;110:8, 9,11;111:6,16,18,22; 112:19;113:8,12,16, 17,18,18,19,20,25; 114:2,5,7,14,15; 115:15,21,24,25; 116:1,8,9,13,22; 117:3,5,24,25;118:1, 3,4,7,18;119:21; 120:3,6,10,10,23; 121:22;122:9,16; 123:5;125:1,2,20,21; 126:1,18;127:12,12, 20,21;131:23;132:19; 144:2;146:23;148:20; 151:15;177:15,16
<b>nondebtors (1)</b> 90:10	<b>O</b>	<b>often (2)</b> 153:20;156:4	<b>onto (1)</b> 25:12	<b>ordered (7)</b> 114:9;115:3,10; 120:19,21;123:14; 124:21
<b>nondisclosure (4)</b> 94:23,25;95:5; 103:15	<b>OAKLAND (6)</b> 6:1,16,18,24;53:22; 173:3	<b>Oftentimes (1)</b> 109:11	<b>oOo- (1)</b> 6:2	<b>ordering (1)</b> 100:5
<b>none (2)</b> 18:17;165:17	<b>object (4)</b> 40:6;41:15;49:25; 67:25	<b>O'Melveny (2)</b> 8:5;19:3	<b>oops (1)</b> 22:23	<b>orders (28)</b> 15:21;17:22;20:2,3, 20;27:9;36:2,13; 52:19;54:4,8,20; 84:14,22;85:17; 87:22;92:3;93:6; 94:19;104:24;108:11; 110:23;113:13;114:1; 115:1;120:4,10; 125:12
<b>nonissue (1)</b> 107:9	<b>objected (3)</b> 41:17;91:7;161:23	<b>once (3)</b> 37:9;99:23;138:15	<b>open (5)</b> 96:2;98:21;110:14; 118:4;167:22	<b>ordinary (2)</b> 138:2;170:25
<b>nonpublic (2)</b> 86:6,12	<b>objection (5)</b> 16:19;51:3;88:17; 140:12;159:10	<b>one (84)</b> 11:20,23;12:22,24; 13:13;16:3,24;17:20; 37:15;41:21;43:25; 48:22;50:4;53:4,17; 58:4;59:17;60:10,16; 65:1,18;69:17;72:10, 14;74:15;80:17; 84:25;85:9,11;89:18; 91:22;92:6,15,24; 98:8,9,10;103:25; 108:7,12;110:23; 113:21;115:11,12; 116:24;117:12; 118:14;121:4;127:10; 139:14,15;140:10,14; 141:21,23;142:22; 144:19;146:12;147:1; 148:12;149:1;153:22; 157:4,11;158:14; 159:8;160:10,13; 161:2,3,22,23,24,25; 162:20;164:9,12; 167:20;169:8;172:24; 173:10;174:3,4; 175:11	<b>opening (3)</b> 147:23;152:11; 157:23	<b>organizational (4)</b> 136:15,16;144:8,19
<b>nor (4)</b> 24:3;38:17;59:11; 90:2	<b>objections (1)</b> 146:8	<b>one-off (1)</b> 148:21	<b>operating (2)</b> 89:10;118:25	<b>organized (1)</b> 136:13
<b>normally (4)</b> 74:10;97:16;100:4, 12	<b>obligated (1)</b> 58:18	<b>onerous (3)</b> 40:18;43:14,17	<b>opinion (1)</b> 167:4	<b>original (3)</b> 146:3;173:1,7
<b>North (1)</b> 56:18	<b>obligation (5)</b> 60:11;147:2; 167:13,16;170:2	<b>ones (9)</b> 24:12;49:18;51:6; 99:12;107:7,12; 131:12;171:8;172:4	<b>opinions (1)</b> 26:23	<b>Orleans (2)</b> 93:17,17
<b>Northern (6)</b> 6:5;85:25;88:21; 89:6;119:22;162:16	<b>obligations (3)</b> 56:13;62:23;170:22	<b>one's (1)</b> 40:22	<b>opportunity (4)</b> 44:6,9;71:2;134:1	<b>others (4)</b> 43:21;44:14;70:7; 150:25
<b>note (3)</b> 91:25;92:7,24	<b>observation (1)</b> 41:24	<b>one-sided (2)</b> 23:20;24:15	<b>opposed (4)</b> 50:24;100:24; 134:13;169:11	<b>otherwise (17)</b> 37:23;39:6,22; 90:11;105:4,5; 111:24;115:3,9; 123:14;124:16,17,21; 131:15,20;146:13; 169:10
<b>noted (1)</b> 77:9	<b>observe (1)</b> 76:24	<b>only (30)</b> 23:9,10,18;24:12; 28:17;39:5,7,17; 40:16;54:21;58:19; 70:21;86:16;88:16; 89:3;90:12,12;91:22; 101:14;108:5;115:6;	<b>opposing (1)</b> 91:1	<b>ought (6)</b> 34:2,4;84:7;94:7; 126:17,20
<b>notes (2)</b> 55:19;157:5	<b>obviously (5)</b> 28:21;32:22;69:4; 85:16;119:21		<b>opposite (1)</b> 88:13	<b>ours (1)</b>
<b>notice (18)</b> 29:18;39:12;40:4; 44:4;45:16;49:13,16, 16,21,23;50:11,24; 51:4,5,14,15;124:10; 156:13	<b>occasion (2)</b> 70:17;167:17		<b>opposition (2)</b> 139:24;147:25	
<b>noticed (2)</b> 49:17;50:5	<b>occurred (1)</b> 44:23		<b>optical (1)</b> 61:22	
<b>notices (1)</b> 35:14	<b>occurring (1)</b> 39:3		<b>optional (1)</b> 92:1	
<b>noting (1)</b> 148:12	<b>occurs (1)</b> 55:2		<b>oral (1)</b> 62:25	
<b>notion (5)</b> 37:24;48:5;107:2; 108:15;110:19	<b>October (1)</b> 53:2		<b>oranges (2)</b> 165:18,20	
<b>notwithstanding (3)</b> 89:7,8,9	<b>oddly (1)</b> 178:17		<b>order (210)</b> 6:3;10:19;11:2,6; 12:14;13:16;15:19; 16:12,24;17:10,11,14, 19,24;18:5,12,13,17, 19;19:9,18,21;20:4,7; 22:10;23:21;24:5,17, 18;25:13;27:6,8,20; 28:9,13,20,23,25; 29:10;31:6,20;32:20; 35:11,13,24,25;36:3; 37:8,11;38:4;39:4,9; 41:8;44:14;46:7,15, 18,19;47:17;49:16,23, 25;50:20,22;82:13; 84:8;85:8,17,20,22; 86:15,20,21;87:4,4,6, 14,18,24;88:4,16,18, 20,22,24;89:2,5,11, 16,20,20,25;90:4,8; 91:6,9,10,12,17,20,21, 24;92:4,6,12,18,18;	
<b>Novak's (1)</b> 172:21	<b>off (13)</b> 15:11,12;30:8;40:9; 67:17;70:19;72:3; 85:1;87:6;88:22;98:8; 110:14;132:15		<b>order (210)</b> 6:3;10:19;11:2,6; 12:14;13:16;15:19; 16:12,24;17:10,11,14, 19,24;18:5,12,13,17, 19;19:9,18,21;20:4,7; 22:10;23:21;24:5,17, 18;25:13;27:6,8,20; 28:9,13,20,23,25; 29:10;31:6,20;32:20; 35:11,13,24,25;36:3; 37:8,11;38:4;39:4,9; 41:8;44:14;46:7,15, 18,19;47:17;49:16,23, 25;50:20,22;82:13; 84:8;85:8,17,20,22; 86:15,20,21;87:4,4,6, 14,18,24;88:4,16,18, 20,22,24;89:2,5,11, 16,20,20,25;90:4,8; 91:6,9,10,12,17,20,21, 24;92:4,6,12,18,18;	
<b>NOVEMBER (4)</b> 6:1;64:24;65:1; 150:8	<b>offended (2)</b> 54:22;73:4		<b>operating (2)</b> 89:10;118:25	
<b>novo (1)</b> 55:15	<b>offer (5)</b> 106:11;111:15; 143:12;157:21; 160:19		<b>opinion (1)</b> 167:4	
<b>number (18)</b> 6:15,15,17,17,18; 34:23;37:15;57:9; 68:20;102:21,21; 128:18;150:17,18; 167:6,9,24;172:25	<b>offered (5)</b> 108:25;111:9; 134:1;149:7;162:4		<b>opinions (1)</b> 26:23	
	<b>offering (2)</b> 147:5;162:1		<b>opportunity (4)</b> 44:6,9;71:2;134:1	
	<b>office (2)</b>		<b>opposed (4)</b> 50:24;100:24; 134:13;169:11	



125:24 <b>ourselves (5)</b> 37:6;132:7,10,13; 151:10 <b>out (61)</b> 6:20;11:12;13:1; 21:23;23:25;24:6; 27:7;28:11;35:11; 39:18;49:17,21,23; 59:16;63:10;67:9,15; 78:5;79:6;80:14; 82:10;84:8,13;89:3; 94:13;97:3;98:18; 110:1,22;111:6; 112:18;114:19,25; 115:11,13;117:4; 118:19;120:2;122:21; 124:6;125:25;133:4; 7;134:6,25;137:10; 140:23;143:15,16; 145:4;147:20;148:4; 150:7,10;151:12; 155:24;159:5;162:12; 12;165:7;177:14 <b>outcome (1)</b> 72:9 <b>outcomes (1)</b> 97:5 <b>outset (1)</b> 173:19 <b>outside (1)</b> 106:10 <b>over (16)</b> 6:19;13:4;36:15; 37:13;88:14;90:11; 99:25;100:1;120:7; 12;131:20;134:5; 142:10;143:21; 166:17;171:5 <b>over0bjecting (1)</b> 43:23 <b>overall (1)</b> 131:18 <b>overcomplication (1)</b> 107:14 <b>overlap (2)</b> 52:24;132:1 <b>overreach (1)</b> 22:8 <b>override (1)</b> 107:1 <b>oversight (1)</b> 28:9 <b>overt (1)</b> 148:10 <b>overview (1)</b> 129:9 <b>own (6)</b> 28:21;36:13;97:12; 121:15;137:3;149:3 <b>owned (1)</b> 61:22	<b>P</b> <b>pace (1)</b> 84:9 <b>Pacific (10)</b> 6:16;8:5,6;19:4; 25:18;55:22;57:14; 70:15,16;93:15 <b>package (2)</b> 16:12,17 <b>page (2)</b> 10:22;95:3 <b>paid (7)</b> 33:17;62:10; 135:17;136:12;144:3, 6;150:14 <b>pain (1)</b> 117:23 <b>papers (14)</b> 63:1;81:2;110:8; 135:10,14;136:2; 137:20;139:14,16; 142:4;154:11,12; 174:16;175:7 <b>parade (1)</b> 110:5 <b>paragraph (2)</b> 120:18,25 <b>paragraphs (1)</b> 114:14 <b>parallel (3)</b> 41:25;53:6;77:13 <b>paraphrasing (1)</b> 130:7 <b>parcel (2)</b> 131:5;137:4 <b>parsing (1)</b> 50:3 <b>part (9)</b> 19:14;38:2;54:2; 68:8;87:21;107:11; 131:5;137:4;138:6 <b>participants (2)</b> 130:14;132:17 <b>participate (2)</b> 130:10,11 <b>particular (14)</b> 12:5;17:20;34:7; 44:11;50:7,8;63:2; 145:7;148:2;159:5,7, 21;160:24;168:5 <b>particularized (2)</b> 59:18;60:5 <b>particularly (6)</b> 30:24;43:14;63:23, 24;75:2;148:23 <b>particulars (1)</b> 60:25 <b>parties (42)</b> 6:19;28:7;31:21; 39:1,10;40:5,10; 45:14;50:18,19;53:3;	61:25;69:24;78:11, 14;83:3;86:19;89:10; 90:15;91:18;92:2; 94:24;95:9;100:25; 101:1;102:16;103:10, 11,12;112:23;114:19; 116:21;119:19; 121:14;122:21; 125:17;127:5;156:5, 19;160:21;162:10; 165:4 <b>parties' (1)</b> 59:9 <b>parties-in-interest (1)</b> 117:2 <b>partner (1)</b> 7:22 <b>parts (1)</b> 29:11 <b>party (28)</b> 15:25;19:14,19; 20:5,9,19;21:23;24:7; 27:11;28:14;38:8; 39:22;43:12;45:2,12; 46:11;68:18;74:1; 85:15;91:9;97:9; 101:6;103:16;115:4, 5,9,10;118:4 <b>passed (2)</b> 85:12;124:25 <b>past (4)</b> 42:11;134:9; 143:21;150:14 <b>patent (6)</b> 61:22,24;86:1,2; 92:13;99:8 <b>path (4)</b> 76:25;77:13; 130:15;132:16 <b>paths (1)</b> 59:11 <b>patience (1)</b> 126:6 <b>pause (2)</b> 76:3;79:10 <b>pay (11)</b> 21:14;24:8;61:25; 62:10;132:9;134:4,7, 17;146:23;162:4; 167:12 <b>paying (1)</b> 149:2 <b>payment (1)</b> 157:21 <b>payments (1)</b> 143:21 <b>pea (1)</b> 130:17 <b>penalty (1)</b> 105:2 <b>pending (11)</b> 57:18;93:24; 129:23;131:13,18,24;	147:22;148:9;161:20; 165:9;169:10 <b>penny (1)</b> 64:13 <b>people (34)</b> 20:12;30:3,8;31:7; 36:5;40:12;41:16; 44:8;55:2;67:17; 82:22;83:25;86:9,17, 19;89:4,19;90:13; 104:10;111:20; 115:12,22;117:13,14, 21;124:2;142:21; 145:17;147:22; 153:16;158:4,5; 175:10;176:13 <b>people's (1)</b> 109:2 <b>per (1)</b> 162:5 <b>perceive (1)</b> 173:3 <b>perceived (1)</b> 19:20 <b>percent (2)</b> 73:23;161:3 <b>perfect (1)</b> 80:2 <b>perfectly (1)</b> 95:6 <b>performing (1)</b> 13:2 <b>perhaps (2)</b> 178:6,10 <b>peril (1)</b> 32:22 <b>period (16)</b> 41:14;55:25;56:20, 22;57:1,6,9,15;62:6; 69:23;142:23;146:24; 159:13;166:17; 170:25;173:6 <b>periods (6)</b> 56:4,7,11,16;57:12; 175:5 <b>permissible (1)</b> 111:8 <b>permission (1)</b> 117:19 <b>permit (2)</b> 18:14;64:18 <b>permitted (6)</b> 19:24;47:2;49:25; 61:9;115:4;149:17 <b>perpetrator (2)</b> 109:21,23 <b>perpetrators (1)</b> 110:5 <b>perseverance (1)</b> 126:6 <b>persists (1)</b> 59:13 <b>person (8)</b>	27:23;29:15;38:5; 42:19;98:11;104:2; 124:16;157:12 <b>personal (2)</b> 72:1;73:12 <b>personally (3)</b> 43:25;71:11;72:5 <b>personnel (1)</b> 57:21 <b>perspective (5)</b> 68:22;78:20; 119:25;147:7;175:23 <b>persuasive (1)</b> 97:18 <b>pertinent (3)</b> 38:6;40:11;63:1 <b>PG&amp;E (1)</b> 113:10 <b>ph (2)</b> 133:5;138:18 <b>phonetic (2)</b> 30:9;43:9 <b>phrase (1)</b> 148:13 <b>pick (3)</b> 9:9;103:4;124:6 <b>picked (2)</b> 26:24;146:17 <b>piece (1)</b> 14:19 <b>pieces (1)</b> 23:5 <b>pile (2)</b> 104:11;124:7 <b>pin (1)</b> 119:17 <b>place (12)</b> 24:16;60:18;84:25; 94:4,22;95:17; 103:15;141:8;156:21; 162:16;172:19; 175:12 <b>placed (1)</b> 148:18 <b>plaintiff (31)</b> 53:21;55:24;56:2,6; 57:9,19,23,25;58:7, 10,12,16,19,22;59:1, 2,23,25;60:8,10,11, 13,14,17,20;61:9; 64:4,18,24;68:10; 148:9 <b>plaintiffs (8)</b> 35:4;53:24;54:3; 58:3;93:18;94:10; 102:6;110:23 <b>plaintiffs' (5)</b> 34:23,24,25;35:20; 58:4 <b>plan (6)</b> 95:9;107:5;130:1, 17;161:25;171:4 <b>plane (1)</b>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

83:12 <b>planning (2)</b> 24:8;128:13 <b>plans (3)</b> 86:5;151:10;161:21 <b>plausibility (2)</b> 64:11;20 <b>plausible (2)</b> 63:21;169:1 <b>plausibly (1)</b> 63:13 <b>play (4)</b> 110:1;133:4,7; 134:25 <b>plays (1)</b> 59:16 <b>plea (1)</b> 141:6 <b>pleading (6)</b> 15:3;61:4;63:9,13, 15:64:15 <b>pleadings (2)</b> 62:11;68:11 <b>Please (1)</b> 6:7 <b>pleasure (2)</b> 16:22;24:24 <b>pled (1)</b> 65:23 <b>plenty (1)</b> 69:1 <b>Plevin (77)</b> 8:1,1;15:7;66:21, 21;67:3,5,17,21; 73:25;81:10,10,14,19, 22,25;82:3,5,7,10,13, 16,18,20;84:5;140:8, 8;141:23;144:20,24; 145:2;153:3,18,20,22, 25;154:2,5,9,12,15; 156:3,8,10,12;157:4; 158:1,4,8;159:19; 160:2,4,9,13;161:10, 14,17,19;162:24; 163:3,17,20;164:13, 22;165:7,14;166:13; 172:1,3,6,9,11; 173:23;174:9,10; 175:15;176:17 <b>plus (1)</b> 80:21 <b>podium (2)</b> 70:4;132:14 <b>point (51)</b> 13:10;18:6;22:9; 26:3;34:14;37:21; 38:20,23;42:1;43:7; 54:14;63:17;81:4; 82:22,25;86:14;87:8, 18,23;88:17;94:5,12; 95:22;96:7;99:21; 110:6;113:15;114:25; 117:14;118:19;	125:25;132:3,12; 138:24;139:6;149:23; 150:2;154:22;155:6, 11;156:15,25;160:13; 161:2;164:12;167:18; 172:3,24;174:17; 175:3;176:19 <b>pointed (6)</b> 63:10;114:19; 120:2,2;140:23; 146:12 <b>pointing (1)</b> 172:9 <b>points (7)</b> 37:2;46:5;60:9; 90:16;109:7;152:3; 164:6 <b>police (1)</b> 41:9 <b>policies (41)</b> 55:24;56:2,6,6,10, 15;57:6,12;58:5,7; 60:25;61:1;62:24; 64:6,12,21;133:19; 134:22,23;136:7,8,9, 16,20,21,22,23,25; 142:19,19,21,21; 143:2,4,15;146:10; 147:8;153:4,10; 154:5;159:25 <b>policy (32)</b> 55:24;56:3,10,19, 19,21,23,25;57:1,3,8, 9,15;62:8,18,20; 64:17;100:12;136:11; 137:12,12;138:6; 142:23;143:1,6; 145:6;146:24;147:11; 149:3;151:23;153:11; 162:3 <b>policyholders (1)</b> 62:22 <b>portfolio (1)</b> 143:24 <b>portion (2)</b> 92:5;118:19 <b>pose (1)</b> 96:20 <b>posing (1)</b> 166:2 <b>position (20)</b> 24:11,12;26:13; 35:18,22;36:14;42:3; 43:20;59:22;71:16; 80:14;90:25;109:23; 119:6;143:8,10,11; 159:5;165:12;166:4 <b>positions (3)</b> 114:21;132:12; 176:2 <b>positive (1)</b> 27:4 <b>Poslusny's (1)</b>	138:17 <b>possibilities (1)</b> 98:22 <b>possibility (1)</b> 64:11 <b>possible (5)</b> 71:14;74:4;94:16; 98:9;152:7 <b>possibly (5)</b> 13:6;23:8;98:16; 132:9,9 <b>posture (1)</b> 152:22 <b>potential (1)</b> 78:11 <b>potentially (3)</b> 68:16;130:22; 136:21 <b>power (2)</b> 36:12;99:25 <b>practical (3)</b> 26:17;107:18; 108:22 <b>practicing (1)</b> 120:5 <b>precise (2)</b> 60:6;74:17 <b>precisely (3)</b> 69:9;95:14;96:5 <b>precision (1)</b> 175:18 <b>precursor (1)</b> 123:13 <b>predicate (1)</b> 122:17 <b>prefer (1)</b> 85:5 <b>prejudice (1)</b> 155:17 <b>premature (1)</b> 116:24 <b>premise (2)</b> 87:25;88:1 <b>premium (1)</b> 153:16 <b>prepare (1)</b> 90:9 <b>prepared (5)</b> 20:19;21:24;23:6; 48:20;178:2 <b>preparing (13)</b> 90:2;107:5;118:9; 124:3;144:10,11; 145:21,21,22,23,23; 146:5;149:6 <b>prepped (2)</b> 88:25;114:23 <b>prescribed (1)</b> 153:9 <b>presence (1)</b> 124:5 <b>present (11)</b> 26:3;48:11;100:19;	105:9,17;106:13; 112:10;136:11; 143:25;144:4,5 <b>presentation (1)</b> 166:13 <b>presented (15)</b> 29:5,9;45:7,9; 63:16;69:12;74:18; 94:22;100:3,4,24; 109:6;110:20,21,22 <b>presenting (5)</b> 95:13,17;105:13; 106:1;111:6 <b>presently (1)</b> 54:11 <b>presents (1)</b> 110:2 <b>president (1)</b> 104:23 <b>presiding (1)</b> 6:6 <b>press (4)</b> 93:18,21;106:10; 117:1 <b>pretty (4)</b> 23:16;164:13; 171:9;178:14 <b>prevent (1)</b> 135:25 <b>preventing (2)</b> 93:22;125:21 <b>prevents (1)</b> 105:12 <b>previewed (1)</b> 84:4 <b>previous (1)</b> 127:21 <b>previously (3)</b> 44:20;111:16;120:2 <b>priests (1)</b> 150:25 <b>primarily (2)</b> 15:3;65:19 <b>primary (25)</b> 53:24;55:23;56:2,5, 9;57:24;58:14,17,20, 22,25;59:1;61:7,7,12, 16;62:3,6,16,18,23; 85:18,19;90:17;91:23 <b>principle (3)</b> 134:11;141:23; 145:20 <b>principles (6)</b> 133:3,4,9,16,21; 135:3 <b>print (1)</b> 20:24 <b>prior (1)</b> 69:21 <b>prism (1)</b> 63:19 <b>privacy (3)</b> 117:21;144:2;	175:10 <b>private (16)</b> 86:12;98:3,13; 100:10;101:10,19; 102:4,9;107:22; 108:4;109:5;113:17; 114:4,7;122:10; 123:17 <b>privilege (1)</b> 120:17 <b>privileged (2)</b> 70:24;104:11 <b>probably (15)</b> 12:3,18;30:23; 34:24;43:19;49:22; 67:24;68:24;80:6; 92:22;97:13;127:25; 129:14;153:13; 176:24 <b>probative (3)</b> 152:21;158:25; 159:22 <b>problem (33)</b> 20:2,22;21:20,20; 22:12,13;26:9;38:3; 55:2,4,16;73:6,24; 92:14,16;98:25; 99:22;105:4,5,7,20; 112:8;119:13,14,15; 127:1;144:18,20; 148:1,1;149:2; 156:16;163:16 <b>problematic (2)</b> 55:1;92:5 <b>problems (5)</b> 55:14;130:12; 148:14,15;153:22 <b>procedurally (2)</b> 37:11;178:3 <b>procedure (9)</b> 10:19;13:10;40:24; 43:18;51:4,5;89:14, 14;114:17 <b>procedures (7)</b> 39:8;40:20;91:11, 18,21;116:25;137:3 <b>proceed (2)</b> 6:13;178:1 <b>proceeding (56)</b> 9:2;10:11;37:16,19, 21;38:2,7;40:23;41:1, 2;53:5;57:23;59:2,7; 65:4;76:25;77:1,9,16; 78:10,16;81:1,7;82:5; 85:11,21;91:13;94:2; 110:14;112:1;113:19, 21;114:16;116:15,16, 19,22;120:12;127:22; 129:23;131:13,18,24; 137:7,8,18;147:14,17, 23;148:9,10;164:8,9, 17;165:10;168:23 <b>proceeding-level (1)</b>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

80:22 <b>proceedings (6)</b> 58:25;95:14;96:9; 109:20;118:6;179:17 <b>process (7)</b> 48:9;55:6;102:16; 109:15;132:17; 152:14;172:8 <b>produce (12)</b> 88:9;107:12,12; 108:2;110:10,24; 111:12;136:14; 152:24;158:11; 167:16;174:11 <b>produced (15)</b> 11:4;88:6,8;91:11; 93:25;107:4;110:11, 25;120:7;129:20; 140:2,5;154:7; 166:19;177:12 <b>production (6)</b> 88:2;110:9;116:10; 164:10;175:4;177:2 <b>productive (1)</b> 36:16 <b>profession (1)</b> 171:11 <b>professional (3)</b> 27:17,23;33:17 <b>professionals (6)</b> 24:23;27:21;30:22; 31:21,25;47:3 <b>program (1)</b> 106:6 <b>progressing (1)</b> 155:10 <b>progression (1)</b> 169:11 <b>prominent (1)</b> 158:7 <b>promise (1)</b> 177:17 <b>promised (1)</b> 88:14 <b>promptly (2)</b> 13:16;52:15 <b>prongs (1)</b> 134:21 <b>proof (8)</b> 18:11;39:8;40:2,3, 14;41:15;44:3;50:8 <b>proof-of-claim (2)</b> 40:12,16 <b>proofs (25)</b> 11:6;17:18;23:9,13; 24:19;26:17,22;37:5, 7;39:5,7;40:20,25; 41:6;43:16,17;45:1, 10;93:2,3,6;108:24; 149:21;151:5;154:23 <b>proper (5)</b> 21:8,8;168:4; 172:19;176:3	<b>properly (1)</b> 37:12 <b>proposal (5)</b> 33:11;45:23,25; 49:7,14 <b>proposals (1)</b> 79:22 <b>proposed (41)</b> 15:21;20:3;27:20; 30:1;31:20;37:14; 38:4;43:4,5;85:20,21; 86:14;87:4,6,14,15, 18;88:4,18,22;89:20, 25;91:12,17;93:5; 104:5;113:19;114:5, 15;116:14,15;117:4; 118:12;124:25;125:1, 17,20,21;142:14; 143:17;161:25 <b>proposing (3)</b> 102:15;108:6; 126:15 <b>proposition (2)</b> 63:9,12 <b>propound (2)</b> 147:19;173:12 <b>propounded (1)</b> 148:15 <b>proprietary (1)</b> 99:9 <b>prosecute (1)</b> 90:9 <b>protect (3)</b> 47:12;72:7;98:10 <b>protected (3)</b> 109:11,15,19 <b>protecting (2)</b> 26:9;108:16 <b>protection (7)</b> 19:14;28:21;89:3; 91:22;106:8;114:18; 122:22 <b>protections (8)</b> 17:23;24:16;26:12; 39:13;93:11;108:19; 109:14;120:8 <b>protective (81)</b> 11:2,6;15:10,19,21; 16:12,24;17:10,11,22; 18:12,17;20:2,4,20; 22:10;26:24;35:13; 47:17;52:18;84:8,14, 22;85:8,17;86:21; 87:24;88:20;89:11, 20;90:7;91:6,12,17, 20,21,24;92:3,4,6,18, 18;93:4,5,9;94:21,21; 100:5;101:20;102:14, 15,16,16;104:15; 106:19,25;108:4,7; 110:8,9;113:13,16,18, 19,20,24;114:1;115:1, 24,25;116:1,13,22;	117:25;118:1,2,3; 119:21;120:3,4,6 <b>proud (1)</b> 8:9 <b>proverbial (1)</b> 44:1 <b>provide (10)</b> 35:19;39:11;40:4; 51:14;58:2,23;59:3; 60:14;130:12;161:6 <b>provided (8)</b> 24:5;36:7;38:15; 40:15;41:8;58:16; 62:8;115:20 <b>provider (1)</b> 24:7 <b>provides (6)</b> 27:7;29:10;39:4,6; 46:11;114:16 <b>providing (1)</b> 82:1 <b>proving (1)</b> 143:5 <b>provision (12)</b> 19:13;28:12;29:22; 34:15;36:4;45:9,16; 88:23;89:3;99:13; 115:11;121:6 <b>provisions (7)</b> 26:5;27:4;44:25; 87:10;89:16;100:10; 123:5 <b>provoked (1)</b> 53:17 <b>public (6)</b> 100:16;111:2; 148:23;162:2,19; 167:9 <b>publicly (2)</b> 18:10;148:25 <b>Puklin (3)</b> 10:2,2,6 <b>pull (2)</b> 136:4;169:7 <b>pulled (3)</b> 30:8;67:7,14 <b>punished (1)</b> 21:14 <b>purchased (1)</b> 58:5 <b>purely (1)</b> 55:11 <b>purport (1)</b> 138:16 <b>purported (1)</b> 139:1 <b>purpose (9)</b> 125:16;142:15; 143:18;145:5;147:20; 148:3,3;155:17; 158:24 <b>purposes (10)</b> 17:7;104:18;142:6,	8;143:19;149:6; 151:21,22;165:12; 176:10 <b>pursuant (3)</b> 40:16;91:11;140:3 <b>pursue (3)</b> 77:8;79:3;164:18 <b>pursuing (3)</b> 76:25;78:10;164:17 <b>push (1)</b> 167:13 <b>pushed (1)</b> 150:10 <b>pushing (2)</b> 150:7;170:22 <b>put (27)</b> 21:4;22:14;30:5; 71:16;83:2;93:19; 94:22;95:9;103:15; 104:11;108:21;112:5, 17,20;120:8;133:9, 13;146:4;152:23; 156:18;157:5;161:25; 166:12,12;170:8; 173:16;177:10 <b>puts (2)</b> 66:22;176:23 <b>putting (2)</b> 32:12;147:1 <b>puzzlement (1)</b> 42:5	<b>raises (1)</b> 13:8 <b>raising (1)</b> 82:25 <b>range (1)</b> 105:24 <b>ranged (1)</b> 142:9 <b>rarely (2)</b> 69:13;176:3 <b>rather (5)</b> 15:10;71:19; 133:24;168:18; 178:18 <b>rationale (1)</b> 34:8 <b>RCBO (1)</b> 135:6 <b>re (6)</b> 10:19;42:3;57:8; 65:25;83:3;145:11 <b>re- (1)</b> 119:10 <b>reach (3)</b> 82:10;85:15;114:20 <b>reached (4)</b> 26:22;78:5;79:6; 155:9 <b>reaction (6)</b> 41:21;124:23,24; 125:6,10;139:3 <b>read (14)</b> 15:16;18:18;20:14, 24;21:2,12;70:21; 86:21;96:13;105:12; 115:17;121:22;122:3, 3 <b>reading (1)</b> 46:8 <b>reads (1)</b> 115:2 <b>ready (5)</b> 68:25;69:3;128:6; 178:4,5 <b>real (5)</b> 77:10;97:22; 100:12;103:13;123:2 <b>realize (1)</b> 142:12 <b>really (55)</b> 12:13;13:1;15:2; 16:15;19:24;33:20; 37:7;40:18,24;41:3, 20;46:25;47:1,24; 48:5;49:9;53:3,13; 59:20;61:3;69:10,16; 75:13;77:11;78:7; 86:7;87:3;88:15; 92:23;98:18;99:14, 15;102:4;103:17,19; 106:15;109:25;110:2; 111:3,15;125:24; 129:25;131:14,25;
<b>Q</b>				
<b>qualified (1)</b> 58:16 <b>qualify (3)</b> 31:2;101:6;123:25 <b>quick (3)</b> 48:2;178:8,12 <b>quicker (1)</b> 130:8 <b>quickly (4)</b> 46:4;127:2;136:12; 152:16 <b>quite (3)</b> 12:8;87:18;103:8 <b>quote (4)</b> 92:2;94:18;104:24; 131:24 <b>quotes (1)</b> 157:6				
<b>R</b>				
<b>radar (1)</b> 14:14 <b>raise (6)</b> 52:1,11;77:23; 105:10;131:4;177:24 <b>raised (4)</b> 62:25;77:15; 161:19;163:16				

132:2,16;138:6; 152:22;159:19; 166:22;167:18;176:2; 177:8;178:3,4 <b>reason (28)</b> 33:22;42:2,5;49:4; 77:14,16;81:23; 85:22;87:16,16; 88:14,15;100:3; 103:1;104:10,12; 125:20;128:22; 133:14;147:25;148:1; 151:20;152:18;168:5; 172:13,15,16;173:19 <b>reasonable (20)</b> 26:12;64:10,11; 75:17;112:14;125:18; 133:18,20;134:1,7,14, 18,21;135:11,14; 141:25;147:2;149:5; 161:6;166:17 <b>reasonably (5)</b> 55:8;115:7,16; 133:25;152:6 <b>reasoned (3)</b> 35:10;36:3;51:11 <b>reasons (9)</b> 16:1;60:12,19; 68:16;85:18,19; 90:17;94:16;155:15 <b>reassess (1)</b> 88:7 <b>recalcitrant (2)</b> 123:15;125:12 <b>recall (4)</b> 45:3,6,8;164:7 <b>receive (1)</b> 70:20 <b>received (1)</b> 70:19 <b>receiving (2)</b> 93:1;115:5 <b>recent (1)</b> 162:17 <b>recently (1)</b> 152:15 <b>recess (2)</b> 84:21;129:4 <b>recipient (1)</b> 122:15 <b>recipients (1)</b> 86:18 <b>recognize (2)</b> 130:14;178:2 <b>recognizes (1)</b> 86:1 <b>recognizing (1)</b> 135:19 <b>reconsideration (3)</b> 36:12;37:8;91:10 <b>record (9)</b> 17:7;21:12;27:19; 34:23;38:16;70:12;	125:5,5;157:18 <b>records (5)</b> 110:18,25;158:19, 20,21 <b>red (2)</b> 37:25;125:3 <b>redact (1)</b> 158:13 <b>redacted (2)</b> 108:18;158:14 <b>redacting (1)</b> 175:11 <b>redesignate (1)</b> 88:6 <b>redline (2)</b> 142:17;146:10 <b>redo (2)</b> 87:22;88:11 <b>refer (1)</b> 145:9 <b>reference (16)</b> 54:13,21;68:15,24; 69:8,12,18,22;72:13; 77:20;78:1,23;80:5; 112:11;134:17;161:2 <b>referring (1)</b> 94:20 <b>reflect (1)</b> 154:20 <b>regard (4)</b> 25:3;49:5;106:25; 109:3 <b>regarding (7)</b> 11:4;58:12;61:13; 78:22;86:15;139:23; 172:20 <b>regimen (1)</b> 95:24 <b>regiment (1)</b> 102:23 <b>regular (1)</b> 109:15 <b>regulated (1)</b> 133:12 <b>re-importing (1)</b> 123:20 <b>reincorporate (1)</b> 120:25 <b>reincorporated (1)</b> 119:13 <b>reinsert (1)</b> 118:19 <b>reinserted (1)</b> 126:24 <b>reinsurance (4)</b> 46:13;136:10; 153:17,18 <b>reinsurers (1)</b> 27:13 <b>reinvokes (1)</b> 20:10 <b>reject (3)</b> 33:13;85:21;141:7	<b>related (5)</b> 27:12;55:11;59:8; 129:21;170:5 <b>relating (5)</b> 87:11;125:11; 150:14;169:13; 172:18 <b>relative (1)</b> 12:4 <b>relatively (1)</b> 155:16 <b>relevance (3)</b> 147:14;148:4; 174:24 <b>relevant (29)</b> 63:23,24;134:9; 135:17;137:6,7,25; 142:1,1,1;143:5; 145:8,11;146:3; 150:12,19;151:24,25; 154:8,14,16,17; 159:13,15;162:22; 166:17;169:9;173:19, 19 <b>relied (1)</b> 127:24 <b>relief (16)</b> 29:4;30:20;49:15; 50:10;58:11;60:5; 63:2,7,20,21;64:14; 65:20,22;66:1; 106:22,24 <b>relish (1)</b> 106:3 <b>relitigation (1)</b> 96:8 <b>reluctant (1)</b> 127:23 <b>rely (1)</b> 65:24 <b>remaining (1)</b> 62:13 <b>remains (1)</b> 119:5 <b>remarks (3)</b> 141:6,20;172:12 <b>remember (3)</b> 39:14;103:9;136:24 <b>remembered (1)</b> 31:6 <b>Remind (1)</b> 30:20 <b>removed (1)</b> 115:14 <b>render (1)</b> 54:2 <b>renew (1)</b> 175:2 <b>renewed (1)</b> 174:5 <b>reorganization (1)</b> 151:11 <b>repeat (1)</b>	28:11 <b>replace (2)</b> 91:20;173:10 <b>replacement (1)</b> 140:21 <b>replete (1)</b> 94:10 <b>replicate (1)</b> 61:12 <b>reply (7)</b> 140:18,25;141:3; 149:12,17;151:8; 173:10 <b>represent (1)</b> 44:17 <b>represented (3)</b> 35:16;130:10; 163:20 <b>representing (2)</b> 73:25;137:9 <b>reproduce (3)</b> 88:6;107:11;108:1 <b>request (29)</b> 28:25;34:9;49:15; 51:1,3;60:5;61:5; 93:10;129:13;130:25; 132:22;141:25;142:2, 12;143:23;144:1,25; 146:8;148:7,10; 156:12;158:16; 163:20;173:20;174:6, 8;175:6,12;176:1 <b>requested (1)</b> 30:20 <b>requesting (1)</b> 50:11 <b>requests (27)</b> 113:21;116:18,21; 137:22;140:16,17,22; 142:9,13,14,16,17; 146:3;149:14;152:4, 5,7,8;156:4,5;168:25; 170:10;172:25;173:1, 4,11,21 <b>require (9)</b> 30:24;59:2;64:15; 87:20;88:11;92:2; 138:3;143:23;175:4 <b>required (5)</b> 44:4;64:6;135:5; 152:6;154:20 <b>requirements (1)</b> 58:6 <b>requires (2)</b> 45:14;86:11 <b>res (1)</b> 111:17 <b>research (2)</b> 74:20;75:22 <b>reservation (1)</b> 58:16 <b>reserve (15)</b> 133:24;134:17;	135:10,13;136:2; 139:13,16;154:10,11, 12;155:3;168:2; 174:15;175:7,19 <b>reserved (1)</b> 143:9 <b>reserves (13)</b> 133:22;135:11,17; 149:25;154:16,24,25; 155:5,5,7;167:22; 170:2,6 <b>reserving (2)</b> 134:7,13 <b>resolution (12)</b> 77:2,6,7,18;78:11; 79:19;130:8;133:16; 134:10;135:13,23; 174:25 <b>resolve (8)</b> 28:6;32:10;74:10; 99:16;133:8;135:1; 138:8;170:20 <b>resolved (8)</b> 55:11;57:22;59:8; 162:6;167:8;170:17, 19,24 <b>resolving (4)</b> 55:12;131:9;167:8, 10 <b>respect (40)</b> 10:22;11:6;12:11; 31:3;50:4,7;61:11,19; 63:2,7;64:4,19,19; 83:7;95:16,24; 101:22;107:17; 119:12;121:1;123:5; 126:23;129:19; 130:21,24;131:20,21; 135:16;137:4,18; 139:17;163:17;167:1, 1;173:20;174:10; 175:6,8,21;177:12 <b>respectfully (2)</b> 103:17;163:20 <b>respective (1)</b> 46:13 <b>respects (2)</b> 45:4;73:7 <b>respond (11)</b> 60:2,8;65:22;69:9; 81:11;141:2;152:4,6, 16;173:13;178:2 <b>responded (1)</b> 140:17 <b>responding (2)</b> 66:22;177:24 <b>responds (1)</b> 160:18 <b>response (12)</b> 14:24;15:17;19:2; 58:14;60:21;68:1,9; 129:19;140:2;149:24; 170:9;174:9
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------



<b>responses (2)</b> 60:19,20	10:12,13,14,15,17; 11:25;14:2,15,17,17, 24;15:2,3;16:5;19:6, 20;20:21;24:20,23; 26:15;30:17;31:16; 33:4,10,12,22;34:17; 35:7,15;36:2;37:4; 40:11;42:9,10,11,17; 46:17;47:3,11,21; 48:3;52:14,16,22,24; 65:18;66:6,8,10,19; 67:5,6,25;68:5;70:18, 19,25;72:25,25;73:3, 20;75:9,10,13,16,24; 76:15,15;78:2,9,9,10; 82:16,18;83:19; 84:16;97:17,21,21,23; 98:3,5,6;100:4,15; 102:6,7;103:14,18; 104:22,24,25;105:1,3, 6,8,8;106:3;109:14, 18;110:5,17;111:4,7; 112:5,18,20;117:3,20; 121:10,14;122:7,8; 123:6,20,22,23;124:5, 8,20,23;125:5;126:7; 127:4,11;128:4,21,21; 129:2;131:24;139:19; 145:15;152:24;153:3, 24,25;158:7,8;161:2, 20;167:3;168:8; 169:9;171:25;172:9; 175:3;177:14,19; 178:16,24;179:2,9	28:13;29:13 <b>room (2)</b> 98:10;155:23 <b>Rosa (2)</b> 152:14;172:20 <b>roughly (2)</b> 55:25;75:7 <b>round (1)</b> 37:10 <b>rub (1)</b> 103:13 <b>rubber (1)</b> 159:15 <b>rubric (1)</b> 29:21 <b>Rule (44)</b> 26:15;33:23;34:15; 36:1;37:18,22,25; 49:3;55:17;71:25; 81:12,15;88:1;98:2; 103:3;110:9,12,13,14; 116:2,17;129:23; 131:13,19;140:10; 141:14,20,24;142:2; 145:13;146:8;147:15, 23;148:5,9;151:17,19, 25;156:15;158:16; 164:9;165:10;172:15; 173:18 <b>ruled (2)</b> 78:17;146:9 <b>Rules (13)</b> 32:8;33:16,23; 68:19;89:13,13,14; 92:2;147:14;148:11; 154:20;167:15,17 <b>ruling (12)</b> 14:10,16;41:23; 53:19;65:5;71:1; 78:13;83:11;111:17; 128:17;145:16;177:9 <b>rulings (1)</b> 64:25 <b>running (3)</b> 56:7,11;57:2	42:2,5;87:1;88:2; 91:19;96:22;104:19; 105:20;107:13;119:2; 120:23;126:1;132:15; 137:23;139:7,17; 140:4;144:1;145:4, 17,20;146:6;150:20, 20,21,22,24;155:2,23; 162:5;165:17,20; 166:12;175:25 <b>San (6)</b> 27:15;31:20;50:22; 101:18;104:7;172:21 <b>Sandler (3)</b> 7:13;91:4;129:7 <b>Santa (2)</b> 152:14;172:20 <b>sausage (1)</b> 97:15 <b>save (3)</b> 17:10;81:12;165:4 <b>saw (5)</b> 43:11;52:7,9;145:1; 164:1 <b>saying (24)</b> 19:23;20:9,21;29:7; 35:15;40:1,22;88:19; 89:2;90:7;101:18; 102:24;106:12;113:8; 115:1,19;117:24; 118:10;122:7,9,9; 124:17;147:20; 171:20 <b>scant (1)</b> 155:9 <b>schedule (5)</b> 68:10;75:7;78:22; 79:19;83:7 <b>scheduled (2)</b> 152:10;167:24 <b>schedules (1)</b> 71:20 <b>scheduling (1)</b> 70:3 <b>SCHIAVONI (224)</b> 8:4,5,9;11:20,24; 12:1;14:9;15:20,23, 25;16:3,6,11,15,19, 22;19:3,3,8,23;20:17; 21:11,18,22;22:8,20; 23:20;25:14,15,17,17, 20,25;26:12;27:25; 28:2,5,23;29:2,5,9,13, 15,18,20,22,24;30:7, 12,16;31:2,5,10,12, 15,19,25;32:3,6,12, 15,19,22;33:3,5,8,11, 13,15,20;34:1,7,11, 13,20,22;35:2,6; 36:20;38:11;45:3,21, 23;46:1,4,7,10,17,21, 23,25;47:6,8,12,16, 19,22,24;48:2,5,9,11,	14,16,18,22,25;49:3, 7,9,13,21;50:13,16, 18,22;51:1,3,8,10,14, 17;52:5;70:7,15,15, 17,23;71:4,6,10,13, 19,23,25;72:3,7,12, 18,25;73:3,7,11,14, 17,19,22;74:3,8,10, 14,23;75:1,4,10,12, 16,20,22,25;76:5; 77:9;78:3,23;84:7,13, 17,19;93:15,15; 95:20;96:2,5,14,17, 21,24;97:7;99:4,11, 21;100:9;101:2,21, 23;102:12,20,25; 103:2,11,13,25; 106:24;114:5;119:23; 120:22;121:3,10,12, 21,25;122:5,8,18,25; 123:9,10,21;124:13, 21;126:9,15;127:17, 20;128:2,7,10;150:4; 156:12;163:5,10,13, 24;169:21 <b>Schiavoni's (2)</b> 17:9;25:12 <b>schools (1)</b> 71:8 <b>scope (1)</b> 87:9 <b>Scouts (6)</b> 26:18,24;27:3; 103:23;108:23; 151:18 <b>screen (5)</b> 8:13;9:6,10;10:17; 152:15 <b>screwing (1)</b> 117:18 <b>scrutinized (1)</b> 138:15 <b>Seaboard (1)</b> 61:14 <b>sealed (1)</b> 95:18 <b>sealing (1)</b> 95:16 <b>search (2)</b> 42:20;153:8 <b>season (1)</b> 12:20 <b>seated (1)</b> 6:7 <b>seats (1)</b> 126:12 <b>second (29)</b> 11:23;13:18;23:25; 50:6;72:12;74:16; 84:3;87:16;99:5; 100:22;101:4;107:17; 108:3,5;125:6,10; 134:11;141:3,11,21;
<b>restrict (1)</b> 15:14 <b>restrictions (2)</b> 23:22;148:11 <b>result (2)</b> 27:4;58:3 <b>retain (7)</b> 24:4,6;39:19,24; 40:11;43:24;124:14 <b>retained (4)</b> 31:13;32:1;38:8; 43:9 <b>retaining (1)</b> 24:13 <b>retaliation (1)</b> 87:12 <b>retention (4)</b> 39:25;136:16,20,23 <b>rethink (1)</b> 69:19 <b>retirement (1)</b> 86:5 <b>return (1)</b> 79:7 <b>returning (1)</b> 77:21 <b>review (3)</b> 49:25;119:4;158:8 <b>reviewed (4)</b> 26:22;55:15,15; 108:25 <b>revised (2)</b> 25:1;142:18 <b>revisions (2)</b> 92:20;143:17 <b>revisited (1)</b> 177:1 <b>RIDLEY (28)</b> 8:21,25;9:1;61:3; 64:25;65:3,4,8,11,14, 17;66:7,9,12,14,18, 23;67:6,8,23,24;68:4; 77:11;81:25;83:10, 20,21,23 <b>rifling (1)</b> 148:22 <b>right (156)</b> 7:3,25;8:13,9;3;	<b>rights (10)</b> 26:7;44:5;58:17; 72:8;107:23;133:23; 143:9;144:2;148:2; 149:25 <b>rise (2)</b> 6:4;80:9 <b>risk (3)</b> 50:2;114:2;134:18 <b>road (4)</b> 81:7;95:4;159:16; 177:4 <b>rob (1)</b> 33:23 <b>robust (2)</b> 149:19;150:11 <b>Rochester (3)</b> 44:24;138:23; 161:20 <b>Rockville (2)</b> 44:24;148:16 <b>role (4)</b> 155:23;165:16; 176:5,18 <b>roll (1)</b> 120:11 <b>Roman (4)</b> 6:15,17,23;53:21 <b>Romanette (2)</b>	<b>S</b> <b>S1 (1)</b> 178:10 <b>sad (1)</b> 117:9 <b>Safe (1)</b> 83:20 <b>safeguard (1)</b> 101:22 <b>sales (1)</b> 95:8 <b>salutary (2)</b> 27:4;109:2 <b>same (41)</b> 10:13,22;12:19; 18:3;21:6;24:6;27:10;	<b>San (6)</b> 27:15;31:20;50:22; 101:18;104:7;172:21 <b>Sandler (3)</b> 7:13;91:4;129:7 <b>Santa (2)</b> 152:14;172:20 <b>sausage (1)</b> 97:15 <b>save (3)</b> 17:10;81:12;165:4 <b>saw (5)</b> 43:11;52:7,9;145:1; 164:1 <b>saying (24)</b> 19:23;20:9,21;29:7; 35:15;40:1,22;88:19; 89:2;90:7;101:18; 102:24;106:12;113:8; 115:1,19;117:24; 118:10;122:7,9,9; 124:17;147:20; 171:20 <b>scant (1)</b> 155:9 <b>schedule (5)</b> 68:10;75:7;78:22; 79:19;83:7 <b>scheduled (2)</b> 152:10;167:24 <b>schedules (1)</b> 71:20 <b>scheduling (1)</b> 70:3 <b>SCHIAVONI (224)</b> 8:4,5,9;11:20,24; 12:1;14:9;15:20,23, 25;16:3,6,11,15,19, 22;19:3,3,8,23;20:17; 21:11,18,22;22:8,20; 23:20;25:14,15,17,17, 20,25;26:12;27:25; 28:2,5,23;29:2,5,9,13, 15,18,20,22,24;30:7, 12,16;31:2,5,10,12, 15,19,25;32:3,6,12, 15,19,22;33:3,5,8,11, 13,15,20;34:1,7,11, 13,20,22;35:2,6; 36:20;38:11;45:3,21, 23;46:1,4,7,10,17,21, 23,25;47:6,8,12,16, 19,22,24;48:2,5,9,11,	14,16,18,22,25;49:3, 7,9,13,21;50:13,16, 18,22;51:1,3,8,10,14, 17;52:5;70:7,15,15, 17,23;71:4,6,10,13, 19,23,25;72:3,7,12, 18,25;73:3,7,11,14, 17,19,22;74:3,8,10, 14,23;75:1,4,10,12, 16,20,22,25;76:5; 77:9;78:3,23;84:7,13, 17,19;93:15,15; 95:20;96:2,5,14,17, 21,24;97:7;99:4,11, 21;100:9;101:2,21, 23;102:12,20,25; 103:2,11,13,25; 106:24;114:5;119:23; 120:22;121:3,10,12, 21,25;122:5,8,18,25; 123:9,10,21;124:13, 21;126:9,15;127:17, 20;128:2,7,10;150:4; 156:12;163:5,10,13, 24;169:21 <b>Schiavoni's (2)</b> 17:9;25:12 <b>schools (1)</b> 71:8 <b>scope (1)</b> 87:9 <b>Scouts (6)</b> 26:18,24;27:3; 103:23;108:23; 151:18 <b>screen (5)</b> 8:13;9:6,10;10:17; 152:15 <b>screwing (1)</b> 117:18 <b>scrutinized (1)</b> 138:15 <b>Seaboard (1)</b> 61:14 <b>sealed (1)</b> 95:18 <b>sealing (1)</b> 95:16 <b>search (2)</b> 42:20;153:8 <b>season (1)</b> 12:20 <b>seated (1)</b> 6:7 <b>seats (1)</b> 126:12 <b>second (29)</b> 11:23;13:18;23:25; 50:6;72:12;74:16; 84:3;87:16;99:5; 100:22;101:4;107:17; 108:3,5;125:6,10; 134:11;141:3,11,21;

143:2;149:10,11,12; 166:24;168:16; 170:14;171:11; 172:12 <b>secondary (6)</b> 62:17,19;107:20; 143:3;146:10;153:12 <b>Secondly (1)</b> 107:2 <b>secrecy (1)</b> 90:15 <b>secret (3)</b> 78:4;86:2;109:17 <b>secrets (2)</b> 86:3;110:17 <b>Section (5)</b> 46:11;88:23;89:11; 115:3,12 <b>secured (1)</b> 117:24 <b>seek (3)</b> 19:13;138:16;149:5 <b>seeking (8)</b> 20:7;59:23;62:2; 129:20;132:25;135:3; 137:21;139:3 <b>seeks (1)</b> 17:23 <b>seem (3)</b> 21:8;53:10;117:8 <b>seems (9)</b> 15:10;18:9;19:11; 35:12;141:18;150:12; 164:9;170:13;172:19 <b>sees (3)</b> 40:19;90:4;116:3 <b>self-reported (1)</b> 21:12 <b>sending (2)</b> 117:18;124:25 <b>sense (24)</b> 27:5;31:20;33:17; 44:14;16:55;11;65:1; 70:25,25;71:1;77:4; 80:6;99:13;104:23; 112:12;128:1;145:18; 20;151:3;157:1; 159:14;161:4;166:11; 177:7 <b>Sensible (2)</b> 127:7,8 <b>sensitive (7)</b> 22:6;86:12,13;92:8, 17,19;93:1 <b>sensitivity (2)</b> 22:20;98:11 <b>sent (2)</b> 140:24;152:11 <b>sentence (1)</b> 158:14 <b>separate (12)</b> 18:3,16;20:7;40:8, 10,24;108:11;117:15;	140:16;142:9;153:20; 161:24 <b>September (2)</b> 18:4;22:22 <b>series (2)</b> 25:21;27:12 <b>serve (9)</b> 34:16;35:8,12;36:5; 38:8;51:5,6;103:5; 147:20 <b>served (2)</b> 34:17;130:25 <b>service (12)</b> 24:7;34:18;35:18, 19;36:6,8,8,9;37:3,4; 49:18;52:1 <b>services (1)</b> 13:3 <b>session (1)</b> 6:4 <b>set (32)</b> 6:8;10:21;11:9; 13:21;27:7;33:16; 37:12;47:6;48:22; 54:11;56:3;63:25; 69:17;92:21;101:9; 111:6;142:14,16; 146:25;154:25;155:2, 5,5,7;161:22;168:2; 170:2,5;177:25; 178:6,10,15 <b>sets (2)</b> 110:15;140:23 <b>setting (3)</b> 109:22;124:15; 129:8 <b>settle (7)</b> 77:10,12;133:25; 134:13,14;147:3; 161:25 <b>settled (10)</b> 61:25;145:19; 150:17;159:22; 161:22,24;162:4,4; 169:16,17 <b>settlement (13)</b> 58:24;87:10; 133:18;134:7;135:12; 138:20,22;139:2; 141:7;145:18,25; 147:2;157:8 <b>settlements (2)</b> 155:9;161:24 <b>seventeen (1)</b> 120:5 <b>Seventh (3)</b> 26:7;107:23;112:4 <b>several (4)</b> 52:24;59:13;92:22; 171:5 <b>severity (1)</b> 146:25 <b>sex (2)</b>	146:13;159:5 <b>sexual (10)</b> 26:22;86:8,16;98:4; 110:19;129:21; 133:18;137:1;143:25; 173:5 <b>sexually (1)</b> 117:22 <b>SFO (1)</b> 22:25 <b>Shall (6)</b> 25:12;38:5;46:12; 52:18;61:9;123:14 <b>Shane (1)</b> 6:22 <b>shape (1)</b> 133:6 <b>share (9)</b> 18:1;22:11;24:19; 28:14,16,17;40:17; 45:9;104:6 <b>shared (6)</b> 17:1;18:14;20:25; 22:23;44:8;130:6 <b>sharing (5)</b> 17:21;18:10;76:15, 16;103:18 <b>sheer (1)</b> 157:6 <b>shops (1)</b> 104:1 <b>short (1)</b> 12:20 <b>shorthand (1)</b> 169:21 <b>shortly (1)</b> 75:17 <b>show (5)</b> 39:7;40:1;136:7,10; 138:12 <b>showed (1)</b> 172:17 <b>showing (2)</b> 41:6;100:13 <b>shows (2)</b> 75:22;173:8 <b>shutting (1)</b> 109:16 <b>side (10)</b> 30:25;33:15;39:19; 42:24;81:16;98:9; 102:17;128:24; 159:18;162:9 <b>sidedly (1)</b> 20:11 <b>sides (1)</b> 155:25 <b>sign (45)</b> 26:14;27:23;32:2,3; 39:21;40:4;41:13; 45:2;86:20;88:19; 89:1;90:6;97:12,14, 15,20;99:23;104:3,3,	21,25;105:7,14,16; 106:12;112:9;114:11, 24;115:23;118:10,10; 121:16;122:10,10,13; 123:14,16;124:4,18, 19;125:13,14,14,15; 170:10 <b>signed (12)</b> 28:18;35:11;41:10, 13;43:12;45:14; 100:2;102:9;105:21; 112:3;115:8;118:3 <b>significant (1)</b> 98:16 <b>signing (3)</b> 33:2;98:3;105:6 <b>signs (1)</b> 47:3 <b>Silence (1)</b> 102:3 <b>Silenced (1)</b> 87:9 <b>Silverstein (4)</b> 151:18,25;156:14; 176:24 <b>similar (4)</b> 45:6;46:15;50:22; 91:18 <b>similarities (1)</b> 174:23 <b>similarly (1)</b> 12:21 <b>simple (1)</b> 18:22 <b>simplest (1)</b> 120:11 <b>simply (17)</b> 22:9;40:1,22;42:24; 43:7,15;44:4;65:24; 69:13,19,24;87:18; 89:21;122:14;131:17; 132:13;140:2 <b>simultaneously (1)</b> 87:22 <b>single (4)</b> 39:18;113:12; 162:6;164:8 <b>sit (5)</b> 42:19;53:11;93:23; 145:23;175:14 <b>site (1)</b> 106:19 <b>sitting (1)</b> 39:19 <b>situation (10)</b> 34:3;35:3;39:17; 94:7;103:22;109:23; 111:19;148:21; 153:11;155:8 <b>situations (3)</b> 53:5,14;166:4 <b>six (14)</b> 85:12;132:25;	133:1;135:4;137:24; 140:17,19,20;149:14, 15;155:18;166:8; 173:16,16 <b>skeletons (1)</b> 154:9 <b>skepticism (1)</b> 138:18 <b>skipped (1)</b> 56:12 <b>Skipping (1)</b> 151:16 <b>sledgehammer (5)</b> 20:8;22:5,7;23:7,17 <b>slightly (1)</b> 145:10 <b>slip-up (1)</b> 175:11 <b>slow (3)</b> 74:21;88:10,10 <b>slowing (1)</b> 44:10 <b>slowly (1)</b> 150:7 <b>small (2)</b> 59:14;146:11 <b>so-called (1)</b> 98:1 <b>soft (1)</b> 71:11 <b>solely (1)</b> 123:4 <b>solicitude (1)</b> 138:12 <b>solution (1)</b> 170:20 <b>solutions (1)</b> 130:12 <b>solved (1)</b> 156:23 <b>solvency (3)</b> 132:8;148:14,15 <b>somebody (16)</b> 21:5;31:15;54:19, 24;55:4;56:12;76:2; 99:14;110:10;117:19; 124:4;155:3;157:19; 158:7;174:5;175:2 <b>someday (1)</b> 53:12 <b>somehow (8)</b> 51:4;87:3;89:25; 93:8;100:1;104:11; 107:2;116:7 <b>someone (6)</b> 21:2;28:15;31:13, 17;39:8;178:22 <b>someone's (1)</b> 153:6 <b>sometime (1)</b> 39:15 <b>somewhat (4)</b> 12:7;42:3;108:21;
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

126:4 <b>somewhere (2)</b> 40:17;160:21 <b>soon (3)</b> 83:12;151:6;179:16 <b>sooner (1)</b> 75:23 <b>sorry (26)</b> 11:24;12:1;15:7; 16:6;25:20;30:18; 52:4;56:10;57:7,15; 60:18;62:21;66:12, 13;76:14;96:21; 102:12;111:24; 116:10;123:1;126:11; 127:8;177:20,20,21; 179:11 <b>sort (51)</b> 19:10;21:13;23:25; 31:23;35:22,24,25; 36:11,13,17,25;37:12; 40:2;42:11;53:15; 55:19;59:17,17; 66:22;70:18;72:14, 18;73:22;74:14,23; 83:2;97:11;98:2,6; 101:6;103:3,21; 106:20;107:9,16,18, 20;108:18;109:17,20; 110:1,24;111:16; 112:12;122:21; 124:15;129:8;131:17; 137:22;163:18;174:9 <b>sorts (2)</b> 20:10;95:9 <b>soul (1)</b> 157:23 <b>souls (1)</b> 158:3 <b>sound (1)</b> 22:12 <b>sounds (2)</b> 148:6;176:17 <b>source (1)</b> 108:9 <b>Southern (1)</b> 138:25 <b>speak (11)</b> 16:12;19:15,25; 21:22;34:11;74:19; 80:9;84:9;85:2;98:6; 103:14 <b>SPEAKER (7)</b> 9:22,23;15:4,6; 126:12;128:5;168:7 <b>speaking (1)</b> 131:12 <b>special (2)</b> 7:21;129:10 <b>specialized (1)</b> 38:6 <b>specially (1)</b> 6:8	<b>specific (11)</b> 27:13,16;30:1;31:5; 39:11;44:22;86:16; 90:16;106:24;110:16; 114:17 <b>specifically (16)</b> 9:1;15:21;19:17; 24:5;27:7;31:7,17; 44:3;46:18;62:8;63:6; 80:11;110:17;129:12, 13;132:22 <b>specifics (3)</b> 131:1,7;165:4 <b>specify (1)</b> 116:15 <b>speculate (2)</b> 116:5;149:10 <b>speculation (1)</b> 157:6 <b>speculative (1)</b> 158:1 <b>spoken (1)</b> 81:25 <b>Square (2)</b> 146:7;149:8 <b>stage (6)</b> 55:8;61:4;63:13,24; 166:9,11 <b>staging (4)</b> 166:23;167:15,15, 17 <b>stand (5)</b> 44:1;68:25;86:9; 104:19;129:14 <b>standard (6)</b> 87:1;110:15,16; 112:19;119:7;124:17 <b>standards (1)</b> 119:2 <b>standing (1)</b> 130:4 <b>standpoint (1)</b> 86:9 <b>stands (2)</b> 63:8,12 <b>star (1)</b> 109:17 <b>stare (1)</b> 129:14 <b>start (14)</b> 6:20;8:13,15;15:8, 11,12;37:2;48:12; 84:25;88:14;113:8; 137:9;141:20;154:13 <b>started (3)</b> 18:23;79:3;146:16 <b>starting (2)</b> 16:19;85:1 <b>starts (1)</b> 110:14 <b>state (7)</b> 54:7;57:25;58:24; 79:2;109:8;122:21;	134:3 <b>stated (1)</b> 143:18 <b>statement (7)</b> 59:3,16;61:8;64:10; 78:15;106:21;112:15 <b>statements (5)</b> 64:19;72:1;125:23; 132:5;164:13 <b>States (3)</b> 6:5;9:13;56:21 <b>status (2)</b> 11:8;165:24 <b>statute (1)</b> 36:2 <b>statutes (1)</b> 36:2 <b>statutory (4)</b> 135:10;137:2; 154:19;170:1 <b>stay (3)</b> 52:18;86:11;117:23 <b>step (8)</b> 59:4;71:4;122:19; 169:10;170:4,14,16; 171:16 <b>Stern (1)</b> 55:16 <b>still (12)</b> 16:4;39:21;41:14; 45:1;65:1;143:15; 149:20;150:3,9; 151:5;159:15;161:20 <b>stipulate (3)</b> 92:3;100:6;123:4 <b>stipulated (5)</b> 113:16;116:13; 118:2;134:14,19 <b>stipulation (2)</b> 113:18;114:1 <b>stones (1)</b> 30:2 <b>stop (2)</b> 124:7;143:20 <b>stopped (1)</b> 168:15 <b>Stout (3)</b> 43:9,12,13 <b>stove (1)</b> 165:11 <b>straightforward (3)</b> 102:1;137:14;148:7 <b>strata (1)</b> 59:17 <b>street (2)</b> 30:8;124:3 <b>streets (1)</b> 104:7 <b>strengths (1)</b> 132:11 <b>strictly (2)</b> 18:11;45:10 <b>strikes (3)</b>	17:15;91:9;113:1 <b>struck (1)</b> 53:8 <b>structure (1)</b> 103:14 <b>structured (1)</b> 98:23 <b>struggling (1)</b> 13:17 <b>stuck (1)</b> 101:11 <b>studied (1)</b> 75:2 <b>stuff (8)</b> 104:15;111:22; 116:19,20;122:10; 146:2;148:8;153:17 <b>subject (13)</b> 39:21;79:8;87:13; 94:9;98:4,7;105:25; 107:8;111:12;117:25; 147:18;177:14,24 <b>subjects (1)</b> 53:4 <b>submit (6)</b> 35:9;36:9;88:13; 120:11;122:20; 164:15 <b>submits (1)</b> 85:19 <b>submitted (9)</b> 21:5;44:7;51:19; 100:13;114:16; 125:22;126:7;173:24, 25 <b>subparts (1)</b> 140:16 <b>subpoena (1)</b> 97:18 <b>subpoenas (2)</b> 140:15;144:21 <b>subsequently (1)</b> 61:25 <b>subset (6)</b> 159:13,16;166:14, 16,25;167:17 <b>substance (2)</b> 37:13;88:16 <b>substantially (1)</b> 91:18 <b>substantive (1)</b> 126:1 <b>success (1)</b> 160:6 <b>successful (1)</b> 133:15 <b>successors (2)</b> 27:12;46:13 <b>sudden (1)</b> 35:14 <b>suddenly (2)</b> 12:20;55:4 <b>sued (2)</b>	61:20;62:21 <b>sufficient (2)</b> 50:12;63:13 <b>suggest (18)</b> 13:21;22:8;53:19; 66:10,16,18,19;75:13; 83:6;84:13;104:5; 111:24;112:22; 119:11;123:22; 153:11;159:17;167:9 <b>suggested (8)</b> 94:13;119:10; 125:3;126:16;146:10; 154:6;164:22;177:11 <b>suggesting (8)</b> 13:2;14:11;50:10; 65:22;99:10;100:24; 106:18;112:10 <b>suggestion (3)</b> 10:19;15:8;67:16 <b>suggestions (1)</b> 120:17 <b>suggests (5)</b> 23:21;64:14,24; 69:4;174:10 <b>suit (1)</b> 105:25 <b>suitcase (1)</b> 106:7 <b>sum (1)</b> 131:7 <b>summarize (1)</b> 102:10 <b>summary (2)</b> 62:13;63:11 <b>summer (1)</b> 39:15 <b>Super (1)</b> 140:1 <b>Superior (4)</b> 57:19;102:8;111:1; 150:6 <b>supervision (2)</b> 57:20;148:17 <b>supervisor (2)</b> 157:11,12 <b>supplant (2)</b> 12:14;118:14 <b>supplement (1)</b> 40:3 <b>supplementation (1)</b> 140:21 <b>supplements (2)</b> 93:3,7 <b>support (3)</b> 87:3;111:9;113:10 <b>supported (1)</b> 111:9 <b>supportive (1)</b> 111:20 <b>supports (1)</b> 90:24 <b>supposed (2)</b>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

34:16;141:1 <b>sure (38)</b> 10:23;25:25,25; 11:24;14:4;22:16,21; 25:15;37:6;41:12,18; 20:43;22:24;51:23; 52:2;68:10;71:3,5; 109:21;113:9;122:2; 124:8;138:17;139:9; 12;140:5;141:1,19; 153:5;160:8;161:18; 168:22;175:15;177:4; 178:6;179:7 <b>Surety (1)</b> 61:14 <b>surface (1)</b> 176:12 <b>surprise (2)</b> 60:1;68:14 <b>surprising (1)</b> 89:16 <b>survivor (1)</b> 39:11 <b>survivors (5)</b> 44:5;134:14,19; 137:9;167:20 <b>survivor's (1)</b> 23:8 <b>suspect (2)</b> 69:9;155:1 <b>suspected (1)</b> 176:18 <b>suspecting (1)</b> 48:19 <b>swallow (2)</b> 98:2;103:3 <b>sweeping (1)</b> 164:13 <b>switching (1)</b> 126:12 <b>Syracuse (1)</b> 44:24 <b>system (4)</b> 82:24;92:9;109:8; 137:24 <b>system-wide (1)</b> 138:3	155:25;156:16;165:2; 174:13 <b>talked (4)</b> 64:23;77:20; 126:16;131:18 <b>talking (35)</b> 15:13;18:10,11; 23:9;37:5;39:5,5,6; 41:18;43:20;60:4; 68:7;69:23,25;90:10; 116:17,17,18;121:18; 127:6;130:24;144:14; 18;152:15;153:4; 154:14;155:22; 157:23;159:20;161:4; 166:18;168:4;169:21; 175:25;176:9 <b>talks (2)</b> 110:17;151:8 <b>Tanc (2)</b> 25:17;94:14 <b>Tancred (4)</b> 8:4;19:3;70:15; 93:15 <b>target (4)</b> 166:7;174:4,4; 175:16 <b>targets (1)</b> 175:17 <b>tasks (1)</b> 12:6 <b>TCC (3)</b> 35:17;94:20;107:21 <b>TCCs (1)</b> 107:8 <b>technical (1)</b> 131:22 <b>technically (2)</b> 42:1;85:9 <b>telling (4)</b> 42:18;98:20; 119:24;123:19 <b>tells (3)</b> 54:23;68:25;121:1 <b>ten (10)</b> 39:10;44:8;49:14; 24;50:1;51:17;62:13; 84:18;128:19;150:8 <b>tender (2)</b> 149:23,24 <b>tendered (3)</b> 60:17;150:4,10 <b>tends (1)</b> 138:16 <b>term (5)</b> 27:16;38:4;142:18; 19;166:24 <b>terms (14)</b> 27:16;35:25;53:6; 91:5;120:2;126:19; 136:7,9;137:12,12; 142:24;143:6;165:18; 176:19	<b>Test (1)</b> 167:23 <b>tested (6)</b> 94:6;99:13;104:22; 108:14;113:9;115:22 <b>testify (1)</b> 43:5 <b>testifying (4)</b> 32:13,16;33:2; 132:14 <b>testimony (1)</b> 144:15 <b>testing (1)</b> 104:23 <b>Thanks (13)</b> 8:17;46:24,24;70:6; 76:9,19;90:20;95:21; 126:6;129:3;164:5; 179:13,15 <b>Thanksgiving (1)</b> 178:17 <b>that'd (1)</b> 105:19 <b>that'll (2)</b> 128:25;129:2 <b>Theirs (2)</b> 122:19;125:24 <b>thematically (1)</b> 59:22 <b>theme (3)</b> 52:18;92:25;131:18 <b>theoretically (2)</b> 54:18;74:4 <b>theory (1)</b> 12:18 <b>thereafter (2)</b> 75:17;107:24 <b>there'd (2)</b> 101:7;108:11 <b>Therefore (1)</b> 59:1 <b>thereon (1)</b> 68:20 <b>thin (1)</b> 67:15 <b>thinking (10)</b> 11:14,18;52:15,21; 59:15;76:2;96:22; 127:25;166:10; 177:16 <b>third (10)</b> 97:9;98:11;103:10, 11,12;135:15;139:15, 16;143:7;170:16 <b>third- (1)</b> 24:6 <b>third-party (3)</b> 17:21;24:20;97:17 <b>thirty (9)</b> 40:13;143:21; 144:1,25;158:18,21; 159:10;161:7;175:5 <b>thirty-seven (1)</b>	140:15 <b>thirty-six (1)</b> 62:7 <b>though (2)</b> 51:5;162:18 <b>thought (13)</b> 40:21;55:20;65:19; 71:22;80:12;85:3; 121:8,9;140:10; 143:7,12;156:20; 159:17 <b>thoughts (4)</b> 68:12;70:5;165:7; 174:2 <b>three (9)</b> 60:19;89:9,9; 113:11;155:18;161:5; 167:7;177:11;178:14 <b>throughout (1)</b> 26:5 <b>throwing (1)</b> 30:2 <b>thrust (1)</b> 145:15 <b>Thursday (1)</b> 27:15 <b>Thus (4)</b> 60:1;87:1;120:6; 133:20 <b>tied (1)</b> 173:2 <b>tier (12)</b> 92:6;96:15;98:5,5, 7;99:5,15,15;100:22; 101:4;107:17;108:3 <b>tiers (6)</b> 92:7,12;96:18;97:1, 24;103:4 <b>Tim (2)</b> 7:20;132:24 <b>timeline (1)</b> 77:3 <b>times (4)</b> 44:6;130:16; 155:15;167:19 <b>time's (1)</b> 14:2 <b>timing (9)</b> 54:15;68:7;74:17; 77:14,15,18;78:1,22, 24 <b>today (12)</b> 9:5;10:18;13:8; 17:16;59:14;78:13; 128:13;140:11; 150:17;156:23; 169:21;174:7 <b>Todd (1)</b> 9:18 <b>together (5)</b> 46:12;62:4;95:9; 112:18;177:10 <b>told (7)</b>	44:6;54:24;99:1; 105:10,16;122:2; 150:4 <b>took (3)</b> 96:5;115:11;162:16 <b>tools (2)</b> 171:6,7 <b>top (3)</b> 98:8;149:14;153:6 <b>tort (1)</b> 155:8 <b>total (4)</b> 62:5,18;140:16; 151:12 <b>totally (5)</b> 12:14;30:13;104:1; 111:20;122:8 <b>touchstone (5)</b> 110:7;161:7;162:9, 11;169:21 <b>touchstones (4)</b> 161:1;162:21; 169:20,24 <b>toward (4)</b> 77:2;117:9;130:18; 166:13 <b>towards (3)</b> 130:15;132:16,17 <b>Towers (1)</b> 141:12 <b>track (1)</b> 117:11 <b>tracks (1)</b> 168:15 <b>trade (3)</b> 86:2,3;110:17 <b>traditional (1)</b> 177:7 <b>trait (2)</b> 101:16,17 <b>transcript (5)</b> 27:19;38:15;95:3; 104:16;156:13 <b>transcripts (1)</b> 157:17 <b>Travelers (2)</b> 56:5;57:11 <b>travels (1)</b> 83:20 <b>treated (1)</b> 87:20 <b>treatment (1)</b> 121:2 <b>tremendous (3)</b> 88:12;107:16; 174:24 <b>trial (25)</b> 54:11;55:4;61:23, 24;62:20;72:21;73:9; 74:5;97:17;104:19; 108:23,23;109:10,13, 25;112:12;116:8,8,23, 24,25;117:1;160:7;
<b>T</b>				
<b>table (4)</b> 10:21;94:18; 145:23;162:19 <b>tact (1)</b> 71:22 <b>tailors (1)</b> 116:15 <b>talk (19)</b> 26:6;77:14,15; 80:11;109:1;113:4; 126:4;127:5;129:12; 131:1;132:21;133:2; 136:5;144:8;145:24;				



167:24;168:10 <b>trials (4)</b> 54:6;72:4;73:12; 109:17 <b>tricky (1)</b> 112:17 <b>tried (6)</b> 12:2;22:14;106:5; 112:13;124:1;137:19 <b>tries (2)</b> 73:8;111:25 <b>triggered (2)</b> 60:11;62:24 <b>true (6)</b> 31:22;38:14;62:18; 103:10;158:20; 172:17 <b>truer (1)</b> 68:11 <b>Trump (2)</b> 104:25;122:2 <b>trust (1)</b> 86:6 <b>try (15)</b> 13:14;16;21:20; 26:8;32:10;48:25; 69:3;74:5;76:22;77:1; 93:24;124:6;137:6; 149:1;159:9 <b>trying (17)</b> 35:13;39:18,24; 73:12;74:20;77:13; 78:7;90:1;93:19; 98:18;119:17;138:7; 141:18;147:24; 153:16;155:24;171:2 <b>TUESDAY (1)</b> 6:1 <b>turn (4)</b> 136:21;137:12,13, 16 <b>turns (2)</b> 134:6;137:15 <b>tweak (1)</b> 36:13 <b>twenty (2)</b> 68:12;161:4 <b>twenty-five (1)</b> 40:13 <b>twenty-four (1)</b> 124:24 <b>twenty-page (1)</b> 127:3 <b>two (59)</b> 7:4;20:20;30:1,17; 48:2;50:4,9;51:22; 58:7;59:11,17,20; 60:13,17;67:21; 68:12,17;71:7;72:14; 80:24;85:18,19; 86:24;87:22;92:7,12; 94:23;96:15,18;97:1, 24;98:15;100:25;	102:19;103:4;109:7; 113:10;117:11; 119:19,24;120:24; 121:14;133:2,3,9; 135:3,15;136:5; 139:15;140:11;147:9; 152:19;155:18;166:9; 20,22;167:24;171:16; 174:6 <b>two-level (1)</b> 95:24 <b>two-party (5)</b> 94:8,23;99:22; 113:17;123:12 <b>two-tier (1)</b> 92:9 <b>two-tiered (1)</b> 85:24 <b>tying (1)</b> 144:15 <b>type (3)</b> 39:3;136:11,12 <b>types (1)</b> 160:14 <b>typical (3)</b> 44:16;120:3,5 <b>typically (3)</b> 101:5,9;160:15 <b>U</b> <b>Uetz (69)</b> 7:1;8:14,16,17,18; 9:5;10:20,20,24;11:1, 4,8,11,15;12:22,24; 13:7,10,15,20,24; 14:2,4,7,10,13,16,20; 70:5,6,9,12,14,21; 76:8,9,12,17,19,20, 22;78:20;79:6,10,13; 83:5,5,10,14,17; 130:14;139:22;140:1, 7;148:6;152:11; 164:1,4,5,25;177:20, 23;178:6,21,25;179:3, 7,11,15 <b>ultimate (1)</b> 125:11 <b>ultimately (2)</b> 54:19;110:1 <b>umbrella (5)</b> 53:24;57:6,8,11,15 <b>Um-hum (90)</b> 11:3,7,10;17:12,17, 25;18:7,15;19:7,22; 20:16;21:10,17,21; 23:11,15;24:2,10,14; 25:19,24;26:11; 27:24;28:1,22;29:12, 14;30:6,11,15;31:4,9, 11,14,18,24;32:5,11, 14,18,21;33:19,25; 34:6,19,21;35:1,5;	37:1;38:24;43:10; 45:5,13;46:9;47:5; 48:8,10,21;49:2,12; 67:20;71:9,12;72:2,6, 11,17;73:10,13,16,18, 21;74:2,7,9,13,22,25; 75:15;79:17,24;80:3, 8,10,16,19,23;81:6, 13;141:22 <b>un (1)</b> 155:18 <b>unacceptable (1)</b> 41:7 <b>unbelievable (1)</b> 168:25 <b>uncertain (3)</b> 59:22;60:2;140:19 <b>unclear (1)</b> 19:10 <b>uncontroverted (3)</b> 15:10;18:8,22 <b>under (60)</b> 18:5;19:9,21,25; 23:12;26:7,15;28:12; 29:13,19;33:23; 37:25;39:10;50:14; 53:25;54:1,55:24; 56:2,6,10,19;57:9; 58:6,16;59:10;60:21; 61:14;62:15,17;63:6; 64:20;68:19;86:13; 87:23,25;89:11; 91:13;92:17;100:1; 107:4,7,15;108:3; 110:9;111:22;120:25; 134:16;138:13,13,15; 146:4;148:5,17; 149:3;151:17,19; 152:24;154:19; 167:15;173:18 <b>underlies (1)</b> 57:21 <b>underlying (6)</b> 58:24;62:9;94:10; 100:14;133:25; 134:22 <b>underneath (1)</b> 50:6 <b>Understood (3)</b> 66:7,9;168:21 <b>undertaken (1)</b> 153:8 <b>underway (1)</b> 155:10 <b>Underwriters (2)</b> 56:9;57:5 <b>underwriting (13)</b> 136:6,9,10;153:1,3, 10,13;170:9,10,13; 174:16;175:8,20 <b>undoubtedly (1)</b> 153:7 <b>undue (1)</b>	138:1 <b>unexpected (1)</b> 141:4 <b>unfortunately (1)</b> 137:14 <b>UNIDENTIFIED (7)</b> 9:22,23;15:4,6; 126:12;128:5;168:7 <b>uninformed (1)</b> 130:21 <b>unintended (1)</b> 22:9 <b>Union/Armour (1)</b> 56:13 <b>unique (3)</b> 24:11;94:2;148:21 <b>unit (2)</b> 145:7;153:20 <b>United (3)</b> 6:5;9:13;56:21 <b>universe (2)</b> 40:12;170:15 <b>unless (11)</b> 24:7;51:20;69:20; 76:10;115:3,9; 123:13;124:21; 132:20;153:11; 162:24 <b>unlike (1)</b> 63:25 <b>unnecessary (1)</b> 147:12 <b>unpack (1)</b> 36:24 <b>unpersuasive (1)</b> 60:3 <b>unquote (1)</b> 131:24 <b>unreasonable (1)</b> 71:16 <b>unrelated (1)</b> 159:22 <b>unsatisfactory (1)</b> 60:21 <b>unsecured (1)</b> 7:6 <b>untested (1)</b> 155:3 <b>untoward (1)</b> 89:15 <b>unusual (1)</b> 59:6 <b>unwarranted (2)</b> 86:24,25 <b>up (55)</b> 7:18;9:10;17:6; 19:5;22:2;25:16; 26:13,15,24;31:16; 32:12;36:22;44:16; 45:22;46:18;47:1,6; 55:5;68:8;69:20,22; 70:2;76:18;82:23; 85:17;87:23;90:23;	92:19;93:22;95:22; 96:6;101:9,25;103:4; 105:6,8;107:23; 109:22;111:7;112:4, 9,13;117:18;118:5; 139:3;146:6,17,25; 156:9,14;157:2; 162:17;169:25; 176:12;178:19 <b>up-to-date (1)</b> 82:11 <b>urgent (1)</b> 53:13 <b>USC (2)</b> 53:25;54:1 <b>use (30)</b> 16:13;20:11,12; 27:8;28:8;30:1,9,22; 35:15;36:4,14,15; 42:16;71:1;91:24; 92:2,9;96:1;104:12; 105:23;107:11; 108:20;110:6;112:20, 23;116:10,10;124:16; 126:14;160:10 <b>used (14)</b> 27:10,17;95:6,7,8, 12;96:6;98:20,21,21; 112:16;119:22; 148:13;166:24 <b>useful (1)</b> 155:16 <b>using (2)</b> 96:7;171:6 <b>usually (2)</b> 137:10,14 <b>utility (3)</b> 38:14,15;39:25 <b>utterly (1)</b> 117:8 <b>V</b> <b>valuation (8)</b> 135:22;156:20; 159:11;160:6;169:19, 20,25;170:1 <b>value (21)</b> 133:18,20;134:10, 20,22,23,24,25;135:7, 9,14;136:25;137:1; 146:1;147:10;150:15; 151:6,9,21;154:17,20 <b>valued (1)</b> 134:8 <b>values (3)</b> 133:19;161:22; 162:14 <b>valuing (3)</b> 134:9;151:4;166:5 <b>Vann (1)</b> 178:6 <b>variation (1)</b>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

160:24 <b>various (10)</b> 55:24;56:3,6,15; 57:1;106:8;132:9,12; 150:25;161:1 <b>vast (1)</b> 132:4 <b>vehicle (3)</b> 53:12;97:10;108:20 <b>vehicles (1)</b> 177:8 <b>venture (1)</b> 149:22 <b>verbatim (1)</b> 116:14 <b>version (4)</b> 115:14;125:11,16; 169:3 <b>versus (3)</b> 44:16;86:6;92:18 <b>vice (4)</b> 133:10,13;134:16; 147:1 <b>victim (1)</b> 109:12 <b>view (10)</b> 17:13,21;63:18; 78:24;95:25;101:20; 123:7;164:14,15,21 <b>views (3)</b> 27:1;53:4;162:10 <b>vigorously (2)</b> 129:22,24 <b>violated (2)</b> 17:20;44:5 <b>violating (1)</b> 105:25 <b>violation (1)</b> 32:24 <b>violence (1)</b> 109:24 <b>virtually (2)</b> 45:7;149:22 <b>vise (1)</b> 133:10	138:13 <b>way (68)</b> 12:6,18,19;18:22; 20:18,21;23:20;26:6; 16;30:5;31:7,21;34:5; 35:25;36:4;41:9,9; 47:25;59:10,14; 68:16;73:8;77:6,7; 84:11;90:12;96:11, 22;97:8;98:19,23; 100:3;101:7;102:17; 103:14;104:2;105:22; 106:14;107:13,25; 109:1;112:22;121:25; 123:17,25;125:7; 126:1;127:1,25; 128:12;131:22; 142:20;144:19; 147:14,23;150:15; 152:6;157:18;161:6, 9,10;165:13,17,20; 167:15;171:5;172:8; 174:3 <b>ways (10)</b> 23:23;25:20;52:25; 53:5,9;106:11;109:4; 133:6;159:9;166:20 <b>weaknesses (1)</b> 132:11 <b>weary (1)</b> 137:24 <b>website (2)</b> 21:3;91:25 <b>Wednesday (1)</b> 67:13 <b>week (9)</b> 10:13;52:15;56:17; 64:23;78:15;81:16; 94:4;137:20;148:18 <b>weeks (3)</b> 67:21;69:23;167:24 <b>weigh (2)</b> 113:5;120:15 <b>weird (1)</b> 107:13 <b>Weiss (4)</b> 9:15,15,18,20 <b>welcome (1)</b> 152:12 <b>weren't (3)</b> 30:13;108:24;109:4 <b>Westchester (1)</b> 8:6 <b>Westport (1)</b> 9:16 <b>whack (2)</b> 97:3;127:4 <b>whatnot (8)</b> 28:9;35:20;46:10; 74:21;100:2;104:2; 108:9;124:18 <b>what's (24)</b> 10:22;12:15;29:1,4;	53:6,7;59:18;71:4; 94:18;98:5;99:11,11; 109:18;112:7;116:14; 137:6,17;157:6,18; 158:14,17;162:19; 171:13;177:2 <b>whatsoever (1)</b> 61:15 <b>whenever (1)</b> 162:16 <b>Whereupon (3)</b> 84:21;129:4;179:17 <b>wherever (1)</b> 112:24 <b>whichever (1)</b> 125:11 <b>whistleblower (1)</b> 103:23 <b>whole (12)</b> 21:11;37:24;71:20; 96:7;100:3,18; 108:15;150:5;151:2; 155:18;160:17; 171:15 <b>wholly (1)</b> 80:1 <b>who's (9)</b> 13:1;33:17;90:22; 92:17;96:10;97:19, 19;117:2;150:18 <b>whose (3)</b> 39:11;44:5;134:24 <b>wife (1)</b> 101:14 <b>William (1)</b> 6:6 <b>willing (3)</b> 41:13;85:14;152:23 <b>win (1)</b> 72:3 <b>window (5)</b> 135:16;139:18; 150:8;167:22,23 <b>Windows (1)</b> 106:6 <b>withdraw (11)</b> 54:13;68:15,23; 69:8,12,22;72:13; 77:20;78:1,23;112:11 <b>withdrawing (1)</b> 80:5 <b>withdrawn (2)</b> 54:21;69:18 <b>within (7)</b> 12:13;50:1;103:5; 136:9;137:22;153:8; 157:9 <b>without (15)</b> 11:13;24:4,20; 39:20;58:8;69:11; 97:10;109:1;125:18; 134:17;141:24,25; 145:18;155:17;174:2	<b>witness (13)</b> 38:9;89:17,21; 103:23;104:7,13,13, 19;105:9;121:1; 123:16;124:3;125:13 <b>witnesses (22)</b> 26:2;86:17;88:18, 19,24;97:16,17; 104:4;111:7;114:23; 115:6,15;117:1; 118:9;119:6,12; 121:7,15;123:11,14; 124:17;126:23 <b>wonder (2)</b> 83:25;124:13 <b>wonderful (1)</b> 53:3 <b>wonderfully (1)</b> 13:3 <b>Woodall (3)</b> 167:25;168:1,3 <b>word (9)</b> 30:22;80:17;81:14; 126:17,17,21,21; 159:11;166:11 <b>worded (1)</b> 137:22 <b>wording (2)</b> 175:16;177:11 <b>words (3)</b> 21:4;138:11;143:15 <b>wordsmith (1)</b> 124:14 <b>wordsmithing (1)</b> 97:25 <b>work (20)</b> 23:22;48:12;69:2; 71:13;77:2,13;82:24; 85:15;87:12;88:10, 11;98:25;99:6,9; 118:15;144:16; 156:19;166:1;170:21; 175:23 <b>workable (2)</b> 121:2,3 <b>worked (3)</b> 120:6;171:5;177:14 <b>working (15)</b> 97:2;118:14; 120:25;132:15; 135:10,13;136:2; 139:13,16;154:11,12; 174:16,16;175:7,19 <b>works (3)</b> 118:21;121:15; 171:3 <b>workup (1)</b> 170:6 <b>world (8)</b> 22:17;30:3;40:10; 99:2;100:13;149:18, 19;176:13 <b>worried (2)</b>	114:23;176:25 <b>worry (1)</b> 16:9 <b>worth (5)</b> 116:6;135:18,25; 148:12;159:23 <b>writ (1)</b> 173:5 <b>writing (1)</b> 115:4 <b>written (12)</b> 56:5,15,18,21,23, 25;57:3,5,8,11,15; 154:5 <b>wrong (10)</b> 18:19;29:7;31:6; 78:9;93:9;101:15; 117:18;118:7;121:9; 150:11 <b>wrote (5)</b> 20:20;34:5;56:9,10, 25
<b>Y</b>				
<b>year (1)</b> 150:24 <b>years (16)</b> 71:8;106:5;120:5; 137:8;143:21;144:1, 25;154:2;158:18,21; 159:10;161:8;166:5; 167:7,12;175:5 <b>Years' (1)</b> 67:18 <b>Yep (1)</b> 15:6 <b>yesterday (3)</b> 70:20;79:4;152:11 <b>York (4)</b> 94:2;138:25;141:9; 146:6				
<b>Z</b>				
<b>Zoom (3)</b> 6:19;7:2;179:6				
<b>0</b>				
<b>0.25 (1)</b> 37:9 <b>07 (1)</b> 170:17				
<b>1</b>				
<b>1 (8)</b> 6:15,15;62:4; 102:21;150:17;167:9, 24;177:17 <b>1:30 (2)</b> 128:18;178:5				

<b>10,000 (1)</b> 120:7 <b>107 (6)</b> 26:7;89:11;106:11; 110:13;111:4,12 <b>10th (3)</b> 67:1,13,14 <b>11 (5)</b> 38:7,10;77:8; 115:16;148:4 <b>12:30ish (1)</b> 128:22 <b>12b6 (7)</b> 53:17;55:8;58:17; 66:2;75:8;77:21,24 <b>12e (2)</b> 53:17;55:8 <b>13.5 (2)</b> 61:25;62:3 <b>1334 (1)</b> 53:25 <b>14 (2)</b> 6:1;28:13 <b>14.5 (1)</b> 62:5 <b>143iiJ (1)</b> 49:11 <b>14iiiJ (1)</b> 29:13 <b>15 (1)</b> 61:14 <b>1500 (1)</b> 61:15 <b>157b (2)</b> 54:1,2 <b>15iii (1)</b> 46:11 <b>16 (1)</b> 68:1 <b>16th (2)</b> 67:22,25 <b>18 (3)</b> 53:2;67:10;68:3 <b>18th (2)</b> 66:19,22 <b>1962 (2)</b> 56:11;57:7 <b>1963 (2)</b> 55:25;57:6 <b>1966 (4)</b> 55:25;56:4,11,20 <b>1970 (5)</b> 56:4,16,20,22; 150:17 <b>1970s (1)</b> 151:1 <b>1971 (2)</b> 56:22,24 <b>1973 (1)</b> 145:7 <b>1974 (3)</b> 56:24;57:2,9 <b>1975 (2)</b>	56:7,16 <b>1977 (1)</b> 57:10 <b>1978 (2)</b> 57:12;159:4 <b>1980 (2)</b> 57:2,4 <b>1980s (1)</b> 151:1 <b>1981 (4)</b> 56:8;57:4,12,12 <b>1985 (3)</b> 57:15,16,16 <b>1987 (1)</b> 57:13 <b>1994 (1)</b> 61:15	<b>28 (2)</b> 53:25;54:1 <b>28th (4)</b> 18:4;22:22;64:24; 65:1 <b>2nd (1)</b> 67:19		<b>9</b>
		<b>3</b>	<b>9:01 (1)</b> 6:1 <b>9019 (3)</b> 141:14;145:13,14 <b>90s (1)</b> 162:17 <b>996 (1)</b> 145:12 <b>9th (1)</b> 61:15	
	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>		
	<b>2 (4)</b> 6:15,17;102:21; 150:18 <b>2000 (2)</b> 135:16;162:17 <b>2000s (1)</b> 175:1 <b>2002 (1)</b> 49:18 <b>2004 (44)</b> 14:17,22;40:16; 52:19;59:9;80:18; 81:2,4,8,12;84:4,6; 88:1;107:8;116:18; 128:4;129:5,19; 130:25;137:7,15,18; 138:13;139:6;140:11; 141:21,24;142:2; 146:8;147:15;148:5; 151:17,19,25;155:14; 156:15;158:16;164:7; 19:165;21;172:15; 173:18;174:19;176:3 <b>2008 (1)</b> 63:4 <b>2023 (2)</b> 6:1;150:24 <b>2024 (1)</b> 22:22 <b>22-04028 (1)</b> 6:17 <b>22nd (3)</b> 53:21;178:13,24 <b>23-40523 (1)</b> 6:18 <b>24 (1)</b> 114:14 <b>25 (1)</b> 114:14 <b>26 (10)</b> 26:15;33:23;37:18; 22,25;49:3;71:25; 110:9;120:18;148:11	<b>48 (1)</b> 95:3 <b>4th (1)</b> 63:4	<b>5</b>	
		<b>592 (1)</b> 63:4	<b>6</b>	
		<b>60s (1)</b> 153:5 <b>63 (2)</b> 57:7,7 <b>66 (1)</b> 57:7 <b>69 (2)</b> 56:4,4	<b>7</b>	
		<b>7 (1)</b> 46:8 <b>7.2 (2)</b> 115:3,12 <b>7.2F (1)</b> 88:23 <b>7026 (1)</b> 37:23 <b>70s (1)</b> 153:5 <b>78 (1)</b> 94:2 <b>7F (1)</b> 119:12	<b>8</b>	
		<b>82 (1)</b> 63:4 <b>851 (1)</b> 145:12		